

MAGICJACK VOCALTEC LTD
Form PRER14A
February 17, 2017

PRELIMINARY PROXY STATEMENT – SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED FEBRUARY 17, 2017

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Amendment No. 4)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under Rule 14a-12

MAGICJACK VOCALTEC LTD.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

Edgar Filing: MAGICJACK VOCALTEC LTD - Form PRER14A

(1) Amount Previously Paid: _____

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: _____

(3) Filing Party: _____

(4) Date Filed: _____

PRELIMINARY PROXY STATEMENT – SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED FEBRUARY 17, 2017

MAGICJACK VOCALTEC LTD.

12 Haomanut Street, 2nd Floor

Poleg Industrial Zone, Netanya 4250445, Israel

NOTICE OF ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON MARCH 30, 2017

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the 2016 annual general meeting of shareholders (the “2016 Meeting”) of magicJack VocalTec Ltd. (the “Company”) will be held at the offices of Yigal Arnon & Co. at 1 Azrieli Center, Tel Aviv 6702101, Israel at 10:00 a.m. Israel time on Thursday March 30, 2017, or at any adjournments or postponements thereof.

The agenda for the 2016 Meeting is as follows:

1. To elect to the Board of Directors of the Company (the “Board” or the “Board of Directors”):

Proposal of the Board: To re-elect Mr. Donald A. Burns, Mr. Richard Harris, Dr. Yuen Wah Sing and Mr. Gerald Vento and to elect Mr. Don C. Bell III, Mr. Izhak Gross, and Mr. Alan B. Howe to serve as directors of the Company until the next annual general meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been duly elected and qualified;

OR

B. Proposal of Paul M. Posner and Carnegie Technologies, LLC (together, “Carnegie”): To elect Mr. Frank J. Bell, Mr. Nabil N. El-Hage, Mr. Richard Kimsey, Mr. Morris A. Miller, Mr. Richard W. Talarico and Mr. Alan B. Howe and to re-elect Mr. Gerald Vento to serve as directors of the Company until the next annual general meeting of

shareholders and until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

2. To re-approve the Company's Compensation Policy.

3. To approve the grant of 7,000 shares of restricted stock of the Company to Mr. Izhak Gross, subject to his election to the Board under Proposal 1.A.

4. To approve the accelerated vesting of shares of restricted stock of the Company held by Mr. Yoseph Dauber, a former director of the Company.

5. To approve a limited extension of the Employment Agreement with Mr. Gerald Vento, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, until the earlier of June 30, 2017 or the date the Company hires a President and Chief Executive Officer to replace Mr. Vento, and to approve entering into a consulting agreement with Mr. Vento effective upon his separation date.

6. To approve the reappointment of BDO USA, LLP and BDO Ziv Haft, Certified Public Accountants (Isr) as the Company's independent registered public auditor for the year ending December 31, 2016 and to authorize the Company's Board of Directors, subject to the approval of the Audit Committee, to fix the compensation of the auditors in accordance with the volume and nature of their services.

7. To transact such other business as may come properly before the 2016 Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

These matters are described more fully in the attached Proxy Statement, which we urge you to read in its entirety. We are currently not aware of any other matters that will come before the 2016 Meeting other than the matters described herein. If any other matters are presented properly at the 2016 Meeting, the persons designated as proxies intend to vote upon such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on March 2, 2017 will be entitled to attend and vote at the 2016 Meeting. This Notice and the accompanying Proxy Statement and enclosed WHITE proxy card are being first mailed to shareholders on or about [], 2017. These items, along with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, are available free of charge at the “Financial Information” tab of our website at www.vocaltec.com. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 will be filed with the SEC and made available on our website free of charge no less than two weeks prior to the date of the 2016 Meeting. We encourage all shareholders to review our Annual Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 prior to voting their proxies in connection with the 2016 Meeting.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS

VOTE “FOR” PROPOSALS 1.A, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 AND DISREGARD PROPOSAL 1.B.

YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT. Whether or not you attend the 2016 Meeting in person, please take the time to vote your shares by completing, signing and promptly mailing the enclosed WHITE proxy card to us in the enclosed, postage-paid envelope. If your shares are held in “street name,” that is, held for your account by a broker, bank or other nominee, you will receive instructions from the shareholder of record that you must follow in order to instruct how your shares are voted at the 2016 Meeting. If you are a shareholder of record, you may attend the 2016 Meeting and you may vote in person, whether or not you have already executed and returned your WHITE proxy card. You may revoke your proxy at any time before the 2016 Meeting by (i) timely completing and returning a later-dated WHITE proxy card, (ii) delivering a written notice of revocation to the Company’s Secretary prior to the 2016 Meeting, or (iii) attending the 2016 Meeting and voting in person. Only a shareholder’s last proxy submitted prior to the 2016 Meeting will be counted. A shareholder’s attendance at the 2016 Meeting does not automatically revoke such shareholder’s proxy, unless such shareholder votes at the 2016 Meeting or specifically requests in writing that his or her proxy be revoked.

Please note that Carnegie has nominated a slate of director nominees for election to the Board at the 2016 Meeting. The Board of Directors does not endorse the slate of nominees put forth by Carnegie. You may receive solicitation materials from Carnegie, including a proxy statement and a proxy card. We are not responsible for the accuracy of any information provided by or related to Carnegie or their respective nominees contained in any proxy solicitation materials filed or disseminated by Carnegie, or any statements Carnegie may otherwise make. Information related to Carnegie and Carnegie’s nominees to the Board contained in this Proxy Statement are based on information provided by Carnegie and included in Carnegie’s declarations to the Company and disclosures in the Notice of Submission of Nominees on Schedule 14N filed by Carnegie with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”),

and the Company is not responsible for the accuracy of such information.

This Proxy Statement and the enclosed WHITE proxy card are first being mailed to shareholders on or about [], 2017

By order of the Board of Directors,

By: /s/ Gerald Vento
Gerald Vento
Chief Executive Officer & President

[], 2017

*If you have any questions or need assistance voting the **WHITE** proxy card, please call the firm assisting us:*

Saratoga Proxy Consulting LLC

520 8th Avenue, 14th Floor

New York, NY 10018

(212) 257-1311

magicJack shareholders call (212) 257-1311 or toll free at (888) 368-0379

Email: info@saratogaproxy.com

PRELIMINARY PROXY STATEMENT – SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED FEBRUARY 17, 2017

MAGICJACK VOCALTEC LTD.

12 Haomanut Street, 2nd Floor

Poleg Industrial Zone, Netanya, Israel 4250445

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR

ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON MARCH 30, 2017

General Information

This proxy statement (the “Proxy Statement”) is being furnished by the Board of Directors (the “Board” or the “Board of Directors”) of magicJack VocalTec Ltd., a company organized under the laws of the State of Israel (referred to as “we,” “us” or the “Company”) to the holders of ordinary shares, no par value, of the Company, in connection with the solicitation by the Board of proxies for use at the Company’s 2016 annual general meeting of shareholders or any adjournment thereof (the “2016 Meeting”). The 2016 Meeting will be held at the offices of Yigal Arnon & Co. at 1 Azrieli Center, Tel Aviv 6702101, Israel at 10:00 a.m. Israel time on Thursday March 30, 2017, or at any adjournments or postponements thereof. This Proxy Statement and the enclosed WHITE proxy card are first being mailed to shareholders on or about [], 2017.

Matters to be Voted Upon at the 2016 Meeting

At the 2016 Meeting, you will be requested to vote on the following proposals (the “Proposals”):

1. To elect to the Board of Directors of the Company:

- Proposal of the Board: To re-elect Mr. Donald A. Burns, Mr. Richard Harris, Dr. Yuen Wah Sing and Mr. Gerald Vento and to elect Mr. Don C. Bell III, Mr. Izhak Gross, and Mr. Alan B. Howe to serve as directors of the
- A. Company until the next annual general meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been duly elected and qualified;

OR

B.

Proposal of Paul M. Posner and Carnegie Technologies, LLC (together, "Carnegie"): To elect Mr. Frank J. Bell, Mr. Nabil N. El-Hage, Mr. Richard Kimsey, Mr. Morris A. Miller, Mr. Richard W. Talarico and Mr. Alan B. Howe and to re-elect Mr. Gerald Vento to serve as directors of the Company until the next annual general meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

2. To re-approve the Company's Compensation Policy.

3. To approve the grant of 7,000 shares of restricted stock to Mr. Izhak Gross, subject to his election to the Board under Proposal 1.A.

4. To approve the accelerated vesting of shares of restricted stock of the Company held by Mr. Yoseph Dauber, a former director of the Company.

5. To approve a limited extension of the Employment Agreement with Mr. Gerald Vento, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, until the earlier of June 30, 2017 or the date the Company hires a President and Chief Executive Officer to replace Mr. Vento, and to approve entering into a consulting agreement with Mr. Vento effective upon his separation date.

6. To approve the reappointment of BDO USA, LLP and BDO Ziv Haft, Certified Public Accountants (Isr) as the Company's independent registered public auditor for the year ending December 31, 2016 and to authorize the Company's Board of Directors, subject to the approval of the Audit Committee, to fix the compensation of the auditors in accordance with the volume and nature of their services.

7. To transact such other business as may come properly before the 2016 Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

We are currently not aware of any other matters that will come before the 2016 Meeting. If any other matters are presented properly at the 2016 Meeting, the persons designated as proxies intend to vote upon such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

Recommendation of the Board

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS SHAREHOLDERS

VOTE “FOR” PROPOSALS 1.A, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 AND DISREGARD PROPOSAL 1.B.

Carnegie Proxy Contest

Your Board has nominated the following seven candidates for re-election and election to the Board of Directors at the 2016 Meeting under Proposal 1.A: Mr. Donald A. Burns, Mr. Richard Harris, Dr. Yuen Wah Sing, Mr. Gerald Vento, Mr. Don C. Bell III, Mr. Izhak Gross, and Mr. Alan B. Howe (collectively, the “Company Nominees,” but with respect to Mr. Howe and Mr. Vento, only in their capacities as nominees of the Board).

On January 5, 2017, Carnegie submitted a proposal to nominate a competing slate of the following seven candidates for election to the Board of Directors at the 2016 Meeting: Mr. Frank J. Bell, Mr. Nabil N. El-Hage, Mr. Richard Kimsey, Mr. Morris A. Miller, Mr. Alan B. Howe and Mr. Gerald Vento (collectively, the “Carnegie Nominees,” but with respect to Mr. Howe and Mr. Vento, only in their capacities as nominees of Carnegie). On January 18, 2017, Carnegie filed a Notice of Submission of Nominees in Accordance with Procedures Set Forth Under Applicable State or Foreign Law, or the Registrant’s Governing Documents on Schedule 14N (the “Carnegie Schedule 14N”) with the SEC submitting these nominees for inclusion in the Company’s Proxy Statement for the 2016 Meeting. For additional information on the Carnegie Proxy Contest, please see the “Background to the Solicitation” section of this Proxy Statement.

You may receive proxy solicitation materials from Carnegie, including a proxy statement and proxy card. We are not responsible for the accuracy of any information provided by Carnegie or the Carnegie Nominees contained in any proxy solicitation materials filed or disseminated by Carnegie or any statements Carnegie may otherwise make. Information related to Carnegie and its nominees to the Board contained in this Proxy Statement are based on the

information provided by Carnegie and included in Carnegie's declarations to the Company and its disclosures on the Carnegie Schedule 14N.

Our Board of Directors recommends that you disregard any materials you may receive from or may be directed to by Carnegie, including any proxy statement or proxy card. If you have any questions or need any assistance voting, please call Saratoga Proxy Consulting LLC, our proxy solicitor, at (212) 257-1311 or toll free at (888) 368-0379.

Resolution of Shareholder Nominations by Kanen

On January 31, 2017, the Company entered into a settlement agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") with David Kanen and Kanen Wealth Management, LLC (collectively, "Kanen"). Under the Settlement Agreement, Kanen irrevocably withdrew its proposal submitted August 29, 2016 providing notice to the Company of its intent to nominate candidates for election to the Board of Directors at the 2016 Meeting. Further, until the termination date of the Settlement Agreement, Kanen will vote all ordinary shares held by them in favor of each nominee and each proposal as recommended by the Board.

The Board agreed to (i) nominate Mr. Alan B. Howe and Mr. Don C. Bell (the "Kanen Designees") for election as directors of the Board at the 2016 Meeting; (ii) recommend that the Company's shareholders vote in favor of the election of the Kanen Designees; and (iii) solicit proxies for the election of each of the Kanen Designees at the 2016 Meeting.

The Company has also agreed to reimburse Kanen for the reasonable fees and expenses of their advisors incurred in connection with the proxy contest in an amount not to exceed \$100,000.

Shareholders Entitled to Vote at the 2016 Meeting

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on March 2, 2017 (the "Record Date") will be entitled to receive notice of, to attend, and to vote at the 2016 Meeting. As of the Record Date, the Company had outstanding [] ordinary shares, each of which is entitled to one vote upon each of the matters to be presented at the 2016 Meeting.

Voting Procedures

Record Holders

If you are a record holder entitled to vote at the 2016 Meeting, meaning your shares are registered in your own name, you may vote:

By Mail: Complete, sign and date the enclosed WHITE proxy card and return it by mail in the enclosed, postage-paid envelope. Your shares will be voted according to your instructions.

At the 2016 Meeting: If you attend the 2016 Meeting, you may deliver your completed WHITE proxy card in person or you may vote by completing a ballot, which we will provide to you at the 2016 Meeting. You are encouraged to complete, sign and date the enclosed WHITE proxy card and return it by mail in the enclosed, postage-paid envelope whether or not you plan to attend the 2016 Meeting.

Beneficial Owners

If your shares are held in “street name,” meaning they are held for your account by a broker, bank or other nominee, these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by that nominee. The nominee holding your shares is considered the shareholder of record for purposes of voting at the 2016 Meeting. Generally, if shares are held in street name, the beneficial owner of the shares is entitled to give voting instructions to the nominee holding the shares. You should receive instructions from your nominee explaining how you can provide them with instructions on how to vote your shares at the 2016 Meeting. You will not be able to vote in person at the 2016 Meeting unless you have a legal proxy from your nominee issued in your name granting you the right to vote your shares in person.

If the beneficial owner does not provide voting instructions, the broker, bank or other nominee can still vote the shares with respect to matters that are considered to be “routine,” but not with respect to “non-routine” matters. In the event that a nominee indicates on a proxy that it does not have discretionary authority to vote certain shares on a non-routine proposal, then those shares will be treated as broker non-votes and will not be treated as either a vote “for” or “against” a proposal. Under the Companies Law, broker non-votes will not be counted as present for the purpose of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business. Only Proposal 6 (ratification of the reappointment of the independent public auditor) is considered a routine matter on which brokers will be entitled to vote without instructions from the beneficial owner. Proposals with respect to the election of directors and executive compensation are considered “non-routine” and the nominee that holds your shares does not have authority to vote your shares on these matters without your instruction. Therefore, **please promptly instruct your broker or other nominee on how**

to vote your shares on all of the Proposals in this Proxy Statement.

Quorum

Two (2) or more shareholders, present in person or by proxy and holding shares conferring in the aggregate more than thirty-three and one-third percent (33.33%) of the voting power of the Company will constitute a quorum at the 2016 Meeting. Abstentions may be specified on all Proposals. Abstentions will be counted as present for purposes of determining a quorum but will not be counted as voting on the Proposal in question. Submitted proxies which are left blank will also be counted as present for purposes of determining a quorum. If a quorum is not present within thirty (30) minutes from the time appointed for the 2016 Meeting, the 2016 Meeting will be adjourned to the same day in the following week, at the same time and place, or to such day and at such time and place as the Chairman of the 2016 Meeting may determine. At such adjourned Meeting, two (2) or more members, present in person or by proxy and holding shares conferring in the aggregate more than thirty-three and one-third percent (33.33%) of the voting power of the Company, will constitute a quorum.

Vote Required for Approval

YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT. Each shareholder is entitled to one vote per each ordinary share held thereby. You may vote for, against, or abstain on, or you may disregard, each proposal presented at the 2016 Meeting. Subject to additional requirements with respect to Proposals 2, 3, 4 and 5 as described below, the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented at the 2016 Meeting in person or by proxy and voting on each proposal is necessary for the approval of each Proposal presented at the 2016 Meeting.

Proposal 1

Shareholders are asked to elect seven nominees under Proposal 1 to serve as directors of the Company until the next annual general meeting of shareholders. The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented at the 2016 Meeting in person or by proxy and voting on a nominee is necessary for the election of a nominee under Proposal 1.A and Proposal 1.B. In the event that more than seven director nominees proposed under Proposal 1.A and Proposal 1.B receive the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares voting on each such nominee, the seven nominees who receive the highest number of affirmative votes in favor of their election will be elected to serve as directors of the Company.

If you abstain with respect to any nominee under either Proposal 1.A or Proposal 1.B, your shares will be counted for purposes of establishing a quorum on that specific nominee (provided that you voted only on Proposal 1.A or Proposal 1.B), but will not be considered to have voted for or against that specific nominee, and therefore will have the effect of voting against that specific nominee. Broker non-votes will not be counted for the purposes of establishing a quorum

on the nominees under Proposal 1.A or Proposal 1.B, and therefore will have no effect on the outcome of the vote for each nominee under either Proposal 1.A or Proposal 1.B.

