NUVEEN OHIO QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND Form N-CSR May 08, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-6385

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

> Kevin J. McCarthy Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: February 28

Date of reporting period: February 28, 2014

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

Closed-End Funds

Nuveen Investments Municipal Closed-End Funds

It's not what you earn, it's what you keep.®

Annual Report February 28, 2014

NAZ Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund

NUM Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund

NUO Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund

NTX Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund

Nuveen Investments to be acquired by TIAA-CREF

On April 14, 2014, TIAA-CREF announced that it had entered into an agreement to acquire Nuveen Investments, the parent company of your fund's investment adviser, Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC ("NFAL") and the Nuveen affiliates that act as sub-advisers to the majority of the Nuveen Funds. TIAA-CREF is a national financial services organization with approximately \$569 billion in assets under management (as of March 31, 2014) and is a leading provider of retirement services in the academic, research, medical and cultural fields. Nuveen anticipates that it will operate as a separate subsidiary within TIAA-CREF's asset management business, and that its current leadership and key investment teams will stay in place.

Your Fund investment will not change as a result of Nuveen's change of ownership. You will still own the same Fund shares and the underlying value of those shares will not change as a result of the transaction. NFAL and your Fund's sub-adviser(s) will continue to manage your Fund according to the same objectives and policies as before, and we do not anticipate any significant changes to your Fund's operations. Under the securities laws, the consummation of the transaction will result in the automatic termination of the investment management agreements between the Funds and NFAL and the investment sub-advisory agreements between NFAL and each Fund's sub-adviser(s). New agreements will be presented to the Funds' shareholders for approval, and, if approved, will take effect upon consummation of the transaction or such later time as shareholder approval is obtained.

The transaction, expected to be completed by year end, is subject to customary closing conditions.

Table of Contents

4
5
12
14
16
17
21
23
24
54
55
56
58
60
66
82
83
85
86

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholders,

Despite headwinds from slow growth, fiscal and political uncertainty in many countries and some fragile economies around the world, domestic and international equity markets increased significantly in 2013. The emerging markets equity sector was an exception. Other sectors, such as real estate, were flat to down a bit and commodities were notably negative in total return performance. The fixed income market also experienced losses in many sectors.

U.S. equities in particular hit numerous all-time highs during the past year, exceeding prior rising market trends. Europe and Asia struggled with political and financial stresses but Europe's improving GDP in the second half provided hope that the region can exit recession. In Japan, the economic policies advocated by Prime Minister Shinzo Abe became a positive influence on the economy as deflationary pressures declined, while the economy in China started to stabilize due to monetary easing and supply side reforms. On the domestic front, the Federal Reserve stimulus continued throughout the year but discussion of reductions in the stimulus program caused historically low rates to rise and added to concern that interest rates could rise quickly in the near future. This provided challenges for fixed income investors.

The Federal Reserve's decision to slow down its bond buying program beginning in December 2013, and the federal budget compromise over government spending into early 2015 were positive signs that the domestic economy is moving forward. We are beginning to experience an economy that can provide encouraging conditions for GDP growth, job growth and low inflation. Additionally, downward trending unemployment and a continuing rebound in the housing market adds to a positive economic scenario going forward.

However, the current year has experienced a tumultuous start. It is in these particularly volatile markets that professional investment management is most important. Investment teams who have experienced challenging markets in the past understand how their asset class can behave in rapidly changing times. Remaining committed to their investment disciplines during these times is a critical component to achieving long-term success. In fact, many strong investment track records are established during challenging periods because experienced investment teams understand that volatile markets place a premium on companies and investment ideas that can weather the short-term volatility. By maintaining appropriate time horizons, diversification and relying on practiced investment teams, we believe that investors can achieve their long-term investment objectives.

As always, I encourage you to communicate with your financial consultant if you have any questions about your investment in a Nuveen Fund. On behalf of the other members of the Nuveen Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

William J. Schneider Chairman of the Board April 22, 2014

Portfolio Managers' Comments

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund (NAZ) Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund (NUM) Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund (NUO) Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX)

These Funds feature portfolio management by Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, an affiliate of Nuveen Investments. Portfolio managers Michael S. Hamilton and Daniel J. Close, CFA, review U.S. economic and municipal market conditions at the national and state levels, key investment strategies and the twelve-month performance of these four Nuveen Funds. Michael assumed portfolio management responsibility for NAZ in 2011, while Dan has managed NUM, NUO and NTX since 2007.

What factors affected the U.S. economy and the national municipal market during the twelve-month reporting period ended February 28, 2014?

During this reporting period, the U.S. economy's progress toward recovery from recession continued, although the economy remained below peak levels. The Federal Reserve (Fed) maintained its efforts to bolster growth and promote progress toward its mandates of maximum employment and price stability by holding the benchmark fed funds rate at the record low level of zero to 0.25% that it established in December 2008. Based on its view that the underlying strength in the broader economy was enough to support ongoing improvement in the labor market, the Fed began to reduce, or taper, its monthly asset purchases in \$10 billion increments over the course of three consecutive meetings (December 2013, January 2014 and following the end of this reporting period, March 2014). As of April 2014, the Fed's monthly purchases will comprise \$25 billion in mortgage-backed securities (versus the original \$40 billion per month) and \$30 billion in longer-term Treasury securities (versus \$45 billion). Following the March 2014 meeting, the Fed also stated that it would now look at a wide range of factors, including inflation levels and job creation, in determining future actions and that it would likely maintain the current target range for the fed funds rate for a considerable time after the asset purchase program ends, especially if projected inflation continues to run below the Fed's 2% longer run goal.

In the fourth quarter of 2013, the U.S. economy, as measured by the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), grew at an annualized rate of 2.6%, bringing the annual GDP for 2013 to 1.9% and continuing the pattern of positive economic growth for the eleventh consecutive quarter. The Consumer Price Index (CPI) rose 1.1% year-over-year as of February 2014, while the core CPI (which excludes food and energy) increased 1.6% during the same period, staying within the Fed's unofficial objective of 2.0% or lower for this inflation measure. As of February 2014, the national unemployment rate was 6.7%, down from the 7.7% reported in February 2013. The housing market continued to post gains, as the average home price in the S&P/Case-Shiller Index of 20 major metropolitan areas rose 13.2% for the twelve months ended January 2014 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared). This brought the average U.S. home price back to mid-2004 levels, although prices continued to be down approximately 20% from their mid-2006 peak.

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio managers as of the date of this report. Actual future results

or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's (S&P), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) or Fitch, Inc. (Fitch). Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Bond insurance guarantees only the payment of principal and interest on the bond when due, and not the value of the bonds themselves, which will fluctuate with the bond market and the financial success of the issuer and the insurer. Insurance relates specifically to the bonds in the portfolio and not to the share prices of a Fund. No representation is made as to the insurers' ability to meet their commitments.

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

As this reporting period began, continued political debate over federal spending clouded the outlook for the U.S. economy, as lawmakers failed to reach a resolution on spending cuts intended to address the federal budget deficit. This triggered a program of automatic spending cuts (or sequestration) that impacted federal programs beginning March 1, 2013. Although Congress later passed legislation that established federal funding levels for the remainder of Fiscal 2013, the federal budget for Fiscal 2014 remained under debate well into the new fiscal year. On October 1, 2013, the start date for Fiscal 2014, the federal government shut down for 16 days until an interim appropriations bill was signed into law, funding the government at sequestration levels through January 15, 2014, and suspending the debt limit until February 2014. Consensus on a \$1.1 trillion federal spending bill was finally reached in January 2014, and in February 2014, members of Congress agreed to suspend the \$16.7 trillion debt ceiling until March 2015.

In June 2013, then-Fed Chairman Ben Bernanke's remarks about potentially tapering the Fed's asset purchase program touched off widespread uncertainty about the next step for the Fed's quantitative easing program and its impact on the economy and financial markets. This led to increased market volatility, which was compounded by headline credit stories involving Detroit's bankruptcy filing in July 2013, the largest municipal bankruptcy in history and the disappointing news that continued to come out of Puerto Rico, where a struggling economy and years of deficit spending and borrowing resulted in multiple downgrades on the commonwealth's bonds. In this unsettled environment, the Treasury market traded off, the municipal market followed suit and spreads widened as investor concern grew, prompting increased selling by bondholders across the fixed income markets. During the second half of this reporting period, municipal bonds generally rallied, as higher yields and the prospect of higher taxes sparked increased demand and improved flows into municipal bond funds, while supply continued to drop. However, for the reporting period as a whole, municipal bond prices generally declined, especially at the longer end of the maturity spectrum. At the same time, fundamentals on municipal bonds remained strong, as state governments made good progress in dealing with budget issues. Due to strong growth in personal tax collections, year-over-year totals for state tax revenues have increased for 15 consecutive quarters, while on the expense side, the states made headway in cutting and controlling costs, with more than 40 states implementing some type of pension reform. The current level of municipal issuance reflects the more conservative approach to state budgeting as well as a decrease in refunding activity as municipal market yields rose. Over the twelve months ended February 28, 2014, municipal bond issuance nationwide totaled \$315.9 billion, a decrease of 17% from the issuance for the twelve-month period ended February 28, 2013.

How were the economic and market environments in Arizona, Michigan, Ohio and Texas during the twelve-month reporting period ended February 28, 2014?

Arizona's economy continued its recovery from the far-reaching effects of the recession, especially in the state's hard-hit housing market. Gains in Arizona housing prices have been driven primarily by the Phoenix market, with the state's smaller metropolitan areas also showing progress. According to the S&P/Case-Shiller Index, housing prices in Phoenix rose 13.8% over the twelve months ended January 2014 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared), compared with the average increase of 13.2% nationally. In the job market, the Arizona unemployment rate dropped to 7.3% as of February 2014, the lowest level since October 2008, down from 8.0% in February 2013. Growth in professional services, tourism, retail and financial services led recent improvements in the state's employment picture. For Fiscal 2014, Arizona enacted an \$8.8 billion general fund budget, up 3.4% over Fiscal 2013, which restored prior Medicaid cuts, expanded Medicaid under the federal Affordable Care Act and focused on reforming education and protecting children. The 2014 budget also kept intact the state's \$450 million rainy day fund. At the end of Fiscal 2013 in June 2013, the state's temporary one-cent sales tax, enacted in 2011, expired, resulting in a projected \$303.5 million budget gap for Fiscal 2014. Arizona planned to use the financial cushion generated by the sales taxes to offset the shortfall. The state's proposed general fund budget for Fiscal 2015 totals \$9.3 billion, including increased spending for child safety and education and a \$50 million deposit to the rainy day fund. This proposed budget estimates that Arizona will return to structural balance by Fiscal 2016. In November 2013, Moody's affirmed

Arizona's issuer rating at Aa3 and changed its outlook for the state to positive from stable. As of February 2014, S&P rated Arizona's issuer credit at AA with a stable outlook. For the twelve months ended February 28, 2014, municipal issuance in Arizona totaled \$3.75 billion, down 39% from the previous twelve months.

Michigan's economic recovery has mirrored national progress. The state economy continued to slowly improve, driven in part by the recovering auto industry. Strong domestic auto sales have incrementally bolstered growth over the past five years, though growth in 2013 was more modest than that of 2012. To a large extent, the Michigan economy remained tied to events in the auto industry, as

the "Big Three" (General Motors, Ford and Chrysler) continued to rank among the state's five largest employers. Overall, Michigan remained heavily reliant on manufacturing, which represented 13% of employment in the state, compared with 9% nationally. As of February 2014, Michigan's unemployment rate was 7.7%, down from 8.8% in February 2013, the lowest level since May 2008. Following the peak in housing prices in mid-2006, home prices in Michigan declined dramatically and the inventory of foreclosed homes remained elevated in many of the state's hardest-hit metropolitan areas, including Detroit, Warren and Flint. Improvement in the state economy has brought some recuperation in the housing market. According to the S&P/Case-Shiller Index of 20 major metropolitan areas, housing prices in Detroit rose 15.6% over the twelve months ended January 2014 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared), compared with the national average increase of 13.2%. On the fiscal front, Michigan's budgetary performance over the last two years has been impressive. As revenues improved, the state demonstrated a commitment to rebuild reserves. For Fiscal 2013, Michigan's \$48.2 billion budget was structurally balanced and did not require major expenditure cuts or borrowing, and the state estimates that the year ended with a \$429 million surplus. In 2013, a \$140 million deposit brought Michigan's reserve fund balance to \$505 million, representing the largest reserve fund balance in more than a decade, and the Fiscal 2014 budget appropriated another \$75 million to the rainy day fund. In other positive news, the state's improved financial and cash position has eliminated the need for cash flow borrowing. Michigan's \$49 billion budget for Fiscal 2014 provides revenue sharing for local governments, increased funding for K-12 education and additional transportation funding, which is expected to benefit construction spending and payroll growth. As of February 2014, Moody's and S&P rated Michigan general obligation (GO) debt at Aa2 and AA-, respectively. Both agencies revised their outlook for the state to positive in 2013. During the twelve months ended February 28, 2014, municipal issuance in Michigan totaled \$5.7 billion, a decrease of almost 44% from the twelve months ended February 28, 2013.

Ohio's economy continued to expand modestly, but at a slower pace than immediately following the recession. As of February 2014, the state's unemployment rate was 6.5%, its lowest level since June 2008, down from 7.3% in February 2013. Manufacturing remained the largest of Ohio's major employment sectors, and the state continued to be a leading producer of steel and autos. Like other manufacturing-heavy states, Ohio tends to have a somewhat more cyclical economy than the nation as a whole. The state has experienced a small boom in oil and gas production, due largely to hydraulic fracturing in the Utica shale field in the Appalachian Basin. According to a recent report from the Ohio Oil and Gas Association, production of natural gas and oil in the state more than doubled in 2013. Ohio also saw improvement in its housing market in 2013, with the state's home sales rising almost 15%. According to the S&P/Case-Shiller Index of prices in 20 major metropolitan areas, housing prices in Cleveland were 4.0% higher in January 2014 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared) than a year earlier. On the fiscal front, Ohio has seen revenue recovery in line with its economic recovery, with Fiscal 2013 tax revenues 10.6% higher than prior-year collections. Income and sales taxes now make up more than half the state's general fund revenues. In Fiscal 2013, Ohio fully funded its budget stabilization fund to its statutory maximum for the first time since 2000. Ohio's Fiscal 2014-2015 biennial budget included significant tax reform, including a 10% personal income tax reduction over the next three years and a 0.25% sales tax rate increase effective September 2013. The state's Medicaid expansion, which became effective January 1, 2014, was expected to have minimal budget impact due to the fact that additional enrollment will be covered by an estimated \$562 million in federal funds for Fiscal 2014. As of February 2014, Moody's and S&P rated Ohio GO debt at Aa1 and AA+, respectively, with stable outlooks. For the twelve months ended February 28, 2014, municipal issuance in Ohio totaled \$9.1 billion, a decrease of 27% compared with the twelve months ended February 28, 2013.

The economic recovery in Texas continued to outpace the national recovery, with the state's employment surpassing pre-recession levels in September 2011. Texas experienced solid employment growth across all industries in 2013, as goods-producing industry growth of 3.7% outpaced the 2.8% growth in service-producing industries. The state's three largest employment sectors, education and health services, professional and business services and trade, represented approximately 47% of the state's workers. Strong employment and expanded labor force participation, together with positive demographic trends, created strong demand for housing and increases in the state's housing prices and home

sales. As of February 2014, the state's 5.7% unemployment rate was down from 6.5% in February 2013 and well below the February 2014 national rate of 6.7%. According to the S&P/Case-Shiller Index, housing prices in Dallas posted a year-over-year increase of 10.0% as of January 2014 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared), putting home prices there less than 1% away from their all-time highs. On the fiscal front, Texas continued to benefit from strong revenue growth, and the state's Fiscal 2014-2015 biennium budget was able to restore some previous budget cuts.

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

Texas state sales tax collections represent more than half of the state's general revenues, and Fiscal 2013 collections were 7.2% higher than those in Fiscal 2012, with January 2014 receipts coming in 8.3% higher than those of January 2013. S&P upgraded its Texas GO rating to AAA from AA+ in December 2013, while Moody's and Fitch rated Texas GO debt at Aaa and AAA, respectively, with stable outlooks as of February 2014. For the twelve months ended February 28, 2014, municipal issuance in Texas totaled \$33.7 billion, a decrease of 11% from the previous twelve months. Texas continued to rank as the third largest state issuer behind California and New York.

What key strategies were used to manage these Funds during the twelve-month reporting period ended February 28, 2014?

As previously discussed, during the first part of this reporting period, debate over federal spending, uncertainty about the Fed's quantitative easing program and headline credit stories involving Detroit and Puerto Rico led to an unsettled environment and increased selling by bondholders across the fixed income markets. Although the second half of the reporting period brought stabilization and a municipal market rally driven by stronger demand and tight supply, municipal bond prices nationwide generally declined for the reporting period as a whole, while interest rates rose. During this time, we continued to take a bottom-up approach to discovering sectors that appeared undervalued as well as individual credits that had the potential to perform well over the long term and helps us keep our Funds fully invested.

During this reporting period, the Funds found value in diversified areas of the marketplace. In NAZ, we purchased a broad mix of sectors and maturities, including higher education credits for the University of Arizona and Arizona State University as well as bonds issued for Tucson water, the Salt River Project electric system, Yavapai Regional Medical Center, Mesa highways and tax increment financing (TIF) districts. All of our purchases consisted of Arizona paper, with the exception of business privilege tax bonds issued by the government of Guam (bonds issued by U.S. territories, such as Puerto Rico, Guam and Virgin Islands, are generally tax-exempt for investors in most states). These bonds, which were added to the portfolio in November 2013, have performed well since our purchase. In Michigan, despite the substantial drop in state issuance during this reporting period, we continued to find bonds that helped us accomplish our goals for NUM, adding several higher education issues (University of Michigan, Michigan State University and Western Michigan University), water and sewer bonds issued for Michigan Clean Water and Lake St. Clair, as well as Michigan Public Power Agency, Wayne County Airport, Oakwood Healthcare and Michigan State Trunk Line dedicated tax bonds. NUM also purchased a state appropriation issue and a local government obligation (GO) bond. In Ohio, NUO found value in health care, local GOs, water and sewer (Cleveland and Toledo), Cleveland Airport, Ohio Turnpike and Cleveland income tax revenue bonds. Our purchases in NTX during this reporting period focused on local and state GOs, dedicated tax (including hotel occupancy tax) bonds, utilities, higher education, charter schools and health care. All of our purchases in the Michigan, Ohio and Texas Funds represented in-state paper.

Overall, one of our key areas of focus during this reporting period was reducing the Funds' exposure to Puerto Rico and other territorial paper, based on the credit situation in Puerto Rico. (Further information on developments in Puerto Rico and our Puerto Rico holdings can be found later in this report.) Activity during this reporting period was driven primarily by the reinvestment of proceeds from our sales of Puerto Rico bonds as well as proceeds from called and matured bonds. This reinvestment activity was aimed at keeping the Funds fully invested. More broadly, we focused on adding attractive bonds across the credit quality spectrum as opportunities to purchase bonds with long term potential arose. While market action during this reporting period acted to extend the Funds' durations naturally, we continued to find value in bonds in the intermediate and longer parts of the municipal yield curve, basically maintaining duration within targeted objectives. Because the issuance of new municipal supply in the primary market

generally declined during this reporting period, especially in Arizona and Michigan, we also looked to the secondary market as an additional source of attractive opportunities.

As of February 28, 2014, all four of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancement. During this reporting period, NAZ found it advantageous to add a new inverse floating rate trust following its merger with three Nuveen Arizona Funds (NFZ, NKR, NXE) in April 2013.

How did the Funds perform for the twelve-month reporting period ended February 28, 2014?

The tables in each Fund's Performance Overview and Holding Summaries section of this report provide the Funds' total returns for the one-year, five-year and ten-year periods ended February 28, 2014. Each Fund's returns on common share net asset value (NAV) are compared with the performance of corresponding market index and Lipper classification average.

