

LAZARD GLOBAL TOTAL RETURN & INCOME FUND INC
Form N-30B-2
June 02, 2006

Lazard Asset Management LLC
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112-6300
www.LazardNet.com

LAZARD ASSET MANAGEMENT

Lazard Global Total
Return & Income
Fund, Inc.

First Quarter Report
MARCH 31, 2006

This report is intended only for the information of stockholders or those who have received the current prospectus covering shares of Common Stock of Lazard Global Total Return & Income Fund, Inc. which contains information about management fees and other costs.

Lazard Global Total Return & Income Fund, Inc.

Investment Overview

Dear Shareholder,

We are pleased to present the First Quarter Report for Lazard Global Total Return & Income Fund, Inc. (LGI or the Fund), for the period ended March 31, 2006. LGI is a diversified, closed-end management investment management company that began trading on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) on April 28, 2004. Its ticker symbol is LGI.

The Fund has been in operation for nearly two years, and we are pleased with LGI's overall performance. We believe that the Fund has provided investors with an attractive yield and diversification, backed by the extensive experience, commitment, and professional management of Lazard Asset Management LLC (the Investment Manager or Lazard).

Portfolio Update (as of March 31, 2006)

During the first quarter of 2006, the Fund's Net Asset Value per share (NAV) gained an impressive 8.2%, outperforming the Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI®) World® Index return of 6.6%. Since inception, the Fund's annualized NAV return of 15.2% is also ahead of its benchmark's return of 14.5%. Shares of LGI ended the first quarter of 2006 with a market price of \$19.54, representing a 13.2% discount to the Fund's NAV of \$22.50. The Fund's net assets were \$216.1 million, with total leveraged assets of \$307.7 million, representing 29.8% leverage.

We believe that LGI's investment thesis remains sound, as the Fund's NAV returns, since its inception and in the first quarter of 2006, have outperformed the Index. Contributing factors to the first quarter's strong performance included the sharp rally in global stocks, which experienced a mid-quarter selloff, then bounced back in mid-March, to levels not seen since 2000, and strong global merger and acquisition activity. Following a period of modest returns in 2005 for the smaller, short-duration currency and debt portion of the Fund, performance on this portion of the portfolio was stronger in the first quarter of 2006, and has been a significant positive contributor to overall performance in this period and since the Fund's inception.

At the quarter's end, 68.7% of the Fund's total leveraged assets consisted of global equities and 31.2% consisted of emerging markets currency and debt instruments, while the remaining 0.1% consisted of cash and other assets.

Declaration of Dividends

Pursuant to LGI's level distribution policy, the Fund's Board of Directors has declared a monthly dividend distribution of \$0.1042 per share on the Fund's outstanding stock each month since the first dividend was paid on July 23, 2004. This distribution level represents an annualized market yield of 6.4%, based on the share price of \$19.54 at the close of NYSE trading on March 31, 2006. LGI has met all of its distribution obligations without returning any capital to the Fund's stockholders.

Additional Information

Please note that available on www.LazardNet.com are frequent updates on the Fund's performance, press releases, and a monthly fact sheet that provides information about the Fund's major holdings, sector weightings, regional exposures, and other characteristics. You may also reach Lazard by phone at 1-800-828-5548.

On behalf of Lazard, we thank you for your investment in Lazard Global Total Return & Income Fund, Inc. and look forward to continuing to serve your investment needs in the future.

Message from the Portfolio Managers

Global Equity Portfolio (68.7% of total leveraged assets)

Edgar Filing: LAZARD GLOBAL TOTAL RETURN & INCOME FUND INC - Form N-30B-2

The Fund's equity portfolio is invested primarily in 35 to 45 equity securities of large, well-known global companies with strong financial productivity at attractive valuations. As of March 31, 2006, examples included GlaxoSmithKline, a global, research-based pharmaceutical company based in the United Kingdom; Home Depot, a U.S.-based company that operates warehouse-style stores selling building materials, home improvement supplies, and lawn and gar-

Lazard Global Total Return & Income Fund, Inc.

Investment Overview (continued)

den products; Nokia Corp., the Finnish manufacturer of mobile telephones, enhanced communicators, entertainment and gaming devices, and media and imaging telephones; and Total SA, the French-based energy supplier that explores for, produces, refines, transports, and markets oil and natural gas.

Companies held in the global equity portfolio are all based in developed-market regions around the world. As of March 31, 2006, 42.9% of these companies were based in North America, 30.1% were in continental Europe (not including the U.K.), 20.4% were in the U.K., and 6.6% were in Japan. The global equity portfolio is similarly well diversified across a number of industry sectors. The top two sectors, by weight, at March 31, 2006, were financials (29.4%), which includes banks, insurance companies, and financial services companies, and information technology (16.6%), a sector that encompasses those industries that include design, development, installation, and implementation of information systems and applications, which includes hardware, software, IT services, and media-related companies. Other sectors represented in the portfolio include consumer discretionary, consumer staples, energy, health care, industrials, and telecommunications services. The average dividend yield on the global equity portfolio was 2.3% as of the end of the first quarter.

Global Equity Market Review

A sharp rally in global stocks marked the first quarter of 2006, including a mid-quarter selloff before the mid-March bounce-back. The mid-quarter selloff was attributed to concerns over the sustainability of global growth and worries that the monetary tightening around the world (particularly in Japan, where rates have essentially been at zero for many years) would lead to a global reduction in investor risk tolerance. However, a continuation of strong profit growth, coupled with robust merger and acquisition activity (particularly in Europe), aided the overall rally in the global markets. In fact, the first quarter witnessed over \$800 billion worth of global merger and acquisition activity. The largest deal was AT&T's \$67 billion offer for BellSouth. Subsequently, the telecom services sector outpaced the overall U.S. market. In addition, Europe's utility sector saw significant merger activity when Germany's E.ON offered 29 billion euros for Spain's Endesa. From a sector perspective, energy, which had dominated index performance in 2005, performed modestly better than the global broad market, as oil prices failed to surpass last year's records. Telecom services, 2005's worst performing sector, performed well in the first quarter of 2006, driven by gains in U.S. telecoms. The materials sector was the best performer, while technology, health care, and consumer staples lagged. The Japanese market, which had soared during the second half of 2005 on expectations that its economy was finally emerging from a long malaise, lagged the global broad market, as the Central Bank of Japan halted its policy of flooding the economy with funds to fight deflation, and the Livedoor securities fraud weighed on the market. On the positive side, economic reports from Japan continue to be strong, U.S. stocks had their best performance in five quarters and, in Europe, takeover speculation helped stocks advance in the face of forecasts for slower earnings growth and the European Central Bank's second interest-rate increase in three months.

What Helped and What Hurt LGI

During the first quarter of 2006, the performance for the Fund's global equity portfolio benefited from stock selection in health care, as Schering AG, a pharmaceutical holding, received a takeover offer as a result of consolidation in the global pharmaceutical industry. Stock selection in technology also helped the performance, as some of the Fund's large U.S. holdings benefited from reaccelerating revenue growth. Conversely, performance was hurt by stock selection in energy, as some of the portfolio's European holdings lagged, including ENI, and by an underweight to the oil services sector.

Lazard Global Total Return & Income Fund, Inc.

Investment Overview (continued)

**Emerging Market Currency and Debt Portfolio
(31.2% of total leveraged assets)**

The Fund also seeks enhanced income through investing in high-yielding, short-duration¹ (typically, under one-year) emerging market forward currency contracts and local currency debt instruments. As of March 31, 2006, this portfolio consisted primarily of forward currency contracts (82.1%), and a smaller allocation to sovereign debt obligations (12.7%) and structured notes (5.2%). The average duration of the emerging market currency and debt portfolio was approximately 5.2 months, with an average yield of 8.2%.

At the end of the first quarter of 2006, the Fund's emerging market currency and debt holdings were highly diversified across 31 countries within Eastern Europe (15.2%), Asia (26.6%), Latin America (19.8%), the Middle East (9.5%), Africa (17.2%), and the Commonwealth of Independent States and Baltic countries (11.7%).

Emerging Market Currency and Debt Market Review 2006 was off to a solid start in emerging markets. Currencies have generally been appreciating, while external debt spreads are near record levels of tightness, and the multi-year emerging-markets equities bull-market run has continued unabated. There is increasing investor confidence in the current environment of strong global expansion alongside controlled inflation.

Accelerating global growth, historically low VIX levels (volatility index used to measure the markets' levels of satisfaction or anxiety), ongoing soundness of emerging markets fundamentals, record portfolio inflows, and tightening monetary policy biases in place among many emerging markets central banks are all supportive factors for this portfolio's performance year-to-date. During this global expansionary period, faster export growth from emerging markets has been noted. Many of these markets are net commodity exporters. Thus, high prices and a strong Asian growth profile are supportive factors for continued trade surpluses. Many emerging markets continue to post current account surpluses and are benefiting from increased capital (especially equity and overseas worker remittance) inflows. These factors have been associated with local currency appreciation pressures across most of our holdings. Emerging market policymakers are keen to support strengthening domestic demand, in its contributory role, together with net exports, toward sustained growth in gross domestic product. While both currency strength and higher interest rates have a role to play in tightening monetary conditions, locally, there appears to be increasing policymaker tolerance (or even explicit support) for appreciating exchange rates as an alternative or complement to higher official monetary policy rates.

What Helped and Hurt LGI

In keeping with the Fund's thesis on the risk/return attributes of a diversified local currency market portfolio, it is interesting to note that each of the top five countries contributing to first quarter returns were sourced from a different region of the emerging world. The top contributor was the portfolio's bullish exposure to Brazil. This country's trade surplus has gone from strength to strength. The Romanian currency market was also a strong contributor to portfolio returns. Exposure to the Russian ruble was positive, as the Central Bank of Russia permitted a material appreciation of the real effective exchange rate during the first quarter to counter domestic inflationary pressure. The Indonesian currency market contributed to performance, as the high-carry rupiah rallied sharply. Indonesia's policy rate is the highest in Asia, and the market is gaining confidence in its central bank policy and investment climate. And, continued strong performance from the Turkish lira and local debt helped the portfolio during the quarter.

Detracting from the portfolio's performance was its position in the Icelandic currency market, as the krona weakened sharply in an environment of thin liquidity. The Tanzanian shilling hurt portfolio performance due to the country's strong import-related demand for U.S. dollars. Finally, constrained position sizes or lack of exposure to the highest returning markets, such as the Czech Republic, Hungary, Indonesia, and the Philippines, limited portfolio upside.

Lazard Global Total Return & Income Fund, Inc.

Investment Overview (continued)

Please consider the Fund's investment objectives, risks, charges and expenses carefully before investing. For more complete information about the Fund, you may obtain the prospectus by calling 800-828-5548. Read the prospectus carefully before you invest. The prospectus contains investment objectives, risks, charges, expenses and other information about the Fund, which may not be detailed in this report.

Notes to Investment Overview:

1 A measure of the average cash weighted term-to-maturity of the investment holdings. Duration is a measure of the price sensitivity of a bond to interest rate movements. Duration for a forward currency contract is equal to its term-to-maturity. All returns are for the period ended March 31, 2006 and reflect reinvestment of all dividends and distributions. Past performance is not indicative, nor a guarantee, of future results.

The performance data of the index and other market data have been prepared from sources and data that the Investment Manager believes to be reliable, but no representation is made as to their accuracy. The index is unmanaged, has no fees or costs and is not available for investment.

The views of the Fund's management and the portfolio holdings described in this report are as of March 31, 2006; these views and portfolio holdings may have changed subsequent to this date. Nothing herein should be construed as a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold a particular investment. There is no assurance that the portfolio holdings discussed herein will remain in the Fund at the time you receive this report, or that portfolio holdings sold will have not been repurchased. The specific portfolio holdings may in aggregate represent only a small percentage of the Fund's holdings. It should not be assumed that investments identified and discussed were, or will be, profitable, or that the investment decisions we make in the future will be profitable, or equal the performance of the investments discussed herein.

The views and opinions expressed are provided for general information only, and do not constitute specific tax, legal, or investment advice to, or recommendations for, any person. There can be no guarantee as to the accuracy of the outlooks for markets, sectors and securities as discussed herein. You should read the Fund's prospectus for a more detailed discussion of the Fund's investment objective, strategies, risks and fees.

Lazard Global Total Return & Income Fund, Inc.

Investment Overview (continued)

**Comparison of Changes in Value of \$10,000 Investment in
LGI and MSCI World Index* (unaudited)**

**Average Annual Total Returns*
Periods Ended March 31, 2006
(unaudited)**

| | One Year | Since Inception** |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| Market Price | 11.29% | 4.98% |
| Net Asset Value | 13.72 | 15.16 |
| MSCI World Index | 18.03 | 14.47 |

* All returns reflect reinvestment of all dividends and distributions. The performance quoted represents past performance. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance quoted. Past performance is not indicative, nor a guarantee, of future results; the investment return, market price and net asset value of the Fund will fluctuate, so that an investor's shares in the Fund, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. The returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that a stockholder would pay on the Fund's distributions or on the sale of Fund shares.

The performance data of the index has been prepared from sources and data that the Investment Manager believes to be reliable, but no representation is made as to its accuracy. The index is unmanaged, has no fees or costs and is not available for investment. The MSCI World Index represents market value-weighted average returns of selected securities listed on the stock exchanges of Europe, Australasia and Far East, New Zealand, Canada, and the United States.

** The Fund's inception date was April 28, 2004.

Lazard Global Total Return & Income Fund, Inc.

