

GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE INC
Form DEF 14A
April 12, 2018
UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)**

Filed by the Registrant Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

CONFIDENTIAL, FOR USE OF THE COMMISSION ONLY (AS PERMITTED BY RULE 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

GROUP 1 AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

April 12, 2018

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend Group 1 Automotive's 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at the Company's Sterling McCall Lexus dealership, 10025 Southwest Freeway, Houston, Texas 77074, on Thursday, May 17, 2018, at 10:00 a.m. Central Daylight Savings Time.

2017 delivered record all-time revenue of \$11.1 billion, diluted earnings per share of \$10.08 and record adjusted diluted earnings per share of \$7.73. The Company remains focused on delivering stockholder value by leveraging revenue growth, optimizing brand diversity, strategically expanding presence while continuing to manage capital deployment, strengthening vital components of our business model to compete more effectively in the future, and capitalizing on the experience and expertise within our overall management team.

This year's meeting agenda includes a vote to: (i) approve the nominees for our board of directors named in the proxy statement; (ii) approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, our executive compensation; and, (iii) approve Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accountants for 2018. Management will also be available to review the Company's business and financial performance.

Regardless of the number of shares you own, your vote matters. We hope you are able to join us at the Annual Meeting, but if you cannot, we look forward to hearing your voice via your participation in voting on the business items set forth in the attached notice. We encourage you to sign and return your proxy card, or use telephone or internet voting prior to the meeting, to assure that your shares are represented and voted at the meeting.

Thank you for your continued dedication of time and interest in Group 1. Our core values of integrity, transparency, professionalism, and teamwork promote success amongst our team, which includes our customers, our 14,000+ employees worldwide, and you, our stockholders.

Sincerely,

Stephen D. Quinn **Earl J. Hesterberg**
Chairman of the Board *President & Chief Executive Officer*

Notice of
**Annual Meeting
of Stockholders**

Thursday, May 17, 2018

10:00 a.m. Central Daylight Savings Time

Sterling McCall Lexus, 10025 Southwest Freeway, Houston, Texas 77074

Matters to be voted on:

1. To elect the nine director nominees named in the proxy statement, each for a term expiring at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
2. To approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, our executive compensation;
3. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2018;
and
4. To transact such other business as may be properly brought before the meeting.

Stockholders of record at the close of business on March 20, 2018, will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and at any adjournments or postponements thereof. A list of stockholders will be available and may be inspected during normal business hours for a period of at least 10 days prior to the Annual Meeting at the offices of Group 1, 800 Gessner, Suite 500, Houston, Texas 77024. The list of stockholders will also be available for your review at the Annual Meeting. In the event there are not sufficient votes for a quorum or to approve the foregoing proposals at the time of the Annual Meeting, the Annual Meeting may be adjourned in order to permit further solicitation of proxies.

The proxy materials, including this Notice of Annual Meeting, the proxy statement, a proxy card, and our Annual Report to Stockholders on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 are being distributed and made available beginning on April 12, 2018.

Your vote is important. We urge you to review the accompanying materials carefully and to vote by telephone or internet as promptly as possible. Alternatively, you may complete, sign and return the proxy card, by mail.

Houston, Texas

April 12, 2018

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Beth Sibley

Corporate Secretary

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be held on May 17, 2018.

The Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our Proxy Statement and proxy card for the Annual Meeting and our Annual Report to Stockholders on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 are available at <http://www.proxyvote.com>.

Table of Contents

<u>2018 PROXY SUMMARY</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>INVESTOR OUTREACH</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>PROPOSAL</u>	
<u>1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>PROPOSAL</u>	
<u>2 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>PROPOSAL</u>	
<u>3 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>2017 COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Business and Financial Highlights</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Compensation and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Role of the Compensation Committee, its Consultant and Management</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Objectives of our Executive Compensation Program</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Compensation Components</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Employment Agreements, Severance Benefits and Change in Control Provisions</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Hedging and Pledging Prohibitions</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Policy on Payment or Recoupment of Performance-Based Cash Bonuses and Performance-Based Stock Bonuses in the Event of Certain Restatements</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Tax Deductions for Compensation</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Risk Assessment</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE</u>	<u>50</u>

[Back to Contents](#)

**EXECUTIVE
COMPENSATION** **51**

[2017 Summary
Compensation Table](#) **51**

[Grants of Plan-Based
Awards in 2017](#) **52**

[Narrative Disclosure
to Summary](#)

[Compensation Table
and Grants of](#) **53**

[Plan-Based Awards
Table](#)

[Outstanding Equity
Awards at December](#) **54**

[31, 2017](#)

[2017 Restricted
Stock Vested](#) **54**

[Nonqualified
Deferred](#) **55**

[Compensation
Potential Payments](#)

[upon Termination or](#) **56**

[Change in Control
Termination and](#)

[Change in Control](#) **59**

[Tables for 2017](#)

**DIRECTOR
COMPENSATION** **60**

[2017 Director
Compensation Table](#) **60**

[Retainers and Fees](#) **61**

[Equity-Based
Compensation](#) **61**

[Stock Ownership
Guidelines](#) **62**

[Nonqualified
Deferred](#) **62**

[Compensation](#)

**CEO PAY RATIO
DISCLOSURE** **63**

[Methodology](#) **63**

**CERTAIN
RELATIONSHIPS
AND RELATED** **64**

TRANSACTIONS

<u>Transactions</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>Policies and Procedures</u>	<u>65</u>

SECURITY OWNERSHIP INFORMATION

<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	<u>66</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>67</u>

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2019 ANNUAL MEETING

<u>2017 ANNUAL REPORT</u>	<u>68</u>
---------------------------	-----------

HOUSEHOLDING

<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	<u>69</u>
-----------------------------	-----------

[Back to Contents](#)

2018 Proxy Summary

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider, and you should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

This proxy statement is being distributed and made available beginning on April 12, 2018 in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Group 1 Automotive, Inc. for use at our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Date: May 17, 2018

Time: 10:00 a.m., Central Daylight Savings Time

Place: Sterling McCall Lexus, 10025 Southwest Freeway, Houston, Texas 77074

Record date: March 20, 2018

Voting: Stockholders as of the record date are entitled to vote. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote for each director nominee and one vote for each of the proposals to be voted on. All elections of directors shall be decided by a majority of votes cast by stockholders entitled to vote in the election of directors. All other matters submitted to the stockholders shall be decided by the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter.

COMPENSATION AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE HIGHLIGHTS

Independent Chairman of the Board

No Excise Tax Gross-Ups

Say on Pay Advisory Vote Conducted Annually

Annual Board and Committee

Self-Evaluations

Annual Review for Board and Committee Refreshment

Robust Stock Ownership Guidelines for our Officers and Directors

Director Resignation Policy for Directors who do not receive a

Majority Vote in an uncontested Director Election

Clawback Provisions for Certain Restatements

Average Board Attendance of 98% during 2017

No Stockholder Rights Plan (Poison Pill)

Annual Election of our Board of Directors

Independent Compensation Consultant

Company Policy Prohibits Directors and Employees from Pledging or Hedging Group 1 Common Stock

VOTING MATTERS AND BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Proposals:	Board's Recommendation	Page (for more detail)
Election of Nine Director Nominees	FOR Each Director Nominee	26
Approval, on a Non-Binding Advisory Basis, of our Executive Compensation	FOR	33
Ratification of Ernst & Young as Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	FOR	34

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **8**

[Back to Contents](#)**Election of Directors (Proposal 1)**

The following table provides summary information about our nominees for election to the Board of Directors. Additional information for all of our directors, may be found beginning on page 26.

Nominee	Age	Director Since	Occupation	Committee Memberships⁽¹⁾	Other Public Company Boards
John L. Adams	73	1999	Retired Vice Chairman, Trinity Industries, Inc.	I, AC, CC, FRM (Chair)	Trinity Industries, Inc.
Carin M. Barth	55	2017	President, LB Capital, Inc.	I, AC, FRM, NGC	Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, Black Stone Minerals, L.P.
Earl J. Hesterberg	64	2005	President & Chief Executive Officer, Group 1 Automotive, Inc. Regional Vice President, Brazil, Group 1 Automotive, Inc. and Chairman, UAB Motors Participações, Ltda.	FRM	Stage Stores, Inc.
Lincoln Pereira	58	2013	Retired General Partner & Managing Director, Goldman Sachs & Co.	FRM	
Stephen D. Quinn	62	2002	Retired Vice Chairman, KPMG LLP	I, AC, CC, NGC (Chair)	Zions Bancorporation
J. Terry Strange	74	2003	Retired Chief Executive Officer, Oshkosh Corporation	I, AC (Chair), FRM	New Jersey Resources Corporation Newfield Exploration Company BBVA Compass Commercial Metals Company Rowan Companies plc Allegion plc
Charles L. Szews	61	2016	Retired Chairman of the Board, President and CEO, BMC Software, Inc.	I, AC, FRM	
Max P. Watson, Jr.	72	2001	Owner, TechGoddess LLC Retired Group Vice President, Johnson Controls Power Solutions	I, CC (Chair), NGC	
MaryAnn Wright	56	2014		I, AC, CC, NGC	Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. Delphi Technologies

(1) **I** - Independent Director

AC - Audit Committee

CC - Compensation Committee

FRM - Finance/Risk Management Committee

NGC - Nominating/Governance Committee

Our Board of Directors Recommends a Vote **“FOR”** the Election of each of the Nominees for Director.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **9**

[Back to Contents](#)**Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation (Proposal 2)**

We are asking our stockholders to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers. We believe that our compensation policies and practices are effective in achieving our Company's goals of rewarding significant financial and operating performance, leadership excellence and aligning the executives' long-term interests with those of our stockholders. Our compensation philosophy is to set the fixed compensation of our named executive officers competitively for their demonstrated skills and industry experience. Our variable compensation, both annual and long-term, reflects the results of performance against a combination of quantitative and subjective measures. At last year's Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our stockholders approved the compensation of our named executive officers with a substantial majority of our stockholders (96% of votes cast) voting in favor.

COMPENSATION COMPONENTS

Type	Form	Terms
Cash	Salary	Set annually based on market conditions, peer data and other factors
Cash	Annual Incentive	Linked to financial-based and mission-based goals. Discretionary factors are considered when appropriate Restricted stock with restrictions lapsing over a five-year period:
Equity	Long-Term Incentive Awards	0%-40%-20%-20%-20%, to reward performance and promote retention of certain key employees Change of Control payment equal to 30 months base salary for our President/CEO and our Senior Vice President/CFO, plus prior year's pro rata annual bonus
Other	Employment Agreements and Severance and Change of Control Arrangements	Under certain circumstances (as more fully described beginning on page 56), our CEO and his spouse will receive continued medical coverage for a period up to 36 months
Other	Deferred Compensation Plan	Allows deferral of up to 50% base salary and 100% of incentive bonus Demonstrator vehicle(s) and/or vehicle allowance
Other	Perquisites	Our CEO may use our Company aircraft for up to 40 hours of personal use, provided he reimburses us based on the published standard industry fare level valuation method; we pay for club membership privileges that are used for business and personal purposes by our CEO
Other	Benefits	On same terms as other employees, including our employee stock purchase plan
Other	Indemnification Agreements	Indemnification for our named executive officers provided the executive was acting in good faith and in the best interest of our Company

In evaluating this year's "say-on-pay" proposal, we recommend that you review the section entitled "2017 Compensation Discussion and Analysis" ("CD&A") beginning on page 39, which explains how and why the Compensation Committee arrived at its executive compensation actions and decisions for 2017.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **10**

[Back to Contents](#)**2017 SUMMARY COMPENSATION**

Set forth below is the 2017 compensation for each named executive officer:

Name and Principal Position	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ⁽³⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	Total ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)
Earl J. Hesterberg President and Chief Executive Officer	1,100,000	1,999,966	485,833	322,320	203,550	4,111,669
Daryl A. Kenningham President, U.S. Operations	533,333	962,312	645,296	169,653	31,310	2,341,904
John C. Rickel Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	583,500	845,799	389,000	379,516	25,338	2,223,153
Frank Grese, Jr. Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Training and Operations Support	540,000	589,235	346,500	170,839	33,171	1,679,745
Peter C. DeLongchamps Vice President, Manufacturer Relations, Financial Services and Public Affairs	456,300	651,610	182,520	82,239	22,418	1,395,087

The amounts in the “Stock Awards” column reflect the required accounting expense for these awards and do not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized. These amounts represent the grant date fair value of awards computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718. Assumptions made in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note 5 to the audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. Certain of these awards have no intrinsic value to the recipient until the performance or vesting schedule is met. Vesting schedules for equity awards can be found in the footnotes to the “Outstanding Equity Awards as of December 31, 2017” table.

(1) Annual cash incentive awards based upon the achievement of financial and mission-based goals. This is discussed further under “2017 Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Annual Incentive Compensation Plan”.

(2) Amounts reflect above-market earnings on the Deferred Compensation Plan. Amounts are reflective of earnings in excess of 120% of the applicable federal long-term rate, with compounding, of 2.68%. We do not offer a pension plan.

(3) Includes 401(k) savings plan matching contribution, automobile allowance, use of demonstrator vehicle, airplane use and club membership and dues.

Our Board of Directors Recommends a Vote **“FOR”** the Non-Binding Advisory Approval of our Executive Compensation.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **11**

[Back to Contents](#)**Ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2018 (Proposal 3)**

As a matter of good corporate governance, we are asking our stockholders to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2018. Set forth below is summary information with respect to Ernst & Young's fees for services provided in 2016 and 2017.

Type of Fees	2017	2016
Audit Fees	\$ 2,456,000	\$ 2,116,000
Audit Related Fees	—	—
Tax Fees	162,000	198,000
All Other Fees	2,200	2,200
TOTAL	\$ 2,620,200	\$ 2,316,200

Our Board of Directors Recommends a Vote **“FOR”** Ratification of the Appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the Fiscal Year Ending December 31, 2018.

[Back to Contents](#)

*800 Gessner, Suite 500
Houston, TX 77024*

Proxy Statement

This proxy statement is being furnished to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors (the “Board”) of Group 1 Automotive, Inc. (“Group 1” or the “Company”) for use at our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “Annual Meeting”) and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. Proxy materials were first sent to stockholders beginning on April 12, 2018.

2018 ANNUAL MEETING DATE AND LOCATION

Our Annual Meeting will be held at Sterling McCall Lexus, 10025 Southwest Freeway, Houston, TX 77074, on Thursday, May 17, 2018, at 10:00 a.m., Central Daylight Savings Time, or at such other time and place to which the meeting may be adjourned.

References in this proxy statement to the Annual Meeting also refer to any adjournments, postponements or changes in location of the meeting, to the extent applicable.

DELIVERY OF PROXY MATERIALS

The proxy materials, including this proxy statement, the Notice of Annual Meeting, the proxy card, and our Annual Report to Stockholders on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 are being distributed and made available to stockholders beginning on April 12, 2018.