Shareholders are asked to elect director nominees until the close of the next annual general meeting of shareholders of the Company by voting on nominees from either Proposal 1.A or Proposal 1.B, but not on nominees under both. Please note that although Mr. Howe and Mr. Vento each appear under both Proposal 1.A and Proposal 1.B, you may only vote on Mr. Howe and Mr. Vento on either Proposal 1.A or Proposal 1.B on the enclosed WHITE proxy card. **PLEASE NOTE THAT IF YOU VOTE ON ANY NUMBER OF NOMINEES UNDER PROPOSAL 1.A AND ALSO ON ANY NUMBER OF NOMINEES UNDER PROPOSAL 1.B, YOUR VOTES WILL NOT BE COUNTED AS PRESENT AND VOTING UNDER PROPOSAL 1 OR IN DETERMINING THE ELECTION OF NOMINEES UNDER EITHER PROPOSAL 1.A OR 1.B.**

Proposals 2, 4 and 5

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented at the 2016 Meeting in person or by proxy and voting on each of Proposals 2, 4 and 5 is necessary for the approval of each such Proposal. If you abstain with respect to Proposals 2, 4 and 5, your shares will be counted for purposes of establishing a quorum on that Proposal, but will not be considered to have voted for or against the Proposal, and therefore will have the effect of voting against the Proposal. Broker non-votes will not be counted for the purposes of establishing a quorum on Proposals 2, 4 and 5 and therefore will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on Proposals 2, 4 and 5.

The approval of each of Proposals 2, 4 and 5 is also subject to the approval of a “Special Majority” which requires that either: (i) the Proposal must be approved by a majority of the shares voted on such Proposal by shareholders who are not controlling shareholders and who do not have a Personal Interest (as defined below) in the Proposal, or (ii) the total number of shares held by such shareholders described above and voted against the Proposal does not exceed two percent of the aggregate voting rights in the Company. Abstentions shall not be taken into account.

Under the Israeli Companies Law, 5759-1999, as currently amended, and the regulations promulgated thereunder (collectively, the “Companies Law”), and as used in this Proxy Statement, a “Personal Interest” means an interest of a person in an act or transaction of a company, including: (i) a personal interest of that person’s relative (which includes for these purposes a person’s spouse, siblings, parents, grandparents, descendants, and a spouse’s descendants, siblings, and parents, and the spouse of any of the foregoing); (ii) a personal interest of another entity in which that person or his or her relative holds five percent (5%) or more of such entity’s issued shares or voting rights, has the right to appoint a director or the chief executive officer of such entity, or serves as director or chief executive officer of such entity; or (iii) the personal interest of a person voting pursuant to a proxy whether or not the proxy grantor has a personal interest, as well as the vote of a proxy holder if the proxy grantor has a personal interest, irrespective of whether the proxy holder has voting discretion or not. A personal interest resulting merely from holding the Company’s shares will not be deemed a Personal Interest.

For each of Proposals 2, 4 and 5, if you do not confirm that you do not have a Personal Interest in the approval of the relevant Proposal, you will be considered as having a Personal Interest in the Proposal, and your shares will not be counted in the Special Majority vote required for that Proposal.

Proposal 3

The approval of Proposal 3 is subject first to the election of Mr. Gross to the Board under Proposal 1.A.

If Mr. Gross is elected under Proposal 1.A and if the Company's Compensation Policy is re-approved under Proposal 2, then the affirmative vote of the holders of a simple majority of the voting power represented at the 2016 Meeting in person or by proxy and voting on Proposal 3 is necessary for the approval of Proposal 3. If you abstain with respect to Proposal 3, your shares will be counted for purposes of establishing a quorum on that Proposal, but will not be considered to have voted for or against the Proposal, and therefore will have the effect of voting against the Proposal. Broker non-votes will not be counted for the purposes of establishing a quorum on Proposal 3, and therefore will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on Proposals 3.

If, however, Mr. Gross is elected under Proposal 1.A but the Company's Compensation Policy is not re-approved under Proposal 2, then approval of Proposal 3 is also subject to the approval of a "Special Majority" which requires that either: (i) the Proposal must be approved by a majority of the shares voted on such Proposal by shareholders who are not controlling shareholders and who do not have a Personal Interest in the Proposal, or (ii) the total number of shares held by such shareholders described above and voted against the Proposal does not exceed two percent of the aggregate voting rights in the Company. Abstentions shall not be taken into account.

If Proposal 2 is not approved and a Special Majority vote is required, and if you do not confirm that you do not have a Personal Interest in the approval of Proposal 3, you will be considered as having a Personal Interest in the Proposal, and your shares will not be counted in the Special Majority vote required for that Proposal.

Proposal 6

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented at the 2016 Meeting in person or by proxy and voting on Proposal 6 is necessary for the approval of Proposal 6. If you abstain with respect to Proposal 6, your shares will be counted for purposes of establishing a quorum on that Proposal, but will not be considered to have voted for or against the Proposal, and therefore will have the effect of voting against the Proposal. Broker non-votes will not be counted for the purposes of establishing a quorum on Proposal 6, and therefore will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on Proposal 6.

Voting of Proxies

Upon the receipt of a properly executed WHITE proxy card in the form enclosed, the persons named as proxies in the WHITE proxy card will vote the ordinary shares covered by the proxy in accordance with the directions of the shareholder executing the proxy. Subject to applicable law and the rules of the Nasdaq Global Market ("Nasdaq"), if no instructions are indicated in such proxies with respect to a specific Proposal or all Proposals, the ordinary shares represented by the properly executed and received WHITE proxy cards will be voted "FOR" Proposals 1.A, 3 and 6, and will DISREGARD Proposal 1.B. Proposals 2, 4 and 5 will not be voted if you do not confirm that you do not have a Personal Interest in such Proposals, as you must confirm that you do not have a Personal Interest in order to be counted in the Special Majority required for approval on these Proposals.

Any shareholder that holds, as of the record date set for determining the shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the 2016 Meeting, either (i) five percent (5%) or more of the total voting rights in the Company or (ii) five percent (5%) or more of the total voting rights in the Company held by all shareholders that are not control persons, may, directly or through a representative after the 2016 Meeting is held, review, at the Company's registered office, all

proxies received by the Company with respect to the 2016 Meeting.

Solicitation of Proxies by the Company

This solicitation is being made by the Board of Directors of the Company. Proxies are being mailed to shareholders by the Company on or about [], 2017 and will be solicited by the Company mainly by mail; however, certain officers, directors, director nominees, employees and agents of the Company, none of whom will receive additional compensation, may solicit proxies by telephone, fax or other personal contact. We will furnish copies of solicitation materials to brokerage firms, nominees, fiduciaries and other custodians for forwarding to their respective principals. We will bear the cost of soliciting proxies, including, among other things, preparing, assembling, mailing, printing and handling, and will reimburse the reasonable expenses of brokerage firms, banks and others for forwarding materials to beneficial owners of ordinary shares. We may also solicit proxies by email from shareholders who previously requested to receive proxy materials electronically. Please see “Proposal 1.A – Additional Information about the Company Solicitation” for more information related to the Company Nominees and certain of our officers and employees who are “participants” in our solicitation under the rules and regulations of the SEC.

The Company has retained Saratoga Proxy Consulting LLC (“Saratoga”) to solicit proxies. Under our agreement with Saratoga, Saratoga will receive a fee of up to \$[] plus the reimbursement of reasonable expenses. Saratoga expects that approximately [] of its employees will assist in the solicitation. Saratoga will solicit proxies by mail, telephone, facsimile or email. Our aggregate expenses, including those of Saratoga, relating to our solicitation of proxies, excluding salaries and wages of our regular employees, are expected to be approximately \$[], of which approximately \$[] has been incurred as of the date of this Proxy Statement. Our aggregate expenses, including those of Saratoga, related to our solicitation of proxies, excluding salaries and wages of our regular employees and expenses that we would ordinarily incur in connection with an uncontested annual meeting, are approximately [].

Please see “Proposal 1.B – Additional Information on the Carnegie Solicitation” for information on the solicitation of proxies by Carnegie and the Carnegie Nominees.

Revocation of Proxies

A shareholder of record who has executed and delivered a proxy card may revoke such proxy at any time before the 2016 Meeting by (i) timely completing and returning a later-dated proxy card, (ii) voting on a later date by using the Internet or by telephone, (iii) delivering a written notice of revocation to the Company's Secretary prior to the 2016 Meeting, or (iv) attending the 2016 Meeting and voting in person. Only a shareholder's last proxy submitted prior to the 2016 Meeting will be counted. A shareholder's attendance at the 2016 Meeting does not automatically revoke such shareholder's proxy, unless such shareholder votes at the 2016 Meeting or specifically requests in writing that his or her proxy be revoked.

Shareholder Proposals

Shareholders may present proper proposals for inclusion in our proxy statement and for consideration at the next annual general meeting of shareholders by submitting their proposals in writing to our Secretary in a timely manner. Such request must comply with the requirements of our Amended and Restated Articles of Association, which establish an advance notice procedure for shareholders holding at least one percent (1%) of the voting rights in the issued share capital of the Company who wish to include a subject in the agenda of an annual general meeting of shareholders in the future. Any such request must be in writing, must include all information related to the subject matter and the reason that such subject is proposed to be brought before the annual general meeting and must be signed by the shareholder or shareholders making such request. Each such request shall also set forth: (a) the name and address of the shareholder making the request; (b) a representation that the shareholder is a holder of record of shares of the Company entitled to vote at such meeting and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting; (c) a description of all arrangements or understandings between the shareholder and any other person or persons (naming such person or persons) in connection with the subject which is requested to be included in the agenda; and (d) a declaration that all the information that is required under the Companies Law and any other applicable law to be provided to the Company in connection with such subject, if any, has been provided. Furthermore, the Board may, in its discretion and to the extent it deems necessary, request that the shareholders making the request provide additional information necessary so as to include a subject in the agenda of an annual general meeting.

Under Section 66(b) of the Companies Law, a shareholder who meets the conditions of Section 66(b) of the Companies Law may submit its request to include an agenda item within seven days following the Company's notice of convening a shareholders' meeting at which directors are to be elected and certain other proposals are to be considered. In addition, shareholder proposals must otherwise comply with the requirements of Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Under Rule 14a-8 of the Exchange Act, to be eligible for inclusion in the Company's proxy materials for the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders, expected to be held on or around [], shareholder proposals must be received by the Secretary not later than []. Proposals should be addressed to: magicJack VocalTec Ltd., 12 Haomanut Street, 2nd Floor, Poleg Industrial Zone, Netanya, Israel 4250445.

Nomination of Director Candidates

You may also propose director candidates for consideration by our Board if you hold at least one percent (1%) of the outstanding voting power in the Company. For additional information regarding shareholder recommendations for director candidates, see “Meetings and Committees of the Board Nominating Committee and Director Nominating Process.”

Change of Control

If the Carnegie Nominees are elected, a change of control will occur under certain of the Company’s employment agreements with management as described in this Proxy Statement under the heading “Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control.”

Other Matters and Additional Information

This Proxy Statement and the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, our other reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q, 8-K and other publicly available information are available at www.vocaltec.com. In addition, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 will be filed with the SEC and made available on our website no less than two weeks prior to the date of the 2016 Meeting and mailed to shareholders shortly thereafter.

This Proxy Statement provides you with detailed information about the matters on which you are requested to vote your shares. In addition, you may obtain information about the Company from documents filed with the SEC. We encourage you to read the entire Proxy Statement carefully.

If you would like to obtain directions to be able to attend the 2016 Meeting in person, please call Jose Gordo, the Company’s Chief Financial Officer, at 561-749-2255.

*If you have any questions or need assistance voting the **WHITE** proxy card, please call the firm assisting us:*

Saratoga Proxy Consulting LLC

520 8th Avenue, 14th Floor

New York, NY 10018

(212) 257-1311

magicJack shareholders call (212) 257-1311 or toll free at (888) 368-0379

Email: info@saratogaproxy.com

BACKGROUND TO THE SOLICITATION

In April 2015, the Board engaged Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (“BofA Merrill Lynch”) to act as financial advisor to the Company in connection with a solicitation of offers to acquire the Company.

Between April 2015 and July 2015, at the direction of the Board, BofA Merrill Lynch contacted 35 potential strategic and financial buyers regarding a possible sale of the Company. Confidentiality agreements were executed with 10 potential buyers and management presentations were provided to 9 interested parties.

On July 15, 2015, the Company received formal indications of interest from two potential bidders. The highest bid was \$8.00 per ordinary share. The Board reviewed the highest bid and determined that this price did not reflect the Board’s view regarding the value of the Company. The Board resolved that the Company should instead move forward with the Board’s strategic plan to increase shareholder value through possible growth initiatives and re-consider a sale process at a later date. Moreover, the Board decided to move forward with the previously authorized share buyback in response to a vocal minority of shareholders who had demanded a return of cash on the Company’s balance sheet to shareholders.

At the beginning of July 2016, Carnegie contacted the Company to express its interest in exploring a strategic transaction with the Company, including the acquisition of one or more of the Company’s businesses, or the Company as a whole. Subsequently, senior officers of the Company attended an informal meeting with Carnegie in New York to discuss the possibility of a strategic transaction.

On July 20, 2016, Carnegie sent a letter to the Company indicating it was interested in acquiring all of the outstanding ordinary shares of the Company for a price between \$8.00 and \$10.00 per ordinary share, subject to certain conditions regarding performance of due diligence and the negotiation of definitive agreements. Additionally, further negotiations were conditioned on the Company granting Carnegie a 45-day exclusivity period.

On July 25, 2016, the Board received an unsolicited indication of interest from a third party (“Bidder A”) in the range of \$7.50 to \$8.00 per ordinary share.

On August 1, 2016, in response to the Board’s request for a narrower price range, Carnegie sent a letter to the Company further indicating its interest in acquiring all of the outstanding ordinary shares of the Company at a proposed price range of \$8.50 to \$9.50 per ordinary share.

On August 12, 2016, the Company sent Carnegie a letter in response informing it that the Board had rejected the proposal contained in its letter of August 1, 2016, but indicating that the Company would be interested in pursuing further negotiations and proposing that the parties enter into a customary non-disclosure agreement to facilitate such discussions.

On August 12, 2016, the Company responded to Bidder A informing that the offer was inadequate, but that the Board would consider an offer with a substantially increased purchase price.

On August 15, 2016, the Company entered into a non-disclosure agreement with Carnegie (the “Carnegie NDA”) to facilitate further discussions and more substantive due diligence regarding a proposed acquisition.

On August 19, 2016, Kanen jointly filed a statement of beneficial ownership on Schedule 13D (the “Schedule 13D”), disclosing that Kanen beneficially owned in the aggregate 834,417 ordinary shares of the Company, including call options, which represented 5.26% of the Company’s issued and outstanding ordinary shares. The beneficial ownership percentage was calculated using 15,855,362 ordinary shares issued and outstanding as of July 31, 2016. For additional information regarding Kanen’s ownership of the Company’s ordinary shares, please refer to the section below titled, “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” in this Proxy Statement.

On August 23, 2016, the Board met to discuss the status of the negotiations with Carnegie.

On August 25, 2016, the Company filed a current report on Form 8-K announcing that the Board of Directors had fixed October 7, 2016 as the date for the 2016 Meeting. The Form 8-K also announced that the Board of Directors had established August 29, 2016 as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the 2016 Meeting.

On August 25, 2016, the Board received a revised unsolicited indication of interest from Bidder A stating that Bidder A believed it could “obtain a value range” for the purchase of the Company of \$7.50 to \$10 per ordinary share.

On August 29, 2016, Israeli legal counsel, Herzog, Fox & Neeman (“Herzog”) for David Kanen and Kanen Wealth Management, LLC (together, “Kanen”), sent to the Company by facsimile two letters of notice (the “Kanen Nomination Notices”) regarding Kanen’s intention to nominate seven directors, pursuant to the Companies Law, to the Company’s Board of Directors at the 2016 Meeting. The seven director candidates named in the Kanen Nomination Notices were Alan B. Howe, Anthony Ambrose, Jonathan M. Charak, William Austin Lewis, David Clark, Anthony Pompliano and Louis Antoniou.

On August 31, 2016, the Board of Directors held a meeting to discuss the Kanen Nomination Notices and to vote on postponing the 2016 Meeting. After deliberation, the Board of Directors determined that it was necessary to delay the 2016 Meeting in order to fulfill the fiduciary duties of the members of the Board. The Board of Directors instructed the Company's U.S. legal counsel, Vinson & Elkins L.L.P. ("Vinson & Elkins"), to contact Kanen's U.S. legal counsel, Thompson Hine LLP ("Thompson Hine"), in order to request a phone conversation to discuss the matters raised by Kanen in its August 29 Letter and the Kanen Nomination Notices.

On August 31, 2016, Vinson & Elkins informed Thompson Hine that the Board of Directors was reviewing the Kanen Nomination Notices and conducting due diligence with respect to the credentials of the seven Kanen Nominees and that the Board of Directors would be open to interviewing the nominees.

On September 1, 2016, the Company issued a press release to confirm that Kanen had delivered the Kanen Nomination Notices to the Company and to announce the postponement of the 2016 Meeting by the Board of Directors pending the Board of Directors' review of the Kanen Nomination Notices. Between September 2016 and December 2016, the Company engaged in negotiations with Kanen in an attempt to resolve the proxy contest prior to the filing of a proxy statement in connection with the 2016 Meeting.

On September 1, 2016, the Board received a revised unsolicited verbal offer from Bidder A in the range of \$10 per ordinary share.

On September 6, 2016, the Board of Directors held a meeting to discuss the Kanen Nomination Notices and Kanen's proposal. For the purposes of efficiency, the Board of Directors determined it was in the Company's best interests for the Board of Directors to form a special committee (the "Negotiating Committee") to attempt to negotiate a settlement with Kanen, with the final terms of a settlement to be approved by the full Board of Directors prior to execution.

On September 7, 2016, the Company entered into a non-disclosure agreement with Bidder A to facilitate further discussions regarding a proposed acquisition.

On September 12, 2016, after further discussions between the Company and Carnegie and Carnegie's performance of due diligence on the Company (including several meetings with senior management), Carnegie sent a letter of intent to the Company communicating its offer to acquire all of the ordinary shares of the Company for \$8.50 per ordinary share. Further negotiations were again conditioned on the Company granting Carnegie an exclusivity period, in this case terminating on November 6, 2016.

