

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.

Form 10-Q

August 09, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2016

or

☐ Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 001-33304

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 20-4075963  
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

2000 University Ave., Suite 600  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
(Address, including zip code, and telephone  
number, including area code, of registrant's  
principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☐

Edgar Filing: FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-Q

Non-accelerated filer ☐ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of August 2, 2016, 22,862,907 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, were outstanding.

---

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements:

|                                                                                                                                |          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2016 (unaudited) and December 31, 2015 (audited)                          | <u>1</u> |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 (unaudited) | <u>2</u> |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 (unaudited)           | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> (unaudited)                                                        | <u>4</u> |

|                                                                                                      |           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>21</u> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|

|                                                                           |           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>27</u> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|

|                                        |           |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>27</u> |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

|                                  |           |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>29</u> |
|----------------------------------|-----------|

|                              |           |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>29</u> |
|------------------------------|-----------|

|                                                                            |           |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>29</u> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|

|                                                |           |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Item 3. <u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>29</u> |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|

|                                        |           |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>29</u> |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|

|                                  |           |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | <u>29</u> |
|----------------------------------|-----------|

|                         |           |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>29</u> |
|-------------------------|-----------|

|               |  |
|---------------|--|
| Exhibit Index |  |
|---------------|--|

Table of Contents

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Information

FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
 (In thousands, except shares and par value)

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | June 30,<br>2016<br>(Unaudited) | December 31,<br>2015 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                 |                      |
| Current assets:                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                 |                      |
| Cash and cash equivalents                                                                                                                                                                                              | \$ 17,229                       | \$ 6,101             |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets                                                                                                                                                                              | 189                             | 322                  |
| Total current assets                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 17,418                          | 6,423                |
| Property and equipment, net                                                                                                                                                                                            | 230                             | 257                  |
| Investment                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 2,445                           | 2,195                |
| Other long-term assets                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 324                             | 325                  |
| Total assets                                                                                                                                                                                                           | \$ 20,417                       | \$ 9,200             |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                 |                      |
| Current liabilities:                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                 |                      |
| Accounts payable                                                                                                                                                                                                       | \$ 1,196                        | \$ 2,220             |
| Accounts payable - related parties                                                                                                                                                                                     | 18                              | 17                   |
| Accrued expenses                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 2,963                           | 450                  |
| Accrued income taxes                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 9                               | 9                    |
| Other liabilities, current                                                                                                                                                                                             | —                               | 32                   |
| Total current liabilities                                                                                                                                                                                              | 4,186                           | 2,728                |
| Other liabilities, non-current                                                                                                                                                                                         | 134                             | 130                  |
| Total liabilities                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 4,320                           | 2,858                |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 2)                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                 |                      |
| Redeemable Preferred Stock                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                 |                      |
| Series A Preferred Stock - \$0.0001 par value, 102,000 and no shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively (Liquidation preference of \$15,300 at June 30, 2016)                 | 14,970                          | —                    |
| Stockholders' equity                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                 |                      |
| Preferred stock - \$0.0001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding (which excludes 102,000 Series A Redeemable Preferred Stock) at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively | —                               | —                    |
| Common stock - \$0.0001 par value; 80,000,000 shares authorized; 22,806,609 and 22,640,611 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively                                          | 2                               | 2                    |
| Additional paid-in capital                                                                                                                                                                                             | 18,995                          | 23,946               |
| Accumulated deficit                                                                                                                                                                                                    | (17,870)                        | (17,606)             |
| Total stockholders' equity                                                                                                                                                                                             | 1,127                           | 6,342                |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity                                                                                                                                                                             | \$ 20,417                       | \$ 9,200             |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements

1

---

Table of Contents

## FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share amount)

(Unaudited)

|                                                                         | Three Months Ended |            | Six Months Ended |            |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
|                                                                         | June 30,           |            | June 30,         |            |
|                                                                         | 2016               | 2015       | 2016             | 2015       |
| Revenues                                                                | \$6,528            | \$ 700     | \$8,847          | \$ 700     |
| Cost of revenues                                                        | 2,237              | 60         | 2,237            | 60         |
| Gross profit                                                            | 4,291              | 640        | 6,610            | 640        |
| Research and development expense                                        | 54                 | —          | 105              | —          |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses                            | 3,339              | 4,559      | 6,769            | 8,764      |
| Income (loss) from operations                                           | 898                | (3,919 )   | (264 )           | (8,124 )   |
| Return on investment                                                    | —                  | 1,271      | —                | 1,271      |
| Other income (expense), net                                             | —                  | 7          | —                | 15         |
| Income (loss) before income taxes                                       | 898                | (2,641 )   | (264 )           | (6,838 )   |
| Provision for income taxes                                              | —                  | —          | —                | —          |
| Net income (loss)                                                       | 898                | (2,641 )   | (264 )           | (6,838 )   |
| Accretion of Series A Preferred stock                                   | (5,480 )           | —          | (5,480 )         | —          |
| Net loss to common stockholders                                         | \$(4,582)          | \$(2,641 ) | \$(5,744)        | \$(6,838 ) |
| Net loss per share applicable to common stockholders, basic and diluted | \$(0.20 )          | \$(0.12 )  | \$(0.25 )        | \$(0.30 )  |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted           | 22,764,322         | 22,519,493 | 22,736,502       | 22,503,171 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

## FINJAN HOLDINGS, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

|                                                                                           | Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |            |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
|                                                                                           | 2016                         | 2015       |
| Cash flows from operating activities:                                                     |                              |            |
| Net loss                                                                                  | \$(264 )                     | \$(6,843 ) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: |                              |            |
| Return on Investment                                                                      | —                            | (1,271 )   |
| Depreciation and amortization                                                             | 27                           | 26         |
| Stock-based compensation                                                                  | 453                          | 455        |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities:                                              |                              |            |
| Accounts receivable                                                                       | —                            | 2,016      |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets                                                 | 133                          | (106 )     |
| Other long-term assets                                                                    | 1                            | (231 )     |
| Accrued expenses                                                                          | 2,513                        | (2 )       |
| Accounts payable                                                                          | (1,024 )                     | (196 )     |
| Accounts payable - related parties                                                        | 1                            | (92 )      |
| Other liabilities                                                                         | (28 )                        | —          |
| Accrued income taxes                                                                      | —                            | 8          |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities                                       | 1,812                        | (6,236 )   |
| Cash flows from investing activities:                                                     |                              |            |
| Purchases of property and equipment                                                       | —                            | (210 )     |
| Proceeds from investment                                                                  | —                            | 826        |
| Purchase of additional investment                                                         | (250 )                       | —          |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities                                       | (250 )                       | 616        |
| Cash flows from financing activities:                                                     |                              |            |
| Proceeds from the sale of Series A preferred shares, net of issuance costs                | 9,490                        | —          |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options                                                   | 76                           | 20         |
| Net cash provided by financing activities                                                 | 9,566                        | 20         |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents                                      | 11,128                       | (5,600 )   |
| Cash and cash equivalents - beginning                                                     | 6,101                        | 17,505     |
| Cash and cash equivalents - ending                                                        | \$17,229                     | \$11,905   |
| Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information                                         |                              |            |
| Additional investment held by investee                                                    | —                            | 445        |
| Accretion of preferred stock to redemption value                                          | \$5,480                      | \$ —       |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 – THE COMPANY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Finjan Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company” or “Finjan Holdings”), is a cybersecurity company focused on licensing and enforcement, providing consulting services, developing mobile security applications and investing in cybersecurity technologies and intellectual property. Licensing and enforcement of its cybersecurity technology patent portfolio is operated by its wholly-owned subsidiary Finjan, Inc. (“Finjan”). The consulting services business is operated by its wholly-owned subsidiary, CybeRisk Security Solutions LLC (“CybeRisk”), and the mobile security business is operated by its wholly owned subsidiary Finjan Mobile, Inc., (“Finjan Mobile”). Revenues and operations from the Company's CybeRisk advisory services and the Company's Finjan Mobile security business were deemed immaterial for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared following the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), for interim reporting. As permitted under those rules, certain footnotes and other financial information that are normally required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) can be condensed or omitted. The December 31, 2015 condensed consolidated balance sheet was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by U.S. GAAP. The information included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2015 which were included in the annual report on Form 10-K filed by the Company on March 25, 2016.

In the opinion of management, these condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the annual consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of the Company and include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, considered necessary for the fair presentation of the Company's financial position and operating results. The results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the year ending December 31, 2016, or any other interim or future periods.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to stock-based compensation, investments, the determination of the economic useful life of property and equipment, income taxes and valuation allowances against net deferred tax assets. Management bases its estimates on historical experience or on various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Finjan Holdings and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

REVENUE RECOGNITION

Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery of the product or service has occurred, all obligations have been performed pursuant to the terms of the agreement, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured.

Revenue from the Company's cybersecurity business results from grants of licenses to its patented cybersecurity technology and settlements reached from legal enforcement of the Company's patent right. Revenue is recognized when the arrangement with the licensee has been signed and the license has been delivered and made effective, provided the license fees are fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

The total amount of the consideration received upon any settlement or judgment is allocated to each element based on the fair value of each element. Elements provided in either settlement agreements or judgments include, the value of a license, legal release and interest. Fair value of licensing agreements and royalty revenues, are recognized as revenues in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. Elements not related to license agreements and royalty revenue in nature will be reflected

## Table of Contents

in other income (expense), net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Legal release as part of a settlement agreement is recognized as a separate line item in the condensed consolidated statements of operations when value can be allocated to the legal release. When the Company reaches a settlement with a defendant, no value is allocated to the legal release since the existence of a settlement removes legal standing to bring a claim of infringement, and without a legal claim, the legal release has no economic value. The element that is applicable to interest income will be recorded in other income (expense), net.

When settlements or judgments are achieved at discounts to the fair value of a license, the Company allocates the full settlement or judgment, excluding specifically named elements as mentioned above, to the value of the license agreement or royalty revenue under the residual method relative to full license fair value prior to the discount.

## FOREIGN CURRENCY

Foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, where the local currency is the functional currency, are translated into U.S. dollars using the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet dates, and income and expenses are translated using average exchange rates during the period. The resulting foreign currency translation adjustments were deemed immaterial for the periods presented.

Gains and losses from foreign currency transactions are included in other income (expense), net in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations. Foreign currency transaction gains (losses) were immaterial for the periods presented, and are included as general and administrative expense, in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

## CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

The Company maintains substantially all of its cash and cash equivalents in financial institutions located in the United States. At times, the Company's cash and cash equivalent balances may be uninsured or in deposit accounts that exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, substantially all of the Company's cash and cash equivalents are uninsured.

## SERIES A PREFERRED STOCK

The Company accounts for the redemption premium and issuance costs on its Series A Preferred stock by recognizing changes in the redemption value immediately as they occur and adjusting the carrying value of the security to equal the redemption value at the end of each reporting period. This method views the end of the reporting period as if it were also the redemption date for the security.

## NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE

Basic net loss per common share is based upon the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net loss per common share is based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding.

