

RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD
Form 20-F
March 31, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

**..REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

OR

**x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013**

OR

**..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934**

OR

**..SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Date of event requiring this shell company report

For the transition period from **to**

Commission file number: 000-49888

RANDGOLD RESOURCES LIMITED

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Not Applicable

(Translation of Registrant's name into English)

JERSEY, CHANNEL ISLANDS

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

3rd Floor Unity Chambers, 28 Halkett Street, St. Helier, Jersey JE2 4WJ, Channel Islands

(Address of principal executive offices)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act.

Title of each class

**Name of each exchange on which
registered**

Ordinary Shares, par value US \$0.05 per Share* NASDAQ Global Select Market

American Depositary Shares each represented by one Ordinary Share

* Not for trading, but only in connection with the listing of American Depositary Shares on the NASDAQ Global Select Market pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act.

None

(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act.

None

(Title of Class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the Annual Report.

As of December 31, 2013, the Registrant had outstanding 92,245,531 ordinary shares, par value \$0.05 per share.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. ☒ Yes ☐ No

If the report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. ☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). ☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☒

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP ☒ International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ☒ Other ☐

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow. ☐ Item 17 ☐ Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). ☐ Yes ☒ No

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Index | Page No. |
|---|---------------------|
| <u>Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers</u> | 7 |
| <u>Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable</u> | 7 |
| <u>Item 3. Key Information</u> | 7 |
| <u>Item 4. Information on the Company</u> | 24 |
| <u>Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 83 |
| <u>Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects</u> | 84 |
| <u>Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees</u> | 96 |
| <u>Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions</u> | 113 |
| <u>Item 8. Financial Information</u> | 114 |
| <u>Item 9. The Offer and Listing</u> | 115 |
| <u>Item 10. Additional Information</u> | 116 |
| <u>Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 134 |
| <u>Item 12. Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities</u> | 136 |
| <u>Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies</u> | 138 |
| <u>Item 14. Material Modification to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds</u> | 138 |
| <u>Item 15. Controls and Procedures</u> | 138 |
| <u>Item 16. Reserved</u> | 140 |
| <u>Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert</u> | 140 |
| <u>Item 16B. Code of Ethics</u> | 140 |
| <u>Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 140 |
| <u>Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees</u> | 141 |
| <u>Item 16E. Purchase of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers</u> | 141 |
| <u>Item 16F. Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant</u> | 142 |
| <u>Item 16G. Corporate Governance</u> | 142 |
| <u>Item 17. Financial Statements</u> | 142 |
| <u>Item 18. Financial Statements</u> | 142 |
| <u>Item 19. Exhibits</u> | 142 |

Table of Contents

GLOSSARY OF MINING TECHNICAL TERMS

The following explanations are not intended as technical definitions, but rather are intended to assist the reader in understanding some of the terms as used in this annual report (“Annual Report”).

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Albite: | A mineral within the Feldspar Group which is the sodium rich end member of the Albite-Anorthite Series. It is a common type of hydrothermal alteration. |
| Alteration: | The chemical change in a rock due to hydrothermal and other fluids. |
| Archaean: | A geological eon before 2.5 Ga. |
| Arsenopyrite: | An iron arsenic sulfide mineral. |
| Assay: | A chemical test performed on a sample of ores or minerals to determine the amount of valuable metals contained. |
| Banded iron formation: | A bedded deposit of iron minerals. |
| Basalt: | An extrusive volcanic rock composed primarily of plagioclase, pyroxene and some olivine. |
| bcm: | A measure of volume representing a cubic meter of in-situ rock. |
| Birimian: | Geological time era, about 2.1 billion years ago. |
| Breccia: | A rock in which angular fragments are surrounded by a mass of fine-grained minerals. |
| Cage: | The conveyance used to transport men and equipment between the surface and the mine levels. |
| Carbonate: | A mineral salt typically found in quartz veins and as a product of hydrothermal alteration of sedimentary rock. |
| Cemented Aggregate Fill: | A backfill method for filling open stopes that uses cement and rock aggregate. |
| Clastic: | Rocks built up of fragments of pre-existing rocks which have been produced by the processes of weathering and erosion. |
| Concentrate: | A fine, powdery product of the milling process containing a high percentage of valuable metal. |
| Cut-off grade: | The lowest grade of material that can be mined and processed considering all applicable costs, without incurring a loss or gaining a profit. |

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Cyanidation: | A method of extracting exposed gold or silver grains from crushed or ground ore by dissolving it in a weak cyanide solution. Carried out in tanks inside a mill or in heaps of ore outside. |
| Decline: | A sloping underground opening for machine access from level to level or from surface, also called a ramp. |
| Development: | Underground work carried out for the purpose of opening up a mineral deposit which includes shaft sinking, crosscutting, drifting and raising. |
| Diamond Drilling (“DDH”): | A rotary type of rock drilling that cuts a core of rock that is recovered in long cylindrical sections, two cm or more in diameter. |

Table of Contents

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Dilution (mining): | Rock that is, by necessity, removed along with the ore in the mining process, subsequently lowering the grade of the ore. |
| Dip: | The angle at which a vein, structure or rock bed is inclined from the horizontal as measured at right angles to the strike. |
| Discordant: | Structurally unconformable. |
| EEP: | Exclusive EP. |
| EP: | Exploration permit. |
| Exploration: | Prospecting, sampling, mapping, diamond drilling and other work involved in searching for ore. |
| Fault: | A break in the Earth's crust caused by tectonic forces which have moved the rock on one side with respect to the other. |
| Feasibility Study: | A comprehensive study of a mineral deposit in which all geological, engineering, legal, operating, economic, social, environmental and other relevant factors are considered in sufficient detail that it could reasonably serve as the basis for a final decision by a financial institution to finance the development of the deposit for mineral production. |
| Felsic: | Term used to describe light-coloured rocks containing feldspar, feldspathoids and silica. |
| Felsic: | A light colored igneous rock composed of quartz, feldspar and muscovite. |
| Feldspar: | An alumino-silicate mineral. |
| Footwall: | The underlying side of a fault, orebody or stope. |
| g/t: | Grams of gold per metric tonne. |
| Gabbro: | A dark, coarse-grained igneous rock. |
| Geophysical survey: | A scientific method of prospecting that measures the physical properties of rock formations. Common properties investigated include magnetism, specific gravity, electrical conductivity and radioactivity. |
| Gneiss: | A coarse-grained, foliated rock produced by metamorphism. |
| Gold reserves: | The gold contained within proven and probable reserves on the basis of recoverable material (reported as tonnes we expect to be delivered to the mill and head grade). |
| Gold sales: | Represents the sales of gold at spot and the gains/losses on hedge contracts which have been delivered into at the designated maturity date. It excludes gains/losses which have been rolled forward to match future sales. This adjustment is considered appropriate because no cash is received/paid in respect of such contracts. |
| Grade: | |

The quantity of metal per unit mass of ore expressed as a percentage or, for gold, as grams of gold per tonne of ore.

Granite: A coarse-grained intrusive igneous rock consisting of quartz, feldspar and mica.

- 2 -

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Greenstone belt: | An area underlain by metamorphosed volcanic and sedimentary rocks, usually in a continental shield. |
| Greywacke: | A dark gray, coarse grained, indurated sedimentary rock consisting essentially of quartz, feldspar, and fragments of other rock types. |
| Hangingwall: | The rock on the upper side of a vein or ore deposit. |
| Head grade: | The grade of the ore as delivered to the metallurgical plant. |
| Hematite: | An oxide of iron, and one of that metal's most common ore minerals |
| Hydrothermal: | Relating to hot fluids circulating in the earth's crust. |
| Igneous rocks: | Rocks formed by the solidification of molten material from far below the earth's surface. |
| In situ: | In place or within unbroken rock or still in the ground. |
| Kibalian: | A geological time era. |
| Lode: | A mineral deposit in solid rock. |
| Logging: | The process of recording geological observations of drill core either on paper or on computer disk. |
| Lower proterozoic: | Era of geological time between 2.5 billion and 1.8 billion years before the present. |
| Magnetite: | Black, magnetic iron ore, an iron oxide. |
| Measures: | Conversion factors from metric units to US units are provided below: |

| Metric Unit | US Equivalent |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 tonne | = 1 t 1.10231 tons |
| 1 gram | = 1 g 0.03215 ounces |
| 1 gram per ton | = 1 g/t 0.02917 ounces per ton |
| 1 kilogram per ton | = 1 kg/t 29.16642 ounces per ton |
| 1 kilometer | = 1 km 0.621371 miles |
| 1 meter | = 1 m 3.28084 feet |
| 1 centimeter | = 1 cm 0.3937 inches |
| 1 millimeter | = 1 mm 0.03937 inches |
| 1 square kilometer | = 1 sq km 0.3861 square miles |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Metamorphism: | The process by which the form or structure of rocks is changed by heat and pressure. |
| | A quantity, expressed in tonnes, of ore delivered to the metallurgical plant. |

**Mill delivered
tonnes:**

Milling/mill:

The comminution of the ore, although the term has come to cover the broad range of machinery inside the treatment plant where the gold is separated from the ore/a revolving drum used for the grinding of ores in preparation for treatment.

Mineable:

That portion of a mineralized deposit for which extraction is technically and economically feasible.

- 3 -

Table of Contents

Mineralization: The presence of a target mineral in a mass of host rock.

Mineralized material: A mineralized body which has been delineated by appropriately spaced drilling and/or underground sampling to support a sufficient tonnage and average grade of metals to warrant further exploration. A deposit of mineralized material does not qualify as a reserve until a comprehensive evaluation based upon unit cost, grade, recoveries, and other material factors conclude legal and economic feasibility.

Moz: Million troy ounces.

Mt: Million metric tonnes.

Nugget: A small mass of precious metal, found free in nature.

Open pit: A mine that is entirely on surface. Also referred to as open-cut or open-cast mine.

Ore: A mixture of ore minerals and gangue from which at least one of the metals can be extracted at a profit.

Orebody: A natural concentration of valuable material that can be extracted and sold at a profit.

Ounce: One troy ounce, which equals 31.10348 grams.

Outcrop: An exposure of rock or mineral deposit that can be seen on surface that is, not covered by soil or water.

Oxide Ore: Soft, weathered rock that is oxidized.

Paste Backfill: A backfill method for filling open stopes that uses cement and tailings material.

Plutonic: Refers to rocks of igneous origin that have come from great depth.

Porphyry: Any igneous rock in which relatively large crystals, called phenocrysts, are set in a fine-grained groundmass.

Prefeasibility Study: A comprehensive study of the viability of a mineral project that has advanced to a stage where the mining method, in the case of underground mining, or the pit configuration, in the case of an open pit, has been established, and which, if an effective method of mineral processing has been determined and includes a financial analysis based on reasonable assumptions of technical, engineering, operating, economic, social and environmental factors and the evaluation of other relevant factors which are sufficient for a qualified person, acting reasonably, to determine if all or part of the mineral resource may be classified as a mineral reserve.

Probable reserves: Reserves for which quantity is computed from dimensions revealed in outcrops, trenches, workings or drill holes; grade and/or quality are computed from the results of detailed sampling; and the sites for inspection, sampling and measurement are spaced so closely and the geologic character is so well defined that size, shape, depth and mineral content of reserves are well-established.

Prospect: An area of land with insufficient data available on the mineralization to determine if it is economically recoverable, but warranting further investigation.

Proven reserves: Reserves for which quantity is computed from dimensions revealed in outcrops, trenches, workings or drill holes; grade and/or quality are computed from the results of detailed sampling; and the sites for inspection, sampling and measurement are spaced so closely and the geologic character is so well defined that size, shape, depth and mineral content of reserves are well-established.

- 4 -

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Pyrite: | A yellow iron sulphide mineral, normally of little value. It is sometimes referred to as “fool’s gold”. |
| Pyrrhotite: | A bronze-colored, magnetic iron sulphide mineral. |
| Quartz: | A mineral compound of silicon and oxygen. |
| Quartzite: | Metamorphic rock with interlocking quartz grains displaying a mosaic texture. |
| Reconnaissance: | A preliminary survey of ground. |
| Refining: | The final stage of metal production in which final impurities are removed from the molten metal by introducing air and fluxes. The impurities are removed as gases or slag. |
| Rehabilitation: | The process of restoring mined land to a condition approximating its original state. |
| Reserve: | That part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination. |
| RP: | Reconnaissance Permit. |
| Sampling: | Selecting a fractional but representative sample for analysis. |
| Satellite deposit: | A smaller subsidiary deposit proximal to a main deposit. |
| Sedimentary: | Pertaining to or containing sediment. Used in reference to rocks which are derived from weathering and are deposited by natural agents, such as air, water and ice. |
| Shaft: | A vertical or inclined excavation in rock for the purpose of providing access to an orebody. Usually equipped with a hoist at the top, which lowers and raises a conveyance for handling ore, workers or materials. |
| Shear zone: | A zone in which shearing has occurred on a large scale. |
| Silica: | Silicon dioxide. Quartz is a common example. |
| Slag: | The vitreous mass separated from the fused metals in the smelting process. |
| Stockpile: | Broken ore heaped on surface, pending treatment. |
| Stope: | An excavation in a mine from which ore is, or has been, extracted. |
| Strike length: | The direction and length of a geological plane. |
| Stripping: | The process of removing overburden to expose ore. |
| Sulfide: | A mineral characterized by the linkages of sulfur with a metal or semi-metal, such as pyrite or iron sulfide. Also a zone in which sulfide minerals occur. |

Sump: An excavation where water accumulates before being pumped to surface.

Tailings: Material rejected from a mill after most of the recoverable valuable minerals have been extracted.

- 5 -

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Tonnage: | Quantities where the ton or tonne is an appropriate unit of measure. Typically used to measure reserves of gold-bearing material in situ or quantities of ore and waste material mined, transported or milled. |
| Tonne: | One tonne is equal to 1,000 kilograms (also known as a “metric” ton). |
| Total cash costs: | Total cash costs, as defined in the Gold Institute standard, include mine production, transport and refinery costs, general and administrative costs, movement in production inventories and ore stockpiles, transfers to and from deferred stripping where relevant and royalties. |
| Trend: | The direction, in the horizontal plane, of a linear geological feature, such as an ore zone, or a group of orebodies measured from true north. |
| Ultramafic: | An igneous rock with a very low silica content and rich in iron magnesium minerals. |
| Vein: | A fissure, fault or crack in a rock filled by minerals that have travelled upwards from some deep source. |
| Volcaniclastic: | Where volcanic derived material has been transported and reworked through mechanical processes. |
| Volcanisedimentary: | Where volcanic and sedimentary material have been transported and reworked through mechanical processes. |
| Waste: | Rock mined with an insufficient gold content to justify processing. |
| Weathered or weathering: | Rock broken down by erosion. |

Statements in this Annual Report concerning our business outlook or future economic performance; anticipated revenues, expenses or other financial items; and statements concerning assumptions made or expectations as to any future events, conditions, performance or other matters, are “forward-looking statements” as that term is defined under the United States federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from those stated in such statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those set forth under “PART I. Item 3. Key Information – D. Risk Factors” in this Annual Report as well as those discussed elsewhere in this Annual Report and in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

We are incorporated under the laws of Jersey, Channel Islands with the majority of our operations located in West and Central Africa. Our books of account are maintained in US dollars and our annual and interim financial statements are prepared on a historical cost basis, except as otherwise required under International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by International Accounting Standards Board (“IFRS”), and in accordance with IFRS. IFRS differs in significant respects from generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or US GAAP. This Annual Report includes our audited consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS. The financial information included in this Annual Report has been prepared in accordance with IFRS and, except where otherwise indicated, is

presented in US dollars. For a definition of cash costs and other non-GAAP measures, please see “PART I. Item 3. Key Information – A. Selected Financial Data”.

Unless the context otherwise requires, “us”, “we”, “our”, “company”, “group” or words of similar import, refer to Randgold Resources Limited and its subsidiaries and affiliated companies.

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers

Not applicable.

Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

Not applicable.

Item 3. Key Information

A. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected historical consolidated financial data has been derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, the more detailed information and financial statements, including our audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and as at December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and January 1, 2012, which appear elsewhere in this Annual Report. The comparative information in those audited consolidated financial statements are restated following the adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements in 2013. The historical consolidated financial data as at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this Annual Report. The historical financial data in respect of those years is not restated, as explained in the footnote to the table below.

The financial data have been prepared in accordance with IFRS, unless otherwise noted.

| | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | Year Ended December 31, 2012 (Restated)+ | Year Ended December 31, 2011 (Restated)+ | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 |
|---------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| \$000: | | | | | |

STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE
INCOME DATA:Amounts in accordance with IFRS
unless otherwise stated

| | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Revenues | 1,137,690 | 1,183,127 | + 970,315 | + 484,553 | 432,780 |
| Profit from operations [#] | 354,699 | 505,845 | + 429,908 | + 136,141 | 113,764 |
| Share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures | 54,257 | 40,927 | + 44,119 | + — | — |
| Net profit attributable to owners of the parent | 278,382 | 431,801 | 383,860 | 103,501 | 69,400 |
| Basic earnings per share (\$) | 3.02 | 4.70 | 4.20 | 1.14 | 0.86 |
| Diluted earnings per share (\$) | 2.98 | 4.65 | 4.16 | 1.13 | 0.84 |
| Weighted average number of shares used in computation of basic earnings per share | 92,213,511 | 91,911,444 | 91,337,712 | 90,645,366 | 81,022,790 |
| Weighted average number of shares used in computation of fully diluted earnings per share | 93,346,109 | 92,824,926 | 92,276,517 | 91,926,912 | 82,161,851 |
| Dividends declared per share [^] | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.17 |
| Other data | | | | | |
| Total cash costs (\$ per ounce sold)* | 715 | 735 | 688 | 681 | 510 |

[#] Profit from operations is calculated as profit before income tax under IFRS, excluding net finance income/(costs) and share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures. Profit from operations all arises from continuing operations. Following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, the group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 with prior periods 2011 and 2012 restated accordingly (refer to pages F-8 to F-12 of this Annual Report for further details). IFRS 11 does not require restatement for earlier years, therefore the + information extracted from the Statement of Comprehensive Income for 2010 and 2009 and the Statement of Financial Position for those years have not been restated. Note that the consolidated financial statements present a restated consolidated statement of financial position as at January 1, 2012 under IFRS, which is denoted as December 31, 2011 above.

Table of Contents

[^] Dividend distribution to the company's shareholders is recognized as a liability in the group's financial statements in the period in which the dividends are approved by the board of directors and declared to shareholders.

*

Refer to explanation of non-GAAP measures provided.

| | At December 31, 2013 | At December 31, 2012 (Restated)+ | At December 31, 2011 (Restated)+ | At December 31, 2010 | At December 31, 2009 |
|---|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| \$000: | | | | | |
| STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AMOUNTS: | | | | | |
| Amounts in accordance with IFRS | | | | | |
| Total assets | 3,376,513 | 3,008,891 | + 2,477,267 | + 1,994,340 | 1,820,168 |
| Share capital | 4,612 | 4,603 | 4,587 | 4,555 | 4,506 |
| Share premium | 1,423,513 | 1,409,144 | 1,386,939 | 1,362,320 | 1,317,771 |
| Retained earnings | 1,386,518 | 1,154,273 | 759,209 | 393,570 | 305,415 |
| Other reserves | 64,398 | 50,994 | 40,531 | 31,596 | 18,793 |
| Equity attributable to the owners of the parent | 2,879,041 | 2,619,014 | 2,191,266 | 1,792,041 | 1,646,485 |

Following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, the group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 with prior periods 2011 and 2012 restated accordingly (refer to pages F-8 to F-12 of this Annual Report for further details). IFRS 11 does not require restatement for earlier years, therefore the ⁺ Statement of Comprehensive Income for 2010 and 2009 and the Statement of Financial Position for those years have not been restated. Note that the consolidated financial statements present a restated consolidated statement of financial position as at January 1, 2012 under IFRS, which is denoted as December 31, 2011 above.

Non-GAAP Measures

We have identified certain measures that we believe will assist understanding of the performance of the business. As the measures are not defined under IFRS they may not be directly comparable with other companies' adjusted measures. The non-GAAP measures are not intended to be a substitute for, or superior to, any IFRS measures of performance but management has included them as these are considered to be important comparables and key measures used within the business for assessing performance.

These measures are further explained below:

Total cash costs and cash cost per ounce are non-GAAP measures. Total cash costs and total cash cost per ounce are calculated using guidance issued by the Gold Institute. The Gold Institute was a non-profit industry association

comprising leading gold producers, refiners, bullion suppliers and manufacturers. This institute has now been incorporated into the National Mining Association. The guidance was first issued in 1996 and revised in November 1999. Total cash costs, as defined in the Gold Institute's guidance, include mine production, transport and refinery costs, general and administrative costs, movement in production inventories and ore stockpiles, transfers to and from deferred stripping where relevant and royalties. Total cash costs and cash cost per ounce also include our share of our equity accounted joint ventures' total cash costs and cash cost per ounce.

Total cash cost per ounce is calculated by dividing total cash costs, as determined using the Gold Institute guidance, by gold ounces sold for the periods presented. Total cash costs and total cash cost per ounce are calculated on a consistent basis for the periods presented. Total cash costs and total cash cost per ounce should not be considered by investors as an alternative to operating profit or net profit attributable to shareholders, as an alternative to other IFRS measures or an indicator of our performance. The data does not have a meaning prescribed by IFRS and therefore amounts presented may not be comparable to data presented by gold producers who do not follow the guidance provided by the Gold Institute. In particular depreciation and amortization would be included in a measure of total costs of producing gold under IFRS, but are not included in total cash costs under the guidance provided by the Gold Institute. Furthermore, while the Gold Institute has provided a definition for the calculation of total cash costs and total cash cost per ounce, the calculation of these numbers may vary from company to company and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. However, we believe that total cash cost per ounce is a useful indicator to investors and management of a mining company's performance as it provides an indication of a company's profitability and efficiency, the trends in cash costs as the company's operations mature, and a benchmark of performance to allow for comparison against other companies.

Table of Contents

Cash operating costs and cash operating cost per ounce are calculated by deducting royalties from total cash costs. Cash operating cost per ounce is calculated by dividing cash operating costs by gold ounces sold for the periods presented. Total cash operating costs and cash operating cost per ounce include our share of joint ventures' total operating cash cost and operating cash cost per ounce.

Gold sales is a non-GAAP measure. It represents the sales of gold at spot and the gains/losses on hedge contracts which have been delivered into at the designated maturity date. It excludes gains/losses on hedge contracts which have been rolled forward to match future sales. This adjustment is considered appropriate because no cash is received/paid in respect of these contracts. We currently do not have any hedge positions. Gold sales include our share of our equity accounted joint ventures' gold sales.

Profit from mining activity is calculated by subtracting total cash costs from gold sales for all periods presented. Profit from mining includes our share of our equity accounted joint ventures.

Gold on hand represents gold in doré at the mines multiplied by the prevailing spot gold price at the end of the period. Gold on hand includes our share of our equity accounted joint ventures' gold on hand.

The following table lists the costs of producing gold, based on IFRS figures extracted from the financial statements of the Company, and reconciles this measure to total cash costs as defined by the Gold Institute's guidance, as a non-GAAP measure, for each of the periods set forth below:

| \$000: | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | Year Ended December 31, 2012 (Restated)+ | Year Ended December 31, 2011 (Restated)+ | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Gold Sales | | | | | |
| Gold sales per IFRS | 1,137,690 | 1,183,127 | 970,315 | 484,553 | 432,780 |
| Gold sales adjustment for joint ventures | 129,022 + | 134,703 + | 156,771 + | — | — |
| Gold sales# | 1,266,712 | 1,317,830 | 1,127,086 | 484,553 | 432,780 |
| Costs | | | | | |
| Mine production costs | 536,229 | 438,331 + | 337,494 + | 247,850 | 196,318 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 130,638 | 117,991 + | 65,562 + | 28,127 | 28,502 |
| Other mining and processing costs | 61,319 | 75,770 + | 62,758 + | 20,598 | 19,073 |
| Cash cost adjustment for joint ventures | 49,055 | 50,511 + | 69,532 + | — | — |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---|-----------|---|----------|---|----------|------------|
| Depreciation and amortization adjustment for joint ventures | 19,322 | + | 13,750 | + | 16,498 | + | — | — |
| Transport and refinery costs | 2,663 | | 2,718 | + | 2,433 | + | 1,653 | 1,594 |
| Royalties | 58,415 | | 59,710 | + | 44,414 | + | 27,680 | 25,410 |
| Movement in production inventory and ore stockpiles | (49,730) |) | (43,716) | + | (21,907) | + | (16,152) |) 5,741 |
| Total cost of producing gold # | 807,911 | | 715,065 | | 576,784 | | 309,756 | 276,638 |
| Less: Non-cash costs included in total cost of producing gold: | | | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization under IFRS | (130,638) |) | (117,991) | | (65,562) | | (28,127) |) (28,502) |
| Less: Non-cash costs included in total cost of producing gold: | | | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization for joint ventures | (19,322) |) | (13,750) |) | (16,498) |) | — | — |
| Total cash costs using the Gold Institute's guidance# | 657,951 | | 583,324 | | 494,724 | | 281,629 | 248,136 |
| Ounces sold* | 920,248 | | 793,852 | | 718,762 | | 413,262 | 486,324 |
| Total cost of producing gold per ounce (\$ per ounce)# | 878 | | 901 | | 802 | | 750 | 569 |
| Total cash costs per ounce (\$ per ounce)# | 715 | | 735 | | 688 | | 681 | 510 |

* 40% share of Morila, 45% share of Kibali and 100% share of Loulo, Tongon and Goukoto

Following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, the group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 with prior periods 2011 and 2012 restated accordingly (refer to pages + F-8 to F-12 of this Annual Report for further details). IFRS 11 does not require restatement for earlier years, therefore the information extracted from the Statement of Comprehensive Income for 2010 and 2009 has not been restated.

Refer to explanation of non-GAAP measures provided. Historically, Randgold consolidated 100% of Loulo, Goukoto and Tongon, and 40% of Morila and non-GAAP measures remain on this basis and are not affected by the # change in accounting policy detailed above. During 2013 Kibali reached commercial production and Randgold has included 45% of Kibali in the consolidated non-GAAP measures. above.

Table of Contents

B. CAPITALIZATION AND INDEBTEDNESS

Not applicable.

C. REASONS FOR THE OFFER AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

D. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information included in this Annual Report, you should carefully consider the following factors, which individually or in combination could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. There may be additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or that we currently see as immaterial, which may also harm our business. If any of the risks or uncertainties described below or any such additional risks and uncertainties actually occur, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected. In this case, the trading price of our ordinary shares and American Depositary Shares, or ADS, could decline and you might lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Relating to Our Operations

The profitability of our operations, and the cash flows generated by our operations, are affected by changes in the market price for gold which in the past has fluctuated widely.

Substantially all of our revenue and cash flows have come from the sale of gold. Historically, the market price for gold has fluctuated widely and has been affected by numerous factors, over which we have no control, including:

• the demand for gold for investment purposes including Exchange Traded Funds, industrial uses and for use in jewelry;

• international or regional political and economic trends;

the strength of the US dollar, the currency in which gold prices generally are quoted, and of other currencies;

market expectations regarding inflation rates;

interest rates;

speculative activities;

actual or expected purchases and sales of gold bullion holdings by central banks, the International Monetary Fund, or other large gold bullion holders or dealers;

hedging activities by gold producers; and

the production and cost levels for gold in major gold-producing nations.

The volatility of gold prices is illustrated in the following table, which shows the approximate annual high, low and average of the afternoon London Bullion Market fixing price of gold in US dollars for the past ten years.

Table of Contents

| Year | Price Per Ounce (\$) | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------|---------|
| | High | Low | Average |
| 2004 | 454 | 375 | 409 |
| 2005 | 537 | 411 | 444 |
| 2006 | 725 | 525 | 604 |
| 2007 | 841 | 608 | 695 |
| 2008 | 1,011 | 712 | 871 |
| 2009 | 1,213 | 810 | 972 |
| 2010 | 1,421 | 1,058 | 1,224 |
| 2011 | 1,895 | 1,319 | 1,572 |
| 2012 | 1,792 | 1,540 | 1,669 |
| 2013 | 1,694 | 1,192 | 1,411 |
| 2014 (through February) | 1,221 | 1,339 | 1,270 |

The market price of gold has been and continues to be significantly volatile. In 2013, there was a 29% reduction in gold price, the largest annual decline since 1981. If gold prices should fall below and remain below our cost of production for any sustained period we may experience losses, and if gold prices should fall below our cash costs of production we may be forced to re-plan and mine higher grade ore which will have a negative impact on our reserves and life of mine plans. Low gold prices for an extended period could result in us having to curtail or suspend some or all of our mining operations. In addition, we would also have to assess the economic impact of low gold prices on our ability to recover from any losses we may incur during that period and on our ability to maintain adequate reserves. Our total cash cost of production per ounce of gold sold was \$715 in the year ended December 31, 2013, \$735 in the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$688 in the year ended December 31, 2011.

Our mining operations may yield less gold under actual production conditions than indicated by our gold reserve figures, which are estimates based on a number of assumptions, including assumptions as to mining and recovery factors, production costs and the price of gold.

The ore reserve estimates contained in this Annual Report are estimates of the mill delivered quantity and grade of gold in our deposits and stockpiles. They represent the amount of gold that we believe can be mined, processed and sold at prices sufficient to recover our estimated total cash costs of production, remaining investment and anticipated additional capital expenditure. Our ore reserves are estimated based upon many factors, including:

- the results of exploratory drilling and an ongoing sampling of the orebodies;

- past experience with mining properties;

- depletion from past mining;

mining method and associated dilution and ore loss factors;

gold price; and

operating costs.

Because our ore reserve estimates are calculated based on current estimates of future production costs and gold prices, they should not be interpreted as assurances of the economic life of our gold deposits or the profitability of our future operations.

Reserve estimates may require revisions based on actual production experience. Further, a sustained decline in the market price of gold may render the recovery of ore reserves containing relatively lower grades of gold mineralization uneconomical and ultimately result in a restatement of reserves. The failure of the reserves to meet our recovery expectations may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to various political and economic uncertainties associated with operating in Mali that could significantly affect our mines in Mali and our results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

We are subject to risks associated with operating gold mines in Mali. In 2013, gold produced in Mali represented approximately 70% of our consolidated group gold production, including joint ventures. On March 21, 2012, Mali was subject to an attempted coup d'état that resulted in the suspension of the constitution, the partial closing of the borders and the general disruption of business activities in the country. The supply of consumables to our mines in Mali was temporarily interrupted as a result of the political situation. The borders were reopened shortly after these events and an interim government was installed within a month. In January 2013, following military conflicts with terrorist insurgents, the Malian State requested the assistance of the French Government to assist the Malian army to repel the insurgents who had been occupying parts of the north of the country and beginning to move towards the southern part of the country. During 2013, French and other foreign troops occupied the northern part of the country to assist the Malian State in maintaining control of this region and presidential and parliamentary elections took place during the middle of 2013. The insurgents have now largely been repelled from the north of the country and the French and other foreign troops have commenced demobilizing. Although we have continued to produce and sell gold during the political crisis, there can be no assurance that the political situation will not disrupt our ability to continue gold production, or our ability to sell and ship our gold from our mines in Mali. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that the political situation in Mali will not have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition.

Our business and results of operations may be adversely affected if the State of Mali and the DRC state fail to repay Value Added Tax, or TVA, owing to the Morila, Loulo, Gounkoto and Kibali mines.

Our mining companies operating in Mali are exonerated by their Establishment Conventions from paying TVA for the three years following first commercial production. After that, TVA is payable and reimbursable. TVA is only reclaimable insofar as it is expended in the production of income. A key aspect in TVA recovery is managing the completion of the State of Mali's audit of the taxpayer's payments, at which time the State of Mali recognizes a liability.

By December 2007, Morila had successfully concluded a reimbursement protocol with the State of Mali for all TVA reimbursements it was owed up to June 2005. Morila was unable to conclude a second protocol subsequent to December 2007, however, and pursuant to its Establishment Convention, began offsetting TVA reimbursements it was owed against corporate and other taxes payable by Morila to the State of Mali. As a result of the offsets, Morila had recouped all its outstanding TVA as at December 31, 2010, as the State of Mali repaid all outstanding amounts by this date. As of December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013, TVA owed by the State of Mali amounted to \$3.9 million (our 40%), \$6.4 million (our 40%) and \$4.4 million (our 40%) respectively.

During 2011, 2012 and 2013 Loulo has offset TVA reimbursements it was owed against corporate and other taxes payable by Loulo to the State of Mali. At December 31, 2012, TVA owed by the State of Mali to Loulo stood at \$72.2 million. This amount has increased to \$115.6 million at December 31, 2013.

Included in the TVA owing amounts are amounts which had been extracted from the Morila and Loulo TVA refunds pertaining to disputed tax assessments. As at December 31, 2013 these amounted to \$4.7 million (our 40%) owing to Morila and \$24.4 million owing to Loulo.

By December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013, TVA refunds of \$10.7 million owing to Gouunkoto remained disputed by the State of Mali.

By December 31, 2013, TVA owing to Kibali by the DRC State amounted to \$36.4 million (our 45%). Kibali has received TVA refunds during the year, but the process has been slower than set out by law, due to additional administrative requirements imposed by the relevant State departments.

Our business, cash flow and results of operations will be adversely affected to the extent the TVA amounts owing to the group are not paid.

Our business may be adversely affected if we fail to resolve disputed tax claims with the State of Mali.

As at December 31, 2013, the group had received claims for various taxes from the State of Mali totaling \$123.1 million, in respect of the Loulo, Gouunkoto and Morila mines, together with Kankou Moussa SARL, our Malian gold jewelry sales operation. Having taken professional advice, the group considers the claims to be wholly without merit or foundation and is strongly defending its position, including following the appropriate legal process for such disputes in Mali. Loulo, Gouunkoto and Morila have legally binding mining conventions which guarantee fiscal stability, govern the taxes applicable for the companies and allow for international arbitration in the event that a dispute cannot be resolved in the country. Management continues to engage with the Malian authorities at the highest level to resolve this issue. On November 25, 2013, Société des Mines de Loulo SA (“Somilo”) instigated arbitration proceedings against the State of Mali pursuant to the terms of Somilo’s Establishment Convention at the International Center for Settlement of Investment Disputes in respect of US\$60.9 million. Management continues to engage with the Malian authorities at the highest level to resolve this issue and the other unresolved tax claims. However, it may be necessary to instigate additional arbitration proceedings to resolve these disputes.

Table of Contents

If for any reason these disputed tax claims become due and payable the results of Morila, together with Kankou Moussa SARL, Goukoto and Loulo's operations and financial position would be adversely affected, as would their ability to pay dividends to their shareholders. Accordingly, our business, cash flows and financial condition will be adversely affected if anticipated dividends are not paid.

Changes in mining legislation can have significant effects on our operations.

While we have entered into binding mining conventions with the governments of Côte d'Ivoire, Mali and Senegal, changes in mining legislation in the countries in which we operate could have significant adverse effects on our results of operations. In addition, changes in mining legislation may discourage future investments in these jurisdictions, which may have an adverse impact on our ability to develop new mines and reduce future growth opportunities. Among the jurisdictions in which we currently have major operations, there are several proposed or recently adopted changes in mining legislation that could materially affect us. The governments in these jurisdictions may require us to renegotiate our mining conventions. If so, there can be no assurance that the outcome of our negotiations will not have a material adverse impact on our financial condition or operational results.

Our success may depend on our social and environmental performance.

Our ability to operate successfully in communities will likely depend on our ability to develop, operate and close mines in a manner that is consistent with the health, safety and well-being of our employees, the protection of the environment, and the creation of long term economic and social opportunities in the countries in which we operate. Mining companies are required to make a fair contribution and provide benefits to the communities and countries in which they operate, and are subject to extensive environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. As a result of public concern about the real or perceived detrimental effects of economic globalization and global climate impacts, businesses generally and large multinational corporations in natural resources industries, in particular, face increasing public scrutiny of their activities. These businesses are under pressure to demonstrate that, as they seek to generate satisfactory returns on investment to shareholders, other stakeholders, including employees, governments, communities surrounding operations and the countries in which they operate, benefit and will continue to benefit from their commercial activities. Such pressures tend to be particularly focused on companies whose activities relate to non-renewable resources and are perceived to have a high impact on their social and physical environment. The potential consequences of these pressures include reputational damage and legal suits.

Certain non-governmental organizations oppose globalization and resource development and are often vocal critics of the mining industry and its practices. Adverse publicity by such non-governmental agencies could have an adverse effect on our reputation and financial condition and could have an impact on the communities within which we operate.

In addition, our ability to successfully obtain key permits and approvals to explore for, develop and operate mines and to successfully operate in communities around the world will likely depend on our ability to develop, operate and close mines in a manner that is consistent with the creation of social and economic benefits in the surrounding communities, which may or may not be required by law. Mining operations should be designed to minimize the negative impact on such communities and the environment, for example, by modifying mining plans and operations or by relocating those affected to an agreed location. The cost of these measures could increase capital and operating costs and therefore could have an adverse impact upon our financial conditions and operations. We seek to promote improvements in health and safety, environmental performance and community relations. However, our ability to operate could be adversely impacted by accidents or events detrimental (or perceived to be detrimental) to the health, safety and well-being of our employees, the environment or the communities in which we operate.

In July 2009, the Loulo mine experienced some disruption, caused by a small group of disaffected people unable to secure long term employment at the mine. The disruption resulted in some damage to the tailings pipeline as well as to some accommodation units and other property. As a result, all operations at the Loulo mine were suspended for 36 hours, following which all mining and processing operations returned to normal. There can be no assurance that similar events will not happen in the future, or that such events will not adversely affect our results of operations and properties.

In November 2011 and March 2012, the Tongon mine experienced temporary work stoppages during the course of negotiating a mine level agreement with a newly established union. Though we signed the mine level agreement with the union during 2012, there can be no assurance that similar work stoppages will not happen in the future, or that such events will not adversely affect our results of operations.

Any appreciation of the currencies in which we incur costs against the US dollar could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

While our revenue is derived from the sale of gold in US dollars, a significant portion of our input costs are incurred in currencies other than the dollar, primarily Euro, Communauté Financière Africaine Franc and South African Rand. Accordingly, any appreciation in such other currencies could adversely affect our results of operations.

The profitability of our operations and the cash flows generated by these operations are significantly affected by the fluctuations in the price, cost and supply of fuel and other inputs, and we would be adversely affected by future increases in the prices of fuel and other inputs.

Fuel, power and consumables, including diesel, steel, chemical reagents, explosives and tires, form a relatively large part of our operating costs. The cost of these consumables is impacted to varying degrees by fluctuations in the price of oil, exchange rates and availability of supplies. Such fluctuations have a significant impact upon our operating costs and capital expenditure estimates and, in the absence of other economic fluctuations, could result in significant changes in the total expenditure estimates for mining projects, new and existing, and could even render certain projects non-viable.

Fuel is the primary input utilized in our mining operations, and our results are significantly affected by the price and availability of fuel, which are in turn affected by a number of factors beyond our control. Historically, fuel costs have been subject to wide price fluctuations based on geopolitical factors and supply and demand. Political unrest in certain oil producing countries has in the past led to an increase in the cost of fuel. If there are additional outbreaks of hostilities or other conflicts in oil producing areas or elsewhere, or a reduction in refining capacity (due to weather events, for example), or governmental limits on the production or sale of fuel, or restrictions on the transport of fuel, there could be reductions in the supply of fuel and significant increases in the cost of fuel.

During 2013, the average price of our landed fuel was slightly higher than 2012. In the year ended December 31, 2013, the cost of fuel and other power generation costs comprised approximately 20% of our operating costs (2012: 25%).

While we do not currently anticipate a significant reduction in fuel availability, factors beyond our control make it impossible to predict the future availability of fuel. We are not parties to any agreements that protect us against price increases or guarantee the availability of fuel. Major reductions in the availability of fuel or significant increases in its cost, or a continuation of current high prices for a significant period of time, would adversely affect our results of operations and profitability.

Our underground mines at Loulo and Kibali are subject to all of the risks associated with underground mining.

Development of the underground mine at Yalea (Loulo) commenced in December 2006 and first ore was mined in April 2008. This planned mine, and the subsequent Gara underground mine (Loulo), represented our entry into the business of underground mining, and the commencement of underground mining in Mali by any mining company. In connection with the development of the underground mines, we must build the necessary infrastructure, the costs of which are substantial. The underground mines may experience unexpected problems and delays during their development and construction. Delays in the commencement of gold production could occur and the development costs could be larger than expected, which could affect our results of operations and profitability.

Since the commencement of the underground operations at Yalea, in working with a mining contractor, we have experienced a number of challenges which have led to delays and slower build up of production. These challenges included the availability of the underground fleet, the ability to drill and blast in accordance with the plan and the contractor's poor safety record.

Following these setbacks experienced during 2009, we terminated the underground mining contract with the contractor. At the beginning of 2010, we appointed a new contractor to develop the Gara underground mine, and subsequently extended their contract at the end of 2010 to include the additional development of the Yalea underground mine. The development and operation of the underground mine has been negatively impacted by these issues and resulting delays, and there can be no assurance that such issues will be fully resolved or that we will not have any further future delays.

Table of Contents

Development of the Kibali mine includes the development of an underground mine, utilizing two separate mining contractors for each of the declines and vertical shaft. During 2012, we commenced the development of the decline shaft system and the vertical shaft platform was completed. In 2013, we continued to progress our underground operations at Kibali, including starting the development of the shaft collar, foundations for the winder house and erection of the winder infrastructure.

The business of underground mining by its nature involves significant risks and hazards. In particular, as the development commences the operation could be subject to:

• rockbursts;

• seismic events;

• underground fires;

• cave-ins or falls of ground;

• discharges of gases or toxic chemicals;

• flooding;

• accidents; and

• other conditions resulting from drilling, blasting and the removal of material from an underground mine.

We are at risk of experiencing any and all of these hazards. The occurrence of any of these hazards could delay the development of the mine, production, increase cash operating costs and result in additional financial liability for us.

Actual cash costs of production, production results, capital expenditure costs and economic returns may differ significantly from those anticipated by our feasibility studies for new development projects.

Feasibility studies and other project evaluation activities necessary to determine the current or future viability of a mining operation are often not economically beneficial. Activities often require substantial expenditure on exploration drilling to determine the extent and grade of mineralized material. It typically takes a number of years from initial feasibility studies of a mining project until development is completed and, during that time, the economic feasibility of production may change. The economic feasibility of development projects is based on many factors, including the accuracy of estimated reserves, metallurgical recoveries, capital and operating costs and future gold prices. The capital expenditure and time required to develop new mines or other projects are considerable, and changes in costs or construction schedules can affect project economics. Thus it is possible that actual costs and economic returns may differ materially from our estimates.

In addition, there are a number of uncertainties inherent in the development and construction of any new mine, including:

- the availability and timing of necessary environmental and governmental permits;
- the timing and cost necessary to construct mining and processing facilities, which can be considerable;
- the availability and cost of skilled labor, power, water and other materials;
- the accessibility of transportation and other infrastructure, particularly in remote locations; and
- the availability of funds to finance construction and development activities.

Kibali completed an optimized feasibility during 2011 and construction of the mine started in 2012. Included in the mine development was the relocation of approximately 20,000 people from the mine site, and the 14 affected villages were relocated to the new model village of Kokiza during 2013. At Kibali, open pit mining started in July 2012 and the mine's first gold was produced in September 2013. However, there can be no assurance that the mine will not be subject to the risks and uncertainties listed above, all of which could have an adverse material effect on the results of our operations and financial condition. At Massawa (Senegal), a technical and financial study was completed on the open pit enabling us to declare mineral reserves in 2010. In 2012 it was decided to focus on understanding the geological and metallurgical controls of the project. The current plan is to progress the feasibility study through 2014. There can be no assurance that the Massawa project will ultimately result in a new commercial mining operation, or that such new commercial mining operations would be successful.

Table of Contents

We conduct mining, development and exploration activities in countries with developing economies and are subject to the risks of political and economic instability associated with these countries.

We currently conduct mining, development and exploration activities in countries with developing economies. These countries and other emerging markets in which we may conduct operations have, from time to time, experienced economic or political instability. It is difficult to predict the future political, social and economic direction of the countries in which we operate, and the impact government decisions may have on our business. Any political or economic instability in the countries in which we currently operate could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

The countries of Mali, Senegal, Democratic Republic of Congo (“DRC”) and Côte d’Ivoire have, since independence, experienced some form of political upheaval with varying forms of changes of government taking place.

Goods are supplied to our operations in Mali primarily by road through Senegal and Côte d’Ivoire, which at times have been disrupted by geopolitical issues. Any present or future policy changes in the countries in which we operate, or through which we are supplied, may in some way have a significant effect on our operations and interests.

The mining laws of Mali, Côte d’Ivoire, Senegal and DRC stipulate that, should an economic orebody be discovered on a property subject to an EP, a permit that allows processing operations to be undertaken must be issued to the holder. Legislation in certain countries currently provides for the relevant government to acquire a free ownership interest in any mining project. The requirements of the various governments as to the foreign ownership and control of mining companies may change in a manner which adversely affects us.

In addition, unforeseen events, including war, terrorism and other international conflicts could disrupt our operations and disrupt the operations of our suppliers. Such events could make it difficult or impossible for us to conduct our mining operations, including delivering our products and receiving materials from suppliers.

We are subject to various political and economic uncertainties associated with operating in the DRC, and the success of the Kibali mine will depend in large part on our ability to overcome significant challenges.

We are subject to risks associated with operating the Kibali mine in the DRC. The Kibali mine is located in the north-east region of the DRC and is subject to various levels of political, economic and other risks and uncertainties associated with operating in the DRC. Some of these risks include political and economic instability, high rates of inflation, severely limited infrastructure, lack of law enforcement, labor unrest, and war and civil conflict. In addition,

the Kibali mine is subject to the risks inherent in operating in any foreign jurisdiction including changes in government policy, restrictions on foreign exchange, changes in taxation policies, and renegotiation or nullification of existing concessions, licenses, permits and contracts.

The DRC is an impoverished country with physical and institutional infrastructure that is in a debilitated condition. It is in transition from a largely state-controlled economy to one based on free market principles, and from a non-democratic political system with a centralized ethnic power base to one based on more democratic principles. There can be no assurance that these changes will be effected or that the achievement of these objectives will not have material adverse consequences for the Kibali mine.

- 16 -

Table of Contents

Any changes in mining or investment policies or shifts in political attitude in the DRC may adversely affect operations and/or profitability of the Kibali mine. Operations may be affected in varying degrees by government regulations with respect to, but not limited to, restrictions on production, price controls, export controls, currency remittance, income taxes, foreign investment, maintenance of claims, environmental legislation, land use, land claims of local people, water use and mine safety. These changes may impact the profitability and viability of the Kibali mine.

Furthermore, the Kibali mine is located in a remote area of the DRC, which lacks basic infrastructure, including adequate roads and other transport, sources of power, water, housing, food and transport. In order to develop any of the mineral interests, facilities and material necessary to support operations in the remote locations in which they are situated must be established. The remoteness of the mineral interests would affect the potential viability of mining operations, as we would also need to establish substantially greater sources of power, water, physical plant, roads and other transport infrastructure than are currently present in the area. It is planned that hydropower stations will be utilized at Kibali, which will necessarily involve reconfiguring, refurbishing and maintaining existing stations and building new hydropower stations and also obtaining certain government licenses relating to their operation.

Moreover, the north-east region of the DRC has undergone civil unrest and instability that could have an impact on political, social or economic conditions in the DRC generally. There has been recent turmoil in the Eastern DRC, to the south of Kibali, following the defeat of the M23 rebel group in late 2013. A sufficient level of stability must be maintained in order for us to continue to operate the Kibali mine. The impact of unrest and instability on political, social or economic conditions in the DRC could result in the impairment of the exploration, development and operations at the Kibali mine.

We are subject to various political and economic uncertainties associated with operating in Côte d'Ivoire, that could significantly affect the success of the Tongon mine.

We have been subject to risks associated with operating the Tongon mine in Côte d'Ivoire. Côte d'Ivoire has experienced several years of political disruptions, including an attempted coup d'état and civil war. A dispute over the Côte d'Ivoire presidential election in November 2010 resulted in the establishment of two rival governments and the imposition of targeted sanctions. The political impasse, however, was resolved during 2011, and while the Tongon mine continued to operate throughout the crisis, at times we were unable to ship and sell our Tongon gold production, which resulted in a timing discrepancy between our gold produced and the recognition of revenue from gold sales. While all our gold production was subsequently sold and the country reverted to normality, there can be no assurance that similar events may not occur in the future which would have a material adverse effect on our gold production and financial results. Our operations and financial conditions could be impacted by future political and economic instabilities.

Certain factors may affect our ability to support the carrying value of our property, plant and equipment, and other assets on our consolidated statement of financial position.

We review and test the carrying amount of our assets on an annual basis when events or changes in circumstances suggest that the net book value may not be recoverable. If there are indications that impairment may have occurred, we prepare estimates of expected future discounted cash flows for each group of assets. Assets are grouped at the lowest levels for which there are separately identifiable cash flows (cash-generating units) for purposes of assessing impairment. Expected future cash flows are inherently uncertain, and could materially change over time. Such cash flows are significantly affected by reserve and production estimates, together with economic factors such as spot and forward gold prices, discount rates, currency exchange rates, estimates of costs to produce reserves and future capital expenditure to extract reserves under the approved life of mine plan.

We may incur losses or lose opportunities for gains as a result of any future use of derivative instruments to protect us against low gold prices.

We have from time to time used derivative instruments to protect the selling price of some of our anticipated gold production. The intended effect of our derivative transactions was to lock in a fixed sale price for some of our future gold production to provide some protection against a subsequent fall in gold prices. Although we currently do not use derivative instruments to protect us against low gold prices at our operations, we may in the future determine to implement the use of derivatives in connection with a portion of our anticipated gold production.

Table of Contents

Derivative transactions can result in a reduction in revenue if the instrument price is less than the market price at the time the hedged sales are recognized. Moreover, our decision to enter into a given instrument would be based upon market assumptions. If these assumptions are not ultimately met, significant losses or lost opportunities for significant gains may result. In all, the use of these instruments may result in significant losses which would prevent us from realizing the positive impact of any subsequent increase in the price of gold on the portion of production covered by the instrument.

Under our joint venture agreements with AngloGold Ashanti Limited, or AngloGold Ashanti, we operate the Morila mine and the Kibali mine by means of a joint venture committee, and any disputes with AngloGold Ashanti over the management of the Morila mine or the Kibali mine could adversely affect our business.

We jointly control Société des Mines de Morila SA (“Morila SA”), the owner of the Morila mine, and Kibali Goldmines SPRL, the owner of the Kibali mine, with AngloGold Ashanti under joint venture agreements. We are responsible for the day-to-day operations of Morila and Kibali, subject to the overall management control of Morila SA and Kibali Goldmines SPRL boards, respectively. Substantially all major management decisions, including approval of a budget for the Morila mine and the Kibali mine, must be approved by the Morila SA and Kibali Goldmines SPRL boards, respectively. We and AngloGold Ashanti retain equal representation on the boards, with neither party holding a deciding vote. If a dispute arises between us and AngloGold Ashanti with respect to the management of Morila SA or Kibali Goldmines SPRL, and we are unable to amicably resolve the dispute, we may have to participate in arbitration or other proceedings to resolve the dispute, which could materially and adversely affect our business.

The Kibali project development plan was approved by the board of Kibali Goldmines SPRL in May 2012. However, there can be no assurance that Kibali will ultimately receive all the required approvals of all stakeholders or that disputes between the joint venture partners will not disrupt the development of the mine.

Our mines and projects face many risks related to their present or future operations that may impact cash flows and profitability.

Our mines and projects are subject to all of the operating hazards and risks normally incident to exploring for, developing and operating mineral properties and mines, such as:

- encountering unusual or unexpected formations;
- environmental pollution;

- mechanical breakdowns;
- safety-related stoppages;
- work stoppages or other disruptions in labor force;
- electrical power and fuel supply interruptions;
- unanticipated ground conditions; and
- personal injury and flooding.

During 2011, Tongon's operations were negatively impacted by flooding as a result of the rainy season and by problems encountered during the change-over from diesel generated power to Côte d'Ivoire's national grid. Also, in November 2011, the Tongon mine suffered a major failure of the barring gear at its No 1 mill. As a result, management also shut down Tongon's No 2 mill as well in the interests of personal safety and to protect the No 2 mill from a similar failure. In November 2011 and March 2012, the Tongon mine experienced temporary work stoppages during the course of negotiating a mine level agreement with a newly established union. During 2012 the Tongon mine was plagued by a series of operational challenges, including underperformance in the mining of the open pit as the mine struggled to manage the transition from softer oxide material to fresh rock. Also, the mine experienced frequent outages of grid power which disrupted the processing plant. Additionally, during December 2012 there was a fire in the milling circuits which resulted in both mills being offline for one week followed by lower throughput and recoveries. These issues led to gold production at Tongon missing its target by 26%. The plant was restored to full production by the end of January 2013 and the power problems were addressed during 2013. However the recovery problems are still being addressed and contributed to Tongon missing its target by 17% in 2013.

Table of Contents

During 2011, the Goukoto's mine operations were disrupted by flooding following unusually heavy rains. In July 2009, the Loulo mine experienced some disruption, caused by a small group of disaffected people unable to secure long term employment at the mine. The disruption resulted in some damage to the tailings pipeline as well as to some accommodation units and other property. All operations were suspended for 36 hours, following which all mining and processing operations were restored and operating back at normal capacity.

There can be no assurance that similar operational issues will not happen in the future, or that such events will not adversely affect our results of operations.

The use of mining contractors at certain of our operations may expose it to delays or suspensions in mining activities.

Mining contractors are used at Tongon, Loulo, Goukoto, Kibali and Morila to mine and deliver ore to processing plants and at Loulo and Kibali to develop the underground mine. These mining contractors rely on third-party vendors to supply them with required mining equipment, some of which have been adversely affected by the global economic slowdown. Consequently, at these mines, we do not own all of the mining equipment and may face disruption of operations and incur costs and liabilities in the event that any of the mining contractors at these mines, or any of the vendors that supply them, have financial difficulties, or should there be a dispute in renegotiating a mining contract, or a delay in replacing an existing contractor.

Since the commencement of the underground operations at Yalea, in working with a mining contractor, we experienced a number of challenges which have led to delays and slower build up of production. These challenges included the availability of the underground fleet, the ability to drill and blast up holes and the contractor's poor safety record. Following these setbacks experienced during 2009, we terminated the underground mining contract with the contractor and have assumed responsibility for underground mining at Loulo. At the beginning of 2010, we appointed a new contractor to develop the Gara underground mine, and subsequently extended their contract at the end of 2010 to include the development of the Yalea underground mine. The development and operation of the underground mine were negatively impacted by these issues. Significant improvement has occurred in 2012 and again in 2013, however, there can be no assurance that we will not have future issues or delays.

Mining operations and projects are vulnerable to supply chain disruption and our operations could be adversely affected by shortages of, as well as lead times to deliver fuel, strategic spares, critical consumables, mining equipment or metallurgical plant.

Our operations could be adversely affected by both shortages and long lead times to deliver fuel, strategic spares, critical consumables, mining equipment and metallurgical plant. We have limited influence over suppliers and manufacturers of these items. In certain cases there are a limited number of suppliers for fuel, certain strategic spares,

critical consumables, mining equipment or metallurgical plant who command superior bargaining power relative to us. We could at times face limited supply or increased lead time in the delivery of such items. There can be no assurance that such limited supply or increased lead time in the delivery of items will not happen in the future, or that such events will not adversely affect our results of operations.

Failure to comply with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Corruption (Jersey) Law and the UK Bribery Act could subject us to penalties and other adverse consequences. We could suffer losses from corrupt or fraudulent business practices.

We abide by the provisions of the US Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Corruption (Jersey) Law and the UK Bribery Act, which generally prohibit companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments to officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. In addition, we are required to maintain records that represent our transactions and have an adequate system of internal accounting controls. The compliance mechanisms and monitoring programs that we have in place may not adequately prevent or detect possible violations under applicable anti-bribery and corruption legislation. There can be no assurance that our internal control policies and procedures always will protect us from recklessness, fraudulent behavior, dishonesty or other inappropriate acts committed by our affiliates, employees or agents. As such, our corporate policies and processes may not prevent all potential breaches of law or other governance practices. Failure to comply with such legislation may result in severe criminal or civil sanctions, and we may be subject to other liabilities, including fines, prosecution, potential debarment from public procurement and reputational damage, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated results of operations, and consolidated financial condition. In addition, investigations by governmental authorities could have a material adverse effect on our business,

Table of Contents

consolidated results of operations, and consolidated financial condition. We are also subject to the risks that our employees, joint venture partners, and agents may fail to comply with other applicable laws.

We may be required to seek funding from the global credit and capital markets to develop our properties, and the recent weaknesses in those markets could adversely affect our ability to obtain financing and capital resources.

We require substantial funding to develop our properties, and may be required to seek funding from the credit and capital markets to finance these activities. Our ability to obtain outside financing will depend upon the price of gold and the market's perception of its future price, and other factors outside of our control. We may not be able to obtain funding on acceptable terms when required, or at all.

The credit and capital markets experienced serious deterioration in 2008, including the failure of significant and established financial institutions in the US and abroad, which continued throughout 2013 and may continue in 2014 and beyond, and the conditions in these markets have continued to be difficult since then and may continue to be difficult in the future, which could have an impact on the availability and terms of credit and capital in the near term. The deteriorating financial condition of certain government authorities has significantly increased the potential for sovereign defaults in a number of jurisdictions, including within the European Union. If uncertainties in these markets continue, or these markets deteriorate further, it could have a material adverse effect on our ability to raise capital. Failure to raise capital when needed or on reasonable terms may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. A continued or worsened slowdown in the financial markets or other economic conditions, including but not limited to consumer spending, employment rates, inflation, fuel and energy costs, lack of available credit, the state of the financial markets, interest rates and tax rates may affect our growth and profitability.

To ensure additional liquidity, we entered into a \$200.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with HSBC and a syndicate of three other banks. If any of the lenders are unable to fulfill their future commitments, our liquidity could be impacted, which could have a material unfavorable impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our indebtedness could adversely impact our business.

Under the terms of the credit facility we entered into in 2013 we are obligated to meet certain financial and other covenants. Our ability to meet these covenants and to service our debt (should the credit facility be drawn down) will depend on our future financial performance which will be affected by our operating performance as well as by financial and other factors, some of which are beyond our control.

Our operations are located in countries where tax laws and policies may change rapidly and unpredictably and such changes and policies may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our failure to adapt to changes in tax regimes and regulations in the countries in which we operate may result in fines, financial losses and have a negative impact on our corporate reputation. In addition, if we fail to react to tax notifications from authorities, we could incur financial losses or the seizure of our assets. If we are unable to enforce existing tax legislation or incorrectly applied tax legislation, we may pursue arbitration or other proceedings to resolve the matter, all of which could materially and adversely affect our business.

The failure of any bank in which we deposit our funds could reduce the amount of cash we have available for operations.

Most of our cash deposited with banks is not insured and would be subject to the risk of bank failure. If any of the banking institutions in which we have deposited funds ultimately fails, we may lose our deposits. The loss of our deposits would reduce the amount of cash we have available for operations and additional investments in our business, and would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

The SEC has adopted rules that may affect mining operations in the DRC.

The SEC adopted final rules pursuant to the Dodd Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank Act”) regarding disclosure on potential conflict minerals that are necessary to the functionality or production of a product manufactured by a company that files reports with the SEC. Under the final rules, an issuer that mines conflict minerals, such as Randgold, is not deemed to be manufacturing or contracting to manufacture those minerals, unless the issuer also engages in manufacturing, whether directly or indirectly through contract.

Table of Contents

Though we are not subject to the disclosure requirements of the final rules, we may be called upon by other entities we contract with to provide information to them for their own supply-chain due diligence investigations. This may result in the increased cost of demonstrating compliance in connection with the sale of gold emanating from the DRC and its neighbors. The complexities of the gold supply chain, especially as they relate to 'scrap' or recycled gold, and the fragmented and often unregulated supply of artisanal and small-scale mined gold are such that there may be significant uncertainties at each stage in the chain as to the origin of the gold, and as a result of uncertainties in the process, the costs of due diligence and audit, or the reputational risks of defining their product or a constituent part as containing a 'conflict mineral' may be too burdensome for the buyers of our gold. Accordingly, they may decide to switch supply sources. This could have a material negative impact on the gold industry, our relationship with the buyers of our gold, and our financial results.

Inflation may have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Some of our operations are located in countries that have and may continue to experience high rates of inflation during certain periods. It is possible that significantly higher future inflation in countries in which we operate may result in increased future operational costs in local currencies. This could have a material adverse effect upon our operations and financial conditions.

Regulations and pending legislation governing issues involving climate change could result in increased operating costs which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

A number of governments or governmental bodies have introduced or are contemplating regulatory changes in response to various climate change interest groups and the potential impact of climate change. Legislation and increased regulation regarding climate change could impose significant costs on us, our venture partners and our suppliers, including increased energy, capital equipment, environmental monitoring and reporting and other costs to comply with such regulations. Any adopted future climate change regulations could also negatively impact our ability to compete with companies situated in areas not subject to such limitations. Given the political significance and uncertainty around the impacts of climate change and how it should be dealt with, we cannot predict how legislation and regulation will affect our financial condition, operating performance and ability to compete. Furthermore, even without such regulation, increased awareness and any adverse publicity in the global marketplace about potential impacts on climate change by us or other companies in our industry could harm our reputation. The potential physical impacts of climate change on our operations are highly uncertain, and would be particular to the geographic circumstances in areas in which we operate. These may include changes in rainfall and storm patterns and intensities, water shortages, changing sea levels and changing temperatures. These impacts may adversely impact the cost, production and financial performance of our operations.

Some of our operations are carried out in geographical areas which lack adequate infrastructure.

Mining, processing, development and exploration activities depend, in some part, on adequate infrastructure. Reliable roads, power sources and water supply are important factors which affect our operating costs. A lack of infrastructure or varying weather phenomena, sabotage, terrorism or other interferences in the maintenance or provision of such infrastructure could affect our operations and financial conditions.

We may not pay dividends to shareholders in the future.

We paid our seventh dividend to ordinary shareholders in 2013. It is our policy to pay dividends if profits and funds are available for that purpose. Whether or not funds are available depends on a variety of factors, including capital expenditure. We cannot guarantee that dividends will be paid in the future.

If we are unable to attract and retain key personnel our business may be harmed.

Our ability to bring additional mineral properties into production and explore our extensive portfolio of mineral rights will depend, in large part, upon the skills and efforts of a small group of management and technical personnel, including D. Mark Bristow, our Chief Executive Officer. If we are not successful in retaining, developing or attracting highly qualified individuals in key management positions our business may be harmed. The loss of any of our key personnel could adversely impact our ability to execute our business plan.

Our insurance coverage may prove inadequate to satisfy future claims against us.

We may become subject to liabilities, including liabilities for pollution or other hazards, against which we have not insured adequately or at all, or cannot insure. Our insurance policies contain exclusions and limitations on coverage. Our current insurance policies provide worldwide indemnity of \$100.0 million in relation to legal liability incurred as a result of death, injury, disease of persons and/or loss of or damage to property. Main exclusions under

Table of Contents

this insurance policy, which relates to our industry, include war, nuclear risks, silicosis, asbestosis or other fibrosis of the lungs or diseases of the respiratory system with regard to employees, and gradual pollution. In addition, our insurance policies may not continue to be available at economically acceptable premiums. As a result, in the future our insurance coverage may not cover the extent of claims against us.

It may be difficult for you to effect service of process and enforce legal judgments against us or our affiliates.

We are incorporated in Jersey, Channel Islands and a majority of our directors and senior executives are not residents of the United States. Virtually all of our assets and the assets of those persons are located outside the United States. As a result, it may not be possible for you to effect service of process within the United States upon those persons or us. Furthermore, the United States and Jersey currently do not have a treaty providing for the reciprocal recognition and enforcement of judgments (other than arbitration awards) in civil and commercial matters. Consequently, it may not be possible for you to enforce a final judgment for payment rendered by any federal or state court in the United States based on civil liability, whether or not predicated solely upon United States Federal securities laws against those persons or us.

In order to enforce any judgment rendered by any Federal or state court in the United States in Jersey, proceedings must be initiated by way of common law action before a court of competent jurisdiction in Jersey. The entry of an enforcement order by a court in Jersey is conditional upon the following:

• that the court which pronounced the judgment has jurisdiction to entertain the case according to the principles recognized by Jersey law with reference to the jurisdiction of the foreign courts;

• that the judgment is final and conclusive – it cannot be altered by the courts which pronounced it;

• that there is payable, pursuant to a judgment, a sum of money not being a sum payable in respect of tax or other charges of a like nature or in respect of a fine or other penalty;

• that the judgment has not been prescribed;

• that the courts of the foreign country have jurisdiction in the circumstances of the case;

• that the judgment was not obtained by fraud; and

that the recognition and enforcement of the judgment is not contrary to public policy in Jersey, including observance of the rules of natural justice which require that documents in the United States proceeding were properly served on the defendant and that the defendant was given the right to be heard and represented by counsel in a free and fair trial before an impartial tribunal.

Furthermore, it is doubtful whether you could bring an original action based on United States Federal securities laws in a Jersey court.

We are subject to significant corporate regulation as a public company and failure to comply with all applicable regulations could subject us to liability or negatively affect our share price.

As a publicly traded company we are subject to a significant body of regulation. While we have developed and instituted a corporate compliance program based on what we believe are the current best practices in corporate governance and continue to update this program in response to newly implemented or changing regulatory requirements, there can be no assurance that we are or will be in compliance with all potentially applicable corporate regulations. For example, there can be no assurance that in the future our management will not find a material weakness in connection with its annual review of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 of the US Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. If we fail to comply with any of these regulations, we could be subject to a range of regulatory actions, fines or other sanctions or litigation. If we must disclose any material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, our share price could decline.

Risks Relating to Our Industry

The exploration of mineral properties is highly speculative in nature, involves substantial expenditures, and is frequently unproductive.

We must continually seek to replace our ore reserves depleted by production to maintain production levels over the long term. Ore reserves can be replaced by expanding known orebodies or exploring for new deposits. Exploration for gold is highly speculative in nature. Our future growth and profitability will depend, in part, on our ability to identify and acquire additional mineral rights, and on the costs and results of our continued exploration and development programs. Many exploration programs, including some of ours, do not result in the discovery of mineralization and any mineralization discovered may not be of sufficient quantity or quality to be profitably mined. Our mineral exploration rights may not contain commercially exploitable reserves of gold. Uncertainties as to the metallurgical recovery of any gold discovered may not warrant mining on the basis of available technology.

Table of Contents

If we discover a viable deposit, it usually takes several years from the initial phases of exploration until production is possible. During this time, the economic feasibility of production may change.

Moreover, we will use the evaluation work of professional geologists, geophysicists, and engineers for estimates in determining whether to commence or continue mining. These estimates generally rely on scientific and economic assumptions, which in some instances may not be correct, and could result in the expenditure of substantial amounts of money on a deposit before it can be determined whether or not the deposit contains economically recoverable mineralization. As a result of these uncertainties, we may not successfully acquire additional mineral rights, or identify new proven and probable reserves in sufficient quantities to justify commercial operations in any of our properties.

If management determines that capitalized costs associated with any of our gold interests are not likely to be recovered, we would recognize an impairment provision against the amounts capitalized for that interest. All of these factors may result in losses in relation to amounts spent which are found not to be recoverable.

Title to our mineral properties may be challenged which may prevent or severely curtail our use of the affected properties.

Title to our properties may be challenged or impugned, and title insurance is generally not available. Each sovereign state is the sole authority able to grant mineral property rights, and our ability to ensure that we have obtained secure title to individual mineral properties or mining concessions may be severely constrained. Our mineral properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements, transfers or claims, and title may be affected by, among other things, undetected defects. In addition, we may be unable to operate our properties as permitted or to enforce our rights with respect to our properties.

Our ability to obtain desirable mineral exploration projects in the future may be adversely affected by competition from other exploration companies.

We compete with other mining companies in connection with the search for and acquisition of properties producing or possessing the potential to produce gold. Existing or future competition in the mining industry could materially and adversely affect our prospects for mineral exploration and success in the future.

Artisanal mining can disrupt our business and expose us to liability.

Artisanal miners are active on, or adjacent to, many of our properties. Artisanal mining is associated with a number of negative impacts, including environmental degradation, human rights abuse and funding of conflict. We do not purchase any gold from artisanal miners. There is a misconception that artisanally-mined gold is channeled through large-scale mining operators and such misconceptions have a negative impact on the reputation of the mining industry. The activities of illegal miners could cause damage to our properties, including pollution, underground fires, or personal injury or death. We could potentially be held responsible. Illegal mining and theft could result in lost gold reserves, mine stoppages, and have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition.

Our operations are subject to extensive governmental and environmental regulations, which could cause us to incur costs that adversely affect our results of operations.

Our mining facilities and operations are subject to substantial government laws and regulations, concerning mine safety, land use and environmental protection. We must comply with requirements regarding exploration operations, public safety, employee health and safety, use of explosives, air quality, water pollution, noxious odor, noise and dust controls, reclamation, solid waste, hazardous waste and wildlife as well as laws protecting the rights of other property owners and the public.

Any failure on our part to be in compliance with these laws, regulations, and requirements with respect to our properties could result in us being subject to substantial penalties, fees and expenses, significant delays in our operations or even the complete shutdown of our operations. We provide for estimated environmental rehabilitation costs when the related environmental disturbance takes place. Estimates of rehabilitation costs are subject to revision as a result of future changes in regulations and cost estimates. The costs associated with compliance with government regulations may ultimately be material and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

If our environmental and other governmental permits are not renewed or additional conditions are imposed on our permits, our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Generally, compliance with environmental and other government regulations requires us to obtain permits issued by governmental agencies. Some permits require periodic renewal or review of their conditions. We cannot predict whether we will be able to renew these permits or whether material changes in permit conditions will be imposed. Non-renewal of a permit may cause us to discontinue the operations requiring the permit, and the imposition of additional conditions on a permit may cause us to incur additional compliance costs, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Labor disruptions could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Our operations are highly unionized, and strikes are legal in the countries in which we operate. Therefore, our operations are at risk of having work interrupted for indefinite periods due to industrial action, such as strikes by employee collectives. Should long disruptions take place on our operations, the results from our operations and their financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

AIDS and tropical disease outbreaks pose risks to us in terms of productivity and costs.

The incidence of AIDS in the DRC, Mali, Côte d'Ivoire and Senegal, which has been forecast to increase over the next decade, poses risks to us in terms of potentially reduced productivity and increased medical and insurance costs. The exact extent to which our workforce is infected is not known at present. The prevalence of AIDS in the countries in which we operate and among our workforce could become significant. Significant increases in the incidence of AIDS infection and AIDS-related diseases among members of our workforce in the future could adversely impact our operations and financial condition.

Malaria and other tropical diseases pose significant health risks at all of our operations in West Africa and Central Africa where such diseases may assume epidemic proportions. Malaria is a major cause of death and also gives rise to absenteeism in employees and contractors. Consequently, if uncontrolled, the disease could adversely impact our operations and financial condition.

Item 4. Information on the Company

A. HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY

Randgold Resources Limited was incorporated under the laws of Jersey, Channel Islands in August 1995, to engage in the exploration and development of gold deposits in Sub-Saharan Africa. Our principal executive offices are located at 3rd Floor Unity Chambers, 28 Halkett Street, St. Helier, Jersey, JE2 4WJ Channel Islands and our telephone number is (011 44) 1534 735-333. Our agent in the United States is CT Corporation System, 111 Eighth Avenue, New York, New York 10011.

We discovered the Morila deposit during December 1996 and we subsequently financed, built and commissioned the Morila mine.

During July 2000, we concluded the sale of 50% of our interest in Morila Limited (and also a shareholder loan made by us to Morila Limited) to AngloGold Ashanti for \$132.0 million in cash.

We have an 80% controlling interest in Société des Mines de Loulo SA, or Somilo, through a series of transactions culminating in April 2001. In February 2004, we announced that we would develop a new mine at Loulo in western Mali. The Loulo mine commenced operations in October 2005 and mines the Gara (formerly Loulo 0) and Yalea deposits. In addition, the board agreed to proceed with the development of the underground mine and, after the award of the development contract, work commenced with the construction of the boxcut at the Yalea mine in August 2006. We accessed first ore at Yalea in April 2008 with full production beginning in 2010. We commenced development of Loulo's second underground mine, Gara, and started mining in 2011. We discovered the Yalea deposit in 1997.

Table of Contents

We have an 80% controlling interest in Société des Mines de Goukoto SA, or Goukoto. The Goukoto mine commenced mining in January 2011 and processes its ore by way of a toll treatment agreement with the Loulo mine, in June 2011.

We have an 89% controlling interest in Société des Mines de Tongon SA, or Tongon. The Tongon mine commenced mining in April 2010 and first gold was produced in 2010.

We conduct our mining operations through:

- a 50% joint venture interest in Morila Limited (which in turn owns an 80% interest in the Morila mine);
 - an 80% interest in Somilo;
 - an 80% interest in Goukoto;
 - an 89% interest in Tongon; and

a 50% joint venture interest in Kibali (Jersey) Limited (which in turn indirectly owns a 90% interest in the Kibali mine).

In April 2004, Resolute Mining Limited, or Resolute, acquired the Syama mine from us. The agreement entered into in June 2004 between the parties provides for the payment of a production royalty by Resolute to us relating to Syama's production equal to \$10/oz on the first million ounces produced by Syama and \$5/oz on the next 3Moz produced by Syama. This royalty payment is capped at \$25.0 million. We received our first royalties in 2009. During 2013, quarterly royalty payments were received from Resolute throughout the year.

Effective on June 11, 2004, we undertook a split of our ordinary shares, which increased our issued share capital from 29,263,385 to 58,526,770 ordinary shares. In connection with this share split, our ordinary shareholders of record on June 11, 2004 received two \$0.05 ordinary shares for every one \$0.10 ordinary share they held. Following the share split, each shareholder held the same percentage interest in us; however, the trading price of each share was adjusted to reflect the share split. ADS holders were affected the same way as shareholders and the ADS ratio remains one ADS to one ordinary share.

On October 15, 2009, we completed the acquisition of 50% of Moto Goldmines Limited (“Moto Goldmines”), in conjunction with AngloGold Ashanti, which resulted in a 50:50 joint venture control of the Kibali mine in the DRC. On December 22, 2009 we completed a further acquisition of a 20% interest, on behalf of the joint venture, from Société des Mines d’Or de Kilo-Moto (“Sokimo”), the parastatal mining company of the DRC, resulting in an effective interest in the Kibali mine of 45%.

During November 2009, we completed the sale of our Kiaka gold project to Volta Resources Inc., for CAD\$4.0 million in cash and 20 million Volta Resources Inc. shares. During 2010, we sold 15.5 million Volta Resources Inc. shares for a net profit of \$19.3 million. We had received CAD\$4.0 million in full by the end of 2011.

Effective December 19, 2013, Volta Resources Inc. and B2 Gold Corp completed a Canadian law combination which resulted in Volta Resources Inc. becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of B2 Gold Corp. As a result of this combination we have received 898,003 shares in B2 Gold Corp in exchange for our Volta Resources Inc. shares.

Principal Capital Expenditure

Capital expenditure incurred for the year ended December 31, 2013 totaled \$303.1 million compared to \$272.2 (as restated) million for the year ended December 31, 2012, and \$351.9 (as restated) million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Significant capital expenditure will be incurred during 2014 to support the planned continued growth production, especially at Kibali, of approximately US\$310.0 million (100% of project), and the ongoing development of the underground mines at Loulo, including the paste backfill plant, where total capital at the Loulo-Gounkoto complex is forecast at US\$140.0 million. Project and sustaining capital at Tongon, including the flotation circuit expansion, is estimated at US\$25.0 million, and US\$20.0 million will be spent at Morila (100% of the project), including US\$10.0 million of preproduction costs in respect of the Pit 4S pushback. Total group capital expenditure for 2014 is expected to be approximately US\$340.0 million (attributable portion). The capital expenditure is projected to be financed out of internal funds and available credit facilities.

Table of Contents

Recent Developments

The Kibali gold mine in the DRC poured its first gold on September 24, 2013, ahead of the originally forecasted date for the fourth quarter of 2013 and the mine moved into commercial production on one mill stream during October 2013. Only the secondary crushing, flotation and concentrate handling circuits remain to be commissioned in the first quarter of 2014.

B. BUSINESS OVERVIEW

OVERVIEW

We engage in gold mining, exploration and related activities. Our activities are focused on West and Central Africa, some of the most promising areas for gold discovery in the world. In Mali, we have an 80% controlling interest in the Loulo mine through Somilo. The Loulo mine is currently mining from one large open pit, several smaller satellite pits and two underground mines. We also have an 80% controlling interest in the Goukoto mine through Société des Mines de Goukoto S.A. We own 50% of Morila Limited, which in turn owns 80% of Morila SA, the owner of the Morila mine in Mali. In addition, we own an effective 89% controlling interest in the Tongon mine located in the neighboring country of Côte d'Ivoire, which was commissioned in November 2010. We also own an effective 83.25% controlling interest in the Massawa project in Senegal where we completed a technical and financial study in December 2009. In 2009, we acquired a 45% interest in the Kibali mine, which is located in the DRC. Since that time we have updated the feasibility study and constructed the mine such that we commissioned the first mill stream in September 2013, and expect to commission the second mill stream in March 2014. We also have exploration permits and licenses covering substantial areas in Côte d'Ivoire, DRC, Mali, and Senegal. At December 31, 2013, we declared proven and probable reserves of 15Moz attributable to our percentage ownership interests in Loulo, Morila, Tongon, Goukoto, Massawa and Kibali.

Our strategy is to create value for all our stakeholders by finding, developing and operating profitable gold mines. We seek to discover significant gold deposits, either from our own phased exploration programs or the acquisition of early stage to mature exploration programs. We actively manage both our portfolio of exploration and development properties and our risk exposure to any particular geographical area. We also routinely review opportunities to acquire development projects and existing mining operations and companies.

Loulo

In February 2004, we announced that we would develop a new mine at Loulo in western Mali. In 2005, we commenced open pit mining operations at the Gara and Yalea pits. In 2010, an application was made to split the Loulo and Goukoto permits. In 2011 mining ceased in the Gara open pit. In 2013, its eighth year of production, the Loulo mine produced 308,420oz of gold at a total cash cost of \$776/oz. We currently anticipate that mining at Loulo will continue through 2028.

