GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP Form POS 8C December 23, 2013 Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 23, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

1933 Act File No. 333-185191

Form N-2 REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

"PRE-EFFECTIVE AMENDMENT NO.

x POST-EFFECTIVE AMENDMENT NO. 1

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form POS 8C 1521 WESTBRANCH DRIVE, SUITE 100

MCLEAN, VA 22102

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (703) 287-5800

DAVID GLADSTONE

CHAIRMAN, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND PRESIDENT

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

1521 WESTBRANCH DRIVE, SUITE 100

MCLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102

(Name and address of agent for service)

COPIES TO:

Lori B. Morgan

Bass, Berry & Sims PLC

Suite 2800

Nashville, TN 37201

(615) 742-6200

(615) 742-6293 (Facsimile)

Approximate date of proposed public offering: From time to time after the effective date of this registration statement.

If any securities being registered on this form will be offered on a delayed or continuous basis in reliance on Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, other than securities offered in connection with a dividend reinvestment plan, check the following box. x

It is proposed that this filing will become effective (check appropriate box):

x When declared effective pursuant to Section 8(c).

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until this Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED DECEMBER 23, 2013

PROSPECTUS

\$300,000,000

COMMON STOCK

PREFERRED STOCK

SUBSCRIPTION RIGHTS

WARRANTS

DEBT SECURITIES

We may offer, from time to time, up to \$300,000,000 aggregate initial offering price of our common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share, subscription rights, warrants representing rights to purchase shares of our common stock, or debt securities, or a combined offering of these securities, which we refer to in this prospectus collectively as our Securities, in one or more offerings. The Securities may be offered at prices and on terms to be disclosed in one or more supplements to this prospectus. In the case of our common stock and warrants or rights to acquire such common stock hereunder, the offering price per share of our common stock by us, less any underwriting commissions or discounts, will not be less than the net asset value per share of our common stock at the time of the offering except (i) in connection with a rights offering to our existing common stockholders, (ii) with the consent of the holders of the majority of our outstanding stock, or (iii) under such other circumstances as the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) may permit. You should read this prospectus and the applicable prospectus supplement carefully before you invest in our Securities.

We operate as a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company and have elected to be treated as a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. For federal income tax purposes, we have elected to be treated as a regulated investment company under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Our investment objectives are to: (1) achieve and grow current income by investing in debt securities of established businesses that we believe will provide stable earnings and cash flow to pay expenses, make principal and interest payments on our outstanding indebtedness and make distributions to stockholders that grow over time; and (2) provide our stockholders with long-term capital appreciation in the value of our assets by investing in equity securities of established businesses that we believe can grow over time to permit us to sell our equity investments for capital gains.

Our Securities may be offered directly to one or more purchasers, including existing stockholders in a rights offering, through agents designated from time to time by us, or to or through underwriters or dealers. The prospectus supplement relating to the offering will identify any agents or underwriters involved in the sale of our Securities, and will disclose any applicable purchase price, fee, commission or discount arrangement between us and our agents or underwriters or among our underwriters or the basis upon which such amount may be calculated. See *Plan of Distribution*. We may not sell any of our Securities through agents, underwriters or dealers without delivery of a prospectus supplement describing the method and terms of the offering of such Securities. Our common stock is traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market

(NASDAQ) under the symbol GLAD. As of December 20, 2013, the last reported sales price for our common stock was \$9.56. Our 7.125% Series 2016 Term Preferred Stock is also traded on the NASDAQ under the symbol GLADP. As of December 20, 2013, the last reported sales price for our 7.125% Series 2016 Term Preferred Stock was \$25.50.

Please read this prospectus and the accompanying prospectus supplement, if any, before investing, and keep it for future reference. It concisely sets forth important information about us that a prospective investor ought to know before investing in our securities. We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information about us with the Securities and Exchange Commission. This information is available free of charge by contacting us at 1521 Westbranch Drive, Suite 100, McLean, Virginia 22102, or by calling us collect at (703) 287-5800 or on our website at www.gladstonecapital.com. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this prospectus, and you should not consider that information to be part of this prospectus. The Securities and Exchange Commission also maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains such information. This prospectus may not be used to consummate sales of securities unless accompanied by a prospectus supplement.

The securities in which we invest generally would be rated below investment grade if they were rated by rating agencies. Below investment grade securities, which are often referred to as junk, have predominantly speculative characteristics with respect to the issuer s capacity to pay interest and repay principal. They may also be difficult to value and are illiquid.

An investment in our Securities involves certain risks, including, among other things, risks relating to investments in securities of small, private and developing businesses. We describe some of these risks in the section entitled <u>Risk Factors</u>, which begins on page 9. Common shares of closed-end investment companies frequently trade at a discount to their net asset value and this may increase the risk of loss to purchasers of our Securities. You should carefully consider these risks together with all of the other information contained in this prospectus and any prospectus supplement before making a decision to purchase our Securities.

The Securities being offered have not been approved or disapproved by the SEC or any state securities commission nor has the SEC or any state securities commission passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Prospectus Summary | 1 |
| Additional Information | 7 |
| Risk Factors | 9 |
| Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements | 28 |
| <u>Use of Proceeds</u> | 28 |
| Price Range of Common Stock and Distributions | 28 |
| Common Share Price Data | 29 |
| Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges | 30 |
| Consolidated Selected Financial Data | 30 |
| Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 33 |
| Sales of Common Stock Below Net Asset Value | 62 |
| Senior Securities | 67 |
| <u>Business</u> | 68 |
| Portfolio Companies | 81 |
| <u>Management</u> | 86 |
| Control Persons and Principal Stockholders | 102 |
| Dividend Reinvestment Plan | 105 |
| Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations | 106 |
| Regulation as a Business Development Company | 108 |
| Description of Our Securities | 110 |
| Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws | 114 |
| Share Repurchases | 116 |
| Plan of Distribution | 116 |
| Custodian, Transfer and Dividend Paying Agent and Registrar | 117 |
| Brokerage Allocation and Other Practices | 118 |
| Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures | 118 |
| <u>Legal Matters</u> | 119 |
| <u>Experts</u> | 119 |
| Financial Statements | F-1 |
| | |

We have not authorized any dealer, salesman or other person to give any information or to make any representation other than those contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus or any accompanying supplement to this prospectus. You must not rely upon any information or representation not contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus or the accompanying prospectus supplement as if we had authorized it. This prospectus and any prospectus supplement do not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of any offer to buy any security other than the registered securities to which they relate, nor do they constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities in any jurisdiction to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such an offer or solicitation in such jurisdiction. The information contained in this prospectus and any prospectus supplement is accurate as of the dates on their respective covers only. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since such dates.

This prospectus is part of a registration statement that we have filed with the SEC using the shelf registration process. Under the shelf registration process, we may offer, from time to time, up to \$300,000,000 of our Securities on terms to be determined at the time of the offering. This prospectus provides you with a general description of the Securities that we may offer. Each time we use this prospectus to offer Securities, we will provide a prospectus supplement that will contain specific information about the terms of that offering. The prospectus supplement may also add, update or change information contained in this prospectus. To the extent required by law, we will amend or supplement the information contained in this prospectus and any accompanying prospectus supplement to reflect any material changes to such information subsequent to the date of the prospectus and any accompanying prospectus supplement and prior to the completion of any offering pursuant to the prospectus and any accompanying prospectus supplement. Please carefully read this prospectus and any accompanying prospectus supplement together with the additional information described under Additional Information and Risk Factors before you make an investment decision.

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following summary highlights some of the information in this prospectus. It is not complete and may not contain all the information that you may want to consider. You should read the entire prospectus and any prospectus supplement carefully, including the section entitled Risk Factors. Except where the context suggests otherwise, the terms we, us, our, the Company and Gladstone Capital refer to Gladstone Capital Corporation; Adviser refers to Gladstone Management Corporation; Administrator refers to Gladstone Administration, LLC; Gladstone Commercial refers to Gladstone Commercial Corporation; Gladstone Investment refers to Gladstone Investment Corporation; Gladstone Land refers to Gladstone Land Corporation; Gladstone Securities refers to Gladstone Securities, LLC; and Gladstone Companies refers to the Adviser and its affiliated companies.

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

General

We were incorporated under the General Corporation Laws of the State of Maryland on May 30, 2001 and completed our initial public offering on August 24, 2001. We are externally managed and operate as a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company and have elected to be treated as a business development company (BDC) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). For federal income tax purposes, we have elected to be treated as a regulated investment company (RIC) under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). We currently continue to qualify as a RIC for federal income tax purposes and obtain favorable RIC tax treatment by meeting certain requirements, including minimum distribution requirements. We were established for the purpose of investing in debt and equity securities of established private businesses operating in the United States (U.S.).

Gladstone Financial Corporation (Gladstone Financial), a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, was established on November 21, 2006, for the purpose of holding a license to operate as a Specialized Small Business Investment Company. Gladstone Financial (previously known as Gladstone SSBIC Corporation) acquired this license in February 2007. The license enables us, through this subsidiary, to make investments in accordance with the United States Small Business Administration guidelines for specialized small business investment companies. As of September 30, 2013, 0.9% of our assets were invested in Gladstone Financial.

Our Investment Objectives and Strategy

Our investment objectives are to: (1) achieve and grow current income by investing in debt securities of established businesses that we believe will provide stable earnings and cash flow to pay expenses, make principal and interest payments on our outstanding indebtedness and make distributions to stockholders that grow over time; and (2) provide our stockholders with long-term capital appreciation in the value of our assets by investing in equity securities of established businesses that we believe can grow over time to permit us to sell our equity investments for capital gains.

To achieve our objectives, we seek to invest in several categories of debt and equity securities, with each investment generally ranging from \$5 million to \$30 million, although investment size may vary, depending upon our total assets or available capital at the time of investment. We aim to maintain a portfolio allocation of approximately 95.0% debt investments and 5.0% equity investments, at cost.

In general, our investments in debt securities have a term of no more than seven years, accrue interest at variable rates (based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR)) and, to a lesser extent, at fixed rates. We seek debt instruments that pay interest monthly or, at a minimum, quarterly, have a success fee or deferred interest provision and are primarily interest only with all principal and any accrued but unpaid interest due at maturity. Generally, success fees accrue at a set rate and are contractually due upon a change of control in the business. Some debt securities have deferred interest whereby some portion of the interest payment is added to the principal balance so that the interest is paid, together with the principal, at maturity. This form of deferred interest is often called paid in kind (PIK) interest.

Typically, our equity investments take the form of common stock or preferred stock, limited liability company interests, or warrants or options to purchase the foregoing. Often, these equity investments occur in connection with our original investment, recapitalizing a business, or refinancing existing debt.

We expect that our target portfolio over time will primarily include the following four categories of investments in private U.S. companies:

Senior Debt Securities: We seek to invest a portion of our assets in senior debt securities also known as senior loans, senior term loans, lines of credit and senior notes. Using its assets as collateral, the borrower typically uses senior debt to cover a substantial portion of the funding needs of the business. The senior debt security usually takes the form of first priority liens on the assets of the business. Senior debt securities may include our participation and investment in the syndicated loan market.

Senior Subordinated Debt Securities: We seek to invest a portion of our assets in senior subordinated debt securities, also known as senior subordinated loans and senior subordinated notes. These senior subordinated debts also include second lien notes and may include participation and investment in syndicated second lien loans. Additionally, we may receive other yield enhancements in connection with these senior subordinated debt securities.

Junior Subordinated Debt Securities: We seek to invest a portion of our assets in junior subordinated debt securities, also known as subordinated loans, subordinated notes and mezzanine loans. These junior subordinated debts include second lien notes and unsecured loans. Additionally, we may receive other yield enhancements and warrants to buy common and preferred stock or limited liability interests in connection with these junior subordinated debt securities.

Preferred and Common Equity: In some cases we will purchase equity securities which consist of preferred and common equity or limited liability company interests, or warrants or options to acquire such securities, and are in combination with our debt investment in a business. Additionally, we may receive equity investments derived from restructurings on some of our existing debt investments. In some cases, we will own a significant portion of the equity and in other cases we may have voting control of the businesses in which we invest. Additionally, pursuant to the 1940 Act, we must maintain at least 70% of our total assets in qualifying assets, which generally include each of the investment types listed above. Therefore, the 1940 Act permits us to invest up to 30% of our assets in other non-qualifying assets. See. Regulation as a Business Development Company Qualifying Assets for a discussion of the types of qualifying assets in which we are permitted to invest pursuant to Section 55(a) of the 1940 Act.

Because the majority of the loans in our portfolio consist of term debt of private U.S. companies that typically cannot or will not expend the resources to have their debt securities rated by a credit rating agency, we expect that most, if not all, of the debt securities we acquire will be unrated. Investors should assume that these loans would be at rates below what is today considered investment grade quality. Investments rated below investment grade are often referred to as high yield securities or junk bonds and may be considered high risk as compared to investment-grade debt instruments.

Our Investment Adviser and Administrator

Gladstone Management Corporation (the Adviser) is our affiliated investment adviser and a privately-held company led by a management team that has extensive experience in our lines of business. Another of our and the Adviser's affiliates, a privately-held company, Gladstone Administration, LLC (the Administrator'), employs, among others, our chief financial officer, treasurer, chief compliance officer, internal legal counsel and secretary and their respective staffs. Excluding our chief financial officer and treasurer, all of our executive officers serve as directors or executive officers, or both, of the following of our affiliates: Gladstone Commercial Corporation (Gladstone Commercial), a publicly traded real estate investment trust; Gladstone Investment Corporation (Gladstone Investment), a publicly traded BDC and RIC; Gladstone Land Corporation (Gladstone Land), a publicly traded real estate company that invests in farmland and farm related property; the Adviser; and the Administrator. Our treasurer is also the chief financial officer and treasurer of Gladstone Investment. David Gladstone, our chairman, chief executive officer and president, also serves on the board of managers of our affiliate, Gladstone Securities, LLC (Gladstone Securities), a privately-held broker-dealer registered with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) and insured by the Securities Investor Protection Corporation.

The Adviser and Administrator also provide investment advisory and administrative services, respectively, to our affiliates, including, but not limited to: Gladstone Commercial; Gladstone Investment; and Gladstone Land. In the future, the Adviser and Administrator may provide investment advisory and administrative services, respectively, to other funds and companies, both public and private.

We have been externally managed by the Adviser pursuant to an investment advisory and management agreement since October 1, 2004. The investment advisory and management agreement originally included administrative services; however, it was amended and restated on October 1, 2006 and at that time we entered into an administration agreement with the Administrator to provide such services. The Adviser was organized as a corporation under the laws of the State of Delaware on July 2, 2002, and is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended. The Administrator was organized as a limited liability company under the laws of the State of Delaware on

March 18, 2005. The Adviser and Administrator are headquartered in McLean, Virginia, a suburb of Washington, D.C. The Adviser also has offices in several other states.

2

THE OFFERING

We may offer, from time to time, up to \$300,000,000 of our Securities, on terms to be determined at the time of the offering. Our Securities may be offered at prices and on terms to be disclosed in one or more prospectus supplements. In the case of an offering of our common stock and warrants or rights to acquire such common stock hereunder in any offering, the offering price per share, exclusive of any underwriting commission or discount, will not be less than the net asset value (NAV) per share of our common stock at the time of the offering except (i) in connection with a rights offering to our existing stockholders, (ii) with the consent of the majority of our common stockholders, or (iii) under such other circumstances as the SEC may permit. If we were to sell shares of our common stock below our then current NAV per share, such sales would result in an immediate dilution to the NAV per share. This dilution would occur as a result of the sale of shares at a price below the then current NAV per share of our common stock and a proportionately greater decrease in a stockholder s interest in our earnings and assets and voting interest in us than the increase in our assets resulting from such issuance.

Our Securities may be offered directly to one or more purchasers, including existing stockholders in a rights offering, by us or through agents designated from time to time by us, or to or through underwriters or dealers. The prospectus supplement relating to the offering will disclose the terms of the offering, including the name or names of any agents or underwriters involved in the sale of our Securities by us, the purchase price, and any fee, commission or discount arrangement between us and our agents or underwriters or among our underwriters or the basis upon which such amount may be calculated. See *Plan of Distribution*. We may not sell any of our Securities through agents, underwriters or dealers without delivery of a prospectus supplement describing the method and terms of the offering of our Securities.

Set forth below is additional information regarding the offering of our Securities:

Common Stock Trading Symbol (NASDAQ)

GLAD

7.125% Series 2016 Term Preferred Stock Trading Symbol (NASDAQ)

GLADP

Use of Proceeds

Unless otherwise specified in a prospectus supplement, we intend to use the net proceeds from the sale of our Securities first to pay down existing short-term debt, then to make investments in small and mid-sized companies in accordance with our investment objectives, with any remaining proceeds to be used for other general

corporate purposes. See Use of Proceeds.

Dividends and Distributions

We have paid monthly distributions to the holders of our common stock since October 2003 (and prior to that quarterly distributions since January 2002) and generally intend to continue to do so. We made our first distribution on our term preferred stock in December of 2011 and have made monthly distributions thereafter. The amount of monthly distributions on our common stock is determined by our Board of Directors on a quarterly basis and is based on our estimate of our annual investment company taxable income and net short-term taxable capital gains, if any. See *Price Range of Common Stock and Distributions*. Certain additional amounts may be deemed as distributed to stockholders for income tax purposes. Other types of securities we might offer will

likely pay distributions in accordance with their terms.

Taxation

We intend to continue to elect to be treated for federal income tax purposes as a RIC. So long as we continue to qualify, we generally will pay no corporate-level federal income taxes on any ordinary income or capital gains that we distribute to our stockholders. To maintain our RIC status, we must meet specified source-of-income and asset diversification requirements and distribute annually at least 90% of our taxable ordinary income and realized net short-term capital gains in excess of realized net long-term capital losses, if any, out of assets legally available for distribution. See *Material U.S.*

Federal Income Tax Considerations.

3

Trading at a Discount

Common shares of closed-end investment companies frequently trade at a discount to their NAV.

The possibility that our common shares may trade at a discount to our NAV is separate and distinct from the risk that our NAV per common share may decline. We cannot predict whether our common shares will trade above, at or below NAV, although during the past three years, our common stock

has often traded, and at times significantly, below NAV.

Certain Anti-Takeover Provisions

Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes of directors serving staggered three-year terms.

This structure is intended to provide us with a greater likelihood of continuity of management, which

may be necessary for us to realize the full value of our investments. A staggered board of directors also may serve to deter hostile takeovers or proxy contests, as may certain provisions of Maryland law and other measures we have adopted. See *Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our*

Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws.

Dividend Reinvestment Plan We have a dividend reinvestment plan for our common and preferred stockholders. This is an opt in

dividend reinvestment plan, meaning that stockholders may elect to have their cash dividends automatically reinvested in additional shares. Stockholders who do not so elect will receive their dividends in cash. Stockholders who receive distributions in the form of stock will be subject to the same federal, state and local tax consequences as stockholders who elect to receive their distributions

in cash. See Dividend Reinvestment Plan.

Management Arrangements Gladstone Management Corporation serves as the investment adviser, and Gladstone Administration,

LLC serves as the Administrator. For a description of the Adviser, the Administrator, the Gladstone Companies and the contractual arrangements with these companies, see *Management Certain Transactions Investment Advisory and Management Agreement, Management Certain Transactions Administration Agreement* and *Management Certain Transactions Loan Servicing Agreement.*

FEES AND EXPENSES

The following table is intended to assist you in understanding the costs and expenses that an investor in this offering will bear directly or indirectly. We caution you that some of the percentages indicated in the table below are estimates and may vary. Except where the context suggests otherwise, whenever this prospectus contains a reference to fees or expenses paid by us or Gladstone Capital, or that we will pay fees or expenses, stockholders will indirectly bear such fees or expenses as investors in Gladstone Capital. The following annualized percentages were calculated based on actual expenses incurred in the quarter ended September 30, 2013 and average net assets for the quarter ended September 30, 2013.

| Stockholder Transaction Expenses: | |
|---|-------|
| Sales load or other commission (as a percentage of offering price)(1) | % |
| Offering expenses (as a percentage of offering price)(1) | % |
| Dividend reinvestment plan expenses(2) | None |
| Total stockholder transaction expenses (1) | % |
| Annual expenses (as a percentage of net assets attributable to common stock): | |
| Management fees(3) | 2.92% |
| Incentive fees payable under investment advisory and management agreement (20% of realized capital gains and 20% of | |
| pre-incentive fee net investment income)(4) | 2.48% |
| Interest payments on borrowed funds(5) | 2.06% |
| Dividend expense on mandatorily redeemable preferred stock (6) | 1.65% |
| Other expenses(7) | 0.74% |
| Total annual expenses(3)(7) | 9.85% |

(1) The amounts set forth in the table above do not reflect the impact of any sales load, sales commission or other offering expenses borne by Gladstone Capital and its stockholders. The prospectus supplement relating to an offering of securities pursuant to this prospectus will disclose the estimated offering price and the estimated offering expenses and total stockholder transaction expenses borne by Gladstone Capital and its stockholders as a percentage of the offering price. In the event that securities to which this prospectus relates are sold to or through underwriters, the prospectus supplement will also disclose the applicable sales load.

4

Table of Contents

- (2) The expenses of the reinvestment plan are included in stock record expenses, a component of other expenses. The participants in the dividend reinvestment plan will bear a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred with respect to open market purchases, if any. See *Dividend Reinvestment Plan* for information on the dividend reinvestment plan.
- (3) Our annual base management fee is 2.0% (0.5% quarterly) of our average gross assets, which are defined as total assets of Gladstone Capital, including investments made with proceeds of borrowings, less any uninvested cash or cash equivalents resulting from borrowings. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the Adviser voluntarily agreed to waive the annual base management fee of 2.0% to 0.5% for those senior syndicated loan participations that we purchase using borrowings from our credit facility. Although there can be no guarantee that the Adviser will continue to waive any portion of the fees due under the amended and restated investment advisory agreement (Advisory Agreement), on an annual basis after giving effect to this waiver, the estimated management fees as a percentage of net assets attributable to common stock were 2.85% and the total estimated annual expenses as a percentage of net assets attributable to common stock were 9.77%. See Management Certain Transactions Investment Advisory and Management Agreement.
- (4) The incentive fee consists of two parts: an income-based fee and a capital gains-based fee. The income-based fee is payable quarterly in arrears, and equals 20.0% of the excess, if any, of our pre-incentive fee net investment income that exceeds a 1.75% quarterly (7.0% annualized) hurdle rate of our net assets, subject to a catch-up provision measured as of the end of each calendar quarter. The catch-up provision requires us to pay 100% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income with respect to that portion of such income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 125.0% of the quarterly hurdle rate (or 2.1875%) in any calendar quarter (8.75% annualized). The catch-up provision is meant to provide the Adviser with 20.0% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income as if a hurdle rate did not apply when our pre-incentive fee net investment income exceeds 125.0% of the quarterly hurdle rate in any calendar quarter (8.75% annualized). The income-based incentive fee is computed and paid on income that may include interest that is accrued but not yet received in cash. Our pre-incentive fee net investment income used to calculate this part of the income-based incentive fee is also included in the amount of our gross assets used to calculate the 2.0% base management fee (see footnote 3 above). The capital gains-based incentive fee equals 20.0% of our net realized capital gains since our inception, if any, computed net of all realized capital losses and unrealized capital depreciation since our inception, less any prior payments, and is payable at the end of each fiscal year. We have not recorded any capital gains-based incentive fee from our inception through September 30, 2013.

From time to time, the Adviser has voluntarily agreed to waive a portion of the incentive fees, to the extent net investment income did not cover 100% of the distributions to common stockholders during the period, although no waiver was necessary for such purpose, or granted, for the quarter ended September 30, 2013. There can be no guarantee that the Adviser will continue to waive any portion of the fees under the Advisory Agreement in the future.

5

Examples of how the incentive fee would be calculated are as follows:

Assuming pre-incentive fee net investment income of 0.55%, there would be no income-based incentive fee because such income would not exceed the hurdle rate of 1.75%.

```
Assuming pre-incentive fee net investment income of 2.00\%, the income-based incentive fee would be as follows: = 100\% \times (2.00\% - 1.75\%) = 0.25\%
```

```
Assuming pre-incentive fee net investment income of 2.30%, the income-based incentive fee would be as follows:  = (100\% \times (\text{ catch-up }: 2.1875\% - 1.75\%)) + (20\% \times (2.30\% - 2.1875\%)) 
 = (100\% \times 0.4375\%) + (20\% \times 0.1125\%) 
 = 0.4375\% + 0.0225\% 
 = 0.46\%
```

Assuming net realized capital gains of 6% and realized capital losses and unrealized capital depreciation of 1%, the capital gains-based incentive fee would be as follows:

```
= 20\% \times (6\% - 1\%)= 20\% \times 5\%
```

= 1%

For a more detailed discussion of the calculation of the two-part incentive fee, see *Management Certain Transactions Investment Advisory and Management Agreement*.

- (5) Includes deferred financing costs. On April 26, 2013, we extended the maturity date of our credit facility to January 19, 2016, under which our borrowing capacity is \$137.0 million. In addition, on January 29, 2013, we removed the LIBOR minimum of 1.50% on advances under our credit facility. We have drawn down on this credit facility and we expect to borrow additional funds in the future up to an amount so that our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, is at least 200% after each issuance of our senior securities. Assuming that we borrowed \$137.0 million at an interest rate of 4.00% plus an additional fee related to borrowings of 0.62%, for an aggregate rate of 4.62%, interest payments and amortization of deferred financing costs on borrowed funds would have been 3.33% of our average net assets for the quarter ended September 30, 2013.
- (6) In November 2011, we completed a public offering of 7.125% Series 2016 Term Preferred Stock, par value \$0.001 per share, at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share. In the offering, we issued approximately 1.5 million shares of 7.125% Series 2016 Term Preferred Stock. Dividend expense includes the amounts paid to preferred stockholders during the three months ended September 30, 2013. Also included in this line item is the amortization of the offering costs related to our term preferred stock offering. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Equity Term Preferred Stock for additional information.
- (7) Includes our overhead expenses, including payments under the administration agreement based on our projected allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by the Administrator in performing its obligations under the administration agreement. See

Management Certain Transactions Administration Agreement.

Examples

The following examples demonstrate the projected dollar amount of total cumulative expenses that would be incurred over various periods with respect to a hypothetical investment in our Securities. In calculating the following expense amounts, we have assumed that our annual operating expenses would remain at the levels set forth in the table above. The amounts set

6

forth below do not reflect the impact of sales load or offering expenses to be borne by Gladstone Capital or its stockholders. In the prospectus supplement relating to an offering of securities pursuant to this prospectus, the examples below will be restated to reflect the impact of the estimated offering expenses borne by Gladstone Capital and its stockholders and, in the event that securities to which this prospectus relates are sold to or through underwriters, the impact of the applicable sales load. The examples below and the expenses in the table above should not be considered a representation of our future expenses, and actual expenses (including the cost of debt, incentive fees, if any, and other expenses) may be greater or less than those shown.

| | 1 Year | 3 Years | 5 Years | 10 Years |
|--|--------|---------|---------|----------|
| You would pay the following expenses on a \$1,000 investment: | | | | |
| assuming a 5% annual return consisting entirely of ordinary income(1)(2) | \$ 103 | \$ 294 | \$ 465 | \$ 818 |
| assuming a 5% annual return consisting entirely of capital gains(2)(3) | \$ 112 | \$ 317 | \$ 496 | \$ 856 |

- (1) While the example assumes, as required by the SEC, a 5% annual return, our performance will vary and may result in a return greater or less than 5%. Additionally, we have assumed that the entire amount of such 5% annual return would constitute ordinary income as we have not historically realized positive capital gains (computed net of all realized capital losses) on our investments. Because the assumed 5% annual return is significantly below the hurdle rate of 7% (annualized) that we must achieve under the investment advisory and management agreement to trigger the payment of an income-based incentive fee, we have assumed, for purposes of this example, that no income-based incentive fee would be payable if we realized a 5% annual return on our investments.
- (2) While the example assumes reinvestment of all dividends and distributions at NAV, participants in our dividend reinvestment plan will receive a number of shares of our common stock, determined by dividing the total dollar amount of the dividend payable to a participant by the average cost of shares of our common stock purchased in the open market in the period beginning on or before the payment date of the distribution and ending when the plan agent has expended for such purchases all of the cash that would have been otherwise payable to participants. See *Dividend Reinvestment Plan* for additional information regarding our dividend reinvestment plan.
- (3) While the example assumes, as required by the SEC, a 5% annual return, our performance will vary and may result in a return greater or less than 5%. For purposes of this example, we have assumed that the entire amount of such 5% annual return would constitute capital gains.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form N-2 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which we refer to as the Securities Act, with respect to the Securities offered by this prospectus. This prospectus, which is a part of the registration statement, does not contain all of the information set forth in the registration statement or exhibits and schedules thereto. For further information with respect to our business and our Securities, reference is made to the registration statement, including the amendments, exhibits and schedules thereto.

We also file reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which we refer to as the Exchange Act. Such reports, proxy statements and other information, as well as the registration statement and the amendments, exhibits and schedules thereto, can be inspected at the public reference facilities maintained by the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Information about the operation of the public reference facilities may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains a website that contains reports, proxy statements and other information regarding registrants, including us, that file such information electronically with the SEC. The address of the SEC s website is http://www.sec.gov. Copies of such material may also be obtained from the Public Reference Section of the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549, at prescribed rates. Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ and our corporate website is located at www.gladstonecapital.com. The information contained on, or accessible through, our website is not a part of this prospectus.

Table of Contents

We make available free of charge on our website our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC.

We also furnish to our stockholders annual reports, which include annual financial information that has been examined and reported on, with an opinion expressed, by our independent registered public accounting firm. See *Experts*.

8

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below and all other information provided and incorporated by reference in this prospectus (or any prospectus supplement) before making a decision to purchase our Securities. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or not presently deemed material by us, may also impair our operations and performance.

If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. If that happens, the trading price of our Securities could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to the Economy and Recent Legislation

The failure of U.S. lawmakers to reach an agreement on the national debt ceiling or a budget could have material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

On October 16, 2013, the U.S. Congress passed legislation to reopen the government through January 15, 2014 and effectively suspend the debt ceiling through February 7, 2014 to permit broader negotiations over budget issues. In the event U.S. lawmakers fail to reach a viable agreement on the national debt ceiling or a budget, the U.S. could default on its obligations, which could negatively impact the trading market for U.S. government securities. This may, in turn, negatively affect our ability to obtain financing for our investments. As a result, it may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. While the U.S. had begun to see improving financial indicators since the 2008 recession, the recent events have created more uncertainty in the U.S. economy and capital markets. Therefore, we remain cautious about a long-term economic recovery.

The recession in general, and the disruptions in the capital markets in particular, have impacted our liquidity options and increased our cost of debt and equity capital. As a result, we do not know if adverse conditions will again intensify, and we are unable to gauge the full extent to which the disruptions will continue to affect us. The longer these uncertain conditions persist, the greater the probability that these factors could continue to increase our costs of, and significantly limit our access to, debt and equity capital and, thus, have an adverse effect on our operations and financial results. Many of our portfolio companies and the companies we may invest in prospectively are also susceptible to these unstable economic conditions, which may affect the ability of one or more of our portfolio companies to repay our loans or engage in a liquidity event, such as a sale, recapitalization or initial public offering. These unstable economic conditions could also disproportionately impact some of the industries in which we invest, causing us to be more vulnerable to losses in our portfolio, which could cause the number of non-performing assets to increase and the fair value of our portfolio to decrease. The unstable economic conditions may also decrease the value of collateral securing some of our loans as well as the value of our equity investments, which would decrease our ability to borrow under our revolving line of credit or raise equity capital, thereby further reducing our ability to make new investments.

There is still a great deal of volatility in the marketplace. Although, the temporary debt-ceiling raise, along with the possibility of another government shutdown, have been put off until early in 2014. The unstable economic conditions have affected the availability of credit generally. We do not know when market conditions will stabilize, if adverse conditions will intensify or the full extent to which the disruptions will continue to affect us. Also, it is possible that persistent instability of the financial markets could have other unforeseen material effects on our business.

9

Table of Contents

A further downgrade of the United States credit rating and the ongoing economic crisis in Europe could negatively impact our liquidity, financial condition and earnings.

Recent U.S. debt ceiling and budget deficit concerns, together with signs of deteriorating sovereign debt conditions in Europe, have increased the possibility of additional credit-rating downgrades and economic slowdowns. In August 2011, Standard & Poor s Ratings Services lowered its long-term sovereign credit rating on the U.S. from AAA to AA+. On October 15, 2013, Fitch Ratings Service placed the U.S. credit rating on negative watch, warning that a failure by the U.S. Government to honor interest or principal payments on U.S. treasury securities would impact its decision on whether to downgrade the U.S. credit rating. Fitch also stated that the manner and duration of an agreement to raise the debt ceiling and resolve the budget impasse, as well as the perceived risk of such events occurring in the future, would weigh on its ratings.

The impact of any further downgrades to the U.S. government sovereign credit rating, or its perceived creditworthiness, and deteriorating sovereign debt conditions in Europe, is inherently unpredictable and could adversely affect the U.S. and global financial markets and economic conditions. There can be no assurance that governmental or other measures to aid economic recovery will be effective. These developments and the government so credit concerns in general could cause interest rates and borrowing costs to rise, which may negatively impact our ability to access the debt markets on favorable terms. In addition, the decreased credit rating could create broader financial turmoil and uncertainty, which may weigh heavily on our stock price.

We may experience fluctuations in our quarterly and annual results based on the impact of inflation in the United States.

The majority of our portfolio companies are in industries that are directly impacted by inflation, such as consumer goods and services and manufacturing. Our portfolio companies may not be able to pass on to customers increases in their costs of operations which could greatly affect their operating results, impacting their ability to repay our loans. In addition, any projected future decreases in our portfolio companies—operating results due to inflation could adversely impact the fair value of those investments. Any decreases in the fair value of our investments could result in future unrealized losses and therefore reduce our net assets resulting from operations.

Recent healthcare reform legislation may affect our results of operations and financial condition.

On March 23, 2010, the President of the United States signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 and on March 30, 2010, the President signed into law the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, which in part modified the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (the Acts). Together, the two Acts serve as the primary vehicle for comprehensive health care reform in the U.S. The Acts are intended to reduce the number of individuals in the U.S. without health insurance and effect significant other changes to the ways in which health care is organized, delivered and reimbursed. The complexities and ramifications of the new legislation are significant, and have begun being implemented through a phased approach concluding in 2018. At this time, the effects of health care reform and its impact on our portfolio companies business, results of operations and financial condition and the resulting impact on our operations are not yet known. Accordingly, the Acts could adversely affect the cost of providing healthcare coverage generally and could adversely affect the financial and operational performance.

Risks Related to Our External Management

We are dependent upon our key management personnel and the key management personnel of the Adviser, particularly David Gladstone and Terry Lee Brubaker, and on the continued operations of the Adviser, for our future success.

We have no employees. Our chief executive officer and president, chief operating officer, chief financial officer, treasurer, and the employees of the Adviser, do not spend all of their time managing our activities and our investment portfolio. We are particularly dependent upon David Gladstone and Terry Lee Brubaker. Our executive officers and the employees of the Adviser allocate some, and in some cases a material portion, of their time to businesses and activities that are not related to our business. We have no separate facilities and are completely reliant on the Adviser, which has significant discretion as to the implementation and execution of our business strategies and risk management practices. We are subject to the risk of discontinuation of the Adviser s operations or termination of the Advisory Agreement and the risk that, upon such event, no suitable replacement will be found. We believe that our success depends to a significant extent upon the Adviser and that discontinuation of its operations could have a material adverse effect on our ability to achieve our investment objectives.

Table of Contents 19

10

Table of Contents

Our success depends on the Adviser's ability to attract and retain qualified personnel in a competitive environment.

The Adviser experiences competition in attracting and retaining qualified personnel, particularly investment professionals and senior executives, and we may be unable to maintain or grow our business if we cannot attract and retain such personnel. The Adviser s ability to attract and retain personnel with the requisite credentials, experience and skills depends on several factors including, but not limited to, its ability to offer competitive wages, benefits and professional growth opportunities. The Adviser competes with investment funds (such as private equity funds and mezzanine funds) and traditional financial services companies for qualified personnel, many of which have greater resources than us.

Searches for qualified personnel may divert management s time from the operation of our business. Strain on the existing personnel resources of the Adviser, in the event that it is unable to attract experienced investment professionals and senior executives, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

The Adviser can resign on 60 days notice, and we may not be able to find a suitable replacement within that time, resulting in a disruption in our operations that could adversely affect our financial condition, business and results of operations.

The Adviser has the right to resign under the Advisory Agreement at any time upon not less than 60 days—written notice, whether we have found a replacement or not. If the Adviser resigns, we may not be able to find a new investment adviser or hire internal management with similar expertise and ability to provide the same or equivalent services on acceptable terms within 60 days, or at all. If we are unable to do so quickly, our operations are likely to experience a disruption, our financial condition, business and results of operations as well as our ability to pay distributions are likely to be adversely affected and the market price of our shares may decline. In addition, the coordination of our internal management and investment activities is likely to suffer if we are unable to identify and reach an agreement with a single institution or group of executives having the expertise possessed by the Adviser and its affiliates. Even if we are able to retain comparable management, whether internal or external, the integration of such management and their lack of familiarity with our investment objective may result in additional costs and time delays that may adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our incentive fee may induce the Adviser to make certain investments, including speculative investments.

The management compensation structure that has been implemented under the Advisory Agreement may cause the Adviser to invest in high-risk investments or take other risks. In addition to its management fee, the Adviser is entitled under the Advisory Agreement to receive incentive compensation based in part upon our achievement of specified levels of income. In evaluating investments and other management strategies, the opportunity to earn incentive compensation based on net income may lead the Adviser to place undue emphasis on the maximization of net income at the expense of other criteria, such as preservation of capital, maintaining sufficient liquidity, or management of credit risk or market risk, in order to achieve higher incentive compensation. Investments with higher yield potential are generally riskier or more speculative. This could result in increased risk to the value of our investment portfolio.

