CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORP

Form 10-Q August 04, 2016 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF $^{\rm x}$ 1934

For the quarterly period ended: June 30, 2016

OR

..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-11590

CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES

CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 51-0064146 (State or other jurisdiction (I.R.S. Employer of incorporation or organization) Identification No.) 909 Silver Lake Boulevard, Dover, Delaware 19904 (Address of principal executive offices, including Zip Code) (302) 734-6799

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

Common Stock, par value \$0.4867 — 15,323,102 shares outstanding as of July 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

PART I—FII	NANCIAL INFORMATION	<u>1</u>
ITEM 1.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	1
ITEM 2.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	<u>31</u>
ITEM 3.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	<u>53</u>
ITEM 4.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	<u>55</u>
PART II—O	THER INFORMATION	<u>56</u>
ITEM 1.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	<u>56</u>
ITEM 1A.	RISK FACTORS	<u>56</u>
ITEM 2.	UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	<u>56</u>
ITEM 3.	DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	<u>56</u>
ITEM 5.	OTHER INFORMATION	<u>56</u>
ITEM 6.	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	<u>57</u>
SIGNATURI	<u>ES</u>	<u>58</u>

Table of Contents

GLOSSARY OF DEFINITIONS

ASC: Accounting Standards Codification

ASU: Accounting Standards Update

Aspire Energy: Aspire Energy of Ohio, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Chesapeake Utilities into which Gatherco merged on April 1, 2015

CDD: Cooling degree-day, which is the measure of the variation in weather based on the extent to which the daily average temperature (from 10:00 am to 10:00 am) is above 65 degrees Fahrenheit

Chesapeake or Chesapeake Utilities: Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, its divisions and its subsidiaries, as appropriate in the context of the disclosure

Chesapeake Pension Plan: A defined benefit pension plan sponsored by Chesapeake Utilities

Chesapeake Postretirement Plan: An unfunded postretirement health care and life insurance plan sponsored by Chesapeake Utilities

Chesapeake SERP: An unfunded supplemental executive retirement pension plan sponsored by Chesapeake Utilities

CHP: A combined heat and power plant constructed by Eight Flags in Nassau County, Florida

Columbia Gas: Columbia Gas of Ohio

Company: Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, its divisions and its subsidiaries, as appropriate in the context of the disclosure

Credit Agreement: An agreement between Chesapeake Utilities and the lenders related to the Revolver Deferred Compensation Plan: A non-qualified, deferred compensation arrangement under which certain of our executives and members of the Board of Directors are able to defer payment of all or a part of certain specified types of compensation, including executive cash bonuses, executive performance shares, and directors' retainers Delmarva Peninsula: A peninsula on the east coast of the United States of America occupied by Delaware and portions of Maryland and Virginia

DNREC: Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

Dts/d: Dekatherms per day

Eastern Shore: Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company, a wholly-owned natural gas transmission subsidiary of Chesapeake Utilities

EGWIC: Eastern Gas & Water Investment Company, LLC, an affiliate of ESG

Eight Flags: Eight Flags Energy, LLC, a subsidiary of Chesapeake OnSight Services, LLC

EPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency

ESG: Eastern Shore Gas Company and its affiliates

FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board

FERC: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, an independent agency of the United States government that regulates the interstate transmission of electricity, natural gas, and oil

FDEP: Florida Department of Environmental Protection

FDOT: Florida Department of Transportation

FGT: Florida Gas Transmission Company

FPU: Florida Public Utilities Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Chesapeake Utilities

Table of Contents

FPU Medical Plan: A separate unfunded postretirement medical plan for FPU sponsored by Chesapeake Utilities

FPU Pension Plan: A separate defined benefit pension plan for FPU sponsored by Chesapeake Utilities

GAAP: Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America

Gatherco: Gatherco, Inc.

GRIP: The Gas Reliability Infrastructure Program is a natural gas pipeline replacement program in Florida, pursuant to which we collect a surcharge from certain of our Florida customers to recover capital and other program-related costs associated with the replacement of qualifying distribution mains and services in Florida

Gulf Power: Gulf Power Company

Gulfstream: Gulfstream Natural Gas System, LLC

HDD: Heating degree-day, which is a measure of the variation in weather based on the extent to which the daily average temperature (from 10:00 am to 10:00 am) is below 65 degrees Fahrenheit

JEA: The community-owned utility located in Jacksonville, Florida, formerly known as Jacksonville Electric Authority

Lenders: PNC, Bank of America N.A., Citizens Bank N.A., Royal Bank of Canada, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, which are collectively the lenders that entered into the Credit Agreement with Chesapeake Utilities on October 8, 2015

MDE: Maryland Department of Environment

MGP: Manufactured gas plant, which is a site where coal was previously used to manufacture gaseous fuel for industrial, commercial and residential use

NAM: Natural Attenuation Monitoring

NYSE: New York Stock Exchange

OPT \leq 90 Service: Off Peak \leq 90 Firm Transportation Service, an Eastern Shore firm transportation service that allows Eastern Shore not to schedule service for up to 90 days during the peak months of November through April

OTC: Over-the-counter

Peninsula Pipeline: Peninsula Pipeline Company, Inc., our wholly-owned Florida intrastate pipeline subsidiary

PESCO: Peninsula Energy Services Company, Inc., our wholly-owned natural gas marketing subsidiary

PNC: PNC Bank, National Association, the administrative agent and primary lender for our Revolver

Prudential: Prudential Investment Management Inc., an institutional investment management firm, with which we have entered into the Shelf Agreement for the potential future purchase of our Shelf Notes

PSC: Public Service Commission, which is the state agency that regulates the rates and services provided by

Chesapeake Utilities' natural gas and electric distribution operations in Delaware, Maryland and Florida and Peninsula Pipeline in Florida

RAP: Remedial Action Plan, which is a plan that outlines the procedures taken or being considered in removing contaminants from a MGP formerly owned by Chesapeake Utilities or FPU

Revolver: The unsecured revolving credit facility issued to us by the Lenders

Sandpiper: Sandpiper Energy, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Chesapeake Utilities providing a tariff-based distribution service to customers in Worcester County, Maryland

Sanford Group: FPU and other responsible parties involved with the Sanford environmental site

SCO supplier agreement: Standard Choice Offer (SCO) supplier agreement between PESCO and Columbia Gas

SEC: Securities and Exchange Commission

Sharp: Sharp Energy, Inc., our wholly-owned propane distribution subsidiary

Table of Contents

Shelf Agreement: An agreement entered into by Chesapeake Utilities and Prudential pursuant to which Chesapeake Utilities may request that Prudential purchase, by October 8, 2018, up to \$150.0 million of Shelf Notes at a fixed interest rate and with a maturity date not to exceed twenty years from the date of issuance

Shelf Notes: Unsecured senior promissory notes that we may request Prudential to purchase under the Shelf Agreement

SICP: 2013 Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan

TETLP: Texas Eastern Transmission, LP

Xeron: Xeron, Inc., our propane wholesale marketing subsidiary, based in Houston, Texas

Table of Contents

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
(in thousands, except shares and per share data)				
Operating Revenues				
Regulated Energy	\$67,395	\$ 62,060	\$156,611	\$171,642
Unregulated Energy and other	34,947	30,622	92,027	91,121
Total Operating Revenues	102,342	92,682	248,638	262,763
Operating Expenses				
Regulated Energy cost of sales	21,635	21,124	56,540	78,253
Unregulated Energy and other cost of sales	22,934	20,272	56,958	55,507
Operations	28,087	26,190	55,246	53,133
Maintenance	2,904	2,727	5,383	5,431
Gain from a settlement	(130)	(1,500)	(130)	(1,500)
Depreciation and amortization	7,780	7,543	15,283	14,518
Other taxes	3,390	3,156	7,236	6,743
Total Operating Expenses	86,600	79,512	196,516	212,085
Operating Income	15,742	13,170	52,122	50,678
Other Expense, net	(8)	(171)	(42)	(38)
Interest charges	2,624	2,485	5,274	4,933
Income Before Income Taxes	13,110	10,514	46,806	45,707
Income taxes	5,081	4,220	18,410	18,304
Net Income	\$8,029	\$ 6,294	\$28,396	\$27,403
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:				
Basic	15,315,02	205,235,860	15,300,931	14,922,094
Diluted	15,352,70	0215,280,657	15,342,287	14,970,190
Earnings Per Share of Common Stock:				
Basic	\$0.52	\$ 0.41	\$1.86	\$1.84
Diluted	\$0.52	\$ 0.41	\$1.85	\$1.83
Cash Dividends Declared Per Share of Common Stock	\$0.3050	\$ 0.2875	\$0.5925	\$0.5575
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.				