We commenced development of the Yalea underground mine in August 2006, where first ore was accessed in April 2008. We commenced development of Loulo's second underground mine, Gara, in 2010 with first ore being intersected during the second quarter of 2011 and stoping began in November 2011. From June 2011, ore from Goukoto was processed through the Loulo processing plant following the conclusion of a toll-treatment agreement between the two mines. The commencement of the toll-treatment of ore from Goukoto resulted in a reduction of ore processing with respect to the Loulo mine. Mining of the Yalea South pushback pit was completed in 2013. In 2013, Cemented Aggregate Fill (CAF) came into full production at both Yalea and Gara and the Gara underground conveyor and crushing system was commissioned.

The focus of exploration at Loulo is to continue to explore and discover additional orebodies within the Loulo permit.

Goukoto

The Goukoto mine is located approximately 25km south of Loulo's plant. Following the completion of the feasibility study in 2010, construction of the mine commenced in late 2010.

In January 2011, mining commenced at Goukoto. In June 2011, the Loulo plant started to treat Goukoto ore. 2012 represented the first full year of production for Goukoto. During 2013 a total of 2.0Mt of Goukoto ore at a grade of 4.7g/t was fed to the Loulo plant and 271,943oz were produced at a total cash cost of \$622/oz. We currently anticipate that mining at Goukoto will continue through 2025.

Table of Contents

The focus of exploration at Goukoto is to continue to explore and discover additional orebodies within the Goukoto permit. The viability of an enlarged pit or an underground project beneath the current pit in the Jog Zone is currently being investigated.

Morila

In 1996, we discovered the Morila deposit, which we financed and developed and was our major gold producing asset through 2009. Morila's total production for 2013 was 141,822oz at a cash cost of \$763/oz. Consistent with the mine plan, Morila ceased open pit mining in April 2009 and is currently processing lower grade stockpiles. During 2010 a study of the reprocessing of the Morila Tailings Storage Facility ("TSF") was completed and in 2011 a feasibility study on the viability of treating the TSF material, marginal ore and mineralized waste stockpiles was completed and approved by the board in January 2012. During 2012, a feasibility study on the viability of the Pit 4S pushback was completed, and approved by the board in January 2013. Closure of the operation was originally scheduled for 2013, but, together with the Pit 4S pushback and the tailings treatment projects, processing of the marginal ore and mineralized waste should extend its life to 2017.

Tongon

The Tongon mine is located within the Nielle exploitation permit in the north of Côte d'Ivoire, approximately 55km south of the border with Mali.

We commenced construction of the Tongon mine at the end of 2008, and commissioned the first stream in the fourth quarter of 2010, with first gold production being recorded. We completed and commissioned the second stream including secondary and tertiary crushing circuit and the sulfide circuit of the processing plant in 2011. Tongon has two main pits, South Zone ("SZ") and the smaller North Zone ("NZ"). In 2013, we produced 233,591oz at a total cash cost of \$828/oz. The Tongon mine has a remaining mine life of 7 years (to 2020) but has the potential to extend this with nearby discoveries and satellite pits.

The focus of exploration at Tongon is to evaluate near-mine targets with a 15km radius and Greenfield programs beyond the near-mine 15km radius.

Kibali

Our interest in the Kibali mine was acquired following the acquisition of Moto Goldmines, in conjunction with AngloGold Ashanti, and the further acquisition of a 20% interest from Sokimo on behalf of the joint venture. The Kibali mine is located approximately 560km northeast of the city of Kisangani and 180km west of the Ugandan border town of Arua in the northeast of the DRC. We are managing the development and operation of the Kibali mine.

First gold production at the Kibali mine was recorded in the third quarter of 2013. In 2013, we produced 88,200oz (first three months production) at a total cash cost of \$464/oz.

The focus of exploration at Kibali is to evaluate extension to the known deposits, especially KCD where mineralization has been confirmed.

Exploration

We are exploring in four African countries (Mali, Senegal, Côte d'Ivoire and the DRC) with a portfolio of 160 targets on 12,995km² of ground holding, of these 81 are satellite targets located near existing operations while 79 are potential stand-alone operations. We target profitable gold deposits that have the potential to host mineable gold reserves. Our business strategy of organic growth through exploration has been validated by our discovery and development track record, including the Morila mine, Loulo mine, Gounkoto mine, Tongon mine and the Kibali mine and the Massawa discovery.

OWNERSHIP OF MINES AND SUBSIDIARIES

Morila is owned by Morila SA, which in turn is owned 80% by Morila Limited and 20% by the State of Mali. Morila Limited is jointly owned by us and AngloGold Ashanti and the mine is controlled by a 50:50 joint venture management committee. Responsibility for the day-to-day operations rests with us.

Loulo is owned by a Malian Company, Somilo, which is owned 80% by us and 20% by the State of Mali.

Table of Contents

Goukoto is owned by a Malian company, Société des Mines de Goukoto SA, which is owned 80% by us and 20% by the State of Mali.

Tongon is owned by an Ivorian company, Société des Mines de Tongon SA, in which we have an 89% interest, the State of Côte d'Ivoire 10% and 1% is held by a local Ivorian company.

The Kibali mine is controlled by a 50:50 joint venture, between ourselves and AngloGold Ashanti, which holds an effective 90% interest in Kibali Goldmines SPRL. The remaining 10% of the shares are held by Sokimo, the parastatal mining company of the Democratic Republic of Congo. We thus have an effective 45% interest in the Kibali mine. Our interest in this project was acquired following the acquisition of Moto Goldmines, in conjunction with AngloGold Ashanti, and the further acquisition of a 20% interest from Sokimo on behalf of the joint venture.

We hold an effective 83.25% interest in the Massawa project. The government of Senegal retains a 10% carried interest in the project, with the balance held by our Senegalese joint venture partner.

GEOLOGY

West Africa is one of the more geologically prospective regions for gold deposits in the world. Lower Proterozoic rocks are known to contain significant gold occurrences and exist in West Africa in abundance. The Birimian greenstone belts, part of the Lower Proterozoic, which are younger than the Archaean greenstones of Canada, Australia and South Africa, contain similar types of ore deposits and are located in Ghana, Côte d'Ivoire, Burkina Faso, Guinea, Mali, Senegal and Niger. Although a significant amount of geological information has been collected by government and quasi-government agencies in West Africa, the region has largely been under-explored by mining and exploration companies using modern day technology. Most of our exploration properties are situated within the Birimian Formation, a series of Lower Proterozoic volcanic and sedimentary rocks. The West African Birimian sequences host a number of world class gold deposits and producing gold mines.

The Central African gold belts have a long history of gold production, particularly during the colonial era but due to regional instability they have seen little modern exploration. The Kibalian greenstone belts of northeastern DRC are comprised of Archaean Kibalian (Upper and Lower) volcanisedimentary rocks and ironstone-chert horizons metamorphosed to greenschist facies. They are cut by regional-scale north, east, northeast and northwest trending faults and are bounded to the north by the Middle Achaean West Nile granite-gneiss complex and cut to the south by the Upper Congo granitic complex. Our Kibali mine is located within the Moto greenstone.

Our strategy was initiated before the current entry of our competitors into West Africa and we believe that this enabled us to secure promising exploration permits in the countries of Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, Burkina Faso, and Senegal at relatively low entry costs.

ORE RESERVES

Only those reserves which qualify as proven and probable reserves for purposes of the SEC's Industry Guide Number 7 are presented. Pit optimization is carried out at a gold price of \$1,000/oz, except for Morila which is reported at \$1,300/oz. Underground reserves are also based on a gold price of \$1,000/oz.

The Morila, Loulo, Gounkoto, Tongon and Massawa open pit mineral reserves were calculated by Mr. Shaun Gillespie, an officer of the company and competent person. The Kibali open pit mineral reserves were calculated by Mr. Nicholas Coomson, an officer of the company and competent person, while the underground mineral reserves were calculated by Mr. Tim Peters, an independent consultant and competent person. The Loulo underground mineral reserves were calculated by Mr. Andrew Fox, an independent consultant and competent person. All reserves were verified and approved by Mr. Rodney Quick, our Group General Manager of Evaluation and Lead Competent Person. Total reserves as of December 31, 2013 amounted to 205Mt at an average grade of 3.6g/t, for 24Moz of gold of which 15Moz are attributable to us.

In calculating proven and probable reserves, current industry standard estimation methods are used. The geological estimates were calculated using classical geostatistical techniques, following geological modeling of the borehole information. The sampling and assaying is done to internationally acceptable standards and routine quality control procedures are in place.

All reserves are based on technical and financial studies. Factors such as grade distribution of the orebody, planned production rates, forecast working costs, dilution and mining recovery factors, geotechnical parameters and metallurgical factors as well as current forecast gold price are all used to determine a cut-off grade from which a life of mine plan is developed in order to optimize the profitability of the operation.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the declared reserves at our mines as of December 31, 2013:

| | Proven Reserves | | | Probable Reserves | | | Total Reserves | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|
| | Tonnes | Grade | Gold | Tonnes | Grade | Gold | Tonnes | Grade | Gold |
| Operation/Project++ | (Mt) | (g/t) | (Moz) | (Mt) | (g/t) | (Moz) | (Mt) | (g/t) | (Moz) |
| Morila + | - | - | - | 14 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Loulo + | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 31 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 34 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| Tongon + | 3.3 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 28 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 31 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Goukoto + | 1.9 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 15 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 17 | 4.3 | 2.3 |
| Massawa + | - | - | - | 21 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 21 | 3.1 | 2.0 |
| Kibali+ | 5.5 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 84 | 4.1 | 11 | 89 | 4.0 | 12 |
| Total | 13 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 192 | 3.7 | 23 | 205 | 3.6 | 24 |

Our attributable share of Morila is 40%, Loulo 80%, Goukoto 80%, Tongon 89%, Massawa 83.25% and Kibali +45%. The figures stated above represent the 100% values.

The reporting of mineral reserves is in accordance with SEC Industry Guide 7. Open pit reserves are calculated at a weighted average cut off of 0.96g/t and within an 1,000/oz open pit designs except for Morila which are reported ++ within a \$1,300/oz pit design. Underground reserves are reported at a weighted average cutoff of 2.42g/t, calculated at 1,000/oz gold price. Dilution and ore loss are incorporated into the calculation of reserves. Addition of individual line items may not sum to sub totals because numbers are reported to the second significant digit.

At Loulo, Goukoto, Kibali and Massawa open pit reserves, a 10% mining dilution at zero grade and an ore loss of 3% has been incorporated into the estimates of reserves and are reported as mill delivered tonnes and head grades. At the Tongon project a dilution of 15% at zero grade and an ore loss of 2% has been modeled for the Southern Zone and 10% dilution and 3% ore loss for the Northern Zone. Kibali underground dilution varies between 1% and 6.7% depending on stope design and ore loss of 3%. Metallurgical recovery factors have not been applied to the reserve figures since these are the estimates of the material to be delivered to the mill. Operating costs, metallurgical recovery, royalties, dilution and ore loss factors are used to determine the cut off grade at which to report mineral reserves. The weighted average metallurgical recovery factors used are 60.5% for the Morila mine, 93.5% for the Loulo open pit material and 91.8% for Loulo underground material, 88% for the Tongon project, 92% for the Goukoto project, 89% for the Massawa project and 88.1% for Kibali material.

MINING OPERATIONS

Loulo-Goukoto Mine Complex

The Loulo and Goukoto mines, known as the Loulo-Goukoto complex, are located in the west of Mali, bordering Senegal, adjacent to the Falémé River. The complex lies within the Kedougou-Kéniéba inlier of Birimian rocks which hosts a number of major gold deposits in Mali, including Gara, Yalea and Goukoto, Sadiola, Segala and Tabakoto as well as Sabodala across the border in Senegal. The Loulo mine officially opened in November 2005 with the approval for an underground feasibility study in the same year and underground mine development started in 2006. Goukoto was discovered in 2009. Open pit mining commenced in January 2011 and first ore was delivered to Loulo plant, under a toll treating agreement in June 2011.

The complex is effectively owned 80% by us and 20% by the State of Mali. In 2010, an application was made to split the Loulo and Goukoto permits, and a separate company was created for Goukoto in December 2010 with the same corporate structure and shareholding as Loulo. A new mining convention, which dictates the fiscal and regulatory environment applicable to the mine, was negotiated with the State of Mali and signed in March 2012. The convention includes an initial two year corporate tax holiday starting from the date of first production, and a further tax holiday, up to a maximum of five years in total, in the event of further investment such as an underground mine. It also includes royalties of 6% of revenues and a 10% priority dividend payment for the State of Mali.

Table of Contents

In 2013, gold sales totaled \$808.3 million for the year. Total royalties paid to the state amounted to \$48.6 million and cash operating costs totaled \$365.1 million, resulting in profit from mining activities of \$394.6 million. Goukoto's corporate tax holiday ended in June 2013, which contributed to the group's overall tax charge of \$76.7 million compared to \$37.1 million in 2012.

Capital expenditure amounted to \$256.3 million at the Loulo-Goukoto complex spent primarily on the underground development, backfill project, the plant upgrade (including four CIL tanks), the power plant expansion and the completion of the Goukoto infrastructure together with work undertaken on the underground feasibility study.

| Production results for the 12 months ended December 31, | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| MINING | | |
| Tonnes mined (000) | 33,188 | 38,531 |
| Ore tonnes mined (000) | 5,165 | 4,456 |
| MILLING | | |
| Tonnes processed (000) | 4,463 | 4,354 |
| Head grade milled (g/t) | 4.6 | 4.0 |
| Recovery (%) | 88.4 | 89.2 |
| Ounces produced | 580,364 | 503,224 |
| Ounces sold | 587,550 | 502,451 |
| Average price received (\$/oz) | 1,376 | 1,657 |
| Cash operating costs* (\$/oz) | 621 | 640 |
| Total cash costs* (\$/oz) | 704 | 738 |
| Gold on hand at period end# (\$000) | — | 11,961 |
| Profit from mining activity* (\$000) | 394,633 | 461,700 |
| Gold sales* (\$000) | 808,311 | 832,350 |

* Refer to explanation of non-GAAP measures provided in the section "Non-GAAP Measures" above.

Gold on hand represents gold in doré at the mines multiplied by the prevailing spot gold price at the end of the period.

LOULO*Mining and Operations*

Loulo's gold production increased by 40% from 219,745oz to 308,420oz for the year due to the underground operations achieving their ore tonnage and grade targets, as both operations focused on reducing mining dilution and Yalea started to access the higher grade Purple Patch zone of mineralization. Recoveries also increased from the second quarter of 2013 as the operation ceased feeding the copper rich Yalea South pushback ore and the processing plant completed plant upgrades which improved CIL residence time and produced other efficiency improvements. As a result of these improvements, total cash cost decreased by 1% and Loulo mining operations contributed 55% of the ore tonnes fed to the mill, which was in accordance with the plan to increase its contribution to approximately 60% of the mill feed.

Gold sales amounted to US\$436.9 million at a total cash cost of US\$776/oz resulting in a profit from mining activities of US\$194.2 million. Capital expenditure was US\$245.2 million, the majority of which was for underground development and construction of the paste backfill plant.

During 2013, Loulo underground continued to improve its production profile as a result of a solid development and backfill strategy. A total of 2,185,067 ore tonnes at 4.9g/t was hoisted to surface and 21,669 meters developed.

Yalea reached steady state production during the year while Gara continued to improve.

Table of Contents

CAF came into full production at both Yalea and Gara and the Gara underground conveyor and crushing system was commissioned. Waste filling has also been implemented in back areas, which reduces hauling costs.

Ventilation in the underground mine was considerably enhanced with the completion of two primary ventilation fans during the year.

Mining of the Yalea South pushback pit was completed in 2013 and 357,197 ore tonnes at 4.7g/t were mined at a strip ratio of 4.2. During the year, 302kt at 5.0g/t was fed to the plant while 55kt at 4.6g/t was added to the stockpile.

| Production results for the 12 months ended December 31, | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| MINING | | |
| Tonnes mined (000) | 4,251 | 9,825 |
| Ore tonnes mined (000) | 2,541 | 1,964 |
| MILLING | | |
| Tonnes processed (000) | 2,432 | 1,837 |
| Head grade milled (g/t) | 4.5 | 4.2 |
| Recovery (%) | 88.0 | 88.6 |
| Ounces produced | 308,420 | 219,745 |
| Ounces sold | 312,748 | 214,739 |
| Average price received (\$/oz) | 1,397 | 1,664 |
| Cash operating costs* (\$/oz) | 692 | 684 |
| Total cash costs* (\$/oz) | 776 | 781 |
| Gold on hand at period end# (\$000) | — | 7,212 |
| Profit from mining activity* (\$000) | 194,190 | 189,588 |
| Gold sales* (\$000) | 436,950 | 357,224 |

We own 80% of Loulo with the State of Mali owning 20%. The State's share is not a free carried interest. We have funded the State portion of the investment in Loulo by way of shareholder loans and therefore control 100% of the cash flows from Loulo until the shareholder loans are repaid. We consolidate 100% of Loulo and show the non-controlling interest separately.

* Refer to explanation of non-GAAP measures provided in the section "Non-GAAP Measures" above.

Gold on hand represents gold in doré at the mines multiplied by the prevailing spot gold price at the end of the period.

Ore Reserves

| at December 31 | Category | Tonnes | | Grade | | Gold | | Attributable gold** | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| | | (Mt) | (Mt) | (g/t) | (g/t) | (Moz) | (Moz) | (Moz) | (Moz) |
| | | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | (80%) | (80%) |
| Mineral reserves* | | | | | | | | | |
| “ Stockpiles | Proven | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.08 |
| “ Open pits | Proven | – | 0.3 | – | 4.2 | – | 0.05 | – | 0.04 |
| | Probable | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| “ Underground | Probable | 29 | 36 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 4.8 |
| TOTAL MINERAL RESERVES | Proven and probable | 34 | 40 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 5.1 |

*Open pit mineral reserves are reported at a gold price of \$1,000/oz and an average cut-off of 1.1g/t and include dilution and ore loss factors. Open pit mineral reserves were calculated by Mr. Shaun Gillespie, an officer of the company and competent person. Underground mineral reserves are reported at a gold price of \$1,000/oz and a *cut-off of 2.5g/t for Yalea underground and 2.4g/t for Gara underground, and include dilution and ore loss factors. Underground mineral reserves were calculated by Mr. Andrew Fox, an independent consultant and competent person. Addition of individual line items may not sum to sub totals because of numbers being reported to second significant digit.*

Table of Contents

*** Attributable gold (Moz) refers to the quantity attributable to ourselves based on our 80% interest in Loulo.*

Processing Plant and Engineering

During 2013, 4.5Mt of ore was milled at a reconciled head grade of 4.6g/t of which 2.0Mt (46%) at 4.7g/t was from Goukoto. The plant feed material was derived from multiple sources: the Yalea South pit, Goukoto pit and the Yalea and Gara underground operations. The commissioning of the additional 10tpd oxygen plant in the early part of 2013 increased the oxygen production and this, together with the installation of four additional CIL tanks during the third quarter of 2013, improved the overall recovery to 92.7% in the fourth quarter of 2013 (88.4% for the year). The commissioning of the pebble crusher within the crushing circuit eliminated the generation of a significant amount of scats and reduced the cost related to rehandling while also improving the overall reconciliation of the feed to the plant.

Gold production of 580,364oz was positively impacted by the higher plant throughput and higher grade, partially offset by the lower overall recovery which was mainly due to the high copper content of the Yalea South ore which was processed in the first quarter.

In the metallurgical plant, the availability of the mills and crusher was 93.9% (2012: 95.1%) and 89.3% (2012: 87.7%), respectively. Mill availability was impacted by various repairs on power lines and cables, the majority of which were upgraded during the year with some work to be completed in 2014. However, crusher availability improved steadily during the year due to the improved monitoring of key parameters and the hot vulcanising of conveyor belts.

The power plant produced a total of 258.3GWh of electricity (2012: 215.0GWh) in 2013, a 20% increase mainly reflecting the increased underground development and requirements for increased ventilation, pumping and extraction. Power stability and management systems are planned to be implemented over the next three years to manage the load and capacity increases. The power plant efficiency improved from 0.234l/kWh in 2012 to 0.232l/kWh in 2013. The eight medium speed generators were converted to heavy fuel oil towards the end of the year contributing significantly to an improvement in efficiency, as well as reducing the cost of power during the last quarter of 2013.

GOUNKOTO

Mining at Goukoto started in January 2011, although first ore was fed to the Loulo plant in June 2011 and 2012 represented the first full year of production from the mine. Total material mined during 2013 was 28.9Mt compared to 28.7Mt in 2012.

| Production results for the 12 months ended December 31, | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|--|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| MINING | | | |
| Tonnes mined (000) | 28,936 | 28,706 | |
| Ore tonnes mined (000) | 2,624 | 2,492 | |
| MILLING | | | |
| Tonnes processed (000) | 2,032 | 2,518 | |
| Head grade milled (g/t) | 4.7 | 3.9 | |
| Recovery (%) | 88.8 | 89.7 | |
| Ounces produced | 271,943 | 283,479 | |
| Ounces sold | 274,802 | 287,712 | |
| Average price received (\$/oz) | 1,351 | 1,651 | |
| Cash operating costs* (\$/oz) | 541 | 607 | |
| Total cash costs* (\$/oz) | 622 | 706 | |
| Gold on hand at period end# (\$000) | — | 4,749 | |
| Profit from mining activity* (\$000) | 200,444 | 272,112 | |

- 32 -

Table of Contents

Production results for the 12 months ended December 31, 2013 2012

| | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------|
| Gold sales* (\$000) | 371,361 | 475,126 |
|---------------------|---------|---------|

We own 80% of Goukoto with the State of Mali owning 20%. We consolidate 100% of Goukoto and show the non-controlling interest separately.

* Refer to explanation of non-GAAP measures provided in the section “Non-GAAP Measures” above.

Gold on hand represents gold in doré at the mines multiplied by the prevailing spot gold price at the end of the period.

Mining and Operations

Goukoto produced 271,943oz during 2013, slightly less than in 2012, but this was achieved through lower tonnes processed at higher grades, resulting in lower total cash costs. The lower tonnes processed was in accordance with the plan to increase production from Loulo.

In 2013, gold sales amounted to \$371.4 million at a total cash cost of \$622/oz resulting in a profit from mining activities of \$200.4 million. Capital expenditure was US\$11.1 million, the majority of which was for the underground prefeasibility study and exploration. During 2013, Goukoto paid a total of US\$136.4 million in dividends to its shareholders. During 2013, a total of 28.9Mt were mined including 2.6Mt of ore at an average grade of 4.7g/t, compared to 28.7Mt including 2.5Mt of ore at 3.9g/t in 2012. The increase in grade reflects the natural grade profile of the pit at depth. In 2013, the strip ratio for the pit was 10.0 compared to 10.5 in 2012, in accordance with the LOM strip ratio of 10.2. A total of 2.0Mt of ore was fed to the Loulo plant at an average head grade of 4.7g/t compared to 2.5Mt at 3.9g/t in 2012, a decrease in tonnes but increase in grade. In 2013, 592kt of ore at 3.6g/t was added to the stockpile, compared to 23kt ore at 3.9g/t depleted in 2012.

Goukoto Underground Project

The viability of an underground project beneath the current open pit in the Jog Zone is currently being investigated. Drilling during 2013 has identified this zone as being structurally and geologically complex and additional drilling is required in 2014 before we can generate reserves. The preliminary mine design consists of a single decline from a portal on the western side of the pit and a central spiral decline. Ore accesses will be located central to the orebody at 20 meter vertical intervals. Where the orebody is thin, less than 15 meters, ore drives will be single and where the orebody is greater than 15 meters, footwall and hangingwall ore drives will be developed. A Mining Rock Mass

Model (MRMM) has been constructed from the geotechnical logging. This has been used to determine the critical geotechnical parameters such as the Q-rating and rock mass rating to determine first pass estimates for mining methods, stope geometry and ground support.

A combination of three mining methods is likely to be used for underground mining in the Jog Zone:

overhand cut-and-fill;
longitudinal open stoping with backfill; and
transverse open stoping with backfill.

Backfill is likely to be a combination of cemented rockfill and cemented aggregate fill. The option of transporting paste material back on the ore haulage trucks, currently running between Goukoto and Loulo, will also be reviewed in the feasibility stage. A preliminary schedule has been completed which produces 5.8Mt at 6.4g/t for 1.2Moz over a 13-year period. The schedule envisions an average of 643,000tpa over the first full seven production years.

Table of Contents

Ore Reserves

| at December 31 | Category | Tonnes | | Grade | | Gold | | Attributable gold** | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| | | (Mt) | (Mt) | (g/t) | (g/t) | (Moz) | (Moz) | (80%) | (80%) |
| | | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Mineral reserves* | | | | | | | | | |
| “ Stockpiles | Proven | 1.5 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.05 |
| “ Open pits | Proven | 0.4 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| | Probable | 15 | 17 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| TOTAL MINERAL RESERVES* | Proven and Probable | 17 | 18 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 |

Open pit mineral reserves are reported at a gold price of \$1,000/oz and 1.38g/t cut-off and include dilution and ore loss factors. Open pit mineral reserves were calculated by Mr. Shaun Gillespie, an officer of the company and competent person. Addition of individual line items may not sum to sub totals because of reporting to the second significant digit.

*** Attributable gold (Moz) refers to the quantity attributable to ourselves based on our 80% interest in Gounkoto.*

*Health, safety and the environment*Loulo

The Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate (“LTIFR”) during 2013 was 0.82 against 1.59 for 2012. Two million hours Lost Time Injury (“LTI”) free events were achieved during the year. No fatalities were recorded for the fourth consecutive year. The safety management system was implemented as per the OHSAS 18001 requirement and the surveillance audit to maintain the certification was successfully completed. The malaria incidence rate was 34.0%, a 41.3% decrease compared to 2012. The HIV/AIDS awareness was intensified with 1186 VCT tests conducted, showing an HIV rate of 1.85%. Community health treated 13,020 patients while first-aid, evacuation, family planning, HIV counseling and voluntary testing free of charge were ongoing at the mine village. In addition, a widened immunization program was carried out in association with the Kenieba reference health center.

The mine’s ISO 14001 certification was retained after a successful surveillance audit during 2013. No category 1 (major) incidents occurred while there were four tanker fuel spills (same as 2012). Return water uptake increased to

78.6% from 67% in 2012 (on track to reach the 80% target by 2015). An energy saving committee was established during 2013 and a number of initiatives were developed and implemented, including a 30% reduction in fuel consumption in mining (32l/ore mined against 46l/ore mined in 2012). A computer-based biodiversity management tool was installed and implementation of the biodiversity action plan (BAP) was initiated.

Goukoto

No LTIs were recorded during the year; consequently the LTIFR decreased from 0.38 in 2012 to 0 in 2013. Three million hour LTI free events were achieved during 2013. In the process of implementing OHSAS 18001, a baseline risk assessment was completed for the entire mine and the certification audit was successfully conducted during 2013. The malaria incidence rate decreased from 78% in 2012 to 55%, following an active awareness and spraying campaign. The Goukoto mine has been certified ISO 14001 compliant. Pursuant to our environmental policy, a computer-based biodiversity management tool was developed and installed at the mine. No major environmental incidents occurred during the year.

Human Resources

Loulo

Manpower working at Loulo increased from 2,866 in December 2012 to 3,233 in December 2013.

Goukoto

Manpower working at Goukoto increased from 1,271 in December 2012 to 1,244 in December 2013.

Table of Contents

| At December 31 | 2013 | | | 2012 | | |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|
| | Expats | Nationals | Total | Expats | Nationals | Total |
| Loulo | | | | | | |
| Employees | 82 | 865 | 947 | 68 | 532 | 600 |
| Contractors | 158 | 2,128 | 2,286 | 209 | 2,057 | 2,266 |
| Total Loulo | 240 | 2,993 | 3,233 | 277 | 2,589 | 2,866 |
| Gounkoto | | | | | | |
| Employees | 6 | 157 | 163 | 5 | 48 | 53 |
| Contractors | 24 | 1,057 | 1,081 | 21 | 1,197 | 1,218 |
| Total Gounkoto | 30 | 1,214 | 1,244 | 26 | 1,245 | 1,271 |

Exploration

At Loulo, work during 2013 concentrated on generating new targets as well as follow-up programs on identified targets, most notably at Gara South and Yalea Ridge South. Drill programs confirmed that high grade mineralization extends beyond the limits of the current ore body models for both Yalea and Gara.

At Gounkoto, exploration continued to evaluate the potential for an underground mine with further drilling in the Jog Zone testing the MZ2 and MZ3 lodes of mineralization. A new high grade lode of mineralization (MZ4) was identified in the footwall of MZ3 and significant mineralization was also identified in west dipping footwall structures of MZ1. A 270 meter zone of high grade mineralization was also defined in the hangingwall of the deposit.

MORILA

The Morila mine is situated 280km south-east of Bamako, the capital of Mali. Morila is owned by a Malian company, Société des Mines de Morila SA (Morila), which in turn is owned 80% by Morila Limited and 20% by the Malian government. Morila Limited is jointly owned by ourselves and AngloGold Ashanti Limited and the mine is controlled by a 50:50 joint venture management committee. Responsibility for the day-to-day operations rests with us. Under its stewardship the mine was successfully converted from open pit mining to a stockpile treatment operation during 2009.

Closure of operations at Morila was originally scheduled for 2013 but, together with the Pit 4S pushback and the tailings treatment projects, processing of the marginal ore and mineralized waste should extend its life to 2017.

Operations

2013 gold production at 141,822oz was 10% higher than the target set at the start of the year but 30% down compared to 2012, due to lower grade and throughput. The better performance was attributable to efficient management, slightly better than forecast head grade and a higher than planned recovery rate. Despite the lower throughput, which was due to the rationalization of the milling and crushing circuit and taking the SAG mill offline, total cash cost per ounce was well contained at \$763/oz.

Gold sales for the year of US\$199.7 million were down compared to 2012 as a result of the drop in production and lower average gold price received. The profit from mining activity was US\$91.4 million, while capital expenditure amounted to US\$32.6 million, mostly in respect of the Pit 4S pushback.

Production results for the 12 months ended December 31, 2013 2012

| | | |
|------------------------|-------|---|
| Mining | | |
| Tonnes mined (000) | 6,803 | — |
| Ore tonnes mined (000) | — | — |
| Milling | | |

Table of Contents

| Production results for the 12 months ended December 31, | | | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|--|--|-------------|-------------|
| Tonnes processed (000) | | | 3,576 | 4,453 |
| Head grade milled (g/t) | | | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Recovery (%) | | | 91.3 | 91.6 |
| Ounces produced | | | 141,822 | 202,513 |
| Ounces sold | | | 141,822 | 202,513 |
| Average price received (\$/oz) | | | 1,408 | 1,663 |
| Cash operating costs* (\$/oz) | | | 679 | 659 |
| Total cash costs* (\$/oz) | | | 763 | 759 |
| Profit from mining activity* (\$000) | | | 91,418 | 183,035 |
| Stockpile adjustment# (\$/oz) | | | — | 130 |
| Attributable (40%) | | | | |
| Gold sales* (\$000) | | | 79,870 | 134,702 |
| Ounces produced | | | 56,729 | 81,005 |
| Ounces sold | | | 56,729 | 81,005 |
| Gold on hand at period end** (\$000) | | | — | — |
| Profit from mining activity* (\$000) | | | 36,567 | 73,214 |

Randgold owns 40% of Morila with the State of Mali and joint venture partner owning 20% and 40% respectively. The group equity accounts for its 40% joint venture holding in Morila. As previously reported, following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, the group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 with prior periods restated accordingly.

#The stockpile adjustment per ounce reflects the charge expensed in respect of stockpile movements during the period divided by the number of ounces sold. The total cash cost per ounce includes non-cash stockpile adjustments.

** Refer to explanation of non-GAAP measures provided in the section “Non-GAAP Measures” above.*

***Gold on hand represents gold in doré at the mines multiplied by the prevailing spot gold price at the end of the period.*

Ore Reserves

Marginal stockpiles and open pit material along with the higher grade portion of the TSF are reported in mineral reserves and form the bulk of the feed for the current life of mine plan.

| Tonnes | | Grade | | Gold | | Attributable gold** | |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| (Mt) | (Mt) | (g/t) | (g/t) | (Moz) | (Moz) | (Moz) | (Moz) |
| | | | | | | 40 % | 40 % |

| at December 31 | Category | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Mineral reserves* | | | | | | | | | |
| “ Stockpiles | Probable | 0.6 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| “ TSF | Probable | 13 | — | 0.5 | — | 0.2 | — | 0.09 | — |
| “ Open pit | Probable | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 0.08 | 0.1 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| TOTAL MINERAL RESERVES | Proven and probable | 14 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.09 |

Open pit mineral reserves are located within the US\$1,300/oz pit shell, but reported at US\$1,000/oz cut-off grade of 0.87g/t. Stockpile mineral reserves are reported at a US\$1,000/oz cut-off grade of 0.85g/t. TSF mineral reserves are reported at a US\$1,000/oz cut-off grade of 0.50g/t. Mineral reserves were calculated by Mr. Shaun Gillespie, an officer of the company and competent person.

Table of Contents

***Attributable gold (Moz) refers to the quantity attributable to ourselves based on our 40% interest in the Morila gold mine.*

Processing

In 2013, the throughput rate decreased to 436tph from 559tph in 2012. The SAG mill was taken off-line in July 2013, and the milling and crushing circuit reconfigured along with an upgraded three-stage crushing plant. In addition, the plant's oxygen system was also upgraded with the installation of a new oxygen unit designed to improve the recovery rates as well as cyanide consumption. Additional Archen reactors are due to be installed in early 2014 to further enhance oxygenation of the pulp.

Engineering

Engineering availability of 95.1% was 2.0% higher than 2012, primarily due to the decommissioning of the SAG mill which was the cause of considerable downtime during the previous year. A new secondary crusher was installed and the old secondary crushers were converted to tertiaries as part of the mill and crusher circuit rationalization following the removal of the SAG mill. The mine experienced several failures of the primary crusher's inner and eccentric bushing in September and October 2013 however management successfully repaired the necessary parts on site to address these issues ensuring the production target for the year was exceeded. A new 10tpd oxygen plant was installed and commissioned. The mine generates its own power through a diesel electrical generating station equipped with five Allen 5000 engines (6MW each). Three are producing power and two are on maintenance and standby. Consumption at 122.3GWh in 2013 was 17% lower than 2012 (139.6GWh) following the stoppage of the SAG mill, which reduced total power costs. The cost of generating electricity during the year was \$0.284/kWh.

Pit 4S pushback project

This project started in May 2013 with preproduction waste stripping and the establishment of access roads to haul and dump waste in both Pit 4N and Pit 5 (in-pit dumping areas). A new fleet was mobilized to the site, commissioned and put into production during the year. 6.8Mt of waste material was stripped during 2013. Pit dewatering was identified as critical to the mining performance and a strategy was put in place to address this. Waste stripping is expected to continue through 2014, with mining and feeding of ore planned for the second quarter of 2014.

TSF Project

During 2013, the TSF resource model and feasibility study were updated to reflect a selective mining approach. A mining schedule, using a gold price of \$1,000/oz, was produced by Fraser Alexander, the specialist TSF contractors, and it is currently envisioned that the mining and processing of the TSF will start in January 2015 continuing to the third quarter of 2017.

The plan includes:

- 44.1Mt at 0.24g/t of very low grade material stripped and pumped directly into the pit as waste; and
- 14.2Mt at 0.53g/t (241koz) of higher grade material mined and processed through the plant, with the tails also being deposited in the pit.

It is envisioned that all the TSF material will ultimately be reclaimed and deposited in the pit. The long term environmental impact and liability of depositing the material in the pit is substantially less than leaving the TSF in situ.

Agribusiness

Morila continues to implement a post-mine commercial agribusiness strategy to utilize the mine's infrastructure and provide sustainable economic activity to the local community via an agrivillage concept. The first phase of commercialization of the agribusiness pilot projects was implemented during the fourth quarter with the installation of the necessary equipment. The poultry project has almost 5,000 layer chickens in a facility which has the capacity to house 10,000 and the focus is now on researching and developing additional markets. Six fish ponds, with a production potential of 34 tonnes of fish per year have been established to breed tilapia and a feasibility study is planned to explore the possibility of 12 floating cages in the Morila fresh water dam. Additional projects include honey production, the export of mangoes and producing mango related products.

Table of Contents*Health, safety and the environment*

During 2013, Morila had one LTI, the same number as in 2012. The year to date LTIFR was 0.49 compared to 0.52 in 2012. The mine maintained its OHSAS 18001 safety certification. The malaria incidence rate decreased to 23% compared to 31% in 2012. A larviciding program was implemented and two rounds of indoor spraying took place during the year. The mine's environmental management system has successfully completed its ISO 14001 annual surveillance assessment. The mine closure plan was updated in December 2013 to meet the requirements of the government and the community and prevent or minimize the adverse long-term environmental impact and to create a self-sustaining natural ecosystem.

Human resources

During 2013, the mine's social climate was maintained and several training and employee capacity building programs were conducted. The total number of people working at the mine at the end of 2013 was 1,168, including 771 contractors supplying services to the mine.

| At December 31 | 2013 | | | 2012 | | |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|-------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| | Expatriates | Nationals | Total | Expatriates | Nationals | Total |
| Employees | 10 | 387 | 397 | 9 | 406 | 415 |
| Contractors | 11 | 760 | 771 | 6 | 411 | 417 |
| Total | 21 | 1,147 | 1,168 | 15 | 817 | 832 |

Tongon

The Tongon mine is located within the Nielle exploration permit in the north of Côte d'Ivoire, 55km south of the border with Mali. Tongon SA is owned by an Ivorian company, Société des Mines de Tongon SA, of which Randgold has an 89% interest, the government of Côte d'Ivoire 10% and 1% is held by a local company. Tongon is an open pit mining operation and employs the four standard mining practices of drill, blast, load and haul.

Operations

Performance improved significantly from 2012. Gold production of 233,591oz was up 11% as a result of the implementation of throughput and efficiency improvement projects, engineering out key process deficiencies and an overall improvement of operator skills and plant maintenance.

Electricity grid supply issues were resolved in the first half of 2013 with the third quarter seeing the targeted grid-to-generated power ratio of 98/2 being achieved for the first time. Tonnage throughput related projects were undertaken throughout the plant during the year and only the fourth key Vibrocone crusher installation remained outstanding by year end, the delay resulting from the supplier engineering-out a number of mechanical deficiencies in their design and application. Recovery related projects were also completed in 2013, including additional residence in the intensive cyanidation pumpcell section, installation of two gravity Knelson concentrators and a Gekko Intensive Leach Reactor (ILR). In addition, extensive work was done on stabilizing and effectively operating the flash flotation and fine grind Deswik milling and CIL circuits. While production at Tongon has increased, recovery improvement and efficiency initiatives completed to date have fallen short of the targeted upper 80% target. Flotation testwork and gold deportment studies completed in the fourth quarter of 2013 indicated that a rougher flotation circuit, in addition to the existing flash flotation, is necessary to achieve the targeted recoveries and a decision was taken in January 2014 to expand the float section of the plant.

Gold sales amounted to US\$329.5 million with a total cash cost per ounce of US\$828/oz, resulting in a profit from mining activity of US\$133.9 million. Capital expenditure for the year totaled US\$23.5 million, principally on projects related to tonnage and recovery improvement.

Table of Contents

Mining and production

During 2013, mining took place in SZ pit where development was based mostly on hard ore mining to supply the plant. In 2014, mining will focus mainly on the SZ pit and development of the NZ pit, mostly waste stripping.

The LOM schedule is broadly categorized as follows:

- Mining in SZ pit, which started in 2010, will continue to 2019 to the final pit bottom;

The NZ pit, where mining began in 2011, will only start mining again in the second quarter of 2014 mainly in the form of waste stripping and partly ore mining. Ore mining will continue from 2015 to 2020; and

SZ and NZ satellite pits have been introduced into the plan and the SZ oxide pit will be mined from 2016 and the NZ east pit from 2019.

Total material mined in 2013 was 27.2Mt, 34% above 2012 and total ore mined of 4.1Mt, was 11% below the prior year but was in accordance with the plan to feed more run of mine material and reduce stockpiled material. The 2013 strip ratio of 5.7 increased significantly on the previous year's 3.4, consistent with the LOM plan. SZ activities were essentially hard rock mining (ore and waste) with a cut back in oxide/saprolite which started in the hanging wall in the third quarter of 2013. Mining production improved in the second quarter of 2013 and progressed steadily throughout the year. The wet season action plan proved effective, allowing mining to continue without production stoppage during the rainy third quarter.

Groundwater and surface water management has received continued attention and was well controlled during the year. The SZ pit 260RL stage pumping installation, inclusive of pipes and tanks, is scheduled to be completed by the end of the first quarter of 2014. In the NZ pit, pumping has commenced with one pump and will continue throughout the year. Dewatering forms an integral part of the mining strategy at Tongon due to the pit lying in the catchment area of an old river system and downstream of the Water Storage Dam. Mining schedules and plans are developed ensuring two low spots or sumps in the pit at any time in the cycle allowing mining to take place in dry ground while the water is pumped away. Water is also pumped away from the NZ & SZ pits through perimeter boreholes.

Production results for the 12 months ended December 31, 2013 2012

| | | |
|--------------------|--------|--------|
| Mining | | |
| Tonnes mined (000) | 27,237 | 20,380 |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | | |
|---|---------|---------|
| Ore tonnes mined (000) | 4,081 | 4,592 |
| Milling | | |
| Tonnes processed (000) | 3,866 | 3,432 |
| Head grade milled (g/t) | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Recovery (%) | 77.0 | 77.4 |
| Ounces produced | 223,591 | 210,615 |
| Ounces sold | 236,279 | 210,396 |
| Average price received (\$/oz) | 1,394 | 1,672 |
| Cash operating costs* (\$/oz) | 786 | 722 |
| Total cash costs* (\$/oz) | 828 | 772 |
| Gold on hand at period end [#] | - | 3,268 |
| Profit from mining activity* (\$000) | 133,907 | 189,313 |
| Gold sales* (\$000) | 329,448 | 351,805 |

We own 89% of Tongon with the State of Côte d'Ivoire and outside shareholders owning 10% and 1% respectively. We funded all the investments in Tongon by way of shareholder loans and therefore control 100% of the cash flows from Tongon until the shareholder loans are repaid. We consolidate 100% of Tongon and show the non-controlling interest separately.

* *Refer to explanation of non-GAAP measures provided in the section "Non-GAAP Measures" above.*

Table of Contents

Gold on hand represents gold in doré at the mines multiplied by the prevailing spot gold price at the end of the period.

Ore Reserves

| at December 31 | Category | Tonnes | | Grade | | Gold | | Attributable gold** | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| | | (Mt) | (Mt) | (g/t) | (g/t) | (Moz) | (Moz) | (89%) | (89%) |
| | | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Mineral reserves* | | | | | | | | | |
| .. Stockpiles | Proven | 3.3 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| .. Open pits | Probable | 28 | 31 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| TOTAL MINERAL RESERVES | Proven and probable | 31 | 34 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 |

Open pit mineral reserves are reported at a gold price of \$1,000/oz and 0.84g/t cut-off and include dilution and ore loss factors. Open pit mineral reserves were calculated by Mr. Shaun Gillespie, an officer of the Company and competent person. Addition of individual line items may not sum to sub totals because of reporting to second significant digit.

*** Attributable gold (Moz) refers to the quantity attributable to ourselves based on our 89% interest in Tongon.*

Processing

During 2013, both mill availability and mill throughput increased by 13% compared to 2012, with 3,866kt of fresh ore being treated. Mill improvement projects included the upgrade of the mill cyclone pump circuits and the replacement of the tertiary Hydrocone crushers with higher throughput. Installation of finer product Vibrocone crushers was progressed during the year, with the final fourth Vibrocone crusher remaining to be done. Despite the increase in throughput, the delay in the installation of the Vibrocone crushers as a result of moving to engineer out basic manufacturer mechanical deficiencies, impacted on the mine's ability to achieve more throughput. The installation of the fourth crusher and overall optimization of the crusher circuit should see the mill tonnage throughput continue to improve towards the targeted 4.4Mtpa rate.

Gold recovery remained on a par with the previous year's performance at 77.0%. Gold production improved by 12% to 233,591oz compared to 2012, due to the 13% increase in mill throughput. Despite an increase in gold production,

recovery remained below target primarily as a result of not floating and hence not fully recovering the fine gold associated with arsenopyrite. An additional 2% gold recovery should be achievable by optimizing the existing recovery circuit but raising the recovery rate to the targeted upper 80%, will require an expansion of the flotation circuit to capture most of the sulphide in the ore.

Gold and arsenopyrite deportment studies of the Tongon plant feed have confirmed the need for a rougher flotation to effectively recover arsenopyrite associated gold. Tongon's standard CIL circuit is not recovering that portion of the gold associated with arsenopyrite which is bypassing the existing flash flotation cells. The original metallurgical testwork indicated that the bulk of the Tongon ore is amenable to cyanidation, with flash flotation in the mill circuit recovering the gold associated with arsenopyrite. In practice, this process is not recovering enough of the fine gold. The expansion of the flotation circuit is expected to address this issue by capturing the full spectrum of sulphides. An initial estimate of the cost of expanding the float circuit amounts to US\$12.0 million and completion is targeted by the end of 2014, with a payback period of eight to ten months.

Engineering and power generation

Overall mill availability was 90.2% for 2013, showing a steady improvement throughout the year and resulting in a 12.8% improvement over 2012. During the fourth quarter of 2013, grid power supply interruptions, described below, impacted the plant availability negatively, followed by extended downtime to replace and reroute the final tails pipeline in the plant. Continued engineering improvements and uplifting of local workforce skills contributed positively to the overall increase in engineering mechanical availability and subsequent improvement in mill availability.

Table of Contents*Power plant*

The power plant's overall mechanical and electrical availability in 2013 was 96%. Utilization of diesel generated power significantly decreased from 92.3% in 2011 to 21.2% in 2012 and 10.5% in 2013. The balance of the mine's power demand for the year was supplied from the national grid, which has been the primary source of electrical power since December 2011. Power consumption from the grid increased to an average of 18.7MW in 2013 as a direct result of an increase in operational availability and utilization, and an increase in the number of process units consuming power as new projects were completed during the year. Average cost of power was US\$0.13/kWh in 2013 compared to US\$0.19/kWh in 2012.

The capacitor bank installation in March 2013 and high level interaction with the Ivorian power utility (CIE) by Tongon management, significantly reduced the frequency of grid power outages during the year. By the end of the third quarter of 2013, a grid-to-generated power ratio of 98% had been achieved, significantly reducing powerhouse fuel consumption and operating costs. During the fourth quarter of 2013, a CIE transformer feeding the mine substation failed which led to some grid power instability being experienced during December. Generated power was increased to make up the deficit in the grid supply while the transformer was repaired and returned to service during January 2014.

Health, safety and the environment

During 2013, the mine achieved 207 days without an LTI, equivalent to 2,549,726 LTI free hours. The LTIFR increased, however, from 0.22 in 2012 to 0.45 in 2013. After achieving an ISO 14001 environmental accreditation in 2012, the mine also received an OHSAS 18001 safety accreditation in 2013, while maintaining its ISO 14001 certification. No class 1 or class 2 environmental incidents occurred in 2013.

Human resources

The operational labor complement for Tongon is 533, of which 93% are Ivorians. To date, 75% of the operational labor is from local villages.

| 2013 | | | 2012 | | |
|----------------|-----------|-------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| At December 31 | | | | | |
| Expatriates | Nationals | Total | Expatriates | Nationals | Total |

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|----|-------|-------|----|-------|-------|
| Employees | 39 | 494 | 533 | 28 | 382 | 410 |
| Contractors | 56 | 1,168 | 1,224 | 47 | 1,108 | 1,155 |
| Total | 95 | 1,662 | 1,757 | 75 | 1,490 | 1,565 |

Exploration

Exploration in the Nielle permit this year comprised reconnaissance drilling on near-mine targets and early stage field work, including soil geochemistry to generate new opportunities. A new skarn type model has been developed for the Tongon deposits to drive the future exploration in the permit.

Kibali

The Kibali mine is a gold development property which covers an area of 1,836km² on the Moto Goldfields in the north east of the Democratic Republic of Congo ("DRC"). It is located some 560km north east of the city of Kisangani and 150km west of the Ugandan border town of Arua. Kibali is a joint venture between Randgold (45%), AngloGold Ashanti (45%) and a Congolese parastatal, Sokimo (10%).

The mine is being operated by Randgold. The mine comprises an integrated open pit and underground operation with the core capital program scheduled to run until early 2016. It is planned that the mine will ultimately be supplied by four hydropower stations supported by a thermal power station for low rainfall periods and back-up.

Table of Contents

The Kibali mine is being developed in two phases. Phase 1 includes the KCD open pit operation and processing plant, the mine infrastructure (including a 36 unit high speed thermal power station) and the first of four hydropower stations. Phase 2 comprises the underground mine development and the remaining three hydropower stations. Once complete, the integrated open pit and underground operation is expected to produce an average of 600,000 ounces of gold per annum over the first twelve years of its life which currently extends to 2031.

Open pit mining started in July 2012 and commissioning of the oxide circuit began in the third quarter of 2013. Kibali poured its first gold in September 2013, ahead of plan, and started commercial production in the fourth quarter of 2013.

Operations

Kibali completed the commissioning of the oxide milling and metallurgical circuit at the start of October 2013 and produced and sold 88,200oz at a total cash cost of US\$464/oz. Gold production exceeded the mine's guidance, as set out at the start of the year, as a result of the early commissioning producing a higher throughput. Total cash cost per ounce were consistent with the plan. The mine made a profit from mining activity (before interest, tax and depreciation) of US\$68.3 million in the fourth quarter of 2013, and also made a bottom line profit in its first quarter of operations.

In 2013, the mine spent US\$742.9 million on capital expenditure. The capital estimate for Phases 1 and 2 of the project was updated at the end of the year and is currently estimated at US\$1.77 billion, excluding mining preproduction expenses, escalation and contingencies.

Open pit mining

Open pit mining showed a steady increase in both total tonnes and ore tonnes mined. In spite of the high rainfall and ground water, the mining team completed the year ahead of budget, delivering the required plant feed for production and increasing stockpile reserves. At the end of the year the total stockpile content included 1.6Mt of ore at 3.4g/t on medium and high grade stockpiles and 1.9Mt of low grade ore at 1.0g/t.

Only oxide and transition ore was mined during the period, with sulphide ore exposed at year end. The stripping ratio at the end of 2013 was 4.7. Dewatering of the KCD pit started during the third quarter of 2012 and resulted in uninterrupted open pit mining during the period under review. Twelve pumps were operating at year end with a dewatering rate of 71l/sec.

Processing

The plant treated 808kt of oxide material at 3.7g/t. Recoveries at 91.3% were consistent with expectations for processing the oxide material. Following the commissioning of the first oxide stream at the end of the third quarter of 2013, the second mill and feed circuit belonging to the second (sulphide) stream was commissioned and started milling oxide ore in the fourth quarter of 2013, adding to throughput. The second elution and electrowinning circuit was also commissioned and is now in operation. Only the secondary crushing, flotation and concentrate handling circuits remain to be commissioned in the first quarter of 2014, in order to complete the full sulphide recovery circuit, prior to commissioning this circuit on sulphide ore.

Engineering and power generation

The plant was commissioned using the 36 high speed thermal (diesel) generators which had been installed to meet the power needs of the mine during lower rainfall periods.

Construction and underground mine development

The project team made excellent progress during 2013 focusing on defined areas to enable construction of the first oxide stream of the metallurgical plant to be completed by the end of the third quarter of 2013, to enable the earlier than scheduled gold production.

Construction highlights achieved during 2013 include:

- oxide circuit, first and second elution circuits commissioned;
- carbon regeneration area completed;

Table of Contents

- first and second gold room electrowinning bank commissioned and operational;
 - oxide and sulphide mill installation commissioned;
 - reagents stores completed;
 - Nzoro 2 hydropower generating equipment placed in position;
 - Nzoro 2 penstock piping more than 50% complete;
- first and second CIL tailings pump train, valve train and pipeline commissioned;
 - 24 diesel generators installed and commissioned;
- construction of supporting mining infrastructure including substations, explosive magazines, completed on schedule; and
- started demobilizing contractors from site as projects completed.

Vertical shaft system

During 2013, the main sink commenced and by year end was 195 vertical meters deep. The sinking contractor, under the leadership of the mine owner's team, achieved an advance rate of 2.3 meters per 30 hour cycle at the end of the fourth quarter, consistent with contractual undertakings and the plan. Following the slower start and mobilization of equipment and personnel, we currently anticipate a normal main sink operation until shaft completion, with ground conditions proving to be favorable and water ingress below forecast.

Decline development

At the end of December 2013, the twin decline section of the development had been completed. Both declines intersected the ore body in accordance with the geological model and mine plan. Total decline development reached a distance of 3,948 meters by December 31, 2013, including east decline 1,408 meters; west decline 1,399 meters; north decline 165 meters and south decline 107 meters. The first access level to the critical 5000 lode has also been started.

Work at the Nzoro 2 hydrofacility, the first of four hydropower stations, is on track for commissioning in the first quarter of 2014, at the start of the rainy season when peak hydropower will be available. This should significantly reduce the cost of power and processing at the mine, partially offset by the increased power demands of the crushing and milling circuit when processing the sulphide ore (fresh hard rock).

Production results for the 12 months ended December 31, 2013 2012

Mining

| | | |
|--------------------|--------|-------|
| Tonnes mined (000) | 25,004 | 5,516 |
|--------------------|--------|-------|

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|----|
| Ore tonnes mined (000) | 4,335 | 97 |
| Milling | | |
| Tonnes processed (000) | 808 | — |
| Head grade milled (g/t) | 3.7 | — |
| Recovery (%) | 91.3 | — |
| Ounces produced | 88,200 | — |
| Ounces sold | 88,200 | — |
| Average price received (\$/oz) | 1,238 | — |
| Cash operating costs* (\$/oz) | 433 | — |
| Total cash costs* (\$/oz) | 464 | — |
| Profit from mining activity* (\$000) | 68,282 | — |
| Attributable (45%) | | |
| Gold sales* (\$000) | 49,153 | — |
| Ounces produced | 39,690 | — |
| Ounces sold | 39,690 | — |
| Profit from mining activity* (\$000) | 30,727 | — |

We own 45% of Kibali with the DRC State and joint venture partner owning 10% and 45%, respectively. The group equity accounts for its 45% joint venture holding in Kibali. As previously reported, following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements, the group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 with prior periods restated accordingly.

Table of Contents

* Refer to explanation of non-GAAP measures provided in the section “Non-GAAP Measures” above.

Ore Reserves

| at December 31 | Category | Tonnes | | Grade | | Gold | | Attributable Gold** | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| | | (Mt) | (Mt) | (g/t) | (g/t) | (Moz) | (Moz) | (45%) | (45%) |
| | | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Mineral reserves* | | | | | | | | | |
| “ Stockpiles | Proven | 3.6 | 0.07 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.002 |
| “ Open pit | Proven | 1.9 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.07 | 0.2 |
| | Probable | 40 | 41 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| “ Underground | Probable | 44 | 39 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 3.6 | 3.2 |
| TOTAL MINERAL RESERVES* | Proven and Probable | 89 | 83 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 12 | 11 | 5.2 | 4.9 |

Open pit mineral reserves are reported at a gold price of \$1,000/oz and an average cut-off of 0.88g/t cut-off and include dilution and ore loss factors. Open pit mineral reserves were calculated by Mr. Nicholas Coomson, an officer of the company and competent person. Underground mineral reserves were reported at a gold price of \$1,000/oz and a cut-off of 2.4g/t and include dilution and ore loss factors. Underground mineral reserves are calculated by Mr. Tim Peters, an independent consultant and competent person. Addition of individual line items may not sum to sub totals because of reporting to two significant digits.

**Attributable gold (Moz) refers to the quantity attributable to ourselves based on our 45% interest in the Kibali gold mine.

Health, safety and environment

The LTIFR rate decreased materially in 2013, from 2.48 in 2012 to 0.59 in 2013 following sustained efforts to increase safety awareness. In addition a material decrease in the malaria incidence rate from 70.3% in 2012 to 61.1% in 2013 showed a marked improvement in Kibali’s health. The Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) was undertaken according to IFC performance standards and the Equator Principles before construction began. In accordance with DRC legislation the environmental management plan (EMP) was successfully subjected to an annual independent audit.

Human resources

The size of the workforce employed in the construction process of Kibali has grown from 4,485 in 2012 to 7,401 employees at the end of 2013, the bulk of which are employed in the construction activity by contractors. A key development during the year was the successful negotiation and finalization of the Mine Level Agreement (MLA) in October. This agreement clarifies and elaborates on many aspects of the Labor Law and effectively establishes the rules of the management and union partnership, for the production phase of the mine.

At December 31 2013

2012

| | Expats | Nationals | Total | Expats | Nationals | Total |
|-------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|
| Employees | 54 | 462 | 516 | 22 | 159 | 181 |
| Contractors | 1,561 | 5,324 | 6,885 | 423 | 3,881 | 4,304 |
| Total | 1,615 | 5,786 | 7,401 | 445 | 4,040 | 4,485 |

Exploration

Exploration continued to focus on extensions to the known deposits, especially KCD where mineralization has been confirmed a further 450 meters down plunge of the current orebody model, while the up plunge continuity of the 5000 lode into Durba Hill offers additional open pit ore. Follow-up work on early stage targets close to the mine continued to return encouraging results especially at Mofu where additional high grade oxide material was identified.

Table of Contents

EXPLORATION REVIEW

We have a portfolio of projects within some of the most prospective gold belts of both West and Central Africa. We have exploration projects in five African countries hosting 160 targets on 12,995km² of groundholding. Of these, 81 are satellite targets located near existing operations while 79 are potential stand-alone operations.

Mali

Loulo

Work during 2013 concentrated at all levels within the resource triangle: generating new targets, follow-up programs on identified targets and evaluating extensions to the main deposits of Yalea and Gara.

Deep drilling at Gara and Yalea deposits

Deep drilling programs below the current ore models at both the Gara and Yalea deposits have highlighted the potential for extensions to high grade mineralization. Intersections include Gara: 8.8 meters at 4.75g/t from 1,082.2 meters, and Yalea: 10.7 meters at 4.67g/t from 1,310.1 meters. These targets provide the mining operations with additional opportunities. A trade off study will determine whether follow-up work on these targets should be completed from underground or surface. Further infill drilling at depth in Gara as well as in the higher grade Purple Patch at Yalea are upgrading the model ahead of mining.

Baboto

The Baboto target extends over a strike length of 3.5 kilometers and currently hosts three deposits: Baboto North, Baboto Central and Baboto South. RC drilling was completed with 14 RC holes for 1,099 meters. Much of this work was infill drilling confirming extensions to mineralization at both the southern and northern limits of the southern zone deposit. One hole drilled at Baboto North has highlighted the potential of a short strike length lode of high grade mineralization with an intersection of 99.0 meters at 4.08g/t from 7.0 meters, including 12.0 meters at 17.84g/t from 29.0 meters.

Yalea Ridge South

The Yalea Ridge South target is located at the southern end of the Yalea Ridge structure. The target was identified through lithosampling and mapping along the larger structure in 2012. Follow-up trenching and reconnaissance drilling have returned encouraging results: YRSRC006 - 13.0 meters at 6.38g/t from 20.0 meters and 44.0 meters at 1.54g/t from 80.0 meters; YRST4 - 2.9 meters at 5.96g/t; YRST04A - 36.0 meters at 3.07g/t; YRST04B - 6.6 meters at 6.80g/t; and YRST04C - 4.2 meters at 3.20g/t. There are two generations of folds, an overturned set verging towards the southeast and plunging towards the southwest and a set of upright isoclinal folds plunging towards the south. The model at Yalea Ridge South is of a set of stacked, gently south dipping mineralized sedimentary units with high grade mineralization forming plunging shoots along the axes of fold hinges. This model will be further evaluated during 2014.

Sansamba West

This target is located 1.5 kilometers to the north of Yalea Ridge South on the Yalea Ridge Structure and has a coincident gold in soil anomaly and ground geophysical induced polarization (IP) anomaly. Eleven rock samples returned an average grade of 7.36g/t. A recently completed trench has returned two intersections: 21.5 meters at 3.36g/t and 10.4 meters at 2.51g/t associated with altered sediments. Two previous RC holes returned encouraging intersections: YRSRC01 - 14.0 meters at 1.48g/t and 23.0 meters at 1.39g/t; and YSRC02 - 19.0 meters at 1.31g/t. Follow-up work including trenching and drilling is planned for 2014.

Gara South

The Gara South target is located immediately south and along strike from the Gara deposit. It is structurally complex and underlain by tightly folded limestones and clastic sediments which have been intruded by a number of intrusive rocks from mafic to felsic in composition. The target area is covered by transported alluvial gravels from the adjacent Falémé River which not only masks the underlying geology but also the surface geochemical response from mineralization. Ferruginous shears have returned encouraging mineralized intersections in trenches: GST01 - 15.0 meters at 5.46g/t; and GST02 - 17.9 meters at 2.91g/t including 10.2 meters at 4.55g/t. Subsequent RC drilling beneath the trench and along strike, over 800 meters, returned weaker results than anticipated with a best gold intersection of 15.0 meters at 1.32g/t. Detailed logging has revealed a complex pattern of shears and folds, with fold hinges plunging to the southwest at 30 degrees. Mineralization identified to date is associated with brecciated and altered sediments as well as porphyry intrusions. Further exploration will be undertaken in 2014 to determine the potential economic viability of the target.

Table of Contents

Loulo underground

Exploration and infill grade control drilling continued at both Yalea and Gara underground mines with a total of 124 holes for 21,413.5 meters drilled, including three holes drilled from surface targeting gaps within the resource model to the north of the inferred boundary at Gara. The drill programs were designed to infill the resource model prior to mining as well as to improve the confidence limit and reduce the risk south of Gara.

At Yalea, 45 holes for 9,155 meters were drilled to infill blocks ahead of scheduled mining during the year, test low grade blocks within the model and explore the strike and down dip extensions of the Purple Patch. Drilling confirmed the geology, structure and alteration characteristic of the Purple Patch and the gold tenure where mineralization is associated with shearing and brecciation of a sedimentary host rock and massive sulphide. The following work was undertaken:

23 holes were drilled to close the gaps inside the Purple Patch confirming the model and returned a weighted average intersection of 7.5 meters at 7.15g/t compared to 8.9 meters at 5.65g/t in the block model.

Three holes (YUDH347, 348 and 349) targeted the lower fringes of the Purple Patch to investigate the possible down dip of the high grade mineralization. However, gold assay results did not confirm an extension and the lower boundary remains as currently modeled.

Other holes targeted the medium grade system plunging south, but grades were much lower than the block model prediction. Mineralized intersections include: YUDH326 - 1.5 meters at 0.8g/t; YUDH327 - 7.7 meters at 3.13g/t; YUD H328 - 37.0 meters at 0.83g/t; YUDH280 – the weighted average width/grade being 6.3 meters at 1.62g/t versus 10.2 meters at 3.63g/t of the reserve model.

At Gara, 79 holes for 12,258.5 meters were drilled of which three holes for 1,942 meters were drilled from surface to reduce the drill spacing at the north of the inferred zone and also reduce the risk in this part of the deposit.

Work included:

The three holes drilled from surface confirm the geology model and resource model with a weighted average intersection of 9.4 meters at 6.53g/t.

18 diamond holes for 1,845 meters were drilled from CD3 to test large but low grade zones within the resource model to the north of the deposit. The holes confirmed the steeply dipping geometry of the orebody, but returned narrower higher grade intersections than currently modeled. The weighted average grade was 8.4 meters at 6.26g/t compared to 12.7 meters at 4.94g/t for the resource model. However four holes (GUDH 273 to 276) drilled showed a low grade zone emerging within the higher grade ore that requires further definition drilling.

Of the holes drilled to the south, five returned low grades, but the rest compared well with the resource model. Lenses of internal waste, mainly greywacke, were also encountered in some of the boreholes.

A total of 21 diamond holes for 4,140 meters were drilled from 140L stockpile to close the gaps and also investigate lower grade blocks adjacent to high grade zones within the resource model. All holes confirmed the modeled geology and alteration. The weighted average actual grade for those holes was 9.4 meters at 3.85g/t compared to 8.1 meters at 4.42g/t from the resource model, showing an increase in width but drop in grade.

Table of Contents

Goukoto

During 2013 a total of 39 diamond drill holes for 19,293 meters were completed to upgrade the geological confidence in the deposit as well as to test new target areas for additional ounces in the Jog Zone, hangingwall and southern iron structure.

Initial work at the beginning of the year focused on the conversion of inferred resources to the indicated category at depth in the Jog Zone. Gold assay results, while confirming the geological model, continue to highlight the variable nature of the grade distribution in this area. Highlights of this program include two holes which intersected significant mineralization in a west dipping footwall structure to MZ2: GKD398 - 24.6 meters at 8.02g/t; and GKD399, drilled 25 meters to the north, returned 11.2 meters at 1.64g/t. In MZ3: GKDH383 returned 24.6 meters at 15.25g/t, including 14.9 meters at 20.62g/t; and GKDH400 - 26.6 meters at 10.52g/t confirm a shallow plunge to mineralization which is open to the north, representing a further opportunity to follow-up in 2014.

Drilling has also intersected a new high grade lode of mineralization (DSGT07 - 25.7 meters at 9g/t, from 65.0 meters, including 8.0 meters at 20.96g/t) in the footwall of MZ3 which has been named MZ4. This was subsequently followed up by four RC holes for 576 meters which returned some significant results: MZ4RC01 - 21.0 meters at 5.61g/t from 113.0 meters; MZ4RC03 - 15.0 meters at 6.83g/t from 122.0 meters including 3.0 meters at 22.93g/t; and MZ4RC04 - 5.0 meters at 3.20g/t from 39.0 meters including 1.0 meter at 10.50g/t. More recently four diamond holes for 961 meters were drilled with the best result coming from hole MZ4DH04 - 42.3 meters at 4.71g/t from 53.1 meters. To date mineralization has been confirmed over a strike length of 160 meters and to vertical depths of 150 meters. The current model for MZ4 is of a NNW striking, west dipping lens of high-grade mineralization located within the Goukoto mine corridor sequence of deformed and altered sediments. It locates to the immediate east of the unaltered greywacke ridge which hosts the P64 eastern zone mineralization, separated by a major ductile shear. A program of five trenches has been completed to the north of MZ4 and confirmed the continuation of the mineralized structure for an additional 300 meters with a weighted average intersection of 3.6 meters at 2.30g/t. Follow-up work on these results will continue during the 2014 field season.

Recent advanced grade control drilling has also intersected significant mineralization in the footwall of MZ1 which dips to the west as opposed to the easterly dipping main zone of mineralization and extends outside of the limits of the current pit design. Results include: GKAGCRC771 - 48.0 meters at 7.96g/t; GKAGCRC811 - 35.0 meters at 5.60g/t; GKAGCRC817 - 17.0 meters at 4.19g/t and 30.0 meters at 1.76g/t; GKAGCRC825 - 55.0 meters at 3.96g/t; and GKAGCRC831 - 41.0 meters at 8.55g/t. This new data has been incorporated in a new geological model which will produce an updated resource estimate and pit design.

The hangingwall mineralization at Goukoto locates 200 meters above and to the east of the main deposit lodes and extends the full length of the orebody. The most prospective part of this structure occurs over a 500 meter length where the main zone of Goukoto (MZ1) is at its narrowest, in the pinch zone. Work including drilling and relogging

of core has updated the geological model for the hangingwall mineralization. Drilling has subsequently concentrated on a 270 meter segment of this structure which has returned the following results: GKDH043 - 9.4 meters at 5.60g/t from 147.6 meters; GKDH052 - 22.6 meters at 8.23g/t from 112.4 meters; GKDH219 - 12.3 meters at 4.92g/t from 153 meters; GKDH333 - 11.0 meters at 6.90g/t from 190.6 meters; GKDH393 - 14.2 meters at 1.54g/t from 212.8 meters; and GTDH17E - 53.4 meters at 4.97g/t from 114 meters. A program of advanced grade control drilling has been completed as further follow-up within the limits of the US\$1,000/oz pit shell. The results have confirmed continuity of mineralization with a weighted average intersection of 14.06 meters at 3.52g/t from 31 holes. The results also indicate a southerly plunge to high grade mineralization.

One diamond hole was drilled to test the possible extension of high grade mineralization intersected during a program of advanced grade control drilling on the iron structure in the south of the deposit: GKAGCRC771 - 37 meters at 8.87g/t. Hole 401 intersected the structure but mineralization was weaker returning 28.2 meters at 1g/t, however it does indicate the structure continues and alteration and mineralization are open to the south, requiring a follow up study.

Goukoto region

There are 10 early stage targets which locate adjacent to major structural discontinuities on the Goukoto permit. The results of an Induced Polarisation (IP) ground geophysical survey has enabled three targets to be prioritized for follow-up work: Sahnou, Djiguibah and Findogoleh. By year end work had started at Sahnou.

Table of Contents

Sahnou target

Sahnou is located 600 meters to the west of Faraba W target and extends over an 800 meter strike on the southern continuity of north-south Toronto South structure (Silica-Carbonate-Albite), with a coincident one kilometer long soil anomaly.

The structural setting is similar to the Goukoto wrench zone where north-northwest structures intersect north-south iron bearing structures resulting in dilation and preferential sites for gold mineralization. The favorable host rock is an argillaceous quartzite with hematite, albite, chlorite, sericite alteration and local magnetite.

Previous work over the target area included one trench (FT42 - 10 meters at 1.19g/t), one diamond hole (FADH016 - 12 meters at 1.54g/t from 84 meters and 3.85 meters at 4.22g/t from 135.5 meters), one RC hole (FARC037 - 2 meters at 3.56g/t from 88 meters) and one RAB hole (FWRAB046 - 27 meters at 1.32g/t from 15 meters).

Trench 42 was extended and returned an encouraging intersection of 13.6 meters at 6.98g/t including 5.4 meters at 15.83g/t associated with a strongly altered (Si-Ca-Alb) north-south orientated east dipping shear with a chlorite-haematite overprint. Additional trenching prior to reconnaissance drilling will be completed in 2014.

Western Mali

Bakolobi (Taurus Gold joint venture)

As part of our long term growth strategy in western Mali, we have signed a joint venture agreement with Taurus Gold on the Bakolobi permit which is along strike to the north of Papillon's Fekola project and to the south of Goukoto. Randgold is to fund all costs up to and including the completion of a pre-feasibility study for the project and will make minimum annual work commitments to acquire 51% of the project. Thereafter Randgold may earn up to 65% in the joint venture by funding the preparation of a feasibility study, if Taurus elects not to or fails to fund its proportionate share of the cost. Following the completion of the feasibility study, each party will be required to fund its proportionate share of all development and mining costs, failing which its participating interest will be subject to dilution.

In summary, the area of interest at Bakolobi is a north-south trending corridor of altered sediments, anomalous in gold, which locates between large albite altered diorites to the west and a large granodiorite to the east. This area of interest, in common with the environs of the Falémé River to the north and south, is covered by paleo-alluvial deposits which can be up to 30 meters thick.

A ground geophysical gradient array IP survey has been completed and this data together with field mapping, rock sampling and gold in soil geochemistry is defining drill targets for the 2014 field program.

Bambadji (Iamgold joint venture)

The Bambadji project, although in Senegal, is located within the Loulo-Gounkoto district. It has been a difficult year for the project, with a long wet season stopping field work for a large part of 2013. Despite that, work has progressed on the permit with mapping, lithosampling, pitting and trenching programs eliminating the Coward, Bandiasse and North Kolgold targets due to a lack of potential. During the year, 11 new targets were generated from further analysis of the regional data where there are gaps in the historical work.

Other fieldwork during the year focused on three targets: Mid Kebewest and Cowson, which are on the same structure close to the contact between the Kofi formation and the Falémé volcanic belt, and Zonze which is located in the center of the Bambadji permit and will be the focus of exploration programs in 2014.

SENEGAL

During 2013, exploration in Senegal concentrated on the Massawa deposit with the completion of an orientation grade control study on a portion of the Central Zone deposit, where there are two phases of gold mineralization: an early disseminated phase and a later quartz antimony vein system. A similar study was also completed on the high grade North 2 zone of mineralization. Due to the refractory nature of the Massawa deposit, exploration has also been evaluating targets with the potential to deliver 2Moz of non-refractory ore to supplement the ore feed.

Table of Contents

Massawa

The Massawa gold project locates within the Kounemba permit in eastern Senegal which geologically lies within the 150 kilometer long Mako greenstone belt. The Mako greenstone belt comprises mafic-ultramafic and felsic volcanic rocks intruded by granitoids. A regional crustal scale shear zone, the Main Transcurrent Shear Zone (MTZ) with a northeast-southwest trend, exploits the lithological contact between the Mako and the Dialé-Daléma supergroups and is the host structure to mineralization at Massawa. Randgold controls the groundholding over more than 50% of the strike extent of this very prospective belt.

A total strike length of 8.5 kilometers has been drilled, but only a four kilometer portion of this has been evaluated for the present mineral resource modeling and has been drill tested to a 50 by 50 meter spacing to vertical depths of 640 meters. There are two main zones of mineralization, Northern and Central. They are part of the same northeast trending mineralized structure, which has been offset by north-south belt discordant structures. The mineralized system occurs at a volcanic/ sedimentary contact, where a prominent and continuous lapilli tuff unit acts as a marker horizon. The host sequences have been intruded by felsic dykes, gabbros and granitic bodies, particularly in the Central Zone. Mineralization is hosted in a variety of rocks including greywackes, volcanoclastics and both mafic (gabbros) and felsic intrusives. The mineralized system is however structurally controlled and deformation is essentially brittle-ductile. The alteration assemblage is composed of sericite, silica, carbonate, pyrite and arsenopyrite.

Gold mineralization formed in two phases: an early phase was composed of fine disseminated pyrite and arsenopyrite while the later stage is a shallow level gold system where quartz-stibnite and a large range of antimony-bearing minerals host coarse native gold.

A 5 by 5 meter grade control orientation program was completed on the Central Zone of the Massawa deposit over a strike length of 140 meters, to better understand the grade distribution and controls to mineralization. The results identified seven mineralized lodes and three main quartz antimony structures, compared to two structures in the previous model, and two low grade mineralized lodes.

Following geological and statistical analysis of the mineralized lodes defined by the drilling, the grades and widths of the new lodes are:

| | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| . | Ore 1: 6.42 meters at 2.21g/t |
| . | Ore 2: 6.46 meters at 3.21g/t |
| . | Ore 3: 6.95 meters at 3.66g/t |
| . | Ore 4: 5.91 meters at 3.87g/t |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| . | Ore 5: 11.63 meters at 7.16g/t |
| . | Ore 6: 3.52 meters at 5.15g/t |
| . | Ore 7: 2.59 meters at 2.56g/t |

This drilling, which covers just 10% of the strike of the Central Zone, has significantly upgraded our understanding of the high grade mineralization. The opportunity is that the selective mining of these units provides a high-grade, low tonnage, gravity-recoverable ore which is a requirement of the larger, refractory Massawa orebody. Additionally these grades could support underground mining and the size of the Massawa system provides good potential for the hosting of additional zones of this material. Further drilling is required to delineate this mineralization due to its high variability.

A similar grade control orientation study was completed over a 150 meter segment of the high grade North 2 orebody. The results confirm the consistent grade with no high nugget values and a weighted average intersection of 9.78 meters at 7.94g/t was returned. A second (eastern) zone of mineralization was confirmed through the grid and represents a gain compared to the old model. This zone returned a weighted intersection of 2.5 meters at 3.5g/t. Mineralization at North 2 is hosted by a sedimentary package of rocks and is bounded in both the hangingwall and the footwall by carbonaceous schists, as well as the presence of a footwall gabbro.

Work continued on metallurgical studies. Drilling collected 30t of samples which were sent to Hazan Laboratories in Denver, USA for geochemical analysis as well as pilot plant test work.

Table of Contents

Satellite targets

Exploration work to date has highlighted the potential mineable mineralization from six satellite deposits around Massawa: Sofia, Bambaraya, Delya, Kawsara, Tina and Tombo. Preliminary metallurgical bottle roll testwork returned good recoveries in the range of 75% to 97%, apart from Delya which returned 40% and has a similar refractory nature to Massawa. While the grade is low the results support the prospectivity of the region. However, no drilling was completed on these deposits during 2013.

Regional potential

An updated target generation exercise has been completed whereby data layers were integrated and new layers were added: Geology maps and soil geochemistry data (As, Mo, Ag, Sb and W) drill, trench and pit data and the new geophysical layers (Mako belt airborne magnetics and ground surveys). Ten targets have been added to the resource triangle for evaluation in 2014.

Sangola (Goldstone joint venture)

Randgold entered into a joint venture agreement with Goldstone Resources on their wholly owned Sangola project in Senegal during 2013. Subsequent exploration work which included the completion of 156 RC holes for 10,155 meters evaluating four defined targets: Thiabedji, Baraboye, Thiobo and Ibel. Gold assay results, while confirming a bedrock source to the surface anomalism, have not identified a significant hydrothermal system capable of hosting a deposit capable of meeting Randgold's strategic filters.

Côte d'Ivoire

During 2013 exploration work has been focusing on the evaluation of satellite targets to the Tongon mine and the discovery of potential stand-alone deposits within the company's extensive permit portfolio countrywide.

At Tongon, Phase 1 drilling programs on targets close to the mine failed to return results warranting follow-up work and have been removed from the resource triangle. Mapping and sampling continue on the next set of targets to identify further drill opportunities. The southern part of the permit remains underexplored (except for the Koulivogo area), despite the high soil anomalies. Priority areas in this part are: Oleo North, Oleo South, Koulivogo East, Sougo

and Nafoun East. As well as the anomalous soil results, the targets also offer intersecting structures and rheological contrasts in the geology. Towards the northern end of the permit, Soloni East and Soloni South will be investigated as the southern extension of the Natogo corridor in the Diaouala permit.

A new ore genesis model for the Tongon deposits has been developed. The deposit has skarnlike calc-silicate alteration assemblages. The zonation is typical of many skarns, with more garnet than pyroxene at proximal locations to the granodiorite intrusion and pyroxene greater than garnet at distal locations. The reduced nature indicated by the presence of lollingite, pyrrhotite and arsenopyrite is consistent with gold only skarns. The alteration has been mapped over an area that extends across as much as 100km² and the implications on gold mineralization and exploration models continues to be investigated, including the intrusions which were responsible for driving the hydrothermal system.

