

VIRCO MFG CORPORATION
Form 10-K
April 28, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K
(Mark One)

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended January 31, 2014.

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 1-8777
VIRCO MFG. CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE 95-1613718
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (IRS Employer Identification No.)

2027 Harpers Way, Torrance, California 90501
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (310) 533-0474

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered: |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value | NASDAQ |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the issuer is a well-known seasoned issuer as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: VIRCO MFG CORPORATION - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on July 31, 2013, was \$36 million (based upon the closing price of the registrant's common stock on such day, as reported by the NASDAQ).

As of April 1, 2014, there were 14,718,414 shares of the registrant's common stock (\$0.01 par value) outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K as set forth herein.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page numbers |
|---|-----------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Business</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>19</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Properties</u> | <u>19</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>19</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>20</u> |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>21</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u> | <u>22</u> |
| <u>Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>37</u> |
| <u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>39</u> |
| <u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures</u> | <u>66</u> |
| <u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>66</u> |
| <u>Item 9B. Other Information</u> | <u>67</u> |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers of the Registrant and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>68</u> |
| <u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u> | <u>68</u> |
| <u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>68</u> |
| <u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>68</u> |
| <u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | <u>68</u> |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>69</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | <u>71</u> |
| <u>POWER OF ATTORNEY</u> | <u>72</u> |
| EX-10.34 | |
| EX-21.1 | |
| EX-23.1 | |
| EX-31.1 | |
| EX-31.2 | |
| EX-32.1 | |

PART I

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This report on Form 10-K contains a number of “forward-looking statements” that reflect Virco Mfg. Corporation's (the "Company" or "Virco") current views with respect to future events and financial performance, including, but not limited to, availability of funding for educational institutions, statements regarding plans and objectives of management for future operations, including plans and objectives relating to products, pricing, marketing, expansion, manufacturing processes, and business strategies; the Company's ability to continue to control costs and inventory levels; availability and cost of raw materials, especially steel and petroleum-based products; the availability and cost of labor; the potential impact of the Company's “Assemble-To-Ship” program on earnings; market demand; the Company's ability to position itself in the market; references to current and future investments in and utilization of infrastructure; statements relating to management's beliefs that cash flow from current operations, existing cash reserves, and available lines of credit will be sufficient to support the Company's working capital requirements to fund existing operations; references to expectations of future revenues; pricing; and seasonality.

Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors, many of which are out of the Company's control and difficult to forecast, that may cause actual results to differ materially from those which are anticipated. Such factors include, but are not limited to, changes in, or the Company's ability to predict, general economic conditions, the availability and cost of raw materials, the markets for school and office furniture generally and specifically in areas and with customers with which the Company conducts its principal business activities, the rate of approval of school bonds for the construction of new schools, the extent to which existing schools order replacement furniture, customer confidence, competition and other factors included in the “Risk Factors” section of this report.

In this report, words such as “anticipates,” “believes,” “expects,” “will continue,” “future,” “intends,” “plans,” “estimates,” “potential,” “budgets,” “may,” “could” and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof.

Throughout this report, our fiscal years ended January 31, 2010, January 31, 2011, January 31, 2012, January 31, 2013 and January 31, 2014 are referred to as years 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

Please note that this report includes trademarks of Virco, including, but not limited to, the following: ZUMA[®], ZUMAFrd[™], Ph.D.I.Q.[®], Virtuoso[®], Classic Series[™], Martest Lunada[®], Plateau[®], Core-a-Gator[®], Future Access[®], Sigma[®], Metaphor[®], Telos[®], TEXT[®], Parameter[®], Sage[™], Analogy[™] and Civitas[™]. Solely for convenience, from time to time, we refer to our trademarks in this report without the [®] and [™] symbols, but such references are not intended to indicate that we will not assert, to the fullest extent under applicable law, our rights to our trademarks. In addition, other names and brands included in this report may be claimed by us as well or by third parties.

Item 1. Business

Introduction

Designing, producing and distributing high-value furniture for a diverse family of customers is a 64-year tradition at Virco Mfg. Corporation (“Virco” or the “Company”, or in the first person, “we”, “us” and “our”). Virco was incorporated in California in February 1950, and reincorporated in Delaware in April 1984. Though Virco started as a local manufacturer of chairs and desks for Los Angeles-area schools, over the years, Virco has become the largest manufacturer and supplier of moveable educational furniture and equipment for the preschool through 12th grade market in the United States. The Company now manufactures a wide assortment of products, including mobile tables, mobile storage equipment, desks, computer furniture, chairs, activity tables, folding chairs and folding tables. Additionally, Virco has worked with accomplished designers - such as Peter Glass, Richard Holbrook, and Bob Mills - to develop additional products for contemporary applications. These include the best-selling ZUMA Series; the recently introduced Analogy and Civitas furniture collections; Metaphor and Sage Series items for educational settings; the Ph.D. Executive seating line; and the wide-ranging Plateau Series.

Along with serving customers in the education market - which in addition to preschool through 12th grade public and private schools includes: junior and community colleges; four-year colleges and universities; trade, technical and vocational schools - Virco is a leading furniture and equipment supplier for convention centers and arenas; the hospitality industry with respect to banquet and meeting facilities; government facilities at the federal, state, county and municipal levels; and places of worship. We also sell to wholesalers, distributors, traditional retailers and catalog

retailers that serve these same markets.

To meet the furniture and equipment needs of our customers, Virco operates a 560,000 sq. ft. office, manufacturing and warehousing facility located on 23.5 acres of land in Torrance, California; this facility includes our corporate headquarters,

3

West Coast showroom, and all West Coast distribution operations. To complement our California-based operations, Virco owns 100 acres of land in Conway, Arkansas, containing 1,200,000 sq. ft. of manufacturing, warehousing, and office space. With high-density storage systems, 70 dock doors dedicated to outbound freight, and substantial yard capacity to store and stage trailers, this facility supports Virco's ability to handle increased sales during our peak summer delivery season and enhances the efficiency with which orders are filled. Virco also operates two other facilities in Conway. The first is a 375,000 sq. ft. factory - acquired in 1954, and expanded and modernized in subsequent years - where a variety of operations take place, including the manufacture of fabricated steel components, chrome plating, and plastic injection-molding; components generated here are transferred to other facilities for assembly into finished goods. The second is a 175,000 sq. ft. manufacturing facility where compression-molded hard plastic components are fabricated and stored.

Because the product needs and preferences of our customers continue to evolve - and in response to competitive furniture and equipment offerings from domestic and offshore suppliers - Virco maintains an ongoing new product development program. We've worked with accomplished designers - such as Peter Glass, Richard Holbrook, and Bob Mills - to introduce exciting furniture and equipment solutions for contemporary applications. Over the past three years, Virco has launched a substantial number of new products, including the following.

In 2011, Virco introduced the Sage™ Contract and Civitas™ seating lines. Combining Virco's super-comfortable Sage shell with a sleek, new-look frame, Sage Contract chairs are great for offices and reception areas, colleges, hospitality venues and other adult environments. Civitas chair and stool models - available in 18", 25" and 30" seat-heights with either a Sage, shell, an ergonomically contoured ZUMA® Series shell, or highly sustainable ZUMAFrd™ seat and back components made of Fortified Recycled Wood™ hard plastic - provide exceptional seating solutions for foodservice, libraries, media centers, circulation areas and related venues. Other 2011 product releases included: 53 Series steel storage cabinets; an expanded range of 53 Series lateral files; special versions of Virco's 543 and 546 Series desks with wire management capabilities; HWT (hinged wire trough) technology tables for computer labs and related applications; an array of 36" wide Plateau® and 2BC Series bookcases; adjustable-height versions of Virco's 120, 121 and 122 Series stools; and three ZUMA rocking chairs with "bump" glides designed for environments where limited motion may be desired, such as areas for children with severe sensory integration problems or Autism.

In 2012, to complement the recently released Civitas chair and stool collection, Virco introduced an assortment of Civitas cafe table tops and tulip-shaped table bases. Tops are available in a variety of round, square and "squirrel" shaped sizes and can be ordered with three different eye-catching edge profiles, while bases come in three heights to coordinate with the Civitas line's 18", 25" and 30" seat-height chair and stools. Other 2012 product releases included: a new collection of Sage rocking chairs; 53 Series wardrobe tower cabinets; new Parameter® file credenzas and additional Parameter mobile pedestals; a selection of 24" wide TEXT® seminar tables; Metaphor® sled-based chair/desk combo units; a wheelchair-accessible version of the ZUMA cantilevered single-student desk; CT Series tables with a hand crank mechanism for top height adjustment; new oval mobile tables with attached benches or stools; and several mobile cabinet models with a magnetic marker back.

In 2013, Virco introduced the Analogy™ classroom furniture collection. Designed for Virco by Peter Glass and Bob Mills, the affordable, ergonomically contoured Analogy line includes fixed-height 4-leg chairs, adjustable task chairs and lab stools, steel-frame rockers and a selection of 4-leg and sled-based chair desk combo units. New Agile Combo units - also released in 2013 - provide an appropriate level of mobility to effectively enhance in-class collaboration. Every Agile Combo model has a hooded, twin-wheel soft caster with a "sit-lock" compression-brake on each of its front legs. With this two-caster configuration, Agile Combos - unlike competing units with casters on all four legs - prevent students from "rolling around" disruptively while seated. Instead, when an instructor asks students to move their Agile Combos to facilitate a particular lesson plan, they can do so in an orderly manner by getting out of their seats and using the convenient hand-hold near the top of the Agile Combo backrest. For added stability when units aren't being moved, the rear legs of Agile Combos have rubber-base swivel glides. Agile Combo models can be ordered with a ZUMA shell, a Sage™ shell or an Analogy shell. Other 2013 product introductions included: a selection of ZUMA and Sage chairs with integral tubular steel arms; several new Parameter desks and workstations, including L-configuration units with curved-corner or notched-corner tops; and wheelchair-accessible ZBOOM single-student desks that support collaborative learning; mobile Textameter™ instructor workstations; and elegant Civitas™ chairs and stools with a contoured plywood seat and back. Products targeted for release in 2014 include: Civitas 4-leg table bases; a new table

collection for learning environments; additional oval mobile tables; a new ergonomically contoured classroom seating line, and instructor media stations that will be made using Virco's impressive flat metal forming capabilities. Virco's flat metal forming and other production capabilities are further enhanced when combined with our Assemble-to-Ship ("ATS") strategy, which allows for the manufacture and storage of common components during the portion of the year when demand for our product is low followed by assembly to customer-specific combinations prior to shipment. The combination of flat metal forming and ATS enables Virco to offer various products - including an array of desks at three price points - that provide a variety of furniture solutions for customer applications in a wide range of environments.

As of January 31, 2014, the Company's employment force was approximately 700, manufacturing its products in 1.1 million square feet of fabrication facilities and 1.2 million square feet of assembly and warehousing facilities in Torrance, California and Conway, Arkansas. Additionally, the Company's PlanSCAPE® project management software allows its sales representatives to provide CAD layouts of classrooms, as well as classroom-by-classroom planning documents for the budgeting, acquisition and installation of furniture, fixtures and equipment (“FF&E”). In recent years, due to budgetary pressures, many schools have reduced or eliminated central warehouses, janitorial services, and professional purchasing functions. As a result, fewer school districts administer their own bids, and are more likely to use regional, state, or national contracts. A shift to site-based management combined with reductions in professional purchasing personnel has increased the reliance of schools on suppliers that provide for a variety of needs from one source rather than administering different vendor relationships for each item. In response to these changes, the Company has expanded both the products and the services it provides to its educational customers. Now, in addition to buying furniture FOB Factory, customers can purchase furniture for delivery to warehouses and school sites, and can also purchase full-service furniture delivery that includes the installation of the furniture in classrooms. Because the Company has been aggressively developing new furniture lines to enhance the range of products it manufactures - and by purchasing furniture and equipment from other companies for re-sale with Virco products - the Company is now able to provide “one-stop shopping” for all FF&E needs in the K-12 market. The expansion of the Company's product line combined with the expansion of its services over the years has provided Virco with the ability to serve various markets including the education market (the Company's primary market), which is made up of public and private schools (preschool through 12th grade), junior and community colleges; four-year colleges and universities; and trade, technical and vocational schools. Virco also serves convention centers and arenas; the hospitality industry with respect to banquet and meeting facilities; government facilities at the federal, state, county and municipal levels; and places of worship. In addition, the Company also sells to wholesalers, distributors, traditional retailers and catalog retailers that serve these same markets. Virco serves its customers through a well-trained, nationwide sales and support team. Virco's educational product line is marketed through an extensive direct sales force, as well as through a growing dealer network. In addition, Virco has a Corporate Sales Group to pursue wholesalers, mail order accounts and national chains where management believes that it would be more efficient to have a single sales representative or group service such customers, as they tend to have needs that transcend the geographic boundaries established for Virco's local accounts. The Company also has an array of support services, including complete package solutions for the FF&E line item on school budgets; computer-assisted layout planning; transportation planning; and product delivery, installation, and repair. Another important element of Virco's business model is the Company's emphasis on developing and maintaining key manufacturing, assembly, distribution, and service capabilities. For example, Virco has developed competencies in several manufacturing processes that are important to the markets the Company serves, such as finishing systems, plastic molding, metal fabrication and woodworking. Virco's physical facilities are designed to support its ATS strategy. Warehouses have substantial staging areas combined with a large number of dock doors to support the seasonal peak in shipments during summer months. During the last decade, many furniture manufacturers closed their domestic manufacturing facilities and began importing increasing quantities of furniture from international sources. During this same period, Virco elected to significantly reduce its work force, but retain its domestic factory locations. In recent years, the Company believes that its domestic manufacturing capabilities have evolved into a significant strength. The Company has effectively used product selection, color selection, and dependable execution of delivery and installation to customers to enhance its market position. With increasing costs from international sources and increasing freight costs, our factories are cost-competitive for bulky educational furniture and equipment items. The Company's ATS strategy allows for low-cube component parts to be sourced globally, with fabrication of bulky welded steel frames, wood tops, and larger molded-plastic components to be performed locally. Domestic production of laminated wood tops and molded plastic enables the Company to market a color palette that cannot be matched in a short delivery window by imported finished goods. Domestic assembly allows the Company to use standard ATS components to assemble customer-specific product and color combinations shortly prior to delivery and installation.

Finally, management continues to hone Virco's ability to finance, manufacture and warehouse furniture within the relatively narrow delivery window associated with the highly seasonal demand for education sales. In 2012 and 2013, approximately 50% of the Company's total sales were delivered in June, July, and August with an even higher portion of educational sales delivered in that period. Shipments of furniture in July and August can be six times greater than in the seasonally slow winter months.

Virco's substantial warehouse space allows the Company to build adequate inventories to service this narrow delivery window for the education market.

Principal Products

Virco produces the broadest line of furniture for the K-12 market of any manufacturer in the United States. By supplementing products manufactured by Virco with products from other manufacturers, Virco provides a comprehensive product assortment that covers substantially all products and price points that are traditionally included on the FF&E line item on a new school project or school budget. Virco also provides a variety of products for preschool markets and has recently developed products that are targeted for college, university, and corporate learning center environments. The Company has an ambitious and on-going product development program featuring products developed in-house as well as products developed with accomplished designers. The Company's primary furniture lines are constructed of tubular metal legs and frames, combined with wood and plastic tops, plastic seats and backs, upholstered seats and backs, and upholstered rigid polyethylene and polypropylene shells. Virco also has flat metal forming capabilities to enable the production of desks, returns, bookcases, filing cabinets, mobile pedestals and related items.

Virco's principal manufactured products include:

SEATING - The ergonomically supportive ZUMA® line designed by Peter Glass and Bob Mills posted the highest initial-year new product sales total in the Company's history. In addition to fixed-height 4-leg chairs, the ZUMA line includes cantilever chairs; tablet arm chairs with a fixed or articulating work surface and a compact footprint; and steel-frame rockers. The ZUMAFrd™ collection features Fortified Recycled Wood™ hard plastic seats, backrests and work surfaces. ZUMAFrd products have up to 70% recycled content and are 98% recyclable. The Sage™ line, originally designed to serve students in college, university and other adult education settings - and on high school campuses - now offers a 13" and a 15" 4-leg chair and a corresponding pair of cantilever chairs for younger, smaller students; there's also a selection of Sage rockers for K-12 applications and several tablet arm units. Selected adult-height Sage models can also now be ordered with a padded, upholstered seat. Other Virco seating choices include the Metaphor® Series - an updated sequel to Virco's best-selling Classic Series™ furniture with improvements in comfort, ergonomics, stackability, and manufacturing efficiencies - and the Telos® Series, a wide-ranging product line with ergonomically contoured Fortified Recycled Wood components. The Sage Contract line is targeted for offices and reception areas, colleges, hospitality venues and other adult environments, while Civitas™ chairs and stools are intended for foodservice, libraries, media centers, circulation areas and related on-campus areas where people gather; and adjustable-height versions of 120, 121 and 122 Series stools. Additional Virco seating alternatives range from 120, 121 and 122 Series stools to easily-adjustable Ph.D.® task chairs and contoured I.Q.® Series classroom chairs by Richard Holbrook; comfortable, attractive Virtuoso® chairs by Charles Perry; and new Analogy™ Series chairs by Peter Glass and Bob Mills. Classic Series™ stack chairs and Martest 21® hard plastic seating models are popular choices in schools across America. Along with this range of seating, Virco offers folding chairs and upholstered stack chairs, as well as additional plastic stack chairs and upholstered ergonomic chairs.

TABLES - Virco's TEXT® table collection for learning environments - designed by Peter Glass and Bob Mills - features heavy-gauge tubular steel and proven Virco construction for extended product life, and elliptical legs, swooping yokes and arched feet for exceptional elegance. Selected TEXT models can be equipped with a variety of technology-support and storage accessories. Lunada® tables, combining Virco's popular Lunada bi-point bases with a selection of 20 top sizes, make great choices for seminar, conference and related settings. Designed for Virco by Peter Glass, Plateau® tables bring exceptional versatility, sturdy construction and great styling to working and learning environments. For durable, easy-to-use lightweight folding tables, Virco's Core-a-Gator® models are unsurpassed. When paired with attractive, durable Virco cafe tops, Lunada bases by Peter Glass provide eye-catching table solutions for hospitality settings. Civitas tops and bases provide excellent furniture solutions for on-campus spaces where people gather. Virco also carries traditional folding tables, CT Series tables with a hand crank mechanism for top height adjustment, activity tables and office tables, as well as the computer tables and mobile tables described below.

COMPUTER FURNITURE - The TEXT table collection described in the preceding paragraph provides educators an array of computer furniture choices for learning environments; Virco's Flip-Top Technology tables and HWT Technology tables also deliver popular computer furniture solutions. Future Access® computer tables come with an

integral wire management panel and all rectangular models have a smooth post-formed front and rear edge. Like our Future Access models, 8700 Series computer tables can be equipped with Virco's functional computing accessories, such as keyboard mouse trays, CPU holders and support columns for optional elevated shelves. The Plateau Office Solutions collection offers desks and workstations with technology-support capabilities, while the Plateau Library/Technology Solutions line has specialty tables and other products for computing applications.

DESKS/CHAIR DESKS - From the ergonomic and collaborative-learning strengths of our best-selling ZUMA® student desks to the continuing popularity of our traditional Classic Series™ chair desks and combo units, Virco's wide-ranging furniture models can be found in thousands of America's schools. Related products include an array of tablet arm units, new Agile Combo models and new Analogy™ Series combo chair desks. Selected models are available with durable, colorfast Martest 21® or Fortified Recycled Wood™ hard plastic components. For teachers, principals and district administrators - and for business environments - Virco offers an extensive range of Parameter® desks, returns and credenzas designed by Peter Glass and Bob Mills. Textameter™ mobile workstations provide additional furniture choices for educators.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE FURNITURE - In addition to the Plateau® Office Solutions and Parameter® product lines, Virco manufactures a selection of desks, returns, bookcases and other items that employ the Company's flat metal forming capabilities. These products include 53 Series steel storage cabinets, an expanded range of 53 Series lateral files, and special versions of 543 and 546 Series desks with wire management capabilities. Other products range from 53 Series wardrobe tower cabinets and Parameter file credenzas to Parameter mobile pedestals and Plateau bookcases in popular 36" wide and 48" wide models that work in classroom settings and related educational environments as well as administrative offices.

LABORATORY FURNITURE - For biology and chemistry classes, and other school- and college-based lab settings, Virco offers a variety of steel-based science tables. Virco manufactures the table bases of these items and equips them with specialty Chemsurf® and epoxy resin tops. Virco's ZUMA®, Sage™, Telos®, Metaphor®, I.Q.®, Classic Series™, and 3000 Series collections include pneumatically adjustable lab stools with high-range seat-height adjustment and a steel foot-ring. Virco also carries a selection of wood-frame science tables with Chemsurf and epoxy resin tops.

MOBILE FURNITURE - School cafeterias are perfect venues for the ever-popular Virco mobile tables - including a selection of oval mobile tables with attached benches or stools - while classrooms benefit from the spacious storage capacity of Virco mobile cabinets; additional mobile cabinet models with a magnetic marker back are available. An array of Virco product lines includes mobile chairs for school settings and offices.

STORAGE EQUIPMENT - For moving selected Virco chairs and folding tables, the Company carries a wide range of handling and storage equipment. As a service to our convention center, arena, and auditorium customers, Virco also manufactures stackable storage trucks that work with Virco upholstered stack chairs, folding chairs and folding tables. Virco's wide-ranging product selection includes hundreds of furniture models that are certified according to the Greenguard® Children & Schools Program for indoor air quality. In 2005 Virco's ZUMA and ZUMAFrd™ products earned the distinction of being the first classroom furniture models to be certified through the Greenguard Children & Schools Program, now known as Greenguard Gold certification. All of the models in the Company's most recently introduced product lines - including Analogy™ furniture models and Textameter™ instructor workstations - are Greenguard-certified. Along with Virco's leadership relative to Greenguard-certified furniture, the Company also introduced the classroom furniture industry's first Take-Back program in 2006, enabling qualifying schools, colleges, universities, and other organizations and customers to return selected out-of-service furniture components for recycling rather than sending these items to a landfill.

In order to provide a comprehensive product offering for the education market, the Company supplements Virco-manufactured products with items purchased for re-sale, including wood and steel office furniture, early learning products for pre-school and kindergarten classrooms, science laboratory furniture, and library tables, chairs and equipment. Virco carries a complete line of specialty furniture and equipment from Wenger® Corporation for music rooms, performance areas and related spaces; Virco also now offers customized, space-efficient workstations by Interior Concepts™ for technology and language labs, media centers, computer classrooms, reception areas and offices. Wenger and Interior Concepts are two of the many vendors with which the Company partners in order to effectively position Virco as the preferred one-stop furniture and equipment source for K-12 schools. None of the products from vendor partners accounted for more than 10% of consolidated revenues in 2013.

To complement Virco's extensive selection of furniture and equipment, we offer customers a variety of valuable services in connection with the purchase of Virco products; revenues from these service levels are included in the purchase price of the furniture items. In addition to giving customers the option of purchasing Virco products and making their own delivery arrangements, Virco provides three levels of delivery service. When customers choose Standard Delivery - also known as tailgate delivery - the delivery driver is responsible for moving the customer's

goods to the tailgate of the truck only; therefore, the customer must have personnel on hand to unload the truck. For additional charges Virco also offers Inside Delivery (no installation), or Full-Service Delivery (delivered and installed). To assist customers involved with FF&E purchases for new school construction projects or school renovations, Virco's PlanSCAPE® service provides room-by-room computerized layout planning and full FF&E project management.

Customers

7

Virco's major customers include educational institutions, convention centers and arenas, hospitality providers, government facilities, and places of worship. No customer accounted for more than 10% of Virco's consolidated revenues during 2013.

Raw Materials

Virco purchases steel, aluminum, plastic, polyurethane, polyethylene, polypropylene, plywood, particleboard, medium density fiberboard (MDF), cartons and other raw materials from many different sources for the manufacture of its principal products. Management believes the Company is not more vulnerable with respect to the sources and availability of these raw materials than other manufacturers of similar products. The Company's largest raw material cost is for steel, followed by plastics and wood.

The price of these commodities, particularly steel and plastic, has been volatile. Steel and plastic prices increased significantly in 2004 and 2005, in part due to worldwide demand of these materials, especially in China. By comparison, in 2006 and 2007 the price of these commodities was relatively stable. In 2008, steel prices increased by more than 80% during a four month period from April to July, followed by a period of modest decline in the latter part of 2008 and relative stability in 2009 and 2010. During 2011, the Company incurred significant increases in the cost of steel during the second quarter and plastic during the second and third quarters. During 2012 and 2013 the cost of steel, plastic, and wood were stable.

In addition to the raw materials described above, the Company purchases components used in the fabrication and assembly of furniture from a variety of overseas locations, but primarily from China. These components are classified as raw materials in the financial statements until such time that the components are consumed in a fabrication or assembly processes. These components are sourced from a variety of factories, none of which are owned or operated by the Company. Costs for these imported components increased moderately during the last three years, and are expected to increase further in 2014.

With respect to the Company's annual contracts (or those contracts that have longer terms), the Company may have limited ability to increase prices during the term of the contract. The Company has, however, negotiated increased flexibility under many of these contracts, allowing the Company to increase prices on future orders. Nevertheless, even with respect to these more flexible contracts, the Company does not have the ability to increase prices on orders received prior to any announced price increases. Due to the intensely seasonal nature of our business, the Company may receive significant orders during the first and second quarters for delivery in the second and third quarters. With respect to any of the contracts described above, if the costs of raw materials increase suddenly or unexpectedly, the Company cannot be certain that it will be able to implement corresponding increases in its sales prices in order to offset such increased costs. Significant cost increases in providing products during a given contract period can adversely impact operating results and have done so during prior years, especially in 2004, 2005, 2008, and 2011. The Company typically benefits from any decreases in raw material costs under the contracts described above.

Marketing and Distribution

Virco serves its customers through a well-trained, nationwide sales and support team, as well as a growing dealer network. In addition, Virco has a Corporate Sales Group to pursue wholesalers, mail order accounts and national chains where management believes it would be more efficient to have a single sales representative or group approach such persons, as they tend to have needs that transcend the geographic boundaries established for Virco's local accounts.