Table of Contents

Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Mont	hs Ended
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
(in thousands)				
Net Income	\$8,029	\$6,294	\$28,396	\$27,403
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax:				
Employee Benefits, net of tax:				
Amortization of prior service cost, net of tax of \$(8), \$(7), \$(16) and \$(14), respectively	(12)	(10)	(24)	(20)
Net gain, net of tax of \$67, \$62, \$133 and \$125, respectively	99	93	200	185
Cash Flow Hedges, net of tax:				
Unrealized gain on commodity contract cash flow hedges, net of tax of \$313, \$4, \$322 and \$21, respectively	496	6	496	32
Total Other Comprehensive Income	583	89	672	197
Comprehensive Income	\$8,612	\$6,383	\$29,068	\$27,600
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.				

Table of Contents

Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

Assets	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
(in thousands, except shares and per share data)		
Property, Plant and Equipment		
Regulated Energy	\$868,016	\$842,756
Unregulated Energy	189,034	145,734
Other businesses and eliminations	19,608	18,999
Total property, plant and equipment	1,076,658	1,007,489
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(229,826	(215,313)
Plus: Construction work in progress	61,975	62,774
Net property, plant and equipment	908,807	854,950
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	3,266	2,855
Accounts receivable (less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$631 and \$909, respectively)	41,851	41,007
Accrued revenue	8,658	12,452
Propane inventory, at average cost	4,285	6,619
Other inventory, at average cost	4,025	3,803
Regulatory assets	7,042	8,268
Storage gas prepayments	5,014	3,410
Income taxes receivable	7,395	24,950
Prepaid expenses	4,184	7,146
Mark-to-market energy assets	405	153
Other current assets	771	1,044
Total current assets	86,896	111,707
Deferred Charges and Other Assets	00,070	111,707
Goodwill	15,070	14,548
Other intangible assets, net	2,033	2,222
Investments, at fair value	4,325	3,644
Regulatory assets	76,563	77,519
Receivables and other deferred charges	3,353	2,831
Total deferred charges and other assets	101,344	100,764
Total Assets	\$1,097,047	\$1,067,421

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

Capitalization and Liabilities		December 31, 2015	
(in thousands, except shares and per share data)			
Capitalization			
Stockholders' equity			
Common stock, par value \$0.4867 per share (authorized 25,000,000 shares)	\$7,456	\$7,432	
Additional paid-in capital	191,776	190,311	
Retained earnings	185,490	166,235	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(5,168	(5,840)	
Deferred compensation obligation	2,452	1,883	
Treasury stock	(2,452	(1,883)	
Total stockholders' equity	379,554	358,138	
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	143,865	149,006	
Total capitalization	523,419	507,144	
Current Liabilities			
Current portion of long-term debt	12,075	9,151	
Short-term borrowing	180,042	173,397	
Accounts payable	35,496	39,300	
Customer deposits and refunds	27,572	27,173	
Accrued interest	1,250	1,311	
Dividends payable	4,673	4,390	
Accrued compensation	6,742	10,014	
Regulatory liabilities	6,808	7,365	
Mark-to-market energy liabilities	256	433	
Other accrued liabilities	8,978	7,059	
Total current liabilities	283,892	279,593	
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities			
Deferred income taxes	199,623	192,600	
Regulatory liabilities	43,093	43,064	
Environmental liabilities	8,765	8,942	
Other pension and benefit costs	32,695	33,481	
Deferred investment tax credits and other liabilities	5,560	2,597	
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	289,736	280,684	
Environmental and other commitments and contingencies (Note 5 and 6)			
Total Capitalization and Liabilities	\$1,097,047	\$1,067,421	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.			

Table of Contents

Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)		
	Six Mont	hs Ended
	June 30,	
	2016	2015
(in thousands)		
Operating Activities		
Net income	\$28,396	\$27,403
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	15,283	14,518
Depreciation and accretion included in other costs	3,436	3,486
Deferred income taxes, net	6,162	(1,366)
Realized (gain) loss on commodity contracts/sale of assets/investments	664	(686)
Unrealized gain on investments/commodity contracts	(42)	(187)
Employee benefits and compensation	760	601
Share-based compensation	1,264	947
Other, net	24	8
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable and accrued revenue	2,264	20,194
Propane inventory, storage gas and other inventory	663	4,405
Regulatory assets/liabilities, net	519	12,728
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,878	3,261
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	(4,069)	(16,359)
Income taxes receivable	20,680	19,300
Customer deposits and refunds	399	(3,748)
Accrued compensation	(3,340)	(3,788)
Other assets and liabilities, net	(1,786)	(315)
Net cash provided by operating activities	74,155	80,402
Investing Activities		
Property, plant and equipment expenditures	(70,045)	(57,350)
Proceeds from sales of assets	89	49
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired		(20,930)
Environmental expenditures	(177)	(73)
Net cash used in investing activities	(70,133)	(78,304)
Financing Activities		
Common stock dividends	(8,453)	(7,532)
Issuance of stock for Dividend Reinvestment Plan	429	417
Change in cash overdrafts due to outstanding checks	1,473	2,367
Net borrowing (repayment) under line of credit agreements	5,166	4,114
Repayment of long-term debt and capital lease obligation	(2,226)	(3,934)
Net cash used in financing activities	(3,611)	(4,568)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	411	(2,470)
Cash and Cash Equivalents—Beginning of Period	2,855	4,574
Cash and Cash Equivalents—End of Period	\$3,266	\$2,104
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.		

Table of Contents

Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited)

Common Stock

(in thousands, except shares and per share data)	Number of Shares ⁽¹⁾	Par Value	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulate Other Comprehen Loss	od Deferred siv©ompensat	Treasury i &t ock	Total
Balance at December 31, 2014	14,588,711	\$7,100	\$156,581	\$142,317	\$ (5,676	\$ 1,258	\$(1,258)	\$300,322
Net income			_	41,140	_		_	41,140
Other comprehensive loss	_		_	_	(164) —	_	(164)
Dividend declared (\$1.1325 per share)	_		_	(17,222)	_	_		(17,222)
Retirement savings plan and dividend reinvestment plan	43,275	21	2,214	_	_	_	_	2,235
Common stock issued in acquisition	592,970	289	29,876					30,165
Share-based compensation and tax benefit (2) (3)	45,703	22	1,640	_	_		_	1,662
Treasury stock activities	_		_	_	_	625	(625)	_
Balance at December 31, 2015	15,270,659	7,432	190,311	166,235	(5,840	1,883	(1,883)	358,138
Net income	_		_	28,396	_		_	28,396
Other comprehensive income	_		_	_	672			672
Dividend declared (\$0.5925 per share) and dividend reinvestment plan	13,120	6	759	(9,141)	_	_	_	(8,376)
Share-based compensation and tax benefit (2) (3)	36,099	18	706	_	_	_	_	724
Treasury stock activities Balance at June 30, 2016		— \$7,456				569) \$ 2,452	(569) \$(2,452)	

⁽¹⁾ Includes 79,658 and 70,631 shares at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, held in a Rabbi Trust related to our Deferred Compensation Plan.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

⁽²⁾ Includes amounts for shares issued for Directors' compensation.

⁽³⁾ The shares issued under the SICP are net of shares withheld for employee taxes. For the six months ended June 30, 2016, and for the year ended December 31, 2015, we withheld 12,031 and 12,620 shares, respectively, for taxes.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1. Summary of Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

References in this document to the "Company," "Chesapeake Utilities," "we," "us" and "our" are intended to mean Chesapeak Utilities Corporation, its divisions and/or its subsidiaries, as appropriate in the context of the disclosure.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in compliance with the rules and regulations of the SEC and GAAP. In accordance with these rules and regulations, certain information and disclosures normally required for audited financial statements have been condensed or omitted. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, included in our latest Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. In the opinion of management, these financial statements reflect normal recurring adjustments that are necessary for a fair presentation of our results of operations, financial position and cash flows for the interim periods presented.

Due to the seasonality of our business, results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire fiscal year. Revenue and earnings are typically greater during the first and fourth quarters, when consumption of energy is highest due to colder temperatures.