We may be obligated to pay the Adviser incentive compensation even if we incur a loss.

The Advisory Agreement entitles the Adviser to incentive compensation for each fiscal quarter in an amount equal to a percentage of the excess of our investment income for that quarter (before deducting incentive compensation, net operating losses and certain other items) above a threshold return for that quarter. When calculating our incentive compensation, our pre-incentive fee net investment income excludes realized and unrealized capital losses that we may incur in the fiscal quarter, even if such capital losses result in a net loss on our statement of operations for that quarter. Thus, we may be required to pay the Adviser incentive compensation for a fiscal quarter even if there is a decline in the value of our portfolio or we incur a net loss for that quarter. For additional information on incentive compensation under the Advisory Agreement with the Adviser, see **Business*** Investment Advisory and Management Agreements.

We may be required to pay the Adviser incentive compensation on income accrued, but not yet received in cash.

That part of the incentive fee payable by us that relates to our net investment income is computed and paid on income that may include interest that has been accrued but not yet received in cash, such as debt instruments with PIK interest or OID. If a portfolio company defaults on a loan, it is possible that such accrued interest previously used in the calculation of the incentive fee will become uncollectible. Consequently, we may make incentive fee payments on income accruals that we may not collect in the future and with respect to which we do not have a clawback right against the Adviser. Our OID investments totaled \$74.1 million as of September 30, 2013, at cost, which are primarily all syndicated loan investments. For the year ended September 30, 2013, we incurred \$0.3 million of OID income and the unamortized balance of OID investments as of September 30, 2013 totaled \$1.0 million. As of September 30, 2013, we had three investments which had a PIK interest component and we recorded PIK interest income of \$0.3 million during the year ended September 30, 2013. We collected no PIK interest in cash for the year ended September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

The Advisor s failure to identify and invest in securities that meet our investment criteria or perform its responsibilities under the Advisory Agreement would likely adversely affect our ability for future growth.

Our ability to achieve our investment objectives will depend on our ability to grow, which in turn will depend on the Adviser's ability to identify and invest in securities that meet our investment criteria. Accomplishing this result on a cost-effective basis will be largely a function of the Adviser's structuring of the investment process, its ability to provide competent and efficient services to us, and our access to financing on acceptable terms. The senior management team of the Adviser has substantial responsibilities under the Advisory Agreement. In order to grow, the Adviser will need to hire, train, supervise, and manage new employees successfully. Any failure to manage our future growth effectively would likely have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

There are significant potential conflicts of interest which could impact our investment returns.

Our executive officers and directors, and the officers and directors of the Adviser, serve or may serve as officers, directors, or principals of entities that operate in the same or a related line of business as we do or of investment funds managed by our affiliates. Accordingly, they may have obligations to investors in those entities, the fulfillment of which might not be in the best interests of us or our stockholders. For example, Mr. Gladstone, our chairman, chief executive officer and president, is the chairman of the board and chief executive officer of the Adviser, Gladstone Investment, Gladstone Commercial and Gladstone Land. In addition, Mr. Brubaker, our vice chairman and chief operating officer, is the vice chairman and chief operating officer of the Adviser, Gladstone Investment, Gladstone Commercial and Gladstone Land. Moreover, the Adviser may establish or sponsor other investment vehicles which from time to time may have potentially overlapping investment objectives with ours and accordingly may invest in, whether principally or secondarily, asset classes we target. While the Adviser generally has broad authority to make investments on behalf of the investment vehicles that it advises, the Adviser has adopted investment allocation procedures to address these potential conflicts and intends to direct investment opportunities to the Gladstone affiliate with the investment strategy that most closely fits the investment opportunity. Nevertheless, the management of the Adviser may face conflicts in the allocation of investment opportunities to other entities managed by the Adviser. As a result, it is possible that we may not be given the opportunity to participate in certain investments made by other funds managed by the Adviser. On October 10, 2012, our Board of Directors approved a revision of our investment objectives and strategies that became effective on January 1, 2013, which may enhance the potential for conflicts in the allocation of investment opportunities to us and other entities managed

In certain circumstances, we may make investments in a portfolio company in which one of our affiliates has or will have an investment, subject to satisfaction of any regulatory restrictions and, where required, to the prior approval of our Board of Directors. As of September 30, 2013, our Board of Directors has approved the following types of co-investment transactions:

Our affiliate, Gladstone Commercial, may, under certain circumstances, lease property to portfolio companies that we do not control. We may pursue such transactions only if (i) the portfolio company is not controlled by us or any of our affiliates, (ii) the portfolio company satisfies the tenant underwriting criteria of Gladstone Commercial, and (iii) the transaction is approved by a majority of our independent directors and a majority of the independent directors of Gladstone Commercial. We expect that any such negotiations between Gladstone Commercial and our portfolio companies would result in lease terms consistent with the terms that the portfolio companies would be likely to receive were they not portfolio companies of ours.

We may invest simultaneously with our affiliate Gladstone Investment in senior syndicated loans whereby neither we nor any affiliate has the ability to dictate the terms of the loans.

Additionally, pursuant to an exemptive order granted by the SEC in July 2012, under certain circumstances, we may co-invest with Gladstone Investment and any future BDC or closed-end management investment company that is advised by the Adviser (or sub-advised by the Adviser if it controls the fund), or any combination of the foregoing, subject to the conditions included therein.

Certain of our officers, who are also officers of the Adviser, may from time to time serve as directors of certain of our portfolio companies. If an officer serves in such capacity with one of our portfolio companies, such officer will owe fiduciary duties to the stockholders of the portfolio company, which duties may from time to time conflict with the interests of our stockholders.

Table of Contents

In the course of our investing activities, we will pay management and incentive fees to the Adviser and will reimburse the Administrator for certain expenses it incurs. As a result, investors in our common stock will invest on a gross basis and receive distributions on a net basis after expenses, resulting in, among other things, a lower rate of return than one might achieve through our investors themselves making direct investments. As a result of this arrangement, there may be times when the management team of the Adviser has interests that differ from those of our stockholders, giving rise to a conflict. In addition, as a BDC, we make available significant managerial assistance to our portfolio companies and provide other services to such portfolio companies. Although, neither we nor the Adviser currently receives fees in connection with managerial assistance, the Adviser and Gladstone Securities have, at various times, provided other services to certain of our portfolio companies and received fees for these other services.

The Adviser is not obligated to provide a waiver of the base management fee, which could negatively impact our earnings and our ability to maintain our current level of distributions to our stockholders.

The Advisory Agreement provides for a base management fee based on our gross assets. Since our 2007 fiscal year, our Board of Directors has accepted on a quarterly basis voluntary, unconditional and irrevocable waivers to reduce the annual 2.0% base management fee on senior syndicated loan participations to 0.5% to the extent that proceeds resulting from borrowings were used to purchase such syndicated loan participations, and any waived fees may not be recouped by the Adviser in the future. However, the Adviser is not required to issue these or other waivers of fees under the Advisory Agreement, and to the extent our investment portfolio grows in the future, we expect these fees will increase. If the Adviser does not issue these waivers in future quarters, it could negatively impact our earnings and may compromise our ability to maintain our current level of distributions to our stockholders, which could have a material adverse impact on our stock price.

Our business model is dependent upon developing and sustaining strong referral relationships with investment bankers, business brokers and other intermediaries and any change in our referral relationships may impact our business plan.

We are dependent upon informal relationships with investment bankers, business brokers and traditional lending institutions to provide us with deal flow. If we fail to maintain our relationship with such funds or institutions, or if we fail to establish strong referral relationships with other funds, we will not be able to grow our portfolio of investments and fully execute our business plan.

Our base management fee may induce the Adviser to incur leverage.

The fact that our base management fee is payable based upon our gross assets, which would include any investments made with proceeds of borrowings, may encourage the Adviser to use leverage to make additional investments. Under certain circumstances, the use of increased leverage may increase the likelihood of default, which would disfavor holders of our securities. Given the subjective nature of the investment decisions made by the Adviser on our behalf, we will not be able to monitor this potential conflict of interest.

Risks Related to Our External Financing

In addition to regulatory limitations on our ability to raise capital, our revolving line of credit contains various covenants which, if not complied with, could accelerate our repayment obligations under the facility, thereby materially and adversely affecting our liquidity, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay distributions.

We will have a continuing need for capital to finance our investments. As of September 30, 2013, we had \$46.9 million in borrowings outstanding under our fourth amended and restated credit agreement (our Credit Facility), which provides for maximum borrowings of \$137.0 million. Our Credit Facility permits us to fund additional loans and investments as long as we are within the conditions set forth in the credit agreement. Our Credit Facility contains covenants that require Business Loan to maintain its status as a separate legal entity, prohibit certain significant corporate transactions (such as mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions) and restrict material changes to our credit and collection policies. The facility also limits payments of distributions to our stockholders to the aggregate net investment income for each of the twelve month periods ending September 30, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016. Business Loan is also subject to certain limitations on the type of loan investments it can apply as collateral towards the borrowing base in order to receive additional borrowing availability under our Credit Facility, including restrictions on geographic concentrations, sector concentrations, loan size, interest rate type, payment frequency and status, average life and lien property. Our Credit Facility further requires Business Loan to

Table of Contents 23

13

comply with other financial and operational covenants, which obligate Business Loan to, among other things, maintain certain financial ratios, including asset and interest coverage, and a required minimum number of 20 obligors in the borrowing base. Additionally, we are subject to a performance guaranty that requires us to maintain (i) a minimum net worth (defined in our Credit Facility to include our Term Preferred Stock) of \$190.0 million plus 50.0% of all equity and subordinated debt raised after January 19, 2012, which equates to \$190.0 million as of September 30, 2013, (ii) asset coverage with respect to Senior Securities representing indebtedness of at least 200.0%, in accordance with Section 18 of the 1940 Act and (iii) our status as a BDC under the 1940 Act and as a RIC under the Code. As of September 30, 2013, we were in compliance with all of our Credit Facility covenants; however, our continued compliance depends on many factors, some of which are beyond our control.

Given the continued uncertainty in the capital markets, the cumulative unrealized depreciation in our portfolio may increase in future periods and threaten our ability to comply with the minimum net worth covenant and other covenants under our Credit Facility. Our failure to satisfy these covenants could result in foreclosure by our lenders, which would accelerate our repayment obligations under the facility and thereby have a material adverse effect on our business, liquidity, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay distributions to our stockholders.

Any inability to renew, extend or replace our Credit Facility on terms favorable to us, or at all, could adversely impact our liquidity and ability to fund new investments or maintain distributions to our stockholders.

The maturity date of our Credit Facility is January 19, 2016 (the Maturity Date). If our Credit Facility is not renewed or extended by the Maturity Date, all principal and interest will be due and payable on or before January 19, 2017 (one year after the Maturity Date). Subject to certain terms and conditions, our Credit Facility may be expanded to a total of \$237.0 million through the addition of other lenders to the facility. However, if additional lenders are unwilling to join the facility on its terms, we will be unable to expand the facility and thus will continue to have limited availability to finance new investments under our Credit Facility. There can be no guarantee that we will be able to renew, extend or replace our Credit Facility upon its maturity in 2016 on terms that are favorable to us, if at all. Our ability to expand our Credit Facility, and to obtain replacement financing at the time of its maturity, will be constrained by then-current economic conditions affecting the credit markets. In the event that we are not able to expand our Credit Facility, or to renew, extend or refinance our Credit Facility at the time of its maturity, this could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and ability to fund new investments, our ability to make distributions to our stockholders and our ability to qualify as a RIC under the Code.

If we are unable to secure replacement financing, we may be forced to sell certain assets on disadvantageous terms, which may result in realized losses, and such realized losses could materially exceed the amount of any unrealized depreciation on these assets as of our most recent balance sheet date, which would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Such circumstances would also increase the likelihood that we would be required to redeem some or all of our outstanding Term Preferred Stock, which could potentially require us to sell more assets. In addition to selling assets, or as an alternative, we may issue equity in order to repay amounts outstanding under our Credit Facility. Based on the recent trading prices of our stock, such an equity offering may have a substantial dilutive impact on our existing stockholders interest in our earnings, assets and voting interest in us. If we are able to renew, extend or refinance our Credit Facility prior to maturity, any renewal, extension or refinancing of our Credit Facility will potentially result in significantly higher interest rates and related charges and may impose significant restrictions on the use of borrowed funds to fund investments or maintain distributions to stockholders.

Our business plan is dependent upon external financing, which is constrained by the limitations of the 1940 Act.

The last equity offering we completed was for our Term Preferred Stock in November 2011, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to raise capital through issuing equity in the near future. Our business requires a substantial amount of cash to operate and grow. We may acquire such additional capital from the following sources:

Senior Securities. We may issue debt securities, other evidences of indebtedness (including borrowings under our Credit Facility), Senior Securities representing indebtedness and Senior Securities that are stock up to the maximum amount permitted by the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act currently permits us, as a BDC, to issue Senior Securities representing indebtedness and Senior Securities which are stock (such as our Term Preferred Stock), in amounts such that our asset coverage, as defined in Section 18(h) of the 1940 Act, is at least 200.0% immediately after each issuance of such Senior Security. As a result of incurring indebtedness (in whatever form), we will be exposed to the risks associated with leverage. Although borrowing money for investments increases the potential for gain, it also increases the risk of a loss. A decrease in the value of our investments will have a greater impact on the value of our common stock to the extent that we have borrowed money to make investments. There is a possibility that the costs of borrowing could exceed the income we receive on the investments we make with such borrowed funds. In

14

addition, our ability to pay distributions, issue Senior Securities or repurchase shares of our common stock would be restricted if the asset coverage on each of our Senior Securities is not at least 200.0%. If the aggregate value of our assets declines, we might be unable to satisfy that 200.0% requirement. To satisfy the 200.0% asset coverage requirement in the event that we are seeking to pay a distribution, we might either have to (i) liquidate a portion of our loan portfolio to repay a portion of our indebtedness or (ii) issue common stock. This may occur at a time when a sale of a portfolio asset may be disadvantageous, or when we have limited access to capital markets on agreeable terms. In addition, any amounts that we use to service our indebtedness or for offering expenses will not be available for distributions to stockholders. Furthermore, if we have to issue common stock at below net asset value (NAV) per common share, any non-participating stockholders will be subject to dilution, as described below. Pursuant to Section 61(a)(2) of the 1940 Act, we are permitted, under specified conditions, to issue multiple classes of Senior Securities representing indebtedness. However, pursuant to Section 18(c) of the 1940 Act, we are permitted to issue only one class of Senior Securities that is stock.

Common and Convertible Preferred Stock. Because we are constrained in our ability to issue debt or Senior Securities for the reasons given above, we are dependent on the issuance of equity as a financing source. If we raise additional funds by issuing more common stock, the percentage ownership of our stockholders at the time of the issuance would decrease and our existing common stockholder may experience dilution. In addition, under the 1940 Act, we will generally not be able to issue additional shares of our common stock at a price below NAV per common share to purchasers, other than to our existing stockholders through a rights offering, without first obtaining the approval of our stockholders and our independent directors. If we were to sell shares of our common stock below our then current NAV per common share, such sales would result in an immediate dilution to the NAV per common share. This dilution would occur as a result of the sale of shares at a price below the then current NAV per share of our common stock and a proportionately greater decrease in a stockholder s interest in our earnings and assets and voting percentage than the increase in our assets resulting from such issuance. For example, if we issue and sell an additional 10.0% of our common stock at a 5.0% discount from NAV, a stockholder who does not participate in that offering for its proportionate interest will suffer NAV dilution of up to 0.5% or \$5 per \$1,000 of NAV. This imposes constraints on our ability to raise capital when our common stock is trading below NAV per common share, as it generally has for the last several years. As noted above, the 1940 Act prohibits the issuance of multiple classes of Senior Securities that are stock. As a result, we would be prohibited from issuing convertible preferred stock to the extent that such a security was deemed to be a separate class of stock from our outstanding Term Preferred Stock.

We financed certain of our investments with borrowed money and capital from the issuance of Senior Securities, which will magnify the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested and may increase the risk of investing in us.

The following table illustrates the effect of leverage on returns from an investment in our common stock assuming various annual returns on our portfolio, net of expenses. The calculations in the table below are hypothetical, and actual returns may be higher or lower than those appearing in the table below.

| | | Assumed Return on Our Portfolio | | | | |
|---|---------|---------------------------------|--------|------|-------|--|
| | | (Net of Expenses) | | | | |
| | (10.0)% | (5.0)% | 0.0% | 5.0% | 10.0% | |
| Corresponding return to common stockholder(A) | (15.6)% | (8.5)% | (1.3)% | 5 9% | 13.0% | |

(A) The hypothetical return to common stockholders is calculated by multiplying our total assets as of September 30, 2013 by the assumed rates of return and subtracting all interest accrued on our debt for the year ended September 30, 2013, adjusted for the dividends on our Term Preferred Stock; and then dividing the resulting difference by our total assets attributable to common stock. Based on \$295.1 million in total assets, \$46.9 million in debt, \$38.5 million in aggregate liquidation preference of Term Preferred Stock, and \$206.0 million in net assets, each as of September 30, 2013.

Based on an aggregate outstanding indebtedness of \$46.9 million at cost, as of September 30, 2013, the effective annual interest rate of 5.75% as of that date, and aggregate liquidation preference of our Term Preferred Stock of \$38.5 million, our investment portfolio at fair value would have had to produce an annual return of at least 2.0% to cover annual interest payments on the outstanding debt and dividends on our Term Preferred Stock.

Table of Contents

A change in interest rates may adversely affect our profitability and our hedging strategy may expose us to additional risks.

We anticipate using a combination of equity and long-term and short-term borrowings to finance our investment activities. As a result, a portion of our income will depend upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds and the rate at which we loan these funds. Higher interest rates on our borrowings will decrease the overall return on our portfolio.

Ultimately, we expect approximately 90.0% of the loans in our portfolio to be at variable rates determined on the basis of the LIBOR and approximately 10.0% to be at fixed rates. As of September 30, 2013, based on the total principal balance of debt outstanding, our portfolio consisted of approximately 85.4% of loans at variable rates with floors, approximately 14.6% at fixed rates.

We currently hold one interest rate cap agreement, which is not designated as a hedge for accounting purposes. While hedging activities may insulate us against adverse fluctuations in interest rates, they may also limit our ability to participate in the benefits of lower interest rates with respect to the hedged portfolio. Adverse developments resulting from changes in interest rates or any future hedging transactions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our ability to receive payments pursuant to an interest rate cap agreement is linked to the ability of the counter-party to that agreement to make the required payments. To the extent that the counter-party to the agreement is unable to pay pursuant to the terms of the agreement, we may lose the hedging protection of the interest rate cap agreement.

Pending legislation may allow us to incur additional leverage.

As a BDC, we are generally not permitted to incur indebtedness (which includes senior securities representing indebtedness and senior securities that are stock) unless immediately after such borrowing we have an asset coverage (as defined in Section 18(h) of the 1940 Act) for total of at least 200.0% (i.e. the amount of borrowings may not exceed 50% of the value of our assets). Legislation pending reintroduction to the U.S. House of Representatives, if passed, would modify this section of the 1940 Act and increase the amount of such indebtedness that BDCs may incur by modifying the percentage from 200.0% to 150.0%. As a result, we may be able to incur additional indebtedness in the future and therefore your risk of an investment in us may increase. Our mandatorily redeemable preferred stock is a senior security that is stock and so for this 200.0% asset coverage threshold is included as total indebtedness. There can be no assurance whether this proposed legislation will be passed in the current form, or at all.

Risks Related to Our Investments

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities.

There has been increased competitive pressure in the BDC and investment company marketplace for senior and senior subordinated debt, resulting in lower yields for increasingly riskier investments. A large number of entities compete with us and make the types of investments that we seek to make in small and medium-sized companies. We compete with public and private buyout funds, commercial and investment banks, commercial financing companies, and, to the extent that they provide an alternative form of financing, hedge funds. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. For example, some competitors may have a lower cost of funds and access to funding sources that are not available to us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which would allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than us. Furthermore, many of our competitors are not subject to the regulatory restrictions that the 1940 Act imposes on us as a BDC. The competitive pressures we face could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Also, as a result of this competition, we may not be able to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities from time to time and we can offer no assurance that we will be able to identify and make investments that are consistent with our investment objective. We do not seek to compete based on the interest rates we offer, and we believe that some of our competitors may make loans with interest rates that will be comparable to or lower than the rates we offer. We may lose investment opportunities if we do not match our competitors pricing, terms, and structure. However, if we match our competitors pricing, terms, and structure, we may experience decreased net interest income and increased risk of credit loss.

Our investments in small and medium-sized portfolio companies are extremely risky and could cause you to lose all or a part of your investment.

Investments in small and medium-sized portfolio companies are subject to a number of significant risks including the following:

Small and medium-sized businesses are likely to have greater exposure to economic downturns than larger businesses. Our portfolio companies may have fewer resources than larger businesses, and thus the recent recession, and any further economic downturns or recessions, are more likely to have a material adverse effect on them. If one of our portfolio companies is adversely impacted by a

recession, its ability to repay our loan or engage in a liquidity event, such as a sale, recapitalization or initial public offering would be diminished.

16

Table of Contents

Small and medium-sized businesses may have limited financial resources and may not be able to repay the loans we make to them. Our strategy includes providing financing to portfolio companies that typically do not have readily available access to financing. While we believe that this provides an attractive opportunity for us to generate profits, this may make it difficult for the portfolio companies to repay their loans to us upon maturity. A borrower s ability to repay its loan may be adversely affected by numerous factors, including the failure to meet its business plan, a downturn in its industry, or negative economic conditions. Deterioration in a borrower s financial condition and prospects usually will be accompanied by deterioration in the value of any collateral and a reduction in the likelihood of us realizing on any guaranties we may have obtained from the borrower s management. As of September 30, 2013, two portfolio companies were on non-accrual status with an aggregate debt cost basis of approximately \$39.5 million, or 12.6% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio. While we are working with the portfolio companies to improve their profitability and cash flows, there can be no assurance that our efforts will prove successful. Although we will sometimes seek to be the senior, secured lender to a borrower, in most of our loans we expect to be subordinated to a senior lender, and our interest in any collateral would, accordingly, likely be subordinate to another lender s security interest.

Small and medium-sized businesses typically have narrower product lines and smaller market shares than large businesses. Because our target portfolio companies are smaller businesses, they will tend to be more vulnerable to competitors—actions and market conditions, as well as general economic downturns. In addition, our portfolio companies may face intense competition, including competition from companies with greater financial resources, more extensive development, manufacturing, marketing, and other capabilities and a larger number of qualified managerial, and technical personnel.

There is generally little or no publicly available information about these businesses. Because we seek to invest in privately owned businesses, there is generally little or no publicly available operating and financial information about our potential portfolio companies. As a result, we rely on our officers, the Adviser and its employees, Gladstone Securities and consultants to perform due diligence investigations of these portfolio companies, their operations, and their prospects. We may not learn all of the material information we need to know regarding these businesses through our investigations.

Small and medium-sized businesses generally have less predictable operating results. We expect that our portfolio companies may have significant variations in their operating results, may from time to time be parties to litigation, may be engaged in rapidly changing businesses with products subject to a substantial risk of obsolescence, may require substantial additional capital to support their operations, to finance expansion or to maintain their competitive position, may otherwise have a weak financial position, or may be adversely affected by changes in the business cycle. Our portfolio companies may not meet net income, cash flow, and other coverage tests typically imposed by their senior lenders. A borrower s failure to satisfy financial or operating covenants imposed by senior lenders could lead to defaults and, potentially, foreclosure on its senior credit facility, which could additionally trigger cross-defaults in other agreements. If this were to occur, it is possible that the borrower s ability to repay our loan would be jeopardized.

Small and medium-sized businesses are more likely to be dependent on one or two persons. Typically, the success of a small or medium-sized business also depends on the management talents and efforts of one or two persons or a small group of persons. The death, disability, or resignation of one or more of these persons could have a material adverse impact on our borrower and, in turn, on us.

Small and medium-sized businesses may have limited operating histories. While we intend to target stable companies with proven track records, we may make loans to new companies that meet our other investment criteria. Portfolio companies with limited operating histories will be exposed to all of the operating risks that new businesses face and may be particularly susceptible to, among other risks, market downturns, competitive pressures and the departure of key executive officers.

17

Debt securities of small and medium-sized private companies typically are not rated by a credit rating agency. Typically a small or medium-sized private business cannot or will not expend the resources to have their debt securities rated by a credit rating agency. We expect that most, if not all, of the debt securities we acquire will be unrated. Investors should assume that these loans would be at rates below what is today considered investment grade quality. Investments rated below investment grade are often referred to as high yield securities or junk bonds and may be considered high risk as compared to investment-grade debt instruments.

Because the loans we make and equity securities we receive when we make loans are not publicly traded, there is uncertainty regarding the value of our privately held securities that could adversely affect our determination of our NAV.

Our portfolio investments are, and we expect will continue to be, in the form of securities that are not publicly traded. The fair value of securities and other investments that are not publicly traded may not be readily determinable. Our Board of Directors has the investment valuation policy established by the Adviser and consistently applied valuation procedures used to determine the fair value of these securities quarterly. These procedures for the determination of value of many of our debt securities rely on the opinions of value submitted to the Adviser by SPSE or the use of internally developed discounted cash flow (DCF) methodologies or indicative bid prices (IBP) offered by the respective originating syndication agent strading desk, or secondary desk, specifically for our syndicated loans, or internal methodologies based on the total enterprise value (TEV) of the issuer used for certain of our equity investments. SPSE will only evaluate the debt portion of our investments for which the Adviser specifically requests evaluation, and SPSE may decline to make requested evaluations for any reason in its sole discretion. However, to date, SPSE has accepted each of the Adviser s requests for evaluation.

The Adviser s use of these fair value methods is inherently subjective and is based on estimates and assumptions of each security. In the event that we are required to sell a security, we may ultimately sell for an amount materially less than the estimated fair value calculated by SPSE, or utilizing the TEV, IBP or the DCF methodology.

The Adviser s procedures also include provisions whereby it will establish the fair value of any equity securities we may hold where SPSE or third-party agent banks are unable to provide evaluations. The types of factors that may be considered in determining the fair value of our debt and equity securities include some or all of the following:

the nature and realizable value of any collateral;

the portfolio company s earnings and cash flows and its ability to make payments on its obligations;

the markets in which the portfolio company does business and recent sales to third parties of similar securities;

the comparison to publicly traded companies; and

discounted cash flow and other relevant factors.

Because such valuations, particularly valuations of private securities and private companies, are not susceptible to precise determination, may fluctuate over short periods of time, and may be based on estimates, the Adviser s determinations of fair value may differ from the values that might have actually resulted had a readily available market for these securities been available.

A portion of our assets are, and will continue to be, comprised of equity securities that are valued based on internal assessment using the Adviser s own valuation methods approved by our Board of Directors, without the input of SPSE or any other third-party evaluator. We believe that the Adviser s equity valuation methods reflect those regularly used as standards by other professionals in our industry who value equity securities. However, determination of fair value for securities that are not publicly traded, whether or not the Adviser uses the recommendations of an independent third-party evaluator, necessarily involves the exercise of subjective judgment. Our NAV could be adversely affected if the Adviser s determinations regarding the fair value of our investments were materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon the disposal of such securities.

The lack of liquidity of our privately held investments may adversely affect our business.

We will generally make investments in private companies whose securities are not traded in any public market. Substantially all of the investments we presently hold and the investments we expect to acquire in the future are, and will be, subject to legal and other restrictions on resale and will otherwise be less liquid than publicly traded securities. The illiquidity of our investments may make it difficult for us to quickly obtain cash equal to the value at which we record our investments if the need arises. This could cause us to miss important investment opportunities. In addition, if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may record substantial realized losses upon liquidation. We may also face other restrictions on our ability to liquidate an investment in a portfolio company to the extent that we, the Adviser, or our respective officers, employees or affiliates have material non-public information regarding such portfolio company.

18

Table of Contents

Due to the uncertainty inherent in valuing these securities, the Adviser s determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that could be obtained if a ready market for these securities existed. Our NAV could be materially affected if the Adviser s determinations regarding the fair value of our investments are materially different from the values that we ultimately realize upon our disposal of such securities.

When we are a debt or minority equity investor in a portfolio company, which we expect will generally be the case, we may not be in a position to control the entity, and its management may make decisions that could decrease the value of our investment.

We anticipate that most of our investments will continue to be either debt or minority equity investments in our portfolio companies. Therefore, we are and will remain subject to risk that a portfolio company may make business decisions with which we disagree, and the shareholders and management of such company may take risks or otherwise act in ways that do not serve our best interests. As a result, a portfolio company may make decisions that could decrease the value of our portfolio holdings.

In addition, we will generally not be in a position to control any portfolio company by investing in its debt securities. This is particularly true when we invest in syndicated loans, which are loans made by a larger group of investors whose investment objectives of the other lenders may not be completely aligned with ours. As of September 30, 2013, syndicated loans made up approximately 18.4% of our portfolio at cost, or \$61.2 million. We therefore are subject to the risk that other lenders in these investments may make decisions that could decrease the value of our portfolio holdings.

We typically invest in transactions involving acquisitions, buyouts and recapitalizations of companies, which will subject us to the risks associated with change in control transactions.

Our strategy, in part, includes making debt and equity investments in companies in connection with acquisitions, buyouts and recapitalizations, which subjects us to the risks associated with change in control transactions. Change in control transactions often present a number of uncertainties. Companies undergoing change in control transactions often face challenges retaining key employees and maintaining relationships with customers and suppliers. While we hope to avoid many of these difficulties by participating in transactions where the management team is retained and by conducting thorough due diligence in advance of our decision to invest, if our portfolio companies experience one or more of these problems, we may not realize the value that we expect in connection with our investments, which would likely harm our operating results and financial condition.

Our portfolio companies may incur debt that ranks equally with, or senior to, our investments in such companies.

We invest primarily in debt securities issued by our portfolio companies. In some cases portfolio companies will be permitted to have other debt that ranks equally with, or senior to, the debt securities in which we invest. By their terms, such debt instruments may provide that the holders thereof are entitled to receive payment of interest and principal on or before the dates on which we are entitled to receive payments in respect of the debt securities in which we invest. Also, in the event of insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization, or bankruptcy of a portfolio company, holders of debt instruments ranking senior to our investment in that portfolio company would typically be entitled to receive payment in full before we receive any distribution in respect of our investment. After repaying such senior creditors, such portfolio company may not have any remaining assets to use for repaying its obligation to us. In the case of debt ranking equally with debt securities in which we invest, we would have to share on an equal basis any distributions with other creditors holding such debt in the event of an insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization, or bankruptcy of a portfolio company.

Prepayments of our investments by our portfolio companies could adversely impact our results of operations and reduce our return on equity.

In addition to risks associated with delays in investing our capital, we are also subject to the risk that investments we make in our portfolio companies may be repaid prior to maturity. For the year ended September 30, 2013, we received principal payments of a combined \$110.5 million, of which an aggregate of \$79.6 million resulted from 14 portfolio companies who paid off early at par. We will first use any proceeds from prepayments to repay any borrowings outstanding on our Credit Facility. In the event that funds remain after repayment of our outstanding borrowings, then we will generally reinvest these proceeds in government securities, pending their future investment in new debt and/or equity securities. These government securities will typically have substantially lower yields than the debt securities being prepaid and we could experience significant delays in reinvesting these amounts. As a result, our results of operations could be materially adversely affected if one or more of our portfolio companies elect to prepay amounts owed to us. Additionally, prepayments could negatively impact our return on equity, which could result in a decline in the market price of our common stock.

Higher taxation of our portfolio companies may impact our quarterly and annual operating results.

The recession s adverse effect on federal, state, and municipality revenues may induce these government entities to raise various taxes to make up for lost revenues. Additional taxation may have an adverse affect on our portfolio companies earnings and reduce their ability to repay our loans to them, thus affecting our quarterly and annual operating results.

Our portfolio is concentrated in a limited number of companies and industries, which subjects us to an increased risk of significant loss if any one of these companies does not repay us or if the industries experience downturns.

As of September 30, 2013, we had investments in 47 portfolio companies, of which there were five investments that comprised approximately \$96.0 million or 37.4% of our total investment portfolio, at fair value. A consequence of a concentration in a limited number of investments is that the aggregate returns we realize may be substantially adversely affected by the unfavorable performance of a small number of such investments or a substantial write-down of any one investment. Beyond our regulatory and income tax diversification requirements, we do not have fixed guidelines for industry concentration and our investments could potentially be concentrated in relatively few industries. In addition, while we do not intend to invest 25.0% or more of our total assets in a particular industry or group of industries at the time of investment, it is possible that as the values of our portfolio companies change, one industry or a group of industries may comprise in excess of 25.0% of the value of our total assets. As a result, a downturn in an industry in which we have invested a significant portion of our total assets could have a materially adverse effect on us. As of September 30, 2013, our largest industry concentrations of our total investments at fair value were in healthcare, education and childcare companies, representing 17.7%; electronic companies, representing 13.1%; and personal and non-durable consumer product companies, representing 11.3%. Therefore, we are susceptible to the economic circumstances in these industries, and a downturn in one or more of these industries could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our investments are typically long term and will require several years to realize liquidation events.

Since we generally make five to seven year term loans and hold our loans and related warrants or other equity positions until the loans mature, you should not expect realization events, if any, to occur over the near term. In addition, we expect that any warrants or other equity positions that we receive when we make loans may require several years to appreciate in value and we cannot give any assurance that such appreciation will occur.

The disposition of our investments may result in contingent liabilities.

Currently, all of our investments involve private securities. In connection with the disposition of an investment in private securities, we may be required to make representations about the business and financial affairs of the underlying portfolio company typical of those made in connection with the sale of a business. We may also be required to indemnify the purchasers of such investment to the extent that any such representations turn out to be inaccurate or with respect to certain potential liabilities. These arrangements may result in contingent liabilities that ultimately yield funding obligations that must be satisfied through our return of certain distributions previously made to us.

There may be circumstances where our debt investments could be subordinated to claims of other creditors or we could be subject to lender liability claims.

Even though we have structured some of our investments as senior loans, if one of our portfolio companies were to go bankrupt, depending on the facts and circumstances, including the extent to which we actually provided managerial assistance to that portfolio company, a bankruptcy court might re-characterize our debt investments and subordinate all, or a portion, of our claims to that of other creditors. Holders of debt instruments ranking senior to our investments typically would be entitled to receive payment in full before we receive any distributions. After repaying such senior creditors, such portfolio company may not have any remaining assets to use to repay its obligation to us. We may also be subject to lender liability claims for actions taken by us with respect to a borrower s business or in instances in which we exercised control over the borrower. It is possible that we could become subject to a lender s liability claim, including as a result of actions taken in rendering significant managerial assistance.

Portfolio company litigation could result in additional costs and the diversion of management time and resources.

In the course of providing significant managerial assistance to certain of our portfolio companies, our executive officers sometimes serve as directors on the boards of such companies. To the extent that litigation arises out of our investments in these companies, such executive officers may be named as defendants in such litigation, which could result in additional costs and the diversion of management time and resources.

20

Table of Contents

We may not realize gains from our equity investments and other yield enhancements.

When we make a subordinated loan, we may receive warrants to purchase stock issued by the borrower or other yield enhancements, such as success fees. Our goal is to ultimately dispose of these equity interests and realize gains upon our disposition of such interests. We expect that, over time, the gains we realize on these warrants and other yield enhancements will offset any losses we experience on loan defaults. However, any warrants we receive may not appreciate in value and, in fact, may decline in value and any other yield enhancements, such as success fees, may not be realized. Accordingly, we may not be able to realize gains from our equity interests or other yield enhancements and any gains we do recognize may not be sufficient to offset losses we experience on our loan portfolio.

Any unrealized depreciation we experience on our investment portfolio may be an indication of future realized losses, which could reduce our income available for distribution.

As a BDC we are required to carry our investments at market value or, if no market value is ascertainable, at fair value as determined in good faith by or under the direction of our Board of Directors. We will record decreases in the market values or fair values of our investments as unrealized depreciation. Since our inception, we have, at times, incurred a cumulative net unrealized depreciation of our portfolio. Any unrealized depreciation in our investment portfolio could result in realized losses in the future and ultimately in reductions of our income available for distribution to stockholders in future periods.

Risks Related to Our Regulation and Structure

We will be subject to corporate-level tax if we are unable to satisfy Code requirements for RIC qualification.

To maintain our qualification as a RIC, we must meet income source, asset diversification, and annual distribution requirements. The annual distribution requirement is satisfied if we distribute at least 90.0% of our investment company taxable income to our stockholders on an annual basis. Because we use leverage, we are subject to certain asset coverage ratio requirements under the 1940 Act and could, under certain circumstances, be restricted from making distributions necessary to qualify as a RIC. Warrants we receive with respect to debt investments will create original issue discount, which we must recognize as ordinary income over the term of the debt investment and which will increase the amounts we are required to distribute to maintain RIC status. Because such warrants will not produce distributable cash for us at the same time as we are required to make distributions in respect of the related original issue discount, we will need to use cash from other sources to satisfy such distribution requirements. The asset diversification requirements must be met at the end of each calendar quarter. If we fail to meet these tests, we may need to quickly dispose of certain investments to prevent the loss of RIC status. Since most of our investments will be illiquid, such dispositions, if even possible, may not be made at prices advantageous to us and, in fact, may result in substantial losses. If we fail to qualify as a RIC for any reason and become fully subject to corporate income tax, the resulting corporate taxes could substantially reduce our net assets, the amount of income available for distribution, and the actual amount distributed. Such a failure would have a material adverse effect on us and our shares. For additional information regarding asset coverage ratio and RIC requirements, see *Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations* and *Regulation as a Business Development Company*.

