

Edgar Filing: Discover Financial Services - Form 10-Q

Discover Financial Services
Form 10-Q
August 01, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-33378

DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

36-2517428

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2500 Lake Cook Road,
Riverwoods, Illinois 60015

(224) 405-0900

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code) (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of July 28, 2014, there were 461,904,399 shares of the registrant's Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding.

DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES

Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----------------|--|-----------|
| <u>Part I</u> | <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Financial Statements</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>74</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>74</u> |
| <u>Part II</u> | <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>75</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>75</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>75</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>75</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>75</u> |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>75</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>75</u> |

Except as otherwise indicated or unless the context otherwise requires, "Discover Financial Services," "Discover," "DFS," "we," "us," "our," and "the Company" refer to Discover Financial Services and its subsidiaries.

We own or have rights to use the trademarks, trade names and service marks that we use in conjunction with the operation of our business, including, but not limited to: Discover[®], PULSE[®], Cashback Bonus[®], Discover Cashback CheckingSM, Discover[®] More[®] Card, Discover it[®], Discover[®] MotivaSM Card, Discover[®] Open Road[®] Card, Discover[®] Network and Diners Club International[®]. All other trademarks, trade names and service marks included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q are the property of their respective owners.

Table of Contents

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

| | June 30, 2014 (unaudited) | December 31, 2013 |
|---|--|----------------------|
| | (dollars in millions, except share amounts) | |
| Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$6,879 | \$ 6,554 |
| Restricted cash | 305 | 182 |
| Investment securities: | | |
| Available-for-sale (amortized cost of \$3,952 and \$4,900 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively) | 4,000 | 4,931 |
| Held-to-maturity (fair value of \$71 and \$58 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively) | 70 | 60 |
| Total investment securities | 4,070 | 4,991 |
| Loan receivables: | | |
| Mortgage loans held for sale, measured at fair value | 129 | 148 |
| Loan portfolio: | | |
| Credit card | 52,742 | 53,150 |
| Other | 9,089 | 8,295 |
| Purchased credit-impaired loans | 3,915 | 4,178 |
| Total loan portfolio | 65,746 | 65,623 |
| Total loan receivables | 65,875 | 65,771 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (1,614) | (1,648) |
| Net loan receivables | 64,261 | 64,123 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 665 | 654 |
| Goodwill | 284 | 284 |
| Intangible assets, net | 179 | 185 |
| Other assets | 2,294 | 2,367 |
| Total assets | \$78,937 | \$ 79,340 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Interest-bearing deposit accounts | \$44,245 | \$ 44,766 |
| Non-interest bearing deposit accounts | 200 | 193 |
| Total deposits | 44,445 | 44,959 |
| Short-term borrowings | 120 | 140 |
| Long-term borrowings | 20,057 | 20,474 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 2,934 | 2,958 |
| Total liabilities | 67,556 | 68,531 |
| Commitments, contingencies and guarantees (Notes 8, 11, and 12) | | |
| Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 2,000,000,000 shares authorized; 558,095,924 and 555,349,629 shares issued at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively | 5 | 5 |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 575,000 shares issued or outstanding and aggregate liquidation preference of \$575 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 | 560 | 560 |

Edgar Filing: Discover Financial Services - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 3,758 | 3,687 |
| Retained earnings | 10,659 | 9,611 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (72 |) (68 |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 92,793,813 and 83,105,578 shares at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively | (3,529 |) (2,986 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 11,381 | 10,809 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$78,937 | \$ 79,340 |

The table below presents the carrying amounts of certain assets and liabilities of Discover Financial Services' consolidated variable interest entities (VIEs) which are included in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition above. The assets in the table below include those assets that can only be used to settle obligations of the consolidated VIEs. The liabilities in the table below include third party liabilities of consolidated VIEs only, and exclude intercompany balances that eliminate in consolidation. The liabilities also exclude amounts for which creditors have recourse to the general credit of Discover Financial Services.

| | June 30, 2014 (unaudited) | December 31, 2013 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| | (dollars in millions) | |
| Assets | | |
| Restricted cash | \$ 301 | \$ 179 |
| Credit card loan receivables | \$29,715 | \$ 31,112 |
| Purchased credit-impaired loans | \$2,106 | \$ 2,248 |
| Allowance for loan losses allocated to securitized loan receivables | \$(804 |) \$(861 |
| Other assets | \$37 | \$ 34 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Long-term borrowings | \$16,165 | \$ 16,986 |
| Accrued interest payable | \$9 | \$ 9 |

See Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (unaudited) | | | |
| | (dollars in millions, except per share amounts) | | | |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Credit card loans | \$1,560 | \$1,463 | \$3,097 | \$2,914 |
| Other loans | 282 | 241 | 557 | 475 |
| Investment securities | 17 | 19 | 33 | 39 |
| Other interest income | 4 | 4 | 9 | 7 |
| Total interest income | 1,863 | 1,727 | 3,696 | 3,435 |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Deposits | 151 | 184 | 304 | 370 |
| Short-term borrowings | — | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Long-term borrowings | 123 | 112 | 239 | 223 |
| Total interest expense | 274 | 297 | 544 | 595 |
| Net interest income | 1,589 | 1,430 | 3,152 | 2,840 |
| Provision for loan losses | 360 | 240 | 632 | 399 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 1,229 | 1,190 | 2,520 | 2,441 |
| Other income: | | | | |
| Discount and interchange revenue, net | 327 | 308 | 581 | 571 |
| Protection products revenue | 78 | 88 | 161 | 176 |
| Loan fee income | 80 | 76 | 163 | 157 |
| Transaction processing revenue | 46 | 47 | 90 | 100 |
| Gain on investments | — | — | 4 | 3 |
| Gain on origination and sale of mortgage loans | 22 | 51 | 38 | 102 |
| Other income | 30 | 41 | 61 | 84 |
| Total other income | 583 | 611 | 1,098 | 1,193 |
| Other expense: | | | | |
| Employee compensation and benefits | 301 | 285 | 608 | 575 |
| Marketing and business development | 168 | 185 | 337 | 354 |
| Information processing and communications | 87 | 85 | 171 | 163 |
| Professional fees | 112 | 101 | 211 | 205 |
| Premises and equipment | 22 | 20 | 45 | 39 |
| Other expense | 107 | 144 | 209 | 237 |
| Total other expense | 797 | 820 | 1,581 | 1,573 |
| Income before income tax expense | 1,015 | 981 | 2,037 | 2,061 |
| Income tax expense | 371 | 379 | 762 | 786 |
| Net income | \$644 | \$602 | \$1,275 | \$1,275 |
| Net income allocated to common stockholders | \$630 | \$588 | \$1,248 | \$1,247 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$1.35 | \$1.20 | \$2.66 | \$2.53 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$1.35 | \$1.20 | \$2.66 | \$2.52 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$0.24 | \$0.20 | \$0.44 | \$0.20 |

See Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|-------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (unaudited) | | | |
| | (dollars in millions) | | | |
| Net income | \$644 | \$602 | \$1,275 | \$1,275 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes | | | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale, net of tax | 8 | (31 |) 10 | (42 |
| Unrealized (loss) gain on cash flow hedges, net of tax | (10 |) 8 | (14 |) 7 |
| Other comprehensive loss | (2 |) (23 |) (4 |) (35 |
| Comprehensive income | \$642 | \$579 | \$1,271 | \$1,240 |

See Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

Table of Contents

DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

| | Preferred Stock | | Common Stock | | Additional | Retained | Accumulated | | Treasury | Total |
|--|--|--------|--------------|--------|------------|----------|---------------|---|------------|---------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Paid-in | Earnings | Other | | Stock | Stockholders' |
| | | | | | Capital | | Comprehensive | | | Equity |
| | | | | | | | Income | | | |
| | | | | | | | (Loss) | | | |
| | (unaudited) | | | | | | | | | |
| | (dollars in millions, shares in thousands) | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 575 | \$560 | 553,351 | \$5 | \$3,598 | \$7,472 | \$ (72) | | \$(1,690) | \$9,873 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | — | 1,275 | — | — | — | 1,275 |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | — | — | — | — | (35) | | — | (35) |
| Purchases of treasury stock | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | | (599) | (599) |
| Common stock issued under employee benefit plans | — | — | 36 | — | 2 | — | — | | — | 2 |
| Common stock issued and stock-based compensation expense | — | — | 1,607 | — | 50 | — | — | | — | 50 |
| Dividends — common stock | — | — | — | — | — | (99) | — | | — | (99) |
| Dividends — preferred stock | — | — | — | — | — | (19) | — | | — | (19) |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | 575 | \$560 | 554,994 | \$5 | \$3,650 | \$8,629 | \$ (107) | | \$(2,289) | \$10,448 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | 575 | \$560 | 555,350 | \$5 | \$3,687 | \$9,611 | \$ (68) | | \$(2,986) | \$10,809 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | — | 1,275 | — | — | — | 1,275 |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | — | — | — | — | (4) | | — | (4) |
| Purchases of treasury stock | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | | (543) | (543) |
| Common stock issued under employee benefit plans | — | — | 30 | — | 2 | — | — | | — | 2 |
| Common stock issued and stock-based compensation expense | — | — | 2,716 | — | 69 | — | — | | — | 69 |
| | — | — | — | — | — | (208) | — | | — | (208) |

Edgar Filing: Discover Financial Services - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-------|---------|-----|----------|----------|--------|---|-----------|-----------|
| Dividends — common stock | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dividends — preferred stock | — | — | — | — | (19 |) | — | — | (19 |) |
| Balance at June 30, 2014 | 575 | \$560 | 558,096 | \$5 | \$ 3,758 | \$10,659 | \$ (72 |) | \$(3,529) | \$ 11,381 |

See Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

4

Table of Contents

DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | For the Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (unaudited) | |
| | (dollars in millions) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$1,275 | \$1,275 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 632 | 399 |
| Deferred income taxes | 48 | 163 |
| Depreciation and amortization on premises and equipment | 61 | 56 |
| Amortization of deferred revenues | (105) | (94) |
| Other depreciation and amortization | 120 | 107 |
| Accretion of accretable yield on acquired loans | (134) | (139) |
| Gain on investments | (4) | (3) |
| Loss on equity method and other investments | 12 | 8 |
| Gain on origination and sale of loans | (38) | (102) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 32 | 31 |
| Proceeds from sale of mortgage loans originated for sale | 1,162 | 2,618 |
| Net principal disbursed on mortgage loans originated for sale | (1,103) | (2,445) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Increase in other assets | (70) | (86) |
| (Decrease) increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities | (117) | 299 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,771 | 2,087 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Maturities and sales of available-for-sale investment securities | 1,331 | 1,280 |
| Purchases of available-for-sale investment securities | (244) | (89) |
| Maturities of held-to-maturity investment securities | 8 | 17 |
| Purchases of held-to-maturity investment securities | (18) | (1) |
| Net principal (disbursed) repaid on loans originated for investment | (545) | 578 |
| Purchases of loan receivables | — | (136) |
| Purchases of other investments | (34) | (53) |
| Increase in restricted cash | (123) | (247) |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (73) | (117) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 302 | 1,232 |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Net decrease in short-term borrowings | (20) | (109) |
| Proceeds from issuance of securitized debt | 2,650 | 2,700 |
| Maturities and repayment of securitized debt | (3,492) | (3,103) |
| Proceeds from issuance of other long-term borrowings | 399 | 750 |
| Payment of contingent consideration for purchase of net assets of a business, at fair value | — | (9) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 2 | 7 |
| Purchases of treasury stock | (543) | (601) |
| Net (decrease) increase in deposits | (516) | 393 |
| Dividends paid on common and preferred stock | (228) | (187) |

Edgar Filing: Discover Financial Services - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---|
| Net cash used for financing activities | (1,748 |) (159 |) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 325 | 3,160 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, at beginning of period | 6,554 | 2,584 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, at end of period | \$6,879 | \$5,744 | |

See Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

5

Table of Contents

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(unaudited)

1. Background and Basis of Presentation

Description of Business

Discover Financial Services (“DFS” or the “Company”) is a direct banking and payment services company. The Company is a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 as well as a financial holding company under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and therefore is subject to oversight, regulation and examination by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “Federal Reserve”). Through its Discover Bank subsidiary, a Delaware state-chartered bank, the Company offers its customers credit card loans, private student loans, personal loans, home equity loans and deposit products. Through its Discover Home Loans, Inc. subsidiary, the Company offers its customers home loans. Through its DFS Services LLC subsidiary and its subsidiaries, the Company operates the Discover Network, the PULSE network (“PULSE”), and Diners Club International (“Diners Club”). The Discover Network is a payment card transaction processing network for Discover branded credit cards and credit, debit and prepaid cards, issued by third parties, which the Company refers to as network partners. PULSE operates an electronic funds transfer network, providing financial institutions issuing debit cards on the PULSE network with access to ATMs domestically and internationally, as well as point-of-sale terminals at retail locations throughout the U.S. for debit card transactions. Diners Club is a global payments network of licensees that issue Diners Club branded charge cards and/or provide card acceptance services.

The Company’s business segments are Direct Banking and Payment Services. The Direct Banking segment includes consumer banking and lending products, specifically Discover branded credit cards issued to individuals and small businesses on the Discover Network and other consumer products and services, including private student loans, personal loans, home loans, home equity loans, prepaid cards and other consumer lending and deposit products. The majority of Direct Banking revenues relate to interest income earned on the segment’s loan products. Additionally, the Company’s credit card products generate substantially all revenues related to discount and interchange, protection products and loan fee income.

The Payment Services segment includes PULSE, Diners Club and the Company’s network partners business, which includes credit, debit and prepaid cards issued on the Discover Network by third parties. This segment also includes the business operations of Diners Club Italy, which primarily consist of issuing Diners Club charge cards. The majority of Payment Services revenues relate to transaction processing revenue from PULSE and royalty and licensee revenue (included in other income) from Diners Club.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, the financial statements reflect all adjustments which are necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim period. All such adjustments are of a normal, recurring nature. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. These estimates are based on information available as of the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company believes that the estimates used in the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements are reasonable. Actual results could differ from these estimates. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company’s 2013 audited consolidated financial statements filed with the Company’s annual report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ended December 31, 2013.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements.

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The guidance in this update supersedes existing revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, including an assortment of transaction-specific and industry-specific rules. The ASU establishes a principles-based model under which revenue from a contract is

allocated to the distinct performance obligations within the contract and recognized in income as each performance obligation is satisfied. ASU Topic 606 does not apply to rights or obligations associated with financial instruments (for example, interest income from loans or investments, or interest expense on debt), and therefore the Company's net interest income should not be affected. The Company's revenue from discount and interchange, protection products, transaction processing, and certain fees are within the scope of these rules. Management has not yet completed its evaluation of the impact, if any, of the new guidance on these revenues. The new revenue recognition model will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2017. Upon adoption in 2017, the Company will record an adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the year of initial application, which can be either the earliest comparative period presented, with all periods presented under the new rules, or January 1, 2017, without restating prior periods presented. Management has not yet determined which transition reporting option it will apply.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-01, Investments-Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323): Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects. This standard will permit a reporting entity to make an accounting policy election to account for investments in qualified affordable housing projects using the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. Under this new method, an entity amortizes the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received and recognizes the net investment performance in the income statement as a component of income tax expense (benefit). This treatment will replace the effective yield method currently permitted for certain investments of this kind. The Company has not historically utilized the effective yield method, and as a result, implementation of this ASU will not impact the Company's accounting for its investments in qualified affordable housing projects unless a subsequent election is made to apply it. In addition to establishing the conditions under which the proportional amortization method can be used, the ASU calls for additional disclosures that will enable the reader to understand the nature of the investment and the effect of its measurement and related tax credits on the company's financial position and results of operations. The new guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014 and interim periods within those periods, with early adoption permitted. The standard will require additional disclosure about the nature of the Company's affordable housing investments, but unless the Company subsequently decides to elect the new accounting model, the new guidance will have no effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

2. Investments

The Company's investment securities consist of the following (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| U.S. Treasury securities ⁽¹⁾ | \$1,341 | \$2,058 |
| U.S. government agency securities | 1,043 | 1,561 |
| States and political subdivisions of states | 10 | 15 |
| Other securities: | | |
| Credit card asset-backed securities of other issuers | — | 6 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency ⁽²⁾ | 1,676 | 1,351 |
| Total other securities | 1,676 | 1,357 |
| Total investment securities | \$4,070 | \$4,991 |

(1) Includes \$6 million and \$9 million of U.S. Treasury securities that have been pledged as swap collateral in lieu of cash as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

(2) Consists of residential mortgage-backed securities issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae.

Table of Contents

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and fair value of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investment securities are as follows (dollars in millions):

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| At June 30, 2014 | | | | |
| Available-for-Sale Investment Securities ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$1,319 | \$21 | \$— | \$1,340 |
| U.S. government agency securities | 1,024 | 19 | — | 1,043 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | 1,609 | 11 | (3 |) 1,617 |
| Total available-for-sale investment securities | \$3,952 | \$51 | \$(3 |) \$4,000 |
| Held-to-Maturity Investment Securities ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities ⁽³⁾ | \$1 | \$— | \$— | \$1 |
| States and political subdivisions of states | 10 | — | — | 10 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency ⁽⁴⁾ | 59 | 1 | — | 60 |
| Total held-to-maturity investment securities | \$70 | \$1 | \$— | \$71 |
| At December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Available-for-Sale Investment Securities ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$2,030 | \$27 | \$— | \$2,057 |
| U.S. government agency securities | 1,535 | 26 | — | 1,561 |
| Credit card asset-backed securities of other issuers | 6 | — | — | 6 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | 1,329 | — | (22 |) 1,307 |
| Total available-for-sale investment securities | \$4,900 | \$53 | \$(22 |) \$4,931 |
| Held-to-Maturity Investment Securities ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities ⁽³⁾ | \$1 | \$— | \$— | \$1 |
| States and political subdivisions of states | 15 | — | (1 |) 14 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency ⁽⁴⁾ | 44 | — | (1 |) 43 |
| Total held-to-maturity investment securities | \$60 | \$— | \$(2 |) \$58 |

(1) Available-for-sale investment securities are reported at fair value.

(2) Held-to-maturity investment securities are reported at amortized cost.

(3) Amount represents securities pledged as collateral to a government-related merchant for which transaction settlement occurs beyond the normal 24-hour period.

(4) Amounts represent residential mortgage-backed securities that were classified as held-to-maturity as they were entered into as a part of the Company's community reinvestment initiatives.

The following table provides information about investment securities with aggregate gross unrealized losses and the length of time that individual investment securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position (dollars in millions):

| | Number of Securities in a Loss Position | Less than 12 months | | More than 12 months | | |
|---|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---|
| | | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | |
| At June 30, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Available-for-Sale Investment Securities | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | 11 | \$55 | \$— | \$503 | \$(3 |) |

At December 31, 2013

Edgar Filing: Discover Financial Services - Form 10-Q

Available-for-Sale Investment Securities

| | | | | | | |
|---|----|---------|-------|--------|------|---|
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | 23 | \$1,097 | \$(20 |) \$48 | \$(2 |) |
|---|----|---------|-------|--------|------|---|

Held-to-Maturity Investment Securities

| | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----|------|-------|-----|--|
| State and political subdivisions of states | 4 | \$8 | \$(1 |) \$3 | \$— | |
|--|---|-----|------|-------|-----|--|

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|-------|-----|--|
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | 2 | \$40 | \$(1 |) \$— | \$— | |
|---|---|------|------|-------|-----|--|

7

Table of Contents

The Company records gains and losses on investment securities in other income when investments are sold or liquidated, when the Company believes an investment is other than temporarily impaired prior to the disposal of the investment, or in certain other circumstances. Gains and losses on sales of available-for-sale investment securities are calculated using the specific identification method and were recorded entirely in earnings. The Company recognized gains on sales of available-for-sale investment securities in other comprehensive income. There were no gains or losses related to other-than-temporary impairments during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The following table provides information about proceeds related to maturities and redemptions of investment securities and proceeds from sales, recognized gains and losses, and net unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Proceeds related to maturities or redemptions of investment securities | \$59 | \$269 | \$119 | \$578 |
| Proceeds from the sales of available-for-sale investment securities, comprised of U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government agency securities | \$— | \$— | \$1,220 | \$719 |
| Gains on sales of available-for-sale investment securities | \$— | \$— | \$4 | \$2 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) recorded in other comprehensive income, before-tax | \$13 | \$(51) | \$16 | \$(67) |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) recorded in other comprehensive income, after-tax | \$8 | \$(31) | \$10 | \$(42) |

Maturities of available-for-sale debt securities and held-to-maturity debt securities at the end of the period are provided in the table below (dollars in millions):

| | One Year or Less | After One Year Through Five Years | After Five Years Through Ten Years | After Ten Years | Total |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--------------------|---------|
| At June 30, 2014 | | | | | |
| Available-for-Sale—Amortized Cost | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$367 | \$952 | \$— | \$— | \$1,319 |
| U.S. government agency securities | 102 | 922 | — | — | 1,024 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | — | — | 548 | 1,061 | 1,609 |
| Total available-for-sale investment securities | \$469 | \$1,874 | \$548 | \$1,061 | \$3,952 |
| Held-to-Maturity—Amortized Cost | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$1 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$1 |
| State and political subdivisions of states | — | — | — | 10 | 10 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | — | — | — | 59 | 59 |
| Total held-to-maturity investment securities | \$1 | \$— | \$— | \$69 | \$70 |
| Available-for-Sale—Fair Values | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$371 | \$969 | \$— | \$— | \$1,340 |
| U.S. government agency securities | 102 | 941 | — | — | 1,043 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | — | — | 550 | 1,067 | 1,617 |
| Total available-for-sale investment securities | \$473 | \$1,910 | \$550 | \$1,067 | \$4,000 |

Edgar Filing: Discover Financial Services - Form 10-Q

Held-to-Maturity—Fair Value

| | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$1 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$1 |
| State and political subdivisions of states | — | — | — | 10 | 10 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | — | — | — | 60 | 60 |
| Total held-to-maturity investment securities | \$1 | \$— | \$— | \$70 | \$71 |

(1) Available-for-sale investment securities are reported at fair value.

(2) Held-to-maturity investment securities are reported at amortized cost.

Other Investments

As a part of the Company's community reinvestment initiatives, the Company has made equity investments in certain limited partnerships and limited liability companies that finance the construction and rehabilitation of affordable rental

Table of Contents

housing, as well as stimulate economic development in low to moderate income communities. These investments are accounted for using the equity method of accounting, and are recorded within other assets, and the related commitment for future investments is recorded in accrued expenses and other liabilities within the statement of financial condition. The portion of each investment's operating results allocable to the Company is recorded in other expense within the condensed consolidated statement of income. The Company earns a return primarily through the receipt of tax credits allocated to the affordable housing projects and the community revitalization projects. These investments are not consolidated as the Company does not have a controlling financial interest in the entities. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had outstanding investments in these entities of \$309 million and \$308 million, respectively, and related contingent liabilities of \$37 million and \$52 million, respectively.

3. Loan Receivables

The Company has three portfolio segments: credit card loans, other loans and purchased credit-impaired ("PCI") student loans.

The Company's classes of receivables within the three portfolio segments are depicted in the table below (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Mortgage loans held for sale ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 129 | \$ 148 |
| Loan portfolio: | | |
| Credit card loans: | | |
| Discover card ⁽²⁾ | 52,542 | 52,952 |
| Discover business card | 200 | 198 |
| Total credit card loans | 52,742 | 53,150 |
| Other loans: | | |
| Personal loans | 4,579 | 4,191 |
| Private student loans | 4,336 | 3,969 |
| Other | 174 | 135 |
| Total other loans | 9,089 | 8,295 |
| Purchased credit-impaired loans ⁽³⁾ | 3,915 | 4,178 |
| Total loan portfolio | 65,746 | 65,623 |
| Total loan receivables | 65,875 | 65,771 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (1,614) | (1,648) |
| Net loan receivables | \$ 64,261 | \$ 64,123 |

(1) Substantially all mortgage loans held for sale are pledged as collateral against the warehouse line of credit used to fund consumer residential loans.

Amounts include \$20.0 billion and \$20.2 billion underlying investors' interest in trust debt at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and \$9.7 billion and \$10.9 billion in seller's interest at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. See Note 4: Credit Card and Student Loan Securitization Activities for further information.

Amounts include \$2.1 billion and \$2.2 billion of loans pledged as collateral against the notes issued from the Student Loan Corporation ("SLC") securitization trusts at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. See Note 4: Credit Card and Student Loan Securitization Activities. Of the remaining \$1.8 billion and \$2.0 billion at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, that were not pledged as collateral, approximately \$22 million for each period represents loans eligible for reimbursement through an indemnification claim. Discover Bank must purchase such loans from the trust before a claim may be filed.

Credit Quality Indicators

The Company regularly reviews its collection experience (including delinquencies and net charge-offs) in determining its allowance for loan losses. Credit card and closed-end consumer loan receivables are placed on nonaccrual status upon receipt of notification of the bankruptcy or death of a customer or suspected fraudulent activity on an account.

Upon completion of the fraud investigation, non-fraudulent credit card and closed-end consumer loan receivables may resume accruing interest.

Table of Contents

Information related to the delinquent and non-accruing loans in the Company's loan portfolio, which excludes loans held for sale, is shown below by each class of loan receivables except for PCI student loans, which is shown under the heading "— Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans" (dollars in millions):

| | 30-89 Days Delinquent | 90 or More Days Delinquent | Total Past Due | 90 or More Days Delinquent and Accruing | Total Non-accruing ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| At June 30, 2014 | | | | | |
| Credit card loans: | | | | | |
| Discover card ⁽²⁾ | \$439 | \$418 | \$857 | \$379 | \$ 165 |
| Discover business card | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Total credit card loans | 440 | 420 | 860 | 380 | 166 |
| Other loans: | | | | | |
| Personal loans ⁽³⁾ | 22 | 8 | 30 | 8 | 5 |
| Private student loans (excluding PCI) ⁽⁴⁾ | 51 | 21 | 72 | 21 | — |
| Other | — | 2 | 2 | — | 27 |
| Total other loans (excluding PCI) | 73 | 31 | 104 | 29 | 32 |
| Total loan receivables (excluding PCI) | \$513 | \$451 | \$964 | \$409 | \$ 198 |
| At December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Credit card loans: | | | | | |
| Discover card ⁽²⁾ | \$464 | \$445 | \$909 | \$406 | \$ 154 |
| Discover business card | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Total credit card loans | 465 | 447 | 912 | 408 | 155 |
| Other loans: | | | | | |
| Personal loans ⁽³⁾ | 21 | 8 | 29 | 8 | 5 |
| Private student loans (excluding PCI) ⁽⁴⁾ | 48 | 18 | 66 | 18 | — |
| Other | 1 | 2 | 3 | — | 40 |
| Total other loans (excluding PCI) | 70 | 28 | 98 | 26 | 45 |
| Total loan receivables (excluding PCI) | \$535 | \$475 | \$1,010 | \$434 | \$ 200 |

The Company estimates that the gross interest income that would have been recorded in accordance with the original terms of non-accruing credit card loans was \$6 million and \$7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and was \$13 million and \$15 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company does not separately systematically compute the amount of gross interest income that would have been recorded in accordance with the original terms of loans. This amount was estimated based on customers' quarterly average balances and rates prior to non-accrual status.

