WHITING PETROLEUM CORP Form 425 February 26, 2004

> Filed by Whiting Petroleum Corporation pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act of 1933 and deemed filed pursuant to Rule 14a-12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

> > Subject Company: Equity Oil Company Commission File Number: 000-00610

The following is a transcript of a conference call held by Whiting Petroleum Corporation on February 25, 2004.

WHITING PETROLEUM

Moderator: Michael Stevens February 25, 2004 12:00 pm CT

Operator: Good afternoon. My name is (Miles) and I will be your conference facilitator today.

I would like to welcome everyone to the Whiting Petroleum Corporation fourth quarter and year-end 2003 earnings conference call.

All lines have been placed on mute to prevent any background noise. After the speaker s remarks there will be a question and answer period. If you would like to ask a question simply press star then the number 1 on your telephone keypad. If you would like to withdraw your question press the pound key.

I would now like to turn the call over to Mr. Mike Stevens, the company s Treasurer. Sir you may begin.

Michael

Stevens: Thank you.

Please be advised that our remarks that follow including answers to your questions include statements that we believe to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to be materially different from those currently anticipated. Those risks include among others matters that we have described in our earnings release issued today and in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We disclaim any obligation to update these forward-looking statements.

In light of the pending acquisition of Equity Oil Company by Whiting Petroleum Corporation I must inform you that this presentation may be deemed to be solicitation material with respect to such pending acquisition. In connection with the proposed merger a registration statement on Form S-4 and other relevant documents will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Investors are encouraged to read the registration statement and any other relevant documents filed with the SEC because they will contain important information about the proposed transaction. After these documents are filed with the SEC they will be available free of charge at the SEC s Web site, www.sec.gov.

Whiting and Equity and their respective directors, executive officers, and other employees may be deemed to be participant in the solicitation of proxies and respect of the proposed transaction. Information regarding the participants and the proxy solicitation and a description of their direct and indirect interest by security holdings or otherwise will be contained in the proxy statement prospectus and other relevant materials to be filed with the SEC when they become available.

During this conference call we will make reference to our finding, development, and acquisition cost which is a non-GAAP financial measure. A reconciliation of this non-GAAP measure to the applicable GAAP measure can be found in our earnings release issued today, a copy of which is located on our Web site at www.whiting.com.

Now it s my pleasure to introduce James J. Volker, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Whiting Petroleum Corporation.

James Volker: Good morning everyone and welcome to Whiting Petroleum s first conference call for investors and analysts. It s my pleasure to recap financial 2003 fiscal and operating results, discuss recent announcements, and welcome questions from investors and analysts following our presentation of our highlighted financial and operating results.

First, to mention recent announcements Whiting is an acquisition, exploitation, and expiration and production company. A recent example of a portion of our acquire, exploit, and explore growth strategy is the pending merger of Equity Oil that we announced on February 2, 2004. We expect this merger to be accretive to our 2004 earnings, cash flows, and reserves.

We ask that you limit your questions about Equity to topics addressed in our February (2000) news release as the S-4 document that we and Equity will be filing within the next couple of weeks should be then available on the SEC Web site.

Also in February 2004 we announced we had paid down \$40 million of debt on our credit facility. We believe that under our growth plan it s important to retain financial flexibility to take advantage of opportunities to expand the company. We also seek to maintain a reasonable debt to capitalization profile of approximately 35 to 40% and after the pay down our debt to equity ratio is at the lower end of that range.

With respect to capital expenditures during 2003 our capital expenditures totaled \$52 million all funded with cash flow from operations. This number includes acquisitions which totaled 10.9 million therefore drilling cap ex was approximately 41 million. We used additional cash flow to reduce debt by 40 million as I previously mentioned.

We anticipate our 2004 drilling capital budget will be approximately 68 million which we expect to be split approximately as follows, 48% or 33 million will go toward the development of proved undeveloped reserves and 38% or approximately 26 million is budgeted for the drilling of probable reserves which if successful would be reserve adds, 14% or approximately 9 million will be directed toward possible reserves which if successful would also be reserve adds, approximately 80% of our drilling budget is operated and any acquisition opportunities would increase the capital budget.