Diouala

The Diouala permit is located between the Nielle permit to the north and Gryphon's Banfora project in Burkina Faso. Exploration over the permit has mapped and sampled numerous mineralized structures but surface sampling and drilling to date have generally only obtained narrow low-grade intersections which have little economic potential. Work has since focused on the +7 kilometer Soundou-Natogo prospective corridor, characterized by strong hydrothermally altered and brecciated rocks hosted by two shear zones which return high grades (+5g/t) from chip sampling. These two shear zone segments and their immediate surrounding areas are the most prospective parts of the Diaouala permit from a structural perspective. The two right hand bends may be part of an incipient east-northeast-trending transfer zone within the Senoufo Belt, similar to the much more extensive and penetrative transfer feature which cuts the belt in the Tongon area.

Table of Contents

At Natogo, a total of 225 samples were taken and 100 of them returned values ranging from 0.1 to 5.4g/t from highly hydrothermally brecciated, silicified and strongly oxidized rocks with boxworks and local fresh sulphides. Of these samples, 65 returned a weighted average grade of 1.5g/t. This has delineated a northeast trending corridor 400 meters long and up to 50 meters wide which is currently being evaluated with a program of surface trenches.

Boundiali

At Boundiali, a first phase of reconnaissance aircore drilling (362 holes for 17,695 meters) was completed to test the Fonondara and Katiali targets. Gold assay results have returned wide low grade anomalous envelopes which do not meet Randgold's investment filters to support further work. The next level of targets, which includes the Baya-Kassere corridor and Sani, is being tested with field mapping, pitting and trenching. This will also cover the priority targets which remain untested along the volcanic belt/sedimentary basin.

Mankono

The Mankono permit is located 160 kilometers to the southwest of Tongon and to the south of the Boundiali permit and is centered where the Boundiali and Senoufo greenstone belts merge.

The geology is composed of Birimian volcano-sedimentary units of basaltic to andesitic volcanics and sediments consisting of argillite, greywacke and conglomerates. This sequence is bordered to the east and to the west by granitic gneiss. Numerous mafic and ultramafic plutonic rocks intrude the geological units throughout the permit.

The key target is a 21 kilometer long regional gold in soil anomaly which displays all the required parameters of an attractive target, such as a lithological anomaly (contrast between andesite, volcanoclastics and intrusives), geophysical anomaly, major shear zones, a large regional fold and significant soil signature. Infill soil sampling, accompanied by rock sampling and geological mapping, has started over the anomaly to delineate the target better.

Fapoha

Gold in soil geochemistry and field mapping have identified 11 targets, four of which have been prioritized for follow-up work including one which has a similar geological and structural setting to Tongon with anomalism on the margin of a granodiorite intrusion.

Regional geological work and future permitting.

Côte d'Ivoire is a mineral-rich country of over 322,000km² that is almost entirely underlain by the same prospective gold-rich Archean and Lower Proterozoic (Birimian) formations that extend into Ghana, Mali, Burkina Faso and Liberia. It is only in recent years that exploration has produced growth in gold reserves and mines have been developed. In 2013 there were three mines in operation producing in excess of 13 tonnes of gold.

The country's economy has been driven by agriculture: it is the world's largest cocoa producer. With little past focus on the mining sector there is a paucity of geological data and at present it is up to the mining companies to collect the basic information.

With the development of the Tongon project, a key strategic initiative was to consolidate an exploration footprint in northern Côte d'Ivoire adjacent to the mine. This has been achieved, and we are busy testing a portfolio of targets there. We have also embarked on a project to evaluate the rest of the country in terms of geological prospectivity to prioritize areas for future permitting.

Table of Contents

Democratic Republic of Congo

Kibali

At Kibali, exploration has continued to focus on the extensions at the known deposits of the project. Follow-up work on early stage targets at the base of the resource triangle has also started.

KCD deposit

At KCD a program of 12 diamond holes for 6,883 meters was drilled. Gold assay results confirm the continuation of high grade mineralization: DDD577 - 51.7 meters at 6.20g/t including 19.0 meters at 10.90g/t; DDD578 - 40.6 meters at 9.19g/t including 22.6 meters at 16.05g/t and 20.0 meters at 5.90g/t (9000 lode FW); DDD580 - 28.0 meters at 4.50g/t including 8.0 meters at 12.40g/t; and DDD581 - 27.4 meters at 4.50g/t. High grade mineralization remains open up plunge in the inferred category and new zones of mineralization in the footwall have been identified. These require modeling and further drilling will be coordinated in consultation with the mine planning department.

Drilling was also completed to test the down plunge potential of the 3000 and 5000 lodes where mineralization remains open: DDD563A - 23.0 meters at 1.78g/t from 276.0 meters; 57.0 meters at 2.10g/t from 327 meters, 27 meters at 7.18g/t from 491.8 meters and 77.2 meters at 3.29g/t from 563.8 meters. Hole DDD587 confirmed the continuation of these lodes returning the following intersections: 3000 lode - 9.2 meters at 1.09g/t from 419.4 meters; 5000 upper lode - 5.4 meters at 1.29g/t from 564.6 meters and 13.0 meters at 2.34g/t from 580.0 meters; 5000 middle lode - 21.8 meters at 3.48g/t from 626.0 meters; and 5000 lower lode - 12.40 meters at 4.54g/t from 666.0 meters. The final hole DDD588 returned 6.4 meters at 37.80g/t from 615.4 meters. Mineralization has been confirmed to extend 450 meters beyond the limits of the current orebody model at vertical depths which are still above the depth of the shaft. Results from the drill campaign will be modeled and analyzed to determine the economic potential of this zone followed by a program to drill out, either from surface or at a later date from underground.

A drill program (7 holes for 1,770 meters) to test the upplunge continuation of the 5000 lode into the Durba Hill area of the KCD deposit was also completed during the year. This extension had not been previously drilled due to old mine infrastructure, including the Durba plant which has since been demolished. Three of the seven holes were extended to test an area of high grade inferred mineralization in the 9000 lode. Gold assay results have been received and confirm the continuation of the 5000 lode mineralization to surface on Durba Hill. Results include: DDD591 - 18.5 meters at 3.99g/t from 28.8 meters; and DDD593 - 4.7 meters at 5.64g/t from 0.0 meters and 26.0 meters at 10.20g/t from 15.0 meters. This data is being incorporated into a new KCD open pit design. The results from two holes extended to intersect the 9000 lode and have returned the following intersections: DDD591 - 16.3 meters at 3.42g/t from 326.1 meters; and DDD592 - 19.8 meters at 3.44g/t from 317.7 meters. The results suggest the 9000 lode

is thinner and occupies a higher elevation than initially modeled, and indicates a review of the current geological and mineralization wireframe is required.

Following the acquisition of the Kibali gold project in 2009, we were able to immediately increase the reserves from 5.5Moz to 10Moz by completing a geological model of the KCD deposit. Over the last four years work has been completed, not only on the KCD deposit but also on the entire resource inventory, including field mapping, relogging of diamond drill core and RC drill chips and the generation of geological models, updated resource models and economic assessments. At the same time drilling continued on the main KCD deposit targeting both infill drilling and extensions to known mineralized lodes. This work has now been integrated into a new geological model and this data was incorporated into a new mine schedule resulting in a reserve increase to 12Moz. We believe there is still a lot of upside at Kibali from the main KCD deposit, the known satellite deposits and early stage targets.

Mengu Hill

At Mengu Hill, five holes were cored down plunge beyond the US\$1,000/oz reserve pit where a historic hole, MDD043A, returned 8.0 meters at 2.80g/t and 35.2 meters at 3.72g/t. Gold assay results from the five holes confirmed the continuity of mineralization including: MDD061 - 29.0 meters at 5.74g/t from 246.0 meters; MDD064 - 12.2 meters at 11.64g/t from 223.0 meters; and MDD070 - 4.4 meters at 4.07g/t from 256.6 meters. The results indicate the high grade mineralized lode is ovoid in shape with a diameter of 25 meters and is open down plunge to the north. In addition two holes were drilled in the center to convert inferred resources to indicated status and returned good results.

Table of Contents

Rhino–Agbarabo

At Rhino, results from trench and drill programs completed in 2013 returned a 25 meter diameter rod of mineralization grading above 3g/t. Mapping and sampling has identified the potential for further small but high grade rods of mineralization. A ground IP geophysical survey is planned for 2014 to aid the mapping of the subsurface geology in an area which has sparse outcrop prior to further drill testing.

Mofu

The Mofu target is located approximately three kilometers north northwest of the Mengu Hill Deposit. Trenching over a gold in soil anomaly highlighted a mineralized zone with a weighted average intersection of 12.2 meters at 7.8g/t extending over 300 meters. The mineralization is associated with sugary quartz and magnetite, and plunges shallowly to NNE, subparallel to the plunge of a stretching lineation. A sericitic schist shear marks the footwall and hangingwall contacts in the trenches.

A drill program to test the continuation of mineralization down plunge was completed. Six diamond holes of 611.5 meters and eight RC holes of 472 meters were drilled. Gold assay results in general support the mineralization intercepted in the trenches near surface, but it decreases in grade and thickness further down plunge limiting the potential. All of the geological data is being integrated into a model to determine the economic viability of an open pit.

Isiro (Kilo Goldmines joint venture)

In the fourth quarter of 2012, we announced a new joint venture with Kilo Goldmines in the DRC which provides the company with access to 1,920km² of prospective Archaen age geology over the Northern Ngayu and Isiro greenstone belts in NE DRC. Work started with a helicopter based reconnaissance study resulting in a preliminary geological interpretation based on remote sensing data and field data, revealing Kibalian aged volcano-sedimentary sequences with ironstone horizons. Sixteen target areas were identified and investigated in the field, confirming the prospective structural setting as interpreted from remote data.

Subsequently regional soil sampling has been completed over the Ngayu greenstone belt following the collection of 2,414 samples over a 400 meter by 200 meter grid.

The gold in soil results returned three gold anomalies worthy of follow-up surface exploration work. These have been named Yambenda, Bonzuzu and Mbese. The most significant of these is Yambenda which measures 9.5 kilometers by 1.5 kilometers at 50ppb. The anomaly coincides with the flanks of a prominent ridge truncated by the southwest flowing Nepoko River. Geologically the anomaly overlies a volcano sedimentary package and appears to be spatially associated with a steeply dipping banded iron formation trending northwest.

Soil sampling has started on the neighboring Isiro greenstone belt. A total of 364 samples have been taken but at the time of this report no assay results had been received.

Generative work and new business

The company's exploration strategy, which is supported by a team of 70 geoscientists, is based on access to quality mineral rights and the generation of targets.

The correction in the gold price in 2013 has created an opportunity for Randgold to acquire new exploration ground while at the same time rationalizing its current portfolio and reinforcing the strategic filters. Four principal areas of focus have been identified for 2014:

- The Mako Belt of eastern Senegal, which hosts the Massawa deposit;
- The Loulo-Gounkoto mining district (western Mali/eastern Senegal);

Table of Contents

. Côte d'Ivoire; and
. Northeastern DRC.

Consequently exploration has been terminated in southern Mali and Burkina Faso due to a combination of factors: lack of access to prospective ground, poor exploration results and no recent world class discoveries. While on-the-ground physical exploration has been stopped, both areas have been handed to the generative team to reintegrate data layers, review regional interpretations and generate new target areas for potential future permitting or joint venture, if the industry slowdown continues.

- 54 -

Table of Contents**MINERAL RIGHTS AND ORE RESERVES**

Table of mineral rights at December 31, 2013

| Country | Type | Area (km²) | Area (miles²) | Equity (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Mali | | | | |
| Loulo | EP | 263 | 101 | 80.0 |
| Morila off lease | EEP | 132 | 51 | 100.0 |
| Goukoto | EP | 100 | 35 | 80.0 |
| Morila* | EP | 200 | 77 | 40.0 |
| Bena | EEP | 16 | 6 | 80.0 |
| Dinfola | EEP | 139 | 54 | 80.0 |
| Massabougou | EEP | 125 | 48 | 90.0 |
| Diele | EEP | 110 | 42 | 90.0 |
| Bakolobi* | EEP | 120 | 46 | 51.0 |
| Cote d'Ivoire | | | | |
| Nielle | EP | 751 | 290 | 89.0 |
| Boundiali | EEP | 1,320 | 510 | 81.0 |
| Dabakala | EEP | 191 | 74 | 81.0 |
| Diaouala West | EEP | 399 | 154 | 81.0 |
| Diaouala East | EEP | 399 | 154 | 81.0 |
| Mankono | EEP | 704 | 272 | 81.0 |
| Tiorotieri | EEP | 86 | 33 | 89.0 |
| Kouassi Datekro N | EEP | 350 | 135 | 89.0 |
| Kouassi Datekro C | EEP | 396 | 153 | 89.0 |
| Kouassi Datekro S | EEP | 400 | 154 | 89.0 |
| Fapoha North | EEP | 387 | 149 | 81.0 |
| Fapoha South | EEP | 398 | 154 | 81.0 |
| Senegal | | | | |
| Kanoumba | EEP | 621 | 240 | 90.0 |
| Miko | EEP | 84 | 32 | 90.0 |
| Dalema | EEP | 401 | 155 | 90.0 |
| Tomboronkoto | EEP | 225 | 87 | 90.0 |
| Bambadji* | EEP | 315 | 122 | 51.0 |
| Sangola* | EEP | 471 | 182 | 51.0 |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | | | |
| Kibali | | | | |
| 11447 | EP | 227 | 88 | 45.0 |
| 11467 | EP | 249 | 96 | 45.0 |
| 11468 | EP | 46 | 18 | 45.0 |
| 11469 | EP | 92 | 36 | 45.0 |
| 11470 | EP | 31 | 12 | 45.0 |
| 11471 | EP | 113 | 44 | 45.0 |
| 11472 | EP | 85 | 33 | 45.0 |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | | | | |
|------------|-----|--------|-------|------|
| 5052 | EP | 302 | 117 | 45.0 |
| 5073 | EP | 399 | 154 | 45.0 |
| 5088 | EP | 292 | 113 | 45.0 |
| Kilo* | | | | |
| 2226 | EEP | 137 | 53 | 51.0 |
| 2227 | EEP | 137 | 53 | 51.0 |
| 2229 | EEP | 126 | 49 | 51.0 |
| 2230 | EEP | 154 | 59 | 51.0 |
| 2231 | EEP | 196 | 76 | 51.0 |
| 2285 | EEP | 196 | 76 | 51.0 |
| 2286 | EEP | 184 | 71 | 51.0 |
| 2287 | EEP | 182 | 70 | 51.0 |
| 2288 | EEP | 172 | 67 | 51.0 |
| 2289 | EEP | 194 | 75 | 51.0 |
| 2290 | EEP | 189 | 73 | 51.0 |
| 2291 | EEP | 190 | 73 | 51.0 |
| Total area | | 12,995 | 5,014 | |

- 55 -

Table of Contents

EP – Exploitation Permit
 EEP – Exclusive Exploration Permit
 * Subject to a joint venture agreement

Annual ore reserve declaration at December 31, 2013

| At December 31, | Category | Tonnes (Mt) | | Grade (g/t) | | Gold (Moz) | | Attributable gold (Moz) | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------|-------------|------|------------|------|-------------------------|------|
| | | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES | | | | | | | | | |
| Kibali | | | | | | | | 45 % | 45 % |
| | Proven | 5.5 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| | Probable | 84 | 79 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 11 | 11 | 5.0 | 4.7 |
| Sub total | Proven and probable | 89 | 83 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 12 | 11 | 5.2 | 4.9 |
| Loulo | | | | | | | | 80 % | 80 % |
| | Proven | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | Probable | 31 | 38 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 4.1 | 4.9 |
| Sub total | Proven and probable | 34 | 40 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 5.1 |
| Goukoto | | | | | | | | 80 % | 80 % |
| | Proven | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | Probable | 15 | 17 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| Sub total | Proven and probable | 17 | 18 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| Morila | | | | | | | | 40 % | 40 % |
| | Proven | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | Probable | 14 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Sub total | Proven and probable | 14 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Tongon | | | | | | | | 89 % | 89 % |
| | Proven | 3.3 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | Probable | 28 | 31 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| Sub total | Proven and probable | 31 | 34 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Massawa | | | | | | | | 83 % | 83 % |
| | Probable | 21 | 21 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Sub total | Proven and probable | 21 | 21 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| TOTAL RESERVES | Proven and probable | 205 | 201 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 24 | 25 | 15 | 16 |

The reporting of mineral reserves is in accordance with Industry Guide 7. Pit optimizations are carried out at a gold price of US\$1,000/oz, except for Morila which is reported at US\$1,300/oz. Mineral reserves are reported at a cut-off grade based on US\$1,000/oz gold price within the pit designs. Underground reserves are also based on a gold price of US\$ 1,000/oz. Dilution and ore loss are incorporated into the calculation of reserves.

Addition of individual line items may not sum to sub totals because of rounding.

- 56 -

Table of Contents

Mineral Rights and Permits

The following map shows the position of our current permits in West Africa:

- 57 -

Table of Contents

The following map shows the position of our current permits in Central Africa:

Although we believe that our exploration permits will be renewed when they expire, based on the current applicable laws in the respective countries in which we have obtained permits, there can be no assurance that those permits will be renewed on the same or similar terms, or at all. In addition, although the mining laws of Mali, Côte d'Ivoire, Senegal and DRC provide a right to mine should an economic orebody be discovered on a property held under an exploration permit, there can be no assurance that the relevant government will issue a permit that would allow us to mine. All mineral rights within the countries in which we are currently prospecting are state-owned. Our interests effectively grant us the right to develop and participate in any mine development on the permit areas.

- 58 -

Table of Contents

SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

This section highlights the key sustainability challenges facing our business, how we are addressing them and some of our achievements in this field from 2013. It has been produced according to the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) G4 Guidelines and the Mining and Metals Supplement. This section presents an overview of our sustainability performance, covering material aspects. The data on environmental, safety and economic impacts presented covers all six of our operational and development sites situated in Mali, Côte d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of Congo and Senegal. With the exception of GHG emissions, it excludes our offices and guesthouses. The data used to populate this document is collated on a monthly basis and reviewed by management. The scope and measurement methods have not changed if compared to our previous sustainability supplement published in May 2012.

Our sustainability governance

In recent years, there has been continued improvement in the systems and processes that we use to understand, measure, manage and report on sustainability issues at a group level. This section provides a basic outline of our management approach, governance and corporate systems with regard to sustainability.

Stakeholder engagement

Our corporate strategy is to create value for all our stakeholders. Stakeholder engagement is a critical part of business for us. We pride ourselves on an active and meaningful program of stakeholder engagement each year which enables us to deepen our relationships, understand our stakeholders' needs and priorities and which feeds into our management practices. The main stakeholder categories we engage with are shareholders, employees, local communities, non-governmental organizations (NGO's), government, unions, suppliers and contractors, and media. At the heart of our engagement this year was a 'materiality assessment', undertaken in accordance with the guidelines of the GRI G4 reporting process. The materiality assessment was part of an extensive program of stakeholder engagement undertaken in 2013 through channels such as formal meetings, roadshows, correspondence and site visits. We conducted a 'materiality assessment' in 2013, i.e. we considered the significance of various sustainability issues on our business and also asked stakeholders to identify issues of concern that influenced their assessments and decisions about us. The process was carried out in accordance with the GRI G4 guidelines.

The 19 most material issues identified by the assessment were:

§ Safety: We are an employee-focused company and committed to providing the safest possible working environment.

§ **Community engagement:** The support of local communities around our mines is central to our social licence to operate.

§ **Water pollution:** We carefully monitor the cleanliness of all water in and around our mines to protect our employees and local communities.

§ **Cyanide management:** We have strict policies and procedures in place to ensure the responsible use and management of cyanide.

§ **Local and national employment:** Ensuring high levels of local and national employment is a fundamental part of our business strategy.

§ **Energy use and efficiency:** Minimizing energy use is core to our mining process and to the development of our local communities.

§ **Bribery and corruption:** We have zero tolerance for bribery or corruption and endeavor to combat corruption whenever necessary.

§ **Revenue transparency:** We make publicly available a full economic statement disclosing our payments of taxes, duties, royalties, salaries, procurements and other payments.

§ **Local procurement and partner development:** It is our policy to maximize, as far as possible, the local economic benefits and sustainable development impacts of our operations.

§ **Staff training and skills transfer:** The optimization of local talent and training of national employees to world-class standards is at the heart of our approach to human capital.

§ **Legal compliance:** We fully comply with all legislation in all jurisdictions.

§ **Resettlement and compensation:** We are committed to minimizing involuntary resettlement and where resettlement does need to take place our policies are in line with national legislation and the IFC's Performance Standards.

Table of Contents

§ **Environmental incidents:** Good environmental stewardship is essential to our business and we carefully measure and manage environmental incidents.

§ **Community development and investment:** It is our policy to maximize, as far as possible, the local economic benefits and sustainable development impacts of our operations.

§ **Local economic development:** It is our policy to maximize, as far as possible, the local economic benefits and sustainable development impacts of our operations.

§ **Waste management:** We are committed to ensuring all hazardous, organic and inorganic waste generated by our mines is safely and sustainably disposed of.

§ **HIV/AIDS:** HIV/AIDS is a significant consideration in our host countries and we operate a stand-alone program to help prevent the spread of the disease.

§ **Malaria:** Diseases such as malaria are a major threat to our workforce and local communities and we operate a stand-alone program to reduce malaria incidence around our mines.

§ **Occupational health:** The health and well-being of our employees is crucial to the success of our business.

Sustainability governance structure

Measuring our environmental and social impacts is key to our management of sustainability. At ground level, on each mine, we have a sustainability manager who measures results against KPIs to ensure our policies are being implemented. KPIs include environmental data such as energy and water use; workforce data such as lost time injuries and community data such as complaints and comments from grievance posts.

Each line manager reports to the mine general manager, who in turn is answerable to the respective mine board (chaired by our CEO), and to our group sustainability executive, who in turn reports to the CEO and the board. Our sustainability executive and CEO also meet quarterly with the general managers from each mine to form our board's environmental and social oversight committee. This committee is responsible for identifying and managing strategic sustainability risks and opportunities and its quarterly reports are tabled for review at the group board of directors meetings. The group executive committee reviews sustainability performance weekly. Our board has ultimate oversight of all sustainability issues and takes an active role in testing strategic direction, monitoring performance and ensuring our policies remain appropriate.

Openness and transparency are central parts of our management approach to sustainability. Our reporting on sustainability is completed in accordance with the GRI and undergoes external assurance. Last year our sustainability reporting was confirmed as a B+ GRI application level and this year our report has been assembled 'in accordance - core' with the new GRI G4 guidelines. This is indicative of our commitment to constantly evolving the transparency and effectiveness of our sustainability management systems.

Key policies and processes

All our projects are managed by a set of board-approved standards and policies. These set out the principles, frameworks and management system requirements for all aspects of sustainability. They include the use of the internationally recognized OHSAS 18001 standard for our health and safety management and ISO 14001 standard for environmental management. Both of which are independently audited.

Other key policy commitments that govern all our projects include our overarching Code of Conduct (including our expectations on bribery, whistleblowing and fraud), Human Rights Policy and Conflict Free Gold Policy and these are described in more detail in this report and are available on the company's website at www.randgoldresources.com. We have zero tolerance for corruption and we do not offer, pay or accept bribes of any form.

Our sustainability-related policies form a central part of induction training for all employees and contravention leads to automatic disciplinary action, including the potential termination of employment. All staff, sub-contractors and service providers acting on our behalf are expected to adopt and follow these standards and policies. We also promote comparable standards in contractors and associate companies

Table of Contents

Where appropriate we include achievement of sustainability targets as a component of bonus-based remuneration packages. For example, part of both our CEO's and CFO's annual bonus payments is dependent on achieving a Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate of below 0.6%.

Our value chain

Apart from a small percentage sold through the Malian company, Kankou Moussa SARL, all our gold is sold to Rand Refinery (Pty) Limited ("Rand Refinery"), which has an internationally-respected reputation for integrity and ethics. Rand Refinery is able to certify that its entire chain of custody is responsible and 'conflict free', and this certification is independently audited. It is also a member of the World Gold Council and the Responsible Jewellery Council. This gives us assurance that the onward distribution of our gold proceeds in a responsible way. We do not buy any minerals from artisanal miners.

Creating sustainable economic value

Our core activities make a significant contribution to the state revenues of host countries. We create value through host country taxation on profits, employee taxes, indirect taxes, taxes paid by suppliers, investments in infrastructure and dividends through our joint ownership structures. We supplement these core contributions with community-led projects in health, education and local economic development.

Working with Suppliers

We multiply the economic benefits of our projects by prioritizing procurement from host country suppliers. We always procure from local suppliers if the right level of expertise exists. Where a local contractor is not able to meet the quality standards we require, we try to facilitate skill training or mentoring support from leading international companies in order to build local capacity. For example, in 2013 we encouraged Shell to hold workshops with Mali-based hydrocarbon suppliers Ben&Co and Petro Yara on transportation safety. Locally based contractors offer more security of supply, better value over the long term and help us achieve our strategic goal to grow together with our host countries.

All suppliers must respect our sustainability policies, and mandatory clauses to uphold our human rights and anti-corruption policies are included in all supplier and contractor contracts. For example, all contractors at our Kibali mine are required to have an environmental, health and safety (EHS) officer in place, trained in our EHS policies and providing weekly updates on EHS performance or risk being removed from site. This helps improve the quality and

standards of local businesses and builds their capacity to access opportunities with other multinational companies in the future.

Where appropriate we also help build thriving African supply chains. For example, in 2013 we connected local maize farmers in Orientale Province near Kibali with the World Food Program's efforts to provide food relief in nearby South Sudan as well as with Nile Breweries in Uganda - facilitating deals for the ongoing provision of 300 tonnes a year of grain and construction of a permanent new grain storage facility.

Local Economy

Any successful modern economy needs excellent infrastructure. Roads, bridges, power lines and mobile phone networks help traders to do business, children to go to school, families to access healthcare and customers to shop. Such infrastructure is also essential for the viability of our projects; however such basic infrastructure is rarely present when we arrive in the remote parts of emerging Africa where our mines are based. We therefore partner with governments to help build the necessary infrastructure that makes our mines feasible and which catalyze regional development. For example, as part of the construction of Kibali we have invested over US\$23.8 million in roads and power lines. Our work to refurbish the Nzoro 1 hydroelectricity station there has enabled around 900 homes to gain access to electricity for lighting and our investment in the Doko-Aru road has boosted the enormous growth of Kokiza market. We proactively seek to foster and grow this sense of an economic 'buzz'. The areas around most of our mines now have banks, petrol stations, motorbike dealerships, boarding houses and shops and we support this economic activity through initiatives such as entrepreneur days, which encourage national companies to invest around the mine area. One of our commitments for 2014 will be to sponsor entrepreneur days in the area around the Tongon mine in Côte d'Ivoire.

Table of Contents

Leaving an economic legacy

At the very start of operations we agree a closure plan for the mine area with a budget set aside to ensure sufficient financial resources to meet closure obligations. Throughout operations we then invest in non-mining based economic activity, training for alternative employment and continuous rehabilitation of land.

Our oldest operational mine is Morila and our newest is Kibali, and despite their contrasting life expectancies both were implementing closure planning work last year. Morila is close to the end of its life but still supports around 1,200 direct jobs (it employed around 2,000 during peak operations). The closure plan is using the mine's infrastructure to grow four community-led agribusiness sectors (poultry farming, tilapia fish farms, mangos and honey), which after several years of pilot projects moved to commercial scale production last year. For example, units are now in place to farm 10,000 hens, 34 tonnes of tilapia and potentially 300 tonnes of mango for export per year. Between 2013 and 2017, these four sectors are expected to produce total revenue of more than US\$6.0 million.

At Kibali we have also been actively implementing closure planning, even though the mine is not expected to cease production until 2030. For example, we are working on bringing in investors to establish a palm oil processing factory based on the mine concession which will produce cooking oil, soap and other products, using palm oil sourced from local plantations. The project will provide thousands of long term skilled jobs in the plant and in local agriculture if it receives final government approval as expected in 2014. One of our key considerations when working with the inward investor was their social and environmental credentials and as part of our due diligence processes, we looked at their sustainability policies and track record.

Working in conflict zones

All three host countries of our currently-operational mines have suffered some level of civil conflict over the last decade. Côte d'Ivoire has emerged from the ravages of a civil war in 2002-2003 and fighting over a disputed election in 2010-2011. In 2012, northern Mali suffered a terrorist insurgency that prompted French and Malian military forces to intervene, while the vast DRC is still slowly recovering from a war that lasted from 1994-2003. Part of the way we manage this conflict risk is to ensure we meet our obligations under national mining codes and the expectations of international rules and conventions. In the DRC for example, we have an active engagement with representatives from MONUSCO (the United Nations Organization Stabilization Mission in the DRC) to ensure clear protocols are in place should a conflict situation arise. In all our countries of operation we have legally binding mining conventions that guarantee fiscal stability, govern taxes applicable and allow for international arbitration in the event of force majeure or a dispute. In 2013, we reinforced these commitments by implementing a Conflict Free Gold Policy, to ensure that the gold we produce is delivered in a manner which does not fuel armed conflict, fund armed groups or contribute to human rights abuses associated with such conflicts. The key to our approach is the deep partnerships that we form with our host countries and communities. In troubled times we have found that the overriding considerations of common interests and shared values reassert themselves.

community development

Our policies and processes

Our policies reflect the high priority we give to community development. At the heart of our approach is frequent engagement and a commitment to lifting the quality of life and economic opportunities of our host communities. At the early exploration stage of a project we oversee a wide-ranging communication program and establish a grievance mechanism to ensure all local communities understand our plans and have a chance to engage. Once a mine becomes operational we form community development committees (“CDCs”) that consist of local leaders and representatives from women and youth groups and which are responsible for ongoing communications and decisions on how to allocate a community investment budget. The budget is designed to reflect a mine’s production and projects are chosen by the community, although they must fall within our five broad categories for sustainable development: education, primary health, food security, potable water and local economic development. Long term sustainability is important to us, and if a school, health clinic or water system is built we work closely with the CDCs to ensure that it can be maintained after the mine has closed. Where possible we partner with local NGOs to harness their knowledge and expertise too.

Performance Assessment

Through community-led committees we have helped put in place vital health and educational infrastructure across all five of our mine sites. Since we started we have drilled 136 boreholes, built or equipped several health clinics, nurse and midwife facilities and funded the construction of 91 new classrooms. Around Loulo-Gouunkoto more than 3,000 children are now in school compared to just 297 in 2005. In 2013, our community-led committees invested a total of US\$1.61 million across all five mines. Spend by CDCs has more than tripled since 2011 and increased by 94% on our 2012 total, reflecting the start of community spending at Kibali and increased allocations at three out of four of the other mines. Of this total over US\$422,000 (26%) was spent on education and approximately US\$222,000 (14%) on local economic development projects. One of our aims next year will be to encourage a higher proportion to be spent on economic development projects. Our company has also established a charitable foundation, the *Nos Vies en Partage Foundation*, operating separately from the company and with broad aims to help tackle the social development challenges that affect poor communities in our host countries.

Table of Contents**Annual CDC expenditure**

| US\$ | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Loulo | 435,211 | 222,448 | 91,691 |
| Goukoto | 406,198 | 115,347 | n/a |
| Morila | 147,872 | 190,748 | 189,925 |
| Tongon | 343,459 | 304,191 | 164,721 |
| Kibali | 284,826 | n/a | n/a |
| Total | 1,617,566 | 832,734 | 446,337 |

Using microfinance as a community development tool

One of the avenues we take to foster economic development is to encourage national microfinance institutions (MFIs) to expand to our mine areas. This catalyzes entrepreneurialism where there are no banks or access to other kinds of credit. We provide initial capital to an appropriately accredited local MFI on a long term, interest free loan and help them get established in the area through support such as office space. At Morila we work with CAMIDE, an MFI which has now sponsored around 15 projects, and at Tongon, as of last year, with PAMF-CI (Premiere Agence de Microfinance – Côte d'Ivoire). In late 2013 we provided seed funding of approximately US\$100,000 to PAMF-CI and basic office infrastructure in the Tongon village. At Kibali in 2013 we initiated a unique pilot project with around US\$10,000 of seed funding to try a new approach to microfinance lending.

Grievance mechanism*Our policies and processes*

As with any long term relationship, addressing problems is vital to success. So managing a fair and accessible grievance mechanism is critical to our community relations approach. We provide places with paid attendees, some open daily, where community members can lodge, record and receive responses to a grievance if they feel they have been unfairly treated or discriminated against in a non-work related disagreement. We commit to providing an initial response to all grievances within one week. We consider our grievance mechanism to be an extremely valuable channel of communication with local communities. Alongside public meetings, radio broadcasts and our community development work, it is a chance for us to understand what is important, build relations and to stop issues becoming problems. The proportion of grievances that have been resolved and the issues raised are a matter discussed at the mine board level. Our respective mine boards hold the mine management to account for responding effectively and appropriately to all complaints.

Performance assessment

Grievance data for 2013 is displayed below. In total, 99% of grievances were successfully resolved across all sites in 2013, following a rate of 94% in 2012. This increase reflects a continued improvement in RAP management at Kibali and better compensation methods. The reason the number of grievances at Kibali was significantly higher than other mines is because the grievance mechanism is the primary route by which individuals affected by resettlement are provided recourse for compensation appeals. Aside from RAP-related claims the grievances covered a range of issues including complaints about dust, vehicle damage to property and water filters that needed replacing. All were resolved amicably. Some of the most serious incidents involved a land compensation issue in Côte d'Ivoire which necessitated a court hearing, and a protest in communities around Gounkoto about a

Table of Contents

unilateral increase in power cost by the power utility company. Although the latter was aimed at the utility company, the grievance mechanism facilitated access for the communities to the appropriate authorities.

| | Grievances registered | Grievances resolved | | Grievances registered | Grievances resolved |
|----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| at December 31 | 2013 | 2013 | | 2012 | 2011 |
| Loulo | 8 | 8 (100 | %) | 5 | 2 |
| Morila | 2 | 2 (100 | %) | - | 1 |
| Tongon | 22 | 22 (100 | %) | 16 | 5 |
| Goukoto | 1 | 1 (100 | %) | 10 | 7 |
| Kibali | 1,142 | 1,130 (99 | %) | 1,013 | 369 |
| Total | 1,175 | 1,163 (99 | %) | 1,044 | 384 |

Human rights and security*Our policies and processes*

Our group-wide human rights policy was adopted in 2012 and integrates a set of human rights best-practice requirements including zero tolerance for any exploitative, forced or compulsory labor, including child labor. The policy supplements our code of conduct, applies to all operations and is part of induction training for all staff and contractors. The policy also protects the rights of indigenous people. We aim to pro-actively promote international human rights standards and include a clause in all our supplier and contractor agreements that binds them to comply with our human rights and anti-corruption policies. We ensure that our mines do not provide benefits to any armed groups who have committed or been credibly accused of human rights abuses. As part of our implementation of this policy we have also created a separate category within our grievance mechanism so that any human rights related grievances can be anonymously reported and addressed.

We utilize private security services to protect our mines, while relying on governmental forces for security of tenure and law enforcement in the surrounding communities. We have four ways in which our human rights policy is implemented: due diligence procedures prior to recruitment (including a requirement to be accredited according to UN); contractual requirements; compulsory training for all security providers in the UN Voluntary Principles on Business and Human Rights and a formal disciplinary procedure should any personnel be subject to credible allegations of serious human rights abuse. Currently there is no specific group requirement for external human rights audits.

Performance assessment

The group's mining operations have never been subject to any allegations of serious human rights abuses or breaches of humanitarian law, and this remained the case in 2013. Throughout the year there were no significant incidents resulting in injury to security personnel and all security personnel active in 2013 have received human rights training. We have signed agreements in place with all relevant states governing our interaction with security forces based on the UN Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights. In the DRC we continue to work closely with MONUSCO who provide practical help to ensure all security personnel and local police are trained in the voluntary principles.

There was one human rights related grievance registered in our grievance mechanism in 2013. This occurred at Kibali when security guards employed by one of our contractors based themselves in a house without paying any rent to the owner. When this was reported through the grievance procedure our security manager intervened and ensured the rent was paid. The security sub-contractors were subsequently changed.

RESETTLEMENT

Our policies and processes

The creation of a new mine can sometimes involve the need to move people from their homes to be resettled away from the mine's Exclusion Zone. It can be one of the most sensitive challenges that a mining firm can face.

Table of Contents

We are committed to minimizing involuntary resettlement, however if resettlement does need to take place our policy, consistent with national legislation and the IFC's Performance Standards, is to ensure that the resettled person's standard of living is improved, or at least restored. Lengthy and wide-reaching consultation is fundamental to our approach. Our engagement begins during the feasibility study of a project and includes a public participation process (PPP) which uses locally elected community committees as a way to discuss options and issues, alongside radio broadcasts, meetings with tribal and religious leaders and open forums attended by the CEO. The results of the PPP are incorporated in a Resettlement Action Plan (RAP) which is then also put forward for further community consultation. Our policies are designed to maintain community structures wherever possible and ensure that we compensate fairly in mitigation for any adverse effects on the community where they cannot be avoided.

Performance assessment

This year we completed the Kibali RAP which has been singled out by our CEO as one of the company's greatest achievements and which contributed to Randgold receiving the DRC's prestigious Prix d'excellence award for corporate responsibility in November 2013. The Kibali RAP process started in early 2010 and the last family was settled in October 2013. In total more than 21,000 people (4,216 households) have been successfully resettled to the new town of Kokiza. Over 2,600 households were resettled in 2013 which completed the resettlement and means we have no projected resettlement for 2014. Our aim for all resettled people has been to provide an improved socio-economic situation with better quality housing, improved access to water and electricity and the provision of title deeds. The long term handover of the management of the town to community led structures and committees is now underway and will be the main challenge for 2014. An independent audit of the resettlement was carried out in March 2013 which measured progress of the still-to-be completed activity against the commitments made in the RAP. It found full or partial compliance with over 85% of the criteria and we have worked to address the remaining areas of non-compliance since.

Artisanal and small-scale mining (ASM)

Our policies and processes

ASM provides a basic livelihood to millions of people across Africa, however it becomes illegal if the individuals concerned operate on concessions where a company has exclusive mineral rights. Illegal ASM on our sites is a significant issue for us because it can create tensions in local communities due to health, human rights or local crime factors. It can also cause environmental damage especially if the chemicals used get into water supplies. We have a 'no conflict' and 'no invasions' policy in regard to artisanal mining communities present on or adjacent to our sites. This means that we rely on partnerships with national, regional and local governments to enforce exclusion zones and discourage ASM activity. Wherever possible, we offer alternative livelihoods for ASM participants (also known as orpailleurs) including work on our mines or in new economic sectors such as agriculture. Where orpailleurs are not interested in alternative employment we seek to offer a designated site, approved by government, where they can work

without causing harm to others and be gently introduced to the alternative livelihoods on offer. We do not buy any minerals from artisanal miners. However we regularly monitor the presence of ASM communities on or near our mine sites and have a policy of active dialogue and consultation with the orpailleurs. Ultimately, the existence of the ASM community is linked to poverty and it is only through sustained economic growth for the whole region that a viable long term solution can be found.

Performance assessment

ASM activity is present on two of our mine complexes: Kibali and Loulo-Gountoko. At Kibali around 2,000 artisanal miners have been successfully removed from the Exclusion Zone since the start of the project either by relocation or through offers of alternative employment. Our partners SOKIMO provided an alternative site for the orpailleurs with support to help the relocation. In 2013 the Exclusion Zone at Kibali remained largely free from ASM activity although there was a handful of entries into the zone following the formal end of the RAP construction phase.

At the Loulo-Goukoto complex there is a sizeable presence of ASM that has unfortunately grown this year. The community is also dredging in the Faleme River, creating a risk of water pollution. One factor behind this growth was the inability of the Malian government to act for a large part of 2013 following the previous year's political instability. A plan has now been formed with the Government's Regional Director for the Environment, who visited the mine last year, which will attempt to reduce numbers through the creation of a cereal bank and with options for new alternative income generation. Despite the efforts to date, managing the ASM communities on both sites remains one of the most significant challenges for our local partnerships and us in 2014.

Table of Contents**A safe, skilled and stable workforce**

Our most important asset is our human capital. We do what it takes to ensure a safe and rewarding work environment and invest to develop workforce skills and leadership by local people. At the heart of our approach is a focus on recruiting host country nationals, giving us an effective and good value workforce, encourage succession planning and building positive links with local communities. Our workforce this year grew to over 15,000 employees, with over 3,600 new jobs created in 2013. Over 86% of this workforce were nationals from our host countries, and this rate is even higher in some of our more established mines. Over 98% of the Morila workforce and 92% of the Tongon workforce are nationals.

Recruiting host country nationals is central to our human resources policy. Our policy is to recruit first from local communities and if no one meets the skill set required we then approach nationals, followed by Africa-based employees and only then recruit globally. Contractors are also expected to prioritize the employment of nationals as part of our partnerships with them. This policy extends to senior management. For example there are only two non-nationals in the management teams across all three of our mines in Mali.

Although our number of national employees is extremely high, especially in comparison to other Africa-based mining firms, one of our aims for next year is to accelerate the replacement of internationals with appropriately trained local employees. Last year we set a baseline for measuring progress against this target – with a total of 45 internationals replaced – and we hope to increase this figure in 2014.

Total workforce, 2013

| | Group | | Loulo | | Gounkoto | | Morila | | Tongon | | Kibali | |
|-------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | Expatriates | Nationals | Expatriates | Nationals | Expatriates | Nationals | Expatriates | Nationals | Expatriates | Nationals | Expatriates | Nationals |
| Employees | 191 | 2,788 | 82 | 865 | 6 | 157 | 10 | 387 | 39 | 494 | 54 | 4 |
| Contractors | 1,856 | 10,272 | 158 | 2,218 | 24 | 1,057 | 11 | 760 | 56 | 1,168 | 1,561 | 5 |
| Total | 2,001 | 13,069 | 240 | 2,993 | 30 | 1,214 | 21 | 1,147 | 95 | 1,662 | 1,615 | 5 |
| | (13.3 %) | (86.7 %) | (7.5 %) | (92.5 %) | (2.4 %) | (97.6 %) | (1.8 %) | (98.2 %) | (5.5 %) | (94.5 %) | (21.8 %) | (|

Our labor costs total around 5% of our direct operating costs; around 15% including contractors. Given the pressures to save costs across the gold mining industry at large in 2013, this relatively low cost base has meant we have been able to protect our workforce numbers to a greater extent than many peers.

Safety our top priority

Our policies and processes

Safety is a top priority for us and we were not surprised that it was one of the issues that appeared as a high-priority among both internal and external stakeholders in our materiality assessment this year. The aim of our safety policy is to record zero lost time injuries and we have an annual target to reduce the Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate (LTIFR) by 10% each year. Our safety approach is built on the combination of rigorous safety systems working alongside individual responsibility. Our safety systems are based on the international OHSAS 180001 safety standard, which provides a useful framework for managing a wide range of areas from firefighting to formal risk assessments, hygiene standards and emergency air crashes. All our mines are now certified to OHSAS 180001 standard with the exception of Kibali which became operational in 2013 and will apply for its certification in 2015. We assess specific safety risks for each department, such as chemical hazards, and each has its own specialized training modules. Personal protective equipment and morning 'toolbox' safety briefings – where daily reminders and discussions about safety are raised – are other vital elements. Each site has an emergency response team, including a mine rescue team if there are underground operations.

Table of Contents

Allied with these risk management systems is a fundamental philosophy that every individual should take responsibility for their own safety. All our employees are instilled with this mantra, starting at induction where safety training is a critical part of staff orientation for every employee and contractor. Every employee is asked to consider safety risks at the start of the day and we actively encourage a 'right to refuse' i.e. to challenge supervisors if they feel that the correct equipment or other safety measures are not in place before doing a job. There are also random audits with management approaching employees to check their risk awareness and understanding of the correct safety behavior.

If an accident occurs, our Safety, Health and Environment (SHE) department ensures the incident is analyzed and that corrective actions are taken. They also ensure that illiterate employees are fully briefed on the meanings of written procedures and signage in their home language, an important consideration in some of the underdeveloped areas in which we operate. We have a zero tolerance policy towards drug or alcohol abuse and unsafe behavior on site.

Performance assessment

Unfortunately there was one fatality over the year. The incident occurred at Kibali where the victim was involved in an incident with a tipping truck. A full investigation has been conducted and remedial actions around both immediate and underlying causes have been taken to ensure no repetition occurs. Actions have included new restrictions to stop people on foot walking in the vicinity of heavy mobile equipment, increased training for supervisors and improved lighting for night working.

In terms of eradicating Lost Time Injuries (LTIs), our focus on safety has started to achieve the desired step change in our performance. Last year was one of the best performing years for the group in terms of improving our LTIFR. The rate decreased from 1.5 per million hours worked in 2012 to 0.57 per million hours in 2013, a reduction of over 60%. Goukoto demonstrated that our target of zero LTIs is possible by achieving zero LTIs for the entire year.

We have been attempting to increase the reporting of near misses as we consider these an 'early warning system' that allows us to prevent potential accidents. Therefore we were pleased that the number of near misses reported in 2013 increased from 20 to 128, although we are still not satisfied that a culture of reporting near misses is universally ingrained and hope to increase this number again in 2014 by providing all workers with a clearer definition of what counts as a near miss.

Safety is a continuous challenge and we will continue to work towards our target of zero LTIs in 2014.

2013 Safety performance

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total labor* | 15,070 | 11,477 | 8,652 |
| Person hours | 35,246,261 | 25,327,309 | 19,806,975 |
| Number of active mines | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| LTIs** | 20 | 38 | 62 |
| LTIFR*** | 0.57 | 1.5 | 3.13 |
| Fatalities | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Near misses | 128 | 20 | 14 |

- 67 -

Table of Contents

| | Individual mine level | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Loulo | Goukoto | Morila | Tongon | Kibali |
| Person hours | 6,103,322 | 2,408,290 | 2,035,248 | 4,480,840 | 20,218,561 |
| LTIs** | 5 | - | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| LTIFR*** | 0.82 | - | 0.49 | 0.45 | 0.59 |
| Fatalities | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Near misses | 9 | 1 | 5 | 22 | - |

*

Including persons employed by our contractors.

** *Defined as injuries that occur in the execution of duties that mean the person is unable to perform those duties for at least one day.*

*Number of LTIs per million man hours worked.***Training and retaining talent**

We take a long term approach to skills development. During the very early recruitment for a mine we use psychometric testing to identify local people with the aptitude for skilled positions and we then invest time and resource into their development. The backbone of our training system is extensive informal training in the form of shadow skills training, mentoring, apprenticeships and on the job experience. All recruits receive informal training until a line manager deems them proficient to take real responsibility for upholding the standards in their particular role. For the rising stars of the company we provide formal training including both on-site and off-site courses at academic institutions in our host countries and at leading international universities. This formal training helps our employees to gain the full range of skills needed to run a world-class gold mining company. Throughout their careers employees are also offered incentives, including a share scheme, to reward them for long term commitment.

Industrial relations*Our policy and processes*

We see our employees as major stakeholders in our business, and this belief is the foundation of our industrial relations policies. We see transparent communications as vital to good relations with our workforce. We invite representatives from any active trade union to attend the quarterly meetings of each mine board, where they can present issues for discussion. We do not have restrictions or prescriptions on union representation at our mines and talk to anyone who speaks for the workers. Unions also sit in on our strategic planning sessions and their agreement on output targets is an integral part of strategic planning.

To deepen our engagement, all the company's operations also have monthly meetings between management and labor and CEO Mark Bristow meets each mine's workforce twice a year in a large open forum. At each mine, a Mine Level

Agreement (MLA) is agreed between the unions and management and reviewed every three years. These frameworks complement national labor laws and set out mutually agreed rules for each mine on detailed items such as salary increments or the parameters of acceptable behavior in a strike situation. The signing of MLAs means that we do not enter into annual wage negotiations.

Performance assessment

Our industrial relations were generally steady and stable throughout 2013. All our countries of operation have the right to freedom of association enshrined in law, so all employees are able to join a union. We have introduced long-term incentives to senior employees which effectively removes them from the employee side of negotiated conditions and into management. This means that we quantify around 85% of our workforce to be part of the unionized membership that benefit from negotiated conditions.

Kibali was a key focus of our attention in 2013 with the cornerstone of our policy being the successful signing of an MLA towards the end of the year. The Kibali MLA is a five year agreement with the potential for review after three years. There was also a large reduction in employee numbers on the Kibali site in 2013 as the mine moved from construction to operational phase. At its peak there were around 13,000 employees on the Kibali site and over the course of the year around 5,500 workers from around 37 contractors have successfully completed work and peacefully left site. Kibali was also the only site that suffered any industrial relations disputes in 2013. These all related to the employees of sub-contractors rather than us directly, and all hinged on the administration or understanding of salary payments. There were three incidents in total. The first in February resulted in two lost days for the contractors. The second was in November when sub-contractor Shaft Sinkers had a one day stay away. Finally, in December the sub-contractor responsible for open pit mining had a three day illegal stay away, which led to six employees being dismissed.

Table of Contents

| Standard entry wages cost to company in all countries of operation | National minimum wage (laborer/month) | Randgold standard entry wage CTC (laborer/month) |
|---|--|---|
| DRC | US\$90 | US\$316 |
| Mali | US\$100 | US\$226 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | US\$168 | US\$248 |

Gender Diversity

We are an equal opportunity employer and as with our drive to develop local national managers, we also want to make progress in promoting women into operational and management roles. Ideally we would like to encourage more locally based women into the mining industry but this is a very challenging area due to a combination of cultural factors. Firstly because women tend to have relatively low labor force participation rates in the generally patriarchal societies of West and Central Africa, and secondly because of the image of mining as a traditionally male dominated industry. In 2013 we actively encouraged women to apply for roles on our mines and offered work experience for young women who aspire to work in the industry. We are encouraged that in 2013 we saw a handful of local female operational workers begin employment at Kibali. In the longer term we are active in supporting community women's associations and in encouraging high education rates among female students to try and contribute to more gender equity in the future. In total, around 8% of our permanent workforce is female and we have a number of women in key executive and senior managerial positions. This includes Mrs. J. Mabunda Lioko who is a non-executive director on our board.

Health*Our policies and processes*

We establish health clinics and provide medical equipment at every mine site and in some surrounding villages providing free medical consultations and first aid to employees, their dependents and community members. Occupational and community health are equally important to our strategic objectives.

Our occupational health processes are certified against the OHSAS 18001 health and safety standards and focus on avoiding the health hazards common to a gold mine including cyanide, arsenic, dust and noise. We provide all required personal protective equipment and conduct regular testing for health hazards, for example regular biological monitoring for lead and arsenic. All employees must pass minimum standards of fitness and there is regular first aid training and preparation to deal with traumatic, toxic and cardiovascular emergencies. Ergonomic and luminous

intensity surveys are also conducted in all offices and operational areas.

Beyond the fences of our mines our health priorities are set by an independent baseline study at feasibility stage. This identifies the most important local health issues and we then work with relevant authorities to put accessible health provision in place. Our aim is to provide initial funding for clinics and nurses where it is needed, which can then be on-managed by the relevant local authority. We also deliver inoculations against polio, tetanus and yellow fever and work regularly with partners such as NGOs or the World Health Organization.

We have an annual target to reduce malaria incidence across the group by 25% and an extensive stand-alone program to combat the disease. This includes extensive insecticide and larvae eradication programs (following an annual entomological survey at each site), wide distribution of impregnated mosquito nets, and support for malaria education programs. Similarly we have a stand-alone program to combat the spread of HIV/AIDS. We provide free Voluntary Counseling and Testing (VCT), distribute condoms and support educational programs. If someone is HIV positive they are referred to government clinics where they get free treatment.

Table of Contents

Performance assessment

To ensure the longevity of health services after our mines have closed we were pleased that in 2013 the health clinic in the Tongon village was passed on to Government management, while final preparations were also made for clinics at Kibali and Loulo to be transferred with equipment in 2014.

In 2013 we were able to renew our partnership with UNAID in Mali, which had been disrupted by the political turmoil in 2012, and worked with charity CURE to deliver medical equipment and supplies from the United States to four clinics around Kibali.

One of the most significant social outcomes of our health work communities is a reduction in infection rates for debilitating illnesses such as bilharzia, intestinal infections and tropical diseases. For example the Kibali community health clinic registered a sharp drop in the infection rates of bilharzia in 2013, related to substantially less reliance on contaminated surface water. One of our aims for 2014 will be to better evaluate the real social outcomes of our health work.

Malaria

This year we achieved a significant decrease of over 18% in malaria prevalence across the group from 64% in 2012, to 52% in 2013. A contribution to this progress was the distribution of over 8,450 impregnated mosquito nets and action taken at Loulo to replace the Deltamethrin insecticide, which mosquitoes had been found to be resistant to, with two new chemicals, sprayed intermittently. Our malaria program has helped reduce malaria incidence by at least 25% at all our sites compared to the baselines set before our mines became operational. The 18% reduction was welcome but did not meet our ambitious target of a 25% year-on-year reduction.

One of the very real challenges in eastern DRC is the endemic nature of malaria, exacerbated by the long wet season (around nine months). An analysis of our spraying program around Kibali this year showed that because many of the houses where spraying takes place are made from mud, wood or grass structures, the insecticide is effective for a much shorter period of time than expected. Next year the spray program will be adjusted to take account of this.

We have a compelling business case for taking action on malaria. If we focus just on employee absenteeism in 2013 (i.e., those days where employees were unable to even attend work) – 26% (3,324 out of 12,484 days) was due to malaria. For us, the average cost of losing a senior level operator for a day is US\$30/day. That suggests that the total cost to us of employee absenteeism due to malaria, even with the high levels of intervention that we employ, is almost

US\$100,000. This number is probably just the tip of the iceberg for us as a business, as it also doesn't account for the time when the employee is working below his or her peak. Given the cost to our business, to say nothing of the human consequences (for the employees and their families) of malaria, we see our antimalaria program, which cost a total of US\$572,424 last year – or US\$37 per employee – as a highly cost effective investment in the health and wellbeing of our employees.

Employee malaria incidence rate %

| | Baseline | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---------|----------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Morila | 192.0 (2000) | 23.45 | 31.31 | 20.89 |
| Loulo | 51.07 (2005) | 33.96 | 57.89 | 37.80 |
| Goukoto | 74.02 (2011) | 55.23 | 77.48 | 74.02 |
| Kibali | 113.14 (2011) | 61.05 | 70.27 | 113.14 |
| Tongon | 132.7 (2010) | 61.13 | 65.05 | 88.90 |
| Group | | 52.69 | 64.04 | 69.24 |

- 70 -

Table of Contents*Fighting the spread of HIV/AIDS*

This year a total of 2,908 employees and sub-contractors were tested for HIV on a voluntary basis at our mine clinics. This was an encouraging increase of over a quarter (27%) from the 2,298 tests carried out in 2012. VCTs are important because awareness helps to arrest the spread of the disease and because treatments are generally more effective if they are administered early. There has been a 1% reduction in employee HIV prevalence rates across the group. It is also encouraging that even though the workforce has grown, the number of positive cases of HIV dropped from 101 to 95 cases. The total cost of our HIV/AIDS program was US\$87,818 in 2013, the equivalent of around US\$6/employee/year. We distributed over 325,000 condoms in 2013 and continued to support peer education in local villages with important constituents in the community such as sex workers and young men.

HIV prevalence among our employees is now lower than the national average at three out of five of our mines, though it remains exceptionally high at Kibali. In part this is due to a much higher HIV prevalence rate in the Province Orientale region (9.5%), where the mine is based, compared to the national rate (3.5%). One of our priorities next year will be to channel efforts and additional resources into fighting the disease at Kibali.

| | Baseline | | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---------|------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Morila | 0.6 (2000) |) | 1.85 | 1.44 | 1.54 |
| Loulo | 0.7-1.27(2005) |) | 1 | 1 | - |
| Goukoto | 0.7 (2009) |) | 1 | 1 | - |
| Tongon | 3.2 (2009) | | 2.7 | 15 * | - |
| Kibali | 17.7-37.6 (2008) |) | 12.76 | 11.50 | 16.76 |
| Group | | | 3 | 4 | 6 |

* *The relatively high HIV prevalence rate at Tongon in 2012 is due to an unrepresentative sample that was taken among patients that the doctor judged to already have a high possibility of infection.*

Managing environmental impacts*Overview*

The overall aim of our environmental management activities is to effectively manage our environmental risks by minimizing negative impacts and maximizing the long term benefits to our stakeholders. Our materiality assessment this year highlighted water pollution and cyanide management as two of the top five highest priority material sustainability issues for us. We also see energy use, rehabilitation of disturbed land and waste and dust management as priority risks to be managed.

We have Environmental Management Systems (EMS) in place at each mine that set performance standards for managing our environmental risks and impacts. The EMS at each site focus on the five priority issues identified above, together with those issues identified in the environmental impact studies for each site, the requirements of relevant national legislation (in particular, general environmental legislation and mining-specific legislation) and the IFC Performance Standards.

All our fully operational sites are certified to the internationally recognized ISO 14001 environmental management standard, and we expect the Kibali mine to be certified to ISO 14001 in 2015. In addition to the legal compliance audits we undertook as part of the ISO 14001 standard, we also conducted independent audits to check our compliance with the IFC Performance Standards. We had no significant environmental fines or non-monetary sanctions in 2013. We actively monitor our environmental performance. This enables us to continuously identify opportunities for improvement and to act decisively in the event of an environmental incident. We also see the involvement of local contractors and the local community as core to our environmental management approach.

Our ongoing processes to monitor and measure our environmental impacts means we can intervene quickly to stop a negative trend becoming an ingrained problem.

Table of Contents

Water Management

Our policies and processes

Water is an essential part of the gold mining process. It is used for power generation, dust suppression, processing, cooling, drinking and more. We also produce large volumes of wastewater that we need to manage.

Water security is therefore a key issue for our business. In areas of potential water scarcity such as West Africa, it is critically important that we work closely with local communities and industry to ensure the requirements of all water users are met, and to minimize the potential for conflict over water resources. This is particularly important given the likelihood of changing weather patterns due to climate change. In contrast, in the DRC, the long wet seasons mean there is an abundance of water and our policy includes steps to prevent flooding and accidental releases of process water.

At each mine, our water use is set by permit arrangements with local authorities. Within the requirements of these permits, our policy is to use water as efficiently as possible. At each mine we create a closed loop system that recycles as much water as possible without discharging it to the environment. We have a corporate target to recycle 85% of grey water by 2015. High levels of water recycling save costs and reduce the potential for uncontrolled discharge with the associated water contamination.

The slurry from our mining process ends up in our TSFs and we take extra care to ensure there is minimal seepage from these facilities, using multiple lines of defense in case of extreme weather. We use an experienced specialist company, Fraser Alexander, to manage these facilities. An independent auditor regularly checks both the work of the specialist company and our management of them.

To ensure that we are meeting our regulatory and our own policy commitments, we regularly monitor surface and groundwater for the risk of water pollution and test drinking water on a monthly basis. Consistent with international standards and local legislation, we test for over 30 chemical elements including various heavy metals, cyanide and arsenic. In the DRC a government agency conducts an independent audit of our monitoring processes every two years.

Performance assessment

We have significantly increased our water recycling levels at all mines this year and recycled 91% of process water across the group, reaching our corporate target to recycle at least 85% for the first time. This success has been the result of ongoing efforts to keep water recycling ‘front of mind’ with all staff and visitors at each mine. Additional solutions such as new pumps and improved pipelines have also contributed to increased recycling levels at some mine sites.

In total our operations consumed 11,850 ML of water, a slight increase on last year mitigated by the higher level of recycling. The 9% of water which was not recycled was returned back into local water sources, and our regular testing of water quality showed no incidences of non-conformity with national or IFC standards. Our water use stayed within the boundaries of our license conditions throughout the year and none of our operations has been identified as being immediately under threat from water shortages. The quarterly audits of our TSFs showed no major anomalies in the management and construction of our TSFs in 2013.

MinimiZing energy use and emissions

Our policies and processes

Energy security - including power supply and power costs - is critical to both our current and future projects. We are determined to improve energy security by being as efficient as possible in our energy use and by exploiting a diverse mix of potential renewable energy sources. This strategy reduces our costs, improves our security of supply and helps future proof our business for the potential impacts of climate change.

Table of Contents

We have set a challenging target to reduce our greenhouse gas emission intensity (that is the amount of greenhouse gas per production unit) by 47% by 2015, compared to 2009 levels. This would mean achieving an emission intensity of 23.45 tonnes CO₂-e/tonne ore milled in 2015.

Performance assessment

Despite significant efforts to reduce energy use at some sites in 2013, our overall energy efficiency decreased this year from 36.7kWh/tonne ore milled to 46.32kWh/tonne ore milled, contributing to an increased carbon footprint of 646,000 tonnes CO₂-e. This leaves us with much work to do to meet our 2015 emission intensity target. One of the reasons for these results was that energy use at Loulo was much higher than anticipated due to the demands of the underground mining process, and because a blown transformer on the Ivorian grid in December 2013 caused a spike in energy use at Tongon.

While our emissions have not reduced by as much as we would like, this should not obscure the very significant efforts we have made to improve our energy efficiency. We now have energy saving plans in place at all mines, led by our engineering teams. We have taken a variety of actions to improve our energy efficiency including technical measures (e.g., improving our milling efficiency rates, using new energy efficiency technologies), improving our operational practices (e.g., restricting the use of sump pumps) and engaging with our employees (e.g., through energy efficiency awareness-raising programs such as our 'switching off switches' campaign). At the Loulo-Gounkoto complex alone these efforts have the potential to save almost 300,000kWh/month, an equivalent saving of around US\$200,000/month.

We made good progress in 2013 in connecting Tongon to the national grid, following problems in 2012. The amount of grid energy supplied to the mine rose by around 75% between October 2012 and 2013, reducing our power costs by well over 50%.

In 2014, one of our areas of focus will be to improve management of peak loads. A great deal of energy is used in the start-up and operation of all our diesel-powered generators, which are required when activity on the mine is at full capacity (peak load). However the energy generated cannot always be stored and is sometimes wasted as activity declines. Therefore being smarter about how we manage changes in electricity use at peak times, for example through better forecasting, should improve our energy efficiency.

Our energy costs, and emissions targets will also be massively boosted next year by the provision of power to Kibali from the new 20MW Nzoro 2 hydropower station, due to come online in April 2014. We have also begun an analysis of the potential use of solar power at all sites, which will report and be acted on in 2014.

Cyanide and WASTE management

Cyanide Management

Cyanide is used to efficiently and cost-effectively extract gold from its host ore. This process can be toxic and can result in environmental and human health problems if it is not very carefully managed. The responsible use and management of cyanide is therefore crucial to our social licence to operate. In 2013 we launched a full review of the use of cyanide across all our operations. The aims of the analysis were to ensure that our cyanide management practices continued to meet both the specific requirements for each country and international best practices, and to highlight any areas where these standards were not met. Our analysis found that we fully conformed to both relevant national standards and international best practices at all of our sites. However, we also concluded that we needed to formalize our approach, improve our transparency and be able to provide tangible evidence of our commitment to high standards and our performance. We therefore created an updated cyanide management code which defines the key principles and practices in our use and disposal of cyanide. Our code covers all parts of the cyanide life cycle within our operations from production to transportation, storage and usage.

In total the group used 5,760 tonnes of cyanide in 2013. There were no reportable incidents relating to cyanide during the year. Handling, and storage of cyanide have all been carefully maintained within our plants in 2013 and there have been no discharges above guidelines. The only potential issue that emerged this year was a

Table of Contents

reading of slightly above the 50 parts per million (ppm) level recommended by the IFC at the point of tailings deposition at Loulo and Tongon during the second quarter. To tackle this we have plans to increase oxygen supply into the relevant Carbon in Leach (CIL) process. This intervention will result in cyanide levels reducing to below 50ppm.

Waste Management

We have a waste management plan for each mine. Our major waste, by volume, is the waste rock and tailings from our operations. The dumping of this overburden and waste rock is carefully controlled by our geotechnical engineers with slopes shaped to the correct angles for stability, consistent with IFC Performance Standards. Where necessary these dumps are covered with topsoil and then planted with indigenous grasses and trees. This has the benefit of erosion control and mitigates dust pollution.

Our waste management plans also cover the variety of other wastes (organic, inorganic and hazardous wastes) that we produce, describing how these are to be handled, stored, separated, recycled, reused or disposed of. We pay particular attention to hazardous wastes (e.g. battery waste, chemical and solvent waste, fluorescent lighting waste, and hydrocarbon waste) and ensure that these are disposed of in accordance with all relevant national standards. For example, at all sites hydrocarbon waste collection, primarily used oil, is outsourced to reputable service providers who remove the material from the sites.

Where possible we involve local community members in waste management practices in order to transfer skills and technology with legacy planning in mind. This includes use of a community-based enterprise at some mines which sorts metal waste and extracts value from it. Last year we also created waste management committees in some of the villages around Loulo, engaged with school groups around Morila and worked with NGO Swiss Contact to build local waste management skills. A focus for 2014 will be working with communities around Kibali to raise their awareness of the benefits of recycling and waste management.

In total, we had 71.7Mt of overburden and 13Mt of tailings in 2013. No waste, deemed hazardous under the Basel Convention, is transported, exported, imported or treated by Randgold.

Land rehabilitation and biodiversity

Our policies and processes

The act of open pit or underground mining and its associated infrastructure inevitably impacts on the flora and fauna that share the land. We acknowledge the reality of this and are committed to minimizing our negative impacts and to rehabilitating our sites to the highest levels possible. We have a policy of constant rehabilitation while the mine is operational, which includes the propagation of indigenous plant nurseries at each mine site. We have a Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) in place at all mines. These delineate habitats and land uses across the sites and detail the actions required to protect or restore habitats. We also have mine closure plans and aim to fully rehabilitate our sites with indigenous vegetation once the mine closes. If land cannot be rehabilitated then we seek to offset our impacts.

We monitor our progress by conducting baseline surveys of the land at the feasibility stage and then by taking an annual satellite image of the mine so we can monitor changes in vegetation and replace them as soon as is possible.

Performance assessment

We have delivered on our commitment to put detailed BAPs and associated action plans in place at all sites operational since 2012 and these now form the basis of a set of measures being implemented by our environmental management teams. We will measure success against each BAP through follow up surveys every three to five years. In 2013 we planted almost 9,000 indigenous trees and opened a 23.5 hectare ecological center at Kibali. The center is now collecting and planting samples of all flora and fauna found in the mine exclusion zone. At our Morila mine where honey production will be one of the post-closure sources of employment, rehabilitation teams identified trees that flower several times a year and so will make more pollen available for honey production. If land on our mines cannot be rehabilitated we aim to offset the damage by creating or supporting a conservation area elsewhere. To honor this commitment in the DRC we held detailed discussions throughout last year with the management of the Garamba National Park to agree an appropriate biodiversity offset project there. These discussions are at an advanced stage. We expect that in 2014 we will be able to begin sponsoring projects that support the protection of endangered species in the park.

Table of Contents

As shown in the table below, a relatively small amount of land was rehabilitated in 2013, as with the exception of Morila all our mines are at a relatively early stage in life and therefore at a disturbance rather than a rehabilitation phase. The amount of rehabilitation at Morila in 2013 was relatively low because the mine's infrastructure is being used to launch agribusiness enterprises.

Total land rehabilitated and disturbed

| | Total hectares rehabilitated | | | Total hectares disturbed | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------|------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Morila | 4 | 130 | 51 | - | 883 | 1,013 |
| Loulo | 1.2 | 6.4 | 7 | 3.8 | 1,274 | 1,280 |
| Tongon | 0.6 | - | 6 | - | 2,451 | 2,451 |
| Gouunkoto | - | 17 | - | 11.5 | 460 | 446 |
| Kibali | - | - | - | 386.9 | 723 | 434 |
| Total | 5.8 | 153.4 | 64 | 402.2 | 5,791 | 5,624 |

Dust Management*Our policies and processes*

Dust is generated from many parts of our mines including the movement of large vehicles on dust roads, waste rock dumps and crushers. Levels of dust can also be exacerbated in the dry season causing discomfort for people and livestock. We therefore have an active policy of measuring and managing the amount of dust pollution on our sites. At each mine we have built an extensive network of monitoring stations and control points that include dust buckets located across the mining right areas and in local villages. Particulate emissions are monitored, recorded and reported to the relevant authorities. At each site efforts to manage dust include rehabilitation of waste rock dumps and disturbed areas and spraying roads and crushers with water, molasses or other dust suppressants.

Performance assessment

We complied with all local air quality standards in 2013. In total around ten separate products were used for dust suppression over 2013 including molasses, duststop, and calcium or magnesium chloride. At Morila we experimented with a dust control measure using grass packing and a wind break system, composed of straw hedges installed on the TSF. To restrict dust on untarmaced roads we introduced speed limits in some areas and encouraged drivers to slow down.

Responding to environmental incidents

A key performance indicator for our environmental performance is the number of environmental incidents that occur. These range from Class 1 (most serious) to Class 3 (minor) and are displayed in the table below.

For the fourth consecutive year we had no Class 1 environmental incidents. However, we did experience a slight increase in Class 2 and 3 incidents. In part, this increase can be attributed to the move from construction to operations at Kibali. The high number of hydrocarbon spills in Mali also contributed to this increase which, as discussed earlier, was addressed through an audit process and additional training. It should be noted that we regard Class 3 incidents as an early warning mechanism which when attended to, can prevent more serious incidents from happening. Therefore, we do not see the slight increase in this number as necessarily reflective of a negative trend in performance.

- 75 -

Table of Contents

| Environmental Incidents | Class 1* | | | Class 2** | | | Class 3*** | | |
|-------------------------|----------|------|------|-----------|------|------|------------|------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Loulo | - | - | - | 8 | 5 | 8 | 61 | 63 | 99 |
| Gounkoto | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 22 | 18 | - |
| Morila | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Tongon | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | 18 | 29 | 27 |
| Kibali | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | 24 | 15 | - |
| Total | - | - | - | 17 | 10 | 8 | 128 | 127 | 128 |

* *Major incident resulting in death or injury or destruction of community property or husbandry.*

** *Medium incident involving material disruption to production or uncontrolled release of contaminated effluent outside the boundary fence of the operation.*

*** *Minor incident involving controlled or uncontrolled release of effluent or pollutants within the boundary of the operation.*

Identifying our most material sustainability issues

The successful delivery of our business strategy depends on our ability to identify and respond to the most material sustainability risks and opportunities that impact our business. This is especially important given vast and wide-ranging sustainability challenges in our host countries. As part of developing our approach to sustainability, we have undertaken a thorough review of what our most material sustainability issues are by engaging with both our internal and external stakeholders on this question. In keeping with the principles of the Global Reporting Initiative, we define our most material issues with reference to our internal assessment of importance, and to the views of our external stakeholders about the issues that affect their decisions about us. This helps us form a better view of our external stakeholders' opinions about what is important to them, which helps us with our planning. It also helps us fulfill our commitment to produce this report in accordance with the Global Reporting Initiative's latest guidelines for sustainability reporting, known as G4.

The materiality analysis produced a list of priority issues that reflect our most significant impacts, according to both internal and external stakeholders.

Our materiality analysis (which has also been used to define our specific standards disclosures as part of the GRI G4 reporting framework) identified 19 material issues for management and reporting. These are five of high impact and 14 of medium impact. We do not deem issues that fell outside of this list to be unimportant.

High impact

The five issues that appeared in both internal and external stakeholders' top 10 most important issues and are therefore deemed to be the most 'high impact' material issues were:

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| § | safety; |
| § | community engagement; |
| § | water pollution; |
| § | cyanide management; and |
| § | local and national employment. |

Medium impact

Below are the 14 medium-impact issues that were in the top third (13)* of either internal or external stakeholders' lists:

| | |
|---|----------------------------|
| § | energy use and efficiency; |
| § | bribery and corruption; |
| § | revenue transparency; |

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|--|
| § | local procurement and partner development; |
| § | staff training and skills transfer; |
| § | legal compliance; |
| § | resettlement and compensation (R&C); |
| § | environmental incidents; |
| § | community development and investment; |
| § | local economic development; |
| § | waste management; |
| § | HIV/AIDS; |
| § | malaria; and |
| § | occupational health. |

**This excludes the issue of ‘indigenous populations’ which has been excluded due to a lack of clarity over how this was defined resulting in it being misunderstood by external stakeholders. Something that will be corrected next year.*

MARKETING

We derive the majority of our income from the sale of gold produced by Morila, Loulo, Goukoto, Tongon and Kibali in the form of doré, which we sell under agreement to a refinery. Under these agreements, we receive the ruling gold price on the day after dispatch, less refining and freight costs, for the gold content of the doré gold. We have only one customer with whom we have an agreement to sell all of our gold production. The “customer” is chosen periodically on a tender basis from a selected pool of accredited refineries and international banks to ensure competitive refining and freight costs. Unlike other precious metal producers, gold mines do not compete to sell their product given that the price is not controlled by the producers.

PROPERTY

Our active mining areas comprise of the Morila mining permit of 200km², the Loulo mining permit of 263km², the Goukoto mining permit of 100km², the Tongon mine located within the 751km² Nielle exploitation permit and the Kibali mine located within the 10 mining permits which make up the Kibali mine and cover 1,836km². Our exploration permits are described under the subheading “Mineral Rights and Permits” in this report.

We also lease offices in Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire; Bamako, Mali; Dakar, Senegal; Entebbe, Uganda; St. Helier, Jersey; Johannesburg, South Africa; Kinshasa, DRC; and London, United Kingdom.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

As at December 31, 2013, the group had received claims for various taxes from the State of Mali totaling \$123.1 million, in respect of the Loulo, Gounkoto and Morila mines together with Kankou Moussa SARL, its Malian gold sales operation. Having taken professional advice, the group considers the claims to be wholly without merit or foundation and is strongly defending its position, including following the appropriate legal process for such disputes in Mali. Somilo, Société des Mines de Gounkoto SA and Société des Mines de Morila SA have legally binding Establishment Conventions which guarantee fiscal stability, govern the taxes applicable for the companies and allow for international arbitration in the event that a dispute cannot be resolved in the country. On November 25, 2013, Somilo instigated arbitration proceedings against the State of Mali pursuant to the terms of Somilo's Establishment Convention at the International Center for Settlement of Investment Disputes in respect of US\$60.9 million. Management continues to engage with the Malian authorities at the highest level to resolve this issue and the other unresolved tax claims. However, it may be necessary to instigate additional arbitration proceedings to resolve these disputes.

Other than as disclosed above we are not party to any material legal or arbitration proceedings, nor is any of our property the subject of pending material legal proceedings.

HEALTH AND SAFETY REGULATIONS

Mali

The primary laws, regulations and standards governing Safety and Health in our Malian operations are as follows:

Table of Contents

- Law 1992-020 Code du travail (the “Labor Code”);

Ordonnance No. 99-032 le code minier, Ordonnance 200-013 le code minier modifications 2000 (the “Mining Code”);

Decree No. 91-278 / PM-RM Approving the Establishment Agreement Covering Research and Mining in the Republic of Mali (the “Decree”);

- Code de la Sécurité (“INPS—Institut National de Prévoyance Sociale”);
- Sécurité Sociale du Mali (“Social Security Code”); and
- Convention Collective (“National Collective Agreement for the Mining Industry”).

Labor Code

The Labor Code provides generally for the following:

- General provision for protection, prevention and hygiene;
- Dangerous goods handling;
- Employer responsibility regarding safety and health (implementation of safety system);
- Labor inspector duty (control of employer safety system);
- Injury notification to Labour Inspector within 48 hours;
- Requirement to ensure medical service on site;
- Medical leave (up to 12 months) and medical separation compensation; and

- Establishment of a Joint Management and employees health and safety committee.

Mining Code

The Mining Code provides generally for an Occupational Health and Safety Committee (Joint management and employee safety committee), Personal Protective Equipment or PPE, safety guide, emergency procedure, means of education and sensitization, employees obligation regarding occupational health.

The Decree

The Decree provides generally for the following:

- Must carry out research or mining work to ensure the safety and health of the public;

Must inform the local administrative authorities and the Director in the event of a fatal accident or serious injury or any natural phenomenon which may have an adverse effect on the safety of the area, the safety and hygiene of the personnel or conservation of the mine, neighboring mines or public roads; and

In the case of imminent danger or an accident, the local administrative authorities and the Director may requisition the necessary material and personnel to alleviate the danger, at the expense of the mining company.

Code de la Sécurité (INPS – Institut National de Prévoyance Sociale)

The Code de la Sécurité provides generally for the following:

- Requirement to have medical service on work site for occupational health and primary health care purposes;
- Requirement for pre-employment medical check;
- Requirement for periodical medical check of employees;
- Requirement for general hygiene (ablutions, change house, potable water, workplace);

- Protection against injury, environmental pollutants, occupational disease);

- 78 -

Table of Contents

- Ergonomic conditions;
- Notification of occupational disease to the employer by the occupational health practitioner;
- Requirement for first aid training for one employee per section of work or shift;
- Requirement for compensation in case of debilitating injury, occupational disease;
- Requirement for notifying injury and or occupational disease to INPS/Labor inspection; and
- Redeployment of employee following injury and/or occupational disease.

Morila, Loulo and Goukoto have a Hygiene and Security Committee made up of elected labor and specialist management representatives, as outlined in the respective labor code. This committee designates, from its members, a consultative technical sub-committee charged with the elaboration and application of a concerted policy of improvement of health and security conditions at work. Its composition, attributions and operational modalities are determined by legal provisions and regulations.

The chairman of this committee coordinates monthly committee meetings, sets the agendas with his secretariat, monitors resolutions and signs off on committee determinations.

The committee's secretariat ensures under the supervision of the chairman that:

- follow-up activities such as action resulting from the regular surveys and inspections are carried out; and

health and safety manuals and updates are distributed, posters are posted on notice boards and safety committee minutes and reports are distributed.

Each mine's medical officer sits on the Hygiene and Security Committee and advises on the following:

- working conditions improvements;

- general hygiene on the operation;
- ergonomics;
- protection of workers safety in the workplace; and
- medical checks and eye and ear testing.

The Hygiene and Security Committee forms, from within its membership, two consultative commissions, the Commission of Inquiry and the Educational Commission. The Commission of Inquiry:

- investigates accidents and makes recommendations to avoid repetitions;
- ensures plant, machinery and equipment have adequate protection to avoid injury; and
- updates and revises safety and health manuals.