From time to time, some of our debt investments may include success fees that would generate payments to us if the business is ultimately sold. Because the satisfaction of these success fees, and the ultimate payment of these fees, is uncertain, we do not recognize them as income until we have received payment. We sought and received approval for a change in accounting method from the IRS related to our tax treatment for success fees. As a result, we, in effect, will continue to account for the recognition of income from the success fees upon receipt, or when the amounts become fixed. Prior to January 1, 2011, we treated the success fee amounts as a capital gain for tax characterization purposes. However, effective January 1, 2011, the success fee amounts are characterized as ordinary income for tax purposes. The approved change in accounting method does not require us to retroactively change the capital gains treatment of the success fees received prior to January 1, 2011. As a result, we are required to distribute such amounts to our stockholders in order to maintain RIC status for success fees we receive after January 1, 2011.

21

Table of Contents

Changes in laws or regulations governing our operations, or changes in the interpretation thereof, and any failure by us to comply with laws or regulations governing our operations may adversely affect our business.

We and our portfolio companies are subject to regulation by laws at the local, state and federal levels. These laws and regulations, as well as their interpretation, may be changed from time to time. Accordingly, any change in these laws or regulations, or their interpretation, or any failure by us or our portfolio companies to comply with these laws or regulations may adversely affect our business. For additional information regarding the regulations to which we are subject, see *Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations* and *Regulation as a Business Development Company*.

We are subject to restrictions that may discourage a change of control. Certain provisions contained in our charter and bylaws and Maryland law may prohibit or restrict a change of control and adversely impact the price of our shares.

Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes, with the term of the directors in each class expiring every third year. At each annual meeting of stockholders, the successors to the class of directors whose term expires at such meeting will be elected to hold office for a term expiring at the annual meeting of stockholders held in the third year following the year of their election. After election, a director may only be removed by our stockholders for cause. Election of directors for staggered terms with limited rights to remove directors makes it more difficult for a hostile bidder to acquire control of us. The existence of this provision may negatively impact the price of our securities and may discourage third-party bids to acquire our securities. This provision may reduce any premiums paid to stockholders in a change in control transaction.

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law may have the effect of inhibiting or deterring a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change of control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of shares of our common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of such shares, including:

Business Combination provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an interested stockholder (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our shares or an affiliate or associate of ours who, at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 10% or more of our then outstanding voting shares) or an affiliate of an interested stockholder for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter may impose special appraisal rights and two supermajority stockholder voting requirements on these combinations; and

Control Share provisions that provide that holders of control shares of our Company (defined as shares which, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a control share acquisition (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of issued and outstanding control shares) have no voting rights with respect to such shares except to the extent approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

The business combination provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law will not apply to business combinations that are approved or exempted by our Board of Directors prior to the time that one becomes an interested stockholder. In addition, as permitted by the Maryland General Corporation Law, we have elected, by provision in our charter, which we refer to as our charter or our articles of incorporation, to exempt from the business combination provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law any shares of our stock which are or may be issued to or owned by an employee stock ownership or similar plan. Our bylaws contain a provision exempting the acquisition of our capital stock by our current or future stockholders, or their affiliates or associates, from the control share provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law. There can be no assurance that this provision will not be amended or eliminated at any time in the future. However, so long as we are a BDC, we will amend our bylaws to be subject to the control share statute only if our Board of Directors determines that it would be in our best interests based on our determination that our being subject to the control share statute does not conflict with the 1940 Act.

Additionally, Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the Maryland General Corporation Law permits our Board of Directors, without stockholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our charter or bylaws, to elect to be subject to certain provisions relating to corporate governance that may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change of control of our Company that might involve a premium to the market price of our common stock or otherwise be in our stockholders best interests. We are subject to some of these provisions, either by provisions of our charter and bylaws unrelated to Subtitle 8 or by reason of an election in our bylaw to be subject to the provisions of Subtitle 8.

In addition, the advance notice provisions of our bylaws could delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control of our company that might involve a premium price for holders of our common stock or otherwise be in their best interest. See *Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and Of Our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws*.

Our charter permits our Board of Directors to issue up to 50.0 million shares of capital stock. Our Board of Directors may classify or reclassify any unissued common stock or preferred stock and establish the preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to distributions, qualifications and terms or conditions of redemption of any such stock. Thus, our Board of Directors could authorize the issuance of preferred stock with terms and conditions that could have a priority as to distributions and amounts payable upon liquidation over the rights of the holders of our common stock, which it did in connection with our issuance of approximately 1.5 million shares of Term Preferred Stock. Preferred stock, including our Term Preferred Stock, could also have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of us, including an extraordinary transaction (such as a merger, tender offer or sale of all or substantially all of our assets) that might provide a premium price for holders of our common stock.

Risks Related to an Investment in Our Securities

We may experience fluctuations in our quarterly and annual operating results.

We may experience fluctuations in our quarterly and annual operating results due to a number of factors, including, among others, variations in our investment income, the interest rates payable on the debt securities we acquire, the default rates on such securities, the level of our expenses, variations in and the timing of the recognition of realized and unrealized gains or losses, the level of our expenses, the degree to which we encounter competition in our markets, and general economic

22

conditions, including the impacts of inflation. The majority of our portfolio companies are in industries that are directly impacted by inflation, such as manufacturing and consumer goods and services. Our portfolio companies may not be able to pass on to customers increases in their costs of production which could greatly affect their operating results, impacting their ability to repay our loans. In addition, any projected future decreases in our portfolio companies—operating results due to inflation could adversely impact the fair value of those investments. Any decreases in the fair value of our investments could result in future realized and unrealized losses and therefore reduce our net assets resulting from operations. As a result of these factors, results for any period should not be relied upon as being indicative of performance in future periods.

There is a risk that you may not receive distributions or that distributions may not grow over time.

Our current intention is to distribute at least 90.0% of our investment company taxable income to our stockholders on a quarterly basis by paying monthly distributions. We expect to retain some or all net realized long-term capital gains by first offsetting them with realized capital losses, and secondly through a deemed distribution to supplement our equity capital and support the growth of our portfolio, although our Board of Directors may determine in certain cases to distribute these gains to our common stockholders. In addition, our Credit Facility restricts the amount of distributions we are permitted to make. We cannot assure you that we will achieve investment results or maintain a tax status that will allow or require any specified level of cash distributions.

Investing in our securities may involve an above average degree of risk.

The investments we make in accordance with our investment objective may result in a higher amount of risk than alternative investment options and a higher risk of volatility or loss of principal. Our investments in portfolio companies may be highly speculative, and therefore, an investment in our shares may not be suitable for someone with lower risk tolerance.

Distributions to our stockholders have included and may in the future include a return of capital.

Our Board of Directors declares monthly distributions based on estimates of taxable income for each fiscal year, which may differ, and in the past have differed, from actual results. Because our distributions are based on estimates of taxable income that may differ from actual results, future distributions payable to our stockholders may also include a return of capital. Moreover, to the extent that we distribute amounts that exceed our accumulated earnings and profits, these distributions constitute a return of capital. A return of capital represents a return of a stockholder s original investment in shares of our stock and should not be confused with a distribution from earnings and profits. Although return of capital distributions may not be taxable, such distributions may increase an investor s tax liability for capital gains upon the sale of our shares by reducing the investor s tax basis for such shares. Such returns of capital reduce our asset base and also adversely impact our ability to raise debt capital as a result of the leverage restrictions under the 1940 Act, which could have a material adverse impact on our ability to make new investments.

The market price of our shares may be volatile and fluctuate significantly.

The trading price of our common stock and our preferred stock may fluctuate substantially. In part due to the extreme volatility and disruption that have affected the capital and credit markets over the past few years, we have experienced greater than usual stock price volatility.

The market price and marketability of our shares may from time to time be significantly affected by numerous factors, including many over which we have no control and that may not be directly related to us. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:


general economic trends and other external factors;

price and volume fluctuations in the stock market from time to time, which are often unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies;

significant volatility in the market price and trading volume of shares of RICs, BDCs or other companies in our sector, which is not necessarily related to the operating performance of these companies;

changes in regulatory policies or tax guidelines, particularly with respect to RICs or BDCs;

23



loss of a major funding source.

Fluctuations in the trading prices of our shares may adversely affect the liquidity of the trading market for our shares and, if we seek to raise capital through future equity financings, our ability to raise such equity capital.

The issuance of subscription rights to our existing stockholders may dilute the ownership and voting powers of existing stockholders in our common stock, dilute the NAV of their shares and have a material adverse effect on the trading price of our common stock.

There are significant capital raising constraints applicable to us under the 1940 Act when our common stock is trading below its NAV per share. In the event that we issue subscription rights to our existing stockholders to subscribe for and purchase additional shares of our common stock, there is a significant possibility that the rights offering will dilute the ownership interest and voting power of stockholders who do not fully exercise their subscription rights should expect that they will, upon completion of the rights offering, own a smaller proportional interest in us than would otherwise be the case if they fully exercised their subscription rights. In addition, because the subscription price of the rights offering is likely to be less than our most recently determined NAV per common share, our common stockholders are likely to experience an immediate dilution of the per share NAV of their shares as a result of the offer. As a result of these factors, any future rights offerings of our common stock, or our announcement of our intention to conduct a rights offering, could have a material adverse impact on the trading price of our common stock.

Shares of closed-end investment companies frequently trade at a discount from NAV.

Shares of closed-end investment companies frequently trade at a discount from NAV per common share. Since our inception, our common stock has at times traded above NAV, and at times below NAV per share. During the past year, our common stock has consistently, and at times significantly, traded below NAV. Subsequent to September 30, 2013, our common stock has traded at discounts of up to 15.4% of our NAV per share, which was \$9.81 as of September 30, 2013. This characteristic of shares of closed-end investment companies is separate and distinct from the risk that our NAV per share will decline. As with any stock, the price of our shares will fluctuate with market conditions and other factors. If shares are sold, the price received may be more or less than the original investment. Whether investors will realize gains or losses upon the sale of our shares will not depend directly upon our NAV, but will depend upon the market price of the shares at the time of sale. Since the market price of our shares will be affected by such factors as the relative demand for and supply of the shares in the market, general market and

economic conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict whether the shares will trade at, below or above our NAV. Under the 1940 Act, we are generally not able to issue additional shares of our common stock at a price below NAV per share to purchasers other than our existing stockholders through a rights offering without first obtaining the approval of our common stockholders and our independent directors. Additionally, at times when our common stock is trading below its NAV per share, our dividend yield may exceed the weighted average returns that we would expect to realize on new investments that would be made with the proceeds from the sale of such stock, making it unlikely that we would determine to issue additional shares in such circumstances. Thus, for as long as our common stock trades below NAV we will be subject to significant constraints on our ability to raise capital through the issuance of common stock. Additionally, an extended period of time in which we are unable to raise capital may restrict our ability to grow and adversely impact our ability to increase or maintain our distributions.

Table of Contents

Common stockholders may incur dilution if we sell shares of our common stock in one or more offerings at prices below the then current NAV per share of our common stock.

At our most recent annual meeting of stockholders on February 14, 2013, our stockholders approved a proposal designed to allow us to sell shares of our common stock below the then current NAV per share of our common stock in one or more offerings for a period of one year from the date of such approval, subject to certain conditions (including, but not limited to, that the number of common shares issued and sold pursuant to such authority does not exceed 25.0% of our then outstanding common stock immediately prior to each such sale). Absent such stockholder approval, we would not be able to access the capital markets in an offering at below the then current NAV per share due to restrictions applicable to BDCs under the 1940 Act. At the upcoming annual stockholders meeting scheduled for February 13, 2014, our stockholders will again be asked to vote in favor of renewing this proposal for another year. During the past year, our common stock has consistently, and at times significantly, traded below NAV. Any decision to sell shares of our common stock below the then current NAV per share of our common stock would be subject to the determination by our Board of Directors that such issuance is in our and our stockholders best interests.

If we were to sell shares of our common stock below NAV per share, such sales would result in an immediate dilution to the NAV per share. This dilution would occur as a result of the sale of shares at a price below the then current NAV per share of our common stock and a proportionately greater decrease in a stockholder s interest in our earnings and assets and voting interest in us than the increase in our assets resulting from such issuance. The greater the difference between the sale price and the NAV per share at the time of the offering, the more significant the dilutive impact would be. Because the number of shares of common stock that could be so issued and the timing of any issuance is not currently known, the actual dilutive effect, if any, cannot be currently predicted. However, if, for example, we sold an additional 10.0% of our common stock at a 5.0% discount from NAV, a stockholder who did not participate in that offering for its proportionate interest would suffer NAV dilution of up to 0.5% or \$5 per \$1,000 of NAV.

If we fail to pay dividends on our Term Preferred Stock for two years, the holders of our Term Preferred Stock will be entitled to elect a majority of our directors.

The terms of our Term Preferred Stock provide for annual dividends in the amount of \$1.7813 per outstanding share of Term Preferred Stock. In accordance with the terms of our Term Preferred Stock, if dividends thereon are unpaid in an amount equal to at least two years of dividends, the holders of Term Preferred Stock will be entitled to elect a majority of our Board of Directors.

Our Term Preferred Stock magnifies the potential for gain or loss for our holders of common stock and the risks of investing in our common stock in the same way as our borrowings.

Preferred stock, which is another form of leverage, has the same risks to our common stockholders as borrowings because the dividends on any preferred stock we issue must be cumulative. Payment of such dividends and repayment of the liquidation preference of such preferred stock must take preference over any dividends or other payments to our common stockholders. Preferred stockholders are less subject to our expenses or losses and are not entitled to participate in any income or appreciation in excess of their stated preference.

An investment in Term Preferred Stock with a fixed interest rate bears interest rate risk.

Our Term Preferred Stock, in general, pays dividends at a fixed dividend rate of 7.125% per year. Prices of fixed income investments generally vary inversely with changes in market yields. The market yields on securities comparable to our Term Preferred Stock may increase, which would likely result in a decline in the secondary market price of our Term Preferred Stock prior to the term redemption date. This risk may be even more significant in light of the low nature of the currently prevailing market interest rates.

A liquid secondary trading market for our Term Preferred Stock may not develop.

Although our Term Preferred Stock is listed for trading on the NASDAQ, such shares are thinly traded. The market for such shares is relatively illiquid compared to the market for other types of securities, with the spread between the bid and ask prices considerably greater than the spreads of other securities with comparable terms and features.

25

Table of Contents

Our Term Preferred Stock is not rated.

We have not had our Term Preferred Stock rated by any rating agency. Unrated securities usually trade at a discount to similar, rated securities. As a result, there is a risk that the shares of our Term Preferred Stock may trade at a price that is lower than they might otherwise trade if they were rated by a rating agency.

Our Term Preferred Stock bears a risk of early redemption by us.

We may voluntarily redeem some or all of our Term Preferred Stock on or after December 31, 2012, which is four years prior to its mandatory redemption date of December 31, 2016. We also may be forced to redeem some or all of our Term Preferred Stock to meet regulatory requirements and the asset coverage requirements of such shares, and any such redemption may occur at a time that is unfavorable to holders of our Term Preferred Stock. We may have an incentive to redeem our Term Preferred Stock voluntarily before the mandatory redemption date if market conditions allow us to issue other preferred stock or debt securities at a rate that is lower than the fixed dividend rate on our Term Preferred Stock. To date, we have not redeemed any of our Term Preferred Stock.

Claims of holders of our Term Preferred Stock are subject to a risk of subordination relative to holders of our debt instruments.

Rights of holders of our Term Preferred Stock are subordinated to the rights of holders of our indebtedness. Therefore, dividends, distributions and other payments to holders of Term Preferred Stock in liquidation or otherwise may be subject to prior payments due to the holders of our indebtedness. In addition, under some circumstances the 1940 Act may provide debt holders with voting rights that are superior to the voting rights of holders of our Term Preferred Stock.

Holders of our Term Preferred Stock are subject to inflation risk.

Inflation is the reduction in the purchasing power of money resulting from the increase in the price of goods and services. Inflation risk is the risk that the inflation-adjusted, or real, value of an investment in Term Preferred Stock or the income from that investment will be worth less in the future. As inflation occurs, the real value of our Term Preferred Stock and dividends payable on such shares declines.

Holders of our Term Preferred Stock bear reinvestment risk.

Given the five-year term and potential for early redemption of our Term Preferred Stock, holders of such shares may face an increased reinvestment risk, which is the risk that the return on an investment purchased with proceeds from the sale or redemption of our Term Preferred Stock may be lower than the return previously obtained from the investment in such shares.

Holders of our Term Preferred Stock bear dividend risk.

We may be unable to pay dividends on our Term Preferred Stock under some circumstances. The terms of our indebtedness preclude the payment of dividends in respect of equity securities, including our Term Preferred Stock, under certain conditions.

There is a risk of delay in our redemption of our Term Preferred Stock, and we may fail to redeem such securities as required by their terms.

We will generally make investments in private companies whose securities are not traded in any public market. Substantially all of the investments we presently hold and the investments we expect to acquire in the future are, and will be, subject to legal and other restrictions on resale and will otherwise be less liquid than publicly traded securities. The illiquidity of our investments may make it difficult for us to obtain cash equal to the value at which we record our investments quickly if a need arises. If we are unable to obtain sufficient liquidity prior to the term redemption date, we may be forced to engage in a partial redemption or to delay a required redemption. If such a partial redemption or delay were to occur, the market price of our Term Preferred Stock might be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Other Risks

We could face losses and potential liability if intrusion, viruses or similar disruptions to our technology jeopardize our confidential information, whether through breach of our network security or otherwise.

Maintaining our network security is of critical importance because our systems store highly confidential financial models and portfolio company information. Although we have implemented, and will continue to implement, security measures, our technology platform is and will continue to be vulnerable to intrusion, computer viruses or similar disruptive problems caused by transmission from unauthorized users. The misappropriation of proprietary information could expose us to a risk of loss or litigation.

Terrorist attacks, acts of war, or national disasters may affect any market for our common stock, impact the businesses in which we invest, and harm our business, operating results, and financial conditions.

Terrorist acts, acts of war, or national disasters have created, and continue to create, economic and political uncertainties and have contributed to global economic instability. Future terrorist activities, military or security operations, or national disasters could further weaken the domestic/global economies and create additional uncertainties, which may negatively impact the businesses in which we invest directly or indirectly and, in turn, could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, and financial condition. Losses from terrorist attacks and national disasters are generally uninsurable.

27

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

All statements contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus or any accompanying prospectus supplement, other than historical facts, may constitute forward-looking statements. These statements may relate to, among other things, future events or our future performance or financial condition. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, might, believe, provided, anticipate, future, could, growth, plan, intend, expect, should, would, if, seek, possible, potential, likely or the neg comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others: (1) further adverse changes in the economy and the capital markets; (2) risks associated with negotiation and consummation of pending and future transactions; (3) the loss of one or more of our executive officers, in particular David Gladstone and Terry Lee Brubaker; (4) changes in our business strategy; (5) availability, terms and deployment of capital; (6) changes in our industry, interest rates, exchange rates or the general economy; (7) the degree and nature of our competition; (8) our ability to maintain our qualification as a RIC and as a Business Development Company; and (9) those factors described in the Risk Factors section of this prospectus. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, after the date of this prospectus. The forward-looking statements contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus or any accompanying prospectus supplement are excluded from the safe harbor protection provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and Section 27A of the Securities Act.

USE OF PROCEEDS

Unless otherwise specified in any prospectus supplement accompanying this prospectus, we intend to use the net proceeds from the sale of the Securities for general corporate purposes. We expect the proceeds to be used first to pay down existing short-term debt, then to make investments in small and mid-sized businesses in accordance with our investment objectives, with any remaining proceeds to be used for other general corporate purposes. Indebtedness under our Credit Facility currently accrues interest at the rate of approximately 5.0% and matures on January 19, 2016. We anticipate that substantially all of the net proceeds of any offering of Securities will be utilized in the manner described above within three months of the completion of such offering. Pending such utilization, we intend to invest the net proceeds of any offering of Securities primarily in cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities, and other high-quality debt investments that mature in one year or less from the date of investment, consistent with the requirements for continued qualification as a RIC for federal income tax purposes.

PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS

We currently intend to distribute in the form of cash dividends, a minimum of 90% of our annual ordinary income and short-term capital gains, if any, to our stockholders in the form of monthly dividends. We intend to retain long-term capital gains and treat them as deemed distributions for tax purposes. We report the estimated tax characterization of each dividend when declared while the actual tax characterization of dividends are reported annually to each stockholder on IRS Form 1099-DIV. There is no assurance that we will achieve investment results or maintain a tax status that will permit any specified level of cash distributions or year-to-year increases in cash distributions. At the option of a holder of record of common stock, all cash distributions paid with respect to our common stock can be reinvested automatically under our dividend reinvestment plan in additional whole and fractional shares of our common stock. A stockholder whose shares are held in the name of a broker or other nominee should contact the broker or nominee regarding participation in a dividend reinvestment plan. See *Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Regulation and Structure We will be subject to corporate-level tax if we are unable to satisfy Code requirements for RIC qualification; Dividend Reinvestment Plan; and Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations.*

Our common stock is quoted on the NASDAQ under the symbol GLAD. Our common stock has historically traded at prices both above and below its NAV. There can be no assurance, however, that any premium to NAV will be attained or maintained. As of December 18, 2013, there were 47 stockholders of record, meaning individuals or entities that we carry in our records as the registered holder (although not necessarily the beneficial owner) of our common stock.

28

The following table sets forth the range of high and low intraday sale prices of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ and the dividends declared by us for the last two completed fiscal years and the current fiscal year through December 20, 2013.

COMMON SHARE PRICE DATA

| | NAV(1) | High | Low | Dividend Declared | Premium or (Discount) of High Sales Price to NAV(2) | Premium or (Discount) of Low Sales Price to NAV(2) |
|---|---------|---------|---------|----------------------|---|--|
| Fiscal Year ending September 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 9.90 | \$ 8.74 | \$ 6.46 | \$ 0.21 | (11.7)% | (34.7)% |
| Second Quarter | 9.62 | 9.33 | 7.69 | 0.21 | (3.0) | (20.1) |
| Third Quarter | 8.91 | 8.46 | 7.30 | 0.21 | (5.1) | (18.1) |
| Fourth Quarter | 8.98 | 9.19 | 7.86 | 0.21 | 2.3 | (12.5) |
| Fiscal Year ending September 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
| First Quarter | 9.17 | 9.02 | 7.25 | 0.21 | (1.6) | (20.9) |
| Second Quarter | 8.91 | 9.46 | 8.24 | 0.21 | 6.2 | (7.5) |
| Third Quarter | 8.60 | 9.45 | 7.76 | 0.21 | 9.9 | (9.8) |
| Fourth Quarter | 9.81 | 8.92 | 8.05 | 0.21 | (9.1) | (17.9) |
| Fiscal Year ending September 30, 2014 | | | | | | |
| First Quarter (through December 20, 2013) | * | 9.92 | 8.60 | 0.21 | * | * |

- (1) NAV per share is determined as of the last day in the relevant quarter and therefore may not reflect the NAV per share on the date of the high and low intraday sale prices. The NAV per shares shown are based on outstanding shares at the end of each period.
- (2) The (discounts) premiums to NAV per share set forth in these columns represent the high or low, as applicable, intraday sale price per share for the relevant quarter minus the NAV per share as of the end of such quarter, and therefore may not reflect the (discount) premium to NAV per share on the date of the high and low intraday sale prices.
- * Not yet available, as the NAV per share as of the end of this quarter has not yet been determined.

The following are our outstanding classes of securities as of September 30, 2013.

| | | Amount Held by us or | |
|----------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | | for | |
| | Amount | Our | Amount |
| Title of Class | Authorized | Account | Outstanding |
| Common Stock | 46,000,000 | | 21,000,160 |
| Term Preferred Stock | 4.000.000 | | 1.539.882 |

RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES

For the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the ratios of three income metrics to fixed charges of the Company, computed as set forth below, were as follows:

| 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|------|--------------|---|--|---|
| 3.6x | 3.3x | 5.5x | 4.0x | 3.0x |
| | | | | |
| 2.8x | 1.8x | 5.2x | 3.5x | 0.5x |
| | | | | |
| 5.5x | 0.0x | (4.2x) | 3.8x | 1.4x |
| | 3.6x 2.8x | 2013 2012 3.6x 3.3x 2.8x 1.8x | 2013 2012 2011 3.6x 3.3x 5.5x 2.8x 1.8x 5.2x | 3.6x 3.3x 5.5x 4.0x 2.8x 1.8x 5.2x 3.5x |

For purposes of computing the ratios, fixed charges include interest expense on borrowings, dividend expense on mandatorily redeemable preferred stock and amortization of deferred financing fees.

(A) Due to unrealized depreciation of certain investments during each of the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the ratio of earnings to fixed charges were less than 1:1. We would have needed to generate additional earnings of approximately \$8.0 and \$21.5 million in each respective year to achieve a coverage ratio of 1:1.

CONSOLIDATED SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following consolidated selected financial data for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The other data included in the second table below is unaudited. The data should be read in conjunction with our accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and *Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* included elsewhere in this prospectus.

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OTHER DATA

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AND PER UNIT DATA)

| | As of and for the Year Ended September 30, | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|----|----------------|----|----------------|--------------------|----|--------------|
| | | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | 2010 | | 2009 |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Investment Income | \$ | 36,154 | \$ | 40,322 | \$ | 35,211 | \$ 35,539 | \$ | 42,618 |
| Total Expenses, Net of Credits from Adviser | | 17,768 | | 21,278 | | 16,799 | 17,780 | | 21,587 |
| Net Investment Income | | 18,386 | | 19,044 | | 18,412 | 17,759 | | 21,031 |
| Net Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments, Borrowings and Other | | 13,833 | | (27,052) | | (39,511) | (1,365) | | (17,248) |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Resulting from Operations | \$ | 32,219 | \$ | (8,008) | \$ | (21,099) | \$ 16,394 | \$ | 3,783 |
| Per Share Data(A): | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Resulting from Operations per Common Share Basic and Diluted | \$ | 1.53 0.88 | \$ | (0.38) 0.91 | \$ | (1.00) 0.88 | \$ 0.78 0.84 | \$ | 0.18 1.00 |

| Net Investment Income per Common Share Basic an | d | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|------------|----|------------|----|-----------|
| Diluted | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash Distributions Declared Per Common Share | | 0.84 | | 0.84 | | 0.84 | | 0.84 | | 1.26 |
| Statement of Assets and Liabilities Data: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Assets | \$ | 295,091 | \$ | 293,402 | \$ | 317,624 | \$ | 270,518 | \$ | 335,910 |
| Net Assets | | 205,992 | | 188,564 | | 213,721 | | 249,246 | | 249,076 |
| Net Asset Value Per Common Share | | 9.81 | | 8.98 | | 10.16 | | 11.85 | | 11.81 |
| Common Shares Outstanding | 2 | 1,000,160 | 2 | 1,000,160 | 2 | 21,039,242 | 2 | 1,039,242 | 2 | 1,087,574 |
| Weighted Common Shares Outstanding Basic and | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diluted | 2 | 1,000,160 | 2 | 1,011,123 | 2 | 21,039,242 | 2 | 21,060,351 | 2 | 1,087,574 |
| Senior Securities Data: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Borrowings under Credit Facility, at cost(B) | \$ | 46,900 | \$ | 58,800 | \$ | 99,400 | \$ | 16,800 | \$ | 83,000 |
| Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock(B) | | 38,497 | | 38,497 | | | | | | |
| Asset coverage ratio(C) | | 341% | | 296% | | 315% | | 1,419% | | 396% |
| Asset coverage per unit(D) | \$ | 3,410 | \$ | 2,963 | \$ | 3,150 | \$ | 14,187 | \$ | 3,963 |

- (A) Per share data for net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations is based on the weighted average common stock outstanding for both basic and diluted.
- (B) See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for more information regarding our level of indebtedness.
- (C) As a BDC, we are generally required to maintain an asset coverage ratio (as defined in Section 18(h) of the 1940 Act) of at least 200% on our Senior Securities. Our mandatorily redeemable preferred stock is a Senior Security that is stock.
- (D) Asset coverage per unit is the asset coverage ratio expressed in terms of dollar amounts per one thousand dollars of indebtedness.

30

| | Year Ended September 30, | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| Other Unaudited Data: | | | | | | | |
| Number of Portfolio Companies at Year End | 47 | 50 | 59 | 39 | 48 | | |
| Average Size of Portfolio Company Investment at Cost | \$ 7,069 | \$ 7,300 | \$ 6,488 | \$ 7,654 | \$ 7,592 | | |
| Principal Amount of New Investments | 80,418 | 45,050 | 110,903 | 23,245 | 24,911 | | |
| Proceeds from Loan Repayments and Investments Sold | 117,048 | 73,857 | 50,002 | 85,634 | 96,693 | | |
| Weighted Average Yield on Investments(A) | 11.63% | 11.25% | 11.21% | 11.03% | 10.05% | | |
| Total Return(B) | 9.90 | 41.39 | (33.77) | 37.46 | (30.94) | | |

⁽A) Weighted average yield on investments equals interest income on investments divided by the weighted average interest-bearing principal balance throughout the year.

⁽B) Total return equals the increase (decrease) of the ending market value over the beginning market value plus monthly distributions divided by the monthly beginning market value.

SELECTED QUARTERLY DATA (UNAUDITED)

| | Year Ended September 30, 2013 | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | | | | |
| | Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended | | | | |
| | December 31, | March 31, | June 30, | September 30, | | | | |
| | 2012 | 2013 | 2013 | 2013 | | | | |
| Total investment income | \$ 9,828 | \$ 8,424 | \$ 8,551 | \$ 9,351 | | | | |
| Net investment income | 4,859 | 4,410 | 4,410 | 4,707 | | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations | 8,366 | (2,763) | (2,059) | 28,675 | | | | |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Resulting From Operations per | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted Average Common Share (Basic and Diluted) | \$ 0.40 | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.10) | \$ 1.36 | | | | |

| | Year Ended September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | | | | |
| | Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended | | | | |
| | December 31, 2011 | March 31, 2012 | June 30, 2012 | September 30, 2012 | | | | |
| Total investment income | \$ 9,320 | \$ 10,996 | \$ 9,961 | \$ 10,045 | | | | |
| Net investment income | 4,418 | 5,216 | 4,869 | 4,541 | | | | |
| Net (decrease) increase in net Assets resulting from operations | (1,289) | (1,603) | (10,580) | 5,464 | | | | |
| Net (Decrease) Increase in Net Assets Resulting From Operations per | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted Average Common Share (Basic and Diluted) | \$ (0.06) | \$ (0.08) | \$ (0.50) | \$ 0.26 | | | | |

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto contained elsewhere herein.

OVERVIEW

General

We were incorporated under the Maryland General Corporation Law on May 30, 2001. We operate as an externally managed, closed-end, non-diversified management investment company, and have elected to be treated as a business development company (BDC) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). In addition, for federal income tax purposes we have elected to be treated as a regulated investment company (RIC) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). As a BDC and a RIC, we are subject to certain constraints, including limitations imposed by the 1940 Act and the Code.

We were established for the purpose of investing in debt and equity securities of established private business operating in the United States (U.S.). Our investment objectives are to: (1) achieve and grow current income by investing in debt securities of established businesses that we believe will provide stable earnings and cash flow to pay expenses, make principal and interest payments on our outstanding indebtedness and make distributions to stockholders that grow over time; and (2) provide our stockholders with long-term capital appreciation in the value of our assets by investing in equity securities of established businesses that we believe can grow over time to permit us to sell our equity investments for capital gains. To achieve our investment objectives, our investment strategy is to invest in several categories of debt and equity securities, with each investment generally ranging from \$5 million to \$30 million, although investment size may vary, depending upon our total assets or available capital at the time of investment. We also aim to maintain a portfolio allocation of approximately 95.0% debt investment and 5.0% equity investment, at cost.

We focus on investing in small and medium-sized private businesses in the U.S. that meet certain criteria, including, but not limited to, the following: the potential for growth in cash flow, adequate assets for loan collateral, experienced management teams with a significant ownership interest in the borrower, profitable operations based on the borrower s cash flow, reasonable capitalization of the borrower (usually by leveraged buyout funds or venture capital funds) and, to a lesser extent, the potential to realize appreciation and gain liquidity in our equity position, if any. We lend to borrowers that need funds to finance growth, restructure their balance sheets or effect a change of control. We invest by ourselves or jointly with other funds and/or management of the portfolio company, depending on the opportunity. If we are participating in an investment with one or more co-investors, our investment is likely to be smaller than if we were investing alone.

In general, our investments in debt securities have a term of no more than seven years, accrue interest at variable rates (based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR)) and, to a lesser extent, at fixed rates. We seek debt instruments that pay interest monthly or, at a minimum, quarterly, have a success fee or deferred interest provision and are primarily interest only with all principal and any accrued but unpaid interest due at maturity. Generally, success fees accrue at a set rate and are contractually due upon a change of control in the business. Some debt securities have deferred interest whereby some portion of the interest payment is added to the principal balance so that the interest is paid, together with the principal, at maturity. This form of deferred interest is often called paid-in-kind (PIK) interest.

33

Table of Contents

Typically, our equity investments consist of common stock, preferred stock, limited liability company interests, or warrants to purchase the foregoing. Often, these equity investments occur in connection with our original investment, recapitalizing a business, or refinancing existing debt.

We are externally managed by our investment advisor, Gladstone Management Corporation (the Advisor), a Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) registered investment advisor and an affiliate of ours, pursuant to an investment advisory and management agreement (the Advisory Agreement). The Advisor manages our investment activities. We have also entered into an administration agreement (the Administration Agreement) with Gladstone Administration, LLC (the Administrator), an affiliate of ours and the Advisor, whereby we pay separately for administrative services.

Our shares of common stock and term preferred stock are traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (NASDAQ) under the trading symbols GLAD and GLADP, respectively.

Business Environment

The strength of the global economy and the U.S. economy in particular, continues to be uncertain and volatile. Recently, we experienced the first U.S. government shutdown in 17 years along with a stalemate in the U.S. Congress over whether to raise the debt ceiling. The U.S. government budget concerns remain until early 2014 when the U.S. Congress will revisit the debt ceiling debate again. Prior to this recent U.S. fiscal crisis, economic conditions generally appeared to be improving, albeit slowly, since the 2008 recession. We have continued to remain cautious about a long-term economic recovery. The impacts from the 2008 recession in general, and the resulting disruptions in the capital markets in particular, have had lingering effects on our liquidity options and increased our cost of debt and equity capital. Many of our portfolio companies, as well as those small and medium-sized companies that we evaluate for investment, are still feeling the adverse impacts of these political and economic conditions, and if these conditions persist, it may affect their ability to repay our loans or engage in a liquidity event, such as a sale, recapitalization or initial public offering. These political and economic conditions could also disproportionately impact some of the industries in which we have invested, causing us to be more vulnerable to losses in our portfolio, which could cause the number of our non-performing assets to increase and the fair market value of our portfolio to decrease.

In addition, there has been increased competitive pressure in the BDC and investment company marketplace for senior and senior subordinated debt, resulting in lower yields for increasingly riskier investments. We believe we are in a protracted economic recovery; however, we do not know if market conditions will continue to improve or if adverse conditions will again intensify, and we do not know the full extent to which the inability of the U.S. government to address its fiscal condition in the near and long term will affect us. If market instability persists or intensifies, we may experience difficulty in raising capital. In summary, we believe that are in a protracted economic recovery, but that the recent U.S. fiscal crisis has temporarily suppressed that recovery. We do not know the full extent to which the impact of the lingering recessionary economic conditions will affect us or our portfolio companies.

Portfolio Activity

While conditions remain somewhat challenging in the marketplace, we are seeing a number of new investment opportunities that are consistent with our investment objectives and strategies. During the year ended September 30, 2013, we invested in 15 new proprietary and syndicate investments totaling \$80.4 million; however, we experienced a net contraction in our overall portfolio of three portfolio companies, primarily due to 14 portfolio companies paying off early during the year, for an aggregate of \$79.6 million in unscheduled payoffs. Subsequent to September 30, 2013, we invested \$7.0 million in one new proprietary investment, as discussed under **Investment Highlights.**

In addition, in July 2012, the SEC granted us an exemptive order that expands our ability to co-invest with certain of our affiliates by permitting us, under certain circumstances, to co-invest with Gladstone Investment Corporation (Gladstone Investment) and any future business development company or closed-end management investment company that is advised by the Adviser (or sub-advised by the Adviser if it controls the fund) or any combination of the foregoing subject to the conditions in the SEC s order. We believe this ability to co-invest will enhance our ability to further our investment objectives and strategies. We co-invested with Gladstone Investment in two new proprietary investments one in each of May and October 2013, as discussed under Investment Highlights.

34

Regulatory Compliance

Challenges in the current market are intensified for us by certain regulatory limitations under the Code and the 1940 Act, as well as contractual restrictions under the agreement governing our \$137.0 million revolving line of credit (our Credit Facility, described more fully under *Liquidit and Results of Operations Revolving Credit Facility* below) that further constrain our ability to access the capital markets. To qualify to be taxed as a RIC, we must distribute at least 90.0% of our investment company taxable income, which is generally our net ordinary income plus the excess of our net short-term capital gains over net long-term capital losses. Because we are required to satisfy the RIC annual stockholder distribution requirement, and because the illiquidity of many of our investments makes it difficult for us to finance new investments through the sale of current investments, our ability to make new investments is highly dependent upon external financing. Our external financing sources include the issuance of equity securities, debt securities or other leverage, such as borrowings under our Credit Facility. Our ability to seek external debt financing, to the extent that it is available under current market conditions, is further subject to the asset coverage limitations of the 1940 Act that require us to have an asset coverage ratio (as defined in Section 18(h) of the 1940 Act) of at least 200.0% on our senior securities representing indebtedness and our senior securities that are stock, to which we refer collectively as Senior Securities.