On September 16, 2016, Carnegie sent an updated letter of intent with respect to the proposed transaction containing additional details and maintaining an \$8.50 per ordinary share offer price, subject to an exclusivity period terminating November 11, 2016.

On September 22, 2016, the Board met to discuss Carnegie's proposal. After a robust discussion, the Board determined that a counteroffer to Carnegie at \$10.00 per ordinary share was appropriate.

On September 29, 2016, Carnegie sent an updated letter of intent to the Company generally confirming the terms of its prior letter (including the \$8.50 per ordinary share offer price), which included a 45-day go shop provision. On the same date, the Board met to discuss the letter from Carnegie and the progress of negotiations with Bidder A. After noting that the proposed price of \$10.00 per ordinary share had been communicated to Carnegie, the Board voted to not pursue a transaction with Carnegie at a price of \$8.50 per ordinary share.

On October 6, 2016, the Company received a written offer from Bidder A for \$9.30 per ordinary share.

On October 10, 2016, the Board determined that it would be appropriate to counter the offer received from Carnegie at \$10.00 per ordinary share and give Carnegie a short period to respond. The Board also discussed the revised offer from Bidder A for \$9.30 per ordinary share and determined to counter this offer at \$10.00 per ordinary share. Following the meeting, the Company sent a letter to Carnegie countering the offer made in Carnegie's letter of September 29, 2016 with, among other things, a purchase price of \$10.00 per share and an exclusivity period terminating on November 7, 2016.

On October 13, 2016, the Board received a counteroffer from Bidder A for \$9.50 per ordinary share.

On October 15, 2016, the Board met to discuss the offer from Bidder A at \$9.50 per ordinary share and decided to move forward with diligence with Bidder A in connection with a possible transaction. The Board also discussed negotiations with Carnegie and noted that Carnegie had informed the Company that it was not willing to increase its offer above \$8.50 per ordinary share.

On October 19, 2016, the Company entered into an exclusivity agreement with Bidder A, terminating on November 14, 2016, regarding a possible acquisition of the Company and due diligence with respect to the Company by Bidder A.

Between October 18, 2016 and November 14, 2016, the Company responded to extensive due diligence inquiries from Bidder A and negotiated the terms of a Merger Agreement to be executed by the Company and Bidder A if Bidder A decided to move forward with the transaction.

On November 7, 2016, the Board discussed, among other things, the progress of Bidder A's due diligence efforts and negotiation of the merger agreement.

On November 14, 2016, the exclusivity period with Bidder A ended. However, the parties proceeded with discussions for several weeks. Bidder A conducted due diligence until December and the parties continued to negotiate the terms of the merger agreement.

On November 15, 2016, the Board discussed the fact that Bidder A had missed the November 14, 2016 deadline for finalizing the terms of the merger agreement as set forth in the exclusivity agreement. The Board directed management to extend the deadline in the exclusivity agreement, either formally or informally, for an additional two weeks until November 29, 2016.

On November 15, 2016, Vinson & Elkins contacted Thompson Hine to request an in-person interview with one of Kanen's proposed nominees to the Board, Mr. Alan B. Howe.

On November 16, 2016, Vinson & Elkins sent a director questionnaire to Thompson Hine for completion by Mr. Howe, as required by the Company's Bylaws.

On November 21, 2016, Thompson Hine sent to Vinson & Elkins the questionnaire completed by Mr. Howe.

On November 29, 2016, the Board discussed the fact that a definitive agreement with Bidder A had not been agreed by the November 29, 2016 deadline and discussed how to move forward. The Board concluded that they would be willing to extend exclusivity if Bidder A paid Company's expenses incurred in negotiations and, if Bidder A would not

agree to such terms, the Company would still be receptive to an offer, with a financing commitment, as described in the Exclusivity Agreement.

On December 1, 2016, Vinson & Elkins confirmed with all parties that the interview of Mr. Howe would take place at the office of Vinson & Elkins in New York City on December 7.

On December 7, 2016, the Negotiating Committee interviewed Mr. Howe in New York City.

On December 12, 2016, the Negotiating Committee met to discuss Mr. Howe's candidacy to the Board. The same day, the Negotiating Committee made its recommendation to the full Board of Directors to approve Mr. Howe's nomination to the Board.

On December 13, 2016, Vinson & Elkins notified Thompson Hine that Mr. Howe had been approved by the Negotiating Committee as the Kanen Designee.

On December 15, 2016, the Board of Directors convened to discuss the settlement negotiations.

On December 20, 2016, Vinson & Elkins notified Thompson Hine that the Board of Directors had considered several prospects for the mutually agreeable position on the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors proposed Mr. Don C. Bell III.

On December 25, 2016, Vinson & Elkins sent a director questionnaire to Mr. Bell.

On December 27, 2016, the Negotiating Committee interviewed Mr. Bell.

On December 29, 2016, Mr. Bell sent his completed director questionnaire. On the same day, the Negotiating Committee met to discuss Mr. Bell's candidacy to the Board. The same day, the Negotiating Committee made its recommendation to the full Board of Directors to approve Mr. Bell's nomination to the Board.

On December 29, 2016, the Board convened to discuss the progress of the settlement negotiations with Kanen. In order to bring the matter to a conclusion, the Board resolved that it would be necessary to set a date for the 2016

Meeting and submit both the Company Nominees and the Kanen Nominees to a vote of the shareholders, as requested by Kanen and required by Israeli law. In furtherance of what the Board believes is the best interests of the Company's shareholders and based on the feedback received from Kanen over the previous months, the Board resolved to increase the size of the Board to create two new directorships effective as of the 2016 Meeting and to nominate Mr. Howe, a nominee of Kanen, and Mr. Bell, a proposed new independent director, for election to these vacant seats at the 2016 Meeting. The Board then voted to approve and file the preliminary version of this Proxy Statement.

On December 30, 2016, the Company proceeded to file its preliminary proxy statement and issued a press release announcing the Board's decision. Concurrently with the filing, Vinson & Elkins called Thompson Hine, informing them that the Board determined that a settlement on the terms required by Kanen was not in the best interest of all shareholders and, therefore, the Board decided to proceed with the proxy contest to allow the shareholders to decide on the election of directors.

On January 2, 2017, Kanen issued a press release, in which Kanen applauded and commended the Board for its actions. On the same day, Vinson & Elkins followed up with Thompson Hine, asking whether Kanen is formally withdrawing its nominations. Thompson Hine did not respond.

On January 4, 2017, Carnegie contacted the Company to express its displeasure that the Company had not entered into a strategic transaction, but did not indicate that it would initiate a proxy contest to take over control of the Board.

On January 5, 2017, Carnegie issued a press release announcing its intent to nominate a slate of directors for election to the Board at the 2016 Meeting and Carnegie's Israeli legal counsel, Meitar Liguornik Geva Leshem Tal ("Meitar"), submitted to the Company a proposal (the "Carnegie Director Proposal") on behalf of Paul M. Posner to nominate seven directors, pursuant to the Companies Law, to the Company's Board of Directors at the 2016 Meeting.

On January 6, 2017, the Company issued a press release, filed with the SEC on Form 8-K, acknowledging receipt of the Carnegie Director Proposal and stating that the Company was reviewing the nominations provided therein pursuant to its corporate governance policies and the requirements of the Companies Law.

On January 9, 2017, the Company received an unsolicited indication of interest from a third party ("Bidder B") to acquire the Company for a purchase price in excess of the price previously offered by Carnegie.

On January 11, 2017, the Company, through Vinson & Elkins, gave notice to Carnegie under the Carnegie NDA that the Company is required to disclose its negotiations with Carnegie in the Company's proxy statement.

On January 12, 2017, Israeli legal counsel for the Company, Yigal Arnon & Co. ("Yigal Arnon") sent a letter to Meitar on behalf of the Company informing them that the information provided to the Company in the Carnegie Director Proposal did not meet the requirements of the Companies Law, providing Meitar with a detailed list of the missing information with respect to each Carnegie Nominee, and stating that the Carnegie Proposal was thus invalid as a matter of law and could not be included in the Company's amended preliminary proxy statement.

On January 12, 2017, Vinson & Elkins sent a letter to Carnegie requesting information to confirm that Carnegie did not acquire its 1.6% stake in the Company while being in possession of inside information about the Company as a result of its due diligence in the Company.

On January 13, 2017, the Company filed its amended preliminary proxy statement.

On January 13, 2017, the Company received a letter from Meitar on behalf of Carnegie disputing the Company's interpretation of the Companies Law in the January 12 Yigal Arnon letter but nonetheless providing some of the information requested with respect to the Carnegie Nominees in the January 12 Yigal Arnon letter.

On January 17, 2017, U.S. legal counsel for Carnegie, Wiggin and Dana LLP ("Wiggin and Dana") sent a letter to Vinson & Elkins stating that Carnegie acquired his entire ownership stake in the Company prior to entering into a confidentiality agreement with the Company, but admitting that Carnegie sold shares in the Company after entering into a confidentiality agreement with the Company.

On January 18, 2017, Yigal Arnon sent a letter to Meitar on behalf of the Company informing Meitar that Carnegie had failed to provide all of the missing information with respect to the Carnegie Nominees in the January 13 Meitar letter, and as such the Carnegie Proposal was still invalid as a matter of law. The Company again provided a detailed explanation of the missing information with respect to each Carnegie Nominee.

On January 18, 2017, Carnegie filed a Schedule 14N with the SEC providing notice to the Company of its intent to have the Carnegie Nominees included in the Company's Proxy Statement.

On January 19, 2017, the Company received a letter from Meitar on behalf of Carnegie disputing the Company's interpretation of the Companies Law in the January 18 Yigal Arnon letter, but nonetheless providing the required supplemental information with respect to the Carnegie Nominees.

On January 20, 2017, the Company received a revised unsolicited indication of interest from Bidder B, re-iterating its proposed purchase price and providing a term sheet concerning the proposed structure of the transaction.

On January 23, 2017, Yigal Arnon sent a letter to Meitar on behalf of the Company informing Meitar that the Carnegie Proposal, as supplemented by the January 13 Meitar Letter and the January 19 Meitar Letter fully complied with the requirements of the Companies Law and as such the Company would include the Carnegie Proposal and the

Carnegie Nominees in its Proxy Statement for the 2016 Meeting.

On January 25, 2017, the Company received a letter from Cede & Co., the record holder of the shares beneficially owned by Carnegie, demanding inspection of certain shareholder records, including the list of non-objecting beneficial owners (the “NOBO List”) of the Company pursuant to New York law. Cede & Co. disclaimed any interest in the shareholder records of the Company and further stated that its sole interest in obtaining the Company’s shareholder records was to provide them directly to Carnegie.

On January 25, 2017, Kanen filed a Schedule 14N with the SEC providing notice to the Company of its intent to have the Kanen Nominees included in the Company’s Proxy Statement.

On January 27, 2017, Yigal Arnon sent a letter to Meitar on behalf of the Company indicating that it would provide Cede & Co. with lists of the Company’s registered shareholders and principal shareholders upon payment of the Company’s expenses incurred in providing such lists, as required under the Companies Law, but that it was not required to and did not intend to release any additional confidential information concerning the Company’s shareholders, including the NOBO List.

On January 27, 2017, Wiggin and Dana sent a letter to Yigal Arnon on behalf of Carnegie arguing that Cede & Co. should have access to the Company’s shareholder records under New York law.

On January 30, 2017, the Company’s U.S. legal counsel, Akerman LLP (“Akerman”) sent a letter to Cede & Co. disputing Cede & Co.’s right under New York law to obtain the shareholder records of the Company for the sole purpose of providing this information to Carnegie. The Company further stated that it was prepared and willing to provide Carnegie’s proxy materials to the Company’s shareholders pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC.

On January 31, 2017, Yigal Arnon received a letter from Meitar demanding that the Company publish a revised agenda for the 2016 Meeting including the Carnegie Nominees by the close of business on January 31, 2017 pursuant to Meitar’s interpretation of certain requirements of the Companies Law and regulations promulgated thereunder and stating that Carnegie would commence litigation against the Company if the agenda was not published by such time.

On January 31, 2017, Yigal Arnon sent a letter to Meitar disputing Meitar’s interpretation of the Companies Law and regulations promulgated thereunder as requiring the Company to file a revised agenda for the 2016 Meeting by January 31, 2017 and stating that the Company intends to publish an updated agenda for its 2016 Meeting in full compliance with all requirements of the Companies Law at as soon as practicable.

On January 31, 2017, Yigal Arnon received a letter from Meitar reiterating its belief that, under the Companies Law, the Company was required to publish a revised agenda for the 2016 Meeting including the Carnegie Nominees by the close of business on January 31, 2017 and indicating its intent to initiate litigation against the Company.

On January 31, 2017, the Company and Kanen entered into a settlement agreement whereby Kanen agreed to withdraw his slate of nominees for election to the Board at the 2016 Meeting and to vote all shares controlled by Kanen in favor of the Company Nominees.

On January 31, 2017, Bidder B provided the Company with a letter from a third party potentially interested in financing Bidder B's proposed acquisition of the Company, subject to satisfactory completion of due diligence.

On February 1, 2017, Akerman reached out to Wiggin and Dana to inquire whether Carnegie remained interested in pursuing an acquisition of the Company and if so, to request that Carnegie submit a formal offer to the Board. Wiggin and Dana responded that they would communicate this to their client and then get back to Akerman.

On February 2, 2017, the Company entered into a non-disclosure agreement with Bidder B to facilitate further discussions regarding a proposed acquisition.

On February 2, 2017, Paul M. Posner, founder of Carnegie Technologies, commenced litigation against the Company by filing a motion for provisional relief in the District Court for the Central District of Israel (the "District Court") requesting that the District Court order the Company to amend its proxy materials to include the Carnegie Proposal and to deliver all shareholder records of the Company to Mr. Posner.

On February 2, 2017, the District Court denied Mr. Posner's motion for temporary relief in ex parte on the grounds that the date for the 2016 Meeting had been set for February 28, 2017 and notice of the 2016 Meeting was published at the end of December 2016. In addition, the District Court instructed the Company to submit its response to the motion by February 7, 2017.

On February 2, 2017, the Company filed a revised preliminary version of this Proxy Statement which included the Carnegie Nominees, as required by the filing of the Carnegie Schedule 14N and Israeli law.

On February 6, 2017, after Akerman had not heard back from Wiggin and Dana, Akerman reached out again to Wiggin and Dana to inquire whether Carnegie intended to submit a formal offer to acquire the Company. Wiggin and Dana suggested that the financial advisors of the Company and Carnegie should discuss a potential transaction.

On February 6, 2017, Bidder B commenced due diligence on the Company.

On February 7, 2017, the Company submitted a response to Mr. Posner's motion for provisional relief requesting that the District Court dismiss Mr. Posner's motion in limine or on its merits for various reasons, including the fact that the Company had already amended its preliminary proxy statement to include the proposed nominee directors requested by Carnegie.

On February 8, 2017, the District Court ruled that if Mr. Posner does not advise the District Court that he consents to the resolution proposed in the Company's response to the motion, according to which the Company will amend its proxy materials to include the Carnegie Proposal in its definitive proxy statement, the motion will be heard on February 12, 2017, at 13:00 pm (Israel time) in the presence of both of the parties.

On February 8, 2017, at the instruction of the Board, representatives of BofA Merrill Lynch reached out to Carnegie's financial advisor to again inquire whether Carnegie intended to submit a formal offer to acquire the Company and to state that the Board remained open to reviewing such an offer.

On February 9, 2017, the Company filed a revised preliminary version of this Proxy Statement which moved the date of the 2016 Meeting to March 30, 2016 in order to comply with certain requirements of the Companies Law and certain rules and regulations of the SEC.

On February 9, 2017, in the proceedings of the District Court, Mr. Posner submitted a response ,asserting that the revised preliminary version of this Proxy Statement filed on February 2, 2017 was in violation of the Companies Law as it failed to meet certain timing requirements for annual general meetings under the Companies Law and reiterating its request that the District Court order the Company to deliver all shareholder records of the Company to Mr. Posner.

On February 12, 2017, the District Court held a hearing on Mr. Posner's motion and ruled that the relief requested by Mr. Posner with respect to the Company's Proxy Statement had been exhausted and that it was inappropriate for the District Court to rule on Mr. Posner's request for the Company's shareholder records as part of a motion for provisional relief. It further noted that Mr. Posner could take advantage of the Company's offer to mail Mr. Posner's proxy materials to its shareholders, at Mr. Posner's expense, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC.

On February 13, 2017, Carnegie's financial advisor communicated to BofA Merrill Lynch that Carnegie was interested in engaging in transaction discussions with the Company and wished to refresh its prior due diligence review.

On February 15, 2017, Carnegie submitted a due diligence request list to the Company but has not, as of the date of this Proxy Statement, submitted a formal offer to acquire the Company.

On February 16, 2017, the Company received a revised letter from Cede & Co. demanding inspection of certain shareholder records of the Company pursuant to New York law.

As of the date of this Proxy Statement, the Company continues to engage in discussions and diligence with both Bidder A and Bidder B regarding the potential acquisition of the Company for a purchase price in excess of the price previously offered by Carnegie.

PROPOSAL 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Amended and Restated Articles of Association provides that the Board will consist of not less than two (2) nor more than eleven (11) directors. The Board presently consists of six (6) members and, as of the 2016 Meeting, two (2) vacancies. The expiration dates of the terms of office of our current directors are as follows:

Donald A. Burns, Richard Harris, Dr. Yuen Wah Sing and Gerald Vento are serving one-year terms that expire at the 2016 Meeting.

Izhak Gross was appointed to the Board in 2016 to fill a vacancy left by the retirement of Mr. Yoseph Dauber and is serving a term that expires at the 2016 Meeting.

Tal Yaron-Eldar, who was re-elected as an external director in April 2014, is serving a three-year term that expires at the 2017 annual general meeting of the Company's shareholders. For a discussion regarding the adoption by the Company of an exemption from the Companies Law requirement to elect external directors, see "Corporate Governance – The Committees" in this Proxy Statement.