Consumer credit card loans that are 90 or more days delinquent and accruing interest include \$37 million and \$41 million of loans accounted for as troubled debt restructurings at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Personal loans that are 90 or more days delinquent and accruing interest include \$1 million and \$2 million of loans accounted for as troubled debt restructurings at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Private student loans that are 90 or more days delinquent and accruing interest include \$3 million of loans accounted for as troubled debt restructurings at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Net Charge-offs

The Company's net charge-offs include the principal amount of loans charged off less principal recoveries and exclude charged-off interest and fees, recoveries of interest and fees and fraud losses. Charged-off and recovered interest and fees are recorded in interest income and loan fee income, respectively, which is effectively a reclassification of the loan loss provision, while fraud losses are recorded in other expense. Credit card loan receivables are charged off at the end of the month during which an account becomes 180 days contractually past due. Personal loans and private student loans, which are closed-end consumer loan receivables, are generally charged off at the end of the month during which an account becomes 120 days contractually past due. Generally, customer bankruptcies and probate accounts are charged off at the end of the month 60 days following the receipt of notification of the bankruptcy or death but not later than the 180-day or 120-day contractual time frame.

Information related to the net charge-offs in the Company's loan portfolio, which excludes loans held for sale, is shown below by each class of loan receivables except for PCI student loans, which is shown under the heading "— Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans" (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|---|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | | |
| | Net Charge-offs | Net Charge-off Rate | Net Charge-offs | Net Charge-off Rate | | |
| Credit card loans: | | | | | | |
| Discover card | \$299 | 2.33 | % \$284 | 2.34 | | % |
| Discover business card | 1 | 1.68 | % 2 | 2.14 | | % |
| Total credit card loans | 300 | 2.33 | % 286 | 2.34 | | % |
| Other loans: | | | | | | |
| Personal loans | 22 | 1.95 | % 19 | 2.24 | | % |
| Private student loans (excluding PCI) | 14 | 1.30 | % 13 | 1.58 | | % |
| Other | 1 | 0.90 | % — | — | | % |
| Total other loans (excluding PCI) | 37 | 1.59 | % 32 | 1.83 | | % |
| Net charge-offs as a percentage of total loans (excluding PCI) | \$337 | 2.22 | % \$318 | 2.27 | | % |
| Net charge-offs as a percentage of total loans (including PCI) | \$337 | 2.08 | % \$318 | 2.10 | | % |
| | | | | | | |
| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | | |
| | Net Charge-offs | Net Charge-off Rate | Net Charge-offs | Net Charge-off Rate | | |
| Credit card loans: | | | | | | |
| Discover card | \$592 | 2.33 | % \$570 | 2.35 | | % |
| Discover business card | 2 | 1.72 | % 3 | 2.40 | | % |
| Total credit card loans | 594 | 2.32 | % 573 | 2.35 | | % |
| Other loans: | | | | | | |
| Personal loans | 43 | 2.01 | % 38 | 2.27 | | % |
| Private student loans (excluding PCI) | 28 | 1.31 | % 20 | 1.21 | | % |
| Other | 1 | 1.07 | % — | — | | % |
| Total other loans (excluding PCI) | 72 | 1.63 | % 58 | 1.67 | | % |
| Net charge-offs as a percentage of total loans (excluding PCI) | \$666 | 2.22 | % \$631 | 2.26 | | % |
| | \$666 | 2.08 | % \$631 | 2.09 | | % |

Net charge-offs as a percentage of total loans (including PCI)

As part of credit risk management activities, on an ongoing basis the Company reviews information related to the performance of a customer's account with the Company as well as information from credit bureaus, such as FICO or other credit scores, relating to the customer's broader credit performance. FICO scores are generally obtained at origination of the account and are refreshed monthly or quarterly thereafter to assist in predicting customer behavior. Historically, the Company has noted that a significant proportion of delinquent accounts have FICO scores below 660.

11

Table of Contents

The following table provides the most recent FICO scores available for the Company's customers as a percentage of each class of loan receivables:

| | Credit Risk Profile by FICO Score | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | 660 and Above | Less than 660 or No Score | |
| At June 30, 2014 | | | |
| Discover card | 83 | % 17 | % |
| Discover business card | 93 | % 7 | % |
| Personal loans | 97 | % 3 | % |
| Private student loans (excluding PCI) ⁽¹⁾ | 96 | % 4 | % |
| At December 31, 2013 | | | |
| Discover card | 83 | % 17 | % |
| Discover business card | 92 | % 8 | % |
| Personal loans | 97 | % 3 | % |
| Private student loans (excluding PCI) ⁽¹⁾ | 95 | % 5 | % |

(1)PCI loans are discussed under the heading "— Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans."

For private student loans, additional credit risk management activities include monitoring the amount of loans in forbearance. Forbearance allows borrowers experiencing temporary financial difficulties, but still willing to make payments, the ability to temporarily suspend payments. Eligible borrowers have a lifetime cap on forbearance of 12 months. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were \$52 million and \$110 million of private student loans, including PCI, in forbearance, respectively. In addition, at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were 0.9% and 1.9% of private student loans in forbearance as a percentage of student loans in repayment and forbearance, respectively. At June 30, 2014, the dollar amount of loans in forbearance and loans in forbearance as a percentage of private student loans in repayment and forbearance were lower when compared to December 31, 2013 due the implementation of temporary reduced payment programs, which normally consist of a reduction of the minimum payment for a period of no longer than 12 months at a time. Loans in these programs are not considered to be in forbearance.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company maintains an allowance for loan losses at an appropriate level to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The Company considers the collectibility of all amounts contractually due on its loan receivables, including those components representing interest and fees. Accordingly, the allowance for loan losses represents the estimated uncollectible principal, interest and fee components of loan receivables. The allowance is evaluated monthly and is maintained through an adjustment to the provision for loan losses. Charge-offs of principal amounts of loans outstanding are deducted from the allowance and subsequent recoveries of such amounts increase the allowance. Charge-offs of loan balances representing unpaid interest and fees result in a reversal of interest and fee income, respectively, which is effectively a reclassification of provision for loan losses.

The Company bases its allowance for loan losses on several analyses that help estimate incurred losses as of the balance sheet date. While the Company's estimation process includes historical data and analysis, there is a significant amount of judgment applied in selecting inputs and analyzing the results produced by the models to determine the allowance. The Company uses a migration analysis to estimate the likelihood that a loan will progress through the various stages of delinquency. The Company uses other analyses to estimate losses incurred on non-delinquent accounts. The considerations in these analyses include past performance, risk management techniques applied to various accounts, historical behavior of different account vintages, economic conditions, recent trends in delinquencies, bankruptcy filings, account collection management, policy changes, account seasoning, loan volume and amounts, payment rates, and forecasting uncertainties. The Company primarily estimates its allowance for loan losses on a pooled basis, which includes loans that are delinquent and/or no longer accruing interest and/or certain

loans that have defaulted from a loan modification program, as discussed below under the section entitled "— Troubled Debt Restructurings." Certain other loans, including non-performing Diners Club licensee loans, are individually evaluated for impairment.

Edgar Filing: Discover Financial Services - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following tables provide changes in the Company's allowance for loan losses (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|-------|---------|
| | Credit Card | Personal Loans | Student Loans | Other | Total |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$1,342 | \$109 | \$122 | \$18 | \$1,591 |
| Additions: | | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 317 | 22 | 20 | 1 | 360 |
| Deductions: | | | | | |
| Charge-offs | (415) | (24) | (15) | (1) | (455) |
| Recoveries | 115 | 2 | 1 | — | 118 |
| Net charge-offs | (300) | (22) | (14) | (1) | (337) |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,359 | \$109 | \$128 | \$18 | \$1,614 |

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|-------|---------|
| | Credit Card | Personal Loans | Student Loans | Other | Total |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$1,453 | \$97 | \$83 | \$1 | \$1,634 |
| Additions: | | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 193 | 20 | 12 | 15 | 240 |
| Deductions: | | | | | |
| Charge-offs | (417) | (22) | (14) | — | (453) |
| Recoveries | 131 | 3 | 1 | — | 135 |
| Net charge-offs | (286) | (19) | (13) | — | (318) |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,360 | \$98 | \$82 | \$16 | \$1,556 |

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|-------|---------|
| | Credit Card | Personal Loans | Student Loans | Other | Total |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$1,406 | \$112 | \$113 | \$17 | \$1,648 |
| Additions: | | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 547 | 40 | 43 | 2 | 632 |
| Deductions: | | | | | |
| Charge-offs | (823) | (48) | (30) | (1) | (902) |
| Recoveries | 229 | 5 | 2 | — | 236 |
| Net charge-offs | (594) | (43) | (28) | (1) | (666) |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,359 | \$109 | \$128 | \$18 | \$1,614 |

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|-------|---------|
| | Credit Card | Personal Loans | Student Loans | Other | Total |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$1,613 | \$99 | \$75 | \$1 | \$1,788 |
| Additions: | | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 320 | 37 | 27 | 15 | 399 |
| Deductions: | | | | | |
| Charge-offs | (839) | (42) | (21) | — | (902) |
| Recoveries | 266 | 4 | 1 | — | 271 |
| Net charge-offs | (573) | (38) | (20) | — | (631) |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,360 | \$98 | \$82 | \$16 | \$1,556 |

Table of Contents

Net charge-offs of principal are recorded against the allowance for loan losses, as shown in the table above. Information regarding net charge-offs of interest and fee revenues on credit card and other loans is as follows (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Interest and fees accrued subsequently charged off, net of recoveries (recorded as a reduction of interest income) | \$70 | \$70 | \$142 | \$147 |
| Fees accrued subsequently charged off, net of recoveries (recorded as a reduction to other income) | \$17 | \$14 | \$34 | \$30 |

The following tables provide additional detail of the Company's allowance for loan losses and recorded investment in its loan portfolio (which excludes loans held for sale) by impairment methodology (dollars in millions):

| | Credit Card | Personal Loans | Student Loans | Other Loans | Total |
|--|-------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|----------|
| At June 30, 2014 | | | | | |
| Allowance for loans evaluated for impairment as: | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment in accordance with ASC 450-20 | \$1,195 | \$104 | \$89 | \$1 | \$1,389 |
| Evaluated for impairment in accordance with ASC 310-10-35 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 164 | 5 | 11 | 17 | 197 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality, evaluated in accordance with ASC 310-30 | — | — | 28 | — | 28 |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$1,359 | \$109 | \$128 | \$18 | \$1,614 |
| Recorded investment in loans evaluated for impairment as: | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment in accordance with ASC 450-20 | \$51,683 | \$4,533 | \$4,303 | \$108 | \$60,627 |
| Evaluated for impairment in accordance with ASC 310-10-35 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 1,059 | 46 | 33 | 66 | 1,204 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality, evaluated in accordance with ASC 310-30 | — | — | 3,915 | — | 3,915 |
| Total recorded investment | \$52,742 | \$4,579 | \$8,251 | \$174 | \$65,746 |

At December 31, 2013

Allowance for loans evaluated for impairment as:

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|---------|---------|------|----------|
| Collectively evaluated for impairment in accordance with ASC 450-20 | \$1,218 | \$109 | \$76 | \$1 | \$1,404 |
| Evaluated for impairment in accordance with ASC 310-10-35 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 188 | 3 | 9 | 16 | 216 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality, evaluated in accordance with ASC 310-30 | — | — | 28 | — | 28 |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$1,406 | \$112 | \$113 | \$17 | \$1,648 |
| Recorded investment in loans evaluated for impairment as: | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment in accordance with ASC 450-20 | \$52,027 | \$4,160 | \$3,941 | \$56 | \$60,184 |

Edgar Filing: Discover Financial Services - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|---------|---------|-------|----------|
| Evaluated for impairment in accordance with ASC 310-10-35 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 1,123 | 31 | 28 | 79 | 1,261 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality, evaluated in accordance with ASC 310-30 | — | — | 4,178 | — | 4,178 |
| Total recorded investment | \$53,150 | \$4,191 | \$8,147 | \$135 | \$65,623 |

Loan receivables evaluated for impairment in accordance with ASC 310-10-35 include credit card loans, personal loans and student loans collectively evaluated for impairment in accordance with ASC Subtopic 310-40, (1) Receivables, which consists of modified loans accounted for as troubled debt restructurings. Other loans are individually evaluated for impairment and generally do not represent troubled debt restructurings.

The unpaid principal balance of credit card loans was \$895 million and \$900 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The unpaid principal balance of personal loans was \$45 million and \$31 million (2) at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The unpaid principal balance of student loans was \$31 million and \$26 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. All loans accounted for as troubled debt restructurings have a related allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

Troubled Debt Restructurings

Permanent and certain temporary modification programs for credit card loans as well as loans that defaulted or graduated from modification programs, certain grants of student loan forbearance and certain modifications to personal loans as well as those that defaulted or graduated from modification programs are considered troubled debt restructurings and are accounted for in accordance with ASC Subtopic 310-40, Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors. Generally, loans included in a loan modification program are considered to be individually impaired and are accounted for as troubled debt restructurings. The Company has both internal and external loan modification programs that provide relief to credit card and personal loan borrowers who are experiencing financial hardship. The internal loan modification programs include both temporary and permanent programs.

For credit card customers, the temporary hardship program primarily consists of a reduced minimum payment and an interest rate reduction, both lasting for a period no longer than 12 months. The permanent workout program involves changing the structure of the loan to a fixed payment loan with a maturity no longer than 60 months and reducing the interest rate on the loan. The permanent modification program does not normally provide for the forgiveness of unpaid principal, but may allow for the reversal of certain unpaid interest or fee assessments. The Company also makes loan modifications for customers who request financial assistance through external sources, such as a consumer credit counseling agency program (referred to here as external programs). These loans typically receive a reduced interest rate but continue to be subject to the original minimum payment terms and do not normally include waiver of unpaid principal, interest or fees.

To assist student loan borrowers who are experiencing temporary financial difficulties but are willing to resume making payments, the Company may offer forbearance periods of up to 12 months over the life of the loan. The Company does not anticipate significant shortfalls in the contractual amount due for borrowers using a first forbearance period as the historical performance of these borrowers is not significantly different from the overall portfolio. However, when a delinquent borrower is granted a second forbearance period, the forbearance is considered a troubled debt restructuring. In addition, the Company offers temporary reduced payment programs, which normally consist of a reduction of the minimum payment for a period of no longer than 12 months at a time. Student loans included in temporary reduced payment programs are not accounted for as troubled debt restructurings as long as the term of the program does not exceed 12 months.

For personal loan customers, the Company offers two temporary programs which normally consist of a reduction of the minimum payment for a period of no longer than 12 months with a final balloon payment required at the end of the loan term. In addition, the temporary APR reduction program also provides an interest rate reduction for up to 12 months. The permanent modification programs involve changing the terms of the loan in order to pay off the outstanding balance over the new term for a period no longer than 4 years. The total term, including both the original and renegotiated terms, generally does not exceed 9 years. The Company offers another permanent modification program which modifies the interest rate along with the term of the loan. The Company also allows loan modifications for personal loan customers who request financial assistance through external sources, similar to credit card customers discussed above. Payments are modified based on the new terms agreed upon with the credit counseling agency. Personal loans modified through temporary and permanent programs are accounted for as troubled debt restructurings. Beginning in first quarter of 2014, loan modifications through external sources are accounted for as troubled debt restructurings.

Loans classified as troubled debt restructurings are recorded at their present value with impairment measured as the difference between the loan balance and the discounted present value of cash flows expected to be collected.

Consistent with the Company's measurement of impairment of modified loans on a pooled basis, the discount rate used for credit card loans in internal programs is the average current annual percentage rate applied to non-impaired credit card loans, which approximates what would have applied to the pool of modified loans prior to impairment. The discount rate used for credit card loans in external programs reflects a rate that is consistent with rates offered to lower risk cardmembers. For student and personal loans, the discount rate used is the average contractual rate prior to modification.

Interest income from loans accounted for as troubled debt restructurings is accounted for in the same manner as other accruing loans. Cash collections on these loans are allocated according to the same payment hierarchy methodology

applied to loans that are not in such programs.

15

Table of Contents

Additional information about modified loans classified as troubled debt restructurings is shown below (dollars in millions):

| | Average recorded investment in loans | Interest income recognized during period loans were impaired ⁽¹⁾ | Gross interest income that would have been recorded with original terms ⁽²⁾ |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | |
| Credit card loans | | | |
| Modified credit card loans ⁽³⁾ | \$249 | \$12 | \$1 |
| Internal programs | \$452 | \$3 | \$16 |
| External programs | \$373 | \$7 | \$3 |
| Personal loans | \$46 | \$1 | \$1 |
| Student loans ⁽⁴⁾ | \$31 | \$— | N/A |
| For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
| Credit card loans | | | |
| Modified credit card loans ⁽³⁾ | \$270 | \$12 | \$1 |
| Internal programs | \$470 | \$2 | \$16 |
| External programs | \$476 | \$9 | \$2 |
| Personal loans | \$24 | \$— | N/A |
| Student loans ⁽⁴⁾ | \$20 | \$1 | N/A |
| For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | |
| Credit card loans | | | |
| Modified credit card loans ⁽³⁾ | \$253 | \$23 | \$2 |
| Internal programs | \$453 | \$6 | \$31 |
| External programs | \$384 | \$14 | \$6 |
| Personal loans | \$45 | \$2 | \$1 |
| Student loans ⁽⁴⁾ | \$30 | \$1 | N/A |
| For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
| Credit card loans | | | |
| Modified credit card loans ⁽³⁾ | \$275 | \$25 | \$2 |
| Internal programs | \$480 | \$5 | \$33 |
| External programs | \$492 | \$19 | \$5 |
| Personal loans | \$23 | \$1 | N/A |
| Student loans ⁽⁴⁾ | \$19 | \$1 | N/A |

- (1) The Company does not separately track interest income on loans in modification programs. Amounts shown are estimated by applying an average interest rate to the average loans in the various modification programs. The Company does not separately track the amount of gross interest income that would have been recorded if the loans in modification programs had not been restructured and interest had instead been recorded in accordance with
- (2) the original terms. Amounts shown are estimated by applying the difference between the average interest rate earned on non-impaired credit card loans and the average interest rate earned on loans in the modification programs to the average loans in the modification programs.
- (3) This balance is considered impaired, but is excluded from the internal and external program amounts reflected in this table. Represents credit card loans that were modified in troubled debt restructurings, but are no longer

enrolled in troubled debt restructuring program due to noncompliance with the terms of the modification or successful completion of a program

(4) Student loan customers who have been granted a forbearance are not given interest rate reductions.

In order to evaluate the primary financial effects that resulted from credit card loans entering into a loan modification program during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company quantified the amount by which interest and fees were reduced during the periods. During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company forgave approximately \$9 million, of interest and fees as a result of accounts entering into a credit card loan modification program. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company forgave approximately \$19 million and \$20 million, respectively, of interest and fees as a result of accounts entering into a credit card loan modification program.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information on loans that entered a loan modification program during the period (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | 2013 | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|
| | 2014 Number of Accounts | Balances | Number of Accounts | Balances |
| Accounts that entered a loan modification program during the period: | | | | |
| Credit card: | | | | |
| Internal programs | 10,648 | \$71 | 9,076 | \$57 |
| External programs | 7,824 | \$42 | 8,456 | \$45 |
| Personal loans | 820 | \$10 | 510 | \$6 |
| Student loans | 345 | \$5 | 126 | \$3 |

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | 2013 | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|
| | 2014 Number of Accounts | Balances | Number of Accounts | Balances |
| Accounts that entered a loan modification program during the period: | | | | |
| Credit card: | | | | |
| Internal programs | 23,084 | \$152 | 19,478 | \$123 |
| External programs | 16,255 | \$86 | 17,987 | \$97 |
| Personal loans | 1,532 | \$18 | 953 | \$12 |
| Student loans | 652 | \$9 | 298 | \$7 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents the carrying value of loans that experienced a payment default during the period that had been modified in a troubled debt restructuring during the 15 months preceding the end of each period (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Number of Accounts | Aggregated Outstanding Balances Upon Default | Number of Accounts | Aggregated Outstanding Balances Upon Default |
| Troubled debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted: | | | | |
| Credit card: | | | | |
| Internal programs ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 2,463 | \$15 | 2,512 | \$16 |
| External programs ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 1,685 | \$7 | 1,970 | \$8 |
| Personal loans ⁽²⁾ | 97 | \$1 | 61 | \$1 |
| Student loans ⁽³⁾ | 223 | \$3 | 56 | \$2 |

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | Number of Accounts | Aggregated Outstanding Balances Upon Default | Number of Accounts | Aggregated Outstanding Balances Upon Default |
| Troubled debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted: | | | | |
| Credit card: | | | | |
| Internal programs ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 4,794 | \$29 | 5,392 | \$34 |
| External programs ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 3,566 | \$15 | 4,221 | \$18 |
| Personal loans ⁽²⁾ | 203 | \$2 | 85 | \$1 |
| Student loans ⁽³⁾ | 534 | \$8 | 191 | \$5 |

The outstanding balance upon default is the loan balance at the end of the month prior to default. Terms revert back (1) to the pre-modification terms for customers who default from a temporary program and charging privileges remain revoked.

(2) A customer defaults from a modification program after two consecutive missed payments.

(3) Student loan defaults have been defined as loans that are 60 or more days delinquent.

Of the account balances that defaulted as shown above for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, approximately 39% and 47%, respectively, of the total balances charged off at the end of the month in which they defaulted. Of the account balances that defaulted as shown above for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, approximately 36% and 46%, respectively, of the total balances charged off at the end of the month in which they defaulted. For accounts that have defaulted from a loan modification program and have not subsequently charged off, the balances are included in the allowance for loan loss analysis discussed above under "— Allowance for Loan Losses." Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans

Purchased loans with evidence of credit deterioration since origination for which it is probable that not all contractually required payments will be collected are considered impaired at acquisition and are reported as PCI loans. The private student loans acquired in the SLC transaction as well as the additional private student loan portfolio acquired from Citibank, comprise the Company's only PCI loans at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Total PCI student loans had an outstanding balance of \$4.2 billion and \$4.6 billion, including accrued interest, and a related carrying amount of \$3.9 billion and \$4.2 billion as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table provides changes in accretable yield for the acquired loans during the period (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months | | For the Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
| | Ended June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$1,512 | \$2,021 | \$1,580 | \$2,072 |
| Accretion into interest income | (66 |) (69 |) (134 |) (139 |
| Other changes in expected cash flows | 4 | — | 4 | 19 |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,450 | \$1,952 | \$1,450 | \$1,952 |

Periodically, the Company updates the estimate of cash flows expected to be collected based on management's latest expectations of future credit losses, borrower prepayments and certain other assumptions that affect cash flows. The Company recorded no provision expense during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. The allowance for PCI loan losses at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was \$28 million. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, changes in other cash flow assumptions resulted in an increase in accretable yield primarily related to change in borrower prepayments. There were no changes to accretable yield attributable to changes in other cash flow expectations during the three months ended June 30, 2013. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, changes to other cash flow assumptions resulted in an increase in accretable yield related to expected life of the loans. Changes to accretable yield are recognized prospectively as an adjustment to yield over the remaining life of the pools.

At June 30, 2014, the 30 or more days delinquency and 90 or more days delinquency rates on PCI student loans (which includes loans not yet in repayment) were 2.11% and 0.72%, respectively. At December 31, 2013, the 30 or more days delinquency and 90 or more days delinquency rates on PCI student loans (which includes loans not yet in repayment) were 2.33% and 0.80%, respectively. These rates include private student loans that are greater than 120 days delinquent that are covered by an indemnification agreement or insurance arrangements through which the Company expects to recover a substantial portion of the loan. The net charge-off rate on PCI student loans was 0.50% and 1.45% for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and 0.62% and 1.44% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The decrease in net charge-off rate on PCI student loans is primarily due to seasoning of the portfolio and introduction of the temporary interest-only programs, which reduce the borrower's minimum payment for a period of no longer than 12 months at a time.

Mortgage Loans Held For Sale

The Company originates all of its residential real estate loans, except for home equity loans, with the intent to sell them in the secondary market. Loans held for sale consist primarily of residential first mortgage loans that are secured by residential real estate throughout the United States. Mortgage loans are funded through a warehouse line of credit and are recorded at fair value. Changes in the fair value of mortgage loans are recorded through other income prior to the sale of the loans to investors. The gain or loss on the sale of loans is recognized on the date the loans are sold and is based on the difference between the sale proceeds received and the carrying value of the loans, adjusted for the impact of the related hedges. See Note 14: Derivatives and Hedging Activities for further discussion of the mortgage loan related hedging activities. The Company sells its loans on a servicing released basis in which the Company gives up the right to service the loans.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a summary of the initial unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans sold during the period, by type of loan (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|---|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | | |
| | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Conforming ⁽¹⁾ | \$572 | 90.51 | % \$838 | 63.63 | | % |
| FHA ⁽²⁾ | 49 | 7.75 | 479 | 36.37 | | |
| Jumbo ⁽³⁾ | 11 | 1.74 | — | — | | |
| Total | \$632 | 100.00 | % \$1,317 | 100.00 | | % |

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|---|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | | |
| | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Conforming ⁽¹⁾ | \$1,019 | 90.26 | % \$1,503 | 59.76 | | % |
| FHA ⁽²⁾ | 97 | 8.59 | 1,012 | 40.24 | | |
| Jumbo ⁽³⁾ | 13 | 1.15 | — | — | | |
| Total | \$1,129 | 100.00 | % \$2,515 | 100.00 | | % |

(1) Conforming loans are loans that conform to Government Sponsored Enterprises guidelines.

(2) FHA loans are loans that are insured by the Federal Housing Administration and are typically made to borrowers with low down payments. The initial loan amount must be within certain limits.

(3) Jumbo loans are loans with an initial amount larger than the limits set by a Government Sponsored Enterprise.

The following table represents the loans held for sale by type of loan (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|---|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Conforming ⁽¹⁾ | \$109 | 84.50 | % \$136 | 91.89 | | % |
| FHA ⁽²⁾ | 14 | 10.85 | 11 | 7.43 | | |
| Jumbo ⁽³⁾ | 5 | 3.88 | 1 | 0.68 | | |
| VA ⁽⁴⁾ | 1 | 0.77 | — | — | | |
| Total | \$129 | 100.00 | % \$148 | 100.00 | | % |

(1) Conforming loans are loans that conform to Government Sponsored Enterprises guidelines.

(2) FHA loans are loans that are insured by the Federal Housing Administration and are typically made to borrowers with low down payments. The initial loan amount must be within certain limits.

(3) Jumbo loans are loans with an initial loan amount larger than the limits set by a Government Sponsored Enterprise.

(4) VA loans are loans that are insured by and conform to the Department of Veteran Affairs guidelines.

4. Credit Card and Student Loan Securitization Activities

Credit Card Securitization Activities

The Company accesses the term asset securitization market through the Discover Card Master Trust I (“DCMT”) and the Discover Card Execution Note Trust (“DCENT”), which are trusts into which credit card loan receivables are transferred (or, in the case of DCENT, into which beneficial interests in DCMT are transferred) and from which DCENT issues notes to investors.

The DCENT debt structure consists of four classes of securities (DiscoverSeries Class A, B, C and D notes), with the most senior class generally receiving a triple-A rating. In this structure, in order to issue senior, higher rated classes of notes, it is necessary to obtain the appropriate amount of credit enhancement, generally through the issuance of junior, lower rated or more highly subordinated classes of notes, the majority of which are held by wholly-owned subsidiaries of Discover Bank. The DCMT structure consisted of Class A, triple-A rated certificates and Class B, single-A rated certificates held by third parties. Credit enhancement was provided by the subordinated Class B certificates, cash

collateral accounts, and more subordinated Series 2009-CE certificates held by a wholly-owned subsidiary of Discover Bank. The credit-related risk of loss associated with trust assets as of the balance sheet date to which the Company is exposed through the retention of these subordinated interests is fully captured in the allowance for loan losses recorded by the Company.

20

Table of Contents

The Company's credit card securitizations are accounted for as secured borrowings and the trusts are treated as consolidated subsidiaries of the Company. The Company's retained interests in the assets of the trusts, principally consisting of investments in DCENT notes and previously DCMT certificates held by subsidiaries of Discover Bank, constitute intercompany positions which are eliminated in the preparation of the Company's condensed consolidated statement of financial condition.