The proxy card provides instructions on how to inform us to send future proxy materials to you electronically by email. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. Your election to receive proxy materials by email or printed form will remain in effect until you terminate it.

Choosing to receive future proxy materials by email will allow us to provide you with the information you need in a timelier manner, save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you, and conserve natural resources.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **13**

[Back to Contents](#)

Questions and Answers about the Annual Meeting

What is the purpose of the meeting?

At our Annual Meeting, stockholders will act upon the matters outlined in the notice of meeting, including the election of nine director nominees, the advisory vote to approve executive compensation, the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018, and the consideration of any other matters properly presented at the meeting. In addition, senior management will be available to respond to questions regarding our business and financial performance during fiscal year 2017.

Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?

Only our stockholders as of 5:00 p.m., Central Daylight Savings Time, on March 20, 2018 (the record date) are entitled to receive notice of the Annual Meeting and to vote at the meeting. On March 20, 2018, there were 20,895,687 shares of Group 1 common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the meeting.

How many votes may I cast?

You are entitled to one vote for each share of Group 1 common stock you owned at 5:00 p.m., Central Daylight Savings Time, on March 20, 2018, on all matters presented at the meeting.

What is the difference between a stockholder of record and a beneficial owner or “street name” holder?

If your shares are registered directly in your name with our registrar and transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, you are considered a stockholder of record with respect to those shares.

If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the “beneficial owner” of those shares, and your shares are held in “street name.”

If you hold common stock in **BOTH** street name and as a stockholder of record, **YOU MUST VOTE SEPARATELY** for each position of common stock.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **14**

[Back to Contents](#)

How do I vote my shares?

If you are a stockholder of record on the record date, you may vote in person at the Annual Meeting or by proxy using any of the following methods:

Online — visit the website shown on the proxy card (www.proxyvote.com) and follow the instructions at that website at any time prior to 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Savings Time, on May 16, 2018;

Telephone — within the United States (“U.S.”) or Canada, call the toll-free telephone number shown on the proxy card and follow the instructions at any time prior to 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Savings Time, on May 16, 2018; or

Mail — if you receive a paper copy of the proxy materials, complete, sign and date the proxy card and return the proxy card in the prepaid envelope. Your proxy card must be received by the Company before the voting polls close at the Annual Meeting.

If you vote by internet or telephone, do not return your proxy card. The telephone and internet voting procedures are designed to authenticate stockholders’ identities, to allow stockholders to give their voting instructions and to confirm that stockholders’ instructions have been recorded properly.

Submitting your proxy by internet or telephone will not affect your right to vote in person should you decide to attend the Annual Meeting.

If you want to vote in person at the meeting, you must request a ballot. For directions to the Annual Meeting visit www.sterlingmccalllexus.com and click on the Hours and Map link.

If you hold your shares in street name, you will receive instructions from your broker, bank or other nominee describing how to vote your shares. Beneficial owners voting by telephone or internet are subject to the same deadlines as described above for holders of record. If you want to vote in person, you must obtain a legal proxy from your broker, bank or other nominee and bring it to the meeting.

Can I change my vote or revoke my proxy?

If you are a stockholder of record on the record date, you can revoke your proxy prior to the completion of voting at the Annual Meeting by:

• delivering an executed, later-dated proxy that is received by the Corporate Secretary of the Company before the voting polls close at the Annual Meeting;
• resubmitting your proxy by internet or telephone at any time prior to 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Savings Time, on May 16, 2018;
• delivering a written notice of revocation of the proxy to Beth Sibley, Corporate Secretary, Group 1 Automotive, Inc., 800 Gessner, Suite 500, Houston, Texas 77024 no later than May 16, 2018; or
• voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

Only your latest dated proxy that we receive prior to the Annual Meeting will be counted. Further, your attendance at the Annual Meeting will not automatically revoke your proxy.

If you are a street name stockholder you must follow the instructions of your broker, bank or other nominee to revoke your voting instructions. You may also vote in person at the Annual Meeting if you obtain a legal proxy from your broker, bank or other nominee.

What is the effect of broker non-votes and abstentions and what vote is required to approve each proposal?

If you hold your shares in “street name,” you will receive instructions from your broker, bank or other nominee describing how to vote your shares. If you do not instruct your broker, bank or other nominee how to vote your shares, they may vote your shares as they decide as to each routine matter under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. Only Proposal No. 3 is considered a “routine” matter.

If you do not provide specific voting instructions to your broker on non-routine matters, your broker may not cast a vote on the proposal, resulting in a broker non-vote. Although any broker non-vote would be counted as present at the meeting for purposes of determining a quorum, it would be treated as not entitled to vote with respect to “non-routine” matters. If you are a beneficial owner holding shares through a broker, bank or other nominee and you do not provide voting instructions on certain matters, your broker may cast a vote on your behalf for Proposal No. 3, but may not cast a vote on Proposals No. 1 or 2. Abstentions occur when stockholders are present at the Annual Meeting but fail to vote or voluntarily withhold their vote for any of the matters upon which the stockholders are voting.

[Back to Contents](#)

The table below describes the vote required for approval of each matter to be brought before the meeting, as well as the treatment of abstentions and broker non-votes as to each matter.

Proposal	Vote Required	Treatment of Abstentions	Treatment of Broker Non-Votes
1	Each nominee must receive the affirmative vote of a majority of votes cast by stockholders entitled to vote in the election of directors. Nominees who receive more “for” votes than “against” votes are elected, subject to our director resignation policy described below	No Effect	Not taken into account
2	The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter	Count as a vote “against”	Not taken into account
3	The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter	Count as a vote “against”	Brokers have discretion

The Company’s director resignation policy requires any director nominee in an uncontested election who receives a greater number of votes “against” than votes “for” his or her election to tender his or her resignation promptly following the certification of the election results. The Nominating/Governance Committee of the Board will consider all of the relevant facts and circumstances and make a recommendation to the Board with respect to whether to accept the resignation. Within 90 days, the Board is required to take action with respect to the recommendation and to promptly disclose its decision. The director resignation policy is more fully described in “Information about Our Board of Directors and Its Committees — Director Resignation Policy.”

Our Board has appointed Earl J. Hesterberg, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and John C. Rickel, our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as the management proxy holders for the Annual Meeting. If you are a stockholder of record, your shares will be voted by the management proxy holders in accordance with the instructions on the proxy card you submit by mail, or the instructions provided for any proxy submitted by telephone or internet, as applicable. For stockholders who have their shares voted by duly submitting a proxy by mail, telephone or internet, unless the stockholder appropriately specifies otherwise, the management proxy holders will vote all shares represented by such valid proxies as our Board recommends.

How does the Board recommend I vote?

Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote your shares **“FOR”** each of the director nominees; **“FOR”** the approval, on a non-binding advisory basis, of our executive compensation; and **“FOR”** the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2018.

What is a quorum?

There must be a quorum for the Annual Meeting to be held. A quorum will be present, if the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote are present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. Our independent inspector of election, Broadridge Financial Solutions, will determine whether or not a quorum is present. There must be a quorum for the Annual Meeting to be held. Proxies received but marked as abstentions or broker non-votes will be included in the calculation of votes considered to be present at the Annual Meeting.

If less than a quorum is represented at the meeting, the chairman of the meeting or a majority of the shares so represented may adjourn the meeting from time to time without further notice, and the persons named as proxies will vote the proxies they have been authorized at the Annual Meeting in favor of such an adjournment.

In the event a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting but sufficient votes to approve any of the items proposed by our Board have not been received, the persons named as proxies may propose one or more adjournments of the meeting to permit further solicitation of proxies. A stockholder vote may be taken on one or more of the proposals in this proxy statement prior to such adjournment if sufficient proxies have been received and it is otherwise appropriate. If a quorum is present, the persons named as proxies will vote the proxies they have been authorized to vote on any other business properly before the meeting in favor of such an adjournment. If a quorum is initially established, but sufficient stockholders withdraw such that the meeting is left with less than a quorum, the remaining stockholders present at the meeting may continue to transact business until the meeting is adjourned or recessed.

[Back to Contents](#)

Who will bear the cost of soliciting votes for the Annual Meeting?

We have engaged Alliance Advisors to assist with the solicitation of proxies for a fee not to exceed \$5,500, plus reimbursement for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. We will bear all expenses of soliciting proxies. We may reimburse brokerage firms, custodians, nominees, fiduciaries and other persons representing beneficial owners of our common stock for their reasonable expenses in forwarding solicitation material to such beneficial owners. Directors, officers and employees of Group 1 may also solicit proxies in person or by other means of communication. Such directors, officers and employees will not be additionally compensated but may be reimbursed for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in connection with such solicitation.

Who will count the votes?

We have engaged Broadridge Financial Solutions to tabulate the votes and to serve as inspector of election at the Annual Meeting for a fee of approximately \$3,500. Broadridge will separately tabulate For, Against and Withhold votes, abstentions and broker non-votes. Broadridge will also certify the election results and perform any other acts required by the Delaware General Corporation Law.

May I propose actions for consideration at next year's Annual Meeting of Stockholders or nominate individuals to serve as directors?

You may submit proposals for consideration at future stockholder meetings, including director nominations. Please read "Stockholder Proposals for 2019 Annual Meeting" for information regarding the submission of stockholder proposals and director nominations for consideration at next year's Annual Meeting.

[Back to Contents](#)

Information about Our Board of Directors and its Committees

Meetings of the Board of Directors and its Committees

In 2017, the Board held eight meetings and acted by unanimous written consent five times. Committees of the Board held a combined total of 23 meetings. Each incumbent director attended 98% or more of the aggregate of all meetings of the Board and the committees on which he or she served during the periods in which he or she served during fiscal 2017. Two directors were unable to attend one Audit Committee meeting and one director was unable to attend one Compensation Committee meeting. The attendance record above excludes Mr. Arnold who did not stand for re-election in 2017 and only attended two Audit Committee meetings prior to the Annual Meeting date. Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our directors are encouraged to attend the Annual Meeting of our stockholders. All of our then-current directors, except for Mr. Arnold who did not stand for re-election, attended our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. We currently expect all of our director nominees to be present at the 2018 Annual Meeting.

Our Board and each of its committees annually conduct a self-evaluation to assess, and identify opportunities to improve, their respective performance. The Nominating/Governance Committee leads our Board in its annual self-evaluation.

Corporate Governance

We are committed to good corporate governance which includes the highest standards of professional and personal conduct. Our Board has adopted several governance documents to guide the operation and direction of our Board and its committees, which include our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Ethics, Code of Conduct and charters for the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Nominating/Governance Committee and Finance/Risk Management Committee. Each of these documents is available on our website at www.group1auto.com and stockholders may obtain a printed copy, free of charge, by sending a written request to Group 1 Automotive, Inc., 800 Gessner, Suite 500, Houston, TX 77024, Attn: Corporate Secretary.

Board Leadership Structure

The Nominating/Governance Committee's charter provides that the committee will annually assess the leadership structure of the Board and recommend a structure to the Board for approval. In 2017, the Nominating/Governance Committee conducted that assessment, and determined that having an independent director serve as non-executive Chairman of the Board continues to be in the best interest of our stockholders at this time. Our Chief Executive Officer is responsible for setting our strategic direction and providing day-to-day leadership, while the Chairman of the Board sets the agenda for Board meetings, presides over meetings of the full Board and provides guidance to our

Chief Executive Officer. We believe this structure ensures a greater role for the independent directors in the oversight of our Company and active participation of the independent directors in setting agendas and establishing priorities and procedures for the work of our Board. We discuss our directors' qualifications and characteristics under "Proposal 1 — Election of Directors."

Board Diversity

Our Nominating/Governance Committee is responsible for identifying and recommending to our Board qualified individuals to be nominated to serve on our Board. Our Board's objective is to select individuals that have a demonstrated record of integrity, sound business judgment, leadership, objectivity, independence of mind, and commitment. In selecting potential Board candidates, our Board seeks independent directors who represent a mix of backgrounds and experiences that will enhance the quality of our Board's deliberations and decisions. Board membership should reflect diversity in its broadest sense, including persons diverse in perspectives, personal and professional experiences, geography, gender, and ethnicity. This process has resulted in a Board that is comprised of highly qualified directors that reflect diversity as we define it. The Nominating/Governance Committee assesses the effectiveness of this approach as part of our Board's annual self-evaluation process.

[Back to Contents](#)

Independence of the Members of Our Board

The Board has analyzed the independence of each director. It has affirmatively determined that Mses. Barth and Wright and Messrs. Adams, Quinn, Strange, Szews and Watson (all of our non-employee directors) are independent directors under the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards. Prior to his departure from the Board at our 2017 Annual Meeting, Doyle L. Arnold was also determined to be independent under the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards. As part of its analysis, the Board determined that none of these directors has a material relationship with our Company. Mr. Hesterberg was determined not to be independent because he is our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Pereira, who was appointed to the Board following our acquisition of UAB Motors Participações, S.A. ("UAB"), was determined not to be independent because he is our Regional Vice President, Brazil and the Chairman of UAB.

Charitable Contributions

We have in the past, and may, in the future, make donations to various charitable organizations. From time to time, some of our directors, officers and employees have been, and in the future may be, affiliated with such charities. During the annual independence review, our Nominating/Governance Committee determined that any such affiliations did not impact the independence of our directors. We did not make any donations to any organizations affiliated with our directors or officers in 2017.

Director Resignation Policy

Under our director resignation policy, in an uncontested election of directors, any nominee who receives a greater number of votes "against" than votes "for" his or her election will, promptly following the certification of the stockholder vote, tender his or her written resignation to the Board for consideration by the Nominating/Governance Committee. The Nominating/Governance Committee will consider the resignation and will make a recommendation to the Board concerning whether to accept or reject it.

In determining its recommendation to the Board, the Nominating/Governance Committee will consider all factors it considers relevant, which may include:

- the stated reason or reasons why stockholders who cast withhold votes for the director did so;
- the qualifications of the director; and
- the results of the most recent evaluation of the tendering director's performance by the Nominating/Governance Committee and other members of the Board.

Under our director resignation policy, the Board will take formal action on the recommendation no later than 90 days following the certification of the results of the stockholders' meeting. In considering the recommendation, the Board will consider the information, factors and alternatives considered by the Nominating/Governance Committee and any additional information that the Board considers relevant. The Company will promptly disclose the Board's decision whether to accept or reject the director's tendered resignation. If applicable, the Board will also disclose the reason or reasons for rejecting the tendered resignation.

Executive Sessions of Our Board

The independent directors meet in executive session at each regularly scheduled meeting of our Board. Mr. Quinn, our independent Chairman of the Board, presides over these meetings and is responsible for preparing an agenda for the meetings of the independent directors in executive session.

[Back to Contents](#)

Risk Oversight

Our Board, as a whole and through its committees, has broad responsibility for the oversight of risk management with a focus on the most significant risks facing the Company, including strategic, operational, cyber, financial, legal and compliance risk. In its risk management role, our Board seeks to satisfy itself that our risk management processes and systems that have been put in place to identify and manage risks are reasonable and functioning as designed. Our Board also has specific risk management oversight for governance, executive compensation and Chief Executive Officer succession planning.

