# COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS LTD

Form 10-K/A June 07, 2002

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K/A

Amendment No. 1

(Mark On	e) ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 1 SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	3 OR 15(d) OH	F THE
	For the fiscal year ended	December 31,	, 2001
	OR		
[ ]	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTI SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	ON 13 OR 15(d	d) OF THE
	For the transition period from		to
	Commission file numb	er 001-04668	
	COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS		I TD
	(Exact name of registrant as sp	ecified in it	ts charter)
	BERMUDA		NONE
	te or other jurisdiction of orporation or organization		(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
	Clarendon House Church Street Hamilton, Bermuda		HM 11
(Addres	s of principal executive offices)		(Zip Code)
Registra	nt's telephone number, including are	a code	(441) 295-1422
Securiti	es registered pursuant to Section 12	(b) of the Ad	ct:
Ti	tle of each class		each exchange on ch registered
Common s	tock, par value \$.12 per share	Boston	Stock Exchange
Securiti	es registered pursuant to Section 12	(g) of the Ad	ct:

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

|X| Yes |\_| No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K ss.229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. |X|

The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$34,685,000 (U.S.) at March 11, 2002.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Common stock, par value \$.12 per share, 43,468,329 shares outstanding as of March  $11,\ 2002$ .

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None

#### EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Form 10-K/A amends and restates in its entirety, and is substituted in lieu thereof, the Form 10-K previously filed by Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. (the "Company") on March 14, 2002 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	PART I	
	Risk Factors	4
Item 1.	Business	10
Item 2.	Properties	16
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	19
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	24
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for the Company's Common Stock and Related Stockholder Matters	24

Item	6.	Selected Consolidated Financial Information	28
Item	7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29
Item	7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	32
Item	8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	33
Item	9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	52
		PART III	
Item	10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Company	52
Item	11.	Executive Compensation	54
Item	12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	55
Item	13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	56
		PART IV	
Item	14.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K	58

All monetary figures set forth are expressed in United States currency.

#### PART I

#### RISK FACTORS

An investment in the Company's common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the following risk factors and other information in this Form 10-K and the documents incorporated by reference in evaluating the Company. If any of the following risks actually occur, the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS AND THE LITIGATION

We have a history of losses and anticipate further losses, which could cause us to discontinue our business.

Our business has never had substantial revenues and has operated at a loss in each year since our inception in 1953. We recorded a loss of \$6,585,000 for the year December 31, 2001, a loss of \$1,386,000 for the year 2000 and a loss of \$1,105,000 for the year 1999. If we continue to sustain losses and are unable to achieve profitability, we may not be able to continue our business and may have to curtail, suspend or cease operations. You should also see Note 1 to our financial statements regarding the uncertainty as to our ability to continue as a going concern.

During the three years ended December 31, 2001, we spent approximately \$2.7 million on legal expenses primarily for the lawsuits against the State of

Florida relating to drilling permits and royalty interests. If we continue to incur significant expenses and are unable to raise additional funds to meet these expenses, we may have to cease or suspend our lawsuits and/or cease operations entirely.

In the unlikely event that we were to receive drilling permits related to the St. George Island prospect or other exploratory wells, we would be required to incur a significant amount of operating expenditures to commence drilling operations and would need to generate significant revenues to achieve profitability. We may not be able to achieve or sustain revenues, profitability or positive cash flow and cannot assure that profitability, if achieved, will be sustained.

Our auditors have expressed the view that our negative working capital, negative stockholders' equity and capital deficiencies raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our auditors have included an explanatory paragraph in their report for the year ended December 31, 2001, indicating there is substantial doubt regarding our ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus do not include any adjustments to asset values or recorded liability amounts that might be required in the event we are unable to continue as a going concern.

Without additional financing, we only have enough liquid assets on hand to continue to operate the Company for part of the year 2002.

We believe that our funds on hand will be sufficient to permit us to continue to operate through the second quarter of 2002 and to pay the expenses related to this offering which are estimated to be approximately \$300,000. After that time, we may have to suspend or cease operations unless and until we can secure additional financing. Effective February 20, 2002, our directors, officers, legal counsel and administrative consultants have agreed to defer the payment of all of their salaries and fees until we have working capital of at least \$1 million. We currently do not have any commitments for additional financing. We may be unable to obtain additional financing in the future on acceptable terms or at all.

If ultimately the courts rule that the State of Florida may deny us a permit and not compensate us for the taking of our property, we may be unable to continue our business.

In the event that the courts determine that the State of Florida is entitled to deny Coastal Petroleum a permit without compensation, it is likely that we would be unable to continue our business.

We may be unable to raise the additional financing needed to cover the substantial litigation costs of proving our properties have been taken and their value.

Coastal Petroleum has filed a claim with the Florida Circuit Court that its property has been taken by the State of Florida, and that Coastal Petroleum is owed compensation by the State of Florida. We will need to secure additional financing to cover the costs of this litigation, which we estimate will be substantial. If we are unable to secure the additional financing adequate to fund the costs of such litigation for a lengthy period of time, we might not be able to conclude the litigation and might have to cease the lawsuits against the State of Florida without any meaningful recovery.

The State of Florida has far greater resources than we do to prosecute

the litigation.

The State of Florida utilizes lawyers from the Florida Attorney General's Office, the Department of Environmental Protection and at least two private law firms to represent its interests in the litigation. In the event that our funds are exhausted before the conclusion of the litigation, we may be unable to conclude the litigation and might be required to cease business.

If the amount of money we recover from the State of Florida is inadequate to cover our costs, we may suffer additional losses.

Coastal Petroleum's lawsuits against the State of Florida involve highly specialized technical engineering and legal judgments. Any recovery that Coastal Petroleum may receive as a result of a court judgment against the State of Florida may be insufficient to cover the costs of prosecuting the claims at trial. If this occurs, we may be forced to cease operations.

Coastal Caribbean is currently a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, for U. S. federal income tax purposes, which could result in negative tax consequences to you.

If, for any taxable year, our passive income or our assets that produce passive income exceed levels provided by U.S. law, we would be a "passive foreign investment company," or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes. For the years 1987 through 2001, Coastal Caribbean's passive income and assets that produce passive income exceeded those levels and for those years Coastal Caribbean constituted a PFIC. Based upon Coastal Caribbean's current passive income, it is likely that Coastal Caribbean will be classified as a PFIC in 2002. If Coastal Caribbean is a PFIC for any taxable year, then our U.S. shareholders potentially would be subject to adverse U.S. tax consequences of holding and disposing of shares of our common stock for that year and for future tax years. Any gain from the sale of, and certain distributions with respect to, shares of our common stock, would cause a U.S. holder to become liable for U.S. federal income tax under Code section 1291 (the interest charge regime). The tax is computed by allocating the amount of the gain on the sale or the amount of the distribution, as the case may be, to each day in the U.S. shareholder's holding period. To the extent that the amount is allocated to a year, other than the year of the disposition or distribution, in which the corporation was treated as a PFIC with respect to the U.S. holder, the income will be taxed as ordinary income at the highest rate in effect for that year, plus an interest

Please see a discussion of these consequences below in Item 5. Market for the Company's Common Stock and Related Stockholder Matters - Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules. We encourage you to consult with a personal tax advisor for advice relating to the potential adverse tax consequences related to an investment in our common shares.

Our Bye-laws contain provisions which may limit a shareholder's efforts to influence our policies and prevent or delay a change in control of our Company.

Bye-Law 1 provides that any matter to be voted on at any meeting of shareholders must be approved not only by a simple majority of the shares voted at such meeting, but also by a majority of the shareholders present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the meeting. This provision may have the effect of making it more difficult to take corporate action than customary "one share one vote" provisions, because it may not be possible to obtain the necessary majority of both votes. As a consequence, Bye-Law 1 may make it more difficult that a takeover of the company will be consummated, which could prevent the company's shareholders from receiving a premium for their shares. In addition, an owner of a substantial number of shares of our common stock may be unable to

influence our policies and operations through the shareholder voting process (e.g., to elect directors).

Our Bye-Laws also require the approval of 75% of the voting shareholders and of the voting shares for the consummation of any business combination (such as a merger, amalgamation or acquisition proposal) involving our company. This higher vote requirement may deter business combination proposals which shareholders may consider favorable.

You may face obstacles to bringing suit in Bermuda against our officers and directors.

We are a Bermuda company and certain of our directors and officers are residents of Bermuda and are not citizens of the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to effect service of process on us or on these directors and officers within the United States or to enforce against these directors and officers judgments of U. S. courts predicated on the civil liabilities under the federal securities laws. If investors are unable to bring such suits, they may be unable to recover a loss on their investment resulting from any violations of the federal securities laws.

There is no precedent for, and therefore no assurance that, the courts in Bermuda would enforce civil liabilities, whether in original actions in Bermuda or in the form of final judgments of U. S. courts, arising under the federal securities laws against us or the persons signing this registration statement. In addition, there is no treaty in effect between the U. S. and Bermuda providing for the enforcement of civil liabilities and there are grounds upon which Bermuda Courts may not enforce judgments of U. S. courts. In addition, some remedies available under the laws of U. S. jurisdictions, including some remedies available under the United States federal securities laws, may not be allowed in Bermuda courts as contrary to that nation's public policy.

Our dividend policy could depress our stock price.

We have never declared or paid dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate declaring or paying any dividends in the foreseeable future. We plan to retain any future earnings to reduce our deficit accumulated during the development stage of \$35,996,000 at December 31, 2001 and to finance our operations.

Any dividends are subject to a 30% withholding tax.

We are a Bermuda corporation. Bermuda currently imposes no taxes on corporate income or capital gains realized outside of Bermuda. However, any dividends we receive from Coastal Petroleum are subject to a 30% United States withholding tax.

#### RISKS RELATED TO OUR INDUSTRY

The State of Florida has stated that its policy is not to permit oil and gas drilling offshore Florida and the State has denied Coastal Petroleum a permit with respect to its St. George's Island prospect. Consequently, we do not believe that the State of Florida will grant drilling permits to Coastal Petroleum with respect to its leases. In the unlikely event that the State ever does grant Coastal Petroleum a drilling permit, Coastal Petroleum would have to contend with other risks.

After obtaining a state drilling permit, Coastal Petroleum would have to do the following:

- o obtain a federal drilling permit;
- o finance drilling of the well (including the cost of the recommended surety), which is currently estimated to cost approximately \$5.5 million; and
- o begin drilling the well within one year of the date the state permit is issued.

We may be unable to obtain the necessary federal permits or we may be unable to finance and commence drilling operations in a timely manner.

If we fail to discover and develop sufficient oil and gas reserves, we would be unable to generate sufficient revenues to cover our costs and might have to curtail, suspend or cease our business operations.

Drilling activities involve numerous risks, including the risk that no commercially productive natural gas or oil reservoirs will be discovered. The cost of drilling, completing and operating wells is often uncertain, and drilling operations may be curtailed, delayed or canceled as a result of adverse conditions beyond our control. Poor results from our exploration and drilling activities could prevent us from developing sufficient oil and gas reserves at a commercially acceptable cost.

 $\hbox{ Compliance with environmental and other governmental regulations could be costly. } \\$ 

Our operations and right to obtain interests in and hold properties or to conduct our business might be affected to an unpredictable extent by limitations imposed by the laws and regulations which are now in effect or which might be adopted by the jurisdictions in which we carry on our business.

Further measures that have been or might be imposed include increased bond requirements, conservation, proration, curtailment, cessation or other forms of limiting or controlling production of hydrocarbons or minerals, as well as price controls or rationing or other similar restrictions. In particular, environmental control and energy conservation laws and regulations adopted by federal, state and local authorities may have to be complied with by leaseholders such as Coastal Petroleum.

We face strong competition from larger oil and gas companies that may impair our ability to carry on operations.

If we receive the necessary state and federal permits to conduct operations, we will operate in the highly competitive areas of oil and gas exploration, development and production. We might not be able to compete with, or enter into cooperative relationships with, our potential competitors, which include major integrated oil companies, substantial independent energy companies, affiliates of major interstate and intrastate pipelines and national and local gas gatherers. If we were unable to establish and maintain competitiveness, our business would be threatened.

Many of our competitors possess greater financial, technical and other resources than we do. Factors which affect our ability to successfully compete in the marketplace include:

- o the financial resources of our competitors;
- o the availability of alternate fuel sources; and
- o the costs related to the extraction and transportation of oil and gas.