Virco's educational product line is marketed through what management believes to be the largest direct sales force of any education furniture manufacturer. The Company's approach to servicing its customer base is very flexible, and is tailored to best meet the needs of individual customers and regions. When considered to be most efficient, the sales force will call directly upon school business officials, who may include purchasing agents or individual school principals where site-based management is practiced. Where it is considered advantageous, the Company will use large exclusive distributors and full-service dealer partners. The Company's direct sales force is considered to be an important competitive advantage over competitors who rely primarily upon dealer networks for distribution of their products.

Virco's sales force is assisted by the Company's proprietary PlanSCAPE® software and experienced PlanSCAPE managers when preparing complete package solutions for the FF&E segment of bond-funded public school construction projects. PlanSCAPE software also enables the entire Virco sales force to prepare quotations for less

complicated projects.

A significant portion of Virco's business is awarded through annual bids with school districts or other buying groups used by school districts. These bids are typically valid for one year. Many contracts contain penalty, performance, and debarment provisions that can result in debarment for a number of years, a financial penalty, or calling of performance bonds.

8

Sales of commercial and contract furniture are made throughout the United States by distributorships and by Company sales representatives who service the distributorship network. Virco representatives call directly upon state and local governments, convention centers, individual hospitality venues, and mass merchants. This market includes colleges and universities, preschools, private schools, and office training facilities, which typically purchase furniture through commercial channels.

The Company sells to thousands of customers, and, as such no single customer represented more than 10 percent of the Company's consolidated revenues in 2013. Significant purchases of furniture using public funds often require annual bids or some form of "authorization" to purchase goods or services from a vendor. This authorization can include state contracts, local and national buying groups, or local school districts that "piggyback" on the bid of a larger district. In virtually all cases, purchase orders and payments are processed by the individual school districts, even though the contract pricing may be determined by a state contract, national or local buying group, or consortium of school districts. Schools usually can purchase from more than one contract or purchasing vehicle, if they are participants in buying groups as well as being eligible for a state or national contract.

Virco is the exclusive supplier of movable classroom furniture for one nationwide purchasing organization under which many of our customers price their furniture. See "Risk Factors : Approximately 50% of our sales are priced through one contract, under which we are the exclusive supplier of classroom furniture." Sales priced under this contract represented approximately 49% of sales in 2013, 49% of Virco's sales in 2012, and 50% of Virco's sales in 2011. In the third quarter of 2008, the Company was awarded a three-year contract with this purchasing organization extending through 2011. In 2008 the Company was awarded three one-year extensions extending through 2014. If Virco were unable to sell under this contract, we would be able to sell to the vast majority of our customers under alternative contracts.

Seasonality

The educational sales market is extremely seasonal. Approximately 50% of the Company's total sales in 2013 were delivered in June, July, and August with an even higher portion of educational sales delivered in that period. Shipments during peak weeks in July and August can be as great as six times the level of shipments in the winter months.

Working Capital Requirements During the "Peak" Summer Season

As discussed above, the market for educational furniture and equipment is marked by extreme seasonality, with the majority of shipments occurring from June to August each year, which is the Company's peak season. As a result of this seasonality, Virco builds and carries significant amounts of inventory during the peak summer season to facilitate the rapid delivery requirements of customers in the educational market. This requires a large up-front investment in inventory, labor, storage and related costs as inventory is built in anticipation of peak sales during the summer months. As the capital required for this build-up generally exceeds cash available from operations, Virco has historically relied on bank financing to meet cash flow requirements during the build-up period immediately preceding the high season. Currently, the Company has a line of credit with PNC Bank to assist in meeting cash flow requirements as inventory is built for, and business is transacted during, the peak summer season.

In addition, Virco typically is faced with a large balance of accounts receivable during the peak season. This occurs for three primary reasons. First, accounts receivable balances naturally increase during the peak season as product shipments increase. Second, many customers during this period are government institutions, which tend to pay accounts receivable more slowly than commercial customers. Third, many summer deliveries may be "projects" where the Company provides furniture for a new school or significant refurbishment of an existing school. Projects may require architect sign off, school board approval prior to payment, or punch list completion, all of which can delay payment. Virco has historically enjoyed high levels of collectability on these accounts receivable due to the low-credit risk associated with such customers. Nevertheless, due to the time differential between inventory build-up in anticipation of the peak season and the collection on accounts receivable throughout the peak season, the Company must rely on external sources of financing.

As a result of the seasonality of our business, our manufacturing capacity is dictated by the capacity requirement during the months of June, July, and August. Because of this seasonality, factory utilization is lower during the slow season. The Company utilizes a variety of tactics to address this seasonal business. During the summer months, which comprise our second and third fiscal quarters, our personnel utilization generally is at or close to full capacity. The

Company utilizes temporary labor and significant overtime to meet the seasonal requirements. During the slow portions of the year, temporary labor and overtime are eliminated to moderate the off-season costs. Our manufacturing facility capacity utilization generally remains less than 100% during these summer months; because physical structure capacity cannot be adjusted as readily as personnel capacity, we have secured sufficient physical structure capacity to accommodate our current needs as well as for anticipated future growth. Our physical structure utilization is significantly lower during the first and fourth quarters of each year than it is during the second and third quarters.

The Company utilizes a comparable strategy to address warehousing and distribution requirements. During summer months, temporary labor is hired to supplement experienced warehouse and distribution personnel. More than 90% of the Company's freight is provided by third-party carriers. The Company has secured sufficient warehouse capacity to accommodate our current needs as well as anticipated future growth.

Virco's working capital requirements during, and in anticipation of, the peak summer season require management to make estimates and judgments that affect assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related contingent assets and liabilities. For example, management expends a significant amount of time in the first quarter of each year developing a stocking plan and estimating the number of temporary summer employees, the amount of raw materials, and the types of components and products that will be required during the peak season. If management underestimates any of these requirements, Virco's ability to meet customer orders in a timely manner or to provide adequate customer service may be diminished. If management overestimates any of these requirements, the Company may have to absorb higher storage, labor and related costs, each of which may negatively affect the Company's results of operations. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates, including those related to market demand, labor costs, and stocking inventory. Moreover, management continually strives to improve its ability to correctly forecast the requirements of the Company's business during the peak season each year based in part on annual contracts which are in place and management's experience with respect to the market.

As part of Virco's efforts to balance seasonality, financial performance and quality without sacrificing service or market share, management has been refining the Company's ATS operating model. ATS is Virco's version of mass-customization, which assembles standard, stocked components into customized configurations before shipment. The ATS program reduces the total amount of inventory and working capital needed to support a given level of sales. It does this by increasing the inventory's versatility, delaying assembly until the last moment, and reducing the amount of warehouse space needed to store finished goods. As part of the ATS stocking program, Virco has endeavored to create a more flexible work force. The Company has developed compensation programs to reward employees who are willing to move from fabrication to assembly to the warehouse as seasonal demands evolve.

Other Matters

Competition

Virco has numerous competitors in each of its markets. In the educational furniture market, Virco manufactures furniture and sells direct to educational customers. Competitors typically fall into two categories (1) furniture manufacturers that sell to dealers which re-sell furniture to the end user, and (2) dealers that purchase product from these manufacturers and re-sell to educational customers. The manufacturers that Virco competes with include HON (HNI) which recently acquired Sagus International LLC (which markets product under Artco-Bell, American Desk, and Midwest Folding Products), KI Inc., Bretford, Smith System, Columbia, Scholarcraft and VS America.

Historically, our largest competitor that purchases and re-sells furniture has been School Specialty (SCHS). In January 2013, School Specialty filed for bankruptcy under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code and emerged in mid-2013.

Contrax is a significant nationwide reseller focusing on projects. In addition to School Specialty and Contrax, there are numerous catalogers, internet resellers, and smaller local education furniture dealers that sell into local markets.

Competitors in contract furniture vary depending upon the specific product line or sales market and include Falcon Products, Inc., MTS and Mity Enterprises, Inc.

The educational furniture market is characterized by price competition, as many sales occur on a bid basis.

Management compensates for this market characteristic through a combination of methods that include emphasizing the value of Virco's products and product assortment, the convenience of one-stop shopping for "Equipment for Educators™", the value of Virco's project management capabilities, the value of Virco's distribution and delivery capabilities, and the value of Virco's customer support capabilities and other intangibles. In addition, management believes that the streamlining of costs assists the Company in compensating for this market characteristic by allowing Virco to offer a higher value product at a lower price. For example, as discussed above, Virco has decreased distribution costs by avoiding re-sellers, and management believes that the Company's large direct sales force and the Company's sizeable manufacturing and warehousing capabilities facilitate these efforts. Although management prefers to compete on the value of Virco products and services, when market conditions warrant, the Company will compete based on direct prices and may reduce its prices to build or maintain its market share.

Backlog

Sales order backlog at January 31, 2014, totaled \$13.5 million and approximated eight weeks of sales, compared to \$17.3 million at January 31, 2013, and \$14.4 million at January 31, 2012. Substantially all of the backlog will ship during 2014.

Patents and Trademarks

10

In the last 10 years, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the “USPTO”) has issued to Virco more than 50 patents on its various new product lines. These patents cover various design and utility features in Ph.D.® chairs, I.Q.® Series furniture, the ZUM Afrd™ family of products, and the ZUMA® family of products, among others. Virco has a number of other design and utility patents in the United States and other countries that provide protection for Virco's intellectual property as well. These patents expire over the next one to 17 years. Virco maintains an active program to protect its investment in technology and patents by monitoring and enforcing its intellectual property rights. While Virco's patents are an important element of its success, Virco's business as a whole is not believed to be materially dependent on any one patent. See “Risk Factors : An inability to protect our intellectual property could have a significant impact on our business.”

In order to distinguish genuine Virco products from competitors' products, Virco has obtained the rights to certain trademarks and trade names for its products and engages in advertising and sales campaigns to promote its brands and to identify genuine Virco products. While Virco's trademarks and trade names play an important role in its success, Virco's business as a whole is not believed to be materially dependent on any one trademark or trade name, except perhaps “Virco,” which the Company has protected and enhanced as an emblem of quality educational furniture for over 60 years.

Virco has no franchises or concessions that are considered to be of material importance to the conduct of its business and has not appraised or established a value for its patents or trademarks.

Employees

As of January 31, 2014, Virco and its subsidiaries employed approximately 700 full-time employees at various locations. Of this number, approximately 530 are involved in manufacturing and distribution, approximately 105 in sales and marketing and approximately 65 in administration. The Company also utilizes temporary workers as necessary to meet any seasonal production, warehousing or distribution requirements that cannot be filled by its full time workforce. During 2013, the Company employed approximately 225 temporary workers during the months of June and July, with smaller numbers immediately preceding and following these months.

Environmental Compliance

Virco is subject to numerous federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations in the various jurisdictions in which it operates that (a) govern operations that may have adverse environmental effects, such as the discharge of materials into the environment, as well as handling, storage, transportation and disposal practices for solid and hazardous wastes, and (b) impose liability for response costs and certain damages resulting from past and current spills, disposals or other releases of hazardous materials. In this context, Virco works diligently to remain in compliance with all such environmental laws and regulations as these affect the Company's operations. Moreover, Virco has enacted policies for recycling and resource recovery that have earned repeated commendations, including: recognition by the California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) in 2011 and 2010 as a Waste Reduction Awards Program (WRAP) honoree; recognition by the United States Environmental Protection Agency in 2003 as a WasteWise Hall of Fame Charter Member, in 2002 as a WasteWise Partner of the Year and in 2001 as a WasteWise Program Champion for Large Businesses; and recognition by the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County for compliance with industrial waste water discharge guidelines in 2007 through 2010. This is only a partial list of Virco's environmental awards and commendations; for a more complete list, go to www.virco.com and click on the Corporate Stewardship header. In addition to these awards and commendations, Virco's ZUMA® and ZUM Afrd™ product lines were the first classroom furniture collections to earn indoor air quality certification through the stringent GREENGUARD® Children & Schools Program, now known as Greenguard Gold certification. As a follow-up to the certification of ZUMA and ZUM Afrd models in 2005, hundreds of other Virco furniture items - including Analogy™ furniture models and Textameter™ instructor workstations - have earned GREENGUARD certification. Moreover, all Virco products covered by the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 are in compliance with this legislation. All affected Virco models are also in compliance with the California Air Resources Board rule implemented on January 1, 2009, concerning formaldehyde emissions from composite wood products. Environmental laws have changed rapidly in recent years, and Virco may be subject to more stringent environmental laws in the future. The Company has expended, and may be expected to continue to expend, significant amounts in the future for compliance with environmental rules and regulations, for the investigation of environmental conditions, for the installation of environmental control equipment, or remediation of environmental contamination. Normal recurring

expenses relating to operating our factories in a manner that meets or exceeds environmental laws are matched to the cost of producing inventory. It is possible that the Company's operations may result in noncompliance with, or liability for remediation pursuant to, environmental laws. Should such eventualities occur, the Company records liabilities for remediation costs when remediation costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated. See

“Risk Factors : We could be required to incur substantial costs to comply with environmental requirements.” Violations of, and liabilities under, environmental laws and regulations may increase our costs or require us to change our business practices.

Financial Information About Industry Segment and Geographic Areas

Virco operates in a single industry segment. For information regarding the Company's revenues, gross profit and total assets for each of the last three fiscal years, see the Company's consolidated financial statements.

During 2013, Virco derived nearly 7.5% of its revenues from customers located outside of the United States (primarily Canada and Puerto Rico). During 2012, Virco derived nearly 10.0% of its revenues from customers located outside of the United States (primarily Canada and Puerto Rico). During 2011, Virco derived approximately 7.0% of its revenues from customers located outside of the United States (primarily in Canada and Panama). The Company determines sales to these markets based upon the customers' principal place of business. During 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company did not have any long-lived assets outside of the United States.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

As of April 1, 2014, the executive officers of the Company, who are elected by and serve at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors, were as follows:

| Name | Office | Age at January 31, 2014 | Has Held Office Since |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Robert A. Virtue (1) | President, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer | 81 | 1990 |
| Douglas A. Virtue (2) | Executive Vice President | 55 | 1992 |
| J. Scott Bell (3) | Vice President — General Manager, Conway Division | 57 | 2004 |
| Robert E. Dose (4) | Vice President — Finance, Secretary and Treasurer | 57 | 1995 |
| Patricia Quinones (5) | Vice President — Logistics, Marketing Services and Information Technology | 50 | 2004 |
| D. Randal Smith (6) | Vice President — Marketing and Corporate Stewardship | 65 | 1995 |
| Jamie Makuuchi (7) | Chief Marketing Officer | 51 | 2013 |
| Bassey Yau (8) | Vice President — Corporate Controller, Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer | 55 | 2004 |

(1) Appointed Chairman in 1990; has been employed by the Company for 57 years and has served as the President since 1982 and Chief Executive Officer since 1988.

(2) Appointed in 1992; has been employed by the Company for 28 years and has served in Production Control, as Contract Administrator, as Manager of Marketing Services, as General Manager of the Torrance Division, and currently as Corporate Executive Vice President.

(3) Appointed in 2004; has been employed by the Company for 25 years and has served in a variety of manufacturing, safety, and environmental positions, and currently Vice President — General Manager, Conway Division.

(4) Appointed in 1995; has been employed by the Company for 23 years and has served as the Corporate Controller, and currently as Vice President of Finance, Secretary and Treasurer.

(5) Appointed in 2004; has been employed by the Company for 22 years in a variety customer and marketing service positions, and currently as Vice President of Logistics, Marketing Services and Information Technology.

(6) Appointed in 1995; has been employed by the Company for 29 years in a variety of sales and marketing positions, and currently as Vice President of Marketing & Corporate Stewardship.

(7) Appointed in 2013; is responsible for all sales and Marketing operations.

(8) Appointed in 2004; has been employed by the Company for 17 years and has served as Corporate Controller, and currently as Vice President Accounting, Corporate Controller, Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer.

None of the Company's officers have employment contracts.

Available Information

Virco files annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Stockholders may read and copy this information at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Stockholders may also obtain copies of this information by mail from the Public Reference Room at the address set forth above, at prescribed rates.

The SEC also maintains an Internet website that contains reports, proxy statements and other information about issuers like Virco who file electronically with the SEC. The address of that site is www.sec.gov.

In addition, Virco makes available to its stockholders, free of charge through its Internet website, its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed, or furnished pursuant to, Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), as soon as reasonably practicable after Virco electronically files such material with, or furnishes it to, the SEC. The address of that site is www.virco.com.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following risk factors and other information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K should be carefully considered. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we presently deem less significant may also adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows, and financial condition. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Our product sales are significantly affected by education funding, which is a function of general economic conditions. If the economy continues to remain weak or further weakens, funding for education may fail to improve or decrease further, which would adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our sales are significantly impacted by the level of education funding primarily in North America, which, in turn, is a function of the general economic environment. In a weak economy, like the one currently being experienced in the United States, state and local revenues decline, restricting funding for K-12 education spending which typically leads to a decrease in demand for school furniture. Sustained depressions in the per-student funding levels provided for in-state and local budgets could have a materially adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, geopolitical uncertainties, terrorist attacks, acts of war, natural disasters, increases in energy and other costs or combinations of such factors and other factors that are outside of our control could at any time have a significant effect on the economy, which in turn would affect government revenues and allocations of government spending. The occurrence of any of these or similar events in the future could cause demand for our products to decline or competitive pricing pressures to increase, either or both of which would adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Gaps in state budgets may adversely affect our revenue and results of operations.

Virtually all states are required to balance their operating budgets either on an annual or bi-annual basis. Unlike the federal government, states cannot maintain services during an economic downturn by running a deficit. Without federal economic assistance, states that have not recovered from the recent recession will need to address remaining shortfalls with a combination of spending cuts and/or tax increases. If states cut spending for education to address such budgetary shortfalls, our revenue and results of operations will be adversely affected.

Reduced levels of spending on education may significantly impact spending on furniture and increase price competition in the furniture market. If price competition increases, we may need to reduce our prices to build or maintain our market share, which in turn could lower our profit margins.

The educational furniture market is characterized by price competition, as many sales occur on a bid basis. When state and local funding for education declines, schools typically reduce spending on all budget line items prior to reducing teacher and administrator salaries and benefits. This in turn can result in reduced demand for school furniture, which in turn can intensify price competition in our industry. This price competition could impact our ability to implement price increases or, in some cases, such as during an industry downturn, maintain prices. In addition, when market conditions warrant, we may need to reduce prices to build or maintain our market share. If we are unable to increase or maintain prices for our products, our profit margins could decline. Such decline will be compounded to the extent we are unable to maintain or reduce the cost of our products, which may be especially difficult in the current

environment given the volatility of the commodities markets.

Our efforts to introduce new products that meet customer requirements may not be successful, which could limit our sales growth or cause our sales to decline.

13

To keep pace with industry trends, such as changes in education curriculum and increases in the use of technology, and with evolving regulatory and industry requirements, including environmental, health, safety and similar standards for the education environment and for product performance, we must periodically introduce new products. The introduction of new products requires the coordination of the design, manufacturing and marketing of such products, which may be affected by factors beyond our control. The design and engineering of certain of our new products can take up to a year or more, and further time may be required to achieve customer acceptance. Accordingly, the launch of any particular product may be later or less successful than we originally anticipated. Additionally, our competitors may develop new product designs that achieve a high level of customer acceptance, which could give them a competitive advantage over us in making future sales. Difficulties or delays in introducing new products or lack of customer acceptance of new products could limit our sales growth or cause our sales to decline.

The majority of our sales are generated under annual contracts, which combined with the seasonal nature of our business, may limit our ability to raise prices on a timely basis during a given year in response to increases in costs.

We commit to annual contracts that determine selling prices for goods and services for periods of one year, and occasionally longer. Though the Company has negotiated increased flexibility under many of these contracts that may allow the Company to increase prices on future orders, the Company does not have the ability to raise prices on orders received prior to any announced price increase. Due to the intensely seasonal nature of our business, the Company may receive significant orders during the first and second quarters for delivery in the second and third quarters. With respect to any of the contracts described above, if the costs of providing our products or services increase between the date the orders are received and the shipping date, we may not be able to implement corresponding increases in our sales prices for such products or services in order to offset the related increased costs. Significant cost increases in providing either the services or products during a given contract period could therefore lower our profit margins. By way of example, in 2008, we incurred a severe increase in the price of steel. Steel prices increased by more than 80% during a four month period from April to July. During the period from April through the third quarter of 2008, the price of petroleum increased substantially, affecting the cost of plastic, inbound freight, freight to customers, and other energy costs. During the third quarter of 2008, we successfully raised the sales prices under a significant number of our annual contracts in an effort to recover margin lost to increased costs. Due to the seasonal nature of our business, however, approximately 2/3 of orders received and approximately 75% of shipments for the year were priced prior to the third quarter increase. During 2011, the Company incurred an increase of approximately 30% in the cost of steel during the second quarter, and nearly a 30% increase in the cost of certain plastics over the second and third quarters. The Company increased prices for the 2012 and 2013 years in an effort to recover these commodity cost increases and intends to increase prices again for 2014.

We depend on outside suppliers who may be unable to meet our volume and quality requirements, and we may be unable to obtain alternative sources.

We require substantial amounts of raw materials and components to manufacture our products, which we purchase from outside sources. Raw materials comprised our single largest total cost for 2013, 2012, and 2011. Contracts with most of our suppliers are short-term. These suppliers may not continue to provide raw materials and components to us at attractive prices, or at all, and we may not be able to obtain the raw materials we need in the future from these or other providers on the scale and within the time frames we require. In the current economic environment, many of the Company's suppliers may experience difficulty obtaining financing and may go out of business. The Company may have difficulty replacing these suppliers, especially if the supplier fails as the Company is entering the seasonal summer shipping season. Moreover, we do not carry significant inventories of raw materials, components or finished goods that could mitigate an interruption or delay in the availability of raw materials and components. In addition, because we purchase components from international sources, primarily China, we are subject to fluctuations in currency exchange rates as well as the impact of natural disasters, war and other factors that may disrupt the transportation systems or shipping lines used by our suppliers, and other uncontrollable factors such as changes in foreign regulation or economic conditions. Any failure to obtain raw materials and components on a timely basis, or any significant delays or interruptions in the supply of raw materials, could prevent us from being able to manufacture

products ordered by our customers in a timely fashion, which could have a negative impact on our reputation and could cause our sales to decline.

Increases in basic commodity, raw material and component costs could adversely affect our profitability.

Fluctuations in the price, availability and quality of the commodities, raw materials and components used in manufacturing our products could have an adverse effect on our costs of sales, profitability and our ability to meet customers' demand. The price of commodities, raw materials and components, including steel and plastics, our largest raw material categories, have been volatile in recent years, and the cost, quality and availability of such commodities have been significantly affected in recent years by, among other things, changes in global supply and demand, changes in laws and regulations (including tariffs and

duties), changes in exchange rates and worldwide price levels, natural disasters, labor disputes, terrorism and political unrest or instability. These factors could lead to further price increases or supply interruptions in the future. As discussed above, in the short term, rapid changes in raw material costs can be very difficult for us to offset with price increases because, in the case of many of our contracts, we have committed to selling prices for goods and services for periods of one year, and occasionally longer. Our profit margins could be adversely affected if commodity, raw material and component costs remain high or escalate further, and, we are unable to pass along a portion of the higher costs to our customers.

We are affected by the cost of energy, and increases in energy prices could reduce our margins and profits.

The profitability of our operations is sensitive to the cost of energy relative to our transportation costs, the costs of petroleum-based materials (like plastics), and the costs of operating our manufacturing facilities. Petroleum prices have fluctuated significantly in recent years. Prices and availability of petroleum products are subject to political, economic and market factors that are generally outside our control. Political events in petroleum-producing regions as well as hurricanes and other weather-related events may cause petroleum prices to increase. If such prices increase, our transportation costs may be adversely affected in the form of increased operation costs for our fleet and surcharges on freight paid to third-party carriers. If our transportation costs continue to increase, and/or the price of petroleum-based products and cost of operating our manufacturing facilities increase, these increases could have a negative impact on our gross margins and profitability.

Approximately 50% of our sales are priced through one contract, under which we are the exclusive supplier of classroom furniture.

A nationwide contract/price list, which allows schools and school districts to purchase furniture without bidding, accounts for the pricing of a significant portion of our sales. This contract/price list is sponsored by a nationwide purchasing organization that does not purchase products from the Company. By providing a public bid specification and authorization service to publicly-funded agencies, the organization's contract/price list enables such agencies to make authorized expenditures of taxpayer funds. For all sales under this contract/price list, Virco has a direct selling relationship with the purchaser, whether it is a school, a district, or another publicly-funded agency. In addition, Virco can ship directly to the purchaser; perform installation services at the purchaser's location; and finally bill directly to, and collect from, the purchaser. Although Virco sells direct to hundreds of individual schools and school districts, and these schools and school districts can purchase our products and services under several bids and contracts available to them, approximately 49% of Virco's sales in 2013 and 49% of Virco's sales in 2012 were priced under this nationwide contract/price list. In the 3rd quarter of 2008, the Company was awarded a three-year contract with this purchasing organization extending through 2011. In addition, in 2008 the Company was awarded three one-year extensions extending through 2014. If Virco were to lose its exclusive supplier status under this contract/price list, and other manufacturers were allowed to sell under this contract/price list, it could cause Virco's sales, or growth in sales, to decline.

We operate in a seasonal business, and require significant amounts of working capital through our existing credit facility to fund acquisitions of inventory, fund expenses for freight and installation, and finance receivables during the summer delivery season. Restrictions imposed by the terms of our existing credit facility may limit our operating and financial flexibility. In addition, there can be no assurance that the Company will meet the requirements of its financial covenants on an ongoing basis or that should it fail to meet such covenants in the future, the agent and lender under the Credit Agreement will agree to waivers or amendments with respect thereto.

Our credit facility, among other things, largely prevents us from incurring any additional indebtedness, limits capital expenditures, restricts dividends and stock repurchases, and provides for seasonal variations in the maximum borrowing amount, including a reduced maximum level of borrowing during the fourth fiscal quarter. Our credit facility also provides for periodic financial covenants, which currently include a minimum EBITDA, a minimum tangible net worth and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio requirement. As a result of the foregoing, our operational and financial flexibility may be limited, which may prevent us from engaging in transactions that might further our growth strategy or otherwise be considered beneficial to us.