General Information on the Director Proposals

Proposal 1.A is a proposal of our Board to re-elect and elect the Company Nominees to the Board of Directors, as the case may be. The Board asks that you refer directly to Proposal 1.A for further information on the Company Nominees and recommends that shareholders vote "FOR" Proposal 1.A on the enclosed WHITE proxy card.

Proposal 1.B is a proposal of Carnegie to elect the Carnegie Nominees, who are, with the exception of Mr. Gerald Vento, currently unaffiliated with the Company, to the Company's Board of Directors. At the request of Carnegie, pursuant to the requirements of the Companies Law and pursuant to the Carnegie Schedule 14N, we have included Proposal 1.B in the agenda for the 2016 Meeting, on the enclosed WHITE proxy card, and in this Proxy Statement. Please be aware that the Board DOES NOT endorse the Carnegie Nominees and recommends that shareholders DISREGARD Proposal 1.B on the enclosed WHITE proxy card.

PLEASE NOTE THAT YOU MAY ONLY VOTE ON NOMINEES UNDER PROPOSAL 1.A OR ON NOMINEES UNDER PROPOSAL 1.B, BUT NOT ON NOMINEES UNDER BOTH. IF YOU VOTE ON ANY NUMBER OF NOMINEES UNDER PROPOSAL 1.A AND ALSO ON ANY NUMBER OF NOMINEES UNDER PROPOSAL 1.B, YOUR VOTES WILL NOT BE COUNTED AS PRESENT AND VOTING UNDER PROPOSAL 1 OR IN DETERMINING THE ELECTION OF NOMINEES UNDER EITHER PROPOSAL 1.A OR 1.B.

Director Not Standing For Election Whose Term Does Not Expire in 2016

Ms. Tal Yaron-Eldar currently serves as a director of the Company whose term does not expire at the 2016 Meeting and who is therefore not standing for election at the 2016 Meeting. Biographical information for Ms. Yaron-Eldar is set forth below:

TAL YARON-ELDAR (53) was appointed to the Board in April 2011 and re-appointed in April 2014. In 2013, Ms. Yaron-Eldar founded Yaron-Eldar, Paller Schwartz and Co. From January 2004 until 2012, Ms. Yaron-Eldar served as the Chief Executive Officer of Arazim Investment Company, a publicly traded real estate investment company. She was a partner with the law firm Cohen, Yaron-Eldar & Co. from July 2004 to March 2007, when she became a partner with the law firm of Tadmor & Co. Ms. Yaron-Eldar has also served in a variety of public positions, including Chief Legal Advisor of the Customs and V.A.T. Department of the Finance Ministry of the State of Israel from 1998 to 2001 and as the Commissioner of Income Tax and Real Property Tax Authority of the State of Israel from 2002 to 2004. She currently serves as a director of Rosetta Genomics Ltd., a biotech company traded on Nasdaq Mediatechnika Ltd., a medical appliances company traded on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange Lodgia Rotex Investments Ltd., a real estate company traded on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange Arko Investments, a venture capital firm traded on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange and Tadea Investments, Postal Bank, and Galmed Pharmaceuticals. Ms. Yaron-Eldar holds an MBA, specializing in finance, and an LLB from Tel Aviv University, and is a member of the Israeli Bar Association. She contributes to the mix of experience and qualifications the Board seeks to maintain primarily through her legal, tax and finance experience.

Vote Required for Approval

Shareholders are asked to elect seven nominees under Proposal 1 to serve as directors of the Company until the next annual general meeting of shareholders. The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented at the 2016 Meeting in person or by proxy and voting on a nominee is necessary for the election of a nominee under Proposal 1.A and Proposal 1.B. In the event that more than seven director nominees proposed under Proposal 1.A and Proposal 1.B receive the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares voting on each such nominee, the seven nominees who receive the highest number of affirmative votes in favor of their election will be elected to serve as directors of the Company.

If you abstain with respect to any nominee under either Proposal 1.A or Proposal 1.B, your shares will be counted for purposes of establishing a quorum on that specific nominee (provided that you voted only on Proposal 1.A or Proposal 1.B), but will not be considered to have voted for or against that specific nominee, and therefore will have the effect of voting against that specific nominee. Broker non-votes will not be counted for the purposes of establishing a quorum on the nominees under Proposal 1.A or Proposal 1.B, and therefore will have no effect on the outcome of the vote for each nominee under either Proposal 1.A or Proposal 1.B.

Shareholders are asked to elect director nominees until the close of the next annual general meeting of shareholders of the Company by voting on nominees from either Proposal 1.A or Proposal 1.B, but not on nominees under both. Please note that although Mr. Howe and Mr. Vento each appear under both Proposal 1.A and Proposal 1.B, you may only vote on Mr. Howe and Mr. Vento on either Proposal 1.A or Proposal 1.B on the enclosed WHITE proxy card. **PLEASE NOTE THAT IF YOU VOTE ON ANY NUMBER OF NOMINEES UNDER PROPOSAL 1.A AND ALSO ON ANY NUMBER OF NOMINEES UNDER PROPOSAL 1.B, YOUR VOTES WILL NOT BE COUNTED AS PRESENT AND VOTING UNDER PROPOSAL 1 OR IN DETERMINING THE ELECTION OF NOMINEES UNDER EITHER PROPOSAL 1.A OR 1.B.**

Board Recommendation

**THE COMPANY'S BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS
VOTE "FOR" EACH OF THE COMPANY NOMINEES NAMED IN PROPOSAL 1.A AND
DISREGARD EACH OF THE CARNEGIE NOMINEES NAMED IN PROPOSAL 1.B.**

PROPOSAL 1.A

BOARD PROPOSAL ON THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Pursuant to the recommendation of the Nominating Committee, the Board has nominated the candidates named below to stand for election and re-election to the Board at the 2016 Meeting, as the case may be. The primary responsibility of a director of the Company is to exercise his or her business judgment prudently and to act in a manner that he or she believes in good faith to be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. The Nominating Committee and the Board consider individuals who have proven leadership skills and strong records of success. Further, nominees are selected on the basis of board experience, character, integrity, ability to make independent analytical inquiries on matters that come before our Board, a business background relevant to the Company and its industry, and an understanding of the Company's business environment.

We believe that each of the nominees named in this Proposal 1.A meets these strict qualifications and is poised to make a meaningful contribution to the Board and the Company. Accordingly, the Board asks the shareholders to vote under this Proposal 1.A to:

re-elect all of the following current directors of the Board whose terms expire at the 2016 Meeting to serve as directors of the Company: Mr. Donald A. Burns, Mr. Richard Harris, Dr. Yuen Wah Sing and Mr. Gerald Vento;

elect Mr. Izhak Gross, who was appointed by the Board to serve as a director on August 9, 2016 to fill the vacancy caused by the retirement of Mr. Yoseph Dauber, to continue to serve as a director on the Board; and

elect Mr. Don C. Bell III and Mr. Alan B. Howe to fill the two vacancies on the Board,

in each case, to serve until the next annual general meeting of shareholders of the Company.

In connection with discussions with Kanen regarding his nomination of director candidates to the Board, the Board interviewed Mr. Howe and conducted a thorough review of Mr. Howe's qualifications. Based on this review and the recommendation of Kanen, the Board determined that Mr. Howe would make a meaningful contribution to the Board and elected to create a vacant position on the Board as of the 2016 Meeting and to include Mr. Howe in this Proposal 1.A for election to the Board. Further, and again upon the recommendation of Kanen, the Board determined that an additional independent director would benefit the Company and elected to create a vacant position on the Board, as of the 2016 Meeting, for an independent director nominee. Upon interviewing Mr. Bell and reviewing his qualifications,

the Nominating Committee and the Board resolved that he would make a valuable addition to the Board, and the Board voted to nominate Mr. Bell for election to the Board in this Proposal 1.A . The Board further determined that both Mr. Howe and Mr. Bell meet the independent director qualifications described in the “Corporate Governance – Director Independence” section of this Proxy Statement.

Company Nominees

Each of the Company Nominees has attested to the Board and the Company that he or she meets all the requirements in connection with the election of directors under the Companies Law and has consented to be named as a nominee to the Board in this Proxy Statement and to serve if elected. If any substitute nominee(s) should be designated, the Company will file an amended proxy statement and WHITE proxy card that, as applicable, identifies the substitute nominee(s), discloses that such nominee(s) have consented to being named in the revised proxy statement and to serve if elected, and includes the biographical and other information about such nominee(s) as required by the rules of the SEC and the Companies Law.

The biographical information for each Company Nominee nominated by our Board is set forth below.

DONALD A. BURNS (53) was appointed to the Board on December 17, 2010, and has served as Chairman of the Board since January 1, 2013. Mr. Burns served as President of YMax Corporation (“YMax”) from March 2007 to February 2008, Director of YMax from March 2007 to June 2009 and Chairman of the Board of Directors of YMax from February 2008 to June 2009. In 1993, Mr. Burns founded Telco Communications Group, Inc., a telecommunications company, and its Dial & Save subsidiaries, and served as the Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman until the company was sold to Excel Telecommunications, Inc. in 1997. Mr. Burns is the founder and President of The Donald A. Burns Foundation, Inc. Mr. Burns attended the University of Maryland. Mr. Burns’ qualifications for our Board include his leadership skills and years of experience working in the telecommunications industry.

RICHARD HARRIS (69) was appointed to the Board on March 26, 2013. Mr. Harris is founder and president of Harris & Associates, a twenty-two year-old consulting firm specializing in financial, operational and strategic consulting services to start-up and high growth telecommunications and technology firms. Mr. Harris' experience includes strategic planning, capital formation, corporate valuations, litigation support and expert testimony. He has served as Chief Financial Officer for Independent Wireless One as Vice President of Operations, Finance and Administration for Horizon Cellular Telephone Company as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Metrophone Cellular Communications Company as Chief Financial Officer for Nobel Learning Centers as Controller for Harrah's Atlantic City and as Audit Manager for Coopers and Lybrand. He has served on the Board of Directors and as Chairman of the Finance Committee of Amtrol Inc. since 2007. Mr. Harris holds an MBA in Finance from the Wharton School in Philadelphia, a BS in Accounting from the Pennsylvania State University and has CPA licenses in Pennsylvania and New Jersey. Mr. Harris contributes to the mix of experience and qualifications the Board seeks to maintain primarily through his consulting work in the telecommunications industry and his experience as a Chief Financial Officer for public companies.

DR. YUEN WAH SING (62) was appointed to the Board upon the consummation of the 2010 business combination between VocalTec Communications Ltd. ("VocalTec") and YMax on July 16, 2010. Dr. Sing served as the President of TigerJet Network, Inc. ("TigerJet"), currently a wholly owned subsidiary of YMax, from June 2008 through July 2016. Dr. Sing brings more than 30 years of semiconductor and VoIP communication industry experience to the Company. He has served as a director of YMax since 2008 and as its Chairman since October 2009. Prior to its acquisition by YMax in 2008, from 1998 to 2008, Dr. Sing founded and was the Chief Executive Officer of TigerJet. Prior to founding TigerJet, Dr. Sing was the founder of 8x8 Inc./Packet 8, a video conferencing and VoIP company and served as Executive Vice President and Vice Chairman from 1987 to 1997. Dr. Sing received a PhD and MS degree in electrical engineering from the University of California, Berkeley. We believe his experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, particularly in the telecommunications industry, qualify him to serve as a member of our Board.

GERALD VENTO (69) was appointed to the Board upon the consummation of the 2010 business combination between VocalTec and YMax on July 16, 2010. Mr. Vento has served as a director of YMax since 2008, and served as Chairman of the Board from April 2012 through December 2012. Effective January 1, 2013, Mr. Vento was appointed to serve as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Mr. Vento previously served as a member of the Board of Managers of Velocity Express, LLC, a privately held transportation and logistics company, from 2009 through 2012, and its CEO and Executive Chairman from 2011 to 2012. Mr. Vento served as the CEO and Executive Chairman of Westec Intelligent Surveillance, a privately held video surveillance security company, from 2004 through 2009, and continued to serve as a director of Westec through 2012. From 1996 to 2002, Mr. Vento served as the Chief Executive Officer of TelCorp PCS Inc. From 1993 to 1995, he served as the Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Sprint Spectrum/American PCS, L.P., where he oversaw the development of the first PCS network in the United States. Mr. Vento contributes to the mix of experience and qualifications the Board seeks to maintain primarily through his extensive business growth experience and prior work in the telecommunications industry.

DON C. BELL III (48) has been the owner and general partner of Tidal Capital LLC since April 2011, and President of Trigg Partners since August 2014. He was President and Principal Owner of Tidal Research, LLC from 2007 to 2011. From 2003 to 2006, he served as Senior Vice President of Marketing and Corporate Development at IPC

Systems, Inc. From 2001 to 2003, he was Vice President of Clearwire Technologies. From 1998 to 2001, he was an Investment Banker in the Mergers and Strategic Advisory Department of Goldman Sachs. He is an independent director of Wireless Telecom Group and serves as the chairman of its Compensation Committee and is a member of its Nomination and Governance Committee. He was an independent director of TeleCommunication Systems Inc. from 2015 through its sale to Comtech Telecommunications in 2016, and served as a member of the Compensation Committee and the Nomination and Governance Committee and as Chairman of the Special Committee formed to evaluate and oversee the sales process. Mr. Bell was an independent director of NTS Communications from 2012 through the sale of the Company to Tower Three Partners in 2014, and served as a member of the Special Committee formed in connection with such sale. Mr. Bell holds a Master's Degree in Business Administration from The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, and graduated from St. John's College with a BA in Classics. Mr. Bell's operating, finance and public director experience in the Telecom and Technology industry qualify him to serve on the Company's Board of Directors.

IZHAK GROSS (70) was appointed to the Board effective August 9, 2016. Mr. Gross co-founded four global companies in fields ranging from network messaging, web conferencing, VoIP systems and recyclable printed boards. From 2006 to 2010, Mr. Gross served as the co-founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Kroom Ltd., a company headquartered in Tel Aviv, Israel that designed and manufactured a wide range of products made out of laminated printed board. From 1996 to 2005, he served as the co-founder and Chairman of ArelNet Ltd., a company headquartered in Yavne, Israel that was publicly traded on the Israel Stock Exchange (TASE). ArelNet Ltd. was a pioneer of VoIP communications producing switching and delivery systems. From 1988 to 2005, Mr. Gross also served as co-founder and Chairman of Arel Communications and Software Ltd., a technology leader in interactive web communications headquartered in Yavne, Israel that was publicly traded on Nasdaq. From 1982 to 1988, he served as cofounder and Managing Director of Arel Computers and Software Ltd., a company headquartered in Yavne, Israel that marketed the ARCOM Value Added Network messaging transaction system, including fax, email, telex and telegraph systems deployed in more than 50 countries. From 1976 to 1979, Mr. Gross served as a Senior System Programmer for Granot Central Cooperating Ltd. in Emek Hefer, Israel, and from 1973 to 1986, he taught mathematics and physics at Kibutz Gan Shmuel High School in Gan Schmuel, Israel. Mr. Gross received a BSC in Theoretical Physics from Technion, Israel Institute of Technology in Haifa, Israel in 1973. Mr. Gross contributes to the mix of experience and qualifications the Board seeks to maintain primarily through his over 30 years of experience co-founding and managing global high-tech companies with a special focus on communications software and hardware.

ALAN B. HOWE (55) has served as Co-Founder and Managing Partner of Broadband Initiatives, LLC, a boutique corporate advisory and consulting firm, since 2001, and provides various strategic and operational consulting services to multiple corporate clients in that role. He served as Vice President of Strategic and Wireless Business Development for Covad Communications, Inc., a national broadband telecommunications company, from May 2005 to October 2008, and as Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Corporate Development for TELETRAC, Inc., a mobile data and location solutions provider, from April 1995 to April 2001. Previously, he held various executive management positions for Sprint and Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company. Mr. Howe is currently a member of the board of directors of Determine, Inc. (NASDAQ: DTRM), serving as Vice Chairman of the board, Data I/O Corporation (NASDAQ: DAIO), serving as Chairman of the board, and Urban Communications, Inc. (TSX-V: UBN). He previously served on the board of directors of Qualstar (NASDAQ: QBAK), Ditech Networks, Inc. (formerly, NASDAQ: DITC), Altigen Communications, Inc. (OTC: ATGN), Calloway's Nursery, Inc. (OTC: CLWY), and Crossroads Systems, Inc. (NASDAQ: CRDS). Mr. Howe holds a B.S. in business administration and marketing from the University of Illinois and an M.B.A. from the Indiana University Kelley Graduate School of Business. Mr. Howe's operational, corporate finance, business development and corporate governance experience qualify him to serve on the Company's Board of Directors.

The Compensation Committee and the Board have not yet determined prospective director compensation for Mr. Bell and Mr. Howe. The Board will make this determination should Mr. Bell and Mr. Howe be elected to the Board by the shareholders under Proposal 1.A, and pursuant to the Company's Compensation Policy should it be approved.

Additional Information Concerning Participants in the Company's Solicitation

Under applicable SEC rules and regulations, members of the Board, the Company Nominees, and certain officers and other employees of the Company are "participants" with respect to the Company's solicitation of proxies in connection with the 2016 Meeting. The following sets forth certain additional information about such persons (the "Company Participants").

Company Participants and Interests in the Company's Securities

The following table sets forth, as of December 28, 2016, the name of each Company Participants, their current business address, and the number of our ordinary shares, which constitute our only voting securities, beneficially owned by them. The data presented is based on information provided to us by the holders or disclosed in public filings with the SEC. The percentage of outstanding ordinary shares is based on 15,909,195 ordinary shares outstanding (excluding shares held in treasury) plus the ordinary shares issuable pursuant to ordinary share options and restricted share grants for each shareholder within 60 days of December 28, 2016.