Investment Overview (concluded)

**Ten Largest Equity Holdings
March 31, 2006 (unaudited)**

| Security | Value | Percentage of Net Assets |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| Credit Suisse Group Sponsored ADR | \$8,390,172 | 3.88% |
| Nokia Oyj Sponsored ADR | 8,122,240 | 3.76 |
| Exxon Mobil Corp. | 7,802,252 | 3.61 |
| Total SA Sponsored ADR | 7,653,513 | 3.54 |
| Microsoft Corp. | 7,635,126 | 3.53 |
| Nomura Holdings, Inc. ADR | 7,373,742 | 3.41 |
| Oracle Corp. | 6,530,130 | 3.02 |
| Diageo PLC Sponsored ADR | 6,412,773 | 2.97 |
| HSBC Holdings PLC Sponsored ADR | 6,392,414 | 2.96 |
| Barclays PLC Sponsored ADR | 6,332,040 | 2.93 |

Lazard Global Total Return & Income Fund, Inc.

Portfolio of Investments**March 31, 2006 (unaudited)**

| Description | Shares | Value |
|--|---------|--------------|
| Common Stocks 97.8% | | |
| Finland 3.8% | | |
| Nokia Oyj Sponsored ADR (c) | 392,000 | \$ 8,122,240 |
| France 6.9% | | |
| Societe Generale Sponsored ADR | 101,300 | 3,052,169 |
| Total SA Sponsored ADR (c) | 58,100 | 7,653,513 |
| Vivendi SA Sponsored ADR | 124,800 | 4,268,160 |
| Total France | | 14,973,842 |
| Germany 3.7% | | |
| Schering AG ADR (d) | 31,800 | 3,304,338 |
| Siemens AG Sponsored ADR | 50,600 | 4,714,402 |
| Total Germany | | 8,018,740 |
| Italy 2.3% | | |
| Eni SpA Sponsored ADR (c) | 89,250 | 5,085,465 |
| Japan 6.5% | | |
| Canon, Inc. Sponsored ADR | 69,000 | 4,557,450 |
| Kao Corp. Sponsored ADR | 7,700 | 2,031,568 |
| Nomura Holdings, Inc. ADR (d) | 332,600 | 7,373,742 |
| Total Japan | | 13,962,760 |
| Netherlands 2.9% | | |
| Heineken NV ADR (d) | 327,500 | 6,225,775 |
| Switzerland 9.7% | | |
| Credit Suisse Group Sponsored ADR (d) | 150,200 | 8,390,172 |
| Nestle SA Sponsored ADR (d) | 57,400 | 4,263,098 |
| Novartis AG ADR | 39,600 | 2,195,424 |
| Swiss Re Sponsored ADR (c), (d) | 55,200 | 3,860,688 |

Edgar Filing: LAZARD GLOBAL TOTAL RETURN & INCOME FUND INC - Form N-30B-2

| | | |
|---|---------|--------------------|
| UBS AG | 21,400 | 2,353,358 |
| Total Switzerland | | 21,062,740 |
| United Kingdom 20.0% | | |
| Barclays PLC Sponsored ADR (c), (d) | 135,300 | 6,332,040 |
| BP PLC Sponsored ADR | 69,600 | 4,798,224 |
| Cadbury Schweppes PLC Sponsored ADR (c), (d) | 112,700 | 4,508,000 |
| Diageo PLC Sponsored ADR (d) | 101,100 | 6,412,773 |
| GlaxoSmithKline PLC ADR (c) | 80,200 | 4,195,262 |
| HSBC Holdings PLC Sponsored ADR (d) | 76,300 | 6,392,414 |
| Tesco PLC Sponsored ADR (d) | 119,000 | 2,043,468 |
| Unilever PLC Sponsored ADR | 95,500 | 3,922,185 |
| Vodafone Group PLC Sponsored ADR | 219,100 | 4,579,190 |
| Total United Kingdom | | 43,183,556 |
| United States 42.0% | | |
| Bank of America Corp. (c) | 138,200 | 6,293,628 |
| Chevron Corp. (c) | 73,400 | 4,254,998 |
| Cisco Systems, Inc. (a) | 220,400 | 4,776,068 |
| Citigroup, Inc. (c) | 116,000 | 5,478,680 |
| Exxon Mobil Corp. (c) | 128,200 | 7,802,252 |
| First Data Corp. | 84,300 | 3,946,926 |
| General Electric Co. | 116,300 | 4,044,914 |
| Golden West Financial Corp. (d) | 31,200 | 2,118,480 |
| Honeywell International, Inc. | 55,000 | 2,352,350 |
| International Business Machines Corp. | 42,600 | 3,513,222 |
| Johnson & Johnson | 104,300 | 6,176,646 |
| JPMorgan Chase & Co. (c) | 148,896 | 6,200,029 |
| Microsoft Corp. (c) | 280,600 | 7,635,126 |
| Oracle Corp. (a), (c) | 477,000 | 6,530,130 |
| Pfizer, Inc. | 103,500 | 2,579,220 |
| The Coca-Cola Co. | 74,200 | 3,106,754 |
| The Home Depot, Inc. | 107,600 | 4,551,480 |
| United Technologies Corp. | 88,000 | 5,101,360 |
| Wells Fargo & Co. | 67,000 | 4,279,290 |
| Total United States | | 90,741,553 |
| Total Common Stocks (Identified cost \$179,944,558) | | 211,376,671 |

See Notes to Portfolio of Investments.

Lazard Global Total Return & Income Fund, Inc.

Portfolio of Investments (continued)

March 31, 2006 (unaudited)

| Description | Principal Amount (000) (e) | Value |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Foreign Government Obligations 5.7% | | |
| Egypt 3.7% | | |
| Egypt Treasury Bills: | | |
| 0.00%, 04/25/06 | 6,425 | \$ 1,111,170 |
| 0.00%, 05/09/06 | 2,750 | 474,019 |
| 0.00%, 05/30/06 | 9,150 | 1,569,231 |
| 0.00%, 07/18/06 | 7,475 | 1,267,661 |
| 0.00%, 07/25/06 | 4,550 | 770,353 |
| 0.00%, 08/08/06 | 2,250 | 379,691 |
| 0.00%, 09/12/06 | 3,775 | 632,112 |
| 0.00%, 09/26/06 | 3,100 | 517,413 |
| 0.00%, 10/17/06 | 6,800 | 1,129,528 |
| Total Egypt | | <u>7,851,178</u> |
| Israel 0.2% | | |
| Israel Government Bond, 6.00%, 01/31/10 | 1,930 | 408,189 |
| Turkey 1.8% | | |
| Turkey Government Bonds: | | |
| 0.00%, 11/08/06 | 897 | 616,600 |
| 0.00%, 03/07/07 | 3,231 | 2,131,859 |
| 0.00%, 05/09/07 | 843 | 545,372 |
| 0.00%, 09/05/07 | 1,083 | 667,315 |
| Total Turkey | | <u>3,961,146</u> |
| Total Foreign Government Obligations | | |
| (Identified cost \$12,151,079) | | <u>12,220,513</u> |
| Structured Notes 2.3% | | |

Brazil 1.3%

Citibank Brazil Inflation-Linked Bond

NTN-B:

| | | | |
|---------------------|-------|----|---------|
| 9.75%, 05/18/09 (f) | 927 | \$ | 918,956 |
| 9.60%, 08/17/10 (f) | 1,029 | | 989,464 |
| 8.45%, 05/18/15 (f) | 989 | | 970,910 |

Total Brazil

2,879,330

Costa Rica 0.7%

Citibank CRC Linked Deposit,

14.05%, 10/11/06 (f)

1,511 1,526,488

Zambia 0.3%

Smith Barney ZMK Linked Deposit,

13.00%, 09/29/06

1,941,300 597,323

Total Structured Notes

(Identified cost \$5,023,003)

5,003,141

Description

Shares

Value

Short-Term Investment 18.8%

Collateral for Securities

on Loan 18.8%

State Street Navigator Securities

Lending Prime Portfolio, 4.71%

(Identified cost \$40,540,475)

(g), (h)

40,540,475 40,540,475

Total Investments 124.6%

(Identified cost \$237,659,115) (b) .

\$ 269,140,800

Liabilities in Excess of Cash

and Other Assets (24.6)% .

(53,075,842)

Net Assets 100.0%

\$ 216,064,958

See Notes to Portfolio of Investments.

Lazard Global Total Return & Income Fund, Inc.

Portfolio of Investments (continued)**March 31, 2006 (unaudited)**

Forward Currency Contracts open at March 31, 2006:

| Forward Currency Purchase Contracts | Expiration Date | Foreign Currency | U.S. \$ Cost on Origination Date | U.S. \$ Current Value | Unrealized Appreciation | Unrealized Depreciation |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| ARS | 04/03/06 | 2,222,640 | \$ 720,000 | \$ 721,314 | \$ 1,314 | \$ □ |
| ARS | 04/12/06 | 3,506,000 | 1,137,278 | 1,137,665 | 387 | □ |
| ARS | 04/18/06 | 1,674,349 | 545,000 | 543,267 | □ | 1,733 |
| ARS | 04/28/06 | 1,993,944 | 646,000 | 646,877 | 877 | □ |
| ARS | 05/03/06 | 2,185,493 | 709,000 | 708,805 | □ | 195 |
| BRL | 04/06/06 | 8,936,820 | 3,790,000 | 4,110,432 | 320,432 | □ |
| BRL | 04/10/06 | 3,929,550 | 1,675,000 | 1,805,389 | 130,389 | □ |
| BRL | 09/01/06 | 237,334 | 106,000 | 105,012 | □ | 988 |
| BRL | 12/20/06 | 1,672,163 | 645,000 | 721,810 | 76,810 | □ |
| BRL | 01/31/07 | 1,874,000 | 773,421 | 801,417 | 27,996 | □ |
| BWP | 05/03/06 | 2,889,316 | 526,000 | 523,247 | □ | 2,753 |
| BWP | 06/09/06 | 2,937,525 | 530,000 | 528,522 | □ | 1,478 |
| BWP | 06/19/06 | 2,917,805 | 529,000 | 524,059 | □ | 4,941 |
| CLP | 04/20/06 | 242,468,250 | 453,000 | 461,654 | 8,654 | □ |
| CLP | 06/19/06 | 327,256,000 | 620,614 | 622,769 | 2,155 | □ |
| COP | 04/06/06 | 4,464,224,000 | 1,952,000 | 1,946,086 | □ | 5,914 |
| COP | 05/02/06 | 1,178,191,000 | 518,000 | 513,414 | □ | 4,586 |
| COP | 05/02/06 | 1,044,309,000 | 465,171 | 455,073 | □ | 10,098 |
| COP | 05/12/06 | 1,119,552,000 | 476,000 | 487,793 | 11,793 | □ |
| COP | 05/18/06 | 929,880,000 | 405,000 | 405,118 | 118 | □ |
| COP | 05/24/06 | 687,420,000 | 304,000 | 299,461 | □ | 4,539 |
| COP | 06/21/06 | 328,074,000 | 145,294 | 142,774 | □ | 2,520 |
| CSD | 05/11/06 | 36,887,400 | 495,000 | 507,264 | 12,264 | □ |
| CSD | 04/20/06 | 37,676,840 | 521,695 | 521,369 | □ | 326 |
| CSD | 06/09/06 | 28,147,588 | 384,215 | 383,847 | □ | 368 |
| EUR | 05/08/06 | 801,405 | 958,000 | 972,057 | 14,057 | □ |
| GHC | 04/10/06 | 2,754,280,000 | 296,000 | 300,927 | 4,927 | □ |
| GHC | 04/13/06 | 1,379,054,000 | 149,832 | 150,635 | 803 | □ |
| GHC | 08/31/06 | 5,922,351,000 | 629,836 | 630,406 | 570 | □ |
| GHC | 09/18/06 | 2,077,498,000 | 221,364 | 220,272 | □ | 1,092 |
| IDR | 04/11/06 | 4,967,505,000 | 531,000 | 546,661 | 15,661 | □ |
| IDR | 04/20/06 | 2,125,200,000 | 231,000 | 233,873 | 2,873 | □ |
| IDR | 05/16/06 | 22,935,040,000 | 2,480,000 | 2,523,940 | 43,940 | □ |
| IDR | 06/27/06 | 4,808,830,000 | 521,000 | 529,199 | 8,199 | □ |
| ILS | 05/31/06 | 1,682,100 | 356,000 | 359,958 | 3,958 | □ |
| ILS | 06/19/06 | 11,374,448 | 2,405,000 | 2,433,739 | 28,739 | □ |
| ILS | 09/29/06 | 2,418,504 | 528,000 | 516,967 | □ | 11,033 |
| INR | 04/03/06 | 79,887,700 | 1,790,000 | 1,792,239 | 2,239 | □ |
| INR | 04/10/06 | 24,379,790 | 547,000 | 546,683 | □ | 317 |

Edgar Filing: LAZARD GLOBAL TOTAL RETURN & INCOME FUND INC - Form N-30B-2

| | | | | | | |
|-----|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|
| INR | 04/17/06 | 12,926,000 | 289,496 | 289,707 | 211 | □ |
| INR | 05/03/06 | 83,051,460 | 1,853,000 | 1,859,410 | 6,410 | □ |
| INR | 06/05/06 | 23,162,970 | 519,000 | 517,579 | □ | 1,421 |
| ISK | 04/06/06 | 40,388,565 | 633,000 | 565,460 | □ | 67,540 |
| ISK | 04/06/06 | 39,100,152 | | | | |

Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| (In Thousands, Except Per Share Data) | | | | |
| INTEREST INCOME | | | | |
| Loans receivable, including fees | \$ 6,332 | \$ 5,939 | \$ 12,627 | \$ 11,723 |
| Securities, taxable | 207 | 195 | 414 | 391 |
| Securities, non-taxable | 304 | 334 | 607 | 617 |
| Federal funds sold, and other | 15 | 18 | 64 | 37 |
| Interest on time deposits | 1 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| Total Interest Income | 6,859 | 6,491 | 13,713 | 12,777 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | | | | |
| Deposits | 694 | 674 | 1,367 | 1,316 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 5 | 5 | 9 | 9 |
| Short-term borrowings | 28 | - | 43 | 2 |
| Long-term borrowings | 33 | 69 | 68 | 142 |
| Total Interest Expense | 760 | 748 | 1,487 | 1,469 |
| Net Interest Income | 6,099 | 5,743 | 12,226 | 11,308 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | | | | |
| Net Interest Income after Provision for Loan Losses | 5,979 | 5,638 | 12,084 | 11,098 |
| OTHER INCOME | | | | |
| Credit card processing fees | 412 | 356 | 775 | 689 |
| Other service fees | 173 | 169 | 332 | 320 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 91 | 54 | 189 | 121 |
| Gain on sale of securities, net | - | - | 139 | 31 |
| Profit (loss) on sale of other real estate owned | 1 | (9) | 7 | (3) |
| Impairment on other real estate owned | - | - | (42) | (9) |
| Total Other Income | 677 | 570 | 1,400 | 1,149 |
| OTHER EXPENSES | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 1,717 | 1,804 | 3,459 | 3,600 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 594 | 615 | 1,223 | 1,244 |
| Data processing | 444 | 320 | 803 | 625 |
| Credit card processing | 393 | 318 | 747 | 619 |

Edgar Filing: LAZARD GLOBAL TOTAL RETURN & INCOME FUND INC - Form N-30B-2

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Advertising and promotion | 381 | 280 | 673 | 530 |
| Professional fees | 133 | 144 | 255 | 264 |
| FDIC insurance | 78 | 98 | 165 | 198 |
| Insurance | 13 | 14 | 27 | 27 |
| Loan & real estate | 82 | 45 | 126 | 106 |
| Charitable contributions | 142 | 127 | 351 | 321 |
| Other real estate owned expenses | 4 | 10 | 40 | 17 |
| Other | 341 | 286 | 559 | 501 |
| Total Other Expenses | 4,322 | 4,061 | 8,428 | 8,052 |
| Income before Income Taxes | 2,334 | 2,147 | 5,056 | 4,195 |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE | 656 | 599 | 1,437 | 1,175 |
| Net Income | \$ 1,678 | \$ 1,548 | \$ 3,619 | \$ 3,020 |
| | | | | |
| BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.41 |
| | | | | |
| DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.41 |
| | | | | |
| DIVIDENDS PER SHARE | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.06 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Net Income | \$ 1,678 | \$ 1,548 |
| Change in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income: | | |
| Unrealized holding (loss) gain on securities available for sale | (865) | 722 |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for realized gains | - | - |
| | (865) | 722 |
| Income tax effect | 294 | (245) |
| Net unrealized (loss) gain | (571) | 477 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) gain, net of tax | (571) | 477 |
| Comprehensive Income | \$ 1,107 | \$ 2,025 |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Net Income | \$ 3,619 | \$ 3,020 |
| Change in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income: | | |
| Unrealized holding (loss) gain on securities available for sale | (527) | 1,152 |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for realized gains | (139) | (31) |
| | (666) | 1,121 |
| Income tax effect | 226 | (381) |
| Net unrealized (loss) gain | (440) | 740 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) gain, net of tax | (440) | 740 |
| Comprehensive Income | \$ 3,179 | \$ 3,760 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited)

Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

| | Common Stock | Surplus | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Total |
|---|-----------------|-----------|----------------------|---|-----------|
| (In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data) | | | | | |
| BALANCE - DECEMBER 31, 2013 | \$ 7,324 | \$ 23,671 | \$ 22,520 | \$ 540 | \$ 54,055 |
| Net income | - | - | 3,020 | - | 3,020 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | - | - | - | 740 | 740 |
| Dividend declared, \$.06 per share | - | - | (440) | - | (440) |
| Compensation expense recognized on stock options | - | 48 | - | - | 48 |
| Common stock grants to directors, 10,209 shares | 10 | 67 | - | - | 77 |
| BALANCE - JUNE 30, 2014 | \$ 7,334 | \$ 23,786 | \$ 25,100 | \$ 1,280 | \$ 57,500 |
| BALANCE - DECEMBER 31, 2014 | \$ 7,358 | \$ 24,024 | \$ 28,485 | \$ 1,465 | \$ 61,332 |
| Net income | - | - | 3,619 | - | 3,619 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax | - | - | - | (440) | (440) |
| Dividend declared, \$.10 per share | - | - | (736) | - | (736) |
| Compensation expense recognized on stock options | - | 25 | - | - | 25 |
| Common stock grants to directors, 9,122 shares | 9 | 87 | - | - | 96 |
| BALANCE - JUNE 30, 2015 | \$ 7,367 | \$ 24,136 | \$ 31,368 | \$ 1,025 | \$ 63,896 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$ 3,619 | \$ 3,020 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 142 | 210 |
| Amortization (accretion) of deferred loan costs | 12 | (1) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 306 | 340 |
| Net amortization of investment security premiums and discounts | 105 | 81 |
| Stock compensation expense | 25 | 48 |
| Net realized (gain) loss on sale of other real estate owned | (7) | 3 |
| Impairment on other real estate owned | 42 | 9 |
| Income on bank owned life insurance | (189) | (121) |
| Net realized gain on sale of securities available for sale | (139) | (31) |
| Increase in accrued interest receivable | (39) | (59) |
| Increase in other assets | (22) | (338) |
| (Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable | (12) | 86 |
| (Decrease) increase in other liabilities | (20) | 648 |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 3,823 | 3,895 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Purchases of securities available for sale | (13,869) | (13,799) |
| Maturities, calls and principal repayments of securities available for sale | 981 | 2,509 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale | 5,726 | 528 |
| Net increase in loans | (46,448) | (20,225) |
| Net redemption (purchases) of restricted investment in bank stock | (1,728) | 772 |
| Net maturities of interest bearing time deposits | - | 492 |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 53 | 46 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (282) | (82) |
| Net Cash Used in Investing Activities | (55,567) | (29,759) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net increase in deposits | 7,424 | 37,263 |
| Net increase (decrease) in securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 3,491 | (661) |
| Increase (decrease) in short-term borrowed funds | 35,440 | (10,000) |
| Proceeds from long-term borrowed funds | 5,455 | |
| Payments of long-term borrowed funds | (1,000) | (500) |
| Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities | 50,810 | 26,102 |
| Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (934) | 238 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - BEGINNING | 16,390 | 17,831 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - ENDING | \$ 15,456 | \$ 18,069 |

SUPPLEMENTARY CASH FLOWS INFORMATION

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Interest paid | \$ 1,500 | \$ 1,383 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 1,560 | \$ 1,180 |
| Other real estate sold through bank financing | \$ - | \$ 58 |
| Other real estate acquired in settlement of loans | \$ 195 | \$ - |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

7

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1 – Basis of Presentation

Embassy Bancorp, Inc. (the “Company”) is a Pennsylvania corporation organized in 2008 and registered as a bank holding company pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the “BHC Act”). The Company was formed for purposes of acquiring Embassy Bank For The Lehigh Valley (the “Bank”) in connection with the reorganization of the Bank into a bank holding company structure, which was consummated on November 11, 2008. Accordingly, the Company owns all of the capital stock of the Bank, giving the organization more flexibility in meeting its capital needs as the Company continues to grow. Embassy Holdings, LLC (the “LLC”) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank organized to engage in the holding of property acquired by the Bank in satisfaction of debts previously contracted. As such, the consolidated financial statements contained herein include the accounts of the Company, the Bank and the LLC. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

The Bank, which is the Company’s principal operating subsidiary, was originally incorporated as a Pennsylvania bank on May 11, 2001 and opened its doors on November 6, 2001. It was formed by a group of local business persons and professionals with significant prior experience in community banking in the Lehigh Valley area of Pennsylvania, the Bank’s primary market area.

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States of America generally accepted accounting principles (“US GAAP”) for interim financial information and in accordance with instructions for Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of the Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by US GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2015.

The consolidated financial statements presented in this report should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes for the year ended December 31, 2014, included in the Company’s Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) on March 30, 2015.

In preparing these consolidated financial statements, the Company evaluated the events and transactions that occurred after June 30, 2015 through the date these consolidated financial statements were issued.

Certain amounts in the 2014 financial statements may have been reclassified to conform to 2015 presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on 2014 net income.

Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The significant accounting policies of the Company as applied in the interim financial statements presented are substantially the same as those followed on an annual basis as presented in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Note 3 – Stockholders' Equity

On November 11, 2008, the Company consummated its acquisition of Embassy Bank For The Lehigh Valley pursuant to a Plan of Merger and Reorganization dated April 18, 2008, pursuant to which the Bank was reorganized into a bank holding company structure. At the effective time of the reorganization, each share of common stock of Embassy Bank For The Lehigh Valley issued and outstanding was automatically converted into one share of Company common stock. The issuance of Company common stock in connection with the reorganization was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 3(a)(12) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Note 4 – Stock Incentive Plan

At the Company's annual meeting on June 16, 2010, the shareholders approved the Embassy Bancorp, Inc. 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (the "SIP"). The SIP authorizes the Board of Directors, or a committee authorized by the Board of Directors, to award a stock based incentive to (i) designated officers (including officers who are directors) and other designated employees at the Company and its subsidiaries, and (ii) non-employee members of the Board of Directors and advisors and consultants to the Company and its subsidiaries. The Board of Directors believes that the SIP will encourage the designated participants to contribute materially to the growth of the Company. The SIP provides for stock based incentives in the form of incentive stock options as provided in Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and deferred stock awards. The term of the option, the amount of time for the option to vest after grant, if any, and other terms and limitations will be determined at the time of grant. Options granted under the SIP may not have an exercise period that is more than ten years from the time the option is granted.

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

At inception, the aggregate number of shares available for issuance under the SIP was 500,000. The SIP provides for appropriate adjustments in the number and kind of shares available for grant or subject to outstanding awards under the SIP to avoid dilution in the event of merger, stock splits, stock dividends or other changes in the capitalization of the Company. The SIP expires on June 15, 2020. There were no awards granted under the SIP for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. In January 2015 and 2014, February 2013 and 2012, the Company granted 9,122, 10,209, 8,764, and 7,992 shares of restricted stock, respectively, to certain members of its Board of Directors as compensation for their service in 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, in accordance with the Company's Non-employee Directors Compensation program adopted in October of 2010. Such compensation was accrued for as of December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011. In January 2014, February 2013 and 2012, the Company also granted stock options to purchase 29,663, 29,742 and 52,611 shares of stock to certain executive officers in accordance with their respective employment agreements. No stock options were granted in the six months ended June 30, 2015. Stock compensation expense related to the options granted was \$10 thousand and \$25 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, and \$24 thousand and \$48 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. At June 30, 2015, approximately \$48 thousand of unrecognized cost related to stock options granted in 2014 and 2013 will be recognized over the next 1.55 and 0.65 years, respectively. The fair value of the options granted in 2014, 2013 and 2012 was determined with the following weighted average assumptions: dividend yield of 0%, risk free interest rate of 2.30%, 1.34% and 1.43%, respectively, expected life of 6.0 years, 6.0 years and 7.5 years, respectively, and expected volatility of 28.93%, 28.79% and 31.10%, respectively. The weighted average fair value of options granted in 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$2.46 per share, \$2.14 per share and \$2.56 per share, respectively. At June 30, 2015, there were 351,897 shares available for issuance under the SIP.

Note 5 – Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Accounting principles generally require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income. Although certain changes in assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities, are reported as a separate component of the equity section of the balance sheet, such items, along with net income, are components of comprehensive income (loss).

The components of other comprehensive income (loss), both before tax and net of tax, are as follows:

| Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 2015 | | | 2014 | | |
| (In Thousands) | | | | | |
| Before Tax | Tax Effect | Net of Tax | Before Tax | Tax Effect | Net of Tax |

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| Change in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income: | | | | | | |
| Unrealized holding (losses) gains on securities available for sale | \$ (865) | \$ 294 | \$ (571) | \$ 722 | \$ (245) | \$ 477 |
| Reclassification adjustments for gains on securities transactions included in net income (A),(B) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total other comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (865) | \$ 294 | \$ (571) | \$ 722 | \$ (245) | \$ 477 |

Six Months Ended June 30,
2015

2014

(In Thousands)

| Before Tax | Tax Effect | Net of Tax | Before Tax | Tax Effect | Net of Tax |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Change in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income: | | | | | | |
| Unrealized holding (losses) gains on securities available for sale | \$ (527) | \$ 179 | \$ (348) | \$ 1,152 | \$ (391) | \$ 761 |
| Reclassification adjustments for gains on securities transactions included in net income (A),(B) | (139) | 47 | (92) | (31) | 10 | (21) |
| Total other comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (666) | \$ 226 | \$ (440) | \$ 1,121 | \$ (381) | \$ 740 |

- A. Realized gains on securities transactions included in gain on sales of securities, net, in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Income.
- B. Tax effect included in income tax expense in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Income.