Potentially dilutive common shares from employee equity plans are determined by applying the treasury stock method to the assumed exercise of warrants and share options and were excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share because their inclusion would be anti-dilutive and consist of the following:

Three and Six  
months ended June  
30,

2016      2015

Stock options      1,738,642   1,398,332

Restricted Stock Units   469,902   517,926

Total      2,208,544   1,916,258

Table of Contents

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS NOT YET ADOPTED

In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15 “Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to continue as a Going Concern”, which provides guidance on determining when and how to disclose going-concern uncertainties in the financial statements. The new standard requires management to perform interim and annual assessments of an entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year of the date the financial statements are issued. An entity must provide certain disclosures if conditions or events raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. The ASU applies to all entities and is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016, and interim periods thereafter, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of the standard on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In February, 2016, FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02 “Leases” that requires a lessee to recognize the assets and liabilities that arise from operating leases. A lessee should recognize in the statement of financial position a liability to make lease payments (the lease liability) and a right-of-use asset representing its right to use the underlying asset for the lease term. For leases with a term of 12 months or less, a lessee is permitted to make an accounting policy election by class of underlying asset not to recognize lease assets and lease liabilities. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of the standard on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In March 2016, FASB issued ASU No. 2016-07 "Investments - Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323): Simplifying the Transition to the Equity Method of Accounting". The amendments require upon qualifying for the equity method of accounting that no retroactive adjustment of the investment be made. In addition, the amendments require that an entity that has an available-for-sale equity security that becomes qualified for the equity method of accounting, recognize through earnings the unrealized holding gain or loss in accumulated other comprehensive income at the date the investment becomes qualified for use of the equity method. The amendments are effective for all entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2016. The amendments should be applied prospectively upon their effective date to increases in the level of ownership interest or degree of influence that result in the adoption of the equity method. Early Adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of the standard on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-08 "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross versus Net)". The amendments are intended to improve the operability and understandability of the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations by amending certain existing illustrative examples and adding additional illustrative examples to assist in the application of the guidance. The effective date and transition of these amendments is the same as the effective date and transition of ASU 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)”. Public entities should apply the amendments in ASU 2014-09 for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods therein. Early adoption is permitted commencing January 1, 2017. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of the new revenue standard on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09 “Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting”. Several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment award transactions are simplified, including: (a) income tax consequences; (b) classification of awards as either equity or liabilities; and (c) classification on the statement of cash flows. The amendments are effective for public companies for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. Early adoption is permitted for any interim or annual period. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of this standard on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In April 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-10 ‘Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) (‘ASU 2016-10’): identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing’. The amendments add further guidance on identifying performance obligations and also improve the operability and understandability of the licensing implementation guidance. The amendments do not change the core principle of the guidance in Topic 606. The effective date and transition requirements for the amendments are the same as the effective date and transition requirements in Topic 606. Public entities should apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods therein. Early application for public entities is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of this standard on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

Table of Contents

Other recent accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by FASB or other standards-setting bodies that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact the Company's consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

## NOTE 2 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Operating Leases

The following table sets forth the Company's aggregate future minimum payments under its operating lease commitments as of June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

|                                  |         |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| For the year ending December 31, |         |
| 2016, (remaining six months)     | 396     |
| 2017                             | 781     |
| 2018                             | 459     |
|                                  | \$1,636 |

The Company accounts for its leases under the straight-line method of accounting. Deferred rent payable was \$84,000 and \$80,000 as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, and is included in long term liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Rent expense was \$202,000 and \$398,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, and \$175,000 and \$287,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively.

Rental income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 was \$86,000 and \$172,000, respectively, and \$0 for the same periods in 2015.

Sublease income is recorded as a reduction in rental expense. Future minimum lease payments to be received under the sublease agreements as of June 30, 2016 are as follows (in thousands):

| For the year ending December 31, | New York | Menlo Park | Total |
|----------------------------------|----------|------------|-------|
| 2016, (remaining six months)     | 81       | 95         | 176   |
| 2017                             | 165      | 178        | 343   |
| 2018                             | 127      | —          | 127   |
|                                  | \$ 373   | \$ 273     | 646   |

## Capital Commitments

On November 21, 2013, the Company made a \$5.0 million commitment to invest in JVP VII Cyber Strategic Partners, L.P. (the "JVP Fund"), an Israel-based limited partnership venture capital fund seeking to invest in early-stage cyber technology companies. If and when the Company funds the entire amount of the investment, it will be less than a 10% limited partnership interest in which the Company will not be able to exercise control over the fund. Accordingly, the Company has accounted for this investment under the cost method of accounting.

On June 8, 2015, the Company received a cash distribution of \$0.8 million as a portion of a gross entitlement of \$1.3 million from its investment in the JVP Fund, the remainder \$0.5 million was reinvested in the fund. Along with its cash investments of \$2 million, it represents a total investment of \$2.5 million. The distribution is a portion of the gross proceeds allocated to the Company's investment. The retained proceeds did not reduce the Company's future

capital commitment to the venture capital fund. The Company made a \$0.3 million contribution to the fund in May, 2016, as of June 30, 2016, the Company had a \$3.0 million outstanding capital commitment to the venture capital fund, which can be called any time until 2018.

Table of Contents

## NOTE 3 - ACCRUED EXPENSES

The components of accrued expenses are as below:

|                                | June<br>30,<br>2016 | December<br>31, 2015 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                                | (in thousands)      |                      |
| Legal - Litigation / Licensing | 2,530               | 100                  |
| Legal - Other                  | 113                 | 146                  |
| Compensation                   | 255                 | 204                  |
| Other                          | 65                  | —                    |
|                                | \$2,963             | \$ 450               |

## NOTE 4 - LICENSE, SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE AGREEMENT

On June 30, 2016, Finjan announced that Finjan and a European cloud-based network security firm (“European Licensee”) had entered into a Confidential Patent License Agreement (the “License Agreement”) effective as of June 30, 2016. Under the License Agreement, European Licensee obtains a nonexclusive, term license in the United States under Finjan’s U.S. patents to make, have made, use, sell (directly or indirectly), offer to sell, import, distribute and otherwise dispose of Licensee’s covered products. European Licensee paid Finjan \$565,000 in cash on June 30, 2016.

On June 3, 2016, Finjan entered into a Patent License, Settlement and Release Agreement with Proofpoint, Inc. and Amorize Technologies (collectively, “Proofpoint”). The Proofpoint license provides for licensee to pay Finjan the sum of \$10.9 million in cash, in which \$4.3 million was received on June 6, 2016, \$3.3 million is payable on or before January 4, 2017, and \$3.3 million is payable on or before January 3, 2018. The Company recognized \$4.3 million of the \$10.9 million license as revenues as of June 30, 2016, as such amount was determined to be fixed and determinable, in accordance with the Company’s revenue recognition policy as described in Note 1. The remaining balance of \$6.6 million under the terms of the June 3, 2016 License will be recognized as revenues when the payments are due. In exchange for the foregoing and other valuable consideration, Finjan agreed to, subject to certain restrictions, limits and other conditions, grant Proofpoint a non-exclusive, irrevocable (except in the case of non-payment by Proofpoint or other material breach), worldwide license under Finjan Patents during the Term as specified in the June 3, 2016 License.

On December 30, 2015, Finjan entered into a Confidential Patent License, Settlement and Release Agreement (“December 30, 2015 License”), effective December 29, 2015, with a United States-based third party (“U.S. Licensee”). The December 30, 2015 License provides for U.S. Licensee to pay Finjan the sum of \$3.65 million in cash, in which \$1.0 million was received on December 30, 2015, \$1.65 million was received on June 27, 2016, and \$1.0 million is payable on or before September 30, 2016. The Company recognized \$1.0 million of the \$3.65 million license as revenues as of December 31, 2015, as such amount was determined to be fixed and determinable, in accordance with the Company’s revenue recognition policy as described in Note 1. The Company received and recognized \$1.65 million as revenues during the quarter ended June 30, 2016. The remaining balance of \$1 million under the terms of the December 30, 2015 License will be recognized as revenue when the payment is due. In exchange for the foregoing and other valuable consideration, Finjan agreed to, subject to certain restrictions, limits and other conditions, grant U.S. Licensee a non-exclusive, irrevocable (except in the case of non-payment by U.S. Licensee or other material breach), worldwide license under Finjan Patents during the Term as specified in the December 30, 2015 License.

On September 24, 2014, Finjan entered into a Confidential Patent License, Settlement and Release Agreement (the “September 24, 2014 License”) with a third party against whom Finjan had filed a patent infringement lawsuit. Pursuant

to this September 24, 2014 License, the third party and Finjan also agreed to dismiss the infringement litigation, and each party gave the other a general release for all claims that it might have against the other, known or unknown, based on the actions of either party on or before the date of the settlement. Under the September 24, 2014 License, the third party will pay Finjan a license fee of \$8.0 million payable in four installments. The first installment of \$3.0 million was paid upon execution of the agreement and filing of the dismissal with prejudice, the second installment of \$2.0 million was received on January 16, 2015, the third installment of \$2.0 million was payable on or before January 15, 2016, and received on January 14, 2016. The fourth and final installment of \$1.0 million is payable on or before January 13, 2017.

## Table of Contents

### NOTE 5 - SERIES A PREFERRED STOCK

On May 6, 2016, Finjan entered into a Series A Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement with Halcyon LDR II, pursuant to which the Company agreed to issue to Halcyon LDR II in a private placement an aggregate of 102,000 shares of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock Shares at a purchase price of \$100.00 per share, for aggregate proceeds of \$10.2 million. The closing of the Private Placement occurred on May 20, 2016. The Company incurred issuance costs of \$710,000 which are recorded as an offset to the redeemable preferred stock.

The Series A Preferred Stock was accounted under Section 480-10-S99 - Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity (FASB Accounting Standards Codification 480) as amended by ASU 2009-04 - Accounting for Redeemable Equity Instruments ("ASU 2009-04"). Under ASU 2009-04, a redeemable equity security is to be classified as temporary equity if it is conditionally redeemable a) at a fixed or determinable price on a fixed or determinable date, b) at the option of the holder, or c) upon the occurrence of an event that is not solely within the control of the issuer. The Company's financing is redeemable at the option of the holder. Therefore, the Company classified the Series A Preferred Stock as temporary equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The Series A Preferred Stock have redemption features that have a determinable price and determinable date based on the following liquidation preferences:

The lesser of:

2.8x the original purchase price (OPP); or the following:

- 1.5x the OPP if redeemed within 90 days of closing; or
- 1.65x the OPP if redeemed between 90 and 360 days of closing; or
- 1.75x the OPP if redeemed between 360 days and 720 days of closing; or
- Thereafter, 1.75x the OPP plus 0.1x the OPP for every 90 day period the preferred remains outstanding.

The redemption feature is at the option of the holder and is defined in the Certificate of Designation as a percentage of certain revenues, which varies by type of revenue as well as date received. These revenues include monetary awards, damages, fees, recoveries, judgments in a suit, as well as monies received from gross licensing, royalty or similar revenue recovered from JVP related to Finjan's investment in JVP. Such monetary awards are not solely within the control of Finjan.

The increase in the redemption value is a deemed dividend that increases the carrying value of the Series A Preferred Stock to equal the redemption value at the end of each reporting period with an offsetting decrease to additional paid-in-capital. During the second quarter of 2016, the Company accreted a dividend of \$5.1 million, and proportionally accreted the issuance cost, increasing the Preferred A stock to \$15.0 million at June 30, 2016; the redemption (liquidation) value is \$15.3 million (1.5x the \$10.2 million private placement) at June 30, 2016.

Subsequent to quarter end, the Company redeemed \$2.592 million or 17,286 shares of the Series A Preferred stock; \$1.728 million reduced the value of the Series A Preferred stock and \$0.864 million reduced the accretive value of the Series A Preferred stock.

### NOTE 6 – STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

#### Stock-based Compensation

On July 10, 2014, the Company's stockholders approved the Finjan Holdings, Inc. 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan (the "2014 Plan") at the annual meeting of stockholders, pursuant to which 2,196,836 shares of common stock were authorized for issuance. On June 24, 2015, the Company adopted the 2015 Israeli Sub-plan (the "2015 Israeli Sub-plan") to the Company's 2014 Plan, which enables the Company to grant options, and issue shares of common stock to employees and non-employees, who are employed by the Company or any of its affiliates, who are residents of the State of Israel.

The Company has issued a total of 836,872 Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") of which 469,902 remain outstanding as of June 30, 2016. RSUs generally vest over three or four years, with one-third or one-fourth, respectively, vesting on the one-year anniversary followed by quarterly vesting thereafter.

Table of Contents

Upon shareholder approval of the 2014 Plan, the 2013 Global Share Option Plan and Israeli Sub-Plan were terminated, other than respect to options outstanding under such plans. 1,738,642 options remain outstanding under the 2013 and 2014 plans as of June 30, 2016.

Stock-based compensation to employees and non-employees are recognized as expense in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. The compensation cost for all stock-based awards is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award (determined using Black-Scholes option pricing model for stock options and intrinsic value for RSUs), and is recognized as an expense over the requisite service period (generally the vesting of the equity awards). Determining the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires significant estimates and judgments, including future employee stock option exercise behavior and requisite service periods.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company expensed \$311,000 and \$453,000, respectively, and \$100,000 and \$455,000 for three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, of stock-based compensation in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options outstanding and exercisable as of June 30, 2016 was \$0.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company granted options to purchase 287,501 and 295,001 shares of common stock, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company granted 200,000 and zero RSUs of common stock, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, zero and 20,000 RSUs were forfeited, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, 20,000 and zero options were forfeited, respectively.

