

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

Form 10-Q/A

January 30, 2007

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-Q/A

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006
or**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 1-11397

Valeant Pharmaceuticals International

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

One Enterprise,

Aliso Viejo, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

33-0628076

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

92656

(Zip Code)

(949) 461-6000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, as of January 16, 2007 was 94,414,465.

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

INDEX

	Page Number
<u>Explanatory Note</u>	2
<u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (as restated)</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (as restated)</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (as restated)</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (as restated)</u>	7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (as restated)</u>	8
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	36
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	62
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	63
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	65
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	65
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	67
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	68
<u>EXHIBIT 15.1</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 32.1</u>	

Table of Contents

Explanatory Note

We are amending our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 filed on May 9, 2006 (the Original Filing) to restate our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 and the related disclosures. See Note 2, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements of the Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements for a detailed discussion of the effect of the restatement. On January 22, 2007 we filed an amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The restatement of the Original Filing reflected in this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A includes adjustments arising from the determinations of a Special Committee, consisting of independent members of the Board of Directors, which was formed in September 2006 to conduct a comprehensive review into the Company's past stock option practices, as well as our internal review relating to our historical financial statements.

In July 2006, we were contacted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, with respect to an informal inquiry regarding events and circumstances surrounding trading in our common stock and the public release of data from our first pivotal Phase 3 trial for Viramidine® (taribavirin). In addition, on August 22, 2006, the SEC requested data regarding our stock option grants and exercises since January 1, 2000. The SEC has also requested information about our pursuit in the Delaware Chancery Court of the return of certain bonuses paid to Milan Panic, the former chairman and chief executive officer, and others, in connection with the Ribapharm initial public offering. We commenced an internal review by our finance department of stock option grants from 1982 to July 2006. In September 2006, our board of directors appointed a special committee of the board composed solely of independent directors (the Special Committee) to conduct a review of our historic stock option practices and related accounting. The Special Committee, with the assistance of outside legal counsel, undertook a comprehensive review of the stock option grants to our officers, directors and employees from 1982 to July 2006 under our various stock option plans in effect during this period. The Special Committee has concluded its investigation and has reported its findings to our board of directors.

On October 20, 2006, our board of directors concluded that certain of our consolidated financial statements should be restated to record the additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense items that had been incorrectly accounted for under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP. In addition, we have restated our financial statements to correct certain accounting errors which were previously identified but not considered to be material. These corrections related to accounting for employee tax withholding on certain compensation transactions, elimination of an intercompany difference, accounting for product exchanges (resulting in a revenue adjustment), and certain income tax adjustments.

For more information on these matters, please refer to Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 2 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, and Item 4, Controls and Procedures.

As a result of the findings of the Special Committee as well as our internal review, we concluded that it was necessary to amend our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, originally filed on March 16, 2006, to restate our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 and the related disclosures as well as Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting as of December 31, 2005. The annual report on Form 10-K/A, filed on January 22, 2007, also includes the restatement of selected consolidated financial data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001, and the unaudited quarterly financial data for each of the quarters in the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. We also concluded that we needed to amend the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and June 30,

Edgar Filing: VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL - Form 10-Q/A

2006, originally filed on May 9, 2006 and August 8, 2006, respectively, to restate our condensed consolidated financial statements for those periods. We also restated the September 30, 2005 financial statements with the filing of our September 30, 2006 Form 10-Q on January 22, 2007. We have not amended and we do not intend to amend any of our other previously filed annual reports on Form 10-K or quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the periods affected by the restatement or adjustments other than (i) this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, (ii) the amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 being filed on the same date as this filing, and (iii) the amended annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, filed January 22, 2007.

Table of Contents

All of the information in this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A is as of March 31, 2006 and does not reflect events occurring after the date of the Original Filing, other than the restatement, or modify or update disclosures (including, the exhibits to the Original Filing, except for the updated Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, and 32.1 described below) affected by subsequent events. For the convenience of the reader, this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A sets forth the Original Filing in its entirety, as amended by, and to reflect, the restatement. The following sections of this Form 10-Q/A were adjusted to reflect the findings of the Special Committee as well as our internal review:

- Part I Item 1 Unaudited Financial Statements;
- Part I Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;
- Part I Item 4 Controls and Procedures;
- Part II Item 1A Risk Factors; and
- Part II Item 6 Exhibits

This amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A should be read in conjunction with our amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005, our periodic filings made with the SEC subsequent to the date of the Original Filing and any Current Reports filed on Form 8-K subsequent to the date of the Original Filing. In addition, in accordance with applicable SEC rules, this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A includes updated certifications from our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, and 32.1.

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL****CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS****As of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005****(In thousands, except par value data)**

	March 31, 2006 (Restated)(1) (Unaudited)	December 31, 2005 (Restated)(1)
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 244,362	\$ 224,856
Marketable securities	11,121	10,210
Accounts receivable, net	174,433	187,987
Inventories, net	137,729	136,034
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	38,862	40,354
Total current assets	606,507	599,441
Property, plant and equipment, net	217,813	230,126
Deferred tax assets, net	21,510	25,342
Goodwill	79,767	79,486
Intangible assets, net	519,614	536,319
Other assets	45,284	43,176
Assets of discontinued operations	105	127
Total non-current assets	884,093	914,576
	\$ 1,490,600	\$ 1,514,017
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Trade payables	\$ 48,708	\$ 55,279
Accrued liabilities	138,551	140,838
Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt	346	495
Income taxes	39,465	47,324
Total current liabilities	227,070	243,936

Edgar Filing: VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL - Form 10-Q/A

Long-term debt, less current portion	785,850	788,439
Deferred tax liabilities, net	5,126	8,208
Other liabilities	19,918	16,372
Liabilities of discontinued operations	23,024	23,118
 Total non-current liabilities	 833,918	 836,137
 Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' Equity:		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 200,000 shares authorized; 92,793 (March 31, 2006) and 92,760 (December 31, 2005) shares outstanding (after deducting shares in treasury of 1,094 as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005)	928	928
Additional capital	1,230,955	1,224,907
Accumulated deficit	(783,487)	(770,350)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(18,784)	(21,541)
 Total stockholders' equity	 429,612	 433,944
	 \$ 1,490,600	 \$ 1,514,017

(1) See Note 2, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
For the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005
(Unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Restated)(1)	(Restated)(1)
Revenues:		
Product sales	\$ 181,401	\$ 161,782
Ribavirin royalties	18,091	19,335
Total revenues	199,492	181,117
Costs and expenses:		
Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization)	58,601	48,783
Selling expenses	64,276	52,850
General and administrative expenses	28,446	24,705
Research and development costs	29,553	25,831
Acquired in-process research and development		126,399
Gain on settlement of litigation	(34,000)	
Restructuring charges	26,466	1,695
Amortization expense	17,523	13,968
Total costs and expenses	190,865	294,231
Income (loss) from operations	8,627	(113,114)
Other income (loss), net, including translation and exchange	937	(1,791)
Interest income	2,657	3,015
Interest expense	(10,437)	(9,681)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest	1,784	(121,571)
Provision for income taxes	7,542	16,514
Minority interest, net	1	171
Loss from continuing operations	(5,759)	(138,256)
Loss from discontinued operations	(212)	(1,503)
Net loss	\$ (5,971)	\$ (139,759)
Basic and diluted loss per share:		
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.06)	\$ (1.55)
Loss from discontinued operations		(0.02)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.06)	\$ (1.57)

Basic and diluted shares used in per share computation	92,770		88,836
Dividends paid per share of common stock	\$ 0.08	\$	0.08
Dividends declared per share of common stock	\$ 0.08	\$	0.08

(1) See Note 2, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005
(Unaudited, in thousands)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Restated)(1)	(Restated)(1)
Net loss	\$ (5,971)	\$ (139,759)
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	2,346	(15,363)
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable equity securities and other	411	3,258
Reclassification adjustment for loss realized included in net loss		
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (3,214)	\$ (151,864)

(1) See Note 2, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005
(Unaudited, in thousands)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Restated)(1)	(Restated)(1)
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net Loss	\$ (5,971)	\$ (139,759)
Loss from discontinued operations	(212)	(1,503)
Loss from continuing operations	(5,759)	(138,256)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	23,482	21,038
Provision for losses on accounts receivable and inventory	3,597	1,594
Stock compensation expense	5,618	863
Translation and exchange (gains) losses, net	(937)	1,791
Impairment charges and other non-cash items	20,426	1,461
Acquired in-process research and development		126,399
Deferred income taxes	1,910	(13,879)
Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:		
Accounts Receivable	13,779	6,482
Inventories	(3,736)	(10,951)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	4,918	(129)
Trade payables and accrued liabilities	(9,886)	(7,706)
Income taxes	(13,116)	15,960
Other liabilities	842	3,995
Cash flow from operating activities in continuing operations	41,138	8,662
Cash flow from operating activities in discontinued operations	(281)	(471)
Net cash provided by operating activities	40,857	8,191
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(13,351)	(4,848)
Proceeds from sale of assets	135	762
Proceeds from investments	2,000	498,600
Purchase of investments	(3,940)	(296,213)
Acquisition of businesses, license rights and product lines		(281,778)
Cash flow from investing activities in continuing operations	(15,156)	(83,477)
Cash flow from investing activities in discontinued operations	(1)	1
Net cash used in investing activities	(15,157)	(83,476)

Cash flows from financing activities:

Payments on long-term debt and notes payable	(157)	(593)
Proceeds from issuance of stock	430	640
Proceeds from stock offering		189,393
Dividends paid	(7,173)	(6,502)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(6,900)	182,938
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	728	(4,794)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	19,528	102,859
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	224,903	222,719
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	244,431	325,578
Cash and cash equivalents of discontinued operations	(69)	(132)
Cash and cash equivalents of continuing operations	\$ 244,362	\$ 325,446

(1) See Note 2, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2006

(Unaudited)

In the consolidated condensed financial statements included herein, we, us, our, Valeant and the Company refer to Valeant Pharmaceuticals International and its subsidiaries. The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The results of operations presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for a full year. Although we believe that all adjustments (consisting only of normal, recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the interim periods presented are included and that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, these consolidated condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005.

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization: We are a global, science-based, specialty pharmaceutical company that develops, manufactures and markets a broad range of pharmaceutical products. In addition, we generate royalty revenues from the sale of ribavirin by Schering-Plough Ltd. (Schering-Plough) and F. Hoffman-LaRoche (Roche).

Principles of Consolidation: The accompanying consolidated condensed financial statements include the accounts of Valeant, its wholly owned subsidiaries and all of its majority-owned subsidiaries. Minority interest in results of operations of consolidated subsidiaries represents the minority stockholders' share of the income or loss of these consolidated subsidiaries. All significant intercompany account balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Marketable Securities: We invest in investment grade securities and classify these securities as available-for-sale as they typically have maturities of one year or less and are highly liquid. As of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the fair market value of these securities approximated cost.

Acquired In-Process Research and Development: We incurred an expense of \$126,399,000 associated with acquired in-process research and development (IPR&D) related to the acquisition of Xcel Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Xcel) in the three months ended March 31, 2005. Amounts expensed as IPR&D represent an estimate of the fair value of purchased in-process technology for projects that, as of the acquisition date, had not yet reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use. The data used to determine fair value requires significant judgment. Differences in those judgments would have the impact of changing the allocation of purchase price to goodwill, which is an intangible asset that is not amortized.

The estimated fair value of these projects was based on the use of a discounted cash flow model (based on an estimate of future sales and an average gross margin of 80%). For each project, the estimated after-tax cash flows (using a tax rate of 35%) were probability weighted to take account of the stage of completion and the risks surrounding the successful development and commercialization. The assumed tax rates are our estimate of the effective tax rate for acquisitions of similar type of assets. These cash flows were then discounted to a present value using a discount rate of

18% which is our estimated, after tax, adjusted weighted average cost of capital.

The major risks and uncertainties associated with the timely and successful completion of these projects consist of the ability to confirm the safety and efficacy of the technology based on the data from clinical trials and obtaining necessary regulatory approvals. In addition, no assurance can be given that the underlying assumptions used to forecast the cash flows or the timely and successful completion of such projects will materialize, as estimated. For these reasons, among others, actual results may vary significantly from the estimated results.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Derivative Financial Instruments: Our accounting policies for derivative instruments are based on whether they meet our criteria for designation as hedging transactions, either as cash flow or fair value hedges. Our derivative instruments are recorded at fair value and are included in other current assets, other assets, accrued liabilities or debt. Depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the hedged item are either offset against the change in the fair value of the hedged item through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings.

Comprehensive Income: We have adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*. Accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments, unrealized losses on marketable equity securities, minimum pension liability and changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments.

Per Share Information: Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. In computing diluted earnings per share, the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding is adjusted to reflect the effect of potentially dilutive securities including options, warrants, and convertible debt; income available to common stockholders is adjusted to reflect any changes in income or loss that would result from the issuance of the dilutive common shares.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense: On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, (SFAS 123(R)) which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors including employee stock options and employee stock purchases under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan based on estimated fair values. SFAS 123(R) supersedes our previous accounting under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25). In March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107) relating to SFAS 123(R). We have applied the provisions of SAB 107 in its adoption of SFAS 123(R).

We adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the application of the accounting standard as of January 1, 2006. Our consolidated condensed financial statements as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, the financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R).

SFAS 123(R) requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in the consolidated condensed statement of operations. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), we accounted for stock-based awards to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB 25 as allowed under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS 123). Under the intrinsic value method, stock-based compensation expense is recognized in the financial statements for the amounts related to restricted stock unit grants and stock options granted to employees at exercise prices which are less than the market price of our stock on the dates that the stock option is awarded. (See Note 2 regarding restatement of our financial statements for stock-based compensation expense.)

We have determined the fair value of stock option grants using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model (Black-Scholes model). Our determination of fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by the Company s stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, the Company s expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors (See Note 8). The value of stock options that are expected to vest is amortized to expense using the straight line, graded vesting method over the vesting period of each stock option granted. Previously, for purposes of the disclosure only calculations under SFAS 123, the aggregate value of stock option grants was amortized to expense on a straight line basis.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Stock-based compensation expense included in the accompanying financial statements for the three months was \$5,618,000 and \$862,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table provides the pro forma results if we had recognized stock compensation expense under the provisions of SFAS 123(R) in 2005:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 (In thousands, except per share amounts) (Restated)
Net loss as reported	\$ (139,759)
Stock compensation expense recorded at intrinsic value for stock incentive plans	862
Stock compensation expense determined under fair value method for stock incentive plans	(5,560)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (144,457)
Net loss per share:	
Basic and diluted as reported	\$ (1.57)
Basic and diluted pro forma	\$ (1.63)

Prior to the restatement discussed in Note 2, we reported that the pro forma net loss and net loss per share resulting from the application of SFAS 123R would have been \$144,309,000 and \$1.62 per share, respectively, for the three month period ended March 31, 2005.

Income tax benefits in the United States that are associated with the our stock option programs and stock compensation expense have been recorded net of a completely offsetting valuation allowance because, at this time, there is insufficient objective evidence to assure that the Company will have sufficient US taxable income to realize such benefits.

Assets Held for Sale: Valeant has entered into a preliminary agreement of sale for a manufacturing facility in Warsaw, Poland. At March 31, 2006 the net book value of this facility (\$7,421,000) is classified as assets held for sale and included in prepaid expenses and other current assets in the accompanying consolidated condensed financial statements.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Reclassifications: Certain prior year items have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation, with no effect on previously reported net income or stockholders' equity.

2. Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements

We are amending our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 to restate our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 and the related disclosures. On January 22, 2007 we filed an amended Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

In July 2006, we were contacted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, with respect to an informal inquiry regarding events and circumstances surrounding trading in our common stock and the public

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

release of data from our first pivotal Phase 3 trial for Viramidine[®] (taribavirin). In addition, on August 22, 2006, the SEC requested data regarding our stock option grants and exercises since January 1, 2000. The SEC has also requested information about our pursuit in the Delaware Chancery Court of the return of certain bonuses paid to Milan Panic, the former chairman and chief executive officer, and others, in connection with the Ribapharm initial public offering. We commenced an internal review by our finance department of stock option grants from 1982 to July 2006. In September 2006, our board of directors appointed a special committee of the board composed solely of independent directors (the Special Committee) to conduct a review of our historic stock option practices and related accounting. The Special Committee, with the assistance of outside legal counsel, undertook a comprehensive review of the stock option grants to our officers, directors and employees from 1982 to July 2006 under our various stock option plans in effect during this period. The Special Committee has concluded its investigation and has reported its findings to our board of directors.

On October 20, 2006, our board of directors concluded that certain of our consolidated financial statements should be restated to record the additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense items and certain other items that had been incorrectly accounted for under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP.

Continuing the work done in September, the Special Committee analyzed in detail stock option grants awarded between November 1994 and July 2006 and analyzed supporting documentation for awards granted between 1982 and 1994. For the period between November 1994 and July 2006, the Special Committee's analysis included an extensive review of paper and electronic documents supporting or related to our stock option grants, the accounting for those grants, compensation-related financial and securities disclosures and e-mail communications as well as interviews with numerous current and former employees and current and former members of our board of directors. While the Special Committee concluded that there were some errors as late as January 2006, the majority of errors in accounting for options pertain to those options granted prior to the change in our board of directors and management in mid-2002 (the Change in Control). None of the errors occurring in periods after the Change in Control related to options granted to the chief executive officer, chief financial officer or members of our board of directors.

The Special Committee made a determination, based on the available evidence, of measurement dates for each affected grant. If the grants were approved at a meeting of the compensation committee of the board of directors and there was no actual evidence of a change in the approved list of individual awards, the measurement date selected was the date of the compensation committee meeting. If there was actual evidence of a change in the list of individual awards and evidence of when the list became final, the measurement date selected was the date when the list became final. If there was actual evidence of a change in the list but evidence of when the list became final was not definitive, the measurement date was reconstructed using the best available evidence to ensure that an adequate amount of compensation expense was recorded in the restatement.

In total we recorded \$31,049,000 of additional pre-tax, non-cash, stock-based compensation expense in the restatement to correct errors for awards granted from 1982 to date. Of this, \$28,651,000 related to awards granted prior to the Change in Control and \$2,398,000 to awards granted after the Change in Control. None of these changes affected our previously reported revenues, cash, or cash equivalents. As explained below, however, we also reported corrections for certain other items which impact our reported revenues and cash flow presentations.

Options Granted Prior to the Change in Control

The Special Committee found that the recorded grant dates for the majority of stock options awarded prior to the Change in Control differed from the actual grant dates for those transactions. In connection with that finding, the Special Committee concluded that, with respect to many broad-based grants of stock options prior to the Change in Control, prior management used a methodology of selecting a recorded grant date based on the lowest closing price during some time period (e.g., quarter, ten trading days) preceding the actual grant date. While the Special Committee did not reach a conclusion as to how prior management selected other recorded grant dates for broad-based or individual grants that did not use the lowest closing price methodology, there is some evidence that dates were selected based on the occurrence of an event or when the former chief executive officer, Milan Panic, agreed in

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

principle to the grant. While these and similar practices resulted in the grant of in-the-money options, and the Special Committee identified evidence that two pre-Change in Control directors may have been aware of these backdating practices, it does not appear that prior management pre-Change in Control attempted to conceal that the stock option grants were discounted using the backdating methodology.

Between November 1994 and the June 2002 Change in Control, eight broad-based grants were made. All of the 908 individual awards of options to purchase 6.9 million shares comprising those grants had recorded grant dates that differed from the actual grant dates for those transactions and each resulted in additional compensation charges that are reflected in our restated financial statements. Of those eight broad-based grants, six appear to have been annual grants that used the lowest closing price methodology and two appear to have been event-related (in those instances, there are lower prices between the recorded grant date and actual grant date). These eight broad-based option grants accounted for \$11,488,000 of the \$31,049,000 in pre-tax compensation charges.

During this period, options to directors to purchase a total of 334,000 shares were also found to have recorded grant dates earlier than the dates when the board of directors acted to approve the grants. The grants were dated in accordance with the 1994 Stock Option Plan which provided expressly that the grants were to be dated as of November 11, 1994. The board of directors, however, did not approve that stock option plan until January 1995. Accordingly, we are taking additional non-cash compensation charges equal to the difference between the closing stock price on the date of approval and November 11, 1994. These option grants to directors accounted for \$148,000 of the \$31,049,000 in pre-tax compensation charges.