We believe that market conditions have affected the trading price of our common stock and our ability to finance new investments through the issuance of equity. On November 18, 2013, the closing market price of our common stock was \$9.44, a 3.9% discount to our September 30, 2013, net asset value (NAV) per share of \$9.81. When our stock trades below NAV per common share, as it has consistently traded over the last four years, our ability to issue equity is constrained by provisions of the 1940 Act, which generally prohibits the issuance and sale of our common stock below NAV per common share without stockholder approval, other than through sales to our then-existing stockholders pursuant to a rights offering. At our annual meeting of stockholders held on February 14, 2013, our stockholders approved a proposal which authorizes us to sell shares of our common stock at a price below our then current NAV per common share subject to certain limitations (including, but not limited to, that the number of shares issued and sold pursuant to such authority does not exceed 25.0% of our then outstanding common stock immediately prior to each such sale) for a period of one year from the date of approval, provided that our board of directors (our Board of Directors) makes certain determinations prior to any such sale. At the upcoming annual stockholders meeting scheduled for February 13, 2014, our stockholders will again be asked to vote in favor of renewing this proposal for another year.

The current uncertain and volatile economic conditions may also continue to cause the value of the collateral securing some of our loans to fluctuate, as well as the value of our equity investments, which has impacted and may continue to impact our ability to borrow under our Credit Facility. Additionally, our Credit Facility contains covenants regarding the maintenance of certain minimum loan concentrations and net worth, which are affected by the decrease in value of our portfolio. Failure to meet these requirements would result in a default which, if we are unable to obtain a waiver from our lenders, would cause an acceleration of our repayment obligations under our Credit Facility. As of September 30, 2013, we were in compliance with all of our Credit Facility s covenants.

We expect that, given these regulatory and contractual constraints in combination with current market conditions, debt and equity capital may be costly for us to access in the near term. However, we believe that our recent amendments to our Credit Facility to decrease the interest rate on advances and extend its maturity until 2016 and our ability to co-invest with Gladstone Investment and certain other affiliated investment funds, should increase our ability to make investments in businesses that we believe will be generally resistant to a recession and, as a result, will be likely to achieve attractive long-term returns for our stockholders. See **Recent Developments** for more information on these transactions. Going into fiscal 2014, we will continue to focus on building our pipeline and making investments that meet our objectives and strategies and that provide appropriate returns, given the risks.

Investment Highlights

During the year ended September 30, 2013, we invested an aggregate of \$80.4 million in 15 new portfolio companies and an aggregate of \$9.7 million to existing portfolio companies. Also, during the year ended September 30, 2013, we sold our investments in two portfolio companies for net proceeds of a combined \$6.6 million, and we received scheduled and unscheduled contractual principal repayments of a combined \$110.5 million from existing portfolio companies, including 14 early payoffs. Since our initial public offering in August 2001, we have made 342 different loans to, or investments in, 172 companies for a total of approximately \$1.2 billion, before giving effect to principal repayments on investments and divestitures.

Table of Contents 53

35

Investment Activity

During the year ended September 30, 2013, we executed the following transactions with certain of our portfolio companies:

Issuances and Originations

During the year ended September 30, 2013, we invested an aggregate of \$50.2 million to five new proprietary portfolio companies and an aggregate of \$30.2 million in 10 new syndicated portfolio companies (Ardent Medical Services, Inc., Blue Coat Systems Inc., First American Payment Systems, L.P., John Henry Holdings, Inc., New Trident Holdcorp, Inc., RP Crown Parent, LLC, Steinway Musical Instruments, Inc., SumTotal Systems, Inc., Wall Street Systems Holdings, Inc., and W3, Co.). We also invested in additional equity in RBC Acquisition Corp. (Reliable) and Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc. (Midwest Metal). Below are significant issuances and originations during the year ended September 30, 2013:

In December 2012, we invested \$14.0 million in AG Transportation Holdings, LLC (AG Trucking) through a combination of senior subordinated term debt and equity. AG Trucking, headquartered in Goshen, Indiana, is a regional food-grade liquid and dry bulk carrier providing a variety of bulk transportation services, including liquid transportation, dry bulk dumps, freight brokering, private fleet conversion and project runs to large international agricultural and food manufacturing firms.

In December 2012, we invested \$19.5 million in Allen Edmonds Shoe Corporation (Allen Edmonds) through senior subordinated term debt that we purchased from one of Allen Edmonds existing lenders. Allen Edmonds, headquartered in Port Washington, Wisconsin, manufactures premium men s footwear and accessories, which it sells through its retail stores, catalog and internet site and also wholesale and e-commerce channels.

In March 2013, we acquired a controlling equity position in Reliable and invested \$2.0 million in additional equity capital in the form of preferred equity. In addition, we invested \$0.3 million in preferred equity in August 2013 and an aggregate of \$1.1 million in line of credit draws to Reliable during the year ended September 30, 2013. As of September 30, 2013, Reliable was classified as a Control portfolio company. Reliable was known as Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc. before its recapitalization in March 2013 and is therefore included on our accompanying Consolidated Schedule of Investments as of September 30, 2012 under the name Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc.

In May 2013, we invested \$8.8 million in Funko, LLC (Funko), through a combination of senior subordinated term debt and equity. Funko, headquartered in Lynnwood, WA, is a designer, importer and marketer of pop-culture collectibles. This was our first co-investment with our affiliate fund, Gladstone Investment, pursuant to the aforementioned exemptive order granted by the SEC. Gladstone Investment invested an additional \$8.8 million in Funko under the same terms as us.

In July 2013, we invested \$8.9 million in Ashland Acquisition, LLC (Ashland) through a combination of senior term debt and common equity, where we hold 20.0% of the voting securities. Ashland, through its wholly-owned subsidiary that is headquartered in Ashland, Ohio, provides publishing services including digital and offset printing, warehousing, distribution, and content and marketing services.

Repayments and Exits

During the year ended September 30, 2013, 34 borrowers made principal repayments totaling \$110.5 million in the aggregate, consisting of \$103.1 million of unscheduled principal and revolver repayments, as well as \$7.4 million in contractual principal amortization. Below are significant repayments and exits during the year ended September 30, 2013:

Included in the unscheduled principal payments were the net proceeds at par from early payoffs of the following:

Syndicated investment payoffs: Airvana Network Solutions, Inc. of \$0.2 million; Applied Systems, Inc. of \$1.0 million; Blue Coat Systems, Inc. of \$8.5 million; HGI Holdings, Inc. of \$1.6 million; Hubbard Radio, LLC of \$0.5 million; John Henry Holdings, Inc. of \$5.0; Keypoint Government Solutions, Inc. of \$6.4 million; Mood Media Corporation of \$8.0 million; National Surgical Hospitals Inc. of \$1.6 million; Springs Window Fashions, LLC of \$7.0 million; SRAM, LLC of \$2.5 million and Wall Street Systems Holdings, Inc. of \$3.0 million. In connection with eight of these early payoffs, we received an aggregate of \$0.9 million in prepayment fees during the year ended September 30, 2013.

<u>Proprietary investment payoffs:</u> Westlake Hardware, Inc. (Westlake) of \$20.0 million in December 2012 and CMI Acquisition, LLC (CMI) of \$14.4 million in September 2013. In relation to the Westlake and CMI early payoffs at par, we received \$1.1 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, in success fees during the year ended September 30, 2013.

36

In November 2012, we sold our investments in Viapack, Inc. (Viapack) for net proceeds of \$5.9 million, which resulted in a realized loss of \$2.4 million recorded in the three months ended December 31, 2012. Viapack was partially on non-accrual status at the time of the sale.

In November 2012, we wrote off our investment in Access Television Network, Inc. (Access TV), which resulted in a realized loss of \$0.9 million recorded in the three months ended December 31, 2012. Access TV was on non-accrual status at the time of the write off.

In April 2013, we sold our investment in Kansas Cable Holdings, Inc. (KCH) for net proceeds of \$0.6 million, which resulted in a realized loss of \$2.9 million recorded in the three months ended June 30, 2013. KCH was on non-accrual status at the time of the sale.

In September 2013, we sold substantially all of the assets in Lindmark Acquisition, LLC (Lindmark) for net proceeds of \$14.4 million, which paid down our debt investments in full at par. Lindmark was on non-accrual status at the time of the repayment. Refer to Note 16 Subsequent Events in the accompanying consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus for investment activity occurring subsequent to September 30, 2013. Of note, the following significant new origination occurred subsequent to September 30, 2013:

In October 2013, we invested \$7.0 million in Alloy Die Casting Co. (ADC) through a combination of senior term debt and equity. ADC, headquartered in Buena Park, CA, is a manufacturer of high quality, finished aluminum and zinc castings for aerospace, defense, aftermarket automotive and industrial applications. This was a co-investment with Gladstone Investment, which invested an additional \$16.3 million in ADC under the same terms as us.

Recent Developments

Notes Receivable from Employees

In July 2013, we received \$1.0 million in full repayment of the outstanding principal and accrued interest owed on our employee note receivable from our chairman, chief executive officer and president, David Gladstone. Simultaneously, Mr. Gladstone s related redemption agreement was terminated pursuant to its terms.

Amendment of Credit Facility

On April 26, 2013, we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Gladstone Business Loan, LLC (Business Loan), entered into Amendment No. 6 to our Credit Facility to extend the maturity date for one year to January 19, 2016. We incurred fees of \$0.7 million in April 2013 in connection with this amendment, which are being amortized through the maturity date of our Credit Facility. All other terms of our Credit Facility remained generally unchanged at the time of this amendment.

On January 29, 2013, we, through Business Loan, entered into Amendment No. 4 to our Credit Facility to remove the one month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) minimum of 1.5% on advances. We incurred fees of \$0.6 million in January 2013 in connection with this amendment, which are being amortized through the maturity date of our Credit Facility. All other terms of our Credit Facility remained generally unchanged at the time of this amendment.

Registration Statement

On November 29, 2012, we filed a universal shelf registration statement (our Registration Statement) on Form N-2 (File No. 333-185191) that was amended on January 17, 2013, and which the SEC declared effective on January 18, 2013. Our Registration Statement, of which this prospectus is a part, permits us to issue, through one or more transactions, up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million in securities, consisting of common stock, preferred stock, subscription rights, debt securities and warrants to purchase common stock or preferred stock, including through a combined offering of such securities. We have not issued any securities to date under our Registration Statement.

Executive Officers

On April 9, 2013, our Board of Directors appointed Melissa Morrison, the Company s then current chief accounting officer, as chief financial officer. Concurrently, David Watson resigned as the chief financial officer of the Company to focus on his position as the Company s treasurer and on his position as chief financial officer and treasurer for Gladstone Investment, an affiliate of the Company.

On February 5, 2013, our Board of Directors appointed David Gladstone as the Company s interim president to fill the vacancy created by the resignation of George Stelljes III, effective January 31, 2013, the Company s former president, chief investment officer and a director. Mr. Gladstone will hold this position until our Board of Directors finds a suitable replacement. Mr. Gladstone founded the Company and has also served as the chairman of our Board of Directors and the Company s chief executive officer since its inception in 2001.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the Year Ended September 30, 2013 to the Year Ended September 30, 2012

| | For the Year Ended September 30, | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|--|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Thange | % Change | |
| INVESTMENT INCOME | | | Ü | G | |
| Interest income | \$ 33,533 | \$ 36,077 | \$ (2,544) | (7.1)% | |
| Other income | 2,621 | 4,245 | (1,624) | (38.3) | |
| Total investment income | 36,154 | 40,322 | (4,168) | (10.3) | |
| EXPENSES | | | | | |
| Base management fee | 5,622 | 6,165 | (543) | (8.8) | |
| Incentive fee | 4,343 | 4,691 | (348) | (7.4) | |
| Administration fee | 647 | 753 | (106) | (14.1) | |
| Interest expense on borrowings | 3,182 | 4,374 | (1,192) | (27.3) | |
| Dividend expense on mandatorily redeemable preferred stock | 2,744 | 2,491 | 253 | 10.2 | |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 1,211 | 1,243 | (32) | (2.6) | |
| Other expenses | 1,540 | 2,609 | (1,069) | (41.0) | |
| Expenses before credits from Adviser | 19,289 | 22,326 | (3,037) | (13.6) | |
| Credits to fees from Adviser | (1,521) | (1,048) | (473) | (45.1) | |
| Total expenses net of credits | 17,768 | 21,278 | (3,510) | (16.5) | |
| NET INVESTMENT INCOME | 18,386 | 19,044 | (658) | (3.5) | |
| REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) | | | | | |
| Net realized loss on investments | (5,231) | (12,819) | 7,588 | 59.2 | |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments | 15,673 | (11,194) | 26,867 | NM | |
| Net unrealized depreciation (appreciation) of other | 3,391 | (3,039) | 6,430 | NM | |
| Net gain (loss) from investments and other | 13,833 | (27,052) | 40,885 | 151.1 | |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS | \$ 32,219 | \$ (8,008) | \$ 40,227 | NM | |
| PER BASIC AND DILUTED COMMON SHARE | | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.91 | \$ (0.03) | (3.3) | |

Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations

\$ 1.53

\$ (0.38)

\$ 1.91

NM

NM = Not Meaningful

Investment Income

Total interest income decreased by 7.1%, which was driven by a decrease of \$2.4 million or 6.7% on interest income on our investments in debt securities for the year ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the year ended September 30, 2012. This was primarily due to the increase in early payoffs at par during the year, partially offset by an increase in our weighted average yield on our interest-bearing investment portfolio. The level of interest income on our investments is directly related to the principal balance of our interest-bearing investment portfolio during the year, multiplied by the weighted average yield. The weighted average principal balance of our interest-bearing investment portfolio during the year ended September 30, 2013, was \$287.3 million, compared to \$317.5 million for the prior year, a decrease of \$30.2 million or 9.5%. The weighted

38

average yield on the principal balance of our interest-bearing investments for the year ended September 30, 2013, was 11.6%, as compared to 11.3% for the prior year. The weighted average yield on our portfolio increased during the year ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the prior year, due to the purchase of higher yielding new proprietary investments coupled with the early payoffs of 12 of our syndicated investments, which generally bear lower interest rates than our proprietary investments.

As of September 30, 2013, two portfolio companies were on non-accrual status with an aggregate debt cost basis of approximately \$39.5 million, or 12.6% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio. As of September 30, 2012, six portfolio companies were either fully or partially on non-accrual status with an aggregate debt cost basis of approximately \$61.1 million, or 17.3% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio. During the year ended September 30, 2013, we sold our investments in two portfolio companies that had been on non-accrual status, wrote off our investment in one portfolio company that had been on non-accrual status, and sold substantially all of the assets of one portfolio company that had been on non-accrual status. See *Overview Investment Highlights* for more information. There were no portfolio companies that changed from accrual status to non-accrual during the year ended September 30, 2013.

Other income for the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, consisted primarily of success fees, which we generally recognize when payment is received. During the year ended September 30, 2013, we received an aggregate of \$1.7 million in success fees, which resulted from the early payoffs at par of Westlake for \$1.1 million in December 2012 and CMI for \$0.6 million in September 2013. In addition, we received prepayment fees in the aggregate of \$0.9 million during the year ended September 30, 2013, which resulted from the early payoffs of eight of our syndicate investments at par during the year. During the year ended September 30, 2012, we received an aggregate of \$4.0 million in success fees, which resulted from the early payoffs at par of Winchester Electronics (Winchester) for \$1.2 million, Global Materials Technologies (GMT) for \$1.1 million, RCS Management Holding Co. (RCS) for \$0.9 million and Northern Contours, Inc. (Northern Contours) for \$0.8 million. In addition, we received prepayment fees in the aggregate of \$0.2 million during the year ended September 30, 2012, which resulted from the early payoffs of five of our syndicate investments at par during the year.

The following tables list the investment income for our five largest portfolio company investments at fair value during the respective years:

| | As of Septer | mber 30, 2013 | Year Ended Sep | ember 30, 2013 % of | |
|--|--------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|--|
| | | | | Total | |
| | Fair | | Investment | Investment | |
| Portfolio Company | Value | % of Portfolio | Income | Income | |
| RBC Acquisition Corp. | \$ 30,991 | 12.1% | \$ 2,416 | 6.7% | |
| Allen Edmonds Shoe Corporation ^(A) | 19,604 | 7.6 | 1,717 | 4.8 | |
| Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc. | 17,733 | 6.9 | 2,240 | 6.2 | |
| Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd. | 14,667 | 5.7 | 1,977 | 5.4 | |
| AG Transportation Holdings, LLC ^(A) | 12,984 | 5.1 | 1,407 | 3.9 | |
| | | | | | |
| Subtotal five largest investments | 95,979 | 37.4 | 9,757 | 27.0 | |
| Other portfolio companies | 160,899 | 62.6 | 26,265 | 72.6 | |
| Other non-portfolio company income | | | 132 | 0.4 | |
| | | | | | |
| Total investment portfolio | \$ 256,878 | 100.0% | \$ 36,154 | 100.0% | |

| | As of Septem | ber 30, 2012 | Year Ended S 20 | September 30, 12 % of |
|---|---------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | Total |
| Portfolio Company | Fair Value | % of Portfolio | Investment Income | Investment Income |
| Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc. | \$ 25,439 | 9.3% | \$ 3,193 | 7.9% |
| Westlake Hardware, Inc. ^(B) | 19,360 | 7.1 | 2,592 | 6.4 |
| Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc. | 17,824 | 6.5 | 2,249 | 5.6 |
| Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd.(C) | 15,385 | 5.6 | 750 | 1.9 |
| CMI Acquisition, LLC ^(D) | 13,766 | 5.0 | 2,021 | 5.0 |

| Subtotal five largest investments | 91,774 | 33.5 | 10,805 | 26.8 |
|------------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Other portfolio companies | 182,186 | 66.5 | 29,257 | 72.6 |
| Other non-portfolio company income | | | 260 | 0.6 |
| Total investment portfolio | \$ 273,960 | 100.0% | \$ 40,322 | 100.0% |

⁽A) New investment added in December 2012.

39

⁽B) Investment exited in December 2012.

⁽C) New investment added in May 2012.

⁽D) Investment exited in September 2013.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses, net of credits from the Adviser, decreased for the year ended September 30, 2013, by \$3.5 million, or 16.5%, as compared to the year ended September 30, 2012. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in interest expense on our Credit Facility, other expenses and incentive fees, partially offset by an increase in dividend expense on our Term Preferred Stock.

Interest expense decreased by \$1.2 million for the year ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the prior year, due primarily to decreased borrowings under our Credit Facility, resulting from a net contraction in the size of our portfolio. The weighted average balance outstanding on our Credit Facility during the year ended September 30, 2013 was approximately \$53.2 million, as compared to \$72.2 million in the prior year, a decrease of 26.3%. Additionally, the decrease in interest expense for the year ended September 30, 2013, as compared the prior year, was due to the January 2013 amendment of our Credit Facility to remove the LIBOR minimum of 1.5% on advances.

Other expenses decreased \$1.1 million for the year ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the prior year, primarily due to the receipt of certain reimbursable deal expenses in the current year, as well as a decrease in legal expenses incurred in connection with troubled loans during the year ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the year ended September 30, 2012.

The decrease of \$1.1 million in net incentive fees earned by the Adviser during the year ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the prior year, was primarily due to the increase in the incentive fee waiver in the current year. Incentive fees were earned by the Adviser during the year ended September 30, 2013 and 2012; however, the incentive fees were partially waived by the Adviser to ensure distributions to stockholders were covered entirely by net investment income during both years.

The base management fee, incentive fee and associated credits are computed quarterly, as described under *Investment Advisory and Management Agreement* in Note 4 of the notes to our accompanying consolidated financial statements and are summarized in the table below:

| | Year Ended September 30, 2013 2012 | | per 30, 2012 | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Average total assets subject to base management fee (A) | \$ 1 | 281,100 | \$ 1 | 308,250 |
| Multiplied by annual base management fee of 2% | Ψ | 2.0% | Ψ. | 2.0% |
| Base management fee (B) | | 5,622 | | 6,165 |
| Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies | | (324) | | (342) |
| Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2% fee on senior | | | | |
| syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum | | (183) | | (428) |
| Net Base Management Fee | \$ | 5,115 | \$ | 5,395 |
| Incentive fee (B) | \$ | 4,343 | \$ | 4,691 |
| Credit from voluntary, irrevocable waiver issued by Adviser s board of directors | | (1,014) | | (278) |
| Net Incentive Fee | \$ | 3,329 | \$ | 4,413 |
| Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum Credit from voluntary, irrevocable waiver issued by Adviser s board of directors | \$ | (324) (183) (1,014) | \$ | (342) (428) (278) |
| Credits to Fees from Adviser (B) | \$ | (1,521) | \$ | (1,048) |

- (A) Average total assets subject to the base management fee is defined as total assets, including investments made with proceeds of borrowings, less any uninvested cash or cash equivalents resulting from borrowings, valued at the end of the four most recently completed quarters within the respective years and appropriately adjusted for any share issuances or repurchases during the applicable year.
- (B) Reflected, in total, as a line item on our accompanying consolidated statement of operations located elsewhere in this prospectus.

40

Realized Loss and Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Investments

Realized Losses

For the year ended September 30, 2013, we recorded a net realized loss on investments of \$5.2 million, which primarily consisted of realized losses of \$2.9 million related to the sale of KCH, \$2.4 million related to the sale of Viapack and \$0.9 million related to the write off of Access TV. These realized losses were partially offset by realized gains of \$1.0 million, which consisted of a combined \$0.5 million of escrowed proceeds and tax refunds received in connection with exits on two investments in fiscal year 2012 and an aggregate of \$0.5 million of unamortized discounts related to the early payoffs at par of 12 syndicated investments during the year.

For the year ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a net realized loss on investments of \$12.8 million, which primarily consisted of realized losses of \$7.4 million related to the sale of Newhall Holdings Inc. (Newhall), \$1.0 million related to the restructure of KMBQ Corporation (KMBQ), \$1.8 million related to the sale of BERTL, Inc. (BERTL) and \$3.2 million related to the sale of U.S. Healthcare (USHC). These realized losses were partially offset by realized gains of \$0.5 million, which consisted of a combined \$0.2 million of escrowed proceeds received in connection with exits on two investments in each of fiscal year 2012 and 2010 and an aggregate of \$0.3 million of unamortized discounts related to the early payoffs at par of 8 syndicated investments during the year.

Net Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)

Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments is the net change in the fair value of our investment portfolio during the year, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are actually realized. During the year ended September 30, 2013, we recorded net unrealized appreciation of investments in the aggregate amount of \$15.7 million, which included the reversal of an aggregate of \$26.0 million in combined unrealized depreciation primarily related to the repayment of principal in full at par on Lindmark, the sales of Viapack and KCH, and the write off of Access TV. Excluding reversals, we recorded \$10.4 million in net unrealized depreciation for the year ended September 30, 2013. Over our entire portfolio, the net unrealized depreciation (excluding reversals) consisted of approximately \$5.3 million of depreciation on our equity investments for the year ended September 30, 2013.

The net realized (losses) gains and unrealized (depreciation) appreciation across our investments for the year ended September 30, 2013, were as follows:

| | Year Ended September 30, 2013 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | Reversal of | | |
| | Realized | Unrealized | Unrealized | |
| | (Loss) | (Depreciation) | Depreciation | Net Gain |
| Portfolio Company | Gain | Appreciation | (Appreciation) | (Loss) |
| Lindmark Acquisition, LLC | \$ | \$ (224) | \$ 14,006 | \$ 13,782 |
| Viapack, Inc. | (2,407) | | 6,660 | 4,253 |
| RBC Acquisition Corp. | | 2,159 | | 2,159 |
| Sunshine Media Holdings | | 1,632 | | 1,632 |
| Westlake Hardware, Inc. | | | 640 | 640 |
| GFRC Holdings, LLC | | 572 | | 572 |
| North American Aircraft Services LLC | | 505 | 8 | 513 |
| CMI Acquisition, LLC | | (927) | 1,426 | 499 |
| Kansas Cable Holdings, Inc. | (2,906) | 401 | 2,922 | 417 |
| Funko | | 396 | | 396 |
| FedCap Partners, LLC | | 384 | | 384 |
| Allison Publications, LLC | | 265 | | 265 |
| Access Television Network, Inc. | (872) | | 903 | 31 |
| Saunders & Associates | | (296) | | (296) |
| WP Evenflo Group Holdings, Inc. | | (443) | 3 | (440) |
| Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd. | | (718) | | (718) |
| Westland Technologies, Inc. | | (825) | | (825) |
| | | | | |

41

| Targus Group International, Inc. | | (881) | | (881) |
|--|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Heartland Communications Group | | (951) | | (951) |
| AG Transportation Holdings, LLC | | (1,078) | | (1,078) |
| Precision Acquisition Group Holdings, Inc. | | (1,193) | | (1,193) |
| LocalTel, LLC | | (1,209) | | (1,209) |
| BAS Broadcasting | | (1,493) | | (1,493) |
| Legend Communications of Wyoming, LLC | | (1,557) | | (1,557) |
| Sunburst Media Louisiana, LLC | | (1,650) | | (1,650) |
| Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc. | | (2,101) | | (2,101) |
| Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc. | | (2,246) | | (2,246) |
| Other, net (<\$250) | 954 | 1,123 | (540) | 1,537 |
| | | | | |
| Total: | \$ (5,231) | \$ (10,355) | \$ 26,028 | \$ 10,442 |

The largest driver of our net unrealized appreciation for the year ended September 30, 2013, was the reversal of unrealized depreciation on Lindmark of \$14.0 million, due to the repayment of principal in full at par on the outstanding debt. Additionally there were reversals of unrealized depreciation of \$6.7 million on Viapack and \$2.9 million on KCH, each of which were related to our exits in these companies during the year. Excluding reversals, there was unrealized appreciation on Reliable of \$2.2 million and Sunshine Media Holdings (Sunshine) of \$1.6 million during the year ended September 30, 2013, due to an incremental improvement in the financial and operational performance of these portfolio companies. This appreciation was partially offset by unrealized depreciation of \$2.2 million on Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc. (Defiance) and \$2.1 million on Midwest Metal, due to a decline in these portfolio companies financial and operation performance.

During the year ended September 30, 2012, we recorded net unrealized depreciation of investments in the aggregate amount of \$11.2 million, which included the reversal of an aggregate of \$17.0 million in combined unrealized depreciation primarily related to the sales of Newhall, USHC and BERTL and the restructure of KMBQ. Excluding reversals, we recorded \$28.2 million in net unrealized depreciation for the year ended September 30, 2012. Over our entire portfolio, the net unrealized depreciation consisted of approximately \$21.8 million on our debt investments and approximately \$6.4 million of depreciation on our equity investments for the year ended September 30, 2012.

The net realized (losses) gains and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investments for the year ended September 30, 2012, were as follows:

| | Year Ended September 30, 2012 | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------|--|
| | Realized | Unrealized | Reversal of | | |
| | (Loss) | Appreciation | Unrealized | Net Gain | |
| Portfolio Company | Gain | (Depreciation) | Depreciation | (Loss) | |
| Newhall Holdings, Inc. | \$ (7,327) | \$ | \$ 9,978 | \$ 2,651 | |
| FedCap Partners, LLC | | 1,010 | | 1,010 | |
| Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc. | | 630 | | 630 | |
| Mood Media Corporation | | 622 | | 622 | |
| Northern Contours, Inc. | | | 444 | 444 | |
| Global Materials Technologies, Inc. | | 422 | | 422 | |
| Vision Solutions, Inc. | | 374 | | 374 | |
| Keypoint Government Solutions, Inc. | | 271 | | 271 | |
| Allison Publications, LLC | | 264 | | 264 | |
| RCS Management Holding Company | | (81) | 306 | 225 | |
| KMBQ Corporation | (1,044) | | 1,135 | 91 | |
| US Healthcare Communications, LLC | (3,173) | | 3,189 | 16 | |
| BERTL, Inc. | (1,771) | (4) | 1,782 | 7 | |
| CMI Acquisitions, LLC | | (571) | | (571) | |
| Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd. | | (614) | | (614) | |
| Kansas Cable Holdings, Inc. | | (658) | | (658) | |
| LocalTel, LLC | | (962) | | (962) | |
| Precision Acquisition Group Holdings, Inc. | | (1,078) | | (1,078) | |
| Saunders & Associates | | (1,150) | | (1,150) | |

| Reliable Pharmaceutical Holdings, Inc. | (1,344) | (1,344) |
|--|---------|---------|
| International Junior Golf Training Acquisition Company | (1,415) | (1,415) |
| Sunburst Media Louisiana, LLC | (1,612) | (1,612) |
| Lindmark Acquisition, LLC | (1,739) | (1,739) |

| Viapack, Inc. | | (1,760) | | (1,760) |
|--|--------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc. | | (3,422) | | (3,422) |
| GFRC Holdings, LLC | | (3,845) | | (3,845) |
| BAS Broadcasting | | (4,367) | | (4,367) |
| Sunshine Media Holdings | | (7,847) | | (7,847) |
| Other, net (<\$250) | 496 | 682 | 166 | 1,344 |
| | | | | |
| Total: | \$ (12,819) | \$ (28,194) | \$ 17,000 | \$ (24,013) |

The largest drivers of our net unrealized depreciation for the year ended September 30, 2012, were the unrealized depreciation of Sunshine of \$7.8 million, BAS Broadcasting (BAS) of \$4.4 million, GFRC Holdings, LLC (GFRC) of \$3.8 million and Defiance of \$3.4 million, all primarily due to a decline in the financial and operational performance of these portfolio companies. Of note, Sunshine was put on non-accrual status during the year ended September 30, 2012.

As of September 30, 2013, the fair value of our investment portfolio was less than its cost basis by approximately \$75.4 million and our entire investment portfolio was valued at 77.3% of cost, as compared to cumulative net unrealized depreciation of \$91.1 million and a valuation of our entire portfolio at 75.0% of cost as of September 30, 2012. This decrease year over year in the cumulative unrealized depreciation on investments represents net unrealized appreciation of \$15.7 million for the year ended September 30, 2013. Of our current investment portfolio, 16 portfolio companies originated before December 31, 2007, which represented 46.5% of the entire cost basis of our portfolio, were valued at 61.5% of cost and included our two investments that were on non-accrual status. Our 31 portfolio companies that originated after December 31, 2007, representing 53.5% of the entire cost basis of our portfolio, were valued at 91.0% of cost and none of which were on non-accrual status.

We believe that our aggregate investment portfolio was valued at a depreciated value as of September 30, 2013, primarily due to the lingering effects of the recession that began in 2008 and its affect on the performance of certain of our portfolio companies and also because we were invested in certain industries that have been disproportionately impacted by the recession. The cumulative net unrealized depreciation of our investments does not have an impact on our current ability to pay distributions to stockholders; however, it may be an indication of future realized losses, which could ultimately reduce our income available for distribution to stockholders.

Net Unrealized Depreciation (Appreciation) of Other

Net unrealized depreciation (appreciation) of other includes the net change in the fair value of our Credit Facility and our interest rate swap during the year, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are realized. During the year ended September 30, 2013, we recorded a net unrealized depreciation of other of \$3.4 million, compared to a net unrealized appreciation of \$3.0 million for the year ended September 30, 2012. Our Credit Facility was fair valued at \$47.1 million and \$62.5 million as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The interest rate swap was fair valued at \$4 as of September 30, 2013 and there was no interest rate swap outstanding during the year ended September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Comparison of the Year Ended September 30, 2012 to the Year Ended September 30, 2011

| | 2012 | For the Year End 2011 | ed September 30, \$ Change | %Change |
|--|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| INVESTMENT INCOME | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 36,077 | \$ 33,068 | \$ 3,009 | 9.1% |
| Other income | 4,245 | 2,143 | 2,102 | 98.1 |
| Total investment income | 40,322 | 35,211 | 5,111 | 14.5 |
| EXPENSES | | | | |
| Base management fee | 6,165 | 5,731 | 434 | 7.6 |
| Incentive fee | 4,691 | 4,598 | 93 | 2.0 |
| Administration fee | 753 | 729 | 24 | 3.3 |
| Interest expense on borrowings | 4,374 | 2,676 | 1,698 | 63.5 |
| Dividend expense on mandatorily redeemable preferred stock | 2,491 | | 2,491 | 100.0 |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 1,243 | 1,420 | (177) | (12.5) |
| Other expenses | 2,609 | 2,288 | 321 | 14.0 |
| Expenses before credits from Adviser | 22,326 | 17,442 | 4,884 | 28.0 |
| Credits to fees from Adviser | (1,048) | (643) | (405) | (63.0) |
| Total expenses net of credits | 21,278 | 16,799 | 4,479 | 26.7 |
| NET INVESTMENT INCOME | 19,044 | 18,412 | 632 | 3.4 |
| REALIZED AND UNREALIZED LOSS | | | | |
| Net realized loss on investments | (12,819) | (1,280) | (11,539) | (901.5) |
| Net unrealized depreciation of investments | (11,194) | (38,759) | 27,565 | 71.1 |
| Net unrealized (appreciation) depreciation of borrowings | (3,039) | 528 | (3,567) | NM |
| Net loss from investments and borrowings | (27,052) | (39,511) | 12,459 | 31.5 |
| NET DECREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS | \$ (8,008) | \$ (21,099) | \$ 13,091 | 62.0 |
| PER BASIC AND DILUTED COMMON SHARE | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 0.91 | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.03 | 3.4 |
| Net decrease in net assets resulting from operations | \$ (0.38) | \$ (1.00) | \$ 0.62 | 62.0 |
| | | | | |

NM = Not Meaningful

Investment Income

Total interest income increased by 9.1%, which was driven by an increase of \$3.2 million, or 9.7% on interest income on our investments in debt securities, for the year ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the year ended September 30, 2011. This was primarily due to the increased investment activity during the second half of fiscal year 2011, offset by several early payoffs of investments in 2012 and a slight decrease in our weighted average yield in 2012, as compared to 2011. The increase in investment activity in 2011 was primarily in syndicated investments. The level of interest income on investments is directly related to the principal balance of our interest-bearing investment portfolio during the year, multiplied by the weighted average yield. The weighted average principal balance of our interest-bearing investment portfolio during the year ended September 30, 2012, was \$317.5 million, compared to \$291.2 million for the prior year, an increase of \$26.3 million or

9.0%. The weighted average yield on the principal balance of our interest-bearing investments for the year ended September 30, 2012, was 11.3%, as compared to 11.2% for the prior year. The weighted average yield on our portfolio increased slightly during the year ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year, due to the early payoffs of several syndicated investments.

As of September 30, 2012, six portfolio companies were either fully or partially on non-accrual status with an aggregate debt cost basis of approximately \$61.1 million, or 17.3% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio. As of September 30, 2011, eight portfolio companies were on non-accrual status with an aggregate debt cost basis of approximately \$41.1 million, or 11.0% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio. During the year ended September 30, 2012, we sold our investments in two portfolio companies that had been on non-accrual status. There were no new non-accruals added during the year ended September 30, 2012.

Other income for the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, consisted primarily of success fees, which we generally recognize when payment is received. During the year ended September 30, 2012, we received an aggregate of \$4.0 million in success fees, which resulted from the early payoffs at par of Winchester for \$1.2 million, GMT for \$1.1 million, RCS for \$0.9 million and Northern Contours for \$0.8 million. In addition, we received prepayment fees in the aggregate of \$0.2 million during the year ended September 30, 2012, which resulted from the early payoffs of five of our syndicate investments at par during the year.

During the year ended September 30, 2011, we received an aggregate of \$1.0 million of success fees, which resulted from the early payoffs at par of Interfilm Holdings, Inc. (Interfilm) for \$0.1 million and Pinnacle Treatment Centers, Inc. (Pinnacle) for \$0.5 million and also a prepaid success fee of \$0.4 million from Westlake. In addition, we received an aggregate of \$1.0 million from two legal settlements related to portfolio companies, which was recorded in other income during the year ended September 30, 2011.

The following tables list the investment income for our five largest portfolio company investments at fair value during the respective years:

| | As of September 30, 2012 | | Year Ended Sep | tember 30, 2012 % of Total |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Portfolio Company | Fair Value | % of Portfolio | Investment Income | Investment Income |
| Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc. | \$ 25.439 | 9.3% | \$ 3.193 | 7.9% |
| Westlake Hardware. Inc. (A) | 19.360 | 7.1 | 2,592 | 6.4 |
| Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc. | 17,824 | 6.5 | 2,249 | 5.6 |
| Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd. ^(B) | 15,385 | 5.6 | 750 | 1.9 |
| CMI Acquisition, LLC ^(C) | 13,766 | 5.0 | 2,021 | 5.0 |
| • | | | | |
| Subtotal five largest investments | 91,774 | 33.5 | 10,805 | 26.8 |
| Other portfolio companies | 182,186 | 66.5 | 29,257 | 72.6 |
| Other non-portfolio company income | | | 260 | 0.6 |
| • • | | | | |
| Total investment portfolio | \$ 273,960 | 100.0% | \$ 40,322 | 100.0% |

| | As of Septem | nber 30, 2011 | Year Ended September 30, 2011 | | |
|---|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | | | | % of | |
| | | | | Total | |
| Portfolio Company | Fair Value | % of Portfolio | Investment Income | Investment Income | |
| Reliable Biopharmaceutical Holdings, Inc. | \$ 25,295 | 8.3% | \$ 3,090 | 8.8% | |
| Westlake Hardware, Inc. (A) | 19,340 | 6.4 | 2,935 | 8.3 | |
| Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc. | 17,184 | 5.7 | 2,242 | 6.4 | |
| Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc. | 15,039 | 5.0 | 888 | 2.5 | |
| CMI Acquisition, LLC ^(C) | 14,336 | 4.7 | 1,038 | 3.0 | |
| Subtotal five largest investments | 91,194 | 30.1 | 10,193 | 29.0 | |

| Other portfolio companies | 211,753 | 69.9 | 24,586 | 69.8 |
|------------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Other non-portfolio company income | | | 432 | 1.2 |
| | | | | |
| Total investment portfolio | \$ 302,947 | 100.0% | \$ 35,211 | 100.0% |

⁽A) Investment exited in December 2012.

45

⁽B) New investment added in May 2012.