Under our credit facility, substantially all of our accounts receivable are automatically and promptly swept to repay amounts outstanding under the credit facility upon our receipt. Due to this automatic liquidating nature, if we breach

any covenant, violate any representation or warranty or suffer a deterioration in our ability to borrow pursuant to the borrowing base calculation contained in the credit facility, we may not have access to cash liquidity unless provided by the lender in its discretion. If the indebtedness under our credit facility were to be accelerated, we cannot be certain that we will have sufficient funds available to pay such indebtedness or that we will have the ability to refinance the accelerated indebtedness on terms favorable to us or at all. Any such acceleration could also result in a foreclosure on all or substantially all of our assets, which would have a negative impact on the value of our common stock and jeopardize our ability to continue as a going concern. In addition, certain of the covenants and representations and warranties set forth in our credit facility contain limited or no

materiality thresholds, and many of the representations and warranties must be true and correct in all material respects upon each borrowing, which we expect to occur on an ongoing basis. There can be no assurance that we will be able to comply with all such covenants and be able to continue to make such representations and warranties on an ongoing basis. Due to continued weak demand for education furniture, the Company has been unable to satisfy its minimum tangible net worth and minimum EBITDA covenants for numerous periods during 2012 and most recently its minimum EBITDA covenant for the relevant period ending January 31, 2014. On each occasion, the lender under the Company's Credit Agreement has agreed to amend the Company's credit facility and/or waive the violation.

Accordingly, on April 15, 2014, the Company entered into Amendment No. 7 to the Credit Agreement, which waived the violation of the minimum EBITDA financial covenant and the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio financial covenant at January 31, 2014. There can be no assurance that the Company will meet the requirements of its financial covenants on an ongoing basis or that, should it again fail to meet such covenants, the Agent and Lender under its Credit Agreement will agree to waivers or amendments with respect thereto.

We may not be able to renew our credit facility on favorable terms, or at all, which would adversely affect our results of operations.

We have historically relied on third-party bank financing to meet our seasonal cash flow requirements. On an annual basis, we prepare a forecast of seasonal working capital requirements and use borrowings under our credit facility with PNC Bank to help meet these seasonal cash flow and working capital requirements. Disruptions in the U.S. credit markets have caused the interest rate on prospective debt financing to widen considerably and have made financing terms for borrowers less attractive, and in certain cases have resulted in the unavailability of certain types of debt financing. Continued uncertainty in the credit markets may negatively impact our ability to renew our credit facility upon its maturity in 2017 on favorable terms or at all. If we are unable to renew our credit facility on favorable terms (including available borrowing line and the rate of interest charged thereunder), or at all, our ability to fund our operations would be impaired, which would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

If management does not accurately forecast the Company's requirements for the peak summer season, the Company's results of operations could be adversely affected.

The Company's business is highly seasonal and requires significant working capital in anticipation of and during the peak summer season. This requires management to make estimates and judgments with respect to the Company's working capital requirements during, and in anticipation of, the peak summer season. Management expends a significant amount of time in the first quarter of each year developing a stocking plan and estimating the number of temporary summer employees, the amount of raw materials, and the types of components and products that will be required during the peak season. If management does not accurately forecast the Company's requirements, the Company's results of operations could be adversely affected. For example, if management underestimates any of these requirements, Virco's ability to meet customer orders in a timely manner or to provide adequate customer service may be diminished. If management overestimates any of these requirements, the Company may be required to absorb higher storage, labor and related costs, each of which may negatively affect the Company's results of operations. We may require additional capital in the future, which may not be available or may be available only on unfavorable terms.

Our capital requirements depend on many factors, including capital improvements, tooling and new product development. To the extent that our existing capital is insufficient to meet these requirements and cover any losses, we may need to raise additional funds through financings or curtail our growth and reduce our assets. Any equity or debt financing, if available at all, may be on terms that are not favorable to us. Equity financings could result in dilution to our stockholders, and the securities may have rights, preferences and privileges that are senior to those of our common stock. If our need for capital arises because of significant losses, the occurrence of these losses may make it more difficult for us to raise the necessary capital.

An inability to protect our intellectual property could have a significant impact on our business.

We attempt to protect our intellectual property rights through a combination of patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws. Our ability to compete effectively with our competitors depends, to a significant extent, on our ability to maintain the proprietary nature of our intellectual property. The degree of protection offered by the claims of the various patents, trademarks and service marks may not be broad enough to provide significant proprietary protection or competitive advantages to us, and patents, trademarks or service marks may not be issued on our pending or

contemplated applications. In addition, not all of our products are covered by patents. It is also possible that our patents, trademarks and service marks may be challenged, invalidated, cancelled, narrowed or circumvented. If we are unable to maintain the proprietary nature of our intellectual property with respect to our significant current or proposed products, our competitors may be able to sell copies of our

products, which could adversely affect our ability to sell our original products and could also result in competitive pricing pressures.

If third parties claim that we infringe upon their intellectual property rights, we may incur liability and costs and may have to redesign or discontinue an infringing product.

We face the risk of claims that we have infringed third parties' intellectual property rights. Companies operating in the furniture industry routinely seek protection of the intellectual property for their product designs, and our principal competitors may have large intellectual property portfolios. Our efforts to identify and avoid infringing third parties' intellectual property rights may not be successful. Any claims of intellectual property infringement, even those without merit, could (i) be expensive and time-consuming to defend; (ii) cause us to cease making, licensing or using products that incorporate the challenged intellectual property; (iii) require us to redesign, reengineer, or rebrand our products or packaging, if feasible; or (iv) require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements in order to obtain the right to use a third party's intellectual property. Such claims could have a negative impact on our sales and results of operations.

We could be required to incur substantial costs to comply with environmental requirements. Violations of, and liabilities under, environmental laws and regulations may increase our costs or require us to change our business practices.

Our past and present ownership and operation of manufacturing plants are subject to extensive and changing federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations, including those relating to discharges to air, water and land, the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous waste and the cleanup of properties affected by hazardous substances. As a result, we are involved from time to time in administrative and judicial proceedings and inquiries relating to environmental matters and could become subject to fines or penalties related thereto. We cannot predict what environmental legislation or regulations will be enacted in the future, how existing or future laws or regulations will be administered or interpreted or what environmental conditions may be found to exist. Compliance with more stringent laws or regulations, or stricter interpretation of existing laws, may require additional expenditures by us, some of which may be material. If new environmental laws and regulations are introduced and enforced domestically, but not implemented or enforced internationally, we will operate at a competitive disadvantage compared to competitors who source product primarily from international sources. In addition, in the past we have been identified as a potentially responsible party pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA") for remediation costs associated with waste disposal sites previously used by us. In general, CERCLA can impose liability for costs to investigate and remediate contamination without regard to fault or the legality of disposal and, under certain circumstances, liability may be joint and several, resulting in one party being held responsible for the entire obligation. Liability may also include damages for harm to natural resources. We may also be subject to claims for personal injury or contribution relating to CERCLA sites. We reserve amounts for such matters when expenditures are probable and reasonably estimable.

In addition to environmental laws and regulations affecting our manufacturing activities, the Company is subject to laws and regulations related to consumer product regulation. The Company sells products that are subject to the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 and the California Air Resources Board rule implemented on January 1, 2009, concerning formaldehyde emissions from composite wood products. The Company has controls in place to insure that its products meet all consumer product regulations, and a significant number of Virco products have been certified according to the GREENGUARD® Environmental Institute's stringent Children & Schools Program.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act may increase the cost of providing medical benefits to employees, which could have a significant adverse impact on our results of operations.

We currently provide medical, dental, vision, and life insurance benefits to substantially all full-time employees. Recent legislation regarding health care reform may cause the cost of providing medical insurance to our employees to increase. We may not be able to pass the cost of increased medical costs to our customers, which could cause our costs of sales to increase and our gross profit to decline.

We may not be able to manage our business effectively if we are unable to retain our experienced management team or recruit other key personnel.

The success of our operations is highly dependent upon our ability to attract and retain qualified employees and upon the ability of our senior management and other key employees to implement our business strategy. We believe there are only a limited number of qualified executives in the industry in which we compete. The loss of the services of key members of our management team could seriously harm our efforts to successfully implement our business strategy. We are subject to potential labor disruptions, which could have a significant impact on our business.

None of our work force is represented by unions, and while we believe that we have good relations with our work force, we may experience work stoppages or other labor problems in the future. Any prolonged work stoppage could have an adverse effect on our reputation, our vendor relations and our customers.

Our insurance coverage may not adequately insulate us from expenses for product defects.

We maintain product liability and other insurance coverage that we believe to be generally in accordance with industry practices. Our insurance coverage may not be adequate to protect us fully against substantial claims and costs that may arise from product defects, particularly if we have a large number of defective products that we must repair, retrofit, replace or recall.

Volatility in the equity markets or interest rates could substantially increase our pension costs and have a negative impact on our operating results.

We sponsor one qualified defined benefit pension plan, the Virco Employee Retirement Plan (the "Employee Plan"), and two nonqualified pension plans. The difference between plan obligations and assets, or the funded status of the Employee Plan, significantly affects net periodic benefit costs of our Employee Plan and our ongoing funding requirements with respect to the Employee Plan. The Employee Plan is funded with trust assets invested in a diversified portfolio of debt and equity securities and other investments. Among other factors, changes in interest rates, investment returns and the market value of plan assets can (i) affect the level of plan funding; (ii) cause volatility in the net periodic pension cost; and (iii) increase our future contribution requirements. Because the current economic environment is characterized by declining investment returns and interest rates, we may be required to make additional cash contributions to the Employee Plan and recognize further increases in our net pension cost to satisfy our funding requirements. A significant decrease in investment returns or the market value of plan assets or a significant decrease in interest rates could increase our net periodic pension costs and adversely affect our results of operations.

Holders of approximately 40% of the shares of our stock have entered into an agreement restricting the sale of the stock.

Certain shares of the Company's common stock received by the holders thereof as gifts from Julian A. Virtue, including shares received in subsequent stock dividends, are subject to an agreement that restricts the sale or transfer of those shares. As a result of the share ownership and representation on the board and in management, the parties to the agreement have significant influence on affairs and actions of the Company, including matters requiring stockholder approval such as the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions. In addition, these transfer restrictions and concentration of ownership could have the effect of impeding an acquisition of the Company.

Our corporate documents and Delaware law contain provisions that could discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and our amended and restated bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or acquisition involving us that our stockholders may consider favorable. For example, our certificate of incorporation currently provides for a staggered board of directors, whereby directors serve for three-year terms, with approximately one-third of the directors coming up for reelection each year. Having a staggered board will make it more difficult for a third party to obtain control of our board of directors through a proxy contest, which may be a necessary step in an acquisition of us that is not favored by our board of directors. Our board of directors intends to include a proposal in our proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Stockholder Meeting to eliminate our classified board structure. If this proposal is approved by our stockholders, it would become easier for a potential acquirer to replace our entire board of directors in one year. Our board of directors also intends to include a proposal in our proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Stockholder Meeting to eliminate cumulative voting. If this proposal is approved by our stockholders, it may in turn make it more difficult for a third party to obtain control of our board even if our stockholders approve the declassification of our board of directors.

We are also subject to the anti-takeover provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Under these provisions, if anyone becomes an "interested stockholder," we may not enter into a "business combination" with that person for three years without special approval, which could discourage a third party from making a takeover offer and could delay or prevent a change of control. For purposes of Section 203, "interested stockholder" means, generally, someone owning 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock or an affiliate of ours that owned 15% or more of our

outstanding voting stock during the past three years, subject to certain exceptions as described in Section 203. Additionally, the board of directors entered into a Rights Agreements pursuant to which certain preferred stock purchase rights would become exercisable when a person acquires or commences to acquire a beneficial interest of at least 20% of our outstanding common stock.

Our stock price has historically been volatile, and investors in our common stock could suffer a decline in value. There has been significant volatility in the market price and trading volume of equity securities, which may be unrelated to the financial performance of the companies issuing the securities. The limited “float” of shares available for purchase or sale of Virco stock can magnify this volatility. These broad market fluctuations may negatively affect the market price of our common stock. Some specific factors that may have a significant effect on our common stock market price include:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results or future prospects;
- our announcements or our competitors’ announcements of new products;
- the public’s reaction to our press releases, our other public announcements and our filings with the SEC;
- strategic actions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions or restructurings;
- new laws or regulations or new interpretations of existing laws or regulations applicable to our business;
- changes in accounting standards, policies, guidance, interpretations or principles;
- changes in our growth rates or our competitors’ growth rates;
- our inability to raise additional capital;
- conditions of the school furniture industry as a result of changes in funding or general economic conditions, including those resulting from war, incidents of terrorism and responses to such events; and
- changes in stock market analyst recommendations or earnings estimates regarding our common stock, other comparable companies or the education furniture industry generally.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Torrance, California

Virco leases a 560,000 sq. ft. office, manufacturing and warehousing facility located on 23.5 acres of land in Torrance, California. This facility is occupied under a five year lease expiring on February 28, 2020. This facility also includes the corporate headquarters, the West Coast showroom, and all West Coast distribution operations.

Conway, Arkansas

The Company owns 100 acres of land in Conway, Arkansas, containing 1,200,000 sq. ft. of manufacturing, warehousing, and office space. This facility - which is equipped with high-density storage systems, features 70 dock doors dedicated to outbound freight, and has substantial yard capacity to store and stage trailers - has enabled the Company to consolidate the warehousing function and implement the Assemble-to-Ship inventory stocking program. Management believes that this facility supports Virco's ability to handle increased sales during the peak delivery season and enhances the efficiency with which orders are filled.

In addition to the complex described above, the Company operates two other facilities in Conway, Arkansas. The first is a 375,000 sq. ft. fabrication facility that was acquired in 1954, and expanded and modernized over subsequent years. The Company manufactures fabricated steel components, chrome plates, and fabricates injection-molded plastic components at this facility. These components are transferred to other facilities for assembly into finished goods. The second is a 175,000 sq. ft. manufacturing facility that is used to fabricate and store compression-molded components. This building is leased under a 10-year lease expiring in March 2018.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Virco has various legal actions pending against it arising in the ordinary course of business, which in the opinion of the Company, are not material in that management either expects that the Company will be successful on the merits of the pending cases or that any liabilities resulting from such cases will be substantially covered by insurance. While it is impossible to estimate with certainty the ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to these suits and claims, management believes that

Table of Contents

the aggregate amount of such liabilities will not be material to the results of operations, financial position, or cash flows of the Company.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

20

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The NASDAQ exchange is the principal market on which Virco Mfg. Corporation (VIRC) stock is traded. As of March 26, 2014, there were approximately 217 registered stockholders according to the Company's transfer agent records. There were approximately 874 beneficial stockholders.

Dividend Policy

Historically it has been the board of directors' policy to periodically review the payment of cash and stock dividends in light of the Company's earnings and liquidity. During the fourth quarter of 2007, the Company initiated a quarterly dividend of \$0.025 per share. Effective as of the third quarter of 2011, the board of directors suspended the quarterly cash dividend program. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the Company's current line of credit with PNC Bank, which was entered into on December 22, 2011, the Company is prohibited from paying dividends. Consequently, for at least as long as this covenant is included in its credit agreement with PNC Bank, no dividends will be paid by the Company to its stockholders.

Quarterly Dividend and Stock Market Information

| | Cash Dividends Declared | | Common Stock Range | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------|------|--------------------|--------|--------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 High | Low | 2012 High | Low |
| 1st Quarter | \$— | \$— | \$2.72 | \$1.96 | \$2.25 | \$1.56 |
| 2nd Quarter | — | — | 2.53 | 1.95 | 1.96 | 1.45 |
| 3rd Quarter | — | — | 2.49 | 1.98 | 3.84 | 1.48 |
| 4th Quarter | — | — | 2.80 | 2.00 | 2.89 | 1.31 |

Stock Repurchases

The Company did not repurchase any shares of its stock during 2013. Pursuant to the Company's credit agreement with PNC Bank, the Company is prohibited from repurchasing any shares of its stock except in cases where a repurchase is financed by a substantially concurrent issuance of new shares of the Company's common stock.

Stock Performance Graph

The graph set forth below compares the five-year cumulative total stockholder return of the Company's common stock with the cumulative total stockholder return of (i) an industry peer group index, and (ii) the NASDAQ Market Index. The graph assumes \$100 was invested on February 1, 2009, in the Company's common stock, the NASDAQ Market Index and the companies in the peer group and assumes the reinvestment of dividends, if any.

COMPARISON OF 5-YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN
AMONG VIRCO MFG. CORPORATION, NASDAQ MARKET INDEX
AND MORNINGSTAR INDEX

| Company/Market/Peer Group | Period Ending | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 1/31/2009 | 1/31/2010 | 1/31/2011 | 1/31/2012 | 1/31/2013 | 1/31/2014 |
| Virco Mfg. Corporation | \$100.00 | \$174.04 | \$156.25 | \$92.94 | \$139.40 | \$136.79 |
| NASDAQ Market Index | \$100.00 | \$146.91 | \$186.66 | \$196.55 | \$222.91 | \$295.12 |
| Morningstar Business Equipment | \$100.00 | \$144.14 | \$189.57 | \$169.72 | \$157.17 | \$144.82 |

The current composition of Morningstar Business Equipment Index is as follows: ACCO Brands Corp, Addmaster Corporation, American Locker Group, Inc., Avery Dennison Corp, Azkoyen S.A., Banneker, Inc., BioAuthorize Holdings Incorporated and, Canon Marketing Japan Inc., Canon, Inc., Comtrex Systems Corporation, Coupon Express Inc, Datalogic Spa, Document Capture Technologies, Inc., Ennis, Inc., Ergobilt INC, Everlert, Inc., Global Payment Technologies, Inc., Gunther International, Ltd., Herman Miller, Inc., HNI Corporation, Inscape Corporation, Inventronics Limited, Kewaunee Scientific Corp., Knoll, Inc., Koala Corporation, Komori Corp, Konica Minolta Inc, M.POS, Inc., Marmion Industries Corporation, Neopost, Okamura Corporation, Onyx Service & Solutions, Inc., Open Plan Systems, Inc., Pitney Bowes Inc, Reconditioned Systems, Inc., Ricoh, Ltd., Roboserver Systems Corp, Standard Register Company, Steelcase, Inc., Takano Co Ltd, Teleconnect, Inc., Trxade Group Inc, VeriFone Systems, Inc., and Virco Mfg. Corporation.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following tables set forth selected historical consolidated financial data for the periods indicated. The following data should be read in conjunction with Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, and with Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Annual Report on Form 10K.

Five Year Summary of Selected Financial Data

| In thousands, except per share data | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | As Adjusted 2009 (1) |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Summary of Operations | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$155,920 | \$158,856 | \$166,441 | \$180,995 | \$190,513 |
| Net (loss) income (1) | \$(1,730) | \$(3,830) | \$(13,803) | \$(17,594) | \$(725) |
| Net (loss) income per share data (a) (1) | | | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.12) | \$(0.27) | \$(0.97) | \$(1.25) | \$(0.05) |
| Assuming dilution | (0.12) | (0.27) | (0.97) | (1.25) | (0.05) |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$— | \$— | \$0.05 | \$0.10 | \$0.10 |

a) Net loss per share was calculated based on basic shares outstanding due to the anti-dilutive effect on the inclusion of common stock equivalent shares.

Other Financial Data

| In thousands, except per share data | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | As Adjusted 2009 (1) |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Total assets (1) | \$83,344 | \$82,163 | \$94,225 | \$100,588 | \$122,432 |
| Working capital (1) | \$16,983 | \$12,526 | \$18,598 | \$29,498 | \$38,386 |
| Current ratio (1) | 1.7/1 | 1.5/1 | 1.7/1 | 2.5/1 | 2.7/1 |
| Total long-term obligations | \$33,083 | \$30,707 | \$36,119 | \$30,169 | \$30,236 |
| Stockholders' equity (1) | \$27,605 | \$27,020 | \$30,896 | \$50,402 | \$69,270 |
| Shares outstanding at year-end | 14,718 | 14,550 | 14,354 | 14,205 | 14,163 |
| Stockholders' equity per share (1) | \$1.88 | \$1.86 | \$2.15 | \$3.55 | \$4.89 |

(1) The historical financial data has been modified for the results of operation and ending balance sheet for 2009 to reflect our 2010 change in accounting principle for our method of accounting for certain of our inventory.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations includes a number of forward-looking statements that reflect the Company's current views with respect to future events and financial performance, including, but not limited to, availability of funding for educational institutions, economic conditions, statements regarding plans and objectives of management for future operations, including plans and objectives relating to products, pricing, marketing, expansion, and manufacturing processes; new business strategies; the Company's ability to continue to control costs and inventory levels; availability and cost of raw materials, especially steel and petroleum-based products; the availability and cost of labor; the potential impact of the Company's "Assemble-To-Ship" program on earnings; market demand; the Company's ability to position itself in the market; references to current and future investments in and utilization of infrastructure; statements relating to management's beliefs that cash flow from current operations, existing cash reserves, and available lines of credit will be sufficient to support the Company's working capital requirements to fund existing operations; references to expectations of future revenues; pricing; and seasonality.

Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors, many of which are outside of the Company's control and difficult to forecast, that may cause actual results to differ materially from those which are anticipated. Such factors include, but are not limited to, changes in, or the Company's ability to predict, general economic conditions, the markets for school and office furniture generally and specifically in areas and with customers with which the Company conducts its principal business activities, the rate of approval of school bonds for the construction of new schools, the extent to which existing schools order replacement furniture, customer confidence, competition and other factors included in the "Risk Factors" section of this report.

Table of Contents

In this report, words such as “anticipates,” “believes,” “expects,” “will continue,” “future,” “intends,” “plans,” “estimates,” “potential,” “budgets,” “may,” “could” and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof.

Executive Overview

Management's strategy is to position Virco as the overall value supplier of educational furniture and equipment. The markets that Virco serves include the education market (the Company's primary market), which is made up of public and private schools (preschool through 12th grade), junior and community colleges, four-year colleges and universities; and trade, technical and vocational schools. Virco also serves convention centers and arenas; the hospitality industry, with respect to their banquet and meeting facilities; government facilities at the federal, state, county and municipal levels; and places of worship. In addition, the Company sells to wholesalers, distributors, retailers and catalog retailers that serve these same markets. These institutions are frequently characterized by extreme seasonality and/or a bid-based purchasing function. The Company's business model, which is designed to support this strategy, includes the development of several competencies to enable superior service to the markets in which Virco competes. An important element of Virco's business model is the Company's emphasis on developing and maintaining key manufacturing, warehousing, distribution, installation, project management, and service capabilities. The Company has developed a comprehensive product offering for the furniture, fixtures and equipment needs of the K-12 education market, enabling a school to procure all of its furniture, fixtures and equipment (“FF&E”) requirements from one source.

Virco's product offering consists primarily of items manufactured by Virco, complemented with products sourced from other furniture manufacturers. Our product offerings are continually enhanced with an ongoing new product development program that incorporates internally developed products as well as product lines developed with accomplished designers. Finally, management continues to hone Virco's ability to forecast, finance, manufacture, warehouse, deliver, and install furniture within the relatively narrow delivery window associated with the highly seasonal demand for education sales. In 2013 and 2012, approximately 50% of the Company's total sales were delivered in June, July, and August with an even higher portion of educational sales delivered in that period. Shipments during July and August can be as great as six times the level of shipments in the winter months. Virco's substantial warehouse space allows the Company to build and ship adequate inventories to service this narrow delivery window for the education market.

The market and operating environment for school furniture, fixtures, and equipment has been challenging during the last decade and is likely to continue to be so for at least the near future. Schools suffered significant budgetary pressures from 2001 to 2005 following the “dot com” bust, and more recently in 2008 through 2013 as a result of the recession and severe budget deficits incurred by state and local governments. The years 2008 through 2013 were particularly challenging for the Company and the educational furniture industry in general and conditions are likely to remain challenging for the near term. These budgetary pressures directly impacted the demand for the Company's products, as the demand for educational furniture largely depends upon: (1) available funding in a school's general operating fund and (2) the completion of bond-funded projects, which is directly impacted by the amount of bond financing issued to fund new school construction, to renovate older schools, and to fully equip new and renovated schools.

In response to the 2008 recession, passage of new bond issuances declined, and bond funded project completions have trended down each year. Completions of new schools, additions and renovations are not anticipated to improve in 2014. School operating budgets experienced significant strain during the same period. Approximately 80-85% of a school's operating budget is for the salaries and benefits for school teachers and administrators. Increasing costs for medical insurance, combined with pressures from unfunded pension obligations reduces funds available for other purposes. In response to these budgetary pressures schools typically elect to retain teachers and spend less on repairs, maintenance, and replacement furniture, which in turn reduces the demand for, and sales of, the Company's products. In addition, the furniture industry in general, including the market for school furniture, has been significantly impacted by low cost competition from China. In 2004, 2005, and 2008 commodity prices for some of the Company's primary raw materials, particularly steel and plastic, were extremely volatile. During 2011, the Company incurred a cost increase of approximately 30% in the cost of steel during the second quarter, and nearly a 30% increase in the cost of

certain plastics over the second and third quarters. Due to recent volatility in the commodities' markets, similar volatility for the Company's raw materials is likely to continue for the near term. Because a majority of the Company's sales are generated under annual contracts in which the Company has limited ability to raise the price of its products during the term of the contract, if the costs of the Company's raw materials increase suddenly or unexpectedly, the Company cannot be certain that it will be able to implement corresponding increases in its sales prices in order to offset such increased costs. Significant cost increases in providing products during a given contract period can adversely impact operating results and have done so during prior years. The Company typically benefits from any decreases in raw material costs under the contracts described above.