Also during this period, there were 114 other individual grants of options to purchase a total of 2.0 million shares with stipulated grant dates earlier than the dates the compensation committee acted to approve these awards. The Special Committee could not determine whether the date of those grants were based on an event or when the former chief executive officer, Milan Panic, agreed in principle to the award. These individual option grants accounted for \$4,538,000 of the \$31,049,000 in additional compensation charges.

The restatement also includes a pre-tax charge of \$997,000 related to a stock option grant to a former chief financial officer, who left in 2002. This grant of options to purchase 100,000 shares was granted to him with a recorded grant date a few days before he joined the Company in May 1998. The Special Committee concluded that this award of options was effectively amended in December 1998 to lower its exercise price. There is evidence which suggests that certain members of former management knew or should have known that this transaction and one other transaction (resulting in a pre-tax charge of \$450,000) had accounting, tax, and disclosure consequences and that they failed to take appropriate action. These options have been accounted for as variable awards in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation* (FIN 44) in the restated financial statements. Variable accounting ceased in 2002 when these options were surrendered.

We also recorded \$1,375,000 of additional pre-tax, non-cash, stock-based compensation expense in the restatement for awards granted between 1982 and 1994.

In total 1,038 individual awards of options to purchase a total of 9.2 million shares granted before the Change in Control were found to have been granted in-the-money, representing 71% of total awards granted in the period November 1994 through June 11, 2002. This included 87 awards of options to purchase 4.5 million shares awarded to ten executive officers, including the former chief executive officer, Milan Panic. These in-the-money awards to executive officers accounted for \$10,507,000, or 34% of the total pre-tax accounting charge of additional stock-based

compensation expense in the restatement.

Cash Surrender of Options at Change in Control in 2002

The election of certain persons as directors at the annual meeting of our stockholders on May 29, 2002 caused a Change in Control under our stock option plans. Our 1998 Stock Option Plan (the 1998 Plan) provided that all outstanding options vested immediately upon the Change in Control and that an option holder had 60 days following the Change in Control to surrender his or her non-incentive stock options for a cash payment equal to the excess of

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

the highest closing price of the stock during the 90 days preceding the Change in Control, which was \$32.50 per share, or the closing price on the day preceding the date of surrender, whichever was higher, over the exercise price for the surrendered options.

During the year ended December 31, 2002, we recorded a pre-tax charge of \$61,400,000 related to our cash payment obligation under the 1998 Plan. The findings of the Special Committee relating to in-the-money options that were affected by the Change in Control require that we recognize the remaining grant date intrinsic value resulting from the acceleration of vesting for a number of these options and the value that certain other options could have been surrendered for cash under APB 25 and FIN 44. As a result, an additional compensation charge of \$10,105,000 has been recorded in fiscal year 2002.

Options Granted After the Change in Control

The Special Committee also found that, due to flaws in the processes relied on to make our annual broad-based grants after the Change in Control, we did not correctly apply the requirements of APB 25 through December 2005. These option accounting errors, however, differ significantly from those made prior to the Change in Control. Unlike the broad-based grants made prior to the Change in Control, for which the recorded grant dates were selected from a period prior to the approval dates, the broad-based grants after the Change in Control were approved at either regularly scheduled meetings of the compensation committee or at meetings of the board of directors, and the exercise price for each of these grants was the closing price on the date of such meetings.

The stock option accounting errors after the Change in Control resulted from allocation adjustments to the list of grants to individual non-executives after the compensation committee or the board of directors had approved the allocation of an aggregate number of shares to be available to non-executive employees. In no event did the adjustments result in shares being granted in excess of the aggregate number of shares approved by the compensation committee or the board of directors. Further, none of those adjustments related to the chief executive officer, chief financial officer, or any member of the board of directors. The Special Committee concluded that there was no evidence that management operating since the Change in Control were aware that the processes used to grant and account for broad-based grants were flawed or that the process employed was for the purpose of granting in-the-money stock options. In reaching this conclusion, the Special Committee took note that that process had been consistently employed even for the November 2005 grants in which the process resulted in stock option grants at higher exercise prices than the closing price of our common stock on the date of finalization of the allocation list for non-executives. The Special Committee also concluded that there was no evidence that current management was aware of any financial statement impact, tax consequences or disclosure implications of its flawed processes.

Between May 2003 and November 2005, we made four broad-based grants (May 2003, November 2003, November 2004 and November 2005). The May 2003 grants were made to non-executive employees. The November 2003, 2004 and 2005 grants were made to a broad base of employees, including senior executives (the November Grants). With respect to each of the November Grants, the granting authority (either the compensation committee or the board of directors) made specific grants to specific members of executive management, including, among others, the chief executive officer, the chief operating officer, and the chief financial officer. Additionally, the broad-based grants made after the Change in Control were approved either at regularly scheduled meetings of the compensation committee or at meetings of the board of directors. The stock option accounting errors that affected 164 individual grants of options to purchase 1.5 million shares resulted from slight adjustments to the non-executive grant lists after the relevant compensation committee or board meetings. In no event did the adjustments result in shares being granted in excess of

the number of options approved by the compensation committee or the board of directors. As a result of its work, the Special Committee made a determination of new measurement dates for each affected grant. With respect to three of the four broad-based grants (May 2003, November 2003 and November 2005), the measurement date selected was the date on which the rank and file list became final. With respect to the remaining broad-based grant (November 2004), there was actual evidence of a change in the rank and file list but inconclusive evidence when the list became final. The measurement date for that grant was reconstructed using the best available evidence to ensure that an

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

adequate amount of compensation expense was recorded in the restatement. A total of 14 other individual awards (0.1 million shares) made to rank-and-file employees since the change of control were also found to contain administrative stock option accounting errors.

To correct these errors, we recorded \$2,398,000 of additional pre-tax, non-cash, stock-based compensation expense in the restatement for the period July 1, 2002 through March 31, 2006. These non-cash charges have no impact on previously reported revenues, cash or cash equivalents. As explained below, however, we also reported corrections for certain other items which do have an impact on reported revenues and cash flow presentations.

New Hire Grant Practices

The Special Committee investigated our new hire stock option grant practices and concluded that the new hire grants were appropriately accounted for under the applicable accounting principles. Until January 2004, our practice was to set forth, in a prospective employee's offer letter a specific number of options, specifying that the strike price would be equal to the closing price on the new employee's first date of employment pending approval of the compensation committee. Beginning in January 2004, the offer letters set the strike price equal to the closing price of our stock on the later of compensation committee approval or the employee's start date.

With respect to our new hire grant practices prior to January 2004, the Special Committee reviewed each offer letter and related grant during the period June 2002 to January 2004 and a sample of offer letters and related grants prior to June 2002. The Special Committee also questioned relevant individuals about the option-related new hire practices and procedures. This intensive review confirmed that in each instance reviewed, the number of options approved was equal to the number of options set forth in the applicable offer letter, and that no material terms of the options were changed by the compensation committee in its approval process. Accordingly, the Special Committee concluded that, with respect to new hire grants prior to January 2004, compensation committee approval was a mere formality and that there had been finality with respect to the new hire grants upon the first day of employment, which had been used as the measurement date. Based upon the investigation, the Special Committee concluded that new hire grants were accounted for appropriately.

Income Tax Effects

Incremental, stock-based, pre-tax compensation charges resulted in tax benefits of \$7,920,000. These tax benefits through 2000 were \$1,940,000, recorded as an increase in the deferred tax assets with a corresponding increase in retained earnings. For 2001 through 2003, deferred tax assets increased by \$5,980,000 and income tax expense decreased by the same amount. In 2004, the deferred tax asset was fully reserved with a valuation allowance.

As a result of the review of our stock option granting practices, management determined that the limitation of tax benefits for executive compensation imposed by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the IRC) was not considered in the income tax returns or financial statements prior to the Change in Control. The amount of this limitation has been impacted by the determination that many of the stock options were granted at prices below fair market value on the date of grant. As a result of correctly applying the Section 162(m) limitations, retained earnings have been decreased by \$1,896,000 as of December 31, 2000 and income tax expense has been increased by \$702,000, \$518,000 and \$748,000 in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. Adjustments of (\$205,000) and \$122,000 for 2004 and 2005 respectively, did not affect tax expense due to the valuation allowance. Also, the cumulative impact on income tax of \$3,864,000 was reversed in 2004. This occurred because the valuation allowance for the deferred tax

assets decreased with the Section 162(m) reductions to the net operating loss.

As a result of our determination that the exercise prices of certain option grants were below the closing price of our common stock on the actual grant date, we evaluated whether the affected employees would have any adverse tax consequences under Section 409A of the IRC. It was determined that certain of these options were unvested as of December 31, 2004, and may be subject to Section 409A unless further action is taken. None of these options belong to persons who, as of the date of grant, were subject to the disclosure requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities

Table of Contents**VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Exchange Act of 1934. Therefore, transition relief is available with respect to these options through December 31, 2007. Additional guidance may be available before that time that will allow us to determine whether Section 409A will apply to the circumstances under which these options were granted. Depending upon the determination about the correct treatment of these options for Section 409A purposes, the recipients of these options may make an election to exercise the options in a way that excludes them from Section 409A treatment. This election is available through December 31, 2007.

Summary and Other Items

In addition, we have restated the aforementioned financial statements to correct certain accounting errors which were previously identified but not considered to be material through December 31, 2005. These corrections related to accounting for employee tax withholding on certain compensation transactions, elimination of an intercompany difference, accounting for product exchanges (resulting in a revenue adjustment), and certain income tax adjustments. The cumulative effect of these errors on retained earnings as of December 31, 2005 was \$4,714,000. The restatement impact through March 31, 2006 of these other corrections and of the non-cash charges for stock-based compensation that have resulted from the review of the Special Committee are summarized in the table below (amounts in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Year Ended December 31,			Cumulative	Total
	March 31,		2005	2004	2003	Effect	Additional
	2006	2005				1982	Expense
						-2002	(Income)
	(In thousands)						
Stock option grants prior to 2002 Change in Control:							
Broad-based option grants with improper measurement dates	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 11,488	\$ 11,488
Option grants to directors with improper measurement dates						148	148
Other option grants with improper measurement dates						4,538	4,538
Re-priced option grant						997	997
Improper measurement dates for option grants 1982-1994						1,375	1,375
Incremental charge in connection with Change in Control						10,105	10,105

Sub total pre Change in Control						28,651	28,651
Stock option grants after 2002 Change in Control:							
Company-wide option grants with improper measurement dates	(64)	312	1,171	1,085	172		2,364
Other stock option matters after June 2002		6	21	(7)	20		34
Sub total post Change in Control	(64)	318	1,192	1,078	192		2,398
Total impact of additional stock compensation on operating income	(64)	318	1,192	1,078	192	28,651	31,049
Other items corrected in connection with restatement	(629)	35	(2,273)	(1,265)	(90)	7,766	3,509
Tax effects of above and other tax items	300	147	964	(14,957)	1,785	3,357	(8,551)
Net income decrease (increase) resulting from all restatement items	\$ (393)	\$ 500	\$ (117)	\$ (15,144)	\$ 1,887	\$ 39,774	\$ 26,007

Table of Contents**VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The cumulative effect of the errors in 2002 and prior years of \$39,774,000 was recorded as a reduction of retained earnings at December 31, 2002.

The pre-tax effect of the correction for stock-based compensation was \$157,000, \$206,000, \$792,000, \$2,503,000, \$2,690,000, \$3,491,000, \$4,492,000 and \$12,945,000 for 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively. The cumulative pre-tax effect of the correction for stock-based compensation between 1982 and 1994 was \$1,375,000.

The following table summarizes the specific income statement accounts as reported and as affected by the restatement for the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005.

	March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(In thousands)	
Revenues		
As previously reported	\$ 198,848	\$ 181,138
Adjustment	644	(21)
As restated	\$ 199,492	\$ 181,117
Cost of goods sold		
As previously reported	\$ 58,580	\$ 48,721
Adjustment	21	62
As restated	\$ 58,601	\$ 48,783
Selling expenses		
As previously reported	\$ 64,270	\$ 52,815
Adjustment	6	35
As restated	\$ 64,276	\$ 52,850
Research and development costs		
As previously reported	\$ 29,535	\$ 25,724
Adjustment	18	107
As restated	\$ 29,553	\$ 25,831
General and administrative expenses		
As previously reported	\$ 28,540	\$ 24,577
Adjustment	(94)	128

Edgar Filing: VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL - Form 10-Q/A

As restated	\$ 28,446	\$ 24,705
Income (loss) from operations, before interest, taxes and other items		
As previously reported	\$ 7,934	\$ (112,761)
Adjustment	693	(353)
As restated	\$ 8,627	\$ (113,114)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest		
As previously reported	\$ 1,091	\$ (121,218)
Adjustment	693	(353)
As restated	\$ 1,784	\$ (121,571)

Table of Contents**VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

	March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(In thousands)	
Provision for income taxes		
As previously reported	\$ 7,242	\$ 16,367
Adjustment	300	147
As restated	\$ 7,542	\$ 16,514
Income (loss) from continuing operations		
As previously reported	\$ (6,152)	\$ (137,756)
Adjustment	393	(500)
As restated	\$ (5,759)	\$ (138,256)
Net income (loss)		
As previously reported	\$ (6,364)	\$ (139,259)
Adjustment	393	(500)
As restated	\$ (5,971)	\$ (139,759)
Basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations		
As previously reported	\$ (0.07)	\$ (1.55)
Adjustment	0.01	
As restated	\$ (0.06)	\$ (1.55)
Basic and diluted earnings per share		
As previously reported	\$ (0.07)	\$ (1.57)
Adjustment	0.01	
As restated	\$ (0.06)	\$ (1.57)

The following table summarizes the specific balance sheet accounts as reported and as affected by the restatement as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

	March 31,	December 31,
	2006	2005
	(In thousands)	
Other current assets (deferred taxes)		

Edgar Filing: VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL - Form 10-Q/A

As previously reported	\$ 38,862	\$ 36,652
Adjustment		3,702
As restated	\$ 38,862	\$ 40,354
Deferred tax assets, Net		
As previously reported	\$ 21,510	\$ 45,904
Adjustment		(20,562)
As restated	\$ 21,510	\$ 25,342
Accrued liabilities (reserve for product returns)		
As previously reported	\$ 135,043	\$ 136,701
Adjustment	3,508	4,137
As restated	\$ 138,551	\$ 140,838

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(In thousands)	
Income taxes – current		
As previously reported	\$ 37,995	\$ 42,452
Adjustment	1,470	4,872
As restated	\$ 39,465	\$ 47,324
Deferred taxes		
As previously reported	\$ 5,126	\$ 28,770
Adjustment		(20,562)
As restated	\$ 5,126	\$ 8,208
Additional capital		
As previously reported	\$ 1,209,926	\$ 1,203,814
Adjustment	21,029	21,093
As restated	\$ 1,230,955	\$ 1,224,907
Accumulated deficit		
As previously reported	\$ (757,480)	\$ (743,950)
Adjustment	(26,007)	(26,400)
As restated	\$ (783,487)	\$ (770,350)
Stockholders' equity		
As previously reported	\$ 434,590	\$ 439,251
Adjustment	(4,978)	(5,307)
As restated	\$ 429,612	\$ 433,944

Table of Contents**VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table sets forth the impact of the restatement on our consolidated statements of cash flows from operating activities three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands).

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2006			Three Months Ended March 31, 2005		
	As Previously		As Restated	As Previously		As Restated
	Reported	Adjustments		Reported	Adjustments	
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net Loss	\$ (6,364)	\$ 393	\$ (5,971)	\$ (139,259)	\$ (500)	\$ (139,759)
Loss from discontinued operations	(212)		(212)	(1,503)		(1,503)
Loss from continuing operations	(6,152)	393	(5,759)	(137,756)	(500)	(138,256)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization	23,482		23,482	21,038		21,038
Provision for losses on accounts receivable and inventory	3,597		3,597	1,594		1,594
Stock compensation expense	5,682	(64)	5,618	544	319	863
Translation and exchange (gains) losses, net	(937)		(937)	1,791		1,791
Impairment charges and other non-cash items	20,426		20,426	1,461		1,461
Acquired in-process research and development				126,399		126,399
Deferred income taxes	1,910		1,910	(14,026)	147	(13,879)
Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:						
Accounts Receivable	13,779		13,779	6,482		6,482
Inventories	(3,736)		(3,736)	(10,951)		(10,951)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,216	3,702	4,918	(129)		(129)
Trade payables and accrued liabilities	(9,886)		(9,886)	(7,740)	34	(7,706)
Income taxes	(9,085)	(4,031)	(13,116)	15,960		15,960

Other liabilities	842	842	3,995	3,995
Cash flow from operating activities in continuing operations	41,138	41,138	8,662	8,662
Cash flow from operating activities in discontinued operations	(281)	(281)	(471)	(471)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 40,857	\$ 40,857	\$ 8,191	\$ 8,191

3. Restructuring

On April 3, 2006 Valeant announced a restructuring program to reduce costs and accelerate earnings growth.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The program is primarily focused on our research and development and manufacturing operations. The objective of the restructuring program as it relates to research and development activities is to focus our efforts and expenditures on three late stage projects (Viramidine and Infergen, both of which are potential treatments of patients with hepatitis C, and retigabine, a potential treatment for partial onset seizures of patients with epilepsy) currently in development. The restructuring program is designed to rationalize our investments in research and development efforts in line with our financial resources. We intend to sell rights to, out-license or secure partners to share the costs of other major clinical projects and discovery programs that the research and development division has underway. The objective of the restructuring program as it relates to manufacturing is to further rationalize our manufacturing operations to reflect the regional nature of our existing products and further reduce our excess capacity after considering the likely delay in the launch of Viramidine.

The restructuring program is also expected to reduce selling, general and administrative expenses primarily through consolidation of the management functions in fewer administrative groups to achieve greater economies of scale. Management and administrative responsibilities for our regional operations in Australia, Africa and Asia (AAA), which have been managed as a separate business unit, will be combined with those of other regions.

We anticipate that the total restructuring program will result in charges that will range between \$90,000,000 and \$115,000,000. Although no impairments currently exist for any of our long-lived asset groups under the assets held and used model of FAS 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets these anticipated charges include potential future losses that may occur upon the disposition of specific assets related to our manufacturing operations in Switzerland and Puerto Rico, as well as assets of other operations that may be sold or abandoned. The anticipated charges also include employee severance costs resulting from a reduction of approximately 750 employees, the majority of whom work in the manufacturing facilities anticipated to be disposed.

We recorded a provision of \$26,466,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2006 in connection with our decision to implement the restructuring program. This charge, which was reported in the Corporate operating segment, consists of the write off of the costs of assets to be abandoned in the restructuring process of \$19,822,000 and an accrual for a portion of the severance costs of employees who will be terminated in the program of \$6,644,000. The severance charges recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2006 relate to 103 employees in administrative and research positions whose positions were eliminated in the restructuring. The amount of the accrual for severance in the three months ended March 31, 2006 was determined in accordance with Financial Accounting Standard No. 112 Employers Accounting for Postemployment Benefits.

In compliance with Financial Accounting Standard No. 146 Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities certain costs relating to the termination of employees in the restructuring program were not recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2006 but will be recorded when communicated to the affected employees (in the second quarter of 2006). Other costs associated with the restructuring and the associated termination of employees in connection therewith will be expensed as incurred. Additionally, losses from assets expected to be sold will be recorded upon disposal, or earlier if an impairment of the carrying value of the assets is identified under FAS 144.

Restructuring charges in the three month period ended March 31, 2005 relate to the decision to dispose of the Company's manufacturing facility in China offset in part by the gain on the sale of a manufacturing plant in Argentina.

4. Acquisitions

Infergen: On December 30, 2005, we acquired the United States and Canadian rights to the Infergen business of InterMune, Inc. Infergen is indicated for the treatment of hepatitis C in patients who have not responded to other treatments or have relapsed after such treatment. In connection with this transaction we acquired the rights to the Infergen product as currently approved by the FDA and rights to a clinical trial underway to expand the clinical

Table of Contents**VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

applications of Infergen. We also employed InterMune's marketing and research staffs who were dedicated to the Infergen product and projects and acquired third party contracts for the manufacture of Infergen. We paid InterMune consideration of \$120,000,000 in cash at the closing. Additionally, we have agreed to pay up to an additional \$22,400,000 of which \$20,000,000 is contingent on certain milestones being reached.

