

CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 03, 2017

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2017**

**OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission File Number: 0-13358**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Florida**

**59-2273542**

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**217 North Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida**  
(Address of principal executive office)

**32301**  
(Zip Code)

**(850) 402-7821**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", "smaller reporting company", and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer       Accelerated filer       Non-accelerated filer       Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if smaller reporting company)      Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards pursuant to Section 13(a) of The Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

At July 31, 2017, 16,964,015 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$.01 par value, were outstanding.

**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.**  
**QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q**  
**FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2017**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>PART I – Financial Information</b>		<b>Page</b>
Item 1.	Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition – June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016	4
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareowners’ Equity – Six Months Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016	7
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Six Months Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016	8
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	9
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk	45
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	45
<b>PART II – Other Information</b>		
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	45
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	45
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	45
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	45
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosure	45
Item 5.	Other Information	45
Item 6.	Exhibits	45
<b>Signatures</b>		<b>47</b>



## INTRODUCTORY NOTE

### Caution Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, among others, statements about our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, estimates and intentions that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors, many of which are beyond our control. The words “may,” “could,” “should,” “would,” “believe,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “target,” “goal,” and similar expressions are used to identify forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to risks and uncertainties. Our actual future results may differ materially from those set forth in our forward-looking statements.

Our ability to achieve our financial objectives could be adversely affected by the factors discussed in detail in Part I, Item 2. “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and Part II, Item 1A. “Risk Factors” in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the following sections of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (the “2016 Form 10-K”): (a) “Introductory Note” in Part I, Item 1. “Business”; (b) “Risk Factors” in Part I, Item 1A, as updated in our subsequent quarterly reports filed on Form 10-Q; and (c) “Introduction” in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” in Part II, Item 7, as well as:

- our ability to successfully manage interest rate risk, liquidity risk, and other risks inherent to our industry;
- legislative or regulatory changes, including the Dodd-Frank Act, Basel III, and the ability to repay and qualified mortgage standards;
- the effects of security breaches and computer viruses that may affect our computer systems or fraud related to debit card products;
- the accuracy of our financial statement estimates and assumptions, including the estimates used for our loan loss provision, deferred tax asset valuation and pension plan;
- the frequency and magnitude of foreclosure of our loans;
- the effects of our lack of a diversified loan portfolio, including the risks of geographic and industry concentrations;
- the strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which we conduct operations;
- our ability to declare and pay dividends, the payment of which is now subject to our compliance with heightened capital requirements;

- changes in the securities and real estate markets;
- changes in monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government;
- inflation, interest rate, market and monetary fluctuations;
- the effects of harsh weather conditions, including hurricanes, and man-made disasters;
- our ability to comply with the extensive laws and regulations to which we are subject, including the laws for each jurisdiction where we operate;
- the willingness of clients to accept third-party products and services rather than our products and services and vice versa;
- increased competition and its effect on pricing;
- technological changes;
- negative publicity and the impact on our reputation;
- changes in consumer spending and saving habits;
- growth and profitability of our noninterest income;
- changes in accounting principles, policies, practices or guidelines;
- the limited trading activity of our common stock;
- the concentration of ownership of our common stock;
- anti-takeover provisions under federal and state law as well as our Articles of Incorporation and our Bylaws;
- other risks described from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission; and
- our ability to manage the risks involved in the foregoing.

However, other factors besides those listed in *Item 1A Risk Factors* or discussed in this Form 10-Q also could adversely affect our results, and you should not consider any such list of factors to be a complete set of all potential risks or uncertainties. Any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf speak only as of the date they are made. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement, except as required by applicable law.

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1.**

**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION**

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>(Unaudited) June 30, 2017</b>	<b>December 31, 2016</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and Due From Banks	\$ 72,801	\$ 48,268
Federal Funds Sold and Interest Bearing Deposits	162,377	247,779
Total Cash and Cash Equivalents	235,178	296,047
Investment Securities, Available for Sale, at fair value	529,686	522,734
Investment Securities, Held to Maturity, at amortized cost (fair value of \$156,510 and \$176,746)	157,074	177,365
Total Investment Securities	686,760	700,099
Loans Held For Sale	8,213	10,886
Loans, Net of Unearned Income	1,621,196	1,561,289
Allowance for Loan Losses	(13,242)	(13,431)
Loans, Net	1,607,954	1,547,858
Premises and Equipment, net	92,495	95,476
Goodwill	84,811	84,811
Other Real Estate Owned	7,968	10,638
Other Assets	91,464	99,382
Total Assets	\$ 2,814,843	\$ 2,845,197
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Deposits:		
Noninterest Bearing Deposits	\$ 842,314	\$ 791,182
Interest Bearing Deposits	1,529,619	1,621,104
Total Deposits	2,371,933	2,412,286
Short-Term Borrowings	6,105	12,749
Subordinated Notes Payable	52,887	52,887
Other Long-Term Borrowings	15,631	14,881
Other Liabilities	86,774	77,226
Total Liabilities	2,533,330	2,570,029
<b>SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY</b>		
Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value; 3,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	-	-
Common Stock, \$.01 par value; 90,000,000 shares authorized; 16,964,015 and 16,844,698 shares	170	168

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

issued and outstanding at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016,  
respectively

Additional Paid-In Capital	35,522	34,188
Retained Earnings	271,646	267,037
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, net of tax	(25,825)	(26,225)
Total Shareowners' Equity	281,513	275,168
Total Liabilities and Shareowners' Equity	\$ 2,814,843	\$ 2,845,197

*The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.*



**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**  
(Unaudited)

<i>(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)</i>	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>INTEREST INCOME</b>				
Loans, including Fees	\$ 18,720	\$ 18,105	\$ 36,725	\$ 36,150
Investment Securities:				
Taxable	1,899	1,539	3,682	2,959
Tax Exempt	270	212	529	429
Federal Funds Sold and Interest Bearing Deposits	533	318	1,026	680
Total Interest Income	21,422	20,174	41,962	40,218
<b>INTEREST EXPENSE</b>				
Deposits	388	211	669	432
Short-Term Borrowings	17	38	62	48
Subordinated Notes Payable	404	343	783	730
Other Long-Term Borrowings	117	206	216	422
Total Interest Expense	926	798	1,730	1,632
<b>NET INTEREST INCOME</b>	20,496	19,376	40,232	38,586
Provision for Loan Losses	589	(97)	899	355
Net Interest Income After Provision For Loan Losses	19,907	19,473	39,333	38,231
<b>NONINTEREST INCOME</b>				
Deposit Fees	5,052	5,321	10,142	10,721
Bank Card Fees	2,870	2,855	5,673	5,708
Wealth Management Fees	2,073	1,690	3,915	3,482
Mortgage Banking Fees	1,556	1,267	2,864	2,297
Other	1,584	4,082	3,259	5,684
Total Noninterest Income	13,135	15,215	25,853	27,892
<b>NONINTEREST EXPENSE</b>				
Compensation	16,292	16,051	32,788	32,292
Occupancy, net	4,555	4,584	8,936	9,043
Other Real Estate Owned, net	315	1,060	898	2,485
Other	6,759	7,007	13,221	13,812
Total Noninterest Expense	27,921	28,702	55,843	57,632
<b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>	5,121	5,986	9,343	8,491
Income Tax Expense	1,560	2,056	3,038	2,914
<b>NET INCOME</b>	<b>\$ 3,561</b>	<b>\$ 3,930</b>	<b>\$ 6,305</b>	<b>\$ 5,577</b>

<b>BASIC NET INCOME PER SHARE</b>	<b>\$ 0.21</b>	<b>\$ 0.22</b>	<b>\$ 0.37</b>	<b>\$ 0.32</b>
<b>DILUTED NET INCOME PER SHARE</b>	<b>\$ 0.21</b>	<b>\$ 0.22</b>	<b>\$ 0.37</b>	<b>\$ 0.32</b>
Average Common Basic Shares Outstanding	16,955	17,144	16,937	17,173
Average Common Diluted Shares Outstanding	17,016	17,196	16,993	17,215

*The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.*

**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**  
**(Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>		<b>June 30,</b>	
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>NET INCOME</b>	\$ 3,561	\$ 3,930	\$ 6,305	\$ 5,577
<b>Other comprehensive income, before tax:</b>				
Change in net unrealized gain/loss on securities available for sale	110	908	615	2,692
Amortization of unrealized losses on securities transferred from				
available for sale to held to maturity	18	20	38	39
Total Investment Securities	128	928	653	2,731
<b>Other comprehensive income, before tax</b>	128	928	653	2,731
Deferred tax expense related to other comprehensive income	49	358	253	1,053
<b>Other comprehensive income, net of tax</b>	79	570	400	1,678
<b>TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME</b>	\$ 3,640	\$ 4,500	\$ 6,705	\$ 7,255

*The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.*

**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY**  
(Unaudited)

	Shares	Common	Additional	Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of	
	Outstanding	Stock	Paid-In Capital	Earnings	Taxes	Total
<i>(Dollars In Thousands, Except Share Data)</i>						
<b>Balance, January 1, 2016</b>	17,156,919	\$ 172	\$ 38,256	\$ 258,181	\$ (22,257)	\$ 274,352
Net Income	-	-	-	5,577	-	5,577
Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax	-	-	-	-	1,678	1,678
Cash Dividends (\$0.0800 per share)	-	-	-	(1,378)	-	(1,378)
Repurchase of Common Stock	(435,461)	(4)	(6,308)	-	-	(6,312)
Stock Based Compensation	-	-	495	-	-	495
Impact of Transactions Under Compensation Plans, net	82,141	-	412	-	-	412
<b>Balance, June 30, 2016</b>	16,803,599	\$ 168	\$ 32,855	\$ 262,380	\$ (20,579)	\$ 274,824
<b>Balance, January 1, 2017</b>	16,844,698	\$ 168	\$ 34,188	\$ 267,037	\$ (26,225)	\$ 275,168
Net Income	-	-	-	6,305	-	6,305
Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax	-	-	-	-	400	400
Cash Dividends (\$0.1000 per share)	-	-	-	(1,696)	-	(1,696)
Stock Based Compensation	-	-	869	-	-	869
Impact of Transactions Under Compensation Plans, net	119,317	2	465	-	-	467
<b>Balance, June 30, 2017</b>	16,964,015	\$ 170	\$ 35,522	\$ 271,646	\$ (25,825)	\$ 281,513

*The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.*

**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(Unaudited)

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net Income	\$ 6,305	\$ 5,577
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Provision for Loan Losses	899	355
Depreciation	3,352	3,435
Amortization of Premiums, Discounts, and Fees, net	3,279	3,037
Gain on Partial Retirement of Trust Preferred Securities	-	(2,487)
Net Decrease (Increase) in Loans Held-for-Sale	2,673	(414)
Stock Compensation	869	495
Net Tax Benefit From Stock-Based Compensation	(223)	-
Deferred Income Taxes	944	3,586
Loss on Sales and Write-Downs of Other Real Estate Owned	695	1,980
Loss on Disposal of Premises and Equipment	260	92
Net Decrease (Increase) in Other Assets	7,026	(6,679)
Net Increase in Other Liabilities	9,948	10,787
Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities	36,027	19,764
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Securities Held to Maturity:		
Purchases	(28,298)	(28,588)
Payments, Maturities, and Calls	48,096	11,513
Securities Available for Sale:		
Purchases	(87,273)	(90,322)
Payments, Maturities, and Calls	77,973	55,619
Purchases of Loans Held for Investment	(35,499)	-
Net Increase in Loans	(26,101)	(31,218)
Proceeds From Sales of Other Real Estate Owned	3,393	5,107
Purchases of Premises and Equipment	(1,534)	(2,021)
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	(49,243)	(79,910)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net (Decrease) Increase in Deposits	(40,353)	21,957
Net Decrease in Short-Term Borrowings	(3,644)	(51,886)
Redemption of Subordinated Notes	-	(7,500)
Repayment of Other Long-Term Borrowings	(2,250)	(1,427)
Dividends Paid	(1,696)	(1,378)
Payments to Repurchase Common Stock	-	(6,312)
Issuance of Common Stock Under Compensation Plans	290	272
Net Cash Used In Financing Activities	(47,653)	(46,274)
<b>NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>(60,869)</b>	<b>(106,420)</b>

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	296,047	378,905
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 235,178	\$ 272,485

**Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures:**

Interest Paid	\$ 1,748	\$ 1,630
Income Taxes Paid (Refunded)	\$ 4,024	\$ (375)

**Noncash Investing and Financing Activities:**

Loans and Premises Transferred to Other Real Estate Owned	\$ 1,685	\$ 2,419
Transfer of Current Portion of Long-Term Borrowings	\$ -	\$ 437

*The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.*

**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**NOTE 1 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

*Nature of Operations.* Capital City Bank Group, Inc. (“CCBG” or the “Company”) provides a full range of banking and banking-related services to individual and corporate clients through its subsidiary, Capital City Bank, with banking offices located in Florida, Georgia, and Alabama. The Company is subject to competition from other financial institutions, is subject to regulation by certain government agencies and undergoes periodic examinations by those regulatory authorities.

*Basis of Presentation.* The consolidated financial statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q include the accounts of CCBG and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Capital City Bank (“CCB” or the “Bank” and together with the Company). All material inter-company transactions and accounts have been eliminated. Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year’s presentation.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

The consolidated statement of financial condition at December 31, 2016 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.

**NOTE 2 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES**

*Investment Portfolio Composition.* The amortized cost and related market value of investment securities available-for-sale and held-to-maturity were as follows:

<b>June 30, 2017</b>				<b>December 31, 2016</b>			
<b>Amortized</b>	<b>Unrealized</b>	<b>Unrealized</b>	<b>Market</b>	<b>Amortized</b>	<b>Unrealized</b>	<b>Unrealized</b>	<b>Market</b>
<b>Cost</b>	<b>Gains</b>	<b>Losses</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Gain</b>	<b>Losses</b>	<b>Value</b>

**Available for Sale**

U.S. Government Treasury	\$277,718	\$ 55	\$ 808	\$276,965	\$286,867	\$ 262	\$ 851	\$286,278
U.S. Government Agency	142,400	774	280	142,894	131,489	495	344	131,640
States and Political Subdivisions	99,899	155	76	99,978	95,197	23	381	94,839
Mortgage-Backed Securities	1,246	116	-	1,362	1,312	118	-	1,430
Equity Securities <sup>(1)</sup>	8,487	-	-	8,487	8,547	-	-	8,547
Total	\$529,750	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,164	\$529,686	\$523,412	\$ 898	\$ 1,576	\$522,734

**Held to Maturity**

U.S. Government Treasury	\$ 83,246	\$ 1	\$ 166	\$ 83,081	\$119,131	\$ 107	\$ 81	\$119,157
States and Political Subdivisions	7,351	25	2	7,374	8,175	1	38	8,138
Mortgage-Backed Securities	66,477	71	493	66,055	50,059	29	637	49,451
Total	\$157,074	\$ 97	\$ 661	\$156,510	\$177,365	\$ 137	\$ 756	\$176,746

Total Investment Securities      \$686,824    \$ 1,197    \$ 1,825    \$686,196    \$700,777    \$ 1,035    \$ 2,332    \$699,480

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes Federal Home Loan Bank, Federal Reserve Bank, and FNBB, Inc. stock recorded at cost of \$3.2 million, \$4.8 million, and \$0.5 million, respectively, at June 30, 2017 and \$3.3 million, \$4.8 million, and \$0.5 million, respectively, at December 31, 2016.