⁽C) Investment exited in September 2013.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses, net of credits from the Adviser, increased for the year ended September 30, 2012, by \$4.5 million, or 26.7%, as compared to the year ended September 30, 2011. This increase was primarily due to increases in dividend expense on our Term Preferred Stock, interest expense on our Credit Facility and other expenses, partially offset by the decrease in the net incentive fees.

During the year ended September 30, 2012, we paid \$2.5 million of dividends on our Term Preferred Stock. We classify these dividends as dividend expense on our accompanying consolidated statements of operations. There was no preferred stock dividends paid in the year ended September 30, 2011, as our Term Preferred Stock offering occurred in November 2011.

Interest expense increased by \$1.7 million for the year ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year, due primarily to increased borrowings under our Credit Facility to facilitate the increased investment activity during the year. The weighted average balance outstanding on our Credit Facility during the year ended September 30, 2012, was approximately \$72.2 million, as compared to \$49.2 million in the prior year, an increase of 46.7%.

Other expenses increased by \$0.3 million during the year ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year, primarily due to expenses of \$0.2 million being recognized for the termination of an equity distribution agreement, discussed in detail under *Liquidity and Capital Resources Equity* further below and also due to increases in bad debt expense related to receivables from certain portfolio companies.

The decrease of \$0.2 million in net incentive fees earned by the Adviser during the years ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year, was due primarily to the increase in the incentive fee waiver in the 2012 fiscal year. Incentive fees were earned by the Adviser during the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012; however, the incentive fees were partially waived by the Adviser to ensure distributions to stockholders were covered entirely by net investment income during both years.

The base management fee, incentive fee and associated credits are computed quarterly as described under *Investment Advisory and Management Agreement* in Note 4 of the notes to our accompanying consolidated financial statements and are summarized in the table below:

| | Year Ended September 30, | | | er 30, |
|--|--------------------------|---------|------|---------|
| | | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Average total assets subject to base management fee ^(A) | \$. | 308,250 | \$ 2 | 286,550 |
| Multiplied by annual base management fee of 2.0% | | 2.0% | | 2.0% |
| Base management fee ^(B) | | 6,165 | | 5,731 |
| Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum | | (428) | | (383) |
| * | | . , | | ` / |
| Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies | | (342) | | (239) |
| Net Base Management Fee | \$ | 5,395 | \$ | 5,109 |
| Incentive fee ^(B) | \$ | 4,691 | \$ | 4,598 |
| Credit from voluntary, irrevocable waiver issued by Adviser s board of directors | | (278) | | (21) |
| Net Incentive Fee | \$ | 4,413 | \$ | 4,577 |
| Fee reduction for the voluntary, irrevocable waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% per annum | \$ | (428) | \$ | (383) |
| | Ф | ` ' | Ф | ` ′ |
| Credit for fees received by Adviser from portfolio companies | | (342) | | (239) |
| Credit from voluntary, irrevocable waiver issued by Adviser s board of directors | | (278) | | (21) |
| Credits to Fees from Adviser(B) | \$ | (1,048) | \$ | (643) |

(A) Average total assets subject to the base management fee is defined as total assets, including investments made with proceeds of borrowings, less any uninvested cash or cash equivalents resulting from borrowings, valued at the end of the four most recently completed quarters within the respective years and appropriately adjusted for any share issuances or repurchases during the applicable year.

B) Reflected, in total, as a line item on our accompanying consolidated statement of operations located elsewhere in this prospectus.

46

Realized Loss and Unrealized Depreciation on Investments

Realized Losses

For the year ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a net realized loss on investments of \$12.8 million, which primarily consisted of realized losses of \$7.4 million related to the sale of Newhall, \$1.0 million related to the restructure of KMBQ, \$1.8 million related to the sale of BERTL and \$3.2 million related to the sale of USHC. These realized losses were partially offset by realized gains of \$0.5 million, which consisted of a combined \$0.2 million of escrowed proceeds received in connection with exits on two investments in each of fiscal year 2012 and 2010 and an aggregate of \$0.3 million of unamortized discounts related to the early payoffs at par of eight syndicated investments during the year.

For the year ended September 30, 2011, we recorded a net realized loss on investments of \$1.3 million, which related primarily to the realized loss on the restructuring of our loans to SCI Cable, Inc. (SCI), which resulted in a new Control portfolio company, KCH.

Net Unrealized Depreciation

Net unrealized depreciation of investments is the net change in the fair value of our investment portfolio during the year, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are actually realized. During the year ended September 30, 2012, we recorded net unrealized depreciation of investments in the aggregate amount of \$11.2 million, which included the reversal of an aggregate of \$17.0 million in combined unrealized depreciation primarily related to the sales of Newhall, USHC and BERTL and the restructure of KMBQ. Excluding reversals, we recorded \$28.2 million in net unrealized depreciation for the year ended September 30, 2012. Over our entire portfolio, the net unrealized depreciation consisted of approximately \$21.8 million on our debt investments and approximately \$6.4 million of depreciation on our equity investments for the year ended September 30, 2012.

The net realized (losses) gains and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investments for the year ended September 30, 2012, were as follows:

| | Year Ended September 30, 2012 | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| | Realized | Unrealized | Reversal of | |
| Portfolio Company | (Loss) Gain | Appreciation (Depreciation) | Unrealized Depreciation | Net Gain (Loss) |
| Newhall Holdings, Inc. | \$ (7,327) | \$ | \$ 9,978 | \$ 2,651 |
| FedCap Partners, LLC | | 1,010 | | 1,010 |
| Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc. | | 630 | | 630 |
| Mood Media Corporation | | 622 | | 622 |
| Northern Contours, Inc. | | | 444 | 444 |
| Global Materials Technologies, Inc. | | 422 | | 422 |
| Vision Solutions, Inc. | | 374 | | 374 |
| Keypoint Government Solutions, Inc. | | 271 | | 271 |
| Allison Publications, LLC | | 264 | | 264 |
| RCS Management Holding Company | | (81) | 306 | 225 |
| KMBQ Corporation | (1,044) | | 1,135 | 91 |
| US Healthcare Communications, LLC | (3,173) | | 3,189 | 16 |
| BERTL, Inc. | (1,771) | (4) | 1,782 | 7 |
| CMI Acquisitions, LLC | | (571) | | (571) |
| Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd. | | (614) | | (614) |
| Kansas Cable Holdings, Inc. | | (658) | | (658) |
| LocalTel, LLC | | (962) | | (962) |
| Precision Acquisition Group Holdings, Inc. | | (1,078) | | (1,078) |
| Saunders & Associates | | (1,150) | | (1,150) |
| Reliable Pharmaceutical Holdings, Inc. | | (1,344) | | (1,344) |
| International Junior Golf Training Acquisition Company | | (1,415) | | (1,415) |
| Sunburst Media Louisiana, LLC | | (1,612) | | (1,612) |
| Lindmark Acquisition, LLC | | (1,739) | | (1,739) |
| Viapack, Inc. | | (1,760) | | (1,760) |

| Total: | \$ (12,819) | \$ (28,194) | \$ 17,000 | \$ (24,013) |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Other, net (<\$250) | 496 | 682 | 166 | 1,344 |
| Sunshine Media Holdings | | (7,847) | | (7,847) |
| BAS Broadcasting | | (4,367) | | (4,367) |
| GFRC Holdings, LLC | | (3,845) | | (3,845) |
| Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc. | | (3,422) | | (3,422) |
| | | | | |

The largest drivers of our net unrealized depreciation for the year ended September 30, 2012, were the unrealized depreciation of Sunshine of \$7.8 million, BAS of \$4.4 million, GFRC of \$3.8 million and Defiance of \$3.4 million, all primarily due to a decline in the financial and operational performance of these portfolio companies. Of note, Sunshine was placed on non-accrual status during the year ended September 30, 2012.

During the year ended September 30, 2011, we recorded net unrealized depreciation of investments in the aggregate amount of \$38.8 million, which included the reversal of \$4.0 million related to the restructure of SCI. Excluding reversals, we recorded \$42.7 million in net unrealized depreciation for the year ended September 30, 2011. Over our entire portfolio, the net unrealized depreciation was comprised of approximately \$46.0 million in depreciation on our debt investments and approximately \$3.3 million in appreciation on our equity investments for the year ended September 30, 2011.

The net realized (losses) gains and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investments for the year ended September 30, 2011, were as follows:

| | | Year Ended Sep | otember 30, 2011 | |
|--|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Net | Reversal of | |
| | Realized | Unrealized | Unrealized | |
| Portfolio Company | (Loss) Gain | Appreciation (Depreciation) | Depreciation (Appreciation) | Net Gain (Loss) |
| Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc. | \$ | \$ 5,992 | \$ | \$ 5,992 |
| Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc. | | 1,637 | | 1,637 |
| SCI Cable, Inc. | (1,283) | (785) | 3,676 | 1,608 |
| KMBQ Corporation | | 738 | | 738 |
| Puerto Rico Cable Acquisition Company, Inc. | (16) | | 732 | 716 |
| WP Evenflo Group Holdings, Inc. | | 485 | | 485 |
| Airvana Network Solutions, Inc. | 177 | 267 | (216) | 228 |
| Westlake Hardware, Inc. | | (280) | | (280) |
| Vision Solutions, Inc. | | (355) | | (355) |
| BAS Broadcasting | | (411) | | (411) |
| LocalTel, LLC | | (479) | | (479) |
| Mood Media Corporation | | (553) | | (553) |
| International Junior Golf Training Acquisition Company | | (666) | | (666) |
| Access Television Network, Inc. | | (704) | | (704) |
| Sunburst Media Louisiana, LLC | | (847) | | (847) |
| Heartland Communications Group | | (871) | | (871) |
| Legend Communications of Wyoming LLC | | (975) | | (975) |
| GFRC Holdings LLC | | (1,668) | | (1,668) |
| Kansas Cable Holdings, Inc. | | (2,665) | | (2,665) |
| Lindmark Acquisition, LLC | | (4,547) | | (4,547) |
| Viapack, Inc. | | (4,882) | | (4,882) |
| Newhall Holdings, Inc. | | (9,339) | | (9,339) |
| Sunshine Media Holdings | (158) | (21,237) | | (21,395) |
| Other, net (<\$250) | | (583) | (223) | (806) |
| Total: | \$ (1,280) | \$ (42,728) | \$ 3,969 | \$ (40,039) |

The largest driver of our net unrealized depreciation for the year ended September 30, 2011, was the notable depreciation in Sunshine, which was primarily due to a decline in its financial and operational performance. During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, we restructured our investment in Sunshine and took a controlling position. In addition, there was unrealized depreciation on Newhall of \$9.3 million, Viapack of \$4.9 million and Lindmark of \$4.5 million, all primarily due to a decline in the financial and operational performance of these portfolio companies during the year ended September 30, 2011. Partially offsetting the unrealized depreciation was the unrealized appreciation of \$6.0 million on Defiance, which resulted from an improvement in portfolio company performance and in certain comparable multiples. Of note, subsequent to September 30, 2011, Newhall and Viapack were sold and substantially all of Lindmark s assets were sold and its debt outstanding at the time was repaid in full at par.

As of September 30, 2012, the fair value of our investment portfolio was less than its cost basis by approximately \$91.1 million, and our entire investment portfolio was valued at 75.0% of cost, as compared to cumulative net unrealized depreciation of \$79.9 million and a valuation of our entire portfolio at 79.1% of cost as of September 30, 2011. This increase year over year in the cumulative unrealized depreciation on investments represents net unrealized depreciation of \$11.2 million for the year ended September 30, 2012. Of the investment portfolio as of September 30, 2012, 21 portfolio companies originated before December 31, 2007, which represented 57.7% of the entire cost basis of the portfolio, were valued at 61.9% of cost and included the six investments that were on non-accrual status. Of the investment portfolio as of September 30, 2012, 29 portfolio companies that originated after December 31, 2007, representing 42.3% of the entire cost basis of our portfolio, were valued at 93.0% of cost and none of which were on non-accrual status.

We believe that our aggregate investment portfolio was valued at a depreciated value as of September 30, 2012, primarily due to the lingering effects of the recession that began in 2008 and its affect on the performance of certain of our portfolio companies and also because we were invested in certain industries that have been disproportionately impacted by the recession. The cumulative net unrealized depreciation of our investments does not have an impact on our current ability to pay distributions to stockholders; however, it may be an indication of future realized losses, which could ultimately reduce our income available for distribution to stockholders.

Net Unrealized (Appreciation) Depreciation of Borrowings

Net unrealized (appreciation) depreciation of borrowings is the net change in the fair value of our Credit Facility during the year, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains and losses are realized. During the year ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a net unrealized appreciation of borrowings of \$3.0 million compared to \$0.5 million of net unrealized depreciation for the year ended September 30, 2011. Our Credit Facility was fair valued at \$62.5 million and \$100.0 million as of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Operating Activities

Our cash flows from operations generally come from the interest payments on debt securities that we receive from our portfolio companies, as well as cash proceeds received through repayments or sales of our investments. We utilize this cash primarily to pay interest payments on our Credit Facility, distributions to our stockholders, management fees to the Adviser, and other operating expenses. Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended September 30, 2013, was \$32.1 million as compared to \$26.2 million for the year ended September 30, 2012. The increase in cash from operating activities was primarily due to the increase in repayments on investments, partially offset by an increase in purchases of investments during the year ended September 30, 2013. For the year ended September 30, 2011, net cash used in operating activities was \$68.4 million, which was primarily driven by increased new investment activity during fiscal year 2011.

As of September 30, 2013, we had loans to, syndicated participations in and/or equity investments in 47 private companies, with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$332.3 million. As of September 30, 2012, we had loans to, syndicated participations in and/or equity investments in 50 private companies, with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$365.0 million.

The following table summarizes our total portfolio investment activity during the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | Year Ended September 30, | |
|---|--------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Beginning investment portfolio at fair value | \$ 273,960 | \$ 302,947 |
| New investments | 80,418 | 45,050 |
| Disbursements to existing portfolio companies | 9,739 | 23,891 |
| Scheduled principal repayments | (7,369) | (7,149) |
| Unscheduled principal repayments | (103,122) | (60,221) |
| Proceeds from sales | (6,557) | (6,487) |
| Net unrealized depreciation | (10,355) | (28,194) |
| Reversal of prior periods net depreciation on realization | 26,028 | 17,000 |
| Net realized loss | (5,753) | (12,998) |
| Increase in investment balance due to PIK interest ^(A) | 234 | |
| Net change in premiums, discounts and amortization | (345) | 121 |

Ending Investment Portfolio at Fair Value

\$ 256,878

\$ 273,960

(A) PIK interest is a non-cash source of income and is calculated at the contractual rate stated in a loan agreement and added to the principal balance of a loan.

49

The following table summarizes the contractual principal repayment and maturity of our investment portfolio by year, assuming no voluntary prepayments, at September 30, 2013.

| Year Ending September 30, | Amount |
|---|------------|
| 2014 | \$ 72,305 |
| 2015 | 44,860 |
| 2016 | 95,288 |
| 2017 | 18,657 |
| 2018 | 45,713 |
| Thereafter | 37,779 |
| | |
| Total Contractual Repayments | \$ 314,602 |
| Equity Investments | 18,613 |
| Adjustments to cost basis on debt investments | (949) |
| | |
| Total Cost Basis of Investments Held at September 30, 2013: | \$ 332,266 |

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended September 30, 2013 was \$28.1 million and consisted primarily of distributions to common stockholders of \$17.6 million and net repayments on our Credit Facility of \$11.9 million.

Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended September 30, 2012 was \$22.8 million and consisted primarily of net repayments on our Credit Facility of \$40.6 million and distributions to common stockholders of \$17.7 million. These financing activities were partially offset by proceeds from the issuance of our Term Preferred Stock of \$38.5 million in November 2011.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended September 30, 2011 was \$67.4 million and primarily consisted of net borrowings on our Credit Facility of \$82.6 million, partially offset by distributions to common stockholders of \$17.7 million.

Distributions to Stockholders

Common Stock Distributions

To qualify to be taxed as a RIC and thus avoid corporate level federal income tax on the income we distribute to our stockholders, we are required to distribute to our stockholders on an annual basis at least 90.0% of our investment company taxable income. In accordance with these requirements, we declared and paid monthly cash distributions of \$0.07 per common share for each month during the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, which totaled an aggregate of \$17.6 million, \$17.7 million and \$17.7 million, respectively. In October 2013, our Board of Directors declared a monthly distribution of \$0.07 per common share for each of October, November and December 2013. Our Board of Directors declared these distributions to our stockholders based on our estimates of net investment income for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2014. Additionally, the covenants in our Credit Facility restrict the amount of distributions to stockholders that we can pay out to be no greater than our net investment income in each fiscal year. As of September 30, 2013, we have paid 120 consecutive monthly cash distributions and prior to that, we paid 8 consecutive quarterly cash distributions.

For each of the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, common stockholder distributions declared and paid exceeded our current and accumulated earnings and profits (after taking into account our Term Preferred Stock dividends), which resulted in a partial return of capital of approximately \$1.3 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. The returns of capital resulted primarily from accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP) realized losses being recognized as ordinary losses for federal income tax purposes in each of those fiscal years. Our accumulated earnings and profits exceeded common stockholder distributions declared and paid for the year ended September 30, 2011, and we therefore elected to treat \$0.7 million of common distributions paid in fiscal year 2011 as having been paid in fiscal year 2012.

Table of Contents

81

Preferred Stock Distributions

We also declared and paid monthly cash distributions of \$0.1484375 per share of Term Preferred Stock for each month during the year ended September 30, 2013, which totaled an aggregate of \$2.7 million. In October 2013, our Board of Directors declared a monthly distribution of \$0.1484375 per share of Term Preferred Stock for each of October, November and December 2013. During the year ended September 30, 2012, we declared and paid monthly cash distributions of \$0.1484375 per share of Term Preferred Stock for a prorated period in November 2011 and for each month from December 2011 through September 2012, which totaled an aggregate of \$2.5 million. In accordance with GAAP, we treat these monthly distributions to preferred stockholders as an operating expense. For federal income tax purposes, distributions paid by us to preferred stockholders generally constitute ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits.

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

We offer a dividend reinvestment plan for our common stockholders through our transfer agent, Computershare, Inc. This is an opt in dividend reinvestment plan, meaning that common stockholders may elect to have their cash dividends automatically reinvested in additional shares of our common stock. Common stockholders who do not so elect will receive their dividends in cash. Common stockholders who receive distributions in the form of stock will be subject to the same federal, state and local tax consequences as stockholders who elect to receive their distributions in cash. The common stockholder will have an adjusted basis in the additional common shares purchased through the plan equal to the amount of the reinvested distribution. The additional shares will have a new holding period commencing on the day following the date on which the shares are credited to the common stockholder s account. We do not have a dividend reinvestment plan for our preferred stock stockholders.

Equity

Registration Statement

On November 29, 2012, we filed our Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-185191) that was amended on January 17, 2013, and which the SEC declared effective on January 18, 2013. Our Registration Statement, of which this prospectus is a part, is a universal shelf registration statement and permits us to issue, through one or more transactions, up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million in securities, consisting of common stock, preferred stock, subscription rights, debt securities and warrants to purchase common stock, including through a combined offering of such securities. We have not issued any securities to date under our Registration Statement.

Common Stock

On May 17, 2010, we and the Adviser entered into an equity distribution agreement (the Agreement) with BB&T Capital Markets, a division of Scott & Stringfellow, LLC (the Agent), under which we could, from time to time, issue and sell through the Agent, as sales agent, up to 2.0 million shares of our common stock, par value \$0.001 per share. In October 2012, we terminated this agreement. No shares were ever issued pursuant to this Agreement. Prepaid costs of \$0.2 million related to the origination of this Agreement were expensed in the three months ended September 30, 2012.

We anticipate issuing equity securities to obtain additional capital in the future. However, we cannot determine the terms of any future equity issuances or whether we will be able to issue equity on terms favorable to us, or at all. Additionally, when our common stock is trading below NAV, as it has consistently over the last four years, the 1940 Act restricts our ability to obtain additional capital by issuing common stock. Generally, the 1940 Act provides that we may not issue and sell our common stock at a price below our then current NAV per common share, other than to our then existing common stockholders pursuant to a rights offering, without first obtaining approval from our stockholders and our independent directors. As of September 30, 2013, our NAV per common share was \$9.81 and as of November 18, 2013 our closing market price was \$9.44 per common share. To the extent that our common stock continues to trade at a market price below our NAV per common share, we will generally be precluded from raising equity capital through public offerings of our common stock, other than pursuant to stockholder approval or a rights offering to existing common stockholders.

At our annual meeting of stockholders held on February 14, 2013, our stockholders approved a proposal that authorizes us to sell shares of our common stock at a price below our then current NAV per common share for a period of one year from the date of such approval, provided that our Board of Directors makes certain determinations prior to any such sale. At the upcoming annual meeting of stockholders scheduled for February 13, 2014, our stockholders will again be asked to vote in favor of renewing this proposal for another year. We have not issued any common stock since February 2008 and have never issued common stock below the then current NAV per common share.

Term Preferred Stock

Pursuant to our prior registration statement, in November 2011, we completed an offering of 1.5 million shares of Term Preferred Stock at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share. Gross proceeds totaled \$38.5 million and net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses borne by us were approximately \$36.4 million and were used to repay a portion of outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility. We incurred \$2.1 million in total offering costs related to these transactions, which have been recorded as an asset in accordance with GAAP and amortized over the redemption period ending December 31, 2016. No preferred stock had been issued prior to this issuance and we have not issued any additional preferred stock since November 2011.

Our Term Preferred Stock provides for a fixed dividend equal to 7.125% per year, payable monthly (which equates to approximately \$2.7 million per year). We are required to redeem all of our outstanding Term Preferred Stock on December 31, 2016 for cash at a redemption price equal to \$25.00 per share plus an amount equal to accumulated but unpaid dividends, if any, to the date of redemption. Our Term Preferred Stock has a preference over our common stock with respect to these dividends, whereby no distributions are payable on our common stock unless the stated dividends, including any accrued and unpaid dividends, on our Term Preferred Stock have been paid in full. In addition, the two other potential redemption triggers for our Term Preferred Stock are as follows: (1) if we fail to maintain an asset coverage ratio (as calculated under Section 18(h) of the 1940 Act) of at least 200%, we are required to redeem a portion of our outstanding Term Preferred Stock or otherwise cure the ratio redemption trigger; and (2) at our sole option, at any time on or after December 31, 2012. No redemptions of our outstanding Term Preferred Stock have been made to date.

Our Term Preferred Stock has been recorded as a liability in accordance with GAAP and, as such, affects our asset coverage, exposing us to additional leverage risks. In addition, our Term Preferred Stock is not convertible into our common stock or any other security. Our Term Preferred Stock is currently traded on the NASDAQ with a trading symbol of GLADP.

Revolving Credit Facility

On April 26, 2013, we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Business Loan, entered into Amendment No. 6 to the fourth amended and restated credit agreement (our Credit Facility) to extend the maturity date for one year to January 19, 2016 (the Maturity Date). Our \$137.0 million revolving Credit Facility was arranged by Key Equipment Finance Inc. (Key Equipment) as administrative agent. Keybank National Association (Keybank), Branch Banking and Trust Company and ING Capital LLC also joined our Credit Facility as committed lenders. Subject to certain terms and conditions, our Credit Facility may be expanded from \$137.0 to a maximum of \$237.0 million through the addition of other committed lenders to the facility. The interest rates on advances under our Credit Facility generally bear interest at a 30-day LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum, with a commitment fee of 0.5% per annum on undrawn amounts when our facility is drawn more than 50% and 1.0% per annum on undrawn amounts when our facility is drawn less than 50%. If our Credit Facility is not renewed or extended by the Maturity Date, all principal and interest will be due and payable on or before November 30, 2016. We incurred fees of \$0.7 million in April 2013 in connection with this amendment, which are being amortized through the Maturity Date of our Credit Facility. All other terms of our Credit Facility remained generally unchanged at the time of this amendment.

Prior to the April 26, 2013 amendment, on January 29, 2013, we, through Business Loan, amended our Credit Facility to remove the LIBOR minimum of 1.5% on advances. In addition, on January 19, 2012, we, through Business Loan, amended our Credit Facility to extend the then current maturity date of our revolving line of credit from March 15, 2012 to January 19, 2015, which has subsequently been amended to January 19, 2016, as described above. We incurred fees of \$0.6 million in January 2013 and \$1.5 million in January 2012 in connection with these amendments, which are being amortized through the Maturity Date of our Credit Facility. All other terms of our Credit Facility remained generally unchanged at the time of these amendments.

Interest is payable monthly during the term of our Credit Facility. Available borrowings are subject to various constraints imposed under our Credit Facility, based on the aggregate loan balance pledged by Business Loan, which varies as loans are added and repaid, regardless of whether such repayments are prepayments or made as contractually required.

52

Table of Contents

Our Credit Facility also requires that any interest or principal payments on pledged loans be remitted directly by the borrower into a lockbox account with Key Equipment as custodian. Key Equipment, who also serves as the trustee of the account, generally remits the collected funds to us once a month.

Our Credit Facility contains covenants that require Business Loan to maintain its status as a separate legal entity, prohibit certain significant corporate transactions (such as mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions), and restrict material changes to our credit and collection policies. Our Credit Facility also limits payments of distributions to our stockholders to aggregate net investment income for each of the twelve month periods ending September 30, 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016. Business Loan is also subject to certain limitations on the type of loan investments it can apply as collateral towards the borrowing base in order to receive additional borrowing availability under our Credit Facility, including restrictions on geographic concentrations, sector concentrations, loan size, interest rate type, payment frequency and status, average life and lien property. Our Credit Facility further requires Business Loan to comply with other financial and operational covenants, which obligate Business Loan to, among other things, maintain certain financial ratios, including asset and interest coverage and a required minimum number of 20 obligors in the borrowing base of our Credit Facility. Additionally, we are subject to a performance guaranty that requires us to maintain (i) a minimum net worth (defined in our Credit Facility to include our Term Preferred Stock) of \$190.0 million plus 50.0% of all equity and subordinated debt raised after January 19, 2012, which equates to \$190.0 million as of September 30, 2013, (ii) asset coverage with respect to Senior Securities representing indebtedness of at least 200%, in accordance with Section 18 of the 1940 Act and (iii) our status as a BDC under the 1940 Act and as a RIC under the Code. As of September 30, 2013, and as defined in the performance guaranty of our Credit Facility, we had a net worth of \$244.5 million, an asset coverage of 341.0% and an active status as a BDC and RIC. In addition, we had 31 obligors in the borrowing base of our Credit Facility as of September 30, 2013. As of September 30, 2013, we were in compliance with all of our Credit Facility covenants.

On July 15, 2013, we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Business Loan, entered into an interest rate cap agreement with Keybank, effective July 9, 2013 and expiring January 19, 2016, for a notional amount of \$35.0 million that effectively limits the interest rate on a portion of our borrowings under our revolving line of credit pursuant to the terms of our Credit Facility. The one month LIBOR cap is set at 5.0%. We incurred a premium fee of \$62 in conjunction with this agreement. Beginning with the quarter ending September 30, 2013, we have recorded the fair value of the interest rate cap agreement in other assets in our accompanying consolidated statements of assets and liabilities and the change in the fair value based on the current market valuations at quarter end in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of other in our accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have lines of credit with certain of our portfolio companies that have not been fully drawn. Since these commitments have expiration dates and we expect many will never be fully drawn, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

In addition to the lines of credit with our portfolio companies, we, from time to time, have also extended certain guarantees on behalf of some our portfolio companies during the normal course of business. As of September 30, 2013, we were not party to any guarantees.

When investing in certain private equity funds, we may have uncalled capital commitments depending on the agreed upon terms of our committed ownership interest. These capital commitments usually have a specific date in the future set as a closing date, at which time the commitment is either funded or terminates. As of September 30, 2013, we had uncalled capital commitments related to our partnership interest in Leeds Novamark Capital I, L.P.

We estimate the fair value of our unused line of credit and uncalled capital commitments and our guarantee as of September 30, 2013 to be minimal; and therefore, they are not recorded on our accompanying consolidated statements of assets and liabilities.

53

The following table shows our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2013 at cost:

| | Payments Due by Period | | | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | | More than | |
| | Less than | | | 5 | |
| Contractual Obligations(A) | 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | Years | Total |
| Credit Facility ^(B) | \$ | \$ 46,900 | \$ | \$ | \$ 46,900 |
| Term Preferred Stock | | 38,497 | | | 38,497 |
| Interest expense on debt obligations ^(C) | 5,535 | 7,073 | | | 12,608 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 5,535 | \$ 92,470 | \$ | \$ | \$ 98,005 |

- (A) Excludes our unused line of credit and uncalled capital commitments to our portfolio companies in an aggregate amount of \$9.2 million as of September 30, 2013.
- (B) Principal balance of borrowings outstanding under our Credit Facility, based on the current contractual maturity due to the revolving nature of the facility.
- (C) Includes estimated interest payments on our Credit Facility and dividend obligations on our Term Preferred Stock. The amount of interest expense calculated for purposes of this table was based upon rates and balances as of September 30, 2013. Dividend payments on our Term Preferred Stock assume quarterly dividend declarations and monthly dividend distributions through the date of mandatory redemption.

Of our interest bearing debt investments as of September 30, 2013, 45.5% had a success fee component, which enhances the yield on our debt investments. Unlike PIK income, we do not recognize success fees as income until they are received in cash. As a result, as of September 30, 2013, we have an aggregate off-balance sheet success fee receivable of \$14.8 million, or approximately \$0.71 per common share, on our accruing debt investments that would be owed to us based on our current portfolio if fully paid off. Due to their contingent nature, there are no guarantees that we will be able to collect all of these success fees or know the timing of such collections.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported consolidated amounts of assets and liabilities, including disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the years reported. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We have identified our investment valuation process as our most critical accounting policy.

Investment Valuation

The most significant estimate inherent in the preparation of our accompanying consolidated financial statements is the valuation of investments and the related amounts of unrealized appreciation and depreciation of investments recorded. The Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820, Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. ASC 820 provides a consistent definition of fair value that focuses on exit price in the principal, or most advantageous, market and prioritizes, within a measurement of fair value, the use of market-based inputs over entity-specific inputs. ASC 820 also establishes the following three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date.

<u>Level 1</u> inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;

<u>Level 2</u> inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active or inactive markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 2 inputs are in those markets for which there are few transactions, the prices are not current, little public

information exists or instances where prices vary substantially over time or among brokered market makers; and

<u>Level 3</u> inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs are those inputs that reflect assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability and can include the Adviser s assumptions based upon the best available information.

Our investment portfolio is comprised of debt and equity securities of privately held companies for which quoted prices or other inputs falling within the categories of Level 1 and Level 2 are generally not available. Therefore, as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, all of our investments were valued using Level 3 inputs. See Note 3 *Investments* in our accompanying consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus for additional information regarding fair value measurements and our application of ASC 820.

The Adviser uses generally accepted valuation techniques to value our portfolio unless it has specific information about the value of an investment to determine otherwise. From time to time, the Adviser may accept an appraisal of a business in which we hold securities. These appraisals are expensive and occur infrequently but provide a third-party valuation opinion that may differ in results, techniques and scopes used to value our investments. When these specific third-party appraisals are engaged or accepted, the Adviser would use estimates of value provided by such appraisals and its own assumptions

54

Table of Contents

including estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities, as of the measurement date, to value our investments. The recorded fair values of our investments may differ significantly from fair values that would have been used had an active market for the securities existed. In addition, changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned.

General Valuation Policy

In determining the value of our investments, the Adviser has established an investment valuation policy (the Policy). The Policy has been approved by our Board of Directors, and each quarter our Board of Directors reviews whether the Adviser has applied the Policy consistently and votes whether or not to accept the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio. The Adviser values our investments in accordance with the requirements of the 1940 Act. As discussed more fully below, the Adviser values securities for which market quotations are readily available and reliable at their market value. The Adviser values all other securities and assets at fair value as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors. Such determination of fair values may involve subjective judgments and estimates.

The Policy, which is summarized below, applies to the following categories of securities:

Publicly traded securities;

Securities for which a limited market exists; and

Securities for which no market exists.

Valuation Method

Publicly traded securities: The Adviser determines the value of publicly traded securities based on the closing price for the security on the exchange or securities market on which it is listed and primarily traded on the valuation date. To the extent that we own a restricted security that is not freely tradable, but for which a public market otherwise exists, the Adviser will use the market value of that security adjusted for any decrease in value resulting from the restrictive feature. As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, we did not have any investments in publicly traded securities.

Securities for which a limited market exists: The Adviser values securities that are not traded on an established secondary securities market, but for which a limited market for the security exists, such as certain participations in, or assignments of, syndicated loans, at the quoted bid price, which are non-binding. In valuing these assets, the Adviser assesses trading activity in an asset class, evaluates variances in prices and other market insights to determine if any available quote prices are reliable. If the Adviser concludes that quotes based on active markets or trading activity may be relied upon, firm bid prices are requested; however, if a firm bid price is unavailable, the Adviser bases the value of the security upon the indicative bid price (IBP) offered by the respective originating syndication agent s trading desk, or secondary desk, on or near the valuation date. To the extent that the Adviser uses the IBP as a basis for valuing the security, it may take further steps to consider additional information to validate that price in accordance with the Policy, including but not limited to reviewing a range of indicative bids to the extent it has ready access to such qualified information.

In the event these limited markets become illiquid such that market prices are no longer readily available, the Adviser will value our syndicated loans using alternative methods, such as estimated net present values of the future cash flows or discounted cash flows (DCF). The use of a DCF methodology follows that prescribed by ASC 820, which provides guidance on the use of a reporting entity s own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates when relevant observable inputs, such as quotes in active markets, are not available. When relevant observable market data does not exist, the alternative outlined in ASC 820 is the valuation of investments based on DCF. For the purposes of using DCF to provide fair value estimates, the Adviser considers multiple inputs such as a risk-adjusted discount rate that incorporates adjustments that market participants would make both for nonperformance and liquidity risks. As such, the Adviser develops a modified discount rate approach that incorporates risk premiums including, among others, increased probability of default, or higher loss given default, or increased liquidity risk. The DCF valuations applied to the syndicated loans provide an estimate of what the Adviser believes a market participant would pay to purchase a syndicated loan in an active market, thereby establishing a fair value. The Adviser applies the DCF methodology in illiquid markets until quoted prices are available or are deemed reliable based on trading activity.

As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Adviser determined that the IBPs were reliable indicators of fair value for our syndicate investments. However, because of the private nature of this marketplace (meaning actual transactions are not publicly-reported), we believe that these valuation inputs are classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy as defined in ASC 820.

Securities for which no market exists: The valuation methodology for securities for which no market exists falls into four categories: (A) portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities; (B) portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of securities, which can include debt and equity securities; (C) portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities; and (D) portfolio investments comprised of non-publicly traded non-control equity securities of other funds.

(A) Portfolio investments comprised solely of debt securities: Debt securities that are not publicly traded on an established securities market, or for which a limited market does not exist (Non-Public Debt Securities), and that are issued by portfolio companies where we have no equity or equity-like securities, are fair valued utilizing estimates of value submitted to the Adviser by Standard & Poor s Securities Evaluations, Inc. (SPSE) and its own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including synthetic credit ratings, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. The Adviser may also submit PIK interest to SPSE for their evaluation when it is determined that PIK interest is likely to be received.

In the case of Non-Public Debt Securities, the Adviser has engaged SPSE to submit opinions of value for our debt securities that are issued by portfolio companies in which we own no equity, or equity-like securities. SPSE will only evaluate the debt portion of our investments for which the Adviser specifically requests evaluation, and may decline to make requested evaluations for any reason at its sole discretion. Upon completing the Adviser's collection of data with respect to the investments (which may include the information described below under *Credi. Information*, the risk ratings of the loans described below under *Loan Grading and Risk Rating* and the factors described hereunder), this valuation data is forwarded to SPSE for review and analysis. SPSE makes its independent assessment of the data that the Adviser has assembled and assesses its independent data to form an opinion as to what they consider to be the market values for the securities. With regard to its work, SPSE has issued the following paragraph:

SPSE provides evaluated price opinions which are reflective of what SPSE believes the bid side of the market would be for each loan after careful review and analysis of descriptive, market and credit information. Each price reflects SPSE s best judgment based upon careful examination of a variety of market factors. Because of fluctuation in the market and in other factors beyond its control, however, SPSE cannot guarantee these evaluations. The evaluations reflect the market prices, or estimates thereof, on the date specified. The prices are based on comparable market prices for similar securities. Market information has been obtained from reputable secondary market sources. Although these sources are considered reliable, SPSE cannot guarantee their accuracy.

SPSE opinions of value of our debt securities that are issued by portfolio companies where we have no equity or equity-like securities are submitted to our Board of Directors along with the Adviser supplemental assessment and recommendation regarding valuation of each of these investments. The Adviser generally accepts the opinion of value given by SPSE, however, in certain limited circumstances, such as when the Adviser may learn new information regarding an investment between the time of submission to SPSE and the date of the board assessment the Adviser s conclusions as to value may differ from the opinion of value delivered by SPSE. Our Board of Directors then reviews whether the Adviser has followed its established procedures for determinations of fair value, and votes to accept or reject the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio. The Adviser and our management recommended, and the Board of Directors voted to accept, the opinions of value delivered by SPSE on the loans in our portfolio as denoted on our consolidated schedule of investments included in our accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Because there is a delay between when we close an investment and when the investment can be evaluated by SPSE, new loans are not valued immediately by SPSE; rather, the Adviser makes its own determination about the value of these investments in accordance with our valuation policy using the methods described herein.