We reclassified certain amounts in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2015. We have revised the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2015 to reflect only property, plant and equipment expenditures paid in cash within the Investing Activities section. The non-cash expenditures previously included in that section have now been included in the change in accounts payable and other accrued liabilities amount within the Operating Activities section. These reclassifications are considered immaterial to the overall presentation of our condensed consolidated financial statements.

FASB Statements and Other Authoritative Pronouncements

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

Interest - Imputation of Interest (ASC 835-30) - In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. This standard requires debt issuance costs to be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying value of the associated debt liability, consistent with the presentation of a debt discount. ASU 2015-03 became effective for us on January 1, 2016, and we applied the provisions of this standard on a retrospective basis. As a result of the adoption of this standard, debt issuance costs totaling \$312,000 and \$333,000 at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, previously presented as other deferred charges, a non-current asset, are now presented as a deduction from long-term debt, net of current maturities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Customer's Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement (ASC 350-40) - In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-05, Customer's Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement. Under the new standard, unless a software arrangement includes specific elements enabling customers to possess and operate software on platforms other than that offered by the cloud-based provider, the cost of such arrangements is to be accounted for as an operating expense in the period incurred. ASU 2015-05 became effective for us on January 1, 2016, and has been applied on a prospective basis. The standard did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations for the quarter.

Debt Issuance Costs (ASC 835-30) - In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements. This standard clarifies treatment of debt issuance costs associated with line-of-credit arrangements that were not specifically addressed in ASU 2015-03. Issuance costs incurred in connection with line-of-credit arrangements may be treated as an asset and amortized over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement. ASU 2015-15 became effective for us on January 1, 2016. The standard did not have a material impact on our financial position and results of operations.

Business Combinations (ASC 805) - In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-16, Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments. The standard eliminates the requirement to restate prior period financial statements for measurement period adjustments. The guidance requires that the cumulative impact of a measurement-period adjustment (including the impact of prior periods) be recognized in the reporting period in which the adjustment is identified. ASU 2015-16 was effective for our interim and annual financial statements issued after January 1, 2016 and was adopted on a prospective basis. Adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes (ASC 740) - In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes, which requires all deferred assets and liabilities along with any related valuation allowance to be classified as noncurrent on the balance sheet for our annual financial statements beginning January 1, 2017 and for our interim financial statements beginning January 1, 2018; however, early adoption is permitted. We adopted this standard in the first quarter of 2016 on a retrospective basis and adjusted the December 31, 2015 balance sheet by eliminating the current deferred income taxes asset and decreasing the noncurrent deferred income taxes liability by \$831,000.

Recent Accounting Standards Yet to be Adopted

Revenue from Contracts with Customers (ASC 606) - In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. This standard provides a single comprehensive revenue recognition model for all contracts with customers to improve comparability within industries, as well as across industries and capital markets. The standard contains principles that entities will apply to determine the measurement of revenue and when it is recognized. On July 9, 2015, the FASB affirmed its proposal to defer the implementation of this standard by one year. For public entities, this standard is effective for 2018 interim and annual financial statements. We are assessing the impact this standard may have on our financial position and results of operations.

Inventory (ASC 330) - In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, Inventory. Under this guidance, inventories are required to be measured at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Net realizable value represents the estimated selling price less costs associated with completion, disposal and transportation. ASU 2015-11 will be effective for our interim and annual financial statements issued beginning January 1, 2017; however, early adoption is permitted. The standard is to be adopted on a prospective basis. We are assessing the impact this standard may have on our financial position and results of operations.

Leases (ASC 842) - In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases, which provides updated guidance regarding accounting for leases. This update requires a lessee to recognize a lease liability and a lease asset for all leases, including operating leases, with a term greater than 12 months on its balance sheet. The update also expands the required quantitative and qualitative disclosures surrounding leases. ASU 2016-02 will be effective for our annual and interim financial statements beginning January 1, 2019, although early adoption is permitted. This update will be applied using a modified retrospective transition approach for leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements. We are evaluating the effect of this update on our financial position and results of operations.

Compensation (ASC 718) - In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting, which simplifies several aspects of accounting for employee share-based payment transactions, including accounting for income taxes, forfeitures, and statutory tax withholding requirements, and classification in the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-09 will be effective for our annual and interim financial statements beginning January 1, 2017, although early adoption is permitted. The amendments included in this update are to be applied prospectively except for changes impacting the presentation of the cash flow statement that can be applied prospectively or retrospectively. We are evaluating the effect of this update on our financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents

2. Calculation of Earnings Per Share

	Three Months Ended		Six Mon	ths Ended
	June 30),	June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
(in thousands, except shares and per share data)				
Calculation of Basic Earnings Per Share:				
Net Income	\$8,029	\$ 6,294	\$28,396	\$ 27,403
Weighted average shares outstanding	15,315	,01250,235,860	15,300,9	314,922,094
Basic Earnings Per Share	\$0.52	\$ 0.41	\$1.86	\$ 1.84
Calculation of Diluted Earnings Per Share:				
Reconciliation of Numerator:				
Net Income	\$8,029	\$ 6,294	28,396	27,403
Reconciliation of Denominator:				
Weighted shares outstanding—Basic	15,315	,01250,235,860	15,300,9	314,922,094
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Share-based compensation	37,682	44,797	41,356	48,096
Adjusted denominator—Diluted	15,352	,71052,280,657	15,342,2	8174,970,190
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$0.52	\$ 0.41	\$1.85	\$ 1.83

3. Acquisitions

Gatherco Merger

On April 1, 2015, we completed the merger in which Gatherco merged with and into Aspire Energy, our then newly formed, wholly-owned subsidiary. Aspire Energy is an unregulated natural gas infrastructure company with approximately 2,500 miles of pipeline systems in 40 counties throughout Ohio. The majority of Aspire Energy's margin is derived from long-term supply agreements with Columbia Gas of Ohio and Consumers Gas Cooperative, which together serve more than 20,000 end-use customers. Aspire Energy sources gas primarily from 300 conventional producers. Aspire Energy also provides gathering and processing services necessary to maintain quality and reliability to its wholesale markets.

At closing, we issued 592,970 shares of our common stock, valued at \$30.2 million, based on the closing price of our common stock as reported on the NYSE on April 1, 2015. In addition, we paid \$27.5 million in cash and assumed \$1.7 million of existing outstanding debt, which we paid off on the same date. We also acquired \$6.8 million of cash on hand at closing.

	Net
(in thousands)	Purchase
	Price
Chesapeake Utilities common stock	\$30,164
Cash	27,494
Acquired debt	1,696
Aggregate amount paid in the acquisition	59,354
Less: cash acquired	(6,806)
Net amount paid in the acquisition	\$52,548

The merger agreement provided for additional contingent cash consideration to Gatherco's shareholders of up to \$15.0 million based on a percentage of revenue generated from potential new gathering opportunities during the five-year period following the closing. As of June 30, 2016, there have been no related gathering opportunities developed; therefore, no contingent consideration liability has been recorded. Based on the absence of related gathering

opportunities being developed as of June 30, 2016, we are unable to estimate the range of undiscounted contingent liability outcomes at this time.

Table of Contents

We incurred \$1.3 million in transaction costs associated with this merger, \$786,000 of which we incurred in 2014, and the remaining \$514,000 we incurred during 2015. Transaction costs were included in operations expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income. The revenue and net income from this merger for the three months ended June 30, 2016, included in our condensed consolidated statements of income, were \$4.8 million and \$28,000, respectively. The revenue and net income from this merger for the six months ended June 30, 2016, included in our condensed consolidated statements of income, were \$12.8 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. This merger was accretive to earnings per share in the first full year of operations, generating \$0.03 in additional earnings per share.

The purchase price allocation of the Gatherco merger was as follows:

(in thousands) Purchase price	Purchase price Allocation \$ 57,658
Property plant and equipment	53,203
Cash	6,806
Accounts receivable	3,629
Income taxes receivable	3,163
Other assets	425
Total assets acquired	67,226
Long-term debt	1,696
Deferred income taxes	13,409
Accounts payable	3,837
Other current liabilities	745
Total liabilities assumed	19,687
Net identifiable assets acquired	47,539
Goodwill	\$ 10,119

The excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed was recognized as goodwill at the merger date. The goodwill reflects the value paid primarily for opportunities for growth in a new, strategic geographic area. All of the goodwill from this merger was recorded in the Unregulated Energy segment and is not expected to be deductible for income tax purposes.