Cautionary Statement About Forward-Looking Statements

In this Form 10-K and the documents that we incorporate by reference, we make statements that relate to our future plans, objectives, expectations and intentions that involve risks and uncertainties. We have based these statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. These statements may be identified by the use of words such as "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "believe" and "estimate" and similar expressions. Any statements that refer to expectations, projections or other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and are subject to the safe harbor created by that Act.

Forward-looking statements necessarily involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. Factors that could contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the "Risk Factors" section above and elsewhere in this Form 10-K. The factors set forth in the Risk Factors section and other cautionary statements made in this Form 10-K should be read and understood as being applicable to all related forward-looking statements wherever they appear in this Form 10-K.

All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their dates. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

#### Item 1. Business

(a) General Development of Business.

Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. (Company or Coastal Caribbean), a Bermuda corporation, has been engaged, through its majority owned subsidiary, in the exploration for oil and gas reserves. At December 31, 2001, Coastal Caribbean's principal asset was its 59 1/4 % interest in its subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum Company (Coastal Petroleum). Coastal Petroleum's principal assets are its nonproducing oil, gas and mineral leases and royalty interests in the State of Florida. Coastal Petroleum has made no commercial discoveries on the lands covered by these leases. Between March 1992 and June 2000, Coastal Petroleum attempted to obtain a permit from the State of Florida to drill an exploration well on its Lease 224-A, offshore Florida. Since January 2001, Coastal Petroleum has been involved in litigation to obtain compensation from the State of Florida for the alleged taking by the State of Coastal Petroleum's Lease 224-A by the denial of a permit to drill on the lease.

Coastal Petroleum is the lessee under State of Florida leases relating to the exploration for and production of oil, gas and minerals on approximately 3,700,000 acres of submerged lands along the Gulf Coast and under certain inland lakes and rivers. The leases provide for a working interest in approximately 1,250,000 acres and a royalty interest in approximately 2,450,000 acres covered by the leases. Coastal Petroleum has made no commercial discoveries on its leaseholds.

In 1990, the State of Florida enacted legislation that prohibits drilling or exploration for oil or gas on Florida's offshore acreage. Although the law does not apply to areas where Coastal Petroleum is entitled to conduct exploration, the State of Florida has effectively prevented any exploratory drilling by denying the Company's applications for drilling permits. In addition, in those areas where Coastal Petroleum has only a royalty interest, the law also effectively prohibits production of oil and gas, rendering it impossible for Coastal Petroleum to collect royalties from those areas. During 1998, Coastal Petroleum exhausted its legal remedies in its efforts to obtain compensation for the drilling prohibition on its royalty interest acreage.

Coastal Petroleum has been involved in various lawsuits for many years. Coastal Petroleum's current litigation (Florida Litigation) now involves one basic claim: whether the State's denial of a permit constitutes a taking of its property. In addition, Coastal Caribbean is a party to one additional action in which Coastal Caribbean claims that certain of its royalty interests have been confiscated by the State. During 2001, the Company actively pursued the Florida Litigation.

On October 6, 1999, the Florida First District Court of Appeal ruled that the DEP has the authority to deny Coastal Petroleum's drilling permit for its St. George Island prospect, provided that Coastal Petroleum receives just compensation for what has been taken. The State of Florida and certain Florida environmental groups filed on November 1, 1999 a joint motion for clarification, rehearing, or certification with respect to that decision, asking the Court of Appeal, among other things, to clarify that the question of whether there has been a taking of Coastal Petroleum's leases should be determined in the Circuit Court. On June 26, 2000, the Court of Appeal denied all of the State's motions and stated that the issue of whether the denial of a permit constituted a taking was not before the Court. The Court declined to rule on the merits of the taking issue and stated that the issue was a matter for the Circuit Court. On January 16, 2001, Coastal Petroleum filed an inverse condemnation action in the Circuit Court to be compensated for the value of its properties. The cost of the litigation has been substantial and the cost is expected to be substantial in the future.

See Item 3. "Legal Proceedings" for a more complete discussion of the litigation.

(b) Financial Information About Industry Segments.

Because the Company has been engaged in only one industry, namely, oil, gas and mineral exploration and development, this item is not applicable to the Company. See Item 8 for general financial information concerning the Company.

(c) Narrative Description of the Business.

\_\_\_\_\_

Coastal Caribbean was organized in Bermuda on February 14, 1962. The Company is the successor to Coastal Caribbean Oils, Inc., a Panamanian corporation organized on January 31, 1953 to be the holding company for Coastal Petroleum Company.

Coastal Petroleum caused oil and gas exploration to take place on its leases prior to the beginning of litigation in 1968 but has conducted more limited exploration since that time. Coastal Petroleum believes all drilling and exploration obligations imposed by its leases have been satisfied to date. No commercial oil or gas discoveries have been made on these properties; therefore, the Company has no proved reserves of oil and gas and has had no production. See Item 2. "Properties."

(i) Principal Products.

Not applicable.

(ii) Status of Product or Segment.

Not applicable.

(iii) Raw Materials.

Not applicable.

(iv) Patents, Licenses, Franchises and Concessions Held.

See Item 2. "Properties."

The acreage covered by Coastal Petroleum's leases is located for the most part along offshore areas on the Gulf Coast of Florida and in submerged and unsubmerged lands under certain bays, inlets, riverbeds and lakes, of which Lake Okeechobee is the largest. Coastal Petroleum currently makes an annual lease payment of \$59,247 to the State of Florida.

(v) Seasonality of Business.

The Company's business is not seasonal.

(vi) Working Capital Items.

The majority of the Company's current assets are in the form of cash and cash equivalents. See Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

(vii) Customers.

Not applicable.

(viii) Backlog.

Not applicable.

(ix) Renegotiation of Profits or Termination of Contracts or Subcontracts at the Election of the Government.

Not applicable.

(x) Competitive Conditions in the Business.

Competition in the oil and gas industry is intense. The Company must compete with companies which have substantially greater resources available to them. In addition, the industry as a whole must compete with other industries in supplying the energy needs of commerce and the general public. Furthermore, competitive conditions may be substantially affected by energy legislation which may be adopted in the future.

(xi) Research and Development.

Not applicable.

(xii) Environmental Regulation.

The operations of Coastal Caribbean and its right to obtain interests in and hold properties or to do business may be affected to an unpredictable extent by limitations imposed by the laws and regulations which are now in effect or which may be adopted by the jurisdictions in which the Company carries on its business. Further measures that have been or might be imposed include increased bond requirements, conservation, proration, curtailment, cessation or other forms of limiting or controlling production of hydrocarbons or minerals, as well as price controls or rationing or other similar restrictions. In particular, environmental control and energy conservation laws and regulations adopted by federal, state and local authorities may have to be complied with by leaseholders such as Coastal Petroleum.

(xiii) Number of Persons Employed by Registrant.

The Company currently has two employees. The Company relies heavily on consultants for legal, accounting, geological and administrative services. The Company uses consultants because it believes it is more cost effective than employing a larger full time staff.

- (d) Financial Information About Foreign and Domestic Operations and Export Sales.
  - (1) Identifiable Assets.

All of the Company's assets are located in the United States. See Item 1(a) "General Development of Business."

Since the Company is a development stage company, the balance of the information required under this paragraph is not applicable to the Company. See Item 8.

(2) Risks Attendant to Foreign Operations.

Not applicable.

(3) Data which are not Indicative of Current or Future Operations.

\_\_\_\_\_

Not applicable.

The following graphic presentation has been omitted, but the following is a description of the omitted material:

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Map}}$  showing Coastal Lease Areas in the State of Florida

#### Item 2. Properties

#### Properties

The discussion herein relating to the Company's properties is qualified in its entirety by the discussion in Item. 3 "Legal Proceedings" relating to the Florida Litigation.

Coastal Petroleum, a Florida corporation, holds certain working interests in nonproducing oil, gas and mineral leases covering approximately 1,250,000 acres, and a royalty interest in approximately 2,450,000 acres, in and offshore the State of Florida. No commercial oil or gas discoveries have been made on the properties covered by these leases and Coastal Petroleum has no proved reserves of oil or gas and has had no significant production.

In 1941, Arnold Oil Explorations, Inc., renamed Coastal Petroleum Company in 1947, entered into a contract with the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida (Trustees), in whom title to publicly owned lands in the State of Florida, including bottoms of salt and fresh waters, is irrevocably vested, for the exploration of oil, gas and minerals on such lands. Pursuant to an option to lease in this contract, the

Trustees and Coastal Petroleum entered into three leases between 1944 and 1946. The acreage covered by these leases is located for the most part along offshore areas on the Gulf Coast of Florida and in submerged lands under certain bays, inlets, riverbeds and lakes, of which Lake Okeechobee is the largest.

In 1968, Coastal Petroleum sued the Secretary of the Army of the United States in a dispute regarding certain mineral rights. In 1969, as part of that litigation, the Trustees claimed that the leases were invalid and had been forfeited. Coastal Petroleum and the Trustees settled their disagreement in 1976

Under the terms of the 1976 settlement agreement, the two leases (224-A and 224-B) bordering the Gulf Coast were divided into three areas, each running the entire length of the coastline from Apalachicola Bay to the Naples area: (1) The inner area, including rivers, bays, and harbors, extends seaward from the Florida shoreline a distance of 4.36 statute miles (5,280 feet per statute mile) into the Gulf, covers approximately 2.25 million acres, and is subject to a royalty interest payable to Coastal Petroleum. This interest is a 6 1/4% royalty on the wellhead value of all oil and gas, 25 cents per long ton on sulfur, receivable in cash or in kind at Coastal Petroleum's option, and a 5% royalty on production or the market value of other minerals. (2) The middle area, three statute miles wide and covering more than 800,000 acres, was released by Coastal Petroleum to the Trustees, and Coastal Petroleum has no further interest in the area. (3) Coastal Petroleum presently owns a 100% working interest in the outside area, which extends seaward an additional three statute miles and borders federal offshore acreage. This area, exceeding 800,000 acres, remains subject to royalties payable to the State of Florida of 12 1/2% on oil and gas, \$.50 per long ton of sulfur and 10% on other minerals. The Florida legislature has enacted statutes designed to protect the Big Bend Seagrass Aquatic Preserve, an area covering approximately one quarter of Coastal Petroleum's working interest area. However, the legislation and legislative history recognize and preserve Coastal Petroleum's prior rights as granted by the leases.

Coastal Petroleum retains a 100% working interest in 450,000-acre Lake Okeechobee which is a part of Lease 248 and which is also subject to royalties payable to the State of Florida of 12 1/2% on oil and gas, \$.50 per long ton of sulfur and 10% on other minerals. Pursuant to its settlement with the State of Florida in 1976, Coastal Petroleum agreed not to conduct exploration, drilling or mining operations on Lake Okeechobee without the prior approval of the State. As to the balance of this lease, covering approximately 200,000 acres, Coastal Petroleum retains royalty interests of 6 1/4% on oil, gas and sulfur and 5% on other minerals.

Under the 1976 settlement agreement with the Trustees, the three leases have a term of 40 years beginning from January 6, 1976 and require the payment of an annual rental of \$59,247, if oil, gas or minerals are being produced in economically sustainable quantities at January 6, 2016, these operations will be allowed to continue until they become uneconomic. Further, the settlement agreement provides that the drilling requirements shall be governed by Chapter 20680, Laws of Florida, Acts of 1941, and that all other drilling requirements are waived. Under the 1941 Act, a lessee is required to drill at least one test well on lands leased in each five-year period under the term of the lease. Coastal Petroleum believes it is current in fulfilling its drilling requirements. Drilling requirements of Lease 224-A have been satisfied through the five year obligation period ended August 2, 2004. The State of Florida has refused Coastal Petroleum the right to drill on Lease 248 since August 10, 1986.