With respect to production and drilling results we produced a company record 37.2 billion cubic feet of gas equivalent in 2003. Excluding the Equity transaction or any other acquisition we reforecasting an approximate 10% increase for 2004 production. We replaced 170% of our 2003 production at a cost of 86 cents per Mcfe. Over the past four years our production replacement ratio is 307% and our all sources, finding, development, and acquisition cost per Mcfe, is \$1.15.

Our acquisition activity has been brisk in the past four years especially in the period 2000 through 2002. During that four-year period we acquired 371 Bcfe of proved reserves at an average cost of 93 cents per Mcfe. Whiting has made acquisitions since 1983 in all price and acquisition environments. We have a track record of successfully meeting our investment goals for acquisitions regardless of the environment. We expect to continue making acquisitions.

I d now like to call on (Jim) Casperson, our CFO, to discuss some (unintelligible) and help you assess our performance.

James

Casperson: Thank you (Jim).

Good morning everyone and for you in the East good afternoon.

Let s cover the results for the year ended December 31, 2003. Our financial performance was indeed strong in many of the key categories that are used to analyze oil and gas companies. We are pleased to have set a number of company records in these areas.

First, the income statement. Oil and gas sales for 2003 were a record 175.7 million, a 43% increase over 2002. Gas sales increased 35.8 million and oil sales increased 17.2 million. Pricing accounted for 95% of the increases as production increased 5%. Increased volumes were achieved with capital expenditures of 52 million or only 39% of the average of 134.7 million of capital expenditures during 2000 through 2002. This is a testament to the drilling and well work in 2003 when cap ex for acquisitions was

reduced at the request of our former parent company in preparation of the IPO thus indicating an efficient use of these funds. Our cash flow for the year provided by operating activities was \$96.4 million.

Now let me address the fourth quarter.

During the fourth quarter 2003 we generated \$22.2 million of cash flow from operations and I ll briefly (unintelligible) go through that calculation. I d start with the net loss for the quarter for 316,000, subtract a negative deferred tax provision of (one million five eight four) add back DD&A of (10 million five eighty-two), a phantom equity charge of 10,914,000 and a non-cash interest charge of 451,000, add back expiration cost of 2,171,000 for a cash flow of 22,218,000. To get to (unintelligible) add back the cash tax provision of 1,738,000, the cash interest of 1,617,000 and subtract interest income of 151,000 for an (unintelligible) of 25,423,000, take away the expiration of 2,171,000 for an EBITDA number of 22,252,000.

Now during that same period of time we had oil production in the fourth quarter of 664,000 barrels, gas production of 5,512,000 Mcfs and prices realized on those products of \$27.98 for oil and \$4.14 for gas.

Now as we go forward it s worth mentioning that in 2003 our lease operating expense rose 25% to \$1.16 per Mcfe. This increase was caused by a higher concentration of operations in Michigan and North Dakota where historical operating costs are higher and we spent additional funds on properties acquired in 2002 in these two areas to bring these operations to Whiting standards. Our DD&A cost in 2003 was \$1.11 per Mcfe, a 10% decrease from 2002. Why? Higher commodity prices and a growing reserve base and longer economic production life were primarily responsible for this decrease.

Our net income, Whiting posted net income for 2003 of 18.3 million or 98 cents per share. The increase in net income from 2002 of 10.6 million was due to higher commodity prices and increased production.

In addition during 2003 we incurred a one-time 6.7 million after tax charge to earnings for the phantom equity plan payment made by our former parent company and a one-time \$3.9 million after tax charge for the implementation of FASB 143. Thus, our earnings were very good in spite of the aforementioned charges and in the fourth quarter this year we reported a loss of \$316,000. This would have been a \$6.4 million profit without this phantom equity plan charge.

Let me summarize the guidance for 2004. As been previously mentioned we expect a production increase of approximately 10%. We expect our lease operating expense to be in the range from \$1.08 to \$1.12 per Mcfe. We expect our general and administrative charge for 2004 to be between 36 cents and 39 cents per Mcfe and we do not expect to pay any current tax for 2004.

