

DIGIRAD CORP
Form DEF 14A
July 20, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant Rule 14a-12

Digirad Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
- Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:

DIGIRAD CORPORATION
13950 Stowe Drive
Poway, California 92064

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON AUGUST 17, 2012

To the Stockholders of Digirad Corporation:

You are cordially invited to attend our annual meeting of stockholders on August 17, 2012. We will hold the meeting at 9:00a.m. Pacific Daylight Time at our headquarters at 13950 Stowe Drive, Poway, California.

In connection with the annual meeting, we have prepared a proxy statement setting out detailed information about the matters that will be covered at the meeting. We will mail our proxy statement, along with a proxy card, on or about July 20, 2012 to our stockholders of record as of the close of business on June 20, 2012. These materials and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 are also available electronically at our corporate website (www.digirad.com).

Our board of directors has fixed the close of business on June 20, 2012 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at our annual meeting and at any adjournment(s), postponement(s) or other delay(s) thereof. Voting on the matters to be considered at the annual meeting can be done by (1) by signing and dating the enclosed proxy card and returning it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, (2) by submitting your vote by telephone or via the Internet, or (3) in person by ballot at the annual meeting. Important information about attending the annual meeting in person is included in the proxy statement.

The matters that will be considered at the annual meeting are:

1. To elect eight directors, each to a one-year term expiring at our 2013 annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
2. To ratify the appointment of our independent auditors;
3. To conduct an advisory (non-binding) vote to approve named executive officer compensation; and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the annual meeting or any adjournment(s), postponement(s) or other delay(s) thereof.

Your vote is extremely important. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, please vote your shares as soon as possible. Using a proxy card to submit your vote now will not prevent you from attending or voting in person by ballot at the annual meeting. If you vote in person by ballot at the annual meeting, that vote will revoke any prior proxy that you have submitted.

Sincerely,

/S/ R. KING NELSON
R. King Nelson
Chairman of the Board

Poway, California
July 20, 2012

DIGIRAD CORPORATION
 13950 Stowe Drive
 Poway, California 92064

PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON AUGUST 17, 2012
 TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PROXY STATEMENT</u>	5
<u>Who May Vote</u>	5
<u>Voting Requirements</u>	5
<u>The Board of Directors' Voting Recommendations</u>	5
<u>How to Vote</u>	6
<u>If You Plan to Attend the Annual Meeting</u>	6
<u>Revoking a Proxy</u>	6
<u>How We Solicit Proxies</u>	6
<u>Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be Held on August 17, 2012</u>	6
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND ETHICS</u>	6
<u>Composition of the Board of Directors</u>	6
<u>Director Nomination Process</u>	6
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	8
<u>Board Meeting Attendance</u>	8
<u>Director Independence</u>	8
<u>Director Attendance at Annual Meeting</u>	9
<u>Board Self-Assessments</u>	9
<u>Committees of the Board of Directors</u>	9
<u>The Board of Directors' Role in Risk Oversight</u>	10
<u>Communications with our Board of Directors</u>	10
<u>Code of Business Ethics and Conduct</u>	11
<u>Corporate Governance Documents Available Online</u>	11
<u>Non-Management Director Stock Ownership Policy</u>	11
<u>Director Term Limits</u>	11
<u>Committee Rotation Policy</u>	11
<u>Letter Agreement with Red Oak</u>	12
<u>Our Executive Officers</u>	12
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	14
<u>PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	16
<u>Nominees for Election to the Board of Directors</u>	16
<u>Information about Director Nominees</u>	16
<u>REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE</u>	19
<u>PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS</u>	21
<u>Principal Accounting Fees</u>	21
<u>Types of Fees Explained</u>	22
<u>Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Services by Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	22
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	23
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A)</u>	23
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	28
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	30

<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested at Fiscal Year End</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>2012 Management Compensation</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Cash Retainer Compensation</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Restricted Stock Units</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Non-Employee Director Equity Grants For 2012</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Non-Employee Director Compensation Table</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>PROPOSAL 3: ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) APPROVAL OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>COMPENSATION</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS AND SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>COMPLIANCE</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Related Person Transactions</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Policy Concerning Audit Committee Approval of Related Person Transactions</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>ANNUAL REPORT</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	<u>41</u>

DIGIRAD CORPORATION
13950 Stowe Drive
Poway, California 92064

PROXY STATEMENT

The board of directors of Digirad Corporation, a Delaware corporation (also referred to in this Proxy Statement as “Digirad,” “the Company,” “we” or “us”), is soliciting proxies from our stockholders in connection with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on August 17, 2012 and at any adjournment(s), postponement(s) or other delay(s) thereof (the “Annual Meeting”). We will hold the meeting at 9:00 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time at our headquarters at 13950 Stowe Drive, Poway, California.

The accompanying proxy is solicited by the board of directors and is revocable by the stockholder at any time before it is voted. This Proxy Statement is being mailed to stockholders of the Company on or about July 20, 2012 and is accompanied by the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Who May Vote

Only holders of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share (“common stock”), outstanding as of the close of business on June 20, 2012 (the “Record Date”) are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. As of the Record Date, there were 19,078,170 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote on all matters. No other class of securities will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. There are no cumulative voting rights.

Voting Requirements

The holders of a majority of the stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote thereat, present in person or represented by proxy, constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. Shares that reflect abstentions and broker non-votes, if any, count as present at the Annual Meeting for the purposes of determining a quorum. A broker non-vote occurs when a bank, broker or other nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner votes on one proposal but does not vote on another proposal because, with respect to such other proposal, the nominee does not have discretionary voting power and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

The vote requirement for each matter is as follows:

Proposal 1 (Election of Directors)- Because this election is not a contested election, each director will be elected when the number of votes cast "for" a director exceeds the number of votes cast "against" a director when a quorum is present (a majority of the votes present and cast). Votes that are withheld by brokers in the absence of instructions from the actual stockholder are considered “broker non-votes” and, like abstentions, do not count as votes cast and are not considered when determining the outcome of the election of directors.

Proposal 2 (Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditors)-The ratification of the appointment of our independent auditors requires the favorable vote of the holders of a majority of the common stock having voting power present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote thereon

Proposal 3 (Advisory (Non-Binding) Approval of Named Executive Officer Compensation)-The advisory (non-binding) approval of named executive officer compensation requires the favorable vote of the holders of a majority of the common stock having voting power present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote thereon.

In the election of directors (Proposal 1), abstentions and broker non-votes, if any, will be disregarded and have no effect on the outcome of the vote. With respect to the ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 2) and the advisory (non-binding) approval of named executive officer compensation (Proposal 3), abstentions will have the same effect as voting against such proposals, and broker non-votes, if any, will be disregarded and have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

The Board of Directors' Voting Recommendations

The board of directors recommends that you vote your shares “FOR” each of the board's eight nominees that are standing for election to the board of directors (Proposal 1); “FOR” the ratification of the appointment of our independent auditors (Proposal 2); and “FOR” the advisory (non-binding) approval of named executive officer compensation (Proposal 3).

How to Vote

If you are a stockholder of record as of the Record Date, you may vote (1) by signing and dating the enclosed proxy card and returning it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, (2) by submitting your vote by telephone or via the Internet, or (3) in person by ballot at the Annual Meeting. If you hold your shares of common stock in a brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you must follow the voting procedures provided by your broker, bank or other nominee, which instructions will be included with your proxy materials.

Giving us your proxy means you authorize the board of directors' designated proxy holders (who are identified on the enclosed proxy card) to vote your shares at the Annual Meeting in the manner that you have indicated and in their best judgment on such other matters that may properly come before the Annual Meeting. If you sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card but do not indicate your vote, the designated proxy holders will vote your shares "FOR" each of the board's eight nominees that are standing for election to the board of directors (Proposal 1); "FOR" the ratification of the appointment of our independent auditors (Proposal 2); and "FOR" the advisory (non-binding) approval of named executive officer compensation (Proposal 3). If you submit a proxy by telephone or by Internet following the instructions on the enclosed proxy card, it will authorize the proxy holders in the same manner as if you had signed, dated and returned a proxy card.

If You Plan to Attend the Annual Meeting

Attendance at the Annual Meeting will be limited to stockholders and the Company's invited guests. Each stockholder may be asked to present valid picture identification, such as a driver's license or passport. Stockholders holding shares of common stock in brokerage accounts or through a bank or other nominee may be required to show a brokerage statement or account statement reflecting stock ownership. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the Annual Meeting. You may contact Matt Camp at (858) 726-1360 for directions to the Annual Meeting.

If you are a stockholder of record as of the Record Date, you may vote your shares of common stock in person by ballot at the Annual Meeting. If you hold your shares of common stock in a stock brokerage account or through a bank or other nominee, you will not be able to vote in person at the Annual Meeting unless you have previously requested and obtained a "legal proxy" from your broker, bank or other nominee and present it at the Annual Meeting.

Revoking a Proxy

You may revoke your proxy by submitting a new proxy with a later date or by notifying our Corporate Secretary in writing at 13950 Stowe Drive, Poway, California 92064. If you attend the Annual Meeting in person and vote by ballot, any previously submitted proxy will be revoked.

How We Solicit Proxies

We will solicit proxies and will bear the entire cost of our solicitation, including the preparation, assembly, printing and mailing of this Proxy Statement and any additional materials furnished to our stockholders. The initial solicitation of proxies by mail may be supplemented by telephone, fax, e-mail, Internet and personal solicitation by our directors, officers or other regular employees. No additional compensation for soliciting proxies will be paid to our directors, officers or other regular employees for their proxy solicitation efforts. The Company expects to reimburse banks, brokers and other persons for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in handling proxy materials for beneficial owners of common stock. We have engaged the services of Innisfree M&A Incorporated to assist us in the solicitation of proxies for an anticipated fee not to exceed \$10,000 plus expenses.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be Held on August 17, 2012

The proxy statement and annual report to stockholders are available at <http://drad.client.shareholder.com> and follow the on-screen instructions. Have your proxy card available when you access the web page, and use the Company Number and Account Number shown on your proxy card.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND ETHICS

Composition of the Board of Directors

The current number of directors on our board of directors is eight. Under our bylaws, the number of directors on our board of directors will not be less than five nor more than nine and is fixed, and may be increased or decreased by resolution of the board.

Director Nomination Process

Director Qualifications

In evaluating director nominees, the corporate governance committee considers the appropriate size of our board of directors, as well as the qualities and skills of individual candidates. Factors considering include the following:

- A history illustrating personal and professional integrity and ethics;
- Independence;
- Successful business management experience;
- Public company experience, as officer or board member;
- Experience in the medical device, healthcare and employee leasing industries; and
- Educational background.

The corporate governance committee's goal is to assemble a board of directors that brings the Company a diversity of perspectives and skills derived from the factors considered above. The corporate governance committee also considers candidates with relevant non-business experience and training.

Our board of directors believes that it is necessary for each of our directors to possess many qualities and skills. When searching for new candidates, the corporate governance committee considers the evolving needs of the board and searches for candidates that fill any current or anticipated future gap. Our board of directors also believes that all directors must possess a considerable amount of business management (such as experience as a chief executive or chief financial officer) and educational experience. The corporate governance committee first considers a candidate's management experience and then considers issues of judgment, background, stature, conflicts of interest, integrity, ethics and commitment to the goal of maximizing stockholder value when considering director candidates. The corporate governance committee also focuses on issues of diversity, such as diversity of gender, race and national origin, education, professional experience and differences in viewpoints and skills. The corporate governance committee does not have a formal policy with respect to diversity; however, our board of directors and the corporate governance committee believe that it is essential that the directors represent diverse viewpoints. In considering candidates for our board of directors, the corporate governance committee considers the entirety of each candidate's credentials in the context of these standards. With respect to the nomination of continuing directors for re-election, the individual's contributions to the board are also considered.

Other than the foregoing background factors that are considered in selecting director candidates, there are no stated minimum qualifications for director nominees, although the corporate governance committee may also consider such other facts as it may deem are in the best interests of Digirad and our stockholders. The corporate governance committee does believe it appropriate for at least one, and preferably several, members of our board of directors to meet the criteria for an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), and that a majority of the members of our board of directors meet the definition of an "independent director" under the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market. At this time, the corporate governance committee also believes it appropriate for our chief executive officer to serve as a member of our board of directors.

Identification and Evaluation of Nominees for Directors

The corporate governance committee identifies nominees for director by first evaluating the current members of our board of directors willing to continue their service on the board. Current members with qualifications and skills that are consistent with the corporate governance committee's criteria for board service and who are willing to continue their service are considered for re-nomination, balancing the value of continuity of service by existing members of our board of directors with that of obtaining new perspectives. If any member of our board of directors does not wish to continue his or her service or if our board of directors decides not to re-nominate a member for re-election, the corporate governance committee identifies the desired skills and experience of a new nominee in light of the criteria above. The corporate governance committee generally polls our board of directors and members of management for

their recommendations regarding potential new nominees. The corporate governance committee may also review the composition and qualification of the boards of directors of our competitors, and may seek input from our stockholders, industry experts or analysts. The corporate governance committee reviews the qualifications, experience and background of the candidates.