During a period of robust education spending during the 1990's, the Company expanded and modernized its manufacturing and distribution facilities at the Torrance, CA and Conway, AR locations. During the last decade, the Company has worked continuously to significantly reduce its cost structure while concurrently expanding its product offering, expanding manufacturing process capabilities and more fully automating its facilities. For example, headcount of permanent employees as of January 31, 2014, was approximately 700 compared to a peak of nearly 2,950 in August 2000. Factory overhead in 2013 declined by more than 55% compared to 2000. The Company accomplished this without closing a factory and while continuing to add new production processes, including flat metal forming, and other capabilities to support an ambitious product development program.

During 2011, in an effort to bring the Company's cost structure in line with decreased revenues, the Company offered early retirement and voluntary separation packages to its employees in Arkansas and California. Combined with normal attrition, the Company reduced its workforce by approximately 205 persons (20%). During 2012, the workforce declined by an additional 65 full time positions primarily due to attrition. In 2013, a reduction in force combined with attrition reduced the workforce by an additional 60 persons. These savings will be offset somewhat by the cost of temporary direct labor employees hired to meet production requirements during 2014. The Company plans to maintain stringent limits on full time staffing, supplemented with temporary labor as necessary in order to produce, warehouse, deliver, and install furniture during the coming summer. Because the Company has not closed any manufacturing or distribution facilities that are utilized in operations, any increase in demand for our products can be met without any required investment in physical infrastructure.

While the Company anticipates challenging economic conditions to continue to impact its core customer base in the near term, there are certain underlying demographics, customer responses, and changes in the competitive landscape that provide opportunities. First, the underlying demographics of the student population are stable compared to the volatility of school budgets, and the related level of furniture and equipment purchases. This volatility is attributable to the financial health of the school systems. Virco management believes that there is a pent-up demand for quality school furniture (though it is unclear when and to what extent that pent-up demand will be converted into a meaningful increase in purchases). Second, management believes that parents and voters will demand that we educate our children and make this an ongoing priority for future government spending. Third, many schools have responded to the budget strains by reducing their support infrastructure. School districts historically have operated central warehouses and professional purchasing departments in a central business office. In order to retain teaching staff, many school districts have shut down the warehouses and reduced their purchasing departments and janitorial staffs. This change provides opportunities to provide services to schools, such as project management for new or renovated schools, delivery to individual school sites rather than truckload deliveries to central warehouses, and installation of furniture in classrooms. Moreover, this change offers opportunities for Virco to promote its complete product assortment which allows one-stop shopping as opposed to sourcing furniture needs from a variety of suppliers. Fourth, many suppliers have shut down or dramatically curtailed their domestic manufacturing capabilities, making it difficult for competitors to provide custom colors or finishes during a tight seasonal summer delivery window when they are reliant upon a supply chain extending to China. Unlike its primary competitors, Virco has maintained and invested in automation at its domestic manufacturing facilities, recently adding flat metal forming processes to its manufacturing capabilities and bringing production into its factories of items formerly sourced from other suppliers. Virco's domestic factories are a strategic resource for providing its customers with timely delivery of a broad selection of colors, finishes, laminates, and product styles.

During 2014 the Company anticipates continued uncertainty and volatility in commodity costs, particularly with respect to certain raw materials, transportation, and energy. The Company does not anticipate that this volatility will be as dramatic as experienced in 2011, but it has no assurances that commodity prices will not reach or exceed the levels in 2011. The Company may benefit from industry disruption in 2014. In 2012, a smaller domestic manufacturing competitor liquidated, and in 2013 a significant reseller of school furniture entered Chapter 11 reorganization-from which it emerged in mid-2013. While such events are illustrative of the challenging conditions in the Company's industry, the Company is hopeful that this disruption presents an opportunity for Virco to expand its customer base.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

This discussion and analysis of Virco's financial condition and results of operations is based upon the Company's financial statements which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires Virco management to make estimates and judgments that affect the Company's reported assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, management evaluates such estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, valuation of inventory and related obsolescence reserves, self-insured retention for products and general liability insurance, self-insured retention for workers' compensation insurance, provision for warranty, liabilities under defined benefit and other compensation programs, and estimates related to deferred tax assets and liabilities. Management bases its estimates on

historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. This forms the basis of judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include the factors discussed above under Item 1, Business, and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Virco's critical accounting policies are as follows:

Revenue Recognition: The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition." Revenue is recognized when title passes under its various shipping terms, when installation services are complete, and when collectability is reasonably assured. The Company reports sales net of sales returns and allowances and sales taxes imposed by various government authorities, where applicable. In most instances, the Company sells furniture on bids and contracts, which may include multiple elements. For sales that include freight to the customer, many sales are delivered on the same day shipped, with an average delivery being in route for 1 to 3 days. Installation, which involves carrying the furniture to the classroom and setting the desks and chairs in place, typically occurs the day the furniture is delivered. In accordance with ASC 605-25 ("ASC 605-25"), "Revenue Recognition - Multiple-Element Arrangements," revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables are generally accounted for by the Company on a combined unit of accounting as our customers control our ability to deliver and install the furniture, and as a result the furniture delivery and installation are generally provided at the same time. We recognize the consideration for the combined unit of accounting once the final item has been delivered and installed.

Allowances for Doubtful Accounts: Considerable judgment is required when assessing the ultimate realization of receivables, including assessing the probability of collection, current economic trends, historical bad debts and the current creditworthiness of each customer. The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts that may result from the inability of our customers to make required payments. Over the past five years, the Company's allowance for doubtful accounts has ranged from approximately 0.7% to 3.0% of accounts receivable at year-end. The allowance is evaluated using historic experience combined with a detailed review of past-due accounts. The Company does not typically obtain collateral to secure credit risk. The primary reason that Virco's allowance for doubtful accounts represents such a small percentage of accounts receivable is that a large portion of the accounts receivable is attributable to low-credit-risk governmental entities, who often purchase the furniture with funds provided from bond issuances, giving Virco's receivables a historically high degree of collectability. Although many states are experiencing budgetary difficulties, it is not anticipated that Virco's credit risk will be significantly impacted by these events. Over the next year, no significant change is expected in the Company's sales to government entities as a percentage of total revenues.

Inventory Valuation: Inventory is valued at the lower of cost or market (determined on a first-in, first-out basis) and includes material, labor, and factory overhead. The Company maintains allowances for estimated slow moving and obsolete inventory to reflect the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value. Allowances for slow moving and obsolete inventory are determined through a physical inspection of the product in connection with a physical inventory, a review of slow-moving product, and consideration of active marketing programs. The market for education furniture is traditionally driven by value, not style, and the Company has not typically incurred significant obsolescence expenses. If market conditions are less favorable than those anticipated by management, additional allowances may be required. Due to reductions in sales volume in the past years, the Company's manufacturing facilities are operating at reduced levels of capacity. The Company records the cost of excess capacity as a period expense, not as a component of capitalized inventory valuation.

Self-Insured Retention: For 2011, 2012, and 2013 the Company was self-insured for product liability losses ranging up to \$250,000 per occurrence, for workers' compensation losses up to \$250,000 per occurrence, and for auto liability up to \$50,000 per occurrence. The Company obtains annual actuarial valuations for the self-insured retentions. Product liability, workers' compensation, and auto reserves for known and unknown incurred but not reported ("IBNR") losses are recorded at the net present value of the estimated losses using a risk-free discount rate ranging from 0.5%-4.5% for 2013, 2012, and 2011. Given the relatively short term over which the IBNR losses are discounted, the sensitivity to the discount rate is not significant. Estimated workers' compensation losses were funded during the insurance year and subject to retroactive loss adjustments. The Company's exposure to self-insured retentions varies depending upon the market conditions in the insurance industry and the availability of cost-effective insurance coverage. Self-insured retentions for 2014 will be comparable to the retention levels for 2013.

Warranty Reserve: The Company provides a warranty against all substantial defects in material and workmanship. The Company's warranty is not a guarantee of service life, which depends upon events outside the Company's control and may be different from the warranty period. The standard warranty offered on products sold through January 31, 2005, is five years. Effective February 1, 2005, the standard warranty was increased to 10 years on products sold from February 1, 2005 through January 31, 2014. Effective February 1, 2014 the Company modified its warranty to a limited lifetime warranty. The new

warranty effective February 1, 2014 is not anticipated to have a significant effect on warranty expense. The Company's warranties generally provide that customers can return a defective product during the specified warranty period following purchase in exchange for a replacement product or that the Company can repair the product at no charge to the customer. The Company determines whether replacement or repair is appropriate in each circumstance. The Company uses historic data to estimate appropriate levels of warranty reserves. Because product mix, production methods, and raw material sources change over time, historic data may not always provide precise estimates for future warranty expense.

Defined Benefit Obligations: The Company has three defined benefit plans, the Virco Employees Retirement Plan (the "Employee Plan"), the Virco Important Performers Plan (the "VIP Plan") and the Non-Employee Directors Retirement Plan (the "Directors Plan"), which provide retirement benefits to employees and outside directors. Virco discounted the pension obligations for the various plans using the following rates:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
|----------------|------|--------|--------|---|
| Employee Plan | 4.25 | % 4.00 | % 4.50 | % |
| VIP Plan | 4.75 | % 4.25 | % 4.50 | % |
| Directors Plan | 4.25 | % 4.00 | % 4.50 | % |

Because the Company froze new benefit accruals for all three plans effective December 31, 2003, the assumed rate of increase in compensation has no effect on the accounting for the plans. The Company estimated a 6.5% return on plan assets for the Employee Plan for all three years. The VIP Plan and Directors Plan are unfunded and have no plan assets. These rate assumptions can vary due to changes in interest rates, the employment market, and expected returns in the stock market. In prior years, the discount rate and the anticipated rate of return on plan assets have decreased by several percentage points, causing pension expense and pension obligations to increase. In 2008, the Company incurred significant losses on investments held in trust to fund the Employee Plan. These investment losses will cause future pension costs to increase, and will require future cash contributions to adequately fund the Employee Plan. In the third quarter of 2011 the Company offered an early retirement program to employees who voluntarily terminated their employment with the Company. The incentive offered was a cash incentive and did not include additional retirement benefits, but was heavily directed toward employees with significant years of service.

Approximately 150 employees accepted this offer. Due to the volume of lump sum payments processed during the third and fourth quarters of 2011, the Company incurred a pension settlement cost for the Employee Plan. In the fourth quarter of 2012, as a result of cumulative retirement benefits paid during the year, the Company incurred an additional pension settlement cost for the Employee Plan. In May of 2013, the Company implemented a reduction in force. In the third and fourth quarters of 2013, as a result of cumulative retirement benefits paid during the year, the Company incurred additional pension settlement costs for the Employee Plan.

Although the Company does not anticipate any change in these rates in the coming year, any moderate change should not have a significant effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows. The Company obtains annual actuarial valuations for all three plans.

Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities: The Company recognizes deferred income taxes under the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 740 "Income Taxes." Deferred income taxes are recognized for differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities at enacted statutory tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, the Company considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income or reversal of deferred tax liabilities during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. The Company considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. The Company incurred a substantial operating loss for the years ended January 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011. During the fourth quarter of the year ended January 31, 2011, based on this consideration, the Company determined the realization of a majority of the net deferred tax assets no longer met the more likely than not criteria and a valuation allowance was recorded against the majority of the net deferred tax assets. Valuation allowances totaled \$24,210,000 and \$24,601,000 at

January 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. At January 31, 2014, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards for federal and state income tax purposes, expiring at various dates through 2033. Federal net operating losses that can potentially be carried forward totaled approximately \$24,546,000 at January 31, 2014. State net operating losses that can potentially be carried forward totaled approximately \$51,082,000 at January 31, 2014.

Results of Operations (2013 vs. 2012)

Financial Results and Cash Flow

The Company incurred a pre-tax loss of \$2,733,000 on net sales of \$155,920,000 for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2014, compared to pre-tax loss of \$4,039,000 on net sales of \$158,856,000 in the fiscal year ended January 31, 2013. Net loss per share was \$0.12 for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2014, compared to net loss per share of \$0.27 in the prior year. Cash flow used in operations was \$243,000 for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2014, compared to cash flow provided by operations of \$7,260,000 in the prior year.

Sales

Virco's sales decreased by 1.8% in 2013 to \$155,920,000 compared to \$158,856,000 in 2012. The decrease in sales was caused by continued unfavorable economic conditions that had an adverse impact on budgets for school spending and by a reduction in completions of bond-funded projects.

Volume declined by approximately \$6 million, partially offset by increases in price. Sales of Virco's new products, including Zuma®, Civitas™ and Sage™ increased by nearly \$1.3 million in 2013 compared to 2012, but were offset by reductions in older product lines. Sales for project orders declined by approximately \$0.5 million in 2013 compared to project orders in 2012 as a result of reduced construction completions of bond-funded projects. Orders rates were more volatile and more intensely seasonal in the current year than in prior years, with a shift to a greater percentage of orders received in the summer months. Orders in 2013 decreased 24% in the first quarter, increased 6% in the seasonally high second quarter, increased by 2% in the third quarter, and decreased by 15% in the fourth quarter, in each case as compared to the comparable period in 2012. Reduced fourth quarter orders contributed to a \$3.8 million decrease in backlog at January 31, 2014 compared to January 31, 2013.

For 2014 the Company anticipates that the persistent budgetary challenges for state and local governments combined with an anticipated reduction in completions of bond-funded projects may cause the amount of school furniture sold to be comparable to or perhaps less than 2013. As we have throughout this recession, the Company continues to focus on strategies to develop and strengthen its brand with an aggressive product development campaign. We will continue to use our domestic factories to provide greater flexibility for custom specifications such as laminates, colors, and on-time delivery. As discussed below, the Company significantly reduced its workforce through an early retirement program in 2011. In 2012, the Company's workforce declined by an additional 65 full time staff, primarily through attrition. In 2013, the Company's workforce was further reduced by an additional 60 full time staff through a reduction in force and attrition. This significant reduction in force largely spared our direct sales force. The Company will continue to emphasize the value, design and color selections of its products, the value of its distribution, delivery, installation, and project management capabilities, and the value of timely deliveries during the peak seasonal delivery period. In order to increase or maintain market share during 2014, when market conditions warrant, the Company will compete based on direct prices and may reduce its prices to build or maintain its market share.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales was 65.7% of sales in 2013 and 67.6% of sales in 2012. This decrease was due to an increase in selling prices and reduced factory spending. The Company benefited from stable material costs during 2013.

As a percentage of sales, raw material costs were 0.2% lower than in 2012. The Company increased selling prices at the beginning of the year in effort to improve gross margins. The cost of raw materials was stable during the year, resulting in raw material costs declining as a percentage of sales. Direct labor costs as a percentage of sales were unchanged in 2013 compared to 2012. The impact of increasing selling prices was offset by a slight deterioration in efficiency due to the increased seasonal peak of both orders and sales in 2013 compared to 2012. Manufacturing overhead as a percentage of sales decreased by 1.2% in 2013 from 2012. This decrease was primarily attributable to a small decrease in factory spending combined with a very slight (<1%) increase in production hours and the related impact on factory utilization.

The Company is beginning 2014 with approximately \$2.5 million more inventory than in 2013, but \$0.1 million less inventory than in 2012, \$7.6 million less than in 2011, and \$16.0 million less than 2010. Due to the controlled levels of inventory at January 31, 2014, production levels and related factory overhead absorption, which vary depending upon selling volumes, are anticipated in 2014 to be equal to or higher than 2013 levels. As discussed above, the Company significantly reduced its workforce during 2011, 2012 and 2013. The Company intends to build inventory earlier in the year, and hire temporary workers as necessary during 2014 to meet any seasonal production,

warehousing or distribution requirements that cannot be filled by the remaining full-time workforce. During 2014 the Company anticipates continued uncertainty and volatility in commodity costs, particularly with respect to certain raw materials, transportation, and energy. The Company does not anticipate that this volatility will be as dramatic in

27

2014 as experienced in 2011, but that it could be more volatile than in 2012. For more information, please see the section below entitled "Inflation and Future Change in Prices."

Selling, General and Administrative and Other Expenses

Selling, general and administrative and other expenses for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2014, increased by approximately \$0.8 million compared to the prior year, and were 35.2% of sales as compared to 34.0% in the prior year.

The primary reason for this increase was \$1.4 million of restructuring costs in 2013 related to a reduction in force as compared to \$0.8 million in 2012. No comparable expense was incurred in 2012. Because the majority of the employees accepting the offer were long-term employees with vested pension benefits, the Company incurred nearly \$1.0 million of pension settlement costs during the third and fourth quarters on 2013. The reduction in employees was evenly distributed between direct labor and other overhead, sales support, and G&A positions.

Warehousing, freight and installation costs were flat in dollars and increased by 0.2% as a percentage of sales. Selling costs declined due to a reduction in volume and decreased by 0.1% as a percentage of sales. G&A spending decreased slightly, but increased slightly as a percentage of sales due to an increase in litigation expenses. The Company expended funds in 2013 to protect patents on certain products. There was no comparable expense in the prior year. Interest expense was \$254,000 less in 2013 compared to 2012 as a result of decreased costs related to an asset based loan, and decreased accretion expense for certain liabilities.

Provision for Income Taxes

The Company recognizes deferred income taxes under the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 740, "Income Taxes." Deferred income taxes are recognized for differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities at enacted statutory tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, the Company considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income or reversal of deferred tax liabilities during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. The Company considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment.

During 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 the Company incurred operating losses. The Company has incurred a cumulative operating loss for the last four years. While the Company has taken significant measures to return to profitability, the short-term outlook for the school furniture market is challenging. Based on these considerations, at January 31, 2014 and January 31, 2013, the Company determined the realization of a majority of the net deferred tax assets no longer met the more likely than not criteria, and a valuation allowance was recorded against the majority of the net deferred tax assets. The Company has determined that it is more likely than not that some portion of the state net operating loss and credit carryforwards will be realized and has not provided a valuation allowance on a portion of the state net operating loss and credit carryforwards. At January 31, 2014, the Company had net operating losses carried forward for federal and state income tax purposes, expiring at various dates through 2033 if not utilized. Federal net operating losses that can potentially be carried forward totaled approximately \$24,546,000 at January 31, 2014. State net operating losses that can potentially be carried forward totaled approximately \$51,082,000 at January 31, 2014.

The primary component of the income tax benefit for the current year related to the gain related to AOCI, not operating loss. Because the Company has recorded a valuation allowance for the majority of deferred tax assets, the effective tax rate for 2014 may be low, with income tax being primarily income and franchise taxes as required by various states.

Results of Operations (2012 vs. 2011)

Financial Results and Cash Flow

The Company incurred a pre-tax loss of \$4,039,000 on net sales of \$158,856,000 for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2013, compared to pre-tax loss of \$13,748,000 on net sales of \$166,441,000 in the fiscal year ended January 31, 2012. Net loss per share was \$0.27 for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2013, compared to net loss per share of \$0.97 in the prior year. Cash flow provided by operations was \$7,260,000 for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2013, compared to

cash flow used by operations of \$784,000 in the prior year. As more fully discussed below, during 2011 the Company offered a voluntary retirement program to all employees. It was estimated that the annual expense reduction from this program could be approximately \$9 to \$10 million, offset by the cost of temporary employees hired during the summer months. The Company's spending on salaries,

wages and other employee benefit costs for full time employees for 2012 was nearly \$8 million less than 2011. In addition a variety of other employee related expenses such as travel declined, allowing the Company to reduce its operating loss by \$9.7 million on a reduction in sales volume.

Sales

Virco's sales decreased by 4.5% in 2012 to \$158,856,000 compared to \$166,441,000 in 2011. The decrease in sales was caused by continued unfavorable economic conditions that had an adverse impact on budgets for school spending and by a reduction in completions of bond-funded projects.

Volume declined by approximately \$16 million, offset by increases in price. Sales of Virco's new products, including Parameter®, Civitas™ and Text® increased by nearly \$1.5 million in 2012 compared to 2011, but were offset by reductions in older product lines. Sales for project orders declined by more than \$6 million in fiscal 2012 compared to project orders in fiscal 2011 as a result of reduced construction completions of bond-funded projects. The reduction in order rates occurred primarily in the third quarter, with the reduction in shipments occurring in the fourth quarter of 2012. Order rates for the fourth quarter increased slightly compared to the comparable period in 2011, resulting in a \$2.9 million increase in backlog at January 31, 2013 compared to January 31, 2012.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales was 67.6% of sales in 2012 and 71.1% of sales in 2011. This decrease was due to an increase in selling prices and reduced factory spending partially offset by a reduction in factory utilization. The Company benefited from stable material costs during 2012.

As a percentage of sales, raw material costs were 1.2% lower in 2012 than in 2011. The Company increased selling prices at the beginning of 2012 in effort to improve gross margins. The cost of raw materials was stable during 2012, resulting in raw material costs declining as a percentage of sales. Direct labor costs as a percentage of sales were unchanged in 2012 compared to 2011. The impact of increasing selling prices was offset by a slight deterioration in efficiency due to the increased utilization of temporary labor in 2012 compared to 2011. Manufacturing overhead as a percentage of sales decreased by 2.3% in 2012 from 2011. This decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in factory spending offset in part by a reduction production hours and the related impact on factory utilization.

Production hours, which decreased by nearly 8% compared to the prior year, were reduced in response to a reduction in sales combined with an effort to reduce inventory at year end.

Selling, General and Administrative and Other Expenses

Selling, general and administrative and other expenses for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2013, decreased by approximately \$6.7 million compared to the prior year, and were 34.1% of sales as compared to 36.4% in the prior year. The primary reason for this decrease was the \$4.6 million reduction of severance costs in 2012 compared to 2011. In 2011, the Company offered an early retirement program to all employees. Approximately 150 employees, or 15% of the Company's workforce, accepted the offer. When combined with normal attrition of employees that were not replaced, at January 31, 2012, the Company reduced the number of full-time employees by approximately 205, or 20% of the workforce at January 31, 2011. In 2012 attrition and normal retirements allowed the Company to reduce headcount by an additional 65 persons. The early retirement program was a cash payment to employees that was based upon years of service. Virco disbursed nearly \$2.6 million to severed employees under this program in 2011. Because the majority of the employees accepting the offer were long-term employees with vested pension benefits, the Company incurred nearly \$2.0 million of pension settlement costs during the third and fourth quarters in 2011 and an additional \$760,000 of pension settlement costs in the fourth quarter of 2012. The reduction in employees was evenly distributed between direct labor and other overhead, sales support, and G&A positions.

Warehousing, freight and installation costs decreased in dollars and by 0.5% as a percentage of sales. Selling costs declined due to a reduction in volume but increased by less than 0.1% as a percentage of sales. G&A spending decreased slightly, but increased slightly as a percentage of sales due to an increase in retirement plan expense. Interest expense was \$434,000 more in 2012 compared to 2011 as a result of increased levels of borrowing, increased costs related to an asset based loan, and increased accretion expense for certain liabilities.

Provision for Income Taxes

During 2012, 2011 and 2010 the Company incurred significant operating losses. The Company has incurred a cumulative operating loss for the last three years. While the Company has taken significant measures to return to profitability, the short-term outlook for the school furniture market is challenging. Based on these considerations, at

January 31, 2013 and January 31,

29

2012, the Company determined the realization of a majority of the net deferred tax assets no longer met the more likely than not criteria, and a valuation allowance was recorded against the majority of the net deferred tax assets. The Company has determined that it is more likely than not that some portion of the state net operating loss and credit carryforwards will be realized and has not provided a valuation allowance on a portion of the state net operating loss and credit carryforwards. At January 31, 2013, the Company had net operating losses carried forward for federal and state income tax purposes, expiring at various dates through 2033 if not utilized. Federal net operating losses that can potentially be carried forward totaled approximately \$23,563,000 at January 31, 2013. State net operating losses that can potentially be carried forward totaled approximately \$49,635,000 at January 31, 2013.

Because the Company has recorded a valuation allowance for the majority of deferred tax assets, the effective tax rate for 2013 may be low, with income tax being primarily income and franchise taxes as required by various states.

Inflation and Future Change in Prices

We commit to annual contracts that determine selling prices for goods and services for periods of one year, and occasionally longer. Though the Company has negotiated flexibility under many of these contracts that may allow the Company to increase prices on future orders, the Company does not have the ability to raise prices on orders received prior to any announced price increase. Due to the intensely seasonal nature of our business, the Company may receive significant orders during the first and second quarters for delivery in the second and third quarters. With respect to any of the contracts described above, if the costs of providing our products or services increase between the date the orders are received and the shipping date, we may not be able to implement corresponding increases in our sales prices for such products or services in order to offset the related increased costs. During 2013 and 2012, the costs for raw materials purchased by the Company were stable. These years contrast with 2011, when the Company incurred an increase of approximately 30% in the cost of steel during the second quarter, and nearly a 30% increase in the cost of certain plastics over the second and third quarters. These cost increases adversely impacted gross margins in 2011 for products shipped during the summer season.

For 2014, the Company anticipates continued volatility in costs, particularly with respect to certain raw materials, transportation, and energy. Anticipated volatility for 2014 is not expected to be as severe as experienced in 2011. There is continued uncertainty with respect to steel and other raw material costs, especially of plastics, that are affected by the price of oil. Transportation costs may be adversely affected by increased oil prices, in the form of increased operation costs for our fleet, and surcharges on freight paid to third-party carriers. Virco expects to incur continued pressure on employee benefit costs. The Company has renewed health insurance contracts for its employees through December 2014, but costs subsequent to that date may be adversely impacted by current legislation. Virco has aggressively addressed these costs by reducing headcount, freezing pension benefits, passing on a portion of increased medical costs to employees, and hiring temporary workers who are not eligible for benefit programs.

To recover the cumulative impact of increased costs, the Company raised the list prices for Virco's products in 2013, 2012 and 2011. Due to current economic conditions, the Company anticipates continued significant price competition in 2014, and may not be able to raise prices without risk of losing market share. The Company anticipates that the volatility of commodity costs will not be as significant in 2014 as experienced in 2011. As a significant portion of Virco's business is obtained through competitive bids, the Company is carefully considering material and transportation costs as part of the bidding process. Total material costs for 2014, as a percentage of sales, could be higher than in 2013. The Company is working to control and reduce costs by improving production and distribution methodologies, investigating new packaging and shipping materials, and searching for new sources of purchased components and raw materials.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Working Capital Requirements

Virco addresses liquidity and working capital requirements in the context of short-term seasonal requirements and long-term capital requirements of the business. The Company's core business of selling furniture to publicly funded educational institutions is extremely seasonal. The seasonal nature of this business permeates most of Virco's operational, capital, and financing decisions.