Much of our Board's oversight work is delegated to various committees, which meet regularly and report back to the full Board. All committees have significant roles in carrying out the risk oversight function. Each committee is comprised entirely of independent directors, except the Finance/Risk Management Committee, and is responsible for overseeing risks associated with its respective area of responsibility as further detailed below.

Finance/Risk Management Committee The Finance/Risk Management Committee is charged with oversight of our risk exposure related to our operations, including, among other things, cybersecurity and data protection, litigation management, enterprise risk management strategies, strategies for our insurance programs and our compliance with covenants of material debt instruments. The Finance/ Risk Management Committee monitors our finance-related activities and provides guidance to management and the Board concerning our long-range financial policies and objectives. **Audit Committee** The Audit Committee is responsible for oversight of Company risks relating to accounting matters, financial reporting (primarily internal control risks) and legal and regulatory compliance. In fulfilling these oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee meets with our management and independent registered public accounting firm regarding the adequacy of our financial controls and our compliance with legal, tax and regulatory matters, as well as our significant financial and accounting policies. The Audit Committee also separately meets with our vice president of internal audit on a regular basis, and with other members of management, as deemed appropriate, to review, among other things, the identified risk areas and scope of the internal audit approach. The Audit Committee receives regular reports regarding the status and findings of audits being conducted by the internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, accounting changes that could affect our financial statements and proposed audit adjustments. Further, the Audit Committee chair routinely meets between formal Audit Committee meetings with our chief financial officer, corporate controller, vice president of internal audit and our independent registered public accounting firm. **Compensation Committee** The Compensation Committee is responsible for overseeing risks relating to employment policies, our compensation policies and programs and our benefits systems. To assist it in satisfying these oversight responsibilities, the Compensation Committee has retained its own compensation consultant and meets regularly with management to understand the financial, human resources and stockholder implications of compensation decisions being made. A separate discussion regarding the risk considerations in our compensation programs, including the processes that are put in place by the Compensation Committee and management to identify, manage and mitigate potential risks in compensation, can be found beginning on page 49 of this proxy statement. **Nominating/Governance Committee** The Nominating/Governance Committee is responsible for oversight of risks relating to succession planning for our Chief Executive Officer and other key officers, our corporate governance guidelines and practices and our corporate compliance program. To satisfy these oversight responsibilities, the Nominating/Governance Committee receives regular reports from our officers that are responsible for each of these areas on matters such as progress against succession planning programs and goals that could affect our operations. In addition, on an annual basis, the Nominating/Governance Committee conducts a review

of the performance of the Board and its committees and reviews and reassesses the adequacy of the corporate governance guidelines and recommends any proposed changes to the Board.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **20**

[Back to Contents](#)

In addition to reports from its committees, our Board receives regular reports directly from the officers responsible for oversight of particular risks within our Company. Specifically, our officers report to our Board regarding the Enterprise Risk Management Program that management has implemented to assess, manage and monitor areas of risk that are significant to our business, including safety and risk, strategic planning and operational risk, financial and accounting risk, technology and cybersecurity risk, and governance, regulatory and legislative risk. Risk profiles are updated annually to ensure that all risks continue to be identified. Our officers also report to our Board on which risks management has assessed as the most significant, together with management’s plans to mitigate those risks. Further, outside counsel reports in person to our Board periodically on an as-needed basis to keep our directors informed concerning legal risks and other legal matters involving our Company. Finally, we have robust internal audit systems in place to review adherence to policies and procedures, which are supported by a separate internal audit department.

Committees of Our Board

Our Board has established four standing committees to assist it in discharging its responsibilities: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Nominating/Governance Committee and the Finance/Risk Management Committee. The following chart reflects the current membership of each committee:

Name	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Finance/Risk Management Committee	Nominating/Governance Committee
John L. Adams				
Carin M. Barth				
Earl J. Hesterberg				
Lincoln Pereira				
Stephen D. Quinn				
J. Terry Strange				
Charles L. Szews				
Max P. Watson, Jr.				
MaryAnn Wright				

Member
Chairman

Each of the committee charters is available on our website at www.group1auto.com and stockholders may obtain printed copies, free of charge, by sending a written request to Group 1 Automotive, Inc., 800 Gessner, Suite 500, Houston, TX 77024, Attn: Corporate Secretary.

Audit Committee

Pursuant to its charter, the purposes and responsibilities of our Audit Committee are to:

- oversee the quality, integrity and reliability of the financial statements and other financial information we provide to any governmental body or the public;
- oversee our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;
- oversee the qualifications, performance and independence of our independent registered public accounting firm;
- oversee the performance of our internal audit function;
- oversee our systems of internal controls regarding finance, accounting, legal compliance and ethics that our management and our Board have established;
- provide an open avenue of communication among our independent registered public accounting firm, financial and senior management, the internal audit department, and our Board, always emphasizing that the independent registered public accounting firm is accountable to the Audit Committee;
- review complaints dealing with financial reporting or potential violations of applicable laws, rules and regulations, or violations of the Company's codes, policies and procedures, that are reported on the Company's hotline; and
- perform such other functions as our Board may assign to the Audit Committee from time to time.

In addition to, and in connection with, the purposes and responsibilities described above, the Audit Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of our independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee also reviews our annual and quarterly financial statements and confirms the independence and quality systems of our independent registered public accounting firm.

All members of the Audit Committee are independent as that term is defined in the New York Stock Exchange's (the "NYSE") listing standards and by Rule 10A-3 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Our Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is financially

[Back to Contents](#)

literate and that Mr. Strange has the necessary accounting and financial expertise to serve as Chairman. Mr. Strange also serves on the Audit Committees of New Jersey Resources Corporation, Newfield Exploration Company and BBVA Compass. Our Board has determined that Mr. Strange's simultaneous service on these other Audit Committees and our Audit Committee does not impair his ability to serve effectively on our Audit Committee.

Our Board has also determined that each of Ms. Barth and Messrs. Quinn, Strange and Szews is an "audit committee financial expert" following a determination that Ms. Barth and Messrs. Quinn, Strange and Szews met the criteria for such designation under SEC rules and regulations. For information regarding the business experience for Ms. Barth and Messrs. Quinn, Strange and Szews, please read "Proposal 1 — Election of Directors." The Audit Committee held nine meetings during 2017, and acted by unanimous written consent twice. All nominees who were members of the Audit Committee at such time attended each meeting, except for two directors, who were unable to attend one Audit Committee meeting.

The Report of the Audit Committee is set forth on page 36 of this proxy statement.

Compensation Committee

Pursuant to its charter, the purposes and responsibilities of our Compensation Committee are to:

- review, evaluate, and approve our agreements, plans, policies, and programs to compensate our senior corporate officers;
- review and discuss with our management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (the "CD&A") to be included in our proxy statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders and to determine whether to recommend to our Board that the CD&A be included in the proxy statement, in accordance with applicable rules and regulations;
- produce the Compensation Committee Report for inclusion in the proxy statement, in accordance with applicable rules and regulations;
- otherwise discharge our Board's responsibility relating to compensation of our senior corporate officers; and
- perform such other functions as our Board may assign to the Compensation Committee from time to time.

In connection with these purposes, our Board has entrusted the Compensation Committee with the overall responsibility for establishing, implementing and monitoring the compensation for our senior corporate officers (our executive officers and officers that report directly to our Chief Executive Officer). The Compensation Committee reviews and approves the compensation of our senior corporate officers and makes appropriate adjustments based on Company performance, achievement of predetermined goals and changes in an officer's duties and responsibilities. The Compensation Committee also approves all employment agreements related to the senior corporate officers and approves recommendations regarding equity awards for all employees. Together with management, and any counsel or other advisors deemed appropriate by the Compensation Committee, the Compensation Committee typically reviews and discusses the particular executive compensation matter presented and makes a final determination, with the exception of compensation matters relating to our Chief Executive Officer. In the case of our Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee reviews and discusses the particular compensation matter (together with our management and any counsel or other advisors deemed appropriate) and formulates a recommendation. The Compensation Committee's Chairman then reports the Compensation Committee's recommendation for approval by the full Board or, in certain cases, by the independent directors.

In general, executive compensation matters are presented to the Compensation Committee or raised with the Compensation Committee in one of the following ways: (1) at the request of the Compensation Committee Chairman or another Compensation Committee member or member of our Board, (2) in accordance with the Compensation Committee's agenda, which is reviewed by the Compensation Committee members and other directors on an annual basis, (3) by our Chief Executive Officer or Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Training and Operations Support, or (4) by the Compensation Committee's outside compensation consultant.

The Compensation Committee works with the management team, our Chief Executive Officer and our Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Training and Operations Support, to implement and promote our executive compensation strategy. The most significant aspects of management's involvement in this process are:

- preparing materials in advance of Compensation Committee meetings for review by the Compensation Committee members;
- evaluating executive performance;
- recommending our business goals; and
- recommending the compensation arrangements and components for our executives.

Our Chief Executive Officer is instrumental to this process. Specifically, the Chief Executive Officer assists the Compensation Committee by:

- evaluating senior corporate officer performance (other than his own);
- providing background information regarding our business goals; and
- recommending compensation arrangements and components for our senior corporate officers (other than himself).

In addition, our Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Training and Operations Support is involved in the executive compensation process by:

- providing the necessary compensation information to, and acting as our liaison with, the compensation consultant;
- updating and modifying compensation plan policies, guidelines and materials, as needed; and
- providing recommendations to the Compensation Committee and our Chief Executive Officer regarding compensation structure, awards and plan design changes.

[Back to Contents](#)

Under its charter, the Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate any compensation consultant to be used to assist in the evaluation of the compensation of our senior corporate officers and also has the sole authority to approve the consultant's fees and other retention terms. To the extent permitted by applicable law, the Compensation Committee may delegate some or all of its authority to subcommittees as it deems appropriate.

During 2017, the Compensation Committee engaged Pearl Meyer & Partners, LLC ("PM&P") to conduct a compensation analysis which involved the comparison of long-term, short-term and total compensation of our named executive officers with a selected group of peer companies. We generally compare compensation data at the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles of the market and the Compensation Committee engages PM&P to review the analysis. While we do not think it is appropriate to establish compensation based solely on market analysis, we believe that this practice is useful for two reasons.

First, our compensation practices must be competitive in order to attract and retain executives with the ability and experience necessary to provide leadership and to deliver strong performance to our stockholders. Second, reviewing market analysis allows us to assess the reasonableness of our compensation practices. This process allows us to achieve one of our primary objectives of maintaining competitive compensation to ensure retention when justified and rewarding the achievement of Company objectives so as to align with stockholder interests. PM&P is an independent compensation consulting firm and does not provide any other services to us outside of matters pertaining to executive officer and director compensation. PM&P reports directly to the Compensation Committee, which is the sole party responsible for determining the scope of services performed by PM&P and the directions given to PM&P regarding the performance of such services.

In February 2018, the Compensation Committee considered the independence of PM&P in light of SEC rules and listing standards of the NYSE. The Compensation Committee requested and received a letter from PM&P addressing the consulting firm's independence, including the following factors: (1) other services provided to us by the consultant; (2) fees paid by us as a percentage of the consulting firm's total revenue; (3) policies or procedures maintained by the consulting firm that are designed to prevent a conflict of interest; (4) any business or personal relationships between the individual consultants involved in the engagement and a member of the Compensation Committee; (5) any company stock owned by the individual consultants involved in the engagement; and (6) any business or personal relationships between our executive officers and the consulting firm or the individual consultants involved in the engagement. The letter highlighted three additional factors that supported their independence: (1) PM&P has regular discussions with only the Compensation Committee (or select members of the Compensation Committee) present and where PM&P interacts with management, it is at the Compensation Committee Chair's request and/or with the Chair's knowledge and approval, (2) PM&P has not provided any gifts, benefits or donations to our Company or received any gifts, benefits, or donations from our Company and (3) PM&P is bound by strict confidentiality and information sharing protocols. The Compensation Committee discussed these considerations, among other things, and concluded that the work of PM&P did not raise any conflict of interest.

All members of the Compensation Committee are independent as that term is defined in the NYSE's listing standards, including the heightened standards applicable to compensation committee members. The Compensation Committee held six meetings during 2017 and acted by unanimous written consent twice. All individuals who were members of the Compensation Committee at such time attended each meeting of the Compensation Committee, except for one

director who was unable to attend one Compensation Committee meeting.

The Report of the Compensation Committee is set forth on page 50 of this proxy statement.

Nominating/Governance Committee

Pursuant to its charter, the purposes and responsibilities of our Nominating/Governance Committee are to:

- assist our Board by identifying individuals qualified to become members of our Board and recommend director nominees to our Board for election at the Annual Meetings of stockholders or for appointment to fill vacancies;
- recommend to our Board the appropriate composition of our Board and its committees and Board committee membership and leadership;
- advise our Board about and recommend to our Board appropriate corporate governance guidelines and practices and assist our Board in implementing those guidelines and practices;
- lead our Board in its annual review of the performance of our Board and its committees;
- direct all matters relating to the succession of our Chief Executive Officer and other key officers of the Company; and
- perform such other functions as our Board may assign to the Nominating/Governance Committee from time to time.

In connection with these purposes, the Nominating/Governance Committee actively seeks individuals qualified to become members of our Board, seeks to implement the independence standards required by law, applicable listing standards, our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (“Certificate of Incorporation”), our Third Amended and Restated Bylaws (“Bylaws”) and our Corporate Governance Guidelines, and identifies the qualities and characteristics necessary for an effective Chief Executive Officer.

In considering candidates for our Board, the Nominating/Governance Committee will consider the entirety of each candidate’s credentials. There is currently no set of specific minimum qualifications that must be met by a nominee recommended by the Nominating/Governance Committee, as different factors may assume greater or lesser significance at particular times and the needs of our Board may vary in light of its composition and the Nominating/Governance Committee’s perceptions about future issues and needs. However, while the Nominating/Governance Committee does not maintain a formal list of qualifications, in

[Back to Contents](#)

making its evaluation and recommendation of candidates, the Nominating/Governance Committee may consider, among other factors, diversity, age, skill, experience in the context of the needs of our Board, independence qualifications, moral character and whether prospective nominees have relevant business and financial experience or have industry or other specialized expertise.

The Nominating/Governance Committee may consider candidates for our Board from any reasonable source, including from a search firm engaged by the Nominating/Governance Committee or stockholder recommendations, provided that the procedures set forth below are followed. The Nominating/Governance Committee does not intend to alter the manner in which it evaluates candidates based on whether the candidate is recommended by a stockholder or not. However, in evaluating a candidate's relevant business experience, the Nominating/Governance Committee may consider previous experience as a member of our Board. Any invitation to join our Board must be extended by our Board as a whole, by the Chairman of the Nominating/Governance Committee and by the Chairman of the Board.

Stockholders or a group of stockholders may recommend potential candidates for consideration by the Nominating/Governance Committee. For additional information on such requests and the applicable timing, please see "Stockholder Proposals for 2019 Annual Meeting."