In December 2015 and during the first quarter of 2016, we adjusted the allocation of the purchase price based on additional information available. The adjustments resulted in a change in the fair value of property, plant and equipment, deferred income tax liabilities, inventory, income taxes receivable and other current liabilities. Goodwill from the merger decreased from \$11.1 million to \$10.1 million after incorporating these adjustments. The allocation of the purchase price and valuation of assets are final. The valuation of additional contingent cash consideration may be adjusted as additional information becomes available.

4. Rates and Other Regulatory Activities

Our natural gas and electric distribution operations in Delaware, Maryland and Florida are subject to regulation by their respective PSC; Eastern Shore, our natural gas transmission subsidiary, is subject to regulation by the FERC; and Peninsula Pipeline, our intrastate pipeline subsidiary, is subject to regulation by the Florida PSC. Chesapeake Utilities' Florida natural gas distribution division and FPU's natural gas and electric distribution operations continue to be subject to regulation by the Florida PSC as separate entities.

Delaware

Rate Case Filing: On December 21, 2015, our Delaware division filed an application with the Delaware PSC for a base rate increase and certain other changes to its tariff. We proposed an increase of approximately \$4.7 million, or nearly ten percent, in our revenue requirement based on the test period ending March 31, 2016. We also proposed new

service offerings to promote growth and a revenue normalization mechanism for residential and small commercial customers. We expect a decision on the application during the first quarter of 2017. Pending the decision, our Delaware division increased rates on an interim basis based on the \$2.5 million annualized interim rates approved by the Delaware PSC,

Table of Contents

effective February 19, 2016. We recognized incremental revenue of approximately \$555,000 (\$332,000 net of tax) and \$878,000 (\$526,000 net of tax) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively. In addition, our Delaware division requested and received approval on July 26, 2016 from the Delaware PSC to implement revised interim rates of \$4.7 million annualized for usage on and after August 1, 2016. Revenue collected prior to a final Delaware PSC decision is subject to refund. Although the final decision is expected during the first quarter of 2017, we cannot predict the revenue requirement the Delaware PSC will ultimately authorize or forecast the timing of a final decision. These rates, which are subject to refund, represent a five percent increase over current rates.

Maryland

Sandpiper Rate Case Filing: On December 1, 2015, Sandpiper filed an application with the Maryland PSC for a base rate increase and certain other changes to its tariff. We proposed an increase of \$950,000, or approximately five percent, in our revenue requirement, based on the test period ended December 31, 2015. We also proposed a stratification of rate classes, based on cost of service, and a revenue normalization mechanism for residential and small commercial customers. The procedural schedule was suspended in early May 2016 to allow for the continuation of settlement discussions between Sandpiper, Maryland PSC Staff and Maryland Office of People's Counsel. We expect a decision on the application during the third quarter of 2016.

On September 1, 2015, FPU's electric division filed to recover the cost of the proposed Florida Power & Light Company interconnect project through FPU's annual Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause filing. The interconnect project will enable FPU's electric division to negotiate a new power purchase agreement that will mitigate fuel costs for its Northeast division. This action was approved by the Florida PSC at its Agenda Conference held on December 3, 2015. On January 22, 2016, the Office of Public Counsel filed an appeal of the Florida PSC's decision with the Florida Supreme Court. Legal briefs have been filed, but no decision has been reached at this time.

On February 2, 2016, FPU's natural gas division filed a petition with the Florida PSC for approval of an amendment to its existing transportation agreement with the City of Lake Worth, located in Palm Beach County, Florida. The amendment allows the city to resell natural gas distributed by FPU to the city's compressed natural gas station. The city will then resell the natural gas, after compression, to its customers. The amendment to the transportation agreement was approved by the Florida PSC at its Agenda Conference held April 5, 2016.

On April 11, 2016, FPU's natural gas divisions and Chesapeake Utilities' Florida division filed a joint petition for approval to allow FPU and Chesapeake Utilities to expand the cost allocation of the intrastate and unreleased capacity-related components currently embedded in the purchased gas adjustment and operational balancing account, which is currently allocated to a limited number of customers. The proposed new allocation of these costs would include additional customers, primarily transportation customers, benefiting from these costs but not currently paying for them. We expect the petition to be approved by the Florida PSC in late 2016.

Eastern Shore

White Oak Mainline Expansion Project: On November 21, 2014, Eastern Shore submitted an application to the FERC seeking authorization to construct, own and operate certain expansion facilities designed to provide 45,000 Dts/d of firm transportation service to an electric power generator in Kent County, Delaware. Eastern Shore proposes to construct approximately 7.2 miles of 16-inch diameter pipeline looping in Chester County, Pennsylvania and 3,550 horsepower of additional compression at Eastern Shore's existing Delaware City compressor station in New Castle County, Delaware.

On January 22, 2015, the FERC issued a notice of intent to prepare an environmental assessment for this project. In February, April and May 2015, Eastern Shore filed environmental data in response to comments regarding the evaluation of alternate routes for a segment of the pipeline route in the vicinity of the Historic District of Kemblesville, Pennsylvania. On June 2, 2015, a field meeting was conducted to review the proposed route and alternate routes. In response to comments received from the National Park Service and other stakeholders, the FERC

requested that Eastern Shore conduct an additional investigation in relation to Eastern Shore's existing right-of-way. On July 9, 2015, the FERC issued a 30-day public scoping notice, in advance of issuing an environmental assessment, in order to solicit comments from the public regarding construction of the Kemblesville loop. On August 18, 2015, Eastern Shore submitted supplemental information to the FERC regarding the results of its investigation of the Kemblesville loop.

On November 18, 2015, Eastern Shore filed an amendment to this application, which indicated the preferred pipeline route and shortened the total miles of the proposed pipeline to 5.4 miles. On February 10, 2016, the FERC issued a notice combining the White Oak Mainline Expansion Project and the System Reliability Project into a single environmental

Table of Contents

assessment. On March 2, 2016, the FERC issued a revised notice, rescheduling the issuance of the combined environmental assessment to April 25, 2016, with a 90-day authorization decision to be issued no later than July 24, 2016.

On March 28, 2016, subsequent to the issuance of the schedule, the FERC issued another environmental data request concerning the United States Department of Agriculture and an agricultural conservation easement on a tract of land where the White Oak Mainline Project would install a portion of the pipeline in its existing right-of way. On April 4, 2016, Eastern Shore responded to the data request. Subsequently, Eastern Shore revised the construction workspace configuration to mutual agreement of both parties.

On July 21, 2016, the FERC issued a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Eastern Shore to construct and operate the proposed White Oak Mainline Project. The FERC denied Eastern Shore's request for a pre-determination of rolled-in rate treatment and requires Eastern Shore to comply with 19 environmental conditions.

System Reliability Project: On May 22, 2015, Eastern Shore submitted an application to the FERC seeking authorization to construct, own and operate approximately 10.1 miles of 16-inch pipeline looping and auxiliary facilities in New Castle and Kent Counties, Delaware and a new compressor at its existing Bridgeville compressor station in Sussex County, Delaware. Eastern Shore further proposes to reinforce critical points on its pipeline system. The total project will benefit all of Eastern Shore's customers by modifying the pipeline system to respond to severe operational conditions experienced during actual winter peak days in 2014 and 2015. Since the project is intended to improve system reliability, Eastern Shore requested a predetermination of rolled-in rate treatment for the costs of the project.

On June 8, 2015, the FERC filed a notice of the application, and the comment period ended on June 29, 2015. Two interested parties filed comments and protests with the FERC. Eastern Shore has filed answers to the comments and protests from the two parties.

On September 4, 2015, the FERC issued a notice of intent to prepare an environmental assessment, and Eastern Shore responded to the FERC Staff's environmental data requests. On February 10, 2016, the FERC issued a notice combining the System Reliability Project and White Oak Mainline Expansion project into a single environmental assessment. On March 2, 2016, the FERC issued a revised notice rescheduling the issuance of the combined environmental assessment to April 25, 2016, with the 90-day authorization decision to be issued no later than July 24, 2016. On July 21, 2016, the FERC issued a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Eastern Shore to construct and operate the proposed System Reliability Project. The FERC granted Eastern Shore's request for a pre-determination of rolled-in rate treatment in its next rate base proceeding and requires Eastern Shore to comply with 19 environmental conditions.