The Educational Commission:

- provides information and training on safe practices and potential risks;
- provides first aid training;
- administers and promotes the safety suggestion scheme; and
- explains, where necessary, the contents of the safety and health manual.

All employees are covered by the state's social security scheme and our medical reimbursement scheme, that reimburses a large portion of expenses related to medical treatment and medicines. Dental and optical expenses are also covered to 50%.

No post-employment medical aid liability exists for the group.

Table of Contents

Côte d'Ivoire

The primary laws, regulations and standards governing Safety and Health in our Côte d'Ivoire operations is the Mining Code (95-553) of July 15, 1995.

The Mining Code provides generally for the following:

- Any individual or legal entity carrying out works for prospecting or mining mineral substances is required to undertake such works in a way that the safety of the people and goods is assured;

- Must adopt and comply with internal regulations concerning safety and specific hygiene measures, subject to approval by the Mining Authority;

- Any accident in a mine or quarry or in their dependencies and any identified cause of accident must be reported to the Mining Authority as soon as possible; and

In case of impending danger or accident in a mine, mining engineers and other authorized agents of the Mining Authority must take all necessary measures, at the expense of the individual or legal entity, to stop the danger and prevent it from occurring again.

DRC

The Mining Code, Law No. 007/2002 signed into law on July 11, 2002, and its ancillary Mining Regulation, adopted in 2003, is the primary statute forming the legal basis for mining activities in the DRC. Articles relating to social and environmental impact studies are listed below:

Key Environmental Legislation in the DRC by aspect General environment

- Arrêté Ministériel No. 043 of December 8, 2006 and No. 08 of April 3, 2007
- Ordinance No. 07/018 of May 16, 2007

Soils and land use

Article 28 (Topography, Geology and Land Use) from Chapter II of Schedule IX, Mining Regulations, Decree No. 038/2003 of March 26, 2003

Article 75 (Dead Ground Management) of Chapter V of Schedule IX, Mining Regulations, Decree No. 038 / 2003 of March 26, 2003

Water

- Decree of May 6, 1952 on water
- Ordinance 52-443 of December 21, 1952
- Regulation on lake and watercourse contamination and pollution of July 1, 1914
- Article 30 to 33 from Chapter II of Schedule IX, Mining Regulations, Decree No. 038 / 2003 of March 26, 2003
- Articles 53 to 74 of Schedule IX of the Mining Regulations, Decree No. 038 / 2003 of March 26, 2003

Climate and air quality

Article 29 (Climate and Air Quality) of Schedule IX of the Mining Regulations, Decree No. 038 / 2003 of March 26, 2003

- Articles 49 to 52 of Schedule IX of the Mining Regulations, Decree No. 038 / 2003 of March 26, 2003

Biodiversity and protected areas

- Forest Code (Law 011 2002 of 28 May 2002)

- Regulation No. 69-041 of 22 August 1969

Table of Contents

- Regulation No. 79-244 of 16 October 1997 (Amended 1995 and 1996)
- Law No. 75-023 of July 22, 1975 and Regulation No. 78-190 of May 5, 1978

Articles 34 to 37 (Biological Environment) of Schedule IX of the Mining Regulations, Decree No. 038 / 2003 of March 26, 2003

- Schedule XII of the Mining Regulations, Decree no. 038 / 2003 of March 26, 2003

Noise and vibrations

- Schedule XIII of the Mining Regulations, Articles 1 to 6
- Articles 46 to 48 from Chapter II of Schedule IX, Mining Regulations, Decree No. 038/2003 of March 26, 2003

Cultural heritage

- Ordinance 70-089 of 11 March 1970
- Ordinance 71-016 of 15 March 1971
- Article 46 of the Constitution of the DRC of February 18, 2006
- Articles 205 and 206 of the Mining Code and Regulations

Resettlement

- Code Foncier Immobilier et Régime des Sûretés, April 5, 2006

Artisanal mining

Articles 223, 224, 232, 233, 416, 417 and 575 of the Mining Regulations, Decree No. 038/2003 of March 26, 2004

Mining code

Mining articles which were taken into account for the Kibali mining project include the following:

- Article 15 of the DRC Mining Code confers the responsibility on the Department in charge of Protection of the Environment, within the Ministry of Mines, in conjunction with other government departments, of environmental protection including the technical evaluation of the EIS and EMP of the project and the Mitigation and Rehabilitation Plan (“MRP”). The Mining Code is supported by the mining regulations.

Article 42 requires that, and provides the framework within which, the EIS and EMP for a new mining right is evaluated.

Article 50 defines the scope of a mineral exploration license. The undertaking of exploitation activities on an exploration permit is prohibited. The holder of an exploration license, however, has the exclusive right to apply for the conversion to an exploitation license during the validity period of the exploration license.

Article 69 requires that an applicant for an exploitation permit submits an EIS and EMP for the project and approval of said documents are required for granting of the exploitation license in terms of article 71.

Article 277 regulates works required between adjacent mines, and should such works be required, the title owners cannot object to them and payment of costs will be pro-rata.

Article 279 stipulates the restrictions on the occupation of land and requires consent before any area within 180m from temporary or permanently occupied buildings, 45m from ploughed land and 90m from land used for breeding cattle or with a reservoir; dam or private water reserve is occupied.

- Compensation for use of the land is regulated by articles 280 and 281.
- Article 283 determines the authorized activities within the exploitation right and adjacent areas.

Table of Contents

Article 294 allows for the confiscation of the provision for rehabilitation by the court should the owner fail to adhere to the provisions of the EMPP at completion of the exploitation works.

Safety Performance

Officials from the Labour Ministry, INPS and officials from the Ministry of Mines regularly visit and audit our operations. Both Morila and Loulo have received safety awards and commendations from INPS.

The national statistics in the countries of West Africa in which we operate are not generally available, with only fatalities cases and lost time/compensable injuries being reported.

Our safety programs are based on the outcome of the risk assessment and continual improvement strategy. The statistical measures we use to monitor our performance, such as LTIFR, are based on international good practice (OHSAS 18001) which we believe is the most accepted by our peers and best standard specification for such statistics.

We are progressing with the implementation of occupational health and safety assessment series OHSAS 18001 at all of our operations as part of our health and safety strategy to continuously improve safety in our operations. All our mines are now certified to OHSAS 18001 standard with the exception of Kibali which became operational in 2013 and will apply for its certification in 2015.

See “Social Responsibility and Environmental Sustainability.”

C. ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

The following table identifies our subsidiaries and joint ventures and our percentage ownership in each subsidiary or joint venture:

| Countries of Incorporation | Name of Company | % effective ownership |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Jersey | | |
| | Randgold Resources Limited | — |
| | Randgold Resources (Burkina) Limited | 100 |
| | Randgold Resources (Côte d’Ivoire) Limited | 100 |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | |
|--|-------|
| Randgold Resources (Kibali) Limited | 100 |
| Randgold Resources (DRC) Limited | 100 |
| Randgold Resources (Secretaries) Limited | 100 |
| Randgold Resources (Mali) Limited | 100 |
| Randgold Resources (Senegal) Limited | 100 |
| Randgold Resources (Somilo) Limited | 100 |
| Randgold Resources T1 Limited | 100 |
| Randgold Resources T2 Limited | 100 |
| Randgold Resources (Gouunkoto) Limited | 100 |
| Mining Investments (Jersey) Limited | 100 |
| Isiro (Jersey) Limited | 51 |
| Morila Limited | 50 |
| Moto (Jersey) 1 Limited | 50 |
| Moto (Jersey) 2 Limited | 50 |
| RAL 1 Limited | 50.1 |
| Kibali (Jersey) Limited | 50 |
| Kibali 2 (Jersey) Limited | 50 |
| Kibali Services Limited | 50 |
| KAS 1 Limited | 25.05 |
| Australia | |
| Moto Goldmines Australia (Pty) Limited | 50 |
| Border Energy (Pty) Ltd | 50 |
| Westmount Resources NL | 50 |
| Border Resources NL | 50 |

Table of Contents

| Countries of Incorporation | Name of Company | % effective ownership |
|------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Burkina Faso | | |
| | Randgold Resources Burkina Faso SARL | 100 |
| Canada | | |
| | Moto Goldmines Limited | 50 |
| | 0858065 BC Limited | 50 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | | |
| | Randgold Resources (Côte d'Ivoire) SARL | 100 |
| | Société des Mines de Tongon SA | 89 |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | |
| | Kibali Goldmines SPRL | 45 |
| | Amani Gold SPRL | 50 |
| | Blue Rose SPRL | 50 |
| | Gorumbwa Mining SPRL | 50 |
| | Rambi Mining SPRL | 50 |
| | Randgold Resources Congo SPRL | 100 |
| | Tangold SPRL | 50 |
| | KGL Isiro SARL | 51 |
| | Kibali Gold SPRL | 50 |
| Mali | | |
| | Randgold Resources Mali SARL | 100 |
| | Société des Mines de Morila SA | 40 |
| | Société des Mines de Loulo SA | 80 |
| | Kankou Moussa SARL | 75 |
| | Société des Mines de Goukoto SA | 80 |
| South Africa | | |
| | Seven Bridges Trading 14 (PTY) Limited | 100 |
| Tanzania | | |
| | Randgold Resources Tanzania (T) Limited | 100 |
| The Netherlands | | |
| | Kibali Cooperatief UA | 50 |
| Uganda | | |
| | Border Energy East Africa (Pty) Limited | 50 |
| United Kingdom | | |
| | Randgold Resources (UK) Limited | 100 |

D. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

For a discussion of our principal properties, including mining rights and permits, see “PART I. Item 4. Information on the Company – A. History and Development of the Company” and “PART I. Item 4. Information on the Company – B. Business Overview”. We have all material legal rights necessary to entitle us to exploit such deposits over the remaining life of mines which are estimated in respect of the Morila mine in Mali to 2017, Loulo in Mali to 2028, Tongon in Côte d'Ivoire to 2020, Goukoto in Mali to 2025 and Kibali in DRC to 2031.

The exploration permits in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, Senegal, Burkina Faso and DRC give us the exclusive right for a fixed time period, which is open to renewal, to prospect on the permit area.

Once a discovery is made, we, as the permit holder, then commence negotiations with the respective governments as to the terms of the exploration or mining concession. Depending on the country, some of the terms are more open to negotiation than others, but the critical areas which can be agreed to are the government's interest in the mine, taxation rates and taxation holidays, repatriation of profits and the employment of expatriates and local labor.

Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

- 83 -

Table of Contents

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

Statements in this Annual Report concerning our business outlook or future economic performance; anticipated revenues, expenses or other financial items; and statements concerning assumptions made or expectations as to any future events, conditions, performance or other matters, are “forward-looking statements” as that term is defined under the United States Federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from those stated in such statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those set forth under “PART I. Item 3. Key Information – D. Risk Factors” in this Annual Report as well as those discussed elsewhere in this Annual Report and in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

General

We earn substantially all of our revenues in US dollars and a large proportion of our costs are denominated or based in US dollars. We also have South African Rand, Euro, Communauté Financière Africaine franc, Congolese franc and Pound Sterling denominated costs, which are primarily wages and material purchases. A large portion of our capital commitments for 2014 are denominated in South African Rand and Euros and relate to the Loulo and Kibali mines.

Impact of Malian, Côte d’Ivoire and DRC Economic and Political Environment

We are a Jersey incorporated company and are subject to income tax at a rate of zero percent in Jersey. Our current significant operations are located in Mali, Côte d’Ivoire and the DRC and are therefore subject to various economic, fiscal, monetary and political policies and factors that affect companies operating in Mali, Côte d’Ivoire and the DRC as discussed under “PART I. Item 3. Key Information – D. Risk Factors – Risks Relating to Our Operations”.

Impact of Favorable Tax Treaties

We are subject to corporate tax at a rate of zero percent in Jersey. Société des Mines de Loulo SA benefited from a five year tax holiday until November 7, 2010. Société des Mines de Tongon SA also benefits from a five year tax holiday in Côte d’Ivoire which commenced on December 1, 2010. The Goukoto convention was signed in March 2012. In terms of this convention Goukoto benefitted from an initial corporate tax exoneration of two years which expired in June 2013, with an opportunity to extend this to five years in the event of further investment such as an underground mine as discussed under “PART I. Item 3. Key Information – D. Risk Factors – Risks Relating to Our Operations”. The benefit of the tax holidays to the group was to increase its net profit by \$31.3 million, \$110.5 million

and \$116.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Under Malian tax law, income tax is based on the greater of 30% of taxable income or 0.75% of gross revenue. Under Ivorian tax law, income tax is based on the greater of 25% of taxable income or 0.5% of gross revenue.

The Loulo, Tongon and Goukoto operations have no assessable capital expenditure carry forwards or assessable tax losses, as at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 respectively, for deduction against future mining income. The group's share as profits from equity accounted joint ventures is stated net of US\$7.4 million (2012: US\$20.5 million; 2011: US\$25.3 million) of current and deferred tax charges primarily in respect of Morila and Kibali.

Revenues

Substantially all of our revenues are derived from the sale of gold. As a result, our operating results are directly related to the price of gold. Historically, the price of gold has fluctuated widely. The gold price is affected by numerous factors over which we have no control. See "PART I. Item 3. Key Information – D. Risk Factors – Risks Relating to Our Operations – The profitability of our operations, and the cash flows generated by our operations, are affected by changes in the market price for gold which in the past has fluctuated widely".

We have in previous years followed a hedging strategy the aim of which is to secure a minimum price which is sufficient to protect us in periods of significant capital expenditure and debt finance, while at the same time allowing significant exposure to the spot gold price.

Table of Contents

Significant changes in the price of gold over a sustained period of time may lead us to increase or decrease our production, which could have a material impact on our revenues.

Our Realized Gold Price

The following table sets out the average, high and low afternoon London Bullion Market fixing price of gold and our average US dollar realized gold price during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Average | 1,411 | 1,669 | 1,572 |
| High | 1,694 | 1,792 | 1,895 |
| Low | 1,192 | 1,540 | 1,319 |
| Average realized gold price | 1,376(1) | 1,652(1) | 1,574(1) |

(1) Our average realized gold price differs from the average gold price as a result of the timing of our gold deliveries for each year.

Costs and Expenses

Our operations currently comprise five operations. Mining operations at Loulo, Gounkoto, Tongon, Kibali* and, Morila*. are being conducted by contractors and managed by the company. Morila is currently processing stockpiles only as mining ceased in April 2009. Milling operations are undertaken by the group's own employees. Total cash costs in the year ended December 31, 2013, as defined by guidance issued by the Gold Institute, made up approximately 79% of total costs and expenses and comprised mainly mining and milling costs, including labor and consumable stores costs. Consumable stores costs include diesel and reagent costs. Contractor costs represented 47 % of total cash costs, with diesel and reagent costs making up 18 % of total cash costs. Direct labor costs accounted for approximately 6 % of total cash costs. For a definition of total cash costs, please refer to "PART I. Item 3. – Key Information."

The price of diesel for the Loulo, Gouunkoto, Kibali, Morila, and Tongon operations increased from 2012 to 2013. Should prices increase further, this could significantly impact total cash costs mainly as a result of the high volume of diesel consumed to generate power and to run the mining fleet. A significant portion of the costs at Loulo, Gouunkoto, Tongon and Morila are denominated in CFA, which has a fixed exchange rate to the Euro. A significant portion of our costs at Kibali are denominated in ZAR. Therefore, costs are exposed to fluctuations in the Euro/dollar exchange rate, as well as the ZAR/dollar exchange rate. The Euro/dollar exchange rate was higher in 2013, compared to 2012, whilst the ZAR/dollar exchange rate was lower in 2013, compared to 2012. The remainder of our total costs and expenses consists primarily of amortization and depreciation, exploration costs, exchange losses, interest expense and general administration or corporate charges.

**Following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, the group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013, Kibali and Morila are being equity accounted. Refer to pages F-8 to F-12 of this Annual Report for further details).*

Looking Forward

The past year saw a big step-up in production across the group, resulting in production increasing by 15%. Looking ahead, the group continues to forecast an increasing production profile over the next five years. In 2014, the group forecast production is estimated at 1.13Moz to 1.20Moz which is a 24%-30% increase over 2013. Continued growth in production over the next five years is forecast from increasing grades at the Loulo-Gouunkoto complex and increasing recoveries and throughput at Tongon, with Kibali projected to add significantly to production in 2014, its first full year of production. The grade of the ore mined is expected to be relatively consistent across the year, with a slight increase towards the end of the year resulting from the ore mined from the Morila pushback. Production should increase from the second quarter once the sulphide mill stream has been commissioned at Kibali.

Table of Contents

Management is targeting total cash cost per ounce for the group, after royalties, of between US\$650/oz and US\$700/oz for 2014, assuming current prevailing gold and oil prices and euro-dollar exchange rates, which have a significant impact on operating costs.

Given our commitment to growing through discovery and development, the company will continue to commit significant expenditure to exploration, with corporate and exploration expenses of approximately US\$60 million anticipated.

Although lower than 2013, significant capital expenditure will be incurred across the group during the year to support the planned continued growth in production, especially at Kibali of approximately US\$310.0 million (100% of project), and the ongoing development of the underground mines at Loulo, including the paste backfill plant, where total capital at the Loulo-Gounkoto complex is forecast at US\$140.0 million.

Project and sustaining capital at Tongon, including the flotation circuit expansion, is estimated at US\$25.0 million, and US\$20.0 million at Morila (100% of project), including US\$10.0 million of preproduction costs in respect of the Pit 4S pushback with the balance focused on the TSF retreatment project. Consequently, total capital expenditure for 2014, including our attributable share of joint ventures, is expected to be approximately US\$340.0 million.

Randgold continues to maintain its focus on organic growth through discovery and development of world class orebodies, and has a pipeline of high quality projects and exploration targets. Notwithstanding this core strategy, management routinely reviews corporate and asset acquisition opportunities, focused on gold in Africa.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 and Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements. Some of our accounting policies require the application of significant judgment by management in selecting the appropriate assumptions for calculating financial estimates. Refer to Note 3 on pages F-26 to F-29 of this Annual Report for disclosure of critical accounting estimates and judgments. By their nature, these judgments are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty and are based on our historical experience, terms of existing contracts, management's view on trends in the gold mining industry and information from outside sources. The audit committee considered and approved the key estimates and accounting policies.

The critical accounting estimates and judgements as detailed in note 3 on pages F-26 to F-29 are listed as follows:

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| | | TVA |
| | | Corporation tax claims |
| · | · | Carrying values of property, plant and equipment and joint venture investments |
| | · | Capitalization and depreciation |
| · | | Gold price assumptions for reserves determination |
| | · | Determination of ore reserves |
| · | | Future rehabilitation obligations |
| | · | Stockpiles, gold in process and product inventories |
| · | | Post production open cast mining stripping |
| | · | Exploration and evaluation expenditure |
| · | | Share-based payments |

Recent accounting pronouncements

The IASB has issued the following new standards, amendments to published standards and interpretations to existing standards with effective dates on or prior to January 1, 2013 which have been adopted by the group for the first time this year.

Table of Contents

| | | Effective period commencing on or after | Impact on Group |
|---------|---|--|---|
| IAS 1 | Amendment – Presentation of Items of Other Comprehensive Income | July 1, 2012 | No material impact, disclosures amended |
| IFRS 10 | Consolidated Financial Statements | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |
| IFRS 11 | Joint Arrangements | January 1, 2014 | Yes |
| IFRS 12 | Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities | January 1, 2013 | No material impact, disclosures amended |
| IFRS 13 | Fair Value Measurement | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |
| IFRS 7 | Disclosures—Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities | January 1, 2013 | No material impact, disclosures amended |
| IAS 27 | Amendment – Separate Financial Statements | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |
| IAS 28 | Amendment – Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |
| IFRS 1 | Amendment - Government Loans | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |
| IAS 19 | Amendment – Employee Benefits | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |
| | Annual improvements to IFRSs (2009–2011 Cycle) | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |

Refer to Note 2 beginning on page F-7.

Standards effective in future periods

Certain new standards, amendments and interpretations to existing standards have been published that are relevant to the Group's activities mandatory for the Group's accounting periods beginning after January 1, 2013 or later periods and which the Group has decided not to adopt early. These are:

| | Effective period commencing on or after |
|--|--|
| IAS 32 Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities | January 1, 2014 |
| IFRS 9 Financial Instruments | No effective date |

The group is currently assessing the impact of these standards on the financial statements. The amendment to offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities provides that rights to offsets financial assets and financial liabilities must continue in normal business, default or insolvency events.

A. OPERATING RESULTS

Our operating and financial review and prospects should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, accompanying notes thereto, and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report.

The information below includes non-GAAP measures, which include 100% of the results of the group's Loulo, Gounkoto and Tongon gold mines, together with its 45% share of Kibali gold mine and 40% share of Morila gold mine. Refer to explanation of non-GAAP measures provided in the section "Non-GAAP Measures" above. Non-GAAP measures are identified when used. Comparative IFRS financial information used in this section has been adjusted for the retrospective impact of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements and is denoted '+'. Following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, the group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 with prior periods 2011 and 2012 adjusted accordingly (refer to pages F-8 to F-12 of this Annual Report for further details).

Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

Total Revenue

Gold sales (non-GAAP) for the year ended 31 December 2013 of US\$1.3 billion were in line with the previous year as a result of a 16% increase in ounces sold offset by a 17% decrease in the average gold price received of \$1,376/oz (2012: \$1,660/oz). The increase in ounces sold was partly attributable to the start of production at Kibali, as noted above, as well as higher grades and recoveries at the Loulo- Gounkoto complex.

Table of Contents

Total IFRS revenues from gold sales for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased by \$45.4 million, or 4%, from \$1,183.1 million+ to \$1,137.7 million.

Other Income

Other income of \$6.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$12.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Other income includes management fees in respect of Kibali and Morila of \$6.0 million (2012: \$7.5 million). Other income in 2012 further includes operational foreign exchange gains of \$5.1 million.

Costs and Expenses*Total Cash Costs (non-GAAP)*

The following table sets out our total ounces sold and total cash cost and production cost per ounce sold for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| | Ounces sold | \$ Per Ounce | Ounces sold | \$ Per Ounce |
| Morila (40% share) cash costs | 56,729 | 763 | 81,005 | 759 |
| Loulo (100% share) cash costs | 312,748 | 776 | 214,739 | 781 |
| Tongon (100% share) cash costs | 236,279 | 828 | 210,396 | 772 |
| Goukoto (100% share) cash costs | 274,802 | 622 | 287,712 | 706 |
| Kibali (45% share) cash costs | 39,690 | 464 | - | - |
| Total ounces (sold) | 920,248 | | 793,852 | |
| Group total cash costs per ounce # | | 715 | | 735 |

Refer to explanation of non-GAAP measures provided. "Item 3. Key Information – A. Selected Financial Data".

Total cash costs (non-GAAP) for the year ended December 31, 2013 of \$658.0 million increased by 13% (2012:\$583.3 million), mainly due to increased costs at Tongon and the Loulo-Goukoto complex due to increased throughput, increased mining costs, and the production from Kibali during the last quarter of the year, slightly offset

by a decrease in costs at Morila. Total cash cost per ounce decreased by 3% to \$715/oz for the year, reflecting the low cost production of Kibali during the latter part of the year and higher grades and production at Loulo-Gounkoto offset by a slight increase in costs at Tongon in the year, due to the lower grade and recovery.

In the consolidated statement of comprehensive income, Kibali and Morila are being equity accounted, following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, therefore the costs associated with these mines are being included in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income line 'share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures' from January 1, 2013, with prior periods 2011 and 2012 restated. Share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures for the year ended December 31, 2013 of \$54.2 million increased by \$13.3 million from \$40.9 million+ from the year ended December 31, 2012, reflecting the start of production at Kibali in the year, offset in part by lower ounces sold and gold prices achieved at Morila.

Mining and processing costs for the year ended December 31, 2013 of \$678.5 million increased by 15% (2012:\$588.4 million+), mainly due to increased costs at Tongon and the Loulo-Gounkoto complex due to increased throughput and increased mining costs. The total includes depreciation and amortization described below. The total

Table of Contents

further includes 'other mining and processing costs' which comprise various expenses associated with providing on mine administration support services to the Loulo, Goukoto, and Tongon mines. These charges amounted to \$61.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$75.8 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in other mining and processing costs reflect the cost saving initiatives which took place across the group during 2013.

Royalties decreased by \$1.3 million, or 2%, to \$58.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$59.7 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decreased royalties reflect the lower average gold price received and decreased gold sales.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization of \$130.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased by \$12.6 million or 11% compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The rise year on year reflects the increase in production activity across the mines in the group as well as an increase in the operating assets across the group. Depreciation charges associated with the Kibali and Morila joint ventures are included in the 'share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures'.

Exploration and Corporate Expenditure

Exploration and corporate expenditure was \$49.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$39.0 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2012. Drilling programs were undertaken across the company's greenfields exploration targets, together with exploration work on feasibility stage projects, including those at Kibali, Massawa, Loulo and Goukoto, which were capitalized to property, plant and equipment. Since the company was listed in 1997, it has discovered more than 29 million reserve ounces which, when divided by the exploration and corporate costs expensed over this period, equates to less than \$18/oz of gold.

Finance Income

Finance income amounts consist primarily of interest received on cash held at banks, which was \$1.2 million for 2013 as compared to \$2.0 million in 2012+. This decrease was mainly due to a decrease in the average cash balance held during 2013 compared to 2012.

Finance Costs

Finance costs for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$7.7 million compared to finance costs for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$1.0 million+. The increase of \$6.7 million is mainly due to a \$5.2 million increase in the foreign exchange loss on financing activities included in the figure, as well as a \$1.2 million increase in finance cost mainly due to the annual facility fees of the revolving credit facility with HSBC. The company has entered into a \$200.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with HSBC and a syndicate of three other banks in 2013 which matures in May 2016 and is at present undrawn.

Income Tax Expense

The income tax expense amounted to \$76.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased by \$39.6 million and by 107% compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. Gounkoto's two year corporate tax holiday beginning from first production ended at the start of June 2013, which contributed to the increased tax charge. Tongon will benefit from its exoneration from corporate tax for five years until December 1, 2015. Under Malian tax law, income tax is based on the greater of 30% taxable income or 0.75% of gross revenue. Under Ivorian tax law, income tax is based on the greater of 25% of taxable income or 0.5% of gross revenue. Refer to Note 4 on page F-30 of this Annual Report for reconciliation between implied tax on profits at statutory tax rates and actual tax charges. The income tax charge associated with the Kibali and Morila equity accounted joint ventures is included within 'share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures'.

Table of Contents

Non-controlling Interests

The non-controlling interests for the year ended December 31, 2013 represent the State of Mali's 20% share of the profits at Loulo, the Ivorian government's 10% share and other outside shareholder's 1% share of the profits at Tongon, as well as the Malian government's 20% share of the profits at Gounkoto.

Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Total Revenue

Total revenues from gold sales (non-GAAP) for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$1.318 billion increased by \$0.19 billion, or 17%, from \$1.127 billion. This is attributable to a 10% increase in ounces sold in the year across the group of 793,852oz from 718,762oz in 2011, as well as a 5% increase in the average gold price received of \$1,660/oz (2011: \$1,574/oz).

Total IFRS revenues from gold sales for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased by \$212.8 million, or 22%, from \$970.3 million+ to \$1,183.1 million+.

Other Income

Other income of \$12.6 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$7.9 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2011. Other income includes management fees in respect of Kibali and Morila of \$7.5 million (2011: \$7.9 million). Other income further includes operational foreign exchange gains of \$5.1 million in 2012.

Costs and Expenses

Total Cash Costs (non-GAAP)

The following table sets out our total ounces sold and total cash cost and production cost per ounce sold for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | Ounces sold | \$ Per Ounce | Ounces sold | \$ Per Ounce |
| Morila (40% share) cash costs | 81,005 | 759 | 99,454 | 782 |
| Loulo (100% share) cash costs | 214,739 | 781 | 209,631 | 952 |
| Tongon (100% share) cash costs | 210,396 | 772 | 271,922 | 557 |
| Goukoto (100% share) cash costs | 287,712 | 706 | 137,755 | 536 |
| Total ounces (sold) | 793,852 | | 718,762 | |
| Group total cash costs per ounce # | | 735 | | 688 |

#

Refer to explanation of non-GAAP measures provided.

Total cash costs (non-GAAP) for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$583.3 million increased by 18% (2011:\$494.7 million), mainly due to increased costs at the Loulo-Goukoto complex due to increased throughput, increased underground mining costs, including costs associated with the newly introduced backfill strategy and increased open pit costs resulting from the Yalea pit pushback. The increase in total costs also reflected the full year costs associated with the Goukoto mine where production started in June 2011. Total cash cost per ounce increased by 7% to \$735/oz for the year, reflecting higher operating costs across the group and lower recovered grade at Tongon and Goukoto, partially offset by the increased gold production and sales.

- 90 -

Table of Contents

In the consolidated statement of comprehensive income, Kibali and Morila are being equity accounted, following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, therefore the costs associated with these mines are being included in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income line 'share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures' from January 1, 2013, with prior periods 2011 and 2012 restated. Share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$40.9 million+ decreased by \$3.2 million from \$44.1 million+ from the year ended December 31, 2011 reflecting reduced ounces sold at Morila partly offset by the increase in average gold price achieved.

Mining and processing costs for the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$588.4+ million increased by 32% (2011:\$443.9 million+), due to increased total cash costs as explained above, together with increased depreciation described below. The total includes 'other mining and processing costs' which comprise various expenses associated with providing on mine administration support services to the Loulo, Goukoto, and Tongon mines. These charges amounted to \$75.8 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$62.8 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in other mining and processing costs reflect the full year's cost associated with the Goukoto mine where production commenced in June 2011.

Royalties increased by \$15.3 million, or 34%, to \$59.7 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$44.4 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increased royalties reflect the higher average gold price received and increased gold sales.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization of \$118.0 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased by 80% compared to the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$65.6 million+. The rise year on year reflects the increase in production activity across the mines in the group as well as depreciation charges associated with the Yalea South pushback at Loulo. Depreciation charges associated with the Kibali and Morila joint ventures are included in the 'share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures'.

Exploration and Corporate Expenditure

Exploration and corporate expenditure was \$39.0 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$43.6 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2011. Drilling programs continued on the company's exploration targets, but a larger proportion of the exploration work was undertaken on feasibility stage projects including those at Massawa, Loulo and Goukoto, which were capitalized to these projects. Since the company was listed in 1997, it has discovered more than 25 million reserve ounces which, when divided by the exploration and corporate costs expended over this period, equates to less than \$17/oz of gold.

Other Expenses

Other expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011 of \$14 million+ (2012:\$nil+) mainly comprised operational foreign exchange losses resulting from the settling of invoices in currencies other than US Dollar, as well as the translation of balances denominated in currencies such as Rand, Canadian Dollar, FCFA and Euro to the closing US Dollar rate.

Finance Income

Finance income amounts consist primarily of interest received on cash held at banks of \$2.0 million+ and increased from the interest received in 2011 of \$1.0 million+ by 100%, mainly due to an increase in the effective interest rate (the effective interest rate increased from 0.23% in 2011 to 0.41% in 2012).

Finance Costs

Finance costs for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$1.0 million+ compared to finance costs for the year ended December 31, 2011 of \$3.1 million+. The decrease of \$2.1 million is mainly due to the reduction in the foreign exchange loss on financing activities included in the figure.

Table of Contents

Income Tax Expense

The income tax expense amounted to \$37.1 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased by \$7.1 million and by 24% compared to the \$30.0 million+ charge for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase is due to the increased profits at Loulo during 2012. Tongon SA benefits from a five year tax holiday in Côte d'Ivoire from December 1, 2010. Goukoto benefits from a minimum two year tax holiday starting from first production in June 2011 with an opportunity to extend this to five years in the event of further investment. Under Malian tax law, income tax is based on the greater of 30% taxable income or 0.75% of gross revenue (the tax rate having reduced from 35% previously to 30%, applied retrospectively). Under Ivorian tax law, income tax is based on the greater of 25% of taxable income or 0.5% of gross revenue. Refer to Note 4 on page F-30 of this Annual Report for a reconciliation between implied tax on profits at statutory tax rates and actual tax charges. The income tax charge associated with the Kibali and Morila equity accounted joint ventures is included within 'share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures'.

Non-controlling Interests

The non-controlling interests for the year ended December 31, 2012 represent the State of Mali's 20% share of the profits at Loulo, the Ivorian government's 10% share and other outside shareholder's 1% share of the profits at Tongon, as well as the Malian government's 20% share of the profits at Goukoto.

B. LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Comparative IFRS financial information used in this section has been adjusted for the retrospective impact of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements and is denoted '+'. Following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, the group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 with prior periods 2011 and 2012 adjusted accordingly (refer to pages F-8 to F-12 of this Annual Report for further details).

Cash Resources

The group had \$38.2 million cash and cash equivalents for the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$373.9 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Operating Activities

Net cash generated from operating activities was \$464.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and \$524.2 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2012. The \$59.7 million decrease year on year was due mainly to the reduced gold prices on profitability and the changes in operating working capital items. Cash flows related to receivables decreased by \$62.7 million during 2013, mainly due to increases in VAT receivables at Loulo, as well as increases in gold debtor balances at Loulo due to the timing of shipments at year end. Cash flows related to inventories and ore stockpiles decreased during 2013 by \$49.8 million, due to an increase in ore stockpiles at the operations. Cash flows related to trade and other payables increased by \$65.6 million from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013, mainly due to the effect of additional contractors and accruals, primarily at the Loulo-Goukoto complex, and Tongon, which reflects the increased production and mining activity.

Net cash generated from operating activities was \$524.2 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$565.6 million+ for the year ended December 31, 2011. The \$41.4 million decrease was due mainly to the changes in operating working capital items. Cash flows related to receivables decreased by \$120.7 million+ during 2012, mainly due to an increase in recoverable VAT balances at Loulo. Cash flows related to inventories and ore stockpiles decreased during 2012 by \$81.6 million+, due to an increase in ore stockpiles at operations, increases in stores consumables at Tongon and Loulo due to increased production, as well as a strategic build-up of scarce raw materials and an increase in gold inventory at Loulo, Goukoto and Tongon.

Cash flows related to trade and other payables increased by \$18.5 million+ from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012, mainly due to the effect of additional contractors and accruals, primarily at the Loulo-Goukoto complex, at Tongon, which reflects the increased production, mining activity and construction.

Table of Contents

Investing

Investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013 utilized \$728.0 million compared to \$566.1 million+ utilized for the year ended December 31, 2012 and consisted primarily of \$255.7 expenditure at Loulo related to the developments at the Gara and Yalea underground mines while \$11.0 million was incurred at Goukoto and \$23.6 million was incurred at Tongon. A further \$12.8 million was incurred on other projects elsewhere in the group, primarily at Massawa. Funds invested in equity accounted joint ventures at Kibali amounted to \$416.4 million (attributable portion) and related to the village relocation program, the construction of the metallurgical plant and the hydroelectricity plant, site infrastructure, open pit mining and roads, together with working capital. \$8.5 million was advanced to the group's asset leasing joint venture, RAL 1 Limited.

Investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 utilized \$566.1 million compared to \$452.9 million+ utilized for the year ended December 31, 2011. Funds utilized during 2012 mainly consisted of \$219.5 million+ of expenditure at Loulo related to the developments at the Gara and Yalea underground mines, as well as stripping costs on the Yalea South pushback, while \$17.8 million was incurred at Goukoto, principally in respect of site infrastructure and crushing facilities. Capital expenditure of \$33.4 million was incurred at the Tongon mine, primarily on the metallurgical plant and power plant engineering. Funds invested in equity accounted joint ventures amounted to \$298.3 million and primarily related to capital expenditure at Kibali (attributable portion). Expenditure was incurred on the village relocation program, the construction of the metallurgical plant and the hydroelectricity plant, site infrastructure, open pit mining and roads

Financing

Financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013 used \$72.2 million. This mainly was comprised of dividends of \$46.1 million paid to the company's shareholders and \$27.2 million paid to non-controlling interests in Goukoto, partially offset by \$1.2 million received on exercise of share options. Financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 used \$47.5 million. This comprised \$14.1 million received on exercise of share options offset by a dividend payment to the company's shareholders of \$36.7 million and \$24.8 million paid to non-controlling interests in Goukoto.

Credit and Loan Facilities

During the year ended December 31, 2000, Morila entered into a finance lease for five Rolls-Royce generators under the terms of a Deferred Terms Agreement between Morila and Rolls-Royce. The lease is repayable over ten years commencing April 1, 2001 and bears interest at a variable rate which at December 31, 2010 was approximately 38% (2009: 38%) per annum. Our attributable share of this finance lease obligation amounted to \$0.2 million at

December 31, 2010 and \$1.1 million at December 31, 2009. The lease was fully repaid in 2011.

Somilo has a \$0.5 million loan (principal amount) from the State of Mali. This loan is uncollateralized and bore interest at the base rate of the Central Bank of West African States plus 2% per annum. The accrual of interest ceased in the last quarter of 2005 per mutual agreement between shareholders. This loan is repayable from cash flows of the Loulo mine after the repayment of all other loans.

Kibali utilizes mining equipment under a finance lease provided by KAS 1 Limited. The group has an effective 25% interest in KAS 1 Limited which is held through the equity accounted joint venture Kibali (Jersey) Limited. The lease term is 10 years. The finance lease liability is recognized in respect of the equipment which has been transferred to Kibali under an installment sale agreement. The finance lease liability is interest bearing at 8% and is to be reduced by rental payments monthly as agreed in the installment sale agreement.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the company has entered into a US\$200.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with HSBC and a syndicate of three other banks which matures in May 2016 and is at present undrawn. The interest rate, if drawn would be LIBOR plus 1.5% per annum based on the company's current level of leverage. The facility includes financial covenants in respect of EBIT, EBITDA, net finance charges, tangible net worth, total debt, debt cover and interest cover.

Table of ContentsCorporation Tax Claims

As at December 31, 2013, the group had received claims for various taxes from the State of Mali totaling \$123.1 million, in respect of the Loulo, Goukoto and Morila mines, together with Kankou Moussa SARL, its Malian gold sales operation. Having taken professional advice, the group considers the claims to be wholly without merit or foundation and is strongly defending its position, including following the appropriate legal process for such disputes in Mali. Loulo, Goukoto and Morila have legally binding mining conventions which guarantee fiscal stability, govern the taxes applicable for the companies and allow for international arbitration in the event that a dispute cannot be resolved in the country. Management continues to engage with the Malian authorities at the highest level to resolve this issue. During the third quarter of 2013, Loulo submitted a request for arbitration at the International Court of the Settlement of Investment Disputes against the State of Mali in relation to certain disputed tax claims. The appointment of arbitrators has been finalized and the first procedural hearing took place during February 2014. No provision is made in the financial statements for the claims.

Corporate, Exploration, Development and New Business Expenditures

Our expenditures on corporate, exploration, development and new business activities for the past three years are as follows:

| Area | Year Ended December 31, \$'000 | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Rest of Africa | 2,932 | 2,381 | 1,853 |
| Burkina Faso | 858 | 790 | 712 |
| Mali | 9,148 | 3,845 | 6,793 |
| Tanzania | — | — | — |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 5,067 | 3,752 | 5,039 |
| Senegal | 3,599 | 4,483 | 5,204 |
| Ghana | — | — | 5 |
| DRC | 1,034 | 906 | — |
| Total exploration expenditure | 22,638 | 16,157 + | 19,606 + |
| Corporate expenditure | 26,847 | 22,876 + | 23,990 + |
| Total exploration and corporate expenditure | 49,485 | 39,033 + | 43,596 + |

The Group has various exploration programs, ranging from substantial to early stage in the Democratic Republic of Congo, Mali, Senegal, Burkina Faso and Côte d'Ivoire.

Working Capital

Management believes that our working capital resources, by way of internal sources and available credit facilities are sufficient to fund our currently foreseeable future business requirements. Capital expenditure contracted for at December 31, 2013, but not yet incurred, is \$28.5 million and planned capital expenditure for 2014 is expected to be approximately \$327.1 million.

C. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, PATENTS AND LICENSES, ETC.

We are not involved in any research and development and have no registered patents or licenses.

D. TREND INFORMATION

Our financial results are subject to the movement in gold prices. In the past fiscal year, the general trend has been downwards and this has had an impact on revenues. However it should be noted that fluctuations in the price of gold remain a distinct risk to us.

Table of Contents

Gold Market

The gold market is relatively liquid compared with many other commodity markets, with the price of gold generally quoted in US dollars. The physical demand for gold is primarily for fabrication purposes, and gold is traded on a world-wide basis. Fabricated gold has a variety of uses, including jewelry, electronics, dentistry, decorations, medals and official coins. In addition, central banks, financial institutions and private individuals buy, sell and hold gold bullion as an investment and as a store of value.

Historically, gold has been used as a store of value because it tends to retain its value in relative terms against basic goods in times of inflation and monetary crisis. Therefore, large quantities of gold in relation to annual mine production are held for this purpose. This has meant that, historically, the potential total supply of gold has been far greater than annual demand. Thus, while current supply and demand play some part in determining the price of gold, this does not occur to the same extent as for other commodities.

Instead, gold prices have been significantly affected, from time to time, by macro-economic factors such as expectations of inflation, interest rates, exchange rates, changes in reserve policy by central banks, and global or regional political and economic crises. In times of inflation, currency devaluation, and political and economic crises, gold has traditionally been seen as refuge, leading to increased purchases of gold and a support for the price of gold.

Interest rates affect the price of gold on several levels. High real interest rates increase the cost of holding gold, and discourage physical buying in developed economies. High Dollar interest rates also make hedging by forward selling attractive because of the higher contango premiums (differential between LIBOR and gold lease rates) obtained in the forward prices. Increased forward selling in turn has an impact on the spot price at the time of sale.

Changes in reserve policies of central banks have affected the gold market and gold price on two levels. On the physical level, a decision by a central bank to decrease or to increase the percentage of gold in bank reserves leads to either sales or purchases of gold, which in turn has a direct impact on the physical market for the metal. In practice, sales or purchases by central banks have often involved substantial tonnages within a short period of time and this selling/buying can place strong pressure on the markets at the time they occur. As important as the physical impact to official sales, announcements of rumors of changes in central bank policies which might lead to the sale of gold reserves historically had an effect on market sentiment and encouraged large speculative positions against gold in the futures market for the metal.

The volatility of gold prices is illustrated in the following table, which shows the approximate annual high, low and average of the afternoon London Bullion Market fixing price of gold in Dollars for the past ten years.

| Year | Price Per Ounce (\$) | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------|---------|
| | High | Low | Average |
| 2004 | 454 | 375 | 409 |
| 2005 | 537 | 411 | 444 |
| 2006 | 725 | 525 | 604 |
| 2007 | 841 | 608 | 695 |
| 2008 | 1,011 | 712 | 871 |
| 2009 | 1,213 | 810 | 972 |
| 2010 | 1,421 | 1,058 | 1,224 |
| 2011 | 1,895 | 1,319 | 1,572 |
| 2012 | 1,792 | 1,540 | 1,669 |
| 2013 | 1,694 | 1192 | 1,411 |
| 2014 (through February) | 1,339 | 1,221 | 1,270 |

E. OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

None.

Table of Contents**F. TABULAR DISCLOSURE OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS**

Our contractual obligations and commercial commitments are described below. The related obligations as at December 31, 2013 are set out below. The figures presented exclude equity accounted joint venture balances:

| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | More than 5 years |
|--|-------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| Trade and other payables | 160,942 | 160,942 | - | - | - |
| Operating lease obligations | 22,536 | 2,817 | 5,634 | 5,634 | 8,451 |
| Environmental rehabilitation* | 49,177 | 221 | 3,382 | - | 45,574 |
| Loans from minority shareholders in subsidiaries | 2,929 | - | - | - | 2,929 |
| Total contractual cash obligations | 235,584 | 163,980 | 9,016 | 5,634 | 56,954 |
| Contracts for capital expenditure | 13,049 | 13,049 | - | - | - |

* *Obligation is to rehabilitate site but amounts shown are estimated discounted cashflows.*

Refer to Notes 10 and 19 on pages F-34 to F-38 and F-55, respectively of this Annual Report for details of the Joint Venture Agreements with AngloGold Ashanti and DTP Terrassement.

Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees**A. DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT**

Our Articles of Association provide that the board must consist of no less than two and no more than 20 directors at any time. Effective as of January 31, 2014, Jemal-ud-din Kassum was appointed to the board as an independent non-executive director. The board currently consists of 10 directors.

Our Articles of Association provide that any new director should be re-elected by the shareholders at the annual general meeting following the date of the director's appointment. In accordance with the United Kingdom Governance Code (2012 Edition) which calls for directors to seek reelection annually, at the annual general meeting held on April 29, 2013, Mr. P. Liétard, Dr. D.M. Bristow, Mr. G.P. Shuttleworth, Mr. N.P. Cole Jr., Mr. C.L. Coleman, Mr. K. Dagdelen, Dr. K. Voltaire, Mrs. J.M. Lioko and Mr. A.J. Quinn were re-elected.

According to the Articles of Association, the board meets at intervals determined by it from time to time.

The address of each of our executive directors and non-executive directors is the address of our principal executive offices, 3rd Floor, Unity Chambers, 28 Halkett Street, St. Helier, Jersey, JE2 4WJ, Channel Islands.

Executive Directors

D. Mark Bristow (55) Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Bristow has been chief executive officer since incorporation of the company, which was founded on his pioneering exploration work in West Africa. He has subsequently led the company's growth through the discovery and development of world-class assets into a major gold mining business. He has also played a significant part in promoting the emergence of a sustainable mining industry in Africa. A geologist with a PhD from Natal University, South Africa, he has held board positions at a number of global mining companies and is non-executive chairman of Rockwell Resources International.

Graham P. Shuttleworth (45) Chief Financial Officer. Financial Director. Mr. Shuttleworth joined us as Chief Financial Officer and Financial Director in July 2007 but has been associated with the company since its inception, initially as part of the management team involved in listing the company on the LSE in 1997, and subsequently as an advisor. A chartered accountant, he was a managing director and the New-York based head of metals and mining for the Americas in the global investment banking division of HSBC before taking up his position at the company. At HSBC he led or was involved in a wide range of major mining industry transactions, including our NASDAQ listing, and subsequent equity offerings.

Table of Contents

Non-Executive Directors

Norborne P. Cole (72) Senior Independent Non-Executive Director. Chairman of the remuneration committee and member of the governance and nomination committee. Mr. Cole started working for the Coca-Cola Company as a field representative in the USA in 1966 and advanced steadily through the organization, becoming chief executive of Coca-Cola Amatil in Australia in 1994, a position he held until 1998. Under his leadership, Coca-Cola Amatil grew into the second largest Coca-Cola bottler in the world. Now based in San Antonio, Texas, he serves on the boards of a number of US companies including Papa John's International Inc. He was appointed a director in May 2006.

Christopher L. Coleman (45) Non-Executive Director. Member of the governance and nomination, remuneration and audit committees. He will be appointed chairman of the board with effect from May 6, 2014. He will retire from the audit committee with effect from May 6, 2014. Mr. Coleman will be appointed chairman of the governance and nomination committee with effect from May 6, 2014. Mr. Coleman is the Head of Banking and Asset Finance and a managing director of Rothschild as well as chairman of Rothschild Bank International in the Channel Islands, he also serves on a number of other boards and committees of the Rothschild Group, which he joined in 1989. He has a BSc (Econ) degree from the London School of Economics and was a non-executive director of the Merchant Bank of Central Africa from 2001 to 2008. He is also a non-executive director of the US company Papa John's International Inc. He was appointed a director in November 2008.

Kadri Dagdelen (59) Non-Executive Director. Member of the governance and nomination committee. Dr. Dagdelen is a professor and was previously head of the Department of Mining Engineering at the Colorado School of Mines in the US. He began his professional career as a mining engineer at Homestake Mining Co (now Barrick Gold Corporation) and was the technical services manager when he left for academia in 1992. He holds a PhD in Mining Engineering and a ME in Geostatistics and has been involved in numerous research and consulting projects worldwide, also serving on the board of directors of the Society of Mining, Exploration and Metallurgy in the US for six years and chairing other professional societies that support the mining industry. He was appointed a director in January 2010.

Jemal-ud-din Kassum (65) Non-Executive Director. Mr. Kassum will be a member of the audit and governance and nomination committees with effect from May 3, 2014. Mr. Kassum is a former World Bank vice-president for the East Asia and Pacific region. Before that, he had a 25-year career with the International Finance Corporation. A Tanzanian national based in the USA, he now provides strategic advice to international financial institutions and governments, and sits on the boards of Guardian Holdings, PT Indonesia Infrastructure Finance and Khan Bank. He was educated in the UK at Harrow and Oxford and holds an MBA from Harvard. He was appointed a director January 31, 2014.

Philippe Liétard (65) Non-Executive Chairman. Chairman of the governance and nomination committee. Mr. Liétard will step down from the board and governance and nomination committee with effect from May 6, 2014. He was appointed a non-executive director in 1998 and chairman in 2004, his experience in corporate and project finance with UBS, the IFC and World Bank spans 30 years, mainly in the minerals business and in Africa. Previously a director of

the Oil, Gas and Mining Department of the IFC, he served as managing director of the Global Natural Resources Fund from 2000 to 2003. Now an independent consultant and a promoter of mining and energy investments, he is also a director of CellMark AB of Sweden and serves on the board of trustees of the Rochambeau Foundation in Washington DC, US and as chairman of the Nos Vies en Partage Foundation.

Jeanine Mabunda Lioko (49) Non-Executive Director. Mrs. Mabunda Lioko will become a member of the audit committee with effect from May 3, 2014. Mrs. Mabunda Lioko has spent a number of years working in the DRC's finance industry including with Citi Group and as an advisor to the Governor of Banque Centrale du Congo. A former Minister of Portfolio of the DRC, a position which she held for over 5 years, she is now a serving member of the National Assembly of the DRC, representing the Equateur Province. She was educated in Brussels, Belgium, and holds a law degree from the Catholic University of Louvain and a postgraduate degree in commercial science from the ICHEC Brussels Management School. She joined the board in January 2013.

Andrew J. Quinn (60) Non-Executive Director. Member of the audit committee. He will become a member of the remuneration committee with effect from May 3, 2014. Mr. Quinn retired at the end of 2011 from his position as head of mining investment banking for Europe and Africa at CIBC after 15 years in that role and has more than 38 years' experience of the mining industry. With a BSc (Hons) in mineral exploitation (mining engineering) from Cardiff University, he began his career in Anglo American's gold division in 1975, holding various management and technical positions in South Africa, and worked briefly for Greenbushes Tin in Australia before joining Mining Journal in 1982 as editor of its gold publications. In 1984 he entered the financial services industry joining James Capel (later HSBC Investment Banking) and then CIBC in 1996. He was appointed a director in November 2011.

Table of Contents

Karl Voltaire (63) Non-Executive Director. Chairman of the audit committee and member of the remuneration committee. A graduate in mineral resources engineering from the Ecole des Mines in Paris, he holds an MBA and a PhD in economics and finance from the University of Chicago. He started his career as a mining engineer in Haiti and subsequently spent 23 years in the World Bank Group in Washington DC, most of this time at the International Finance Corporation where his last position was that of director of global financial markets. Subsequently he was director of the Office of President at the African Development Bank. He was CEO of the Nelson Mandela Institution from 2005 to 2009, and is currently a member of the board of trustees of the African University of Science and Technology. He was appointed a director in May 2006.

Executive Officers

Willem Jacobs (55) General manager operations – Central and East Africa. Dr. Jacobs has a BPL(Hons) and DCom. He has served as a director of listed and private companies in the areas of mining, engineering and manufacturing in Southern, Central and Eastern Africa for the past 18 years. He joined us in January 2010.

John Steele (53) Technical and capital projects executive. Mr. Steele is responsible for the successful construction and commissioning of our Morila, Loulo, Tongon and Gounkoto mines and leading the team developing the new Kibali mine in the DRC. He also continues to provide operational and engineering oversight.

Samba Touré (60) General manager – Operations West Africa. Mr. Touré joined the Morila mine in 2000 and held various responsibilities, culminating in the appointment in 2007 as the mine chief executive. In 2010, he was promoted to group operations general manager for West Africa.

Martin Welsh (42) General counsel and secretary. After qualifying as a lawyer in Scotland in 1998, he gained experience acting on numerous international corporate and finance transactions in London. He previously acted for us before joining the company in 2011 and assumed his current position in 2012.

Our Articles of Association provide that the longest serving one-third of directors retire from office at each annual general meeting. Retiring directors normally make themselves available for re-election and are re-elected at the annual general meeting on which they retire. In accordance with the United Kingdom Governance Code (2012 Edition) the directors will offer themselves for reelection by shareholders at the company's annual general meeting. Our officers service as officers is regulated by standard industry employment agreements.

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

The date of appointment, date of expiration and length of service for each of our directors is set forth in the table below:

| Director | Date of Appointment | Date of Expiration Term | Number of Years Served |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Executive | | | |
| D.M. Bristow | 8/05/95 | 5/06/14* | 19 |
| G.P. Shuttleworth | 7/01/07 | 5/06/14* | 7 |
| Non-Executive | | | |
| P. Liétard | 2/11/98 | 5/06/14* | 16 |
| N.P. Cole | 5/03/06 | 5/06/14* | 8 |
| K. Voltaire | 5/03/06 | 5/06/14* | 8 |
| C.L. Coleman | 11/03/08 | 5/06/14* | 6 |
| K. Dagdelen | 1/29/10 | 5/06/14* | 4 |
| A.J. Quinn | 11/01/11 | 5/06/14* | 2 |
| J. Mabunda Lioko | 1/28/13 | 5/06/14* | 1 |
| J. Kassum | 1/31/14 | 5/06/14* | <1 |

* The United Kingdom Corporate Governance Code issued in September 2012 requires that all directors should stand for re-election on an annual basis.

Table of Contents

None of our directors and executive officers was selected under any arrangements or understandings between that director or executive officer and any other person. All of our non-executive directors are considered independent directors.

B. COMPENSATION

Our objective is to ensure that our executive remuneration policy encourages, reinforces and rewards the delivery of sustainable shareholder value. We aim to ensure that our pay arrangements are fully aligned with our approach to risk management, and take into account our obligations in respect of environmental, social and governance policies. We provide senior management, including executive directors, with competitive base salaries to attract and retain high caliber executives, based on personal performance profile and relevant experience. In addition, other elements of our remuneration program are designed to encourage and reward superior performance on an annual basis as well as sustainable long-term performance. The remuneration committee's policies are designed to meet these objectives and to ensure that the individual directors are fairly and responsibly rewarded for their respective contributions to our performance.

Fixed remuneration

Fixed remuneration comprise only of base salary. No pension contributions are funded by us. In 2014, fixed remuneration represents less than 22% for the chief executive officer and 33% for the chief financial officer of the total remuneration package (based on target performance).

Base Salary

The chief executive officer's and chief financial officer's base salaries are determined by the remuneration committee, taking into account the performance of the individual. We also benchmark each element of remuneration and the total remuneration package in comparison to FTSE 100, FTSE mining and comparable international gold mining companies. When setting base salaries, the remuneration committee also takes into consideration the requirement for extensive travel and time spent at the company's operations in Africa. This is considered critical to the effective management of the company's business.

At December 31, 2013, the annual base salaries of the executive directors were as follows:

CEO: Dr. D.M. Bristow US\$1,575,000
CFO: Mr. G.P. Shuttleworth £472,000

Following a review of all aspects of the remuneration packages of the executive directors, it has been decided that the CFO's base salary be increased from £472,000 to £495,600 with effect from January 1, 2014, which represents an increase of 5% of base salary. Pursuant to our remuneration philosophy, Mr. GP Shuttleworth was brought into the CFO role in 2007 on a relatively low salary compared to the market to allow for movement and growth in pay, once his value added to the company was demonstrated. He has proved to be a valuable addition to the board and to the management team and the company has increased his salary over time including in 2011, 2012, 2013 and now in 2014. The remuneration committee believes this is reflective of his considerable contribution and positions his salary appropriately within the market. The remuneration committee sought the views of our larger institutional shareholders before deciding on this increase. The base salary increase for the CFO also took account of the increase within our broader employee population. These increases took effect in October 2013 and ranged from 0% to 15%. The average increase of employee salaries was approximately 4%.

Retirement Benefits

Executive directors can elect to sacrifice up to 20% of their base salary to contribute to a defined contribution provident fund. We do not make any contribution to the provident fund.

Other Benefits

Executive directors can elect to receive other benefits including medical aid, funded out of their base salary. Where appropriate, executive directors may be provided with benefits while traveling for work and the cost of membership of professional associations. Life insurance coverage is provided to the executive directors by the company through the group life insurance scheme which is also made available to the company's senior management.

Table of Contents

Variable Remuneration

Variable remuneration represents the major proportion of each executive director's remuneration package.

During 2013, the variable remuneration of the executive directors comprised:

- An annual bonus opportunity coupled with the requirement to defer a third of annual bonus earned.
- Participation in a Co-Investment Plan rewarding performance over three years.

• Performance shares awarded under the Restricted Share Scheme, rewarding performance over three, four and five years, with a further one year post-vesting retention requirement for 50% of the vested award.

¶The CEO performance shares (as discussed below).

In 2014, the Restricted Share Scheme has been simplified, following feedback from shareholders, and now consists of performance being measured over four years, a further one year post vesting retention requirement for 100% of the vested award.

2013 Annual Bonus

Executive directors are eligible to receive an annual bonus, subject to the achievement of stretching performance criteria. The performance metrics are intended to reward the achievement of challenging strategic and financial targets that contribute to the creation of sustainable shareholder value. Each year, the remuneration committee reviews and may make adjustments to the criteria used for measuring performance taking into account the strategic objectives of the company for the year, before the metrics and targets are agreed for the annual remuneration cycle.

The annual bonus payable to the chief executive officer for achieving target performance in 2013 was 150% of base salary, with a maximum bonus of 300% of base salary for achieving outperformance. The annual bonus payable to the chief financial officer for achieving target performance in 2013 was 100% of base salary, with a maximum bonus of 200% of base salary for achieving outperformance.

Based on performance achieved against targets during the 2013 financial year, the remuneration committee determined, based on the performance metrics, that Dr. D.M. Bristow and Mr. G.P. Shuttleworth should receive bonus payments of \$2,565,956 million and \$1,184,771 million, respectively.

Non-Executive Director Remuneration

The remuneration to be paid to our non-executive directors, including the chairman, will remain unchanged in 2014 and is comprised of:

- An annual retainer fee to all non-executive directors of \$50,000;
- An annual committee fee per committee served:
 - Audit committee \$35,000;
 - Remuneration committee \$25,000; and
 - Governance and Nomination committee \$10,000
- The chairman of a board committee to receive an additional fee to the committee fee of \$15,000;

• The senior independent director, in addition to the annual fee but in lieu of any committee fees, to receive an additional fee of \$85,000;

• The chairman, in addition to the annual fee but in lieu of any committee fees, to receive an additional fee of \$200,000; and

Subject to shareholder approval at the annual general meeting of the company, an award to each non-executive director of 1,200 ordinary shares per year, fully vested from grant. The shares are seen as an important element of our approach to remuneration policy in relation to the chairman and non-executive directors. They encourage share ownership and are delivered in lieu of cash. The directors are considered independent notwithstanding an award of shares.

Table of Contents

The shareholding requirement ensures that the interests of directors are aligned with shareholders. Executive directors are required to build up a holding in shares in the company at least equal in value to two times base salary from the value of vested long term incentive awards. At December 31, 2013, both Dr. D.M. Bristow and Mr. G.P. Shuttleworth held shares at least equal in value to two times base salary. New directors have three years in which to acquire the required shareholding and this period could be extended at the discretion of the remuneration committee.

Non-executive directors are required to build up and hold shares at least equal in value to two times the annual retainer fee of US\$50,000, from the value of vested awards. All non-executive directors held shares equal to the value of the annual retainer fee as at December 31, 2013 with the exception of Mrs. Mabunda Lioko who was appointed to the board on January 28, 2013 and Mr. Kassum who was appointed to the board on January 31, 2014. Mr. Kassum will be eligible to receive shares in the company following his reappointment to the board at the 2014 annual general meeting.

In 2009, 2010 and 2011, we awarded to our non-executive directors restricted shares which vested in three equal installments from the date of award. Beginning in 2012, annual awards to non-executive directors consisted of shares fully vested from grant.

CEO Performance Shares

At the 2013 annual general meeting, shareholders approved a one-off award of performance shares to D.M. Bristow. The vesting of the performance shares is subject to the achievement of the conditions set out below and D.M. Bristow continuing to hold office or employment with the company during the period of three years from April 29, 2013, the date of grant of the award of performance shares with an equivalent value of up to \$4,000,000. The achievement of each of the following conditions, which reflect the value enhancement and focus on establishing and operating our flagship Kibali gold mine, will result in the vesting of one-fifth of the shares subject to the award:

- the first gold pour occurs at the Kibali gold mine;
- the cumulative production at the Kibali gold mine in aggregate equals or exceeds 500,000oz of gold;
- gold production of the Randgold group in aggregate equals or exceeds 1Moz per annum for any financial year of the company;
- the vertical shaft at the Kibali gold mine is completed and signed-off by the contractor of the vertical shaft and by the representative of Kibali gold mine; and
- the Nzoro 2 hydroelectric power station (which is currently under construction) provides electricity to the Kibali gold mine.

Vesting of each one-fifth of the award is to occur on the later of the expiration of the third anniversary after the date of grant and the date on which a condition is achieved provided D.M. Bristow holds office or employment as at the date of achievement of the condition. The award is subject to clawback at the discretion of the remuneration committee where a material misstatement is found contained in the annual report and accounts of the company on which vesting of the award (or any part thereof) is or is to be based.

| Director | Date of award | Market price at date of award (US\$)* | At Jan. 1, 2013 | Awarded in the year | Vested in the year | Market price at date vested (US\$) | Lapsed in the year | At Dec. 31, 2013 | Vesting period** |
|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---|
| D.M. Bristow | Apr. 29, 2013 | 79.95 | — | 50,031 | — | — | — | 50,031 | Each 1/5 th of the award vests on the fulfilment of the relevant performance condition provided D.M. Bristow remains in office or employment with the company until April 29, 2016 |

* *NASDAQ Global Select Market closing price on day preceding grant or if a public holiday, the next trading day.*

* *The first vesting condition in respect of 10,006 shares was met on September 24, 2013, however, these shares are held in trust subject to D.M. Bristow continuing in office or employment until April 29, 2016. The shares do not vest in full until that date.*

Table of Contents**Restricted Share Scheme**

The Restricted Share Scheme was approved by shareholders on July 28, 2008. Awards are determined as a percentage of base salary, with the maximum annual award being 200% of base salary for the chief executive officer and 100% of base salary for the chief financial officer. For all awards granted since 2011, awards vest after three, four and five years subject to the achievement of operational and financial targets. In 2014, following feedback from shareholders, the Restricted Share Scheme has been simplified and now has a performance measurement period of four years and requires a holding period of one year beyond that performance measurement period for 100% of the vested award.

The award of performance shares under the Restricted Share Scheme for the CEO and CFO for 2013 will vest subject to the achievement of the following performance targets:

| Level of vesting | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Additional reserves including reserve replacement | | | |
| Nil | Less than 18% | Less than 24% | Less than 30% |
| 40% | 18% | 24% | 30% |
| Pro-rata 40% - 100% on a straight line basis | More than 18% and less than 30% | More than 24% and less than 40% | More than 30% and less than 50% |
| 100% | 30% | 40% | 50% |
| Additional reserves excluding reserve replacement | | | |
| Nil | Less than 3% | Less than 4% | Less than 5% |
| 40% | 3% | 4% | 5% |
| Pro-rata 40% - 100% on a straight line basis | More than 3% and less than 15% | More than 4% and less than 20% | More than 5% and less than 25% |
| 100% | 15% | 20% | 25% |
| Level of vesting EPS growth | After 3, 4 and 5 years | | |
| Nil | Less than 10% per annum | | |
| 40% | 10% per annum | | |
| Pro-rata 40% - 100% on a straight line basis | More than 10% and less than 20% | | |
| 100% | 20% per annum | | |
| Absolute TSR | | | |
| Nil | Less than 8% per annum | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| 40% | 8% per annum |
| Pro-rata 40% - 100% on a straight line basis | More than 8% and less than 12% |
| 100% | 12% per annum |

The award of performance shares under the Restricted Share Scheme for D.M. Bristow and G.P. Shuttleworth for 2014 will vest subject to the achievement of the following performance targets:

| Level of vesting | Year 4 |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Additional reserves including reserve replacement | |
| Nil | Less than 24% |
| 40% | 24% |
| Pro-rata 40% - 100% on a straight line basis | More than 24% and less than 40% |
| 100% | 40% |
| EPS growth | |
| Nil | Less than 10% per annum |
| 40% | 10% per annum |
| Pro-rata 40% - 100% on a straight line basis | More than 10% and less than 20% |
| 100% | 20% per annum |

Table of Contents

| Level of vesting | Year 4 |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Absolute TSR | |
| Nil | Less than 8% per annum |
| 40% | 8% per annum |
| Pro-rata 40% - 100% on a straight line basis | More than 8% and less than 12% |
| 100% | 12% per annum |

- 103 -

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes all awards of shares to our executive directors and our non-executive directors outstanding, the market price on the NASDAQ Global Select Market at the date of award, the date of grant and the vesting schedule of the award.

| Director | Date of award | Market price at date of award (US\$)* | At Jan 1, 2011 | Awarded in the year | Vested in the year | Market price at date vested (US\$) | Lapsed in the year | At Dec. 31, 2013 | Vesting period** |
|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Executive directors' restricted share scheme awards | | | | | | | | | |
| D.M. Bristow | Jan 1, 2010 | 43.26 | 13,334 | - | 13,334 | 100.73 | - | - | 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vests Jun 13, 2014 |
| | Jun 13, 2011 | 76.53 | 38,456 | | - | - | - | 38,456 | 1/3 rd vests Jun 13, 2015 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vests Jun 13, 2016 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vests Mar 16, 2015 |
| | Mar 16, 2012 | 101.41 | 28,843 | - | - | - | - | 28,843 | 1/3 rd vests Mar 16, 2016 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vests Mar 16, 2017 |
| | Mar 18, 2013 | 82.37 | - | 36,724 | - | - | - | 36,724 | 1/3 rd vests Mar 18, 2016 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vests Mar 18, 2017 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vests Mar 18, 2018 |
| G.P. Shuttleworth | Sep 4, 2009 | 56.99 | 18,000 | - | 18,000 | 79.06 | - | - | 1/3 rd vested Sept 4, 2013 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vests Jun 13, 2014 |
| | Jun 13, 2011 | 76.53 | 8,121 | - | - | - | - | 8,121 | 1/3 rd vests Jun 13, 2015 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vests Jun 13, 2016 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vests Mar 16, 2015 |
| | Mar 16, 2012 | 101.41 | 6,462 | - | - | - | - | 6,462 | 1/3 rd vests Mar 16, 2016 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vests Mar 16, 2017 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vests Mar 18, 2016 |
| | Mar 18, 2013 | 82.37 | - | 8,401 | - | - | - | 8,401 | 1/3 rd vests Mar 18, 2017 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vests Mar 18, 2018 |
| Non-executive directors' restricted share awards (granted prior to 2012) | | | | | | | | | |
| P. Liétard | Jan 1, 2011 | 81.60 | 400 | - | 400 | 100.73 | - | - | 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 |
| | Jun 23, 2011 | 80.03 | 800 | - | 400 | 100.73 | - | 400 | 1/3 rd vests Jan 1, 2014 |
| | Jun 23, 2011 | 80.03 | 1,600 | - | 800 | 100.73 | - | 800 | 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-----|-----|--|
| NP Cole Jr | Jan 1, 2011 | 81.60 | 400 | - | 400 | 100.73 | - | - | 1/3 rd vests Jan 1, 2014 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 |
| | Jun 23, 2011 | 80.03 | 800 | - | 400 | 100.73 | - | 400 | |
| CL Coleman | Jan 1, 2011 | 81.60 | 400 | - | 400 | 100.73 | - | - | 1/3 rd vests Jan 1, 2014 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 |
| | Jun 23, 2011 | 80.03 | 800 | | 400 | 100.73 | - | 400 | |
| K Dagdelen | Jan 1, 2011 | 81.60 | 400 | - | 400 | 100.73 | - | - | 1/3 rd vests Jan 1, 2014 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 |
| | Jun 23, 2011 | 80.03 | 800 | | 400 | 100.73 | - | 400 | |
| RI Israel [^] | Jan 1, 2011 | 81.60 | 400 | - | 400 | 100.73 | - | - | 1/3 rd vests Jan 1, 2014 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 |
| | Jun 23, 2011 | 80.03 | 800 | - | 400 | 100.73 | - | 400 | |
| J Kassum ^{^^} | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 1/3 rd vests Jan 1, 2014 n/a |
| J Mabunda Lioko ^{^^^} | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| A Quinn | Nov 1, 2011 | 110.28 | 800 | - | 400 | 100.73 | - | 400 | 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 |
| K Voltaire | Jan 1, 2011 | 81.60 | 400 | - | 400 | 100.73 | - | - | 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2014 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2013 |
| | Jun 23, 2011 | 80.03 | 800 | - | 400 | 100.73 | - | 400 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1/3 rd vested Jan 1, 2014 |

* *NASDAQ Global Select Market closing price on day preceding date of grant or if a public holiday, the next trading day.*

Table of Contents

****** *Vesting of the executive directors' award is subject to performance conditions.*

Mr. Israel did not stand for reappointment as a director and resigned at the 2012 shareholders' annual general meeting on April 30, 2012. At March 23, 2012, which is the last date Mr. Israel made his shareholding available to the company, Mr. Israel held 41,163 ordinary shares in the company.

^^ *Mr. Kassum was appointed to the board on January 31, 2014.*

^^^ *Mrs. Mabunda Lioko was appointed to the board on January 28, 2013.*

Non-executive director share awards at our annual general meetings

| Director | Date of Vesting* | Number of Shares awarded | Market price at date of vesting (US\$) |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--|
| P. Liétard | April 30, 2012 | 1,200 | 89.15 |
| | April 29, 2013 | 1,200 | 81.43 |
| NP Cole Jr | April 30, 2012 | 1,200 | 89.15 |
| | April 29, 2013 | 1,200 | 81.43 |
| CL Coleman | April 30, 2012 | 1,200 | 89.15 |
| | April 29, 2013 | 1,200 | 81.43 |
| K Dagdelen | April 30, 2012 | 1,200 | 89.15 |
| | April 29, 2013 | 1,200 | 81.43 |
| J Kassum [^] | April 30, 2012 | n/a | - |
| | April 29, 2013 | n/a | - |
| J Mabunda Lioko ^{^^} | April 30, 2012 | n/a | - |
| | April 29, 2013 | 1,200 | 81.43 |
| A Quinn | April 30, 2012 | 1,200 | 89.15 |
| | April 29, 2013 | 1,200 | 81.43 |
| K Voltaire | April 30, 2012 | 1,200 | 89.15 |
| | April 29, 2013 | 1,200 | 81.43 |

**The date of vesting is the date of grant being the annual general meeting at which the grant of the awards were approved.*

^ *Mr. Kassum was appointed to the board on January 31, 2014.*

^^ *Mrs. Mabunda Lioko was appointed to the board on January 28, 2013.*

Co-Investment Plan

The Co-Investment Plan rewards sustained performance relative to the group's peers over a three year period. Each year, one third of any annual bonus earned is compulsorily deferred and an executive director may also choose to commit further shares to the Co-Investment Plan. The maximum commitment which may be made in the Co-Investment Plan is 200% of base salary by the CEO and 100% of base salary by the CFO. Committed shares must be retained for three years and may be matched, depending on relative TSR performance over three years against the Euromoney Global Gold Index.

If, after three years, the TSR performance of the company equals or exceeds the performance of the Euromoney Global Gold Index, then the committed shares may be matched on a stepped scale, as shown in the next table. The maximum level of matching is one for one and is awarded for TSR performance of 10% per year (compounded) above the Euromoney Global Gold Index. This is considered to be a stretching level of performance and the remuneration committee considers this target to be challenging in the context of the company's historical sustained outperformance of the market.

Three year TSR (performance per annum)

Level of matching on committed shares

| Compound growth (per annum) | Awards made in 2012 | Awards made in 2013 | Awards made in 2014 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Below the index | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Equal to the index | 0.4 for 1 | 0.3 for 1 | 0.3 for 1 |
| Index +2% | 0.52 for 1 | 0.44 for 1 | 0.44 for 1 |

- 105 -

Table of Contents**Level of matching on committed shares**

| Compound growth (per annum) | Awards made in 2012 | Awards made in 2013 | Awards made in 2014 |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Index +4% | 0.64 for 1 | 0.58 for 1 | 0.58 for 1 |
| Index +6% | 0.76 for 1 | 0.72 for 1 | 0.72 for 1 |
| Index +8% | 0.88 for 1 | 0.86 for 1 | 0.86 for 1 |
| Index +10%, or higher | 1 for 1 | 1 for 1 | 1 for 1 |

In 2013, D.M. Bristow committed 36,724 shares, and was granted an equal matching award under the Co-Investment Plan. In 2013, G.P. Shuttleworth committed 8,401 shares, and was granted an equal matching award under the Co-Investment Plan (as shown in the table below).

| Director | Date of award | Market price at date of award (US\$)* | At Jan 1, 2013 | Awarded in the year | Vested in the year | Market price at date vested (US\$) | Lapsed in the year | At Dec 31, 2013 | Vesting** |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| D.M. Bristow | Jun 13, 2011 | 76.53 | 38,456 | - | - | - | - | 38,456 | Vests Jan 1, 2014 |
| | Mar 16, 2012 | 101.49 | 28,843 | - | - | - | - | 28,843 | Vests Jan 1, 2015 |
| | Mar 18, 2013 | 82.37 | - | 36,724 | - | - | - | 36,724 | Vests Jan 1, 2016 |
| G.P. Shuttleworth | Jun 13, 2011 | 76.53 | 8,121 | - | - | - | - | 8,121 | Vests Jan 1, 2014 |
| | Mar 16, 2012 | 101.49 | 6,462 | - | - | - | - | 6,462 | Vests Jan 1, 2015 |
| | Mar 18, 2013 | 82.37 | - | 8,401 | - | - | - | 8,401 | Vests Jan 1, 2016 |

* *NASDAQ Global Select Market dosing price on day preceding date of grant or if a public holiday, the next trading day.*

** *Vesting is subject to performance conditions.*

Vesting of share plan awards for the performance period ending in 2013

The following awards, granted to the CEO and CFO, vested (or were capable of vesting) in respect of a performance period ending in 2013:

D.M. Bristow

Co-Investment Plan

| | | | |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Date of Grant | Award of shares | Date of vesting | Number of award shares vested |
| June 13, 2011 | 38,456 | Jan 1, 2014 | 38,456 |

Performance Share Award

| | | | |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Date of Grant | Award of shares | Date of vesting | Number of award shares vested |
| April 29, 2013 | 50,031 | Sept 24, 2013* | 10,006 |

* Full vesting only on April 29, 2016 as detailed in "CEO Performance Shares" above.

G.P. Shuttleworth

Restricted Share Scheme

| | | | |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Date of Grant | Award of shares | Date of vesting | Number of award shares vested |
| Sept 2, 2009 | 54,000 | Sept 2, 2013 | 18,000 |

Table of Contents*Co-Investment Plan*

| Date of Grant | Award of shares | Date of vesting | Number of award shares vested |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---|
| Jun 13, 2011 | 8,121 | Jan 1, 2014 | 8,121 |

The vesting of the above Co-Investment awards in respect of D.M. Bristow and G.P. Shuttleworth were subject to a performance condition which measures the company's Total Shareholder Return (TSR) performance against the Euromoney Global Gold Index (formerly named the HSBC Global Gold Index). As the Company's TSR performance exceeded the Index by over 35%, the performance condition was met in full in respect of each of the awards and accordingly, the awards vested in full on the dates set out in the above tables.

The vesting of the one-fifth of the performance shares for D.M. Bristow was dependent on a number of conditions the first of which is the first gold pour at the Kibali gold mine which occurred on September 24, 2013. Accordingly, 10,006 shares met the performance condition at a value of US\$71.54. These shares will be transferred to D.M. Bristow provided that he is in office or employment by us on April 29, 2016.

The vesting of the above restricted share scheme award for G.P. Shuttleworth, was subject to G.P. Shuttleworth achieving a satisfactory individual performance rating (Individual Performance Condition) and the performance of the company's TSR. The TSR performance condition for the award relates to the measurement of the company's TSR over the one year performance period against that of the Euromoney Global Gold Index. The award would vest in full provided the company's TSR performance out-performed the index, and the awards would not vest where the company's TSR performance falls below that of the Index.

The Individual Performance Condition for the restricted share scheme awards relates to the individual performance rating of the executive director over the one year performance period.

Both our TSR performance and the Individual Performance Condition were satisfied in respect of the above restricted share scheme award, resulting in the maximum number of shares vesting on September 2, 2013 and at a value of US\$79.06 per vested share. TSR is the measure of the returns that a company has provided for its shareholders, reflecting share price movements and assuming reinvestment of dividends. Data is based on a one month average of trading day values.

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

The following tables set forth the aggregate compensation for each of the directors, firstly the executive directors and secondly the non-executive directors:

| | Base Salary December 31, | | Annual Bonus December 31, | | Other Payments* December 31 | | Total December 31, | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 (\$) | 2012 (\$) | 2013 (\$) | 2012 (\$) | 2013 (\$) | 2012 (\$) | 2013 (\$) | 2012(\$) |
| Executive | | | | | | | | |
| D.M. Bristow (CEO) | 1,575,000 | 1,500,000 | 2,565,956 | 2,580,243 | 2,170,219 | 2,625,706 | 6,311,175 | 6,705,949 |
| G.P Shuttleworth (CFO) | 738,230 ** | 692,941 ** | 1,184,771 | 1,100,270 | 545,417 | 959,685 | 2,468,418 | 2,752,896 |
| TOTAL | 2,313,230 | 2,192,941 | 3,750,727 | 3,680,513 | 2,715,636 | 3,585,391 | 8,779,593 | 9,458,845 |

Other payments include expenses for restricted share awards, performance share awards and Co-Investment Plan awards which have been costed in accordance with IFRS 2, based on the valuation at the date of grant rather than the value of the awards that vested in the year. Vesting is subject to a number of vesting conditions which may or may not be achieved.