For the twelve months ended February 28, 2014, the total returns on common share NAV for these four Funds underperformed the returns for their respective state's S&P Municipal Bond Index as well as that of the national S&P Municipal Bond Index. For the same period, NUM and NTX outperformed the average return for the Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification, while NAZ and NUO performed in line with this Lipper average.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns during this reporting period included duration and yield curve positioning, credit exposure and sector allocation. The use of leverage also was an important factor affecting the Funds' performance. Leverage is discussed in more detail later in this report.

As interest rates rose and the yield curve steepened, municipal bonds with shorter maturities generally outperformed those with longer maturities. Overall, credits with short intermediate maturities (between two and six years) posted the best returns, while bonds at the longest end of the municipal yield curve produced the weakest results. In general, the Funds' durations and yield curve positioning were key detractors from their performance during this reporting period. All of these Funds tended to be overweighted in the longer parts of the yield curve that underperformed and generally underweighted in the outperforming shorter end of the curve. This was especially true in NUO, which had the longest duration among these four Funds, while duration was a modest negative in NTX, which had the shortest duration among this group.

Credit exposure was another factor in the Funds' performance during this twelve-month reporting period. While performance by credit sector varied from state to state, in general the BBB-rated category (with the exception of Puerto Rico bonds) and non-rated bonds outperformed the general municipal market, as the environment shifted from tradeoff to rally and investors became more willing to accept risk. Overall, credit exposure contributed positively to NUM and NTX, was a neutral to slightly negative factor in NUO and generally detracted from NAZ's performance.

Among the municipal market sectors, housing bonds generally were the top performers, helped by improving property value assessments and a decline in mortgage and tax delinquencies. Tied to this was the performance of TIF district credits, which benefited from the improving housing market and overall economy. In particular, NAZ received a positive contribution from its overweighting in TIF bonds. Pre-refunded bonds, which are often backed by U.S. Treasury securities, also were among the best performing market segments. The outperformance of these bonds relative to the market can be attributed primarily to their shorter effective maturities. All of these Funds had holdings of pre-refunded bonds, with NTX having the heaviest allocation of these bonds and NAZ the smallest. Other holdings that generally made positive contributions to the Funds' returns included health care bonds (including hospitals) and GO credits, which typically outperformed the general municipal market, while industrial development revenue (IDR), education and water and sewer bonds generally performed in line with the market.

In contrast, revenue bonds as a whole underperformed the municipal market. Among the revenue sectors that generally lagged municipal market performance by the widest margins for this reporting period were utilities and transportation. Dedicated tax bonds, including the sales tax bonds issued by Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation (COFINA), also generally detracted from the Funds' performance and tobacco credits backed by the 1998 master tobacco settlement agreement were among the poorest performing market sectors, due in part to their longer effective durations. All of these Funds except NUM had allocations of tobacco bonds issued by The Children's Trust

Fund (Puerto Rico), while NUM and NUO held Michigan and Buckeye tobacco bonds, respectively. During this reporting period, NUO and NTX sold out of their positions in Puerto Rico tobacco bonds. NAZ was also negatively impacted by its holding of Arizona Higher Education Student Loan auction rate bonds, which had essentially been illiquid since the financial crisis began in 2008. These bonds were eventually called by the issuer in July 2013.

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

Over the twelve-month reporting period ended February 28, 2014, two events in the broader municipal market also had an impact on the Funds' holdings and performance: the downgrade of Puerto Rico bonds to below investment grade and the bankruptcy filing of Detroit, Michigan. In Puerto Rico, the commonwealth's continued economic weakening, escalating debt service obligations and longstanding inability to deliver a balanced budget led to multiple downgrades on its debt over the past twelve months. Following the most recent round of rating reductions in early February 2014, the three major rating agencies Moody's, S&P and Fitch Ratings rated Puerto Rico GO debt at Ba2/BB+/BB, respectively, with negative outlooks. Ratings on sales tax bonds issued by COFINA also were lowered during the past twelve months, with senior sales tax revenue bonds rated Baa1/AA-/AA- and subordinate sales tax revenue bonds rated Baa2/A+/A+ by Moody's, S&P and Fitch, respectively, as of February 2014. The COFINA bonds were able to maintain a higher credit rating than the GOs because, unlike the revenue streams supporting some Puerto Rican issues, the sales taxes supporting the COFINA bonds cannot be diverted and used to support Puerto Rico's GO bonds.

For the reporting period ended February 28, 2014, Puerto Rico paper underperformed the municipal market as a whole. All of the Funds in this report had limited exposure to Puerto Rico bonds, and the impact on performance differed from Fund to Fund in line with the type and amount of its holdings. These bonds were originally added to our portfolios at times when in-state paper was scarce in order to keep the assets fully invested and working for the Funds. The Puerto Rico credits offered higher yields, added diversification and triple exemption (i.e., exemption from federal, state and local taxes). During this reporting period, these four Funds took advantage of opportunities to trim or close out positions in Puerto Rico paper. NAZ, which began this reporting period with an allocation of 4.9% to Puerto Rico debt, reduced its holdings of COFINA subordinate sales tax bonds and sold credits issued by the Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewerage Authority and Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, reducing its Puerto Rico exposure to 1.1% by period end. NUM also reduced its Puerto Rico allocation from 2.55% at the beginning of the reporting period to 0.98% at the period end by selling COFINA senior sales tax credits and aqueduct bonds as well as those issued for the Puerto Rico co-generation facility. After period end, NUM sold pre-refunded Puerto Rico bonds, its last remaining position in Puerto Rico paper, bringing its allocation to zero. By period end, NUO also closed out all of its positions in Puerto Rico, which accounted for 3.84% of the portfolio on March 1, 2013, selling COFINA subordinates, aqueduct and pre-refunded bonds. NUM and NUO also sold Guam and Virgin Islands holdings during the reporting period. As of February 28, 2014, the only territorial holding remaining in these two Funds was NUO's position in two Guam issues totaling \$2.8 million. In NTX, we sold the Fund's holdings of The Children's Trust Fund tobacco bonds, reducing NTX's Puerto Rico exposure from 0.96% to zero. A look at Puerto Rico's tax-supported debt (GO, COFINA and guaranteed debt) as a whole makes it clear that the commonwealth's debt was structured based on an assumption of a steadily growing economy. Unfortunately for Puerto Rico, its economy continues to struggle with high unemployment and population loss, among other problems. As a result, we believe that Puerto Rico bonds that lack a lien on specific revenues (e.g., COFINA sales tax bonds) or that are not backed by healthy bond insurers currently carry significant economic, fiscal and political risks.

The second event was the City of Detroit's filing for Chapter 9 in federal bankruptcy court on July 18, 2013. Detroit, burdened by decades of population loss, changes in the auto manufacturing industry and significant tax base deterioration, had been under severe financial stress for an extended period. Detroit's bankruptcy filing will likely be a lengthy one, given the complexity of its debt portfolio, number of creditors, numerous union contracts and significant legal questions that must be addressed. Shareholders of NUM should note that this Fund has no exposure to Detroit GO bonds. Its holdings of Detroit water and sewer credits, which generally are insured, underperformed for the reporting period.

FUND REORGANIZATIONS

Effective before the opening of business on April 8, 2013, certain Arizona Funds (the Acquired Funds) were reorganized into one, larger Arizona Fund included in this report (the Acquiring Fund) as follows:

Acquired Funds	Symbol	Acquiring Fund	Symbol
Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund	NFZ	Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund	NAZ
Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2	NKR	-	
Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3	NXE		

Effective before the opening of business on April 8, 2013, certain Ohio Funds (the Acquired Funds) were reorganized into one, larger Ohio Fund included in this report (the Acquiring Fund) as follows:

Acquired Funds	Symbol	Acquiring Fund	Symbol
Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund	NXI	Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund	NUO
Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2	NBJ		
Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3	NVJ		

Upon the closing of the reorganizations, the Acquired Funds transferred their assets to the Acquiring Funds in exchange for common and preferred shares of the Acquiring Funds and the assumption by the Acquiring Funds of the liabilities of the Acquired Funds. The Acquired Funds were then liquidated, dissolved, and terminated in accordance with their Declaration of Trust. Shareholders of the Acquired Funds became shareholders of the Acquiring Funds. Holders of common shares of the Acquired Funds received newly issued common shares of the Acquiring Funds, the aggregate net asset value of which was equal to the aggregate net asset value of the common shares of the Acquired Funds held immediately prior to the reorganizations (including for this purpose fractional Acquiring Funds shares to which shareholders would be entitled). Fractional shares were sold on the open market, and shareholders received cash in lieu of such fractional shares. Holders of preferred shares of the Acquired Funds received on a one-for-one basis newly issued preferred shares of the Acquiring Funds, in exchange for their preferred shares of the Acquired Funds held immediately prior to the reorganizations.

In conjunction with the reorganizations, a change-of-domicile reorganization was approved to convert NAZ and NUO from Minnesota corporations to Massachusetts business trusts. As a result, on April 8, 2013, the Funds' names were changed to Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund and Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund. The Funds' tickers remained unchanged.

Fund

Leverage

IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of the Funds relative to their comparative benchmarks was the Funds' use of leverage through their issuance of preferred shares and/or investments in inverse floating rate securities, which represent leveraged investments in underlying bonds. The Funds use leverage because our research has shown that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income, particularly in the recent market environment where short-term market rates are at or near historical lows, meaning that the short-term rates the Fund has been paying on its leveraging instruments have been much lower than the interest the Fund has been earning on its portfolio of long-term bonds that it has bought with the proceeds of that leverage. However, use of leverage also can expose the Fund to additional price volatility. When a Fund uses leverage, the Fund will experience a greater increase in its net asset value if the municipal bonds acquired through the use of leverage increase in value, but it will also experience a correspondingly larger decline in its net asset value if the bonds acquired through leverage decline in value, which will make the Fund's net asset value more volatile, and its total return performance more variable over time. In addition, income in levered funds will typically decrease in comparison to unlevered funds when short-term interest rates increase and increase when short-term interest rates decrease. Leverage had a negative impact on the performance of the Funds over this reporting period.

As of February 28, 2014, the Funds' percentages of effective and regulatory leverage are as shown in the accompanying table.

	NAZ	NUM	NUO	NTX	
Effective Leverage*	36.97	% 36.71	% 39.70	% 33.51	%
Regulatory Leverage*	32.56	% 33.75	% 33.28	% 32.31	%

* Effective leverage is a Fund's effective economic leverage, and includes both regulatory leverage and the leverage effects of certain derivative and other investments in a Fund's portfolio that increase the Fund's investment exposure. Currently, the leverage effects of Tender Option Bond (TOB) inverse floater holdings are included in effective leverage values, in addition to any regulatory leverage. Regulatory leverage consists of preferred shares issued or borrowings of a Fund. Both of these are part of a Fund's capital structure. Regulatory leverage is subject to asset coverage limits set forth in the Investment Company Act of 1940.

THE FUNDS' REGULATORY LEVERAGE

As of February 28, 2014, the Funds have issued and outstanding MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP) Shares, Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred (VMTP) Shares and/or Variable Rate Demand Preferred (VRDP) Shares as shown in the accompanying table.

	MTP Sha	ires			VMTP SI	hares	VRDP S	bhares
		Shares						
		Issued at	Annual			Shares Issued		Shares Issued
		Liquidation	Interest	NYSE		at Liquidation		at Liquidation
	Series	Value	Rate	Ticker	Series	Value	Series	Value
NAZ		\$—			2016	\$79,000,000 *		\$—
NUM		\$—			2016	\$159,000,000*		\$—
NUO		\$—				\$—	1	\$148,000,000*
				NTX				
NTX	2015	\$70,920,000	2.30 %	PRC		\$—		\$—

During the current reporting period, NAZ and NUM refinanced their respective MTP and VMTP shares with the issuance of new VMTP Shares, while NUO refinanced its MTP and VMTP shares with the issuance of VRDP Shares. Refer to Notes to Financial Statements, Note 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies for further details on MTP, VMTP and VRDP Shares.

Common Share Information

COMMON SHARE DIVIDENDS INFORMATION

The following information regarding the Funds' dividends is current as of February 28, 2014. Each Fund's dividend levels may vary over time based on each Fund's investment activity and portfolio investment value changes.

During the current reporting period, each Fund's monthly dividends to common shareholders were as shown in the accompanying table.

Per Common Share Amounts									
Ex-Dividend Date	NAZ	NUM	NUO	NTX					
March 2013	\$0.0640	\$0.0740	\$0.0800	\$0.0580					
April*	0.0640	0.0740	0.1079	0.0580					
May	0.0640	0.0740	0.0800	0.0580					
June	0.0640	0.0740	0.0800	0.0580					
July	0.0640	0.0740	0.0800	0.0580					
August	0.0640	0.0740	0.0800	0.0580					
September	0.0640	0.0740	0.0800	0.0580					
October	0.0640	0.0740	0.0800	0.0580					
November	0.0640	0.0740	0.0800	0.0580					
December	0.0640	0.0740	0.0800	0.0580					
January	0.0655	0.0740	0.0800	0.0580					
February 2014	0.0655	0.0740	0.0800	0.0580					
Ordinary Income Distribution**	0.0020	0.0013	0.0051	0.0004					
Market Yield***	6.15%	6.60%	6.51%	5.14%					
Taxable-Equivalent Yield***	8.95%	9.58%	9.56%	7.14%					

- * In connection with NUO's reorganization, the Fund declared a dividend of \$0.0279 per common share with an ex-dividend date of April 16, 2013, payable on May 1, 2013. This distribution was in addition to the Fund's monthly tax-free dividend of \$0.0800 with an ex-dividend date of April 3, 2013, payable on May 1, 2013.
- ** Distribution paid in December 2013.

*** Market Yield is based on the Fund's current annualized monthly dividend divided by the Fund's current market price as of the end of the reporting period. Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.3%, 31.1% and 31.9% for the Arizona, Michigan and Ohio Funds, respectively. The Texas Fund is based on a federal income tax rate of 28.0%. When comparing a Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

All of the Funds in this report seek to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect each Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned

more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's NAV. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of February 28, 2014, all of the Funds in this report had positive UNII balances, for both tax and financial reporting purposes.

COMMON SHARE REPURCHASES

During November 2013, the Nuveen Funds' Board of Directors/Trustees reauthorized the Funds' open-market share repurchase program, allowing each Fund to repurchase an aggregate of up to approximately 10% of its outstanding common shares.

As of February 28, 2014 and since the inception of the Funds' repurchase programs, the Funds have cumulatively repurchased and retired their outstanding common shares as shown in the accompanying table.

	NAZ	NUM	NUO	NTX
Common Shares Cumulatively Repurchased and Retired		185,000		
Common Shares Authorized for Repurchase	1,155,000	2,085,000	1,850,000	1,005,000

During the current reporting period, the Funds repurchased and retired their common shares at a weighted average price per common share and a weighted average discount per common share as shown in the accompanying table.

		NAZ	NUN	/[NUO		NTX
Common Shares Repurchased and Retired	—		24,300	_	_		
Weighted Average Price per Common Share Repurchased							
and Retired	—		\$12.63	_	_	—	
Weighted Average Discount per Common Share							
Repurchased and Retired			12.91	% -	_		

COMMON SHARE EQUITY SHELF PROGRAMS

During the reporting period, NTX was authorized to issue an additional 950,000 common shares through its ongoing equity shelf program. Under this program, the Fund, subject to market conditions, may raise additional capital from time to time in varying amounts and offering methods at a net price at or above the Fund's NAV per common share.

During the current reporting period, NTX sold common shares through its equity shelf program at a weighted average premium to its NAV per common share as shown in the accompanying table.

	NT	Х
Common Shares Sold through Equity Shelf Program	10,120	
Weighted Average Premium to NAV per Common Share Sold	1.35	%

OTHER COMMON SHARE INFORMATION

As of February 28, 2014, and during the current reporting period, the Funds' common share prices were trading at a premium/ (discount) to their common share NAV as shown in the accompanying table.

	NAZ	NUM	NUO	NTX
Common Share NAV	\$14.15	\$14.98	\$16.02	\$14.82
Common Share Price	\$12.79	\$13.45	\$14.75	\$13.54
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	(9.61)9	6 (10.21)%	6 (7.93)	% (8.64)%

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN OHIO QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR								
12-Month Average Premium/(Discount) to NAV	(8.56)%	(9.99)%	(6.51)%	(5.76)%
Nuveen Investments 15								

Risk Considerations

Fund Shares are not guaranteed or endorsed by any bank or other insured depository institution, and are not federally insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Fund common shares are subject to a variety of risks, including:

Investment, Price and Market Risk. An investment in common shares is subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of the entire principal amount that you invest. Your investment in common shares represents an indirect investment in the municipal securities owned by the Fund, which generally trade in the over-the-counter markets. Shares of closed-end investment companies like these Funds frequently trade at a discount to their net asset value (NAV). Your common shares at any point in time may be worth less than your original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions.

Leverage Risk. Each Fund's use of leverage creates the possibility of higher volatility for the Fund's per share NAV, market price, distributions and returns. There is no assurance that a Fund's leveraging strategy will be successful. Certain aspects of the recently adopted Volcker Rule may limit the availability of tender option bonds, which are used by the Funds for leveraging and duration management purposes. The effects of this new Rule, expected to take effect in mid-2015, may make it more difficult for a Fund to maintain current or desired levels of leverage and may cause the Fund to incur additional expenses to maintain its leverage.

Tax Risk. The tax treatment of Fund distributions may be affected by new IRS interpretations of the Internal Revenue Code and future changes in tax laws and regulations.

Issuer Credit Risk. This is the risk that a security in a Fund's portfolio will fail to make dividend or interest payments when due.

Interest Rate Risk. Fixed-income securities such as bonds, preferred, convertible and other debt securities will decline in value if market interest rates rise.

Reinvestment Risk. If market interest rates decline, income earned from a Fund's portfolio may be reinvested at rates below that of the original bond that generated the income.

Call Risk or Prepayment Risk. Issuers may exercise their option to prepay principal earlier than scheduled, forcing a Fund to reinvest in lower-yielding securities.

Inverse Floater Risk. The Funds invest in inverse floaters. Due to their leveraged nature, these investments can greatly increase a Fund's exposure to interest rate risk and credit risk. In addition, investments in inverse floaters involve the risk that the Fund could lose more than its original principal investment.