The Company estimates the fair values of stock options using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the weighted-average grant date fair value of the option awards for the periods presented were as follows:

|                                        | Three Months<br>Ended<br>June 30, |        | Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |               |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|---------------|
|                                        | 2016                              | 2015   | 2016                         | 2015          |
| Volatility                             | 149 %                             | 112 %  | 149% - 152%                  | 94% - 112%    |
| Expected term (in years)               | 7                                 | 6      | 7                            | 6             |
| Risk-free rate                         | 1.26 %                            | 1.54 % | 1.22% - 1.26%                | 1.54% - 1.64% |
| Expected dividend yield                | — %                               | — %    | — %                          | — %           |
| Weighted-average grant date fair value | \$1.15                            | \$1.16 | \$0.96 - 1.15                | \$1.16 - 2.42 |

The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury rates with maturities similar to the expected term of the option. The volatility is a measure of the amount by which the Company's share price has fluctuated or is expected to fluctuate and was based on historical volatility of comparative companies that are similar to the Company. For the six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company updated its volatility assumptions to reflect the increased trading history in the Company's stock. The expected term was estimated using the simplified method. The simplified method calculates the expected term as the average of the time to vesting and the contractual life of the option. The dividend yield is 0% as the Company has never declared or paid any cash dividends and does not anticipate paying dividends in the future.

**NOTE 7 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

In the course of business, the Company obtains legal services from a firm in which the Company's Chairman is a partner. The Company incurred approximately \$38,000 and \$76,000 in legal fees to the firm during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company has

balances due to this firm of \$13,000 at both dates.

The Company obtains social media and investor related services from a firm in which the Company's Chief Financial Officer holds a 50% interest. The Company incurred approximately \$10,000 and \$22,000 in fees to the firm during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively and \$22,000 and \$38,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company has balances due to this firm of \$5,000 at both dates. Effective June 30, 2016, the Company has canceled this agreement.

Table of Contents

NOTE 8 - LITIGATION, CLAIMS AND ASSESSMENTS

A. United States District Court Actions

Finjan, Inc. v. FireEye, Inc., 4:13-cv-03133SBA, (N.D. Cal.):

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against FireEye, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on July 8, 2013, asserting that FireEye, Inc. is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,804,780, 7,058,822, 7,647,633, 7,975,305, 8,079,086, and 8,225,408, through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to FireEye's Threat Protection Platform, including the FireEye Malware Protection System, the FireEye Dynamic Threat Intelligence, and the FireEye Central Management System. Finjan amended its Complaint on August 16, 2013, to add U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 to the list of asserted patents. The principal parties in this proceeding are Finjan, Inc. and FireEye, Inc. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that FireEye, Inc. has infringed, is infringing, and has induced infringement of the above-listed patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, or inducing the infringement of the above-listed patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty and consistent with proof, enhanced damages, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. FireEye, Inc. answered Finjan's Amended Complaint on September 3, 2013, by denying Finjan's allegations of infringement and counterclaiming that the asserted patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103 and/or 112. Both parties have demanded a jury trial. On June 2, 2014, the Honorable Sandra Brown Armstrong entered an Order Granting Motion to Stay Pending Reexamination of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,058,822 ("the '822 Patent") and 7,647,633 ("the '633 Patent"). Accordingly, this action is off calendar until the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") completes its administrative reexamination proceedings. On May 31, 2016, pursuant to the Court's Order Granting Motion to Stay Pending Reexamination, the parties filed a joint status report regarding the status of reexamination proceedings of the '822 and '633 Patents. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc., Case 5:13-cv-03999-BLF, (N.D. Cal.):

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Blue Coat Systems, Inc., in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on August 28, 2013, asserting that Blue Coat is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 6,804,780, 6,965,968, 7,058,822, 7,418,731, and 7,647,333 patents. The principal parties in this proceeding are Finjan and Blue Coat. This action is before the Honorable Judge Beth Labson Freeman. The Court held a claim construction, or Markman Hearing, for this matter on August 22, 2014. The Court entered its Markman Order entitled "Order Construing Claims in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 7,058,822, 7,418,731, and 7,647,633, on October 20, 2014, which is available on PACER ([www.pacer.gov](http://www.pacer.gov)), as Docket No. 118. Trial for this action took place from July 20, 2015 through August 4, 2015. On August 4, 2015, the jury returned a unanimous verdict that each of the Finjan asserted patents are valid and enforceable. Further, the jury returned a unanimous verdict that Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 6,804,780, 6,965,968, and 7,418,731 were literally infringed by Blue Coat, and that U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 was infringed by Blue Coat under the Doctrine of Equivalents. Upon the findings of infringement, the jury also awarded Finjan approximately \$39.5 million in damages as reasonable royalties for Blue Coat's infringement. On September 9, 2015, the Court held a bench trial on non-jury legal issues, and issued findings of fact and conclusions of law on November 20, 2015. On November 20, 2015, the Court entered Judgment in favor of Finjan. On January 29, 2016, the Court taxed costs against Blue Coat. A hearing for the parties' post-trial motions was held on April 28, 2016. On July 18, 2016, the Court issued an order upholding the jury's verdict of infringement, validity, and damages, and denying Blue Coat's motion to amend the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, denying Blue Coat's motion for judgment as a matter of law, granting Blue Coat's motion to amend the judgment to show infringement under the doctrine of equivalents is moot for the '844, '968, and '780 patents, denying Blue Coat's motion for a new trial, denying Finjan's motion for enhanced damages, granting

Finjan's motion for pre-and post-judgment interest, and denying Finjan's motion for attorneys' fees. Finjan has not received any revenue from Blue Coat with respect to this lawsuit. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in collecting the full amount of the jury award.

Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, and Armorize Technologies, Inc., Case 3:13-cv-05808-HSG (N.D. Cal.):

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Proofpoint, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Armorize Technologies, Inc., in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on December 16, 2013, asserting that Proofpoint and Armorize collectively and separately are directly and indirectly infringing one or more claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 7,058,822, 7,613,918, 7,647,633, 7,975,305, 8,079,086, 8,141,154, and 8,225,408, through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to Proofpoint Enterprise Protection, Proofpoint's Malvertising Protection, Proofpoint's SafeImpressions, Proofpoint's Targeted Attack Protection, Proofpoint Essentials, Proofpoint Protection Server, Proofpoint Messaging Security Gateway, HackAlert Anti-Malware, Codesecond, SmartWAF, SafeImpressions, and Malvertising Protection. The principal parties in this proceeding are Finjan, Proofpoint, and

## Table of Contents

Armorize. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Proofpoint and Armorize have infringed and are infringing the above-listed patents, a judgment that they have induced infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 7,058,822, 7,613,918, 7,647,633, 7,975,305, 8,079,086, and 8,225,408, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, or inducing the infringement of the same patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty and consistent with proof, enhanced damages, and costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. This matter is assigned to the Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr., United States District Judge. A claim construction or Markman Hearing was heard on June 24, 2015, and the Court issued a Claim Construction Order on December 3, 2015. On April 12, 2016, the Court granted-in-part and denied-in-part each party's motion for summary judgment, denying the parties' motions for summary judgment of infringement and non-infringement for the '844, '822, '633, '408, and '086 Patents, and finding non-infringement of the '154 and '918 Patents. The Court also granted Finjan's motion for summary judgment that Defendants did not have sufficient evidence that the '918 Patent was invalid, and denied all other motions for summary judgment that were filed by the parties with respect to validity. The Court also granted Defendants' motion for summary judgment as to the priority date of the '822 patent, and denied all other motions for summary judgment regarding priority or invention date. A trial date was set for June 13, 2016. On June 7, 2016, pursuant to the parties' Confidential Patent License, Settlement and Release Agreement, the Court dismissed the action with prejudice. On June 3, 2016, Finjan entered into a Patent License, Settlement and Release Agreement with Proofpoint, Inc. and Amorize Technologies. See "NOTE 4 - License, Settlement and Release Agreement"

Finjan, Inc. v. Sophos Inc., Case 3:14-cv-01197-WHO (N.D. Cal.):

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Sophos Inc. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on March 14, 2014, asserting that Sophos is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 6,804,780, 7,613,918, 7,613,926, 7,757,289, and 8,141,154. Finjan amended the Complaint on April 8, 2014, to add U.S. Patent Nos. 8,677,494 and 8,566,580 to the list of asserted patents. Finjan asserts infringement against Sophos through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to End User Protection Suites, Endpoint Antivirus, Endpoint Antivirus - Cloud, Sophos Cloud, Unified Threat Management, Next-Gen Firewall, Secure Web Gateway, Secure Email Gateway, Web Application Firewall, Network Storage Antivirus, Virtualization Security, SharePoint Security, Secure VPN, Secure Wi-Fi and Server Security. The principal parties in this proceeding are Finjan and Sophos. This action is before the Honorable William H. Orrick. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Sophos has infringed and is infringing the above-listed patents, a judgment that Sophos has induced infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,804,780, 7,613,918, 7,613,926, 7,757,289, 6,154,844, and 8,667,494, a judgment that Sophos has contributorily infringed U.S. Patent No. 8,566,580, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, inducing, or contributorily infringing the same patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty and consistent with proof, enhanced damages, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. Sophos filed its Answer to Finjan's First Amended Complaint on May 9, 2014. Both parties demanded a jury trial. Sophos filed its Amended Answer to the Complaint on May 30, 2014. Mediation pursuant to the Court's ADR Program occurred on January 13, 2015 and it has not yet resulted in resolution between the parties. Further, a Technology Tutorial took place in this matter on February 9, 2015. A claim construction or Markman Hearing occurred on February 13, 2015. The Court entered its Markman Order entitled "Claim Construction Order" on March 2, 2015, which is available on PACER ([www.pacer.gov](http://www.pacer.gov)), as Docket No. 73. On April 9, 2015, Finjan filed a Second Amended Complaint that included a certificate of correction for the '154 Patent. On November 17, 2015, Finjan filed a Third Amended Complaint to add claims of Sophos's willful infringement. Sophos filed an Answer to Finjan's Third Amended Complaint on December 4, 2015. On May 24, 2016, the Court issued an order on the parties' motions to strike, motions for summary judgment, and discovery matters. In its Order, the Court granted Sophos' motion for summary judgment of non-infringement for the '289 and '918 Patents, denied the remainder of Sophos' motion for summary judgment, denied Finjan's motion for summary judgment of infringement for the '926 and '494 Patents, granted Finjan's motion for summary judgment that certain prior art references were not publicly accessible, granted

Finjan's motion to strike in part to exclude certain prior art, granted Sophos's motion to strike in part to exclude portions of Finjan's expert reports on infringement, and deferred ruling on Finjan's motion for summary judgment of validity for the '154, '494, '780, '844, and '926 patents after reviewing supplemental filings to be submitted with the parties' pre-trial filings. The Court also precluded Sophos from relying on documents that were produced after the close of fact discovery. Currently, a mandatory settlement conference was held on July 25, 2016 with no settlement, a pretrial conference is scheduled for August 8, 2016, and a trial date is scheduled for September 6, 2016. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corporation., Case 3:14-cv-02998-HSG (N.D. Cal.):

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Symantec Corporation in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on June 30, 2014, asserting that Symantec is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 7,756,996, 7,757,289, 7,930,299, 8,015,182, and 8,141,154, through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of certain products and services. Finjan amended the Complaint on September 11, 2014 to add U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 7,613,926 and 8,677,494 (collectively the "asserted patents"). The accused products and services include Symantec