*** Mr G.P. Shuttleworth's salary is paid in GBP. The effective exchange rate used to convert his pay to US dollars in the above table is US\$1: GBP1.56 (2012: US\$1: GBP1.615).*

Table of Contents

| | Fees | | Other payments | | Total | |
|------------------------|---------|---------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012* | 2013 | 2012 |
| Non-Executive Director | | | | | | |
| P Lietard | 250,000 | 250,000 | 94,548 | 381,564 | 344,548 | 631,564 |
| NP Cole Jr | 135,000 | 135,000 | 94,548 | 189,492 | 229,548 | 324,492 |
| CL Coleman | 120,000 | 120,000 | 94,548 | 189,492 | 214,548 | 309,492 |
| K Dagdelen | 60,000 | 60,000 | 94,548 | 189,492 | 154,548 | 249,492 |
| RI Israel^ | n/a | 20,000 | - | 96,360 | - | 116,360 |
| J Kassum^^ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| J Mabunda Lioko^^^ | 45,833 | n/a | 94,548 | n/a | 140,381 | n/a |
| AJ Quinn | 85,000 | 85,000 | 94,548 | 189,492 | 179,548 | 274,492 |
| K Voltaire | 125,000 | 125,000 | 94,548 | 189,492 | 219,548 | 314,492 |
| Total | 820,833 | 795,000 | 661,836 | 1,425,384 | 1,482,669 | 2,220,384 |

Pursuant to the authority granted at the May 2011 AGM, each non-executive director who was a director at that time, was awarded 1,200 restricted shares (US\$80.03 per share) effective June 23, 2011, with the chairman receiving an additional 2,400 restricted shares (US\$80.03 per share). One-third of these restricted shares vested on January 1, 2012, one-third vested on January 1, 2013 and the final one-third vests on January 1, 2014. These restricted share award grants have been costed in full in accordance with IFRS within the 2012 financial year. In addition, each non-executive director was awarded 1,200 shares pursuant to the approval granted at 2012 AGM on April 30, 2012, which vested immediately and have also been fully costed. In 2013, other payments consisted only of the annual 1,200 award to each non-executive director approved at the AGM (US\$78.79 per share).

^Mr. Israel did not stand for reappointment as a director and resigned at the 2012 annual general meeting on April 30, 2012.

^^

Mr. Kassum was appointed to the board on January 31, 2014.

^^^

Mrs. Mabunda Lioko was appointed to the board on January 28, 2013.

The high and low share prices for our ordinary shares for 2013 on the London Stock Exchange were £64.66 and £37.03, respectively, and our high and low price for our ADSs on the NASDAQ Global Select Market were \$102.02 and \$60.90, respectively. The ordinary share price on the London Stock Exchange and the price of an ADS on the NASDAQ Global Select Market at December 31, 2013, the last day of trading, were £37.90 and \$62.81, respectively.

The total number of awards of restricted shares granted at February 28, 2014, including shares subject to vesting conditions, held by executive officers were as follows:

| Name | Number of Awarded Shares |
|-----------|--------------------------------|
| J. Steele | 40,000 |
| W. Jacobs | 24,000 |

| | |
|------------|--------|
| S. Touré | 24,000 |
| M.A. Welsh | 30,000 |

C. BOARD PRACTICES

Directors' Terms of Employment

We have entered into contracts of employment with our executive directors Dr. D.M. Bristow and Mr. G.P. Shuttleworth with a notice period of six months.

We have entered into letters of appointment with our non-executive directors. Each director is subject to re-election annually by our shareholders in accordance with the provisions of the United Kingdom Corporate Governance Code (2012 Edition).

Board of Directors Committees

The board has established and delegated specific roles and responsibilities to three committees and three management committees to assist with the execution of its mandate and in order to ensure good corporate governance. The standing committees comprise an audit committee, a remuneration committee and a governance and nomination committee, all of which are chaired by independent non-executive directors. The audit, remuneration, and governance and nomination committees are comprised of non-executive directors. The management committees comprise the executive committee, the environmental and social committee, both chaired by the chief executive officer and the treasury committee, chaired by the chief financial officer.

Table of Contents

Meetings of the board committees are held quarterly and members of the executive committee are regular attendees at board and committee meetings by invitation. Several members of the management team attend meetings of committees whose roles and responsibilities are relevant to their job functions.

The board and management have been following the developments in corporate governance requirements and best practice standards, and as these have evolved we have responded in a positive and proactive way by assessing our practices against these requirements and modifying, or targeting for modification, practices to bring them into compliance with these corporate governance requirements and best practice standards.

Audit Committee

Membership of the audit committee, including its chairman, comprises only independent non-executive directors, in compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the guidelines of the United Kingdom Corporate Governance Code (2012 Edition). The audit committee is comprised of three independent non-executive directors. The members of the audit committee are Dr. K. Voltaire (chairman), Mr. C.L. Coleman and Mr. A.J. Quinn. Mrs. J. Mabunda Lioko and Mr. Kassum will join the audit committee on May 3, 2014 and Mr. Coleman will step down from the committee on May 6, 2014. All members of the committee have considerable financial knowledge and experience to help oversee and guide the board and us in respect of the audit and corporate governance functions.

The board adopted the audit committee's terms of reference on January 30, 2012 and following a review by the audit committee on January 27 and on January 30 2014, the audit committee agreed its terms of reference did not require amendment. A copy of the audit committee's terms of reference is available on the company's website . The committee's mandate, as delegated by the board, is to provide advice for the board regarding its oversight responsibilities and its duties, roles and responsibilities include the following:

- Monitoring the integrity of the financial statements and formal announcements relating to the group's financial performance and reviewing significant financial and other reporting judgments;

- Reviewing the accounting principles, policies and practices which have been adopted by the group in the preparation of the annual financial statements, financial reporting issues and disclosures in the financial reports;

- Reviewing and monitoring the effectiveness of the group's internal control and risk management systems, including reviewing the process for identifying, assessing and reporting key risks and control activities;

-

Approving the internal audit plan and reviewing regular reports from the head of internal audit on the effectiveness of the internal control system;

• Making recommendations to the board on the appointment, re-appointment or change of the group's external auditors and approving the remuneration and terms of engagement of the group's external auditors;

• Overseeing the board's relationship with the external auditors and ensuring the group's external auditors' independence and objectivity and the effectiveness of the audit process is monitored and reviewed;

• Developing, implementing and maintaining a policy on the engagement of the group's external auditors' supply of non-audit services;

• Reporting to the board any matters which have been identified that the committee consider need to be considered, actioned or improved upon;

• Monitoring the group's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements including ensuring that effective procedures are in place relating to the group's whistleblowing and anti-corruption policies; and

• Assessing whether the annual report, considered in its entirety, is fair, balanced and understandable, then subsequently recommending its approval to the board.

Table of Contents

The audit committee also reviews the scope of work carried out by the external auditors and holds discussions with the external auditors at least twice a year.

The audit committee is responsible for reviewing all financial statements prior to approval by the board, all other disclosures containing financial information and all management reports which accompany any financial statements. The audit committee is also responsible for approval of internal audit plans and for considering and approving the audit strategy and approach of the external auditor, any recommendation affecting the company's internal controls, the results of internal and external audits and any changes in accounting practices or policies.

In addition, the audit committee is responsible for assessing management's programs and policies relating to the adequacy and effectiveness of internal controls over our accounting and financial systems. The audit committee reviews and discusses with the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer the procedures undertaken in connection with their certifications for annual filings in accordance with the requirements of applicable securities regulatory authorities. The audit committee is also responsible for recommending to the board the external auditor to be nominated for shareholder approval who will be responsible for auditing the financial statements and completing other audit, review or attestation services. The audit committee also recommends to the board the compensation to be paid to the external auditor and directly oversees its work. Our external auditor reports directly to the audit committee. The audit committee reports directly to the board of directors.

In relation to risk management, the committee reviews our risk policies with respect to risk identification and the risk management process, ensuring that the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act are met, as well as advising the board on the effectiveness of the risk management system. Risk identification and evaluation process occurs on a continual basis, however a formal review is done by the audit committee on an annual basis.

Our internal audit function plays a critical role in the functioning of the audit committee with the head of internal audit reporting directly to the committee with an administrative line to the chief financial officer. The group's internal control processes and systems are monitored by the group's internal audit function. In 2013, a formal internal audit plan, which was reviewed and approved by the audit committee, was executed across the group's operations using internal resources and supplemented through the engagement of external practitioners upon specified terms. The head of internal audit has unrestricted access to both the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer, the board chairman and the chairman of the audit committee and is invited to attend and present on the activities of the internal audit function at all meetings of the audit committee. The board is confident that the unfettered access of the internal audit function to key board members and the direct and regular reporting to the audit committee enables the function to discharge its duties as required by law and in fulfillment of its obligations to the company. In addition, the audit committee meets regularly with internal and external auditors without the presence of management.

Remuneration Committee

The remuneration committee reviews the remuneration of directors and senior management and determines the structure and content of the senior executives' remuneration packages by reference to a number of factors including current business practice and our prevailing business conditions and the mining and exploration industry. The remuneration committee is committed to the principles of accountability and transparency, and to ensuring that remuneration arrangements align reward with performance. The remuneration committee is guided by its terms of reference. The members of the remuneration committee are Mr. N.P. Cole Jr. (chairman), Dr. K. Voltaire and Mr. C.L. Coleman. Mr. Quinn will join the remuneration committee on May 3, 2014.

The remuneration committee's responsibilities include:

• Determining the remuneration policy and its specific application to the executive directors, as well as its general application to the senior executives below the main board;

- The determination of levels of reward for the executive directors;

• Providing guidance on evaluating the performance of the CEO, management development plans and succession planning;

- Awards to be made under the Restricted Share Scheme and the Co-Investment Plan; and

Table of Contents

• Communication with shareholders on the remuneration policy and the remuneration committee's work on behalf of the board.

Governance and Nomination Committee

The governance and nomination committee's function is to assist the board in identifying qualified individuals who are willing and have the necessary independence and skills to act as directors of the company and be members of the board's committees. The committee also develops and monitors a process for evaluating the board's effectiveness and oversees the company's Code of Conduct. The governance and nomination committee is guided by its terms of reference. In addition, the committee at the request of the board, interviews and recruits any future board members. The members of the governance and nomination committee are Messrs. P. Liétard (chairman), N.P. Cole, Jr. (senior independent non-executive director), C.L. Coleman and Dr. K. Dagdelen. Mr. Kassum will join the governance and nomination committee on May 3, 2014 and Mr. Liétard will step down from the committee on May 6, 2014 to be replaced by Mr. Coleman, who will chair the governance and nomination committee with effect from that date.

The governance and nomination committee's responsibilities include:

• Reviewing the structure, size and composition of the board and making recommendations to the board with regard to any desired changes;

• Identifying, evaluating and recommending, for board approval, candidates to fill board vacancies as and when they arise;

• Making recommendations to the board with regard to membership of the audit committee and remuneration committee and any other of the board's committees in consultation with the chairmen of those committees;

• Making recommendations on the constitution of the board to ensure there is a balanced board in terms of skills, knowledge, independence and experience;

- Succession planning for directors and other senior executives of the company;

- Assessing a director's potential conflict of interest and making recommendations to the board; and

- Making recommendations to the board concerning suitable candidates for the role of senior independent director.

D. EMPLOYEES

At the end of each of the past three years, the breakdown of employees, including our subsidiaries by main categories of activity was as follows:

| | At December 31, 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|---------|----------------------|-------|-------|
| Goukoto | 12 | 53 | 163 |
| Morila | 324 | 415 | 397 |
| Loulo | 521 | 600 | 947 |
| Tongon | 410 | 410 | 533 |
| Kibali | 139 | 181 | 516 |
| Total | 1,406 | 1,742 | 2,556 |

E. SHARE OWNERSHIP

See “Item 7 – Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions”.

Employee Share Option Scheme

Since 1996, we have operated a share option scheme under which senior management may be offered options to purchase our ordinary shares. The aggregate number of shares available for issuance under the option scheme may not exceed 15% of our issued share capital. Share options granted since 2007 are subject to performance criteria for individual employees. Any options provided to an individual employee as defined by the rules of the scheme, are subject to an upper limit of 2% of our issued ordinary share capital.

Table of Contents

The exercise price of any new share options is determined as the closing price of the share on the trading day preceding that on which the person was granted the option.

The scheme provides for the early exercise of all options in the event of an acquisition of a number of shares that would require an offer to be made to all of our other shareholders.

No options were awarded to staff in terms of the Employee Share Option Scheme during 2013.

Restricted Share Scheme

On July 28, 2008, our shareholders approved the creation of a restricted share scheme for employees and executive directors. At that time, the board elected to limit eligibility for awards to executive directors. The board subsequently decided that all employees would be eligible to receive restricted shares. The aggregate number of shares available for issuance under the restricted share scheme may not exceed 5% of our issued share capital. The awards of shares under the restricted share scheme are subject to the attainment of performance criteria agreed with the remuneration committee.

Co-Investment Plan

Our Co-Investment Plan approved by shareholders on May 4, 2011, rewards sustained performance relative to global peers over a three year period.

To encourage longer-term alignment of executive director remuneration with shareholder interests, one third of any annual bonus earned is compulsorily deferred and paid in shares after three years under the Co-Investment Plan. Deferred bonuses are subject to clawback in the event of a material misstatement of the financial statements and accounts on which they were based. An executive director may also choose to commit further shares to the Co-Investment Plan, up to 200% of base salary in the case of the chief executive officer and up to 100% of base salary in the case of the chief financial officer. Committed shares must be retained for three years and may be matched, based on our relative total shareholder return performance over three years against the Euromoney Global Gold Index.

The Board, in its discretion, may grant awards under this Plan to any of our employees, including any executive director, who is required to devote substantially the whole of his working time to his employment or office, provided that the employee agrees to retain shares for three years, as described above. In 2012, the board decided to extend the scheme to senior managers of the company.

CEO Performance Shares

At the 2013 annual general meeting, shareholders approved a one-off award of performance shares to D.M. Bristow. The vesting of the performance shares is subject to the achievement of the conditions set out below and D.M. Bristow continuing to hold office or employment with the company during the period of three years from April 29, 2013, the date of grant of the award of performance shares. The achievement of each of the following conditions, which reflect the value enhancement and focus on establishing and operating our flagship Kibali gold mine, will result in the vesting of one-fifth of the shares subject to the award:

- the first gold pour occurs at the Kibali gold mine;
- the cumulative production at the Kibali gold mine in aggregate equals or exceeds 500,000oz of gold;
- gold production of the Randgold group in aggregate equals or exceeds 1Moz per annum, for any financial year of the company;
- the vertical shaft at the Kibali gold mine is completed and signed-off by the contractor of the vertical shaft and by the representative of Kibali gold mine; and
- the Nzoro 2 hydroelectric power station (which is currently under construction) provides electricity to the Kibali gold mine.

Vesting of each one-fifth of the award is to occur on the later of the expiration of the third anniversary after the date of grant and the date on which a condition is achieved provided D. M. Bristow holds office or employment as at the date of achievement of the condition. The award is subject to clawback (up to a maximum amount value of \$4,000,000) at the discretion of the remuneration committee where a material misstatement is found contained in the annual report and accounts of the company on which vesting of the award (or any part thereof) is or is to be based.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions

A. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS

As of February 28, 2014, our issued share capital consisted of 92,548,339 ordinary shares with a par value of \$0.05 per share. To our knowledge we are not, directly or indirectly, owned or controlled by another corporation, any foreign government or other person.

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our ordinary shares as of February 28, 2014, by:

Any person of whom the directors are aware that is interested directly or indirectly in 3% or more of our ordinary shares;

- Each of our directors; and
- All of our executive officers and directors as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Ordinary shares issuable pursuant to options, to the extent the options are currently exercisable or convertible within 60 days of February 28, 2014, are treated as outstanding for computing the percentage of the person holding these securities but are not treated as outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person.

Unless otherwise noted, each person or group identified possesses sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares, subject to community property laws where applicable. Unless indicated otherwise, the business address of the beneficial owners is: Randgold Resources Limited, 3rd Floor Unity Chambers, 28 Halkett Street, St. Helier, Jersey JE2 4WJ, Channel Islands.

| Holder | Shares Beneficially Owned | |
|--------|---------------------------|---|
| | Number | % |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | | |
|---|------------|-------|
| D.M. Bristow | 714,963 | 0.773 |
| G.P. Shuttleworth | 70,511 | 0.076 |
| N.P. Cole Jr. | 9,327 | 0.010 |
| C. L. Coleman | 8,600 | 0.009 |
| K. Dagdelen | 4,800 | 0.005 |
| P. Liétard | 41,227 | 0.045 |
| A.J. Quinn | 4,200 | 0.005 |
| K. Voltaire | 9,327 | 0.010 |
| J. Mabunda Lioko | 1,200 | 0.001 |
| J. Kassum (1) | 0 | 0 |
| BNY (Nominees) Limited (2) One Canada Square, London, E14 5AL | 48,627,583 | 52.54 |
| Wells Fargo & Company (3) 420 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104 | 5,624,454 | 6.10 |
| BlackRock Inc. (4) 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022 | 15,340,979 | 16.6 |
| Van Eck Associates Corporation (5) 335 Madison Ave, 19th Floor New York, NY 10017 | 7,293,072 | 7.91 |
| Vontobel Holding AG, Gottardstrasse 43, CH-8022, Zurich, Switzerland | 4,616,426 | 5.01 |
| Directors and executive officers (6) | 864,155 | 0.934 |

(1) Mr. J. Kassum was appointed to the board on January 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

- (2) Shares held by BNY (Nominees) Limited are held for and on behalf of our ADS holders. Wells Fargo & Company reported in its Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 4, 2014 that its beneficial ownership in us amounted to 5,624,454 ordinary shares (6.10%) on a consolidated basis. These shares are included in the shares held by BNY (Nominees) Limited. Wells Fargo & Company reported that it has shared voting power with respect to 5,621,006 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 5,623,784 shares.
- (3) BlackRock Inc. reported in its Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 10, 2014 that its beneficial ownership in us amounted to 15,340,979 ordinary shares (16.6%) on a consolidated basis. These shares are included in the shares held by BNY (Nominees) Limited.
- (4) Van Eck Associates Corporation reported in its Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2014 that its beneficial ownership in us amounted to 7,293,072 ordinary shares (7.91%) on a consolidated basis. These shares are included in the shares held by BNY (Nominees) Limited.
- (5) No director or executive officer beneficially owns in excess of 1% of the outstanding ordinary shares.
- (6)

To the knowledge of management, none of the above shareholders hold voting rights which are different from those held by our other shareholders.

At December 31, 2013, a total of 48,519,343 ordinary shares (or 52.57 percent of issued ordinary share capital) were held by The Bank of New York Mellon as depositary for our American Depositary Receipt program. Each American Depositary Share (ADS) is equivalent to one ordinary share in the company. At December 31, 2013 the number of persons who were registered holders of ADSs was reported at 81. Randgold is aware that many ADSs are held of record by brokers and other nominees, and accordingly the above numbers are not necessarily representative of the actual number of persons who are beneficial holders of ADSs or the number of ADSs beneficially held by these persons.

All shareholders have the same voting rights.

As at December 31, 2013 there were 1,442 holders of record of our ordinary shares. Of these holders 5 had registered addresses in the United States and held a total of 9,667 ordinary shares, approximately 0.01% percent of the total outstanding shares. In addition, certain accounts of record with registered addresses outside the United States, including The Bank of New York Mellon, hold our ordinary shares in whole or in part, beneficially for United States persons.

At February 28, 2014 46,627,583 ADSs or approximately 52.54 percent of total issued share capital, were issued and outstanding and held of record by approximately 83 registered holders.

Insofar as is known to us, there was no person who, directly or indirectly, joint or severally, exercised or could exercise control over Randgold nor is Randgold aware of any arrangements which might result in a change of control of Randgold.

B. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Other than as referred to below, none of our directors, officers or major shareholders or, to our knowledge, their families, had any interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction during the last fiscal year or in any proposed transaction which has affected or will materially affect us or our investment interests or subsidiaries. Refer to Note 20 on page F-56 of this Annual Report for details provided on related party transactions that existed on December 31, 2013.

The Randgold Name

Under an agreement dated June 26, 1997, Randgold & Exploration Group has licensed us to carry on business under the name “Randgold”. The license has been provided to us on a royalty free perpetual basis. The U.K. Trademark Registry granted a registration certificate to us for “Randgold” on February 16, 2001.

C. INTERESTS OF EXPERTS AND COUNSEL

Not applicable.

Item 8. Financial Information

See Item 18.

Table of Contents**Item 9. The Offer and Listing****A. OFFER AND LISTING DETAILS**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices of our ordinary shares, as reported by the London Stock Exchange, and of our ADSs, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market. Effective March 10, 2003, we changed the ratio of ordinary shares to ADSs from two ordinary shares per ADS to one ordinary share per ADS, so that each ADS now represents one ordinary share. In March 2003 we changed the currency in which the price of our ordinary shares that are traded on the London Stock Exchange are quoted. The ordinary shares are now quoted in pound sterling and not in US dollars. The ADSs continue to be quoted on the London Stock Exchange and the NASDAQ Global Select Market in US dollars.

| Financial Period Ended | Price Per Ordinary Share | | Price Per ADS | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------------|----------|
| | High (£) | Low (£) | High (\$) | Low (\$) |
| December 31, 2013 | 64.65 | 37.03 | 102.2 | 60.90 |
| December 31, 2012 | 77.75 | 45.96 | 126.88 | 73.13 |
| December 31, 2011 | 75.55 | 44.25 | 119.44 | 71.47 |
| December 31, 2010 | 66.55 | 42.09 | 105.12 | 67.29 |
| December 31, 2009 | 53.45 | 25.10 | 88.45 | 36.76 |

| Calendar Period | Price Per Ordinary Share | | Price Per ADS | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|---------------|----------|
| | High (£) | Low (£) | High (\$) | Low (\$) |
| 2014 | | | | |
| First Quarter (through February 28, 2014) | 49.39 | 36.00 | 81.89 | 59.19 |
| 2013 | | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | 50.25 | 37.03 | 79.77 | 60.90 |
| Third Quarter | 54.40 | 39.93 | 84.61 | 60.17 |
| Second Quarter | 56.90 | 39.34 | 85.69 | 60.44 |
| First Quarter | 64.66 | 53.25 | 102.02 | 79.51 |
| 2012 | | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | 77.75 | 53.90 | 124.98 | 96.29 |
| Third Quarter | 76.15 | 54.10 | 123.71 | 83.73 |
| Second Quarter | 60.35 | 45.96 | 94.43 | 73.13 |
| First Quarter | 75.65 | 53.70 | 118.01 | 86.96 |

Price Per Ordinary Share Price Per ADS

| Calendar Month | High (£) | Low (£) | High (\$) | Low (\$) |
|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|
| 2014 | | | | |
| February | 49.39 | 41.70 | 81.89 | 70.11 |
| January | 43.29 | 36.00 | 71.50 | 59.19 |
| 2013 | | | | |
| December | 43.19 | 37.03 | 69.25 | 60.90 |
| November | 50.25 | 41.84 | 79.33 | 67.52 |
| October | 49.38 | 42.42 | 79.77 | 67.68 |
| September | 51.70 | 43.76 | 80.43 | 69.79 |

B. PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

Not applicable.

C. MARKETS

Our ordinary shares are listed on the London Stock Exchange, which currently constitutes the principal non-United States trading market for those shares, under the symbol RRS and our ADSs trade in the United States on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the trading symbol GOLD, in the form of American Depositary Receipts. The American Depositary Receipts are issued by The Bank of New York Mellon, as Depositary. Each American Depositary Receipt represents one American Depositary Share. Each American Depositary Share represents one of our ordinary shares.

Table of Contents

D. SELLING SHAREHOLDERS

Not applicable.

E. DILUTION

Not applicable.

F. EXPENSES OF THE ISSUE

Not applicable.

Item 10. Additional Information

A. SHARE CAPITAL

Not applicable.

B. MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

General

We are a company organized with limited liability under the laws of Jersey, Channel Islands. Our registered number is 62686.

The authorized share capital is \$6,000,000 divided into 120,000,000 ordinary shares of \$0.05 each, of which 92,548,339 were issued as at February 28, 2014 and 27,451,661 were available for issue.

Details of our Memorandum and Articles of Association are provided below.

Memorandum of Association

Clause 2 of our Memorandum of Association provides that we shall have unrestricted corporate capacity.

Changes in Capital or Objects and Powers

Subject to the Companies (Jersey) Law (the “1991 Law”) and our Articles of Association, we may by special resolution at a general meeting:

- increase our authorized or paid up share capital;
- consolidate and divide all or any part of our shares (whether issued or not) into shares of a larger amount;
- sub-divide all or any part of our shares into shares of smaller amount than is fixed by our Memorandum of Association;
- convert any of our fully paid shares the nominal value of which is expressed in one currency into fully paid shares of a nominal value of another currency and denominate the nominal value of our issued or unissued shares in units of the currency into which they have been converted;
- convert any of our paid-up shares into stock, and reconvert any stock into any number of paid-up shares of any denomination;
- convert any of our existing non-redeemable shares (whether issued or not) into redeemable shares which can be redeemed;
- cancel shares which, at the date of passing of the resolution, have not been taken or agreed to be taken by any person, and diminish the amount of our share capital by the amount of the shares so cancelled;

- reduce the authorized share capital;

• reduce our issued share capital, any capital redemption reserve, any share premium account or any other undistributable reserve in any way; or

- alter our Memorandum of Association or Articles of Association.

- 116 -

Table of Contents

Articles of Association

We last updated our Articles of Association following our annual general meeting on May 3, 2011, where we adopted by special resolution a modern set of articles that a London Stock Exchange listed company should have. At the same time, changes were made to reflect new requirements imposed by the United Kingdom Listing Authority on “premium listed” companies.

The shareholders will be asked at our annual general meeting to be held on May 6, 2014 to approve a number of amendments to the Articles of Association: (i) relating to the payment of scrip dividends; (ii) to allow the company to supply notices, documents or information by means of a website (or other electronic means), rather than by sending printed copies to shareholders; and (iii) to bring the Articles of Association in line with the procedure historically adopted to pay the non-executive director’s fees partly in shares.

General Meeting of Shareholders

We may at any time convene general meetings of shareholders. We are required to hold an annual general meeting once every year. No more than fifteen months may elapse between the date of one annual general meeting and the next.

Annual general meetings require twenty business days’ notice of the place, day and time of the meeting in writing or in an electronic communication to our shareholders. Any other general meeting (called an extraordinary general meeting) requires no less than fourteen clear days’ notice in writing or in an electronic communication. In addition, provided that it has been authorized by an ordinary resolution of shareholders and certain other requirements are complied with, a notice may instead of being sent to shareholders, be published on our website. Our business may be transacted at a general meeting only when a quorum of shareholders is present. Two persons entitled to attend and to vote on the business to be transacted, each being a member or a proxy for a member or a duly authorized representative of a corporation which is a member, constitute a quorum. NASDAQ’s Global Select Market’s marketplace rules, which apply to all companies listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, state in Rule 4350(f) that the minimum quorum for any meeting of holders of a company’s common stock is 33 1/3% of the outstanding shares.

As a result, we requested, and the NASDAQ Global Select Market granted to us, an exemption from compliance with the Rule 4350(f) requirement.

The annual general meetings deal with and dispose of all matters prescribed by our Articles of Association and by the 1991 Law including:

- the consideration of our annual financial statements and report of our independent accountants;
- the election of directors; and
- the appointment of independent auditors.

Voting Rights

Subject to any special terms as to voting on which any shares may have been issued or may from time to time be held, at a general meeting, every shareholder who is present in person (including any corporation present by its duly authorized representative) shall on a show of hands have one vote and every shareholder present in person or by proxy shall on a poll have one vote for each share of which he is a holder. In the case of joint holders, the vote of the senior who tenders a vote, whether in person or by proxy, shall be accepted to the exclusion of the votes of the other joint holders. The Articles of Association include a new provision that for as long as our shares are admitted to trading on the London Stock Exchange or on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, at any general meeting a resolution put to the meeting shall be decided on a poll.

Unless we otherwise determine, no shareholder is entitled to vote at a general meeting or at a separate meeting of the holders of any class of shares, either in person or by proxy, or to exercise any other right or privilege as a shareholder in respect of any share held by him unless all calls presently payable by him in respect of that share, whether alone or jointly with any other person, together with interest and expenses, if any, have been paid to us.

Table of Contents

Dividends

Subject to the provisions of the 1991 Law and of the Articles of Association, we may, by ordinary resolution, declare dividends to be paid to shareholders according to their respective rights and interests in our profits. However, no dividend shall exceed the amount recommended by us. Subject to the provisions of the 1991 Law, we may pay an interim dividend, including a dividend payable at a fixed rate, if an interim dividend appears to us to be justified by our distributable reserves.

Except as otherwise provided by the rights attached to any shares, all dividends shall be declared and paid according to the amounts paid up, otherwise than in advance of calls, on the shares on which the dividend is paid. All dividends, interest or other sum payable and unclaimed for 12 months after having become payable may be invested or otherwise made use of by the board for our benefit until claimed. All dividends unclaimed for a period of 12 years after having been declared or become due for payment shall, if we so resolve, be forfeited and shall cease to remain owing by us.

We may, with the authority of an ordinary resolution, direct that payment of any dividend declared may be satisfied wholly or partly by the distribution of assets, and in particular of paid up shares of the company or by the distribution of assets, or in any one or more of those ways.

We may also with the prior authority of an ordinary resolution, and subject to such conditions as we may determine, offer to holders of shares the right to elect to receive new shares, credited as fully paid, instead of the whole, or some part, to be determined by us, of any dividend specified by the ordinary resolution.

The Board has discretion under the Articles of Association to pay dividends in a currency other than US dollars.

Ownership Limitations

Our Articles of Association and the 1991 Law do not contain limits on the number of shares that a shareholder may own.

Distribution of Assets on a Winding-Up

If we are wound up, we may, with the sanction of a special resolution and any other sanction required by law, divide among the shareholders in specie the whole or any part of our assets provided that no shareholder shall be compelled to accept any assets upon which there is a liability. For that purpose, the liquidator, or the directors (where there is no liquidator) may value any assets and determine how the dividend shall be carried out as between the shareholders or vest the whole or any part of the assets in trustees on such trusts for the benefit of the shareholders.

Transfer of Shares

Every shareholder may transfer all or any of his shares by instrument of transfer in writing in any usual form or in any form approved by us. The instrument must be executed by or on behalf of the transferor and, in the case of a transfer of a share which is not fully paid up, by or on behalf of the transferee. The transferor is deemed to remain the holder until the transferee's name is entered in the register of shareholders.

We may, in exceptional circumstances approved by the Financial Conduct Authority, refuse to register the transfer of shares provided that such refusal would not disturb the market in those shares. Subject to the requirements of the Financial Conduct Authority, we may, in our absolute discretion and without giving any reason, refuse to register any transfer of a certificated share on which we have a lien, provided that where any such shares are admitted to the Official List of the United Kingdom Listing Authority such discretion may not be exercised in a way which the Financial Conduct Authority or the London Stock Exchange regards as preventing dealings in the shares of the relevant class or classes from taking place on an open or proper basis.

We may also refuse to register a transfer of certificated shares unless the instrument of transfer is:

Table of Contents

lodged at the registered office of the Company for the time being or another place that we may from time to time determine accompanied by the certificate for the shares to which it relates and any other evidence as we may reasonably require to show the right of the transferor to make the transfer;

- it is in respect of only one class of shares; and
- in favor of not more than four transferees.

Unless otherwise permitted by the Companies (Uncertificated Securities) (Jersey) Order 1999, we may not close any register relating to a participating security without the consent of the approved operator of the relevant system.

Variation of Rights

If at any time our share capital is divided into shares of different classes, any of the rights for the time being attached to any share or class of shares may be varied or abrogated in the manner, if any, that is provided by the rights or, in the absence of any such provision, either with the consent in writing of the holders of a majority in nominal value of the issued shares of the class or with the sanction of an ordinary resolution passed at a separate meeting of the holders of the issued shares of that class. The quorum at that meeting shall be persons holding or representing by proxy at least one-third in nominal value of the issued shares of the class in question and at an adjourned meeting, if a quorum as stated is not present, the shareholders that are present shall be a quorum.

The special rights conferred upon the holders of any shares of class of shares issued with preferred, deferred or other special rights shall (unless otherwise expressly provided by the terms of issue of such shares or under the Articles of Association) be deemed not to be varied or abrogated by the creation or issue of further shares or further classes of shares ranking *pari passu* therewith.

Capital Calls

Subject to the terms of allotment of shares, we may from time to time make calls on the members in respect of any monies unpaid on the shares, whether in respect of nominal value or premium, and not payable on a fixed date. A member must receive fourteen days' notice of any call and any call is deemed to be made when the resolution of the board authorizing such call was passed.

If any call is not paid on or before the date appointed for payment, the person liable to pay that call shall pay interest on the amount unpaid from the day upon which it became due and payable until it and any expenses incurred by us as a result of the non-payment are paid at the HSBC bank's base rate plus two per cent. per annum or the rate fixed by the terms of the allotment of the share such amount of interest as we may determine provided that we may waive payment of the interest wholly in part.

Unless we otherwise determine, no member shall be entitled to receive any dividend or to be present or to vote, either in person or by proxy, at any general meeting or at a separate meeting of the holders of a class of shares or on a poll, or be included in a quorum, or to exercise other rights conferred by membership in relation to the meeting or poll, unless and until any outstanding calls in respect of his shares are paid.

Borrowing Powers

We may exercise all of our powers to borrow money and to mortgage or charge all or any part of our undertaking, property and assets, present and future, and uncalled capital and, subject to the provisions of the 1991 Law and the Articles of Association, to issue debentures and other loan stock and other securities, whether outright or as collateral security for any debt, liability or obligation of ours or of any third party.

Issue of Shares and Preemptive Rights

Subject to the provisions of the 1991 Law and subject to and without prejudice to any rights attached to any shares, we may issue shares with any rights or restrictions attached to them as we may from time to time determine by ordinary resolution, or if no ordinary resolution has been passed or an ordinary resolution does not make specific provision, as we may determine. Subject to provisions of the 1991 Law, we may issue shares that are redeemable or are liable to be redeemed at our option or the option of the holder holding such redeemable shares. Subject to the provisions of the 1991 Law the unissued shares at the date of adoption of the Articles of Association and shares created thereafter shall be at our disposal. We cannot issue shares at a discount.

Table of Contents

The Articles of Association contain pre-emption rights. Before allotting any Equity Securities (as defined in the Articles of Association) such Equity Securities are to be offered to holders of ordinary shares on the same or more favorable terms and in the same proportion of those shares in nominal value held by the holder of the ordinary shares. Equity Securities shall not be allotted unless the period during which any such offer may be accepted by the relevant current holders has expired or we have received notice of the acceptance or refusal of every offer made to holders. Pre-emption rights do not apply where a shareholder has renounced his right for those allotted shares, shares are to be allotted under an Employee Share Scheme, shares are to be allotted otherwise for cash or the allotment is in relation to an issue of bonus shares. Shareholders must have a period of at least fourteen days in which to accept the offer and the offer may not be withdrawn within this period. The pre-emption rights may be disapplied by a special resolution for the allotment of Equity Securities wholly for cash either generally or in respect of a specific allotment where the authority granted pursuant to the special resolution is for a certain period of time. A proposed special resolution disapplying the pre-emption rights must be recommended by the directors who must give the shareholders their reasons for making the recommendations and if known, the amount to be paid to us in respect of the Equity Securities to be allotted.

Interests in Shares

We can give notice to any person who is interested in shares or who has been interested in the shares at any time during the three years preceding the date on which the disclosure notice is issued. If the interested party holds less than 0.25% of shares in a class and does not provide the information requested by us within a reasonable time, the shareholder shall not be entitled to attend or vote at any general meeting. If the interested party holds at least 0.25% of shares in a class and does not provide the information requested by us within a reasonable time, the shareholder shall not be entitled to (i) attend or vote at any general meeting; (ii) receive dividends; (iii) be allotted shares in lieu of dividends; and (iv) transfer shares. Notwithstanding these prohibitions, the interested party can still trade his shares on the London Stock Exchange.

The Articles of Association provide that if at any time we have a class of shares admitted to trading on the London Stock Exchange, we must comply with the vote holder and issuer notification rules set out in Rule 5 of the UK Disclosure Rules and Transparency Rules ('DTRs'), which shall apply to us and our shareholders. Shareholders have to make notifications of changes in major shareholdings. Sanctions imposed where the provisions of Rule 5 of the DTRs are not followed included suspension of voting and/or dividend rights.

Directors obliged to notify us of their shareholding in the Company

The Articles of Association incorporate the requirements of Rule 3 of the DTRs and directors are obliged to notify us of their shareholding. A director is also required to notify us of any increase in the number of shares he holds and if he disposes of any shares. Notification must also be given by a director where he has entered into an option or warrant to acquire or dispose of shares. The notification obligation is quite wide as it also extends to any shares held by a

director's spouse or civil law partner; child or step-child, and any of their siblings; and any relative of a director who has shared the same household with the director over 12 months preceding the director's appointment. A director is also required to notify us if he or any of his family members' hold 33% of the voting rights of a corporate entity. We are also under an obligation to use our reasonable endeavors to procure that the directors and those discharging managerial responsibilities and their connected persons comply with Rule 3 of the DTRs.

Meetings of the Board of Directors

Any director may, and the secretary at the request of a director shall, call a board meeting at any time. Notice is deemed to be duly given to a director if it is given to him personally, by word of mouth, by electronic communication or in writing. A director may waive this notice requirement, either prospectively or retrospectively.

- 120 -

Table of Contents

Subject to our Articles of Association the board of directors may meet for the conducting of business, adjourn and otherwise regulate its proceedings as it sees fit. The quorum necessary for the transaction of business may be determined by the board of directors and unless otherwise determined shall be two directors. A duly convened meeting of the board of directors at which a quorum is present is necessary to exercise all or any of the board's authorities, powers and discretions.

The board of directors may delegate to any director holding an executive office any of its powers, authorities and discretions for such time, on such terms and subject to such conditions as it sees fit. In particular, it may grant the power to sub-delegate and may retain or exclude the right of the board to exercise the delegated powers, authorities or discretions collaterally with the director. Such delegation can be revoked at any time or its terms and conditions altered. The board of directors may also delegate any of its powers, authorities and discretions for such time and on such terms and subject to such conditions as it sees fit to any committee consisting of one or more directors (if thought fit) one or more other persons, or to a person who need not be a director. The powers, authorities and discretions that the board of directors may delegate, may include all powers and discretions whose exercise involves or may involve the payment of remuneration or the conferring of any other benefit on all or any of the directors.

Any person, committee or sub-committee to whom the board of directors has delegated powers, shall in exercising such powers conform to any regulations or charters which may from time to time be imposed by the board and which may provide for members of the committee who are not directors to have voting rights as members of the committee / sub-committee but so that (a) the number of members who are not directors shall not be less than one-half of the total number of members of the committee / sub-committee and (b) no resolution of the committee / sub-committee shall be effective unless a majority of the members of the committee / sub-committee present throughout the meeting are directors.

The Articles of Association contain provisions setting out in general terms the power of the Board to delegate its powers.

Remuneration of Directors

Our directors shall be entitled to receive by way of fees for their services as directors any sum that we may from time to time determine, not exceeding in aggregate \$1,000,000 per annum or any other sum as we, by ordinary resolution in a general meeting, shall from time to time determine. That sum, unless otherwise directed by ordinary resolution of us by which it is voted, shall be divided among the non-executive directors in the proportions and in the manner that the board determines or, if the board has not made a determination, equally. The directors are entitled to be repaid all traveling, hotel and other expenses properly incurred by them in or about the performance of their duties as directors. The shareholders will be asked at the annual general meeting of the company in May 2014 to approve the increase of the \$1,000,000 per annum amount to \$1.5 million.

Subject to the 1991 Law, the Articles of Association and the requirements of the London Stock Exchange and any other relevant stock exchange, the board of directors may now arrange for part of a fee payable to a director to be provided in the form of fully-paid shares in our capital. The amount of the fee payable will be at the board's discretion and shall be applied in the purchase or subscription of shares on behalf of the relevant director. In the case of a subscription of shares, the subscription price per share shall be deemed to be the closing middle-market quotation for a fully paid share of the Company of that class as published in the Daily Official List of the NASDAQ Global Select Market (or such other quotation derived from such other source as the board of directors may deem appropriate) on the day of subscription.

The salary or remuneration of any director appointed to hold any employment or executive office may be either a fixed sum of money, or may altogether or in part be governed by business done or profits made or otherwise determined by the board of directors, and may be in addition to or in lieu of any fee payable to him for his services as director.

Pensions and Gratuities for Directors

We may exercise all of our powers to provide and maintain pensions, other retirement or superannuation benefits, death or disability benefits or other allowances or gratuities for persons who are or were directors of any company in our group and their relatives or dependents. For this purpose, the board of directors may establish, maintain, subscribe and contribute to any scheme, trust or fund and pay premiums.

Table of Contents

Directors' Interests in Contracts

Subject to the provisions of the 1991 Law and provided that his interest is disclosed as soon as practicable after a director becomes aware of the circumstances which gave rise to his duty to disclose in accordance with the Articles of Association, a director, notwithstanding his office, may enter into or otherwise be interested in any contract, arrangement, transaction or proposal with us, or in which we are otherwise interested, may hold any other office or place of profit under us (except that of auditor of, or of a subsidiary of ours) in conjunction with the office of director and may act by himself or through his firm in a professional capacity for us, and in any such case on such terms as to remuneration and otherwise as we may arrange, and may be a director or other officer of, or employed by, or a party to any transaction or arrangement with, or otherwise interested in, any company promoted by us or in which we are otherwise interested and shall not be liable to account to us for any profit, remuneration or other benefit realized by any such office, employment, contract, arrangement, transaction or proposal.

No such contract, arrangement, transaction or proposal shall be avoided on the grounds of any such interest or benefit.

Restrictions on Directors' Voting

Except as provided in our Articles of Association, a director shall not vote on, or be counted in the quorum in relation to, any resolution of the board or of a committee of the board concerning any contract, arrangement, transaction or any other proposal whatsoever to which we are or any of our subsidiary undertakings are or will be a party and in which he has an interest which is to his knowledge a material interest (otherwise than by virtue of his interests in shares or debentures or other securities of or otherwise in or through us), unless the resolution concerns any of the following matters:

the giving of any guarantee, security, or indemnity in respect of money lent or obligations incurred by him or any other person at the request of or for the benefit of us or any of our subsidiary undertakings;

the giving of any guarantee, security or indemnity in respect of a debt or obligation of ours or any of our subsidiary undertakings for which he himself has assumed responsibility in whole or in part either alone or jointly with others under a guarantee or indemnity or by the giving of security;

a contract, arrangement, transaction or proposal concerning an offer of shares or debentures or other securities of or by us or any of our subsidiary undertakings in which offer he is or may be entitled to participate as a holder of securities or in the underwriting or sub-underwriting of which he is to participate;

a contract, arrangement, transaction or proposal to which we are or will be a party concerning another company (including any of our subsidiary undertakings) in which he, is interested (directly or indirectly) whether as an officer, shareholder, creditor or otherwise (a “relevant company”), if he does not hold an interest in five per cent. or more of either any class of the equity share capital of or the voting rights in the relevant company;

a contract, arrangement, transaction or proposal for the benefit of our employees or any of our subsidiary undertakings (including any pension fund or retirement, death or disability scheme) which does not award him a privilege or benefit not generally awarded to the employees to whom it relates; and

a contract, arrangement, transaction or proposal concerning the purchase or maintenance of any insurance policy for the benefit of directors or for the benefit of persons including directors.

A director shall not vote or be counted in the quorum for any resolution of the board or committee of the board concerning his own appointment (including fixing or varying the terms of his appointment or termination) as the holder of any office or place of profit with us or any company in which we are interested.

Table of Contents

Number of Directors

Unless and until otherwise determined by a special resolution, the number of directors shall be not less than two or more than 20.

Directors' Appointment and Retirement by Rotation

Directors may be appointed by ordinary shareholder resolution or by the board. A director appointed by the board shall hold office only until the next annual general meeting and shall not be taken into account in determining the number of directors who are to retire by rotation. A director shall not be required to hold any of our shares.

In accordance with the Articles of Association directors are to retire and offer themselves for re-election (should they chose to do so) at each annual general meeting of the company.

Directors do not have power to appoint alternate directors.

Untraced Shareholders

Subject to the Companies (Uncertificated Securities) (Jersey) Order 1999, we may sell any of our shares registered in the name of a shareholder remaining untraced for 12 years who fails to communicate with us following advertisement of an intention to make such a disposal. Until we can account to the shareholder, the net proceeds of sale will be available for use in our business or for investment, in either case at our discretion. The proceeds will not carry interest and we are not required to account for money earned on it.

CREST

The Companies (Amendment No. 4) (Jersey) Law 1998 and the Companies (Uncertificated Securities) (Jersey) Order 1999 allow the holding and transfer of shares under CREST, the electronic system for settlement of securities in the United Kingdom. Our Articles of Association provide for our shares to be held in uncertificated form in accordance with the Companies (Uncertificated Securities) (Jersey) Order 1999.

Purchase of Shares

Subject to the provisions of the 1991 Law, we may purchase any of our own shares of any class, including redeemable shares. The 1991 Law provides that we may, by special resolution approve the acquisition of our own shares from any source, but only if they are fully paid.

Non-Jersey Shareholders

There are no limitations imposed by Jersey law or by our Articles of Association on the rights of non-Jersey shareholders to hold or vote on our ordinary shares or securities convertible into our ordinary shares.

Rights of Minority Shareholders and Fiduciary Duties

Majority shareholders of Jersey companies have no fiduciary obligations under Jersey law to minority shareholders. However, under the 1991 Law, a shareholder may, under some circumstances, seek relief from the court if he has been unfairly prejudiced by us. The provisions of the 1991 Law are designed to provide relief from oppressed shareholders without necessarily overriding the majority's decision. There may also be common law personal actions available to our shareholders.

Jersey Law and Our Memorandum and Articles of Association

The content of our Memorandum and Articles of Association is largely derived from an established body of corporate law and therefore they mirror the 1991 Law. Jersey company law draws very heavily from company law in England and there are various similarities between the 1991 Law and the English Companies Act 2006. However, the 1991 Law is considerably shorter in content than the English statutes and there are some notable differences between English and Jersey company law. There are, for example, no provisions under Jersey law or regulations (as there are under English law or regulations):

Table of Contents

- controlling possible conflicts of interests between us and our directors, such as loans by us or directors, directors' service contracts, substantial property transactions and contracts between us and our directors other than a duty on directors to disclose an interest in any transaction to be entered into by us or any of our subsidiaries which to a material extent conflicts with our interest;

specifically requiring particulars to be shown in our accounts of the amount of loans to officers or directors' emoluments and pensions, although these would probably be required to be shown in our accounts in conformity to the requirement that accounts must be prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

- requiring us to file details of charges other than charges of Jersey realty;
- as regards statutory preemption provisions in relation to further issues of shares.
- prohibiting the giving of financial assistance by public companies; or
- requiring compliance with Rule 5 of the DTRs.

Under Article 143 of the 1991 Law, the court may make an order giving relief, including regulation of our affairs requiring us to refrain from doing or continuing to do an act complained of, authorizing civil proceedings and providing for the purchase of shares by any of our other shareholders and any other consequential orders.

The court has wide powers within its inherent jurisdiction and a shareholder could successfully bring an action in a variety of circumstances. Although there is no statutory definition of unfairly prejudicial conduct, authority suggests that it includes oppression and discrimination and that the test is objective.

There are no provisions in our Memorandum or Articles of Association concerning changes of capital where these provisions would be considered more restrictive than that required by the 1991 Law.

C. MATERIAL CONTRACTS

1. Executive Service Agreement between Randgold Resources Limited and Dennis Mark Bristow, dated June 13, 2011, as amended by the Variation to the Service Agreement between Randgold Resources and Dennis Mark Bristow

dated January 28, 2013.

We entered into an employment contract with Dr. Bristow in respect of his position as Chief Executive Officer. Pursuant to the employment contract, Dr. Bristow's employment will continue for an indefinite period unless terminated by either party upon not less than six months' prior written notice. The employment contract provides that Dr. Bristow's salary is \$1,575,000 per annum, subject to review by the board of directors annually. In addition, the employment contract provides that Dr. Bristow is eligible to participate in the Randgold Resources Limited Annual Bonus Plan, and that the board of directors will consult with Dr. Bristow with respect to the establishment of performance targets and goals under the Annual Bonus Plan.

2. Executive Service Agreement between Randgold Resources Limited and Graham P. Shuttleworth, dated June 13, 2011, as amended by the Variation to the Executive Service Agreement between Randgold Resources and Graham P. Shuttleworth dated January 28, 2013.

We entered into an employment contract with Mr. Shuttleworth in respect of his position as Chief Financial Officer. Pursuant to the employment contract, Mr. Shuttleworth's employment will continue for an indefinite period unless terminated by either party upon not less than six months' prior written notice. The employment contract provides that Mr. Shuttleworth's salary is £472,000 per annum, subject to review by the board of directors annually. In addition, the employment contract provides that Mr. Shuttleworth is eligible to participate in the Randgold Resources Limited Annual Bonus Plan, and that the board of directors will consult with Mr. Shuttleworth with respect to the establishment of performance targets and goals under the Annual Bonus Plan.

3. Establishment Convention between the Government of the Republic of Mali and Société des Mines de Goukoto SA, dated March 21, 2012.

Table of Contents

The purpose of the Establishment Convention is to establish the general, economic, legal, administrative, financial, tax and labor conditions under which Société des Mines de Goukoto SA will carry out development work and the mining of deposits located within the mining permit area which it has been awarded by the State of Mali. Pursuant to this Establishment Convention the issued capital of Société des Mines de Goukoto SA shall be divided between the State of Mali and Randgold Resources (Goukoto) Limited in the following proportions: 20% to the State of Mali and 80% to Randgold Resources (Goukoto) Limited. The State of Mali's 20% interest in the capital of Société des Mines de Goukoto SA shall include the free interest of 10% of shares as laid down in the Malian mining code. No financial contribution shall be asked of the State of Mali in terms of this 10% free interest which shall not be diluted even if the issued capital is increased. The duration of the Establishment Convention is for a maximum period of 30 years from when it came into effect, however it may be terminated earlier by written agreement of the parties.

4. Appointment letters with our non-executive directors: (i) Appointment Letter, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Andrew Quinn, (ii) Appointment Letter, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Christopher Coleman, (iii) Appointment Letter, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Kadri Dagdelen, (iv) Appointment Letter, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Karl Voltaire, (v) Appointment Letter, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Norborne Cole Jr., (vi) Appointment Letter, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Philippe Liétard (vii) Appointment Letter, dated January 28, 2013, between Randgold Resources Limited and Jeanine Mabunda Lioko and (viii) Appointment Letter, dated January 31, 2014, between Randgold Resources Limited and Jemal-ud-din Kassum.

Pursuant to the appointment letters with the non-executive directors, each non-executive director's appointment as a non-executive director is contingent upon re-election at the forthcoming annual general meetings and the appointment is terminable by either party upon three months' notice. The appointment letters provide that a non-executive director is entitled to receive a fee of \$50,000 per year (payable half yearly in arrears). In addition, if a non-executive director is appointed to serve on a board committee, the fees payable will be as follows: Audit Committee—\$35,000 per year; Remuneration Committee—\$25,000 per year; Nomination & Governance Committee—\$10,000 per year, and the chairman of a board committee is entitled to receive an additional premium to the committee assignment fee of \$15,000 per year. Each non-executive director shall receive 1,200 ordinary shares in the company per year subject to approval of shareholders at the company's annual general meeting. Mr. Cole's appointment letter provides that in addition, as the appointed senior independent director and in lieu of any committee assignment fee, he will receive an additional \$85,000. Mr. Liétard's appointment letter provides that he will receive \$50,000 per year which is paid in conjunction with an additional sum for the chairman of \$200,000 in lieu of any committee assignment fees.

5. Deeds of indemnity: (i) Deed of Indemnity, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Andrew Quinn, (ii) Deed of Indemnity, dated January 28, 2013, between Randgold Resources Limited and Jeanine Mabunda Lioko and (iii) Deed of Indemnity, dated January 31, 2014, between Randgold Resources Limited and Jemal-ud-din Kassum.

We entered into a deed of indemnity with Andrew Quinn pursuant to which the company shall indemnify Mr. Quinn for claims, liabilities, costs charges, expenses or losses which may be made against him or which he may incur as a result of his directorship, subject to certain exclusions and limitations.

We entered into a deed of indemnity with Jeanine Mabunda Lioko pursuant to which the company shall indemnify Mrs. Mabunda Lioko for claims, liabilities, costs charges, expenses or losses which may be made against her or which she may incur as a result of her directorship, subject to certain exclusions and limitations.

We entered into a deed of indemnity with Jemal-ud-din Kassum pursuant to which the company shall indemnify Mr. Kassum for claims, liabilities, costs charges, expenses or losses which may be made against him or which he may incur as a result of his directorship, subject to certain exclusions and limitations.

6. Facility Agreement dated May 17, 2013 between Randgold Resources Limited, HSBC Securities (USA), Inc., HSBC Bank PLC and other financial institutions.

Table of Contents

We entered into a US\$200.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with HSBC and a syndicate of three other banks which matures in May 2016 and is at present undrawn. The interest rate, if drawn, would be LIBOR plus 1.5% per annum based on the company's current level of leverage. The facility includes financial covenants in respect of EBIT, EBITDA, net finance charges, tangible net worth, total debt, debt cover and interest cover.

D. EXCHANGE CONTROLS

There are currently no Jersey or United Kingdom foreign exchange control restrictions on the payment of dividends on our ordinary shares or on the conduct of our operations. Jersey is in a monetary union with the United Kingdom. There are currently no limitations under Jersey law or our Articles of Association prohibiting persons who are not residents or nationals of the United Kingdom from freely holding, voting or transferring our ordinary shares in the same manner as United Kingdom residents or nationals.

E. TAXATION

Material Jersey Tax Consequences

General

The following summary of the anticipated tax treatment in Jersey in relation to the payments on the ordinary shares and ADSs is based on the taxation law and practice as it is understood to apply at the date of this Annual Report, and does not constitute legal or tax advice and does not address all aspects of Jersey tax law and practice (including such tax law and practice as it applies to any land or building situate in Jersey). Prospective investors should be aware that the relevant fiscal rules and practice and their interpretation may change. We encourage you to consult your own professional advisers on the implications of subscribing or buying, holding, selling, redeeming or disposing of ordinary shares or ADSs and the receipt of interest and distributions, whether or not on a winding-up, with respect to the ordinary shares or ADSs under the laws of any jurisdiction in which they may be liable to taxation.

We are subject to Jersey income tax at the rate of zero percent in accordance with the Income Tax (Jersey) Law 1961, as amended (the "Income Tax Law").

The Income Tax Law provides that the standard rate of income tax on profits of a non-financial service company regarded as resident in Jersey or having a permanent establishment in Jersey will be zero percent.

As a non-financial service company subject to tax at the rate of zero percent, we will not be liable for Jersey income tax other than on income arising from Jersey land or property.

Currently, there is no double tax treaty or similar convention between the United States and Jersey although on December 13, 2013, Jersey and the United States signed an agreement to improve international tax compliance and to implement the US Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (FATCA). FATCA applies to financial institutions outside the United States. Under the relevant provisions of FATCA we are a non-financial foreign institution and as such we have no obligation under FATCA.

Goods and Services Tax

Jersey has a tax on goods and services supplied in the island ("GST"). GST is not chargeable on supplies of goods and/or services made by us outside of Jersey and we will only incur GST on goods and/or services provided to us by GST registered businesses in Jersey.

Taxation of Dividends

Dividends are declared and paid gross in US dollars although under the Articles of Association, dividends may be payable in a currency other than US dollars. Under the existing Jersey law, payments in respect of the ordinary shares and ADSs, whether by dividend or other distribution paid to shareholders (other than to residents in Jersey), will not be subject to any taxation in Jersey and no withholding in respect of taxation will be required on those payments to any holder of our ordinary shares or ADSs.

Table of Contents

Prior to 2012, the Income Tax Law made provision for the taxation of an individual who is a shareholder or ultimate beneficial owner resident in Jersey who owns 2% or more of the shares of a company resident in Jersey or with a permanent establishment in Jersey, however with effect from January 1, 2012 these rules have been repealed. New anti-avoidance rules came into force from January 1, 2013 which extend the range of what is a potentially taxable distribution to Jersey resident shareholders and this may now include repayment of loan principal, proceeds received in the course of winding up, share repurchase/redemption, etc.

Taxation of Capital Gains and Estate and Gift Tax

Under current Jersey law, there are no death or estate duties, capital gains, gift, wealth, inheritance or capital transfer taxes. No stamp duty or other transfer tax is levied in Jersey on the issue or transfer of ordinary shares or ADSs unless such transfer conveys the right to occupy Jersey land. Probate Stamp Duty (“PSD”) is charged on the application for Grants of Probate and Letters of Administration. For individuals domiciled in Jersey, the whole of their estate is subject to PSD, while for individuals domiciled outside of Jersey, just their Jersey situs assets (including shares in Jersey companies) are subject to PSD. The current rates of PSD are:

- on estates which do not exceed £10,000 no PSD is due;
- on estates of more than £10,000, but which do not exceed £100,000, PSD is due at the rate of 0.5%; and
- on estates of more than £100,000, PSD of £500 is due in respect of the first £100,000 of value and then 0.75% on the value of the estate exceeding £100,000, however total PSD may not exceed £100,000, which equates to an estate worth £13,360,000.

Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following summary describes the material US federal income tax consequences to US holders (as defined below) arising from the purchase, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares or ADSs. This summary is based on the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which we refer to as the Code, final, temporary and proposed US Treasury Regulations promulgated under the Code, and administrative and judicial interpretations of the Code and the US Treasury Regulations, all as in effect as of the date of this summary, and all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. In addition, this discussion assumes that the representations contained in the deposit agreement are true and that the obligations in the deposit agreement and any related agreement have been and will be complied with in accordance with their terms.

This summary has no binding effect or official status of any kind; there can be no assurance that the conclusions reached below would be sustained by a court if challenged by the US Internal Revenue Service.

For purposes of this discussion, a “US holder” is a holder of our ordinary shares or ADSs that is a beneficial owner of such shares or ADSs and is:

- a US citizen;
- an individual resident in the United States for US federal income tax purposes;
- a domestic corporation, or other entity taxable as a corporation, organized under the laws of the United States or of any US state or the District of Columbia;
- an estate the income of which is includible in its gross income for US federal income tax purposes without regard to its source; or
- a trust, if either: a US court is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more US persons have the authority to control all the substantial decisions of the trust, or the trust has a valid election in effect under applicable US Treasury Regulations to be treated as a US person.

This summary does not address all aspects of US federal income taxation that may be relevant to particular US holders in light of their particular circumstances, or to US holders subject to special rules, including, without limitation:

Table of Contents

- retirement plans;
- insurance companies;
- persons that hold ordinary shares or ADSs as part of a “straddle,” “synthetic security,” “hedge,” “conversion transaction” or other integrated investment;
- persons that enter into “constructive sales” involving our ordinary shares or ADSs or substantially identical property with other transactions;
- persons whose functional currency is not the US Dollar;
- expatriates or former long-term residents of the United States;
- financial institutions;
- dealers in securities or currencies;
- tax-exempt organizations;
- persons that own, actually or constructively, 10% or more of our outstanding voting stock;
- persons subject to the alternative minimum tax;
- regulated investment companies;

- real estate investment trusts;
- persons who trade in securities who elect to apply a mark-to-market method of accounting; and
- persons who acquired their shares or ADSs pursuant to the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation.

In addition, this summary does not address the effect of any applicable US state, local or non-US tax laws or any federal estate or gift tax consequences, does not consider the tax treatment of persons who own our ordinary shares or ADSs through a partnership or other pass-through entity, and deals only with ordinary shares or ADSs held by US holders as “capital assets” as defined in Section 1221 of the Code. If a partnership (including for this purpose, any entity treated as a partnership for US federal income tax purposes) holds shares or ADSs, the tax treatment of a partner generally will depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. If a US holder is a partner in a partnership that holds shares or ADSs, the holder is urged to consult its own tax advisor regarding the specific tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of the shares or ADSs.

We encourage holders of our ordinary shares or ADSs to consult with their own tax advisors with respect to the US federal, state and local tax consequences, as well as the tax consequences in other jurisdictions, of the purchase, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares or ADSs applicable in their particular tax situations.

Ownership of Ordinary Shares or ADSs

For purposes of the Code, US holders of ADSs should be treated for US federal income tax purposes as the owner of the ordinary shares represented by those ADSs. Accordingly, exchanges of ordinary shares for ADSs and ADSs for ordinary shares generally should not be subject to US federal income tax. The US Treasury has, however, expressed concerns that intermediaries in the chain of ownership between the US holder of an ADS and the issuer of the security underlying the ADS may, in some circumstances, be taking actions that are inconsistent with the beneficial ownership of the underlying security (for example, pre-releasing ADSs to persons that do not have the beneficial ownership of the securities underlying the ADSs). Accordingly, the availability of the reduced tax rate (as discussed below) for dividends received by certain non-corporate US holders, including US holders who are individuals, could be affected by future actions that may be taken by the US Treasury and/or intermediaries in the chain of ownership between the US holders of ADSs and us.

Table of Contents

Subject to the discussion below under the heading “Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules,” for US federal income tax purposes, distributions with respect to our ordinary shares or ADSs, other than distributions in liquidation and distributions in redemption of stock that are treated as exchanges, will be taxed to US holders as ordinary dividend income to the extent that the distributions do not exceed our current and accumulated earnings and profits as determined for federal income tax purposes. Distributions, if any, in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits will constitute a non-taxable return of capital and will be applied against and reduce the holder’s basis in our ordinary shares or ADSs. To the extent that these distributions exceed the US holder’s tax basis in our ordinary shares or ADSs, as applicable, the excess generally will be treated as capital gain. We do not, however, intend to calculate our earnings and profits under US federal income tax principles. Therefore, you should expect that any distribution from us generally will be treated for US federal income tax purposes as a dividend. Such dividends will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction generally allowed to a US corporation under Section 243 of the Code.

Individual US holders are eligible for reduced rates of US federal income tax (currently at a maximum rate of 20%) in respect of “qualified dividend income”. For this purpose, qualified dividend income generally includes dividends paid by non-US corporations if, among other things, certain minimum holding periods are met and either (i) the ordinary shares (or ADSs) with respect to which the dividend has been paid are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States, or (ii) the non-US corporation is eligible for the benefits of a comprehensive US income tax treaty which provides for the exchange of information. For this purpose, ADSs listed on the NASDAQ exchange are considered to be readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States. Therefore, we currently believe that dividends paid with respect to our ADSs will constitute qualified dividend income for US federal income tax purposes, provided the individual US holders of our ADSs meet certain holding period requirements. However, since our ordinary shares are not listed on an established securities market in the United States and we are not eligible for the benefits of a comprehensive US income tax treaty which provides for the exchange of information, we do not believe that dividends that we pay on our ordinary shares that are not represented by ADSs currently meet the conditions required for these reduced tax rates. Furthermore, if we are a passive foreign investment company, as discussed below under the heading “Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules”, in the taxable year of the distribution or the preceding tax year, the dividends paid with respect to our ADSs will not constitute qualified dividend income. **US holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the classification of any distributions from us as qualified dividend income.**

Dividends from us generally will constitute non-US-source income for foreign tax credit limitation purposes. The limitation on foreign taxes eligible for credit is calculated separately with respect to specific classes of income. For this purpose, dividends distributed by us generally will be treated as “passive category income” or, in the case of certain US holders, as “general category income.”

Sale or Other Disposition of Ordinary Shares or ADSs

Subject to the discussion below under “Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules,” if a US holder sells or otherwise disposes of its ordinary shares or ADSs in a taxable transaction, it will generally recognize gain or loss for US federal

income tax purposes in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized on the sale or other taxable disposition and its tax basis in the ordinary shares or ADSs. Such gain or loss generally will be capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain or loss if the US holder has held the ordinary shares or ADSs for more than one year at the time of the sale or other taxable disposition. In general, any gain that US holders recognize on the sale or other taxable disposition of ordinary shares or ADSs will be US source income for purposes of the foreign tax credit limitation and any losses recognized will generally be allocated against US source income. Deduction of capital losses is subject to limitations under the Code.

Additional Medicare Tax

US holders that are individuals, estates or trusts and whose income exceeds certain thresholds generally will be subject to an additional 3.8% Medicare contribution tax on unearned income, including, among other things, cash dividends on, and capital gains from the sale or other taxable disposition of, our ordinary shares or ADSs, subject to certain limitations and exceptions. US holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the effect, if any, of such tax on their ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares or ADSs.

Table of Contents

Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules

A special and adverse set of US federal income tax rules apply to a US holder that holds stock in a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC. In general, we will be a PFIC if 75% or more of our gross income in a taxable year is passive income. Alternatively, we will be considered to be a PFIC if at least 50% of our assets in a taxable year, averaged over the year and determined based on fair market value, are held for the production of, or produce, passive income.

In determining whether a non-US corporation is a PFIC, a proportionate share of the income and assets of each corporation in which it owns, directly or indirectly, at least a 25% interest (by value) is taken into account.

We believe that we currently are not a PFIC and do not expect to become a PFIC in 2014. However, there is significant uncertainty in the application of the PFIC rules to mining enterprises such as ourselves as a result of the interplay of several sets of tax rules. In addition, because the tests for determining PFIC status are applied as of the end of each taxable year and are dependent upon a number of factors, some of which are beyond our control, including the value of our assets, the market price of our ordinary shares, and the amount and type of our gross income, there can be no assurance that we will not become a PFIC in the future or that the US Internal Revenue Service will agree with our conclusion that we are not a PFIC now.

If we are a PFIC for US federal income tax purposes for any year during a US holder's holding period of our ADSs or ordinary shares and the US holder does not make a "mark-to-market" election or a QEF election, both as described below:

- any gain recognized by a US holder upon the sale of ADSs or ordinary shares, or the receipt of some types of distributions, would be treated as ordinary income;
- this income generally would be allocated ratably over a US holder's holding period with respect to our ADSs or ordinary shares; and
- the amount allocated to prior years, with certain exceptions, will be subject to tax at the highest tax rate in effect for those years and an interest charge would be imposed on the amount of deferred tax on the income allocated to the prior taxable years.

We generally will be treated as a PFIC as to any US holder if we are a PFIC for any year during such holder's holding period. However, if we cease to satisfy the requirements for PFIC classification, a US holder may avoid PFIC classification for subsequent years if such holder elects to recognize gain based on the unrealized appreciation in the ADSs or ordinary shares through the close of the tax year in which we cease to be a PFIC. Additionally, if we are a PFIC, a US holder who acquires ADSs or ordinary shares from a decedent would be denied the normally available step-up in tax basis for our ADSs or ordinary shares to fair market value at the date of death and instead would have a tax basis equal to the lower of the fair market value or the decedent's tax basis.

A US holder who beneficially owns stock in a PFIC may be required to file an annual information return on Internal Revenue Service Form 8621 (Information Return by a Shareholder of a Passive Foreign Investment Company or Qualified Electing Fund). The US Treasury and IRS continue to issue new guidance regarding these information reporting requirements. **US holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the application of the information reporting rules to our ADSs or ordinary shares and how they may apply to their particular circumstances.**

A US holder generally may be able to avoid the imposition of the special tax and interest charge described above by electing to mark its ADSs or ordinary shares to market annually, and, therefore, recognize for each taxable year, subject to certain limitations, ordinary income or loss equal to the difference, as of the close of taxable year, between the fair market value of its ADSs or ordinary shares and the adjusted tax basis of his or its ADSs or ordinary shares. Losses would be allowed only to the extent of the net mark-to-market gain previously included by the US holder under the election in prior taxable years. If a mark-to-market election with respect to ADSs or ordinary shares is in effect on the date of a US holder's death, the tax basis of the ADSs or ordinary shares in the hands of a US holder who acquired them from a decedent will be the lesser of the decedent's tax basis or the fair market value of the ADSs or ordinary shares. A mark-to-market election is available only if the ADSs or ordinary shares, as the case may be, are considered "marketable stock." Generally, stock will be considered marketable stock if it is "regularly traded" on a "qualified exchange" within the meaning of applicable US Treasury Regulations. A class of stock is regularly traded during any calendar year during which such class of stock is traded, other than in de minimis quantities, on at least 15 days during each calendar quarter. The NASDAQ constitutes a qualified exchange, and a non-US securities exchange constitutes a qualified exchange if it is regulated or supervised by a governmental authority of the country in which the securities exchange is located and meets certain trading, listing, financial disclosure and other requirements set forth in US Treasury Regulations.

Table of Contents

Because a mark-to-market election cannot be made for equity interests in any lower-tier PFICs owned by us, a US holder may continue to be subject to the PFIC rules described above with respect to its indirect interest in any investments held by us that are treated as an equity interest in a PFIC for US federal income tax purposes. US holders should consult their tax advisors as to the availability and desirability of a mark-to-market election, as well as the impact of such election on interests in any lower-tier PFICs.

In certain circumstances a holder of stock or ADSs in a PFIC may avoid taxation under the rules described above by making a “qualified electing fund,” or “QEF,” election to include in income its share of a PFIC’s annual income on a current basis. However, a QEF election is only available if the PFIC annually provides its stockholders with certain tax information, and we currently do not intend to prepare or provide such information. Accordingly, you should assume that a QEF election is unavailable.

Rules relating to a PFIC are very complex. US holders are encouraged to consult their own tax advisors regarding the application of the PFIC rules to their investments in our ADSs or our ordinary shares.

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

Payments to US holders in respect of our ordinary shares or ADSs may be subject to information reporting to the US Internal Revenue Service and to backup withholding tax at a rate of 28%.

However, backup withholding and information reporting will not apply to a US holder that is a corporation or comes within an exempt category, and demonstrates the fact when so required, or furnishes a correct taxpayer identification number and makes any other required certification. US holders who are required to establish their exempt status generally must provide such certification on Internal Revenue Service Form W-9.

Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules will be allowed as a refund or credit against a US holder’s US federal income tax liability, provided that the required procedures are followed.

In addition, US holders should be aware of annual reporting requirements on Internal Revenue Service Form 8938 (Statement of Specified Foreign Financial Assets) with respect to the holding of certain foreign financial assets, including our ordinary shares and ADSs that are not held in an account maintained by certain types of financial

institutions, if the aggregate value of all of such assets exceeds \$50,000 (or \$100,000 for married couples filing a joint return).

US holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the application of the information reporting and backup withholding rules to our ordinary shares and ADSs, and the application of the annual reporting requirements to your particular situation.

Material United Kingdom Tax Considerations

The following statements do not constitute tax advice and are intended as a general guide only to the U.K. tax position under current U.K. tax legislation, and published HM Revenue & Customs (“HMRC”) practice as at the date of this document, both of which are subject to change at any time, possibly with retrospective effect. These statements deal only with the position of shareholders who are resident (and in the case of individuals only) domiciled solely in the U.K. for tax purposes (except where the position of a non-U.K. tax resident shareholder is expressly referred to), who hold their ordinary shares or ADSs as an investment and who are the absolute beneficial owners of the ordinary shares or ADSs and of all dividends of any kind paid in respect of them in circumstances where the dividends paid are regarded for U.K. tax purposes as that person’s own income (and not the income of some other person). The tax position of certain categories of shareholders who are subject to special rules (such as persons acquiring their shares or ADSs (or deemed to acquire their shares or ADSs) in connection with an employment or office, dealers in securities, insurance companies and collective investment schemes and shareholders owning 10% or more of the ordinary shares or voting power, rights to profit or capital of the company) is not considered. Any shareholder who is in doubt as to their tax position regarding the acquisition, ownership or disposal of their ordinary shares or ADSs, or who are subject to tax in a jurisdiction other than the U.K., should consult their own independent tax adviser.

Table of Contents

Dividends

A person having an interest in ADSs or ordinary shares who is not a resident in the U.K. will not be subject to tax in the U.K. on dividends paid on ordinary shares or ADSs, unless that person carries on a trade, profession or vocation in the U.K. (and, if that person is a company, does so through a permanent establishment) to which the ordinary shares or ADSs in question are attributable.

A person having an interest in ADSs or ordinary shares who is resident in the U.K. and is not a body corporate will, in general, be subject to U.K. income tax on dividends paid by us.

A U.K. resident body corporate holding an interest in ADSs or ordinary shares should not generally be taxable on dividends paid by us.

A U.K. resident individual shareholder will be entitled to a tax credit, which may be set off against the shareholder's total income tax liability on the dividend. The value of the tax credit is currently 10% of the aggregate of the dividend and the tax credit (the "Gross Dividend"), which is also equal to one-ninth of the cash dividend received.

Such an individual U.K. resident shareholder who is liable to income tax at the basic rate will be subject to tax on the dividend at the rate of 10% of the Gross Dividend, so that any tax credit will satisfy in full such shareholder's liability to income tax on the dividend.

An individual shareholder who is liable to income tax at the 40% tax rate will be taxed at the rate of 32.5% on the Gross Dividend. Any tax credit will be set against, but not fully match, the shareholder's tax liability on the Gross Dividend and such shareholder will have to account for additional income tax equal to 22.5% of the Gross Dividend (which is equal to 25% of the cash dividend received) to the extent that the Gross Dividend falls within the 40% tax band.

For taxable income above £150,000 an additional higher rate of tax was introduced from 6 April 2010, and which from 6 April 2013 is at a rate of 45%. Dividends that fall into the 45% tax bracket will be liable to income tax at a rate of 37.5% of the Gross Dividend. Any tax credit will be set against, but not fully match, the shareholder's tax liability on the Gross Dividend and such shareholder will have to account for additional income tax equal to 27.5% of the Gross Dividend (this equates to 30.56% of the cash dividend received) to the extent that the Gross Dividend, when treated as the top slice of the shareholder's income, falls above the threshold for the 45% rate of tax.

An individual shareholder will not generally be able to claim repayment from HMRC of any part of the tax credit attaching to dividends paid by us.

Each shareholder resident outside the U.K. may also be subject to foreign taxation on dividend income under the local law of the country(ies) in which they reside/are resident.

Capital Gains

A person having an interest in ADSs or ordinary shares who is not resident in the U.K. will generally not be subject to tax in the U.K. on gains arising on a disposal of our ordinary shares or interests in the ADSs.

Individuals who left the UK after being UK resident who were resident in the U.K. for four out of seven tax years prior to departure, and whose temporary period of non-residence is 5 years or less will be subject to UK capital gains tax in the year of return on any gains realized on the disposal during the period of absence of any assets which were owned before taking up residence abroad.

Persons having an interest in ADSs or ordinary shares who are resident in the U.K. or who hold their ordinary shares or interests in ADSs through a U.K. trading branch or agency (or, if that person is a company, a permanent establishment) will, in general, be subject to U.K. taxation on gains arising on a disposal of ordinary shares or interests in ADSs.

Table of Contents

The first £10,900 of an individual's net chargeable gains are exempt for the tax year commencing April 6, 2014. The balance is taxed at 18% for gains that fall within the individual's otherwise unused basic rate income tax band (currently £32,010 and falling to £31,865 from April 6, 2014) and 28% thereafter.

A body corporate will generally be subject to U.K. corporation tax on chargeable gains at the standard rate of U.K. corporation tax (which is reducing from 23% to 21% from April 1, 2014 and 20% from April 1, 2015).

Inheritance Tax

Liability to U.K. inheritance tax may arise on the death of an individual having an interest in ADSs or ordinary shares, or on a gift (or disposal at an undervalue) of ordinary shares or ADSs by an individual, who is domiciled, or deemed to be domiciled, in the U.K.

U.K. inheritance tax may still be relevant for individuals who are neither domiciled nor deemed to be domiciled in the U.K. in respect of U.K. property. U.K. property is generally liable to U.K. inheritance tax subject to Double Tax Treaty provisions. This is a complicated area and individuals should consult their own independent tax adviser.

Stamp Duty and Stamp Duty Reserve Tax

No U.K. stamp duty or stamp duty reserve tax ("SDRT") should be payable on the issue of the ordinary shares or ADSs, or on the delivery of the ADSs into DTC.

No U.K. stamp duty should in practice be payable on the transfer of ordinary shares or ADSs provided any instrument of transfer is executed and retained outside of the U.K. and no matters or actions relating to the transfer are performed in the U.K., and no U.K. stamp duty should arise in respect of any dealings in the ordinary shares or ADSs within a clearance service, where such dealings are effected in book entry form in accordance with the procedures of the clearance service and not by written instrument.

SDRT should not be payable on an unconditional agreement to transfer ADSs. Nor should SDRT be payable on any unconditional agreement to transfer ordinary shares, provided there is no register in the U.K. in respect of the ordinary shares and provided the ordinary shares are not paired with any shares issued by any company incorporated in the U.K.

It should be noted that certain categories of person (for example, market makers and broker dealers) may not be liable to stamp duty or SDRT and others may be liable at a higher rate (for example, persons connected with depository arrangements and clearance services) or may, although not primarily liable for the tax, be required to notify and account for it under the SDRT Regulations 1986.

F. DIVIDENDS AND PAYING AGENTS

Not applicable.

G. STATEMENTS BY EXPERTS

Not applicable.

H. DOCUMENTS ON DISPLAY

You may request a copy of our US Securities and Exchange Commission filings, at no cost, by writing or calling us at Randgold Resources Limited, 3rd Floor, Unity Chambers, 28 Halkett Street, St. Helier, Jersey, JE2 4WJ, Channel Islands, Attention: M. A. Welsh, Telephone: 011 44 1534-735-333. A copy of each report submitted in accordance with applicable United States law is available for public review at our principal executive offices at 3rd Floor, Unity Chambers, 28 Halkett Street, St. Helier, Jersey, Channel Islands.

Table of Contents

A copy of each document (or a translation thereof to the extent not in English) concerning us that is referred to in this Annual Report, is available for public view at our principal executive offices at 3rd Floor Unity Chambers, 28 Halkett Street, St. Helier, Jersey, Channel Islands, Attention: M.A. Welsh, Telephone: 011 44 1534-735-333.

I. SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Not applicable.

Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Hedge Policy

Although, in general, it is not our policy to hedge our gold sales, we believe it is prudent to hedge during times of significant capital expansion and debt and we are sometimes required to do so under debt financing arrangements. The market price of gold has a significant effect on our results of operations, our ability to pay dividends and undertake capital expenditure, and the market price of our ordinary shares. Gold prices have historically fluctuated widely and are affected by numerous industry factors over which we have no control. The aggregate effect of these factors is impossible for us to predict.