Xcel Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: On March 1, 2005, we acquired Xcel Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Xcel), a specialty pharmaceutical company focused on the treatment of disorders of the central nervous system for \$280,000,000 in cash. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, we paid an additional \$7,470,000 for a working capital adjustment. Xcel's portfolio consisted of four products that are sold within the United States, and retigabine, a late-stage clinical product candidate that is an adjunctive treatment for partial-onset seizures in patients with epilepsy. Approximately \$44,000,000 of the cash consideration was used to retire Xcel's outstanding long-term debt.

In connection with the Xcel acquisition, we completed an offering of 8,280,000 shares of our common stock in February 2005. After underwriting discounts and commissions, we received net proceeds of \$189,393,000, which was used to partially fund the Xcel acquisition. The remainder of the funds required for the Infergen and Xcel acquisitions was provided by available cash on hand.

A portion of the purchase price for the Xcel acquisition was placed in an escrow account to cover potential claims under the purchase agreement that would arise within one year of the acquisition date. Prior to such date, we filed a claim for indemnification from the former Xcel stockholders with respect to certain breaches of representation and warranties made by Xcel under the Xcel purchase agreement relating to Medicaid rebates on preacquisition sales and certain third-party claims. As of March 31, 2006, approximately \$5 million of the Xcel purchase price was in an escrow fund to pay indemnification claims.

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the combined results of operations of Valeant, Infergen and Xcel for the three month period ended March, 31 2005 as if the acquisitions had occurred as of January 1, 2005. The unaudited pro forma financial information is not intended to represent or be indicative of the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial condition that would have been reported had the acquisitions been completed as of the date presented, and should not be taken as representative of our future consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

	March 31, 2005	
	(in thousands, except	
	per share amounts)	
	(Restated)	
Net revenues	\$	200,471
Loss from continuing operations		(196,021)
Net loss		(197,524)
Basic and diluted net loss per share:		
Loss from continuing operations	\$	(2.12)
Net loss	\$	(2.13)

The above pro forma financial information includes charges for acquired in-process research and development of \$126,399,000 with respect to Xcel and \$47,200,000 with respect to Infergen and adjustments for amortization of identifiable intangible assets acquired and interest expense as a result of the retirement of Xcel's long-term debt. The effect of the IPR&D charges of Xcel and Infergen on the pro forma loss per share is \$1.89.

5. Discontinued Operations

In the second half of 2002, we made a strategic decision to divest our Russian Pharmaceuticals segment, biomedical segment, Photonics business, raw materials businesses and manufacturing facilities in Central Europe and Circe unit. During 2003, we disposed of the Russian Pharmaceuticals segment, biomedical segment, Photonics

Table of Contents**VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

business and Circe unit. During 2004, we disposed of one of the raw materials businesses and manufacturing facilities in Central Europe. During 2005 we completed the sale of the remaining raw materials business and manufacturing facility in Central Europe. In 2006 losses from discontinued operations consist of disposal of remaining real estate facilities and the wind down of administrative activities associated with these operations.

Summarized selected financial information for discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
Revenues	\$	\$ 2,092
Loss before income taxes	\$ (212)	\$ (1,285)
Loss on disposal of discontinued operations		(218)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ (212)	\$ (1,503)

The assets and liabilities of discontinued operations are stated separately as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 on the accompanying consolidated condensed balance sheets. The major assets and liabilities categories are as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Cash	\$ 69	\$ 47
Accounts receivable, net	29	45
Property, plant and equipment, net		18
Other assets	7	17
Assets of discontinued operations	\$ 105	\$ 127
Accounts payable	\$ 7	\$ 13
Accrued liabilities	19,018	19,118
Other liabilities	3,999	3,987
Liabilities of discontinued operations	\$ 23,024	\$ 23,118

Environmental contamination has been identified in the soil under a facility built by the Company which housed operations of the discontinued biomedical segment and is currently vacant. Remediation of the site will involve excavation and disposal of the waste at appropriately licensed sites some distance from the facility. Environmental reserves have been provided for remediation and related costs that we can reasonably estimate. Remediation costs are applied against these environmental reserves as they are incurred. As assessments and remediation progress, these liabilities will be reviewed and adjusted to reflect additional information that becomes available. Total environmental reserves for this site were \$18,923,000 and \$19,023,000 as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, and are included in the liabilities of discontinued operations. Although we believe that the reserves are adequate, there can be no assurance that the amount of expenditures and other expenses, which will be required relating to remediation actions and compliance with applicable environmental laws will not exceed the amounts reflected in reserves or will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Any possible loss that may be incurred in excess of amounts provided for as of March 31, 2006 cannot be reasonably estimated.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

6. Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Restated)	(Restated)
Income (loss):		
Numerator for basic and dilutive earnings per share loss attributable to stockholders	\$ (5,971)	\$ (139,759)
Shares:		
Denominator for basic and dilutive earnings per share adjusted weighted-average shares outstanding	92,770	88,836
Basic and diluted loss per share:		
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.06)	\$ (1.55)
Loss from discontinued operations		(0.02)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.06)	\$ (1.57)

For the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, options to purchase 1,746,000 and 2,868,000 weighted average shares of common stock, respectively, were not included in the computation of earnings per share because we incurred a loss and the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

For the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, options to purchase 9,324,000 and 1,901,000 weighted average shares of common stock, respectively, were also not included in the computation of earnings per share because the option exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the Company's common stock and, therefore, the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. Detail of Certain Accounts

The following tables present the details of certain amounts included in the consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (in thousands):

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Accounts receivable, net:		
Trade accounts receivable	\$ 134,912	\$ 153,497
Royalties receivable	18,111	27,306
Other receivables	27,222	12,669
	180,245	193,472
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(5,812)	(5,485)
	\$ 174,433	\$ 187,987
Inventories, net:		
Raw materials and supplies	\$ 34,448	\$ 34,931
Work-in-process	27,520	28,726
Finished goods	89,604	85,152
	151,572	148,809
Allowance for inventory obsolescence	(13,843)	(12,775)
	\$ 137,729	\$ 136,034
Property, plant and equipment, net:		
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	\$ 393,408	\$ 401,613
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(175,595)	(171,487)
	\$ 217,813	\$ 230,126

Intangible assets: As of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, intangible assets were as follows (in thousands):

March 31, 2006		December 31, 2005	
Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization

Intangible assets:				
Product rights	\$ 764,471	\$ (271,743)	\$ 763,653	\$ (257,380)
License agreements	67,376	(40,490)	67,376	(37,330)
Total intangible assets	\$ 831,847	\$ (312,233)	\$ 831,029	\$ (294,710)

Amortization expense for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$17,523,000 and \$13,968,000, respectively, of which \$14,364,000 and \$10,709,000, respectively, related to amortization of acquired product rights.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Income Taxes

We experience losses in the United States tax jurisdiction, where our research and development activities are conducted and our corporate offices are located. We anticipate that we will realize the tax benefits associated with these losses from reductions of future taxable income resulting from products in our development pipeline, further growth in US product sales and other measures. However, at this time, there is insufficient objective evidence of the timing and amounts of such future U.S. taxable income to assure realization of the tax benefits, and valuation allowances have been established to reserve those benefits. The valuation allowance for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was approximately \$6,500,000 resulting in a provision for income taxes of \$7,542,000 which primarily represents the taxes payable on earnings in tax jurisdictions outside the United States and for state and local taxes payable within the U.S.

Our effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was affected by pre-tax losses resulting from a restructuring charge of \$1,695,000 and the write-off of acquired IPR&D expenses in connection with the Xcel acquisition of \$126,399,000. These charges are not deductible for income tax purposes. The tax provision in the three months ended March 31, 2005 relates to the expected taxes on earnings in tax jurisdictions outside the United States.

9. Common Stock and Share Compensation

Stock Incentive Plan: In April 2003, we implemented the Company's 2003 Equity Incentive Plan (the Incentive Plan), which is an amendment and restatement of our 1998 Option Plan. The Incentive Plan increased the number of shares of common stock available for issuance from 11,604,000 to 18,104,000 in the aggregate. The Incentive Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, phantom stock and stock bonuses (collectively, awards) to our key employees, officers, directors, consultants and advisors. Options granted under the Incentive Plan must have an exercise price that is not less than 85% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant and a term not exceeding 10 years. Under the Incentive Plan, 500,000 shares may be issued as phantom stock awards or restricted stock awards for which a participant pays less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. Generally, options vest ratably over a four year period from the date of grant.

Stock Options Issued Under the Incentive Plan: The following table sets forth information relating to stock options issued under the Incentive Plan (in thousands, except per share data):

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Shares under option, December 31, 2004	13,336	\$ 17.93
Granted	2,192	\$ 18.16
Exercised	(160)	\$ 20.10
Canceled	(736)	\$ 22.28

Shares under option, December 31, 2005	14,632	\$	17.80
Granted	130	\$	17.22
Exercised	(33)	\$	13.07
Canceled	(257)	\$	27.64
Shares Under Option, March 31, 2006	14,472	\$	17.63
Exercisable at December 31, 2005	7,197	\$	17.82
Exercisable at March 31, 2006	7,387	\$	17.39
Options available for grant at December 31, 2005	513		
Options available for grant at March 31, 2006	635		

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The schedule below reflects the number of outstanding and exercisable options as of March 31, 2006 segregated by price range (in thousands, except per share data):

Range of Exercise Prices	Outstanding		Exercisable		Weighted
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)
\$8.10 to \$13.08	4,845	\$ 10.29	3,308	\$ 10.07	6.71
\$13.67 to \$18.55	5,250	\$ 17.87	1,657	\$ 17.90	8.34
18.70 to 46.25	4,377	\$ 25.46	2,422	\$ 27.05	7.17
	14,472		7,387		

The fair value of options granted in 2006 and 2005 were estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	2006	2005
Weighted-average life (years)	4.1	4.1
Volatility	39%	41%
Expected dividend per share	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.31
Risk-free interest rate	4.80%	4.33%
Weighted-average fair value of options	\$ 5.48	\$ 6.10

The aggregate intrinsic value of the stock options outstanding at March 31, 2006 was \$27,391,000. The aggregate intrinsic value of the stock options that are both outstanding and exercisable at March 31, 2006 was \$19,454,000. Intrinsic value is the in the money valuation of the options or the difference between market and exercise prices. The fair value of options vesting in the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$2,609,000.

Restricted Stock Units Issued Under the Incentive Plan: During 2005, 2004 and 2003, pursuant to our approved director compensation plan, we granted its non-employee directors 147,465, 51,476 and 69,653 shares of restricted stock units, respectively. Additionally in 2005 we granted certain officers of the Company, in the aggregate, 90,000 restricted stock units. The restricted stock units issued had a fair value (equal to the market price of the Company's stock on the grant date) of \$2,752,000, \$971,000 and \$840,000, in the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Each restricted stock unit granted to non-employee directors vests over one year, is entitled to dividend equivalent shares and is exchanged for a share of the Company's common stock one year after the director ceases to serve as a member of the Company's Board. Each restricted stock unit granted to certain officers of the company vests 50 percent three years after grant with the balance vesting equally in years four and five after grant, is

entitled to dividend equivalent shares and is exchanged for a share of the Company's common stock upon vesting. As of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, there were 242,442 restricted stock units outstanding. During the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company recorded non-cash charges related to the vesting of restricted stock units of \$637,000 and \$544,000 respectively.

2003 Employee Stock Purchase Plan: In May 2003, our stockholders approved the Valeant Pharmaceuticals International 2003 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESPP"). The ESPP provides employees with an opportunity to purchase common stock at a 15% discount to market price. Additionally, the market prices under the ESPP program are the lower of the Company's stock price at the beginning or end of each six month ESPP enrollment period. There are 7,000,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the Purchase Plan, plus an annual increase on the first day of our fiscal year for a period of ten years, ending on January 1, 2015, equal to the lower of (i) 1.5% of the shares of common stock outstanding on each calculation date, (ii) 1,500,000 shares of common stock, or (iii) a number of shares that may be determined by the Compensation Committee. In the year ending 2005, we issued 100,000 shares of common stock for proceeds of \$1,644,000. No shares were issued in the three month period.

Table of Contents**VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

ended March 31, 2006 (under the terms of the ESPP shares are issued twice each year in May and November). Under SFAS 123(R) we recorded \$120,000 as compensation expense in the three month period ended March 31, 2006 for shares expected to be purchased under this plan. This amount consists of the 15% discount to market price offered to participating employees under the ESPP plus the additional value, determined under the Black-Scholes model, of the plan feature allowing purchased share price to be based on the lower of the Company's share price at the beginning or end of each ESPP enrollment period.

The components of stock compensation expense and the amounts of future expense that relate to outstanding but unvested stock options and phantom stock awards is set forth in the table below (amounts in thousands):

	Recorded as Expense Through March 31, 2006 (Restated)	To be Recorded as Expense in Future Periods (Restated)
Employee stock options	\$ 4,861	\$ 23,838
Phantom and Restricted stock units	637	1,872
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	120	
Total stock based compensation expense	\$ 5,618	\$ 25,710

Stock compensation expense was recorded in the following expense classifications (in thousands).

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)
Cost of goods sold	\$ 434	\$ 62
Selling expenses	854	35
General and administrative expenses	3,532	525
Research and development costs	798	240
	\$ 5,618	\$ 862

The amounts of future stock compensation expense associated with outstanding stock options and restricted stock units outstanding at March 31, 2006 is scheduled to be charged to expense as follows (amounts are as restated):

	(In Thousands)
Remainder of 2006	\$ 13,580
2007	8,255
2008	3,108
2009 and thereafter	767
	\$ 25,710

Dividends: We have paid quarterly cash dividends of \$0.0775 per share for each quarter in 2005 and the first quarter of 2006. However, we cannot assure that we will continue to do so.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10. Commitments and Contingencies

We are involved in several legal proceedings, including the following matters (Valeant was formerly known as ICN Pharmaceuticals, Inc.):

Securities Class Actions:

Section 10b-5 Litigation: Since July 25, 2002, multiple class actions have been filed against us and some of our current and former executive officers alleging that the defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, by issuing false and misleading financial results to the market during different class periods ranging from May 3, 2001 to July 10, 2002, thereby artificially inflating the price of our stock. The lawsuits generally claim that we issued false and misleading statements regarding our earnings prospects and sales figures (based upon channel stuffing allegations), our operations in Russia, the marketing of Efudex, and the earnings and sales of our Photonics division. The plaintiffs generally seek to recover compensatory damages, including interest.

All the actions have been consolidated to the Central District of California. On June 24, 2004, the court dismissed the Second Amended Complaint as to the channel stuffing claim. The plaintiffs then stipulated to a dismissal of all the claims against us. The plaintiffs have filed a notice of appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit seeking review of the dismissal of the claims against us. Oral argument before the Ninth Circuit in this matter has been scheduled for June 9, 2006.

Derivative Actions: We are a nominal defendant in a shareholder derivative lawsuit pending in state court in Orange County, California, styled *James Herring, IRA v. Milan Panic et al.* This lawsuit, which was filed on June 6, 2002, purports to assert derivative claims on our behalf against certain of our current and/or former officers and directors. The lawsuit asserts claims for breach of fiduciary duties, abuse of control, gross mismanagement and waste of corporate assets. The plaintiff seeks, among other things, damages and a constructive trust over cash bonuses paid to the officer and director defendants in connection with the Ribapharm offering.

On October 1, 2002, several of our former and current directors, as individuals, as well as Valeant, as a nominal defendant, were named as defendants in a second shareholder's derivative complaint filed in the Delaware Court of Chancery, styled *Paul Gerstley v. Norman Barker, Jr. et al.* The original complaint in the Delaware action purported to state causes of action for violation of Delaware General Corporation Law Section 144, breach of fiduciary duties and waste of corporate assets in connection with the defendants' management of our company. The allegations in the Delaware action were similar to those contained in the derivative lawsuit filed in Orange County, California, but included additional claims asserting that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties by disseminating materially misleading and inaccurate information.

We established a Special Litigation Committee to evaluate the plaintiffs' claims in both derivative actions. The Special Litigation Committee concluded that it would not be in the best interest of our shareholders to pursue many of the claims in these two lawsuits, but decided to pursue, through litigation or settlement, claims arising from the April 2002 decision of the Board to approve the payment of approximately \$50,000,000 in bonuses to various members of the Board and management in connection with the initial public offering of Ribapharm (the Ribapharm Bonuses). The Court granted our motion to stay the California proceedings in favor of the similar Delaware proceedings. On

October 27, 2003, the Delaware Court of Chancery granted our motion to realign us as plaintiff in the Delaware action.

We have settled the litigation with respect to ten of the defendants, nine of whom each received Ribapharm Bonuses of \$330,500, and one who received a Ribapharm Bonus of \$500,000. Three of the settling defendants were first elected to our Board of Directors in 2001 (the 2001 Directors), only one of whom currently serves on the Board of Directors. Pursuant to the settlements, the 2001 Directors forfeited their 2003 annual Board of Directors stipend and all of their restricted stock units in exchange for a release from further liability in the lawsuit (the 2001 Director Settlement). The 2001 Director Settlement further provides that, in the event we negotiate a

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

settlement with certain defendants on financial terms that are materially better than those set forth in the settlement agreements with the 2001 Directors, we agree to adjust the 2001 Directors' settlement payment by a comparable proportion. Following court-sponsored mediation in the Delaware Court of Chancery, we entered into settlement agreements with seven other defendants. Pursuant to these settlements, six of these defendants (the Outside Director Defendants) are required to pay to us \$150,000 in exchange for a release from further liability in the lawsuit. The Outside Director Defendants will receive an offset credit of \$50,000 for release of their claimed right to payments for the automatic conversion of our stock options that were not issued to them in 2002. As provided in the settlement agreements, in July 2005, five of the Outside Director Defendants have paid in cash to us \$50,000 each in settlement payments, with the remaining \$50,000 to be paid on or before May 18, 2006. The other settling former director has paid \$80,000 to us pursuant to his settlement agreement with us in exchange for a release from further liability in the lawsuit. On May 18, 2005, the Delaware Court of Chancery approved all of the settlements and dismissed all claims except those related to the *Ribapharm Bonuses*. Following the mediated settlement agreements, counsel for the 2001 Directors notified us that, in the 2001 Directors' opinion, the settlement agreements with the Outside Director Defendants are on financial terms that are materially better than those set forth in the settlements with the 2001 Directors and have demanded that we pay to the 2001 Directors the sum of \$50,000 each. We have advised the 2001 Directors that the settlement agreements reached with the other defendants do not trigger this provision. If it is deemed that the financial terms of the settlement with the Outside Director Defendants are on financial terms that are materially better than those set forth in the settlement with the 2001 Directors, the 2001 Directors' settlement payment will be adjusted by a comparable proportion. The claims with respect to defendants Milan Panic and Adam Jerney, who received Ribapharm Bonuses of \$33,000,000 and \$3,000,000, respectively, were tried in Delaware Chancery Court in a one-week trial beginning February 27, 2006. The Court will render its decision after the post-trial briefings and hearing conclude in the second quarter of 2006.

Patent Oppositions: Various parties are opposing our ribavirin patents in actions before the European Patent Office (E.P.O.), and we are responding to these oppositions. One patent has been revoked by the Opposition Division of the E.P.O., and we have filed an appeal within the E.P.O. The revoked patent benefited from patent extensions in the major European countries that provided market protection until 2010. A second European patent is also the subject of an opposition proceeding in the E.P.O.

Should the opponents ultimately prevail against both of our ribavirin patents, the ribavirin component of the combination therapies marketed by Schering-Plough and Roche would lose patent protection in Europe. Although data exclusivity applies to these products until 2010, if no ribavirin patents remain in force in Europe, we will no longer receive royalties from Roche.