(B) Portfolio investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities:

The fair value of these investments is determined based on the total enterprise value (TEV) of the portfolio company, or issuer, utilizing a liquidity waterfall approach. For Non-Public Debt Securities and equity or equity-like securities (e.g. preferred equity, common equity, or other equity-like securities) that are purchased together as part of a package, where we have control or could gain control through an option or warrant security, both the debt and equity securities of the portfolio investment would exit in the mergers and acquisitions market as the principal market, generally through a sale or recapitalization of the portfolio company. We generally exit the debt and equity securities of one issuer together. Applying the liquidity waterfall approach to all of the investments of an issuer, the Adviser first calculates the TEV of the issuer by incorporating some or all of the following factors:

the issuer s ability to make payments;

56

| the earnings of the issuer; |
|--|
| recent sales to third parties of similar securities; |
| the comparison to publicly traded securities; and |

DCF or other pertinent factors.

In gathering the sales to third parties of similar securities, the Adviser may reference industry statistics and use outside experts, TEV is only an estimate of value and may not be the value received in an actual sale. Once the Adviser has estimated the TEV of the issuer, it subtracts the value of all the debt securities of the issuer; which are valued at the contractual principal balance. Fair values of these debt securities are discounted for any shortfall of TEV over the total debt outstanding for the issuer. Once the values for all outstanding senior securities (which include the debt securities) have been subtracted from the TEV of the issuer, the remaining amount, if any, is used to determine the value of the issuer sequity or equity-like securities. If, in the Adviser sjudgment, the liquidity waterfall approach does not accurately reflect the value of the debt component, the Adviser may recommend that we use a valuation by SPSE or, if that is unavailable, a DCF valuation technique.

- (C) Portfolio investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities: The Adviser values Non-Public Debt Securities that are purchased together with equity or equity-like securities from the same portfolio company, or issuer, for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, using a hypothetical secondary market as our principal market. In accordance with ASC 820 (as amended by the FASB s Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards, (ASU 2011-04), the Adviser has defined our unit of account at the investment level (either debt or equity) and therefore it determines the fair value of these non-control investments assuming the sale of an individual security using the stand-alone premise of value. As such, the Adviser estimates the fair value of the debt component using estimates of value provided by SPSE and its own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including synthetic credit ratings, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. For equity or equity-like securities of investments for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, the Adviser estimates the fair value of the equity based on factors such as the overall value of the issuer, the relative fair value of other units of account including debt, or other relative value approaches. Consideration also is given to capital structure and other contractual obligations that may impact the fair value of the equity. Furthermore, the Adviser may utilize comparable values of similar companies, recent investments and indices with similar structures and risk characteristics or DCF valuation techniques and, in absence of other observable market data, its own assumptions.
- (D) Portfolio investments comprised of non-publicly traded non-control equity securities of other funds: The Adviser generally values any uninvested capital of the non-control fund at par value and value any invested capital at the NAV provided by the non-control fund. Due to the uncertainty inherent in the valuation process, such estimates of fair value may differ significantly and materially from the values that would have been obtained had a ready market for the securities existed. Additionally, changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned. There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith, as fair value depends upon circumstances of each individual case. In general, fair value is the amount that the Adviser might reasonably expect us to receive upon the current sale of the security in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

Valuation Considerations

From time to time, depending on certain circumstances, the Adviser may use the following valuation considerations, including but not limited to:

the nature and realizable value of the collateral;

the portfolio company s earnings and cash flows and its ability to make payments on its obligations;

the markets in which the portfolio company does business;

the comparison to publicly traded companies; and

DCF and other relevant factors.

57

Because such valuations, particularly valuations of private securities and private companies, are not susceptible to precise determination, may fluctuate over short periods of time, and may be based on estimates, the Adviser s determinations of fair value may differ from the values that might have actually resulted had a readily available market for these securities been available.

Credit Information

The Adviser monitors a wide variety of key credit statistics that provide information regarding our portfolio companies to help us assess credit quality and portfolio performance. Generally, we, through the Adviser, participate in periodic board meetings of our portfolio companies in which we hold voting securities and also require them to provide annual audited and monthly unaudited financial statements. Using these statements or comparable information and board discussions, the Adviser calculates and evaluates the credit statistics.

Loan Grading and Risk Rating

As part of the Adviser s valuation procedures above, it risk rates all of our investments in debt securities. The Adviser does not risk rate our equity securities. For syndicated loans that have been rated by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO) (as defined in Rule 2a-7 under the 1940 Act), the Adviser uses the NRSRO s risk rating for such security. For all other debt securities, the Adviser uses a proprietary risk rating system. The Adviser s risk rating system uses a scale of 0 to 10, with 10 being the lowest probability of default. This system is used to estimate the probability of default on debt securities and the expected loss if there is a default. These types of systems are referred to as risk rating systems and are used by banks and rating agencies. The risk rating system covers both qualitative and quantitative aspects of the business and the securities we hold.

For the debt securities for which the Adviser does not use a third-party NRSRO risk rating, the Adviser seeks to have its risk rating system mirror the risk rating systems of major risk rating organizations, such as those provided by an NRSRO. While the Adviser seeks to mirror the NRSRO systems, we cannot provide any assurance that the Adviser s risk rating system will provide the same risk rating as an NRSRO for these securities. The following chart is an estimate of the relationship of the Adviser s risk rating system to the designations used by two NRSROs as they risk rate debt securities of major companies. Because the Adviser s system rates debt securities of companies that are unrated by any NRSRO, there can be no assurance that the correlation to the NRSRO set out below is accurate. The Adviser believes its risk rating would be higher than a typical NRSRO risk rating because the risk rating of the typical NRSRO is designed for larger businesses. However, the Adviser s risk rating has been designed to risk rate the securities of smaller businesses that are not rated by a typical NRSRO. Therefore, when the Adviser uses its risk rating on larger business securities, the risk rating is higher than a typical NRSRO rating. The Adviser believes the primary difference between its risk rating and the rating of a typical NRSRO is that the Adviser s risk rating uses more quantitative determinants and includes qualitative determinants that it believes are not used in the NRSRO rating. It is the Adviser s understanding that most debt securities of medium-sized companies do not exceed the grade of BBB on an NRSRO scale, so there would be no debt securities in the middle market that would meet the definition of AAA, AA or A. Therefore, the Adviser s scale begins with the designation >10 as the best risk rating which may be equivalent to a BBB from an NRSRO; however, no assurance can be given that a >10 on the Adviser s cale is equal to a BBB on an NRSRO scale. The scale below gives an indication of the probability of d

| Adviser s | | | |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|---|
| System | First NRSRO | Second NRSRO | $\mathbf{Description^{(A)}}$ |
| >10 | | | Probability of Default (PD) during the next 10 years is 4.0% and the Expected Loss (EL) is 1.0% |
| | Baa2 | BBB | or less |
| 10 | Baa3 | BBB- | PD is 5.0% and the EL is 1.0% to 2.0% |
| 9 | Ba1 | BB+ | PD is 10.0% and the EL is 2.0% to 3.0% |
| 8 | Ba2 | BB | PD is 16.0% and the EL is 3.0% to 4.0% |
| 7 | Ba3 | BB- | PD is 17.8% and the EL is 4.0% to 5.0% |
| 6 | B1 | B+ | PD is 22.0% and the EL is 5.0% to 6.5% |
| 5 | B2 | В | PD is 25.0% and the EL is 6.5% to 8.0% |
| 4 | В3 | B- | PD is 27.0% and the EL is 8.0% to 10.0% |
| 3 | Caa1 | CCC+ | PD is 30.0% and the EL is 10.0% to 13.3% |
| 2 | Caa2 | CCC | PD is 35.0% and the EL is 13.3% to 16.7% |
| 1 | Caa3 | CC | PD is 65.0% and the EL is 16.7% to 20.0% |
| <1 | N/A | D | PD is 85.0% or there is a payment default and the EL is greater than 20.0% |

(A) The default rates set forth are for a ten year term debt security. If a debt security is less than ten years, then the probability of default is adjusted to a lower percentage for the shorter period, which may move the security higher on our risk rating scale.

58

The following table lists the risk ratings for all non-syndicated loans in our portfolio at September 30, 2013 and 2012, representing approximately 80.5% and 78.5%, respectively, of the principal balance of all debt investments in our portfolio at the end of each year:

| | As of Septem | | |
|------------------|--------------|------|--|
| Rating | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Highest | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Average | 5.9 | 5.8 | |
| Weighted Average | 5.5 | 5.5 | |
| Lowest | 2.0 | 2.0 | |

For syndicated loans that are currently rated by an NRSRO, the Adviser risk rates such loans in accordance with the risk rating systems of major risk rating organizations, such as those provided by an NRSRO. The following table lists the risk ratings for all syndicated loans in our portfolio that were rated by an NRSRO at September 30, 2013 and 2012, representing approximately 13.7% and 14.7%, respectively, of the principal balance of all debt investments in our portfolio at the end of each year:

| | As of Septe | mber 30, |
|------------------|-------------|----------|
| Rating | 2013 | 2012 |
| Highest | B+/NR | B+/B1 |
| Average | B/B2 | B/B2 |
| Weighted Average | B/B2 | B/B2 |
| Lowest | CCC/Caa1 | NR/Caa1 |

The following table lists the risk ratings for all syndicated loans in our portfolio that were not rated by an NRSRO. At September 30, 2013 and 2012, these loans represented 5.8% and 6.8%, respectively, of the principal balance of all debt investments in our portfolio at the end of each year:

| | As of Septe | ember 30, |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Rating | 2013 | 2012 |
| Highest | 5.0 | 7.0 |
| Average | 4.5 | 5.3 |
| Weighted Average | 4.6 | 5.2 |
| Lowest | 4.0 | 4.0 |

Tax Status

We intend to continue to maintain our qualification as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code for federal income tax purposes. As a RIC, we are not subject to federal income tax on the portion of our taxable income and gains distributed to our stockholders. To maintain our qualification as a RIC, we must meet certain source-of-income and asset diversification requirements. In addition, in order to qualify to be taxed as a RIC, we must also meet certain annual stockholder distribution requirements. To satisfy the RIC annual distribution requirement, we must distribute to stockholders at least 90.0% of our investment company taxable income. Our policy generally is to make distributions to our stockholders in an amount up to 100.0% of our investment company taxable income.

In an effort to limit certain federal excise taxes imposed on RICs, we currently intend to distribute to our stockholders, during each calendar year, an amount at least equal to the sum of: (1) 98.0% of our ordinary income for the calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gain net income for the one-year period ending on October 31 of the calendar year, and (3) any ordinary income and capital gain net income from preceding years that were not distributed during such years. Under the RIC Modernization Act (the RIC Act), we are permitted to carryforward capital losses incurred in taxable years beginning after September 30, 2011, for an unlimited period. However, any losses incurred during those future taxable years will be required to be utilized

prior to the losses incurred in pre-enactment taxable years, which carry an expiration date. As a result of this ordering rule, pre-enactment capital loss carryforwards may be more likely to expire unused. Additionally, post-enactment capital loss carryforwards will retain their character as either short-term or long-term capital losses rather than being considered all short-term as permitted under the previous regulation.

We sought and received approval for a change in accounting method from the Internal Revenue Service related to our tax treatment for success fees. As a result, we, in effect, will continue to account for the recognition of income from the success fees upon receipt, or when the amount becomes fixed. However, starting January 1, 2011, the tax characterization of the success fee amount was and will continue to be treated as ordinary income. Prior to January 1, 2011, we had treated the success fee amount as a capital gain for tax characterization purposes. The approved change in accounting method does not require us to retroactively change the capital gains treatment of the success fees received prior to January 1, 2011.

Revenue Recognition

Interest Income Recognition

Interest income, adjusted for amortization of premiums, acquisition costs and amendment fees and the accretion of original issue discounts (OID), is recorded on the accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. Generally, when a loan becomes 90 days or more past due or if our qualitative assessment indicates that the debtor is unable to service its debt or other obligations, we will place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan for financial reporting purposes until the borrower has demonstrated the ability and intent to pay contractual amounts due. However, we remain contractually entitled to this interest. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans may be recognized as income or applied to the cost basis depending upon management s judgment. Generally, non-accrual loans are restored to accrual status when past due principal and interest are paid and, in management s judgment, are likely to remain current, or due to a restructuring such that the interest income is deemed to be collectible. At September 30, 2013, two portfolio companies were on non-accrual status with an aggregate debt cost basis of approximately \$39.5 million, or 12.6% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio, and an aggregate fair value of approximately \$5.8 million, or 2.4% of the fair value of all debt investments in our portfolio. At September 30, 2012, six portfolio companies were either fully or partially on non-accrual status with an aggregate fair value of approximately \$61.1 million, or 17.3% of the cost basis of all debt investments in our portfolio, and an aggregate fair value of approximately \$6.8 million, or 2.6% of the fair value of all debt investments in our portfolio.

We currently hold, and we expect to hold in the future, some loans in our portfolio that contain OID or PIK provisions. We recognize OID for loans originally issued at discounts and recognize the income over the life of the obligation based on an effective yield calculation. PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in a loan agreement, is added to the principal balance of a loan and recorded as income over the life of the obligation. Thus, the actual collection of PIK income may be deferred until the time of debt principal repayment. To maintain our ability to be taxed as a RIC, we may need to pay out both of our OID and PIK non-cash income amounts in the form of distributions, even though we have not yet collected the cash.

As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, we had 19 and 24 OID loans, respectively, primarily from the syndicated loans in our portfolio. We recorded OID income of \$0.3 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The unamortized balance of OID investments as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 totaled \$1.0 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2013, we had three investments which had a PIK interest component and as of September 30, 2012, we had one investment which had a PIK interest component. We recorded PIK interest income of \$0.3 million, \$20 and \$12 for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We collected no PIK interest in cash for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

We also transfer past due interest to the principal balance as stipulated in certain loan amendments with portfolio companies. There were no such transfers during the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. We transferred past due interest to the principal balance of \$0.2 million for the year ended September 30, 2011.

Other Income Recognition

We generally record success fees upon receipt of cash. Success fees are contractually due upon a change of control in a portfolio company. We received an aggregate of \$1.7 million in success fees during the year ended September 30, 2013, which resulted from the early payoffs at par of Westlake for \$1.1 million in December 2012 and CMI for \$0.6 million in September 2013. We received an aggregate of \$4.0 million in success fees during the year ended September 30, 2012, which resulted from the early payoffs at par of Winchester for \$1.2 million, GMT for \$1.1 million, RCS for \$0.9 million and Northern Contours for \$0.8 million. During the year ended September 30, 2011, we received an aggregate of \$1.0 million in

60

Table of Contents

success fees from the early payoffs at par of Pinnacle for \$0.5 million and Interfilm for \$0.1 million and also a prepaid success fee of \$0.4 million from Westlake. As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, we had an aggregate off-balance sheet success fee receivable of approximately \$14.8 million and \$13.2 million, respectively, on our accruing debt investments.

We generally record prepayment fees upon receipt of cash. Prepayment fees are contractually due at the time of an investment s exit, based on the prepayment penalty fee schedule. During the year ended September 30, 2013, we received an aggregate of \$0.9 million in prepayment fees, which resulted from the early payoffs of eight of our syndicated investments at par during the 2013 fiscal year. We received an aggregate of \$0.2 million in prepayment fees during the year ended September 30, 2012, which resulted from the early payoffs of five of our syndicated investments at par during the 2012 fiscal year, and no prepayment fees were received during the year ended September 30, 2011.

Dividend income on preferred equity investments is accrued to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected and if we have the option to collect such amounts in cash. During the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 we did not record or collect any dividend income on our preferred equity investments.

Success fees, prepayment fees and dividend income are all recorded in other income in our accompanying consolidated statements of operations. In addition, we received an aggregate of \$1.0 million from two legal settlements related to portfolio companies during the year ended September 30, 2011, that were also recorded in other income during the 2011 fiscal year.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the notes to our accompanying consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus for a description and our application of recent accounting pronouncements. Our adoption of these recent accounting pronouncements did not have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations.

61

SALES OF COMMON STOCK BELOW NET ASSET VALUE

At our 2013 annual stockholders meeting, our stockholders approved our ability to sell or otherwise issue shares of our common stock at a price below the then current net asset value, or NAV, per common share during a one year period, which we refer to as the Stockholder Approval, beginning on February 14, 2013, and expiring on the first anniversary of such date. To sell shares of common stock pursuant to this authorization, no further authorization from our stockholders will be solicited but the number of common shares issued and sold pursuant to such authority cannot exceed 25% of our then outstanding common stock immediately prior to such sale and a majority of our directors who have no financial interest in the sale and a majority of our independent directors must (i) find that the sale is in our best interests and in the best interests of our stockholders and (ii) in consultation with any underwriter or underwriters of the offering, make a good faith determination as of a time either immediately prior to the first solicitation by us or on our behalf of firm commitments to purchase such shares of common stock, or immediately prior to the issuance of such common stock, that the price at which such shares of common stock are to be sold is not less than a price which closely approximates the market value of those shares of common stock, less any distributing commission or discount.

Any offering of common stock below its NAV per share will be designed to raise capital for investment in accordance with our investment objective.

In making a determination that an offering of common stock below its NAV per share is in our and our stockholders best interests, our Board of Directors will consider a variety of factors including:

the effect that an offering below NAV per common share would have on our common stockholders, including the potential dilution they would experience as a result of the offering;

the amount per common share by which the offering price per share and the net proceeds per share are less than our most recently determined NAV per common share;

the relationship of recent market prices of common stock to NAV per share and the potential impact of the offering on the market price per share of our common stock;

whether the estimated offering price would closely approximate the market value of shares of our common stock;

the potential market impact of being able to raise capital during the current financial market difficulties;

the nature of any new investors anticipated to acquire shares of our common stock in the offering;

the anticipated rate of return on and quality, type and availability of investments; and

the leverage available to us.

Our Board of Directors will also consider the fact that sales of shares of common stock at a discount will benefit the Adviser as the Adviser will earn additional investment management fees on the proceeds of such offerings, as it would from the offering of any other of our securities or from the offering of common stock at a premium to NAV per share.

We will not sell shares of our common stock under this prospectus or an accompanying prospectus supplement pursuant to the Stockholder Approval without first filing a post-effective amendment to the registration statement if the cumulative dilution to our NAV per common share from offerings under the registration statement exceeds 15%. This would be measured separately for each offering pursuant to the registration statement by calculating the percentage dilution or accretion to aggregate NAV from that offering and then summing the percentage from each

offering. For example, if our most recently determined NAV per common share at the time of the first offering is \$10.00 and we have 140 million shares of common stock outstanding, the sale of 35 million shares of common stock at net proceeds to us of \$5.00 per common share (a 50% discount) would produce dilution of 10%. If we subsequently determined that our NAV per common share increased to \$11.00 on the then 175 million shares of common stock outstanding and then made an additional offering, we could, for example, sell approximately an additional 43.75 million shares of common stock at net proceeds to us of \$8.25 per common share, which would produce dilution of 5%, before we would reach the aggregate 15% limit. If we file a new post-effective amendment, the threshold would reset.

62

Table of Contents

Sales by us of our common stock at a discount from NAV per common share pose potential risks for our existing common stockholders whether or not they participate in the offering, as well as for new investors who participate in the offering. Any sale of common stock at a price below NAV per share would result in an immediate dilution to existing common stockholders who do not participate in such sale on at least a pro-rata basis. See *Risk Factors Risks Related to an Investment in Our Securities*.

The following three headings and accompanying tables explain and provide hypothetical examples of the impact of an offering of our common stock at a price below NAV per common share on three different types of investors:

existing common stockholders who do not purchase any shares of common stock in the offering;

existing common stockholders who purchase a relatively small amount of shares of common stock in the offering or a relatively large amount of shares of common stock in the offering; and

new investors who become common stockholders by purchasing shares of common stock in the offering. Impact on Existing Common Stockholders Who Do Not Participate in an Offering

An existing common stockholder who does not participate in an offering below NAV per share or who does not buy additional common shares in the secondary market at the same or lower price we obtain in the offering (after expenses and commissions) face the greatest potential risks. These stockholders will experience an immediate decrease (often called dilution) in the NAV of the common shares they hold and their NAV per common share. These common stockholders will also experience a disproportionately greater decrease in their participation in our earnings and assets and their voting power than the increase we will experience in our assets, potential earning power and voting interests due to the offering. These common stockholders may also experience a decline in the market price of their shares, which often reflects to some degree announced or potential decreases in NAV per common share. This decrease could be more pronounced as the size of the offering and level of discounts increase. Further, if current common stockholders do not purchase sufficient shares of common stock to maintain their percentage interest, regardless of whether such offering is above or below the then current NAV, their voting power will be diluted.

The following table illustrates the level of NAV dilution that would be experienced by a nonparticipating common stockholder in three different hypothetical offerings of different sizes and levels of discount from NAV per common share, although it is not possible to predict the level of market price decline that may occur. Actual sales prices and discounts may differ from the presentation below.

The examples assume that we have 1,000,000 common shares outstanding, \$15,000,000 in total assets and \$5,000,000 in total liabilities. The current NAV and NAV per common share are thus \$10,000,000 and \$10.00, respectively. The table illustrates the dilutive effect on a nonparticipating common stockholder of (1) an offering of 50,000 shares of common stock (5% of the outstanding common shares) at \$9.50 per common share after offering expenses and commission (a 5% discount from NAV), (2) an offering of 100,000 shares of common stock (10% of the outstanding common shares) at \$9.00 per common share after offering expenses and commissions (a 10% discount from NAV) and (3) an offering of 250,000 shares of common stock (25% of the outstanding common shares) at \$7.50 per common share after offering expenses and commissions (a 25% discount from NAV). The prospectus supplement pursuant to which any discounted offering is made will include a chart based on the actual number of shares of common stock in such offering and the actual discount to the most recently determined NAV.

| | Prior to | | | Example 1 5% Offering at 5% Discount | | | Example 2 10% Offering at 10% Discount | | | Example 3 25% Offering at 25% Discount | | |
|---|----------|-------------|-----|--------------------------------------|---------|----|--|-----------|----|--|----------|--|
| | | Sale | F | ollowing | % | F | ollowing | % | I | Following | % | |
| | Ве | elow NAV | | Sale | Change | | Sale | Change | | Sale | Change | |
| Offering Price | | | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| Price per Common Share to Public | | | \$ | 10.00 | | \$ | 9.47 | | \$ | 7.90 | | |
| Net Proceeds per Common Share to Issuer | | | \$ | 9.50 | | \$ | 9.00 | | \$ | 7.50 | | |
| Decrease to NAV per Common Share | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Common Shares Outstanding | | 1,000,000 | | 1,050,000 | 5.00% | | 1,100,000 | 10.00% | | 1,250,000 | 25.00% | |
| NAV per Common Share | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 9.98 | (0.20)% | \$ | 9.91 | (0.90)% | \$ | 9.50 | (5.00)% | |
| Dilution to Common Stockholder | | 10.000 | | 10.000 | | | 10.000 | | | 10.000 | | |
| Common Shares Held by Stockholder | | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | | 10,000 | (0.00) 64 | | 10,000 | (*** | |
| Percentage Held by Common Stockholder | | 1.0% | | 0.95% | (4.76)% | | 0.91% | (9.09)% | | 0.83% | (20.00)% | |
| Total Asset Values | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total NAV Held by Common Stockholder | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 99,800 | (0.20)% | \$ | 99,100 | (0.90)% | \$ | 95,000 | (5.00)% | |
| Total Investment by Common Stockholder | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Assumed to be \$10.00 per Common Share) | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 100,000 | | \$ | 100,000 | | \$ | 100,000 | | |
| Total Dilution to Common Stockholder | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Total NAV Less Total Investment) | | | \$ | (200) | | \$ | (900) | | \$ | (5,000) | | |
| Per Common Share Amounts | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NAV Per Common Share Held by Common | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stockholder | | | \$ | 9.98 | | \$ | 9.91 | | \$ | 9.50 | | |
| Investment per Common Share Held by | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Common Stockholder (Assumed to be \$10.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| per Share on Common Shares Held prior to | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sale) | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 10.00 | | \$ | 10.00 | | \$ | 10.00 | | |
| Dilution per Common Share Held by | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stockholder (NAV per Common Share Less | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investment per Common Share) | | | \$ | (0.02) | | \$ | (0.09) | | \$ | (0.50) | | |
| Percentage Dilution to Common Stockholder | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Dilution per Common Share Divided by | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investment per Common Share) | | | | | (0.20)% | | | (0.90)% | | | (5.00)% | |
| Impact on Existing Common Stockholders | Wh | o Do Partic | ina | te in an Off | ering | | | | | | | |

Impact on Existing Common Stockholders Who Do Participate in an Offering

An existing common stockholder who participates in an offering below NAV per common share or who buys additional shares of common stock in the secondary market at the same or lower price as we obtain in the offering (after expenses and commissions) will experience the same types of NAV dilution as the nonparticipating common stockholders, albeit at a lower level, to the extent they purchase less than the same percentage of the discounted offering as their interest in our common shares immediately prior to the offering. The level of NAV dilution will decrease as the number of common shares such stockholders purchase increases. Existing common stockholders who buy more than such percentage will experience NAV dilution but will, in contrast to existing common stockholders who purchase less than their proportionate share of the offering, experience an increase (often called accretion) in NAV per common share over their investment per common share and will also experience a disproportionately greater increase in their participation in our earnings and assets and their voting power than our increase in assets, potential earning power and voting interests due to the offering. The level of accretion will increase as the excess number of shares such common stockholder purchases increases. Even a common stockholder who over-participates will, however, be subject to the risk that we may make additional discounted offerings in which such common stockholder does not participate, in which case such a stockholder will experience NAV dilution as described above in such subsequent offerings. These stockholders may also experience a decline in the market price of their common shares, which often reflects to some degree announced or potential decreases in NAV per share. This decrease could be more pronounced as the size of the offering and level of discount to NAV increases.

The following chart illustrates the level of dilution and accretion in the hypothetical 25% discount offering from the prior chart for a common stockholder that acquires shares of common stock equal to (1) 50% of its proportionate share of the offering (i.e., 1,250 common shares, which is 0.50% of the offering 250,000 common shares rather than its 1% proportionate share) and (2) 150% of such percentage (i.e., 3,750 common shares, which is 1.50% of an offering of 250,000 common shares rather than its 1% proportionate share). The prospectus supplement pursuant to which any discounted offering is made will include a chart for this example based on the actual number of common shares in such offering and the actual discount from the most recently determined NAV per common share. It is not possible to predict the level of market price decline that may occur.

| | | Prior to | | 50% Particip | pation | 150% Participation | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Sale Below NAV | | Following Sale | | % Change | I | Following Sale | % Change |
| Offering Price | | | | | | | | |
| Price per Common Share to Public | | | \$ | 7.90 | | \$ | 7.90 | |
| Net Proceeds per Common Share to Issuer | | | \$ | 7.50 | | \$ | 7.50 | |
| Increases in Common Shares and Decrease to NAV per Common | | | | | | | | |
| Share | | 1 000 000 | | 250,000 | 25 000 | | 1 250 000 | 25 000 |
| Total Common Shares Outstanding | | 1,000,000 | | 1,250,000 | 25.00% | | 1,250,000 | 25.00% |
| NAV per Common Share | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 9.50 | (5.00)% | \$ | 9.50 | (5.00)% |
| Dilution/Accretion to Common Stockholder | | 10.000 | | 11.050 | 10.500 | | 12.750 | 27.500 |
| Common Shares Held by Stockholder | | 10,000 | | 11,250 | 12.50% | | 13,750 | 37.50% |
| Percentage Held by Common Stockholder | | 1.0% | | 0.90% | (10.00)% | | 1.10% | 10.00% |
| Total Asset Values | ф | 100.000 | ф | 106.075 | 6.000 | ф | 120 (25 | 20.628 |
| Total NAV Held by Common Stockholder | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 106,875 | 6.88% | \$ | 130,625 | 30.63% |
| Total Investment by Common Stockholder (Assumed to be \$10.00 per | Φ. | 100.000 | ф | 100.075 | | Φ. | 100 (05 | |
| Share on Common Shares Held prior to Sale) | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 109,875 | | \$ | 129,625 | |
| Total Dilution/Accretion to Common Stockholder (Total NAV Less | | | | (2.000) | | ф | 1.000 | |
| Total Investment) | | | | (3,000) | | \$ | 1,000 | |
| Per Common Share Amounts | | | ф | 0.50 | | ф | 0.50 | |
| NAV Per Common Share Held by Common Stockholder | | | \$ | 9.50 | | \$ | 9.50 | |
| Investment per Common Share Held by Stockholder (Assumed to be | ф | 10.00 | ф | 0.77 | (2.22) 6 | ф | 0.42 | (5.72).67 |
| \$10.00 per Share on Common Shares Held prior to Sale) | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 9.77 | (2.33)% | \$ | 9.43 | (5.73)% |
| Dilution/Accretion per Common Share Held by Stockholder (NAV per | | | ф | (O. 05) | | Φ. | 0.05 | |
| Common Share Less Investment per Common Share) | | | \$ | (0.27) | | \$ | 0.07 | |
| Percentage Dilution/Accretion to Common Stockholder | | | | | | | | |
| (Dilution/Accretion per Common Share Divided by Investment per | | | | | (a = a) a | | | ~ |
| Common Share) | | | | | (2.73)% | | | 0.77% |
| Impact on New Investors in Common Stock | | | | | | | | |

than the resulting NAV per share (due to selling compensation and expenses paid by us) will experience an immediate decrease, albeit small, in the NAV of their shares and their NAV per share compared to the price they pay for their shares of common stock. Investors who are not currently stockholders and who participate in an offering below NAV per common share and whose investment per common share is also less than the resulting NAV per common share due to selling compensation and expenses paid by the issuer being significantly less than the discount per common share will experience an immediate increase in the NAV of their shares and their NAV per share compared to the price they pay for their shares of common stock. These investors will experience a disproportionately greater participation in our earnings and assets and their voting power than our increase in assets, potential earning power and voting interests. These investors will, however, be subject to the risk that we may make additional discounted offerings in which such new common stockholder does not participate, in which case such new stockholder

will experience dilution as described above in such subsequent offerings. These investors may also experience a decline in the market price of their shares of common stock, which often reflects to some degree announced or potential decreases in NAV per share. This decrease could be

more pronounced as the size of the offering and level of discounts increases.

Investors who are not currently stockholders, but who participate in an offering below NAV and whose investment per common share is greater

The following chart illustrates the level of dilution or accretion for new investors that would be experienced by a new investor in the same 5%, 10% and 25% discounted offerings as described in the first chart above. The illustration is for a new investor who purchases the same percentage (1%) of the common shares in the offering as the common stockholder in

the prior examples held immediately prior to the offering. The prospectus supplement pursuant to which any discounted offering is made will include a chart for this example based on the actual number of common shares in such offering and the actual discount from the most recently determined NAV per common share. It is not possible to predict the level of market price decline that may occur.

| | F | Prior to | Example 5% Offer at 5% Disc ior to | | ng 10% Offe | | | ering | | Example 3 25% Offering at 25% Discount | |
|--|-----|-----------------|---|------------------|-------------|----|------------------|-------------|----|--|-------------|
| | Rol | Sale low NAV | F | ollowing Sale | % Change | F | ollowing Sale | % Change | F | ollowing Sale | % Change |
| Offering Price | DC | IOW INA V | | Saic | Change | | Saic | Change | | Saic | Change |
| Price per Common Share to Public | | | \$ | 10.00 | | \$ | 9.47 | | \$ | 7.90 | |
| Net Proceeds per Common Share to Issuer | | | \$ | 9.50 | | \$ | 9.00 | | \$ | 7.50 | |
| Decrease to NAV per Common Share | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Common Shares Outstanding | 1 | ,000,000 | | 1,050,000 | 5.00% | | 1,100,000 | 10.00% | | 1,250,000 | 25.00% |
| NAV per Common Share | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 9.98 | (0.20)% | \$ | 9.91 | (0.90)% | \$ | 9.50 | (5.00)% |
| Dilution/Accretion to Common Stockholder | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Common Shares Held by Stockholder | | | | 500 | | | 1,000 | | | 2,500 | |
| Percentage Held by Common Stockholder | | 0.0% | | 0.05% | | | 0.09% | | | 0.20% | |
| Total Asset Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total NAV Held by Common Stockholder | | | \$ | 4,990 | | \$ | 9,910 | | \$ | 23,750 | |
| Total Investment by Common Stockholder | | | \$ | 5,000 | | \$ | 9,470 | | \$ | 19,750 | |
| Total Dilution/Accretion to Common | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stockholder (Total NAV Less Total | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investment) | | | \$ | (10) | | \$ | 440 | | \$ | 4,000 | |
| Per Common Share Amounts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NAV Per Common Share Held by Common | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stockholder | | | \$ | 9.98 | | \$ | 9.91 | | \$ | 9.50 | |
| Investment per Common Share Held by | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Common Stockholder | | | \$ | 10.00 | | \$ | 9.47 | | \$ | 7.90 | |
| Dilution/Accretion per Common Share Held | | | | | | | | | | | |
| by Stockholder (NAV per Common Share | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Less Investment per Common Share) | | | \$ | (0.02) | | \$ | 0.44 | | \$ | 1.60 | |
| Percentage Dilution/Accretion to Common | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stockholder (Dilution/Accretion per Common | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Share Divided by Investment per Common | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Share) | | | | | (0.20)% | | | 4.65% | | | 20.25% |

66

SENIOR SECURITIES

Information about our senior securities is shown in the following table for the audited periods as of September 30, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001. The information has been derived from our audited financial statement for each respective period, which have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm.

| | Т | otal Amount | | Inve | oluntary | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--------------------|----------------|-------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|
| | | Outstanding | | Liq | uidating | A | verage | |
| |] | Exclusive of | Asset Coverage | Prefe | rence per | Mar | ket Value | |
| Class and Year | Treas | sury Securities(1) | per Unit(2) | | nit(3) | per Unit(4) | | |
| Revolving Credit Facilities | | | • | | | - | | |
| September 30, 2013 | \$ | 46,900,000 | 3,410 | \$ | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2012 | | 58,800,000 | 2,963 | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2011 | | 99,400,000 | 3,150 | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2010 | | 16,800,000 | 14,187 | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2009 | | 83,000,000 | 3,963 | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2008 | | 151,030,000 | 2,792 | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2007 | | 144,440,000 | 2,524 | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2006 | | 49,993,000 | 4,435 | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2005 | | 53,034,000 | 3,849 | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2004 | | 40,744,000 | 3,452 | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2003 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2002 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2001 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| Series 2016 Term Preferred Stock (5) | | | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2013 | \$ | 38,497,050 | \$ 3,410 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 25.49 | |
| September 30, 2012 | | 38,497,050 | 2,963 | | 25.00 | | 25.55 | |
| September 30, 2011 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2010 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2009 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2008 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2007 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2006 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2005 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2004 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2003 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2002 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2001 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| Repurchase Agreements | | | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2013 | \$ | | N/A | \$ | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2012 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2011 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2010 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2009 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2008 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2007 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2006 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2005 | | | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2004 | | 21,346,000 | 3,452 | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2003 | | 78,449,000 | 2,667 | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2002 | | 39,199,000 | 4,333 | | | | N/A | |
| September 30, 2001 | | ,, | N/A | | | | N/A | |
| | | | | | | | - 17.2 | |

- (1) Total amount of each class of senior securities outstanding at the end of the period presented.
- (2) Asset coverage ratio for a class of our senior securities representing indebtedness means the ratio of the value of our total assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, to the aggregate amount of senior securities representing indebtedness and asset coverage ratio for a class of our senior securities that are stock means the ratio of the value of our total assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, to the aggregate amount of senior securities representing indebtedness plus the aggregate involuntary liquidation preference of a class of senior security which is stock. Asset coverage per unit is the asset coverage ratio expressed in terms of dollar amounts per one thousand dollars of indebtedness.
- (3) The amount to which such class of senior security would be entitled upon the involuntary liquidation of the issuer in preference to any security junior to it.
- (4) All senior securities (with the exception of our Term Preferred Stock) are not registered for public trading. Average market value per unit is the average of the last ten days closing prices on the NASDAQ.
- (5) In November 2011, we issued 1,539,882 shares of Term Preferred Stock through a public offering and subsequent exercise of an overallotment option. At September 30, 2013, we had \$38.5 million outstanding of Term Preferred Stock.

67

BUSINESS

Overview

We were incorporated under the Maryland General Corporation Law on May 30, 2001, and completed our initial public offering on August 24, 2001. We are externally managed and operate as a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company and have elected to be treated as a business development company (BDC) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). For federal income tax purposes, we have elected to be treated as a regulated investment company (RIC) under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). We currently continue to qualify as a RIC for federal income tax purposes and obtain favorable RIC tax treatment by meeting certain requirements, including minimum distribution requirements.

Our Investment Objectives and Strategy

Our investment objectives are to: (1) achieve and grow current income by investing in debt securities of established businesses that we believe will provide stable earnings and cash flow to pay expenses, make principal and interest payments on our outstanding indebtedness and make distributions to stockholders that grow over time; and (2) provide our stockholders with long-term capital appreciation in the value of our assets by investing in equity securities of established businesses that we believe can grow over time to permit us to sell our equity investments for capital gains.

To achieve our objectives, we seek to invest in several categories of debt and equity securities, with each investment generally ranging from \$5 million to \$30 million, although investment size may vary, depending upon our total assets or available capital at the time of investment. We aim to maintain a portfolio allocation of approximately 95.0% debt investments and 5.0% equity investments, at cost.

In general, our investments in debt securities have a term of no more than seven years, accrue interest at variable rates (based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR)) and, to a lesser extent, at fixed rates. We seek debt instruments that pay interest monthly or, at a minimum, quarterly, have a success fee or deferred interest provision and are primarily interest only with all principal and any accrued but unpaid interest due at maturity. Generally, success fees accrue at a set rate and are contractually due upon a change of control in the business. Some debt securities have deferred interest whereby some portion of the interest payment is added to the principal balance so that the interest is paid, together with the principal, at maturity. This form of deferred interest is often called paid in kind (PIK) interest.

Typically, our equity investments take the form of common stock or preferred stock, limited liability company interests, or warrants or options to purchase the foregoing. Often, these equity investments occur in connection with our original investment, recapitalizing a business, or refinancing existing debt.