We have previously used, and may in the future use, hedging instruments to protect the selling price of some of our anticipated gold production. These hedging instruments were required by the terms of our previous loan agreements. The last remaining gold price forward sales contracts were delivered into during 2010.

Foreign Currency and Commodity Price Sensitivity

In the normal course of business, the group enters into transactions denominated in foreign currencies (primarily Euro, South African Rand, Congolese franc and Communauté Financière Africaine Franc). As a result, the group is subject to exposure from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. In general, the group does not enter into derivatives to manage these currency risks. Generally, the group does not hedge its exposure to gold price fluctuation risk and sells at market spot prices. Gold sales are disclosed in US dollars and do not expose the group to any currency fluctuation risk. However, during periods of capital expenditure or loan finance, the company may use forward contracts or options to reduce the exposure to price movements, while maintaining significant exposure to spot prices.

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

These derivatives may establish a fixed price for a portion of future production while the group maintains the ability to benefit from increases in the spot gold price for the majority of future gold production. The group is also exposed to fluctuations in the price of consumables, such as fuel, steel, rubber, cyanide and lime, mainly due to changes in the price of oil, as well as fluctuations in exchange rates. No hedging instruments existed during 2013.

| | 2013 | 2012 (Restated)+ |
|--|-----------|---------------------|
| \$000 | | |
| Level of exposure of foreign currency risk | | |
| Carrying value of foreign currency balances | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents includes balances denominated in: | | |
| • Communauté Financière Africaine franc (CFA) | (5,593) | 10,475 |
| • Euro (EUR) | 4,078 | 34,067 |
| • South African rand (ZAR) | 814 | 30,171 |
| • British pound (GBP) | 46 | 138 |
| Trade and other receivables include balances denominated in: | | |
| • Communauté Financière Africaine franc (CFA) | 112,882 | 7,526 |
| • Euro (EUR) | 16,250 | 12 |
| • South African rand (ZAR) | 10,727 | 720 |
| Trade and other payables includes balances denominated in: | | |
| • Communauté Financière Africaine franc (CFA) | (72,443) | (28,797) |

Table of Contents

| | 2013 | 2012 (Restated)+ |
|----------------------------|----------|---------------------|
| \$000 | | |
| • Euro (EUR) | (30,688) | (2,797) |
| • South African rand (ZAR) | (8,228) | (39,841) |
| • British pound (GBP) | (1,006) | (123) |

Following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, the group changed its accounting policy + on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 with prior periods 2011 and 2012 restated accordingly (refer to pages F- 8 to F-12 of this Annual Report for further details).

The group's exposure to foreign currency arises where a company holds monetary assets and liabilities denominated in a currency different to the functional currency of the holder of the instrument which is the US dollar. Set out below is the impact of a 10.0% change in the US dollar on profit and equity arising as a result of the revaluation of the group's foreign currency financial instruments.

| | Closing exchange Rate | Effect of 10.0% strengthening of US dollar on net earnings and equity |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Level of exposure of foreign currency risk (continued) | | |
| At December 31, 2013 | | |
| • Euro (EUR) | 0.7264 | 1,036 |
| • Communauté Financière Africaine franc (CFA) | 476.64 | 3,485 |
| • South African rand (ZAR) | 10.50 | 331 |
| • British pound (GBP) | 0.61 | 99 |
| At December 31, 2012 (Restated) | | |
| • Euro (EUR) | 0.7567 | 3,128 |
| • Communauté Financière Africaine franc (CFA) | 496.35 | 1,080 |
| • South African rand (ZAR) | 8.4875 | 895 |

The sensitivities are based on financial assets and liabilities held at December 31 where balances were not denominated in the functional currency of the holder of the instrument. The sensitivities do not take into account the group's sales and costs and the results of the sensitivities could change due to other factors such as changes in the value of financial assets and liabilities as a result of non-foreign exchange influenced factors.

The market price of gold has a significant effect on our results of operations, our ability to pay dividends and undertake capital expenditure and the market prices of our ordinary shares. Gold prices have historically fluctuated widely and are affected by numerous industry factors over which we have no control. The aggregate effect of these

factors is not possible for us to predict.

The group is fully exposed to the spot gold price on gold sales.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

We generally do not undertake any specific actions to cover our exposure to interest rate risk and at December 31, 2013 were not party to any interest rate risk management transactions.

At December 31, 2011 the fair value of our borrowings, including the short-term portion of these liabilities, excluding loans from outside shareholders in subsidiaries, was estimated at \$0 million. The aggregate hypothetical loss in earnings on an annual basis from a hypothetical increase of 10% of the three month LIBOR rate was not applicable.

At December 31, 2012 the fair value of our borrowings, including the short-term portion of these liabilities, excluding loans from outside shareholders in subsidiaries, was estimated at \$0 million. The aggregate hypothetical

Table of Contents

loss in earnings on an annual basis from a hypothetical increase of 10% of the three month LIBOR rate was not applicable.

At December 31, 2013 the fair value of our borrowings, including the short-term portion of these liabilities, excluding loans from outside shareholders in subsidiaries, was estimated at \$0 million. The aggregate hypothetical loss in earnings on an annual basis from a hypothetical increase of 10% of the three month LIBOR rate was not applicable.

Concentration of credit risk

The group's cash balances do not give rise to a concentration of credit risk because it deals with a variety of major financial institutions. Its receivables and loans are regularly monitored and assessed. Receivables are impaired when it is probable that amounts outstanding are not recoverable as set out in the accounting policy note for receivables. Gold bullion, the group's principal product, is produced in Mali, DRC and Côte d'Ivoire. The gold produced is sold to the largest accredited gold refinery in the world. Credit risk is further managed by regularly reviewing the financial statements of the refinery. The group is further not exposed to significant credit risk on gold sales, as cash is received within a few days of the sale taking place. While not financial assets for IFRS 7, included in receivables is US\$129.9 million (2012: US\$87.5 million) relating to indirect taxes owing to Loulo, Gounkoto and Tongon by the State of Mali, which are denominated in FCFA, which holds some credit risk for the group. The legally binding mining conventions in Mali permit offsetting of other corporate taxes against approved unpaid TVA. A further US\$36.4 million (2012: US\$21.2 million) is held within the underlying statement of financial position of the equity accounted Kibali joint venture which is considered recoverable given the receipts obtained during the year. See "Risk Factors."

Item 12. Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities

A. DEBT SECURITIES

Not applicable.

B. WARRANTS AND RIGHTS

Not applicable.

C. OTHER SECURITIES

Not applicable.

D. AMERICAN DEPOSITARY SHARES

Fees Payable by ADS Holders

Our American Depositary Shares, or ADSs, each representing the right to receive one of our ordinary shares, are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "GOLD." A copy of our Form of Amended and Restated Deposit Agreement with The Bank of New York Mellon (the "Depositary") was filed with the SEC as an exhibit to our Form F-6 filed on October 7, 2009 (the "Deposit Agreement"). Pursuant to the Deposit Agreement, holders of our ADSs may have to pay to the Depositary, either directly or indirectly, fees or charges up to the amounts set forth in the table below:

| Associated Fee | Depositary Action |
|---|---|
| \$5.00 or less per 100 ADSs (or portion thereof). | Execution and delivery of ADRs and the surrender of ADRs pursuant to the Deposit Agreement. |
| \$0.02 or less per ADS (or portion thereof). | Any cash distribution made pursuant to the Deposit Agreement, including, among other things: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • cash distributions or dividends, |

Table of Contents

Associated Fee Depositary Action

- distributions other than cash, shares or rights,
- distributions in shares, and
- rights of any other nature, including rights to subscribe for additional shares.

Taxes and other governmental charges. As applicable.

Registration fees in effect for the registration of transfers of shares generally on the share register of the Company or foreign registrar and applicable to transfers of shares to or from the name of the Depositary or its nominee or the custodian or its nominee on the making of deposits or withdrawals. As applicable.

A fee equal to the fee for the execution and delivery of ADSs which would have been charged as a result of the deposit of such securities. Distributions of securities other than cash, shares or rights.

Any other charges payable by the Depositary, any of its agents (and their agents), including the custodian (by billing such owners for such charge or by deducting such charge from one or more cash dividends or other cash distributions). Servicing of shares or other deposited securities.

Expenses incurred by the Depositary. Cable, telex and facsimile transmission (where expressly provided for in the Deposit Agreement)

Foreign currency conversion into U.S. dollars

At our 2014 annual general meeting a resolution will be put to shareholders authorizing the directors to offer shareholders the opportunity to receive new ordinary shares or, for ADS holders, new ADSs, instead of cash in respect of the final dividend and any future dividend to be declared or paid in the period up to and including the 2019 annual general meeting (“scrip dividend”). If the resolution is approved at the 2014 annual general meeting, for ADS holders electing to participate in the scrip dividend scheme, an issuance fee of US\$0.04 per ADS payable to the Depositary, will be deducted from the cash dividend amount an ADS holder is entitled to.

Depositary Payments for 2013

For the year ended December 31, 2013, our Depositary made no payments on our behalf in relation to our ADR program.

In July 2011, we entered into letter agreement with the Depositary providing for the Depositary's payment to us of certain fees, including a fee of \$900,000 per year for five years, subject to the satisfaction of specified conditions.

- 137 -

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies

There have been no material defaults in the payment of principal, interest, a sinking fund or purchase fund installment or any other material default with respect to any of our indebtedness.

Item 14. Material Modification to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds

Effective on June 11, 2004, we undertook a subdivision of our ordinary shares, which increased our issued share capital from 29,273,685 to 58,547,370 ordinary shares. In connection with this “share split”, our ordinary shareholders of record on June 11, 2004 received two additional \$0.05 ordinary shares for every one \$0.10 ordinary share they held. Following the share split, each shareholder held the same percentage interest in us, however, the trading price of each share was adjusted to reflect the share split. ADS holders were affected the same way as shareholders and the ADS ratio remains one ADS to one ordinary share.

Item 15. Controls and Procedures

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures: As of December 31, 2013 (the “Evaluation Date”), the company, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the evaluation date, the company’s disclosure controls and procedures, including controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the required time periods.

(b) Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting: Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 defines internal control over financial reporting in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company’s principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the company’s board

of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;

- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and

- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992).

Based on this assessment management concluded that, as of the evaluation date, the company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based upon those criteria.

(c) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting: There have been no changes in the company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect the company's internal control over financial reporting.

(d) Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm: The company's independent registered accounting firm, BDO LLP, has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. See report of BDO LLP, an Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on page 139.

Table of Contents

Board of Directors and Shareholders

Randgold Resources Limited

We have audited Randgold Resources Limited's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Randgold Resources Limited's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying report included in "Item 15B, "Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting". Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Randgold Resources Limited maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statements of financial position of Randgold Resources Limited as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the related consolidated statements of comprehensive income, consolidated statements of changes in equity and statements of consolidated cash flows for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 and our report dated 28 March 2014, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO LLP
BDO LLP
London
28 March 2014

BDO LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales (with registered number OC305127).

Table of Contents

Item 16. Reserved

Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert

Membership of the company's audit committee, including its chairman, comprises only independent non-executive directors, in compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The company's board determined that Dr. K. Voltaire, the current chairman of the audit committee, was an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in Item 16A of Form 20-F. Dr. Voltaire and each of the other members of the audit committee (being Mr. C.L. Coleman and Mr. A.J. Quinn) are independent non-executive directors. All three members of the committee have considerable financial knowledge and experience to assist in overseeing and guiding the board and the company in respect of audit and corporate governance disciplines.

The committee is guided by its terms of reference, the mandate as delegated by the board is ensuring the integrity of financial reporting and adequacy of governance, internal control and risk management policies and procedures throughout the company and its operations.

Item 16B. Code of Ethics

In order to comply with the company's obligations in terms of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and in the interests of good governance, the company has systems and procedures to introduce, monitor and enforce its ethical codes and the board has adopted a code of ethics that applies to all employees and a code of ethics for the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and all financial officers. The codes of ethics, entitled the "Code of Conduct" can be found on the company's website, www.randgoldresources.com.

In addition, the company has adopted a whistle-blowing policy that encourages employees and other stakeholders to confidentially and anonymously report acts of an unethical or illegal nature that affect the company's interests. The whistle-blowing policy applies to all companies and operations in the group and provides a channel for individuals to confidentially raise any concerns about business practices or acts that are in conflict with the company's business principles, unlawful, or financial malpractice in the company and its managed operations. The program, which is monitored by the audit committee, makes available a selection of telephonic, email and mail communication channels as a medium for reporting. Reports are received by the general counsel and secretary and are referred to the internal audit function or an appropriate manager for investigation and resolution. A report is provided to the audit committee on a quarterly basis. The process encourages reports to be made in good faith in a responsible and ethical manner. Employees are encouraged to first seek resolution of alleged malpractices through discussion with their direct managers, if appropriate, or, if unresolved, they should report these through the whistle-blowing line or directly to internal audit.

Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

BDO LLP has served as our independent registered public accounting firm for the financial years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

The following table presents the aggregate fees for professional services and other services rendered by our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm to us in 2013 and 2012.

- 140 -

Table of Contents

| | 2013 \$ | 2012 \$ |
|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | (\$'000) | (\$'000) |
| Audit Fees (1) | 1,100 | 1,200 |
| Audit-related Fees (2) | 16 | — |
| Tax Fees | — | — |
| All Other Fees (3) | — | — |
| Total | 1,116 | 1,200 |

- (1) The Audit Fees consist of fees billed for the annual audit services engagement and other audit services, which are those services that only the external auditor reasonably can provide, and include our audit and statutory audits.
- (2) Audit-related fees include fees billed relating to comfort letters and consents; attest services; and assistance with and review of documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and UK Listing Authority.
- (3) Other fees relate to other work performed in respect of the documents filed with the UK Listing Authority.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Below is a summary of the Audit Committee's pre-approved policies and procedures:

The Audit Committee comprises only independent non-executive directors and its mandate covers the sphere of duties relating to accounting policies, internal control, financial reporting practices, identification of exposure to significant risks and all corporate governance issues.

The Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, removal and oversight of the activities of the external auditors. In addition, the Audit Committee sets the principles for recommending the use of external auditors for non-audit services. Consistent with the audit committee's policy during 2013 no non-audit services were provided by our external auditors. On January 27, 2013 and again on January 30, 2014 the audit committee reaffirmed that policy.

The Audit Committee met six times during 2013. At some of these meetings the committee met with the external audit partner and the finance director, to review the audit plans of the external auditors and to ascertain the extent to which the scope of the audit can be relied upon to detect weaknesses in internal controls. The audit committee met with the finance director to review the quarterly and half-yearly financial results, and met with the finance director and external auditor to review the preliminary announcement of the annual results and the annual financial statements, as well as all statutory submissions of a financial nature, prior to approval by the board.

During 2013, all Audit-related Fees provided to us by BDO LLP were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to the de minimis exception to the pre-approval requirement provided by paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of

Regulation S-X.

No work was performed by persons other than BDO LLP's full-time, permanent employees on the BDO LLP's engagement to audit our financial statements for 2013 and 2012, except that BDO LLP utilizes members of staff in its South African, Jersey, United States, Zambian and Mauritian offices member firms as part of the engagement team.

During 2013, the Audit Committee has overseen work undertaken to ensure compliance with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act.

Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees

Not Applicable.

Item 16E. Purchase of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

Neither the issuer nor any affiliate of the issuer purchased any of our shares during 2013.

- 141 -

Table of Contents

Item 16F. Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant

Not Applicable.

Item 16G. Corporate Governance

We are subject to a variety of corporate governance guidelines and requirements of NASDAQ, the London Stock Exchange and the SEC. We believe that we comply with the applicable corporate governance requirements. Although we are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, we are not required to comply with all of NASDAQ's corporate governance rules which are applicable to US companies. The significant ways in which the NASDAQ corporate governance rules differ for us, as a foreign company, are a reduced quorum requirement for shareholder meetings. Since 2011, we have been required to comply with the provisions of the United Kingdom Corporate Governance Code which was issued in the United Kingdom in June 2008 and was subsequently revised in 2010 and again last year. The 2012 edition of the United Kingdom Governance Code was fully implemented in 2013. According to the provisions of the United Kingdom Corporate Governance Code we were in compliance with the provisions throughout the year. In compliance with the United Kingdom Corporate Governance Code (2012 edition), all directors are subject to re-election on an annual basis and at our AGM in April 2013 all of our directors (other than Mr. Kassum who was appointed to the board in January 2014) were re-elected.

Item 17. Financial Statements

Not Applicable.

Item 18. Financial Statements

Reference is made to the financial statements, commencing on page F-1, and the financial statement schedule on page S-1.

Item 19. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as part of this Annual Report:

Exhibit No. Exhibit

- | | |
|--------|---|
| 1.1§§ | Memorandum and Articles of Association of Randgold Resources Limited, as amended on May 3, 2011. |
| 2.1+++ | Form of Amended and Restated Deposit Agreement, dated as of July 10, 2002 among Randgold Resources Limited, The Bank of New York as Depositary, and owners and holders from time to time of American Depositary receipts issued thereunder. |
| 2.2+++ | Form of American Depositary Receipt. |
| 2.3* | Excerpts of relevant provisions of the Companies (Jersey) Law 1991. |
| 2.4* | Shareholder's Agreement (English translation), dated June 23, 2000, between the State of Mali and Morila Limited. |
| 4.1* | Deed Governing the Relationship Between the Parties Upon Admission between Randgold & Exploration Company Limited and Randgold Resources Limited, dated June 26, 1997 (Relationship Agreement). |
| 4.2* | License Agreement, dated June 26, 1997, between Randgold & Exploration Company Limited and Randgold Resources Limited. |

- 142 -

Table of Contents

Exhibit No. Exhibit

| | |
|---------|--|
| 4.3* | Agreement, dated December 21, 1999, between Société des Mines de Morila SA, Randgold Resources Limited and Morila Limited (loan from Randgold Resources Limited to Morila Limited). |
| 4.4* | Joint Venture Agreement, dated May 29, 2000, between AngloGold Limited and Randgold Resources Limited. |
| 4.5* | Randgold Resources Limited Share Option Scheme. |
| 4.6++ | Shareholder Loan Agreement dated August 1, 2004, between Randgold Resources Limited and Randgold Resources (Somilo) Limited. |
| 4.7++ | Amendment to Shareholders' Loan Agreement, between Randgold Resources Limited and Randgold Resources (Somilo) Limited. |
| 4.8++ | Deed of Assignment, dated December 20, 2004, between Randgold Resources Limited and Société des Mines de Loulo S.A. |
| 4.9## | Joint Venture Agreement, dated April 4, 2008, between New Mining CI and Randgold Resources (Côte d'Ivoire) Limited. |
| 4.10### | Addendum to the Joint Venture Agreement, dated April 4, 2008, between New Mining CI and Randgold Resources (Côte d'Ivoire) Limited. |
| 4.11### | Addendum to the Joint Venture Agreement, dated April 22, 2008, between AngloGold Ashanti Limited and Randgold Resources Limited. |
| 4.12% | Letter Agreement, dated September 18, 2008, between Randgold Resources (Côte d'Ivoire) Limited and New Mining Côte d'Ivoire SARL. |
| 4.13%% | Agreement between Randgold Resources Limited and AngloGold Ashanti Limited dated July 16, 2009. |
| 4.14%% | Amendment dated July 27, 2009 to Agreement between Randgold Resources Limited and AngloGold Ashanti Limited, dated July 16, 2009. |
| 4.15** | Arrangement Agreement, dated August 5, 2009, between Randgold Resources Limited, 0858065 B.C. Limited and Moto Goldmines Limited. |
| 4.16** | Protocole d'Accord, dated October 31, 2009, between Randgold Resources Limited, AngloGold Ashanti Limited, Moto Goldmines Limited, Kibali Goldmines S.P.R.L. and the Government of the Democratic Republic of Congo. |
| 4.17*** | Joint Venture Agreement, dated July 16, 2009 between Randgold Resources Limited and AngloGold Ashanti Limited in relation to Kibali (Jersey) Limited. |
| 4.18§§ | Rules of Restricted Share Scheme, as amended on January 30, 2012. |

- 4.19§§ Rules of Co-Investment Plan.
- 4.20§§§ Appointment Letter, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Andrew Quinn.
- 4.21§§§ Appointment Letter, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Christopher Coleman.

- 143 -

Table of Contents

Exhibit No. Exhibit

| | |
|---------|--|
| 4.22§§§ | Appointment Letter, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Kadri Dagdelen. |
| 4.23§§§ | Appointment Letter, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Karl Voltaire. |
| 4.24§§§ | Appointment Letter, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Norborne Cole Jr. |
| 4.25§§§ | Appointment Letter, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Philippe Liétard. |
| 4.26§§§ | Appointment Letter, dated January 28, 2013, between Randgold Resources Limited and Jeanine Mabunda Lioko. |
| 4.27 | Appointment Letter, dated January 31, 2014, between Randgold Resources Limited and Jemal-ud-din Kassum. |
| 4.28§§§ | Deed of Indemnity, dated April 30, 2012, between Randgold Resources Limited and Andrew Quinn. |
| 4.29§§§ | Deed of Indemnity, dated January 28, 2013, between Randgold Resources Limited and Jeanine Mabunda Lioko. |
| 4.30 | Deed of Indemnity, dated January 31, 2014, between Randgold Resources Limited and Jemal-ud-din Kassum. |
| 4.31§§ | Executive Service Agreement between Randgold Resources Limited and Dennis Mark Bristow, dated June 13, 2011. |
| 4.32§§§ | Variation to Executive Service Agreement between Randgold Resources Limited and Dennis Mark Bristow, as amended on January 28, 2013. |
| 4.33§§ | Executive Service Agreement between Randgold Resources Limited and Graham P. Shuttleworth, dated June 13, 2011. |
| 4.34§§§ | Variation to Executive Service Agreement between Randgold Resources Limited and Graham P. Shuttleworth, as amended on January 28, 2013. |
| 4.35§§§ | Establishment Convention between the Government of the Republic of Mali and Société des Mines de Goukoto SA, dated March 21, 2012. |
| 4.36§§§ | Agreement between The State of Côte d'Ivoire and Randgold Resources Côte d'Ivoire SARL, dated October 2010. |
| 4.37§§§ | Shareholders agreement dated October 31, 2009, between L'office des Mines d'or de Kilo-Moto, Moto Goldmines Limited, Border Energy Pty Limited, Kibali Goldmines SPRL and Kibali (Jersey) Limited. |
| 4.38§§§ | Establishment Convention between the Government of the Republic of Mali and BHP Minerals International Inc., dated April 28, 1992 concerning the Morila Gold Mine. |

4.39§§§ Toll Treatment Agreement, dated June 1, 2011, between Société des Mines de Goukoto SA and Société des Mines de Loulo SA.

- 144 -

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit |
|--------------------|--|
| 4.40§§§ | Establishment Convention between the Government of the Republic of Mali and Société des Mines de Loulo SA, dated March 21, 1983. |
| 4.41§§§ | Amendment to the Establishment Convention dated March 21, 1983, concerning the Loulo Gold Mine. |
| 4.42 | Facility Agreement dated May 17, 2013 between Randgold Resources Limited, HSBC Securities (USA), Inc., HSBC Bank PLC and other financial institutions. |
| 8.1 | List of Subsidiaries. |
| 12.1 | Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 12.2 | Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 13.1 | Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 13.2 | Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 15.1 | Consent of BDO LLP. |
| 15.2 | Consent of Andrew Fox |
| 15.3 | Consent of Tim Peters. |

* Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-90972), filed on June 21, 2002.

+ Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

++ Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.

+++ Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form F-6 (File No. 333-129147), filed on October 7, 2009.

§ Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form F-3 (File No. 333-147648), filed on November 27, 2007.

Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

% Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

%% Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form F-3 (File No. 333-160827), filed on July 27, 2009.

** Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

*** Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

- 145 -

Table of Contents

§§ Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.
§§§ Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31,
2012.

- 146 -

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this Annual Report on its behalf.

**RANDGOLD RESOURCES
LIMITED**

By: /s/ D. Mark Bristow

Name: D. Mark Bristow

Title: Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 28, 2014

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Exhibit

**Exhibit
No.**

- 4.27 Appointment Letter, dated January 31, 2014, between Randgold Resources Limited and Jemal-ud-din Kassum.
- 4.30 Deed of Indemnity, dated January 31, 2014, between Randgold Resources Limited and Jemal-ud-din Kassum.
- 4.42 Facility Agreement dated May 17, 2013 between Randgold Resources Limited, HSBC Securities (USA), Inc., HSBC Bank PLC and other financial institutions.
- 8.1 List of Subsidiaries.
- 12.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 12.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 13.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 13.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 15.1 Consent of BDO LLP.
- 15.2 Consent of Andrew Fox.
- 15.3 Consent of Tim Peters.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| Randgold Resources Limited | |
| <u>REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u> | F-1 |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME</u> | F-2 |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION</u> | F-3 |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY</u> | F-4 |
| <u>STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS</u> | F-6 |
| <u>NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | F-7 |

| | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| Kibali (Jersey) Limited | |
| <u>REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u> | F-58 |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME</u> | F-59 |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION</u> | F-60 |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY</u> | F-61 |
| <u>STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS</u> | F-62 |
| <u>NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | F-63 |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders

Randgold Resources Limited

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position of Randgold Resources Limited as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the related consolidated statements of comprehensive income, consolidated statements of changes in equity and statements of consolidated cash flows for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. In connection with our audits of the financial statements, we have also audited the financial statement schedule, included on page S-1 of this Form 20-F. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and the schedule are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Randgold Resources Limited as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB).

Also, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Randgold Resources Limited's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated 28 March 2014, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

As discussed in Note 2, the company retrospectively adopted the IASB's International Financial Reporting Standard No. 11 Joint arrangements in 2013, which included the disclosure of the January 1, 2012 consolidated statement of financial position.

/s/ BDO LLP
BDO LLP
London
28 March 2014

BDO LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales (with registered number OC305127).

F-1

Table of Contents**RANDGOLD RESOURCES LIMITED****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31**

| US\$000 | Notes | 2013 | 2012 (Restated)+ | 2011 (Restated)+ |
|---|--------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| REVENUE | | | | |
| Gold sales on spot | | 1,137,690 | 1,183,127 | 970,315 |
| Total revenue | | 1,137,690 | 1,183,127 | 970,315 |
| Other income | 21 | 6,028 | 12,555 | 7,933 |
| TOTAL INCOME | | 1,143,718 | 1,195,682 | 978,248 |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Mining and processing costs | 21 | 678,456 | 588,376 | 443,907 |
| Transport and refining costs | | 2,663 | 2,718 | 2,433 |
| Royalties | | 58,415 | 59,710 | 44,414 |
| Exploration and corporate expenditure | 22 | 49,485 | 39,033 | 43,596 |
| Other expenses | | - | - | 13,990 |
| TOTAL COSTS | | 789,019 | 689,837 | 548,340 |
| Finance income | 23 | 1,242 | 2,048 | 1,004 |
| Finance costs | 23 | (7,737) | (984) | (3,085) |
| Finance (costs)/income – net | 23 | (6,495) | 1,064 | (2,081) |
| Share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures | 10 | 54,257 | 40,927 | 44,119 |
| PROFIT BEFORE INCOME TAX | | 402,461 | 547,836 | 471,946 |
| Income tax expense | 4 | (76,714) | (37,054) | (30,041) |
| PROFIT FOR THE PERIOD | | 325,747 | 510,782 | 441,905 |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | | | | |
| Loss on available-for-sale financial assets | | (1,173) | (2,919) | (8,406) |
| Share of equity accounted joint ventures' other comprehensive expense | 10 | (400) | (182) | (800) |
| Total other comprehensive expense | | (1,573) | (3,101) | (9,206) |
| TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | | 324,174 | 507,681 | 432,699 |
| PROFIT | | | | |
| Attributable to: | | | | |
| Owners of the parent | | 278,382 | 431,801 | 383,860 |
| Non-controlling interests | | 47,365 | 78,981 | 58,045 |
| | | 325,747 | 510,782 | 441,905 |
| TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | | | | |
| Attributable to: | | | | |
| Owners of the parent | | 276,809 | 428,700 | 374,654 |
| Non-controlling interests | | 47,365 | 78,981 | 58,045 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------|---------|---------|
| | | 324,174 | 507,681 | 432,699 |
| BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE (US\$) | 6 | 3.02 | 4.70 | 4.20 |
| DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE (US\$) | 6 | 2.98 | 4.65 | 4.16 |
| AVERAGE SHARES IN ISSUE (000) | | 92,213 | 91,911 | 91,338 |

Following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, the group changed its accounting + policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 with prior periods restated accordingly (refer to pages F-8 to F-12 for further details).

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-2

Table of Contents**RANDGOLD RESOURCES LIMITED****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION**

| US\$000 | Notes | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 (Restated)+ | January 1, 2012 (Restated)+ |
|--|--------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| ASSETS | | | | |
| NON-CURRENT ASSETS | | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | 9 | 1,457,500 | 1,294,865 | 1,123,564 |
| Deferred tax | 11 | 1,294 | 1,970 | - |
| Trade and other receivables | 7 | 49,023 | - | - |
| Long term ore stockpiles | 8 | 142,010 | - | - |
| Investment in equity accounted joint ventures | 10 | 1,267,776 | 816,500 | 549,798 |
| Other investments in joint ventures | 10 | 52,459 | 43,947 | 47,419 |
| Total investments in joint ventures | 10 | 1,320,235 | 860,447 | 597,217 |
| TOTAL NON-CURRENT ASSETS | | 2,970,062 | 2,157,282 | 1,720,781 |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | | | |
| Inventories and ore stockpiles | 8 | 180,415 | 272,609 | 191,007 |
| Trade and other receivables | 7 | 186,054 | 202,129 | 95,416 |
| Available-for-sale financial assets | 12 | 1,831 | 3,003 | 6,843 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | 38,151 | 373,868 | 463,220 |
| TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS | | 406,451 | 851,609 | 756,486 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | | 3,376,513 | 3,008,891 | 2,477,267 |
| EQUITY AND LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Share capital | 5 | 4,612 | 4,603 | 4,587 |
| Share premium | 5 | 1,423,513 | 1,409,144 | 1,386,939 |
| Retained earnings | | 1,386,518 | 1,154,273 | 759,209 |
| Other reserves | | 64,398 | 50,994 | 40,531 |
| Equity attributable to owners of the parent | | 2,879,041 | 2,619,014 | 2,191,266 |
| Non-controlling interests | | 178,813 | 158,673 | 104,515 |
| TOTAL EQUITY | | 3,057,854 | 2,777,687 | 2,295,781 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Loans from minority shareholders in subsidiaries | | 2,929 | 3,249 | 2,614 |
| Deferred tax | 11 | 28,458 | 29,355 | 20,578 |
| Provision for rehabilitation | 14 | 49,177 | 52,575 | 34,624 |
| TOTAL NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | 80,564 | 85,179 | 57,816 |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Trade and other payables | 13 | 174,445 | 133,441 | 116,967 |
| Current tax payable | | 63,650 | 12,584 | 6,703 |
| TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES | | 238,095 | 146,025 | 123,670 |
| TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES | | 3,376,513 | 3,008,891 | 2,477,267 |

+ Following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, the group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 with prior periods restated accordingly (refer to pages F-8 to F-12)

for further details).

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**RANDGOLD RESOURCES LIMITED****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013****Attributable to Equity Shareholders**

| US\$000 | Number of Ordinary Shares | Share Capital | Share Premium | Other Reserves | Retained Earnings | Total Equity Attributable to Owners of Parent | Non- Controlling interests⁺ | Total Equity⁺ |
|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| BALANCE – DEC 31, 2010 | 91,082,170 | 4,555 | 1,362,320 | 31,596 | 393,570 | 1,792,041 | 53,905 | 1,845,946 |
| Change in accounting policy+ | - | - | - | - | - | - | (7,435) | (7,435) |
| BALANCE – DEC 31, 2010 (restated) + | 91,082,170 | 4,555 | 1,362,320 | 31,596 | 393,570 | 1,792,041 | 46,470 | 1,838,511 |
| Share of other comprehensive expense of joint ventures* | - | - | - | (800) | - | (800) | - | (800) |
| Fair value movement on available -for-sale financial assets* | - | - | - | (8,406) | - | (8,406) | - | (8,406) |
| Other comprehensive expense | - | - | - | (9,206) | - | (9,206) | - | (9,206) |
| Net profit for the period | - | - | - | - | 383,860 | 383,860 | 58,045 | 441,905 |
| Total comprehensive (expense)/income for the period | - | - | - | (9,206) | 383,860 | 374,654 | 58,045 | 432,699 |
| Share-based payments | - | - | - | 23,581 | - | 23,581 | - | 23,581 |
| Share options exercised | 628,500 | 32 | 19,195 | - | - | 19,227 | - | 19,227 |
| Reserve transfer on exercise of options previously | - | - | 4,976 | (4,976) | - | - | - | - |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| expensed under IFRS 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Shares vested # | 6,400 | - | 448 | (448) | - | - | - | - |
| Dividend relating to 2010 | - | - | - | - | (18,221) | (18,221) | - | (18,221) |
| Lapsed options originally issued on acquisition of Moto | - | - | - | (16) | - | (16) | - | (16) |
| BALANCE – DEC 31, 2011 | 91,717,070 | 4,587 | 1,386,939 | 40,531 | 759,209 | 2,191,266 | 104,515 | 2,295,781 |
| (restated) + | | | | | | | | |
| Share of other comprehensive expense of joint ventures* | - | - | - | (182) | - | (182) | - | (182) |
| Fair value movement on available-for-sale financial assets* | - | - | - | (2,919) | - | (2,919) | - | (2,919) |
| Other comprehensive expense | - | - | - | (3,101) | - | (3,101) | - | (3,101) |
| Net profit for the period | - | - | - | - | 431,801 | 431,801 | 78,981 | 510,782 |
| Total comprehensive income/(expense) for the period | - | - | - | (3,101) | 431,801 | 428,700 | 78,981 | 507,681 |
| Share-based payments | - | - | - | 21,150 | - | 21,150 | - | 21,150 |
| Share options exercised | 267,798 | 13 | 14,064 | - | - | 14,077 | - | 14,077 |
| Reserve transfer on exercise of options previously expensed under IFRS 2 | - | - | 3,498 | (3,498) | - | - | - | - |
| Shares vested# | 76,285 | 3 | 4,643 | (4,088) | - | 558 | - | 558 |
| Dividend relating to 2011 | - | - | - | - | (36,737) | (36,737) | - | (36,737) |
| Non-controlling interest share of Goukoto dividend | - | - | - | - | - | - | (24,823) | (24,823) |
| Balance – DEC 31, 2012 (restated) | 92,061,153 | 4,603 | 1,409,144 | 50,994 | 1,154,273 | 2,619,014 | 158,673 | 2,777,687 |
| Share of other comprehensive expense of joint ventures* | - | - | - | (400) | - | (400) | - | (400) |
| Fair value movement on | - | - | - | (1,173) | - | (1,173) | - | (1,173) |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| available-for-sale financial assets* | | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | |
| comprehensive expense | - | - | - | (1,573) | - | (1,573) | - | (1,573) |
| Net profit for the period | - | - | - | - | 278,382 | 278,382 | 47,365 | 325,747 |
| Total | | | | | | | | |
| comprehensive income/(expense) for the period | - | - | - | (1,573) | 278,382 | 276,809 | 47,365 | 324,174 |
| Share-based payments | - | - | - | 26,282 | - | 26,282 | - | 26,282 |
| Share options exercised | 23,750 | 1 | 1,183 | - | - | 1,184 | - | 1,184 |
| Reserves transfer on exercise of options previously expensed under IFRS 2 | - | - | 464 | (464) | - | - | - | - |
| Shares vested# | 160,628 | 8 | 12,722 | (10,841) | - | 1,889 | - | 1,889 |
| Dividend relating to 2012 | - | - | - | - | (46,137) | (46,137) | - | (46,137) |
| Non-controlling interest share of Goukoto dividend | - | - | - | - | - | - | (27,225) | (27,225) |
| Balance – DEC 31, 2013 | 92,245,531 | 4,612 | 1,423,513 | 64,398 | 1,386,518 | 2,879,041 | 178,813 | 3,057,854 |

Table of Contents

Share Capital

The share capital comprises the issued ordinary shares of the company at par.

Share premium

The share premium comprises the excess value recognized from the issue of ordinary shares at par.

Retained earnings

Retained earnings comprise the group's cumulative accounting profits and losses since inception.

Other reserves

Other reserves comprise the cumulative charge recognized under IFRS 2 in respect of share-based payment awards (net of amounts transferred to share capital and share premium), as well as cumulative fair value movements in current available-for-sale financial assets.

At December 31, 2013, the balance of the share-based payment reserve amounted to US\$65.1 million (December 31, 2012: US\$50.1 million; December 31, 2011: US\$36.5 million). The foreign currency translation reserve was US\$1.4 million at December 31, 2013 (December 31, 2012: US\$1.4 million; December 31, 2011: US\$1.4 million) and the cumulative net losses in current available-for-sale financial assets amounted to US\$2.1 million at December 31, 2013 (December 31, 2012: cumulative net loss of US\$0.5 million; December 31, 2011: cumulative net gains of US\$2.6 million). Refer to Note 12 for further details on available-for-sale financial assets.

Non-controlling interests

Non-controlling interests comprise the non-controlling interests' share of cumulative profits and losses in the group, less their share of dividends paid.

**Share of other comprehensive expense of joint ventures and fair value movements on available for-sale assets may be recycled through the income statement in the future if certain future conditions arise.*

Restricted shares were issued as remuneration to executive directors, non-executive directors and senior management. Shares were also issued to executive directors following approval of their 2012 and 2011 annual bonuses. The transfer between 'other reserves' and 'share premium' in respect of the shares vested represents the cost calculated in accordance with IFRS 2.

Following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, the group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 with prior periods restated accordingly (refer to pages F-8 to F-12 for further details).

Table of Contents**RANDGOLD RESOURCES LIMITED****STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31**

| US\$000 | 2013 | 2012 (Restated)+ | 2011 (Restated)+ |
|---|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Profit after tax | 325,747 | 510,782 | 441,905 |
| Income tax expense | 76,714 | 37,054 | 30,041 |
| Profit before income tax | 402,461 | 547,836 | 471,946 |
| Share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures | (54,257) | (40,927) | (44,119) |
| Net finance (income)/cost | 5,180 | (1,931) | 1,250 |
| Unwind of discount on provisions for environmental rehabilitation | 1,315 | 867 | 831 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 130,638 | 117,991 | 65,562 |
| Share-based payments | 26,282 | 21,150 | 23,581 |
| Non-cash adjustment on royalties | 8,284 | - | - |
| | 519,903 | 644,986 | 519,051 |
| Effects of changes in operating working capital items | | | |
| Receivables | (62,738) | (120,737) | 14,030 |
| Inventories and ore stockpiles | (49,816) | (81,602) | (41,275) |
| Trade and other payables | 65,572 | 18,507 | 18,168 |
| Cash generated from operations before interest and tax | 472,921 | 461,154 | 509,974 |
| Interest received | 1,242 | 2,048 | 1,004 |
| Interest paid | (6,422) | (117) | (2,254) |
| Dividends received from equity accounted joint ventures | 18,974 | 72,326 | 76,125 |
| Income tax paid | (22,249) | (11,182) | (19,282) |
| Net cash generated from operating activities | 464,466 | 524,229 | 565,567 |
| CASH FLOW FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment | (303,099) | (272,207) | (351,878) |
| Decrease in available-for-sale insurance asset | - | 920 | (920) |
| Funds invested in equity accounted joint ventures | (424,906) | (298,283) | (100,139) |
| Loans repaid by equity accounted joint ventures | - | 3,472 | - |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (728,005) | (566,098) | (452,937) |
| CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from issue of ordinary shares | 1,184 | 14,077 | 19,227 |
| Dividends paid to company's shareholders | (46,137) | (36,737) | (18,221) |
| Dividends paid to non-controlling interests | (27,225) | (24,823) | - |
| NET CASH USED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | (72,178) | (47,483) | 1,006 |
| NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (335,717) | (89,352) | 113,636 |

| | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| CASH AND EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR | 373,868 | 463,220 | 349,584 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR | 38,151 | 373,868 | 463,220 |

Following the introduction and adoption of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements, the group changed its accounting + policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 with prior periods restated accordingly (refer to pages F-8 to F-12 for further details).

*Non-cash items include changes in rehabilitation provision estimates of US\$4.7 million (2012: US\$16.9 * million; 2011: US\$10.1 million), as well as non-cash capital expenditure accruals of US\$5.1 million (2012: US\$ nil; 2011: US\$nil).*

The effective interest rate on cash and cash equivalents was 0.54% (2012: 0.41%; 2011: 0.23%). These funds have an average maturity of less than 90 days.

Table of Contents

RANDGOLD RESOURCES LIMITED

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013**

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS

The company and its subsidiaries together with its joint ventures (the group) carry out exploration and gold mining activities. Currently there are three operating mines in Mali, West Africa: the Morila gold mine (equity accounted joint venture), which started production in October 2000, the Loulo gold mine (subsidiary), which commenced production in November 2005 and the Goukoto mine (subsidiary), which began production in June 2011. The group also operates a mine in Cote d'Ivoire, Tongon (subsidiary), which started production in December 2010. The group also holds an effective interest of 45% in the Kibali gold mine (equity accounted joint venture) in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC). Production commenced at Kibali in October 2013. The group has a portfolio of exploration projects in West and Central Africa.

The interests of the group in its operating mines are held through Société des Mines de Morila SA (Morila) which owns the Morila mine, Société des Mines de Loulo SA (Somilo) which owns the Loulo mine, Société des Mines de Tongon SA (Tongon) which owns the Tongon mine, Société des Mines de Goukoto SA (Goukoto) which owns the Goukoto mine and Kibali Goldmines (Kibali) SPRL, which owns the Kibali mine. Randgold holds an effective 40% interest in Morila, following the sale to AngloGold Ashanti Limited on July 3, 2000 of one-half of Randgold's subsidiary, Morila Limited. Management of Morila Limited, the 80% shareholder of Morila SA, is effected through a joint venture committee, with Randgold and AngloGold Ashanti each appointing one-half of the members of the committee. Randgold holds an effective 80% interest in Somilo and Goukoto. The remaining 20% interest is held by the State of Mali. Randgold holds an effective 89% interest in Tongon, 10% is held by the State of Cote d'Ivoire while the remaining 1% is held by a local Ivorian company. Randgold is the operator of the Morila, Loulo, Goukoto, Tongon and Kibali mines. Randgold acquired its effective interest in Kibali following the acquisition by the company of a joint venture interest in Moto Goldmines Limited (Moto) in 2009, in conjunction with AngloGold Ashanti. Société Minière de Kilo-Moto SARL (SOKIMO) holds the remaining 10% in Kibali. The group has various exploration programs ranging from substantial to early stage in the DRC, Mali, Senegal and Cote d'Ivoire.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The principal accounting policies applied in the preparation of these consolidated and company financial statements are set out below. These policies have been consistently applied to all the years presented, unless otherwise stated.

Basis of preparation

The consolidated financial statements of Randgold Resources Limited and its subsidiaries and joint ventures have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and Interpretations (collectively (IFRS)) issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and in accordance with Article 105 of the Companies (Jersey) Law of 1991. IFRS 11 is effective for 2013 under IFRS as issued by the IASB. The group has adopted IFRS 11 from January 1, 2013 in accordance with the rules on application set out by the IASB. The new standard has been applied retrospectively. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared under the historical cost convention, as modified by the revaluation of available-for-sale financial assets, and various financial assets. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires the use of certain critical accounting estimates. It also requires management to exercise its judgment in the process of applying the company's accounting policies. The areas involving a high degree of judgment or complexity, or areas where assumptions and estimates are significant to the consolidated financial statements are disclosed in note 3. After reviewing the group's and the company's budget for the next financial year, and other longer term plans, the directors are satisfied, at the time of approving the financial statements, it is appropriate to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the financial statements. The directors have no reason to believe that the group and the company will not be a going concern in the foreseeable future based on forecasts and available cash resources and available facilities. The viability of the group is supported by the financial statements.

Table of Contents***New standards and interpretations applied***

The IASB has issued the following new standards, amendments to published standards and interpretations to existing standards with effective dates on or prior to January 1, 2013 which have been adopted by the group for the first time this year.

| | | IFRS as issued by IASB Effective period commencing on or after | Impact on group |
|---------|---|---|---|
| IAS 1 | Amendment – presentation of items of other comprehensive income | July 1, 2012 | No material impact, disclosures amended |
| IFRS 10 | Consolidated financial statements | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |
| IFRS 11 | Joint arrangements | January 1, 2013 | Yes |
| IFRS 12 | Disclosure of interests in other entities | January 1, 2013 | No material impact, disclosures amended |
| IFRS 13 | Fair value measurement | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |
| IFRS 7 | Disclosures – offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities | January 1, 2013 | No material impact, disclosures amended |
| IAS 27 | Amendment – separate financial statements | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |
| IAS 28 | Amendment – investments in associates and joint ventures | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |
| IFRS 1 | Amendment – government loans | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |
| IAS 19 | Amendment – employee benefits | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |
| | Annual improvements to IFRSs (2009–2011 cycle) | January 1, 2013 | No material impact |

IFRS 11 Joint arrangements

The group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 following the introduction of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements which applies to the current period. The new standard is applicable under IFRS as issued by the IASB from January 1, 2013. The joint venture agreements and structures for Kibali and Morila, together with the asset leasing joint ventures (KAS 1 Limited and RAL 1 Limited) provide the group with interests in the net assets of those companies, rather than interests in underlying assets and obligations. Accordingly, under IFRS 11, the group's share of joint ventures have been accounted for using the equity method rather than proportionately consolidated, from the beginning of the earliest period presented. Comparatives have been restated accordingly using IFRS 11 transition rules.

F-8

Table of Contents

The group's share of its joint ventures has been disclosed as a single line item as 'total investments in joint ventures' on the consolidated statement of financial position, measured at the aggregate of the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities that had previously been proportionately consolidated (shown on each line of the statement of financial position) at January 1, 2011, excluding minorities, together with the group's subsequent share of profits and losses of the joint ventures, its share of other comprehensive income and expense, additional investment and loans less joint venture dividends. The group's share of profits and other comprehensive income and expense of the joint ventures are accounted for in the statement of comprehensive income as 'share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures' and 'share of other comprehensive income of equity accounted joint ventures'. In the consolidated cash flow statement, the group's cash flows from the joint ventures have been disclosed separately.

The nature of the adjustments involved equity accounting for our share in Kibali gold mine at an effective 45%, whereas previously it was 50% proportionately consolidated, including 5% non-controlling interest. The impact on the primary statements is shown below.

The adjustments include presenting the primary financial statements as if we have always been equity accounting our share in our joint ventures and associates from the start of the earliest period presented with key changes summarized as follows:

On the statement of comprehensive income, the key changes relate to accounting for our attributable share in the profits and losses of the equity accounted joint ventures being shown in a single line item 'share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures' which represents the post-tax profits and losses of the joint ventures;

Other income now includes 100% of management fees charged to equity accounted joint ventures with the group's share of the cost included in 'share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures';

The group's share of the equity accounted joint ventures' income and expenditure has been removed from the individual line items;

Changes on the statement of financial position relate to the group's share of its equity accounted joint ventures' net assets being accounted for in a single line 'total investments in joint ventures';

The group's share of the equity accounted joint ventures' assets and liabilities have been removed from the individual line items; and

Changes on the cash flow statement include disclosing dividends received from equity accounted joint ventures in a separate line under operating activities, as well as disclosing additional invested funds in separate lines under

investing activities. Other loans advanced and repaid (where applicable) are recognized within investing activities.

F-9

Table of Contents

The effects of the change in accounting policies on the consolidated statement of financial position consolidated comprehensive income and the consolidated cash flows of the group at December 31, 2012 in accordance with the transition provision of IFRS 11 are summarized below.

Consolidated statement of comprehensive income
(impact of accounting policy change)

| US\$000 | 12 months ended Dec. 31, 2012 (as previously reported) | 12 months ended Dec. 31, 2012 (as per new accounting policy) | Adjustment |
|--|---|--|------------|
| Revenues | | | |
| Gold sales on spot | 1,317,830 | 1,183,127 | (134,703) |
| Total revenues | 1,317,830 | 1,183,127 | (134,703) |
| Other income | 10,755 | 12,555 | 1,800 |
| Total income | 1,328,585 | 1,195,682 | (132,903) |
| Cost and expenses | | | |
| Mine production costs | 460,322 | 438,331 | (21,991) |
| Movement in production inventory and ore stockpiles | (31,970) | (43,716) | (11,746) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 131,741 | 117,991 | (13,750) |
| Other mining and processing costs | 84,182 | 75,770 | (8,412) |
| Mining and processing costs | 644,275 | 588,376 | (55,899) |
| Transport and refining costs | 2,988 | 2,718 | (270) |
| Royalties | 67,802 | 59,710 | (8,092) |
| Exploration and corporate expenditure | 40,641 | 39,033 | (1,608) |
| Other expenses | 5,437 | - | (5,437) |
| Total costs | 761,143 | 689,837 | (71,306) |
| Finance income | 2,050 | 2,048 | (2) |
| Finance costs | (1,200) | (984) | 216 |
| Finance income – net | 850 | 1,064 | 214 |
| Share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures | - | 40,927 | 40,927 |
| Profit before income tax | 568,292 | 547,836 | (20,456) |
| Income tax expense | (57,510) | (37,054) | 20,456 |
| Profit for the period | 510,782 | 510,782 | - |
| Other comprehensive income | | | |
| Gain/(loss) on available-for-sale financial assets | (3,101) | (2,919) | 182 |
| Share of equity accounted joint ventures other comprehensive expense | - | (182) | (182) |
| Total other comprehensive expense | (3,101) | (3,101) | - |
| Total comprehensive income | 507,681 | 507,681 | - |
| Profit attributable to: | | | |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---|
| Owners of the parent | 431,801 | 431,801 | - |
| Non-controlling interests | 78,981 | 78,981 | - |
| | 510,782 | 510,782 | - |
| Total comprehensive income attributable to: | | | |
| Owners of the parent | 428,700 | 428,700 | - |
| Non-controlling interests | 78,981 | 78,981 | - |
| | 507,681 | 507,681 | |
| Basic earnings per share (US\$) | 4.70 | 4.70 | - |
| Diluted earnings per share (US\$) | 4.65 | 4.65 | - |
| Average shares in issue (000) | 91,911 | 91,911 | - |

F-10

Table of Contents**Consolidated statement of financial position**
(*impact of accounting policy change*)

| US\$000 | At Dec. 31, 2012 (as previously reported) | At Dec. 31, 2012 (as per new accounting policy) | Adjustment |
|---|--|--|-------------------|
| Assets | | | |
| Non-current assets | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | 1,742,148 | 1,294,865 | (447,283) |
| Cost | 2,111,437 | 1,592,781 | (518,656) |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortizations | (369,289) | (297,916) | (71,373) |
| Deferred tax | 2,678 | 1,970 | (708) |
| Investment in equity accounted joint ventures | - | 816,500 | 816,500 |
| Other investments in joint ventures | - | 43,947 | 43,947 |
| Total investments in joint ventures | - | 860,447 | 860,447 |
| Trade and other receivables | 7,969 | - | (7,969) |
| Mineral properties | 406,000 | - | (406,000) |
| Total non-current assets | 2,158,795 | 2,157,282 | (1,513) |
| Current assets | | | |
| Inventories and ore stockpiles | 292,299 | 272,609 | (19,690) |
| Trade and other receivables | 285,286 | 202,129 | (83,157) |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 387,288 | 373,868 | (13,420) |
| Available-for-sale financial assets | 3,476 | 3,003 | (473) |
| Total current assets | 968,349 | 851,609 | (116,740) |
| Total assets | 3,127,144 | 3,008,891 | (118,253) |
| Equity attributable to owners of the parent | 2,619,014 | 2,619,014 | - |
| Non-controlling interests | 166,108 | 158,673 | (7,435) |
| Total equity | 2,785,122 | 2,777,687 | (7,435) |
| Non-current liabilities | | | |
| Loans from minority shareholders | 3,249 | 3,249 | - |
| Deferred tax | 29,355 | 29,355 | - |
| Long term borrowings | 13,296 | - | (13,296) |
| Provision for rehabilitation | 60,041 | 52,575 | (7,466) |
| Total non-current liabilities | 105,941 | 85,179 | (20,762) |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Trade and other payables | 215,761 | 133,441 | (82,320) |
| Current tax payable | 18,842 | 12,584 | (6,258) |
| Short term portion of long term borrowing | 1,478 | - | (1,478) |
| Total current liabilities | 236,081 | 146,025 | (90,056) |
| Total equity and liabilities | 3,127,144 | 3,008,891 | (118,253) |

Table of Contents**Consolidated cash flow statement**
(*impact of accounting policy change*)

| US\$000 | 12 months ended Dec. 31, 2012 (as previously reported) | 12 months ended Dec. 31, 2012 (as per new accounting policy) | Adjustment |
|---|---|---|-------------------|
| Profit after tax | 510,782 | 510,782 | - |
| Income tax expense | 57,510 | 37,054 | (20,456) |
| Profit before income tax | 568,292 | 547,836 | (20,456) |
| Share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures | - | (40,927) | (40,927) |
| Adjustment for non-cash items | 153,362 | 140,008 | (13,354) |
| Effects of change in operating working capital items | (192,123) | (183,832) | 8,291 |
| Receivables | (177,439) | (120,737) | 56,702 |
| Inventories and ore stockpiles | (73,349) | (81,602) | (8,253) |
| Trade and other payables | 58,665 | 18,507 | (40,158) |
| Dividends received from equity accounted joint ventures | - | 72,326 | 72,326 |
| Income tax paid | (35,818) | (11,182) | 24,636 |
| Net cash generated from operating activities | 493,713 | 524,229 | 30,516 |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment | (562,280) | (272,207) | 290,073 |
| Decrease in available-for-sale insurance assets | 920 | 920 | - |
| Funds invested in equity accounted joint ventures | - | (298,283) | (298,283) |
| Loans repaid by equity accounted joint ventures | - | 3,472 | 3,472 |
| Net cash used by investing activities | (561,360) | (566,098) | (4,738) |
| Proceeds from issue of ordinary shares | 14,077 | 14,077 | - |
| Increase/(decrease) in long term loans | 14,774 | - | (14,774) |
| Dividends paid to company's shareholders | (36,737) | (36,737) | - |
| Dividends paid to non-controlling interests | (24,823) | (24,823) | - |
| Net cash used by financing activities | (32,709) | (47,483) | (14,774) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (100,356) | (89,352) | 11,004 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 487,644 | 463,220 | (24,424) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | 387,288 | 373,868 | (13,420) |

IFRS 12 Disclosure of interests in other entities

The new accounting standard is applicable under IFRS as issued by the IASB from January 1, 2013. IFRS 12 includes the disclosure requirements for all forms of interests in other entities, including subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and unconsolidated structured entities.

The standard requires the disclosure of information that helps users to assess the nature and financial effects of the group's relationship with other entities, including assisting users to: understand the judgments and assumptions made by the group when deciding how to classify its involvement with its subsidiaries and joint ventures; understand the interest that non-controlling interests have in consolidated entities; and assess the nature of the risks associated with interests in other entities.

F-12

Table of Contents

The group has provided the relevant disclosures in Notes 1 and 10 associated with the assessment of joint venture relationships and relationships with investees.

IFRS 7 Disclosure - offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities

The amendment to IFRS 7 introduces disclosures in respect of the effect of offsetting associated with the group's recognized financial assets and recognized financial liabilities. Refer to Notes 7 and 17 for details of the offsetting.

Standards effective in future periods

Certain new standards, amendments and interpretations to existing standards have been published that are relevant to the group's activities and are mandatory for the group's accounting periods beginning after January 1, 2013 or later periods and which the group has decided not to adopt early.

| | Effective period commencing on or after |
|--|--|
| IAS 32 Offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities | January 1, 2014 |
| IFRS 9 Financial instruments | No effective date |

The group is currently assessing the impact of these standards on the financial statements. The amendment to offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities provides that rights to offsets financial assets and financial liabilities must continue in normal business, default or insolvency events.

Consolidation

The consolidated financial information includes the financial statements of the company, its subsidiaries and the company's equity accounted joint ventures using uniform accounting policies for like transactions and other events in similar circumstances.

Subsidiaries

Subsidiaries are entities over which the group has the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from the activities and the ability to use its power over the investee to affect the amounts of the group's returns and generally accompanying an interest of more than one-half of the voting rights. Subsidiaries are fully consolidated from the date on which control is transferred to the group. They are deconsolidated from the date that control ceases. The purchase method of accounting is used to account for the acquisition of subsidiaries by the group. The cost of an acquisition is measured at the fair value of the assets given, equity instruments issued and liabilities incurred or assumed at the date of exchange. Acquisition costs are expensed. Identifiable assets acquired (including mineral property interests) and liabilities and contingent liabilities assumed in a business combination are measured initially at their fair values at the acquisition date, irrespective of the extent of any non-controlling interest. The excess of the cost of acquisition over the fair value of the group's share of the identifiable net assets acquired is recorded as goodwill or other identifiable intangible

Table of Contents

assets. If the cost of acquisition is less than the fair value of the net assets of the subsidiary acquired, the difference is recognized directly in the statement of comprehensive income.

Inter-company transactions, balances and unrealized gains on transactions between group companies are eliminated. Unrealized losses are also eliminated unless the transaction provides evidence of an impairment of the asset transferred. Accounting policies of subsidiaries have been changed where necessary to ensure consistency with the policies adopted by the group.

Joint ventures

IFRS 11 sets out new rules for accounting for joint arrangements. Under these new rules, a distinction is made between joint operations and joint ventures. A joint operation is a joint arrangement whereby the parties that have joint control of the arrangement (the joint operators) have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities relating to the arrangement. The rights to the assets and the obligations for the liabilities are recognized in the consolidated financial statements. In a joint venture, on the other hand, the parties that have joint control of the arrangement (the joint venturers) have a right to the net assets of the arrangement. This right is accounted for in the consolidated financial statements using the equity method. Joint control is considered to exist when there is contractual joint control; control being the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from the activities and the ability to use its power over the investee to affect the amounts of the group's returns by the joint venturers.

The group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 following the introduction of IFRS 11 Joint arrangements which applies to the current period as set out on pages F-8 to F-12 of this Annual Report.

Dividends received are classified as operating cash flows in the consolidated cash flow statement.

Acquisitions

Except for initial recognition under IFRS 11 transition rules, further investments in additional joint ventures are initially recognized at cost. The cost of an acquisition is measured at the fair value of the assets given, equity instruments issued or liabilities incurred or assumed at the date of exchange, plus costs directly attributable to the acquisition. Goodwill on associated companies and joint ventures represents the excess of the cost of acquisition of the associate or joint venture over the group's share of the fair value of the identifiable net assets of the associate or joint venture and is included in the carrying amount of the investments.

Equity method of accounting

In applying the equity method of accounting, the group's share of its associated companies and joint ventures' post-acquisition profits or losses are recognized in profit or loss and its share of post-acquisition other comprehensive income is recognized in other comprehensive income. These post-acquisition movements and distributions received from the associated and joint venture companies are adjusted against the carrying amount of the investments. When the group's share of losses in an associated or joint venture company equals or exceeds its interest in the associated or joint venture company, including any other unsecured

F-14

Table of Contents

non-current receivables, the group does not recognize further losses, unless it has obligations to make or has made payments on behalf of the associated or joint venture company. Unrealized gains on transactions between the group and its associated and joint venture companies are eliminated to the extent of the group's interest in the associated and joint venture companies. Unrealized losses are also eliminated unless the transaction provides evidence of an impairment of the asset transferred. Trading receivables and payables with joint ventures are classified within trade and other receivables and payables. The accounting policies of associated and joint venture companies have been changed where necessary to ensure consistency with the accounting policies adopted by the group.

Investment in subsidiaries and joint ventures

Investment in subsidiaries and joint ventures are stated at cost less any provisions for impairment in the financial statements of the company. Dividends are accounted for when the company becomes entitled to receive them. On the disposal of an investment, the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying amount is charged or credited to the statement of comprehensive income.

Segmental reporting

An operating segment is a group of assets and operations engaged in performing mining or advanced exploration that are subject to risks and returns that are different from those of other segments. Other parts of the business are aggregated and treated as part of a 'corporate and exploration' segment. The group provides segmental information using the same categories of information the group's chief operating decision maker utilizes. The group's chief operating decision maker is considered by management to be the board of directors.

The group has only one business segment, that of gold mining. Segment analysis is based on individual mining operations and exploration projects that have a significant amount of capitalized expenditure or other fixed assets.

Foreign currency translation

Functional and presentation currency

Items included in the financial statements of each of the group's entities are measured using the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates (the functional currency). The consolidated financial

statements are presented in US dollars, which is also the functional currency of the company and its significant subsidiaries.

Transactions and balances

Foreign currency transactions are translated into the relevant functional currency using the exchange rates prevailing at the date of the transactions. Foreign exchange gains and losses resulting from the settlement of such transactions and from the translation at year end exchange rates of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are recognized in the statement of comprehensive income in other income and other expenses.

F-15

Table of Contents

Group companies

The results and financial position of material group entities (none of which has the currency of a hyperinflationary economy) that have a functional currency different from the presentational currency are translated into the presentation currency as follows:

assets and liabilities for each statement of financial position presented are translated at the closing rate at the date of that statement of financial position;

income and expenses for each statement of comprehensive income are translated at average exchange rates (unless this average is not a reasonable approximation of the cumulative effect of the rates prevailing on the transaction dates, in which case income and expenses are translated at the rate on the dates of the transactions); and

all resulting exchange differences are recognized in other comprehensive income. There were no exchange differences on translation of subsidiaries recognized in 2011, 2012 or 2013.

Property, plant and equipment

Undeveloped properties

Undeveloped properties upon which the group has not performed sufficient exploration work to determine whether significant mineralization exists are carried at original acquisition cost. Where the directors consider that there is little likelihood of the properties being exploited, or the value of the exploitable rights has diminished below cost, an impairment is recorded.

Long-lived assets and mine development costs

Long-lived assets including development costs and mine plant facilities (such as processing plants, tailings facilities, raw water dams and power stations) are initially recorded at cost. Development of orebodies includes the development costs of shaft systems and waste rock removal that allows access to reserves that are economically recoverable in the future. Costs associated with underground development are capitalized when the works provide access to the ore body, whereas costs associated with ore extraction from operating ore body sections are treated as operating costs.

Where relevant the estimated cost of dismantling the asset and remediating the site is included in the cost of property, plant and equipment, subsequently they are measured at cost less accumulated amortization and impairment.

Development costs consist primarily of direct expenditure incurred to establish or expand productive capacity and are capitalized until commercial levels of production are achieved (refer to 'commercial production' below), after which the costs are amortized.

Costs are capitalized provided that the project is deemed to be commercially, technically and economically viable. Such viability is deemed to be achieved when the group is confident that the project will provide a satisfactory return relative to its perceived risks and is sufficiently certain of economic production. Costs which are necessarily incurred while commissioning new assets, in the period before they are capable of operating in the manner intended by management, are capitalized under "Longlived assets and mine development costs". Development costs incurred after the commencement of production are capitalized to the extent they are expected to give rise to a future economic benefit.

Table of Contents

Commercial production

The group assesses the stage of each mine construction project to determine when a mine moves into the production stage. The criteria used to assess the start date are determined by the unique nature of each mine construction project and include factors such as the complexity of a plant and its location. The group considers various relevant criteria to assess when the mine is substantially complete and ready for its intended use and moves into the production stage. Some of the criteria would include but are not limited to the following:

- the level of capital expenditure compared to construction cost estimates;
- completion of a reasonable period of testing of the mine plant and equipment;
- the ability to produce gold in saleable form; and
- the ability to sustain commercial levels of gold production.

When a mine construction project moves into the production stage, the capitalization of certain mine construction costs ceases and subsequent costs are either regarded as inventory or expensed, except for capitalizable costs related to subsequent mining asset additions or improvements, open cast stripping, underground mine development or ore reserve development.

Development expenditure approval

Development activities commence after project sanctioning by the appropriate level of management. Judgment is applied by management in determining when a project has reached a stage at which economically recoverable reserves exist such that development may be sanctioned. In exercising this judgment, management is required to make certain estimates and assumptions similar to those described below for capitalized exploration and evaluation expenditure. Any such estimates and assumptions may change as new information becomes available. If, after having started the development activity, a judgment is made that a development asset is impaired, the appropriate amount will be written off to the income statement.

Stripping costs

In surface mining operations, the group may find it necessary to remove waste materials ('overburden') to gain access to mineral ore deposits. This waste removal activity is known as 'stripping'. There are two benefits accruing to the group from stripping activity: usable ore that can be used to produce inventory and improved access to further quantities of material that will be mined in future periods as stripping costs within property, plant and equipment. Stripping costs are measured internally and capitalized until the point where the overburden has been removed and access to the ore commences. Economic ore extracted during this period and subsequently is accounted for as inventory. The group componentizes each of its mines into geographically distinct ore body sections to which the stripping activities being undertaken within that component are allocated. The group depreciates the deferred costs capitalized as stripping assets on a unit of production method, with reference the ex-pit ore production from the relevant ore body section.

F-17

Table of Contents

Short-lived assets

Short-lived assets including non-mining assets are shown at cost less accumulated depreciation and impairment.

Depreciation and amortization

Long-lived assets include mining properties, such as freehold land, metallurgical plant, tailings and raw water dams, power plant and mine infrastructure, as well as mine development costs and are depreciated on a units of production basis.

Depreciation and amortization are charged over the life of the mine (or over the remaining useful life of the asset, if shorter) based on estimated ore tonnes contained in proven and probable reserves to be extracted using the relevant asset, to reduce the cost to estimated residual values. As an example, underground assets are depreciated over underground proven and probable reserves and tonnes milled from those ore bodies. No future capital expenditure is included in the depreciable value. Proven and probable ore reserves reflect estimated quantities of economically recoverable reserves, which can be recovered in the future from known mineral deposits. Only proven and probable reserves are used in the tonnes milled units of production depreciation calculation. Any changes to the expected life of the mine (or asset) are applied prospectively in calculating depreciation and amortization charges. Short-lived assets which include motor vehicles, office equipment and computer equipment are depreciated over estimated useful lives of between two to five years but limited to the remaining mine life. Residual values and useful lives are reviewed, and adjusted if appropriate, at each statement of financial position date. Changes to the estimated residual values or useful lives are accounted for prospectively.

Impairment

The carrying amount of the property, plant and equipment and investments in joint ventures of the group is compared to the recoverable amount of the assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the net book value may not be recoverable. The recoverable amount is the higher of value in use and the fair value less cost to sell. In assessing the value in use, the expected future cash flows from the assets is determined by applying a discount rate to the anticipated risk adjusted future cash flows. The discount rate used is the group's weighted average cost of capital adjusted for asset-specific factors when applicable. Only proven and probable reserves are used in the calculations and the models use the approved mine plans and exclude capital expenditure which enhance the assets or extracted ore tonnes outside of such approved mine plans. An impairment is recognized in the statement of comprehensive income to the extent that the carrying amount exceeds the assets' recoverable amount. The revised carrying amounts are amortized in line with group accounting policies.

F-18

Table of Contents

A previously recognized impairment loss is reversed if the recoverable amount increases as a result of a reversal of the conditions that originally resulted in the impairment. This reversal is recognized in the statement of comprehensive income and is limited to the carrying amount that would have been determined, net of depreciation, had no impairment loss been recognized in prior years. Assets are grouped at the lowest levels for which there are separately identifiable cash flows (cash-generating units) for purposes of assessing impairment. The estimates of future discounted cash flows are subject to risks and uncertainties including the future gold price. It is therefore reasonably possible that changes could occur which may affect the recoverability of property, plant and equipment, and investments in joint ventures.

Inventories

Inventories include ore stockpiles, gold in process and dore, and supplies and spares and are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value. The cost of ore stockpiles and gold produced is determined principally by the weighted average cost method using related production costs. Cost of stockpiles include costs incurred up to the point of stockpiling, such as mining and grade control costs, but exclude future costs of production.

Costs of gold inventories include all costs incurred up until production of an ounce of gold such as milling costs, mining costs and directly attributable mine general and administration costs but exclude transport costs, refining costs and royalties. Net realizable value is determined with reference to estimated contained gold and market gold prices. Ore extracted is allocated to separate stockpiles based on estimated grade, with grades below defined cut-off levels treated as waste and expensed. Morila used a selective mining process and thus is currently processing low grade stockpiles which represents mineralized waste with no accounting carrying value. Full grade ore stockpile is above 1.4g/t and marginal ore is defined as ore below 1.4g/t but greater than 1.0g/t. At Loulo, full grade open pit stockpile material is above 1.2g/t for Loulo 3 and Gara, while Yalea is above 0.7g/t. No Yalea or Gara underground material is on stockpile currently since all ore mined is fed. At Gounkoto, the full grade ore stockpile is above 1.67g/t and marginal ore above 1.26g/t. Ore is classified into full grade ore above 1.71g/t, marginal ore above 1g/t and mineralized waste between 0.7g/t and 1.0g/t. At Tongon, full grade ore stockpile is above 1.4g/t and marginal ore above 0.9g/t. At Kibali full grade ore stockpile is above 0.64g/t and no marginal ore is stockpiled while mining oxide material.

The processing of ore in stockpiles occurs in accordance with the life of mine processing plan that has been optimized based on the known mineral reserves, current plant capacity and mine design. Ore tonnes contained in the stockpile which exceeds the annual tonnes to be milled as per the mine plan in the following year, are classified as non-current in the statement of financial position.

Stores and materials consist of consumable stores and are valued at weighted average cost after appropriate impairment of redundant and slow moving items. Consumable stock for which the group has substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership are entered into the statement of financial position as current assets.

F-19

Table of Contents

Interest/borrowing costs

Interest is recognized on a time proportion basis, taking into account the principal outstanding and the effective rate over the period to maturity. Borrowing cost is expensed as incurred except to the extent that it relates directly to the construction of property, plant and equipment during the time that is required to complete and prepare the asset for its intended use, when it is capitalized as part of property, plant and equipment. Borrowing cost is capitalized as part of the cost of the asset where it is probable that the asset will result in economic benefit and where the borrowing cost can be measured reliably. No interest or borrowing costs have been capitalized during the year.

Royalties

Royalty arrangements based on mineral production are in place at each operating mine. The primary type of royalty is a net smelter return royalty. Under this type of royalty the group pays the host country's government an amount calculated as the royalty percentage multiplied by the value of gold production at market gold prices less selling costs. Royalty expense is recorded when revenue from the sale of gold is recognized.

Financial instruments

Financial instruments are measured as set out below. Financial instruments carried on the statement of financial position include cash and cash equivalents, trade and other receivables, trade and other payables, available for sale financial assets, loans to and from subsidiaries and joint ventures and loans to minorities.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are carried in the statement of financial position at cost. For the purpose of the cash flow statement, cash and cash equivalents comprise cash on hand, deposits held at call with banks, other short-term highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase and bank overdrafts. In the statement of financial position, bank overdrafts are included in borrowings in current liabilities. Deposits which do not meet these criteria are classified separately as restricted cash.

Trade and other receivables

Trade and other receivables are recognized initially at fair value. There is a rebuttable presumption that the transaction price is fair value unless this could be refuted by reference to market indicators. Subsequently, trade and other receivables are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, less provision for impairment. A provision for impairment of trade receivables is established when there is objective evidence that the group will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the original terms of receivables. Significant financial difficulties of the debtor, probability that the debtor will enter bankruptcy or financial reorganization, and default or delinquency in payments are considered indicators that the trade receivable may be impaired. The amount of the provision is the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows, discounted at the effective interest rate. The amount of the provision is recognized in mining and processing costs in the statement of comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

Available-for-sale financial assets

Available-for-sale financial assets are non-derivatives that are either designated in this category or not classified in any of the other categories. Available-for-sale financial assets are designated on acquisition. They are normally included in current assets and are carried at fair value. Where a decline in the fair value of an available-for-sale financial asset constitutes objective evidence of impairment, the amount of the loss is recognized in the statement of comprehensive income within other expenses, other movements in fair value are recognized in other reserves within equity.

Borrowings (including loans from subsidiaries, joint ventures and minorities)

Borrowings are recognized initially at fair value, net of transaction costs incurred. Borrowings are subsequently stated at amortized cost; any difference between the proceeds (net of transaction costs) and the redemption value is recognized in the statement of comprehensive income over the period of the borrowings using the effective interest method. Borrowings are classified as current liabilities unless the group has an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least 12 months after the statement of financial position date.

Trade and other payables

Accounts payable and other short term monetary liabilities, are initially recognized at fair value and subsequently carried at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

Rehabilitation costs

The net present value of estimated future rehabilitation costs is provided for in the financial statements and capitalized within property, plant and equipment on initial recognition. Rehabilitation will generally occur on closure or after closure of a mine. Initial recognition is at the time of the disturbance occurring and thereafter as and when additional disturbances take place. The estimates are reviewed annually to take into account the effects of inflation and changes in estimated risk adjusted rehabilitation works cost and are discounted using rates that reflect the time value of money. Annual increases in the provision due to the unwinding of the discount are recognized in the statement of comprehensive income as a finance cost. The present value of additional disturbances and changes in the estimate of the rehabilitation liability are recorded to mining assets against an increase/decrease in the rehabilitation provision. The rehabilitation asset is amortized as noted previously. Rehabilitation projects undertaken, included in the estimates, are charged to the provision as incurred. Environmental liabilities, other than rehabilitation costs, which relate to

liabilities arising from specific events, are expensed when they are known, probable and may be reasonably estimated.

Provisions

Provisions are recognized when the group has a present legal or constructive obligation as a result of past events where it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation, and a reliable estimate of the amount of the obligation can be made.

F-21

Table of Contents

Current tax

Current tax is the tax expected to be payable on the taxable income for the year calculated using rates (and laws) that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the statement of financial position date. It includes adjustments for tax expected to be payable or recoverable in respect of previous periods.

Deferred taxation

Deferred tax is provided in full, using the liability method, on temporary differences arising between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts in the consolidated financial statements. However, if the temporary difference arises from initial recognition of an asset or liability in a transaction other than a business combination that at the time of the transaction affects neither accounting nor taxable profit or loss, it is not recognized. Deferred tax is determined using tax rates (and laws) that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the statement of financial position date and are expected to apply when the temporary differences reverses. Deferred tax assets are recognized to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profit will be available against which the temporary differences can be utilized. Deferred tax is provided on temporary differences arising on investments in subsidiaries and joint ventures, except where the timing of the reversal of the temporary difference is controlled by the group and it is probable that the temporary difference will not reverse in the foreseeable future.

Accounting for Goukoto non-controlling interest priority dividends

Under the statutory requirements of the 1999 Malian Mining Code (the 'Code'), the State of Mali is entitled to advanced payment of dividends. The advanced payment entitlement is calculated based on 10% of profits after certain deductions. The advanced dividends paid are deducted from the ordinary dividends that the government receives under its 20% equity interest in Goukoto. Given this is a statutory obligation rather than a contractual obligation, a liability is recognized at each balance sheet date based on 10% of the accrued profit measure. The liability is extinguished upon the subsequent payment of the advanced dividend. An 'Other receivables' asset is recorded as the advanced dividend automatically entitles Goukoto to reduce future cash flows paid to the State of Mali and creates economic benefit. The carrying value of the asset is reviewed for impairment. Ordinary dividends are recorded as a reduction in non-controlling interest once declared.

Contingent liabilities

The group discloses contingent liabilities when possible obligations exist as a result of past events, unless the possible outflows of economic benefits as a result of the past event of economic benefits as a result of the past event are considered remote. By their nature, contingencies will often only be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. The assessment of such contingencies inherently involves the exercise of significant judgment and estimates of the outcome of future events. In certain circumstances, to provide transparency, the group voluntarily elects to disclose information regarding claims for which any outflow of economic benefit is considered remote.

Table of Contents

Share capital

Ordinary shares are classified as equity. Incremental costs directly attributable to the issue of new shares or options are shown in equity as a deduction from the proceeds.

Employee benefits

Pension obligations

The group has defined contribution plans. A defined contribution plan is a pension plan under which the group pays fixed contributions into a separate entity. The group has no legal or constructive obligations to pay further contributions if the fund does not hold sufficient assets to pay all employees the benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior periods. For defined contribution plans, the group pays contributions to publicly or privately administered provident funds on a mandatory, contractual or voluntary basis. The group has no further payment obligations once the contributions have been paid. The contributions are recognized as employee benefit expenses when they are due. Prepaid contributions are recognized as an asset to the extent that a cash refund or a reduction in the future payments is available.

Termination benefits

Termination benefits are payable when employment is terminated before the normal retirement date, or whenever an employee accepts voluntary redundancy in exchange for these benefits. The group recognizes termination benefits when it is demonstrably committed to either: terminating the employment of current employees according to a detailed formal plan without possibility of withdrawal; or providing termination benefits as a result of an offer made to encourage voluntary redundancy. Benefits falling due more than 12 months after statement of financial position date are discounted to present value.

Profit-sharing and bonus plans

The group recognizes a liability and an expense for bonuses. The group recognizes a provision where contractually obliged or where there is a past practice that has created a constructive obligation.

Share-based payments

The fair value of the employee services received in exchange for the grant of options, restricted shares, performance shares or participation in the group's Co-Investment Plan is recognized as an expense. The total amount to be expensed over the vesting period is determined by reference to the fair value of the options or shares awards determined at the grant date:

F-23

Table of Contents

awards including any market performance conditions (for example, the correlation used between the Euromoney Global Gold Index (formerly the HSBC Global Gold Index) and the company TSR); and

excluding the impact of any service and non-market performance vesting conditions (for example, profitability, reserve growth targets and remaining an employee of the entity over a specified time period).

Non-market vesting conditions are included in assumptions about the number of options that are expected to become exercisable or the number of shares that the employee will ultimately receive. This estimate is revised at each statement of financial position date and the difference is charged or credited to the statement of comprehensive income, with a corresponding adjustment to equity. Market performance conditions are included in the fair value assumptions on the grant date with no subsequent adjustment. The proceeds received on exercise of the options net of any directly attributable transaction costs are credited to equity. When the options are exercised, the company issues new shares. The proceeds received net of any directly attributable transaction costs are credited to share capital (nominal value) and share premium when the options are exercised. Transfers are made between other reserves and share premium when options are exercised and shares vest for the cumulative share based expense.