TETLP Capacity Expansion Project: On October 13, 2015, Eastern Shore submitted an application to the FERC to make certain measurement and related improvements at its TETLP interconnect facilities, which would enable Eastern Shore to increase natural gas receipts from TETLP by 53,000 Dts/d, for a total capacity of 160,000 Dts/d. On December 22, 2015, the FERC authorized Eastern Shore to proceed with the project. On March 11, 2016, the capacity expansion project was placed into service.

2017 Expansion Project: On May 12, 2016, Eastern Shore submitted a request to the FERC to initiate the FERC's pre-filing review procedures for Eastern Shore's 2017 expansion project. The expansion project consists of approximately 33 miles of pipeline looping in Pennsylvania, Maryland and Delaware; upgrades to existing metering facilities in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania; installation of an additional 3,550 horsepower compressor unit at Eastern Shore's existing Daleville compressor station in Chester County, Pennsylvania; and approximately 17 miles of new mainline extension and two pressure control stations in Sussex County, Delaware. The expansion project is necessary to provide up to 86,437 Dts/d of additional firm natural gas transportation capacity to meet anticipated market

demand. On May 17, 2016, the FERC approved Eastern Shore's request to commence the pre-filing review process. Eastern Shore is currently working through the pre-filing process and anticipates filing a certificate of public convenience and necessity seeking authorization to construct the project in November 2016.

2017 Rate Case Filing

In January 2017, Eastern Shore intends to file a base rate proceeding with the FERC as required by the terms of its 2012 settlement agreement.

5. Environmental Commitments and Contingencies

Table of Contents

We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations governing environmental quality and pollution control. These laws and regulations require us to remove or remediate, at current and former operating sites, the effect on the environment of the disposal or release of specified substances.

MGP Sites

We have participated in the investigation, assessment or remediation of, and have exposures at, seven former MGP sites. Those sites are located in Salisbury, Maryland, Seaford, Delaware and Winter Haven, Key West, Pensacola, Sanford and West Palm Beach, Florida. We have also been in discussions with the MDE regarding another former MGP site located in Cambridge, Maryland.

As of June 30, 2016, we had approximately \$9.9 million in environmental liabilities, representing our estimate of the future costs associated with all of FPU's MGP sites in Florida, which include the Key West, Pensacola, Sanford and West Palm Beach sites. FPU has approval to recover, from insurance and from customers through rates, up to \$14.0 million of its environmental costs related to all of its MGP sites, approximately \$10.3 million of which has been recovered as of June 30, 2016, leaving approximately \$3.7 million in regulatory assets for future recovery of environmental costs from FPU's customers.

In addition to the FPU MGP sites, we had \$314,000 in environmental liabilities at June 30, 2016 related to Chesapeake Utilities' MGP sites in Salisbury, Maryland and Winter Haven, Florida, representing our estimate of future costs associated with these sites. As of June 30, 2016, we had approximately \$29,000 in regulatory and other assets for future recovery through Chesapeake Utilities' rates.

During the first quarter of 2015, we established \$273,000 in environmental liabilities related to Chesapeake Utilities' MGP site in Seaford, Delaware, representing our estimate of future costs associated with this site, and recorded a regulatory asset for the same amount for probable future recovery through Chesapeake Utilities' rates via our environmental rider. On February 23, 2016, the Delaware PSC approved an environmental surcharge for the recovery of Chesapeake Utilities' environmental expenses associated with the Seaford site for the period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015. Chesapeake Utilities will file for recovery of its expenses incurred between October 1, 2015 and September 30, 2016 by October 31, 2016. As of June 30, 2016, we had approximately \$177,000 in environmental liabilities and \$268,000 in regulatory and other assets related to this site.

Environmental liabilities for all of our MGP sites are recorded on an undiscounted basis based on the estimate of future costs provided by independent consultants. We continue to expect that all costs related to environmental remediation and related activities, including any potential future remediation costs for which we do not currently have approval for regulatory recovery, will be recoverable from customers through rates.

West Palm Beach, Florida

Remedial options are being evaluated to respond to environmental impacts to soil and groundwater at and in the immediate vicinity of a parcel of property owned by FPU in West Palm Beach, Florida, where FPU previously operated a MGP. FPU is implementing a remedial plan approved by the FDEP for the east parcel of the West Palm Beach site, which includes installation of monitoring test wells, sparging of air into the groundwater system and extraction of vapors from the subsurface. The Start-Up and Monitoring Report, dated November 30, 2015, was submitted for review and comment. We received a letter dated January 6, 2016 from FDEP, which provided minor comments. On January 12, 2016, FDEP conducted a facility inspection and found no problems or deficiencies. We expect that similar remedial actions will ultimately be implemented for other portions of the site. Estimated costs of remediation for the West Palm Beach site range from approximately \$4.5 million to \$15.4 million, including costs associated with the relocation of FPU's operations at this site, which is necessary to implement the remedial plan, and any potential costs associated with future redevelopment of the properties. We continue to expect that all costs related to these activities will be recoverable from customers through rates.

Table of Contents

Sanford, Florida

FPU is the current owner of property in Sanford, Florida, which was a former MGP site that was operated by several other entities before FPU acquired the property. FPU was never an owner or an operator of this former MGP site. In January 2007, FPU and the Sanford Group signed a Third Participation Agreement, which provides for the funding of the final remedy approved by the EPA for the site. FPU's share of remediation costs under the Third Participation Agreement is set at five percent of a maximum of \$13.0 million, or \$650,000. As of June 30, 2016, FPU has paid \$650,000 to the Sanford Group escrow account for its entire share of the funding requirements.

In December 2014, the EPA issued a preliminary close-out report, documenting the completion of all physical remedial construction activities at the Sanford site. Groundwater monitoring and statutory five-year reviews to ensure performance of the approved remedy will continue on this site. The total cost of the final remedy is estimated to be over \$20.0 million, which includes long-term monitoring and the settlement of claims asserted by two adjacent property owners to resolve damages that the property owners allege they have incurred and will incur as a result of the implementation of the EPA-approved remediation.

In settlement of these claims, members of the Sanford Group, which in this instance does not include FPU, have agreed to pay specified sums of money to the parties. FPU has refused to participate in the funding of the third-party settlement agreements based on its contention that it did not contribute to the release of hazardous substances at the site giving rise to the third-party claims. FPU advised the other members of the Sanford Group that it is unwilling to pay any sum in excess of the \$650,000 committed by FPU in the Third Participation Agreement. The Sanford Group has not requested that FPU contribute to costs beyond the originally agreed upon \$650,000 contribution.

As of June 30, 2016, FPU's remaining remediation expenses, including attorneys' fees and costs, are estimated to be \$24,000. We are unable to determine, to a reasonable degree of certainty, whether the other members of the Sanford Group will accept FPU's asserted defense as to its limited liability for future costs exceeding \$13.0 million to implement the final remedy for this site, as provided for in the Third Participation Agreement, or whether the other members of the Sanford Group will pursue a claim against FPU for a sum in excess of the \$650,000 that FPU has paid pursuant to the Third Participation Agreement. No such claims have been made as of June 30, 2016.

Key West, Florida

FPU formerly owned and operated a MGP in Key West, Florida. Field investigations performed in the 1990s identified limited environmental impacts at the site, which is currently owned by an unrelated third party. In 2010, after 17 years of regulatory inactivity, FDEP observed that some soil and groundwater standards were exceeded and requested implementation of additional soil and groundwater fieldwork. The scope of work is limited to the installation of two additional monitoring wells and periodic monitoring of the new and existing wells. The two additional monitoring wells were installed in November 2011, and groundwater monitoring began in December 2011. The first semi-annual report from the monitoring program was issued in May 2012. The data from the June 2012 and September 2012 monitoring events were submitted to the FDEP on October, 2012. FDEP responded on October 9, 2012 that, based on the data, NAM appears to be an appropriate remedy for the site.

In October 2012, FDEP issued a RAP approval order, which requires a limited semi-annual NAM. The most recent groundwater-monitoring event was conducted in March 2016. Natural attenuation default criteria were met at all locations sampled and the semi-annual report was submitted on April 18, 2016. FDEP responded with an acceptance letter on April 22, 2016, concurring with FPU's consultant's recommendation that semi-annual monitoring should continue at this facility, with the next semi-annual NAM scheduled for the third quarter of 2016.

Although the duration of the FDEP-required limited NAM cannot be determined with certainty, we anticipate that total costs to complete the remedial action will not exceed \$50,000. The annual cost to conduct the limited NAM program is not expected to exceed \$8,000.

