

CYTOKINETICS INC
Form 10-Q
November 08, 2007

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2007

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 000-50633

CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

**(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)**

94-3291317

**(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)**

280 East Grand Avenue

South San Francisco, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

94080

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (650) 624-3000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Number of shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding as of October 31, 2007: 49,158,426

CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED
TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Unaudited Condensed Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006</u>	3
<u>Unaudited Condensed Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and the period from August 5, 1997 (date of inception) to September 30, 2007</u>	4
<u>Unaudited Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and the period from August 5, 1997 (date of inception) to September 30, 2007</u>	5
<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	12
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	27
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	28
 <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	 28
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	28
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	28
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	45
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	45
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	45
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	45
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	45
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	47
 <u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	 48
<u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 32.1</u>	

Table of ContentsPART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED**
(A Development Stage Enterprise)
CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS(In thousands, except share and per share data)
(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006 (1)
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 108,491	\$ 39,387
Short-term investments	44,450	70,155
Related party accounts receivable	130	42,071
Related party notes receivable short-term portion	127	160
Prepaid and other current assets	2,007	1,848
Total current assets	155,205	153,621
Property and equipment, net	7,820	9,202
Related party notes receivable long-term portion	186	292
Restricted cash	5,167	6,034
Other assets	324	367
Total assets	\$ 168,702	\$ 169,516
LIABILITIES and STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,505	\$ 2,838
Accrued liabilities	7,292	7,466
Related party payables and accrued liabilities	36	164
Short-term portion of equipment financing lines	4,171	3,691
Deferred revenue	12,234	12,234
Total current liabilities	26,238	26,393
Long-term portion of equipment financing lines	5,541	7,144
Long-term portion of deferred revenue	27,425	29,666
Total liabilities	59,204	63,203
Stockholders equity:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value: Authorized: 120,000,000 shares; Issued and outstanding: 48,757,946 shares in 2007 and 43,283,558 shares in 2006	49	43
Additional paid-in capital	376,218	338,078
Deferred stock-based compensation	(476)	(1,094)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(13)	(75)
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(266,280)	(230,639)

Total stockholders' equity		109,498		106,313
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	168,702	\$	169,516

(1) The condensed balance sheet at December 31, 2006 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED
(A Development Stage Enterprise)
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		Period from
	September	September	September	September	August 5, 1997
	30,	30,	30,	30,	(date of
	2007	2006	2007	2006	inception)
					to September
					30,
					2007
Revenues:					
Research and development revenues from related party	\$ 1,072	\$ 106	\$ 1,337	\$ 1,568	\$ 40,202
Research and development, grant and other revenues				4	2,955
License revenues from related parties	3,058		9,175	1,400	23,276
Total revenues	4,130	106	10,512	2,972	66,433
Operating expenses:					
Research and development (1)	13,217	12,535	39,430	36,199	269,530
General and administrative (1)	4,113	3,572	12,611	11,131	81,351
Total operating expenses	17,330	16,107	52,041	47,330	350,881
Operating loss	(13,200)	(16,001)	(41,529)	(44,358)	(284,448)
Interest and other income	2,055	1,215	6,418	3,572	22,870
Interest and other expense	(176)	(134)	(531)	(383)	(4,702)
Net loss	\$ (11,321)	\$ (14,920)	\$ (35,642)	\$ (41,169)	\$ (266,280)
Net loss per common share basic and diluted	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.41)	\$ (0.76)	\$ (1.15)	
Weighted-average number of shares used in computing net loss per common share basic and diluted	47,460	36,729	47,040	35,793	
(1) Includes the following stock-based compensation charges:					
Research and development	\$ 887	\$ 703	\$ 2,215	\$ 1,937	\$ 7,595
General and administrative	638	508	1,947	1,629	5,761

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED
(A Development Stage Enterprise)
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended		Period from
	September	September	August 5, 1997
	30,	30,	(date of
	2007	2006	inception)
			to September
			30,
			2007
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (35,642)	\$ (41,169)	\$ (266,280)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment	2,170	2,221	20,330
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	4	1	340
Gain on sale of investments			(84)
Allowance for doubtful accounts			191
Non-cash expense related to warrants issued for equipment financing lines and facility lease			41
Non-cash interest expense	69	69	404
Non-cash forgiveness of loan to officer	12	2	264
Other non-cash expenses	7		27
Stock-based compensation	4,162	3,566	13,356
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Related party accounts receivable	41,932	511	(458)
Prepaid and other assets	(184)	(288)	(2,259)
Accounts payable	118	738	2,481
Accrued liabilities	754	2,184	7,270
Related party payables and accrued liabilities	(128)	(433)	36
Deferred revenue	(2,241)	(1,400)	39,659
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	11,033	(33,998)	(184,682)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of investments	(45,300)	(86,875)	(638,503)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	71,067	109,085	594,124
Purchases of property and equipment	(2,167)	(3,735)	(28,495)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment		6	50
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	867	(32)	(5,167)
Issuance of related party notes receivable			(1,146)
Proceeds from payments of related party notes receivable	129	30	699
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	24,596	18,479	(78,438)
Cash flows from financing activities:			

Edgar Filing: CYTOKINETICS INC - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from initial public offering, net of issuance costs			94,004
Proceeds from sale of common stock to related party, net of issuance costs	26,009		33,009
Proceeds from public offerings, net of issuance costs	(7)	31,993	66,910
Proceeds from draw down of Committed Equity Financing Facility, net of issuance costs	7,776	16,957	30,280
Proceeds from other issuances of common stock	819	661	5,066
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock, net of issuance costs			133,172
Repurchase of common stock		(2)	(68)
Proceeds from equipment financing lines	1,743	2,688	23,696
Repayment of equipment financing lines	(2,865)	(2,079)	(14,458)
Net cash provided by financing activities	33,475	50,218	371,611
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	69,104	34,699	108,491
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	39,387	13,515	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 108,491	\$ 48,214	\$ 108,491

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE ENTERPRISE)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**Overview**

Cytokinetics, Incorporated (the Company, we or our) was incorporated under the laws of the state of Delaware on August 5, 1997 to discover, develop and commercialize novel small molecule drugs specifically targeting the cytoskeleton. The Company is a development stage enterprise and has been primarily engaged in conducting research, developing drug candidates and technologies, and raising capital.

The Company has funded its operations primarily through sales of common stock and convertible preferred stock, contract payments under its collaboration agreements, debt financing arrangements, government grants and interest income.

The Company's registration statement for its initial public offering (IPO) was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on April 29, 2004. The Company's common stock commenced trading on the NASDAQ National Market, now the NASDAQ Global Market, on April 29, 2004 under the trading symbol CYTK.

Prior to achieving profitable operations, the Company intends to continue to fund operations through the additional sale of equity securities, payments from strategic collaborations, government grant awards and debt financing.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. The financial statements include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) that management believes are necessary for the fair statement of the balances and results for the periods presented. These interim financial statement results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full fiscal year or any future interim period.

The balance sheet at December 31, 2006 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date. The financial statements and related disclosures have been prepared with the presumption that users of the interim financial statements have read or have access to the audited financial statements for the preceding fiscal year. Accordingly, these financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss consists of the net loss and other comprehensive gain (loss). Other comprehensive gain (loss) includes certain changes in stockholder's equity that are excluded from net loss. Comprehensive loss and its components for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2007	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2007	September 30, 2006
Net loss	\$(11,321)	\$ (14,920)	\$(35,642)	\$ (41,169)
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on investments	47	34	62	(9)
Comprehensive loss	\$(11,274)	\$ (14,886)	\$(35,580)	\$ (41,178)

Table of Contents**Restricted Cash**

In accordance with the terms of the Company's line of credit agreements with General Electric Capital Corporation (GE Capital), the Company is obligated to maintain a certificate of deposit with the lender. The balance of the certificate of deposit, which is classified as restricted cash, was \$5.2 million at September 30, 2007 and \$6.0 million at December 31, 2006.

Note 2. Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per common share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted-average number of vested common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per common share is computed by giving effect to all potentially dilutive common shares, including outstanding options, common stock subject to repurchase, shares issuable under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) and warrants. Following is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used in the calculation of basic and diluted net loss per common share (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2007	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2007	September 30, 2006
Numerator net loss	\$ (11,321)	\$ (14,920)	\$ (35,642)	\$ (41,169)
Denominator:				
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	47,460	36,740	47,041	35,812
Less: Weighted-average shares subject to repurchase	()	(11)	(1)	(19)
Weighted-average shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per common share	47,460	36,729	47,040	35,793

The following outstanding instruments were excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per common share for the periods presented, because their effect would have been antidilutive (in thousands):

	As of September 30,	
	2007	2006
Options to purchase common stock	5,160	4,184
Common stock subject to repurchase		7
Shares issuable related to the ESPP	92	109
Warrants to purchase common stock	244	244
Total shares	5,596	4,544

Note 3. Supplemental Cash Flow Data

Supplemental cash flow data was as follows (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended		Period from
	September 30, 2007	September 30, 2006	August 5, 1997 (date of inception) to September 30, 2007
Significant non-cash investing and financing activities:			

Edgar Filing: CYTOKINETICS INC - Form 10-Q

Deferred stock-based compensation	\$	\$	\$	6,940
Purchases of property and equipment through accounts payable	\$	180	\$	174
Purchases of property and equipment through trade in value of disposed property and equipment	\$	\$	\$	258
Penalty on restructuring of equipment financing lines	\$	\$	\$	475
Conversion of convertible preferred stock to common stock	\$	\$	\$	133,172

Note 4. Related Party Agreements

Research and Development Arrangements

GlaxoSmithKline. In June 2006, the Company's Collaboration and License Agreement (the "GSK Agreement") with GlaxoSmithKline ("GSK") was amended to extend the research term for an additional year through June 19, 2007, to facilitate continued research activities under an updated research plan focused towards the mitotic kinesin centromere-associated protein E ("CENP-E"). Accordingly, the research term with respect to all mitotic kinesins other than CENP-E expired in June 2006. Under this

Table of Contents

amendment, GSK had no obligation to reimburse the Company for our full-time employee equivalents (FTEs) during the extension of the research term.

In November 2006, the GSK Agreement was further amended. Under the November 2006 amendment, the Company assumed responsibility, at its expense, for the continued research, development and commercialization of inhibitors of kinesin spindle protein (KSP), including ispinesib and SB-743921, and all other mitotic kinesins with the exception of inhibitors of CENP-E. Under the November 2006 amendment, the Company's development of ispinesib and SB-743921 is subject to GSK's option to resume responsibility for the development and commercialization of either or both drug candidates during a defined period. If GSK exercises its option for a drug candidate, it will pay the Company an option fee equal to the costs the Company independently incurred for that drug candidate, plus a premium intended to compensate for the cost of capital associated with such costs, subject to an agreed limit for such costs and premium. Upon GSK exercising its option for a drug candidate, the Company may receive additional pre-commercialization milestone payments with respect to such drug candidate and increased royalties on net sales of any resulting product, in each case, beyond those contemplated under the original agreement. If GSK does not exercise its option for a drug candidate, the Company will be obligated to pay royalties to GSK on the sales of any resulting products. The November 2006 amendment supersedes a previous amendment to the GSK Agreement dated September 2005, which specifically related to SB-743921.

In June 2007, the GSK Agreement was further amended to extend the research term for an additional year, through June 19, 2008, to facilitate continued research activities under the updated research plan focused towards CENP-E. Under the amendment, GSK will continue to have no obligation to reimburse the Company for its FTEs during the extension of the research term.

GSK has the right to terminate the GSK Agreement on six months notice at any time. If GSK abandons development of any drug candidate prior to regulatory approval, the Company may undertake and fund the clinical development of that drug candidate or commercialization of any resulting drug, may seek a new partner for such clinical development or commercialization, or curtail or abandon such clinical development or commercialization.

For those drug candidates that GSK develops under the GSK Agreement, such as GSK-923295 as well as ispinesib or SB-743921 should GSK exercise its option with respect to either or both of those drug candidates, the Company can elect to co-fund certain later-stage development activities which would increase its potential royalty rates on sales of resulting drugs and provide the Company with the option to secure co-promotion rights in North America. If the Company exercises its co-promotion option, then it is entitled to receive reimbursement from GSK for certain sales force costs it incurs in support of our commercial activities.

In the three months ended September 30, 2007, the Company received and recorded as research and development revenues from related party a \$1.0 million milestone payment from GSK related to GSK's initiation of a Phase I clinical trial of GSK-923295 in patients with solid tumors. No milestone payments were received from GSK in 2006. The Company also recorded as revenue, patent expense reimbursements from GSK of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, and \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. The Company recorded as revenue FTE reimbursements of zero and \$1.4 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. No FTE revenue was recorded in 2007. The Company recorded license revenue from GSK of zero and \$1.4 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. No license revenue from GSK has been recorded subsequent to June 30, 2006.

Amgen. On December 29, 2006, the Company entered into a collaboration and option agreement (the Amgen Agreement) with Amgen Inc. (Amgen) to discover, develop and commercialize novel small-molecule therapeutics that activate cardiac muscle contractility for potential applications in the treatment of heart failure. The Amgen Agreement provides Amgen with a non-exclusive license and access to certain technology, as well as an exclusive option to participate in future development and commercialization of CK-1827452 world-wide, excluding Japan. Under the terms of the Amgen Agreement, the Company received in January 2007, an upfront, non-refundable license and technology access fee of \$42.0 million from Amgen. The upfront fee was recorded as related party accounts receivable as of December 31, 2006. The upfront fee is being recognized as license revenue over the maximum term of the non-exclusive license, which is four years. Management determined that the obligations under the

non-exclusive license did not meet the requirement for separate units of accounting and therefore should be recognized as a single unit of accounting.

During the initial research term of the Amgen Agreement, the Company will perform research, at its expense, as well as conduct all development activities for CK-1827452, at its expense, in accordance with an agreed upon development plan. Amgen's option is exercisable during a defined period, the ending of which is dependent upon the satisfaction of certain conditions, primarily the delivery of Phase I and Phase IIa clinical trials data for CK-1827452 in accordance with an agreed plan sufficient to support its

Table of Contents

progression into Phase IIb clinical development. To exercise its option, Amgen is required to pay a non-refundable fee of \$50.0 million and thereafter would have an exclusive license. On exercise of the option, the Company is required to transfer all data and know-how necessary to enable Amgen to assume responsibility for development and commercialization of CK-1827452 and related compounds, which Amgen will perform at its sole expense. Development services, if any, performed by the Company after commencement of the exclusive license term will be reimbursed by Amgen. Under the terms of the Amgen Agreement, the Company may be eligible to receive pre-commercialization and commercialization milestone payments of up to \$600.0 million in the aggregate on CK-1827452 and other potential products arising from research under the collaboration as well as royalties that escalate based on increasing levels of the annual net sales of products commercialized under the agreement. The Amgen Agreement also provides for the Company to receive increased royalties by co-funding Phase III development costs of drug candidates under the collaboration. If the Company elects to co-fund such costs, it would be entitled to co-promote products in North America and participate in agreed commercial activities in institutional care settings, at Amgen's expense. If Amgen elects not to exercise its option on CK-1827452, the Company may then proceed to independently develop CK-1827452 and the collaboration would terminate.

In connection with entering into the Amgen Agreement, the Company also entered into a common stock purchase agreement (the "CSPA") with Amgen. Accordingly, on January 2, 2007, the Company issued 3,484,806 shares of its common stock to Amgen under the CSPA at a price of \$9.47 per share for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$33.0 million. The common stock was valued using the closing price of the Company's common stock on December 29, 2006, the last trading day of the Company's common stock prior to issuance. The difference between the price paid by Amgen of \$9.47 per share and the stock price of \$7.48 per share resulted in an aggregate stock purchase premium of \$6.9 million. This premium was recorded as deferred revenue and is being recognized as license revenue ratably over the maximum term of the non-exclusive license granted to Amgen under the collaboration and option agreement, which is four years. After deducting issuance costs, the Company received net proceeds of approximately \$32.9 million from the stock issuance.

In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Company recognized license revenue of \$3.1 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, under the Amgen Agreement and the CSPA. At September 30, 2007, deferred revenue related to the Amgen Agreement and the CSPA was \$39.7 million.

Other

Portola. Under the provisions of our amended collaboration and facilities agreement with Portola Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Portola"), the Company is obligated to reimburse Portola for certain equipment costs incurred by Portola in connection with research and related services that Portola provides to the Company. The Company began to incur these costs when the equipment became available for use in the second quarter of 2006. Our payments to Portola for such equipment costs, totaling \$285,000, are being made in eight quarterly installments that commenced in the first half of 2006 and will continue through the fourth quarter of 2007. Charles J. Homcy, M.D., the President and CEO of Portola, is a member of the Company's Board of Directors and a consultant to the Company.

In August 2006, the Company entered into an agreement with Portola whereby Portola sub-leased approximately 2,500 square feet of office space from the Company at a monthly rate of \$1.75 per square foot. The term of the agreement commenced on August 22, 2006 and continued until October 31, 2006, with the option to extend it on a month-to-month basis thereafter. Sublease income from this agreement offsets rent expense. In February 2007, Portola notified the Company of its termination of the sublease agreement effective April 30, 2007.

Related Party Notes Receivable. In May 2007, an employee loan totaling \$101,000 including principal and interest was repaid in full by an employee who left the Company. In May 2007, \$12,000 of principal and interest on a loan receivable from an officer of the Company was forgiven in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement. In June 2007, an employee loan was revised to extend the maturity date of the loan by one year to 2009; in July 2007, this employee paid \$35,000 of principal and interest.