Leases

Determining whether an arrangement is, or contains, a lease is based on the substance of the arrangement and requires an assessment of whether fulfillment of the arrangement is dependent on the use of a specific asset or assets and whether the arrangement conveys a right to use the asset. Leases of plant and equipment where the group assumes a significant portion of risks and rewards of ownership are classified as a finance lease. Finance leases are capitalized at the estimated present value of the underlying lease payments. Each lease payment is allocated between the liability and the finance charges to achieve a constant rate on the finance balance outstanding. The interest portion of the finance payment is charged to the statement of comprehensive income over the lease period. The plant and equipment acquired under the finance lease are depreciated over the useful lives of the assets, or over the lease term if shorter.

Leases in which a significant portion of the risks and rewards of ownership are retained by the lessor are classified as operating leases. Payments made under operating leases are charged to the statement of comprehensive income on a straight-line basis over the period of the lease.

Revenue recognition

The company enters into contracts for the sale of gold. Revenue arising from gold sales under these contracts is recognized when the price is determinable, the product has been delivered in accordance with the terms of the contract, the significant risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred to the customer and collection of the

sales price is reasonably assured. These criteria are met when the gold leaves the mines' smelt houses. As sales from gold contracts are subject to customer survey adjustment, sales are initially recorded on a provisional basis using the group's best estimate of the contained metal. Subsequent adjustments are recorded in revenue to take into account final assay and weight certificates from the refinery, if different from the initial certificates. The differences between the estimated and actual contained gold have historically not been significant.

F-24

Table of Contents

Exploration and evaluation costs

The group expenses all exploration and evaluation expenditures until the directors conclude that a future economic benefit is more likely than not of being realized, i.e. 'probable'. While the criteria for concluding that an expenditure should be capitalized is always probable, the information that the directors use to make that determination depends on the level of exploration.

Exploration and evaluation expenditure on brownfield sites, being those adjacent to mineral deposits which are already being mined or developed, is expensed as incurred until the directors are able to demonstrate that future economic benefits are probable through the completion of a prefeasibility study, after which the expenditure is capitalized as a mine development cost. A 'prefeasibility study' consists of a comprehensive study of the viability of a mineral project that has advanced to a stage where the mining method, in the case of underground mining, or the pit configuration, in the case of an open pit, has been established, and which, if an effective method of mineral processing has been determined, includes a financial analysis based on reasonable assumptions of technical, engineering, operating economic factors and the evaluation of other relevant factors. The prefeasibility study, when combined with existing knowledge of the mineral property that is adjacent to mineral deposits that are already being mined or developed, allow the directors to conclude that it is more likely than not that the group will obtain future economic benefit from the expenditures.

Exploration and evaluation expenditure on greenfield sites, being those where the group does not have any mineral deposits which are already being mined or developed, is expensed until such time as the directors have sufficient information to determine that future economic benefits are probable, after which the expenditure is capitalized as a mine development cost. The information required by directors is typically a final feasibility study; however a prefeasibility study may be deemed to be sufficient where the additional work required to prepare a final feasibility study is not significant or the work done at prefeasibility level clearly demonstrates an economic asset. Exploration and evaluation expenditure relating to extensions of mineral deposits which are already being mined or developed, including expenditure on the definition of mineralization of such mineral deposits, is capitalized as a mine development cost following the completion of an economic evaluation equivalent to a prefeasibility study. This economic evaluation is distinguished from a prefeasibility study in that some of the information that would normally be determined in a prefeasibility study is instead obtained from the existing mine or development. This information when combined with existing knowledge of the mineral property already being mined or developed allow the directors to conclude that more likely than not the group will obtain future economic benefit from the expenditures. Costs relating to property acquisitions are capitalized within development costs.

Table of Contents

Dividend distribution

Dividend distribution to the company's shareholders is recognized as a liability in the group's financial statements in the period in which the dividends are approved by the board of directors and declared to shareholders.

Earnings per share

Earnings per share are computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of ordinary shares in issue during the year.

Diluted earnings per share

Diluted earnings per share are presented when the inclusion of potential ordinary shares has a dilutive effect on earnings per share.

3. Key accounting estimates and judgments

Some of the accounting policies require the application of significant judgment by management in selecting the appropriate assumptions for calculating financial estimates or determining the appropriate accounting treatment for a transaction.

By their nature, these judgments are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty and are based on historical experience, terms of existing contracts, management's view on trends in the gold mining industry and information from outside sources. The estimates and assumptions that have a significant risk of causing a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities within the next financial year are discussed below.

TVA

Included in trade and other receivables are taxation debtor receivables of US\$125.7 million (US\$76.7 million current and US\$49.0 million non-current), consisting primarily of recoverable value added tax (TVA) balances owing by the fiscal authorities in Mali. A further US\$36.4 million (at 45% attributable share) is included in the underlying statement of financial position of the Kibali joint venture. In Mali the TVA owing is being offset against other tax owing to the State, in accordance with the legal right of offset under the relevant mining conventions.

Profit forecasts for the mine, using approved budgets and mine plans, supports recovery of the balance through such offsetting by 2015, although the recovery and timing is subject to estimates of factors such as gold price and production. We are also continuing to engage with authorities in Mali to accelerate the repayment of the outstanding TVA balances. The group continues to seek recovery of TVA in the DRC, in line with its mining convention. Judgment exists in assessing recovery of these receivables.

Corporation tax claims

The group is in receipt of claims for various taxes from the State of Mali totaling US\$123.1 million, in respect of the Loulo SA, Goukoto SA and Morila SA (40% joint venture interest) mines, together with Kankou Moussa SARL, its Malian gold sales operation. Having taken professional advice, the group considers the claim to be wholly without merit or foundation and is strongly defending its position, including following the appropriate legal process for disputes within Mali. Loulo, Goukoto and Morila have legally binding mining conventions which guarantee fiscal stability, govern the taxes applicable to the companies and allow for international arbitration in the event a dispute cannot be resolved in the country. Management continues to engage with the Malian authorities at the highest level to resolve this issue. During the third quarter, Loulo submitted a request for arbitration at the International Court of the Settlement of Investment Disputes against the State of Mali in relation to certain of the disputed tax claims. The appointment of arbitrators has been finalized and the first procedural hearing took place during February 2014. Whilst we consider the claims to be wholly without merit, given the ongoing disputes and arbitration, judgment was applied in assessing any potential liabilities to be remote. Accordingly, no provision has been made for the material claims.

Table of Contents

Carrying values of property, plant and equipment and joint venture investments

The group assesses at each reporting period whether there is any indication that these assets may be impaired. If such indication exists, the group estimates the recoverable amount of the asset. The recoverable amount is assessed by reference to the higher of 'value in use' (being the net present value of expected future cash flows of the relevant cash generating unit) and 'fair value less cost to sell'. The estimates used for impairment reviews are based on detailed approved mine plans and operating plans. Future cash flows are based on estimates of:

the quantities of the proven and probable reserves, being those reserves for which there is a high degree of confidence in economic extraction;

future production levels;

future commodity prices;

future cash cost of production and capital expenditure associated with extraction of the proven and probable reserves in the approved mine plan;

future gold prices – a gold price curve from a leading merchant bank was used for the impairment calculations starting at a \$1,250 gold price; and

discount rate of 9.4% pre-tax.

The impairment tests did not indicate impairment and head room existed at each mine. Given the significance of gold prices and the longevity of mine plans, the directors consider gold price and discount rate sensitivities to be relevant. A reduction in forward gold prices in excess of 13% would give rise to material impairment. The discount rate would need to increase by at least 54% to 14.5% to give rise to impairment at the mine with the greatest discount rate sensitivity. However, having considered such scenarios, the directors remain satisfied that no impairment is appropriate. The models are considered suitably conservative with proven and probable reserves determined based on US\$1,000/oz gold price as shown below.

Table of Contents**Capitalization and Depreciation**

There are several methods for calculating depreciation, i.e. the straight line method, the production method using ounces produced and the production method using tonnes milled. The directors believe that the tonnes milled method is the best indication of plant and infrastructure usage. Refer to Note 2 for the depreciation policy. Estimates are required regarding the allocation of assets to relevant proven and probable reserves.

The group applies judgment in allocating costs between operating and capital items in respect of underground mining. Costs are capitalized when the activity provides access to future ore bodies and are expensed as operating costs when the works involve extraction of ore from operational sections of the ore body.

Additionally, judgment was required when determining the date at which commercial production was achieved and depreciation commenced at Kibali. Similarly, given ongoing mine construction and development, judgment was required in allocating costs between operating costs, ore stockpiles and ongoing capital works. Costs have been allocated based on the underlying activity and economic benefits.

Gold price assumptions for reserves determination

The following gold prices were used in the mineral reserves optimization calculations:

| US\$/oz. | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Morila | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Loulo: open pit | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Loulo: underground | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Tongon | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Kibali | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Massawa | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Goukoto | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |

Changes in the gold price used could result in changes in the mineral reserve optimization calculations which impact Life of Mine plans. Mine modeling is a complex process and hence it is not feasible to perform sensitivities on gold price assumptions in respect of ore reserves.

Determination of ore reserves

The group estimates its ore reserves and mineral resources based on information compiled by Competent Persons as defined in accordance with the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves of December 2004 (the JORC code). Reserves determined in this way are used in the calculation of depreciation and amortization, as well as the assessment of the carrying value of mining assets and timing of mine closure obligations. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating ore reserves and assumptions that are valid at the time of estimation may change significantly when new information becomes available. Changes in the forecast prices of commodities, exchange rates, production costs or recovery rates may change the economic status of reserves and may, ultimately, result in the reserves being restated. For further information refer to “Exploration Review” in the Annual Report on Form 20-F.

F-28

Table of Contents

Future rehabilitation obligations

The net present value of current rehabilitation estimates have been discounted to their present value at 2.5% per annum (2012: 2.5%; 2011: 2.5%) being the prevailing risk free interest rates. Expenditure is expected to be incurred at the end of the respective mine lives. The Morila rehabilitation estimate at December 31, 2013, which is held in the underlying statement of financial position of the equity accounted joint venture, includes the impact of the approved tailings storage facility retreatment program, where the retreated tailings and non-viable tailings will be deposited in the pit. For further information, including the carrying amounts of the liabilities, refer to Note 14. A 1% change in the discount rate on the group's rehabilitation estimates would result in an impact of \$7.1 million (2012: \$6.6 million; 2011: \$4.2 million) on the provision for environmental rehabilitation, and an impact of \$0.5 million (2012: \$0.4 million; 2011: \$0.4 million) on the statement of comprehensive income.

Stockpiles, gold in process and product inventories

Costs that are incurred in or benefit the productive process are accumulated as stockpiles, gold in process and product inventories. Net realizable value tests are performed at least annually and represent the estimated future sales value of the product based on contained gold and metals prices, less estimated costs to complete production and bring the product to sale.

Stockpile quantities are measured by estimating the number of tonnes added and removed from the stockpile, the number of contained gold ounces based on assay data, and the estimated recovery percentage based on the expected processing method. Stockpile tonnages are verified by periodic surveys.

Post production open cast mine stripping

The group capitalizes costs associated with stripping activity to expose the ore body within mining assets. The group subsequently depreciates the asset as that section of the ore body is mined. This requires judgment as to the eligible costs and the relevant section of the orebody for depreciation.

Exploration and evaluation expenditure

The group has to apply judgment in determining whether exploration and evaluation expenditure should be capitalized or expensed. Management exercises this judgment based on the results of economic evaluations, prefeasibility or feasibility studies. Costs are capitalized where those studies conclude that more likely than not the group will obtain future economic benefit from the expenditures.

Share-based payments

Refer to Note 15 for the key assumptions used in determining the value of share-based payments.

F-29

Table of Contents**4.****Income Taxes**

| US\$000 | Note | Year ended Dec. 31, 2013 | Year ended Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) | Year ended Dec. 31, 2011 (Restated) |
|--|-------------|---|--|--|
| Current taxation | | 76,935 | 31,039 | 23,024 |
| Deferred taxation | 11 | (221) | 6,015 | 7,017 |
| | | 76,714 | 37,054 | 30,041 |
| The tax on the group's profit before tax differs from the theoretical amount that would arise using the statutory tax rate applicable to the group's operations. | | | | |
| Profit before tax | | 402,461 | 547,836 | 471 ,946 |
| Tax calculated at effective tax rate of 30% (2012: 30% 2011: 35%) | | 120,738 | 164,351 | 165,181 |
| Reconciling items: | | | | |
| Income taxed at 0% | | (54,309) | (67,632) | (46,921) |
| Expenses deductible at 0% | | 35,634 | 62,133 | 38,929 |
| Mali tax holiday permanent differences | | (10,950) | (73,658) | (48,474) |
| Côte d'Ivoire tax holiday permanent differences | | (20,362) | (36,849) | (68,447) |
| Net capital allowances not deductible | | 5,803 | 1,344 | 5,326 |
| Deferred stripping costs adjustment | | (5,815) | 5,469 | 176 |
| Share of equity accounted joint venture profits | | (7,444) | (20,456) | (25,288) |
| Other permanent differences | | 13,419 | 2,352 | 9,559 |
| Taxation charge | | 76,714 | 37,054 | 30,041 |

The company is subject to an income tax rate in Jersey at 0%. Loulo benefited from a five-year tax holiday in Mali until the tax exoneration period expired on November 7, 2010. Tongon benefits from a five-year tax holiday in Cote d'Ivoire from the commencement of production in December 2010. Goukoto benefited from a two year tax holiday until the exoneration expired on June 1, 2013. It has a potential further tax holiday, up to a maximum of five years in total, in the event of further capital investment, such as an underground mine. The benefit of the tax holidays to the group was to increase its net profit by \$31.3 million (2012: \$110.5 million; 2011: \$116.9 million). Accordingly, had the group not benefited from the tax holidays in Mali and Cote d'Ivoire, earnings per share would have been reduced by \$0.34, \$1.20 and \$1.28 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 respectively. Under Malian tax law, income tax is based on the greater of 30% of taxable income or 0.75% of gross revenue. Under Ivorian tax law, income tax is based on the greater of 25% of taxable income or 0.5% of gross revenue. The Loulo, Goukoto and Tongon operations have no assessable capital expenditure carry forwards for assessable tax losses, at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 respectively, for deduction against future mining income. The group's share as profits from equity accounted joint ventures is stated net of US\$7.4 million (2012: US\$20.5 million; 2011: US\$25.3 million) of current and deferred tax charges primarily in respect of Morila and Kibali.

Table of Contents**5.Share capital and premium**

The total authorized number of ordinary shares is 120 million (2012: 120 million; 2011: 120 million) of US 5 cents (2012: US 5 cents; 2011: US 5 cents). All issued shares are fully paid. The total number of issued shares at December 31, 2013 was 92,245,531 shares (2012: 92,061,153; 2011: 91,717,070).

Refer to the statement of changes in equity on page F-4 for more detail on the annual movement of the number of ordinary shares, share capital and share premium, including the movement arising from the issue of restricted shares and exercise of share options.

6.Earnings and Dividends per share

| | FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Income (numerator) US\$000 | Shares (denominator) | Per share amount US\$ |
| BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE | | | |
| Shares outstanding at January 1, 2013 | | 92,061,153 | |
| Weighted number of shares issued | | 152,358 | |
| Income available to shareholders | 278,382 | 92,213,511 | 3.02 |
| EFFECT OF DILUTIVE SECURITIES | | | |
| Share options | | 268,615 | |
| Restricted shares | | 863,983 | |
| Diluted earnings per share | 278,382 | 93,346,109 | 2.98 |

| | FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Income (numerator) US\$000 | Shares (denominator) | Per share amount US\$ |
| BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE | | | |
| Shares outstanding at January 1, 2012 | | 91,717,070 | |
| Weighted number of shares issued | | 194,474 | |
| Income available to shareholders | 431,801 | 91,911,544 | 4.70 |
| EFFECT OF DILUTIVE SECURITIES | | | |
| Share options | | 396,180 | |
| Restricted shares | | 517,202 | |
| Diluted earnings per share | 431,801 | 92,824,926 | 4.65 |

| FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Income (numerator) US\$000 | Shares (denominator) | Per share amount US\$ |

BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|------------|------|
| Shares outstanding at January 1, 2011 | | 91,082,170 | |
| Weighted number of shares issued | | 255,542 | |
| Income available to shareholders | 383,860 | 91,337,712 | 4.20 |
| EFFECT OF DILUTIVE SECURITIES | | | |
| Share options | | 570,775 | |
| Restricted shares | | 368,030 | |
| Diluted earnings per share | 383,860 | 92,276,517 | 4.16 |

Refer to Note 15 for details on share options and share awards issued to employees. US\$46.1 million (US\$0.50 per share) was paid as dividends in 2013 (2012: US\$36.7 million/US\$0.40 per share; 2011: US\$18.2 million/US\$0.20)

Table of Contents

per share). On January 31, 2014, the board of directors proposed an annual dividend of US\$0.50 per share which, if approved, will result in an aggregate dividend payment of US\$46.1 million and is expected to be paid in May 2014. The proposed dividend in respect of 2013 is subject to shareholder approval at the annual general meeting to be held on May 7, 2014. An optional scrip dividend has been proposed whereby shareholders can elect to receive new ordinary shares in the company. 601,084 restricted share awards were also antidilutive at December 31, 2013 (2012: 463,441; 2011: 596,438). The total number of potentially issuable shares at December 31, 2013 was 1,680,652 (2012: 1,271,842; 2011: 1,597,913).

7.Trade and Other Receivables

| US\$000 | Note | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) |
|-----------------------------------|------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Trade | | 20,458 | 43,797 |
| Advances to contractors | | 17,782 | 14,394 |
| Taxation debtors | 7.1 | 131,029 | 91,719 |
| Prepayments and other receivables | | 54,843 | 27,009 |
| Goukoto advance dividend | 7.2 | 10,965 | 25,210 |
| Total | | 235,077 | 202,129 |
| Less: current portion | | (186,054) | (202,129) |
| Long term portion | 7.1 | 49,023 | - |

7.1 The taxation debtors primarily relate to indirect taxes owing to the group by the State of Mali, including TVA balances at Loulo of US\$115.6 million (2012: US\$71.9 million) and Goukoto of US\$10.1 million (2012: US\$10.3 million). The taxation debtor further includes TVA balances at Tongon of US\$4.2 million (2012: US\$5.2 million), as well as refundable duties of US\$1.1 million at Loulo (2012: US\$4.3 million). Non-current receivables consist of TVA balances at Loulo expected to be recovered through offsets of TVA with future taxes payable in accordance with the legally binding mining convention after one year.

7.2 Refer to Note 2 for details of the Goukoto dividend.

The classes within trade and other receivables do not contain impaired assets. The carrying values are considered to approximate fair values.

The credit quality of receivables that are not past due or impaired is considered high. The maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date is the fair value of each class of receivable mentioned above. The group does not hold any collateral as security although it has the legally binding right to offset TVA balances with other taxation payable in Mali, and exercises this right. Refer to Note 17 for further information on the concentration of credit risk.

The terms of payment of trade receivables is less than seven days, advances to contractors 30 days and taxation debtors is six months.

F-32

Table of Contents**8. Inventories and ore stockpiles**

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Consumable stores | 132,490 | 132,845 |
| Stockpiles | 173,658 | 121,981 |
| Gold in process | 16,277 | 17,783 |
| Total inventories and ore stockpiles | 322,425 | 272,609 |
| Less: current portion | (180,415) | (272,609) |
| Long-term portion | 142,010 | - |

All inventory and ore stockpiles are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Non-current ore stockpiles reflect ore tonnes not planned to be processed within the next 12 months.

9. Property, Plant and equipment

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Property, plant and equipment | | |
| Mine properties, mine development costs and mine plant facilities and equipment cost | | |
| At the beginning of year | 1,592,781 | 1,309,382 |
| Additions | 293,273 | 283,399 |
| | 1,886,054 | 1,592,781 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | | |
| At beginning of year | 297,916 | 179,925 |
| Charge for the year | 130,638 | 117,991 |
| | 428,554 | 297,916 |
| Net book value | 1,457,500 | 1,294,865 |

Long-lived assets

Included in property, plant and equipment are long-lived assets which are amortized on a units of production basis as detailed in note 2 and comprise the metallurgical plants, tailings and raw water dams, power plants and mine infrastructure. The net book value of these assets was US\$1,436.3 million at December 31 2013 (2012: US\$1,257.9 million).

Short-lived assets

Included in property, plant and equipment are short-lived assets which are amortized over their useful lives and are comprised of motor vehicles and other equipment. The net book value of these assets was US\$8.1 million at December 31, 2013 (2012: US\$4.4 million).

Undeveloped property

Included in property, plant and equipment are undeveloped property costs of US\$2.2 million (2012: US\$2.3 million).

Post production stripping

Property, plant and equipment includes capitalized stripping costs, related to the production phase of opencast mining. The net book value at December 31, 2013 was US\$10.9 million (2012: US\$30.3 million).

F-33

Table of Contents

| | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| The remaining maximum estimated useful lives in respect of proven and probable reserves for each mine is as follows: | | |
| Loulo | 15 years | 15 years |
| Goukoto | 12 years | 14 years |
| Tongon | 7 years | 9 years |

10. Investments in joint ventures AND DETAILS OF MATERIAL NON-CONTROLLING INTERESTS*Material non-controlling interests*

Randgold owns 80% of Société des Mines de Loulo SA and Société des Mines de Goukoto SA through the intermediary holding companies Randgold Resources (Somilo) Lt and Randgold Resources (Goukoto) Limited respectively, with the State of Mali owning 20%. Randgold owns 89%, of Société des Mines de Tongon SA through the intermediary holding company Randgold Resources (Côte d'Ivoire) Limited, with the State of Cote d'Ivoire and another outside shareholder owning 10% and 1% respectively. Randgold has funded these investments by means of shareholder loans including through Mining Investments (Jersey) Limited, and therefore controls 100% of the cash flows from these mines until the shareholder loans are repaid. These subsidiaries include material non-controlling interests. Details of the nature of the operations is provided in note 1, details of the Goukoto dividend on page F-4 of this annual report and Note 2, with summarized financial information provided in Note 16. The cumulative non-controlling interest in Société des Mines de Loulo SA is US\$81.4 million (2012: US\$67.4 million) with its non-controlling interest share of profit of US\$14.0 million, Société des Mines de Goukoto SA is US\$57.0 million (2012: US\$58.1 million) with its non-controlling interest share of profit of US\$26.1 million and Société des Mines de Tongon SA is US\$41.8 million (2012: US\$34.5 million) with its non-controlling interest share of profit of US\$7.3 million.

Joint ventures

The group changed its accounting policy on joint ventures from January 1, 2013 following the introduction of IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements which applies to the current year. The joint venture agreements and structures for Kibali and Morila, together with the asset leasing joint ventures (KAS 1 Limited and RAL 1 Limited) provide the group with interests in the net assets of those companies, rather than interests in underlying assets and obligations. Accordingly, under IFRS 11, the group's share of joint ventures have been accounted for using the equity method rather than proportionately consolidated, from the beginning of the earliest period presented.

The following tables represent the group's share of the assets and liabilities of the respective joint venture which are included in the consolidated balance sheet and statement of comprehensive income within the total investments in joint ventures, share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures and share of equity accounted joint ventures' other

comprehensive expenses.

A summarized breakdown of the aggregate assets and liabilities of the group's share of joint ventures which have been aggregated into the single line 'Investment in joint ventures' balance at January 1, 2011 were as follows:

F-34

Table of Contents

| US\$000 | Group |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Mineral properties | 406,000 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 167,723 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 24,778 |
| Inventories | 27,943 |
| Trade and other receivables | 12,362 |
| Trade and other payables | (41,589) |
| | 529,217 |

Investment in joint ventures

The movements in total investments in joint ventures are as follows:

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Beginning of year | | | |
| Investments in equity accounted joint ventures | 816,500 | 549,798 | 503,356 |
| Other investments in joint venture | 43,947 | 47,419 | 26,528 |
| Total investments in joint ventures | 860,447 | 597,217 | 529,884 |
| Funds invested in equity accounted joint ventures | 424,905 | 298,283 | 100,139 |
| Loans repaid by equity accounted joint ventures | - | (3,472) | - |
| Share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures | 54,257 | 40,927 | 44,119 |
| Dividends received | (18,974) | (72,326) | (76,125) |
| Share of other comprehensive expenses of joint ventures | (400) | (182) | (800) |
| End of year | | | |
| Investments in equity accounted joint ventures | 1,267,776 | 816,500 | 549,798 |
| Other investments in joint ventures | 52,459 | 43,947 | 47,419 |
| Total investments in joint ventures | 1,320,235 | 860,447 | 597,217 |

Kibali (Jersey) Limited

Set out below is the summarized financial information for Kibali (Jersey) Limited which is accounted for using the equity method (amounts stated at 100% before intercompany eliminations).

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Summarized statement of financial position | | |
| Current | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 4,681 | 11,913 |
| Other current assets (excluding cash) | 257,886 | 82,495 |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Total current assets | 262,567 | 94,408 |
| Financial liabilities (excluding trade payables) | (5,600) | (17,876) |
| Other current liabilities (including trade payables) | (91,247) | (49,703) |
| Total current liabilities | (96,847) | (67,579) |
| Non-current | | |
| Assets | 2,353,383 | 1,599,118 |
| Financial liabilities | (53,430) | (53,388) |
| Other liabilities | (8,210) | (4,652) |
| Total non-current liabilities | (61,640) | (58,040) |
| Net assets | 2,457,463 | 1,567,907 |

F-35

Table of Contents

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Summarized statement of comprehensive income | | | |
| Revenue | 109,229 | - | - |
| Depreciation and amortization | (14,863) | (2,223) | (1,981) |
| Interest income | 3,966 | 1,448 | 787 |
| Interest expense | (1,252) | (14) | (6) |
| Profit/(loss) before tax | 52,828 | (3,756) | (292) |
| Income tax | 4,739 | - | - |
| Post-tax profit / (loss) | 57,567 | (3,756) | (292) |
| Other comprehensive expense - loss on available for sale asset | (799) | (364) | (1,675) |
| Total comprehensive income/(expense) | 56,768 | (4,120) | (1,967) |
| Dividends received from joint venture | - | - | - |

The segmental report in Note 16 presents information based on the group's effective 45% interest in the underlying Kibali gold mine as reported internally. As such, that differs to the 50% interest in the Kibali (Jersey) Limited group. Refer to the audited financial statements of Kibali (Jersey) Limited in respect of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 beginning on page F-58.

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Reconciliation of the summarized financial information presented to the carrying amount of the group's interest in the Kibali joint venture | | |
| Opening net assets January 1 | 1,567,907 | 975,461 |
| Profit/(loss) for the period | 57,567 | (3,756) |
| Other comprehensive expense | (799) | (364) |
| Dividends received | - | - |
| Funding advanced | 832,788 | 596,566 |
| Closing net assets | 2,457,463 | 1,567,907 |
| Interest in joint venture at 50% | 1,228,732 | 783,954 |
| Mineral property at acquisition | 33,453 | 33,453 |
| Adjustment to reflect attributable interest | (4,042) | (6,195) |
| Carrying value | 1,258,143 | 811,212 |

The group's effective interest in the underlying Kibali Goldmines SPRL is 45%. The group holds a 50% joint venture interest in Kibali (Jersey) Limited with Anglo Gold Ashanti. Joint control is provided through shareholdings and the joint venture agreement. Kibali (Jersey) Limited holds a 90% interest in Kibali Goldmines SPRL thereby giving the group an effective 45% in that mine. Refer to Note 1 for details.

Morila

Set out below is the summarized financial information for Société des Mines de Morila SA which is accounted for using the equity method (amounts stated at 100% before intercompany eliminations).

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Summarized statement of financial position | | |
| Current | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 3,338 | 22,613 |
| Other current assets (excluding cash) | 95,027 | 71,897 |
| Total current assets | 98,365 | 94,510 |
| Financial liabilities (excluding trade payables) | - | - |
| Other current liabilities (including trade payables) | (94,992) | (79,015) |
| Total current liabilities | (94,992) | (79,015) |

Table of Contents

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Non-current | | |
| Assets | 31,345 | 10,430 |
| Financial liabilities | - | - |
| Other liabilities | (10,635) | (12,705) |
| Total non-current liabilities | (10,635) | (12,705) |
| Net assets | 24,083 | 13,220 |

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Summarized statement of comprehensive income | | | |
| Revenue | 199,674 | 336,756 | 391,928 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (10,328) | (15,333) | (25,595) |
| Interest income | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| Interest expense | (543) | (540) | (1,275) |
| Profit before tax | 79,803 | 155,525 | 162,223 |
| Income tax | (23,940) | (51,140) | (52,615) |
| Post-tax profit | 55,863 | 104,385 | 109,608 |
| Other comprehensive income | - | - | - |
| Total comprehensive income | 55,863 | 104,385 | 109,608 |
| Dividends received from joint venture | 45,000 | 180,000 | 190,000 |

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Reconciliation of the summarized financial information presented to the carrying amount of the group's interest in the Morila joint venture | | |
| Summarized financial information | | |
| Opening net assets January 1 | 13,220 | 88,835 |
| Profit for the period | 55,863 | 104,385 |
| Other comprehensive income | - | - |
| Dividends received | (45,000) | (180,000) |
| Funding advanced | - | - |
| Closing net assets | 24,083 | 13,220 |
| Interest in joint venture at 40% | 9,633 | 5,288 |
| Carrying value | 9,633 | 5,288 |

Refer to Note 1 for the nature of operations, country of incorporation and the ownership interest in Société des Mines de Morila SA. Joint control exists through the joint venture agreement with AngloGold Ashanti.

RAL 1 Limited

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

Set out below is the summarized financial information for RAL 1 Limited which is accounted for using the equity method (amounts stated at 100% before intercompany eliminations).

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Summarized statement of financial position | | |
| Current | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 2,179 | 2,413 |
| Other current assets (excluding cash) | 9,026 | 3,429 |
| Total current assets | 11,205 | 5,842 |
| Financial liabilities (excluding trade payables) | - | - |
| Other current liabilities (including trade payables) | (10,219) | (1,760) |
| Total current liabilities | (10,219) | (1,760) |
| Non-current | | |
| Assets | 107,384 | 83,320 |
| Financial liabilities | (107,951) | (86,502) |
| Other liabilities | - | - |
| Total non-current liabilities | (107,951) | (86,502) |
| Net assets | 419 | 900 |

F-37

Table of Contents

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Summarized statement of comprehensive income | | | |
| Revenue | 25,166 | 21,913 | 16,353 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (16,952) | (14,838) | (10,535) |
| Interest income | 6 | 10 | - |
| Interest expense | (4,713) | (4,481) | (3,834) |
| Profit before tax | 1,462 | 845 | 665 |
| Income tax | - | - | - |
| Post-tax profit | 1,462 | 845 | 665 |
| Other comprehensive income | - | - | - |
| Total comprehensive income | 1,462 | 845 | 665 |
| Dividends received from joint venture | 1,943 | 650 | 250 |

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Reconciliation of the summarized financial information presented to the carrying amount of the group's interest in the RAL 1 joint venture | | |
| Opening net assets January 1 | 900 | 705 |
| Profit for the period | 1,462 | 845 |
| Other comprehensive income | - | - |
| Dividends received | (1,943) | (650) |
| Funding advanced | - | - |
| Closing net assets | 419 | 900 |
| Interest in joint venture at 50.1% | 210 | 451 |
| Funding classified as long term debt by joint venture recorded in 'other investments in joint ventures' | 52,249 | 43,496 |
| Carrying value | 52,459 | 43,947 |

RAL 1 Limited is an asset leasing joint venture in which the group has a 50.1% interest with DTP Terrassement being the joint venture partner. The joint venture operates in Mali and Côte d'Ivoire and is incorporated in Jersey.

Note that the KAS 1 Limited asset leasing joint venture in which the group has an effective 25.01% interest is included within the Kibali joint venture as Kibali (Jersey) Limited is the joint venture partner with DTP Terrassement.

Refer to Note 19 for details of joint venture capital commitments.

11. Deferred Taxation

| US\$000 | Note | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) |
|--|------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Deferred tax is calculated on temporary differences under the liability method using a tax rate of 30% in respect of the Malian operations and 25% in respect of the Ivorian operations. | | | |
| The movement on deferred taxation is as follows: | | | |
| At the beginning of the year | | 27,385 | 21,370 |
| Statement of comprehensive income charge | 4 | (221) | 6,015 |
| At the end of the year | | 27,164 | 27,385 |
| Deferred taxation assets and liabilities comprise the following: | | | |
| Decelerated tax depreciation | | 25,174 | 20,256 |
| Deferred stripping | | 3,284 | 9,099 |
| Deferred taxation liability | | 28,458 | 29,355 |
| Accelerated tax depreciation | | (1,294) | (1,970) |
| Deferred taxation asset | | (1,294) | (1,970) |
| Net deferred tax liability | | 27,164 | 27,385 |

Temporary differences which are expected to be realized during the Tongon and Goukoto tax holidays are recognized at 0%. There is no deferred tax on other comprehensive income items. There are no unrecognized deferred tax liabilities in respect of undistributed profits.

Table of Contents**12. Available for sale financial assets**

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Beginning of year | 3,003 | 6,843 |
| Disposals | - | (920) |
| Fair value movement recognized in other comprehensive income | (1,173) | (2,919) |
| Exchange differences | 1 | (1) |
| At December 31 | 1,831 | 3,003 |

13. Trade and other payables

| US\$000 | Note | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Trade payables | | 84,563 | 31,788 |
| Payroll and other compensation | | 12,112 | 11,108 |
| Accruals and other payables | | 66,805 | 65,335 |
| Goukoto priority dividend | 13.1 | 10,965 | 25,210 |
| | | 174,445 | 133,441 |

13.1 Refer to Note 2 for the Goukoto dividends.

14. Provision for environmental rehabilitation

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Opening balance | 52,575 | 34,624 |
| Unwinding of discount | 1,315 | 996 |
| Change in estimates | (4,713) | 16,955 |
| At December 31 | 49,177 | 52,575 |

As at December 31, 2013, US\$27.5 million of the provision relates to Loulo (December 31, 2012: US\$29.6 million). US\$16.2 million (2012: US\$16.0 million) of the provision relates to Tongon, while US\$5.5 million relates to Goukoto (2012: US\$6.9 million). The provisions for rehabilitation costs include estimates for the effect of inflation and changes in estimates and have been discounted to their present value at 2.5% (2012: 2.5%) per annum, being an estimate equivalent to the risk-free rate determined with reference to US government bonds with maturity dates comparable to the estimated rehabilitation of the mines. Limited environmental rehabilitation regulations currently exist in Mali and Côte d'Ivoire to govern the mines, so the directors have based the provisions for environmental rehabilitation on standards set by the World Bank, which require an environmental management plan, an annual environmental report, a closure plan, an up-to-date register of plans of the facility, preservation of public safety on

closure, carrying out rehabilitation works and ensuring sufficient funds exist for the closure works. However, it is reasonably possible that the group's estimate of its ultimate rehabilitation liabilities could change as a result of changes in regulations or cost estimates. The group is committed to rehabilitation of its properties. It makes use of independent environmental consultants for advice and it also uses past experience in similar situations to ensure that the provisions for rehabilitation are adequate. Current Life of Mine (LOM) plans envisage the expected outflow to occur at the end of the LOM which is 2028 for Loulo, 2020 for Tongon and 2025 for Goukoto.

Table of Contents**15. Employment cost**

The group contributes to several defined contribution provident funds. The provident funds are funded on the 'money accumulative basis' with the members and company having been fixed in the constitutions of the funds. All the group's employees, other than those directly employed by West African subsidiary companies, are entitled to be covered by the abovementioned retirement benefit plans. Retirement benefits for employees employed by West African subsidiary companies are provided by the state social security system to which the company and employees contribute a fixed percentage of payroll costs each month.

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) | Dec. 31, 2011 (Restated) |
|---|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Total employee benefit cost was as follows: | | | |
| Short term benefits | 46,070 | 38,353 | 35,713 |
| Pension contributions | 5,577 | 3,949 | 3,068 |
| Share-based payments | 26,282 | 21,150 | 23,581 |
| Total | 77,929 | 63,452 | 62,362 |

Share-based payments

Share options, restricted shares, performance shares and Co-Investment Plan share awards are granted to directors and employees in exchange for services rendered. These are discussed below.

Share-based payments – share options

The fair value of employee services received as consideration for share options (equity settled) of the company is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Options vest after two, three and four years and lapse after a maximum term of ten years. No new options were granted during 2013, 2012 or 2011, therefore no inputs to the option model, etc. are provided for the current year. A reconciliation of the movements in the options during 2011, 2012 and 2013 is provided below.

*Share option scheme**

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Number of options | Weighted average |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|

| | outstanding | US\$ exercise price |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2011 | 555,214 | 31.53 |
| Share options exercised during the period | (219,250) | 40.93 |
| Share options granted during the period | - | - |
| Share options lapsed during the period | (56,037) | 18.72 |
| BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2012 | 279,927 | 27.44 |
| Share options exercised during the period | (23,750) | 49.88 |
| Share options granted during the period | - | - |
| Share options lapsed during the period | (10,600) | 54.13 |
| BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2013 | 245,577 | 24.13 |

*No director currently participates in the Randgold's Share Option Scheme.

The Randgold Resources Share Option scheme is not constrained by a fixed time period. The aggregate number of shares that may be determined for the option scheme includes all options that have been exercised or are the subject of either terminated or expired options after a 10-year period.

Table of Contents

The following table below summarizes the information about the options outstanding, including options that are not yet exercisable:

| Range of exercise price (US\$) | Number of options outstanding | Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) | Weighted average exercise price (US\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| At December 31, 2013 | | | |
| 8.05 – 8.05 | 75,000 | 0.59 | 8.05 |
| 22.19 – 22.19 | 89,577 | 3.64 | 22.19 |
| 26.26 – 46.34 | 50,000 | 4.75 | 31.33 |
| 56.99 – 56.99 | 31,000 | 5.67 | 56.99 |
| | 245,577 | 3.19 | 24.13 |
| At December 31, 2012 | | | |
| 2.50 – 3.25 | 600 | 0.10 | 3.25 |
| 8.05 – 8.05 | 75,000 | 1.59 | 8.05 |
| 22.19 – 22.19 | 92,327 | 4.64 | 22.19 |
| 26.26 – 46.34 | 53,000 | 5.75 | 31.40 |
| 56.99 – 56.99 | 59,000 | 6.67 | 56.99 |
| | 279,927 | 4.45 | 27.44 |
| At December 31, 2011 | | | |
| 1.25 – 2.13 | 4,412 | - | 2.11 |
| 2.50 – 3.25 | 14,702 | 0.53 | 3.25 |
| 8.05 – 8.05 | 75,000 | 2.60 | 8.05 |
| 22.19 – 22.19 | 185,100 | 5.64 | 22.19 |
| 26.26 – 46.34 | 175,000 | 6.50 | 40.93 |
| 56.99 – 56.99 | 101,000 | 7.68 | 56.99 |
| | 555,214 | 5.52 | 31.53 |

The table below summarizes information about options that are exercisable as at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| Range of exercise price (US\$) | Number of exercisable options | Weighted average exercise price (US\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| At December 31, 2013 | | |
| 8.05 – 8.05 | 75,000 | 8.05 |
| 22.19 – 22.19 | 89,577 | 22.19 |
| 26.26 – 46.34 | 50,000 | 31.33 |
| 56.99 – 56.99 | 31,000 | 56.99 |
| | 245,577 | 24.13 |
| At December 31, 2012 | | |
| 2.50 – 3.25 | 600 | 3.25 |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | | |
|---------------|---------|-------|
| 8.05 – 8.05 | 75,000 | 8.05 |
| 22.19 – 22.19 | 92,327 | 22.19 |
| 26.26 – 46.34 | 53,000 | 31.40 |
| 56.99 – 56.99 | 12,000 | 56.99 |
| | 232,927 | 21.48 |

At December 31, 2011

| | | |
|---------------|---------|-------|
| 1.25 – 2.13 | 4,412 | 2.11 |
| 2.50 – 3.25 | 14,702 | 3.25 |
| 8.05 – 8.05 | 75,000 | 8.05 |
| 22.50 – 22.50 | 185,100 | 22.19 |
| 22.19 – 22.19 | 28,000 | 36.71 |
| 26.26 – 46.34 | 7,000 | 56.99 |
| | 314,214 | 19.18 |

F-41

Table of Contents*Moto Options*

Equity settled options over 774,163 ordinary shares were issued in relation to Moto options during the year ending December 31, 2009, as part of the acquisition of the joint venture interest in Moto. The weighted average exercise price of these options at October 15, 2009 (the date of completion of the Moto acquisition) was US\$56.39 per option. The fair value of these share options was calculated as US\$20.2 million. The Black Scholes valuation model was used to determine the fair value of these options. All of these options have vested. No further Moto options were granted during 2013, 2012 or 2011, therefore no inputs to an option model are provided. All the remaining options were exercised or lapsed during 2012.

The table below summarizes the information about the options related to the Moto acquisition that were outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2011:

| Range of exercise price (US\$) | Number of options | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years) | Weighted Average Exercise Price (US\$) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| At December 31, 2011 | | | |
| 105.16 – 105.16 | 62,670 | 0.36 | 105.16 |
| | 62,670 | 0.36 | 105.16 |

The table below summarizes details about the options that exercised and lapsed during 2011 and 2012:

| Moto share options | Average US\$ price | | Options | |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| At January 1 | 105.16 | 104.19 | 62,670 | 79,082 |
| Exercised | 105.16 | 105.16 | (48,548) | (15,000) |
| Lapsed | 105.16 | 51.27 | (14,122) | (1,412) |
| At December 31 | - | 105.16 | - | 62,670 |

Share-based payments – restricted shares, performance shares and participation in Co-Investment Plan

The company operates restricted share schemes for directors and management, as well as participation in a Co-Investment Plan for directors and management.

Restricted shares issued to management

Restricted shares issued to management are subject to a satisfactory performance level being achieved during the 12 month period prior to the vesting date of each tranche of shares.

F-42

Table of Contents

The minimum performance level to be achieved is defined as level 3 on the company's performance management system. All employees to whom restricted shares have been granted are expected to meet this level of performance. The performance period is typically up to five years where the employee must remain in employment for the shares to vest. There are no market based vesting conditions on the share awards.

The fair value of the restricted shares issued in 2013, 2012 and 2011 are detailed below and the share-based payment charge is charged to profit evenly between the grant and vesting dates. The restriction on the shares (no dividends received during the vesting period) had a minimal impact on the fair value estimate at the grant date. The restricted shares have an exercise price of nil.

The fair value of the restricted shares issued in 2013 was calculated using the Black-Scholes pricing model. The key assumptions used in this model for shares granted during the year ending December 31, 2013 were as follows:

| US\$000 | Note | January 2013 | August 2013 |
|--|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Quantity of shares issued | | 404,500 | 54,300 |
| Fair value of shares issued | | US\$37.4 million | US\$3.8 million |
| Performance period | | 3, 4 and 5 years | 3, 4 and 5 years |
| Volatility | 15.1 | 34.6%, 41.4% and 51.7% | 40.7%, 37.3% and 50.4% |
| Risk-free interest rate | | 0.39%, 0.81% and 0.81% | 0.64%, 1.4% and 1.4% |
| Dividend yield | | 0.4% | 0.7% |
| Weighted average share price on grant and valuation date | 15.2 | US\$93.4 | US\$71.29 |
| Weighted average exercise price | | - | - |

15.1 Volatility is based on the three year historical volatility of the company's shares over the relevant vesting periods.

15.2 Weighted average share price for the valuation is calculated taking into account the market price on all grant dates.

The fair value of the restricted shares issued in 2013 was calculated using the Black-Scholes pricing model. The key assumptions used in this model for shares granted during the year ending December 31, 2013 were as follows:

| | Note | January 2012 | August 2012 |
|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Quantity of shares awarded | | 120,000 | 106,200 |
| Fair value of shares awarded | | US\$13.4 million | US\$9.5 million |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | | | |
|--|------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Performance period | | 3, 4 & 5 years | 3, 4 & 5 years |
| Volatility | 15.3 | 39.0%, 54.3% & 50.9% | 37.4%, 48.8% & 49.3% |
| Risk-free interest rate | | 0.4%, 0.7% & 0.8% | 0.3%, 0.7% & 0.7% |
| Dividend yield | | 0.4% | 0.4% |
| Weighted average share price on grant and valuation date | 15.4 | US\$113.42 | US\$90.63 |
| Weighted average exercise price | | - | - |

15.3 Volatility is based on the three year historical volatility of the company's shares on each grant date.

15.4 Weighted average share price for the valuation is calculated taking into account the market price on all grant dates.

In 2012, there were 226,200 awards of restricted shares: 120,000 awards in January 2012 and 106,200 awards in August 2012. The market price at the award dates were US\$113.42 and US\$90.63 respectively and each vested over there, four and five years in equal tranches. The volatility, risk free rate and dividend yield had no significant impact on fair value but were consistent with those above. The total fair value of the awards was US\$22.9 million over the vesting periods.

In 2011, there were two awards: 360,000 awards in January 2011 and 127,200 awards in August 2011. The market price at the award dates were US\$76.49 and US\$103.9 respectively and vested over three, four and five years in equal tranches. The volatility, risk free rate and dividend yield had no significant impact on fair value but were consistent with those above. The total fair value of the awards was US\$40.8 million over the vesting periods.

Restricted shares issued to executive directors in 2009 and 2010

The restricted shares issued to executive directors in 2009 and 2010 were subject to directors remaining employed, as well as being subject to a market performance condition, being the company's relative TSR performance over three years against the Euromoney Global Gold Index. This was assessed and had a minimal impact on the fair value estimate at the grant date. The fair value of the restricted shares was based on the share price on the grant date and the share-based payment charge is charged to profit evenly between the grant and vesting dates. The restriction on the shares (no dividends received during the vesting period) had a minimal impact on the fair value estimate at the grant date. The restricted shares have an exercise price of nil. Details of the restricted share awards that vested and lapsed in the year together with details of the market prices at award and vesting dates are shown below under "Restricted shares issued to directors and management (excluding Co-Investment Plan)".

Table of Contents**Restricted share awards granted to executive directors in 2011 , 2012 and 2013**

The Restricted Share Scheme for 2011, 2012 and 2013 operates with conditional share awards, where the awards shall vest in three equal one-third installments. If the performance conditions are met, awards vest to participants at the end of each performance period. The whole award is subject to four performance conditions, i.e. absolute TSR (market based), EPS growth, additional reserves and absolute reserves. Grant date fair value was calculated using the market-based measure. No dividends are attributable during the vesting period. Details of the restricted share awards that vested and lapsed in the year, together with details of the market prices at award and vesting dates are shown below under “Restricted shares issued to directors and management (excluding Co-Investment Plan)”.

The fair value of the restricted shares issued to executive directors in 2013 and 2012 was calculated using a Monte Carlo simulation model. The key assumptions used in this model for shares awarded during the years ending December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| US\$000 | Note | March 2013 | March 2012 |
|--|-------------|---|---|
| Quantity of shares issued | | 45,125 | 35,305 |
| Fair value of shares awarded | | US\$2.3 million | US\$2.7 million |
| Performance period | | 3, 4 and 5 years with a 1 year post vesting retention requirement | 3, 4 and 5 years with a 1 year post vesting retention requirement |
| Risk free interest rate | | 0.37%, 0.52% and 0.76% | 0.56%, 0.82% and 1.08% |
| Volatility | 15.1 | 40% | 40% |
| Euromoney Global Gold Index volatility | | 30% | 30% |
| Correlation used between the Euromoney Global Gold Index and the company TSR | | 80% | 80% |
| Weighted average share price on grant and valuation date | 15.2 | US\$82.37 | US\$101.49 |

15.1 Volatility is based on the three-year historical volatility of the company’s shares over the relevant vesting periods.

15.2 Weighted average share price for the valuation is calculated taking into account the market price on all grant dates.

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

The fair value of the restricted shares issued to executive directors in 2012 and 2011 was calculated using a Monte Carlo simulation model. The key assumptions used in this model for shares awarded during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| | Note | March 2012 | June 2011 |
|--|-------------|---|--|
| Quantity of shares awarded | | 35,305 | 46,577 |
| Fair value of shares awarded | | US\$2.7 million | US\$2.7 million |
| Performance period | | 3, 4 & 5 years with a 1 year post-vesting retention requirement | 3, 4 & 5 years with a 1 year post-vesting retention period |
| Risk-free interest rate | | 0.56%, 0.82% & 1.08% | 0.59%, 0.98% & 1.37% |
| Volatility | 15.3 | 40% | 40% |
| Euromoney Global Gold Index volatility | | 30% | 30% |
| Correlation used between the Euromoney Global Gold Index and the company TSR | | 80% | 80% |
| Weighted average share price on grant and valuation date | 15.4 | US\$101.49 | US\$76.53 |

15.3 Volatility is based on the three-year historical volatility of the company's shares over the relevant vesting periods.

15.4 Weighted average share price for the valuation is calculated taking into account the market price on all grant dates.

Table of Contents**Career Share Award – performance shares**

At the company's 2013 annual general meeting shareholders approved an award of performance shares to the CEO. Consequently, 50,031 shares were awarded on April 29, 2013 which vest subject to certain performance conditions as detailed in the "Compensation" section of the Annual Report on Form 20-F. The fair value was determined at US\$4.0 million.

The vesting of each one-fifth of the performance shares for the CEO is dependent on a number of conditions the first of which is the first gold pour at the Kibali gold mine which occurred on September 24, 2013. Accordingly, the performance condition in respect of 10,006 shares was met at a value of US\$71.54. These shares will vest and be transferred to the CEO provided the CEO is in office or employment by the company on April 29, 2016.

Restricted shares issued to directors and management (excluding Co-Investment Plan)

Movements in the number of restricted shares outstanding and their issue prices are as follows:

| | Weighted market price at award date US\$ 2013 | Weighted market price at award date US\$ 2012 | Weighted market price at award date US\$ 2011 | Shares 2013 | Shares 2012 | Shares 2011 | Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) 2013 | Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) 2012 | Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) 2011 |
|---|--|--|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|---|---|
| Shares granted to executive directors | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 77.26 | 59.93 | 48.79 | 113,215 | 122,577 | 134,000 | | | |
| Awarded | 82.37 | 101.40 | 76.53 | 45,125 | 35,305 | 46,577 | | | |
| Vested | 51.15 | 48.25 | - | (31,333) | (44,667) | - | | | |
| Lapsed | - | - | 47.52 | - | - | (58,000) | | | |
| At December 31 | 85.54 | 77.26 | 59.93 | 127,007 | 113,215 | 122,577 | 3.29 | 2.84 | 2.34 |
| Shares awarded to non-executive Directors | | | | | | | | | |
| At January 1 | 81.60 | 81.79 | 69.47 | 9,600 | 17,600 | 6,000 | | | |
| Awarded | 78.79 * | 79.06 | 81.60 | 8,400 | 7,200 | 18,000 | | | |
| Vested | 79.57 | 79.42 | 70.03 | (14,400) | (15,200) | (6,400) | | | |
| Lapsed | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| At December 31 | 80.03 | 81.60 | 81.79 | 3,600 | 9,600 | 17,600 | - | 0.75 | 0.71 |

Shares awarded to employees

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|--------|-------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| At January 1 | 90.61 | 85.82 | 88.00 | 869,100 | 721,200 | 300,000 | | | |
| Awarded | 91.26 | 103.85 | 83.64 | 458,800 | 226,200 | 487,200 | | | |
| Vested | 88.00 | - | - | (100,000) | - | - | | | |
| Lapsed | 92.53 | 90.35 | 79.70 | (70,400) | (78,300) | (66,000) | | | |
| At December 31 | 90.76 | 90.61 | 85.82 | 1,157,500 | 869,100 | 721,200 | 2.92 | 3.07 | 3.71 |

* Being share price on the date of issue (May 6, 2013)

Participation in Co-Investment Plan by executive directors in 2013 and 2012

One third of any annual bonus earned is compulsorily deferred and an executive director may also choose to commit further shares to a Co-Investment Plan. The maximum commitment which may be made in the Co-Investment Plan is 200% of base salary by the CEO and 100% of base salary by the CFO for 2013 and 2012. Committed shares must be retained for three years and may be matched, depending on relative TSR performance over three years against the Euromoney Global Gold Index. If after three years the TSR performance of the company equals or exceeds the performance of the Euromoney Global Gold Index, then the committed shares may be matched on a stepped scale. Refer to the “Compensation” section of the Annual Report on Form 20-F for further details. The maximum level of matching is one-for-one. The vesting of the award is dependent on the company’s TSR performance relative to the Euromoney Global Gold Index.

Table of Contents

The fair value of awards made under the Co-Investment Plan in 2013 and 2012 was calculated using a Monte Carlo simulation model. The key assumptions used in this model for awards made under the Co-Investment Plan during the years ending December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| US\$000 | Note | March 2013 | March 2012 |
|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Quantity of shares issued | | 45,125 | 33,305 |
| Fair value of shares awarded | | US\$1.6 million | US\$1.9 million |
| Performance period | | 3 years | 3 years |
| Risk free interest rate | | 0.37% | 0.56% |
| Volatility | 15.1 | 40% | 40% |
| Euromoney Global Gold Index volatility | | 30% | 30% |
| Correlation used between the Euromoney Global Gold Index and the company TSR | | 80% | 80% |
| Weighted average share price on grant and valuation date | 15.2 | US\$82.37 | US\$101.49 |

15.1 Volatility is based on the three year historical volatility of the company's shares over the relevant vesting periods.

15.2 Weighted average share price for the valuation is calculated taking into account the market price on all grant dates.

The fair value of awards made under the Co-Investment Plan in 2012 and 2011 was calculated using a Monte Carlo simulation model. The key assumptions used in this model for awards made under the Co-Investment Plan during the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| | Note | March 2012 | June 2011 |
|--|-------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Quantity of shares awarded | | 35,305 | 46,577 |
| Fair value of shares awarded | | US\$1.9 million | US\$1.4 million |
| Performance period | | 3 years | 3 years |
| Risk-free interest rate | | 0.56% | 0.59% |
| Volatility | 15.3 | 40% | 40% |
| Euromoney Global Gold Index volatility | | 30% | 30% |
| Correlation used between the Euromoney Global Gold Index and the company TSR | | 80% | 80% |
| Weighted average exercise price on grant and valuation date | 15.4 | US\$101.49 | US\$76.53 |

15.3 Volatility is based on the three year historical volatility of the company's shares over the relevant vesting periods.

15.4 Weighted average share price for the valuation is calculated taking into account the market price on all grant dates.

A reconciliation of the Co-Investment Plan share awards is provided below:

| Director | Date of award | Market price at date of award (US\$)* | At Jan 1, 2013 | Awarded in the year | Vested in the year | Market price at date vested (US\$) | Lapsed in the year | At Dec 31, 2013 | Vesting** |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| D.M. Bristow | Jun 13, 2011 | | 38,456 | - | - | - | - | 38,456 | Vests Jan 1, 2014 |
| | Mar 16, 2012 | 76.53 101.49 | 28,843 | - | - | - | - | 28,843 | Vests Jan 1, 2015 |
| | Mar 18, 2013 | 82.37 | | 36,724 | - | - | - | 36,724 | Vests Jan 1, 2016 |
| | Jun 13, 2011 | | 8,121 | - | - | - | - | 8,121 | Vests Jan 1, 2014 |
| G.P. Shuttleworth | Mar 16, 2012 | 76.53 101.49 | 6,462 | - | - | - | - | 6,462 | Vests Jan 1, 2015 |
| | | 82.37 | - | 8,401 | - | - | - | 8,401 | Vests Jan 1, 2016 |
| | Mar 18, 2013 | | | | | | | | |

* *NASDAQ Global Select Market dosing price on day preceding date of grant or if a public holiday, the next trading day.*

** *Vesting is subject to performance conditions.*

Table of Contents**Participation in Co-Investment Plan by senior management in 2013**

Senior management has the opportunity to participate in Randgold's Senior Management Co-Investment Plan from 2013. The maximum commitment which may be made in the Co-Investment Plan is 100% of base salary. Committed shares must be retained for three years and may be matched, depending on the relative TSR performance over three years against the Euromoney Global Gold Index. If after three years the TSR performance of the company equals or exceeds the performance of the Euromoney Global Gold Index, then the committed shares may be matched on a stepped scale. The maximum level of matching is one-for-one. The vesting of the award is dependent on the company's TSR performance relative to the Euromoney Global Gold Index.

The fair value of awards made under the Co-Investment Plan in 2013 was calculated using a Monte Carlo simulation model. The key assumptions used in this model for awards made under the Co-Investment Plan during the years ending December 31, 2013 were as follows:

| US\$000 | Note | March 2013 |
|--|------|-----------------|
| Quantity of shares issued | | 21,961 |
| Fair value of shares issued | | US\$0.8 million |
| Performance period | | 3 years |
| Risk free interest rate | | 0.37% |
| Volatility | 15.1 | 40% |
| Euromoney Global Gold Index volatility | | 30% |
| Correlation used between the Euromoney Global Gold Index and the company TSR | | 80% |
| Weighted average share price on grant and valuation date | 15.2 | US\$81.48 |

15.1 Volatility is based on the three year historical volatility of the company's shares over the relevant vesting periods.

15.2 Weighted average share price for the valuation is calculated taking into account the market price on all grant dates.

16.Segmental information

Operating segments have been identified on the basis of internal reports about components of the group that are regularly reviewed by the group's chief operating decision maker. The operating segments included in internal reports are determined on the basis of their significance to the group. In particular, operating mines are reported as separate

segments and exploration projects that have significant capitalized expenditure or other fixed assets are also reported separately. The Kibali and Morila joint ventures are included on a line-by-line basis, reflecting internal reporting. Other parts of the group, including the RAL 1 joint venture, are included with corporate and exploration. The group's chief operating decision maker is considered by management to be the board of directors. An analysis of the group's business segments, excluding intergroup transactions, is set out below. Major customers are not identifiable because all gold is sold to an agent.

F-47

Table of Contents

| Country of operation | Mali | | | Cote d'Ivoire | DRC | Jersey | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| US\$000 | Group's 40% share of Morila | Loulo | Gounkoto | Tongon | Group's 45% share of Kibali | Corporate and exploration | Inter- company eliminations | Sub-total | Joint ventures adjusted |
| YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
| Profit and loss | | | | | | | | | |
| Total revenue | 79,870 | 436,950 | 371,361 | 329,448 | 49,153 | - | (69) | 1,266,713 | (129,448) |
| Mining and processing costs excluding depreciation | (38,323) | (215,277) | (146,413) | (184,362) | (17,039) | 12,608 | (1,766) | (590,572) | 42,700 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (4,131) | (70,616) | (10,791) | (48,278) | (6,698) | (9,446) | - | (149,960) | 19,300 |
| Mining and processing costs | (42,454) | (285,893) | (157,204) | (232,640) | (23,737) | 3,162 | (1,766) | (740,532) | 62,000 |
| Transport and refining costs | (190) | (1,239) | - | (1,424) | (71) | - | - | (2,924) | 261 |
| Royalties | (4,796) | (26,266) | (22,282) | (9,867) | (1,244) | - | - | (64,455) | 6,040 |
| Exploration and corporate expenditure | - | (3,516) | (4,315) | (3,337) | (2,373) | (38,317) | - | (51,858) | 2,370 |
| Other (expenses)/income | (293) | (18,910) | (15,549) | (13,842) | (317) | 50,798 | 2,507 | 4,394 | (1,600) |
| Finance costs | (217) | (871) | (217) | (508) | (1,570) | (24,235) | 15,733 | (11,885) | 4,140 |
| Finance income | 1 | 65 | 209 | 42 | 9,206 | 16,662 | (15,733) | 10,452 | (9,200) |
| Share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 54,200 |
| Profit before income tax | 31,921 | 100,320 | 172,003 | 67,872 | 29,047 | 8,070 | 672 | 409,905 | (7,400) |
| Income tax expense | (9,576) | (30,096) | (41,326) | (1,100) | 2,132 | (4,192) | - | (84,158) | 7,440 |
| Net profit | 22,345 | 70,224 | 130,677 | 66,772 | 31,179 | 3,878 | 672 | 325,747 | - |
| Capital expenditure | (11,936) | (255,672) | (11,041) | (23,562) | (699,049) | 33,374 | - | (1,034,634) | 731 |
| Total assets | 52,592 | 1,259,526 | 179,585 | 534,635 | 1,250,449 | 1,460,117 | - | 4,737,404 | (1,300) |
| Total external liabilities | (38,387) | (148,270) | (66,872) | (40,950) | (74,314) | (85,438) | - | (454,231) | 138 |
| YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | | |
| Profit and loss | | | | | | | | | |
| Total revenue | 134,703 | 357,224 | 475,127 | 351,805 | - | - | (1,029) | 1,317,830 | (134,703) |
| Mining and processing costs excluding depreciation | (53,127) | (145,886) | (174,506) | (150,321) | - | 10,978 | 328 | (512,534) | 42,100 |
| | (6,133) | (51,550) | (18,197) | (48,033) | (183) | (7,645) | - | (131,741) | 13,700 |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|------|--|
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mining and processing costs | (59,260) | (197,436) | (192,703) | (198,354) | (183) | 3,333 | 328 | (644,275) | 55,8 | |
| Transport and refining costs | (270) | (1,077) | - | (1,641) | - | - | - | (2,988) | 270 | |
| Royalties | (8,092) | (20,673) | (28,507) | (10,530) | - | - | - | (67,802) | 8,09 | |
| Exploration and corporate expenditure | - | (2,255) | (1,110) | (2,654) | (1,608) | (33,014) | - | (40,641) | 1,60 | |
| Other (expenses)/income | (4,657) | (15,253) | (7,227) | (15,548) | 343 | 47,660 | - | 5,318 | 7,23 | |
| Finance costs | (216) | (490) | (129) | (353) | (7) | (15,244) | 15,239 | (1,200) | 216 | |
| Finance income | 2 | 14 | 75 | 104 | 13 | 17,081 | (15,239) | 2,050 | (2 | |
| Share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40,9 | |
| Profit before income tax | 62,210 | 120,054 | 245,526 | 122,829 | (1,442) | 19,816 | (701) | 568,292 | (20, | |
| Income tax | (20,456) | (38,064) | 1,970 | (849) | - | (111) | - | (57,510) | 20,4 | |
| Net profit | 41,754 | 81,990 | 247,496 | 121,980 | (1,442) | 19,705 | (701) | 510,782 | - | |
| Capital expenditure | (1,153) | (219,506) | (17,847) | (33,391) | (286,610) | (3,773) | - | (562,280) | 290 | |
| Total assets | 42,685 | 1,029,761 | 242,185 | 613,794 | 863,237 | 335,482 | - | 3,127,144 | (118 | |
| Total external liabilities | (30,668) | (145,225) | (38,082) | (40,392) | (46,579) | (37,827) | - | (338,773) | 110 | |
| F-48 | | | | | | | | | | |

Table of Contents

| US\$000 | Mali Group's 40% share of Morila | Loulo | Gouankoto | Côte d'Ivoire Tongon | DRC Group's 45% share of Kibali | Jersey Corporate and Exploration | Inter company Elimi- nations | Sub-total | Joint venture adjustments | Total |
|--|--|------------|-----------|----------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 PROFIT AND LOSS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total revenue | 156,771 | 321,199 | 228,370 | 425,060 | - | - | (4,314) | 1,127,086 | (156,771) | 970,315 |
| Mining and processing costs excluding depreciation | (68,090) | (180,048) | (60,120) | (137,674) | | 8,193 | (503) | (438,242) | 59,897 | (37,652) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (10,238) | (20,377) | (6,065) | (39,104) | (998) | (5,278) | - | (82,060) | 16,498 | (65,562) |
| Mining and processing costs | (78,328) | (200,425) | (66,185) | (176,778) | (998) | 2,915 | (503) | (520,302) | 76,395 | (443,907) |
| Transport and refining costs | (209) | (1,499) | - | (933) | - | - | - | (2,641) | 208 | (2,433) |
| Royalties | (9,427) | (17,944) | (13,702) | (12,768) | - | - | - | (53,841) | 9,427 | (44,414) |
| Exploration and corporate expenditure | - | (4,156) | (1,242) | (3,222) | (164) | (35,141) | - | (43,925) | 329 | (43,596) |
| Other (expenses) / income | (3,410) | (18,207) | (8,536) | (33,963) | 1,162 | 56,393 | - | (6,561) | 504 | (6,057) |
| Finance costs | (510) | (562) | - | (340) | (2) | (33,174) | 30,991 | (3,597) | 512 | (3,085) |
| Finance income | 2 | 36 | - | 128 | 9 | 31,831 | (30,991) | 1,015 | (11) | 1,004 |
| Share of profits of equity accounted joint ventures | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 44,119 | 44,119 |
| Profit before income tax | 64,889 | 78,442 | 138,705 | 197,184 | 7 | 22,824 | (4,817) | 497,234 | (25,288) | 471,946 |
| Income tax expense | (21,046) | (29,323) | (209) | (1,620) | - | (3,131) | - | (55,329) | 25,288 | (30,041) |
| Net profit /(loss) | 43,843 | 49,119 | 138,496 | 195,564 | 7 | 19,693 | (4,817) | 441,905 | - | 441,905 |
| Capital expenditure | (1,186) | (176,153) | (89,828) | (99,879) | (77,510) | (16,027) | - | (460,583) | 108,705 | (351,878) |
| Total assets | 68,364 | 776,692 | 132,872 | 568,461 | 459,433 | 538,985 | - | 2,544,807 | (67,540) | 2,477,267 |

Total external
liabilities

(32,245) (105,959) (11,775) (34,244) (3,281) (51,473) - (238,977) 60,105 (17

F-49

Table of Contents

17. Financial risk management

In the normal course of its operations, the group is exposed to gold price, currency, interest rate, liquidity and credit risks. In order to manage these risks, the group may enter into transactions which make use of on-balance sheet derivatives. The group does not acquire, hold or issue derivatives for trading purposes. The group has developed a risk management process to facilitate, control and monitor these risks. The board has approved and monitors this risk management process, inclusive of documented treasury policies, counterpart limits, controlling and reporting structures.

Controlling risk in the group

The treasury committee is responsible for treasury financial risk management activities within the group. The treasury committee reviews and recommends to the board all treasury counterparts, limits, instruments and any hedge strategies. At least two members of the treasury committee need to be present for a decision to be made, one of whom needs to be an executive director. Unless specific dispensation is obtained from the audit committee, the treasury committee is permitted to invest up to US\$50 million or 20% of the total funds (whichever is the higher) with each approved institution with an investment rating of AA or higher noting that no institution can exceed US\$100 million. The treasury committee is also permitted to invest up to US\$12.5 million or 5% of the total funds (whichever is the higher) with each approved institution with an investment rating above A but below AA-, provided that no investment exceeds US\$25 million. The majority of the cash for the group was held with the group's principal bankers at year end. The treasury committee is responsible for managing investment, gold price, currency, liquidity and credit risk. The treasury committee monitors adherence to treasury risk management policy and counterpart limits and provides regular reports to the board.

The financial risk management objectives of the group are defined as follows:

- safeguarding the group core earnings stream from its major assets through the effective control and management of gold price risk, foreign exchange risk, interest rate risk and credit risk;

- effective and efficient usage of credit facilities in both the short and long term through the adoption of reliable liquidity management planning and procedures;

- ensuring that investment and any hedging transactions are undertaken with creditworthy counterparts; and

- ensuring that all contracts and agreements related to risk management activities are coordinated consistently throughout the group and comply where necessary with all relevant regulatory and statutory requirements.

The group continues to hold material TVA receivable balances. While management continue to pursue recovery of the TVA in cash, it is recognized that in practice given the continued absence of payment, the TVA in Mali may only be recovered through the tax offset mechanism set out in the mining convention. Management reports the TVA position and movements on a quarterly basis to the audit committee.

F-50

Table of Contents

Refer to “Risk Factors” in the Annual Report on Form 20-F for details on the group’s risk factors.

Foreign currency and commodity price risk

In the normal course of business, the group enters into transactions denominated in foreign currencies (primarily euro, South African rand and Communauté Financière Africaine franc). As a result, the group is subject to exposure from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. In general, the group does not enter into derivatives to manage these currency risks and none existed in 2011, 2012 or 2013. Generally, the group does not hedge its exposure to gold price fluctuation risk and gold was sold at market spot prices in 2011, 2012 and 2013. Gold sales are made in US dollars and do not expose the group to any currency fluctuation risk. However, during periods of capital expenditure or loan finance, the company may use forward contracts or options to reduce the exposure to price movements, while maintaining significant exposure to spot prices. These derivatives may establish a fixed price for a portion of future production while the group maintains the ability to benefit from increases in the spot gold price for the majority of future gold production. The group is also exposed to fluctuations in the price of consumables, such as fuel, steel, rubber, cyanide and lime, mainly due to changes in the price of oil, as well as fluctuations in exchange rates.

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Level of exposure of foreign currency risk | | |
| Carrying value of foreign currency balances | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents includes balances denominated in: | | |
| · Communauté Financière Africaine franc (CFA) | (5,593) | 10,475 |
| · Euro (EUR) | 4,078 | 34,067 |
| · South African rand (ZAR) | 814 | 30,171 |
| · British pound (GBP) | 46 | 138 |
| Trade and other receivables includes balances denominated in: | | |
| · Communauté Financière Africaine franc (CFA) | 112,882 | 7,526 |
| · South African rand (ZAR) | 10,727 | 720 |
| · Euro (EUR) | 16,250 | 12 |
| Trade and other payables includes balances denominated in: | | |
| · Communauté Financière Africaine franc (CFA) | (72,443) | (28,797) |
| · Euro (EUR) | (30,688) | (2,797) |
| · South African rand (ZAR) | (8,228) | (39,841) |
| · British pound (GBP) | (1,006) | (123) |

The group’s exposure to foreign currency arises where a company holds monetary assets and liabilities denominated in a currency different to the functional currency of the holder of the instrument which is the US dollar. The following table shows the impact of a 10% change in the US dollar on profit and equity arising as a result of the revaluation of the group’s foreign currency financial instruments.

Table of Contents

| | Closing exchange rate | Effect of 10% strengthening of US\$ on net earnings and equity US\$000 |
|---|----------------------------------|---|
| At December 31, 2013 | | |
| · Euro (EUR) | 0.7264 | 1,036 |
| · Communauté Financière Africaine franc (CFA) | 476.64 | 3,485 |
| · South African rand (ZAR) | 10.50 | 331 |
| · British pound (GBP) | 0.61 | 99 |
| At December 31, 2012 (Restated) | | |
| · Euro (EUR) | 0.7567 | 3,128 |
| · Communauté Financière Africaine franc (CFA) | 496.35 | 1,080 |
| · South African rand (ZAR) | 8.4875 | 895 |

The sensitivities are based on financial assets and liabilities held at December 31 where balances were not denominated in the functional currency of the group. The sensitivities do not take into account the group's sales and costs and the results of the sensitivities could change due to other factors such as changes in the value of financial assets and liabilities as a result of non-foreign exchange influenced factors.

Interest rate and liquidity risk

Fluctuations in interest rates impact on the value of short term cash investments and interest payable on financing activities (including long term loans), giving rise to interest rate risk. In the ordinary course of business, the group receives cash from its operations and is required to fund working capital and capital expenditure requirements.

The group generally enters into variable interest bearing borrowings. This cash is managed to ensure surplus funds are invested in a manner to achieve maximum returns while minimizing risks. The group typically holds financial investments with an average maturity of 30 days to ensure adequate liquidity. The group is able to actively source financing at competitive rates. The counterparts are financial and banking institutions of good credit standing. The group has in the past been able to actively source financing through public offerings, shareholder loans and third-party loans.

The company has entered into a US\$200.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with HSBC and three other banks which matures in May 2016 and is at present undrawn. The interest rate, if drawn, would be LIBOR plus 1.5% per annum based on the Company's current level of leverage. Based on the company's current cash resources and facilities, projected operating cash flows and capital expenditure, the company is confident it will be able to meet its obligations at the prevailing gold price. The facility, if drawn, bears interest at libor plus 1.5% and includes financial covenants in respect of EBIT, EBITDA, net finance charges, tangible net worth, total debt, debt cover and interest

cover.

| Maturity date | Amount US\$000 | Effective rate for the year % | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | | |
| All less than 90 days | 38,151 | 0.54 | % |

The other financial instruments of the group that are not included in the tables above are non-interest bearing and are therefore not subject to interest rate risk.

Concentration of credit risk

The group's cash balances do not give rise to a concentration of credit risk because it deals with a variety of major financial institutions. Its receivables and loans are regularly monitored and assessed. Receivables are impaired when it is probable that amounts outstanding are not recoverable as set out in the accounting policy note for receivables. Gold bullion, the group's principal product, is produced in Mali and Côte d'Ivoire (and in the case of its joint ventures in DRC and Mali). The gold produced is sold to the largest accredited gold refinery in the world. Credit risk is further managed by regularly reviewing the financial statements of the refinery. The group is further not exposed to significant credit risk on gold sales, as cash is received within a few days of the sale taking place. While not financial assets for IFRS 7, included in receivables is US\$129.9 million (2012: US\$87.5 million) (refer to Note 7) relating to indirect taxes owing to Loulo, Gounkoto and Tongon by the State of Mali, which are denominated in FCFA, which holds some credit risk for the group. The legally binding mining conventions in Mali permit offsetting of other corporate taxes against approved unpaid TVA. A further US\$36.4 million (2012: US\$21.2 million) is held within the underlying statement of financial position of the equity accounted Kibali joint venture which is considered recoverable given the receipts obtained during the 2013 year.

Table of Contents**Capital risk management**

The group's objectives when managing capital are to safeguard its ability to continue as a going concern in order to provide returns for shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders and to maintain an optimal capital structure to reduce the cost of capital. In order to maintain or adjust the capital structure, the group may adjust the amount of dividends paid to shareholders, buyback shares, return capital to shareholders, issue new shares or sell assets to reduce debt. Consistent with others in the industry, the group monitors capital on the basis of the gearing ratio. This ratio is calculated as net debt (net cash) divided by total capital. Net debt is calculated as total borrowings (including borrowings and trade and other payables, as shown in the consolidated statement of financial position) but excluding loans from minority shareholders in subsidiaries less cash and cash equivalents. Total capital is calculated as equity, as shown in the consolidated statement of financial position, plus net debt (net cash).

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Capital risk management | | |
| Trade and other payables | (174,445) | (133,441) |
| Less: cash and cash equivalents | 38,151 | 373,868 |
| Net position | (136,294) | 240,427 |
| Total equity | 3,057,854 | 2,777,687 |
| Total capital | 3,194,148 | 2,537,260 |
| Gearing ratio | 4.3 | % 0 % |

Maturity analysis

The following table analyzes the group's financial liabilities into the relevant maturity groupings based on the remaining period from the statement of financial position to the contractual maturity date. As the amounts disclosed in the table are the contractual undiscounted cash flows, these balances will not necessarily correspond with the amounts disclosed in the statement of financial position.

Table of Contents

| US\$000 | Trade and other payables | Borrowings | Other financial liabilities |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| At December 31, 2013 | | | |
| Financial liabilities | | | |
| Within 1 year, on demand | 160,942 | - | - |
| Later than 1 year and no later than 5 years | - | - | - |
| After 5 years | - | - | 2,929 |
| Total | 160,942 | - | 2,929 |
| At December 31, 2012 (Restated) | | | |
| Financial liabilities | | | |
| Within 1 year, on demand | 124,441 | - | - |
| Later than 1 year and no later than 5 years | - | - | - |
| After 5 years | - | - | 3,249 |
| Total | 124,441 | - | 3,249 |

18. Fair value of financial instruments

The following table shows the carrying amounts and fair values of the group's financial instruments outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012. The fair value of a financial instrument is defined as the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.

| US\$000 | Categories of financial instruments | Carrying amount Dec. 31, 2013 | Fair value Dec. 31, 2013 | Carrying amount Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) | Fair value Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Available-for-sale financial assets categorized as level 1 | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale financial assets | Available-for-sale | 1,831 | 1,831 | 3,003 | 3,003 |

The table above shows the level of the fair value valuation hierarchy applied to financial instruments carried at fair value. The total financial assets valued using level 1 is US\$1.8 million (2012: US\$3.0 million). There have been no transfers between the levels of fair value hierarchy during the current or prior year. Randgold does not hold any financial instruments that are fair valued using a level 2 or level 3 valuation. No derivative financial instruments currently exist. All other financial instruments carrying values approximate fair value.

Estimation of fair values

Trade and other receivables, trade and other payables, borrowings, cash and cash equivalents, loans to and from joint ventures

The carrying amounts are a reasonable estimate of the fair values because of the short maturity of such instruments or their interest bearing nature.

Gold price contracts

The group is fully exposed to the spot gold price on gold sales.

F-54

Table of Contents**19. Commitments and contingent liabilities**

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Capital expenditure contracted for at statement of financial position date but not yet incurred is: | | |
| Property, plant and equipment – subsidiaries | 13,049 | 98,144 |
| Commitment of joint ventures (attributable share) | | |
| Kibali | 16,466 | 119,400 |
| Morila | 396 | - |
| RAL 1 | 282 | - |
| | 17,144 | 119,400 |
| Total | 30,193 | 217,544 |

Under the Kibali Joint Venture Agreement (JVA), the obligation of the parties (Randgold Resources (Kibali) Limited and AngloGold Ashanti Holdings plc) in respect of the future funding (including but not limited to operating costs, capital costs and other costs) of the company Kibali Goldmines SPRL and/or the Kibali project shall be pro-rata in proportion to their respective percentage interests in the company Kibali at the time any such future funding is required. In accordance with the Kibali JVA, Kibali will be funded via intercompany loans. The approved capital expenditure plan for 2014 is US\$310 million of which Randgold will contribute 50%.

Operating Lease Commitments

The lease relates to the oxygen plant at Loulo leased from Maligaz. The duration of the contract is 10 years and the contract is renewable for additional periods of 5 years thereafter.

The future aggregate minimum lease payments* under operating leases are as follows:

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| No later than 1 year | 2,817 | 1,686 |
| Later than 1 year and no later than 5 years | 11,268 | 6,744 |
| Later than 5 years | 8,451 | 5,058 |
| Total | 22,536 | 13,488 |

**These payments include payments for non-lease elements in the arrangement.*

As discussed more fully in Note 3, the group has received claims for various taxes in respect of subsidiaries and joint ventures from the State of Mali totaling US\$123.1 million (2012: US\$86.2 million), which the group considers to be without merit.