Let me go forward to our hedging. We generally limit our aggregate hedging position to less than 60% of expected production. Currently we have 850,000 Mcfs per month of gas under (unintelligible) contracts to the end of March 2004 with a floor of \$4.50 to ceilings ranging from \$7.00 to \$8.45. On the oil side we have 100,000 barrels per month hedged with (unintelligible) for the first quarter with floors of \$28.00 and ceilings ranging from \$31.43 to \$32.10. For the second quarter we have a 50,000 barrel per month (unintelligible) with a floor of \$28.00 and a ceiling of \$35.40.

Let us proceed to the balance sheet. Total assets reached a company record of 536.3 million. Shareholder s equity was 259.6 million as of December 31, 2003 compared to 122.8 million at December 31, 2002. Working capital at year end 2003 was 51.3 million compared to the 19.4 million at December 31, 2002. All proceeds from our IPO went to our former parent company thus our increase in working capital was generating from our operations.

Long-term debt at December 31, 2003 was 188 million but we have reduced this by 40 million because of our February 17 payment. Our year end long-term debt as a percentage of capitalization was 42% however net of our cash position this declines to 34%. Our current borrowing base under our credit facility is \$210 million with a \$145 million balance today. As (Jim) pointed out earlier the debt reduction was made possible from cash flow generated in 2003.

James

Volker: Thank you (Jim).

I d like to recap in closing a few key points.

Whiting is a growth story. We have a strong balance sheet. We expect to use cash flow to pay for our 2004 budget. We have flexibility to take advantage of new acquisition opportunities. We expect our 2004 drilling capital expenditure budget of 68 million will cause production growth of approximately 10%. Any acquisition we make will add to that growth.

We will be participating as a presenting company at the Raymond James 25th Annual Institutional Investors Conference on March 3, the AG Edwards Energy Conference in Boston on March 25, the IPAA Oil and Gas Conference in New York from April 19 to the 21 and (Intercom s) 9th Oil and Gas Conference in Denver August 1 through the 5. So I hope to get a chance to meet with you and tell you more about Whiting at those meetings.

Thank you for your interest in Whiting and we look forward to seeing you in the near future.

Operator I d now like to turn the call over for questions.

Operator: Thank you sir.

> At this time I would like to remind everyone in order to ask a question please press star then the number 1 on your telephone keypad and we ll pause for just a moment to compile the Q&A roster.

Your first question comes from Greg McMichael of AG Edwards.

Greg

McMichael: Good morning (Jim).

James

Volker: Morning Greg.

Greg Just first of all I want to ask you first of all I want to say congratulations on being public and also on having a great year good

McMichael: finding cost and a good quarter.

James

Thank you Greg. Volker:

Second of all I wanted to ask you about the market for acquisitions right now. Obviously that s a big part of your growth and I Greg McMichael: know you re constantly reviewing properties in the market. Can you tell us whether the acquisition market has changed let s say in

the last 90 60 and 90 days since you went public and what are you seeing out there in terms of something that would fit in

Whiting s current existing asset base?

Greg the acquisition market at this point in time is surprisingly active. We at any point in time probably have four or five James Volker:

properties under evaluation and at the point that we believe we will be making offers.

With respect to how it may have changed in the last 60 to 90 days really we don't see that much of a change over that period of time. It has been active as some potential sellers choose to take advantage of the current pricing environment. And second we believe that it is competitive as it always has been however our approach to acquisitions has always been that if you re disciplined, if you stick to your knitting, stick to places that you know, and stick to your essentially rate of return criteria which for us is typically pre-tax rates of return at typical hedge price (decks) over two to five years in the 15 to percent or greater pre-tax rates of return, why that you can do it. ROE just has to be disciplined.

Thank you.

(Jim) Casperson I wanted to ask you a couple questions about the balance sheet. Long-term payable to (Aliant) and then other Greg

McMichael: long-term liabilities, could you give us a little more detail on that?

James Casperson: Okay. When you re looking at the long-term liability due to (Aliant) that is comprised of an amount due to them applicable to the tax sharing arrangement that was signed in November and was invoked at the time of the IPO. In addition with that there was a \$3 million note to (Aliant) that was signed in that step-up in basis tax sharing arrangement.

Now the other long-term liabilities in there are applicable to the plugging and abandon liability for the implementation FASB and the long-term portion of the production participation plan.

Greg McMichael:

Okay and as far as the payable to (Aliant) is that that s a tax it sounds like it s primarily income taxes. How will that be amortized? How will you reduce that off the balance sheet and what s the schedule of payment there?