## Table of Contents

Endpoint Protection, Symantec Endpoint Protection Small Business Edition, Network Access Control, Norton Internet Security, Norton Anti-Virus, Norton 360, Safe-Web Lite, Norton Safe Web, Messaging Gateway, Messaging Gateway for Service Providers, Messaging Gateway Small Business Edition Managed Security Services-Advance Threat Protection, Advanced Threat Protection Solution, Symantec Protection Engine for Cloud Services, Symantec Protection Engine for Network Attached Storage, Symantec Mail Security for Domino, Symantec Mail Security for Microsoft Exchange, Symantec Scan Engine for Windows, Web Security.cloud, Email Security.cloud, AntiVirus/Filtering for Domino, AntiVirus for Linux, Mail Security for SMTP, Scan Engine for Linux/Solaris, AntiVirus for Caching/Messaging/NAS for Linux/Solaris, Protection Engine for Linux/Solaris, AntiVirus for Caching/Messaging/NAS for Windows, Web Gateway and Norton Security. The principal parties in this proceeding are Finjan and Symantec. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Symantec has infringed and is infringing the asserted patents, has contributorily infringed and is contributorily infringing U.S. Patent No. 8,015,182, and has induced infringement, and/or is inducing infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 7,613,926, 7,756,996, 7,757,289, 7,930,299, and 8,677,494, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, contributorily infringing, or inducing the infringement of the same patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty and consistent with proof, enhanced damages, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. Symantec answered the Amended Complaint on September 25, 2014, by denying Finjan's allegations of infringement and counterclaiming that the asserted patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103 and/or 112. Symantec filed an Amended Answer on October 31, 2014, removing its Fourteenth Affirmative Defense of unenforceability. Both parties have demanded a jury trial. This matter is assigned to the Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr., United States District Judge. A claim construction or Markman Hearing was heard on June 29, 2015. On July 3, 2015, Symantec filed petitions for Inter Partes Review ("IPR") before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("PTAB") for all asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,015,182, 8,141,154, 7,757,289, 7,930,299, and 7,756,996. On September 10, 2015, Symantec filed a total of 11 IPR petitions for all asserted claims of asserted patents. On August 20, 2015, Symantec filed a motion to stay the case pending completion of these eight IPR petitions. The motion was heard on October 1, 2015 and on October 9, 2015, the Court stayed the case pending the PTAB's decision on whether to institute IPR of the claims that are the subject of Symantec's petitions. On January 14, 2016, the PTAB denied institution of six IPRs of five asserted patents. On January 21, 2016, the parties filed a joint status report giving the Court an update regarding the status of the IPR petitions. On February 26, 2016 the PTAB denied institution of an additional two IPRs filed on separate patents, denying a total of eight petitions as of February 26, 2016. On March 11, 2016 the PTAB denied two more IPR's on patents against Symantec, denying a total of 10 petitions to date. On March 18, 2016, the PTAB granted institution on the 11th Petition by Symantec, relating to the '494 Patent (IPR2015-01892). On March 29, 2016, the parties jointly requested the Court lift the stay, and on March 30, 2016, the Court lifted the stay. On April 15, 2016, the parties jointly submitted a proposed schedule to the Court for the remainder of the case. On August 1, 2016 the Court issued a Scheduling Order indicating a timeline to trial but without specifically identifying a trial date. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

Finjan, Inc. v. Palo Alto Networks, Inc., Case 3:14-cv-04908 EMC (N.D. Cal.):

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Palo Alto Networks, Inc., in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on November 4, 2014, asserting that Palo Alto Networks is directly and indirectly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,804,780, 6,965,968, 7,058,822, 7,418,731, 7,613,918, 7,613,926, 7,647,633, 8,141,154, 8,225,408, and 8,677,494, through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to Next-Generation Security Platform, Next-Generation Firewall, Virtualized Firewall, WildFire Subscription, WildFire Platform, URL Filtering Subscription, Threat Prevention Subscription, and Advanced EndPoint Protection. Palo Alto Networks failed to timely respond to the Complaint and Finjan submitted an application for Entry of Default. On Palo Alto Networks' request, Finjan stipulated to an extension of time for Palo Alto Networks to respond. The principal parties in this proceeding are Finjan and Palo Alto Networks. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Palo Alto Networks has infringed and is

infringing the above-listed patents, and has induced infringement and is inducing infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,804,780, 6,965,968, 7,058,822, 7,418,731, 7,613,918, 7,613,926, 7,647,633, 8,141,154, 8,225,408, and 8,677,494, a preliminary and permanent injunction from infringing, or inducing the infringement the same patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. Palo Alto Networks filed its Answer and Counterclaims on December 31, 2015, by denying Finjan's allegations of infringement and counterclaiming that the asserted patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103 and/or 112. Both parties have demanded a jury trial. On October 8, 2015, the Honorable Edward M. Chen recused himself from the case and requested the case be reassigned to another judge. Also on October 8, 2015, the case was reassigned to the Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton in the Oakland division of the District Court for the Northern District of California. On September 25, 2015, Palo Alto Networks filed a petition for IPR before the PTAB of U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154. On September 30, 2015, Palo Alto Networks filed petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,058,822, 7,418,731, 7,647,633 and 8,225,408. On November 4, 2015, Palo Alto Networks filed a IPR petition of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,613,926. On November 5, 2015, Palo Alto Networks filed IPR petitions of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,965,968 and 8,141,154. On November 6, 2015, Palo Alto Networks filed IPR petitions of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,804,780, 7,613,918, 8,225,408 and 8,667,494. On December 10, 2015, the matter was stayed pending a decision by the PTAB on whether to institute IPR of Finjan's claims of its ten patents asserted against Palo Alto

## Table of Contents

Networks. On March 21, 2016, the PTAB instituted trial on claims 1-8, 10 and 11 of the '154 Patent, and on April 20, 2016, the PTAB instituted trial on the same claims from a separate petition. On March 29, 2016, the PTAB instituted trial on the '408 Patent, claims 14 and 19 of the '633 Patent, and denied institution of trial on all other challenged claims of the '633 Patent, and all challenged claims of the '822 Patent and the '731 Patent. On May 9, 2016, the PTAB denied institution of trial on the '926 Patent, the '968 Patent, the '780 Patent, and the '918 Patent. On May 13, 2016, the PTAB instituted trial on the '494 Patent. On May 26, 2016, the Court ordered the stay to remain in effect until the PTAB's final determination of the instituted IPRs. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

*Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc., Case 5:15-cv-03295-BLF (N.D. Cal.):*

Finjan filed a second patent infringement lawsuit against Blue Coat Systems, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on July 15, 2015, asserting that Blue Coat is directly infringing certain claims of Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 6,965,968, 7,418,731, 8,079,086, 8,225,408, 8,677,494, and 8,566,580, through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to the Web Security Service, WebPulse Cloud Service, ProxySG Appliances and Software, Blue Coat Systems SV2800 and SV3800, Malware Analysis Appliances and Software, Security Analytics Platform, Content Analysis System, and Mail Threat Defense, S400-10 and S400-20. Finjan seeks entry of judgment that Blue Coat has infringed and is infringing the above-listed patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from the infringement of the same patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §285. Blue Coat filed its Answer to the Complaint with Jury Demand and Counterclaim with Jury Demand against Finjan on September 8, 2015. On September 29, 2015, Finjan filed its Answer to Blue Coat's Counterclaim. This second Blue Coat action is also assigned to the Honorable Beth Labson Freeman. On December 15, 2015, Blue Coat filed a Motion to Stay the case pending final resolution of Case 5:13-cv-03999-BLF, and Motions for Joinder of several Petitions for IPR on five of seven asserted patents, and Ex Parte Reexamination requests for two asserted patents, filed previously by other defendants. A Case Management Conference (CMC) was held on December 17, 2015. A claim construction tutorial is scheduled for December 2, 2016, and a claim construction hearing is scheduled for December 9, 2016. A pretrial conference is scheduled for October 5, 2017, and trial is scheduled for October 30, 2017. On March 1, 2016 Finjan filed an amended Complaint to add existing Finjan patent 9,141,786 and two newly issued Finjan patents 9,189,621 (issued November 17, 2015) and 9,219,755 (issued December 22, 2015). On March 18, 2016, Blue Coat filed its Answer to the Amended Complaint and Counterclaims with Jury Demand. On April 8, 2016, Finjan filed its Answer to Blue Coat's Counterclaims. On April 28, 2016, the Court held a hearing on Blue Coat's motion to stay. On June 10, 2016, Finjan notified the Court on the status of the IPR and ex parte reexamination proceedings for the asserted patents. On June 27, 2016, Finjan filed an Amended Answer to Blue Coat's counterclaims, adding an affirmative defense of collateral estoppel. On June 27, 2016, Blue Coat filed an Amended Answer to Finjan's Amended Complaint. On July 11, 2016, Finjan filed a motion to strike certain affirmative defenses in Blue Coat's Amended Answer, and a reply to Blue Coat's counterclaims.

On July 26, 2016 the Court denied Blue Coat's motion to stay the second case pending proceedings before the USPTO and the PTAB. On July 28, 2016 Finjan filed a motion for preliminary injunction against Blue Coat. The preliminary injunction would prohibit Blue Coat from making, using, offering to sell or selling within the U.S. or import into the U.S. the Dynamic Real-Time Rating component of Blue Coat's WebPulse product. A court date has been reserved so this matter may be heard by the Honorable Beth Labson Freeman on November 10, 2016. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

*Finjan, Inc. v ESET, LLC et al., Case 3:16-cv-03731-JD (N.D. Cal.):*

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against ESET, LLC and ESET SPOL S.R.O. ("ESET") in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on July 1, 2016, asserting that ESET infringes Finjan's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844, 6,804,780, 7,975,305, 8,079,086, 9,189,621, and 9,219,755, through the manufacture, use, importation, sale, and/or offer for sale of its products and services, including but not limited to, ESET ThreatSense, ESET Advanced Heuristic, ESET DNA Signature, Host-based Intrusion Prevention System (HIPS), and ESET

LiveGrid technologies including ESET'S Home Protection, Small Office, and Business product lines and ESET Services. Finjan seeks entry of a judgment that ESET has infringed and is infringing the asserted patents, a preliminary and permanent injunction from the infringement of the same patents, an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, damages of no less than a reasonable royalty consistent with proof, and enhanced damages for willful infringement, costs, interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285. An initial case management conference has been scheduled for September 29, 2016. ESET has not yet filed an Answer to the Complaint. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

## Table of Contents

Finjan, Inc. v. ESET SPOL S.R.O.. et al., Docket No. 4c O 33/16 (Düsseldorf District Court)

Finjan filed a patent infringement lawsuit against ESET SPOL. S.R.O., a Slovak Republic Corporation, and ESET Deutschland GmbH (“ESET”) in the Düsseldorf District Court of Germany on July 1, 2016, asserting that ESET infringes Finjan’s European Patent No. 0 965 094 B1 (“the ‘094 Patent”), through the offering and/or delivering to customers in the Federal Republic of Germany software covered by the ‘094 Patent, including but not limited to ESET’s ThreatSense, ESET Advanced Heuristic, ESET DNA Signature, ESET LiveGrid technologies, including ESET’s Home Users, Small Office, and Business product lines and ESET services. Finjan seeks a judgment sentencing ESET to a fine for each violation of patent infringement or, alternatively imprisonment of ESET directors, cease and desist orders for offering or delivering infringing software, providing Finjan with profit information for offering or delivering infringing software, damages, which Finjan has suffered or shall suffer as a result of ESET offering or delivering infringing software since November 1, 2008. The Düsseldorf District Court is in the process of effective service to ESET SPOL. S.R.O. in Slovakia. ESET has yet to inform the court whether it will respond to Finjan’s complaint. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

ESET, LLC v. Finjan, Inc., Case No. 16-cv-01704 (S.D. Cal.)

ESET, LLC (“ESET”) filed a Complaint for Declaratory Judgment against Finjan, Inc. (“Finjan”) in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California on July 1, 2016, asserting that there is an actual controversy between the parties to declare that ESET does not infringe any claim of U.S. Patent No. 7,975,305 (“the ‘305 Patent”). ESET sought an entry of judgment that it has not infringed any claim of the ‘305 Patent, an injunction against Finjan from asserting any of the claims in the ‘305 Patent against ESET or any of its customers or suppliers, and a finding that the case is exceptional and an award of fees and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 285. On July 11, 2016, ESET filed an Amended Complaint for Declaratory Judgment, asserting that there is an actual controversy between the parties to declare that ESET does not infringe any claim of the U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844 (“the ‘844 Patent”), 6,804,780 (“the ‘780 Patent”), 7,975,305 (“the ‘305 Patent”), 8,079,086 (“the ‘086 Patent”), 9,189,621 (“the ‘621 Patent”), and 9,219,755 (“the ‘755 Patent”). ESET seeks an entry of judgment that it has not infringed any claim of the ‘844, ‘780, ‘305, ‘086, ‘621, and ‘755 Patents, an injunction against Finjan from asserting any of the claims of the ‘844, ‘780, ‘305, ‘086, ‘621, and ‘755 Patents against ESET or any of its customers or suppliers, and a finding that the case is exceptional and an award of fees and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 285. Finjan has not yet filed an Answer to the Complaint. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in settling or litigating these claims.

