**CLEAN HARBORS INC** 

Form 10-Q November 09, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

\_\_\_\_\_

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

Commission File Number 001-34223

CLEAN HARBORS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Massachusetts 04-2997780

(State of Incorporation) (IRS Employer Identification No.)

42 Longwater Drive, Norwell, MA 02061-9149 (Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(781) 792-5000

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable

Common Stock, \$.01 par value

53,061,946

(Class)

(Outstanding at November 3, 2011)

# CLEAN HARBORS, INC.

# QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
ITEM 1: Unaudited Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>1</u>
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	<u>3</u>
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	$\frac{-}{4}$
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	3 4 5
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>6</u>
ITEM 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>34</u>
ITEM 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>49</u>
ITEM 4: Controls and Procedures	<u>49</u>
PART II: OTHER INFORMATION	
Items No. 1 through 6	<u>51</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>54</u>

# CLEAN HARBORS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

# **ASSETS**

(in thousands)

	September 30, 2011 (unaudited)	December 31, 2010
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$257,159	\$302,210
Marketable securities	93	3,174
Accounts receivable, net of allowances aggregating \$14,285 and \$23,704, respectively	414,079	332,678
Unbilled accounts receivable	41,728	19,117
Deferred costs	5,831	6,891
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	37,278	28,939
Supplies inventories	53,614	44,546
Deferred tax assets	16,488	14,982
Total current assets	826,270	752,537
Property, plant and equipment:		
Land	36,664	31,654
Asset retirement costs (non-landfill)	2,353	2,242
Landfill assets	51,935	54,519
Buildings and improvements	184,242	147,285
Camp equipment	102,683	62,717
Vehicles	218,111	162,397
Equipment	696,638	537,937
Furniture and fixtures	3,635	2,293
Construction in progress	32,841	33,005
	1,329,102	1,034,049
Less—accumulated depreciation and amortization	448,383	378,655
Total property, plant and equipment, net	880,719	655,394
Other assets:		
Long-term investments	4,239	5,437
Deferred financing costs	14,325	7,768
Goodwill	134,696	60,252
Permits and other intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$68,531 and \$60,633, respectively	140,970	114,400
Other	9,166	6,687
Total other assets	303,396	194,544
Total assets	\$2,010,385	\$1,602,475

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

# CLEAN HARBORS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued)

# LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(in thousands)

	September 30, 2011 (unaudited)	December 3	1,
Current liabilities:			
Current portion of capital lease obligations	\$8,570	\$7,954	
Accounts payable	201,561	136,978	
Deferred revenue	28,627	30,745	
Accrued expenses	138,911	116,089	
Current portion of closure, post-closure and remedial liabilities	15,899	14,518	
Total current liabilities	393,568	306,284	
Other liabilities:			
Closure and post-closure liabilities, less current portion of \$5,086 and \$5,849, respectively	27,420	32,830	
Remedial liabilities, less current portion of \$10,813 and \$8,669, respectively	124,183	128,944	
Long-term obligations	524,590	264,007	
Capital lease obligations, less current portion	7,531	6,839	
Unrecognized tax benefits and other long-term liabilities	92,887	82,744	
Total other liabilities	776,611	515,364	
Stockholders' equity:			
Common stock, \$.01 par value:			
Authorized 80,000,000; shares issued and outstanding 53,038,399 and 52,772,392 shares, respectively	530	528	
Treasury stock	(4,274)	(2,467	)
Shares held under employee participation plan	(777 )	(777	)
Additional paid-in capital	499,086	488,384	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	12,222	50,759	
Accumulated earnings	333,419	244,400	
Total stockholders' equity	840,206	780,827	
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$2,010,385	\$1,602,475	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

# CLEAN HARBORS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(in thousands except per share amounts)

	Three Months l September 30,	Ended	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Revenues	\$556,053	\$487,651	\$1,438,250	\$1,314,186	
Cost of revenues (exclusive of items shown separately below)	386,518	335,273	1,006,849	919,970	
Selling, general and administrative expenses Accretion of environmental liabilities Depreciation and amortization Income from operations	65,704 2,435 34,604 66,792	53,619 2,495 22,892 73,372	178,752 7,231 87,000 158,418	149,832 7,799 67,671 168,914	
Other income (loss)	164	,	5,931	2,485	,
Loss on early extinguishment of debt Interest expense, net of interest income of \$153 and \$700 for the quarter and year-to-date	_	(2,294)	_	(2,294	)
ended 2011 and \$297 and \$564 for the quarter and year-to-date ended 2010, respectively	(10,927)	(7,198)	(28,047)	(21,772	)
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes	56,029	63,211	136,302	147,333	
Provision for income taxes Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations, net of tax Net income	18,896 37,133 — \$37,133	24,384 38,827 — \$38,827	47,283 89,019 — \$89,019	42,941 104,392 2,794 \$107,186	
Earnings per share:					
Basic Diluted	\$0.70 \$0.70	\$0.74 \$0.73	\$1.68 \$1.67	\$2.04 \$2.03	
Weighted average common shares outstanding Weighted average common shares outstanding	53,023 53,370	52,658 52,963	52,921 53,298	52,581 52,852	
plus potentially dilutive common shares	7	<i>y</i>	,	,	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

# CLEAN HARBORS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$89,019	\$107,186	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:	•	,	
Depreciation and amortization	87,000	67,671	
Allowance for doubtful accounts	623	163	
Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discount	1,230	2,221	
Accretion of environmental liabilities	7,231	7,799	
Changes in environmental liability estimates	(2,467	) (5,391	)
Deferred income taxes	(197	) 540	
Stock-based compensation	5,329	5,220	
Excess tax benefit of stock-based compensation	(1,949	) (1,221	)
Income tax benefit related to stock option exercises	1,949	1,215	,
Gains on sales of businesses	<del></del>	(2,678	)
Other income	(2,577	) (2,485	)
Write-off deferred financing costs and debt discount	_	1,394	,
Environmental expenditures	(8,551	) (8,704	)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions	(-)	, (-,	,
Accounts receivable	(32,670	) (63,714	)
Other current assets	(14,113	) (18,456	)
Accounts payable	30,241	47,828	,
Other current liabilities	(8,762	) 15,342	
Net cash from operating activities	151,336	153,930	
Cash flows from investing activities:	- 7	/	
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(113,644	) (74,741	)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(336,960	) (13,846	)
Additions to intangible assets, including costs to obtain or renew permits	(2,356	) (3,262	)
Purchase of available for sale securities		(1,486	)
Proceeds from sales of marketable securities	425	2,627	,
Proceeds from sales of fixed assets and assets held for sale	5,925	15,963	
Proceeds from insurance settlement		1,336	
Proceeds from sale of long-term investments	1,000	1,300	
Net cash used in investing activities	(445,610	) (72,109	)
Cash flows from financing activities:	( -,	, (, , , ,	,
Change in uncashed checks	(2,580	) (4,682	)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,089	550	,
Remittance of shares, net	(1,897	) (198	)
Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan	2,451	1,769	,
Deferred financing costs paid	(8,442	) (53	)
Payments on capital leases	(5,775	) (3,361	j
Distribution of cash earned on employee participation plan	(189	) (148	)
Excess tax benefit of stock-based compensation	1,949	1,221	,
Zheess an conem of stock oused compensation	1,2 12	1,221	

Principal payment on debt	_	(30,000	)
Issuance of senior secured notes, including premium	261,250		
Net cash from financing activities	247,856	(34,902	)
Effect of exchange rate change on cash	1,367	451	
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(45,051	) 47,370	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	302,210	233,546	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$257,159	\$280,916	
Supplemental information:			
Cash payments for interest and income taxes:			
Interest paid	\$30,169	\$26,230	
Income taxes paid	35,085	39,813	
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Property, plant and equipment accrued	\$20,288	\$4,775	
Assets acquired through capital lease	1,471	10,130	
Issuance of acquisition-related common stock, net		1,015	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financi	al statements.		

# CLEAN HARBORS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(in thousands)

	Common	n Stock		Shares He	eld		Α	ccumul	ate	d		
	Number of Shares	\$ 0.01 Par Value	Treasury Stock	Under Employee Participat Plan	Additional Paid-in Capital	Compreh	ensix	.1		Accumulat sive Earnings	Total ed Stockhol Equity	ders'
Balance at January 1, 2011	52,772	\$528	\$(2,467)	\$ (777 )	\$488,384		\$	50,759		\$ 244,400	\$ 780,82	7
Net income Change in fair value of	_	_	_	_	_	89,019	_	-		89,019	89,019	
available for sale securities, net of taxes		_	_	_	_	(805)	) (8	05	)	_	(805)	)
Foreign currency translation Total	_	_	_	_	_	(37,732	) (3	7,732	)	_	(37,732	)
comprehensive income				_	_	50,482	_	-		_	_	
Stock-based compensation Issuance of	184	_	_	_	5,305		_	-		_	5,305	
restricted shares, net of shares remitted	(40 )	_	(1,807)	_	(90 )		_	_		_	(1,897	)
Exercise of stock options Net tax benefit on	53	2	_	_	1,087		_	_		_	1,089	
exercise of stock options	_	_	_	_	1,949		_	-		_	1,949	
Employee stock purchase plan Balance at	69	_	_	_	2,451		_	-		_	2,451	
September 30, 2011	53,038	\$530	\$(4,274)	\$ (777 )	\$499,086		\$	12,222		\$ 333,419	\$ 840,200	6

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### CLEAN HARBORS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (1) BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated interim financial statements include the accounts of Clean Harbors, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, "Clean Harbors" or the "Company") and have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") and, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments which are of a normal recurring nature, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the periods presented. The results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire year or any other interim periods. The financial statements presented herein should be read in connection with the financial statements included in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 15, 2011.