The Company's working capital requirements during and in anticipation of the peak summer season oblige management to make estimates and judgments that affect Virco's assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses.

Management expends a significant amount of time during the year, and especially in the first quarter, developing a

stocking plan and estimating the number of employees, the amount of raw materials, and the types of components and products that will be required during the peak season. If management underestimates any of these requirements, Virco's ability to fill customer orders on a timely basis or to provide adequate customer service may be diminished. If management overestimates any of these requirements, the Company

30

may be required to absorb higher storage, labor and related costs, each of which may affect profitability. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates such estimates, including those related to market demand, labor costs, and inventory levels, and continually strives to improve Virco's ability to correctly forecast business requirements during the peak season each year.

As part of Virco's efforts to address seasonality, financial performance and quality without sacrificing service or market share, management has been refining the Company's ATS operating model. ATS is Virco's version of mass-customization, which assembles standard, stocked components into customized configurations before shipment. The Company's ATS program reduces the total amount of inventory and working capital needed to support a given level of sales. It does this by increasing the inventory's versatility, delaying assembly until the last moment, and reducing the amount of warehouse space needed to store finished goods. In order to provide "one stop shopping" for all FF&E needs, Virco purchases and re-sells certain finished goods from other furniture manufacturers. When practical, these furniture items are drop shipped from the Company's supplier. Where cost effective, the Company will bring the item into the Virco warehouse and the third party products will be shipped along with product manufactured by Virco. The Company did not carry material amounts of vendor inventory during the fiscal years ended January 31, 2014, 2013, or 2012.

In addition, Virco finances its largest balance of accounts receivable during the peak season. This occurs for three primary reasons. First, accounts receivable balances naturally increase during the peak season as shipments of products increase. Second, many customers during this period are government institutions, which tend to pay accounts receivable more slowly than commercial customers. Third, many summer deliveries may be "projects" where the Company provides furniture for a new school or significant refurbishment of an existing school. Projects may require architect sign off, school board approval prior to payment, or punch list completion, all of which can delay payment. As a result of the seasonality of our business, our manufacturing and distribution capacity is dictated by the capacity requirement during the months of June, July, and August. Because of this seasonality, factory utilization is lower during the slow season. The Company utilizes a variety of tactics to address the seasonality of its business. During the summer months, which comprise our second and third fiscal quarters, our personnel utilization generally is at or close to full capacity. The Company utilizes temporary labor and significant overtime to meet the seasonal requirements. During the slow portions of the year, temporary labor and overtime are eliminated to moderate the off-season costs. Our manufacturing facility capacity utilization generally remains less than 100% during these summer months; because physical structure capacity cannot be adjusted as readily as personnel capacity, we have secured sufficient physical structure capacity to accommodate our current needs as well as for anticipated future growth. Our physical structure utilization is significantly lower during the first and fourth quarters of each year than it is during the second and third quarters.

The Company utilizes a comparable strategy to address warehousing and distribution requirements. During summer months, temporary labor is hired to supplement experienced warehouse and distribution personnel. More than 90% of the Company's freight is provided by third-party carriers. The Company has secured sufficient warehouse capacity to accommodate our current needs as well as anticipated future growth.

As the capital required for the summer season generally exceeds cash available from operations, Virco has historically relied on third-party bank financing to meet seasonal cash flow requirements. On December 22, 2011 (the "Closing Date"), the Company and Virco Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company ("Virco" and, together with the Company, the "Borrowers") entered into a Revolving Credit and Security Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with PNC Bank, National Association, as administrative agent and lender ("PNC"). On June 15, 2012, the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 1 ("Amendment No. 1") to the Credit Agreement which, among other things, increased the borrowing availability thereunder by \$3,000,000 for the period from May 1 through July 14 of each year. On July 27, 2012, the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 2 ("Amendment No. 2") to the Credit Agreement which, among other things, reduced the minimum EBITDA financial covenant contained therein for the five consecutive months ending June 2012 from \$1,600,000 to \$300,000. On September 12, 2012, the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 3 ("Amendment No. 3") to the Credit Agreement which, among other things, modified the minimum EBITDA covenant for the balance of the fiscal year. On December 6, 2012, the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 4 ("Amendment No. 4") to the Credit Agreement which, among other things, waived the violation of the minimum EBITDA and minimum tangible net worth covenants at October 31, 2012 and eliminated the minimum EBITDA covenant at

November 30, 2012. On March 1, 2013, the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 5 (“Amendment No. 5”) to the Credit Agreement, which among other things modified the minimum tangible net worth covenant for the periods from January 31, 2013 to January 31, 2014, modified the minimum EBIDTA covenant for certain periods to January 31, 2014 and waived the violation of the minimum EBITDA covenant for the twelve consecutive fiscal month period ending December 31, 2012. On January 9, 2014 the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 6 (“Amendment No. 6”) to the Credit Agreement, which, among other things, amended the definition of “Peak Season” and increased the peak season borrowing capacity. On April 15, 2014 the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 7 (“Amendment No. 7”) to the Credit Agreement, which, among other things, extended the maturity date of the Credit Agreement for three years until December 22, 2017, reduced the

maximum availability under the Credit Agreement by \$10,000,000 to \$50,000,000, waived the violation of the minimum EBITDA covenant at January 31, 2014, waived the violation of the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio covenant at January 31, 2014, included levels for the minimum tangible net worth financial covenant and the minimum EBITDA financial covenant for fiscal year 2014 and the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio until the maturity date of the Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement provides the Borrowers with a secured revolving line of credit (the "Revolving Credit Facility") of up to \$50,000,000, with seasonal adjustments to the credit limit and subject to borrowing base limitations, and includes a sub-limit of up to \$3,000,000 for issuances of letters of credit. The Revolving Credit Facility is an asset-based line of credit that is subject to a borrowing base limitation and generally provides for advances of up to 85% of eligible accounts receivable, plus a percentage equal to the lesser of 60% of the value of eligible inventory or 85% of the liquidation value of eligible inventory, plus an amount ranging from \$6,000,000 to \$12,000,000 from March 1 through July 31 of each year, minus undrawn amounts of letters of credit and reserves. The Revolving Credit Facility is secured by substantially all of the Borrowers' personal property and certain of the Borrowers' real property. The principal amount outstanding under the Credit Agreement and any accrued and unpaid interest is due no later than December 22, 2017, and the Revolving Credit Facility is subject to certain prepayment penalties upon earlier termination of the Revolving Credit Facility. Prior to the maturity date, principal amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement may be repaid and reborrowed at the option of the Borrowers without premium or penalty, subject to borrowing base limitations, seasonal adjustments and certain other conditions.

On the Closing Date, the Borrowers drew down approximately \$9,800,000 under the Revolving Credit Facility to repay in full all indebtedness outstanding under its prior revolving facility governed by the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 12, 2008, as amended, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and to pay fees and expenses related to the Credit Agreement. The Company intends to utilize the Revolving Credit Facility for liquidity and working capital purposes.

The Revolving Credit Facility bears interest, at the Borrowers' option, at either the Alternate Base Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) or the Eurodollar Currency Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement), in each case plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin for Alternate Base Rate loans is a percentage within a range of 0.75% to 1.75%, and the applicable margin for Eurodollar Currency Rate loans is a percentage within a range of 1.75% to 2.75%, in each case based on the EBITDA of the Borrowers at the end of each fiscal quarter, and may be increased at PNC's option by 2.0% during the continuance of an event of default. Accrued interest with respect to principal amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement is payable in arrears on a monthly basis for Alternative Base Rate loans, and at the end of the applicable interest period but at most every three months for Eurodollar Currency Rate loans.

The Credit Agreement contains a covenant that forbids the Company from issuing dividends or making payments with respect to the Company's capital stock, and contains numerous other covenants that limit under certain circumstances the ability of the Borrowers and their subsidiaries to, among other things, merge with or acquire other entities, incur new liens, incur additional indebtedness, repurchase stock, sell assets outside of the ordinary course of business, enter into transactions with affiliates, or substantially change the general nature of the business of the Borrowers, taken as a whole. The Credit Agreement also requires the Company to maintain the following financial maintenance covenants: (1) a minimum tangible net worth amount, (2) a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, and (3) a minimum EBITDA amount, in each case as of the end of the relevant monthly, quarterly or annual measurement period. As of January 31, 2014 the Credit Agreement required the Company to maintain: (1) a minimum tangible net worth of at least \$22,365,000 for the fiscal quarter ending January 31, 2014, (2) a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.00 to 1.00 for the four consecutive fiscal quarters ending January 31, 2014, and (3) a minimum EBITDA amount of \$5,593,000 for the twelve consecutive fiscal months ending January 31, 2014. The actual results of the Company with respect to the foregoing financial covenants for the period ending January 31, 2014 were as follows: (1) the Company maintained a tangible net worth of \$27,605,000 for the fiscal year ending January 31, 2014, (2) the Company maintained a fixed charge coverage ratio of less than 0.00 to 1.00 for the four consecutive fiscal quarters ended January 31, 2014, and (3) the Company achieved EBITDA of \$3,301,000 for the twelve consecutive fiscal months ending January 31, 2014. Therefore the Company was in violation of its minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and minimum EBITDA covenants for the relevant period ending January 31, 2014. However, as noted above, on April 15,

2014 the Company entered into Amendment No. 7, which waived the violation of the minimum EBITDA covenant at January 31, 2014, waived the violation of the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio covenant at January 31, 2014, included levels for the minimum tangible net worth financial covenant and the minimum EBITDA financial covenant for fiscal year 2014 and the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio until the maturity date of the Credit Agreement. In addition, the Credit Agreement contains a clean down provision that requires the Company to reduce borrowings under the line to less than \$6,000,000 for a period of 60 consecutive days each fiscal year. The Company believes that normal operating cash flow will allow it to meet the clean down requirement with no adverse impact on the Company's liquidity. Other than as

noted above with respect to the minimum EBITDA covenant and the minimum tangible net worth covenant, the Company was in compliance with its covenants at January 31, 2014.

Events of default (subject to certain cure periods and other limitations) under the Credit Agreement include, but are not limited to, (i) non-payment of principal, interest or other amounts due under the Credit Agreement, (ii) the violation of terms, covenants, representations or warranties in the Credit Agreement or related loan documents, (iii) any event of default under agreements governing certain indebtedness of the Borrowers and certain defaults by the Borrowers under other agreements that would materially adversely affect the Borrowers, (iv) certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency or liquidation involving the Borrowers, (v) judgments or judicial actions against the Borrowers in excess of \$250,000, subject to certain conditions, (vi) the failure of the Company to comply with Pension Benefit Plans (as defined in the Credit Agreement), (vii) the invalidity of loan documents pertaining to the Credit Agreement, (viii) a change of control of the Borrowers and (ix) the interruption of operations of any of the Borrowers' manufacturing facilities for five consecutive days during the peak season or fifteen consecutive days during any other time, subject to certain conditions.

Pursuant to the Credit Agreement, substantially all of the Borrowers' accounts receivable are automatically and promptly swept to repay amounts outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility upon receipt by the Borrowers. Due to this automatic liquidating nature of the Revolving Credit Facility, if the Borrowers breach any covenant, violate any representation or warranty or suffer a deterioration in their ability to borrow pursuant to the borrowing base calculation, the Borrowers may not have access to cash liquidity unless provided by PNC at its discretion. In addition, certain of the covenants and representations and warranties set forth in the Credit Agreement contain limited or no materiality thresholds, and many of the representations and warranties must be true and correct in all material respects upon each borrowing, which the Borrowers expect to occur on an ongoing basis. There can be no assurance that the Borrowers will be able to comply with all such covenants and be able to continue to make such representations and warranties on an ongoing basis.

The Company's line of credit with PNC is structured to provide seasonal credit availability during the Company's peak summer season. The Company believes that the Revolving Credit Facility will provide sufficient liquidity to meet its capital requirements in the next 12 months. Approximately \$10,919,000 was available for borrowing as of January 31, 2014.

The descriptions set forth herein of the Credit Agreement, Amendment No. 1, Amendment No. 2, Amendment No. 3, Amendment No. 4, Amendment No. 5, Amendment No. 6 and Amendment No. 7 are qualified in their entirety by the terms of such agreements, each of which has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Long-Term Capital Requirements

In addition to short-term liquidity considerations, the Company continually evaluates long-term capital requirements. From 1997 through 2000, the Company completed two large capital projects, which have had significant subsequent effects on cash flow. The first project was the implementation of the SAP enterprise resources planning system. The second project was the expansion and re-configuration of the Conway, Arkansas, manufacturing and distribution facility.

Upon completion of these projects, the Company dramatically reduced capital spending. During 2001-2005 capital expenditures ranged from 25%-40% of depreciation expense, and has remained below depreciation since that time. Management intends to limit future capital spending until growth in sales volume fully utilizes the new plant and distribution capacity. Capital expenditures will continue to focus on automation, both in the factory and software applications, and new product development along with the tooling and new processes required to produce new products. The Company has established a goal of limiting capital spending to less than \$3,000,000 for 2014, which is less than anticipated depreciation expense.

Asset Impairment

The Company made substantial investments in its infrastructure in 1998, 1999, and 2000. The investments included a new factory, new warehouse, and new production and distribution equipment. Much of the tooling, machinery, and equipment acquired at this time is now fully or substantially depreciated. The factory, warehouse, and equipment acquired are used to produce, store, and ship a variety of product lines, and the use of any one piece of equipment is not dependent on the success or volume of any individual product. New products are designed to use as many common or existing components as practical. As a result, both our ATS inventory components and the machines used

to produce them become more versatile. The Company evaluates the potential for impaired assets on a quarterly basis. As of January 31, 2014, there has been no impairment to the long-lived assets of the Company.

The Company has no intangible assets on its Consolidated Balance Sheet at January 31, 2014 or January 31, 2013.

Contractual Obligations

The Company leases manufacturing, transportation, and office equipment, as well as real estate under a variety of operating leases. The Company leases substantially all vehicles, including trucks and passenger cars under operating leases where the lessor provides fleet management services for the Company. The fleet management services provide Virco with operating efficiencies relating to the acquisition, administration, and operation of leased vehicles. Real estate leases have been used where the Company did not want to make a long-term commitment to a location, or when economic conditions favored leasing. The Torrance manufacturing and distribution facility is leased under an operating lease that expires on February 28, 2020. The Company does not have any lease obligations or purchase commitments in excess of normal recurring obligations. Leasehold improvements and tenant improvement allowances are depreciated over the lesser of the expected life of the asset or the lease term.

Contractual Obligations

Payments Due by Period

| In thousands | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | More than 5 years |
|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| Long-term debt obligations | \$8,248 | \$2,248 | \$6,000 | \$— | \$— |
| Interest on long-term debt | — | — | — | — | — |
| Operating lease obligations | 26,507 | 5,773 | 7,357 | 8,817 | 4,560 |
| Purchase obligations | 9,010 | 9,010 | — | — | — |
| | \$43,765 | \$17,031 | \$13,357 | \$8,817 | \$4,560 |

We may be required to make cash outlays related to our unrecognized tax benefits. However, due to the uncertainty of the timing of future cash flows associated with our unrecognized tax benefits, we are unable to make reasonably reliable estimates of the period of cash settlement, if any, with the respective taxing authorities. Accordingly, unrecognized tax benefits of \$52,000 as of January 31, 2014, have been excluded from the contractual obligations table above. For further information related to unrecognized tax benefits, see Note 7, "Income Taxes," to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Virco's largest market is publicly funded school districts. A significant portion of this business is awarded on a bid basis. Many school districts require that a bid bond be posted as part of the bid package. In addition to bid bonds, many districts require a performance bond when the bid is awarded. At January 31, 2014, the Company had bonds outstanding valued at approximately \$870,000. To the best of management's knowledge, in over 64 years of selling to schools, Virco has never had a bid or performance bond called.

The Company provides a warranty against all substantial defects in material and workmanship. In 2005 the Company extended its standard warranty from five years to 10 years. Effective February 1, 2014, the Company will offer a limited lifetime warranty. The Company does not believe that the new warranty policy will have a significant impact on warranty expense. The Company's warranty is not a guarantee of service life, which depends upon events outside the Company's control and may be different from the warranty period. The Company accrues an estimate of its exposure to warranty claims based upon both product sales data, and an analysis of actual warranty claims incurred. At the current time, management cannot reasonably determine whether warranty claims for the upcoming fiscal year will be less than, equal to, or greater than warranty claims incurred in 2013. The following is a summary of the Company's warranty-claim activity during 2013 and 2012.

| In thousands | January 31, | |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Beginning balance | \$1,000 | \$1,400 |
| Provision for current year | 600 | 630 |
| Provision for prior year | (220) | (490) |
| Costs incurred | (380) | (540) |
| Ending balance | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |
| Retirement Obligations | | |

The Company provides retirement benefits to employees and non-employee directors under three defined benefit retirement plans; the Employee Plan, the VIP Plan, and the Directors Plan. The Employee Plan is a qualified retirement plan that is funded through a trust held at Wells Fargo Bank (Trustee). The other two plans are non-qualified retirement plans. Benefits payable under the VIP Plan are secured by life insurance policies held in a rabbi trust and the Directors Plan is not funded. The Company obtains annual actuarial valuations for all three plans. Effective December 31, 2003, benefit accruals were frozen for all three plans. Employees continued to vest under the benefits earned to date, but no covered participants earned additional benefits after the plan freeze. At January 31, 2014, all active participants under the plans were fully vested.

Accounting policy regarding pensions requires management to make complex and subjective estimates and assumptions relating to amounts which are inherently uncertain. Three primary economic assumptions influence the reported values of plan liabilities and pension costs. The Company takes the following factors into consideration: discount rate, assumed rate of return and assumed rate of increases in compensation.

The discount rate represents an estimate of the rate of return on a portfolio of high-quality fixed-income securities that would provide cash flows that match the expected benefit payment stream from the plans. When setting the discount rate, the Company utilizes a spot-rate yield curve developed from high-quality bonds currently available which reflects changes in rates that have occurred over the past year. This assumption is sensitive to movements in market rates that have occurred since the preceding valuation date, and therefore may change from year to year. Virco discounted the pension obligations for the various plans using the following rates:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
|----------------|------|--------|--------|---|
| Employee Plan | 4.25 | % 4.00 | % 4.50 | % |
| VIP Plan | 4.75 | % 4.25 | % 4.50 | % |
| Directors Plan | 4.25 | % 4.00 | % 4.50 | % |

Because the Company froze future benefit accruals for all three defined benefit plans in 2003, the compensation increase assumption had no impact on pension expense, accumulated benefit obligation or projected benefit obligation for the period ended January 31, 2014 or 2013.

The assumed rate of return on plan assets represents an estimate of long-term returns available to investors who hold a mixture of stocks, bonds, and cash equivalent securities. When setting the expected return on plan asset assumptions, the Company considers long-term rates of return on various asset classes (both historical and forecasted, using data collected from various sources generally regarded as authoritative) in the context of expected long-term average asset allocations for its defined benefit pension plan. For 2013, 2012 and 2011 the Company used a 6.5% expected return on plan assets, net of expenses.

During 2011 two events significantly impacted the pension plans. The first event was a reduction in the discount rate utilized to calculate pension plan obligations. The reduction in discount rate caused the liability for pension obligations to increase by approximately \$3.7 million, \$1.4 million, and \$26,000 for the Employee Plan, the VIP Plan, and the Directors Plan, respectively. The increase in liability resulted in a comparable increase to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI). The second event was a \$2.0 million settlement charge for the Employee Plan. As part of a restructuring plan, the Company offered early retirement benefits to all employees who voluntarily severed their employment with the Company. Although the early retirement benefit was paid in cash and did not include any additional benefits payable from a retirement plan, the benefit formula was structured to reward employees with significant years of service, the same employees who would have earned retirement benefits prior to the plan freeze in 2003. The pension trust made significant lump sum distributions to participants in the latter part of the year, resulting in settlement charges in the third and fourth quarters. Because the VIP Plan and Director Plan do not allow lump sum payments, there was no similar settlement charge required.

During 2012 the pension plans were impacted by the same events of 2011, but to a lesser extent. A reduction in the discount rate caused the liability for pension obligations to increase by approximately \$2.0 million, \$0.3 million, and \$10,000 for the Employee Plan, the VIP Plan, and the Directors Plan, respectively. The increase in liability resulted in a comparable increase to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI). As a result of large distributions paid from the Employee Plan, a \$760,000 settlement charge for the Employee Plan was recorded.

During 2013 the pension plans were impacted by a reduction in force. Although the severance benefits was paid in cash and did not include any additional benefits payable from a retirement plan, the severance included employees

with vested pension benefits. The pension trust made significant lump sum distributions to participants in the latter part of the year, resulting in settlement charges in the third and fourth quarters. During 2013, the pension liability decreased due to increased discount rates, offset by an increase in liability due to the adoption of a new mortality table.

It is the Company's policy to contribute adequate funds to the trust accounts to cover benefit payments under the VIP Plan and Directors Plan and to maintain the funded status of the Employee Plan at a level which is adequate to avoid significant restrictions to the Employee Plan under the Pension Protection Act of 2006. The Company contributed \$1.8 million, \$2.0 million, and \$1.9 million, to the trust in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Contributions during 2014 will depend upon actual investment results and benefit payments, but are anticipated to be approximately \$2.5 million. During 2013, 2012, and 2011, the Company paid approximately \$564,000, \$561,000, and \$451,000, respectively, in benefits per year under the non-qualified plans. It is anticipated that contributions to non-qualified plans will be approximately \$710,000 for 2014. At January 31, 2014, accumulated other comprehensive loss of approximately \$16.4 million (\$14.0 million net of tax) is attributable to the pension plans.

The Company does not anticipate making any significant changes to the pension assumptions in the near future. If the Company were to have used different assumptions in the fiscal year ended January 31, 2014, a 1% reduction in investment return would have increased expense by approximately \$165,000, a 1% change in the rate of compensation increase would have no impact, and a 1% reduction in the discount rate would have increased expense by \$305,000. A 1% reduction in the discount rate would have increased the pension benefit obligations by approximately \$6.1 million.

Stockholders' Equity

The Company initiated a \$0.025 per share quarterly cash dividend in the fourth quarter of 2007 and continued to pay the \$0.025 quarterly dividend through the second quarter of 2011. The cash dividend was suspended after the second quarter of 2011 as a result of operating losses. In addition, the Company's new credit facility with PNC Bank prohibits the Company from paying dividends and repurchasing any shares of its stock except in cases where a repurchase is financed by a substantially concurrent issuance of new shares of the Company's common stock. Consequently, commencing December 22, 2011 and for at least as long as this covenant is included in the Company's credit facility, no dividends will be paid by the Company to its stockholders. During 2011 the Company paid cash dividends of \$710,000.

Virco issued a 10% stock dividend or 3/2 stock split every year beginning in 1982 through 2002. Although the stock dividend had no cash consequences to the Company, the accounting methodology required for 10% dividends has affected the equity section of the balance sheet. When the Company records a 10% stock dividend, 10% of the market capitalization of the Company on the date of the declaration is reclassified from retained earnings to additional paid-in capital. During the period from 1982 through 2002, the cumulative effect of the stock dividends has been to reclassify over \$122 million from retained earnings to additional paid-in capital. The equity section of the balance sheet on January 31, 2014 reflects additional paid-in capital of approximately \$116 million and deficit retained earnings of approximately \$75 million. Other than the losses incurred during 2003-2005, 2010-2013 the retained deficit is a result of the accounting reclassification, and is not the result of accumulated losses.

Environmental and Contingent Liabilities

Environmental Compliance

Virco is subject to numerous federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations in the various jurisdictions in which it operates that (a) govern operations that may have adverse environmental effects, such as the discharge of materials into the environment, as well as handling, storage, transportation and disposal practices for solid and hazardous wastes, and (b) impose liability for response costs and certain damages resulting from past and current spills, disposals or other releases of hazardous materials. In this context, Virco works diligently to remain in compliance with all such environmental laws and regulations as these affect the Company's operations. Moreover, Virco has enacted policies for recycling and resource recovery that have earned repeated commendations, including: recognition by the California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) in 2011 and 2010 as a Waste Reduction Awards Program (WRAP) honoree; recognition by the United States Environmental Protection Agency in 2003 as a WasteWise Hall of Fame Charter Member, in 2002 as a WasteWise Partner of the Year and in 2001 as a WasteWise Program Champion for Large Businesses; and recognition by the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County for compliance with industrial waste water discharge guidelines in 2007 through 2010. This is only a partial list of Virco's environmental awards and commendations; for a more complete list, go to www.virco.com and click on the Corporate Stewardship header.

In addition to these awards and commendations, Virco's ZUMA and ZUMAFrd product lines were the first classroom furniture collections to earn indoor air quality certification through the stringent GREENGUARD® Children &

Schools Program, now known as Greenguard Gold certification. As a follow-up to the certification of ZUMA and ZUMAFRD models in 2005, hundreds of other Virco furniture items - including Analogy furniture models and Textameter instructor workstations - have earned GREENGUARD certification. Moreover, all Virco products covered by the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 are in compliance with this legislation. All affected Virco models are also in compliance with the California Air

Resources Board rule implemented on January 1, 2009, concerning formaldehyde emissions from composite wood products. Environmental laws have changed rapidly in recent years, and Virco may be subject to more stringent environmental laws in the future. The Company has expended, and may be expected to continue to expend, significant amounts in the future for compliance with environmental rules and regulations, for the investigation of environmental conditions, for the installation of environmental control equipment, or remediation of environmental contamination. Normal recurring expenses relating to operating our factories in a manner that meets or exceeds environmental laws are matched to the cost of producing inventory. It is possible that the Company's operations may result in noncompliance with, or liability for remediation pursuant to, environmental laws. Should such eventualities occur, the Company records liabilities for remediation costs when remediation costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated. See "Risk Factors : We could be required to incur substantial costs to comply with environmental requirements." Violations of, and liabilities under, environmental laws and regulations may increase our costs or require us to change our business practices.

