SENTEX SENSING TECHNOLOGY INC Form 10QSB October 14, 2005

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-QSB

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File No. 0-13328

For the quarterly period ending August 31, 2005

SENTEX SENSING TECHNOLOGY, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New Jersey 22-2333899

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1801 East Ninth Street Cleveland, Ohio

44114

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(216) 687-0289

(Registrant s telephone number including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (b) of the Exchange Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Shares, no par value

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 of 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No ___

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Yes No $\, X \,$

Number of shares of Common Shares (No Par Value) of SENTEX SENSING TECHNOLOGY, Inc., issued and outstanding as of August 31, 2005 is 101,764,911.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS AND THE NINE

MONTHS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW FOR THE NINE MONTHS

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

SIGNATURE

EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

EX-31.1 Certification of CEO

EX-31.2 Certification of CFO

EX-32.1 Certification of CEO

EX-32.2 Certification of CFO

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

SENTEX SENSING TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET NOVEMBER 30, 2004 AND AUGUST 31, 2005

ASSETS	NOVEMBER 30, 2004 (AUDITED)		JGUST 31, 2005 AUDITED)
CURRENT ASSETS Cash Accounts receivable trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of	\$	12,872	\$ 37,165
\$55,000 Inventory		98,206 103,550	152,518 105,333
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS		214,628	295,016
FIXED ASSETS			
Computer equipment Leasehold improvements		4,009	3,343 25,000
Less accumulated depreciation		(4,009)	(7,143)
			21,200
OTHER ASSETS Goodwill Deposits		36,042 990	36,042 990
		37,032	37,032
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	251,660	\$ 353,248
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY			
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Notes payable: Related party Trade and other accounts payable (\$913,174 and \$1,347,125 to related	\$	6,203,340	\$ 6,372,058
parties)		1,171,122	1,684,421
Accrued liabilities		160,476	47,795
Consulting contracts payable Convertible subordinated notes payable		21,249 12,423	21,249 12,423
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		7,568,610	8,137,946

4

STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Common stock, no par value		
Authorized - 200,000,000 shares		
Issued - 109,460,911 shares		
Outstanding - 101,764,911 shares	2,867,579	2,867,579
Accumulated deficit	(9,915,061)	(10,382,809)
Treasury shares at cost, 7,696,000 shares	(269,468)	(269,468)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	(7,316,950)	(7,784,698)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 251,660	\$ 353,248

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2

Table of Contents

SENTEX SENSING TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS AND THE NINE MONTHS

ENDED AUGUST 31, 2004 AND AUGUST 31, 2005 (UNAUDITED)

		THREE MON UGUST 31, 2004	ONTHS ENDED AUGUST 31, 2005			NINE MONT JGUST 31, 2004	THS ENDED AUGUST 31, 2005		
REVENUES Sales Interest and other income	\$	1,305,970 24,256	\$	660,884 707	\$	2,680,314 59,889	\$	2,280,982 160,506	
Total Revenues		1,330,226		661,591		2,740,203		2,441,488	
COST OF GOODS SOLD		997,675		406,587		2,144,379		1,433,473	
GROSS PROFIT		332,551		255,004		595,824		1,008,015	
OPERATING EXPENSES Administration		357,762		401,053		1,141,941		1,228,940	
Total expenses		357,762		401,053		1,141,941		1,228,940	
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS		(25,211)		(146,049)		(546,117)		(220,925)	
OTHER EXPENSE Interest Expense		51,903		77,625		161,178		246,822	
LOSS BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAX EXPENSE		(77,114)		(223,674)		(707,295)		(467,747)	
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAX EXPENSE									
NET LOSS		(77,114)		(223,674)		(707,295)		(467,747)	
NET LOSS PER SHARE (BASIC AND DILUTED)	\$	(0.00)	\$	(0.00)	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.00)	
WEIGHTED NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING	1	01,764,911	1	01,764,911	1	01,764,911	1	01,764,911	

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

3

Table of Contents

SENTEX SENSING TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED AUGUST 31, 2004 AND AUGUST 31, 2005 (UNAUDITED)

	NINE MONTHS END					
	AUGUST 31,			AUGUST		
				31,		
	2	2004		2005		
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						
Net loss	\$ (707,295)	\$	(467,747)		
Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization		405		3,134		
Noncash interest expense		113,760		246,822		
Accounts receivable	,	105,049)		(54,312)		
Inventories		187,033		(1,783)		
Other assets		4,800				
Accounts payable		293,565		513,299		
Accrued liabilities	(131,399)		(359,504)		
Total adjustments	,	363,115		347,656		
				, ,		
Net cash used by operating activities	(.	344,180)		(120,091)		
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Purchase of leasehold improvements				(24,334)		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES						
Proceeds on notes and accounts payable related party	2,8	811,962		168,718		
Payments on note payable related party						
Payments on note payable bank	(2,	500,000)				
Net cash provided by financing activities	3	311,962		168,718		
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH		(32,218)		24,293		
CASH BEGINNING OF PERIOD		45,330		12,872		
CASII DEGINING OF TERIOD		45,550		12,072		
CASH END OF PERIOD	\$	13,112	\$	37,165		
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:						
Cash paid during the nine month period for:						
Interest	\$	47,418	\$			
	Ψ	.,	~			

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

SENTEX SENSING TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

(1) In the opinion of management, the unaudited financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring accruals and repayments) necessary to present fairly the financial position at August 31, 2005 and the results of operations and cash flows for the three months and the nine months ended August 31, 2004 and August 31, 2005.

These interim statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2004 (Commission File No. 2-13328).

(2) The results of operations for the nine months ended August 31, 2004 and August 31, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

(3) PROFIT(LOSS) PER SHARE

Profit(loss) per share is calculated using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Potentially dilutive securities are insignificant.

(4) PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Sentex Sensing Technology, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (the Company). All material inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

(5) LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

State of Ohio, Department of Administrative Services v. IQ Solutions, LLC, et al.; Case No. 03-CVH05-6054; Franklin County Common Pleas Court, Ohio.

During October 2004, the Company was dismissed without prejudice from the above-caption and previously disclosed matter.

5

Table of Contents

SENTEX SENSING TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

On July 2, 2001, the Company purchased Regency Technologies, LLC from Regency Steel, LLC and other members. Regency Technologies specializes in the buying, selling, and trading of information technology equipment (primarily computer equipment). The primary focus of our business revolves around acquiring unneeded, older computer equipment and reselling that equipment to certain consumers on a global basis.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Working Capital and Liquidity

During the last several fiscal years, the Company has incurred losses from operations. In addition, the Company s certified public accountants have included in their auditors—report, which covers the Company—s financial statements for the years ended November 30, 2003 and November 30, 2004, a statement that the Company—s recurring losses from operations raised substantial doubt about the Company—s ability to continue as a going concern. For fiscal years 2003 and 2004, the Company sustained losses of approximately \$531,000 and \$781,000, respectively. These losses have had a substantial adverse effect on the working capital of the Company.

In June of 2004, the Company restructured its \$2,500,000 in bank financing. This financing has subsequently been taken over by CPS, which now holds much of the working capital debt that has been used in the business during 2004. As of August 31, 2005, there was an outstanding balance of \$6,372,000 on the loans from CPS. We believe these loans have been secured under terms no less favorable than we could have obtained pursuant to an arms-length transaction.

In addition to the CPS loans, from time to time, Mr. Julius L. Hess, the Company s former Vice President, Secretary and a Director, has provided the Company with cash investments to help fund certain specified transactions. From December 1, 2004 through August 31, 2005, Mr. Hess has invested a total of \$638,735 to fund such transactions. In agreement for providing such funds, which amounts may not otherwise have been available to the Company, Mr. Hess typically receives remuneration in the amount of up to fifty percent of the gross profit from such transactions. Upon settlement of the transactions during the period from December 1, 2004 through August 31, 2005, Mr. Hess will have received total proceeds of \$792,736. We believe these investments have been secured under terms no less favorable than we could have obtained pursuant to an arms-length transaction. As of August 31, 2005, there was an outstanding balance of \$271,418 on these loans.