On June 8, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a two-for-one stock split of the Company's common stock in the form of a stock dividend of one share for each outstanding share. The stock dividend was paid on July 26, 2011 to holders of record at the close of business on July 6, 2011. The stock split followed the approval, at the Company's 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, of a proposal to increase the Company's authorized shares of common stock from 40 million to 80 million. The stock split did not change the proportionate interest that a stockholder maintained in the Company. All share and per share information, including options, restricted and performance stock awards, stock option exercises, employee stock purchase plan purchases, common stock and additional paid-in capital accounts on the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of income and stockholders' equity, have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the two-for-one stock split. In addition, awards granted and weighted average fair value of awards granted in Note 12, "Stock-Based Compensation," have also been retroactively adjusted.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, the Company re-aligned its management reporting structure. Under the new structure, the Company's operations are managed in four segments: Technical Services, Field Services, Industrial Services and Oil and Gas Field Services. The new segment, Oil and Gas Field Services, consists of the previous Exploration Services segment, as well as certain departments that were re-assigned from the Industrial Services segment. In addition, certain departments from the Field Services segment were re-assigned to the Industrial Services segment. Accordingly, the Company re-aligned and re-allocated departmental costs being allocated among the segments to support these management reporting changes. The Company has recast the segment information to conform to the current year presentation. See Note 14, "Segment Reporting."

#### The four operating segments consist of:

Technical Services — provides a broad range of hazardous material management services including the packaging, collection, transportation, treatment and disposal of hazardous and non-hazardous waste at Company owned incineration, landfill, wastewater, and other treatment facilities.

Field Services — provides a wide variety of environmental cleanup services on customer sites or other locations on a scheduled or emergency response basis including tank cleaning, decontamination, remediation, and spill cleanup. Industrial Services — provides industrial and specialty services, such as high-pressure and chemical cleaning, catalyst handling, decoking, material processing, surface rentals and industrial lodging services to refineries, chemical plants, oil sands facilities, pulp and paper mills, and other industrial facilities.

Oil and Gas Field Services — provides fluid handling, fluid hauling, down hole servicing, surface rentals, exploration, mapping and directional boring services to the energy sector serving oil and gas exploration, production, and power generation.

Technical Services and Field Services are included as part of Clean Harbors Environmental Services, and Industrial Services and Oil and Gas Field Services are included as part of Clean Harbors Energy and Industrial Services.

## (2) SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company reflect the application of certain new or updated significant accounting policies as described below:

Property, Plant and Equipment (excluding landfill assets)

#### **Table of Contents**

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost and include amounts capitalized under capital lease obligations. Expenditures for major renewals and improvements which extend the life of the asset are capitalized. Items of an ordinary repair or maintenance nature are charged directly to operating expense as incurred. During the construction and development period of an asset, the costs incurred, including applicable interest costs, are classified as construction-in-progress. Interest in the amount of \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million was capitalized to fixed assets during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Depreciation expense was \$70.4 million and \$53.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

The Company depreciates the cost of these assets, using the straight-line method as follows:

Asset Classification	Estimated Useful Life
Buildings and building improvements	
Buildings	30—40 years
Land, leasehold and building improvements	5—40 years
Camp equipment	12—15 years
Vehicles	3—12 years
Equipment	
Capitalized software and computer equipment	3 years
Solar equipment	20 years
Containers and railcars	15—20 years
All other equipment	3—10 years
Furniture and fixtures	5—8 years

Land, leasehold and building improvements have a weighted average life of 8.3 years.

Camp equipment consists of industrial lodging facilities that are utilized in the Company's Industrial Services segment to provide lodging services to companies in the refinery and petrochemical industries.

Solar equipment consists of a solar array that is used to provide electric power for a continuously operating groundwater decontamination pump and treatment system at a closed and capped landfill located in New Jersey. The solar array was installed and became operable during the second quarter of 2011.

The Company recognizes an impairment in the carrying value of long-lived assets when the expected future undiscounted cash flows derived from the assets are less than their carrying value. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, the Company has not recorded impairment charges related to long-lived assets.

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

From time to time, new accounting pronouncements are issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") and are adopted by the Company as of the specified effective dates. Unless otherwise discussed below, management believes that the impact of recently issued accounting pronouncements will not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows, or do not apply to the Company's operations.

In 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605)—Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements, or ASU 2009-13 which provides additional guidance on the recognition of revenue from multiple element arrangements. ASU 2009-13 states that if vendor specific objective evidence or third party evidence for deliverables in an arrangement cannot be determined, companies are required to develop a best estimate of the selling price for separate deliverables and allocate arrangement consideration using the

relative selling price method. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2010 and may be applied prospectively to new or materially modified arrangements after the effective date or retrospectively. The Company adopted ASU 2009-13 prospectively as of January 1, 2011 and although the adoption did not materially impact its financial condition, results of operations, or cash flow, this guidance may impact the Company's determination of the separation of deliverables for future arrangements.

#### **Table of Contents**

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-5 Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) — Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The new guidance revises the manner in which entities present comprehensive income in their financial statements. ASU 2011-5 requires entities to report components of comprehensive income in either (1) a continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) two separate but consecutive statements. The ASU does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income. This guidance will require a change in the presentation of the financial statements and will require retrospective application. The Company will adopt this guidance as of January 2012 and has not determined which approach it will adopt.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08 which amends the guidance on testing goodwill for impairment. Under the revised guidance, entities testing goodwill for impairment have the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of the reporting unit (i.e., step 1 of the goodwill impairment test). If entities determine, on the basis of qualitative factors, that the fair value of the reporting unit is more likely than not less than the carrying amount, the two-step impairment test would be required. The ASU does not change how goodwill is calculated or assigned to reporting units, nor does it revise the requirement to test goodwill annually for impairment. In addition, the ASU does not amend the requirement to test goodwill for impairment between annual tests if events or circumstances warrant; however, it does revise the examples of events and circumstances that an entity should consider. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The Company will adopt this standard on January 1, 2012 and management does not expect this pronouncement will have a material impact on its financial statements.

#### (3) BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

#### Acquisitions during the third quarter

During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the Company acquired (i) certain assets of a Canadian public company which is engaged in the business of providing geospatial, line clearing and drilling services in Canada and the United States; (ii) all of the outstanding stock of a privately owned U.S. company which specializes in treating refinery waste streams primarily in the United States; and (iii) all of the outstanding stock of a privately owned Canadian company which manufactures modular buildings. The combined purchase price for the three acquisitions was approximately \$144.2 million, including the assumption and payment of debt of \$25.2 million, and preliminary post-closing adjustments of \$6.5 million based upon the assumed target amounts of working capital.

The Company's current period acquisitions discussed above will (i) enhance the Company's service offerings to its customers and its reputation as a leading provider of comprehensive field services for the oil and gas sectors; (ii) provide a complement to Clean Harbors' catalyst handling, industrial and specialty industrial services for the refinery and petrochemical industry; and (iii) help expand its growing lodging business, respectively. These current period acquisitions have been integrated with the Oil and Gas Field Services, Technical Services and Industrial Services segments of the Company's operations and reporting structure.

The following table summarizes the preliminary aggregate purchase price for the current period acquisitions at their acquisition dates (in thousands of U.S. dollars).

Cash consideration	\$112,450
Debt assumed and paid-off on acquisition date	25,183
Estimated net amount due to the sellers for working capital adjustments	6,524
Total estimated purchase price	\$144,157

The following table summarizes the recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed (in thousands). The fair value of all the acquired identifiable assets and liabilities summarized below is provisional pending finalization of the Company's acquisition accounting.

#### **Table of Contents**

	At the Acquisition	
	Dates (As reported at	
	September 30, 2011)	
Current assets (i)	\$40,028	
Property, plant and equipment	60,109	
Customer relationships and other intangibles	23,371	
Other assets	196	
Current liabilities	(19,522	)
Asset retirement obligations and remedial liabilities	(193	)
Other liabilities	(4,469	)
Total identifiable net assets	99,520	
Goodwill (ii)	44,637	
Total	\$144,157	

<sup>(</sup>i) The preliminary fair value of the financial assets acquired includes customer receivables with a preliminary aggregate fair value of \$21.4 million. Combined gross amounts due were \$22.1 million.