Upon transfer of credit card loan receivables to the trust, the receivables and certain cash flows derived from them become restricted for use in meeting obligations to the trusts' creditors. Further, the transferred credit card loan receivables are owned by the trust and are not available to third-party creditors of the Company. The trusts have ownership of cash balances that also have restrictions, the amounts of which are reported in restricted cash.

Investment of trust cash balances is limited to investments that are permitted under the governing documents of the trusts and which have maturities no later than the related date on which funds must be made available for distribution to trust investors. With the exception of the seller's interest in trust receivables, the Company's interests in trust assets are generally subordinate to the interests of third-party investors and, as such, may not be realized by the Company if needed to absorb deficiencies in cash flows that are allocated to the investors in the trusts' debt.

The carrying values of these restricted assets, which are presented on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of financial condition as relating to securitization activities, are shown in the table below (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Cash collateral accounts | \$— | \$ 59 |
| Collections and interest funding accounts | 213 | 31 |
| Restricted cash | 213 | 90 |
| Investors' interests held by third-party investors | 14,550 | 15,190 |
| Investors' interests held by wholly-owned subsidiaries of Discover Bank | 5,439 | 5,024 |
| Seller's interest | 9,726 | 10,898 |
| Loan receivables ⁽¹⁾ | 29,715 | 31,112 |
| Allowance for loan losses allocated to securitized loan receivables ⁽¹⁾ | (776 |) (833 |
| Net loan receivables | 28,939 | 30,279 |
| Other | 37 | 34 |
| Carrying value of assets of consolidated variable interest entities | \$29,189 | \$ 30,403 |

The Company maintains its allowance for loan losses at an amount sufficient to absorb probable losses inherent in (1) all loan receivables, which includes all loan receivables in the trusts. Therefore, credit risk associated with the transferred receivables is fully reflected on the Company's balance sheet in accordance with GAAP.

The debt securities issued by the consolidated trusts are subject to credit, payment and interest rate risks on the transferred credit card loan receivables. To protect investors, the securitization structures include certain features that could result in earlier-than-expected repayment of the securities. The primary investor protection feature relates to the availability and adequacy of cash flows in the securitized pool of receivables to meet contractual requirements. Insufficient cash flows would trigger the early repayment of the securities. This is referred to as the "economic early amortization" feature.

Investors are allocated cash flows derived from activities related to the accounts comprising the securitized pool of receivables, the amounts of which reflect finance charges billed, certain fee assessments, allocations of merchant discount and interchange, and recoveries on charged-off accounts. From these cash flows, investors are reimbursed for charge-offs occurring within the securitized pool of receivables and receive a contractual rate of return and Discover Bank is paid a servicing fee as servicer. Any cash flows remaining in excess of these requirements are reported to investors as excess spread. An excess spread rate of less than 0% for a contractually specified period, generally a three-month average, would trigger an economic early amortization event. In such an event, the Company would be required to seek immediate sources of replacement funding. Apart from the restricted assets related to securitization activities, the investors and the securitization trusts have no recourse to the Company's other assets or the Company's general credit for a shortage in cash flows.

The Company is required to maintain a contractual minimum level of receivables in the trust in excess of the face value of outstanding investors' interests. This excess is referred to as the minimum seller's interest requirement. The required minimum seller's interest in the pool of trust receivables, which is included in credit card loan receivables restricted for securitization investors, is set at approximately 7% in excess of the total investors' interests (which includes interests held by third parties as well as those certificated interests held by the Company). If the level of receivables in the trust was to fall below the required minimum, the Company would be required to add receivables from the unrestricted pool of receivables,

Table of Contents

which would increase the amount of credit card loan receivables restricted for securitization investors. A decline in the amount of the excess seller's interest could occur if balance repayments and charge-offs exceeded new lending on the securitized accounts or as a result of changes in total outstanding investors' interests. Seller's interest is impacted by seasonality as higher balance repayments tend to occur in the first calendar year quarter. If the Company could not add enough receivables to satisfy the requirement, an early amortization (or repayment) of investors' interests would be triggered. The Company retains significant exposure to the performance of trust assets through holdings of the seller's interest and subordinated security classes of DCENT and previously DCMT. In addition, the Company has the right to remove a random selection of accounts, which would serve to decrease the amount of credit card loan receivables restricted for securitization investors, subject to certain requirements including that the minimum seller's interest is still met.

Another feature of the Company's credit card securitization structure that is designed to protect investors' interests from loss, which is applicable to the notes issued from DCENT, is a reserve account funding requirement in which excess cash flows generated by the transferred loan receivables are held at the trust. This funding requirement is triggered when DCENT's three-month average excess spread rate decreases to below 4.5%, with increasing funding requirements as excess spread levels decline below preset levels to 0%.

In addition to performance measures associated with the transferred credit card loan receivables or the inability to add receivables to satisfy the seller's interest requirement, there are other events or conditions which could trigger an early amortization event, such as non-payment of principal at expected maturity. As of June 30, 2014, no economic or other early amortization events have occurred.

The tables below provide information concerning investors' interests and related excess spreads at the end of the current period (dollars in millions):

| At June 30, 2014 | Investors' Interests ⁽¹⁾ | # of Series Outstanding | 3-Month Rolling Average Excess Spread ⁽²⁾ | % |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|
| Discover Card Execution Note Trust (DiscoverSeries notes) | \$19,989 | 38 | 13.43 | % |

(1) Investors' interests include third-party interests and subordinated interests held by wholly-owned subsidiaries of Discover Bank.

(2) DiscoverSeries notes refer to the higher of Group or DiscoverSeries excess spread in assessing whether an economic early amortization has been triggered. There are no DCMT certificates outstanding (other than the DCENT collateral certificate), therefore, the 3-month rolling average spreads for the Group and DiscoverSeries are the same.

The Company continues to own and service the accounts that generate the loan receivables held by the trusts. Discover Bank receives servicing fees from the trusts based on a percentage of the monthly investor principal balance outstanding. Although the fee income to Discover Bank offsets the fee expense to the trusts and thus is eliminated in consolidation, failure to service the transferred loan receivables in accordance with contractual requirements could lead to a termination of the servicing rights and the loss of future servicing income, net of related expenses.

Student Loan Securitization Activities

The Company's student loan securitizations are accounted for as secured borrowings and the trusts are treated as consolidated subsidiaries of the Company. Trust receivables underlying third-party investors' interests are recorded in purchased credit-impaired loans, and the related debt issued by the trusts is reported in long-term borrowings. The assets of the Company's consolidated VIEs are restricted from being sold or pledged as collateral for other borrowings and the cash flows from these restricted assets may be used only to pay obligations of the trust.

Currently there are three trusts from which securities were issued to investors. Principal payments on the long-term secured borrowings are made as cash is collected on the underlying loans that are used as collateral on the secured borrowings. The Company does not have access to cash collected by the securitization trusts until cash is released in accordance with the trust indenture agreements and, for certain securitizations, no cash will be released to the Company until all outstanding trust borrowings have been repaid. Similar to the credit card securitizations, the Company continues to own and service the accounts that generate the student loan receivables held by the trusts and

receives servicing fees from the trusts based on either a percentage of the principal balance outstanding or a flat fee per borrower. Although the servicing fee income offsets the fee expense related to the trusts, failure to service the transferred loan receivables in accordance with contractual requirements could lead to a termination of the servicing rights.

Under terms of all the trust arrangements, the Company has the option, but not the obligation, to provide financial support to the trusts, but has never provided such support. A substantial portion of the credit risk associated with the securitized loans has been transferred to third parties under private credit insurance or indemnification arrangements.

Table of Contents

The carrying values of these restricted assets, which are presented on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of financial condition as relating to securitization activities, are shown in the table below (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Restricted cash | \$88 | \$89 |
| Student loan receivables | 2,106 | 2,248 |
| Allowance for loan losses allocated to securitized loan receivables ⁽¹⁾ | (28 |) (28 |
| Net student loan receivables | 2,078 | 2,220 |
| Carrying value of assets of consolidated variable interest entities | \$2,166 | \$2,309 |

The Company maintains its allowance for loan losses at an amount sufficient to absorb probable losses inherent in (1) all loan receivables, which includes all loan receivables in the trusts. Therefore, credit risk associated with the transferred receivables is fully reflected on the Company's balance sheet in accordance with GAAP.

5. Deposits

The Company offers its deposit products to customers through two channels: (i) through direct marketing, internet origination and affinity relationships ("direct-to-consumer deposits"); and (ii) indirectly through contractual arrangements with securities brokerage firms ("brokered deposits"). Direct-to-consumer deposits include certificates of deposit, money market accounts, online savings and checking accounts, and IRA certificates of deposit, while brokered deposits include certificates of deposit, and sweep accounts.

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had approximately \$28.7 billion and \$28.4 billion, respectively, of direct-to-consumer deposits. As of each of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had approximately \$15.5 billion and \$16.4 billion, respectively, of brokered deposits.

A summary of interest-bearing deposit accounts is as follows (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Certificates of deposit in amounts less than \$100,000 ⁽¹⁾ | \$20,124 | \$21,211 |
| Certificates of deposit from amounts of \$100,000 ⁽¹⁾ to less than \$250,000 ⁽¹⁾ | 4,676 | 4,860 |
| Certificates of deposit in amounts of \$250,000 ⁽¹⁾ or greater | 1,165 | 1,180 |
| Savings deposits, including money market deposit accounts | 18,280 | 17,515 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | \$44,245 | \$44,766 |
| Average annual interest rate | 1.31 | % 1.57 % |

⁽¹⁾ \$100,000 represents the basic insurance amount previously covered by the FDIC. Effective July 21, 2010, the basic insurance per depositor was permanently increased to \$250,000.

At the end of the current period, certificates of deposit maturing over the remainder of this year, over each of the next four years, and thereafter were as follows (dollars in millions):

| Year | Amount |
|------------|---------|
| 2014 | \$6,678 |
| 2015 | \$8,575 |
| 2016 | \$4,210 |
| 2017 | \$2,604 |
| 2018 | \$1,808 |
| Thereafter | \$2,090 |

Table of Contents

6. Long-Term Borrowings

Long-term borrowings consist of borrowings and capital leases having original maturities of one year or more. The following table provides a summary of the Company's long-term borrowings and weighted average interest rates on balances outstanding at period end (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | | Interest Rate Terms | Maturity |
|---|---------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---|--|
| | Outstanding | Interest Rate | Outstanding | Interest Rate | | |
| Securitized Debt | | | | | | |
| Fixed rate asset-backed securities | | | | | | |
| Principal value (including discount of \$1 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013) | \$6,549 | 1.76 % | \$5,549 | 1.86 % | Various fixed rates | Various February 2015 - July 2019 |
| Fair value adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | 4 | | 5 | | | |
| Book value | 6,553 | | 5,554 | | | |
| Floating rate asset-backed securities | 7,150 | 0.49 % | 9,140 | 0.46 % | 1-month LIBOR(2) + 18 to 58 basis points | Various July 2014 - January 2019 |
| Floating rate asset-backed securities | 850 | 0.42 % | — | — | 3-month LIBOR(2) + 20 basis points | February 2017 |
| Floating rate asset-backed securities | — | — | 500 | 0.44 % | Commercial Paper rate + 30 basis points | March 2014 |
| Total Discover Card Master Trust I and Discover Card Execution Note Trust | 14,553 | | 15,194 | | | |
| Floating rate asset-backed securities | | | | | | |
| (including discount of \$107 and \$129 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013) | 914 | 0.47 % | 1,005 | 0.48 % | 3-month LIBOR(2) + 12 to 45 basis points | Various January 2019 - July 2036 (3) |
| Floating rate asset-backed securities (including discount of \$2 and \$3 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013) | 387 | 4.25 % | 434 | 4.25 % | Prime rate + 100 basis points | June 2031 (3) |
| Floating rate asset-backed securities (including premium of \$1 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013) | 94 | 4.00 % | 105 | 4.00 % | Prime rate + 75 basis points | July 2042 (3) |
| Floating rate asset-backed securities (including premium of \$2 and \$3 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013) | 217 | 3.65 % | 248 | 3.66 % | 1-month LIBOR(2) + 350 basis points | July 2042 (3) |
| Total SLC Private Student Loan Trusts | 1,612 | | 1,792 | | | |
| | 16,165 | | 16,986 | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-------|---|----------|-------|-----------------------|
| Total Long-Term Borrowings—owed to securitization investors | | | | | | |
| Discover Financial Services (Parent Company) | | | | | | |
| Fixed rate senior notes due 2017 | | | | | | |
| Principal value | 400 | 6.45 | % | 400 | 6.45 | % Fixed June 2017 |
| Fair value adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | 9 | | | 13 | | |
| Book value | 409 | | | 413 | | |
| Fixed rate senior notes due 2019 | | | | | | |
| Fixed rate senior notes due 2022 (including discount of \$100 and \$103 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013) ⁽⁴⁾ | 78 | 10.25 | % | 78 | 10.25 | % Fixed July 2019 |
| Fixed rate senior notes due 2022 (including discount of \$159 and \$165 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013) ⁽⁵⁾ | 222 | 5.20 | % | 219 | 5.20 | % Fixed April 2022 |
| Discover Bank | | | | | | |
| Senior bank notes due 2018 | 750 | 2.00 | % | 750 | 2.00 | % Fixed February 2018 |
| Senior bank notes due 2023 (including discount of \$6 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013) | 994 | 4.20 | % | 994 | 4.20 | % Fixed August 2023 |
| Senior bank notes due 2026 (including discount of \$1 at June 30, 2014) | 399 | 4.25 | % | — | — | % Fixed March 2026 |
| Subordinated bank notes due 2019 | 200 | 8.70 | % | 200 | 8.70 | % Fixed November 2019 |
| Subordinated bank notes due 2020 (including discount of \$2 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013) | 498 | 7.00 | % | 498 | 7.00 | % Fixed April 2020 |
| Capital lease obligations | 1 | 4.51 | % | 1 | 4.51 | % Fixed April 2016 |
| Total long-term borrowings | \$20,057 | | | \$20,474 | | |

Table of Contents

- (1) The Company uses interest rate swaps to hedge portions of these long-term borrowings against changes in fair value attributable to changes in LIBOR. See Note 14: Derivatives and Hedging Activities.
- (2) London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”).
- (3) Repayment of this debt is dependent upon the timing of principal and interest payments on the underlying student loans. The dates shown represent final maturity dates.
Discount primarily relates to \$115 million cash premium paid at issuance as part of 2012 private exchange offer to replace higher rate borrowings with borrowings bearing lower interest rates. During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, \$2 million and \$1 million of the premium paid was amortized and included in interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, \$4 million and \$3 million of the premium paid was amortized and included in interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income.
- (4) Discount primarily relates to \$176 million cash premium paid at issuance as part of 2012 private exchange offer to replace higher rate borrowings with borrowings bearing lower interest rates. During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, \$3 million of the premium paid was amortized and included in interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, \$6 million of the premium paid was amortized and included in interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income.
- (5) Discount primarily relates to \$176 million cash premium paid at issuance as part of 2012 private exchange offer to replace higher rate borrowings with borrowings bearing lower interest rates. During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, \$3 million of the premium paid was amortized and included in interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, \$6 million of the premium paid was amortized and included in interest expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

Maturities

Long-term borrowings had the following maturities at the end of the current period (dollars in millions):

| Year | Amount |
|-------------|----------|
| Due in 2014 | \$1,000 |
| Due in 2015 | 3,304 |
| Due in 2016 | 3,050 |
| Due in 2017 | 3,908 |
| Due in 2018 | 2,650 |
| Thereafter | 6,145 |
| Total | \$20,057 |

The Company has access to committed undrawn capacity through private securitizations to support the funding of its credit card loan receivables. As of June 30, 2014, the total commitment of secured credit facilities through private providers was \$7.5 billion, none of which had been used at June 30, 2014. Access to the unused portions of the secured credit facilities is subject to the terms of the agreements with each of the providers which have various expirations in 2015 and 2016. Borrowings outstanding under each facility bear interest at a margin above LIBOR or the asset-backed commercial paper costs of each individual conduit provider. The terms of each agreement provide for a commitment fee to be paid on the unused capacity, and include various affirmative and negative covenants, including performance metrics and legal requirements similar to those required to issue any term securitization transaction.

Table of Contents

7. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were as follows (dollars in millions):

| | Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Available-for-Sale Investment Securities, Net of Tax | Gain (Loss) on Cash Flow Hedges, Net of Tax | Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments, Net of Tax ⁽¹⁾ | Pension and Post Retirement Plan Gain (Loss), Net of Tax | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| Balance at March 31, 2013 | \$ 60 | \$2 | \$— | \$(146) | \$(84) |
| Net change | (31) | 8 | — | — | (23) |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | \$ 29 | \$10 | \$— | \$(146) | \$(107) |
| Balance at March 31, 2014 | \$ 21 | \$9 | \$1 | \$(101) | \$(70) |
| Net change | 8 | (10) | — | — | (2) |
| Balance at June 30, 2014 | \$ 29 | \$(1) | \$1 | \$(101) | \$(72) |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 71 | \$3 | \$— | \$(146) | \$(72) |
| Net change | (42) | 7 | — | — | (35) |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | \$ 29 | \$10 | \$— | \$(146) | \$(107) |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$ 19 | \$13 | \$1 | \$(101) | \$(68) |
| Net change | 10 | (14) | — | — | (4) |
| Balance at June 30, 2014 | \$ 29 | \$(1) | \$1 | \$(101) | \$(72) |

(1) Includes unrealized losses on hedge of net investment in foreign subsidiary, net of tax benefit and net gains on foreign currency translation adjustments.

Table of Contents

The table below presents the other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications and amounts reclassified from AOCI for each component of OCI before- and after-tax (dollars in millions):

| | Before Tax | Tax (Expense) Benefit | Net of Tax |
|--|------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | |
| Available-for-Sale Investment Securities: | | | |
| Net unrealized holding gains arising during the period | \$13 | \$(5) |) \$8 |
| Net change | \$13 | \$(5) |) \$8 |
| Cash Flow Hedges: | | | |
| Net unrealized losses arising during the period | \$(25) |) \$9 | \$(16) |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | 8 | (2) |) 6 |
| Net change | \$(17) |) \$7 | \$(10) |
| For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
| Available-for-Sale Investment Securities: | | | |
| Net unrealized holding losses arising during the period | \$(51) |) \$20 | \$(31) |
| Net change | \$(51) |) \$20 | \$(31) |
| Cash Flow Hedges: | | | |
| Net unrealized gains arising during the period | \$16 | \$(6) |) \$10 |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | (2) |) — | (2) |
| Net change | \$14 | \$(6) |) \$8 |
| For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | |
| Available-for-Sale Investment Securities: | | | |
| Net unrealized holding gains arising during the period | \$20 | \$(8) |) \$12 |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | (4) |) 2 | (2) |
| Net change | \$16 | \$(6) |) \$10 |
| Cash Flow Hedges: | | | |
| Net unrealized losses arising during the period | \$(38) |) \$14 | \$(24) |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | 15 | (5) |) 10 |
| Net change | \$(23) |) \$9 | \$(14) |
| For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
| Available-for-Sale Investment Securities: | | | |
| Net unrealized holding losses arising during the period | \$(65) |) \$25 | \$(40) |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | (2) |) — | (2) |
| Net change | \$(67) |) \$25 | \$(42) |
| Cash Flow Hedges: | | | |
| Net unrealized gains arising during the period | \$16 | \$(6) |) \$10 |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | (4) |) 1 | (3) |
| Net change | \$12 | \$(5) |) \$7 |

Table of Contents

8. Income Taxes

The following table presents the calculation of the Company's effective income tax rate (dollars in millions, except effective income tax rate):

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Six Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|---|
| | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Income before income tax expense | \$1,015 | \$981 | \$2,037 | \$2,061 | |
| Income tax expense | \$371 | \$379 | \$762 | \$786 | |
| Effective income tax rate | 36.6 | % 38.6 | % 37.4 | % 38.1 | % |

Income tax expense decreased \$8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. The effective tax rate decreased from 38.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2013 to 36.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2014. The decrease in effective tax rate is mainly due to a favorable adjustment to unrecognized tax benefit and changes in state apportionment factors.

Income tax expense decreased \$24 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. The effective tax rate decreased from 38.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to 37.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 due to a decrease in state income tax rates as a result of the changes in state apportionment factors.

The Company is subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), various state and foreign tax jurisdictions. The tax years under examination vary by jurisdiction. The IRS is currently examining 1999 through June 30, 2007 when Discover was a subsidiary of Morgan Stanley. The IRS issued the Notice of Proposed Adjustment for the years 2006 through June 30, 2007, which was accepted by the Company, resulting in the recognition of previously unrecognized tax benefits for those years. Additionally, the IRS is examining post-spin years, which covers 2008 through 2012.

The Company is pursuing an administrative appeal of the IRS's proposed assessment for the years 1999 through 2005, and 2008 through 2010. It is reasonably possible that a settlement of the IRS appeal for the years 1999 through 2005 and certain state audits may be made within 12 months of the reporting date. At this time, as a result of such settlements, the Company believes it is reasonably possible that a reduction of unrecognized tax benefits in the range of \$100 million to \$360 million could be recognized.

The Company regularly assesses the likelihood of additional assessments or settlements in each of the taxing jurisdictions resulting from these and subsequent years' examinations. The Company believes that its reserves are sufficient to cover any tax, penalties and interest that could result from such examinations.

Table of Contents

9. Earnings Per Share

The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS") (in millions, except per share amounts):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|--------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income | \$644 | \$602 | \$1,275 | \$1,275 |
| Preferred stock dividends | (10) | (10) | (19) | (19) |
| Net income available to common stockholders | 634 | 592 | 1,256 | 1,256 |
| Income allocated to participating securities | (4) | (4) | (8) | (9) |
| Net income allocated to common stockholders | \$630 | \$588 | \$1,248 | \$1,247 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding | 466 | 489 | 468 | 492 |
| Effect of dilutive common stock equivalents | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding and common stock equivalents | 467 | 490 | 469 | 494 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$1.35 | \$1.20 | \$2.66 | \$2.53 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$1.35 | \$1.20 | \$2.66 | \$2.52 |

Anti-dilutive securities were not material and had no impact on the computation of diluted EPS for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

10. Capital Adequacy

The Company is subject to the capital adequacy guidelines of the Federal Reserve, and Discover Bank (the "Bank"), the Company's main banking subsidiary, is subject to various regulatory capital requirements as administered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC"). Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can result in the initiation of certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the financial position and results of the Company and the Bank. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items, as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (as defined in the regulations) of total risk-based capital and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. As of June 30, 2014, the Company and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they were subject. Under regulatory capital requirements, the Company and the Bank must maintain minimum levels of capital that are dependent upon the risk-weighted amount or average level of the financial institution's assets, specifically (a) 8% to 10% of total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets ("total risk-based capital ratio"), (b) 4% to 6% of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ("Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio") and (c) 4% to 5% of Tier 1 capital to average assets ("Tier 1 leverage ratio"). To be categorized as "well-capitalized," the Company and the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table below. As of June 30, 2014, the Company and the Bank met the requirements for well-capitalized status and there have been no conditions or events that management believes have changed the Company's or the Bank's category.

The following table shows the actual capital amounts and ratios of the Company and the Bank and comparisons of each to the regulatory minimum and "well-capitalized" requirements (dollars in millions):

| Actual | Minimum Capital Requirements | Capital Requirements To Be Classified as Well-Capitalized |
|--------|---------------------------------|---|
|--------|---------------------------------|---|

Edgar Filing: Discover Financial Services - Form 10-Q

| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
|--|-----------|-------|------------|-------|----------|--------|
| At June 30, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Discover Financial Services | \$ 12,558 | 18.3 | % \$ 5,500 | ≥8.0% | \$ 6,875 | ≥10.0% |
| Discover Bank | \$ 10,864 | 16.0 | % \$ 5,436 | ≥8.0% | \$ 6,795 | ≥10.0% |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Discover Financial Services | \$ 10,991 | 16.0 | % \$ 2,750 | ≥4.0% | \$ 4,125 | ≥6.0% |
| Discover Bank | \$ 9,308 | 13.7 | % \$ 2,718 | ≥4.0% | \$ 4,077 | ≥6.0% |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) | | | | | | |
| Discover Financial Services | \$ 10,991 | 14.0 | % \$ 3,139 | ≥4.0% | \$ 3,924 | ≥5.0% |
| Discover Bank | \$ 9,308 | 12.0 | % \$ 3,100 | ≥4.0% | \$ 3,876 | ≥5.0% |
| At December 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Discover Financial Services | \$ 11,975 | 17.4 | % \$ 5,492 | ≥8.0% | \$ 6,865 | ≥10.0% |
| Discover Bank | \$ 10,496 | 15.5 | % \$ 5,428 | ≥8.0% | \$ 6,785 | ≥10.0% |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Discover Financial Services | \$ 10,409 | 15.2 | % \$ 2,746 | ≥4.0% | \$ 4,119 | ≥6.0% |
| Discover Bank | \$ 8,941 | 13.2 | % \$ 2,714 | ≥4.0% | \$ 4,071 | ≥6.0% |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) | | | | | | |
| Discover Financial Services | \$ 10,409 | 13.4 | % \$ 3,116 | ≥4.0% | \$ 3,895 | ≥5.0% |
| Discover Bank | \$ 8,941 | 11.6 | % \$ 3,077 | ≥4.0% | \$ 3,847 | ≥5.0% |

11. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

Lease Commitments

The Company leases various office space and equipment under capital and non-cancelable operating leases, which expire at various dates through 2024. At the end of the current period, future minimum payments on leases with original terms in excess of one year consist of the following (dollars in millions):

| | Capitalized Leases | Operating Leases |
|---|--------------------|------------------|
| 2014 | \$— | \$8 |
| 2015 | 1 | 14 |
| 2016 | — | 13 |
| 2017 | — | 11 |
| 2018 | — | 7 |
| Thereafter | — | 14 |
| Total minimum lease payments | 1 | \$67 |
| Less: Amount representing interest | — | |
| Present value of net minimum lease payments | \$1 | |

Unused Commitments to Extend Credit

At June 30, 2014, the Company had unused commitments to extend credit for loans of approximately \$167.0 billion. Such commitments arise primarily from agreements with customers for unused lines of credit on certain credit cards and certain other loan products, provided there is no violation of conditions in the related agreements. These commitments,

Table of Contents

substantially all of which the Company can terminate at any time and which do not necessarily represent future cash requirements, are periodically reviewed based on account usage, customer creditworthiness and loan qualification.

Securitizations Representations and Warranties

As part of the Company's financing activities, the Company provides representations and warranties that certain assets pledged as collateral in secured borrowing arrangements conform to specified guidelines. Due diligence is performed by the Company which is intended to ensure that asset guideline qualifications are met. If the assets pledged as collateral do not meet certain conforming guidelines, the Company may be required to replace, repurchase or sell such assets. In its credit card securitization activities, the Company would replace nonconforming receivables through the allocation of excess seller's interest or from additional transfers from the unrestricted pool of receivables. If the Company could not add enough receivables to satisfy the requirement, an early amortization (or repayment) of investors' interests would be triggered. In its student loan securitizations, the Company would generally repurchase the loans from the trust at the outstanding principal amount plus interest.

The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make would be equal to the current outstanding balances of third-party investor interests in credit card asset-backed securities plus the principal amount of any other outstanding secured borrowings. The Company has recorded substantially all of the maximum potential amount of future payments in long-term borrowings on the Company's statement of financial condition. The Company has not recorded any incremental contingent liability associated with its secured borrowing representations and warranties. Management believes that the probability of having to replace, repurchase or sell assets pledged as collateral under secured borrowing arrangements, including an early amortization event, is low.