In addition to the above-noted investments, Mr. Hess has, from time to time, provided loans to the Company to cover certain working capital expenses such as payroll. Mr. Hess does not receive any remuneration for these loans, other than the return of principal. These loans are typically paid back within a short period of time. From December 1, 2004 through August 31, 2005, Mr. Hess provided \$229,000 in loans of this kind to the Company. As of August 31, 2005 there was an outstanding balance of \$62,000 on these loans.

6

Table of Contents

SENTEX SENSING TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Net Tax Operating Loss Carryforwards

As of August 31, 2005 the Company has approximately \$16,249,000 in net tax operating loss carryforwards which will expire at various dates through the year 2024 that are mainly attributable to losses incurred by Monitek. Federal tax law imposes restrictions on the use of net operating loss carryforwards in the event of a change in ownership, such as a merger. Due to the merger with Monitek, approximately \$6,265,000 of the \$16,249,000 net operating losses may be subject to these limitations and potentially may not be able to provide any economic benefit to the Company.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Nine Months ended August 31, 2004 compared to Nine Months Ended August 31, 2005.

Sales decreased by \$399,000 or 14.9%. Gross profit went from \$596,000 (or 22.2% on sales) in 2004 to \$1,008,000 (or 44.2% on sales) in 2005. The sales shortfall was due to an overall softness in the asset recovery and broker/dealer market, however, this was more than offset by improved margins in both categories.

Operating expenses of \$1,229,000 (53.9% on sales) were in line with sales.

Interest expense was significantly higher for 2005 primarily due to the increases in the prime rate.

Losses for the nine months amounted to \$467,000.

CURRENT OUTLOOK

The unanticipated slowdown we experienced in our third quarter had a negative impact on our third quarter results compared to the same period last year. While we have seen a recent increase in business activity in the beginning of the fourth quarter, we do not expect to show a profit for fiscal 2005. The Regency business is not expected to be become profitable unless it receives required capital.

Despite significant efforts by our financial advisors and the management of Regency, we have not been able to complete a transaction with outside investors, whether strategic or financial, to invest in the growth opportunity we believe is necessary for the success of Regency Asset Recovery Business. The company s efforts only resulted in four investors that expressed a significant interest, but ultimately each of them passed on the opportunity. Our financial advisor had contacted over 137 small transaction investors.

This has caused us to change our focus on this business unit, and currently we are in discussions with James Levine, the Executive Vice President of Regency, Julius Hess, a former director and executive officer of the Company and a current officer of Regency, and Jeff Cohen, an unaffiliated individual.

Under the current discussions, Mr. Hess, Mr. Levine and Mr. Cohen, would capitalize JJJ-RT, LLC (JJJ-RT), which in turn would invest up to \$500,000 in Regency on an as needed basis. Mr. Hess, Mr. Levine and Mr. Cohen would primarily control when any such investments were made and will control JJJ-RT. As JJJ-RT invested capital into Regency it would be entitled to purchase from Regency 10% of the equity interest from Regency for every \$100,000 invested until it owned 50% of the interests of Regency. Contribution in amount less than \$100,000 would be prorated. These investments would dilute the Company s interests in Regency.

7

Table of Contents

SENTEX SENSING TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

JJJ-RT would not be entitled to purchase any further equity interests beyond a 50% interest until the later of (a) the date the Company had another operating business or (b) an agreed-upon specified date (the Event Date). If more than \$500,000 of capital were required to be invested in Regency prior to Event Date, then JJJ-RT would have the option to invest up to \$300,000 in additional funds as a loan that would bear interest at a rate of 12% and would be secured by substantially all of the assets of Regency. After the Event Date, JJJ-RT would have the option to convert the outstanding principal amount of any such loans into equity interests of Regency and to directly invest any such uninvested balance of \$300,000 directly into Regency as equity. The rate of conversion and equity interest that could be purchased with respect to the \$300,000 would be the same rate JJJ-RT could purchase the equity with the \$500,000, but JJJ-RT would not have the right to purchase more than 80% of the equity interests from Regency for its aggregate investment of \$800,000 without further agreement from the Company. JJJ-RT may have the right to loan more funds to Regency. This proposed transaction is subject to negotiating definitive documents and the receipt of a fairness opinion. None of the Company, JJJ-RT, Mr. Hess, Mr. Levine and Mr. Cohen are legally obligated to complete this proposed transaction and there can be no assurance that it will be completed or that a transaction will be completed on these terms.

The Company will not receive any of the invested cash from JJJ-RT as a payment for its existing equity interest in Regency, and will be diluted with each sale of equity interests to JJJ-RT. The Company believes, however, that this transaction provides it the best opportunity to realize a potential return on its existing investment in light of its existing options.

Currently, we are looking for other businesses that may wish to become part of a public company, and we are focusing on leasing businesses where Mr. Kendall has had significant experience and success.

There can be no assurance that any of these transactions will be completed.

CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

New Accounting Standards In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123, Share Based Payment . SFAS No. 123R, which amends SFAS No. 123, Accounting For Stock Based Compensation, and SFAS No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. SFAS 123R requires all companies to measure compensation cost for all share-based payments at fair value, and will be effective for public companies for interim and annual periods beginning after June 15, 2005. This new standard may be adopted in one of two ways the modified prospective transition method of the modified retrospective transition method. The Company currently has no stock-based compensation plans.

In December 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003) (FIN 46R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51. FIN 46R requires certain variable interest entities, or VIEs, to be consolidated by the primary beneficiary of the entity if the equity investors in the entity do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN 46R is effective for all VIEs created or acquired after January 31, 2003. For VIEs created or acquired prior to February 1, 2003, the provisions of FIN 46 must be applied for the first interim or annual period beginning after March 15, 2004. The Company currently has no contractual relationship or other business relationship with a variable interest entity.

The adoption of the new standards did not, or is not expected to, materially affect the Company s financial position and results of operations

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR PURPOSES OF THE SAFE HARBOUR OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995.

Certain statements in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, in the Company s press releases and in oral statements made by or with the approval of an authorized executive officer of the Company constitute

forward-looking statements as that term is defined under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These

may include statements projecting, forecasting or estimating Company performance and industry trends. The achievement of the projections, forecasts or estimates is subject to certain risks and uncertainties. Actual results and events may differ materially from those projected, forecasted or estimated. The applicable risks and uncertainties include general economic and industry conditions that affect all business, as well as matters that are specific to the Company and the markets it serves.

Specific risks to the Company include an inability of the Company to finance its working capital needs. In light of this and other uncertainties, the inclusion of a forward-looking statement herein should not be regarded as a representation by the Company that the Company s plans and objectives will be achieved.

8

Table of Contents

SENTEX SENSING TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIESS MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company s Chief Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) as of the end of the period covered by this report, have concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective. There were no changes in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the Company s last fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal controls over financial reporting.

9

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized:

Date: October 14, 2005 SENTEX SENSING

TECHNOLOGY, INC.

By: /s/ Robert S. Kendall

Robert S. Kendall, Chief

Executive Officer

/s/ William R. Sprow

William R. Sprow, Chief Financial

Officer

/s/ William R. Sprow

William R. Sprow, Controller

10

Table of Contents

EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

a) Exhibit 31.1	302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
Exhibit 31.2	302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
Exhibit 32.1	Certification Pursuant To 18 U. S. C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 906 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
E-4:1-14 22 2	Cartification Discount To 19 II C. C. Saction 1250, As Adopted Discount To Section 006 Of

Exhibit 32.2 Certification Pursuant To 18 U. S. C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 906 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

b) No reports on Form 8-K were filed with the Commission during the small business issuer s third quarter.

11

tly available for issuance may be insufficient to meet the Company s future needs. The additional shares may be used for various purposes, including:

paying stock dividends or effecting stock splits;

raising capital;

providing equity incentives to employees, officers and directors;

expanding the Company s business through acquisitions; and

other general corporate purposes.

The Board of Directors has preliminarily discussed the possibility of a stock split by means of a stock dividend. However, the Company does not currently have sufficient authorized shares to effect a stock split. If proposal 2(a) is approved by the Company s stockholders, the Board may again consider a stock split in the form of a stock dividend in the near term. A decision on whether to effect a stock split is subject to many factors, including prevailing market conditions, and there can be no assurances that a stock split will occur. Except for considering the possibility of a stock split in the form of a stock dividend, the Company currently has no specific plans to issue the additional shares of common stock that would be authorized by this proposal.