Goodwill represents the excess of the fair value of the net assets acquired over the purchase price. Goodwill of \$16.3 million, \$12.8 million and \$15.5 million has been assigned to the Oil and Gas Field Services segment, the Technical Services segment, and the Industrial Services segment, respectively. Certain amounts will not be deductible for tax purposes.

Management has determined the preliminary purchase price allocations based on estimates of the fair values of all tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Such amounts are subject to adjustment based on the additional information necessary to determine fair values.

Acquisition related costs of \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million were included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

The results of operations of the acquired businesses have been included in the Company's consolidated financial statements since the respective acquisition dates. Revenues attributable to the current period acquisitions included in the Company's consolidated statements of income for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were approximately \$13.1 million. The Company has determined that the separate disclosure of earnings for those current period acquisitions is impracticable for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 due to the integration of those businesses' operations into the Company upon acquisition.

The following unaudited pro forma combined summary data presents information as if the current period acquisitions had been acquired at the beginning of 2010 and 2011 and assumes that there were no material, non-recurring pro forma adjustment directly attributable to the acquisitions. The pro forma information does not necessarily reflect the actual results that would have occurred had the Company and those three acquisitions been combined during the periods presented, nor is it necessarily indicative of the future results of operations of the combined companies (in thousands).

	Three Months End	led September 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011 2010		2011	2010	
Pro forma combined revenues	\$575,010	\$513,253	\$1,545,216	\$1,392,234	
Pro forma combined net income	\$35,822	\$36,496	\$93,372	\$102,460	

## Peak

On June 10, 2011, the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding common shares of Peak Energy Services Ltd. ("Peak") (other than the 3.15% of Peak's outstanding common shares which the Company already owned) in exchange for approximately CDN \$158.7 million in cash (CDN \$0.95 for each Peak share) and the assumption and payment of Peak net debt of approximately

#### **Table of Contents**

CDN \$37.5 million. The total acquisition price, which includes the previous investment in Peak shares referred to above, was approximately CDN \$200.2 million, or U.S. \$205.1 million based on an exchange rate of 0.976057 CDN \$ to one U.S. \$ on June 10, 2011.

Peak is a diversified energy services corporation headquartered in Calgary, Alberta, operating in western Canada and the U.S. Through its various operating divisions, Peak provides drilling and production equipment and services to its customers in the conventional and unconventional oil and natural gas industries as well as the oil sands region of western Canada. Peak also provides water technology solutions to a variety of customers throughout North America. Peak employs approximately 900 people. Peak shares previously traded on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbol "PES." The Company anticipates that this acquisition will expand its presence in the energy services marketplace, particularly in the area of oil and natural gas drilling and production support. The Peak business has been integrated within the Oil and Gas Field Services and Industrial Services segments of the Company's operations and reporting structure.

The following table summarizes the preliminary purchase price for Peak at the acquisition date (in thousands of U.S. dollars).

Cash paid for Peak common shares	\$162,585
Fair value of previously owned common shares (1)	4,117
Peak net debt assumed (2)	38,431
Total estimated purchase price	\$205,133

The Company previously owned a 3.15% interest in Peak which was recorded in marketable securities. On June 10, 2011, the Company acquired the remaining outstanding shares of Peak and as a result, the Company remeasured the fair value of its previously held common shares and recognized the resulting gain of \$1.9 million in other income. The unrealized gain on the Peak investment was previously recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. For this purpose, the fair value of the Company's previous investment in Peak was deemed to be \$4.1 million, calculated based on the closing price of Peak's shares on the Toronto Stock Exchange on the date before the acquisition was publicly announced.

The outstanding Peak debt, net of \$15.7 million of cash assumed, which consisted of three term loan facilities, was paid off on June 10, 2011.

Acquisition related costs of \$0.1 million and \$0.7 million were included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively.

The following table summarizes the recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed (in thousands). The fair value of all the acquired identifiable assets and liabilities summarized below is provisional pending finalization of the Company's acquisition accounting. Measurement period adjustments reflect new information obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date. The Company believes that such information provides a reasonable basis for estimating the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed but the Company is waiting for additional information necessary to finalize fair value. The Company expects to finalize the valuation and complete the purchase price allocation as soon as practicable but no later than one year from the acquisition date. Final determination of the fair value may result in further adjustments to the values presented below.

	June 10, 2011	Measurement	June 10,
	(As reported at	Period	2011
	June 30, 2011)	Adjustments	(As adjusted)
Current assets (i)	\$45,797	\$(811	) \$44,986
Property, plant and equipment	149,344	921	150,265
Identifiable intangible assets	14,107	(921	) 13,186
Other assets	8,800	(7,691	) 1,109
Current liabilities	(27,717	) (643	) (28,360
Asset retirement obligations		(103	) (103
Other liabilities	(8,344	218	(8,126)
Total identifiable net assets	\$181,987	\$(9,030	) \$172,957
Goodwill (ii)	23,146	9,030	32,176
Total	\$205,133	<b>\$</b> —	\$205,133

The preliminary fair value of the financial assets acquired includes customer receivables with a preliminary fair value of \$33.3 million. The gross amount due was \$34.7 million.

Goodwill, which is attributable to expected operating and cross-selling synergies, will not be deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill of \$13.0 million and \$19.2 million has been recorded in the Oil and Gas Field Services and Industrial Services segments, respectively; however, the amount and the allocation are subject to change pending the finalization of the Company's valuation.

The Company has determined that the separate disclosure of Peak's earnings is impracticable for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 due to the integration of Peak operations into the Company upon acquisition. Revenues attributable to Peak included in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$58.2 million and \$67.8 million, respectively.

The following unaudited pro forma combined summary data presents information as if Peak had been acquired at the beginning of 2010 and 2011 and assumes that there was no material, non-recurring pro forma adjustment directly attributable to the acquisition. The pro forma information does not necessarily reflect the actual results that would have occurred had the Company and Peak been combined during the periods presented, nor is it necessarily indicative of the future results of operations of the combined companies (in thousands).

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 3		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Pro forma combined revenues	\$560,130	\$527,425	\$1,537,520	\$1,422,979	
Pro forma combined net income	\$37,558	\$41,378	\$91,295	\$103,795	

#### Status of Proposed Acquisition of Badger

The Company entered on January 25, 2011 into a definitive agreement to acquire Badger Daylighting Ltd. ("Badger"), an Alberta corporation headquartered in Calgary, Alberta. Under the terms of the acquisition agreement, a condition to the respective obligations of each of the Company and Badger to complete the transaction was approval of the transaction by a required affirmative vote of at least 66 2/3 % of Badger's shareholders and option holders voting on the matter. At a meeting held on April 26, 2011, the Badger shareholders and option holders failed to approve the transaction by the required vote. In accordance with the terms of the acquisition agreement, the Company terminated the agreement on April 26, 2011. The acquisition agreement provided that if the Company terminated the agreement because of a failure by the Badger shareholders and option holders to approve the transaction by the required vote,

Badger was obligated to reimburse the Company's out of pocket expenses incurred in connection with the proposed transaction including the financing thereof, up to a maximum of CDN \$1.5 million. Based on a demand letter sent to Badger in May 2011, the Company received U.S. \$1.1 million from Badger in June for reimbursement in accordance with this provision of the agreement.

#### (4) FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, receivables, trade payables, auction rate securities and long-term debt. The estimated fair value of cash equivalents, receivables, and trade payables approximate their carrying value due to the short maturity of these instruments. As of September 30, 2011, the Company held certain marketable securities and auction rate securities that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair value of marketable securities is recorded based on quoted market prices. The auction rate securities are classified as available for sale and the fair value of these securities as of September 30, 2011 was estimated utilizing a discounted cash flow analysis. The discounted cash flow analysis considered, among other items, the collateralization underlying the security investments, the creditworthiness of the counterparty, the timing of expected future cash flows, and the expectation of the next time these securities are expected to have a successful auction. The auction rate securities were also compared, when possible, to other observable market data with similar characteristics to the securities held by the Company.

As of September 30, 2011, all of the Company's auction rate securities continue to have AAA underlying ratings. The underlying assets of the Company's auction rate securities are student loans, which are substantially insured by the Federal Family Education Loan Program. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, the Company liquidated \$1.0 million in auction rate securities at par. The Company attributes the \$0.5 million decline in the fair value of the securities from the original cost basis to external liquidity issues rather than credit issues. The Company assessed the decline in value to be temporary because it does not intend to sell and it is more likely than not that the Company will not have to sell the securities before their maturity.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded an unrealized pre-tax loss of \$0.2 million and a pre-tax gain of \$0.2 million, respectively, on its auction rate securities, which was included in accumulated other comprehensive income. As of September 30, 2011, the Company continued to earn interest on its auction rate securities according to their stated terms with interest rates resetting generally every 28 days.

The Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) \$—	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) \$—	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) \$4,239	Balance at September 30, 2011 \$4,239
\$93	<b>\$</b> —	<b>\$</b> —	\$93
Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Balance at December 31, 2010
\$— \$3,174	\$— \$—	\$5,437 \$—	\$5,437 \$3,174
	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) \$— \$93  Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) \$—	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) \$— \$93  Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)  \$= \$ Significant Other Observable Inputs Observable Inputs (Level 2)  Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)  Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)  \$= \$ Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)  \$= \$ Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)  \$= \$ Significant Other Observable Inputs (Significant Other Observabl	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) \$

The decrease in marketable securities since December 31, 2010 was primarily due to the Peak acquisition on June 10, 2011. The Company previously owned a 3.15% interest in Peak which was recorded in marketable securities.

## **Table of Contents**

The following tables present the changes in the Company's auction rate securities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

Three Months Ended						
	September 3	September 30,				
	2011	2010				
Balance at July 1,	\$5,311	\$5,315				
Sale of auction rate securities	(1,000	) —				
Unrealized (losses) gains included in other comprehensive income	(72	) 115				
Balance at September 30,	\$4,239	\$5,430				
	Nine Months	s Ended				
	Nine Months September 3					
Balance at January 1,	September 3	0,				
Balance at January 1, Sale of auction rate securities	September 3 2011	0, 2010	)			
•	September 3 2011 \$5,437	2010 \$6,503	)			

## (5) GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The changes to goodwill for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

	2011
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$60,252
Acquired from acquisitions	80,394
Foreign currency translation	(5,950)
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$134,696

The increase in goodwill during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily attributed to the recent acquisitions. The goodwill related to these acquisitions includes estimates that are subject to change based upon final fair value determinations. Below is a summary of amortizable other intangible assets (in thousands):

	September	ptember 30, 2011				December 31, 2010				
	Cost	Accumulat Amortizati	ed Net on	Weighted Average Amortization Period (in years)	Cost	Accumulat Amortizati	ed Net on	Weighted Average Amortization Period (in years)		
Permits	\$106,504	\$ 44,569	\$61,935	18.0	\$103,493	\$ 42,430	\$61,063	15.9		
Customer relationships	82,206	15,234	66,972	8.2	58,322	10,418	47,904	8.0		
Other intangible assets	20,791	8,728	12,063	4.7	13,218	7,785	5,433	3.5		
	\$209,501	\$ 68,531	\$140,970	14.1	\$175,033	\$ 60,633	\$114,400	9.4		

The aggregate amortization expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$3.2 million and \$8.7

#### **Table of Contents**

million, respectively. The aggregate amortization expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$2.8 million and \$8.0 million respectively.

The increase in customer relationships and other intangible assets was primarily attributed to the recent acquisitions. Amounts are provisional and subject to change upon completion of final valuations. The total amounts assigned and the weighted average amortization period by major intangible asset classes as it relates to these acquisitions as of September 30, 2011, are as follows:

	Peak Total Amount Assigned	Peak Weighted Average Amortization Period (in years)	Other Acquisitions Total Amount Assigned	Other Acquisitions Weighted Average Amortization Period (in years)
Customer relationships	\$9,246	6.7	\$16,556	15.0
Other intangibles	3,069	5.9	2,953	14.1
	\$12,315	6.5	\$19,509	14.9

Below is the expected future amortization for the net carrying amount of finite lived intangible assets at September 30, 2011 (in thousands):

Years Ending December 31,	Expected
rears Ending December 51,	Amortization
2011 (three months)	\$4,028
2012	13,466
2013	12,927
2014	12,302
2015	11,678
Thereafter	86,569
	\$140,970

#### (6) ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses consisted of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Insurance	\$21,886	\$19,736
Interest	5,222	7,826
Accrued disposal costs	2,238	2,173
Accrued compensation and benefits	47,277	44,545
Income, real estate, sales and other taxes	24,637	19,529
Other	37,651	22,280
	\$138,911	\$116,089

## (7) CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE LIABILITIES

The changes to closure and post-closure liabilities (also referred to as "asset retirement obligations") for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

	Landfill		Non-Landfill			
	Retirement		Retirement		Total	
	Liability		Liability			
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$29,756		\$8,923		\$38,679	
Liabilities assumed in acquisitions	_		196		196	
New asset retirement obligations	1,749		_		1,749	
Accretion	1,654		842		2,496	
Changes in estimates recorded to statement of income	(936	)	(15	)	(951	)
Other changes in estimates recorded to balance sheet	(6,150	)	121		(6,029	)
Settlement of obligations	(3,011	)	(441	)	(3,452	)
Currency translation and other	(141	)	(41	)	(182	)
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$22,921		\$9,585		\$32,506	

All of the landfill facilities included in the above were active as of September 30, 2011.

New asset retirement obligations incurred in 2011 are being discounted at the credit-adjusted risk-free rate of 8.79% and inflated at a rate of 1.01%.

## (8) REMEDIAL LIABILITIES

The changes to remedial liabilities for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

	Remedial Liabilities for Landfill Sites		Remedial Liabilities for Inactive Sites		Remedial Liabilities (Including Superfund) for Non-Landfill Operations		Total		
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$5,511		\$82,354		\$49,748		\$137,613		
Liabilities assumed in acquisitions	_		_		100		100		
Accretion	203		2,849		1,683		4,735		
Changes in estimates recorded to statement of income	(8	)	(933	)	(575	)	(1,516	)	
Settlement of obligations	(49	)	(2,741	)	(2,309	)	(5,099	)	
Currency translation and other	(134	)	(7	)	(696	)	(837	)	
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$5,523		\$81,522		\$47,951		\$134,996		

#### (9) FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS

The following table is a summary of the Company's financing arrangements (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	
Senior secured notes, at 7.625%, due August 15, 2016	\$520,000	\$270,000	
Revolving credit facility, due May 31, 2016	_	_	
Unamortized notes premium and discount, net	4,590	(5,993	)
Long-term obligations	\$524,590	\$264,007	

On May 31, 2011, the Company increased its previous \$120.0 million revolving credit facility to a \$250.0 million revolving credit facility (described below).

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had outstanding \$270.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7.625% senior secured notes due 2016. On March 24, 2011, the Company issued an additional \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of such notes (the "new notes"). Under the purchase agreement, the new notes were priced for purposes of resale at 104.5% of the aggregate principal amount, representing an effective yield to maturity of 6.132%. In addition to such 104.5% purchase price, the purchase price paid to the Company for the new notes also included interest accrued on the new notes from and including February 15, 2011. The net proceeds from the issuance and sale of the new notes, after deducting the initial purchasers' discount and estimated other transaction expenses, were approximately \$255.7 million.

The new notes and the \$270.0 million of notes issued on the initial issue date are treated as a single class for all purposes including, without limitation, waivers, amendments, redemptions and other offers to purchase. The new notes and the notes issued on the initial issue date are referred to in this report collectively as the "notes" or the "senior secured notes."

The principal terms of the notes are as follows:

Senior Secured Notes. The notes will mature on August 15, 2016. The notes bear interest at a rate of 7.625% per annum. Interest is payable semi-annually on February 15 and August 15 of each year. The notes were issued pursuant to an indenture dated as of August 14, 2009 (as supplemented from time to time, the "indenture") among the Company, as issuer, the Company's domestic subsidiaries, as guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee and notes collateral agent.

The fair value of the Company's currently outstanding notes is based on quoted market prices and was \$540.8 million at September 30, 2011 and \$278.3 million at December 31, 2010.

The Company may redeem some or all of the notes at any time on or after August 15, 2012 at the following redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) if redeemed during the twelve-month period commencing on August 15 of the year set forth below, plus, in each case, accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of redemption:

Year	Percentage
2012	103.813 %
2013	101.906 %

2014 and thereafter 100.000 %

At any time on or after September 29, 2011 but prior to August 15, 2012, the Company may also redeem up to \$30.0 million (10% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes originally issued under the indenture) of the notes at a redemption price of 103% of the principal amount, plus any accrued and unpaid interest. Prior to August 15, 2012, the Company may also redeem up to \$105.0 million (35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes originally issued under the indenture) of the notes at a redemption price of 107.625% of the principal amount, plus any accrued and unpaid interest, using proceeds from certain equity offerings, and may also redeem some or all of the senior secured notes at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount plus a make-whole premium and any accrued and unpaid interest. Holders may require the Company to repurchase the notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount, plus any accrued and unpaid interest, upon a change of control of the Company.

#### **Table of Contents**

The notes are guaranteed by substantially all the Company's current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries. The notes are the Company's and the guarantors' senior secured obligations ranking equally, subject to the lien priorities summarized below, with all of the Company's and the guarantors' existing and future senior obligations (including obligations under the Company's credit agreement) and senior to any future indebtedness that is expressly subordinated to the senior secured notes and the guarantees. The notes and the guarantees are secured by a first lien on substantially all of the assets of the Company and its domestic restricted subsidiaries (the "Notes Collateral"), except for accounts receivable, related general intangibles and instruments and proceeds related thereto (the "ABL Collateral") and certain other excluded collateral as provided in the indenture and subject to certain exceptions and permitted liens. The notes and the guarantees are also secured by a second lien on the ABL Collateral that, along with a second lien on the Notes Collateral, secure the Company's obligations under its "ABL facility" under its revolving credit agreement. The notes are not guaranteed by, or secured by the assets of, the Company's Canadian or other foreign subsidiaries.