Note 5. Equipment Financing Lines

In April 2006, the Company secured a second line of credit with GE Capital of up to \$4.6 million to finance certain equipment until December 31, 2006. In January 2007, GE Capital approved an extension to the funding period for the April 2006 line of credit to April 28, 2007. The line of credit was subject to the Master Security Agreement between

the Company and GE Capital, dated February 2001 and as amended on March 24, 2005 (the MSA). Under the terms of the equipment financing line, funds borrowed by the Company from GE Capital are collateralized by property and equipment of the Company purchased with such borrowed funds and other collateral as agreed to by the Company. During the first nine months of 2007, the Company borrowed \$1.7 million under the line at an interest rate of 7.24% to finance purchases of property and equipment. On April 28, 2007, the line expired as scheduled and no additional borrowings are available to the Company under it.

Table of Contents

In August 2007, the Company secured a new line of credit with GE Capital of up to \$3.0 million to finance certain equipment until September 30, 2008. The line of credit is subject to the MSA. As of September 30, 2007, the Company had not borrowed any funds under this line.

Note 6. Stockholders Equity*Common Stock*

In January 2007, the Company issued 3,484,806 shares of its common stock to Amgen. See Note 4 Related Party Agreements *Research and Development Arrangements Amgen*.

On May 1, 2007, 90,415 shares of common stock were issued pursuant to the ESPP at an average price of \$4.57 per share.

In October 2005, the Company entered into a committed equity financing facility, or the 2005 CEFF, with Kingsbridge Capital Ltd. (Kingsbridge), pursuant to which Kingsbridge committed to purchase, subject to certain conditions of the 2005 CEFF, up to \$75.0 million of the Company s newly-issued common stock during the next three years. Subject to certain conditions and limitations, from time to time under the 2005 CEFF, the Company may require Kingsbridge to purchase newly-issued shares of the Company s common stock at a price that is between 90% and 94% of the volume weighted average price on each trading day during an eight-day, forward-looking pricing period. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Company received gross proceeds of \$7.8 million from the drawdown of 1,697,792 shares of common stock pursuant to the 2005 CEFF.

Stock Option Plans

In January 2004, the Board of Directors adopted the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2004 Plan) which was approved by the stockholders in February 2004. The 2004 Plan provides for the granting of incentive stock options, nonstatutory stock options, restricted stock purchase rights and stock bonuses to employees, directors and consultants. Under the 2004 Plan, on an annual basis, the number of authorized shares automatically increases by a number of shares equal to the lesser of (i) 1,500,000 shares, (ii) 3.5% of the outstanding shares on such date, or (iii) an amount determined by the Board of Directors. Accordingly, on January 1, 2007, the number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the 2004 Plan was increased by 1,500,000 shares to a total of 2,783,876 shares.

Stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 under the 2004 Plan and the 1997 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan was as follows:

	Options Available for Grant	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share
Balance at December 31, 2006	1,283,876	4,032,700	\$ 5.31
Increase in authorized shares	1,500,000		
Options granted	(1,562,170)	1,562,170	\$ 6.73
Options exercised		(201,443)	\$ 2.02
Options cancelled	233,498	(233,430)	\$ 7.08
Balance at September 30, 2007	1,455,204	5,159,997	\$ 5.78

The weighted average fair value of options granted in the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$4.55 per share.

Note 7. Income Taxes

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainties in Income Taxes, an interpretation of SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes a comprehensive model for how companies should recognize, measure, present and disclose in their financial statements uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. Under FIN 48, tax positions must initially be recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not the position will be sustained

upon examination by the tax authorities. Such tax positions must initially and subsequently be measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the tax authority assuming full knowledge of the position and relevant facts. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006.

Table of Contents

FIN 48 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2007. The cumulative effect of adopting FIN 48 on January 1, 2007 has been recorded net in deferred tax assets, which resulted in no FIN 48 liability on the balance sheet. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of the date of adoption was \$3.1 million. The Company files U.S. Federal and California state tax returns. The Company is currently not subject to income tax examinations and, in general, all tax years remain open due to net operating losses. Interest and penalties are zero, and the Company's policy is to account for interest and penalties in tax expense on the income statement. Because the Company has provided a full valuation allowance on all of its deferred tax assets, the adoption of FIN 48 had no impact on the Company's effective tax rate. The gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2007 has not changed from the beginning of the year, and the Company does not expect any material changes in the next 12 months.

Note 8. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157). This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. This pronouncement applies under the other accounting standards that require or permit fair value measurements. Accordingly, this statement does not require any new fair value measurement. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the requirements of SFAS 157 and has not yet determined the impact, if any, on the financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (SFAS 159), which permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. SFAS 159 will be effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 159 on its financial position, cash flows and results of operations.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-3, Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities. EITF Issue No. 07-3 states that nonrefundable advance payments for future research and development activities should be deferred and recognized as an expense as the goods are delivered or the related services are performed. Entities should then continue to evaluate whether they expect the goods to be delivered or services to be rendered and, if an entity does not expect the goods to be delivered or services to be rendered, the capitalized advance payment should be charged to expense. EITF Issue No. 07-3 will be effective for the Company on January 1, 2008 and is to be applied prospectively for new contracts entered into on or after the effective date. The Company is currently evaluating the impact on its financial statements of adopting EITF Issue No. 07-3.

Note 9. Subsequent Event

In October 2007, the Company received gross proceeds of \$1.8 million from the drawdown of 377,385 shares of common stock pursuant to the 2005 CEFF. No further drawdowns are available to us under the 2005 CEFF.

In October 2007, the Company entered into a new committed equity financing facility, or the 2007 CEFF, with Kingsbridge, pursuant to which Kingsbridge committed to finance up to \$75.0 million of capital over a three-year period. Subject to certain conditions and limitations, from time to time under the 2007 CEFF, at our election, Kingsbridge is committed to purchase newly-issued shares of our common stock at a price between 90% and 94% of the volume weighted average price on each trading day during an eight day, forward-looking pricing period. The maximum number of shares we may issue in any pricing period is the lesser of 2.5% of our market capitalization immediately prior to the commencement of the pricing period or \$15.0 million. As part of the arrangement, the Company issued a warrant to Kingsbridge to purchase 230,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$7.99 per share, which represents a premium over the closing price of our common stock on the date we entered into the 2007 CEFF. This warrant is exercisable beginning six months after the date of grant and for a period of three years thereafter. Under the terms of the 2007 CEFF, the maximum number of shares we may sell is 9,779,411 (exclusive of the shares underlying the warrant) which, under the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC, is approximately the maximum number of shares we may sell to Kingsbridge without approval of our stockholders. This limitation may further limit the amount of proceeds we are able to obtain from the 2007 CEFF. We are not obligated to sell any of the

\$75.0 million of common stock available under the 2007 CEFF and there are no minimum commitments or minimum use penalties. The 2007 CEFF does not contain any restrictions on our operating activities, any automatic pricing resets or any minimum market volume restrictions. To date we have made no draw downs under the 2007 CEFF with Kingsbridge.

Table of Contents

In October 2007, \$42,000 of principal and interest on a loan receivable from an officer of the Company was forgiven in accordance with the term of the loan.

On November 1, 2007, 89,420 shares of common stock were issued pursuant to the ESPP at an average price of \$4.41 per share.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere in this report. Operating results are not necessarily indicative of results that may occur in future periods.

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based upon current expectations within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We intend that such statements be protected by the safe harbor created thereby. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and our actual results and the timing of events may differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Examples of such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about or relating to:

The initiation, progress, timing and scope of clinical trials and development for our drug candidates and potential drug candidates by ourselves, GlaxoSmithKline, or GSK, or the National Cancer Institute, or NCI, including the anticipated timing for initiation of clinical trials, anticipated dates of data becoming available or being announced from clinical trials and the anticipated initiation of additional sites and increased enrollment rates for clinical trials;

our and our partners' plans or ability for continued research and development of drug candidates, such as CK-1827452, ispinesib, SB-743921 and GSK-923295, including our intention to develop clinical development capabilities;

the potential benefits of our drug candidates and potential drug candidates;

the utility of the clinical trials programs for our drug candidates to inform future development efforts;

our plans or ability to commercialize drugs with or without a partner, including our intention to develop sales and marketing capabilities;

issuance of shares of our common stock under our 2007 committed equity financing facility, or the 2007 CEFF, with Kingsbridge Capital Limited, or Kingsbridge;

receipt of milestone payments, royalties and other funds from our partners under strategic alliances, such as with Amgen Inc., or Amgen, and GSK;

our expected roles in research, development or commercialization under our strategic alliances, such as with Amgen and GSK;

losses, costs, expenses and expenditures;

the sufficiency of existing resources to fund our operations for at least the next 12 months;

guidance concerning revenues, research and development expenses and general and administrative expenses for 2007;

the scope and size of research and development efforts and programs;

our ability to protect our intellectual property and avoid infringing the intellectual property rights of others;
potential competitors and competitive products;
capital requirements and our needs for additional financing;
future payments under lease obligations and equipment financing lines;

Table of Contents

expected future sources of revenue and capital;

our plans to obtain limited product liability insurance;

increasing the number of our employees and recruiting additional key personnel; and

expected future amortization of employee stock-based compensation.

Such forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those risks and uncertainties relating to:

difficulties or delays in development, testing, obtaining regulatory approval for, and undertaking production and marketing of our drug candidates, including decisions by the NCI to postpone or discontinue research or development efforts for ispinesib, or by GSK to postpone or discontinue research or development efforts relating to CENP-E or GSK-923295;

difficulties or delays in or slower than anticipated patient enrollment in our or our partners' clinical trials;

unexpected adverse side effects or inadequate therapeutic efficacy of our drug candidates that could slow or prevent product approval (including the risk that current and past results of clinical trials or preclinical studies are not indicative of future results of clinical trials);

the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, or foreign regulatory agencies may delay or limit our or our partners' ability to conduct clinical trials;

the receipt of funds by us under our strategic alliances, including those funds dependent upon Amgen's exercise of its option with respect to CK-1827452 and GSK's exercise of its option with respect to either or both of ispinesib and SB-743921;

activities and decisions of, and market conditions affecting, current and future strategic partners;

our ability to obtain additional financing if necessary;

our ability to maintain the effectiveness of current public information under our registration statement permitting resale of securities to be issued to Kingsbridge by us under, and in connection with, the 2007 CEFF;

changing standards of care and the introduction of products by competitors or alternative therapies for the treatment of indications we target;

the uncertainty of protection for our intellectual property, through patents, trade secrets or otherwise; and

potential infringement of the intellectual property rights or trade secrets of third parties.

In addition such statements are subject to the risks and uncertainties discussed in the "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in this document.

When used in this report, unless otherwise indicated, "Cytokinetics," "the Company," "we," "our" and "us" refers to Cytokinetics, Incorporated.

CYTOKINETICS and our logo used alone and with the mark CYTOKINETICS are registered service marks and trademarks of Cytokinetics. Other service marks, trademarks and trade names referred to in this report are the property of their respective owners.

Overview

Edgar Filing: CYTOKINETICS INC - Form 10-Q

We are a biopharmaceutical company, incorporated in Delaware in 1997, focused on developing small molecule therapeutics for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases and cancer. Our development efforts are directed to advancing multiple drug candidates through clinical trials to demonstrate proof-of-concept in humans in two significant markets: heart failure and cancer. Our drug development pipeline consists of a drug candidate, CK-1827452, for the treatment of heart failure, being developed in both an

Table of Contents

intravenous and oral formulation, and three drug candidates, ispinesib, SB-743921 and GSK-923295, for the treatment of cancer. Our drug candidates are all novel small molecules that arose from our research efforts and are directed toward the biology of the cytoskeleton. We believe our understanding of the cytoskeleton enables us to discover novel and potentially safer and more effective therapeutics.

Since our inception in August 1997, we have incurred significant net losses. As of September 30, 2007, we had an accumulated deficit of \$266.3 million. We expect to incur substantial and increasing losses for the next several years if and to the extent:

we advance CK-1827452 through clinical development for the treatment of heart failure and Amgen does not exercise its option to participate in later-stage development and commercialization;

we conduct Phase II and later-stage development and commercialization of ispinesib or SB-743921 under our strategic alliance with GSK;

we exercise our option to co-fund the development of GSK-923295 or any other drug candidate being developed by GSK under our strategic alliance;

we exercise our option to co-promote any of the products for which we have elected co-fund development under our strategic alliance with GSK;

we advance other compounds into development or other potential drug candidates into clinical trials;

we expand our research programs and further develop our proprietary drug discovery technologies; or

we elect to fund development or commercialization of any drug candidate.

We intend to pursue selective strategic alliances to enable us to maintain financial and operational flexibility.

Cardiovascular

We have focused our cardiovascular research and development activities on heart failure, a disease most often characterized by compromised contractile function of the heart that impacts its ability to effectively pump blood throughout the body. We have discovered and optimized small molecules that have the potential to improve cardiac contractility by specifically binding to and activating cardiac myosin, a cytoskeletal protein essential for cardiac muscle contraction. This work gave rise to our drug candidate CK-1827452, a novel small molecule cardiac myosin activator, which entered Phase II clinical trials for the treatment of heart failure in 2007. The CK-1827452 clinical trials program is planned to include Phase I and Phase II trials designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of both intravenous and oral CK-1827452 in a diversity of patients, including patients with stable heart failure, patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy, patients with impaired renal function, patients with acutely decompensated heart failure and patients with chronic heart failure at increased risk for death or hospital admission for heart failure. In December 2006, we entered into a collaboration and option agreement with Amgen to discover, develop and commercialize novel small-molecule therapeutics that activate cardiac muscle contractility for potential applications in the treatment of heart failure, including CK-1827452. The agreement provides Amgen with a non-exclusive license and access to certain technology, as well as an exclusive option to participate in future development and commercialization of CK-1827452 world-wide, excluding Japan.

CK-1827452 (intravenous)

In 2005, we initiated a first-in-humans Phase I clinical trial with CK-1827452. This clinical trial was designed as a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation trial to investigate the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of CK-1827452 administered intravenously to normal healthy volunteers. Clinical data from this trial were presented at the Heart Failure Society of America, or HFSA, meeting in September 2006. The maximum tolerated dose, or MTD, was 0.5 mg/kg/hr for this regimen. At this dose, the six-hour infusion of CK-1827452 produced statistically significant mean increases in left ventricular ejection fraction and fractional shortening of 6.8 and 9.2 absolute percentage points, respectively, as compared to placebo. These increases

in indices of left ventricular function were associated with a mean prolongation of systolic ejection time of 84 milliseconds, which was also statistically significant. These mean changes in ejection fraction, fractional shortening and ejection time were concentration-dependent and CK-1827452 exhibited generally linear, dose-proportional pharmacokinetics across the range of doses studied. At the MTD, CK-1827452 was well-tolerated when compared to placebo. The adverse effects at intolerable doses in humans

Table of Contents

appeared similar to the adverse findings observed in the preclinical safety studies which occurred at similar plasma concentrations. These effects are believed to be related to an excess of the intended pharmacologic effect, resulting in excessive prolongation of the systolic ejection time, and resolved promptly with discontinuation of the infusions of CK-1827452. The Phase I clinical trial activity of CK-1827452 is consistent with results from preclinical models that evaluated CK-1827452 in normal dogs; however, further clinical trials are necessary to determine whether similar results will also be seen in patients with heart failure.

At the 2007 HFSA meeting, additional data was presented from this Phase I clinical trial evaluating the plasma concentration response relationship of CK-1827452 on left ventricular function in healthy volunteers. The authors concluded that CK-1827452 increased left ventricular ejection fraction and left ventricular fractional shortening over a range of well-tolerated plasma concentrations. Both left ventricular ejection fraction and left ventricular fractional shortening are measures of how effectively the heart is pumping blood. In addition, it was determined that systolic ejection time was the most sensitive marker of drug effect and that increases in left ventricular ejection fraction and left ventricular fractional shortening were well-correlated with increases in systolic ejection time. Systolic ejection time is easily measured and may serve as a useful indicator of drug effect in patients with heart failure.

In April 2007, we initiated a Phase II clinical trials program for CK-1827452. The first clinical trial initiated under this program was a Phase IIa double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation trial designed to investigate the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamic profile of CK-1827452 administered intravenously to stable heart failure patients. In addition to the trial's primary objective of evaluating the safety and tolerability of CK-1827452, its secondary objectives are to establish a relationship between the plasma concentration and the pharmacodynamic effects of CK-1827452 and to determine its pharmacokinetics in stable heart failure patients. In addition to routine assessments of vital signs, blood samples and ECG monitoring, echocardiograms will be performed to evaluate cardiac function at various pre-defined time points. The clinical trial will consist of at least five cohorts of eight patients with stable heart failure. The first three of these cohorts will each undergo four treatment periods; patients will receive three escalating doses of CK-1827452 administered intravenously and one placebo treatment which will be randomized into the dose escalation sequence. Patients in the fourth and fifth cohorts are planned to receive only a single dose level of CK-1827452. In each cohort, patients will receive a one-hour loading infusion to rapidly achieve a target plasma concentration of CK-1827452, followed by a slower infusion intended to maintain that plasma concentration. These maintenance infusions are planned to be one hour in duration in the first two cohorts, and 23 hours in duration in the last three cohorts. In October 2007, we completed a protocol-specified review of safety data from the first cohort of this trial, which permitted the initiation of dosing of the second cohort. No formal efficacy analysis has been performed to date. Although enrollment in this trial was slow during the summer months, enrollment has improved at these sites in recent months. In addition, we have initiated and are continuing to initiate additional clinical trial sites, which we believe should favorably impact enrollment rates for the second and subsequent cohorts.

CK-1827452 (oral)

At the 2007 HFSA meeting, we presented results from a Phase I oral bioavailability study of CK-1827452 in healthy volunteers. We believe that these data support our current efforts to develop a modified release oral formulation of CK-1827452 to enable late-stage clinical development of a dosing schedule that may be suitable for the treatment of patients with chronic heart failure. This study was designed as an open-label, four-way crossover study in ten healthy volunteers designed to investigate the absolute bioavailability of two oral formulations (liquid and immediate-release solid formulations) of CK-1827452 versus an intravenous dose. In addition, the effect of taking the immediate-release solid formulation in a fed versus fasted state on CK-1827452's relative bioavailability was also assessed. Volunteers were administered CK-1827452 at 0.125mg/kg under each of the following four different conditions in random order:

a reference intravenous infusion at a constant rate over one hour;

a liquid solution taken orally in a fasted state;

an immediate-release solid formulation taken orally in a fasted state; and

an immediate-release solid formulation taken orally following consumption of a standard, high-fat breakfast.