The following charts reflect the acreage and annual rental obligations resulting from the 1976 settlement agreement with the Trustees and the approximate acreage under lease at December 31, 2001:

	1,250,000	2,450,000	\$59 <b>,</b> 247
248	450,000	200,000	19,986
224-A and 224-B	800,000	2,250,000	\$39,261
Lease	Interest	Interest	Rental
	Working	Royalty	Annual
	Current	Current	Current

Acreage under lease at December 31, 2001

	Gross Acres (*)		Net Ac	
	Undeveloped	Developed	Undeveloped	
Working interest	1,250,000	-0-	1,250,000	
Royalty interest	2,450,000	-0-	153,125	
Total	3,700,000	-0-	1,403,125	

- \* A gross acre is an acre in which a working interest is owned.
- \*\* A net acre is deemed to exist when the sum of fractional ownership working interests in gross acres equals one. The number of net acres is the sum of the fractional working interests owned in gross acres expressed as whole numbers and fractions thereof.

Disclosure Concerning Oil and Gas Operations.

Since the properties in which the Company has interests are undeveloped and nonproducing, items 2 through 4 of Securities Exchange Act Industry Guide 2 are not applicable.

# (5) Undeveloped Acreage.

The Company's undeveloped acreage as of December 31, 2001 was as follows:

	=======	========
Total	3,700,000	1,403,125
Royalty Interest	2,450,000	153,125
Working Interest	1,250,000	1,250,000
	Gross Acres	Net Acres

(6) Drilling Activity.

None

. .

14

(7) Present Activities.

None

(8) Delivery Commitments.

None.

Royalties and Other Interests

In addition to royalties payable to the State of Florida as set forth above, Coastal Petroleum's leases are subject to several royalties and other interests. The leases are presently subject to overriding royalties aggregating 1/16 as to oil, gas and sulphur and 13/600ths as to minerals other than oil, gas and sulphur.

We also have granted to certain officers, directors, counsel and consultants of Coastal Petroleum and Coastal Caribbean the right to receive a percentage of the net recoveries from the Florida Litigation. See Item 3 - "Legal Proceedings" and Item 13 - "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions."

Mineral Rights

Coastal Petroleum's Leases 224-A, 224-B and 248 were determined by a Florida State court in 1960 to cover not only oil, gas and sulphur, but also all other minerals. Subsequent litigation has held that these other minerals do not embrace certain deposits of shell accumulated on water bottoms which had not yet become mineral, and that Lake Hancock is not within the area covered by Lease 224-B. Under the 1976 settlement agreement with the State of Florida, Coastal Petroleum retains a 5% royalty with respect to mineral production. However, it cannot conduct mining operations in 450,000-acre Lake Okeechobee without the prior approval of the State of Florida. Although Coastal Petroleum had conducted limited mineral exploration activities on its leases, the courts during the 1980's limited its rights to mine minerals. Coastal Petroleum has no independent knowledge of commercial deposits on its leases. Furthermore, Coastal Petroleum does not anticipate that the State would allow the open pit mining and heavy industrial activity that would be necessary to remove any minerals if they were to be present, given the State's objection to a single bore hole for an exploratory oil and gas well.

#### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Florida Litigation

Coastal Petroleum has been involved in various lawsuits for many years. Coastal Petroleum's current litigation now involves one basic claim: whether the State's offshore drilling policy and its denial of a permit constitute a taking of Coastal Petroleum's property. In addition, Coastal Caribbean is a party to another action in which Coastal Caribbean claims that certain of its royalty interests have been confiscated by the State.

Lease Taking Case

On January 16, 2001, Coastal Petroleum filed a complaint in the Leon County Circuit Court, Florida against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its state Lease 224-A. The lease encompasses more than 400,000 acres off the West coast of Florida in the Gulf of Mexico.

Coastal Petroleum claims that the State of Florida has taken Lease 224-A by denying Coastal Petroleum a permit to drill an offshore exploration well near St. George Island in the Gulf of Mexico. The history of the litigation between Coastal Petroleum and the State of Florida relating to the denial of the drilling permit is set forth under the caption "Drilling Permit Litigation." Coastal Petroleum maintains that the State has effectively taken Coastal Petroleum's lease by depriving Coastal Petroleum of all or substantially all of the economically viable use of its constitutionally protected property.

The State claims that there has been no taking of Coastal Petroleum's property which justifies compensation. The State asserts several affirmative defenses, including that:

- (a) Coastal Petroleum is barred from litigating issues which it has litigated in prior cases against the State and other parties;
- (b) Coastal Petroleum's leases are not constitutionally protected property which can be the subject of an inverse condemnation claim, relying in part on earlier litigation;
- (c) Coastal Petroleum's claim that its property has been taken is not ripe for legal consideration because Coastal Petroleum has not applied to drill on other locations on its leaseholds;
- (d) The statute of limitations bars any allegation by Coastal Petroleum that an action taken by any state entity prior to January 16, 1997 constitutes a taking of Coastal Petroleum's alleged property interest;
- (e) Coastal Petroleum has no right to drill for oil on Lease 224-A which can be taken because it does not have the permit which it agreed to obtain pursuant to the 1976 Memorandum of Settlement.

On March 5, 2001, the State filed a Motion to Dismiss Coastal Petroleum's complaint, which was denied by the Court on April 26, 2001. After the Motion was denied, discovery, which had been suspended pending the outcome of the Motion to Dismiss, resumed. Some depositions have now been taken, documents have been exchanged and discovery is expected to continue until the court mandated cutoff date of August 25, 2002.

On November 27, 2001, the Leon County Circuit Court set a trial date of September 30, 2002. The Court has set aside two weeks for the trial on the issue of whether the State has taken Coastal Petroleum's lease. If the Court rules in Coastal Petroleum's favor, there will then be a second trial before a jury to determine the amount of compensation to be awarded. Both the decision of the Court and any decision of a jury are subject to appeals by any of the parties to the litigation.

Ancillary Matters to Lease Taking Case

On February 13, 2001, certain holders of royalties pertaining to Lease 224-A filed a Motion to Intervene as Additional Plaintiffs. On April 24, 2001, the Leon County Circuit trial judge granted certain royalty holders with overriding royalties, which aggregate approximately 4% on State Lease 224-A, the right to intervene on a limited basis in the takings lawsuit. On May 22, 2001, the royalty holders appealed the Circuit Court's order granting them limited intervention to the First District Court of Appeal, claiming the order denied them the right to fully participate in the case until after final judgment and that the court erroneously found that the royalty holders lack an ownership interest in Coastal Petroleum's lease. On June 12, 2001, the Court of Appeal ordered the royalty holders to show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. The royalty holders filed a response to the Court of Appeal on June 21, 2001, Coastal Petroleum filed its reply on July 2, 2001 and

the State of Florida filed its reply on July 5, 2001. The Court of Appeal is currently considering the matter.

Counsel for the appealing royalty holders has advised Coastal Petroleum that the royalty holders' position is that their interest is worth substantially more than 4% of whatever judgment may be awarded to Coastal Petroleum in the litigation and that they intend to make a claim against any recovery Coastal Petroleum may obtain in the litigation. Coastal Petroleum has informed the Circuit Court and counsel for the royalty holders that Coastal Petroleum is not making any claim in the litigation on behalf of any interest the royalty holders may have.

#### No Assurances

There is no assurance that Coastal Petroleum will be successful on the merits of its claims, which the State of Florida is vigorously defending. There is also no assurance that Coastal Petroleum will receive a ruling that its Lease 224-A has been taken or that if compensation is awarded it will be awarded in the amount sought by Coastal Petroleum.

#### Drilling Permit Litigation

In 1992, Coastal Petroleum applied to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (the "DEP") for a permit to drill an exploratory oil and gas well off Apalachicola, Florida. The proposed well would be located in an area included within Lease 224-A. The DEP subsequently denied the application for issuance of a drilling permit for various reasons and imposed a \$1.9 billion bond. Coastal Petroleum appealed the actions of the DEP to the Florida First District Court of Appeal ("Court of Appeal"). After two decisions by the Court of Appeal in favor of Coastal Petroleum, the Florida Supreme Court in July 1996 denied the DEP's petition to review an April 1996 Court of Appeal decision. The Florida Supreme Court had also refused to review an earlier Court of Appeal decision.

On August 16, 1996, the DEP notified Coastal Petroleum that it was prepared to issue the drilling permit subject to Coastal Petroleum publishing a Notice of Intent to Issue ("Notice") the permit. The Notice allowed interested parties to request administrative hearings on the permit.

On May 28, 1997, the Oil and Gas Drilling Bill (SB550) was enacted in Florida. The legislation requires that a surety be based on the projected cleanup costs and possible natural resource damage associated with offshore drilling as estimated by the DEP and as established by the Administration Commission (the "Commission") which is comprised of the Governor of Florida and the Cabinet. Previously, the required surety was satisfied by a payment of \$4,000 to the Mineral Trust Fund in the first year, with a maximum \$30,000 per year and a payment of \$1,500 per well for each subsequent year. On September 9, 1997, the State of Florida set a new surety amount of \$4.25 billion as a precondition for the issuance of the drilling permit.

On October 20, 1997, a public hearing on the permit application convened and concluded on November 6, 1997. The hearing included the Company's appeal of the \$4.25 billion surety requirement. On April 8, 1998, a Florida Administrative Law Judge recommended that Coastal Petroleum was entitled to a drilling permit with the requirement of a \$225 million surety. On May 13, 1998, the Commission rejected the \$225 million surety and remanded the proceedings to the Administrative Law Judge with instructions to recalculate the surety amount.

On May 22, 1998, the DEP denied the permit to Coastal Petroleum to drill an offshore exploration well near St. George's Island. Coastal Petroleum appealed both the denial of the permit by the DEP and the imposition of the

surety to the Court of Appeal.

On October 6, 1999, the Court of Appeal ruled that the DEP has the authority to deny Coastal Petroleum's drilling permit for its St. George Island prospect, provided that Coastal Petroleum receives just compensation for what has been taken. The State of Florida and certain Florida environmental groups filed on November 1, 1999 a joint motion for clarification, rehearing, or certification with respect to that decision, asking the Court of Appeal, among other things, to clarify that the question of whether there has been a taking of Coastal Petroleum's leases should be determined in the Circuit Court. On June 26, 2000, the Court of Appeal denied all of the State's motions and stated that the issue of whether the denial of a permit constituted a "taking" was not before the Court. The Court declined to rule on the merits of the taking issue and stated that the issue was a matter for the Circuit Court. On January 16, 2001, Coastal Petroleum Company filed a complaint in the Leon County Circuit Court, Florida against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its state Lease 224-A. That complaint is described above under the caption Lease Taking Case.

#### Other Permit Applications

On February 25, 1997 Coastal Petroleum filed 12 additional applications for drilling permits. Coastal Petroleum objected to certain requests for additional data by the Florida DEP. On March 26, 1999, an administrative law judge upheld the DEP's requirements. The First District Court of Appeal affirmed the decision of the administrative law judge on February 29, 2000.

In order to more fully permit the Apalachicola Reef Play, which includes the St. George Island prospect, on October 29, 1998, Coastal Petroleum filed four additional permit applications (1310-1313). The DEP also requested additional data for these applications. As of March 11, 2002, Coastal Petroleum has not yet submitted the requested data. Although these applications are still pending, Coastal Petroleum does not believe the DEP will ever grant these permits.

#### Coastal Caribbean Royalty Litigation

The offshore areas covered by Coastal Petroleum's original leases (prior to the 1976 Settlement Agreement) are subject to certain other royalty interests held by third parties, including Coastal Caribbean. On April 20, 1994, several of those third parties, including Coastal Caribbean, which has approximately a 12% interest in any recovery, have instituted a separate lawsuit against the State of Florida in the 5th Judicial Circuit in Hernando County. That lawsuit claims that the royalty holders' interests have been confiscated as a result of the State's actions discussed above and that they are entitled to compensation for that taking. The royalty holders were not parties to the 1976 Settlement Agreement, and the royalty holders contend that the terms of the Settlement Agreement do not protect the State from taking claims by those royalty holders. The case was subsequently transferred to the 2nd Judicial Circuit in Leon County and it is currently pending before the Circuit Court in Tallahassee. On December 2, 1999, the Circuit Court denied the State's motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' claim of inverse condemnation but dismissed several other claims.

On May 10, 2000, the State filed a motion for summary judgment but no hearing date has been set for the motion. Discovery is proceeding.

Any recovery made in the royalty holders' lawsuit would be shared among the various plaintiffs in that lawsuit, including Coastal Caribbean, but not Coastal Petroleum.