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

There were no realized gains on securities available-for-sale for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014. A summary of the realized gains on securities available for sale, net of tax, for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Securities available for sale: | | |
| Realized gains on securities transactions | \$ (139) | \$ (31) |
| Income taxes | 47 | 10 |
| Net of tax | \$ (92) | \$ (21) |

A summary of the accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, is as follows:

| | Securities Available for Sale (In Thousands) |
|---|--|
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 | |
| Balance March 31, 2015 | \$ 1,596 |
| Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications | (571) |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | - |
| Net other comprehensive loss during the period | (571) |
| Balance June 30, 2015 | \$ 1,025 |
| Balance March 31, 2014 | \$ 803 |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassifications | 477 |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | - |
| Net other comprehensive income during the period | 477 |

Balance June 30, 2014 \$ 1,280

Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

Balance January 1, 2015 \$ 1,465

Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications (348)

Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (92)

Net other comprehensive loss during the period (440)

Balance June 30, 2015 \$ 1,025

Balance January 1, 2014 \$ 540

Other comprehensive income before reclassifications 761

Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (21)

Net other comprehensive income during the period 740

Balance June 30, 2014 \$ 1,280

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6 – Basic and Diluted Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share represents income available to common stockholders divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period, as adjusted for stock dividends and splits. Diluted earnings per share reflect additional common shares that would have been outstanding if dilutive potential common shares had been issued, as well as any adjustments to income that would result from the assumed issuance. Potential common shares that may be issued by the Company relate solely to outstanding stock options and are determined using the treasury stock method.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | (Dollars In Thousands, Except Per Share Data) | | | |
| Net income | \$ 1,678 | \$ 1,548 | \$ 3,619 | \$ 3,020 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 7,367 | 7,333 | 7,366 | 7,332 |
| Dilutive effect of potential common shares, stock options | 33 | 7 | 32 | 6 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 7,400 | 7,340 | 7,398 | 7,338 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.41 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.41 |

Stock options of 9,122 and 94,752 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, respectively, were not considered in computing diluted earnings per common share because they are not dilutive to earnings.

Note 7 – Guarantees

The Company, through the Bank, does not issue any guarantees that would require liability recognition or disclosure, other than its standby letters of credit. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Generally, all letters of credit, when issued, have expiration dates within one year. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as those that are involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Bank generally holds collateral and/or personal guarantees

supporting these commitments. The Company had \$4.2 million of standby letters of credit outstanding as of June 30, 2015. The approximate value of underlying collateral upon liquidation that would be expected to cover this maximum potential exposure was \$4.1 million. Management does not consider the current amount of the liability as of June 30, 2015 for guarantees under standby letters of credit issued to be material.

11

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 8 – Short-term and Long-term Borrowings

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, federal funds purchased and Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (“FHLB”) short term advances generally represent overnight or less than twelve month borrowings. Long term advances from the FHLB are for periods of twelve months or more and are generally less than sixty months. The Bank has an agreement with the FHLB which allows for borrowings up to a percentage of qualifying assets. At June 30, 2015, the Bank had a maximum borrowing capacity for short-term and long-term advances of approximately \$370.5 million. This borrowing capacity with the FHLB includes a line of credit of \$150.0 million. Short-term loans outstanding with FHLB totaled \$44.4 million as of June 30, 2015 and \$9.0 million were outstanding as of December 31, 2014. The increase in short-term loans outstanding with the FHLB was primarily used to fund loan growth and investment security purchases. Long-term advances outstanding with FHLB totaled \$5.5 million as of June 30, 2015 and no long-term advances were outstanding as of December 31, 2014. All FHLB borrowings are secured by qualifying assets of the Bank.

The Bank has a federal funds line of credit with the Atlantic Community Bankers Bank (“ACBB”) of approximately \$10.0 million, of which none was outstanding at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014. Advances from this line are unsecured.

The Company has two lines of credit with Uninvest Bank and Trust Co. (“Uninvest”) totaling \$10 million. As of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the outstanding balance was \$900 thousand and \$1.9 million, respectively. Advances from these lines of credit are secured by 833,333 shares of Bank common stock. Under the terms of the loan agreement, the Bank is required to remain well capitalized. The proceeds of the loan were primarily used for the holding company’s investment in the Bank, thus providing additional capital to support the Bank’s growth.

The components of long-term borrowings with the FHLB at June 30, 2015 are as follows:

| | June 30, 2015 (Dollars in Thousands) | |
|---------------|---|-------------|
| Maturity Date | Interest Rate | Outstanding |
| April 2016 | 0.26% | \$ 1,667 |

Edgar Filing: LAZARD GLOBAL TOTAL RETURN & INCOME FUND INC - Form N-30B-2

| | | |
|------------------------------|-------|----------|
| April 2017 | 0.48% | 917 |
| April 2018 | 0.69% | 945 |
| April 2019 | 0.88% | 959 |
| April 2020 | 1.06% | 967 |
| Total Outstanding Borrowings | | \$ 5,455 |

The components of long-term borrowings with Univest at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 are as follows:

| | June 30, 2015 | | December 31, 2014 | |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | | | |
| Maturity Date | Interest Rate | Outstanding | Interest Rate | Outstanding |
| November 2015 | 7.50% | \$ 900 | 7.50% | \$ 1,900 |

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 9 – Securities Available For Sale

At June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, the amortized cost and approximate fair values of securities available-for-sale were as follows:

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| (In Thousands) | | | | |
| June 30, 2015 : | | | | |
| U.S. Government agency obligations | \$ 37,662 | \$ 76 | \$ (61) | \$ 37,677 |
| Municipal bonds | 41,972 | 1,608 | (243) | 43,337 |
| U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprise (GSE) - Mortgage-backed securities - residential | 1,540 | 183 | - | 1,723 |
| Corporate bonds | 1,000 | - | (10) | 990 |
| Total | \$ 82,174 | \$ 1,867 | \$ (314) | \$ 83,727 |
| December 31, 2014 : | | | | |
| U.S. Government agency obligations | \$ 30,192 | \$ 46 | \$ (162) | \$ 30,076 |
| Municipal bonds | 36,618 | 2,023 | (17) | 38,624 |
| U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprise (GSE) - Mortgage-backed securities - residential | 7,168 | 333 | - | 7,501 |
| Corporate bonds | 1,000 | - | (4) | 996 |
| Total | \$ 74,978 | \$ 2,402 | \$ (183) | \$ 77,197 |

The amortized cost and fair value of securities as of June 30, 2015, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to prepay obligations with or without any penalties.

| Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|-------------------|---------------|
|-------------------|---------------|

| | (In Thousands) | |
|---|----------------|-----------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 5,574 | \$ 5,577 |
| Due after one year through five years | 40,132 | 40,235 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 17,156 | 17,692 |
| Due after ten years | 17,772 | 18,500 |
| | 80,634 | 82,004 |
| U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprise (GSE) - Mortgage-backed securities - residential | 1,540 | 1,723 |
| | \$ 82,174 | \$ 83,727 |

There were no gains realized in the three months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014. Gross gains of \$139 thousand and \$31 thousand were realized on sales of securities for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, respectively. There were no gross losses on the sales of securities during the six months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014.

Securities with a carrying value of \$65.4 million and \$62.7 million at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, were subject to agreements to repurchase, pledged to secure public deposits, or pledged for other purposes required or permitted by law.

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

The following table shows the Company's investments' gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively:

| | Less Than 12 Months | | 12 Months or More | | Total | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| June 30, 2015 : | (In Thousands) | | | | | |
| U.S. Government agency obligations | \$ 15,495 | \$ (50) | \$ 3,030 | \$ (11) | \$ 18,525 | \$ (61) |
| Municipal bonds | 7,063 | (243) | - | - | 7,063 | (243) |
| Corporate Bonds | 990 | (10) | - | - | 990 | (10) |
| Total Temporarily Impaired Securities | \$ 23,548 | \$ (303) | \$ 3,030 | \$ (11) | \$ 26,578 | \$ (314) |
| December 31, 2014 : | | | | | | |
| U.S. Government agency obligations | \$ 11,074 | \$ (44) | \$ 9,959 | \$ (118) | \$ 21,033 | \$ (162) |
| Municipal bonds | 2,987 | (17) | - | - | 2,987 | (17) |
| Corporate Bonds | 996 | (4) | - | - | 996 | (4) |
| Total Temporarily Impaired Securities | \$ 15,057 | \$ (65) | \$ 9,959 | \$ (118) | \$ 25,016 | \$ (183) |

The Company had twenty-five (25) securities in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2015. The unrealized losses are due only to market rate fluctuations. As of June 30, 2015, the Company either has the intent and ability to hold the securities until maturity or market price recovery, or believes that it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell such securities. Management believes that the unrealized loss only represents temporary impairment of the securities. None of the individual losses are significant.

Note 10 – Restricted Investment in Bank Stock

Restricted investments in bank stock consist of Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (“FHLB”) stock and Atlantic Community Bankers Bank (“ACBB”) stock. The restricted stocks are carried at cost. Federal law requires a member institution of the FHLB to hold stock of its district FHLB according to a predetermined formula. The Bank had FHLB stock at a carrying value of \$114 thousand repurchased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, and \$224 thousand and \$1.1 million was repurchased during the three and six months ended June 30,

2014, respectively. Stock purchases of \$983 thousand and \$1.8 million were made during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, and \$335 thousand during the three and six months ending June 30, 2014, respectively. Dividend payments of \$13 and \$58 thousand were received during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, and \$14 thousand and \$27 thousand were received during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively.

Management evaluates the FHLB and ACBB restricted stock for impairment. Management's determination of whether these investments are impaired is based on their assessment of the ultimate recoverability of their cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of their cost is influenced by criteria such as (1) the significance of the decline in net assets of the issuer as compared to the capital stock amount for the issuer and the length of time this situation has persisted, (2) commitments by the issuer to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the issuer, and (3) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, on the customer base of the issuer.

Based upon its evaluation of the foregoing criteria, management believes no impairment charge is necessary related to the FHLB or ACBB stock as of June 30, 2015.

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 11 – Loans Receivable and Credit Quality

The following table presents the composition of loans receivable at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively:

| | June 30, 2015 | | December 31, 2014 | |
|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Balance | Percentage of total Loans | Balance | Percentage of total Loans |
| (Dollars in Thousands) | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 272,946 | 41.55% | \$ 249,454 | 40.84% |
| Commercial construction | 20,171 | 3.07% | 23,220 | 3.80% |
| Commercial | 32,164 | 4.90% | 34,182 | 5.60% |
| Residential real estate | 330,793 | 50.36% | 302,908 | 49.60% |
| Consumer | 800 | 0.12% | 972 | 0.16% |
| Total loans | 656,874 | 100.00% | 610,736 | 100.00% |
| Unearned origination fees | (108) | | (155) | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (5,700) | | (5,614) | |
| | \$ 651,066 | | \$ 604,967 | |

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate pass rating and the classified ratings of special mention (potential weaknesses), substandard (well defined weaknesses) and doubtful (full collection unlikely) within the Company's internal risk rating system as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively:

| | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total |
|---------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|-------|
| June 30, 2015 | (In Thousands) | | | | |

Edgar Filing: LAZARD GLOBAL TOTAL RETURN & INCOME FUND INC - Form N-30B-2

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|----------|----------|------|------------|
| Commercial real estate | \$ 268,989 | \$ 1,961 | \$ 1,996 | \$ - | \$ 272,946 |
| Commercial construction | 18,795 | - | 1,376 | - | 20,171 |
| Commercial | 32,098 | 66 | - | - | 32,164 |
| Residential real estate | 329,623 | - | 1,170 | - | 330,793 |
| Consumer | 800 | - | - | - | 800 |
| Total | \$ 650,305 | \$ 2,027 | \$ 4,542 | \$ - | \$ 656,874 |

December 31, 2014

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|----------|----------|------|------------|
| Commercial real estate | \$ 244,805 | \$ 1,989 | \$ 2,660 | \$ - | \$ 249,454 |
| Commercial construction | 21,844 | - | 1,376 | - | 23,220 |
| Commercial | 33,672 | 510 | - | - | 34,182 |
| Residential real estate | 302,533 | 154 | 221 | - | 302,908 |
| Consumer | 972 | - | - | - | 972 |
| Total | \$ 603,826 | \$ 2,653 | \$ 4,257 | \$ - | \$ 610,736 |

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

The following table summarizes information in regards to impaired loans by loan portfolio class as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively:

| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Quarter to Date Average Recorded Investment | Quarter to Date Interest Recognized | Year to Date Average Recorded Investment | Year to Date Interest Recognized |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| June 30, 2015 | | | | | | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 3,956 | \$ 4,271 | | \$ 4,063 | \$ 33 | \$ 4,258 | \$ 66 |
| Commercial construction | 1,376 | 1,376 | | 1,376 | 13 | 1,376 | 25 |
| Commercial | - | - | | - | - | 1 | - |
| Residential real estate | 1,359 | 1,385 | | 1,223 | 1 | 953 | 5 |
| Consumer | - | - | | - | - | - | - |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 48 | \$ 48 | \$ 4 | \$ 299 | \$ (26) | \$ 384 | \$ - |
| Commercial construction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial | 323 | 323 | 117 | 324 | 2 | 325 | 5 |
| Residential real estate | 846 | 846 | 258 | 849 | 1 | 852 | 3 |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 4,004 | \$ 4,319 | \$ 4 | \$ 4,362 | \$ 7 | \$ 4,642 | \$ 66 |
| Commercial construction | 1,376 | 1,376 | - | 1,376 | 13 | 1,376 | 25 |
| Commercial | 323 | 323 | 117 | 324 | 2 | 326 | 5 |
| Residential real estate | 2,205 | 2,231 | 258 | 2,072 | 2 | 1,805 | 8 |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | \$ 7,908 | \$ 8,249 | \$ 379 | \$ 8,134 | \$ 24 | \$ 8,149 | \$ 104 |
| December 31, 2014 | | | | | | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 4,649 | \$ 4,984 | | | | \$ 5,729 | \$ 172 |
| Commercial construction | 1,376 | 1,376 | | | | 2,197 | 78 |
| Commercial | 4 | 4 | | | | 48 | 1 |
| Residential real estate | 413 | 431 | | | | 488 | 8 |
| Consumer | - | - | | | | - | - |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 555 | \$ 555 | \$ 76 | | | \$ 575 | \$ 108 |
| Commercial construction | - | - | - | | | - | - |
| Commercial | 326 | 326 | 119 | | | 229 | 9 |
| Residential real estate | 858 | 858 | 202 | | | 925 | 15 |