If the Company or its domestic subsidiaries sell assets under specified circumstances, the Company must offer to repurchase the senior secured notes from certain of the net proceeds of such sale at a purchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus any accrued and unpaid interest, to the applicable repurchase date.

Revolving Credit Facility. On May 31, 2011, the Company entered into an amendment and restatement of the previously existing revolving credit facility with Bank of America, N.A. ("BofA"), as agent for the lenders under the facility. The principal changes to the terms of the facility were to:

- (i) increase the maximum amount of borrowings and letters of credit which the Company may obtain under the facility from \$120.0 million to \$150.0 million (with a \$140.0 million sub-limit for letters of credit);
- (ii) add one of the Company's Canadian subsidiaries (the "Canadian Borrower") as a party to the facility and allow the Canadian Borrower to obtain up to \$100.0 million of borrowings and letters of credit (with a \$75.0 million sub-limit for letters of credit), with the obligations of the Canadian Borrower under the facility secured by a first lien on the accounts receivable of the Canadian Borrower and the Company's other Canadian subsidiaries and the Company and its U.S. subsidiaries guaranteeing the obligations of the Canadian Borrower, but the Canadian Borrower and the Company's other Canadian subsidiaries having no guarantee or other responsibility for the obligations of the Company or its U.S. subsidiaries under the facility;
- (iii) reduce the interest rate on borrowings under the facility, in the case of LIBOR loans, from LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging (based primarily on the level of the Company's fixed charge coverage ratio for the most recently completed four fiscal quarter measurement period) from 2.25% to 2.75% per annum to LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.75% to 2.25% per annum and, in the case of base rate loans, from BofA's base rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.25% to 1.75% per annum to BofA's base rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 0.75% to 1.25% per annum; and
- (iv) extend the term of the facility so that it will expire on the first to occur of (a) May 31, 2016 or (b) 60 days prior to the maturity of the Company's outstanding senior secured notes on August 15, 2016 if the notes have not by then been refinanced, defeased or reserved against the borrowing base on terms reasonably acceptable to the agent under the amended and restated credit agreement.

The financing arrangements and principal terms of the revolving credit facility are discussed further in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 3, 2011. There have been no material changes in such terms during the first nine months of 2011.

#### (10) INCOME TAXES

The Company's effective tax rate (including taxes on income from discontinued operations) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was 33.7 percent and 34.7 percent, respectively, compared to 38.6 percent and 29.1 percent, respectively, for the same periods in 2010. The decrease in the effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily attributable to the valuation allowance release that was recorded during the current quarter. The increase in the effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily attributable to the decrease in unrecognized tax benefits recorded in the third quarter of 2010. Excluding this discrete item, the rate decreased by 3.6% as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to the increased profits attributable to Canada, which has a lower corporate income tax rate as compared to the United States, the recording of an energy investment tax credit for a solar energy project placed in service, and the partial release of a valuation allowance that is associated with the Company's foreign tax credits.

As of September 30, 2011, the Company's unrecognized tax benefits and related reserves were \$68.2 million, which included \$22.0 million of interest and \$6.6 million of penalties. As of December 31, 2010, the Company's unrecognized tax

#### **Table of Contents**

benefits and related reserves were \$65.9 million, which included \$19.7 million of interest and \$6.5 million of penalties.

Due to expiring statute of limitation periods, the Company anticipates that total unrecognized tax benefits and related reserves, other than adjustments for additional accruals for interest and penalties and foreign currency translation, will decrease by approximately \$5.9 million within the next twelve months. The \$5.9 million (which includes interest and penalties of \$2.5 million) is primarily related to a historical Canadian business combination and, if realized, will be recorded in earnings and therefore will impact the effective income tax rate.

#### (11) EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following is a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share computations (in thousands except for per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$37,133	\$38,827	\$89,019	\$104,392
Income from discontinued operations	_	_	_	2,794
Net income	\$37,133	\$38,827	\$89,019	\$107,186
Denominator:				
Basic shares outstanding	53,023	52,658	52,921	52,581
Dilutive effect of equity-based compensation awards	347	305	377	271
Dilutive shares outstanding	53,370	52,963	53,298	52,852
Basic earnings per share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$0.70	\$0.74	\$1.68	\$1.99
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	_	_	_	0.05
Net income	\$0.70	\$0.74	\$1.68	\$2.04
Diluted earnings per share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$0.70	\$0.73	\$1.67	\$1.98
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	_	_	_	0.05
Net income	\$0.70	\$0.73	\$1.67	\$2.03

All shares and per share amounts included in the above table have been adjusted for the two-for-one stock split discussed in Note 1, "Basis of Presentation." For the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011, the dilutive effect of all then outstanding options, restricted stock and performance awards is included in the above calculations except as follows. For the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011, the above calculation excluded the dilutive effects of 73 thousand outstanding performance stock awards for which the performance criteria were not attained at that time. For the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010, the above calculation excluded the dilutive effects of 170 thousand outstanding performance stock awards for which the performance criteria were not attained at that time and 36 thousand options that were not then in-the-money.

#### (12) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes the total number and type of awards granted during the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011, as well as the related weighted-average grant-date fair values:

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2011		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	
	Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value	
Restricted stock awards	26,091	\$54.13	180,305	\$48.45	
Performance stock awards	3,551	\$50.87	73,499	\$50.87	
Total awards	29,642		253,804		

All shares and weighted average grant date fair values included in the above table have been adjusted for the two-for-one stock split discussed in Note 1, "Basis of Presentation." Certain performance stock awards granted in June 2011 are subject to both achieving predetermined revenue and EBITDA targets for a specified period of time and service conditions. As of September 30, 2011, based on year-to-date results of operations, management determined that it was probable that the performance targets for the 2011 performance awards will be achieved by December 31, 2011. As a result, the Company recognized cumulative expense through sales, general and administration expenses during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 related to the 2011 performance stock awards.

#### (13) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### Legal and Administrative Proceedings

The Company's waste management services are regulated by federal, state, provincial and local laws enacted to regulate discharge of materials into the environment, remediation of contaminated soil and groundwater or otherwise protect the environment. This ongoing regulation results in the Company frequently becoming a party to legal or administrative proceedings involving all levels of governmental authorities and other interested parties. The issues involved in such proceedings generally relate to applications for permits and licenses by the Company and conformity with legal requirements, alleged violations of existing permits and licenses, or alleged responsibility arising under federal or state Superfund laws to remediate contamination at properties owned either by the Company or by other parties ("third party sites") to which either the Company or prior owners of certain of the Company's facilities shipped wastes.

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had recorded reserves of \$29.2 million and \$29.7 million, respectively, in the Company's financial statements for actual or probable liabilities related to the legal and administrative proceedings in which the Company was then involved, the principal of which are described below. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company also believed that it was reasonably possible that the amount of these potential liabilities could be as much as \$2.6 million and \$2.8 million more, respectively. The Company periodically adjusts the aggregate amount of these reserves when these actual or probable liabilities are paid or otherwise discharged, new claims arise, or additional relevant information about existing or probable claims becomes available. As of September 30, 2011, the \$29.2 million of reserves consisted of (i) \$26.6 million related to pending legal or administrative proceedings, including Superfund liabilities, which were included in remedial liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets and (ii) \$2.6 million primarily related to federal and state enforcement actions, which were included in accrued expenses on the consolidated balance sheets.

As of September 30, 2011, the principal legal and administrative proceedings in which the Company was involved, or which had been terminated during 2011, were as follows:

Ville Mercier. In September 2002, the Company acquired the stock of a subsidiary (the "Mercier Subsidiary") which owns a hazardous waste incinerator in Ville Mercier, Quebec (the "Mercier Facility"). The property adjacent to the Mercier Facility, which is also owned by the Mercier Subsidiary, is now contaminated as a result of actions dating back to 1968, when the Government of Quebec issued to a company unrelated to the Mercier Subsidiary two permits to dump organic liquids into lagoons on the property. By 1972, groundwater contamination had been identified, and the Quebec government provided an alternate water supply to the municipality of Ville Mercier.

#### **Table of Contents**

In 1999, Ville Mercier and three neighboring municipalities filed separate legal proceedings against the Mercier Subsidiary and the Government of Quebec. The lawsuits assert that the defendants are jointly and severally responsible for the contamination of groundwater in the region, which they claim caused each municipality to incur additional costs to supply drinking water for their citizens since the 1970's and early 1980's. The four municipalities claim a Canadian dollar ("CDN") total of \$1.6 million as damages for additional costs to obtain drinking water supplies and seek an injunctive order to obligate the defendants to remediate the groundwater in the region. The Quebec Government also sued the Mercier Subsidiary to recover approximately \$17.4 million (CDN) of alleged past costs for constructing and operating a treatment system and providing alternative drinking water supplies.