Edgar Filing: MAGICJACK VOCALTEC LTD - Form PRER14A

Name of Company Participant	Ordinary Shares Beneficially Owned Number (1)	Percent
Donald A. Burns		
c/o magicJack VocalTec Ltd.		
12 Haomanut Street, 2 nd Floor	457,230	2.87 %
Poleg Industrial Zone		
Netanya Israel 4250045		
Richard Harris		
c/o magicJack VocalTec Ltd.		
12 Haomanut Street, 2 nd Floor	10,714	*
Poleg Industrial Zone		
Netanya Israel 4250045		
Dr. Yuen Wah Sing(2)		
c/o magicJack VocalTec Ltd.		
12 Haomanut Street, 2 nd Floor	256,973	1.60 %
Poleg Industrial Zone		
Netanya Israel 425004		
Gerald Vento(3)		
c/o magicJack VocalTec Ltd.		
12 Haomanut Street, 2 nd Floor	939,949	5.65 %
Poleg Industrial Zone		
Netanya Israel 4250045		
Don Carlos Bell III	-	
c/o magicJack VocalTec Ltd.		
12 Haomanut Street, 2 nd Floor	-	-
Poleg Industrial Zone		
Netanya Israel 4250045		
Izhak Gross(4)	-	-

c/o magicJack VocalTec Ltd.

12 Haomanut Street, 2nd Floor

Poleg Industrial Zone

Netanya Israel 4250045

17

Name of Company Participant	Ordinary Shares	
	Beneficially Owned Number (1)	Percent
Alan Bradley Howe c/o Broadband Initiatives , LLC 10755 Scripps Poway Pkwy, Suite 302 San Diego, CA 92131 Jose Gordo(5)	-	-
c/o magicJack VocalTec Ltd. 12 Haomanut Street, 2 nd Floor Poleg Industrial Zone Netanya Israel 4250045	595,777	3.62 %

* Represents less than 1% of the outstanding ordinary shares.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and includes voting power with respect to ordinary shares. Unless otherwise indicated below, to our knowledge, all persons included in this table have sole voting and dispositive power with respect to their ordinary shares, except to the extent authority is shared by spouses under applicable law. Pursuant to the rules of the SEC, the number of ordinary shares deemed outstanding (1) includes shares issuable upon settlement of restricted ordinary shares held by the respective person or group that will vest within 60 days of the date hereof and pursuant to ordinary share options held by the respective person or group that are currently exercisable or may be exercised within 60 days of the date hereof, which we refer to as presently exercisable ordinary share options.

(2) Includes 66,667 ordinary shares issuable pursuant to ordinary share options.

(3) Includes 722,782 ordinary shares issuable pursuant to ordinary share options.

(4) Mr. Gross was appointed a director on August 9, 2016.

(5) Includes 561,680 ordinary shares issuable pursuant to ordinary share options and restricted share grants.

Additional Information Concerning the Company Participants

Except where otherwise indicated in this Proxy Statement, (i) none of the Company Participants owns any securities of the Company which are owned of record but not beneficially, (ii) none of the Company Participants has purchased or sold any securities of the Company during the past two years; (iii) none of the Company Participants is, or within the past year was, a party to any contract, arrangements or understandings with any person with respect to any securities of the Company, including, but not limited to, joint ventures, loan or option arrangements, puts or calls,

guarantees against loss or guarantees of profit, division of losses or profits, or the giving or withholding of proxies; (iv) no associate of any of the Company Participant owns beneficially, directly or indirectly, any securities of the Company; (v) none of the Company Participants owns beneficially, directly or indirectly, any securities of any parent or subsidiary of the Company; (vi) none of the Company Participants or any of their associates was a party to any transaction, or series of similar transactions, since the beginning of the Company's last fiscal year, or is a party to any currently proposed transaction, or series of similar transactions, to which the Company or any of its subsidiaries was or is to be a party, in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, excepting any compensation or employment agreements disclosed herein; (vii) none of the Company Participants or any of their associates have any arrangement or understanding with any person with respect to any future employment by the Company or its affiliates or with respect to any future transactions to which the Company or any of its affiliates will or may be a party, excepting the proposed consulting agreement between the Company and Mr. Vento as described herein. Further, none of the Company Participants, except for our directors and executive officers acting solely in that capacity, has any substantial interest, direct or indirect, by security holdings or otherwise, in any matter to be acted upon at the 2016 Meeting.

Proposed Resolution

It is proposed that the following resolution be adopted at the 2016 Meeting:

“RESOLVED, that the re-election of Mr. Donald A. Burns, Mr. Richard Harris, Dr. Yuen Wah Sing and Mr. Gerald Vento and the election of Mr. Don C. Bell III, Mr. Izhak Gross and Mr. Alan B. Howe, as directors of the Company until the next annual general meeting of shareholders is hereby approved.”

Board Recommendation

THE COMPANY'S BOARD RECOMMENDS SHAREHOLDERS

VOTE “FOR” EACH OF THE COMPANY NOMINEES NAMED IN PROPOSAL 1.A.

The following information regarding Carnegie and the Carnegie Nominees has been provided to the Company by Carnegie and publicly disclosed on the Carnegie Schedule 14N and as such the information set forth is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Carnegie Schedule 14N. The Company has not independently verified the following information and the Company and the Board provide no assurances as to its completeness or accuracy.

PROPOSAL 1.B

CARNEGIE PROPOSAL ON THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Carnegie has nominated Mr. Frank J. Bell, Mr. Nabil N. El-Hage, Mr. Richard Kimsey, Mr. Morris A. Miller, Mr. Richard W. Talarico, Mr. Alan B. Howe and Mr. Gerald Vento to serve as directors of the Company until the next annual general meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

Change of Control

If the Carnegie Nominees are elected, a Change of Control will occur under certain of the Company's contracts as described in this Proxy Statement under the heading "Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control."

Carnegie Nominees

Each of the Carnegie Nominees has attested to the Board and the Company that he meets all the requirements in connection with the election of directors under the Companies Law and has consented to be named as a nominee to the Board in this Proxy Statement and to serve if elected. As reported on the Carnegie Schedule 14N, each Carnegie Nominee, other than Mr. Vento, would be an "independent director" of the Company under the current standards for "independence" established by Nasdaq and the SEC. Neither the Compensation Committee nor the Board has considered director compensation terms for the Carnegie Nominees should they be elected to the Board at the 2016 Meeting.

The biographical information for the Carnegie Nominees is set forth below.

FRANK BELL (62) is a telecommunications expert specializing in starting up/transforming and streamlining companies, driving revenue growth and profitability, and maximizing market value. Mr. Bell has led 8 successful start-up and turnaround companies and has more than 30 years of relevant industry experience. Currently, Mr. Bell is President of Wireless Consulting Services, Inc. where he provides Executive and Senior Level Management consulting services for wireless operators, focusing on start-ups, restructures, and retail distribution & market expansions. His current work is focused on companies including: TracFone (a subsidiary of America Movil - Mexico); RBD Marketing (dba SalesMakers, Inc. - USA); and Limitless Mobile LLC (UK). Past clients include: Sprint PCS, Ericsson, Columbia Capital, MC Venture Partners, and Thermo Companies. He is currently serving as a contract executive to RBD Marketing and is responsible for all corporate strategy and business development. Prior to his executive consulting roles, Mr. Bell was President of Global Sales and Marketing for Globalstar which provides satellite voice and data services in 120+ countries around the world. At Globalstar, Mr. Bell successfully re-engaged distribution partners and launched three new products resulting in a doubling of revenue for duplex sales (the company's satellite phone) in just one year and an increase in the stock price of 800%. Prior to Globalstar, Mr. Bell led Open Mobile (Puerto Rico) for six years as its President and Chief Operating Officer. Under Mr. Bell's leadership, the company achieved its 5-year subscriber business plan in 18 months, was EBITDA positive in 5 months, and all equity was returned to investors within 4 years. Prior to Open Mobile, Mr. Bell was a Founding Officer of MetroPCS and was responsible for delivering over 1 million wireless subscribers in Florida. Prior to MetroPCS, Mr. Bell had multiple roles with profit and loss responsibility at SprintPCS, Pacific Telesis (PacTel Paging), and DialPage (a Providence Journal Company). Mr. Bell has a MA - Human Resource Management & Organizational Development from Pepperdine University in Malibu, CA; and a BS - Business Administration from Old Dominion University in Norfolk, VA. He also served as a Captain in the US Army. Mr. Bell brings consumer, business, international, and public company telecommunications experience and will provide insightful direction to the Company.

NABIL N. EL-HAGE (58) is an expert in corporate governance and corporate finance. Mr. El-Hage founded the Academy of Executive Education, LLC, an independent provider of executive education and advisory programs to institutional clients specializing in providing corporate governance education. Prior to founding the Academy of Executive Education, Mr. El-Hage served as Senior Associate Dean for External Relations and Adjunct Professor of Business Administration at Harvard Business School and has also served as Professor of Management Practice at Harvard Business School in the Finance Area and as a Senior Lecturer at Harvard Business School. While at Harvard, Mr. El-Hage was voted Capstone Professor six times, a rare honor, and was also awarded the prestigious Student Association Teaching Award in 2006. In addition to his academic experience, Mr. El-Hage gained experience in venture capital and private equity with TA Associates, Levant Capital Partners, and Advent International, as well as operating experience as the Chief Financial Officer of The Westwood Group, Inc. and Back Bay Restaurant Group, Inc. He also has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Jeepers! Inc., a private equity-financed national chain of indoor theme parks. Mr. El-Hage has served on the boards of numerous private and public companies, ranging from start-ups to those with several billion dollars in revenues. He also previously served as the independent chairman of the MassMutual Premier Funds, a \$10 billion+ mutual fund complex. Mr. El-Hage's diverse areas of expertise in corporate governance, management, and finance which have been honed through both business and academic excellence coupled with his extensive board experience will deliver a great deal of knowledge and perspective to the Company.

RICHARD L. KIMSEY (62) brings more than 30 years' experience in the telecommunications industry and has played a lead role in several prominent companies. Most recently, Mr. Kimsey founded the third largest urgent care business in western Florida, Lavender Health Care. Prior to establishing Lavender, Mr. Kimsey was CEO of Caribbean Operations for Cable & Wireless, plc where he was responsible for transforming fourteen disparate, slow-moving island phone companies into a cohesive, fast-moving integrated communications service provider. Prior to Cable & Wireless, Mr. Kimsey was CEO of TelePacific Communications, a California-based competitive carrier, where he laid the foundation for TelePacific to be named one of Inc. Magazine's Top 100 "Fastest-Growing Private Companies in America". Prior to leading Telepacific, Mr. Kimsey served as President, Southeast Region for Sprint PCS where he was responsible for the planning, start-up, implementation and profit-and-loss management of the company's operations in thirteen states. As leader of Sprint PCS' most successful region, Mr. Kimsey was responsible for a significant portion of the company's \$6 billion in annual revenue, and directed the activities of over 3,000 associates while his region led the other three Sprint PCS regions in almost every key performance metric. Prior to joining Sprint PCS, Mr. Kimsey served as executive director for Cox Enterprises, Inc. where he was in charge of personal communications systems (PCS) development while overseeing the strategy and successful implementation of the delivery of wireless telecommunications over cable television infrastructure. Prior to joining Cox Enterprises, Mr. Kimsey spent eight years with BellSouth's cellular operations where he was involved in the startup of their cellular operations in the United States and Australia. Mr. Kimsey earned a master's degree in Business Administration from Vanderbilt University and a Bachelor's of Science degree from the University of Tennessee. Mr. Kimsey's directly related experiences in competitive telecommunications businesses along with his MBA and CPA background will provide extraordinary and relevant guidance and perspective to the Company.

MORRIS A. MILLER (50) is a technology investor and co-founded Rackspace Hosting, Inc. Most recently, Mr. Miller serves as the Chief Executive Officer of Xenex Disinfection Services LLC, a world leader in automated room disinfection through the use of Xenon technology and innovative hospital disinfection protocols. Mr. Miller is responsible for Xenex's overall business strategy and oversight of day-to-day operations. Mr. Miller has previously formed Sequel Ventures and Cutstone Ventures which invests in and acts as an advisor to numerous start-ups including Inventables, and Golfballs.com. Prior to Sequel and Cutstone, Mr. Miller was a Co-Founder of Rackspace Hosting, Inc. and served as its Managing Director and Chief Executive Officer after prior roles of being its President and Chief Operating Officer beginning in 1999. Prior to Rackspace, Mr. Miller served as Managing Director for Knightsbridge, LLC and as a Principal at Curtis Hill Publishing Company. He also held various positions at Matthews & Branscomb, a San Antonio law firm. Mr. Miller served as a board member of Rackspace Hosting, Inc. from 1999 to 2015 and has served as a Member of the Advisory Board at Inventables, Inc., The Search Monitor LLC, and Adometry, Inc. (formerly known as Click Forensics, Inc.) which was sold to Google. Mr. Miller received a B.A. in Psychology from The University of Texas at Austin, and a J.D. from the Dedman School of Law at Southern Methodist University. Mr. Miller is also an alumnus of Phillips Exeter Academy, and a member of APIC, BioMed SA, and ACG Central Texas. Mr. Miller brings high growth, real-world, public company CEO experience from his time at Rackspace along with multiple board experiences and legal expertise that will provide governance and business direction to the Company.

RICHARD W. TALARICO (61) has been associated with The Hawthorne Group since March of 1986. Hawthorne is a private investment and management company which invests through affiliates primarily in media and communications companies. Hawthorne provides management and administrative services to these business ventures. Mr. Talarico became a partner in the firm in 1990. Mr. Talarico has been involved in numerous start-up and

turnaround investments including the cable television, video post-production, advertising and promotion agency and software development industries. Mr. Talarico's responsibilities have included structuring, negotiating and financing activities and operating roles in the portfolio companies including chief financial officer and chief executive officer. Mr. Talarico has conducted many executive search activities on behalf of portfolio companies and has served as a board member on a number of these companies. Mr. Talarico was a founding partner in Allin Communications Corporation in 1994, a Hawthorne-backed investment. Mr. Talarico became Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company in July 1996. Mr. Talarico led a successful public offering in November of 1996. Mr. Talarico also served as Chairman of the Board of Directors from July 1996 until September 2009 and continues to serve as a Director of the Company. Mr. Talarico has served as an officer and director of the Company's other subsidiaries since their inception or acquisition by the Company. Mr. Talarico also has served on the Board of Directors of the Jefferson Regional Medical Center, a 341 bed acute care hospital, since 2011. In addition, Mr. Talarico is a board member (since 2013) and Chairman of the Grants Committee of the Jefferson Regional Foundation. The Foundation has assets in excess of \$75 million and makes charitable grants in the Hospital's service area. Since 2014, Mr. Talarico has served as a board member of Brentwood Bank. The Bank, with assets of approximately \$560 million dollars, has served the Western Pennsylvania area since 1922 with full commercial banking services including residential and commercial lending. Mr. Talarico serves as chairman of the Governance and Nominating Committee, and is a member of the Asset/Liability, Loan and Audit Committees. Prior to joining The Hawthorne Group, Mr. Talarico was a Tax Manager with the Pittsburgh office of Arthur Andersen & Co. where he earned his Certified Public Accountant certification in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Mr. Talarico graduated Cum Laude from Duquesne University with a BS in Business Administration and earned a Masters in Business Administration from the University of Michigan. Mr. Talarico's executive search experience, public company CEO experience, and mergers and acquisitions background will provide valuable expertise and direction to the Company.

ALAN B. HOWE *For information on Mr. Howe's background and experience, please see his biographical information provided under Proposal 1.A.*

GERALD VENTO *For information on Mr. Vento's background and experience, please see his biographical information provided under Proposal 1.A.*

Information Concerning Carnegie's Solicitation

Carnegie has retained MacKenzie Partners, Inc. ("MacKenzie") to assist in the solicitation of proxies by Carnegie in connection with the 2016 Meeting. Aggregate costs of this solicitation, including costs of solicitation and legal and other advisors, are estimated to be \$250,000. The Company will bear the cost of the distribution of this Proxy Statement and related materials and the solicitation of votes on the enclosed WHITE proxy card. Carnegie and the Carnegie Nominees may publish soliciting materials at www.mjproxy.com.

Additional Information Concerning Participants in Carnegie's Solicitation

Under applicable SEC rules and regulations, The Carnegie Nominees, Carnegie Technologies, LLC and Paul M. Posner are "participants" with respect to Carnegie's solicitation of proxies in connection with the 2016 Meeting. The following sets forth certain additional information about such persons (the "Carnegie Participants").

Carnegie Participants and Interests in the Company's Securities

The following table sets forth, pursuant to the Carnegie Schedule 14N, the name of each of the Carnegie Participants, their current business address, and the number of our ordinary shares, which constitute our only voting securities, beneficially owned by them. The data presented is based on information provided to us by the holders or disclosed in public filings with the SEC. The percentage of outstanding ordinary shares is based on 15,909,195 ordinary shares outstanding (excluding shares held in treasury) plus the ordinary shares issuable pursuant to ordinary share options and restricted share grants for each shareholder within 60 days of December 28, 2016.

**Ordinary Shares
Beneficially**

Name of Beneficial Owner	Owned Number (1)	Percent
Paul M. Posner (2)		
c/o Carnegie Technologies Holdings, LLC 8522 Broadway Street Suite 209 San Antonio, Texas 78217 Frank Bell (3)	247,334	1.55 %
3622 Paradise Way Jacksonville, FL 32250 Nabil N. El-Hage (4)	-	-
One Calle Candina #801 San Juan, PR 00907 Richard L. Kimsey (5)	-	-
6726 Chancery Pl University Park, FL 34201 Morris A. Miller (6)	-	-
200 Patterson, Apt 1006 San Antonio, TX 78209 Richard W. Talarico (7)	-	-
3000 Grandview Farms Place Bethel Park, PA 15102	-	-

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and includes voting power with respect to ordinary shares. Unless otherwise indicated below, to our knowledge, all persons included in this table have sole voting and dispositive power with respect to their ordinary shares, except to the extent authority is shared by spouses under applicable law. Pursuant to the rules of the SEC, the number of ordinary shares deemed outstanding (1) includes shares issuable upon settlement of restricted ordinary shares held by the respective person or group that will vest within 60 days of the date hereof and pursuant to ordinary share options held by the respective person or group that are currently exercisable or may be exercised within 60 days of the date hereof, which we refer to as presently exercisable ordinary share options.