Pharmacokinetic data from this study demonstrated oral bioavailability of approximately 100% for each of the three conditions of oral administration. The median time to maximum plasma concentrations after dosing was 0.5 hours for the liquid solution taken orally, 1 hour for the immediate-release solid formulation taken in a fasted state, and 3 hours for the immediate-release solid formulation taken after eating. Food did not have a substantial effect on bioavailability but appeared to delay drug absorption in some subjects. CK-1827452, in both the oral and intravenous formulations, was well-tolerated in this trial with no significant safety issues

Table of Contents

observed. The near complete absolute bioavailability suggested that there is little or no first-pass metabolism of this drug candidate. We believe that the rapid and essentially complete oral absorption observed between subjects suggests that predictable plasma levels may be achieved with chronic oral dosing in patients with heart failure.

During the quarter, we continued to enroll subjects in two Phase I clinical trials for CK-1827452. The first clinical trial is a Phase I single-center, open-label, sequential, parallel group clinical trial designed to evaluate the potential for certain drug-drug interactions with CK-1827452. The trial is designed to evaluate the effects of oral ketoconazole, a strong metabolic inhibitor of CYP3A4, on the pharmacokinetics of CK-1827452 given orally to 16 healthy male volunteers, 8 of whom have a normal genotype for the CYP enzyme 2D6, and 8 of whom have reduced 2D6 activity. In addition, the effects of diltiazem, a moderate CYP3A4 inhibitor, on the pharmacokinetics of CK-1827452 will be assessed in 8 additional volunteers with normal CYP2D6 activity. The second Phase I clinical trial is a single-center study which is planned to progress from a single-blind, single-dose phase to a randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled, multi-dose phase to evaluate the pharmacokinetics of an oral formulation of CK-1827452 in healthy volunteers.

CK-1827452 is at too early a stage of development for us to predict if or when we will be in a position to generate any revenues or material net cash flows from its commercialization. We currently fund all research and development costs associated with this program. We recorded research and development expenses for activities relating to our cardiovascular program of approximately \$17.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, and \$13.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. We anticipate that our expenditures relating to the research and development of compounds in our cardiovascular program will increase significantly as we advance CK-1827452 through clinical development. Our expenditures will also increase if Amgen does not exercise its option and we elect to develop CK-1827452 or related compounds independently, or if we elect to co-fund later-stage development of CK-1827452 or other compounds in our cardiovascular program under our collaboration and option agreement with Amgen following Amgen's exercise of its option. If Amgen elects to exercise its option, they would be responsible for development and commercialization of CK-1827452 and related compounds, subject to our development and commercial participation rights. In addition, we may be eligible to receive pre-commercialization and commercialization milestone payments of up to \$600 million on CK-1827452 and other products arising from the research under the collaboration as well as escalating royalties based on increasing levels of annual net sales of products commercialized under the agreement. The agreement also provides for us to receive increased royalties by co-funding Phase III development costs of drug candidates under the collaboration. If we elect to co-fund such costs, we would be entitled to co-promote products in North America and participate in agreed commercial activities in institutional care settings, at Amgen's expense. If Amgen elects not to exercise its option on CK-1827452, we may then independently proceed to develop CK-1827452 and the research collaboration would terminate.

Oncology

In the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we continued to advance our oncology development programs as both ispinesib and SB-743921 progressed in Phase II and Phase I clinical trials, respectively. In 2006, we entered into two amendments to our collaboration and license agreement with GSK, or the GSK Agreement, regarding the future research, development and commercialization of ispinesib, SB-743921 and CENP-E. In June 2006, we amended the agreement to extend the initial five-year research term of this strategic alliance for an additional year to continue activities focused towards translational research directed to CENP-E. In November 2006, we further amended the agreement and assumed, at our expense, responsibility for the continued research, development and commercialization of inhibitors of kinesin spindle protein, or KSP, including ispinesib and SB-743921, and other mitotic kinesins, other than CENP-E. In June 2007, the agreement was further amended to extend the research term for an additional year, through June 19, 2008, to facilitate continued research activities under the updated research plan focused towards CENP-E.

Ispinesib

The oncology clinical trials program for ispinesib has consisted to date of nine Phase II clinical trials and eight Phase I or Ib clinical trials evaluating the use of this drug candidate in a variety of both solid and hematologic cancers. We believe that the breadth of this clinical trials program has taken into consideration the potential for and the complexity of developing a drug candidate such as ispinesib. We have reported Phase II clinical trial data for ispinesib

in metastatic breast, non-small cell lung, ovarian, colorectal, head and neck, hepatocellular, renal and prostate cancers and melanoma. To date, we believe clinical activity for ispinesib has been observed in non-small cell lung cancer, ovarian and breast cancer, with the most robust clinical activity observed in a Phase II clinical trial evaluating ispinesib in the treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer that had failed treatment with taxanes and anthracyclines. Under the amended GSK Agreement, we intend to conduct a focused development program for ispinesib in the treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. This program is intended to build upon the previous data from the clinical trials conducted by GSK and the NCI, and would be designed to further define the clinical activity profile of

Table of Contents

ispinesib in advanced breast cancer patients in preparation for potentially initiating a Phase III clinical trial of ispinesib for the second-line treatment of advanced breast cancer.

The Phase II clinical trials of ispinesib that are still ongoing, recently reported or awaiting data, and that are sponsored by GSK or by the NCI, are as follows:

Breast Cancer: In June 2007, we announced the final results from a multicenter Phase II clinical trial sponsored by GSK, which evaluated the safety and efficacy of ispinesib in the second- or third-line treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer whose disease had recurred or progressed despite treatment with anthracyclines and taxanes. In this trial, patients received ispinesib monotherapy at 18 mg/m² as a 1-hour intravenous infusion every 21 days. The primary endpoint of the clinical trial was objective response as determined using the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors, or RECIST. The best overall responses observed with ispinesib were partial responses in 4 of 45 evaluable patients as measured by RECIST and the duration of response ranged from 7.1 weeks to 30.0 weeks. The most common adverse event was Grade 4 neutropenia. Based on these data, and consistent with our focused approach to the further development of ispinesib, Cytokinetics anticipates initiating a Phase I/II clinical trial in the first-line treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer during the fourth quarter of 2007. The results from this trial are expected to provide data that may inform a decision on further development of ispinesib in advanced breast cancer.

Ovarian Cancer: In June at the 2007 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, or ASCO, GSK presented data from Stage 1 of a two-stage Phase II trial of ispinesib (SB-715992) monotherapy in patients with platinum/taxane refractory or resistant relapsed ovarian cancer. The primary objective of this clinical trial was to evaluate the overall response rate with secondary objectives measuring the median time to radiographic response, median time to CA-125 response, median duration of radiographic response and progression-free survival. The best radiographic response was 1 partial response with a duration of 42 weeks and 5 patients with stable disease. Although a radiographic response was observed, none of the 22 evaluable patients had a CA-125 response and the median time to CA-125 progression was 5.3 weeks. In this clinical trial, the protocol-specific criteria to proceed to Stage 2 were not met. The toxicity profile observed in this clinical trial was consistent with other previously reported Phase II clinical trials of ispinesib.

Renal Cell Cancer: Included in the June 2007 ASCO proceedings was an abstract which presented interim data from a two-stage Phase II clinical trial of ispinesib in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma sponsored by the NCI. The primary objective of this clinical trial was to assess overall response rate using RECIST. Secondary objectives included evaluating toxicities, time to progression and overall survival. In this clinical trial, 19 patients were enrolled and received ispinesib monotherapy at 7 mg/m² as a one-hour infusion on days 1, 8 and 15 every 28 days with radiologic disease re-evaluation every 8 weeks. Of the 15 evaluable patients included in the interim analysis, the best response observed was stable disease in 7 patients after 8 weeks. One patient experienced Grade 3 neutropenia but no other Grade 3 or 4 toxicities were deemed to be attributable to the study drug. The authors concluded that treatment with ispinesib as a monotherapy at this dose and schedule in this patient population does not appear to lead to objective responses but appears to be well-tolerated.

Prostate Cancer: In June 2007, we announced results from Stage 1 of the NCI's two-stage, Phase II clinical trial for the treatment of patients with hormone refractory prostate cancer who had failed taxane-based chemotherapy, in which 21 patients received ispinesib monotherapy at 18 mg/m² as a 1-hour intravenous infusion every 21 days. No patients met the primary endpoint of objective response as determined by blood levels of the tumor mass marker Prostate Specific Antigen or PSA and the median time to PSA or clinical progression was 9 weeks. Ispinesib did not satisfy the criteria for advancement to the second stage and therefore recruitment to Stage 2 was not opened. The toxicity profile observed in this clinical trial was consistent with other previously reported Phase II clinical trials of ispinesib.

Hepatocellular Cancer: In June 2007, we announced the results from Stage 1 of the NCI's two-stage, Phase II clinical trial for the treatment of hepatocellular cancer, in which 15 patients received ispinesib monotherapy at 18 mg/m² as a 1-hour intravenous infusion every 21 days. The best overall response was stable disease seen in 7 of the 15 patients. Ispinesib did not satisfy the criteria for advancement to the second stage and therefore recruitment to Stage 2 was not opened. The toxicity profile observed in this clinical trial was consistent with other previously reported Phase II clinical trials of ispinesib.

Melanoma: In June 2007, we announced the results from Stage 1 of the NCI's two-stage, Phase II clinical trial for the treatment of patients with chemotherapy-naïve recurrent or metastatic malignant melanoma, in which 17 patients received ispinesib monotherapy at 18 mg/m² as a 1-hour intravenous infusion every 21 days. The best overall response was stable disease seen in 6 of 17 patients treated. Ispinesib did not satisfy the criteria for advancement to the second stage and therefore recruitment to Stage 2 was not opened. The toxicity profile observed in this clinical trial was consistent with other previously reported Phase II clinical trials of ispinesib.

Table of Contents

In addition to the Phase II clinical trials, the Phase I and Ib clinical trials of ispinesib sponsored by GSK through our strategic alliance or by the NCI that are still on-going or awaiting data are as follows:

Ispinesib with capecitabine: In the second quarter of 2007, GSK concluded patient treatment in a dose-escalating, Phase Ib clinical trial evaluating the safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of ispinesib in combination with capecitabine. In 2006, clinical data were presented demonstrating that the combination of ispinesib and capecitabine may have an acceptable tolerability profile. The optimally tolerated regimen in this clinical trial was not defined; however, the MTD of ispinesib monotherapy (18 mg/m², administered as an intravenous infusion every 21 days) was tolerated with therapeutic doses of capecitabine, specifically daily oral doses of 2000 mg/m² and 2500 mg/m² for 14 days. Plasma concentrations of ispinesib did not appear to be affected by the presence of capecitabine. Dose-limiting toxicities consisted of Grade 2 rash that did not allow 75% of the capecitabine doses to be delivered and prolonged Grade 4 neutropenia. In this clinical trial, a total of 12 out of 24 patients had a best response of stable disease as determined by RECIST. We anticipate final data from this clinical trial to be available in the first half of 2008. The anticipated dates of the availability of data from this clinical trial is based on information provided by GSK. The occurrence of this event is outside of our control.

Pediatric Solid Tumors: In the third quarter of 2007, the NCI continued a dose-finding Phase I clinical trial designed to enroll approximately 30 patients to evaluate ispinesib as monotherapy in pediatric patients with relapsed or refractory solid tumors. This clinical trial is designed to investigate the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile of ispinesib administered on days 1, 2 and 3 of a 21-day cycle in this patient population.

Acute Leukemias, Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia, or Advanced Myelodysplastic Syndromes: The NCI also continues to treat patients in a Phase I clinical trial designed to evaluate the safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of ispinesib administered on days 1, 2 and 3 of a 21-day cycle in adult patients with relapsed or refractory acute leukemias, chronic myelogenous leukemia in blast crisis or advanced myelodysplastic syndromes.

We expect that it will take several years before we can commercialize ispinesib, if at all. Ispinesib is at too early a stage of development for us to predict if and when we will be in a position to generate any revenues or material net cash flows from any resulting drugs. Accordingly, we cannot reasonably estimate when and to what extent ispinesib will generate revenues or material net cash flows, which may vary widely depending on numerous factors, including, but not limited to, the safety and efficacy profile of the drug, receipt of regulatory approvals, market acceptance, then-prevailing reimbursement policies, competition and other market conditions. We have assumed responsibility for funding the development costs associated with ispinesib pursuant to the November 2006 amendment to the GSK Agreement. We intend to conduct a focused development program for ispinesib in the treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer designed to further define the clinical activity profile of ispinesib in advanced breast cancer patients, and in preparation for potentially initiating a Phase III clinical trial of ispinesib for the second-line treatment of advanced breast cancer. As a result of this planned development activity, or if GSK does not exercise its option to resume responsibility for some or all of the development and commercialization activities associated with this drug candidate, our expenditures relating to research and development of this drug candidate will increase significantly.

SB-743921

In the third quarter, we continued to enroll patients in a Phase I/II clinical trial of SB-743921 in patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, or NHL, or Hodgkin's Disease. This Phase I/II clinical trial is an open-label, non-randomized clinical trial designed to investigate the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamic profile of SB-743921 administered as a one-hour infusion on days 1 and 15 of a 28-day schedule, first without and then potentially with the administration of granulocyte colony stimulating factor, or GCSF, and then to assess the potential efficacy of the MTD. Included in the June 2007 ASCO proceedings was an abstract which presented interim data from this Phase I/II clinical trial. The abstract reported that SB-743921 was given to dose-escalating cohorts of 3 patients as a 1-hour infusion administered on days 1 and 15 of a 28-day schedule. At the time of the analysis, six patients were enrolled and 5 were evaluable. Grade 3 toxicities observed were hemolytic anemia (n=1), leucopenia (n=1), thrombocytopenia (n=1) and dyspnea (n=1). The authors concluded that SB-743921 was well-tolerated without prophylactic GCSF in cohort 1 of the Phase I portion of this clinical trial. Additional Phase I data from this clinical trial have been accepted for presentation at the annual meeting of the American Society of Hematologists in

December 2007.

The clinical trials program for SB-743921 may proceed for several years, and we will not be in a position to generate any revenues or material net cash flows from this drug candidate until the program is successfully completed, regulatory approval is achieved, and a drug is commercialized. SB-743921 is at too early a stage of development for us to predict when or if this may occur. The November 2006 amendment to the GSK Agreement provides for us to fund the future development of SB-743921 in all cancer indications subject

Table of Contents

to GSK's option to resume responsibility for some or all development and commercialization activities. As a result of this amendment, our expenditures relating to research and development of this drug candidate will increase significantly.

If GSK exercises its option for either or both of ispinesib and SB-743921, it will pay us an option fee equal to the costs we independently incurred for the development of that drug candidate, plus a premium intended to compensate us for the cost of capital associated with such costs, subject to an agreed limit for such costs and premium. Upon GSK exercising its option for a drug candidate, we may receive additional pre-commercialization milestone payments with respect to such drug candidate and increased royalties on net sales of any resulting product, in each case, beyond those contemplated under the original agreement.

GSK-923295

In June 2007, we further amended the GSK Agreement to extend the research term for an additional year through June 19, 2008 to facilitate continued research activities under an updated research plan focused on the mitotic kinesin and novel cancer target, centromere-associated protein E, or CENP-E. Under the June 2007 amendment, GSK will have no obligation to reimburse us for full-time employee equivalents (FTEs), during the extension of the research term. GSK continues to develop GSK-923295 under the agreement.

In August 2007, Cytokinetics announced that GSK initiated a first-time-in-humans Phase I clinical trial of GSK-923295. This is an open-label, non-randomized, dose-finding trial designed to investigate the safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetic profile of GSK-923295 in patients with solid tumors. GSK-923295 is a small-molecule inhibitor of CENP-E. The initiation of this clinical trial triggered a milestone payment of \$1.0 million from GSK to Cytokinetics under the terms of the GSK Agreement. As reported at the 2007 annual meeting of the American Association for Cancer Research, GSK-923295 demonstrated a broad spectrum of activity against a range of human tumor xenografts grown in nude mice, including models of colon, breast, ovarian, lung and other tumors.

In October, two posters related to GSK-923295 were presented at the AACR-NCI-EORTC International Conference on Molecular Targets and Cancer Therapeutics in San Francisco. The first poster, entitled, "Differential Response of Tumor Cell Lines to Inhibition of the Mitotic Checkpoint Regulator and Mitotic Kinesin, CENP-E" identified the molecular determinants of sensitivity to GSK-923295, a novel and selective inhibitor of CENP-E. The authors concluded that certain cell lines are sensitive to apoptosis following mitotic arrest with the inhibitor of CENP-E and that heterogeneity of response was observed. The second poster, entitled, "A Potent and Selective Inhibitor of the Mitotic Kinesin CENP-E (GSK923295A), Demonstrates a Novel Mechanism of Inhibiting Tumor Cell Proliferation and Shows Activity Against a Broad Panel of Human Tumor Cell Lines *in vitro*," concluded that GSK-923295 elicits a dose-dependent metaphase arrest in replicating tumor cells followed by an associated increase in apoptosis and is active against a broad panel of both solid and hematological tumor lines in cell culture.

The development program for GSK-923295 may proceed for several years, and we will not be in a position to generate any revenues or material net cash flows from this potential drug candidate unless the program is successfully completed, regulatory approval is achieved, and a drug is commercialized. GSK-923295 is at too early a stage of development for us to predict when or if this may occur. Under the GSK Agreement, we may elect to co-fund certain later-stage development activities which would increase its potential royalty rates on sales of GSK-923295. If GSK abandons development of GSK-923295 prior to regulatory approval, we may undertake and fund the clinical development of this potential drug candidate, or its commercialization, or we may seek a new partner for such clinical development or commercialization, or curtail or abandon such clinical development.