On September 26, 2007, the Quebec Minister of Sustainable Development, Environment and Parks issued a Notice pursuant to Section 115.1 of the Environment Quality Act, superseding Notices issued in 1992, which are the subject of the pending litigation. The more recent Notice notifies the Mercier Subsidiary that, if the Mercier Subsidiary does not take certain remedial measures at the site, the Minister intends to undertake those measures at the site and claim direct and indirect costs related to such measures. The Mercier Subsidiary continues to assert that it has no responsibility for the groundwater contamination in the region and will contest any action by the Ministry to impose costs for remedial measures on the Mercier Subsidiary. The Company also continues to pursue settlement options. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had accrued \$13.4 million and \$13.5 million, respectively, for remedial liabilities relating to the Ville Mercier legal proceedings.

CH El Dorado. In August 2006, the Company purchased all of the outstanding membership interests in Teris LLC ("Teris") and changed the name of Teris to Clean Harbors El Dorado, LLC ("CH El Dorado"). At the time of the acquisition, Teris was, and CH El Dorado now is, involved in certain legal proceedings arising from a fire on January 2, 2005, at the incineration facility owned and operated by Teris in El Dorado, Arkansas.

CH El Dorado is defending vigorously the claims asserted against Teris in those proceedings, and the Company believes that the resolution of those proceedings related to the fire will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows. In addition to CH El Dorado's defenses to the lawsuits, the Company will be entitled to rely upon an indemnification from the seller of the membership interests in Teris which is contained in the purchase agreement for those interests. Under that agreement, the seller agreed to indemnify (without any deductible amount) the Company against any damages which the Company might suffer as a result of the lawsuits to the extent that such damages are not fully covered by insurance or the reserves which Teris had established on its books prior to the acquisition. The seller's parent also guaranteed the indemnification obligation of the seller to the Company.

Deer Trail, Colorado Facility. Since April 5, 2006, the Company has been involved in various legal proceedings which have arisen as a result of the issuance by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment ("CDPHE") of a radioactive materials license ("RAD License") to a Company subsidiary, Clean Harbors Deer Trail, LLC ("CHDT") to accept certain low level radioactive materials known as "NORM/TENORM" wastes for disposal. Adams County, the county where the CHDT facility is located, filed two suits against the CDPHE in Colorado effectively seeking to invalidate the license. The two suits filed in 2006 were both dismissed and those dismissals were upheld by the Colorado Court of Appeals. Adams County appealed those rulings to the Colorado Supreme Court which ruled on October 13, 2009 on the procedural issue that the County did have standing to challenge the license in district court and remanded the case back to that court for further proceedings. Adams County filed a third suit directly against CHDT in 2007 again attempting to invalidate the license. That suit was dismissed on November 14, 2008, and Adams County has now appealed that dismissal to the Colorado Court of Appeals. The Company continues to believe that the grounds asserted by the County are factually and legally baseless and has contested the appeal vigorously. The Company has not recorded any liability for this matter on the basis that such liability is currently neither probable nor estimable.

## **Superfund Proceedings**

The Company has been notified that either the Company or the prior owners of certain of the Company's facilities for which the Company may have certain indemnification obligations have been identified as potentially responsible parties ("PRPs") or potential PRPs in connection with 63 sites which are subject to or are proposed to become subject to proceedings under federal or state Superfund laws. Of the 63 sites, two involve facilities that are now owned by the Company and 61 involve third party sites to which either the Company or the prior owners shipped wastes. In connection with each site, the Company has estimated the extent, if any, to which it may be subject, either directly or as a result of any such indemnification provisions, for cleanup and remediation costs, related legal and consulting costs associated with PRP investigations, settlements, and related legal and administrative proceedings. The amount of such actual and potential liability is inherently difficult to estimate because of, among other relevant factors, uncertainties as to the legal liability (if any) of the Company or the prior owners of certain of the Company's facilities to contribute a portion of the cleanup costs, the assumptions that must be made in calculating the estimated

#### **Table of Contents**

cost and timing of remediation, the identification of other PRPs and their respective capability and obligation to contribute to remediation efforts, and the existence and legal standing of indemnification agreements (if any) with prior owners, which may either benefit the Company or subject the Company to potential indemnification obligations.

The Company's potential liability for cleanup costs at the two facilities now owned by the Company and at 35 (the "Listed Third Party Sites") of the 61 third party sites arose out of the Company's 2002 acquisition of substantially all of the assets (the "CSD assets") of the Chemical Services Division of Safety-Kleen Corp. As part of the purchase price for the CSD assets, the Company became liable as the owner of these two facilities and also agreed to indemnify the prior owners of the CSD assets against their share of certain cleanup costs for the Listed Third Party Sites payable to governmental entities under federal or state Superfund laws. Of the 35 Listed Third Party Sites, 11 are currently requiring expenditures on remediation, ten are now settled, and 14 are not currently requiring expenditures on remediation. The status of the two facilities owned by the Company (the Wichita Property and the BR Facility) and one of the Listed Third Party Sites (the Casmalia sites) are further described below. There are also two third party sites at which the Company has been named a PRP as a result of its acquisition of the CSD assets but disputes that it has any cleanup or related liabilities; one such site (the Marine Shale site) is described below. The Company views any liabilities associated with the Marine Shale site and the other third party site as excluded liabilities under the terms of the CSD asset acquisition, but the Company is working with the EPA on a potential settlement. In addition to the CSD related Superfund sites, there are certain of the other third party sites which are not related to the Company's acquisition of the CSD assets, and certain notifications which the Company has received about other third party sites.

Wichita Property. The Company acquired in 2002 as part of the CSD assets a service center located in Wichita, Kansas (the "Wichita Property"). The Wichita Property is one of several properties located within the boundaries of a 1,400 acre state-designated Superfund site in an old industrial section of Wichita known as the North Industrial Corridor Site. Along with numerous other PRPs, the former owner executed a consent decree relating to such site with the EPA, and the Company is continuing its ongoing remediation program for the Wichita Property in accordance with that consent decree. The Company also acquired rights under an indemnification agreement between the former owner and an earlier owner of the Wichita Property, which the Company anticipates but cannot guarantee will be available to reimburse certain such cleanup costs.

BR Facility. The Company acquired in 2002 as part of the CSD assets a former hazardous waste incinerator and landfill in Baton Rouge (the "BR Facility"), for which operations had been previously discontinued by the prior owner. In September 2007, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") issued a special notice letter to the Company related to the Devil's Swamp Lake Site ("Devil's Swamp") in East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana. Devil's Swamp includes a lake located downstream of an outfall ditch where wastewater and stormwater have been discharged, and Devil's Swamp is proposed to be included on the National Priorities List due to the presence of Contaminants of Concern ("COC") cited by the EPA. These COCs include substances of the kind found in wastewater and storm water discharged from the BR Facility in past operations. The EPA originally requested COC generators to submit a good faith offer to conduct a remedial investigation feasibility study directed towards the eventual remediation of the site. The Company is currently performing corrective actions at the BR Facility under an order issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (the "LDEQ"), and has begun conducting the remedial investigation and feasibility study under an order issued by the EPA. The Company cannot presently estimate the potential additional liability for the Devil's Swamp cleanup until a final remedy is selected by the EPA.

Casmalia Site. At one of the 35 Listed Third Party Sites, the Casmalia Resources Hazardous Waste Management Facility (the "Casmalia site") in Santa Barbara County, California, the Company received from the EPA a request for information in May 2007. In that request, the EPA is seeking information about the extent to which, if at all, the prior owner transported or arranged for disposal of waste at the Casmalia site. The Company has not recorded any liability for this 2007 notice on the basis that such transporter or arranger liability is currently neither probable nor estimable.

Marine Shale Site. Prior to 1996, Marine Shale Processors, Inc. ("Marine Shale") operated a kiln in Amelia, Louisiana which incinerated waste producing a vitrified aggregate as a by-product. Marine Shale contended that its operation recycled waste into a useful product, i.e., vitrified aggregate, and therefore was exempt from regulation under the RCRA and permitting requirements as a hazardous waste incinerator under applicable federal and state environmental laws. The EPA contended that Marine Shale was a "sham-recycler" subject to the regulation and permitting requirements as a hazardous waste incinerator under RCRA, that its vitrified aggregate by-product was a hazardous waste, and that Marine Shale's continued operation without required permits was illegal. Litigation between the EPA and Marine Shale began in 1990 and continued until July 1996, when the U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals ordered Marine Shale to shut down its operations.