NAZ Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of February 28, 2014

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

Average Annual Total Returns as of February 28, 2014

	Average A					
	1-Ye	e		ear	10 - Y	ear
NAZ at Common Share NAV	(3.40)%	8.83	%	4.83	%
NAZ at Common Share Price	(13.52)%	9.04	%	2.62	%
S&P Municipal Bond Arizona Index	0.28	%	6.32	%	4.58	%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	(0.27)%	6.11	%	4.45	%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	(3.27)%	8.46	%	4.73	%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation		Portfolio Composition		Credit Quality	
(% of net assets)		(% of total investments)		(% of total investment exposure)	
Municipal Bonds	147.8%	Tax Obligation/Limited	27.1%	AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	13.0%
Floating Rate Obligations (1.7)%		Health Care	19.6%	AA	33.7%
Variable Rate MuniFund		Education and Civic	13.9%	٨	30.0%
Term		Organizations	13.970	A	30.0%
Preferred Shares	(48.3)%	Utilities	12.8%	BBB	11.9%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	2.2%	Tax Obligation/General	11.1%	BB or Lower	2.6%
		Water and Sewer	7.4%	N/R	7.5%
		U.S. Guaranteed	5.2%		
		Other Industries	2.9%		

NUM Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of February 28, 2014

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

Average Annual Total Returns as of February 28, 2014

	Average A	nnual				
	1-Ye	ear	5-Y	ear	10 - Y	lear
NUM at Common Share NAV	(2.76)%	7.86	%	4.83	%
NUM at Common Share Price	(8.00)%	11.42	%	4.12	%
S&P Municipal Bond Michigan Index	(0.31)%	6.55	%	4.44	%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	(0.27)%	6.11	%	4.45	%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	(3.27)%	8.46	%	4.73	%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation		Portfolio Composition		Credit Quality	
(% of net assets)		(% of total investments)		(% of total investment exposure)	
Municipal Bonds	151.1%	Tax Obligation/General	32.9%	AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	19.3%
Floating Rate Obligations	(2.1)%	Water and Sewer	13.5%	AA	56.7%
Variable Rate MuniFund Term		Health Care	13.3%	А	14.1%
Preferred Shares	(50.9)%	U.S. Guaranteed	7.7%	BBB	1.8%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	1.9%	Education and Civic Organizations	7.5%	BB or Lower	6.4%
		Tax Obligation/Limited	7.3%	N/R	0.6%
		Utilities	6.1%		
		Other Industries	11.7%		

NUO Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of February 28, 2014

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

Average Annual Total Returns as of February 28, 2014

	Average Annual					
	1-Ye	ear	5-Y	ear	10 - Y	'ear
NUO at Common Share NAV	(3.38)%	7.82	%	4.97	%
NUO at Common Share Price	(11.39)%	8.88	%	3.49	%
S&P Municipal Bond Ohio Index	0.26	%	6.96	%	4.32	%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	(0.27)%	6.11	%	4.45	%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	(3.27)%	8.46	%	4.73	%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation		Portfolio Composition		Credit Quality	
(% of net assets)		(% of total investments)		(% of total investment exposure)	
Municipal Bonds	150.1%	Tax Obligation/General	20.4%	AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	20.9%
Floating Rate Obligations	(2.9)%	Health Care	20.2%	AA	43.3%
Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares	(49.9)%	Tax Obligation/Limited	15.6%	А	20.4%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	2.7%	U.S. Guaranteed	15.2%	BBB	7.4%
		Water and Sewer	6.2%	BB or Lower	6.2%
		Consumer Staples	5.1%	N/R	0.2%
		Education and Civic Organizations	5.0%		
		Other Industries	12.3%		

NTX Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of February 28, 2014

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

Average Annual Total Returns as of February 28, 2014

	Average Annual					
	1-Ye	ear	5-Y	<i>ear</i>	10 - Y	lear
NTX at Common Share NAV	(2.11)%	7.76	%	4.88	%
NTX at Common Share Price	(11.03)%	6.17	%	4.30	%
S&P Municipal Bond Texas Index	0.18	%	6.31	%	4.67	%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	(0.27)%	6.11	%	4.45	%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	(3.27)%	8.46	%	4.73	%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation		Portfolio Composition		Credit Quality	
(% of net assets)		(% of total investments)		(% of total investment exposure)	
Municipal Bonds	149.8%	Tax Obligation/General	18.0%	AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	29.4%
Floating Rate Obligations	(2.7)%	U.S. Guaranteed	16.9%	AA	30.5%
MuniFund Term Preferred Shares	(47.7)%	Tax Obligation/Limited	12.3%	А	23.0%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	0.6%	Water and Sewer	12.1%	BBB	14.6%
		Utilities	10.3%	BB or Lower	2.0%
		Transportation	9.7%	N/R	0.1%
		Education and Civic Organizations	9.1%		
		Health Care	8.0%		
		Other Industries	3.6%		

Shareholder

Meeting Report

The annual meeting of shareholders was held in the offices of Nuveen Investments on November 26, 2013; at this meeting the shareholders were asked to vote on the election of Board Members.

	NAZ		NUM	
	Common and		Common and	
	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
	shares	shares voting	shares	shares voting
	voting together	together	voting together	together
	as a class	as a class	as a class	as a class
Approval of the Board Member	rs			
was reached as follows:				
William C. Hunter				
For	—	3,132,476	—	891,436
Withhold		459,782		280,975
Total	—	3,592,258	—	1,172,411
William J. Schneider				
For		3,132,476		891,436
Withhold		459,782		280,975
Total		3,592,258		1,172,411
Judith M. Stockdale				
For	12,511,499	_	- 16,779,809	
Withhold	902,308		- 1,153,241	
Total	13,413,807	_	- 17,933,050	
Carole E. Stone				
For	12,543,466	_	- 16,805,791	—
Withhold	870,341	_	- 1,127,259	
Total	13,413,807	_	- 17,933,050	—
Virginia L. Stringer				
For	12,573,864	_	- 16,799,993	
Withhold	839,943	_	- 1,133,057	
Total	13,413,807	_	- 17,933,050	

Shareholder Meeting Report (continued)

Approval of the Board	NUO Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class	NTX Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred Shares
Members was reached as				
follows:				
William C. Hunter		1.1.0		2 522 500
For		1,160	—	3,732,708
Withhold		1 1(0	—	1,643,275
Total	—	1,160	—	5,375,983
William J. Schneider		1 1 ()		2 722 709
For Withhold	—	1,160	—	3,732,708
Total		1,160	_	1,643,275 5,375,983
Judith M. Stockdale		1,100		5,575,965
For	14,376,252		11,941,265	
Withhold	442,447		1,852,606	
Total	14,818,699		13,793,871	
Carole E. Stone	14,010,077		15,775,071	
For	14,390,433		11,940,221	_
Withhold	428,266	_	1,853,650	
Total	14,818,699		13,793,871	
Virginia L. Stringer	, 0,0//		,,	
For	14,395,060		11,948,665	
Withhold	423,639		1,845,206	
Total	14,818,699	—	13,793,871	_

Report of

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Trustees and Shareholders of Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, and Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (the "Funds") as of February 28, 2014, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the periods indicated therein. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures of by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund, and Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund at February 28, 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each periods indicated therein, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Chicago, Illinois April 25, 2014

NAZ

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS –			
	147.8% (100.0% of Total Investments)			
	MUNICIPAL BONDS - 147.8% (100.0%)		
	of Total Investments)			
	Consumer Staples – 0.6% (0.4% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Puerto Rico, The Children's Trust Fund,			
	Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed			
\$ 1,035	Refunding Bonds,	5/14 at 100.00	BBB+	\$ 1,028,169
	Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33			
	Education and Civic Organizations –			
	20.6% (13.9% of Total Investments)			
	Arizona Board of Regents, Arizona State			
	University System Revenue Bonds,			
3,480	Refunding Bonds,	No Opt. Call	AA	3,710,585
	Series 2013A, 5.000%, 7/01/43			
	Arizona Board of Regents, University of			
	Arizona, Stimulus Plan for Economic			
1,400	and Educational	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,657,796
	Development Revenue Bonds, Series			
	2013, 5.000%, 8/01/21			
	Arizona Board of Regents, University of			
	Arizona, System Revenue Bonds,			
2,240	Tender Option Bond	No Opt. Call	AA	2,854,208
	Trust 4310, 18.341%, 6/01/20 (IF) (4)			
	Arizona State University, System			
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2005:			
2,705	5.000%, 7/01/20 – AMBAC Insured	7/15 at 100.00	Aa3	2,872,845
750	5.000%, 7/01/21 – AMBAC Insured	7/15 at 100.00	Aa3	796,433
	Glendale Industrial Development			
	Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds,			
2,000	Midwestern University,	5/22 at 100.00	A–	2,100,840
	Refunding Series 2007, 5.000%,			
	5/15/31			
3,775		5/20 at 100.00	A+	3,881,833

	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, Midwestern University,			
	Refunding Series 2010, 5.125%, 5/15/40			
910	Northern Arizona University, System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012, 5.000%, 6/01/41	6/21 at 100.00	A+	946,127
900	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Facility Revenue Bonds, Choice	9/22 at 100.00	BB+	782,757
900	Academies Charter Schools Project, Series 2012, 5.625%, 9/01/42	9722 at 100.00	DD+	162,151
	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Facility			
755	Revenue Bonds, fbo	7/22 at 100.00	BB-	748,756
	Brighter Choice Foundation Charter Middle Schools Project, Albany, New York, Series 2012,			
	7.500%, 7/01/42			
585	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Great Hearts	7/21 at 100.00	BB	582,332
505	Academies – Veritas Project, Series 2012 6.300%, 7/01/42		dd	562,552
745	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Painted Rock	7/20 at 100.00	N/R	715,416
	Academy Charter School Project, Series 2012A, 7.500%, 7/01/42			
	Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Lease Revenue			
3,675	Bonds, Rowan University Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 6/01/42	6/22 at 100.00	A+	3,743,171
	(UB) (4) Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School			
1,045	Revenue Bonds, Noah Webster Basic Schools Inc., Series 2004, 6.000%, 12/15/24	12/14 at 100.00	BBB-	1,048,835
745	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Carden	1/22 at 100.00	В	706,729
1-5	Traditional Schools Project, Series 2012, 7.500%, 1/01/42	1722 at 100.00	D	100,125
	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Paradise			
	Education Center Project, Series 2010:		- -	
745 200	6.000%, 6/01/40 6.100%, 6/01/45	6/19 at 100.00 6/19 at 100.00	BB+ BB+	705,448 189,334
200	0.10070, 0/01/ 13	0/17 at 100.00	T	107,554

Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Educational Revenue Bonds, Paradise	6/16 at 100.00	BB+	627,798
Education Center Charter School, Series 2006, 6.000%, 6/01/36			
Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Educational Revenue Bonds, Valley	7/18 at 100.00	Baa3	1,026,040
Academy Charter School Project, Series 2008, 6.500%, 7/01/38			
Sun Devil Energy LLC, Arizona, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Arizona			
State University Project,	No Opt. Call	AA-	291,675
Authority, Arizona, Lease Revenue Bonds, Arizona State University	7/14 at 100.00	N/R	1,499,895
Foundation Project, Series 2003, 5.000%, 7/01/34 – AMBAC Insured			
Tucson Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School			1 220 (22)
Revenue Bonds, Arizona Agribusiness and Equine Center Charter School, Series 2004A, 6.125%, 9/01/34	9/14 at 100.00	BB+	1,330,628
	 Authority, Arizona, Educational Revenue Bonds, Paradise Education Center Charter School, Series 2006, 6.000%, 6/01/36 Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Educational Revenue Bonds, Valley Academy Charter School Project, Series 2008, 6.500%, 7/01/38 Sun Devil Energy LLC, Arizona, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Arizona State University Project, Series 2008, 5.000%, 7/01/22 Tempe Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Lease Revenue Bonds, Arizona State University Foundation Project, Series 2003, 5.000%, 7/01/34 – AMBAC Insured Tucson Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Arizona Agribusiness and Equine Center Charter 	Authority, Arizona, EducationalRevenue Bonds, Paradise6/16 at 100.00Education Center Charter School, Series2006, 6.000%, 6/01/36Pima County Industrial DevelopmentAuthority, Arizona, EducationalRevenue Bonds, Valley7/18 at 100.00Academy Charter School Project, Series2008, 6.500%, 7/01/38Sun Devil Energy LLC, Arizona,Revenue Refunding Bonds, ArizonaState University Project,No Opt. CallSeries 2008, 5.000%, 7/01/22Tempe Industrial DevelopmentAuthority, Arizona, Lease RevenueBonds, Arizona State University7/14 at 100.00Foundation Project, Series 2003,5.000%, 7/01/34 – AMBAC InsuredTucson Industrial DevelopmentAuthority, Arizona, Charter SchoolRevenue Bonds, Arizona9/14 at 100.00Agribusiness and Equine Center Charter	Authority, Arizona, EducationalRevenue Bonds, Paradise6/16 at 100.00BB+Education Center Charter School, Series2006, 6.000%, 6/01/36Pima County Industrial DevelopmentAuthority, Arizona, EducationalRevenue Bonds, Valley7/18 at 100.00Baa3Academy Charter School Project, Series2008, 6.500%, 7/01/38Sun Devil Energy LLC, Arizona,Revenue Refunding Bonds, ArizonaState University Project,No Opt. CallAA-Series 2008, 5.000%, 7/01/22Tempe Industrial DevelopmentAuthority, Arizona, Lease RevenueBonds, Arizona State University7/14 at 100.00N/RFoundation Project, Series 2003,5.000%, 7/01/34 - AMBAC InsuredTucson Industrial DevelopmentAuthority, Arizona, Charter SchoolRevenue Bonds, Arizona9/14 at 100.00BB+Agribusiness and Equine Center Charter

Principal Amount		Optional Call		
	Description (1)	Provisions (2) Ra	tings (3)	Value
(000)	Education and Civic Organizations (continued)	1 10 VISIOIIS (2) Kd	ungs (5)	value
	Yavapai County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,			
\$ 825	· · · ·	3/21 at 100.00	BB+	\$ 894,911
+	Arizona Agribusiness and Equine Center Charter School,			+ • > • , >
	Series 2011, 7.875%, 3/01/42			
32,235	Total Education and Civic Organizations		3	3,714,392
	Health Care – 29.0% (19.6% of Total Investments)			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds,			
3,855	Banner Health Systems, Series	1/17 at 100.00	AA-	4,226,082
	2007A, 5.000%, 1/01/25			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds,			
7,730	Banner Health Systems, Series	1/18 at 100.00	AA-	8,188,080
	2008D, 5.500%, 1/01/38			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital System Revenue			
5,100	Bonds, Phoenix Children's	2/22 at 100.00	BBB+	5,103,111
	Hospital, Refunding Series 2012A, 5.000%, 2/01/42			
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue			
1,840		12/15 at 100.00	BBB+	1,852,162
	Network, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 12/01/37			
	Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue			
2,965	Bonds, John C. Lincoln Health	12/17 at 100.00	BBB+	2,951,331
	Network, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/42			
	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,			
6,100	Health Facility Revenue Bonds,	7/14 at 100.00	А	6,197,539
	Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2004A, 5.375%, 7/01/23			
	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,			
7,560	Health Facility Revenue Bonds,	7/17 at 100.00	А	7,766,690
	Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 7/01/32			
220	Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and	5/14 / 100.00		220.000
230	Environmental Control Facilities	5/14 at 100.00	AA+	230,998
	Financing Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Hospital de la			
	Concepcion, Series 2000A, 6.375%, 11/15/15			
	Scottsdale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,			
1 1 2 0	Hospital Revenue Bonds, Scottsdale	9/20 at 100.00	ΔΔ_	1,174,454
1,120	Healthcare, Series 2006C. Re-offering, 5.000%, 9/01/35 – AGC	7/20 at 100.00		1,1/7,7,7,7
	Insured			
	Show Low Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,			
	Hospital Revenue Bonds, Navapache Regional			
	Medical Center, Series 2005:			
1,415	5.000%, 12/01/25 – RAAI Insured	12/15 at 100.00	BBB	1,436,579
1,160		12/15 at 100.00		1,168,445
2,500		7/21 at 100.00		2,650,275
				,

	University Medical Center Corporation, Tucson, Arizona,			
	Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2011,			
	6.000%, 7/01/39			
	University Medical Center Corporation, Tucson, Arizona,			
	Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2013:			
200	5.000%, 7/01/19	No Opt. Call	BBB+	224,424
800	5.000%, 7/01/20	No Opt. Call	BBB+	895,184
	Yavapai County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,	•		
	Hospital Revenue Bonds, Yavapai			
	Regional Medical Center, Series 2013A:			
210	5.000%, 8/01/19	No Opt. Call	Baa1	234,778
1,000	5.250%, 8/01/33	8/23 at 100.00	Baa1	1,028,410
	Yuma Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital			
	Revenue Bonds, Yuma Regional Medical			
	Center, Series 2014A:			
	5.000%, 8/01/22	No Opt. Call		1,105,020
	5.250%, 8/01/32	8/24 at 100.00		1,047,400
45,785	Total Health Care		4	47,480,962
	Long-Term Care – 0.8% (0.6% of Total Investments)			
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Health Care Facilities			
550	Revenue Bonds, The Beatitudes	10/16 at 100.00	N/R	537,939
	Campus Project, Series 2006, 5.100%, 10/01/22			
	Tempe Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue			
780	Bonds, Friendship Village of Tempe	12/21 at 100.00	N/R	792,964
1 2 2 0	Project, Refunding Series 2012A, 6.000%, 12/01/32			1 220 002
1,330	Total Long-Term Care			1,330,903
	Tax Obligation/General – 16.4% (11.1% of Total Investments)			
2 1 40	El Mirage, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds Series 2012,	7/22 100.00		
2,140	5.000%, 7/01/42 – AGM Insured	7/22 at 100.00	AA-	2,247,770
1.0(5	Gila County Unified School District 10 Payson, Arizona,	7/10 / 100.00	• 2	1 442 100
1,265	School Improvement Bonds, Project	7/18 at 100.00	Aa3	1,443,188
	2006, Series 2008B, 5.750%, 7/01/28			
1 000	Maricopa County Elementary School District 83 Cartwright,	7/21 at 100.00		1 004 260
1,000	Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement, Project 2010, Series 2011A, 5.375%,	7/21 at 100.00	AA-	1,084,360
	7/01/30 – AGM Insured			
	1/01/50 = AOWI IIISUICU			

NAZ Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal Amount		Optional Call		
	Description (1)	Provisions (2) Rat	ings (3)	Value
(000)	Tax Obligation/General (continued)	1 10v1510115 (2) Kai	$\operatorname{mgs}(3)$	v aluc
	Maricopa County School District 6, Arizona, General			\$
\$ 1 020	Obligation Refunding Bonds, Washington	No Opt. Call	Aa2	1,137,514
ψ1,020	Elementary School, Series 2002A, 5.375%, 7/01/16 – AGM	no opi. Cui	1 1u 2	1,137,314
	Insured			
	Maricopa County School District 79 Litchfield Elementary,			
775	Arizona, General Obligation Bonds,	7/21 at 100.00	Aa2	893,265
	Series 2011, 5.000%, 7/01/23			,
	Maricopa County Unified School District 69, Paradise Valley,			
1,180	Arizona, General Obligation	No Opt. Call	Aa2	1,200,107
	Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.250%, 7/01/14 – FGIC	1		
	Insured			
	Maricopa County Unified School District 95 Queen Creek,			
1,200	Arizona, General Obligation Bonds,	7/18 at 100.00	A1	1,326,984
	Series 2008, 5.000%, 7/01/27 – AGM Insured			
	Mesa, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002,			
1,405	5.375%, 7/01/15 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	1,502,071
	Pima County Continental Elementary School District 39,			
1,370	Arizona, General Obligation Bonds,	7/21 at 100.00	AA-	1,574,212
	Series 2011A, 6.000%, 7/01/30 – AGM Insured			
	Pima County Unified School District 08 Flowing Wells,			
1,000	Arizona, General Obligation Bonds,	7/21 at 100.00	A+	1,081,820
	Series 2011B, 5.375%, 7/01/29			
	Pima County Unified School District 6, Marana, Arizona,			
1,750		7/21 at 100.00	A+	1,917,423
	Improvement Project 2010 Series 2011A, 5.000%, 7/01/25			
	Pinal County Unified School District 1, Florence, Arizona,			
4,530	General Obligation Bonds, Series	7/18 at 100.00	А	5,053,215
	2008C, 5.250%, 7/01/28			
	Scottsdale, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Preserve			
1.010	Acquisition Series 1999:	7/21 100.00		1 450 1 61
	5.000%, 7/01/32	7/21 at 100.00	AAA	1,458,161
	5.000%, 7/01/33	7/21 at 100.00	AAA	1,506,322
1,705	5.000%, 7/01/34	7/21 at 100.00	AAA	1,881,433
1.240	Yuma & La Paz Counties Community College District,	7/16 at 100.00	4-2	1 451 401
1,340	Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series	7/16 at 100.00	Aa3	1,451,421
24.250	2006, 5.000%, 7/01/21 – NPFG Insured		,	26,759,266
24,550	Total Tax Obligation/General Tax Obligation/Limited – 40.0% (27.1% of Total Investments)			20,739,200
	1ax Congation/Limited = 40.0% (27.1% Or Total investments)			