11

Like the presently authorized but unissued shares of the Company's common stock, the additional shares of common stock authorized by this proposal would be available for issuance without further action by the Company's stockholders, unless further action is required by law, the rules of the New York Stock Exchange or any other stock exchange on which Company common stock may be listed in the future. The authorization of additional shares of the Company's common stock will enable the Company, as the need may arise, to take advantage of market conditions and favorable opportunities without the delay and expense associated with the holding of a special meeting of the Company's stockholders.

The Company could also use the additional shares of common stock to oppose a hostile takeover attempt or delay or prevent changes in control or management. This proposal to increase the authorized number of shares of common stock has been prompted by business and financial considerations, and not by the threat of any hostile takeover attempt (nor is the Company currently aware of any such attempts directed at it). However, stockholders should be aware that approval of this proposal could facilitate future efforts to prevent changes in control of the Board, including transactions in which stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over then current market prices.

To the extent that additional authorized shares are issued in the future, they may decrease the existing stockholders percentage equity ownership and, depending on the price at which they are issued, could be dilutive to the existing stockholders. The holders of common stock have no preemptive rights and the Board has no plans to grant such rights with respect to any such shares.

Resolution Approving the Proposed Amendment to Increase the Authorized Shares of Common Stock

The following resolution, which will be presented to the annual meeting, will adopt the proposed amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized shares of common stock:

RESOLVED, that the Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company be amended by deleting the first sentence of Article Four and substituting the following in lieu thereof:

The total number of shares of all classes of stock which the Corporation shall have authority to issue is 149,000,000 shares, consisting solely of (i) 144,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share (the Common Stock), and (ii) 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share (the Preferred Stock).

Proposal 2(b): Removal of Article Six Relating to Oil and Gas Opportunities

Article Six of the Company s Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation provides that certain principal stockholders of the Company and their affiliates may pursue business opportunities related to or competitive with the Company s business of acquiring, developing and exploiting North American oil and natural gas reserves. In addition, as allowed by the Delaware General Corporation Law, the Company in Article Six renounces any interest or expectation in any oil and natural gas opportunities known to a principal stockholder or its affiliates.

Article Six defines principal stockholders as Warburg, Pincus Equity Partners L.P., J.P. Morgan Partners (SBIC), LLC, Natural Gas Partners V, L.P. and First Union Capital Partners, Inc. These entities were early investors in the Company and have reduced or disposed of all or substantially all of their ownership interests in the Company. Under Delaware law, directors, officers and controlling stockholders of a corporation may not appropriate a corporate opportunity belonging to the corporation unless the corporation provides otherwise in its certificate of incorporation or by board resolution. For this reason, the Company believes it is no longer necessary to include provisions governing corporate opportunities in the Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation. The removal of Article Six may make it less desirable for one of the principal stockholders or its affiliates to acquire a significant interest in the Company in the future. No change will occur to the rights and privileges afforded to the Company s stockholders, other than the principal stockholders, under the current Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.

Resolution Approving the Proposed Amendment to Delete Article Six Relating to Oil and Gas Opportunities

The following resolution, which will be presented to the annual meeting, will adopt the proposed amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to delete Article Six relating to oil and gas opportunities:

RESOLVED, that the Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company be amended by deleting Article Six thereof in its entirety.

Required Vote

The approval of proposal 2(a) and proposal 2(b) each require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock outstanding on the record date. For this reason, abstentions and broker non-votes will effectively count as a vote against the proposal.

Effectiveness of Amendments

If either or both of the amendments to the Company s Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation are approved by the Company s stockholders, such amendment or amendments, as the case may be, will become effective when the Company s files a certificate of amendment with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware.

Board Recommendation

The Board recommends that stockholders vote FOR the amendments of the Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.

PROPOSAL NO. 3 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit the Company s consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2005 and the Company s internal controls over financial reporting. During fiscal 2004, Ernst & Young LLP served as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm and also provided certain tax and other audit-related services. See Principal Accountant Fees and Services on page 24. Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to attend the annual meeting, where they will be available to respond to appropriate questions and, if they desire, to make a statement.

Required Vote

Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2005 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal at the annual meeting. If the appointment is not ratified, the Board will consider whether it should select another independent registered public accounting firm.

Board Recommendation

Our Board recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the 2005 fiscal year.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information as of March 15, 2005, regarding the ownership of the Company s common stock by:

all persons known by the Company to be beneficial owners of more than five percent of the Company s stock; each director nominee;

each of the Company s executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table below; and

all directors and named executive officers of the Company as a group.

Unless otherwise noted, the persons named below have sole voting and investment power with respect to such shares.

	Shares Beneficially	Percent of
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Owned(1)(2)	Class
FMR Corp.(3)	4,083,072	12.4
82 Devonshire Street		
Boston, Massachusetts 02109		
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc.(4)	3,232,532	9.8
100 East Pratt Street		
Baltimore, Maryland 21202		
Baron Capital Group, Inc.(5)	2,925,350	8.9
767 Fifth Avenue		
New York, New York 10153		
Wellington Management Company, LLP(6)	1,744,300	5.3
75 State Street		
Boston, Massachusetts 02109		
I. Jon Brumley(7)	1,972,540	6.0
Jon S. Brumley	470,340	1.4
Robert S. Jacobs	93,391	*
Thomas H. Olle	32,001	*
Roy W. Jageman	28,885	*
Martin C. Bowen	1,667	*
Ted Collins, Jr.	7,000	*
Ted A. Gardner	5,000	*
John V. Genova	1,667	*
Howard H. Newman	583	*
James A. Winne III	7,000	*
Ronald Baron(5)	2,925,350	8.9
All directors and named executive officers as a group (11 persons)	2,620,074	7.9

^{*} Less than 1%.

⁽¹⁾ Includes common stock for which the indicated owner has sole or shared voting or investment power.

Includes options that are or become exercisable within 60 days of March 15, 2005 as follows: Mr. I. Jon Brumley (148,382), Mr. Jon S. Brumley (132,021), Mr. Olle (16,881), Mr. Jageman (14,852), Mr. Jacobs (10,289), Mr. Gardner (5,000), Mr. Collins (7,000), Mr. Winne (7,000), Mr. Bowen (1,667) and Mr. Genova (1,667), and all directors and named executive officers as a group (344,759) upon the exercise of stock options granted pursuant to the Company s 2000 Incentive Stock Plan.

(3) Based on an amendment to Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2005 by FMR Corp., Edward C. Johnson 3d, chairman of FMR Corp. and Abigail P.

14

Johnson, a director of FMR Corp. Such filing indicates that FMR Corp. has sole voting power with respect to 824,200 shares and that FMR Corp., Edward C. Johnson 3d and Abigail P. Johnson each have sole dispositive power with respect to 4,083,072 shares. Fidelity Management & Research Company, an investment advisor and wholly owned subsidiary of FMR Corp. (Fidelity), is the beneficial owner of 3,258,872 shares as a result of acting as investment adviser to various investment companies. Fidelity Management Trust Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of FMR Corp., is the beneficial owner of 824,200 shares as a result of its serving as investment manager of the institutional account(s). Members of the Johnson family, including Edward C. Johnson 3d and Abigail P. Johnson, are the predominant owners of Class B shares of common stock of FMR Corp., representing approximately 49% of the voting power of FMR Corp.

- (4) Based on an amendment to Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2005 by T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (Price Associates). Such filing indicates that Price Associates has sole voting power with respect to 964,817 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 3,232,532 shares. These securities are owned by various individual and institutional investors which Price Associates serves as investment advisor with power to direct investments and/or sole power to vote the securities. For purposes of the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Price Associates is deemed to be a beneficial owner of such securities; however, Price Associates expressly disclaims that it is, in fact, the beneficial owner of such securities.
- (5) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 15, 2005 by Baron Capital Group, Inc. (BCG), BAMCO, Inc., an investment advisor (BAMCO), Baron Capital Management, Inc., an investment advisor (BCM), Baron Growth Fund, a registered investment company (BGF), and Ronald Baron. Such filing indicates that (i) each of BCG and Ronald Baron has shared voting power with respect to 2,688,350 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 2,925,350 shares, (ii) BAMCO has shared voting power with respect to 2,508,000 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 2,738,000 shares, (iii) BCM has shared voting power with respect to 180,350 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 187,350 shares, and (iv) BCF has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 2,325,000 shares. BAMCO and BCM are subsidiaries of BCG. Ronald Baron owns a controlling interest in BCG. By virtue of investment advisory agreements with their respective clients, BAMCO and BCM have been given the discretion to direct the disposition of the securities in the advisory accounts. BCG and Ronald Baron disclaim beneficial ownership of shares held by their controlled entities (or the investment advisory clients thereof) to the extent such shares are held by persons other than BCG and Ronald Baron. BAMCO and BCM disclaim beneficial ownership of shares held by their investment advisory clients to the extent such shares are held by persons other than BCG and Ronald Baron. BAMCO and BCM disclaim beneficial ownership of shares held by their investment advisory clients to the extent such shares are held by persons other than BCG. BCM and their affiliates.
- (6) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2005 by Wellington Management Company, LLP, an investment advisor (WMC). Such filing indicates that WMC has shared voting power with respect to 1,485,100 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 1,744,300 shares. WMC, in its capacity as investment advisor, may be deemed to beneficially own 1,744,300 shares which are held of record by clients of WMC. Those clients have the right to receive, or the power to direct the receipt of, dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of, such securities.
- (7) Mr. Brumley directly owns 21,529 shares. Two limited partnerships own a total of 1,697,848 shares. Mr. Brumley is the sole officer, director and shareholder of the corporation that is the sole general partner of each of the partnerships. Accordingly, Mr. Brumley has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to the shares owned by these partnerships. Furthermore, Mr. Brumley has the power to vote or to direct the vote of 104,781 shares of restricted common stock. Mr. Brumley is also deemed to beneficially own 148,382 shares of common stock that may be acquired upon the exercise of options that were or would have become exercisable within 60 days of March 15, 2005.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors, executive officers and holders of more than 10% of the Company s common stock to file with the Securities and

Exchange Commission reports regarding their ownership and changes in ownership of our securities. The Company believes that, during fiscal 2004, its directors, executive officers and 10% stockholders complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements. In making these statements, the Company has relied upon examination of the copies of Forms 3, 4 and 5, and amendments thereto, provided to the Company and the written representations of its directors, executive officers and 10% stockholders.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The Company s executive officers serve at the discretion of the Board. Information regarding the business experience of each of the Company s executive officers is provided below.

I. Jon Brumley, age 67, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Please read page 8 for information regarding Mr. I. Jon Brumley s business experience.

Jon S. Brumley, age 34, President

Please read page 8 for information regarding Mr. Jon S. Brumley s business experience.

Roy W. Jageman, age 37, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Corporate Secretary

Mr. Jageman has been Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Company since November 2003 and Corporate Secretary since February 2004. Mr. Jageman was a member of the corporate finance group of Simmons & Company International (an independent investment bank specializing in the energy industry) from May 1999 to November 2003. Prior to joining Simmons & Company International, he was a member of the energy investment banking and equity capital markets groups of Lehman Brothers Inc. from July 1994 to April 1999. Mr. Jageman received a B.B.A. in Finance from The University of Texas at Austin.

Thomas H. Olle, age 50, Senior Vice President Asset Management

Mr. Olle has been Senior Vice President Asset Management since February 2005. Mr. Olle was Senior Vice President, Asset Management of the Cedar Creek Anticline from April 2003 to February 2005. Mr. Olle joined the Company in March 2002 as Vice President of Engineering. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Olle served as Senior Engineering Advisor of Burlington Resources, Inc. (an independent oil and gas company) from September 1999 to March 2002. From July 1986 to September 1999, he served as a Regional Engineer of Burlington Resources. Mr. Olle received a Bachelor of Science degree with Highest Honors in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Texas at Austin.

Robert S. Jacobs, age 43, Senior Vice President Business Development and Planning

Mr. Jacobs has been the Senior Vice President Business Development and Planning of the Company since April 2003. Mr. Jacobs was a Senior Geologist at the Company from July 1998 until August 1999, Vice President Geology of the Company from August 1999 until September 2001 and Senior Vice President Asset Management of the Company from September 2001 until April 2003. Mr. Jacobs worked as an exploration and development geologist for Bass Enterprises Production Company (an independent oil and gas company) from 1986 until joining the Company in 1998. He received his Bachelor of Science in Geology from Duke University and his Master of Science in Geology from North Carolina State University. Mr. Jacobs is a Certified Petroleum Geologist.

Donald P. Gann, Jr., age 44, Senior Vice President Operations

Mr. Gann has been the Senior Vice President Operations of the Company since April 2003. Mr. Gann was a Senior Engineer at the Company from August 1998 until June 1999, a Production Manager at the Company from June 1999 until January 2001, Vice President Production of the Company from January

2001 until February 2002 and Senior Vice President Production of the Company from February 2002 to April 2003. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Gann was a Senior Engineer at Mitchell Energy Corporation (an independent oil and gas company) from July 1984 until August 1998. Mr. Gann received a Bachelor of Science in Petroleum Engineering from the University of Texas at Austin and is a Registered Professional Engineer.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes the total compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to the chief executive officer and to the next four most highly compensated executive officers for the periods indicated:

Summary Compensation Table

Long-Term Compensation

	Annual Compensation		nsation	Restricted	Securities	All Other		
				Stock Awards	Underlying Options	Compensation		
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	(\$)(a)	(#)(b)(c)	(\$)(d)		
I. Jon Brumley Chairman and Chief	2004	421,875	700,000	2,100,505		12,300		
Executive	2003	400,000	450,000	728,500	62,241	12,000		
Officer	2002	375,000	337,500	441,750	87,096	12,000		
Jon S. Brumley	2004	306,875	450,000	899,932	20,180	12,300		
President	2003	285,000	275,000	267,100	45,643	12,000		
	2002	220,000	150,000	199,280	38,710	11,000		
Roy W. Jageman(e) Executive Vice	2004	244,375	160,000	318,560	7,175	12,300		
President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and	2003	30,000	175,000	155,410	26,556			
Corporate Secretary								
Thomas H. Olle(f)	2004	203,125	150,000	300,641	6,700	12,300		
Senior Vice President	2003	181,250	125,000	121,400	20,747	10,875		
Asset Management	2002	116,667	90,000	53,140	20,332	5,670		
Robert S. Jacobs	2004	204,375	125,000	250,070	5,600	12,300		
Senior Vice President	2003	192,500	120,000	116,600	19,917	11,550		
Business Development and Planning	2002	161,000	60,000	79,701	15,433	6,572		

⁽a) The value of the restricted stock awards is based on the price of the common stock as of the date of grant. At December 31, 2004, Mr. I. Jon Brumley held 52,031 shares of restricted stock with a value of \$1,816,402; Mr. Jon S. Brumley held 21,084 shares of restricted stock with a value of \$736,042; Mr. Jageman held 6,033 shares of restricted stock with a value of \$210,612; Mr. Olle held 7,570 shares of restricted stock with a value of \$264,269; and Mr. Jacobs held 8,810 shares of restricted stock with a value of \$307,557. Each restricted stock award vests in three equal installments beginning on the third anniversary of the date of grant, subject to the achievement of performance objectives and to earlier vesting on a change in control or the termination of the employee s employment due to death or disability and to such other terms as are set forth in the award agreement.

- Holders of restricted stock have the right to vote and to receive dividends paid with respect to shares of restricted stock.
- (b) Securities Underlying Options represent options to purchase shares of the Company s common stock. The 2000 Incentive Stock Plan provides for employee and non-employee director awards in the form of stock options and restricted stock.
- (c) Stock option awards listed for 2004 were granted on February 14, 2005 as compensation for fiscal year 2004. The options were granted at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. For fiscal year 2002 and prior years, annual stock option awards were granted to executive officers in the fourth quarter of each year. Starting with fiscal year 2003, stock option awards were granted in the first quarter of the following year. As a result, no stock options were granted to the named executive officers in fiscal year 2003. However, the stock option awards granted to the named

17

executive officers in February 2004, as compensation for performance in 2003, are listed as compensation for 2003.

- (d) Represents contributions to the Company s 401(k) Plan for each named officer in 2004, 2003 and 2002.
- (e) Mr. Jageman joined the Company in November 2003.
- (f) Mr. Olle joined the Company in March 2002.

The following table contains information with respect to the grant of stock options under the Company s 2000 Incentive Stock Plan to the named executive officers in 2004:

Option/ SAR Grants in Last Fiscal Year

Individual Grants

					Potential Real	ized Value at
	Number of	Percent of Total			Assumed Ann	
	Securities	Options/SARs			Stock Price Ap	preciation for
	Underlying	Granted to	Exercise or		Option	Term
	Options/SARs	Employees in	Base Price			
Name	Granted (#)(a)	Fiscal Year (%)	(\$/share)	Expiration Date	5% (\$)	10% (\$)
I. Jon Brumley	62,241	26.7	25.76	02/10/2014	1,008,324	2,555,292
Jon S. Brumley	45,643	19.4	25.76	02/10/2014	739,431	1,873,865
Roy W.						
Jageman	26,556	11.3	25.76	02/10/2014	430,216	1,090,251
Thomas H. Olle	20,747	8.8	25.76	02/10/2014	336,108	851,764
Robert S. Jacobs	s 19,917	8.5	25.76	02/10/2014	322,662	817,689

(a) The options vest and become exercisable in three equal installments beginning on February 10, 2005.

Aggregated Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year End Option Values

The following table sets forth information concerning the exercise of stock options during 2004 by each named executive officer and the value of unexercised stock options as of December 31, 2004:

	Common Stock Acquired		Comm Undo Unexerc	of Shares of on Stock erlying ised Stock 12/31/04 (#)	Money Co	xercised In-the- mmon Stock 12/31/04 (\$)(a)
Name	on Exercise	Value Realized (\$)	Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable
I. Jon Brumley Jon S. Brumley	32,000	509,160	137,064 116,807	91,273 58,546	2,654,914 2,379,717	1,043,017 628,087

Roy W. Jageman			6,000	38,556	87,120	417,227
Thomas H. Olle			13,548	27,521	251,368	315,519
Robert S. Jacobs	50,000	612,000	10,289	25,061	167,808	266,145

(a) Computed based on the difference between the option exercise price and \$34.91 (the closing price of the common stock at December 31, 2004).

Change in Control Arrangements

On February 11, 2003, the Board adopted the Employee Severance Protection Plan, which provides employees of the Company with severance payments and benefits upon certain terminations of employment occurring from 90 days prior to until two years following a Change in Control (as described below) of the Company. If during such time period, a named executive officer is involuntarily terminated by the Company other than for cause or he resigns for Good Reason (as described below), the officer will receive a cash amount equal to twice his annual salary and bonus, continued insurance coverage for up to 36 months, and the automatic vesting of all his stock options and restricted stock. The Company would also be obligated to pay an

additional amount to gross up the amount, if any, of excise and related income tax payable by the officer under the golden parachute provisions of the Internal Revenue Code in order for the officer to be able to retain the full amount due under the severance plan.

Generally, a Change in Control occurs upon (1) the acquisition by a party of 40% or more of the voting securities of the Company unless the party owned 20% prior to February 11, 2003; (2) a majority of the Board no longer consists of persons who were Board members on February 11, 2002 or persons appointed to the Board by those members; (3) approval by the stockholders of the Company of a complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company; or (4) approval by the stockholders of the Company of a reorganization, merger, share exchange, consolidation or a sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company, unless more than 60% of the voting securities of the new entity are held by persons who were stockholders of the Company.

A resignation for Good Reason occurs when an officer resigns as a result of a reduction in his titles, duties, responsibilities, compensation level or the relocation of his place of employment.

Retirement Plan

The Company makes contributions to the Encore Acquisition Company 401(k) Plan, which is a voluntary and contributory plan for eligible employees. The Company s contributions, which are based on a percentage of matching employee contributions, totaled approximately \$0.8 million in 2004, \$0.5 million in 2003, and \$0.5 million in 2002.

Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation

The Company s executive compensation program is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board (the Compensation Committee). The Compensation Committee is composed entirely of independent directors. The specific duties and responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are described above under Board Structure and Committee Composition Committee and in the charter of the Compensation Committee, which is available on the Corporate Governance section of the Company s website at www.encoreacq.com.

The Compensation Committee meets each February to establish base salaries for the then-current fiscal year, to set cash bonuses and award equity-based compensation in respect of Company and executive performance during the preceding fiscal year and to review and, as appropriate, make changes to the Company s executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee also acts by written consent when necessary and appropriate. For the past two years, the Compensation Committee has engaged an outside compensation consulting firm to assist the Compensation Committee in its review of the compensation for the executive officers.

The Compensation Committee has furnished the following report on executive compensation for fiscal 2004.

Executive Compensation Philosophy

In establishing executive compensation, the Company believes that:

base salaries should be at levels competitive with peer group companies that compete with the Company for business opportunities and executive talent;

annual cash bonuses, stock option awards and restricted stock awards should reflect progress toward the Company s goals and individual performance; and

the Company should encourage significant executive stock ownership through stock options and restricted stock awards.

Purpose of the Executive Compensation Program

The Company s executive compensation program has been designed to accomplish the following long-term objectives:

create a proper balance between building stockholder wealth and executive wealth while maintaining good corporate governance;

produce long-term, positive results for the Company s stockholders;

align executive compensation with Company performance and appropriate peer group comparisons;

provide market-competitive compensation and benefits that will enable the Company to attract and retain a talented workforce; and

prevent short-term manipulation to the extent possible.

Elements of Compensation

The Company s executive compensation program consists of (1) base salaries, (2) annual incentive compensation consisting of cash bonuses, stock option awards and restricted stock awards and (3) contributions to the Company s 401(k) retirement plan. In setting executive compensation, the Compensation Committee considers the aggregate compensation payable to an executive and the form of the compensation. The Compensation Committee pays base salaries at levels it believes are competitive with peer group companies (described below). In general, an executive s annual incentive compensation consists of approximately 25% cash (in the form of an annual cash bonus), 50% restricted stock and 25% stock options, although the mix of restricted stock and stock options may vary. The Compensation Committee believes that making approximately 75% of an executive s annual incentive compensation contingent on long-term stock price performance more closely aligns the executive s interests with those of the Company s stockholders.

The Compensation Committee evaluates the executive compensation programs and practices for the Company s executive officers against an industry peer group in order to achieve a competitive level of compensation. Overall, the Company targets total compensation for its executive officers at between the 50th and 75th percentiles of total compensation for similar positions in the peer group, although actual total compensation may be lower than the 50th percentile or higher than the 75th percentile based on individual performance and experience and Company performance and other factors. The companies chosen by the Compensation Committee for the peer group generally are not the same companies that comprise the Independent Oil and Gas Index shown in the Stock Performance Graph included in this proxy statement. The peer group companies for executive compensation purposes represent oil and gas companies of comparable size to the Company, including companies that compete with the Company both for business opportunities and for executive talent, while the Independent Oil and Gas Index is an amalgamation of many companies designed simply to provide a market barometer for an entire sector s performance.

The Company s executive compensation program contains performance-based goals relating to, among other things, the following:

budgeted oil and natural gas production;

rates of return on invested capital;

finding and development costs;

efficiency ratios (defined as EBITDA divided by three year finding and development costs); and

reserve replacement.

For 2004, the Company met or exceeded the performance-based goals. In addition to specific performance-based goals, the Company also considered the following factors, among others, when establishing 2004 compensation:

the Company s success in integrating acquisitions;

implementation of the Company s development program, including results of the Company s high-pressure air injection project;

the Company s safety record;

accomplishments of the Company s land, acquisition, finance and accounting groups;

the Company s financial performance; and

the Company s compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The Compensation Committee evaluates Company performance in light of oil and natural gas industry fundamentals and assesses how effectively management adapts to changing industry conditions and opportunities during the year in preparing itself to capitalize on opportunities in the future.

Base Salaries. An executive s base salary is viewed as a component of total compensation that should be competitive with base salaries of the peer group. The Compensation Committee evaluates the base salaries of the Company s executive officers on the basis of competitive base salary data and consideration of each officer s duties and responsibilities. The Compensation Committee views the named executive officers that report to the CEO as a team with diverse duties, but shared corporate results and goals.

Annual Cash Bonuses. An executive s annual cash bonus is set at a level intended to result in approximately 25% of the executive s total annual incentive compensation being paid in cash. Annual cash bonuses reflect progress toward the Company s goals and individual performance.

Stock Option Awards and Restricted Stock Awards. The Compensation Committee makes stock option and restricted stock awards in amounts intended to result in approximately 75% of the executive s total annual incentive compensation being paid in stock. The Compensation Committee believes that making approximately 75% of an executive s compensation contingent on long-term stock price performance more closely aligns the executive s interests with those of the Company s stockholders. Like cash bonuses, stock options and restricted stock awards reflect progress toward the Company s goals and individual performance.

Stock options vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant, subject to earlier vesting on a change in control or the termination of an employee s employment due to death or disability and to such other terms as are set forth in the award agreement. Restricted stock awards vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the third anniversary of the date of grant, subject to the achievement of performance objectives (achievement of reserve replacement or finding and development cost goals) and to earlier vesting on a change in control or the termination of an employee s employment due to death or disability and to such other terms as are set forth in the award agreement.

Chief Executive Officer Compensation

Compensation for Mr. I. Jon Brumley, the Company s Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, is determined in the same manner set forth above with regard to named executive officers generally. In February 2005, the Compensation Committee increased Mr. Brumley s annual base salary from \$425,000 to \$500,000 (effective March 1, 2005) after consideration of historical and expected future performance and competitive market data. With respect to Mr. Brumley s annual incentive compensation for 2004, the Compensation Committee evaluated the factors described above and granted Mr. Brumley an annual cash bonus of \$700,000 and 52,750 shares of restricted stock (with a value of approximately \$2.1 million on the date of grant).

Stock Ownership Guidelines

In February 2005, the Compensation Committee adopted stock ownership guidelines that require each executive officer (and certain other members of management) to own shares of the Company s common stock with a value at least equal to such person s base salary. Until this guideline is achieved, the executive officer (or other member of management) will be required to retain at least 25% of his or her restricted stock for a period of two years after vesting. The Company s stock ownership guidelines are designed to increase executive s equity stakes in the Company and to align executives interests more closely with those of the Company s stockholders.

Corporate Tax Deduction on Compensation In Excess of \$1 Million a Year

Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), generally disallows a tax deduction to public companies for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the CEO or any of the four other most highly compensated officers. Performance-based compensation arrangements may qualify for an exemption from the deduction limit if they satisfy various requirements under Section 162(m). Although the Company considers the impact of this rule when developing and implementing the Company s executive compensation program, the Company believes that it is important to preserve flexibility in designing compensation programs. Accordingly, the Company has not adopted a policy that all compensation must qualify as deductible under Section 162(m). While the Company s restricted stock and stock option awards are intended to qualify as performance-based (as defined in the Code), amounts paid under the Company s other compensation programs may not qualify.

Compensation Committee of the Board

James A. Winne III, Chairman Ted Collins, Jr. Howard H. Newman

STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph compares the Company s cumulative total stockholder return during the period from March 9, 2001 (the date of the Company s initial public offering) to December 31, 2004 with total stockholder return during the same period for the Independent Oil and Gas Index and the Standard & Poor s 500 Index. The graph assumes that \$100 was invested in the Company s common stock and each index on March 9, 2001 and that all dividends were reinvested.

Comparison of Total Return Since March 9, 2001 Among Encore Acquisition Company, the Standard & Poor s 500 Index, and the Independent Oil and Gas Index

	C	3/09/2001	1	2/31/2001	1	2/31/2002	1	2/31/2003	1	2/31/2004
Encore Acquisition Company	\$	100.00	\$	91.50	\$	126.62	\$	169.45	\$	239.98
S&P 500	\$	100.00	\$	93.08	\$	71.33	\$	90.15	\$	98.26
Independent Oil & Gas Index	\$	100.00	\$	87.88	\$	90.28	\$	135.47	\$	177.61

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee assists the Board in overseeing (1) the integrity of the Company s financial statements; (2) the Company s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; (3) the independence, qualifications and performance of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm; and (4) the Company s performance of its internal audit function.

The Company s management has primary responsibility for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company s consolidated financial statements, accounting and financial reporting principles, and internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The Company s independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company s consolidated financial statements and expressing an opinion on the conformity of the Company s audited consolidated financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

In performing its oversight role, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements with management and the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, Communication with Audit Committees, as currently in effect. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, Independence Discussions with Audit Committees, as currently in effect. The Audit Committee has also considered whether the performance of other non-audit services by the independent registered public accounting firm is compatible with maintaining the auditors independence and has discussed with the auditors the auditors independence.

Based on the reports and discussions described in this report, and subject to the limitations on the roles and responsibilities of the Audit Committee referred to in this report and in its charter, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Audit Committee of the Board

Ted A. Gardner, Chairman Martin C. Bowen John V. Genova

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The Audit Committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2005. Stockholders are being asked to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP at the annual meeting pursuant to Proposal No. 3. Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at the annual meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Fees Incurred by the Company for Services Provided by Ernst & Young LLP

The following table shows the fees paid or accrued by the Company for the audit and other services provided by Ernst & Young LLP for fiscal 2004 and 2003.

	Year Ended December 31,						
		2004		2003			
Audit Fees(1) Audit-Related Fees(2) Tax Fees(3) All Other Fees	\$	413,266 55,528 200,465	\$	207,935 139,653			
Total	\$	669,259	\$	347,588			

- (1) Audit fees represent fees for professional services provided in connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements and review of our quarterly consolidated financial statements and audit services provided in connection with filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including comfort letters, consents and comment letters.
- (2) Audit-related fees consisted of services related to business acquisitions.

(3) For fiscal 2004 and 2003, respectively, tax fees included tax compliance fees of \$120,000 and \$47,500, and tax advice and tax planning fees of \$80,465 and \$92,153.

Audit Committee s Pre-Approval Policy and Procedures

The Audit Committee s policy is to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. Pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or category of service and is subject to a specific approval. The Audit Committee requires the independent registered public accounting firm and management to report on the actual fees charged for each category of service at Audit Committee meetings throughout the year.

During the year, circumstances may arise when it may become necessary to engage the independent registered public accounting firm for additional services not contemplated in the original pre-approval. In those instances, the Audit Committee requires specific pre-approval before engaging the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee has delegated pre-approval authority to the Chairman of the Audit Committee for those instances when pre-approval is needed prior to a scheduled Audit Committee meeting. The Chairman of the Audit Committee must report on such approvals at the next scheduled Audit Committee meeting.

All fiscal year 2004 audit and non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm were pre-approved.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

In October 2004, the Board approved indemnity agreements between the Company and each of its officers and directors. The indemnity agreements provide for indemnification by the Company of each indemnitee to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law for claims relating to the indemnitee s service as an officer or director, excluding any claim in which a judgment determines that the indemnitee personally gained financial profit or other advantage to which he was not legally entitled and acted in bad faith or was deliberately dishonest in a manner that was material to the claim. The agreements also provide for advancement of expenses relating to the indemnification obligations and obligate the Company to purchase and maintain liability insurance for each indemnitee s acts as an officer or director.

The Company and Mr. I. Jon Brumley and Mr. Jon S. Brumley (collectively, the rights holders) are parties to a registration rights agreement dated as of August 18, 1998 that provides the rights holders with registration rights with respect to shares of the Company s common stock held by them. To date, none of the rights holders has effected a registration of securities. The Company is required under the registration rights agreement to pay for the offering costs for the registrations.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Advance Notice Procedures for Director Nominees

For director nominations by a stockholder to be properly made at the Company s annual meeting of stockholders, stockholders must also comply with Section 2.14 of the Company s Second Amended and Restated By-Laws. Under Section 2.14, a stockholder must submit to the Company, on a timely basis, a written notice setting forth:

as to each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election or reelection as a director all information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors in an election contest, or is otherwise required, in each case pursuant to Schedule 14A under the Exchange Act and Rule 14a-11 thereunder (including such person s written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a director if elected), and

as to the stockholder giving the notice and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination is made (1) the name and address of such stockholder, as they appear on the Company s books, and of such beneficial owner and (2) the class or series and number of shares of the Company which are owned beneficially and of record by such stockholder and such beneficial owner.

For nominations to be properly made at an annual meeting by a stockholder, the stockholder must have given timely notice thereof in writing to the Corporate Secretary of the Company at the Company's principal executive offices not more than 120 days and not less than 90 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting. However, if the date of the annual meeting is more than 30 days before or more than 90 days after the anniversary date of the preceding year's annual meeting, then to be timely the notice by the stockholder must be delivered not more than 120 days and not less than 90 days prior to the annual meeting or the 10th day on following the day on which public announcement of the date of the annual meeting is first made by the Company. These requirements are separate from and in addition to the SEC's requirements that a stockholder must meet in order to have a stockholder proposal included in the Company's proxy statement.

With respect to the 2006 annual meeting, a stockholder s written notice must be received by the Company not earlier than January 3, 2006 and not later than February 2, 2006. Director nominations should be sent to Corporate Secretary, Encore Acquisition Company, 777 Main Street, Suite 1400, Fort Worth, Texas 76102. The Company recommends that any such proposal be sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.

Rule 14a-8 Stockholder Proposals

Any stockholder of the Company who desires to submit a proposal for inclusion in the Company s proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders in 2006 may do so by following the procedures prescribed in Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act. To be eligible for inclusion, stockholder proposals must be received by the Company s Corporate Secretary no later than December 5, 2005. Proposals should be sent to Corporate Secretary, Encore Acquisition Company, 777 Main Street, Suite 1400, Fort Worth, Texas 76102. The Company recommends that any such proposal be sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.

Non-Rule 14a-8 Stockholder Proposals

If a stockholder notifies the Company after February 18, 2006 of an intent to present a proposal at the annual meeting of stockholders in 2006, the Company will have the right to exercise its discretionary voting authority with respect to such proposal without including information regarding such proposal in its proxy materials. Discretionary voting authority is the ability to vote proxies that stockholders have executed and returned to the Company, on matters not specifically reflected in the Company s proxy materials, and on which stockholders have not had an opportunity to vote by proxy. Proposals should be sent to Corporate Secretary, Encore Acquisition Company, 777 Main Street, Suite 1400, Fort Worth, Texas 76102. The Company recommends that any such proposal be sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.

SOLICITATION OF PROXIES

Solicitation of proxies may be made by mail, personal interview, telephone or other means by officers, directors and regular employees of the Company for which they shall receive no compensation in addition to their normal compensation. The Company may also request banking institutions, brokerage firms, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward solicitation material to the beneficial owners of the common stock that those companies or persons hold of record. The Company will reimburse the forwarding expenses of any institution that performs this service. The Company has engaged its transfer agent, Mellon Investor Services, to assist it in the production of proxy cards and envelopes, the mailing of proxy materials and the tabulation of proxy votes. The Company will reimburse Mellon Investor Services for its costs, which are not expected to exceed \$10,000.

STOCKHOLDER LIST

The Company will maintain at its corporate offices in Fort Worth, Texas a list of the stockholders entitled to vote at the annual meeting. During the ten days before the annual meeting, any stockholder may examine the list at the Fort Worth office during normal business hours.

ANNUAL REPORT

The Company s Annual Report to Stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 is being mailed to stockholders concurrently with this proxy statement. A copy of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, will be sent to any stockholder without charge upon request. Forward written requests to Investor Relations, Encore Acquisition Company, 777 Main Street, Suite 1400, Fort Worth, Texas 76102. Oral requests may be requested at telephone number (817) 877-9955. The Annual Report on Form 10-K is also available on the SEC s website (www.sec.gov) and the Company s website (www.encoreacq.com).

HOUSEHOLDING

The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries such as brokers to satisfy delivery requirements for proxy statements with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single proxy statement addressed to those shareholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as householding, potentially provides extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies. Some brokers household proxy materials, delivering a single proxy statement to multiple shareholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected shareholders. Once you have received notice from your broker that they will be householding materials to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate proxy statement, or if you are receiving multiple copies of the proxy statement and wish to receive only one, please notify your broker.

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT PROXIES BE RETURNED PROMPTLY. WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON, YOU ARE URGED TO COMPLETE, SIGN, AND RETURN THE PROXY IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE-PAID, ADDRESSED ENVELOPE OR TO VOTE THROUGH THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Roy W. Jageman *Corporate Secretary*

Fort Worth, Texas April 4, 2005

27

ANNEX A

ENCORE ACQUISITION COMPANY AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER

The Audit Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors to assist the Board of Directors of Encore Acquisition Company (the Company) in overseeing (1) the integrity of the financial statements of the Company, (2) the compliance by the Company with legal and regulatory requirements, (3) the independence, qualifications and performance of the Company s independent auditors and (4) the performance of the Company s internal audit function. Pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Audit Committee shall be directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of any registered public accounting firm engaged for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company (any such firm is referred to in this Charter as the Company s independent auditors). The Audit Committee shall have and may exercise all the powers of the Board of Directors, except as may be prohibited by law, with respect to all matters encompassed by this Charter, and shall have all the power and authority required under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The Audit Committee shall be appointed by the Board of Directors and shall consist of not less than three members of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors shall also elect a chairman of the Audit Committee. The Board of Directors intends that the members of the Audit Committee meet the independence, expertise, experience and financial literacy requirements of the New York Stock Exchange, Section 10A(m)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the rules and regulations of the SEC. The Company will seek to have at least one member of the Audit Committee who is an audit committee financial expert as defined by Item 401(h)(2) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC. Unless otherwise determined by the Board of Directors, no member of the Audit Committee shall simultaneously serve on the audit committees of more than two other public companies.

The independent auditors of the Company are ultimately accountable to the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors, as opposed to management of the Company. The Audit Committee shall have the sole authority to appoint and, where appropriate, replace the Company s independent auditors and to approve all audit engagement fees and terms. The Audit Committee shall be directly responsible for the compensation and oversight of the work of the independent auditors (including resolution of disagreements between management and the independent auditors regarding financial reporting) for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or related work or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company. The independent auditors shall report directly to the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee shall preapprove all audit, review or attest engagements, internal control-related services and permissible non-audit services, including the fees and terms thereof, to be performed by the independent auditors, subject to, and in compliance with, the *de minimis* exception for non-audit services described in Section 10A(i)(1)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC.

The Audit Committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees consisting of one or more members when appropriate, including the authority to grant preapprovals of audit and permissible non-audit services. The Audit Committee also may delegate such preapproval authority to any of its members. Any decisions of such subcommittees or members to grant preapprovals shall be reported to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

The Audit Committee, to the extent it deems necessary or appropriate, shall:

Financial Statement and Disclosure Matters

Review and discuss with management and the independent auditors the annual audited financial statements, as well as the specific disclosures made in management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Recommend to the Board of Directors whether the Company s annual audited financial statements and accompanying notes should be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Review and discuss with management, the internal auditors and the independent auditors the Company s annual report on internal control over financial reporting and the independent auditors attestation of the report prior to the filing of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Prepare and approve the audit committee report as required by the SEC to be included in the Company s proxy statement for the annual meeting (or in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K if required to be included therein).

Review and discuss with management and the independent auditors the Company s quarterly financial statements, as well as the specific disclosures made in management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, prior to the filing of the Company s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, including the results of the independent auditors reviews of the Company s quarterly financial statements.

Review and discuss with management and the independent auditors:

Major issues regarding accounting principles and financial statement presentations, including any significant changes in the selection or application of accounting principles, any major issues concerning the adequacy of the Company s internal controls, any special audit steps adopted in light of material control deficiencies and the adequacy of disclosures about changes in internal control over financial reporting.

Analyses prepared by management and/or the independent auditors setting forth significant financial reporting issues and judgments made in connection with the preparation of the Company s financial statements, including analyses of the effects of alternative methods of generally accepted accounting principles on the financial statements.

Review and discuss reports from the independent auditors on:

All critical accounting policies and practices to be used.

All alternative treatments of financial information within generally accepted accounting principles that have been discussed with management, including (1) ramifications of the use of such alternative disclosures and treatments and (2) the treatment preferred by the independent auditors.

Other material written communications between the independent auditors and management, such as any management letters or schedules of unadjusted differences.

Discuss with management the Company s earnings press releases, with particular emphasis on the use of any non-GAAP financial measures, as well as financial information and earnings guidance provided to analysts and rating agencies. Such discussion may be done generally (covering, for example, the types of information to be disclosed and the type of presentation to be made).

Discuss with management and the independent auditors the effect of regulatory and accounting initiatives as well as off-balance sheet structures on the Company s financial statements.

Discuss with management the Company s major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control those exposures, including the Company s risk assessment and risk management policies.

Discuss with the independent auditors the matters required to be communicated by the independent auditors pursuant to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 relating to the conduct of the audit, including any problems or difficulties encountered in the course of the audit work and management s response, any restrictions on the

scope of activities or access to requested information and any significant disagreements with management. 29

Review the disclosures that the Company s chief executive officer and chief financial officer make to the Audit Committee and the independent auditors in connection with the certification process for the Company s Reports on Form 10-K and Form 10-Q concerning any significant deficiencies or weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting and any fraud that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

Oversight of the Company s Relationship with the Independent Auditors

Review the capabilities and performance of the lead partner of the independent auditors.

Obtain and review a report by the independent auditors describing (i) the independent auditors internal quality-control procedures; (ii) any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality-control review, or peer review, of the independent auditors, or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities, within the preceding five years, respecting one or more independent audits carried out by the firm, and any steps taken to deal with any such issues; and (iii) all relationships between the independent auditors and the Company. Evaluate the independent auditors—qualifications, performance and independence, including considering whether the independent auditors—quality controls are adequate and the provision of permitted non-audit services is compatible with maintaining the independent auditors—independence, taking into account the opinions of management and internal auditors. The Audit Committee shall present its conclusions with respect to the independent auditors to the full Board of Directors.

Confirm the regular rotation of the audit partners as required by law. Consider whether there should be regular rotation of the independent auditing firm.

Establish hiring policies for the Company s employment of the independent auditors personnel or former personnel, which may take into account whether a proposed employee participated in any capacity in the audit of the Company.

Discuss with the independent auditors any communication or consultation between the Company s audit team and the independent auditors national office respecting auditing or accounting issues presented by the engagement.

Meet with the independent auditors prior to the audit to discuss the planning and staffing of the audit.

Oversight of the Company s Internal Audit Function

Oversee the internal audit function, including the appointment and replacement of the senior internal auditing executive or other personnel responsible for the internal audit function.

Review the significant reports to management prepared by the internal auditors and management s responses.

Review with management and the independent auditors the responsibilities, budget and staffing of the internal auditors and any recommended changes in the planned scope of the internal audit. The internal audit function (which may be outsourced to a third-party service provider other than the independent auditor) is intended to provide management and the Audit Committee with ongoing assessments of the Company s risk management processes and system of internal control.

Compliance Oversight Responsibilities

Obtain from the independent auditors assurance that no illegal acts required to be reported under Section 10A(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 have been detected or otherwise come to the attention of the independent auditors in the course of the audit.

Obtain reports from management, the internal auditors and the independent auditors that the Company and its subsidiary entities are in conformity with applicable legal requirements and the

Company s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. Review reports and disclosures of insider and affiliated party transactions.

Advise the Board of Directors with respect to the Company s policies and procedures regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations and with the Company s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

Establish procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, and the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Company of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

Review with the Company s legal counsel any legal matters that may have a material impact on the Company s financial statements, the Company s compliance policies and the Company s internal controls and any material reports or inquiries received from regulators or governmental agencies.

Other Matters

Meet with management (including the chief financial officer and chief accounting officer), the internal auditors and the independent auditors in separate executive sessions.

Review and reassess the adequacy of this charter from time to time and recommend any proposed changes to the Board of Directors for approval.

Review annually the Audit Committee s own performance.

Make regular reports to the Board of Directors.

While the Audit Committee has the responsibilities and powers set forth in this Charter, it is not the duty of the Audit Committee to plan or conduct audits or to determine that the Company s financial statements are complete and accurate and are in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. It is also not the duty of the Audit Committee to conduct investigations or to assure compliance with laws and regulations and the Company s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

The Audit Committee shall have the authority to engage and obtain advice and assistance from current or independent legal, accounting or other advisors without seeking approval of the Board of Directors. The Audit Committee may request any officer or employee of the Company or the Company s outside counsel or independent auditors to attend a meeting of the Audit Committee or to meet with any members of, or advisors to, the Audit Committee. The Company shall provide for appropriate funding, as determined by the Audit Committee, for payment of compensation to the independent auditors for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company, compensation to any advisors employed by the Audit Committee, and administrative expenses of the Audit Committee that are necessary or appropriate in carrying out its duties.

The Audit Committee will meet as often as the members shall determine to be necessary or appropriate, but at least four times during each year. In addition, the Audit Committee will make itself available to the independent auditors and the internal auditors of the Company as requested. Reports of meetings of the Audit Committee shall be made to the Board of Directors at its next regularly scheduled meeting following the Audit Committee meeting, accompanied by any recommendations to the Board of Directors approved by the Audit Committee.

As revised by the Board of Directors on February 15, 2005.

APPENDIX

THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED, OR IF NO DIRECTION

Please mark

IS INDICATED, WILL BE

Please mark here for address change

your votes as

VOTED FOR PROPOSALS 1, 2(a), 2(b) AND 3.

or comments oindicated in **SEE REVERSE SIDE** this example

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS.

1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS -

			WITHHELD	
	Nominees:	FOR ALL	FOR ALL	
01	I. Jon Brumley	0	O	
02	Jon S. Brumley			
03	Martin C. Bowen			
04	Ted Collins, Jr.			
05	Ted A. Gardner			
06	John V. Genova			
07	James A. Winne III			

FOR ALL, except the nominees you list below: (Write that nominee s name in the space provided below.)

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE OF FOR THE AMENDMENTS TO THE SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION.

2.(a) AMENDMENTS TO SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO INCREASE THE AUTHORIZED SHARES OF COMMON STOCK-

To approve an amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized shares of common stock.

FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN	
O	0	0	

2.(b) AMENDMENTS TO SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO DELETE ARTICLE SIX IN ITS ENTIRETY.

To approve an amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to delete Article Six in its entirety.

FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN	
0	O	0	

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE OF FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM.

3. RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM-

To ratify the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm.

	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
	0	O	0
Please sign as nam Joint owners should signing as attorney administrator, trust please give full title	d each sign. When , executor, ee or guardian,		
Dated:	, 2005		
Signature	2		
Signature if held jo	intly		

Vote by Internet or Telephone or Mail 24 Hours a Day, 7 Days a Week

Internet and telephone voting is available through 11:59 PM Eastern Time the business day prior to annual meeting day.

Your Internet or telephone vote authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner as if you marked, signed and returned your proxy card.

VOTE BY INTERNET http://www.proxyvoting.com/eac

Use the Internet to vote your proxy. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site.

OR

VOTE BY TELEPHONE

1-866-540-5760

Use any touch-tone telephone to vote your proxy. Have your proxy card in hand when you call.

OR

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope

If you vote your proxy by Internet or by telephone, you do NOT need to mail back your proxy card.

PROXY THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF ENCORE ACQUISITION COMPANY

The undersigned hereby appoints I. Jon Brumley, Jon S. Brumley and Roy W. Jageman, and each of them, with power to act without the other and with power of substitution, as proxies and attorneys-in-fact and hereby authorizes them to represent and vote, as provided on the other side, all the shares of Encore Acquisition Company Common Stock which the undersigned is entitled to vote, and, in their discretion, to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company to be held May 3, 2005 or any adjournment thereof, with all powers which the undersigned would possess if present at the Annual Meeting.

(CONTINUED, AND TO BE MARKED, DATED AND SIGNED, ON THE OTHER SIDE)

FOLD AND DETACH HERE