James Casperson: Well the schedule of payment Greg is the tax sharing agreement is ten years long and we will pay (Aliant) as we (unintelligible) the benefit of any tax saving from the step up in basis. So when you re talking about how it gets reduced over a period of time will be reduced four payments. This is an estimate. At the conclusion of that ten-year period we will then pay (Aliant) whatever benefit still remains at that point. We do not expect at this point to make any payments to (Aliant) for approximately 24 months.

Greg

McMichael: Okay and will there be any imputed interest associated with that?

James Casperson: Yes sir there will be. The imputed interest is at approximately 8% and it will accrue interest at approximately \$2.4 million a

month - I mean a year as a non-cash charge.

Greg

McMichael: Okay. I want to go back to (Jim) Volker for a minute.

(Jim) in terms of the outlook for drilling you outlined that earlier for 2004. Where do you see most of the dollars going in terms of the probable and possible drilling the 26 million, the 9 million, which I guess is about 35 million. Is that predominantly in the Williston Basin or is it balanced between the Williston and South Texas? Are there other areas there? Could you just outline that for us?

James Volker: Greg I ll answer your question by trying to be specific about where the dollars of the 68 million are going. And I ll simply say that the probable and possible drilling is pretty much spread as a percentage in line with the overall percentage as most of that drilling is simply drilling one location away currently anyway from the existing producing well.

So with that as background let me say that of the 68 million it would be divided up approximately as follows in the Gulf Coast 38 million, Michigan 10 million, the Rocky Mountains 14 million, the Mid-Continent 1 million, and other, which typically is non-op drilling that comes in over the year, approximately 5 million. So that totals 68 million. And as a percentage, that s roughly 56% in the Gulf Coast, 15% in Michigan, 21% in the Rockies, about 1% in the Mid-Continent, and 7% other. And the probables and possibles are essentially spread in that same manner.

Greg McMichael: Okay. Thank you. And then lastly I just wanted to get a sense for what your thinking is on hedging for oil going forward now with oil prices in the 35 to \$36 range. Do you think that the company will be layering on some additional collars in 2004 or perhaps in 2005?

James Volker: Absolutely with respect to the second half of 2004. And again we ll be using some collars and taking advantage of the currently relatively high historically anyway oil prices. In general our philosophy on hedging is sort of like the Hippocratic oath. We try to do no harm, try to get pretty high floors and even higher ceilings. Thanks, Greg.

Greg

McMichael: Okay, thank you.

James

Volker: And thank you for your assistance out of A.G. Edwards and all of the participants who helped Whiting go public.

Operator: Your next question comes from Tom Nowak of Merrill Lynch.

Tom

Nowak: Hi. Greg asked most of them, but I was wondering if you could elaborate on the \$2.2 million exploration expense in the quarter.

Man: During the quarter we had a couple of dry holes. There were exploratory type wells. Under successful efforts method of

accounting those wells that are not considered developmental wells are expensed.

Tom

Nowak: Right. I was - sort of regional what was going on?

Man: That would be the offshore Louisiana, our non-operated interest there, and in Montana.

James

Volker: That was our (Tooksdorf) prospect, which essentially was part of a exploratory play looking at some Red River bumps.

Man: Right. And even though those dry holes were basically determined after year end, we went ahead and took those as dry holes for

the year ended 2003, and then the remainder of the cost showed up in the fourth quarter with the ongoing delay rentals as well as

any seismic charges and G&G costs.

James Mr. (Nowack), we owned roughly 50 to 60% of those dry hole costs and had other companies participated with us and I guess we d Volker:

say the good news about the dry holes is that at least other people liked them as well as we did, elected to participate with us and,

as part of the transaction, reimbursed us entirely for our front end cost of the acreage and the leases. So basically we got hit with

only our share of the drilling costs.

Tom

Nowak: Great. That's great. You answered it. Thanks very much.

James

Thanks. Volker:

Operator: Your next question comes from Larry Busnardo of Petrie Parkman.

Larry

Busnardo: Good morning.

James

Good morning, Larry. Volker:

Larry

Busnardo: How are you?

James

Volker:

Volker: We're great. Thank you.

Good. A question just in regards to your capital spending - it's going to be well within cash flow in '04. Could you potentially Larry

Busnardo: increase the capital spending as the year goes on, or are you purposely under spending cash flow just in case acquisitions come up

or things along those lines?

James Good question, Larry. To try to answer your question I ll tell you how we ve loaded our CAPEX currently for the year 2004. But to

specifically answer your question, yes, we could increase it. Our CAPEX is essentially scheduled about 18% in the first quarter, around 27% in the second quarter, 34% in the third quarter, and 21% in the fourth quarter. So in round numbers 45% in the first half of the year and, yes, if, for example, some of the higher potential rate of return drilling that we re doing in the first half of the

year is successful, yes, we could follow-up then for the end of the year with an offset.

Okay. Then just in regards to your guidance I had it a little bit higher, closer on the production growth of 15% was there Larry

Busnardo: anything specific that now makes it 10%, or was it more of a range of say 10 to 15%?

James Volker: Specifically I m going to say the 10% number comes from a look at our reserve base as we look at our CAPEX budget. And I m going to say you can sort of get there by seeing that last year comparing CAPEX, even including a little bit of the loop of small acquisitions that we did last year, this year would be about a 30% increase. So that would take you from roughly last year s 5% increase up to about 7%.

And then, as I mentioned with respect to just looking at the reserve analysis that we have on our drilling, even risking it, we get up to about 10% as a result of the potential of the wells that we re drilling this year as opposed to last year. As you can tell from our breakout between puds, probables, and possibles, we re spending a little bit more on higher risk drilling this year, roughly 52% as compared to 48% on puds. So the upper end of the range is definitely achievable, if we do get some success early in the year on some of our higher risk and higher potential drilling.

Larry

Busnardo: Okay. And then lastly, would you have the F&D costs on a drill bit only basis?

James Volker: Yes, it d be about \$1.25 on the drill bit only basis. I d like to comment on that, however, Larry, in the sense that with respect to us the number of the reserve revisions that we received were attributable to our big stick area in North Dakota. That field essentially, as a result I m going to say of the team of people that we have here at Whiting both here in Denver and in our North Dakota office where we benefit from having the experience of some people who ve lived with that field when they were with Exxon.

And I m going to say just were limited as a result of reduced CAPEX budget in that field we ve taken advantage there of a number of work over opportunities and well work things that we did up there to actually reduce the rate of decline in that field and increase the rate of reduction as a result of non true drilling activity that we ve done up there.

So, for example, when we look at the decline rate, where it would have been when we took over from Exxon, the field would have been down to approximately 1500 barrels a day whereas today it s up at about 2,000 barrels a day. So a good share of the reserve revisions that we ve enjoyed, as opposed to answering your question about just the drill bit, are a result of well work CAPEX that we did conduct in that area. Thank you.

Larry Busnardo: Thank you. And I guess while we're on that, can you give us a breakdown on what the reserve adds were broken out between acquisitions, extensions, and the revisions for the year?

Michael Stevens:

This is Mike Stevens. The acquisition reserve growth was 8.9 Bcf. Development reserves are 31 Bcf. And the revisions were about 23.2 Bcf equivalent.

Larry

Busnardo: Any sales in that?

James

Volker: Property sales?

Larry

Busnardo: Yes.

Michael

Stevens: No sales.

James

Volker: No sales.

Larry

Busnardo: Okay. Hey thanks a lot.

James

Volker: Great. Thank you, Larry.

Operator: Your next question comes from Jack Aydin of McDonald Investments.

Jack

Aydin: Hi everybody.

James

Volker: Hey, Jack.

Jack Aydin: Three questions one on the where are you drilling the key wells that you re drilling? Second question is are you doing anything in the Cherokee Basin? And the third question is of the reserve revision prices that account to any of those reserve divisions higher commodity prices and what percentage?

James Volker: Okay, Jack. With respect to our key areas, of course, we re, I should say, most pleased with the activity that we did along the Gulf Coast in the Stuart City Reef Trend, i.e., the (Edwards lime) down there, and our Big Stick field where, as a result I m going to say of our drilling successes, we re putting more dollars to work. And I ve already kind of summarized that in terms of where we re spending money with respect to CAPEX in the year 04.