We expect that our target portfolio over time will primarily include the following four categories of investments in private U.S. companies:

68

Table of Contents

Senior Debt Securities: We seek to invest a portion of our assets in senior debt securities also known as senior loans, senior term loans, lines of credit and senior notes. Using its assets as collateral, the borrower typically uses senior debt to cover a substantial portion of the funding needs of the business. The senior debt security usually takes the form of first priority liens on the assets of the business. Senior debt securities may include our participation and investment in the syndicated loan market.

Senior Subordinated Debt Securities: We seek to invest a portion of our assets in senior subordinated debt securities, also known as senior subordinated loans and senior subordinated notes. These senior subordinated debts also include second lien notes and may include participation and investment in syndicated second lien loans. Additionally, we may receive other yield enhancements in connection with these senior subordinated debt securities.

Junior Subordinated Debt Securities: We seek to invest a portion of our assets in junior subordinated debt securities, also known as subordinated loans, subordinated notes and mezzanine loans. These junior subordinated debts include second lien notes and unsecured loans. Additionally, we may receive other yield enhancements and warrants to buy common and preferred stock or limited liability interests in connection with these junior subordinated debt securities.

Preferred and Common Equity: In some cases we will purchase equity securities which consist of preferred and common equity or limited liability company interests, or warrants or options to acquire such securities, and are in combination with our debt investment in a business. Additionally, we may receive equity investments derived from restructurings on some of our existing debt investments. In some cases, we will own a significant portion of the equity and in other cases we may have voting control of the businesses in which we invest.

Additionally, pursuant to the 1940 Act, we must maintain at least 70% of our total assets in qualifying assets, which generally include each of the investment types listed above. Therefore, the 1940 Act permits us to invest up to 30% of our assets in other non-qualifying assets. See. *Regulation as a Business Development Company Qualifying Assets* for a discussion of the types of qualifying assets in which we are permitted to invest pursuant to Section 55(a) of the 1940 Act.

Because the majority of the loans in our portfolio consist of term debt of private U.S. companies that typically cannot or will not expend the resources to have their debt securities rated by a credit rating agency, we expect that most, if not all, of the debt securities we acquire will be unrated. Investors should assume that these loans would be at rates below what is today considered investment grade quality. Investments rated below investment grade are often referred to as high yield securities or junk bonds and may be considered high risk as compared to investment-grade debt instruments.

69

Investment Concentrations

As of September 30, 2013, we had loans in 47 portfolio companies located in 26 states in 19 different industries, with an aggregate fair value of \$256.9 million. The five largest investments at fair value as of September 30, 2013 totaled \$96.0 million, or 37.4% of our total investment portfolio, as compared to the five largest investments at fair value as of September 30, 2012 totaling \$91.8 million, or 33.5% of our total investment portfolio. As of September 30, 2013, our average investment by obligor was \$7.1 million at cost, compared to \$7.3 million at cost as of September 30, 2012.

The following table outlines our investments by security type at September 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | | September | 30, 2013 | | | September | 30, 2012 | |
|---------------------------|------------|---------------|------------|--------|------------|---------------|------------|--------|
| | | (Dollars in t | housands) | | | (Dollars in t | housands) | |
| | Cost | | Fair Va | lue | Cost | | Fair Va | lue |
| Senior debt | \$ 184,146 | 55.4% | \$ 118,134 | 46.0% | \$ 235,158 | 64.4% | \$ 164,345 | 60.0% |
| Senior subordinated debt | 129,013 | 38.8 | 126,675 | 49.3 | 118,469 | 32.5 | 100,149 | 36.5 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 494 | 0.2 | 561 | 0.2 | 428 | 0.1 | 498 | 0.2 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total debt investments | 313,653 | 94.4 | 245,370 | 95.5 | 354,055 | 97.0 | 264,992 | 96.7 |
| Preferred equity | 12,268 | 3.7 | 4,626 | 1.8 | 6,719 | 1.8 | 1,103 | 0.4 |
| Common equity/equivalents | 6,345 | 1.9 | 6,882 | 2.7 | 4,247 | 1.2 | 7,865 | 2.9 |
| • • • | • | | , | | | | | |
| Total equity investments | 18,613 | 5.6 | 11,508 | 4.5 | 10,966 | 3.0 | 8,968 | 3.3 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total Investments | \$ 332,266 | 100.0% | \$ 256,878 | 100.0% | \$ 365,021 | 100.0% | \$ 273,960 | 100.0% |

Investments at fair value consisted of the following industry classifications at September 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | • | ber 30, 2013 | • | ber 30, 2012 |
|--|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| | (Dollars | in thousands) | (Dollars | in thousands) |
| | Fair | Percentage of | Fair | Percentage of |
| Industry Classification | Value | Total Investments | Value | Total Investments |
| Healthcare, education and childcare | \$ 45,339 | 17.7% | \$ 32,867 | 12.0% |
| Electronics | 33,711 | 13.1 | 42,111 | 15.4 |
| Personal and non-durable consumer products | 29,032 | 11.3 | 8,399 | 3.1 |
| Printing and publishing | 22,224 | 8.7 | 12,760 | 4.6 |
| Mining, steel, iron and non-precious metals | 17,733 | 6.9 | 31,590 | 11.5 |
| Broadcast and entertainment | 15,534 | 6.0 | 25,505 | 9.3 |
| Oil and gas | 15,174 | 5.9 | 15,386 | 5.6 |
| Cargo Transportation | 12,984 | 5.1 | | |
| Aerospace and defense | 11,730 | 4.6 | 16,597 | 6.0 |
| Automobile | 9,701 | 3.8 | 12,168 | 4.4 |
| Textiles and leather | 8,476 | 3.3 | 9,776 | 3.6 |
| Beverage, food and tobacco | 7,038 | 2.7 | 7,258 | 2.6 |
| Machinery | 6,425 | 2.5 | 7,618 | 2.8 |
| Buildings and real estate | 6,392 | 2.5 | 5,920 | 2.2 |
| Finance | 4,489 | 1.7 | | |
| Diversified/conglomerate manufacturing | 4,482 | 1.7 | 6,824 | 2.5 |
| Leisure, amusement, motion pictures and | | | | |
| entertainment | 2,756 | 1.1 | 5,380 | 2.0 |
| Home and office furnishing, housewares and durable | | | | |
| consumer goods | 2,651 | 1.0 | 3,357 | 1.2 |
| Personal, food and miscellaneous services | 1,007 | 0.4 | 7,354 | 2.7 |

| Total Investments | \$ 256,878 | 100.0% | \$ 273,960 | 100.0% |
|--------------------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|
| Other, < 1.0% | | | 3,730 | 1.4 |
| Retail store | | | 19,360 | 7.1 |

Investments at fair value were included in the following geographic regions of the U.S. at September 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | • | er 30, 2013 thousands) | • | er 30, 2012 n thousands) |
|--------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Percentage of Total | | Percentage of Total |
| Geographic Region | Fair Value | Investments | Fair Value | Investments |
| Midwest | \$ 118,570 | 46.2% | \$ 127,179 | 46.4% |
| South | 68,669 | 26.7 | 62,677 | 22.9 |
| West | 61,737 | 24.0 | 66,268 | 24.2 |
| Northeast | 7,902 | 3.1 | 9,836 | 3.6 |
| Outside continental U.S. | | | 8,000 | 2.9 |
| | | | | |
| Total Investments | \$ 256,878 | $\boldsymbol{100.0\%}$ | \$ 273,960 | 100.0% |

The geographic region indicates the location of the headquarters for our portfolio companies. A portfolio company may have a number of other business locations in other geographic regions.

Our Investment Adviser and Administrator

Gladstone Management Corporation (the Adviser) is our affiliated investment adviser and a privately-held company led by a management team that has extensive experience in our lines of business. Another of our and the Adviser s affiliates, a privately-held company, Gladstone Administration, LLC (the Administrator), employs, among others, our chief financial officer, treasurer, chief compliance officer, internal legal counsel and secretary and their respective staffs. Excluding our chief financial officer and treasurer, all of our executive officers serve as directors or executive officers, or both, of the following of our affiliates: Gladstone Commercial Corporation (Gladstone Commercial), a publicly traded real estate investment trust; Gladstone Investment Corporation (Gladstone Investment), a publicly traded BDC and RIC; Gladstone Land Corporation (Gladstone Land), a publicly traded real estate company that invests in farmland and farm related property; the Adviser; and the Administrator. Our treasurer is also the chief financial officer and treasurer of Gladstone Investment. David Gladstone, our chairman, chief executive officer and president, also serves on the board of managers of our affiliate, Gladstone Securities, LLC (Gladstone Securities), a privately-held broker-dealer registered with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) and insured by the Securities Investor Protection Corporation.

The Adviser and Administrator also provide investment advisory and administrative services, respectively, to our affiliates, including, but not limited to: Gladstone Commercial; Gladstone Investment; and Gladstone Land. In the future, the Adviser and Administrator may provide investment advisory and administrative services, respectively, to other funds and companies, both public and private.

We have been externally managed by the Adviser pursuant to an investment advisory and management agreement since October 1, 2004. The investment advisory and management agreement originally included administrative services; however, it was amended and restated on October 1, 2006 and at that time we entered into an administration agreement with the Administrator to provide such services. The Adviser was organized as a corporation under the laws of the State of Delaware on July 2, 2002, and is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended. The Administrator was organized as a limited liability company under the laws of the State of Delaware on March 18, 2005. The Adviser and Administrator are headquartered in McLean, Virginia, a suburb of Washington, D.C. The Adviser also has offices in several other states.

Corporate Information

Our executive offices are located at 1521 Westbranch Drive, Suite 100, McLean, Virginia 22102 and our telephone number is (703) 287-5800. Our corporate website is located at *www.gladstonecapital.com*. Our website and the information contained therein or connected thereto shall not be deemed to be incorporated into this prospectus or the registration statement of which it forms a part.

71

Investment Process

Overview of Investment and Approval Process

To originate investments, the Adviser s investment professionals use an extensive referral network comprised primarily of private equity sponsors, venture capitalists, leveraged buyout funds, investment bankers, attorneys, accountants, commercial bankers and business brokers. The Adviser s investment professionals review information received from these and other sources in search of potential financing opportunities. If a potential opportunity matches our investment objectives, the investment professionals will seek an initial screening of the opportunity with our chairman, chief executive officer and president, Mr. Gladstone, to authorize the submission of an indication of interest (IOI) to the prospective portfolio company. If the prospective portfolio company passes this initial screening and the IOI is accepted by the prospective company, the investment professionals will seek approval to issue a letter of intent (LOI) from the Adviser s investment committee, which is composed of Mr. Gladstone and Terry Lee Brubaker (our vice chairman and chief operating officer), to the prospective company. If this LOI is issued, then the Adviser and Gladstone Securities (our Due Diligence Team) will conduct a due diligence investigation and create a detailed profile summarizing the prospective portfolio company s historical financial statements, industry, competitive position and management team and analyzing its conformity to our general investment criteria. The investment professionals then present this profile to the Adviser s investment committee, which must approve each investment. Further, each investment is available for review by the members of our board of directors (our Board of Directors), a majority of whom are not interested persons as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act.

Prospective Portfolio Company Characteristics

We have identified certain characteristics that we believe are important in identifying and investing in prospective portfolio companies. The criteria listed below provide general guidelines for our investment decisions, although not all of these criteria may be met by each portfolio company.

Value-and-Income Orientation and Positive Cash Flow. Our investment philosophy places a premium on fundamental analysis from an investor's perspective and has a distinct value-and-income orientation. In seeking value, we focus on established companies in which we can invest at relatively low multiples of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA), and that have positive operating cash flow at the time of investment. In seeking income, we typically invest in companies that generate relatively stable to growing sales and cash flow to provide some assurance that they will be able to service their debt. Typically, we do not expect to invest in start-up companies or companies with what we believe to be speculative business plans.

Experienced Management. We generally require that the businesses in which we invest have experienced management teams. We also require the businesses to have in place proper incentives to induce management to succeed and act in concert with our interests as investors, including having significant equity or other interests in the financial performance of their companies.

Strong Competitive Position in an Industry. We seek to invest in businesses that have developed strong market positions within their respective markets and that we believe are well-positioned to capitalize on growth opportunities. We seek businesses that demonstrate significant competitive advantages versus their competitors, which we believe will help to protect their market positions and profitability.

Liquidation Value of Assets. The projected liquidation value of the assets, if any, is an important factor in our investment analysis in collateralizing our debt securities.

Extensive Due Diligence

Our Due Diligence Team conducts what we believe are extensive due diligence investigations of our prospective portfolio companies and investment opportunities. The due diligence investigation may begin with a review of publicly available information followed by in depth business analysis, including, but not limited to, some or all of the following:

a review of the prospective portfolio company s historical and projected financial information, including a quality of earnings analysis; visits to the prospective portfolio company s business site(s); interviews with the prospective portfolio company s management, employees, customers and vendors; review of loan documents and material contracts; background checks and a management capabilities assessment on the prospective portfolio company s management team; and

research on the prospective portfolio company s products, services or particular industry and its competitive position therein.

Upon completion of a due diligence investigation and a decision to proceed with an investment, the Adviser s investment professionals who have primary responsibility for the investment present the investment opportunity to the Adviser s investment committee. The investment committee then determines whether to pursue the potential investment. Additional due diligence of a potential investment may be conducted on our behalf by attorneys and independent accountants, as well as other outside advisers, prior to the closing of the investment, as appropriate.

72

We also rely on the long-term relationships that the Adviser s investment professionals have with venture capitalists, leveraged buyout funds, investment bankers, commercial bankers, private equity sponsors, and business brokers. In addition, the extensive direct experiences of our executive officers and managing directors in the operations of and providing debt and equity capital to small and medium-sized private businesses plays a significant role in our investment evaluation and assessment of risk.

Investment Structure

Once the Adviser has determined that an investment meets our standards and investment criteria, the Adviser works with the management of that company and other capital providers to structure the transaction in a way that we believe will provide us with the greatest opportunity to maximize our return on the investment, while providing appropriate incentives to management of the company. As discussed above, the capital classes through which we typically structure a deal include senior debt, senior subordinated debt, junior subordinated debt, and preferred and common equity or equivalents. Through its risk management process, the Adviser seeks to limit the downside risk of our investments by:

making investments with an expected total return (including both interest and potential equity appreciation) that it believes compensates us for the credit risk of the investment;

seeking collateral or superior positions in the portfolio company s capital structure where possible;

incorporating put rights and call protection into the investment structure where possible;

negotiating covenants in connection with our investments that afford our portfolio companies as much flexibility as possible in managing their businesses, consistent with preserving our capital; and

holding board seats or securing board observation rights at the portfolio company.

We expect to hold most of our investments in senior debt and senior and junior subordinated debt until maturity or repayment, but may sell our investments (including our equity investments) earlier if a liquidity event takes place, such as the sale or recapitalization of a portfolio company or, in the case of an equity investment in a company, its initial public offering. Occasionally, we may sell some or all of our investment interests in a portfolio company to a third party, such as an existing investor in the portfolio company, in a privately negotiated transaction.

Hedging Strategies

We may use interest rate risk management techniques from time to time to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations. Such techniques may include various interest rate hedging activities to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act. Pursuant to our revolving line of credit, we have agreed to enter into interest rate cap agreements in connection with the borrowings that we make under our revolving line of credit. Refer to *Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Revolving Credit Facility* for more discussion on our revolving line of credit and our interest rate cap agreement. We currently hold one interest rate cap agreement, which is not designated as a hedge for accounting purposes.

Competitive Advantages

A large number of entities compete with us and make the types of investments that we seek to make in small and medium-sized privately-owned businesses. Such competitors include private equity funds, leveraged buyout funds, other BDCs, venture capital funds, investment banks and other equity and non-equity based investment funds, and other financing sources, including traditional financial services companies such as commercial banks. Many of our competitors are substantially larger than we are and have considerably greater funding sources that are not available to us. In addition, certain of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments, establish more relationships and build their market shares. Furthermore, many of these competitors are not subject to the regulatory restrictions that the 1940 Act imposes on us as a BDC. However, we believe that we have the following competitive advantages over other providers of financing to small and medium-sized businesses.

Management Expertise

Mr. Gladstone, our chairman and chief executive officer, is also the chairman and chief executive officer of the Adviser and its affiliated companies, other than Gladstone Securities, (the Gladstone Companies), and has been involved in all aspects of the Gladstone Companies investment activities, including serving as a member of the Adviser's investment committee. Mr. Gladstone, our chairman, chief executive officer and president, has extensive experience in private equity investing in middle market companies. Mr. Brubaker is our vice chairman and chief operating officer, has substantial experience in acquisitions and operations of companies. Messrs. Gladstone and Brubaker also have principal management responsibility for the Adviser as its executive officers. These two individuals dedicate a significant portion of their time to managing our investment portfolio. Our senior management has extensive experience providing capital to small and medium-sized companies and has worked together at the Gladstone Companies for more than ten years. In addition, we have access to the resources and expertise of the Adviser's investment professionals and support staff who possess a broad range of transactional, financial, managerial and investment skills.

Increased Access to Investment Opportunities Developed Through Proprietary Research Capability and an Extensive Network of Contacts

The Adviser seeks to identify potential investments through active origination and due diligence and through its dialogue with numerous management teams, members of the financial community and potential corporate partners with whom the Adviser's investment professionals have long-term relationships. We believe that the Adviser's investment professionals have developed a broad network of contacts within the investment, commercial banking, private equity and investment management communities, and that their reputation in investment management enables us to identify well-positioned prospective portfolio companies, which provide attractive investment opportunities. Additionally, the Adviser expects to generate information from its professionals network of accountants, consultants, lawyers and management teams of portfolio companies and other companies.

Disciplined, Value and Income-Oriented Investment Philosophy with a Focus on Preservation of Capital

In making its investment decisions, the Adviser focuses on the risk and reward profile of each prospective portfolio company, seeking to minimize the risk of capital loss without foregoing the potential for capital appreciation. We expect the Adviser to use the same value and income-oriented investment philosophy that its professionals use in the management of the other Gladstone Companies and to commit resources to management of downside exposure. The Adviser s approach seeks to reduce our risk in investments by using some or all of the following approaches:

| focusing on companies with good market positions and cash flow; |
|---|
| investing in businesses with experienced and established management teams; |
| engaging in extensive due diligence from the perspective of a long-term investor; |
| investing at low price-to-cash flow multiples; and |

adopting flexible transaction structures by drawing on the experience of the investment professionals of the Adviser and its affiliates. *Longer Investment Horizon*

Unlike private equity and venture capital funds that are typically organized as finite-life partnerships, we are not subject to standard periodic capital return requirements. The partnership agreements of most private equity and venture capital funds typically provide that these funds may only invest investors—capital once and must return all capital and realized gains to investors within a finite time period, often seven to ten years. These provisions often force private equity and venture capital funds to seek returns on their investments by causing their portfolio companies to pursue mergers, public equity offerings, or other liquidity events more quickly than might otherwise be optimal or desirable, potentially resulting in a lower overall return to investors and/or an adverse impact on their portfolio companies. In contracst, we are a corporation of perpetual duration and are exchange-traded. We believe that our flexibility to make investments with a long-term view and without the capital return requirements of traditional private investment vehicles provides us with the opportunity to achieve greater long-term returns on invested capital.

Flexible Transaction Structuring

We believe our management team s broad expertise and its ability to draw upon many years of combined experience enables the Adviser to identify, assess, and structure investments successfully across all levels of a company s capital structure and manage potential risk and return at all stages of the economic cycle. We are not subject to many of the regulatory limitations that govern traditional lending institutions, such as banks. As a result, we are flexible in selecting and structuring investments, adjusting investment criteria and transaction structures and, in some cases, the types of securities in which we invest. We believe that this approach enables the Adviser to identify attractive investment opportunities that will continue to generate current income and capital gain potential throughout the economic cycle, including during turbulent periods in the capital markets. One example of our flexibility is our ability to exchange our publicly traded stock for the stock of an acquisition target in a tax-free reorganization under the Code. After completing an acquisition in such an exchange, we can restructure the capital of the small company to include senior and subordinated debt.

Leverage

For the purpose of making investments and taking advantage of favorable interest rates, we may issue senior securities up to the maximum amount permitted by the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act currently permits us to issue senior securities representing indebtedness and senior securities that are stock, to which we refer collectively as Senior Securities, in amounts such that we maintain an asset coverage ratio, as defined in Section 18(h) of the 1940 Act, of at least 200.0% on our Senior Securities immediately after each issuance of such Senior Securities. We may also incur such indebtedness to repurchase our common stock. As a result of incurring indebtedness generally, such as through our revolving line of credit or issuing Senior Securities representing indebtedness, such as our 7.125% Series 2016 Term Preferred Stock (our Term Preferred Stock), we are exposed to the risks of leverage. Although borrowing money for investments increases the potential for gain, it also increases the risk of a loss. A decrease in the value of our investments will have a greater impact on the value of our common stock to the extent that we have borrowed money to make investments. There is a possibility that the costs of borrowing could exceed the income we receive on the investments we make with such borrowed funds. Our Board of Directors is authorized to provide for the issuance of Senior Securities with such preferences, powers, rights and privileges as it deems appropriate, subject to the requirements of the 1940 Act. See *Regulation as a Business Development Company Asset Coverage* for a discussion of our leveraging constraints and *Risk Factors Risks Related to Our External Financing* for further discussion of certain leveraging risks.

Ongoing Management of Investments and Portfolio Company Relationships

The Adviser s investment professionals actively oversee each investment by continuously evaluating the portfolio company s performance and typically working collaboratively with the portfolio company s management to identify and incorporate best resources and practices that help us achieve our projected investment performance.

Monitoring

The Adviser s investment professionals monitor the financial performance, trends, and changing risks of each portfolio company on an ongoing basis to determine if each company is performing within expectations and to guide the portfolio company s management in taking the appropriate courses of action. The Adviser employs various methods of evaluating and monitoring the performance of our investments in portfolio companies, which can include the following:

monthly analysis of financial and operating performance;

assessment of the portfolio company s performance against its business plan and our investment expectations;

assessment of the investment s risks;

attendance at and/or participation in the portfolio company s board of directors or management meetings;

assessment of portfolio company management, sponsor, governance and strategic direction;

assessment of the portfolio company s industry and competitive environment; and

review and assessment of the portfolio company s operating outlook and financial projections.

75

Relationship Management

The Adviser s investment professionals interact with various parties involved with a portfolio company, or investment, by actively engaging with internal and external constituents, including:

| management; | |
|-----------------------|--|
| boards of directors; | |
| financial sponsors; | |
| capital partners; and | |
| | |

advisers and consultants.

Managerial Assistance and Services

As a BDC, we make available significant managerial assistance to our portfolio companies and provide other services to such portfolio companies. Neither we, nor the Adviser, currently receive fees in connection with the managerial assistance we make available. At times, the Adviser provides other services to certain of our portfolio companies and it receives fees for these other services. We credit 100.0% of most of these fees against the base management fee that we would otherwise be required to pay to the Adviser.

In February 2011, Gladstone Securities started providing other services (such as investment banking and due diligence services) to certain of our portfolio companies. Any such fees paid by portfolio companies to Gladstone Securities do not impact the overall fees we pay to the Adviser or the overall fees credited against the base management fee.

Valuation Process

The following is a general description of the steps the Adviser takes each quarter to determine the value of our investment portfolio. The Adviser values our investments in accordance with the requirements of the 1940 Act. The Adviser values securities for which market quotations are readily available at their market value. The Adviser values all other securities and assets at fair value as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors. In determining the value of our investments, the Adviser has established an investment valuation policy (the Policy). The Policy has been approved by our Board of Directors and each quarter the Board of Directors reviews whether the Adviser has applied the Policy consistently and votes whether or not to accept the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio. Due to the uncertainty inherent in the valuation process, such estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the values that would have been obtained had a ready market for the securities existed. Investments for which market quotations are readily available are recorded in our financial statements at such market quotations. With respect to any investments for which market quotations are not readily available, the Adviser performs the following valuation process each quarter:

Quarterly, each portfolio company or investment is initially assessed by the Adviser s investment professionals responsible for the investment, using the Policy;

Preliminary valuation conclusions are then discussed with our management, and documented, along with any independent opinions of value provided by Standard & Poor s Securities Evaluations, Inc. (SPSE), for review by our Board of Directors;

Next, our Board of Directors reviews this documentation and discusses the information provided by our management, and the opinions of value provided by SPSE to arrive at a determination that the Policy has been followed for determining the aggregate fair value of our portfolio of investments.

Our valuation policies, procedures and processes are more fully described under Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies Investment Valuation.

76

Investment Advisory and Management Agreements

In 2006, we entered into an amended and restated investment advisory and management agreement with the Advisor (the Advisory Agreement). In accordance with the Advisory Agreement, we pay the Adviser fees as compensation for its services, consisting of a base management fee and an incentive fee. On July 9, 2013, our Board of Directors approved the annual renewal of the Advisory Agreement with the Adviser through August 31, 2014. Mr. Gladstone, our chairman, chief executive officer and president, controls the Adviser.

Base Management Fee

The base management fee is computed and payable quarterly and is assessed at an annual rate of 2.0% computed on the basis of the value of our average gross assets at the end of the two most recently completed quarters, which are total assets, including investments made with proceeds of borrowings, less any uninvested cash or cash equivalents resulting from borrowings. Overall, the base management fee cannot exceed 2.0% of total assets (as reduced by cash and cash equivalents pledged to creditors) during any given fiscal year. In addition, the following three items are potential adjustments to the base management fee calculation.

Loan Servicing Fees

The Adviser also services the loans held by our wholly-owned subsidiary, Gladstone Business Loan, LLC (Business Loan), in return for which the Adviser receives a 1.5% annual fee based on the monthly aggregate outstanding balance of loans pledged under our revolving line of credit. Since we own these loans, all loan servicing fees paid to the Adviser are treated as reductions directly against the 2.0% base management fee under the Advisory Agreement.

Senior Syndicated Loan Fee Waiver Credit

Our Board of Directors accepted an unconditional and irrevocable voluntary waiver from the Adviser to reduce the annual 2.0% base management fee on senior syndicated loan participations to 0.5%, to the extent that proceeds resulting from borrowings were used to purchase such syndicated loan participations, for the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Portfolio Company Fees Credit

Under the Advisory Agreement, the Adviser has also provided and continues to provide managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. The Adviser may also provide other services to our portfolio companies under other agreements and may receive fees for services other than managerial assistance. We credit 100.0% of most of these fees against the base management fee that we would otherwise be required to pay to the Adviser.

Incentive Fee

The incentive fee consists of two parts: an income-based incentive fee and a capital gains-based incentive fee. The income-based incentive fee rewards the Adviser if our quarterly net investment income (before giving effect to any incentive fee) exceeds 1.75% of our net assets (the hurdle rate). We will pay the Adviser an income-based incentive fee with respect to our pre-incentive fee net investment income in each calendar quarter as follows:

no incentive fee in any calendar quarter in which our pre-incentive fee net investment income does not exceed the hurdle rate (7.0% annualized);

100.0% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income with respect to that portion of such pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 2.1875% in any calendar quarter (8.75% annualized); and

20.0% of the amount of our pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds 2.1875% in any calendar quarter (8.75% annualized).

77

Quarterly Incentive Fee Based on Net Investment Income

Pre-incentive fee net investment income

(expressed as a percentage of the value of net assets)

Percentage of pre-incentive fee net investment income

allocated to income-related portion of incentive fee

The second part of the incentive fee is a capital gains-based incentive fee that is determined and payable in arrears as of the end of each fiscal year (or upon termination of the Advisory Agreement, as of the termination date), and equals 20.0% of our realized capital gains as of the end of the fiscal year. In determining the capital gains-based incentive fee payable to the Advisor, we calculate the cumulative aggregate realized capital gains and cumulative aggregate realized capital losses since our inception, and the entire portfolio s aggregate net unrealized capital depreciation, if any, as of the date of the calculation. For this purpose, cumulative aggregate realized capital gains, if any, equals the sum of the differences between the net sales price of each investment, when sold, and the original cost of such investment since our inception. Cumulative aggregate realized capital losses equals the sum of the amounts by which the net sales price of each investment, when sold, is less than the original cost of such investment since our inception. The entire portfolio s aggregate net unrealized capital depreciation, if any, equals the sum of the difference, between the valuation of each investment as of the applicable calculation date and the original cost of such investment. At the end of the applicable year, the amount of capital gains that serves as the basis for our calculation of the capital gains-based incentive fee equals the cumulative aggregate realized capital gains less cumulative aggregate realized capital losses, less the entire portfolio s aggregate net unrealized capital depreciation, if any. If this number is positive at the end of such year, then the capital gains-based incentive fee for such year equals 20.0% of such amount, less the aggregate amount of any capital gains-based incentive fees paid in respect of our portfolio in all prior years. We have not incurred capital gains-based incentive fees from inception through September 30, 2013, as cumulative unrealized capital depreciation has

Additionally, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP), a capital gains-based incentive fee is calculated using the aggregate cumulative realized capital gains and losses and aggregate cumulative unrealized capital depreciation included in the calculation of the capital gains-based incentive fee plus the aggregate cumulative unrealized capital appreciation. If such amount is positive at the end of a period, then GAAP requires us to record a capital gains-based incentive fee equal to 20.0% of such amount, less the aggregate amount of actual capital gains-based incentive fees paid in all prior years. If such amount is negative, then there is no accrual for such year. GAAP requires that the capital gains-based incentive fee accrual consider the cumulative aggregate unrealized capital appreciation in the calculation, as a capital gains-based incentive fee would be payable if such unrealized capital appreciation were realized. There can be no assurance that any such unrealized capital appreciation will be realized in the future. There has been no GAAP accrual recorded for a capital gains-based incentive fee since our inception through September 30, 2013.

Our Board of Directors accepted an unconditional and irrevocable voluntary waiver from the Adviser to reduce the income-based incentive fee to the extent net investment income did not cover 100.0% of the distributions to common stockholders for the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 which waivers totaled \$1.0 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

Administration Agreement

In 2006, we entered into an administration agreement with the Administrator (the Administration Agreement), whereby we pay separately for administrative services. The Administration Agreement provides for payments equal to our allocable portion of the Administrator s overhead expenses in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement, including, but not limited to, rent and salaries and benefits expenses of our chief financial officer, treasurer, chief compliance officer, internal counsel and secretary and their respective staffs. Our allocable portion of expenses is derived by multiplying the Administrator s total allocable expenses by the percentage of our total assets at the beginning of the quarter in comparison to the total assets at the beginning of the quarter of all companies serviced by the Administrator under similar agreements. These administrative fees are accrued at the end of the quarter when the services are performed and generally paid the following quarter. On July 9, 2013, our Board of Directors approved the annual renewal of the Administration Agreement through August 31, 2014.

78

Code of Ethics

We, and all of the Gladstone Companies (and Gladstone Securities), have adopted a code of ethics and business conduct applicable to all of the officers, directors and employees of such companies that complies with the guidelines set forth in Item 406 of Regulation S-K of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act). As required by the 1940 Act, this code establishes procedures for personal investments, restricts certain transactions by such personnel and requires the reporting of certain transactions and holdings by such personnel. This code of ethics and business conduct is publicly available on the Investor Relations section of our website under Corporate Governance at www.GladstoneCapital.com. You may also read and copy the code of ethics at the SEC s Public Reference Room located at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-202-942-8090. In addition, the code of ethics is available on the EDGAR Database on the SEC s website site at http://www.sec.gov, and copies of the code of ethics may be obtained, after paying a duplicating fee, by electronic request submitted to publicinfo@sec.gov. We intend to provide any required disclosure of any amendments to or waivers of the provisions of this code by posting information regarding any such amendment or waiver to our website or in a Current Report on Form 8-K.

Compliance Policies and Procedures

We and the Adviser have adopted and implemented written policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent violation of the federal securities laws, and our Board of Directors is required to review these compliance policies and procedures annually to assess their adequacy and the effectiveness of their implementation. We have designated a chief compliance officer, Jack Dellafiora, Jr., who also serves as chief compliance officer for the Adviser.

Competition

A large number of entities compete with us and make the types of investments that we seek to make in small and medium-sized privately-owned businesses. Such competitors include private equity funds, leveraged buyout funds, venture capital funds, investment banks and other equity and non-equity based investment funds, and other financing sources, including traditional financial services companies such as commercial banks. Many of our competitors are substantially larger than we are and have considerably greater funding sources that are not available to us, although our ability to co-invest with other funds advised by our Adviser may lessen this disparity. In addition, certain of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments, establish more relationships and build their market shares. Furthermore, many of these competitors are not subject to the regulatory restrictions that the 1940 Act imposes on us as a business development company. There is no assurance that the competitive pressures we face will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, because of this competition, we may not be able to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities from time to time and there can be no assurance that we will be able to identify and make investments that satisfy our investment objective or that we will be able to meet our investment goals. Recently we have seen an increase in our competition such that terms and rates for proposed loans have been reduced. However, we believe that our extensive loan referral network and flexible transaction structuring enable us to compete effectively for opportunities in the current market environment.

Staffing

We do not currently have any employees and do not expect to have any employees in the foreseeable future. Currently, services necessary for our business are provided by individuals who are employees of the Adviser and the Administrator pursuant to the terms of the Advisory Agreement and the Administration Agreement, respectively. No employee of the Adviser or the Administrator will dedicate all of his or her time to us. However, we expect that 25 to 30 full time employees of the Adviser and the Administrator will spend substantial time on our matters during calendar year 2014. To the extent we acquire more investments, we anticipate that the number of employees of the Adviser and the Administrator who devote time to our matters will increase.

79

Table of Contents

As of December 16, 2013, the Adviser and the Administrator collectively had 61 full-time employees. A breakdown of these employees is summarized by functional area in the table below:

Number of Individuals Functional Area

10 Executive Management

37 Investment Management, Portfolio Management and Due Diligence

14 Administration, Accounting, Compliance, Human Resources, Legal and Treasury

Properties

We do not own any real estate or other physical properties materially important to our operations. The Adviser is the current leaseholder of all properties in which we operate. We occupy these premises pursuant to our Advisory and Administration Agreements with the Adviser and Administrator, respectively. The Adviser and Administrator are both headquartered in McLean, Virginia and the Adviser also has offices in several other states.

Legal Proceedings

We are not currently subject to any material legal proceedings, nor, to our knowledge, is any material legal proceeding threatened against us.

80

PORTFOLIO COMPANIES

The following table sets forth certain information as of September 30, 2013, regarding each portfolio company in which we had a debt or equity security as of such date. All such investments have been made in accordance with our investment policies and procedures described in this prospectus.