In addition to the purposes described above, our Board has entrusted the Nominating/Governance Committee with the responsibility for establishing, implementing and monitoring the compensation for our directors. The Nominating/Governance Committee establishes, reviews and approves the compensation of our directors and makes appropriate adjustments based on Company performance, duties and responsibilities of the directors and competitive environment. The Nominating/Governance Committee's primary objectives in establishing and implementing director compensation are to:

- ensure the ability to attract, motivate and retain the talent necessary to provide qualified Board leadership; and
- use the appropriate mix of long-term and short-term compensation to ensure high Board/committee performance.

All members of the Nominating/Governance Committee are independent as defined under the NYSE's listing standards. The Nominating/Governance Committee held four meetings during 2017, with all nominees who were members at such time attending each meeting.

Finance/Risk Management Committee

Pursuant to its charter, the purposes of our Finance/Risk Management Committee are to:

review, oversee and report to our Board regarding our financial status and capital structure, debt and equity financings, cash management and other banking activities, compliance with covenants of material debt instruments, investor/stockholder relations, relationships with various financial constituents and securities repurchase activities, and authorize transactions related thereto within limits prescribed by our Board;

• review return on investment for our stockholders through dividend and stock repurchase programs;
• review and assess risk exposure, including cybersecurity, and insurance related to our operations and authorize transactions within limits prescribed by our Board; and
• review capital expenditures and other capital spending plans, including significant acquisitions and dispositions of business or assets, and authorize transactions within limits prescribed by our Board.

In connection with these purposes, the Finance/Risk Management Committee reviews periodically our financial status and capital structure and can authorize finance-related activities within limits prescribed by our Board. The Finance/Risk Management Committee reviews with management the status of current litigation matters and regularly reports to our Board on litigation and contingent liabilities. The Finance/Risk Management Committee also consults with management on matters that could have a significant financial impact on our Company and reviews our financial policies and procedures, our compliance with material debt instruments and our significant banking relationships. In addition, the Finance/Risk Management Committee reviews and assesses periodically the risk exposure of our operations, including cybersecurity, and plans and strategies for insurance programs, and authorizes risk management-related activities within limits prescribed by our Board. The Finance/Risk Management Committee also provides direction for the assessment of future capital spending and acquisition opportunities and reviews capital expenditure plans, including significant acquisitions and dispositions of businesses and assets and other specific capital projects.

At the request of the Finance/Risk Management Committee, management developed and presented to the Board a robust Enterprise Risk Management Program, concentrating primarily in five principal areas that are significant to our business: (1) safety and risk; (2) strategic planning and operational risk; (3) financial and accounting risk; (4) technology and cybersecurity risk; and (5) governance, regulatory and legislative risk. Risk profiles are updated annually to ensure that all risks continue to be identified. Management updates the Finance/Risk Management Committee as new risks are identified, and the steps taken to mitigate such risks. On an annual basis, management reviews results from tests of key risks with the full Board and the steps taken to mitigate new risks which have been identified.

All members of the Finance/Risk Management Committee, except for Mr. Hesterberg, our President and Chief Executive Officer and Mr. Pereira, our Regional Vice President, Brazil, are independent as defined under the NYSE's listing standards. The Finance/Risk Management Committee held four meetings during 2017, and all members were in attendance.

[Back to Contents](#)

Communications with Directors

Our Board welcomes communications from our stockholders and other interested parties. Stockholders and any other interested parties may send communications to our Board, to any committee of our Board, to the independent Chairman of the Board (who presides over the executive sessions of our independent and non-management directors), or to any director in particular, to:

c/o Group 1 Automotive, Inc.

800 Gessner, Suite 500
Houston, Texas 77024
Attn: Chairman of the Board

Any appropriate correspondence addressed to our Board, to any committee of our Board, to the independent Chairman of the Board, or to any one of the directors in care of our offices will be forwarded to the addressee or addressees.

Investor Outreach

Each year, management interfaces with prospective investors, existing shareholders, and buy-side and sell-side investment research analysts in a variety of event formats who have requested corporate access, to discuss the Company's publicly-disclosed performance and corporate governance. These events include earnings teleconferences; investor calls, meetings, and conference events; non-deal road trips; and, occasionally site visits.

This interaction ensures that management and the Board understand and consider the views of our stockholders, perception of the investment community, and industry and economic outlook from the Company's Wall Street covering analysts, while enabling the Company to dynamically operate in an evolving industry and economy with respect to maximizing return for our shareholders.

[Back to Contents](#)**Proposal 1 Election of Directors**

Our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws currently provide for annual elections of directors.

Our Board of Directors has nominated nine directors for election at this Annual Meeting to hold office until the next annual meeting and the election of their successors. All of the nominees are currently directors. All of the nominees were elected directors by a vote of the stockholders at the last annual meeting of stockholders which was held on May 12, 2017. Each nominee agreed to be named in this Proxy Statement and to serve if elected. All of the nominees are expected to attend the 2018 Annual Meeting. All directors, except for Mr. Arnold who did not stand for re-election, attended the 2017 Annual Meeting.

The following table sets forth certain information, as of the date of this proxy statement, regarding our director nominees.

Director	Position and Offices with Group 1	Director Since	Age
John L. Adams	Director	1999	73
Carin M. Barth	Director	2017	55
Earl J. Hesterberg	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer	2005	64
Lincoln Pereira	Director, Regional Vice President, Brazil	2013	58
Stephen D. Quinn	Director, Chairman of the Board	2002	62
J. Terry Strange	Director	2003	74
Charles L. Szews	Director	2016	61
Max P. Watson, Jr.	Director	2001	72
MaryAnn Wright	Director	2014	56

We have no reason to believe that any of the nominees will be unable or unwilling for good cause to serve if elected. However, if any nominee should become unable for any reason or unwilling for good cause to serve, proxies may be voted for another person nominated as a substitute by the Board, or the Board may reduce the number of directors.

The number of directors on our Board is reviewed annually and fixed by our Board from time to time. We currently have nine directors serving on our Board. The Board will continue to evaluate the size of the Board and make adjustments as needed to meet the current and future needs of the Company.

Stockholders may not cumulate their votes in the election of our directors. Under Delaware law and our Bylaws, a majority of votes cast by stockholders entitled to vote in the election of directors, is required for the election of directors. This means that director nominees who receive more “for” votes than “against” will be elected for that position. You may vote “for” or “against” with respect to the election of directors. Only votes “for” or “against” are counted in determining whether a majority has been cast in favor of a director. Abstentions are not counted for purposes of the

election of directors.

Our director resignation policy requires, in an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes “against” his or her election than votes “for” to promptly tender his or her resignation following certification of the election results. The Nominating/Governance Committee will promptly consider the resignation and a range of possible responses based on the circumstances that led stockholders to withhold votes, if known, and make a recommendation to the Board. The Board will act on the committee’s recommendation within 90 days following certification of the results of the election.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT 26

[Back to Contents](#)**Our Board of Directors**

Our Board believes that each of our directors is highly qualified to serve as a member of our Board. Each of our directors has contributed to the mix of skills, core competencies and qualifications of our Board. Our directors are highly educated and have diverse backgrounds and talents and extensive track records of success in what we believe are highly relevant positions with well-regarded organizations. Our Board has also considered the fact that all of our directors have worked for, or served on the boards of directors of, a variety of companies in a wide range of industries. Many of our directors also have served as directors of Group 1 for many years and benefit from an intimate knowledge of our operations and corporate philosophy. Our Board believes that through their varying backgrounds, our directors bring a wealth of experiences and new ideas to our Board.

Described on the following pages are the principal occupations, positions and directorships for at least the past five years of our director nominees, as well as certain information regarding their individual experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led our Board to conclude that they should serve on our Board. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Skills and Qualifications of Our Board of Directors

The following table includes the breadth and variety of business experience that each of our director nominees brings to our Board.

	Board Member								
	John L. Adams	Carin M. Barth	Earl J. Hesterberg	Lincoln Pereira	Stephen D. Quinn	J. Terry Strange	Charles L. Szews	Max P. Watson, Jr.	MaryAnn Wright
Experience/Knowledge:									
# of Other Public Company Boards	1	2	1		1	3	3		2
Currently Serving On President or Former CEO Public Company Executive Position									
Automotive					IB				
Retail					IB				
Engineering/Product Development		S							
Expertise:									
International					IB				
Finance									
Human Resources/Cultural									
Legal									
Mergers & Acquisitions									
Accounting					IB				
P&L/Income Statement Responsibility									
SOX Financial Expert									

Attributes:

Technology
Independent
Diversity

The lack of a for a particular item does not mean that the director does not possess that qualification, characteristic, skill or experience. We look to each director to be knowledgeable in these areas; however, the indicates that the item is a specific qualification, characteristic, skill or experience that the director brings to the Board.

IB – covered industry as Investment Banker

S – some experience

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **27**

[Back to Contents](#)

JOHN L. ADAMS

Age 73

Director Since: 1999

Independent Director

John L. Adams has served as one of our directors since November 1999, and served as non-executive Chairman of the Board from April 2005 through May 2017. Mr. Adams served as Executive Vice President of Trinity Industries, Inc., one of North America's largest manufacturers of transportation, construction and industrial products, from January 1999 through June 2005, and as Vice Chairman from July 2005 through March 2007. Before joining Trinity Industries, Mr. Adams spent 25 years in various positions with Texas Commerce Bank N.A. and its successor, Chase Bank of Texas, National Association. From 1997 to 1998, Mr. Adams was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Chase Bank of Texas. Mr. Adams serves on the Board of Directors, the Corporate Governance and Directors Nominating Committee and is Chairman of the Finance and Risk Management Committee of Trinity Industries, Inc. Mr. Adams is Chairman of the University of Texas, Austin, President's Development Board and serves on the Chancellor's Council Executive Committee. He also serves on the McCombs School of Business Advisory Council. Mr. Adams recently retired from the Board of Directors and Audit Committee of Dr Pepper Snapple Group, Inc., a refreshment beverage business. Mr. Adams received his B.B.A. and J.D. from the University of Texas.

The Board believes Mr. Adam's extensive financial, strategic planning, capital allocation and executive management experience makes him well qualified to serve on our Board. His service on other public company boards has also provided exposure to various approaches to risk management, corporate governance and other key issues. Through his years of service on our Board, he has developed in-depth knowledge of the retail automotive industry generally and our Company in particular. The Board believes his experience and expertise in these matters makes him well qualified to serve as a member of the Board.

CARIN M. BARTH

Age 55

Director Since: 2017

Independent Director

Carin M. Barth has served as one of our directors since February 2017. She is co-founder and President of LB Capital, Inc., a private equity investment firm established in 1988. Since 2015, Ms. Barth has served on the Board of Directors of Enterprise Holdings, LLC, the General Partner of Enterprise Product Partners, L.P., one of the largest publicly traded partnerships and a leading North American provider of midstream energy services to producers and consumers

of natural gas, and Black Stone Minerals, L.P., one of the largest oil and gas mineral and royalty companies in the United States, where she serves as Chair of the Audit Committee. Ms. Barth also serves as Chair of the Investment Advisory Committee for Texas Tech University, as a Trustee of the Welch Foundation, and as a Board member of the Ronald McDonald House in Houston, Texas. From 2008 to 2014, she served as a Commissioner for the Texas Department of Public Safety. Ms. Barth previously served on the Board of Directors of Bill Barrett Corporation where she served on the Compensation Committee and on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee from 2012 through 2016. From 2010 through 2017, she served on the board of directors of Strategic Growth Bancorp Incorporated (a privately held bank holding company located in El Paso, Texas), where she served as Chair of the Audit Committee, and Capital Bank, SSB, an affiliate of Strategic Growth Bancorp. Additional past board service includes Western Refining, Inc. from 2006 through 2016, where she served as Audit Committee Chair, Methodist Hospital Research Institute from 2007 through 2012, Encore Bancshares, Inc. from 2009 through 2012, Amegy Bancorporation, Inc. from 2006 through 2009, Texas Public Finance Authority from 2006 through 2008, and the Texas Tech University System Board of Regents from 1999 through 2005. Ms. Barth was also appointed by President George W. Bush to serve as Chief Financial Officer of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development from 2004 to 2005. Ms. Barth received a B.S. from the University of Alabama and an M.B.A. from Vanderbilt University's Owen Graduate School of Management.

Ms. Barth has extensive experience in a variety of financial matters, including as chief financial officer for several entities. She also has a history of corporate and civic governance which provides additional depth and financial expertise to our Board. Her experience with mergers and acquisitions, in operating a private equity company, her previous and currently held board positions on other publicly traded companies and her audit committee experience are key attributes, among others, that make her well qualified to serve on our Board. Ms. Barth qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert."

[Back to Contents](#)

EARL J. HESTERBERG

Age 64

Director Since: 2005

Earl J. Hesterberg has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer and as a director since April 2005. Prior to joining us, Mr. Hesterberg had served as Group Vice President, North America Marketing, Sales and Service for Ford Motor Company, a global manufacturer and distributor of cars, trucks and automotive parts, since October 2004. From July 1999 to September 2004, he served as Vice President, Marketing, Sales and Service for Ford of Europe, and from 1999 until 2005, he served on the supervisory board of Ford Werke AG. Mr. Hesterberg has also served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Gulf States Toyota, an independent regional distributor of new Toyota vehicles, parts and accessories. He has also held various senior sales, marketing, general management, and parts and service positions with Nissan Motor Corporation in U.S.A. and Nissan Europe, both of which are wholly-owned by Nissan Motor Co., Ltd., a global provider of automotive products and services. Mr. Hesterberg serves on the Board of Directors of Stage Stores, Inc., a national retail clothing chain with over 800 stores located in 42 states where he is a member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and Chairman of the Compensation Committee. He is a past member of the Board of Trustees of Davidson College. Mr. Hesterberg also serves on the Board of Directors of the Greater Houston Partnership, where he serves on the Executive Committee and is Chairman of the Business Issues Committee. Mr. Hesterberg received his B.A. in Psychology at Davidson College and his M.B.A. from Xavier University in 1978.

As our President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Hesterberg sets the strategic direction of our Company under the guidance of our Board. He has extensive senior executive management experience in the automotive industry. His successful leadership of our Company, and extensive knowledge of the automotive industry provides our Board with a unique perspective on the opportunities and challenges we face, and makes him well-qualified to serve on the Board.