Information based on the Carnegie Schedule 14N. No part of the purchase price or market value of the securities (2) of the Company owned by Paul M. Posner is represented by funds borrowed or otherwise obtained for the purpose of acquiring or holding such securities.

(3) Information based on the Carnegie Schedule 14N.

(4) Information based on the Carnegie Schedule 14N.

(5) Information based on the Carnegie Schedule 14N.

(6) Information based on the Carnegie Schedule 14N.

(7) Information based on the Carnegie Schedule 14N.

Shares Purchased and Sold

The following table sets forth, as of January 19, 2017, with respect to the number of our ordinary shares purchased or sold within two years by Paul M. Posner, the dates on which they were purchased or sold and the amount purchased or sold on each such date, as disclosed in the Carnegie Schedule 14N. None of the Carnegie Nominees has purchased or sold any securities of the Company during the past two years.

	Amount
Date Purchased or Sold	Purchased and Sold (-)
June 2, 2016	3,190
June 3, 2016	16,334
June 9, 2016	4,729
June 10, 2016	8,500
June 13, 2016	500
June 14, 2016	1,000
June 15, 2016	32,223
June 16, 2016	5,700
June 22, 2016	85,000
June 23, 2016	20,000
June 24, 2016	20,000
June 29, 2015	12,119
June 30, 2016	14,510
July 1, 2016	5,100
July 5, 2016	4,329
July 6, 2016	5,000
July 22, 2016	11,300
August 17, 2016	-2,200
TOTAL	247,334

Additional Information

As set forth in the Carnegie Schedule 14N:

Except as otherwise set forth in the Carnegie Schedule 14N (including Exhibit A thereto), (i) during the past 10 years, no Carnegie Participant has been convicted in a criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations or similar misdemeanors); (ii) no Carnegie Participant directly or indirectly beneficially owns any securities of the Company; (iii) no Carnegie Participant owns any securities of the Company which are owned of record but not beneficially; (iv) no Carnegie Participant has purchased or sold any securities of the Company during the past two years; (v) no part of the purchase price or market value of the securities of the Company owned by any Carnegie Participant is represented by funds borrowed or otherwise obtained for the purpose of acquiring or holding such securities; (vi) no Carnegie Participant is, or within the past year was, a party to any contract, arrangements or understandings with any person with respect to any securities of the Company, including, but not limited to, joint ventures, loan or option arrangements, puts or calls, guarantees against loss or guarantees of profit, division of losses or profits, or the giving or withholding of proxies; (vii) no associate of any Carnegie Participant owns beneficially, directly or indirectly, any securities of the Company; (viii) no Carnegie Participant owns beneficially, directly or indirectly, any securities of any parent or subsidiary of the Company; (ix) no Carnegie Participant or any of his or its associates was a party to any transaction, or series of similar transactions, since the beginning of the Company's last fiscal year, or is a party to any currently proposed transaction, or series of similar transactions, to which the Company or any of its subsidiaries was or is to be a party, in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000; (x) no Carnegie Participant or any of his or its associates has any arrangement or understanding with any person with respect to any future employment by the Company or its affiliates, or with respect to any future transactions to which the Company or any of its affiliates will or may be a party; (xi) no Carnegie Participant has a substantial interest, direct or indirect, by securities holdings or otherwise in any matter to be acted on at the 2016 Meeting; (xii) no Carnegie Participant holds any positions or offices with the Company; (xiii) no Carnegie Participant has a family relationship with any director, executive officer, or person nominated or chosen by the Company to become a director or executive officer and (xiv) no companies or organizations, with which any of the Carnegie Participants has been employed in the past five years, is a parent, subsidiary or other affiliate of the Company. There are no material proceedings to which any Carnegie Participant or any of his or its associates is a party adverse to the Company or any of its subsidiaries or has a material interest adverse to the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

With respect to each of the Carnegie Participants, none of the events enumerated in Item 401(f)(1)-(8) of Regulation S-K of the Exchange Act occurred during the past ten years.

Other than as stated above and elsewhere in the Carnegie Schedule 14N, there are no agreements, arrangements or understandings between the Carnegie Participants or their affiliates and associates, and the Nominees or any other person or persons pursuant to which the nomination described herein is to be made and the Reporting Persons and their affiliates and associates have no material interest in such nomination, including any anticipated benefit therefrom to Carnegie or any of their affiliates or associates.

Other than as stated above and elsewhere in the Schedule 14N, there are no (1) direct or indirect material interests in any contract or agreement between the Carnegie Participants and/or the Company or any affiliate of the Company (including any employment agreement, collective bargaining agreement, or consulting agreement), (2) material pending or threatened legal proceedings in which the Carnegie Participants are a party, involving the Company, any of its executive officers or directors, or any affiliate of the Company; and (3) other material relationships between the Carnegie Participants, and/or the Company or any affiliate of the Company not otherwise disclosed herein. Mr. Ball currently acts as a consultant to Carnegie for a monthly fee of \$5,000. Mr. Talarico is currently Chief Executive Officer of an entity Allin Corporation that is controlled by Paul M. Posner.

Proposed Resolution

It is proposed by Carnegie that the following resolution be adopted at the 2016 Meeting:

“**RESOLVED**, that the election of Mr. Frank J. Bell, Mr. Nabil N. El-Hage, Mr. Richard Kimsey, Mr. Morris A. Miller, Mr. Richard W. Talarico, Mr. Alan B. Howe and Mr. Gerald Vento, as directors of the Company until the next annual general meeting of shareholders is hereby approved.”

Board Recommendation

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS SHAREHOLDERS

DISREGARD EACH OF THE CARNEGIE NOMINEES NAMED IN PROPOSAL 1.B.

The following discussion and analysis contains statements regarding the Company's corporate governance policies and the directors and director nominees of the Board. For information related to the Carnegie Nominees, please see Proposal 1.B.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

General Information

Director Independence

The Board makes an annual determination of independence as to each board member under the current standards for "independence" established by Nasdaq and the SEC. On August 23, 2016, the Board determined that all of its directors, except Gerald Vento and Dr. Yuen Wah Sing, are independent under these standards. On December 29, 2016, the Board determined that Mr. Bell and Mr. Howe are independent under these standards.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships among any of the Company's directors, director nominees or executive officers.

Shareholder Communications with the Board

We provide a process by which our shareholders may send communications to the Board, any committee of the Board, the non-management directors or any particular director. Shareholders can contact our non-management directors by sending such communications to the attention of the Secretary, c/o magicJack VocalTec Ltd., 12 Haomanut Street, 2nd Floor, Poleg Industrial Zone, Netanya 4250445, Israel or at www.vocaltec.com. Shareholders wishing to communicate with a particular Board member, a particular Board committee or the Board as a whole may send a written communication to the same address. The Secretary will forward such communication to the full Board, to the appropriate committee or to any individual director or directors to whom the communication is addressed, unless the communication is unrelated to the duties and responsibilities of the Board (such as spam, junk mail and mass mailings, ordinary course disputes over fees or services, personal employee complaints, business inquiries, new product or service suggestions, resumes and other forms of job inquiries, surveys, business solicitations or

advertisements) or is unduly hostile, threatening, illegal, or harassing, in which case the Secretary has the authority to discard the communication or take appropriate legal action regarding the communication.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a written code of ethics that applies to our directors, officers (including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions) and other employees. A copy of our code of ethics is available on the Company's website: www.vocaltec.com under the "Corporate Governance - Governance Documents" tab. Amendments to and waivers from the code of ethics, as applicable, will be disclosed on the Company's website.

Board Leadership Structure

Our Amended and Restated Articles of Association does not contain a policy on whether the roles of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer should be separate or combined, with this decision being made by the Board based on the best interests of the Company considering the circumstances at the time. In addition, the Companies Law provides that the Chief Executive Officer, or his or her relative may not also serve as the Chairman of the Board unless the term does not exceed three years and such appointment is approved by the Company shareholders, including (i) the majority of votes of non-controlling shareholders and shareholders who do not have a Personal Interest in the approval, and who are participating in the voting, in person, by proxy or by written ballot, at the meeting (votes abstaining shall not be taken into account) or (ii) the total number of votes against the approval among the shareholders described in clause (i) does not exceed two percent (2%) of the voting rights in the Company. Currently, the offices of the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer are held by two different people. The Companies Law also provides that under certain circumstances the term of service for a company's Chief Executive Officer who also serves as the Chairman of the Board may exceed three years. The Chairman of the Board is Donald Burns, while our Chief Executive Officer is Gerald Vento. The Board believes that its independent, non-management directors, which currently make up four (4) of six (6) directors, provide a range of strong and independent views and opinions and sufficiently balance the governance needs of the Company. In addition, the Company's non-management directors meet in periodic executive sessions without any members of management present. The purpose of these executive sessions is to promote open and candid discussion among the non-management directors.

Board Involvement in Risk Oversight and Risk Assessment of Compensation Practices

Day-to-day management of risk is the direct responsibility of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the senior leadership team. The Board has oversight responsibility for managing risk at the Company, focusing on the adequacy of the Company's risk management and risk mitigation processes. The Board recognizes that an important part of its responsibilities is to evaluate the Company's exposure to risk and to monitor the steps management has taken to assess and control risk. In addition to the discussion of risk at the Board level in connection with these strategic and

operational areas, the Board's standing committees also focus on risk exposure as part of their on-going responsibilities. As such, our Audit Committee focuses on oversight of financial risks relating to the Company, including financial reporting and disclosure risks.

Board Vacancies

In the event that one or more vacancies is created in the Board, including without limitation a situation in which the number of directors is less than the maximum number permitted under the Amended and Restated Articles of Association, the continuing directors may appoint directors to temporarily fill any such vacancy, provided, however, that if the number of directors is less than four (4), they may only act in (i) an emergency or (ii) to fill the office of director which has become vacant or (iii) in order to call a general meeting of the Company for the purpose of electing directors to fill any or all vacancies, so that at least four (4) directors are in office as a result of said meeting.

Meetings and Committees of the Board

The Board

Each director is expected to devote sufficient time, energy and attention to ensure diligent performance of his or her duties and to attend all Board and applicable committee meetings. The Board met in person or by conference call twenty-four (24) times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016. Each director attended at least 75% of all Board and applicable committee meetings during fiscal year 2016.

The Board has not adopted a policy with respect to Board members' attendance at annual meetings of shareholders. One director attended the last annual meeting held on July 8, 2015.

The Committees

The Board has the following standing committees: (1) Audit Committee, (2) Compensation Committee and (3) Nominating Committee. The current composition of the committees is presented below. The Board has affirmatively determined that each director who currently serves on the Audit, Compensation and Nominating Committees is independent, as the term is defined by applicable Nasdaq and SEC rules.

Under a recent amendment to the Israel Companies Regulations (Relief for Public Companies Whose Securities are Listed for Trading on an Exchange Abroad) 5760- 2000 (Regulation 5d.), a company with no controlling shareholder and with securities listed for trading on certain stock exchanges outside of Israel, including Nasdaq, may adopt

exemptions from various corporate governance requirements of the Companies Law so long as the Company satisfies the applicable SEC and stock exchange requirements that apply to U.S. public companies relating to the appointment of independent directors and the composition of audit and compensation committees (collectively, the “Exemption”).

Companies adopting the Exemption are exempt from the following Companies Law requirements: (i) the composition requirements under the Companies Law for members of the Audit and Compensation Committees, including independence requirements, the requirement that external directors must be members of these committees, the requirement of who may be present at meetings and during discussions and decisions, and the quorum requirement and (ii) the requirement to appoint external directors (provided that if on the appointment date of a director, all members of the Board are of one sex, a director of the opposite sex shall be appointed), the requirement that an external director be members of each committee of the Board of Directors and the limitations on employment and payment to external directors and their relatives. External directors who were appointed before adoption of the Exemption by the Company may continue to serve on the Board until the earlier of the end of their term of office or until the second annual general meeting held following such adoption.

Our Board approved the adoption of the Exemption on August 2, 2016. Accordingly, we are not required to appoint external directors, provided that we comply with applicable SEC requirements and Nasdaq rules that apply to U.S. public companies relating to the appointment of independent directors and the composition of Audit and Compensation Committees, and such requirements and rules currently govern our Audit and Compensation Committee composition requirements. Accordingly, Tal Yaron-Eldar no longer serves in her capacity as external director and will continue serving as a non-external director until the end of her term of office.

Audit Committee

The membership of the Audit Committee consists of at least three (3) directors, all of whom shall meet the independence requirements established by the Board and applicable laws, regulations, and listing requirements. Each member shall in the judgment of the Board have the ability to read and understand fundamental financial statements and otherwise meet the financial sophistication standard established by the requirements of the Nasdaq rules. At least one member of the Audit Committee shall in the judgment of the Board be an “audit committee financial expert” as defined by the rules and regulations of the SEC.

The Nasdaq Listing Standards require that all members of our Audit Committee be comprised of directors who are “independent” as such term is defined by Rule 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Standards.

The purpose of our Audit Committee is to provide assistance to our Board in fulfilling its legal and fiduciary obligations with respect to matters involving the oversight of the quality and integrity of the accounting, auditing, financial reporting and internal control functions of the Company and its subsidiaries as well as complying with the legal requirements under Israeli law, the rules and regulations of the SEC and Nasdaq. The following are examples of functions within the authority of the Audit Committee:

to recommend to the Board and the shareholders the appointment, termination and approval of the compensation of, and oversee, the Company’s independent auditor;

to communicate on a regular basis with the Company’s outside auditors and review their operation and remuneration;

to assess the Company’s internal audit system and the performance of its independent auditor and if the necessary resources have been made available to the independent auditor considering the Company’s needs and size;

to determine arrangements for handling complaints of employees in relation to suspected flaws in the business management of the Company and the protection of the rights of such employees;

to discuss with management and the Company’s independent auditor significant risks or exposures and assess the steps management has taken to minimize such risks to the Company; and

to decide whether to approve acts or transactions involving directors, executive officers, controlling shareholders and third parties in which directors, executive officers or controlling shareholders have an interest.

As of the date hereof, our Audit Committee is comprised of Izhak Gross, Tal Yaron-Eldar and Richard Harris. The Board determined that each member of the Audit Committee meets the independence requirements of the Nasdaq Listing Standards and the enhanced independence standards for Audit Committee members required by the SEC. The Audit Committee met in person or by conference call five (5) times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016. Our Board has determined that Tal Yaron-Eldar qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert” as defined by Item 407(d) of Regulation S-K. A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available in the “Corporate Governance - Governance Documents” section of the Company’s website at www.vocaltec.com.

Compensation Committee

The Nasdaq Listing Standards require that all members of our Compensation Committee be comprised of directors who are “independent” as such term is defined by Rule 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Standards. In addition, the Nasdaq Listing Standards require that in affirmatively determining the independence of any director who will serve on the Compensation Committee, the Board of Directors must consider all factors specifically relevant to determining whether a director has a relationship to the Company which is material to the director’s ability to be independent from management in connection with the duties of a Compensation Committee member, including but not limited to: (i) the source of compensation of such director, including any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee paid by the Company to such director; and (ii) whether such director is affiliated with the Company, a subsidiary of the Company or an affiliate of a subsidiary of the Company.

As of the date hereof, our Compensation Committee is comprised of Tal Yaron-Eldar, Richard Harris, and Izhak Gross. The Board has determined that each member of the Compensation Committee meets the independence requirements of the Nasdaq Listing Standards, including the heightened independence requirements specific to Compensation Committee members required by the SEC. The Compensation Committee has been appointed to recommend to our Board the compensation paid to our executive officers. The Compensation Committee has adopted a written charter. A copy of the Compensation Committee Charter is available in the “Corporate Governance - Governance Documents” section of the Company’s website at www.vocaltec.com. Please see “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” for discussion about our processes and procedures for the consideration and determination of executive and director compensation, the role of executive officers in determining or recommending the amount or form of executive and director compensation, and information regarding the Company’s use of compensation consultants. The Compensation Committee met in person or by conference call seven (7) times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.

Nominating Committee and Director Nominating Process

Under the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Association, nominations for the election of directors may be made by the Board or a committee appointed by the Board or by any shareholder holding at least one percent (1%) of the outstanding voting power in the Company. However, and without limitation of Section 63 of the Companies Law, any such shareholder may nominate one or more persons for election as a director at a general meeting only if a written notice of such shareholder's intent to make such nomination or nominations has been given to the Secretary of the Company not later than (i) with respect to an election to be held at an annual general meeting of shareholders, ninety (90) days prior to the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting, and (ii) with respect to an election to be held at an extraordinary general meeting of shareholders for the election of directors, at least ninety (90) days prior to the date of such meeting. Each such notice shall set forth:

the name and address of the shareholder who intends to make the nomination and of the person or persons to be nominated;

a representation that the shareholder is a holder of record of shares of the Company entitled to vote at such meeting and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the 2016 Meeting to nominate the person or persons specified in the notice;

a description of all arrangements or understandings between the shareholder and each nominee and any other person or persons (naming such person or persons) pursuant to which the nomination or nominations are to be made by the shareholder; and

the consent of each nominee to serve as a director of the Company if so elected and a declaration signed by each of the nominees declaring that there is no limitation under the Companies Law for the appointment of such a nominee and that all the information that is required under the Companies Law to be provided to the Company in connection with such an appointment has been provided.