	Arizona Sports and Tourism Authority, Senior Revenue			
2 310	Refunding Bonds, Multipurpose Stadium	7/22 at 100.00	A1	2,406,581
2,510	Facility Project, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 7/01/36	1122 dt 100.00	711	2,700,501
	Arizona State Transportation Board, Highway Revenue Bonds,			
	Subordinate Refunding Series 2011A:			
1.275	5.000%, 7/01/16	No Opt. Call	AA+	1,415,518
	5.000%, 7/01/36	7/21 at 100.00	AA+	1,104,807
,	Buckeye, Arizona, Festival Ranch Community Facilities			, - ,
	District General Obligation Bonds,			
	Series 2012:			
345	5.000%, 7/15/27 – BAM Insured	7/22 at 100.00	AA	370,844
1,085	5.000%, 7/15/31 – BAM Insured	7/22 at 100.00	AA	1,133,651
	Centerra Community Facilities District, Goodyear, Arizona,			
639	General Obligation Bonds, Series	7/15 at 100.00	N/R	590,672
	2005, 5.500%, 7/15/29			
	Eastmark Community Facilities District 1, Mesa, Arizona,			
500	Special Assessment Revenue Bonds,	7/23 at 100.00	N/R	438,690
	Assessment District 1, Series 2013, 5.250%, 7/01/38			
	Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District,			
	Arizona, Special Assessment Bonds,			
	Montecito Assessment District, Series 2007:			
	5.700%, 7/01/27	1/17 at 100.00	N/R	427,593
471	5.800%, 7/01/32	1/17 at 100.00	N/R	442,636
	Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District,			
738	Goodyear, Arizona, Special Assessment	7/14 at 100.00	N/R	739,542
	Lien Bonds, Series 2001A, 7.875%, 7/01/25			
1 500	Goodyear, Arizona, Community Facilities General District 1,			1 (77 2(0)
1,500	Arizona, General Obligation	No Opt. Call	A-	1,677,360
	Refunding Bonds, Series 2013, 5.000%, 7/15/23			
	Government of Guam, Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series 2011A:			
510	5.000%, 1/01/31	1/22 at 100.00	А	524,795
	5.125%, 1/01/42	1/22 at 100.00	A	203,212
200	Government of Guam, Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series	1722 at 100.00	Π	203,212
1 500	2012B-1, 5.000%, 1/01/37	1/22 at 100.00	Δ	1,517,850
1,500	Greater Arizona Development Authority, Infrastructure	1722 dt 100.00	11	1,517,050
2,280	Revenue Bonds, Series 2006-1, 5.000%,	8/16 at 100.00	AA-	2,505,697
2,200	8/01/22 - NPFG Insured	0/10 u t 100.00	1111	2,505,077
	Greater Arizona Development Authority, Infrastructure			
1.550	Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%,	8/16 at 100.00	A1	1,687,392
1,000	8/01/23 - NPFG Insured			,,
	La Paz County, Arizona, Excise Tax Revenue Bonds,			
250	Judgement Series 2011A, 4.750%, 7/01/36	7/17 at 100.00	AA-	255,210
	-			

	Optional Call	
Description (1)	Provisions (2) Ratings (3)	Value
	1 10 Visions (2) Rutings (3)	v dide
e v v		\$
÷	7/23 at 100.00 AA	1,525,334
	1125 at 100.00 1111	1,525,551
	7/16 at 100 00 A2	3,105,981
	///o.ut 100.000	5,105,501
• • • •	7/17 at 100 00 N/R	660,885
	//// at 100.00	000,005
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
·	7/18 at 100 00 N/R	1,229,867
e e	110 at 100.00	1,229,007
· ·	7/14 at 100.00 N/R	2,193,509
	/// m 100.00	2,190,009
	7/21 at 100.00 AA-	- 330,126
	//21 at 100.00	550,120
• • • • •	7/16 at 100.00 N/R	1,368,495
		, ,
• • • • •	7/17 at 100.00 N/R	939,650
		,
	7/16 at 100.00 N/R	359,160
		, i
	No Opt. Call AA	1,194,940
-		
Facility Revenue Bonds,	No Opt. Call A+	2,601,425
JMF-Higley 2012 LLC Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 12/01/36	•	
Phoenix Mesa Gateway Airport Authority, Arizona, Special		
Facility Revenue Bonds, Mesa Project,	7/22 at 100.00 AA+	585,278
Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/38 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		
Pinal County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,		
Correctional Facilities Contract	4/14 at 100.00 BBB-	- 2,563,456
Revenue Bonds, Florence West Prison LLC, Series 2002A,		
5.000%, 10/01/18 – ACA Insured		
Pinetop Fire District of Navajo County, Arizona, Certificates of		
Participation, Series 2008,	6/16 at 102.00 A3	1,187,800
7.750%, 6/15/29		
	JMF-Higley 2012 LLC Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 12/01/36 Phoenix Mesa Gateway Airport Authority, Arizona, Special Facility Revenue Bonds, Mesa Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/38 (Alternative Minimum Tax) Pinal County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Correctional Facilities Contract Revenue Bonds, Florence West Prison LLC, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 10/01/18 – ACA Insured Pinetop Fire District of Navajo County, Arizona, Certificates of Participation, Series 2008,	Description (1) Provisions (2) Ratings (3) Tax Obligation/Limited (continued) Marana, Arizona, Pledged Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013, 5.000%, 7/01/33 7/23 at 100.00 AA Marana, Arizona, Tangerine Farms Road Improvement District Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 7/16 at 100.00 A2 4.600%, 1/01/26 7/16 at 100.00 A2 4.600%, 1/01/26 7/17 at 100.00 N/R Obligation Bonds, Series 2008 (Bank Qualified), 6.100%, 7/15/32 7/17 at 100.00 N/R Obligation Bonds, Series 2008 (Bank Qualified), 6.100%, 7/15/32 7/18 at 100.00 N/R Series 2008, 7.400%, 7/15/33 7/18 at 100.00 N/R Series 2004, 5.250%, 7/01/19 - 7/14 at 100.00 N/R Series 2004, 5.250%, 7/01/19 - 7/14 at 100.00 N/R AmBAC Insured Page, Arizona, Pledged Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 5.000%, 7/01/26 7/21 at 100.00 AA Palm Valley Community Facility District 3, Goodyear, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 7/16 at 100.00 N/R Bonds, Series 2007, 7.15/31 Palm Valley Community Facility District 3, Goodyear, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 7/16 at 100.00 N/R Bonds, Series 2007, 7.800%, 7/15/32 Parkway Community Facility District 1, Prescott Valley, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 7/16 at 100.00 N/R Series 2006, 5.300%, 7/15/31 Palm Valley Community Facility District 1, Prescott Valley, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, 7/16 at 100.00 N/R Series 2007, 5.800%, 7/15/32 Parkway Community Facilities District 1, Prescott Valley, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, 7/16 at 100.00 N/R Series 2006, 5.300%, 7/16/32 Phoenix (rivic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Transit Excise Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, 7/10/20 Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Facility Revenue Bonds, Mesa Project, 7/22 at 100.00 AA Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/38 (Alternative Minimum Tax) Pinal County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Secial Facility Revenue Bonds, Horence West Prison LLC, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 10/01/18 – ACA Insured Pinctop Fire District of Navajo County, Arizona, Certificates of Part

	275	Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, Guaranteed	7/14 at 100.00	BB+	210 927
	215	Government Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002D, 5.125%, 7/01/24	//14 at 100.00	DD+	210,837
		Regional Public Transportation Authority, Arizona,			
1	000.1	Transportation Excise Tax Revenue Bonds,	No Opt. Call	AA+	1,224,040
	,	Maricopa County Public Transportation Fund Series 2014,	, i f i f		, ,
		5.250%, 7/01/22			
		San Luis Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Municipal			
4	4,300	Facilities Excise Tax Revenue	7/15 at 100.00	A+	4,398,126
		Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/25 – SYNCORA GTY Insured			
		Scottsdale Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Excise			
3	3,000	Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series	No Opt. Call	AAA	3,643,110
		2006, 5.000%, 7/01/24			
_		Scottsdale Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Excise			
5	5,000	Tax Revenue Bonds, Water & Sewer	7/20 at 100.00	AAA	5,439,300
		Improvements Project, Series 2010, 5.000%, 7/01/36			
1	570	Tartesso West Community Facility District, Buckeye, Arizona, Limited Tax General Obligation	7/17 at 100.00	N/D	1 475 041
1	1,370	Bonds, Series 2007, 5.900%, 7/15/32	//1/ at 100.00	IN/K	1,475,941
		Tempe, Arizona, Transit Excise Tax Revenue Obligation			
4	1 000	Bonds, Refunding Series 2012,	7/22 at 100.00	ΑΑΑ	4,294,320
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	5.000%, 7/01/37	11 22 at 100.00	1 11 11 1	1,27 1,320
		Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Matching Fund Loan			
1	1,750	Notes Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien	10/20 at 100.00	BBB	1,795,850
		Series 2010A, 5.000%, 10/01/29			
		Vistancia Community Facilities District, Peoria, Arizona,			
3	3,145	General Obligation Bonds, Series	7/15 at 100.00	A1	3,228,280
		2005, 5.750%, 7/15/24			
		Watson Road Community Facilities District, Arizona, Special		N	1 505 050
1	1,579	Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series	7/16 at 100.00	N/R	1,587,053
		2005, 6.000%, 7/01/30 Westeerk Community Excilities District Buskeys Arizons			
1		Westpark Community Facilities District, Buckeye, Arizona,	7/16 at 100.00	N/R	012 010
1	1,000	General Obligation Tax Increment Bonds Series 2006, 5.250%, 7/15/31	7/16 at 100.00	IN/K	912,010
62	2.724	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			65,496,823
52	_,,1	Transportation -2.8% (1.9% of Total Investments)			
		Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien			
	180	Airport Revenue Bonds, Series	7/20 at 100.00	A+	185,659
		2010A, 5.000%, 7/01/40			

NAZ	Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund
	Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal Amount		Optional Call	
	Description (1)	Provisions (2) R	atings (3) Value
(000)	Transportation (continued)	110 (1510115 (2) 1	
	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Senior Lien		
	Airport Revenue Bonds, Refunding		
	Series 2013:		
			\$
\$ 1,785	5.000%, 7/01/30 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/23 at 100.00	AA- 1,919,303
	5.000%, 7/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/23 at 100.00	AA- 2,358,576
	Total Transportation		4,463,538
	U.S. Guaranteed – 7.7% (5.2% of Total Investments) (5)		
	Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Blood		
1,225	Systems Inc., Series 2004, 5.000%,	4/14 at 100.00	N/R (5) 1,230,451
	4/01/20 (Pre-refunded 4/01/14)		
	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,		
1,250	Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds,	No Opt. Call	N/R (5) 1,365,025
	Samaritan Health Services, Series 1990A, 7.000%, 12/01/16 –		
	NPFG Insured (ETM)		
	Maricopa County Unified School District 11, Peoria, Arizona,		
1,000	General Obligation Bonds, Second	7/15 at 100.00	AA-(5) 1,064,630
	Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/20 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15) – FGIC Insured		
	Maricopa County Unified School District 89, Dysart, Arizona,		
100	General Obligation Bonds, Series	7/14 at 100.00	AA-(5) 101,757
	2004B, 5.250%, 7/01/20 (Pre-refunded 7/01/14) – AGM		
	Insured		
	Maricopa County Union High School District 210 Phoenix,		
665	Arizona, General Obligation Bonds,	7/16 at 100.00	AA (5) 737,299
	Series 2006C, 5.000%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded 7/01/16) – NPFG		
	Insured		
	Maricopa County Union High School District 210, Phoenix,		
1,575	Arizona, General Obligation Bonds,	7/14 at 100.00	AA (5) 1,601,334
	Series 2004A, 5.000%, 7/01/20 (Pre-refunded 7/01/14) – AGM		
	Insured		
	Maricopa County, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Sun		
655	Health Corporation, Series 2005, 5.000%,	4/15 at 100.00	N/R (5) 689,453
a 100	4/01/16 (Pre-refunded 4/01/15)		
3,400		7/15 at 100.00	AA (5) 3,616,886

	Mesa, Arizona, Street and Highway User Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/24			
	(Pre-refunded 7/01/15) – AGM Insured			
	Mesa, Arizona, Utility System Revenue Refunding Bonds,			
630	Series 2002, 5.250%, 7/01/17 – FGIC	No Opt. Call	Aa2 (5)	728,929
050	Insured (ETM)	No Opt. Can	$\operatorname{Ad}_{2}(J)$	120,929
	Pronghorn Ranch Community Facilities District, Prescott			
300	Valley, Arizona, General Obligation	7/14 at 100.00	N/R (5)	307,002
500	Bonds, Series 2004, 6.400%, 7/15/29 (Pre-refunded 7/15/14)	//14 at 100.00	$\mathbf{N}\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{S})$	507,002
	Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Power Revenue			
1 335	Refunding Bonds, Series 1989O, 0.000%,	No Opt. Call	AA-(5)	1 194 131
1,555	7/01/17 - NPFG Insured (ETM)	rio opi. cui	111 (5)	1,171,151
12,135	Total U.S. Guaranteed		1	2,636,897
12,100	Utilities – 18.9% (12.8% of Total Investments)		1	2,000,000
	Apache County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,			
1.495	Pollution Control Revenue Bonds,	3/22 at 100.00	Baa1	1,493,640
1,190	Tucson Electric Power Company, Series 20102A, 4.500%,	5/22 at 100.00	Duui	1,199,010
	3/01/30			
	Arizona Power Authority, Special Obligation Power Resource			
	Revenue Refunding Crossover Bonds,			
	Hoover Project, Series 2001:			
1,000	5.250%, 10/01/15	No Opt. Call	AA	1,078,870
	5.250%, 10/01/17	No Opt. Call		1,739,895
	Maricopa County Pollution Control Corporation, Arizona,	•		
4,310	Pollution Control Revenue Refunding	6/20 at 100.00	Aa3	4,523,173
	Bonds, Southern California Edison Company, Series 2000A,			
	5.000%, 6/01/35			
	Mesa, Arizona, Utility System Revenue Refunding Bonds,			
370	Series 2002, 5.250%, 7/01/17 –	No Opt. Call	Aa2	427,032
	FGIC Insured			
	Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,			
3,335	Revenue Bonds, Tucson Electric Power	1/15 at 100.00	Baa1	3,408,737
	Company, Refunding Series 2008, 5.750%, 9/01/29			
	Pinal County Electrical District 3, Arizona, Electric System			
1,800	Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series	7/21 at 100.00	А	1,920,006
	2011, 5.250%, 7/01/36			
	Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power			
2,000	District, Arizona, Electric System	No Opt. Call	Aa1	2,107,720
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 1/01/35			
	Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power			
2,500	District, Arizona, Electric System	1/18 at 100.00	Aal	3,250,700
	Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 09-9W, 17.737%,			
	1/01/38 (IF) (4)			
	Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Arizona, Senior Gas			
	Revenue Bonds, Citigroup Energy Inc			
4 500	Prepay Contract Obligations, Series 2007:	No Ort Call	A	5 059 045
	5.500%, 12/01/29	No Opt. Call		5,058,045
	5.000%, 12/01/37	No Opt. Call		5,956,294
28,475	Total Utilities		3	0,964,112

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount	Description (1)	Drassisiana (2)	Ratings	Value
(000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
	Water and Sewer – 11.0% (7.4% of Total Investments)			
	City of Goodyear, Arizona Subordinate Lien Water and	7/21 at 100.00	AA–	\$ 536 500
	Sewer Revenue Obligations, Series 2011, 5.500%, 7/01/41	//21 at 100.00	AA-	\$ 536,590
	Cottonwood, Arizona, Senior Lien Water System Revenue			
	•	7/14 at 100.00	BBB+	1 000 342
1,005	Bonds, Municipal Property Corporation, Series 2004, 5.000%, 7/01/24 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	//14 at 100.00	DDD+	1,009,342
	Glendale, Arizona, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds,			
500	Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/28	7/22 at 100.00	AA	554,210
	Goodyear, Arizona, Water and Sewer Revenue Obligations,	1122 at 100.00	ΠΠ	554,210
	Series 2010, 5.625%, 7/01/39	7/20 at 100.00	A+	3,048,969
	Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,	1120 at 100.00	ΛT	5,0+0,707
	Water System Improvement Revenue	6/14 at 100.00	N/R	420,546
	Bonds, Chaparral City Water Company, Series 1997A,	0/14 at 100.00	1 1/ 1	420,340
	5.400%, 12/01/22 – AMBAC Insured			
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior			
	Lien Wastewater System Revenue Bonds,	7/14 at 100.00	AA+	1,016,120
1,000	Series 2004, 5.000%, 7/01/24 – NPFG Insured	//11 at 100.00	1111	1,010,120
	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior			
	Lien Water System Revenue Refunding			
	Bonds, Series 2001:			
	5.500%, 7/01/21 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	1,542,575
	5.500%, 7/01/22 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	1,284,639
	Pima County, Arizona, Sewer System Revenue Obligations,	I		, - ,
	Series 2012A, 5.000%, 7/01/26	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,710,405
	Pima County, Arizona, Sewer System Revenue Obligations,	I		,,
	Series 2014, 5.000%, 7/01/22	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,195,920
	Surprise Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona,	1		
	Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2007:			
	4.700%, 4/01/22	4/14 at 100.00	А-	1,003,360
	4.900%, 4/01/32	4/17 at 100.00	А-	1,976,069
	Tucson, Arizona, Water System Revenue Bonds, Refunding			
500	Series 2013A, 5.000%, 7/01/23	No Opt. Call	AA	597,356
	Yuma County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona,	-		
2,370	Exempt Revenue Bonds, Far West Water &	12/17 at 100.00	N/R	2,063,062
	Sewer Inc. Refunding, Series 2007A, 6.375%, 12/01/37			
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			
16,910	Total Water and Sewer			17,959,163
\$				
229,159	Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$230,625,676)		2	41,834,225
	Floating Rate Obligations $-(1.7)\%$			(2,755,000)
			(79,000,000)

Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (48.3)% (6) Other Assets Less Liabilities – 2.2%

3,555,605 \$ 163,634,830

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%

- (1)All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Rating below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- (4) Investment, or portion of investment, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations for investments in inverse floating rate transactions.
- (5)Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities.
- (6) Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 32.7%. (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
- (UB)Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 3 Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NUM

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS –			
	151.1% (100.0% of Total Investments)			
	MUNICIPAL BONDS – 151.1%			
	(100.0% of Total Investments)			
	Consumer Staples – 7.1% (4.7% of Tota	1		
	Investments)			
	Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance			
	Authority, Tobacco Settlement		_	
\$ 7,100	Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds,	6/17 at 100.00	В-	\$ 6,064,039
	Senior Lien Series 2007A, 6.000%, 6/01/34			
	Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance			
	Authority, Tobacco Settlement			
17,150	Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds,	6/18 at 100.00	BB–	16,202,632
	Series 2008A, 6.875%, 6/01/42			
24,250	Total Consumer Staples			22,266,671
	Education and Civic Organizations –			
	11.3% (7.5% of Total Investments)			
	Conner Creek Academy East, Michigan,			
	Public School Revenue Bonds, Series			
1,000	2007,	11/16 at 100.00	BB–	724,810
	5.250%, 11/01/36			
	Detroit Community High School,			
	Michigan, Public School Academy			
1,255	Revenue Bonds, Series 2005,	11/15 at 100.00	В	945,580
	5.750%, 11/01/30			
	Michigan Finance Authority, Public			
	School Academy Limited Obligation			
805	Revenue and Refunding	10/21 at 100.00	BB+	840,501
	Bonds, Detroit Service Learning			
	Academy Project, Series 2011, 7.000%,			
	10/01/31			
	Michigan Higher Education Facilities			
	Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue			
	Refunding Bonds,			
	Kettering University, Series 2001:			
1,685	5.500%, 9/01/17 – AMBAC Insured	9/14 at 100.00	N/R	1,687,191