Mortgage Loans Representations and Warranties

The Company sells loans it originates to investors on a servicing released basis and the risk of loss or default by the borrower is generally transferred to the investor. However, the Company is required by these investors to make certain representations and warranties relating to credit information, loan documentation and collateral. These representations and warranties may extend through the contractual life of the mortgage loan. Subsequent to the sale, if underwriting deficiencies, borrower fraud or documentation defects are discovered in individual mortgage loans, the Company may be obligated to repurchase the respective mortgage loan or indemnify the investors for any losses from borrower defaults if such deficiency or defect cannot be cured within the specified period following discovery. The Company has established a repurchase reserve based on expected losses. At June 30, 2014, this amount was not material and was included in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The related provision was included in other income on the condensed consolidated statements of income.

Guarantees

The Company has obligations under certain guarantee arrangements, including contracts and indemnification agreements, which contingently require the Company to make payments to the guaranteed party based on changes in an underlying asset, liability or equity security of a guaranteed party, rate or index. Also included as guarantees are contracts that contingently require the Company to make payments to a guaranteed party based on another entity's failure to perform under an agreement. The Company's use of guarantees is disclosed below by type of guarantee.

Counterparty Settlement Guarantees

Diners Club and DFS Services LLC (on behalf of PULSE) have various counterparty exposures, which are listed below.

Merchant Guarantee. Diners Club has entered into contractual relationships with certain international merchants, which generally include travel-related businesses, for the benefit of all Diners Club licensees. The licensees hold the primary liability to settle the transactions of their customers with these merchants. However, Diners Club retains a counterparty exposure if a licensee fails to meet its financial payment obligation to one of these merchants.

ATM Guarantee. PULSE entered into contractual relationships with certain international ATM acquirers in which DFS Services LLC retains counterparty exposure if an issuer fails to fulfill its settlement obligation.

Table of Contents

The maximum potential amount of future payments related to such contingent obligations is dependent upon the transaction volume processed between the time a counterparty defaults on its settlement and the time at which the Company disables the settlement of any further transactions for the defaulting party, which could be one month depending on the type of guarantee/counterparty. However, there is no limitation on the maximum amount the Company may be liable to pay. The actual amount of the potential exposure cannot be quantified as the Company cannot determine whether particular counterparties will fail to meet their settlement obligations.

While the Company has some contractual remedies to offset these counterparty settlement exposures (such as letters of credit or pledged deposits), in the event that all licensees and/or issuers were to become unable to settle their transactions, the Company estimates its maximum potential counterparty exposures to these settlement guarantees, based on historical transaction volume, would be as follows (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2014 |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Diners Club: | |
| Merchant guarantee | \$164 |
| PULSE: | |
| ATM guarantee | \$1 |

With regard to the counterparty settlement guarantees discussed above, the Company believes that the estimated amounts of maximum potential future payments are not representative of the Company's actual potential loss exposure given Diners Club's and PULSE's insignificant historical losses from these counterparty exposures. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had not recorded any contingent liability in the condensed consolidated financial statements for these counterparty exposures, and management believes that the probability of any payments under these arrangements is low.

The Company also retains counterparty exposure for the obligations of Diners Club licensees that participate in the Citishare network, an electronic funds processing network. Through the Citishare network, Diners Club customers are able to access certain ATMs directly connected to the Citishare network. The Company's maximum potential future payment under this counterparty exposure is limited to \$15 million subject to annual adjustment based on actual transaction experience. However, as of June 30, 2014, the Company had not recorded any contingent liability in the condensed consolidated financial statements related to this counterparty exposure, and management believes that the probability of any payments under this arrangement is low.

Merchant Chargeback Guarantees

The Company operates the Discover Network, issues payment cards and permits third parties to issue payment cards. The Company is contingently liable for certain transactions processed on the Discover Network in the event of a dispute between the payment card customer and a merchant. The contingent liability arises if the disputed transaction involves a merchant or merchant acquirer with whom the Discover Network has a direct relationship. If a dispute is resolved in the customer's favor, the Discover Network will credit or refund the disputed amount to the Discover Network card issuer, who in turn credits its customer's account. The Discover Network will then charge back the disputed amount of the payment card transaction to the merchant or merchant acquirer, where permitted by the applicable agreement, to seek recovery of amounts already paid to the merchant for payment card transactions. If the Discover Network is unable to collect the amount subject to dispute from the merchant or merchant acquirer (e.g., in the event of merchant default or dissolution) or after expiration of the time period for chargebacks in the applicable agreement, the Discover Network will bear the loss for the amount credited or refunded to the customer. In most instances, a loss by the Discover Network is unlikely to arise in connection with payments on card transactions because most products or services are delivered when purchased, and credits are issued by merchants on returned items in a timely fashion, thus minimizing the likelihood of cardholder disputes with respect to amounts paid by the Discover Network. However, where the product or service is not scheduled to be provided to the customer until a later date following the purchase, the likelihood of a contingent payment obligation by the Discover Network increases. Losses related to merchant chargebacks were not material for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 or 2013.

Edgar Filing: Discover Financial Services - Form 10-Q

The maximum potential amount of obligations of the Discover Network arising as a result of such contingent obligations is estimated to be the portion of the total Discover Network transaction volume processed to date for which timely and valid disputes may be raised under applicable law and relevant issuer and customer agreements. There is no limitation on the maximum amount the Company may be liable to pay to issuers. However, the Company believes that such

31

Table of Contents

amount is not representative of the Company's actual potential loss exposure based on the Company's historical experience. The actual amount of the potential exposure cannot be quantified as the Company cannot determine whether the current or cumulative transaction volumes may include or result in disputed transactions.

The table below summarizes certain information regarding merchant chargeback guarantees (in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Aggregate sales transaction volume ⁽¹⁾ | \$32,145 | \$30,212 | \$60,299 | \$57,440 |

⁽¹⁾ Represents period transactions processed on the Discover Network for which a potential liability exists that, in aggregate, can differ from credit card sales volume.

The Company did not record any contingent liability in the condensed consolidated financial statements for merchant chargeback guarantees as of June 30, 2014 or December 31, 2013. The Company mitigates the risk of potential loss exposure by withholding settlement from merchants, obtaining third-party guarantees, or obtaining escrow deposits or letters of credit from certain merchant acquirers or merchants that are considered higher risk due to various factors such as time delays in the delivery of products or services.

The table below provides information regarding settlement withholdings and escrow deposits, which are recorded in interest-bearing deposit accounts, and accrued expenses and other liabilities on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of financial condition (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Settlement withholdings and escrow deposits | \$20 | \$17 |

12. Litigation and Regulatory Matters

In the normal course of business, from time to time, the Company has been named as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions, and other litigation, arising in connection with its activities. Certain of the actual or threatened legal actions include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. The Company contests liability and/or the amount of damages as appropriate in each pending matter.

The Company has historically relied on the arbitration clause in its cardmember agreements, which has in some instances limited the costs of, and the Company's exposure to, litigation, but there can be no assurance that the Company will continue to be successful in enforcing its arbitration clause in the future. Legal challenges to the enforceability of these clauses have led most card issuers, and may cause the Company, to discontinue their use. In addition, bills are periodically introduced in Congress to directly or indirectly prohibit the use of pre-dispute arbitration clauses, and the 2010 Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Reform Act") authorized the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB") to conduct a study on pre-dispute arbitration clauses and, based on the study, potentially limit or ban arbitration clauses. A preliminary report on arbitration agreements issued by the CFPB expressed concerns about these agreements that may signal the CFPB is contemplating taking such steps. Further, the Company is involved in pending legal actions challenging its arbitration clause.

The Company is also involved, from time to time, in other reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by governmental agencies regarding the Company's business including, among other matters, consumer regulatory, accounting, tax and other operational matters, some of which may result in significant adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions, decreases in regulatory ratings, customer restitution or other relief, which could materially impact the Company's financial statements, increase its cost of operations, or limit its ability to execute its business strategies and engage in certain business activities. For example, Discover Bank entered into a Consent Order with the FDIC as described more fully below. Also, the Federal Reserve recently notified the Company of its intention to enter into a supervisory action with the Company to require enhancements to the Company's enterprise-wide anti-money laundering and related compliance programs. In addition, as previously disclosed, the

CFPB issued a Civil Investigative Demand to Discover Bank seeking documents and information regarding certain of Discover Bank's student loan servicing practices, which could lead to a supervisory action. The Company and Discover Bank are cooperating with the Federal Reserve and the CFPB, respectively, on these matters. Supervisory actions generally can include demands for civil money penalties, changes to certain business practices and customer restitution. Supervisory actions related to anti-money laundering and related laws and regulations will limit for a period of time the Company's ability to enter into certain types of acquisitions and make certain types of investments. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the Company establishes an accrued liability for legal and regulatory matters when those matters present loss contingencies which are both probable and estimable. Litigation expense was not material for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

There may be an exposure to loss in excess of any amounts accrued. The Company believes the estimate of the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses (meaning those losses the likelihood of which is more than remote but less than likely) in excess of the amounts that the Company has accrued for legal and regulatory proceedings is up to \$160 million. This estimated range of reasonably possible losses is based upon currently available information for those proceedings in which the Company is involved, takes into account the Company's best estimate of such losses for those matters for which an estimate can be made, and does not represent the Company's maximum potential loss exposure. Various aspects of the legal proceedings underlying the estimated range will change from time to time and actual results may vary significantly from the estimate.

The Company's estimated range above involves significant judgment, given the varying stages of the proceedings, the existence of numerous yet to be resolved issues, the breadth of the claims (often spanning multiple years and, in some cases, a wide range of business activities), unspecified damages and/or the novelty of the legal issues presented. The outcome of pending matters could be material to the Company's condensed consolidated financial condition, operating results and cash flows for a particular future period, depending on, among other things, the level of the Company's income for such period, and could adversely affect the Company's reputation.

On July 5, 2012, the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice (the "Division") issued a Civil Investigative Demand ("CID") to the Company seeking information regarding an investigation related to potential violations of Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §§1-2, by an unidentified party other than Discover. The CID seeks documents, data and narrative responses to several interrogatories and document requests, related to the debit card market. A CID is a request for information in the course of a civil investigation and does not constitute the commencement of legal proceedings. The Division is permitted by statute to issue a CID to anyone whom it believes may have information relevant to an investigation. The receipt of a CID does not presuppose that there is probable cause to believe that a violation of the antitrust laws has occurred or that a formal complaint ultimately will be filed. The Company is cooperating with the Division in connection with the CID.

On August 14, 2012, a purported shareholder, James Groen, filed a shareholder derivative action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois (Groen v. Nelms et al.) against the Company's board of directors, certain current and former officers and directors, and the Company as nominal defendant. On August 27, 2012, a second purported shareholder, the Charter Township of Clinton Police and Fire Retirement System, filed a substantially identical shareholder derivative action in the same court against the same parties (Charter Township of Clinton Police and Fire Retirement System v. Nelms et al.). On September 25, 2012, the actions were consolidated, and on February 19, 2013, the plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint. The consolidated complaint asserts claims against the board of directors and certain current and former officers and directors for alleged breach of fiduciary duty, corporate waste, and unjust enrichment arising out of the Company's alleged violations of the law in connection with the marketing and sale of its protection products. The relief sought in the consolidated complaint includes changes to the Company's corporate governance procedures; unspecified damages, injunctive relief, restitution, and disgorgement from the individual defendants; and attorneys' fees. On April 5, 2013, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended consolidated complaint, and on June 5, 2013, briefing on the motion to dismiss was completed. The motion to dismiss is currently pending.

On June 13, 2014, Discover Bank entered into a Consent Order with the FDIC to resolve previously disclosed matters related to the FDIC's examination of Discover Bank's anti-money laundering and related compliance programs. In the Consent Order, Discover Bank agreed to, among other things, enhance its anti-money laundering and related compliance programs. The order does not include civil money penalties.

13. Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement, provides a three-level hierarchy for classifying financial instruments, which is based on whether the inputs to the valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of each financial instrument are observable or unobservable. It also requires certain disclosures about those measurements. The three level valuation hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1: Fair values determined by Level 1 inputs are defined as those that utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in

Table of Contents

active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access.

Level 2: Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs are those that utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active or inactive markets, quoted prices for the identical assets in an inactive market, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. The Company evaluates factors such as the frequency of transactions, the size of the bid-ask spread and the significance of adjustments made when considering transactions involving similar assets or liabilities to assess the relevance of those observed prices. If relevant and observable prices are available, the fair values of the related assets or liabilities would be classified as Level 2.

Level 3: Fair values determined by Level 3 inputs are those based on unobservable inputs, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability being valued. In instances in which the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety is classified is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company may utilize both observable and unobservable inputs in determining the fair values of financial instruments classified within the Level 3 category.

The determination of classification of its financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy is performed at least quarterly by the Company. For transfers in and out of the levels of the fair value hierarchy, the Company discloses the fair value measurement based on the value immediately preceding the transfer.

The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and involves consideration of factors specific to the asset or liability. Furthermore, certain techniques used to measure fair value involve some degree of judgment and, as a result, are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company would realize in a current market exchange.

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, there were no changes to the Company's valuation techniques that had, or are expected to have, a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are as follows (dollars in millions):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total |
|--|--|---|--|---------|
| Balance at June 30, 2014 | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| U.S Treasury securities | \$ 1,340 | \$— | \$— | \$1,340 |
| U.S government agency securities | 1,043 | — | — | 1,043 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | — | 1,617 | — | 1,617 |
| Available-for-sale investment securities | \$ 2,383 | \$1,617 | \$— | \$4,000 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | \$ — | \$129 | \$— | \$129 |
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$ — | \$— | \$8 | \$8 |
| Forward delivery contracts | — | 2 | — | 2 |
| Other derivative financial instruments | — | 50 | — | 50 |
| Derivative financial instruments | \$ — | \$52 | \$8 | \$60 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Forward delivery contracts | \$ — | \$6 | \$— | \$6 |
| Other derivative financial instruments | — | 10 | — | 10 |
| Derivative financial instruments | \$ — | \$16 | \$— | \$16 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| U.S Treasury securities | \$ 2,057 | \$— | \$— | \$2,057 |
| U.S government agency securities | 1,561 | — | — | 1,561 |
| Credit card asset-backed securities of other issuers | — | 6 | — | 6 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | — | 1,307 | — | 1,307 |
| Available-for-sale investment securities | \$ 3,618 | \$1,313 | \$— | \$4,931 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | \$ — | \$148 | \$— | \$148 |
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$ — | \$— | \$4 | \$4 |
| Forward delivery contracts | — | 5 | — | 5 |
| Other derivative financial instruments | — | 70 | — | 70 |
| Derivative financial instruments | \$ — | \$75 | \$4 | \$79 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Forward delivery contracts | \$ — | \$1 | \$— | \$1 |
| Other derivative financial instruments | — | 6 | — | 6 |
| Derivative financial instruments | \$ — | \$7 | \$— | \$7 |

There were no transfers between Levels 1 and 2 within the fair value hierarchy for the three or six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Table of Contents

Available-for-Sale Investment Securities

Investment securities classified as available-for-sale consist of U.S. Treasury and government agency securities, residential mortgage-backed securities, and credit card asset-backed securities issued by other financial institutions. The fair value estimates of investment securities classified as Level 1, consisting of U.S. Treasury and government agency securities, are determined based on quoted market prices for the same or similar securities. The Company classifies all other available-for-sale investment securities as Level 2, the fair value estimates of which are primarily obtained from pricing services, where fair values are estimated using pricing models based on observable market inputs or recent trades of similar securities. The fair value estimates of mortgage-backed and credit card asset-backed securities are based on the best information available. This data may consist of observed market prices, broker quotes or discounted cash flow models that incorporate assumptions such as benchmark yields, issuer spreads, prepayment speeds, credit ratings and losses, the priority of which may vary based on availability of information.

The Company validates the fair value estimates provided by the pricing services primarily by comparison to valuations obtained through other pricing sources. The Company evaluates pricing variances amongst different pricing sources to ensure that the valuations utilized are reasonable. The Company also corroborates the reasonableness of the fair value estimates with analysis of trends of significant inputs, such as market interest rate curves. The Company further performs due diligence in understanding the procedures and techniques performed by the pricing services to derive fair value estimates.

At June 30, 2014, amounts reported in residential mortgage-backed securities reflect government-rated obligations issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae with a par value of \$1.6 billion, a weighted-average coupon of 2.81% and a weighted-average remaining maturity of 4 years.

Mortgage Loans Held for Sale and Related Derivative Instruments

The Company enters into commitments with consumers to originate mortgage loans at a specified interest rate, known as interest rate lock commitments (“IRLCs”). The Company reports IRLCs as derivative instruments at fair value with changes in fair value being recorded in other income. IRLCs and mortgage loans held for sale under certain loan programs are hedged in aggregate using “to be announced mortgage-backed securities” (“TBA MBS”). IRLCs and mortgage loans held for sale under loan programs that generally have lower volume are hedged on an individual loan level using best-efforts forward delivery contracts.

Fair values for each of these instruments are determined using quantitative risk models. The Company has various monitoring processes in place to validate these valuations, including valuations of Level 3 assets. Valuation results are reviewed in comparison to expected results, recent activity, and historical trends. Any significant or unusual fluctuations in value are analyzed.

Mortgage loans held for sale. Valuations of mortgage loans held for sale are based on the loan amount, note rate, loan program, expected sale date of the loan and, most significantly, investor pricing tables stratified by product, note rate and term, adjusted for current market conditions. Mortgage loans held for sale are classified as Level 2 as the investor pricing tables used to value them are an observable input. Impaired mortgage loans held for sale are classified as Level 3 as loss severity is an unobservable input used in valuation. The Company recognizes interest income separately from changes in fair value.

Interest rate lock commitments. IRLCs for loans to be sold to investors using a mandatory or assignment of trade method derive their base value from an underlying loan type with similar characteristics using the TBA MBS market, which is actively quoted and easily validated through external sources. The data inputs used in this valuation include, but are not limited to, loan type, underlying loan amount, note rate, loan program, and commitment term. IRLCs for loans to be sold to investors on a best-efforts basis derive their base value from the value of the underlying loans using investor pricing tables stratified by product, note rate and term, adjusted for current market conditions. These valuations are adjusted at the loan level to consider the servicing release premium and loan pricing adjustments specific to each loan. For all IRLCs, this base value is then adjusted for the anticipated loan funding probability, or pull through rate. The anticipated loan funding probability is an unobservable input based on historical experience, which results in classification of IRLCs as Level 3.

Forward delivery contracts. Under the Company's risk management policy, the Company economically hedges the changes in fair value of IRLCs and mortgage loans held for sale caused by changes in interest rates by using TBA

MBS and entering into best-efforts forward delivery contracts. These hedging instruments are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in other income. TBA MBS used to hedge both IRLCs and loans

Table of Contents

held for sale are valued based primarily on observable inputs related to characteristics of the underlying MBS stratified by product, coupon and settlement date. Therefore, these derivatives are classified as Level 2. Best-efforts forward delivery contracts are valued based on investor pricing tables, which are observable inputs, stratified by product, note rate, and term, adjusted for current market conditions. An anticipated loan funding probability is applied to value best-efforts contracts hedging IRLCs, which results in the classification of these contracts as Level 3. The current base loan price and, for best-efforts contracts hedging IRLCs, the anticipated loan funding probability, are the most significant assumptions affecting the value of the best-efforts contracts. The best-efforts forward delivery contracts hedging loans held for sale are classified as Level 2, so such contracts are transferred from Level 3 to Level 2 at the time the underlying loan is originated. For the purposes of the tables below, TBA MBS and best-efforts forward delivery contracts are referred to as forward delivery contracts.

Other Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company's other derivative financial instruments consist of interest rate swaps and foreign exchange forward contracts. The fair value of these instruments is estimated by a third-party valuation service that uses proprietary pricing models, where certain inputs to those models are readily observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves, option volatility and foreign currency forward and spot rates. In determining fair values, the pricing models use widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity and uses observable market-based inputs. The fair values of the interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments are based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observable market interest rate curves. The Company considers collateral and master netting agreements that mitigate credit exposure to counterparties in determining the counterparty credit risk valuation adjustment. The fair values of the currency instruments are valued comparing the contracted forward exchange rate pertaining to the specific contract maturities to the current market exchange rate.

The Company validates the fair value estimates of interest rate swaps primarily through comparison to the fair value estimates computed by the counterparties to each of the derivative transactions. The Company evaluates pricing variances amongst different pricing sources to ensure that the valuations utilized are reasonable. The Company also corroborates the reasonableness of the fair value estimates with analysis of trends of significant inputs, such as market interest rate curves. The Company performs due diligence in understanding the impact to any changes to the valuation techniques performed by proprietary pricing models prior to implementation, working closely with the third-party valuation service, and reviews the control objectives of the service at least annually. The Company corroborates the fair value of foreign exchange forward contracts through independent calculation of the fair value estimates.

Assets and Liabilities under the Fair Value Option

The Company has elected to account for mortgage loans held for sale at fair value. Electing the fair value option allows a better offset of the changes in fair values of the loans and the forward delivery contracts used to economically hedge them without the burden of complying with the requirements for hedge accounting. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans held for sale for which the fair value option had been elected was \$125 million and \$146 million, respectively. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the same loans had a fair value of \$129 million and \$148 million, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, \$2 million of gains and \$3 million of losses, respectively, from fair value adjustments on mortgage loans held for sale were recorded in other revenue on the condensed consolidated statements of income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, \$17 million and \$23 million, respectively, of losses from fair value adjustments on mortgage loans held for sale were recorded in other revenue on the condensed consolidated statements of income.

Table of Contents

Level 3 Financial Instruments Only

Changes in Level 3 Assets and Liabilities Measure at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables provide changes in the Company's Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (dollars in millions):

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014

| | Balance at March 31, 2014 | Transfers into Level 3 | Transfers out of Level 3 | Total Net Gains included in Earnings | Purchases | Sales | Settlements | Transfers of IRLCs to Closed Loans | Balance at June 30, 2014 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------|-------|-------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$5 | — | — | 25 | — | — | 2 | (24) | \$8 |

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013

| | Balance at March 31, 2013 | Transfers into Level 3 | Transfers out of Level 3 | Total Net Gains included in Earnings | Purchases | Sales | Settlements | Transfers of IRLCs to Closed Loans | Balance at June 30, 2013 |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------|-------|-------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$14 | — | — | 33 | — | — | 2 | (41) | \$8 |
| Forward delivery contracts | \$— | — | (1) | 2 | — | — | — | — | \$1 |
| Mortgage loans held for \$1 sale | | 1 | — | — | 1 | (2) | (1) | — | \$— |

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

| | Balance at December 31, 2013 | Transfers into Level 3 | Transfers out of Level 3 | Total Net Gains included in Earnings | Purchases | Sales | Settlements | Transfers of IRLCs to Closed Loans | Balance at June 30, 2014 |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------|-------|-------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$4 | — | — | 43 | — | — | 2 | (41) | \$8 |
| Forward delivery contracts | \$— | — | (1) | 1 | — | — | — | — | \$— |
| Mortgage loans held for \$— sale | | 1 | — | — | — | (1) | — | — | \$— |

Edgar Filing: Discover Financial Services - Form 10-Q

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

| | Balance at December 31, 2012 | Transfers into Level 3 | Transfers out of Level 3 | Total Net Gains included in Earnings | Purchases | Sales | Settlements | Transfers of IRLCs to Closed Loans | Balance at June 30, 2013 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------|-------|-------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$12 | — | — | 81 | — | — | 5 | (90) | \$8 |
| Forward delivery contracts | \$— | — | (1) | 2 | — | — | — | — | \$1 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | \$— | 2 | — | — | 1 | (2) | (1) | — | \$— |

37

Table of Contents

Unobservable Inputs and Sensitivities

The following table presents information about significant unobservable inputs related to the Company's Level 3 financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis at the end of the current period (dollars in millions):

| At June 30, 2014 | Fair Value | Valuation Technique | Significant Unobservable Input | Ranges of Inputs | | Weighted Average ⁽¹⁾ | |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | Low | High | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$8 | Quantitative risk models | Loan funding probability | 8 | % 100 | % 55 | % |

(1) Weighted averages are calculated using notional amounts for derivative instruments.

The anticipated loan funding probability represents the Company's expectation regarding the percentage of IRLCs that will ultimately be funded. Generally, an increase in the anticipated loan funding probability would result in an increase in the magnitude of fair value measurements.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

The Company also has assets that under certain conditions are subject to measurement at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These assets include those associated with acquired businesses, including goodwill and other intangible assets. For these assets, measurement at fair value in periods subsequent to the initial recognition of the assets is applicable if one or more of the assets is determined to be impaired. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company had no material impairments related to these assets.

Table of Contents

Financial Instruments Measured at Other Than Fair Value

The following tables disclose the estimated fair value of the Company's financial assets and financial liabilities that are not required to be carried at fair value (dollars in millions):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total | Carrying Value |
|---|--|---|--|----------|-------------------|
| Balance at June 30, 2014 | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| U.S Treasury securities | \$ 1 | \$— | \$— | \$1 | \$1 |
| States and political subdivisions of states | — | 10 | — | 10 | 10 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | — | 60 | — | 60 | 59 |
| Held-to-maturity investment securities | \$ 1 | \$70 | \$— | \$71 | \$70 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 6,879 | \$— | \$— | \$6,879 | \$6,879 |
| Restricted cash | \$ 305 | \$— | \$— | \$305 | \$305 |
| Net loan receivables ⁽¹⁾ | \$ — | \$— | \$ 64,995 | \$64,995 | \$64,132 |
| Accrued interest receivables | \$ — | \$567 | \$— | \$567 | \$567 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Deposits | \$ — | \$44,639 | \$— | \$44,639 | \$44,445 |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ — | \$120 | \$— | \$120 | \$120 |
| Long-term borrowings - owed to securitization investors | \$ — | \$14,699 | \$ 1,765 | \$16,464 | \$16,165 |
| Other long-term borrowings | \$ — | \$4,507 | \$ 1 | \$4,508 | \$3,892 |
| Accrued interest payables | \$ — | \$117 | \$— | \$117 | \$117 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| U.S Treasury securities | \$ 1 | \$— | \$— | \$1 | \$1 |
| States and political subdivisions of states | — | 14 | — | 14 | 15 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities - Agency | — | 43 | — | 43 | 44 |
| Held-to-maturity investment securities | \$ 1 | \$57 | \$— | \$58 | \$60 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 6,554 | \$— | \$— | \$6,554 | \$6,554 |
| Restricted cash | \$ 182 | \$— | \$— | \$182 | \$182 |
| Net loan receivables ⁽¹⁾ | \$ — | \$— | \$ 64,968 | \$64,968 | \$63,975 |
| Accrued interest receivables | \$ — | \$556 | \$— | \$556 | \$556 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Deposits | \$ — | \$45,231 | \$— | \$45,231 | \$44,959 |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ — | \$140 | \$— | \$140 | \$140 |
| Long-term borrowings - owed to securitization investors | \$ — | \$15,312 | \$ 1,971 | \$17,283 | \$16,986 |
| Other long-term borrowings | \$ — | \$3,934 | \$ 1 | \$3,935 | \$3,488 |
| Accrued interest payables | \$ — | \$117 | \$— | \$117 | \$117 |

(1) Net loan receivables exclude mortgage loans held for sale that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair values of these financial assets and liabilities, which are not carried at fair value on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition, were determined by applying the fair value provisions discussed herein. The use of different assumptions or estimation techniques may have a material effect on these estimated fair value amounts. The following describes the valuation techniques of these financial instruments measured at other than fair value.

Table of Contents

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value due to the low level of risk these assets present to the Company as well as the relatively liquid nature of these assets, particularly given their short maturities.

Restricted Cash

The carrying value of restricted cash approximates fair value due to the low level of risk these assets present to the Company as well as the relatively liquid nature of these assets, particularly given their short maturities.

Held-to-Maturity Investment Securities

Held-to-maturity investment securities consist of residential mortgage-backed securities issued by agencies and municipal bonds. The fair value of residential mortgage-backed securities included in the held-to-maturity portfolio is estimated similarly to residential mortgage-backed securities carried at fair value on a recurring basis discussed herein. Municipal bonds are valued based on quoted market prices for the same or similar securities.