Notwithstanding the above, under a recent amendment to the Companies Law Regulations (Notice of General Meetings and Class Meetings of a Public Company and Addition of Items to the Agenda) 2000 (the "Amendment"), a shareholder who meets the conditions of Section 66(b) of the Companies Law, may submit its request to include an agenda item, including nominations for the election of directors, within seven days following the Company's notice of convening a shareholders' meeting at which directors are to be elected and certain other proposals are to be considered. The Chairman of the 2016 Meeting may refuse to acknowledge the nomination of any person not made in compliance with the foregoing procedures.

The Nasdaq Listing Standards require that all members of our Nominating Committee be comprised of directors who are “independent” as such term is defined by Rule 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Standards, except under exceptional and limited circumstances.

As of the date hereof, our Nominating Committee is comprised of Donald A. Burns and Tal Yaron-Eldar. The Board has determined that each member of the Nominating Committee meets the independence requirements of the Nasdaq Listing Standards. The Nominating Committee did not meet in person or by conference call during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016. The Nominating Committee does not have a charter. It evaluates all aspects of a candidate’s qualifications in the context of the needs of the Company with a view to creating a Board with a diversity of experience and perspectives. The same evaluation procedures apply to all candidates for director nomination, including candidates submitted by shareholders. Among a candidate’s qualifications and skills considered important are personal and professional integrity, ethics, and values; a commitment to representing the long-term interests of shareholders; experience in corporate management, such as serving as an officer or former officer of a publicly held company; experience and/or academic expertise in the Company’s industry and with relevant social policy concerns; experience as a board member of another publicly held company; and practical and mature business judgment. The Nominating Committee gives consideration to a wide range of diversity factors as a matter of practice when evaluating candidates to the Board and incumbent directors, but there is no formal policy regarding Board diversity.

Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions

In our fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, there has not been, nor is there currently proposed, any transaction or series of similar transactions to which we were or are to be a party in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 and in which any of our directors, director nominees, executive officers, holders of more than 5% of our ordinary shares or any members of the immediate family of any of the foregoing persons, had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Approval of Related Party Transactions under Israeli Law

Under the Companies Law, an engagement by the Company with an officer who is not a director, controlling shareholder or the chief executive officer regarding his or her service and terms of employment, including an undertaking to indemnify, exculpate or insure such officer, must be approved by the Compensation Committee and the Board, provided that the compensation is approved in accordance with the Company’s compensation policy adopted under the Companies Law. If the engagement is not in accordance with the Company’s compensation policy, approval of the engagement by the general meeting of the shareholders, requires one of the following: (i) the majority of shareholder votes counted at the general meeting including the majority of all of the votes of those shareholders who are not controlling shareholders and do not have a Personal Interest in the approval of the compensation policy, who participate at the 2016 Meeting (excluding abstentions) or (ii) the total number of votes against the proposal among the shareholders mentioned in paragraph (i) does not exceed two percent (2%) of the voting rights in the Company (a “Special Majority”). In special cases, the Compensation Committee and the Board may decide to adopt the terms of such an engagement despite the objection of the shareholders, so long as such decision is based on detailed reasons and after discussing again such engagement and reexamining it in light of the shareholder objection.

An engagement with the chief executive officer of the Company regarding his or her service and terms of employment must be approved by our Compensation Committee, our Board of Directors, and by a Special Majority. In special cases, the compensation of the chief executive officer may be approved without shareholder approval if the candidate for chief executive officer is independent and the Compensation Committee determines, on the basis of detailed reasons, that convening a shareholder meeting to approve the engagement will frustrate the engagement, but only if the engagement complies with the compensation policy adopted under the Companies Law. The renewal or extension of the engagement with a company's chief executive officer need not be approved by the shareholders of the Company if the terms and conditions of such renewal or extension are no more beneficial than the previous engagement or there is no substantial difference in the terms and conditions under the circumstances, and the terms and conditions of such renewal or extension are in accordance with the Company's compensation policy.

For all other transactions between an officer and the Company (or Company transactions in which the officer has a Personal Interest), the Companies Law requires Audit Committee approval followed by board of director approval if the transaction is deemed to be extraordinary, and only board of director approval if the transaction is not deemed to be extraordinary. Under the Companies Law, an "extraordinary transaction" is a transaction:

other than in the ordinary course of business;

that is not on market terms; or

that is likely to have a material impact on a company's profitability, assets or liabilities.

A "Personal Interest" is defined under the Companies Law as the personal interest of a person in an action or in a transaction of the Company, including the personal interest of such person's relative or the interest of any other corporate body in which the person or such person's relative is a director or general manager, a 5% shareholder or holds 5% or more of the voting rights, or has the right to appoint at least one director or the general manager, but excluding a personal interest stemming solely from the fact of holding shares in the Company. A personal interest also includes (1) a personal interest of a person who votes according to a proxy of another person, including in the event that the other person has no personal interest, and (2) a personal interest of a person who gave a proxy to another person to vote on his or her behalf regardless of whether the discretion of how to vote lies with the person voting or not.

Under the Companies Law, an engagement by the Company with a director regarding the terms of service as a director and other positions of employment (if employed) requires the approval of the Compensation Committee, the Board of Directors and a regular majority of the shareholders, provided that such terms of employment are in accordance with the Company's compensation policy. Such an engagement that is not in accordance with the Company's compensation policy may be obtained in special cases but only if approved by a Special Majority. The engagement with a company's directors need not be approved by the shareholders of the Company with respect to the period from the

commencement of the engagement until the next shareholder meeting convened by the Company, if the terms and conditions of such engagement were approved by the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors of the Company, the terms and conditions of such engagement are in accordance with the Company's compensation policy approved in accordance with the Companies Law, and if the terms and conditions of such engagement are no more beneficial than the terms and conditions of the person previously serving in such role or there is no substantial difference in the terms and conditions of the previous engagement versus the new one under the circumstances, including the scope of engagement.

A person who has a Personal Interest in the approval of a transaction that is submitted to approval of the Audit Committee or the Board of Directors generally may not be present during the deliberations and shall not take part in the voting of the Audit Committee or of the Board of Directors on such transaction. However, such person may be present at the meeting for the purpose of presenting the transaction if the chairman of the Board of Directors or the chairman of the Audit Committee, as the case may be, has determined that the presence of such director is required for presenting the transaction. Notwithstanding the above, a director may be present at a deliberation of the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors and may take part in the voting, if the majority of the members of the Audit Committee or the Board of Directors, as the case may be, have a Personal Interest in the approval of the transaction, in which case the transaction shall also require the approval of the shareholders of the Company.

In addition, under the Companies Law, extraordinary transactions of a public company with a controlling shareholder or in which a controlling shareholder has a Personal Interest, and the terms of engagement of the Company, directly or indirectly, with a controlling shareholder or his or her relative regarding the receipt by the Company of services from the controlling shareholder, require the approval of the Audit Committee (or the Compensation Committee, if the engagement is related to the terms of service and employment), the Board of Directors and a Special Majority, in that order. In addition, any such extraordinary transaction with a term of more than three years requires the abovementioned approval every three years unless, with respect to transactions not involving the receipt of services or compensation, the Audit Committee determines that a longer term is reasonable under the circumstances.

The following discussion and analysis contains statements regarding individual and Company performance targets and goals used in setting compensation for our named executive officers. These targets and goals are disclosed in the limited context of the Company's compensation programs and should not be understood to be statements of management's future expectations or estimates of future results or other guidance. The Company specifically cautions investors not to apply these statements to other contexts.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The goals of our executive officer compensation program are to attract, retain, and reward executive officers who contribute to our success, to align executive officer compensation with our performance, and to motivate executive officers to achieve our business objectives. We compensate our senior management through a mix of base salary, bonus, and equity compensation designed to align management's incentives with the long-term interests of our shareholders. In addition, we provide our Named Executive Officers with benefits that are generally available to all employees of the Company. Compensation paid to our executive officers is made under the terms of an employment agreement, if applicable, and on a discretionary basis by our Board following approval by the Compensation Committee. In addition, shareholders must approve certain executive compensation, as described in more detail below.

Our Named Executive Officers in 2016 were Gerald Vento, Chief Executive Officer, President and Director; Jose Gordo, Chief Financial Officer; Dr. Yuen Wah Sing, our former President of Tiger Jet Network, Inc., a subsidiary of the Company, and a Director; and Keith Reed, our former General Manager - Senior Vice President Enterprise.

Setting Executive Compensation

At the 2013 annual general meeting of shareholders held on July 3, 2013, our shareholders approved the compensation policy that we submitted to the shareholders for their approval (the "Compensation Policy"). Under Amendment No. 20 to the Companies Law which came into effect in December 2012, public companies were required to adopt a compensation policy with respect to the terms of service and employment of their directors and officers no later than September 2013. The Companies Law requires that a compensation policy be reviewed and re-approved every three years and as a result, our Compensation Policy needs to be reviewed and re-approved by a special majority of shareholders at the Meeting.

Amendment No. 20 to the Companies Law provides that the compensation policy shall be based, among other parameters, on promoting the company's objectives, its work plan and long term strategy, creating appropriate incentives for the company's directors and officers, considering, among other factors, the risk management of the company, the company's size and nature of its operations and, with respect to terms of service and employment that include non-fixed compensation, the contribution of the director or officer to achievement of corporate goals and increased profits, all with a long term view and taking into account the officer's position.

The Compensation Policy includes both long term and short term compensation elements and is to be reviewed from time to time by the Company's Compensation Committee and Board as required by the Companies Law. In general, the compensation package for officers will be examined while taking into consideration, amongst others, the following parameters: (i) the education, qualifications, expertise, seniority (in the Company in particular, and in the officer's profession in general), professional experience and achievements of the officer; (ii) the officer's position, the scope of his responsibility and previous wage agreements that were signed with him; (iii) the officer's contribution to the Company's business, profits and stability; (iv) the degree of responsibility imposed on the officer; and (v) the Company's need to retain officers who have skills, know-how or unique expertise. Additionally, prior to the approval of a compensation package for an officer, the Company will conduct a wage survey that compares and analyzes the level and cost of the compensation package offered to an officer of the Company with the compensation packages offered to officers in similar positions in other companies of the same type and/or financial structure. The surveys are to be conducted internally or through an external consultant recommended by the Compensation Committee.

As provided in the Compensation Policy, the Company is entitled to grant to officers (to all or part of them) a compensation package which may include a base salary, commissions, signing bonus, annual cash bonus and share-based compensation, or any combination thereof, and additional standard benefits.

An engagement with an officer who is not a director, controlling shareholder (or relative thereof), or the chief executive officer regarding his or her service and terms of employment must be approved by the Compensation Committee and the Board, provided that the compensation is approved in accordance with the Company's Compensation Policy. Other approval requirements apply if the engagement is not in accordance with the Company's Compensation Policy. An engagement with the Chief Executive Officer regarding his or her service and terms of employment must be approved by our Compensation Committee, our Board of Directors, and by a special majority of our shareholders. In special cases, the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer may be approved without shareholder approval. Arrangements between the Company and a director as to the terms of his office or regarding compensation for non-directorial duties requires the approval of the Compensation Committee, the Board and shareholders.

During 2013, the Compensation Committee selected and directly retained the services of Pay Governance, an independent compensation consulting firm, to provide a wage survey in accordance with the requirements of our Compensation Policy, prior to the approval of the compensation package of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. During 2014, the Compensation Committee did not retain the services of a compensation consultant. During 2015, the Compensation Committee selected and directly retained the services of Meridian Compensation Consultants, LLC (“Meridian”), an independent compensation consulting firm, to provide a wage survey in accordance with the requirements of our Compensation Policy, prior to approval of the new compensation package of our Chief Financial Officer and approval of the compensation package for our General Manager and Senior Vice President of Enterprise. During 2016, the Compensation Committee did not retain the services of a compensation consultant.

2013 and 2015 Surveys for Chief Executive Officer; Chief Financial Officer and GM-SVP Enterprise; Chief Executive Officer Extension

During 2013, Pay Governance developed a peer group analysis for the purpose of comparing and analyzing the level and cost of the compensation package to be offered to the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer considering companies of similar size, as measured by trailing twelve months revenue, market capitalization and enterprise value, that operated in the same or complimentary industries, specifically telecommunications services, mobile services and solutions, cloud-based services, content-delivery network services and communications services.

The Compensation Committee considered the data and analyses prepared by Pay Governance that included the appropriateness of: (i) the amount of base salary, (ii) the annual incentive bonus potential and the performance metrics for achieving such bonus, (iii) the existence and amount of a signing bonus, (iv) the mix and vesting schedule for equity compensation, and (v) market practice with respect to other employment terms, with respect to each of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer compared to that of the peer group in 2013. The peer group data was collected from Equilar and proxy filings reflecting the most recently disclosed compensation as of the time Pay Governance compiled the data in 2013.

Pay Governance reviewed with the Compensation Committee its analysis of the (1) base salaries, (2) bonus, (3) total cash compensation (salary plus annual bonus opportunity), (4) long-term incentive (“LTI”) awards and (4) total direct compensation (“TDC”) (salary plus annual bonus opportunity plus value of LTI payable to each NEO) to the 25th percentile, the 50th percentile and 75th percentile target opportunity of the peer group. The Compensation Committee used this peer group data to obtain a general understanding of current compensation practices consistent with our Compensation Policy, to ensure that it was acting in an informed and responsible manner and to make sure our executive compensation program was competitive. The Compensation Committee did not seek to set any elements of compensation at a specific percentile of the relevant peer group but, it did want to understand and be cognizant of the divergence of any of the compensation elements from the 25th percentile, 50th percentile and 75th percentile.

The Compensation Committee did not conduct a peer group survey during 2014.

In May of 2015, the Compensation Committee recommended that the Board approve the extension of the Chief Executive Officer's Employment Agreement with the Company. The Employment Agreement was due to expire on December 31, 2015, and the Compensation Committee recommended extension of the term through December 31, 2016 with no increase in base salary or annual target bonus and no additional equity compensation. The Compensation Committee determined that such an extension was consistent with the Company's Compensation Policy based on the peer group analysis performed by Pay Governance in 2013.

During 2015, Meridian developed a peer group analysis for the purpose of comparing and analyzing the level and cost of the compensation package to be offered to the Chief Financial Officer and the Former General Manager and Senior Vice President of Enterprise. The peer group companies considered by Meridian and approved by the Compensation Committee in connection with 2015 compensation include companies of similar size, as measured by trailing twelve months revenue, market capitalization and enterprise value, that operated in the same or complimentary industries as the Company and are as follows:

Cogent Communications Holdings, Inc.

8X8 Inc.

LogMeIn, Inc.

Demandware, Inc.

Boingo Wireless Inc.

Spok Holdings Inc.

GTT Communications Inc.

Iridium Communications Inc.

Atlantic Tele Network Inc.

Hawaiian Telcom Holdco, Inc.

Inteliquent, Inc.

Limelight Networks, Inc.

Lumos Networks Corp.

InContact, Inc.

ORBCOMM Inc.

Brightcove Inc.

Liveperson Inc.

NTELOS Holdings Corp.

Shenandoah Telecommunications Co

Fusion Telecommunications International Inc.

The Compensation Committee considered the data and analyses prepared by Meridian that included the appropriateness of: (i) the amount of base salary, (ii) the annual incentive bonus potential and the performance metrics for achieving such bonus, (iii) the existence and amount of a signing bonus, (iv) the mix and vesting schedule for equity compensation, and (v) market practice with respect to other employment terms, with respect to each of the officers reviewed compared to that of the peer group companies listed above. The peer group data was collected primarily from proxy filings reflecting the most recently disclosed compensation as of the time Meridian compiled the data in 2015.

Meridian reviewed with the Compensation Committee its analysis of the (1) base salaries, (2) bonus, (3) total cash compensation (salary plus annual bonus opportunity), (4) LTI awards and (5) TDC (salary plus annual bonus opportunity plus value of LTI payable to each NEO) to the 25th percentile, the 50th percentile and 75th percentile target opportunity of the peer group. The Compensation Committee used this peer group data to obtain a general understanding of current compensation practices consistent with our Compensation Policy, to ensure that it was acting in an informed and responsible manner and to make sure our executive compensation program is competitive. The Compensation Committee did not seek to set any elements of compensation at a specific percentile of the relevant peer group but, it did want to understand and be cognizant of the divergence of any of the compensation elements from the 25th percentile, 50th percentile and 75th percentile. The Compensation Committee was aware that certain elements of the compensation package for Mr. Reed, effective as of December 1, 2015, and for Mr. Gordo, effective as of January 1, 2016, were at levels greater than the compensation paid to similar officers in the peer group companies, particularly with respect to base salaries in the case of Mr. Reed and long-term incentive awards for each of Messrs. Gordo and Reed. The Compensation Committee determined that the compensation packages for Messrs. Gordo and Reed were appropriate due to the decline of the core business, the unique challenges faced in repositioning the Company and the need to hire and retain executive talent, particularly in the current environment of intense competition in the industry and a volatile stock price.

The Compensation Committee did not conduct a peer group survey during 2016.

Compensation Program

The primary components of the executive compensation program of our Company consist of base salary, bonuses, grants of restricted stock and options, and health benefits.

Base Salary

In accordance with our Compensation Policy, the base salary of a new officer in the Company will be determined based on the parameters set forth in the Compensation Policy and discussed above. The Compensation Committee and the Board may update the base salary of the officers (other than (i) officers who are controlling shareholders or their relatives or other officers' compensation in which the controlling shareholder has a personal interest and (ii) officers who serve as directors) consistent with the terms of the Compensation Policy including the parameters specified above, provided that the Compensation Committee alone may approve an amendment to an officer's base salary that does not increase such base salary by more than fifteen percent (15%).