Serbia & Montenegro: In March 1999, arbitration was initiated in the following matters before the International Chamber of Commerce International Court of Arbitration: (a) State Health Fund of Serbia v. ICN Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Case No. 10 373/ AMW/ BDW/ SPB/ JNK, and (b) ICN Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Federal Republic of Yugoslavia and Republic of Serbia, Case No. 10 439/ BWD/ SPB/ JNK. At issue in these matters were the parties' respective rights and obligations with respect to ICN Yugoslavia, a joint venture known as Galenika and formed by the parties' predecessors-in-interest in 1990. In these proceedings, we asserted claims against the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (FRY) and the Republic of Serbia, and counterclaims against the State Health Fund of Serbia (Health Fund) for, inter alia, unlawful seizure of our majority interest in the joint venture and failure to pay obligations to the joint venture in excess of \$176,000,000. We sought damages in excess of \$277,000,000. The Health Fund asserted claims against us for breach of the joint venture agreement based on our alleged failure to make our required capital contributions, and our alleged mismanagement of the joint venture. The Health Fund sought damages in excess of \$270,000,000. Early in

the proceedings the arbitral tribunal dismissed the FRY from these proceedings for lack of jurisdiction. In November 2004 the arbitral tribunal issued a final award in the case. The tribunal ruled that we had complied with our capital contribution obligations, that the Health Fund and Republic of Serbia had committed a de facto expropriation of our interest in the joint venture, and that we were entitled to a return of our capital contributions, including rights to certain pharmaceutical compounds and \$50,000,000 in cash. The tribunal dismissed the remaining claims by us and by the Health Fund for lack of

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

jurisdiction. All matters regarding Galenika were settled pursuant to a Mutual Settlement and Release Agreement among us, the Republic of Serbia, the Health Fund and the Galenika entity, under which we were paid \$28,000,000 on March 1, 2006 and will be paid an additional \$6,000,000 on March 1, 2007, with respect to which we have received a bank letter of credit.

Argentina Antitrust Matter: In July 2004, we were advised that the Argentine Antitrust Agency had issued a notice unfavorable to us in a proceeding against our Argentine subsidiary. The proceeding involves allegations that the subsidiary in Argentina abused a dominant market position in 1999 by increasing its price on Mestinon in Argentina and not supplying the market for approximately two months. The subsidiary filed documents with the agency offering an explanation justifying its actions, but the agency has now rejected the explanation. The agency is collecting evidence prior to issuing a new decision. Argentinean law permits a fine to be levied of up to \$5,000,000 plus 20% of profits realized due to the alleged wrongful conduct. Counsel in the matter advises that the size of the transactions alleged to have violated the law will unlikely draw the maximum penalty.

Permax Product Liability Cases:

Valvular Heart Disease. From time to time, various plaintiffs have alleged that the use of Permax, a drug for the treatment of Parkinson's disease marketed and sold by Amarin Pharmaceuticals Inc., the shares of which were purchased by us in February 2004, caused valvular heart disease. We have also received from time to time and other claims alleging that the use of Permax caused congestive heart failure and other coronary-related damage, including a letter from an attorney purporting to represent five persons with such claims, but no litigation has yet been filed. All claims raised to date related to valvular heart disease have been settled by us, for amounts which, in the aggregate, do not represent a material effect on us.

Compulsive Gambling. On July 18, 2005, we were served a complaint in a case captioned Barbara E. Hermansen and Robert B. Wilcox, Jr. v. Eli Lilly & Company, Elan Corporation, plc, Amarin Corporation plc and Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Case No. 05 L 007276 in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, which case has subsequently been removed to federal court. This case alleges that the use of Permax caused the plaintiff to become a compulsive gambler, and as a result, she has suffered significant economic loss and severe emotional and mental distress.

Eli Lilly, the former holder of the right granted by the FDA to market and sell Permax in the United States, though such right was licensed to Amarin, and the source of the manufactured product, has also been named in the suits. Under an agreement between us and Eli Lilly, Eli Lilly will bear a portion of the liability, if any, and defense costs associated with these claims. This case is in a preliminary stage and it is difficult to assess whether we will have any liability and, if such liability exists, what the extent of the liability would be. Product liability insurance exists with respect to this claim. There can be no assurance that the insurance will be sufficient to cover this claim, and there can be no assurance that defending against any future similar claims and any resulting settlements or judgments will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse affect on our consolidated financial position, results of operation or liquidity.

Kali Litigation: In March 2004, Kali Laboratories, Inc. submitted Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) No. 76-843 with the FDA seeking approval for a generic version of Diastat® (a diazepam rectal gel). In July 2004, Xcel Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which we acquired on March 1, 2005, filed a complaint against Kali for patent infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,462,740 Civil Case No. 04-3238 (JCL) pending in the United States District Court

of New Jersey. The complaint alleges that Kali's filing of ANDA No. 76-843 is an act of infringement under 35 U.S.C. §271(e)(4) of one or more claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,462,740. Kali has filed an answer and counterclaims, denying all allegations of the complaint and asserting affirmative defenses and counterclaims for non-infringement, invalidity and unenforceability under the doctrine of patent misuse due to improper filing of the lawsuit. Xcel filed a reply to the counterclaims, denying all allegations. In October 2005, Kali filed an amended answer and counterclaims asserting affirmative defenses and counterclaims for non-infringement, invalidity, unenforceability due to inequitable conduct during prosecution of the patent, and unenforceability under the

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

doctrine of patent misuse due to improper filing of the lawsuit. In November 2005, we filed a reply to the amended counterclaims, denying all allegations. We will vigorously defend ourselves against Kali's allegations. Fact discovery has closed but expert discovery is proceeding. The date for the pretrial conference is June 12, 2006. No trial date has been set.

Xcel filed this suit within forty-five days of Kali's Paragraph IV certification. As a result, The Drug Price Competition and Patent Restoration Act of 1984 (the Hatch-Waxman Act) provides an automatic stay on the FDA's approval of Kali's ANDA for thirty months. If Xcel prevails in the lawsuit, then Kali's ANDA cannot be effective until after the expiration of U.S. Patent No. 5,462,740 in 2013. If Kali prevails in the lawsuit at the district court level, then the FDA may approve Kali's ANDA at such time, even if prior to the expiration of the thirty-month stay period.

Trademark litigation: Valent U.S.A. Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary Valent Biosciences Corporation (together Valent Biosciences) have expressed concerns regarding the possible confusion between Valent Biosciences VALENT trademark registered in connection with various chemical and agricultural products and the company's VALEANT trademark. Valent Biosciences has opposed the registration of the VALEANT trademark by us in certain jurisdictions, including Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Czech Republic, European Union, France, Germany, Indonesia, Israel, Japan, Malaysia, New Zealand, Romania, Slovak Republic, Spain, Switzerland, Turkey, Taiwan, Venezuela, the United Kingdom and the United States. Valent Biosciences' oppositions in Colombia, Czech Republic, France, Romania, Spain and Turkey have been denied. Valent Biosciences unsuccessfully appealed the French decision and has appeals pending in Colombia, Romania, Spain and Turkey. While some or all of Valent Biosciences' oppositions in Chile and Switzerland have been sustained, we have appealed those decisions. We have also initiated actions to cancel trademark registrations owned by Valent Biosciences in Germany, Israel and South Korea and have opposed an application for the Valent mark in Switzerland. We have responded or will respond to the opposition proceedings that have been filed and discovery is ongoing in the opposition proceeding in the United States. Valent Biosciences has also filed for cancellation of the VALEANT trademark in Austria. If the cancellation filing or any of the opposition proceedings are successful, we would have no trademark registration for the VALEANT mark in that particular jurisdiction and, in addition, in those jurisdictions where trademark rights accrue solely through the registration process, may have no trademark rights in the VALEANT mark those particular jurisdictions.

Breach of contract: On March 11, 2005, Caleel + Hayden, LLC sued in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Orange alleging that our termination of their distribution agreement for Kinerase was a breach of the contract and constituted fraud. Plaintiff is seeking substantial damages, alleging, among other things, lost profits. Trial is scheduled for June 6, 2006.

Other: We are a party to other pending lawsuits and subject to a number of threatened lawsuits. While the ultimate outcome of pending and threatened lawsuits or pending violations cannot be predicted with certainty, and an unfavorable outcome could have a negative impact on us, at this time in the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

11. Business Segments

We have four reportable pharmaceutical segments which are comprised of our pharmaceutical operations in North America, Latin America, Europe and Asia, Africa and Australia (AAA). In addition, we have a research and development division. The restructuring program will result in the elimination of our AAA segment and combining the operations therein with those of other segments. Future financial reports will reflect this reorganization of responsibilities.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table sets forth the amounts of segment revenues and operating income of the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Restated)	(Restated)
Revenues		
Specialty pharmaceuticals		
North America	\$ 75,856	\$ 48,922
Latin America	35,788	32,060
Europe	56,257	65,875
AAA	13,500	14,925
Total specialty pharmaceuticals	181,401	161,782
Ribavirin royalties	18,091	19,335
Total revenues	\$ 199,492	\$ 181,117
Operating Income (Loss)		
Specialty pharmaceuticals		
North America	\$ 23,136	\$ 16,673
Latin America	8,684	9,818
Europe	4,550	11,734
AAA	154	790
	36,524	39,015
Corporate expenses(1)	(23,141)	(14,699)
Total specialty pharmaceuticals	13,383	24,316
Restructuring charges(2)	(26,466)	(1,695)
Gain on settlement of litigation	34,000	
Research and development	(12,290)	(9,336)
Acquired IPR&D(2)		(126,399)
Consolidated segment operating income (loss)	8,627	(113,114)
Interest income	2,657	3,015
Interest expense	(10,437)	(9,681)
Other, net	937	(1,791)
	\$ 1,784	\$ (121,571)

Income (loss) from continuing operations before provision for income taxes and minority interest

- (1) All stock based compensation expense has been considered a corporate cost as management excludes this item in assessing the financial performance of individual business segments and considers it a function of valuation factors that pertain to overall corporate stock performance.
- (2) Restructuring charges and IPR&D are not included in the applicable segments as management excludes these items in assessing the financial performance of these segments, primarily due to their non-operational nature.

Table of Contents**VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table sets forth the total assets of the Company by segment as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (in thousands):

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005 (Restated)
North America	\$ 500,161	\$ 503,196
Latin America	134,882	131,070
Europe	379,841	373,974
AAA	60,403	62,886
Corporate	202,054	223,821
Research and development division	213,154	218,943
Discontinued operations	105	127
Total	\$ 1,490,600	\$ 1,514,017

The following table sets forth the long-term assets of the Company by segment as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (in thousands):

	Long-Term Assets	
	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005 (Restated)
North America	\$ 418,779	\$ 426,745
Latin America	38,970	39,287
Europe	130,274	129,952
AAA	21,072	21,762
Corporate	121,814	138,239
Research and development division	153,184	158,464
Total	\$ 884,093	\$ 914,449

The following table summarizes the largest of our product lines by therapeutic class based on sales for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

Three Months Ended March 31,	% Increase/
---	------------------------

	2006	2005	(Decrease)
	(Restated)	(Restated)	
Dermatology			
Efudix/Efudex [®] (T)	\$ 15,581	\$ 19,276	(19)%
Kinerase [®] (T)	6,860	4,435	55%
Oxsoralen-Ultra [®] (T)	3,508	2,968	18%
Dermatix [™]	1,834	1,896	(3)%
Other Dermatology	8,569	8,133	5%
Infectious Disease			
Infergen [®] (T)	13,705		
Virazole [®] (T)	5,801	4,174	39%
Other Infectious Disease	4,731	5,853	(19)%

Table of Contents**VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

	Three Months Ended		% Increase/ (Decrease)
	March 31,		
	2006	2005	
	(Restated)	(Restated)	
Neurology			
Diastat(T)	12,022	5,177	132%
Mestinon®(T)	9,817	9,860	0%
Cesamet	3,303	2,055	61%
Migranal	3,115	774	302%
Librax	2,919	4,080	(28)%
Dalmane/Dalmadorm	2,466	2,642	(7)%
Limbitrol	1,510	1,294	17%
TASMAR®	1,185	939	26%
Other Neurology	14,591	10,568	38%
Other Therapeutic Classes			
Bedoyecta™(T)	10,580	9,244	14%
Bisocard(T)	3,565	2,655	34%
Solcoseryl(T)	3,377	4,194	(19)%
Calcitonin	1,850	2,585	(28)%
Nyal	1,754	2,474	(29)%
Aclotin	1,372	1,520	(10)%
Espaven	1,302	1,562	(17)%
Other pharmaceutical products	46,084	53,424	(14)%
Total product sales	\$ 181,401	\$ 161,782	12%
Total promoted product sales(a)	\$ 107,426	\$ 83,804	28%
Total top 10 products based on 2006 sales	\$ 84,816	\$ 61,983	37%

(a) The products named above are all promoted products with estimated annualized sales in excess of \$5 million.

(T) Represents ten products with the largest amount of sales in the first quarter of 2006.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006 two customers in the United States accounted for more than 10% of consolidated product sales. Sales to McKesson Corporation were \$23,068,000 and sales to Cardinal Health were \$18,112,000 in the three month period ended March 31, 2006. In prior years no single customer accounted for more than 10% of product sales in any period.

12. Subsequent events relating to the restatement

The restatement of our financial statements caused us to delay the filing of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. On December 12, 2006, we received a notice of default from The Bank of New York, as trustee for the holders of our 3% Convertible Notes due 2010, asserting that a default occurred under our indenture dated as of November 19, 2003, governing the 3.0% Convertible Notes and our 4.0% Convertible Notes due 2013. The notice of default asserts that a default occurred under the indenture when we failed to timely file our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. The filing of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, within sixty days of the notice of default, has cured the asserted default under the indenture.

Table of Contents

VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following legal proceedings relating to the stock option review discussed in the Explanatory Note at the beginning of this amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A and Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements set forth herein were initiated after March 31, 2005:

In July 2006, we were contacted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, with respect to an informal inquiry regarding events and circumstances surrounding trading in the company's common stock and the public release of data from its first pivotal Phase 3 trial for Viramidine[®] (taribavirin). In addition, the SEC also requested data regarding the company's stock option grants since January 1, 2000 and information about the company's pursuit in the Delaware Chancery Court of the return of bonuses paid to Milan Panic, the company's former chairman and chief executive officer, and others, in connection with the Ribapharm initial public offering.

In September 2006, our board of directors appointed a Special Committee consisting solely of independent directors to conduct a comprehensive review relating to our stock option grants and stock option practices. The Special Committee, with the assistance of outside legal counsel, reviewed the stock option grants to our officers, directors and employees from 1982 to July 2006 under our various stock option plans in effect during this period. Our finance department has also reviewed the stock option grants and stock option practices from November 1994 to the present.

Derivative Actions: We are a nominal defendant in two shareholder derivative lawsuits pending in state court in Orange County, California, styled (i) Michael Pronko v. Timothy C. Tyson et al., and (ii) Kenneth Lawson v. Timothy C. Tyson et al. These lawsuits, which were filed on October 27, 2006 and November 16, 2006 respectively, purport to assert derivative claims on our behalf against certain of our current and/or former officers and directors. The lawsuits assert claims for breach of fiduciary duties, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment, and violations of the California Corporations Code related to the purported backdating of employee stock options. The plaintiffs seek, among other things, damages, an accounting, the rescission of stock options, and a constructive trust over amounts acquired by the defendants who have exercised Valeant stock options. The defendants have not yet responded to the complaints. We expect the actions to be consolidated before a single judge after which the plaintiffs will file a single consolidated complaint. We will evaluate the consolidated complaint and respond accordingly.

Table of Contents

Item 2. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

We are amending our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 to restate our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 and the related disclosures. On January 22, 2007 we filed an amended Annual Report of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

In July 2006, we were contacted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, with respect to an informal inquiry regarding events and circumstances surrounding trading in our common stock and the public release of data from our first pivotal Phase 3 trial for Viramidine® (taribavirin). In addition, on August 22, 2006, the SEC requested data regarding our stock option grants and exercises since January 1, 2000. The SEC has also requested information about our pursuit in the Delaware Chancery Court of the return of certain bonuses paid to Milan Panic, the former chairman and chief executive officer, and others, in connection with the Ribapharm initial public offering. We commenced an internal review by our finance department of stock option grants from 1982 to July 2006. In September 2006, our board of directors appointed a special committee of the board composed solely of independent directors (the Special Committee) to conduct a review of our historic stock option practices and related accounting. The Special Committee, with the assistance of outside legal counsel, undertook a comprehensive review of the stock option grants to our officers, directors and employees from 1982 to July 2006 under our various stock option plans in effect during this period. The Special Committee has concluded its investigation and has reported its findings to our board of directors.

On October 20, 2006, our board of directors concluded that certain of our consolidated financial statements should be restated to record the additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense items and certain other items that had been incorrectly accounted for under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP.

Continuing the work done in September, the Special Committee analyzed in detail stock option grants awarded between November 1994 and July 2006 and analyzed supporting documentation for awards granted between 1982 and 1994. For the period between November 1994 and July 2006, the Special Committee's analysis included an extensive review of paper and electronic documents supporting or related to our stock option grants, the accounting for those grants, compensation-related financial and securities disclosures and e-mail communications as well as interviews with numerous current and former employees and current and former members of our board of directors. While the Special Committee concluded that there were some errors as late as January 2006, the majority of errors in accounting for options pertain to those options granted prior to the change in our board of directors and management in mid-2002 (the Change in Control). None of the errors occurring in periods after the Change in Control related to options granted to the chief executive officer, chief financial officer or members of our board of directors.

The Special Committee made a determination, based on the available evidence, of measurement dates for each affected grant. If the grants were approved at a meeting of the compensation committee of the board of directors and there was no actual evidence of a change in the approved list of individual awards, the measurement date selected was the date of the compensation committee meeting. If there was actual evidence of a change in the list of individual awards and evidence of when the list became final, the measurement date selected was the date when the list became final. If there was actual evidence of a change in the list but evidence of when the list became final was not definitive, the measurement date was reconstructed using the best available evidence to ensure that an adequate amount of compensation expense was recorded in the restatement

In total we recorded \$31,049,000 of additional pre-tax, non-cash, stock-based compensation expense in the restatement to correct errors for awards granted from 1982 to date. Of this, \$28,651,000 related to awards granted

prior to the Change in Control and \$2,398,000 to awards granted after the Change in Control. None of these changes affected our previously reported revenues, cash, or cash equivalents. As explained below, however, we also reported corrections for certain other items which impact our reported revenues and cash flow presentations.

Table of Contents

Options Granted Prior to the Change in Control

The Special Committee found that the recorded grant dates for the majority of stock options awarded prior to the Change in Control differed from the actual grant dates for those transactions. In connection with that finding, the Special Committee concluded that, with respect to many broad-based grants of stock options prior to the Change in Control, prior management used a methodology of selecting a recorded grant date based on the lowest closing price during some time period (e.g., quarter, ten trading days) preceding the actual grant date. While the Special Committee did not reach a conclusion as to how prior management selected other recorded grant dates for broad-based or individual grants that did not use the lowest closing price methodology, there is some evidence that dates were selected based on the occurrence of an event or when the former chief executive officer, Milan Panic, agreed in principle to the grant. While these and similar practices resulted in the grant of in-the-money options, and the Special Committee identified evidence that two pre-Change in Control directors may have been aware of these backdating practices, it does not appear that prior management pre-Change in Control attempted to conceal that the stock option grants were discounted using the backdating methodology.

Between November 1994 and the June 2002 Change in Control, eight broad-based grants were made. All of the 908 individual awards of options to purchase 6.9 million shares comprising those grants had recorded grant dates that differed from the actual grant dates for those transactions and each resulted in additional compensation charges that are reflected in our restated financial statements. Of those eight broad-based grants, six appear to have been annual grants that used the lowest closing price methodology and two appear to have been event-related (in those instances, there are lower prices between the recorded grant date and actual grant date). These eight broad-based option grants accounted for \$11,488,000 of the \$31,049,000 in pre-tax compensation charges.

During this period, options to directors to purchase a total of 334,000 shares were also found to have recorded grant dates earlier than the dates when the board of directors acted to approve the grants. The grants were dated in accordance with the 1994 Stock Option Plan which provided expressly that the grants were to be dated as of November 11, 1994. The board of directors, however, did not approve that stock option plan until January 1995. Accordingly, we are taking additional non-cash compensation charges equal to the difference between the closing stock price on the date of approval and November 11, 1994. These option grants to directors accounted for \$148,000 of the \$31,049,000 in pre-tax compensation charges.