Edgar Filing: LAZARD GLOBAL TOTAL RETURN & INCOME FUND INC - Form N-30B-2

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Consumer | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total: | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 5,204 | \$ 5,539 | \$ 76 | \$ 6,304 | \$ 280 |
| Commercial construction | 1,376 | 1,376 | - | 2,197 | 78 |
| Commercial | 330 | 330 | 119 | 277 | 10 |
| Residential real estate | 1,271 | 1,289 | 202 | 1,413 | 23 |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - | - |
| | \$ 8,181 | \$ 8,534 | \$ 397 | \$ 10,191 | \$ 391 |

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

The following table presents non-accrual loans by classes of the loan portfolio:

| | June 30, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 599 | \$ 1,251 |
| Commercial construction | - | - |
| Commercial | 66 | 66 |
| Residential real estate | 1,170 | 366 |
| Consumer | - | - |
| Total | \$ 1,835 | \$ 1,683 |

The performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio is also monitored by analyzing the age of the loans receivable as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the past due status as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively:

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater than 90 Days Past Due | Total Due | Past Current | Total Loan Receivables | Loan Receivables > 90 Days and Accruing |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---|
| June 30, 2015 | (In Thousands) | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 675 | \$ - | \$ 302 | \$ 977 | \$ 271,969 | \$ 272,946 | \$ - |
| Commercial construction | 1,061 | - | - | 1,061 | 19,110 | 20,171 | - |
| Commercial | 29 | - | 66 | 95 | 32,069 | 32,164 | - |
| Residential real estate | 311 | 143 | 1,047 | 1,501 | 329,292 | 330,793 | - |
| Consumer | 2 | - | - | 2 | 798 | 800 | - |
| Total | \$ 2,078 | \$ 143 | \$ 1,415 | \$ 3,636 | \$ 653,238 | \$ 656,874 | \$ - |
| December 31, 2014 | | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 1,018 | \$ 182 | \$ 937 | \$ 2,137 | \$ 247,317 | \$ 249,454 | \$ - |

Edgar Filing: LAZARD GLOBAL TOTAL RETURN & INCOME FUND INC - Form N-30B-2

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|--------|----------|----------|------------|------------|------|
| Commercial construction | 1,061 | - | - | 1,061 | 22,159 | 23,220 | - |
| Commercial | - | - | 66 | 66 | 34,116 | 34,182 | - |
| Residential real estate | 540 | 154 | 366 | 1,060 | 301,848 | 302,908 | - |
| Consumer | 25 | - | - | 25 | 947 | 972 | - |
| Total | \$ 2,644 | \$ 336 | \$ 1,369 | \$ 4,349 | \$ 606,387 | \$ 610,736 | \$ - |

17

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

The following tables detail the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

| | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial Construction | Commercial | Residential Real Estate | Consumer | Unallocated | Total |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Allowance for loan losses (In Thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Three Months Ending June 30, 2015 | | | | | | | |
| Beginning Balance - March 31, 2015 | \$ 1,987 | \$ 325 | \$ 462 | \$ 2,230 | \$ 22 | \$ 609 | \$ 5,635 |
| Charge-offs | (46) | - | - | (9) | - | - | (55) |
| Recoveries | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Provisions | 64 | 8 | (71) | 196 | 10 | (87) | 120 |
| Ending Balance - June 30, 2015 | \$ 2,005 | \$ 333 | \$ 391 | \$ 2,417 | \$ 32 | \$ 522 | \$ 5,700 |
| Six Months Ending June 30, 2015 | | | | | | | |
| Beginning Balance - December 31, 2014 | \$ 1,704 | \$ 401 | \$ 407 | \$ 1,955 | \$ 22 | \$ 1,125 | \$ 5,614 |
| Charge-offs | (46) | - | - | (10) | - | - | (56) |
| Recoveries | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Provisions | 347 | (68) | (16) | 472 | 10 | (603) | 142 |
| Ending Balance - June 30, 2015 | \$ 2,005 | \$ 333 | \$ 391 | \$ 2,417 | \$ 32 | \$ 522 | \$ 5,700 |
| Three Months Ending June 30, 2014 | | | | | | | |
| Beginning Balance - March 31, 2014 | \$ 1,782 | \$ 587 | \$ 364 | \$ 2,094 | \$ 20 | \$ 527 | \$ 5,374 |
| Charge-offs | - | - | - | (45) | - | - | (45) |
| Recoveries | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Provisions | (156) | (171) | (3) | (190) | (7) | 632 | 105 |
| Ending Balance - June 30, 2014 | \$ 1,626 | \$ 416 | \$ 361 | \$ 1,859 | \$ 13 | \$ 1,159 | \$ 5,434 |
| Six Months Ending June 30, 2014 | | | | | | | |
| Beginning Balance - December 31, 2013 | \$ 1,791 | \$ 495 | \$ 349 | \$ 2,068 | \$ 24 | \$ 599 | \$ 5,326 |
| Charge-offs | (2) | - | (38) | (63) | - | - | (103) |
| Recoveries | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Provisions | (163) | (79) | 49 | (146) | (11) | 560 | 210 |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|----------|-------|----------|----------|
| Ending Balance - June 30, 2014 | \$ 1,626 | \$ 416 | \$ 361 | \$ 1,859 | \$ 13 | \$ 1,159 | \$ 5,434 |
|--------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|----------|-------|----------|----------|

18

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

The following tables represent the allocation for loan losses and the related loan portfolio disaggregated based on impairment methodology at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

| | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial Construction | Commercial | Residential Real Estate | Consumer | Unallocated | Total |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| (In Thousands) | | | | | | | |
| June 30, 2015 | | | | | | | |
| Allowance for Loan Losses | | | | | | | |
| Ending Balance | \$ 2,005 | \$ 333 | \$ 391 | \$ 2,417 | \$ 32 | \$ 522 | \$ 5,700 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 4 | \$ - | \$ 117 | \$ 258 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 379 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 2,001 | \$ 333 | \$ 274 | \$ 2,159 | \$ 32 | \$ 522 | \$ 5,321 |
| Loans receivables: | | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 272,946 | \$ 20,171 | \$ 32,164 | \$ 330,793 | \$ 800 | | \$ 656,874 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 4,004 | \$ 1,376 | \$ 323 | \$ 2,205 | \$ - | | \$ 7,908 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 268,942 | \$ 18,795 | \$ 31,841 | \$ 328,588 | \$ 800 | | \$ 648,966 |
| December 31, 2014 | | | | | | | |
| Allowance for Loan Losses | | | | | | | |
| Ending Balance | \$ 1,704 | \$ 401 | \$ 407 | \$ 1,955 | \$ 22 | \$ 1,125 | \$ 5,614 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 76 | \$ - | \$ 119 | \$ 202 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 397 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 1,628 | \$ 401 | \$ 288 | \$ 1,753 | \$ 22 | \$ 1,125 | \$ 5,217 |
| Loans receivables: | | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 249,454 | \$ 23,220 | \$ 34,182 | \$ 302,908 | \$ 972 | | \$ 610,736 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 5,204 | \$ 1,376 | \$ 330 | \$ 1,271 | \$ - | | \$ 8,181 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 244,250 | \$ 21,844 | \$ 33,852 | \$ 301,637 | \$ 972 | | \$ 602,555 |

Starting with the allowance for loan losses calculation of March 31, 2015, management added a new qualitative factor into the calculation which resulted in a reduction of the unallocated portion of the allowance. This new factor was based on management's best judgment using relevant information available at the time of the evaluation and is supported through documentation in a narrative accompanying the allowance for loan loss calculation.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

The Company may grant a concession or modification for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial condition than it would not otherwise consider, resulting in a modified loan which is then identified as troubled debt restructuring ("TDR"). The Company may modify loans through rate reductions, extensions to maturity, interest only payments, or payment modifications to better coincide the timing of payments due under the modified terms with the expected timing of cash flows from the borrowers' operations. Loan modifications are intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of the collateral. TDRs are considered impaired loans for purposes of calculating the Company's allowance for loan losses.

The Company identifies loans for potential restructure primarily through direct communication with the borrower and the evaluation of the borrower's financial statements, revenue projections, tax returns, and credit reports. Even if the borrower is not presently in default, management will consider the likelihood that cash flow shortages, adverse economic conditions, and negative trends may result in a payment default in the near future.

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

The following table presents TDRs outstanding:

| | June 30, 2015 | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | Accrual Loans | Non-Accrual Loans | Total Modifications |
| | (In Thousands) | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 2,821 | \$ 297 | \$ 3,118 |
| Commercial construction | 260 | - | 260 |
| Commercial | 257 | - | 257 |
| Residential real estate | 1,035 | - | 1,035 |
| Consumer | - | - | - |
| | \$ 4,373 | \$ 297 | \$ 4,670 |
| | December 31, 2014 | | |
| | Accrual Loans | Non-Accrual Loans | Total Modifications |
| | (In Thousands) | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 3,401 | \$ 314 | \$ 3,715 |
| Commercial construction | 260 | - | 260 |
| Commercial | 264 | - | 264 |
| Residential real estate | 1,050 | - | 1,050 |
| Consumer | - | - | - |
| | \$ 4,975 | \$ 314 | \$ 5,289 |

As of June 30, 2015, no available commitments were outstanding on TDRs.

There were no newly restructured loans that occurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015. The following table presents newly restructured loans that occurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014.

| | Number of Loans | Pre-Modification Outstanding Balance | Post- Modification Outstanding Balance |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|---|
| (Dollars In Thousands) | | | |
| Three Months Ending June 30, 2014 | | | |
| Commercial | 1 | \$ 262 | \$ 262 |
| | 1 | \$ 262 | \$ 262 |
| Six Months Ending June 30, 2014 | | | |
| Commercial | 1 | \$ 262 | \$ 262 |
| | 1 | \$ 262 | \$ 262 |

The commercial loan above was restructured through a rate reduction and payment modification. The impairment reserve on this TDR described was \$55 thousand recorded in the allowance for loan loss for the three and six months ending June 30, 2014.

There were no loans that were modified and classified as a TDR within the prior twelve months that experienced a payment default (loans ninety days or more past due) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014.

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 12 – Fair Value Measurements

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. The fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company's various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument.

Fair value guidance provides a consistent definition of fair value, which focuses on exit price in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. If there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, a change in valuation technique or the use of multiple valuation techniques may be appropriate. In such instances, determining the price at which willing market participants would transact at the measurement date under current market conditions depends on the facts and circumstances and requires the use of significant judgment. The fair value is a reasonable point within the range that is most representative of fair value under current market conditions.

ASC Topic 860 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under ASC Topic 860 are as follows:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported with little or no market activity).

An asset's or liability's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

21

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

For financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy utilized at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, are as follows:

| Description | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) | Total |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets | Significant Other Observable Inputs | Significant Unobservable Inputs | |
| | (In Thousands) | | | |
| U.S. Government agency obligations | \$ - | \$ 37,677 | \$ - | \$ 37,677 |
| Municipal bonds | - | 43,337 | - | 43,337 |
| U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprise (GSE) - Mortgage-backed securities - residential | - | 1,723 | - | 1,723 |
| Corporate bonds | - | 990 | - | 990 |
| June 30, 2015 Securities available for sale | \$ - | \$ 83,727 | \$ - | \$ 83,727 |
| U.S. Government agency obligations | \$ - | \$ 30,076 | \$ - | \$ 30,076 |
| Municipal bonds | - | 38,624 | - | 38,624 |
| U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprise (GSE) - Mortgage-backed securities - residential | - | 7,501 | - | 7,501 |
| Corporate bonds | - | 996 | - | 996 |
| December 31, 2014 Securities available for sale | \$ - | \$ 77,197 | \$ - | \$ 77,197 |

For financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, are as follows:

| Description | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) | Total |
|-------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|-------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets | Significant Other Observable Inputs | Significant Unobservable Inputs | |

for
Identical
Assets

| | (In Thousands) | | | |
|---|----------------|------|----------|----------|
| June 30, 2015 Impaired loans (1) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 839 | \$ 839 |
| June 30, 2015 Impaired loans (2) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| June 30, 2015 Other real estate owned (1) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,201 | \$ 1,201 |
| December 31, 2014 Impaired loans (1) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 863 | \$ 863 |
| December 31, 2014 Impaired loans (2) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 479 | \$ 479 |
| December 31, 2014 Other real estate owned (1) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,106 | \$ 1,106 |

- 1) Fair Value is generally determined through independent appraisals of the underlying collateral, which generally include various Level 3 input which are not identifiable. Fair values may also include qualitative adjustments by management based on economic conditions and liquidation expenses.
- 2) Fair Value determined using the debt service of the borrower.

Impaired loans are those that are accounted for under existing FASB guidance, in which the Bank has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan's collateral. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third-party appraisals of the

properties, or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values, based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements.

At June 30, 2015, of the impaired loans having an aggregate balance of \$7.9 million, \$6.7 million did not require a valuation allowance because the value of the collateral, including estimated selling costs, securing the loan was determined to meet or exceed the balance owed on the loan. Of the remaining \$1.2 million in impaired loans, an aggregate valuation allowance of \$379 thousand was required to reflect what was determined to be a shortfall in the value of the collateral as compared to the balance on such loans.

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Real estate properties acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure are to be sold and are carried at fair value less estimated cost to sell. Fair value is based upon independent market prices or appraised value of the property. These assets are included in Level 3 fair value based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following table presents additional quantitative information about assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

| Description | Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements | | | Range (Weighted Average) |
|-------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Fair Value Estimate | Valuation Techniques | Unobservable Input | |
| (Dollars In Thousands) | | | | |
| June 30, 2015: | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$ 839 | Appraisal of collateral (1) | Appraisal adjustments (2) | 0% to -25% (-24.2%) |
| | | | Liquidation expenses (3) | 0 to -8.5% (-7.6%) |
| Other real estate owned | \$ 1,201 | Listings, Letters of Intent & Third Party Evaluations (4) | Liquidation expenses (3) | -5% (-5%) |
| December 31, 2014: | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$ 863 | Appraisal of collateral (1) | Appraisal adjustments (2) | 0% to -25% (-17.6%) |
| | | | Liquidation expenses (3) | 0 to -8.5% (-8.2%) |
| Impaired loans | \$ 479 | Discounted Cash Flows (5) | | |
| Other real estate owned | \$ 1,106 | Listings, Letters of Intent & Third Party Evaluations (4) | Liquidation expenses (3) | -5% (-5%) |

1. Fair value is generally determined through independent appraisals of the underlying collateral, which generally include Level 3 inputs which are not identifiable.
2. Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors including economic conditions and the age of the The range and weighted average of appraisal adjustments are presented as a percent of the appraisal.
3. Appraisals and pending agreements of sale are adjusted by management for liquidation expenses. The range and weighted average of liquidation expense adjustments are presented as a percent of the appraisal or pending agreement of sale.
4. Fair value is determined by listings, letters of intent or third-party evaluations.
5. Fair value is determined using the debt service of the borrower.

The following information should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Company since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of the Company's assets and liabilities. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimates, comparisons between the Company's disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of the Company's financial instruments at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

Cash and Cash Equivalents (Carried at Cost)

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and short-term instruments approximate those assets' fair values.

Interest Bearing Time Deposits (Carried at Cost)

Fair values for fixed-rate time certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered in the market on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits. The Company generally purchases amounts below the insured limit, limiting the amount of credit risk on these time deposits.

Securities Available for Sale (Carried at Fair Value)

The fair value of securities available for sale are determined by matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted prices. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the security's terms and conditions, among other things.

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Loans Receivable (Carried at Cost)

The fair values of loans, excluding impaired loans carried at fair value of collateral, are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using market rates at the balance sheet date that reflect the credit and interest rate-risk inherent in the loans. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, and projected repayments and prepayments of principal. Generally, for variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values.

Restricted Investment in Bank Stock (Carried at Cost)

The carrying amount of restricted investment in bank stock approximates fair value, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable (Carried at Cost)

The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable approximates its fair value.

Deposit Liabilities (Carried at Cost)

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and noninterest checking, passbook savings and money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered in the market on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase, Federal Funds Purchased and Short-Term Borrowings (Carried at Cost)

These borrowings are short term and the carrying amount approximates the fair value.

Long-Term Borrowings (Carried at Cost)

Fair values of FHLB and Univest advances are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on quoted prices for new FHLB and Univest advances with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity. These prices obtained from this active market represent a market value that is deemed to represent the transfer price if the liability were assumed by a third party.

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments (Disclosed at Cost)

Fair values for the Company's off-balance sheet financial instruments (lending commitments and letters of credit) are based on fees currently charged in the market to enter into similar agreements, taking into account, the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments were as follows at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value Estimate | (Level 1) Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets | (Level 2) Significant Other Observable Inputs | (Level 3) Significant Unobservable Inputs |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|--|---|--|
| (In Thousands) | | | | | |
| June 30, 2015: | | | | | |
| Financial assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 15,456 | \$ 15,456 | \$ 15,456 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Interest bearing time deposits | 250 | 250 | - | 250 | - |
| Securities available-for-sale | 83,727 | 83,727 | - | 83,727 | - |
| Loans receivable, net of allowance | 651,066 | 654,786 | - | - | 654,786 |
| Restricted investments in bank stock | 2,512 | 2,512 | - | 2,512 | - |
| Accrued interest receivable | 1,638 | 1,638 | - | 1,638 | - |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | | |
| Deposits | 619,092 | 619,719 | - | 619,719 | - |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased | 33,795 | 33,792 | - | 33,792 | - |
| Short-term borrowings | 44,440 | 44,442 | - | 44,442 | - |
| Long-term borrowings | 6,355 | 6,248 | - | - | 6,248 |
| Accrued interest payable | 337 | 337 | - | 337 | - |

Off-balance sheet financial instruments:

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Commitments to grant loans | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unfunded commitments under lines of credit | - | - | - | - | - |
| Standby letters of credit | - | - | - | - | - |

December 31, 2014:

Financial assets:

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 16,390 | \$ 16,390 | \$ 16,390 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Interest bearing time deposits | 250 | 251 | - | 251 | - |
| Securities available-for-sale | 77,197 | 77,197 | - | 77,197 | - |
| Loans receivable, net of allowance | 604,697 | 611,256 | - | - | 611,256 |
| Restricted investments in bank stock | 784 | 784 | - | 784 | - |
| Accrued interest receivable | 1,599 | 1,599 | - | 1,599 | - |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | | |
| Deposits | 611,668 | 611,975 | - | 611,975 | - |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased | 30,304 | 30,302 | - | 30,302 | - |
| Short-term borrowings | 9,000 | 9,000 | - | 9,000 | - |
| Long-term borrowings | 1,900 | 1,877 | - | - | 1,877 |
| Accrued interest payable | 349 | 349 | - | 349 | - |
| Off-balance sheet financial instruments: | | | | | |
| Commitments to grant loans | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unfunded commitments under lines of credit | - | - | - | - | - |
| Standby letters of credit | - | - | - | - | - |

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 13 – Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

The Company enters into agreements under which it sells securities subject to an obligation to repurchase the same or similar securities. Under these arrangements, the Company may transfer legal control over the assets but still retain effective control through an agreement that both entitles and obligates the Company to repurchase the assets. As a result, these repurchase agreements are accounted for as collateralized financing arrangements (i.e., secured borrowings) and not as a sale and subsequent repurchase of securities. The obligation to repurchase the securities is reflected as a liability in the Company's consolidated statements of condition, while the securities underlying the repurchase agreements remain in the respective investment securities asset accounts. In other words, there is no offsetting or netting of the investment securities assets with the repurchase agreement liabilities. In addition, as the Company does not enter into reverse repurchase agreements, there is no such offsetting to be done with the repurchase agreements.

The right of setoff for a repurchase agreement resembles a secured borrowing, whereby the collateral would be used to settle the fair value of the repurchase agreement should the Company be in default (e.g., fails to make an interest payment to the counterparty). For private institution repurchase agreements, if the private institution counterparty were to default (e.g., declare bankruptcy), the Company could cancel the repurchase agreement (i.e., cease payment of principal and interest), and attempt collection on the amount of collateral value in excess of the repurchase agreement fair value. The collateral is held by a third party financial institution in the counterparty's custodial account. The counterparty has the right to sell or repledge the investment securities. For government entity repurchase agreements, the collateral is held by the Company in a segregated custodial account under a tri-party agreement.

The following table presents the liabilities subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or repurchase agreements as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

| | | Net Amounts | | |
|-------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|------------|
| Gross | Gross | of Liabilities | | |
| Amounts of | Offset in the | Presented in | the | Cash |
| Recognized | Consolidated | Consolidated | Consolidated | Collateral |
| Liabilities | Balance Sheet | Balance Sheet | Financial | Pledged |
| | | | Instruments | |

Net
Amount

(In Thousands)

| | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------|------|-----------|-------------|------|------|
| June 30, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Repurchase | | | | | | |
| Agreements: | | | | | | |
| Corporate | | | | | | |
| Institutions | \$ 33,795 | \$ - | \$ 33,795 | \$ (33,795) | \$ - | \$ - |
| December 31, | | | | | | |
| 2014 | | | | | | |
| Repurchase | | | | | | |
| Agreements: | | | | | | |
| Corporate | | | | | | |
| Institutions | \$ 30,304 | \$ - | \$ 30,304 | \$ (30,304) | \$ - | \$ - |

As of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the fair value of securities pledged was \$35.4 million and \$34.5 million, respectively.

Embassy Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 14 – New Accounting Standards

In January 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an accounting standard update (ASU 2014-04) related to; Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40) Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure. The update applies to all creditors who obtain physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan in satisfaction of a receivable. The amendments in this update clarify when an in-substance repossession or foreclosure occurs and requires disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by a creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. The amendments in the update are effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2014. At June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 the Company had no foreclosed residential real estate held. At June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had \$154 thousand and \$375 thousand, respectively in recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure.

In May 2014, FASB issued ASU 2014-09 Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). ASU 2014-09 affects any entity using U.S. GAAP that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards (e.g., insurance contracts or lease contracts). This ASU will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, and most industry-specific guidance. This ASU also supersedes some cost guidance included in Subtopic 605-35, Revenue Recognition—Construction-Type and Production-Type Contracts. In addition, the existing requirements for the recognition of a gain or loss on the transfer of nonfinancial assets that are not in a contract with a customer (e.g., assets within the scope of Topic 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment, and intangible assets within the scope of Topic 350, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other) are amended to be consistent with the guidance on recognition and measurement (including the constraint on revenue) in this ASU.

The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity should apply the following steps:

Step 1: Identify the contract(s) with a customer.

Step 2: Identify the performance obligations in the contract.

Step 3: Determine the transaction price.

Step 4: Allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract.

Step 5: Recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation.

For a public business entity, the amendments in this ASU are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early application is not permitted. The Company is currently analyzing the impact of the guidance on its financial statements.

An entity should apply the amendments in this ASU using one of the following two methods:

Retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented and the entity may elect any of the following practical expedients:

- For completed contracts, an entity need not restate contracts that begin and end within the same annual reporting period.
- For completed contracts that have variable consideration, an entity may use the transaction price at the date the contract was completed rather than estimating variable consideration amounts in the comparative reporting periods.

- For all reporting periods presented before the date of initial application, an entity need not disclose the amount of the transaction price allocated to remaining performance obligations and an explanation of when the entity expects to recognize

that amount as revenue.

Retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying this ASU recognized at the date of initial application. If an entity elects this transition method it also should provide the additional disclosures in reporting periods that include the date of initial application of:

- The amount by which each financial statement line item is affected in the current reporting period by the application of this ASU as compared to the guidance that was in effect before the change.
- An explanation of the reasons for significant changes.

Item 2 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This discussion and analysis provides an overview of the financial condition and results of operations of Embassy Bancorp, Inc. (the “Company”) as of June 30, 2015 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the preceding consolidated financial statements and related footnotes, as well as with the audited consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes for the year ended December 31, 2014, included in the Company’s Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Current performance does not guarantee and may not be indicative of similar performance in the future.

Critical Accounting Policies

Disclosure of the Company’s significant accounting policies is included in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included in the Company’s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. Some of these policies are particularly sensitive, requiring significant judgments, estimates and assumptions to be made by management, most particularly in connection with determining the provision for loan losses and the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of deferred tax assets. Additional information is contained in this Form 10-Q under the paragraphs titled “Provision for Loan Losses,” “Credit Risk and Loan Quality,” and “Income Taxes” contained on the following pages.

Forward-looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements, including statements of goals, intentions, and expectations as to future trends, plans, events or results of Company operations and policies and regarding general economic conditions. These forward-looking statements are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based upon current and anticipated economic conditions, nationally and in the Company’s market, interest rates and interest rate policy, competitive factors and other conditions that, by their nature, are not susceptible to accurate forecast, and are subject to significant uncertainty.

Such forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as “believes”, “expects”, “may”, “intends”, “will”, “should”, “anticipates”, or the negative of any of the foregoing or other variations thereon comparable terminology, or by discussion of strategy.

No assurance can be given that the future results covered by forward-looking statements will be achieved. Such statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from

future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Important factors that could impact the Company's operating results include, but are not limited to, (i) the effects of changing economic conditions in the Company's market areas and nationally, (ii) credit risks of commercial, real estate, consumer and other lending activities, (iii) significant changes in interest rates, (iv) changes in federal and state banking laws and regulations which could impact the Company's operations, and (iv) other external developments which could materially affect the Company's business and operations.

OVERVIEW

The Company is a Pennsylvania corporation organized in 2008 and registered as a bank holding company pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the "BHC Act"). The Company was formed for purposes of acquiring Embassy Bank For The Lehigh Valley (the "Bank") in connection with the reorganization of the Bank into a bank holding company structure, which was consummated on November 11, 2008. Accordingly, the Company owns all of the capital stock of the Bank, giving the organization more flexibility in meeting its capital needs as the Company continues to grow. Embassy Holdings, LLC (the "LLC") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank organized to engage in the holding of property acquired by the Bank in satisfaction of debts previously contracted. As such, the consolidated financial statements contained herein include the accounts of the Company, the Bank and the LLC.

The Bank, which is the Company's primary operating subsidiary, was originally incorporated as a Pennsylvania bank on May 11, 2001 and opened its doors on November 6, 2001. It was formed by a group of local business persons and professionals with significant prior experience in community banking in the Lehigh Valley area of Pennsylvania, the Bank's primary market area.

The Company's assets increased \$54.0 million from \$719.1 million at December 31, 2014 to \$773.1 million at June 30, 2015 due primarily to an increase in loans receivable and an increase in securities available for sale.

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2015 was \$1.7 million compared to a net income for the three months ended June 30, 2014 of \$1.5 million. Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was \$3.6 million compared to a net income for the six months ended June 30, 2014 of \$3.0 million. Loans receivable, net of the allowance for loan losses, increased \$46.1 million to \$651.1 million at June 30, 2015 from \$605.0 million at December 31, 2014.

With the market being very competitive, the Company remains

committed to maintaining a high quality portfolio that returns a reasonable market rate. The Company expects to increase lending activity, as the Company expands its presence in its market and becomes more widely known. The past and current economic conditions have created lower demand for loans by credit-worthy customers. The lending staff has been active in contacting new prospects and promoting the Company's name in the community. Management believes that this will translate into continued growth of a portfolio of quality loans and core deposit relationships, although there can be no assurance of this. The Company continues to monitor interest rate exposure of its interest bearing assets and liabilities and believes that it is well positioned for any future market rate adjustments.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Interest Income

Total interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 totaled \$6.9 million and \$6.5 million, respectively. Average earning assets were \$730.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015 compared to \$676.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014. The tax equivalent yield on average earning assets was 3.88% for the second quarter of 2015 compared to 3.97% for the second quarter of 2014.

Total interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2015 increased \$12.0 thousand to \$760.0 thousand as compared to \$748.0 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2014, primarily due to an increase in average deposits and in deposit rates. Average interest bearing liabilities were \$614.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015 compared to \$575.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014. The yield on average interest bearing liabilities was 0.50% and 0.52% for the second quarter of 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Net interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2015 was \$6.1 million compared to \$5.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014. The improvement in net interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2015 is a result of a decrease of interest expense on long-term borrowings and the growth in the loan portfolio; offset by growth in interest bearing deposits and short term borrowings. The Company's net interest margin for the three months ended June 30, 2015 decreased seven (7) basis points to 3.48% as compared to 3.55% for the three months ended June 30, 2014, due to the current interest rate environment, including decreased interest rates on the investment securities and the loan portfolio due to the competitive interest rate pressure of lending; offset by the decreased cost of borrowed funds.

Total interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 totaled \$13.7 million and \$12.8 million, respectively. Average earning assets were \$719.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015 compared to \$670.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The tax equivalent yield on average earning assets for the six months ended June 30, 2015 increased one (1) basis point to 3.96% as compared to 3.95% for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Total interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 totaled \$1.5 million. Average interest bearing liabilities were \$606.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015 compared to \$572.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The yield on average interest bearing liabilities as of June 30, 2015 decreased three (3) basis points to 0.49% from 0.52% as of June 30, 2014. This decrease was the result of market conditions, deposit mix, borrowing costs, competition and management's resulting adjustments to the interest rates provided to depositors.

Net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was \$12.2 million compared to \$11.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The improvement in net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2015 is a result of a decrease of interest expense on long-term borrowings and the growth in the loan portfolio; offset by growth in interest bearing deposits and short term borrowings. The Company's net interest margin for the six months ended June 30, 2015 increased three (3) basis points to 3.54% as compared to 3.51% for the six months ended June 30, 2014, due to the current interest rate environment, including decreased cost of borrowed funds; offset by decreased interest rates on the loan and investment securities portfolios and the competitive interest rate pressure of lending.

The table below sets forth average balances and corresponding yields for the corresponding periods ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

Distribution of Assets, Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity:

Interest Rates and Interest Differential (quarter to date)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2015 | | | 2014 | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest | Tax Equivalent Yield | Average Balance | Interest | Tax Equivalent Yield |
| (Dollars In Thousands) | | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Loans - taxable | \$ 629,149 | \$ 6,252 | 3.99% | \$ 575,312 | \$ 5,880 | 4.10% |
| Loans - non-taxable | 9,914 | 80 | 4.90% | 8,444 | 59 | 4.25% |
| Investment securities - taxable | 50,700 | 207 | 1.64% | 47,103 | 195 | 1.66% |
| Investment securities - non-taxable | 34,106 | 304 | 5.42% | 36,019 | 334 | 5.63% |
| Federal funds sold | 539 | - | 0.21% | 856 | - | 0.21% |
| Time deposits | 250 | - | 0.55% | 1,446 | 5 | 1.16% |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks | 5,738 | 15 | 1.05% | 7,475 | 18 | 0.97% |
| TOTAL INTEREST EARNING ASSETS | 730,396 | 6,858 | 3.88% | 676,655 | 6,491 | 3.97% |
| Less allowance for loan losses | (5,636) | | | (5,396) | | |
| Other assets | 33,943 | | | 28,646 | | |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 758,703 | | | \$ 699,905 | | |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing demand deposits, NOW and money market | \$ 54,371 | \$ 7 | 0.05% | \$ 58,747 | \$ 8 | 0.05% |
| Savings | 408,944 | 496 | 0.49% | 406,816 | 489 | 0.48% |
| Certificates of deposit | 78,741 | 191 | 0.97% | 74,927 | 177 | 0.95% |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and short & long-term borrowings | 72,775 | 66 | 0.36% | 34,951 | 74 | 0.85% |
| TOTAL INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES | 614,831 | 760 | 0.50% | 575,441 | 748 | 0.52% |

| | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Non-interest bearing demand deposits | 71,390 | | 60,588 |
| Other liabilities | 5,531 | | 4,473 |
| Stockholders' equity | 66,951 | | 59,403 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ 758,703 | | \$ 699,905 |
| Net interest income | | \$ 6,098 | \$ 5,743 |
| Net interest spread | | 3.38% | 3.45% |
| Net interest margin | | 3.48% | 3.55% |

30

Distribution of Assets, Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity:

Interest Rates and Interest Differential (year to date)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| | 2015 | | | 2014 | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest | Tax Equivalent Yield | Average Balance | Interest | Tax Equivalent Yield |
| (Dollars In Thousands) | | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Loans - taxable | \$ 617,817 | \$ 12,469 | 4.07% | \$ 570,713 | \$ 11,616 | 4.10% |
| Loans - non-taxable | 9,741 | 158 | 4.96% | 7,500 | 107 | 4.36% |
| Investment securities - taxable | 50,446 | 413 | 1.64% | 46,543 | 391 | 1.69% |
| Investment securities - non-taxable | 34,293 | 608 | 5.41% | 33,465 | 617 | 5.63% |
| Federal funds sold | 653 | 1 | 0.21% | 920 | 1 | 0.22% |
| Time deposits | 250 | 1 | 0.83% | 1,634 | 9 | 1.11% |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks | 6,073 | 63 | 2.09% | 10,035 | 36 | 0.72% |
| TOTAL INTEREST EARNING ASSETS | 719,273 | 13,713 | 3.96% | 670,810 | 12,777 | 3.95% |
| Less allowance for loan losses | (5,625) | | | (5,357) | | |
| Other assets | 33,076 | | | 27,917 | | |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 746,724 | | | \$ 693,370 | | |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing demand deposits, | | | | | | |
| NOW and money market | \$ 57,670 | \$ 15 | 0.05% | \$ 60,098 | \$ 17 | 0.06% |
| Savings | 410,072 | 988 | 0.49% | 403,396 | 955 | 0.48% |
| Certificates of deposit | 75,797 | 364 | 0.97% | 72,774 | 344 | 0.95% |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and short & long-term borrowings | 62,895 | 120 | 0.38% | 35,768 | 153 | 0.86% |
| TOTAL INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES | 606,434 | 1,487 | 0.49% | 572,036 | 1,469 | 0.52% |
| Non-interest bearing demand deposits | | | | | | |
| | 69,708 | | | 59,587 | | |
| Other liabilities | 5,345 | | | 4,016 | | |

| | | |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Stockholders' equity | 65,237 | 57,731 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY \$ | 746,724 | \$ 693,370 |
| Net interest income | \$ 12,226 | \$ 11,308 |
| Net interest spread | 3.47% | 3.43% |
| Net interest margin | 3.54% | 3.51% |

31

Provision for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through provisions for loan losses charged against income. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan losses, and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level management considers to be adequate to provide for losses that can be reasonably anticipated. Management's periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance is based on known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant change.

The allowance consists of general, specific, qualitative and unallocated components. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as watch, other assets especially mentioned, substandard, doubtful or loss. Such loans may also be classified as impaired and/or restructured. For loans that are further classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and/or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent.

Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer and home equity loans for impairment disclosures, unless such loans are the subject of a restructuring agreement or there is a possible loss expected.

For the three months ended June 30, 2015, the provision for loan losses was \$120 thousand, as compared to \$105 thousand for the same period ended June 30, 2014. In the three months ended June 30, 2015, there were charge-offs in the amount of \$55 thousand, as compared to \$45 thousand in the three months ended June 30, 2014. For the six months ended June 30, 2015, the provision for loan losses was \$142 thousand, as compared to \$210 thousand for the same period ended June 30, 2014. In the six months ended June 30, 2015, there were charge-offs in the amount of \$56 thousand, compared to \$103 thousand in charge-offs and \$1 thousand in recoveries during the same period in 2014. The allowance for loan losses is \$5.7 million as of June 30, 2015, which is 0.87% of outstanding loans, compared to \$5.4 million or 0.92% of outstanding loans as of June 30, 2014. At December 31, 2014, the allowance for loan losses of \$5.6 million represented 0.92% of total outstanding loans. Based principally on economic conditions, asset quality, and loan-loss experience, including that of comparable institutions in the Bank's market area, the allowance is believed to be adequate to absorb any losses inherent in the portfolio. Because future events affecting borrowers and collateral cannot be predicted with certainty, there can be no assurance that the existing allowance for loan losses is adequate, or that material increases will not be necessary should the quality of the loans deteriorate. The Bank has not participated in any sub-prime lending activity.

The activity in the allowance for loan losses is shown in the following table, as well as period end loans receivable and the allowance for loan losses as a percent of the total loan portfolio:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| | (In Thousands) | | | |
| Loans receivable at end of period | \$ 656,874 | \$ 589,018 | \$ 656,874 | \$ 589,018 |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | |
| Balance, beginning | \$ 5,635 | \$ 5,374 | \$ 5,614 | \$ 5,326 |
| Provision for loan losses | 120 | 105 | 142 | 210 |
| Loans charged off: | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | (46) | - | (46) | (2) |
| Commercial construction | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial | - | - | - | (38) |
| Residential real estate | (9) | (45) | (10) | (63) |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - |
| Total loans charged off | (55) | (45) | (56) | (103) |
| Recoveries of loans previously charged off: | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial construction | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial | - | - | - | 1 |
| Residential real estate | - | - | - | - |
| Total recoveries | - | - | - | 1 |
| Net charge offs | (55) | (45) | (56) | (102) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 5,700 | \$ 5,434 | \$ 5,700 | \$ 5,434 |
| Allowance for loan losses to loans receivable at end of period | 0.87% | 0.92% | 0.87% | 0.92% |

Non-interest Income

Total non-interest income was \$677 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2015 compared to \$570 thousand for the same period in 2014. The increase is due primarily to growth in the Bank's credit card and merchant processing customer base, an increase in income associated with bank owned life insurance and an increase in the sale of other real estate owned. Total non-interest income was \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015 compared to \$1.1 million for the same period in 2014. The increase is due primarily to the \$139 thousand gain on sale of securities realized in 2015 compared to \$31 thousand for the same period in 2014, growth in the Bank's credit card and merchant processing customer base, an increase in fee income on deposit accounts and an increase in income associated with

bank owned life insurance, offset by a \$33 thousand decrease in impairment losses on other real estate owned.

Non-interest Expense

Non-interest expenses increased \$261.0 thousand, or 6.4%, from \$4.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 to \$4.3 million for the same period ended June 30, 2015. The increase is due to: an increase of \$124 thousand in data processing expense due primarily to the implementation of mobile banking; an increase of \$75 thousand due to credit card processing volume; an increase of \$101 thousand in advertising and promotion expense due to mortgage promotions; an increase of \$37 thousand in loan and real estate fees; and an increase of \$15 thousand in charitable contributions, offset by a decrease in salaries and employee benefits of \$87 thousand, due primarily to a decrease in supplemental executive retirement plan expense; a decrease of \$21 thousand in occupancy and equipment expense, due primarily to a decrease in depreciation; a decrease of \$20 thousand in FDIC insurance expense; and a decrease of \$6 thousand in other real estate owned expenses.

Non-interest expenses increased \$376.0 thousand, or 4.7%, from \$8.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 to \$8.4 million for the same period ended June 30, 2015. The increase is due to: an increase of \$178 thousand in data processing expense due primarily to the implementation of mobile banking; an increase of \$128 thousand due to credit card processing volume; an increase of \$143 thousand in advertising and promotion expense due to the launch of new online banking initiatives and mortgage promotions; an increase of \$20 thousand in loan and real estate fees; an increase of \$30 thousand in charitable contributions due to EITC contributions; and an increase of \$23 thousand in other real estate owned expenses due to newly acquired properties, offset by a decrease in salaries and employee benefits of \$141 thousand, due primarily to a decrease in supplemental executive retirement plan expense; a decrease of \$21 thousand in occupancy and equipment expense, due primarily to a decrease in depreciation; and a decrease of \$33 thousand in FDIC insurance expense.

A breakdown of other expenses can be found in the statements of income.