#### Counsel

The Tampa, Florida law firm of Gaylord Merlin Ludovici Diaz & Bain is Coastal Petroleum's principal trial counsel in Coastal Petroleum's inverse condemnation claim against the State of Florida in Florida Circuit Court. Mr. Cary Gaylord is the lead attorney for Gaylord Merlin. Mr. Gaylord, age 55, has extensive experience in eminent domain and property rights matters. He is a 1969 graduate of the United States Military Academy and a 1974 graduate of the University of Florida Law School.

In addition, Mr. Robert J. Angerer of Angerer & Angerer of Tallahassee, Florida is assisting Gaylord Merlin in the litigation. Mr. Angerer, age 55, is a 1969 graduate of the University of Michigan and received his law degree with high honors from Florida State University in 1974.

#### Statutory Attorneys' Fees

Chapter 73 of Florida law provides in eminent domain proceedings (which would include Coastal Petroleum's taking claim) that, in addition to the award made to the property owner, the court shall award attorneys' fees based on the difference between the final judgment or settlement and the first written offer made to the property owner by the State in accordance with the following schedule:

- 1. Thirty-three percent of any difference up to \$250,000; plus
- Twenty-five percent of any portion of the difference between \$250,000 and \$1 million; plus
- Twenty percent of any portion of the difference exceeding \$1 million.

#### Contingency Fees

In 1990, Coastal Petroleum considered that the following firms or individuals were important to the success of the litigation against the State of Florida and agreed to pay them an aggregate of 8.65% in contingent fees based on any net recovery from execution on or satisfaction of judgment or from settlement of the Florida litigation:

	Relationship to Coastal Petroleum	Net Recovery Percentage
Holder	at Date of Grant	rercentage
Reasoner, Davis & Fox	Special Counsel	2.00
Robert J. Angerer	Litigation Counsel	1.50
Benjamin W. Heath	Chairman of the Board	1.25
Phillip W. Ware	President	1.25
Murtha Cullina LLP	Corporate Counsel	1.00
Ausley & McMullen, P.A. (*)	Special Counsel	.75
James R. Joyce	Assistant Treasurer	.30
Arthur B. O'Donnell	Vice President /Treasurer	.30
James J. Gaughran	Secretary	.30
Total		8.65
		====

(\*) Interest was granted in 1996.

In addition, Coastal Petroleum has agreed to pay Gaylord Merlin a contingent fee equal to the greater of:

- (a) approximately 90% of the statutory award of attorneys' fees (discussed above), less the hourly fees paid to Gaylord Merlin, or
- (b) ten percent of the first \$100 million or portion thereof of the compensation received by Coastal Petroleum from the State as compensation for the taking of its property, plus five percent of such compensation in excess of \$100 million, less the hourly fees paid to Gaylord Merlin and other costs of the litigation.

#### Uncertainty

Coastal Petroleum or Coastal Caribbean may not prevail on any of the issues set forth above and may not recover compensation for any of their claims. In addition, even if Coastal Petroleum were to prevail on any or all of the issues to be decided, Coastal Caribbean or Coastal Petroleum may not have sufficient financial resources to survive until such decisions become final. In the unlikely event that the State of Florida were to grant a permit to drill any wells for which applications have been filed, the wells drilled may not be successful and may not lead to production of any oil or gas in commercial quantities also no assurance that any wells drilled will be successful and lead to production of any oil or gas in commercial quantities.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

#### PART II

Item 5. Market for the Company's Common Stock and Related Stockholder Matters

# (a) Market Information.

The principal market for the Company's common stock is the Boston Stock Exchange under the symbol CCOBF. The common stock is also traded in the over-the-counter market on the "Electronic Bulletin Board" of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol COCBF.OB. The quarterly high and low closing prices on the Boston Stock Exchange during the last two years were as follows:

2001	1st quarter	2nd quarter	3rd quarter	4th quarter	
High	1.81	1.75	1.32	1.05	
Low	.85	.90	.85	.80	
2000	1st quarter	2nd quarter	3rd quarter	4th quarter	_
High	1.19	1.19	2.50	1.13	
птап	T • T >				

(b) Holders.

The approximate number of record holders of the Company's common stock at March 11, 2002 was approximately 9,000.

(c) Dividends.

The Company has never declared or paid dividends on its common stock and it does not anticipate declaring or paying any dividends in the foreseeable future. The Company plans to retain any future earnings to reduce the deficit accumulated during the development stage of \$35,995,567 at December 31, 2001 and to finance our operations.

The Company's Memorandum of Association and Bye-laws do not permit the Company to repurchase or redeem shares of its common stock.

Foreign Exchange Control Regulations

The Company is subject to the applicable laws of The Islands of Bermuda relating to exchange control, but has the permission of the Foreign Exchange Control of Bermuda to carry on business in, to receive, disburse and hold United States dollars and dollar securities under its designation as an External Account Company. The Company has been advised that, although as a matter of law it is possible for such designation to be revoked, there is little precedent for revocation under Bermuda law.

Income and Withholding Taxes

Coastal Caribbean is a Bermuda corporation. Bermuda currently imposes no taxes on corporate income or capital gains realized outside of Bermuda. Any amounts received by Coastal Caribbean from United States sources as dividends, interest, or other fixed or determinable annual or periodic gains, profits and income, will be subject to a 30% United States withholding tax. In addition, any dividends from its domestic subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum, will not be eligible for the 100% dividends received deduction, which is allowable in the case of a United States parent corporation. Shares of the Company held by persons who are citizens or residents of the United States are subject to federal estate and gift and local inheritance taxation. Any dividends received by such persons will also be subject to federal, State and local income taxation. The foregoing rules are of general application only, and reflect law in force as of the date of this report.

A convention between Bermuda and the United States relating to mutual assistance on tax matters became operative in 1988.

Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules

The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, provides special rules for distributions received by U.S. holders on stock of a passive foreign investment company (PFIC), as well as amounts received from the sale or other disposition of PFIC stock.

Under the PFIC rules, a non-U.S. corporation will be classified as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes in any taxable year in which, after applying certain look-through rules, either (1) at least 75 percent of its gross income is passive income or (2) at least 50 percent of the gross value of its assets is attributable to assets that produce passive income or are held for the

production of passive income.

Passive income for this purpose generally includes dividends, interest, royalties, rents, and gains from commodities and securities transactions. Special rules apply in cases where a foreign corporation owns directly or indirectly at least a 25 percent interest in a subsidiary, measured by value. In this case, the foreign corporation is treated as holding its proportionate share of the assets of the subsidiary and receiving directly its proportionate share of the income of the subsidiary when determining whether it is a PFIC. Thus, Coastal Caribbean would be deemed to receive its pro rata share of the income and to hold its pro rata share of the assets, of Coastal Petroleum.

Based on certain estimates of its gross income and gross assets and the nature of its business, Coastal Caribbean would be classified as a PFIC for the years 1987 through 2001. Once an entity is considered a PFIC for a taxable year, it will be treated as such for all subsequent years with respect to owners holding the stock in a year that it was classified as a PFIC under the income or asset test described above. Whether the Company will be a PFIC under either of these tests in future years will be difficult to determine because the tests are applied annually. Based upon Coastal Caribbean's current passive income, it is likely that Coastal Caribbean will be classified as a PFIC in 2002.

If Coastal Caribbean is classified as a PFIC with respect to a U.S. holder any gain from the sale of, and certain distributions with respect to, shares of our common stock, would cause a U.S. holder to become liable for U.S. federal income tax under Code section 1291 (the interest charge regime). The tax is computed by allocating the amount of the gain on the sale or the amount of the distribution, as the case may be, to each day in the U.S. shareholder's holding period. To the extent that the amount is allocated to a year, other than the year of the disposition or distribution, in which the corporation was treated as a PFIC with respect to the U.S. holder, the income will be taxed as ordinary income at the highest rate in effect for that year, plus an interest charge. The interest charge would generally be calculated as if the distribution or gain had been recognized ratably over the U.S. holder's holding period (for PFIC purposes) for the shares. To the extent an amount is allocated to the year of the disposition or distribution, or to a year before the first year in which the corporation qualified as a PFIC, the amount so allocated is included as additional gross income for the year of the disposition or distribution. A U.S. holder also would be required to make an annual return on IRS Form 8621 that describes any distributions received with respect to our shares and any gain realized on the sale or other disposition of our shares.

As an alternative to taxation under the interest charge regime, a U.S. holder generally can elect, subject to certain limitations, to annually take into gross income the appreciation or depreciation in our common shares' value during the tax year (mark-to-market election). If a U.S. holder makes the mark-to-market election, the U.S. holder will not be subject to the above-described rule. Instead, if a U.S. holder makes the mark-to-market election, the U.S. holder recognizes each year an amount equal to the difference as of the close of the taxable year between the U.S. holder's fair market value of the common shares and the adjusted basis in the common shares. Losses would be allowed only to the extent of net gain previously included by the U.S. holder under the mark-to-market election for prior taxable years. Amounts included in or deducted from income under the mark-to-market election and actual gains and losses realized upon the sale or disposition of the common shares, subject to certain limitations, will be treated as ordinary gains or losses. If the mark-to-market election is made for a year other than the first year in the U.S. holder's holding period in which the corporation was a PFIC, the first year's mark-to-market inclusion, if any, is taxed as if it were a distribution subject to the interest charge regime discussed above.

Another alternative election which would allow a U.S. holder to elect to take its pro rata share of Coastal Caribbean's undistributed income into gross income as it is earned by Coastal Caribbean (QEF election) would only be available to a U.S. holder if Coastal Caribbean provided certain information to the shareholders of Coastal Caribbean. Coastal Caribbean has had no undistributed income for the years 1987 through 2001. If the QEF election is made in a year other than the first year of the U.S. holder's holding period in which the foreign corporation is a PFIC, both the QEF regime and interest charge regime can apply, unless a special election is made. Under this special election, the taxpayer is treated as if it disposed of its PFIC stock in a transaction subject to the interest charge rules to the extent gain is deemed to be recognized. Once this election is made, the holder will be subject only to the QEF regime.

(d) Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities. None.

Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Information

The following selected consolidated financial information (in thousands) for the Company insofar as it relates to each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2001 has been extracted from the Company's consolidated financial statements.

		Years en	Years ended December	
	2001	2000 (\$) (Restated)	(\$)	(Res
Net loss	(6,585) =====	(1,386) =====		
Net loss per share (basic and diluted)	(.15) ====	(.03) ====	, ,	
Cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities	609 ===	2,959	1,042	
Unproved oil, gas and, mineral properties (full cost method)	- =====	4,145 ====	4,097 ====	
Total assets	1,077 =====	7,497 ====	5,544 =====	
Shareholders' equity: Common stock Capital in excess of par value Deficit accumulated during the development stage	31,498		28,693 (28,025)	
Total shareholders' equity	718	7,304		-

	======	======	======
Common stock shares outstanding (average)	43,468	40,844	40,056
	=====	======	

As more fully described in Notes 1 and 4 to the consolidated financial statements, we have a limited amount of working capital, have incurred recurring losses and have a deficit accumulated during the development stage. We have been and continue to be involved in several legal proceedings against the State of Florida which have limited our ability to commence development activities on our unproved oil and gas properties or obtain compensation for certain property rights we believe have been taken. These situations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or amounts and classification of liabilities which may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

During the year 2001, the Company concluded that its property interests were impaired by the actions taken by the State of Florida and therefore, recorded an impairment charge in the amount of \$4,201,733 to reflect the write of the costs of unproved oil, gas and minerals properties. See Item 8. - Note 4. Litigation. During 2001, the Company restated the deficit accumulated during the development stage at December 31, 1997 to reflect a write off of certain costs incurred prior to December 31, 1960 attributable to dry holes on abandoned leases as follows (in thousands):

Deficit accumulated during the development stage Balance at December 31, 1997 as previously reported Write off of unproved properties	\$25 <b>,</b> 102 663
Balance at December 31, 1997 as restated	\$25 <b>,</b> 765

- Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
- (1) Liquidity and Capital Resources

Statements included in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations which are not historical in nature are intended to be, and are hereby identified as, "forward looking statements" for purposes of the "Safe Harbor Statement" under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The Company cautions readers that forward looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in the forward looking statements. Among the risks and uncertainties are:

- the uncertainty of any decision favorable to Coastal Petroleum in its litigation against the State of Florida;
- the substantial cost of continuing the litigation;

As more fully described in Notes 1 and 4 to the consolidated financial statements, we have a limited amount of working capital, have incurred recurring losses and have a deficit accumulated during the development stage. We have been and continue to be involved in several legal proceedings against the State of Florida which has limited our ability to commence development activities on our unproved oil and gas properties or obtain compensation for certain property

rights we believe have been taken. These situations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or amounts and classification of liabilities which may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

#### Short Term Liquidity

At December 31, 2001, Coastal Caribbean had approximately \$609,000 of cash and cash equivalents available and this amount should be sufficient to fund the Company's operations through the second quarter of 2002 and to pay the remaining expenses associated with the proposed rights offering of approximately \$260,000. After June 30, 2002, the Company may have to suspend or cease operations unless and until the Company can secure additional financing. In addition, an estimated minimum amount of \$500,000 would be necessary to fund the Company's operations through December 31, 2002. These funds are expected to be used for general corporate purposes, including lease rental payments of approximately \$60,000 annually and to continue the litigation against the State of Florida. The estimated working capital necessary to fund the Company's operations, including the costs of the litigation and the proposed rights offering, for 2002 is approximately \$2,400,000. Effective February 20, 2002, our directors, officers, legal counsel and administrative consultants have agreed to defer the payment of all of their salaries and fees until the Company has working capital of at least \$1 million. The amount of this deferral, which is included in the 2002 working capital requirements of approximately \$2,400,000, amounts to approximately \$1,300,000 on an annual basis.