### B. Proceedings before the United States Patent & Trademark Office (USPTO)

Ex Parte Reexamination Proceedings: As defined by the USPTO, an Ex Parte Reexamination is a “proceeding in which any person may request reexamination of a U.S. Patent based on one or more prior patents or printed publications. A requester who is not the patent owner has limited participation rights in the proceedings.”

U.S. Patent No. 8,079,086 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.):

A first third-party request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 8,079,086 was filed on October 7, 2013, on behalf of FireEye, Inc. and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,015. The USPTO denied FireEye’s request on November 19, 2013, and the reexamination proceedings terminated on January 14, 2014.

A second third-party request by FireEye, Inc., for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 8,079,086 was filed on February 7, 2014, and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,147. The USPTO denied FireEye’s second request on March 27, 2014, and the reexamination proceedings terminated on April 29, 2014.

A third third-party request for Ex Parte Reexamination of Claims 17 and 24 of U.S. Patent No. 8,079,086 was filed on December 9, 2015 by Proofpoint, Inc. and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,654. The reexamination request was partially granted on February 2, 2016. Requester’s petitioned the Director to reconsider the partial denial and the petition was granted on March 21, 2016. A response to non-final Office Action is due August 6, 2016. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in rebutting the patentability challenge before the USPTO.

U.S. Patent No. 7,975,305 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.):

A third-party request for Ex Parte Reexamination of Claims 1, 2, 5 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 7,975,305 was filed on December 11, 2015 by Proofpoint, Inc. and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,660. The request for reexamination was granted on January 19, 2016 and a non-final Office Action was mailed on April 12, 2016. A response to non-final Office Action was filed on June 13, 2016. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in rebutting the patentability challenge before the USPTO.

## Table of Contents

### U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.):

A third-party request for Ex Parte Reexamination of Claims 1-7 and 28-33 of U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 was filed on October 7, 2013, on behalf of FireEye, Inc. and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,016. The request for reexamination was granted and a non-final Office Action was mailed November 19, 2013. The non-final Office Action included rejections of Claims 1-7 and 28-33 under various prior art (including previously considered and disclosed prior art) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and/or 103. An in-person Examiner interview was conducted at the USPTO on February 4, 2014, and a timely response to non-final Office Action was filed on February 19, 2014. The response to non-final Office Action included arguments and a supporting declaration by Finjan showing commercial success, industry praise, and copying by others of products covered by pending claims; a declaration by a technology expert rebutting improper technical interpretations of the prior art and the invention; and additional new claims for consideration. Additionally, a renewed petition to accept an unintentionally delayed priority claim was also submitted and the petition was granted on January 23, 2015. An updated filing receipt reflecting the priority claim was issued. A final Office Action was issued May 22, 2015, and a Notice of Appeal was filed by Finjan on May 22, 2015. Finjan's appeal brief was filed August 24, 2015, appealing the rejections of Claims 1-7, 28-33 and 42-52. An Examiner's Answer was received on December 18, 2015. Finjan filed its Reply Brief requesting reversal of the rejections and a Request for Oral Hearing February 18, 2016. An Oral Hearing was conducted on June 22, 2016 and a decision reversing the Examiner's rejections of claim 1-7, 28-33, 42, 44, 48 and 49 was mailed on June 29, 2016. An amendment cancelling rejected claims 43, 45-47 and 50-52 was filed on July 5, 2016 to move the application to Notice of Intent to Issue Reexamination Certificate (NIRC).

A second third-party request for Ex Parte Reexamination of Claims 8 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 was filed on December 9, 2015 by Proofpoint, Inc. and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,652. The reexamination request was granted on February 3, 2016. On May 10, 2016, the USPTO terminated the reexamination and mailed a Notice of Intent to Issue a Reexamination Certificate and on May 26, 2016, a Reexamination Certificate was issued confirming the patentability of all claims.

### U.S. Patent No. 7,058,822 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.):

A third-party request for Ex Parte Reexamination of Claims 1-8 and 16-27 of U.S. Patent No. 7,058,822 was filed on October 7, 2013, on behalf of FireEye, Inc. and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,017. The request for reexamination was granted and a non-final Office Action was mailed December 6, 2013. The non-final Office Action included rejections of Claims 1-8 and 16-27 under various prior art (including previously considered and disclosed prior art) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and/or 103. An in-person Examiner interview was conducted at the USPTO on February 4, 2014, and a timely response to non-final Office Action was filed on March 6, 2014. A final Office Action was mailed on September 8, 2014 and a response thereto was filed on October 8, 2014, which included proposed claims amendments and arguments rebutting the various prior rejections. On October 23, 2014, an Advisory Action was issued by the Patent Office maintaining the rejections from the final Office Action and indicating that Finjan's proposed claims amendments would not be entered. On December 8, 2014, Finjan: (1) filed a petition to the Director of the Central Reexamination Unit (CRU) under 37 CFR 1.181 challenging the Examiner's failure to enter the amendments and requesting entry; and (2) a notice of appeal to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. Finjan filed an appeal brief on February 8, 2015. The Examiner filed a brief on March 30, 2015. Finjan filed a Reply Brief and a Request for Oral Hearing on June 1, 2015, and the Appeal was docketed at the PTAB and assigned Appeal No. 2015-006304. An oral hearing before the PTAB took place on November 3, 2015. On December 30, 2015, the PTAB issue a decision reversing the Examiner's rejection of Claims 1-8 and 16-27 and new claims 37 and 40 added during prosecution of the reexamination. On February 16, 2016, an Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate (Certificate No. US 7,058,822 C1) was issued to Finjan by the USPTO. Finjan was granted U.S. Patent Nos. 9,141,786 and 9,219,755 containing additional claims on September 22, 2015 and December 2, 2015, respectively. A Track 1 (accelerated examination) continuation application was filed on November 16, 2015, seeking yet additional claim coverage.

### U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.):

A third-party request for ex parte reexamination of claims 32 and 42 of U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 was filed on December 9, 2015 by Proofpoint, Inc. and assigned Reexamination Control Number 90/013,653. The request for reexamination was granted on January 13, 2016. On March 30, 2016, the Patent Office terminated the reexamination and mailed a Notice of Intent to Issue a Reexamination Certificate.

**Inter Partes Reexamination Proceedings:**

As defined by the USPTO, an Inter Partes Reexamination is a “proceeding in which any person who is not the patent owner and is not otherwise estopped may request examination of a U.S. Patent issued from an original application filed on or after November 29, 1999, based on one or more prior patents or printed publications. Both patent owner and third party requester have participation rights throughout the proceeding, including appeal rights.” Effective September 16, 2012, the American Invents Act (AIA) replaced Inter Partes Reexaminations with proceedings referred to as post-grant review and Inter Partes Review (IPR). Post-grant proceedings are generally available immediately after patent issuance. For patents filed under the pre-AIA first to invent rules (i.e., applications filed prior to March 16, 2013, IPRs can be initiated immediately following issuance of patent. For patents

## Table of Contents

examined under the AIA first-to-file rules (i.e., applications filed on or after March 16, 2013), IPRs can be initiated after the nine-month window of eligibility for post-grant review.

U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962 (Assignee, Finjan, Inc.):

A third-party request for Inter Partes Reexamination of all Claims 1-55 of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962 was filed on November 29, 2011, on behalf of Symantec Corporation, and assigned Reexamination Control Number 95/001,836. The request for reexamination was granted and a non-final Office Action was mailed January 25, 2012. The non-final Office Action included rejections of claims 1-55 under numerous prior art references and combinations of such references (including previously considered and disclosed prior art) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and/or 103. Finjan filed a response to non-final Office Action and the USPTO mailed an Action Closing Prosecution (ACP) on October 2, 2013. Finjan responded to the ACP on December 2, 2013, which included proposed claim amendments for consideration. Symantec responded on January 2, 2014. On June 27, 2014, the USPTO stated that the proposed claim amendments would not be entered and issued a Right of Appeal Notice. On July 1, 2014, Finjan filed a Notice of Appeal of the rejection of Claims 1-55 followed by an Appeal Brief on September 2, 2014. The Requester Symantec filed a respondent brief on October 2, 2014. The Examiner filed a brief on March 25, 2015. Finjan filed a Rebuttal Brief on April 27, 2015 and a Request for Oral Hearing on May 26, 2015. The Rebuttal Brief maintained Finjan's request to review the rejections of Claims 2-4, 7-11, 13-14, 16-20, 22-32, 34-36, 39-44, 46-51, 53 and 54. Claims 1, 5, 6, 12, 15, 21, 33, 37, 38, 45, 52 and 55 were withdrawn from appeal in view the final invalidity decision issued on September 15, 2014 by the Federal Circuit. The Appeal was forwarded to the PTAB in accordance with the Notice mailed June 2, 2015. Finjan also sought examination of additional claims through multiple Track I expedited continuation applications. Finjan was granted U.S. Patent Nos. 9,189,621 and 9,291,755 containing those additional claims on November 17, 2015 and December 22, 2015, respectively. Oral argument was heard on February 17, 2016. On February 29, 2016, the PTAB issued a decision affirming the rejections of the Examiner. On March 29, 2016, Finjan filed a request for rehearing regarding the rejection of claims 22-32 and 46 and the Requester filed comments on April 28, 2016. We are awaiting the PTAB's decision on the Request for Rehearing. There can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in rebutting the patentability challenge to Claims 22-32 and 46 before the USPTO.

Inter Partes Review Proceedings:

As defined by the USPTO, Inter Partes Review (IPR) is a trial proceeding conducted at the Patent and Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB or Board) to review the patentability of one or more claims in a patent only on a ground that could be raised under §§ 102 or 103, and only on the basis of prior art consisting of patents or printed publications. For first-inventor-to-file patents IPR process begins with a third party (a person who is not the owner of the patent) filing a petition after the later of either: (1) nine months after the grant of the patent or issuance of a reissue patent; or (2) if a post grant review is instituted, the termination of the post grant review. These deadlines do not apply to first-to-invent patents. The patent owner may file a preliminary response to the petition. An IPR may be instituted upon a showing that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least one claim challenged. If the proceeding is instituted and not dismissed, a final determination by the Board will be issued within one year (extendable for good cause by six months). The procedure for conducting IPR took effect on September 16, 2012, and applies to any patent issued before, on, or after September 16, 2012.

U.S. Patent No. 7,613,926 (the "'926 Patent")

On March 19, 2015, Sophos, Inc. filed a petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,613,926 (IPR2015-00907). Finjan filed a Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (POPR) to the petition on June 26, 2015. The PTAB denied Sophos' petition to institute the IPR proceeding on the '926 Patent on September 24, 2015. On October 26, 2015, Sophos filed a Request for Rehearing, and on December 4, 2015, the PTAB denied Sophos' Request for Rehearing.

U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (the "'494 Patent")

On April 8, 2015, Sophos, Inc. filed a petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (IPR2015-01022). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition on July 15, 2015. The PTAB denied Sophos' petition to institute the IPR proceeding on the '494 Patent on September 24, 2015. On October 26, 2015, Sophos filed a Request for Rehearing, and on January 28, 2016,

the PTAB denied Sophos' Request for Rehearing.

U.S. Patent No. 7,756,996 (the "'996 Patent")

On July 3, 2015, Symantec Corporation filed two (2) separate petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,756,996 (IPR2015-01545/01546). Finjan filed POPRs to the petitions October 19, 2015. The PTAB denied both of Symantec's petitions to institute IPR proceedings on the '996 Patent on January 14, 2016. On February 16, 2016, Symantec filed a Request for Rehearing, and on February 25, 2016, the PTAB denied Symantec's Request for Rehearing.