Pensacola, Florida

FPU formerly owned and operated a MGP in Pensacola, Florida, which was subsequently owned by Gulf Power. Portions of the site are now owned by the City of Pensacola and the FDOT. In October 2009, FDEP informed Gulf Power that it would approve a conditional No Further Action determination for the site with the requirement for institutional and engineering controls. On June 16, 2014, FDEP issued a draft memorandum of understanding between FDOT and FDEP to implement site closure with approved institutional and engineering controls for the site. We

anticipate that FPU's share of remaining legal and cleanup costs will not exceed \$5,000.

Table of Contents

Winter Haven, Florida

The Winter Haven site is located on the eastern shoreline of Lake Shipp, in Winter Haven, Florida. Pursuant to a consent order entered into with FDEP, we are obligated to assess and remediate environmental impacts at this former MGP site. Groundwater monitoring results have shown a continuing reduction in contaminant concentrations from the sparging system, which has been in operation since 2002. On September 12, 2014, FDEP issued a letter approving shutdown of the sparging operations on the northern portion of the site, contingent upon continued semi-annual monitoring.

Groundwater monitoring results on the southern portion of this site indicate that natural attenuation default criteria continue to be exceeded. Plans to modify the monitoring network on the southern portion of the site in order to collect additional data to support the development of a remedial plan were specified in a letter to FDEP, dated October 17, 2014. The well installation and abandonment program was implemented in October 2014, and documentation was reported in the next semi-annual RAP implementation status report, submitted on January 8, 2015. FDEP approved the plan to expand the bio-sparging operations in the southern portion of the site, and additional sparge points were installed and connected to the operating system in the first quarter of 2016.

Although specific remedial actions for the site have not yet been identified, we estimate that future remediation costs for the subsurface soils and groundwater at the site should not exceed \$425,000, which includes an estimate of \$100,000 to implement additional actions, such as institutional controls, at the site. We continue to believe that the entire amount will be recoverable from customers through rates.

FDEP previously indicated that we could also be required to remediate sediments along the shoreline of Lake Shipp, immediately west of the site. Based on studies performed to date, and our recent meeting with FDEP, we believe that corrective measures for lake sediments are not warranted and will not be required by FDEP. Therefore, we have not recorded a liability for sediment remediation.

Salisbury, Maryland

We have substantially completed remediation of a site in Salisbury, Maryland, where it was determined that a former MGP caused localized groundwater contamination. In February 2002, the MDE granted permission to permanently decommission the systems used for remediation and to discontinue all on-site and off-site well monitoring, except for one well, which is being maintained for periodic product monitoring and recovery. We anticipate that the remaining costs of the one remaining monitoring well will not exceed \$5,000 annually. We cannot predict at this time when the MDE will grant permission to permanently decommission the one remaining monitoring well.

Seaford, Delaware

In a letter dated December 5, 2013, DNREC notified us that it would be conducting a facility evaluation of a former MGP site in Seaford, Delaware. In a report issued in January 2015, DNREC provided the evaluation, which found several compounds within the groundwater and soil that require further investigation. On September 17, 2015, DNREC approved our application to enter this site into the voluntary cleanup program. A remedial investigation was conducted in December 2015, and the resulting remedial investigation report was submitted to DNREC in May 2016. Based on findings from the remedial investigation, DNREC requested additional investigative work be performed prior to approval of potential remedial actions. We anticipate completing this additional investigative work by the end of 2016. We estimate the cost of potential remedial actions, based on the findings of the DNREC report, to be between \$273,000 and \$465,000. We also believe these costs will be recoverable from customers through rates.

Cambridge, Maryland

We are discussing with the MDE a former MGP site located in Cambridge, Maryland. The outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time; therefore, we have not recorded an environmental liability for this location.

Table of Contents

Ohio

We have completed the investigation, assessment and remediation of eight natural gas pipeline facilities in Ohio that Aspire Energy acquired from Gatherco pursuant to the merger. Gatherco's indemnification obligations for environmental matters apply to remediation costs in excess of a \$431,250 deductible and are capped at \$1.7 million. Pursuant to the merger agreement, an escrow was established to fund certain claims by Chesapeake Utilities and Aspire Energy for indemnification by Gatherco, including environmental claims. The costs incurred to date associated with remediation activities for these eight facilities is approximately \$1.6 million. We have recorded a receivable for the costs incurred, net of the deductible amount, and have submitted our request for reimbursement to the escrow agent. Negotiations are currently underway.

6. Other Commitments and Contingencies

Natural Gas, Electric and Propane Supply

Our natural gas, electric and propane distribution operations have entered into contractual commitments to purchase natural gas, electricity and propane from various suppliers. The contracts have various expiration dates. For our Delaware and Maryland natural gas distribution divisions, we have a contract with an unaffiliated energy marketing and risk management company to manage a portion of their natural gas transportation and storage capacity, which expires on March 31, 2017.

In May 2013, Sandpiper entered into a capacity, supply and operating agreement with EGWIC to purchase propane over a six-year term, or until May 2019. Sandpiper's current annual commitment is estimated at approximately 6.5 million gallons. Sandpiper has the option to enter into either a fixed per-gallon price for some or all of the propane purchases or a market-based price utilizing one of two local propane pricing indices.

Also in May 2013, Sharp entered into a separate supply and operating agreement with EGWIC. Under this agreement, Sharp has a commitment to supply propane to EGWIC over a six-year term, or until May 2019. Sharp's current annual commitment is estimated at approximately 6.5 million gallons. The agreement between Sharp and EGWIC is separate from the agreement between Sandpiper and EGWIC, and neither agreement permits the parties to set off the rights and obligations specified in one agreement against those specified in the other agreement.

Chesapeake Utilities' Florida natural gas distribution division has firm transportation service contracts with FGT and Gulfstream. Pursuant to a capacity release program approved by the Florida PSC, all of the capacity under these agreements has been released to various third parties, including PESCO. Under the terms of these capacity release agreements, Chesapeake Utilities is contingently liable to FGT and Gulfstream should any party that acquired the capacity through release fail to pay the capacity charge.

In May 2015, PESCO renewed contracts to purchase natural gas from various suppliers for a one-year term, expiring May 2016, with the total monthly purchase commitment ranging from 9,982 to 13,423 Dts/d. PESCO has renewed these contracts for an additional six-month term, expiring October 2016.

FPU's electric fuel supply contracts require FPU to maintain an acceptable standard of creditworthiness based on specific financial ratios. FPU's agreement with JEA requires FPU to comply with the following ratios based on the results of the prior 12 months: (a) total liabilities to tangible net worth less than 3.75 times and (b) a fixed charge coverage ratio greater than 1.5 times. If FPU fails to comply with either of these ratios, it has 30 days to cure the default or, if the default is not cured, to provide an irrevocable letter of credit. FPU's electric fuel supply agreement with Gulf Power requires FPU to meet the following ratios based on the average of the prior six quarters: (a) funds from operations interest coverage ratio (minimum of 2 times) and (b) total debt to total capital (maximum of 65 percent). If FPU fails to meet either of these ratios, it has to provide the supplier a written explanation of actions taken, or proposed to be taken, to become compliant. Failure to comply with the ratios specified in the Gulf Power agreement could also result in FPU having to provide an irrevocable letter of credit. As of June 30, 2016, FPU was in compliance with all of the requirements of its fuel supply contracts.

Corporate Guarantees

The Board of Directors has authorized the Company to issue corporate guarantees and to obtain letters of credit securing our subsidiaries' obligations. The maximum authorized liability under such guarantees and letters of credit is

\$65.0 million.

Table of Contents

We have issued corporate guarantees to certain of our subsidiaries' vendors, the largest of which are for Xeron and PESCO. These corporate guarantees provide for the payment of propane and natural gas purchases in the event that Xeron or PESCO defaults. Neither subsidiary has ever defaulted on its obligations to pay its suppliers. The liabilities for these purchases are recorded when incurred. The aggregate amount guaranteed at June 30, 2016 was approximately \$53.6 million, with the guarantees expiring on various dates through June 2017.

Chesapeake Utilities also guarantees the payment of FPU's first mortgage bonds. The maximum exposure under this guarantee is the outstanding principal plus accrued interest balances. The outstanding principal balances of FPU's first mortgage bonds approximate their carrying values (see Note 14, Long-Term Debt, for further details).