On May 11, 2007, the EPA and the LDEQ issued a special notice to the Company and other PRPs, seeking a good faith offer to address site remediation at the former Marine Shale facility. Certain of the former owners of the CSD assets were major customers of Marine Shale, but the Marine Shale site was not included as a Listed Third Party Site in connection with the Company's acquisition of the CSD assets and the Company was never a customer of Marine Shale. Although the Company

#### **Table of Contents**

believes that it is not liable (either directly or under any indemnification obligation) for cleanup costs at the Marine Shale site, the Company elected to join with other parties which had been notified that are potentially PRPs in connection with Marine Shale site to form a group (the "Site Group") to retain common counsel and participate in further negotiations with the EPA and the LDEQ directed towards the eventual remediation of the Marine Shale site. The Site Group made a good faith settlement offer to the EPA on November 29, 2007, and negotiations among the EPA, the LDEQ and the Site Group with respect to the Marine Shale site are ongoing. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the amount of the Company's reserves relating to the Marine Shale site was \$3.9 million and \$3.8 million, respectively.

Certain Other Third Party Sites. At 14 of the 61 third party sites, the Company has an indemnification agreement with ChemWaste, a former subsidiary of Waste Management, Inc. and the prior owner. The agreement indemnifies the Company with respect to any liability at the 14 sites for waste disposed prior to the Company's acquisition of the sites. Accordingly, Waste Management is paying all costs of defending those subsidiaries in those 14 cases, including legal fees and settlement costs. However, there can be no guarantee that the Company's ultimate liabilities for these sites will not exceed the amount recorded or that indemnities applicable to any of these sites will be available to pay all or a portion of related costs. The Company does not have an indemnity agreement with respect to any of the other remaining 61 third party sites not discussed above. However, the Company believes that its additional potential liability, if any, to contribute to the cleanup of such remaining sites will not, in the aggregate, exceed \$100,000.

Other Notifications. Between September 2004 and May 2006, the Company also received notices from certain of the prior owners of the CSD assets seeking indemnification from the Company at five third party sites which are not included in the third party sites described above that have been designated as Superfund sites or potential Superfund sites and for which those prior owners have been identified as PRPs or potential PRPs. The Company has responded to such letters asserting that the Company has no obligation to indemnify those prior owners for any cleanup and related costs (if any) which they may incur in connection with these five sites. The Company intends to assist those prior owners by providing information that is now in the Company's possession with respect to those five sites and, if appropriate, to participate in negotiations with the government agencies and PRP groups involved. The Company has also investigated the sites to determine the existence of potential liabilities independent from the liability of those former owners, and concluded that at this time the Company is not liable for any portion of the potential cleanup of the five sites and therefore has not established a reserve.

### Federal, State and Provincial Enforcement Actions

From time to time, the Company pays fines or penalties in regulatory proceedings relating primarily to waste treatment, storage or disposal facilities. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were one and three proceedings, respectively, for which the Company reasonably believed that the sanctions could equal or exceed \$100,000. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company resolved two matters with no impact to the Company's financial results of operations. The Company does not believe that the fines or other penalties in these or any of the other regulatory proceedings will, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

### Other Contingencies

In December 2010, the Company paid \$10.5 million to acquire a minority interest in a privately-held company. Subsequent to the purchase of those securities but prior to December 31, 2010, the privately-held company exercised its irrevocable call right for those shares and tendered payment for a total of \$10.5 million. The Company is disputing the fair value asserted by the privately-held company and believes that the shares had a fair value on the date of the exercise of the call right greater than the amount tendered. Due to the exercise of the irrevocable call right, the Company did not own those shares of that privately-held company as of December 31, 2010, and accordingly has

recorded the \$10.5 million in prepaid expenses and other current assets. The potential recovery of any additional amount depends upon several contested factors, and is considered a gain contingency and therefore has not been recorded in the Company's consolidated financial statements. At September 30, 2011, the Company still has \$10.5 million recorded in prepaid expenses and other current assets.

### (14) SEGMENT REPORTING

During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, the Company re-aligned its management reporting structure. Under the new structure, the Company's operations are managed in four reportable segments: Technical Services, Field Services, Industrial Services and Oil and Gas Field Services. The new segment, Oil and Gas Field Services, consists of the previous Exploration Services segment, as well as certain oil and gas related field services departments that were re-assigned from the Industrial Services segment. In addition, certain departments from the Field Services segment were re-assigned to the Industrial Services segment. Accordingly, the Company re-aligned and re-allocated departmental costs being allocated among the segments to support these management reporting changes. The Company has recast the segment information to conform to the current year

#### **Table of Contents**

#### presentation.

Performance of the segments is evaluated on several factors, of which the primary financial measure is "Adjusted EBITDA," which consists of net income plus accretion of environmental liabilities, depreciation and amortization, net interest expense, and provision for income taxes. Also excluded are other income and income from discontinued operations, net of tax as these amounts are not considered part of usual business operations. Transactions between the segments are accounted for at the Company's estimate of fair value based on similar transactions with outside customers.

The operations not managed through the Company's four operating segments are recorded as "Corporate Items." Corporate Items revenues consist of two different operations for which the revenues are insignificant. Corporate Items cost of revenues represents certain central services that are not allocated to the four operating segments for internal reporting purposes. Corporate Items selling, general and administrative expenses include typical corporate items such as legal, accounting and other items of a general corporate nature that are not allocated to the Company's four operating segments.

The following table reconciles third party revenues to direct revenues for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands). Third party revenue is revenue billed to outside customers by a particular segment. Direct revenue is the revenue allocated to the segment performing the provided service. The Company analyzes results of operations based on direct revenues because the Company believes that these revenues and related expenses best reflect the manner in which operations are managed.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011					
	Technical Services	Field Services (1)	Industrial Services	Oil and Gas Field Services	Corporate Items	Totals
Third party revenues	\$209,245	\$117,448	\$113,543	\$115,554	\$263	\$556,053
Intersegment revenues, net	4,612	(6,274)	4,323	(2,091)	(570	) —
Direct revenues	\$213,857	\$111,174	\$117,866	\$113,463	\$(307	) \$556,053
	For the Three	e Months Ende	d September 3	80, 2010		
	Technical	Field	Industrial	Oil and Gas	Corporate	
	Services	Services (2)	Services	Field	Items	Totals
				Services		*
Third party revenues	\$177,266	\$183,120	\$79,723	\$47,503	\$39	\$487,651
Intersegment revenues, net	5,577	(8,814)	2,239	1,529	(531	) —
Direct revenues	\$182,843	\$174,306	\$81,962	\$49,032	\$(492	) \$487,651
	For the Nine	Months Ended	September 30	), 2011		
	Technical	Field	Industrial	Oil and Gas	Corporate	
	Services	Services (1)	Services	Field	Items	Totals
	Services	. ,	Services	Services		
Third party revenues	\$597,022	\$252,322	\$333,502	\$254,805	\$599	\$1,438,250
Intersegment revenues, net	13,963	(15,848)	363	3,066	(1,544	) —
Direct revenues	\$610,985	\$236,474	\$333,865	\$257,871	\$(945	) \$1,438,250
	For the Nine	Months Ended	September 30	0. 2010		
	Technical	Field	Industrial	Oil and Gas	Corporate	Totals
	Services	Services (2)	Services	Field	Items	_ 0 0010

				Services		
Third party revenues	\$498,202	\$396,496	\$270,847	\$148,666	\$(25	) \$1,314,186
Intersegment revenues, net	17,653	(22,077	) 608	5,151	(1,335	) —
Direct revenues	\$515,855	\$374,419	\$271,455	\$153,817	\$(1,360	) \$1,314,186

<sup>(1)</sup> During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, third party revenues for the Field Services segment included revenues associated with the Yellowstone River oil spill response efforts of \$41.5 million.

<sup>(2)</sup> During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, third party revenues for the Field Services segment included

## **Table of Contents**

24

revenues associated with the oil spill response efforts in the Gulf of Mexico and Michigan of \$123.8 million and \$232.4 million, respectively.