U.S. Patent No. 7,757,289 (the "'289 Patent")

On July 3, 2015, Symantec Corporation filed a petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,757,289 (IPR2015-01552). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition on October 19, 2015. The PTAB denied Symantec's petition to institute IPR proceedings on the '289 Patent on January 14, 2016.

## Table of Contents

U.S. Patent No. 7,930,299 (the “’299 Patent”)

On July 3, 2015, Symantec Corporation filed a petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,930,299 (IPR2015-01549). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition October 20, 2015. The PTAB denied Symantec’s petition to institute IPR proceedings on the ‘299 Patent on January 14, 2016.

U.S. Patent No. 8,015,182 (the “’182 Patent”)

On July 3, 2015, Symantec Corporation filed a petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,015,182 (IPR2015-01548). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition on October 20, 2015. The PTAB denied Symantec’s petition to institute IPR proceedings on the ‘182 Patent on January 14, 2016.

U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 (the “’154 Patent”)

On July 3, 2015, April 19, 2016, and May 26, 2016, Symantec Corporation filed three (3) separate petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 (IPR2015-01547; IPR2016-00919; IPR2016-01071), and moved to join the petition for IPR filed by Palo Alto Networks with respect to the ‘154 Patent (IPR2016-00151). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition in IPR2015-01547 on October 19, 2015. The PTAB denied Symantec’s petition to institute IPR proceedings in IPR2015-01547 on January 14, 2016. On February 16, 2016, Symantec filed a Request for Rehearing with respect to IPR2015-01547, and on February 25, 2016, the PTAB denied Symantec’s Request for Rehearing. With respect to IPR2016-00919 and IPR2016-01071 on the ‘154 Patent, the PTAB’s decision is pending.

U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (the “’494 Patent”)

On September 10, 2015, Symantec Corporation filed two (2) separate petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (IPR2015-01892/01897). Finjan filed POPRs to the petitions on December 28, 2015. With respect to IPR 2015-01897, the PTAB denied institution of IPR proceedings on the ‘494 Patent on February 26, 2016. On March 18, 2016, the PTAB granted institution of IPR proceedings on the ‘494 Patent (IPR2015-01892). On April 1, 2016, Finjan filed a partial request for rehearing. On May 23, 2016, the PTAB denied Finjan’s Request for Rehearing. On June 21, 2016, Finjan filed its Patent Owner Response to Symantec’s Petition in IPR 2015-01892.

U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 (the “’844 Patent”)

On September 10, 2015, Symantec Corporation filed a petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 (IPR2015-01894). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition on December 17, 2015. The PTAB denied institution of IPR proceedings on the ‘844 Patent on March 11, 2016.

U.S. Patent No. 7,613,926 (the “’926 Patent”)

On September 10, 2015, Symantec Corporation filed two (2) separate petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,613,926 (IPR2015-01893/01895). Finjan filed POPRs to the petitions on December 17, 2015. With respect to IPR2015-01895, the PTAB denied institution of IPR proceedings on the ‘926 Patent on February 26, 2016. With respect to IPR2015-01893, the PTAB denied institution of IPR proceedings on the ‘926 Patent on March 11, 2016.

U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 (the “’154 Patent”)

On September 25, 2015 and November 5, 2015, Palo Alto Networks Inc. filed two (2) separate petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 and a Motion for Joinder to Symantec’s Petition for IPR of the ‘154 Patent (IPR2015-01547). (IPR2015-01979; IPR2016-00151). Finjan filed a POPR to the first petition in IPR2015-01979 on December 29, 2015. With respect to IPR2015-01979, the PTAB granted institution of IPR proceedings on the ‘154 Patent on March 21, 2016. On April 5, 2016, Finjan filed a partial request for rehearing, and on April 19, 2016, the PTAB denied Finjan’s partial request for rehearing. On July 12, 2016, Finjan submitted a Patent Owner Response to the Petition. With respect to IPR2016-00151 on the ‘154 Patent, Finjan filed a POPR on February 17, 2016, and on April 20, 2016, the PTAB instituted trial on claims 1-8, 10, and 11, denied institution on the remaining claims and denied Palo Alto Network’s Motion for Joinder. On May 4, 2016, Finjan filed a partial request for rehearing, and on June 2, 2016, the PTAB denied Finjan’s Request for Rehearing. On June 16, 2016, the parties filed a joint notice to amend the Scheduling Order.

U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (the “’633 Patent”)

On September 30, 2015, Palo Alto Networks, Inc. filed a petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (IPR2015-01974). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition on January 7, 2016. On March 29, 2016, the PTAB granted institution of IPR proceedings with respect to claims 14 and 19 of the ‘633 Patent, and denied institution with respect to all other challenged claims. On April 12, 2016, Palo Alto Networks filed a request for rehearing. On May 18, 2016,

the PTAB denied Palo Alto Networks' Request for Rehearing. On June 1, 2016, the parties filed a joint notice to amend the Scheduling Order.

Table of Contents

U.S. Patent No. 7,058,822 (the “’822 Patent”)

On September 30, 2015, Palo Alto Networks Inc. filed a petition for IPR of United States Patent No. 7,058,822 (IPR2015-01999). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition on January 6, 2016. The PTAB denied institution of IPR proceedings on the ‘822 Patent on March 29, 2016. On April 28, 2016, Palo Alto Networks filed a Request for Rehearing, and on May 18, 2016, the PTAB granted Palo Alto Networks’ Request for Rehearing but did not alter its Decision denying institution.

U.S. Patent No. 7,418,731 (the “’731 Patent”)

On September 30, 2015, Palo Alto Networks Inc. filed a petition for IPR of United States Patent No. 7,418,731 (IPR2015-02000). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition on January 8, 2016. The PTAB denied institution of IPR proceedings on the ‘731 Patent on March 23, 2016. On April 22, 2016, Palo Alto Networks filed a Request for Rehearing.

U.S. Patent No. 8,225,408 (the “’408 Patent”)

On September 30, 2015 and November 6, 2015, Palo Alto Networks Inc. filed two (2) separate petitions for IPRs of United States Patent No. 8,225,408 (IPR2015-02001; IPR2016-00157). Finjan filed POPRs to the petitions on January 6, 2016, and February 17, 2016 respectively. On March 29, 2016, the PTAB granted institution of IPR proceedings in IPR2015-02001 and IPR2016-00157. On April 12, 2016, Finjan filed requests for rehearing. On May 16, 2016, the PTAB denied Finjan’s Requests for Rehearing. On June 27, 2016, the parties filed a joint notice to amend the Scheduling Order.

U.S. Patent No. 7,613,926 (the “’926 Patent”)

On November 4, 2015, Palo Alto Networks Inc. filed a petition for IPR of United States Patent No. 7,613,926 (IPR 2016-00145). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition on February 17, 2016. On May 9, 2016, the PTAB denied institution of IPR.

U.S. Patent No. 6,965,968 (the “’968 Patent”)

On November 5, 2015, Palo Alto Networks Inc. filed two (2) separate petitions for IPRs of United States Patent No. 6,965,968 (IPR 2016-00149, IPR2016-00150). Finjan filed POPRs to the petitions on February 17, 2016. On May 16, 2016, the PTAB denied institution of IPR proceedings on both petitions.

U.S. Patent No. 6,804,780 (the “’780 Patent”)

On November 6, 2015, Palo Alto Networks Inc. filed a petition for IPR of United States Patent No. 6,804,780 (IPR 2016-00165). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition on February 17, 2016. On April 21, 2016, the PTAB denied institution of IPR.

U.S. Patent No. 7,613,918 (the “’918 Patent”)

On November 6, 2015, Palo Alto Networks Inc. filed a petition for IPR of United States Patent No. 7,613,918 (IPR 2016-00164). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition on February 17, 2016. On May 5, 2016, the PTAB denied institution of IPR.

U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (the “’494 Patent”)

On November 6, 2015, Palo Alto Networks Inc. filed a petition for IPR of United States Patent No. 8,677,494 (IPR 2016-00159). Finjan filed a POPR to the petition on February 17, 2016. On May 13, 2016, the PTAB granted institution of IPR. On May 27, 2016, Finjan filed a Request for Rehearing, and on June 23, 2016 the PTAB denied Finjan’s Request for Rehearing. On June 27, 2016, the parties filed a joint notice to amend the Scheduling Order.

U.S. Patent No. 6,965,968 (the “’968 Patent”)

On January 19, 2016, Blue Coat Systems, Inc. filed two Petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 6,965,968 (“the ‘968 Patent”) (IPR2016-00478; IPR2016-00479) and a Motion for Joinder to Palo Alto Networks’ Petition for IPR of the ‘968 Patent (IPR2015-00149; IPR2015-00150). On April 22, 2016, Finjan filed a POPR to the petitions. On June 20, 2016, the PTAB denied institution of IPR proceedings on both petitions.

U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (the “‘633 Patent”)

On January 20, 2016, Blue Coat Systems, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (“the ‘633 Patent”) (IPR2016-00480) and a Motion for Joinder to Palo Alto Networks’ Petition for IPR of the ‘633 Patent (IPR2015-01974). On April 22, 2016, Finjan filed a POPR to the petition. On June 24, 2016, the PTAB instituted IPR, and granted Blue Coat’s Motion for Joinder.

U.S. Patent No. 7,418,731 (the “‘731 Patent”)

On January 21, 2016, Blue Coat Systems, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,418,731 (“the ‘731 Patent”) (IPR2016-00493) and a Motion for Joinder to Palo Alto Networks’ Petition for IPR of the ‘731 Patent (IPR2015-0200). On April 29, 2016, Finjan filed a POPR to the petition. On June 8, 2016, the PTAB denied institution of IPR.

Table of Contents

U.S. Patent No. 6,804,780 (the “780 Patent”)

On January 21, 2016, Blue Coat Systems, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 6,804,780 (“the ‘780 Patent’”) (IPR2016-00492) and a Motion for Joinder to Palo Alto Networks’ Petition for IPR of the ‘780 Patent (IPR2016-00165). On April 29, 2016, Finjan filed a POPR to the petition. On June 8, 2016, the PTAB denied institution of IPR.

U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 (the “844 Patent”)

On January 25, 2016, Blue Coat Systems, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 (“the ‘844 Patent’”) (IPR2016-00498) and a Motion for Joinder to Symantec Corp.’s Petition for IPR of the ‘844 Patent (IPR2015-01894). On April 29, 2016, Finjan filed a POPR to the petition. On June 20, 2016, the PTAB dismissed the Petition and motion for joinder pursuant to Blue Coat’s motion to dismiss.

U.S. Patent No. 8,225,408 (the “408 Patent”)

On May 2, 2016, Blue Coat Systems, Inc. filed two (2) separate petitions for IPRs of United States Patent No. 8,225,408 (IPR2016-00955; IPR2016-00956), and Motion for Joinder to Palo Alto Networks, Inc.’s Petitions for IPR of the ‘408 Patent (IPR2015-02001 and IPR2016-00157).

U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (the “494 Patent”)

On April 14, 2016 and on June 10, 2016, Blue Coat Systems, Inc. filed two Petitions for IPR of United States Patent No. 8,677,494 (IPR2016-00890; IPR2016-01174) and a Motion for Joinder to Symantec Corp.’s Petition for IPR of the ‘494 Patent (IPR2015-01892) and Palo Alto Networks, Inc.’s Petition for IPR of the ‘494 Patent (IPR2016-00159).

U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 (the “154 Patent”)

On April 21, 2016, Proofpoint, Inc. and Armorize Technologies, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 (IPR2016-00937) and a Motion for Joinder to Palo Alto Networks, Inc.’s Petition for IPR of the ‘154 Patent (IPR2015-01979). On June 24, 2016, the PTAB terminated the IPR proceedings pursuant to a joint motion.

U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (the “633 Patent”)

On April 29, 2016, Proofpoint, Inc. and Armorize Technologies, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (“the ‘633 Patent’”) (IPR2016-00966) and a Motion for Joinder to Palo Alto Networks’ Petition for IPR of the ‘633 Patent (IPR2015-01974). On June 24, 2016, the PTAB terminated the IPR proceedings pursuant to a joint motion.