We issued letters of credit totaling approximately \$8.1 million related to the electric transmission services for FPU's northwest electric division, the firm transportation service agreement between TETLP and our Delaware and Maryland divisions, and to our current and previous primary insurance carriers. These letters of credit have various expiration dates through March 2017. There have been no draws on these letters of credit as of June 30, 2016. We do not anticipate that the letters of credit will be drawn upon by the counterparties, and we expect that the letters of credit will be renewed to the extent necessary in the future.

Tax-related Contingencies

We are subject to various audits and reviews by the federal, state, local and other governmental authorities regarding income taxes and taxes other than income. As of June 30, 2016, we maintained a liability of approximately \$50,000 related to unrecognized income tax benefits and approximately \$72,000 related to contingencies for taxes other than income. As of December 31, 2015, we maintained a liability of approximately \$50,000 related to unrecognized income tax benefits and approximately \$310,000 related to contingencies for taxes other than income. Other

We are involved in certain other legal actions and claims arising in the normal course of business. We are also involved in certain legal and administrative proceedings before various governmental agencies concerning rates. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these proceedings will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

7. Segment Information

We use the management approach to identify operating segments. We organize our business around differences in regulatory environment and/or products or services, and the operating results of each segment are regularly reviewed by the chief operating decision maker (our Chief Executive Officer) in order to make decisions about resources and to assess performance. The segments are evaluated based on their pre-tax operating income. Our operations comprise two reportable segments:

Regulated Energy. The Regulated Energy segment includes natural gas distribution, natural gas transmission and electric distribution operations. All operations in this segment are regulated, as to their rates and services, by the PSC having jurisdiction in each operating territory or by the FERC in the case of Eastern Shore.

Unregulated Energy. The Unregulated Energy segment includes propane distribution and wholesale marketing operations, and natural gas marketing operations, which are unregulated as to their rates and services. Effective April 1, 2015, this segment includes Aspire Energy, whose services include natural gas gathering, processing, transportation and supply (See Note 3, Acquisitions, regarding the merger with Gatherco). Also included in this segment are other unregulated energy services, such as energy-related merchandise sales and heating, ventilation and air conditioning, plumbing and electrical services.

The remainder of our operations is presented as "Other businesses and eliminations", which consists of unregulated subsidiaries that own real estate leased to Chesapeake Utilities, as well as certain corporate costs not allocated to other operations.

Table of Contents

The following table presents financial information about our reportable segments:

•	Three Months Ended		Six Month	s Ended
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
(in thousands)				
Operating Revenues, Unaffiliated Customers				
Regulated Energy segment	\$66,590	\$61,790	\$155,483	\$171,082
Unregulated Energy segment	35,752	30,892	93,155	91,681
Total operating revenues, unaffiliated customers	\$102,342	\$92,682	\$248,638	\$262,763
Intersegment Revenues (1)				
Regulated Energy segment	\$805	\$270	\$1,128	\$560
Unregulated Energy segment	1,052	1,666	1,165	1,873
Other businesses	240	220	466	440
Total intersegment revenues	\$2,097	\$2,156	\$2,759	\$2,873
Operating Income				
Regulated Energy segment	\$15,226	\$13,605	\$39,545	\$35,788
Unregulated Energy segment	412	(540)	12,347	14,689
Other businesses and eliminations	104	105	230	201
Total operating income	15,742	13,170	52,122	50,678
Other Expense, net	(8)	(171)	(42)	(38)
Interest	2,624	2,485	5,274	4,933
Income before Income Taxes	13,110	10,514	46,806	45,707
Income taxes	5,081	4,220	18,410	18,304
Net Income	\$8,029	\$6,294	\$28,396	\$27,403

(1) All significant intersegment revenues are billed at market rates and have been eliminated from consolidated operating revenues.

(in thousands)	June 30,	December	
(III tilousalius)	2016	31, 2015	
Identifiable Assets			
Regulated Energy segment	\$892,513	\$872,065	
Unregulated Energy segment	192,654	171,840	
Other businesses and eliminations	11,880	23,516	
Total identifiable assets	\$1,097,047	\$1,067,421	

Our operations are entirely domestic.

Table of Contents

8. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Defined benefit pension and postretirement plan items, unrealized gains (losses) of our propane swap agreements, call options and natural gas futures contracts, designated as commodity contracts cash flow hedges, are the components of our accumulated comprehensive income (loss). The following tables present the changes in the balance of accumulated other comprehensive loss for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015. All amounts are presented net of tax.

	Defined Benefit	Commodity	
	Pension and	Contracts	
	Postretiremen	Cash Flow	
	Plan Items	Hedges	Total
(in thousands)			
As of December 31, 2015	\$ (5,580)	\$ (260)	\$(5,840)
Other comprehensive gain before reclassifications		525	525
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	176	(29)	147
Net current-period other comprehensive income	176	496	672
As of June 30, 2016	\$ (5,404)	\$ 236	\$(5,168)
	Defined Benefit	Commodity	
	Pension and	Contracts	
	Postretiremen	Cash Flow	
	Plan Items	Hedges	Total
(in thousands)			
As of December 31, 2014	\$ (5,643)	\$ (33)	\$(5,676)
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications	_	(1)	(1)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	165	33	198
Net prior-period other comprehensive income	165	32	197

The following table presents amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015. Deferred gains or losses for our commodity contracts cash flow hedges are recognized in earnings upon settlement.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended		Six Month Ended		
	June 3	50,	June 3	Э,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	
(in thousands)					
Amortization of defined benefit pension and postretirement plan items:					
Prior service cost (1)	\$20	\$17	\$40	\$34	
Net loss (1)	(166)	(155)	(333)	(310)
Total before income taxes	(146)	(138)	(293)	(276)
Income tax benefit	58	55	117	111	
Net of tax	\$(88)	\$(83)	\$(176)	\$(16.	5)
Gains and losses on commodity contracts cash flow hedges					
Propane swap agreements (2)	\$—	\$(10)	\$(322)		
Call options ⁽²⁾	_		_	(55)
Natural gas futures (2)	211	—	359		
Total before income taxes	211	(10)	37	(53)
Income tax benefit (expense)	(81)	4	(8	21	
Net of tax	130	(6)	29	(32)
Total reclassifications for the period	\$42	\$(89)	\$(147)	\$(19	7)

⁽¹⁾ These amounts are included in the computation of net periodic costs (benefits). See Note 9, Employee Benefit Plans, for additional details.

Amortization of defined benefit pension and postretirement plan items is included in operations expense, and gains and losses on propane swap agreements and call options are included in cost of sales in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income. The income tax benefit is included in income tax expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income.

9. Employee Benefit Plans

Net periodic benefit costs for our pension and post-retirement benefits plans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 are set forth in the following table:

	Chesa _j Pensio		FPU Pensio	n Plan		apeake	Chesap Postret Plan	eake irement	FPU Med Plan	
For the Three Months Ended June 30,	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
(in thousands)										
Interest cost	\$105	\$102	\$630	\$626	\$ 23	\$ 23	\$ 11	\$ 11	\$14	\$ 15
Expected return on plan assets	(131)	(135)	(701)	(777)	_	_			_	_
Amortization of prior service cost	_				_	2	(20)	(19)	_	_
Amortization of net loss	103	91	128	114	22	25	17	17	_	2
Net periodic cost (benefit)	77	58	57	(37)	45	50	8	9	14	17
Amortization of pre-merger regulatory asset	_		191	191	_	_		_	2	2
Total periodic cost	\$77	\$58	\$248	\$154	\$ 45	\$ 50	\$8	\$ 9	\$16	\$ 19

⁽²⁾ These amounts are included in the effects of gains and losses from derivative instruments. See Note 12, Derivative Instruments, for additional details.

Table of Contents

	Chesapeake		FPU		Chesapeake		Chesap	oeake	FPU	
			Pension	Dlan			Postret	irement	Med	lical
	rensio	ni Fian	rension	riali	SERP		Plan		Plan	
For the Six Months Ended June 30,	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	52015
(in thousands)										
Interest cost	\$210	\$204	\$1,259	\$1,251	\$46	\$46	\$ 21	\$ 22	\$28	\$ 30
Expected return on plan assets	(261)	(270)	(1,402)	(1,554)			_			
Amortization of prior service cost			_	_	—	5	(40)	(39)	—	_
Amortization of net loss	206	181	257	227	44	50	34	35	—	3
Net periodic cost (benefit)	155	115	114	(76)	90	101	15	18	28	33
Amortization of pre-merger regulatory asset			381	381	—			_	4	4
Total periodic cost	\$155	\$115	\$495	\$305	\$90	\$ 101	\$ 15	\$ 18	\$32	\$ 37

We expect to record pension and postretirement benefit costs of approximately \$1.6 million for 2016. Included in these costs is approximately \$769,000 related to continued amortization of the FPU pension regulatory asset, which represents the portion attributable to FPU's regulated energy operations for the changes in funded status that occurred, but were not recognized, as part of net periodic benefit costs prior to the FPU merger in 2009. This was deferred as a regulatory asset by FPU prior to the merger, to be recovered through rates pursuant to a previous order by the Florida PSC. The unamortized balance of this regulatory asset was approximately \$2.5 million and approximately \$2.9 million at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The amortization included in pension expense is also being added to a net periodic loss of approximately \$802,000, which will increase our total expected benefit costs to approximately \$1.6 million.