In 2013 and 2012, the Company was self-insured for product and general liability losses of up to \$250,000 per occurrence, for workers' compensation losses up to \$250,000 per occurrence, and for auto liability up to \$50,000 per occurrence. In prior years the Company has been self-insured for workers' compensation, automobile, product, and general liability losses. The Company has purchased insurance to cover losses in excess of the self-insured retention or deductible up to a limit of \$30,000,000. For the insurance year beginning April 1, 2014, the Company will be self-insured for product and general liability losses up to \$250,000 per occurrence, for workers' compensation losses up to \$250,000 per occurrence, and for auto liability up to \$50,000 per occurrence. In future years, the Company's exposure to self-insured retentions will vary depending upon the market conditions in the insurance industry and the availability of cost-effective insurance coverage.

The Company has aggressively pursued a program to improve product quality, reduce product liability claims and losses, and to aggressively defend product liability cases. This program has continued through 2013 and has resulted in reductions in product liability claims and litigated product liability cases. In addition, the Company has active safety programs to improve plant safety and control workers' compensation losses. Management does not anticipate that any related settlement, after consideration of the existing reserves for claims and potential insurance recovery, would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company did not enter into any material off-balance sheet arrangements during its 2013 fiscal year, nor did the Company have any material off-balance sheet arrangements outstanding at January 31, 2014.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the FASB issued accounting guidance updating the presentation format of comprehensive income. The guidance provided two options for presenting net income and other comprehensive income. The total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income may be presented in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The Company adopted the new guidance beginning February 1, 2013. The guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued accounting guidance clarifying the scope of disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities. This guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact on its fiscal 2013 financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued accounting guidance intended to improve the reporting classifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income of various components. This guidance was effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those periods, beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company adopted the new guidance beginning February 1, 2013, the beginning of the Company's 2013 fiscal year.

In July 2013, the FASB issued accounting guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, or similar tax loss, or a tax carryforward exists. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact on its fiscal 2014 financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company is subject to interest rate risk related to its seasonal borrowings used to finance additional inventory and receivables. Rising interest rates may adversely affect the Company's results of operations and cash flows related to its

Table of Contents

variable-rate bank borrowings under its credit line with PNC. Accordingly, a 100 basis point upward fluctuation in PNC's base rate would have caused the Company to incur additional interest charges of approximately \$139,000 for the twelve months ended January 31, 2014. The Company would have benefited from a similar interest savings if the base rate were to have fluctuated downward by a like amount.

The Company has used derivative financial instruments to reduce interest rate risks. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. All derivatives are recognized as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial condition and are measured at fair value. At January 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had no derivative instruments.

The Company's business is subject to changes in the price of raw materials used to manufacture its products, such as steel, plastic, wood, aluminum, polyethylene, polypropylene, plywood, particleboard, and cartons, as well as the price of petroleum, which not only affects the cost of plastic, but also the Company's transportation costs and costs of operating its manufacturing facilities. With respect to the Company's annual contracts (or those contracts that have longer terms), the Company may have limited ability to increase prices during the term of the contract. The Company has, however, negotiated increased flexibility under many of these contracts allowing the Company to increase prices on future orders. Nevertheless, even with respect to these more flexible contracts, the Company does not have the ability to increase prices on orders received prior to any announced price increases. Due to the intensely seasonal nature of its business, the Company may receive significant orders during the first and second quarters for delivery in the second and third quarters. With respect to any of the contracts described above, if the costs of raw materials increase suddenly or unexpectedly, the Company cannot be certain that it will be able to implement corresponding increases in its sales prices in order to offset such increased costs. Significant cost increases in providing products during a given contract period can adversely impact operating results and have done so during prior years, especially 2008, and 2011. The Company typically benefits from any decreases in raw material costs under the contracts described above.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page numbers |
|---|-----------------|
| <u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u> | <u>40</u> |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>41</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of January 31, 2014 and 2013</u> | <u>42</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>44</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the Years Ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>45</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>46</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>47</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves for the Years Ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>70</u> |

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management of Virco Mfg. Corporation (the "Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting and for the assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. As defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission, internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or supervised by, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company's internal control over financial reporting is supported by written policies and procedures, that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the Company's assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of the Company's management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In connection with the preparation of the Company's annual financial statements, management of the Company has undertaken an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 framework) (the COSO criteria). Management's assessment included an evaluation of the design of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and testing of the operational effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Based on this assessment, management did not identify any material weakness in the Company's internal control over financial reporting, and management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of January 31, 2014.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Virco Mfg. Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Virco Mfg. Corporation as of January 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 31, 2014. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Items 15. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Virco Mfg. Corporation at January 31, 2014 and 2013, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 31, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Los Angeles, California

April 25, 2014

Virco Mfg. Corporation
Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | January 31, 2014 | 2013 |
|---|---------------------|----------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Assets | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash | \$1,051 | \$853 |
| Trade accounts receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$200 and \$275 at January 31, 2014 and 2013) | 8,468 | 8,760 |
| Other receivables | 52 | 108 |
| Income tax receivable | 290 | 259 |
| Inventories | | |
| Finished goods, net | 7,237 | 4,968 |
| Work in process, net | 11,116 | 11,041 |
| Raw materials and supplies, net | 9,427 | 9,308 |
| | 27,780 | 25,317 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 203 | — |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 1,795 | 1,665 |
| Total current assets | 39,639 | 36,962 |
| Property, plant and equipment | | |
| Land | 1,671 | 1,671 |
| Land improvements | 1,185 | 1,213 |
| Buildings and building improvements | 47,271 | 47,703 |
| Machinery and equipment | 115,667 | 119,407 |
| Leasehold improvements | 2,328 | 2,452 |
| | 168,122 | 172,446 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | 131,817 | 135,564 |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 36,305 | 36,882 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 611 | 1,484 |
| Other assets | 6,789 | 6,835 |
| Total assets | \$83,344 | \$82,163 |
| See accompanying notes. | | |

Virco Mfg. Corporation
Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | January 31, | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (In thousands, except share data) | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 12,355 | \$ 11,864 |
| Accrued compensation and employee benefits | 3,594 | 3,426 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 2,248 | 4,053 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | — | 572 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 4,459 | 4,521 |
| Total current liabilities | 22,656 | 24,436 |
| Non-current liabilities | | |
| Accrued self-insurance retention | 2,025 | 2,585 |
| Accrued pension expenses | 23,951 | 26,385 |
| Income tax payable | 69 | 142 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 6,000 | — |
| Other accrued liabilities | 1,038 | 1,595 |
| Total non-current liabilities | 33,083 | 30,707 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock: | | |
| Authorized 3,000,000 shares, \$.01 par value; none issued or outstanding | — | — |
| Common stock: | | |
| Authorized 25,000,000 shares, \$.01 par value; issued and outstanding 14,718,414 shares in 2013 and 14,550,371 shares in 2012 | 147 | 146 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 115,978 | 115,670 |
| Accumulated deficit | (74,540 |) (72,810) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (13,980 |) (15,986) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 27,605 | 27,020 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 83,344 | \$ 82,163 |
| See accompanying notes. | | |

Virco Mfg. Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Operations

| | Year ended January 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | |
| Net sales | \$155,920 | \$158,856 | \$166,441 |
| Costs of goods sold | 102,488 | 107,304 | 118,283 |
| Gross profit | 53,432 | 51,552 | 48,158 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 53,465 | 53,312 | 56,215 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of property, plant & equipment | (10 |) (37 |) (1 |
| Restructuring expense | 1,408 | 760 | 4,570 |
| Interest expense, net | 1,302 | 1,556 | 1,122 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (2,733 |) (4,039 |) (13,748 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (1,003 |) (209 |) 55 |
| Net income (loss) | \$(1,730 |) \$(3,830 |) \$(13,803 |
| Dividend declared: | | | |
| Cash | \$— | \$— | \$0.05 |
| Net loss per common share (a): | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.12 |) \$(0.27 |) \$(0.97 |
| Diluted | \$(0.12 |) \$(0.27 |) \$(0.97 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 14,620 | 14,387 | 14,235 |
| Diluted | 14,620 | 14,387 | 14,235 |

(a) Net loss per share was calculated based on basic shares outstanding due to the anti-dilutive effect on the inclusion of common stock equivalent shares.

See accompanying notes.

Virco Mfg. Corporation
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

| | Years ended January 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(1,730 |) \$(3,830 |) \$(13,803 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) : | | | |
| Pension adjustments, net of tax | 2,006 | (658 |) (5,586 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$276 | \$(4,488 |) \$(19,389 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of ContentsVirco Mfg. Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

| In thousands, except share data | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Total Stockholder's Equity |
|--|--------------|--------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | | | |
| Balance at January 31, 2011 | 14,204,998 | \$142 | \$114,467 | \$ (54,465) | \$ (9,742) | \$ 50,402 |
| Net loss | — | — | — | (13,803) | — | (13,803) |
| Pension adjustments, net of tax effect \$0 | — | — | — | — | (5,586) | (5,586) |
| Shares vested | 149,048 | 2 | (142) | (2) | — | (142) |
| Stock compensation expense | — | — | 735 | — | — | 735 |
| Stock repurchased | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Cash dividends | — | — | — | (710) | — | (710) |
| Balance at January 31, 2012 | 14,354,046 | \$144 | \$115,060 | \$ (68,980) | \$ (15,328) | \$ 30,896 |
| Net loss | — | — | — | (3,830) | — | (3,830) |
| Pension adjustments, net of tax effect \$0 | — | — | — | — | (658) | (658) |
| Shares vested and others | 196,325 | 2 | (88) | — | — | (86) |
| Stock compensation expense | — | — | 698 | — | — | 698 |
| Cash dividends | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Balance at January 31, 2013 | 14,550,371 | \$146 | \$115,670 | \$ (72,810) | \$ (15,986) | \$ 27,020 |
| Net loss | — | — | — | (1,730) | — | (1,730) |
| Pension adjustments , net of tax effect of \$1,078 | — | — | — | — | 2,006 | 2,006 |
| Shares vested and others | 168,043 | 1 | (215) | — | — | (214) |
| Stock compensation expense | — | — | 523 | — | — | 523 |
| Balance at January 31, 2014 | 14,718,414 | \$147 | \$115,978 | \$ (74,540) | \$ (13,980) | \$ 27,605 |
| See accompanying notes. | | | | | | |

Virco Mfg. Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | Year Ended January 31, | | |
|--|------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Operating activities | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(1,730 |) \$(3,830 |) \$(13,803 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,209 | 4,368 | 5,021 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | (6 |) (75 |) 196 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of property, plant and equipment | (10 |) (37 |) (1 |
| Deferred income taxes | 97 | 66 | 228 |
| Stock-based compensation | 523 | 698 | 735 |
| Pension settlement | 924 | 760 | 1,992 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Trade accounts receivable | 376 | 4,057 | (2,476 |
| Other receivables | 56 | 293 | (233 |
| Inventories | (2,464 |) 2,475 | 7,579 |
| Income taxes | (105 |) (930 |) (369 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (58 |) 567 | (31 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (2,055 |) (1,152 |) 378 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (243 |) 7,260 | (784 |
| Investing activities | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (3,632 |) (2,050 |) (2,159 |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment | 19 | 53 | 2 |
| Net investment in life insurance | (25 |) 244 | 170 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (3,638 |) (1,753 |) (1,987 |
| Financing activities | | | |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 28,851 | 28,422 | 29,263 |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (24,656 |) (35,876 |) (24,287 |
| Common stock repurchased | (116 |) (97 |) (126 |
| Cash dividend paid | — | — | (710 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 4,079 | (7,551 |) 4,140 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 198 | (2,044 |) 1,369 |
| Cash at beginning of year | 853 | 2,897 | 1,528 |
| Cash at end of year | \$1,051 | \$853 | \$2,897 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information | | | |
| Cash paid during the year for: | | | |
| Interest | \$1,302 | \$1,556 | \$1,122 |
| Income tax, net | 74 | 12 | 32 |
| Non-cash investing activities : | | | |
| Decrease in accrued asset retirement obligations | \$— | \$— | \$(100 |
| See accompanying notes. | | | |

VIRCO MFG. CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

January 31, 2014

1. Summary of Business and Significant Accounting Policies

Business

Virco Mfg. Corporation (the "Company"), which operates in one business segment, is engaged in the design, production and distribution of quality furniture for the commercial and education markets. Over 64 years of manufacturing operations have resulted in a wide product assortment. Major products include mobile tables, mobile storage equipment, desks, computer furniture, chairs, activity tables, folding chairs and folding tables. The Company manufactures its products in Torrance, California, and Conway, Arkansas, for sale primarily in the United States. The Company operates in a seasonal business, and requires significant amounts of working capital under its credit facility to fund acquisitions of inventory and finance receivables during the summer delivery season. Restrictions imposed by the terms of the Company's credit facility may limit the Company's operating and financial flexibility. However, management believes that its existing cash and available borrowings under its credit facility, and any cash generated from operations will be sufficient to fund its working capital requirements, capital expenditures and other obligations through the next 12 months.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Virco Mfg. Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Management Use of Estimates

Preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities - and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities - at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates made by management include, but are not limited to, valuation of inventory; deferred tax assets and liabilities; useful lives of property, plant, and equipment; liabilities under pension, warranty, self-insurance, and environmental claims, revenue recognition; and the accounts receivable allowance for doubtful accounts. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Fiscal Year End

Fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011, refer to the fiscal years ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of accounts receivable. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and maintains allowances for potential credit losses. Sales to the Company's recurring customers are generally made on open account with terms consistent with the industry. Credit is extended based on an evaluation of the customer's financial condition and payment history. Past due accounts are determined based on how recently payments have been made in relation to the terms granted. Amounts are written off against the allowance in the period that the Company determines that the receivable is not collectable. The Company purchases insurance on receivables from certain commercial customers to minimize the Company's credit risk. The Company does not typically obtain collateral to secure credit risk. Customers with inadequate credit are required to provide cash in advance or letters of credit. The Company does not assess interest on receivable balances. A substantial percentage of the Company's receivables come from low-risk government entities. No customer exceeded 10% of the Company's sales for each of the three years ended January 31, 2014. Foreign sales were approximately 7.5%, 10.0% and 7.0% of the Company's sales for fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

No single customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company's accounts receivable at January 31, 2014 or 2013. Because of the short time between shipment and collection, the net carrying value of receivables approximates the fair value for these assets.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

The fair values of the Company's cash, accounts receivable, and accounts payable approximate their carrying amounts due to their short-term nature.

Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are classified in one of the three following categories, which are described below:

Level 1 — Valuations based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in an active market.

Level 2 — Valuations based on quoted prices in markets where trading occurs infrequently or whose values are based on quoted prices of instruments with similar attributes in active markets.

Level 3 — Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and involve management judgment and our own assumptions about market participants and pricing.

Inventories

Inventory is valued at the lower of cost or market (determined on a first-in, first-out basis) and includes material, labor, and factory overhead. The Company maintains allowances for estimated slow moving and obsolete inventory to reflect the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value. Allowances for slow moving and obsolete inventory are determined through a physical inspection of the product in connection with a physical inventory, a review of slow-moving product, and consideration of active marketing programs. The market for education furniture is traditionally driven by value, not style, and the Company has not typically incurred significant obsolescence expenses. If market conditions are less favorable than those anticipated by management, additional allowances may be required. Due to reductions in sales volume in the past years, the Company's manufacturing facilities are operating at reduced levels of capacity. The Company records the cost of excess capacity as a period expense, not as a component of capitalized inventory valuation.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation and amortization are computed on the straight-line method for financial reporting purposes based upon the following estimated useful lives:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Land improvements | 5 to 25 years |
| Buildings and building improvements | 5 to 40 years |
| Machinery and equipment | 3 to 10 years |
| Leasehold improvements | shorter of lease or useful life |

The Company did not capitalize interest costs as part of the acquisition cost of property, plant and equipment for the years ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The Company capitalizes the cost of significant repairs that extend the life of an asset. Repairs and maintenance that do not extend the life of an asset are expensed as incurred. Repair and maintenance expense was \$1,691,000, \$1,681,000 and \$1,610,000 for fiscal years ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Depreciation and amortization expense was \$4,209,000, \$4,368,000 and \$5,021,000 for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company subleased space at one of its facilities on a month-to-month basis during 2013, 2012 and 2011. Rental income was \$40,000 for fiscal 2013, 2012, and 2011.

The Company has established asset retirement obligations related to leased manufacturing facilities in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) Topic 410, "Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations." Accrued asset retirement obligations are recorded at net present value and discounted over the life of the lease. Asset retirement obligations, included in other non-current liabilities were \$563,000 and \$554,000 at January 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

| | January 31, | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$554,000 | \$545,000 |
| Decrease in obligation | — | — |
| Accretion expense | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| Balance at end of period | \$563,000 | \$554,000 |

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

An impairment loss is recognized in the event facts and circumstances indicate the carrying amount of a long-lived asset may not be recoverable, and an estimate of future undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. Impairment is recorded based on the excess of the carrying amount of the impaired asset over the fair value. Generally, fair value represents the Company's expected future cash flows from the use of an asset or group of assets, discounted at a rate commensurate with the risks involved.

Net Loss per Share

Basic net loss per share is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net loss per share is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus the dilution effect of convertible securities. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted loss per share:

| In thousands, except per share data | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|------------|-------------|
| Numerator | | | |
| Net loss | \$(1,730 |) \$(3,830 |) \$(13,803 |
| Denominator | | | |
| Weighted-average shares — basic | 14,620 | 14,387 | 14,235 |
| Common equivalent shares from common stock options and warrants— | — | — | — |
| Weighted-average shares — diluted (1) | 14,620 | 14,387 | 14,235 |
| Net loss per common share | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.12 |) \$(0.27 |) \$(0.97 |
| Diluted | (0.12 |) (0.27 |) (0.97 |

For the years ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, approximately 180,000, 119,000 and 56,000 shares of (1) common stock equivalents, respectively, were excluded in the computation of diluted net income per share, as the effect would be anti-dilutive.

Environmental Costs

The Company is subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations in the various jurisdictions in which it operates that (a) govern operations that may have adverse environmental effects, such as the discharge of materials into the environment, as well as handling, storage, transportation and disposal practices for solid and hazardous wastes, and (b) impose liability for response costs and certain damages resulting from past and current spills, disposals or other releases of hazardous materials. Normal, recurring expenses related to operating the Company's factories in a manner that meets or exceeds environmental laws and regulations are matched to the cost of producing inventory. Despite our efforts to comply with existing laws and regulations, compliance with more stringent laws or regulations, or stricter interpretation of existing laws, may require additional expenditures by us, some of which may be material. We reserve amounts for such matters when expenditures are probable and reasonably estimable.

Costs incurred to investigate and remediate environmental waste are expensed, unless the remediation extends the useful life of the assets employed at the site. At January 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had not capitalized any remediation costs and had not recorded any amortization expense in fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed in the period during which the advertising space is run. Selling, general and administrative expenses include advertising costs of \$1,246,000 in 2013, \$1,103,000 in 2012, and \$1,395,000 in 2011. Prepaid advertising costs reported as an asset on the balance sheet at January 31, 2014 and 2013, were \$242,000 and \$248,000, respectively.

Product Warranty Expense

The Company provides a product warranty on most products. The standard warranty offered on products sold through January 31, 2005 is five years. Effective February 1, 2005, the standard warranty was increased to 10 years on products sold after February 1, 2005. Effective February 1, 2014 the Company modified its warranty to a limited lifetime warranty. The new warranty effective February 1, 2014 is not anticipated to have a significant effect on warranty expense. The Company generally provides that customers can return a defective product during the specified warranty period following purchase in exchange for a replacement product or that the Company can repair the product at no charge to the customer. The Company determines whether replacement or repair is appropriate in each circumstance. The Company uses historic data to estimate appropriate levels of warranty reserves. Because product mix, production methods, and raw material sources change over time, historic data may not always provide precise estimates for future warranty expense. The Company recorded warranty reserves of \$1,000,000 and \$1,000,000 as of January 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Self-Insurance

In 2013 and 2012, the Company was self-insured for product and general liability losses up to \$250,000 per occurrence, for workers' compensation losses up to \$250,000 per occurrence, and for auto liability up to \$50,000 per occurrence. In prior years the Company had been self-insured for workers' compensation, automobile, product, and general liability losses. Actuaries assist the Company in determining its liability for the self-insured component of claims, which have been discounted to their net present value utilizing a discount rate of 0.50% in 2013 and 0.50% in 2012.

Stock-Based Compensation Plans

The Company recognizes stock-based compensation cost for shares that are expected to vest, on a straight-line basis, over the requisite service period of the award.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year balance sheet to conform to the current year presentation. Reclassifications did not have a material impact to the balance sheet or results of operations.

The Company reclassified \$760,000 in pension settlement costs in 2012 from Selling, General and Administrative expenses to Restructuring expenses on the Statement of Operations to conform to the current year presentation and to maintain comparability among the periods presented. In 2011 and 2013 the Company incurred severance costs as well as pension settlement costs which have also been classified as Restructuring expenses.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax

The following table summarizes the changes in accumulated balances of other comprehensive income (loss) for the year ended January 31, 2014:

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | Pension and Post-retirement Benefits | |
| Balance as of January 31, 2013 | \$(15,986 |) |
| Amounts reclassified from AOCI, net of tax | 2,006 | |
| Balance as of January 31, 2014 | \$(13,980 |) |

The reclassification out of AOCI for the year ended January 31, 2014:

| | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | Amount reclassified from AOCI | |
| Amortization of defined benefit pension and postretirement plan items: | | |
| Amortization prior service cost | \$— | |
| Expected return on plan assets | (391 |) See Note 4 |
| Actuarial Gain / (Loss) | 1,003 | See Note 4 |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | 1,548 | See Note 4 |
| Pension adjustments | 924 | See Note 4 |
| Total before tax | 3,084 | |
| Tax effect | (1,078 |) |
| Net of tax | \$2,006 | |

Revenue Recognition:

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition." Revenue is recognized when title passes under its various shipping terms, when installation services are complete, and when collectability is reasonably assured. The Company reports sales net of sales returns and allowances and sales taxes imposed by various government authorities, where applicable. In most instances, the Company sells furniture on bids and contracts, which may include multiple elements. For sales that include freight to the customer, many sales are delivered on the same day shipped, with an average delivery being in route for 1 to 3 days. Installation, which involves carrying the furniture to the classroom and setting the desks and chairs in place, typically occurs the day the furniture is delivered.

In accordance with ASC 605, 25, "Revenue Recognition - Multiple-Element Arrangements," revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables are generally accounted for by the Company on a combined unit of accounting as our customers control our ability to deliver and install the furniture, and as a result the furniture delivery and installation are generally provided at the same time. We recognize the consideration for the combined unit of accounting once the final item has been delivered and installed.

Shipping and Installation Fees

Revenues related to shipping and installation are included in net sales. For the fiscal years ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, shipping and installation costs of approximately \$14,576,000, \$15,040,000 and \$15,804,000, respectively, were included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Accounting for Income Taxes

The Company recognizes deferred income taxes under the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 740, "Accounting for Income Taxes." Deferred income taxes are recognized for differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities at enacted statutory tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance against deferred tax assets is recorded when it is determined to be more likely than not that the asset will not be realized.

2. New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the FASB issued accounting guidance updating the presentation format of comprehensive income. The guidance provided two options for presenting net income and other comprehensive income. The total of

comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income may be presented in either a single continuous

52

Table of Contents

statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The Company adopted the new guidance beginning February 1, 2013. The guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued accounting guidance clarifying the scope of disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities. This guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact on its fiscal 2013 financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued accounting guidance intended to improve the reporting classifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income of various components. This guidance was effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those periods, beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company adopted the new guidance beginning February 1, 2013, the beginning of the Company's 2013 fiscal year.

In July 2013, the FASB issued accounting guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, or similar tax loss, or a tax carryforward exists. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact on its fiscal 2014 financial statements.

3. Debt

Outstanding balances (in thousands) for the Company's long-term debt were as follows:

| | January 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| In thousands, except per share data | 2014 | 2013 |
| Revolving credit line | \$8,248 | \$4,053 |
| Other | — | — |
| Total debt | 8,248 | 4,053 |
| Less current portion | 2,248 | 4,053 |
| Non-current portion | \$6,000 | \$— |

On December 22, 2011 (the Closing Date), the Company and Virco Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (Virco and, together with the Company, the Borrowers) entered into a Revolving Credit and Security Agreement (the Credit Agreement) with PNC Bank, National Association, as administrative agent and lender (PNC). On June 15, 2012, the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 1 (Amendment No. 1) to the Credit Agreement which, among other things, increased the borrowing availability thereunder by \$3,000,000 for the period from May 1 through July 14 of each year. On July 27, 2012, the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 2 (Amendment No. 2) to the Credit Agreement which, among other things, reduced the minimum EBITDA financial covenant contained therein for the five consecutive months ending June 2012 from \$1,600,000 to \$300,000. On September 12, 2012, the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 3 (Amendment No. 3) to the Credit Agreement which, among other things, modified the minimum EBITDA covenant for the balance of the fiscal year. On December 6, 2012, the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 4 (Amendment No. 4) to the Credit Agreement which, among other things, waived the violation of the minimum EBITDA and minimum tangible net worth covenants at October 31, 2012 and eliminated the minimum EBITDA covenant at November 30, 2012. On March 1, 2013, the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 5 (Amendment No. 5) to the Credit Agreement, which among other things modified the minimum tangible net worth covenant for the periods from January 31, 2013 to January 31, 2014, modified the minimum EBIDTA covenant for certain periods to January 31, 2014 and waived the violation of the minimum EBITDA covenant for the eleven consecutive fiscal month period ending December 31, 2012. On January 9, 2014 the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 6 (Amendment No. 6) to the Credit Agreement, which, among other things, amended the definition of "Peak Season" and increased the peak season borrowing capacity. On April 15, 2014 the Borrowers entered into Amendment No. 7 (Amendment No. 7) to the Credit Agreement, which, among other things, extended the maturity

date of the Credit Agreement for three years until December 22, 2017, reduced the maximum availability under the Credit Agreement by \$10,000,000 to \$50,000,000, waived the violation of the minimum EBITDA covenant at January 31, 2014, waived the violation of the fixed charge coverage ratio covenant at January 31, 2014, included levels for the minimum tangible net worth financial covenant and a minimum EBITDA financial covenant for fiscal year 2014 and the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio until the maturity date of the Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement provides the Borrowers with a secured revolving line of credit (the Revolving Credit Facility) of up to \$50,000,000, with seasonal adjustments to the credit limit and subject to borrowing base limitations, and includes a sub-limit of up to \$3,000,000 for issuances of letters of credit. The Revolving Credit Facility is an asset-based line of credit that is subject to a borrowing base limitation and generally provides for advances of up to 85% of eligible accounts receivable, plus a percentage equal to the lesser of 60% of the value of eligible inventory or 85% of the liquidation value of eligible inventory, plus an amount ranging from \$6,000,000 to \$12,000,000 from March 1 through July 31 of each year, minus undrawn amounts of letters of credit and reserves. The Revolving Credit Facility is secured by substantially all of the Borrowers' personal property and certain of the Borrowers' real property. The principal amount outstanding under the Credit Agreement and any accrued and unpaid interest is due no later than December 22, 2017, and the Revolving Credit Facility is subject to certain prepayment penalties upon earlier termination of the Revolving Credit Facility. Prior to the maturity date, principal amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement may be repaid and reborrowed at the option of the Borrowers without premium or penalty, subject to borrowing base limitations, seasonal adjustments and certain other conditions.