F-55

Table of Contents**20. Related party transactions**

| US\$000 | Year ended Dec. 31, 2013 | Year ended Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) | Year ended Dec. 31, 2011 (Restated) |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Management fee received from Rockwell Diamonds Inc. | 42 | 53 | 93 |
| Net income from Loulo | - | - | - |
| Net income from Tongon | - | - | - |
| Net income from Morila | 24,729 | 76,289 | 80,161 |
| Net income from Goukoto | - | - | - |
| Net income from Kibali | 10,724 | 6,640 | 6,744 |
| Net income from RAL 1 | 4,201 | 2,571 | 2,046 |

Net income refers to interest, management fees and dividends.

In terms of the operator agreement between Morila SA and AngloGold Ashanti Services Mali SA, a management fee, calculated as 1% of the total sales of Morila SA, is payable to Randgold (through Mining Investments (Jersey) Limited). Randgold (through Randgold Resources (Somilo) Limited) is the operator of Loulo gold mine, Tongon gold mine (through Mining Investments (Jersey) Limited), as well as of Goukoto SA (through Randgold Resources (Goukoto) Limited). Seven Bridges Trading 14 (Pty) Limited provided administration services to Rockwell Diamonds Inc. (Rockwell). Dr. DM Bristow is a non-executive director of Rockwell. The balances outstanding at year end related to Rockwell were negligible (2012: nil; 2011: nil). Refer to Note 10 for details of the company's investments in and loans to subsidiaries and joint ventures within the group together with its relevant share of income and expense.

| US\$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Key management remuneration | | | |
| Short term employee benefits | 11,983 | 11,357 | 11,649 |
| Share-based payments | 12,326 | 12,833 | 11,649 |
| Total | 24,309 | 24,190 | 23,268 |

This includes compensation for two executive directors (2012: Two; 2011: Two), eight non-executive directors (2012: Seven; 2011: Seven) and nineteen executive management personnel (2012: Twenty-one; 2011: Twenty-one). Refer to directors' and executives' profiles in the "Directors, Senior Management and Employees" section of the Annual Report on Form 20-F for detail of their roles and responsibilities.

21. Mining and processing costs and other disclosable items

| US\$000 | Year ended Dec. 31, 2013 | Year ended Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) | Year ended Dec. 31, 2011 (Restated) |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Mine production costs | 536,229 | 438,331 | 337,494 |
| Movement in production inventory and ore stockpiles | (49,730) | (43,716) | (21,907) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 130,638 | 117,991 | 65,562 |
| Other mining and processing costs | 61,319 | 75,770 | 62,758 |
| | 678,456 | 588,376 | 443,907 |
| The above includes: | | | |
| Impairment of receivables | - | - | 3,159 |

Other income primarily includes foreign exchange gains and management fees receivable from joint ventures.

Table of Contents**22. Exploration and corporate expenditure**

| US\$000 | Year ended Dec. 31, 2013 | Year ended Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) | Year ended Dec. 31, 2011 (Restated) |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Exploration and corporate expenditure comprise: | | | |
| Exploration expenditure | 22,638 | 16,157 | 19,606 |
| Corporate expenditure | 26,847 | 22,876 | 23,990 |
| | 49,485 | 39,033 | 43,596 |

23. Finance income and costs

| US\$000 | Year ended Dec. 31, 2013 | Year ended Dec. 31, 2012 (Restated) | Year ended Dec. 31, 2011 (Restated) |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Finance income – interest income | 1,242 | 1,857 | 1,004 |
| Finance income – net foreign exchange gain on financing activities | - | 191 | - |
| Finance income | 1,242 | 2,048 | 1,004 |
| Interest expense – borrowings | (1,373) |) (117 |) (97 |
| Finance costs – net foreign exchange loss on financing activities | (5,049) |) - |) (2,157 |
| Unwind of discount on provisions for environmental rehabilitation | (1,315) |) (867 |) (831 |
| Finance costs | (7,737) |) (984 |) (3,085 |
| Finance (costs)/income – net | (6,495) |) 1,064 |) (2,081 |

Interest income arises on cash and cash equivalents.

Interest expenses arise on borrowings measured at amortized cost.

24. Subsequent events

No significant subsequent events requiring disclosure or adjustment have occurred.

REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors
Kibali (Jersey) Limited

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position of Kibali (Jersey) Limited as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated statements of comprehensive income, consolidated statements of changes in equity, and consolidated statements of cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Kibali (Jersey) Limited at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB).

BDO LLP

London

March 28, 2014

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****FOR THE YEAR ENDED December 31, 2013**

| \$000 | Note | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| REVENUE | | | | |
| Gold sales | | 109,229 | - | - |
| Other Income | 3 | 3,035 | 286 | 1,981 |
| TOTAL INCOME | | 112,264 | 286 | 1,981 |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Mining and processing costs | 4 | 52,727 | - | - |
| Transport and refining costs | | 158 | - | - |
| Royalties | | 2,765 | - | - |
| Exploration and corporate expenditure | 5 | 5,911 | 5,530 | 3,054 |
| Other expenses | 4 | 725 | - | - |
| TOTAL COSTS | | 62,286 | 5,530 | 3,054 |
| Finance income | 6 | 3,966 | 1,448 | 787 |
| Finance costs | 6 | (1,252) | (14) | (6) |
| Finance income - net | | 2,714 | 1,434 | 781 |
| Share of profits of equity accounted Joint venture | | 136 | 54 | - |
| PROFIT/(LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAX | | 52,828 | (3,756) | (292) |
| Income tax | 7 | 4,739 | - | - |
| PROFIT/(LOSS) FOR THE PERIOD | | 57,567 | (3,756) | (292) |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE EXPENSE | | | | |
| Loss on available for sale financial asset* | | (799) | (364) | (1,675) |
| TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(EXPENSE) | | 56,768 | (4,120) | (1,967) |
| PROFIT/(LOSS) FOR THE PERIOD | | | | |
| Attributable to: | | | | |
| Owners of the parent | | 54,163 | (3,429) | (336) |
| Non-controlling interest | | 3,404 | (327) | 44 |
| TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(EXPENSE) | | 57,567 | (3,756) | (292) |
| Attributable to: | | | | |
| Owners of the parent | | 53,364 | (3,793) | (2,011) |
| Non-controlling interest | | 3,404 | (327) | 44 |
| | | 56,768 | (4,120) | (1,967) |

**This may be required through the income statement in future periods subject to certain future conditions arising.*

The accompanying notes form part of these financial statements

F-59

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION****AS AT December 31, 2013**

| \$000 | Note | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|--|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| NON-CURRENT ASSETS | | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | 8 | 1,553,575 | 810,797 | 227,739 |
| Mineral properties | 9 | 742,928 | 745,092 | 745,092 |
| Deferred tax | 10 | 4,860 | - | - |
| Investment in equity accounted joint venture | 26 | 15 | 54 | - |
| Other investments in joint venture | 26 | 29,076 | 27,237 | - |
| Total investment in joint venture | 26 | 29,091 | 27,291 | - |
| Trade and other receivables | 11 | 22,929 | 15,938 | 4,872 |
| TOTAL NON-CURRENT ASSETS | | 2,353,383 | 1,599,118 | 977,703 |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | | | |
| Inventories and ore stockpiles | 12 | 112,405 | 5,735 | 712 |
| Trade and other receivables | 11 | 145,335 | 75,815 | 9,691 |
| Available-for-sale financial asset | 13 | 146 | 945 | 1,309 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 14 | 4,681 | 11,913 | 2,136 |
| TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS | | 262,567 | 94,408 | 13,848 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | | 2,615,950 | 1,693,526 | 991,551 |
| EQUITY AND LIABILITIES | | | | |
| EQUITY | | | | |
| Share capital | 15 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Share premium | 15 | 2,390,689 | 1,557,902 | 961,337 |
| Retained earnings/(accumulated loss) | | 51,568 | (2,595) | 834 |
| Other reserve | | (3,072) | (2,273) | (1,909) |
| Equity attributable to owners of the parent | | 2,439,189 | 1,553,037 | 960,264 |
| Non-controlling interest | 16 | 18,274 | 14,870 | 15,197 |
| TOTAL EQUITY | | 2,457,463 | 1,567,907 | 975,461 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Loans and borrowings | 17 | 53,430 | 53,388 | 201 |
| Provision for rehabilitation | 18 | 8,210 | 4,652 | - |
| TOTAL NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | 61,640 | 58,040 | 201 |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Loans and borrowings | 17 | 5,600 | 17,876 | 9,136 |
| Trade and other payables | 19 | 91,126 | 49,703 | 6,753 |
| Current tax payable | | 121 | - | - |
| TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES | | 96,847 | 67,579 | 15,889 |
| TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES | | 2,615,950 | 1,693,526 | 991,551 |

The accompanying notes form part of these financial statements

F-60

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY****FOR THE YEAR ENDED December 31, 2013**

| \$000 | Share Capital | Share Premium | Retained earnings/ (accumulated loss) | Other Reserves | Total Equity Attributable to Owners of the Parent | Non-Controlling Interest | Total Equity |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2011 | 1 | 802,563 | 1,170 | (234) | 803,500 | 15,153 | 818,653 |
| Fair value movement on available for sale financial asset | - | - | - | (1,675) | (1,675) | - | (1,675) |
| Total other comprehensive expense | - | - | - | (1,675) | (1,675) | - | (1,675) |
| Net (loss)/profit for the year | - | - | (336) | - | (336) | 44 | (292) |
| Total comprehensive expense | - | - | (336) | (1,675) | (2,011) | 44 | (1,967) |
| Shares issued to equity owners | 1 | 158,774 | - | - | 158,775 | - | 158,775 |
| BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2011 | 2 | 961,337 | 834 | (1,909) | 960,264 | 15,197 | 975,461 |
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2012 | 2 | 961,337 | 834 | (1,909) | 960,264 | 15,197 | 975,461 |
| Fair value movement on Available for sale financial asset | - | - | - | (364) | (364) | - | (364) |
| Total other comprehensive expense | - | - | - | (364) | (364) | - | (364) |
| Net loss for the year | - | - | (3,429) | - | (3,429) | (327) | (3,756) |
| Total comprehensive expense | - | - | (3,429) | (364) | (3,793) | (327) | (4,120) |
| Shares issued to equity owners | 1 | 596,565 | - | - | 596,566 | - | 596,566 |
| BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2012 | 3 | 1,557,902 | (2,595) | (2,273) | 1,553,037 | 14,870 | 1,567,907 |
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2013 | 3 | 1,557,902 | (2,595) | (2,273) | 1,553,037 | 14,870 | 1,567,907 |
| Fair value movement on available for sale financial asset | - | - | - | (799) | (799) | - | (799) |
| Total other comprehensive expense | - | - | - | (799) | (799) | - | (799) |
| Net profit for the year | - | - | 54,163 | - | 54,163 | 3,404 | 57,567 |
| Total comprehensive income/(expense) | - | - | 54,163 | (799) | 53,364 | 3,404 | 56,768 |
| Shares issued to equity owners | 1 | 832,787 | - | - | 832,788 | - | 832,788 |
| | 4 | 2,390,689 | 51,568 | (3,072) | 2,439,189 | 18,274 | 2,457,463 |

BALANCE AT DECEMBER
31, 2013

SHARE CAPITAL

The share capital comprises the issued ordinary shares of the company at par.

SHARE PREMIUM

The share premium comprises the excess value recognized from the issue of ordinary shares at par.

ACCUMULATED LOSS

Accumulated loss comprises the group's cumulative accounting loss since inception.

OTHER RESERVES

Other reserves comprises the group's cumulative fair value movement on the available for sale financial asset since inception in Kilo Goldmines Limited.

NON-CONTROLLING INTEREST

The non-controlling interest represents the total carrying value of the 10% interest Société minière de Kilo Moto (Sokimo) has in Kibali Goldmines Sprl, a subsidiary of Kibali (Jersey) Limited.

The accompanying notes form part of these financial statements

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS****FOR THE YEAR ENDED December 31, 2013**

| \$000 | Note | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities | | | | |
| Cash (used in)/generated by operations | 24 | (71,225) | (40,829) | 18 |
| Interest received | | 927 | 182 | 416 |
| Finance cost paid | | (5,139) | (822) | (6) |
| Dividends received from equity accounted joint venture | | 175 | - | - |
| Net cash flows (used in)/generated by operating activities | | (75,262) | (41,469) | 428 |
| Cash Flows Related to Investing Activities | | | | |
| Additions of property, plant and equipment | | (748,314) | (521,762) | (162,217) |
| Funds invested in equity accounted joint venture | | - | (26,391) | - |
| Net cash outflows (used in)/investing activities | | (748,314) | (548,153) | (162,217) |
| Cash Flows Relating to Financing Activities | | | | |
| Proceeds from issue of ordinary shares | | 832,788 | 596,566 | 158,774 |
| (Decrease)/increase loans and borrowings | | (16,444) | 2,833 | 1,966 |
| NET CASH INFLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | 816,344 | 599,399 | 160,740 |
| NET (DECREASE)/INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | (7,232) | 9,777 | (1,049) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR | | 11,913 | 2,136 | 3,185 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT THE END OF THE YEAR | 14 | 4,681 | 11,913 | 2,136 |

The accompanying notes form part of these financial statements

Table of Contents

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

for the year ended December 31, 2013

1. STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The principal accounting policies applied in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements are set out below. These policies have been consistently applied to all the years presented, unless otherwise stated.

Basis of Preparation

This is the group's first set of financial statements prepared. These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and Interpretations (collectively (IFRS)) issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). The group has not previously reported under any different accounting framework and as a consequence no reconciliation on adoption of IFRS is disclosed. The financial information was previously prepared under IFRS for consolidation purposes by shareholders without preparing a complete set of financial statements.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared under the historical cost convention, as modified by the revaluation of available-for-sale financial assets. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires the use of certain critical accounting estimates. It also requires management to exercise its judgment in the process of applying the group's accounting policies. The areas involving a high degree of judgment or complexity, or areas where assumptions and estimates are significant to the consolidated financial statements are disclosed in Note 2. The going concern basis has been adopted in preparing the consolidated financial statements. The directors have no reason to believe that the group will not be a going concern in the foreseeable future based on forecasts and available cash resources including funding provided by joint venture partners. The viability of the group is supported by the consolidated financial statements.

Standards effective in future periods

Certain new standards, amendments to standards and interpretations to existing standards have been published that are mandatory for the group's accounting periods beginning after January 1, 2013 or later periods to which the group has decided not to adopt early when early adoption is available. These are:

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| Accounting Standards | Impact | Effective period commencing on or after |
|----------------------|---|---|
| IAS 32 | Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities | January 1, 2014 |
| IFRS 9 | Financial Instruments | No effective date |

Table of Contents

None of the new standards, interpretations and amendments, which are effective for periods beginning after January 1, 2014 and which have not been adopted early, are expected to have a material effect on the group's future financial statements.

Consolidation

The consolidated financial information includes the financial information of the company, its subsidiaries and the company's equity interest in joint ventures using uniform accounting policies for like transactions and other events in similar circumstances.

Subsidiaries

Subsidiaries are entities over which the group has the power to govern the financial and operating policies, generally accompanying an interest of more than one half of the voting rights. Subsidiaries are fully consolidated from the date on which control or the ability to control is transferred to the group. They are deconsolidated from the date that control ceases. An investor controls an investee when it is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. The purchase method of accounting is used to account for the acquisition of subsidiaries by the group. The cost of an acquisition is measured at the fair value of the assets given, equity instruments issued and liabilities incurred or assumed at the date of exchange. Acquisition costs are expensed. Identifiable assets acquired (including mineral property interests) and liabilities and contingent liabilities assumed in a business combination are measured initially at their fair values at the acquisition date, irrespective of the extent of any non-controlling interest. The excess of the cost of acquisition over the fair value of the group's share of the identifiable net assets acquired is recorded as goodwill or other identifiable intangible assets. If the cost of acquisition is less than the fair value of the net assets of the subsidiary acquired, the difference is recognized directly in the statement of comprehensive income.

Inter-company transactions, balances and unrealized gains on transactions between group companies are eliminated. Unrealized losses are also eliminated unless the transaction provides evidence of an impairment of the asset transferred. Accounting policies of subsidiaries have been changed where necessary to ensure consistency with the policies adopted by the group.

Joint ventures

Joint ventures are those entities in which the group holds a long term interest and which are jointly controlled by the group and one or more joint venture partners under a contractual arrangement. Joint control is the contractually sharing of control of an arrangement, which exists only when decisions about the relevant activities require the unanimous consent of the parties sharing the control. Before assessing whether the group has joint control over an arrangement, the group assesses whether the parties control the arrangement. The parties have control over the arrangement when it is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. The group's classification of a joint arrangement as a joint operation or joint venture depends upon the rights and obligations of the parties to the arrangement. The group determines the type of the joint arrangement in which it is involved by considering the structure and form of the arrangement, the terms agreed by the parties in the contractual arrangement and other facts and circumstances.

F-64

Table of Contents

Investments in associated companies and joint ventures are accounted for in the consolidated financial statements using the equity method of accounting less impairment losses, if any.

Acquisitions

Investments in joint ventures are initially recognized at cost. The cost of an acquisition is measured at the fair value of the assets given, equity instruments issued or liabilities incurred or assumed at the date of exchange, plus costs directly attributable to the acquisition. Goodwill on associated companies and joint ventures represents the excess of the cost of acquisition of the associate or joint venture over the group's share of the fair value of the identifiable net assets of the associate or joint venture and is included in the carrying amount of the investments.

Equity method of accounting

In applying the equity method of accounting, the group's share of its associated companies and joint ventures' post-acquisition profits or losses are recognized in profit or loss and its share of post-acquisition other comprehensive income is recognized in other comprehensive income. These post-acquisition movements and distributions received from the associated and joint venture companies are adjusted against the carrying amount of the investments. When the group's share of losses in an associated or joint venture company equals or exceeds its interest in the associated or joint venture company, including any other unsecured noncurrent receivables, the group does not recognize further losses, unless it has obligations to make or has made payments on behalf of the associated or joint venture company. Unrealized gains on transactions between the group and its associated and joint venture companies are eliminated to the extent of the group's interest in the associated and joint venture companies. Unrealized losses are also eliminated unless the transaction provides evidence of an impairment of the asset transferred. The accounting policies of associated and joint venture companies have been changed where necessary to ensure consistency with the accounting policies adopted by the group.

Segmental reporting

An operating segment is a group of assets and operations engaged in performing mining or advanced exploration that are subject to risks and returns that are different from those of other segments. Other parts of the business are aggregated and treated as part of a 'corporate' segment. The group provides segmental information using the same categories of information the group's chief operating decision maker utilizes. The group's chief operating decision maker is considered by management to be the board of directors. The group has only one business segment, that of gold mining. Segment analysis is based on the mining operations and exploration projects that have a significant amount of capitalized expenditure or other fixed assets.

F-65

Table of Contents

Foreign currency translation

Functional and presentation currency

Items included in the financial statements of each of the group's entities are measured using the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates (the functional currency, which is US dollars). The consolidated financial statements are presented in US dollars.

Transactions and balances

Foreign currency transactions are translated into the relevant functional currency using the exchange rates prevailing at the date of the transactions. Foreign exchange gains and losses resulting from the settlement of such transactions and from the translation at year end exchange rates of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are recognized in the statement of comprehensive income in other income and other expenses.

Group companies

The results and financial position of material group entities (none of which has the currency of a hyperinflationary economy) that have a functional currency different from the presentational currency are translated into the presentation currency as follows:

- assets and liabilities for each statement of financial position presented are translated at the closing rate at the date of that Statement of Financial Position;
- income and expenses for each Statement of Comprehensive Income are translated at average exchange rates (unless this average is not a reasonable approximation of the cumulative effect of the rates prevailing on the transaction dates, in which case income and expenses are translated at the rate on the dates of the transactions); and

· all resulting exchange differences are recognized in other comprehensive income. There were no exchange differences on translation of subsidiaries recognized in 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Royalties

Royalty arrangements based on mineral production are in place at each operating mine. The primary type of royalty is a net smelter return royalty. Under this type of royalty the group pays the host country's government an amount calculated as the royalty percentage multiplied by the value of gold production at market gold prices less selling costs. Royalty expense is recorded when revenue from the sale of gold is recognized.

Intangible assets

Mineral properties

Mineral properties acquired are recognized at fair value at the acquisition date. Mineral properties are recognized at fair value if acquired as part of a business combination, whereas they are recognized at cost if acquired as an asset. Mineral properties are tested annually for impairment on the same basis that property, plant and equipment are when there is an indication of impairment. Mineral properties will be amortized on a units of production basis from the point at which the mine commences production (Refer to 'depreciation and amortization' policy below).

Property, plant and equipment

Undeveloped properties

Undeveloped properties upon which the group has not performed sufficient exploration work to determine whether significant mineralization exists are carried at original cost. Where the directors consider that there is little likelihood of the properties being exploited, or the value of the exploitable rights has diminished below cost, the impairment is recorded.

Table of Contents

Long-lived assets and mine development costs

Long-lived assets including development costs and mine plant facilities (such as processing plants, tailings facilities, raw water dams and power stations) are initially recorded at cost. Development of ore bodies includes the development cost of shaft systems and waste rock removal that allows access to reserves that are economically recoverable in future.

Cost associated with underground development are capitalized when the works provide access to the ore body, whereas costs associated with ore extraction from operating ore body sections are treated as operating costs.

Where relevant the estimated cost of dismantling the asset and remediating the site is included in the cost of property, plant and equipment, subsequently they are measured at cost less accumulated amortization and impairment.

Development costs consist primarily of direct expenditure incurred to establish or expand productive capacity and are capitalised until commercial levels of production are achieved (refer to 'commercial production'), after which the costs are amortized.

Costs are capitalized provided that the project is deemed to be commercially, technically and economically viable. Such viability is deemed to be achieved when the group is confident that the project will provide a satisfactory return relative to its perceived risks and is sufficiently certain of economic production. Costs which are necessarily incurred while commissioning new assets, in the period before they are capable of operating in the manner intended by management, are capitalized under 'Long-lived assets and mine development costs'. Development costs incurred after the commencement of production are capitalized to the extent they are expected to give rise to a future economic benefit.

Short-lived assets

Short-lived assets including non-mining assets are shown at cost less accumulated depreciation and impairment.

Commercial production

The group assesses the stage of the mine construction project to determine when a mine moves into the production stage. The criteria used to assess the start date are determined based on the unique nature of the mine construction project. The group considers various relevant criteria to assess when the mine is substantially complete, ready for its intended use, and moves into production stage. Some of the criteria would include but are not limited to the following:

- the level of capital expenditure compared to the construction cost estimates;
- completion of reasonable period of testing of the mine plant and equipment;
- ability to produce gold in saleable form (within specifications); and
- ability to sustain ongoing production of gold.

When a mine construction project moves into the production stage, the capitalization of certain mine construction costs ceases and costs are either regarded as inventory or expensed, except for capitalizable costs related to mining asset additions or improvements, underground mine development or ore reserve development.

Development expenditure approval

Development activities commence after project sanctioning by the appropriate level of management. Judgment is applied by management in determining when a project has reached a stage at which economically recoverable reserves exist such that development may be sanctioned. In exercising this judgment, management is required to make certain estimates and assumptions similar to those described below for capitalized exploration and evaluation expenditure. Any such estimates and assumptions may change as new information becomes available. If, after having started the development activity, a judgment is made that a development asset is impaired, the appropriate amount will be written off to the statement of comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

Stripping costs

In surface mining operations, the group may find it necessary to remove waste materials ('overburden') to gain access to mineral ore deposits. This waste removal activity is known as 'stripping'. There are two benefits accruing to the group from stripping activity: usable ore that can be used to produce inventory and improved access to further quantities of material that will be mined in future periods as stripping costs within property, plant and equipment. Stripping costs are measured internally and capitalized until the point where the overburden has been removed and access to the ore commences. Economic ore extracted during this period and subsequently is accounted for as inventory. The group componentizes each of its mines into geographically distinct ore body sections to which the stripping activities being undertaken within that component are allocated. The group depreciates the deferred costs capitalized as stripping assets on a unit of production method, with reference to the ex-pit ore production from the relevant ore body section.

Depreciation and amortization

Long-lived assets include mining properties, such as freehold land, metallurgical plant, tailings and raw water dams, power plant and mine infrastructure, as well as mine development costs and are depreciated on a units of production basis.

Depreciation and amortization are charged over the life of the mine (or over the remaining useful life of the asset, if shorter) based on estimated ore tonnes contained in proven and probable reserves to be extracted using the relevant asset, to reduce the cost to estimated residual values. As an example, underground assets are depreciated over underground proven and probable reserves and tonnes milled from those ore bodies. No future capital expenditure is included in the depreciable value. Proven and probable ore reserves reflect estimated quantities of economically recoverable reserves, which can be recovered in the future from known mineral deposits. Only proven and probable reserves are used in the tonnes milled units of production depreciation calculation. Any changes to the expected life of the mine (or asset) are applied prospectively in calculating depreciation and amortization charges. Short-lived assets which include motor vehicles, office equipment and computer equipment are depreciated over estimated useful lives of between two to five years but limited to the remaining mine life. Residual values and useful lives are reviewed, and adjusted if appropriate, at each statement of financial position date. Changes to the estimated residual values or useful lives are accounted for prospectively.

Impairment

The carrying amount of the property, plant and equipment and investments in joint ventures of the group is compared to the recoverable amount of the assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the net book value

may not be recoverable. The recoverable amount is the higher of value in use and the fair value less cost to sell. In assessing the value in use, the expected future cash flows from the assets is determined by applying a discount rate to the anticipated risk adjusted future cash flows. The discount rate used is derived from the group's weighted average cost of capital adjusted for asset specific factors as applicable. Only proven and probable reserves are used in the calculations and the models used approved mine plans and exclude capital expenditure which enhance the assets or extract tonnes outside of such approved mine plans. An impairment is recognized in the statement of comprehensive income to the extent that the carrying amount exceeds the assets' recoverable amount. The revised carrying amounts are amortized in line with group accounting policies.

F-68

Table of Contents

A previously recognized impairment loss is reversed if the recoverable amount increases as a result of a reversal of the conditions that originally resulted in the impairment. This reversal is recognized in the statement of comprehensive income and is limited to the carrying amount that would have been determined, net of depreciation, had no impairment loss been recognized in prior years. Assets are grouped at the lowest levels for which there are separately identifiable cash flows (cash-generating units) for purposes of assessing impairment. The estimates of future discounted cash flows are subject to risks and uncertainties including the future gold price. It is therefore reasonably possible that changes could occur which may affect the recoverability of property, plant and equipment, and investments in joint ventures.

Inventories

Inventories include ore stockpiles, gold in process and doré, and supplies and spares and are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value. The cost of ore stockpiles and gold produced is determined principally by the weighted average cost method using related production costs. Costs of stockpiles include costs incurred up to the point of stockpiling, such as mining and grade control costs, but exclude future costs of production.

Costs of gold inventories include all costs incurred up until production of an ounce of gold such as milling costs, mining costs and directly attributable mine general and administration costs but exclude transport costs, refining costs and royalties. Net realizable value is determined with reference to estimated contained gold and market gold prices. Ore extracted is allocated to separate stockpiles based on estimated grade, with grades below defined cut-off levels treated as waste and expensed. At Kibali, full grade ore stockpile is above 0.64g/t and no marginal ore is stockpiled while mining oxide material.

The processing of ore in stockpiles occurs in accordance with the life of mine processing plan that has been optimized based on the known mineral reserves, current plant capacity and mine design. Ore tonnes contained in the stockpile which exceed the annual tonnes to be milled as per the mine plan over the next twelve months, are classified as non-current in the statement of financial position.

Stores and materials consist of consumable stores and are valued at weighted average cost after appropriate impairment of redundant and slow-moving items. Consumable inventory for which the group has substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership are brought onto the statement of financial position as current assets.

Interest/borrowing costs

Interest is recognized on a time proportion basis, taking into account the principal outstanding and the effective rate over the period to maturity. Borrowing cost is expensed as incurred except to the extent that it relates directly to the construction of property, plant and equipment during the time that is required to complete and prepare the asset for its intended use, when it is capitalized as part of property, plant and equipment. Borrowing cost is capitalized as part of the cost of the asset where it is probable that the asset will result in economic benefit and where the borrowing cost can be measured reliably.

F-69

Table of Contents

Financial instruments

Financial instruments are measured as set out below. Financial instruments carried on the Statement of Financial Position include 1) cash and cash equivalents, 2) trade and other receivables, 3) trade and other payables, 4) borrowings and 5) available for sale financial assets.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are carried in the Statement of Financial Position at cost. For the purpose of the Cash Flow Statement, cash and cash equivalents comprise cash on hand, deposits held at call with banks, other short-term highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase. In the Statement of Financial Position, bank overdrafts are included in borrowings in current liabilities and are not considered to form cash and cash equivalents.

Trade and other receivables

Trade and other receivables are recognized initially at fair value. There is a rebuttable presumption that the transaction price is fair value unless this could be refuted by reference to market indicators. Subsequently, trade and other receivables are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, less provision for impairment. A provision for impairment of trade receivables is established when there is objective evidence that the company will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the original terms of receivables. Significant financial difficulties of the debtor, probability that the debtor will enter bankruptcy or financial reorganization, and default or delinquency in payments are considered indicators that the trade receivable may be impaired. The amount of the provision is the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows, discounted at the effective interest rate. The amount of the provision is recognized in mining and processing costs in the Statement of Comprehensive Income.

Trade and other payables

Accounts payable and other short-term monetary liabilities, are initially recognized at fair value and subsequently carried at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

Borrowings

Borrowings are recognized initially at fair value, net of transaction costs incurred. Borrowings are subsequently stated at amortized cost; any difference between the proceeds (net of transaction costs) and the redemption value is recognized in the Statement of Comprehensive Income over the period of the borrowings using the effective interest method. Borrowings are classified as current liabilities unless the company has an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least 12 months after the statement of financial position date.

Available for sale financial assets

Available for sale financial assets are nonderivatives that are either designated in this category or not classified in any of the other categories. Available for sale financial assets are designated on acquisition. They are normally included in current assets and are carried at fair value. Where a decline in the fair value of an available for sale financial asset constitutes objective evidence of impairment, the amount of the loss is recognized in the Statement of Comprehensive Income within other expenses, other movements in fair value are recognized in other reserves within other comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

Rehabilitation costs

The net present value of estimated future rehabilitation costs is provided for in the financial statements and capitalized within mining assets on initial recognition. Rehabilitation will generally occur on closure or after closure of a mine. Initial recognition is at the time of the disturbance occurring and thereafter as and when additional disturbances take place. The estimates are reviewed annually to take into account the effects of inflation and changes in estimates and are discounted using rates that reflect the time value of money. Annual increases in the provision due to the unwinding of the discount are recognized in the Statement of Comprehensive Income as a finance cost. The present value of additional disturbances and changes in the estimate of the rehabilitation liability are capitalized to mining assets against an increase/decrease in the rehabilitation provision. The rehabilitation asset is amortized as noted previously. Rehabilitation projects undertaken, included in the estimates, are charged to the provision as incurred.

Environmental liabilities, other than rehabilitation costs, which relate to liabilities arising from specific events, are expensed when they are known, probable and may be reasonably estimated.

Provisions

Provisions are recognized when the company has a present legal or constructive obligation as a result of past events where it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation, and a reliable estimate of the amount of the obligation can be made.

Current tax

Current tax is the tax expected to be payable on the taxable income for the year calculated using rates (and laws) that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the reporting date. It includes adjustments for tax expected to be payable or recoverable in respect of previous periods.

Deferred tax

Deferred tax is provided in full, using the liability method, on temporary differences arising between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts in the consolidated financial statements. However, if the temporary difference arises from initial recognition of an asset or liability in a transaction other than a business combination that at the time of the transaction affects neither accounting nor taxable profit nor loss, it is not recognized. Deferred tax is determined using tax rates (and laws) that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the statement of financial position date and are expected to apply when the temporary differences

F-71

Table of Contents

reverses. Deferred tax assets are recognized to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profit will be available against which the temporary differences can be utilized.

Share capital

Ordinary shares are classified as equity. Incremental costs directly attributable to the issue of new shares or options are shown in equity as a deduction from the proceeds.

Leases

Determining whether an arrangement is, or contains, a lease is based on the substance of the arrangement and requires an assessment of whether fulfillment of the arrangement is dependent on the use of a specific asset or assets and whether the arrangement conveys a right to use the asset. Leases of plant and equipment where the company assumes a significant portion of risks and rewards of ownership are classified as a finance lease. Finance leases are capitalized at the estimated present value of the underlying lease payments. Each lease payment is allocated between the liability and the finance charges to achieve a constant rate on the finance balance outstanding. The interest portion of the finance payment is charged to the Statement of Comprehensive Income over the lease period. The plant and equipment acquired under the finance lease are depreciated over the useful lives of the assets, or over the lease term if shorter.

Leases in which a significant portion of the risks and rewards of ownership are retained by the lessor are classified as operating leases. Payments made under operating leases are charged to the Statement of Comprehensive Income on a straight-line basis over the period of the lease.

Revenue recognition

The group enters into contracts for the sale of gold. Revenue arising from gold sales under these contracts is recognized when the price is determinable, the product has been delivered in accordance with the terms of the contract, the significant risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred to the customer and collection of the sales price is reasonably assured. These criteria are met when the gold leaves the mines' smelt houses. As sales from gold contracts are subject to customer survey adjustment, sales are initially recorded on a provisional basis using the group's best estimate of the contained metal. Subsequent adjustments are recorded in revenue to take into account final

assay and weight certificates from the refinery, if different from the initial certificates. The differences between the estimated and actual contained gold have not been material.

Exploration and evaluation costs

The group expenses all exploration and evaluation expenditures until the directors conclude that a future economic benefit is more likely than not of being realized, i.e. 'probable'. While the criteria for concluding that expenditure should be capitalized is always probable, the information that the directors use to make that determination depends on the level of exploration.

Exploration and evaluation expenditure on brownfield sites, being those adjacent to mineral deposits which are already being mined or developed, is expensed as incurred until the directors are able to demonstrate that future economic benefits are probable through the completion of a prefeasibility study, after which the expenditure is capitalized as a mine development cost. A 'prefeasibility study' consists of a comprehensive study of the viability of a mineral project that has advanced to a stage where the mining method, in the case of underground mining, or the pit configuration, in the case of an open pit, has been established, and which, if an effective method of mineral processing has been determined, includes a financial analysis based on reasonable assumptions of technical, engineering, operating economic factors and the evaluation of other relevant factors. The prefeasibility study, when combined with existing knowledge of the mineral property that is adjacent to mineral deposits that are already being mined or developed, allow the directors to conclude that it is more likely than not that the group will obtain future economic benefit from the expenditures.

Table of Contents

Exploration and evaluation expenditure on greenfield sites, being those where the group does not have any mineral deposits which are already being mined or developed, is expensed until such time as the directors have sufficient information to determine that future economic benefits are probable, after which the expenditure is capitalized as a mine development cost. The information required by directors is typically a final feasibility study; however, a prefeasibility study may be deemed to be sufficient where the additional work required to prepare a final feasibility study is not significant or the work done at prefeasibility level clearly demonstrates an economic asset. Exploration and evaluation expenditure relating to extensions of mineral deposits which are already being mined or developed, including expenditure on the definition of mineralization of such mineral deposits, is capitalized as a mine development cost following the completion of an economic evaluation equivalent to a prefeasibility study. This economic evaluation is distinguished from a prefeasibility study in that some of the information that would normally be determined in a prefeasibility study is instead obtained from the existing mine or development. This information when combined with existing knowledge of the mineral property already being mined or developed allows the directors to conclude that more likely than not the company will obtain future economic benefit from the expenditures. Costs relating to property acquisitions are capitalized within development costs.

2. KEY ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND JUDGMENTS

Some of the accounting policies require the application of significant judgment by management in selecting the appropriate assumptions for calculating financial estimates or determining the appropriate accounting treatment for a transaction.

By their nature, these judgments are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty and are based on historical experience, terms of existing contracts, management's view on trends in the gold mining industry and information from outside sources.

The estimates and assumptions that have a significant risk of causing a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities are discussed below:

Table of Contents

TVA

Included in trade and other receivables is a recoverable value added tax (TVA) balance of \$81 million (all current) owing by the fiscal authorities in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC).

The TVA balance is considered recoverable given the receipts obtained during the year, although the recovery and timing is subject to estimates.

The group continues to seek recovery of TVA in the DRC, in line with its mining convention. Judgment exists in assessing recovery of this TVA balance.

Future rehabilitation obligations

The net present value of current rehabilitation estimates have been discounted to their present value at 2.5% per annum (2012: 2.5%) being the prevailing risk-free interest rates. Expenditure is expected to be incurred at the end of the mine life. For further information, including the carrying amounts of the liabilities, refer to Note 18. A 1% change in the discount rate on the group's rehabilitation estimates would result in an impact of US\$1.6 million (2012: US\$0.9 million) on the provision for environmental rehabilitation, and an impact of US\$0.05 million (2012: Nil) on the statement of comprehensive income. The group had no future rehabilitation obligation at December 31, 2011.

Gold price assumptions for reserve determination

The following gold prices were used in the mineral reserves optimization calculation:

| US\$/oz | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Kibali | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |

Changes in the gold price used could result in changes in the mineral reserve optimization calculations. Mine modeling is a complex process and hence it is not feasible to perform sensitivities on gold price assumptions in respect

of ore reserves.

Determination of ore reserves

The group estimates its ore reserves and mineral resources based on information compiled by Competent Persons as defined in accordance with the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves of December 2004 (the JORC code). Reserves determined in this way are used in the calculation of depreciation, amortization and impairment charges, as well as the assessment of the carrying value of mining assets. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating ore reserves and assumptions that are valid at the time of estimation may change significantly when new information becomes available. Changes in the forecast prices of commodities, exchange rates, production costs or recovery rates may change the economic status of reserves and may, ultimately, result in the reserves being restated.

Exploration and evaluation expenditure

The group has to apply judgment in determining whether exploration and evaluation expenditure should be capitalized or expensed. Management exercises this judgment based on the results of economic evaluations, prefeasibility or feasibility studies. Costs are capitalized where those studies conclude that more likely than not the group will obtain future economic benefit from the expenditures.

F-74

Table of Contents

Capitalization and depreciation

There are several methods for calculating depreciation, i.e. the straight line method, the production method using ounces produced and the production method using tonnes milled. The directors believe that the tonnes milled method is the best indication of plant and infrastructure usage. Refer to note 1 for the depreciation policy. Estimates are required regarding the allocation of assets to relevant proven and probable reserves.

The group applies judgment in allocating costs between operating and capital items in respect of underground mining. Costs are capitalized when the activity provides access to future ore bodies and are expensed as operating costs when the works involve extraction of ore from operational sections of the ore body.

Additionally, judgment was required when determining the date at which commercial production was achieved and depreciation commenced at Kibali. Similarly, given ongoing mine construction and development, judgment was required in allocating costs between operating costs, ore stockpiles and ongoing capital works. Costs have been allocated based on the underlying activity and economic benefits.

Stockpiles, gold in process and product inventories

Costs that are incurred in or benefit the productive process are accumulated as stockpiles, gold in process and product inventories. Net realizable value tests are performed at least annually and represent the estimated future sales price of the product based on prevailing spot metals prices at the reporting date, less estimated costs to complete production and bring the product to sale.

Stockpiles are measured by estimating the number of tonnes added and removed from the stockpile, the number of contained gold ounces based on assay data, and the estimated recovery percentage based on the expected processing method. Stockpile tonnages are verified by periodic surveys.

Carrying values of property, plant and equipment and mineral properties

The group assesses at each reporting period whether there is any indication that these assets may be impaired. If such indication exists, the group estimates the recoverable amount of the asset. The recoverable amount is assessed by reference to the higher of 'value in use' (being the net present value of expected future cash flows of the relevant cash

generating unit) and 'fair value less cost to sell'. The estimates used for impairment reviews are based on detailed mine plans and operating plans. Future cash flows are based on estimates of:

- the quantities of the proven and probable reserves being reserves for which there is a high degree of confidence in economic extraction;
- future production levels;

F-75

Table of Contents**2. KEY ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND JUDGMENTS (CONTINUED)**

- future commodity prices;
- future cash cost of production and capital expenditure associated with extraction of the proven and probable reserves in the approved mine plan;
- future gold prices – a gold price curve from a leading merchant bank was used for the impairment calculations starting at a US\$1,250 gold price and discount rate of 9.4% pre-tax.

The impairment test did not indicate impairment and head room existed at the mine. Given the significance of gold prices and the longevity of the mine plan, the directors consider gold price and discount rate sensitivities to be relevant. A reduction in forward gold prices in excess of 14% would give rise to material impairment. The discount rate would need to increase by at least 54% to 14.48% to give rise to impairment at the mine with the greatest discount rate sensitivity. However, having considered such scenarios, the directors remain satisfied that no impairment is appropriate. The model is considered suitably conservative with proven and probable reserves determined based on US\$1,000/oz gold price.

3. OTHER INCOME

| | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| \$000 | | | |
| From operating activities | | | |
| Lease income | - | - | 1,521 |
| Operation of guest house | 1,379 | 286 | 189 |
| Net foreign exchange gains | 1,656 | - | 271 |
| | 3,035 | 286 | 1,981 |

The total other income is not considered to be part of the main revenue generating activities, the group presents this income separately from revenue.

4. MINING AND PROCESSING COSTS

| \$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Mining and processing costs comprise | | | |
| Mine production costs | 22,577 | - | - |
| Movement in production inventory and ore stockpiles | (10,596) | - | - |
| Depreciation and amortization | 14,863 | - | - |
| Other mining and processing costs | 26,608 | - | - |
| | 53,452 | - | - |

5. EXPLORATION and corporate expenditure

| \$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Exploration and corporate expenditure comprise | | | |
| Exploration expenditure | 3,284 | 1,632 | - |
| Corporate expenditure | 2,627 | 3,898 | 3,054 |
| | 5,911 | 5,530 | 3,054 |

F-76

Table of Contents**6. FINANCE INCOME AND COSTS**

| \$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Finance income | | | |
| Bank Interest | 42 | 26 | 25 |
| Interest received – loans and receivables | 3,924 | 1,422 | 762 |
| Total finance income | 3,966 | 1,448 | 787 |
| Finance costs | | | |
| Interest expense on finance lease | (4,495) | (1,825) | - |
| Interest expense on bank borrowings | (1) | (14) | (6) |
| Interest capitalized | 3,360 | 1,825 | - |
| Unwind of discount on provisions for rehabilitation | (116) | - | - |
| Total finance costs | (1,252) | (14) | (6) |
| Net finance income | 2,714 | 1,434 | 781 |

7. INCOME TAXES

| \$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Current taxation | 121 | - | - |
| Deferred taxation 10 | (4,860) | - | - |
| | (4,739) | - | - |

The tax on the group's profit before tax differs from the theoretical amount that would arise using the statutory tax rate applicable to the group's operations. Deferred taxation is discussed in note 10.

| \$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Profit/(loss) before tax | 52,828 | (3,756) | (292) |
| Tax calculated at the effective tax rate of 30% | 15,848 | (1,127) | (88) |
| Reconciling items: | | | |
| Exempt income | (7,903) | (3,207) | (2,914) |
| Previous unrecognized losses utilized | (9,294) | - | - |
| Assessed loss not utilized | - | 4,334 | 3,002 |
| Net capital allowances not deductible | (3,511) | - | - |
| Corporate tax at 1/1000 from turnover | 121 | - | - |
| Taxation charges | (4,739) | - | - |

The group is not subject to income tax in Jersey. In the Democratic Republic of Congo, the effective tax rate is 30%. The Kibali operations attract corporation tax in the DRC. Kibali is required to pay a minimum of 1/1000 of the company's revenue which resulted in a minimum corporate tax of \$0.122 million. Kibali operations have capital allowances and non-capital tax losses for deduction against future mining income. Kibali (Jersey) Limited's estimated gross non-capital tax losses carried forward from 2012 amounted to US\$30.9 million (2011: US\$23.3 million) (2010: US\$19.6 million) and gross capital allowances which have been recognized and not utilized carried forward from 2012 amounted to US\$6.8 million (2011: US\$1.9 million) (2010: US\$2.1 million). Deferred taxation is discussed in Note 10.

Table of Contents**8. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT**

| \$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Mine properties, mine development costs and mine plant facilities and equipment cost | | | |
| Cost | | | |
| Balance at the beginning of the year | 822,297 | 232,431 | 70,207 |
| Additions | 762,156 | 589,866 | 162,224 |
| Balance at the end of the year | 1,584,453 | 822,297 | 232,431 |
| Accumulated depreciation | | | |
| Balance at the beginning of the year | (11,500) | (4,692) | (2,731) |
| Depreciation charged for the year | (19,378) | (6,808) | (1,961) |
| Balance at the end of the year | (30,878) | (11,500) | (4,692) |
| Net book value | 1,553,575 | 810,797 | 227,739 |
| Short-lived assets | | | |

Included in property, plant and equipment are short-lived assets which are depreciated over a short life which reflects their likely useful economic life and are comprised of motor vehicles, computer equipment, aircrafts, fixtures and fittings. The net book value of these assets was US\$3.8 million at December 31, 2013 (US\$3.7 million at December 31, 2012) (US\$5.5 million at December 31, 2011).

Rehabilitation asset

A rehabilitation asset has been recognized in the period relating to the rehabilitation liability to the value of US\$8.0 million (2012: US\$4.7 million) (2011: US\$ Nil) (refer to Note 18). Depreciation of the rehabilitation asset began on October 1, 2013 when the group mine commenced commercial production. The asset is depreciated over the life of the mine on a unit of production basis.

Leased assets

The net carrying amount of property, plant and equipment includes the following amount in respect of assets held under finance lease (refer to Note 20):

| | Dec. | Dec. | Dec. |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 31, | 31, | 31, |
| \$000 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| KAS 1 Limited equipment | 53,960 | 56,737 | - |

KAS 1 Limited is an asset leasing joint venture in which the group has a 50.1% interest. Together with DTP Terrassement, the group provides funding to KAS 1 Limited to buy the assets and in return leases the assets under a finance lease to Kibali Goldmines Sprl, a subsidiary of the group. Refer note 11, 20, 26 and 27.

F-78

Table of Contents**9. MINERAL PROPERTIES**

| \$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Cost | | | |
| At the beginning of the year | 745,092 | 745,092 | 744,763 |
| Adjustment in respect of the settlement of acquisition cost | - | - | 329 |
| At the end of the year | 745,092 | 745,092 | 745,092 |
| Amortization | | | |
| At the beginning of the year | - | - | - |
| Charge for the year | (2,164) | - | - |
| At the end of the year | (2,164) | - | - |
| Net book value | 745,928 | 745,092 | 745,092 |

Mineral properties represent the fair value attributable to license interest on the acquisition of Moto Goldmines Limited ('Moto') in 2009. Principally being a 70% interest in the Moto project, as well as a further 20% interest in the Moto project. The balance has been amortized over the life of mine on a unit of production basis since the group commenced commercial production at October 1, 2013.

10. DEFERRED TAXATION

| \$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Deferred taxation is calculated on temporary differences under the liability method using a tax rate of 30% in respect of the DRC operations. | | | |
| The movement on deferred taxation is as follows: | | | |
| At the beginning of the year | - | - | - |
| Statement of comprehensive income | 4,860 | - | - |
| At the end of the year | 4,860 | - | - |
| Deferred taxation comprise the following: | | | |
| Tax losses carried forward attributable to accelerated capital allowances | 222,046 | - | - |
| Property, plant and equipment | (217,057) | - | - |
| Rehabilitation provision | (129) | - | - |
| Net deferred taxation asset | 4,860 | - | - |

Deferred tax assets are expected to be utilized against future taxable profits arising within the Kibali (Jersey) Limited group following commencement of commercial production in 2013.

F-79

Table of Contents**11. TRADE AND OTHER RECEIVABLES**

| \$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Advances to contractors | 24,869 | 3,821 | 4,597 |
| Trade receivables | 17,006 | - | - |
| Prepayments and other receivables | 16,567 | 21,871 | 5,094 |
| Loan to Société minière de Kilo Moto (Sokimo) (refer to Note 27) | 13,696 | 10,619 | 4,872 |
| Other loans | 8,082 | - | - |
| TVA receivables | 80,961 | 47,030 | - |
| Hire purchase loans | 7,083 | 8,412 | - |
| | 168,264 | 91,753 | 14,563 |
| Less: Non-current portion | | | |
| Loan to Sokimo | 13,696 | 10,619 | 4,872 |
| Other loans | 5,765 | - | - |
| Hire purchase loans | 3,468 | 5,319 | - |
| | 22,929 | 15,938 | 4,872 |
| Current portion | 145,335 | 75,815 | 9,691 |

The fair values of trade and other receivables classified as loans and receivables are as follows:

| \$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Advances to contractors | 24,869 | 3,821 | 4,597 |
| Trade receivables | 17,006 | - | - |
| Prepayments and other receivables | 16,567 | 21,871 | 5,094 |
| Loan to Sokimo (note 27) | 13,696 | 10,619 | 4,872 |
| Loan to KAS 1 Limited (note 27) | 29,076 | 27,237 | - |
| Other loans | 8,082 | - | - |
| TVA receivables | 80,961 | 47,030 | - |
| Hire purchase loans | 7,083 | 8,412 | - |
| | 197,340 | 118,990 | 14,563 |

The classes within trade and other receivables do not contain impaired assets. The credit quality of receivables that are not past due or impaired remains very high. The maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date is the fair value of each class of receivable mentioned above. The company does not hold any collateral as security. Refer to note 22 for further information on the concentration of credit risk.

The terms of payment of trade receivables is less than seven days, advances to contractors 30 days and value added taxation is recoverable over six months. The group continues to seek recovery of TVA in the DRC in line with its mining convention. Judgment exists in assessing recovery of this amount.

The loan to Sokimo bears interest at 8% and will be repaid through future dividends.

The hire purchase loans bear interest at the aggregate of 10% and the Federal Reserve Rate of 0.75%. The loans are repayable over three years.

The loan to KAS 1 Limited bears interest at 8% and has no fixed repayment terms.

F-80

Table of Contents

Included in “Other loans” is a loan of \$7.6 million, repayable through future deductions from invoices. The balance of “Other loans” includes a loan to Randgold Resources Limited of \$0.3 million which has no terms of repayment.

All non-current receivables are due after 12 months.

12. INVENTORIES AND ORE STOCKPILES

| | Dec. 31, | Dec. | Dec. |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \$000 | 2013 | 31, 2012 | 31, 2011 |
| Consumables stores | 33,024 | 5,735 | 712 |
| Ore stockpiles | 72,492 | - | - |
| Gold in process | 6,889 | - | - |
| | 112,405 | 5,735 | 712 |

All inventory and ore stockpiles are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value.

13. AVAILABLE FOR SALE FINANCIAL ASSET

| | Dec. | Dec. | Dec. |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \$000 | 31, 2013 | 31, 2012 | 31, 2011 |
| Balance at the beginning of the year | 945 | 1,309 | 2,984 |
| Fair value movement recognized in other comprehensive income | (755) | (393) | (1,700) |
| Exchange differences | (44) | 29 | 25 |
| Balance at the end of the year | 146 | 945 | 1,309 |

14. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

| | Dec. | Dec. | Dec. |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \$000 | 31, 2013 | 31, 2012 | 31, 2011 |
| Cash at bank and in hand (excluding Bank overdrafts) | 4,681 | 11,913 | 2,136 |

15. SHARE CAPITAL AND PREMIUM

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

The total authorized number of ordinary shares is 10,000 (2012: 10,000) (2011: 10,000) for the total value of US\$ 1,000 (2012: US\$ 1,000) (2011: US\$ 1,000). All issued shares are fully paid. The total number of issued shares at December 31, 2013 was 4,428 shares (2012: 2,890) (2011: 1,786).

Randgold Resources Limited and AngloGold Ashanti Limited are joint venture partners and shareholders of Kibali (Jersey) Limited, having acquired all 4,428 outstanding ordinary shares.

Please refer to the Statement of Changes in Equity on page F-61 of this Annual Report for more detail on the annual movement of share capital and share premium.

F-81

Table of Contents

| | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| \$000 | | | |
| Movement in the number of ordinary shares outstanding: | | | |
| Balance at the beginning of the year | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Shares issued | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Balance at the end of the year | 4 | 3 | 2 |

16. NON-CONTROLLING INTEREST

| | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| \$000 | | | |
| Balance at the beginning of the year | 14,870 | 15,197 | 15,153 |
| Non-controlling interest in results of Kibali Goldmines | 3,404 | (327) | 44 |
| Balance at the end of the year | 18,274 | 14,870 | 15,197 |

The non-controlling interest represents the 10% interest Société minière de Kilo Moto (Sokimo) has in Kibali Goldmines Limited, which is a subsidiary of Kibali (Jersey) Limited.

17. LOANS AND BORROWINGS

| | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| \$000 | | | |
| Non-current | | | |
| Finance lease liability (note 20) | 53,013 | 53,182 | - |
| Loan – Randgold Resources Ltd (note 27) | 216 | 206 | 201 |
| Loan – Société des Mines de Tongon SA (note 27) | 178 | - | - |
| Loan – Société des Mines de Loulo SA (note 27) | 23 | - | - |
| | 53,430 | 53,388 | 201 |
| Current | | | |
| Finance lease liability (note 20) | 4,736 | 5,912 | - |
| Loan – Randgold Resources Ltd | 864 | 6,383 | 5,398 |
| Bank overdraft | - | 5,581 | 3,738 |
| | 5,600 | 17,876 | 9,136 |
| Total loans and borrowings | 59,030 | 71,264 | 9,337 |

Finance lease liability

The finance lease liability is due to KAS 1 Limited in respect of the equipment which has been transferred to the group under an installment sale agreement. The finance lease liability is interest bearing at 8% and is to be reduced by rental payments monthly as agreed in the installment sale agreement. The finance lease is secured by the leased assets. (refer to Note 8)

Loan – Randgold Resources Limited

Randgold Resources Limited, a joint venture partner and operator of the Kibali project, incurs management fees and other expenses as part of its role as operator of the mine on behalf of the Kibali (Jersey) Limited group. The loan bears no interest and is repayable on a monthly basis. The non-current portion bears no interest and is due for repayment after one year.

F-82

Table of Contents**18. PROVISION FOR REHABILITATION**

| | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| \$000 | | | |
| Balance at the beginning of the year | 4,652 | - | - |
| Unwinding of discount | 116 | - | - |
| Change in estimates | 3,442 | - | - |
| New provision raised | - | 4,652 | - |
| Balance at the end of the year | 8,210 | 4,652 | - |

The provisions for rehabilitation costs include estimates for the effect of inflation and changes in estimates and have been discounted to their present value at 2.5% (2012: 2.5%) per annum, being an estimate derived from the risk-free rate. Management have based the provision for environmental rehabilitation on standards set by the World Bank, which require an environmental management plan, an annual environmental report, a closure plan, an up-to-date register of plans of the facility, preservation of public safety on closure, carrying out rehabilitation works and ensuring sufficient funds exist for the closure works. However, it is reasonably possible that the estimate of its ultimate rehabilitation liability could change as a result of changes in regulations or cost estimates. The group is committed to rehabilitation of its property. It makes use of independent environmental consultants for advice and it also uses past experience in similar situations to ensure that the provision for rehabilitation is adequate. The current Life of Mine (LOM) plan envisages the expected outflow to occur at the end of the LOM, which is 2031 for the Kibali Gold mine.

19. TRADE AND OTHER PAYABLES

| | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| \$000 | | | |
| Trade payables | 13,723 | 12,439 | 4,646 |
| Payroll and other compensations | 1,734 | 1,260 | 384 |
| Accruals and other payables | 75,669 | 36,004 | 1,723 |
| | 91,126 | 49,703 | 6,753 |

Accruals and other payables include retention amounts of US\$17.1 million (2012: US\$8.6 million) (2011: US\$ Nil).

Trade and other payables are all due within 120 days.

Table of Contents**20.****LEASES**

The finance lease liability recognized is in respect of mining vehicles which have been used in excavation and hauling of waste rock and ore under an installment sale agreement.

The lease liability is effectively secured as the rights to the leased asset revert to the lessor in the event of default.

| \$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Gross finance lease liabilities – minimum lease payments: | | | |
| No later than 1 year | 9,176 | 8,486 | - |
| Later than 1 year and no later than 5 years | 35,968 | 28,477 | - |
| Later than 5 years | 36,186 | 47,862 | - |
| Future finance charges | (23,581) | (25,731) | - |
| Present value of the finance lease liability | 57,749 | 59,094 | - |
| Present value of the finance lease liability is as follows: | | | |
| No later than 1 year | 4,736 | 5,912 | - |
| Later than 1 year and no later than 5 years | 22,399 | 19,839 | - |
| Later than 5 years | 30,614 | 33,343 | - |
| | 57,749 | 59,094 | - |

21.**SEGMENTAL INFORMATION**

Operating segments have been identified on the basis of internal reports about components of the group that are regularly reviewed by the group's chief operating decision maker. The operating segments included in the internal reports are determined on the basis of their significance to the group. In particular, the operating mine is reported as a separate segment. KAS 1 Limited joint venture is included within the corporate segment. The group's chief operating decision maker is considered by management to be the board of directors. An analysis of the group's business segments, excluding intergroup transactions, is set out below. Major customers are not identifiable because all gold is sold to an agent.

Table of Contents

| Country of operation | DRC | Jersey | Intercompany | Total |
|--|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| US\$000 | Kibali | Corporate | eliminations | |
| Year ended December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Profit and loss | | | | |
| Total revenue | 109,229 | - | - | 109,229 |
| Mining and processing costs excluding depreciation | (37,954) | - | 90 | (37,864) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (14,670) | (193) | - | (14,863) |
| Mining and processing costs | (52,624) | (193) | 90 | (52,727) |
| Transport and refining costs | (158) | - | - | (158) |
| Royalties | (2,765) | - | - | (2,765) |
| Exploration and corporate expenditure | (3,325) | (2,586) | - | (5,911) |
| Other (expenses)/income | 733 | (99,094) | 100,807 | 2,446 |
| Finance costs | (25,535) | (1) | 24,284 | (1,252) |
| Finance income | 931 | 125,901 | (122,866) | 3,966 |
| Profit before income tax | 26,486 | 24,026 | 2,316 | 52,828 |
| Income tax expense | 4,739 | - | - | 4,739 |
| Net profit | 31,225 | 24,026 | 2,316 | 57,567 |
| Capital expenditure | 746,661 | 1,523 | - | 748,184 |
| Total assets | 2,160,846 | 5,884,188 | (5,429,084) | 2,615,950 |
| Total liabilities | (2,179,676) | (2,890,543) | 4,911,732 | (158,487) |
| Year ended December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Profit and loss | | | | |
| Total revenue | - | - | - | - |
| Mining and processing costs excluding depreciation | - | - | - | - |
| Depreciation and amortization | (2,213) | (10) | - | (2,223) |
| Mining and processing costs | (2,213) | (10) | - | (2,223) |
| Exploration and corporate expenditure | (1,395) | (1,584) | - | (2,979) |
| Other (expenses)/income | (71) | 1,009 | (926) | 12 |
| Finance costs | (7) | (7) | - | (14) |
| Finance income | 420 | 52,279 | (51,251) | 1,448 |
| Profit/(loss) before income tax | (3,266) | 51,687 | (52,177) | (3,756) |
| Income tax expense | - | - | - | - |
| Net profit/(loss) | (3,266) | 51,687 | (52,177) | (3,756) |
| Capital expenditure | 522,487 | 84 | - | 522,571 |
| Total assets | 1,136,739 | 4,463,610 | (3,906,823) | 1,693,526 |
| Total liabilities | (1,175,613) | (2,338,658) | 3,388,652 | (125,619) |
| Year ended December 31, 2011 | | | | |
| Profit and loss | | | | |
| Total revenue | - | - | - | - |
| Mining and processing costs excluding depreciation | - | - | - | - |
| Depreciation and amortization | (1,949) | (12) | - | (1,961) |
| Mining and processing costs | (1,949) | (12) | - | (1,961) |
| Exploration and corporate expenditure | - | (1,093) | - | (1,093) |
| Other (expenses)/income | 1,632 | (1,487) | 1,836 | 1,981 |
| Finance costs | (4) | (2) | - | (6) |

Edgar Filing: RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD - Form 20-F

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Finance income | 762 | 12,426 | (12,401 |) 787 |
| Profit/(loss) before income tax | 441 | 9,832 | (10,565 |) (292) |
| Income tax expense | - | - | - | - |
| Net profit/(loss) | 441 | 9,832 | (10,565 |) (292) |
| Capital expenditure | 160,697 | 1,520 | - | 162,217 |
| Total assets | 437,581 | 3,237,696 | (2,683,726 |) 991,551 |
| Total liabilities | (462,743) | (1,760,633) | 2,207,286 | (16,090) |

22.FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT

In the normal course of its operations, the group is exposed to gold price, currency, interest rate, credit and liquidity risks. In order to manage these risks, the group may enter into transactions which make use of on-balance sheet derivatives, but none were entered into in the current year. The group does not acquire, hold or issue derivatives for trading purposes. The group has developed a risk management process to facilitate, control and monitor these risks. The board has approved and monitors this risk management process, inclusive of documented treasury policies, counterpart limits, controlling and reporting structures.

Table of Contents**Foreign exchange and commodity price risk**

In the normal course of business, the group enters into transactions denominated in foreign currencies (primarily Euro, British pound, South African rand, Communauté Financière Africaine franc and Australian dollar). As a result, the group is subject to exposure from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. In general, the group does not enter into derivatives to manage these currency risks and none existed in 2013, 2012 or 2011. Generally, the group does not hedge its exposure to gold price fluctuation risk and gold was sold at market spot prices in 2013, 2012 and 2011. Gold sales are made in U.S. dollars and do not expose the group to any currency fluctuation risk. The group is also exposed to fluctuations in the price of consumables, such as fuel, steel, rubber, cyanide and lime, mainly due to changes in the price of oil, as well as fluctuations in exchange rates.

| \$000 | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Level of exposure of foreign currency risk carrying value of foreign currency balances. Cash and cash equivalents includes balances denominated in: | | | |
| · Communauté Financière Africaine franc (CFA) | 71 | 3 | 10 |
| · Euro (EUR) | 71 | 410 | 673 |
| · South African rand (ZAR) | 217 | 166 | 19 |
| · British pound (GBP) | 82 | 1 | 16 |
| · Australian Dollar (AUD) | 407 | 464 | 425 |
| Trade and other receivables includes balances denominated in: | | | |
| · Communauté Financière Africaine franc (CFA) | - | 37 | 28 |
| · Euro (EUR) | - | 49 | 50 |
| · South African rand (ZAR) | 3,997 | 5,964 | 18 |
| · British pound (GBP) | - | 74 | - |
| · Australian Dollar (AUD) | 34 | 33 | 41 |
| Trade and other payables includes balances denominated in: | | | |
| · Euro (EUR) | (2,628) | (7,343) | (345) |
| · South African rand (ZAR) | (7,486) | (9,042) | (406) |
| · British pound (GBP) | (125) | (300) | (196) |
| · Australian Dollar (AUD) | (454) | (856) | (304) |

The group's exposure to foreign currency arises where a company holds monetary assets and liabilities denominated in a currency different to the functional currency of the holder of the instrument which is the U.S. dollar. The following table shows the impact of a 10% change in the U.S. dollar on profit and equity arising as a result of the revaluation of the group's foreign currency financial instruments. Exposure to CFA and GBP has not been sensitized as their values are immaterial.

Table of Contents

| | Closing exchange rate | Effect of 10% strengthening of US\$ on net earnings and equity |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| At December 31, 2013 | | |
| · Australian Dollar (AUD) | 1.1260 | (1) |
| · Euro (EUR) | 0.7255 | (256) |
| · South African rand (ZAR) | 10.466 | (327) |
| At December 31, 2012 | | |
| · Australian Dollar (AUD) | 0.9642 | (36) |
| · Euro (EUR) | 0.7567 | (688) |
| · South African rand (ZAR) | 8.4875 | (291) |
| At December 31, 2011 | | |
| · Australian Dollar (AUD) | 0.9829 | 16 |
| · Euro (EUR) | 0.7723 | 38 |
| · South African rand (ZAR) | 8.1421 | (37) |

The sensitivities are based on financial assets and liabilities held at December 31, where balances were not denominated in the functional currency of the group. The sensitivities do not take into account the group's income and costs and the results of the sensitivities could change due to other factors such as changes in the value of financial assets and liabilities as a result of non-foreign exchange influenced factors.

Interest rate and liquidity risk

Fluctuations in interest rates impact on the value of short-term cash investments and interest payable on financing activities, giving rise to interest-rate risk. In the ordinary course of business, the group receives cash funding from their joint venture partners, Randgold Resources (Kibali) Ltd and AngloGold Ashanti Holdings plc in proportion to their respective percentage interests. The group received cash from its operations from the last quarter in 2013, following the commencement of production at the Kibali gold mine. The group is required to fund working capital and capital expenditure requirements with the funding and cash received. The drawdowns of any funds are subject to the approval of the Annual budget and Business plan by the board of directors.

The group has in the past been able to actively source financing through shareholder loans and third-party loans. The finance lease entered into bears a fixed rate of interest.

The group is able to actively source financing at competitive rates. The counterparts are financial and banking institutions of good credit standing. The directors believe that the working capital resources, by way of internal sources and banking facilities, are sufficient to the group's currently foreseeable future business requirements.

| \$000 | Amount | Effective rate for the year % |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| All less than 90 days | 4,681 | 0.88 |

F-87

Table of Contents

The other financial instruments of the group that are not included in the table above are fixed interest or non-interest bearing and are therefore not subject to interest-rate risk.

Concentration of credit risk

The group's cash balances do not give rise to a concentration of credit risk because they deal with a variety of major financial institutions. Its receivables are regularly monitored and assessed. Receivables are impaired when it is probable that amounts outstanding are not recoverable as set out in the accounting policy note for receivables. Gold bullion, the group's principal product, is produced in the Democratic Republic of Congo. The gold produced is sold to the largest accredited gold refinery in the world. Credit risk is further managed by regularly reviewing the financial statements of the refinery. The group is further not exposed to significant credit risk on gold sales, as cash is received within a few days of the sale taking place. While not a financial asset for IFRS 7, included in receivables is a TVA balance of US\$ 81 million (2012: US\$47 million; 2011: US\$ Nil million) (refer to Note 11) that was past due but not impaired, given the receipts obtained during the year. This can hold some credit risk for the group.

Capital risk management

The group's objectives when managing capital are to safeguard its ability to continue as a going concern in order to provide future returns for shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders and to maintain an optimal capital structure to reduce the cost of capital. In order to maintain or adjust the capital structure, the group issues new shares (by way of funding from the joint venture partners) or will make use of internal loans. Consistent with others in the industry, the group monitors capital on the basis of the gearing ratio. This ratio is calculated as net debt (net cash) divided by total capital. Net debt is calculated as total borrowings (including borrowings and trade and other payables, as shown in the statement of financial position) less cash and cash equivalents. Total capital is calculated as equity, as shown in the statement of financial position, plus net debt.

| (\$000) | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Capital risk management | | | |
| Total borrowings (note 17 and 19) | 150,156 | 120,967 | 16,090 |
| Less: cash and cash equivalents (note 14) | (4,681) | (11,913) | (2,136) |
| Net debt | 145,475 | 109,054 | 13,954 |
| Total equity | 2,457,463 | 1,567,907 | 975,461 |
| Total capital | 2,602,938 | 1,676,961 | 989,415 |
| Gearing ratio | 6 % | 7 % | 1 % |

Maturity analysis

The following table analyzes the group's financial liabilities into the relevant maturity groupings based on the remaining period from the Statement of Financial Position to the contractual maturity date.

F-88

Table of Contents

| | Trade and other payables | Borrowings | Expected Future interest payments |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| At December 31, 2013 | | | |
| Financial liabilities | | | |
| Within 1 year in demand | 91,126 | 5,600 | 4,440 |
| Later than 1 year and no later than 5 years | - | 22,816 | 13,569 |
| After 5 years | - | 30,614 | 5,572 |
| Total | 91,126 | 59,030 | 23,581 |
| At December 31, 2012 | | | |
| Financial liabilities | | | |
| Within 1 year in demand | 49,703 | 17,876 | 2,574 |
| Later than 1 year and no later than 5 years | - | 19,839 | 8,638 |
| After 5 years | - | 33,549 | 14,519 |
| Total | 49,703 | 71,264 | 25,731 |
| At December 31, 2011 | | | |
| Financial liabilities | | | |
| Within 1 year in demand | 6,753 | 9,136 | - |
| Later than 1 year and no later than 5 years | - | - | - |
| After 5 years | - | 201 | - |
| Total | 6,753 | 9,337 | - |

23. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following table shows the carrying amounts and the fair values of the group's financial instruments outstanding at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. The fair value of a financial instrument is defined as the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.

| | Carrying amount | Fair Value |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|
| As at December 31, 2013 | | |
| Available for sale financial asset Categorized as level 1* | | |
| Available for sale financial asset | Available for sale 146 | 146 |

Table of Contents

| | | Carrying amount | Fair Value |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| As at December 31, 2012 | | | |
| Available for sale financial asset Categorized as level 1* | | | |
| Available for sale financial asset | Available for sale | 945 | 945 |
| As at December 31, 2011 | | | |
| Available for sale financial asset Categorized as level 1* | | | |
| Available for sale financial asset | Available for sale | 1,309 | 1,309 |

No derivative financial instruments currently exist.

* Level 1: fair values are derived from quoted market prices for identical assets from an active market for which an entity has immediate access.

Estimation of fair values

Trade and other receivables, trade and other payables, cash and cash equivalents, bank overdrafts loans to and from related parties.

The carrying amounts are a reasonable estimate of the fair values because of the short maturity of such instruments.

Long-term and short-term borrowings

The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of the fair value because of the short maturity of such instruments, interest bearing nature, and other terms of the agreement.

24. CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

(\$000)

| | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Profit/(loss) before income taxation | 52,828 | (3,756) | (292) |
| Adjustments for: | | | |
| Interest received | (3,966) | (1,448) | (787) |
| Finance cost | 1,136 | 14 | 6 |
| Share of profits of equity accounted joint venture | (136) | (54) | - |
| Depreciation | 21,542 | 6,808 | 1,961 |
| Capitalized depreciation | (6,679) | (4,587) | - |
| Unwinding of rehabilitation provision | 116 | - | - |
| | 64,841 | (3,023) | 888 |
| Effects of changes in operating working capital items | | | |
| Receivables | (74,830) | (76,770) | (4,360) |
| Inventories | (106,670) | (5,023) | (653) |
| Trade and other payables | 45,434 | 43,987 | 4,143 |
| Cash (used in)/generated from operations | (71,225) | (40,829) | 18 |

Non-cash items include a finance lease liability movement of US\$4.7 million (2012: US\$59.1 million) (2011: US\$ Nil), finance lease assets movement of US\$4.3 million (2012: US\$58.1 million) (2011: US\$ Nil) and changes in rehabilitation provision estimates of US\$3.4 million (2012: US\$4.7 million) (2011: US\$ Nil).

Table of Contents**25.COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES**

Capital expenditure contracted for at statement of financial position date but not yet incurred is:

| | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Property, plant and equipment | 32,931 | 241,801 | 213,000 |

26.INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURE

Set out below is the summarized financial information for KAS 1 Limited which is accounted for using the equity method (amounts stated at 100% before intercompany eliminations)

| | Dec. 31, Dec. 31, Dec. 31, | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| (\$000) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Summarized statement of financial position | | | |
| Current | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 5,512 | - | - |
| Other current assets (excluding cash) | 3,163 | - | - |
| Total current assets | 8,675 | - | - |
| Financial liabilities (excluding trade payables) | - | - | - |
| Other current liabilities (including trade payables) | (15,445) | (1,880) | - |
| Total current liabilities | (15,445) | (1,880) | - |
| Non-current | | | |
| Assets | 58,184 | 51,880 | - |
| Financial liabilities | (51,383) | (49,893) | - |
| Other liabilities | - | - | - |
| Total non-current liabilities | (51,383) | (49,893) | - |
| Net assets | 30 | 107 | - |

Summarized statement of comprehensive income

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---|
| Operating loss | - | (5) | - |
| Depreciation and amortization | - | - | - |
| Interest income | 4,500 | 1,800 | - |
| Interest expense | (4,227) | (1,688) | - |
| Profit before tax | 273 | 107 | - |
| Income tax | - | - | - |
| Post-tax profit | 273 | 107 | - |
| Other comprehensive income | - | - | - |

| | | | |
|---|--------|--------|---|
| Total comprehensive income | 273 | 107 | - |
| Dividends received from joint venture | 350 | - | - |
| Reconciliation of the summarized financial information presented to the carrying amount of the group's interest in KAS 1 Limited joint venture | 107 | - | - |
| Opening net assets January 1 | | | |
| Profit for the period | 273 | 107 | - |
| Other comprehensive income | - | - | - |
| Dividends received | (350) | - | - |
| Funding advanced | - | - | - |
| Closing net assets | 30 | 107 | - |
| Interest in joint venture at 50.1% | 15 | 54 | - |
| Funding classified as long-term debt by joint venture recorded in 'other investments in joint ventures' | 29,076 | 27,237 | - |
| Carrying value | 29,091 | 27,291 | - |

The 50.1% in KAS 1 Limited has been treated as a joint venture, as it provides the group with interests in the net assets of the company, rather than an interest in the underlying assets and obligations. The company is jointly controlled with DTP Terrassement. Income and expenses are not disclosed in respect of KAS 1 Limited as neither the net profit nor individual line items are material. KAS 1 Limited is not a material segment of the group and is therefore included in 'corporate' in Note 21.

Table of Contents**27. RELATED PARTIES AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

| Related parties | Nature of relationship |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Société des Mines de Loulo SA | Entity under common control (subsidiary of Randgold Resources Limited) |
| Société des Mines de Tongon SA | Entity under common control (subsidiary of Randgold Resources Limited) |
| Rand Refinery (Pty) Limited | Associate of AngloGold Ashanti Limited |
| AngloGold Ashanti Holdings plc | Joint Venture partner |
| Sokimo (Société minière de Kilo Moto) | Government interest in Kibali |
| KAS 1 Limited | Joint Venture of Randgold Resources Limited |
| Isiro (Jersey) Limited | Joint Venture of Randgold Resources Limited |
| KGL Isiro SARL | Subsidiary of Isiro (Jersey) Limited |

| (\$000) | Dec. 31, 2013 | Dec. 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Related party transactions | | | |
| Management fee paid to Randgold Resources Limited | 4,172 | 4,116 | 4,000 |
| Gold sales to Rand Refinery (Pty) Limited | 109,229 | - | - |
| Interest received from Sokimo | 925 | 416 | 372 |
| Shareholders interest received from KAS 1 Limited | 2,113 | 845 | - |
| Interest incurred to KAS 1 Limited on the finance lease liability | 4,495 | 1,825 | - |
| Amounts included in trade and other receivables owing by related parties | | | |
| Rand Refinery (Pty) Limited | 17,006 | - | - |
| Loan to Sokimo | 13,696 | 10,619 | 4,872 |
| Loan to KAS 1 Limited | 29,076 | 27,237 | - |
| Loan to Randgold Resources Limited | 267 | - | - |
| Loan to KGL Isiro SARL | 214 | - | - |
| Amounts included in loans and borrowings owing to and from related parties | | | |
| Loan from Randgold Resources Limited | (1,080) | (6,589) | (5,599) |
| Finance lease liability with KAS 1 Limited | (57,749) | (59,094) | - |
| Loan from Société des Mines de Loulo SA | (23) | - | - |
| Loan from Société des Mines de Tongon SA | (178) | - | - |

Sokimo has a 10% interest in the company Kibali Goldmines Sprl, a subsidiary of the group.

It is the obligation of the joint venture parties, Randgold Resources (Kibali) Limited and AngloGold Ashanti Holdings plc, (joint venture partners) to fund Kibali for operating costs, capital costs and other costs in proportion to their respective percentage interests in Kibali Goldmines. These costs are in accordance with the Kibali Joint Venture Agreement.

The finance lease liability due to KAS 1 Limited is in respect of the equipment which has been transferred to the group under an installment sale agreement. Kibali (Jersey) Limited has a 50.1% shareholding in KAS 1 Limited.

Refer to Notes 11 and 17 for the details of loans to and from related parties.

No remuneration of Key Management was paid by Kibali (Jersey) Limited group in the period. Key Management is considered to be the Board of Directors of Kibali (Jersey) Limited.

F-92

Table of Contents**28.SUBSIDIARIES AND TRANSACTIONS WITH NON-CONTROLLING INTERESTS**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the company and all of its subsidiaries and jointly controlled entities at December 31, 2013. The parent company, the principal subsidiaries and their interests are:

| | | % of interest | |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------|---|
| Company | Kibali (Jersey) Limited | | |
| Subsidiary | Moto Goldmines Limited | 100 | % |
| Subsidiary | Border Energy (Pty) Limited | 100 | % |
| Subsidiary | Border Energy East Africa (Pty) Limited | 100 | % |
| Subsidiary | Moto (Jersey) 1 Limited | 100 | % |
| Subsidiary | Moto (Jersey) 2 Limited | 100 | % |
| Subsidiary | Kibali 2 (Jersey) Limited | 100 | % |
| Subsidiary | Kibali Coöperatief U.A. | 100 | % |
| Subsidiary | 0858065 B.C. Limited | 100 | % |
| Subsidiary | Moto Goldmines Australia Pty Limited | 100 | % |
| Subsidiary | Kibali Goldmines Sprl | 90 | % |
| Jointly controlled entity | KAS 1 Limited | 50.1 | % |

Kibali Goldmines Sprl, whose principal place of business is in DRC, has a non-controlling shareholder interest of 10%. Please refer to Note 21 for the amounts disclosed for this subsidiary in the DRC segment, which have been included in this consolidation before intercompany eliminations.

29.SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

No significant subsequent events requiring disclosure or adjustment occurred.

30.OTHER INFORMATION

The company is a private company limited by shares, incorporated in Jersey with its registered office at 3rd Floor, Unity Chambers, 28 Halkett Street, St. Helier, JE2 4WJ. The company's principal activity is the funding, operation and management of the Kibali gold mine in the DRC.

Table of Contents**Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts**

| | Balance at beginning of period | Charged to costs and expenses | Amounts written off as uncollectible | Unused amounts reversed | Balance at end of period |
|---|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| Year ended December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Valuation allowance for impaired receivables | - | - | - | - | - |
| Year ended December 31, 2012 (restated) | | | | | |
| Valuation allowance for impaired receivables | - | - | - | - | - |
| Year ended December 31, 2011(restated) | | | | | |
| Valuation allowance for impaired receivables | 1.1 | 3.2 | (4.3) | - | - |

S-1