Final candidates are interviewed by some or all of our independent directors and our chief executive officer. In making its determinations, the corporate governance committee evaluates each individual in the context of our board of directors as a whole, with the objective of assembling a group that can best attain success for Digirad and represent stockholder interests through the exercise of sound judgment. After review and deliberation of all feedback and data, the corporate governance committee makes its recommendation to our board of directors. Historically, the corporate governance committee has not relied on third-party search firms to identify board candidates. The corporate governance committee may in the future choose to do so in those situations where particular qualifications are required or where existing contacts are not sufficient to identify and acquire an appropriate candidate.

The corporate governance committee does not have a formal policy regarding consideration of director candidate recommendations

from our stockholders. Any recommendations received from stockholders have been and will continue to be evaluated in the same manner as potential nominees suggested by members of our board of directors or management. Stockholders wishing to suggest a candidate for director should write to our Corporate Secretary at our corporate headquarters. In order for us to effectively consider a recommendation for a nominee for a director position, stockholders must provide the following information in writing: (i) the stockholder's name and contact information; (ii) the class and number of shares beneficially owned by the stockholder; (iii) a statement that the stockholder is proposing a candidate for consideration as a director nominee to the corporate governance committee of our board of directors; (iv) the name, age, business address and residence address of the candidate and confirmation that the candidate is willing to be considered and serve as a director of the Company if elected; (v) a description of all arrangements and understandings and the relationship between the stockholder making the recommendation and the candidate being recommended and between the candidate and any customer, supplier, or competitor of the Company; (vi) the principal occupation and educational background of the candidate; (vii) a statement of the value that the candidate would add to our board of directors, including addressing the factors that our board of directors normally considers in assessing board candidates as stated above; and (viii) at least three character references with complete contact information. In order to give the corporate governance committee sufficient time to evaluate a recommended candidate, any such recommendation should be received by our Corporate Secretary at our corporate headquarters not later than the 120th calendar day before the one year anniversary of the date our proxy statement was mailed to stockholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting of stockholders.

In March 2012, we announced a process to reconstitute our board of directors. As part of this process, the corporate governance committee sought input on appropriate, qualified director candidates from the Company's largest stockholders, including the Company's largest stockholder. This resulted in the identification by stockholders of several director candidates to the corporate governance committee, including Charles M. Gillman and James B. Hawkins. Jeffrey E. Eberwein was identified to the corporate governance committee by Mr. Gillman. Ultimately, the corporate governance committee recommended to our board of directors the appointment of Messrs. Eberwein, Gillman and Hawkins as directors and their nomination for election as directors at the Annual Meeting. Our board of directors determined to accept this recommendation and, on April 22, 2012, it appointed Messrs. Eberwein, Gillman and Hawkins as directors and has nominated Messrs. Eberwein, Gillman and Hawkins for election as directors at the Annual Meeting.

In May 2012, in connection with our continuing board reconstitution process, the corporate governance committee considered a number of additional director candidates suggested by stockholders, including two of the Red Oak Nominees. During this process, John M. Climaco was identified to the corporate governance committee by Messrs. Eberwein and Gillman. Ultimately, the corporate governance committee recommended to our board of directors the appointment of Mr. Climaco as a director and his nomination for election as directors at the Annual Meeting. The board of directors determined to accept this recommendation and, on May 31, 2012, it appointed Mr. Climaco as a director and has nominated him for election as a director at the Annual Meeting.

Board Leadership Structure

We believe it is beneficial to separate the roles of chief executive officer and chairman of the board to facilitate their differing roles in the leadership of the Company. The role of the chairman is to set the agenda for, and preside over, board meetings, as well as providing advice and assistance to the chief executive officer. In contrast, the chief executive officer is responsible for handling the day-to-day management direction of the Company, serving as a leader to the management team, and formulating corporate strategy.

R. King Nelson is currently the chairman of our board of directors and is considered an independent director. Mr. Nelson holds and has held leadership positions with other medical device companies and brings to Digirad outside experience and expertise. He also has an educational background in science and business. Mr. Nelson has been named by the corporate governance committee as a nominee for re-election to our board of directors at the Annual Meeting.

Todd P. Clyde is our chief executive officer and a member of our board of directors. He has a long history with Digirad, having had both financial and operational responsibilities before his appointment as chief executive officer. He also has held leadership positions outside the healthcare and medical device industry. Accordingly, Mr. Clyde brings both Company-specific expertise as well as outside industry experience. Mr. Clyde has been named by the corporate governance committee as a nominee for re-election to our board of directors at the Annual Meeting.

In addition, we believe the working relationship between Messrs. Nelson and Clyde, on the one hand, and between Mr. Nelson and the other independent directors, on the other, enhances and facilitates the flow of information between management and our board of directors as well as the ability of our independent directors to evaluate and oversee management and its decision-making.

Board Meeting Attendance

Our board of directors held eleven in-person or telephonic board meetings during 2011. No director who served as a director during the past year attended fewer than 75% of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of our board of directors and of the total number of meetings of committees of our board of directors on which he served.

Director Independence

Our board of directors has determined that each of the director nominees standing for election, except Mr. Clyde, are independent directors (as currently defined in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ listing rules). In determining the independence of our directors, the board of directors considered all transactions in which the Company and any director had any interest, including those discussed under “Related Transactions and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” below.

Director Attendance at Annual Meeting

Although we do not have a formal policy regarding attendance by members of our board of directors at our annual meeting, we encourage all of our directors to attend. All of our then-serving directors attended our most recent annual meeting, held in May 2011, in person.

Board Self-Assessments

Our board of directors conducts annual self-evaluations to determine whether it and its committees are functioning effectively. The full board reviews the results of the assessments and identifies areas for continued improvement. Our board of directors also develops and communicates to management any proposals for improving board functions.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Our board of directors currently has four of standing committees. The current members of our committees are identified below:

Director	Committees			
	Audit	Compensation	Corporate Governance	Strategic Advisory Committee
Gerhard F. Burbach				X
John M. Climaco				X
Jeffery E. Eberwein		X	X	X (Chair)
Charles M. Gillman			X (Chair)	X
James B. Hawkins	X	X (Chair)		
R. King Nelson	X	X		
John W. Sayward	X (Chair)		X	

Messrs. Climaco and Clyde do not serve on any committees.

Audit Committee. The audit committee consists of Messrs. Hawkins, Nelson and Sayward, with Mr. Sayward serving as chairman. The audit Committee held six meetings during 2011. All members of the audit committee are (i) independent directors (as currently defined in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ listing rules); (ii) meet the criteria for independence set forth in Rule 10A-3(b)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”); (iii) not have participated in the preparation of the financial statements of the Company or any current subsidiary of the Company at any time during the past three years; and (iv) are able to read and understand fundamental financial statements. Mr. Sayward qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert” as defined in the rules and regulations established by the SEC. The audit committee is governed by a written charter approved by our board of directors. The functions of this committee include, among other things:

- Meeting with our management periodically to consider the adequacy of our internal controls and the objectivity of our financial reporting;

- Meeting with our independent registered public accounting firm and with internal financial personnel regarding the adequacy of our internal controls and the objectivity of our financial reporting;
- Recommending to our board of directors the engagement of our independent registered public accounting firm;
- Reviewing our quarterly and audited consolidated financial statements and reports and discussing the statements and reports with our management, including any significant adjustments, management judgments and estimates, new accounting policies and disagreements with management; and
- Reviewing our financial plans and reporting recommendations to our full board of directors for approval and to authorize action.

Both our independent registered public accounting firm and internal financial personnel regularly meet privately with our audit

committee and have unrestricted access to this committee.

Compensation Committee. The compensation committee consists of Messrs. Eberwein, Hawkins and Nelson, with Mr. Hawkins serving as chairman. The compensation committee held four meetings during 2011. All members of the compensation committee are independent, as determined under the various NASDAQ Stock Market, SEC and Internal Revenue Service qualification requirements. The compensation committee is governed by a written charter approved by our board of directors. The functions of this committee include, among other things:

Reviewing and, as it deems appropriate, recommending to our board of directors, policies, practices, and procedures relating to the compensation of our directors, officers and other managerial employees and the establishment and administration of our employee benefit plans;

Establishing appropriate incentives for officers, including the chief executive officer, to encourage high performance, promote accountability and adherence to company values and further our long-term strategic plan and long-term value; and

Exercising authority under our employee benefit plans.

Corporate Governance Committee. The corporate governance committee consists of Messrs. Eberwein, Gillman and Sayward, with Mr. Gillman serving as chairman. The corporate governance committee held four meetings during 2011. All members of the corporate governance committee are independent directors (as currently defined in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ listing rules). The corporate governance committee is governed by a written charter approved by our board of directors. The functions of this committee include, among other things:

Reviewing and recommending nominees for election as directors;

Assessing the performance of our board of directors;

Developing guidelines for the composition of our board of directors;

Reviewing and administering our corporate governance guidelines and considering other issues relating to corporate governance; and

Oversight of the Company compliance officer and compliance with the Company's Code of Business Ethics and Conduct.

Strategic Advisory Committee. The strategic advisory committee, which was formed in April 2012 in response partially based upon stockholder feedback, consists of Messrs. Burbach, Climaco, Eberwein and Gillman, with Mr. Eberwein serving as chairman. Our board of directors formed the strategic advisory committee in April 2012 to review and provide suggestions to the board related to corporate strategy, capital allocation and related matters. The functions of this committee include, among other things:

Reviewing and providing recommendations to our board of directors regarding the Company's capital plan and its short- and long-term financial strategies;

Reviewing and providing recommendations to our board of directors regarding financial transactions and commitments;

Reviewing and providing recommendations to our board of directors regarding the implications of major investments, restructurings, joint ventures, mergers and acquisitions and divestitures of the Company; and

Reviewing the selection of the Company's financial advisors engaged in connection with any material transactions.

The Board's Role in Risk Oversight

Our board of directors, as a whole and also at the committee level, has an active role in managing enterprise risk. The members of our board of directors participate in our risk oversight assessment by receiving regular reports from members of senior management and the Company compliance officer appointed by our board of directors on areas of material risk to us, including operational, financial, legal and regulatory, and strategic and reputational risks. The

compensation committee is responsible for overseeing the management of risks relating to our executive compensation plans and arrangements. The audit committee oversees management of financial risks, as well as our policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. The corporate governance committee manages risks associated with the independence of our board of directors and potential conflicts of interest. Members of the management team report directly to our board of directors or the appropriate committee. The directors then use this information to understand, identify, manage, and mitigate risk. Once a committee has considered the reports from management, the chairperson will report on the matter to our full board of directors at the next board meeting, or sooner if deemed necessary. This enables our board of directors and its committees to effectively carry out its risk oversight role.

Communications with our Board of Directors

Any stockholder may send correspondence to our board of directors c/o Corporate Secretary, Digirad Corporation, 13950 Stowe Drive, Poway, California 92064. Our Corporate Secretary will review all correspondence addressed to our board of directors, or any individual director, and forward all such communications to our board of directors or the appropriate director prior to the next regularly scheduled meeting of our board of directors following the receipt of the communication, unless the corporate secretary decides the

communication is more suitably directed to Company management and forwards the communication to Company management. Our Corporate Secretary will summarize all stockholder correspondence directed to our board of directors that is not forwarded to our board of directors and will make such correspondence available to our board of directors for its review at the request of any member of our board of directors.

Code of Business Ethics and Conduct

We have established a Code of Business Ethics and Conduct (“Ethics Code”) that applies to all our officers, directors, employees and contractors. The Ethics Code contains general guidelines for conducting our business consistent with the highest standards of business ethics and compliance with applicable law, and is intended to qualify as a “code of ethics” within the meaning of Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Item 406 of Regulation S-K.

Day-to-day compliance with the Ethics Code is overseen by the Company compliance officer appointed by our board of directors. If we make any substantive amendments to the Ethics Code or grant any waiver from a provision of the Ethics Code to any director or executive officer, we will promptly disclose the nature of the amendment or waiver on our website at www.digirad.com.

Corporate Governance Documents Available Online

Our corporate governance documents, including the audit committee charter, compensation committee charter, corporate governance committee charter, strategic advisory committee charter and Ethics Code, are available free of charge on our website at www.digirad.com. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference in, or considered part of, this Proxy Statement. Stockholders may also request paper copies of these documents free of charge upon written request to Investor Relations, Digirad Corporation, 13950 Stowe Drive, Poway, California 92064.

Non-Management Director Stock Ownership Policy

In June 2012, our board of directors amended our non-management director stock ownership policy to require all non-management directors who have served on the board for at least one year as of June 1, 2012 to acquire on the open market (within six months from June 29, 2012) an amount of common stock (on a cost basis) equal in value to at least one-half of all cash compensation received for service as a director of the company over the past five years or since such director has been a member of our Board of Directors, whichever is less, subject to customary restrictions and trading window limitations. Any non-management director that fails to do so will not be re-nominated for election at the next annual meeting of stockholders.

Additionally, all non-management directors who have served on the board for less than one year as of June 1, 2012 must beneficially own (within 60 days prior to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders) an amount of common stock (on a cost basis) equal to at least one year of cash compensation received for service as a director of the Company, subject to customary restrictions and trading window limitations. Any non-management director that fails to do so will not be re-nominated for election at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Finally, all non-management director serving on our Board of Directors as of June 29, 2012 must beneficially own (on a cost basis) an amount of the Company's common stock or common stock equivalents equal to 60% of cash compensation received over the prior five years for service as a director of the Company. If such ownership threshold is not achieved, such director will not be eligible for re-nomination as a director at our first annual meeting following June 29, 2017.

With respect to non-management directors who are elected or appointed to the board at any time after June 29, 2012, each such non-employee director, regardless of when he or she joined the board, must, by the second annual meeting of stockholders following his or her joining the board, beneficially own (on a cost basis) an amount of Digirad's common stock equal to one year of cash compensation received for service as a director of the Company and must, by the fifth annual meeting of Digirad following his or her joining the board, beneficially own (on a cost basis) an amount of Digirad's common stock equal to 60% of cash compensation received over the prior five years for service

as a director of Digirad. Any non-employee director not in compliance with these ownership thresholds will not be eligible for re-nomination as a director at our next applicable annual meeting.

Director Term Limits

In March 2012, our board of directors adopted a term limit policy limiting non-management directors to no more than 10 years of service on the board.

Committee Rotation Policy

In March 2012, our board of directors adopted a board committee rotation policy pursuant to which the corporate governance committee will consider our board's preference for rotating committee chairs and committee members at no longer than five year

intervals, including the chairman of the board.

Letter Agreement with Red Oak

On June 29, 2012, we entered into a letter agreement, or the Letter Agreement, with Red Oak Partners, LLC, or Red Oak, certain of Red Oak's affiliates and David Sandberg, collectively, the Red Oak Group. Among other things, we agreed (a) not to increase the size of our Board of Directors while certain provisions of the Letter Agreement are in effect; (b) to hold our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or the 2013 Annual Meeting, no later than May 5, 2013; (c) to undertake certain governance and compensation changes; and (d) to provide Red Oak with certain observer rights if we adopt a net operating loss stockholder rights plan.

We also agreed to (a) adjust our policies and practices with respect to non-employee director compensation; (b) continue our existing moratorium, through the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, on grants of restricted stock units and other similar equity interests; and (c) limit, through the 2013 Annual Meeting, grants of stock options to an aggregate of no more than 1.5% of our common stock outstanding as of June 1, 2012 (except that any inducement or one-time grants to new employees are excluded from such limit). We also agreed to adjust our policies and practices with respect to non-employee director stock ownership, which policies and practices, as so adjusted, are described above.

Pursuant to the Letter Agreement, the Red Oak Group has withdrawn its nomination of five candidates for election as directors at our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the Red Oak Group has agreed to vote for the Board's slate of nominees for director at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. In addition, the Red Oak Group has agreed to certain standstill provisions through the date that is 10 days before the deadline for advance notice submissions for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in accordance with our advance notice bylaw. The standstill provisions generally provide, among other things, that the Red Oak Group will not (a) engage in or in any way participate in a solicitation of proxies with respect to Digirad; (b) initiate any shareholder proposals; (c) seek election or appointment to our board of directors; or (d) make any public statement critical of Digirad, our directors or management. The Red Oak Group has also agreed that it will not call or seek to call a special meeting at any time prior to May 6, 2013.

Our Executive Officers

The names of our executive officers, their ages, their positions with Digirad, and other biographical information as of May 31, 2012, are set forth below. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Name	Age	Position
Todd P. Clyde	43	President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director
Armando Jackson	54	Senior Vice President, Product Sales
Virgil J. Lott	54	Senior Vice President, Operations
Matthew G. Molchan	45	President, Digirad Imaging Solutions, Inc.
Richard L. Conwell	61	Senior Vice President, Technology

Todd P. Clyde. Mr. Clyde's biography appears under the caption "Proposal 1-Election of Directors."

Armando Jackson joined us in July 2011 as Senior Vice President of Product Sales. In this role, Mr. Jackson oversees sales and marketing efforts for Digirad products. He has over 25 years of experience leading sales and marketing teams in a variety of healthcare businesses and consulting firms. Prior to joining Digirad, from December 2009 to June 2011, Mr. Jackson was President and Managing Director of Sol Associates, a consulting firm focused on assisting businesses form new strategies to increase revenue and profitability. From April 2007 to November 2009, Mr. Jackson was Chief Executive Officer of Peak Health Solutions, a healthcare services company. From November

2004 to December 2006, Jackson was Executive Vice President and General Manager for Alteer Corporation, a company that provided business services to physician groups. From August 1997 to October 2004, Mr. Jackson was with Edix Corporation where he drove revenue growth from \$15 million to \$128 million over a seven year period. Mr. Jackson has a Bachelor's degree in Economics from University of California at Davis.

Virgil J. Lott became our Senior Vice President of Operations in October 2009. His prior positions at Digirad included Vice President of Customer Service and Operations from June 2006 to October 2009 as well as Director of Customer Service from February

2006 to June 2006. Mr. Lott has been in medical imaging field service for over 25 years, both as a field service engineer and in various field service management positions. Prior to coming to Digirad, he was the Vice President of Field Service at BC Technical, a multi-vendor service company, from April 2005 to February 2006. He also held several management positions at Philips Medical Systems and ADAC Laboratories from 1983 to May 2005, including Region Service Manager, Director of PET Customer Service, and National Installations Manager. Mr. Lott received training in electronics from the US Army and Electronic Design Engineering Technology from Capitol Radio Engineering Institute. He also holds a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration from California Coast University.

Matthew G. Molchan became President, Digirad Imaging Solutions, Inc. in January 2012. He was previously Chief Operating Officer of Digirad Ultrascan Solutions from May 2007 to January 2012. He joined Digirad Ultrascan Solutions upon the acquisition of Ultrascan, Inc. by Digirad in May 2007. Prior to joining Digirad, Mr. Molchan was the CFO for Ultrascan, the southeast's largest mobile ultrasound business. Mr. Molchan joined Ultrascan in 2003. Prior to Ultrascan, Mr. Molchan held various executive level business development, finance and operations positions at Somera, Inc. and Equifax, Inc. Mr. Molchan holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Economics from the United States Air Force Academy and a Masters of Business Administration in finance from the University of Southern California.

Richard L. Conwell has served as our Senior Vice President, Technology since June 2005. Prior to that, he served as Vice President of Advanced Research and Development and Business Development from August 2001 to June 2005, as Vice President of Marketing from January 2001 to August 2001, as Vice President of Research and Development and Marketing from March 2000 to January 2001, as Vice President of Research and Development from June 1996 to March 2000 and as Vice President of Operations from May 1994 to June 1996. Prior to joining Digirad, Mr. Conwell was Vice President of Thermo Gamma Metrics, a company which develops and markets on-line, prompt-gamma neutron activation analysis systems for raw-materials analysis, where he was responsible for the company's bulk material analyzer business. He was with Gamma-Metrics from 1984 to 1993. Mr. Conwell received his Bachelor of Science degree in Physics and Computer Science from Ball State University in 1973.

In April 2012, Richard B. Slansky's employment with the Company as Chief Financial Officer ended.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information as of June 20, 2012 regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock by (i) each person we know to be the beneficial owner of 5% or more of our common stock, (ii) each of our current executive officers, (iii) each of our directors, and (iv) all of our current executive officers and directors as a group. Information with respect to beneficial ownership has been furnished by each director, executive officer or 5% or more stockholder, as the case may be. The address for all executive officers and directors is c/o Digirad Corporation, 13950 Stowe Drive, Poway, California 92064.

Percentage of beneficial ownership is calculated based on 19,883,967 shares of common stock outstanding as of May 31, 2012. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC, which generally attribute beneficial ownership of securities to persons who possess sole or shared voting power or investment power with respect to those securities and includes shares of our common stock issuable pursuant to the exercise of stock options, warrants or other securities that are immediately exercisable or convertible or exercisable or convertible within 60 days of May 31, 2012. Unless otherwise indicated, the persons or entities identified in this table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares shown as beneficially owned by them.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Shares Beneficially Owned
5% Stockholders:		
Thomson Horstmann & Bryant (1) 501 Merritt 7 Norwalk, CT 06851	2,003,602	10.5
Heartland Advisors, Inc. (2) 789 North Water Street Milwaukee, WI 53202	1,800,000	9.4
Dimensional Fund Advisors, LP (3) Palisades West, Building One 6300 Bee Cave Road Austin, TX 78746	1,057,638	5.5
Named Executive Officers and Directors:		
Todd P. Clyde (4)	481,240	2.5
Armando Jackson (5)	18,750	—
Virgil J. Lott (6)	106,005	*
Matthew G. Molchan (7)	51,800	*
Richard Conwell (8)	140,167	*
Gerhard F. Burbach (9)	331,760	1.7
John M. Climaco	—	—
Jeffery E. Eberwein	102,985	*
Charles M. Gillman (10)	102,985	*
James B. Hawkins	—	—
R. King Nelson (11)	114,156	*
John W. Sayward (12)	106,520	*
All Executive Officers and Directors as a group (12 persons)(13)	1,556,368	8.2

* Indicates beneficial ownership of less than 1% of the outstanding common stock

(1)

The foregoing information is based solely on information provided to us by our transfer agent as of March 31, 2012.

(2) The foregoing information is based solely on information contained in an amended Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 10, 2012. Heartland Advisors, Inc. (“Heartland”) and William J. Nasgovitz, president and control person of Heartland, each reported shared voting and dispositive power with respect to all 1,800,000 shares of common stock.

(3) The foregoing information is based solely on information contained in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2012. Dimensional Fund Advisors LP reported sole voting and positive power with respect 1,033,615 shares of common stock and sole dispositive power with respect to all 1,057,638 shares of common stock.

(4) Includes (a) 388,327 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of June 20, 2012; and (b) 92,913 shares of common stock held by Mr. Clyde.

(5) Includes 18,750 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of June 20, 2012

- (6) Includes (a) 74,582 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of June 20, 2012; and (b) 31,423 shares of common stock held by Mr. Lott.
- (7) Includes (a) 49,164 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of June 20, 2012; and (b) 2,636 shares of common stock held by Mr. Molchan.
- (8) Includes (a) 125,624 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of June 20, 2012; and (b) 14,543 shares of common stock held by Mr. Conwell.
- Includes (a) 260,000 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of June 20, 2012; (9)(b) 32,000 restricted stock units that will be vested within 60 days of June 20, 2012; and (c) 39,760 shares of common stock held by Mr. Burbach.
- Includes 102,985 shares owned directly by Boston Avenue Capital LLC (“Boston”). Boston has sole direct voting and dispositive power over such shares of common stock. Stephen J. Heyman and James F. Adelson are the joint managers of Boston. Pursuant to his employment agreement with Nadel and Gussman, LLC, Mr. Gillman is the Portfolio Manager of Boston. As joint managers of Boston, Messrs. Heyman and Adelson may each exercise (10) voting and dispositive power over the shares of common stock held by Boston. As Portfolio Manager for Boston, Mr. Gillman may also exercise voting and dispositive power over the shares of common stock held by Boston. As a result, Messrs. Heyman, Adelson and Gillman may each be deemed to be the indirect beneficial owners of shares of common stock held by Boston. Messrs. Heyman and Adelson disclaim beneficial ownership of the common stock held by Boston except to the extent of their pecuniary interest therein.
- Includes (a) 41,429 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of June 20, 2012; (11)(b) 57,000 restricted stock units that will be vested within 60 days of June 20, 2012; and (c) 15,727 shares of common stock held by Mr. Nelson.
- (12) Includes (a) 57,000 restricted stock units that will be vested within 60 days of June 20, 2012; and (b) 49,520 shares of common stock held by Mr. Sayward.
- (13) Includes (a) 957,876 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of June 20, 2012; and (b) 146,000 restricted stock units that will be vested within 60 days of June 20, 2012.
- 2012.

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our board of directors currently consists of eight members. Directors serve a one year term and until their successor is duly elected and qualified.

Upon the recommendation of the corporate governance committee, our board of directors has nominated each of the following eight persons to be re-elected to serve as directors for a one-year term expiring at our 2013 annual meeting of the stockholders. Each of the nominees (i) currently serves on our board of directors, (ii) has consented to being named in this Proxy Statement and (iii) has agreed to serve as a director if elected. As of the date of this Proxy Statement, our board of directors is not aware of any nominee who is unable or will decline to serve as a director.

Nominees for Election to the Board of Directors

Name	Position
R. King Nelson	Chairman of the Board
Todd P. Clyde	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer
Gerhard F. Burbach	Director
John M. Climaco	Director
Jeffrey E. Eberwein	Director
Charles M. Gillman	Director
James B. Hawkins.	Director
John W. Sayward.	Director

Information about Director Nominees

Set forth below are descriptions of the backgrounds of each nominee and their principal occupations for at least the past five years and their public-company directorships as of the Record Date. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers. All ages are as of May 31, 2012.

In addition to the information presented below regarding each nominee's specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led our board of directors to the conclusion that he should serve as a director, we also believe that all of our director nominees have a reputation for integrity, honesty and adherence to high ethical standards. They each have demonstrated business acumen and an ability to exercise sound judgment, as well as a commitment of service to Digirad and our board of directors.

On April 22, 2012, Steven C. Mendell and Kenneth E. Olson resigned from the board of directors.

R. King Nelson Age: 55 Director since 2004 and 2000-2002
 President and Chief Executive Officer, Uptake Medical
 Chairman of the Board since 2008

Committees: Audit, Compensation

Mr. Nelson has served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Uptake Medical, a medical device company focused on the improvement of pulmonary function, since May 2007. From April 2005 through September 2006 he served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Kerberos Proximal Solutions, Inc., a developer of medical devices used for the treatment of vascular disease. From May 1999 through December 2003, he served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of VenPro Corporation, a medical device company that develops bioprosthetic implants for venous vascular and cardiovascular medicine. Mr. Nelson was employed by Baxter Healthcare Corporation from January 1980 through December 1998 and held a number of other leadership positions in clinical diagnostics, cardiovascular medicine, hospital services and distribution businesses. Mr. Nelson received his Bachelor's degree from Texas Tech University in 1979 and his Master of Business Administration degree in International Business from the University of Miami in 1989.

We believe that Mr. Nelson's qualifications to sit on our board of directors include his extensive knowledge of the medical device, hospital services and distribution industries. He has over 30 years of experience in leadership positions of early stage technology driven medical device companies, as well as vast experience with Baxter Healthcare, including numerous roles in various business segments of the global healthcare industry, all of which enable him to provide strategic and operational insight to Digirad. Our board of directors also believes that Mr. Nelson's leadership ability and commitment to excellence make him

well-suited to serve as Chairman.

Todd P. Clyde Age: 43 Director since 2008
President and Chief Executive Officer, Digirad Corporation

Committees: None

Mr. Clyde has served as a member of our board of directors and as our President and Chief Executive Officer since October 2008. Mr. Clyde previously served as a member of our board of directors and our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer from October 2008 through February 2009; as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from December 2007 through October 2008; and as our Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President from January 2006 through December 2007. He joined us in November 2002 as Chief Financial Officer. From January 2002 to November 2002, Mr. Clyde was Chief Financial Officer at Del Mar Database, Inc., a software company developing products for the mortgage lending industry. From March 2000 to October 2001, Mr. Clyde was Vice President and Controller at Verance Corporation, a digital audio watermark technology company that provides copy management and digital content protection solutions for the film, video, and music industries. From October 1997 to March 2000, Mr. Clyde was Vice President and Division Controller at I-Bus/Phoenix, a division of Maxwell Technologies, Inc., which is a manufacturer of customized industrial computing products for the telephony industry. Prior to this, he was a senior auditor at Ernst & Young, LLP, an international public accounting firm. Mr. Clyde received his Bachelor's degree in Accounting and his Masters of Accountancy from Brigham Young University in 1994.

We believe that Mr. Clyde brings to our board of directors relevant leadership experience in the medical equipment and physician services industries, a strong financial acumen and a unique perspective on our operations due to his position as our President and Chief Executive Officer.

Gerhard F. Burbach Age: 50 Director since 2004
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director, Thoratec Corporation

Committees: Strategic Advisory

Mr. Burbach has served as the President and Chief Executive Officer and a director of Thoratec Corporation, a manufacturer of medical devices used by patients with congestive heart failure, since January 2006. From April 2005 through January 2006, Mr. Burbach served as our President and Chief Executive Officer. From July 2003 to April 2005, he served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Bacchus Vascular, Inc., a developer of catheter-based medical devices. From January 2001 to July 2003, he was Chief Executive Officer of Philips Nuclear Medicine, a division of Phillips Electronics, and, before its acquisition by Philips, worked for four years for ADAC Laboratories, most recently as President. Mr. Burbach also spent six years with the consulting firm of McKinsey & Company, Inc., a management consulting firm, where he was most recently a senior engagement manager in the firm's healthcare practice. Mr. Burbach received a Bachelor's degree in Industrial Engineering from Stanford University in 1984 and a Master of Business Administration degree from Harvard Business School in 1990.

We believe that Mr. Burbach possesses a wide range of qualifications to sit on our board of directors, including his fourteen years of general management experience in the medical technology industry, seven of which he spent running the Nuclear Imaging business at ADAC Laboratories and Philips Electronics, and his current position as chief executive officer of a publicly-traded cardiovascular device company. Mr. Burbach also gained valuable experience with strategic business issues, with a primary focus on healthcare technologies and services, during his six years at McKinsey & Company. Mr. Burbach formerly served as our Chief Executive Officer, which provides him with significant insight to our operations.

John M. Climaco Age: 43 Director since 2012
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director, Axial Biotech, Inc.

Committees: Compensation, Strategic Advisory

Mr. Climaco is the President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as member of the board of directors, of Axial Biotech, Inc., a venture-backed molecular diagnostics company specializing in spine disorders, which he co-founded in January 2003. Under Mr. Climaco's leadership, and through partnerships he created with companies including Medtronic, Johnson & Johnson and Smith & Nephew, Axial successfully developed and commercialized ScoliScore, the first molecular prognostic test in the orthopaedic industry. Prior to founding Axial Biotech, Mr. Climaco served as a Producer in 1998 and Director of Programming from May 1999 to August 2000 for Quokka Sports, a venture-backed online media company that went public in 1999. While with Quokka, Mr. Climaco created partnerships with Intel, Microsoft WebTV, NBC Sports, and National Geographic. Mr. Climaco practiced with

Fabian & Clendenin in corporate and tax law in Salt Lake City from March 2001 to April 2007. Mr. Climaco currently serves on the boards of directors of InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., a national provider of infusion pumps and related services, which he joined in May 2012. Mr. Climaco holds a Bachelor of Arts in Philosophy, cum laude, from Middlebury College and a Juris Doctorate from the University of California, Hastings College of Law.

We believe that Mr. Climaco brings to our board of directors significant executive experience with a healthcare services company, including in raising capital, engineering strategic alliances, building executive teams and managing complex business operations and legal strategies.

Jeffrey E. Eberwein Age: 41 Director since 2012
Private Investor

Committees: Compensation, Corporate Governance, Strategic Advisory (Chairman)

Mr. Eberwein is a private investor with twenty years of Wall Street experience including sixteen years in investment management and 4 years in investment banking. Mr. Eberwein was a Portfolio Manager for eleven years, most recently at Soros Fund Management from February 2009 to December 2011 and prior to that at Viking Global Investors from March 2005 to September 2008 and Cumberland Associates from February 2001 to March 2005. Mr. Eberwein currently serves on the Board of Hope for New York, a 501(c)(3) organization dedicated to serving the poor in New York City. Since May 2012, Mr. Eberwein has served as a member of the board of directors of The Goldfield Corporation, a company engaged in both electrical construction and real estate development. Mr. Eberwein earned a Bachelor's of Business Administration from The University of Texas at Austin and a Master of Business Administration from The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania.

We believe that Mr. Eberwein brings significant experience in investing and financial management to our board of directors. Throughout his career, Mr. Eberwein has developed a network of contacts in the investor community, and we believe that Mr. Eberwein will be able to leverage these contacts during his time on the board of directors to increase investor interest in our company.

Charles M. Gillman Age: 41 Director since 2012
Portfolio Manager, Nadel and Gussman, LLC

Committees: Corporate Governance (Chairman), Strategic Advisory

In June 2001, Charles M. Gillman was employed by Nadel and Gussman, LLC ("NG") to serve as portfolio manager of certain investment portfolios of NG and its related family interests. NG is a management company located in Tulsa, Oklahoma that employs personnel for business entities related to family members of Herbert Gussman. In June 2002, Mr. Gillman founded Value Fund Advisors, LLC ("VFA") to serve as investment advisor to certain NG family related assets. VFA discontinued its role as investment advisor to these assets in December 2008. In December 2008, Mr. Gillman entered into an employment agreement with NG to provide portfolio management services to NG. Pursuant to this employment agreement, Mr. Gillman serves as Portfolio Manager of certain NG and family assets. Mr. Gillman began his career as a strategic management consultant for McKinsey & Company, New York, where he worked to develop strategic plans for business units of companies located both inside the United States and abroad. Thereafter and prior to joining NG, Mr. Gillman held a number of positions in the investment industry and developed an expertise in the analysis of companies going through changes in their capital allocation strategy. Mr. Gillman received a Bachelor of Science, summa cum laude, from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania in May 1992. In addition, Mr. Gillman currently serves on the boards of directors of InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., a national provider of infusion pumps and related services, which he joined in April 2012; MRV Communications, Inc., a communications equipment and services company traded, which he joined in November 2009 and where he is a

member of the Audit Committee; Littlefield Corporation, a charitable gaming company, which he joined in May 2008 and where he is a member of the Compensation and Nominating Committees and previously served on the Audit Committee; and CompuMed, Inc., a private medication management company, which he joined in February 2008. Mr. Gillman also serves on the board of the Penn Club of New York.

We believe that Mr. Gillman brings significant experience as a successful portfolio manager to our board of directors. This experience allows him to offer unique insight into our operations and the competitive challenges that we face. Mr. Gillman has also served with distinction on the board of directors of another public company.

James B. Hawkins Age: 56 Director since 2012
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director, Natus Medical Incorporated

Committees: Audit, Compensation (Chairman)

Mr. Hawkins has over two decades of experience as chief executive of successful medical device companies. Since April 2004, Mr. Hawkins has served as the President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Natus Medical Incorporated, a provider of healthcare products used for the screening, detection, treatment, monitoring, and tracking of common medical ailments such as hearing impairment, neurological dysfunction, epilepsy, sleep disorders, and certain newborn conditions. Prior to joining Natus Medical, Mr. Hawkins was President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Invivo Corporation, a developer and manufacturer of multi-parameter vital sign monitoring equipment, and its predecessor from, 1985 through January 2004. Mr. Hawkins also served as secretary of Invivo from 1986 until January 2004. Mr. Hawkins has served as a director of IRIDEX Corporation, a provider of therapeutic based laser systems, delivery devices and consumable instrumentation used to treat sight-threatening eye diseases in ophthalmology, since October 2007. Mr. Hawkins holds a Bachelor's degree in Business Commerce from Santa Clara University and a Master of Business Administration from San Francisco State University.

We believe that Mr. Hawkins' extensive experience in the medical device industry is of significant value to our board of directors. In particular, Mr. Hawkins has over two decades of experience as a chief executive officer of medical device companies, which allows him to provide invaluable insight and direction to our management.

John W. Sayward Age: 60 Director since 2008
Retired Partner, Nippon Heart Hospital LLC

Committees: Audit (Chairman), Corporate Governance

From September 2005 to January 2007, Mr. Sayward was a partner at Nippon Heart Hospital LLC, a company that built and managed cardiovascular care hospitals in Japan. From July 2002 to May 2005, Mr. Sayward was the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of LMA North America Inc., a global leader in the manufacture and distribution of medical anesthesia devices. From February 1997 to November 2001, Mr. Sayward served as the Executive Vice President of Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of SICOR Inc., an international pharmaceutical company, and was elected to its board of directors in June 1998. Mr. Sayward received a Bachelor's degree from Northwestern University in 1973 and a Master of Management from the Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University in 1975.

We believe that Mr. Sayward is qualified to serve on our board of directors and as the board's financial expert and audit committee chairman due to the depth and breadth of his finance experience and leadership skills.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION AS DIRECTOR OF EACH NOMINEE LISTED.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The following is the report of the audit committee with respect to Digirad's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The purpose of the audit committee is to assist the board of directors in its general oversight of Digirad's financial reporting, internal controls and audit functions. The audit committee charter describes in greater detail the full responsibilities of the audit committee and is available on our website at www.digirad.com. The audit committee is comprised solely of independent directors as defined by Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ listing standards.

The audit committee has reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements with management and Ernst & Young LLP, Digirad's independent registered public accounting firm. Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of our financial statements, accounting and financial reporting principles; establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)); establishing and maintaining internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)); evaluating the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures; evaluating the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting; and evaluating any change in internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial reporting. Ernst & Young LLP is responsible for performing an independent audit of the consolidated financial statements and expressing an opinion on the conformity of those financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

Beginning in fiscal 2005 and continuing through fiscal 2011 (the seventh year of certification), management has implemented a process of documenting, testing and evaluating our internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The audit committee is kept apprised of the progress of the evaluation and provides oversight and advice to management regarding such compliance. In connection with this oversight, the audit committee receives periodic updates provided by management at each regularly scheduled audit committee meeting. At a minimum, these updates occur quarterly. The audit committee also holds regular private sessions with Ernst & Young LLP to discuss their audit plan for the year and the results of their quarterly reviews and the annual audit. At the conclusion of the process, management provides the audit committee with a report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, which is reviewed and commented upon by the audit committee. The audit committee also reviewed Ernst & Young LLP's Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K related to our consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules and management's report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the SEC on February 17, 2012. The audit committee continues to oversee our efforts related to internal control over financial reporting and management's preparations for the evaluation thereof.

The audit committee met on six occasions in 2011. The audit committee met privately in executive session with Ernst & Young LLP as part of each regular meeting and held private meetings with the Chief Financial Officer and other officers of Digirad throughout the year.