LINCOLN PEREIRA

Age 58

Director Since: 2013

Lincoln Pereira has served as one of our directors since February 2013. Mr. Pereira has served as our Regional Vice President, Brazil since March 2013 and has served as chairman of our subsidiary, UAB Motors Participações Ltda. (which we acquired in February 2013), since 2007. From 1999 to 2005, Mr. Pereira served as a legal representative of United Auto do Brasil Ltda, a public auto group operating in São Paulo and controlled by United Auto Group. From 1995 through 2005, Mr. Pereira practiced law with Cunha Pereira Advogados, representing professional athletes and international race car drivers. He was also co-founder and a major stockholder in Cunha Pereira Negócios Imobiliários, a local Brazilian real estate company, and in 1999, he founded Atrium Telecomunicações Ltda, a

provider of local exchange telecommunication services. Atrium was sold to Telefonica of Spain in December 2004, and Mr. Pereira founded E-Vertical Tecnologia, a leading provider of high tech facilities management services to commercial properties. From 1978 through 1995, Mr. Pereira held numerous positions with various banks, both in Brazil and abroad. Mr. Pereira serves on the Board of Boa Vista Servicos S.A.-SCPC, the second largest credit bureau in Brazil, is Vice Chairman of the Board of the São Paulo Chamber of Commerce (ACSP), serves as a member of the Board of the Associação Brasileira dos Concessionários Mercedes-Benz, and serves as a Director of the Associação Brasileira dos Concessionários BMW and Associação Brasileira do Distribuidores Toyota. He is also a Chapter Sponsorship Officer of YPO-WPO São Paulo, a not-for-profit, global network of young chief executives connected around the shared mission of becoming Better Leaders Through Education and Idea Exchange.TM Mr. Pereira received his LL.B. from Faculdade de Direito do Largo de São Francisco.

Mr. Pereira has extensive automotive retailing and manufacturer relations experience, as well as legal, finance, business and management expertise. He also has a deep understanding of the Brazilian finance, trade and legal sectors. Mr. Pereira's experience and expertise in the automotive industry make him well qualified to serve as a member of the Board.

[Back to Contents](#)

STEPHEN D. QUINN

Age 62

Director Since: 2002

Independent Director

Stephen D. Quinn has served as our independent Chairman of the Board since May 2017, and as one of our directors since May 2002. Mr. Quinn joined Goldman, Sachs & Co., a full-service global investment banking and securities firm, in August 1981 where he specialized in corporate finance. From 1990 until his retirement in 2001, Mr. Quinn served as a General Partner and Managing Director of Goldman, Sachs. Mr. Quinn serves on the Audit Committee, the Nominating/Governance Committee, and as Lead Director, of Zions Bancorporation, a large publicly-traded bank holding company. Mr. Quinn holds degrees from Brigham Young University and Harvard University Graduate School of Business.

Mr. Quinn was selected to serve as a director on our Board due to his valuable financial expertise and extensive experience with capital markets transactions. His judgment in assessing business strategies and the accompanying risks is an invaluable resource for our business model. Mr. Quinn also has significant historical knowledge of our Company as a result of his role at Goldman Sachs, an underwriter for our initial public offering. The Board believes his experience and expertise in these matters make him well qualified to serve as a member of the Board. Mr. Quinn qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert.”

J. TERRY STRANGE

Age 74

Director Since: 2003

Independent Director

J. Terry Strange has served as one of our directors since October 2003. In 2002, Mr. Strange retired from KPMG, LLP, an independent accounting firm, where he served from 1996 to 2002 as Vice Chairman, Managing Partner of U.S. Audit Practice and head of KPMG’s internal risk management program. He served as Global Managing Partner of Audit Business and a member of KPMG’s International Executive Committee from 1998 to 2002. During his 34-year career at KPMG, his work included interaction with the Financial Accounting Standards Board and the SEC, testifying before both bodies on issues impacting the auditing profession and SEC registrants. Mr. Strange serves on the Board of Directors and as chair of the Audit Committee of New Jersey Resources Corporation, a retail and wholesale energy service provider. He also serves on the Board of Directors of Newfield Exploration Company, an oil and gas exploration and production company, where he serves on the Audit Committee and as Chairman of the

Compensation and Management Development Committee, and previously served as Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee. In addition, Mr. Strange serves as Chairman of the Board, Chairman of the Audit and Compliance Committee, and on the Risk Committee, of BBVA Compass, a banking institution. Mr. Strange received his B.A. and M.B.A. in Accounting from the University of North Texas.

Mr. Strange was selected to serve on our Board due to his extensive background in public accounting, auditing, and risk management. His previous and current board positions on other publicly-traded companies have provided extensive years of audit committee experience, including as chair. His knowledge and experience with accounting practices, policies and rulemaking from his 34-year career at KPMG LLP, is especially important in his role as Chairman of the Audit Committee. The Board believes his experience and expertise in these matters make him well qualified to serve as a member of the Board. Mr. Strange qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert.”

[Back to Contents](#)

CHARLES L. SZEWS

Age 61

Director Since: 2016

Independent Director

Charles L. Szews has served as one of our directors since November 2016. In January 2016, Mr. Szews retired from Oshkosh Corporation, a leading global manufacturer of specialty vehicles and vehicle bodies serving access equipment, defense, fire and emergency, and commercial markets. He joined Oshkosh in 1996 as Vice President and CFO, was appointed Executive Vice President in October 1997, and President and Chief Operating Officer in October 2007. Mr. Szews was appointed Chief Executive Officer in January 2011. Prior to joining Oshkosh, he began his career with Ernst & Young, and was Vice President and Controller at Fort Howard Corporation during its leveraged buyout. From November 2006 through July 2013, Mr. Szews served as a director of Gardner Denver, Inc., a worldwide provider of industrial equipment technologies and related parts and services, where he also served as Chairman of the Audit Committee and on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Since 2014, Mr. Szews has served as a director and on the Audit and Finance Committees for Commercial Metals Company, an operator of mini-steel mills located in the Southern United States and Poland. In August 2016, he was appointed to the board of directors of Rowan Companies plc, a global provider of contract drilling services, where he also serves on the Audit and Health, Safety and Environment Committees. In April 2018, Mr. Szews was appointed to the board of directors of Allegion plc, a provider of security products and solutions for homes, businesses, schools and other institutions, where he also serves on the Compensation and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committees. Mr. Szews holds a degree in Business Administration from the University of Wisconsin – Eau Claire.

Mr. Szews was selected to serve on our Board due to his extensive operational and financial experience and his background in public accounting, auditing and risk management. His previous and current board positions on other publicly-traded companies have provided many years of audit committee experience, including as chair. Mr. Szews' extensive financial and audit experience in a variety of senior management positions, combined with his global operational experience in vehicle manufacturing and distribution, have provided him with a wealth of knowledge in dealing with complex strategic, financial and accounting matters. Mr. Szews qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert."

MAX P. WATSON, JR.

Age 72

Director Since: 2001

Independent Director

Max P. Watson, Jr. has served as one of our directors since May 2001. Mr. Watson served as President and Chief Executive Officer of BMC Software, Inc., a provider of enterprise management solutions, from April 1990 to January 2001. He served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of BMC from January 1992 until his retirement in April 2001. Mr. Watson serves on the Board of Trustees of Texas Children's Hospital and as Chairman of the Quality and Safety Committee. From January 2007 through December 2008, Mr. Watson served as Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Texas Children's Hospital. He also serves on the Board of Directors of Scenic Houston, an organization dedicated to preserving and enhancing the visual character of Houston. Mr. Watson holds a Bachelor's in Business Administration from Louisiana Tech University.

Mr. Watson's extensive business and management expertise from his position with a large global publicly-traded company makes him well qualified to serve as a member of our Board. As a former chairman, president and chief executive officer, Mr. Watson is familiar with many of the business issues we face today, including financial and strategic planning, technology, compensation, management development, international acquisitions, capital allocation, and stockholder relations.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **31**

[Back to Contents](#)

MARYANN WRIGHT

Age 56

Director Since: 2014

Independent Director

MaryAnn Wright has served as one of our directors since August 2014. Ms. Wright owns TechGoddess LLC, a technical consulting firm serving global Tier 1 automotive suppliers. From 2007-2017, she worked for Johnson Controls Power Solutions, the global leader in automotive lead-acid and advanced batteries, serving as Group Vice President of Engineering & Product Development from 2013-2017, and Vice President of Technology and Innovation from 2009 to 2013. She served as Vice President and General Manager for Johnson Controls Hybrid Systems business and as CEO of Johnson Controls-Saft from 2007-2009. Prior to joining Johnson Controls, Ms. Wright served as Executive Vice President Engineering, Product Development, Commercial and Program Management for Collins & Aikman Corporation. From 1988-2005, Ms. Wright served as Director, Sustainable Mobility Technologies and Hybrid Vehicle Programs at Ford Motor Company, and was the Chief Engineer of the 2005 Ford Escape Hybrid, the industry's first full hybrid SUV and also led the launch of Ford's first hydrogen-powered fuel cell fleet program. Ms. Wright serves on the Board of Directors and the Nominating and Governance Committee of Maxim Integrated Products, Inc., a developer of innovative analog and mixed-signal products and technologies. She also serves as a Director of Delphi Technologies, a leading provider of advanced vehicle propulsion solutions, where she serves on the Compensation and Human Resources Committee. Ms. Wright is also active in the community where she serves as a Trustee at Lawrence Technological University, and as Board Chair of the Friends for Animals of Metro Detroit. She previously served on the Board of Governors at Argonne National Laboratory, and the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee. Ms. Wright received a B.A. in Economics and International Business and a Master of Science in Engineering from the University of Michigan and an M.B.A. from Wayne State University.

Ms. Wright was selected to serve on our Board because of her extensive experience and her knowledge of the automotive industry, having been named one of the "Leading 100 Women in the Automotive Industry" by Automotive News. Her unique business, manufacturing, engineering and technology background and her extensive global automotive experience make her well qualified to serve as a member of the Board.

Our Board of Directors Recommends a Vote "**FOR**" the Election of each of the Nominees for Director.

[Back to Contents](#)

Proposal 2 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

Pursuant to Section 14A of the Exchange Act, our stockholders are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement. As an advisory vote, Proposal 2 is not binding on our Board or the Compensation Committee, will not overrule any previous decisions made by our Board or the Compensation Committee, or require our Board or the Compensation Committee to take any future or remedial action. Although the vote is non-binding, the Compensation Committee will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation decisions.

Our Board recognizes that executive compensation is an important matter for our stockholders. As described in detail in the CD&A section of this proxy statement, the Compensation Committee is tasked with the implementation of our executive compensation philosophy. The core of that philosophy has been and continues to be to pay our executive officers compensation that is competitive with amounts paid by our peer companies based on individual and Company performance. In particular, the Compensation Committee strives to attract, retain and motivate talented executives, to reward past performance measured against established goals and provide incentives for future performance, and to align executives' long-term interests with the interests of our stockholders. To do so, the Compensation Committee uses a combination of short- and long-term incentive compensation to reward near-term performance and to encourage our executives' commitment to our long-range, strategic business goals. It is always the intention of the Compensation Committee that our executive officers be compensated competitively and in a manner that is consistent with our strategy, sound corporate governance principles, and stockholder interests and concerns. Our Board believes that our compensation policies and practices are effective in achieving our Company's goals of rewarding sustained financial and operating performance, leadership excellence and aligning the executives' long-term interests with those of our stockholders.

We believe that it is appropriate to seek the views of our stockholders on the design and effectiveness of our executive compensation program, and we value your opinion. Based on the stockholder vote on the frequency of an advisory vote on executive compensation that took place at our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our Board determined to continue holding the vote on executive compensation annually until the next stockholder vote on the frequency of such advisory vote.

At our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, 96% of the shares voted on the say-on-pay vote were in favor of the compensation paid to our named executive officers. The Compensation Committee believes this vote strongly endorses the compensation philosophy, policies and practices of the Company and, therefore, it did not make any significant changes in the structure of our executive compensation program as a result of this say-on-pay vote.

As described in the CD&A, we believe our compensation program is effective, appropriate and strongly aligned with the long-term interests of our stockholders and that the total compensation package provided to our named executive officers (including potential payouts upon a termination or change of control) is consistent with market practice. We also believe our executive compensation is reasonable and not excessive. In fact, as a result of continuing challenging economic conditions in our Oklahoma and Texas markets, management received no increases to base compensation in 2016 or 2017 and, salaries for 2016 and 2017 remained at the 2015 levels. In November, 2017, the Committee elected

to increase the base salaries for our named executive officers to become effective January 1, 2018, following record sales and record adjusted diluted earnings per share in 2017.

As you consider this Proposal 2, we urge you to read the CD&A section of this proxy statement for additional details on executive compensation, including the more detailed information about our compensation philosophy and objectives and the past compensation of our named executive officers, and to review the tabular disclosures regarding our named executive officers' compensation together with the accompanying narrative disclosures in the "Executive Compensation" section of this proxy statement.

In light of these reasons, we are recommending that our stockholders vote "**FOR**" the following resolution:

"RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to our Company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby Approved."

Our Board of Directors Recommends a Vote "**FOR**" the Non-Binding Advisory Approval of our Executive Compensation.

[Back to Contents](#)**Proposal 3 Ratification of the Appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as Our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

The Audit Committee has appointed Ernst & Young, LLP (“Ernst & Young”) as the independent registered public accounting firm of Group 1 for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018. We have been advised by Ernst & Young that the firm has no relationship with Group 1 or its subsidiaries other than that arising from the firm’s engagement as auditors, tax advisors and consultants. Representatives of Ernst & Young will be present at the Annual Meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement and respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

Audit and Other Fees

Set forth below is a summary of certain fees accrued by Ernst & Young, which has served as our independent registered public accounting firm since 2002, for services related to the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 and 2017. In determining the independence of Ernst & Young, the Audit Committee considered whether the provision of non-audit services is compatible with maintaining Ernst & Young’s independence.

Type of Fees	2017	2016
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2,456,000	\$ 2,116,000
Audit Related Fees ⁽²⁾	—	—
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	162,000	198,000
All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾	2,200	2,200
TOTAL	\$ 2,620,200	\$ 2,316,200

Audit fees consisted of amounts accrued for services performed in association with the annual financial statement audit (including required quarterly reviews) for 2016 and 2017, and other procedures required to be performed by the independent registered public accounting firm to be able to form an opinion on our consolidated financial statements, as well as specific procedures performed by Ernst & Young in connection with their review of our internal control structure in accordance with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.

- (1) Other procedures included consultations relating to the audit or quarterly reviews. Also included in audit fees are amounts accrued for assurance and related services that are related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements or that are traditionally performed by the independent registered public accounting firm, consisting primarily of statutory audits, services performed in connection with SEC registration statements, periodic reports and other documents filed with the SEC or other documents issued in connection with securities. Audit fees exclude reimbursed expenses of \$31,872 and \$31,700 for 2016 and 2017, respectively, to Ernst & Young in conjunction with their services.
- (2) There were no audit related fees in 2016 or 2017.
- Tax fees consisted of amounts billed in 2016 and 2017 for tax preparation and compliance services, as well as tax
- (3) advisory fees billed related to the restructuring of our UK entities that began in 2015 with final billings occurring in 2016, and the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017.
- (4) Other fees consisted of amounts accrued in 2016 and 2017 for subscriptions to Ernst & Young’s online accounting and financial reporting research tool.