U.S. Patent No. 8,225,408 (the “408 Patent”)

On April 29, 2016, Proofpoint, Inc. and Armorize Technologies, Inc. filed two separate Petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,225,408 (the “408 Patent”) (IPR2016-00967; IPR2016-00970) and a Motion for Joinder to Palo Alto Networks’ Petition for IPR of the ‘408 Patent (IPR2015-02001; IPR2016-00157). On June 24, 2016, the PTAB terminated the IPR proceedings pursuant to a joint motion.

U.S. Patent No. 8,079,086 (the “086 Patent”)

On July 15, 2016, Blue Coat Systems, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,079,086 (the “086 Patent”) (IPR2016-01444).

U.S. Patent No. 8,225,408 (the “408 Patent”)

On July 15, 2016, Blue Coat Systems, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,225,408 (the “408 Patent”) (IPR2016-01441).

U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (the “494 Patent”)

On July 15, 2016, Blue Coat Systems, Inc. filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 (the “494 Patent”) (IPR2016-01443).

Except for the foregoing disclosures, Finjan is not presently aware of any other material pending legal proceedings, to which Finjan or any of its subsidiaries are a party or of which any of its property is the subject.

Litigation, including patent litigation, is inherently subject to uncertainties. As such, there can be no assurance that Finjan will be successful in litigating and/or settling any of these claims.



## Table of Contents

### Overview

We operate a cybersecurity business, focused on licensing and enforcement, providing advisory services, developing mobile security applications, and investing in emerging cybersecurity technologies and intellectual property.

### Continuing Operations

We operate our cybersecurity business through wholly-owned subsidiaries including, Finjan, Finjan Mobile and CybeRisk.

Through Finjan, we own a portfolio of patents, related to software and hardware technologies that proactively detect malicious code and thereby protect end users from identity and data theft, spyware, malware, phishing, trojans and other web and network threats. Founded in 1997, Finjan developed and patented technologies that are capable of detecting previously unknown and emerging threats on a real-time, behavior-based, basis, in contrast to signature-based methods of intercepting only known threats to computers. The older signature-based methods, were standard in the web and network security industry during the 1990s. As the web and endpoint security industries - known as cybersecurity - have transitioned to behavior-based detection of malicious code, we believe that our patented technologies continue to be widely used by third parties in a number of market segments. We intend to maximize the economic benefits of our technologies through further licensing and to broaden our technologies and patent holdings through acquisitions and strategic partnerships.

As a core element of our continued patent licensing and enforcement business, our management team, having expertise with technology and intellectual property ("IP") monetization, monitors a number of markets and assesses and observes the adoption of our patented technologies in these markets. Our management team, in conjunction with outside legal, technical, and financial experts concludes on a case-by-case basis whether or not they believe that Finjan's patented technologies are being used. Based on these observations, we continue to believe our patented technologies are highly relevant in specific cybersecurity technology areas including, but not limited to, endpoint/cloud software, web gateway/internet infrastructure, and networking equipment markets. From that basis, the Company pursues unlicensed entities through licensing, assertion of claims or both to preserve the value of our portfolio in general. This also reinforces the value to existing licensees of the Finjan patent portfolio.

Since the sale of its hardware and software operations in 2009, Finjan's primary source of income and related cash flows has been the enforcement of its patent rights against unauthorized use and, to a lesser extent, income derived from intellectual property licenses granted to third parties for the use of patented technologies that are owned by Finjan.

Finjan Mobile was founded to ensure that mobile devices are protected against spies, phishing and malware attacks. Given the uptrend in mobile device usage coupled with the amount of transient corporate data, the average mobile user presents and represents higher risks of data loss through hacking. The consumer mobile device has become so convenient that consumers often ignore online security and download apps and blindly agree to terms of service, purchase products, pay bills, connect to free Wi-Fi, and not think twice about personal data and photos stored on their devices. As such, in June of 2015, the Company returned to the research and development world with the creation of security products for mobile devices.

CybeRisk was founded in 2015 to deliver global advanced cyber risk and cyber security advisory services. Through a team of employees and consultants, based in North America, Europe and the Middle East, CybeRisk assesses corporate risk exposure and delivers appropriate mitigation strategies. Its unique and focused offering positions CybeRisk as the piece that interconnects the "server room to the board room".

As of June 30, 2016, we had 12 employees. We intend to hire or engage additional full-time professionals, employees, and/or consultants in alignment with our growth strategy. Although the market is highly competitive for attracting and retaining highly qualified professionals in our industry, we continue our endeavor to find such candidates for our Company. Our management team and additional personnel that we may hire in the future will be primarily responsible for executing and implementing our licensing and enforcement strategy, including analyzing licensing and enforcement opportunities, making tactical decisions related to our strategy, identifying new applications for our existing cybersecurity technologies and pursuing opportunities to invest in new technologies through strategic partnerships and acquisitions.

## Table of Contents

### Industry Trends and Outlook

We believe that 2016 may again be an active year for patent law reform although intellectual property does not seem to be a key issue during the presidential election cycle. We believe that proponents of patent law reform, largely made up of individual or coalitions of powerful technology corporations continue to seek severe statutory limitations on how companies - specifically those who own patents and do not make product covered by such patents - can enforce their patents against companies who make products. The U.S. Congress is considering proposals from all constituents. In an effort to ensure fair and balanced protections for all good faith patent owners, our executives have dedicated time and resources to actively educate our lawmakers and existing and prospective stakeholders on how certain proposed reforms could harm individual inventors, startups, small companies, the licensing industry and therefore, U.S. innovation and the U.S. economy as a whole.

Further, since the enactment of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act ("AIA") on September 16, 2011, several aspects of the patent law have been interpreted by the courts, including what constitutes patentable subject matter, inducement of infringement, and (attorney) fee-shifting to the non-prevailing party in the context of litigation, among other issues. Moreover, under AIA, patents previously granted by the USPTO may be reviewed through post-patent grant proceedings such as reexamination or IPR. It is becoming a trend, if not a practice, for accused infringers to petition for reexaminations or IPRs of asserted patents as these proceedings may give the petitioner "two bites at the apple." The outcome of the proceedings can range from decisions favorable to the patent holder, favorable to both parties, or favorable to the petitioner. If the outcome is the latter, the value of the challenged patent can be materially reduced or extinguished. Thus, patent rights, including enforcement of such rights against unauthorized use is inherently subject to uncertainties.

We also believe cybersecurity issues will again be a very active sector in 2016. Cybersecurity is not just another technology but a critical business issue that intersects government, corporations and individual citizens. We have recently seen a number of devastatingly successful cybersecurity breaches targeting high profile government offices and corporations. The full extent of the cost and damage associated with these attacks may not be known for some time. Nonetheless, these attacks are expected to continue, along with their associated and sometimes unprecedented costs. In many cases, it is not just the government or corporation that suffers losses or damages but their clients and customers, who can also fall victim by the breach of their personal and otherwise confidential data. These issues have forced both government and corporations to take a serious look at their vulnerabilities, which will lead to increased spending on cybersecurity infrastructure, including hardware and software, as well as cybersecurity consulting services.

### Future Growth Strategy

Our mission, for the foreseeable future, is to build a diversified cybersecurity company benefiting from historical investments in technology and patents while expanding into new product and services offerings. We believe our patented technologies continue to hold significant value and we intend to vigorously protect our investment, the value of our existing licensees' investments, and the value that technology and intellectual property represents for our shareholders. We are pursuing and will continue to pursue our growth through the following strategies:

**Develop and Expand Existing Patent Portfolio** - We have obtained and endeavor to continue to obtain new patents relating to security technologies through research and development and/or acquisition in the cybersecurity space. For example, on:

September 22, 2015 Finjan was issued U.S. Patent No. 9,141,786 ('786 Patent) covering our new secure mobile browser application;

November 17, 2015 Finjan was issued U.S. Patent No. 9,189,621 ('621 Patent) covering malicious mobile code runtime monitoring system and methods;

December 22, 2015 Finjan was issued U.S. Patent No. 9,219,755 ('755 Patent) covering malicious mobile code runtime monitoring system and methods;

March 22, 2016 Finjan was issued U.S. Patent No. 9,294,493 ('493 Patent) covering computer security method and system with input parameter validation;

Additionally, as part of the consideration for granting a patent license to F-Secure in April 2015, we acquired two of F-Secure's patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 8,474,048 and 7,769,991, including among other things, all progeny applications or patents, foreign counterparts and reissues) that are complementary to our existing patent portfolio.

## Table of Contents

Continue to Develop and Invest in CybeRisk - CybeRisk provides services to enterprise customers on a wide variety of threats, current and future issues, and prevention. CybeRisk's advisory services enable customers to accelerate the maturity of their cyber security posture and are intended to augment a company's own security and risk capabilities. We intend to further invest in CybeRisk and grow our cybersecurity advisory services business. This could include one or more of the following: the hiring of additional qualified personnel, and the expansion of the business globally from its current headquarters in Tel Aviv.

Invest in Internal Research & Development through Finjan Mobile - We continue to pursue internal research and development of security technologies that both relate to Finjan's existing patented inventions as well as new concepts to meet an ever expanding market need. Since we do not yet have sufficient internal personnel to engage in large-scale research and development, we currently operate this business with limited internal staff focused on strategy and market development while software development is completed under contract with external developers. Products currently available and in development include Finjan Mobile Secure Browser and next generation multi-factor authentication security applications utilizing geo-location techniques on mobile devices. The Company continues to explore inorganic growth and acquisition opportunities to complement the vision for Finjan Mobile.

Expand our IP Assets through Acquisitions and Strategic Partnerships - We intend to acquire and develop new technologies and invest in intellectual property through strategic partnerships, acquisitions of technology-focused companies, IP portfolios or other assets and other initiatives. We endeavor to identify relevant security technologies and patents that have been, or are anticipated to be, widely adopted by third parties in connection with the manufacture or sale of products and services, and to which we can bring enforcement actions (i.e., licensing or litigation) and other expertise. We may also broaden our technology and patent holdings by working with inventors and universities, acquiring technology companies, investing in research laboratories, start-ups, and by creating strategic partnerships with companies, large and small, seeking to effectively and efficiently monetize their technology and patent assets. While we anticipate that we will initially focus on acquisitions and strategic partnerships involving technologies relating to network, web and endpoint cybersecurity, we may seek to diversify to a broader market in the future. Our experience with monetizing both technologies and patents may be considered valuable by potential acquisition candidates and strategic partners who may lack resources or know-how to effectively and efficiently generate a return for those investments.

Continue to Demonstrate Best Practices in Pursuing Licensing Relationships and Enforcing our Patent Rights - In March 2014, we adopted Licensing Best Practices to demonstrate our commitment to ethical, transparent and consistent business practices for intellectual property licensing. We called upon and continue to promote industry-wide adoption of a set of licensing best practices, through leadership organizations such as the Licensing Executive Society and the Open Register of Patent Owners that support technological advancements, investments in innovation, and continued job creation while protected by a robust patent system. We intend to continue pursuing a proactive licensing campaign that adheres to our best practices guidelines while rigorously protecting our intellectual property rights. We have entered into preliminary discussions with numerous potential licensees in accordance with these Licensing Best Practices, but acknowledge that it takes many discussions and many months for preliminary discussions to culminate in a license agreement, if at all. While it is our preference to resolve our patent-related disputes through amicable business solutions, protecting the value of our patented technology is paramount.

Although we currently pursue growth initiatives through the above strategies, unforeseen market and industry conditions and new developments may necessitate changes in our strategies. We intend to remain resilient, flexible, and open to new opportunities that benefit our shareholders.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See "NOTE 1 - The Company and summary of significant accounting policies, - Recently issued accounting pronouncements not yet adopted"

## Table of Contents

### Comparability to Future Results

We have set forth below selected factors that we believe have had, or can be expected to have, a significant effect on the comparability of our recent or future results. In addition to the factors described below, please see Item 1A. “Risk Factors” for additional factors that may affect our operating results.