On the Closing Date, the Borrowers drew down approximately \$9,800,000 under the Revolving Credit Facility to repay in full all indebtedness outstanding under its prior revolving facility governed by the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 12, 2008, as amended, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and to pay fees and expenses related to the Credit Agreement. The Company intends to utilize the Revolving Credit Facility for liquidity and working capital purposes.

The Revolving Credit Facility bears interest, at the Borrowers' option, at either the Alternate Base Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) or the Eurodollar Currency Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement), in each case plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin for Alternate Base Rate loans is a percentage within a range of 0.75% to 1.75%, and the applicable margin for Eurodollar Currency Rate loans is a percentage within a range of 1.75% to 2.75%, in each case based on the EBITDA of the Borrowers at the end of each fiscal quarter, and may be increased at PNC's option by 2.0% during the continuance of an event of default. Accrued interest with respect to principal amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement is payable in arrears on a monthly basis for Alternative Base Rate loans, and at the end of the applicable interest period but at most every three months for Eurodollar Currency Rate loans.

The Credit Agreement contains a covenant that forbids the Company from issuing dividends or making payments with respect to the Company's capital stock, and contains numerous other covenants that limit under certain circumstances the ability of the Borrowers and their subsidiaries to, among other things, merge with or acquire other entities, incur new liens, incur additional indebtedness, repurchase stock, sell assets outside of the ordinary course of business, enter into transactions with affiliates, or substantially change the general nature of the business of the Borrowers, taken as a whole. The Credit Agreement also requires the Company to maintain the following financial maintenance covenants: (1) a minimum tangible net worth amount, (2) a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, and (3) a minimum EBITDA amount, in each case as of the end of the relevant monthly, quarterly or annual measurement period. As of January 31, 2014 the Credit Agreement required the Company to maintain: (1) a minimum tangible net worth of at least \$22,365,000 for the fiscal quarter ending January 31, 2014, (2) a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.00 to 1.00 for the four consecutive fiscal quarters ending January 31, 2014, and (3) a minimum EBITDA amount of \$5,593,000 for the twelve consecutive fiscal months ending January 31, 2014. The actual results of the Company with respect to the foregoing financial covenants for the period ending January 31, 2014 were as follows: (1) the Company maintained a tangible net worth of \$27,605,000 for the fiscal year ending January 31, 2014, (2) the Company maintained a fixed charge coverage ratio of less than 0.00 to 1.00 for the four consecutive fiscal quarters ended January 31, 2014, and (3) the Company achieved EBITDA of \$3,301,000 for the twelve consecutive fiscal months ending January 31, 2014. Therefore the Company was in violation of its minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and minimum EBITDA covenants for the relevant period ending January 31, 2014. However, as noted above, on April 15, 2014 the Company entered into Amendment No. 7, which waived the violation of the minimum EBITDA covenant at January 31, 2014, waived the violation of the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio covenant at January 31, 2014, included levels for the minimum tangible net worth financial covenant and the minimum EBITDA financial covenant for fiscal year 2014 and the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio until the maturity date of the Credit Agreement

In addition, the Credit Agreement contains a clean down provision that requires the Company to reduce borrowings under the line to less than \$6,000,000 for a period of 60 consecutive days each fiscal year. The Company believes that normal operating cash flow will allow it to meet the clean down requirement with no adverse impact on the Company's liquidity. Other than as noted above with respect to the minimum EBITDA covenant and the minimum tangible net worth covenant, the Company was in compliance with its covenants at January 31, 2014.

Events of default (subject to certain cure periods and other limitations) under the Credit Agreement include, but are not limited to, (i) non-payment of principal, interest or other amounts due under the Credit Agreement, (ii) the violation of terms, covenants, representations or warranties in the Credit Agreement or related loan documents, (iii) any event of default under agreements governing certain indebtedness of the Borrowers and certain defaults by the Borrowers under other agreements that

would materially adversely affect the Borrowers, (iv) certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency or liquidation involving the Borrowers, (v) judgments or judicial actions against the Borrowers in excess of \$250,000, subject to certain conditions, (vi) the failure of the Company to comply with Pension Benefit Plans (as defined in the Credit Agreement), (vii) the invalidity of loan documents pertaining to the Credit Agreement, (viii) a change of control of the Borrowers and (ix) the interruption of operations of any of the Borrowers' manufacturing facilities for five consecutive days during the peak season or fifteen consecutive days during any other time, subject to certain conditions.

Pursuant to the Credit Agreement, substantially all of the Borrowers' accounts receivable are automatically and promptly swept to repay amounts outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility upon receipt by the Borrowers. Due to this automatic liquidating nature of the Revolving Credit Facility, if the Borrowers breach any covenant, violate any representation or warranty or suffer a deterioration in their ability to borrow pursuant to the borrowing base calculation, the Borrowers may not have access to cash liquidity unless provided by PNC at its discretion. In addition, certain of the covenants and representations and warranties set forth in the Credit Agreement contain limited or no materiality thresholds, and many of the representations and warranties must be true and correct in all material respects upon each borrowing, which the Borrowers expect to occur on an ongoing basis. There can be no assurance that the Borrowers will be able to comply with all such covenants and be able to continue to make such representations and warranties on an ongoing basis.

The Company's line of credit with PNC is structured to provide seasonal credit availability during the Company's peak summer season. The Company believes that the Revolving Credit Facility will provide sufficient liquidity to meet its capital requirements in the next 12 months. Approximately \$10,919,000 was available for borrowing as of January 31, 2014.

The descriptions set forth herein of the Credit Agreement, Amendment No. 1, Amendment No. 2, Amendment No. 3, Amendment No. 4, Amendment No. 5, Amendment No. 6 and Amendment No. 7 are qualified in their entirety by the terms of such agreements, each of which has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

As of January 31, 2014, long-term debt repayments are approximately as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-------------------------|---------|
| Year ending January 31, | |
| 2015 | \$2,248 |
| 2016 | 6,000 |
| 2017 | — |
| 2018 | — |
| 2019 | — |
| Thereafter | — |

Management believes that the carrying value of debt approximated fair value at January 31, 2014 and 2013, as all of the long-term debt bears interest at variable rates based on prevailing market conditions.

4. Retirement Plans

Pension Plans

The Company maintains three defined benefit pension plans, the Virco Employees Retirement Plan ("Employee Plan"), the Virco Important Performers Retirement Plan ("VIP Plan"), and the Non-Employee Directors Retirement Plan ("Directors Plan"). The Company and its subsidiaries cover all employees under the Employee Plan, which is a qualified noncontributory defined benefit retirement plan. Benefits under the Employee Plan are based on years of service and career average earnings. Benefit accruals under the Employee Plan were frozen effective December 31, 2003.

The Company also provides a supplementary retirement plan for certain key employees, the VIP Plan. The VIP Plan provides a benefit up to 50% of average compensation for the last five years in the VIP Plan, offset by benefits earned under the Employee Plan. The VIP Plan benefits are secured by a life insurance program. The cash surrender values of the policies securing the VIP Plan were \$3,238,000 and \$3,008,000 at January 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. These cash surrender values are included in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company maintains a rabbi trust to hold assets related to the VIP Retirement Plan and a Split \$ Life Insurance Plan. Substantially all assets securing the VIP Plan are held in the rabbi trust. Benefit accruals under the VIP Plan were frozen effective December 31, 2003.

In April 2001, the board of directors established the Directors Plan, a non-qualified plan for non-employee directors of the Company. The Directors Plan provides a lifetime annual retirement benefit equal to the director's annual retainer fee for the

55

fiscal year in which the director terminates his or her position with the board, subject to the director providing 10 years of service to the Company. At January 31, 2014, the Directors Plan did not hold any assets. Benefit accruals under the Directors Plan were frozen effective December 31, 2003.

The annual measurement date for all plans for the fiscal years ended January 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 is January 31. Effective December 31, 2003, the Company froze all future benefit accruals under the plans. Employees can continue to vest under the benefits earned to date, but no covered participants will earn additional benefits under the plan freeze.

Accounting policy regarding pensions requires management to make complex and subjective estimates and assumptions relating to amounts which are inherently uncertain. Three primary economic assumptions influence the reported values of plan liabilities and pension costs. The Company takes the following factors into consideration: discount rate, assumed rate of return and rate of increase in compensation.

The discount rate represents an estimate of the rate of return on a portfolio of high-quality fixed-income securities that would provide cash flows that match the expected benefit payment stream from the plans. When setting the discount rate, the Company utilizes a spot-rate yield curve developed from high-quality bonds currently available which reflects changes in rates that have occurred over the past year. This assumption is sensitive to movements in market rates that have occurred since the preceding valuation date, and therefore, may change from year to year.

Because the Company froze future benefit accruals for all three defined benefit plans, the compensation increase assumption had no impact on pension expense, accumulated benefit obligation or projected benefit obligation for the period ended January 31, 2014, 2013 or 2012.

The assumed rate of return on plan assets represents an estimate of long-term returns available to investors who hold a mixture of stocks, bonds, and cash equivalent securities. When setting its expected return on plan asset assumptions, the Company considers long-term rates of return on various asset classes (both historical and forecasted, using data collected from various sources generally regarded as authoritative) in the context of expected long-term average asset allocations for its defined benefit pension plan. Two of the Company's defined benefit pension plans (the VIP Plan and the Directors Plan) are executive benefit plans that are not funded and are subject to the Company's creditors. Because these plans are not funded, the assumed rate of return has no impact on pension expense or the funded status of the plans.

The Company maintains a trust for and funds the pension obligations for the Employee Plan. The board of directors appoints a Retirement Plan Committee that establishes a policy for investment and funding strategies. Approximately 70% of the trust assets are managed by investment advisors and held in common trust funds with the balance managed by the Retirement Plan Committee. The Retirement Plan Committee has established target asset allocations for its investment advisors, who invest the trust assets in a variety of institutional collective trust funds. The long-term asset allocation target provided to the investment advisors is 80% stock and 20% bond, with maximum allocations of 80% large cap stocks, 30% small cap stocks, and 30% international stock. The Company has established a custom benchmark derived from a variety of stock and bond indices that are weighted to approximate the asset allocation provided to the investment advisors. The investment advisors' performance is compared to the custom index as part of the evaluation of the investment advisors' performance. The Retirement Plan Committee receives monthly reports from the investment advisors and meets periodically with them to discuss investment performance.

At January 31, 2014 and 2013, the amount of the plan assets invested in bond or short-term investment funds was 12% and 8%, respectively, and the balance of the trust was held in equity funds or investments. The trust does not hold any Company stock.

During 2011, two events significantly impacted the pension plans. The first event was a reduction in the discount rate utilized to calculate pension plan obligations. The reduction in discount rate caused the liability for pension obligations to increase by approximately \$3.7 million, \$1.4 million, and \$26,000 for the Employee Plan, the VIP Plan, and the Directors Plan, respectively. The increase in liability resulted in a comparable increase to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI"). The second event was a \$2.0 million settlement charge for the Employee Plan. As part of a restructuring plan, the Company offered early retirement benefits to all employees who voluntarily severed their employment with the Company. Although the early retirement benefit was paid in cash and did not include any additional benefits payable from a retirement plan, the benefit formula was structured to reward employees with significant years of service, the same employees who would have earned retirement benefits prior to the Employee

Plan freeze in 2003. The pension trust made significant lump sum distributions to participants in the latter part of the year, resulting in settlement charges in the third and fourth quarters. Because the VIP Plan and Director Plan do not allow lump sum payments, there was no similar settlement charge required.

During 2012 the pension plans were impacted by the same events of 2011, but to a lesser extent. A reduction in the discount rate caused the liability for pension obligations to increase by approximately \$2.0 million, \$0.3 million, and \$10,000 for the Employee Plan, the VIP Plan, and the Directors Plan, respectively. The increase in liability resulted in a comparable increase to

AOCI. As a result of large distributions paid from the Employee Plan, a \$760,000 settlement charge for the Employee Plan was recorded in the fourth quarter.

During 2013 the pension plans were impacted by a reduction in force. Although the severance benefits was paid in cash and did not include any additional benefits payable from a retirement plan, the severance included employees with vested pension benefits. The pension trust made significant lump sum distributions to participants in the latter part of the year, resulting in settlement charges in the third and fourth quarters. During 2013, the pension liability decreased due to increased discount rates, offset by an increase in liability due to the adoption of a new mortality table.

It is the Company's policy to contribute adequate funds to the trust accounts to cover benefit payments under the VIP Plan and Directors Plan and to maintain the funded status of the Employee Plan at a level which is adequate to avoid significant restrictions to the Employee Plan under the Pension Protection Act of 2006. The Company contributed \$1.8 million, \$2.0 million, and \$1.9 million, to the trust in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Contributions during 2014 will depend upon actual investment results and benefit payments, but are anticipated to be approximately \$2.4 million. During 2013, 2012, and 2011, the Company paid approximately \$564,000, \$561,000 and \$451,000 respectively, in benefits per year under the non-qualified plans. It is anticipated that contributions to non-qualified plans will be approximately \$710,000 for 2014. At January 31, 2014, accumulated other comprehensive loss of approximately \$16.4 million (\$14.0 million net of tax) is attributable to the pension plans.

Edgar Filing: VIRCO MFG CORPORATION - Form 10-K

The following tables sets forth (in thousands) the funded status of the Company's pension plans at January 31, 2014, and 2013:

| | Employee Plan | | VIP Plan | | Directors Plan | |
|--|---------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
| | 1/31/2014 | 1/31/2013 | 1/31/2014 | 1/31/2013 | 1/31/2014 | 1/31/2013 |
| Change in Benefit Obligation | | | | | | |
| Benefit obligation at beg. of year | \$32,840 | \$29,583 | \$8,054 | \$8,079 | \$468 | \$480 |
| Service cost | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Interest cost | 1,312 | 1,299 | 330 | 352 | 18 | 20 |
| Participant contributions | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Amendments | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Actuarial losses (gains) | 596 | 3,951 | (176) | 175 | (29) | (23) |
| Plan settlement | (2,148) | (1,477) | — | — | — | — |
| Benefits paid | (531) | (516) | (546) | (552) | (18) | (9) |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | \$32,069 | \$32,840 | \$7,662 | \$8,054 | \$439 | \$468 |
| Change in Plan Assets | | | | | | |
| Fair value at beg. of year | \$16,875 | \$14,808 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Actual return on plan assets | 2,122 | 2,035 | — | — | — | — |
| Company contributions | 1,850 | 2,025 | 546 | 552 | 18 | 9 |
| Settlements | (2,148) | (1,477) | — | — | — | — |
| Benefits paid | (531) | (516) | (546) | (552) | (18) | (9) |
| Fair value at end of year | \$18,168 | \$16,875 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Funded Status | | | | | | |
| Unfunded status of the plan | \$(13,901) | \$(15,965) | \$(7,662) | \$(8,054) | \$(439) | \$(468) |
| Amounts Recognized in Statement of Financial Position | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities | — | — | (584) | (570) | (66) | (61) |
| Non-current liabilities | (13,901) | (15,965) | (7,078) | (7,484) | (373) | (407) |
| Accrued benefit cost | \$(13,901) | \$(15,965) | \$(7,662) | \$(8,054) | \$(439) | \$(468) |
| Amounts Recognized in Statement of Financial Position and Operations | | | | | | |
| Accrued benefit liability | \$(13,901) | \$(15,965) | \$(7,662) | \$(8,054) | \$(439) | \$(468) |
| Accumulated other comp. loss (gain) | 14,235 | 16,906 | 2,259 | 2,656 | (75) | (61) |
| Net amount recognized | \$334 | \$941 | \$(5,403) | \$(5,398) | \$(514) | \$(529) |
| Items not yet Recognized as a Component of Net Periodic Pension Expense, Included in AOCI | | | | | | |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss (gain) | \$14,235 | \$16,906 | \$2,259 | \$2,656 | \$(75) | \$(61) |
| Unamortized prior service costs | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net initial asset recognition | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | \$14,235 | \$16,906 | \$2,259 | \$2,656 | \$(75) | \$(61) |

Edgar Filing: VIRCO MFG CORPORATION - Form 10-K

| | Employee Plan | | VIP Plan | | Directors Plan | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----|--|
| | 1/31/2014 | 1/31/2013 | 1/31/2014 | 1/31/2013 | 1/31/2014 | 1/31/2013 | | |
| Other Changes in Plan Assets and Benefit Obligations Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income | | | | | | | | |
| Net loss (gain) | \$(407) | \$2,895 | \$(176) | \$175 | \$(29) | \$(23) | | |
| Prior service cost | — | — | — | — | — | — | | |
| Amortization of (loss) gain | (2,264) | (2,184) | (222) | (205) | 14 | — | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost (credit) | — | — | — | — | — | — | | |
| Amortization of initial asset | — | — | — | — | — | — | | |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income | \$(2,671) | \$711 | \$(398) | \$(30) | \$(15) | \$(23) | | |
| Items to be Recognized as a Component of 2013 Periodic Pension Cost | | | | | | | | |
| Prior service cost | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | | |
| Net actuarial loss (gain) | 1,136 | 1,397 | 178 | 222 | (31) | (14) | | |
| | \$1,136 | \$1,397 | \$178 | \$222 | \$(31) | \$(14) | | |
| Supplemental Data | | | | | | | | |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$32,069 | \$32,840 | \$7,662 | \$8,054 | \$439 | \$468 | | |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 32,069 | 32,840 | 7,662 | 8,054 | 439 | 468 | | |
| Fair value of plan assets | 18,168 | 16,875 | — | — | — | — | | |
| Components of Net Cost | | | | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | | |
| Interest cost | 1,312 | 1,299 | 330 | 352 | 18 | 20 | | |
| Expected return on plan assets | (1,119) | (979) | — | — | — | — | | |
| Amortization of transition amount | — | — | — | — | — | — | | |
| Recognized (gain) loss due to curtailments | — | — | — | — | — | — | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | — | — | — | — | — | — | | |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 2,264 | 2,184 | 222 | 205 | (14) | — | | |
| Benefit cost | \$2,457 | \$2,504 | \$552 | \$557 | \$4 | \$20 | | |
| Estimated Future Benefit Payments | | | | | | | | |
| FYE 01-31-2015 | \$4,862 | | \$584 | | \$66 | | | |
| FYE 01-31-2016 | 1,963 | | 345 | | 60 | | | |
| FYE 01-31-2017 | 2,456 | | 329 | | 55 | | | |
| FYE 01-31-2018 | 1,475 | | 313 | | 49 | | | |
| FYE 01-31-2019 | 1,879 | | 336 | | 44 | | | |
| FYE 01-31-2020 to 2024 | 8,635 | | 1,796 | | 148 | | | |
| Total | \$21,270 | | \$3,703 | | \$422 | | | |
| Weighted Average Assumptions to Determine Benefit Obligations at Year-End | | | | | | | | |
| Discount rate | 4.25 | % 4.00 | % 4.75 | % 4.25 | % 4.25 | % 4.00 | % | |
| Rate of compensation increase | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Weighted Average

Assumptions to Determine Net

Periodic Pension Cost

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----|
| Discount rate | 4.00 | % 4.50 | % 4.25 | % 4.50 | % 4.00 | % 4.50 | % |
| Expected return on plan assets | 6.50 | % 6.50 | % N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Rate of compensation increase | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

59

Fair Value Measurements of Plan Assets
Employee Plan

| | 1/31/2014 | 1/31/2013 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Level 1 Measurement | | |
| Cash & Cash Equivalents | \$372 | \$268 |
| Common Stock | 5,085 | 4,376 |
| Total Level 1 | \$5,457 | \$4,644 |
| Level 2 Measurement | | |
| Bond Index Fund | \$354 | \$162 |
| Core Bond CIT Fund | 1,172 | 973 |
| US Aggregate Bond Index Fund | 355 | 229 |
| Large Cap Growth Index Fund | 3,758 | 3,809 |
| Large Cap Value Index Fund | 2,765 | 3,039 |
| Russell 2000 Index Fund | 1,602 | 1,461 |
| International Equity Index Fund | 1,359 | 1,179 |
| Managed Investment Fund | 938 | 773 |
| Vanguard MSCI Emerging Markets Fund | 408 | 606 |
| Total Level 2 | \$12,711 | \$12,231 |
| Level 3 Measurement | | |
| None | N/A | N/A |
| 401(k) Retirement Plan | | |

The Company's retirement plan, which covers all U.S. employees, allows participants to defer from 1% to 50% of their eligible compensation through a 401(k) retirement program. Through December 31, 2001, the plan included an employee stock ownership component. The plan continues to include Virco stock as one of the investment options. At January 31, 2014 and 2013, the plan held 771,070 shares and 783,154 shares of Virco stock, respectively. For the fiscal years ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, there was no employer match and therefore no compensation cost to the Company.

Life Insurance

The Company provided current and post-retirement life insurance to certain salaried employees with split-dollar life insurance policies under the Dual Option Life Insurance Plan. Effective January 2004, the Company terminated this plan for active employees. Cash surrender values of these policies, which are included in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets, were \$3,076,000 and \$3,069,000 at January 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company maintains a rabbi trust to hold assets related to the Dual Option Life Insurance Plan. Substantially all assets securing this plan are held in the rabbi trust. As of January 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company has purchased life insurance on the lives of the participants that will pay death benefits of approximately \$5,990,000 and \$5,870,000, respectively.

The following sets forth the Company's change in death benefits payable during the years ended January 31, 2014 and 2013:

| | 1/31/2014 | 1/31/2013 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Liability beginning of year | \$2,316,000 | \$2,074,000 |
| Accretion expense | 85,000 | 292,000 |
| Present value of death benefits paid | — | (50,000) |
| Liability end of year | \$2,401,000 | \$2,316,000 |

5. Stock-Based Compensation and Stockholders' Rights
Stock Incentive Plans

The Company's two stock plans are the 2011 Employee Stock Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan") and the 2007 Employee Incentive Stock Plan (the "2007 Plan"). Under the 2011 Plan, the Company may grant an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares to its employees and non-employee directors in the form of stock options or awards. Restricted stock or stock units awarded under the 2011 Plan are expensed ratably over the vesting period of the awards. The Company determines the fair value of its restricted stock unit awards and related compensation expense as the difference between the market value of the awards on the date of grant less the exercise price of the awards granted. The Company granted 99,430 awards during fiscal 2013. As of January 31, 2014, there were approximately 349,320 shares available for future issuance under the 2011 Plan.

Under the 2007 Plan, the Company may grant an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares to its employees and non-employee directors in the form of stock options or awards. Restricted stock or stock units awarded under the 2007 Plan are expensed ratably over the vesting period of the awards. The Company determines the fair value of its restricted stock unit awards and related compensation expense as the difference between the market value of the awards on the date of grant less the exercise price of the awards granted. The Company granted 0 awards during fiscal 2013. As of January 31, 2014, there were approximately 13,075 shares available for future issuance under the 2007 Plan.

The shares of common stock issued upon exercise of a previously granted stock option are considered new issuances from shares reserved for issuance upon adoption of the various plans. While the Company does not have a formal written policy detailing such issuance, it requires that the option holders provide a written notice of exercise to the stock plan administrator and payment for the shares prior to issuance of the shares.

Accounting for the Plans

A summary of the Company's stock option activity, and related information for the years ended January 31, is as follows:

| | 2014 | Weighted- | 2013 | Weighted- | 2012 | Weighted- |
|----------------------------------|---------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| | Options | Average Exercise Price | Options | Average Exercise Price | Options | Average Exercise Price |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | — | \$— | — | \$— | 12,100 | \$8.82 |
| Granted | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Exercised | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Forfeited | — | — | — | — | (12,100) | — |
| Outstanding at end of year | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Exercisable at end of year | — | — | — | — | — | — |

The data included in the above table has been retroactively adjusted, if applicable, for stock dividends.