The audit committee has discussed with Ernst & Young LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. In addition, Ernst & Young LLP has provided the audit committee with the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the Ernst & Young LLP's communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and has discussed with Ernst & Young LLP their firm's independence.

Based on their review of the consolidated financial statements and discussions with, and representations from, management and Ernst & Young LLP referred to above, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors that the audited financial statements be included in Digirad's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for filing with the SEC.

In accordance with the audit committee charter and the requirements of law, the audit committee pre-approves all services to be provided by Digirad's independent auditors, Ernst & Young LLP. Pre-approval is required for audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

John W. Sayward, Chairman R. King Nelson

Mr. Hawkins was appointed to the audit committee on April 27, 2012. Accordingly, although Mr. Hawkins is now a member of the audit committee, he did not participate in the review, discussions and recommendation with respect to Digirad's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 included in Digirad's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Report of the Audit Committee does not constitute soliciting material, and shall not be deemed to be filed or incorporated by reference into any other filing by Digirad under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the

Exchange Act, except to the extent Digirad specifically incorporates the Report of the Audit Committee by reference therein.

PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The audit committee of our board of directors is responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of our independent auditing accounting firm. The audit committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as our auditors and has further directed that management submit the appointment of independent auditors to the stockholders for ratification by the stockholders at the annual meeting of the stockholders. Ernst & Young LLP has audited our financial statements since the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996.

Although ratification by our stockholders is not a prerequisite to the ability of the audit committee to appoint our independent auditing firm, we believe such ratification to be a good corporate practice. Accordingly, our stockholders are requested to ratify, confirm and approve the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent auditing firm to conduct the annual audit of our consolidated financial statements for fiscal year 2012. If our stockholders do not ratify the selection, the audit committee will reconsider the appointment of, but may still retain, Ernst & Young LLP. The audit committee believes that ratification is advisable and in the best interests of Digirad and our stockholders. If the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP is ratified, the audit committee will continue to conduct an ongoing review of Ernst & Young's scope of engagement, pricing and work quality, among other factors, and may in its discretion, direct the appointment of a different independent auditing firm if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of Digirad and our stockholders.

As part of our board of directors' annual review of the services of Ernst & Young LLP, our board of directors had discussions and received quotes from several other independent registered public accounting firms and, after considering those other independent registered public accounting firms and the services provided to us by Ernst & Young LLP, our board of directors chose to continue to retain Ernst & Young LLP.

Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Principal Accounting Fees

In connection with the audit of the 2011 financial statements, we entered into an engagement agreement with Ernst & Young LLP which sets forth the terms by which Ernst & Young LLP has performed audit and related professional services for us.

The following table sets forth the aggregate accounting fees paid by us for the past two fiscal years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011. The below fees were paid to the firm Ernst & Young LLP; no other accounting firm was retained to perform the identified accounting work for us. All non-audit related services in the table were pre-approved and/or ratified by the audit committee of our board of directors.

Type of Fee	For the years ended	
	December 31 2011	2010
	(in thousands)	
Audit Fees	\$ 362.1	\$ 335.7
Audit-Related Fees	—	—
Tax Fees	130.3	106.3
All Other Fees	—	2.0
Totals	\$ 492.4	\$ 444.0

Types of Fees Explanation

Audit Fees. Audit fees were incurred for accounting services rendered for the audit of our annual consolidated financial statements and reviews of quarterly consolidated financial statements.

Audit-Related Fees. These fees were billed for professional services not included in the Audit Fees category and include professional services related to entering into asset purchase agreements, restructuring, option exchange and other advisory services.

Tax Fees. These fees were billed to us for professional services relating to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

All Other Fees. These fees relate to a license fee to access an online database for technical accounting research.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Services by Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The audit committee is granted the authority and responsibility under its charter to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services provided to the Company by its independent registered public accounting firm, including specific approval of internal control and tax-related services. In exercising this responsibility, the audit committee considers whether the provision of each professional accounting service is compatible with maintaining the audit firm's independence.

Pre-approvals are detailed as to the category or professional service and when appropriate are subject to budgetary limits. Company management and the independent registered public accounting firm periodically report to the audit committee regarding the scope and fees for professional services provided under the pre-approval.

With respect to the professional services rendered, the audit committee had determined that the rendering of all non-audit services by Ernst & Young LLP was compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence and had pre-approved all such services.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT AUDITORS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2012

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A)

Our executive compensation plan's objectives are to attract and retain highly competent executives, and to compensate them based upon a pay-for-performance philosophy. With the intent to increase short-term and long-term stockholder value, we have designed our executive compensation plan to reward:

Company performance as measured by specific financial goals. For our 2011 executive bonus plan, we defined minimum revenue, operating profit, cash flow and strategic targets as thresholds for granting bonus payments for the reasons discussed below; and,

in certain cases, individual performance, as measured against personal goals and objectives that contained quantitative components wherever possible. Such personal goals depended on the position occupied by our executive officers and included achieving technological advances, broadening of our products and services offerings, and other strategic initiatives. In connection with our 2011 executive bonus plan, we emphasized the achievement of corporate financial goals to incentivize our team to reach them.

We include a significant equity component in our overall compensation to align the long-term interests of our executives with those of our stockholders. Our executive compensation plan is designed to encourage success of our executives as a team, as well as to reward individual contributors, by attaining overall corporate goals. In setting those goals, we consider our historic performance, the current and anticipated economic conditions in our market place and industry, and the performance of our competitors.

Role and Authority of Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee consists of Messrs. Hawkins, Eberwein and Nelson. Each member of the Compensation Committee is a "non-employee director" within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, an "outside director" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, and satisfies the independence requirements imposed by the NASDAQ Global Market.

The Compensation Committee is responsible for discharging the responsibilities of the Board of Directors with respect to the compensation of our executive officers. The Committee approves all compensation of our executive officers without further Board action. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves each of the elements of our executive compensation program and continually assesses the effectiveness and competitiveness of our program. The Committee also periodically reviews director compensation.

The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter adopted by our Board of Directors. A copy of the charter is available under the Investors section of our website at www.digirad.com. The charter permits the Compensation Committee to engage outside consultants and to consult with our human resources department when appropriate to assist in carrying out its responsibilities. The Committee may also obtain advice and assistance from internal or external legal, accounting, or other advisers selected by the Committee.

The Role of our Executives in Setting Compensation

The Compensation Committee meets with our President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Clyde, and/or our Chief Financial Officer at least once per year to obtain recommendations with respect to Company compensation programs, practices, and packages for executives, directors and other employees. The Chief Executive Officer makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee on the base salary, bonus targets, and equity compensation for the executive team and other employees (including his own compensation). The Compensation Committee considers, but is not bound by and does not always accept, the Chief Executive Officer's recommendations with respect to executive compensation. The Compensation Committee has the ultimate authority to make decisions with respect to the compensation of our executive officers, but may, if it chooses, delegate any of its responsibilities to subcommittees. Mr. Clyde attends a limited number of the Compensation Committee's meetings (usually for only a portion of the meeting), but the Compensation Committee also regularly holds executive sessions not attended by any members of management or non-independent directors. The Compensation Committee discusses Mr. Clyde's compensation package with him, but makes decisions with respect to his compensation outside of his presence. The Committee has delegated to a committee consisting of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer the authority to grant awards of stock options, in accordance with specific guidelines and from an authorized option pool, to persons who

are not (a) “covered employees” under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or the Code, (b) individuals with respect to whom we wish to comply with Section 162(m) of the Code, or (c) executive officers (as discussed in further detail below). The Compensation Committee also has authorized Mr. Clyde to make salary adjustments and short-

23

term incentive (bonus) decisions for all employees other than executive officers.

Role of Stockholder Input in Setting Compensation

Our first stockholder advisory vote on executive compensation, commonly referred to as a say-on-pay vote, occurred at our 2011 Annual Meeting. While this vote was advisory and not binding on the Company, the Compensation Committee will actively consider investor opinion regarding our executive compensation practices and policies, taking into account that a substantial majority (approximately 99%) of the votes cast on the say-on-pay proposal in 2011 were voted in favor of the proposal. Further, the Compensation Committee expects to consider input from stockholders and the outcome of any say-on-pay votes when making future executive compensation decisions.

Role of Compensation Consultant in Determining Compensation

In 2010, the Compensation Committee retained Barney & Barney, LLC or Barney, an insurance brokerage firm with a compensation consulting practice that specializes in mid-size public companies in four market areas including life sciences and based in San Diego, California, where our corporate headquarters is located. Barney provided peer-review information surveying nineteen peer companies in either the medical apparatus and instruments industry or the life sciences industry. These companies were selected based on the number of employees employed, revenues, and market capitalization with levels similar to us. Information regarding the peer companies that were utilized is detailed in the chart below. Barney recommended, and the Compensation Committee approved, the peer set of companies. The data used by Barney came from peer company proxy data, and from various proprietary compensation data sources. Barney did not perform work for us other than pursuant to its engagement by the Compensation Committee. Barney's fees were paid by us.

Company	Revenues (\$MM)	LFY # of Employees	Market Cap (\$MM)
Alphatec Holdings Inc	\$171.6	460	\$143.7
American Medical Alert Corp	\$40.8	610	\$82.2
Angiodynamics Inc	\$215.8	722	\$372.7
BIOLASE Technology Inc	\$26.2	145	\$81.4
Conceptus	\$140.7	304	\$414.9
Cutera Inc	\$53.3	187	\$99.6
DexCom	\$48.6	405	\$561
DGT Holding	\$67.9	212	\$38.7
Dyax	\$51.4	137	\$134.3
Genomic Health	\$178.1	472	\$829.8
IRDEX	\$43.7	145	\$31.3
IRIS International	\$107.7	377	\$170.5
Kensey Nash Corp	\$71.6	307	\$228.6
MEDTOX Scientific	\$97.1	633	\$121.5
Natus Medical Inc.	\$218.7	750	\$243.4
Quidel Corp	\$113.3	532	\$592.5
Sequenom	\$47.5	237	\$386.4
Solta Medical	\$110.9	287	\$183.1
Theragenics Corp	\$82.2	500	\$55.1
Digirad Corporation	\$53.8	344	\$40.45

Under the direction of the Compensation Committee, Barney provided competitive market data to review our compensation programs, identify trends in executive and equity compensation and make recommendations as to appropriate levels of compensation for 2011. While Barney's analysis and recommendations were a factor in determining 2011 compensation levels, the Compensation Committee used its discretion in setting appropriate

compensation levels and did not always follow Barney's recommendations as to appropriate compensation levels.

Elements of Executive Compensation: Why We Chose to Pay Each of the Executive Compensation Elements, and How We Determine the Amount of Each Element

Our executive compensation consists of the following elements:

- Base salary;
- Annual Incentive Bonus;
- Long-Term Incentives; and
- Retirement benefits under a 401(k) plan and generally available benefit programs.

Base Salary. The base salary for each executive is initially established through negotiation at the time the executive is hired, taking into account his or her scope of responsibilities, qualifications, experience, prior salary, and competitive salary information within our industry. Year-to-year adjustments to each executive officer's base salary are determined by an assessment of his or her sustained performance against individual goals, including leadership skills and the achievement of high ethical standards, the individual's impact on our business and financial results, current salary in relation to the salary range designated for the job, experience, demonstrated potential for advancement, and an assessment against base salaries paid to executives for comparable jobs in the marketplace. Generally, we believe that executive base salaries should be targeted near the median of the range of salaries for executives in similar positions with similar responsibilities at comparably-sized companies in order to attract and retain our executives and provide them with a level of predictable base compensation, but we did not engage in any benchmarking or targeting of any specific levels of pay.

When determining the base salary component of executive compensation for 2011 the compensation committee considered the achievements of the executives in 2010 based on actual financial performance of the business and achievement of the goals set by the board for the individual executive, the fiscal 2011 budget and financial performance expectations, the 2010 market data (although we did not benchmark or target any specific levels of pay), and the totality of all compensation components. After due consideration, the compensation committee set compensation as reflected in the Summary Compensation.

Based on the factors discussed above, 2011 base salaries were as follows:

Mr. Clyde's 2011 base salary was set at \$335,000, returning to the level that had originally been set by the compensation committee in January 2010 but that had been reduced by 5% in a cost-cutting measure in June 2010.

Mr. Slansky's 2011 base salary was set at \$255,000, returning to the level that had originally been set by amendment to Mr. Slansky's employment contract in January 2010 but this had been reduced by 5% in a cost-cutting measure in June 2010.

In July 2011, Mr. Jackson, Senior Vice President of Product Sales, was hired. Mr. Jackson's base salary was set at \$185,000.

Mr. Lott's 2011 base salary was set at \$220,000, returning to the level that had originally been set by the Compensation Committee in January 2010 but this had been reduced by 5% in a cost-cutting measure in June 2010.

Mr. Conwell's 2011 base salary was set at \$200,000, returning to the level that had originally been set by the compensation committee in January 2010 but this had been reduced by 5% in a cost-cutting measure in June 2010.

Annual Incentive Bonus. Payments under our executive bonus plan are based on achieving clearly defined, short-term goals. We believe that such bonuses provide incentive to achieve goals that we align with our stockholders' interests by measuring the achievement of these goals, whenever possible, in terms of revenue and income or other financial

objectives. In setting bonus levels, we review our annual business plan and financial performance objectives. After estimating the likely financial results of the business plan as submitted by management and approved by the board of directors, we set financial threshold goals based on those estimated results in terms of revenue, income, cash flow or other financial measurements, and we allocate a percentage of these potential incremental improvements to executive bonuses. We set the minimum performance thresholds that must be reached before any bonus will be paid at levels that will take significant effort and skill to achieve. An executive officer's failure to meet some or all of these personal goals can affect his or her bonus amount. We believe that offering significant potential income in the form of bonuses allows us to attract and retain executives and to align their interests with those of our stockholders.

Our bonus plans were capped for 2011, which means that, if our financial performance in such year exceeded expectations, bonus amounts were capped at 200%. We also consider market information in setting bonus levels but did not target a specific level of compensation. For those years our threshold bonus targets ranged below the median bonus amounts calculated based on the market data we obtained from Barney.

Fiscal Year 2011. Effective January 1, 2011, we adopted an executive bonus program for our executive officers for fiscal 2011.

Under the terms of the 2011 executive bonus plan for our executive officers, except for Mr. Weatherhead (who had a larger percentage of his bonus potential based on product gross margin objectives, as discussed below due to his role over product sales), payouts were based 25% on the achievement of a 2011 product gross margin objective of \$10.2 million, 25% on the achievement of a 2011 DIS operating loss objective of \$0.5 million, and 25% on the achievement of a 2011 DIS free cash flow objective of \$1.9 million, with each of the targets having been established by the compensation committee. The remaining 25% of the bonus targets was based on the achievement of strategic objectives to be established by the board, at its sole discretion. With respect to Mr. Weatherhead, 70% of his bonus was based on the achievement of a 2011 product gross margin objective of \$10.2 million and 30% of his bonus was based on the achievement of a 2011 product operating profit objective of \$0.7 million, with each of the targets having been established by the compensation committee. In addition, the board had authority under the 2011 executive bonus plan to adjust the percentages attributable to the objectives listed above for each of the executive officers. Accordingly, Mr. Weatherhead's bonus payments could have been increased by 120% to 140% of his target based on the number of ergo cameras sold during 2011. Mr. Weatherhead left the Company in July 2011 and did not earn any bonus. The eligible bonus amounts and the bonuses paid under the 2011 bonus plan were as follows:

Name and Principal Position	Target Amount	Actual Payout
Todd P. Clyde, President and Chief Executive Officer	\$201,000	\$113,313
Richard B. Slansky, Chief Financial Officer	\$102,000	\$82,875
Randy L. Weatherhead, Former Senior Vice President, Sales & Marketing	\$130,000	\$—
Virgil J. Lott, Senior Vice President, Operations	\$88,000	\$61,500
Richard L. Conwell, Senior Vice President, Technology	\$80,000	\$—

Long-Term Incentives. We grant both stock options and/or RSUs to our executives as part of our primary long term equity incentive vehicle under our executive compensation package program to directly link their interests to those of our stockholders. We grant stock options to our executives because options will only produce value to executives if the price of our stock appreciates, and we grant RSUs to our executives because the value associated with such awards is directly related to our performance and stock price. We believe that our executive compensation program must include long-term incentives such as stock options and RSUs if we wish to hire and retain high-level executive talent. We also believe that stock options and RSUs help to provide a balance to the overall executive compensation program as base salary and bonus awards focus only on short-term compensation. In addition, the vesting period of both stock options and RSUs encourages executive retention and the preservation of stockholder value. We base the number of stock options and RSUs granted on the type and responsibility level of the executive's position, the executive's performance in the prior year and the executive's potential for continued sustained contributions to our long-term success and the long-term interests of our stockholders. Stock options generally vest over a four-year period, on a monthly basis, and each stock option is exercisable over a seven to ten-year period following its grant, unless the executive's employment terminates prior to such date. The number of stock options granted is also dependent on the number of options available in the option pool, the number of stock options already granted and vested to each individual executive and, benchmarking information concerning the stock option granting practices of comparable companies. RSUs generally vest over a three-year period, on a quarterly basis and settle at the end of the vesting period for directors and quarterly for executives, unless their service is terminated earlier. We have not adopted stock ownership guidelines.

401(k) and Other Benefits. During 2011, our executive officers were eligible to receive certain benefits generally available to all our employees on the same terms, including medical, dental and vision insurance, long-term and short-term disability insurance, life and accidental death and dismemberment insurance, health and dependent care flexible spending accounts, educational and employee assistance, paid-time-off, and certain other benefits. During 2011, we also maintained a tax-qualified 401(k) Plan, which provides for broad-based employee participation. During 2011, under the 401(k) Plan, all employees were eligible to receive matching contributions from Digirad of 25% of

employee contributions up to a maximum of \$1,000 per employee, per year, subject to vesting provisions. We do not provide defined benefit pension plans or defined contribution retirement plans to our executives or other employees. The 401(k) Plan and other generally available benefit programs allow us to remain competitive for employee talent, and we believe that the availability of these benefit programs generally enhances employee productivity and retention.

How We Determine When to Grant Stock Options

Stock option grants are generally awarded to our executive officers and eligible employees (i) at the time of hire, (ii) upon promotion, and (iii) as part of the long-term incentive program.

Executive Officer Grant Process. For newly hired executive officers, upon their promotion and in connection with their annual executive compensation package, the compensation committee generally approves stock option and RSU grants at its regularly scheduled meetings. The grants are effective, and vesting commences on the day the grant is made, and the exercise price of each grant is the closing price of our stock as of the date of the grant. On occasion, the compensation committee may determine to grant the stock options and/or RSUs for newly hired executive officers by unanimous written consent prior to the executive's hire date; in that case, the effective date

of the grant and the vesting date are the executive's first day of employment, and the price of the options is the closing price of our stock as of that date. Other than for new hires, if stock options are granted by means of unanimous written consent, the stock options are granted and priced at the closing price of our stock, and begin vesting, on the date when the last consent is received by us.

In each case described above, if the date on which the grant would otherwise be effective falls on a day when the markets are closed, the grant date and the vesting commencement date become the next business day when the markets are open, and the price of our stock at the close of business on that day becomes the option price.

The compensation committee has not granted nor does it intend in the future to grant equity awards to our executive officers in anticipation of the release of material nonpublic information that is likely to result in changes to the price of our common stock. Similarly, the compensation committee has not timed, nor does it intend in the future to time, the release of material nonpublic information based on stock option grant dates.

Our Policies in Connection with Waiving or Modifying Performance Goals

We exercise reasonable discretion in modifying performance goals when unanticipated events, such as market or regulatory changes, the need to adjust business plans or individual assignments or areas of responsibility, or the loss of key personnel have made the achievement of previously set individual or company-wide performance goals impossible or significantly more difficult. We believe that the failure to adjust goals in such circumstances could lead to justified disappointment and the potential departure of key personnel we wish to retain. If performance goals are restated because of unanticipated events, we may adjust the bonus and option elements of the executive compensation plan. Any such adjustments would generally continue to include, as a prerequisite, the executive's meeting his or her individual performance goals, and our achievement of revised, measurable financial goals such as revenue or earnings.

Compensation Risk Assessment

In establishing and reviewing our overall compensation program, the compensation committee considers whether the program and its various elements encourage or motivate our executives or other employees to take excessive risks. We believe that our compensation program and its elements are designed to encourage our employees to act in the long-term best interests of the Company and are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our business.

The Impact of Tax and Accounting Treatments on Elements of Compensation

We have elected to award non-qualified stock options instead of incentive stock options to all our employees, directors and consultants to allow the corporation to take advantage of the more favorable tax advantages associated with non-qualified stock options.

Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) precludes us from deducting certain forms of non-performance-based compensation in excess of \$1.0 million to named executive officers. To date, we have not exceeded the \$1.0 million limit for any executive, and the compensation committee has not defined a policy that all compensation must be deductible. However, since stock-based awards comprise a significant portion of total compensation, the compensation committee has taken appropriate steps to preserve deductibility for such awards in the future, when appropriate.

Our Rationale for Selecting a Particular Event to Trigger Payment Under a Post-Termination and/or Change of Control Agreement

Other than as set forth below with respect to Messrs. Clyde, Slansky, Lott and Conwell, the only payments or benefits that could currently be made to our executive officers as a result of any termination of employment exist as rights under our 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended.

The 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, and the option agreements of our executives provide that, in case of a change of control of Digirad, all options then outstanding but neither assumed nor replaced by the successor entity shall vest immediately upon the change of control event. Further, if an executive's employment is terminated without cause within twelve (12) months of the change of control all stock options then outstanding, either assumed or replaced, shall become fully vested at the time of termination. With respect to Mr. Clyde's employment agreement, should Mr. Clyde still be employed on the one-year anniversary date of a change of control, his stock options then outstanding, either assumed or replaced, shall become fully vested on said anniversary date. We have adopted defined trigger events for such compensation upon a termination following, or as a result of a change of control to provide incentives for our executives to work for, instead of against, changes of control of Digirad that align with our stockholders' interests, and to assure them of severance benefits in such circumstances that are comparable to those offered by similar companies in our industry and our geographic location.

Under Mr. Clyde's employment agreement, he is entitled to receive certain severance benefits upon the termination of his employment by us without cause (as defined below).

On December 31, 2010, the Company entered into severance agreements with Messrs. Lott and Conwell. Under these agreements, in the event their employment with the Company is terminated without cause (as defined below), they would each receive a severance payment in amount equal to six months of their respective base salaries.

The Level of Salary and Bonus in Proportion to Total Compensation

Because of the congruence of interests by our executives and our stockholders in sustained, long-term growth of the value of our stock, we seek to keep cash compensation in line with market conditions and, if justified by our financial performance, place emphasis on the use of stock options as a means of obtaining significantly better than average compensation.

Other Compensation

We intend to continue to maintain our current benefits for our executive officers, including medical, dental, vision and life insurance coverage and the ability to contribute to a 401(k) retirement plan; however, the compensation committee in its discretion may revise, amend or add to the officer's executive benefits if it deems it advisable. The benefits currently available to the executive officers are also available to our other employees. At times, we pay the relocation, housing or commuting costs of our employees, including our executive officers.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table provides information regarding the compensation earned during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 by our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer and our three other most highly compensated executive officers who were employed by us during 2011.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards (\$ (1))	Option Awards (\$ (2))	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$ (3) (4))	Total (\$)
Todd P. Clyde President and Chief Executive Officer (5)	2011	341,040	—	—	—	2,000	343,040
	2010	328,104	297,750	45,708	12,563	1,000	685,125
	2009	301,153	—	44,533	115,479	1,000	462,165
Richard B Slansky Chief Financial Officer (11)	2011	255,000	—	—	—	2,000	257,000
	2010	248,296	170,450	28,568	6,375	1,000	454,689
	2009	201,634	—	113,198	50,813	1,000	366,645
Randy L. Weatherhead Former Senior Vice President, Sales & Marketing (1), (9), (10)	2011	224,349	—	—	13,740	1,833	239,922
	2010	195,327	98,100	22,854	32,841	1,000	350,122
	2009	225,865	—	36,266	73,658	1,000	336,789
Virgil J. Lott Senior Vice President of Operations (6)	2011	220,000	—	—	—	2,000	222,000
	2010	214,415	179,800	45,708	5,500	1,000	446,423
	2009	209,181	—	14,933	28,383	6,077(7)	258,574
Richard L. Conwell Senior Vice President of Technology (8)	2011	200,000	—	—	14,035	2,000	216,035
	2010	194,923	79,400	17,141	43,840	1,000	336,304

* In April 2012, Richard B. Slansky's employment with the Company as Chief Financial Officer ended.

Represents full grant date fair value of restricted stock awards granted to our named executive officers, computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC")

(1) Topic 718, Stock Compensation. For information regarding assumptions made in connection with this valuation, please see Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements found in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 filed on February 17, 2012.

Represents full fair value at grant date of options to purchase Digirad common stock granted to our named executive officers, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, Stock Compensation. The full grant date fair value of an option award is the maximum value that may be received over the vesting period if all vesting

(2) conditions are satisfied. Thus, there is no assurance that the value, if any, eventually received by our executive officers will correspond to the amount shown. For information regarding assumptions made in connection with this valuation, please see Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements found in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 filed on February 17, 2012.

(3) Amounts shown for 2009 and 2010 include \$1,000 matching contributions to the officers' 401(k) retirement plans.

(4) Amounts shown for 2011 include matching \$1,000 matching contributions to the officers' 401(k) retirement plan and up to \$1,000 seed

contribution to the executive's Health Saving Account plans.

(5) Mr. Clyde was appointed President and chief executive officer and member of the board of directors on October 20, 2008, and resigned as chief financial officer effective March 9, 2009.

(6) Mr. Lott was promoted to senior vice president of operations in October 2009.

(7) Amounts shown include \$5,077 of car allowance.

(8) Mr. Conwell became one of the three most highly compensated executive officers commencing in 2010, with the departure of another executive officer.