Total Loan Portfolio

The Company's loan receivables are comprised of credit card and installment loans, including the PCI student loans. Fair value estimates are derived utilizing discounted cash flow analyses, the calculations of which are performed on groupings of loan receivables that are similar in terms of loan type and characteristics. Inputs to the cash flow analysis of each grouping consider recent pre-payment and interest accrual trends and leverage forecasted loss estimates. The expected future cash flows, derived through the cash flow analysis, of each grouping are discounted at rates at which similar loans within each grouping could be originated under current market conditions. Significant inputs to the fair value measurement of the loan portfolio are unobservable, and as such are classified as Level 3.

Accrued Interest Receivables

The carrying value of accrued interest receivable, which is included in other assets on the statement of financial condition, approximates fair value as it is short term in nature and is due in less than one year.

Deposits

The carrying values of money market deposits, savings deposits and demand deposits approximate fair value due to the potentially liquid nature of these deposits. For time deposits for which readily available market rates do not exist, fair values are estimated by discounting expected future cash flows using market rates currently offered for deposits with similar remaining maturities.

Short-Term Borrowings

The carrying values of short-term borrowings approximate fair value as they are short term in nature and have maturities of less than one year.

Long-Term Borrowings - Owed to Securitization Investors

Fair values of long-term borrowings owed to credit card securitization investors are determined utilizing quoted market prices of the same transactions and, as such, are classified as Level 2. Fair values of long-term borrowings owed to student loan securitization investors are calculated by discounting cash flows using estimated assumptions including, among other things, maturity and market discount rates. A portion of the difference between the carrying value and the fair value of the long-term borrowings owed to student loan securitization investors relates to purchase accounting adjustments recorded in connection with the December 2010 purchase of SLC. Significant inputs to these fair value measurements are unobservable and, as such, are classified as Level 3.

Other Long-Term Borrowings

Fair values of other long-term borrowings, consisting of subordinated debt and unsecured debt, are determined utilizing current observable market prices for those transactions and, as such, are classified as Level 2. A portion of the difference between the carrying value and the fair value of the subordinated debt relates to the cash premiums paid in connection with the second and fourth quarter of the 2012 fiscal year debt exchanges as discussed in further detail in Note 6:

Table of Contents

Long-Term Borrowings. Fair values of other long-term borrowing for which there are no observable market transactions, namely capitalized leases, are determined by discounting cash flows of future interest accruals at market rates currently offered for borrowings with similar credit risks, remaining maturities and repricing terms. As the significant inputs to these fair value measurements are unobservable, they are classified as Level 3.

Accrued Interest Payables

The carrying value of the Company's accrued interest payable, which is included in other liabilities on the statement of financial condition, approximates fair value as it is short term in nature and is payable in less than one year.

14. Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company uses derivatives to manage its exposure to various financial risks. The Company does not enter into derivatives for trading or speculative purposes. Certain derivatives used to manage the Company's exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks are not designated as hedges and do not qualify for hedge accounting.

Derivatives may give rise to counterparty credit risk, which generally is addressed through collateral arrangements as described under the sub-heading "— Collateral Requirements and Credit-Risk Related Contingency Features." The Company enters into derivative transactions with established dealers that meet minimum credit criteria established by the Company. All counterparties must be pre-approved prior to engaging in any transaction with the Company.

Counterparties are monitored on a regular basis by the Company to ensure compliance with the Company's risk policies and limits. In determining the counterparty credit risk valuation adjustment for the fair values of derivatives, the Company considers collateral and legally enforceable master netting agreements that mitigate credit exposure to counterparties.

All derivatives are recorded in other assets at their gross positive fair values and in accrued expenses and other liabilities at their gross negative fair values. See Note 13: Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures for a description of the valuation methodologies of derivatives. Total derivative asset and liabilities are adjusted on an aggregate basis to take into consideration the effects of cash collateral receivable and payable. Cash collateral receivable and payable balances are recorded in other assets and deposits, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statement of financial condition. Collateral amounts recorded in the condensed consolidated statement of financial condition are based on the net collateral receivable or payable position for each applicable legal entity's master netting arrangement with each counterparty.

Derivatives Designated as Hedges

Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows arising from changes in interest rates, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates on investments in foreign entities are referred to as net investment hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges.

Cash Flow Hedges

The Company uses interest rate swaps to manage its exposure to changes in interest rates related to future cash flows resulting from interest receipts on credit card loan receivables, and from interest payments on credit card securitized debt and deposits. The Company's cash flow hedges related to credit card loan receivables were for an initial maximum period of three years, with none outstanding as of June 30, 2014. The Company's outstanding cash flow hedges are for an initial maximum period of five years for securitized debt and seven years for deposits. The derivatives are designated as hedges of the risk of changes in cash flows on the Company's LIBOR or Federal Funds rate-based interest payments, and qualify for hedge accounting in accordance with ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging ("ASC 815").

The effective portion of the change in the fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges is recorded in other comprehensive income and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted cash flows affect earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivative, if any, is recognized directly in earnings. Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income related to derivatives at June 30, 2014 will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on certain of the Company's floating rate securitized debt or deposits. During the next 12 months, the Company estimates it will reclassify \$29 million of pretax

losses to interest expense related to its derivatives designated as cash flow hedges.

41

Table of Contents

Net Investment Hedges

The Company is exposed to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates on investments it holds in foreign entities with a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar. The Company uses foreign exchange forward contracts to hedge its exposure to changes in foreign exchange rates on its net investment in Diners Club Italy. Foreign exchange forward contracts utilized by the Company involve fixing the U.S. dollar-euro exchange rate for delivery of a specified amount of foreign currency on a specified date. These derivatives are designated as net investment hedges, with the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivatives reported in other comprehensive income as part of the cumulative translation adjustment. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives, if any, is recognized directly in earnings. Amounts are reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings when the hedged net investment is either sold or substantially liquidated.

Fair Value Hedges

The Company is exposed to changes in fair value of certain of its fixed rate debt obligations due to changes in interest rates. The Company uses interest rate swaps to manage its exposure to changes in fair value of certain fixed rate senior notes, securitized debt and interest-bearing brokered deposits attributable to changes in LIBOR, a benchmark interest rate as defined by ASC 815. These interest rate swaps qualify as fair value hedges in accordance with ASC 815. Changes in both (i) the fair values of the derivatives and (ii) the hedged fixed rate senior notes, securitized debt and interest-bearing brokered deposits relating to the risk being hedged were recorded in interest expense. The changes provided substantial offset to one another, with any difference, or ineffectiveness recorded in interest expense. Any basis differences between the fair value and the carrying amount of the hedged item at the inception of the hedging relationship are amortized to interest expense.

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedges

Interest Rate Swaps

The Company may have, from time to time, interest rate swap agreements that are not designated as hedges. As part of its acquisition of SLC, the Company also acquired an interest rate swap related to the securitized debt assumed in the transaction. Such agreements are not speculative and are also used to manage interest rate risk but are not designated for hedge accounting. Changes in the fair value of these contracts are recorded in other income.

Foreign Exchange Forward Contracts

The Company has foreign exchange forward contracts that are economic hedges and are not designated as accounting hedges. The Company enters into foreign exchange forward contracts to manage foreign currency risk. Changes in the fair value of these contracts are recorded in other income.

Forward Delivery Contracts

The Company economically hedges the changes in fair value of IRLCs and mortgage loans held for sale caused by changes in interest rates by using TBA MBS and entering into best-efforts forward delivery commitments. These derivative instruments are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in other income.

Interest Rate Lock Commitments

The Company enters into commitments with consumers to originate residential mortgage loans at a specified interest rate. The Company reports IRLCs that relate to the origination of mortgage loans that will be held for sale as derivative instruments at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in other income.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the fair value (including accrued interest) and related outstanding notional amounts of derivative instruments and indicates where within the statement of financial condition each is reported (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2014 | | Statement of Financial Position Location | | December 31, 2013 | | Statement of Financial Position Location | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------|---|---|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Notional Amount | Number of Transactions | Other Assets (At Fair Value) | Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities (At Fair Value) | Notional Amount | Other Assets (At Fair Value) | Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities (At Fair Value) | |
| Derivatives designated as hedges: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps—cash flow hedge | \$2,950 | 6 | \$3 | \$8 | \$2,650 | \$18 | \$— | |
| Interest rate swaps—fair value hedge | \$6,069 | 198 | 47 | 2 | \$7,138 | 52 | 6 | |
| Foreign exchange forward contract - net investment hedge ⁽¹⁾ | \$31 | 1 | — | — | \$35 | — | — | |
| Derivatives not designated as hedges: | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts ⁽²⁾ | \$50 | 8 | — | — | \$44 | — | — | |
| Interest rate swap ⁽³⁾ | \$416 | 1 | — | — | \$796 | — | — | |
| Forward delivery contracts | \$1,093 | 399 | 2 | 6 | \$693 | 5 | 1 | |
| Interest rate lock commitments ⁽³⁾ | \$443 | 1,886 | 8 | — | \$235 | 4 | — | |
| Total gross derivative assets / liabilities ⁽⁴⁾ | | | 60 | 16 | | 79 | 7 | |
| Less: Collateral receivable / payable ⁽⁵⁾ | | | (40) | (11) | | (61) | (7) | |
| Total net derivative assets / liabilities | | | \$20 | \$5 | | \$18 | \$— | |

(1) The foreign exchange forward contract has a notional amount of EUR 23 million and EUR 26 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The foreign exchange forward contracts have notional amounts of EUR 22 million, GBP 6 million, SGD 1 million and CHF 8 million as of June 30, 2014, and EUR 20 million, GBP 6 million, SGD 1 million and CHF 5 million as of December 31, 2013.

(3) Interest rate swaps not designated as hedges and interest rate lock commitments do not have associated master netting arrangements.

In addition to the derivatives disclosed in the table, the Company had one outstanding forward contract to purchase when-issued mortgage-backed securities as part of the community reinvestment initiatives. This forward contract had a notional amount of \$23 million and \$40 million and immaterial fair values as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

(5)

Collateral amounts, which consist of both cash and investment securities, are limited to the related derivative asset/liability balance and do not include excess collateral received/pledged.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the impact of the derivative instruments on income, and indicates where within the consolidated statements of income such impact is reported for the period (dollars in millions):

| | Location | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|----------------------------|--|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| | | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Derivatives designated as hedges: | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps—cash flow hedges: | | | | | |
| Total (losses) gains recognized in other comprehensive income after amounts reclassified into earnings, pre-tax | Other Comprehensive Income | \$(17 |) \$14 | \$(23 |) \$12 |
| Total (losses) gains recognized in other comprehensive income | | \$(17 |) \$14 | \$(23 |) \$12 |
| Amount reclassified from other comprehensive income into income | | | | | |
| Amount reclassified from other comprehensive income into income | Interest Income | \$— | \$2 | \$— | \$4 |
| Interest rate swaps—fair value hedges: | Interest Expense | (8 |) (1 |) (15 |) (1 |
| Interest expense—ineffectiveness | | 3 | (42 |) 1 | (50 |
| Interest expense—other | | 10 | 10 | 20 | 20 |
| Gain (loss) on interest rate swaps | Interest Expense | 13 | (32 |) 21 | (30 |
| Interest expense—ineffectiveness | | (1 |) 43 | 2 | 52 |
| Interest expense—other | | (2 |) (2 |) 1 | (3 |
| (Loss) gain on hedged item | Interest Expense | (3 |) 41 | 3 | 49 |
| Total gains recognized in income | | \$2 | \$10 | \$9 | \$22 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedges: | | | | | |
| (Loss) gain on forward contracts | Other Income | \$— | \$(1 |) \$— | \$1 |
| Loss on interest rate swaps | Other Income | — | — | (1 |) — |
| (Loss) gain on forward delivery contracts | Other Income | (4 |) 16 | (7 |) 15 |
| Gain on interest rate lock commitments | Other Income | 25 | 33 | 43 | 81 |
| Total gains on derivatives not designated as hedges recognized in income | | \$21 | \$48 | \$35 | \$97 |

Collateral Requirements and Credit-Risk Related Contingency Features

The Company has master netting arrangements and minimum collateral posting thresholds with its counterparties for its fair value and cash flow hedge interest rate swaps, foreign exchange forward contracts, and forward delivery contracts. The Company has not sought a legal opinion in relation to the enforceability of its master netting arrangements, and as such, does not report any of these positions on a net basis. Collateral is required by either the Company or its subsidiaries or the counterparty depending on the net fair value position of these derivatives held with that counterparty. The Company may also be required to post collateral with a counterparty for its fair value and cash flow hedge interest rate swaps depending on the credit rating it or Discover Bank receives from specified major credit rating agencies. Collateral receivable or payable amounts are not offset against the fair value of these derivatives, but are recorded separately in other assets or deposits.

As of June 30, 2014, DFS had a right to reclaim \$4 million of cash collateral that had been posted (net of amounts required to be posted by the counterparty) because the credit rating of the Company did not meet specified thresholds. At June 30, 2014, Discover Bank's credit rating met specified thresholds set by its counterparties. However, if Discover Bank's credit rating is reduced by one ratings notch, Discover Bank would be required to post additional collateral, which would have been \$99 million as of June 30, 2014.

The Company also has agreements with certain of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Company defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations.

Table of Contents

15. Segment Disclosures

The Company's business activities are managed in two segments: Direct Banking and Payment Services.

Direct Banking: The Direct Banking segment includes Discover-branded credit cards issued to individuals and small businesses and other consumer products and services, including private student loans, personal loans, home loans, home equity loans, prepaid cards and other consumer lending and deposit products. The majority of Direct Banking revenues relate to interest income earned on the segment's loan products. Additionally, the Company's credit card products generate substantially all revenues related to discount and interchange, loan fee income and protection products.

Payment Services: The Payment Services segment includes PULSE, an automated teller machine, debit and electronic funds transfer network; Diners Club, a global payments network; and the Company's network partners business, which includes credit, debit and prepaid cards issued on the Discover Network by third parties. This segment also includes the business operations of Diners Club Italy, which primarily consist of issuing Diners Club charge cards. The majority of Payment Services revenues relate to transaction processing revenue from PULSE and royalty and licensee revenue (included in other income) from Diners Club.

The business segment reporting provided to and used by the Company's chief operating decision maker is prepared using the following principles and allocation conventions:

• The Company aggregates operating segments when determining reporting segments.

• Corporate overhead is not allocated between segments; all corporate overhead is included in the Direct Banking segment.

• Through its operation of the Discover Network, the Direct Banking segment incurs fixed marketing, servicing and infrastructure costs that are not specifically allocated among the segments.

• The assets of the Company are not allocated among the operating segments in the information reviewed by the Company's chief operating decision maker.

• The revenues of each segment are derived from external sources. The segments do not earn revenue from intercompany sources.

• Income taxes are not specifically allocated between the operating segments in the information reviewed by the Company's chief operating decision maker.

Table of Contents

The following table presents segment data for the period (dollars in millions):

| | Direct Banking | Payment Services | Total |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|---------|
| For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | |
| Interest income | | | |
| Credit card | \$1,560 | \$— | \$1,560 |
| Private student loans | 75 | — | 75 |
| PCI student loans | 66 | — | 66 |
| Personal loans | 138 | — | 138 |
| Other | 24 | — | 24 |
| Total interest income | 1,863 | — | 1,863 |
| Interest expense | 274 | — | 274 |
| Net interest income | 1,589 | — | 1,589 |
| Provision for loan losses | 360 | — | 360 |
| Other income | 503 | 80 | 583 |
| Other expense | 748 | 49 | 797 |
| Income before income tax expense | \$984 | \$31 | \$1,015 |
| For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
| Interest income | | | |
| Credit card | \$1,463 | \$— | \$1,463 |
| Private student loans | 61 | — | 61 |
| PCI student loans | 68 | — | 68 |
| Personal loans | 109 | — | 109 |
| Other | 26 | — | 26 |
| Total interest income | 1,727 | — | 1,727 |
| Interest expense | 297 | — | 297 |
| Net interest income | 1,430 | — | 1,430 |
| Provision for loan losses | 225 | 15 | 240 |
| Other income | 531 | 80 | 611 |
| Other expense | 734 | 86 | 820 |
| Income before income tax expense | \$1,002 | \$(21) |) \$981 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents segment data for the period (dollars in millions):

| | Direct Banking | Payment Services | Total |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|---------|
| For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | |
| Interest income | | | |
| Credit card | \$3,097 | \$— | \$3,097 |
| Private student loans | 148 | — | 148 |
| PCI student loans | 134 | — | 134 |
| Personal loans | 270 | — | 270 |
| Other | 47 | — | 47 |
| Total interest income | 3,696 | — | 3,696 |
| Interest expense | 544 | — | 544 |
| Net interest income | 3,152 | — | 3,152 |
| Provision for loan losses | 630 | 2 | 632 |
| Other income | 939 | 159 | 1,098 |
| Other expense | 1,483 | 98 | 1,581 |
| Income before income tax expense | \$1,978 | \$59 | \$2,037 |
| For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
| Interest income | | | |
| Credit card | \$2,914 | \$— | \$2,914 |
| Private student loans | 119 | — | 119 |
| PCI student loans | 138 | — | 138 |
| Personal loans | 212 | — | 212 |
| Other | 52 | — | 52 |
| Total interest income | 3,435 | — | 3,435 |
| Interest expense | 595 | — | 595 |
| Net interest income | 2,840 | — | 2,840 |
| Provision for loan losses | 384 | 15 | 399 |
| Other income | 1,026 | 167 | 1,193 |
| Other expense | 1,447 | 126 | 1,573 |
| Income before income tax expense | \$2,035 | \$26 | \$2,061 |

16. Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated events and transactions that have occurred subsequent to June 30, 2014 and determined there were no subsequent events that would require recognition or disclosure in the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this quarterly report. This quarterly report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements, which speak to our expected business and financial performance, among other matters, contain words such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "aim," "will," "may," "should," "could," "would," "likely," and similar expressions. Such statements are based upon the current beliefs and expectations of our management and are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this quarterly report, and there is no undertaking to update or revise them as more information becomes available.

The following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements: changes in economic variables, such as the availability of consumer credit, the housing market, energy costs, the number and size of personal bankruptcy filings, the rate of unemployment and the levels of consumer confidence and consumer debt, and investor sentiment; the impact of current, pending and future legislation, regulation, supervisory guidance, and regulatory and legal actions, including, but not limited to, those related to financial regulatory reform, consumer financial services practices, anti-corruption, and funding, capital and liquidity; the actions and initiatives of current and potential competitors; our ability to manage our expenses; our ability to successfully achieve card acceptance across our networks and maintain relationships with network participants; our ability to sustain and grow our private student loan portfolio and mortgage loan products; losses as a result of mortgage loan repurchase and indemnification obligations to secondary market purchasers; our ability to manage our credit risk, market risk, liquidity risk, operational risk, legal and compliance risk, and strategic risk; the availability and cost of funding and capital; access to deposit, securitization, equity, debt and credit markets; the impact of rating agency actions; the level and volatility of equity prices, commodity prices and interest rates, currency values, investments, other market fluctuations and other market indices; losses in our investment portfolio; limits on our ability to pay dividends and repurchase our common stock; limits on our ability to receive payments from our subsidiaries; fraudulent activities or material security breaches of key systems; our ability to increase or sustain Discover card usage or attract new customers; our ability to maintain relationships with current merchants; the effect of political, economic and market conditions, geopolitical events and unforeseen or catastrophic events; our ability to introduce new products and services; our ability to manage our relationships with third-party vendors; our ability to maintain current technology and integrate new and acquired systems; our ability to collect amounts for disputed transactions from merchants and merchant acquirers; our ability to attract and retain employees; our ability to protect our reputation and our intellectual property; difficulty obtaining regulatory approval for, financing, transitioning, integrating or managing the expenses of acquisitions of or investments in new businesses, products or technologies; and new lawsuits, investigations or similar matters or unanticipated developments related to current matters. We routinely evaluate and may pursue acquisitions of or investments in businesses, products, technologies, loan portfolios or deposits, which may involve payment in cash or our debt or equity securities.

Additional factors that could cause our results to differ materially from those described below can be found in this section in this quarterly report and in "Risk Factors," "Business—Competition," "Business—Supervision and Regulation" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ended December 31, 2013, which is filed with the SEC and available at the SEC's internet site (<http://www.sec.gov>).

Introduction and Overview

Discover Financial Services is a direct banking and payment services company. Through our Discover Bank subsidiary, we offer our customers credit card loans, private student loans, personal loans, home equity loans and deposit products. Through our Discover Home Loans, Inc. subsidiary, we offer our customers home loans. Through our DFS Services LLC subsidiary and its subsidiaries, we operate the Discover Network, the PULSE network ("PULSE") and Diners Club International ("Diners Club"). The Discover Network is a payment card transaction processing network for Discover-branded credit cards and credit, debit and prepaid cards issued by third parties, which

we refer to as network partners. PULSE operates an electronic funds transfer network, providing financial institutions issuing debit cards on the PULSE network with access to ATMs domestically and internationally, as well as point-of-sale terminals at retail locations throughout the U.S. for debit card transactions. Diners Club is a global payments network of licensees, which are generally financial institutions, that issue Diners Club branded credit cards and/or provide card acceptance services.

Table of Contents

Our primary revenues consist of interest income earned on loan receivables and fees earned from customers, merchants and issuers. The primary expenses required to operate our business include funding costs (interest expense), loan loss provisions, customer rewards, and expenses incurred to grow, manage and service our loan receivables and networks. Our business activities are funded primarily through consumer deposits, securitization of loan receivables and the issuance of unsecured debt.

Quarter Highlights

Net income for the second quarter of 2014 was \$644 million, compared to \$602 million for the second quarter of 2013.

Total loans grew \$4.2 billion, or 7%, from the second quarter of 2013 to \$65.9 billion.

Credit card loans grew \$3.0 billion to \$52.7 billion at the end of the second quarter of 2014, up 6% from the second quarter of 2013. Discover card sales volume increased 6% from the prior year.

Net charge-off rate for credit card loans decreased 1 basis point from the prior year to 2.33% and the delinquency rate for loans over 30 days past due increased 5 basis points to 1.63%.

We repurchased approximately 3.1 million shares of common stock, or 1% of our outstanding common stock, for \$177 million.

Outlook

The growth of our existing direct banking products remains a priority as we continue to enhance our offerings to customers. New card account acquisitions through investments in marketing and wallet share gains with existing customers have continued to contribute to our receivables growth. We are also targeting solid growth in our private student and personal loan portfolios.

Our credit outlook remains relatively stable and net interest margin is expected to remain at or near current levels during the remainder of 2014. Funding costs are expected to remain at low levels during 2014 as we benefit from the interest rate environment. We expect to continue to take advantage of the interest rate environment by seeking out longer-term funding opportunities.

Volume in our payments business increased from the same quarter last year. However, we face substantial and intense competition in the payments industry, which impacts our revenue margins, transaction volume and business strategies. We have learned we will likely lose significant volume from a large PULSE debit issuer beginning in 2015.

Additionally, as previously disclosed related to our network partner business, a contract with a significant third-party issuer will not be renewed this year. The loss of these volumes will have a significant impact on payment services volume and profits, but we do not anticipate the impact to be material to our overall profitability. While we expect that the payment services environment will remain challenging, we continue to leverage our network to support our card issuing business.

Regulatory Environment and Developments

The 2010 Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Reform Act") contains comprehensive provisions governing the practices and oversight of financial institutions and other participants in the financial markets. The Reform Act regulates large systemically significant financial firms, including Discover, through a variety of measures, including increased capital and liquidity requirements, limits on leverage, and enhanced supervisory authority. The Reform Act established the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB") and requirements for debit card transactions, which impact our core businesses. Additional legislative or regulatory action that may impact our business may result from the multiple studies mandated under the Reform Act.

The evolving regulatory environment causes uncertainty with respect to the manner in which we conduct our businesses and requirements that may be imposed by our regulators. Regulators have implemented and continue to propose new regulations and supervisory guidance and have been increasing their examination and enforcement action activities. In June 2014, Discover Bank entered into a Consent Order with the FDIC to resolve previously disclosed matters related to the FDIC's examination of Discover Bank's anti-money laundering and related compliance programs. The Federal Reserve recently notified us of its intention to enter into a supervisory action with Discover Financial Services to require enhancements to our enterprise-wide anti-money laundering and related compliance programs. In addition, as previously disclosed, the CFPB is investigating certain student loan servicing practices of Discover Bank. See Note 12: Litigation and

Table of Contents

Regulatory Matters to our consolidated financial statements for more information on these matters. We expect regulators will continue taking formal enforcement actions against financial institutions in addition to addressing supervisory concerns through non-public supervisory actions or findings. We are unable to predict the nature, extent or impact of any additional changes to statutes or regulations, including the interpretation, implementation or enforcement thereof that may occur in the future.

The impact of the evolving regulatory environment on our business and operations depends upon a number of factors including regulatory changes, guidance and interpretations of the regulatory agencies, supervisory priorities and actions, the actions of our competitors and other marketplace participants, and the behavior of consumers. The evolving regulatory environment could require us to limit or change our business practices, limit our product offerings, require continued investment of management time and resources in compliance efforts, limit fees we can charge for services, require us to meet more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage ratio requirements, increase costs, restrict our ability to access the securitization markets for our funding, impact the value of our assets, or otherwise adversely affect our businesses. The regulatory environment, enhanced examination and supervisory expectations, and increased scrutiny can also potentially impact our ability to pursue business opportunities and obtain required regulatory approvals for potential investments and acquisitions. For example, supervisory actions related to anti-money laundering and related laws and regulations will limit for a period of time our ability to enter into certain types of acquisitions and make certain types of investments.

Compliance and other regulatory requirements and expenditures have increased significantly for Discover and other financial services firms, and we expect them to continue to increase as regulators adopt new rules, interpret existing rules and increase their scrutiny of financial institutions, including controls and operational processes. We may face additional compliance and regulatory risk to the extent that we enter into new lines of business or new business arrangements with third-party service providers, alternative payment providers or other industry participants, including providers or participants that may not be regulated financial institutions. The additional expense, time and resources needed to comply with ongoing regulatory requirements may adversely impact our business and results of operations. In addition, regulatory findings and ratings could negatively impact our business strategies.

Consumer Financial Services

The CFPB regulates consumer financial products and services, as well as certain financial services providers, including Discover. The CFPB is authorized to prevent “unfair, deceptive or abusive acts or practices” and ensure consistent enforcement of laws so that all consumers have access to markets for consumer financial products and services that are fair, transparent and competitive. The CFPB has rulemaking and interpretive authority under the Reform Act and other federal consumer financial services laws, as well as broad supervisory, examination and enforcement authority over large providers of consumer financial products and services, such as Discover. The agency is authorized to collect fines and provide consumer restitution in the event of violations, engage in consumer financial education, request data and promote the availability of financial services to underserved consumers and communities. Several of our products, including credit cards, private student loans and home loans, are areas of focus by the CFPB. See “— Private Student Loans” below.

The CFPB has an online complaint system that allows consumers to log complaints with respect to the products we offer. The CFPB also collects detailed account level information from large financial institutions, including Discover, about credit cards and other products. The complaint system and the agency's analysis of account data could inform future agency decisions with respect to regulatory, enforcement or examination focus, and influence consumers' attitudes about doing business with Discover. There continues to be significant uncertainty as to how the agency's regulatory, supervisory, examination and enforcement strategies and priorities will impact our business.

The Reform Act authorizes state officials to enforce regulations issued by the CFPB and to enforce the Act's general prohibition against unfair, deceptive or abusive practices, and makes it more difficult than in the past for federal financial regulators to declare state laws that differ from federal standards to be preempted. To the extent that states enact requirements that differ from federal standards or state officials and courts adopt interpretations of federal consumer laws that differ from those adopted by the CFPB, we may be required to alter or cease offering products or services in some jurisdictions, which would increase compliance costs and reduce our ability to offer the same products and services to consumers nationwide, and we may be subject to a higher risk of state enforcement actions.