Securities with an amortized cost of \$249.4 million and \$332.7 million at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes.



The Bank, as a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta (“FHLB”), is required to own capital stock in the FHLB based generally upon the balances of residential and commercial real estate loans, and FHLB advances. FHLB stock which is included in equity securities is pledged to secure FHLB advances. No ready market exists for this stock, and it has no quoted market value; however, redemption of this stock has historically been at par value.

As a member of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, the Bank is required to maintain stock in the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta based on a specified ratio relative to the Bank’s capital. Federal Reserve Bank stock is carried at cost and may be sold back to the Federal Reserve Bank at its carrying value.

*Maturity Distribution.* At June 30, 2017, the Company’s investment securities had the following maturity distribution based on contractual maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations. Mortgage-backed securities and certain amortizing U.S. government agency securities are shown separately because they are not due at a certain maturity date.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Available for Sale</b>		<b>Held to Maturity</b>	
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Market Value</b>	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Market Value</b>
Due in one year or less	\$ 164,458	\$ 164,400	\$ 48,640	\$ 48,584
Due after one through five years	252,755	251,947	41,957	41,871
Mortgage-Backed Securities	1,246	1,362	66,477	66,055
U.S. Government Agency	102,804	103,490	-	-
Equity Securities	8,487	8,487	-	-
Total	\$ 529,750	\$ 529,686	\$ 157,074	\$ 156,510

*Unrealized Losses on Investment Securities.* The following table summarizes the investment securities with unrealized losses aggregated by major security type and length of time in a continuous unrealized loss position:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Less Than 12 Months</b>		<b>Greater Than 12 Months</b>		<b>Total</b>	
	<b>Market Value</b>	<b>Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Market Value</b>	<b>Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Market Value</b>	<b>Unrealized Losses</b>
<b>June 30, 2017</b>						
<b>Available for Sale</b>						
U.S. Government Treasury	\$ 221,874	\$ 808	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 221,874	\$ 808
U.S. Government Agency	46,260	201	9,608	79	55,868	280
States and Political Subdivisions	37,984	69	1,063	7	39,047	76
Mortgage-Backed Securities	-	-	3	-	3	-
Total	306,118	1,078	10,674	86	316,792	1,164
<b>Held to Maturity</b>						
U.S. Government Treasury	78,091	166	-	-	78,091	166
States and Political Subdivisions	1,065	2	-	-	1,065	2
Mortgage-Backed Securities	34,840	441	4,067	52	38,907	493
Total	\$ 113,996	\$ 609	\$ 4,067	\$ 52	\$ 118,063	\$ 661
<b>December 31, 2016</b>						
<b>Available for Sale</b>						
U.S. Government Treasury	\$ 116,704	\$ 851	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 116,704	\$ 851
U.S. Government Agency	48,520	310	6,699	34	55,219	344
States and Political Subdivisions	81,521	380	294	1	81,815	381
Mortgage-Backed Securities	3	-	-	-	3	-
Total	246,748	1,541	6,993	35	253,741	1,576
<b>Held to Maturity</b>						
U.S. Government Treasury	35,210	81	-	-	35,210	81
States and Political Subdivisions	7,491	38	-	-	7,491	38
Mortgage-Backed Securities	36,710	599	4,010	38	40,720	637
Total	\$ 79,411	\$ 718	\$ 4,010	\$ 38	\$ 83,421	\$ 756

Management evaluates securities for other than temporary impairment at least quarterly, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Declines in the fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, the Company considers, (i) whether it has decided to sell the security, (ii) whether it is more likely than not that the Company will have to sell the security before its market value recovers, and (iii) whether the present value of expected cash flows is sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis. When assessing a security's expected cash flows, the Company considers, among other things, (i) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost and (ii) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. In analyzing an issuer's financial condition, management considers whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by rating agencies have occurred, regulatory issues, and analysts' reports.



At June 30, 2017, there were 281 positions (combined Available-for-Sale and Held-to-Maturity) with an unrealized loss totaling \$1.8 million. Included were 142 positions comprised of Ginnie Mae mortgage-backed securities (54), U.S. Treasuries (61), and SBA securities (27) with an unrealized loss totaling \$1.5 million. Each of these positions carries the full faith and credit guarantee of the U.S. Government. SBA securities float monthly or quarterly to the prime rate and are uncapped. Of these 142 positions, there were 13 GNMA positions and six SBA positions in an unrealized loss position for longer than 12 months, with unrealized losses of \$52,000 and \$15,000, respectively. There were 25 agency positions with an unrealized loss of \$0.2 million. Two of these 25 positions were in an unrealized loss position for longer than 12 months, and have unrealized losses of \$64,000. The remaining 114 positions in an unrealized loss position were municipal bonds that were pre-refunded, or rated “AA-“or better, with unrealized losses of \$78,000. Of these 114 positions, three were in an unrealized loss position greater than 12 months, with an unrealized loss of \$7,000. Because the declines in the market value of these investments are attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality and because the Company has the present ability and intent to hold these investments until there is a recovery in fair value, which may be at maturity, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2017.

### NOTE 3 – LOANS, NET

*Loan Portfolio Composition.* The composition of the loan portfolio was as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>June 30, 2017</b>	<b>December 31, 2016</b>
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 213,544	\$ 216,404
Real Estate – Construction	67,331	58,443
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	519,140	503,978
Real Estate – Residential <sup>(1)</sup>	319,129	281,509
Real Estate – Home Equity	230,995	236,512
Consumer	271,057	264,443
Loans, Net of Unearned Income	\$ 1,621,196	\$ 1,561,289

<sup>(1)</sup> *Includes loans in process with outstanding balances of \$18.6 million and \$9.6 million at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.*

Net deferred costs included in loans were \$0.7 million at June 30, 2017 and \$0.5 million at December 31, 2016.

The Company has pledged a blanket floating lien on all 1-4 family residential mortgage loans, commercial real estate mortgage loans, and home equity loans to support available borrowing capacity at the FHLB of Atlanta and has pledged a blanket floating lien on all consumer loans, commercial loans, and construction loans to support available borrowing capacity at the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

*Nonaccrual Loans.* Loans are generally placed on nonaccrual status if principal or interest payments become 90 days past due and/or management deems the collectability of the principal and/or interest to be doubtful. Loans are returned to accrual status when the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current or when future payments are reasonably assured.

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans and loans past due over 90 days and still on accrual by class of loans.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>June 30, 2017</b>		<b>December 31, 2016</b>	
	<b>Nonaccrual</b>	<b>90 + Days</b>	<b>Nonaccrual</b>	<b>90 + Days</b>
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 455	\$ -	\$ 468	\$ -
Real Estate – Construction	363	-	311	-
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	2,984	-	3,410	-
Real Estate – Residential	2,485	-	2,330	-
Real Estate – Home Equity	1,496	-	1,774	-
Consumer	183	-	240	-
Total Nonaccrual Loans	\$ 7,966	\$ -	\$ 8,533	\$ -

*Loan Portfolio Aging.* A loan is defined as a past due loan when one full payment is past due or a contractual maturity is over 30 days past due (“DPD”).

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans by class of loans.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>30-59 DPD</b>	<b>60-89 DPD</b>	<b>90 + DPD</b>	<b>Total Past Due</b>	<b>Total Current</b>	<b>Total Loans<sup>(1)</sup></b>
<b>June 30, 2017</b>						
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 54	\$ 51	\$ -	\$ 105	\$ 212,984	\$ 213,544
Real Estate – Construction	435	-	-	435	66,533	67,331
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	262	28	-	290	515,866	519,140
Real Estate – Residential	262	585	-	847	315,797	319,129
Real Estate – Home Equity	757	40	-	797	228,702	230,995
Consumer	1,002	313	-	1,315	269,559	271,057
Total Past Due Loans	\$ 2,772	\$ 1,017	\$ -	\$ 3,789	\$ 1,609,441	\$ 1,621,196
<b>December 31, 2016</b>						
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 209	\$ 48	\$ -	\$ 257	\$ 215,679	\$ 216,404
Real Estate – Construction	949	282	-	1,231	56,901	58,443
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	835	1	-	836	499,732	503,978
Real Estate – Residential	1,199	490	-	1,689	277,490	281,509
Real Estate – Home Equity	577	51	-	628	234,110	236,512
Consumer	1,516	281	-	1,797	262,406	264,443
Total Past Due Loans	\$ 5,285	\$ 1,153	\$ -	\$ 6,438	\$ 1,546,318	\$ 1,561,289

<sup>(1)</sup> Total Loans include nonaccrual loans

*Allowance for Loan Losses.* The allowance for loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, which represents management’s best estimate of incurred losses within the existing portfolio of loans. Loans are charged-off to the allowance when losses are deemed to be probable and reasonably quantifiable.

The following table details the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio class. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories.

	Commercial,		Real Estate		Real Estate Home Equity	Consumer	Total
	Financial,	Real Estate	Commercial	Real Estate			
(Dollars in Thousands)	Agriculture	Construction	Mortgage	Residential			
<b>Three Months Ended</b>							
<b>June 30, 2017</b>							
Beginning Balance	\$ 1,150	\$ 100	\$ 4,080	\$ 3,376	\$ 2,522	\$ 2,107	\$ 13,335
Provision for Loan Losses	229	14	165	(150)	(37)	368	589
Charge-Offs	(324)	-	(478)	(44)	-	(537)	(1,383)
Recoveries	40	-	58	202	39	362	701
Net Charge-Offs	(284)	-	(420)	158	39	(175)	(682)
Ending Balance	\$ 1,095	\$ 114	\$ 3,825	\$ 3,384	\$ 2,524	\$ 2,300	\$ 13,242
<b>Six Months Ended</b>							
<b>June 30, 2017</b>							
Beginning Balance	\$ 1,198	\$ 168	\$ 4,315	\$ 3,445	\$ 2,297	\$ 2,008	\$ 13,431
Provision for Loan Losses	193	(54)	(22)	(316)	251	847	899
Charge-Offs	(417)	-	(549)	(160)	(92)	(1,161)	(2,379)
Recoveries	121	-	81	415	68	606	1,291
Net Charge-Offs	(296)	-	(468)	255	(24)	(555)	(1,088)
Ending Balance	\$ 1,095	\$ 114	\$ 3,825	\$ 3,384	\$ 2,524	\$ 2,300	\$ 13,242
<b>Three Months Ended</b>							
<b>June 30, 2016</b>							
Beginning Balance	\$ 883	\$ 101	\$ 4,349	\$ 4,137	\$ 2,435	\$ 1,708	\$ 13,613
Provision for Loan Losses	420	25	(197)	(676)	21	310	(97)
Charge-Offs	(304)	-	-	(205)	(146)	(438)	(1,093)
Recoveries	49	-	237	579	81	308	1,254
Net Charge-Offs	(255)	-	237	374	(65)	(130)	161
Ending Balance	\$ 1,048	\$ 126	\$ 4,389	\$ 3,835	\$ 2,391	\$ 1,888	\$ 13,677
<b>Six Months Ended</b>							
<b>June 30, 2016</b>							
Beginning Balance	\$ 905	\$ 101	\$ 4,498	\$ 4,409	\$ 2,473	\$ 1,567	\$ 13,953
Provision for Loan Losses	396	25	(153)	(706)	139	654	355
Charge-Offs	(341)	-	(274)	(683)	(361)	(877)	(2,536)
Recoveries	88	-	318	815	140	544	1,905
Net Charge-Offs	(253)	-	44	132	(221)	(333)	(631)
Ending Balance	\$ 1,048	\$ 126	\$ 4,389	\$ 3,835	\$ 2,391	\$ 1,888	\$ 13,677





The following table details the amount of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio class disaggregated on the basis of the Company's impairment methodology.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Commercial, Financial, Agricultural</b>	<b>Real Estate Construction</b>	<b>Real Estate Commercial Mortgage</b>	<b>Real Estate Residential</b>	<b>Real Estate Home Equity</b>	<b>Consumer</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>June 30, 2017</b>							
Period-end amount							
Allocated to:							
Loans Individually							
Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 82	\$ 4	\$ 1,685	\$ 1,405	\$ 408	\$ 3	\$ 3,587
Loans Collectively							
Evaluated for Impairment	1,013	110	2,140	1,979	2,116	2,297	9,655
Ending Balance	\$ 1,095	\$ 114	\$ 3,825	\$ 3,384	\$ 2,524	\$ 2,300	\$ 13,242
<b>December 31, 2016</b>							
Period-end amount							
Allocated to:							
Loans Individually							
Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 80	\$ -	\$ 2,038	\$ 1,561	\$ 335	\$ 6	\$ 4,020
Loans Collectively							
Evaluated for Impairment	1,118	168	2,277	1,884	1,962	2,002	9,411
Ending Balance	\$ 1,198	\$ 168	\$ 4,315	\$ 3,445	\$ 2,297	\$ 2,008	\$ 13,431
<b>June 30, 2016</b>							
Period-end amount							
Allocated to:							
Loans Individually							
Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 69	\$ -	\$ 1,953	\$ 1,868	\$ 318	\$ 9	\$ 4,217
Loans Collectively							
Evaluated for Impairment	979	126	2,436	1,967	2,073	1,879	9,460
Ending Balance	\$ 1,048	\$ 126	\$ 4,389	\$ 3,835	\$ 2,391	\$ 1,888	\$ 13,677

The Company's recorded investment in loans related to each balance in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio class and disaggregated on the basis of the Company's impairment methodology was as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Commercial, Financial,	Real Estate	Real Estate	Real Estate	Real Estate	Real Estate	Total
	Agricultural	Construction	Commercial Mortgage	Real Estate Residential	Home Equity	Consumer	
<b>June 30, 2017</b>							
Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 1,078	\$ 363	\$ 21,502	\$ 14,879	\$ 3,314	\$ 140	\$ 41,276
Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	212,466	66,968	497,638	304,250	227,681	270,917	1,579,920
Total	\$ 213,544	\$ 67,331	\$ 519,140	\$ 319,129	\$ 230,995	\$ 271,057	\$1,621,196
<b>December 31, 2016</b>							
Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 1,042	\$ 247	\$ 23,855	\$ 15,596	\$ 3,375	\$ 174	\$ 44,289
Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	215,362	58,196	480,123	265,913	233,137	264,269	1,517,000
Total	\$ 216,404	\$ 58,443	\$ 503,978	\$ 281,509	\$ 236,512	\$ 264,443	\$1,561,289
<b>June 30, 2016</b>							
Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 793	\$ -	\$ 20,589	\$ 17,725	\$ 2,872	\$ 206	\$ 42,185
Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	206,312	46,930	464,740	273,467	232,522	254,318	1,478,289
Total	\$ 207,105	\$ 46,930	\$ 485,329	\$ 291,192	\$ 235,394	\$ 254,524	\$1,520,474

*Impaired Loans.* Loans are deemed to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will not be able to collect all amounts due (principal and interest payments), according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans, for which the terms have been modified, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired.

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Unpaid Principal Balance</b>	<b>Recorded Investment With No Allowance</b>	<b>Recorded Investment With Allowance</b>	<b>Related Allowance</b>
<b>June 30, 2017</b>				
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 1,078	\$ 351	\$ 727	\$ 82
Real Estate – Construction	363	298	65	4
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	21,502	7,378	14,124	1,685
Real Estate – Residential	14,879	2,547	12,332	1,405
Real Estate – Home Equity	3,314	1,538	1,776	408
Consumer	140	82	58	3
Total	\$ 41,276	\$ 12,194	\$ 29,082	\$ 3,587
<b>December 31, 2016</b>				
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 1,042	\$ 565	\$ 477	\$ 80
Real Estate – Construction	247	-	247	-
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	23,855	8,954	14,901	2,038
Real Estate – Residential	15,596	2,509	13,087	1,561
Real Estate – Home Equity	3,375	1,871	1,504	335
Consumer	174	65	109	6
Total	\$ 44,289	\$ 13,964	\$ 30,325	\$ 4,020

The following table summarizes the average recorded investment and interest income recognized by class of impaired loans.

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2017		2016		2017		2016	
	Average Recorded	Total Interest	Average Recorded	Total Interest	Average Recorded	Total Interest	Average Recorded	Total Interest
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Investment	Income	Investment	Income	Investment	Income	Investment	Income
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 1,158	\$ 11	\$ 802	\$ 12	\$ 1,119	\$ 23	\$ 813	\$ 25
Real Estate – Construction	363	1	-	-	324	2	32	-
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	22,281	220	20,694	216	22,806	443	20,745	455
Real Estate – Residential	14,789	174	17,973	196	15,058	353	18,172	405
Real Estate – Home Equity	3,414	27	3,042	29	3,401	54	3,076	56
Consumer	142	2	206	2	153	4	224	4
Total	\$ 42,147	\$ 435	\$ 42,717	\$ 455	\$ 42,861	\$ 879	\$ 43,062	\$ 945

*Credit Risk Management.* The Company has adopted comprehensive lending policies, underwriting standards and loan review procedures designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management and the Board of Directors review and approve these policies and procedures on a regular basis (at least annually).

Reporting systems are used to monitor loan originations, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies and nonperforming loans and potential problem loans. Management and the Credit Risk Oversight Committee periodically review our lines of business to monitor asset quality trends and the appropriateness of credit policies. In addition, total borrower exposure limits are established and concentration risk is monitored. As part of this process, the overall composition of the portfolio is reviewed to gauge diversification of risk, client concentrations, industry group, loan type, geographic area, or other relevant classifications of loans. Specific segments of the loan portfolio are monitored and reported to the Board on a quarterly basis and have strategic plans in place to supplement Board approved credit policies governing exposure limits and underwriting standards. Detailed below are the types of loans within the Company's loan portfolio and risk characteristics unique to each.

Commercial, Financial, and Agricultural – Loans in this category are primarily made based on identified cash flows of the borrower with consideration given to underlying collateral and personal or other guarantees. Lending policy establishes debt service coverage ratio limits that require a borrower's cash flow to be sufficient to cover principal and interest payments on all new and existing debt. The majority of these loans are secured by the assets being financed or other business assets such as accounts receivable, inventory, or equipment. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations. Loan to value ratios at origination are governed by established policy guidelines.

Real Estate Construction – Loans in this category consist of short-term construction loans, revolving and non-revolving credit lines and construction/permanent loans made to individuals and investors to finance the acquisition, development, construction or rehabilitation of real property. These loans are primarily made based on identified cash flows of the borrower or project and generally secured by the property being financed, including 1-4 family residential properties and commercial properties that are either owner-occupied or investment in nature. These properties may include either vacant or improved property. Construction loans are generally based upon estimates of costs and value associated with the completed project. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations. Loan to value ratios at origination are governed by established policy guidelines. The disbursement of funds for construction loans is made in relation to the progress of the project and as such these loans are closely monitored by on-site inspections.

Real Estate Commercial Mortgage – Loans in this category consists of commercial mortgage loans secured by property that is either owner-occupied or investment in nature. These loans are primarily made based on identified cash flows of the borrower or project with consideration given to underlying real estate collateral and personal guarantees. Lending policy establishes debt service coverage ratios and loan to value ratios specific to the property type. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations.

Real Estate Residential – Residential mortgage loans held in the Company’s loan portfolio are made to borrowers that demonstrate the ability to make scheduled payments with full consideration to underwriting factors such as current income, employment status, current assets, and other financial resources, credit history, and the value of the collateral. Collateral consists of mortgage liens on 1-4 family residential properties. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations. The Company does not originate sub-prime loans.

Real Estate Home Equity – Home equity loans and lines are made to qualified individuals for legitimate purposes generally secured by senior or junior mortgage liens on owner-occupied 1-4 family homes or vacation homes. Borrower qualifications include favorable credit history combined with supportive income and debt ratio requirements and combined loan to value ratios within established policy guidelines. Collateral values are determined based upon third party appraisals and evaluations.

Consumer Loans – This loan portfolio includes personal installment loans, direct and indirect automobile financing, and overdraft lines of credit. The majority of the consumer loan portfolio consists of indirect and direct automobile loans. Lending policy establishes maximum debt to income ratios, minimum credit scores, and includes guidelines for verification of applicants' income and receipt of credit reports.

*Credit Quality Indicators.* As part of the ongoing monitoring of the Company's loan portfolio quality, management categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment performance, credit documentation, and current economic/market trends, among other factors. Risk ratings are assigned to each loan and revised as needed through established monitoring procedures for individual loan relationships over a predetermined amount and review of smaller balance homogenous loan pools. The Company uses the definitions noted below for categorizing and managing its criticized loans. Loans categorized as "Pass" do not meet the criteria set forth for the Special Mention, Substandard, or Doubtful categories and are not considered criticized.

Special Mention – Loans in this category are presently protected from loss, but weaknesses are apparent which, if not corrected, could cause future problems. Loans in this category may not meet required underwriting criteria and have no mitigating factors. More than the ordinary amount of attention is warranted for these loans.

Substandard – Loans in this category exhibit well-defined weaknesses that would typically bring normal repayment into jeopardy. These loans are no longer adequately protected due to well-defined weaknesses that affect the repayment capacity of the borrower. The possibility of loss is much more evident and above average supervision is required for these loans.

Doubtful – Loans in this category have all the weaknesses inherent in a loan categorized as Substandard, with the characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

The following table presents the risk category of loans by segment.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Commercial, Financial, Agriculture</b>	<b>Real Estate</b>	<b>Consumer</b>	<b>Total Criticized Loans</b>
<b>June 30, 2017</b>				
Special Mention	\$ 9,637	\$ 16,630	\$ 230	\$ 26,497
Substandard	1,737	39,066	519	41,322
Doubtful	-	-	-	-
Total Criticized Loans	\$ 11,374	\$ 55,696	\$ 749	\$ 67,819
<b>December 31, 2016</b>				
Special Mention	\$ 3,300	\$ 23,183	\$ 216	\$ 26,699
Substandard	1,158	39,800	549	41,507
Doubtful	-	-	-	-
Total Criticized Loans	\$ 4,458	\$ 62,983	\$ 765	\$ 68,206

*Troubled Debt Restructurings ("TDRs")*. TDRs are loans in which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and the Company has granted an economic concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. In these instances, as part of a work-out alternative, the Company will make concessions including the extension of the loan term, a principal moratorium, a reduction in the interest rate, or a combination thereof. The impact of the TDR modifications and defaults are factored into the allowance for loan losses on a loan-by-loan basis as all TDRs are, by definition, impaired loans. Thus, specific reserves are established based upon the results of either a discounted cash flow analysis or the underlying collateral value, if the loan is deemed to be collateral dependent. A TDR classification can be removed if the borrower's financial condition improves such that the borrower is no longer in financial difficulty, the loan has not had any forgiveness of principal or interest, and the loan is subsequently refinanced or restructured at market terms and qualifies as a new loan.

The following table presents loans classified as TDRs.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>June 30, 2017</b>		<b>December 31, 2016</b>	
	<b>Accruing</b>	<b>Nonaccruing</b>	<b>Accruing</b>	<b>Nonaccruing</b>
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 848	\$ -	\$ 772	\$ 40
Real Estate – Construction	-	66	-	-
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	18,834	1,430	20,673	1,259
Real Estate – Residential	13,110	905	13,969	444
Real Estate – Home Equity	2,505	80	2,647	-
Consumer	139	-	172	-
Total TDRs	\$ 35,436	\$ 2,481	\$ 38,233	\$ 1,743

Loans classified as TDRs during the periods indicated are presented in the table below. The modifications made during the reporting period involved either an extension of the loan term, an interest rate adjustment, or a principal moratorium, and the financial impact of these modifications was not material.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2017</b>			<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2017</b>		
	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modified Recorded Investment	Post-Modified Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modified Recorded Investment	Post-Modified Recorded Investment
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	-	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -
Real Estate – Construction	-	-	-	1	64	65
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	-	-	-	-	-	-
Real Estate – Residential	1	215	182	1	215	182
Real Estate – Home Equity	-	-	-	1	56	55
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total TDRs	1	\$ 215	\$ 182	3	\$ 335	\$ 302

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2016</b>			<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2016</b>		
	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modified Recorded Investment	Post-Modified Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modified Recorded Investment	Post-Modified Recorded Investment
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	-	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -
Real Estate – Construction	-	-	-	-	-	-
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	-	-	-	1	332	332
Real Estate – Residential	1	90	90	6	589	590
Real Estate – Home Equity	-	-	-	4	188	189
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total TDRs	1	\$ 90	\$ 90	11	\$ 1,109	\$ 1,111





For the three and six months ended June 30, 2017, there were no loans modified as TDRs within the previous 12 months that have substantially defaulted. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, loans modified as TDRs within the previous 12 months that have substantially defaulted during periods indicated are presented in the table below.

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2016</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2016</b>	
	Number of Contracts	Post-Modified Recorded Investment <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Contracts	Post-Modified Recorded Investment <sup>(1)</sup>
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>				
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
Real Estate – Construction	-	-	-	-
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	-	-	-	-
Real Estate – Residential	1	98	1	98
Real Estate – Home Equity	-	-	1	3
Consumer	-	-	1	35
Total TDRs	1	\$ 98	3	\$ 136

<sup>(1)</sup> Recorded investment reflects charge-offs and additional funds advanced at time of restructure, if applicable.

The following table provides information on how TDRs were modified during the periods indicated.

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2017</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2017</b>	
	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment <sup>(1)</sup>
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>				
Extended amortization	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
Interest rate adjustment	1	182	3	302
Extended amortization and interest rate adjustment	-	-	-	-
Total TDRs	1	\$ 182	3	\$ 302

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2016</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2016</b>	
	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment <sup>(1)</sup>
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>				
Extended amortization	1	\$ 90	1	\$ 90
Interest rate adjustment	-	-	-	-
Extended amortization and interest rate adjustment	-	-	10	1,021
Total TDRs	1	\$ 90	11	\$ 1,111

(1) *Recorded investment reflects charge-offs and additional funds advanced at time of restructure, if applicable.*

#### NOTE 4 – OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

The following table presents other real estate owned activity for the periods indicated.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
Beginning Balance	\$ 9,501	\$ 17,450	\$ 10,638	\$ 19,290
Additions	144	1,218	1,685	2,419
Valuation Write-downs	(275)	(678)	(769)	(1,513)
Sales	(1,209)	(3,368)	(3,320)	(5,574)
Other	(193)	-	(266)	-
Ending Balance	\$ 7,968	\$ 14,622	\$ 7,968	\$ 14,622

Net expenses applicable to other real estate owned include the following:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
Gains from the Sale of Properties	\$ (162)	\$ (166)	\$ (268)	\$ (294)
Losses from the Sale of Properties	93	392	195	761
Rental Income from Properties	(22)	(32)	(54)	(32)
Property Carrying Costs	131	188	257	537
Valuation Adjustments	275	678	768	1,513
Total	\$ 315	\$ 1,060	\$ 898	\$ 2,485

As of June 30, 2017, the Company had \$0.7 million of loans secured by residential real estate in the process of foreclosure.

#### **NOTE 5 - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS**

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all full-time and eligible part-time associates and a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (“SERP”) covering its executive officers.

The components of the net periodic benefit cost for the Company's qualified benefit pension plan were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
Service Cost	\$ 1,688	\$ 1,613	\$ 3,376	\$ 3,226
Interest Cost	1,437	1,397	2,874	2,794
Expected Return on Plan Assets	(2,006)	(1,934)	(4,012)	(3,870)
Prior Service Cost Amortization	56	69	112	139
Net Loss Amortization	953	801	1,906	1,602
Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$ 2,128	\$ 1,946	\$ 4,256	\$ 3,891
Discount Rate	4.21%	4.52%	4.21%	4.52%
Long-term Rate of Return on Assets	7.25%	7.50%	7.25%	7.50%

The components of the net periodic benefit cost for the Company's SERP were as follows:

**Three Months Ended June 30,**

**Six Months Ended June 30,**

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
Interest Cost	\$ 48	\$ 40	\$ 96	\$ 80
Net Loss Amortization	149	190	298	380
Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$ 197	\$ 230	\$ 394	\$ 460
Discount Rate	3.92%	4.13%	3.92%	4.13%

## **NOTE 6 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

*Lending Commitments.* The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risks in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its clients. These financial instruments consist of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit.

The Company's maximum exposure to credit loss under standby letters of credit and commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in establishing commitments and issuing letters of credit as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The amounts associated with the Company's off-balance sheet obligations were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	June 30, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Fixed	Variable	Total	Fixed	Variable	Total
Commitments to Extend Credit <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 85,974	\$ 366,887	\$ 452,861	\$ 69,993	\$ 332,420	\$ 402,413
Standby Letters of Credit	4,762	-	4,762	4,768	-	4,768
Total	\$ 90,736	\$ 366,887	\$ 457,623	\$ 74,761	\$ 332,420	\$ 407,181

<sup>(1)</sup> *Commitments include unfunded loans, revolving lines of credit, and other unused commitments.*

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a client so long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a client to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities. In general, management does not anticipate any material losses as a result of participating in these types of transactions. However, any potential losses arising from such transactions are reserved for in the same manner as management reserves for its other credit facilities.

For both on- and off-balance sheet financial instruments, the Company requires collateral to support such instruments when it is deemed necessary. The Company evaluates each client's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained upon extension of credit is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies, but may include deposits held in financial institutions; U.S. Treasury securities; other marketable securities; real estate; accounts receivable; property, plant and equipment; and inventory.

*Contingencies.* The Company is a party to lawsuits and claims arising out of the normal course of business. In management's opinion, there are no known pending claims or litigation, the outcome of which would, individually or in the aggregate, have a material effect on the consolidated results of operations, financial position, or cash flows of the Company.

*Indemnification Obligation.* The Company is a member of the Visa U.S.A. network. Visa U.S.A. member banks are required to indemnify it for potential future settlement of certain litigation (the "Covered Litigation") that relates to several antitrust lawsuits challenging the practices of Visa and MasterCard International. In 2008, the Company, as a member of the Visa U.S.A. network, obtained Class B shares of Visa, Inc. upon its initial public offering. Since its initial public offering, Visa, Inc. has funded a litigation reserve for the Covered Litigation resulting in a reduction in the Class B shares held by the Company. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company sold its remaining Class B shares resulting in a \$3.2 million pre-tax gain. Associated with this sale, the Company entered into a swap contract with the purchaser of the shares that requires a payment to the counterparty in the event that Visa, Inc. makes

subsequent revisions to the conversion ratio for its Class B shares. Fixed charges included in the swap liability are payable quarterly until the litigation reserve is fully liquidated and at which time the aforementioned swap contract will be terminated. Quarterly fixed payments approximate \$85,000. Conversion ratio payments and ongoing fixed quarterly charges are reflected in earnings in the period incurred.

## NOTE 7 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The fair value of an asset or liability is the price that would be received to sell that asset or paid to transfer that liability in an orderly transaction occurring in the principal market (or most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market) for such asset or liability. In estimating fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that are consistent with the market approach, the income approach and/or the cost approach. Such valuation techniques are consistently applied. Inputs to valuation techniques include the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

- *Level 1 Inputs* - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.
- *Level 2 Inputs* - Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (such as interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, credit risks, etc.) or inputs that are derived principally from, or corroborated, by market data by correlation or other means.

- *Level 3 Inputs* - Unobservable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

### Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

*Securities Available for Sale.* U.S. Treasury securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs. Other securities classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things.

In general, the Company does not purchase securities that have a complicated structure. The Company's entire portfolio consists of traditional investments, nearly all of which are U.S. Treasury obligations, federal agency bullet or mortgage pass-through securities, or general obligation or revenue based municipal bonds. Pricing for such instruments is easily obtained. From time to time, the Company will validate, on a sample basis, prices supplied by the independent pricing service by comparison to prices obtained from third-party sources or derived using internal models.

*Fair Value Swap.* The Company entered into a stand-alone derivative contract with the purchaser of its Visa Class B shares. The valuation represents the amount due and payable to the counterparty based upon the revised share conversion rate, if any, during the period. At June 30, 2017, there were no amounts payable.

A summary of fair values for assets and liabilities consisted of the following:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Level 1 Inputs</b>	<b>Level 2 Inputs</b>	<b>Level 3 Inputs</b>	<b>Total Fair Value</b>
<b>June 30, 2017</b>				
Securities Available for Sale:				
U.S. Government Treasury	\$ 276,965	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 276,965
U.S. Government Agency States and Political Subdivisions	-	142,894 99,978	-	142,894 99,978
Mortgage-Backed Securities	-	1,362	-	1,362
Equity Securities	-	8,487	-	8,487
<b>December 31, 2016</b>				
Securities Available for Sale:				
U.S. Government Treasury	\$ 286,278	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 286,278
U.S. Government Agency States and Political Subdivisions	-	131,640 94,839	-	131,640 94,839



Mortgage-Backed Securities	-	1,430	-	1,430
Equity Securities	-	8,547	-	8,547

### Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

Certain assets are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis (i.e., the assets are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances). An example would be assets exhibiting evidence of impairment. The following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets measured on a non-recurring basis.

*Impaired Loans.* Impairment for collateral dependent loans is measured using the fair value of the collateral less selling costs. The fair value of collateral is determined by an independent valuation or professional appraisal in conformance with banking regulations. Collateral values are estimated using Level 3 inputs due to the volatility in the real estate market, and the judgment and estimation involved in the real estate appraisal process. Impaired loans are reviewed and evaluated on at least a quarterly basis for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly. Valuation techniques are consistent with those techniques applied in prior periods. Impaired collateral dependent loans had a carrying value of \$7.9 million with a valuation allowance of \$0.8 million at June 30, 2017 and \$6.3 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, at December 31, 2016.

*Loans Held for Sale.* These loans are carried at the lower of cost or fair value and are adjusted to fair value on a non-recurring basis. Fair value is based on observable markets rates for comparable loan products, which is considered a Level 2 fair value measurement.

*Other Real Estate Owned.* During the first six months of 2017, certain foreclosed assets, upon initial recognition, were measured and reported at fair value through a charge-off to the allowance for loan losses based on the fair value of the foreclosed asset less estimated cost to sell. The fair value of the foreclosed asset is determined by an independent valuation or professional appraisal in conformance with banking regulations. On an ongoing basis, we obtain updated appraisals on foreclosed assets and realize valuation adjustments as necessary. The fair value of foreclosed assets is estimated using Level 3 inputs due to the judgment and estimation involved in the real estate valuation process.

#### **Assets and Liabilities Disclosed at Fair Value**

The Company is required to disclose the estimated fair value of financial instruments, both assets and liabilities, for which it is practical to estimate fair value and the following is a description of valuation methodologies used for those assets and liabilities.

*Cash and Short-Term Investments.* The carrying amount of cash and short-term investments is used to approximate fair value, given the short time frame to maturity and as such assets do not present unanticipated credit concerns.

*Securities Held to Maturity.* Securities held to maturity are valued in accordance with the methodology previously noted in this footnote under the caption “Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis – Securities Available for Sale”.

*Loans.* The loan portfolio is segregated into categories and the fair value of each loan category is calculated using present value techniques based upon projected cash flows and estimated discount rates that reflect the credit, interest rate, and liquidity risks inherent in each loan category. The calculated present values are then reduced by an allocation of the allowance for loan losses against each respective loan category.

*Deposits.* The fair value of Noninterest Bearing Deposits, NOW Accounts, Money Market Accounts and Savings Accounts are the amounts payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using present value techniques and rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

*Subordinated Notes Payable.* The fair value of each note is calculated using present value techniques, based upon projected cash flows and estimated discount rates as well as rates being offered for similar obligations.

*Short-Term and Long-Term Borrowings.* The fair value of each note is calculated using present value techniques, based upon projected cash flows and estimated discount rates as well as rates being offered for similar debt.

A summary of estimated fair values of significant financial instruments consisted of the following:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Carrying Value</b>	<b>June 30, 2017</b>		
		<b>Level 1 Inputs</b>	<b>Level 2 Inputs</b>	<b>Level 3 Inputs</b>
<b>ASSETS:</b>				
Cash	\$ 72,801	\$ 72,801	\$ -	\$ -
Short-Term Investments	162,377	162,377	-	-
Investment Securities, Available for Sale	529,686	276,965	252,721	-
Investment Securities, Held to Maturity	157,074	83,081	73,429	-
Loans Held for Sale	8,213	-	8,213	-
Loans, Net of Allowance for Loan Losses	1,607,954	-	-	1,601,137
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>				
Deposits	\$ 2,371,933	\$ -	\$ 2,305,776	\$ -
Short-Term Borrowings	6,105	-	6,141	-
Subordinated Notes Payable	52,887	-	40,960	-
Long-Term Borrowings	15,631	-	15,847	-

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Carrying Value</b>	<b>December 31, 2016</b>		
		<b>Level 1 Inputs</b>	<b>Level 2 Inputs</b>	<b>Level 3 Inputs</b>
<b>ASSETS:</b>				
Cash	\$ 48,268	\$ 48,268	\$ -	\$ -
Short-Term Investments	247,779	247,779	-	-
Investment Securities, Available for Sale	522,734	286,278	236,456	-
Investment Securities, Held to Maturity	177,365	119,157	57,589	-
Loans Held for Sale	10,886	-	10,886	-
Loans, Net of Allowance for Loan Losses	1,547,858	-	-	1,543,576
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>				
Deposits	\$ 2,412,286	\$ -	\$ 2,272,572	\$ -
Short-Term Borrowings	12,749	-	12,802	-
Subordinated Notes Payable	52,887	-	42,024	-
Long-Term Borrowings	14,881	-	15,122	-

All non-financial instruments are excluded from the above table. The disclosures also do not include goodwill. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Company.

**NOTE 8 – OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

The amounts allocated to other comprehensive income are presented in the table below. Reclassification adjustments related to securities held for sale are included in net gain/loss on securities transactions in the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income. For the periods presented, reclassifications adjustments related to securities held for sale was not material.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Before Tax Amount</b>	<b>Tax (Expense) Benefit</b>	<b>Net of Tax Amount</b>
<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2017</b>			
Investment Securities:			
Change in net unrealized gain/loss on securities available for sale	\$ 110	\$ (42)	\$ 68
Amortization of losses on securities transferred from available for sale to held to maturity	18	(7)	11
Total Other Comprehensive Loss	\$ 128	\$ (49)	\$ 79
<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2017</b>			
Investment Securities:			
Change in net unrealized gain/loss on securities available for sale	\$ 615	\$ (238)	\$ 377
Amortization of losses on securities transferred from available for sale to held to maturity	38	(15)	23
Total Other Comprehensive Income	\$ 653	\$ (253)	\$ 400
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Before Tax Amount</b>	<b>Tax (Expense) Benefit</b>	<b>Net of Tax Amount</b>
<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2016</b>			
Investment Securities:			
Change in net unrealized gain/loss on securities available for sale	\$ 908	\$ (350)	\$ 558
Amortization of losses on securities transferred from available for sale to held to maturity	20	(8)	12
Total Other Comprehensive Income	\$ 928	\$ (358)	\$ 570
<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2016</b>			
Investment Securities:			
Change in net unrealized gain/loss on securities available for sale	\$ 2,692	\$ (1,038)	\$ 1,654
Amortization of losses on securities transferred from available for sale to held to maturity	39	(15)	24
Total Other Comprehensive Income	\$ 2,731	\$ (1,053)	\$ 1,678

Accumulated other comprehensive loss was comprised of the following components:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Securities Available for Sale</b>	<b>Retirement Plans</b>	<b>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss</b>
<b>Balance as of January 1, 2017</b>	\$ (583)	\$ (25,642)	\$ (26,225)
Other comprehensive income during the period	400	-	400
<b>Balance as of June 30, 2017</b>	\$ (183)	\$ (25,642)	\$ (25,825)
<b>Balance as of January 1, 2016</b>	\$ (127)	\$ (22,130)	\$ (22,257)
Other comprehensive income during the period	1,678	-	1,678
<b>Balance as of June 30, 2016</b>	\$ 1,551	\$ (22,130)	\$ (20,579)

## NOTE 9 – ACCOUNTING STANDARDS UPDATES

*ASU 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606).”* ASU 2014-09 implements a common revenue standard that clarifies the principles for recognizing revenue. The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity should apply the following steps: (i) identify the contract(s) with a customer, (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (iii) determine the transaction price, (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract and (v) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. A significant portion of the Company’s revenue is comprised of net interest income on financial instruments, which is explicitly excluded from the scope of ASU 2014-09. In addition to interest income, the Company has various noninterest income revenue streams that the Company is in the process of assessing. The Company has formed a revenue recognition working group and to date has completed its preliminary scoping and walk-through of noninterest income revenue streams. Amongst non-interest income revenue streams, mortgage banking fees are not in the scope of the standard. Management has substantially completed its detailed contract review for the remaining revenue streams. ASU 2014-09 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2018 and must be retrospectively applied. The Company expects to adopt the standard with a cumulative effect adjustment to opening retained earnings, if such adjustment is deemed to be significant.

*ASU 2016-13, “Financial Instruments – Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Statements.”* ASU 2016-13 requires the measurement of all expected credit losses for financial assets held at the reporting date based on historical experience, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts and requires enhanced disclosures related to the significant estimates and judgments used in estimating credit losses, as well as the credit quality and underwriting standards of an organization’s portfolio. In addition, ASU 2016-13 amends the accounting for credit losses on available-for-sale debt securities and purchased financial assets with credit deterioration. ASU 2016-13 will be effective for the Company on January 1, 2020. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of ASU 2016-13 on its financial statements.

*ASU 2017-04, “Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350).”* ASU 2017-04 simplifies the subsequent measurement of goodwill by eliminating Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. Instead, under the amendments in this Update, an entity should perform its annual, or interim, goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. An entity should recognize an impairment charge for the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the reporting unit’s fair value; however, the loss recognized should not exceed the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. Additionally, an entity should consider income tax effects from any tax deductible goodwill on the carrying amount of the reporting unit when measuring the goodwill impairment loss, if applicable. ASU 2017-04 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2020 and is not expected to have a significant impact on its financial statements.

*ASU 2017-05, “Other Income-Gains and Losses from the Derecognition of Nonfinancial Assets (Subtopic 610-20) - Clarifying the Scope of Asset Derecognition Guidance and Accounting for Partial Sales of Nonfinancial Asset.”* ASU 2017-05 clarifies the scope of Subtopic 610-20 and adds guidance for partial sales of nonfinancial assets, including

partial sales of real estate. Historically, accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) contained several different accounting models to evaluate whether the transfer of certain assets qualified for sale treatment. ASU 2017-05 reduces the number of potential accounting models that might apply and clarifies which model does apply in various circumstances. ASU 2017-05 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2018 and is not expected to have a significant impact on its financial statements.

*ASU 2017-07, “Compensation – Retirement Benefits (Topic 715).”* ASU 2017-07 requires that an employer report the service cost component in the same line item or items as other compensation costs arising from services rendered by the pertinent employees during the period. The other components of net benefit cost as defined in paragraphs 715-30-35-4 and 715-60-35-9 are required to be presented in the income statement separately from the service cost component and outside a subtotal of income from operations, if one is presented. If a separate line item or items are used to present the other components of net benefit cost, that line item or items must be appropriately described. If a separate line item or items are not used, the line item or items used in the income statement to present the other components of net benefit cost must be disclosed. ASU 2017-07 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2018 and is not expected to have a significant impact on its financial statements.

*ASU 2017-09, “Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718).”* ASU 2017-09 clarifies when to account for a change to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award as a modification. Modification accounting is required only if the fair value, or calculated intrinsic value if it is used to measure the award, the vesting conditions, or the classification of the award as equity or liability changes as a result of the change in terms or conditions. ASU 2017-09 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2018 and is not expected to have a significant impact on its financial statements.



*ASU 2017-11, “Earnings Per Share (Topic 260), Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity (Topic 480), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815).”* ASU 2017-11 has two parts (i) Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Down Round Features and (ii) Replacement of the Indefinite Deferral for Mandatorily Redeemable Financial Instruments of Certain Nonpublic Entities and Certain Mandatorily Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests with a Scope Exception. Part (i) changes the classification analysis of certain equity-linked financial instruments with down round features. When determining whether certain financial instruments should be classified as liabilities or equity instruments, a down round feature no longer precludes equity classification when assessing whether the instrument is indexed to an entity’s own stock. The amendments also clarify existing disclosure requirements for equity-classified instruments. Part (ii) re-characterizes the indefinite deferral of certain provisions of Topic 480 that are now presented as pending content in the Codification, to a scope exception. Those amendments do not have an accounting effect. ASU 2017-11 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2019 and is not expected to have a significant impact on its financial statements.

**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") provides supplemental information, which sets forth the major factors that have affected our financial condition and results of operations and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes. The following information should provide a better understanding of the major factors and trends that affect our earnings performance and financial condition, and how our performance during 2017 compares with prior years. Throughout this section, Capital City Bank Group, Inc., and subsidiaries, collectively, is referred to as "CCBG," "Company," "we," "us," or "our."

**CAUTION CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including this MD&A section, contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, among others, statements about our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, estimates and intentions that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors, many of which are beyond our control. The words "may," "could," "should," "would," "believe," "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "target," "goal," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to risks and uncertainties. Our actual future results may differ materially from those set forth in our forward-looking statements. Please see the Introductory Note and *Item 1A. Risk Factors* of our 2016 Report on Form 10-K, as updated in our subsequent quarterly reports filed on Form 10-Q, and in our other filings made from time to time with the SEC after the date of this report.

However, other factors besides those listed in our Quarterly Report or in our Annual Report also could adversely affect our results, and you should not consider any such list of factors to be a complete set of all potential risks or uncertainties. Any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf speak only as of the date they are made. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement, except as required by applicable law.

**BUSINESS OVERVIEW**

We are a financial holding company headquartered in Tallahassee, Florida, and we are the parent of our wholly owned subsidiary, Capital City Bank (the "Bank" or "CCB"). The Bank offers a broad array of products and services through a total of 60 full-service offices located in Florida, Georgia, and Alabama. The Bank offers commercial and retail banking services, as well as trust and asset management, retail securities brokerage and data processing services.

Our profitability, like most financial institutions, is dependent to a large extent upon net interest income, which is the difference between the interest and fees received on earning assets, such as loans and securities, and the interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities, principally deposits and borrowings. Results of operations are also affected by the provision for loan losses, operating expenses such as salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and other operating expenses including income taxes, and noninterest income such as deposit fees, wealth management fees, mortgage banking fees, bank card fees, and data processing fees.

A detailed discussion regarding the economic conditions in our markets and our long-term strategic objectives is included as part of the MD&A section of our 2016 Form 10-K.

### NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURE

We present a tangible common equity ratio that removes the effect of goodwill resulting from merger and acquisition activity. We believe this measure is useful to investors because it allows investors to more easily compare our capital adequacy to other companies in the industry. The GAAP to non-GAAP reconciliation is provided below.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	2017			2016		
	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Shareowners' Equity (GAAP)	\$ 281,513	\$ 278,059	\$ 275,168	\$ 276,624	\$ 274,824	\$ 276,831
Less: Goodwill (GAAP)	84,811	84,811	84,811	84,811	84,811	84,811
Tangible Shareowners' Equity (non-GAAP)	A 196,702	193,248	190,357	191,813	190,013	192,020
Total Assets (GAAP)	2,814,843	2,895,531	2,845,197	2,753,154	2,767,636	2,792,187
Less: Goodwill (GAAP)	84,811	84,811	84,811	84,811	84,811	84,811
Tangible Assets (non-GAAP)	B \$ 2,730,032	\$ 2,810,720	\$ 2,760,386	\$ 2,668,343	\$ 2,682,825	\$ 2,707,376
<b>Tangible Common Equity Ratio (non-GAAP)</b>	A/B 7.21%	6.88%	6.90%	7.19%	7.08%	7.09%

**SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)**

	2017			2016		
<i>(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)</i>	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First
<b>Summary of Operations:</b>						
Interest Income	\$ 21,422	\$ 20,540	\$ 20,832	\$ 20,104	\$ 20,174	\$ 20,044
Interest Expense	926	804	773	784	798	834
Net Interest Income	20,496	19,736	20,059	19,320	19,376	19,210
Provision for Loan Losses	589	310	464	-	(97)	452
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	19,907	19,426	19,595	19,320	19,473	18,758
Noninterest Income <sup>(2)</sup>	13,135	12,718	12,778	13,011	15,215	12,677
Noninterest Expense	27,921	27,922	27,560	28,022	28,702	28,930
Income Before Income Taxes	5,121	4,222	4,813	4,309	5,986	2,505
Income Tax Expense	1,560	1,478	1,517	1,436	2,056	858
Net Income	3,561	2,744	3,296	2,873	3,930	1,647
Net Interest Income (FTE)	\$ 20,799	\$ 20,006	\$ 20,335	\$ 19,603	\$ 19,617	\$ 19,421
<b>Per Common Share:</b>						
Net Income Basic	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.10
Net Income Diluted	0.21	0.16	0.20	0.17	0.22	0.10
Cash Dividends Declared	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04
Diluted Book Value	16.54	16.38	16.23	16.39	16.31	16.04
Market Price:						
High	22.39	21.79	23.15	15.35	15.96	15.88
Low	17.68	19.22	14.29	13.32	13.16	12.83
Close	20.42	21.39	20.48	14.77	13.92	14.59
<b>Selected Average Balances:</b>						
Loans, Net	\$1,608,629	\$1,585,561	\$1,573,264	\$1,555,889	\$1,531,777	\$1,507,508
Earning Assets	2,502,030	2,529,207	2,423,388	2,417,943	2,447,777	2,440,718
Total Assets	2,817,479	2,845,140	2,743,463	2,734,465	2,767,854	2,763,746
Deposits	2,373,423	2,407,278	2,306,917	2,288,741	2,276,553	2,258,600
Shareowners' Equity	281,661	278,489	278,943	277,407	279,532	277,464
Common Equivalent Average Shares:						
Basic	16,955	16,919	16,809	16,804	17,144	17,202
Diluted	17,016	16,944	16,913	16,871	17,196	17,235
<b>Performance Ratios:</b>						
Return on Average Assets	0.51%	0.39%	0.48%	0.42%	0.57%	0.24%
Return on Average Equity	5.07	4.00	4.70	4.12	5.65	2.39
Net Interest Margin (FTE)	3.33	3.21	3.34	3.23	3.22	3.20
Noninterest Income as % of Operating Revenue	39.05	39.19	38.91	40.24	43.99	39.76
Efficiency Ratio	82.28	85.33	83.23	85.92	82.40	90.13
<b>Asset Quality:</b>						

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Allowance for Loan Losses	\$	13,242	\$	13,335	\$	13,431	\$	13,744	\$	13,677	\$	13,613	\$
Allowance for Loan Losses to Loans		0.81%		0.84%		0.86%		0.88%		0.89%		0.90%	
Nonperforming Assets (“NPAs”)	\$	15,934	\$	17,799	\$	19,171	\$	21,352	\$	22,836	\$	26,499	\$
NPAs to Total Assets		0.57%		0.61%		0.67%		0.78%		0.83%		0.95%	
NPAs to Loans plus OREO		0.97		1.11		1.21		1.35		1.48		1.73	
Allowance to Non-Performing Loans		166.23		160.70		157.40		159.56		166.50		150.44	
Net Charge-Offs to Average Loans		0.17		0.10		0.20		(0.02)		(0.04)		0.21	
<b>Capital Ratios:</b>													
Tier 1 Capital		15.58%		15.68%		15.51%		15.48%		15.63%		16.39%	
Total Capital		16.32		16.44		16.28		16.28		16.44		17.20	
Common Equity Tier 1		12.72		12.77		12.61		12.55		12.65		12.82	
Leverage		10.20		9.95		10.23		10.12		9.98		10.34	
Tangible Common Equity <sup>(1)</sup>		7.21		6.88		6.90		7.19		7.08		7.09	

<sup>(1)</sup>Non-GAAP financial measure. See non-GAAP reconciliation on page 29.

<sup>(2)</sup>Includes \$2.5 million gain on partial retirement of trust preferred securities in second quarter, 2016.

## FINANCIAL OVERVIEW

A summary overview of our financial performance is provided below.

### Results of Operations

- Net income of \$3.6 million, or \$0.21 per diluted share, for the second quarter of 2017 compared to net income of \$2.7 million, or \$0.16 per diluted share, for the first quarter of 2017, and net income of \$3.9 million, or \$0.22 per diluted share for the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, we realized net income of \$6.3 million, or \$0.37 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$5.6 million, or \$0.32 per diluted share, for the same period of 2016.
- Tax equivalent net interest income for the second quarter of 2017 was \$20.8 million compared to \$20.0 million for the first quarter of 2017 and \$19.6 million for the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, tax equivalent net interest income totaled \$40.8 million compared to \$39.0 million for the comparable period in 2016. Growth in tax equivalent net interest income compared to respective prior periods reflected rising interest rates and a favorable shift in our earning asset mix driven by loan growth.
- Provision for loan losses was \$0.6 million for the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$0.3 million for the first quarter of 2017 and negative \$0.1 million for the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, the loan loss provision totaled \$0.9 million compared to \$0.4 million for the same period of 2016. The increase in the loan loss provision compared to all prior periods was primarily due to growth in the loan portfolio.
- Noninterest income for the second quarter of 2017 totaled \$13.1 million, an increase of \$0.4 million, or 3.3%, over the first quarter of 2017 and a decrease of \$2.0 million, or 13.7%, from the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, noninterest income totaled \$25.9 million, a \$2.0 million, or 7.3%, decrease from the same period of 2016. The increase over the first quarter of 2017 was attributable to higher wealth management fees and mortgage banking fees. The decrease from both prior year periods was primarily due to a \$2.5 million gain from the partial retirement of our trust preferred securities (“TRUPs”) in the second quarter of 2016.
- Noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2017 totaled \$27.9 million comparable to the first quarter of 2017 and a decrease of \$0.8 million, or 2.7%, from the second quarter of 2016. The decrease from the second quarter of 2016 was due to lower other real estate owned (“OREO”) expense. For the first six months of 2017, noninterest expense totaled \$55.8 million, a decrease of \$1.7 million, or 3.1%, from the same period of 2016 due to lower OREO expense and other expense (primarily legal fees and FDIC insurance), partially offset by higher compensation expense

(primarily stock compensation).

## Financial Condition

- Average earning assets were \$2.502 billion for the second quarter of 2017, a decrease of \$27.2 million, or 1.1%, from the first quarter of 2017, and an increase of \$78.6 million, or 3.2%, over the fourth quarter of 2016. The decrease in average earning assets over the first quarter of 2017 primarily reflected a lower level of public fund deposits. Compared to the fourth quarter of 2016, the increase reflected broad based deposit growth that funded growth in the loan portfolio.
- Average loans increased by \$23.1 million, or 1.5%, over the first quarter of 2017 and \$35.4 million, or 2.3%, over the fourth quarter of 2016. The increase compared to the first quarter of 2017 reflected growth in all loan types except commercial loans and home equity loans. Growth over the fourth quarter of 2016 was experienced in all loan products, with the exception of institutional loans and home equity loans.
- Nonperforming assets totaled \$15.9 million at June 30, 2017, a decrease of \$1.9 million, or 10%, from March 31, 2017 and \$3.2 million, or 17%, from December 31, 2016. Nonperforming assets represented 0.57% of total assets at June 30, 2017 compared to 0.61% at March 31, 2017 and 0.67% at December 31, 2016.
- At June 30, 2017, we were well-capitalized with a risk based capital ratio of 16.32% and a tangible common equity ratio of 7.21% compared to 16.44% and 6.88%, respectively, at March 31, 2017, and 16.28% and 6.90%, respectively, at December 31, 2016. All of our regulatory capital ratios exceeded the threshold to be well-capitalized under the Basel III capital standards.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Net Income

For the second quarter of 2017, we realized net income of \$3.6 million, or \$0.21 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$2.7 million, or \$0.16 per diluted share for the first quarter of 2017, and net income of \$3.9 million, or \$0.22 per diluted share, for the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, we realized net income of \$6.3 million, or \$0.37 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$5.6 million, or \$0.32 per diluted share for the same period in 2016.





Compared to the first quarter of 2017, performance reflected higher net interest income of \$0.8 million and a \$0.4 million increase in noninterest income, partially offset by a \$0.3 million increase in the loan loss provision.

Compared to the second quarter of 2016, the decrease in earnings reflected lower noninterest income of \$2.0 million and a \$0.7 million increase in the loan loss provision, partially offset by higher net interest income of \$1.1 million, and a \$0.8 million reduction in noninterest expense, and lower income taxes of \$0.5 million.

The increase in earnings for the first six months of 2017 versus the comparable period in 2016 was attributable to higher net interest income of \$1.6 million and a \$1.7 million reduction in noninterest expense, partially offset by a \$0.5 million increase in the loan loss provision, lower noninterest income of \$2.0 million, and a \$0.1 million increase in income taxes.

A condensed earnings summary of each major component of our financial performance is provided below:

	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2017	March 31, 2017	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016
<i>(Dollars in Thousands, except per share data)</i>					
Interest Income	\$ 21,422	\$ 20,540	\$ 20,174	\$ 41,962	\$ 40,218
Taxable Equivalent Adjustments	303	270	241	573	452
Total Interest Income (FTE)	21,725	20,810	20,415	42,535	40,670
Interest Expense	926	804	798	1,730	1,632
Net Interest Income (FTE)	20,799	20,006	19,617	40,805	39,038
Provision for Loan Losses	589	310	(97)	899	355
Taxable Equivalent Adjustments	303	270	241	573	452
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	19,907	19,426	19,473	39,333	38,231
Noninterest Income	13,135	12,718	15,215	25,853	27,892
Noninterest Expense	27,921	27,922	28,702	55,843	57,632
Income Before Income Taxes	5,121	4,222	5,986	9,343	8,491
Income Tax Expense	1,560	1,478	2,056	3,038	2,914
Net Income	\$ 3,561	\$ 2,744	\$ 3,930	\$ 6,305	\$ 5,577
Basic Net Income Per Share	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.32
Diluted Net Income Per Share	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.32

### Net Interest Income

Net interest income represents our single largest source of earnings and is equal to interest income and fees generated by earning assets less interest expense paid on interest bearing liabilities. This information is provided on a "taxable equivalent" basis to reflect the tax-exempt status of income earned on certain loans and state and local government debt obligations. We provide an analysis of our net interest income including average yields and rates in Table I on page 44.

Tax equivalent net interest income was \$20.8 million for the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$20.0 million for the first quarter of 2017 and \$19.6 million for the second quarter of 2016. The increase in tax equivalent net interest income compared to the first quarter of 2017 reflected a favorable shift in the earning asset mix, one additional calendar day and higher short-term interest rates, partially offset by higher rates paid on negotiated rate deposits. The increase in tax equivalent net interest income compared to the second quarter of 2016 reflected growth in the loan portfolio and higher short-term interest rates, partially offset by a higher rate paid on negotiated rate deposits. For the first six months of 2017, tax equivalent net interest income totaled \$40.8 million compared to \$39.0 million for the comparable period in 2016. The year over year increase was driven by growth in the loan and investment portfolios, coupled with higher short-term interest rates, partially offset by a higher rate paid on negotiated rate deposits and one less calendar day.

The overnight funds rate has increased four times since December 2015, from a range of 0.00%-0.25% to a range of 1.00% to 1.25%. These increases have positively affected our net interest income due to favorable repricing of our variable and adjustable rate earning assets. Although these interest rate increases have also resulted in higher rates paid on our negotiated rate products, we continue to monitor and manage our overall cost of funds, which was 15 basis points in the second quarter of 2017. Despite highly competitive loan pricing across most markets, our loan portfolio yield increased quarter-over-quarter.

Our net interest margin for the second quarter of 2017 was 3.33%, an increase of 12 basis points over the first quarter of 2017 and an increase of 11 basis points over the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, the net interest margin increased six basis points to 3.27% compared to the same period of 2016. The increase in the margin as compared to all respective prior periods reflected rising interest rates and a favorable shift in our earning asset mix, which has produced higher net interest income in each period.

We continue to maintain short duration portfolios on both sides of the balance sheet and believe we are well positioned to respond to changing market conditions. Over time, this strategy has historically produced fairly consistent outcomes and a net interest margin that is above peer comparisons.

### Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses for the second quarter of 2017 was \$0.6 million compared to a \$0.3 million provision expense for the first quarter of 2017 and a negative provision of \$0.1 million for the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, the loan loss provision totaled \$0.9 million compared to \$0.4 million for the same period of 2016. The increase in the loan loss provision over all respective prior periods was due to growth in the loan portfolio. We realized net loan charge-offs of \$0.7 million, or 0.17% (annualized), of average loans for the second quarter of 2017. This compares to net loan charge-offs of \$0.4 million, or 0.10% (annualized) for the first quarter of 2017 and net loan recoveries of \$0.2 million, or 0.04% (annualized) for the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, net charge-offs totaled \$1.1 million, or 0.14% (annualized), of average loans compared to \$0.6 million, or 0.08% (annualized), for the same period of 2016.

Charge-off activity for the respective periods is set forth below:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands, except per share data)</i>	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2017	March 31, 2017	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016
<b>CHARGE-OFFS</b>					
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 324	\$ 93	\$ 304	\$ 417	\$ 341
Real Estate - Construction	-	-	-	-	-
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	478	71	-	549	274
Real Estate - Residential	44	116	205	160	683
Real Estate - Home Equity	-	92	146	92	361
Consumer	537	624	438	1,161	877
Total Charge-offs	\$ 1,383	\$ 996	\$ 1,093	\$ 2,379	\$ 2,536
<b>RECOVERIES</b>					
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 40	\$ 81	\$ 49	\$ 121	\$ 88
Real Estate - Construction	-	-	-	-	-
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	58	23	237	81	318
Real Estate - Residential	202	213	579	415	815

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Real Estate - Home Equity	39	29	81	68	140
Consumer	362	244	308	606	544
Total Recoveries	\$ 701	\$ 590	\$ 1,254	\$ 1,291	\$ 1,905
Net (Recoveries) Charge-offs	\$ 682	\$ 406	\$ (161)	\$ 1,088	\$ 631
Net (Recoveries) Charge-offs (Annualized) as a percent of Average Loans Outstanding, Net of Unearned Income	0.17%	0.10%	(0.04)%	0.14%	0.08%

## Noninterest Income

Noninterest income for the second quarter of 2017 totaled \$13.1 million, an increase of \$0.4 million, or 3.3%, over the first quarter of 2017 and a decrease of \$2.0 million, or 13.7%, from the second quarter of 2016. The increase over the first quarter of 2017 was due to higher mortgage banking fees of \$0.2 million and wealth management fees of \$0.2 million. Compared to the second quarter of 2016, the decrease was due to lower other income of \$2.5 million (attributable to a gain from the partial retirement of our TRUPs in the second quarter of 2016), and lower deposit fees of \$0.2 million, partially offset by higher wealth management fees of \$0.4 million and mortgage banking fees of \$0.3 million. For the first six months of 2017, noninterest income totaled \$25.9 million, a \$2.0 million or 7.3%, decrease from the same period of 2016, due to lower other income of \$2.4 million related to the aforementioned TRUPs gain and to a lesser extent lower deposit fees of \$0.6 million, partially offset by higher wealth management fees of \$0.4 million and mortgage banking fees of \$0.6 million.

Noninterest income represented 39.1% of operating revenues (net interest income plus noninterest income) in the second quarter of 2017 compared to 39.2% in the first quarter of 2017 and 44.0% in the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, noninterest income represented 39.1% of operating revenues compared to 42.0% for the same period of 2016.

The table below reflects the major components of noninterest income.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2017	March 31, 2017	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016
Deposit Fees	\$ 5,052	\$ 5,090	\$ 5,321	\$ 10,142	\$ 10,721
Bank Card Fees	2,870	2,803	2,855	5,673	5,708
Wealth Management Fees	2,073	1,842	1,690	3,915	3,482
Mortgage Banking Fees	1,556	1,308	1,267	2,864	2,297
Other	1,584	1,675	4,082	3,259	5,684
<b>Total Noninterest Income</b>	<b>\$ 13,135</b>	<b>\$ 12,718</b>	<b>\$ 15,215</b>	<b>\$ 25,853</b>	<b>\$ 27,892</b>

Significant components of noninterest income are discussed in more detail below.

*Deposit Fees.* Deposit fees for the second quarter of 2017 totaled \$5.1 million, comparable to the first quarter of 2017, and a decrease of \$0.3 million, or 5.1%, from the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, deposit fees totaled \$10.1 million, a decrease of \$0.6 million, or 5.4%, from the same period of 2016. The decrease from both prior year periods reflected lower overdraft service fees due to a reduction in accounts using this service as well as lower utilization by existing users.

*Wealth Management Fees.* Wealth management fees, which include both trust fees (i.e., managed accounts, trusts/estates, and retirement plans) and retail brokerage fees (i.e., investment and insurance products) totaled \$2.1 million for the second quarter of 2017, an increase of \$0.2 million, or 12.5%, over the first quarter of 2017 and \$0.4 million, or 22.7% over the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, wealth management fees totaled \$3.9 million, an increase of \$0.4 million, or 12.4%, over the same period of 2016. The increase over all respective prior periods was due to higher trust fees and retail brokerage fees driven by an increase in assets under management and improved sales efforts. At June 30, 2017, total assets under management were approximately \$1.315 billion compared to \$1.192 billion at December 31, 2016 and \$1.178 billion at June 30, 2016.

*Mortgage Banking Fees.* Mortgage banking fees totaled \$1.6 million for the second quarter of 2017, an increase of \$0.2 million, or 19.0%, over the first quarter of 2017 and an increase of \$0.3 million, or 22.8%, over the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, fees totaled \$2.9 million, an increase of \$0.6 million, or 24.7%, over the same period of 2016. Strong home sales in our markets and a growing market share of residential loan production continue to enhance our mortgage banking fees.

*Other.* Other income totaled \$1.6 million for the second quarter of 2017, a decrease of \$0.1 million, or 5.4%, from the first quarter of 2017 and a decrease of \$2.5 million, or 61.2%, from the second quarter of 2016. The decrease from the second quarter of 2016 was attributable to a \$2.5 million gain from the partial retirement of our TRUPs in the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, other income decreased \$2.0 million, or 42.7%, compared to the same period of 2016 and primarily reflected the \$2.5 million TRUPs gain that was partially offset by higher miscellaneous fees totaling \$0.4 million (check cashing and merchant services).

**Noninterest Expense**

Noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2017 totaled \$27.9 million, comparable to the first quarter of 2017. Compared to the second quarter of 2016, noninterest expense decreased by \$0.8 million, or 2.7%, due to lower OREO expense. For the first six months of 2017, noninterest expense totaled \$55.8 million, a decrease of \$1.8 million, or 3.1%, from the same period of 2016 primarily due to lower OREO expense of \$1.6 million and other expense of \$0.6 million (primarily legal fees and FDIC insurance) that was partially offset by higher compensation expense of \$0.5 million (primarily stock compensation). Expense management is an important part of our culture and strategic focus and we continue to review and evaluate opportunities to optimize our operations, reduce operating costs and manage our discretionary expenses.

The table below reflects the major components of noninterest expense.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>			<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30, 2017</b>	<b>March 31, 2017</b>	<b>June 30, 2016</b>	<b>June 30, 2017</b>	<b>June 30, 2016</b>
Salaries	\$ 11,560	\$ 11,764	\$ 11,832	\$ 23,324	\$ 23,766
Associate Benefits	4,732	4,732	4,219	9,464	8,526
Total Compensation	16,292	16,496	16,051	32,788	32,292
Premises	2,217	2,204	2,276	4,421	4,583
Equipment	2,338	2,177	2,308	4,515	4,460
Total Occupancy	4,555	4,381	4,584	8,936	9,043
Legal Fees	537	485	638	1,023	1,337
Professional Fees	885	904	891	1,789	1,753
Processing Services	1,700	1,645	1,648	3,345	3,350
Advertising	531	467	529	998	869
Travel and Entertainment	222	174	226	396	423
Printing and Supplies	188	176	191	364	394
Telephone	527	829	469	1,356	938
Postage	185	216	223	402	484
Insurance - Other	410	402	633	812	1,261
Other Real Estate Owned, net	315	583	1,060	898	2,485
Miscellaneous	1,574	1,164	1,559	2,736	3,003
Total Other	7,074	7,045	8,067	14,119	16,297
<b>Total Noninterest Expense</b>	<b>\$ 27,921</b>	<b>\$ 27,922</b>	<b>\$ 28,702</b>	<b>\$ 55,843</b>	<b>\$ 57,632</b>

Significant components of noninterest expense are discussed in more detail below.

*Compensation.* Compensation expense totaled \$16.3 million for the second quarter of 2017, a decrease of \$0.2 million, or 1.2%, from the first quarter of 2017 due lower salary expense, primarily payroll taxes. Compared to the second quarter of 2016, total compensation expense increased \$0.2 million, or 1.5%, due to higher associate benefit expense of \$0.5 million that was partially offset by lower salary expense of \$0.3 million. For the first six months of 2017, compensation expense totaled \$32.8 million, an increase of \$0.5 million, or 1.5%, over the same period of 2016 attributable to higher associate benefit expense of \$0.9 million, partially offset by lower salary expense of \$0.4 million. Compared to both prior year periods, the increase in other associate benefit expense was primarily due to higher stock compensation attributable to higher pay-out values reflective of improving financial performance. To a lesser extent, higher pension plan expense attributable to a reduction in the discount rate for plan liabilities contributed to the increase. The reduction in salary expense was due to lower associate headcount.

*Occupancy.* Occupancy expense (including premises and equipment) totaled \$4.6 million for the second quarter of 2017, an increase of \$0.2 million, or 4.0%, over the first quarter of 2017 and comparable to the second quarter of 2016. The increase over the first quarter of 2017 was due to higher equipment maintenance expense, primarily related to a vendor refund received in the first quarter of 2017. For the first six months of 2017, occupancy expense totaled \$8.9 million a decrease of \$0.1 million, or 1.2%, from the same period of 2016 due to lower premises expense reflective of a reduction in the number of banking offices.



*Other.* Other noninterest expense totaled \$7.1 million for the second quarter of 2017 comparable to the first quarter of 2017 and a decrease of \$1.0 million, or 12.3%, from the second quarter of 2016. The decrease from the second quarter of 2016 was due to lower OREO expense of \$0.8 million, FDIC insurance expense of \$0.2 million, and legal fees of \$0.1 million. For the first six months of 2017, other expense decreased \$2.2 million, or 13.4%, from the same period of 2016, attributable to lower OREO expense of \$1.6 million, FDIC insurance expense of \$0.4 million, and legal fees of \$0.3 million, partially offset by higher telephone expense of \$0.4 million. Compared to both prior year periods, the decrease in OREO expense was primarily due to lower property valuation adjustments and losses on the sale of properties. Lower FDIC assessment factors drove the reduction in FDIC insurance premiums. Legal fees decreased as a result of lower support needed for problem asset resolutions. The higher level of telephone expense reflected the running of dual circuits for the first six months of 2017 as our new telephone system was implemented. This expense should normalize closer to historical norm during the second half of this year.

Our operating efficiency ratio (expressed as noninterest expense as a percent of the sum of taxable-equivalent net interest income plus noninterest income) was 82.28% for the second quarter of 2017 compared to 85.33% for the first quarter of 2017 and 82.40% for the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, this ratio was 83.78% compared to 86.11% for the same period of 2016.

## **Income Taxes**

We realized income tax expense of \$1.6 million (30% effective rate) for the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$1.5 million (35% effective rate) for the first quarter of 2017 and \$2.1 million (34% effective rate) for the second quarter of 2016. For the first six months of 2017, income tax expense totaled \$3.0 million (33% effective rate) compared to \$2.9 million (34% effective rate) for the comparable period of 2016. The lower effective tax rate for the first six months of 2017 reflected income tax benefits realized in connection with stock based compensation awards, which were recognized during the second quarter. Absent any other future discrete events, we anticipate our effective income tax rate to approximate 35%.

## **FINANCIAL CONDITION**

Average assets totaled approximately \$2.817 billion for the second quarter of 2017, a decrease of \$27.7 million, or 1.0%, from the first quarter of 2017, and an increase of \$74.0 million, or 2.7%, over the fourth quarter of 2016. Average earning assets were \$2.502 billion for the second quarter of 2017, a decrease of \$27.2 million, or 1.1%, from the first quarter of 2017, and an increase of \$78.6 million, or 3.2%, over the fourth quarter of 2016. The change in earning assets in each of the respective periods was attributable to increases/decreases in our short-term investments and growth in our loan portfolio. Changes in the level of our short-term investments (which consists primarily of overnight funds) are partially attributable to the seasonality of our public fund deposits.

## Investment Securities

In the second quarter of 2017, our average investment portfolio decreased \$5.9 million, or 0.9%, from the first quarter of 2017 and decreased \$12.0 million, or 1.7%, from the fourth quarter of 2016. Securities in our investment portfolio represented 27.7% of our average earning assets in the second quarter of 2017, compared to 27.6% in the first quarter of 2017, and 29.1% in the fourth quarter of 2016. Investment securities as a percent of average earning assets increased slightly compared to the first quarter, as the decline in average earning assets was greater relative to the decline in the investment portfolio. The decrease in the average balance of our investment portfolio compared to both prior periods primarily reflected a decrease in U.S. Treasury securities, partially offset by an increase in Ginnie Mae mortgage-backed securities. For the remainder of 2017, we will continue to closely monitor liquidity levels, as well as look for new investment products that are prudent relative to our risk profile and overall investment strategy.

Liquidity levels, including anticipated cash flow from the investment portfolio, will determine the extent to which investment cash flow will be reinvested into securities.

The investment portfolio is a significant component of our operations and, as such, it functions as a key element of liquidity and asset/liability management. Two types of classifications are approved for investment securities which are Available-for-Sale ("AFS") and Held-to-Maturity ("HTM"). During the second quarter of 2017, we purchased securities under both the AFS and HTM designations. At June 30, 2017, \$529.7 million, or 77.1%, of our investment portfolio was classified as AFS, and \$157.1 million, or 22.9%, classified as HTM.

We determine the classification of a security at the time of acquisition based on how the purchase will affect our asset/liability strategy and future business plans and opportunities. We consider multiple factors in determining classification, including regulatory capital requirements, volatility in earnings or other comprehensive income, and liquidity needs. Securities in the AFS portfolio are recorded at fair value with unrealized gains and losses associated with these securities recorded net of tax, in the accumulated other comprehensive income component of shareholders' equity. HTM securities are acquired or owned with the intent of holding them to maturity (final payment date). HTM investments are measured at amortized cost. We do not trade, nor do we presently intend to begin trading investment securities for the purpose of recognizing gains and therefore we do not maintain a trading portfolio.

At June 30, 2017, the investment portfolio had a net unrealized loss in the AFS portfolio of \$0.1 million compared to an unrealized loss of \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. At June 30, 2017, there were 281 positions (combined AFS and HTM) with unrealized losses at quarter end totaling \$1.8 million. Included were 142 positions comprised of Ginnie Mae mortgage-backed securities (54), U.S. Treasuries (61), and SBA securities (27) with an unrealized loss totaling \$1.5 million. Each of these positions carries the full faith and credit guarantee of the U.S. Government. SBA securities float monthly or quarterly to the prime rate and are uncapped. Of these 142 positions, there were 13 GNMA positions and six SBA positions in an unrealized loss position for longer than 12 months, which had unrealized losses of \$52,000 and \$15,000, respectively. In addition, there were 25 agency positions with an unrealized loss of \$0.2 million. Two of these 25 positions were in an unrealized loss position for longer than 12 months, and have unrealized losses of \$64,000. The remaining 114 positions in an unrealized loss position were municipal bonds that were pre-refunded, or rated "AA-" or better, with unrealized losses of \$78,000. Of these 114 positions, three were in an unrealized loss position greater than 12 months, with an unrealized loss of \$7,000. None of these positions with unrealized losses are considered impaired, and all are expected to mature at par.

The average maturity of our total portfolio at June 30, 2017 was 1.86 years compared to 1.89 years and 1.85 years at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

## **Loans**

Average loans increased \$23.1 million, or 1.5% compared to the first quarter of 2017, and have grown \$35.4 million, or 2.3% compared to the fourth quarter of 2016. The increase compared to the prior quarter reflected growth in all loan types except commercial loans and home equity loans. Growth over the fourth quarter of 2016 was experienced in all loan products except institutional loans and home equity loans. In the first quarter of 2017, we purchased an \$18.3 million pool of adjustable rate residential loans. Although having a minimal impact on the average balance during the second quarter of 2017, a \$16.4 million pool of fixed and adjustable rate commercial real estate loans was purchased in late June. The loans were individually reviewed and evaluated in accordance with our credit underwriting standards.

We continue to make minor modifications to some of our lending programs to try to mitigate the impact that consumer and business deleveraging is having on our loan portfolio. These programs, coupled with economic improvements in our anchor markets, have helped to increase overall production.

## **Nonperforming Assets**

Nonperforming assets (nonaccrual loans and OREO) totaled \$15.9 million at the end of the second quarter of 2017, a decrease of \$1.9 million, or 10.5%, from the first quarter of 2017 and \$3.2 million, or 16.9%, from the fourth quarter

Edgar Filing: CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

of 2016. Nonaccrual loans totaled \$7.9 million at the end of the second quarter of 2017, a \$0.3 million decrease from the first quarter of 2017 and a \$0.6 million decrease from the fourth quarter of 2016. The balance of OREO totaled \$8.0 million at the end of the second quarter of 2017, a decrease of \$1.6 million from the first quarter of 2017 and \$2.7 million from the fourth quarter of 2016. Nonperforming assets represented 0.57% of total assets at June 30, 2017 compared to 0.61% at March 31, 2017 and 0.67% at December 31, 2016.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>June 30, 2017</b>	<b>March 31, 2017</b>	<b>December 31, 2016</b>
Nonaccruing Loans:			
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 455	\$ 538	\$ 468
Real Estate - Construction	363	363	311
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	2,984	3,970	3,410
Real Estate - Residential	2,485	1,724	2,330
Real Estate - Home Equity	1,496	1,587	1,774
Consumer	183	116	240
Total Nonperforming Loans (“NPLs” <sup>(1)</sup> )	\$ 7,966	\$ 8,298	\$ 8,533
Other Real Estate Owned	7,968	9,501	10,638
Total Nonperforming Assets (“NPAs”)	\$ 15,934	\$ 17,799	\$ 19,171
Past Due Loans 30 – 89 Days	\$ 3,789	\$ 3,263	\$ 6,438
Performing Troubled Debt Restructurings	\$ 35,436	\$ 36,555	\$ 38,233
Nonperforming Loans/Loans	0.49%	0.52%	0.54%
Nonperforming Assets/Total Assets	0.57	0.61	0.67
Nonperforming Assets/Loans Plus OREO	0.97	1.11	1.21
Allowance/Nonperforming Loans	166.23%	160.70%	157.40%

(1) Nonperforming TDRs are included in the NPL totals.

Activity within our nonperforming asset portfolio is provided in the table below.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>NPA Beginning Balance:</b>	\$ 17,799	\$ 26,499	\$ 19,171	\$ 29,595
Change in Nonaccrual Loans:				
Beginning Balance	8,298	9,049	8,533	10,305
Additions	3,247	2,480	6,115	6,276
Charge-Offs	(1,046)	(708)	(1,602)	(1,639)
Transferred to OREO	(144)	(978)	(782)	(2,179)
Paid Off/Payments	(700)	(430)	(1,393)	(1,363)
Restored to Accrual	(1,689)	(1,199)	(2,905)	(3,186)
Ending Balance	7,966	8,214	7,966	8,214
Change in OREO:				
Beginning Balance	9,501	17,450	10,638	19,290
Additions	144	1,218	1,685	2,419
Valuation Write-downs	(275)	(678)	(769)	(1,513)
Sales	(1,209)	(3,368)	(3,320)	(5,574)
Other	(193)	-	(266)	-
Ending Balance	7,968	14,622	7,968	14,622
<b>NPA Net Change</b>	<b>(1,865)</b>	<b>(3,663)</b>	<b>(3,237)</b>	<b>(6,759)</b>
<b>NPA Ending Balance</b>	<b>\$ 15,934</b>	<b>\$ 22,836</b>	<b>\$ 15,934</b>	<b>\$ 22,836</b>

Activity within our TDR portfolio is provided in the table below.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>TDR Beginning Balance:</b>	\$ 39,066	\$ 38,713	\$ 39,976	\$ 38,321
Additions	182	90	302	1,111
Charge-Offs	(375)	-	(453)	-
Paid Off/Payments	(956)	(1,037)	(1,868)	(1,482)
Transferred to OREO	-	(227)	(40)	(411)
<b>TDR Ending Balance<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 37,917</b>	<b>\$ 37,539</b>	<b>\$ 37,917</b>	<b>\$ 37,539</b>

(1) Includes performing and nonaccrual TDR loan balances.

#### **Allowance for Loan Losses**

We maintain an allowance for loan losses at a level that management believes to be sufficient to provide for probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. Credit losses arise from borrowers' inability or unwillingness to repay, and from other risks inherent in the lending process, including collateral risk, operations risk, concentration risk and economic risk. All related risks of lending are considered when assessing the adequacy of the loan loss reserve. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision charged to expense. Loans are charged against the allowance when management believes collection of the principal is unlikely. The allowance for loan losses is based on management's judgment of overall loan quality. This is a significant estimate based on a detailed analysis of the loan portfolio. The balance can and will change based on changes in the assessment of the loan portfolio's overall credit quality. We evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis.

The allowance for loan losses was \$13.2 million at June 30, 2017 compared to \$13.3 million at March 31, 2017 and \$13.4 million at December 31, 2016. The allowance for loan losses was 0.81% of outstanding loans and provided coverage of 166% of nonperforming loans at June 30, 2017 compared to 0.84% and 161%, respectively, at March 31, 2017 and 0.86% and 157%, respectively, at December 31, 2016. The slight decrease in the allowance from both prior periods was attributable to continued favorable problem loan migration partially offset by growth in our loan portfolio. We believe that the allowance for loan losses was adequate to absorb losses inherent in our loan portfolio at June 30, 2017.

## Deposits

Average total deposits were \$2.373 billion for the second quarter of 2017, a decrease of \$33.9 million, or 1.4%, from the first quarter of 2017, and an increase of \$66.5 million, or 2.9% over the fourth quarter of 2016. The decline in deposits compared to the first quarter of 2017 reflected lower public NOW account and certificates of deposit balances, partially offset by increases in all other deposit types. The increase in deposits when compared to the fourth quarter of 2016 reflected growth in all deposit products except certificates of deposit. The seasonal inflows of public funds peaked in the first quarter of 2017 for this cycle, and are expected to decline into the fourth quarter of 2017.

Deposit levels remain strong, particularly given the recent increase in the fed funds rate. Because prudent pricing discipline is critical to managing our mix of deposits, we continue to monitor interest rates paid by competitors in the markets we serve.

## MARKET RISK AND INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY

### Market Risk and Interest Rate Sensitivity

*Overview.* Market risk management arises from changes in interest rates, exchange rates, commodity prices, and equity prices. We have risk management policies to monitor and limit exposure to market risk and do not participate in activities that give rise to significant market risk involving exchange rates, commodity prices, or equity prices. Our risk management policies are primarily designed to minimize structural interest rate risk.

*Interest Rate Risk Management.* Our net income is largely dependent on net interest income. Net interest income is susceptible to interest rate risk to the degree that interest-bearing liabilities mature or re-price on a different basis than interest-earning assets. When interest-bearing liabilities mature or re-price more quickly than interest-earning assets in a given period, a significant increase in market rates of interest could adversely affect net interest income. Similarly, when interest-earning assets mature or re-price more quickly than interest-bearing liabilities, falling interest rates could result in a decrease in net interest income. Net interest income is also affected by changes in the portion of interest-earning assets that are funded by interest-bearing liabilities rather than by other sources of funds, such as noninterest-bearing deposits and shareowners' equity.

We have established a comprehensive interest rate risk management policy, which is administered by management's Asset/Liability Management Committee ("ALCO"). The policy establishes risk limits, which are quantitative measures of the percentage change in net interest income (a measure of net interest income at risk) and the fair value of equity capital (a measure of economic value of equity ("EVE") at risk) resulting from a hypothetical change in interest rates for

maturities from one day to 30 years. We measure the potential adverse impacts that changing interest rates may have on our short-term earnings, long-term value, and liquidity by employing simulation analysis through the use of computer modeling. The simulation model is designed to capture optionality factors such as call features and interest rate caps and floors imbedded in investment and loan portfolio contracts. As with any method of analyzing interest rate risk, there are certain shortcomings inherent in the interest rate modeling methodology that we use. When interest rates change, actual movements in different categories of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, loan prepayments, and withdrawals of time and other deposits, may deviate significantly from the assumptions that we use in our modeling. Finally, the methodology does not measure or reflect the impact that higher rates may have on adjustable-rate loan clients' ability to service their debts, or the impact of rate changes on demand for loan and deposit products.

We prepare a current base case and several alternative simulations, at least once per quarter, and report the analysis to the Board of Directors. In addition, more frequent forecasts may be produced when interest rates are particularly uncertain or when other business conditions so dictate.

Our interest rate risk management goal is to maintain expected changes in our net interest income and capital levels due to fluctuations in market interest rates within acceptable limits. Management attempts to achieve this goal by balancing, within policy limits, the volume of variable-rate liabilities with a similar volume of variable-rate assets, by keeping the average maturity of fixed-rate asset and liability contracts reasonably matched, by maintaining our core deposits as a significant component of our total funding sources, and by adjusting pricing rates to market conditions on a continuing basis.

We test our balance sheet using varying interest rate shock scenarios to analyze our interest rate risk. Average interest rates are shocked by plus or minus 100, 200, 300, and 400 basis points ("bp"), although we may elect not to use particular scenarios that we determined are impractical in a current rate environment. It is management's goal to structure the balance sheet so that net interest earnings at risk over 12-month and 24-month periods, and the economic value of equity at risk do not exceed policy guidelines at the various interest rate shock levels.

We augment our interest rate shock analysis with alternative external interest rate scenarios on a quarterly basis. These alternative interest rate scenarios may include non-parallel rate ramps.



*Analysis.* Measures of net interest income at risk produced by simulation analysis are indicators of an institution's short-term performance in alternative rate environments. These measures are typically based upon a relatively brief period, usually one year. They do not necessarily indicate the long-term prospects or economic value of the institution.

#### ESTIMATED CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME <sup>(1)</sup>

<b>Percentage Change (12-month shock)</b>	<b>+400 bp</b>	<b>+300 bp</b>	<b>+200 bp</b>	<b>+100 bp</b>	<b>-100 bp</b>
Policy Limit	-15.0%	-12.5%	-10.0%	-7.5%	-7.5%
June 30, 2017	12.5%	9.0%	5.6%	2.7%	-8.7%
March 31, 2017	14.6%	10.6%	6.6%	3.1%	-11.0%
<b>Percentage Change (24-month shock)</b>	<b>+400 bp</b>	<b>+300 bp</b>	<b>+200 bp</b>	<b>+100 bp</b>	<b>-100 bp</b>
Policy Limit	-17.5%	-15.0%	-12.5%	-10.0%	-10.0%
June 30, 2017	41.3%	30.9%	20.7%	11.3%	-13.2%
March 31, 2017	44.8%	33.4%	22.1%	11.7%	-16.0%

The Net Interest Income at Risk position indicates that in the short-term, all rising rate environments will positively impact our interest margin, while a declining rate environment of 100bp will have a negative impact on our net interest margin. The 12-month and 24-month Net Interest Income at Risk positions improved at the end of the second quarter of 2017 when compared to the prior quarter-end in the "rates down 100 bp" scenario. NII declined slightly in all rising rate scenarios, reflecting lower levels of repricing assets, primarily overnight funds.

All measures of net interest income at risk are within our prescribed policy limits with the exception of an instantaneous rate shock of -100 bp over both a 12-month and 24-month period, which were -8.7% and -13.2% compared to limits of -7.50% and -10.0%, respectively. These metrics remain out of compliance due to our limited ability to lower our deposits rates relative to a decline in market rates. To bring this metric into compliance with our policy limits in the down 100bp scenario would require the bank to extend its asset duration considerably, which we do not believe is prudent given the historically low interest rate environment.

The measures of equity value at risk indicate our ongoing economic value by considering the effects of changes in interest rates on all of our cash flows, and discounting the cash flows to estimate the present value of assets and liabilities. The difference between the aggregated discounted values of the assets and liabilities is the economic value of equity, which, in theory, approximates the fair value of our net assets.

**ESTIMATED CHANGES IN ECONOMIC VALUE OF EQUITY <sup>(1)</sup>**

<b>Changes in Interest Rates</b>	<b>+400 bp</b>	<b>+300 bp</b>	<b>+200 bp</b>	<b>+100 bp</b>	<b>-100 bp</b>
Policy Limit	-30.0%	-25.0%	-20.0%	-15.0%	-15.0%
June 30, 2017	35.1%	27.8%	19.6%	10.8%	-23.7%
March 31, 2017	32.9%	26.1%	18.3%	10.1%	-25.4%

At June 30, 2017, the economic value of equity in all rate scenarios versus the base case was more favorable than it was at March 31, 2017. The EVE in the rates down 100 bp scenario remains outside of the desired parameters as exposure to falling rates is more extreme due to the low level of current deposit costs and limited capacity to reduce those costs relative to comparable discount benchmarks used to value them. To bring this metric into compliance with our policy limits in the down 100 bp scenario would require the bank to extend its asset duration considerably, which we do not believe is prudent given the historically low interest rate environment.

*(1) Down 200, 300, and 400 bp scenarios have been excluded due to the historically low interest rate environment.*

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

### Liquidity

In general terms, liquidity is a measurement of our ability to meet our cash needs. Our objective in managing our liquidity is to maintain our ability to meet loan commitments, purchase securities or repay deposits and other liabilities in accordance with their terms, without an adverse impact on our current or future earnings. Our liquidity strategy is guided by policies that are formulated and monitored by our ALCO and senior management, and which take into account the marketability of assets, the sources and stability of funding and the level of unfunded commitments. We regularly evaluate all of our various funding sources with an emphasis on accessibility, stability, reliability and cost-effectiveness. Our principal source of funding has been our client deposits, supplemented by our short-term and long-term borrowings, primarily from securities sold under repurchase agreements, federal funds purchased and FHLB borrowings. We believe that the cash generated from operations, our borrowing capacity and our access to capital resources are sufficient to meet our future operating capital and funding requirements.

At June 30, 2017, we had the ability to generate \$1.273 billion in additional liquidity through all of our available resources (this excludes \$162 million in overnight funds sold). In addition to the primary borrowing outlets mentioned above, we also have the ability to generate liquidity by borrowing from the Federal Reserve Discount Window and through brokered deposits. We recognize the importance of maintaining liquidity and have developed a Contingency Liquidity Plan, which addresses various liquidity stress levels and our response and action based on the level of severity. We periodically test our credit facilities for access to the funds, but also understand that as the severity of the liquidity level increases that certain credit facilities may no longer be available. We conduct a liquidity stress test on a quarterly basis based on events that could potentially occur at the Bank and report results to ALCO, our Market Risk Oversight Committee, and the Board of Directors. At June 30, 2017, we believe the liquidity available to us was sufficient to meet our needs.

We view our investment portfolio primarily as a source of liquidity and have the option to pledge the portfolio as collateral for borrowings or deposits, and/or sell selected securities. The portfolio consists of debt issued by the U.S. Treasury, U.S. governmental and federal agencies, and municipal governments. The weighted average life of the portfolio is approximately 1.86 years, and at June 30, 2017, it had a net unrealized loss of \$0.1 million in the available-for-sale portfolio.

Our average overnight funds position (defined as funds sold plus interest bearing deposits with other banks less funds purchased) was \$200.8 million during the second quarter of 2017 compared to an average net overnight funds sold position of \$245.2 million in the first quarter of 2017 and \$145.5 million in the fourth quarter of 2016. The decrease in net overnight funds compared to the first quarter of 2017 reflected growth in our loan portfolio and declines in public fund balances. The increase in net overnight funds compared to the fourth quarter of 2016 primarily reflected higher levels of all deposit products other than certificates of deposit, partially offset by growth in the loan portfolio.

We expect our capital expenditures will be approximately \$5.0 million over the next 12 months, which will primarily consist of office remodeling, office equipment/furniture, and technology purchases. Management expects that these capital expenditures will be funded with existing resources without impairing our ability to meet our on-going obligations.

## **Borrowings**

At June 30, 2017, advances from the FHLB totaled \$14.7 million in outstanding debt consisting of 17 notes. During the first six months of 2017, the Bank made FHLB advance payments totaling approximately \$3.5 million, which included paying off two advances totaling \$1.4 million, and one maturing advance of \$0.6 million. We did not obtain any new FHLB advances during the second quarter of 2017. The FHLB notes are collateralized by a blanket floating lien on all of our 1-4 family residential mortgage loans, commercial real estate mortgage loans, and home equity mortgage loans.

We have issued two junior subordinated deferrable interest notes to our wholly owned Delaware statutory trusts. The first note for \$30.9 million was issued to CCBG Capital Trust I in November 2004, of which \$10 million was retired in April 2016. The second note for \$32.0 million was issued to CCBG Capital Trust II in May 2005. The interest payment for the CCBG Capital Trust I borrowing is due quarterly and adjusts quarterly to a variable rate of three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 1.90%. This note matures on December 31, 2034. The interest payment for the CCBG Capital Trust II borrowing is due quarterly and adjusts annually to a variable rate of three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 1.80%. This note matures on June 15, 2035. The proceeds from these borrowings were used to partially fund acquisitions. Under the terms of each junior subordinated deferrable interest note, in the event of default or if we elect to defer interest on the note, we may not, with certain exceptions, declare or pay dividends or make distributions on our capital stock or purchase or acquire any of our capital stock.

On April 12, 2016, we retired \$10 million in face value of trust preferred securities that were auctioned as part of a liquidation of a pooled collateralized debt obligation fund. The trust preferred securities were originally issued through CCBG Capital Trust I. Our winning bid equated to approximately 75% of the \$10 million par value, with the 25% discount resulting in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$2.5 million. We utilized internal resources and a \$3.75 million draw on a short-term borrowing facility to fund the repurchase.

## Capital

Shareowners' equity was \$281.5 million at June 30, 2017, compared to \$278.1 million at March 31, 2017 and \$275.2 million at December 31, 2016. Our leverage ratio was 10.20%, 9.95%, and 10.23%, respectively, for these periods. Further, at June 30, 2017, our risk-adjusted capital ratio was 16.32% compared to 16.44% and 16.28% at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Our common equity tier 1 ratio was 12.72% at June 30, 2017 compared to 12.77% and 12.61% at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. All of our capital ratios exceeded the threshold to be designated as "well-capitalized" under the Basel III capital standards at June 30, 2017.

During the first six months of 2017, shareowners' equity increased \$6.3 million, or 4.6%, on an annualized basis. During this same period, shareowners' equity was positively impacted by net income of \$6.3 million, stock compensation accretion of \$0.9 million, a \$0.4 million net decrease in the unrealized loss on investment securities, and net adjustments totaling \$0.4 million related to transactions under our stock compensation plans. Shareowners' equity was reduced by common stock dividends totaling \$1.7 million.

At June 30, 2017, our common stock had a book value of \$16.54 per diluted share compared to \$16.38 at March 31, 2017 and \$16.23 at December 31, 2016. Book value is impacted by changes in the amount of our net unrealized gain or loss on investment securities available-for-sale and changes to the amount of our unfunded pension liability both of which impact other comprehensive income. At June 30, 2017, the net unrealized loss on investment securities available for sale was \$0.2 million and the amount of our unfunded pension liability was \$25.6 million.

In February 2014, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 1,500,000 shares of our outstanding common stock through February 2019. Repurchases may be made in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions; however, we are not obligated to repurchase any specified number of shares. We have not repurchased any shares during 2017. At June 30, 2017, we were authorized to repurchase up to 640,000 additional shares under the plan.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We do not currently engage in the use of derivative instruments to hedge interest rate risks. However, we are a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risks in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our clients.

As of June 30, 2017, we had \$452.9 million in commitments to extend credit and \$5.1 million in standby letters of credit. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a client so long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by us to guarantee the performance of a client to a third party. We use the same credit policies in establishing commitments and issuing letters of credit as we do for on-balance sheet instruments.

If commitments arising from these financial instruments continue to require funding at historical levels, management does not anticipate that such funding will adversely impact our ability to meet our on-going obligations. In the event these commitments require funding in excess of historical levels, management believes current liquidity, advances available from the FHLB and the Federal Reserve, and investment security maturities provide a sufficient source of funds to meet these commitments.

## **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our 2016 Form 10-K. The preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and reporting practices applicable to the banking industry requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and to disclose contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

We have identified accounting for (i) the allowance for loan and lease losses, (ii) valuation of goodwill, and (iii) pension benefits as our most critical accounting policies and estimates in that they are important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results, and they require our subjective and complex judgment as a result of the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. These accounting policies, including the nature of the estimates and types of assumptions used, are described throughout this Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our 2016 Form 10-K.

**TABLE I**  
**AVERAGE BALANCES & INTEREST**  
**RATES**

(Dollars in Thousands)	Three Months Ended June 30,						Six Months Ended		
	2017			2016			2017		
	Average Balances	Average Interest	Average Rate	Average Balances	Average Interest	Average Rate	Average Balances	Average Interest	
<b>Assets:</b>									
Loans <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$ 1,608,629	\$ 18,880	4.71%	\$ 1,531,777	\$ 18,233	4.79%	\$ 1,597,159	\$ 37,017	
Taxable Securities <sup>(2)</sup>	591,825	1,898	1.28	571,343	1,539	1.08	596,153	3,682	
Tax-Exempt Securities	100,742	414	1.64	90,030	325	1.44	99,361	810	
Funds Sold	200,834	533	1.06	254,627	318	0.50	222,871	1,026	
Total Earning Assets	2,502,030	21,725	3.48%	2,447,777	20,415	3.35%	2,515,544	42,535	
Cash & Due From Banks	52,312			46,605			50,618		
Allowance For Loan Losses	(13,662)			(14,254)			(13,550)		
Other Assets	276,799			287,726			278,621		
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 2,817,479</b>			<b>\$ 2,767,854</b>			<b>\$ 2,831,233</b>		
<b>Liabilities:</b>									
NOW Accounts	\$ 806,621	\$ 222	0.11%	\$ 762,667	\$ 67	0.04%	\$ 843,459	\$ 356	
Money Market Accounts	261,726	57	0.09	257,000	30	0.05	260,423	92	
Savings Accounts	322,833	39	0.05	291,210	36	0.05	317,055	77	
Other Time Deposits	152,811	70	0.18	170,837	78	0.19	155,535	144	
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	1,543,991	388	0.10	1,481,714	211	0.06	1,576,472	669	
Short-Term Borrowings	8,957	17	0.75	53,691	38	0.28	10,873	62	
Subordinated Notes Payable	52,887	404	3.02	54,316	343	2.50	52,887	783	
Other Long-Term Borrowings	16,065	117	2.93	26,721	206	3.11	15,271	216	
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	1,621,900	926	0.23%	1,616,442	798	0.20%	1,655,503	1,730	
Noninterest Bearing Deposits	829,432			794,839			813,785		
Other Liabilities	84,486			77,041			81,861		
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>2,535,818</b>			<b>2,488,322</b>			<b>2,551,149</b>		
<b>TOTAL SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>281,661</b>			<b>279,532</b>			<b>280,084</b>		
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 2,817,479</b>			<b>\$ 2,767,854</b>			<b>\$ 2,831,233</b>		
Interest Rate Spread			3.25%			3.15%			
Net Interest Income		\$ 20,799			\$ 19,617			\$ 40,805	
Net Interest Margin <sup>(3)</sup>			3.33%			3.22%			

<sup>(1)</sup>Average Balances include nonaccrual loans.

<sup>(2)</sup>Interest income includes the effects of taxable equivalent adjustments using a 35% tax rate.

<sup>(3)</sup>Taxable equivalent net interest income divided by average earnings assets.



**Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

See “Market Risk and Interest Rate Sensitivity” in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, above, which is incorporated herein by reference. Management has determined that no additional disclosures are necessary to assess changes in information about market risk that have occurred since December 31, 2016.

**Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

At June 30, 2017, the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer each concluded that at June 30, 2017, the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, we maintained effective disclosure controls and procedures.

**Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has reviewed our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). There have been no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We are party to lawsuits arising out of the normal course of business. In management's opinion, there is no known pending litigation, the outcome of which would, individually or in the aggregate, have a material effect on our

consolidated results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

In addition to the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. “Risk Factors” in our 2016 Form 10-K, as updated in our subsequent quarterly reports. The risks described in our 2016 Form 10-K are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

None.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosure**

None.

**Item 5. Other Information**

None.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

(A) Exhibits

31.1 Certification of William G. Smith, Jr., Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

31.2 Certification of J. Kimbrough Davis, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

32.1 Certification of William G. Smith, Jr., Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

32.2 Certification of J. Kimbrough Davis, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned Chief Financial Officer hereunto duly authorized.

CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.

(Registrant)

/s/ J. Kimbrough Davis

J. Kimbrough Davis

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial  
Officer

(Mr. Davis is the Principal Financial Officer and  
has been duly authorized to sign on behalf of the  
Registrant)

Date: August 3, 2017

Exhibit Index

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Certification of William G. Smith, Jr., Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	Certification of J. Kimbrough Davis, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.1	Certification of William G. Smith, Jr., Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
32.2	Certification of J. Kimbrough Davis, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