Back to Contents

The Audit Committee considers whether the provision of these services is compatible with maintaining Ernst & Young's independence, and has determined such services for fiscal 2016 and 2017 were compatible. All of the services described above were pre-approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to paragraph (c) (7)(ii)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X under the Exchange Act, to the extent that rule was applicable during fiscal 2016 and 2017.

The Audit Committee has established a policy requiring pre-approval by the Audit Committee of all services (audit and non-audit) to be provided to us by our independent registered public accounting firm. In accordance with this policy, the Audit Committee has given its annual approval for the provision of audit services by Ernst & Young, and has also given its approval for up to a year in advance for the provision by Ernst & Young of particular categories or types of audit-related, tax and permitted non-audit services, in each case subject to a specific budget.

Any proposed services to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm not covered by one of these approvals, including proposed services exceeding pre-approved budget levels, requires special pre-approval by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee does not delegate its responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm to management. All of the services listed on the preceding page were pre-approved pursuant to this policy.

The ratification of our Audit Committee's appointment of Ernst & Young as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018 requires our receiving the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the proposal. Although ratification is not required by our bylaws or otherwise, as a matter of good corporate governance, we are asking our stockholders to approve the selection of Ernst & Young as our independent registered public accounting firm. If the selection is not ratified, the Audit Committee will consider whether it is appropriate to select another independent registered public accounting firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in our best interest and the best interest of our stockholders.

Our Board of Directors recommends a vote **"FOR"** Ratification of the Appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the Fiscal Year Ending December 31, 2018.

[Back to Contents](#)

Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors to assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to our accounting policies, reporting policies, internal controls, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, and the integrity of Group 1's financial reports. The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of its Nominating/Governance Committee, has determined that each member of the Audit Committee has the requisite independence and other qualifications for audit committee membership under New York Stock Exchange corporate governance listing standards, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Audit Committee Charter and the Group 1 Automotive, Inc. Corporate Governance Guidelines.

The Audit Committee acts under a written charter adopted and approved by the Board of Directors. The Audit Committee reviews and reassesses the adequacy of the charter on an annual basis. Based on the recommendation of the Audit Committee, the Board approved the Audit Committee charter at a regularly scheduled meeting in February 2018. The Audit Committee charter is posted on our website, www.group1auto.com, and you may obtain a printed copy of the Audit Committee charter by sending a written request to Group 1 Automotive, Inc., 800 Gessner, Suite 500, Houston, TX 77024, Attn: Corporate Secretary.

The Audit Committee assists the Board's oversight and monitoring of the Company's system of internal controls, including the internal audit function. The Audit Committee discussed with our internal auditors the overall scope and plans for the 2017 audit. At each Audit Committee meeting, the Audit Committee is provided the opportunity to meet with the internal auditor with, and without, management present. During 2017, management made updates to its internal control documentation for changes in internal control and completed its testing and evaluation of the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting in response to the requirements set forth in Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 and related regulations. The Audit Committee has kept apprised of the progress of the evaluation and provided oversight and advice to management during the process. In connection with this oversight, the Audit Committee received updates provided by management and the independent auditor at each regularly scheduled Audit Committee meeting and met in executive session separately with the internal and the independent auditor to discuss the results of their examinations, observations and recommendations regarding internal control over financial reporting.

The independent registered public accounting firm is accountable to the Audit Committee, and the Audit Committee has the ultimate authority and responsibility to select, evaluate and, where appropriate, replace the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee engages in an annual evaluation of the independent public accounting firm's qualifications, assessing the firm's quality of service, the firm's sufficiency of resources, the quality of the communication and interaction with the firm, and the firm's independence. The Audit Committee makes its selection based on the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. The Audit Committee participates in the selection of the lead Audit Partner (the "Lead Partner") of the independent registered public accounting firm through its review of the Lead Partner's professional qualifications, experience, and prior performance on the Company's audit (if any); through in-person meetings with the Lead Partner, and through discussion between the Audit Committee and management regarding the selection of the Lead Partner.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management and Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, our audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017. The Audit Committee has also discussed with Ernst & Young LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standard No. 1301 “Communications with Audit Committees,” issued by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

Ernst & Young LLP submitted to the Audit Committee the written disclosures and the letter required by Rule 3526 of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, *Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence*. The Audit Committee discussed with Ernst & Young LLP such firm’s independence. The Audit Committee has also considered whether the provision of non-audit services to our Company by Ernst & Young LLP is compatible with maintaining their independence.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements referred to above be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, for filing with the SEC.

Respectfully submitted by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Group 1,

J. Terry Strange (Chairman)

John L. Adams

Carin M. Barth

Stephen D. Quinn

Charles L. Szews

MaryAnn Wright

[Back to Contents](#)**Executive Officers**

Except as described under the heading “Executive Compensation — Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table”, our executive officers serve at the discretion of our Board. The following table sets forth certain information as of the date of this proxy statement regarding our executive officers:

Name	Age	Position
Earl J. Hesterberg	64	President and Chief Executive Officer
Daryl A. Kenningham	53	President, U.S. Operations
John C. Rickel	56	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Frank Grese, Jr.	66	Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Training and Operations Support
Peter C. DeLongchamps	57	Senior Vice President, Manufacturer Relations, Financial Services & Public Affairs

Mr. Hesterberg’s biographical information may be found on page 29 of this proxy statement.

DARYL A. KENNINGHAM

Daryl A. Kenningham has served as President, U.S. Operations since May 2017. Previously, he served as Regional Vice President of the West Region from February 2016 through April 2017 and as Regional Vice President of the East Region from April 2011 through January 2016. Prior to joining Group 1, he served as the Chief Operating Officer of Ascent Automotive in Houston and previously held a variety of sales, marketing, finance and automotive-logistics positions with Gulf States Toyota. He also held various sales, marketing and vehicle distribution positions in the United States and Japan with Nissan Motor Corporation, where he began his career in 1988. Mr. Kenningham earned his Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of Michigan and his Master of Business Administration from the University of Florida.

JOHN C. RICKEL

John C. Rickel was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in December 2005. From 1984 until joining Group 1, Mr. Rickel held a number of executive and managerial positions of increasing responsibility with Ford Motor Company, a global manufacturer and distributor of cars, trucks and automotive parts. He most recently served as Controller, Ford Americas, where he was responsible for the financial management of Ford’s western hemisphere automotive operations. Immediately prior to that, he was Chief Financial Officer of Ford Europe, where

he oversaw all accounting, financial planning, information services, tax and investor relations activities. From 2002 to 2004, Mr. Rickel was Chairman of the Board of Directors of Ford Russia, and a member of the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee of Ford Otosan, a publicly traded automotive company located in Turkey and owned 41% by Ford. Mr. Rickel received his B.S.B.A. and M.B.A. from The Ohio State University.

FRANK GRESE, JR.

Frank Grese, Jr. was appointed Senior Vice President of Human Resources, Training and Operations Support effective February 1, 2016. Prior to that appointment, Mr. Grese served as Regional Vice President of the West Region from January 2006 to January 2016, and served as the Platform President of Group 1 Atlanta from December 2004 to December 2005. Mr. Grese began his automotive career in the Ford Management Training Program in 1974 where he progressed through various assignments in district offices as well as Ford headquarters in Detroit. He joined Nissan in 1982 where he ultimately held the position of National Dealer Advertising Manager. In 1986, Mr. Grese left the manufacturer side of the business and began working in various executive positions, including chief operating officer and district president, with large public and private dealer groups. He last served as Director of Dealership Operations, working extensively with underperforming stores, for a large private dealer group. Mr. Grese currently serves on the Board of Directors of the American Heart Association, Houston Division. Mr. Grese graduated from the University of Georgia with a degree in journalism.

[Back to Contents](#)

PETER C. DELONGCHAMPS

Peter C. DeLongchamps has served as Group 1's Senior Vice President, Manufacturer Relations, Financial Services and Public Affairs since January 2018. He previously served as Group 1's Vice President, Manufacturer Relations, Financial Services and Public Affairs from January 2012 through December 2017, and as Vice President, Manufacturer Relations and Public Affairs from January 2006 through December 2011. Mr. DeLongchamps served as Vice President, Manufacturer Relations from July 2004 through December 2005. Mr. DeLongchamps began his automotive retailing career in 1980, having served as District Manager for General Motors Corporation and Regional Operations Manager for BMW of North America, as well as various other management positions in the automotive industry. Immediately prior to joining Group 1 in 2004, he was President of Advantage BMW, a Houston-based automotive retailer. Mr. DeLongchamps also serves on the Board of Directors of Junior Achievement of Southeast Texas. Mr. DeLongchamps received his B.B.A. from Baylor University.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **38**

[Back to Contents](#)

2017 Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis provides a detailed description of our executive compensation philosophy and programs, the compensation decisions the Compensation Committee has made under those programs and the factors considered in making those decisions. As discussed in greater detail below, our compensation plans are designed to reward our named executive officers for the achievement of these results for our Company and our stockholders. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis focuses on the compensation of our named executive officers as of December 31, 2017, who were:

Earl J. Hesterberg — President and Chief Executive Officer;
Daryl A. Kenningham — President, U.S. Operations (beginning May 1, 2017);
John C. Rickel — Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer;
Frank Grese, Jr. — Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Training and Operations Support; and
Peter C. DeLongchamps — Vice President, Manufacturer Relations, Financial Services and Public Affairs.

Business and Financial Highlights

Group 1 operates in three regions - the U.S., the U.K. and Brazil. In 2017, Group 1 continued to deliver record setting financial results and increased operational effectiveness. Our 2017 results compared to 2016 included:

U.K. revenues of \$2.0 billion, an increase of 15.3%;
Achieved all-time U.S. same store Finance and Insurance (“F&I”) performance record of \$1,640 per retail unit
Increased U.S. new vehicle gross profit per unit by \$60, or 3.2%, to \$1,921;
5.3% U.S. same store parts and service revenue growth;
Total record sales of 302,133 new and used retail vehicles;
Adjusted SG&A as a % of gross profit of 73.7% and U.S. adjusted SG&A as a % of gross profit of 71.1%;
Repurchased approximately 650,000 shares of common stock, or roughly 3% of our outstanding shares;
Issued dividends of \$0.97 per share; and
Acquired 20 franchises with estimated annual revenues of \$490.0 million.

See “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, filed February 20, 2018 with the SEC, for a reconciliation of the non-GAAP measures to the comparable GAAP measures.

[Back to Contents](#)

Compensation and Corporate Governance

The Committee continuously reviews best practices in executive compensation and has made several adjustments to elements of our compensation programs over the past several years to further align our executive compensation structure with our stockholders' interests and current governance practices, including:

COMPENSATION AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE HIGHLIGHTS

Independent Chairman of the Board	Clawback Provisions for Certain Restatements
No Excise Tax Gross-Ups	Average Board Attendance of 98% during 2017
Say on Pay Advisory Vote Conducted Annually	No Stockholder Rights Plan (Poison Pill)
Annual Board and Committee Self-Evaluations	Annual Election of our Board of Directors
Annual Review for Board and Committee Refreshment	Independent Compensation Consultant
Robust Stock Ownership Guidelines for our Officers and Directors	Company Policy Prohibits Directors and Employees from Pledging or Hedging Group 1 Common Stock
Director Resignation Policy for Directors who do not receive a Majority Vote in an uncontested Director Election	

Role of the Compensation Committee, its Consultant and Management

Our Board has entrusted the Compensation Committee (the "Committee") with overall responsibility for establishing, implementing and monitoring our executive compensation program. Our Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President of Human Resources, Training and Operations Support, also play a role in the implementation of the executive compensation process, by overseeing the performance and dynamics of the executive team and generally keeping the Committee informed. All final decisions regarding our named executive officers' compensation remain with the Committee, except in the case of our Chief Executive Officer where the independent members of the Board make all decisions with the benefit of recommendations from the Committee.

The Committee has historically engaged Pearl Meyer & Partners, LLC ("PM&P"), an executive compensation firm, to serve as its compensation consultant and to advise on executive compensation matters. In 2017, PM&P was engaged to conduct a competitive compensation analysis for the named executive officers. During that time, PM&P reviewed compensation data for our peer companies in comparison to our current compensation practices and made recommendations to the Committee. The Committee retains PM&P directly, although in carrying out assignments

PM&P may interact with our management when necessary and appropriate. PM&P does not provide any services to our Company other than its consulting services to the Committee, and the Committee determined that no conflict of interest exists between PM&P and our Company. Please see “Information About our Board of Directors and its Committees — Compensation Committee” for additional information on the role of the Committee, its consultant and management in setting executive compensation.

Objectives of Our Executive Compensation Program

COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY

The Committee believes that the most effective executive compensation program is one designed to recruit, retain and motivate capable leadership and reward those individuals upon the achievement of their personal and departmental objectives, as well as upon our Company’s achievement of specific annual, long-term and strategic goals. The Committee evaluates both market competitiveness, as well as individual and Company performance, to ensure that we maintain our ability to attract, retain and motivate talented employees in key positions. By maintaining competitive compensation and rewarding for performance, the Committee strives to support our overall business objectives and provide our stockholders with a superior rate of return over time.

[Back to Contents](#)

Our strategic business focus during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 consisted of the following objectives:

increasing total same store gross profit through efforts in the new vehicle, used vehicle, finance and insurance, parts, service and collision departments;
continuing to consolidate key operating processes and systems to improve our customer responsiveness, create greater efficiencies and reduce expenses;
maintaining a cost level that aligns with the anticipated level of business activity; and
seeking strategic portfolio management opportunities within the automotive retail market so that we can continue to optimize our business operations in the U.S., the U.K. and Brazil.

Our named executive officers' individual or departmental goals for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 generally consisted of one or more of the following criteria, which provide support for our business objectives:

sustain sales momentum;
maximize integration strategies of recently acquired dealership operations;
continue to strengthen our processes and management for improved operating effectiveness and efficiency;
control costs and expenses as sales levels fluctuate to maximize and leverage our scale;
dispose of underperforming dealerships and deploy the proceeds into other capital appreciation opportunities with better return potential; and
drive the capital allocation process, which seeks investments that maximize return to our stockholders.

STOCKHOLDER INPUT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION MATTERS

In accordance with applicable law and as described in more detail in Proposal 2 above, our stockholders have the right to vote, on an advisory non-binding basis, on the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers at specified intervals (the "say-on-pay vote"). Stockholders last voted on this matter at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and in accordance with a vote at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on the frequency of say-on-pay votes, stockholders currently will vote on such compensation every year. In 2017, 96% of the votes cast were in favor of our executive compensation program, and 79% of the votes cast were in favor of an annual say-on-pay vote.

The Compensation Committee will continue to consider on an annual basis the vote results for say-on-pay proposals when making compensation decisions for our named executive officers.

In addition to such consideration given to the results of the say-on-pay vote, at various times throughout the year the Compensation Committee considers any input it may receive from stockholders and other stakeholders, and more general developments in executive compensation principles, in the development and implementation of the Company's executive compensation philosophy, policies and programs. For additional information on the say-on-pay vote with

respect to the compensation paid to our executive officers in 2017, see Proposal 2 above.

MARKET ANALYSIS

We engaged PM&P to conduct an independent market-based analysis of our executive compensation program in 2017. The market analysis process involved the comparison of long-term, short-term and total compensation with a selected group of peer companies (“Peer Companies”). Compensation data was compared at the 25th and 75th percentiles of the market.

While we do not think it is appropriate to establish compensation based solely on market analysis, we believe that the practice of comparing our compensation program to the programs of our peers can be useful for two reasons. First, our compensation practices must be competitive in order to attract and retain executives with the ability and experience necessary to provide leadership and to deliver strong performance to our stockholders. Second, comparison analysis allows us to assess the reasonableness of our compensation practices. This process allows us to achieve one of our primary objectives of maintaining competitive compensation to ensure retention and assists in aligning compensation with stockholder interests.

Our Peer Companies include all of the publicly-traded automotive consolidators and specialty retailers associated with automotive sales, and automotive parts and service against whom we most directly compete for executive talent. The list of our Peer Companies is periodically reviewed and updated by the Committee. Our 2017 Peer Companies were:

- Advance Auto Parts, Inc.
- Asbury Automotive Group, Inc.
- AutoNation, Inc.
- AutoZone, Inc.
- CarMax, Inc.
- Genuine Parts Company
- Lithia Motors, Inc.
- LKQ Corporation
- O’Reilly Automotive, Inc.
- Penske Automotive Group, Inc.
- Rush Enterprises, Inc.
- Sonic Automotive, Inc.

[Back to Contents](#)

When evaluating the compensation data and making compensation decisions, the Committee has taken into consideration the variance in revenue size among the entities comprising our Peer Companies. Additionally, the Committee has considered other differences between us and our Peer Companies such as corporate structure, tenure of officers, variance in scope of duties for each officer and other factors when calculating a market value. This value is used as the basis of comparison of compensation provided by us and our Peer Companies. However, any application of market analysis data is tempered by our basic staffing philosophy, which is to remain as lean as practical. This guiding principle results in certain of our executive officers having a broad range of job responsibilities, which, at certain of our Peer Companies, may be divided among multiple executive officers. The Committee's use of market analysis data for specific compensation components is described in more detail below.

TALLY SHEETS

In 2017, compensation tally sheets for the named executive officers were prepared by our Compensation Manager and reviewed by the Committee. This review consists of a twelve month summary of cash compensation earned, employee benefits provided, stock granted (with value at grant), and value of stock released (with value at release). Total shares and present value of unvested restricted stock is also presented for review. In addition to the PM&P market analysis, information from these tally sheets was also considered by the Committee in making compensation decisions for the named executive officers, as well as guiding the design of cash and non-cash compensation and benefit programs. The Committee specifically used tally sheets in the following contexts for each named executive officer:

- To determine the historical value of compensation paid;
- To determine the value of restricted stock awards forfeited in the event of a voluntary termination when making decisions regarding grants to encourage retention;
- To understand total compensation potentially payable to the named executive officers under all possible scenarios, including death/disability, retirement, voluntary termination, termination with and without cause and changes of control; and
- To ensure that the structure of pay at different levels is fair and appropriate.

Compensation Components

Our compensation program for executive officers includes annual cash compensation and long-term equity-based compensation. Annual cash compensation consists of annual base salary and payments under our annual cash incentive plan. Our long-term equity-based compensation consists of equity awards made under our long-term incentive plan.

In addition, our named executive officers are eligible to (i) participate in our health and welfare plans, our Employee Stock Purchase Plan and our retirement plans (401(k) Savings Plan and Deferred Compensation Plan), (ii) receive a vehicle allowance and/or demonstrator vehicle(s), depending on the position held, and (iii) receive perquisites and other personal benefits as described under "Other Benefits" below.

BASE SALARY

Design

We provide our named executive officers with an annual base salary to compensate them for services rendered during the year. Our goal is to set base salaries for our named executive officers at levels that are competitive with comparable companies for the skills, experience and requirements of similar positions, using market analysis as previously discussed, in order to attract and retain top talent. In order to achieve this goal, we have generally sought to provide base salaries that fall near the 50th percentile of our Peer Companies. We believe this supports competitive compensation and ensures retention. In order to ensure that each officer is appropriately compensated, the Committee, when setting base salaries, considers individual performance, tenure and experience and our financial performance in addition to the compensation review of the Peer Companies. Individual base salary levels are generally reviewed each November and are adjusted as appropriate based on an analysis of current market salary levels at the Peer Companies, individual performance and experience, and our financial performance.

[Back to Contents](#)**Results**

In November 2016, after reviewing the tally sheets and certain economic conditions affecting the Company, the Committee discussed with Mr. Hesterberg the appropriate base compensation levels for the Company's named executive officers other than himself. The Committee noted the named executive officers did not receive salary increases for 2016, and that base salaries remained at the 2015 levels. After extensive discussion and in light of continuing challenging economic conditions in some of the Company's key markets, including Texas, Oklahoma and Brazil, the base salaries for 2017 for our named executive officers were not changed, and remained at the 2015 and 2016 base compensation levels. Accordingly, the base salaries for Messrs. Hesterberg, Rickel, Grese and DeLongchamps remained at \$1,100,000, \$583,500, \$540,000 and \$465,300, respectively. Mr. Kenningham's compensation was not considered during the November 2016 compensation discussions since he did not assume the role of President, U.S. Operations until May 1, 2017, at which time his base salary was \$600,000.

Compensation Changes for Fiscal 2018

In November, 2017, the Committee elected to increase the base salaries for our named executive officers effective January 1, 2018 to levels that remain near the 50th percentile compensation of our Peer Companies. Accordingly, the base salaries for our named executive officers were increased as follows:

Named Executive Officer	2017 Base Salary	2018 Base Salary
	(\$)	(\$)
Earl J. Hesterberg	1,100,000	1,150,000
Daryl A. Kenningham	600,000	624,000
John C. Rickel	583,500	599,700
Frank Grese, Jr.	540,000	572,500
Peter C. DeLongchamps	465,300	478,300

ANNUAL INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN

Annual cash incentive awards are intended to align our annual performance and results with the compensation paid to persons who are most responsible for such performance, and to motivate and reward achievement of Company and individual or departmental performance objectives. Meaningful, performance-related goals are established so that attaining or exceeding the performance targets is not assured, requires significant effort by each of our named executive officers, and if accomplished, contributes to the ongoing overall improvement and success of the Company.

For 2017, the annual incentive compensation plan was based upon achievement of financial and individual, or departmental, goals approved at the beginning of the year by the Committee. The financial and mission-based portions

of the annual incentive awards could be awarded independently so that achievement of one was not predicated on the achievement of the other. There is, however, a minimum earnings per share goal established by the Committee at the beginning of each year which has to be achieved before any incentive award is paid.

The following is a description of the 2017 performance metrics under the annual incentive compensation plan:

Financial Goal

For 2017, the financial goal portion of our annual incentive compensation plan was based on achievement of diluted earnings per share (“EPS”). Diluted earnings per share is generally defined as our net income available to diluted common shares divided by the sum of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period plus those that would have been outstanding, assuming issuance for all dilutive potential common shares. Under the 2017 annual incentive compensation plan, the Committee may, in its sole discretion, adjust the Company’s EPS when determining achievement of the financial goal metric for extraordinary or unusual items that would be included in our annual operating results, but not typically considered at the time the targets were set, such as certain asset impairments or extraordinary dilutive events which materially affect EPS.

The Committee believes that EPS is the best metric for our financial goal portion of the annual incentive compensation plan, because it incentivizes our executive officers to maximize stockholder return and only rewards executive officers if our stockholders are rewarded. Further, no payments are made under the financial goal portion of the award unless a threshold level of EPS is achieved. The threshold, target and maximum levels of performance for the EPS metric set by the Committee for 2017 were as follows:

	Threshold	Target	Maximum
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
EPS	\$7.57	\$7.79	\$8.01

Mission-based Goals

Mission-based goals typically include four to six specific goals that are normally related to the individual’s functional area and are established at the beginning of each fiscal year jointly by the executive officer and our Chief Executive Officer and reviewed by the Committee, or in the case of the Chief Executive Officer, by the Committee and the Board. These goals are integral toward achieving key business objectives, such as those listed on page 41 which help improve our financial performance, promote corporate efficiencies and contribute to the growth of our Company. In 2017, the following mission-based goals were assigned to each of our named executive officers:

[Back to Contents](#)

Name	Individual/Departmental Performance Targets
Earl J. Hesterberg	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✦ Further strengthen Brazilian operations - achieve profit goal ♣ Achieve dealership parts and service gross profit budget goals ♣ Achieve used vehicle gross profit goal • Integrate UK management team with corporate team; develop succession planning for UK management team ♣ Achieve selling, general and administrative cost reduction target
Daryl A. Kenningham	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ Achieve U.S. dealership parts and service gross profit budget goals ♣ Meet U.S. dealership new and used vehicle sales budget goals ♣ Meet U.S. dealership pre-tax income budget goals ♣ Achieve U.S. customer satisfaction goals for dealerships ♣ Maximize U.S. dealership new and used vehicle gross profit
John C. Rickel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✦ Further strengthen Brazilian operations ♣ Identify UK funding needs and develop funding plan • Complete U.S. warranty consolidation ♣ Develop plan for U.S. payroll system ♣ Identify and develop new reporting system and reports ♣ Develop and implement cybersecurity improvement plan ♣ Achieve selling, general and administrative cost reduction target
Frank Grese, Jr.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coordinate with procurement department to identify and achieve cost savings goal • Improve employee retention through training, professional development programs and learning opportunities ♣ Enhance recruiting objectives for dealership service employees ♣ Develop service appointment rescheduling system; support service development center ♣ Expand succession planning program ♣ Implement employee wellness programs ♣ Achieve selling, general and administrative cost reduction target
Peter C. DeLongchamps	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ Achieve F&I per retail unit target • Maintain capital expenditure projects within budget while maintaining positive relationships with manufacturers ♣ Develop process for online F&I product cancellations ♣ Introduce new F&I menu; finalize relationship for online credit applications • Continued focus on communication and relationships with manufacturers and investment community ♣ Achieve selling, general and administrative cost reduction target

For 2017, the Committee decided that at achievement of threshold or target performance for EPS, each of the performance metrics — financial and mission-based — should be weighted 50%, with the award payout based on 100% of base salary for Messrs. Hesterberg, Rickel and Grese and 60% of base salary for Mr. DeLongchamps. The Committee also determined that: (i) if the threshold EPS goal was attained, the executive officers would receive one-third of the financial goal portion of their award; and (ii) if the target EPS goal was attained, the executive officers would receive two-thirds of the financial goal portion of their award. In addition, the Committee decided that for 2017 as long as earnings per share was at least \$7.00, the mission-based portion of the award would be payable from 0% to 100% according to individual goal achievement levels.

The Committee also decided that at achievement of maximum level of performance for EPS, the total possible cash incentive plan payout for each executive should be increased and such increase would be entirely attributable to the financial performance metric. As such, the executive officers would be eligible to receive the same mission-based award discussed above, however Messrs. Hesterberg and DeLongchamps would receive 150% of the financial portion of their awards as otherwise described above and Messrs. Rickel and Grese would receive 130% of the financial portion of their awards as otherwise described above. As a result, assuming all mission-based goals were attained, the following table sets forth the threshold, target and maximum annual incentive compensation plan potential payouts for 2017, as a percentage of base salary. The target performance level was set such that, if attained, the total cash compensation paid to our executive officers would approximate the median paid to executive officers at our Peer Companies.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **44**

[Back to Contents](#)

Named Executive Officer	2017 Incentive Payout as a % of Base Salary			
	Threshold Performance	Target Performance	Maximum Performance	
Earl J. Hesterberg	67 %	83 %	125 %	
Daryl A. Kenningham	117 %	175 %	351 %	
John C. Rickel	67 %	83 %	115 %	
Frank Grese, Jr.	67 %	83 %	115 %	
Peter C. DeLongchamps	40 %	50 %	75 %	

Results

For 2017, we achieved the threshold level of our financial goal (EPS). Actual EPS was \$7.73, short of the target performance level of \$7.79, as indicated below:

In connection with its review of the performance of our Chief Executive Officer, the Committee determined that Mr. Hesterberg had achieved 55% of his 2017 mission-based goals, resulting in a 55% payment of the mission based payout. Following extensive discussion with our Chief Executive Officer regarding his evaluation of the performance of our named executive officers, the Committee determined that Messrs. Rickel and DeLongchamps met or surpassed their individual and departmental goals, resulting in 100% payout of the mission-based payout. Mr. Grese achieved 95% of his individual and departmental goals. In making these determinations, the Committee specifically considered each executive's leadership in achieving each of the goals.

For 2017, Mr. Kenningham's incentive compensation included results from our field operations and our financial goal (EPS). Prior to May 1, 2017, Mr. Kenningham was in charge of the West Region with responsibility for field operations in that region. His incentive compensation encompassed the performance of the dealerships in that region. On May 1, 2017, Mr. Kenningham was promoted to President, U.S. Operations, where he became responsible for the performance and operation of all our U.S. based dealerships. Following his promotion, Mr. Kenningham's incentive compensation was modified to include both field and the financial (EPS) goals. His incentive opportunity for 2018 will be reflective of the annual incentive compensation plan for our named executive officers which includes mission-based and financial (EPS) goals.

Based on the Committee's evaluation of the performance of each of our named executive officers, it determined the degree to which each officer had achieved his goals and the following amounts of incentive compensation were paid with respect to the 2017 year:

LONG TERM EQUITY INCENTIVE COMPENSATION

Design

To align the compensation of our corporate officers with the attainment of our business goals and an increase in stockholder value, we award long-term equity incentive grants to our executive officers as part of our total compensation package. These awards have been made pursuant to the Group 1 Automotive, Inc. 2014 Long Term Incentive Plan and the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **45**

[Back to Contents](#)

We believe that restricted stock, subject to time-based vesting requirements, appropriately aligns management's interests with those of our Company and our stockholders, while helping to motivate and retain key members of our management team.

When determining the size of the awards, we typically consider amounts that would provide our executive officers with long-term incentive opportunities that, when combined with base salary and annual cash incentive opportunities, result in total direct compensation within the 50th to 75th percentile of our Peer Companies. We then take into account individual performance, the position and value of the named executive officer to our Company, experience and length of service to us, our desire to incentivize the officer to remain with our Company, and the amount of equity previously awarded to the officer.

Vesting of these awards is intended to facilitate retention, and the shares vest over a five-year period with the restrictions relating to the awards lapsing 40% after two years and 20% in each year thereafter. Since 2008, our vesting provisions have been based on the passage of time. Under the terms of the current award agreements, in the event of death or disability of any employee with unvested awards, all granted but unvested awards will automatically vest.