(9) During fiscal 2010, Mr. Weatherhead's bonus compensation was comprised of an amount under the 2010 executive bonus plan, as well as incentive payments based on certain additional performance measures as specified in the section "Annual Incentive Bonus-Fiscal 2010."

(10) Mr. Weatherhead's position was eliminated and his employment terminated in July 2011. Mr. Weatherhead received severance benefits of \$100,000 in 2011 pursuant to the Separation Agreement and Release entered into with Digirad upon his termination of employment. These severance benefits are further described in detail below in the section entitled "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control."

(11) Mr. Slansky's employment with the Company ended in April 2012. Mr. Slansky did not receive any severance benefits.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table presents information concerning grants to each of the named executive officers during 2011.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise Date or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Share)	Grant Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
Todd P. Clyde	N/A	50,250	201,000	402,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Richard B. Slansky*	N/A	25,500	102,000	202,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Randy L. Weatherhead	N/A	32,500	130,000	260,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Virgil J. Lott	N/A	22,000	88,000	176,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Richard L. Conwell	N/A	20,000	80,000	160,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

* In April 2012, Richard B. Slansky's employment with the Company as Chief Financial Officer ended.

The amounts in these columns list the threshold, target and maximum payout under our incentive program for 2011. As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, cash bonuses for 2011 under this program were (1) dependent on the achievement of both specified corporate-wide financial objectives and specified individual objectives for each named executive officer. Bonuses under the terms of the 2011 executive bonus plan for each named executive officer.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table presents the outstanding equity awards held by each of the named executive officers as of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, including the value of the stock awards.

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards					Stock Awards	
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Todd P. Clyde	02/16/2010 (6)						31,250	61,250
	12/31/2010 (6)						50,000	98,000
	02/16/2010 (1)	18,333	21,667	—	1.87	2/16/2017	—	—
	11/5/2002 (3)	67,857	—	—	0.49	11/5/2012	—	—
	10/30/2008 (1)	237,496	62,504	—	0.83	10/30/2018	—	—
	07/09/2009 (4)	69,583	—	—	1.21	7/9/2016	—	—
Richard B. Slansky*	02/16/2010 (6)						14,584	28,585
	12/31/2010 (6)						33,334	65,335
	02/16/2010 (1)	11,458	13,542	—	1.87	2/16/2017	—	—
	03/09/2009 (2)	154,671	70,329	—	0.86	3/9/2019	—	—
Randy L. Weatherhead		—	—	—	—	—	—	
Virgil J. Lott	02/16/2010 (6)						16,667	32,667
	12/31/2010 (6)						33,334	65,335
	02/16/2010 (1)	18,333	21,667	—	1.87	2/16/2017	—	—
	07/09/2009 (4)	23,333	—	—	1.21	7/9/2016	—	—
	11/11/2008 (1)	15,416	4,584	—	0.70	11/11/2018	—	—
Richard L. Conwell	02/16/2010 (6)						8,334	16,335
	12/31/2010 (6)						13,334	26,135
	09/30/2002 (3)	59,138	—	—	0.49	9/30/2012	—	—
	02/16/2010 (1)	6,874	8,126	—	1.87	2/16/2017	—	—
	11/11/2008 (1)	15,416	4,584	—	0.70	11/11/2018	—	—
	07/09/2009 (4)	35,029	—	—	1.21	7/9/2016	—	—

* In April 2012, Richard B. Slansky's employment with the Company as Chief Financial Officer ended.

- (1) 1/48th of the total number of shares subject to the option vest monthly.
- (2) 25% of the total number of shares subject to option vest on the first anniversary of the grant date, with the remaining shares vesting monthly over 36 months.
- (3) 25% of the total number of shares subject to option vest on the first anniversary of the grant date, with the remaining shares vesting daily over 36 months.
- (4) 50% of the total number of shares subject to option vest on the first anniversary of the grant date, with the remaining shares vesting monthly over 12 months.
- (5) 50% of the total number of shares subject to option vest on the first anniversary of the grant date, with the remaining shares vesting monthly over 12 months.
- (6) 1/12th of the total number of shares subject to the stock awards vest quarterly.

The market value of the equity incentive plan awards represents the product of the closing price of the Company's stock as of

December 31, 2011, which was \$1.96, and the number of shares underlying each such award.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested at Fiscal Year End

The following table shows for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, certain information regarding option exercises and stock vested during the last fiscal year with respect to the named executive officers:

Name	Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal 2011			
	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Todd P. Clyde	—	—	50,000	118,250
Richard B. Slansky*	—	—	28,332	67,006
Randy L. Weatherhead	73,885	99,578	12,500	30,209
Virgil J. Lott	—	—	29,999	70,948
Richard L. Conwell	—	—	13,332	31,531

* In April 2012, Richard B. Slansky's employment with the Company as Chief Financial Officer ended.

Pension Benefits

None of our named executive officers participates in or has account balances in qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plans sponsored by us.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

None of our named executive officers participates in or has account balances in non-qualified defined contribution plans or other deferred compensation plans maintained by us.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

In connection with Mr. Clyde's appointment as our President and Chief Executive Officer on October 20, 2008, we entered into an employment agreement with him that provides for certain compensation and severance benefits. The employment agreement was amended effective December 31, 2010. Pursuant to the employment agreement as amended, Mr. Clyde was entitled to a base salary of \$335,000 per year. Mr. Clyde is eligible to receive an annual performance bonus of a specified percentage of his base salary, which for the 2011 calendar year only, has a target value of at least 60% of his base salary. If we terminate Mr. Clyde's employment agreement without cause (as defined in such agreement), and Mr. Clyde timely enters into and does not revoke a separation and release agreement with us, Mr. Clyde will be entitled to receive the following severance benefits:

Company-paid COBRA coverage for Mr. Clyde (and his eligible dependents) through the earlier of (a) the date 18 months following his date of termination of employment, or (b) the date upon which Mr. Clyde and his eligible dependents become covered under another health plan; and,

Severance payments in an amount equal to the higher of (i) his then current annual base salary for an additional 18 months or (ii) \$502,500, with the severance amounts to be payable over the 18 months following such termination of employment, in accordance with our general payroll practices.

Assuming that Mr. Clyde's employment was terminated without cause as of December 31, 2011, he would have been entitled to receive the following payouts as severance: (i) continuing severance payments of base pay, totaling \$502,500; and (ii) up to 18 months of Company-paid COBRA coverage for Mr. Clyde and his dependents, estimated to have a value of \$23,000.

We entered into an employment agreement on February 7, 2009 with Mr. Slansky, who joined us in March 2009 as our Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Slansky's employment agreement was also amended effective December 31, 2010. Pursuant to the employment agreement, and as amended, Mr. Slansky was entitled to a base salary of \$255,000 per year. Mr. Slansky was eligible to receive an annual performance bonus of a specified percentage of his base salary, which for the 2010 calendar year only had a target value of at least 40% of his base salary. If Mr. Slansky would have been terminated without cause (as defined in such agreement) or if Mr. Slansky

would have resigned for good reason (as defined in such agreement), and Mr. Slansky timely did not enter into and did not revoke a separation and release agreement with us, Mr. Slansky would have been entitled to receive the following severance benefits:

Company-paid COBRA coverage for Mr. Slansky (and his eligible dependents) through the earlier of (a) the date 12 months following his date of termination of employment, or (b) the date upon which Mr. Slansky and his eligible dependents become covered under another health plan; and

Severance payments in an amount equal to the higher of (i) his then current annual base salary for an additional 12 months or (ii) \$255,000, with the severance amounts to be payable over the 12 months following such termination of employment, in accordance with our general payroll practices.

Assuming that Mr. Slansky's employment was terminated without cause or he resigned with good reason as of December 31, 2011, he would have been entitled to receive the following payouts as severance: (i) continuing severance payments of base pay, the higher of \$255,000 or 12 months of base salary totaling \$255,000; and (ii) 12 months of Company-paid COBRA coverage for Mr. Slansky and his dependents, estimated to have a value of \$13,000.

Mr. Slansky's employment with the Company ended in April 2012. Mr. Slansky did not receive any severance benefits.

On December 31, 2010, the Company entered into severance agreements with the named executive officers Virgil Lott and Richard Conwell. Under these agreements, in the event their employment with the Company is terminated without cause (as defined below), each would receive a severance payment in amount equal to 6 months of their respective base salaries.

Assuming that Mr. Lott's employment was terminated without cause as of December 31, 2011, he would have been entitled to receive \$110,000.

Assuming that Mr. Conwell's employment was terminated without cause or he resigned with good reason as of December 31, 2011, he would have been entitled to receive \$100,000.

We have no written employment or severance agreements with any other named executive officer.

Under our executive employment agreements "Cause" generally includes the occurrence of any of the following events: (1) willful misconduct or gross negligence in the performance of duties under the agreement; willful failure or refusal to perform in the usual manner at the usual time those duties which regularly and routinely performs in connection with the business of the Company or such other duties reasonably related to the capacity in which such executive is employed hereunder which may be assigned to such executive by the board of directors, if such failure or refusal has not been substantially cured to the satisfaction of the board of directors within thirty (30) days after written notice of such failure or refusal has been given by the Company to such executive; performance of any material action when specifically and reasonably instructed not to do so by the board of directors; engaging or in any manner participating in any activity which is directly competitive with or intentionally injurious to the Company; commission of any fraud, or use or appropriation for his personal use or benefit of any funds, properties or opportunities of the Company not authorized by the board of directors to be so used or appropriated; conviction of any felony involving moral turpitude; or willful or grossly negligent violation of the Ethics Code.

The stock option agreements of our named executive officers provide that, in case of a change of control of Digirad, all options then outstanding but neither assumed nor replaced by the successor entity shall vest immediately upon the

change of control event. Further, if an executive's employment is terminated without cause within twelve (12) months of the change of control all stock options then outstanding, either assumed or replaced, shall become fully vested at the time of termination. With respect to Mr. Clyde's employment agreement, should Mr. Clyde still be employed on the one-year anniversary date of a change of December 31, 2011, the value of the stock options of our named executive officers that would accelerate upon (i) termination without cause within twelve (12) months of a change of control in which options are assumed or replaced by the successor entity, or (ii) a change of control in which the outstanding stock options are neither assumed or replaced by the successor entity, based on the difference between the closing price on the last trading day of the year of \$2.10 per share and the exercise price of the respective options was as follows:

33

Name	Option Value as of December 31, 2011	Stock Award Value as of December 31, 2011
Todd P. Clyde	\$494,537	\$159,250
Richard B. Slansky*	249,750	93,919
Randy L. Weatherhead	—	—
Virgil J. Lott	46,300	98,002
Richard L. Conwell	139,755	42,469

* In April 2012, Richard B. Slansky's employment with the Company as Chief Financial Officer ended.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes the number of outstanding options, warrants and rights granted to our employees, consultants, and directors, as well as the number of shares remaining available for future issuance, under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2011.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,468,393	\$2.13	468,688

2012 Management Compensation

On July 9, 2012, our board of directors approved the salaries and bonuses to be paid to our executive officers for the 2012 fiscal year and adopted our 2012 Executive Incentive Bonus Plan for the 2012 fiscal year, or the 2012 Executive Incentive Plan, after such matters were approved and recommended by the Board's compensation committee.

As part of the adoption of the 2012 Executive Incentive Plan, our compensation committee decided not to increase the salaries of any of Company's executive officers as well as not grant any equity awards to such executive officers. The 2012 salaries of the Company's named executive officers are as follows:

Name	2011 Base Salary	2012 Base Salary
Todd P. Clyde	\$335,000	\$335,000
Virgil Lott	\$220,000	\$220,000
Richard L. Conwell	\$200,000	\$200,000

Bonus payouts for our executive officers pursuant to the 2012 Executive Incentive Plan will be based on a percentage of base salary and payable based on the following payment targets, which such targets have not changed from 2011:

	Cash Target	Target % of Salary
Todd Clyde	\$201,000	60%
Virgil Lott	\$88,000	40%
Richard L. Conwell	\$80,000	40%

Mr. Clyde's bonus will be based 25% on the achievement of a 2012 combined revenue of DIS and product objective, 25% on the achievement of a 2012 combined net income of DIS and product objective, 25% on the achievement of a 2012 combined free cash flow of DIS and product objective and 25% on the achievement of personal management objectives, as determined by our board of directors, which include certain targets related to Digirad's strategic objectives. The weighted average achievement of these objectives must be at least 95% or no bonus will be earned.

Mr. Lott's bonus will be based 25% on the achievement of a 2012 product revenue objective, 25% on the achievement of a 2012 product net income/(loss) objective, 25% on the achievement of a 2012 product free cash flow objective and 25% on the

achievement of personal management objectives, as determined by the chief executive officer and our compensation committee, which include certain targets related to objectives associated with Digirad's product business. The weighted average achievement of these objectives must be at least 95% or no bonus will be earned, with the exception if the weighted average consolidated results (net revenue, net income/(loss) and free cash flow) of the target figures as compared to budget are at least 100%, Mr. Lott's bonus will be paid at a minimum of 50% (regardless of his individual or subsidiary achievements). If the weighted average consolidated results (net revenue, net income/(loss) and free cash flow) compared to budget are not at least 95% of the target figures but his individual or subsidiary achievements are at or above 95%, then Mr. Lott's bonus will be reduced by 50%.

Mr. Conwell's bonus will be based 15% on the achievement of on the achievement of a 2012 product revenue objective, 15% on the achievement of a 2012 product net/(loss) income objective, 15% on the achievement of a 2012 product free cash flow objective and 55% on the achievement of personal management objectives, as determined by the chief executive officer and our compensation committee, which include certain targets related to the Digirad's specific development initiatives. The weighted average achievement of these objectives must be at least 95% or no bonus will be earned, with the exception if the weighted average consolidated results (net revenue, net income/(loss) and free cash flow) of the target figures as compared to budget are at least 100%, Mr. Conwell's bonus will be paid at a minimum of 50% (regardless of his individual or subsidiary achievements). If the weighted average consolidated results (net revenue, net income/(loss) and free cash flow) compared to budget are not at least 95% of the target figures but his individual or subsidiary achievements are at or above 95%, then Mr. Conwell's bonus will be reduced by 50%.

For each of Messrs. Conwell, Clyde and Lott, the free cash flow target may not be lowered as a result of under-spending on capital expenditures, but will be increased for overspending. Also, for each of Messrs. Conwell, Clyde and Lott, bonus payouts between 95% and 100% will be paid on a linear basis, with a minimum of 25% payout. Bonus overachievement will be capped at 150% and will be paid out on a linear basis up to a total weighted average of 120%.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Cash Retainer Compensation

Non-employee members of our board of directors are paid an annual cash retainer for their service, with additional compensation for being the chairperson of the board, serving on a committee of the board and chairing a committee of the board. Payments are made quarterly, in advance.

The compensation paid to the members of the board of directors is indicated in the chart below:

2011 Director Cash Compensation	
Director Annual Retainer (all)	\$36,500
Chairperson Retainer	\$15,000
Audit Committee Chairperson	\$10,000
Compensation Committee Chairperson	\$5,000
Corporate Governance Committee Chairperson	\$5,000
Audit Committee Member	\$4,500
Compensation Committee Member	\$4,000
Corporate Governance Committee Member	\$4,000

The single employee director of our board of directors, Mr. Clyde, our President and Chief Executive Officer, does not receive additional compensation for his service on our board of directors.

In June 2012, our board of directors adopted the following compensation for non-employee directors for 2012:

2012 Director Cash Compensation	
Director Annual Retainer (all)	\$36,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Chairperson	\$15,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Audit Committee Chairperson	\$14,500
Additional Annual Retainer to Compensation Committee Chairperson	\$5,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Corporate Governance Committee Chairperson	\$5,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Strategic Advisory Committee Chairperson	\$5,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Audit Committee Member	\$4,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Compensation Committee Member	\$4,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Corporate Governance Committee Member	\$4,000
Additional Annual Retainer to Strategic Advisory Committee Member	\$4,000

For the sake of clarity, each of the audit committee, the compensation committee, the corporate governance committee and the strategic advisory committee chairmen only receive an amount equal to the chairman's fee set forth in the table above and not the chairman's fee plus the participant fee.

Restricted Stock Units

Beginning in 2008, each non-employee director was entitled to an annual grant of restricted stock units, or RSUs, as determined by dividing \$40,000 by the closing price of our common stock as quoted on NASDAQ three days after the release of Company financials after the annual meeting of stockholders, rounded to the nearest 1,000 shares. However, unlike years past, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, RSUs were not granted to any non-employee director.

Non-Employee Director Equity Grants For 2012

In July 2012, our board of directors commenced working with our compensation committee to determine the appropriate level of annual equity grants to our non-employee directors for the 2012 as well as initial equity grants to our non-employee directors who have joined the board since April 2012.

Non-Employee Director Compensation Table

The following table sets forth summary information concerning compensation paid or accrued for services rendered to us in all capacities to the non-employee members of our board of directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Name	Fees Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards \$ (1)	Option Awards \$ (1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
R. King Nelson	65,000	39,060	(5) —	(2) —	—	—	104,060
Gerhard F. Burbach	36,500	39,060	(5) —	(3) —	—	—	75,560
Kenneth E. Olson	50,000	39,060	(5) —	(4) —	—	—	89,060
John Sayward	55,000	39,060	(5) —	—	—	—	94,060
Steven C. Mendell	45,000	39,060	(5) —	—	—	—	84,060

Represents full grant date fair value of 14,000 restricted stock units awarded to each non-employee director in the year ended December 31, 2011, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, Stock Compensation. For (1) information regarding assumptions made in connection with this valuation, please see Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements found in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 filed on February 17, 2012.

(2) R. King Nelson has outstanding options to purchase an aggregate of 41,429 shares as of December 31, 2011, through various option awards granted prior to 2011.

(3) Gerhard Burbach has outstanding options to purchase an aggregate of 260,000 shares as of December 31, 2011, through various option awards granted prior to 2011.

(4) Kenneth E. Olson has outstanding options to purchase an aggregate of 90,514 shares as of December 31, 2011, through various option awards granted prior to 2011.

(5) 51,166 restricted stock units are vested as of December 31, 2011 through various RSU awards granted from 2009 through 2011.

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, or CD&A, for the year ended December 31, 2011 with management. In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the compensation committee recommended to our board of directors, and our board of directors has approved, that the CD&A be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for filing with the SEC.

The foregoing report has been furnished by the compensation committee.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

R. King Nelson

Mr. Hawkins joined our board of directors, and was appointed to the compensation committee, on April 22, 2012. Mr. Eberwein was appointed to the compensation committee on April 27, 2012. Accordingly, although Messrs. Hawkins and Eberwein are now members of the compensation committee, neither participated in the review, discussions and recommendation with respect to the CD&A included in Digirad's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Report of the Compensation Committee does not constitute soliciting material, and shall not be deemed to be filed or incorporated by reference into any other filing by Digirad under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except to the extent Digirad specifically incorporates the Report of the Compensation Committee by reference therein.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

No member of our compensation committee was an officer or employee of our company during the last fiscal year, was formerly an officer of our company or had any relationship requiring disclosure by us under Item 404 of Regulation S-K under the Exchange Act.

During the last fiscal year, none of our executive officers served as a member of the compensation committee (or other board committee performing equivalent functions or, in the absence of any such committee, the entire board of directors) of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on our compensation committee or on our board of directors, and none of our executive officers served as a director of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on our compensation committee.

PROPOSAL 3-ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) APPROVAL OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

Pursuant to Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are asking our stockholders to provide advisory (non-binding) approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, as we have described it in the “Executive Compensation” section of this Proxy Statement. Although this vote is advisory, and not binding on our Company, it will provide information to our management and the compensation committee regarding investor opinion about our executive compensation practices and policies, which the compensation committee will be able to consider when determining executive compensation for the remainder of 2012 and beyond. Following is a summary of some of the key points of our 2011 executive compensation program.

Our executive compensation is structured to align management's interests with our stockholder's interest in creating short- and long-term value creation and pay for performance. In the course of setting 2011 executive compensation, the compensation committee set compensation after reviewing data and analyses regarding median market compensation, 2011 business expectations and aligned compensation, including variable and equity compensation to the achievement of the established short and long term goals of the business.

RSUs issued to named executive officers are tied to the twin goals of motivation and the continuity provided by executive retention. RSUs granted to named executive officers vest ratably over a three-year period, providing management with motivation to achieve long-term strategic goals set jointly by the board of directors and Company management. RSUs with an extended vesting schedule also provide recipients with an incentive to stay with the Company, providing the Company with improved stability and service through challenging business periods. Granting of RSUs is at the discretion of our board of directors and in 2011 no RSUs were granted to any named executive officer.

In 2011, our board of directors determined that that it will hold an advisory (non-binding) vote on the compensation of our named executive officers annually until the next required vote on the frequency of such an advisory vote.

Accordingly, we expect that the next advisory (non-binding) vote on the compensation of our named executive officers will occur at our 2013 annual meeting of stockholders.

In June 2012, we modified our compensation policies to provide for (i) a continuation of the existing moratorium on grants of restricted stock units to all non-employee directors, and (ii) a limitation, from June 1, 2012 through the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, on the granting of incentive stock options or non-statutory stock options to an aggregate of no more than 1.5% of Digirad's common stock outstanding as of June 1, 2012; provided, however, that any inducement or one-time grants to new employees shall be excluded from such limit. These policies will remain in effect through the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, after which they will be subject to adjustment from time to time by the Board in its reasonable discretion.

We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this Proxy Statement by voting in favor of the following resolution:

“RESOLVED, that the holders of shares of common stock approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's executives named in the Summary Compensation Table, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement, pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC (which disclosure includes the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Executive Compensation tables and the related footnotes and narrative following the tables). However, as this is an advisory vote, the result will not be binding on our board of directors or the Company.”

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR” THE ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) APPROVAL OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION.

RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS AND SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Related Person Transactions

Employment Agreement

In February 2006, we hired Kelly Weatherhead, the wife of executive officer Randy Weatherhead, as a Product Sales Manager and Ms. Weatherhead has continued to serve us in such position through the date of this Proxy Statement. Ms. Weatherhead's total cash compensation, including commissions, during 2010 was \$147,156 and her total cash compensation, including commissions, during 2011 was \$152,455.80. Mr. Weatherhead left the Company in July 2011.

Issuance of Restricted Stock Units

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, we granted restricted stock units to purchase an aggregate of 70,000 shares of our common stock to our current non-employee directors, valued at an aggregate \$195,300 on the date of grant, as set forth in more detail in the Non-Employee Director Compensation Table.

Other Transactions

We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our executive officers and directors. The indemnification agreements require us to indemnify these individuals to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law and may be broader than the specific indemnification provisions contained in the Delaware General Corporation Law. In addition, we have purchased a policy of directors' and officers' liability insurance that insures our directors and officers against the cost of defense, settlement or payment of a judgment in some circumstances.

We believe that all of the transactions described above were on terms at least as favorable to us as they would have been had we entered into those transactions with unaffiliated third parties.

Policy Concerning Audit Committee Approval of Related Person Transactions

Our board of directors and audit committee has adopted a formal policy that our executive officers, directors, holders of more than 5% of any class of our voting securities, and any member of the immediate family of and any entity affiliated with any of the foregoing persons, are not permitted to enter into a related party transaction with us without the prior consent of our audit committee, or other independent members of our board of directors if it is inappropriate for our audit committee to review such transaction due to a conflict of interest. Any request for us to enter into a transaction with an executive officer, director, principal stockholder, or any of their immediate family members or affiliates, in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 must first be presented to our audit committee for review, consideration and approval. In approving or rejecting any such proposal, our audit committee is to consider the relevant facts and circumstances available and deemed relevant to the audit committee, including, but not limited to, whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related party's interest in the transaction.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires Digirad's directors, executive officers and holders of more than 10% of its common stock to file with the SEC reports regarding their ownership and changes in ownership of Digirad's securities. Based solely on a review of such filings and written representations from certain reporting persons, Digirad believes that during 2011, its directors, executive officers and 10% stockholders complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Stockholder proposals intended for inclusion in next year's proxy statement pursuant to Rule 14a-8 promulgated under the Exchange Act must be directed to the Corporate Secretary, Digirad Corporation, 13950 Stowe Drive, Poway, California 92064 and must be received by March 20, 2013. In order for proposals of stockholders made outside of Rule 14a-8 promulgated under the Exchange Act to be considered "timely" within the meaning of Rule 14a-4(c) promulgated under the Exchange Act, such proposals must be received by the Corporate Secretary at the above address by March 20, 2013 and must also be submitted in accordance with the requirements of our bylaws.

ANNUAL REPORT

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 will be mailed to stockholders of record as of June 20, 2012.

A copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K will also be furnished without charge upon receipt of a written request identifying the person so requesting a report as a stockholder of Digirad at such date to any person who was a beneficial owner of our common stock on the Record Date. Requests should be directed to Investor Relations, Digirad Corporation, 13950 Stowe Drive, Poway, California 92064.

HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries (e.g., brokers) to satisfy the delivery requirements for proxy statements and annual reports with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single proxy statement addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as “householding,” potentially means extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies. This year, a number of brokers with account holders who are Digirad stockholders may be “householding” our proxy materials. In that event, a single proxy statement will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected stockholders. Once you have received notice from your broker that they will be “householding” communications to your address, “householding” will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in “householding” and would prefer to receive a separate proxy statement and annual report, please notify your broker. Stockholders who currently receive multiple copies of the proxy statement at their address and would like to request “householding” of their communications should contact their broker.

GENERAL

Other Matters

The board of directors is not aware of any other matters that are to be presented for action at the Annual Meeting. However, if any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, your shares of common stock will be voted in accordance with the best judgment of the designated proxy holders (who are identified on the enclosed proxy card). **IT IS IMPORTANT THAT PROXIES BE RETURNED PROMPTLY. YOUR VOTE IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT, REGARDLESS OF HOW MANY OR HOW FEW SHARES YOU OWN. WE URGE YOU TO SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ACCOMPANYING PROXY CARD PROMPTLY. A POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE IS ENCLOSED FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE.**

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/S/ R. KING NELSON

R. King Nelson

Chairman of the Board

Dated: July 20, 2012