With respect to the Cherokee Basin, no, we don't have any current plans to do anything there except perhaps later in the year based upon a study that we re doing right now. So I can't say it sin our budget now. But we may be putting in a pilot program there in the Cherokee Basin, i.e., our coalbed methane project there.

I can say that with respect to the Cherokee Basin, when we went in there, we were hoping to get the absorption evaluations that came in at around 150 standard cubic feet per ton. They came in more in the range of 90 standard cubic feet per ton to 100 standard cubic feet per ton. So, as you can see, they re at about two-thirds of what we were hoping for. It doesn t mean that it s not economic. It doesn t mean that it still can t be developed. But it does mean that we think we ought to put in a pilot and see how things go prior to the time that we commit what I would call really substantial CAPEX to that area where we have about 93,000 net acres in an area that s crossed by seven interstate pipelines (unintelligible) to mention something good, where the gas price would be only about a nickel under NYMEX.

So it s a mixed blessing there. And we do know that some people who have had developments in the area have sold them. We know other people who are in the process of actively developing the coalbed methane in that area. So I think it s just a sort of area-by-area evaluation. I can tell you that we re even actively evaluating other properties to acquire in the Cherokee Basin. So we still think it has good opportunity for us. But you just want to pick the right area to put your capital in.

And with respect to the revisions, actually I really believe that very little of that was attributable to price increases. It s mostly the result of the well work that was done and predominantly in the Big Stick field. And again I could say that I really think we ve benefited there from the team of people we put together here in our operations and exploration department here in Denver working closely with our field office there in the Big Stick field.

Jack

Aydin: Two more questions, if you don't mind.

James

Volker: Yes, go ahead, Jack.

Jack

Aydin: One, if you have any key well that you're drilling?

And, second, I assume you saw the press release from Equity. The production was down. Reserve was down. Do you care to make a comment?

James Volker: Well we are drilling a number of key wells, but they re in our core areas that we ve previously mentioned, Jack, essentially the Big Stick field and the Stuart City Reef Trend and the five fields that we have operations going on in down there. I think I can say that

there has been a minor shift, as you could tell, in that we re really putting about 56% of our capital into the Gulf Coast currently so we do think that that will result in some increases in gas production for us. But no real I guess I d say single key wells that I d wish at this time to note for you.

Second, with respect to equity, again I d simply like to compliment the people here at Whiting who do our evaluations. Everything that they announced I m going to say, as a result of the due diligence we did, we were prepared for. And we look still very positively at the equity acquisition. And in fact, we re very enthusiastic about getting to own all of the properties that they own. We believe that with our greater CAPEX budget available to us, greater financial capability, and greater staff I believe that we ll be able to do good things with their properties. But they ve been somewhat limited in the past.

By the way, we also think that a number of the operating people that we will acquire there at Equity, if the merger is voted on positively by their shareholders, a number of those people bring a wealth of information to us about those properties. And just as we did at Big Stick and in other areas that we ve acquired in the past, we believe that those people will be very instrumental in helping us develop those properties.

Jack

Aydin: Is (unintelligible) the Knife working on those projects?

James

Volker: Yes, and the Knife and (Doug Lang) have both (unintelligible)...

Jack

Aydin: I wouldn't let that one pass by.

James

Volker: Thank you, Jack.

Jack

Aydin: Thank you.

Operator: Your next question comes from Richard Friary of Delphi Management.

Richard Yeah, I know you ve already answered a couple questions on this, but in terms of these properties it looks as though Big Stick and Friary: Stuart City are your big ones. I just want to know what sort of reserves are under those two properties? You know, what s your

working interest? And who s the operator on these properties?

We re the operator of both properties. Our working interest is just in excess of 60% at Big Stick and roughly along the Stuart City Volker: Reef Trend it generally ranged between 92 and 98%. And in terms of the per well reserves down there we re generally looking for

in the range of around 1/4 million barrels of oil per well when we develop at Big Stick, up to 400,000 barrels of oil per well just kind of depending upon whether we happen to hit a sweet spot or not. And along the Stuart City Reef Trend the range would

generally be between 2 and 4 Bcf per well, Richard.