Credit Cards

Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would negate a U.S. Supreme Court decision holding that interest rates and other terms of bank-issued credit cards are subject to the law of the state in which the bank is located, and not the laws of the states in which cardholders reside. The enactment of this legislation would potentially allow states to impose different interest rate limitations or other limitations on credit card and other loans, which could reduce our interest income

50

Table of Contents

and increase our operating expenses. Similar legislation in past Congresses has not become law, and we do not presently anticipate that the bills will be enacted.

The CFPB is required by the Credit CARD Act of 2009 (the “Act”) to issue a biennial report on the impact of the Act. The 2013 report, issued in October, identified “possible areas of concern” about credit card issuer practices that “warrant further scrutiny by the CFPB.” They include the adequacy of disclosures that are made online, whether disclosures about credit card rewards and grace periods are clear and transparent, and whether additional actions are warranted to protect consumers who purchase “add on products” such as identity theft protection and credit score monitoring. It is unclear how the CFPB intends to address these concerns (e.g., through rulemaking, supervisory guidance or enforcement proceedings) and whether its actions will affect Discover. In November 2013, the CFPB released a broad preliminary document seeking information about potential regulatory changes, including additional requirements for creditors collecting their own debts. The CFPB also is conducting a survey to collect information about consumers’ experiences with debt collectors and plans to use the data to help develop debt collection regulations. The consumer financial services industry is subject to enhanced legal and regulatory scrutiny regarding debt collection practices from regulators, courts and legislators. The impact of this scrutiny is uncertain at this time.

Private Student Loans

There is significant legislative and regulatory focus on the private student loan market, including by the CFPB and the FDIC. This regulatory focus has resulted in an increase in supervisory examinations of the company related to its private student loans. The CFPB is currently investigating certain student loan servicing practices of Discover Bank. See Note 12: Litigation and Regulatory Matters to our consolidated financial statements for more information.

The Reform Act created a “Private Education Ombudsman” within the CFPB to help resolve complaints about private student loans. An October 2012 report by the Ombudsman recommended that Congress identify opportunities to expand the availability of loan modification and refinance options for private student loan borrowers. It also recommended that regulators assess whether efforts to correct problems in mortgage servicing could be applied to improve private student loan servicing. Legislation to facilitate refinancing of private student loans has been introduced in both the House and Senate in 2013 and 2014. We are unable to assess the likelihood of enactment of those bills or their potential impact on our private student lending business. Separately, federal regulatory guidance was issued in July 2013 that encouraged private student lenders to facilitate ways to help student borrowers experiencing difficulty making payments. The CFPB's Ombudsman’s October 2013 and April 2014 reports identified similar concerns and others, including a number of concerns related to loan servicing practices and practices with respect to the liability of student borrowers in the event of cosigner death or bankruptcy. Legislation to address certain of these and other concerns related to student loan servicing practices was introduced in December 2013. The enactment of this or similar legislation may increase the complexity and expense of servicing student loans. The potential impact of these areas of focus on Discover is unknown.

The report also recommended that Congress re-assess the current standard for discharging private student loans in bankruptcy. Legislation has been proposed in past Congresses, and reintroduced in the current Congress, that would make it easier to discharge private student loan debt in bankruptcy by repealing the current requirement that this relief is available only to those who can prove “undue hardship.” It is uncertain whether this legislation will be enacted into law, but we believe that our underwriting practices and the high percentage of our loans that have cosigners reduce potential risk to our business if the current legislative proposals were to become law. Congress or the Administration may take additional actions that impact the student loan market in the future, which could cause us to restructure our private student loan product in ways that we may not currently anticipate.

Additionally, the U.S. Department of Justice and the FDIC recently released consent orders with a national student loan servicer with respect to certain requirements of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act and concerns about loan payment allocation. Other student loan providers may incur more scrutiny as a result of these actions.

In August 2013, the President signed the Bipartisan Student Loan Certainty Act of 2013, which changed how federal student loan interest rates are determined. The bill links federal student loan rates to the federal 10-year Treasury rate, plus a small margin. The rates were retroactive, effective for all loans disbursed on or after July 1, 2013. The new 2014-2015 federal student loan rates were published in June 2014. This rate structure may impact certain segments of the private student loan market.

Table of Contents

Mortgage Lending

The CFPB has indicated that the mortgage industry is an area of supervisory focus and that it will concentrate its examinations and rulemaking efforts on the variety of mortgage-related topics required under the Reform Act including steering consumers to less favorable products, discrimination, abusive or unfair lending practices, predatory lending, origination disclosures, minimum mortgage underwriting standards, mortgage loan origination compensation and servicing practices. The CFPB recently published several final rules impacting the mortgage industry, including rules related to ability-to-repay, mortgage servicing and mortgage loan originator compensation. The ability-to-repay rule makes lenders liable if they fail to assess ability-to-repay under a prescribed test but also creates a safe harbor for so-called “qualified mortgages.” The “qualified mortgages” standards include a tiered cap structure that places limits on the total amount of certain fees that can be charged on a loan, a 43% cap on debt-to-income (i.e., total monthly payments on debt to monthly gross income), exclusion of interest-only products and other requirements. The 43% debt-to-income cap does not apply for the first seven years the rule is in effect for loans that are eligible for sale to Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac or eligible for government guarantee through the Federal Housing Administration (the “FHA”) or the Veterans Administration. Failure to comply with the ability-to-repay rule may result in possible CFPB enforcement action and special statutory damages plus actual, class action and attorney fee damages, all of which a borrower may claim in defense of a foreclosure action at any time. It is uncertain what the ultimate impact of these requirements will be on our mortgage business. The mortgage servicing rule, which at this time only has a direct effect on our home equity business, includes modifications relating to statement requirements, forced place insurance rules and loss mitigation activities.

In addition, the Federal Reserve and other federal agencies have issued a proposed rule under the Reform Act that would exempt “qualified residential mortgages” from the Reform Act requirement that the securitizer of assets retain an economic interest in a portion of the assets. The final definition of what constitutes a “qualified residential mortgage” may impact the pricing and depth of the secondary mortgage market. At this time, we cannot predict the final content of proposed rules issued by the regulatory agencies or the impacts they might have on our business practices or financial results.

The House Financial Services Committee passed in June 2013, and the Senate Banking Committee passed in April 2014, legislation that could significantly affect the single family housing finance market in the United States. These proposals, among other things, would wind down the government-sponsored enterprises, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, to which we currently sell our mortgages, and would encourage the growth of private sector entities to provide liquidity to the mortgage market. Congress or regulators may also take action to further restrict the availability of FHA loan products in order to shrink the FHA’s presence in the mortgage market. The bills have bipartisan support, but prospects for enactment, as well as any effect on our business and financial results, are uncertain at this time.

Payment Networks

The Reform Act contains several provisions impacting the debit card market. The changing debit card environment, including competitor actions related to merchant and acquirer pricing and transaction routing strategies, has adversely affected and may continue to adversely affect our PULSE network's business practices, network transaction volume, revenue, and prospects for future growth. First, the Reform Act generally requires that interchange fees paid to or charged by payment card issuers on debit card and certain prepaid transactions be “reasonable and proportional” to the issuer's cost in connection with such transactions, as determined in accordance with regulations promulgated by the Federal Reserve, and also prohibits debit and prepaid card networks and issuers from requiring debit and prepaid card transactions to be processed solely on a single payment network, or two or more affiliated payment networks. The Federal Reserve issued final implementing regulations on these statutory requirements in June 2011, which became effective in October 2011 or April 2012.

In July 2013, a U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia judge held that the Federal Reserve's debit interchange regulation did not appropriately implement the statutory requirements. In March 2014, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit reversed the District Court judge's decision, rejecting the lower court's findings, and remanded the case to that court for actions consistent with the appellate court's opinion. The Court of Appeals found that the Federal Reserve acted reasonably in implementing the Reform Act's statutory requirements with respect to interchange fee limitations and non-affiliated payment network enablement. The Court of Appeals did

remand one issue to the Federal Reserve for further consideration - the Federal Reserve's specific treatment of transaction monitoring costs in setting the interchange fee cap in its final implementing regulations. In June and July 2014, the United States Supreme Court granted the merchant plaintiffs' request to extend the deadline by which the plaintiffs can petition the Supreme Court to consider their case. The merchants have until August 18, 2014 to file their request for review by the Supreme Court.

Table of Contents

We continue to face competitive challenges from the new merchant and acquirer pricing and transaction routing strategies implemented by large competing networks following the issuance of the regulations related to debit routing and fees. We are closely monitoring these strategies in order to assess their impact on our business and on competition in the marketplace. The U.S. Department of Justice is examining some of these competitor pricing strategies. In addition, the Reform Act's network participation requirements impact PULSE's ability to enter into exclusivity arrangements, which affect PULSE's current business practices and may materially adversely affect its network transaction volume and revenue.

In July 2013, the European Commission ("Commission") issued a proposal for regulation of interchange fees assessed for card-based payment transactions occurring across the borders of European Union member states and other card network business practices. The proposal, if enacted, would reduce the fees that card issuers can receive for consumer debit and credit card transactions. In early April 2014, the European Union Parliament ("EU Parliament") adopted a number of amendments to the proposal, among others, inclusion of corporate cards into the scope of the proposal. This position will need to be re-adopted by the new EU Parliament elected in May 2014. The co-legislator of the EU Parliament, the Council of the European Union ("CEU"), began its review of the Commission's proposal in late February 2014. Once the CEU adopts its position on the Commission's proposal, the co-legislators will need to reach an agreement to enact the regulation. At this time, we cannot predict whether or when any such regulation might be adopted and, if adopted, the extent of the impact that it would have on the business practices or revenues of our Diners Club network licensees in Europe.

In 2013, and at the beginning of 2014, various reports were issued by several major retailers with respect to unauthorized access to payment card and other data of millions of customers. As a result, members of Congress and state legislators have expressed an interest in investigating the incidents and possibly enacting legislation to address future data security breaches. These recent developments could result in the imposition of requirements on Discover or other card issuers or networks that could increase costs or adversely affect the competitiveness of our credit card or debit card products. It is too early to know if any such legislation will become law, the final form any such legislation would take, or the impact such a law would have on Discover.

Capital, Liquidity and Funding

Regulatory Capital Requirements

In July 2013, the Federal Reserve issued final rules related to regulatory capital requirements, and then the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC") and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") issued virtually identical rules, with the FDIC issuing an interim final rule at that time and a final rule in April 2014 (collectively referred to as the "final rules"). The final rules adopt with revisions the proposed rules that the agencies approved the previous year. Key reforms include increased requirements for both the quantity and quality of capital held by banking organizations so that they are more capable of absorbing losses and withstanding periods of financial distress, and the establishment of alternative standards of creditworthiness in place of credit ratings. Consistent with the proposed rules, the final rules implement Basel III regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Reform Act, substantially amending the regulatory risk-based capital rules applicable to banking organizations, including Discover Financial Services and Discover Bank. "Basel III" refers to a series of consultative documents and related rules text released by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, which include significant changes to bank capital, leverage and liquidity requirements. Compliance with the final rules is required beginning January 1, 2015 for most banking organizations, including Discover Financial Services and Discover Bank. Compliance is required one year earlier for the largest banking organizations.

The final rules include new risk-based capital and leverage ratios and refine the definition of what constitutes "capital" for purposes of calculating those ratios. The new minimum capital level requirements applicable to Discover Financial Services and Discover Bank under the final rules, beginning January 1, 2015, will be: (i) a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6% (increased from 4%); (iii) a total risk-based capital ratio of 8% (unchanged from current rules); and (iv) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4% for all institutions. The new capital level requirements to be "well-capitalized" under the final rules will be: (i) a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 6.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 8%; (iii) a total risk-based capital ratio of 10%; and (iv) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 5%. The final rules allow an agency to require a banking organization to hold a greater amount of regulatory

capital than otherwise is required under the final rules, if the agency determines that the regulatory capital held by the banking organization is not commensurate with its credit, market, operational, or other risks. The agencies stated that in exercising this authority they expect to consider the size, complexity, risk profile, and scope of operations of the banking organization, and whether any public benefits would be outweighed by risk to the banking organization or to the financial system.

Table of Contents

The final rules establish a “capital conservation buffer” of 2.5% above the new regulatory minimum capital requirements, which must consist entirely of common equity Tier 1 capital and result in the following minimum ratios: (i) a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 7.0%, (ii) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5%, and (iii) a total capital ratio of 10.5%. The new capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning in January 2016 at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets and will increase by that amount each year until fully implemented in January 2019. These levels and the transition period are consistent with the proposed rules. A banking organization will be subject to limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases and paying discretionary bonuses if its capital level falls below any of the minimum capital requirements, including the buffer amount.

Based on our current capital composition and levels, we believe that we would be in compliance with the requirements as set forth in the final rules if they were presently in effect.

There is significant legislative and regulatory focus on capital matters. We are not able to predict the final form of any additional legislative or regulatory initiatives that will be adopted or enacted, or whether any enacted legislation or final regulatory initiatives will require us to hold higher amounts of capital or reconfigure our capital structure, which could significantly impact our return on equity, financial condition, operations, capital position and ability to pursue business opportunities.

Liquidity Ratio Requirements

In October 2013, the Federal Reserve issued a notice of proposed rulemaking that would require large banking organizations to maintain a minimum liquidity coverage ratio. The proposal applies to large internationally active banks (bank holding companies with more than \$250 billion in total assets or more than \$10 billion in on-balance sheet foreign exposure). Additionally, the Federal Reserve proposed the implementation of a modified liquidity coverage ratio for bank holding companies with over \$50 billion in total assets, such as Discover. The proposal includes a transition period for conformance with the new requirements going into effect on January 1, 2015, and full compliance required by January 1, 2017. We are not able to predict whether this proposal will be adopted, or in what form. Comments on the proposal were due on January 31, 2014.

Securitizations

In August 2013, the SEC, the FDIC, the Federal Reserve and certain other prudential banking regulators re-proposed regulations that would mandate risk retention for securitizations, including credit card securitizations. The re-proposed regulations would generally require that the sponsor of a securitization retain, unhedged, a minimum of 5% of the fair value of the securitized assets and for revolving master trusts would permit that retained risk to be held in the form of a seller’s interest in an amount equal to not less than 5% of the unpaid principal balance of the asset-backed securities held by investors. Discover Bank cannot at this time predict whether its seller’s interest in its securitization trusts and other existing risk retention mechanisms will satisfy the final regulatory requirements, whether structural changes would be necessary, or whether any failure of the seller’s interest to qualify would alter Discover Bank’s interest in conducting securitization transactions in the future. Comments on the re-proposed rule were due on October 30, 2013. Although it is unclear when the final rules will be promulgated, compliance with the final rules will be required one year after publication for securitization transactions collateralized by residential mortgages and two years after publication for all other securitization transactions.

In December 2013, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision proposed changes to the rules for banks’ calculation of credit risk capital requirements for exposures to securitization transactions. The timing and impact of these proposed rules are unclear at this time, but they could impact the pricing and/or volume of our asset-backed securities issuances.

Recent Reform Act Related Developments

In February 2014, the Federal Reserve issued a final rule that implements certain of the enhanced prudential standards required to be established under Section 165 of the Reform Act. The final rule establishes a number of enhanced prudential standards for large U.S. bank holding companies and foreign banking organizations to help increase the resiliency of their operations. For U.S. bank holding companies (BHCs) with total consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more, such as Discover, it incorporates as an enhanced prudential standard previously issued capital planning and stress testing requirements and imposes enhanced liquidity requirements and enhanced risk management requirements. U.S. bank holding companies subject to the rule will need to comply by January 1, 2015.

Table of Contents

The final rule requires the establishment of a stand-alone board-level risk committee that must be chaired by an independent director and meet at least quarterly, and requires the committee to approve and periodically review the risk management policies of the BHC and oversee the operation of the BHC's risk management framework. The final rule also requires BHCs such as Discover to appoint a chief risk officer to implement enterprise-wide risk management practices. The chief risk officer is required to report to both the committee and the chief executive officer. Discover Financial Services established a stand-alone board-level risk committee called the Risk Oversight Committee in May 2014. Our Chief Risk Officer reports to the Risk Oversight Committee as well as the Chief Executive Officer as well as the Chief Executive Officer.

The final rule requires the establishment of a liquidity risk framework and describes the roles and responsibilities of the BHC's board of directors, risk committee and senior management. The final rule requires that the BHC's board of directors: (i) approve the BHC's liquidity risk tolerance at least annually; (ii) receive and review information at least semi-annually to determine whether the BHC is operating in accordance with the established liquidity risk tolerance; and (iii) approve and periodically review the liquidity risk management strategies, policies and procedures established by senior management. The final rule also requires large BHCs such as Discover to perform liquidity stress testing monthly and to incorporate in its stress tests a minimum of three stress scenarios over a minimum of four stress periods (overnight, 30-day, 90-day and one-year). Large BHCs like Discover are required under the final rule to hold highly liquid assets (a "buffer") sufficient to meet the BHC's projected net stressed cash flow needs over the 30-day planning horizon of a liquidity stress test under each of the three stress scenarios.

Segments

We manage our business activities in two segments: Direct Banking and Payment Services. In compiling the segment results that follow, our Direct Banking segment bears all corporate overhead costs that are not specifically associated with a particular segment and all costs associated with Discover Network marketing, servicing and infrastructure, with the exception of an allocation of direct and incremental costs driven by our Payment Services segment.

Direct Banking

Our Direct Banking segment includes Discover-branded credit cards issued to individuals and small businesses and other consumer products and services, including private student loans, personal loans, home loans, home equity loans, prepaid cards and other consumer lending and deposit products. The majority of Direct Banking revenues relate to interest income earned on the segment's loan products. Additionally, our credit card products generate substantially all of our revenues related to discount and interchange, loan fee income and protection products.

Payment Services

Our Payment Services segment includes PULSE, an automated teller machine, debit and electronic funds transfer network; Diners Club, a global payments network; and our network partner business, which includes credit, debit and prepaid cards issued on the Discover Network by third parties. This segment also includes the business operations of Diners Club Italy, which primarily consist of issuing Diners Club charge cards. The majority of Payment Services revenues relate to transaction processing revenue from PULSE and royalty and licensee revenue (included in other income) from Diners Club.

Table of Contents

The following table presents segment data (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Direct Banking | | | | |
| Interest income | | | | |
| Credit card | \$1,560 | \$1,463 | \$3,097 | \$2,914 |
| Private student loans | 75 | 61 | 148 | 119 |
| PCI student loans | 66 | 68 | 134 | 138 |
| Personal loans | 138 | 109 | 270 | 212 |
| Other | 24 | 26 | 47 | 52 |
| Total interest income | 1,863 | 1,727 | 3,696 | 3,435 |
| Interest expense | 274 | 297 | 544 | 595 |
| Net interest income | 1,589 | 1,430 | 3,152 | 2,840 |
| Provision for loan losses | 360 | 225 | 630 | 384 |
| Other income | 503 | 531 | 939 | 1,026 |
| Other expense | 748 | 734 | 1,483 | 1,447 |
| Income before income tax expense | 984 | 1,002 | 1,978 | 2,035 |
| Payment Services | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | — | 15 | 2 | 15 |
| Other income | 80 | 80 | 159 | 167 |
| Other expense | 49 | 86 | 98 | 126 |
| Income (loss) before income tax expense | 31 | (21 |) 59 | 26 |
| Total income before income tax expense | \$1,015 | \$981 | \$2,037 | \$2,061 |

The following table presents information on transaction volume (in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Network Transaction Volume | | | | |
| PULSE Network | \$41,500 | \$40,060 | \$83,427 | \$79,979 |
| Network Partners | 2,617 | 2,442 | 4,998 | 4,688 |
| Diners Club ⁽¹⁾ | 6,733 | 6,848 | 13,260 | 13,491 |
| Total Payment Services | 50,850 | 49,350 | 101,685 | 98,158 |
| Discover Network—Proprietary ⁽²⁾ | 30,342 | 28,551 | 56,889 | 54,289 |
| Total Volume | \$81,192 | \$77,901 | \$158,574 | \$152,447 |
| Transactions Processed on Networks | | | | |
| Discover Network | 514 | 483 | 975 | 925 |
| PULSE Network | 1,090 | 1,067 | 2,127 | 2,090 |
| Total | 1,604 | 1,550 | 3,102 | 3,015 |
| Credit Card Volume | | | | |
| Discover Card Volume ⁽³⁾ | \$31,732 | \$29,684 | \$59,809 | \$56,564 |
| Discover Card Sales Volume ⁽⁴⁾ | \$29,341 | \$27,574 | \$55,038 | \$52,438 |

(1) Diners Club volume is derived from data provided by licensees for Diners Club branded cards issued outside North America and is subject to subsequent revision or amendment.

(2) Represents gross proprietary sales volume on the Discover Network.

(3) Represents Discover card activity related to net sales, balance transfers, cash advances and other activity.

(4) Represents Discover card activity related to net sales.

Table of Contents

Direct Banking

Our Direct Banking segment reported pretax income of \$984 million and \$2.0 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, as compared to pretax income of \$1.0 billion and \$2.0 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

Loan receivables totaled \$65.8 billion at June 30, 2014, which are flat compared to December 31, 2013. Discover card sales volume was \$29.3 billion and \$55.0 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, which was an increase of 6% and 5% for each period, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2013. This growth was driven primarily by an increase in the number of existing customers using their Discover card.

Net interest margin increased for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same periods in 2013, primarily driven by higher yields on loan receivables, combined with decreased funding costs.

Interest income increased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same periods in 2013 primarily due to higher interest income from credit card loans, personal loans and private student loans resulting from growth across these products, combined with higher yields on credit card loans.

Interest income on other interest-earning assets, which largely relates to investment income on our liquidity portfolio, decreased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. This decrease was primarily due to a sale of investment securities, with the proceeds used to increase reserves at the Federal Reserve Bank, partially offset by higher interest rates from a continued shift in the mix of our liquidity portfolio to higher yielding investments. Interest expense declined during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013, primarily due to deposits bearing higher interest rates maturing and being replaced by deposits bearing lower interest rates partially offset by the cost of increased long-term borrowings.

At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, our delinquency rate for credit card loans over 30 days past due was 1.63% and 1.72%, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, our net charge-off rate on credit cards declined to 2.33% and 2.32%, respectively, as compared to 2.34% and 2.35% for the same period in 2013. An increase in reserve requirements and in the level of net charge-offs led to an increase in the provision for loan losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. For a more detailed discussion on provision for loan losses, see "— Loan Quality—Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses."

Total other income decreased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. This decrease was primarily due to decline in revenue related to mortgage banking operations, which was driven by an increase in interest rates that resulted in lower mortgage origination volume. Protection products revenue also decreased as we have implemented changes in our offering strategies to cease selling, which has caused run-off of these products.

Total other expense increased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. This increase was primarily driven by an increase in employee compensation costs resulting from growth in overall headcount, partially offset by a decrease in marketing and business development expense. The decrease in marketing and business development expense resulted from decreased media advertising and direct marketing for credit cards.

Payment Services

Our Payment Services segment reported pretax income of \$31 million and \$59 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, up \$52 million and \$33 million as compared to the same period in 2013, primarily as the result of a decrease in other expense. The decrease in other expense primarily resulted from expenses incurred in 2013 related to our purchase of the Diners Club Italy licensee and financial assistance to facilitate the purchase of the Slovenian licensee by a European bank.

Transaction dollar volume increased \$1.5 billion and \$3.5 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, as compared to the same period in 2013, primarily driven by an increase in PULSE network volume. The number of transactions processed on the PULSE network increased slightly for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period during 2013. We anticipate pressure on our Network Partners business due to the termination of a contract with a third-party issuer. This loss will have a significant impact on Network Partners volume and profits, but we do not anticipate it to be material to our overall profitability.

Table of Contents

We have been working with certain of our European Diners Club licensees with regard to their ability to maintain financing sufficient to support business operations. We may provide additional support in the future, including loans, facilitating transfer of ownership, or acquiring assets or licensees, which may cause us to incur losses. The licensees that we currently consider to be of concern accounted for approximately 4% and 3% of Diners Club revenues during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. In addition, Diners Club has \$151 million of non-amortizable intangible assets at June 30, 2014. To the extent that we are unable to maintain Diners Club revenues at appropriate levels, we may be exposed to an impairment loss on these assets that, when recognized, could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

Critical Accounting Estimates

In preparing our condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management must make judgments and use estimates and assumptions about the effects of matters that are uncertain. For estimates that involve a high degree of judgment and subjectivity, it is possible that different estimates could reasonably be derived for the same period. For estimates that are particularly sensitive to changes in economic or market conditions, significant changes to the estimated amount from period to period are also possible. Management believes the current assumptions and other considerations used to estimate amounts reflected in our condensed consolidated financial statements are appropriate. However, if actual experience differs from the assumptions and other considerations used in estimating amounts in our condensed consolidated financial statements, the resulting changes could have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations and, in certain cases, could have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition. Management has identified the estimates related to our allowance for loan losses, the accrual of credit card customer rewards cost, the evaluation of goodwill and other nonamortizable intangible assets for potential impairment, the accrual of income taxes, estimates of future cash flows associated with PCI loans, and the fair value estimates of loan commitments and mortgages held for sale as critical accounting estimates. These critical accounting estimates are discussed in greater detail in our annual report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ended December 31, 2013. That discussion can be found within "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" under the heading "— Critical Accounting Estimates." There have not been any material changes in the methods used to formulate these critical accounting estimates from those discussed in our annual report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ended December 31, 2013.

Earnings Summary

The following table outlines changes in our condensed consolidated statements of income for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three | | 2014 vs. 2013 | | | For the Six Months | | 2014 vs. 2013 | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------|---------------------|-----|---|--------------------|---------|---------------------|-----|---|
| | Months Ended June 30, | | increase (decrease) | | | Ended June 30, | | increase (decrease) | | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | \$ | % | % | 2014 | 2013 | \$ | % | % |
| Interest income | \$1,863 | \$1,727 | \$136 | 8 | % | \$3,696 | \$3,435 | \$261 | 8 | % |
| Interest expense | 274 | 297 | (23) | (8) | % | 544 | 595 | (51) | (9) | % |
| Net interest income | 1,589 | 1,430 | 159 | 11 | % | 3,152 | 2,840 | 312 | 11 | % |
| Provision for loan losses | 360 | 240 | 120 | 50 | % | 632 | 399 | 233 | 58 | % |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 1,229 | 1,190 | 39 | 3 | % | 2,520 | 2,441 | 79 | 3 | % |
| Other income | 583 | 611 | (28) | (5) | % | 1,098 | 1,193 | (95) | (8) | % |
| Other expense | 797 | 820 | (23) | (3) | % | 1,581 | 1,573 | 8 | 1 | % |
| Income before income tax expense | 1,015 | 981 | 34 | 3 | % | 2,037 | 2,061 | (24) | (1) | % |
| Income tax expense | 371 | 379 | (8) | (2) | % | 762 | 786 | (24) | (3) | % |
| Net income | \$644 | \$602 | \$42 | 7 | % | \$1,275 | \$1,275 | \$— | — | % |

Net Interest Income

The tables that follow this section have been provided to supplement the discussion below and provide further analysis of net interest income, net interest margin and the impact of rate and volume changes on net interest income. Net interest income represents the difference between interest income earned on our interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred to finance those assets. We analyze net interest income in total by calculating net interest margin (net interest income as a percentage of average total loan receivables) and net yield on interest-bearing assets (net interest income as a percentage of average total interest-earning assets). We also separately consider the impact of the level of loan receivables and the related interest yield and the impact of the cost of funds related to each of our funding sources, along with the income generated by our liquidity portfolio, on net interest income.