Also during this period, there were 114 other individual grants of options to purchase a total of 2.0 million shares with stipulated grant dates earlier than the dates the compensation committee acted to approve these awards. The Special Committee could not determine whether the date of those grants were based on an event or when the former chief executive officer, Milan Panic, agreed in principle to the award. These individual option grants accounted for \$4,538,000 of the \$31,049,000 in additional compensation charges.

The restatement also includes a pre-tax charge of \$997,000 related to a stock option grant to a former chief financial officer, who left in 2002. This grant of options to purchase 100,000 shares was granted to him with a recorded grant date a few days before he joined us in May 1998. The Special Committee concluded that this award of options was effectively amended in December 1998 to lower its exercise price. There is evidence which suggests that certain members of former management knew or should have known that this transaction and one other transaction (resulting in a pre-tax charge of \$450,000) had accounting, tax, and disclosure consequences and that they failed to take appropriate action. These options have been accounted for as variable awards in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation* (FIN 44) in the restated financial statements. Variable accounting ceased in 2002 when these options were surrendered.

We also recorded \$1,375,000 of additional pre-tax, non-cash, stock-based compensation expense in the restatement for awards granted between 1982 and 1994.

In total 1,038 individual awards of options to purchase a total of 9.2 million shares granted before the Change in Control were found to have been granted in-the-money, representing 71% of total awards granted in the period November 1994 through June 11, 2002. This included 87 awards of options to purchase 4.5 million shares awarded to ten executive officers, including the former chief executive officer, Milan Panic. These in-the-money awards to executive officers accounted for \$10,507,000, 34% of the total pre-tax accounting charge of additional stock-based compensation expense in the restatement.

Table of Contents

Cash Surrender of Options at Change in Control in 2002

The election of certain persons as directors at the annual meeting of our stockholders on May 29, 2002 caused a Change in Control under our stock option plans. Our 1998 Stock Option Plan (the 1998 Plan) provided that all outstanding options vested immediately upon the Change in Control and that an option holder had 60 days following the Change in Control to surrender his or her non-incentive stock options for a cash payment equal to the excess of the highest closing price of the stock during the 90 days preceding the Change in Control, which was \$32.50 per share, or the closing price on the day preceding the date of surrender, whichever was higher, over the exercise price for the surrendered options.

During the year ended December 31, 2002, we recorded a pre-tax charge of \$61,400,000 related to our cash payment obligation under the 1998 Plan. The findings of the Special Committee relating to in-the-money options that were affected by the Change in Control require that we recognize the remaining grant date intrinsic value resulting from the acceleration of vesting for a number of these options and the value that certain other options could have been surrendered for cash under APB 25 and FIN 44. As a result, an additional compensation charge of \$10,105,000 has been recorded in fiscal year 2002.

Options Granted After the Change in Control

The Special Committee also found that, due to flaws in the processes relied on to make our annual broad-based grants after the Change in Control, we did not correctly apply the requirements of APB 25 through December 2005. These option accounting errors, however, differ significantly from those made prior to the Change in Control. Unlike the broad-based grants made prior to the Change in Control, for which the recorded grant dates were selected from a period prior to the approval dates, the broad-based grants after the Change in Control were approved at either regularly scheduled meetings of the compensation committee or at meetings of the board of directors, and the exercise price for each of these grants was the closing price on the date of such meetings.

The stock option accounting errors after the Change in Control resulted from allocation adjustments to the list of grants to individual non-executives after the compensation committee or the board of directors had approved the allocation of an aggregate number of shares to be available to non-executive employees. In no event did the adjustments result in shares being granted in excess of the aggregate number of shares approved by the compensation committee or the board of directors. Further, none of those adjustments related to the chief executive officer, chief financial officer, or any member of the board of directors. The Special Committee concluded that there was no evidence that management operating since the Change in Control were aware that the processes used to grant and account for broad-based grants were flawed or that the process employed was for the purpose of granting in-the-money stock options. In reaching this conclusion, the Special Committee took note that that process had been consistently employed even for the November 2005 grants in which the process resulted in stock option grants at higher exercise prices than the closing price of our common stock on the date of finalization of the allocation list for non-executives. The Special Committee also concluded that there was no evidence that current management was aware of any financial statement impact, tax consequences or disclosure implications of its flawed processes.

Between May 2003 and November 2005, we made four broad-based grants (May 2003, November 2003, November 2004 and November 2005). The May 2003 grants were made to non-executive employees. The November 2003, 2004 and 2005 grants were made to a broad base of employees, including senior executives (the November Grants). With respect to each of the November Grants, the granting authority (either the compensation committee or the board of directors) made specific grants to specific members of executive management, including, among others, the chief executive officer, the chief operating officer, and the chief financial officer. Additionally, the broad-based grants made after the Change in Control were approved either at regularly scheduled meetings of the Compensation Committee or

at meetings of the board of directors. The stock option accounting errors that affected 164 individual grants of options to purchase 1.5 million shares resulted from slight adjustments to the non-executive grant lists after the relevant compensation committee or board meetings. In no event did the adjustments result in shares being granted in excess of the number of options approved by the compensation committee or the board of directors. As a result of its work, the Special Committee made a determination of new measurement dates for each affected grant. With respect to three of the four broad-based grants (May 2003, November 2003 and November 2005), the measurement date selected was the date on which the

Table of Contents

rank and file list became final. With respect to the remaining broad-based grant (November 2004), there was actual evidence of a change in the rank and file list but inconclusive evidence when the list became final. The measurement date for that grant was reconstructed using the best available evidence to ensure that an adequate amount of compensation expense was recorded in the restatement. A total of 14 other individual awards (0.1 million shares) made to rank-and-file employees since the change of control were also found to contain administrative stock option accounting errors.

To correct these errors, we recorded \$2,398,000 of additional pre-tax, non-cash, stock-based compensation expense in the restatement for the period July 1, 2002 through March 31, 2006. These non-cash charges have no impact on previously reported revenues, cash or cash equivalents. As explained below, however, we also reported corrections for certain other items which do have an impact on reported revenues and cash flow presentations.

New Hire Grant Practices

The Special Committee investigated our new hire stock option grant practices and concluded that the new hire grants were appropriately accounted for under the applicable accounting principles. Until January 2004, our practice was to set forth, in a prospective employee's offer letter a specific number of options, specifying that the strike price would be equal to the closing price on the new employee's first date of employment pending approval of the compensation committee. Beginning in January 2004, the offer letters set the strike price equal to the closing price of our stock on the later of compensation committee approval or the employee's start date.

With respect to our new hire grant practices prior to January 2004, the Special Committee reviewed each offer letter and related grant during the period June 2002 to January 2004 and a sample of offer letters and related grants prior to June 2002. The Special Committee also questioned relevant individuals about the option-related new hire practices and procedures. This intensive review confirmed that in each instance reviewed, the number of options approved was equal to the number of options set forth in the applicable offer letter, and that no material terms of the options were changed by the compensation committee in its approval process. Accordingly, the Special Committee concluded that, with respect to new hire grants prior to January 2004, compensation committee approval was a mere formality and that there had been finality with respect to the new hire grants upon the first day of employment, which had been used as the measurement date. Based upon the investigation, the Special Committee concluded that new hire grants were accounted for appropriately.

Income Tax Effects

Incremental, stock-based, pre-tax compensation charges resulted in tax benefits of \$7,920,000. These tax benefits through 2000 were \$1,940,000, recorded as an increase in the deferred tax assets with a corresponding increase in retained earnings. For 2001 through 2003, deferred tax assets increased by \$5,980,000 and income tax expense decreased by the same amount. In 2004, the deferred tax asset was fully reserved with a valuation allowance.

As a result of the review of our stock option granting practices, management determined that the limitation of tax benefits for executive compensation imposed by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "IRC") was not considered in the income tax returns or financial statements prior to the Change in Control. The amount of this limitation has been impacted by the determination that many of the stock options were granted at prices below fair market value on the date of grant. As a result of correctly applying the Section 162(m) limitations, retained earnings have been decreased by \$1,896,000 as of December 31, 2000 and income tax expense has been increased by \$702,000, \$518,000 and \$748,000 in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. Adjustments of (\$205,000) and \$122,000 for 2004 and 2005 respectively, did not affect tax expense due to the valuation allowance. Also, the cumulative impact on income tax of \$3,864,000 was reversed in 2004. This occurred because the valuation allowance for the deferred tax assets decreased with the Section 162(m) reductions to the net operating loss.

As a result of our determination that the exercise prices of certain option grants were below the closing price of our common stock on the actual grant date, we evaluated whether the affected employees would have any adverse tax consequences under Section 409A of the IRC. It was determined that certain of these options were unvested as of December 31, 2004, and may be subject to Section 409A unless further action is taken. None of these options belong to persons who, as of the date of grant, were subject to the disclosure requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities

Table of Contents

Exchange Act of 1934. Therefore, transition relief is available with respect to these options through December 31, 2007. Additional guidance may be available before that time that will allow us to determine whether Section 409A will apply to the circumstances under which these options were granted. Depending upon the determination about the correct treatment of these options for Section 409A purposes, the recipients of these options may make an election to exercise the options in a way that excludes them from Section 409A treatment. This election is available through December 31, 2007.

Summary and Other Items

In addition, we have restated the aforementioned financial statements to correct certain accounting errors which were previously identified but not considered to be material through December 31, 2005. These corrections related to accounting for employee tax withholding on certain compensation transactions, elimination of an intercompany difference, accounting for product exchanges (resulting in a revenue adjustment), and certain income tax adjustments. The income tax adjustments include reducing the charge taken to increase the valuation allowance in 2004 by \$11,566,000 as a result of recording less U.S. deferred tax assets in prior periods, which had originated from administrative errors in the preparation of tax returns in earlier periods and were immaterial to each of those prior periods. The cumulative effect of these errors on retained earnings as of December 31, 2005 was \$4,714,000. The restatement impact through March 31, 2006 of these other corrections and of the non-cash charges for stock-based compensation that have resulted from the review of the Special Committee are summarized in the table below:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Year Ended December 31,			Cumulative	Total Additional
	2006	2005	2005	2004	2003	Effect 1982 -2002	Expense (Income)
	(In thousands)						
Stock option grants prior to 2002 Change in Control:							
Broad-based option grants with improper measurement dates	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 11,488	\$ 11,488
Option grants to directors with improper measurement dates						148	148
Other option grants with improper measurement dates						4,538	4,538
Re-priced option grant						997	997
Improper measurement dates for option grants 1982-1994						1,375	1,375
Incremental charge in connection with Change in Control						10,105	10,105
Sub total pre Change in Control						28,651	28,651
Stock option grants after 2002 Change in Control:							
	(64)	312	1,171	1,085	172		2,364

Company-wide option grants with improper measurement dates							
Other stock option matters after June 2002		6	21	(7)	20		34
Sub total post Change in Control	(64)	318	1,192	1,078	192		2,398
Total impact of additional stock compensation on operating income	(64)	318	1,192	1,078	192	28,651	31,049
Other items corrected in connection with restatement	(629)	35	(2,273)	(1,265)	(90)	7,766	3,509
Tax effects of above and other tax items	300	147	964	(14,957)	1,785	3,357	(8,551)
Net income decrease (increase) resulting from all restatement items	\$ (393)	\$ 500	\$ (117)	\$ (15,144)	\$ 1,887	\$ 39,774	\$ 26,007

The cumulative effect of the errors in 2002 and prior years of \$39,774,000 was recorded as a reduction of retained earnings at December 31, 2002.

The pre-tax effect of the correction for stock-based compensation was \$157,000, \$206,000, \$792,000, \$2,503,000, \$2,690,000, \$3,491,000, \$4,492,000 and \$12,945,000 for 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000,

Table of Contents

2001 and 2002, respectively. The cumulative pre-tax effect of the correction for stock-based compensation between 1982 and 1994 was \$1,375,000.

The following table summarized the effect of the restatement on our consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2006			Three Months Ended March 31, 2005		
	As Previously		As Restated	As Previously		As Restated
	Reported	Adjustments		Reported	Adjustments	
Revenues:						
Product sales	\$ 180,757	\$ 644	\$ 181,401	\$ 161,803	\$ (21)	\$ 161,782
Ribavirin royalties	18,091		18,091	19,335		19,335
Total revenues	198,848	644	199,492	181,138	(21)	181,117
Costs and expenses:						
Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization)	58,580	21	58,601	48,721	62	48,783
Selling expenses	64,270	6	64,276	52,815	35	52,850
General and administrative expenses	28,540	(94)	28,446	24,577	128	24,705
Research and development costs	29,535	18	29,553	25,724	107	25,831
Acquired in -process research and development				126,399		126,399
Gain on settlement of litigation	(34,000)		(34,000)			
Restructuring charges	26,466		26,466	1,695		1,695
Amortization expense	17,523		17,523	13,968		13,968
Total costs and expenses	190,914	(49)	190,865	293,899	332	294,231
Income (loss) from operations	7,934	693	8,627	(112,761)	(353)	(113,114)
Other income (loss), net, including translation and exchange	937		937	(1,791)		(1,791)
Interest income	2,657		2,657	3,015		3,015
Interest expense	(10,437)		(10,437)	(9,681)		(9,681)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest	1,091	693	1,784	(121,218)	(353)	(121,571)
Provision for income taxes	7,242	300	7,542	16,367	147	16,514

Edgar Filing: VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL - Form 10-Q/A

Minority interest, net	1		1	171		171
Loss from continuing operations	(6,152)	393	(5,759)	(137,756)	(500)	(138,256)
Loss from discontinued operations	(212)		(212)	(1,503)		(1,503)
Net loss	\$ (6,364)	\$ 393	\$ (5,971)	\$ (139,259)	\$ (500)	\$ (139,759)
Basic and diluted loss per share:						
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.06)	\$ (1.55)	\$	\$ (1.55)
Loss from discontinued operations	\$			\$ (0.02)		(0.02)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.07)	\$	\$ (0.06)	\$ (1.57)	\$	\$ (1.57)
Basic and diluted shares used in per share computation	92,770		92,770	88,836		88,836

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the impact of the restatement on our consolidated statements of cash flows from operating activities three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands).

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2006			Three Months Ended March 31, 2005		
	As Previously		As Restated	As Previously		As Restated
	Reported	Adjustments		Reported	Adjustments	
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net Loss	\$ (6,364)	\$ 393	\$ (5,971)	\$ (139,259)	\$ (500)	\$ (139,759)
Loss from discontinued operations	(212)		(212)	(1,503)		(1,503)
Loss from continuing operations	(6,152)	393	(5,759)	(137,756)	(500)	(138,256)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization	23,482		23,482	21,038		21,038
Provision for losses on accounts receivable and inventory	3,597		3,597	1,594		1,594
Stock compensation expense	5,682	(64)	5,618	544	319	863
Translation and exchange (gains) losses, net	(937)		(937)	1,791		1,791
Impairment charges and other non-cash items	20,426		20,426	1,461		1,461
Acquired in-process research and development				126,399		126,399
Deferred income taxes	1,910		1,910	(14,026)	147	(13,879)
Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:						
Accounts Receivable	13,779		13,779	6,482		6,482
Inventories	(3,736)		(3,736)	(10,951)		(10,951)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,216	3,702	4,918	(129)		(129)
Trade payables and accrued liabilities	(9,886)		(9,886)	(7,740)	34	(7,706)
Income taxes	(9,085)	(4,031)	(13,116)	15,960		15,960
Other liabilities	842		842	3,995		3,995
	41,138		41,138	8,662		8,662

Cash flow from operating activities in continuing operations							
Cash flow from operating activities in discontinued operations		(281)		(281)		(471)	(471)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	40,857	\$	40,857	\$	8,191	\$ 8,191

Table of Contents

The following table sets for the impact of the restatement on our consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (in thousands).

	March 31, 2006			December 31, 2005		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated	As Previously reported	Adjustments	As Restated
ASSETS						
Current Assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 244,362	\$	\$ 244,362	\$ 224,856	\$	\$ 224,856
Marketable securities	11,121		11,121	10,210		10,210
Accounts receivable, net	174,433		174,433	187,987		187,987
Inventories, net	137,729		137,729	136,034		136,034
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	38,862		38,862	36,652	3,702	40,354
Total current assets	606,507		606,507	595,739	3,702	599,441
Property, plant and equipment, net	217,813		217,813	230,126		230,126
Deferred tax assets, net	21,510		21,510	45,904	(20,562)	25,342
Goodwill	79,767		79,767	79,486		79,486
Intangible assets, net	519,614		519,614	536,319		536,319
Other assets	45,284		45,284	43,176		43,176
Assets of discontinued operations	105		105	127		127
Total non-current assets	884,093		884,093	935,138	(20,562)	914,576
	\$ 1,490,600	\$	\$ 1,490,600	\$ 1,530,877	\$ (16,860)	\$ 1,514,017
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY						
Current Liabilities:						
Trade payables	\$ 48,708	\$	\$ 48,708	\$ 55,279	\$	\$ 55,279
Accrued liabilities	135,043	3,508	138,551	136,701	4,137	140,838
Notes payable and current portion of long-term	346		346	495		495
Income taxes	37,995	1,470	39,465	42,452	4,872	47,324
Total current liabilities	222,092	4,978	227,069	234,927	9,009	243,936
Long-term debt, less current portion	785,850		785,850	788,439		788,439
Deferred tax liabilities, net	5,126		5,126	28,770	(20,562)	8,208
Other liabilities	19,918		19,918	16,372		16,372

Liabilities of discontinued operations	23,024		23,024	23,118		23,118
Total non-current liabilities	833,918		833,918	856,699	(20,562)	836,137
Commitments and contingencies						
Common stock	928		928	928		928
Additional capital	1,209,926	21,029	1,230,955	1,203,814	21,093	1,224,907
Accumulated deficit	(757,480)	(26,007)	(783,487)	(743,950)	(26,400)	(770,350)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(18,784)		(18,784)	(21,541)		(21,541)
Total stockholders equity	434,590	(4,978)	429,612	439,251	(5,307)	433,944
	\$ 1,490,600	\$	\$ 1,490,601	\$ 1,530,877	\$ (16,860)	\$ 1,514,017

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the specific income statement accounts as reported and as affected by the restatement for the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005.

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 2005 (In thousands)	
Revenues		
As previously reported	\$ 198,848	\$ 181,138
Adjustment	644	(21)
As restated	\$ 199,492	\$ 181,117
Cost of goods sold		
As previously reported	\$ 58,580	\$ 48,721
Adjustment	21	62
As restated	\$ 58,601	\$ 48,783
Selling expenses		
As previously reported	\$ 64,270	\$ 52,815
Adjustment	6	35
As restated	\$ 64,276	\$ 52,850
Research and development costs		
As previously reported	\$ 29,535	\$ 25,724
Adjustment	18	107
As restated	\$ 29,553	\$ 25,831
General and administrative expenses		
As previously reported	\$ 28,540	\$ 24,577
Adjustment	(94)	128
As restated	\$ 28,446	\$ 24,705
Income (loss) from operations, before interest, taxes and other items		
As previously reported	\$ 7,934	\$ (112,761)
Adjustment	693	(353)
As restated	\$ 8,627	\$ (113,114)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes income taxes and minority interest		
As previously reported	\$ 1,091	\$ (121,218)
Adjustment	693	(353)

As restated	\$	1,784	\$	(121,571)
Provision for income taxes				
As previously reported	\$	7,242	\$	16,367
Adjustment		300		147
As restated	\$	7,542	\$	16,514
Income (loss) from continuing operations				
As previously reported	\$	(6,152)	\$	(137,756)
Adjustment		393		(500)
As restated	\$	(5,759)	\$	(138,256)
Net income (loss)				
As previously reported	\$	(6,364)	\$	(139,259)
Adjustment		393		(500)
As restated	\$	(5,971)	\$	(139,759)
Basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations				
As previously reported	\$	(0.07)	\$	(1.55)
Adjustment		0.01		
As restated	\$	(0.06)	\$	(1.55)
Basic and diluted earnings per share				
As previously reported	\$	(0.07)	\$	(1.57)
Adjustment		0.01		
As restated	\$	(0.06)	\$	(1.57)

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the specific balance sheet accounts as reported and as affected by the restatement as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(In thousands)	
Other current assets (deferred taxes)		
As previously reported	\$ 38,862	\$ 36,652
Adjustment		3,702
As restated	\$ 38,862	\$ 40,354
Deferred tax assets, Net		
As previously reported	\$ 21,510	\$ 45,904
Adjustment		(20,562)
As restated	\$ 21,510	\$ 25,342
Accrued liabilities (reserve for product returns)		
As previously reported	\$ 135,043	\$ 136,701
Adjustment	3,508	4,137
As restated	\$ 138,551	\$ 140,838
Income taxes current		
As previously reported	\$ 37,995	\$ 42,452
Adjustment	1,470	4,872
As restated	\$ 39,465	\$ 47,324
Deferred taxes		
As previously reported	\$ 5,126	\$ 28,770
Adjustment		(20,562)
As restated	\$ 5,126	\$ 8,208
Additional capital		
As previously reported	\$ 1,209,926	\$ 1,203,814
Adjustment	21,029	21,093
As restated	\$ 1,230,955	\$ 1,224,907
Accumulated deficit		
As previously reported	\$ (757,480)	\$ (743,950)
Adjustment	(26,007)	(26,400)
As restated	\$ (783,487)	\$ (770,350)

Stockholders' equity			
As previously reported	\$	434,590	\$ 439,251
Adjustment		(4,978)	(5,307)
As restated	\$	429,612	\$ 433,944

Overview

We are a global, science-based, specialty pharmaceutical company that develops, manufactures and markets a broad range of pharmaceutical products. We focus our greatest resources and attention principally in the therapeutic areas of neurology, infectious disease and dermatology. Our marketing and promotion efforts focus on our promoted

Table of Contents

products, which include products marketed globally, regionally and locally with annual sales in excess of \$5 million. Our products are currently sold in more than 100 markets around the world, with our primary focus on the United States, Canada, Mexico, the United Kingdom, France, Italy, Poland, Germany, and Spain.