1,150	5.000%, 9/01/26 - AMBAC Insured	9/14 at 100.00	N/R	1,105,518
	Michigan Public Educational Facilities			
	Authority, Charter School Revenue			
245	Bonds, American	12/17 at 100.00	N/R	229,538
	Montessori Academy, Series 2007,			
	6.500%, 12/01/37			
	Michigan State University, General			
	Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series			
5,000	2010C, 5.000%, 2/15/40	2/20 at 100.00	Aa1	5,213,050
	Michigan State University, General			
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A, 5.000%,			
2,790	8/15/41	8/23 at 100.00	Aa1	2,981,894
	Michigan Technological University,			
	General Revenue Bonds, Refunding			
2,175	Series 2012A,	10/21 at 100.00	A1	2,283,424
, i	5.000%, 10/01/34			
	University of Michigan, General			
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2014A, 5.000%,			
4,000	4/01/44	4/24 at 100.00	AAA	4,365,800
,	Wayne State University, Michigan,			, ,
	General Revenue Bonds, Refunding			
5,000	Series 2008, 5.000%,	No Opt. Call	Aa2	5,320,800
-)	11/15/35 – AGM Insured	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		- , ,
	Wayne State University, Michigan,			
	General Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A,			
3,700	5.000%, 11/15/40	11/23 at 100.00	Aa2	3,881,152
-,,	Western Michigan University, General			-,
	Revenue and Refunding Bonds, Series			
	2013:			
750	5.250%, 11/15/33 – AGM Insured	11/23 at 100.00	AA-	808,823
4,250	5.000%, 11/15/39 – AGM Insured	11/23 at 100.00	AA-	4,451,236
.,	Western Michigan University, General	11,20 00 100000		.,
	Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2011,			
500	5.000%, 11/15/31	11/21 at 100.00	A1	532,025
500	Total Education and Civic	11, 21 at 100.00		002,020
34,305	Organizations			35,371,342
51,505	Health Care -20.1% (13.3% of Total			55,571,512
	Investments)			
	Grand Traverse County Hospital			
	Financial Authority, Michigan, Revenue			
4,000	Bonds, Munson	7/21 at 100.00	AA–	4,205,320
4,000	Healthcare, Refunding Series 2011A,	//21 at 100.00	1 11 1	4,205,520
	5.000%, 7/01/29			
	Jackson County Hospital Finance			
	Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue			
1,800	Bonds, Allegiance	6/20 at 100.00	AA-	1,850,472
1,000	-	0120 at 100.00	AA-	1,030,472
	Health, Refunding Series 2010A,			
	5.000%, 6/01/37 – AGM Insured			
	Kent Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan Bayanya Bafunding Bonda			
	Michigan, Revenue Refunding Bonds,			
	Spectrum Health System,			

	Refunding Series 2011C:			
5,500	5.000%, 1/15/31	1/22 at 100.00	AA	5,824,555
2,000	5.000%, 1/15/42	1/22 at 100.00	AA	2,058,280
	Michigan Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue and Refunding Bonds,			
5,505	Crittenton Hospital Medical	No Opt. Call	BBB+	5,166,883
	Center, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 6/01/39	-		
	Michigan Finance Authority, Hospital			
	Revenue Bonds, Oakwood Obligated			
3,930	Group, Refunding Series	8/23 at 100.00	А	4,055,524
	2013, 5.000%, 8/15/31			

Principal		Optional Call	
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2) Ratings (3	3) Value
(000)	Health Care (continued)	Tiovisions (2) Ratings (.) value
	Michigan Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Oakwood		
	Obligated Group, Refunding Series 2012:		
	oongalea oroup, reranang ooros 2012.		\$
\$ 1.000	5.000%, 11/01/25	11/22 at 100.00	A 1,079,740
	5.000%, 11/01/26	No Opt. Call	A 1,070,740
	5.000%, 11/01/42	11/22 at 100.00	A 3,799,800
_ ,	Michigan Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sparrow		- , ,
3,000	Obligated Group, Series 2012,	11/22 at 100.00 A	+ 3,046,380
	5.000%, 11/15/42		
	Michigan Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Trinity Health		
9,650	Credit Group, Refunding Series 2011,	12/21 at 100.00 Aa	9,927,341
	5.000%, 12/01/39		
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue		
	Bonds, Henry Ford Health System,		
	Refunding Series 2009:		
150	5.000%, 11/15/20	11/19 at 100.00 A	.2 169,017
7,300	5.750%, 11/15/39	11/19 at 100.00 A	2 7,568,567
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue		
4,000	Bonds, MidMichigan Obligated	6/19 at 100.00 A	A- 4,264,320
	Group, Series 2009A, 5.875%, 6/01/39 – AGC Insured		
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue		
2,000	Refunding Bonds, Henry Ford Health	11/16 at 100.00 A	.2 2,001,720
	System, Series 2006A, 5.250%, 11/15/46		
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds,		
1,000	Trinity Health Care Group, Series	6/22 at 100.00 Aa	1,021,980
	2009C, 5.000%, 12/01/48		
	Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital		
3,640	Revenue and Refunding Bonds, William	8/19 at 100.00 A	.1 3,892,871
	Beaumont Hospital Obligated Group, Series 2009W, 6.000%,		
	8/01/39		
1 500	Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital	0/10 / 100 00	1 1 021 050
1,500	Revenue Bonds, William Beaumont	9/18 at 100.00 A	.1 1,831,050
60 725	Hospital, Refunding Series 2009V, 8.250%, 9/01/39		62,834,560
00,723	Total Health Care		02,854,500
	Housing/Multifamily – 5.4% (3.6% of Total Investments) Michigan Housing Development Authority, FNMA Limited		
2,675	Obligation Multifamily Housing Revenue	12/20 at 101.00 A	A 2,867,063
2,075	Bonds, Parkview Place Apartments, Series 2002A, 5.550%,	12/20 at 101.00 A	A 2,007,005
	12/01/34 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation		
845	Revenue Bonds, Breton Village Green	4/14 at 100.00 A	A- 854,278
040	Project, Series 1993, 5.625%, 10/15/18 – AGM Insured	,, i i ut 100.00 / I	1 001,270
	10101110 1990, 01020 /0, 10/10/10 /10/11 insured		

	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation			
1,285	Revenue Bonds, Walled Lake Villa	4/14 at 100.00	AA-	1,288,213
	Project, Series 1993, 6.000%, 4/15/18 – AGM Insured			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Multifamily			
	Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 1988A:			
1,395	3.375%, 11/01/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/14 at 101.00	AA	1,426,192
1,405	3.875%, 11/01/17 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/14 at 101.00	AA	1,435,699
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing			
2,300	Revenue Bonds, Series 2006D, 5.125%,	7/15 at 100.00	AA	2,320,010
	4/01/31 – AGM Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing			
325	Revenue Bonds, Series 2009A,	10/18 at 100.00	AA	339,755
	5.700%, 10/01/39			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing			
1,825	Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A,	10/20 at 100.00	AA	1,881,192
	5.000%, 10/01/35			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing			
1,725	Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A-2,	4/22 at 100.00	AA	1,726,087
	4.625%, 10/01/41			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing			
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2012D:			
	3.950%, 10/01/37	4/22 at 100.00	AA	1,956,672
	4.000%, 10/01/42	No Opt. Call	AA	892,650
16,930	Total Housing/Multifamily			16,987,811
	Housing/Single Family – 1.2% (0.8% of Total Investments)			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single Family			
2,825	Homeownership Revenue Bonds, Series	6/20 at 100.00	AA+	2,952,436
	2010C, 5.500%, 12/01/28 (Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single Family			
665	Homeownership Revenue Bonds, Series	6/21 at 100.00	AA+	681,977
	2011A, 4.600%, 12/01/26			
3,490	Total Housing/Single Family			3,634,413

NUM Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal		Optional Call	
Amount			
(000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2) Ra	ttings (3) Value
	Industrials – 0.2% (0.1% of Total Investments)		
\$ 500	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Republic Services Inc., Series	No Opt. Call	BBB+ \$500,930
\$ 500	2001, 4.250%, 8/01/31 (Mandatory put 4/01/14) (Alternative	No Opt. Call	DDD+ \$500,950
	Minimum Tax)		
	Tax Obligation/General – 49.7% (32.9% of Total Investments)		
	Ann Arbor Public School District, Washtenaw County,		
2 310	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,	5/22 at 100.00	Aa2 2,567,403
_,010	Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 5/01/29	0/22 W 100/00	1142 2,007,100
	Ann Arbor, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Court &		
2,200	Police Facilities Capital Improvement	5/18 at 100.00	AA+ 2,326,346
	Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/38		
	Battle Creek School District, Calhoun County, Michigan,		
100	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007,	5/17 at 100.00	Aa2 104,858
	5.000%, 5/01/37 – AGM Insured		
	Bloomfield Hills Schools, Oakland County, Michigan, School		
3,000	Building and Site General	5/23 at 100.00	Aaa 3,003,300
	Obligation – Unlimited Tax Bonds, Series 2013, 4.000%,		
	5/01/39		
	Byron Center Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General		
	Obligation Bonds, Series 2012:		
	4.000%, 5/01/32	5/21 at 100.00	AA- 1,000,880
500	4.000%, 5/01/33	5/21 at 100.00	AA- 496,995
	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry		
	Counties, Michigan, General Obligation		
1.000	Bonds, Series 2005:		
	5.000%, 5/01/25 – NPFG Insured	5/15 at 100.00	Aa2 1,042,210
2,250	5.000%, 5/01/26 – NPFG Insured	5/15 at 100.00	Aa2 2,344,973
4 257	Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry	5/17 -+ 100.00	
4,257	Counties, Michigan, General Obligation	5/17 at 100.00	Aa2 4,436,177
	Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 2008-1096, 8.085%, 5/01/32 – NIECC Insured (IE)		
	NPFG Insured (IF) Charlotte Public School District, Easton County, Michigan,		
875	General Obligation Bonds, Refunding	No Opt. Call	AA-1,035,046
075	Series 2012, 5.000%, 5/01/20	No Opt. Call	AA- 1,055,040
	001105 2012, 5.000 /0, 5/01/20		

	Comstock Park Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan,		
	General Obligation Bonds, School Building		
	& Site, Series 2011B:		
1,200	5.500%, 5/01/36	5/21 at 100.00	AA-1,299,180
2,190	5.500%, 5/01/41	5/21 at 100.00	AA-2,347,133
	Detroit City School District, Wayne County, Michigan, General		
	Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A:		
2,000	6.000%, 5/01/19 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa2 2,348,560
1,815	6.000%, 5/01/20 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa2 2,143,152
	6.000%, 5/01/21 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa2 1,273,295
	Detroit-Wayne County Stadium Authority, Michigan, Wayne	•	
	County Limited Tax General Obligation		
	Bonds, Building Authority Stadium Refunding Series 2012:		
1,040	5.000%, 10/01/19 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-1,163,614
	5.000%, 10/01/20 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-2,911,123
1,000	5.000%, 10/01/21 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-1,105,880
1,645	5.000%, 10/01/22 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-1,816,722
4,850	5.000%, 10/01/26 – AGM Insured	10/22 at 100.00	AA- 5,103,122
	Grand Rapids and Kent County Joint Building Authority,		
	Michigan, Limited Tax General		
	Obligation Bonds, Devos Place Project, Series 2001:		
8,900	0.000%, 12/01/25	No Opt. Call	AAA 5,991,747
3,000	0.000%, 12/01/26	No Opt. Call	AAA 1,913,880
100	0.000%, 12/01/27	No Opt. Call	AAA 60,981
5,305	0.000%, 12/01/29	No Opt. Call	AAA 2,926,769
	Grand Rapids, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Capital	•	
	Improvement Series 2007:		
860	5.000%, 9/01/24 – NPFG Insured	9/17 at 100.00	AA 961,145
2,000	5.000%, 9/01/27 – NPFG Insured	9/17 at 100.00	AA 2,175,000
	Holly Area School District, Oakland County, Michigan,		
1,650	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006,	5/16 at 100.00	Aa2 1,746,426
	5.125%, 5/01/32 – NPFG Insured		
	Kalamazoo Public Schools, Michigan, General Obligation		
3,185	Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 -	5/16 at 100.00	Aa2 3,427,761
	AGM Insured		
	Lake Saint Claire, Macomb County, Michigan, Clean water		
	Drainage District General Obligation		
	Bonds, Series 2013:		
1,000	5.000%, 10/01/25	10/23 at 100.00	AA+ 1,138,550
	5.000%, 10/01/26	10/23 at 100.00	AA+ 1,152,814

Principal Amount		Optional Call	
	Description (1)	Provisions (2) Ratings (3)	Value
(000)	Tax Obligation/General (continued)	110VISIONS (2) Runings (3)	varue
	L'Anse Creuse Public Schools, Macomb County, Michigan,		
\$ 200	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005,	5/15 at 100.00 AA	\$ 207,348
+	5.000%, 5/01/35 – AGM Insured		+ _ = + , = + = +
	Lincoln Consolidated School District, Washtenaw and Wayne		
2,505	Counties, Michigan, General	5/16 at 100.00 Aa2	2,682,730
	Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 – NPFG		, ,
	Insured		
	Lowell Area Schools, Kent and Ionia Counties, Michigan,		
2,160	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007,	5/17 at 100.00 Aa2	2,252,426
	5.000%, 5/01/37 – AGM Insured		
	Marshall Public Schools, Calhoun County, Michigan, General		
1,925	Obligation Bonds, Series 2007,	5/17 at 100.00 AA-	- 1,993,665
	5.000%, 5/01/30 – SYNCORA GTY Insured		
	Michigan Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Detroit City		
990	School District, Series 2012,	No Opt. Call A+	1,096,316
	5.000%, 6/01/20		
	Michigan State, General Obligation Bonds, Environmental		
4,000	Program, Refunding Series 2011A,	12/21 at 100.00 Aa2	4,719,600
	5.000%, 12/01/22		
	Michigan State, General Obligation Bonds, Environmental		
1,000		5/19 at 100.00 Aa2	1,141,000
2 500	Montrose School District, Michigan, School Building and Site		2 0 2 2 2 2 5
2,500		No Opt. Call Aa3	3,029,325
	5/01/22 – NPFG Insured		
2.045	Muskegon Community College District, Michigan, General	5/24 -+ 100.00	2 216 059
2,945	Obligation Bonds, Community Facility	5/24 at 100.00 AA	3,216,058
	Series 2013I, 5.000%, 5/01/38 – BAM Insured		
1 410	New Haven Community Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006,	5/16 at 100.00 Aa2	1,510,040
1,410	5.000%, 5/01/25 – AGM Insured	5/10 at 100.00 Aa2	1,510,040
	Oakland Intermediate School District, Oakland County,		
6,820	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,	5/17 at 100.00 Aaa	7,320,247
0,020	Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/36 – AGM Insured	5/1/ dt 100.00	7,520,247
	Oakridge Public Schools, Muskegon County, Michigan,		
1 595	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005,	5/15 at 100.00 AA-	- 1,662,325
1,070	5.000%, 5/01/22 – NPFG Insured	<i>c, 10 at 100.000</i> 1111	1,002,020
	Ottawa County, Michigan, Water Supply System, General		
	Obligation Bonds, Series 2007:		
4,330		8/17 at 100.00 Aaa	4,836,134
	5.000%, 8/01/30 – NPFG Insured (UB)		6,053,583
	Oxford Area Community Schools, Oakland and Lapeer		
1,100	Counties, Michigan, General Obligation	5/14 at 100.00 Aa2	1,107,392

	Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/25 - AGM Insured		
	Parchment School District, Kalamazoo County, Michigan,		
2,285	General Obligation Bonds, Tender Option	No Opt. Call	Aa2 2,398,062
	Bond Trust 2836, 11.047%, 5/01/15 – AGM Insured (IF)		
	Plainwell Community Schools, Allegan County, Michigan,		
750	General Obligation Bonds, School	5/18 at 100.00	Aa2 817,680
	Building & Site, Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/28 – AGC Insured		
	Port Huron, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding &		
	Capital Improvement Series 2011:		
1,585	5.000%, 10/01/31 – AGM Insured	10/21 at 100.00	AA- 1,679,846
640	5.250%, 10/01/37 – AGM Insured	10/21 at 100.00	AA- 675,392
	Port Huron, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series		
	2011B:		
530	5.000%, 10/01/31 – AGM Insured	10/21 at 100.00	AA- 561,715
800	5.250%, 10/01/40 – AGM Insured	10/21 at 100.00	AA- 842,656
	Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General		
500	Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series	No Opt. Call	AA- 590,055
	2012, 5.000%, 5/01/19	•	
	Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General		
1,000	Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%,	5/15 at 100.00	Aa2 1,039,940
	5/01/27 – AGM Insured		
	Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General		
2,100	Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%,	5/18 at 100.00	Aa2 2,224,782
	5/01/33 – AGM Insured		
	South Haven, Van Buren County, Michigan, General		
350	Obligation Bonds, Capital Improvement Series	12/19 at 100.00	AA- 377,423
	2009, 5.125%, 12/01/33 – AGC Insured		
	South Redford School District, Wayne County, Michigan,		
3,175	General Obligation Bonds, School	5/15 at 100.00	Aa2 3,285,966
	Building and Site, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/30 – NPFG		
	Insured		
	Southfield Library Building Authority, Michigan, General		
1,655	Obligation Bonds, Series 2005,	5/15 at 100.00	AA 1,720,240
	5.000%, 5/01/26 – NPFG Insured		
	Thornapple Kellogg School District, Barry County, Michigan,		
1,535	General Obligation Bonds, Series	5/17 at 100.00	Aa2 1,634,637
	2007, 5.000%, 5/01/32 – NPFG Insured		

NUM Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount			Ratings	X7 1
(000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/General (continued)			
¢ 2 (00	Trenton Public Schools District, Michigan, General	5/10 × 100.00		¢ 2 006 0 2 0
\$ 3,600	Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%,	5/18 at 100.00	Aa2	\$ 3,806,028
	5/01/34 – AGM Insured			
	Troy City School District, Oakland County, Michigan,			
2,275		5/16 at 100.00	Aa1	2,477,111
	5.000%, 5/01/19 – NPFG Insured			
	Van Dyke Public Schools, Macomb County, Michigan,			
	General Obligation Bonds, School Building			
	and Site, Series 2008:			
	5.000%, 5/01/31 – AGM Insured	5/18 at 100.00	Aa2	1,192,129
2,150	5.000%, 5/01/38 – AGM Insured	5/18 at 100.00	Aa2	2,231,872
	Wayne Charter County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,			
2,860	Building Improvements,	12/19 at 100.00	BBB–	3,090,402
	Series 2009A, 6.750%, 11/01/39			
	Wayne Charter County, Michigan, Limited Tax General			
	Obligation Airport Hotel Revenue Bonds,			
	Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, Series 2001A:			
1,500	5.500%, 12/01/18 – NPFG Insured	6/14 at 100.00	А	1,502,865
5,000	5.000%, 12/01/21 – NPFG Insured	6/14 at 100.00	А	5,003,100
6,125	5.000%, 12/01/30 – NPFG Insured	6/14 at 100.00	А	6,127,450
	Wayne Westland Community Schools, Michigan, General			
3,850	Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%,	11/14 at 100.00	Aa2	3,963,575
	5/01/17 – AGM Insured			
	Williamston Community School District, Michigan, Unlimited			
1,725	Tax General Obligation QSBLF Bonds,	No Opt. Call	Aa3	2,041,727
	Series 1996, 5.500%, 5/01/25 – NPFG Insured			
	Willow Run Community Schools, Washtenaw County,			
1,475	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,	5/21 at 100.00	AA-	1,536,891
	Refunding Series 2011, 4.500%, 5/01/31 – AGM Insured			
151,617	Total Tax Obligation/General		1	155,014,775
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 11.0% (7.3% of Total Investments)			
	Grand Rapids Building Authority, Kent County, Michigan,			
	General Obligation Bonds, Refunding			
	Series 2011:			
560	5.000%, 10/01/28	10/21 at 100.00	AA	599,172
	5.000%, 10/01/30	10/21 at 100.00	AA	528,585
	5.000%, 10/01/31	10/21 at 100.00	AA	526,585
	Grand Rapids Building Authority, Kent County, Michigan,			
1,000	Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds,	No Opt. Call	AA	1,091,320

	Series 1998, 5.000%, 4/01/16			
	Kalkaska County Hospital Authority, Michigan, Hospital			
170	Revenue Bonds, Series 2007,	No Opt. Call	А-	171,251
	5.125%, 5/01/14			
	Michigan Finance Authority, Unemployment Obligation			
4,730	Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2012B,	7/16 at 100.00	AAA	5,213,879
	5.000%, 7/01/22			
	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Facilities			
	Program, Series 2005II:			
1,600	5.000%, 10/15/30 – AMBAC Insured	10/15 at 100.00	Aa3	1,671,424
2,135	5.000%, 10/15/33 – AMBAC Insured	10/15 at 100.00	Aa3	2,237,694
	Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds,			
	Refunding Series 2006IA:			
7,000	0.000%, 10/15/27 – AGM Insured	10/16 at 58.27	AA	3,805,900
7,720	0.000%, 10/15/28 – AGM Insured	10/16 at 55.35	AA	3,887,869
1,500	0.000%, 10/15/30 - FGIC Insured	10/16 at 50.02	Aa3	666,795
8,040	5.000%, 10/15/36 – FGIC Insured	10/16 at 100.00	Aa3	8,412,976
	Michigan State Trunk Line Fund Refunding Bonds, Series			
	2009:			
1,100		11/21 at 100.00	AA+	1,251,976
1,160	4.000%, 11/15/32	11/21 at 100.00	AA+	1,159,188
1,300	5.000%, 11/15/36	11/21 at 100.00	AA+	1,391,273
	Taylor Brownfield Redevelopment Authority, Wayne County,			
1,930	Michigan, Tax Increment Bonds,	5/15 at 100.00	А	1,794,225
	Series 2005A, 5.000%, 5/01/34 – NPFG Insured			
40,945	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			34,410,112
	Transportation – 3.8% (2.5% of Total Investments)			
	Kent County, Michigan, Airport Revenue Bonds, Gerald R.			
230	Ford International Airport, Series	1/17 at 100.00	AAA	247,278
	2007, 5.000%, 1/01/32			

Principal Amount		Optional Call		
	Description (1)	Provisions (2) F	Patings (3)	Value
(000)	Transportation (continued)	110 (15)0115 (2) 1	(J)	value
	Wayne County Airport Authority, Michigan, Airport Revenue			
	Bonds, Detroit Metro Wayne County			
	Airport, Series 2012A:			
¢ 0 0 45	5 0000 10/01/02	No Out Call	٨	\$
	5.000%, 12/01/23 5.000%, 12/01/42 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call 12/22 at 100.00		2,583,111 4,127,080
4,000	Wayne County Airport Authority, Michigan, Revenue Bonds,	12/22 at 100.00	AA-	4,127,000
4,500	Detroit Metropolitan Airport,	No Opt. Call	А	5,000,580
	Refunding Series 2011A, 5.000%, 12/01/21 (Alternative	1		
	Minimum Tax)			
11,075	Total Transportation			11,958,049
	U.S. Guaranteed – 11.6% (7.7% of Total Investments) (4)			
015	Detroit, Michigan, Second Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%,	7/15 at 100.00	A (4)	972,727
915	7/01/30 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15) – NPFG Insured	//15 at 100.00	A (4)	912,121
	Lansing School District, Ingham County, Michigan, General			
500	Obligation Bonds, Series 2004,	5/14 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	504,270
	5.000%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14)			
	Livonia Public Schools, Wayne County, Michigan, General			
3,810	6	5/14 at 100.00	A1 (4)	3,842,537
	5.000%, 5/01/21 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14) – NPFG Insured Mayville Community Schools, Tuscola County, Michigan,			
3 880	General Obligation Bonds, School	11/14 at 100.00	Aa2 (4)	4,007,652
5,000	Building and Site Project, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/34	11,11 ut 100.00	1142 (1)	1,007,002
	(Pre-refunded 11/01/14) – FGIC Insured			
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving			
3,630	Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004,	10/14 at 100.00	N/R (4)	3,734,508
	5.000%, 10/01/19 (Pre-refunded 10/01/14)			
1.060	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Drinking Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004,	10/14 at 100.00	N/R (4)	1,090,517
1,000	5.000%, 10/01/23 (Pre-refunded 10/01/14)	10/14 at 100.00	$\mathbf{N}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{T})$	1,070,517
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue			
3,415	Refunding Bonds, St. John's Health	5/14 at 100.00	Aaa	3,428,523
	System, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 5/15/28 – AMBAC Insured			
	(ETM)			
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Chalsen Community Hospital			
	Chelsea Community Hospital, Series 2005:			
425	5.000%, 5/15/25 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	449,480
	5.000%, 5/15/30 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	1,692,160
	5.000%, 5/15/37 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	883,096
	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds,			
	Marquette General Hospital,			

	Series 2005A:		
4,435	5.000%, 5/15/26 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	N/R (4) 4,688,771
	5.000%, 5/15/34 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)	5/15 at 100.00	N/R (4) 2,833,350
	Plymouth-Canton Community School District, Wayne and		
2,000	Washtenaw Counties, Michigan, General	5/14 at 100.00	Aa2 (4) 2,017,080
	Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/26 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14) – FGIC Insured		
	Puerto Rico, Highway Revenue Bonds, Highway and		
4,100	Transportation Authority, Series 1996Y,	7/16 at 100.00	Aaa 4,598,642
	5.500%, 7/01/36 (Pre-refunded 7/01/16)		
	Walled Lake Consolidated School District, Oakland County,		
1,425	Michigan, General Obligation Bonds,	5/14 at 100.00	AA-(4) 1,437,797
	Series 2004, 5.250%, 5/01/20 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14) – NPFG		
	Insured		
34,710	Total U.S. Guaranteed		36,181,110
	Utilities – 9.2% (6.1% of Total Investments)		
	Lansing Board of Water and Light, Michigan, Steam and		
	Electric Utility System Revenue Bonds,		
	Series 2008A:		
	5.000%, 7/01/28	7/18 at 100.00	AA- 410,623
8,250	5.000%, 7/01/32	7/18 at 100.00	AA- 8,727,015
	Lansing Board of Water and Light, Michigan, Utility System		
	Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond		
	Trust 4700:		
	18.536%, 7/01/37 (IF) (5)	7/21 at 100.00	AA- 2,202,384
1,110	18.536%, 7/01/37 (IF) (5)	7/21 at 100.00	AA- 1,438,027
	Michigan Public Power Agency, AFEC Project Revenue		
4,530	Bonds, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 1/01/43	1/22 at 100.00	A2 4,634,417
	Michigan Public Power Agency, Revenue Bonds, Combustion		
	Turbine 1 Project, Series 2011:	1/21 100.00	
	5.000%, 1/01/24 – AGM Insured	1/21 at 100.00	AA- 1,935,613
	5.000%, 1/01/25 – AGM Insured	1/21 at 100.00	AA- 2,164,443
	5.000%, 1/01/26 – AGM Insured	1/21 at 100.00	AA- 2,354,596
290	5.000%, 1/01/27 – AGM Insured	1/21 at 100.00	AA- 311,411

NUM Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments (continued)

Principal Amount		Optional Call		
	Description (1)	Provisions (2) Rating	as (3)	Value
(000)	Utilities (continued)	Tiovisions (2) Rating	gs (3)	v aluc
	Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue			\$
\$ 3 630	Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company,	No Opt. Call	Δa3	4,523,416
φ 5,050	Series 1991BB, 7.000%, 5/01/21 – AMBAC Insured	No Opt. Call	1103	4,525,410
25 830	Total Utilities		,	28,701,945
25,050	Water and Sewer – 20.5% (13.5% of Total Investments)			20,701,915
	Detroit Water and Sewerage Department, Michigan, Sewage			
	Disposal System Revenue Bonds,			
	Refunding Senior Lien Series 2012A:			
500	5.250%, 7/01/26	7/22 at 100.00	BB+	499,065
	5.250%, 7/01/39	7/22 at 100.00	BB+	1,030,267
	5.000%, 7/01/39 – AGM Insured	7/22 at 100.00		3,394,615
- ,	Detroit, Michigan, Second Lien Sewerage Disposal System			-))
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A:			
1,085	5.000%, 7/01/30 – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 100.00	А	1,069,452
	5.000%, 7/01/35 – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 100.00	А	131,144
	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System			
4,000	Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.500%,	No Opt. Call	А	4,034,800
	7/01/29 – FGIC Insured	•		
	Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System			
1,965	Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%,	7/14 at 100.00	AA	1,966,336
	7/01/17 – AGM Insured			
	Detroit, Michigan, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Bonds,			
425	Second Lien Series 2006A, 5.500%,	7/18 at 100.00	AA+	431,086
	7/01/36 – BHAC Insured			
	Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds,			
5,350	Senior Lien Series 2011A, 5.250%, 7/01/41	7/21 at 100.00	BB-	5,194,690
	Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Second Lien Revenue			
305	Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.000%,	7/14 at 100.00	А	296,631
	7/01/34 – NPFG Insured			
	Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Second Lien Revenue			
10,100	Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%,	7/16 at 100.00	AA-	9,891,031
	7/01/34 – AGM Insured			
	Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Second Lien Revenue			
190	Refunding Bonds, Series 2006C,	No Opt. Call	AA-	186,865
	5.000%, 7/01/33 – AGM Insured			
	Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Senior Lien Revenue			
	Bonds, Series 2003A:			
1,500	5.000%, 7/01/25 – NPFG Insured	7/14 at 100.00	А	1,481,640

60	5.000%, 7/01/26 – NPFG Insured	7/14 at 100.00	А	59,182
	Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Senior Lien Revenue			
175	Bonds, Series 2003D. RMKTD, 5.000%,	No Opt. Call	А	170,377
	7/01/33 – NPFG Insured			
	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Sanitary Sewer System Revenue			
1,330	Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 1/01/30 -	7/15 at 100.00	AA+	1,387,150
	NPFG Insured			
	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Sanitary Sewer System Revenue			
1,190	Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 1/01/38	1/18 at 100.00	AA+	1,257,759
	Grand Rapids, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue			
2,605	Bonds, Series 2009, 5.100%, 1/01/39 –	1/19 at 100.00	AA	2,777,659
	AGC Insured			
	Michigan Finance Authority, State Revolving Fund Revenue			
	Bonds, Clean Water Series 2012:			
2,000	5.000%, 10/01/31	10/22 at 100.00	AAA	2,223,240
1,135	5.000%, 10/01/32	10/22 at 100.00	AAA	1,256,354
	Michigan Finance Authority, State Revolving Fund Revenue			
	Bonds, Clean Water Subordinate			
	Refunding Series 2013:			
1,945	5.000%, 10/01/22	No Opt. Call	AAA	2,333,786
3,200	5.000%, 10/01/25	10/22 at 100.00	AAA	3,705,088
	Michigan Finance Authority, State Revolving Fund Revenue			
2,000	Bonds, Clean Water, Refunding Series	No Opt. Call	AAA	2,419,920
	2012, 5.000%, 10/01/20			
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving			
580	Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004,	10/14 at 100.00	AAA	595,393
	5.000%, 10/01/19			
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving			
1,000	Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2005,	10/15 at 100.00	AAA	1,070,750
	5.000%, 10/01/19			
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving			
390	Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2010,	No Opt. Call	AAA	456,589
	5.000%, 10/01/26			
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Drinking Water			
90	Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004,	10/14 at 100.00	AAA	92,366
	5.000%, 10/01/23			

Principal Amount		Optional Call	Ratings	
	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
	Water and Sewer (continued)			
	Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Water Revolving Fund			
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2007:			
\$ 500	5.000%, 10/01/23	10/17 at 100.00	AAA	\$ 568,220
2,000	5.000%, 10/01/24	10/17 at 100.00	AAA	2,269,360
	North Kent Sewer Authority, Michigan, Sewer Revenue			
8,245	Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 11/01/31 -	11/16 at 100.00	Aa3	8,765,589
	NPFG Insured			
	Port Huron, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue			
	Bonds, Series 2011:			
500	5.250%, 10/01/31	10/21 at 100.00	А	528,645
1,500	5.625%, 10/01/40	10/21 at 100.00	А	1,594,065
	Saginaw, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds,			
700	Series 2008, 5.250%, 7/01/22 –	7/18 at 100.00	А	753,599
	NPFG Insured			
61,260	Total Water and Sewer			63,892,713
\$ 465,637	Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$453,490,441)		471,754,431	
	Floating Rate Obligations $-(2.1)\%$			(6,625,000)
	Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at			
	Liquidation Value – $(50.9)\%$ (6)		(1	59,000,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 1.9%			6,050,955
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%		\$	312,180,386

- (1)All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Rating below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities.
- (5) Investment, or portion of investment, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations for investments in inverse floating rate transactions. (6) Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 33.7%.

(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.

(IF) Inverse floating rate investment.

(UB)Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 3 – Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NUO

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS –			
	150.1% (100.0% of Total Investments)			
	MUNICIPAL BONDS – 150.1%			
	(100.0% of Total Investments)			
	Consumer Staples – 7.6% (5.1% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing			
	Authority, Ohio, Tobacco Settlement			
\$ 400	Asset-Backed Revenue	No Opt. Call	A1	\$ 431,420
	Bonds, Senior Lien, Series 2007A-1,			
	5.000%, 6/01/16			
	Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing			
	Authority, Ohio, Tobacco Settlement			
	Asset-Backed Revenue			
	Bonds, Senior Lien, Series 2007A-2:			
7,500	5.125%, 6/01/24	6/17 at 100.00	В-	6,482,100
18,995	5.875%, 6/01/47	6/17 at 100.00	В	15,747,615
26,895	Total Consumer Staples			22,661,135
	Education and Civic Organizations –			
	7.6% (5.0% of Total Investments)			
	Miami University of Ohio, General			
	Receipts Bonds, Series 2011:			
130	5.000%, 9/01/33	No Opt. Call	AA	139,537
1,960	5.000%, 9/01/36	9/21 at 100.00	AA	2,086,694
	Miami University of Ohio, General			
	Receipts Bonds, Series 2012:			
480	4.000%, 9/01/32	9/22 at 100.00	AA	486,398
1,000	4.000%, 9/01/33	9/22 at 100.00	AA	1,008,260
	Ohio Higher Education Facilities			
	Commission, General Revenue Bonds,			
3,150	Kenyon College, Series	7/16 at 100.00	A+	3,191,801
	2006, 5.000%, 7/01/41			
	Ohio Higher Education Facilities			
	Commission, Revenue Bonds,			
	Wittenberg University, Series 2005:			
1,150	5.000%, 12/01/24	12/15 at 100.00	B1	1,086,704
1,000	5.000%, 12/01/29	12/15 at 100.00	B1	903,620
	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities			
	Commission, General Revenue Bonds,			
2,420	University of Dayton,	12/16 at 100.00	А	2,590,997

	2006 Project, Series 2006, 5.000%,			
	12/01/30 – AMBAC Insured			
	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities			
	Commission, Revenue Bonds, Denison			
	University Project,			
	Series 2012:			
120	5.000%, 11/01/27	5/22 at 100.00	AA	134,158
590	5.000%, 11/01/32	5/22 at 100.00	AA	640,404
	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities			
	Commission, Revenue Bonds,			
1,250	University of Dayton, Tender	12/22 at 100.00	А	1,452,850
	Option Bond Trust 1144, 17.469%,			
	12/01/43 (IF) (4)			
	Ohio Higher Educational Facilities			
	Commission, Revenue Bonds,			
1,075	Wittenberg University, Series	6/14 at 100.00	B1	1,076,484
	2001, 5.500%, 12/01/15			
	Ohio State Higher Education Facilities,			
	Revenue Bonds, Case Western Reserve			
2,250	University, Series	12/16 at 100.00	AA-	2,338,065
	2006, 5.000%, 12/01/44 - NPFG Insured			
	Ohio State Higher Educational Facility			
	Commission, Higher Education Facility			
3,000	Revenue Bonds,	11/18 at 100.00	А-	3,267,690
	Xavier University 2008C, 5.750%,			
	5/01/28			
	Ohio State, Higher Educational Facility			
	Revenue Bonds, Otterbein College			
950	Project, Series	12/18 at 100.00	A3	1,027,150
	2008A, 5.500%, 12/01/28			
	Ohio University at Athens, General			
	Receipts Bonds, Series 2013, 5.000%,			
1,000	12/01/39	12/22 at 100.00	Aa3	1,054,680
	Total Education and Civic			
21,525	Organizations			22,485,492
	Health Care – 30.4% (20.2% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Akron, Bath and Copley Joint Township			
	Hospital District, Ohio, Hospital			
65	Facilities Revenue	11/14 at 100.00	Baa1	65,483
	Bonds, Summa Health System, Series			
	2004A, 5.500%, 11/15/34 – RAAI			
	Insured			
	Akron, Bath and Copley Joint Township			
	Hospital District, Ohio, Hospital			
3,000	Revenue Bonds,	5/23 at 100.00	A1	3,109,620
	Children's Hospital Medical Center,			
	Improvement Series 2013, 5.000%,			
	11/15/38			
1,950	Allen County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities	6/20 at 100.00	AA–	2,057,679
	Revenue Bonds, Catholic Healthcare			

	Partners, Series			
	2010A, 5.250%, 6/01/38			
	Butler County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities			
	Revenue Bonds, UC Health, Series			
3,500	2010,	11/20 at 100.00	BBB+	3,606,015
	5.500%, 11/01/40			

Principal		Optional Call	
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2) Ratings (3)	Value
(000)	Health Care (continued)	110visions (2) Ratings (3)	v alue
	Butler County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds,		\$
\$ 6,575	Cincinnati Children's Medical Center	5/16 at 100.00 Baa1	6,636,213
	Project, Series 2006K, 5.000%, 5/15/31 – FGIC Insured		
2 400	Fairfield County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds,	(/22 · 100.00 D 2	0 401 144
2,400	Fairfield Medical Center Project,	6/23 at 100.00 Baa2	2,421,144
	Series 2013, 5.000%, 6/15/43 Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Nationwide		
	Children's Hospital Project,		
	Improvement Series 2009:		
250	5.000%, 11/01/34	11/19 at 100.00 Aa2	260,953
2,615	5.250%, 11/01/40	11/19 at 100.00 Aa2	2,746,142
	Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Nationwide		
2,470	Children's Hospital Project,	11/18 at 100.00 Aa2	2,528,193
	Series 2008A, 5.000%, 11/01/40		
250	Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, OhioHealth Corporation, Series 2011A,	11/21 at 100.00 AA+	260,288
250	5.000%, 11/15/41	11/21 dt 100.00 / MA	200,200
	Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, OhioHealth		
4,480	Corporation, Tender Option Bond	11/21 at 100.00 AA+	4,848,704
	Trust 11-21B, 9.426%, 11/15/41 (IF) (4)		
	Hancock County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Blanchard		
3,225	Valley Regional Health Center, Series	6/21 at 100.00 A2	3,568,817
	2011A, 6.250%, 12/01/34 Lake County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Lake		
1 865	Hospital System, Inc., Refunding	8/18 at 100.00 A3	1,973,879
1,005	Series 2008C, 6.000%, 8/15/43	0/10 ut 100.00 110	1,975,079
	Lucas County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, ProMedica		
	Healthcare Obligated Group,		
	Series 2008D:		
	5.000%, 11/15/38	11/18 at 100.00 AA	
40	5.125%, 11/15/40	11/18 at 100.00 AA	41,297
3 965	Lucas County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, ProMedica Healthcare Obligated Group, Series	11/21 at 100.00 AA	4,459,991
5,905	2011A, 6.000%, 11/15/41	11/21 at 100.00 AA	4,439,991
	Miami County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding		
1,500	· · · ·	5/16 at 100.00	A 1,582,905
	Inc., Series 2006, 5.250%, 5/15/21		
	Middleburg Heights, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds,		
820	Southwest General Health Center	8/21 at 100.00 A2	843,304
	Project, Refunding Series 2011, 5.250%, 8/01/41		
	Montgomery County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2004A:		
3,700	5.000%, 5/01/30	5/14 at 100.00 A+	3,718,500
2,700		2, 1, at 100100 111	2,710,200

2,5005.000%, 5/01/325/14 at 100.00Muskingum County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds,2/23 at 100.006,105Genesis HealthCare System Obligated2/23 at 100.00Group Project, Series 2013, 5.000%, 2/15/442/23 at 100.00Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue1/17 at 100.0095Bonds, University Hospitals Health1/17 at 100.00System Inc., Series 2007A, 5.250%, 1/15/46 – BHAC InsuredOhio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, HospitalRevenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic1/17 at 100.00) BB+ 4,964,708) AA+ 102,244) Aa2 3,283,950
6,105Genesis HealthCare System Obligated Group Project, Series 2013, 5.000%, 2/15/44 Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue2/23 at 100.0095Bonds, University Hospitals Health System Inc., Series 2007A, 5.250%, 1/15/46 – BHAC Insured Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic1/17 at 100.00) AA+ 102,244) Aa2 3,283,950
Group Project, Series 2013, 5.000%, 2/15/44Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue95Bonds, University Hospitals Health1/17 at 100.00System Inc., Series 2007A, 5.250%, 1/15/46 – BHAC InsuredOhio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, HospitalRevenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic) AA+ 102,244) Aa2 3,283,950
Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue95Bonds, University Hospitals Health1/17 at 100.00System Inc., Series 2007A, 5.250%, 1/15/46 – BHAC InsuredOhio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, HospitalRevenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic) Aa2 3,283,950
95Bonds, University Hospitals Health1/17 at 100.00System Inc., Series 2007A, 5.250%, 1/15/46 – BHAC Insured0hio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, HospitalRevenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic1/17 at 100.00) Aa2 3,283,950
System Inc., Series 2007A, 5.250%, 1/15/46 – BHAC Insured Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic) Aa2 3,283,950
Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic	
Revenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic	, ,
,	, ,
Health System Obligated Group, Series 2008A:	, ,
3,000 5.000%, 1/01/25 1/18 at 100.00	
240 5.250%, 1/01/33 1/18 at 100.00) Aa2 260,947
Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital	
1,100 Revenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic 1/22 at 100.00) Aa2 1,163,866
Health System Obligated Group, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 1/01/38	
Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital	
Revenue Bonds, Summa Health	
System Project, Series 2010:	
1,500 5.750%, 11/15/40 – AGM Insured 5/20 at 100.00) AA-1,600,545
1,520 5.250%, 11/15/40 – AGM Insured 5/20 at 100.00) AA-1,578,596
Ohio State, Hospital Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds,	
1,500Cleveland Clinic Health System1/19 at 100.00) Aa2 1,665,975
Obligated Group, Series 2009A, 5.500%, 1/01/39	
Ohio State, Hospital Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds,	
Cleveland Clinic Health System	
Obligated Group, Tender Option Bond Trust 3551:	
875 20.338%, 1/01/17 (IF) No Opt. Call	l Aa2 1,138,235
5,350 65.066%, 1/01/33 (IF) 1/19 at 100.00) Aa2 7,717,910
Ohio State, Hospital Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds,	
1,640 Cleveland Clinic Health System No Opt. Cal	l Aa2 2,365,864
Obligated Group, Tender Option Bond Trust 3591, 65.224%, 1/01/17 (IF)	

Principal Amount		Optional Call		
	Description (1)	Provisions (2) Rat	ings (3)	Value
(000)	Health Care (continued)	1 10 (1310113 (2) Rat	ings (3)	v aruc
	Ohio State, Hospital Revenue Bonds, University Hospitals			
	Health System, Inc., Series 2013A:			
				\$
\$ 1,000	5.000%, 1/15/28	1/23 at 100.00	А	1,073,310
	5.000%, 1/15/29	1/23 at 100.00	А	2,135,840
	Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, MidCentral			
2,300	Health System Group, Series 2006,	11/16 at 100.00	А-	2,345,793
	5.250%, 11/15/36			
	Ross County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds,			
	Adena Health System Series 2008:			
1,425	5.750%, 12/01/28	12/18 at 100.00	А-	1,550,642
1,385	5.750%, 12/01/35	12/18 at 100.00	А-	1,485,260
1,000	5.750%, 12/01/35 – AGC Insured	12/18 at 100.00	AA-	1,064,820
	Wood County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Refunding and			
	Improvement Revenue Bonds, Wood County			
	Hospital Project, Series 2012:			
	5.000%, 12/01/37	No Opt. Call		2,566,885
	5.000%, 12/01/42	No Opt. Call		4,723,987
84,850	Total Health Care		ç	0,116,002
	Housing/Multifamily – 3.3% (2.2% of Total Investments)			
	Clermont County, Ohio, GNMA Collateralized Mortgage			
1,375	Revenue Bonds, S.E.M. Villa II Project,	8/14 at 100.00	Aaa	1,377,269
	Series 1994A, 5.950%, 2/20/30			
1 1 (5	Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio, Student	0/15 / 100.00		1 1 47 770
1,165	Housing Facility Revenue Bonds, Euclid	8/15 at 100.00	N/R	1,147,770
	Avenue Housing Corporation – Fenn Tower Project, Series			
	2005, 5.000%, 8/01/23 – AMBAC Insured Franklin County, Ohio, GNMA Collateralized Multifamily			
840	Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Agler	5/14 at 100.00	Aaa	843,730
040	Project, Series 2002A, 5.550%, 5/20/22 (Alternative Minimum	J/14 at 100.00	Add	843,730
	Tax)			
	Montgomery County, Ohio, GNMA Guaranteed Multifamily			
1 600	Housing Revenue Bonds, Canterbury	10/18 at 101.00	Aa1	1,673,152
1,000	Court Project, Series 2007, 5.500%, 10/20/42 (Alternative	10/10 at 101.00	1141	1,075,152
	Minimum Tax)			
	Ohio Housing Finance Agency, FHA-Insured Multifamily			
1,205	Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Madonna	6/16 at 102.00	AA+	1,210,194
-,•	Homes, Series 2006M, 4.900%, 6/20/48 (Alternative Minimum			, .,-,-
	Tax)			

	Summit County Port Authority, Ohio, Multifamily Housing		
3,390	Revenue Bonds, Callis Tower Apartments	9/17 at 102.00	AA+ 3,491,666
	Project, Series 2007, 5.250%, 9/20/47 (Alternative Minimum		
	Tax)		
9,575	Total Housing/Multifamily		9,743,781
	Industrials – 2.4% (1.6% of Total Investments)		
	Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio, Common		
2,055	Bond Fund Revenue Bonds, Cleveland	5/14 at 100.00	BBB+ 2,055,288
	Christian Home Project, Series 2002C, 5.950%, 5/15/22		
	Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio,		
785	Development Revenue Bonds, Bond Fund Program –	11/15 at 100.00	BBB+ 780,353
	Columbia National Group Project, Series 2005D, 5.000%,		
	5/15/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		
	Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, Ohio, Revenue		
3,495	Refunding Bonds, CSX Transportation Inc.,	No Opt. Call	Baa2 4,306,889
	Series 1992, 6.450%, 12/15/21	•	
	Western Reserve Port Authority, Ohio, Solid Waste Facility		
1,600	Revenue Bonds, Central Waste Inc.,	7/17 at 102.00	N/R 52,640
	Series 2007A, 6.350%, 7/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		
	(5)		
7,935	Total Industrials		7,195,170
,	Long-Term Care – 1.1% (0.7% of Total Investments)		, ,
	Franklin County, Ohio, Healthcare Facilities Revenue Bonds,		
895	Ohio Presbyterian Retirement	7/21 at 100.00	BBB– 949,711
	Services, Improvement Series 2010A, 5.625%, 7/01/26		
	Montgomery County, Ohio, Health Care and Multifamily		
2.220	Housing Revenue Bonds, Saint Leonard,	4/20 at 100.00	BBB- 2,361,503
_,	Refunding & improvement Series 2010, 6.625%, 4/01/40		,,
3.115	Total Long-Term Care		3,311,214
0,110	Materials -0.7% (0.5% of Total Investments)		0,011,211
	Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, Ohio, Port Revenue		
2,000	Bonds, Cargill Inc., Series 2004B,	No Opt. Call	A 2,094,600
2,000	4.500%, 12/01/15	rio opti ouri	11 2,00 1,000
	Tax Obligation/General – 30.6% (20.4% of Total Investments)		
	Barberton City School District, Summit County, Ohio, General		
125	Obligation Bonds, School	6/18 at 100.00	AA 137,226
123	Improvement Series 2008, 5.250%, 12/01/31	0/10 at 100.00	111 137,220
	mprovement Series 2000, 5.25070, 12/01/51		

Principal Amount		Optional Call		
	Description (1)	Provisions (2) Rat	tings (3)	Value
(000)	Tax Obligation/General (continued)	11011510115 (2) 144	.mgs (5)	Value
	Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, General Obligation			
	Bonds, Refunding & Improvements,			
	Series 2012:			
				\$
	5.000%, 12/01/26	6/22 at 100.00	Aaa	1,307,648
	5.000%, 12/01/28	6/22 at 100.00	Aaa	2,890,026
1,605	5.000%, 12/01/29	6/22 at 100.00	Aaa	1,808,787
	Cincinnati, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2012A:			
	5.000%, 12/01/31	12/20 at 100.00	AA+	2,168,270
875	5.000%, 12/01/32	12/20 at 100.00	AA+	960,575
• • • • •	Cleveland, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011,	10/10 100.00		0 101 000
2,000	5.000%, 12/01/29	12/19 at 100.00	AA	2,121,380
1 140	Columbia Local School District, Lorain County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School	11/21 at 100.00	A2	1,207,294
1,140	Facilities Improvement Series 2011, 5.000%, 11/01/39 – AGM	11/21 at 100.00	A2	1,207,294
	Insured			
	Columbus City School District, Franklin County, Ohio,			
	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006:			
	0.000%, 12/01/27 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	2,565,140
5,835	0.000%, 12/01/28 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	3,323,674
1 720	Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding	12/22 -+ 100.00		1 004 (20
1,730	Series 2014, 5.000%, 6/01/31 (WI/DD, Settling 3/11/14)	12/23 at 100.00	AAA	1,994,638
	Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series			
	2007:			
3.355	5.000%, 12/01/27	12/17 at 100.00	AAA	3,718,179
1,840		12/17 at 100.00	AAA	2,036,365
	Greenville City School District, Drake County, Ohio, General			
	Obligation Bonds, School			
	Improvement Series 2013:			
	5.250%, 1/01/38	1/22 at 100.00	AA	596,436
1,355	5.250%, 1/01/41	1/22 at 100.00	AA	1,448,671
1055	Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Construction &			
1,355	Improvement Series 2009,	12/19 at 100.00	Aa1	1,456,788
	5.125%, 12/01/36			
12 750	Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 –	6/17 at 100.00	۸ A	12 126 222
12,750	AGM Insured	0/17 at 100.00	AA-	13,436,333
	Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and Auglaize			
6,580		6/17 at 100.00	Aa3	6,970,589
0,000	Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%,			.,,
	12/01/34 – NPFG Insured			

	Kanston Lagel School District Course County Ohio Conoral		
2 160	Kenston Local School District, Geauga County, Ohio, General	No Ort Call	A a 1 1 702 050
2,100	Obligation Bonds, Series 2011, 0.000%, 12/01/21	No Opt. Call	Aa1 1,793,059
	Lakewood City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio,		
1.010	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007: 5.000%, 12/01/25 – FGIC Insured	12/17 at 100.00	A o 2 1 146 0 27
		12/17 at 100.00	Aa2 1,146,027 Aa2 842,146
115	5.000%, 12/01/30 – FGIC Insured	12/17 at 100.00	Aa2 042,140
2 620	Lucas County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2010, 5.000%, 10/01/40	10/19 at 100.00	A . 2 2 752 504
2,020	Marysville Exempted Village School District, Union County,	10/18 at 100.00	Aa2 2,753,594
1 120	Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,	12/15 at 100.00	AA- 1,213,326
1,130	Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/25 – AGM Insured	12/13 at 100.00	AA- 1,215,520
4 500	Middletown City School District, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding	No Opt Call	AD 5 212 070
4,300	Series 2007, 5.250%, 12/01/31 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	A2 5,213,070
1 500	Milford Exempted Village School District, Ohio, General	12/18 at 100.00	A ₀ 2 1 621 610
1,300	Obligation Bonds, Series 2008,	12/18 at 100.00	Aa3 1,631,610
	5.250%, 12/01/36		
1 205	Monroe Local School District, Butler County, Ohio, General	No Ort Call	$D_{aa}1 = 1.526.690$
1,305	Obligation Bonds, Series 2006,	No Opt. Call	Baa1 1,526,680
	5.500%, 12/01/24 – AMBAC Insured		
705	Napoleon City School District, Henry County, Ohio, General	(100 -+ 100 00	A-2 769.440
125	Obligation Bonds, Facilities	6/22 at 100.00	Aa3 768,449
	Construction & Improvement Series 2012, 5.000%, 12/01/36		
2 500	New Albany Plain Local School District, Franklin County,	10/00 + 100.00	
2,500	Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,	12/22 at 100.00	AA+ 2,364,575
	Refunding School Improvement Series 2013, 4.000%,		
	12/01/43		
2.665	Newark City School District, Licking County, Ohio, General	10/15 / 100.00	
3,665	Obligation Bonds, Series 2005,	12/15 at 100.00	Aa3 3,884,717
	5.000%, 12/01/28 – FGIC Insured		
2 200	Northmor Local School District, Morrow County, Ohio,	11/10 / 100.00	
2,300	ě	11/18 at 100.00	Aa2 2,431,123
	Construction and Improvement Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%,		
	11/01/36		
5 00	Oak Hills Local School District, Hamilton County, Ohio,	10/15 . 100.00	
500	General Obligation Bonds, Refunding	12/15 at 100.00	AA- 533,700
	Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/24 – AGM Insured		

Principal		Optional Call	
Amount			
(000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2) Ratings	(3) Value
	Tax Obligation/General (continued)		
	Ohio State, General Obligation Highway Capital Improvement		\$
\$ 1,000	Bonds, Series 2012Q, 5.000%, 5/01/28	5/22 at 100.00 A	AA 1,147,260
	Olentangy Local School District, Delaware and Franklin		
500	Counties, Ohio, General Obligation	6/18 at 100.00 A	A+ 538,100
	Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 12/01/36		
	Painesville City School District, Ohio, General Obligation		
1,510	Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%,	12/14 at 100.00	A1 1,558,954
	12/01/22 – FGIC Insured		
	South Euclid, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Real Estate		
5,000	Acquisition and Urban Redevelopment,	6/22 at 100.00 A	Aa2 5,263,900
	Series 2012, 5.000%, 6/01/42		
	South-Western City School District, Franklin and Pickaway		
2,250	Counties, Ohio, General Obligation	6/22 at 100.00	AA 2,432,250
	Bonds, School Facilities Construction & Improvement Series		
	2012, 5.000%, 12/01/36		
	Springboro Community City School District, Warren County,		
1,500	Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,	No Opt. Call	AA- 1,768,650
,	Refunding Series 2007, 5.250%, 12/01/32	1	, ,
	Strongsville, Ohio, Limited Tax General Obligation Various		
70	Purpose Improvement Bonds, Series	6/14 at 100.00 A	Aaa 70,349
	1996, 5.950%, 12/01/21		
	Sylvania City School District, Lucas County, Ohio, General		
700	Obligation Bonds, School	6/17 at 100.00	AA– 761,439
	Improvement Series 1995, 5.250%, 12/01/36 – AGC Insured		
	Vandalia Butler City School District, Montgomery County,		
1,000		6/19 at 100.00 A	Aa3 1,055,030
1,000	School Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 12/01/37	0,1) u 100,00	1,000,000
89,275	Total Tax Obligation/General		90,846,027
0,2,0	Tax Obligation/Limited – 23.5% (15.6% of Total Investments)		<i>y</i> 0,010,02 <i>i</i>
	Cincinnati City School District, Ohio, Certificates of		
125	Participation, School Improvement	12/16 at 100.00	AA- 134,101
125	Project, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/15/32 – AGM Insured	12/10 at 100.00	11 15 1,101
	Cleveland, Ohio, Income Tax Revenue Bonds, Bridges &		
	Roadways Improvements, Subordinate Lien		
	Series 2013A-2:		
1,315	5.000%, 10/01/27	10/23 at 100.00	AA 1,485,358
1,515	5.000%, 10/01/27		AA 1,672,471
1,520	5.000%, 10/01/30		AA 1,741,664
3,000	5.00070, 10/01/51		AA 3,198,270
3,000		11/23 at 100.00	J,190,270

	Cleveland, Ohio, Income Tax Revenue Bonds, Public Facilities			
	Improvements, Series 2014A-1, 5.000%, 11/15/38			
	Columbus-Franklin County Finance Authority, Ohio,			
50	0 Development Revenue Bonds, Hubbard Avenue	12/19 at 100.00	BBB	466,190
	Parking Facility Project, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 12/01/36	12,12,00,100,000	222	100,190
	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Recovery Zone Facility Economic			
6,75	0 Development Revenue Bonds, Medical	12/20 at 100.00	Aa2	7,353,582
	Mart- Convention Center Project, Series 2010F, 5.000%, 12/01/27			
	Delaware County District Library, Delaware, Franklin, Marion,			
30	0 Morrow and Union Counties, Ohio,	12/19 at 100.00	Aa2	317,886
	Library Fund Library Facilities Special Obligation Notes,			
	Series 2009, 5.000%, 12/01/34			
5.00	Franklin County Convention Facilities Authority, Ohio, Excise	10/15 / 100.00		5 220 450
5,00		12/15 at 100.00	Aaa	5,320,450
	Anticipation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/27 – AMBAC Insured			
	Government of Guam, Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series			
1 77	5 2011A, 5.125%, 1/01/42	1/22 at 100.00	А	1,803,507
1,77	Government of Guam, Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series	1, 22 u t 100.00	11	1,000,007
1,05	5 2012B-1, 5.000%, 1/01/42	1/22 at 100.00	А	1,063,440
	Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority, Ohio, Sales Tax			
	Supported Capital Improvement			
	Bonds, Refunding Series 2012:			
	0 5.250%, 12/01/27	12/21 at 100.00	AAA	1,151,077
	0 5.250%, 12/01/28	12/21 at 100.00	AAA	1,230,076
	0 5.250%, 12/01/30	12/21 at 100.00	AAA	847,727
60	0 5.000%, 12/01/31	6/14 at 100.00	AAA	651,102
	Hamilton County Convention Facilities Authority, Ohio, First			
1 08	Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2004: 5 5.000%, 12/01/18 – FGIC Insured	6/14 at 100.00	A+	1,096,631
	5 5.000%, 12/01/18 – FGIC Insured	6/14 at 100.00		1,090,031
1,71	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Bonds, Subordinate Lien,	0/14 dt 100.00	111	1,129,710
7,25		12/16 at 100.00	A+	7,691,453
,,	AMBAC Insured	12,10 00 100000		,,0,1,100
	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Bonds, Subordinate Series			
5,56	5 2000B, 0.000%, 12/01/28 –	No Opt. Call	AA-	2,931,809
	AGM Insured			
	Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding			
5,00	0 Series 2011A, 5.000%, 12/01/31	12/21 at 100.00	A+	5,297,850

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2) Rating	(2)	Value
(000)	Tax Obligation/Limited (continued)	Tiovisions (2) Raung	(5)	v alue
	Hudson City School District, Ohio, Certificates of			\$
\$ 1.750	Participation, Series 2012, 4.000%, 6/01/34 –	6/22 at 100.00	Aa3	1,699,460
1	NPFG Insured			, ,
	JobsOhio Beverage System, Ohio, Statewide Liquor Profits			
11,500	Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series	1/23 at 100.00	AA	12,081,900
	2013A, 5.000%, 1/01/38 (UB) (4)			
	JobsOhio Beverage System, Ohio, Statewide Senior Lien			
	Liquor Profits Revenue Bonds, Tender			
	Option Bond Trust 1157:			
	17.639%, 1/01/38 (IF) (4)	1/23 at 100.00	AA	210,278
	17.707%, 1/01/38 (IF) (4)	1/23 at 100.00	AA	1,503,000
875	17.707%, 1/01/38 (IF) (4)	1/23 at 100.00	AA	1,052,100
1 000	New Albany Community Authority, Ohio, Community	10/22 at 100.00	A 1	1 122 460
1,000	Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2012C, 5.000%, 10/01/24	10/22 at 100.00	A1	1,122,460
	Riversouth Authority, Ohio, Riversouth Area Redevelopment			
	Bonds, Refunding Series 2012A:			
1 645	5.000%, 12/01/23	12/22 at 100.00	AA+	1,882,357
	5.000%, 12/01/24		AA+	1,361,244
-,_ • •	Vermilion Local School District, East and Lorain Counties,			_,
	Ohio, Certificates of			
	Participation, Series 2012:			
765	5.000%, 12/01/24	No Opt. Call	Aa3	858,628
805	5.000%, 12/01/25	12/20 at 100.00	Aa3	895,715
67,680	Total Tax Obligation/Limited			69,551,502
	Transportation -6.1% (4.1% of Total Investments)			
	Cleveland, Ohio, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series			
2 150	2012A:	1/00 + 100.00	•	0 000 510
	5.000%, 1/01/30 5.000%, 1/01/31 – AGM Insured	1/22 at 100.00		2,209,512
1,300	Dayton, Ohio, Airport Revenue Bonds, James M. Cox	1/22 at 100.00	AA-	- 1,560,570
3,475		6/14 at 100.00	Δ	- 3,480,282
5,775	12/01/23 – RAAI Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	0/14 at 100.00	11	3,400,202
	Ohio Turnpike Commission, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series			
3,550	1998A, 5.500%, 2/15/18 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	4,198,195
	Ohio Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds,	ł		
2,050		2/23 at 100.00	A+	2,211,766
	2013A-1, 5.250%, 2/15/39			
	Ohio Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds,			
	Infrastructure Projects, Junior Lien			
	Series 2013A-2:			0.005.5.5.5
11,250		No Opt. Call	A+	3,295,350
5,000	0.000%, 2/15/40	No Opt. Call	A+	1,282,400

28,975	Total Transportation			18,238,075
	U.S. Guaranteed – 22.8% (15.2% of Total Investments) (6)			
	Centerville City School District, Montgomery County, Ohio,			
3,000	General Obligation Bonds, Series	6/15 at 100.00	Aa1 (6)	3,179,760
	2005, 5.000%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) - AGM Insured			
	Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, General Obligation			
	Bonds, Refunding & Improvements,			
	Series 2012:			
110	5.000%, 12/01/26 (Pre-refunded 6/01/22)	6/22 at 100.00	N/R (6)	133,737
245	5.000%, 12/01/28 (Pre-refunded 6/01/22)	6/22 at 100.00	N/R (6)	297,869
160	5.000%, 12/01/29 (Pre-refunded 6/01/22)	6/22 at 100.00	N/R (6)	194,526
	Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, General Obligation			
1,000	Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 12/01/15	6/14 at 100.00	AAA	1,012,680
	(Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – AMBAC Insured			
	Cleveland Municipal School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio,			
1,000	General Obligation Bonds, Series	6/14 at 100.00	AA (6)	1,012,650
	2004, 5.000%, 12/01/15 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) - AGM Insured			
	Columbus City School District, Franklin County, Ohio,			
605	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004,	12/14 at 100.00	AA (6)	629,745
	5.500%, 12/01/15 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) – AGM Insured			
	Columbus, Ohio, Tax Increment Financing Bonds, Easton			
1,380	Project, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 12/01/25	6/14 at 100.00	N/R (6)	1,397,498
	(Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – AMBAC Insured			
	Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series			
2,300	2004, 5.000%, 12/01/21	12/14 at 100.00	Aa1 (6)	2,385,353
	(Pre-refunded 12/01/14)			

Principal Amount		Optional Call		
	Description (1)	Provisions (2) F	Ratings (3)	Value
(000)	U.S. Guaranteed (6) (continued)	110(1510115 (2))1	tutings (5)	(uiuc
	Dayton, Ohio, Airport Revenue Bonds, James M. Cox			\$
\$ 1,000		No Opt. Call	A - (6)	1,037,110
+ -,	12/01/14 – SYNCORA GTY Insured (ETM)		(*)	_,,
	Dayton, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%,			
1,000	12/01/19 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) –	6/14 at 100.00	Aa2 (6)	1,013,320
	AMBAC Insured			
	Fairview Park City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio,			
1,195	General Obligation Bonds, Series	6/15 at 100.00	Aa2 (6)	1,267,548
	2005, 5.000%, 12/01/24 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) - NPFG Insured			
	Green, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.500%,			
2,620	12/01/32 (Pre-refunded 12/01/15)	12/15 at 100.00	AA+ (6)	2,857,999
	Hamilton County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Children's Hospital			
	Medical Center, Series 2004J:			
2,455	5.250%, 5/15/16 (Pre-refunded 5/15/14) - FGIC Insured	5/14 at 100.00	A (6)	2,481,784
3,260	5.125%, 5/15/28 (Pre-refunded 5/15/14) – FGIC Insured	5/14 at 100.00	A (6)	3,294,686
	Hilliard City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General			
1,850	Obligation Bonds, School	12/15 at 100.00	Aa1 (6)	2,004,235
	Construction, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/26 (Pre-refunded			
	12/01/15) – NPFG Insured			
	Hilliard City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General			
3,000	Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A,	12/16 at 100.00	Aa1 (6)	3,376,080
	5.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/16) - NPFG Insured			
	Hudson City School District, Ohio, Certificates of Participation,			
1,000	Series 2004, 5.000%, 6/01/26	6/14 at 100.00	Aa3 (6)	1,012,680
	(Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – NPFG Insured			
	Marysville Exempted Village School District, Ohio,			
1,000	Certificates of Participation, School	6/15 at 100.00	N/R (6)	1,063,060
	Facilities Project, Series 2005, 5.250%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded			
	6/01/15) – NPFG Insured			
	Marysville Exempted Village School District, Union County,			
1,885	Ohio, General Obligation Bonds,	12/15 at 100.00	AA- (6)	2,042,850
	Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/15) – AGM			
	Insured			
	Mason City School District, Warren and Butler Counties, Ohio,			
1,000	General Obligation Bonds, Series	6/17 at 100.00	Aa1 (6)	1,145,950
	2007, 5.000%, 12/01/31 (Pre-refunded 6/01/17)			
	Montgomery County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Miami Valley			
1,920	A · · · ·	11/14 at 100.00	Aa3 (6)	2,002,330
	(Pre-refunded 11/15/14)			

Bonds, Denison University, Series 2004: 480 5.000%, 11/01/21 (Pre-refunded 11/01/14) 11/14 at 100.00 Aa3 (6)	105.050
$480 \ 5.000\%, 11/01/21$ (Pre-refunded 11/01/14) 11/14 at 100.00 Ads (0)	
	495,859
935 5.000%, 11/01/21 (Pre-refunded 11/01/14) 11/14 at 100.00 AA (6)	965,892
Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue	1 269 095
	1,368,985
2004, 5.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) – AMBAC Insured	
Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, 1,595 Administrative Building Fund Projects, 4/15 at 100.00 AA (6)	1,678,897
Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/25 (Pre-refunded 4/01/15) – AGM	1,070,097
Insured	
Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Adult	
	2,657,537
Project, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 4/01/15 (Pre-refunded 4/01/14) –	2,037,337
NPFG Insured	
Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Adult	
	2,105,200
Project, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/23 (Pre-refunded 4/01/15) –	2,105,200
AGM Insured	
Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital	
	4,074,646
Hospitals Health System, Series 2009, 6.750%, 1/15/39	.,.,.,.
(Pre-refunded 1/15/15)	
Ohio University at Athens, Subordinate Lien General Receipts	
	1,782,317
12/01/20 (Pre-refunded $6/01/14$) – NPFG Insured	-,,
Ohio Water Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Drinking	
	1,433,244
Series 2008, 5.000%, 6/01/28 (Pre-refunded 6/01/18) – AGM	, ,
Insured	
Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control	
Loan Fund Revenue Bonds, Water	
Quality Project, Series 2005B:	
1,225 5.000%, 6/01/25 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) 6/15 at 100.00 AAA	1,299,039
275 5.000%, 6/01/25 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) 6/15 at 100.00 AAA	291,621
Olentangy Local School District, Delaware and Franklin	
Counties, Ohio, General Obligation	
Bonds, Series 2004A:	
1,050 5.500%, 12/01/15 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured 6/14 at 100.00 AA+ (6)	1,064,669
1,000 5.250%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured 6/14 at 100.00 AA+ (6)	1,013,320
1,315 5.250%, 12/01/23 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured 6/14 at 100.00 AA+ (6)	1,332,516
3,380 5.250%, 12/01/24 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured 6/14 at 100.00 AA+ (6)	3,425,022

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount	Description (1)	Dravisiana (2) D	ating (2)	Value
(000)	Description (1) U.S. Guaranteed (6) (continued)	Provisions (2) R	atings (5)	Value
	Troy City School District, Miami County, Ohio, General			¢
¢ 1 215		12/14 at 100.00	$\Lambda_{0}\mathcal{I}(6)$	\$
\$ 1,545	Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%,	12/14 at 100.00	Aa2 (6)	1,394,913
	12/01/28 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) – AGM Insured			
2 (05	University of Cincinnati, Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series	$6/14 \approx 100.00$		2 627 615
2,605		6/14 at 100.00	AA- (0)	2,637,615
	(Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – AMBAC Insured			
	Warren City School District, Trumbull County, Ohio, General			
2 5 1 5	Obligation Bonds, Series 2004:	6/14 = 100.00	$\Lambda \Lambda (\epsilon)$	2 546 800
	5.000%, 12/01/20 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured	6/14 at 100.00	AA(6)	2,546,890
	5.000%, 12/01/22 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured	6/14 at 100.00	AA (6)	1,184,836
04,070	Total U.S. Guaranteed			67,596,468
	Utilities – 4.8% (3.2% of Total Investments)			
	American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., General Revenue			
	Bonds, Prairie State Energy Campus Project			
50	Series 2008A:	0/10 / 100 00		51.262
	5.000%, 2/15/38 – AGC Insured	2/18 at 100.00	AA-	
5,000	5.250%, 2/15/43	2/18 at 100.00	A1	5,139,000
	Cleveland, Ohio, Public Power System Revenue Bonds, Series			
• • • • •	2008B:			1 0 1 0 60 0
	0.000%, 11/15/28 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call		1,043,600
	0.000%, 11/15/32 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call		2,721,388
2,155	0.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	А	759,293
	Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Air Quality Revenue			
1,500	Refunding Bonds, Columbus Southern	12/19 at 100.00	Baa1	1,603,725
	Power Company Project, Series 2009B, 5.800%, 12/01/38			
	Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Ohio, Revenue			
2,025	Bonds, Ohio Valley Electric Corporation	No Opt. Call	BBB–	2,268,446
	Project, Series 2009E, 5.625%, 10/01/19			
	Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial			
950	Interest Certificates, Belleville	No Opt. Call	A1	500,413
	Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%,			
	2/15/29 – NPFG Insured			
20,575	Total Utilities			14,087,228
	Water and Sewer -9.2% (6.2% of Total Investments)			
	Cincinnati, Ohio, Water System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A,			
8,150	5.000%, 12/01/37	12/21 at 100.00	AAA	8,827,019
	City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue			
865	Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 -	12/17 at 100.00	A1	926,969
	AMBAC Insured			
	Cleveland, Ohio, Water Revenue Bonds, Second Lien Series			
	2012A:			
	5.000%, 1/01/25	1/22 at 100.00	Aa2	2,876,175
	5.000%, 1/01/26	1/22 at 100.00	Aa2	2,254,305
2,035		1/22 at 100.00	Aa1	2,177,084

	Cleveland, Ohio, Water Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series		
	2012X, 5.000%, 1/01/42		
	Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue		
1,020	Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series	No Opt. Call	Aa1 1,211,576
	1993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 – NPFG Insured		
	Hamilton, Ohio, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series		
1,220	2005, 5.250%, 10/01/22 - AGM Insured	10/15 at 100.00	A1 1,302,167
	Ironton, Ohio, Sewer System Improvement Revenue Bonds,		
2,025	Series 2011, 5.250%, 12/01/40 -	12/20 at 100.00	A2 2,143,341
	AGM Insured		
	Marysville, Ohio, Wastewater Treatment System Revenue		
1,670	Bonds, Series 2006, 5.250%, 12/01/24 -	12/16 at 100.00	A- 1,864,772
	SYNCORA GTY Insured		
	Marysville, Ohio, Wastewater Treatment System Revenue		
225	Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/37 -	12/17 at 100.00	A- 234,128
	SYNCORA GTY Insured		

Principal Amount		Optional Call	Ratings	
(000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
	Water and Sewer (continued)			
	Toledo, Ohio, Sewerage System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013:			
\$ 820	5.000%, 11/15/25	11/23 at 100.00	Aa3	\$ 938,408
605	5.000%, 11/15/26	11/23 at 100.00	Aa3	685,223
1,075	5.000%, 11/15/27	11/23 at 100.00	Aa3	1,206,774
695	5.000%, 11/15/28	11/23 at 100.00	Aa3	775,036
24,880	Total Water and Sewer			27,422,977
\$ 451,950	Total Long-Term Investments (cost \$426,964,054)			445,349,671
	Floating Rate Obligations – (2.9)%			(8,625,000)
	Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares, at Liquidation			
	Value – (49.9)% (7)		I	(148,000,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 2.7%			7,943,101
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	\$ 296,667,772

- (1)All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Rating below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- (4) Investment, or portion of investment, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations for investments in inverse floating rate transactions.
- (5) At or subsequent to the end of the reporting period, this security is non-income producing. Non-income producing, in the case of a fixed-income security, generally denotes that the issuer has (1) defaulted on the payment of principal or interest, (2) is under the protection of the Federal Bankruptcy Court or (3) the Fund's Adviser has concluded that the issue is not likely to meet its future interest payment obligations and has directed the Fund's custodian to cease accruing additional income on the Fund's records.
- (6)Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities.

(7) Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 33.2%. WI/DD Investment, or portion of investment, purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis.

(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.

- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
- (UB)Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 3 Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NTX

Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS –			
	149.8% (100.0% of Total Investments)			
	MUNICIPAL BONDS - 149.8% (100.0%	10		
	of Total Investments)			
	Consumer Discretionary – 3.1% (2.0% of	f		
	Total Investments)			
	Austin Convention Enterprises Inc.,			
	Texas, Convention Center Hotel			
	Revenue Bonds, First Tier			
	Series 2006A:			
	5.250%, 1/01/18 – SYNCORA GTY			
\$ 1,450	Insured	1/17 at 100.00	BB+	\$ 1,503,476
	5.000%, 1/01/34 – SYNCORA GTY			
1,000	Insured	1/17 at 100.00	BB+	923,530
	San Antonio Convention Center Hotel			
	Finance Corporation, Texas, Contract			
2,200	Revenue Empowerment	7/15 at 100.00	BBB	2,124,716
	Zone Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%,			
	7/15/39 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative			
	Minimum Tax)			
4,650	Total Consumer Discretionary			4,551,722
	Education and Civic Organizations –			
	13.7% (9.1% of Total Investments)			
	Board of Regents, University of Texas			
	System, Financing System Revenue			
2,000	Bonds, Refunding Series	No Opt. Call	AAA	2,421,620
	2012B, 5.000%, 8/15/22			
	Clifton Higher Education Finance			
	Corporation, Texas, Education Revenue			
	Bonds, Uplift Education			
	Charter School, Series 2013A:			
2,000	4.350%, 12/01/42	12/22 at 100.00	BBB–	1,713,140
1,000	4.400%, 12/01/47	12/22 at 100.00	BBB–	848,120
	Danbury Higher Education Authority,			
	Texas, Charter School Revenue Bonds,			
1,000	John H. Wood Jr.	8/23 at 100.00	BBB–	1,027,570
	Public Charter District, Inspire			
	Academies, Series 2013A, 6.000%,			
	8/15/28			
1,000	Hale Center Education Facilities	3/21 at 100.00	А-	1,019,830
	Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds,			

	Wayland Baptist University			
	Project, Improvement and Refunding			
	Series 2010, 5.000%, 3/01/35			
	Harris County Cultural Education			
	Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas,			
1,000	Medical Facilities	11/22 at 100.00	A–	1,083,150
	Revenue Refunding Bonds, Baylor			
	College of Medicine, Series 2012A,			
	5.000%, 11/15/26			
	Harris County Cultural Education			
2 000	Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas,	(122 + 100 00		2 021 750
3,000	Revenue Refunding	6/23 at 100.00	Baa3	2,931,750
	Bonds, Young Men's Christian			
	Association of the Greater Houston			
	Area, Series 2013A,			
	5.000%, 6/01/38 Laredo Community College District,			
	Webb County, Texas, Combined Fee			
2,000	Revenue Bonds, Series	8/20 at 100.00	AA–	2,133,940
2,000	2010, 5.250%, 8/01/35 – AGM Insured	0/20 ut 100.00	1111	2,135,910
	Lone Star College System, Harris,			
	Montgomery and San Jacinto Counties,			
2,000	Texas, Revenue	2/21 at 100.00	AA	2,158,940
	Financing System Bonds, Series 2013,			
	5.000%, 2/15/36			
	Newark Cultural Education Facilities			
• • • •	Finance Corporation, Texas, Lease			
200	Revenue Bonds, A.W.	2/15 at 103.00	BBB-	205,972
	Brown-Fellowship Leadership			
	Academy, Series 2012A, 6.000%, 8/15/42			
	Red River Education Finance			
	Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds,			
	Hockaday School, Series 2005:			
1,170	5.000%, 5/15/27	5/15 at 100.00	AA	1,224,686
1,230	5.000%, 5/15/28	5/15 at 100.00	AA	1,286,580
1,290	5.000%, 5/15/29	5/15 at 100.00	AA	1,347,573
	Texas State University System,			
	Financing Revenue Bonds, Series 2004,			
890	5.000%, 3/15/24 -	9/14 at 100.00	Aa2	912,846
	AGM Insured			
	Total Education and Civic			
19,780	Organizations			20,315,717
	Energy -1.3% (0.9% of Total			
	Investments)			
	Gulf Coast Industrial Development			
2,000	Authority, Texas, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Citgo	10/22 at 100.00	BB+	1,970,580
2,000	Petroleum Corporation Project, Series	10/22 at 100.00		1,970,300
	1995, 4.875%, 5/01/25 (Alternative			
	Minimum Tax)			

	Health Care – 11.9% (8.0% of Total Investments)			
1,000	Harris County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue	12/22 at 100.00	A+	1,038,730
	Bonds, Memorial Hermann Healthcare System, Refunding Series 2013A, 5.000%, 12/01/35			
1,350	Harrison County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Good	7/20 at 100.00	BBB+	1,333,841
	Shepherd Health System, Refunding Series 2010, 5.250%, 7/01/28			

Principal

February 28, 2014

Optional Call Amount (000) Description (1) Provisions (2) Ratings (3) Value Health Care (continued)