(Dollars in thousands)

| | | | % of Class Held on Fully Diluted | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------|
| Company NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILI | Industry ATE INVESTMENTS | Investment | Basis | Cost | Fair Value |
| Non-syndicated Loans: | ATE INVESTIMENTS | | | | |
| AG Transportation Holdings, LLC | Cargo Transportation | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | | |
| AG Transportation Holdings, ELC | Cargo Transportation | Member Profit Participation | | \$ 12,818 | \$ 12,984 |
| 2430 Lincolnway East | | Profit Participation Warrants | 18.0% | 1,000 | |
| Goshen, IN 46526 | | | 7.0% | 244 | |
| Allen Edmonds Shoe Corporation | Personal and non-durable consumer products | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | 19,483 | 19,604 |
| 201 East Seven Hills Road | | | | | |
| Port Washington, WI 53074 | | | | | |
| Allison Publications, LLC | Printing and publishing | Line of Credit | | | |
| 4311 Oak Lawn, Suite 100 | | Senior Term Debt | | 600 2,875 | 594 2,846 |
| Dallas, Texas 75219 | | Senior Term Debt | | 5,400 | 5,346 |
| BAS Broadcasting | Broadcasting and entertainment | Senior Term Debt | | 7,465 | 373 |
| 905 West State St. | | | | | |
| Fremont, OH 43420 | | | | | |
| Chinese Yellow Pages Company | Printing and publishing | Line of Credit | | 243 | 148 |
| 9550 Flair Drive Suite 200 | | | | | |
| El Monte, CA 91731 | | | | | |
| Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd. | Oil and gas | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | | |
| 240 Jasmine Road | | Preferred Units | 4.6% | 15,000 999 | 14,475 192 |
| Crowley, LA 70526 | | Common Units | 3.9% | 1 | |
| Funko, LLC | Personal and non-durable consumer | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | 7,530 | 7,530 |
| 6306 202nd St. SW | products | Preferred Equity Units | 7.7% | 1,250 | 1,646 |
| Lynnwood, WA 98036 | | | | | |

| GFRC Holdings, LLC | Buildings and real estate | Line of Credit | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|------|--------------|--------------|
| 3615 Miller Park Dr. | | Senior Term Debt | | 100 4,924 | 55 2,708 |
| Garland, TX 75042 | | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | 6,598 | 3,629 |
| Heartland Communications Group | Broadcasting and entertainment | Line of Credit | | | 20 |
| 909 North Railroad | | Line of Credit | | 100 | 20 |
| Eagle River, WI 54521 | | Senior Term Debt | | 100 | 868 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants | 8.8% | 4,342 66 | |
| International Junior Golf Training | Leisure, amusement, motion | Line of Credit | | 2,250 261 | 1,238 144 |
| Acquisition Company | pictures and entertainment | Senior Term Debt | | 2,500 | 1,375 |
| 58 Hospital Center Common | | Sanian Tama Daht | | | |
| Hilton Head, SC 29926 | | Senior Term Debt | | | |
| Leeds Novamark Capital I, L.P. | Private equity fund healthcare, education and childcare | Limited Partnership Interest | 8.4% | 253 | 253 |
| 350 Park Avenue, 23rd Floor | education and emideare | | | | |
| New York, NY 10022 | | | | | |
| Legend Communications of Wyoming, LLC | Broadcasting and entertainment | Senior Term Debt | | 6,874 | 1,203 |
| 6805 Douglas Legum Dr, Ste 100 | | | | | |
| Elkridge, MD 21075 | | | | | |
| North American Aircraft Services, LLC | Aerospace and defense | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | | |
| LLC | | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | | |
| 11502 Jones Maltsberger | | C 0, 1 W | | 4,750 | 4,774 |
| San Antonio, TX 78216 | | Common Stock Warrants | 4.6% | 2,820 350 | 2,834 774 |
| Ohana Media Group | Broadcasting and entertainment | Senor Term Debt | | 1,472 | 1,432 |
| 833 Gambell Street | | | | | |
| Anchorage, AK 99501 | | | | | |

81

| Table of Contents | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|
| POP Radio, LLC | Broadcasting and entertainment | Senior Term Debt | | \$ 9,422 | \$ 9,54 | 10 |
| 174 S. Main Street | | Junior Subordinated Term Debt | | 494 | 56 | 51 |
| Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 | | Participation Unit | 2.4% | 75 | | |
| Precision Acquisition Group Holdings, Inc. | Machinery | Equipment Note | | 1,000 | 70 | 00 |
| 435 Burt Street | | Senior Term Debt | | 4,125 | 2,88 | 38 |
| Sistersville, WV 26175 | | Senior Term Debt | | 4,053 | 2,83 | 37 |
| PROFIT Systems Acquisition Co. 422 E. Vermijo Ave, Suite 100 | Electronics | Senior Term Debt | | 1,950 | 1,95 | 50 |
| Colorado Springs, CO 80903 | | | | | | |
| Saunders & Associates | Electronics | Line of Credit | | 917 | 77 | 79 |
| 2520 East Rose Garden Ln. | | Senior Term Debt | | 8,947 | 7,60 |)5 |
| Phoenix, AZ 85050 | | | | | | |
| Sunburst Media Louisiana, LLC | Broadcasting and entertainment | Senior Term Debt | | 6,000 | 60 | 00 |
| 300 Crescent Court, Suite 850 | | | | | | |
| Dallas, Texas 75201 | | | | | | |
| Thibaut Acquisition Co. | Home and office furnishings, housewares, and durable consumer products | Line of Credit | | 125 | 12 | 26 |
| 480 Frelinghuysen Avenue | | Senior Term Debt | | 2,500 | 2,52 | 25 |
| Newark, NJ 07114 | | | | | | |
| Westland Technologies, Inc. 107 S Riverside Dr Modesto, CA 95354 | Diversified/conglomerate manufacturing | Senior Term Debt Senior Term Debt Common Stock Warrants | 4.9% | 850 4,000 350 | 3,40 | 23 00 18 |
| Subtotal Non-syndicated loans | | | | \$ 157,476 | \$ 121,31 | ۱7 |
| Syndicated Loans: | | | | | | |
| Allied Security Holdings, LLC | Personal, food and miscellaneous services | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | \$ 992 | \$ 1,00 |)8 |
| 161 Washington Street | | | | | | |
| Eight Tower Bridge, Suite 600 | | | | | | |
| Conshocken, PA 19428 | | | | | | |
| Ameriqual Group, LLC | Beverage, food and tobacco | Senior Term Debt | | 7,248 | 7,03 | 38 |
| 18200 Highway 41 North | | | | | | |
| Evansville, IN 47725 | | | | | | |
| Ardent Medical Services, Inc. | Healthcare, education and childcare | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | 3,927 | 4,07 | 70 |
| One Burton Hills Blvd., Suite 250 | | | | | | |

| | Nashvi | lle, | TN | 3721: | 5 |
|--|--------|------|----|-------|---|
|--|--------|------|----|-------|---|

| Nashville, TN 37215 | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Ascend Learning, LLC | Healthcare, education and childcare | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | 980 | 1,000 |
| 7500 West 160th Street, | | | | |
| Stillwell, KS 66085 | | | | |
| Autoparts Holdings Limited | Automobile | Senior Term Debt | 996 | 969 |
| 39 Old Ridgebury Rd | | | | |
| Danbury, CT 06810 | | | | |
| Blue Coat Systems, Inc. | Electronics | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | 2,971 | 3,015 |
| 420 North Mary Avenue | | | | |
| Sunnyvale, CA 94086 | | | | |
| First American Payment Systems, L.P. | Finance | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | 4,469 | 4,489 |
| 100 Throckmorton St., Suite 1800 | | | | |
| Fort Worth, TX 76102 | | | | |
| New Trident Holdcorp, Inc. | Healthcare, education and childcare | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | 3,985 | 4,025 |
| 920 Ridgebrook Road, 2nd Floor | | | | |
| Sparks, MD 21152 | | | | |
| PLATO Learning, Inc. | Healthcare, education and childcare | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | 4,914 | 5,000 |
| 5600 W 83rd Street | | | | |
| Bloomington, MN 55437 | | | | |
| RP Crown Parent, LLC | Electronics | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | 1,963 | 2,025 |
| 14400 N 87th Street | | | | |
| Scottsdale, AZ 85260 | | | | |
| Sensus USA, Inc. | Electronics | Senior Term Debt | 496 | 485 |
| 8601 Six Forks Road | | | | |
| Raleigh, NC 27615 | | | | |

| Table of Contents | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--------|---|-------------------|
| Steinway Musical Instruments, Inc. 1 Steinway Place Long Island City, NY 11105 | Personal and non-durable consumer products | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | \$ 247 | \$ 252 |
| SumTotal Systems, Inc. 2850 NW 43 rd Street, Suite 150 Gainesville, FL 32606 | Electronics | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | 3,928 | 3,940 |
| Targus Group International, Inc. 1211 North Miller Street Anaheim, CA 92806 | Textiles and leather | Senior Term Debt | | 9,299 | 8,476 |
| Vision Solutions, Inc. 15300 Barranca Parkway Irvine, California 92618 | Electronics | Senior Term Debt | | 10,939 | 10,890 |
| W3, Co. 11111 Wilcrest Green Drive #300 Houston, TX 77042 | Oil and gas | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | 494 | 507 |
| Wall Street Systems Holdings, Inc. | Electronics | Senior Term Debt | | 2,945 | 3,023 |
| 1290 Avenue of the Americas | | | | | |
| New York, NY 10104 | | | | | |
| WP Evenflo Group Holdings, Inc. 707 Crossroads Court | Diversified/conglomerate manufacturing | Senior Preferred Equity | 1.1% | 333 | 341 |
| Vandalia, OH 45377 | , in the second | Junior Preferred Equity | 4.4% | 111 | |
| | | Common Stock | 0.8% | | |
| Subtotal Syndicated loans | | | | \$ 61,237 | \$ 60,553 |
| Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate I | investments (represented 70.8% of tot | tal investments at fair value) | | \$ 218,713 | \$ 181,870 |
| CONTROL INVESTMENTS | | | | | |
| Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc. 1090 Perry Street Defiance, OH 43512 | Automobile | Senior Term Debt Common Stock | 59.3% | \$ 6,865 1 | \$ 6,865 1,867 |
| Lindmark Acquisition, LLC | Broadcasting and entertainment | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | | |
| 306 Lindmark Ave | | Success Fee on Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | | 916 |
| Purcell, OK 73080 | | Common Stock | 100.0% | 317 | |
| LocalTel, LLC 360 Merrimack Street, Suite 216 Lawrence, MA 01843 | Printing and publishing | Line of credit Line of Credit Senior Term Debt Senior Term Debt Senior Term Debt Common Stock Warrants | 40.0% | 3,285 1,170 325 2,688 2,750 | |
| Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc. | Mining, steel, iron and non-precious metals | Senior Subordinated Term Debt | | 10 201 | 17,733 |
| 6270 Van Buren Road | | Preferred Stock | 100.0% | 18,281 2,000 | |
| Clinton, OH 44216 | | Common Stock | 50.1% | 138 | |
| RBC Acquisition Corp. 1945 Walton Rd. | Healthcare, education and childcare | Line of Credit Mortgage Note | | 4,000 6,969 | 4,000 6,969 |
| | | | | | |

| St. Louis, MO 63114 | | Senior Term Debt Senior Subordinated Term Debt Preferred Stock Common Stock | 100.0% 75.0% | 11,392 6,000 2,299 370 | 11,392 6,000 2,447 183 | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|-----------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Sunshine Media Holdings 735 Broad St, Suite 708 Chattanooga, TN 37402 | Printing and publishing | Line of credit Senior Term Debt Senior Term Debt Junior Preferred Equity Common Stock Common Stock | 93.4% 74.3% | 1,600 16,948 10,700 5,275 740 | 320 3,389 2,140 | | |
| Total Control Investments (repr | \$ 104,113 | \$ 64,221 | | | | | |
| AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS | | | | | | | |
| Ashland Acquisition, LLC 30 Amberwood Parkway Ashland, OH 44805 | Printing and publishing | Line of Credit Senior Term Debt Common Equity Units | 20.0% | \$ 7,000 440 | \$ 7,000 440 | | |
| FedCap Partners, LLC | Private equity fund | Class A Membership Units | 6.7% | 2,000 | 3,347 | | |
| 11951 Freedom Drive, 13th Fl | | | | | | | |
| Reston, VA 20190 | | | | | | | |
| Total Affiliate Investments (represented 4.2% of total investments at fair value) \$ 9,440 \$ 10 | | | | | | | |
| Total Investments | | | | \$ 332,266 | \$ 256,878 | | |

Significant Portfolio Companies

Set forth below is a brief description of each portfolio company in which we have made an investment that currently represents greater than 5% of our total assets at fair value (excluding cash pledged to creditors). Because of the relative size of our investments in these companies, we are exposed to a greater degree to the risks associated with these companies.

AG Transportation Holdings, LLC

We currently hold investments, having an aggregate fair value of \$13.0 million as of September 30, 2013, in AG Transportation Holdings, LLC and its subsidiaries, which we refer to as AG Trucking. Our investments in AG Trucking consist of a senior subordinated term loan with a principal amount outstanding of \$13.0 million (maturing March 24, 2018), member profit participation of 18.0% ownership we purchased for \$1.0 million and profit participation warrants of 7.0% ownership we purchased for \$0.2 million.

AG Trucking is a regional food-grade liquid and dry bulk carrier providing a variety of bulk transportation services, including liquid transportation, dry bulk dumps, freight brokering, private fleet conversion and project runs to large international agricultural and food manufacturing firms. AG Trucking operates out of five main terminals in Goshen, Indiana; Mooresville, North Carolina, Holly Spring, North Carolina; Dubuque, Iowa and Sidney, Ohio. Due to the relative size of this investment, we are significantly exposed to the risks associated with AG Trucking s business. AG Trucking s business is affected by its ability to attract a highly skilled pool of drivers, maintaining high safety ratings and investing in specialized equipment and technology. AG Trucking s profitability is highly impacted by its ability to minimize fuel price increases.

The principal executive offices of AG Trucking are located at 2430 Lincolnway East, Goshen, Indiana 46526.

Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd.

We currently hold investments, having an aggregate fair value of \$14.7 million as of September 30, 2013, in Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd. and its subsidiaries, which we refer to as FDF. Our investments in FDF consist of a senior subordinated term loan with a principal amount outstanding of \$15.0 million (maturing November 4, 2017), 999 preferred units we purchased for \$999,000 and 999 common units we purchased for \$1,000.

FDF is a logistics network provider of warehousing, transportation and energy field services that focus on fracturing materials required for high-pressure, fracture stimulation for horizontal oil and natural gas drilling. FDF provides its oil shale and gas shale oilfield services and exploration and production customers all of their drilling fluids, storage and transportation of hydraulic fracturing materials, equipment rental and cleaning service needs during every stage of the drilling process. FDF focuses on oil and natural gas drilling customers primarily in Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Arkansas and Wyoming. Because of the relative size of this investment, we are significantly exposed to the risks associated with FDF s business. FDF s profitability is directly correlated with oil and gas prices, as prices drive drilling rig activity. FDF s business is also affected by the stability of the U.S. domestic oil and gas exploration and the level of reliance on foreign oil and the regulations in the industry in general, specifically around use of cleaner fuels.

Decreases in growth of domestic energy exploration and production of oil and natural gas could negatively impact FDF s earnings and cash flows. In addition, new federal and state regulations around natural gas drilling in an environmentally sustainable manner could also impact FDF s services as its customers may be negatively impacted by adopting these regulations.

The principal executive offices of FDF are located at 240 Jasmine Road, Crowley, Louisiana 70526.

Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc.

We currently hold investments, having an aggregate fair value of \$17.8 million as of September 30, 2013, in Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc., which we refer to as Midwest Metal. Our investments in Midwest Metal consist of a senior subordinated term loan with a principal amount outstanding of \$18.3 million, maturing July 31, 2015, 501 shares of common stock, which we purchased for \$0.1 million, and 2,175 shares of preferred stock, which we purchased for \$2.2 million.

Midwest Metal is a metal service center that supplies custom cut aluminum sheet, plate, bar/extrusions, angle as well as stainless steel. Midwest Metal has focused on serving customers in the Midwest and Great Lakes region that require small batches of custom cut metal, and Just-in-time (JIT) delivery service at competitive prices.

Because of the relative size of this investment, we are significantly exposed to the risks associated with Midwest Metal s business. Midwest Metal is exposed to commodity price risk in aluminum and stainless steel, which have been substantially more volatile during the past three years than in previous historical periods. To overcome these risks, Midwest Metal management will need to execute on offering competitively priced metal enhanced by high value-added processing with a quick turnaround.

84

Table of Contents

Our vice chairman and chief operating officer, Terry Lee Brubaker, one of the Adviser s managing directors, Lud Kimbrough, and one of the Adviser s associates, Christopher Lee, are directors of Midwest Metal. The principal executive offices of Midwest Metal are located at 6270 Van Buren Road, Clinton, Ohio 44216.

RBC Acquisition Corp.

We currently hold investments, having an aggregate fair value of \$31.0 million as of September 30, 2013, in RBC Acquisition Corp. and its subsidiaries, which we refer to collectively as Reliable. Our investments in Reliable consist of a senior term last out tranche loan with a principal amount outstanding of \$11.4 million, a senior subordinated term loan with a principal amount outstanding of \$6.0 million, a mortgage note with a principal amount outstanding of \$7.0 million, a revolving line of credit of \$4.0 million, all of which is currently drawn, 2.3 million preferred shares we purchased for \$2.3 million and 2.0 million common shares we purchased for \$0.4 million. Each of the loans have a maturity date of December 22, 2014 and the revolving line of credit has a maturity date of June 14, 2014. Reliable, based in St. Louis, Missouri, develops and manufactures active pharmaceutical ingredients and high purity processing chemicals used in the manufacture of pharmaceuticals and biological products. Reliable s products are the active ingredients for leading generic injectable drugs that treat cancer, heart disease, hypertension, anxiety and other serious illnesses.

Because of the relative size of this investment, we are significantly exposed to the risks associated with Reliable s business. In particular, Reliable is subject to regulation and approvals by the Food & Drug Administration, or FDA. Should Reliable fail to comply with FDA regulations, it could have a material adverse impact on Reliable and the value of our investment in Reliable.

Two of the Adviser s managing directors, John Sateri and Kyle Largent are directors of Reliable. Reliable s principal executive offices are located at 1945 Walton Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63114.

85

MANAGEMENT

Our business and affairs are managed under the direction of our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors currently consists of nine members, six of whom are not considered to be interested persons of ours, as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act. We refer to these individuals as our independent directors. Our Board of Directors elects our officers, who serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Board of Directors

Under our bylaws, our directors are divided into three classes. Each class consists, as nearly as possible, of one-third of the total number of directors, and each class has a three year term. Holders of our common stock and preferred stock vote together as a class for the election of directors, except that the holders of our term preferred stock have the sole right to elect two of our directors. At each annual meeting of our stockholders, the successors to the class of directors whose term expires at such meeting will be elected to hold office for a term expiring at the annual meeting of stockholders held in the third year following the year of their election. Each director will hold office for the term to which he or she is elected and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualifies. Information regarding our Board of Directors is as follows (the address for each director is c/o Gladstone Capital Corporation, 1521 Westbranch Drive, Suite 100, McLean, Virginia 22102):

| | | | Director | Expiration |
|------------------------------|-----|---|----------|------------|
| Name | Age | Position | Since | of Term |
| Interested Directors | | | | |
| David Gladstone | 71 | Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President(1)(2)(6) | 2001 | 2016 |
| Terry L. Brubaker | 69 | Vice Chairman, Chief Operating Officer, Asst. Secretary and Director(1)(2)(6) | 2001 | 2015 |
| David A. R. Dullum | 65 | Executive Vice President and Director(1) | 2001 | 2015 |
| Independent Directors | | | | |
| Anthony W. Parker | 68 | Director(2)(3)(6)(7) | 2001 | 2014 |
| Michela A. English | 63 | Director(3)(7) | 2002 | 2014 |
| Paul W. Adelgren | 70 | Director(4)(5)(7) | 2003 | 2013 |
| John H. Outland | 68 | Director(4)(5)(7) | 2003 | 2016 |
| John Reilly | 71 | Director(3)(7) | 2011 | 2015 |
| Terry Earhart | 71 | Director(4)(5)(7) | 2012 | 2016 |

- (1) Interested person as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act due to the director s position as our officer and/or employment by the Adviser.
- (2) Member of the executive committee.
- (3) Member of the audit committee.
- (4) Member of the ethics, nominating, and corporate governance committee.
- (5) Member of the compensation committee.
- (6) Member of the offering committee.
- (7) Each independent director, serves as an alternate member of each committee for which they do not serve as a regular member.

 Messrs. Adelgren, Outland and Earhart serve as alternate members of the audit committee; Messrs. Parker and Reilly and Ms. English serve as alternates on the compensation committee; Messrs. Parker and Reilly and Ms. English serve as alternates on the ethics, nominating and corporate governance committee and Messrs. Adelgren, Earhart, Outland and Reilly and Ms. English serve as alternates on the offering committee. Alternate members of the committees serve and participate in meetings of the committees only in the event of an absence of a regular member of the committee.

The biographical information for each of our directors, includes all of the public company directorships held by such directors for the past five years.

Independent Directors (in alphabetical order)

Paul W. Adelgren. Mr. Adelgren has served as a director since January 2003. Mr. Adelgren has also served as a director of Gladstone Commercial since August 2003, a director of Gladstone Investment since June 2005 and a director of Gladstone Land since January 2013. From 1997 to the present, Mr. Adelgren has served as the pastor of Missionary Alliance Church. From 1991 to 1997, Mr. Adelgren was pastor of New Life Alliance Church. From 1988 to 1991, Mr. Adelgren was vice president finance and materials for Williams & Watts, Inc., a logistics management and procurement business located in Fairfield, NJ. Prior to joining Williams & Watts, Mr. Adelgren served in the United States Navy, where he served in a number of capacities, including as the director of the Strategic Submarine Support Department, as an executive officer at the Naval Supply Center, and as the director of the Joint Uniform Military Pay System. He is a retired Navy Captain. Mr. Adelgren holds an MBA from Harvard Business School and a BA from the University of Kansas. Mr. Adelgren was selected to serve as an independent director on our Board due to his strength and experience in ethics, which also led to his appointment to the chairmanship of our Ethics, Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee, as well as his past service on our Board since 2003.

Michela A. English. Ms. English has served as director since June 2002. Ms. English is President and CEO of Fight for Children, a non-profit charitable organization focused on providing high quality education and health care services to underserved youth in Washington, D.C.

Ms. English has also been a director of Gladstone Commercial since August 2003, a director of Gladstone Investment since June 2005 and a director of Gladstone Land since January 2013. From March 1996 to March 2004, Ms. English held several positions with Discovery Communications, Inc., including president of Discovery Consumer Products, president of Discovery Enterprises Worldwide and president of Discovery.com. From 1991 to 1996, Ms. English served as senior vice president of the National Geographic Society and was a member of the National Geographic Society s Board of Trustees and Education Foundation Board. Prior to 1991, Ms. English served as vice president, corporate planning and business development for Marriott Corporation and as a senior engagement manager for McKinsey & Company. Ms. English currently serves as director of the Educational Testing Service (ETS), as a director of D.C. Preparatory Academy, a director of the District of Columbia Public Education Fund, a trustee of the Corcoran Museum of Art, a director of the Society for Science and the Public and as a member of the Virginia Institute of Marine Science Council. Ms. English is an emeritus member of the board of Sweet Briar College. Ms. English holds a Bachelor of Arts in International Affairs from Sweet Briar College and a Master of Public and Private Management degree from Yale University s School of Management. Ms. English was selected to serve as an independent director on our Board due to her greater than twenty years of senior management experience at various corporations and non-profit organizations as well as her past service on our Board since 2002.

Terry Earhart. Mr. Earhart has served as our director of Gladstone Investment and Gladstone Commercial since October 2012. Mr. Earhart has also been a director of Gladstone Land since January 2013. Mr. Earhart founded and, since 2005, has served as Executive Vice President and a member of the board of directors of Strategic Global Services Network, a non-governmental organization, or NGO, that has opened six schools, a medical clinic and facilitated the start-up of several micro enterprise businesses in Africa. From 1989 to 2011, Mr. Earhart was a professor of business information systems and management at Messiah College in Grantham, Pennsylvania, teaching courses in strategic management, finance and computers. He also served as Chair of the Faculty and Chair of the Management and Business Department at Messiah College. Mr. Earhart previously served on boards of directors of Jacksonville Navy Federal Credit Union (1981-1984), Navy Mutual Aid Society (1977-1979), Athens-Clarke Country Humane Society (1969-1971), and Navy Supply Corps Foundation (1969-1971). Mr. Earhart was also the founder of both Athens-Clarke Country Humane Society and Navy Supply Corps Foundation, which has distributed over three million dollars in scholarships. From 1964 to 1989, Mr. Earhart held several positions in the United States Navy, including Engineering Officer, Supply Officer, Comptroller, Director Inventory Control, Director of Navy Payroll, Director of Naval Weapons and Ammunition, and Director of Naval Software Development for Inventory Control Systems. During his career in the Navy he served on ships and naval stations throughout the world. He received numerous awards and medals during his distinguished career and he retired as Navy Captain to pursue his interest in teaching college. Mr. Earhart holds a MBA from Harvard Business School and a Bachelor of Science in Engineering from the U.S. Naval Academy. Mr. Earhart was selected to serve as an independent director on our Board of Directors due to his greater than twenty years of experience in enterprise management.

John H. Outland. Mr. Outland has served as a director since December 2003. Mr. Outland has also served as a director of Gladstone Commercial since December 2003, a director of Gladstone Investment since June 2005 and a director of Gladstone Land since January, 2013. From March 2004 to June 2006, he served as vice president of Genworth Financial, Inc. From 2002 to March 2004, Mr. Outland served as a managing director for 1789 Capital Advisors, where he provided market and transaction structure analysis and advice on a consulting basis for multifamily commercial mortgage purchase programs. From 1999 to 2001, Mr. Outland served as vice president of mortgage-backed securities at Financial Guaranty Insurance Company where he was team leader for bond insurance transactions, responsible for sourcing business, coordinating credit, loan files, due diligence and legal review processes, and negotiating structure and business issues. From 1993 to 1999, Mr. Outland was senior vice president for Citicorp Mortgage Securities, Inc., where he securitized non-conforming mortgage product. From 1989 to 1993, Mr. Outland was vice president of real estate and mortgage finance for Nomura Securities International, Inc., where he performed due

87

diligence on and negotiated the financing of commercial mortgage packages in preparation for securitization. Mr. Outland holds an MBA from Harvard Business School and a bachelor s degree in Chemical Engineering from Georgia Institute of Technology. Mr. Outland was selected to serve as an independent director on our Board due to his more than twenty years of experience in the real estate and mortgage industry as well as his past service on our Board since 2003.

Anthony W. Parker. Mr. Parker has served as a director since August 2001. Mr. Parker has also served as a director of Gladstone Commercial since August 2003, a director of Gladstone Investment since June 2005 and a director of Gladstone Land since January, 2013. In January 2011, Mr. Parker was elected as treasurer of the Republican National Committee. In 1997 Mr. Parker founded Parker Tide Corp., formerly known as Snell Professional Corp. Parker Tide Corp. is a government contracting company providing mission critical solutions to the Federal government. From 1992 to 1996, Mr. Parker was chairman of, and a 50 percent stockholder of, Capitol Resource Funding, Inc., or CRF, a commercial finance company. Mr. Parker practiced corporate and tax law for over 15 years: from 1980 to 1983, he practiced at Verner, Liipfert, Bernhard & McPherson and from 1983 to 1992, in private practice. From 1973 to 1977, Mr. Parker served as executive assistant to the administrator of the U.S. Small Business Administration. Mr. Parker received his J.D. and Masters in Tax Law from Georgetown Law Center and his undergraduate degree from Harvard College. Mr. Parker was selected to serve as an independent director on our Board due to his expertise and wealth of experience in the field of corporate taxation as well as his past service on our Board since our inception. Mr. Parker s knowledge of corporate tax was instrumental in his appointment to the chairmanship of our Audit Committee.

John Reilly. Mr. Reilly has served as a director since January 2011. Mr. Reilly has also served as a director of Gladstone Investment and Gladstone Commercial since January 2011 and a director of Gladstone Land since January, 2013. From 1987 until the present, Mr. Reilly has served as president of Reilly Investment Corporation, where he provides advisory services to public and private companies, and financing and joint venture development. From March 1976 until April 1984 he served as principal stockholder, president and chief executive officer of Reilly Mortgage Group, Inc., where he provided origination and construction lending and permanent loan placement of commercial real estate loans for institutional investors. In 1988, Mr. Reilly assumed the role of chairman. In 1992, Stonehurst Ventures, L.P., purchased Reilly Mortgage Group, at which time he then assumed the role of executive director until 1994. From 1971 to 1976, Mr. Reilly served as vice president of Walker & Dunlop, Inc. where he provided services for commercial loan originations, joint ventures, HUD programs and secondary marketing. From 1967 to 1969, Mr. Reilly served as a research engineer for Crane Company, and from 1964 to 1967 he served as a supply officer in the United States Navy. Mr. Reilly also has served as a member of the board of directors of Beekman Helix India since 2009, and has served as co-chairman of the board of directors for Community Preservation and Development Corporation since 2006. He has also served as a member of the board of Victory Housing from 2005 to April 2011 and has served as the chairman of the advisory board of the Snite Museum of Art at the University of Notre Dame since 1996. Mr. Reilly has held a D.C. real estate broker license since 1973. Mr. Reilly is a graduate of Mortgage Bankers School I, II and II and Income School I and II. Mr. Reilly holds an MBA from Harvard Business School and a Bachelor of Arts and a Bachelor of Science in Mathematical Engineering from the University of Notre Dame. Mr. Reilly was selected to serve as an independent director on our Board due to his expertise and wealth of experience in the real estate and mortgage industry.

Interested Directors

David Gladstone. Mr. Gladstone is our founder and has served as our chief executive officer and chairman of our Board of Directors since our inception. He has also served as our interim president since February 2013. Mr. Gladstone is also the founder of the Adviser and has served as its chief executive officer and chairman of its board of directors since its inception. Mr. Gladstone also founded and serves as the chief executive officer and chairman of the boards of directors of our affiliates, Gladstone Investment, Gladstone Commercial and Gladstone Land (of which he is also the president). Prior to founding the Gladstone Companies, Mr. Gladstone served as either chairman or vice chairman of the board of directors of American Capital Strategies, Ltd., a publicly traded leveraged buyout fund and mezzanine debt finance company, from June 1997 to August 2001. From 1974 to February 1997, Mr. Gladstone held various positions, including chairman and chief executive officer, with Allied Capital Corporation (a mezzanine debt lender), Allied Capital Corporation II (a subordinated debt lender), Allied Capital Lending Corporation (a small business lending company), Allied Capital Commercial Corporation (a real estate investment company), and Allied Capital Advisers, Inc., a registered investment adviser that managed the Allied companies. The Allied companies were the largest group of publicly-traded mezzanine debt funds in the United States and were managers of two private venture capital limited partnerships (Allied Venture Partnership and Allied Technology Partnership) and a private REIT (Business Mortgage Investors). From 1992 to 1997, Mr. Gladstone served as a director, president and chief executive officer of Business Mortgage Investors, a privately held mortgage REIT managed by Allied Capital Advisers Inc., which invested in loans to small and medium-sized businesses. Mr. Gladstone is also a past director of Capital Automotive REIT, a real estate investment trust that purchases and net leases real estate to automobile dealerships. Mr. Gladstone served as a director of The Riggs National Corporation (the parent of Riggs Bank) from 1993 to May 1997 and of Riggs Bank from 1991 to 1993. He has served as a trustee of The George Washington University and currently is a trustee emeritus. He is a past member of the Listings and Hearings Committee of the National

Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. He is a past member of the advisory committee to the Women s Growth Capital Fund, a venture capital firm that finances women-owned small businesses. Mr. Gladstone was the founder and managing member of The Capital Investors, LLC, a group of angel investors, and is currently a member emeritus. He is also the past chairman and past owner of Coastal Berry Company, LLC, a large strawberry farming operation in California. Mr. Gladstone holds an MBA from the Harvard Business School, an MA from American University and a BA from the University of Virginia. Mr. Gladstone has co-authored two books on financing for small and medium-sized businesses, *Venture Capital Handbook* and *Venture Capital Investing*. Mr. Gladstone was selected to serve as a director on our Board due to the fact that he is our founder and has greater than thirty years of experience in the industry, including his service as our chairman and chief executive since our inception.

Terry Lee Brubaker. Mr. Brubaker has been our chief operating officer and a director since our inception in 2001 and served as our secretary from 2001 to October 2012. He also served as our president from May 2001 through April 2004, when he assumed the duties of vice chairman. Mr. Brubaker has also served as a director of the Adviser since its inception. He also served as president of the Adviser from its inception through February 2006, when he assumed the duties of vice chairman and chief operating officer. Mr. Brubaker also served as secretary of the Adviser from 2006 to February 2011. He has served as vice chairman, chief operating officer and as a director of Gladstone Investment since its inception and as secretary from its inception until October 2012. Mr. Brubaker has also served chief operating officer and as a director of Gladstone Commercial since February 2003, and as president from February 2003 through July 2007, when he assumed the duties of vice chairman and as secretary from 2003 through October 2012. Mr. Brubaker has also served as the vice chairman and chief operating officer of Gladstone Land since April 2007. In March 1999, Mr. Brubaker founded and, until May 1, 2003, served as chairman of Heads Up Systems, a company providing process industries with leading edge technology. From 1996 to 1999, Mr. Brubaker served as vice president of the paper group for the American Forest & Paper Association. From 1992 to 1995, Mr. Brubaker served as president of Interstate Resources, a pulp and paper company. From 1991 to 1992, Mr. Brubaker served as president of IRI, a radiation measurement equipment manufacturer. From 1981 to 1991, Mr. Brubaker held several management positions at James River Corporation, a forest and paper company, including vice president of strategic planning from 1981 to 1982, group vice president of the Groveton Group and Premium Printing Papers from 1982 to 1990, and vice president of human resources development in 1991. From 1976 to 1981, Mr. Brubaker was strategic planning manager and marketing manager of white papers at Boise Cascade. Previously, Mr. Brubaker was a senior engagement manager at McKinsey & Company from 1972 to 1976. Prior to 1972, Mr. Brubaker was a U.S. Navy fighter pilot. Mr. Brubaker holds an MBA from the Harvard Business School and a BSE from Princeton University. Mr. Brubaker was selected to serve as a director on our Board due to his more than thirty years of experience in various mid-level and senior management positions at several corporations as well as his past service on our Board since our inception.

89

David A. R. Dullum. Mr. Dullum has served as a director since August 2001. Mr. Dullum has been a senior managing director of the Adviser since February 2008, a director of Gladstone Commercial since August 2003, and a director of Gladstone Investment since June 2005 and has served as Gladstone Investment since April 2008. Additionally, Mr. Dullum has served as our executive vice president since October 2010. From 1995 through June 2009, Mr. Dullum was a partner of New England Partners, a venture capital firm focused on investments in small and medium-sized business in the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions. From May 2005 to May 2008, Mr. Dullum served as the President and a director of Harbor Acquisition Corporation, an operating business with emphasis in the consumer and industrial sectors. From 1976 to 1990, Mr. Dullum was a managing general partner of Frontenac Company, a Chicago-based venture capital firm. Mr. Dullum holds an MBA from Stanford Graduate School of Business and a BME from the Georgia Institute of Technology. Mr. Dullum was selected to serve as a director on our Board due to his more than thirty years of experience in various areas of the investment industry as well as his past service on our Board since our inception.

Executive Officers Who Are Not Directors

Information regarding our executive officers who are not directors is as follows (the address for each executive officer is c/o Gladstone Capital Corporation, 1521 Westbranch Drive, Suite 100, McLean, Virginia 22102):

| Name | Age | Position |
|------------------|-----|-------------------------|
| Melissa Morrison | 40 | Chief Financial Officer |
| David Watson | 38 | Treasurer |

Melissa Morrison. Ms. Morrison served as our Chief Accounting Officer from November 2011 to April 2013 when she was appointed chief financial officer. From September 2007 to September 2011, Ms. Morrison served in various positions providing accounting and finance services including accounting and sales finance controller roles to Tandberg, Inc., which was acquired by Cisco Systems, Inc. in April 2010. Prior to September 2007, Ms. Morrison worked at PRA International, Inc. and Ericsson NetQual Inc. in accounting manager and assistant controller positions, respectively. Her career began as an auditor at PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLC. She received a BBA from The College of William and Mary and is a licensed CPA in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

David Watson. Mr. Watson served as our chief financial officer from January 2011 to April 2013 and has served as the chief financial officer of Gladstone Investment since January 2010. He also assumed treasurer responsibilities in January 2012 for both Gladstone Capital and Gladstone Investment. Prior to joining our company, from July 2007 until January 2010, Mr. Watson was Director of Portfolio Accounting of MCG Capital Corporation. Mr. Watson was employed by Capital Advisory Services, LLC, which subsequently was acquired by Navigant Consulting, Inc., where he held various positions providing finance and accounting consulting services from 2001 to 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Watson was an auditor at Deloitte and Touche. He received a BS from Washington and Lee University, an MBA from the University of Maryland s Smith School of Business, and is a licensed CPA in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Employment Agreements

We are not a party to any employment agreements. Messrs. Gladstone and Brubaker have entered into employment agreements with the Adviser, whereby they are direct employees of the Adviser.

Director Independence

As required under NASDAQ listing standards, our Board of Directors annually determines each director—s independence, and continually assesses the independence of each of the directors throughout the year. The NASDAQ listing standards provide that a director of a business development company is considered to be independent if he or she is not an interested person—of ours, as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act. Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act defines an interested person—to include, among other things, any person who has, or within the last two years had, a material business or professional relationship with us.

Consistent with these considerations, after review of all relevant transactions or relationships between each director, or any of his or her family members, and us, our senior management and our independent auditors, the Board has affirmatively determined that the following six directors are independent directors within the meaning of the applicable NASDAQ listing standards: Messrs. Adelgren, Outland, Parker, Earhart and Reilly and Ms. English. In making this determination, the Board found that none of these directors had a material or other disqualifying relationship with us. Mr. Gladstone, the chairman of our Board of Directors, chief executive officer and president, Mr. Brubaker, our vice chairman and chief operating officer and Mr. Dullum, our executive vice president, are not independent directors by virtue of their positions as our officers or as officers of the Adviser or their employment by the Adviser.

Corporate Leadership Structure

Mr. Gladstone has served as chairman of our Board and our chief executive officer and as our interim president since February 2013. Our Board believes that our chief executive officer is best situated to serve as chairman because he is the director most familiar with our business and industry, and most capable of effectively identifying strategic priorities and leading the discussion and execution of strategy. In addition, Mr. Adelgren, one of our independent directors, serves as the lead director for all meetings of our independent directors held in executive session. The lead director has the responsibility of presiding at all executive sessions of our Board, consulting with the chairman and chief executive officer on Board and committee meeting agendas, acting as a liaison between management and the independent directors and facilitating teamwork and communication between the independent directors and management.

Our Board believes the combined role of chairman and chief executive officer, together with an independent Lead Director, is in the best interest of stockholders because it provides the appropriate balance between strategic development and independent oversight of risk management. In coming to this conclusion, the Board considered the importance of having an interested chairperson that is familiar with our day-to-day management activities, our portfolio companies and the operations of the Adviser. The Board concluded that the combined role enhances, among other things, the Board s understanding of our investment portfolio, business, finances and risk management efforts. In addition, the Board believes that Mr. Gladstone s employment by the Adviser better allows for the efficient mobilization of the Adviser s resources at the Board s behest and on its behalf.

Committees of Our Board of Directors

Executive Committee. Membership of our executive committee is comprised of Messrs. Gladstone, Brubaker and Parker. The executive committee has the authority to exercise all powers of our Board of Directors except for actions that must be taken by a majority of independent directors or the full Board of Directors under the Maryland General Corporation Law, including electing our chairman and president. Mr. Gladstone serves as chairman of the executive committee. The executive committee did not meet during the last fiscal year.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors oversees our corporate accounting and financial reporting process. For this purpose, the Audit Committee performs several functions. The Audit Committee evaluates the performance of and assesses the qualifications of the independent registered public accounting firm; determines and approves the engagement of the independent registered public accounting firm; determines whether to retain or terminate the existing independent registered public accounting firm or to appoint and engage a new independent registered public accounting firm; reviews and approves the retention of the independent registered public accounting firm to perform any proposed permissible non-audit services; monitors the rotation of partners of the independent registered public accounting firm on our audit engagement team as required by law; confers with management and the independent registered public accounting firm regarding the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting; establishes procedures, as required under applicable law, for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by us regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters and the confidential and anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters; and meets to review our annual audited financial statements and quarterly financial statements with management and the independent registered public accounting firm, including reviewing our disclosures under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, the Audit Committee was comprised of Messrs, Parker, Reilly and Ms. English, The Audit Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Parker (Chairman) and Reilly and Ms. English. Messrs. Adelgren, