

APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO

Form 10-K

February 27, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K**

(Mark One)

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008**
- or
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to**

Commission File Number 1-13232
Apartment Investment and Management Company
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*
4582 South Ulster Street Parkway, Suite 1100
Denver, Colorado
(Address of principal executive offices)

84-1259577
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*
80237
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (303) 757-8101

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Class A Common Stock	New York Stock Exchange
Class G Cumulative Preferred Stock	New York Stock Exchange
Class T Cumulative Preferred Stock	New York Stock Exchange
Class U Cumulative Preferred Stock	New York Stock Exchange
Class V Cumulative Preferred Stock	New York Stock Exchange
Class Y Cumulative Preferred Stock	New York Stock Exchange

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: none

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined by Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$2.8 billion as of June 30, 2008. As of February 25, 2009, there were 117,298,253 shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be issued in conjunction with the registrant's annual meeting of stockholders to be held April 27, 2009, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report.

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2008**

Item		Page
<u>PART I</u>		
<u>1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	2
<u>1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	9
<u>1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	17
<u>2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	17
<u>3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	18
<u>4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	18
<u>PART II</u>		
<u>5.</u>	<u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	19
<u>6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	22
<u>7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	23
<u>7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	42
<u>8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	43
<u>9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	43
<u>9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	44
<u>9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	46
<u>PART III</u>		
<u>10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	46
<u>11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	46
<u>12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	46
<u>13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	46
<u>14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	46
<u>PART IV</u>		
<u>15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	47
<u>EX-3.1</u>		
<u>EX-21.1</u>		
<u>EX-23.1</u>		
<u>EX-31.1</u>		
<u>EX-31.2</u>		
<u>EX-32.1</u>		
<u>EX-32.2</u>		
<u>EX-99.1</u>		

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements in certain circumstances. Certain information included in this Annual Report contains or may contain information that is forward-looking, including, without limitation, statements regarding the effect of acquisitions and redevelopments, our future financial performance, including our ability to maintain current or meet projected occupancy, rent levels and same store results, and the effect of government regulations. Actual results may differ materially from those described in these forward-looking statements and, in addition, will be affected by a variety of risks and factors, some of which are beyond our control, including, without limitation: financing risks, including the availability and cost of financing and the risk that our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest; earnings may not be sufficient to maintain compliance with debt covenants; national and local economic conditions; energy costs; the terms of governmental regulations that affect us and interpretations of those regulations; the competitive environment in which we operate; real estate risks, including fluctuations in real estate values and the general economic climate in the markets in which we operate and competition for tenants in such markets; insurance risk; acquisition and development risks, including failure of such acquisitions to perform in accordance with projections; the timing of acquisitions and dispositions; natural disasters and severe weather such as hurricanes; litigation, including costs associated with prosecuting or defending claims and any adverse outcomes; and possible environmental liabilities, including costs, fines or penalties that may be incurred due to necessary remediation of contamination of properties presently owned or previously owned by us. In addition, our current and continuing qualification as a real estate investment trust involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code, through actual operating results, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Readers should carefully review our financial statements and the notes thereto, as well as the section entitled Risk Factors described in Item 1A of this Annual Report and the other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

PART I

Item 1. Business

The Company

Apartment Investment and Management Company, or Aimco, is a Maryland corporation incorporated on January 10, 1994. We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, engaged in the acquisition, ownership, management and redevelopment of apartment properties. As of December 31, 2008, we owned or managed a real estate portfolio of 992 apartment properties containing 162,807 apartment units located in 44 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. We are one of the largest owners and operators of apartment properties in the United States. Our portfolio includes garden style, mid-rise and high-rise properties.

We own an equity interest in, and consolidate the majority of, the properties in our owned real estate portfolio. These properties represent the consolidated real estate holdings in our financial statements, which we refer to as consolidated properties. In addition, we have an equity interest in, but do not consolidate for financial statement purposes, certain properties that are accounted for under the equity or cost methods. These properties represent our investment in unconsolidated real estate partnerships in our financial statements, which we refer to as unconsolidated properties. Additionally, we provide property management and asset management services to certain properties, and in certain cases, we may indirectly own generally less than one percent of the operations of such

Table of Contents

properties through a partnership syndication or other fund. Our equity holdings and managed properties are as follows as of December 31, 2008:

	Total Portfolio	
	Properties	Units
Consolidated properties	514	117,719
Unconsolidated properties	85	9,613
Property management	34	3,252
Asset management	359	32,223
Total	992	162,807

Through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, AIMCO-GP, Inc. and AIMCO-LP Trust, we own a majority of the ownership interests in AIMCO Properties, L.P., which we refer to as the Aimco Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2008, we held an interest of approximately 91% in the common partnership units and equivalents of the Aimco Operating Partnership. We conduct substantially all of our business and own substantially all of our assets through the Aimco Operating Partnership. Interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by limited partners other than Aimco are referred to as OP Units. OP Units include common OP Units, partnership preferred units, or preferred OP Units, and high performance partnership units, or High Performance Units. Generally, after a holding period of twelve months, holders of common OP Units may redeem such units for cash or, at the Aimco Operating Partnership's option, Aimco Class A Common Stock, which we refer to as Common Stock. At December 31, 2008, we had 101,176,232 shares of our Common Stock outstanding and the Aimco Operating Partnership had 9,484,191 common OP Units and equivalents outstanding for a combined total of 110,660,423 shares of Common Stock and OP Units outstanding (excluding preferred OP Units).

Since our initial public offering in July 1994, we have completed numerous transactions, including purchases of properties and interests in entities that own or manage properties, expanding our portfolio of owned or managed properties from 132 properties with 29,343 apartment units to a peak of over 2,100 properties with 379,000 apartment units. As of December 31, 2008, our portfolio of owned and/or managed properties consists of 992 properties with 162,807 apartment units.

Except as the context otherwise requires, we, our, us and the Company refer to Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership and their consolidated entities, collectively. As used herein, and except where the context otherwise requires, partnership refers to a limited partnership or a limited liability company and partner refers to a limited partner in a limited partnership or a member in a limited liability company.

Available Information

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, our Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to any of those reports that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission are available free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable through our website at www.aimco.com. The information contained on our website is not incorporated into this Annual Report. Our Common Stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol AIV. In 2008, our chief executive officer submitted his annual corporate governance listing standards certification to the New York Stock Exchange, which certification was unqualified.

Financial Information About Industry Segments

We operate in two reportable segments: real estate (owning, operating and redeveloping apartments) and investment management (portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities). For further information on these segments, see Note 18 of the consolidated financial statements in Item 8, and Management's Discussion and Analysis in Item 7.

Business Overview

Our principal financial objective is to increase long-term stockholder value per share, as measured by Economic Income, which consists of cash dividends and changes in Net Asset Value, or NAV, which is the estimated fair value of our assets, net of debt.

Table of Contents

We strive to meet our objectives through:

property operations using scale and technology to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of attracting and retaining apartment residents;

portfolio management allocating capital among geographic markets and apartment property types such as Class A, Class B and Class C with redevelopment potential;

redevelopment of properties making substantial upgrades to the physical plant and, sometimes, to the services offered to residents;

earning fee income from providing investment management services such as property management, financial management, accounting, investor reporting, property debt financings, tax credit syndication, redevelopment and construction management;

managing our cost and risk of capital by using leverage that is largely long-term, laddered in maturity, non-recourse and property specific; and

reducing our general and administrative and certain other costs consistent with the reduced size of our portfolio.

Our business is organized around three core activities: Property Operations, Redevelopment, and Investment Management. These three core activities, along with our financial strategy, are described in more detail below.

Property Operations

Our portfolio is comprised of two business components: conventional and affordable. Our conventional operations, which are market-rate apartments with rents paid by the resident, include 310 properties with 93,444 units. Our affordable operations consist of 289 properties with 33,888 units, with rents that are generally paid, in whole or part, by a government agency. Affordable properties tend to have relatively more stable rents and higher occupancy due to government rent payments and thus are much less affected by market fluctuations.

We operate a broad range of property types, from suburban garden-style to urban high-rise properties in 44 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico at a broad range of average monthly rental rates, with most between \$700 and \$1,200 per month, and reaching as high as \$10,000 per month at some of our premier properties. This diversification insulates us, to some degree, from inevitable downturns in any one market.

Our property operations currently are organized into five areas, which are further subdivided according to our target markets, which are the largest 20 U.S. markets as measured by total market capitalization, or the total market value of institutional-grade apartment properties in a particular market. To manage our nationwide portfolio more efficiently and to increase the benefits from our local management expertise, we have given direct responsibility for operations within each area to an Area Vice President, or AVP, with regular senior management reviews. To enable the AVPs to focus on sales and service, as well as to improve financial control and budgeting, we have dedicated an area financial officer to support each AVP, and with the exception of routine maintenance, our specialized Construction Services group manages all on-site improvements, thus reducing the need for AVPs to spend time on oversight of construction projects.

We seek to improve our corporate-level oversight of property operations by developing better systems, standardizing business goals, operational measurements and internal reporting, and enhancing financial controls over field

operations. Our objectives are to focus on the areas discussed below:

Customer Service. Our operating culture is focused on our residents. Our goal is to provide our residents with consistent service in clean, safe and attractive communities. We evaluate our performance through a customer satisfaction tracking system. In addition, we emphasize the quality of our on-site employees through recruiting, training and retention programs, which we believe contributes to improved customer service and leads to increased occupancy rates and enhanced operational performance.

Resident Selection and Retention. In apartment properties, neighbors are a meaningful part of the product, together with the location of the property and the physical quality of the apartment units. Part of our property

Table of Contents

operations strategy is to focus on resident acquisition and retention attracting and retaining credit-worthy residents who are good neighbors. We have structured goals and coaching for all of our sales personnel, a tracking system for inquiries and a standardized renewal communication program. We have standardized residential financial stability requirements and have policies and monitoring practices to maintain our resident quality.

Revenue Management. For our conventional properties, we are focusing on people, processes and technology to build a revenue management model that is competitive with the best in our industry. We seek to increase revenue by optimizing the balance between rental and occupancy rates. We are also focused on the automation of on-site operations, as we believe that timely and accurate collection of property performance and resident profile data will enable us to maximize revenue through better property management and leasing decisions. We have standardized policies for new and renewal pricing with timely data and analyses by floor-plan, thereby enabling us to maximize our ability to modify pricing, even in challenging sub-markets. During 2008, we established a centralized revenue management team with leaders from the airline, hospitality and property management industries, and centralized our rental rate pricing function in partnership with our area portfolio management teams.

Controlling Expenses. Cost controls are accomplished by local focus at the area level and by taking advantage of economies of scale at the corporate level. As a result of the size of our portfolio and our area concentrations of properties, we have the ability to spread over a large property base fixed costs for general and administrative expenditures and certain operating functions, such as purchasing, insurance and information technology.

Ancillary Services. We believe that our ownership and management of properties provide us with unique access to a customer base that allows us to provide additional services and thereby increase occupancy and rents, while also generating incremental revenue. We currently provide cable television, telephone services, appliance rental, and carport, garage and storage space rental at certain properties.

Capital Replacements and Capital Improvements

We believe that the physical condition and amenities of our apartment properties are important factors in our ability to maintain and increase rental rates. In 2008, we spent \$101.4 million (Aimco's share), or \$799 per owned apartment unit, for Capital Replacements, which represent the share of expenditures that are deemed to replace the consumed portion of acquired capital assets. Additionally, we spent \$124.9 million (Aimco's share), or \$985 per owned apartment unit, for Capital Improvements, which are non-redevelopment capital expenditures that are made to enhance the value, profitability or useful life of an asset from its original purchase condition.

Redevelopment

In addition to maintenance and improvements of our properties, we focus on the redevelopment of certain properties each year. We believe redevelopment of certain properties in superior locations provides advantages over ground-up development, enabling us to generate rents comparable to new properties with lower financial risk, in less time and with reduced delays associated with governmental permits and authorizations. Redevelopment work also includes seeking entitlements from local governments, which enhance the value of our existing portfolio by increasing density, that is, the right to add residential units to a site. We have historically undertaken a range of redevelopment projects: from those in which a substantial number of all available units are vacated for significant renovations to the property, to those in which there is significant renovation, such as exteriors, common areas or unit improvements, typically done upon lease expirations without the need to vacate units on any wholesale or substantial basis. We have a specialized Redevelopment and Construction Services group, which includes developers, engineers, architects and construction managers, to oversee these projects.

Our share of 2008 redevelopment expenditures on active and completed projects totaled \$226.3 million and \$113.9 million in conventional and affordable redevelopment projects, respectively. During 2008, we completed redevelopment projects at 13 conventional properties and 21 affordable properties with 6,524 and 2,903 units, respectively. During 2008, we delivered 4,817 conventional and 1,780 affordable redeveloped units, respectively, some of which are part of redevelopment projects completed in 2008 and some of which are part of ongoing

Table of Contents

projects. As of December 31, 2008, we had 37 conventional and four affordable redevelopment projects at various stages of completion.

In 2009, we expect to decrease our redevelopment expenditures, with an investment between \$50.0 and \$75.0 million in conventional redevelopment projects and between \$30.0 and \$45.0 million in affordable redevelopment projects, predominantly funded by third-party tax credit equity.

Investment Management

Investment management includes activities related to our owned portfolio of properties as well as services provided to affiliated partnerships. Activities and services that fall within Investment Management include portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities. Within our owned portfolio, we refer to these activities as **Portfolio Management** and they include strategic portfolio and capital allocation decisions through transactions to buy, sell or modify our ownership interest in properties, including through the use of partnerships and joint ventures. The purpose of these transactions is to adjust Aimco's investments to reflect our decisions regarding target allocations to geographic markets and to investment types. When we provide these services with respect to our own investments, there is no separate compensation, and their benefit is seen in property operating results and in investment gains. For affiliated partnerships, we refer to these activities as **Asset Management**, and they include property management, financial management, accounting, investor reporting, property debt financings, tax credit syndication, redevelopment and construction management. When we provide these services to affiliated partnerships, we are separately compensated through fees paid by third party investors. Those fees may be recognized in a subsequent period upon the occurrence of a current transaction or a transaction expected to close within twelve months, or improvement in operations that generates sufficient cash to pay the fees. Although many teams at Aimco are involved in the delivery of these services, the negotiation of transactions for Aimco's account and the oversight of services provided to others is primarily the responsibility of our Investment Management team.

Conventional Portfolio Management

Portfolio management involves the ongoing allocation of investment capital to meet our geographic and product type goals. We target geographic balance in Aimco's diversified portfolio in order to optimize risk-adjusted returns and to avoid the risk of undue concentration in any particular market. We also seek to balance the portfolio by product type, with both high quality properties in excellent locations and also high land value properties that support redevelopment activities.

During 2007, we refined our geographic allocation strategy to focus on our target markets. We believe these markets to be deep, relatively liquid and to possess desirable long-term growth characteristics. They are primarily coastal markets, and also include a number of Sun Belt cities and Chicago, Illinois. We may also invest in other markets on an opportunistic basis. Following this strategy through dispositions, acquisitions and redevelopment spending, we have reduced our investment in markets outside our target markets and increased our investment in our target markets. We expect that increased geographic focus will also add to our investment knowledge and increase operating efficiencies based on local economies of scale.

Portfolio management also includes dispositions of properties located within markets we intend to exit, properties in less favored locations within our target markets and properties that do not meet our long-term investment criteria. Property sales proceeds are used to fund redevelopment spending, acquisitions, and other corporate purposes, such as debt reduction, preferred stock redemptions or purchases and special dividends. In 2008, we sold 130 conventional properties generating net cash proceeds to us, after repayment of existing debt, payment of transaction costs and distributions to limited partners, of \$852.2 million. In 2008, we exited six markets, and as of December 31, 2008, our

conventional portfolio included 310 properties with 93,444 units in 40 markets.

As of December 31, 2008, conventional properties in our target markets comprised 84.2% of our Net Asset Value (which is the estimated fair value of our assets, net of debt, or NAV) attributable to our conventional properties. Our top five markets by NOI contribution include the metropolitan areas of Washington, D.C.; Los Angeles, California;

Other Florida (which is comprised of Ft. Lauderdale, Jacksonville, Orlando, Palm Beach County and Tampa); Chicago, Illinois and Boston, Massachusetts.

Table of Contents

During 2008, we invested in our conventional portfolio primarily by funding redevelopment. In 2008, we invested \$226.3 million in redevelopment of properties in our conventional portfolio. We also completed acquisitions of three conventional properties, containing approximately 470 residential units for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$111.5 million (excluding transaction costs). These properties are located in San Jose, California, Brighton, Massachusetts and Seattle, Washington.

Portfolio management can include the use of partnerships and joint ventures to allow us to attract and serve high quality investment partners, and to rebalance efficiently our geographic market allocation of capital while maintaining our local operating platform and its operational scale.

Affordable Portfolio Management

The portfolio management strategy for our affordable portfolio is similar to that for our conventional portfolio. During 2008, we invested \$113.9 million in redevelopment of affordable properties, funded primarily by proceeds from the sale of tax credits to institutional partners. As with conventional properties, we also seek to dispose of properties that are inconsistent with our long-term investment and operating strategies. During 2008, we sold 25 properties from our affordable portfolio, including six unconsolidated properties, generating net cash proceeds to us, after repayment of existing debt, payment of transaction costs and distributions to limited partners, of \$169.8 million. As of December 31, 2008, our affordable portfolio included 289 properties with 33,888 units.

Financial Strategy

We are focused on improving liquidity and balancing our sources and uses of cash. During 2008, using proceeds from asset dispositions, we repaid in full our \$75.0 million term loan which was scheduled for payment in September 2009, repaid all of the outstanding amounts due on our revolving credit facility and repurchased approximately \$27.0 million of outstanding variable rate preferred stock. Also during 2008, in connection with property dispositions, we repaid approximately \$1.1 billion in non-recourse property debt. As of December 31, 2008, the amount available under our revolving credit facility, which matures in May 2010 (inclusive of a one-year extension option we expect to exercise), was \$578.8 million (after giving effect to \$56.2 million outstanding for undrawn letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility). Additionally, we had \$72.0 million of available capacity on our \$200.0 million non-recourse secured credit facility which, inclusive of two one-year extension options, matures in October 2012. During 2009, we intend to use proceeds from asset dispositions to continue to reduce the remaining balance on our term loan, which matures in March 2011. That term loan has an outstanding balance of \$350.0 million after we repaid \$50.0 million in January 2009. Other than the term loan and any borrowings under the revolving credit facility, we have no recourse corporate debt.

Our revolving credit facility includes customary financial covenants, including the maintenance of specified ratios with respect to total indebtedness to gross asset value, total secured indebtedness to gross asset value, aggregate recourse indebtedness to gross asset value, variable rate debt to total indebtedness, debt service coverage and fixed charge coverage; the maintenance of a minimum adjusted tangible net worth; and limitations regarding the amount of cross-collateralized debt. The credit facility includes other customary covenants, including a restriction on distributions and other restricted payments, but permits distributions during any four consecutive fiscal quarters in an aggregate amount of up to 95% of our funds from operations for such period, subject to certain non-cash adjustments, or such amount as may be necessary to maintain our REIT status. These covenants are calculated on a quarterly basis and are monitored as various strategic decisions are considered. We were in compliance with all such covenants as of December 31, 2008.

We are also focused on minimizing our cost of capital on a risk-adjusted basis. We primarily use non-recourse property debt with laddered maturities and minimize reliance on corporate debt. The lower risk inherent in

non-recourse property debt permits us to operate with higher debt leverage and a lower weighted average cost of capital. We use floating rate property and corporate debt to provide lower interest costs over time at a level that considers acceptable earnings volatility. During 2008, we closed property loans totaling \$962.2 million at an average interest rate of 5.51%, which included the refinancing of property loans totaling \$472.9 million with prior interest rates averaging 5.58%. In addition to the refinancing activity, the property loans included new financings on existing properties, redevelopment loans and the modification of terms on existing property debt. In 2009, 2010 and 2011, 38

Table of Contents

property loans will mature and our share of these maturities totals \$273.9 million, \$279.9 million and \$102.3 million, respectively. We expect to refinance a number of such loans in the first half of 2009.

Competition

In attracting and retaining residents to occupy our properties we compete with numerous other housing alternatives. Our properties compete directly with other rental apartments as well as condominiums and single-family homes that are available for rent or purchase in the markets in which our properties are located. Principal factors of competition include rent or price charged, attractiveness of the location and property and quality and breadth of services. The number of competitive properties relative to demand in a particular area has a material effect on our ability to lease apartment units at our properties and on the rents we charge. In certain markets there exists oversupply of single family homes and condominiums and household consolidation, both of which affect the pricing and occupancy of our rental apartments. Additionally, we compete with other real estate investors, including other apartment REITs, pension and investment funds, partnerships and investment companies in acquiring, redeveloping and managing apartment properties. This competition affects our ability to acquire properties we want to add to our portfolio and the price that we pay in such acquisitions.

Taxation

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which we refer to as the Code, commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 1994, and intend to continue to operate in such a manner. Our current and continuing qualification as a REIT depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Code, which relate to organizational structure, distribution levels, diversity of stock ownership and certain restrictions with regard to owned assets and categories of income. If we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we will generally not be subject to United States Federal corporate income tax on our taxable income that is currently distributed to stockholders. This treatment substantially eliminates the double taxation (at the corporate and stockholder levels) that generally results from an investment in a corporation.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to United States Federal income and excise taxes in various situations, such as on our undistributed income. We also will be required to pay a 100% tax on any net income on non-arm's length transactions between us and a TRS (described below) and on any net income from sales of property that was property held for sale to customers in the ordinary course. We and our stockholders may be subject to state or local taxation in various state or local jurisdictions, including those in which we transact business or our stockholders reside. In addition, we could also be subject to the alternative minimum tax, or AMT, on our items of tax preference. The state and local tax laws may not conform to the United States Federal income tax treatment. Any taxes imposed on us reduce our operating cash flow and net income.

Certain of our operations (property management, asset management, risk, etc.) are conducted through taxable REIT subsidiaries, each of which we refer to as a TRS. A TRS is a C-corporation that has not elected REIT status and, as such, is subject to United States Federal corporate income tax. We use TRS entities to facilitate our ability to offer certain services and activities to our residents and investment partners, as these services and activities generally cannot be offered directly by the REIT.

Regulation

General

Apartment properties and their owners are subject to various laws, ordinances and regulations, including those related to real estate broker licensing and regulations relating to recreational facilities such as swimming pools, activity

centers and other common areas. Changes in laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or increasing the restrictions on discharges or other conditions, as well as changes in laws affecting development, construction and safety requirements, may result in significant unanticipated expenditures, which would adversely affect our net income and cash flows from operating activities. In addition, future enactment of rent control or rent stabilization laws, such as legislation that has been considered in New York, or other laws regulating multifamily housing may reduce rental revenue or increase operating costs in particular markets.

Table of Contents

Environmental

Various Federal, state and local laws subject property owners or operators to liability for management, and the costs of removal or remediation, of certain hazardous substances present on a property. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release or presence of the hazardous substances. In connection with the ownership, operation and management of properties, we could potentially be liable for environmental liabilities or costs associated with our properties or properties we acquire or manage in the future. These and other risks related to environmental matters are described in more detail in Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Insurance

Our primary lines of insurance coverage are property, general liability, and workers' compensation. We believe that our insurance coverages adequately insure our properties against the risk of loss attributable to fire, earthquake, hurricane, tornado, flood, terrorism and other perils, and adequately insure us against other risk. Our coverage includes deductibles, retentions and limits that are customary in the industry. We have established loss prevention, loss mitigation, claims handling, litigation management and loss reserving procedures to manage our exposure.

Employees

At December 31, 2008, we had approximately 4,500 employees, of which approximately 3,400 were at the property level, performing various on-site functions, with the balance managing corporate and area operations, including investment and debt transactions, legal, financial reporting, accounting, information systems, human resources and other support functions. Unions represent approximately 120 of our employees. We have never experienced a work stoppage and believe we maintain satisfactory relations with our employees.

As further described in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8, we initiated an organizational restructuring during 2008. As a result of the restructuring, we plan to eliminate approximately 300 jobs on or before March 1, 2009, with reductions in staffing within corporate, redevelopment and construction services, property management and investment management functions. Approximately half of the planned job eliminations had been completed at December 31, 2008.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The risk factors noted in this section and other factors noted throughout this Annual Report, describe certain risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement.

Our existing and future debt financing could render us unable to operate, result in foreclosure on our properties, prevent us from making distributions on our equity or otherwise adversely affect our liquidity.

We are subject to the risk that our cash flow from operations will be insufficient to make required payments of principal and interest, and the risk that existing indebtedness may not be refinanced or that the terms of any refinancing will not be as favorable as the terms of existing indebtedness. If we fail to make required payments of principal and interest on secured debt, our lenders could foreclose on the properties and other collateral securing such debt, which would result in loss of income and asset value to us. As of December 31, 2008, substantially all of the properties that we owned or controlled were encumbered by debt. Our organizational documents do not limit the amount of debt that we may incur, and we have significant amounts of debt outstanding. Payments of principal and interest may leave us with insufficient cash resources to operate our properties or pay distributions required to be paid

in order to maintain our qualification as a REIT.

Our strategy is generally to incur debt to increase the return on our equity while maintaining acceptable coverage ratios. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we had a ratio of free cash flow (net operating income less spending for capital replacements) to combined interest expense and preferred stock dividends of 1.43:1. For the year ended December 31, 2008, as calculated based on the provisions in our credit agreement, which is further

Table of Contents

discussed in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8, we had a ratio of earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization to debt service of 1.63:1 and a ratio of earnings to fixed charges of 1.43:1.

At December 31, 2008, we had swap positions with two financial institutions totaling \$422.1 million. The related swap agreements provide for collateral calls to maintain specified loan-to-value ratios. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we may be required to provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which would adversely affect our cash flows.

Disruptions in the financial markets could affect our ability to obtain financing and the cost of available financing and could adversely affect our liquidity.

Our ability to obtain financing and the cost of such financing depends on the overall condition of the United States credit markets and the level of involvement of certain government sponsored entities, specifically, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, or Freddie Mac, and Federal National Mortgage Association, or Fannie Mae, in secondary credit markets. Recently the United States credit markets have experienced significant liquidity disruptions, which have caused the spreads on debt financings to widen considerably and have made obtaining financing, both non-recourse property debt and corporate borrowings, such as our term loan or revolving credit facility, more difficult.

Further or prolonged disruptions in the credit markets could result in Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae reducing their level of involvement in secondary credits markets which would adversely affect our ability to obtain non-recourse property debt financing. Additionally, further or prolonged disruptions in the credit markets could lead to the failure of additional financial companies, some of which may have financial commitments within our revolving credit facility. This may affect our access to liquidity through our credit facility's scheduled maturity in May 2010 (inclusive of a one-year extension option we expect to exercise). When the revolving credit facility matures, disruptions in the credit markets may also affect our ability to renew such credit facility with similar commitments.

If our ability to obtain financing is adversely affected, we may be unable to satisfy scheduled maturities on existing financing through other sources of liquidity, which could result in lender foreclosure on the properties securing such debt and loss of income and asset value, each of which would adversely affect our liquidity.

Increases in interest rates would increase our interest expense and reduce our profitability.

As of December 31, 2008, we had approximately \$1,309.5 million of variable-rate indebtedness outstanding and \$73.0 million of variable rate preferred stock outstanding. Of the total debt subject to variable interest rates, floating rate tax-exempt bond financing was \$563.4 million. Floating rate tax-exempt bond financing is benchmarked against the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index, or SIFMA, rate (previously the Bond Market Association index), which since 1989 has averaged 69% of the 30-day LIBOR rate. If this historical relationship continues, we estimate that an increase in 30-day LIBOR of 1.0% (0.69% in tax-exempt interest rates) with constant credit risk spreads would result in our income before minority interests being reduced by \$11.6 million and our income attributable to common stockholders being reduced by \$11.1 million on an annual basis. At December 31, 2008, we had approximately \$717.2 million in cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and notes receivable, the majority of which bear interest. We also had approximately \$127.3 million of variable rate debt associated with our redevelopment activities, for which we capitalize a portion of the interest expense. The effect of our interest-bearing assets and of capitalizing interest on variable rate debt associated with our redevelopment activities would partially reduce the effect of an increase in variable interest rates. Considering these offsets, the same increase in 30-day LIBOR would result in our income before minority interests being reduced by \$3.1 million and our income attributable to common stockholders being reduced by \$4.3 million on an annual basis.

Failure to generate sufficient net operating income may limit our ability to fund necessary capital expenditures or adversely affect our ability to pay dividends.

Our ability to fund necessary capital expenditures on our properties depends on our ability to generate net operating income in excess of required debt payments. If we are unable to fund capital expenditures on our properties, we may not be able to preserve the competitiveness of our properties, which could adversely affect our net operating income.

Table of Contents

Our ability to make payments to our investors depends on our ability to generate net operating income in excess of required debt payments and capital expenditure requirements. Our net operating income and liquidity may be adversely affected by events or conditions beyond our control, including:

the general economic climate;

competition from other apartment communities and other housing options;

local conditions, such as loss of jobs or an increase in the supply of apartments, that might adversely affect apartment occupancy or rental rates;

changes in governmental regulations and the related cost of compliance;

increases in operating costs (including real estate taxes) due to inflation and other factors, which may not be offset by increased rents;

changes in tax laws and housing laws, including the enactment of rent control laws or other laws regulating multifamily housing; and

changes in interest rates and the availability of financing.

Covenant restrictions may limit our ability to make payments to our investors.

Some of our debt and other securities contain covenants that restrict our ability to make distributions or other payments to our investors unless certain financial tests or other criteria are satisfied. Our credit facility provides, among other things, that we may make distributions to our investors during any four consecutive fiscal quarters in an aggregate amount that does not exceed the greater of 95% of our Funds From Operations for such period, subject to certain non-cash adjustments, or such amount as may be necessary to maintain our REIT status. Our outstanding classes of preferred stock prohibit the payment of dividends on our Common Stock if we fail to pay the dividends to which the holders of the preferred stock are entitled.

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, we may not be able to sell properties when appropriate.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid and cannot always be sold quickly. Our freedom to sell properties is also restricted by REIT tax rules. Thus, we may not be able to change our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other market conditions. We also intend to use proceeds from property sales to repay our corporate debt. Our ability to dispose of assets in the future will depend on prevailing economic and market conditions, including the cost and availability of financing. This could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Competition could limit our ability to lease apartments or increase or maintain rents.

Our apartment properties compete for residents with other housing alternatives, including other rental apartments, condominiums and single-family homes that are available for rent, as well as new and existing condominiums and single-family homes for sale. Competitive residential housing in a particular area could adversely affect our ability to lease apartments and to increase or maintain rental rates. The current challenges in the credit and housing markets have increased housing inventory that competes with our apartment properties.

Our subsidiaries may be prohibited from making distributions and other payments to us.

All of our properties are owned, and all of our operations are conducted, by the Aimco Operating Partnership and our other subsidiaries. As a result, we depend on distributions and other payments from our subsidiaries in order to satisfy our financial obligations and make payments to our investors. The ability of our subsidiaries to make such distributions and other payments depends on their earnings and cash flows and may be subject to statutory or contractual limitations. As an equity investor in our subsidiaries, our right to receive assets upon their liquidation or reorganization will be effectively subordinated to the claims of their creditors. To the extent that we are recognized

Table of Contents

as a creditor of such subsidiaries, our claims may still be subordinate to any security interest in or other lien on their assets and to any of their debt or other obligations that are senior to our claims.

Redevelopment and construction risks could affect our profitability.

We intend to continue to redevelop certain of our properties. These activities are subject to the following risks:

we may be unable to obtain, or experience delays in obtaining, necessary zoning, occupancy, or other required governmental or third party permits and authorizations, which could result in increased costs or the delay or abandonment of opportunities;

we may incur costs that exceed our original estimates due to increased material, labor or other costs;

we may be unable to complete construction and lease up of a property on schedule, resulting in increased construction and financing costs and a decrease in expected rental revenues;

occupancy rates and rents at a property may fail to meet our expectations for a number of reasons, including changes in market and economic conditions beyond our control and the development by competitors of competing communities;

we may be unable to obtain financing with favorable terms, or at all, for the proposed development of a property, which may cause us to delay or abandon an opportunity;

we may abandon opportunities that we have already begun to explore for a number of reasons, including changes in local market conditions or increases in construction or financing costs, and, as a result, we may fail to recover expenses already incurred in exploring those opportunities;

we may incur liabilities to third parties during the redevelopment process, for example, in connection with resident lease terminations, or managing existing improvements on the site prior to resident lease terminations; and

loss of a key member of a project team could adversely affect our ability to deliver redevelopment projects on time and within our budget.

If we are not successful in our acquisition of properties, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

The selective acquisition of properties is a component of our strategy. However, we may not be able to complete transactions successfully in the future. Although we seek to acquire properties when such acquisitions increase our net income, Funds From Operations or net asset value, such transactions may fail to perform in accordance with our expectations. In particular, following acquisition, the value and operational performance of a property may be diminished if obsolescence or neighborhood changes occur before we are able to redevelop or sell the property.

We may be subject to litigation associated with partnership acquisitions that could increase our expenses and prevent completion of beneficial transactions.

We have engaged in, and intend to continue to engage in, the selective acquisition of interests in partnerships controlled by us that own apartment properties. In some cases, we have acquired the general partner of a partnership and then made an offer to acquire the limited partners' interests in the partnership. In these transactions, we may be subject to litigation based on claims that we, as the general partner, have breached our fiduciary duty to our limited

partners or that the transaction violates the relevant partnership agreement or state law. Although we intend to comply with our fiduciary obligations and the relevant partnership agreements, we may incur additional costs in connection with the defense or settlement of this type of litigation. In some cases, this type of litigation may adversely affect our desire to proceed with, or our ability to complete, a particular transaction. Any litigation of this type could also have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

We are self-insured for certain risks, and the cost of insurance, increased claims activity or losses resulting from catastrophic events may affect our operating results and financial condition.

We are self-insured for a portion of our consolidated properties' exposure to casualty losses resulting from fire, earthquake, hurricane, tornado, flood and other perils. We recognize casualty losses or gains based on the net book value of the affected property and any related insurance proceeds. In many instances, the actual cost to repair or replace the property may exceed its net book value and any insurance proceeds. We also insure certain unconsolidated properties for a portion of their exposure to such losses. In addition, we are self-insured for a portion of our exposure to third-party claims related to our employee health insurance plans, workers' compensation coverage and general liability exposure. With respect to our insurance obligations to unconsolidated properties and our exposure to claims of third parties, we establish reserves at levels that reflect our known and estimated losses. The ultimate cost of losses and the impact of unforeseen events may vary materially from recorded reserves, and variances may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition. We purchase insurance (or reinsurance where we insure unconsolidated properties) to reduce our exposure to losses and limit our financial losses on large individual risks. The availability and cost of insurance are determined by market conditions outside our control. No assurance can be made that we will be able to obtain and maintain insurance at the same levels and on the same terms as we do today. If we are not able to obtain or maintain insurance in amounts we consider appropriate for our business, or if the cost of obtaining such insurance increases materially, we may have to retain a larger portion of the potential loss associated with our exposures to risks. The extent of our losses in connection with catastrophic events is a function of the severity of the event and the total amount of exposure in the affected area. When we have geographic concentration of exposures, a single catastrophe (such as an earthquake) or destructive weather trend affecting a region may have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations. We cannot accurately predict catastrophes, or the number and type of catastrophic events that will affect us. As a result, our operating and financial results may vary significantly from one period to the next. While we anticipate and plan for losses, there can be no assurance that our financial results will not be adversely affected by our exposure to losses arising from catastrophic events in the future that exceed our previous experience and assumptions.

We depend on our senior management.

Our success depends upon the retention of our senior management, including Terry Considine, our chief executive officer. There are no assurances that we would be able to find qualified replacements for the individuals who make up our senior management if their services were no longer available. The loss of services of one or more members of our senior management team could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We do not currently maintain key-man life insurance for any of our employees. The loss of any member of senior management could adversely affect our ability to pursue effectively our business strategy.

Government housing regulations may limit the opportunities at some of our properties and failure to comply with resident qualification requirements may result in financial penalties and/or loss of benefits, such as rental revenues paid by government agencies.

We own consolidated and unconsolidated equity interests in certain properties and manage other properties that benefit from governmental programs intended to provide housing to people with low or moderate incomes. These programs, which are usually administered by HUD or state housing finance agencies, typically provide mortgage insurance, favorable financing terms, tax-credit equity, or rental assistance payments to the property owners. As a condition of the receipt of assistance under these programs, the properties must comply with various requirements, which typically limit rents to pre-approved amounts and impose restrictions on resident incomes. Failure to comply with these requirements and restrictions may result in financial penalties or loss of benefits. We usually need to obtain the approval of HUD in order to acquire or dispose of a significant interest in or manage a HUD-assisted property. We may not always receive such approval.

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, for continuing and discontinued operations, our rental revenues include \$119.1 million, \$123.8 million and \$135.2 million, respectively, of subsidies from government agencies. Any loss of such benefits would adversely affect our liquidity and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Laws benefiting disabled persons may result in our incurrence of unanticipated expenses.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or ADA, all places intended to be used by the public are required to meet certain Federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Likewise, the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, or FHAA, requires apartment properties first occupied after March 13, 1990, to be accessible to the handicapped. These and other Federal, state and local laws may require modifications to our properties, or affect renovations of the properties. Noncompliance with these laws could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants and also could result in an order to correct any non-complying feature, which could result in substantial capital expenditures. Although we believe that our properties are substantially in compliance with present requirements, we may incur unanticipated expenses to comply with the ADA and the FHAA in connection with the ongoing operation or redevelopment of our properties.

Potential liability or other expenditures associated with potential environmental contamination may be costly.

Various Federal, state and local laws subject property owners or operators to liability for management, and the costs of removal or remediation, of certain hazardous substances present on a property, including lead-based paint. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release or presence of the hazardous substances. The presence of, or the failure to manage or remedy properly, hazardous substances may adversely affect occupancy at affected apartment communities and the ability to sell or finance affected properties. In addition to the costs associated with investigation and remediation actions brought by government agencies, and potential fines or penalties imposed by such agencies in connection therewith, the presence of hazardous substances on a property could result in claims by private plaintiffs for personal injury, disease, disability or other infirmities. Various laws also impose liability for the cost of removal, remediation or disposal of hazardous substances through a licensed disposal or treatment facility. Anyone who arranges for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances is potentially liable under such laws. These laws often impose liability whether or not the person arranging for the disposal ever owned or operated the disposal facility. In connection with the ownership, operation and management of properties, we could potentially be liable for environmental liabilities or costs associated with our properties or properties we acquire or manage in the future.

Moisture infiltration and resulting mold remediation may be costly.

We have been named as a defendant in lawsuits that have alleged personal injury and property damage as a result of the presence of mold. In addition, we are aware of lawsuits against owners and managers of multifamily properties asserting claims of personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold, some of which have resulted in substantial monetary judgments or settlements. We have only limited insurance coverage for property damage loss claims arising from the presence of mold and for personal injury claims related to mold exposure. We have implemented policies, procedures, third-party audits and training, and include a detailed moisture intrusion and mold assessment during acquisition due diligence. We believe these measures will prevent or eliminate mold exposure from our properties and will minimize the effects that mold may have on our residents. To date, we have not incurred any material costs or liabilities relating to claims of mold exposure or to abate mold conditions. Because the law regarding mold is unsettled and subject to change, we can make no assurance that liabilities resulting from the presence of or exposure to mold will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We may fail to qualify as a REIT.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will not be allowed a deduction for dividends paid to our stockholders in computing our taxable income, and we will be subject to Federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax. This would substantially reduce our funds available for payment to our investors. Unless

entitled to relief under certain provisions of the Code, we also would be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we ceased to qualify as a REIT. In addition, our failure to qualify as a REIT would place us in default under our primary credit facilities.

Table of Contents

We believe that we operate, and have always operated, in a manner that enables us to meet the requirements for qualification as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes. Our continued qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, investment, organizational, distribution, stockholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. Our ability to satisfy the asset tests depends upon our analysis of the fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination, and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals. Our compliance with the REIT income and quarterly asset requirements also depends upon our ability to manage successfully the composition of our income and assets on an ongoing basis. Moreover, the proper classification of an instrument as debt or equity for Federal income tax purposes may be uncertain in some circumstances, which could affect the application of the REIT qualification requirements. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, will not contend that our interests in subsidiaries or other issuers constitutes a violation of the REIT requirements. Moreover, future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT, or our Board of Directors may determine to revoke our REIT status.

REIT distribution requirements limit our available cash.

As a REIT, we are subject to annual distribution requirements, which generally limit the amount of cash we retain for other business purposes, including amounts to fund our growth. We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our net REIT taxable income, excluding any net capital gain, in order for our distributed earnings not to be subject to corporate income tax. We intend to make distributions to our stockholders to comply with the requirements of the Code. However, differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash could require us to sell assets or borrow funds on a short-term or long-term basis to meet the 90% distribution requirement of the Code.

We have in the past chosen, and may in the future choose, to pay dividends in our own stock, in which case you may be required to pay income taxes in excess of the cash dividends you receive.

We have in the past distributed, and may in the future distribute, taxable dividends that are payable in cash and shares of our Common Stock. Stockholders subject to the payment of income tax receiving such dividends will be required to include the full amount of the dividend as taxable income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for U.S. Federal income tax purposes. As a result, a U.S. stockholder may be required to pay income taxes with respect to such dividends in excess of the cash dividends received. If a U.S. stockholder sells the stock it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our stock at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to non-U.S. stockholders, we may be required to withhold U.S. tax with respect to such dividends, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in stock. In addition, if a significant number of our stockholders determine to sell shares of our Common Stock in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, it may put downward pressure on the trading price of our Common Stock.

Further, various aspects of such a taxable cash/stock dividend are uncertain and have not yet been addressed by the IRS. No assurance can be given that the IRS will not impose additional requirements in the future with respect to taxable cash/stock dividends, including on a retroactive basis, or assert that the requirements for such taxable cash/stock dividends have not been met.

Limits on ownership of shares in our charter may result in the loss of economic and voting rights by purchasers that violate those limits.

Our charter limits ownership of our Common Stock by any single stockholder (applying certain beneficial ownership rules under the Federal securities laws) to 8.7% of our outstanding shares of Common Stock, or 15% in the case of

certain pension trusts, registered investment companies and Mr. Considine. Our charter also limits ownership of our Common Stock and preferred stock by any single stockholder to 8.7% of the value of the outstanding Common Stock and preferred stock, or 15% in the case of certain pension trusts, registered investment companies and Mr. Considine. The charter also prohibits anyone from buying shares of our capital stock if the purchase would result in us losing our REIT status. This could happen if a transaction results in fewer than 100 persons owning all of our shares of capital stock or results in five or fewer persons (applying certain attribution

Table of Contents

rules of the Code) owning 50% or more of the value of all of our shares of capital stock. If anyone acquires shares in excess of the ownership limit or in violation of the ownership requirements of the Code for REITs:

the transfer will be considered null and void;

we will not reflect the transaction on our books;

we may institute legal action to enjoin the transaction;

we may demand repayment of any dividends received by the affected person on those shares;

we may redeem the shares;

the affected person will not have any voting rights for those shares; and

the shares (and all voting and dividend rights of the shares) will be held in trust for the benefit of one or more charitable organizations designated by us.

We may purchase the shares of capital stock held in trust at a price equal to the lesser of the price paid by the transferee of the shares or the then current market price. If the trust transfers any of the shares of capital stock, the affected person will receive the lesser of the price paid for the shares or the then current market price. An individual who acquires shares of capital stock that violate the above rules bears the risk that the individual:

may lose control over the power to dispose of such shares;

may not recognize profit from the sale of such shares if the market price of the shares increases;

may be required to recognize a loss from the sale of such shares if the market price decreases; and

may be required to repay to us any distributions received from us as a result of his or her ownership of the shares.

Our charter may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us.

The 8.7% ownership limit discussed above may have the effect of precluding acquisition of control of us by a third party without the consent of our Board of Directors. Our charter authorizes our Board of Directors to issue up to 510,587,500 shares of capital stock. As of December 31, 2008, 426,157,736 shares were classified as Common Stock, of which 101,176,232 were outstanding, and 84,429,764 shares were classified as preferred stock, of which 24,950,146 were outstanding. Under our charter, our Board of Directors has the authority to classify and reclassify any of our unissued shares of capital stock into shares of capital stock with such preferences, rights, powers and restrictions as our Board of Directors may determine. The authorization and issuance of a new class of capital stock could have the effect of delaying or preventing someone from taking control of us, even if a change in control were in our stockholders' best interests.

Maryland business statutes may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us.

As a Maryland corporation, we are subject to various Maryland laws that may have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire us and increasing the difficulty of consummating any such offers, even if an acquisition would be in our stockholders' best interests. The Maryland General Corporation Law restricts mergers and other business combination

transactions between us and any person who acquires beneficial ownership of shares of our stock representing 10% or more of the voting power without our Board of Directors' prior approval. Any such business combination transaction could not be completed until five years after the person acquired such voting power, and generally only with the approval of stockholders representing 80% of all votes entitled to be cast and 66²/₃% of the votes entitled to be cast, excluding the interested stockholder, or upon payment of a fair price. Maryland law also provides generally that a person who acquires shares of our capital stock that represent 10% or more of the voting power in electing directors will have no voting rights unless approved by a vote of two-thirds of the shares eligible to vote. Additionally, Maryland law provides, among other things, that the board of directors has broad discretion in

Table of Contents

adopting stockholders' rights plans and has the sole power to fix the record date, time and place for special meetings of the stockholders. In addition, Maryland law provides that corporations that:

have at least three directors who are not employees of the entity or related to an acquiring person; and

are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

may elect in their charter or bylaws or by resolution of the board of directors to be subject to all or part of a special subtitle that provides that:

the corporation will have a staggered board of directors;

any director may be removed only for cause and by the vote of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast in the election of directors generally, even if a lesser proportion is provided in the charter or bylaws;

the number of directors may only be set by the board of directors, even if the procedure is contrary to the charter or bylaws;

vacancies may only be filled by the remaining directors, even if the procedure is contrary to the charter or bylaws; and

the secretary of the corporation may call a special meeting of stockholders at the request of stockholders only on the written request of the stockholders entitled to cast at least a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast at the meeting, even if the procedure is contrary to the charter or bylaws.

To date, we have not made any of the elections described above.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments*

None.

Item 2. *Properties*

Our properties are located in 44 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Our geographic allocation strategy focuses on target markets which are grouped by region below. The following table sets forth information on all of our property operations as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

	2008		2007	
	Number of Properties	Number of Units	Number of Properties	Number of Units
Conventional:				
Pacific	38	10,504	39	10,616
Northeast	66	20,169	70	23,490
Sunbelt	104	30,928	144	39,554
Chicago	19	5,555	22	6,344

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Total target markets	227	67,156	275	80,004
Opportunistic and other markets	83	26,288	164	47,528
Total conventional owned and managed	310	93,444	439	127,532
Affordable owned and managed	289	33,888	312	37,104
Property management	34	3,252	36	3,228
Asset management	359	32,223	382	35,176
Total	992	162,807	1,169	203,040

At December 31, 2008, we owned an equity interest in and consolidated 514 properties containing 117,719 apartment units, which we refer to as consolidated properties. These consolidated properties contain, on average, 229 apartment units, with the largest property containing 2,113 apartment units. These properties offer residents a range of amenities, including swimming pools, clubhouses, spas, fitness centers and tennis courts. Many of the apartment units offer features such as vaulted ceilings, fireplaces, washer and dryer hook-ups, cable television,

Table of Contents

balconies and patios. Additional information on our consolidated properties is contained in Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. At December 31, 2008, we held an equity interest in and did not consolidate 85 properties containing 9,613 apartment units, which we refer to as unconsolidated properties. In addition, we provided property management services for 34 properties containing 3,252 apartment units, and asset management services for 359 properties containing 32,223 apartment units. In certain cases, we may indirectly own generally less than one percent of the operations of such properties through a partnership syndication or other fund.

Substantially all of our consolidated properties are encumbered by mortgage indebtedness. At December 31, 2008, our consolidated properties classified as held for use in our consolidated balance sheet were encumbered by aggregate mortgage indebtedness totaling \$6,281.1 million having an aggregate weighted average interest rate of 5.55%. Such mortgage indebtedness was secured by 497 properties with a combined net book value of \$8,005.6 million. Included in the 497 properties, we had a total of 37 mortgage loans on 25 properties, with an aggregate principal balance outstanding of \$483.7 million, that were each secured by property and cross-collateralized with certain (but not all) other mortgage loans within this group of mortgage loans (see Note 6 of the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information about our indebtedness).

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

See the information under the caption Legal Matters in Note 8 of the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for information regarding legal proceedings, which information is incorporated by reference in this Item 3.

Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders*

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2008.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Our Common Stock has been listed and traded on the NYSE under the symbol AIV since July 22, 1994. The following table sets forth the quarterly high and low sales prices of our Common Stock, as reported on the NYSE, and the dividends declared in the periods indicated:

Quarter Ended	High(2)	Low(2)	Dividends Declared (per share)	Dividends Declared (per share, adjusted)(3)
2008				
December 31, 2008(1)	\$ 43.67	\$ 7.01	\$ 3.88	\$ 3.17
September 30, 2008(1)	42.28	29.25	3.00	2.13
June 30, 2008	41.24	33.33	0.60	0.43
March 31, 2008	41.11	29.91	0.00	0.00
2007				
December 31, 2007(1)	\$ 49.15	\$ 33.97	\$ 3.11	\$ 2.10
September 30, 2007	51.62	38.65	0.60	0.41
June 30, 2007	58.98	47.10	0.60	0.41
March 31, 2007	65.79	54.08	0.00	0.00

(1) During 2007 and 2008, our Board of Directors declared special dividends which were paid part in cash and part in shares of Common Stock as noted below. Our Board of Directors declared the dividends to address taxable gains from 2007 and 2008 property sales.

Declaration Date	Payment Date	Dividend Declared	Total Dividend	Portion Paid in Cash	Portion Paid in Stock	Shares Issued	Effective Increase in Shares on Record Date
December 21, 2007	January 30, 2008	\$ 2.51	\$ 232.9 million	\$ 55.0 million	\$ 177.9 million	4,594,074	4.95
July 18, 2008	August 29, 2008	\$ 3.00	\$ 256.9 million	\$ 51.4 million	\$ 205.5 million	5,731,310	6.70
October 16, 2008	December 1, 2008	\$ 1.80	\$ 159.6 million	\$ 53.2 million	\$ 106.4 million	12,572,267	14.18
December 18, 2008	January 29, 2009	\$ 2.08	\$ 210.4 million	\$ 60.6 million	\$ 149.8 million	15,627,330	15.45

(2)

High and low sales prices of our Common Stock have not been retroactively adjusted for the effect of additional shares of Common Stock issued pursuant to the special dividends discussed in Note (1) above.

- (3) Dividends declared per share have been retroactively adjusted for the effect of additional shares of Common Stock issued pursuant to the special dividends discussed in Note (1) above.

Our Board of Directors determines and declares our dividends. In making a dividend determination, the Board of Directors considers a variety of factors, including: REIT distribution requirements; current market conditions; liquidity needs and other uses of cash, such as for deleveraging and accretive investment activities, including the repurchase of our common and preferred shares. We have previously announced that we intend to adjust the regular annual per share dividend from \$2.40 (or \$0.60 per quarter) to \$1.00 (or \$0.25 per quarter). The Board of Directors may further adjust the dividend amount or the frequency with which the dividend is paid based on then prevailing facts and circumstances.

On February 25, 2009, the closing price of our Common Stock was \$5.47 per share, as reported on the NYSE, and there were 117,298,253 shares of Common Stock outstanding, held by 3,018 stockholders of record. The number of holders does not include individuals or entities who beneficially own shares but whose shares are held of record by a broker or clearing agency, but does include each such broker or clearing agency as one recordholder.

Table of Contents

As a REIT, we are required to distribute annually to holders of common stock at least 90% of our real estate investment trust taxable income, which, as defined by the Code and United States Department of Treasury regulations, is generally equivalent to net taxable ordinary income.

From time to time, we issue shares of Common Stock in exchange for common and preferred OP Units tendered to the Aimco Operating Partnership for redemption in accordance with the terms and provisions of the agreement of limited partnership of the Aimco Operating Partnership. Such shares are issued based on an exchange ratio of one share for each common OP Unit or the applicable conversion ratio for preferred OP Units. The shares are generally issued in exchange for OP Units in private transactions exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof. During the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2008, approximately 4,400 and 160,000 shares of Common Stock were issued in exchange for common OP Units, respectively. During the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2008, no shares of Common Stock were issued in exchange for preferred OP Units.

The following table summarizes repurchases of our equity securities in the quarter ended December 31, 2008(1):

Fiscal period(2)	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased (adjusted)	Average Price Paid per Share (adjusted)	Total Number Of Shares Purchased As Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under Plans or Programs (3)
October 1						
October 31, 2008	2,018,471	\$ 24.77	2,660,765	\$ 18.81	2,018,471	19,324,299
November 1						
November 30, 2008		N/A		N/A		19,324,299
December 1						
December 31, 2008		N/A		N/A		19,324,299
Total	2,018,471	\$ 24.77	2,660,765	\$ 18.81	2,018,471	

(1) Our Board of Directors has, from time to time, authorized us to repurchase shares of our outstanding capital stock. As of December 31, 2008, we were authorized to repurchase approximately 19.3 million additional shares. This authorization has no expiration date. These repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

(2) During the year ended December 31, 2008, we repurchased approximately 13.9 million shares of Common Stock for approximately \$473.5 million, or \$34.02 per share, or 19.3 million shares for \$24.48 per share, as adjusted for the special dividends.

- (3) The number of shares authorized for repurchase was not affected by the special dividends.
- (4) Since we began repurchasing shares in the third quarter of 2006, we have repurchased approximately 23.7 million shares, or approximately 24.3% of the shares outstanding on July 31, 2006, at an average price of \$38.84, or 33.7 million shares for \$27.19 per share, as adjusted for the special dividends.

Dividend Payments

Our Credit Agreement includes customary covenants, including a restriction on dividends and other restricted payments, but permits dividends during any four consecutive fiscal quarters in an aggregate amount of up to 95% of our Funds From Operations for such period, subject to certain non-cash adjustments, or such amount as may be necessary to maintain our REIT status.

Performance Graph

The following graph compares cumulative total returns for our Common Stock, the Standard & Poor's 500 Total Return Index (the S&P 500), the NASDAQ Composite, the SNL REIT Residential Index and the MSCI US REIT Index. The SNL REIT Residential Index was prepared by SNL Securities, an independent research and publishing firm specializing in the collection and dissemination of data on the banking, thrift and financial services industries.

The MSCI US REIT Index is published by Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc., a provider of equity indices. The indices are weighted for all companies that fit the definitional criteria of the particular index and are

Table of Contents

calculated to exclude companies as they are acquired and add them to the index calculation as they become publicly traded companies. All companies of the definitional criteria in existence at the point in time presented are included in the index calculations. The graph assumes the investment of \$100 in our Common Stock and in each index on December 31, 2003, and that all dividends paid have been reinvested. The historical information set forth below is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

Total Return Performance

Index	For the Years Ended December 31,					
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Aimco	100.00	120.39	127.96	198.59	144.33	88.40
NASDAQ Composite	100.00	108.59	110.08	120.56	132.39	78.72
SNL REIT Residential Index	100.00	132.64	150.68	210.79	158.12	117.89
MSCI US REIT	100.00	131.49	147.44	200.40	166.70	103.40
S&P 500	100.00	110.88	116.33	134.70	142.10	89.53

Source: (other than with respect to S&P 500) SNL Financial LC, Charlottesville, VA ©2009.

The Performance Graph will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing by the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates the same by reference.

The information required by Item 5 with respect to securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is incorporated by reference in Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following selected financial data is based on our audited historical financial statements. This information should be read in conjunction with such financial statements, including the notes thereto, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included herein or in previous filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2008	2007(1)	2006(1)	2005(1)	2004(1)
	(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)				
OPERATING DATA:					
Total revenues	\$ 1,457,918	\$ 1,376,820	\$ 1,274,163	\$ 1,058,290	\$ 950,894
Total operating expenses	(1,253,151)	(1,135,065)	(1,044,052)	(873,442)	(759,647)
Operating income	204,767	241,755	230,111	184,848	191,247
Income (loss) from continuing operations(2)	(129,298)	(53,184)	(50,104)	(31,957)	46,933
Income from discontinued operations, net(3)	544,761	83,095	226,891	102,939	220,521
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle					(3,957)
Net income	415,463	29,911	176,787	70,982	263,497
Net income attributable to preferred stockholders	53,708	66,016	81,132	87,948	88,804
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	361,755	(36,105)	95,655	(16,966)	174,693
Earnings (loss) per common share basic and diluted(4):					
Loss from continuing operations (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders)	\$ (1.51)	\$ (0.85)	\$ (0.93)	\$ (0.87)	\$ (0.31)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 2.98	\$ (0.26)	\$ 0.68	\$ (0.12)	\$ 1.28
BALANCE SHEET INFORMATION:					
Real estate, net of accumulated depreciation	\$ 8,102,368	\$ 7,887,042	\$ 7,325,217	\$ 6,546,302	\$ 6,028,863
Total assets	9,403,157	10,606,532	10,289,775	10,019,160	10,074,316
Total indebtedness	6,777,121	6,402,972	5,612,045	4,874,966	4,249,107
Stockholders' equity	1,418,434	1,749,704	2,339,892	2,716,103	3,008,160
OTHER INFORMATION:					
Dividends declared per common share(4)	\$ 5.73	\$ 2.92	\$ 1.63	\$ 2.03	\$ 1.63
Total consolidated properties (end of period)	514	657	703	619	676
Total consolidated apartment units (end of period)	117,719	153,758	162,432	158,548	169,932

Total unconsolidated properties (end of period)	85	94	102	264	330
Total unconsolidated apartment units (end of period)	9,613	10,878	11,791	35,269	44,728
Units managed (end of period)(5)	35,475	38,404	42,190	46,667	49,074

- (1) Certain reclassifications have been made to conform to the 2008 presentation. These reclassifications primarily represent presentation changes related to discontinued operations in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144.
- (2) Loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2008, includes a \$107.5 million pre-tax provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets, which is discussed further in *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* in Item 7.
- (3) Income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2008, includes \$618.2 million in gains on disposition of real estate, net of minority partners' interest, resulting from the sale of 151 properties, which is discussed further in *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* in Item 7.
- (4) Per share amounts for each of the periods presented have been retroactively adjusted for the effect of shares of Common Stock issued in connection with special dividends paid during 2008 and in January 2009 (see Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further discussion of the special dividends).
- (5) The years ended 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 include 32,223, 35,176, 38,617, 41,421 and 41,233 units, respectively, for which we provide asset management services only, although in certain cases we may indirectly own generally less than one percent of the operations of such properties through a partnership syndication or other fund.

Table of Contents

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

Executive Overview

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, engaged in the acquisition, ownership, management and redevelopment of apartment properties. Our property operations are characterized by diversification of product, location and price point. As of December 31, 2008, we owned or managed 992 apartment properties containing 162,807 units located in 44 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Our primary sources of income and cash are rents associated with apartment leases.

The key financial indicators that we use in managing our business and in evaluating our financial condition and operating performance are: NAV; Funds From Operations, or FFO; Adjusted Funds From Operations, or AFFO, which is FFO less spending for Capital Replacements; same store property operating results; net operating income; net operating income less spending for Capital Replacements, or Free Cash Flow; Economic Income; financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. FFO and Capital Replacements are defined and further described in the sections captioned Funds From Operations and Capital Expenditures below. The key macro-economic factors and non-financial indicators that affect our financial condition and operating performance are: rates of job growth; single-family and multifamily housing starts; interest rates; and availability and cost of financing.

Because our operating results depend primarily on income from our properties, the supply and demand for apartments influences our operating results. Additionally, the level of expenses required to operate and maintain our properties, the pace and price at which we redevelop, acquire and dispose of our apartment properties, and the volume and timing of fee transactions affect our operating results. Our cost of capital is affected by the conditions in the capital and credit markets and the terms that we negotiate for our equity and debt financings.

As the financial and economic environment became more challenging during 2008, we focused on: serving and retaining residents; controlling costs and increasing efficiency through improved business processes and automation; controlling capital spending; minimizing our cost of capital, building cash and reducing leverage; and upgrading the quality of our portfolio through portfolio management. Additionally, in connection with 2008 property sales and expected reductions in redevelopment and transactional activities, we initiated an organizational restructuring during the fourth quarter of 2008. We expect 2009 to continue to be very difficult and will continue to evaluate our activities and organizational structure, and intend to adjust as necessary.

Our portfolio management strategy includes property dispositions and acquisitions aimed at concentrating our portfolio in our target markets. Over the next two years and subject to market conditions and various REIT requirements, we expect to sell approximately \$2.0 billion of conventional and affordable assets located primarily outside these target markets.

The following discussion and analysis of the results of our operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Overview

2008 compared to 2007

We reported net income of \$415.5 million and net income attributable to common stockholders of \$361.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to net income of \$29.9 million and net loss attributable to common stockholders of \$36.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, increases of \$385.6 million and \$397.9 million, respectively. These increases were principally due to the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail below:

- an increase in income from discontinued operations, primarily related to higher net gains on sales of real estate;
- an increase in gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other, primarily related to our disposition in 2008 of interests in two unconsolidated real estate partnerships; and
- an increase in net operating income associated with property operations, reflecting improved operations of our same store properties and other properties.

The effects of these items on our operating results were partially offset by:

- a provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets;
- an increase in depreciation and amortization expense, primarily related to completed redevelopments; and
- a restructuring provision recognized during the fourth quarter of 2008.

2007 compared to 2006

We reported net income of \$29.9 million and net loss attributable to common stockholders of \$36.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to net income of \$176.8 million and net income attributable to common stockholders of \$95.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, decreases of \$146.9 million and \$131.8 million, respectively. These decreases were principally due to the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail below:

- a decrease in income from discontinued operations, primarily due to decreases in net gains on dispositions of real estate;
- an increase in interest expense, reflecting higher loan principal balances resulting from refinancings, share repurchases and acquisitions; and
- an increase in depreciation and amortization expense, primarily related to completed redevelopments and newly consolidated properties.

The effects of these items on our operating results were partially offset by:

- an increase in net operating income associated with property operations, reflecting improved operations of our same store properties and other properties; and

the recognition in 2007 of deferred debt extinguishment gains in connection with the refinancing of certain mortgage loans that had been restructured in a 1997 bankruptcy settlement.

The following paragraphs discuss these and other items affecting the results of our operations in more detail.

Business Segment Operating Results

We have two reportable segments: real estate (owning, operating and redeveloping apartments) and investment management (portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities). Several members of our executive management team comprise our chief operating decision maker, as defined in FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosures About*

Table of Contents

Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, and use various generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance and financial condition of the business, including: NAV; FFO; AFFO; same store property operating results; net operating income; Free Cash Flow; Economic Income; financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. The chief operating decision maker emphasizes net operating income as a key measurement of segment profit or loss. Segment net operating income is generally defined as segment revenues less direct segment operating expenses.

Real Estate Segment

Our real estate segment involves the ownership and operation of properties that generate rental and other property-related income through the leasing of apartment units. Our real estate segment's net operating income also includes income from property management services performed for unconsolidated partnerships and unrelated parties.

The following table summarizes our real estate segment's net operating income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Real estate segment revenues:			
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 1,350,950	\$ 1,296,142	\$ 1,212,958
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	6,345	6,923	12,312
	1,357,295	1,303,065	1,225,270
Real estate segment expenses:			
Property operating expenses	626,001	596,902	549,716
Property management expenses	5,385	6,678	6,289
	631,386	603,580	556,005
Real estate segment net operating income	\$ 725,909	\$ 699,485	\$ 669,265

Consolidated Conventional Same Store Property Operating Results

Same store operating results is a key indicator we use to assess the performance of our property operations and to understand the period over period operations of a consistent portfolio of properties. We define consolidated same store properties as our conventional properties (i) that we manage, (ii) in which our ownership interest exceeds 10%, (iii) the operations of which have been stabilized, and (iv) that have not been sold or classified as held for sale, in each case, throughout all periods presented. The following tables summarize the operations of our consolidated conventional rental property operations:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	Change
Consolidated same store revenues.	\$ 837,748	\$ 821,287	2.0%
Consolidated same store expenses	325,514	329,122	(1.1)%

Same store net operating income	512,234	492,165	4.1%
Reconciling items(1)	213,675	207,320	3.1%
Real estate segment net operating income	\$ 725,909	\$ 699,485	3.8%
Same store operating statistics:			
Properties	219	219	
Apartment units	69,565	69,565	
Average physical occupancy	94.9%	94.7%	0.2%
Average rent/unit/month	\$ 970	\$ 954	1.7%

Table of Contents

- (1) Reflects property revenues and property operating expenses related to consolidated properties other than same store properties (e.g., affordable, acquisition, redevelopment and newly consolidated properties) and casualty gains and losses.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, consolidated same store net operating income increased \$20.1 million, or 4.1%. Revenues increased \$16.5 million, or 2.0%, primarily due to higher average rent (up \$16 per unit) and an increase in average physical occupancy. Expenses decreased by \$3.6 million, or 1.1%, primarily due to decreases of \$2.2 million in repair and maintenance expense, \$1.4 million in turnover expense and \$1.9 million in employee compensation and related expenses, offset by an increase of \$2.0 million in utilities expense.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, consolidated real estate segment net operating income related to consolidated properties other than same store properties increased by \$6.4 million, or 3.1%. Increases in net operating income attributable to affordable, acquisition and redevelopment properties contributed to the increase, and were partially offset by increases in casualty losses of \$6.5 million, including \$2.7 million related to Tropical Storm Fay and Hurricane Ike during the year ended December 31, 2008.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	Change
Consolidated same store revenues	\$ 821,287	\$ 780,052	5.3%
Consolidated same store expenses	329,122	315,461	4.3%
Same store net operating income	492,165	464,591	5.9%
Reconciling items(1)	207,320	204,674	1.3%
Real estate segment net operating income	\$ 699,485	\$ 669,265	4.5%
Same store operating statistics:			
Properties	219	219	
Apartment units	69,565	69,565	
Average physical occupancy	94.7%	94.7%	
Average rent/unit/month	\$ 954	\$ 914	4.4%

- (1) Reflects property revenues and property operating expenses related to consolidated properties other than same store properties (e.g., affordable, acquisition, redevelopment and newly consolidated properties) and casualty gains and losses.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, consolidated same store net operating income increased \$27.6 million, or 5.9%. Revenues increased \$41.2 million, or 5.3%, primarily due to higher average rent (up \$40 per unit) and a \$6.4 million increase in utility reimbursements. Expenses increased by \$13.7 million, or 4.3%, primarily due to increases of \$5.1 million in employee compensation and related expenses, \$2.5 million in contract services expense, \$2.3 million in marketing expense, \$2.1 million in taxes and \$2.0 million in insurance expense.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, consolidated real estate segment net operating income related to consolidated properties other than same store properties increased by \$2.6 million, or 1.3%. Increases in net operating income attributable to affordable, acquisition and redevelopment properties contributed to the increase, and were partially offset by an unfavorable change in casualty losses, resulting from casualty gains recognized in 2006.

Investment Management Segment

Our investment management segment includes activities and services related to our owned portfolio of properties as well as services provided to affiliated partnerships. Activities and services that fall within investment management include portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities. Within our owned portfolio, we refer to these activities as Portfolio

Table of Contents

Management, and their benefit is seen in property operating results and in investment gains. For affiliated partnerships, we refer to these activities as Asset Management, for which we are separately compensated through fees paid by third party investors. The expenses of this segment consist primarily of the costs of departments that perform these activities. These activities are conducted in part by our taxable subsidiaries, and the related net operating income may be subject to income taxes.

Transactions occur on varying timetables; thus, the income varies from period to period. We have affiliated real estate partnerships for which we have identified a pipeline of transactional opportunities. As a result, we view asset management fees as a predictable part of our core business strategy. Asset management revenue includes certain fees that were earned in a prior period, but not recognized at that time because collectibility was not reasonably assured. Those fees may be recognized in a subsequent period upon occurrence of a transaction or a high level of the probability of occurrence of a transaction within twelve months, or improvement in operations that generates sufficient cash to pay the fees.

The following table summarizes the net operating income from our investment management segment for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Asset management and tax credit revenues	\$ 100,623	\$ 73,755	\$ 48,893
Investment management expenses	21,389	20,514	14,742
Investment segment net operating income(1)	\$ 79,234	\$ 53,241	\$ 34,151

(1) Excludes certain items of income and expense, which are included in our consolidated statements of income in: other expenses, net; interest expense; interest income; (loss) gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other; and minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, net operating income from investment management increased \$26.0 million, or 48.8%. This increase is attributable to a \$30.7 million increase in promote income, which is income earned in connection with the disposition of properties owned by our consolidated joint ventures, and a \$9.2 million increase in other general partner transactional fees, partially offset by a \$7.4 million decrease in asset management fees, a \$0.9 million increase in investment management expenses and a \$5.0 million decrease in revenues associated with our affordable housing tax credit syndication business, including syndication fees and other revenue earned in connection with these arrangements.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, net operating income from investment management increased \$19.1 million, or 55.9%. This increase is primarily attributable to a \$9.6 million increase in promote income, an \$8.6 million increase in asset management fees and an increase of \$9.1 million in revenues associated with our affordable housing tax credit syndication business, including syndication fees and other revenue earned in connection with these arrangements. These increases were partially offset by an increase in expenses and a decrease in other general partner transactional fees.

Other Operating Expenses (Income)

Depreciation and Amortization

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, depreciation and amortization increased \$54.8 million, or 13.6%. This increase reflects depreciation of \$74.8 million for newly acquired properties, completed redevelopments and other capital projects recently placed in service. This increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$25.7 million in depreciation adjustments necessary to reduce the carrying amount of buildings and improvements to their estimated disposition value, or zero in the case of a planned demolition (see *Impairment of Long-Lived Assets* in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

For the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, depreciation and amortization increased \$35.0 million, or 9.5%. This increase reflects depreciation of \$23.7 million for newly acquired properties, completed redevelopments and other capital projects recently placed in service. Depreciation

Table of Contents

also increased by approximately \$8.6 million as a result of depreciation adjustments necessary to reduce the carrying amount of buildings and improvements to their estimated disposition value, or to zero in connection with a planned demolition (see *Impairment of Long-Lived Assets* in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

General and Administrative Expenses

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, general and administrative expenses increased \$8.4 million, or 9.2%. This increase is primarily attributable to higher personnel and related expenses of \$6.1 million and an increase of \$1.5 million in information technology communications costs.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.9 million, or 1.0%. This decrease is primarily due to a reduction in variable compensation, partially offset by an increase in salaries and benefits (net of capitalization) related to additional redevelopment personnel and an increase in director compensation resulting from the addition of two new board members.

Other Expenses, Net

Other expenses, net includes franchise taxes, risk management activities, partnership administration expenses and certain non-recurring items.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, other expenses, net changed unfavorably by \$3.4 million. The net unfavorable change includes a \$5.4 million write-off of certain communications hardware and capitalized costs during 2008 (see *Capitalized Software Costs* in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8) and a \$1.2 million write-off of redevelopment costs associated with a change in the planned use of a property during 2008. The net unfavorable change also reflects \$3.6 million of income recognized in 2007 related to the transfer of certain property rights to an unrelated party. These unfavorable changes were partially offset by a \$3.7 million reduction in expenses of our self insurance activities (net of \$2.8 million of costs in 2008 related to Tropical Storm Fay and Hurricane Ike) and a net decrease of \$2.0 million in costs related to certain litigation matters.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, other expenses, net changed unfavorably by \$3.6 million. The net unfavorable change is primarily attributable to our self insurance activities, including a \$7.9 million increase in claims on our consolidated properties in excess of reimbursements from third parties, and the settlement of certain litigation matters which resulted in a \$2.5 million unfavorable change during the year ended December 31, 2007. These unfavorable changes were partially offset by favorable changes related to a \$2.9 million charge recorded in 2006 related to the valuation of the High Performance Units (see Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8) and a \$1.7 million charge for one-time benefits to certain employees terminated in 2006 that did not recur in 2007. Other expenses, net for the year ended December 31, 2007, also includes \$3.6 million of income related to the transfer of certain property rights to an unrelated party.

Restructuring Costs

In connection with 2008 property sales and an expected reduction in redevelopment and transactional activities, during the three months ended December 31, 2008, we initiated an organizational restructuring program that included reductions in workforce and related costs, reductions in leased corporate facilities and abandonment of certain redevelopment projects and business pursuits. As a result, we recognized a restructuring charge of \$22.8 million (\$20.5 million net of tax), which consists of: severance costs of \$12.9 million; unrecoverable lease obligations and related costs of \$6.4 million related to space that we will no longer use; and the write-off of deferred transaction costs totaling \$3.5 million associated with certain acquisitions and redevelopment opportunities that we will no longer pursue. No comparable restructuring costs were incurred during the years ended December 31, 2007 or 2006.

Table of Contents

Interest Income

Interest income consists primarily of interest on notes receivable from non-affiliates and unconsolidated real estate partnerships, interest on cash and restricted cash accounts, and accretion of discounts on certain notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships. Transactions that result in accretion occur infrequently and thus accretion income may vary from period to period.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, interest income decreased \$23.8 million, or 58.1%. The decrease is primarily attributable to a decrease of \$16.0 million due to lower interest rates on notes receivable, cash and restricted cash balances and lower average balances. The decrease also includes the effect of a \$5.8 million net adjustment to accretion on certain discounted notes during the year ended December 31, 2008, resulting from a change in the estimated timing and amount of collection, and \$1.5 million of accretion income recognized during the year ended December 31, 2007, related to the prepayment of principal on certain discounted loans collateralized by properties in West Harlem in New York City, which were funded in November 2006.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, interest income increased \$8.7 million, or 27.1%. This increase is primarily due to \$5.9 million of interest income earned during 2007 on loans collateralized by properties in West Harlem in New York City, which were funded in November 2006, and an increase in interest income earned on escrowed funds related to a tax exempt bond financing transaction and certain property sales during 2007.

Interest Expense

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, interest expense, which includes the amortization of deferred financing costs, increased \$13.3 million, or 3.7%. Interest on property loans payable increased \$19.1 million due to higher balances resulting primarily from refinancing activities, offset by lower average interest rates. Interest expense also increased by \$4.6 million due to decreases in capitalized interest related to redevelopment activities. These increases were partially offset by a \$10.4 million decrease in corporate interest expense primarily due to lower average interest rates.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, interest expense, which includes the amortization of deferred financing costs, increased \$29.4 million, or 9.0%. Interest on property debt increased \$32.5 million primarily due to higher balances resulting from refinancing activities and mortgage loans on newly acquired properties, offset by lower weighted average rates. Corporate interest increased by \$3.1 million as a result of higher weighted average rates and a higher average balance during the year ended December 31, 2007. These increases were partially offset by a \$6.2 million increase in capitalized interest related to increased levels of redevelopment and entitlement activities.

Deficit Distributions to Minority Partners

When real estate partnerships that are consolidated in our financial statements disburse cash to partners in excess of the carrying amount of the minority interest, we record a charge equal to the excess amount, even though there is no economic effect or cost.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, deficit distributions to minority partners increased \$10.4 million. Deficit distributions to minority partners increased in 2008 partially due to \$17.0 million in deficit distributions to minority interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership, resulting from higher cash distributions associated with Aimco Operating Partnership's special distributions discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8. This increase was partially offset by lower levels of distributions to

minority interests in consolidated real estate partnerships in 2008, including distributions in connection with debt refinancing transactions.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, deficit distributions to minority partners increased \$17.1 million. This increase reflects higher levels of distributions to minority interests in consolidated real estate partnerships in 2007, including several large distributions in connection with debt refinancing transactions.

Table of Contents

Provision for Operating Real Estate Impairment Losses

Real estate and other long-lived assets to be held and used are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, unless the carrying amount of the asset is not recoverable. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, provision for operating real estate impairment losses increased by \$4.0 million, from \$1.6 million to \$5.6 million. This increase is primarily attributed to a reduction in the estimated holding period for certain assets anticipated to sell within twelve months, but that did not otherwise meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale at December 31, 2008.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, provision for operating real estate impairment losses increased by \$2.4 million, from a recovery of \$0.8 million in 2006 to a provision of \$1.6 million in 2007. This increase is attributable to impairment losses recognized during 2007 on four properties classified as held for use relative to recoveries on previously recorded impairment losses recognized in 2006.

Provision for Impairment Losses on Real Estate Development Assets

In connection with the preparation of our annual financial statements, we assessed the recoverability of our investment in our Lincoln Place property, located in Venice, California. Based upon the recent decline in land values in Southern California and the expected timing of our redevelopment efforts, we determined that the total carrying amount of the property was no longer probable of full recovery and, accordingly, during the three months ended December 31, 2008, recognized an impairment loss of \$85.4 million (\$55.6 million net of tax).

Similarly, we assessed the recoverability of our investment in Pacific Bay Vistas (formerly Treetops), a vacant property located in San Bruno, California, and determined that the carrying value for the property exceeded its estimated fair value. Accordingly, we recognized an impairment loss of \$5.7 million for this property during the three months ended December 31, 2008.

As part of the March 2002 acquisition of Casden Properties, Inc., we invested \$50.0 million for a 20% passive interest in Casden Properties LLC, an entity organized to buy, re-entitle and develop land parcels in Southern California. Based upon the profit allocation agreement, we account for this investment as a note receivable and have been amortizing the discounted value of the investment to the \$50.0 million previously estimated to be collectible through January 2, 2009, the initial dissolution date of the entity. The managing member is seeking to extend the dissolution date. In connection with the preparation of our annual financial statements and as a result of the aforementioned decline in Southern California land values, we determined our recorded investment of \$47.1 million is not fully recoverable, and accordingly recognized an impairment loss of \$16.3 million (\$10.0 million net of tax) during the three months ended December 31, 2008.

The impairments discussed above totaled \$107.5 million and are included in provisions for impairment losses on real estate development assets in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in Item 8. We recognized no comparable impairments on real estate development assets during the years ended December 31, 2007 or 2006.

Gain on Dispositions of Unconsolidated Real Estate and Other

Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other includes our share of gains related to dispositions of real estate by unconsolidated real estate partnerships, gains on disposition of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships, gains on dispositions of land and other non-depreciable assets and costs related to asset disposal activities. For the year ended December 31, 2007, gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other also includes a gain on extinguishment of debt. Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of disposition activity from period to period. Additionally, gains on properties sold are determined

Table of Contents

on an individual property basis or in the aggregate for a group of properties that are sold in a single transaction, and are not comparable period to period.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other increased \$67.5 million. This increase is primarily attributable to a \$98.4 million net gain on the disposition of interests in two unconsolidated real estate partnerships and a \$1.7 million gain on the sale of an undeveloped land parcel during the year ended December 31, 2008. During 2007, we recognized a \$6.0 million non-refundable option and extension fee resulting from the termination of rights under an option agreement to sell the North and Central towers of our Flamingo South Beach property, approximately \$6.7 million of net gains on dispositions of land parcels and our share of gains on dispositions of properties by unconsolidated real estate partnerships, and a \$19.4 million gain on debt extinguishment related to seven properties in the VMS partnership (see Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

For the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other increased \$4.3 million. This increase is primarily related to a \$19.4 million gain on debt extinguishment related to seven properties in the VMS partnership (see Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8), the recognition of a \$6.0 million non-refundable option and extension fee resulting from the termination of rights under an option agreement to sell the North and Central towers of our Flamingo South Beach property, and approximately \$6.7 million of net gains on dispositions of land parcels and our share of gains on dispositions of properties by unconsolidated real estate partnerships in 2007, as compared to net gains of \$27.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2006, on the sale of parcels of land, interests in unconsolidated real estate properties and an interest in an unconsolidated joint venture that owned and operated several student housing properties.

Income Tax Benefit

Certain of our operations, such as property management, asset management and risk management, are conducted through, and certain of our properties are owned by, taxable REIT subsidiaries, each of which we refer to as a TRS. A TRS is a C-corporation that has not elected REIT status and, as such, is subject to United States Federal corporate income tax. We use TRS entities to facilitate our ability to offer certain services and activities to our residents and investment partners, as these services and activities generally cannot be offered directly by the REIT. We also use TRS entities to hold investments in certain properties. Income taxes related to the results of continuing operations of our TRS entities are included in income tax benefit in our consolidated statements of income.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, income tax benefit increased by \$33.5 million. This increase was primarily attributed to \$36.1 million of income tax benefit recognized in 2008 related to the impairments of our Lincoln Place property and our investment in Casden Properties LLC, both of which are owned through TRS entities.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, income tax benefit increased by \$8.7 million. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in losses from continuing operations of our TRS entities, due largely to favorable results from our self-insurance activities, which reduced losses of our TRS entities during 2006.

Minority Interest in Consolidated Real Estate Partnerships

Minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships reflects minority partners' share of operating results of consolidated real estate partnerships. This generally includes the minority partners' share of property management fees, interest on notes and other amounts eliminated in consolidation that we charge to such partnerships. However, we

generally do not recognize a benefit for the minority interest share of partnership losses for partnerships that have deficits in partners' equity.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships changed favorably by \$20.9 million. The change includes a \$9.1 million favorable change relating to the minority interest share of losses for real estate partnerships consolidated during the fourth quarter of 2007, and the remainder relates to increases in the minority partners' share of losses of our other consolidated real estate partnerships.

Table of Contents

For the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships changed favorably by \$13.6 million. This change is primarily attributable to our revised accounting treatment for tax credit arrangements (see *Tax Credit Arrangements* in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8) which resulted in the reversal in 2006 of a previously recognized benefit of \$9.0 million for losses of tax credit partnerships that were allocated to minority interests in prior years, but which are absorbed by us under our revised accounting treatment. This favorable change was in addition to an increase in the minority interest partners' share of losses of other consolidated real estate partnerships.

Income from Discontinued Operations, Net

The results of operations for properties sold during the period or designated as held for sale at the end of the period are generally required to be classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented. The components of net earnings that are classified as discontinued operations include all property-related revenues and operating expenses, depreciation expense recognized prior to the classification as held for sale, property-specific interest expense and debt extinguishment gains and losses to the extent there is secured debt on the property, and any related minority interest. In addition, any impairment losses on assets held for sale and the net gain or loss on the eventual disposal of properties held for sale are reported in discontinued operations.

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, income from discontinued operations, net totaled \$544.8 million, \$83.1 million and \$226.9 million, respectively. The \$461.7 million increase in income from discontinued operations from 2007 to 2008 was principally due to a \$515.3 million increase in gain on dispositions of real estate, net of minority partners' interest and income taxes, a \$31.2 million decrease in interest expense and a \$36.3 million increase in recovery of deficit distributions to minority partners, partially offset by a \$39.4 million decrease in operating income, a \$19.1 million increase in real estate impairment losses, a \$41.1 million increase in minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership and a decrease of \$22.8 million attributable to a 2007 gain on debt extinguishment related to eight properties in the VMS partnership. The \$143.8 million decrease in income from discontinued operations from 2006 to 2007 was principally due to a \$163.4 million decrease in gain on dispositions of real estate, net of minority partners' interest and income taxes, a \$16.6 million decrease in recovery of deficit distributions to minority partners, a \$12.0 million decrease in operating income and a \$5.3 million increase in real estate impairment losses, partially offset by a \$21.7 decrease in interest expense, a \$15.7 million decrease in minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership and an increase of \$22.8 million attributable to a 2007 gain on debt extinguishment related to eight properties in the VMS partnership.

During 2008, we sold 151 consolidated properties, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately \$578.2 million (which is net of \$40.0 million of related income taxes). Additionally, we recognized \$24.0 million in impairment losses on assets sold or classified as held for sale in 2008 and \$30.1 million of net recoveries of deficit distributions to minority partners. During 2007, we sold 73 consolidated properties, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately \$62.9 million (which is net of \$2.1 million of related income taxes). Additionally, we recognized \$4.9 million in impairment losses on assets sold or classified as held for sale in 2007 and \$6.2 million of deficit distributions to minority partners. During 2006, we sold 77 consolidated properties and the South Tower of the Flamingo South Beach property, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately \$226.3 million (which is net of \$32.6 million of related income taxes). Additionally, we recognized \$0.4 million in impairment recoveries on assets sold in 2006 and \$10.4 million of net recoveries of deficit distributions to minority partners. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, income from discontinued operations includes the operating results of the properties sold or classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2008.

Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of our disposition activity from period to period. Additionally, gains on properties sold are determined on an individual property basis or in the aggregate for a group of properties that are sold in a single transaction, and are not comparable period to period (see

Note 13 of the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information on discontinued operations).

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions. We believe that

Table of Contents

the following critical accounting policies involve our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Real estate and other long-lived assets to be held and used are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, unless the carrying amount of the asset is not recoverable. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property.

From time to time, we have non-revenue producing properties that we hold for future redevelopment. We assess the recoverability of the carrying amount of these redevelopment properties by comparing our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows based on the expected service potential of the redevelopment property upon completion to the carrying amount. In certain instances, we use a probability-weighted approach to determine our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows when alternative courses of action are under consideration. As discussed in *Provision for Impairment Losses on Real Estate Development Assets* within the preceding discussion of Results of Operations, during 2008 we recognized impairment losses on our Lincoln Place and Pacific Bay Vistas properties of \$85.4 million (\$55.6 million net of tax) and \$5.7 million, respectively.

Real estate investments are subject to varying degrees of risk. Several factors may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our real estate investments. These factors include:

the general economic climate;

competition from other apartment communities and other housing options;

local conditions, such as loss of jobs or an increase in the supply of apartments, that might adversely affect apartment occupancy or rental rates;

changes in governmental regulations and the related cost of compliance;

increases in operating costs (including real estate taxes) due to inflation and other factors, which may not be offset by increased rents;

changes in tax laws and housing laws, including the enactment of rent control laws or other laws regulating multifamily housing;

availability and cost of financing;

changes in market capitalization rates; and

the relative illiquidity of such investments.

Any adverse changes in these and other factors could cause an impairment in our long-lived assets, including real estate and investments in unconsolidated real estate partnerships. In addition to the impairments of Lincoln Place and Pacific Bay Vistas discussed above and our investment in Casden Properties LLC discussed below, based on periodic tests of recoverability of long-lived assets, for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we recorded net

impairment losses of \$5.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, related to properties to be held and used. For the year ended December 31, 2006, we recorded net recoveries of previously recorded impairment losses of \$0.8 million.

Notes Receivable and Interest Income Recognition

Notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships consist primarily of notes receivable from partnerships in which we are the general partner. Notes receivable from non-affiliates consist of notes receivable from unrelated third parties. The ultimate repayment of these notes is subject to a number of variables, including the performance and value of the underlying real estate and the claims of unaffiliated mortgage lenders. Our notes receivable include loans extended by us that we carry at the face amount plus accrued interest, which we refer to as

Table of Contents

par value notes, and loans extended by predecessors, some of whose positions we generally acquired at a discount, which we refer to as discounted notes.

We record interest income on par value notes as earned in accordance with the terms of the related loan agreements. We discontinue the accrual of interest on such notes when the notes are impaired, as discussed below, or when there is otherwise significant uncertainty as to the collection of interest. We record income on such nonaccrual loans using the cost recovery method, under which we apply cash receipts first to the recorded amount of the loan; thereafter, any additional receipts are recognized as income.

We recognize interest income on discounted notes receivable based upon whether the amount and timing of collections are both probable and reasonably estimable. We consider collections to be probable and reasonably estimable when the borrower has closed transactions or has entered into certain pending transactions (which include real estate sales, refinancings, foreclosures and rights offerings) that provide a reliable source of repayment. In such instances, we recognize accretion income, on a prospective basis using the effective interest method over the estimated remaining term of the loans, equal to the difference between the carrying amount of the discounted notes and the estimated collectible value. We record income on all other discounted notes using the cost recovery method. Accretion income recognized in any given period is based on our ability to complete transactions to monetize the notes receivable and the difference between the carrying value and the estimated collectible amount of the notes; therefore, accretion income varies on a period by period basis and could be lower or higher than in prior periods.

Allowance for Losses on Notes Receivable

We assess the collectibility of notes receivable on a periodic basis, which assessment consists primarily of an evaluation of cash flow projections of the borrower to determine whether estimated cash flows are sufficient to repay principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of the note. We recognize impairments on notes receivable when it is probable that principal and interest will not be received in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. The amount of the impairment to be recognized generally is based on the fair value of the partnership's real estate that represents the primary source of loan repayment. In certain instances where other sources of cash flow are available to repay the loan, the impairment is measured by discounting the estimated cash flows at the loan's original effective interest rate.

During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 we recorded net provisions for losses on notes receivable of \$4.2 million, \$4.0 million and \$2.8 million, respectively. We will continue to evaluate the collectibility of these notes, and we will adjust related allowances in the future due to changes in market conditions and other factors.

Additionally, as discussed in *Provision for Impairment Losses on Real Estate Development Assets* within the preceding discussion of Results of Operations, during 2008 we recognized an impairment loss of \$16.3 million (\$10.0 million net of tax) on our investment in Casden Properties LLC, which we account for as a note receivable.

Capitalized Costs

We capitalize costs, including certain indirect costs, incurred in connection with our capital expenditure activities, including redevelopment and construction projects, other tangible property improvements and replacements of existing property components. Included in these capitalized costs are payroll costs associated with time spent by site employees in connection with the planning, execution and control of all capital expenditure activities at the property level. We characterize as indirect costs an allocation of certain department costs, including payroll, at the area operations and corporate levels that clearly relate to capital expenditure activities. We capitalize interest, property taxes and insurance during periods in which redevelopment and construction projects are in progress. We charge to expense as incurred costs that do not relate to capital expenditure activities, including ordinary repairs, maintenance,

resident turnover costs and general and administrative expenses (see *Capital Expenditures and Related Depreciation* in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, for continuing and discontinued operations, we capitalized \$25.7 million, \$30.8 million and \$24.7 million of interest costs, respectively, and \$78.1 million, \$78.1 million and \$66.2 million of site payroll and indirect costs, respectively.

Table of Contents**Funds From Operations**

FFO is a non-GAAP financial measure that we believe, when considered with the financial statements determined in accordance with GAAP, is helpful to investors in understanding our performance because it captures features particular to real estate performance by recognizing that real estate generally appreciates over time or maintains residual value to a much greater extent than do other depreciable assets such as machinery, computers or other personal property. The Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, defines FFO as net income (loss), computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains from sales of depreciable property, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures are calculated to reflect FFO on the same basis. We compute FFO for all periods presented in accordance with the guidance set forth by NAREIT's April 1, 2002, White Paper, which we refer to as the White Paper. We calculate FFO (diluted) by subtracting redemption or repurchase related preferred stock issuance costs and dividends on preferred stock and adding back dividends/distributions on dilutive preferred securities, discounts on preferred stock redemptions or repurchases and interest expense on dilutive mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred securities. FFO should not be considered an alternative to net income or net cash flows from operating activities, as determined in accordance with GAAP, as an indication of our performance or as a measure of liquidity. FFO is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund future cash needs. In addition, although FFO is a measure used for comparability in assessing the performance of real estate investment trusts, there can be no assurance that our basis for computing FFO is comparable with that of other real estate investment trusts.

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, our FFO is calculated as follows (in thousands):

	2008	2007	2006
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders(1)	\$ 361,755	\$ (36,105)	\$ 95,655
Adjustments:			
Depreciation and amortization(2)	458,595	403,786	368,783
Depreciation and amortization related to non-real estate assets	(18,012)	(20,815)	(22,898)
Depreciation of rental property related to minority partners and unconsolidated entities(3)(4)	(36,571)	(22,277)	1,973
Depreciation of rental property related to minority partners' interest adjustment(5)			7,377
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other	(99,602)	(32,061)	(27,730)
Income tax arising from disposition of unconsolidated real estate and other	(433)	(17)	
Gain on dispositions of non-depreciable assets and debt extinguishment gain	1,670	26,702	11,526
Deficit distributions to minority partners(6)	43,013	32,599	15,519
Discontinued operations:			
Gain on dispositions of real estate, net of minority partners' interest(3)	(618,168)	(65,076)	(258,970)
Depreciation of rental property, net of minority partners' interest(3)(4)	50,786	65,334	107,545
Deficit distributions (recovery of deficit distributions) to minority partners, net(6)	(30,127)	6,161	(10,441)
Income tax arising from disposals	43,146	2,135	32,918
Minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership's share of above adjustments(7)	18,574	(36,830)	(21,721)
Preferred stock dividends	55,190	63,381	74,284
Preferred stock redemption related (gains) costs	(1,482)	2,635	6,848

Funds From Operations	\$ 228,334	\$ 389,552	\$ 380,668
Preferred stock dividends	(55,190)	(63,381)	(74,284)
Preferred stock redemption related gains (costs)	1,482	(2,635)	(6,848)
Dividends/distributions on dilutive preferred securities	4,850	1,875	202
Funds From Operations attributable to common stockholders diluted	\$ 179,476	\$ 325,411	\$ 299,738
Weighted average number of common shares, common share equivalents and dilutive preferred securities outstanding(8):			
Common shares and equivalents(9)	121,672	143,307	144,774
Dilutive preferred securities	2,314	856	105
Total	123,986	144,163	144,879

Notes:

- (1) Represents the numerator for earnings per common share, calculated in accordance with GAAP.
- (2) Includes amortization of management contracts where we are the general partner. Such management contracts were established in certain instances where we acquired a general partner interest in either a consolidated or an unconsolidated partnership. Because the recoverability of these management contracts depends primarily on the operations of the real estate owned by the limited partnerships, we believe it is consistent with the White

Table of Contents

Paper to add back such amortization, as the White Paper directs the add-back of amortization of assets uniquely significant to the real estate industry.

- (3) Minority partners' interest, means minority interest in our consolidated real estate partnerships.
- (4) Adjustments related to minority partners' share of depreciation of rental property for the year ended December 31, 2007, include the subtraction of \$15.1 million and \$17.8 million for continuing operations and discontinued operations, respectively, related to the VMS debt extinguishment gains (see Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8). These subtractions are required because we added back the minority partners' share of depreciation related to rental property in determining FFO in prior periods. Accordingly, the net effect of the VMS debt extinguishment gains on our FFO for the year ended December 31, 2007, was an increase of \$9.3 million (\$8.4 million after Minority Interest in Aimco Operating Partnership).
- (5) Represents prior period depreciation of certain tax credit redevelopment properties that Aimco included in an adjustment to minority interest in real estate partnerships for the year ended December 31, 2006 (see *Tax Credit Arrangements* in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8). This prior period depreciation is added back to determine FFO in accordance with the NAREIT White Paper.
- (6) In accordance with GAAP, deficit distributions to minority partners are charges recognized in our income statement when cash is distributed to a non-controlling partner in a consolidated partnership in excess of the positive balance in such partner's capital account, which is classified as minority interest on our balance sheet. We record these charges for GAAP purposes even though there is no economic effect or cost. Deficit distributions to minority partners occur when the fair value of the underlying real estate exceeds its depreciated net book value because the underlying real estate has appreciated or maintained its value. As a result, the recognition of expense for deficit distributions to minority partners represents, in substance, either (a) our recognition of depreciation previously allocated to the non-controlling partner or (b) a payment related to the non-controlling partner's share of real estate appreciation. Based on White Paper guidance that requires real estate depreciation and gains to be excluded from FFO, we add back deficit distributions and subtract related recoveries in our reconciliation of net income to FFO.
- (7) During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Aimco Operating Partnership had 7,191,199, 7,367,440 and 7,853,174 common OP Units outstanding and 2,367,629, 2,379,084 and 2,379,084 High Performance Units outstanding.
- (8) Weighted average common shares, common share equivalents and dilutive preferred securities amounts for the periods presented have been retroactively adjusted for the effect of shares of Common Stock issued in connection with the special dividends paid during 2008 and in January 2009, which are further discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8.
- (9) Represents the denominator for earnings per common share - diluted, calculated in accordance with GAAP, plus additional common share equivalents that are dilutive for FFO.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability to meet present and future financial obligations. Our primary source of liquidity is cash flow from our operations. Additional sources are proceeds from property sales and proceeds from refinancings of existing mortgage loans and borrowings under new mortgage loans.

Our principal uses for liquidity include normal operating activities, payments of principal and interest on outstanding debt, capital expenditures, dividends paid to stockholders and distributions paid to partners, repurchases of shares of our Common Stock, and acquisitions of, and investments in, properties. We use our cash and cash equivalents and our cash provided by operating activities to meet short-term liquidity needs. In the event that our cash and cash equivalents and cash provided by operating activities are not sufficient to cover our short-term liquidity demands, we have additional means, such as short-term borrowing availability and proceeds from property sales and refinancings, to help us meet our short-term liquidity demands. We may use our revolving credit facility for general corporate purposes and to fund investments on an interim basis. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements, such as debt maturities and property acquisitions, through long-term borrowings, both secured and unsecured, the issuance of debt or equity securities (including OP Units), the sale of properties and cash generated from operations.

Table of Contents

The current state of credit markets and related effect on the overall economy may have an adverse effect on our liquidity, both through increases in interest rates and credit risk spreads, and access to financing. As further discussed in Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, we are subject to interest rate risk associated with certain variable rate liabilities, preferred stock and assets. Based on our net variable rate liabilities, preferred stock and assets outstanding at December 31, 2008, we estimate that a 1.0% increase in 30-day LIBOR with constant credit risk spreads would reduce our income attributable to common stockholders by approximately \$4.3 million on an annual basis. From January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008, both the SIFMA (previously the Bond Market Association index) and 30-day LIBOR rates, the predominant interest rates to which our variable rate debt obligations are indexed, decreased, with the SIFMA rate decreasing from 3.06% to 1.25% and the 30-day LIBOR rate decreasing from 4.57% to 0.45%. Although base interest rates have decreased, the tightening of credit markets has affected the credit risk spreads charged over base interest rates on, and the availability of, mortgage loan financing. For future refinancing activities, our liquidity and cost of funds may be affected by increases in base interest rates or higher credit risk spreads. If timely property financing options are not available for maturing debt, we may consider alternative sources of liquidity, such as reductions in certain capital spending or proceeds from asset dispositions.

From time to time, we enter into total rate of return swaps on various fixed rate secured tax-exempt bonds payable and fixed rate notes payable to convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide an efficient financing product to lower our cost of borrowing. In exchange for our receipt of a fixed rate generally equal to the underlying borrowing's interest rate, the total rate of return swaps require that we pay a variable rate, equivalent to the SIFMA rate for tax-exempt bonds payable and the 30-day LIBOR rate for notes payable, plus a credit risk spread. These swaps generally have a second or third lien on the property collateralized by the related borrowings and the obligations under certain of these swaps are cross-collateralized with certain of the other swaps with a particular counterparty. The total rate of return swaps require specified loan-to-value ratios. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we may be required to provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which would adversely affect our cash flows. The underlying borrowings are generally callable at our option, with no prepayment penalty, with 30 days advance notice, and the swaps generally have a term of less than five years. At December 31, 2008, we had total rate of return swap positions with two financial institutions totaling \$422.1 million and had provided \$3.2 million in cash collateral pursuant to the swap agreements to satisfy the loan-to-value ratio requirements.

The total rate of return swaps have a contractually defined termination value generally equal to the difference between the fair value and the counterparty's purchased value of the underlying borrowings (which is typically par value or contract value), which may require payment by us if the fair value is less than the purchased value, or to us if the fair value exceeds the purchased value. In the event we are unable to extend the arrangements at their maturities, the counterparty, who is also the creditor on the related borrowings, may desire to sell the borrowings. If the counterparty's purchased value of the underlying borrowings exceeds the fair value of the underlying borrowings at the date of the swap maturities, we may elect to purchase the borrowings at counterparty's purchased value to avoid incurring a termination payment under the swap arrangements. In such event, we would be required to refinance the borrowings or find other sources of liquidity to repay the borrowings.

We periodically evaluate counterparty credit risk associated with these arrangements. At the current time, we have concluded we do not have material exposure. In the event a counterparty were to default under these arrangements, loss of the net interest benefit we generally receive under these arrangements, which is equal to the difference between the fixed rate we receive and the variable rate we pay, may adversely affect our operating cash flows. See *Derivative Financial Instruments* in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional discussion of these arrangements, including the current swap maturity dates.

As of December 31, 2008, the amount available under our revolving credit facility was \$578.8 million. For the years ending December 31, 2009 and 2010, we have non-recourse property debt maturities of \$288.0 million and

\$284.7 million, respectively, at an average estimated loan-to-value of approximately 52% and 51%, respectively. Our total outstanding unsecured term debt of \$400.0 million at December 31, 2008, matures in March 2011. In January 2009, we prepaid \$50.0 million of the balance outstanding on the unsecured term debt. Additionally, we have limited obligations to fund redevelopment commitments during the year ending December 31, 2009, and no development commitments.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2008, we had \$299.7 million in cash and cash equivalents, an increase of \$89.2 million from December 31, 2007. At December 31, 2008, we had \$258.3 million of restricted cash, primarily consisting of reserves and escrows held by lenders for bond sinking funds, capital expenditures, property taxes and insurance. In addition, cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash are held by partnerships that are not presented on a consolidated basis. The following discussion relates to changes in cash due to operating, investing and financing activities, which are presented in our consolidated statements of cash flows in Item 8.

Operating Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2008, our net cash provided by operating activities of \$421.5 million was primarily from operating income from our consolidated properties, which is affected primarily by rental rates, occupancy levels and operating expenses related to our portfolio of properties. Cash provided by operating activities decreased \$44.1 million compared with the year ended December 31, 2007, driven primarily by a \$48.3 million decrease in operating income of our consolidated properties, including those classified in discontinued operations, which was attributable to property sales in 2008 and 2007.

Investing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2008, our net cash provided by investing activities of \$1.3 billion consisted primarily of proceeds from disposition of real estate and interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships, partially offset by capital expenditures and purchases of real estate.

Although we hold all of our properties for investment, we sell properties when they do not meet our investment criteria or are located in areas that we believe do not justify our continued investment when compared to alternative uses for our capital. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we sold 151 consolidated properties. These properties were sold for an aggregate sales price of \$2.4 billion and generated proceeds totaling \$2.3 billion, after the payment of transaction costs and debt prepayment penalties. The \$2.3 billion in proceeds is inclusive of promote income which is generated by the disposition of properties owned by our consolidated joint ventures, debt assumed by buyers and sales proceeds placed into escrows for tax-free exchanges and other purposes, all of which are excluded from proceeds from disposition of real estate in the consolidated statement of cash flows. Sales proceeds were used to repay property debt, repay borrowings under our revolving credit facility, repurchase shares of our Common Stock and preferred stock and for other corporate purposes.

Our portfolio management strategy includes property acquisitions and dispositions to concentrate our portfolio in our target markets. We are currently marketing for sale certain properties that are inconsistent with this long-term investment strategy. Additionally, from time to time, we may market certain properties that are consistent with this strategy but offer attractive returns. We plan to use our share of the net proceeds from such dispositions to reduce debt, fund capital expenditures on existing assets, fund acquisitions, and for other operating needs and corporate purposes.

Capital Expenditures

We classify all capital spending as Capital Replacements (which we refer to as CR), Capital Improvements (which we refer to as CI), casualties, redevelopment or entitlement. Expenditures other than casualty, redevelopment and entitlement capital expenditures are apportioned between CR and CI based on the useful life of the capital item under consideration and the period we have owned the property.

CR represents the share of capital expenditures that are deemed to replace the portion of acquired capital assets that was consumed during the period we have owned the asset. CI represents the share of expenditures that are made to

enhance the value, profitability or useful life of an asset as compared to its original purchase condition. CR and CI exclude capital expenditures for casualties, redevelopment and entitlements. Casualty expenditures represent capitalized costs incurred in connection with casualty losses and are associated with the restoration of the asset. A portion of the restoration costs may be reimbursed by insurance carriers subject to deductibles associated with each loss. Redevelopment expenditures represent expenditures that substantially upgrade the property. Entitlement expenditures represent costs incurred in connection with obtaining local governmental approvals to increase density and add residential units to a site. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we spent a total of \$101.4 million,

Table of Contents

\$124.9 million, \$22.8 million, \$340.3 million and \$24.2 million on CR, CI, casualties, redevelopment and entitlement, respectively.

The table below details our share of actual spending, on both consolidated and unconsolidated real estate partnerships, for CR, CI, casualties, redevelopment and entitlements for the year ended December 31, 2008, on a per unit and total dollar basis. Per unit numbers for CR and CI are based on approximately 126,834 average units for the year, including 109,956 conventional units and 16,879 affordable units. Average units are weighted for the portion of the period that we owned an interest in the property, represent ownership-adjusted effective units, and exclude non-managed units. Total capital expenditures are reconciled to our consolidated statement of cash flows for the same period (in thousands, except per unit amounts).

	Aimco's Share of		Per Effective
	Expenditures		Unit
Capital Replacements Detail:			
Building and grounds	\$ 40,516	\$	319
Turnover related	45,724		361
Capitalized site payroll and indirect costs	15,128		119
Our share of Capital Replacements	\$ 101,368	\$	799
Capital Replacements:			
Conventional	\$ 94,574	\$	860
Affordable	6,794	\$	403
Our share of Capital Replacements	101,368	\$	799
Capital Improvements:			
Conventional	113,870	\$	1,036
Affordable	11,016	\$	653
Our share of Capital Improvements	124,886	\$	985
Casualties(1):			
Conventional	21,228		
Affordable	1,615		
Our share of casualties	22,843		
Redevelopment:			
Conventional projects	226,307		
Tax credit projects	113,945		
Our share of redevelopment	340,252		
Entitlement	24,156		

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Our share of capital expenditures	613,505
Plus minority partners' share of consolidated spending	52,504
Less our share of unconsolidated spending	(776)
Total capital expenditures per consolidated statement of cash flows	\$ 665,233

(1) Casualties for the year ended December 31, 2008, reflect the portion of the anticipated spending related to Tropical Storm Fay and Hurricane Ike incurred as of December 31, 2008.

Included in the above spending for CI, casualties, redevelopment and entitlement, was approximately \$63.1 million of our share of capitalized site payroll and indirect costs related to these activities for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

We funded all of the above capital expenditures with cash provided by operating activities, working capital and property sales as discussed below.

Financing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2008, net cash used in financing activities of \$1.7 billion was primarily attributed to debt principal payments, distributions to minority interests, payment of common and preferred dividends and repurchases of Common Stock and preferred stock. Proceeds from property loans and tax-exempt bond financing partially offset the cash outflows.

Mortgage Debt

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, we had \$6.3 billion and \$7.0 billion, respectively, in consolidated mortgage debt outstanding, which included \$52.0 million and \$1.1 billion, respectively, of mortgage debt classified within liabilities related to assets held for sale. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we refinanced or closed mortgage loans on 71 properties (including one unconsolidated property) generating \$962.2 million of proceeds from borrowings with a weighted average interest rate of 5.51%. Our share of the net proceeds after repayment of existing debt, payment of transaction costs and distributions to limited partners, was \$430.9 million. We used these total net proceeds for capital expenditures and other corporate purposes. We intend to continue to refinance mortgage debt primarily as a means of extending current and near term maturities.

Term Loans and Credit Facility

We have an Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Agreement with a syndicate of financial institutions, which we refer to as the Credit Agreement. In September 2008, we entered into a fifth amendment to the Credit Facility that modifies certain provisions related to letters of credit.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we repaid in full our \$75.0 million term loan which was due for payment in September 2009. Following this repayment, the aggregate amount of commitments and loans under the Credit Agreement is \$1.035 billion, comprised of a \$400.0 million term loan and \$635.0 million of revolving loan commitments. The \$635.0 million of revolving loan commitments is after the elimination of a \$15.0 million commitment held by Lehman Commercial Paper Inc. The \$400.0 million term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5%, or at our option, a base rate equal to the prime rate, and matures March 2011. Our revolving credit facility matures May 2009, and may be extended for an additional year, subject to a 20.0 basis point fee on the total commitments. Borrowings under the revolver bear interest based on a pricing grid determined by leverage (currently at LIBOR plus 1.125%).

At December 31, 2008, the term loan had an outstanding principal balance of \$400.0 million and a weighted average interest rate of 2.94%. In January 2009, we prepaid \$50.0 million of the outstanding balance on the term debt. The amount available under the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2008, was \$578.8 million (after giving effect to \$56.2 million outstanding for undrawn letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility). The proceeds of revolving loans are generally permitted to be used to fund working capital and for other corporate purposes.

Fair Value Measurements

We enter into total rate of return swaps on various fixed rate secured tax-exempt bonds payable and fixed rate notes payable to convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide an efficient financing product to lower our cost of borrowing. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, or SFAS 133, we designate total rate of return swaps as hedges of

the risk of overall changes in the fair value of the underlying borrowings. At each reporting period, we estimate the fair value of these borrowings and the total rate of return swaps and recognize any changes therein as an adjustment of interest expense.

Our method used to calculate the fair value of the total rate of return swaps generally results in changes in fair value that are equal to the changes in fair value of the related borrowings, which is consistent with our hedging strategy. We believe that these financial instruments are highly effective in offsetting the changes in fair value of the

Table of Contents

related borrowings during the hedging period, and accordingly, changes in the fair value of these instruments have no material impact on our liquidity, results of operations or capital resources.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, changes in the fair values of these financial instruments resulted in decreases of \$20.1 million in the carrying amount of the hedged borrowings and equal increases in accrued liabilities and other for total rate of return swaps. At December 31, 2008, the cumulative recognized changes in the fair value of these financial instruments resulted in a \$29.5 million reduction in the carrying amount of the hedged borrowings offset by an equal increase in accrued liabilities and other for total rate of return swaps. The current and cumulative decreases in the fair values of the hedged borrowings and related swaps reflect the recent uncertainty in the credit markets which has decreased demand and increased pricing for similar debt instruments.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we received net cash receipts of \$16.7 million under the total return swaps, which positively impacted our liquidity. To the extent interest rates increase above the fixed rates on the underlying borrowings, our obligations under the total return swaps will negatively affect our liquidity. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we provided \$3.2 million of cash collateral to satisfy certain loan-to-value requirements under the total rate of return swap agreements, which negatively affected our liquidity. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we may be required to provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which would adversely affect our liquidity.

See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for more information on our total rate of return swaps and related borrowings.

Equity Transactions

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we paid cash dividends totaling \$55.2 million and \$212.3 million to preferred and common stockholders, respectively. Additionally, pursuant to the special dividends discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8, during the year ended December 31, 2008, we paid dividends totaling \$489.8 million to common stockholders through the issuance of approximately 22.9 million shares.

During September 2008, we repurchased 54 shares, or \$27.0 million in liquidation preference, of our Series A Community Reinvestment Act Perpetual Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, for cash totaling \$24.8 million.

In April 2008, we and the Aimco Operating Partnership filed a new shelf registration statement to replace the existing shelf (which was due to expire later in 2008) that provides for the issuance of debt and equity securities by Aimco and debt securities by the Aimco Operating Partnership.

Our Board of Directors has, from time to time, authorized us to repurchase shares of our outstanding capital stock. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we repurchased approximately 13.9 million shares of Common Stock (19.3 million shares after the effect of the special dividend) for approximately \$473.5 million. As of December 31, 2008, we were authorized to repurchase approximately 19.3 million additional shares of our Common Stock under an authorization that has no expiration date. Future repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

This table summarizes information contained elsewhere in this Annual Report regarding payments due under contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2008 (amounts in thousands):

	Total	Less than One Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Scheduled long-term debt maturities	\$ 6,377,121	\$ 407,893	\$ 718,724	\$ 1,094,021	\$ 4,156,483
Term loan(1)	400,000		400,000		
Redevelopment and other construction commitments	70,279	68,752	1,527		
Leases for space occupied(2)	31,935	7,904	12,316	7,622	4,093
Other obligations(3)	5,595	5,595			
Total	\$ 6,884,930	\$ 490,144	\$ 1,132,567	\$ 1,101,643	\$ 4,160,576

(1) After payment of \$50.0 million in January 2009, the term loan had an outstanding balance of \$350.0 million.

(2) Inclusive of leased space that has been abandoned as part of our organizational restructuring in 2008 (see *Restructuring Costs* in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

(3) Represents a commitment to fund \$5.6 million in second mortgage loans on certain properties in West Harlem, New York City.

In addition, we may enter into commitments to purchase goods and services in connection with the operations of our properties. Those commitments generally have terms of one year or less and reflect expenditure levels comparable to our historical expenditures.

Future Capital Needs

In addition to the items set forth in *Contractual Obligations* above, we expect to fund any future acquisitions, additional redevelopment projects, capital improvements and capital replacement principally with proceeds from property sales (including tax-free exchange proceeds), short-term borrowings, debt and equity financing (including tax credit equity) and operating cash flows.

In 2009, inclusive of the redevelopment commitments discussed in *Contractual Obligations* above, we expect to invest between \$50.0 and \$75.0 million in conventional redevelopment projects and between \$30.0 and \$45.0 million in affordable redevelopment projects, predominantly funded by third-party tax credit equity.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We own general and limited partner interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships, in which our total ownership interests range typically from less than 1% up to 50%. However, based on the provisions of the relevant partnership agreements, we are not deemed to be the primary beneficiary or to have control of these partnerships sufficient to

require or permit consolidation for accounting purposes (see Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements in Item 8). There are no lines of credit, side agreements, or any other derivative financial instruments related to or between our unconsolidated real estate partnerships and us and no material exposure to financial guarantees. Accordingly, our maximum risk of loss related to these unconsolidated real estate partnerships is limited to the aggregate carrying amount of our investment in the unconsolidated real estate partnerships and any outstanding notes receivable as reported in our consolidated financial statements (see Note 4 of the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information about our investments in unconsolidated real estate partnerships).

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

Our primary market risk exposure relates to changes in base interest rates, mortgage spreads and availability of credit. We are not subject to any foreign currency exchange rate risk or commodity price risk, or any other material market rate or price risks. We use predominantly long-term, fixed-rate non-recourse mortgage debt in order to avoid

Table of Contents

the refunding and repricing risks of short-term borrowings. We use short-term debt financing and working capital primarily to fund short-term uses and acquisitions and generally expect to refinance such borrowings with cash from operating activities, property sales proceeds, long-term debt or equity financings. We use total rate-of-return swaps to obtain the benefit of variable rates on certain of our fixed rate debt instruments. We make limited use of other derivative financial instruments and we do not use them for trading or other speculative purposes.

We had \$1,309.5 million of floating rate debt and \$73.0 million of floating rate preferred stock outstanding at December 31, 2008. Of the total floating rate debt, the major components were floating rate tax-exempt bond financing (\$563.4 million), floating rate secured notes (\$335.6 million) and a term loan (\$400.0 million). At December 31, 2008, we had approximately \$717.2 million in cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and notes receivable, the majority of which bear interest. We also had approximately \$127.3 million of variable rate debt associated with our redevelopment activities, for which we capitalize a portion of the interest expense. The effect of our interest bearing assets and of capitalizing interest on variable rate debt associated with our redevelopment activities would partially reduce the effect of an increase in variable interest rates. Historically, changes in tax-exempt interest rates have been at a ratio of less than 1:1 with changes in taxable interest rates. Floating rate tax-exempt bond financing is benchmarked against the SIFMA rate (previously the Bond Market Association index), which since 1989 has averaged 69% of the 30-day LIBOR rate. If this historical relationship continues, on an annual basis, we estimate that an increase in 30-day LIBOR of 1.0% (0.69% in tax-exempt interest rates) with constant credit risk spreads would result in our income before minority interests being reduced by \$3.1 million and our income attributable to common stockholders being reduced by \$4.3 million.

We estimate the fair value for our debt instruments using present value techniques that include income and market valuation approaches with market rates for debt with the same or similar terms. Present value calculations vary depending on the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In many cases, the fair value estimates may not be realizable in immediate settlement of the instruments. The estimated aggregate fair value of our consolidated debt (including amounts reported in liabilities related to assets held for sale) was approximately \$6.7 billion and \$7.6 billion at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The combined carrying value of our consolidated debt (including amounts reported in liabilities related to assets held for sale) was approximately \$6.8 billion and \$7.5 billion at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. See Note 6 and Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further details on our consolidated debt. Refer to *Derivative Financial Instruments* in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further discussion regarding certain of our fixed rate debt that is subject to total rate of return swap instruments. If market rates for our fixed-rate debt were higher by 1.0% with constant credit risk spreads, the estimated fair value of our debt discussed above would have decreased from \$6.7 billion to \$6.4 billion. If market rates for our debt discussed above were lower by 1.0% with constant credit risk spreads, the estimated fair value of our fixed-rate debt would have increased from \$6.7 billion to \$6.9 billion.

At December 31, 2008, we had swap positions with two financial institutions totaling \$422.1 million. The related swap agreements provide for collateral calls to maintain specified loan-to-value ratios, pursuant to which we had provided \$3.2 million of collateral as of December 31, 2008. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we may be required to provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which would adversely affect our cash flows.

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

The independent registered public accounting firm's report, consolidated financial statements and schedule listed in the accompanying index are filed as part of this report and incorporated herein by this reference. See Index to Financial Statements on page F-1 of this Annual Report.

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None.

Table of Contents

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*.

Based on their assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2008, our internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Our independent registered public accounting firm has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fourth quarter of 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Stockholders and Board of Directors Apartment Investment and Management Company

We have audited Apartment Investment and Management Company's (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, and our report dated February 26, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado
February 26, 2009

Table of Contents

Item 9B. *Other Information*

None.

PART III

Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance*

The information required by this item is presented under the captions Board of Directors and Executive Officers, Corporate Governance Matters Code of Ethics, Other Matters Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, Corporate Governance Matters Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, Corporate Governance Matters Audit Committee and Corporate Governance Matters Audit Committee Financial Expert in the proxy statement for our 2009 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

The information required by this item is presented under the captions Compensation Discussion & Analysis, Compensation and Human Resources Committee Report to Stockholders, Summary Compensation Table, Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2008, Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End 2008, Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2008, Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control and Corporate Governance Matters Director Compensation in the proxy statement for our 2009 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*

The information required by this item is presented under the captions Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans in the proxy statement for our 2009 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

The information required by this item is presented under the caption Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Corporate Governance Matters Independence of Directors in the proxy statement for our 2009 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services*

The information required by this item is presented under the caption Principal Accountant Fees and Services in the proxy statement for our 2009 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules*

(a)(1) The financial statements listed in the Index to Financial Statements on Page F-1 of this report are filed as part of this report and incorporated herein by reference.

(a)(2) The financial statement schedule listed in the Index to Financial Statements on Page F-1 of this report is filed as part of this report and incorporated herein by reference.

(a)(3) The Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

INDEX TO EXHIBITS (1)(2)

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Charter
3.2	Bylaws (Exhibit 3.2 to Aimco's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007, is incorporated herein by this reference)
10.1	Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of AIMCO Properties, L.P., dated as of July 29, 1994, as amended and restated as of February 28, 2007 (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, is incorporated herein by this reference)
10.2	First Amendment to Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of AIMCO Properties, L.P., dated as of December 31, 2007 (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated December 31, 2007, is incorporated herein by this reference)
10.3	Amended and Restated Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of November 2, 2004, by and among Aimco, AIMCO Properties, L.P., AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., and NHP Management Company as the borrowers and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association, and the Lenders listed therein (Exhibit 4.1 to Aimco's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2004, is incorporated herein by this reference)
10.4	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of June 16, 2005, by and among Aimco, AIMCO Properties, L.P., AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., and NHP Management Company as the borrowers and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association, and the Lenders listed therein (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 16, 2005, is incorporated herein by this reference)
10.5	Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of March 22, 2006, by and among Aimco, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., as the borrowers, and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association, and the lenders listed therein (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 10-K, dated March 22, 2006, is incorporated herein by this reference)
10.6	Third Amendment to Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2007, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., as the Borrowers, the pledgors and guarantors named therein, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association and the other lenders listed therein (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 31, 2007, is incorporated herein by this reference)

- 10.7 Fourth Amendment to Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of September 14, 2007, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., as the Borrowers, the pledgors and guarantors named therein, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association and the other lenders listed therein (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 14, 2007, is incorporated herein by this reference)

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.8	Fifth Amendment to Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of September 9, 2008, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., as the Borrowers, the pledgors and guarantors named therein, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association and the other lenders listed therein (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 11, 2008, is incorporated herein by this reference)
10.9	Master Indemnification Agreement, dated December 3, 2001, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., XYZ Holdings LLC, and the other parties signatory thereto (Exhibit 2.3 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed December 6, 2001, is incorporated herein by this reference)
10.10	Tax Indemnification and Contest Agreement, dated December 3, 2001, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, National Partnership Investments, Corp., and XYZ Holdings LLC and the other parties signatory thereto (Exhibit 2.4 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed December 6, 2001, is incorporated herein by this reference)
10.11	Limited Liability Company Agreement of AIMCO JV Portfolio #1, LLC dated as of December 30, 2003 by and among AIMCO BRE I, LLC, AIMCO BRE II, LLC and SRV-AJVP#1, LLC (Exhibit 10.54 to Aimco's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, is incorporated herein by this reference)
10.12	Employment Contract executed on December 29, 2008, by and between AIMCO Properties, L.P. and Terry Considine (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed December 29, 2008, is incorporated herein by this reference)*
10.13	Apartment Investment and Management Company 1997 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (October 1999) (Exhibit 10.26 to Aimco's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999, is incorporated herein by this reference)*
10.14	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (1997 Stock Award and Incentive Plan) (Exhibit 10.11 to Aimco's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 1997, is incorporated herein by this reference)*
10.15	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement (1997 Stock Award and Incentive Plan) (Exhibit 10.42 to Aimco's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998, is incorporated herein by this reference)*
10.16	2007 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to Aimco's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 20, 2007)*
10.17	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (Exhibit 10.2 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 30, 2007, is incorporated herein by this reference)*
10.18	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement (Exhibit 10.3 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 30, 2007, is incorporated herein by this reference)*
10.19	2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to Aimco's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 20, 2007)*
21.1	List of Subsidiaries
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
99.1	Agreement re: disclosure of long-term debt instruments

(1) Schedule and supplemental materials to the exhibits have been omitted but will be provided to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

(2) The file reference number for all exhibits is 001-13232, and all such exhibits remain available pursuant to the Records Control Schedule of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Apartment Investment and
Management Company

/s/ Terry Considine

Terry Considine
*Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer*

Date: February 27, 2009

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Terry Considine Terry Considine	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)	February 27, 2009
/s/ Thomas M. Herzog Thomas M. Herzog	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)	February 27, 2009
/s/ Paul Beldin Paul Beldin	Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer)	February 27, 2009
/s/ James N. Bailey James N. Bailey	Director	February 27, 2009
/s/ Richard S. Ellwood Richard S. Ellwood	Director	February 27, 2009
/s/ Thomas L. Keltner Thomas L. Keltner	Director	February 27, 2009
/s/ J. Landis Martin J. Landis Martin	Director	February 27, 2009

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

/s/ Robert A. Miller	Director	February 27, 2009
Robert A. Miller		
/s/ Thomas L. Rhodes	Director	February 27, 2009
Thomas L. Rhodes		
/s/ Michael A. Stein	Director	February 27, 2009
Michael A. Stein		

Table of Contents

(This page intentionally left blank)

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Financial Statements:	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-8
Financial Statement Schedule:	
<u>Schedule III - Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u>	F-54
All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto	

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Stockholders and Board of Directors Apartment Investment and Management Company

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Apartment Investment and Management Company (the Company) as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying Index to Financial Statements. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 26, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Denver, Colorado
February 26, 2009

Table of Contents**APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****As of December 31, 2008 and 2007****(In thousands, except share data)**

	2008	2007
ASSETS		
Real estate:		
Buildings and improvements	\$ 8,552,635	\$ 7,893,171
Land	2,332,457	2,355,103
Total real estate	10,885,092	10,248,274
Less accumulated depreciation	(2,782,724)	(2,361,232)
Net real estate	8,102,368	7,887,042
Cash and cash equivalents	299,676	210,461
Restricted cash	258,303	313,694
Accounts receivable, net	89,132	71,463
Accounts receivable from affiliates, net	33,536	34,958
Deferred financing costs, net	59,473	65,888
Notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, net	22,567	35,186
Notes receivable from non-affiliates, net	136,633	143,054
Investment in unconsolidated real estate partnerships	109,312	117,217
Other assets	196,671	207,857
Deferred income tax assets, net	28,326	14,426
Assets held for sale	67,160	1,505,286
Total assets	\$ 9,403,157	\$ 10,606,532
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Property tax-exempt bond financing	\$ 721,971	\$ 756,442
Property loans payable	5,559,169	5,096,473
Term loans	400,000	475,000
Other borrowings	95,981	75,057
Total indebtedness	6,777,121	6,402,972
Accounts payable	64,241	65,235
Accrued liabilities and other	411,114	441,042
Deferred income	195,997	200,199
Security deposits	43,277	41,141
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	56,341	1,151,198
Total liabilities	7,548,091	8,301,787

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships	348,484	441,778
Minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership	88,148	113,263
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Perpetual Preferred Stock	696,500	723,500
Class A Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 426,157,736 shares authorized, 116,180,877 and 135,210,365 shares issued and outstanding, at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively	1,162	1,352
Additional paid-in capital	3,056,550	3,508,342
Notes due on common stock purchases	(3,607)	(5,441)
Distributions in excess of earnings	(2,332,171)	(2,478,049)
Total stockholders' equity	1,418,434	1,749,704
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 9,403,157	\$ 10,606,532

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY**

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
For the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006
(In thousands, except per share data)

	2008	2007	2006
REVENUES:			
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 1,350,950	\$ 1,296,142	\$ 1,212,958
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	6,345	6,923	12,312
Asset management and tax credit revenues	100,623	73,755	48,893
Total revenues	1,457,918	1,376,820	1,274,163
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Property operating expenses	626,001	596,902	549,716
Property management expenses	5,385	6,678	6,289
Investment management expenses	21,389	20,514	14,742
Depreciation and amortization	458,595	403,786	368,783
General and administrative expenses	99,040	90,667	91,571
Other expenses, net	19,939	16,518	12,951
Restructuring costs	22,802		
Total operating expenses	1,253,151	1,135,065	1,044,052
Operating income	204,767	241,755	230,111
Interest income	17,130	40,887	32,173
Provision for losses on notes receivable, net	(4,179)	(3,951)	(2,785)
Interest expense	(368,709)	(355,440)	(326,060)
Deficit distributions to minority partners	(43,013)	(32,599)	(15,519)
Equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships	(4,601)	(277)	(2,070)
(Provision for) recoveries of operating real estate impairment losses	(5,617)	(1,637)	813
Provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets	(107,459)		
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other	99,602	32,061	27,730
Loss before income taxes, minority interests and discontinued operations	(212,079)	(79,201)	(55,607)
Income tax benefit	53,371	19,840	11,095
Minority interests:			
Minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships	22,052	1,123	(12,464)
Minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership, preferred	(7,646)	(7,128)	(7,153)
Minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership, common	15,004	12,182	14,025
Total minority interests	29,410	6,177	(5,592)
Loss from continuing operations	(129,298)	(53,184)	(50,104)
Income from discontinued operations, net	544,761	83,095	226,891

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Net income	415,463	29,911	176,787
Net income attributable to preferred stockholders	53,708	66,016	81,132
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 361,755	\$ (36,105)	\$ 95,655
Earnings (loss) per common share basic and diluted:			
Loss from continuing operations (net of preferred dividends)	\$ (1.51)	\$ (0.85)	\$ (0.93)
Income from discontinued operations	4.49	0.59	1.61
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 2.98	\$ (0.26)	\$ 0.68
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted	121,213	140,137	141,053
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 5.73	\$ 2.92	\$ 1.63

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

(In thousands)

	Preferred Stock		Class A Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Notes Due on Common Stock Purchases	Distributions in Excess of Earnings	Total
	Shares Issued	Amount	Shares Issued	Amount				
Balances at December 31, 2005 (before special dividends)	38,325	\$ 1,010,250	100,473	\$ 1,004	\$ 3,258,773	\$ (25,911)	\$ (1,528,013)	\$ 2,716,103
Common Stock issued pursuant to special dividends (Note 1)			40,845	408	458,908		(459,316)	
Balances at December 31, 2005	38,325	1,010,250	141,318	1,412	3,717,681	(25,911)	(1,987,329)	2,716,103
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle adoption of EITF 04-5							(75,012)	(75,012)
Issuance of 200 shares of CRA Preferred Stock		100,000			(2,509)			97,491
Redemption of Preferred Stock	(11,470)	(286,750)			6,848		(6,848)	(286,750)
Redemption of Aimco Operating Partnership units for Common			146	1	4,560			4,561

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Stock Repurchases of Common								
Stock		(3,397)	(34)	(120,225)				(120,259)
Repayment of notes receivable from officers and employee stock awards and purchases, net						21,844		21,844
Stock options exercised		674	7	676		(647)		36
Excess income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation and other								454
Common Stock issued as consideration for acquisition of interest in real estate		11		479				479
Amortization of stock option and restricted stock compensation cost					15,874			15,874
Net income							176,787	176,787
Cash dividends declared on Common Stock							(232,185)	(232,185)
Preferred Stock dividends							(87,135)	(87,135)
Balances at December 31, 2006	26,855	823,500	142,924	1,428	3,731,400	(4,714)	(2,211,722)	2,339,892
Redemption of Preferred Stock	(1,905)	(100,000)			635		(2,635)	(102,000)
Cumulative effect of change in							(764)	(764)

accounting principle adoption of FIN 48								
Redemption of Aimco Operating Partnership units for Common Stock		695	7	27,846				27,853
Repayment of notes receivable from officers						1,659		1,659
Officer and employee stock awards and purchases, net		466	5	2,553	(2,386)			172
Stock options exercised		2,070	21	53,698				53,719
Repurchases of Common Stock		(10,945)	(109)	(325,713)				(325,822)
Amortization of stock option and restricted stock compensation cost				19,224				19,224
Reversal of excess income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation and other				(1,301)				(1,301)
Net income						29,911		29,911
Cash dividends declared on Common Stock						(228,022)		(228,022)
Preferred Stock dividends						(64,817)		(64,817)
Balances at December 31, 2007	24,950	723,500 (27,000)	135,210	1,352	3,508,342 678	(5,441)	(2,478,049) 1,482	1,749,704 (24,840)

Repurchase of Preferred Stock									
Redemption of Aimco Operating Partnership units for Common Stock	160	2	4,180						4,182
Repayment of notes receivable from officers and employee stock awards and purchases, net							1,458		1,458
Stock options exercised	348	3	824	(613)					214
Forfeitures of officer and employee stock awards	19		481						481
Repurchases of Common Stock	(207)	(2)	(1,027)	989					(40)
Amortization of stock option and restricted stock compensation cost	(19,349)	(193)	(473,319)						(473,512)
Other						17,603			17,603
Net income						(1,212)			(1,212)
Cash dividends declared on Common Stock							415,463		415,463
Preferred Stock dividends							(215,853)		(215,853)
							(55,214)		(55,214)
Balances at December 31, 2008	24,950	\$ 696,500	116,181	\$ 1,162	\$ 3,056,550	\$ (3,607)	\$ (2,332,171)	\$	1,418,434

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY**

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006
(In thousands)

	2008	2007	2006
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$ 415,463	\$ 29,911	\$ 176,787
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	458,595	403,786	368,783
Deficit distributions to minority partners	43,013	32,599	15,519
Equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships	4,601	277	2,070
Provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets	107,459		
Real estate impairment losses (recoveries), net	5,617	1,637	(813)
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other	(99,602)	(32,061)	(27,730)
Deferred income tax benefit	(53,371)	(19,840)	(11,095)
Minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships	(22,052)	(1,123)	12,464
Minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership	(7,358)	(5,054)	(6,872)
Stock-based compensation expense	13,833	14,921	12,314
Amortization of deferred loan costs and other	10,694	9,827	14,893
Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated entities	14,619	4,239	3,578
Distributions of earnings to minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships	(18,887)	(17,406)	(13,369)
Discontinued operations:			
Depreciation and amortization	57,288	96,554	129,994
Gain on disposition of real estate, net of minority partners interest	(618,168)	(65,076)	(258,970)
Other adjustments to income from discontinued operations	82,911	1,047	42,844
Changes in operating assets and operating liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	4,848	7,453	(3,178)
Other assets	53,699	(9,751)	45,332
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and other	(31,721)	13,596	16,359
Total adjustments	6,018	435,625	342,123
Net cash provided by operating activities	421,481	465,536	518,910
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchases of real estate	(112,655)	(201,434)	(153,426)
Capital expenditures	(665,233)	(689,719)	(512,564)
Proceeds from dispositions of real estate	2,060,344	431,863	958,604
Change in funds held in escrow from tax-free exchanges	345	25,863	(19,021)
Cash from newly consolidated properties	241	7,549	23,269

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Proceeds from sale of interests and distributions from real estate partnerships	94,277	198,998	45,662
Purchases of partnership interests and other assets	(28,121)	(86,204)	(37,570)
Originations of notes receivable	(6,911)	(10,812)	(94,640)
Proceeds from repayment of notes receivable	8,929	14,370	9,604
Other investing activities	(6,347)	37,927	13,122
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,344,869	(271,599)	233,040
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from property loans	949,549	1,552,048	1,185,670
Principal repayments on property loans	(1,291,543)	(850,484)	(1,004,142)
Proceeds from tax-exempt bond financing	50,100	82,350	75,568
Principal repayments on tax-exempt bond financing	(217,361)	(70,029)	(229,287)
(Payments on) borrowings under term loans	(75,000)	75,000	
Net repayments on revolving credit facility		(140,000)	(77,000)
Proceeds from (payments on) other borrowings	21,367	(8,468)	(22,838)
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock, net			97,491
Repurchases and redemptions of preferred stock	(24,840)	(102,000)	(286,750)
Repurchase of Class A Common Stock	(502,296)	(307,382)	(109,937)
Proceeds from Class A Common Stock option exercises	481	53,719	107,603
Principal repayments received on notes due on Class A Common Stock purchases	1,458	1,659	21,844
Payment of Class A Common Stock dividends	(212,286)	(230,806)	(231,697)
Payment of preferred stock dividends	(55,215)	(67,100)	(74,700)
Payment of distributions to minority interest	(311,695)	(180,684)	(117,216)
Other financing activities	(9,854)	(21,123)	(18,465)
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,677,135)	(213,300)	(683,856)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	89,215	(19,363)	68,094
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	210,461	229,824	161,730
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	\$ 299,676	\$ 210,461	\$ 229,824

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY**

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006
(In thousands)

	2008	2007	2006
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Interest paid	\$ 434,645	\$ 452,324	\$ 423,456
Cash paid for income taxes	13,780	2,994	9,807
Non-cash transactions associated with the acquisition of real estate and interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships:			
Secured debt assumed in connection with purchase of real estate		16,000	47,112
Issuance of OP Units for interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships and acquisitions of real estate		2,998	13
Non-cash transactions associated with the disposition of real estate:			
Secured debt assumed in connection with the disposition of real estate	157,394	27,929	
Issuance of notes receivable connection with the disposition of real estate	10,372		
Non-cash transactions associated with consolidation of real estate partnerships:			
Real estate, net	25,830	56,877	675,621
Investments in and notes receivable primarily from affiliated entities	4,497	84,545	(219,691)
Restricted cash and other assets	5,483	8,545	94,380
Secured debt	22,036	41,296	503,342
Accounts payable, accrued and other liabilities	14,020	48,602	41,580
Other non-cash transactions:			
Redemption of common OP Units for Class A Common Stock	4,182	27,810	4,362
Conversion of preferred OP Units for Class A Common Stock		43	199
Origination of notes receivable from officers for Class A Common			
Stock purchases, net of cancellations	(385)	2,386	647

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2008

NOTE 1 Organization

Apartment Investment and Management Company, or Aimco, is a Maryland corporation incorporated on January 10, 1994. We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, engaged in the acquisition, ownership, management and redevelopment of apartment properties. As of December 31, 2008, we owned or managed a real estate portfolio of 992 apartment properties containing 162,807 apartment units located in 44 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. We are one of the largest owners and operators of apartment properties in the United States.

As of December 31, 2008, we:

owned an equity interest in and consolidated 117,719 units in 514 properties (which we refer to as consolidated properties), of which 114,966 units were also managed by us;

owned an equity interest in and did not consolidate 9,613 units in 85 properties (which we refer to as unconsolidated properties), of which 4,546 units were also managed by us; and

provided services for or managed 35,475 units in 393 properties, primarily pursuant to long-term agreements (including 32,223 units in 359 properties for which we provide asset management services only, and not also property management services). In certain cases, we may indirectly own generally less than one percent of the operations of such properties through a partnership syndication or other fund.

Through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, AIMCO-GP, Inc. and AIMCO-LP Trust, we own a majority of the ownership interests in AIMCO Properties, L.P., which we refer to as the Aimco Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2008, we held an interest of approximately 91% in the common partnership units and equivalents of the Aimco Operating Partnership. We conduct substantially all of our business and own substantially all of our assets through the Aimco Operating Partnership. Interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by limited partners other than Aimco are referred to as OP Units. OP Units include common OP Units, partnership preferred units, or preferred OP Units, and high performance partnership units, or High Performance Units. The Aimco Operating Partnership's income is allocated to holders of common OP Units based on the weighted average number of common OP Units outstanding during the period. The Aimco Operating Partnership records the issuance of common OP Units and the assets acquired in purchase transactions based on the market price of Aimco Class A Common Stock (which we refer to as Common Stock) at the date of closing of the transaction. The holders of the common OP Units and Class I High Performance Units receive distributions, prorated from the date of issuance, in an amount equivalent to the dividends paid to holders of Common Stock. Holders of common OP Units may redeem such units for cash or, at the Aimco Operating Partnership's option, Common Stock. During 2008, 2007 and 2006, the weighted average ownership interest in the Aimco Operating Partnership held by the common OP Unit holders was approximately 8%, 9% and 10%, respectively. Preferred OP Units entitle the holders thereof to a preference with respect to distributions or upon liquidation. At December 31, 2008, 101,176,232 shares of our Common Stock were outstanding (before the effect of the January 29, 2009, special dividend discussed below) and the Aimco Operating Partnership had 9,484,191 common OP Units and equivalents outstanding for a combined total of 110,660,423 shares of Common Stock and OP Units outstanding (excluding preferred OP Units).

Except as the context otherwise requires, we, our, us and the Company refer to Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership and their consolidated entities, collectively.

In December 2007, July 2008, October 2008, and December 2008, the Aimco Operating Partnership declared special distributions payable on January 30, 2008, August 29, 2008, December 1, 2008, and January 29, 2009, respectively, to holders of record of common OP Units and High Performance Units on December 31, 2007, July 28, 2008, October 27, 2008, and December 29, 2008, respectively. The special distributions were paid on common OP Units and High Performance Units in the amounts listed below. The Aimco Operating Partnership distributed to us common OP Units equal to the number of shares we issued pursuant to our corresponding special dividends

F-8

Table of Contents

(discussed below) in addition to approximately \$0.60 per unit in cash. Holders of common OP Units other than us and holders of High Performance Units received the distribution entirely in cash, in the amounts noted below.

Aimco Operating Partnership Special Distributions	January 2008 Special Distribution	August 2008 Special Distribution	December 2008 Special Distribution	January 2009 Special Distribution
Distribution per unit	\$ 2.51	\$ 3.00	\$ 1.80	\$ 2.08
Total distribution	\$ 257.2 million	\$ 285.5 million	\$ 176.6 million	\$ 230.1 million
Common OP Units and High Performance Units outstanding on record date	102,478,510	95,151,333	98,136,520	110,654,142
Common OP Units held by Aimco	92,795,891	85,619,144	88,650,980	101,169,951
Total distribution on Aimco common OP Units	\$ 232.9 million	\$ 256.9 million	\$ 159.6 million	\$ 210.4 million
Cash distribution to Aimco	\$ 55.0 million	\$ 51.4 million	\$ 53.2 million	\$ 60.6 million
Portion of distribution paid to Aimco through issuance of common OP Units	\$ 177.9 million	\$ 205.5 million	\$ 106.4 million	\$ 149.8 million
Common OP Units issued to Aimco pursuant to distributions	4,594,074	5,731,310	12,572,267	15,627,330
Cash distributed to common OP Units and High Performance Units other than Aimco	\$ 24.3 million	\$ 28.6 million	\$ 17.0 million	\$ 19.7 million

Also in December 2007, July 2008, October 2008, and December 2008, our Board of Directors declared corresponding special dividends payable on January 30, 2008, August 29, 2008, December 1, 2008, and January 29, 2009, respectively, to holders of record of our Common Stock on December 31, 2007, July 28, 2008, October 27, 2008, and December 29, 2008, respectively. A portion of the special dividends in the amount of \$0.60 per share represents payment of the regular dividend for the quarters ended December 31, 2007, June 30, 2008, September 30, 2008, and December 31, 2008, and the remaining amount per share represents an additional dividend associated with taxable gains from property dispositions. The special dividends were paid in the amounts listed in the table below. Portions of the special dividends were paid through the issuance of shares of Common Stock.

Aimco Special Dividends	January 2008 Special Dividend	August 2008 Special Dividend	December 2008 Special Dividend	January 2009 Special Dividend
Dividend per share	\$ 2.51	\$ 3.00	\$ 1.80	\$ 2.08
Outstanding shares of Common Stock on the record date	92,795,891	85,619,144	88,650,980	101,169,951
Total dividend	\$ 232.9 million	\$ 256.9 million	\$ 159.6 million	\$ 210.4 million
Portion of dividend paid in cash	\$ 55.0 million	\$ 51.4 million	\$ 53.2 million	\$ 60.6 million
Portion of dividend paid through issuance of shares	\$ 177.9 million	\$ 205.5 million	\$ 106.4 million	\$ 149.8 million
Shares issued pursuant to dividend	4,594,074	5,731,310	12,572,267	15,627,330
Effective increase in outstanding shares on record date	4.95%	6.70%	14.18%	15.45%
Average share price on determination date	\$ 38.71	\$ 35.84	\$ 8.46	\$ 9.58

*Amounts after elimination of the
effects of shares of Common
Stock held by consolidated
subsidiaries:*

Outstanding shares of Common Stock on the record date	92,379,751	85,182,665	88,186,456	100,642,817
Total dividend	\$ 231.9 million	\$ 255.5 million	\$ 158.7 million	\$ 209.3 million
Portion of dividend paid in cash	\$ 54.8 million	\$ 51.1 million	\$ 52.9 million	\$ 60.3 million
Portion of dividend paid through issuance of shares	\$ 177.1 million	\$ 204.4 million	\$ 105.8 million	\$ 149.0 million
Shares issued pursuant to dividend	4,573,735	5,703,265	12,509,657	15,548,996

F-9

Table of Contents

The effect of the issuance of additional shares of Common Stock pursuant to the special dividends has been retroactively reflected in each of the historical periods presented as if those shares were issued and outstanding at the beginning of the earliest period presented; accordingly, all activity prior to the ex-dividend date of the special dividends, including share issuances, repurchases and forfeitures, have been adjusted to reflect the effective increases in the number of shares, except in limited instances where noted otherwise.

The following table reconciles our shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008, to our shares outstanding at December 31, 2008, per the consolidated financial statements:

Common shares issued and outstanding	101,176,232
Shares issued January 29, 2009, pursuant to the special dividend	15,627,330
Elimination of shares owned by consolidated subsidiaries (prior to January 2009 special dividend)	(527,134)
Elimination of shares issued to consolidated subsidiaries pursuant to the January 2009 special dividend	(78,334)
Forfeitures and other activity not yet processed by transfer agent	(17,217)
Common shares outstanding at December 31, 2008, per consolidated financial statements	116,180,877

NOTE 2 Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies***Principles of Consolidation***

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership, and their consolidated entities. We consolidate all variable interest entities for which we are the primary beneficiary. Generally, we consolidate real estate partnerships and other entities that are not variable interest entities when we own, directly or indirectly, a majority voting interest in the entity or are otherwise able to control the entity. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by limited partners other than Aimco are reflected in the accompanying balance sheets as minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership. Interests in partnerships consolidated into the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by third parties are reflected in the accompanying balance sheets as minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships. The assets of consolidated real estate partnerships owned or controlled by us generally are not available to pay creditors of Aimco or the Aimco Operating Partnership.

As used herein, and except where the context otherwise requires, *partnership* refers to a limited partnership or a limited liability company and *partner* refers to a partner in a limited partnership or a member in a limited liability company.

Variable Interest Entities

FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, or FIN 46, addresses the consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities. We consolidate all variable interest entities for which we are the primary beneficiary. Generally, a variable interest entity, or VIE, is an entity with one or more of the following characteristics: (a) the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support; (b) as a group, the holders of the equity investment at risk lack (i) the ability to make decisions about an entity's activities through voting or similar rights,

(ii) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, or (iii) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity; or (c) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportional to their economic interests and substantially all of the entity's activities either involve, or are conducted on behalf of, an investor that has disproportionately few voting rights. FIN 46 requires a VIE to be consolidated in the financial statements of the entity that is determined to be the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The primary beneficiary generally is the entity that will receive a majority of the VIE's expected losses, receive a majority of the VIE's expected residual returns, or both.

Table of Contents

In determining whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE, we consider qualitative and quantitative factors, including, but not limited to: the amount and characteristics of our investment; the obligation or likelihood for us or other investors to provide financial support; our and the other investors' ability to control or significantly influence key decisions for the VIE; and the similarity with and significance to the business activities of us and the other investors. Significant judgments related to these determinations include estimates about the current and future fair values and performance of real estate held by these VIEs and general market conditions.

As of December 31, 2008, we were the primary beneficiary of, and therefore consolidated, 93 VIEs, which owned 70 apartment properties with 10,096 units. Real estate with a carrying value of \$796.7 million collateralized \$472.0 million of debt of those VIEs. The creditors of the consolidated VIEs do not have recourse to our general credit. As of December 31, 2008, we also held variable interests in 130 VIEs for which we were not the primary beneficiary. Those VIEs consist primarily of partnerships that are engaged, directly or indirectly, in the ownership and management of 181 apartment properties with 11,181 units. We are involved with those VIEs as an equity holder, lender, management agent, or through other contractual relationships. At December 31, 2008, our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with unconsolidated VIEs is limited to our recorded investments in and receivables from those VIEs totaling \$117.2 million and our contractual obligation to advance funds to certain VIEs totaling \$5.6 million. We may be subject to additional losses to the extent of any financial support that we voluntarily provide in the future. Additionally, the provision of financial support in the future may require us to consolidate a VIE.

Adoption of EITF 04-5

In June 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, ratified Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 04-5, *Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights*, or EITF 04-5. EITF 04-5 provides an accounting model to be used by a general partner, or group of general partners, to determine whether the general partner(s) controls a limited partnership or similar entity in light of substantive kick-out rights and substantive participating rights held by the limited partners, and provides additional guidance on what constitutes those rights. EITF 04-5 was effective after June 29, 2005, for general partners of (a) all newly formed limited partnerships and (b) existing limited partnerships for which the partnership agreements have been modified. We consolidated four partnerships in the fourth quarter of 2005 based on EITF 04-5 requirements. The consolidation of those partnerships had an immaterial effect on our consolidated financial statements. EITF 04-5 was effective on January 1, 2006, for general partners of all limited partnerships and similar entities. We applied EITF 04-5 as of January 1, 2006, using a transition method that does not involve retrospective application to our financial statements for prior periods.

We consolidated 156 previously unconsolidated partnerships as a result of the application of EITF 04-5 in 2006. Those partnerships own, or control other entities that own, 149 apartment properties. Our direct and indirect interests in the profits and losses of those partnerships range from less than one percent to 50 percent, and average approximately 22 percent.

In prior periods, we used the equity method to account for our investments in the partnerships that we consolidated in 2006 in accordance with EITF 04-5. Under the equity method, we recognized partnership income or losses based generally on our percentage interest in the partnership. Consolidation of a partnership does not ordinarily result in a change to the net amount of partnership income or loss that is recognized using the equity method. However, when a partnership has a deficit in equity, accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, may require the controlling partner that consolidates the partnership to recognize any losses that would otherwise be allocated to noncontrolling partners, in addition to the controlling partner's share of losses. Certain of the partnerships that we consolidated in accordance with EITF 04-5 had deficits in equity that resulted from losses or deficit distributions during prior periods when we accounted for our investment using the equity method. We would

have been required to recognize the noncontrolling partners' share of those losses had we applied EITF 04-5 in those prior periods. In accordance with our transition method for the adoption of EITF 04-5, we recorded a \$75.0 million charge to retained earnings as of January 1, 2006, for the cumulative amount of additional losses that we would have recognized had we applied EITF 04-5 in prior periods. Substantially all of those losses were attributable to real estate depreciation expense. As a result of applying EITF 04-5 for the year

F-11

Table of Contents

ended December 31, 2006, our income from continuing operations includes partnership losses in addition to losses that would have resulted from continued application of the equity method of \$24.4 million.

Adoption of SFAS 157

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, or SFAS 157. SFAS 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. SFAS 157 applies whenever other standards require assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value and does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS 157 establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes the information used in developing fair value estimates and requires disclosure of fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable data (Level 3 measurements), such as the reporting entity's own data, and to nonrecurring fair value measurements of non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The provisions of SFAS 157 are applicable to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements of financial assets and liabilities for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, including interim periods within those fiscal years. We adopted the provisions of SFAS 157 that apply to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements of financial assets and liabilities effective January 1, 2008, and at that time determined no transition adjustment was required.

Basis of Fair Value Measurement (Valuation Hierarchy)

SFAS 157 establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1	Unadjusted quoted prices for identical and unrestricted assets or liabilities in active markets
Level 2	Quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument
Level 3	Unobservable inputs that are significant to the fair value measurement

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for our significant financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring or nonrecurring basis. Although some of the valuation methodologies use

Table of Contents

observable market inputs in limited instances, the majority of inputs we use are unobservable and are therefore classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Fair Value Measurement

Valuation Methodologies

Notes receivable

We assess the collectibility of notes receivable on a periodic basis, which assessment consists primarily of an evaluation of cash flow projections of the borrower to determine whether estimated cash flows are sufficient to repay principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of the note. We recognize impairments on notes receivable when it is probable that principal and interest will not be received in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. The amount of the impairment to be recognized generally is based on the fair value of the real estate, the collateral for the loan, which represents the primary source of loan repayment. The fair value of the collateral, such as real estate or interests in real estate partnerships, is estimated through income and market valuation approaches using information such as broker estimates, purchase prices for recent transactions on comparable assets and net operating income capitalization analyses using observable and unobservable inputs such as capitalization rates, asset quality grading, geographic location analysis, and local supply and demand observations.

Total rate of return swaps

Our total rate of return swaps have contractually-defined termination values generally equal to the difference between the fair value and the counterparty's purchased value of the underlying borrowings. Upon termination, we are required to pay the counterparty the difference if the fair value is less than the purchased value, and the counterparty is required to pay us the difference if the fair value is greater than the purchased value. The underlying borrowings are generally callable, at our option, at face value prior to maturity and with no prepayment penalty. Due to our control of the call features in the underlying borrowings, we believe the inherent value of any differential between the fixed and variable cash payments due under the swaps would be significantly discounted by a market participant willing to purchase or assume any rights and obligations under these contracts.

The swaps are generally cross-collateralized with other swap contracts with the same counterparty and do not allow transfer or assignment, thus there is no alternate or secondary market for these instruments. Accordingly, our assumptions of the fair value that a willing market participant would assign in valuing these instruments are based on a hypothetical market in which the highest and best use of these contracts is in-use in combination with the related borrowings, similar to how we use the contracts. Based on these assumptions, we believe the termination value, or exit value, of the swaps approximates the fair value that would be assigned by a willing market participant. We calculate the termination value using a market approach by reference to estimates of the fair value of the underlying borrowings, which are discussed below, and an evaluation of potential changes in the credit quality of the counterparties to these arrangements. We compare our estimates of fair value of the swaps and related borrowings to valuations

Changes in fair value of borrowings
subject to total rate of return swaps

provided by the counterparties on a quarterly basis.

Our method for calculating fair value of the swaps generally results in changes in fair value equal to the changes in fair value of the related borrowings. We believe these instruments are highly effective in offsetting the changes in fair value of the borrowings during the hedging period.

We recognize changes in the fair value of certain borrowings subject to total rate of return swaps, which we have designated as fair value hedges in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, or SFAS 133.

F-13

Table of Contents**Fair Value Measurement****Valuation Methodologies**

We estimate the fair value of debt instruments using an income and market approach, including comparison of the contractual terms to observable and unobservable inputs such as market interest rate risk spreads, collateral quality and loan-to-value ratios on similarly encumbered assets within our portfolio. These borrowings are collateralized and non-recourse to us; therefore, we believe changes in our credit rating will not materially affect a market participant's estimate of the borrowings' fair value.

The methods described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, although we believe our valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

Amounts subject to SFAS 157 reported at fair value in our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2008, all of which are based on significant unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, are summarized below (in thousands):

	Assets (Liabilities)
Total rate of return swaps	\$ (29,495)
Cumulative reduction of carrying amount of debt instruments subject to total rate of return swaps	\$ 29,495

Changes in Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The table below presents the balance sheet amounts at December 31, 2007 and 2008 (and the changes in fair value between such dates) for fair value measurements classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy (in thousands). When a determination is made to classify a fair value measurement within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 fair value measurements typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 components, observable components that can be validated to observable external sources; accordingly, the changes in fair value in the table below are due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

	Fair value at December 31, 2007	Unrealized Gains (Losses) included in earnings(1)	Realized gains (losses) included in earnings(2)	Fair value at December 31, 2008
Total rate of return swaps	\$ (9,420)	\$ (20,075)(3)	\$	\$ (29,495)
Changes in fair value of debt instruments subject to total rate of return swaps	9,420	20,075(3)		29,495

Table of Contents***Fair Value of Financial Instruments***

We believe that the aggregate fair value of our cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables and short-term secured debt approximates their aggregate carrying value at December 31, 2008, due to their relatively short-term nature and high probability of realization. We estimate fair value for our notes receivable and debt instruments using present value techniques that include income and market valuation approaches with market rates for debt with the same or similar terms. Present value calculations vary depending on the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In many cases, the fair value estimates may not be realizable in immediate settlement of the instruments. The estimated aggregate fair value of our notes receivable was approximately \$161.6 million and \$191.5 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. See Note 5 for further information on notes receivable. The estimated aggregate fair value of our consolidated debt (including amounts reported in liabilities related to assets held for sale) was approximately \$6.7 billion and \$7.6 billion at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The combined carrying value of our consolidated debt (including amounts reported in liabilities related to assets held for sale) and our outstanding term loans was approximately \$6.8 billion and \$7.5 billion at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. See Note 6 and Note 7 for further details on our consolidated debt. Refer to *Derivative Financial Instruments* for further discussion regarding certain of our fixed rate debt that is subject to total rate of return swap instruments.

Adoption of SFAS 159

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, or SFAS 159. SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. SFAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We implemented SFAS 159 on January 1, 2008, and at that time did not elect the fair value option for any of our financial instruments or other items within the scope of SFAS 159.

Tax Credit Arrangements

We sponsor certain partnerships that own and operate apartment properties that qualify for tax credits under Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which we refer to as the Code, and for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, or HUD, subsidized rents under HUD's Section 8 program. These partnerships acquire, develop and operate qualifying affordable housing properties and are structured to provide for the pass-through of tax credits and deductions to their partners. The tax credits are generally realized ratably over the first ten years of the tax credit arrangement and are subject to the partnership's compliance with applicable laws and regulations for a period of 15 years. Typically, we are the general partner with a legal ownership interest of one percent or less. We market limited partner interests of at least 99 percent to unaffiliated institutional investors (which we refer to as tax credit investors or investors) and receive a syndication fee from each investor upon such investor's admission to the partnership. At inception, each investor agrees to fund capital contributions to the partnerships. We agree to perform various services to the partnerships in exchange for fees over the expected duration of the tax credit service period. The related partnership agreements generally require adjustment of each tax credit investor's required capital contributions if actual tax benefits to such investor differ from projected amounts.

We have determined that the partnerships in these arrangements are variable interest entities and, where we are general partner, we are the primary beneficiary that is required to consolidate the partnerships. Based on the contractual arrangements that obligate us to deliver tax benefits to the investors, and that entitle us through fee arrangements to receive substantially all available cash flow from the partnerships, we determined that these partnerships are most appropriately accounted for by us as wholly owned subsidiaries. We also determined that capital contributions received by the partnerships from tax credit investors represent, in substance, consideration that we receive in exchange for our obligation to deliver tax credits and other tax benefits to the investors, and these

F-15

Table of Contents

receipts are appropriately recognized as revenue in our consolidated financial statements when our obligation to the investors is relieved upon delivery of the expected tax benefits.

In summary, our accounting treatment recognizes the income or loss generated by the underlying real estate based on our economic interest in the partnerships. Proceeds received in exchange for the transfer of the tax credits are recognized as revenue proportionately as the tax benefits are delivered to the tax credit investors and our obligation is relieved. Syndication fees and related costs are recognized in income upon completion of the syndication effort. We recognize syndication fees in amounts determined based on a market rate analysis of fees for comparable services, which generally fell within a range of 10% to 15% of investor contributions during the periods presented. Other direct and incremental costs incurred in structuring these arrangements are deferred and amortized over the expected duration of the arrangement in proportion to the recognition of related income. Investor contributions in excess of recognized revenue are reported as deferred income in our consolidated balance sheets.

During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recognized syndication fee income of \$3.4 million, \$13.8 million and \$12.7 million, respectively, and revenue associated with the delivery of tax benefits of \$29.4 million, \$24.0 million and \$16.0 million, respectively. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, \$159.6 million and \$149.2 million, respectively, of investor contributions in excess of the recognized revenue were included in deferred income in our consolidated balance sheets.

Acquisition of Real Estate Assets and Related Depreciation and Amortization

We capitalize the purchase price and incremental direct costs associated with the acquisition of properties as the cost of the assets acquired. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, *Business Combinations*, or SFAS 141, we allocate the cost of acquired properties to tangible assets and identified intangible assets based on their fair values. We determine the fair value of tangible assets, such as land, building, furniture, fixtures and equipment, on an as-if vacant basis, generally using internal valuation techniques that consider comparable market transactions, discounted cash flow techniques, replacement costs and other available information. We determine the fair value of identified intangible assets (or liabilities), which typically relate to in-place leases, using internal valuation techniques that consider the terms of the in-place leases, current market data for comparable leases, and our experience in leasing similar properties. The intangible assets or liabilities related to in-place leases are comprised of:

1. The value of the above- and below-market leases in-place. An asset or liability is recognized based on the difference between (a) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (b) our estimate of fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over the period, including estimated lease renewals for below-market leases, that the leases are expected to remain in effect.
2. The estimated unamortized portion of avoided leasing commissions and other costs that ordinarily would be incurred to acquire the in-place leases.
3. The value associated with vacant units during the absorption period (estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on current market demand and stabilized occupancy levels).

The values of the above- and below-market leases are amortized to rental revenue over the expected remaining terms of the associated leases. Other intangible assets related to in-place leases are amortized to depreciation and amortization over the expected remaining terms of the associated leases. Amortization is adjusted, as necessary, to reflect any early lease terminations that were not anticipated in determining amortization periods.

Depreciation for all tangible real estate assets is calculated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives. Acquired buildings and improvements are depreciated over a composite life of 14 to 52 years, based on the age, condition and other physical characteristics of the property. As discussed under *Impairment of Long Lived Assets* below, we may adjust depreciation of properties that are expected to be disposed of or demolished prior to the end of their useful lives. Furniture, fixtures and equipment associated with acquired properties are depreciated over five years.

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, deferred income in our consolidated balance sheets includes below-market lease values totaling \$36.2 million and \$45.0 million, respectively which are net of accumulated amortization of

Table of Contents

\$16.6 million and \$12.2 million, respectively. Additions to below-market leases resulting from acquisitions during the year ended December 31, 2007 totaled \$18.9 million, and there were no such additions during the years ended December 31, 2008 or 2006. During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we included amortization of below-market leases of \$4.4 million, \$4.6 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, in rental and other property revenues in our consolidated statements of income. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we revised the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in acquisitions completed in 2007, resulting in a \$4.4 million reduction of below-market lease values and a corresponding reduction in buildings and improvements. At December 31, 2008, our below-market leases had a weighted average amortization period of 7.3 years and estimated aggregate amortization expense for each of the five succeeding years as follows:

2009	\$ 4.4
2010	3.9
2011	3.6
2012	3.2
2013	2.8

Capital Expenditures and Related Depreciation

We capitalize costs, including certain indirect costs, incurred in connection with our capital expenditure activities, including redevelopment and construction projects, other tangible property improvements, and replacements of existing property components. Included in these capitalized costs are payroll costs associated with time spent by site employees in connection with the planning, execution and control of all capital expenditure activities at the property level. We characterize as indirect costs an allocation of certain department costs, including payroll, at the area operations and corporate levels that clearly relate to capital expenditure activities. We capitalize interest, property taxes and insurance during periods in which redevelopment and construction projects are in progress. We charge to expense as incurred costs that do not relate to capital expenditure activities, including ordinary repairs, maintenance, resident turnover costs and general and administrative expenses.

We depreciate capitalized costs using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the related component or improvement, which is five, 15 or 30 years. All capitalized site payroll and indirect costs are allocated proportionately, based on direct costs, among capital projects and depreciated over the estimated useful lives of such projects.

Certain homogeneous items that are purchased in bulk on a recurring basis, such as carpeting and appliances, are depreciated using group methods that reflect the average estimated useful life of the items in each group. Except in the case of property casualties, where the net book value of lost property is written off in the determination of casualty gains or losses, we generally do not recognize any loss in connection with the replacement of an existing property component because normal replacements are considered in determining the estimated useful lives used in connection with our composite and group depreciation methods.

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, for continuing and discontinued operations, we capitalized \$25.7 million, \$30.8 million and \$24.7 million, respectively, of interest costs, and \$78.1 million, \$78.1 million and \$66.2 million, respectively, of site payroll and indirect costs, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We apply the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, or SFAS 144, to determine whether our real estate and other long-lived assets are

impaired. Such assets to be held and used are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, unless the carrying amount of the asset is not recoverable. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property. Based on periodic tests of recoverability of long-lived assets, for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we recorded operating real estate impairment losses of \$5.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, related to properties to be held and used. For

F-17

Table of Contents

the year ended December 31, 2006, we recorded net recoveries of previously recorded operating real estate impairment losses of \$0.8 million.

In connection with the preparation of our annual financial statements, we assessed the recoverability of our investment in our Lincoln Place property, located in Venice, California. Based upon the recent decline in land values in Southern California and the expected timing of our redevelopment efforts, we determined that the total carrying amount of the property was no longer probable of full recovery and, accordingly, during the three months ended December 31, 2008, recognized an impairment loss of \$85.4 million (\$55.6 million net of tax).

Similarly, we assessed the recoverability of our investment in Pacific Bay Vistas (formerly Treetops), a vacant property located in San Bruno, California, and determined that the carrying value for the property exceeded its estimated fair value. Accordingly, we recognized an impairment loss of \$5.7 million for this property during the three months ended December 31, 2008.

The impairment losses related to Lincoln Place, Pacific Bay Vistas and our investment in Casden Properties LLC (see Note 5), are included in provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The amounts reported in continuing operations for real estate impairment (losses) recoveries, net include impairment losses related to consolidated properties to be held and used, as well as our share of all impairment losses or recoveries related to unconsolidated properties. We report impairment losses or recoveries related to properties sold or classified as held for sale in discontinued operations.

Our tests of recoverability address real estate assets that do not currently meet all conditions to be classified as held for sale, but are expected to be disposed of prior to the end of their estimated useful lives. If an impairment loss is not required to be recorded in accordance with SFAS 144, the recognition of depreciation is adjusted prospectively, as necessary, to reduce the carrying amount of the real estate to its estimated disposition value over the remaining period that the real estate is expected to be held and used. We also may adjust depreciation prospectively to reduce to zero the carrying amount of buildings that we plan to demolish in connection with a redevelopment project. These depreciation adjustments, after adjustments for minority interest in the Aimco Operating Partnership, decreased net income by \$10.7 million, \$33.8 million and \$31.2 million, and resulted in decreases in basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.09, \$0.24 and \$0.22, for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Cash Equivalents

In accordance with GAAP, highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less are classified as cash equivalents.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash includes capital replacement reserves, tax-free exchange funds, completion repair reserves, bond sinking fund amounts and tax and insurance escrow accounts held by lenders.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are generally comprised of amounts receivable from residents, amounts receivable from non-affiliated real estate partnerships for which we provide property management and other services and other miscellaneous receivables from non-affiliated entities. We evaluate collectibility of accounts receivable from residents and establish an allowance, after the application of security deposits and other anticipated recoveries, for accounts

greater than 30 days past due for current residents and all receivables due from former residents. Accounts receivable from residents are stated net of allowances for doubtful accounts of approximately \$3.3 million and \$3.1 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

We evaluate collectibility of accounts receivable from non-affiliated entities and establish an allowance for amounts that are considered to be uncollectible. Accounts receivable relating to non-affiliated entities are stated net

Table of Contents

of allowances for doubtful accounts of approximately \$5.0 million and \$4.6 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts from Affiliates

Accounts receivable from affiliates are generally comprised of receivables related to property management and other services provided to unconsolidated real estate partnerships in which we have an ownership interest. We evaluate collectibility of accounts receivable balances from affiliates on a periodic basis, and establish an allowance for the amounts deemed to be uncollectible. Accounts receivable from affiliates are stated net of allowances for doubtful accounts of approximately \$5.6 million and \$5.3 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Deferred Costs

We defer lender fees and other direct costs incurred in obtaining new financing and amortize the amounts over the terms of the related loan agreements. Amortization of these costs is included in interest expense.

We defer leasing commissions and other direct costs incurred in connection with successful leasing efforts and amortize the costs over the terms of the related leases. Amortization of these costs is included in depreciation and amortization.

Advertising Costs

We generally expense all advertising costs as incurred to property operating expense. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, for both continuing and discontinued operations, total advertising expense was \$36.0 million, \$38.0 million and \$34.7 million, respectively.

Notes Receivable from Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships and Non-Affiliates and Related Interest Income and Provision for Losses

Notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships consist primarily of notes receivable from partnerships in which we are the general partner but do not consolidate the partnership under FIN 46 or EITF 04-5. The ultimate repayment of these notes and those from non-affiliates is subject to a number of variables, including the performance and value of the underlying real estate property and the claims of unaffiliated mortgage lenders. Our notes receivable include loans extended by us that we carry at the face amount plus accrued interest, which we refer to as par value notes, and loans extended by predecessors whose positions we generally acquired at a discount, which we refer to as discounted notes.

We record interest income on par value notes as earned in accordance with the terms of the related loan agreements. We discontinue the accrual of interest on such notes when the notes are impaired, as discussed below, or when there is otherwise significant uncertainty as to the collection of interest. We record income on such nonaccrual loans using the cost recovery method, under which we apply cash receipts first to the recorded amount of the loan; thereafter, any additional receipts are recognized as income.

We recognize interest income on discounted notes receivable based upon whether the amount and timing of collections are both probable and reasonably estimable. We consider collections to be probable and reasonably estimable when the borrower has entered into certain closed or pending transactions (which include real estate sales, refinancings, foreclosures and rights offerings) that provide a reliable source of repayment. In such instances, we recognize accretion income, on a prospective basis using the effective interest method over the estimated remaining term of the loans, equal to the difference between the carrying amount of the discounted notes and the estimated

collectible value. We record income on all other discounted notes using the cost recovery method.

We assess the collectibility of notes receivable on a periodic basis, which assessment consists primarily of an evaluation of cash flow projections of the borrower to determine whether estimated cash flows are sufficient to repay principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of the note. We recognize impairments on notes receivable when it is probable that principal and interest will not be received in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. The amount of the impairment to be recognized generally is based on the fair value of the partnership's real estate that represents the primary source of loan repayment. In certain instances where other

Table of Contents

sources of cash flow are available to repay the loan, the impairment is measured by discounting the estimated cash flows at the loan's original effective interest rate. See Note 5 for further discussion of Notes Receivable.

Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships

We own general and limited partner interests in real estate partnerships that own apartment properties. We generally account for investments in real estate partnerships that we do not consolidate under the equity method. Under the equity method, our share of the earnings or losses of the entity for the periods being presented is included in equity in earnings (losses) from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, except for our share of impairments and property disposition gains related to such entities, which we report separately in the consolidated statements of income. Certain investments in real estate partnerships that were acquired in business combinations were determined to have insignificant value at the acquisition date and are accounted for under the cost method. Any distributions received from such partnerships are recognized as income when received.

The excess of the cost of the acquired partnership interests over the historical carrying amount of partners' equity or deficit is ascribed generally to the fair values of land and buildings owned by the partnerships. We amortize the excess cost related to the buildings over the estimated useful lives of the buildings. Such amortization is recorded as a component of equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated real estate partnerships.

Intangible Assets

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, other assets included goodwill associated with our real estate segment of \$81.9 million. We account for goodwill and other intangible assets in accordance with the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, or SFAS 142. SFAS 142 does not permit amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives, but requires an annual impairment test of such assets. The impairment test compares the fair value of reporting units with their carrying amounts, including goodwill. Based on the application of the goodwill impairment test set forth in SFAS 142, we determined that our goodwill was not impaired in 2008, 2007 or 2006.

Other assets also includes intangible assets for purchased management contracts with finite lives that we amortize on a straight-line basis over terms ranging from five to twenty years and intangible assets for in-place leases as discussed under *Acquisition of Real Estate Assets and Related Depreciation and Amortization*.

Capitalized Software Costs

Purchased software and other costs related to software developed for internal use are capitalized during the application development stage and are amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the software, generally five years. We write off the costs of software development projects when it is no longer probable that the software will be completed and placed in service. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we capitalized software development costs totaling \$20.9 million, \$11.9 million and \$6.5 million, respectively. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, other assets included \$35.7 million and \$29.0 million of net capitalized software, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recognized amortization of capitalized software of \$10.0 million, \$10.8 million and \$14.5 million, respectively, which is included in depreciation and amortization in our consolidated statements of income.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we reassessed our approach to communication technology needs at our properties, which resulted in the discontinuation of an infrastructure project and a \$5.4 million write-off of related hardware and capitalized internal and consulting costs included in other assets. The write-off, which is net of sales proceeds, is included in other (income) expenses, net. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we additionally

recorded a \$1.6 million write-off of certain software and hardware assets that are no longer consistent with our information technology strategy. This write-off is included in depreciation and amortization. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we abandoned certain internal-use software development projects and recorded a \$4.2 million write-off of the capitalized costs of such projects in depreciation and amortization. There were no similar write-offs during the year ended December 31, 2006.

F-20

Table of Contents

Minority Interest in Consolidated Real Estate Partnerships

We report unaffiliated partners' interests in consolidated real estate partnerships as minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships. Minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships represents the minority partners' share of the underlying net assets of our consolidated real estate partnerships. When these consolidated real estate partnerships make cash distributions to partners in excess of the carrying amount of the minority interest, we generally record a charge equal to the amount of such excess distribution, even though there is no economic effect or cost. We report this charge in the consolidated statements of income as deficit distributions to minority partners. We allocate the minority partners' share of partnership losses to minority partners to the extent of the carrying amount of the minority interest. We generally record a charge when the minority partners' share of partnership losses exceed the carrying amount of the minority interest, even though there is no economic effect or cost. We report this charge in the consolidated statements of income within minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships. We do not record charges for distributions or losses in certain limited instances where the minority partner has a legal obligation and financial capacity to contribute additional capital to the partnership. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recorded charges for partnership losses resulting from depreciation of approximately \$9.0 million, \$12.2 million and \$31.8 million respectively, that were not allocated to minority partners because the losses exceeded the carrying amount of the minority interest.

Minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships consists primarily of equity interests held by limited partners in consolidated real estate partnerships that have finite lives. The terms of the related partnership agreements generally require the partnership to be liquidated following the sale of the partnership's real estate. As the general partner in these partnerships, we ordinarily control the execution of real estate sales and other events that could lead to the liquidation, redemption or other settlement of minority interests. The aggregate carrying value of minority interests in consolidated real estate partnerships is approximately \$348.5 million at December 31, 2008. The aggregate fair value of these interests varies based on the fair value of the real estate owned by the partnerships. Based on the number of classes of finite-life minority interests, the number of properties in which there is direct or indirect minority ownership, complexities in determining the allocation of liquidation proceeds among partners and other factors, we believe it is impracticable to determine the total required payments to the minority interests in an assumed liquidation at December 31, 2008. As a result of real estate depreciation that is recognized in our financial statements and appreciation in the fair value of real estate that is not recognized in our financial statements, we believe that the aggregate fair value of our minority interests exceeds their aggregate carrying value. As a result of our ability to control real estate sales and other events that require payment of minority interests and our expectation that proceeds from real estate sales will be sufficient to liquidate related minority interests, we anticipate that the eventual liquidation of these minority interests will not have an adverse impact on our financial condition.

Revenue Recognition

Our properties have operating leases with apartment residents with terms generally of twelve months or less. We recognize rental revenue related to these leases, net of any concessions, on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. We recognize revenues from property management, asset management, syndication and other services when the related fees are earned and are realized or realizable.

Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or SFAS 123R (see Note 12).

Discontinued Operations

In accordance with SFAS 144, we classify certain properties and related liabilities as held for sale (see Note 13). The operating results of such properties as well as those properties sold during the periods presented are included in discontinued operations in both current periods and all comparable periods presented. Depreciation is not recorded on properties held for sale; however, depreciation expense recorded prior to classification as held for sale is included in discontinued operations. The net gain on sale and any impairment losses are presented in discontinued operations when recognized.

Table of Contents***Derivative Financial Instruments***

We primarily use long-term, fixed-rate and self-amortizing non-recourse debt to avoid, among other things, risk related to fluctuating interest rates. For our variable rate debt, we are sometimes required by our lenders to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations by entering into interest rate swap or cap agreements. The interest rate swap agreements moderate our exposure to interest rate risk by effectively converting the interest on variable rate debt to a fixed rate. The interest rate cap agreements effectively limit our exposure to interest rate risk by providing a ceiling on the underlying variable interest rate. The fair values of these instruments are reflected as assets or liabilities in the balance sheet, and periodic changes in fair value are included in interest expense. These instruments are not material to our financial position and results of operations.

From time to time, we enter into total rate of return swaps on various fixed rate secured tax-exempt bonds payable and fixed rate notes payable to convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide an efficient financing product to lower our cost of borrowing. In exchange for our receipt of a fixed rate generally equal to the underlying borrowing's interest rate, the total rate of return swaps require that we pay a variable rate, equivalent to the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index, or SIFMA, rate (previously the Bond Market Association index) for tax-exempt bonds payable and the 30-day LIBOR rate for notes payable, plus a risk spread. These swaps generally have a second or third lien on the property collateralized by the related borrowings and the obligations under certain of these swaps are cross-collateralized with certain of the other swaps with a particular counterparty. The underlying borrowings are generally callable at our option, with no prepayment penalty, with 30 days advance notice, and the swaps generally have a term of less than five years. The total rate of return swaps have a contractually defined termination value generally equal to the difference between the fair value and the counterparty's purchased value of the underlying borrowings, which may require payment by us or to us for such difference. Accordingly, we believe fluctuations in the fair value of the borrowings from the inception of the hedging relationship generally will be offset by a corresponding fluctuation in the fair value of the total rate of return swaps.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, or SFAS 133, we designate total rate of return swaps as hedges of the risk of overall changes in the fair value of the underlying borrowings. At each reporting period, we estimate the fair value of these borrowings and the total rate of return swaps and recognize any changes therein as an adjustment of interest expense. We evaluate the effectiveness of these fair value hedges at the end of each reporting period and recognize an adjustment of interest expense as a result of any ineffectiveness.

Borrowings payable subject to total rate of return swaps with aggregate outstanding principal balances of \$421.7 million and \$487.2 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, are reflected as variable rate borrowings in Note 6. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, due to changes in the estimated fair values of certain of these debt instruments and corresponding total rate of return swaps, we reduced property loans payable by \$20.1 million and \$9.4 million respectively, and increased accrued liabilities and other by the same amount, with no net impact on net income. During 2006 there were no material adjustments for changes in fair value for the hedged debt or total rate of return swaps. See *Adoption of SFAS 157* in Note 2 for further discussion of fair value measurements related to these arrangements. During 2008, 2007 and 2006, we determined these hedges were fully effective and accordingly we made no adjustments to interest expense for ineffectiveness.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2008, the weighted average fixed receive rate under the total return swaps was 6.8% and the weighted average variable pay rate was 1.8%, based on the applicable SIFMA and 30-day LIBOR rates effective as of that date. Further information related to our total return swaps as of December 31, 2008 is as follows:

Debt Principal (millions)	Year of Debt Maturity	Weighted Average Debt Interest Rate	Swap Notional Amount (millions)	Swap Maturity Date	Weighted Average Swap Variable Pay Rate at December 31, 2008
\$ 26.1	2009	9.0%	\$ 26.3	2009	2.1%
60.0	2012	7.5%	60.0	2012	2.7%
24.0	2015	6.9%	24.0	2011	1.7%
14.2	2018	7.3%	14.2	2011	1.7%
12.3	2021	6.2%	12.3	2012	1.7%
12.0	2024	6.3%	12.0	2011	1.7%
54.6	2025	5.5%	54.4	2011	1.3%
47.9	2026	7.4%	47.9	2011	1.7%
45.0	2031	7.4%	45.0	2011	1.7%
100.6	2036	6.2%	101.0	2010-2012	1.7%
12.5	2038	6.5%	12.5	2011	1.9%
12.5	2048	5.5%	12.5	2011	1.9%
\$ 421.7			\$ 422.1		

Insurance

We believe that our insurance coverages insure our properties adequately against the risk of loss attributable to fire, earthquake, hurricane, tornado, flood, and other perils. In addition, we have insurance coverage for substantial portions of our property, workers' compensation, health, and general liability exposures. Losses are accrued based upon our estimates of the aggregate liability for uninsured losses incurred using certain actuarial assumptions followed in the insurance industry and based on our experience.

Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 1994, and intend to continue to operate in such a manner. Our current and continuing qualification as a REIT depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Code, which are related to organizational structure, distribution levels, diversity of stock ownership and certain restrictions with regard to owned assets and categories of income. If we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we will generally not be subject to United States Federal corporate income tax on our taxable income that is currently distributed to stockholders. This treatment substantially eliminates the double taxation (at the corporate and stockholder levels) that generally results from an investment in a corporation.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to United States Federal income and excise taxes in various situations, such as on our undistributed income. We also will be required to pay a 100% tax on any net income on non-arms length transactions between us and a TRS (described below) and on any net income from sales of property that was property held for sale to customers in the ordinary course. We and our stockholders may be subject to state or local taxation in various state or local jurisdictions, including those in which we transact business or our stockholders reside. In addition, we could also be subject to the alternative minimum tax, or AMT, on our items of tax preference. The state and local tax laws may not conform to the United States Federal income tax treatment. Any taxes imposed on us reduce our operating cash flow and net income.

Certain of our operations (including property management, asset management and risk) are conducted through taxable REIT subsidiaries, which are subsidiaries of the Aimco Operating Partnership, and each of which we refer to as a TRS. A TRS is a C-corporation that has not elected REIT status and as such is subject to United States Federal

Table of Contents

corporate income tax. We use TRS entities to facilitate our ability to offer certain services and activities to our residents, as these services and activities generally cannot be offered directly by the REIT. We also use TRS entities to hold investments in certain properties.

For our taxable REIT subsidiaries, deferred income taxes result from temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for Federal income tax purposes, and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences reverse. We reduce deferred tax assets by recording a valuation allowance when we determine based on available evidence that it is more likely than not that the assets will not be realized. We recognize the tax consequences associated with intercompany transfers between the REIT and TRS entities when the related assets are sold to third parties, impaired or otherwise disposed of for financial reporting purposes.

In March 2008, we were notified by the Internal Revenue Service that it intended to examine the 2006 Federal tax return for the Aimco Operating Partnership. During June 2008, the IRS issued AIMCO-GP, Inc., the general and tax matters partner of the Aimco Operating Partnership, a summary report including the IRS's proposed adjustments to the Aimco Operating Partnership's 2006 Federal tax return. We do not expect the proposed adjustments to have any material effect on our unrecognized tax benefits, financial condition or results of operations.

Earnings per Share

We calculate earnings per share based on the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock, common stock equivalents, and other potentially dilutive securities outstanding during the period (see Note 14). As discussed in Note 1, weighted average shares of Common Stock, common stock equivalents and other potentially dilutive securities outstanding have been retroactively adjusted for the effect of shares of Common Stock issued January 30, 2008, August 29, 2008, December 1, 2008, and January 29, 2009, pursuant to the special dividends. Earnings per share amounts for each period presented reflect the retroactively adjusted weighted average share and equivalent counts.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially could subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of notes receivable and total rate of return swaps. As discussed in Note 5, a significant portion of our notes receivable at December 31, 2008, are collateralized by properties in the West Harlem area of New York City. There are no other significant concentrations of credit risk with respect to our notes receivable due to the large number of partnerships that are borrowers under the notes and the geographic diversity of the properties that collateralize the notes.

At December 31, 2008, we had total rate of return swap positions with two financial institutions totaling \$422.1 million. The swap positions with one counterparty are comprised of \$409.8 million of fixed rate debt effectively converted to variable rates using total rate of return swaps, including \$349.8 million of tax-exempt bonds indexed to SIFMA and \$60.0 million of taxable second mortgage notes indexed to LIBOR. Additionally, the swap agreements with this counterparty provide for collateral calls to maintain specified loan-to-value ratios. As of December 31, 2008, we had provided this counterparty \$3.2 million in cash collateral, which is included in other assets in our consolidated balance sheet. We have one swap position with another counterparty that is comprised of \$12.3 million of fixed rate tax-exempt bonds indexed to SIFMA. We periodically evaluate counterparty credit risk associated with these arrangements. At the current time, we have concluded we do not have material exposure. In the event either counterparty were to default under these arrangements, loss of the net interest benefit we generally receive under these arrangements, which is equal to the difference between the fixed rate we receive and the variable rate we pay, may adversely impact our results of operations and operating cash flows. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we may be required to provide additional collateral

pursuant to the swap agreements, which would adversely affect our cash flows.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts included in the financial statements and accompanying notes thereto. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

F-24

Table of Contents

Reclassifications

Certain items included in the 2007 and 2006 financial statements amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2008 presentation.

NOTE 3 Real Estate and Partnership Acquisitions and Other Significant Transactions

Real Estate Acquisitions

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we acquired three conventional properties with a total of 470 units, located in San Jose, California, Brighton, Massachusetts and Seattle, Washington. The aggregate purchase price of \$111.5 million, excluding transaction costs, was funded using \$39.0 million in proceeds from mortgage loans, \$41.9 million in tax-free exchange proceeds (provided by 2008 real estate dispositions) and the remainder in cash.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, we completed the acquisition of 16 conventional properties with approximately 1,300 units for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$217.0 million, excluding transaction costs. Of the 16 properties acquired, ten are located in New York City, New York, two in Daytona Beach, Florida, one in Park Forest, Illinois, one in Poughkeepsie, New York, one in Redwood City, California, and one in North San Diego, California. The purchases were funded with cash, tax-free exchange proceeds, new debt and the assumption of existing debt.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, we completed acquisitions of nine properties (including one property acquired by an unconsolidated joint venture), containing approximately 1,700 residential units for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$177.0 million, excluding transaction costs. Of the nine properties acquired, three are located in Pacifica, California, one in Chico, California, three in metro Jacksonville, Florida, one in Tampa, Florida, and one in Greenville, North Carolina. The purchases were funded with cash, new debt and the assumption of existing debt.

Acquisitions of Partnership Interests

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we acquired limited partnership interests in 22 and 50 partnerships respectively, in which our affiliates served as general partner. In connection with such acquisitions, we paid cash of approximately \$2.0 million and \$47.4 million, including transaction costs. The cost of the acquisitions was approximately \$2.4 million and \$43.6 million in excess of the carrying amount of minority interest in such limited partnerships, which excess we generally assigned to real estate.

Disposition of Unconsolidated Real Estate

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we disposed of our interest in unconsolidated real estate partnerships that owned two properties with 671 units. Our net gain on the disposition of these interests totaled \$98.4 million and is included in gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other in the accompanying statements of income for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Casualty Loss Related to Tropical Storm Fay and Hurricane Ike

During 2008, Tropical Storm Fay and Hurricane Ike caused severe damage to certain of our properties located primarily in Florida and Texas, respectively. We estimated total losses of approximately \$33.9 million, including property damage replacement cost and clean-up cost. After consideration of estimated third party insurance proceeds and the minority interest partners' share of losses for consolidated real estate partnerships, the net effect of these

casualties on net income, after the effect of minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership, was a loss of approximately \$5.0 million.

Restructuring Costs

In connection with 2008 property sales and an expected reduction in redevelopment and transactional activities, during the three months ended December 31, 2008, we initiated an organizational restructuring program that included reductions in workforce and related costs, reductions in leased corporate facilities and abandonment of

F-25

Table of Contents

certain redevelopment projects and business pursuits. As a result, during the three months ended December 31, 2008, we recognized a restructuring charge of \$22.8 million, which consists primarily of: severance costs of \$12.9 million; unrecoverable lease obligations of \$6.4 million related to space that we will no longer use; and the write-off of deferred transaction costs totaling \$3.5 million associated with certain acquisitions and redevelopment opportunities that we will no longer pursue. Of the amounts included in the restructuring charge, approximately \$2.9 million of the severance costs and the \$3.5 million of transaction costs had been paid as of December 31, 2008. We anticipate eliminating the remaining jobs associated with the severance amounts discussed above by March 1, 2009. The amounts related to this restructuring have not been allocated to our reportable segments based on the methods used to evaluate segment performance.

Transactions Involving VMS National Properties Joint Venture

In January 2007, VMS National Properties Joint Venture, or VMS, a consolidated real estate partnership in which we held a 22% equity interest, refinanced mortgage loans secured by its 15 apartment properties. The existing loans had an aggregate carrying amount of \$110.0 million and an aggregate face amount of \$152.2 million. The \$42.2 million difference between the face amount and carrying amount resulted from a 1997 bankruptcy settlement in which the lender agreed to reduce the principal amount of the loans subject to VMS's compliance with the terms of the restructured loans. Because the reduction in the loan amount was contingent on future compliance, recognition of the inherent debt extinguishment gain was deferred. Upon refinancing of the loans in January 2007, the existing lender accepted the reduced principal amount in full satisfaction of the loans, and VMS recognized the \$42.2 million debt extinguishment gain in earnings.

During the six months ended June 30, 2007, VMS sold eight properties to third parties for an aggregate gain of \$22.7 million. Additionally, VMS contributed its seven remaining properties to wholly-owned subsidiaries of Aimco in exchange for consideration totaling \$230.1 million, consisting primarily of cash of \$21.3 million, common OP Units with a fair value of \$9.8 million, the assumption of \$168.0 million in mortgage debt, and the assumption of \$30.9 million in mortgage participation liabilities. This total consideration included \$50.7 million related to our 22% equity interest in VMS. Exclusive of our share, the consideration paid for the seven properties exceeded the carrying amount of the minority interest in such properties by \$44.9 million. This excess consideration is reflected in our consolidated balance sheet as an increase in the carrying amount of the seven properties.

Approximately \$22.8 million of the \$42.2 million debt extinguishment gain related to the mortgage loans that were secured by the eight properties sold to third parties and is reported in discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2007. The remaining \$19.4 million portion of the debt extinguishment gain related to the mortgage loans that were secured by the seven VMS properties we purchased and is reported in our continuing operations as gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other. Although 78% of the equity interests in VMS were held by unrelated minority partners, no minority interest share of the gains on debt extinguishment and sale of the properties was recognized in our earnings. As required by GAAP, we had in prior years recognized the minority partners' share of VMS losses in excess of the minority partners' capital contributions. The amounts of those previously recognized losses exceeded the minority partners' share of the gains on debt extinguishment and sale of the properties; accordingly, the minority interest in such gains recognized in our earnings was limited to the minority interest in the Aimco Operating Partnership. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the aggregate effect of the gains on extinguishment of VMS debt and sale of VMS properties was to decrease loss from continuing operations by \$17.6 million (\$0.13 per diluted share) and increase net income by \$59.0 million (\$0.42 per diluted share).

During the three months ended December 31, 2007, VMS distributed its remaining cash, consisting primarily of undistributed proceeds from the sale of its 15 properties (including properties sold to us). Of the \$42.4 million of cash distributed to the unrelated limited partners, \$21.3 million represents the cash consideration we contributed in exchange for the purchase of seven properties and is presented in purchases of partnership interests and other assets in

the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2007. The remainder of the cash distributed to the unrelated limited partners is presented in payment of distributions to minority interest in the consolidated statement of cash flows.

Table of Contents***Flamingo South Beach Property***

The Flamingo South Beach property consists of three towers. In connection with the sale of the South Tower in 2006, the buyer paid to us a \$5.0 million non-refundable fee for the option to purchase the 614-unit North Tower between September 1, 2006, and February 28, 2007, and the 513-unit Central Tower between December 1, 2007, and May 31, 2008. Pursuant to the purchase and sale agreement, the buyer paid to us an additional \$1.0 million non-refundable fee to extend the option period for the buyer's purchase of the North Tower from February 28, 2007, to October 31, 2007. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 66, *Accounting for Sales of Real Estate*, or SFAS 66, we deferred the recognition of the non-refundable fees. In September 2007, the buyer terminated its rights under the option agreement and we recognized income of \$6.0 million (\$5.5 million net of tax) during the year ended December 31, 2007, which is presented in gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Palazzo Joint Venture

In December 2007, we entered into a joint venture agreement with a third party investor which provides for the co-ownership of three multi-family properties with 1,382 units located in West Los Angeles. Under the agreement, we contributed three wholly-owned properties, The Palazzo at Park La Brea, The Palazzo East at Park La Brea and The Villas at Park La Brea to the partnership, which we refer to as Palazzo, at a value of \$726.0 million, or approximately \$525,000 per unit. Palazzo had existing property debt of approximately \$296.0 million and an implied equity value of approximately \$430.0 million. We received \$202.0 million from the investor in exchange for an approximate 47% interest in Palazzo, of which approximately \$7.9 million was used to fund escrows for capital improvements and various operating requirements. We own the remaining interests in Palazzo, including a managing interest, and will operate the properties in exchange for a property management fee and certain other fees over the term of the partnership.

We determined Palazzo is a VIE as defined by FIN 46 and that we are the primary beneficiary who should consolidate this partnership. In accordance with SFAS 66, we deferred recognition of a gain on this transaction and recognized the consideration received as an increase in minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships.

NOTE 4 Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships

We owned general and limited partner interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships owning approximately 85, 94 and 102 properties at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. We acquired these interests through various transactions, including large portfolio acquisitions and offers to individual limited partners. Our total ownership interests in these unconsolidated real estate partnerships ranges typically from less than 1% to 50%.

The following table provides selected combined financial information for the unconsolidated real estate partnerships in which we had investments accounted for under the equity method as of and for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	2008	2007	2006
Real estate, net of accumulated depreciation	\$ 122,788	\$ 133,544	\$ 143,750
Total assets	155,444	165,567	169,061
Secured and other notes payable	122,859	124,406	132,076
Total liabilities	175,681	180,222	183,378
Partners' deficit	(20,237)	(14,655)	(14,317)

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Rental and other property revenues	69,392	73,672	102,241
Property operating expenses	(42,863)	(45,998)	(59,017)
Depreciation expense	(12,640)	(13,965)	(19,198)
Interest expense	(17,182)	(17,194)	(24,338)
Gain on sale	5,391	59	3,156
Net income (loss)	1,398	(4,845)	2,369

F-27

Table of Contents

As a result of our acquisition of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships at a cost in excess of the historical carrying amount of the partnerships' net assets, our aggregate investment in these partnerships at December 31, 2008 and 2007 of \$109.3 million and \$117.2 million, respectively, exceeds our share of the underlying historical partners' deficit of the partnerships by approximately \$113.2 million and \$120.4 million, respectively.

NOTE 5 Notes Receivable

The following table summarizes our notes receivable at December 31, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	2008			2007		
	Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships	Non- Affiliates	Total	Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships	Non- Affiliates	Total
Par value notes	\$ 18,855	\$ 19,253	\$ 38,108	\$ 30,155	\$ 17,053	\$ 47,208
Discounted notes	8,575	135,123	143,698	10,045	127,422	137,467
Allowance for loan losses	(4,863)	(17,743)	(22,606)	(5,014)	(1,421)	(6,435)
Total notes receivable	\$ 22,567	\$ 136,633	\$ 159,200	\$ 35,186	\$ 143,054	\$ 178,240
Face value of discounted notes	\$ 39,333	\$ 145,526	\$ 184,859	\$ 41,668	\$ 142,062	\$ 183,730

Included in notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships at December 31, 2008 and 2007, are \$4.2 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, in notes that were secured by interests in real estate or interests in real estate partnerships. We earn interest on these secured notes receivable at various annual interest rates ranging between 9.0% and 12.0% and averaging 11.1%.

Included in the notes receivable from non-affiliates at December 31, 2008 and 2007, are \$92.6 million and \$87.6 million, respectively, in notes that were secured by interests in real estate or interests in real estate partnerships. We earn interest on these secured notes receivable at various annual interest rates ranging between 4.0% and 8.8% and averaging 6.0%.

Notes receivable from non-affiliates at December 31, 2008 and 2007, include notes receivable totaling \$85.6 million and \$84.3 million, respectively, from 31 entities (the borrowers) that are wholly owned by a single individual. We originated these notes in November 2006 pursuant to a loan agreement that provides for total funding of approximately \$110.0 million, including \$16.4 million for property improvements and an interest reserve, of which \$5.6 million had not been funded as of December 31, 2008. The notes mature in November 2016, bear interest at LIBOR plus 2.0%, are partially guaranteed by the owner of the borrowers, and are collateralized by second mortgages on 87 buildings containing 1,597 residential units and 42 commercial spaces in West Harlem, New York City. In conjunction with the loan agreement, we entered into a purchase option and put agreement with the borrowers under which we may purchase some or all of the buildings and, subject to achieving specified increases in rental income, the borrowers may require us to purchase the buildings. Our potential purchase of the buildings pursuant to the purchase option and put agreement may ultimately require cash payments and/or assumption of first mortgage debt totaling approximately \$149.0 million to \$216.0 million, in addition to amounts funded and committed under the loan agreement, depending on rental income levels and real estate fair values. We determined that the stated interest rate on the notes was a below-market interest rate and recorded a \$19.4 million discount to reflect the estimated fair value of

the notes based on an estimated market interest rate of LIBOR plus 4.0%. The discount was determined to be attributable to our real estate purchase option, which we recorded separately in other assets. Accretion of this discount, which is included in interest income in our consolidated statements of income, totaled \$0.7 million in 2008, and \$1.9 million in 2007, inclusive of a \$1.5 million adjustment of accretion recognized upon the repayment of a portion of the outstanding principal balance in 2007. No accretion of this discount was recorded in 2006. The value of the purchase option asset will be included in the cost of properties acquired pursuant to the option or otherwise be charged to expense. We determined that the borrowers are VIEs and, based on qualitative and quantitative analysis, determined that the individual who owns the borrowers and partially guarantees the notes is the primary beneficiary.

F-28

Table of Contents

In connection with the March 2002 acquisition of Casden Properties, Inc., we invested \$50.0 million for a 20% passive interest in Casden Properties LLC, an entity organized to buy, re-entitle and develop land parcels in Southern California. Based upon the profit allocation agreement, we account for this investment as a note receivable and have been amortizing the discounted value of the investment to the \$50.0 million previously estimated to be collectible through January 2, 2009, the initial dissolution date of the entity. The managing member is seeking to extend the dissolution date. In connection with the preparation of our annual financial statements and as a result of a recent decline in Southern California land values, we determined our investment was not fully recoverable and accordingly recognized an impairment loss of \$16.3 million (\$10.0 million net of tax) during the three months ended December 31, 2008. This impairment loss is included in provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets in the consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Interest income from total non-impaired par value and certain discounted notes for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 totaled \$7.8 million, \$11.7 million and \$5.8 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized a net reduction of accretion income of \$2.7 million, due primarily to revisions in estimates of the timing and amount of payment on certain discounted notes. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we recognized accretion income on certain discounted notes of \$3.4 million and \$6.7 million, respectively.

The activity in the allowance for loan losses in total for both par value notes and discounted notes for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, is as follows (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Balance at beginning of year	\$ (6,435)	\$ (5,478)
Provisions for losses on notes receivable	(4,608)	(6,018)
Recoveries of losses on notes receivable	429	2,067
Provision for impairment loss on investment in Casden Properties LLC	(16,321)	
Net reductions due to consolidation of real estate partnerships and property dispositions	4,329	2,994
Balance at end of year	\$ (22,606)	\$ (6,435)

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we determined that an allowance for loan losses of \$3.6 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, was required on certain of our par value notes that had carrying values of \$11.4 million and \$9.5 million, respectively. The average recorded investment in the impaired par value notes for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$9.0 million and \$8.3 million, respectively. The remaining \$26.7 million in par value notes receivable at December 31, 2008, is estimated to be collectible and, therefore, interest income on these par value notes is recognized as it is earned.

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, we determined that an allowance for loan losses of \$2.7 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, was required on certain of our discounted notes that had carrying values of \$5.4 million and \$3.4 million, respectively. The average recorded investment in the impaired discounted notes for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$4.9 million and \$3.4 million, respectively.

NOTE 6 Property Tax-Exempt Bond Financings, Property Loans Payable and Other Borrowings

The following table summarizes our property tax-exempt bond financings at December 31, 2008 and 2007, the majority of which is non-recourse to us (in thousands):

	Weighted Average Interest Rate 2008	Principal Outstanding	
		2008	2007
Fixed rate property tax-exempt bonds payable	5.59%	\$ 158,620	\$ 159,893
Variable rate property tax-exempt bonds payable	1.74%	563,351	596,549
Total		\$ 721,971	\$ 756,442

F-29

Table of Contents

Fixed rate property tax-exempt bonds payable mature at various dates through October 2045. Variable rate property tax-exempt bonds payable mature at various dates through June 2048. Principal and interest on these bonds are generally payable in semi-annual installments or in monthly interest-only payments with balloon payments due at maturity. Certain of our property tax-exempt bonds at December 31, 2008, are remarketed periodically by a remarketing agent to maintain a variable yield. If the remarketing agent is unable to remarket the bonds, then the remarketing agent can put the bonds to us. We believe that the likelihood of this occurring is remote. At December 31, 2008, our property tax-exempt bond financings were secured by 52 properties with a combined net book value of \$1,081.0 million. As discussed in Note 2, certain fixed rate property tax-exempt bonds payable have been converted to variable rates using total rate of return swaps and are presented above as variable rate debt.

The following table summarizes our property loans payable at December 31, 2008 and 2007, the majority of which are non-recourse to us (in thousands):

	Weighted Average Interest Rate 2008	Principal Outstanding 2008	2007
Fixed rate property notes payable	6.06%	\$ 5,223,522	\$ 4,757,536
Variable rate property notes payable	6.05%	223,560	254,061
Secured notes credit facility	1.22%	112,087	84,876
Total		\$ 5,559,169	\$ 5,096,473

Fixed rate property notes payable mature at various dates through August 2053. Variable rate property notes payable mature at various dates through December 2031. Principal and interest are generally payable monthly or in monthly interest-only payments with balloon payments due at maturity. At December 31, 2008, our secured notes payable were secured by 445 properties with a combined net book value of \$6,924.6 million. As discussed in Note 2, certain fixed rate secured notes payable have been converted to variable rates using total rate of return swaps and are presented above as variable rate debt.

We had a secured revolving credit facility that provided for borrowings of up to \$250.0 million primarily to be used for financing properties that we generally intended to hold for the intermediate term, as well as properties that were designated for redevelopment. The interest rate on the notes provided through this facility was the Fannie Mae Discounted Mortgage-Backed Security index plus 0.85% (for those loans with debt coverage ratios greater than or equal to 1.70x) or 1.05% (for those loans with debt service coverage ratios less than 1.70x), which interest rates reset monthly. Each loan under this facility was treated as a separate borrowing and was collateralized by a specific property, and none of the loans were cross-collateralized or cross-defaulted. This facility matured in September 2007.

We entered into a new secured revolving credit facility in September 2007 with a major life company that provides for borrowings of up to \$200.0 million. The primary function of the facility is to secure short-term fully pre-payable non-recourse loans for a period of less than three years. The interest rate on the notes provided through the facility is 30-day LIBOR plus 0.78%. Each loan under the facility is treated as a separate borrowing and is secured by a specific property. None of the facility loans are cross-collateralized or cross-defaulted. This facility matures in October 2010, and has two one-year extension options for a \$500,000 fee per extension. At December 31, 2008, outstanding borrowings of \$112.1 million related to properties classified as held for use are included in 2012 maturities below based on the extension options. At December 31, 2008, outstanding borrowings of \$16.2 million related to properties

classified as held for sale are included in liabilities related to assets held for sale in the consolidated balance sheet.

Our consolidated debt instruments generally contain covenants common to the type of facility or borrowing, including financial covenants establishing minimum debt service coverage ratios and maximum leverage ratios. At December 31, 2008, we were in compliance with all financial covenants pertaining to our consolidated debt instruments.

Other borrowings totaled \$96.0 million and \$75.1 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and consist primarily of unsecured notes payable and obligations under sale and leaseback arrangements accounted for as financings. At December 31, 2008, other borrowings includes \$85.5 million in fixed rate obligations with interest

Table of Contents

rates ranging from zero to 10.0% and \$10.5 million in variable rate obligations bearing interest at the prime rate plus 1.75% to 2.00%. The maturity dates for other borrowings range from 2008 to 2039, although certain amounts are due upon occurrence of specified events, such as property sales.

As of December 31, 2008, the scheduled principal amortization and maturity payments for our property tax-exempt bonds, property notes payable and other borrowings are as follows (in thousands):

	Amortization	Maturities	Total
2009	\$ 116,028	\$ 291,865	\$ 407,893
2010	121,746	285,492	407,238
2011	130,394	181,092	311,486
2012	134,248	353,811	488,059
2013	131,157	474,805	605,962
Thereafter			4,156,483
			\$ 6,377,121

NOTE 7 Term Loans and Credit Facility

We have an Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Agreement with a syndicate of financial institutions, which we refer to as the Credit Agreement. In addition to Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership and an Aimco subsidiary are also borrowers under the Credit Agreement.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we repaid in full our \$75.0 million term loan which was due for payment in September 2009. Following this repayment, the aggregate amount of commitments and loans under the Credit Agreement is \$1,035.0 million, comprised of a \$400.0 million term loan and \$635.0 million of revolving loan commitments. The \$400.0 million term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5%, or, at our option, a base rate equal to the prime rate and matures March 2011. Our revolving credit facility matures May 2009 and may be extended for an additional year, subject to a 20.0 basis point fee on the total commitments. Borrowings under the revolver bear interest based on a pricing grid determined by leverage (currently at LIBOR plus 1.125%).

The Credit Agreement includes customary financial covenants, including the maintenance of specified ratios with respect to total indebtedness to gross asset value, total secured indebtedness to gross asset value, aggregate recourse indebtedness to gross asset value, variable rate debt to total indebtedness, debt service coverage and fixed charge coverage; the maintenance of a minimum adjusted tangible net worth; and limitations regarding the amount of cross-collateralized debt. The Credit Agreement includes other customary covenants, including a restriction on distributions and other restricted payments, but permits distributions during any four consecutive fiscal quarters in an aggregate amount of up to 95% of our funds from operations for such period, subject to certain non-cash adjustments, or such amount as may be necessary to maintain our REIT status. We were in compliance with all such covenants as of December 31, 2008.

The lenders under the Credit Agreement may accelerate any outstanding loans if, among other things: we fail to make payments when due (subject to applicable grace periods); material defaults occur under other debt agreements; certain bankruptcy or insolvency events occur; material judgments are entered against us; we fail to comply with certain covenants, such as the requirement to deliver financial information or the requirement to provide notices regarding material events (subject to applicable grace periods in some cases); indebtedness is incurred in violation of the

covenants; or prohibited liens arise.

At December 31, 2008, the term loan had an outstanding principal balance of \$400.0 million and a weighted average interest rate of 2.94%. In January 2009, we prepaid \$50.0 million of the balance outstanding on the term debt. The amount available under the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2008, was \$578.8 million (after giving effect to \$56.2 million outstanding for undrawn letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility). The proceeds of revolving loans are generally permitted to be used to fund working capital and for other corporate purposes.

F-31

Table of Contents

NOTE 8 Commitments and Contingencies

Commitments

In connection with our redevelopment and capital improvement activities, we have commitments of approximately \$70.3 million related to construction projects, most of which we expect to incur in 2009. Additionally, we enter into certain commitments for future purchases of goods and services in connection with the operations of our properties. Those commitments generally have terms of one year or less and reflect expenditure levels comparable to our historical expenditures.

As discussed in Note 5, we have committed to fund an additional \$5.6 million in second mortgage loans on certain properties in West Harlem in New York City. In certain circumstances, we also could be required to acquire the properties for cash and/or assumption of first mortgage debt totaling approximately \$149.0 million to \$216.0 million, in addition to amounts funded and committed under the related loan agreement.

Tax Credit Arrangements

We are required to manage certain consolidated real estate partnerships in compliance with various laws, regulations and contractual provisions that apply to our historic and low-income housing tax credit syndication arrangements. In some instances, noncompliance with applicable requirements could result in projected tax benefits not being realized and require a refund or reduction of investor capital contributions, which are reported as deferred income in our consolidated balance sheet, until such time as our obligation to deliver tax benefits is relieved. The remaining compliance periods for our tax credit syndication arrangements range from less than one year to 15 years. We do not anticipate that any material refunds or reductions of investor capital contributions will be required in connection with these arrangements.

Legal Matters

In addition to the matters described below, we are a party to various legal actions and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are covered by our general liability insurance program, and none of which we expect to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Limited Partnerships

In connection with our acquisitions of interests in real estate partnerships, we are sometimes subject to legal actions, including allegations that such activities may involve breaches of fiduciary duties to the partners of such real estate partnerships or violations of the relevant partnership agreements. We may incur costs in connection with the defense or settlement of such litigation. We believe that we comply with our fiduciary obligations and relevant partnership agreements. Although the outcome of any litigation is uncertain, we do not expect any such legal actions to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Environmental

Various Federal, state and local laws subject property owners or operators to liability for management, and the costs of removal or remediation, of certain hazardous substances present on a property, including lead-based paint. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release or presence of the hazardous substances. The presence of, or the failure to manage or remedy properly, hazardous substances may adversely affect occupancy at affected apartment communities and the ability to sell or

finance affected properties. In addition to the costs associated with investigation and remediation actions brought by government agencies, and potential fines or penalties imposed by such agencies in connection therewith, the presence of hazardous substances on a property could result in claims by private plaintiffs for personal injury, disease, disability or other infirmities. Various laws also impose liability for the cost of removal, remediation or disposal of hazardous substances through a licensed disposal or treatment facility. Anyone who arranges for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances is potentially liable under such laws. These laws often impose liability whether or not the person arranging for the disposal ever owned or operated the disposal facility. In

F-32

Table of Contents

connection with the ownership, operation and management of properties, we could potentially be liable for environmental liabilities or costs associated with our properties or properties we acquire or manage in the future.

We have determined that our legal obligations to remove or remediate hazardous substances may be conditional asset retirement obligations, as defined in FASB Interpretation No. 47, *Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations*. Except in limited circumstances where the asset retirement activities are expected to be performed in connection with a planned construction project or property casualty, we believe that the fair value of our asset retirement obligations cannot be reasonably estimated due to significant uncertainties in the timing and manner of settlement of those obligations. Asset retirement obligations that are reasonably estimable as of December 31, 2008, are immaterial to our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Mold

We have been named as a defendant in lawsuits that have alleged personal injury and property damage as a result of the presence of mold. In addition, we are aware of lawsuits against owners and managers of multifamily properties asserting claims of personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold, some of which have resulted in substantial monetary judgments or settlements. We have only limited insurance coverage for property damage loss claims arising from the presence of mold and for personal injury claims related to mold exposure. We have implemented policies, procedures, third-party audits and training, and include a detailed moisture intrusion and mold assessment during acquisition due diligence. We believe these measures will prevent or eliminate mold exposure from our properties and will minimize the effects that mold may have on our residents. To date, we have not incurred any material costs or liabilities relating to claims of mold exposure or to abate mold conditions. Because the law regarding mold is unsettled and subject to change, we can make no assurance that liabilities resulting from the presence of or exposure to mold will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

FLSA Litigation

As previously disclosed, the Aimco Operating Partnership and NHP Management Company (NHPMN), our subsidiary, were defendants in a lawsuit, filed as a collective action in August 2003 in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, alleging that they willfully violated the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) by failing to pay maintenance workers overtime for time worked in excess of 40 hours per week (overtime claims). The plaintiffs also contended that the Aimco Operating Partnership and NHPMN failed to compensate maintenance workers for time that they were required to be on-call (on-call claims). In March 2007, the court in the District of Columbia decertified the collective action. In July 2007, plaintiffs counsel filed individual cases in Federal court in 22 different jurisdictions. In 2008, we settled the overtime cases involving 652 plaintiffs and established a framework for resolving the 88 remaining on-call claims and the attorneys fees claimed by plaintiffs counsel. As a result, the lawsuits asserted in the 22 Federal courts will be dismissed. We believe any remaining contingencies associated with these matters are immaterial.

Operating Leases

We are obligated under office space and equipment non-cancelable operating leases. In addition, we sublease certain of our office space to tenants under non-cancelable subleases. Approximate minimum annual rentals under

Table of Contents

operating leases and approximate minimum payments to be received under annual subleases are as follows (in thousands):

	Operating Lease Obligations	Sublease Receivables
2009	\$ 7,904	\$ 597
2010	6,812	597
2011	5,504	
2012	5,028	
2013	2,594	
Thereafter	4,093	
Total	\$ 31,935	\$ 1,194

Substantially all of the office space subject to the operating leases described above are for the use of our corporate offices and area operations. Rent expense recognized totaled \$10.2 million, \$9.8 million and \$8.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Sublease receipts that offset rent expense totaled approximately \$0.7 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

As discussed in Note 3, during the three months ended December 31, 2008, we initiated a restructuring program pursuant to which we vacated certain leased office space for which we remain obligated. In connection with the restructuring, we accrued \$6.4 million representing the estimated fair value of certain lease obligations included above related to space we are no longer using, reduced by estimated sublease amounts.

NOTE 9 Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities of the taxable REIT subsidiaries for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of our deferred tax liabilities and assets are as follows (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Partnership differences	\$ 47,635	\$ 59,419
Depreciation	2,477	2,441
Deferred revenue	7,757	4,794
Other	11	40
Total deferred tax liabilities	\$ 57,880	\$ 66,694
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating, capital and other loss carryforwards	\$ 7,183	\$ 49,302
Provision for impairments on real estate assets	33,321	
Receivables	5,530	6,321

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Accrued liabilities	23,504	9,730
Accrued interest expense	2,220	917
Intangibles management contracts	3,789	5,632
Tax credit carryforwards	8,521	7,011
Equity compensation	1,983	1,860
Other	155	347
Total deferred tax assets	86,206	81,120
Net deferred income tax assets	\$ 28,326	\$ 14,426

At December 31, 2006, we maintained a \$1.9 million valuation allowance for deferred tax assets primarily related to previously unrecognized alternative minimum tax credits that were generated by predecessor entities. As

F-34

Table of Contents

a result of our implementation of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* an *Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, or FIN 48, on January 1, 2007, we reclassified the \$1.9 million deferred tax asset as an unrecognized tax benefit and removed the corresponding valuation allowance. As of December 31, 2008, we determined a valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets was not necessary based on a determination that it was more likely than not that such assets will be realized prior to their expiration. This determination included the evaluation of prudent and feasible tax planning strategies that are available to us.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balance of our unrecognized tax benefits from January 1, 2007, the date on which we adopted FIN 48, is presented below:

	2008	2007
Balance at January 1	\$ 2,965	\$ 3,118
Reductions as a result of the lapse of applicable statutes		(189)
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	115	36
Balance at December 31	\$ 3,080	\$ 2,965

We do not anticipate any material changes in existing unrecognized tax benefits during the next 12 months. Because the statute of limitations has not yet elapsed, our Federal income tax returns for the year ended December 31, 2005, and subsequent years and certain of our State income tax returns for the year ended December 31, 2003, and subsequent years are currently subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service or other tax authorities. Our policy is to include interest and penalties related to income taxes in income taxes in our consolidated statements of income.

As a result of SFAS 123R, our deferred tax assets at December 31, 2008 and 2007 do not include \$3.6 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, of excess tax benefits from employee stock option exercises and vested restricted stock awards that are a component of our net operating loss carryforwards. Additional paid-in capital will be increased by \$3.6 million if and when such excess tax benefits are ultimately realized.

Significant components of the provision (benefit) for income taxes are as follows and are classified within income tax benefit in continuing operations and income from discontinued operations, net in our statements of income for 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	2008	2007	2006
Current:			
Federal	\$ 8,678	\$ 20	\$ 5,380
State	2,415	1,938	1,272
Total current	11,093	1,958	6,652
Deferred:			
Federal	(22,115)	(17,816)	13,197
State	(2,386)	(1,833)	1,698

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Total deferred	(24,501)	(19,649)	14,895
Total provision (benefit)	\$ (13,408)	\$ (17,691)	\$ 21,547
Classification:			
Continuing operations	\$ (53,371)	\$ (19,840)	\$ (11,095)
Discontinued operations	\$ 39,963	\$ 2,149	\$ 32,642

Consolidated income (loss) subject to tax, consisting of pretax income of our taxable REIT subsidiaries and gains on certain property sales that are subject to income tax under section 1374 of the Internal Revenue Code, is \$(81.8) million for 2008, \$(41.5) million for 2007, and \$53.3 million for 2006. The reconciliation of income tax

F-35

Table of Contents

attributable to continuing and discontinued operations computed at the U.S. statutory rate to income tax expense (benefit) is shown below (dollars in thousands):

	2008		2007		2006	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Tax at U.S. statutory rates on consolidated income (loss) subject to tax	\$ (28,632)	35.0%	\$ (14,508)	35.0%	\$ 18,639	35.0%
State income tax, net of Federal tax benefit	29		106	(0.3)%	3,038	5.7%
Effect of permanent differences	215	(0.3)%	(306)	0.7%	(130)	(0.2)%
Tax effect of intercompany transfers of assets between the REIT and taxable REIT subsidiaries(1)	15,059	(18.4)%				
Write off of excess tax basis	(79)	0.1%	(2,983)	7.2%		
	\$ (13,408)	16.4%	\$ (17,691)	42.6%	\$ 21,547	40.5%

(1) Includes the effect of assets contributed by the Aimco Operating Partnership to taxable REIT subsidiaries, for which deferred tax expense or benefit was recognized upon the sale or impairment of the asset by the taxable REIT subsidiary.

Income taxes paid totaled approximately \$13.8 million, \$3.0 million and \$9.8 million in the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

At December 31, 2008, we had net operating loss carryforwards, or NOLs, of approximately \$22.3 million for income tax purposes that expire in years 2023 to 2028. Subject to certain separate return limitations, we may use these NOLs to offset all or a portion of taxable income generated by our taxable REIT subsidiaries. We used approximately \$104.5 million of NOLs during the year ended December 31, 2008, to offset gains from the sale of assets by our taxable subsidiaries. Additionally, our low-income housing and rehabilitation tax credit carryforwards as of December 31, 2008, were approximately \$7.2 million for income tax purposes that expire in years 2012 to 2028. We had approximately \$1.8 million of alternative minimum tax (AMT) credit carryforwards available at December 31, 2008, subsequent to the application of a FIN 48 uncertain tax position discussed above. These AMT credit carryforwards do not expire and can be used to offset future regular tax liabilities.

For income tax purposes, dividends paid to holders of Common Stock primarily consist of ordinary income, return of capital, capital gains, qualified dividends and unrecaptured Sec. 1250 gains, or a combination thereof. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, dividends per share held for the entire year were estimated to be taxable as follows:

2008(1)(4)		2007(2)(4)		2006(3)(4)	
Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Ordinary income	\$		\$ 0.78	18%	\$ 0.05	2%
Capital gains	4.77	64%	2.31	54%	1.05	44%
Qualified dividends	0.03		0.10	2%	0.05	2%
Unrecaptured Sec.1250 gain	2.68	36%	1.12	26%	1.25	52%
	\$ 7.48	100%	\$ 4.31	100%	\$ 2.40	100%

- (1) On December 18, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a special dividend of \$2.08 per common share for the quarter ended December 31, 2008, that was paid on January 29, 2009, to stockholders of record on December 29, 2008. A portion of the special dividend represented an early payment of the regular quarterly dividend of \$0.60 per share that would otherwise have been paid in February 2009. Pursuant to certain provisions in the Code, this dividend was deemed paid by us and received by our shareholders in 2008.
- (2) On December 21, 2007, our Board of Directors declared a special dividend of \$2.51 per common share for the quarter ended December 31, 2007, that was paid on January 30, 2008, to stockholders of record on December 31, 2007. A portion of the special dividend represented an early payment of the regular quarterly dividend of

Table of Contents

\$0.60 per share that would otherwise have been paid in February 2008. Pursuant to certain provisions in the Code, this dividend was deemed paid by us and received by our shareholders in 2007.

- (3) On December 19, 2006, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.60 per common share for the quarter ended December 31, 2006, that was paid on January 31, 2007, to stockholders of record on December 31, 2006. Pursuant to certain provisions in the Code, this dividend was deemed paid by us and received by our shareholders in 2006.
- (4) Per share amounts presented for income tax purposes above are based on the holders of record at the dates of the declarations and have not been retroactively adjusted for the effect of the special dividend discussed in Note 1.

NOTE 10 Transactions Involving Minority Interest in Aimco Operating Partnership

In December 2007, July 2008, October 2008, and December 2008, the Aimco Operating Partnership declared special distributions payable on January 30, 2008, August 29, 2008, December 1, 2008, and January 29, 2009, respectively, to holders of record of common OP Units and High Performance Units on December 31, 2007, July 28, 2008, October 27, 2008, and December 29, 2008, respectively. The special distributions were paid on common OP Units and High Performance Units. The Aimco Operating Partnership distributed to us common OP Units equal to the number of shares we issued pursuant to our corresponding special dividends in addition to approximately \$0.60 per unit in cash. Holders of common OP Units other than us and holders of High Performance Units received the distribution entirely in cash. See Note 1 for further discussion of these special distributions.

Preferred OP Units

Various classes of preferred OP Units of the Aimco Operating Partnership are outstanding. Depending on the terms of each class, these preferred OP Units are convertible into common OP Units or redeemable for cash, or at our option, Common Stock, and are paid distributions varying from 5.9% to 9.6% per annum per unit, or equal to the dividends paid on Common Stock based on the conversion terms. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, a total of 3.2 million and 3.3 million preferred OP Units were outstanding with redemption values of \$88.1 million and \$89.1 million, respectively. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, a total of 3.1 million and 3.2 million of these preferred OP Units with redemption values of \$85.2 million and \$86.2 million, respectively, were redeemable into approximately 7.4 million and 2.5 million shares of Common Stock, respectively, or cash at Aimco's option.

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, there were approximately 38,400 and 2,200 preferred OP Units tendered for redemption in exchange for cash, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2008, no preferred OP units were tendered for redemption in exchange for shares of Common Stock and during the year ended December 31, 2007, approximately 1,800 preferred OP Units were tendered for redemption in exchange for approximately 1,300 shares of Common Stock. During 2008, we implemented a policy that establishes criteria for determining when requested redemptions of preferred OP Units will be settled in cash or Common Stock, and based on the criteria under this policy, as of December 31, 2008, redemption requests were to be settled in cash.

Common OP Units

In 2007, we completed tender offers for limited partnership interests resulting in the issuance of approximately 55,400 common OP Units. Approximately 55,100 of the common OP Units issued in 2007 were to unrelated limited partners in VMS in connection with our purchase of seven properties from the partnership, as discussed in Note 3. In 2008, we did not issue a significant number of common OP Units in connection with tender offers for limited partners.

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, approximately 50,000 and 39,000 common OP Units, respectively, were redeemed in exchange for cash, and approximately 114,000 and 470,000 common OP Units, respectively, were redeemed in exchange for shares of Common Stock.

High Performance Units

From 1998 through 2005, the Aimco Operating Partnership issued various classes of High Performance Units, or HPUs, as follows: 1998 Class I HPUs; 2001 Class II HPUs, Class III HPUs and Class IV HPUs; 2002

F-37

Table of Contents

Class V HPUs; 2003 Class VI HPUs; 2004 Class VII HPUs; 2005 Class VIII HPUs; and 2006 Class IX HPUs. These HPUs were issued to limited liability companies owned by certain members of our senior management (and independent directors in the case of Class I HPUs only) in exchange for cash in amounts that we determined, with the assistance of a nationally recognized independent valuation expert, to be the fair value of the HPUs. The terms of the HPUs provide for the issuance, following a measurement period of generally three years (one year in the case of Class II HPUs and two years in the case of Class III HPUs), of an increased number of HPUs depending on the degree, if any, to which certain financial performance benchmarks are achieved over the applicable measurement period. The holders of HPUs at the conclusion of the measurement period receive the same amount of distributions that are paid to holders of an equivalent number of the Aimco Operating Partnership's outstanding common OP Units. Prior to the end of the measurement period, the limited liability company holders of HPUs receive only nominal distributions. If the specified minimum benchmarks are not achieved at the conclusion of the applicable measurement period, the HPUs have only nominal value and may be reacquired by the Aimco Operating Partnership for a nominal amount.

The following table sets forth information for HPUs outstanding as of December 31, 2008:

Class of HPUs	Year of Issuance	Gross Proceeds (thousands)	End of Measurement Period	Outstanding Units at December 31, 2008
Class I	1998	\$ 2,070	12/31/2000	2,344,719

The minimum performance benchmarks were not achieved for HPU Classes II through IX. Accordingly, those HPUs had only nominal value at the conclusion of the related measurement period and were reacquired by the Aimco Operating Partnership and cancelled.

In determining the value of the historical HPUs, we used a discounted cash flow valuation methodology supported by a nationally recognized independent valuation expert. This discounted cash flow methodology used a 24% discount rate applied to probability-adjusted cash flows reflecting possible distribution outcomes. Using that methodology, we determined the fair value of HPUs as follows: Class V HPUs \$1,066,000, Class VI HPUs \$985,000, Class VII HPUs \$915,000, Class VIII HPUs \$780,000 and Class IX HPUs \$875,000. We have evaluated an alternative methodology that (1) assumes an investor receives shares of Aimco common stock in the event that the performance hurdles are met at the end of the measurement period, (2) uses a discount rate for the three year measurement period of approximately 30%, and (3) applies a liquidity discount of 25% to reflect that the HPUs are illiquid securities absent a change of control of Aimco. Applying this alternative methodology results in an effectively lower net discount rate than the rate used in the discounted cash flow methodology and, as a result, the value of those HPUs would have been as follows: Class V HPUs \$1,696,000, Class VI HPUs \$1,496,000, Class VII HPUs \$1,867,000, Class VIII HPUs \$1,772,000 and Class IX HPUs \$2,042,000. Using the alternative methodology resulted in a higher valuation than the discounted cash flow methodology based on the use of assumed common stock prices in conjunction with the discount rate and liquidity discount discussed above. Accordingly, after taking into account the percentage of each program subscribed and the unamortized portion of the Class VIII and Class IX HPUs, we recorded a cumulative adjustment of \$2.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2006, to reflect the difference between these two methodologies. The \$2.9 million correction is also due to a change in the assumptions of the discount rates used to value Class V HPUs through Class IX HPUs.

Table of Contents**NOTE 11 Stockholders Equity*****Preferred Stock***

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, we had the following classes of preferred stock outstanding:

	Redemption Date(1)	Conversion Price	Annual Dividend Rate Per Share (paid quarterly)	Balance	
				December 31, 2008 (thousands)	December 31, 2007 (thousands)
Perpetual:					
Class G Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 4,050,000 shares authorized, 4,050,000 shares issued and outstanding	07/15/2008		9.3750%	\$ 101,000	\$ 101,000
Class T Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 6,000,000 shares authorized, 6,000,000 shares issued and outstanding	07/31/2008		8.000%	150,000	150,000
Class U Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 8,000,000 shares authorized, 8,000,000 shares issued and outstanding	03/24/2009		7.750%	200,000	200,000
Class V Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 3,450,000 shares authorized, 3,450,000 shares issued and outstanding	09/29/2009		8.000%	86,250	86,250
Class Y Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 3,450,000 shares authorized, 3,450,000 shares issued and outstanding	12/21/2009		7.875%	86,250	86,250
Series A Community Reinvestment Act Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 240 shares authorized, 146 and 200 shares issued and outstanding(2)	06/30/2011		(2)	73,000	100,000
Total				\$ 696,500	\$ 723,500

(1) All classes of preferred stock are redeemable at our option on and after the dates specified.

(2) On June 29, 2006, we sold 200 shares of our Series A Community Reinvestment Act Perpetual Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, or the CRA Preferred Stock, with a liquidation preference of \$500,000 per share, for net proceeds of \$97.5 million. For the period from June 29, 2006, the date of original issuance, through

March 31, 2015, the dividend rate is a variable rate per annum equal to the Three-Month LIBOR Rate (as defined in the articles supplementary designating the CRA Preferred Stock) plus 1.25%, calculated as of the beginning of each quarterly dividend period. The rate at December 31, 2008 and 2007 was 5.01% and 6.38%, respectively. Upon liquidation, holders of the CRA Preferred Stock are entitled to a preference of \$500,000 per share, plus an amount equal to accumulated, accrued and unpaid dividends, whether or not earned or declared. The CRA Preferred Stock ranks prior to our Common Stock and on the same level as our outstanding shares of preferred stock, with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of amounts upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up. The CRA Preferred Stock is not redeemable prior to June 30, 2011, except in limited circumstances related to REIT qualification. On and after June 30, 2011, the CRA Preferred Stock is redeemable for cash, in whole or from time to time in part, at our option, at a price per share equal to the liquidation preference, plus accumulated, accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to the redemption date.

During 2008, we repurchased 54 shares, or \$27.0 million in liquidation preference, of the CRA Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, for cash totaling \$24.8 million. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Topic D-42, *The Effect on the Calculation of Earnings Per Share for the Redemption or Induced Conversion of Preferred Stock*, or EITF Topic D-42, the \$2.2 million excess of the carrying value over the redemption price, offset by \$0.7 million of issuance costs previously recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital, is reflected as a reduction of net income attributable to preferred stockholders for purposes of calculating earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2008.

During 2007, we redeemed all 1,904,762 million shares outstanding of our convertible 8.1% Class W Preferred Stock for a total redemption price of \$54.61 per share, which included a redemption price per share of \$53.55 (which was 102% of the \$52.50 per share liquidation preference) plus approximately \$1.06 per share in respect of accumulated, accrued and unpaid dividends through September 30, 2007. In accordance with EITF Topic D-42, the \$2.0 million excess of the redemption price over the carrying value (the 2% redemption premium) and \$0.6 million of issuance costs previously recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital are reflected as an increase to net

Table of Contents

income attributable to preferred stockholders for purposes of calculating earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2007.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, we redeemed for cash all 2.53 million shares outstanding of the 10.1% Class Q Cumulative Preferred Stock and all 6.94 million shares outstanding of the 10% Class R Cumulative Preferred Stock, which resulted in \$2.5 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, of related preferred stock issuance costs being deducted in determining 2006 net income attributable to common stockholders. During the year ended December 31, 2006, we also redeemed for cash all 2.0 million shares outstanding of the 8.5% Class X Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, which resulted in \$0.1 million of related preferred stock issuance costs being deducted in determining 2006 net income attributable to common stockholders.

All classes of preferred stock are pari passu with each other and are senior to our Common Stock. The holders of each class of preferred stock are generally not entitled to vote on matters submitted to stockholders. Dividends on all shares of preferred stock are subject to declaration by our Board of Directors. All of the above outstanding classes of preferred stock have a liquidation preference per share of \$25, with the exception of the CRA Preferred Stock, which has a liquidation preference per share of \$500,000.

The dividends paid on each class of preferred stock classified as equity in the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 are as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

Class of Preferred Stock	2008		2007		2006	
	Amount Per Share(1)	Total Amount Paid	Amount Per Share(1)	Total Amount Paid	Amount Per Share(1)	Total Amount Paid
Perpetual:						
Class G	\$ 2.34	\$ 9,492	\$ 2.34	\$ 9,492	\$ 2.34	\$ 9,492
Class Q					0.67(2)	1,686
Class R					1.49(2)	10,361
Class T	2.00	12,000	2.00	12,000	2.00	12,000
Class U	1.94	15,500	1.94	15,500	1.94	15,500
Class V	2.00	6,900	2.00	6,900	2.00	6,900
Class Y	1.97	6,792	1.97	6,792	1.97	6,792
Series A CRA	24,381(3)	4,531	41,661	8,316	8,720(4)	1,744
		55,215		59,000		64,475
Convertible:						
Class W			4.25(5)	8,100	4.25	8,100
Class X					1.06(2)	2,125
				8,100		10,225
Total		\$ 55,215		\$ 67,100		\$ 74,700

(1)

Amounts per share are calculated based on the number of preferred shares outstanding either at the end of each year or as of conversion or redemption date, as noted.

- (2) For the period from January 1, 2006, to the date of redemption.
- (3) Amount per share is based on 146 shares outstanding for the entire period. 54 shares were repurchased in September 2008 and received \$17,980 in dividends through the date of purchase.
- (4) For the period from June 29, 2006, (date of issuance) to December 31, 2006.
- (5) For the period from January 1, 2007, to the date of redemption.

Common Stock

In December 2007, July 2008, October 2008, and December 2008, in connection with the Aimco Operating Partnership's special distributions discussed in Note 1, our Board of Directors declared corresponding special dividends payable on January 30, 2008, August 29, 2008, December 1, 2008, and January 29, 2009, respectively, to holders of record of our Common Stock on December 31, 2007, July 28, 2008, October 27, 2008, and December 29, 2008, respectively. A portion of the special dividends in the amounts of \$0.60 per share represents payment of the regular dividend for the quarters ended December 31, 2007, June 30, 2008, September 30, 2008, and December 31,

Table of Contents

2008, respectively, and the remaining amount per share represents an additional dividend associated with taxable gains from property dispositions. Portions of the special dividends were paid through the issuance of shares of Common Stock. See Note 1 for further discussion of these special dividends.

During 2008 and 2007, we issued approximately 24,000 shares and 87,000 shares, respectively, of Common Stock to certain non-executive officers who purchased the shares at market prices. In exchange for the shares purchased, the officers executed notes payable totaling \$0.6 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. These notes, which are 25% recourse to the borrowers, have a 10-year maturity and bear interest either at a fixed rate of 6% annually or a floating rate based on the 30-day LIBOR plus 3.85%, which is subject to an annual interest rate cap of typically 7.25%. Total payments in 2008 and 2007 on all notes from officers were \$1.5 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. In 2008 and 2007, we reacquired approximately 31,000 and 9,000 shares of Common Stock from officers in exchange for the cancellation of related notes totaling \$1.0 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

In addition, in 2008 and 2007, we issued approximately 316,000 and 455,000 restricted shares of Common Stock, respectively, to certain officers and employees. The restricted stock was recorded at the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of issuance. These shares of restricted Common Stock may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise disposed of and are subject to a risk of forfeiture prior to the expiration of the applicable vesting period (typically ratably over a period of four years). Certain shares of restricted stock issued during 2006 and 2005 are subject to accelerated vesting upon the achievement of a specified calendar year performance measure target.

In 2008, 2007 and 2006, we purchased in the open market approximately 13.9 million, 7.5 million and 2.3 million shares of Common Stock, respectively, at an average price per share of approximately \$34.02, \$43.70 and \$52.27, respectively. After considering the effect of shares issued pursuant to our special dividends, the effective number of shares repurchased totaled 19.3 million, 10.9 million and 3.4 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, at an effective average price per share of \$24.48, \$29.77 and \$35.41, respectively.

Registration Statements

In April 2008, we and the Aimco Operating Partnership filed a new shelf registration statement to replace the existing shelf (which was due to expire later in 2008) that provides for the issuance of debt and equity securities by Aimco and debt securities by the Aimco Operating Partnership.

NOTE 12 Share-Based Compensation and Employee Benefit Plans

Stock Award and Incentive Plan

We adopted the Apartment Investment and Management Company 1997 Stock Award and Incentive Plan, or the 1997 Plan, to attract and retain officers, key employees and independent directors. The 1997 Plan reserved for issuance a maximum of 20 million shares, which may be in the form of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and restricted stock, or other types of awards as authorized under the 1997 Plan. The 1997 Plan expired on April 24, 2007. On April 30, 2007, the 2007 Stock Award and Incentive Plan, or the 2007 Plan, was approved as successor to the 1997 Plan. The 2007 Plan reserves for issuance a maximum of three million shares, which may be in the form of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and restricted stock, or other types of awards as authorized under the 2007 Plan. Pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of the 2007 Plan, the number of shares reserved for issuance has been adjusted to reflect the special dividends discussed in Note 1. At December 31, 2008, there were approximately 1.7 million shares available to be granted under the 2007 Plan. The 2007 Plan is administered by the Compensation and Human Resources Committee of the Board of Directors. In the case of stock options, the exercise price of the options granted may not be less than the fair market value of Common Stock at the date of grant. The term of the

options is generally ten years from the date of grant. The options typically vest over a period of one to five years from the date of grant. We generally issue new shares upon exercise of options. Restricted stock awards typically vest over a period of three to five years.

For stock options granted prior to January 1, 2003, we applied Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, or APB 25, and related interpretations. Under APB 25, because the exercise price of our employee stock options equaled the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant,

F-41

Table of Contents

no compensation expense related to such options was recognized. From January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2005, we applied the accounting provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, or SFAS 123, as amended by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123*, or SFAS 148, to all employee awards granted, modified, or settled on or after January 1, 2003, which resulted in recognition of compensation expense related to stock options based on the fair value of the stock options. We recognized compensation expense for stock options accounted for under SFAS 123 and restricted stock awards ratably over the period the awards vested. Compensation cost was reversed as forfeitures occurred.

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 123R, which superseded SFAS 123. SFAS 123R requires all share-based employee compensation, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on fair value and provides for a modified prospective application method of adoption. Under this method, we are applying the provisions of SFAS 123R prospectively to new awards granted on or after January 1, 2006, and to existing awards that are modified after January 1, 2006, and are recognizing compensation cost over the remaining vesting period for the unvested portion of all outstanding awards granted prior to 2006. The measurement and recognition provisions of SFAS 123R that apply to our stock compensation arrangements are similar to those that we applied under SFAS 123 to awards granted on or after January 1, 2003. Under SFAS 123R, we continue to recognize the cost of stock-based compensation ratably over the vesting period. The primary change in our method of recognizing compensation cost relates to the treatment of forfeitures. Under SFAS 123R, expected forfeitures are required to be estimated in determining periodic compensation cost, whereas under SFAS 123 we recognized forfeitures as they occurred.

In connection with the adoption of SFAS 123R as of January 1, 2006, we estimated that forfeitures of unvested awards of stock options and restricted stock for which compensation expense was recognized prior to 2006 will total approximately \$154,000. SFAS 123R provides that a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle be recognized for such estimated forfeitures as of the date of adoption. We believe the estimated forfeitures upon adoption of SFAS 123R are immaterial and have reported the cumulative effect adjustment in our general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006. The adoption of SFAS 123R resulted in decreases of \$1.2 million in 2006 income from continuing operations and net income and decreases of \$0.01 in 2006 basic and diluted earnings per share. The adoption of SFAS 123R did not have a material effect on 2006 cash flows from operating or financing activities. After 2006, SFAS 123R is not expected to have any significant effect on our financial statements other than the timing of recognition of forfeitures.

We estimated the fair value of our options using a Black-Scholes closed-form valuation model using the assumptions set forth in the table below. For options granted in 2008, the expected term of the options was based on historical option exercises and post-vesting terminations. For options granted in 2007 and 2006, the expected term of the options reflects the average of the vesting period and the contractual term for the options, with the exception of a grant of approximately 0.6 million options to an executive during 2007, for which the expected term used was equal to the vesting period of five years. Expected volatility reflects the historical volatility of our Common Stock during the historical period commensurate with the expected term of the options that ended on the date of grant. The expected dividend yield reflects the actual cash dividend amount per share paid on our Common Stock after 2003 and the risk-free interest rate reflects the annualized yield of a zero coupon U.S. Treasury security with a term equal to the expected term of the option. The weighted average fair value of options and our valuation assumptions for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

	2008	2007	2006
Weighted average grant-date fair value(1)	\$ 3.37	\$ 4.63	\$ 3.85

Assumptions:

Risk-free interest rate	3.12%	4.70%	4.58%
Expected dividend yield(1)	4.67%	3.64%	4.11%
Expected volatility	24.02%	21.66%	20.15%
Weighted average expected life of options	6.5 years	5.6 years	6.5 years

F-42

Table of Contents

- (1) The weighted average grant-date fair value (per share) and expected dividend yield for each period presented has been retroactively adjusted for the effect of the special dividends discussed in Note 1. The weighted average grant-date fair values (per share) for options granted during 2008, 2007 and 2006, were \$4.34, \$6.28 and \$5.23, respectively, before the effect of retroactive adjustments for the special dividends.

The following table summarizes activity for our outstanding stock options for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (numbers of options in thousands):

	2008(1)		2007(1)		2006(1)	
	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	11,033	\$ 30.68	11,676	\$ 28.98	15,011	\$ 28.56
Granted(2)	1,264	30.84	1,297	42.17	940	31.78
Exercised	(19)	29.13	(1,900)	28.19	(3,825)	28.01
Forfeited	(1,900)	29.01	(40)	27.85	(450)	28.05
Outstanding at end of year	10,378	\$ 31.01	11,033	\$ 30.68	11,676	\$ 28.98
Exercisable at end of year	7,206	\$ 29.51	8,276	\$ 29.27	8,838	\$ 29.13

- (1) In connection with the special dividends discussed in Note 1, the number of options and exercise prices of all outstanding awards were adjusted pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of the applicable plans based on the market price of our stock on the ex-dividend dates of the related special dividends. The number of options and exercise prices in the table above have been retroactively adjusted using these factors, which may differ from the effective adjustments in outstanding shares for each of the special dividends discussed in Note 1. The adjustment of the awards pursuant to the special dividends is considered a modification under SFAS 123R, but did not result in a change in the fair value of any awards.

- (2) Options granted during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 totaled 980, 956 and 692 (in thousands), respectively, before the effect of retroactive adjustments for the special dividends discussed above.

The intrinsic value of a stock option represents the amount by which the current price of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the option. Options outstanding at December 31, 2008, had no aggregate intrinsic value and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 5.7 years. Options exercisable at December 31, 2007, had no aggregate intrinsic value and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 4.0 years. The intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2008, was less than \$0.1 million, and was \$28.9 million and \$34.9 million in 2007 and 2006, respectively. We may realize tax benefits in connection with the exercise of options by employees of our taxable subsidiaries. We realized tax benefits of less than \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The following table summarizes activity for restricted stock awards for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (numbers of shares in thousands):

	2008(1)		2007(1)		2006(1)	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Unvested at beginning of year	1,394	\$ 31.73	1,580	\$ 27.62	1,281	\$ 24.15
Granted(2)	315	28.44	447	41.43	882	30.60
Vested	(519)	31.58	(562)	27.76	(349)	24.62
Forfeited	(164)	36.38	(71)	32.73	(234)	24.36
Unvested at end of year	1,026	\$ 30.07	1,394	\$ 31.73	1,580	\$ 27.62

(1) In connection with the special dividends discussed in Note 1, holders of restricted shares received cash, additional shares of restricted stock, or a combination of cash and shares of restricted stock. The number of shares and

F-43

Table of Contents

weighted average grant-date fair values (per share) for the periods presented above have been retroactively adjusted for the effect shares received by the holders of the restricted shares in connection with the special dividends.

- (2) Restricted shares granted during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 totaled 220, 308 and 607 (in thousands), respectively, before the effect of retroactive adjustments for the special dividends discussed above.

The aggregate fair value of shares that vested during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$16.5 million, \$19.5 million and \$12.1 million, respectively.

Total compensation cost recognized for restricted stock and stock option awards was \$17.6 million, \$19.2 million and \$15.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Of these amounts, \$3.8 million, \$4.3 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, were capitalized. At December 31, 2008, total unvested compensation cost not yet recognized was \$27.1 million. We expect to recognize this compensation over a weighted average period of approximately 1.7 years. Certain awards of restricted stock granted in 2006 and 2005 are subject to immediate vesting based on achievement of a specified annual financial performance target during the scheduled vesting period. Recognition of related compensation cost may be accelerated based on our ongoing assessment of whether the performance target is probable of being achieved. At this time, we do not believe that achievement of the performance target is probable.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under the terms of our employee stock purchase plan, eligible employees may authorize payroll deductions up to 15% of their base compensation to purchase shares of our Common Stock at a five percent discount from its fair value on the last day of the calendar quarter during which payroll deductions are made. In 2008, 2007 and 2006, 8,926, 3,751 and 648 shares were purchased under this plan at an average price of \$23.86, \$44.67 and \$55.84, respectively, or 11,340, 5,536 and 956 shares at an average price of \$18.78, \$30.27 and \$37.85, respectively, after adjustments for the shares issued pursuant to the special dividends discussed in Note 1. No compensation cost is recognized in connection with this plan.

401(k) Plan

We provide a 401(k) defined-contribution employee savings plan. Employees who have completed 30 days of service and are age 18 or older are eligible to participate. During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, our matching contributions were made in the following manner: (1) a 100% match on the first 3% of the participant's compensation; and (2) a 50% match on the next 2% of the participant's compensation. We incurred costs in connection with this plan of approximately \$5.2 million, \$5.2 million and \$4.5 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. On December 31, 2008, we suspended employer matching contributions effective January 29, 2009. We may reinstate employer matching contributions at any time.

NOTE 13 Discontinued Operations and Assets Held for Sale

In accordance with SFAS 144 we report as discontinued operations real estate assets that meet the definition of a component of an entity and have been sold or meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale under SFAS 144. We include all results of these discontinued operations, less applicable income taxes, in a separate component of income on the consolidated statements of income under the heading "income from discontinued operations, net." This treatment resulted in certain reclassifications of 2007 and 2006 financial statement amounts.

We are currently marketing for sale certain real estate properties that are inconsistent with our long-term investment strategy. At the end of each reporting period, we evaluate whether such properties meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale, including whether such properties are expected to be sold within twelve months. Additionally, certain properties that do not meet all of the criteria to be classified as held for sale at the balance sheet date may nevertheless be sold and included in discontinued operations in the subsequent twelve months; thus the number of properties that may be sold during the subsequent twelve months could exceed the number classified as held for sale. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, we had seven and 158 properties, with an aggregate of 1,668 and

F-44

Table of Contents

38,870 units, classified as held for sale, respectively. Amounts classified as held for sale in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Real estate, net	\$ 65,576	\$ 1,485,442
Other assets	1,584	19,844
Assets held for sale	\$ 67,160	\$ 1,505,286
Property debt	\$ 52,010	\$ 1,140,427
Other liabilities	4,331	10,771
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	\$ 56,341	\$ 1,151,198

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we sold 151 properties with an aggregate of 37,202 units. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we sold 73 consolidated properties with an aggregate of 11,588 units. During the year ended December 31, 2006, we sold 77 consolidated properties with an aggregate of 17,307 units. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, discontinued operations includes the results of operations for the periods prior to the date of sale for all properties sold or classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2008.

The following is a summary of the components of income from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	2008	2007	2006
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 250,277	\$ 396,822	\$ 492,275
Property operating expenses	(128,661)	(201,233)	(245,983)
Depreciation and amortization	(57,288)	(96,554)	(129,994)
Other expenses, net	(10,610)	(5,966)	(11,228)
Operating income	53,718	93,069	105,070
Interest income	1,249	2,645	3,996
Interest expense	(45,463)	(76,648)	(98,301)
Gain on extinguishment of debt		22,852	
Minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships	543	(2,232)	3,136
Income before gain on dispositions of real estate, impairment losses, deficit distributions to minority partners, income tax and minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership	10,047	39,686	13,901
Gain on dispositions of real estate, net of minority partners interest	618,168	65,076	258,970
Real estate impairment (losses) recoveries, net	(24,021)	(4,873)	434
Recovery of deficit distributions (deficit distributions) to minority partners	30,127	(6,161)	10,441
Income tax	(39,963)	(2,149)	(32,642)

Minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership	(49,597)	(8,484)	(24,213)
Income from discontinued operations, net	\$ 544,761	\$ 83,095	\$ 226,891

Gain on dispositions of real estate is reported net of incremental direct costs incurred in connection with the transaction, including any prepayment penalties incurred upon repayment of mortgage loans collateralized by the property being sold. Such prepayment penalties totaled \$64.9 million, \$12.6 million and \$53.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we recorded impairment losses totaling \$24.0 million and \$4.9 million on assets held for sale. During the year ended December 31, 2006, we recorded a net recovery of impairment losses totaling \$0.4 million on assets held for sale. We classify interest expense related to property debt within discontinued operations when the related real estate asset is sold or classified as held for sale.

Table of Contents**NOTE 14 Earnings per Share**

We calculate earnings per share based on the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock, common stock equivalents and dilutive convertible securities outstanding during the period. The following table illustrates the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except per share data):

	2008	2007	2006
Numerator:			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (129,298)	\$ (53,184)	\$ (50,104)
Less net income attributable to preferred stockholders	(53,708)	(66,016)	(81,132)
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share Loss from continuing operations (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders)	\$ (183,006)	\$ (119,200)	\$ (131,236)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 544,761	\$ 83,095	\$ 226,891
Net income	\$ 415,463	\$ 29,911	\$ 176,787
Less net income attributable to preferred stockholders	(53,708)	(66,016)	(81,132)
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 361,755	\$ (36,105)	\$ 95,655
Denominator:			
Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding	121,213	140,137	141,053
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Dilutive potential common shares			
Denominator for diluted earnings per share	121,213	140,137	141,053
Earnings (loss) per common share basic and diluted:			
Loss from continuing operations (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders)	\$ (1.51)	\$ (0.85)	\$ (0.93)
Income from discontinued operations	4.49	0.59	1.61
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 2.98	\$ (0.26)	\$ 0.68

Weighted average shares of Common Stock outstanding, dilutive potential common shares and earnings (loss) per common share for each of the periods presented have been retroactively adjusted for the effect of the special dividends discussed in Note 1.

Prior to its redemption on September 30, 2007, our Class W Preferred Stock that was convertible into Common Stock (see Note 11) was anti-dilutive on an if converted basis. Therefore, we deducted all of the dividends payable on the convertible preferred stock to arrive at the numerator and no additional shares are included in the denominator when

calculating basic and diluted earnings per common share. As of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the common share equivalents that could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in future periods totaled 11.5 million, 12.8 million and 13.6 million, respectively. These securities, including stock options, restricted stock awards and officer loan shares, have been excluded from the earnings per share computations for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 because their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

As discussed in Note 10, the Aimco Operating Partnership has various classes of preferred OP units, which may be redeemed at the holders' option. Aimco may redeem these units for cash or shares of common stock. During the periods presented, no common share equivalents related to these preferred OP units have been included in earnings per share computations because their effect was antidilutive.

We consider the Aimco Operating Partnership's High Performance Units for which the applicable measurement period has not ended to be potential share equivalents. No potential share equivalents related to the High

Table of Contents

Performance Units have been included in diluted earnings per share for the periods presented because the performance benchmarks were not met or their effect was antidilutive.

NOTE 15 Unaudited Summarized Consolidated Quarterly Information

Summarized unaudited consolidated quarterly information for 2008 and 2007 is provided below (amounts in thousands, except per share amounts).

2008	Quarter(1)			
	First	Second	Third	Fourth
Total revenues	\$ 349,245	\$ 374,022	\$ 375,081	\$ 359,570
Total operating expenses	(299,995)	(304,590)	(312,932)	(335,634)
Operating income	49,250	69,432	62,149	23,936
Income (loss) from continuing operations(2)	(29,240)	(26,903)	62,589	(135,744)
Income from discontinued operations, net	4,694	282,942	113,210	143,915
Net income (loss)	(24,546)	256,039	175,799	8,171
Earnings (loss) per common share basic(3):				
Income (loss) from continuing operations (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders)	\$ (0.34)	\$ (0.33)	\$ 0.43	\$ (1.30)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ (0.30)	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.38	\$ (0.05)
Earnings (loss) per common share diluted(3):				
Income (loss) from continuing operations (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders)	\$ (0.34)	\$ (0.33)	\$ 0.42	\$ (1.30)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ (0.30)	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.38	\$ (0.05)
Weighted average common shares outstanding(3)	127,961	123,484	118,182	115,225
Weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding(3)	127,961	123,484	118,961	115,225

F-47

Table of Contents

2007	Quarter(1)			
	First	Second	Third	Fourth
Total revenues	\$ 329,154	\$ 340,859	\$ 340,454	\$ 366,353
Total operating expenses	(281,623)	(277,212)	(287,305)	(288,925)
Operating income	47,531	63,647	53,149	77,428
Loss from continuing operations	(7,436)	(7,999)	(21,665)	(16,084)
Income from discontinued operations, net	32,644	27,328	19,324	3,799
Net income (loss)	25,208	19,329	(2,341)	(12,285)
Earnings (loss) per common share basic(3):				
Loss from continuing operations (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders)	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.22)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.19)
Earnings (loss) per common share diluted(3):				
Loss from continuing operations (net of income attributable to preferred stockholders)	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.22)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.19)
Weighted average common shares outstanding(3)	141,353	141,354	140,010	137,825
Weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding(3)	141,353	141,354	140,010	137,825

- (1) Certain reclassifications have been made to 2008 and 2007 quarterly amounts to conform to the full year 2008 presentation, primarily related to treatment of discontinued operations.
- (2) Loss from continuing operations for the quarter ended December 31, 2008, includes a \$107.5 million provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets, which is discussed further in Note 2.
- (3) Weighted average share, common share equivalents and earnings per share amounts for each of the periods presented have been retroactively adjusted for the effect of shares issued pursuant to the special dividends discussed in Note 1.

NOTE 16 Transactions with Affiliates

We earn revenue from affiliated real estate partnerships. These revenues include fees for property management services, partnership and asset management services, risk management services and transactional services such as syndication, refinancing, construction supervisory and disposition. In addition, we are reimbursed for our costs in connection with the management of the unconsolidated real estate partnerships. These fees and reimbursements for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 totaled \$74.3 million, \$42.1 million and \$17.4 million, respectively. The total accounts receivable due from affiliates was \$33.5 million, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$5.6 million, at December 31, 2008, and \$35.0 million, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$5.3 million, at December 31, 2007.

Additionally, we earn interest income on notes from real estate partnerships in which we are the general partner and hold either par value or discounted notes. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we did not recognize a significant amount of interest income on par value notes from unconsolidated real estate partnerships. Interest income

earned on par value notes from unconsolidated real estate partnerships totaled \$8.1 million and \$4.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized a net reduction of accretion income of \$2.7 million, due primarily to revisions in estimates of the timing and amount of payment on certain discounted notes from affiliated real estate partnerships. Accretion income earned on discounted notes from affiliated real estate partnerships totaled \$3.4 million and \$6.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. See Note 5 for additional information on notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships.

F-48

Table of Contents

NOTE 17 Recent Accounting Developments

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* a replacement of FASB Statement No. 141, or SFAS 141(R). SFAS 141(R) applies to all transactions or events in which an entity obtains control of one or more businesses, including those effected without the transfer of consideration, for example by contract or through a lapse of minority veto rights. SFAS 141(R) requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize the full fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction (whether a full or partial acquisition); establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed; requires expensing of most transaction and restructuring costs; and requires the acquirer to disclose to investors and other users all of the information needed to evaluate and understand the nature and financial effect of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and early adoption is not permitted.

We believe most operating real estate assets meet the revised definition of a business under SFAS 141(R). Accordingly, beginning in 2009, we will expense transaction costs associated with acquisitions of operating real estate or interests therein when we consolidate the asset. SFAS 141(R) does not provide implementation guidance regarding the treatment of acquisition costs incurred prior to December 31, 2008, for acquisitions that do not close until 2009 when SFAS 141(R) is effective. The SEC has indicated any of the following three transition methods are acceptable, provided that the method chosen is disclosed and applied consistently:

- 1) expense acquisition costs in 2008 when it is probable that the acquisition will not close in 2008;
- 2) expense acquisition costs January 1, 2009, upon adoption of SFAS 141(R); or
- 3) give retroactive treatment to the acquisition costs January 1, 2009, upon adoption of SFAS 141(R), by retroactively adjusting prior periods to record acquisition costs in the prior periods in which they were incurred, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*.

We elected to apply the third method and accordingly expect to retroactively adjust our 2008 results of operations by approximately \$3.5 million in our 2009 financial statements. We do not anticipate that the remaining provisions of SFAS 141(R) will have a material effect on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* an amendment of ARB No. 51, or SFAS 160. SFAS 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in a consolidated entity which should be reported as equity in the parent's consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 requires a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of equity attributable to noncontrolling interests and disclosure, on the face of the consolidated income statements, of those amounts of consolidated net income attributable to the noncontrolling interests, eliminating the past practice of reporting these amounts as an adjustment in arriving at consolidated net income. SFAS 160 requires a parent to recognize a gain or loss in net income when a subsidiary is deconsolidated and requires the parent to attribute to noncontrolling interest their share of losses even if such attribution results in a deficit noncontrolling interest balance within the parent's equity accounts. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and requires retroactive application of the presentation and disclosure requirements for all periods presented. Early adoption is not permitted.

Upon adoption of SFAS 160, we will reclassify the balances related to minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships and the portion of minority interest in the Aimco Operating Partnership related to the Aimco Operating Partnership's common OP Units and High Performance Units into our consolidated equity accounts. At December 31,

2008, the carrying amount of minority interest in consolidated real estate partnerships was \$348.5 million and the carrying amount for minority interest in the Aimco Operating Partnership attributable to common OP Units and High Performance Units was zero, due to cash distributions in excess of the positive balances in those minority partners accounts. The minority interest in Aimco Operating Partnership attributable to the Aimco Operating Partnership s Preferred Partnership Units of \$88.1 million at December 31, 2008, will remain classified between liabilities and equity pursuant to the SEC s Accounting Series Release No. 268 *Presentation in Financial Statements of Redeemable Preferred Stock*. Additionally, beginning in 2009, we will no longer record a charge related to cash distributions to minority interests in excess of the carrying amount of such minority interests.

Table of Contents

Historically these charges have been reported in our consolidated statements of income as deficit distributions and recoveries of deficit distributions to minority partners (included within income from discontinued operations) and totaled \$43.0 million and \$30.1 million, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2008. Additionally, we will attribute losses to noncontrolling interests even if such attribution results in a deficit noncontrolling interest balance within our equity accounts. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we did not allocate \$9.0 million in losses to minority interests in consolidated real estate partnerships with deficit balances.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133*, or SFAS 161. SFAS 161 expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS 133 to require qualitative disclosures about the objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about the fair value of gains and losses on derivative instruments and disclosures on credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative contracts. SFAS 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, with early adoption encouraged. At initial adoption, SFAS 161 also encourages, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods. We do not anticipate SFAS 161 will have a material effect on our financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities*, or the FSP. The FSP clarifies that unvested share-based payment awards that participate in dividends similar to shares of common stock or common partnership units should be treated as participating securities. The FSP may affect the computation of basic earnings per share for unvested restricted stock awards and shares purchased pursuant to officer stock loans, which serve as collateral for such loans, both of which entitle the holders to dividends. The FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and quarters within those years. We do not anticipate the FSP will have a material effect on our financial statements.

NOTE 18 Business Segments

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, or SFAS 131, requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker for purposes of assessing such segments' performance. Several members of our executive management team comprise our chief operating decision maker, as defined by SFAS 131, and use various generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business, including: Net Asset Value, which is the estimated fair value of our assets, net of debt, or NAV; Funds From Operations, or FFO; Adjusted Funds From Operations, or AFFO, which is FFO less spending for Capital Replacements; same store property operating results; net operating income; net operating income less spending for capital replacements, or Free Cash Flow; changes in NAV plus cash dividends, or Economic Income; financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. The chief operating decision maker emphasizes net operating income as a key measurement of segment profit or loss. Segment net operating income is generally defined as segment revenues less direct segment operating expenses.

We have two reportable segments: real estate and investment management.

Real Estate Segment

Our real estate segment owns and operates properties that generate rental and other property-related income through the leasing of apartment units to a diverse base of residents. Our real estate segment's net operating income also includes income from property management services performed for unconsolidated partnerships and unrelated parties.

Investment Management Segment

Our investment management segment includes portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities. Within our owned portfolio, we refer to these activities as Portfolio Management, and their benefit is seen in property operating results and in investment gains. For affiliated partnerships, we refer to these activities as Asset Management, for which we are separately compensated through fees paid by third party investors. The expenses of this segment consist primarily of the costs

F-50

Table of Contents

of departments that perform these activities. These activities are conducted in part by our taxable subsidiaries, and the related net operating income may be subject to income taxes. Our investment management segment's operating results also include gains on dispositions of non-depreciable assets, accretion of loan discounts resulting from transactional activities and certain other income in arriving at income (loss) from continuing operations for the segment.

The following tables present the revenues, net operating income (loss) and income (loss) from continuing operations of our real estate and investment management segments for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	Real Estate Segment	Investment Management Segment	Corporate Not Allocated to Segments and Certain Eliminations	Total
Year Ended December 31, 2008:				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 1,350,950	\$	\$	\$ 1,350,950
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	6,345			6,345
Asset management and tax credit revenues		101,225	(602)	100,623
Total revenues	1,357,295	101,225	(602)	1,457,918
Property operating expenses	626,001			626,001
Property management expenses	5,385			5,385
Investment management expenses		21,389		21,389
Depreciation and amortization(1)			458,595	458,595
General and administrative expenses			99,040	99,040
Other expenses, net			19,939	19,939
Restructuring costs			22,802	22,802
Total operating expenses	631,386	21,389	600,376	1,253,151
Net operating income (loss)	725,909	79,836	(600,978)	204,767
Other items included in continuing operations(2)		(2,653)	(331,412)	(334,065)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 725,909	\$ 77,183	\$ (932,390)	\$ (129,298)

Table of Contents

	Real Estate Segment	Investment Management Segment	Corporate Not Allocated to Segments	Total
Year Ended December 31, 2007:				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 1,296,142	\$	\$	\$ 1,296,142
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	6,923			6,923
Asset management and tax credit revenues		73,755		73,755
Total revenues	1,303,065	73,755		1,376,820
Property operating expenses	596,902			596,902
Property management expenses	6,678			6,678
Investment management expenses		20,514		20,514
Depreciation and amortization(1)			403,786	403,786
General and administrative expenses			90,667	90,667
Other expenses, net			16,518	16,518
Total operating expenses	603,580	20,514	510,971	1,135,065
Net operating income (loss)	699,485	53,241	(510,971)	241,755
Other items included in continuing operations(2)		7,312	(302,251)	(294,939)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 699,485	\$ 60,553	\$ (813,222)	\$ (53,184)

	Real Estate Segment	Investment Management Segment	Corporate Not Allocated to Segments	Total
Year Ended December 31, 2006:				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 1,212,958	\$	\$	\$ 1,212,958
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	12,312			12,312
Asset management and tax credit revenues		48,893		48,893
Total revenues	1,225,270	48,893		1,274,163
Property operating expenses	549,716			549,716
Property management expenses	6,289			6,289
Investment management expenses		14,742		14,742
Depreciation and amortization(1)			368,783	368,783
General and administrative expenses			91,571	91,571

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Other expenses, net			12,951	12,951
Total operating expenses	556,005	14,742	473,305	1,044,052
Net operating income (loss)	669,265	34,151	(473,305)	230,111
Other items included in continuing operations(2)		9,220	(289,435)	(280,215)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 669,265	\$ 43,371	\$ (762,740)	\$ (50,104)

(1) Our chief operating decision maker assesses the performance of real estate using, among other measures, net operating income, excluding depreciation and amortization. Accordingly, we do not allocate depreciation and amortization to the real estate segment.

(2) Other items in continuing operations for the investment management segment include accretion income recognized on discounted notes receivable, other income items and income taxes associated with transactional activities. Other items in continuing operations not allocated to segments include: (i) interest income and

F-52

Table of Contents

expense; (ii) recoveries of, or provisions for, losses on notes receivable, provision for operating real estate impairment losses and provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets; (iii) deficit distributions to minority partners; (iv) equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships; (v) gain (losses) on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other; and (vi) minority interests.

The assets of our reportable segments are as follows (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Total assets for reportable segments(1)	\$ 9,030,086	\$ 10,307,246
Corporate and other assets	373,071	299,286
Total consolidated assets	\$ 9,403,157	\$ 10,606,532

(1) Total assets for reportable segments primarily relate to the real estate segment.

Our capital expenditures primarily relate to the real estate segment and totaled \$665.2 million, \$689.7 million and \$512.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
SCHEDULE III: REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2008

(In Thousands Except Unit Data)

(1) Date	Location	Year Built	Number of Units	(2) Initial Cost		(3) Cost	December 31, 2008		Total
				Buildings and Land	Improvements	Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Buildings and Land	Improvements	
Consolidated				Land	Improvements	Acquisition	Land	Improvements	
Dec-97	OakPark, IL	1987	234	2,664	18,815	4,071	2,664	22,886	25,550
Mar-05	New York, NY	1900	17	4,250	752	207	4,281	928	5,209
May-04	New York, NY	1910	72	11,773	4,535	1,761	12,067	6,002	18,069
Feb-07	New York, NY	1910	32	17,187	3,300	2,953	19,123	4,316	23,439
Jun-07	New York, NY	1910	44	3,291	1,450	1,898	4,352	2,287	6,639
Jun-07	New York, NY	1910	24	2,863	3,785	886	3,106	4,429	7,535
Jun-07	New York, NY	1910	35	6,787	3,335	1,345	7,287	4,180	11,467
Jun-07	New York, NY	1910	35	3,623	4,504	1,118	4,012	5,233	9,245
Jun-07	New York, NY	1910	63	8,623	6,964	4,907	10,417	10,076	20,493
Jan-04	New York, NY	1900	43	8,751	2,914	1,192	8,820	4,036	12,856
Mar-05	New York, NY	1900	36	8,430	1,866	586	8,494	2,388	10,882
Sep-04	New York, NY	1900	200	68,006	12,140	3,009	68,109	15,045	83,154
Jun-07	New York, NY	1921	23	2,384	1,726	500	2,601	2,009	4,610
Oct-08	Seattle, WA	1989	135	5,480	31,053		5,535	30,998	36,533
Jul-04	New York, NY	1930	20	2,659	1,006	160	2,681	1,144	3,825
Mar-03	New York, NY	1904	34	5,635	1,609	539	5,678	2,104	7,782
Mar-05	New York, NY	1900	40	6,319	2,224	666	6,372	2,837	9,209
Mar-02	Costa Mesa, CA	1987	770	55,223	65,506	69,101	57,240	132,590	189,830
Jan-04	New York, NY	1900	12	1,966	608	274	1,982	866	2,848
Feb-07	New York, NY	1910	72	23,677	7,101	3,321	25,552	8,547	34,099
Jan-04	New York, NY	1900	20	3,137	1,002	262	3,163	1,238	4,401
Mar-05	New York, NY	1900	36	6,230	2,168	483	6,282	2,599	8,881
Jun-07	New York, NY	1920	30	2,731	1,636	2,623	3,576	3,415	6,991
Oct-07	New York, NY	1920	9	682	535	519	988	749	1,737
Jul-00	Nashville, TN	1972	326	1,526	10,158	27,549	1,526	37,707	39,233
Nov-96	League City, TX	1985	264	1,155	7,172	3,606	1,155	10,779	11,934
Oct-97	Tempe, AZ	1967	200	1,092	6,208	2,651	1,092	8,860	9,952
Jul-00	Hermitage, TN	1972	350	1,627	12,455	6,557	1,627	19,012	20,639
Dec-95	Atlanta, GA	1968	221	2,771	8,366	25,126	2,771	33,492	36,263
Aug-98	Plantation, FL	1979	210	1,807	10,385	2,435	1,807	12,820	14,627
Dec-06	Jacksonville, FL	1974	251	7,483	8,191	2,909	7,670	10,913	18,583
Oct-02	Naperville, IL	1984	320	2,048	16,889	3,673	2,048	20,562	22,610

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Apr-06	Tampa, FL	2002	324	10,329	28,800	760	10,608	29,282	39,890
Apr-01	Denver, CO	1920	117	3,525	9,045	1,574	3,525	10,618	14,143
Oct-99	Houston ,TX	1963	127	770	4,250	1,526	770	5,776	6,546
Sep-04	Miami, FL	2000	471	22,680	41,847	3,261	22,680	45,108	67,788
Jan-03	Nashua, NH	1984	412	3,352	40,713	6,056	3,262	46,858	50,120
Aug-02	Framingham, MA	1971	424	18,915	35,945	8,348	18,916	44,292	63,208
Oct-00	Indianapolis, IN	1978	202	1,411	5,139	3,305	1,411	8,444	9,855
May-99	Durham, NC	1986	345	2,222	12,641	4,605	2,222	17,246	19,468
Apr-01	Denver, CO	1890	158	3,447	20,589	3,002	3,447	23,591	27,038
Jul-94	Boulder, CO	1972	221	755	7,730	16,934	755	24,664	25,419

F-54

Table of Contents

		December 31, 2006								
				(2)		(3)				
				Initial Cost		Cost				
				Buildings		Capitalized				
				and		Subsequent				
				Improvements		to				
				Land		Acquisition				
				Improvements		Land				
				Improvements		Improvements				
				and		and				
				Total		Total				
(1)	Date	Year	Number of Units	Land	Improvements	Acquisition	Land	Improvements	Total	
Consolidated	Location	Built	of Units	Improvements	and	Land	Improvements	and	Total	
	ul-94	St. Petersburg, FL	1971	477	1,437	12,725	8,312	1,437	21,037	22,474
		Daytona Beach, FL								
	Oct-98	FL	1985	208	1,008	5,507	2,974	1,008	8,481	9,489
	Oct-99	Houston, TX	1970	380	2,459	13,868	5,218	2,459	19,086	21,545
	Oct-99	Houston, TX	1970	351	2,033	11,857	4,047	2,033	15,904	17,937
	ep-00	Tampa, FL	1988	348	7,976	13,499	7,432	7,976	20,931	28,907
	Mar-02	Los Angeles, CA	1990	279	27,603	41,244	28,054	29,407	67,495	96,902
		Colorado Springs, CO								
	Dec-97	CO	1974	200	460	2,917	11,807	460	14,725	15,185
		Arlington Heights, IL								
	May-98	IL	1985	182	2,245	12,936	2,232	2,245	15,168	17,413
	May-98	Naperville, IL	1990	200	2,709	15,346	2,222	2,709	17,567	20,276
	Jan-06	Pasadena, CA	1973	92	9,693	6,818	1,016	9,693	7,834	17,527
	Mar-01	Burke, VA	1986	360	4,867	23,617	3,531	4,867	27,148	32,015
	Dec-98	Minneapolis, MN	1928/1998	332	11,708	73,334	45,046	11,708	118,380	130,088
	Dec-99	Fort Wayne, IN	1979	1,988	13,659	73,115	24,363	13,659	97,478	111,137
	Mar-02	Saugus, CA	1984	130	7,300	6,602	5,513	7,508	11,907	19,415
	Jul-00	East Lansing, MI	1972	143	876	9,123	1,868	875	10,991	11,866
	Oct-06	Jacksonville, FL	1984	144	4,902	10,562	1,318	5,039	11,743	16,782
	May-04	Fall River, MA	1974	240	15,239	7,850	3,453	15,244	11,298	26,542
	Apr-00	New Castle, WA	1980	104	751	5,043	17,109	751	22,152	22,903
	Oct-99	Doylestown, PA	1975	350	582	4,190	2,625	582	6,815	7,397
	Feb-07	Park Forest, IL	1947	220	3,699	12,384	2,175	3,747	14,511	18,258
	ep-00	Brandon, FL	1985	300	7,488	8,656	7,546	7,488	16,203	23,691
		Altamonte Springs, FL								
	Oct-99	FL	1985	324	2,288	13,068	3,522	2,288	16,590	18,878
		Wappingers Falls, NY								
	Apr-01	NY	1966	835	10,403	33,000	46,331	10,403	79,331	89,734
	ep-00	Aurora, IL	1986	416	15,800	16,875	4,650	15,800	21,524	37,324
	Mar-01	Aurora, IL	1987	184	1,969	7,980	3,104	1,969	11,084	13,053
	Oct-06	Philadelphia, PA	1923	315	12,047	14,299	2,567	12,338	16,576	28,914
	Apr-00	Philadelphia, PA	1963	821	6,463	49,315	44,995	6,463	94,310	100,773
	Jan-04	Columbia, MD	1979	198	2,234	8,107	188	2,040	8,489	10,529

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Jan-98	Redlands, CA	1985	198	1,118	6,642	2,028	1,118	8,670	9,788
Oct-97	Phoenix, AZ	1973	196	766	4,346	2,762	766	7,108	7,874
Oct-99	Towson, MD	1966	383	2,403	18,798	9,099	2,570	27,730	30,300
Apr-03	New York, NY	1880	59	35,472	9,450	3,172	35,527	12,567	48,094
Apr-01	Naperville, IL	1982	240	8,512	10,832	3,050	8,512	13,882	22,394
May-97	Naperville, IL	1986	400	5,165	29,430	5,198	5,165	34,627	39,792
Oct-05	Dallas, TX	1984	180	1,373	9,500	1,332	1,373	10,832	12,205
Jan-00	Denver, CO	1974	328	1,743	14,072	2,725	1,980	16,560	18,540
Mar-02	Simi Valley, CA	1985	397	24,595	18,818	6,268	25,245	24,435	49,680
Mar-02	West Hollywood, CA	1982	130	15,382	10,215	12,831	15,765	22,663	38,428
May-98	Phoenix, AZ	1982	316	2,180	12,661	3,267	2,180	15,928	18,108
Jan-06	Citrus Heights, CA	1976	180	6,944	8,169	732	6,944	8,901	15,845
Apr-00	Plainsboro, NJ	1975	288	2,404	17,096	5,012	2,404	22,108	24,512
Oct-00	Indianapolis, IN	1979	372	3,175	10,426	6,155	3,175	16,582	19,757
Jan-06	Atlanta, GA	1987	60	348	957	300	348	1,258	1,606
Dec-97	Temple Terrace, FL	1967	252	2,095	3,943	14,471	2,095	18,414	20,509
Aug-99	Altamonte Springs, FL	1979	234	1,666	9,353	6,498	1,666	15,851	17,517
Oct-99	Indian Harbour Beach, FL	1963	200	1,211	5,901	3,071	1,211	8,972	10,183
Dec-97	Elmhurst, IL	1986	372	5,534	30,830	17,156	5,628	47,892	53,520
Dec-97	Evanston, IL	1988	189	3,232	25,546	4,237	3,232	29,783	33,015
Jan-01	Dearborn, MI	1973	244	6,550	11,711	4,837	6,550	16,548	23,098

F-55

Table of Contents

(1) Date	Location	Year Built	Number of Units	(2) Initial Cost		(3) Cost	Buildings and		December 31
				Land	Improvements	Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Land	Improvements	Total
Jan-00	Plano, TX	1978	256	2,961	5,137	5,342	2,961	10,479	13,446
Oct-00	Darien, IL	1975	240	11,763	15,174	9,098	11,763	24,272	36,035
Mar-01	Phoenix, AZ	1968	219	2,078	13,752	2,846	2,079	16,598	18,676
Dec-97	Bradenton, FL	1984	200	1,276	7,170	6,915	1,276	14,085	15,361
Apr-98	Temple Terrace, FL	1986	256	1,643	9,446	3,506	1,643	12,952	14,595
Jan-06	Indianapolis, IN	1982	328	964	11,129	2,424	964	13,553	14,517
Nov-96	Clute, TX	1981	360	1,257	7,584	4,471	1,257	12,055	13,312
Apr-97	Miami, FL	1960/2005	1,127	32,191	38,399	216,641	32,239	254,993	287,232
Mar-07	Daytona Beach, FL	1982	120	3,691	4,320	361	3,860	4,512	8,372
Jan-06	Miami, FL	1976	336	1,532	23,615	12,385	1,528	36,004	37,532
Jan-00	Plainsboro, NJ	1973	776	8,029	51,843	15,513	8,022	67,363	75,385
Dec-97	Alexandria, VA	1947	2,113	15,419	96,062	29,828	15,496	125,813	141,331
May-98	Franklin, TN	1987	468	3,936	22,832	8,664	3,936	31,497	35,433
Aug-02	Framingham, MA	1964	207	12,351	13,168	1,991	12,351	15,159	27,510
Mar-07	Daytona Beach, FL	1982	26	897	862	138	933	963	1,896
Apr-03	Cincinnati, OH	1978	273	1,030	17,447	11,402	1,031	28,849	29,879
Aug-02	Framingham, MA	1958	72	4,577	4,058	840	4,577	4,897	9,474
Dec-99	Columbia, MD	1974	325	2,715	16,771	4,912	2,715	21,683	24,398
Jul-94	Chandler, AZ	2000	324	2,303	713	27,043	2,303	27,755	30,058
Jan-00	Phoenix, AZ	1985	336	2,176	14,881	12,188	2,176	27,069	29,245
Dec-96	Carrollton, TX	1983	365	1,774	9,440	5,449	1,774	14,889	16,663
Jan-00	Denver, CO	1973	376	2,023	14,298	4,040	2,251	18,110	20,361
Apr-00	Plantation, FL	1988	280	9,776	10,643	6,257	9,776	16,900	26,676
Mar-01	Melbourne, FL	1987	162	4,108	3,563	2,700	4,108	6,263	10,371
Jan-01	Alta Loma, CA	1986	232	1,200	6,428	3,301	1,200	9,730	10,930
Oct-00	Escondido, CA	1986	196	1,055	7,578	1,242	1,055	8,820	9,875
Oct-00	Livermore, CA	1988	167	1,039	9,185	1,177	1,039	10,361	11,400
Mar-01	Montclair, CA	1985	144	690	4,149	865	690	5,014	5,704
Oct-00	Anaheim, CA	1986	196	1,835	8,554	1,471	1,835	10,025	11,860
Jul-98	Escondido, CA	1985	334	3,043	17,615	6,606	3,043	24,222	27,265
Jul-07	Escondido, CA	1986	118	12,730	6,530	5,092	12,849	11,504	24,353
Oct-02	Melbourne, FL	1985	216	984	8,050	1,892	984	9,942	10,926
Jan-03	Woodridge, IL	1968	176	3,045	13,452	1,118	3,045	14,571	17,616
Apr-04	Atlanta, GA	1984	219	1,162	6,997	4,879	1,179	11,859	13,038

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Mar-02	Los Angeles, CA	1989	315	33,755	47,216	24,452	35,862	69,561	105,4
Nov-94	Nashville, TN	1985	288	2,872	16,069	12,479	2,872	28,549	31,4
Oct-97	Austin, TX	1983	327	1,367	7,764	14,358	1,367	22,122	23,4
Apr-05	East Lansing, MI	1986	168	825	9,252	512	825	9,763	10,5
Dec-06	Pacifica, CA	1970	78	8,763	6,376	1,105	8,887	7,357	16,2
Apr-07	Poughkeepsie, NY	1980	352	17,640	18,679	851	17,714	19,455	37,1
Sep-00	Gaithersburg, MD	1986	336	17,859	13,149	3,426	17,859	16,575	34,4
Mar-01	Austin, TX	1987	384	10,342	11,920	7,872	10,342	19,792	30,1
Jan-01	Midlothian, VA	1985	320	7,987	7,863	3,007	7,987	10,871	18,8
Apr-01	Leesburg, VA	1967	164	2,244	7,763	3,782	2,244	11,544	13,7
Oct-99	Plainsboro, NJ	1976	264	2,264	15,036	4,552	2,264	19,588	21,8
Oct-99	Plainsboro, NJ	1977	304	2,735	18,079	4,915	2,735	22,994	25,7
Oct-99	Plainsboro, NJ	1977	328	2,418	16,083	5,744	2,418	21,827	24,2
Oct-99	Morrisville, NC	1986	212	1,650	11,265	3,315	1,650	14,580	16,2
Oct-04	Chicago, IL	1990	155	4,683	14,928	1,839	4,731	16,719	21,4
Jan-06	Farmington Hills, MI	1960	981	10,293	24,830	19,287	10,156	44,254	54,4

F-56

Table of Contents

		December 31,							
(1) Date	Location	Year Built	Number of Units	(2) Initial Cost		(3) Cost	Buildings and		Total
				Land	Improvements	Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Land	Improvements	
12-02	Simi Valley, CA	1986	254	23,927	15,801	3,037	24,523	18,242	42,765
12-00	Daytona Beach, FL	1986	204	6,086	8,571	1,833	6,087	10,404	16,491
12-00	Oceanside, CA	1986	592	18,027	28,654	10,560	18,027	39,214	57,241
12-00	Pomano Beach, FL	1988	260	7,615	7,652	7,292	8,336	14,224	22,560
12-01	Alexandria, VA	1964	140	1,526	7,050	3,070	1,526	10,121	11,647
12-02	Colorado Springs, CO	1972	262	3,122	13,178	10,457	3,122	23,635	26,757
12-99	Indianapolis, IN	1997	1,261	5,183	29,888	11,574	5,183	41,462	46,645
12-99	Raleigh, NC	1972	201	1,358	10,022	4,973	1,358	14,996	16,354
12-99	Lisle, IL	1972	568	4,358	29,419	27,070	4,358	56,488	60,846
12-00	Atlanta, GA	1983	220	1,459	13,250	14,415	1,459	27,665	29,124
12-99	Houston, TX	1976	734	4,837	35,474	12,616	4,837	48,090	52,927
12-02	Tomball, TX	1979	256	801	8,328	2,920	801	11,248	12,049
12-00	Bellevue, WA	1967	174	2,262	9,282	3,811	2,262	13,093	15,355
12-00	Raleigh, NC	1970	292	1,845	13,621	3,815	2,189	17,093	19,282
12-03	Washington, DC	1980	176	1,305	11,257	15,038	1,305	26,296	27,601
12-05	Columbia, MD	1979	178	1,248	13,867	874	1,248	14,741	15,989
12-07	Redwood City, CA	1973	110	15,352	7,909	1,292	15,444	9,109	24,553
12-06	Carbondale, IL	1972	269	1,420	12,180	2,409	1,417	14,592	16,009
12-00	Beltsville, MD	1969	700	3,695	25,543	11,114	3,695	36,657	40,352
12-04	Venice, CA	1951	755	43,979	10,439	67,108	42,894	78,632	121,526
12-99	Atlanta, GA	1970	312	2,320	16,370	21,022	2,320	37,392	39,712
12-97	Chandler, AZ	1985	232	1,662	9,504	2,966	1,662	12,470	14,132
12-02	Calabasas, CA	1986	698	66,257	53,438	32,749	69,834	82,609	152,443
12-99	Virginia Beach, VA	1971	414	2,598	16,141	29,594	2,598	45,735	48,333
12-02	San Diego, CA	1984	500		66,861	6,930	1,000	72,792	73,792
12-94	Boulder, CO	1972	332	1,435	24,532	6,066	1,435	30,598	32,033
12-00	Falls Church, VA	1962	159	1,836	10,831	2,306	1,836	13,137	14,973
12-94	Austin, TX	1985	268	1,268	6,896	4,700	1,268	11,596	12,864
12-08	San Jose, CA	1999	224	34,175	21,939	747	34,270	22,590	56,860
12-98	Colorado Springs, CO	1985	252	2,546	14,841	2,668	2,546	17,509	20,055

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

-06	San Dimas, CA	1978	168	8,500	16,656	2,231	8,500	18,886	27,386
-94	Avondale, AZ	1986	204	800	4,354	3,387	800	7,742	8,542
v-96	Spring, TX	1983	144	1,017	5,420	2,590	1,017	8,010	9,027
t-00	Lansing, MI	1973	618	10,048	16,771	6,930	10,048	23,701	33,749
y-98	Port Orange, FL	1988	296	2,132	12,855	3,045	2,132	15,900	18,032
t-06	Pacifica, CA	1963	63	7,975	4,131	1,257	8,108	5,255	13,363
-00	Cincinnati, OH	1980	231	2,662	21,800	12,058	2,662	33,858	36,520
r-01	San Bruno, CA	1987	308	3,703	62,460	19,987	22,993	63,157	86,150
-06	Pacifica, CA	1977	104	12,770	6,579	3,119	12,970	9,498	22,468
o-04	Los Angeles, CA	2002	521	47,822	125,464	7,878	48,362	132,803	181,165
r-05	Los Angeles, CA	2005	611	61,004	136,503	19,904	72,615	144,796	217,411
y-98	Tampa, FL	1985	420	2,804	16,262	10,921	2,804	27,183	29,987
-94	Phoenix, AZ	1985	129	647	3,515	6,438	647	9,954	10,601
v-96	Galveston, TX	1985	192	1,025	2,521	2,257	1,025	4,779	5,804
r-00	Philadelphia, PA	1959	958	10,451	47,301	51,905	10,451	99,206	109,657
i-99	Deer Park, TX	1968	309	1,772	13,725	9,819	1,772	23,545	25,317
r-00	Williamsburg, VA	1971	148	386	2,834	2,579	386	5,413	5,799
-06	Fremont, CA	1973	246	19,595	14,838	5,628	19,595	20,466	40,061
-96	Atlanta, GA	1962/1995	303	4,683	11,713	9,862	4,683	21,575	26,258
-00	Englewood, CO	1975	296	2,067	20,438	4,362	2,067	24,800	26,867

F-57

Table of Contents

		December 31, 2012								
(1) Date	Location	Year Built	Number of Units	(2) Initial Cost		(3) Cost Capitalized		Buildings and		Total
				Land	Improvements	Acquisition	to	Land	Improvements	
Oct-02	Indianapolis, IN	1980	220	1,790	6,883	2,339	1,790	9,222	11,012	
Mar-02	Cypress, CA	1971	136	7,835	5,224	1,873	8,030	6,902	14,932	
Mar-02	Garden Grove, CA	1971	111	3,975	6,035	1,872	4,125	7,758	11,883	
May-98	Phoenix, AZ	1983	272	2,095	11,899	3,534	2,095	15,433	17,528	
Oct-98	Palm Bay, FL	1984	216	603	3,318	2,277	603	5,595	6,198	
Oct-99	Plantation ,FL	1971	372	3,793	19,601	5,386	3,793	24,987	28,780	
Jan-06	Dallas, TX	1978	164	878	4,401	1,816	878	6,217	7,095	
Jul-00	Nashville, TN	1972	150	943	6,680	2,616	943	9,296	10,239	
Sep-05	North Miami Beach, FL	1963	203	1,379	10,635	3,051	1,379	13,686	15,065	
Oct-99	Dallas, TX	1979	228	1,543	8,667	5,586	1,543	14,253	15,796	
Oct-99	Zionsville, IN	1972	166	1,222	6,803	1,512	1,222	8,316	9,538	
Dec-99	Wyoming, MI	1973	1,708	8,607	61,082		8,607	61,082	69,689	
Jun-04	Annandale, VA	1974	219	2,172	18,607	2,108	2,172	20,714	22,886	
Oct-02	Casselberry, FL	1984	336	3,906	10,491	3,706	3,906	14,197	18,103	
Sep-00	Virginia Beach, VA	1987	480	15,988	13,684	4,761	15,988	18,444	34,432	
Oct-00	West Palm Beach, FL	1986	300	5,504	9,984	3,813	5,504	13,797	19,301	
Oct-99	Fern Park, FL	1965	343	1,842	10,029	7,836	1,842	17,866	19,708	
Dec-06	Ponte Vedra Beach, FL	1986	344	18,576	18,650	1,917	18,795	20,348	39,143	
Apr-05	Edgewater, NJ	1998	266	30,578	30,638	1,479	30,579	32,116	62,695	
Sep-00	Naples, FL	1986	556	17,728	18,337	5,688	17,728	24,025	41,753	
Jul-01	Arlington, TX	1983	201	893	4,128	4,649	893	8,777	9,670	
Oct-99	Philadelphia, PA	1910	184	2,120	11,287	31,053	2,120	42,340	44,460	
Apr-00	Alexandria ,VA	1973	1,222	9,286	71,911	74,603	9,285	146,514	155,799	
Mar-02	Camarillo, CA	1976	152	12,128	8,060	2,400	12,430	10,157	22,587	
Aug-02	Fall River, MA	1974	216	5,832	12,044	1,841	5,832	13,885	19,717	
Aug-02	Marlborough, MA	1970	473	25,178	28,786	3,231	25,178	32,017	57,195	
Aug-02	Nashua, MA	1970	902	68,231	45,562	9,164	68,231	54,725	122,956	
Aug-02	North Andover, MA	1970	588	51,292	36,808	9,130	51,292	45,938	97,230	
Aug-02	Warwick, RI	1972	492	22,433	24,095	5,097	22,433	29,192	51,625	

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Jul-94	Mesa, AZ	1985	152	832	4,569	9,425	832	13,994	14,826
Jul-02	Pinellas Park, FL	1986	192	1,884	7,045	1,625	1,884	8,670	10,554
Oct-00	Lantana, FL	1987	404	5,934	16,052	6,895	5,934	22,947	28,881
Oct-00	Lansing, MI	1987	288	2,106	6,559	3,370	2,106	9,929	12,035
	Boynton Beach,								
Dec-97	FL	1987	416	3,511	21,396	6,662	3,511	28,058	31,569
Mar-01	Shaumburg, IL	1986	368	13,960	20,731	3,769	13,960	24,501	38,461
Oct-00	Indianapolis, IN	1977	444	10,540	9,852	11,225	10,540	21,078	31,618
Jan-06	San Mateo, CA	1971	418	49,474	17,756	3,807	49,474	21,564	71,038
Oct-97	Tempe, AZ	1965	124	591	3,359	7,930	591	11,289	11,880
Oct-97	Tempe, AZ	1976	487	2,458	13,927	21,490	2,458	35,416	37,874
May-98	Mesa, AZ	1984	266	2,016	11,886	3,549	2,016	15,435	17,451
Sep-00	Fairfax, VA	1984	640	18,492	57,197	6,957	18,492	64,154	82,646
Apr-00	St. Petersburg, FL	1984	276	1,766	9,739	10,541	1,766	20,280	22,046
Oct-99	Winter Park, FL	1971	368	1,573	12,510	14,975	1,573	27,486	29,059
Nov-96	League City, TX	1994	304	2,810	17,579	1,587	2,810	19,166	21,976
May-99	Indianapolis, IN	1974	360	3,436	19,668	6,438	3,436	26,106	29,542
Mar-04	Lexington, KY	1986	224	1,843	7,161	1,109	1,843	8,270	10,113
Jul-02	Newport News,								
	VA	1986	432	9,479	11,425	3,223	9,479	14,648	24,127
Jul-02	Lake Ridge, VA	1984	180	5,587	7,284	1,104	5,587	8,388	13,975
	Lexington Park,								
Sep-00	MD	1985	152	3,241	5,094	2,436	3,241	7,530	10,771
Oct-02	Baltimore, MD	1889	96	706	4,032	3,053	562	7,230	7,792

F-58

Table of Contents

		December 31, 200							
(1)				(2)		(3)			
Date		Year	Number of	Initial Cost		Cost			
Consolidated	Location	Built	Units	Land	Improvements	Buildings	Subsequent	Buildings	Total
				and	and	and	to	and	
				Acquisition	Acquisition	Acquisition		Total	
Sep-00	Largo, MD	1986	240	3,675	16,111	2,890	3,675	19,001	22,676
Jul-02	Plano, TX	1985	368	7,056	10,510	6,162	7,056	16,672	23,728
Oct-99	Philadelphia, PA	1962	535	8,884	56,250	16,734	8,884	72,984	81,868
Sep-00	Germantown, MD	1984	240	13,593	9,347	2,740	13,593	12,086	25,679
Jun-97	Sanford, FL	1991	244	1,583	8,587	4,061	1,583	12,647	14,230
Mar-99	Sanford, FL	1998	112	488	8,736	565	488	9,300	9,788
Nov-96	Houston, TX	1972	113	275	1,865	1,781	275	3,646	3,921
May-98	Austin, TX	1985	164	1,211	6,037	1,784	1,211	7,822	9,033
May-98	Lake Mary, FL	1986	600	4,551	25,543	25,842	4,551	51,385	55,936
Oct-99	Tempe, AZ	1981	334	2,091	14,056	3,453	2,091	17,509	19,600
Sep-04	Middleboro, MA	1972	121	5,852	4,719	1,972	5,852	6,691	12,543
Apr-00	Denver, CO	1979	564	4,021	23,495	7,625	4,316	30,825	35,141
Jan-00	St. Petersburg, FL	1980	200	766	7,090	4,812	766	11,902	12,668
Oct-99	Greenville, NC	1969	220	1,379	14,849	3,493	1,379	18,342	19,721
May-98	Phoenix, AZ	1985	128	1,323	7,155	1,783	1,323	8,938	10,261
Jan-06	Norwalk, CA	1970	144	6,441	6,807	467	6,441	7,274	13,715
Oct-97	Phoenix, AZ	1979	387	2,292	13,000	5,481	2,292	18,480	20,772
Jan-06	College Park, MD	1972	303	15,198	22,029	4,110	15,198	26,139	41,337
Nov-96	Littleton, CO	1985	161	1,615	9,773	4,948	1,536	14,801	16,337
Apr-02	Nashville, TN	1985	248	485	8,336	1,598	485	9,935	10,420
Oct-99	Westmont, IL	1969	399	2,691	19,592	20,365	2,691	39,957	42,648
Apr-00	Palm Harbor, FL	1986	262	2,034	12,878	4,243	2,034	17,122	19,156
Aug-02	Swampscott, MA	1987	96	4,749	10,089	1,273	4,749	11,362	16,111
Jul-02	Apopka, FL	1985	210	2,271	7,724	2,298	2,271	10,022	12,293
Jan-06	Atlanta, GA	1983	180	610	5,026	11,897	610	16,924	17,534
Mar-02	Norwalk, CA	1972	120	7,294	4,861	2,238	7,476	6,917	14,393
Jul-94	Westminster, CO	1987	324	2,446	13,261	4,607	2,446	17,868	20,314
May-98	W. Palm Beach, FL	1986	189	1,618	9,757	2,874	1,618	12,631	14,249
Oct-02		1970	164	608	6,618	2,201	608	8,819	9,427

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

	Altamonte Springs, FL								
Jan-00	Cypress, TX	1983	530	2,248	17,241	9,861	2,248	27,102	29,350
Oct-98	Levittown, PA	1969	722	5,800	43,710	12,256	5,800	55,966	61,766
Oct-99	Jacksonville, FL	1972	904	5,006	33,960	52,905	5,006	86,865	91,871
Mar-02	Los Angeles, CA	2002	250	8,621	48,871	3,289	8,630	52,150	60,780
Jan-00	Atlanta, GA	1980	280	1,866	15,039	17,111	1,866	32,151	34,017
Dec-97	Chandler, AZ	1986	200	804	4,952	2,443	804	7,394	8,198
Aug-02	Bridgewater, MA	1971	588	28,585	28,102	5,295	29,083	32,899	61,982
Jun-97	Aventura, FL	1991	180	4,504	11,064	3,495	4,504	14,559	19,063
Aug-08	Brighton, MA	1970	104	7,696	11,347		7,920	11,712	19,632
Oct-02	Orlando, FL	1985	272	1,892	11,913	2,741	1,892	14,654	16,546
Oct-99	Houston, TX	1971	313	1,920	11,224	4,617	1,920	15,841	17,761
May-98	Houston, TX	1979	326	2,921	11,384	2,663	2,921	14,047	16,968
Aug-02	Worcester, MA	1974	264	6,339	17,939	1,971	6,339	19,909	26,248
Oct-97	Phoenix, AZ	1983	226	1,225	6,923	2,603	1,225	9,526	10,751
Apr-00	Cary, NC	1972	183	1,669	8,971	1,912	1,669	10,883	12,552
May-98	Rolling Meadows, IL	1985	328	2,717	15,437	22,680	2,717	38,117	40,834
Oct-99	Altamonte Springs, FL	1972	185	918	7,663	2,979	918	10,642	11,560
Apr-06	Greenville, NC	1979	146	1,175	3,943	799	1,485	4,432	5,917
Jan-03	Houston, TX	1982	257	2,118	10,889	1,909	2,118	12,798	14,916
Mar-01	Oceanside, CA	1987	404	24,960	17,590	18,162	24,960	35,753	60,713
Oct-00	Orlando, FL	1987	288	3,696	10,029	4,776	3,696	14,805	18,501

F-59

Table of Contents

Acquired	Location	Year Built	Number of Units	(2)		(3)	December 31, 2018		Total
				Initial Cost	Buildings and Improvements	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Buildings and Improvements		
	Newport News, VA	1978	156	307	2,110	1,865	131	4,150	4,150
	Woodbridge, VA	1987	220	4,279	15,970	2,093	4,279	18,063	22,342
	W. Palm Beach, FL	1988	196	1,595	9,079	3,722	1,595	12,802	14,397
	Mesa, AZ	1985	432	2,367	16,051	4,074	2,367	20,125	22,492
	Burnsville, MN	1984	400	2,622	19,457	2,182	2,622	21,639	24,261
	Houston, TX	1983	272	1,427	11,698	2,900	1,427	14,598	16,525
	Williamsburg, VA	1976	125	430	4,024	742	430	4,766	5,236
	Virginia Beach, VA	1972	288	961	5,549	3,367	961	8,916	9,877
	Miami, FL	1998	357	31,363	32,214	3,031	31,363	35,245	66,598
	Lombard, IL	1973	364	2,971	18,163	15,723	2,971	33,886	36,857
			91,044	2,154,058	4,751,342	2,382,924	2,212,328	7,076,598	9,288,926
	Hempstead, NY	1981	84	95	6,046	514	95	6,561	6,561
	San Francisco, CA	1976	157	1,348	29,770	18,171	1,350	47,938	49,288
	Alliance, OH	1971	101	530	1,934	747	530	2,681	3,211
	Arvada, CO	1977	88	641	3,314	1,734	405	5,284	5,925
	Toledo, OH	1977	189	205	455	343	205	798	1,003
	Parsippany ,NJ	1980	251	746	8,516	1,819	746	10,335	11,081
	Pittsburgh, PA	1983	99	237	5,417	163	237	5,580	5,817
	Bangor, ME	1979	121	1,140	4,595	887	1,140	5,481	6,621
	Boise, ID	1978	66	275	1,139	497	275	1,636	1,911
	San Francisco, CA	1976	146	1,023	15,265	16,165	574	31,879	32,902
	Hillsdale, MI	1980	198	1,380	7,044	4,053	1,380	11,097	12,477
	Falmouth, KY	1979	48	230	919	264	230	1,183	1,413
	Chester, PA	1976	70	79	3,862	595	79	4,457	4,536
	New Haven, CT	1981	144	1,152	4,657	1,582	1,152	6,238	7,390
	Dayton, OH	1980	230	1,813	6,411	13,075	1,813	19,486	21,299
	Statesboro, GA	1973	42	271	927	353	271	1,280	1,551
	Bloomsburg, PA	1981	75	1	4,128	287	1	4,415	4,416
	Baltimore, MD	1977	209	1,184	7,068	475	1,163	7,564	8,748
	New Brighton, PA	1975	152	143	5,160	557	143	5,716	5,859
	Berea, KY	1999	24	253	1,173	477	253	1,650	1,903
	Charlotte, MI	1980	100	505	3,617	3,510	505	7,127	7,632

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Clearlake, CA	1986	80	1,545	9,405	531	1,545	9,936	11,
Louisville, KY	1982	101	154	5,704	517	154	6,221	6,
Washington, D.C.	1978	171	750	6,719	763	750	7,482	8,
Worcester, MA	1976	157	400	4,724	853	400	5,577	5,
Petersburg, VA	1885	118	847	2,886	3,382	852	6,263	7,
Los Angeles, CA	1982	88	1,775	4,606	3,190	1,775	7,796	9,
Davenport, IA	1980	96	585	2,351	1,348	585	3,699	4,
Northern Cambria, PA	1983	62	372	1,490	844	372	2,333	2,
Wichita, KS	1973	132	1,558	1,536	1,538	1,558	3,073	4,
Newport News, VA	1976	200	500	2,014	6,995	500	9,009	9,
Macon, GA	1980	52	161	2,333	108	161	2,441	2,
Lexington, NC	1972	87	66	2,560	601	66	3,162	3,
Coatesville, PA	1979	90	500	2,011	553	500	2,563	3,
Cold Springs, KY	2000	30	187	917	1,121	187	2,039	2,
Cleveland, OH	1975	129	213	4,749	476	213	5,225	5,
The Woodlands, TX	1980	150	390	8,373	4,786	363	13,186	13,
The Woodlands, TX	1981	150	452	5,552	3,319	459	8,865	9,

F-60

Table of Contents

	(1)	Date	Year	Number of Units	(2)		(3)		December 31, 200	
					Initial Cost	Capitalized	Buildings and	Buildings and	Total	Accu
	Consolidated	Location	Built		Land	Improvements	Acquisition	Land	Improvements	Total
	Mar-04	Quincy, IL	1976	200	676	5,715	4,803	675	10,518	11,193
	Jan-06	Bensalem, PA	1972	352	1,314	18,196	1,739	1,314	19,935	21,249
	Jan-06	Cincinnati, OH	1980	137	642	5,597	266	642	5,863	6,505
	Mar-02	Stroudsburg, PA	1982	80	400	1,610	914	400	2,523	2,923
	Jan-06	Burke, VA	1979	50	355	4,849		355	4,849	5,204
	Mar-04	Trenton, TN	1982	38	42	1,395	39	42	1,433	1,475
	Jan-06	Harrisburg, PA	1975	108	176	4,242	542	176	4,784	4,960
	Mar-02	Gadsden, AL	1979	100	540	2,178	1,300	540	3,478	4,018
	Mar-02	Jackson, MS	1983	104	575	2,304	1,779	575	4,083	4,658
	Mar-02	North Hollywood, CA	1984	17	394	1,579	132	394	1,711	2,105
	Oct-07	Austin, TX	1999	96	11	4,989		11	4,989	5,000
	Mar-02	West Warwick, RI	1978	100	550	2,294	2,043	550	4,337	4,887
	Jan-06	Athens, AL	1981	80	185	2,804	277	185	3,081	3,266
	Jan-06	Atlanta, GA	1969	58	86	2,000	168	86	2,168	2,254
	Jan-06	Carmichael, CA	1979	86	166	5,275	260	166	5,535	5,701
	Jan-06	Connersville, IN	1980	102	440	2,091	2,519	447	4,603	5,050
	Mar-02	Orange, TX	1983	70	420	1,992	966	420	2,958	3,378
	Jan-06	Jackson, MI	1975	160	856	6,853	1,072	856	7,925	8,781
	Jan-06	Baltimore, MD	1888	65	46	4,100	180	46	4,280	4,326
	Jan-06	Brooklyn, NY	1979	259	550	16,825	1,613	550	18,437	18,987
	Mar-02	Hyattsville, MD	1979	151	970	3,887	1,631	970	5,518	6,488
	Jan-06	Pearsall, TX	1980	63	109	2,425	358	109	2,783	2,892
	Mar-04	Clinton, TN	1981	80	266	2,225	777	266	3,002	3,268
	Mar-04	Hillsborough, NC	1980	64	433	1,666	572	444	2,227	2,671
	Jan-06	Aiken, SC	1972	60	41	1,552	157	41	1,708	1,749
	Jan-06	Rock Hill, SC	1982	88	93	4,882	845	93	5,727	5,820
	Jan-06	Indianapolis, IN	1980	121	812	3,272	308	812	3,580	4,392
	Mar-02	North Hollywood, CA	1983	30	1,010	1,691	230	1,010	1,921	2,931
	Jan-06	Baltimore, MD	1980	199	369	10,862	340	369	11,201	11,570
	Dec-97	Rochester, NY	1968	114	475	2,786	1,049	475	3,835	4,310
	Jan-06	Hatillo, PR	1982	64	184	2,893	159	184	3,053	3,237
	Mar-02	Hemet, CA	1983	80	700	2,802	2,997	1,263	5,235	6,498
	Oct-07	Round Rock, TX	1999	160	1,047	12,893		1,047	12,893	13,940
	Jan-06	Lewisburg, PA	1982	80	178	3,251	109	178	3,360	3,538

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Jan-06	Abbeville, SC	1974	80	27	2,477	697	27	3,174	3,201
Mar-02	Huntington, WV	1977	133	550	2,204	1,091	550	3,296	3,846
Jan-06	Catawissa, PA	1981	50	31	2,643	132	31	2,775	2,806
Jan-06	Duquesne, PA	1975	152	166	7,298	539	166	7,837	8,003
Sep-03	Baltimore, MD	1979	165	438	5,973		438	5,973	6,411
Mar-02	Pasadena, CA	1983	41	914	1,548	272	914	1,820	2,734
Jan-06	Hudson, NY	1973	168	248	5,424	494	248	5,917	6,165
Oct-06	Indio, CA	1980	151		9,534	4,135		13,669	13,669
Jan-06	San Antonio, TX	1980	120	630	3,137		630	3,137	3,767
Mar-04	Springfield, MO	1977	78	142	3,684	238	142	3,922	4,064
Jan-06	Durham, NC	1983	177	335	8,386	607	335	8,993	9,328
Jan-06	Lock Haven, PA	1978	101	52	4,353	417	52	4,770	4,822
Jan-06	Milwaukie, OR	1982	62	204	2,497	154	204	2,651	2,855
Sep-04	Baltimore, MD	1979	262	1,281	9,358		1,281	9,358	10,639
Jan-06	Yonkers, NY	1981	130	54	8,308	1,748	54	10,055	10,109
Oct-00	San Francisco, CA	1976	145	1,841	19,568	16,634	1,839	36,203	38,042

F-61

Table of Contents

December 31, 2008									
(1)					(2)		(3)		
Date		Year	Number of	Initial Cost		Capitalized		Buildings	
Consolidated	Location	Built	Units	Land	Improvements	Acquisition	Land	Improvements	Total
Mar-04	West Lafayette, OH	1979	49	166	512	271	166	783	949
Jan-06	Camden, SC	1978	72	69	1,948	65	69	2,013	2,082
Jan-06	Poughkeepsie, NY	1981	72	111	3,256	254	111	3,511	3,622
Oct-05	Clinton, SC	1970	80	1,088	1,635	198	1,088	1,833	2,921
Jan-06	Morristown, TN	1981	65	75	1,870	110	75	1,980	2,055
Jan-06	Concord, CA	1981	75	565	4,448	3,522	567	7,968	8,535
Jan-06	Lock Haven, PA	1979	150	169	7,040	471	169	7,511	7,680
Mar-02	Westminster, MD	1979	99	650	2,604	735	650	3,339	3,989
Jan-06	Portage, PA	1983	31	18	1,467	364	18	1,831	1,849
Jan-06	Cheraw, SC	1973	56	30	1,470	124	30	1,594	1,624
Oct-02	Minneapolis, MN	1975	230	1,297	7,445	7,554	886	15,410	16,296
Sep-03	Salem, MA	1973	250	129	14,050	5,520	140	19,560	19,700
Mar-04	Durham, NC	1980	75	539	2,159	703	543	2,859	3,402
Oct-07	Chicago, IL	1958	239	2,225	10,797	341	2,225	11,138	13,363
Mar-02	Elyria, OH	1970	138	200		2,120	200	2,120	2,320
Jan-06	Taunton, MA	1982	49	80	2,704	287	80	2,991	3,071
Jan-06	Ponce, PR	1983	96	290	5,162	156	290	5,318	5,608
Dec-03	Yauco, PR	1982	128	391	3,859	879	391	4,738	5,129
Jan-06	Bronx, NY	1979	203	404	16,038	1,367	404	17,404	17,808
Jan-06	Lafayette, LA	1980	114	125	4,218	117	125	4,335	4,460
Mar-02	New Baltimore, MI	1980	101	888	2,360	5,380	896	7,732	8,628
Jan-06	Chicago, IL	1925	148	200	7,368	374	200	7,742	7,942
Dec-97	Chicago, IL	1985	84	1,150	7,862	423	1,150	8,285	9,435
Oct-00	Lima, OH	1971	150	487	1,317	1,716	487	3,033	3,520
Jan-00	Chicago, IL	1921	304	2,280	14,334	15,486	2,510	29,589	32,099
Mar-02	Wytheville, VA	1978	144	500	2,012	476	500	2,489	2,989
Jan-08	Topeka, KS	1979	170	240	6,200		240	6,200	6,440
Mar-04	Milan, TN	1984	34	95	498	27	95	525	620
Jan-06	Ocala, FL	1980	40	96	1,417	288	96	1,705	1,801
Jan-06	Alexandria, VA	1976	172	337	5,457	2,454	337	7,911	8,248
Oct-02	Alexandria, VA	1977	72	214	2,865	624	214	3,488	3,702
Apr-00	Alexandria, VA	1978	75	581	3,463	1,732	581	5,194	5,775
Jan-06	Troy, NY	1978	115	88	4,067	695	88	4,762	4,850
Jan-06	Hermitage, PA	1979	81	53	3,432	311	53	3,743	3,796
Jan-06	Chillicothe, OH	1981	50	109	2,309	120	109	2,429	2,538
Mar-02	Deatur, IL	1979	156	993	4,164	429	993	4,593	5,586
Oct-07	Indianapolis, IN	1994	48	247	1,410	607	247	2,016	2,263

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Mar-02	Palm Springs, CA	1981	116		8,745	3,003		11,748	11,748
Mar-02	Bakersfield, CA	1982	66	621	5,520		621	5,520	6,141
Jan-06	Lithonia, GA	1973	86	124	1,910	168	124	2,078	2,202
Jan-06	Lithonia, GA	1974	88	169	3,392	155	169	3,547	3,716
Oct-07	Joplin, MO	1974	192	996	8,847		996	8,847	9,843
Oct-07	Overland Park, KS	1984	280	365	8,723	4,001	365	12,724	13,089
Jun-05	St Louis, MO	1977	242	742	6,327	9,719	705	16,084	16,789
Oct-05	Anaheim, CA	1958	392	6,155	25,929	4,090	6,155	30,019	36,174
Mar-02	Sacramento, CA	1980	97	1,041	2,880	8,111	1,097	10,936	12,033
Jun-04	Chicago, IL	1925	446	3,684	23,257	17,218	3,427	40,733	44,160
Jan-06	Hughes Springs, TX	1978	82	202	1,906	570	202	2,477	2,679
Mar-04	Philadelphia, PA	1976	296		15,416	1,128		16,543	16,543
Oct-07	Waycross, GA	1999	72	163	2,893		163	2,893	3,056

F-62

Table of Contents

Property	(1) Date	Location	Year Built	Number of Units	(2) Initial Cost		(3) Cost Capitalized		Buildings and Total	December 31, 20
					Land	Improvements	Acquisition	Land Improvements		
	Jan-06	Salisbury, MD	1980	151	354	7,935	496	354	8,431	8,785
	Mar-02	Toledo, OH	1979	99	420	1,698	1,048	420	2,746	3,166
	Apr-05	Austin, TX	1982	100	1,188	2,631	3,496	1,229	6,085	7,314
	Mar-02	North Hills, CA	1983	75	624	2,647	1,587	667	4,191	4,858
me	Jan-06	Washington, DC	1980	48	601	6,100	67	601	6,167	6,768
e	Jan-06	Yonkers, NY	1930	56	148	3,315	367	148	3,682	3,830
	Dec-97	Flora, MS	1975	76	102	1,071	1,573	102	2,644	2,746
	Oct-07	Lenexa, KS	1978	289	374	12,158		374	12,158	12,532
	Jan-06	Temecula, CA	1984	55	399	5,550	231	399	5,781	6,180
	Mar-02	Sacramento, CA	1980	75	684	227	6,225	683	6,454	7,137
e	Mar-02	East Moline, IL	1977	140	698	2,803	585	698	3,388	4,086
e	Jan-06	Flint, MI	1980	340	1,756	13,877	928	1,756	14,805	16,561
me	Jan-06	Greenville, MI	1983	49	206	2,202	224	205	2,426	2,631
e	Jan-06	Kankakee, IL	1983	125	590	4,932	2,749	597	7,673	8,270
	Mar-04	Dawson Springs, KY	1981	40	194	1,177	170	194	1,347	1,541
	Mar-02	Champaign, IL	1979	156	947	5,134	5,627	934	10,774	11,708
me	Jan-06	Hummelstown, PA	1981	85	376	4,814	206	376	5,019	5,395
	Sep-05	San Antonio, TX	1970	220	404	5,770	11,344	238	17,279	17,517
	Sep-05	Boulder, CO	1971	150	243	7,110	12,522	438	19,437	19,875
e	Mar-02	Norristown, PA	1980	175	1,650	6,599	2,842	1,650	9,441	11,091
	Mar-05	Macon, GA	1979	74	153	1,736	1,358	153	3,094	3,247
	Jan-06	Taunton, MA	1920	75	219	4,335	561	219	4,896	5,115
me	Mar-04	Baltimore, MD	1981	155	1,445	5,484	919	1,445	6,403	7,848
me	Sep-03	Baltimore, MD	1981	37	171	1,636	330	171	1,966	2,137
e	Jan-06	Wilkes-Barre, PA	1976	344	1,137	16,451	875	1,137	17,326	18,463
	Oct-99	San Francisco, CA	1976	156	1,498	19,071	17,002	1,498	36,072	37,570
	Mar-02	Los Angeles, CA	1981	80	663	2,770	4,231	659	7,004	7,663
	Jan-06	Holidaysburg, PA	1983	51	117	2,574	388	117	2,962	3,079
	Oct-07	Lockhart, TX	1999	32		1,153			1,153	1,153
	Jan-06	St. George, SC	1984	40	86	1,025	90	86	1,115	1,201
me	Mar-02	San Bernadino, CA	1983	80	549	3,459	2,793	1,246	5,555	6,801
	Oct-00	New Castle, IN	1970	122	313	1,895	1,209	308	3,110	3,418
	Oct-99	Alexandria, VA	1979	128	662	3,508	3,142	662	6,650	7,312
	Jan-06	Norfolk, VA	1976	126	215	4,400	319	215	4,719	4,934
me	Jan-06	Burke, VA	1980	50	382	4,930		382	4,930	5,312
	Jan-06	St. Johns, MI	1980	121	403	6,488	468	403	6,955	7,358
	Jan-06	Lewisburg, WV	1979	84	155	3,369	196	155	3,564	3,719
	Nov-04	Woodlands, TX	1980	144	140	2,775	3,479	363	6,030	6,393

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Nov-04	Woodlands, TX	1980	156	142	3,195	3,874	266	6,944	7,210
Jan-06	Kettering, OH	1979	102	521	3,855	416	521	4,271	4,792
Oct-05	Los Angeles, CA	1977	170	1,775	5,848	6,631	1,996	12,258	14,254
Oct-02	Beacon, NY	1974	193	872	6,827	11,211	872	18,038	18,910
Mar-02	Atlanta, GA	1981	188	1,150	4,655	1,177	1,150	5,832	6,982
Mar-05	Philadelphia, PA	1978	442	702	22,040	11,195	702	33,235	33,937
Mar-02	Los Angeles, CA	1981	299	4,253	21,226	3,988	4,253	25,214	29,467
Mar-02	Canton, OH	1975	81	215	889	449	215	1,338	1,553
Jan-06	Catonsville, MD	1980	181	1,187	6,128	1,722	1,187	7,850	9,037
Mar-05	Kaufman, TX	1981	68	370	1,606	571	370	2,177	2,547
Mar-04	Cuthbert, GA	1982	50	188	1,058	533	188	1,591	1,779
Mar-02	Chino, CA	1983	40	380	1,521	361	380	1,883	2,263

F-63

Table of Contents

Location	Year Built	Number of Units	(2) Initial Cost Buildings and Improvements		(3) Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition		December 31, Buildings and Improvements		Total
			Land		Land		Land	Improvements	
Toledo, OH	1930	250	261	15,091		261	15,091	15,352	
Washington, DC	1982	153	1,001	11,874	416	1,001	12,290	13,291	
Cincinnati, OH	1983	198	888	5,608	4,962	888	10,569	11,457	
Wasco, CA	1982	78	625	2,519	947	625	3,466	4,091	
Philadelphia, PA	1982	132	555	11,169	5,691	582	16,832	17,414	
Springfield, VA	1982	50		3,517	351		3,868	3,868	
Moline, IL	1976	97	720	3,242	401	720	3,643	4,363	
Lincoln Heights, OH	1977	72	215	938	398	215	1,336	1,551	
San Antonio, TX	1980	80	223	3,151	2,598	219	5,753	5,972	
Henderson, NC	1983	44	247	946	117	247	1,062	1,309	
Pineville, KY	1983	124	1,010	4,048	633	1,010	4,680	5,690	
North Wilkesboro, NC	1981	72	410	1,680	466	410	2,146	2,556	
Hollywood, CA	1984	19	1,051	840	185	1,051	1,025	2,076	
Winnsboro, SC	1978	60	80	1,889	181	80	2,070	2,150	
St Louis, MO	1920	112	300	3,072	4,419	300	7,492	7,792	
Odessa, TX	1972	80	41	229	573	41	802	843	
Spartanburg, SC	1972	100	182	663	1,324	182	1,987	2,169	
Jackson, MI	1980	125	541	3,875	4,243	321	8,339	8,660	
		25,007	117,542	1,056,684	418,638	118,171	1,474,674	1,592,845	
			929	2,472	(80)	1,958	1,363	3,321	
		116,051	2,272,529	5,810,498	2,801,482	2,332,457	8,552,635	10,885,090	

(1) Date we acquired the property or first consolidated the partnership which owns the property.

(2)

Initial cost includes the tendering costs to acquire the minority interest share of our consolidated real estate partnerships.

- (3) Costs capitalized subsequent to acquisition includes costs capitalized since acquisition or first consolidation of the partnership/property.
- (4) Other includes land parcels and commercial properties.

F-64

Table of Contents**APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY****SCHEDULE III: REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION****For the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006****(In Thousands)**

	2008	2007	2006
Real Estate			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 10,248,274	\$ 9,426,924	\$ 7,978,988
Additions during the year:			
Newly consolidated assets and acquisition of limited partnership interests(1)	17,557	32,080	1,146,086
Acquisitions	107,445	233,059	184,986
Capital expenditures	665,233	689,719	485,758
Deductions during the year:			
Casualty and other write-offs(2)	(114,678)	(24,016)	(21,192)
Assets held for sale reclassification(3)	(38,739)	(109,492)	(347,702)
Balance at end of year	\$ 10,885,092	\$ 10,248,274	\$ 9,426,924
Accumulated Depreciation			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 2,361,232	\$ 2,101,707	\$ 1,432,686
Additions during the year:			
Depreciation	497,395	477,725	468,186
Newly consolidated assets and acquisition of limited partnership interests(1)	(7,733)	(115,465)	452,824
Deductions during the year:			
Casualty and other write-offs	(1,865)	(5,280)	(5,604)
Assets held for sale reclassification(3)	(66,305)	(97,455)	(246,385)
Balance at end of year	\$ 2,782,724	\$ 2,361,232	\$ 2,101,707

(1) Includes the effect of newly consolidated assets, acquisition of limited partnership interests and related activity. As discussed in Note 2, during 2006, we adopted EITF 04-5, which resulted in the consolidation, at historical carrying amounts, of 156 partnerships owning 149 properties. As discussed in Note 3, during 2007, we acquired seven properties from VMS, a consolidated partnership in which we held a 22% interest. We allocated the excess of the consideration exchanged over the carrying amount of the minority interest in these properties to real estate, which resulted in an increase in real estate primarily due to a reduction in the historical accumulated depreciation on these assets.

(2) Casualty and other write offs in 2008 includes impairments totaling \$91.1 million related to our Lincoln Place and Pacific Bay Vistas properties.

(3)

Represents activity on properties that have been sold or classified as held for sale that is included in the line items above.

F-65