### Fluctuations of Income, Expenses and Cash Flows Related to Licensing and Enforcement

Our licenses and Judgments may not be recurring, and are not necessarily indicative of the income or cash flows that we expect to generate in the future from our existing technology portfolio or otherwise. We expect income, expenses and cash flows related to patent enforcement to be unpredictable and to fluctuate significantly from period to period. A number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, may affect the timing and amount of our income and cash flows related to patent licensing and enforcement actions, including, but not limited to, trial dates, the strength of our claims and likelihood of achieving an acceptable license on settlement, the timing and nature of any appeals and our ability to collect on any favorable Judgments. Significant fluctuations in our income and cash flows may make our business difficult to manage and adversely affect our business and operating results. We do not recognize income from our licensing and enforcement actions until the terms are fixed and determinable or litigation is finalized (whether resolved at trial or in a settlement).

Our expenses, principally with respect to litigation costs, may also vary significantly from period to period depending upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, whether fees of outside legal counsel are paid on an hourly, contingent or other basis, the timing of depositions, discovery and other elements of litigation, costs of expert witnesses and other consultants, and other costs incurred in support of enforcement actions.

As a result of the factors described above and other known and unknown risks affecting our business, our historical operating performance may not be indicative of our future results.

### Stock-Based and Other Executive Compensation

Our Board of Directors has adopted the Finjan Holdings 2014 Incentive Plan (“2014 Plan”), which our shareholders approved at our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders on July 10, 2014, pursuant to which 2,196,836 shares of common stock are authorized for issuance. A total of 469,902 restricted stock units and 1,738,642 options remain outstanding as of June 30, 2016, under the 2014 Plan. We expect that future equity-based awards will continue to be made under the 2014 Plan to our directors, officers and other employees and consultants. As a result, to the extent relevant, we may incur non-cash, stock-based compensation expenses in future periods that may not be comparable to past periods.

We continue to increase the number of our employees to help execute our strategy in the cybersecurity business and support our public company functions, and expect to hire additional employees in both capacities. Accordingly, we will continue to incur compensation expenses in future periods that we did not incur during the historical period presented in our financial statements.

### Results of Operations

The Company's revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 increased by 829% or \$5.8 million to \$6.5 million, and 1,157% or \$8.1 million to \$8.8 million, compared to \$0.7 million and \$0.7 million for the same periods ended June 30, 2015. Revenue of \$8.8 million is comprised of \$2 million from a September 2014 license agreement, \$0.3 million from an April 2015 license agreement, \$4.3 million from a license agreement from June 2016, \$1.6 million from a license agreement from December 2015 and \$0.6 million from a license agreement from June 2016.

Revenue is determined by the timing of licensing agreements or litigation settlements and can vary period to period. In the quarter ended June 30, 2016, we reached a licensing agreement with a licensee and recorded the first portion of a multi-year agreement which accounted for over half the period revenues. Along with this revenue, we also received funds from existing agreements reached in prior periods. At this time we recognize revenue as future payments become fixed and determinable. For outstanding license agreements, the Company has not yet received \$8.6 million in fees. Such revenue is currently expected to be considered fixed and determinable and recognized as follows; approximately \$1.0 million in revenue in 2016, \$4.3 million in 2017 and \$3.3 million in 2018.

The Company's costs of goods sold for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 increased \$2.1 million or 3,500% each, compared to the same periods ended June 30, 2015. The Company accrues and/or recognizes cost of goods sold upfront when initially recognizing a new agreement, while revenues are recognized when they become fixed and determinable, often over several quarters. As a result, margin percentages were lower in 2016 despite higher revenues.

## Table of Contents

Research and development expenses were \$0.05 million and \$0.1 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016. There were no research and development expenses in the same periods ended June 30, 2015. The Company's recent focus on research and development consisted primarily of professional services associated with the development of mobile security application products. The Company plans to accelerate its efforts in security application products, including mobile.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by 28% or \$1.3 million to \$3.3 million, and 23% or \$2.0 million to \$6.8 million, respectively, as compared to the same periods ended June 30, 2015. These expenses consist primarily of stock-based compensation, accounting, legal and other professional fees. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, litigation expenses decreased \$1.8 million to \$1.1 million, and \$2.7 million to \$2.1 million, respectively, the decrease is primarily due to reduced litigation expenses which will vary based on the timing of the various outstanding actions as discussed in Note 8 of our condensed consolidated financial statements. For the three months ended June 30, 2016, headcount expense increased \$0.05 million to \$1.1 million compared to the same period ended June 30, 2015. Headcount expense decreased for the six months ended June 30, 2016, by \$0.3 million to \$2.1 million, as compared to the same period ended June 30, 2015, primarily due to the reduction of two employees. Included in these expenses is stock based compensation of \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, compared to \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million in the same periods ended June 30, 2015, respectively. Operations related expenses increased \$0.3 million to \$1.0 million, and \$0.5 million to \$2.1 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, as compared to the same periods ended June 30, 2015.

Return on investment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 was \$0, down from \$1.3 million in the same periods ended June 30, 2015 and was due to a distribution from our investment in the JVP Fund in 2015, which represents a portion of the gross proceeds allocated to the Company's investment.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

### Overview

Our cash requirements are, and will continue to be, dependent upon a variety of factors. We expect to continue devoting significant capital resources to the litigations in process and any other litigation we pursue. We also expect to require significant capital resources to maintain our issued patents, prosecute our patent applications, acquire new technologies as part of our growth strategy, and attract and retain qualified personnel on a full-time basis.

In addition, on November 21, 2013, we made a \$5 million commitment to invest in an innovation fund through JVP to invest in early-stage cyber technology companies, of which \$3.0 million of the commitment remains unfunded. The fund can make a call on our remaining \$3.0 million commitment at any time until 2018. We expect to make payments to honor this commitment if and when capital calls are made by the fund. We have sufficient cash on hand to fund such obligations.

The Company leases its corporate headquarters office in Silicon Valley, and offices in New York, New York, Menlo Park, California and Tel Aviv, Israel. Under the terms of the four leases, the Company owes minimum lease obligations of \$1.6 million over the remaining life of the leases, of which \$1.0 million is for the Silicon Valley headquarters lease. During 2015 the Company entered into subleases for each of the Menlo Park and New York offices for essentially the remaining duration of the leases. As of June 30, 2016, the total future minimum lease payments to be received under the Menlo Park and New York subleases was \$273,000 and \$373,000, respectively.

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations, principally historical and future proceeds from licenses, settlements and judgments in connection with our patent enforcement and licensing activities, as well as the

current quarter's equity financing. On September 24, 2014, and during 2015 and 2016, Finjan entered into licensing agreements that provides for installment payments through January, 2018. The amount and timing of cash flows from our licensing and enforcement activities are subject to uncertainties stemming primarily from uncertainties regarding the rates of adoption of our patented technologies, the success of our licensing efforts and the outcome of enforcement actions. As a result, our income and cash flows may vary significantly from period to period. We also raised approximately \$9.5 million from the Preferred Stock financing, net of issuance costs.

As of June 30, 2016, we had approximately \$17.2 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$13.2 million of working capital. The increase in our cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$11.1 million to \$17.2 million at June 30, 2016 from \$6.1 million at December 31, 2015, is primarily attributable to \$9.5 million received from financing activities and \$8.9 million received from licensing agreements, offset by \$7.0 million used in operations and \$0.3 million used in investing activities. The \$9.5 million of the monies received from financing activities is from the Series A Preferred Stock financing. Redemptions are tied, at the option of the holder, to future proceeds and are subject to dividends accreted to the investors over time, both as defined in the Certificate of Designation. The first redemption occurred subsequent to quarter end, when we redeemed \$2.6 million or 17,286 shares of the

## Table of Contents

Series A Preferred Stock; \$1.7 million reduced the value of the Series A Preferred stock and \$0.9 million reduced the accretive value of the Series A Preferred Stock. Based on expected revenue to be received from outstanding license agreements, we would have to make redemption payments of \$0.2 million, \$2.2 million and \$1.7 million, for the remainder of 2016, in 2017 and in 2018, respectively.

Based on current forecasts and assumptions, management believes that our cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months from the date of filing this quarterly report. Such forecasts include approximately \$5.3 million of licensing revenue to be received by January 13, 2017 under existing contracts. We may, however, encounter unforeseen difficulties that may deplete our capital resources more rapidly than anticipated. To insure against any such difficulties, we may raise additional capital to fund licensing and enforcement actions, planned research and development activities and to better solidify our financial position. Any efforts to seek additional funding could be made through issuances of equity or debt, or other external financing. However, additional funding may not be available on favorable terms, or at all. Further, if the Company is unable to obtain additional funding on a timely basis, the Company may be required to curtail or terminate some or all of its business plans.

### Cash flows from Operating Activities:

Net cash provided by Finjan's operating activities of \$1.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2016, is primarily due to \$8.8 million in revenue from licensing agreements entered into during 2014, 2015 and 2016, offset by \$7.0 million used in operations. Net cash used in operating activities of \$6.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2015 is primarily due to our loss of \$6.8 million and the non-cash return on investment of \$1.3 million, offset by changes on accounts receivable collection and other working capital of \$1.9 million.

### Cash used in Investing Activities:

Cash used in investing activities was \$0.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2016. Cash provided by investing activities was \$0.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2015, offset by \$0.2 million in the purchase of property and equipment.

### Cash provided by Financing Activities:

Cash provided by financing activities was \$9.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2016 versus \$0.0 during the six months ended June 30, 2015. Cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2016 primarily resulted from the Series A Preferred Stock Financing in May, 2016. Redemptions are tied, at the option of the holder, to future proceeds and are subject to dividends accreted to the investors over time, both as defined in the Certificate of Designation. The first redemption occurred subsequent to quarter end. We redeemed \$2.6 million or 17,286 shares of the Series A Preferred stock; \$1.7 million reduced the value of the Series A Preferred stock and \$0.9 million reduced the accretive value of the Series A Preferred stock.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our holdings of cash and cash equivalents. Our cash and cash equivalents as of June 30, 2016, totaled \$17.2 million and consisted primarily of cash and money market funds with original maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase. Our primary exposure to

market risk is interest income sensitivity, which is affected by changes in the general level of the interest rates in the United States. However, because of the short-term nature of the instruments in our portfolio, a sudden change in market interest rates of 10% would not be expected to have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations. We do not have any foreign currency or other derivative financial instruments.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

##### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management evaluated, with the participation of our President and Chief Executive Officer, Philip Hartstein, and our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, Michael D. Noonan, the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure

## Table of Contents

controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on our evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective, as of June 30, 2016, to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported accurately and within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the quarter ended June 30, 2016, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, has designed our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurances that the controls' objectives will be met. However, management does not expect that disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within Finjan Holdings, Inc. have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any system's design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of a system's control effectiveness into future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

Table of Contents

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See "NOTE 8 - Litigation, Claims, and Assessments"

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the factors disclosed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

All unregistered sales of equity securities during the quarter ended June 30, 2016 have been reported by the Company on Form 8-K filed with the SEC

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

Item 5. Other Information

Not Applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the accompanying Exhibit Index are filed as part of this report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: August 9, 2016

Finjan Holdings, Inc.  
(Registrant)

/s/ Philip Hartstein  
Philip Hartstein  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Michael Noonan  
Michael Noonan  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| Exhibit<br>Number | Exhibit Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3.1               | Certificate of Designation of Series A Preferred Stock dated May 20, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our current report on Form 8-K/A filed on May 24, 2016)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| 10.1              | Series A Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement, dated May 6, 2016, between Finjan Holdings, Inc. and Halcyon Long Duration Recoveries Investments I LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2016)                                                                                                                                                               |
| 10.2              | Patent License, Settlement and Release Agreement, dated June 3, 2016, between Finjan, Inc. and Proofpoint, Inc. and Armorize Technologies*%                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| 31.1              | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 31.2              | Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 32.1              | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002†*                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 101.INS           | XBRL Instance Document***                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 101.SCH           | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document***                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 101.CAL           | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document***                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 101.DEF           | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document***                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| 101.LAB           | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document***                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| 101.PRE           | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document***                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| *                 | Filed herewith.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| %                 | Confidential treatment has been requested with respect to certain omitted portions of this exhibit. Omitted portions have been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| †                 | This certification is being furnished and shall not be deemed “filed” with the SEC for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.                    |
| ***               | Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files in Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections. |

# Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

31