Mr. Vento's base salary in 2016 for his service as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, was \$500,000. Mr. Gordo's base salary for 2016 for his service as Chief Financial Officer of the Company was \$350,000. Dr. Sing's base salary for 2016 for his service as president of TigerJet Network, Inc. was \$250,000 but he resigned from this position effective July 15, 2016 and as a result received \$135,417 in base salary for 2016. Mr. Reed's base salary for his service as the former General Manager - Senior Vice President Enterprise was \$350,000. The 2016 annual base salary amounts for Messrs. Vento, Dr. Sing and Mr. Reed remained at the same amount as their 2015 annual base salary levels. Mr. Gordo's base salary in 2016 for his service as Chief Financial Officer of the Company was increased to \$350,000 from \$325,000. We believe we provided the above Named Executive Officers with a level of base salary that recognized appropriately each individual officer's scope of responsibility, role in the organization, experience, contributions to the success of our Company and the results of the peer group surveys conducted by Pay Governance in 2013 in the case of Messrs. Vento and Gordo, and the peer group surveys conducted by Meridian in 2015 in the case of Messrs. Gordo and Reed.

Signing Bonus

Under our Compensation Policy, we may grant a signing bonus to an officer, which may not exceed the officer's initial annual base salary and will be subject to the limitations in the Compensation Policy. A signing bonus will not be considered in calculating the maximum amount of the bonus (described below) payable to an officer following his initial year of employment. No signing bonuses were awarded to our Named Executive Officers during 2016.

Annual Cash Incentive Bonus

Under the terms of our Compensation Policy, our annual cash incentive bonus will be based mainly (at least 80%) on measurable criteria, and, with respect to its less significant part (up to 20%), at the Board and management's discretion, based on non-measurable criteria. Measurable criteria may include financial targets, meeting sales and marketing objectives, productivity indices and growth in the volume of activity, cost savings, implementation and promotion of planned projects, promoting strategic targets, promoting innovation in the Company and/or success in raising capital.

The remaining portion of the annual cash bonus (not exceeding 20% of the annual cash bonus) will be determined according to non-measurable criteria, such as the contribution of the officer to the Company's business, its profitability and stability, the need for the Company to retain an officer with skills, know-how, or unique expertise, the responsibility imposed on the officer, changes that occurred in the responsibility imposed on the officer during the year, satisfaction with the officer's performance, assessing the officer's ability to work in coordination and cooperation with other employees of the Company, the officer's contribution to an appropriate control environment and ethical environment and such other elements as recommended by the Compensation Committee and approved by the Board. Based on our Compensation Policy and the peer group surveys conducted in 2013 and 2015, the Compensation Committee established the following annual cash incentive bonus structure for Messrs. Vento, Gordo and Reed

applicable for each of them during the year ended December 31, 2016 under the terms of their employment agreements.

Executive	Target/Maximum Annual Bonus	Bonus Milestones:	Bonus Payout Levels
Gerald Vento	Target of \$500,000	50% based on meeting at least 80% and up to 120% of target revenue for the year 50% based on meeting at least 80% and up to 120% of target EBITDA for the year	Revenue: Range from thirty-five percent (35%) to two hundred percent (200%) of the target annual bonus. EBITDA: Range from thirty-five percent (35%) to two hundred percent (200%) of the target annual bonus.
Jose Gordo	Target of \$175,000	50% based on meeting at least 80% and up to 120% of target revenue for the year 50% based on meeting at least 80% and up to 120% of target EBITDA for the year	Revenue: Range from thirty-five percent (35%) to two hundred percent (200%) of the target annual bonus. EBITDA: Range from thirty-five percent (35%) to two hundred percent (200%) of the target annual bonus.
Keith Reed	Maximum of \$200,000	40% based on meeting at least 80% of target revenue for the year 40% based on meeting at least 80% of target EBITDA for the year 20% based on subjective criteria	N/A

The term “EBITDA” when used to describe the financial performance measure for the annual cash incentive bonus means earnings before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization.

The amount, if any, of annual cash incentive bonus to be paid to Messrs. Vento, Gordo and Reed as a result of the achievement of bonus milestones during the year ended December 31, 2016 cannot presently be determined. It is estimated that the Compensation Committee will make such determination in March 2017, at which time such amounts, if any, will be reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee and disclosed by the Company in a Current Report on Form 8-K.

Dr. Yuen Wah Sing was not eligible to participate in the annual cash incentive bonus program described above but was eligible to be awarded a discretionary bonus. Dr. Sing resigned from his officer position with the Company effective July 15, 2016 and as a result did not receive an annual discretionary cash bonus for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Sales Commissions

Under our Compensation Policy, we may pay our officers, sale and other commissions based on a pre-determined commission plan, which commissions will be considered part of the officer’s aggregate compensation package subject to limitations in the Compensation Policy. None of our Named Executive Officers received commissions for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Grants of Restricted Stock and Ordinary Share Options

Equity compensation consists of periodic grants of restricted stock and options exercisable for ordinary shares to certain of our executives under our magicJack VocalTec Ltd. 2013 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, and our magicJack VocalTec Ltd. 2013 Israeli Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, (together the “2013 Plans”), to provide additional incentive to work to maximize long-term total return to shareholders. Award levels are determined based on market data and may vary among participants based on their positions within the Company, assessment of job performance, and other factors, including the terms of their employment agreements with the Company. A committee appointed by the Board is specified to act as the plan administrator. In 2016, our Board of Directors administered the plan directly and not through a committee.

No grants of stock options or restricted stock were made to the Named Executive Officers during 2016. On December 1, 2015, the Board awarded Mr. Gordo 192,926 shares of restricted stock and 499,307 ordinary share options in connection with Mr. Gordo's agreement to enter into a new Employment Agreement with the Company effective as of January 1, 2016. On December 1, 2015, the Board awarded Mr. Reed 192,926 shares of restricted stock and 499,307 ordinary share options in connection with Mr. Reed's acceptance of the position of General Manager - Senior Vice President Enterprise effective as of December 1, 2015. No grants of restricted stock or options were made to Mr. Vento or Dr. Sing during 2015.

Benefits

We provide various employee benefit programs to our executive officers, including: (i) medical and dental insurance benefits for our U.S. based employees, and (ii) a defined contribution retirement plan for our Israeli employees. These benefits are generally available to all full-time employees of our Company based on their location.

Compensation Related Actions for 2017

On December 29, 2016, the Board of Directors of the Company upon the Compensation Committee's recommendation approved, subject to shareholder approval at the Annual Meeting, an extension of the term of Mr. Vento's employment with the Company under the terms of his Executive Employment Agreement with the Company dated January 1, 2013, as amended by Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement, dated as of July 15, 2015 (collectively, the "Vento Employment Agreement") such that Mr. Vento will continue to serve as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer through the earlier of June 30, 2017 or the date the Company hires a President and Chief Executive Officer to replace Mr. Vento (the "Second Amendment") upon the same compensation terms as are set forth in the Vento Employment Agreement. Compensation in connection with Mr. Vento's extension of employment under the Second Amendment will not be paid until shareholder approval is received, at which time all accrued compensation will be paid to Mr. Vento.

The Board of Directors also proposed that the Company enter into a consulting agreement with Mr. Vento as of the separation date under the Vento Employment Agreement as extended by the Second Amendment (the "Consulting Agreement") in lieu of any severance to be provided to Mr. Vento on the separation date, as an inducement for Mr. Vento to execute the Second Amendment, for Mr. Vento to continue to serve as the Company's President and CEO through the separation date and to smoothly transition Mr. Vento's duties to the Company's new President and CEO. The Company will be obligated to enter into the Consulting Agreement with Mr. Vento unless Mr. Vento voluntarily terminates his employment with the Company, dies or becomes disabled or is terminated for cause, as defined in the Vento Employment Agreement, in each case prior to the separation date under the Second Amendment.

The Consulting Agreement provides that Mr. Vento will perform certain professional consulting services for the Company. In consideration for Mr. Vento's professional consulting services, the Company will pay Mr. Vento a consulting fee of eighty-three thousand three hundred thirty-three and 33/100 dollars (\$83,333.33) per month. The

term of the Consulting Agreement will commence on the separation date under the Second Amendment and will continue until the first anniversary of the effective date of the Consulting Agreement. If the Consulting Agreement is terminated by the Company without cause, Mr. Vento will be entitled to a termination payment equal to the full amount payable under the Consulting Agreement as if the agreement was not terminated.

Summary Compensation Table

The table below summarizes the total compensation earned by each of our Named Executive Officers and Mr. McDonald for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus Stock Awards		Option Awards (\$)(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)		Total (\$)
			(\$)	\$(1)			(i)	(j)	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(i)	(j)	
Gerald Vento									
Chief Executive Officer, and President	2016	500,000	-	-	-	-	-		500,000
	2015	500,000	-	-	-	706,063	-		1,206,063
	2014	500,000	-	-	-	-	-		500,000
Jose Gordo									
Chief Financial Officer	2016	350,000	-	-	-	-	4,269	(3)	354,269
	2015	325,000	-	1,800,000	1,801,138	211,819	-		4,137,957
	2014	325,000	-	-	-	-	-		325,000
Dr. Yuen Wah Sing									
Former President - TigerJet, Director(4)	2016	135,417	-	-	-	-	2,718	(5)	138,135
	2015	240,048	-	-	-	-	4,051	(5)	244,099
	2014	240,048	-	-	825,594	-	5,824	(5)	1,071,466
Keith Reed									
Former GM - Senior Vice President Enterprise(6)	2016	350,000	-	-	-	-	9,758	(7)	359,758
	2015	29,167	-	1,800,000	1,801,138	-	-		3,630,305
	2014	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Timothy McDonald									
Former Chief Operating Officer(8)	2016	-	-	-	-	-	33,220	(9)	33,220 (9)
	2015	152,564	-	-	-	-	634,739	(9)	787,303 (9)
	2014	350,000	-	-	-	-	8,327	(9)	358,327 (9)

The amounts in these columns reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of the stock awards computed based on the closing adjusted price as of the grant date and for option awards computed based on the Black-Scholes value as of the grant date in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 718, “*Stock-based Compensation*.” For additional information, see notes 11 and 12 to the audited consolidated financial statements in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

(1) The amount, if any, of annual cash incentive bonus to be paid to Messrs. Vento, Gordo and Reed as a result of the bonus milestones achieved during the year ended December 31, 2016 cannot presently be determined. It is estimated that such determination will be made during March 2017, at which time such amounts, if any, will be disclosed by the Company in a Current Report on Form 8-K. The amounts in 2015 represent the annual cash incentive bonuses paid in 2016 to Messrs. Vento and Gordo based on the bonus milestones achieved during the year ended December 31, 2015. There were no annual cash incentive bonuses paid in 2015 to Messrs. Vento and Gordo as the bonus milestones were not achieved during the year ended December 31, 2014.

(2) Mr. Gordo received \$4,269 in health-related benefits in 2016.

(3) Dr. Sing resigned from the Company effective July 15, 2016.

(4) Dr. Sing received \$2,718, \$4,051 and \$5,824 in health-related benefits in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

(5) Mr. Reed resigned from the Company effective February 3, 2017.

(6) Mr. Reed received \$9,758 in health-related benefits in 2016.

Mr. McDonald’s employment with the Company was terminated effective June 5, 2015. Mr. McDonald’s compensation information is included in the Summary Compensation Table and other compensation tables herein solely for the purpose of complying with the Companies Law, which requires the disclosure in this proxy statement of the compensation of the five (5) highest compensated “office holders” of the Company for the fiscal years covered by the financial statements accompanying this proxy statement or to be sent subsequently to shareholders. Mr. McDonald’s former position as Chief Operating Officer falls within the definition of “office holders” under the Companies Law. Although Mr. McDonald was a Named Executive Officer for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, he was not a Named Executive Officer for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 as defined under Item 402 of Regulation S-K as he was no longer an executive officer or an employee of the Company during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.

(7) Mr. McDonald received \$29,667 in consulting fees and \$3,553 in health-related benefits in 2016. Mr.

(8) McDonald received \$500,000 in severance, \$120,000 in consulting fees and \$14,739 in health-related benefits in 2015. Mr. McDonald received \$8,327 in health-related benefits in 2014.

2016 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The table below sets forth information regarding grants of plan-based awards made to our Named Executive Officers and Mr. McDonald during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Name	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)		
	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)
Gerald Vento	175,000	500,000	1,000,000
Jose Gordo	61,250	175,000	350,000
Dr. Yuen Wah Sing	-	-	-
Keith Reed	-	-	200,000

These columns reflect the threshold, target and maximum amounts that Messrs. Vento, Gordo and Reed were eligible to receive under our annual cash incentive bonus plan with respect to fiscal year 2016. As of the time of filing this proxy statement, the actual incentive plan award payment, if any, for Messrs. Vento, Gordo and Reed based on the bonus milestones achieved during the year ended December 31, 2016 cannot presently be (1) determined. It is estimated that such determination will be made during March 2017, at which time such amounts, if any, will be disclosed by the Company in a Current Report on Form 8-K. Dr. Sing was not eligible to receive an award under the annual cash incentive bonus plan for fiscal year 2016. Mr. McDonald's employment with the Company was terminated effective June 5, 2015 and as a result Mr. McDonald was not eligible to receive an award under the annual cash incentive bonus plan for fiscal year 2016.

Outstanding Equity Awards and Stock Vesting***Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End***

The following table sets forth certain information regarding equity-based awards held by our Named Executive Officers and Mr. McDonald as of December 31, 2016.

Name	Option Awards		Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable			Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(1)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)
Gerald Vento	722,782	-	14.95	07/02/18	-	-
Jose Gordo (3)	296,031	-	17.63	07/02/18	163,521	1,120,119
	166,436	332,871	9.33	12/01/20	-	-
Dr. Yuen Wah Sing (4)	66,667	33,333	19.23	04/22/19	-	-
Keith Reed (3)	166,436	332,871	9.33	12/31/20	128,617	881,026
Timothy McDonald (5)	-	-	-	-	-	-

- (1) All shares in this column consist of restricted stock awards. Of the shares of restricted stock granted to Mr. Gordo, 34,904 of the shares related to pre-employment services have a restriction on vesting based on the Company's stock price reaching certain targets, these targets were not met so Mr. Gordo's shares under this grant have not vested. If the stock price target is met, these shares will vest prospectively. With respect to Mr. Gordo's restricted stock awards, one third of his restricted stock award vested on December 31, 2016 and the remaining shares of restricted stock will vest in one-half increments annually at each of December 31, 2017 and 2018. With respect to Mr. Reed's restricted stock award, one third of his restricted stock award vested on December 31, 2016. Due to Mr. Reed's departure from the Company, the remaining shares of restricted stock that were originally scheduled to vest in one-half increments annually at each of December 31, 2017 and 2018 will no longer vest.
- (2) Amounts in this column have been calculated using an assumed stock price of \$6.85, the closing price of our ordinary shares on December 30, 2016, the last business day of our fiscal year 2016.
- (3) For Mr. Gordo, the remaining unvested stock options are scheduled to vest in annual increments on December 31, 2017 and 2018. For Mr. Reed, the remaining unvested stock options that were originally scheduled to vest in annual increments on December 31, 2017 and 2018 will no longer vest due to his departure from the Company.

- (4) The remaining unvested stock options are scheduled to vest on April 23, 2017.
Mr. McDonald's employment with the Company was terminated effective June 5, 2015 and as a result Mr.
- (5) McDonald does not own any stock options or restricted stock awards that have not vested as of December 31, 2016.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The Named Executive Officers and Mr. McDonald did not exercise any stock options during the year ended December 31, 2016. The following table sets forth certain information regarding the vesting of shares of our restricted stock for each of our Named Executive Officers and Mr. McDonald during 2016.

Name	Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(1)
Gerald Vento	-	-
Jose Gordo	64,309	440,517
Dr. Yen Wah Sing	-	-
Keith Reed	64,309	440,517
Timothy McDonald (2)	-	-

The aggregate dollar amount realized by the Named Executive Officer upon the vesting of shares of our restricted stock was computed by multiplying the number of shares of our restricted stock that vested by the (1) market value of the underlying shares on the last date the market was open prior to the vesting date of December 31, 2016. The amount was calculated using an assumed stock price of \$6.85, the closing price of our ordinary shares on December 30, 2016, the last business day prior to the vesting date of December 31, 2016.

Mr. McDonald's employment with the Company was terminated effective June 5, 2015. Mr. McDonald did not (2) exercise any stock options during the year ended December 31, 2016 and did not have any shares of restricted stock vest during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Pension Benefits and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

None of our Named Executive Officers participate in or have account balances in qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plans sponsored by us, neither do any of our Named Executive Officers participate in or have account balances in non-qualified defined contribution plans or other deferred compensation plans maintained by us.

Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

Vento Agreement

On April 2, 2013, the Company entered into a definitive employment agreement and compensation arrangement with Gerald Vento (the “Vento Agreement”), in connection with his services as Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company. Under the terms of the Vento Agreement, Mr. Vento’s compensation was retroactive to January 1, 2013 to coincide with Mr. Vento’s start date as Chief Executive Officer.

The term of employment was initially for three (3) years, beginning on January 1, 2013, but it was extended for an additional year through December 31, 2016 as recommended by the Compensation Committee and Board and approved by the Company’s shareholders at its 2015 annual general meeting of shareholders. Additionally, the Compensation Committee and the Board have approved a second extension (the “Second Amendment”) to Mr. Vento’s term of employment through the earlier of June 30, 2017 or the date the Company hires a new President and Chief Executive Officer to replace Mr. Vento, subject to shareholder approval as described under Proposal 5. Compensation in connection with Mr. Vento’s extension of employment under the Second Amendment will not be paid until shareholder approval is received, at which time all accrued compensation will be paid.

Mr. Vento is paid an annual base salary of \$500,000, subject to review each calendar year and possible increases in the sole discretion of the Board. Mr. Vento also received a signing bonus of \$500,000 in 2013. For each fiscal year of employment during which the Company employs Mr. Vento, he shall be eligible to receive a bonus based on the Company meeting certain performance criteria. Mr. Vento’s target annual bonus equals his annual base salary (the “Target Annual Bonus”). The annual bonus ranges from thirty-five percent (35%) to two hundred percent (200%) of the Target Annual Bonus. The annual bonus formula and performance criteria for each fiscal year is based: (i) fifty percent (50%) on the Company meeting at least eighty percent (80%) and up to one hundred and two