Our interest-earning assets consist of: (i) cash and cash equivalents, which includes amounts on deposit with the Federal Reserve, highly rated certificates of deposit, and triple-A rated government mutual funds, (ii) restricted cash, (iii) other short-term investments, (iv) investment securities and (v) loan receivables. Our interest-bearing liabilities consist primarily of deposits, both direct-to-consumer and brokered, and long-term borrowings, including amounts owed to securitization investors. Net interest income is influenced by the following:

- The level and composition of loan receivables, including the proportion of credit card loans to other loans, as well as the proportion of loan receivables bearing interest at promotional rates as compared to standard rates;

- The credit performance of our loans, particularly with regard to charge-offs of finance charges, which reduce interest income;

- The terms of long-term borrowings and certificates of deposit upon initial offering, including maturity and interest rate;

- The level and composition of other interest-bearing assets and liabilities, including our liquidity portfolio;

- Changes in the interest rate environment, including the levels of interest rates and the relationships among interest rate indices, such as the prime rate, the Federal Funds rate and the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR");

- The effectiveness of interest rate swaps in our interest rate risk management program; and

- The difference between the carrying amount and future cash flows expected to be collected on PCI loans.

Net interest margin increased for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013, primarily driven by higher yields on loan receivables, combined with decreased funding costs.

Interest income increased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same periods in 2013 primarily due to higher interest income from credit card loans, personal loans and private student loans resulting from growth across these products, combined with higher yields on credit card loans.

Interest income on other interest-earning assets, which largely relates to investment income on our liquidity portfolio, decreased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. This decrease was primarily due to a sale of investment securities, with the proceeds used to increase reserves at the Federal Reserve Bank, partially offset by higher interest rates from a continued shift in the mix of our liquidity portfolio to higher yielding investments. Interest expense declined during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013 primarily due to deposits bearing higher interest rates maturing and being replaced by deposits bearing lower interest rates partially offset by the cost of increased long-term borrowings.

Table of ContentsAverage Balance Sheet Analysis
(dollars in millions)

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------|----------|--------------------|-------|----------|
| | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
| | Average Balance | Rate | Interest | Average Balance | Rate | Interest |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$7,083 | 0.25 | % \$4 | \$5,997 | 0.25 | % \$4 |
| Restricted cash | 563 | 0.08 | % — | 1,176 | 0.11 | % — |
| Investment securities | 3,954 | 1.67 | % 17 | 5,100 | 1.48 | % 19 |
| Loan receivables ⁽¹⁾ : | | | | | | |
| Credit card ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 51,718 | 12.10 | % 1,560 | 49,002 | 11.97 | % 1,463 |
| Personal loans | 4,426 | 12.49 | % 138 | 3,511 | 12.51 | % 110 |
| Private student loans | 4,321 | 7.01 | % 76 | 3,428 | 7.08 | % 61 |
| PCI student loans | 3,980 | 6.66 | % 66 | 4,497 | 6.09 | % 68 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 119 | 3.93 | % 1 | 293 | 3.26 | % 2 |
| Other | 164 | 3.51 | % 1 | 62 | 3.28 | % — |
| Total loan receivables | 64,728 | 11.42 | % 1,842 | 60,793 | 11.24 | % 1,704 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 76,328 | 9.79 | % 1,863 | 73,066 | 9.48 | % 1,727 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (1,599) | | | (1,600) | | |
| Other assets | 4,253 | | | 4,274 | | |
| Total assets | \$78,982 | | | \$75,740 | | |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits: | | | | | | |
| Time deposits ⁽⁴⁾ | \$26,220 | 1.68 | % 109 | \$28,320 | 2.13 | % 150 |
| Money market deposits ⁽⁵⁾ | 7,647 | 0.88 | % 17 | 5,078 | 0.90 | % 12 |
| Other interest-bearing savings deposits | 10,523 | 0.95 | % 25 | 9,304 | 0.96 | % 22 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits ⁽⁶⁾ | 44,390 | 1.37 | % 151 | 42,702 | 1.73 | % 184 |
| Borrowings: | | | | | | |
| Short-term borrowings | 113 | 1.60 | % — | 270 | 1.36 | % 1 |
| Securitized borrowings ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ | 15,976 | 1.74 | % 69 | 16,412 | 1.78 | % 73 |
| Other long-term borrowings ⁽⁴⁾ | 3,889 | 5.54 | % 54 | 2,487 | 6.26 | % 39 |
| Total borrowings | 19,978 | 2.48 | % 123 | 19,169 | 2.36 | % 113 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 64,368 | 1.71 | % 274 | 61,871 | 1.92 | % 297 |
| Other liabilities and stockholders' equity | 14,614 | | | 13,869 | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$78,982 | | | \$75,740 | | |
| Net interest income | | | \$1,589 | | | \$1,430 |
| Net interest margin ⁽⁷⁾ | | 9.84 | % | | 9.44 | % |
| Net yield on interest-bearing assets ⁽⁸⁾ | | 8.35 | % | | 7.85 | % |
| Interest rate spread ⁽⁹⁾ | | 8.08 | % | | 7.56 | % |

Table of Contents

Average Balance Sheet Analysis

(dollars in millions)

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
|---|---|-------|----------|--------------------|-------|----------|
| | Average Balance | Rate | Interest | Average Balance | Rate | Interest |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$7,140 | 0.25 | % \$9 | \$5,395 | 0.25 | % \$7 |
| Restricted cash | 1,162 | 0.08 | % — | 950 | 0.11 | % — |
| Investment securities | 4,000 | 1.65 | % 33 | 5,402 | 1.45 | % 39 |
| Loan receivables ⁽¹⁾ : | | | | | | |
| Credit card ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 51,534 | 12.12 | % 3,097 | 49,134 | 11.96 | % 2,914 |
| Personal loans | 4,343 | 12.52 | % 270 | 3,428 | 12.49 | % 212 |
| Private student loans | 4,293 | 6.98 | % 149 | 3,392 | 7.06 | % 119 |
| PCI student loans | 4,046 | 6.70 | % 134 | 4,565 | 6.12 | % 139 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 109 | 4.01 | % 2 | 279 | 3.21 | % 4 |
| Other | 154 | 3.13 | % 2 | 50 | 4.30 | % 1 |
| Total loan receivables | 64,479 | 11.43 | % 3,654 | 60,848 | 11.23 | % 3,389 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 76,781 | 9.71 | % 3,696 | 72,595 | 9.54 | % 3,435 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (1,638) | | | (1,710) | | |
| Other assets | 4,261 | | | 4,318 | | |
| Total assets | \$79,404 | | | \$75,203 | | |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits: | | | | | | |
| Time deposits ⁽⁴⁾ | \$26,639 | 1.68 | % 222 | \$28,074 | 2.18 | % 304 |
| Money market deposits ⁽⁵⁾ | 7,653 | 0.87 | % 33 | 5,159 | 0.89 | % 23 |
| Other interest-bearing savings deposits | 10,328 | 0.95 | % 49 | 9,147 | 0.96 | % 43 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits ⁽⁶⁾ | 44,620 | 1.37 | % 304 | 42,380 | 1.76 | % 370 |
| Borrowings: | | | | | | |
| Short-term borrowings | 103 | 1.73 | % 1 | 256 | 1.40 | % 2 |
| Securitized borrowings ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ | 16,492 | 1.71 | % 139 | 16,492 | 1.81 | % 148 |
| Other long-term borrowings ⁽⁴⁾ | 3,731 | 5.40 | % 100 | 2,274 | 6.61 | % 75 |
| Total borrowings | 20,326 | 2.39 | % 240 | 19,022 | 2.38 | % 225 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 64,946 | 1.69 | % 544 | 61,402 | 1.95 | % 595 |
| Other liabilities and stockholders' equity | 14,458 | | | 13,801 | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$79,404 | | | \$75,203 | | |
| Net interest income | | | \$3,152 | | | \$2,840 |
| Net interest margin ⁽⁷⁾ | | 9.86 | % | | 9.41 | % |
| Net yield on interest-bearing assets ⁽⁸⁾ | | 8.28 | % | | 7.89 | % |
| Interest rate spread ⁽⁹⁾ | | 8.02 | % | | 7.59 | % |

Average balances of loan receivables include non-accruing loans, which are included in the yield calculations. If (1) the non-accruing loan balances were excluded, there would not be a material impact on the amounts reported above.

(2) Interest income on credit card loans includes \$49 million and \$42 million of amortization of balance transfer fees for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Interest income on credit card loans

Edgar Filing: Discover Financial Services - Form 10-Q

includes \$95 million and \$83 million of amortization of balance transfer fees for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively.

- (3) Includes the impact of interest rate swap agreements used to change a portion of certain floating-rate credit card loan receivables to fixed rate.
- (4) Includes the impact of interest rate swap agreements used to change a portion of fixed-rate funding to floating-rate funding.
- (5) Includes the impact of interest rate swap agreements used to change a portion of floating-rate funding to fixed-rate funding.
- (6) Includes the impact of FDIC insurance premiums.
- (7) Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average total loan receivables.
- (8) Net yield on interest-bearing assets represents net interest income as a percentage of average total interest-earning assets.
- (9) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the rate on total interest-earning assets and the rate on total interest-bearing liabilities.

Table of ContentsRate/Volume Variance Analysis⁽¹⁾

(dollars in millions)

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 vs. June 30, 2013 | | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 vs. June 30, 2013 | | | |
|---|---|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|---|
| | Volume | Rate | Total | Volume | Rate | Total | |
| Increase/(decrease) in net interest income due to changes in: | | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$2 | \$— | \$2 | |
| Investment securities | (13 |) 11 | (2 |) (18 |) 12 | (6 |) |
| Loan receivables: | | | | | | | |
| Credit card | 81 | 16 | 97 | 144 | 39 | 183 | |
| Personal loans | 30 | (2 |) 28 | 57 | 1 | 58 | |
| Private student loans | 19 | (4 |) 15 | 34 | (4 |) 30 | |
| PCI student loans | (29 |) 27 | (2 |) (32 |) 27 | (5 |) |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | (4 |) 3 | (1 |) (5 |) 3 | (2 |) |
| Other | 1 | — | 1 | 2 | (1 |) 1 | |
| Total loan receivables | 98 | 40 | 138 | 200 | 65 | 265 | |
| Total interest income | 85 | 51 | 136 | 184 | 77 | 261 | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits: | | | | | | | |
| Time deposits | (10 |) (31 |) (41 |) (15 |) (67 |) (82 |) |
| Money market deposits | 7 | (2 |) 5 | 11 | (1 |) 10 | |
| Other interest-bearing savings deposits | 4 | (1 |) 3 | 8 | (2 |) 6 | |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 1 | (34 |) (33 |) 4 | (70 |) (66 |) |
| Borrowings: | | | | | | | |
| Short-term borrowings | (1 |) — | (1 |) (2 |) 1 | (1 |) |
| Securitized borrowings | (2 |) (2 |) (4 |) — | (9 |) (9 |) |
| Other long-term borrowings | 42 | (27 |) 15 | 62 | (37 |) 25 | |
| Total borrowings | 39 | (29 |) 10 | 60 | (45 |) 15 | |
| Total interest expense | 40 | (63 |) (23 |) 64 | (115 |) (51 |) |
| Net interest income | \$45 | \$114 | \$159 | \$120 | \$192 | \$312 | |

The rate/volume variance for each category has been allocated on a consistent basis between rate and volume (1) variances between June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 based on the percentage of the rate or volume variance to the sum of the two absolute variances.

Table of Contents

Loan Quality

Loan receivables consist of the following (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Mortgage loans held for sale | \$ 129 | \$ 148 |
| Loan portfolio: | | |
| Credit card loans: | | |
| Discover card | 52,542 | 52,952 |
| Discover business card | 200 | 198 |
| Total credit card loans | 52,742 | 53,150 |
| Other loans: | | |
| Personal loans | 4,579 | 4,191 |
| Private student loans | 4,336 | 3,969 |
| Other | 174 | 135 |
| Total other loans | 9,089 | 8,295 |
| PCI student loans ⁽¹⁾ | 3,915 | 4,178 |
| Total loan portfolio | 65,746 | 65,623 |
| Total loan receivables | 65,875 | 65,771 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (1,614) | (1,648) |
| Net loan receivables | \$ 64,261 | \$ 64,123 |

(1) Represents purchased credit-impaired private student loans (see Note 3: Loan Receivables to our condensed consolidated financial statements).

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses

Provision for loan losses is the expense related to maintaining the allowance for loan losses at an appropriate level to absorb the estimated probable losses in the loan portfolio at each period end date. Factors that influence the provision for loan losses include:

- The impact of general economic conditions on the consumer, including unemployment levels, bankruptcy trends and interest rate movements;

- Changes in consumer spending and payment behaviors;

- Changes in our loan portfolio, including the overall mix of accounts, products and loan balances within the portfolio and maturation of the loan portfolio;

- The level and direction of historical and anticipated loan delinquencies and charge-offs;

- The credit quality of the loan portfolio, which reflects, among other factors, our credit granting practices and effectiveness of collection efforts; and

- Regulatory changes or new regulatory guidance.

In calculating the allowance for loan losses, we estimate probable losses separately for segments of the loan portfolio that have similar risk characteristics. We use a migration analysis to estimate the likelihood that a loan will progress through the various stages of delinquency. We use other analyses to estimate losses incurred from non-delinquent accounts which adds to the identification of loss emergence. We use these analyses together as a basis for determining our allowance for loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses was \$1.6 billion at June 30, 2014, which reflects a \$34 million reserve release over the amount of the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2013. The reserve release, which primarily related to credit card loan receivables, was driven by continuing favorability in delinquencies resulting in lower bankruptcy charge-offs, which resulted in lower estimated losses.

The provision for loan losses is the amount of expense realized after considering the level of net charge-offs in the period and the required amount of allowance for loan losses at the balance sheet date. For the three months ended June 30, 2014, the provision for loan losses increased by \$120 million, or 50%, as compared to the same period in 2013.

The increase

62

Table of Contents

was due primarily to a building of the allowance for loan losses, and to a lesser extent an increase in net charge-offs during the three months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2013. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, the provision for loan losses increased by \$233 million, or 58%, as compared to the same period in 2013. The increase was due to lower levels of reserve releases and an increase in net charge-offs during the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013.

At June 30, 2014, the level of the allowance related to personal loans remained stable as compared to December 31, 2013. The level of allowance attributable to student loans for the same period increased due to growth and continued seasoning of the portfolio. "Seasoning" refers to the maturing of a loan portfolio as, in general, loans do not begin to show signs of credit deterioration or default until they have been in repayment for some period of time. For student loans, payments are not required while the borrower is still in school; therefore, this loan portfolio matures at a slower pace than our other loan portfolios. The level of allowance related to other loans for the same period remained relatively flat.

The following tables provide changes in our allowance for loan losses for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|-------|---------|
| | Credit Card | Personal Loans | Student Loans | Other | Total |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$1,342 | \$109 | \$122 | \$18 | \$1,591 |
| Additions: | | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 317 | 22 | 20 | 1 | 360 |
| Deductions: | | | | | |
| Charge-offs | (415) | (24) | (15) | (1) | (455) |
| Recoveries | 115 | 2 | 1 | — | 118 |
| Net charge-offs | (300) | (22) | (14) | (1) | (337) |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,359 | \$109 | \$128 | \$18 | \$1,614 |
| | | | | | |
| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | | |
| | Credit Card | Personal Loans | Student Loans | Other | Total |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$1,453 | \$97 | \$83 | \$1 | \$1,634 |
| Additions: | | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 193 | 20 | 12 | 15 | 240 |
| Deductions: | | | | | |
| Charge-offs | (417) | (22) | (14) | — | (453) |
| Recoveries | 131 | 3 | 1 | — | 135 |
| Net charge-offs | (286) | (19) | (13) | — | (318) |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,360 | \$98 | \$82 | \$16 | \$1,556 |

Table of Contents

The following tables provide changes in our allowance for loan losses for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------|-------|---------|
| | Credit Card | Personal Loans | Student Loans | Other | Total |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$1,406 | \$112 | \$113 | \$17 | \$1,648 |
| Additions: | | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 547 | 40 | 43 | 2 | 632 |
| Deductions: | | | | | |
| Charge-offs | (823) | (48) | (30) | (1) | (902) |
| Recoveries | 229 | 5 | 2 | — | 236 |
| Net charge-offs | (594) | (43) | (28) | (1) | (666) |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,359 | \$109 | \$128 | \$18 | \$1,614 |
| | | | | | |
| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | | |
| | Credit Card | Personal Loans | Student Loans | Other | Total |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$1,613 | \$99 | \$75 | \$1 | \$1,788 |
| Additions: | | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 320 | 37 | 27 | 15 | 399 |
| Deductions: | | | | | |
| Charge-offs | (839) | (42) | (21) | — | (902) |
| Recoveries | 266 | 4 | 1 | — | 271 |
| Net charge-offs | (573) | (38) | (20) | — | (631) |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,360 | \$98 | \$82 | \$16 | \$1,556 |

Net Charge-offs

Our net charge-offs include the principal amount of losses charged off less principal recoveries and exclude charged-off interest and fees, recoveries of interest and fees and fraud losses. Charged-off and recovered interest and fees are recorded in interest and loan fee income, respectively, which is effectively a reclassification of the provision for loan losses, while fraud losses are recorded in other expense. Credit card loan receivables are charged off at the end of the month during which an account becomes 180 days contractually past due. Closed-end consumer loan receivables are generally charged-off at the end of the month during which an account becomes 120 days contractually past due. Generally, customer bankruptcies and probate accounts are charged-off at the end of the month 60 days following the receipt of notification of the bankruptcy or death but not later than the 180-day or 120-day contractual time frame.

The following table presents amounts and rates of net charge-offs of key loan products (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------|-------|------|-----------------------------------|------|-------|------|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2014 | | 2013 | |
| | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % |
| Credit card loans | \$300 | 2.33 | \$286 | 2.34 | \$594 | 2.32 | \$573 | 2.35 |
| Personal loans | \$22 | 1.95 | \$19 | 2.24 | \$43 | 2.01 | \$38 | 2.27 |
| Private student loans (excluding PCI ⁽¹⁾) | \$14 | 1.30 | \$13 | 1.58 | \$28 | 1.31 | \$20 | 1.21 |

Charge-offs for PCI loans did not result in a charge to earnings during any of the periods presented and are (1) therefore excluded from the calculation. See Note 3: Loan Receivables to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more information regarding the accounting for charge-offs on PCI loans.

The net charge-off rate on our credit card loan receivables for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 remained relatively flat when compared to the same periods in 2013. The net charge-off rate on our personal loan receivables

declined by 29 basis points and 26 basis points, respectively, for the same periods due to growth in the personal loan portfolio. Usually a period of time passes before a new loan needs to be charged off as borrowers stay current when they first obtain the loan. Therefore, growth in the loan portfolio contributes to a decline in the charge-off rate. The net charge-off rate on our private student loans excluding PCI loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 decreased by 28 basis points and increased by 10 basis points, respectively. The decrease of 28 basis points was due to improved collection strategies and new

Table of Contents

payment programs implemented in first quarter of 2014. The increase of 10 basis points was due to a larger portion of the portfolio entering repayment.

Delinquencies

Delinquencies are an indicator of credit quality at a point in time. A loan balance is considered delinquent when contractual payments on the loan become 30 days past due.

The following table presents the amounts and delinquency rates of key loan products that are 30 and 90 days or more delinquent, loan receivables that are not accruing interest, regardless of delinquency and restructured loans (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | | |
|--|---------------|------|-------------------|------|---|
| | \$ | % | \$ | % | |
| Loans 30 days delinquent or more: | | | | | |
| Credit card loans | \$860 | 1.63 | % \$912 | 1.72 | % |
| Personal loans | \$30 | 0.66 | % \$29 | 0.70 | % |
| Private student loans (excluding PCI loans ⁽¹⁾) | \$72 | 1.66 | % \$66 | 1.66 | % |
| Loans 90 days delinquent or more: | | | | | |
| Credit card loans | \$420 | 0.80 | % \$447 | 0.84 | % |
| Personal loans | \$8 | 0.18 | % \$8 | 0.21 | % |
| Private student loans (excluding PCI loans ⁽¹⁾) | \$21 | 0.49 | % \$18 | 0.46 | % |
| Loans not accruing interest | \$198 | 0.32 | % \$200 | 0.33 | % |
| Restructured loans: | | | | | |
| Credit card loans ⁽²⁾ | \$1,059 | 2.01 | % \$1,123 | 2.11 | % |
| Personal loans ⁽³⁾ | \$46 | 1.00 | % \$31 | 0.74 | % |
| Private student loans (excluding PCI loans ⁽¹⁾) ⁽⁴⁾ | \$33 | 0.76 | % \$28 | 0.71 | % |

(1) Excludes PCI loans which are accounted for on a pooled basis. Since a pool is accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and aggregate expectation of cash flows, the past-due status of a pool, or that of the individual loans within a pool, is not meaningful. Because we are recognizing interest income on a pool of loans, it is all considered to be performing.

(2) Restructured credit card loans include \$39 million and \$43 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, that are also included in loans over 90 days delinquent or more.

(3) Restructured personal loans include \$2 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 that are also included in loans over 90 days delinquent or more.

(4) Restructured private student loans include \$3 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 that are also included in loans over 90 days delinquent or more.

Both credit card and personal loan receivables 30-day and 90-day delinquency rates at June 30, 2014 decreased compared to December 31, 2013 due to continuing favorable economic factors. The 90-day delinquency rate for private student loan balance at June 30, 2014 increased slightly as compared to December 31, 2013 due to the seasoning of our loan portfolio as more loans have entered repayment. The restructured credit card loan balance at June 30, 2014 decreased compared to December 31, 2013 due to continued improvement in customer credit performance.

Modified and Restructured Loans

We have loan modification programs that provide for temporary or permanent hardship relief for our credit card loans to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. The temporary hardship program primarily consists of a reduced minimum payment and an interest rate reduction, both lasting for a period no longer than 12 months. The permanent modification program involves changing the structure of the loan to a fixed payment loan with a maturity no longer than 60 months and reducing the interest rate on the loan. The permanent modification programs do not normally

provide for the forgiveness of unpaid principal, but may allow for the reversal of certain unpaid interest or fee assessments. We also make loan modifications for customers who request financial assistance through external sources, such as a consumer credit counseling agency program. These loans continue to be subject to the original minimum payment terms and do not normally include waiver of unpaid principal, interest or fees. For additional information regarding the accounting treatment for these loans as well as amounts recorded in the financial statements related to these loans, see Note 3: Loan Receivables to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

For student loan borrowers, in certain situations we offer payment forbearance to borrowers who are experiencing temporary financial difficulties and are willing to resume making payments. When a delinquent borrower is granted a second forbearance period, we classify these loans as troubled debt restructurings. In addition, we offer temporary reduced payment programs, which normally consist of a reduction of the minimum payment for a period of no longer than 12 months at a time. Student loans included in temporary reduced payment programs are not accounted for as troubled debt restructurings as long as the term of the program does not exceed 12 months.

For personal loan customers, in certain situations we offer various payment programs, including temporary and permanent programs. The temporary programs normally consist of a reduction of the minimum payment for a period of no longer than 12 months with a final balloon payment required at the end of the loan term and in certain circumstances reducing the interest rate on the loan. The permanent program involves changing the terms of the loan in order to pay off the outstanding balance over the new term for a period no longer than four years and also in certain circumstances reducing the interest rate on the loan. The total term may not exceed nine years. We also allow loan modifications for customers who request financial assistance through external sources, similar to our credit card customers discussed above. Payments are modified based on the new terms agreed upon with the credit counseling agency. Personal loans included in temporary and permanent programs are accounted for as troubled debt restructurings.

Borrower performance after using payment programs or forbearance is monitored and we believe the programs help to prevent defaults and are useful in assisting customers experiencing financial difficulties. We plan to continue to use payment programs and forbearance and, as a result, we expect to have additional loans classified as troubled debt restructurings in the future.

Other Income

The following table presents the components of other income for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | 2014 vs. 2013 increase (decrease) | | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | 2014 vs. 2013 increase (decrease) | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|------|---|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | \$ | % | % | 2014 | 2013 | \$ | % | % |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Discount and interchange revenue ⁽¹⁾ | \$327 | \$308 | \$19 | 6 | % | \$581 | \$571 | \$10 | 2 | % |
| Protection products revenue | 78 | 88 | (10) | (11) | % | 161 | 176 | (15) | (9) | % |
| Loan fee income | 80 | 76 | 4 | 5 | % | 163 | 157 | 6 | 4 | % |
| Transaction processing revenue | 46 | 47 | (1) | (2) | % | 90 | 100 | (10) | (10) | % |
| Gain on investments | — | — | — | NM | | 4 | 3 | 1 | 33 | % |
| Gain on origination and sale of mortgage loans | 22 | 51 | (29) | (57) | % | 38 | 102 | (64) | (63) | % |
| Other income | 30 | 41 | (11) | (27) | % | 61 | 84 | (23) | (27) | % |
| Total other income | \$583 | \$611 | \$(28) | (5) | % | \$1,098 | \$1,193 | \$(95) | (8) | % |

Net of rewards, including Cashback Bonus rewards, of \$268 million and \$238 million for the three months ended (1) June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$533 million and \$467 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Total other income decreased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 by \$28 million and \$95 million, respectively, as compared to the same period in 2013. This decrease was primarily due to decline in revenue related to mortgage banking operations, which was driven by an increase in interest rates that resulted in lower mortgage origination volume. Protection products revenue also decreased as we have implemented changes in our offering strategies to cease selling, which has caused run-off of these products.

Table of Contents

Other Expense

The following table represents the components of other expense for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | 2014 vs. 2013 increase (decrease) | | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | 2014 vs. 2013 increase (decrease) | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|------|---|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | \$ | % | | 2014 | 2013 | \$ | % | |
| Employee compensation and benefits | \$301 | \$285 | \$16 | 6 | % | \$608 | \$575 | \$33 | 6 | % |
| Marketing and business development | 168 | 185 | (17) | (9) | % | 337 | 354 | (17) | (5) | % |
| Information processing and communications | 87 | 85 | 2 | 2 | % | 171 | 163 | 8 | 5 | % |
| Professional fees | 112 | 101 | 11 | 11 | % | 211 | 205 | 6 | 3 | % |
| Premises and equipment | 22 | 20 | 2 | 10 | % | 45 | 39 | 6 | 15 | % |
| Other expense | 107 | 144 | (37) | (26) | % | 209 | 237 | (28) | (12) | % |
| Total other expense | \$797 | \$820 | \$(23) | (3) | % | \$1,581 | \$1,573 | \$8 | 1 | % |

Total other expense decreased in the three months ended June 30, 2014 by \$23 million and increased in the six months ended June 30, 2014 by \$8 million as compared to the same periods in 2013. These changes were primarily driven by a decrease in other and marketing and business development expenses, and by an increase in employee compensation costs. The decrease in other expense primarily resulted from expenses incurred in 2013 related to our purchase of the Diners Club Italy licensee and financial assistance to facilitate the purchase of the Slovenian licensee by a European bank. The decrease in marketing and business development expense resulted from decreased media advertising and direct marketing for credit cards. The increase in employee compensation costs was due to growth in overall headcount.

Income Tax Expense

The following table presents the calculation of the effective income tax rate (dollars in millions, except effective income tax rate):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Income before income tax expense | \$1,015 | \$981 | \$2,037 | \$2,061 |
| Income tax expense | \$371 | \$379 | \$762 | \$786 |
| Effective income tax rate | 36.6 | % 38.6 | % 37.4 | % 38.1 |

Income tax expense decreased \$8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. The effective tax rate decreased from 38.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2013 to 36.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2014. The decrease in effective tax rate is mainly due to a favorable adjustment to unrecognized tax benefit and changes in state apportionment factors.