The following table presents information used by management by reported segment (in thousands). The Company does not allocate interest expense, income taxes, depreciation, amortization, accretion of environmental liabilities, and other income to segments.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine M Ended Septemb	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Adjusted EBITDA:				
Technical Services	\$59,005	\$46,195	\$163,956	\$123,760
Field Services	22,746	48,430	41,592	95,064
Industrial Services	22,677	18,947	71,882	62,929
Oil and Gas Field Services	28,416	10,473	51,508	27,935
Corporate Items	(29,013	) (25,286	) (76,289	(65,304)
Total	\$103,831	\$98,759	\$252,649	\$244,384
Reconciliation to Consolidated Statements of Income:				
Accretion of environmental liabilities	\$2,435	\$2,495	\$7,231	\$7,799
Depreciation and amortization	34,604	22,892	87,000	67,671
Income from operations	66,792	73,372	158,418	168,914
Other (income) loss	(164	) 669	(5,931)	(2,485)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt		2,294		2,294
Interest expense, net of interest income	10,927	7,198	28,047	21,772
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes	\$56,029	\$63,211	\$136,302	\$147,333

# Table of Contents

The following table presents assets by reported segment and in the aggregate (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Property, plant and equipment, net	<b>4202</b> 6 <b>52</b>	<b>4.250.502</b>
Technical Services	\$292,652	\$259,582
Field Services	36,130	32,311
Industrial Services	231,979	180,781
Oil and Gas Field Services	278,546	151,244
Corporate Items	41,412	31,476
Total property, plant and equipment, net	\$880,719	\$655,394
Intangible assets:		
Technical Services		
Goodwill	\$45,965	\$33,448
Permits and other intangibles, net	79,509	66,075
Total Technical Services	125,474	99,523
Field Services		
Goodwill	3,088	3,088
Permits and other intangibles, net	3,331	3,651
Total Field Services	6,419	6,739
Industrial Services		·
Goodwill	45,941	10,934
Permits and other intangibles, net	20,531	17,906
Total Industrial Services	66,472	28,840
Oil and Gas Field Services		
Goodwill	39,702	12,782
Permits and other intangibles, net	37,599	26,768
Total Oil and Gas Field Services	77,301	39,550
Total	\$275,666	\$174,652
10111	Ψ273,000	Ψ174,032
The following table presents the total assets by reported segment (in thousands):		
	September 30,	December 31,
	2011	2010
Technical Services	\$588,389	\$525,286
Field Services	44,998	35,253
Industrial Services	322,631	221,472
Oil and Gas Field Services	463,863	272,479
Corporate Items	590,504	547,985
Total	\$2,010,385	\$1,602,475
Total	\$2,010,303	ψ1,002, <del>+</del> 73
The following table presents the total assets by geographical area (in thousands):		
	September 30,	December 31,
	2011	2010
United States	\$1,109,827	\$933,550
Canada	\$1,109,827 894,850	664,534
	·	•
Other foreign	5,708	4,391
Total	\$2,010,385	\$1,602,475

#### **Table of Contents**

## (15) GUARANTOR AND NON-GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES FINANCIAL INFORMATION

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had outstanding \$270.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7.625% senior secured notes due 2016 issued by the parent company, Clean Harbors, Inc., and on March 24, 2011, the parent company issued an additional \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of such notes. The combined \$520.0 million of the parent's senior secured notes outstanding at September 30, 2011 is guaranteed by substantially all of the parent's subsidiaries organized in the United States. Each guarantor is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and its guarantee is both full and unconditional and joint and several. The parent's notes are not guaranteed by the Company's Canadian or other foreign subsidiaries. The following presents supplemental condensed consolidating financial information for the parent company, the guarantor subsidiaries and the non-guarantor subsidiaries, respectively.

Following is the condensed consolidating balance sheet at September 30, 2011 (in thousands):

	Clean Harbors, Inc.	U.S. Guarantor Subsidiaries	Foreign Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$91,557	\$145,150	\$20,452	<b>\$</b> —	\$257,159
Intercompany receivables	346,750	_	148,818	(495,568	) —
Other current assets	15,139	329,710	224,262	_	569,111
Property, plant and equipment, net	_	389,481	491,238	_	880,719
Investments in subsidiaries	1,002,994	377,275	94,626	(1,474,895	) —
Intercompany debt receivable	_	443,819	3,701	(447,520	) —
Other long-term assets	16,327	115,352	171,717	_	303,396
Total assets	\$1,472,767	\$1,800,787	\$1,154,814	\$(2,417,983	\$2,010,385
Liabilities and Stockholders'					
Equity:					
Current liabilities	\$39,568	\$208,021	\$145,979	<b>\$</b> —	\$393,568
Intercompany payables	_	495,568	_	(495,568	) —
Closure, post-closure and remedial liabilities, net	_	131,490	20,113	_	151,603
Long-term obligations	524,590	_	_	_	524,590
Capital lease obligations, net		573	6,958		7,531
Intercompany debt payable	3,701		443,819	(447,520	) —
Other long-term liabilities	64,702	8,326	19,859		92,887
Total liabilities	632,561	843,978	636,728		) 1,170,179
Stockholders' equity	840,206	956,809	518,086	(1,474,895	840,206
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,472,767	\$1,800,787	\$1,154,814	\$(2,417,983	\$2,010,385

# Table of Contents

Following is the condensed consolidating balance sheet at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Clean Harbors, Inc.	U.S. Guarantor Subsidiaries	Foreign Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$100,476	\$124,582	\$77,152	<b>\$</b> —	\$302,210
Intercompany receivables	371,559			(371,559	) —
Other current assets	15,521	279,895	154,911		450,327
Property, plant and equipment, net	_	302,028	353,366	_	655,394
Investments in subsidiaries	628,723	259,294	91,654	(979,671	) —
Intercompany debt receivable	_	368,804	3,701	(372,505	) —
Other long-term assets	7,768	87,888	98,888		194,544
Total assets	\$1,124,047	\$1,422,491	\$779,672	\$(1,723,735	\$1,602,475
Liabilities and Stockholders'					
Equity:					
Current liabilities	\$13,935	\$201,384	\$90,965	<b>\$</b> —	\$306,284
Intercompany payables		222,750	148,809	(371,559	) —
Closure, post-closure and		141,280	20,494	_	161,774
remedial liabilities, net		141,200	20,474		•
Long-term obligations	264,007	_	_	_	264,007
Capital lease obligations, net		249	6,590	_	6,839
Intercompany debt payable	3,701	_	368,804	(372,505	) —
Other long-term liabilities	61,577	2,531	18,636	_	82,744
Total liabilities	343,220	568,194	654,298	(744,064	821,648
Stockholders' equity	780,827	854,297	125,374	(979,671	780,827
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,124,047	\$1,422,491	\$779,672	\$(1,723,735	\$1,602,475

# Table of Contents

28

Following is the consolidating statement of income for the three months ended September 30, 2011 (in thousands):

	Clean Harbors, Inc.	U.S. Guarantor Subsidiaries	Foreign Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Revenues	<b>\$</b> —	\$327,093	\$237,589	\$(8,629	) \$556,053
Cost of revenues (exclusive of items shown separately below)	_	229,272	165,875	(8,629	) 386,518
Selling, general and administrative expenses	27	39,889	25,788	_	65,704
Accretion of environmental liabilities	_	2,120	315	_	2,435
Depreciation and amortization	_	16,069	18,535	_	34,604
Income from operations	(27)	39,743	27,076		66,792
Other income		51	113		164
Interest (expense) income	(10,739)	(10)	(178)		(10,927)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	52,408	18,548	_	(70,956	) —
Intercompany dividend income (expense)	_	_	3,491	(3,491	) —
Intercompany interest income (expense)	_	6,759	(6,759)	_	_
Income from operations before provision for income taxes	41,642	65,091	23,743	(74,447	) 56,029
Provision for income taxes Net income (loss)	4,509 \$37,133	8,335 \$56,756	6,052 \$17,691	— \$(74,447	18,896 ) \$37,133

# Table of Contents

Following is the consolidating statement of income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Clean Harbors, Inc.		U.S. Guarantor Subsidiaries	Foreign Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments		Total	
Revenues Cost of revenues (exclusive	\$—		\$346,045	\$142,426	\$(820	)	\$487,651	
of items shown separately below)	_		235,915	100,178	(820	)	335,273	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	25		40,903	12,691	_		53,619	
Accretion of environmental liabilities	_		2,218	277	_		2,495	
Depreciation and amortization	_		12,367	10,525	_		22,892	
Income from operations Other income (loss)	(25	)	54,642 74	18,755 (743	<u> </u>		73,372 (669	)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt Interest (expense) income Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(2,294	)	_	_	_		(2,294	)
	(7,196	)	78	(80	· —		(7,198	)
	56,001		8,641	_	(64,642	)	_	
Intercompany dividend income (expense)	_		_	3,292	(3,292	)	_	
Intercompany interest income (expense)	_		8,243	(8,243	· <del>-</del>		_	
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes	46,486		71,678	12,981	(67,934	)	63,211	
Provision for income taxes	7,659		12,596	4,129	_		24,384	
Income from continuing operations	38,827		59,082	8,852	(67,934	)	38,827	
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	_		_	_	_		_	
Net income	\$38,827		\$59,082	\$8,852	\$(67,934	)	\$38,827	

# Table of Contents

Following is the consolidating statement of income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 (in thousands):

	Clean Harbors, Inc.	U.S. Guarantor Subsidiaries	Foreign Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Revenues Cost of revenues (exclusive	\$—	\$838,654	\$619,615	\$(20,019	) \$1,438,250
of items shown separately below)	_	582,767	444,101	(20,019	1,006,849
Selling, general and administrative expenses	77	114,621	64,054	_	178,752
Accretion of environmental liabilities	_	6,297	934	_	7,231
Depreciation and amortization	_	41,760	45,240	_	87,000
Income from operations	(77)	93,209	65,286		158,418
Other income	_	3,781	2,150		5,931
Interest (expense) income	(28,045)	163	(165)	_	(28,047)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	129,273	50,260	_	(179,533	) —
Intercompany dividend income (expense)	_	_			