In addition, in the event of a "qualified retirement," which is a retirement after a minimum of ten years of service with our Company and the executive attaining the age of 63, upon satisfaction of a two year non-compete and certain non-disclosure covenants, all unvested shares of restricted stock or restricted stock units (granted in prior years) held by the executive officer as of his retirement date will vest. Provided, however, that beginning with the awards granted in 2018, any restricted stock granted to the executive must have been received at least six months prior to his effective retirement date to be eligible for vesting as provided above.

2017 Awards

In February 2017, the Committee reviewed the tally sheets and the competitive analysis prepared by PM&P to determine how each named executive officer's base and total compensation compared to their peers and in order to assess all elements of each executive's pay relative to total compensation. The Committee also considered each executive's current equity position for purposes of reward and retention and considered other factors, such as size of previous awards, contribution to corporate results, leadership and Company performance during the year when making the decision as to the size of the equity award for each named executive officer. Based on the analysis and review described above, on February 28, 2017, the Committee granted the following restricted stock awards to the named executive officers: Mr. Kenningham (12,265 shares), Mr. Rickel (10,780 shares), Mr. Grese (7,510 shares) and Mr. DeLongchamps (8,305 shares). On March 1, 2017, the Committee granted Mr. Hesterberg 25,440 shares.

For more information on the 2017 equity awards, please see the section entitled "Executive Compensation — Grants of Plan Based Awards in 2017."

Compensation Changes for Fiscal 2018

The Committee has made no material changes to our long-term incentive compensation strategy for fiscal 2018.

401(K) PLAN

We maintain the Group 1 Automotive, Inc. 401(k) Savings Plan (the “401(k) Savings Plan”) to assist all employees in providing for their retirement. Matching contributions may be in the form of cash or shares of our common stock or a combination of both, as determined by the Committee. All of our matches have been in cash for all employees.

Amounts that we contributed to each named executive officer’s 401(k) Savings Plan account are disclosed within the Summary Compensation Table.

EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

Generally, under the Group 1 Automotive, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan, all employees, including our named executive officers, are offered the opportunity to purchase up to \$25,000 annually of our common stock at a 15% discount to market, provided that the maximum number of shares that may be purchased by an employee shall not exceed 3,000 shares of common stock per quarter. This is an additional equity incentive we offer to all of our employees to further promote their interest in enhancing stockholder value. These shares may not be sold by the employee for a minimum of six months following purchase.

DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

The Group 1 Automotive, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (“Deferred Compensation Plan”) is designed as a retention tool for our corporate and regional officers, dealership general managers, other key employees and non-employee directors. It allows participants the opportunity to accumulate additional savings for retirement on a tax-deferred basis. Participants can choose from various defined investment options in which the deferred compensation is notionally invested. Pursuant to the Deferred Compensation Plan, certain corporate officers, including our named executive officers, may defer up to 50% of their base salary and up to 100% of their incentive compensation, and we may make contributions to the participants’ accounts. For a more detailed discussion of the Deferred Compensation Plan, please see the section entitled “Executive Compensation — Nonqualified Deferred Compensation.”

[Back to Contents](#)

OTHER BENEFITS

Health and Welfare Benefits

Our named executive officers are eligible to participate in our standard medical, dental, vision, disability insurance and life insurance plans to meet their health and welfare needs. These benefits are provided so as to assure that we are able to maintain a competitive position in terms of attracting and retaining executive officers and other employees. This is a fixed component of compensation and the benefits are provided on a non-discriminatory basis to all of our full-time employees.

Vehicle Allowance

Under his employment agreement, our Chief Executive Officer is provided with two vehicles for his use. Our President, U.S. Operations receives the use of two vehicles. Our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, our Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Training and Operations Support and our Senior Vice President, Manufacturer Relations, Financial Services and Public Affairs receive a vehicle allowance of \$15,000 per year and the use of one vehicle. Vice Presidents are provided with a vehicle allowance of \$11,300 per year, a vehicle, or in certain limited cases, both.

Other Perquisites and Personal Benefits

We provide certain named executive officers with perquisites and other personal benefits that the Committee believes are reasonable and consistent with our overall compensation programs and philosophy. These benefits are provided in order to enable us to attract and retain these executives. For example, we pay for club membership privileges that are used primarily for business but also for occasional personal purposes by our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Hesterberg. In addition, we own a fractional interest in an aircraft which is primarily used for business purposes. However, we make a portion of our time available to Mr. Hesterberg for personal use during the year. In 2017, Mr. Hesterberg was allowed a maximum of 40 flight hours for personal use; however, his actual personal usage was 10.6 hours. Mr. Hesterberg reimburses us for his personal use based on the published standard industry fare level valuation method. We provide this benefit to Mr. Hesterberg because it optimizes the use of his time and is consistent with similar benefits provided by our Peer Companies.

Employment Agreements, Severance Benefits and Change in Control Provisions

We maintain employment and other compensatory agreements with certain named executive officers to ensure they will perform their roles for an extended period of time. Certain provisions contained in these agreements, such as non-competition and non-solicitation provisions, as well as change in control payments, are essential to retaining our talent and protecting our stockholders. We believe that it is appropriate to compensate individuals to refrain from working with competitors following termination, and that compensation enhances the enforceability of such agreements. These agreements and our severance terminology are described in more detail elsewhere in this proxy statement.

Please read “Executive Compensation — Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table — Employment, Incentive Compensation and Non-Compete Agreements.” These agreements provide for severance compensation to be paid if the officer’s employment is terminated under certain conditions, such as following a corporate change, involuntary termination, termination by us for “cause,” death or disability, each as defined in the applicable executive’s agreement. The employment and other compensatory agreements between our Company and our named executive officers and the related severance provisions are designed to meet the following objectives:

CORPORATE CHANGE

In certain limited scenarios, the potential for merger or being acquired may be in the best interests of our stockholders. As a result, we provide severance compensation to certain named executive officers if the officer’s employment is terminated following a corporate change transaction. Our intent is to promote the ability of the officer to act in the best interests of our stockholders even though his or her employment could be terminated as a result of the transaction. However, as previously discussed, we do not provide any excise tax gross-ups to any of our named executive officers.

TERMINATION WITHOUT CAUSE

If we terminate the employment of certain corporate officers “without cause” as defined in the applicable agreement, we are obligated to pay the officer certain compensation and other benefits as described in greater detail in “Executive Compensation - Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.” We believe these payments are appropriate because the terminated officer is bound by confidentiality, non-solicitation and non-compete provisions ranging from one to two years after termination. Both parties have mutually agreed to a severance package that would be in place prior to any termination event. This provides us with more flexibility to make a change in senior management if such a change is in the best interests of our Company and its stockholders.

[Back to Contents](#)

Hedging and Pledging Prohibitions

Our Directors and named executive officers are prohibited from engaging in “short sales” of our stock or otherwise hedging the risk of ownership of our stock. We have also adopted a policy that prohibits our directors and officers from pledging their Company stock, or engaging in any other transaction of a similar nature that has the effect of using Group 1 securities as collateral.

Policy on Payment or Recoupment of Performance-Based Cash Bonuses and Performance-Based Stock Bonuses in the Event of Certain Restatements

The Committee has adopted a policy on payment or recoupment of performance-based cash bonuses and performance-based stock bonuses in the event of certain restatements, excluding those required by a change in generally accepted accounting principles, which provides that we will require the payment or reimbursement (to the extent permitted by governing law) of all or a portion of any performance-based cash or performance-based stock bonus where: (a) the payment was predicated upon the achievement of certain financial results that were subsequently the subject of a material restatement and (b) a higher or lower payment would have been made to the employee based upon the restated financial results. In each of these instances, we will, to the extent practicable: (a) either make a payment of, or seek to recover, the cash amount by which the individual employee’s annual performance-based bonus was recalculated based on the restated financial results; provided that we will not pay or seek to recover bonuses paid more than three years prior to the date the applicable restatement is disclosed; (b) cause the award or cancellation of any performance-based stock awards; and (c) seek reimbursement of any unearned gains realized on the vesting of performance-based stock attributable to such awards.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Our Board has adopted Stock Ownership Guidelines that apply to our named executive officers, as well as other officers within our Company. The guidelines require our named executive officers to maintain a minimum number of shares of our common stock while they are employed by us. The guidelines reinforce the importance of aligning the longer-term interests of our executive officers with the interests of our stockholders and are expressed in terms of the dollar value of their equity holdings as a multiple of each named executive officer’s base salary.

The dollar value of stock ownership is based on base salary times a multiple divided by the previous 36-month average stock price as calculated on December 31st of each year. Unvested restricted stock awards or restricted stock units are counted towards each named executive officer’s ownership requirement. Stock ownership levels should be achieved by each officer within five years of the adoption of these guidelines, or within five years of the individual’s appointment as an officer. Each of our named executive officers was in compliance with current guidelines on December 31, 2017, as indicated below:

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **48**

[Back to Contents](#)

Tax Deductions for Compensation

In conducting our executive compensation programs, during 2017 the Committee considered the effects of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the “Code”), which denied publicly held companies a tax deduction for annual compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to their chief executive officer or any of their three other most highly compensated corporate officers, other than the chief financial officer, who were employed on the last day of a given year, unless their compensation was based on performance criteria that was established by a compensation committee which is made up of outside directors and approved, as to their material terms, by their stockholders. The Committee considers its primary goal to design compensation strategies that further the best interests of our stockholders. In certain cases, it may determine that the amount of tax deductions lost is not significant when compared to the potential opportunity a compensation program provides for creating long-term stockholder value. The Committee therefore retains the ability to evaluate the performance of our executive officers and to pay appropriate compensation, even if some of it may be non-deductible, to ensure competitive levels of total compensation is paid to certain individuals. Section 162(m) of the Code was modified in connection with the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, and beginning with the 2018 calendar year there will no longer be an exception for performance-based compensation arrangements that are not deemed to be grandfathered pursuant to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act.

Risk Assessment

We have reviewed our compensation policies and practices for all employees, including executive officers, and determined that our compensation programs are not reasonably likely to cause behaviors that would have a material adverse effect on our Company. Moreover, we believe that several design features of our compensation programs and policies reduce the likelihood of excessive risk-taking:

The program design provides a balanced mix of cash and equity, annual and longer-term incentives, and performance metrics.

Annual and long-term incentive payouts are capped at industry standard levels.

We currently do not grant stock options.

The Compensation Committee has discretion over incentive program payouts.

The compensation recovery policy (which extends to all employees participating in the incentive plan) allows our Company to “claw back” payments made using materially inaccurate financial results.

Executive officers are subject to robust stock ownership guidelines.

Compliance and ethical behaviors are integral factors considered in all performance assessments.

We set the proper ethical and moral expectations through our policies, values and procedures and provide various mechanisms for reporting issues.

We maintain an evaluation program, including periodic reviews and audits of our dealership sales and finance departments, which enables us to verify that our compensation policies and practices are aligned with expectations.

A cap is placed on the number of shares of common stock that may be awarded to an individual in any calendar year.

We believe that, for all employees, our compensation programs do not encourage excessive risk and instead encourage behaviors that support sustainable value creation.

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **49**

[Back to Contents](#)

Report of the Compensation Committee

During the last fiscal year, and this year in preparation for the filing of this proxy statement with the SEC, the Committee:

reviewed and discussed the disclosure set forth under the heading “2017 Compensation Discussion and Analysis” with management; and based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, recommended to the Board of Directors that the disclosure set forth under the heading “2017 Compensation Discussion and Analysis” be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference into Group 1 Automotive, Inc.’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

Respectfully submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors,

Max P. Watson, Jr. (Chairman)

John L. Adams

Stephen D. Quinn

MaryAnn Wright

2018 PROXY STATEMENT **50**

[Back to Contents](#)**Executive Compensation****2017 Summary Compensation Table**

The following table summarizes, with respect to our named executive officers, information relating to the compensation earned for services rendered in all capacities during 2017. Our named executive officers consist of our five executive officers, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ⁽³⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
Earl J. Hesterberg President and Chief Executive Officer	2017	1,100,000	1,999,966	485,833	322,320	203,550	4,111,669
	2016	1,100,000	1,813,700	1,210,000	237,057	213,565	4,574,322
	2015	1,100,000	2,911,125	1,320,000	170,001	179,746	5,680,872
Daryl A. Kenningham President, U.S. Operations	2017	533,333	962,312	645,296	169,653	31,310	2,341,904
John C. Rickel Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2017	583,500	845,799	389,000	379,516	25,338	2,223,153
	2016	583,500	747,763	671,025	282,877	26,740	2,311,905
	2015	583,500	1,200,215	671,025	224,771	25,585	2,705,097
Frank Grese, Jr. Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Training and Operations Support	2017	540,000	589,235	346,500	170,839	33,171	1,679,745
	2016	540,000	414,560	621,000	129,632	32,511	1,737,703
Peter C. DeLongchamps Vice President, Manufacturer Relations, Financial Services and Public Affairs	2017	456,300	651,610	182,520	82,239	22,418	1,395,087
	2016	456,300	518,200	342,225	66,367	18,759	1,401,851
	2015	456,300	800,144	342,225	55,765	61,899	1,716,333

(1) The amounts in the “Stock Awards” column reflect the required accounting expense for these awards and do not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized by our named executive officers. These amounts represent the grant date fair value of awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 in connection with restricted stock awards granted under the Group 1 Automotive, Inc. 2014 Long Term Incentive Plan and the Group 1 Automotive, Inc. 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan. Assumptions made in the calculation of these amounts in fiscal years 2015, 2016 and 2017 are included in Note 5 to the audited financial statements included in our Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2016 and

December 31, 2017, respectively. Certain of these awards have no intrinsic value to the recipient until the performance or vesting schedule is met. For example: As of December 31, 2017, our named executive officers had not realized any value from their 2017 awards because vesting will not begin until 2019, when forfeiture restrictions will lapse as to 40% of the awards. Forfeiture restrictions will lapse as to the remaining 60% of the 2017 awards in 20% increments in 2020, 2021 and 2022. Vesting schedules for equity awards can be found in the footnotes to the “Outstanding Equity Awards as of December 31, 2017” table.

(2) Annual cash incentive awards based upon the achievement of financial and mission-based goals. This is discussed further under “2017 Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Annual Incentive Compensation Plan”.

Amounts reflect above-market earnings on the Deferred Compensation Plan. Amounts are reflective of earnings in (3) excess of 120% of the applicable federal long-term rate, with compounding, of 2.68%. We do not offer a pension plan.

(4) The following table contains a breakdown of the compensation and benefits included under “All Other Compensation” for 2017:

[Back to Contents](#)

Name	Year	401(k) Savings Plan Matching Contribution (\$)	Automobile Allowance (\$)	Use of Demonstrator Vehicle^(a) (\$)	Airplane Use^(b) (\$)	Club Membership and Dues (\$)	Total (\$)
Earl J. Hesterberg	2017	7,950	—	23,448	160,764	11,388	203,550
Daryl A. Kenningham	2017	6,824	—	13,536	10,950	—	31,310
John C. Rickel	2017	7,950	15,000	2,388	—	—	25,338
Frank Grese, Jr.	2017	7,875	15,000	10,296	—	—	33,171
Peter C. DeLongchamps	2017						