Income Taxes

The allocated provision for income taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2015 totaled \$656 thousand, or 28.1% of income before taxes. The provision for income taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2014 totaled \$599 thousand, or 27.9% of income before taxes. The allocated provision for income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2015 totaled \$1.4 million, or 28.4% of income before taxes. The provision for income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2014 totaled \$1.2 million, or 28.0% of income before taxes. The slight increase in the tax rate is a result of the change in the mix of taxable and tax free loans and investments.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Securities

The Bank's securities portfolio continues to be classified, in its entirety, as "available for sale." Management believes that a portfolio classification of available for sale allows complete flexibility in the investment portfolio. Using this classification, the Bank intends to hold these securities for an indefinite amount of time, but not necessarily to maturity. Such securities are carried at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. The portfolio is structured to provide maximum return on investments while providing a consistent source of liquidity and meeting strict risk standards. Investment securities consist primarily of U.S. government agency securities, mortgage-backed securities issued by FHLMC or FNMA, corporate bonds, and taxable and non-taxable municipal bonds. The Bank holds no high-risk securities or derivatives as of June 30, 2015. The Bank has not made any investments in non-U.S. government agency mortgage backed securities or sub-prime loans.

Total securities at June 30, 2015 were \$83.7 million compared to \$77.2 million at December 31, 2014. The increase in the investment portfolio is the result of the purchase of six (6) U.S. Government agency obligations totaling \$7.5 million, the purchase of eight (8) municipal obligations totaling \$6.4 million, offset by pay downs on mortgage-backed securities, a decrease in unrealized gains, and the sale of five (5) mortgage-backed securities totaling \$5.2 million and the sale of one (1) municipal obligations totaling \$478 thousand. The carrying value of the securities portfolio as of June 30, 2015 includes a net unrealized gain of \$1.6 million, which is recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity net of income tax effect. This compares to a net unrealized gain of \$2.2 million at December 31, 2014. The current unrealized gain position of the securities portfolio is due to the changes in market rates since purchase. No securities are deemed to be other than temporarily impaired.

Loans

The loan portfolio comprises a major component of the Bank's earning assets. All of the Bank's loans are to domestic borrowers. Total net loans at June 30, 2015 increased \$46.1 million to \$651.1 million from \$605.0 million at December 31, 2014. The loan-to-deposit ratio increased from 100% at December 31, 2014 to 106% at June 30, 2015. The Bank's loan portfolio at June 30, 2015 was comprised of residential real estate and consumer loans of \$331.6 million, an increase of \$27.7 million from December 31, 2014, and commercial loans of \$325.3 million, an increase of \$18.4 million from December 31, 2014. The Bank has not originated, nor does it intend to originate, sub-prime mortgage loans.

Credit Risk and Loan Quality

The allowance for loan losses increased \$86 thousand totaling \$5.7 million at June 30, 2015 compared to \$5.6 million at December 31, 2014. At June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the allowance for loan losses represented 0.87% and 0.92%, respectively, of total loans. Based upon current economic conditions, the composition of the loan portfolio, the perceived credit risk in the portfolio and loan-loss experience of comparable institutions in the Bank's market area, management feels the allowance is adequate to absorb reasonably anticipated losses.

At June 30, 2015, December 31, 2014, and June 30, 2014 aggregate balances on non-performing loans equaled \$6.2 million, \$6.7 million and \$11.4 million, respectively, representing 0.95%, 1.09% and 1.94% of total loans at June 30, 2015, December 31, 2014 and June 30, 2014, respectively. Troubled debt restructurings, included in the following table, represent loans where the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties, has granted a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. There were no loans that were modified and classified as a TDR within the prior twelve months that experienced a payment default (loans ninety or more days past due) for the six months ended June 30, 2015. The Company has seven foreclosed assets in the amount of \$1.2 million as of June 30, 2015, as compared to six foreclosed assets at December 31, 2014 in the amount of \$1.1 million. The net change is a result of: the acquisition of two assets in the amount of \$194 thousand, the sale two assets in the amount of \$58 thousand, and a \$42 thousand impairment loss.

The details for non-performing loans are included in the following table:

| | June 30, 2015 | December 31, 2014 | June 30, 2014 | |
|--|------------------|----------------------|------------------|---|
| | (In Thousands) | | | |
| Non-accrual - commercial | \$ 665 | \$ 1,318 | \$ 1,638 | |
| Non-accrual - consumer | 1,170 | 366 | 221 | |
| Restructured loans, accruing interest and less than 90 days past due | 4,373 | 4,975 | 8,245 | |
| Loans past due 90 or more days, accruing interest | - | - | 1,296 | |
| Total nonperforming loans | 6,208 | 6,659 | 11,400 | |
| Foreclosed assets | 1,201 | 1,106 | 532 | |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 7,409 | \$ 7,765 | \$ 11,932 | |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans at period-end | 0.95 | % 1.09 | % 1.94 | % |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 0.96 | % 1.08 | % 1.70 | % |

Premises and Equipment

Company premises and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, decreased \$24.0 thousand from December 31, 2014 to June 30, 2015. This decrease is due primarily to depreciation on existing premises and equipment, offset by increases related to purchases.

Deposits

Total deposits at June 30, 2015 increased \$7.4 million to \$619.1 million from \$611.7 million at December 31, 2014. Demand deposits decreased \$30.4 thousand, savings deposits decreased \$425.4 thousand, offset by an increase in time deposits of \$7.9 million.

Liquidity

Liquidity represents the Company's ability to meet the demands required for the funding of loans and to meet depositors' requirements for use of their funds. The Company's sources of liquidity are cash balances, due from banks, and federal funds sold. Cash and cash equivalents were \$15.5 million at June 30, 2015, compared to \$16.4 million at

December 31, 2014.

Additional asset liquidity sources include principal and interest payments from the investment security and loan portfolios. Long-term liquidity needs may be met by selling unpledged securities available for sale, selling loans or raising additional capital. At June 30, 2015, the Company had \$83.7 million of available for sale securities. Securities with carrying values of approximately \$65.4 million and \$62.7 million at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, were pledged as collateral to secure securities sold under agreements to repurchase, public deposits, and for other purposes required or permitted by law.

The Bank also has borrowing capacity with the FHLB of approximately \$370.5 million, which includes a line of credit for \$150.0 million. Long-term loans outstanding with the FHLB totaled \$5.5 million as of June 30, 2015. There were no outstanding long-term loans as of December 31, 2014. Short-term loans outstanding with FHLB totaled \$44.4 million and \$9.0 million as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014. The increase in short-term loans outstanding with FHLB was primarily used to fund loan growth and investment security purchases. All FHLB borrowings are secured by qualifying assets of the Bank.

The Bank also has a line of credit with ACBB of approximately \$10.0 million, of which none was outstanding at June 30, 2015. Advances from this line are unsecured.

The Company has two lines of credit totaling an aggregate of \$10 million with Univest, of which an aggregate of \$900 thousand was outstanding at June 30, 2015, and \$1.9 million was outstanding at December 31, 2014. These lines of credit are secured by 833,333 shares of Bank common stock.

The Company has no investment in or financial relationship with any unconsolidated entities that are reasonably likely to have a material effect on liquidity or capital resources.

35

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company's consolidated financial statements do not reflect various off-balance sheet arrangements that are made in the normal course of business, which may involve some liquidity risk. These off-balance sheet arrangements consist of unfunded loans and commitments, as well as lines of credit made under the same standards as on-balance sheet instruments. These unused commitments totaled \$92.2 million at June 30, 2015. The Company also has letters of credit outstanding of \$4.2 million at June 30, 2015. Because these instruments have fixed maturity dates, and because many of them will expire without being drawn upon, they do not generally present any significant liquidity risk to the Company. Management is of the opinion that the Company's liquidity is sufficient to meet its anticipated needs.

Capital Resources and Adequacy

Total stockholders' equity was \$63.9 million as of June 30, 2015, representing a net increase of \$2.6 million from December 31, 2014. The increase in capital was primarily the result of the net income of \$3.6 million, offset by a decrease in unrealized gains on available for sale securities of \$440 thousand.

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by banking regulators. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain actions by regulators that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

The regulations require that banks maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk weighted assets (as defined), and Tier I capital to average assets (as defined). As of June 30, 2015, the Bank met the minimum requirements. In addition, the Bank's capital ratios exceeded the amounts required to be considered "well capitalized" as defined in the regulations.

The following table provides a comparison of the Bank's risk-based capital ratios and leverage ratios:

| | June 30, 2015 | December 31, 2014 | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|------|---|
| | (Dollars In Thousands) | | | |
| Tier I, common stockholders' equity | \$ 63,673 | \$ 61,510 | | |
| Tier II, allowable portion of allowance for loan losses | 5,700 | 5,614 | | |
| Total capital | \$ 69,373 | \$ 67,124 | | |
| Common equity tier 1 capital ratio | 11.7 | % | - | |
| Tier I risk based capital ratio | 11.7 | % | 12.4 | % |
| Total risk based capital ratio | 12.8 | % | 13.5 | % |

Tier I leverage ratio 8.4 % 8.5 %

Note: Unrealized gains on securities available for sale are excluded from regulatory capital components of risk-based capital and leverage ratios.

The Federal banking regulators have adopted risk-based capital guidelines for bank holding companies. Currently, the required minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets (including off-balance sheet activities, such as standby letters of credit) is 8%. At least half of the total capital is required to be Tier I capital, consisting principally of common shareholders' equity, non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, a limited amount of cumulative perpetual preferred stock and minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less goodwill. The remainder (Tier II capital) may consist of a limited amount of subordinated debt and intermediate-term preferred stock, certain hybrid capital instruments and other debt securities, perpetual preferred stock and a limited amount of the general loan loss allowance.

In addition to the risk-based capital guidelines, the federal banking regulators established minimum leverage ratio (Tier I capital to total assets) guidelines for bank holding companies. These guidelines provide for a minimum leverage ratio of 3% for those bank holding companies which have the highest regulatory examination ratings and are not contemplating or experiencing significant growth or expansion. All other bank holding companies are required to maintain a leverage ratio of at least 4%.

The following table provides the Company's risk-based capital ratios and leverage ratios:

| | June 30, 2015 | December 31, 2014 | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|------|---|
| | (Dollars In Thousands) | | | |
| Tier I, common stockholders' equity | \$ 62,871 | \$ 59,868 | | |
| Tier II, allowable portion of allowance for loan losses | 5,700 | 5,614 | | |
| Total capital | \$ 68,571 | \$ 65,482 | | |
| Common equity tier 1 capital ratio | 11.6 | % | - | |
| Tier I risk based capital ratio | 11.6 | % | 12.0 | % |
| Total risk based capital ratio | 12.6 | % | 13.2 | % |
| Tier I leverage ratio | 8.3 | % | 8.2 | % |

In July 2013, the FDIC and the Federal Reserve approved a new rule that will substantially amend the regulatory risk based capital rules applicable to the Bank and the Company. The final rule implements the "Basel III" regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act.

The final rule includes new minimum risk-based capital and leverage ratios, which became effective for the Bank and the Company on January 1, 2015, and refines the definition of what constitutes "capital" for purposes of calculating these ratios. The new minimum capital requirements are: (i) a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 to risk-based assets capital ratio of 6% (increased from 4%); (iii) a total capital ratio of 8% (unchanged from current rules); and (iv) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4%. The final rule also establishes a "capital conservation buffer" of 2.5%, and will result in the following minimum ratios: (i) a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 7.0%; (ii) a Tier 1 to risk-based assets capital ratio of 8.5%; and (iii) a total capital ratio of 10.5%. The new capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning in January 2016 at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets and will increase each year until fully implemented in January 2019. An institution will be subject to limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases, and paying discretionary bonuses if its capital level falls below the buffer amount. These limitations will establish a maximum percentage of eligible retained income that can be utilized for such actions.

Item 3 – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not Applicable.

Item 4 – Controls and Procedures

The term “disclosure controls and procedures” is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). This term refers to the controls and procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within required time periods. Our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2015, and they have concluded that, as of this date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at ensuring that required information will be disclosed on a timely basis in our reports filed under the Exchange Act.

There were no significant changes to our internal controls over financial reporting or in the other factors that could significantly affect our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2015, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weakness.

38

Part II - Other Information

Item 1 - Legal Proceedings

The Company and the Bank are an occasional party to legal actions arising in the ordinary course of its business. In the opinion of management, the Company has adequate legal defenses and/or insurance coverage respecting any and each of these actions and does not believe that they will materially affect the Company's operations or financial position.

Item 1A - Risk Factors

Not Applicable.

Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not Applicable.

Item 3 - Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable.

Item 4 - Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

Item 5 - Other Information

None.

39

Item 6 - Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation as amended (conformed) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on May 14, 2010). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-Laws (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Registrant's Form 8-K filed on August 19, 2014). |
| 11.1 | The statement regarding computation of per share earnings required by this exhibit is contained in Note 6 to the financial statements under the caption "Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share." |
| 31.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a). |
| 31.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a). |
| 32 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 1350 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.1 | Interactive Data Files (XBRL) |

| No. | Description |
|---------|---|
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document. |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document. |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definitions Linkbase Document. |

40

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

EMBASSY BANCORP, INC.
(Registrant)

Dated: August 12, 2015 By: /s/ David M. Lobach, Jr.
David M. Lobach, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: August 12, 2015 By: /s/ Judith A. Hunsicker
Judith A. Hunsicker
Senior Executive Vice President,
Chief Operating Officer, Secretary and
Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation as amended (conformed) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Registrant’s Form 10-Q filed on May 14, 2010). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-Laws (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Registrant’s Form 8-K filed on August 19, 2014). |
| 11.1 | The statement regarding computation of per share earnings required by this exhibit is contained in Note 6 to the financial statements under the caption “Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share.” |
| 31.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a). |
| 31.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a). |
| 32 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 1350 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.1 | Interactive Data Files (XBRL) |

| No. | Description |
|---------|---|
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document. |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document. |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definitions Linkbase Document. |