Our two primary value drivers are: a specialty pharmaceutical business with a global platform, and strong clinical development and regulatory capabilities. We believe that our global reach and focused clinical development capability make us unique among specialty pharmaceutical companies, and provide us with the ability to take compounds through the clinical stage and commercialize them in major markets around the world. In addition, we receive royalties from the sale of ribavirin by Schering-Plough and Roche, although such royalties currently represent a much smaller contribution to our revenues than they have in the past.

Specialty Pharmaceuticals

Specialty pharmaceutical sales accounted for 91% and 89% of our total revenues from continuing operations for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and increased \$19,619,000 (12%) in the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to the similar period in 2005. The increase in specialty pharmaceutical sales was due to an approximate 8% increase in volume, a 5% increase due to changes in selling prices, and a 1% negative impact from foreign exchange rate fluctuations. New products acquired in the Xcel and Infergen transactions contributed \$24,747,000 to the increase in sales, which was offset in part by decreases in sales of other product lines.

Our specialty pharmaceutical business focuses its efforts in three therapeutic areas and a portfolio of promoted products which we have identified as offering the best opportunities for returns on investment. Promoted products constituted 59% and 52% of our specialty pharmaceutical sales for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Sales of promoted products increased \$23,622,000 (28%) in the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to the similar period in 2005. Newly acquired promoted products contributed \$22,891,000 of this increase. We also experience generic challenges to some products and pricing challenges, primarily in Europe, through government imposed price controls and reductions. We expect these challenges to continue.

Clinical Development

We seek to develop and commercialize innovative products for the treatment of significant unmet medical needs, principally in the areas of infectious diseases and neurology. Research and development expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 were \$29,553,000 and \$25,831,000, respectively, and increased \$3,722,000 (14%) in the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005. Research and development costs have increased sharply in 2005 and 2006 over prior years as a result of clinical trials conducted for late stage drug candidates.

In April 2006 we announced a major restructuring program which will result in a reduction of the size and scope of our research and development activities. See Company Strategy and Restructuring below.

Ribavirin Royalties

Ribavirin royalty revenues decreased \$1,244,000 (6%) and accounted for 9% of our total revenues from continuing operations for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to 11% in 2005. The decline in ribavirin royalty revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to reductions in reserves against the royalty revenues recorded in the three month period ended March 31, 2005.

Company Strategy and Restructuring

The key elements of our strategy, as refined by the restructuring program announced on April 3, 2006, include the following:

Targeted Growth of Existing Products. We focus our business on key markets, across three core therapeutic areas. We believe that our core therapeutic areas are positioned for further growth and that it is

Table of Contents

possible for a mid-sized company to attain a leadership position within these categories. We intend to continue to pursue life cycle management strategies for our products.

Efficient Manufacturing and Supply Chain Organization. The objective of the restructuring program as it relates to manufacturing is to further rationalize our manufacturing operations and further reduce our excess capacity after considering the likely delay in the launch of Viramidine. Under our global manufacturing strategy, we also seek to minimize our costs of goods sold by increasing capacity utilization in our manufacturing facilities or by outsourcing or by other actions to improve efficiencies. We have undertaken major process improvement initiatives and the deployment of lean six sigma process improvements, affecting all phases of our operations, from raw material and supply logistics, to manufacturing, warehousing and distribution.

Clinical Development Activities. We are focusing efforts and expenditures on three late stage projects (Viramidine and Infergen, both of which are potential treatments of patients with hepatitis C, and retigabine, a potential treatment for partial onset seizures of patients with epilepsy) currently in development. The restructuring program is designed to rationalize our investments in research and development efforts in line with our financial resources. We intend to sell rights to, out-license or secure partners to share the costs of other major clinical projects and discovery programs that the research and development division has underway.

Product Acquisitions. We plan to selectively license or acquire product candidates, technologies and businesses from third parties which complement our existing business and provide for effective life cycle management of key products. We believe that our drug development and commercialization expertise will allow us to recognize licensing opportunities and to capitalize on research initially conducted and funded by others.

The restructuring program will also result in reduced selling, general and administrative expenses primarily through consolidation of the management functions in fewer administrative groups to achieve greater economies of scale. Management and administrative responsibilities for our regional operations in Asia, Africa and Australia, (AAA) which have been managed as a separate business unit, will be combined with those of other regions.

We anticipate that the total restructuring program will result in charges that will range between \$90,000,000 and \$115,000,000. Although no impairments currently exist for any of our long-lived asset groups under the assets held and used model of FAS 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* these anticipated charges include potential future losses that may occur upon the disposition of specific assets related to our manufacturing operations in Switzerland and Puerto Rico, as well as assets of other operations that may be sold or abandoned. The anticipated charges also include employee severance costs resulting from a reduction of approximately 750 employees, the majority of whom work in the manufacturing facilities anticipated to be disposed.

We recorded a provision of \$26,466,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2006 in connection with our decision to implement the restructuring program. This charge consists of the write off of the costs of assets to be abandoned in the restructuring process of \$19,822,000 and an accrual for a portion of the severance costs of employees who will be terminated in the program of \$6,644,000. The severance charges recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2006 relate to 103 employees in administrative and research positions whose positions were eliminated in the restructuring. The amount of the accrual for severance in the three months ended March 31, 2006 was determined in accordance with Financial Accounting Standard No. 112 *Employers Accounting for Postemployment Benefits*.

In compliance with Financial Accounting Standard No. 146 *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities* certain costs relating to the termination of employees in the restructuring program were not recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2006 but will be recorded when communicated to the affected employees (in the second quarter of 2006). Other costs associated with the restructuring and the associated termination of employees in connection therewith will be expensed as incurred. Additionally, losses from assets expected to be sold will be

recorded upon disposal, or earlier if an impairment of the carrying value of the assets is identified under FAS 144.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

For the first quarter of 2006, our four reportable pharmaceutical segments were comprised of pharmaceuticals operations in North America, Latin America, Europe and AAA. In addition, we have a research and development division. Certain financial information for our business segments is set forth below. This discussion of our results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated condensed financial statements included elsewhere in this quarterly report. For additional financial information by business segment, see Note 10 of notes to consolidated condensed financial statements included elsewhere in this quarterly report.

Our restructuring will result in our four pharmaceutical segments being consolidated into three. Future financial reports will include the new segment organization.

The following table compares 2006 and 2005 revenues by reportable segments and operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended			
	March 31,		Increase/	Percent
	2006	2005	(Decrease)	Change
	(Restated)	(Restated)		
Revenues				
Specialty pharmaceuticals				
North America	\$ 75,856	\$ 48,922	\$ 26,934	55%
Latin America	35,788	32,060	3,728	12%
Europe	56,257	65,875	(9,618)	(15)%
AAA	13,500	14,925	(1,425)	(10)%
Total specialty pharmaceuticals	181,401	161,782	19,619	12%
Ribavirin royalties	18,091	19,335	(1,244)	(6)%
Total revenues	199,492	181,117	18,375	10%
Costs and Expenses				
Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization)	58,601	48,783	9,818	20%
Selling expenses	64,276	52,850	11,426	22%
General and administrative expenses	28,446	24,705	3,741	15%
Research and development costs	29,553	25,831	3,722	14%
Gain on settlement of litigation	(34,000)		(34,000)	NM
Acquired IPR&D		126,399	(126,399)	NM
Restructuring charges	26,466	1,695	24,771	NM
Amortization expense	17,523	13,968	3,555	25%
Operating income (loss)	\$ 8,627	\$ (113,114)	\$ 121,741	NM%
Gross profit on product sales (excluding amortization)	\$ 122,800	\$ 112,999	\$ 9,801	9%
Gross profit margin on product sales	68%	70%		

NM Percentage not meaningful

Specialty Pharmaceutical Revenues: Specialty pharmaceutical sales increased \$19,619,000 (12%) for the three months ended March 31, 2006 over the same period in 2005. The increase in pharmaceutical sales was led by our promoted products which increased \$23,622,000 (28%). In addition, sales of products acquired from Xcel and Infergen contributed \$32,046,000 and \$7,298,000 to pharmaceutical sales in the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In the North America pharmaceuticals segment, revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2006 were \$75,856,000 compared to \$48,922,000 for the same period in 2005, an increase of \$26,934,000 (55%). The increase

Table of Contents

was primarily driven by sales of products acquired from Xcel (which were acquired on March 1, 2005) and Infergen (which was acquired on December 30, 2005). Sales of products acquired from Xcel totaled \$18,341,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2006 and \$7,299,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2005 (representing only one month's sales in 2005). Sales of Infergen were \$13,705,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2006; we did not record any sales of Infergen in 2005.

In the Latin America pharmaceuticals segment, revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2006 were \$35,788,000 compared to \$32,060,000 for the same period in 2005, an increase of \$3,728,000 (12%). The increase is primarily due to favorable foreign exchange effects (\$2.1 million), sales of a newly acquired product, Melleril, in Brazil (\$1,408,000) and increased sales of Bedoyecta (\$1,336,000) resulting from a continuing successful direct-to-consumer campaign. These increases were partially offset by declines in sales of non-promoted products.

In the Europe pharmaceuticals segment, revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2006 were \$56,257,000 compared to \$65,875,000 for the same period in 2005, a decrease of \$9,618,000 (15%). Approximately \$3,769,000 of the decrease in European sales is attributable to currency exchange rate movements and approximately \$6,200,000 is due to lower sales volumes. The lower sales volumes were experienced across all European markets with the exceptions of Poland and the United Kingdom. Sales in Germany decreased approximately \$5,922,000 partially as a result of changes in purchase patterns in the wholesale market.

In the AAA pharmaceuticals segment, revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2006 were \$13,500,000 compared to \$14,925,000 for the same period in 2005, a decrease of \$1,425,000 (10%). The decrease was primarily due to lower sales in China and Australia.

Ribavirin Royalties: Ribavirin royalties represent amounts earned under the license and supply agreements with Schering-Plough and Roche. Under a license and supply agreement, Schering-Plough licensed all oral forms of ribavirin for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C. We receive royalty fees from Roche under a license agreement on sale of Roche's version of ribavirin, Copegus, for use in combination with interferon alfa or pegylated interferon alfa.

Ribavirin royalties from Schering-Plough and Roche for the three months ended March 31, 2006 were \$18,091,000 compared to \$19,335,000 for the same period in 2005, a decrease of \$1,244,000 (6%). The relative decline in ribavirin royalty revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the same period in the prior year primarily reflects reductions in reserves against the royalty revenues recorded in the three month period ended March 31, 2005.

Gross Profit Margin (excluding amortization): Gross profit margin on pharmaceutical sales for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was 68% compared to 70% the same period in 2005. The decrease in gross profit margin is attributable to a temporary maintenance shutdown in our Mexico plant that lasted longer than anticipated and to adjustments made for products that were not manufactured to specifications and to other inventory write-offs. Cost of goods sold in 2006 includes a provision of \$434,000 related to employee stock option and purchase programs following the implementation of SFAS 123(R) in 2005. Stock compensation expense included in cost of sales was \$62,000.

Selling Expenses: Selling expenses were \$64,276,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$52,850,000 for the same period in 2005, an increase of \$11,426,000 (22%). As a percent of pharmaceutical sales, selling expenses were 35% for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to 33% for 2005. The increase in selling expenses reflects our increased promotional efforts primarily in North America and includes costs related to the launch of line extensions and new products. Selling expenses in 2006 include a provision of \$854,000 for expenses associated with stock option and stock purchase programs following the implementation of SFAS 123(R), in 2005 selling expenses included \$35,000 of stock-based compensation.

General and Administrative Expenses: General and administrative expenses were \$28,446,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$24,705,000 for the same period in 2005, an increase of \$3,741,000 (15%). As a percent of pharmaceutical sales, general and administrative expenses were 16% for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to 15% for the same period in 2005. Stock compensation expense included in general and administrative expense increased to \$3,532,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2006 from \$525,000 in the same period in 2005 as a result of implementing SFAS 123(R). Excluding stock compensation costs,

Table of Contents

general and administrative expenses declined as a percentage of pharmaceutical revenues in 2006 as compared to 2005.

Research and Development: Research and development expenses were \$29,553,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$25,831,000 for the same period in 2005, an increase of \$3,722,000 (14%). The increase in research and development expenses reflects the costs of clinical trials for ViraMidine and pradefovir. Research and development expenses include \$798,000 of stock compensation expense in the three months ended March 31, 2006 and \$240,000 in the same period in 2005 following the implementation of SFAS 123(R).

Gain on litigation settlement: In March 2006 we settled a long standing dispute with the Republic of Serbia relating to the ownership and operations of a joint venture we formerly participated in known as Galenika. We received a payment of \$28,000,000 in March 2006 and will receive an additional \$6,000,000 in 2007, with respect to which we have received a bank letter of credit.

Acquired In-Process Research and Development: In the three months ended March 31, 2005, we incurred an expense of \$126,399,000 associated with IPR&D related to the acquisition of Xcel Pharmaceuticals, Inc. The amount expensed as acquired IPR&D represents our estimate of fair value of purchased in-process technology for projects that, as of the acquisition date, had not yet reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use.

Restructuring Charges: On April 3, 2006 Valeant announced a restructuring program to reduce costs and accelerate earnings growth. This program is discussed in more detail in the Company Strategy and Restructuring above.

We recorded a provision of \$26,466,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2006 in connection with our decision to implement the restructuring program. This charge consists of the write off of costs related to assets to be abandoned (\$19,822,000) and a portion of the severance costs of employees who will be terminated in the program (\$6,644,000).

Restructuring charges of \$1,695,000 in the three months period ended March 31, 2005 relate to the decision to dispose of the Company's manufacturing facility in China (\$2,220,000) offset in part by the gain (\$525,000) on the sale of a manufacturing plant in Argentina.

Amortization: Amortization expense was \$17,523,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$13,968,000 for the same period in 2005, an increase of \$3,555,000 (5%). The increase was due to amortization of intangibles acquired with the acquisition of Xcel and Infergen.

Other Income, Net, Including Translation and Exchange: Other income, net, including translation and exchange was \$937,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to a loss of \$1,791,000 for the same period in 2005. These amounts consist primarily of foreign currency exchange gains and losses. The variation between years reflects foreign exchange movements during the period.

Interest Expense and Income: Interest expense increased \$756,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 primarily as result of higher interest rates on variable rate debt. Interest income decreased \$358,000 during the year ended March 31, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 as a result of lower cash and investment securities balances.

Income Taxes: The tax provisions in the first quarters of both 2006 and 2005 relate to the profits of our foreign operations and state and local taxes in the U.S. The decrease in 2006 is a result of the reduced profitability of our operations, withholding taxes, in Europe and the AAA regions. Our U.S. operations, which include our research and development activities, have substantial net operating loss carryforwards for US income tax reporting purposes. Since, at this time, there is insufficient objective evidence that we will generate sufficient U.S. taxable income to utilize these

net operating loss benefits, the tax benefits associated with U.S. operating losses have been fully reserved. Additionally, in 2005 a significant portion of the loss relates to a charge for IPR&D associated with the Xcel acquisition that will not be deductible for tax purposes since that acquisition was structured as a stock purchase.

Table of Contents

Loss from Discontinued Operations, Net of Taxes: Loss from discontinued operations was \$212,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$1,503,000 in the same period in 2005. The losses in 2006 relate to closure and wind up of our remaining administrative activities associated with the discontinued manufacturing operations in Central Europe, the last of which was disposed of in 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and marketable securities totaled \$255,483,000 at March 31, 2006 compared to \$235,066,000 at December 31, 2005. Working capital was \$379,437,000 at March 31, 2006 compared to \$355,505,000 at December 31, 2005. The increase in working capital of \$23,932,000 was primarily attributable to gain on litigation settlement of \$34,000,000, partially offset by cash used in operations, including research and development activities.

Cash provided by operating activities and working capital is expected to be our primary source of funds in 2006. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, cash provided by operating activities totaled \$41,138,000 compared to \$8,662,000 in same period in 2005, an increase of \$32,476,000. The increase in cash provided by operating activities is primarily due to a gain on the settlement of litigation of \$34,000,000 (of which \$28,000,000 was received in the first quarter) offset in part by a reduction in royalty revenues and reduction in the profit contribution of foreign pharmaceutical operations and increased spending on research and development activities.

Cash used in investing activities was \$15,156,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$83,477,000 for 2005. In 2006 cash used in investing activities consisted primarily of capital expenditures on corporate programs and existing facilities. In 2005, net cash used in investing activities consisted of payments for the acquisition of Xcel and various other product rights of \$281,778,000 and capital expenditures of \$4,848,000, partially offset by net proceeds from investments of \$202,387,000 and proceeds from the sale of assets of \$762,000.

Cash used in financing activities was \$6,900,000 in the three months ended March 31, 2006 and principally consisted of dividends paid on common stock (\$7,173,000) offset in part by cash proceeds for employee stock option exercises. Cash generated from financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was \$182,938,000, which includes proceeds from our stock offering in connection with the Xcel acquisition of \$189,393,000, partially offset by cash dividends paid on common stock of \$6,502,000.

In January 2005, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with respect to \$150,000,000 principal amount of its 7.0% Senior Notes due 2011. The interest rate on the swap is variable at LIBOR plus 2.41%. The effect of this transaction was to initially lower our effective interest rate by exchanging fixed rate payments for floating rate payments. On a prospective basis, the effective interest rate will float and correlate to the variable interest earned on our cash held.

We have collateral requirements on the interest rate swap agreement. The amount of collateral varies monthly depending on the fair value of the underlying swap contract. As of March 31, 2006, we have collateral of \$10,200,000 comprised of marketable securities and included in other assets in the accompanying balance sheet.

Management believes that its existing cash and cash equivalents and funds generated from operations will be sufficient to meet its operating requirements at least through March 31, 2007, and to provide cash needed to fund capital expenditures and its clinical development program. While we have no current intent to issue additional debt or equity securities, we may seek additional debt financing or issue additional equity securities to finance future acquisitions or for other purposes. We fund our cash requirements primarily from cash provided by operating activities. Our sources of liquidity are cash and cash equivalent balances and cash flow from operations.

While we have historically paid quarterly cash dividends, there can be no assurance that we will continue to do so in the future.

The restatement of our financial statements caused us to delay the filing of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. On December 12, 2006, we received a notice of default from The Bank of New York, as trustee for the holders of our 3% Convertible Notes due 2010, asserting that a default occurred under our indenture dated as of November 19, 2003, governing the 3.0% Convertible Notes and our 4.0% Convertible Notes due 2013. The notice of default asserts that a default occurred under the indenture when we failed to timely

Table of Contents

file our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. The filing of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, within sixty days of the notice of default, has cured the asserted default under the indenture.