Pursuant to a Florida PSC order, FPU continues to record as a regulatory asset a portion of the unrecognized pension and postretirement benefit costs related to its regulated operations after the FPU merger. The portion of the unrecognized pension and postretirement benefit costs related to FPU's unregulated operations and Chesapeake Utilities' operations is recorded to accumulated other comprehensive loss. The following table presents the amounts included in the regulatory asset and accumulated other comprehensive loss that were recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Chesapeak	eFPU	Chacanaal	Chesapea	ke	FPU	
For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2016	Pension	Pension	Chesapeak SERP	Postretire	men	tMedi	cal Total
	Plan	Plan	SERI	Plan		Plan	
(in thousands)							
Prior service credit	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (20)	\$	- \$(20)
Net loss	103	128	22	17			270
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost	\$ 103	\$ 128	\$ 22	\$ (3)	\$	-\$250
Recognized from accumulated other comprehensive loss (1)	\$ 103	\$ 24	\$ 22	\$ (3)	\$	-\$146
Recognized from regulatory asset		104	_	_			104
Total	\$ 103	\$ 128	\$ 22	\$ (3)	\$	-\$250

Table of Contents

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2015	Chesapeak Pension Plan	xeFPU Pensior Plan		esapeak RP	e _{Po}	nesapeal ostretire an		FF ntM Pla	edica	al Total
(in thousands)	¢	¢	d.	2	Φ	(10	\	Φ		¢ (17)
Prior service cost (credit)	\$ —	\$ —	\$	2	\$	(19)	\$		\$(17)
Net loss	91	114	25	27	17		,	2	2	249
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost	\$ 91	\$ 114	\$	27	\$	(2)	\$	2	\$232
Recognized from accumulated other comprehensive loss (1)	\$ 91	\$ 22	\$	27	\$	(2)	\$	_	\$138
Recognized from regulatory asset		92	_		_	-		2		94
Total	\$ 91	\$ 114	\$	27	\$	(2)	\$	2	\$232
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 (in thousands)	Chesapeak Pension Plan	ce FPU Pension Plan		esapeak ERP	e _{Po}	hesapea ostretire an		FI ntM Pl	edica	al Total
Prior service credit	\$ —	\$ —	\$		\$	(40)	\$		- \$(40)
Net loss	206	257	44		34	1		_	-	\$541
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost	\$ 206	\$ 257	\$	44	\$	(6)	\$		- \$501
Recognized from accumulated other comprehensive loss (1)	\$ 206	\$ 49	\$	44	\$	(6)	\$		-\$293
Recognized from regulatory asset		208				_			-	208
Total	\$ 206	\$ 257	\$	44	\$	(6)	\$		-\$ 501
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2015	Chesapeak Pension Plan	te FPU Pension Plan		esapeak RP	Po	hesapeal ostretire		FF ntM Pl	edica	al Total
(in thousands)	1 Iuii	1 Iuni			• •	un		11		
Prior service cost (credit)	\$ —	\$ —	\$	5	\$	(39)	\$		\$(34)
Net loss	181	ф 227	50		φ 35	`	,	3		496
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost	\$ 181	\$ 227	\$	55	\$	(4)	\$	3	\$462
Recognized from accumulated other comprehensive			·		·		,	·		·
loss (1)	\$ 181	\$ 43	\$	55	\$	(4)	\$	1	\$276
Recognized from regulatory asset		184				_		2		186
Total	\$ 181	\$ 227	\$	55	\$	(4)	\$	3	\$462

⁽¹⁾ See Note 8, Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, we contributed approximately \$170,000 and \$274,000, respectively, to the Chesapeake Pension Plan and approximately \$548,000 and approximately \$885,000, respectively, to the FPU Pension Plan. We expect to contribute a total of approximately \$508,000 and approximately \$1.6 million to the Chesapeake Pension Plan and FPU Pension Plan, respectively, during 2016, which represent the minimum annual contribution payments required.

The Chesapeake SERP, the Chesapeake Postretirement Plan and the FPU Medical Plan are unfunded and are expected to be paid out of our general funds. Cash benefits paid under the Chesapeake SERP for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, were approximately \$38,000 and approximately \$76,000, respectively. We expect to pay total cash benefits of approximately \$151,000 under the Chesapeake Pension SERP in 2016. Cash benefits paid under the Chesapeake Postretirement Plan, primarily for medical claims for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, were approximately \$15,000 and approximately \$36,000, respectively. We estimate that approximately \$82,000 will be paid for such benefits under the Chesapeake Postretirement Plan in 2016. Cash benefits paid under the FPU Medical

Plan, primarily for medical claims for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, were approximately \$27,000 and approximately \$67,000,

Table of Contents

respectively. We estimate that approximately \$149,000 will be paid for such benefits under the FPU Medical Plan in 2016.

10. Investments

The investment balances at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, consisted of the following:

(in thousands)	June 30	December 31,
(in thousands)		2015
Rabbi trust (associated with the Deferred Compensation Plan)	\$4,304	\$ 3,626
Investments in equity securities	21	18
Total	\$4,325	\$ 3,644

We classify these investments as trading securities and report them at their fair value. For the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we recorded a net unrealized gain of approximately \$71,000 and approximately \$4,000, respectively, in other income in the condensed consolidated statements of income related to these investments. For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, we recorded an unrealized gain of approximately \$53,000 and approximately \$107,000, respectively, in other income in the condensed consolidated statements of income related to these investments. For the investment in the Rabbi Trust, we also have recorded an associated liability, which is included in other pension and benefit costs in the condensed consolidated balance sheets and is adjusted each month for the gains and losses incurred by the investments in the Rabbi Trust.

11. Share-Based Compensation

Our non-employee directors and key employees are granted share-based awards through our SICP. We record these share-based awards as compensation costs over the respective service period for which services are received in exchange for an award of equity or equity-based compensation. The compensation cost is based primarily on the fair value of the shares awarded, using the estimated fair value of each share on the date it was granted and the number of shares to be issued at the end of the service period.

The table below presents the amounts included in net income related to share-based compensation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Three Month Ended		Six Me Ended	
	June 3	0,	June 3	0,
	2016	2015	2016	2015
(in thousands)				
Awards to non-employee directors	\$145	\$160	\$310	\$311
Awards to key employees	470	250	954	636
Total compensation expense	615	410	1,264	947
Less: tax benefit	(248)	(165)	(509)	(381)
Share-based compensation amounts included in net income	\$367	\$245	\$755	\$566
Non-employee Directors				

Shares granted to non-employee directors are issued in advance of the directors' service periods and are fully vested as of the grant date. We record a prepaid expense equal to the fair value of the shares issued and amortize the expense equally over a service period of one year. In May 2016, each of our non-employee directors received an annual retainer of 953 shares of common stock under the SICP for service as a director through the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

A summary of the stock activity for our non-employee directors during the six months ended June 30, 2016 is presented below:

Table of Contents

	Number of Shar	res	We Fai	eighted Average r Value
Outstanding—December 31, 201	5—		\$	_
Granted	8,577		\$	62.90
Vested	(8,577)	\$	62.90
Outstanding—June 30, 2016			\$	

At June 30, 2016, there was approximately \$450,000 of unrecognized compensation expense related to these awards. This expense will be recognized over the directors' remaining service period ending April 30, 2017.

Key Employees

The table below presents the summary of the stock activity for awards to key employees for the six months ended June 30, 2016:

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Number of Shares} & \text{Weighted Average} \\ \text{Fair Value} \\ \text{Outstanding} \text{--- December 31, 2015 10,398} & \$ & 38.34 \\ \text{Granted} & 46,571 & \end{array}$