Coastal Caribbean has a limited amount of working capital, has incurred recurring losses and has a deficit accumulated during the development stage. On January 16, 2001, Coastal Petroleum filed a complaint in the Leon County Circuit Court in Florida against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its Lease 224-A. The cost of that litigation has been substantial and will require the Company to obtain additional capital. On January 10, 2002, the Company filed a preliminary registration statement for the sale of its common stock. The terms of the offering have not yet been determined.

#### Long Term Liquidity

On January 16, 2001, Coastal Petroleum Company filed a complaint in the Leon County Circuit Court, Florida against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its state Lease 224-A. The Company expects that the cost of the litigation will be substantial.

In the event that the offering of the Company's common stock referred to above is inadequate to fund the Company's capital needs, the Company intends to explore other possible funding sources, particularly the other shareholders of Coastal Petroleum.

#### (2) Results of Operations

The Company, a development stage enterprise, has never had substantial revenues and has operated at a loss each year since its inception in 1953. During the three years ended December 31, 2001, the Company spent approximately \$2.7 million on legal expenses primarily for the lawsuits against the State of Florida relating to drilling permits and royalty interests.

2001 vs. 2000

25

The Company incurred a loss of \$6,585,000 for the year 2001, compared to a loss of \$1,386,000 for the year 2000.

Interest income and other income increased 24% from \$63,000 in 2000 to \$78,000 in 2001 because of the funds realized and invested from the October 2000 sale of common stock to the Company's shareholders.

Legal fees and costs increased 164% to \$1,670,000 for 2001 from \$634,000 in 2000. Legal fees and costs increased in 2001 in connection with Coastal Petroleum Company's lawsuit against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's alleged taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its state Lease 224-A. The Company expects that the cost of the litigation will be substantial.

Administrative expenses decreased in 2001 to \$534,000 from \$535,000 in 2000.

Salaries did not change during the periods and remained at \$152,000 in 2001.

Shareholder communications costs decreased slightly to \$106,000 in 2001 compared to \$108,000 in 2000.

Exploration costs decreased from \$20,000 in 2000 to \$500 in 2001 because of the State of Florida's denial of the Company's application for a permit to drill on Lease 224-A. In 2000, Coastal Petroleum incurred expenses associated with drilling permit applications in connection with shallow test wells to comply with Coastal Petroleum's drilling obligations.

Write off of unproved properties totaled \$4,202,000 in 2001. During the year 2001, the Company concluded that the value of its leases has been taken and its property interests were impaired by the actions taken by the State of Florida and therefore, has recorded an impairment charge to reflect the write off of these costs. All future costs incurred in connection with the Company's Florida leases will be expensed as incurred.

2000 vs. 1999

The Company recorded a loss of \$1,386,000 for the year 2000, compared to a loss of \$1,105,000 in 1999.

Interest income and other income increased 13% to \$63,000 in 2000 from \$55,000 in 1999 because more funds were available for investment during 2000 after the Company's sale of common stock was completed in October 2000.

Legal fees and costs increased 56% in 2000 to \$634,000 compared to \$405,000 in 1999 because in 2000 the Company prepared its complaint to file in the Florida Circuit Court to claim that the Company's Lease 224-A had been taken by the State of Florida. The Company also recorded a noncash charge to legal expense in the amount of \$75,000 in connection with the issuance of a stock option grant in 2000.

Administrative expenses increased 13% during 2000 to \$535,000 compared to \$474,000 in 1999. During December 1999, the Company increased its Directors and Officers liability insurance coverage from \$6.2 million to \$12.2 million which increased insurance costs.

Salaries decreased 4% to \$152,000 during 2000 compared to \$158,000 during 1999. An employee who has not been replaced left the Company during 1999.

Shareholder communications increased 5% from \$103,000 in 1999 to \$108,000 in 2000 because of the cost of various listing fees.

Exploration costs decreased 6% from \$21,000 in 1999 to \$20,000 in 2000. These miscellaneous exploration expenses do not include the exploration expenditures totaling \$48,000 that were capitalized in 2000 (\$24,000 in 1999).

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

The Company does not have any significant exposure to market risk as the only market risk sensitive instruments are its investments in marketable securities. At December 31, 2001, the carrying value of such investments (including those classified as cash and cash equivalents) was approximately \$497,000, the fair value was \$500,000 and the face value was \$500,000. Since the Company expects to hold the investments to maturity, the maturity value should be realized.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The Board of Directors
Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, and common stock and capital in excess of par value for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. at December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three

years in the period ended December 31, 2001 and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2001 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As more fully described in Notes 1 and 4 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has a limited amount of working capital, has incurred recurring losses and has a deficit accumulated during the development stage. In addition, the Company has been and continues to be involved in several legal proceedings against the State of Florida which has limited the Company's ability to commence development activities on its unproved oil or gas properties or obtain compensation for certain property rights it believes have been confiscated. These situations raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of these uncertainties. As more fully described in Note 1, the Company restated certain amounts previously reported as of and for the year ended December 31, 2000 and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2000.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Stamford, Connecticut March 8, 2002

COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS, LTD.

(A Bermuda Corporation)

A Development Stage Company

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Expressed in U.S. dollars)

December 31,

	2001
ASSETS	
Current assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 609,024
Interest and accounts receivable	8,604
Notes receivable	15,000
Prepaid expenses	353 <b>,</b> 596
Total current assets	986,224
Unproved oil, gas and mineral properties (full cost method)	-
Contingent litigation claim (Note 4)	-
Other assets	90,391

\$

2001

Total assets			,076,615 ======	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUIT	Y			
Current liabilities: Accrued liabilities		\$ -	358 <b>,</b> 621	\$
Minority interests			-	
Shareholders' equity:  Common stock, par value \$.12 per share:  Authorized - 250,000,000 shares  Outstanding - 43,468,329 shares  Capital in excess of par value		31	,216,199 ,497,362	
Deficit accumulated during the development	stage	36	,713,561 ,995,567)	
Total shareholders' equity			717 <b>,</b> 994	
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity			,076,615	_
		====	======	

See accompanying notes.

COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS, LTD.

(A Bermuda Corporation)

A Development Stage Company

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	Years ended December 2001 2000	
Interest and other income	\$ 78,432	\$ 62,544
Expenses:		
Legal fees and costs	1,670,446	633 <b>,</b> 521
Administrative expenses	533 <b>,</b> 579	535,325
Salaries	151,800	151,800
Shareholder communications	105,863	107,852
Write off of unproved properties	4,201,733	_
Exploration costs	480	19,598
Lawsuit judgments	-	, –

Minority interests Other Contractual services	- - -	- - -
	6,663,901 	1,448,096
Net loss	\$(6,585,469) =======	\$(1,385,552) =======
Deficit accumulated during the development stage		
Net loss per share based on average number of period:	shares outstanding during	g the
Basic and diluted EPS	\$(.15) =====	\$(.03) =====
Average number of shares outstanding (basic and diluted)	43,468,329	40,843,736
V	=======	=======

See accompanying notes.

COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS, LTD.

(A Bermuda Corporation)

A Development Stage Company

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	Years 2001	ended December 3
Operating activities:		
Net loss Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:	\$(6,585,469)	\$(1,385,552)
Minority interest Write off of unproved properties	4,201,733	- -
Common stock issued for services  Compensation recognized for stock option grant  Recoveries from previously written off properties	-	75 <b>,</b> 000
Net change in:    Interest and accounts receivable    Prepaid expenses    Accrued liabilities	32,916 (29,699) 165,445	(15,937) 28,192 124,752
Other assets	(62,525)	(421)

Net cash used in operating activities	(2,277,599)	(1,173,966)
Investing activities:		
Additions to oil, gas, and mineral properties net of assets acquired for common stock and		
reimbursements	(57.051)	(48,190)
Proceeds from relinquishment of surface rights	(37 <b>7</b> 001)	(10/130)
Marketable securities (net)	-	390,941
Notes receivable	(15,000)	-
Purchase of fixed assets		_
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(72,051)	342,751
Financing activities:		2 120 765
Sale of common stock net of expenses Shares issued upon exercise of options		3,138,765
Sale of shares by subsidiary	_	_
Sale of subsidiary shares		
	_	-
Net cash provided by financing activities		3,138,765
	_	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,349,650)	2,307,550
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	2,958,674	651,124
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 609,024	
	=========	

See accompanying notes.

COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS, LTD.

(A Bermuda Corporation)

A Development Stage Company

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMMON STOCK
AND CAPITAL IN EXCESS OF PAR VALUE
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

For the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2001

	Number of Shares
Shares issued for net assets and unrecovered costs	
at inception	5,790,210
Sales of common stock	26,829,486
Shares issued upon exercise of stock options	510,000
Market value (\$2.375 per share) of shares issued in	
1953 to acquire an investment	54 <b>,</b> 538
Shares issued in 1953 in exchange for 1/3rd of a 1/60th	
overriding royalty (sold in prior year) in nonproducing	
leases of Coastal Petroleum	84,210
Market value of shares issued for services rendered	

during the period 1954-1966  Net transfers to restate the par value of common stock outstanding in 1962 and 1970 to \$0.12 per share  Increase in Company's investment (equity) due to capital transactions of Coastal Petroleum in 1976	95,188 - -
Balance at December 31, 1990 Sale of subsidiary shares	33,363,632
Balance at December 31, 1991 Sale of subsidiary shares	33,363,632
Balance at December 31, 1992 Sale of subsidiary shares	33,363,632
Balance at December 31, 1993 Sale of subsidiary shares	33,363,632
Balance at December 31, 1994 Sale of subsidiary shares	33,363,632
Balance at December 31, 1995 Sale of common stock	33,363,632 6,672,726
Sale of subsidiary shares Exercise of stock options	10,000
Balance at December 31, 1996 Sale of subsidiary shares Exercise of stock options	40,046,358
Balance at December 31, 1997,1998 and 1999 Sale of common stock Compensation recognized for stock option grant	40,056,358 3,411,971
Balance at December 31, 2000 and 2001	43,468,329

See accompanying notes.

COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS, LTD.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2001

#### 1. Summary of significant accounting policies

#### Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. (Coastal Caribbean) and its majority owned subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum Company (Coastal Petroleum), referred to collectively as the Company. The Company, which has been engaged in a single industry and segment, is considered to be a development stage company since its exploration for oil, gas and minerals has not yielded any significant revenue or reserves. During the year 2001, the Company concluded that the value of its leases has been taken and its property interests were impaired by the actions taken by the State of Florida and therefore, has recorded an impairment charge

to reflect the write off of the costs of unproved oil, gas and minerals properties. See Note 4. Litigation. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation.

Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid short-term investments with maturities of three months or less at the date of acquisition to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are carried at cost which approximates market value. The components of cash and cash equivalents are as follows:

	December 31,		
		2001	2000
Cash Federal Home Loan Bank discount notes	\$	111,682 497,342	\$ 159,834 2,798,840
	\$	609,024	\$ 2,958,674

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. The outcome of the litigation and the ability to develop the Company's oil and gas properties will have a significant effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Unproved Oil, Gas and Mineral Properties

The Company follows the full cost method of accounting for its oil and gas properties. All costs associated with property acquisition, exploration and development activities whether successful or unsuccessful are capitalized.

#### Summary of significant accounting policies (Cont'd)

Since the Company's properties were undeveloped and nonproducing and the subject of litigation, capitalized costs were not being amortized.

The capitalized costs are subject to a ceiling test which basically limits such costs to the aggregate of the estimated present value discounted at a 10% rate of future net revenues from proved reserves, based on current economic and operating conditions, plus the lower of cost or fair market value of unproved properties.

The Company assesses whether its unproved properties are impaired on a periodic basis. This assessment is based upon work completed on the properties to date, the expiration date of its leases and technical data from the properties and adjacent areas. These properties are subject to extensive litigation with the State of Florida.

During the year 2001, the Company concluded that the value of its leases has been taken and its property interests were impaired by the actions taken by the State of Florida and therefore, has recorded an impairment charge to reflect the write off of the costs of unproved oil, gas and minerals properties to reflect the write off of these costs. See Note 4. Litigation. All future costs incurred in connection with the Company's Florida leases will be

expensed as incurred. During 2001, the Company restated the deficit accumulated during the development stage to reflect a write off of certain costs incurred prior to December 31, 1960 attributable to dry holes on abandoned leases as follows:

Deficit accumulated during the development stage
Balance at December 31, 2000 as previously reported \$28,747,058
Write off of unproved properties 663,040
Balance at December 31, 2000 as restated \$29,410,098

Sale of Subsidiary Shares

All amounts realized from the sale of Coastal Petroleum shares have been credited to capital in excess of par value.

Loss Per Share

Loss per common share is based upon the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. The Company's basic and diluted calculations of EPS are the same because the exercise of options is not assumed in calculating diluted EPS, as the result would be anti-dilutive (the Company has continuing losses).

1. Summary of significant accounting policies (Cont'd)

Financial instruments

The carrying value for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, marketable securities and accounts payable approximates fair value based on anticipated cash flows and current market conditions.

Going Concern

The Company has a limited amount of working capital, has incurred recurring losses and has a deficit accumulated during the development stage. Furthermore, as discussed in Note 4, on January 16, 2001, Coastal Petroleum Company filed a complaint in the Leon County Circuit Court in Florida against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its Lease 224-A. On November 27, 2001, the Leon County Circuit Court set a trial date for two weeks beginning September 30, 2002 for Coastal Petroleum's lawsuit against the State of Florida. The cost of that litigation has been substantial and will require the Company to obtain additional capital. On January 10, 2002, the Company filed a registration statement for the sale of its common stock, which has not yet been declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The terms of the offering have not yet been determined. There can be no assurances that funds on hand or realized or realizable on the sales of the Company's shares described in Note 5 will be sufficient to allow the Company to survive until such litigation is concluded. The Company believes the funds on hand at December 31, 2001 are sufficient to pay the expenses associated with the proposed rights offering and to fund the Company's operations through the second quarter of 2002. In addition, an estimated minimum amount of \$500,000 would be necessary to fund the Company's operations through December 31, 2002. In the event that the offering of the Company's common stock is inadequate to fund the Company's capital needs, the Company intends to explore other possible funding sources, particularly the

other shareholders of Coastal Petroleum. These situations raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

#### 2. Coastal Petroleum Company - Minority Interests

In 1992, Coastal Caribbean granted Lykes Minerals Corp. (Lykes), a wholly owned subsidiary of Lykes Bros. Inc., an option to acquire 78 shares of Coastal Petroleum at \$40,000\$ per share. Lykes exercised all of its options to purchase

Coastal Petroleum shares at a total cost of \$3,120,000 and as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, held 26.7% of Coastal Petroleum.

The Lykes agreement provides that Lykes is entitled to exchange each Coastal Petroleum share for 100,000 Coastal Caribbean shares, subject to adjustment for dilution and other factors. If fully exercised, that entitlement would leave Lykes with about 15% of Coastal Caribbean's outstanding shares. Lykes also has the right to exchange Coastal Petroleum shares for overriding royalty interests in Coastal Petroleum's properties. If Lykes were to exchange its 26.7% interest in Coastal Petroleum for a royalty interest, its overriding royalty interest in Coastal Petroleum's working-interest acreage would be 3.3%.

As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, Coastal Petroleum shares were owned as follows:

	Shares	ଚ
Coastal Caribbean	173	59.3
Lykes	78	26.7
Others	41	14.0
	292	100.0
	===	=====

Coastal Caribbean has been making loans to Coastal Petroleum, its majority owned subsidiary, in order for Coastal Petroleum to continue the Florida Litigation and pay its operating expenses. At December 31, 2001, the amount of these loans totaled \$20,826,287 and the accumulated interest on the loans totaled \$5,758,768 for a total indebtedness of \$26,585,055. All such loans and interest have been eliminated in consolidation, as Coastal Caribbean is required to record 100% of the losses of Coastal Petroleum because the minority interests have been fully liquidated and have no further obligation to fund Coastal Petroleum.

### 3. Unproved oil, gas and mineral properties

Coastal Petroleum holds three unproved and nonproducing oil, gas and mineral leases granted by the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida (Trustees). These leases cover submerged and unsubmerged lands, principally along the Florida Gulf Coast, and certain inland lakes and rivers throughout the State.

The two leases bordering the Gulf Coast have been divided into three areas, each running the entire length of the coastline from Apalachicola Bay to the Naples area. Coastal Petroleum has certain royalty interests in the inner area, no interest in the middle area and a 100% working interest in the outside area.

Coastal Petroleum also has a 100% working interest in Lake Okeechobee, and a royalty interest in other areas. Coastal Petroleum has agreed not to conduct exploration, drilling, or mining operations on said lake, except with prior approval of the Trustees.

The three leases have a term of 40 years from January 6, 1976 and require the payment of annual lease rentals of totaling \$59,247; if oil, gas or minerals are being produced in economically sustainable quantities at January 6, 2016, these operations will be allowed to continue until they become uneconomic. The drilling requirements are governed by Chapter 20680, Laws of Florida, Acts of 1941. Under the 1941 Act, a lessee is required to drill at least one test well on lands leased in each five year period under the term of the lease. The Company believes that it is current in fulfilling its drilling requirements.

The working interest areas of the three leases are subject to royalties payable to the Trustees of 12 1/2% on oil and gas, \$.50 per long ton of sulfur and 10% on other minerals. The leases are subject to additional overriding royalties which aggregate 1/16th as to oil, gas and sulfur and 13/600ths as to other minerals.

During the year 2001, the Company concluded that its property interests were impaired by the actions taken by the State of Florida and therefore, recorded an impairment charge in the amount of \$4,201,733 to reflect the write off of these costs. See Note 4. Litigation. Although these costs have been written off, the Company still has legal title to the leases and will continue to pay annual lease rentals on the leases. The following is a summary of the cost of unproved oil, gas and mineral properties at December 31, 2000 and 1999, accounted for under the full cost method, all of which are located in Florida:

	2000	
	(Restated)	(Rest
Lease acquisition costs Lease and royalty costs (principally legal fees)	\$ 877,797 585,348	\$ 87 58
Lease rentals	2,485,138	2,42
Other exploratory expenses	1,228,510	1,23
Salaries	457 <b>,</b> 708	45
	5,634,501	5 <b>,</b> 58
Deduct:		
Reimbursement for lease rentals and other expenses	1,243,085	1,24
Proceeds from relinquishment of surface rights	246,733	24
	1,489,819	1,48
Total unproved oil, gas and mineral properties	\$4,144,682	\$4 <b>,</b> 09
	=======	=====

#### 4. Litigation

Florida Litigation

Coastal Petroleum has been involved in various lawsuits for many years. Coastal Petroleum's current litigation (Florida Litigation) now involves one basic claim: whether the State's denial of a permit constitutes a taking of Coastal Petroleum's property. In addition, Coastal Caribbean is a party to another action in which Coastal Caribbean claims that certain of its royalty interests have been confiscated by the State.

In 1990, the State of Florida enacted legislation that prohibits drilling or exploration for oil or gas on Florida's offshore acreage. Although the law does not apply to areas where Coastal Petroleum is entitled to conduct exploration, the State of Florida has effectively prevented any exploratory drilling by denying the Company's application for drilling permits. In addition, in those areas where Coastal Petroleum has only a royalty interest, the law also effectively prohibits production of oil and gas, rendering it impossible for Coastal Petroleum to collect royalties from those areas. During 1998, Coastal Petroleum exhausted its legal remedies in its efforts to obtain compensation for the drilling prohibition on its royalty interest acreage.

Lease Taking Case

On June 26, 2000, the First District Court of Appeal affirmed an earlier ruling that the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) could deny Coastal Petroleum a permit to drill an exploratory well about nine miles south of St. George Island in the Florida Panhandle. While the appeals court held that the DEP could take such action on the basis of a compelling public purpose in not allowing offshore oil and gas drilling in Florida, the court also found that the DEP's action would be unconstitutional "if just compensation is not paid for what is taken." The appeals court stated that whether the denial of the permit constituted a taking of Coastal Petroleum's property should be determined by the Circuit Court.

On January 16, 2001, Coastal Petroleum Company filed a complaint in the Leon County Circuit Court in Florida against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its Lease 224-A.

On February 13, 2001, certain holders of royalties pertaining to Lease 224-A filed a Motion to Intervene as Additional Plaintiffs. On April 24, 2001, the Leon County Circuit trial judge granted certain royalty holders with overriding royalties, which aggregate approximately 4% on State Lease 224-A, the right to intervene on a limited basis in the takings lawsuit. On May 22, 2001, the royalty holders appealed the Circuit Court's order granting them limited intervention to the First District Court of Appeal, claiming the order denied them the right to fully participate in the case until after final judgment and that the court erroneously found that the royalty holders lack an ownership interest in Coastal Petroleum's lease. On June 12, 2001, the Court of Appeal ordered the royalty holders to show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. The royalty holders filed a response to the Court of Appeal on June 21, 2001, Coastal Petroleum filed its reply on July 2, 2001 and the State of Florida filed its reply on July 5, 2001. The Court of Appeal is currently considering the matter.

Counsel for the appealing royalty holders has advised Coastal Petroleum that the royalty holders' position is that their interest is worth substantially more than 4% of whatever judgment may be awarded to Coastal Petroleum in the litigation and that they intend to make a claim against any recovery Coastal Petroleum may obtain in the litigation. Coastal Petroleum has informed the Circuit Court and counsel for the royalty holders that Coastal Petroleum is not making any claim in the litigation on behalf of any interest the royalty holders may have.

On March 5, 2001, the State filed a Motion to Dismiss Coastal Petroleum's complaint. After the Motion was denied, discovery, which had been suspended pending the outcome of the Motion to Dismiss, resumed. Some depositions have now been taken, documents have been exchanged and discovery is expected to continue until the court ordered cutoff date of August 25, 2002.

On November 27, 2001, the Leon County Circuit Court set a trial date of September 30, 2002. The Court has set aside two weeks for the trial on the issue of whether the State has taken Coastal Petroleum's lease. If the Court rules in Coastal Petroleum's favor, there will then be a second trial before a jury to determine the amount of compensation to be awarded. Both the decision of the Court and any decision of a jury are subject to appeals by any of the parties to the litigation.

#### Royalty Taking Case

The offshore areas covered by Coastal Petroleum's original leases (prior to the 1976 Settlement Agreement) are subject to certain other royalty interests held by third parties, including Coastal Caribbean. In 1994, several of those third parties, including Coastal Caribbean which has approximately a 12% interest in any recovery, have instituted a separate lawsuit against the State. That lawsuit claims that the royalty holders' interests have been confiscated as a result of the State's actions discussed above and that they are entitled to compensation for that taking.

The royalty holders were not parties to the 1976 Settlement Agreement, and the royalty holders contend that the terms of the Settlement Agreement do not protect the State from taking claims by those royalty holders. The case is currently pending before the Circuit Court in Tallahassee. On December 2, 1999, the Circuit Court denied the State's motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' claim of inverse condemnation but dismissed several other claims.

On May 10, 2000, the State filed a motion for summary judgment but no hearing date has been set for the motion. Discovery is proceeding.

Any recovery made in the royalty holders' lawsuit would be shared among the various plaintiffs in that lawsuit, including Coastal Caribbean, but not Coastal Petroleum.

#### Counsel

The Tampa, Florida law firm of Gaylord Merlin Ludovici Diaz & Bain (Gaylord Merlin) is Coastal Petroleum's principal trial counsel in Coastal Petroleum's inverse condemnation claim against the State of Florida in Florida Circuit Court. Mr. Cary Gaylord is the lead attorney for Gaylord Merlin. In addition, the law firm of Angerer & Angerer of Tallahassee, Florida is assisting Gaylord Merlin in the litigation.

#### Statutory Attorneys' Fees

Chapter 73 of Florida law provides in eminent domain proceedings (which would include Coastal Petroleum's taking claim) that, in addition to the award made to the property owner, the court shall award attorneys' fees based on the difference between the final judgment or settlement and the first written offer made to the property owner by the State in accordance with the following schedule:

- 1. Thirty-three percent of any difference up to \$250,000; plus
- 2. Twenty-five percent of any portion of the difference between

\$250,000 and \$1 million; plus

3. Twenty percent of any portion of the difference exceeding \$1 million.

#### Contingency Fees

Coastal Petroleum has agreed to pay an aggregate of 8.65% in contingent fees based on any net recovery from execution on or satisfaction of judgment or from settlement of the Florida litigation to various law firms and current or former officers of the Company.

#### 4. Litigation (Cont'd)

The following contingencies have been granted to related parties:

	Relationship to Coastal Petroleum at	Net Recovery
Holder	Date of Grant	Percentage
Benjamin W. Heath	Chairman of the Board	1.25
Phillip W. Ware	President	1.25
Murtha Cullina LLP	Counsel	1.00
James R. Joyce	Assistant Treasurer	.30
Total		3.80
		====

In addition, Coastal Petroleum has agreed to pay Gaylord Merlin a contingent fee equal to the greater of:

- (b) approximately 90% of the statutory award of attorneys' fees ( discussed above), less the hourly fees paid to Gaylord Merlin, or
- (b) ten percent of the first \$100 million or portion thereof of the compensation received by Coastal Petroleum from the State as compensation for the taking of its property, plus five percent of such compensation in excess of \$100 million, less the hourly fees paid to Gaylord Merlin and other costs of the litigation.

#### Uncertainty

Coastal Petroleum or Coastal Caribbean may not prevail on any of the issues set forth above and may not recover compensation for any of their claims. In addition, even if Coastal Petroleum were to prevail on any or all of the issues to be decided, Coastal Caribbean or Coastal Petroleum may not have sufficient financial resources to survive until such decisions become final. In the event that the State of Florida were to grant a permit to drill any wells for which applications have been filed, the wells drilled may not be successful and lead to production of any oil or gas in commercial quantities.

#### 5. Common Stock

The Company's Bye-Law No. 21 provides that any matter to be voted upon must be approved not only by a majority of the shares voted at such meeting, but also by a majority in number of the shareholders present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote thereon.

The Company has been financing its operations primarily from sales of common stock and sales of shares of Coastal Petroleum (See Note 2).

On October 23, 2000, the Company completed the sale of 3,411,971 shares of its common stock to its shareholders at \$1.00 per share. The net proceeds to the Company were \$3,138,765 after deducting the \$273,206 cost of the offering.

On January 10, 2002, the Company filed a registration statement for the sale of its common stock, which has not yet been declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The terms of the offering have not yet been determined. The proceeds of the offering, if any, will be used for general corporate purposes, including working capital and to continue the litigation against the State of Florida. The costs incurred in connection with the offering totaling \$90,391 at December 31, 2001 are included in other assets.

The following represents shares issued upon sales of common stock:

	Number	Common	Capital
Year	of Shares	Stock	of Par
1953	300,000	\$ 30,000	\$6
1954	53,000	5,300	1
1955	67,000	6,700	1
1956	77,100	7,710	1
1957	95,400	9,540	1
1958	180,884	18,088	2
1959	123,011	12,301	1
1960	134,300	13,430	1
1961	127,500	12,750	
1962	9,900	990	
1963	168,200	23,548	
1964	331,800	46,452	
1965	435,200	60 <b>,</b> 928	4
1966	187,000	26,180	1
1967	193 <b>,</b> 954	27,153	2
1968	67 <b>,</b> 500	9,450	1
1969	8,200	1,148	
1970	274,600	32 <b>,</b> 952	1
1971	299,000	35,880	
1972	462,600	55 <b>,</b> 512	1
1973	619,800	74,376	2
1974	398,300	47,796	
1975	_	_	(5
1976	_	_	(
1977	850 <b>,</b> 000	102,000	1,6
1978	90 <b>,</b> 797	10,896	1
1979	1,065,943	127,914	4,1
1980	179 <b>,</b> 831	21,580	8
1981	30,600	3,672	1
1983	5,318,862	638,263	1,8
1985	· -		(3
			_
1986	6,228,143	747,378	2,1
1987	4,152,095	498,251	2,4
1990	4,298,966	515 <b>,</b> 876	
1996	6,672,726	800,727	5,5
2000	3,411,971	409,436	2,7

36,914,183	\$4,434,177	\$25,1

The following represents shares issued upon exercise of stock options:

	Number	Common	Capital
Year	of Shares	Stock	of Par
1955	73,000	\$ 7,300	\$
1978	7,000	840	
1979	213 <b>,</b> 570	25 <b>,</b> 628	
1980	76,830	9,219	
1981	139,600	16,752	
1996	10,000	1,200	
1997	10,000	1,200	
			_
	530,000	\$62 <b>,</b> 139	\$
	======	======	=

Coastal Caribbean has reserved 7,800,000 shares which may be issued in exchange for Coastal Petroleum shares, as described in Note 2.

#### 6. Stock Option Plan

The Company has elected to follow Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" (APB No. 25) and related Interpretations in accounting for its stock options because the alternative fair value accounting provided under FASB Statement No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation," requires use of option valuation models that were not developed for use in valuing stock options. Under APB No. 25, because the exercise price of the Company's stock options equals the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recognized.

During 1995, the Company adopted a Stock Option Plan covering 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. On March 24, 2000, ten year options to purchase 700,000 shares of the Company's common stock were granted. A charge to legal expense in the amount of \$75,000 for the issuance of 100,000 options to legal counsel was recorded. The charge was calculated using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the same assumptions as discussed below. Options are normally immediately vested and exercisable. The following table summarizes stock option activity:

Options outstanding	Number of Shares	Exerci
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 1998 Expired	587,000 (60,000)	1.
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 1999 Expired Granted	527,000 (302,000) 700,000	1.
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2000 and 200	925 <b>,</b> 000	. 9
Available for grant at December 31, 2001	55 <b>,</b> 000	(1.33 weig

Summary of Options Outstanding at December 31, 2001

Year Granted	Number of Shares	Expiration Date	Exercise Prices (\$)
Granted 1998	225,000	May 19, 2003	2.625
Granted 2000	700,000	Mar. 22, 2010	.91
Total	925,000		
	======		

Pro forma information regarding net income and earnings per share is required by FASB Statement No. 123, and has been determined as if the Company had accounted for its stock options under the fair value method of that Statement. The fair value for these options was estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

Option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. The assumptions used in the valuation model for 2000 were: risk free interest rate – 6.66%, expected life – 10 years, expected volatility – .741 and expected dividend – 0.

Because the Company's stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its stock options.

For the purpose of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the stock options is expensed in the year of grant since the options are immediately exercisable. The Company's pro forma information follows:

Pro forma net loss - December 31, 2000	\$(1,835,552)	\$(.04)
Stock option expense	(450,000)	(.01)
Net loss as reported - December 31, 2000	\$ (1,385,522)	\$(.03)
	Amount	Per Share

#### 7. Income taxes

Bermuda currently imposes no taxes on corporate income or capital gains outside of Bermuda. The Company's subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum, has U.S. net operating loss carry forwards for federal and state income tax purposes, which may be used to reduce its taxable income, if any, during future years which aggregated approximately \$13,218,000 at December 31, 2001 (\$12,133,000 at December 31, 2000) and expire in varying amounts from 2002 through 2021 as follows: \$2,931,000 in 2002, \$824,000 in 2003, \$647,000 in 2004, \$550,000 in 2005, \$418,000 in 2006, \$549,000 in 2007, \$480,000 in 2009, \$571,000 in 2010, \$955,000 in 2011, \$1,281,000 in 2012, \$757,000 in 2018, \$622,000 in 2019, \$749,000 in 2020 and \$1,884,000 in 2021. For financial reporting purposes, a valuation allowance has been recognized to offset the deferred tax assets relating to those carry forwards. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets were as follows:

2001 2000

		(Restated)
Net operating losses	\$4,974,000	\$4,720,000
Deferred intercompany interest deduction	2,167,000	1,453,000
Write off of unproved properties	1,831,000	250,000
Total deferred tax assets	8,972,000	6,423,000
Valuation allowance	(8,972,000)	(6,423,000)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ -	\$ -
	=========	=========

### 8. Related parties

G&O'D INC provides accounting and administrative services, office facilities and support staff to the Company. G&O'D INC is owned by Mr. James R. Joyce, Treasurer and Assistant Secretary. During 2001, 2000 and 1999, G&O'D billed fees of \$135,573, \$155,440 and \$144,495 respectively. The Company was billed \$105,000 in 2001, \$195,000 in 2000 and \$65,000 in 1999 by the law firm of Murtha Cullina LLP. Mr. Timothy L. Largay, a partner of the firm of Murtha Cullina LLP, is a director and Vice President of the Company. Notes receivable at December 31, 2001, represent a six month loan to an officer of the Company, payable on May 10, 2002 with interest at 4% per annum

#### 9. Selected quarterly financial data (unaudited)

The following is a summary (in thousands) except for per share amounts of the quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000:

2001	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Total revenues	37	23	13
Expenses	(729)	(623)	(499
Net loss	(692)	(600)	(486
Per share (basic & diluted)	(.02)	(.01)	(.01
Average number of shares outstanding	43,468 =====	43,468 =====	43,46 ====
2000	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 3
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Total revenues	10	10	4
Expenses	(386)	(326)	(317
Net loss	(376)	(316)	(313

	=====	======	=====
Per share (basic & diluted)	(.01)	(.01)	( –
	=====	=====	==
Average number of shares outstanding	40,056	40,056	40,05
	=====	=====	=====

(\*) During the year 2001, the Company concluded that its property interests were impaired by the actions taken by the State of Florida and therefore, recorded an impairment charge in the amount of \$4,202 to reflect the write off of these costs

None.

Name

#### PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Company

The board of directors includes six members, two of whom, Messrs. Heath and Ware, also serve as executive officers. The board is divided into three classes, with each class serving a term of office of three years.

Position

Class of 2002		
Benjamin W. Heath	Director President	Mr. Heath has been a director since 1 as Chairman and a director of Coasta also a director of Canada Southern eighty-six.
Phillip W. Ware	Director Vice President	Mr. Ware, a geologist, has been Preside Coastal Petroleum since 1985. Mr. Ware since 1985. Age fifty.
Class of 2003		
Graham B. Collis	Director Secretary Audit Committee	Mr. Collis, a director since 1998, has law firm of Conyers Dill & Pearman, Ham Bermuda counsel since 1995. Age forty-o
John D. Monroe	Director Audit Committee	Mr. Monroe is a real estate broker President of a real estate brokerage an in Naples, Florida. Mr. Monroe, a dir also a director of our subsidiary, Age seventy-five.

Biographical Informati

Class of 2004

Name Position Biographical Informati

Nicholas B. Dill Director

> Dill & Pearman, Hamilton, Bermuda, o since 2000. Previous, Mr. Dill had b firm for many years. Mr. Dill, a direc also a director of Worldwide Secur Electric Light Co. Ltd., Bermuda Wate

Mr. Dill has been a consultant to the

Ltd. Age sixty-eight.

Timothy L. Largay Director

Vice President and Assistant Secretary

Timothy L. Largay has been a partner Murtha Cullina LLP, Hartford, Connect was elected a director and Vice Preside January 15, 2001. Mr. Largay is al Secretary of Canada Southern Petroleum of Magellan Petroleum Corporation. Mur retained by the Company for more than being retained during the current year.

Our other executive officer is the Chief Financial Officer. All of the officers of Coastal Caribbean are elected annually by the board and report directly to it.

James R. Joyce

Treasurer, Assistant Mr. Joyce has been our Treasurer, Assi Financial

Secretary and Chief Chief Financial Officer since 1994. Officer Chief Financial Officer and a di Corporation. Mr. Joyce is President of G provides accounting and administrative s

facilities and support to Coastal Caribb

and other clients. Age sixty-one.

Only Messrs. Heath and Ware received direct compensation for their services as officers of Coastal Caribbean or Coastal Petroleum. Mr. Heath and Mr. Ware devote approximately 50% and 100% respectively of their professional time to the business and affairs of Coastal Caribbean and Coastal Petroleum. The other non executive officers devote a small percentage of their professional time as officers on behalf of the companies except for Mr. Joyce, who devoted approximately 27% of his time during the year 2001.

All of the named companies are engaged in oil, gas or mineral exploration and/or development except where noted. The business experience described for each director or executive officer above covers the past five years.

We are not aware of any arrangements or understandings between any of the individuals named above and any other person by which any of the individuals named above was selected as a director and/or executive officer. We are not aware of any family relationship among the officers and directors of Coastal Caribbean or its subsidiary.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The following table sets forth certain summary information concerning

the compensation of the Company's two executive officers. No other executive officer earned compensation in excess of \$100,000 during the year 2001.

Summary Compensation Table

Annual Compensation Long Term

Compensation

Name and
Principal Position

Year

Salary (\$)

Options/SARs (#)

Benjamin W. Heath, President and

2001

40,000

-

Benjamin W. Heath, President and Chief Executive Officer	2001 2000 1999	40,000 40,000 40,000	100,000
Phillip W. Ware, Vice President	2001 2000 1999	92,000 92,000 92,000	100,000

- (1) Reimbursement for office expenses \$12,075 in 2001, \$9,600 in 2000, and \$9,550 in 1999, and payments to a SEP-IRA pension plan \$6,000 in 2001, 2000 and 1999.
- (2) Payment to SEP-IRA pension plan.

#### Compensation of Directors

All of our directors except for directors who are also executive officers receive annual directors' fees in the amount of \$22,500. For the year 2001, Messrs. Collis, Dill, and Monroe each received directors' fees of \$22,500 and Mr. Largay received \$21,263 in fees. Effective February 20, 2002, Messrs. Collis, Dill, Largay and Monroe will defer the payment of all of their directors' fees until our working capital is at least \$1 million.

#### Stock Options

The following table provides information about unexercised stock options held by the Named Executive Officers at December 31, 2001:

	Aggregated Option/SAR Exercises in 2001 and December 31, 2001 Option/SAR Values				
	Shares Acquired	Value		Securities Unexercised	Value T
	On Exercise	Realized (\$)		SARs (#)	Opt
	(#)		at December 31, 2001		at De
Name			Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisab

Benjamin W. Heath	-0-	-0-	100,000	<del>-</del>	9,000
Benjamin W. Heath	-0-	-0-	45,000	-	
Phillip W. Ware	- 0 -	-0-	100,000	-	9,000
Phillip W. Ware	- 0 -	-0-	72,000	-	

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table provides information as to the number of shares of our stock owned beneficially at December 31, 2001 by each person who is known to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership		
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares Held Directly	Shares Subject to Option	
Leon S. Gross 3900 Ford Road Philadelphia, PA 19131	4,499,688	-	
Lykes Minerals Corp. 111 East Madison Street P.O. Box 1690 Tampa, FL 33601	-	7,800,000*	

<sup>\*</sup> Lykes Minerals Corp. has purchased a total of 78 shares of Coastal Petroleum which are convertible into 7,800,000 of our shares.

Security Ownership of Management

The following table sets forth information as to the number of shares of the Company's common stock owned beneficially at December 31, 2001 by each director of the Company and by all directors and executive officers as a group:

Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership

Shares Held Directly or Indirectly

<sup>\*\*</sup> Assumes all outstanding options held by Lykes Mineral Corp are exercised to acquire our shares.

		1
Name of Individual or Group		Options
Graham B. Collis	25,000 (1)	112,000
Nicholas B. Dill	- (2)	124,000
Benjamin W. Heath	20,000	145,000
John D. Monroe	400	136,000
Timothy L. Largay	49,200	100,000
Phillip W. Ware	3,791	172,000
Directors and executive officers		
as a group (a total of 7 persons)	111,579	925,000

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 1%.

- (1) Director of Lane Enterprises (Bermuda) Limited, a Bermuda company, which also owns 17,75
- (2) Director of Brackish Pond Company Limited, a Bermuda company, which owns 3,355 shares.

#### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

G&O'D INC

During the year 2001, G&O'D INC charged us a total of \$135,573 for accounting and administrative services, office facilities and support staff which includes two full time and two part time persons. Mr. James R. Joyce, the Company's Treasurer and Assistant Secretary, owns the firm of G&O'D whose fees are based on the time spent in performing services for us.

There is no written agreement between G&O'D and the Company. The Company believes that the arrangement with G&O'D is as favorable to the Company as could be obtained from an unaffiliated third party.

#### Royalty Interests

The State of Florida oil, gas and mineral leases held by Coastal Petroleum on approximately 3,700,000 acres of submerged lands along the Gulf Coast and certain inland lakes and rivers are subject to certain overriding royalties aggregating 1/16th as to oil, gas and sulphur, and 13/600ths as to minerals other than oil, gas and sulphur. Of the overriding royalties as to oil, gas and sulphur, a 1/90th overriding royalty, and of the overriding royalties on minerals other than oil, gas and sulphur, a 1/60th overriding royalty, is held by Johnson & Company, a Connecticut partnership which is used as a nominee by the members of the family of the late William F. Buckley. A trust, in which Mr. Heath has a 54.4% beneficial interest, has a beneficial interest in such royalty interest held by Johnson & Company. No payments have been made to Johnson & Company (or to the beneficial owners of such royalty interests) in more than forty years.

In 1990, Coastal Petroleum granted to our current officers the following percentages of any net recovery from execution on or satisfaction of judgment or from settlement of the lawsuit against the State of Florida as follows:

	Percent of net recovery	Coastal Petroleum
Name		Position
Benjamin W. Heath	1.25	Chairman of Board
Phillip W. Ware	1.25	President
James R. Joyce	0.30	Treasurer

#### PART IV

Item 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K

# (a) (1) Financial Statements.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{The}}$$  financial statements listed below and included under Item 8 above are filed as part of this report.

Report of Independent Auditors	33
Consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2001 and 2000	34
Consolidated statements of operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2001.	
	35
Consolidated statements of cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 and for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2001.	
(	36
Consolidated statement of common stock and capital in excess of par value for the period from January 31, 1953 (inception) to December 31, 2001	<u>;</u>
	37
Notes to consolidated financial statements.	38-51

# (2) Financial Statement Schedules.

All schedules have been omitted since the required information is not present or not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto.

# (b) Reports on Form 8-K.

- (i) On October 3, 2001, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K to report that on October 1, 2001, the Leon County Circuit Court in Coastal Petroleum Company's lawsuit against the State of Florida on its own motion issued an order scheduling a case management conference for November 27, 2001. The order provided that counsel be prepared to discuss a discovery schedule, the setting of a trial date, and several other matters.
- (ii) On December 10, 2001, the Company filed a Current Report on Form 8-K to report that on November 27, 2001, the Company announced that Florida's Leon County Circuit Court set a trial date of September 30, 2002 for Coastal Petroleum's lawsuit against the State of Florida.

Daga

(c) Exhibits.

\_\_\_\_\_

The following exhibits are filed as part of this report:

Item Number

 Plan of acquisition, reorganization, arrangement, liquidation or succession.

\_\_\_\_\_

Not applicable.

- 3. Articles of incorporation and By-Laws.
  - (a) Memorandum of Association as amended on June 30, 1982, May 14, 1985 and April 7, 1988 filed as Exhibit 3. (a) to Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated herein by reference.
  - (b) Bye-laws are incorporated by reference to Schedule  $14\,(a)$  Proxy Statement filed on May 13, 1997 (File Number 001-04668).
- Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Not applicable.

9. Voting trust agreement.

Not applicable.

- 10. Material contracts.
  - (a) Drilling Lease No. 224-A, as modified, between the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida and Coastal Petroleum Company dated February 27, 1947 filed as Exhibit 10(a) to Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated herein by reference.
  - (b) Drilling Lease No. 224-B, as modified, between the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida and Coastal Petroleum Company dated February 27, 1947 filed as Exhibit 10(b) to Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated herein be reference.
  - (c) Drilling Lease No. 248, as modified, between the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida and Coastal Petroleum Company dated February 27, 1947 filed as Exhibit 10(c) to Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated herein by reference.

- (d) Memorandum of Settlement dated January 6, 1976 between Coastal Petroleum Company and the State of Florida filed as Exhibit 10(d) to Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated herein by reference.
- (e) Agreement between the Company and Coastal Petroleum dated December 3, 1991 filed as Exhibit 10(e) to Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated herein by reference
- (f) Agreement between Lykes Minerals Corp. and Coastal Caribbean and Coastal Petroleum dated October 16, 1992 filed as Exhibit 10(f) to Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated herein by reference.
- (g) Stock Option Plan adopted March 7, 1995 filed as Exhibit 4A to form S-8 dated July 28, 1995 (File Number 001-04668) is incorporated herein by reference.
- (h) The decision Coastal Petroleum Company v. Florida Wildlife Federation et. al. of the First District Court of Appeal dated October 6, 1999 (St. George Island permit application case), is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99(a) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 7, 1999 (File Number 001-04668).
- (i) Complaint filed January 16, 2001 in the Leon County Circuit Court, Coastal Petroleum Company, Plaintiff vs. State of Florida, Department of Environmental Protection, and Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund, Defendants, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99(a) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 18, 2001(File Number 001-04668).
- 11. Statement re: computation of per share earnings.

None.

12. Statement re: computation of ratios.

Not applicable.

13. Annual report to security holders, Form 10-Q or quarterly report to security holders

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Not applicable.

16. Letter re: change in certifying accountant.

Not applicable.

18. Letter re: change in accounting principles.

Not applicable.

21. Subsidiaries of the registrant.

The Company has one subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum Company, a Florida corporation which is  $59\ 1/4\ \%$  owned.

22. Published report regarding matters submitted to vote of security holders.

\_\_\_\_\_

Not applicable.

23. Consent of experts and counsel.

Consent of Ernst & Young LLP is filed herein.

24. Power of attorney.

Not applicable.

99. Additional exhibits.

\_\_\_\_\_

(a) The decision Coastal Petroleum Company v. Florida Wildlife Federation et. al. of the First District Court of Appeal dated October 6, 1999 (St. George Island permit application case), is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99(a) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 7, 1999 (File Number 001-04668).

(b) Complaint, filed January 16, 2001 in the Leon County Circuit Court, Coastal Petroleum Company, Plaintiff vs. State of Florida, Department of Environmental Protection, and Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund, Defendants, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99(a) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 18, 2001 (File Number 001-04668).

(d) Financial Statement Schedules.

None.

. .

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS, LTD. (Registrant)

By /s/ Benjamin W. Heath

Benjamin W. Heath, President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: June 6, 2002

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

By /s/ Benjamin W. Heath By /s/ James R. Joyce \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Benjamin W. Heath James R. Joyce President, Director and Chief Executive Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer June 6, 2002 Dated: June 6, 2002 -----By /s/ Graham B. Collis By /s/ Nicholas D. Dill \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Graham B. Collis Nicholas D. Dill Director Director June 6, 2002 Dated: Dated: June 6, 2 By /s/ Timothy L. Largay By /s/John D. Monroe Timothy L. Largay John D. Monroe Director Director Dated: June 6, 2002 June 6, 200 \_\_\_\_\_ By /s/ Phillip W. Ware

Dated: June 6, 2002

Phillip W. Ware

Director

### INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.

23. Consent of Ernst & Young LLP