We recorded research and development expenses for activities relating to our mitotic kinesin programs of approximately \$4.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, and \$4.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. We anticipate that our expenditures relating to the development of ispinesib and SB-743921 will increase significantly as we advance through clinical development. Our expenditures will also increase if GSK does not exercise its option to resume responsibility for some or all of the development and commercialization activities associated with ispinesib and SB-743921, or if we elect to co-fund later-stage development for one or more of ispinesib, SB-743921, and GSK-923295. For those drug candidates and potential drug candidates that GSK develops under the strategic alliance, which currently includes GSK-923295 and which may include either or both of ispinesib and SB-743921 if so elected by GSK pursuant to its option, we may elect to co-fund

certain later-stage development activities which would increase our potential royalty rates on sales of resulting drugs and provide us with the option to secure co-promotion rights in North America. We expect that the royalties to be paid on potential future sales, if any, by GSK of each of ispinesib, SB-743921 and GSK-923295 will be based on increasing product sales and our anticipated level of co-funding, if any. If we exercise our co-promotion option, then we will receive reimbursement from GSK for certain sales force costs we incur in support of our commercial activities.

Table of Contents

Development Risks

The successful development of all of our drug candidates is highly uncertain. We cannot estimate with certainty or know the exact nature, timing and estimated costs of the efforts necessary to complete the development of any of our drug candidates or the date of completion of these development efforts. We cannot estimate with certainty any of the foregoing due to the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with developing our drug candidates, including, but not limited to:

the uncertainty of the timing of the initiation and completion of patient enrollment in our clinical trials;

the possibility of delays in the collection of clinical trial data and the uncertainty of the timing of the analyses of our clinical trial data after such trials have been initiated and completed;

the possibility of delays in characterization, synthesis or optimization of potential drug candidates in our cardiovascular program;

delays or additional costs in developing appropriate formulations of our drug candidates for clinical trial use;

the uncertainty of clinical trial results;

the uncertainty of obtaining FDA or other foreign regulatory agency approval required for the clinical investigation of new therapies; and

the uncertainty related to the development of commercial scale manufacturing processes and qualification of a commercial scale manufacturing facility.

If we fail to complete the development of any of our drug candidates in a timely manner, it could have a material adverse effect on our operations, financial position and liquidity. In addition, any failure by us or our partners to obtain, or any delay in obtaining, regulatory approvals for our drug candidates could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. A further discussion of the risks and uncertainties associated with completing our programs on schedule, or at all, and certain consequences of failing to do so are discussed further in the risk factors entitled "We have never generated, and may never generate, revenues from commercial sales of our drugs and we may not have drugs to market for at least several years, if ever," "Clinical trials may fail to demonstrate the desired safety and efficacy of our drug candidates, which could prevent or significantly delay completion of clinical development and regulatory approval" and "Clinical trials are expensive, time consuming and subject to delay," as well as other risk factors.

Revenues

Our current revenue sources are limited, and we do not expect to generate any direct revenue from product sales for several years. We have recognized revenues from our strategic alliances with Amgen, GSK and AstraZeneca for license fees and contract research activities.

Charges to GSK in 2006 were based on negotiated rates intended to approximate the costs for our FTEs performing research under the strategic alliance and our out-of-pocket expenses, which we recorded as the related expenses were incurred. GSK paid us an upfront licensing fee, which we recognized ratably over the strategic alliance's initial five-year research term, which ended in June 2006. We may receive additional payments from GSK upon achieving certain precommercialization milestones. Milestone payments are non-refundable and are recognized as revenue when earned, as evidenced by achievement of the specified milestones and the absence of ongoing performance obligations. We record amounts received in advance of performance as deferred revenue. The revenues recognized to date are not refundable, even if the relevant research effort is not successful.

Under the terms of our collaboration and option agreement with Amgen, or the Amgen Agreement, we received an upfront, non-refundable license and technology access fee of \$42.0 million. In connection with entering into the collaboration and option agreement, the Company also entered into a common stock purchase agreement, or the CSPA, with Amgen. In January 2007, we issued 3,484,806 shares of Company common stock to Amgen for net proceeds of \$32.9 million, of which the \$6.9 million purchase premium was recorded as deferred revenue. We are

amortizing the upfront fee and stock premium to license revenue ratably over the maximum term of the non-exclusive license, which is four years. We may receive additional payments from Amgen upon achieving certain precommercialization and commercialization milestones. Milestone payments are non-refundable and are recognized as revenue when earned, as evidenced by achievement of the specified milestones and the absence of ongoing performance obligations.

Table of Contents

We may also be eligible to receive reimbursement for contract development activities subsequent to Amgen's option exercise, which we will record as revenue if and when the related expenses are incurred. We record amounts received in advance of performance as deferred revenue.

Charges to AstraZeneca were based on negotiated rates intended to approximate the costs for our FTEs performing research under the strategic alliance. The revenues recognized since inception to date are not refundable. The research term of our collaboration and license agreement with AstraZeneca expired in December 2005, and we formally terminated that agreement in August 2006.

Because a substantial portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future will depend on achieving development and other precommercialization milestones under our strategic alliances with GSK and Amgen, our results of operations may vary substantially from year to year.

We expect that our future revenues will most likely be derived from royalties on sales from drugs licensed to GSK or Amgen under our strategic alliances and from those licensed to future partners, as well as from direct sales of our drugs. If Amgen exercises its option, we will retain a product-by-product option to co-fund certain later-stage development activities under our strategic alliance with Amgen, thereby potentially increasing our royalties and affording us co-promotion rights in North America. For those products being developed by GSK under our strategic alliance, we also retain a product-by-product option to co-fund certain later-stage development activities, thereby potentially increasing our royalties and affording us co-promotion rights in North America. If we exercise our co-promotion rights under either strategic alliance, we are entitled to receive reimbursement for certain sales force costs we incur in support of our commercial activities.

Research and Development

We incur research and development expenses associated with both partnered and unpartnered research activities, as well as the development and expansion of our drug discovery technologies. Research and development expenses related to our strategic alliance with GSK consisted primarily of costs related to research and screening, lead optimization and other activities relating to the identification of compounds for development as mitotic kinesin inhibitors for the treatment of cancer. Prior to June 2006, certain of these costs were reimbursed by GSK on an FTE basis. From 2001 through November 2006, GSK funded the majority of the costs related to the clinical development of ispinesib and SB-743921. Under our November 2006 amendment to the GSK Agreement, we assumed responsibility for the continued research, development and commercialization of inhibitors of KSP, including ispinesib and SB-743921, and other mitotic kinesins, at our sole expense subject to GSK's option to resume responsibility for the development and commercialization of either or both of ispinesib and SB-743921 during a defined period. We also have the option to co-fund certain later-stage development activities for GSK-923295. This commitment and the potential exercise of our co-funding option will result in a significant increase in research and development expenses. We expect to incur research and development expenses in the continued conduct of preclinical studies and clinical trials for CK-1827452 and other of our cardiac myosin activator compounds for the treatment of heart failure and in connection with our early research programs in other diseases, as well as the continued refinement and application of our existing and future proprietary drug discovery technologies. Research and development expenses related to any development and commercialization activities we elect to fund would consist primarily of employee compensation, supplies and materials, costs for consultants and contract research, facilities costs and depreciation of equipment. From our inception through September 30, 2007, we incurred costs of approximately \$58.8 million for research and development activities relating to the discovery of mitotic kinesin inhibitors, \$98.7 million for our cardiac contractility program, \$48.7 million for our proprietary technologies and \$63.3 million for all other programs.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of compensation for employees in executive and administrative functions, including, but not limited to, finance, human resources, legal, business and commercial development and strategic planning. Other significant costs include facilities costs and professional fees for accounting and legal services, including legal services associated with obtaining and maintaining patents. We anticipate continued increases in general and administrative expenses associated with operating as a publicly traded company.

Stock Compensation

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation related to employee stock options and employee stock purchases for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, which was allocated as follows (in thousands):

21

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September	September	September	September
	30,	30,	30,	30,
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Research and development	\$ 887	\$ 703	\$ 2,215	\$ 1,937
General and administrative	638	508	1,947	1,629
Stock-based compensation included in operating expenses	\$ 1,525	\$ 1,211	\$ 4,162	\$ 3,566

As of September 30, 2007, there was \$11.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock-based compensation arrangements granted under the Company's stock option plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.7 years. In addition, we continue to amortize deferred stock-based compensation recorded prior to adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, or SFAS 123R, for stock options granted prior to the initial public offering. At September 30, 2007, the balance of deferred stock based compensation was \$476,000, which we expect to be amortized in future periods as follows, assuming no cancellations of the related stock options: \$144,000 in the fourth quarter of 2007 and \$332,000 in 2008.

Interest and Other Income and Expense

Interest and other income and expense consist primarily of interest income and interest expense. Interest income is primarily generated from our cash, cash equivalents and investments. Interest expense generally relates to the borrowings under our equipment financing lines.

Results of Operations**Revenues**

We recorded total revenues of \$4.1 million and \$10.5 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, respectively, compared with total revenues of \$0.1 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, in the comparable periods of 2006.

Research and development revenues from related party refer to revenues from our partner, GSK, which is also a stockholder of the Company. Research and development revenues from GSK in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 were \$1.1 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. Research and development revenues from GSK in the third quarter of 2007 consisted of a milestone payment of \$1.0 million for the GSK's initiation of a Phase I clinical trial of GSK-923295 in patients with solid tumors, and patent expense reimbursements of \$0.1 million. Research and development revenues from GSK in the first nine months of 2007 consisted of the \$1.0 milestone payment and \$0.3 million of patent expense reimbursements. GSK research and development revenues in the third quarter of 2006 consisted of \$0.1 million of patent expense reimbursements, and in the first nine months of 2006 consisted of \$1.4 million of FTE reimbursements and \$0.2 million of patent expense reimbursements.

License revenues from related parties represent license revenue from our strategic alliances with Amgen and GSK. License revenues for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 were \$3.1 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, and represented recognition of the upfront license fee and the premium paid on the common stock purchase by Amgen. As of September 30, 2007, the remaining balance of deferred revenue relating to the upfront license fee and stock purchase premium paid by Amgen was \$39.7 million. We are amortizing the Amgen deferred revenue on a straight-line basis over the maximum term of the non-exclusive license granted to Amgen under the collaboration and option agreement, which is four years. License revenue from GSK was zero for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, and was zero and \$1.4 million for the third quarter and first nine months of 2006, respectively. The license revenue from GSK was amortized on a straight-line basis over the initial five-year research term, which ended on June 30, 2006.

We anticipate total revenues for the year ending December 31, 2007 to be in the range of \$11.0 million to \$13.0 million, which reflects license revenue and other collaboration revenue.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses were \$13.2 million and \$39.4 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, respectively, up from \$12.5 million and \$36.2 million in the comparable periods of 2006. The increase in the third quarter of 2007 compared to the third quarter of 2006 was primarily due to an increase of \$0.3 million for clinical and preclinical outsourcing costs as we advanced our drug candidates for the treatment of cardiovascular disease and cancer through clinical trials, as well as an increase of \$0.4 million for compensation and benefit related costs. For the first nine months of 2007 compared to the same period of 2006, the

Table of Contents

increase in research and development expenses was primarily due to an increase of \$1.7 million for clinical and preclinical outsourcing costs, as well as increases of \$0.9 million for compensation and benefit related costs and \$0.3 million for facilities expenses.

From a program perspective, the increase in spending in the third quarter of 2007 compared to the third quarter of 2006 was due to increases of \$1.6 million related to the advancement of our cardiac contractility program, and \$0.2 million for our early research programs, partially offset by a decrease in spending for mitotic kinesin inhibitors of \$0.3 million and for proprietary technologies of \$0.8 million. For the first nine months of 2007, over the same period of 2006, the increase in research and development spending was due to increases of \$3.4 million for our cardiac contractility program and \$1.6 million for our early research programs, partially offset by decreases in spending for mitotic kinesin inhibitors of \$0.6 million and for our proprietary technologies of \$1.2 million.

Research and development expenses incurred related to the following programs (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2007	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2007	September 30, 2006
Mitotic kinesin inhibitors	\$ 1.4	\$ 1.7	\$ 4.3	\$ 4.9
Cardiac contractility	5.6	4.0	17.2	13.8
Proprietary technologies	0.7	1.5	2.7	3.9
All other research programs	5.5	5.3	15.2	13.6
Total research and development expenses	\$ 13.2	\$ 12.5	\$ 39.4	\$ 36.2

Clinical timelines, likelihood of success and total completion costs vary significantly for each drug candidate and are difficult to estimate. We anticipate that we will make determinations as to which early research programs to pursue and how much funding to direct to each program on an ongoing basis in response to the scientific and clinical success of each drug candidate. The lengthy process of seeking regulatory approvals and subsequent compliance with applicable regulations requires the expenditure of substantial resources. Any failure by us to obtain and maintain, or any delay in obtaining, regulatory approvals could cause our research and development expenditures to increase and, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We expect research and development expenditures to continue to increase in the fourth quarter of 2007. We expect to advance research and development of our drug candidate CK-1827452 for the treatment of heart failure. Additionally, we intend to initiate a Phase I/II clinical trial for ispinesib in the fourth quarter of 2007 for the first-line treatment of locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. We also intend to continue our Phase I/II clinical trial for SB-743921 for NHL. We anticipate research and development expenses to be in the range of \$55.0 million to \$60.0 million for the year ending December 31, 2007.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses were \$4.1 million and \$12.6 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, respectively, compared with \$3.6 million and \$11.1 million for the same periods of 2006. The increase in the third quarter of 2007 over the third quarter of 2006 was primarily due to increased personnel expenses of \$0.4 million and medical education expenses of \$0.2 million, offset in part by lower patent and legal fees of \$0.2 million. For the first nine months of 2007, the increase in general and administrative expenses compared to 2006 was primarily due to increased personnel expenses of \$0.9 million, along with higher accounting services fees of \$0.3 million.

We expect that general and administrative expenses will continue to increase during the remainder of 2007 due to higher payroll-related expenses in support of our continuing corporate development activities, business development costs, expanding operational infrastructure, and costs associated with being a public company. We anticipate general and administrative expenses to be in the range of \$17.0 million to \$19.0 million for the year ending December 31, 2007.

Interest and Other Income and Expense

Interest and other income was \$2.1 million and \$6.4 million for the third quarter and first nine months of 2007, respectively, compared with \$1.2 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, for the comparable periods of 2006. The increase in interest and other income for the third quarter of 2007 over the same period of 2006 was primarily due to higher interest income, resulting from higher average balances of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. The increase in interest and other income for the first nine

Table of Contents

months of 2007 compared to the same period of 2006 was primarily due to higher interest income, resulting from higher average balances of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, and, to a lesser extent, from higher market interest rates earned on these investments.

Interest and other expense in the third quarter and first nine months of 2007 was \$0.2 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, and in the comparable periods in 2006 was \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Interest and other expense in each of these periods primarily consisted of interest expense on our equipment financing line of credit.

Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting policies that we consider to be our most critical (those that are most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and that require our most difficult, subjective or complex judgments), the effects of those accounting policies applied and the judgments made in their application are summarized in

Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

We adopted Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainties in Income Taxes, an interpretation of SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, or FIN 48, on January 1, 2007. The cumulative effect of adopting FIN 48 was recorded net in deferred tax assets, which resulted in no FIN 48 liability on the balance sheet. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of the date of adoption was \$3.1 million.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, or SFAS 157. This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. This pronouncement applies under the other accounting standards that require or permit fair value measurements. Accordingly, this statement does not require any new fair value measurement. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the requirements of SFAS 157 and have not yet determined the impact, if any, on the financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, or SFAS 159, which permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. SFAS 159 will be effective for us on January 1, 2008. We are evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 159 on our financial position, cash flows and results of operations.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-3, Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities. EITF Issue No. 07-3 states that nonrefundable advance payments for future research and development activities should be deferred and recognized as an expense as the goods are delivered or the related services are performed. Entities should then continue to evaluate whether they expect the goods to be delivered or services to be rendered. If an entity does not expect the goods to be delivered or services to be rendered, the capitalized advance payment should be charged to expense. EITF Issue No. 07-3 will be effective for the Company on January 1, 2008 and is to be applied prospectively for new contracts entered into on or after the effective date. The Company is currently evaluating the impact on its financial statements of adopting EITF Issue No. 07-3.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

From August 5, 1997, our date of inception, through September 30, 2007, we funded our operations through the sale of equity securities, equipment financings, non-equity payments from collaborators, government grants and interest income.

Our cash, cash equivalents and investments, excluding restricted cash, totaled \$152.9 million at September 30, 2007, an increase of \$43.4 million from \$109.5 million at December 31, 2006. The increase was primarily due to the receipt of the \$42.0 million upfront license fee from Amgen in January 2007, net proceeds of \$32.9 million from the issuance of common stock to Amgen in January 2007, and proceeds of \$7.8 million from the issuance of stock under our 2005 CEFF in the third quarter of 2007. The increases were partly offset by the use of cash to fund operations.

Table of Contents

We have received net proceeds from the sale of equity securities of \$312.4 million from August 5, 1997, the date of our inception, through September 30, 2007, excluding sales of equity to GSK and Amgen. Included in these proceeds are \$94.0 million received upon closing of the initial public offering of our common stock in May 2004. In connection with our 2001 collaboration and license agreement, GSK made a \$14.0 million equity investment in the Company. GSK made additional equity investments in the Company in 2003 and 2004 of \$3.0 million and \$7.0 million, respectively.

In 2005, we entered into our first CEFF with Kingsbridge, or the 2005 CEFF, pursuant to which Kingsbridge committed to finance up to \$75.0 million of capital for a three-year period. Subject to certain conditions and limitations, from time to time under the 2005 CEFF, at our election, Kingsbridge purchased newly-issued shares of our common stock at a price between 90% and 94% of the volume weighted average price on each trading day during an eight day, forward-looking pricing period. We received gross proceeds from draw downs and sales of our common stock to Kingsbridge under the 2005 CEFF as follows: 2005 gross proceeds of \$5.7 million from the sale of 887,576 shares, before offering costs of \$178,000; 2006 gross proceeds of \$17.0 million from the sale of 2,740,735 shares; the first nine months of 2007 gross proceeds of \$7.8 million from the sale of 1,697,792 shares; and October 2007 gross proceeds of \$1.8 million from the sale of 377,385 shares of common stock. No further draw downs are available to us under the 2005 CEFF with Kingsbridge.

In October 2007, we entered into a new CEFF with Kingsbridge, or the 2007 CEFF, pursuant to which Kingsbridge committed to finance up to \$75.0 million of capital for a three-year period. Subject to certain conditions and limitations, from time to time under the 2007 CEFF, at our election, Kingsbridge is committed to purchase newly-issued shares of our common stock at a price between 90% and 94% of the volume weighted average price on each trading day during an eight day, forward-looking pricing period. The maximum number of shares we may issue in any pricing period is the lesser of 2.5% of our market capitalization immediately prior to the commencement of the pricing period or \$15.0 million. As part of the arrangement, we issued a warrant to Kingsbridge to purchase 230,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$7.99 per share, which represents a premium over the closing price of our common stock on the date we entered into the 2007 CEFF. This warrant is exercisable beginning six months after the date of grant and for a period of three years thereafter. Under the terms of the 2007 CEFF, the maximum number of shares we may sell is 9,779,411 (exclusive of the shares underlying the warrant) which, under the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC, is approximately the maximum number of shares we may sell to Kingsbridge without approval of our stockholders. This limitation may further limit the amount of proceeds we are able to obtain from the 2007 CEFF. We are not obligated to sell any of the \$75.0 million of common stock available under the 2007 CEFF and there are no minimum commitments or minimum use penalties. The 2007 CEFF does not contain any restrictions on our operating activities, any automatic pricing resets or any minimum market volume restrictions. To date we have made no draw downs under the 2007 CEFF with Kingsbridge.

In January 2006, we entered into a stock purchase agreement with certain institutional investors relating to the issuance and sale of 5,000,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$6.60 per share, for gross offering proceeds of \$33.0 million. In connection with this offering, we paid an advisory fee to a registered broker-dealer of \$1.0 million. After deducting the advisory fee and the offering costs, we received net proceeds of approximately \$32.0 million from the offering.

In December 2006, we entered into stock purchase agreements with selected institutional investors relating to the issuance and sale of 5,285,715 shares of our common stock at a price of \$7.00 per share, for gross offering proceeds of \$37.0 million. In connection with this offering, we paid placement agent fees to three registered broker-dealers totaling \$1.9 million. After deducting the placement agent fees and the offering costs, we received net proceeds of approximately \$34.9 million from the offering.

In connection with our entry into the collaboration and option agreement with Amgen, we entered into a common stock purchase agreement under which Amgen purchased 3,484,806 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$9.47, including a premium of \$1.99 per share, and an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$33.0 million. After deducting the offering costs, we received net proceeds of approximately \$32.9 million. These shares were issued, and the related proceeds received, in January 2007.

Edgar Filing: CYTOKINETICS INC - Form 10-Q

As of September 30, 2007, we have received \$54.2 million in non-equity payments from GSK and \$42.0 million in non-equity payments from Amgen.

Under equipment financing arrangements, we received \$1.7 million in the first nine months of 2007, and \$23.7 million from August 5, 1997, the date of our inception, through September 30, 2007. Interest earned on investments, excluding non-cash amortization of purchase premiums, was \$3.2 million in the first nine months of 2007, and \$22.0 million from August 5, 1997, the date of our inception, through September 30, 2007.

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by operating activities in the first nine months of 2007 was \$11.0 million and primarily resulted from the payment by Amgen in January 2007 of the \$42.0 million upfront, non-refundable license and technology access fee under the collaboration and license agreement entered into in December 2006, and the \$6.9 million stock purchase premium paid by Amgen, which was recorded as deferred revenue. Partially offsetting these sources of cash were the Company's net loss of \$35.6 million, including the amortization of deferred revenue of \$9.2 million.

Deferred revenue decreased \$2.2 million in the first nine months of 2007 to \$39.7 million at September 30, 2007 from \$41.9 million at December 31, 2006. The decrease was due to the \$9.2 million amortization of deferred Amgen license revenue, partially offset by a \$6.9 million increase in January 2007 resulting from the premium paid by Amgen for the purchase of stock under the CSPA.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$24.6 million in the first nine months of 2007 and primarily represented proceeds from the maturity of investments, net of investment purchases, of \$25.8 million, partly offset by funds used to purchase property and equipment of \$2.2 million. Restricted cash totaled \$5.2 million at September 30, 2007, down from \$6.0 million at December 31, 2006.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$33.5 million in the first nine months of 2007 and primarily represented net proceeds of approximately \$32.9 million from the issuance of common stock to Amgen, less \$6.9 million that was recorded as deferred revenue, and \$7.8 million gross proceeds from the issuance of stock under the 2005 CEFF. In March 2007 we drew down approximately \$1.7 million in additional borrowings under the April 2006 equipment line of credit with General Electric Capital Corporation, or GE Capital. In April 2007 the line of credit expired as scheduled and no additional borrowings are available to us under this line. In August 2007, we secured a new line of credit with GE Capital of up to \$3.0 million to finance certain equipment until September 30, 2008. The line of credit is subject to our Master Security Agreement with GE Capital, dated February 2001 and as amended on March 24, 2005. Under the terms of the equipment financing line, funds borrowed by us from GE Capital are collateralized by our property and equipment purchased with such borrowed funds and other collateral. To date we have borrowed no funds under the August 2007 line.

As of September 30, 2007, future minimum payments under lease obligations and equipment financing lines were as follows (in thousands):

	Within One Year	Two to Three Years	Four to Five Years	After Five Years	Total
Operating leases	\$ 3,190	\$ 6,250	\$ 5,017	\$ 1,786	\$ 16,243
Equipment financing line	4,171	4,202	1,339		9,712
Total	\$ 7,361	\$ 10,452	\$ 6,356	\$ 1,786	\$ 25,955

Our long-term commitments under operating leases relate to payments under our two facility leases in South San Francisco, California, which expire in 2011 and 2013.

Under the provisions of our amended agreement with Portola Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Portola, we are obligated to reimburse Portola for certain equipment costs incurred by Portola in connection with research and related services that Portola provides to us. We began to incur these costs when the equipment became available for use in the second quarter of 2006. Our payments to Portola for such equipment costs, totaling \$285,000, are scheduled to be made in eight quarterly installments commencing in the first quarter of 2006 and continuing through the fourth quarter of 2007.

In future periods, we expect to incur substantial costs as we continue to expand our research programs and related research and development activities. We also plan to continue to conduct clinical development of CK-1827452 for heart failure and SB-743921 for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and to conduct clinical development of ispinesib for breast cancer. We expect to incur significant research and development expenses as we advance the research and development of our other cardiac myosin activators for the treatment of heart failure, pursue our other early stage research programs in multiple therapeutic areas and continue to refine and apply our existing and future proprietary

drug discovery technologies.

Our future capital uses and requirements depend on numerous forward-looking factors. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

the initiation, progress, timing, scope and completion of preclinical research, development and clinical trials for our drug candidates and potential drug candidates;

Table of Contents

the time and costs involved in obtaining regulatory approvals;

delays that may be caused by requirements of regulatory agencies;

Amgen's decisions with regard to funding of development and commercialization of CK-1827452 or other compounds for the treatment of heart failure under our collaboration;

GSK's decisions with regard to future funding of development of our drug candidates and potential drug candidates, including GSK-923295 and, if it exercises its option, either or both of ispinesib and SB-743921;

our level of funding for the development of current or future drug candidates;

the number of drug candidates we pursue;

the costs involved in filing and prosecuting patent applications and enforcing or defending patent claims;

our ability to establish, enforce and maintain selected strategic alliances and activities required for commercialization of our potential drugs;

our plans or ability to establish sales, marketing or manufacturing capabilities and to achieve market acceptance for potential drugs;

expanding and advancing our research programs;

hiring of additional employees and consultants;

expanding our facilities;

the acquisition of technologies, products and other business opportunities that require financial commitments; and

our revenues, if any, from successful development of our drug candidates and commercialization of potential drugs.

We believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments, future payments from Amgen and GSK, interest earned on investments, proceeds from equipment financings and the potential proceeds from the 2007 CEFF will be sufficient to meet our projected operating requirements for at least the next 12 months. If, at any time, our prospects for internally financing our research and development programs decline, we may decide to reduce research and development expenses by delaying, discontinuing or reducing our funding of development of one or more of our drug candidates or potential drug candidates. Alternatively, we might raise funds through public or private financings, strategic relationships or other arrangements. There can be no assurance that the funding, if needed, will be available on attractive terms, or at all. Furthermore, any additional equity financing may be dilutive to stockholders and debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants. Similarly, financing obtained through future co-development arrangements may require us to forego certain commercial rights to future drug candidates. Our failure to raise capital as and when needed could have a negative impact on our financial condition and our ability to pursue our business strategy.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2007, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. In addition, we do not engage in trading activities involving non-exchange traded contracts. Therefore, we are not

materially exposed to financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in these relationships. We do not have relationships or transactions with persons or entities that derive benefits from their non-independent relationship with us or our related parties.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our exposure to market risk has not changed materially subsequent to our disclosures in Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Our management evaluated, with the participation and under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded, subject to the limitations described below, that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

(c) Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the controls are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within a company have been detected. Accordingly, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of our disclosure control system are met.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our future operating results may vary substantially from anticipated results due to a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. The following discussion highlights some of these factors and the possible impact of these factors on future results of operations. You should carefully consider these factors before making an investment decision. If any of the following factors actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be harmed. In that case, the price of our common stock could decline, and you could experience losses on your investment.

Risks Related To Our Business

Our drug candidates are in the early stages of clinical testing and we have a history of significant losses and may not achieve or sustain profitability and, as a result, you may lose all or part of your investment.

Our drug candidates are in the early stages of clinical testing and we must conduct significant additional clinical trials before we can seek the regulatory approvals necessary to begin commercial sales of our drugs. We have incurred operating losses in each year since our inception in 1997 due to costs incurred in connection with our research and development activities and general and administrative costs associated with our operations. We expect to incur increasing losses for at least several years, as we continue our research activities and conduct development of, and seek regulatory approvals for, our drug candidates, and commercialize any approved drugs. If our drug candidates fail in clinical trials or do not gain regulatory approval, or if our drugs do not achieve market acceptance, we will not be profitable. If we fail to become and remain profitable, or if we are unable to fund our continuing losses, you could lose all or part of your investment.

Table of Contents

We have never generated, and may never generate, revenues from commercial sales of our drugs and we may not have drugs to market for at least several years, if ever.

We currently have no drugs for sale and we cannot guarantee that we will ever have marketable drugs. We must demonstrate that our drug candidates satisfy rigorous standards of safety and efficacy to the FDA and other regulatory authorities in the United States and abroad. We and our partners will need to conduct significant additional research and preclinical and clinical testing before we or our partners can file applications with the FDA or other regulatory authorities for approval of our drug candidates. In addition, to compete effectively, our drugs must be easy to use, cost-effective and economical to manufacture on a commercial scale, compared to other therapies available for the treatment of the same conditions. We may not achieve any of these objectives. CK-1827452, our drug candidate for the treatment of heart failure, and ispinosib, SB-743921 and GSK-923295, our drug candidates for the treatment of cancer, are currently our only drug candidates in clinical trials and we cannot be certain that the clinical development of these or any future drug candidate will be successful, that they will receive the regulatory approvals required to commercialize them, or that any of our other research programs will yield a drug candidate suitable for entry into clinical trials. Our commercial revenues, if any, will be derived from sales of drugs that we do not expect to be commercially available for several years, if at all. The development of any one or all of these drug candidates may be discontinued at any stage of our clinical trials programs and we may not generate revenue from any of these drug candidates.

We currently finance and plan to continue to finance our operations through the sale of equity, potentially entering into additional strategic alliances and obtaining debt financings, which may result in additional dilution to our stockholders, relinquishment of valuable technology rights or the imposition of restrictive covenants, or which may cease to be available on attractive terms or at all.

We have funded all of our operations and capital expenditures with proceeds from both private and public sales of our equity securities, strategic alliances with GSK, Amgen, AstraZeneca and others, equipment financings, interest on investments and government grants. We believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents, future payments from GSK and Amgen, interest earned on investments, proceeds from equipment financings and potential proceeds from our 2007 CEFF will be sufficient to meet our projected operating requirements for at least the next 12 months. To meet our future cash requirements, we may raise funds through public or private equity offerings, strategic alliances or debt financings. To the extent that we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, our stockholders may experience additional dilution. To the extent that we raise additional funds through strategic alliance and licensing arrangements, we will likely have to relinquish valuable rights to our technologies, research programs or drug candidates, or grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us. To the extent that we raise additional funds through debt financing, if available, such financing may involve covenants that restrict our business activities. In addition, there can be no assurance that any such funding, if needed, will be available on favorable terms, or at all. If we can not raise the funds we need on favorable terms, or at all, our ability to conduct our business will be significantly harmed and our stock price could be negatively affected.

Clinical trials may fail to demonstrate the desired safety and efficacy of our drug candidates, which could prevent or significantly delay completion of clinical development and regulatory approval.

Prior to receiving approval to commercialize any of our drug candidates, we must demonstrate with substantial evidence from well-controlled clinical trials, and to the satisfaction of the FDA and other regulatory authorities in the United States and abroad, that such drug candidate is both sufficiently safe and effective. In clinical trials we will need to demonstrate efficacy for the treatment of specific indications and monitor safety throughout the clinical development process. None of our drug candidates have yet been demonstrated to be safe and effective in clinical trials and there is no assurance that they will. In addition, for each of our current preclinical compounds, we must demonstrate satisfactory chemistry, formulation, stability and toxicity in order to file an investigational new drug application, or IND, that would allow us to advance that compound into clinical trials. If our preclinical studies, current clinical trials or future clinical trials are unsuccessful, our business and reputation will be significantly harmed and our stock price could be negatively affected.

All of our drug candidates are prone to the risks of failure inherent in drug development. Preclinical studies may not yield results that would satisfactorily support the filing of an IND (or a foreign equivalent) with respect to our

potential drug candidates. Even if these applications would be or have been filed with respect to our drug candidates, the results of preclinical studies do not necessarily predict the results of clinical trials. For example, although preclinical testing indicated that ispinesib causes tumor regression in a variety of tumor types, to date Phase II clinical trials of ispinesib have not shown clinical activity in a number of different tumor types. Similarly, early-stage clinical trials in healthy volunteers do not necessarily predict the results of later-stage clinical trials, including the safety and efficacy profiles of any particular drug candidate. In addition, there can be no assurance that the design of the clinical trials for any of our drug candidates is focused on appropriate indications, tumor types, patient populations, dosing regimens or other

Table of Contents

variables which will result in obtaining the desired efficacy data to support regulatory approval to commercialize the drug. For example, in a number of two-stage Phase II clinical trials designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of ispinesib as monotherapy in the first- or second-line treatment of patients with different forms of cancer, ispinesib did not satisfy the criteria for advancement to Stage 2 in either treatment arm. Even if we believe the data collected from clinical trials of our drug candidates are promising, such data may not be sufficient to support approval by the FDA or any other U.S. or foreign regulatory authority. Preclinical and clinical data can be interpreted in different ways. Accordingly, FDA officials or officials from foreign regulatory authorities could interpret the data in different ways than we or our partners do which could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval.

Administering any of our drug candidates or potential drug candidates may produce undesirable side effects, also known as adverse effects. Toxicities and adverse effects that we have observed in preclinical studies for some compounds in a particular research and development program may occur in preclinical studies or clinical trials of other compounds from the same program. Potential toxicity issues may arise from the effects of the active pharmaceutical ingredient, or API, itself or from impurities or degradants that are present in the API or could form over time in the formulated drug candidate or the API. Such toxicities or adverse effects could delay or prevent the filing of an IND (or a foreign equivalent) with respect to such drug candidates or potential drug candidates or cause us to cease clinical trials with respect to any drug candidate. In clinical trials, administering any of our drug candidates to humans may produce adverse effects. For example, in clinical trials of ispinesib, the dose-limiting toxicity was neutropenia, a decrease in the number of a certain type of white blood cell that results in an increase in susceptibility to infection. In a Phase I clinical trial of SB-743921, the dose-limiting toxicities observed were: prolonged neutropenia, with or without fever and with or without infection; elevated transaminases and hyperbilirubinemia, both of which are abnormalities of liver function; and hyponatremia, which is a low concentration of sodium in the blood. In a Phase I clinical trial of CK-1827452, intolerable doses of CK-1827452 were associated with complaints of chest discomfort, palpitations, dizziness and feeling hot, increases in heart rate, declines in blood pressure, electrocardiographic changes consistent with acute myocardial ischemia and transient rises in cardiac troponins I and T, which are markers of possible myocardial injury. If these or other adverse effects are severe or frequent enough to outweigh the potential efficacy of a drug candidate, our clinical trials for such drug candidate may be halted, delayed or interrupted. Furthermore, the FDA or other regulatory authorities could deny approval of such drug candidate for any or all targeted indications. The FDA, other regulatory authorities, our partners or we may suspend or terminate clinical trials at any time. Even if one or more of our drug candidates were approved for sale, the occurrence of even a limited number of toxicities or adverse effects when used in large populations may cause the FDA to impose restrictions on, or stop, the further marketing of such drugs. Indications of potential adverse effects or toxicities which may occur in clinical trials and which we believe are not significant during the course of such clinical trials may later turn out to actually constitute serious adverse effects or toxicities when a drug has been used in large populations or for extended periods of time. Any failure or significant delay in completing preclinical studies or clinical trials for our drug candidates, or in receiving and maintaining regulatory approval for the sale of any drugs resulting from our drug candidates, may significantly harm our reputation and business and negatively affect our stock price.

Clinical trials are expensive, time consuming and subject to delay.

Clinical trials are very expensive and difficult to design and implement, especially in the heart failure and cancer indications that we are pursuing, in part because they are subject to rigorous requirements. The clinical trial process is also time-consuming. In addition, we will need to develop appropriate formulations of our drug candidates for use in clinical trials, such as an oral formulation of CK-1827452. According to industry studies, the entire drug development and testing process takes on average 12 to 15 years, and the fully capitalized resource cost of new drug development averages approximately \$800 million. However, individual clinical trials and individual drug candidates may incur a range of costs or time demands above or below this average. We estimate that clinical trials of our most advanced drug candidates will continue for several years, but they may take significantly longer to complete. The commencement and completion of our clinical trials could be delayed or prevented by many factors, including, but not limited to:

delays in obtaining, or inability to obtain, regulatory or other approvals to commence and conduct clinical trials in the manner we or our partners deem necessary for the appropriate and timely development of our

drug candidates and commercialization of any resulting drugs;

delays in identifying and reaching agreement, or inability to identify and reach agreement, on acceptable terms with prospective clinical trial sites;

delays or additional costs in developing, or inability to develop, appropriate formulations of our drug candidates for clinical trial use;

Table of Contents

for those drug candidates that are the subject of a strategic alliance, delays in reaching agreement with our partner as to appropriate development strategies;

for clinical trials conducted outside of the United States, difficulties in interpreting foreign regulatory requirements or changes in those requirements;

slower than expected rates of patient recruitment and enrollment, including as a result of the introduction of alternative therapies or drugs by others;

lack of effectiveness during clinical trials;

unforeseen safety issues;

inadequate supply of clinical trial materials;

uncertain dosing issues;

introduction of new therapies or changes in standards of practice or regulatory guidance that render our clinical trial endpoints or the targeting of our proposed indications obsolete;

inability to monitor patients adequately during or after treatment; and

inability or unwillingness of medical investigators to follow our clinical protocols.

We do not know whether planned clinical trials will begin on time, or whether planned or currently ongoing clinical trials will need to be restructured or will be completed on schedule, if at all. Significant delays in clinical trials will impede our ability to commercialize our drug candidates and generate revenue and could significantly increase our development costs.

We have limited capacity to carry out our own clinical trials in connection with the development of our drug candidates and potential drug candidates and, to the extent we elect to develop a drug candidate without a strategic partner, we will need to expand our development capacity and will require additional funding.

The development of drug candidates is complicated, and the resources that we currently have to carry out such development are limited. Pursuant to our collaboration and option agreement with Amgen, we are responsible for conducting Phase II clinical development for our drug candidate CK-1827452. We cannot engage another strategic partner for CK-1827452 until Amgen elects not to exercise its option to conduct later-stage clinical development for CK-1827452 or its option expires. If Amgen elects not to exercise its option to conduct later-stage clinical development for CK-1827452, we do not have an alternative strategic partner for that drug candidate. Pursuant to our amended collaboration and license agreement with GSK, we are responsible for conducting clinical development for our drug candidates ispinesib and SB-743921. Currently, we rely on GSK to conduct pre-clinical and clinical development for GSK-923295 and the NCI to conduct certain clinical trials for ispinesib. We cannot engage another strategic partner for ispinesib or SB-743921 until GSK's option to conduct later-stage clinical development for that drug candidate expires. If GSK elects to terminate its development efforts with respect to GSK-923295, or not to exercise its option to conduct later-stage clinical development for either of ispinesib or SB-743921, we do not have an alternative strategic partner for these drug candidates.

For our drug candidates for which we expect to conduct clinical trials at our expense, such as CK-1827452, ispinesib and SB-743921, we plan to rely on contractors for the manufacture and distribution of clinical supplies. To the extent we conduct clinical trials for a drug candidate without support from a strategic partner, we will need to develop additional skills, technical expertise and resources necessary to carry out such development efforts on our own or through the use of other third parties, such as contract research organizations, or CROs, and will incur significant additional costs.

We utilize CROs for our clinical trials within and outside of the United States. We do not have control over many aspects of our CROs' activities, and cannot fully control the amount or timing of resources that they devote to our programs. CROs may not assign as high a priority to our programs or pursue them as diligently as we would if we were undertaking such programs ourselves, and therefore may not complete their respective activities on schedule. CROs may also have relationships with our competitors and potential competitors, and may prioritize those relationships ahead of their relationships with us. Outside of the United States, we are

Table of Contents

particularly dependent on our CROs' expertise in communicating with clinical trial sites and regulatory authorities and ensuring that our clinical trials and related activities and regulatory filings comply with applicable local laws. The failure of CROs to carry out development efforts on our behalf according to our requirements and the FDA's or other regulatory agencies' standards and in accordance with applicable laws, or our failure to properly coordinate and manage such efforts, could increase the cost of our operations and delay or prevent the development, approval and commercialization of our drug candidates. In addition, if a CRO fails to perform as agreed, our ability to collect damages may be contractually limited.

If we fail to develop the additional skills, technical expertise and resources necessary to carry out the development of our drug candidates or to effectively manage our CROs carrying out such development, or if such CROs fail to perform as agreed, the commercialization of our drug candidates will be delayed or prevented.

We depend on GSK for the conduct, completion and funding of the clinical development and commercialization of GSK-923295.

Under our strategic alliance with GSK, as amended, GSK is responsible for the clinical development and obtaining and maintaining regulatory approval of our drug candidate GSK-923295 for cancer and other indications. GSK is responsible for filing applications with the FDA or other regulatory authorities for approval of GSK-923295 and will be the owner of any marketing approvals issued by the FDA or other regulatory authorities for GSK-923295. If the FDA or other regulatory authorities approve GSK-923295, GSK will also be responsible for the marketing and sale of the resulting drug, subject to our right to co-promote GSK-923295 in North America if we exercise our option to co-fund certain later-stage development activities for GSK-923295. However, even if we do exercise our option to co-fund the development of GSK-923295, we cannot control whether GSK will devote sufficient attention and resources to the clinical trials program for GSK-923295 or will proceed in an expeditious manner. In addition, even if the FDA or other regulatory agencies approve GSK-923295, GSK may elect not to proceed with the commercialization of the resulting drug. GSK generally has discretion to elect whether to pursue or abandon the development of GSK-923295 and may terminate our strategic alliance for any reason upon six months prior notice. These decisions are outside our control.

In particular, if the initial results of some of its early clinical trials do not meet GSK's expectations, GSK may elect to terminate further development of GSK-923295 or certain of the potential clinical trials for GSK-923295, even if the actual number of patients treated at such time is relatively small. If GSK abandons GSK-923295, it would result in a delay in or prevent us from commercializing GSK-923295, and would delay or prevent our ability to generate revenues. Disputes may arise between us and GSK, which may delay or cause the termination of any GSK-923295 clinical trials, result in significant litigation or arbitration, or cause GSK to act in a manner that is not in our best interest. If development of GSK-923295 does not progress for these or any other reasons, we would not receive further milestone payments from GSK with respect to GSK-923295. If GSK abandons development of GSK-923295 prior to regulatory approval or if it elects not to proceed with commercialization of the resulting drug following regulatory approval, we would have to seek a new partner for clinical development or commercialization, curtail or abandon such clinical development or commercialization, or undertake and fund the clinical development of GSK-923295 or commercialization of the resulting drug ourselves. If we seek a new partner but are unable to do so on acceptable terms, or at all, or do not have sufficient funds to conduct such development or commercialization ourselves, we would have to curtail or abandon such development or commercialization, which could harm our business.

If we fail to enter into and maintain successful strategic alliances for certain of our drug candidates, we may have to reduce or delay our drug candidate development or increase our expenditures.

Our strategy for developing, manufacturing and commercializing certain of our drug candidates currently requires us to enter into and successfully maintain strategic alliances with pharmaceutical companies or other industry participants to advance our programs and reduce our expenditures on each program. However, we may not be able to negotiate additional strategic alliances on acceptable terms, if at all. If we are not able to maintain our existing strategic alliances or establish and maintain additional strategic alliances, we may have to limit the size or scope of, or delay, one or more of our drug development programs or research programs or undertake and fund these programs ourselves. If we elect to increase our expenditures to fund drug development programs or research programs on our own, as we have under the November 2006 amendment to our collaboration and license agreement with GSK through

which we will be responsible for the clinical development of ispinesib and SB-743921, we will need to obtain additional capital, which may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all.

Table of Contents***The success of our development efforts depends in part on the performance of our strategic partners and the NCI, over which we have little or no control.***

Our ability to commercialize drugs that we develop with our partners and that generate royalties from product sales depends on our partners' abilities to assist us in establishing the safety and efficacy of our drug candidates, obtaining and maintaining regulatory approvals and achieving market acceptance of the drugs once commercialized. Our partners may elect to delay or terminate development of one or more drug candidates, independently develop drugs that could compete with ours or fail to commit sufficient resources to the marketing and distribution of drugs developed through their strategic alliances with us. Our partners may not proceed with the development and commercialization of our drug candidates with the same degree of urgency as we would because of other priorities they face. In particular, we are relying on the NCI, a government agency, to conduct several clinical trials of ispinesib and GSK to conduct clinical development of GSK-923295. There can be no assurance that GSK or the NCI, or both, will not modify their respective plans to conduct such clinical development or will proceed with such clinical development diligently. In addition, if GSK exercises its option with respect to either or both of ispinesib and SB-743921, or if Amgen exercises its option with respect to CK-1827452, they will then be responsible for the clinical development of those respective drug candidates. We have no control over the conduct of clinical development being conducted or that may be conducted in the future by GSK, the NCI or Amgen, including the timing of initiation, termination or completion of clinical trials, the analysis of data arising out of such clinical trials or the timing of release of complete data concerning such clinical trials, which may impact our ability to report on their results. If our partners fail to perform as we expect, our potential for revenue from drugs developed through our strategic alliances, if any, could be dramatically reduced.

We have no manufacturing capacity and depend on our strategic partners or contract manufacturers to produce our clinical trial drug supplies for each of our drug candidates and potential drug candidates, and anticipate continued reliance on contract manufacturers for the development and commercialization of our potential drugs.

We do not currently operate manufacturing facilities for clinical or commercial production of our drug candidates or potential drug candidates. We have limited experience in drug formulation and manufacturing, and we lack the resources and the capabilities to manufacture any of our drug candidates on a clinical or commercial scale. As a result, we rely on GSK to be responsible for such activities for the ongoing clinical investigation of GSK-923295. For CK-1827452, ispinesib, SB-743921 and any future drug candidates for which we conduct clinical development, we expect to rely on a limited number of contract manufacturers, and, in particular, we expect to rely on single-source contract manufacturers for the active pharmaceutical ingredient and the drug product supply for our clinical trials. If any of our existing or future contract manufacturers fail to perform as agreed, it could delay clinical development or regulatory approval of our drug candidates or commercialization of our drugs, producing additional losses and depriving us of potential product revenues. In addition, if a contract manufacturer fails to perform as agreed, our ability to collect damages may be contractually limited.

Our drug candidates require precise, high quality manufacturing. The failure to achieve and maintain high manufacturing standards, including failure to detect or control anticipated or unanticipated manufacturing errors or the frequent occurrence of such errors could result in patient injury or death, product recalls or withdrawals, delays or failures in product testing or delivery, cost overruns or other problems that could seriously hurt our business. Contract drug manufacturers often encounter difficulties involving production yields, quality control and quality assurance, as well as shortages of qualified personnel. These manufacturers are subject to stringent regulatory requirements, including the FDA's current good manufacturing practices regulations and similar foreign laws. Each contract manufacturer must pass a pre-approval inspection before we can obtain marketing approval for any of our drug candidates and following approval will be subject to ongoing periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA, the U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency and other regulatory agencies, to ensure strict compliance with current good manufacturing practices and other applicable government regulations and corresponding foreign standards. However, we do not have control over our contract manufacturers' compliance with these regulations and standards. If one of our contract manufacturers fails to pass its pre-approval inspection or maintain on-going compliance, the production of our drug candidates could be interrupted, resulting in delays, additional costs and potentially lost revenues.

If the FDA or other regulatory agencies approve any of our drug candidates for commercial sale, we will need to manufacture them in larger quantities. To date, our drug candidates have been manufactured only in small quantities for preclinical testing and clinical trials. We may not be able to successfully increase the manufacturing capacity, whether in collaboration with contract manufacturers or on our own, for any of our drug candidates in a timely or economical manner, or at all. Significant scale-up of manufacturing may require additional validation studies, which the FDA must review and approve. If we are unable to successfully increase the manufacturing capacity for a drug candidate, the regulatory approval or commercial launch of any related drugs may be delayed or there may be a shortage in supply. Even if any contract manufacturer makes improvements in the manufacturing process for our drug candidates, we may not own, or may have to share, the intellectual property rights to such improvements.

Table of Contents

In addition, our existing and future contract manufacturers may not perform as agreed or may not remain in the contract manufacturing business for the time required to successfully produce, store and distribute our drug candidates. If a natural disaster, business failure, strike or other difficulty occurs, we may be unable to replace such contract manufacturer in a timely manner and the production of our drug candidates would be interrupted, resulting in delays and additional costs.

Switching manufacturers or manufacturing sites may be difficult and time consuming because the number of potential manufacturers is limited. In addition, before a drug from any replacement manufacturer or manufacturing site can be commercialized, the FDA must approve that site. Such approval would require new testing and compliance inspections. A new manufacturer or manufacturing site also would have to be educated in, or develop substantially equivalent processes for, production of our drugs after receipt of FDA approval. It may be difficult or impossible for us to find a replacement manufacturer on acceptable terms quickly, or at all, which would delay or prevent our ability to commercialize our drugs.

We may not be able to successfully scale-up manufacture of our drug candidates in sufficient quality and quantity, which would delay or prevent us from developing our drug candidates and commercializing resulting approved drugs, if any.

To date, our drug candidates have been manufactured in small quantities for preclinical studies and early-stage clinical trials. In order to conduct larger scale or late-stage clinical trials for a drug candidate and for commercialization of the resulting drug if that drug candidate is approved for sale, we will need to manufacture it in larger quantities. We may not be able to successfully increase the manufacturing capacity for any of our drug candidates, whether in collaboration with third-party manufacturers or on our own, in a timely or cost-effective manner or at all. Significant scale-up of manufacturing may require additional validation studies, which are costly and which the FDA must review and approve. In addition, quality issues may arise during such scale-up activities because of the inherent properties of a drug candidate itself or of a drug candidate in combination with other components added during the manufacturing and packaging process or during shipping and storage of the finished product or active pharmaceutical ingredients. If we are unable to successfully scale-up manufacture of any of our drug candidates in sufficient quality and quantity, the development, regulatory approval or commercial launch of that drug candidate may be delayed or there may be a shortage in supply, which could significantly harm our business.

We currently have no marketing or sales staff, and if we are unable to enter into or maintain strategic alliances with marketing partners or if we are unable to develop our own sales and marketing capabilities, we may not be successful in commercializing our potential drugs.

We currently have no sales, marketing or distribution capabilities. To commercialize our drugs that we determine not to market on our own, we will depend on strategic alliances with third parties, such as GSK and Amgen, which have established distribution systems and direct sales forces. If we are unable to enter into such arrangements on acceptable terms, we may not be able to successfully commercialize such drugs.

With or without a partner, we plan to commercialize on our own, drugs that can be effectively marketed and sold in concentrated markets that do not require a large sales force to be competitive. To achieve this goal, we will need to establish our own specialized sales force and marketing organization with technical expertise and with supporting distribution capabilities. Developing such an organization is expensive and time-consuming and could delay a product launch. In addition, we may not be able to develop this capacity efficiently, cost-effectively or at all, which could make us unable to commercialize our drugs.

To the extent that we are not successful in commercializing any drugs ourselves or through a strategic alliance, our product revenues will suffer, our business and reputation will suffer and the price of our common stock could decrease.

Our focus on the discovery of drug candidates directed against specific proteins and pathways within the cytoskeleton is unproven, and we do not know whether we will be able to develop any drug candidates of commercial value.

We believe that our focus on drug discovery and development directed at the cytoskeleton is novel and unique. While a number of commonly used drugs and a growing body of research validate the importance of the cytoskeleton in the origin and progression of a number of diseases, no existing drugs specifically and directly interact with the

cytoskeletal proteins and pathways that our drug candidates seek to modulate. As a result, we cannot be certain that our drug candidates will appropriately modulate the targeted cytoskeletal proteins and pathways or produce commercially viable drugs that safely and effectively treat heart failure, cancer or other diseases, or that the results we have seen in preclinical models will translate into similar results in humans. In addition, even if we are successful in developing and receiving regulatory approval for a commercially viable drug for the treatment of one disease focused on the cytoskeleton, we cannot be certain that we will also be able to develop and receive regulatory approval for drug candidates for the

Table of Contents

treatment of other forms of that disease or other diseases. If we or our partners fail to develop and commercialize viable drugs, we will not achieve commercial success.

Our proprietary rights may not adequately protect our technologies, drug candidates and potential drug candidates.

Our commercial success will depend in part on our obtaining and maintaining patent and trade secret protection of our technologies, drug candidates and potential drug candidates as well as successfully defending these patents against third-party challenges. We will only be able to protect our technologies, drug candidates and potential drug candidates from unauthorized use by third parties to the extent that valid and enforceable patents or trade secrets cover them. In the event that our issued patents and our patent applications, if granted, do not adequately describe, enable or otherwise provide coverage of our technologies and drug candidates, including CK-1827452, ispinesib, SB-743921 and GSK-923295, we would not be able to exclude others from developing or commercializing these drug candidates and potential drug candidates. Furthermore, the degree of future protection of our proprietary rights is uncertain because legal means may not adequately protect our rights or permit us to gain or keep our competitive advantage.

The patent positions of life sciences companies can be highly uncertain and involve complex legal and factual questions for which important legal principles remain unresolved. No consistent policy regarding the breadth of claims allowed in such companies' patents has emerged to date in the United States. The patent situation outside the United States is even more uncertain. Changes in either the patent laws or in interpretations of patent laws in the United States or other countries may diminish the value of our intellectual property. Accordingly, we cannot predict the breadth of claims that may be allowed or enforced in our patents or in third-party patents. For example:

we or our licensors might not have been the first to make the inventions covered by each of our pending patent applications and issued patents;

we or our licensors might not have been the first to file patent applications for these inventions;

others may independently develop similar or alternative technologies or duplicate any of our technologies without infringing our intellectual property rights;

some or all of our or our licensors' pending patent applications may not result in issued patents;

our and our licensors' issued patents may not provide a basis for commercially viable drugs or therapies, or may not provide us with any competitive advantages, or may be challenged and invalidated by third parties;

our or our licensors' patent applications or patents may be subject to interference, opposition or similar administrative proceedings;

we may not develop additional proprietary technologies or drug candidates that are patentable; or

the patents of others may prevent us or our partners from discovering, developing or commercializing our drug candidates.

We also rely on trade secrets to protect our technology, especially where we believe patent protection is not appropriate or obtainable. However, trade secrets are difficult to protect. While we use reasonable efforts to protect our trade secrets, our or our partners' employees, consultants, contractors or scientific and other advisors may unintentionally or willfully disclose our information to competitors. In addition, confidentiality agreements, if any, executed by such persons may not be enforceable or provide meaningful protection for our trade secrets or other proprietary information in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure. If we were to enforce a claim that a third party had illegally obtained and was using our trade secrets, our enforcement efforts would be expensive and time consuming, and the outcome would be unpredictable. In addition, courts outside the United States are sometimes less willing to protect trade secrets. Moreover, if our competitors independently develop information that is equivalent to our trade secrets, it will be more difficult for us to enforce our rights and our business could be harmed.

Table of Contents

If we are not able to defend the patent or trade secret protection position of our technologies and drug candidates, then we will not be able to exclude competitors from developing or marketing competing drugs, and we may not generate enough revenue from product sales to justify the cost of development of our drugs and to achieve or maintain profitability.

If we are sued for infringing intellectual property rights of third parties, such litigation will be costly and time consuming, and an unfavorable outcome would have a significant adverse effect on our business.

Our ability to commercialize drugs depends on our ability to sell such drugs without infringing the patents or other proprietary rights of third parties. Numerous U.S. and foreign issued patents and pending patent applications owned by third parties exist in the therapeutic areas in which we are developing drug candidates and exploring for new potential drug candidates. In addition, because patent applications can take several years to issue, there may be currently pending applications, unknown to us, which may later result in issued patents that our drug candidates may infringe. There could also be existing patents of which we are not aware that our drug candidates may inadvertently infringe.

Patent protection is afforded on a country by country basis. Currently, we are aware of an issued U.S. patent and at least one pending U.S. patent application assigned to Curis, Inc., or Curis, relating to certain compounds in the quinazolinone class. Ispinesib falls into this class of compounds. The Curis U.S. patent claims a method of use for inhibiting signaling by what is called the hedgehog pathway using certain such compounds. Curis also has pending applications in Europe, Japan, Australia and Canada with claims covering certain quinazolinone compounds, compositions thereof and/or methods of their use. We are also aware that two of the Australian applications have been allowed and two of the European applications have been granted. In Europe, Australia and elsewhere, the grant of a patent may be opposed by one or more parties. We have opposed the granting of certain such patents to Curis in Europe and in Australia. One of the European patents which we opposed was recently revoked and is no longer valid in Europe. Curis may appeal this decision. Curis or a third party may assert that the sale of isspinesib may infringe one or more of these patents. We believe that we have valid defenses against the Curis patents if asserted against us. However, we cannot guarantee that a court would find such defenses valid or that any additional oppositions would be successful. We have not attempted to obtain a license to these patents. If we decide to obtain a license to these patents, we cannot guarantee that we would be able to obtain such a license on commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

Other future products of ours may be impacted by patents of companies engaged in competitive programs with significantly greater resources (such as Bayer AG, Merck & Co., Inc., or Merck, Merck GMBH, Eli Lilly and Company, or Lilly, Bristol-Myers Squibb, or BMS, Array Biopharma Inc., or Array, ArQule, Inc., or ArQule, and AstraZeneca). Further development of these products could be impacted by these patents and result in significant legal fees.

If a third party claims that our actions infringe on their patents or other proprietary rights, we could face a number of issues that could seriously harm our competitive position, including, but not limited to:

infringement and other intellectual property claims that, with or without merit, can be costly and time-consuming to litigate and can delay the regulatory approval process and divert management's attention from our core business strategy;

substantial damages for past infringement which we may have to pay if a court determines that our drugs or technologies infringe a competitor's patent or other proprietary rights;

a court prohibiting us from selling or licensing our drugs or technologies unless the holder licenses the patent or other proprietary rights to us, which it is not required to do; and

if a license is available from a holder, we may have to pay substantial royalties or grant cross licenses to our patents or other proprietary rights.

If any of these events occur, it could significantly harm our business and negatively affect our stock price.

Table of Contents

We may become involved in disputes with our strategic partners over intellectual property ownership, and publications by our research collaborators and scientific advisors could impair our ability to obtain patent protection or protect our proprietary information, which, in either case, would have a significant impact on our business.

Inventions discovered under our strategic alliance agreements become jointly owned by our strategic partners and us in some cases, and the exclusive property of one of us in other cases. Under some circumstances, it may be difficult to determine who owns a particular invention, or whether it is jointly owned, and disputes could arise regarding ownership of those inventions. These disputes could be costly and time consuming, and an unfavorable outcome would have a significant adverse effect on our business if we were not able to protect or license rights to these inventions. In addition, our research collaborators and scientific advisors have contractual rights to publish data and other proprietary information, subject to our prior review. Publications by our research collaborators and scientific advisors containing such information, either with our permission or in contravention of the terms of their agreements with us, could benefit our current or potential competitors and may impair our ability to obtain patent protection or protect our proprietary information, which could significantly harm our business.

To the extent we elect to fund the development of a drug candidate or the commercialization of a drug at our expense, we will need substantial additional funding.

The discovery, development and commercialization of new drugs for the treatment of a wide array of diseases is costly. As a result, to the extent we elect to fund the development of a drug candidate or the commercialization of a drug at our expense, we will need to raise additional capital to:

expand our research and development and technologies;

fund clinical trials and seek regulatory approvals;

build or access manufacturing and commercialization capabilities;

implement additional internal systems and infrastructure;

maintain, defend and expand the scope of our intellectual property; and

hire and support additional management and scientific personnel.

Our future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including, but not limited to:

the rate of progress and cost of our clinical trials and other research and development activities;

the costs and timing of seeking and obtaining regulatory approvals;

the costs associated with establishing manufacturing and commercialization capabilities;

the costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights;

the costs of acquiring or investing in businesses, products and technologies;

the effect of competing technological and market developments; and

the payment and other terms and timing of any strategic alliance, licensing or other arrangements that we may establish.

Until we can generate a sufficient amount of product revenue to finance our cash requirements, which we may never do, we expect to continue to finance our future cash needs primarily through public or private equity offerings, debt financings and strategic alliances. We cannot be certain that additional funding will be available on acceptable

terms, or at all. If we are not able to secure additional funding when needed, we may have to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate one or more of our clinical trials or research and development programs or future commercialization initiatives.

Table of Contents

We expect to expand our development, clinical research, sales and marketing capabilities, and as a result, we may encounter difficulties in managing our growth, which could disrupt our operations.

We expect to have significant growth in expenditures, the number of our employees and the scope of our operations, in particular with respect to those drug candidates that we elect to develop or commercialize independently or together with a partner. To manage our anticipated future growth, we must continue to implement and improve our managerial, operational and financial systems, expand our facilities and continue to recruit and train additional qualified personnel. Due to our limited resources, we may not be able to effectively manage the expansion of our operations or recruit and train additional qualified personnel. The physical expansion of our operations may lead to significant costs and may divert our management and business development resources. Any inability to manage growth could delay the execution of our business plans or disrupt our operations.

The failure to attract and retain skilled personnel could impair our drug development and commercialization efforts.

Our performance is substantially dependent on the performance of our senior management and key scientific and technical personnel. The loss of the services of any member of our senior management, scientific or technical staff may significantly delay or prevent the achievement of drug development and other business objectives by diverting management's attention to transition matters and identification of suitable replacements, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. We also rely on consultants and advisors to assist us in formulating our research and development strategy. All of our consultants and advisors are either self-employed or employed by other organizations, and they may have conflicts of interest or other commitments, such as consulting or advisory contracts with other organizations, that may affect their ability to contribute to us.

In addition, we believe that we will need to recruit additional executive management and scientific and technical personnel. There is currently intense competition for skilled executives and employees with relevant scientific and technical expertise, and this competition is likely to continue. Our inability to attract and retain sufficient scientific, technical and managerial personnel could limit or delay our product development efforts, which would adversely affect the development of our drug candidates and commercialization of our potential drugs and growth of our business.

Risks Related To Our Industry

Our competitors may develop drugs that are less expensive, safer or more effective, which may diminish or eliminate the commercial success of any drugs that we may commercialize.

We compete with companies that are also developing drug candidates that focus on the cytoskeleton, as well as companies that have developed drugs or are developing alternative drug candidates for cardiovascular diseases, cancer and other diseases for which our compounds may be useful treatments. For example, if CK-1827452 or any other of our compounds is approved for marketing by the FDA for heart failure, that compound could compete against current generically available therapies, such as milrinone, dobutamine or digoxin or newer marketed drugs such as nesiritide. In addition, other pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical companies are developing other approaches to the treatment of heart failure.

Similarly, if approved for marketing by the FDA, depending on the approved clinical indication, our cancer drug candidates such as ispinesib, SB-743921 and GSK-923295 could compete against existing cancer treatments such as paclitaxel, docetaxel, vincristine, vinorelbine or navelbine and potentially against other novel cancer drug candidates that are currently in development such as those that are reformulated taxanes, other tubulin binding compounds or epothilones. We are also aware that Merck, Lilly, Array, BMS, ArQule and others are conducting research and development focused on KSP and other mitotic kinesins. In addition, other pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical companies are developing other approaches to inhibiting mitosis.

Our competitors may:

develop drug candidates and market drugs that are less expensive or more effective than our future drugs;

commercialize competing drugs before we or our partners can launch any drugs developed from our drug candidates;

Table of Contents

hold or obtain proprietary rights that could prevent us from commercializing our products;

initiate or withstand substantial price competition more successfully than we can;

more successfully recruit skilled scientific workers from the limited pool of available talent;

more effectively negotiate third-party licenses and strategic alliances;

take advantage of acquisition or other opportunities more readily than we can;

develop drug candidates and market drugs that increase the levels of safety or efficacy that our drug candidates will need to show in order to obtain regulatory approval; or

introduce therapies or market drugs that render the market opportunity for our potential drugs obsolete.

We will compete for market share against large pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies and smaller companies that are collaborating with larger pharmaceutical companies, new companies, academic institutions, government agencies and other public and private research organizations. Many of these competitors, either alone or together with their partners, may develop new drug candidates that will compete with ours. These competitors may, and in certain cases do, operate larger research and development programs or have substantially greater financial resources than we do. Our competitors may also have significantly greater experience in:

developing drug candidates;

undertaking preclinical testing and clinical trials;

building relationships with key customers and opinion-leading physicians;

obtaining and maintaining FDA and other regulatory approvals of drug candidates;

formulating and manufacturing drugs; and

launching, marketing and selling drugs.

If our competitors market drugs that are less expensive, safer or more efficacious than our potential drugs, or that reach the market sooner than our potential drugs, we may not achieve commercial success. In addition, the life sciences industry is characterized by rapid technological change. Because our research approach integrates many technologies, it may be difficult for us to stay abreast of the rapid changes in each technology. If we fail to stay at the forefront of technological change we may be unable to compete effectively. Our competitors may render our technologies obsolete by advances in existing technological approaches or the development of new or different approaches, potentially eliminating the advantages in our drug discovery process that we believe we derive from our research approach and proprietary technologies.

The regulatory approval process is expensive, time consuming and uncertain and may prevent our partners or us from obtaining approvals to commercialize some or all of our drug candidates.

The research, testing, manufacturing, selling and marketing of drug candidates are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory authorities in the United States and other countries, which regulations differ from country to country. Neither we nor our partners are permitted to market our potential drugs in the United States until we receive approval of a new drug application, or NDA, from the FDA. Neither we nor our partners have received marketing approval for any of Cytokinetics' drug candidates.

Obtaining NDA approval can be a lengthy, expensive and uncertain process. In addition, failure to comply with the FDA and other applicable foreign and U.S. regulatory requirements may subject us to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions. These include warning letters, civil and criminal penalties, injunctions, product seizure or detention, product recalls, total or partial suspension of production, and refusal to approve pending NDAs or

supplements to approved NDAs.

Table of Contents

Regulatory approval of an NDA or NDA supplement is never guaranteed, and the approval process typically takes several years and is extremely expensive. The FDA also has substantial discretion in the drug approval process. Despite the time and expense exerted, failure can occur at any stage, and we could encounter problems that cause us to abandon clinical trials or to repeat or perform additional preclinical testing and clinical trials. The number and focus of preclinical studies and clinical trials that will be required for FDA approval varies depending on the drug candidate, the disease or condition that the drug candidate is designed to address, and the regulations applicable to any particular drug candidate. The FDA can delay, limit or deny approval of a drug candidate for many reasons, including, but not limited to:

a drug candidate may not be safe or effective;

the FDA may not find the data from preclinical testing and clinical trials sufficient;

the FDA might not approve our or our contract manufacturer's processes or facilities; or

the FDA may change its approval policies or adopt new regulations.

If we or our partners fail to receive and maintain regulatory approval for the sale of any drugs resulting from our drug candidates, it would significantly harm our business and negatively affect our stock price.

If we or our partners receive regulatory approval for our drug candidates, we will also be subject to ongoing FDA obligations and continued regulatory review, such as continued safety reporting requirements, and we may also be subject to additional FDA post-marketing obligations, all of which may result in significant expense and limit our ability to commercialize our potential drugs.

Any regulatory approvals that we or our partners receive for our drug candidates may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the drug may be marketed or contain requirements for potentially costly post-marketing follow-up studies. In addition, if the FDA approves any of our drug candidates, the labeling, packaging, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and record-keeping for the drug will be subject to extensive regulatory requirements. The subsequent discovery of previously unknown problems with the drug, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or the discovery that adverse effects or toxicities previously observed in preclinical research or clinical trials that were believed to be minor actually constitute much more serious problems, may result in restrictions on the marketing of the drug, and could include withdrawal of the drug from the market.

The FDA's policies may change and additional government regulations may be enacted that could prevent or delay regulatory approval of our drug candidates. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of adverse government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or abroad. If we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we might not be permitted to market our drugs and our business would suffer.

If physicians and patients do not accept our drugs, we may be unable to generate significant revenue, if any.

Even if our drug candidates obtain regulatory approval, resulting drugs, if any, may not gain market acceptance among physicians, healthcare payors, patients and the medical community. Even if the clinical safety and efficacy of drugs developed from our drug candidates are established for purposes of approval, physicians may elect not to recommend these drugs for a variety of reasons including, but not limited to:

timing of market introduction of competitive drugs;

clinical safety and efficacy of alternative drugs or treatments;

cost-effectiveness;

availability of coverage and reimbursement from health maintenance organizations and other third-party payors;

convenience and ease of administration;

prevalence and severity of adverse side effects;

40

Table of Contents

other potential disadvantages relative to alternative treatment methods; or

insufficient marketing and distribution support.

If our drugs fail to achieve market acceptance, we may not be able to generate significant revenue and our business would suffer.

The coverage and reimbursement status of newly approved drugs is uncertain and failure to obtain adequate coverage and reimbursement could limit our ability to market any drugs we may develop and decrease our ability to generate revenue.

There is significant uncertainty related to the coverage and reimbursement of newly approved drugs. The commercial success of our potential drugs in both domestic and international markets is substantially dependent on whether third-party coverage and reimbursement is available for the ordering of our potential drugs by the medical profession for use by their patients. Medicare, Medicaid, health maintenance organizations and other third-party payors are increasingly attempting to contain healthcare costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement of new drugs, and, as a result, they may not cover or provide adequate payment for our potential drugs. They may not view our potential drugs as cost-effective and reimbursement may not be available to consumers or may not be sufficient to allow our potential drugs to be marketed on a competitive basis. If we are unable to obtain adequate coverage and reimbursement for our potential drugs, our ability to generate revenue may be adversely affected. Likewise, legislative or regulatory efforts to control or reduce healthcare costs or reform government healthcare programs could result in lower prices or rejection of coverage and reimbursement for our potential drugs. Changes in coverage and reimbursement policies or healthcare cost containment initiatives that limit or restrict reimbursement for our drugs may cause our revenue to decline.

We may be subject to costly product liability or other liability claims and may not be able to obtain adequate insurance.

The use of our drug candidates in clinical trials may result in adverse effects. We currently maintain product liability insurance. We cannot predict all the possible harms or adverse effects that may result from our clinical trials. We may not have sufficient resources to pay for any liabilities resulting from a claim excluded from, or beyond the limit of, our insurance coverage. Our insurance does not cover third parties' negligence or malpractice, and our clinical investigators and sites may have inadequate insurance or none at all. In addition, in order to conduct clinical trials or otherwise carry out our business, we may have to contractually assume liabilities for which we may not be insured. If we are unable to look to our own or a third party's insurance to pay claims against us, we may have to pay any arising costs and damages ourselves, which may be substantial.

In addition, once we have commercially launched drugs based on our drug candidates, we will face even greater exposure to product liability claims. This risk exists even with respect to those drugs that are approved for commercial sale by the FDA and manufactured in facilities licensed and regulated by the FDA. We intend to secure limited product liability insurance coverage, but may not be able to obtain such insurance on acceptable terms with adequate coverage, or at reasonable costs. There is also a risk that third parties that we have agreed to indemnify could incur liability, or that third parties that have agreed to indemnify us do not fulfill their obligations. Even if we were ultimately successful in product liability litigation, the litigation would consume substantial amounts of our financial and managerial resources and may create adverse publicity, all of which would impair our ability to generate sales of the affected product as well as our other potential drugs. Moreover, product recalls may be issued at our discretion or at the direction of the FDA, other governmental agencies or other companies having regulatory control for drug sales. If product recalls occur, they are generally expensive and often have an adverse effect on the image of the drugs being recalled as well as the reputation of the drug's developer or manufacturer.

We may be subject to damages resulting from claims that we or our employees have wrongfully used or disclosed alleged trade secrets of their former employers.

Many of our employees were previously employed at universities or other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. Although no claims against us are currently pending, we may be subject to claims that these employees or we have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed trade secrets or other proprietary information of their former employers. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these

claims. If we fail in defending such claims, in addition to paying monetary damages, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights or personnel. A loss of key research personnel or their work product could hamper or prevent our ability to commercialize certain potential drugs, which could significantly harm our business. Even if we are successful in defending against these claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and distract management.

Table of Contents

We use hazardous chemicals and radioactive and biological materials in our business. Responding to any claims relating to improper handling, storage or disposal of these materials could be time consuming and costly.

Our research and development processes involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, including chemicals and radioactive and biological materials. Our operations produce hazardous waste products. We cannot eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or discharge and any resultant injury from those materials. Federal, state and local laws and regulations govern the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of hazardous materials. We may be sued for any injury or contamination that results from our use or the use by third parties of these materials. Compliance with environmental laws and regulations is expensive, and current or future environmental regulations may impair our research, development and production efforts.

In addition, our partners may use hazardous materials in connection with our strategic alliances. To our knowledge, their work is performed in accordance with applicable biosafety regulations. In the event of a lawsuit or investigation, however, we could be held responsible for any injury caused to persons or property by exposure to, or release of, these hazardous materials used by these parties. Further, we may be required to indemnify our partners against all damages and other liabilities arising out of our development activities or drugs produced in connection with these strategic alliances, which could be costly and time-consuming and distract management.

Our facilities in California are located near an earthquake fault, and an earthquake or other types of natural disasters, catastrophic events or resource shortages could disrupt our operations and adversely affect our results.

Important documents and records, such as hard copies of our laboratory books and records for our drug candidates and compounds, are located in our corporate headquarters at a single location in South San Francisco, California near active earthquake zones. In the event of a natural disaster, such as an earthquake or flood, a catastrophic event such as a disease pandemic or terrorist attack or localized extended outages of critical utilities or transportation systems, we do not have a formal business continuity or disaster recovery plan, and could therefore experience a significant business interruption. Our partners and other third parties on which we rely may also be subject to business interruptions from such events. In addition, California from time to time has experienced shortages of water, electric power and natural gas. Future shortages and conservation measures could disrupt our operations and cause expense, thus adversely affecting our business and financial results.

Risks Related To Our Common Stock

We expect that our stock price will fluctuate significantly, and you may not be able to resell your shares at or above your investment price.

The stock market, particularly in recent years, has experienced significant volatility, particularly with respect to pharmaceutical, biotechnology and other life sciences company stocks. The volatility of pharmaceutical, biotechnology and other life sciences company stocks often does not relate to the operating performance of the companies represented by the stock. Factors that could cause volatility in the market price of our common stock include, but are not limited to:

results from, delays in, or discontinuation of, any of the clinical trials for our drug candidates for the treatment of heart failure or cancer, including the current and proposed clinical trials for CK-1827452 for heart failure, ispinesib for leukemia, pediatric solid tumors and breast cancer, SB-743921 for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, and GSK-923295 for cancer, and including delays resulting from slower than expected or suspended patient enrollment or discontinuations resulting from a failure to meet pre-defined clinical end-points;

announcements concerning our strategic alliances with Amgen, GSK or future strategic alliances;

announcements concerning clinical trials;

failure or delays in entering additional drug candidates into clinical trials;

failure or discontinuation of any of our research programs;

issuance of new or changed securities analysts' reports or recommendations;

Table of Contents

developments in establishing new strategic alliances;

market conditions in the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and other healthcare related sectors;

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our quarterly financial and operating results;

developments or disputes concerning our intellectual property or other proprietary rights;

introduction of technological innovations or new commercial products by us or our competitors;

issues in manufacturing our drug candidates or drugs;

market acceptance of our drugs;

third-party healthcare coverage and reimbursement policies;

FDA or other U.S. or foreign regulatory actions affecting us or our industry;

litigation or public concern about the safety of our drug candidates or drugs;

additions or departures of key personnel; or

volatility in the stock prices of other companies in our industry.

These and other external factors may cause the market price and demand for our common stock to fluctuate substantially, which may limit or prevent investors from readily selling their shares of common stock and may otherwise negatively affect the liquidity of our common stock. In addition, when the market price of a stock has been volatile, holders of that stock have instituted securities class action litigation against the company that issued the stock. If any of our stockholders brought a lawsuit against us, we could incur substantial costs defending the lawsuit. Such a lawsuit could also divert our management's time and attention.

If the ownership of our common stock continues to be highly concentrated, it may prevent you and other stockholders from influencing significant corporate decisions and may result in conflicts of interest that could cause our stock price to decline.

As of October 31, 2007, our executive officers, directors and their affiliates beneficially owned or controlled approximately 24% of the outstanding shares of our common stock (after giving effect to the exercise of all outstanding vested and unvested options and warrants). Accordingly, these executive officers, directors and their affiliates, acting as a group, will have substantial influence over the outcome of corporate actions requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors, any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets or any other significant corporate transactions. These stockholders may also delay or prevent a change of control of us, even if such a change of control would benefit our other stockholders. The significant concentration of stock ownership may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock due to investors' perception that conflicts of interest may exist or arise.

Evolving regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure may result in additional expenses and continuing uncertainty.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or Sarbanes-Oxley, new Securities and Exchange Commission regulations and NASDAQ Stock Market LLC rules are creating uncertainty for public companies. We are presently evaluating and monitoring developments with respect to new and proposed rules and cannot predict or estimate the amount of the additional costs we may incur or the timing of such costs. For example, compliance with the internal control requirements of Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404 has to date required the commitment of significant resources to

document and test the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting. While our assessment, testing and evaluation of the design and operating effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting resulted in our conclusion that, as of December 31, 2006, our internal control over financial reporting was effective, we can provide no assurance as to conclusions of management or by our independent registered public accounting firm with respect to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting in the future. These new or changed laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, in many

Table of Contents

cases due to their lack of specificity, and, as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices. We are committed to maintaining high standards of corporate governance and public disclosure. As a result, we intend to invest the resources necessary to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards, and this investment may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities. If our efforts to comply with new or changed laws, regulations and standards differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies, due to ambiguities related to practice or otherwise, regulatory authorities may initiate legal proceedings against us, which could be costly and time-consuming, and our reputation and business may be harmed.

Volatility in the stock prices of other companies may contribute to volatility in our stock price.

The stock market in general, and The NASDAQ Global Market, or NASDAQ, and the market for technology companies in particular, have experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. Further, there has been particular volatility in the market prices of securities of early stage and development stage life sciences companies. These broad market and industry factors may seriously harm the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted. A securities class action suit against us could result in substantial costs, potential liabilities and the diversion of management's attention and resources, and could harm our reputation and business.

We have never paid dividends on our capital stock, and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

We have paid no cash dividends on any of our classes of capital stock to date and we currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of our businesses. In addition, the terms of existing or any future debts may preclude us from paying these dividends.

Our common stock is thinly traded and there may not be an active, liquid trading market for our common stock.

There is no guarantee that an active trading market for our common stock will be maintained on NASDAQ, or that the volume of trading will be sufficient to allow for timely trades. Investors may not be able to sell their shares quickly or at the latest market price if trading in our stock is not active or if trading volume is limited. In addition, if trading volume in our common stock is limited, trades of relatively small numbers of shares may have a disproportionate effect on the market price of our common stock.

Risks Related To The Committed Equity Financing Facility With Kingsbridge***Our committed equity financing facility with Kingsbridge may not be available to us if we elect to make a draw down, may require us to make additional blackout or other payments to Kingsbridge, and may result in dilution to our stockholders.***

In October 2007, we entered into a new CEFF with Kingsbridge, or the 2007 CEFF. The 2007 CEFF entitles us to sell and obligates Kingsbridge to purchase, from time to time over a period of three years, shares of our common stock for cash consideration up to an aggregate of \$75.0 million, subject to certain conditions and restrictions. Kingsbridge will not be obligated to purchase shares under the 2007 CEFF unless certain conditions are met, which include a minimum price for our common stock; the accuracy of representations and warranties made to Kingsbridge; compliance with laws; effectiveness of the registration statement registering for resale the shares of common stock to be issued in connection with the 2007 CEFF; and the continued listing of our stock on NASDAQ. In addition, Kingsbridge is permitted to terminate the 2007 CEFF if it determines that a material and adverse event has occurred affecting our business, operations, properties or financial condition and if such condition continues for a period of 10 days from the date Kingsbridge provides us notice of such material and adverse event. If we are unable to access funds through the 2007 CEFF, or if the 2007 CEFF is terminated by Kingsbridge, we may be unable to access capital on favorable terms or at all.

We are entitled, in certain circumstances, to deliver a blackout notice to Kingsbridge to suspend the use of the resale registration statement and prohibit Kingsbridge from selling shares under the resale registration statement. If we deliver a blackout notice in the 15 trading days following the settlement of a draw down, or if the registration

statement is not effective in circumstances not permitted by the agreement, then we must make a payment to Kingsbridge, or issue Kingsbridge additional shares in lieu of this payment, calculated on the basis of the number of shares held by Kingsbridge (exclusive of shares that Kingsbridge may hold pursuant to exercise of the Kingsbridge warrant) and the change in the market price of our common stock during the period in which the use of the

Table of Contents

registration statement is suspended. If the trading price of our common stock declines during a suspension of the registration statement, the blackout or other payment could be significant.

Should we sell shares to Kingsbridge under the 2007 CEFF, or issue shares in lieu of a blackout payment, it will have a dilutive effective on the holdings of our current stockholders, and may result in downward pressure on the price of our common stock. If we draw down under the 2007 CEFF, we will issue shares to Kingsbridge at a discount of up to 10 percent from the volume weighted average price of our common stock. If we draw down amounts under the 2007 CEFF when our share price is decreasing, we will need to issue more shares to raise the same amount than if our stock price was higher. Issuances in the face of a declining share price will have an even greater dilutive effect than if our share price were stable or increasing, and may further decrease our share price.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

We made no repurchases of our common stock during the three months ended September 30, 2007. As of September 30, 2007, there were no remaining shares of common stock subject to repurchase by us.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit

Number

Exhibit Description

- | | |
|-----|--|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation. (1) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws. (1) |
| 4.1 | Specimen Common Stock Certificate. (4) |
| 4.2 | Fourth Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement, dated March 21, 2003, by and among the Company and certain stockholders of the Registrant. (1) |
| 4.3 | Loan and Security Agreement, dated September 25, 1998, by and between the Company and Comdisco. (1) |
| 4.4 | Amendment No. One to Loan and Security Agreement, dated February 1, 1999, by and between the Company and Comdisco. (1) |
| 4.5 | Warrant for the purchase of shares of Series A preferred stock, dated September 25, 1998, issued by the Company to Comdisco. (1) |
| 4.6 | Loan and Security Agreement, dated December 16, 1999, by and between the Company and Comdisco. (1) |
| 4.7 | Amendment No. 1 to Loan and Security Agreement, dated June 29, 2000, by and between the Company and Comdisco. (1) |
| 4.8 | Warrant for the purchase of shares of Series B preferred stock, dated December 16, 1999, issued by the Company to Comdisco. (1) |

- 4.9 Master Security Agreement, dated February 2, 2001, by and between the Company and General Electric Capital Corporation. (1)
- 4.10 Cross-Collateral and Cross-Default Agreement by and between the Company and Comdisco. (1)
- 4.11 Warrant for the purchase of shares of common stock, dated July 20, 1999, issued by the Company to Bristow Investments, L.P. (1)
- 4.12 Warrant for the purchase of shares of common stock, dated July 20, 1999, issued by the Company to the Laurence and Magdalena Shushan Family Trust. (1)
- 4.13 Warrant for the purchase of shares of common stock, dated July 20, 1999, issued by the Company to Slough Estates USA Inc. (1)
- 4.14 Warrant for the purchase of shares of Series B preferred stock, dated August 30, 1999, issued by the Company to The Magnum Trust. (1)
- 4.15 Warrant for the purchase of shares of common stock, dated October 28, 2005, issued by the Company to Kingsbridge Capital Limited. (2)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
4.16	Registration Rights Agreement, dated October 28, 2005, by and between the Company and Kingsbridge Capital Limited. (2)
4.17	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 29, 2006, by and between the Company and Amgen Inc.(3)
4.18	Warrant for the purchase of shares of common stock, dated October 15, 2007, issued by the Company to Kingsbridge Capital Limited. (7)
4.19	Registration Rights Agreement, dated October 15, 2007, by and between the Company and Kingsbridge Capital Limited. (7)
10.64	Letter Amendment to the Collaboration and License Agreement, dated June 18, 2007, by and between the Company and Glaxo Group Limited, a GlaxoSmithKline company. (5)
10.65	GE Loan Proposal, executed as of August 28, 2007, by and between the Company and General Electric Capital Corporation. (6)
10.66	Common Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 15, 2007, by and between the Company and Kingsbridge Capital Limited. (7)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).
(1)	Incorporated by reference from our registration statement on Form S-1, registration number 333-112261, declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 29, 2004.
(2)	Incorporated by reference from our Current

Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 20, 2006.

- (3) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 3, 2007.
- (4) Incorporated by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Security and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2007.
- (5) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 19, 2007.
- (6) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 29, 2007.
- (7) Incorporated by reference from

our Current
Report on Form
8-K, filed with
the Securities
and Exchange
Commission on
October 15,
2007.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: November 8, 2007

CYTOKINETICS, INCORPORATED
(Registrant)

/s/ Robert I. Blum
Robert I. Blum
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Sharon Surrey-Barbari
Sharon Surrey-Barbari
Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief
Financial Officer (Principal Financial
Officer)

47

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation. (1)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws. (1)
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate. (4)
4.2	Fourth Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement, dated March 21, 2003, by and among the Company and certain stockholders of the Registrant. (1)
4.3	Loan and Security Agreement, dated September 25, 1998, by and between the Company and Comdisco. (1)
4.4	Amendment No. One to Loan and Security Agreement, dated February 1, 1999, by and between the Company and Comdisco. (1)
4.5	Warrant for the purchase of shares of Series A preferred stock, dated September 25, 1998, issued by the Company to Comdisco. (1)
4.6	Loan and Security Agreement, dated December 16, 1999, by and between the Company and Comdisco. (1)
4.7	Amendment No. 1 to Loan and Security Agreement, dated June 29, 2000, by and between the Company and Comdisco. (1)
4.8	Warrant for the purchase of shares of Series B preferred stock, dated December 16, 1999, issued by the Company to Comdisco. (1)
4.9	Master Security Agreement, dated February 2, 2001, by and between the Company and General Electric Capital Corporation. (1)
4.10	Cross-Collateral and Cross-Default Agreement by and between the Company and Comdisco. (1)
4.11	Warrant for the purchase of shares of common stock, dated July 20, 1999, issued by the Company to Bristow Investments, L.P. (1)
4.12	Warrant for the purchase of shares of common stock, dated July 20, 1999, issued by the Company to the Laurence and Magdalena Shushan Family Trust. (1)
4.13	Warrant for the purchase of shares of common stock, dated July 20, 1999, issued by the Company to Slough Estates USA Inc. (1)
4.14	Warrant for the purchase of shares of Series B preferred stock, dated August 30, 1999, issued by the Company to The Magnum Trust. (1)

Edgar Filing: CYTOKINETICS INC - Form 10-Q

- 4.15 Warrant for the purchase of shares of common stock, dated October 28, 2005, issued by the Company and Kingsbridge Capital Limited. (2)
- 4.16 Registration Rights Agreement, dated October 28, 2005, by and between the Company and Kingsbridge Capital Limited. (2)
- 4.17 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 29, 2006, by and between the Company and Amgen Inc.(3)
- 4.18 Warrant for the purchase of shares of common stock, dated October 15, 2007, issued by the Company to Kingsbridge Capital Limited. (7)
- 4.19 Registration Rights Agreement, dated October 15, 2007, by and between the Company and Kingsbridge Capital Limited. (7)
- 10.64 Letter Amendment to the Collaboration and License Agreement, dated June 18, 2007, by and between the Company and Glaxo Group Limited, a GlaxoSmithKline company. (5)
- 10.65 GE Loan Proposal, executed as of August 28, 2007, by and between the Company and General Electric Capital Corporation. (6)
- 10.66 Common Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 15, 2007, by and between the Company and Kingsbridge Capital Limited. (7)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).

(1) Incorporated by reference from our registration statement on Form S-1, registration number 333-112261, declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 29, 2004.

(2) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with

the Securities
and Exchange
Commission on
January 20,
2006.

- (3) Incorporated by
reference from
our Current
Report on Form
8-K, filed with
the Securities
and Exchange
Commission on
January 3, 2007.

Table of Contents

- (4) Incorporated by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Security and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2007.

- (5) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 19, 2007.

- (6) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 29, 2007.

- (7) Incorporated by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 15, 2007.