Richard

James

All right. And how many wells do you have there? I'm just kind of wondering about the total reserve potential? Friary:

James In round numbers there s approximately 20 additional wells that we see at this time and have scheduled over the next 24 months

Volker: and both at Big Stick and another 20 in round numbers along the Stuart City Reef Trend.

Richard

Friary: All right. Thank you.

James

Volker: You're welcome. Thank you.

Richard

Friary: Yes.

Your next question comes from Pas Sadhukhan of Globes Scan. Operator:

Pas Sadhukhan: Yes, I have a couple questions here. You re looking at the finding cost per 1,000 cubic feet (unintelligible) starting from 2002, 2003, as you have provided. The 2003 number percentage wise is much smaller than the previous year like 2000 through 2002.

Why is that?

And my second question is that, you know, looking at some of the documents I m new to your company it looks like you have some offshore activity. And in fact, you mentioned the Gulf Coast of Louisiana. Do you plan to grow also in a significant way in the near-term or medium-term basis?

James Volker: I'll answer that part of your question first, sir. No, we do not anticipate going offshore in a significant way. We're not staffed up here to be a significant operator in the Gulf Coast. That is offshore. We are, however, a significant operator onshore in our Gulf Coast Basin.

Second, with respect to the first part of your question regarding our finding cost per Mcfe, as you ll note, the CAPEX was obviously only about 30% in the year 2003 of what it had been in the previous three years. And that s as a result of the fact that our former parent company asked us essentially to reduce CAPEX in the year 2003 especially for acquisitions as we prepared for the IPO as, frankly, they I think and rightfully so didn t want to put a lot of new capital into us as they were preparing to sell us.

And therefore, we had to do two things. I think we had to be very efficient in terms of finding places to put the drill bit in our primary areas of operation that had the lowest finding cost and the highest rates of return and directing them, therefore, our somewhat more limited capital budget in that way. I think that was healthy for us in the sense that I think it has prepared us to go forward in the year 2004 and continued to develop in those areas where we saw the best results.

Pas

Sadhukhan: So it was somewhat unique in 2003. But you do expect, it seems to me, that perhaps similar results could be there in 2004.

James

Volker: Yes, with respect to the drill bit.

Pas

Sadhukhan: Thank you very much.

James

Volker: Thank you.

Operator: And your next question comes from Sam Kidston of Blackrock.

Sam

Man:

Kidston: Yeah, hi guys. First, could you just walk me through the accounting on the phantom equity plan, exactly what's going on there?

Okay. The phantom equity plan was a one-time payment to the employees of Whiting. It was paid in November of 2003. And the accounting basically was under a formula, Aliant agreeing to pay the employees of Whiting a percentage of the growth of the company. And that amounted to \$10.9 million on a pre-tax basis. Once that payment was made then there was no reoccurring

charge. There is - and the plan was over and the employees got their shares.

Now the charge for that was comprised of \$4.2 million. It was made in the form of cash as a capital contribution to pay the employees tax withholding for that and 6.7 million of Whiting stock, both of those contributed by Aliant for the \$10.9 million pre-tax charge. The shares have been distributed to all of the employees of the company. That s a one-time charge. And that plan is

over.

Okay. So there will be no ongoing P&L effect for this.

Sam Kidston:

Man: No, there will not be.

Sam

Kidston: Okay. I just wanted to make sure there.

And then just in terms of the mix for the CAPEX budget for next year, is there anything in there just on the mix that would move

around the F&D cost versus this year?

James

Volker: No, I wouldn't say - nothing in there that would on an overall basis have a big upward or a big downward effect.

Sam

Kidston: Okay. Thank you very much.

James

Volker: Thank you.

Operator: Again, if you would like to ask a question, please press Star then the Number 1 on your telephone keypad.

At this time there are no further questions. Are there closing remarks, sir?

James Only in the sense that we d like to again invite everyone to the conferences where Whiting will be in attendance. And I d like to Volker: thank all of the underwriters who participated in the Whiting IPO. And I d like to thank all of the Whiting employees who were so

thank all of the underwriters who participated in the Whiting IPO. And I d like to thank all of the Whiting employees who were so instrumental in getting us to the point where we could do a successful IPO. And we look forward to continuing success as we

move the company forward. Thank you all.

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for participating today. This concludes our Whiting Petroleum Corporation conference call. You

Operator: may now all disconnect.

END