Income tax expense decreased \$24 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. The effective tax rate decreased from 38.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to 37.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 due to a decrease in state income tax rates as a result of the changes in state apportionment factors.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Funding and Liquidity

We seek to maintain diversified funding sources and a strong liquidity profile in order to fund our business and repay or refinance our maturing obligations. In addition, we seek to achieve an appropriate maturity profile and utilize a cost-effective mix of funding sources. Our primary funding sources include deposits, sourced directly from consumers or through brokers, term asset-backed securitizations, private asset-backed securitizations and short- and long-term borrowings.

Funding Sources

Deposits

We offer deposit products to customers through two channels: (i) through direct marketing, internet origination and affinity relationships ("direct-to-consumer deposits"); and (ii) indirectly through contractual arrangements with securities brokerage firms ("brokered deposits"). Direct-to-consumer deposits include certificates of deposit, money market accounts, online savings and checking accounts, and IRA certificates of deposit, while brokered deposits include certificates of deposit and sweep accounts.

At June 30, 2014, we had \$28.7 billion of direct-to-consumer deposits and \$15.5 billion of brokered deposits.

Maturities of our certificates of deposit range from one month to ten years, with a weighted average maturity of 22 months.

The following table summarizes deposits by contractual maturity as of the end of the current period (dollars in millions):

| At June 30, 2014 | Total | Three Months or Less | Over Three Months Through Six Months | Over Six Months Through Twelve Months | Over Twelve Months | Indeterminate |
|--|----------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Certificates of deposit in amounts less than \$100,000 ⁽¹⁾ | \$20,124 | \$2,819 | \$2,155 | \$3,996 | \$11,154 | \$— |
| Certificates of deposit in amounts of \$100,000 ⁽¹⁾ to less than \$250,000 ⁽¹⁾ | 4,676 | 719 | 673 | 1,109 | 2,175 | — |
| Certificates of deposit in amounts of \$250,000 ⁽¹⁾ or greater | 1,165 | 158 | 155 | 259 | 593 | — |
| Savings deposits, including money market deposit accounts ⁽²⁾ | 18,280 | — | — | — | — | 18,280 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | \$44,245 | \$3,696 | \$2,983 | \$5,364 | \$13,922 | \$18,280 |

(1) \$100,000 represents the basic insurance amount previously covered by the FDIC. Effective July 21, 2010, the basic insurance per depositor was permanently increased to \$250,000.

(2) Represents deposits with no contractual maturity, with the exception of structured sweep deposits associated with agreements entered into with third parties.

Credit Card Securitization Financing

We use the securitization of credit card receivables as an additional source of funding. We access the asset-backed securitization market using the Discover Card Master Trust I ("DCMT") and the Discover Card Execution Note Trust ("DCENT"), through which we issue asset-backed securities both publicly and through private transactions. We retain significant exposure to the performance of trust assets through holdings of the seller's interest and subordinated security classes of DCENT and previously DCMT.

The securitization structures include certain features designed to protect investors. The primary feature relates to the availability and adequacy of cash flows in the securitized pool of receivables to meet contractual requirements, the insufficiency of which triggers early repayment of the securities. We refer to this as "economic early amortization,"

which is based on excess spread levels. Excess spread is the amount by which income received by a trust during a collection period, including interest collections, fees and interchange, exceeds the fees and expenses of the trust during such collection period, including interest expense, servicing fees and charged-off receivables. In the event of an economic early amortization, which would occur if the excess spread fell below 0% on a three-month rolling average basis, we would be required to repay the affected outstanding securitized borrowings using available collections received by the trust (the period of ultimate repayment would be determined by the amount and timing of collections received). An early amortization event would negatively impact our liquidity, and require us to utilize our available non-securitization related contingent liquidity or rely on

Table of Contents

alternative funding sources, which may or may not be available at the time. As of June 30, 2014, the DiscoverSeries three-month rolling average excess spread was 13.43%.

Another feature of our securitization structure, which is applicable to the notes issued from DCENT, is a reserve account funding requirement in which, in limited circumstances, excess cash flows generated by the transferred loan receivables are held at the trust. This funding requirement is triggered when DCENT's three-month average excess spread rate decreases to below 4.50%, with increasing funding requirements as excess spread levels decline below preset levels to 0%. See Note 4: Credit Card and Student Loan Securitization Activities to our condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the structures of DCMT and DCENT and for tables providing information concerning investors' interests and related excess spread at June 30, 2014.

At June 30, 2014, we had \$14.6 billion of outstanding public asset-backed securities and \$5.4 billion of outstanding asset-backed securities that had been issued to our wholly-owned subsidiaries. There were no outstanding private asset-backed securitizations outstanding at June 30, 2014.

The following table summarizes expected contractual maturities of the investors' interests in credit card securitizations excluding those that have been issued to our wholly-owned subsidiaries at the end of the current period (dollars in millions):

| At June 30, 2014 | Total | Less Than One Year | One Year Through Three Years | Four Years Through Five Years | After Five Years |
|--|----------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Scheduled maturities of long-term borrowings - owed to credit card securitization investors | \$14,553 | 2,804 | 7,050 | 3,699 | 1,000 |

The triple-A rating of DCENT Class A Notes issued to date has been based, in part, on an FDIC rule which created a safe harbor that provides that the FDIC, as conservator or receiver, will not, using its power to disaffirm or repudiate contracts, seek to reclaim or recover assets transferred in connection with a securitization, or recharacterize them as assets of the insured depository institution, provided such transfer satisfies the conditions for sale accounting treatment under previous GAAP. Although the implementation of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing), no longer qualified certain transfers of assets for sale accounting treatment, the FDIC approved a final rule that preserved the safe-harbor treatment applicable to revolving trusts and master trusts, including DCMT, so long as those trusts would have satisfied the original FDIC safe harbor if evaluated under GAAP pertaining to transfers of financial assets in effect prior to December 1, 2009. Other legislative and regulatory developments may, however, impact our ability and/or desire to issue asset-backed securities in the future.

Corporate and Bank Debt

At June 30, 2014, the Parent Company had \$1.3 billion in principal amount of senior notes outstanding. Discover Bank had \$2.2 billion in principal amount of senior notes and \$700 million in principal amount of subordinated notes outstanding.

At June 30, 2014, the Parent Company senior notes are comprised of a \$400 million issuance due in June 2017, a \$78 million issuance due in July 2019, a \$322 million issuance due in April 2022 and a \$500 million issuance due in November 2022. The Parent Company senior notes require us to offer to repurchase the notes at a price equal to 101% of their aggregate principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest in the event of a change of control involving us and a corresponding ratings downgrade to below investment grade. Discover Bank's senior notes are comprised of a \$750 million issuance due February 2018, a \$1 billion issuance due August 2023 and a \$400 million issuance due March 2026. Discover Bank's subordinated notes are comprised of a \$200 million issuance due in November 2019 and a \$500 million issuance due in April 2020. For more information, see Note 6: Long-Term Borrowings to our consolidated financial statements.

Other Long-Term Borrowings—Student Loans

At June 30, 2014, we had \$1.7 billion of remaining principal balance outstanding on securitized debt assumed as part of the SLC acquisition. Principal and interest payments on the underlying student loans will reduce the balance of these secured borrowings over time.

Short-Term Borrowings

We utilize a \$225 million warehouse line of credit as a form of short-term borrowings. This line of credit is used for the sole purpose of funding consumer residential loans that are held for sale. The warehouse line of credit had an outstanding

Table of Contents

balance of \$120 million as of June 30, 2014. In addition, we may access short-term borrowings through the Federal Funds market or through repurchase agreements. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were no outstanding balances under the Federal Funds market or repurchase agreements.

Additional Funding Sources**Private Asset-Backed Securitizations**

We have access to committed undrawn capacity through privately placed asset-backed securitizations. At June 30, 2014 we had total committed capacity of \$7.5 billion, all of which was undrawn.

Federal Reserve

Discover Bank has access to the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia's discount window. As of June 30, 2014, Discover Bank had \$15.5 billion of available capacity through the discount window based on the amount and type of assets pledged. We have no borrowings outstanding under the discount window.

Credit Ratings

Our borrowing costs and capacity in certain funding markets, including securitizations and senior and subordinated debt, may be affected by the credit ratings of DFS, Discover Bank and the securitization trusts. Downgrades in these credit ratings could result in higher interest expense on our unsecured debt and asset securitizations, as well as potentially higher fees related to borrowings under our lines of credit. In addition to increased funding costs, deterioration in credit ratings could reduce our borrowing capacity in the unsecured debt and asset securitization capital markets.

We also have agreements with certain of our derivative counterparties that contain provisions that require DFS and Discover Bank to maintain an investment grade credit rating from specified major credit rating agencies. Because the credit rating of DFS did not meet the specified thresholds, we had posted \$4 million of collateral with our counterparties at June 30, 2014. Discover Bank's credit rating met specified thresholds set by its counterparties. However, if Discover Bank's credit rating is reduced by one ratings notch, Discover Bank would be required to post additional collateral, which, as of June 30, 2014, would have been \$99 million.

A credit rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities, may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization, and each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating. The credit ratings are summarized in the following table:

| | Moody's Investors Service | Standard & Poor's | Fitch Ratings |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Senior Unsecured Debt | | | |
| Discover Financial Services | Ba1 | BBB- | BBB+ |
| Discover Bank | Baa3 | BBB | BBB+ |
| Outlook for Senior Unsecured Debt | Stable | Positive | Stable |
| Subordinated Debt | | | |
| Discover Bank | Ba1 | BBB- | BBB |
| Discover Card Master Trust I ⁽¹⁾ | | | |
| Class A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Class B | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Discover Card Execution Note Trust | | | |
| Class A ⁽²⁾ | Aaa(sf) | AAA(sf) | AAAsf |
| Class B ⁽²⁾ | A1(sf) | AA+(sf) | AA-sf |
| Class C ⁽³⁾ | N/A | N/A | N/A |

(1) All Class A and B certificates have been paid in full.

(2) An "sf" in the rating denotes rating agency identification for structured finance product ratings.

(3) All Class C notes are currently held by subsidiaries of Discover Bank and, therefore, are not publicly rated.

Table of Contents

Liquidity

We seek to ensure that we have adequate liquidity to sustain business operations, fund asset growth and satisfy debt obligations under normal and stress conditions both at the Parent Company and on a consolidated basis. In addition to the funding sources discussed above, we also maintain highly liquid unencumbered assets in our investment portfolio. We employ a variety of metrics to monitor and manage liquidity. Regular liquidity stress testing and contingency funding planning is performed as part of our liquidity management process. We evaluate a range of stress scenarios including company specific and systemic events that could impact funding sources and our ability to meet liquidity needs. These scenarios measure the liquidity position over a two-year horizon by analyzing the stress on liquidity versus the ability to generate contingent liquidity. We maintain contingent funding sources, including our liquidity portfolio, private securitizations with unused capacity and Federal Reserve discount window capacity, which we could utilize to satisfy liquidity needs during such stress events. We expect to be able to satisfy all maturing obligations and fund business operations during the next 12 months by utilizing the funding sources that are currently available to us. We maintain policies outlining the overall framework and general principles for managing liquidity risk across our business, which is the responsibility of our Asset and Liability Management Committee (the "ALCO"). We seek to balance the trade-offs between maintaining too much liquidity, which may be costly, with having too little liquidity that could cause financial distress. Liquidity risk is centrally managed by the ALCO, which is chaired by our Treasurer and has cross-functional membership. The ALCO monitors positions and determines any actions that may need to be taken.

At June 30, 2014, our liquidity portfolio was comprised of cash and cash equivalents and high quality, liquid investment securities. Cash and cash equivalents were primarily in the form of deposits with the Federal Reserve. Investment securities primarily included debt obligations of the U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies and residential mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. government agencies. These investments are considered highly liquid, and we have the ability to raise cash by utilizing repurchase agreements, pledging certain of these investments to access the secured funding markets or selling them. The level and mix of our liquidity portfolio may fluctuate based upon the level of expected maturities of our funding sources as well as operational requirements and market conditions.

At June 30, 2014, our liquidity portfolio and undrawn credit facilities were \$33.3 billion, which was \$686 million higher than the balance at December 31, 2013. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 the average balance of our liquidity portfolio was \$11.4 billion and \$11.5 billion, respectively.

| | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | (dollars in millions) | |
| Liquidity portfolio | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents ⁽¹⁾ | \$6,492 | \$ 6,193 |
| Investment securities ⁽²⁾ | 3,845 | 4,922 |
| Total liquidity portfolio | 10,337 | 11,115 |
| Private asset-backed securitizations ⁽³⁾ | 7,500 | 7,000 |
| Primary liquidity sources | 17,837 | 18,115 |
| Federal Reserve discount window ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 15,464 | 14,500 |
| Total contingent funding sources | \$33,301 | \$ 32,615 |

(1) Cash-in-process is excluded from cash and cash equivalents for liquidity purposes.

Excludes \$149 million of unsettled purchases of investment securities and \$6 million of U.S. Treasury

(2) securities that have been pledged as swap collateral in lieu of cash as of June 30, 2014 and \$9 million of

U.S. Treasury securities that have been pledged as swap collateral in lieu of cash as of December 31, 2013.

(3) See "— Additional Funding Sources" for additional information.

(4) Excludes \$5 million of investments accounted for in the liquidity portfolio that were pledged to the Federal

Reserve as of December 31, 2013.

Capital

Our primary sources of capital are from the earnings generated by our businesses and common and preferred stock issuances in the capital markets. We seek to manage capital to a level and composition sufficient to support the risks of our businesses, meet regulatory requirements, meet rating agency targets and support future business growth. Within these constraints, we are focused on deploying capital in a manner that provides attractive returns to our stockholders. The level, composition and utilization of capital are influenced by changes in the economic environment, strategic initiatives, and legislative and regulatory developments.

Table of Contents

Under regulatory capital requirements adopted by the FDIC, the Federal Reserve and other bank regulatory agencies, we, along with Discover Bank, must maintain minimum levels of capital. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can result in the initiation of certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could limit our business activities and have a direct material effect on our financial position and results. We must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets and liabilities as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Our capital adequacy assessment also includes tax and accounting considerations in accordance with regulatory guidance. We maintain a substantial deferred tax asset on our balance sheet, and we include this asset when calculating our regulatory capital levels. However, for regulatory capital purposes, deferred tax assets that are dependent on future taxable income are currently limited to the lesser of: (i) the amount of deferred tax assets we expect to realize within one year of the calendar quarter-end date, based on our projected future taxable income for that year; or (ii) 10% of the amount of our Tier 1 capital. At June 30, 2014, no portion of our deferred tax asset was disallowed for regulatory capital purposes.

At June 30, 2014, Discover Financial Services and Discover Bank met the requirements for "well-capitalized" status, exceeding the regulatory minimums to which they were subject under Basel I.

As discussed in "— Regulatory Environment and Developments— Capital, Liquidity and Funding— Regulatory Capital Requirements" above, we will be subject to a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio requirement when the Basel III final rules become effective on January 1, 2015. We currently disclose our Tier 1 common capital ratio as calculated under Basel I for our bank holding company, which is a regulatory capital measure widely used by investors, analysts, rating agencies and bank regulatory agencies to assess the capital position of financial services companies. Since analysts and banking regulators may assess the quality and composition of our capital adequacy using this ratio, we believe it is useful to provide investors the ability to assess our capital adequacy on the same basis.

As this ratio is not formally defined by U.S. GAAP or codified in the federal banking regulations, it is considered to be a non-GAAP financial measure. Other financial services companies may also disclose a Tier 1 common capital ratio and definitions may vary, so we advise users of this information to exercise caution in comparing Tier 1 common capital ratios of different companies.

Our Tier 1 common capital ratio, as calculated under Basel I, increased to 15.2% at June 30, 2014 from 14.3% at December 31, 2013. The increase was driven by the strong generation of internal capital from earnings.

The following table provides a reconciliation of Tier 1 common capital to total common stockholders' equity (a U.S. GAAP financial measure):

| | June 30, 2014 | | December 31, 2013 | |
|---|-----------------------|---|----------------------|---|
| | (dollars in millions) | | | |
| Total common stockholders' equity | \$10,821 | | \$10,249 | |
| Less: Goodwill | (284 |) | (284 |) |
| Less: Intangible assets, net | (179 |) | (185 |) |
| Tangible common equity | 10,358 | | 9,780 | |
| Effect of certain items in accumulated other comprehensive income excluded from Tier 1 common capital | 73 | | 69 | |
| Total Tier 1 common capital | \$10,431 | | \$9,849 | |
| Risk-weighted assets | \$68,755 | | \$68,649 | |
| Tier 1 common capital ratio | 15.2 | % | 14.3 | % |

Current or future legislative or regulatory initiatives may require us to hold more capital in the future. In July 2013, the Federal Reserve, OCC and the FDIC finalized rules to implement the provisions of the Basel III regulatory capital reforms that will be applicable to us and Discover Bank. The final rules include new minimum and "well-capitalized" risk-based capital and leverage ratios, effective January 1, 2015, and refine the definition of what constitutes "capital"

for purposes of calculating those ratios. For additional information, see "— Regulatory Environment and Developments."

Table of Contents

Additionally, we are required to submit an annual capital plan to the Federal Reserve that includes an assessment of our expected uses and sources of capital over the nine quarter planning horizon. In January 2014, we submitted our annual capital plan to the Federal Reserve under the Federal Reserve's Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review, or CCAR, program, which included planned dividends and share repurchases over the nine quarter planning horizon. In March 2014, we received non-objection from the Federal Reserve with respect to our proposed capital actions through March 31, 2015. Our ability to make capital distributions, including our ability to pay dividends or repurchase shares of our common stock, will continue to be subject to the Federal Reserve's review and non-objection of the actions that we propose each year in our annual capital plan.

Also in March 2014, the Federal Reserve published the results of its annual supervisory stress tests for bank holding companies with \$50 billion or more in total consolidated assets, including Discover. Also in March, we published company-run stress test results for Discover Financial Services and Discover Bank. Discover Financial Services is required to publish stress tests results in March and September each year in accordance with Federal Reserve rules and Discover Bank is required to publish stress tests in March each year under FDIC rules.

We recently declared a quarterly cash dividend on our common stock of \$0.24 per share, payable on August 21, 2014 to holders of record on August 7, 2014, which is consistent with last quarter. We also recently declared a quarterly cash dividend on our preferred stock of \$16.25 per share, equal to \$0.40625 per depository share, payable on September 2, 2014, to holders of record on August 15, 2014, which was the same amount paid on our preferred stock in the prior quarter.

On April 16, 2014, our board of directors approved a two-year share repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$3.2 billion of our outstanding shares of common stock. The program expires on April 15, 2016, and may be terminated at any time. This program replaced the prior \$2.4 billion program, which had \$1.0 billion of remaining authorization. In the second quarter of 2014, we repurchased approximately 3.1 million shares, or 1%, of our outstanding common stock for \$177 million. We expect to continue to make share repurchases under our repurchase program from time to time based on market conditions and other factors, subject to legal and regulatory requirements and restrictions. Share repurchases under the program may be made through a variety of methods, including open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or other purchases, including block trades, accelerated share repurchase transactions, or any combination of such methods. Any share repurchases after March 31, 2015 will be subject to receiving Federal Reserve non-objection with respect to our proposed capital actions through March 31, 2016.

The declaration and payment of future dividends, as well as the amount thereof, are subject to the discretion of our board of directors. The amount and size of any future dividends and share repurchases will depend upon our results of operations, financial condition, capital levels, cash requirements, future prospects and other factors. Holders of our shares of common stock are subject to the prior dividend rights of holders of our preferred stock or the depository shares representing such preferred stock outstanding, and if full dividends have not been declared and paid on all outstanding shares of preferred stock in any dividend period, no dividend may be declared or paid or set aside for payment on our common stock. In addition, as noted above, banking laws and regulations and our banking regulators may limit our ability to pay dividends and make share repurchases, including limitations on the extent to which our banking subsidiaries can provide funds to us through dividends, loans or otherwise. Further, also noted above, current or future regulatory initiatives may require us to hold more capital in the future. There can be no assurance that we will declare and pay any dividends or repurchase any shares of our common stock in the future.

Certain Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Guarantees

Guarantees are contracts or indemnification agreements that contingently require us to make payments to a guaranteed party based on changes in an underlying asset, liability, or equity security of a guaranteed party, rate or index. Also included in guarantees are contracts that contingently require the guarantor to make payments to a guaranteed party based on another entity's failure to perform under an agreement. Our guarantees relate to transactions processed on the Discover Network and certain transactions processed by PULSE and Diners Club. See Note 11: Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion regarding our guarantees.

Contractual Obligations and Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

In the normal course of business, we enter into various contractual obligations that may require future cash payments. Contractual obligations at June 30, 2014, which include deposits, long-term borrowings, operating and capital lease obligations, interest payments on fixed rate debt, purchase obligations and other liabilities, were \$67.5 billion. For a

Table of Contents

description of our contractual obligations, see our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 under “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Contractual Obligations and Contingent Liabilities and Commitments.”

We extend credit for consumer and commercial loans, primarily arising from agreements with customers for unused lines of credit on certain credit cards, provided there is no violation of conditions established in the related agreement. At June 30, 2014, our unused commitments were \$167.0 billion. These commitments, substantially all of which we can terminate at any time and which do not necessarily represent future cash requirements, are periodically reviewed based on account usage and customer creditworthiness. In addition, in the ordinary course of business, we guarantee payment on behalf of subsidiaries relating to contractual obligations with external parties. The activities of the subsidiaries covered by any such guarantees are included in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk refers to the risk that a change in the level of one or more market prices, rates, indices, correlations or other market factors will result in losses for a position or portfolio. We are exposed to market risk primarily from changes in interest rates.

Interest Rate Risk

We borrow money from a variety of depositors and institutions in order to provide loans to our customers, as well as invest in other assets and our business. These loans and other assets earn interest, which we use to pay interest on the money borrowed. Our net interest income and, therefore, earnings, will be negatively affected if the interest rate earned on assets increases at a slower pace than increases to the interest rate we owe on our borrowings. Changes in interest rates and competitor responses to those changes may influence customer payment rates, loan balances or deposit account activity. We may face higher-cost alternative sources of funding as a result, which has the potential to decrease earnings.

Our interest rate risk management policies are designed to measure and manage the potential volatility of earnings that may arise from changes in interest rates by having a financing portfolio that reflects the mix of variable and fixed rate assets. To the extent that asset and related financing repricing characteristics of a particular portfolio are not matched effectively, we may utilize interest rate derivative contracts, such as swap agreements, to achieve our objectives.

Interest rate swap agreements effectively convert the underlying asset or liability from fixed to floating rate or from floating to fixed rate. See Note 14: Derivatives and Hedging Activities to our condensed consolidated financial statements for information on our derivatives activity.

We use an interest rate sensitivity simulation to assess our interest rate risk exposure. For purposes of presenting the possible earnings effect of a hypothetical, adverse change in interest rates over the 12-month period from our reporting date, we assume that all interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities will be impacted by a hypothetical, immediate 100 basis point increase in interest rates as of the beginning of the period. The sensitivity is based upon the hypothetical assumption that all relevant types of interest rates that affect our results would increase instantaneously, simultaneously and to the same degree.

Our interest rate sensitive assets include our variable rate loan receivables and the assets that make up our liquidity portfolio. We have restrictions on our ability to mitigate interest rate risk by adjusting rates on existing balances. At June 30, 2014, the majority of our credit card and student loans were at variable rates. Assets with rates that are fixed at period end but which will mature, or otherwise contractually reset to a market-based indexed rate or other fixed rate prior to the end of the 12-month period, are considered to be rate sensitive. The latter category includes certain credit card loans that may be offered at below-market rates for an introductory period, such as balance transfers and special promotional programs, after which the loans will contractually reprice in accordance with our normal market-based pricing structure. For purposes of measuring rate sensitivity for such loans, only the effect of the hypothetical 100 basis point change in the underlying market-based indexed rate or other fixed rate has been considered rather than the full change in the rate to which the loan would contractually reprice. For assets that have a fixed interest rate at the fiscal period end but which contractually will, or are assumed to, reset to a market-based indexed rate or other fixed rate during the next 12 months, earnings sensitivity is measured from the expected repricing date. In addition, for all interest rate sensitive assets, earnings sensitivity is calculated net of expected loan losses.

Interest rate sensitive liabilities are assumed to be those for which the stated interest rate is not contractually fixed for the next 12-month period. Thus, liabilities that vary with changes in a market-based index, such as Federal Funds or LIBOR, which will reset before the end of the 12-month period, or liabilities whose rates are fixed at the fiscal period end but which will mature and are assumed to be replaced with a market-based indexed rate prior to the end of the 12-month period, also are considered to be rate sensitive. For these fixed rate liabilities, earnings sensitivity is measured from the expected repricing date.

Assuming an immediate 100 basis point increase in the interest rates affecting all interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities at June 30, 2014, we estimate that net interest income over the following 12-month period would increase by approximately \$134 million, or 2%. Assuming an immediate 100 basis point increase in the interest rates affecting all interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities at December 31, 2013, we estimated that net interest income over the following 12-month period would increase by approximately \$136 million, or 2%. We have not provided an estimate of any impact on net interest income of a decrease in interest rates as many of our interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities are tied to interest rates that are already at or near their minimum levels (i.e., Prime and LIBOR) and, therefore, could not materially decrease further.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), which are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In May 2014, we implemented a new system for our deposit portfolio. All operational controls and procedures were tested as part of the development and conversion process. There have been no additional changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For a description of legal proceedings, see Note 12: Litigation and Regulatory Matters to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ended December 31, 2013.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The table below sets forth information regarding purchases of our common stock related to our share repurchase program and employee transactions that were made by us or on our behalf during the most recent quarter.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Program ⁽¹⁾ | Maximum Dollar Value of Shares that may yet be purchased under the Plans or Programs ⁽¹⁾ |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| April 1 - 30, 2014 | | | | |
| Repurchase program ⁽¹⁾ | 2,457,798 | \$56.79 | 2,457,798 | \$3,133,185,740 |
| Employee transactions ⁽²⁾ | 526 | \$59.18 | N/A | N/A |
| May 1 - 31, 2014 | | | | |
| Repurchase program ⁽¹⁾ | 674,270 | \$55.95 | 674,270 | \$3,095,460,607 |
| Employee transactions ⁽²⁾ | 7,568 | \$57.03 | N/A | N/A |
| June 1 - 30, 2014 | | | | |
| Repurchase program ⁽¹⁾ | — | \$— | — | \$3,095,460,607 |
| Employee transactions ⁽²⁾ | 2,552 | \$60.08 | N/A | N/A |
| Total | | | | |
| Repurchase program ⁽¹⁾ | 3,132,068 | \$56.61 | 3,132,068 | \$3,095,460,607 |
| Employee transactions ⁽²⁾ | 10,646 | \$57.86 | N/A | N/A |

On April 16, 2014, our board of directors approved a new share repurchase program authorizing the purchase of up to \$3.2 billion of our outstanding shares of common stock. The new share repurchase program expires on April 15, 2016 and may be terminated at any time.

Reflects shares withheld (under the terms of grants under employee stock compensation plans) to offset tax withholding obligations that occur upon the delivery of outstanding shares underlying restricted stock units or upon the exercise of stock options.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

See "Exhibit Index" for documents filed herewith and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Discover Financial Services
(Registrant)

By: /s/ R. MARK GRAF
R. Mark Graf
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer

Date: July 31, 2014

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated By-Laws of Discover Financial Services (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Discover Financial Services' Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2014 and incorporated herein by reference thereto). |
| 10.1 | Discover Financial Services Amended and Restated 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan (filed as an attachment to Discover Financial Services' Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on March 19, 2014 and incorporated herein by reference thereto). |
| 10.2 | Amendment No. 4 to the Directors' Compensation Plan of Discover Financial Services, effective as of May 7, 2014. |
| 12.1 | Statement regarding computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges and ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document. |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document. |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. |