Products in Development

Viramidine (taribavirin): Viramidine (taribavirin) is a nucleoside (guanosine) analog that is converted into ribavirin by adenosine deaminase in the liver and intestine. We are developing Viramidine, in oral form, for administration in combination with pegylated interferon for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C in treatment-naïve patients.

On March 21, 2006, we reported the results of the first of two pivotal Phase 3 trials for Viramidine. The VISER1 (VISER stands for VViramidine Safety and Efficacy Versus Ribavirin) trial included two co-primary endpoints: one for safety (superiority to ribavirin in incidence of anemia) and one for efficacy (non-inferiority to ribavirin in sustained viral response, SVR). The results of VISER1 met the safety criteria but did not meet the efficacy criteria.

The results of the study were significantly impacted by the VISER1 dosing methodology which was a fixed dose of Viramidine for all patients and a variable dose of ribavirin based on a patient's weight. The results of the study indicate that the dosage of Viramidine, like ribavirin, likely needs to be based on a patient's weight to achieve efficacy equal or superior to that of ribavirin. VISER2, our second Phase 3 trial, is expected to conclude in June 2006. VISER2 is similar in design to VISER1 (a fixed dose of Viramidine and a weight based, variable dose of ribavirin).

We intend to consult with external experts and meet with the FDA to discuss the Phase 3 study results and potential development plans for Viramidine.

While we intend to pursue development of Viramidine, the timeline and path to regulatory approval is uncertain at this time. Further development of Viramidine may require the completion of another Phase 3 trial, which could add significantly to the drug's development cost and the time it takes to complete development, thereby delaying the commercial launch of Viramidine and possibly weakening its position in relation to competing treatments. We will evaluate the economics of the Viramidine development program and decide on its course of action by the end of the year.

Retigabine: We acquired the rights to retigabine, an adjunctive treatment for partial-onset seizures in patients with epilepsy, in the Xcel acquisition on March 1, 2005. Retigabine is believed to have a unique, dual-acting mechanism and has undergone several Phase 2 clinical trials. The Phase 2 trials included more than 600 patients in several dose-ranging studies compared to placebo. We, successfully completed an End-of-Phase 2 meeting concerning retigabine with the FDA in November 2005. The results of the key Phase 2 study indicate that the compound is potentially efficacious with a demonstrated reduction in monthly seizure rates of 23% to 35% as adjunctive therapy in patients with partial seizures. Response rates in the two higher doses were statistically significant compared to placebo ($p > 0.001$).

Following a Special Protocol Assessment by the FDA two Phase 3 trials of retigabine were initiated in 2005. One Phase 3 trial (RESTORE1) was conducted at approximately 45 sites, mainly in the Americas (U.S., Central/South America); the second Phase 3 trial (RESTORE2) will be performed at 55 sites, mainly in Europe. On September 2, 2005, the first patient in the RESTORE1 trial was enrolled. Enrollment of the first patient in the RESTORE2 trial occurred in December 2005. The enrollment period in epilepsy studies can be lengthy, frequently requiring a year to a year-and-a-half to enroll.

A Phase 1 cardiology trial in healthy volunteers, a hepatic impairment study and a renal impairment study are being planned to start in mid-2006.

Assuming successful completion of the Phase 3 trials, availability of the trials results in the second half of 2007, and approval by the FDA, we expect to launch retigabine in late 2008 or early 2009.

Infergen: On December 30, 2005, we completed the acquisition of the United States and Canadian rights to the hepatitis C drug Infergen (interferon alfacon-1) from InterMune. Infergen, or consensus interferon, is a bio-

Table of Contents

optimized, selective and highly potent type 1 interferon alpha originally developed by Amgen and launched in the United States in 1997. It is currently indicated as monotherapy for the treatment of adult patients suffering from chronic hepatitis C viral infections with compensated liver disease who have not responded to other treatments or have relapsed after such treatment. Infergen is the only interferon with data in the label regarding use in patients following relapse or non-response to certain previous treatments.

In connection with this transaction, we acquired patent rights and rights to a clinical trial underway to expand the applications of Infergen. In the DIRECT trial (001) that started in the second quarter of 2004, 514 patients were enrolled and treatment was completed in the first quarter of 2006. An Extension to the DIRECT trial (002) is underway for some of the patients who participated in the first trial. Post-treatment follow-up for DIRECT and Extension trials are expected to be completed (i.e., last patient visit) in the first and third quarters, respectively, of 2007. We expect to report and publish the results from these studies sometime in late 2007. We plan to use the results from the study for expansion of the product's label.

Zelapar: We acquired the rights to Zelapar, a late-stage candidate for the treatment of Parkinson's disease, in the Amarin acquisition in February 2004. Zelapar is a late-stage candidate under review by the FDA as an oral tablet using the patented Zydis® fast-dissolving technology and is being developed as an adjunct treatment in the management of patients with Parkinson's disease being treated with levodopa/carbidopa. Prior to the acquisition, Amarin had received an approvable letter from the FDA for Zelapar, subject to the completion of two safety studies. In late 2004, following our completion of two safety studies, we submitted a response to the approvable letter. We received a response to this submission from the FDA that required us to provide the FDA with additional information. A revised submission for Zelapar was sent to the FDA in March 2005. On September 30, 2005, an additional approvable letter was received from the FDA with a request for additional data. We filed the requested information with the FDA in the fourth quarter of 2005, and this filing was accepted as complete. We received a new PDUFA date in mid-2006. Additionally, we are conducting preclinical and clinical studies that were originally part of Amarin's agreed-upon Phase 4 commitment with the FDA, which include a renal impairment study that started in November 2005 and a hepatic impairment study that started in January 2006. Both of the Phase 4 studies will conclude in 2006. Assuming successful completion of the Phase 4 studies and approval by the FDA, we expect to launch Zelapar in later this year.

Pradefovir (formerly called remofovir): Pradefovir is a compound that we licensed from Metabasis Therapeutics, Inc., or Metabasis, in October 2001. We are developing this compound into an oral once-a-day monotherapy for patients with chronic hepatitis B infection. The active molecule in this compound exhibits anti-hepatitis B activity against both the wild type and lamivudine drug-resistant hepatitis B. Based on biologic and molecular modeling data, this compound binds to the active site of the hepatitis B replication enzyme so that the virus is prevented from utilizing the natural substrate from the host to replicate. A prodrug modification developed by Metabasis significantly improved the compound's physiochemical properties and ability to target the liver. In preliminary experiments in rodents, the active molecule was delivered in significantly greater proportion to the targeted organ, the liver, as compared to the non-targeted organ, the kidney. The kidney is the organ responsible for the dose-limiting toxicity. In these experiments, the amount of the active species, adefovir, selectively delivered to the liver versus kidney was approximately 10 times greater than the amount of compound delivered by another well established process. We have completed Phase 1 and Phase 2 clinical trials of Pradefovir, and the compound is now prepared for a Phase 3 project. As announced in our restructuring program, we intend to out-license pradefovir.

VRX-840773: In January 2006, we submitted an IND for VRX-840773, an internally developed compound which we plan to develop in clinical trials for the treatment of HIV. The benefits of this compound have been demonstrated in-vitro, and, if similar benefits can be proven in the clinic, VRX-840773 could become a valuable new HIV therapy. All preclinical studies to support the first human study have been completed. As announced in our restructuring program, we intend to out-license VRX-840773.

Foreign Operations

Approximately 66% and 75% of our revenues from continuing operations, which includes royalties, for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, were generated from operations outside the United States. All of our foreign operations are subject to risks inherent in conducting business abroad, including

Table of Contents

possible nationalization or expropriation, price and currency exchange controls, fluctuations in the relative values of currencies, political instability and restrictive governmental actions. Changes in the relative values of currencies occur from time to time and may, in some instances, materially affect our results of operations. The effect of these risks remains difficult to predict.

In January 2006, the parent company of one of our toll manufacturers in Europe filed for bankruptcy. Sales of products obtained from this manufacturer are estimated to be approximately \$60 million in 2006. The supplier has developed a business plan to continue to successfully operate and we have developed plans to respond to a disruption should it occur. The supplier has submitted a proposal to emerge from bankruptcy to the bankruptcy court and is seeking the requisite approval of its credits. To date, this bankruptcy filing has had no significant effect on our operations or the supplier's ability to operate and meet its commitments to supply us with products.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The consolidated condensed financial statements appearing elsewhere in this quarterly report have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to product returns, collectibility of receivables, inventories, intangible assets, income taxes and contingencies and litigation. The actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated condensed financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenues from product sales when title and risk of ownership transfers to the customer. Revenues are recorded net of provisions for rebates, discounts and returns, which are estimated and recorded at the time of sale. Allowances for future returns of products sold to our direct and indirect customers, who include wholesalers, retail pharmacies and hospitals, are calculated as a percent of sales based on historical return percentages taking into account additional available information on competitive products and contract changes.

Our product sales are subject to a variety of deductions, primarily representing rebates and discounts to government agencies, wholesalers and managed care organizations. These deductions represent estimates of the related obligations and, as such, judgment is required when estimating the impact of these sales deductions on revenues for a reporting period.

In the United States we record provisions for Medicaid and contract rebates based upon our actual experience ratio of rebates paid and actual prescriptions written during prior quarters. We apply the experience ratio to the respective period's sales to determine the rebate accrual and related expense. This experience ratio is evaluated regularly and adjusted if necessary to ensure that the historical trends are as current as practicable. We adjust the ratio to better match our current experience or our expected future experience, as appropriate. In developing this ratio, we consider current contract terms, such as changes in formulary status and discount rates. Because our revenues in the United States include newly acquired products and have increased significantly in the last few years, ratios based on our historical experience may not be indicative of future experience. If our ratio is not indicative of future experience, our results could be materially affected.

Outside of the United States, the majority of our rebates are contractual or legislatively mandated and our estimates are based on actual invoiced sales within each period; both of these elements help to reduce the risk of variations in the estimation process. Some European countries base their rebates on the government's unbudgeted pharmaceutical spending and we use an estimated allocation factor against our actual invoiced sales to project the expected level of reimbursement. We obtain third party information that helps us to monitor the adequacy of these accruals. If our estimates are not indicative of actual unbudgeted spending, our results could be materially affected.

Historically, our adjustments to actual have not been material; on a quarterly basis, they generally have been less than 2% of product sales. The sensitivity of our estimates can vary by program, type of customer and geographic

Table of Contents

location. However, estimates associated with U.S. Medicaid, Medicare and contract rebates are most at-risk for material adjustment because of the extensive time delay between the recording of the accrual and its ultimate settlement. This interval can range up to one year. Because of this time lag, in any given quarter, our adjustments to actual can incorporate revisions of several prior quarters.

We record sales incentives as a reduction of revenues at the time the related revenues are recorded or when the incentive is offered, whichever is later. We estimate the cost of our sales incentives based on our historical experience with similar incentives programs.

In some markets customers have the rights to return products to us under certain conditions. Historically and in the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, the provision for sales returns was less than 2% of product sales. We conduct a review of the current methodology and assess the adequacy of the allowance for returns on a quarterly basis, adjusting for changes in assumptions, historical results and business practices, as necessary. We use third-party data, when available, to estimate the level of product inventories, expiration dating, and product demand at our major wholesalers. Actual results could be materially different from our estimates, resulting in future adjustments to revenue.

We earn ribavirin royalties as a result of sales of products by third-party licensees, Schering-Plough and Roche. Ribavirin royalties are earned at the time the products subject to the royalty are sold by the third party and are reduced by an estimate for discounts and rebates that will be paid in subsequent periods for those products sold during the current period. We rely on a limited amount of financial information provided by Schering-Plough and Roche to estimate the amounts due to us under the royalty agreements.

In the U.S. market, our current practice is to offer sales incentives primarily in connection with launches of new products or changes of existing products where demand has not yet been established. We monitor and restrict sales in the U.S. market in order to limit wholesaler purchases in excess of their ordinary-course-of-business inventory levels. We operate Inventory Management Agreements (IMAs) with major wholesalers in the United States. However, specific events such as the case of sales incentives described above or seasonal demand (e.g. antivirals during an outbreak) may justify larger purchases by wholesalers. We may offer sales incentives primarily in international markets, where typically no right of return exists except for goods damaged in transit, product recalls or replacement of existing products due to packaging or labeling changes. Our revenue recognition policy on these types of purchases and on incentives in international markets is consistent with the policies described above.

Income Taxes

Our income tax returns are subject to audit in various jurisdictions. Existing and future audits by, or other disputes with, tax authorities may not be resolved favorably for us and could have a material adverse effect on our reported effective tax rate and after-tax cash flows. We record liabilities for potential tax assessments based on our estimate of the potential exposure. New laws and new interpretations of laws and rulings by tax authorities may affect the liability for potential tax assessments. Due to the subjectivity and complex nature of the underlying issues, actual payments or assessments may differ from our estimates. To the extent that our estimates differ from amounts eventually assessed and paid our income and cash flows can be materially and adversely affected.

We assess whether it is more likely than not that we will realize the tax benefits associated with our deferred tax assets and establish a valuation allowance for assets that are not expected to result in a realized tax benefit. A significant amount of judgment is used in this process, including preparation of forecasts of future taxable income and evaluation of tax planning initiatives. If we revise these forecasts or determine that certain planning events will not occur, an adjustment to the valuation allowance will be made to tax expense in the period such determination is made. We have increased the valuation allowance significantly since 2004 to recognize the uncertainty of realizing the benefits of the

U.S. net operating losses and research credits.

Impairment of Property, Plant and Equipment

We evaluate the carrying value of property, plant and equipment when conditions indicate a potential impairment. We determine whether there has been impairment by comparing the anticipated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the property, plant and equipment with its carrying value. If the

Table of Contents

undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying value, the amount of the impairment, if any, is then determined by comparing the carrying value of the property, plant and equipment with its fair value. Fair value is generally based on a discounted cash flows analysis, independent appraisals or preliminary offers from prospective buyers.

Valuation of Intangible Assets

We periodically review intangible assets for impairment using an undiscounted net cash flows approach. We determine whether there has been impairment by comparing the anticipated undiscounted future operating cash flows of the products associated with the intangible asset with its carrying value. If the undiscounted operating income is less than the carrying value, the amount of the impairment, if any, will be determined by comparing the value of each intangible asset with its fair value. Fair value is generally based on a discounted cash flows analysis.

We use a discounted cash flow model to value acquired intangible assets and for the assessment of impairment. The discounted cash flow model requires assumptions about the timing and amount of future cash inflows and outflows, risk, the cost of capital, and terminal values. Each of these factors can significantly affect the value of the intangible asset.

The estimates of future cash flows, based on reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections, require management's judgment. Any changes in key assumptions about our businesses and their prospects, or changes in market conditions, could result in an impairment charge. Some of the more significant estimates and assumptions inherent in the intangible asset impairment estimation process include: the timing and amount of projected future cash flows; the discount rate selected to measure the risks inherent in the future cash flows; and the assessment of the asset life cycle and the competitive trends impacting the asset, including consideration of any technical, legal or regulatory trends.

Purchase Price Allocation Including Acquired In-Process Research and Development

The purchase price for the acquisitions were allocated to the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the acquisition date. Such a valuation requires significant estimates and assumptions, including but not limited to: determining the timing and expected costs to complete the in-process projects; projecting regulatory approvals; estimating future cash flows from product sales resulting from completed products and in-process projects; and developing appropriate discount rates and probability rates by project. We believe the fair values assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on reasonable assumptions. However, these assumptions may be incomplete or inaccurate, and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur. Additionally, estimates for the purchase price allocations may change as subsequent information becomes available.

We value IPR&D acquired in a business combination based on an approach consistent with the AICPA Practice Aid, *Assets Acquired in Business Combinations to be Used in Research and Development Activities: A Focus in Software, Electronic Devices and Pharmaceutical Industries*. The amounts expensed as acquired IPR&D represents an estimate of the fair value of purchased in-process technology for projects that, as of the acquisition date, had not yet reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use. The data used to determine fair value requires significant judgment. The estimated fair values were based on our use of a discounted cash flow model. For each project, the estimated after-tax cash flows were probability weighted to take account of the stage of completion and the risks surrounding the successful development and commercialization. The assumed tax rates are our estimate of the effective tax rates that will apply to the expected cash flows. These cash flows were then discounted to a present value using discount rates between 15% and 20%.

The major risks and uncertainties associated with the timely and successful completion of these projects include the uncertainty of our ability to confirm the safety and efficacy of product candidates based on the data from clinical trials and of obtaining necessary regulatory approvals. In addition, no assurance can be given that the underlying assumptions we used to forecast the cash flows or the timely and successful completion of these projects will materialize as estimated. For these reasons, among others, actual results may vary significantly from the estimated results.

Table of Contents

We operate in numerous countries where our income tax returns are subject to audit. Internal and external tax professional are employed to minimize tax audit adjustments where possible. We consider the expected outcome of these audits in the calculation of our tax provision.

We assesses whether it is more likely than not that we will realize the tax benefit associated with our deferred tax assets and establish a valuation allowance for assets that are not expected to result in a realized tax benefit. A significant amount of judgment is used in this process, including preparation of forecasts of future taxable income and evaluation of tax planning initiatives. If we revise these forecasts or determine that certain planning events will not occur, an adjustment to the valuation allowance will be made to tax expense in the period such determination is made.

Stock-based Compensation Expense

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, (SFAS 123(R)) which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to our employees and directors, including employee stock options and employee stock purchases related to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, based on estimated fair values. Stock-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS 123(R) for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$5,618,000, which consisted of stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan of \$4,981,000, and stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock awards and acquisitions of \$637,000. We adopted SFAS 123(R) on a prospective basis and have not restated financial statements for prior years for this new pronouncement. Stock-based compensation expense of \$862,000 for the three ended March 31, 2005 included \$318,000 for stock options and \$544,000 related to restricted stock awards and acquisitions which the Company had been recognizing under previous accounting standards (see Notes 1 and 2 to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements). The following table shows the pro forma effects had we applied SFAS 123R in 2005:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 (In thousands, except per share amounts) (Restated)
Net loss as reported	\$ (139,759)
Stock compensation expense recorded at intrinsic value for stock incentive plans	862
Stock compensation expense determined under fair value method for stock incentive plans	(5,560)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (144,457)
Net loss per share:	
Basic and diluted as reported	\$ (1.57)
Basic and diluted pro forma	\$ (1.63)

We estimate the value of employee stock options on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model. The determination of fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables.

These variables include, but are not limited to the expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. The weighted-average estimated value of employee

Table of Contents

stock options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$5.48 determined using the Black-Scholes model and the following weighted-average assumptions:

	2006
Weighted-average life (years)	4.1
Volatility	39%
Expected dividend per share	\$ 0.31
Risk-free interest rate	4.80%
Weighted-average fair value of options	\$ 5.48

As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statement of operations in 2006 is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures were estimated based on historical experience.

The total future compensation costs associated with employee stock options and restricted stock awards that were outstanding at March 31, 2006 is as follows:

	(In Thousands)
Remainder of 2006	\$ 13,580
2007	8,255
2008	3,108
2009 and thereafter	767
	\$ 25,710

If factors change and we employ different assumptions in the application of SFAS 123(R) in future periods, the compensation expense that we record under SFAS 123(R) may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period.

Contingencies

We are exposed to contingencies in the ordinary course of business, such as legal proceedings and business-related claims, which range from product and environmental liabilities to tax matters. In accordance, with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, we record accruals for such contingencies when it is probable that a liability will be incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. The estimates are refined each accounting period, as additional information is known. See Notes 10 and 12 of notes to consolidated condensed financial statements for a discussion of contingencies.

Other Financial Information

With respect to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial information of Valeant Pharmaceutical International for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP reported that they have applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards for a review of such information. However, their report

dated May 8, 2006 except for Note 2, which is as of January 22, 2007, appearing herein, states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that unaudited condensed consolidated financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Act") for their report on the unaudited condensed consolidated financial information because that report is not a report or a part of a registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

Forward-Looking Statements

Except for the historical information contained herein, the matters addressed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of the words anticipates, expects, intends, plans, and variations or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties, including those discussed below and elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated by our management. In addition, the information set forth in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, describes certain additional risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to vary materially from the future results covered in such forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any of these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. We undertake no obligation to update any of these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect actual outcomes.