Restricted Stock Unit Awards

The following table presents a summary of restricted stock and stock unit awards:

| Date of Grants | Units Granted | Terms of Vesting | Expense for 12 months ended | | | Unrecognized Compensation Cost at |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | 1/31/2014 | 1/31/2013 | 1/31/2012 | 1/31/2014 |
| 2011 Stock Incentive Plan | | | | | | |
| 12/3/2013 | 10,000 | 1 year | \$6,000 | \$— | \$— | \$13,000 |
| 12/3/2013 | 18,000 | 5 year | 1,000 | — | — | 36,000 |
| 6/25/2013 | 71,430 | 1 year | 100,000 | — | — | 50,000 |
| 6/19/2012 | 31,250 | 1 year | 17,000 | 33,000 | — | — |
| 6/19/2012 | 520,000 | 5 year | 160,000 | 111,000 | — | 523,000 |
| 2007 Stock Incentive Plan | | | | | | |
| 6/19/2012 | 78,125 | 1 year | 41,000 | 82,000 | — | — |
| 3/21/2012 | 40,000 | Immediate | — | 80,000 | — | — |
| 6/21/2011 | 68,960 | 1 year | — | 67,000 | 133,000 | — |
| 6/8/2010 | 56,455 | 1 year | — | — | 58,000 | — |
| 6/16/2009 | 382,500 | 5 year | 198,000 | 226,000 | 235,000 | 62,000 |
| 6/19/2007 | 262,500 | 5 year | — | 99,000 | 309,000 | — |
| Totals for the period | | | \$523,000 | \$698,000 | \$735,000 | \$684,000 |

A summary of the Company's restricted stock unit awards activity, and related information for the following years ended January 31, is as follows:

| | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|---|
| | Restricted stock units | Weighted-average fair value of restricted stock units | Restricted stock units | Weighted-average fair value of restricted stock units | Restricted stock units | Weighted-average fair value of restricted stock units |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 743,375 | \$1.89 | 290,960 | \$3.80 | 467,455 | \$4.19 |
| Granted | 99,430 | 2.07 | 669,375 | 1.62 | 68,960 | 2.89 |
| Vested | (260,375) | 2.01 | (204,960) | 3.63 | (178,455) | 4.29 |
| Forfeited | (38,000) | 2.00 | (12,000) | 3.50 | (67,000) | 4.31 |
| Outstanding at end of year | 544,430 | 1.87 | 743,375 | 1.89 | 290,960 | 3.80 |
| Weighted-average fair value of restricted stock units granted during the year | | \$2.07 | | \$1.62 | | \$2.89 |

The aggregate fair value of restricted stock unit awards vested during fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$523,000, \$744,000 and \$766,000, respectively.

Stockholders' Rights

On October 15, 1996, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of one preferred stock purchase right (the "Rights") for each outstanding share of the Company's common stock. Each of the Rights entitles a stockholder to purchase for an exercise price of \$50.00 (\$20.70, as adjusted for stock splits and stock dividends), subject to adjustment, one one-hundredth of a share of Series A Junior Participating Cumulative Preferred Stock of the Company, or under certain circumstances, shares of common stock of the Company or a successor company with a market value equal to two times the exercise price. The Rights are not exercisable, and would only become exercisable for all other persons when any person has acquired or commences to acquire a beneficial interest of at least 20% of the Company's outstanding common stock. The Rights have no voting privileges, and may be redeemed by the Board of Directors at a price of \$.001 per Right at any time prior to the acquisition of a beneficial ownership of 20% of the outstanding common stock. There are 200,000 shares, (483,153 shares as adjusted by stock splits and stock dividends) of Series A

Junior Participating Cumulative Preferred Stock reserved for issuance upon exercise of the Rights. On July 31, 2007, the Company and Mellon Investor Services LLC entered into an amendment to the Rights Agreement

governing the Rights. The amendment, among other things, extended the term of the Rights issued under the Rights Agreement to October 25, 2016, removed the dead-hand provisions from the Rights Agreement, and formally replaced the former Rights Agent, The Chase Manhattan Bank, with its successor-in-interest, Mellon Investor Services LLC.

6. Income Taxes

The income tax expense (benefit) for the last three years is reconciled to the statutory federal income tax rate using the liability method as follows (in thousands):

| | Year ended January 31, | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Statutory | \$ (929) |) \$ (1,373) |) \$ (4,674) |) |
| State taxes (net of federal tax) | (47) |) (124) |) (799) |) |
| Change in valuation allowance | (253) |) 1,480 |) 6,043 |) |
| State rate adjustment | 82 |) 49 |) (288) |) |
| Change in unrecognized tax benefits | (32) |) (246) |) (164) |) |
| Other | 176 |) 5 |) (63) |) |
| | \$ (1,003) |) \$ (209) |) \$ 55 |) |

Significant components of the expense (benefit) for income taxes (in thousands) attributed to continuing operations are as follows:

| | Year ended January 31, | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Current | | | | |
| Federal | \$ 1 |) \$— |) \$— |) |
| State | (24) |) (275) |) (173) |) |
| | (23) |) (275) |) (173) |) |
| Deferred | | | | |
| Federal | (753) |) (1,192) |) (4,581) |) |
| State | 23 |) (223) |) (1,235) |) |
| | (730) |) (1,415) |) (5,816) |) |
| Change in Valuation Allowance | (250) |) 1,481 |) 6,044 |) |
| | (980) |) 66 |) 228 |) |
| | \$ (1,003) |) \$ (209) |) \$ 55 |) |

Deferred tax assets and liabilities (in thousands) are comprised of the following:

| | Year ended January 31, | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Deferred tax assets | | | |
| Accrued vacation and sick leave | \$ 913 | \$ 830 | |
| Retirement plans | 8,960 | 10,343 | |
| Insurance reserves | 956 | 1,193 | |
| Warranty | 481 | 451 | |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | 12,494 | 12,072 | |
| Intangibles | 207 | 272 | |
| Inventory | 1,590 | 723 | |
| Other | 907 | 1,250 | |
| | \$ 26,508 | \$ 27,134 | |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | | |
| Tax in excess of book depreciation | \$ (1,407) |) \$ (1,540) |) |
| Other | (76) |) (81) |) |
| | \$ (1,483) |) \$ (1,621) |) |
| Valuation allowance | (24,210) |) (24,601) |) |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 815 | \$ 912 | |
| Reported as: | | | |

Edgar Filing: VIRCO MFG CORPORATION - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|--------|---|
| Current deferred tax assets | \$203 | \$— | |
| Current deferred tax liabilities | \$— | \$(572 |) |
| Long-term deferred tax assets | 611 | 1,484 | |

The following table summarizes the activity related to our gross unrecognized tax benefits from February 1, 2012 to January 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| | January 31, | | |
|---|-------------|--------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Balances as of February 1, | \$106 | \$271 | |
| Increases related to prior year tax positions | — | 56 | |
| Decreases related to prior year tax positions | (9 |) — | |
| Increases related to current year tax positions | 5 | 8 | |
| Decreases relating to settlements with taxing authorities | (19 |) — | |
| Decreases related to lapsing of statute of limitations | (31 |) (229 |) |
| Balance as of January 31, | \$52 | \$106 | |

At January 31, 2014, the Company's unrecognized tax benefits associated with uncertain tax positions were \$52,000, of which \$34,000 if recognized, would favorably affect the effective tax rate.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense which is consistent with the recognition of the items in prior reporting. The Company had recorded a liability for interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits of \$16,000 at January 31, 2014, and \$36,000 at January 31, 2013. The Company closed its IRS examination for its tax return for the year ended January 31, 2011 with no changes. The years ended January 31, 2010, January 31, 2012 through January 31, 2014 remain open for examination by the IRS. The fiscal years ended January 31, 2010 through January 31, 2014 remain open for examination by state tax authorities. The Company is not currently under state examination.

During 2014 and 2013, the Company completed Texas income tax examinations of the tax years ending January 31, 2008 and 2009, respectively. The examination did not materially impact the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The specific timing of when the resolution of each tax position will be reached is uncertain. As of January 31, 2014, it is reasonably possible that unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by \$26,000 within the next 12 months due to the expiration of the statute of limitations.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, the Company considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income or reversal of deferred tax liabilities during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. The Company considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. A valuation allowance was recorded against the majority of the net deferred tax assets totaling \$24,210,000 and \$24,601,000 at January 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. At January 31, 2014, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards for federal and state income tax purposes, expiring at various dates through 2033. Federal net operating losses that can potentially be carried forward totaled approximately \$24,546,000 at January 31, 2014. State net operating losses that can potentially be carried forward totaled approximately \$51,082,000 at January 31, 2014.

7. Commitments

The Company has operating leases on real property and equipment that expire at various dates. The Torrance, CA manufacturing and distribution facility is leased under a 5-year operating lease that expires on February 28, 2020. One of the Conway, AR manufacturing facilities is leased under a 10-year operating lease that expires on March 31, 2018. The Company leases machinery and equipment under a 5-year operating lease arrangement. The Company has the option of buying out the assets at the end of the lease period. The Company leases trucks, automobiles, and forklifts under operating leases that include certain fleet management and maintenance services. Certain of the leases contain renewal or purchase options and require payment for property taxes and insurance. The Company records rent expense on a straight-line basis based on contractual lease payments. Allowances from lessors for tenant improvements have been included in the straight-line rent expense for applicable locations. Tenant improvements are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining life of the applicable lease.

Minimum future lease payments (in thousands) for operating leases in effect as of January 31, 2014, are as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------|----------|
| Year ending January 31, | |
| 2015 | \$5,773 |
| 2016 | 3,248 |
| 2017 | 4,109 |
| 2018 | 4,590 |
| 2019 | 4,227 |
| Thereafter | 4,560 |
| Total minimum lease payments | 26,507 |
| Less sublease revenues | (73) |
| | \$26,434 |

Rent expense relating to operating leases was as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------------------------|---------|
| Year ended January 31, | |
| 2014 | \$6,555 |
| 2013 | 6,629 |
| 2012 | 6,619 |

The Company has issued purchase commitments for raw materials at January 31, 2014, of approximately \$9,010,000.

There were no commitments in excess of normal operating requirements.

8. Contingencies

The Company and other furniture manufacturers are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the discharge of materials into the environment and the generation, handling, storage, transportation and disposal of waste and hazardous materials. The Company has expended, and expects to continue to spend, significant amounts in the future to comply with environmental laws. Normal recurring expenses relating to operating the Company factories in a manner that meets or exceeds environmental laws are matched to the cost of producing inventory. Despite the Company's significant dedication to operating in compliance with applicable laws, there is a risk that the Company could fail to comply with a regulation or that applicable laws and regulations change. On these occasions, the Company records liabilities for remediation costs when remediation costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated.

The Company is subject to contingencies pursuant to environmental laws and regulations that in the future may require the Company to take action to correct the effects on the environment of prior disposal practices or releases of chemical or petroleum substances by the Company or other parties.

The Company has a self-insured retention for product and general liability losses up to \$250,000 per occurrence, workers' compensation liability losses up to \$250,000 per occurrence, and for automobile liability losses up to \$50,000 per occurrence. The Company has purchased insurance to cover losses in excess of the retention up to a limit of \$30,000,000. The Company has obtained an actuarial estimate of its total expected future losses for liability claims and recorded a liability equal to the net present value of \$2,425,000 and \$2,985,000 at January 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, based upon the Company's estimated payout period of five years using a 0.5% and 0.5% discount rate, respectively.

Workers' compensation, automobile, general and product liability claims may be asserted in the future for events not currently known by management. Management does not anticipate that any related settlement, after consideration of the existing reserve for claims incurred and potential insurance recovery, would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Estimated payments under the self-insurance programs are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------|
| Year ending January 31, | |
| 2014 | \$400 |
| 2015 | 510 |
| 2016 | 510 |
| 2017 | 510 |
| 2018 | 510 |
| Thereafter | — |
| Total | 2,440 |
| Discount to net present value | (15) |
| | \$2,425 |

The Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in various legal proceedings resulting from operations in the normal course of business. It is the opinion of management, in consultation with legal counsel, that the ultimate outcome of all such matters will not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

9. Warranty

The Company provides a warranty against all substantial defects in material and workmanship. In 2005 the Company extended its standard warranty from five years to 10 years. Effective February 1, 2014 the Company modified its warranty to a limited lifetime warranty. The new warranty effective February 1, 2014 is not anticipated to have a significant effect on warranty expense. The Company's warranty is not a guarantee of service life, which depends upon events outside the Company's control and may be different from the warranty period. The Company accrues an estimate of its exposure to warranty claims based upon both product sales data and an analysis of actual warranty claims incurred. Warranty expense increased during 2011 and 2012 due to the Company's decision to replace a component on a certain style of chair. These replacements were completed at a cost less than originally anticipated as the Company was able to perform field repairs at a favorable cost rather than incurring replacement costs. The repair / replacement of this component was not related to the safety of the product and has no exposure relating to product liability reserves. At the current time, management cannot reasonably determine whether warranty claims for the upcoming fiscal year will be less than, equal to, or greater than warranty claims incurred in 2013. The following is a summary of the Company's warranty-claim activity during 2013 and 2012.

| | | |
|--|-------------|---------|
| | January 31, | |
| (In thousands) | 2014 | 2013 |
| Beginning balance | \$1,000 | \$1,400 |
| Provision for current year | 600 | 630 |
| Provision for (benefits from) prior year | (220) | (490) |
| Costs incurred | (380) | (540) |
| Ending balance | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |

10. Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated events subsequent to January 31, 2014, to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in this report. Such events were evaluated through the date these financial statements were issued. Based upon this evaluation, it was determined that no subsequent events occurred that require recognition or additional disclosure in the financial statements except for Amendment No. 7, dated April 15, 2014 to the Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of December 22, 2011, which is disclosed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

11. Quarterly Results (Unaudited)

The Company's quarterly results for the years ended January 31, 2014 and 2013, as adjusted, are summarized as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---|
| Year ended January 31, 2014 | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$19,890 | \$56,933 | \$59,454 | \$19,643 | |
| Gross profit | 6,409 | 21,586 | 21,161 | 4,276 | |
| Net (loss) income | (4,447 |) 6,210 | 3,408 | (6,901 |) |
| Per common share | | | | | |
| Net (loss) income (a) | | | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.31 |) \$0.43 | \$0.23 | \$(0.47 |) |
| Assuming dilution | (0.31 |) 0.42 | 0.23 | (0.47 |) |
| Year ended January 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$23,668 | \$60,392 | \$56,642 | \$18,154 | |
| Gross profit | 6,967 | 22,867 | 19,318 | 2,400 | |
| Net (loss) income | (4,833 |) 7,053 | 2,908 | (8,958 |) |
| Per common share | | | | | |
| Net (loss) income (a) | | | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.34 |) \$0.49 | \$0.20 | \$(0.62 |) |
| Assuming dilution | (0.34 |) 0.49 | 0.20 | (0.62 |) |

(a) Net loss per share was calculated based on basic shares outstanding due to the anti-dilutive effect on the inclusion of common stock equivalent shares.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed with the Commission pursuant to the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Assessing the costs and benefits of such controls and procedures necessarily involves the exercise of judgment by management, and such controls and procedures, by their nature, can provide only reasonable assurance that management's objectives in establishing them will be achieved.

Virco carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its President and Chief Executive Officer along with its Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon the foregoing, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer along with the Company's Chief Financial Officer concluded that Virco's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that (i) information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed,

summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fourth fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. See "Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting."

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers of the Registrant and Corporate Governance

Except for the information disclosed in Part 1 under the heading "Executive Officers" of the Registrant, the information required by this Item regarding directors shall be incorporated by reference to information set forth in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of the Company's fiscal year end of January 31, 2014.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to information set forth in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of the Company's fiscal year end of January 31, 2014.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to information set forth in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of the Company's fiscal year end of January 31, 2014.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to information set forth in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of the Company's fiscal year end of January 31, 2014.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to information set forth in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of the Company's fiscal year end of January 31, 2014.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

1. The following consolidated financial statements of Virco Mfg. Corporation are set forth in Item 8 of this report.

| | Page numbers |
|---|--------------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>41</u> |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets - January 31, 2014 and 2013 | <u>42</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations - Years Ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 | <u>44</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss - Years Ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 | <u>45</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity - Years Ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 | <u>46</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Years Ended January 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 | <u>47</u> |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - January 31, 2014 | <u>48</u> |

2. The following consolidated financial statement schedule of Virco Mfg. Corporation is included in Item 15:
VIRCO MFG. CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE II — VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND RESERVES
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012
(In Thousands)

| Col. A | Col. B Beginning Balance | Col. C Charged to (Reduced from) Expenses | Col. E Deductions from Reserves | Col. F Ending Balance |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts for the period ended: | | | | |
| January 31, 2014 | \$275 | \$3 | \$78 | \$200 |
| January 31, 2013 | \$350 | \$75 | \$150 | \$275 |
| January 31, 2012 | \$200 | \$187 | \$37 | \$350 |
| Inventory valuation reserve for the period ended: | | | | |
| January 31, 2014 | \$3,425 | \$— | \$100 | \$3,325 |
| January 31, 2013 | \$3,900 | \$— | \$475 | \$3,425 |
| January 31, 2012 | \$3,750 | \$150 | \$— | \$3,900 |
| Warranty reserve for the period ended: | | | | |
| January 31, 2014 | \$1,000 | \$380 | \$380 | \$1,000 |
| January 31, 2013 | \$1,400 | \$140 | \$540 | \$1,000 |
| January 31, 2012 | \$2,300 | \$(64) | \$836 | \$1,400 |
| Product, general, workers' compensation and automobile liability reserves for the period ended: | | | | |
| January 31, 2014 | \$2,985 | \$— | \$560 | \$2,425 |
| January 31, 2013 | \$2,915 | \$70 | \$— | \$2,985 |
| January 31, 2012 | \$2,770 | \$145 | \$— | \$2,915 |
| Deferred tax valuation allowance for the period ended: | | | | |
| January 31, 2014 | \$24,601 | \$— | \$391 | \$24,210 |
| January 31, 2013 | \$22,859 | \$1,742 | \$— | \$24,601 |
| January 31, 2012 | \$14,548 | \$8,311 | \$— | \$22,859 |

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions, are inapplicable, or are included in the Financial Statements or Notes thereto, and therefore are not required to be presented under this Item.

3. Exhibits

See Index to Exhibits. The exhibits listed in the accompanying Index to Exhibits are filed as part of this report.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

VIRCO MFG. CORPORATION

Date: April 23, 2014

By: /s/ Robert A. Virtue
Robert A. Virtue
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

71

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Robert A. Virtue and Robert E. Dose his/her true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution and, for him/her and in his/her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities to sign any and all amendments to this report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorney-in-fact and agent full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in connection therewith, as fully to all intents and purposes as he/she might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney-in-fact and agent, or his/her substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| SIGNATURE | TITLE | DATE |
|--|--|----------------|
| /s/ Robert A. Virtue Robert A. Virtue | Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, President and Director (Principal Executive Officer), Director | April 23, 2014 |
| /s/ Douglas A. Virtue Douglas A. Virtue | Executive Vice President, Director | April 23, 2014 |
| /s/ Robert E. Dose Robert E. Dose | Vice President, Finance, Secretary and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer) | April 23, 2014 |
| /s/ Bassey Yau Bassey Yau | Vice President, Accounting, Corporate Controller, Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer (Principal Accounting Officer) | April 23, 2014 |
| /s/ Donald S. Friesz Donald S. Friesz | Director | April 23, 2014 |
| /s/ Thomas J. Schulte Thomas J. Schulte | Director | April 23, 2014 |
| /s/ Mike DiGregorio Mike DiGregorio | Director | April 23, 2014 |
| /s/ Glen D. Parish Glen D. Parish | Director | April 23, 2014 |
| /s/ James R. Wilburn James R. Wilburn | Director | April 23, 2014 |
| William L. Beer | Director | |
| Robert K. Montgomery | Director | |

VIRCO MFG. CORPORATION
 EXHIBITS TO FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT
 for the Year Ended January 31, 2014

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of the Company dated April 23, 1984, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to the Company's Form 8-A12B (Commission File No. 001-08777), filed with the Commission on June 18, 2007). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company dated September 10, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (Commission File No. 001-08777), filed with the Commission on September 14, 2001). |
| 3.3 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company dated October 25, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (Commission File No. 001-08777), filed with the Commission on October 31, 2007). |
| 3.4 | Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company dated February 15, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (Commission File No. 001-08777), filed with the Commission on February 22, 2011). |
| 3.5 | Third Amendment to Virco Mfg. Corporation's Amended and Restated Bylaws, effective June 19, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 21, 2012). |
| 4.1 | Rights Agreement dated as of October 18, 1996, by and between the Company and Mellon Investor Services (as assignee of The Chase Manhattan Bank), as Rights Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to the Company's Form S-8 Registration Statement (Commission File No. 001-08777), filed with the Commission on October 25, 1996). |
| 4.2 | Amendment dated as of April 30, 2007, by and between the Company and Mellon Investor Services LLC to the Rights Agreement by and between the Company and The Chase Manhattan Bank dated as of October 18, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on June 8, 2007). |
| 10.1 | Form of Virco Mfg. Corporation Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the "ESOP") (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-8 Registration Statement (Commission File No. 33-65098), filed with the Commission on June 25, 1993). |
| 10.2 | Trust Agreement for the ESOP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form S-8 Registration Statement (Commission File No. 33-65098), filed with the Commission on June 25, 1993). |
| 10.3 | Form of Registration Rights Agreement for the ESOP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Form S-8 Registration Statement (Commission File No. 33-65098), filed with the Commission on June 25, 1993). |
| 10.4 | 1993 Stock Incentive Plan of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-8 Registration Statement (Commission File No. 33-65098), filed with the Commission on June 1993). |

- 10.5 Lease dated February 1, 2006, between FHL Group, a California Corporation, as landlord and Virco Mfg. Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, as tenant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 3, 2006).
- 10.6 Stock Purchase Agreement dated June 6, 2006, between the Company and Wedbush, Inc. and Wedbush Morgan Securities, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 8, 2006).
- 10.7 Warrant Agreement dated June 6, 2006, between the Company and Wedbush, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 8, 2006).
- 10.8 Warrant Agreement dated June 6, 2006, between the Company and Wedbush Morgan Securities, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 8, 2007).
- 10.9 Amended Stock Purchase Agreement dated August 29, 2006, between the Company and Steve Presley, Ed Gyenes, Nick Wilson, Scotty Bell, Patty Quinones, Eric Nordstrom, Larry Maddox, James Simms, Basseyy Yau, Robert Virtue, Doug Virtue and Evan Gruber (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on December 11, 2006).
- 10.10 Design Agreement dated January 21, 2008, between the Company and Peter Glass Design, LLC, and Hedgehog Design, LLC. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 and 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 25, 2008).

Edgar Filing: VIRCO MFG CORPORATION - Form 10-K

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 10.11 | Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of March 12, 2008, between Virco Mfg. Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on March 24, 2008). |
| 10.12 | Revolving Line of Credit Note, dated as of March 12, 2008, by Virco Mfg. Corporation in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on March 24, 2008). |
| 10.13 | Master Reaffirmation Agreement dated as of March 12, 2008, among Virco Mfg. Corporation, Virco Mgmt. Corporation, Virco Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on March 24, 2008). |
| 10.14 | Amended and Restated Mortgage, dated as of March 12, 2008, by Virco Mfg. Corporation in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on March 24, 2008). |
| 10.15 | Amendment No. 1 to the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2008, between Virco Mfg. Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q filed with the Commission on September 9, 2008). |
| 10.16 | Amendment No. 2 to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of March 27, 2009, by Virco Mfg. Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10K filed with the Commission on April 16, 2009). |
| 10.17 | Lease amendment dated August 14, 2008, between AMB Property, L.P., a Delaware Limited Partnership, as landlord and Virco Mfg. Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, as tenant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q filed with the Commission on September 9, 2008). |
| 10.18 | Third Amendment to Lease Agreement, entered into as of December 20, 2013, by and between Starboard Distribution Center, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, successor in interest to AMB Property, L.P., a Delaware limited Partnership and Virco Mfg. Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 20, 2013). |
| 10.19 | Amendment No. 3 to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of March 27, 2009, by Virco Mfg. Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on April 16, 2009). |
| 10.20 | Amendment No. 4 to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 28, 2011, by Virco Mfg. Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q filed with the Commission on June 7, 2011). |
| 10.21 | |

Edgar Filing: VIRCO MFG CORPORATION - Form 10-K

Amendment No. 5 to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 30, 2011, by Virco Mfg. Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q filed with the Commission on September 10, 2011).

10.22 Amendment No. 6 to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 29, 2011, by Virco Mfg. Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q filed with the Commission on December 9, 2011).

10.23 Amendment No. 7 to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2011, by Virco Mfg. Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10K filed with the Commission on April 15, 2011).

10.24 Amendment No. 8 to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2011, by Virco Mfg. Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q filed with the Commission on June 9, 2011).

10.25 Separation Agreement and General Release of Claims between Virco Mfg. Corporation and Larry O. Wonder, dated May 24, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q filed with the Commission on June 9, 2011).

10.26 Virco Mfg. Corporation 2011 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8K filed with the Commission on June 27, 2011).

- 10.27 Separation Agreement between Virco Mfg. Corporation and Lori Swafford, dated September 22, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q filed with the Commission on December 15, 2011).
- 10.28 Revolving Credit and Security Agreement dated as of December 22, 2011 by and among Virco Mfg. Corporation and Virco Inc., as borrowers, and PNC Bank, National Association, as the lender and administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8K filed with the Commission on December 22, 2011).
- 10.29 First Amendment to Revolving Credit and Securities Agreement, dated as of June 15, 2012, by and among Virco Mfg. Corporation and Virco, Inc., as borrowers, and PNC Bank, National Association, as the lender and administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on September 14, 2012).
- 10.30 Second Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of July 27, 2012, by and among Virco Mfg. Corporation and Virco, Inc., as borrowers, and PNC Bank, National Association, as the lender and administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on July 31, 2012).
- 10.31 Third Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of September 12, 2012, by and among Virco Mfg. Corporation and Virco, Inc., as borrowers, and PNC Bank, National Association, as the lender and administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on September 14, 2012).
- 10.32 Fourth Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of December 6, 2012, by and among Virco Mfg. Corporation and Virco, Inc., as borrowers, and PNC Bank, National Association, as the lender and administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on December 7, 2012).
- 10.33 Fifth Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2013, by and among Virco Mfg. Corporation and Virco, Inc., as borrowers, and PNC Bank, National Association, as the lender and administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on March 1, 2013).
- 10.34* Sixth Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of January 9, 2014, by and among Virco Mfg. Corporation and Virco, Inc., as borrowers, and PNC Bank, National Association, as the lender and administrative agent.
- 10.35 Seventh Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of April 15, 2014, by and among Virco Mfg. Corporation and Virco, Inc., as borrowers, and PNC Bank, National Association, as the lender and administrative agent. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 16, 2014).
- 21.1* List of All Subsidiaries of Virco Mfg. Corporation.
- 23.1* Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 31.1* Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2*

Edgar Filing: VIRCO MFG CORPORATION - Form 10-K

Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1* Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

101.INS* XBRL Instance Document.

101.SCH* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.

101.CAL* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.

101.DEF* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

101.LAB* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.

101.PRE* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

*Filed herewith.