RISK FACTORS

Our short and long-term success is subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. Our stockholders and prospective stockholders should consider carefully the following risk factors, in addition to other information contained in this report and our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in this report as a result of various factors, including those set forth below.

The future growth of our business depends on the development and approval of new products, including Viramidine, pradefovir and retigabine. The process of developing new drugs has an inherent risk of failure. For example, product candidates may turn out to be ineffective or unsafe in clinical testing; their patent protection may become compromised; other therapies may prove safer or more effective; or the prevalence of the disease for which they are being developed may decrease. Our inability to successfully develop our products due to these or other factors could have a material adverse effect on future revenues.

We can protect our products from generic substitution by third parties only to the extent that our technologies are covered by valid and enforceable patents, are effectively maintained as trade secrets or are protected by data exclusivity. However, our pending or future patent applications may not issue as patents. Any patent issued may be challenged, invalidated, held unenforceable or circumvented. Furthermore, our patents may not be sufficiently broad to prevent third parties' competing products. The expiration of patent protection for ribavirin has resulted in significant competition from generic substitutes and declining royalty revenues and may negatively impact future financial results.

Trade secret protection is less effective than patent protection because competitors may discover the technology or develop parallel technology.

The scope of protection afforded by a patent can be highly uncertain. A pending claim or a result unfavorable to us in a patent dispute may preclude development or commercialization of products or impact sales of existing products, result in cessation of royalty payments to us and/or result in payment of monetary damages.

Obtaining drug approval in the United States and other countries is costly and time consuming. Uncertainties and delays inherent in the process can preclude or delay development and commercialization of our products.

Our current business plan includes targeted expansion through acquisitions of compatible businesses and product lines and the formation of strategic alliances, joint ventures and other business combinations, in addition to the development of new products. If we are unable to successfully execute on our expansion plans to find attractive acquisition candidates at appropriate prices, and to integrate successfully any acquired

companies or products, the expected growth of our business may be negatively affected.

We and our competitors are always striving to develop products that are more effective, safer, more easily tolerated or less costly. If our competitors succeed in developing better alternatives to our current products

Table of Contents

before we do, we will lose sales and revenues to their alternative products. If vaccines are introduced to prevent the diseases treated by our products, our potential sales and revenues will decrease.

The pharmaceutical industry is subject to substantial government regulation, including the approval of new pharmaceutical products, labeling, advertising and, in most countries, pricing, as well as inspection and approval of manufacturing facilities. The costs of complying with these regulations are high, and failure to comply could result in fines or interruption in our business.

We collect and pay a substantial portion of our sales and expenditures in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. As a result, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates affect our operating results. Additionally, future exchange rate movements, inflation or other related factors may have a material adverse effect on our sales, gross profit or operating expenses. At March 31, 2006 we have in place foreign currency hedge transactions to reduce our exposure to variability in the Polish Zloty. We continue to evaluate the possibility of entering into additional hedge arrangements.

A significant part of our revenue is derived from products manufactured by third parties. We rely on their quality level, compliance with the FDA regulations or similar regulatory requirements enforced by regulatory agencies in other countries and continuity of supply. Any failure by them in these areas could disrupt our product supply and negatively impact our revenues.

Our flexibility in maximizing commercialization opportunities for our compounds may be limited by our obligations to Schering-Plough. In November 2000, we entered into an agreement that provides Schering-Plough with an option to acquire the rights to up to three of our products intended to treat hepatitis C that Schering-Plough designates prior to our entering Phase 2 clinical trials and a right of first/last refusal to license various compounds we may develop and elect to license to others. Viramidine was not subject to the option of Schering-Plough, but it would be subject to their right of first/last refusal if we elected to license it to a third party. The interest of potential collaborators in obtaining rights to our compounds or the terms of any agreement we ultimately enter into for these rights may be hindered by our agreement with Schering-Plough.

To purchase our products, many patients rely on reimbursement by third party payors such as insurance companies, HMOs and government agencies. These third party payors are increasingly attempting to contain costs by limiting both coverage and the level of reimbursement of new drug products. The reimbursement levels established by third party payors in the future may not be sufficient for us to realize an appropriate return on our investment in product development and our continued manufacture and sale of existing drugs.

Some of our development programs are based on the library of nucleoside compounds we have developed. It is not practicable to create backups for our nucleoside library, and accordingly it is at risk of loss in earthquakes, fire and other natural disasters and catastrophes. Any insurance we maintain may not be adequate to cover our losses.

All drugs have potential harmful side effects and can expose drug manufacturers and distributors to liability. In the event one or more of our products is found to have harmed an individual or individuals, we may be responsible for paying all or substantially all damages awarded. A successful product liability claim against us could have a material negative impact on our financial position and results of operations.

Our debt agreements permit us to incur additional debt, subject to certain restrictions, but there is no guaranty that we will actually be able to borrow any money should the need for it arise.

We are involved in several legal proceedings, including those described in Notes 10 and 12 to notes to consolidated condensed financial statements, any of which could result in substantial cost and divert management's attention and resources.

Dependence on key personnel leaves us vulnerable to a negative impact if they leave. Our continued success will depend, to a significant extent, upon the efforts and abilities of the key members of management. The loss of their services could have a negative impact on us.

Our research and development activities involve the controlled use of potentially harmful biological materials as well as hazardous materials, chemicals and various radioactive compounds. We cannot

Table of Contents

completely eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from the use, storage, handling or disposal of these materials. In the event of contamination or injury, we could be held liable for damages that result. Any liability could exceed our resources.

Our stockholder rights plan, provisions of our certificate of incorporation and provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law could provide our Board of Directors with the ability to deter hostile takeovers or delay, deter or prevent a change in control of our company, including transactions in which stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over then current market prices.

We are authorized to issue, without stockholder approval, approximately 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, 200,000,000 shares of common stock and securities convertible into either shares of common stock or preferred stock. If we issue additional equity securities, the price of our securities may be materially and adversely affected. The Board of Directors can also use issuances of preferred or common stock to deter a hostile takeover or change in control of our company.

We are subject to a consent order with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which permanently enjoins us from violating securities laws and regulations. The consent order also precludes protection for forward-looking statements under the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to forward-looking statements we made prior to November 28, 2005. The existence of the permanent injunction under the consent order, and the lack of protection under the safe harbor with respect to forward-looking statements made prior to November 28, 2005 may limit our ability to defend against future allegations.

Table of Contents**Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk***

Our business and financial results are affected by fluctuations in world financial markets. We evaluate our exposure to such risks on an ongoing basis, and seek ways to manage these risks to an acceptable level, based on management's judgment of the appropriate trade-off between risk, opportunity and cost. We do not hold any significant amount of market risk sensitive instruments whose value is subject to market price risk. Our significant foreign currency exposure relates to the Euro, the Mexican Peso, the Polish Zloty, the Swiss Franc and the Canadian Dollar. We seek to manage our foreign currency exposure through operational means by managing local currency revenues in relation to local currency costs. We take steps to mitigate the impact of foreign currency on the income statement, which include hedging our foreign currency exposure.

In the normal course of business, we also face risks that are either non-financial or non-quantifiable. Such risks principally include country risk, credit risk and legal risk and are not discussed or quantified in the following analysis. At March 31, 2006, the fair values of the Company's financial instruments were as follows (in thousands):

Description	Notional/ Contract Amount	Assets (Liabilities)	
		Carrying Value	Fair Value
Forward contracts	\$ 45,000	\$ (2,345)	\$ (2,345)
Interest rate swaps	150,000	(6,982)	(6,982)
Outstanding debt	780,000	(780,000)	(720,000)

We currently do not hold financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. Our financial assets are not subject to significant interest rate risk due to their short duration. At March 31, 2006, we had \$12,150,000 of foreign denominated variable rate debt that would subject it to both interest rate and currency risks. A 100 basis-point increase in interest rates affecting our financial instruments would not have had a material effect on our first quarter 2006 pretax earnings. In addition, we have \$780,000,000 of fixed rate debt as of March 31, 2006, that requires U.S. dollar repayment. To the extent that we require, as a source of debt repayment, earnings and cash flow from some of our subsidiary units located in foreign countries, we are subject to risk of changes in the value of certain currencies relative to the U.S. dollar. However, the increase of 100 basis-points in interest rates would have reduced the fair value of our remaining fixed-rate debt instruments by approximately \$32,900,000 as of March 31, 2006.

We estimated the sensitivity of the fair value of our derivative foreign exchange contracts to a hypothetical 10% strengthening and 10% weakening of the spot exchange rates for the U.S. dollar against the Zloty at March 31, 2006. The analysis showed that a 10% strengthening of the U.S. dollar would have resulted in a gain from a fair value change of \$4,306,000 and a 10% weakening of the U.S. dollar would have resulted in a loss from a fair value change of \$5,263,000 in these instruments. Losses and gains on the underlying transactions being hedged would have largely offset any gains and losses on the fair value of derivative contracts. These offsetting gains and losses are not reflected in the above analysis.

Table of Contents

Item 4. *Controls and Procedures*

As disclosed in the Note 2 to the consolidated condensed financial statements contained in this Form 10-Q/A, we announced on September 11, 2006 that a Special Committee consisting solely of independent members of the board of directors had been formed to conduct an internal review of our historic stock option practices and related accounting.

The Special Committee, with the assistance of outside legal counsel, undertook a comprehensive review of the stock option grants to our officers, directors and employees from 1982 to July 2006 under our various stock option plans in effect during this period. The Special Committee has concluded its investigation and has reported its findings to our board of directors. On October 20, 2006, our board of directors concluded that our consolidated financial statements should be restated to record the additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense items and certain other items that had been incorrectly accounted for under GAAP.

The Special Committee analyzed in detail stock option grants awarded between November 1994 and July 2006 and analyzed supporting documentation for awards granted between 1982 and 1994. For the period between November 1994 and July 2006, the Special Committee's analysis included an extensive review of paper and electronic documents supporting or related to our stock option grants, the accounting for or impacted by those grants, compensation-related financial and securities disclosures and e-mail communications as well as interviews with numerous current and former employees and current and former members of our board of directors. While the Special Committee concluded that there were some errors as late as January 2006, the majority of errors in accounting for options pertain to those options granted prior to the change in our board of directors and management in mid-2002 ("Change in Control"). None of the errors occurring in periods after the Change in Control related to options granted to the chief executive officer ("CEO"), chief financial officer ("CFO"), or members of our board of directors.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

At the time that our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 was filed on March 15, 2006, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2005. Subsequent to that evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at a reasonable level of assurance as of December 31, 2005 because of the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting discussed below. Notwithstanding the material weakness described below, our management has concluded that our consolidated condensed financial statements included in this quarterly report have been properly prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC.

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

As of December 31, 2005, we did not maintain effective controls over the accounting for and disclosure of stock-based compensation expense. Specifically, effective controls, including monitoring, were not maintained to ensure the accuracy and valuation of our stock-based compensation transactions related to the granting of our stock options. This control deficiency resulted in the misstatement of stock-based compensation expense and additional paid-in capital accounts and related financial disclosures, and in the restatement of our consolidated financial statements for the years 2005, 2004, and 2003, each of the quarters of 2005 and 2004, and the first two quarters of 2006. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in misstatements of the aforementioned accounts and

Table of Contents

disclosures that would result in a material misstatement of the annual or interim consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, our management has determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting.

Remediation Plan

Subsequent to the initiation of our investigation into our stock option granting practices in September 2006, we considered the effectiveness of both the design and operation of our internal control over financial reporting as they relate to the granting of stock-based compensation. We implemented several improvements during the fourth quarter of 2006. In particular, we developed and implemented specific procedures and controls to ensure compensation committee approval of the final specific awards to all individual recipients at the time of the compensation committee meeting. As of December 31, 2006, management has implemented these additional procedures and controls. Additionally, we have evaluated the design of these new controls, which have been placed into operation for a sufficient period of time. We will test their operating effectiveness in connection with our assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. We believe that the controls that have been implemented have improved the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the most recently completed fiscal quarter as discussed in the Remediation Plan section above that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. *Legal Proceedings*

See Note 10 of notes to consolidated condensed financial statements in Item 1 of Part I of this quarterly report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. *Risk Factors*

Our annual report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2005 includes a detailed discussion of our risk factors. Pursuant to the instructions to Form 10-Q, we have provided below only those risk factors that are new or that have been materially amended since the time that we filed our most recent annual report on Form 10-K/A.

Accordingly, the information presented below should be read in conjunction with the risk factors and information disclosed in our most recent Form 10-K/A and the other risks described in this Form 10-Q/A.

If we do not realize the expected benefits from the restructuring plan we announced in April 2006, our operating results and financial conditions would be negatively impacted.

In April 2006, we announced a strategic restructuring of our company designed to focus our resources on programs and products that have the greatest opportunity for success. Accordingly, we elected to rationalize certain of our assets, including our discovery program and certain manufacturing facilities. We have sold and out licensed pradefovir and certain discovery programs, and any future compensation relating thereto is contingent upon the transferee's successful development of the applicable product and/or program. Such success is subject to the risks inherent in developing and obtaining approval for pharmaceutical products. Accordingly, it is possible that we may not receive any financial benefit from the sale or out license of these assets. In addition, if we are unable to realize the expected operational efficiencies from our restructuring plan, our operating results and financial condition would be adversely affected.

If we or our third-party manufacturers are unable to manufacture our products or the manufacturing process is interrupted due to failure to comply with regulations or for other reasons, the manufacture of our products could be interrupted.

We manufacture and have contracted with third parties to manufacture some of our drug products, including products under the rights acquired from other pharmaceutical companies. Manufacturers are required to adhere to current good manufacturing (cGMP) regulations enforced by the FDA or similar regulations required by regulatory agencies in other countries. Compliance with the FDA's cGMP requirements applies to both drug products seeking regulatory approval and to approved drug products. Our manufacturing facilities and those of our contract manufacturers must be inspected and found to be in full compliance with cGMP standards before approval for marketing. We and contract manufacturers of our approved products are subject to ongoing regulation by the FDA, including compliance with cGMP requirements, and to similar regulatory requirements enforced by regulatory agencies in other countries.

Our dependence upon others to manufacture our products may adversely affect our profit margins and our ability to develop and obtain approval for our products on a timely and competitive basis, if at all. Our failure or that of our contract manufacturers to comply with cGMP regulations or similar regulations outside of the United States can result in enforcement action by the FDA or its foreign counterparts, including, among other things, warning letters, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, refusal of the government to renew marketing applications and criminal prosecution. In addition, delays or difficulties with our

contract manufacturers in producing, packaging, or distributing our products could adversely affect the sales of our current products or introduction of other products.

Schering-Plough manufactures and sells ribavirin under license from us. In May 2002, Schering-Plough signed a consent decree of permanent injunction with the FDA, agreeing to measures to assure that the drug products manufactured at their Puerto Rico plant are made in compliance with FDA's current good manufacturing practice regulations. While Schering-Plough has advised us that the deficiencies were not specifically applicable to the production of ribavirin, the consent decree covers the facility producing ribavirin. Schering-Plough's ability to

Table of Contents

manufacture and ship ribavirin could be affected by temporary interruption of some production lines to install system upgrades and further enhance compliance, and other technical production and equipment qualification issues. If the FDA is not satisfied with Schering-Plough's compliance under the consent decree, the FDA could take further regulatory actions against Schering-Plough, including the seizure of products, an injunction against further manufacture, a product recall or other actions that could interrupt production of ribavirin. Interruption of ribavirin production for a sustained period of time could materially reduce our royalty revenue.

In addition to regulatory compliance risks, our contract manufacturers in the United States and in other countries are subject to a wide range of business risks, such as seizure of assets by governmental authorities, natural disasters, and domestic and international economic conditions. Were any of our contract manufacturers not able to manufacture our products because of regulatory, business or any other reasons, the manufacture of our products would be interrupted. This could have a negative impact on our sales, financial condition and competitive position. In January 2006, the parent company of one of our toll manufacturers in Europe filed for bankruptcy. Sales of products obtained from this manufacturer are estimated to be approximately \$60 million in 2006. Although the manufacturer has received court approval to emerge from bankruptcy and we have developed plans to respond to a disruption in supply by this manufacturer, there can be no assurance that, should a disruption in supply occur, we will be able to respond in time with alternative sources of supply or have sufficient levels of inventory to prevent a material negative impact on revenues. In addition, we cannot assure you that the supplier will be able to meet our supply needs after it emerges from bankruptcy.

The matters relating to the Special Committee's review of our historical stock option granting practices and the restatement of our consolidated financial statements have resulted in increased litigation and regulatory proceedings against us and could have a material adverse effect on us.

In September 2006, our board of directors appointed a Special Committee, which consists solely of independent directors, to conduct a review of our historical stock option granting practices and related accounting during the period from 1982 through July 2006. As described in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements, Special Committee and Company Findings, the Special Committee has identified a number of occasions on which the exercise prices for stock options granted to certain of our directors, officers, and employees were set using closing prices for our common stock with dates different than the actual grant approval dates, resulting in additional compensation charges. To correct these and other accounting errors, we have amended the 2005 10-K and our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006 to restate the consolidated financial statements contained in those reports. The review of our historical stock option granting practices and the related accounting, as well as the resulting restatements, have required us to incur substantial expenses for legal, accounting, tax and other professional services and have diverted our management's attention from our business and could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our historical stock option granting practices and the restatement of our prior financial statements have exposed us to greater risks associated with litigation and regulatory proceedings. We are a named defendant in two shareholder derivative lawsuits pending in the state court in Orange County, California, which assert claims related to our historic stock option practices. In addition, the SEC has opened an informal inquiry into our historical stock option grant practices. We cannot assure you that this current litigation, the SEC inquiry or any future litigation or regulatory action will result in the same conclusions reached by the Special Committee. The conduct and resolution of these matters will be time consuming, expensive and distracting from the conduct of our business. Furthermore, if we are subject to adverse findings in any of these matters, we could be required to pay damages or penalties or have other remedies imposed upon us which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The delay in filing the quarterly report on Form 10-Q may increase the resources to file registration statements.

As a result of our delayed filing of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we will be ineligible to register our securities on Form S-3 for sale by us or resale by others until we have timely filed all material required to be filed pursuant to Section 13, 14, or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of

Table of Contents

1934 for a period of least 12 calendar months. We may use other registration statement forms to raise capital or complete acquisitions, but such use would increase our transaction costs and may adversely impact our ability to raise capital or complete acquisitions of other companies in a timely manner.

The pending SEC inquiry could adversely affect our business and the trading price of our securities.

In July 2006, we were contacted by the SEC, with respect to an informal inquiry regarding events and circumstances surrounding trading in our common stock and the public release of data from our first pivotal Phase 3 trial for Viramidine® (taribavirin). In addition, the SEC later requested data regarding our stock option grants since January 1, 2000 and information about our pursuit in the Delaware Chancery Court of the return of certain bonuses paid to Milan Panic, the former chairman and chief executive officer, and others. In September 2006, our board of directors established the Special Committee to review our historical stock option practices and related accounting, and informed the SEC of these efforts. We have cooperated fully and will continue to cooperate with the SEC on its informal inquiry. We cannot predict the outcome of the inquiry. In the event that the inquiry leads to SEC action against any current or former officer or director, our business (including our ability to complete financing transactions) and the trading price of our securities may be adversely impacted. In addition, if the SEC inquiry continues for a prolonged period of time, it may have an adverse impact on our business or the trading price of our securities regardless of the ultimate outcome of the investigation. In addition, the SEC inquiry has resulted in the incurrence of significant legal expenses and the diversion of management's attention from our business, and this may continue, or increase, until the inquiry is concluded.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

Exhibit

- 10.1 Description of Registrant's Executive Incentive Plan, previously filed as Item 1.01 in the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 19, 2006, which is incorporated herein by reference.
- 15.1 Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Financial Reports pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. § 1350.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this quarterly report on Form 10-Q to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Valeant Pharmaceuticals International
Registrant

/s/ Timothy C. Tyson

Timothy C. Tyson
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: January 30, 2007

/s/ Bary G. Bailey
Bary G. Bailey
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: January 30, 2007

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

- 10.1 Description of Registrant's Executive Incentive Plan, previously filed as Item 1.01 in the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 19, 2006, which is incorporated herein by reference.
- 15.1 Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Exchange Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Financial Reports pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. § 1350.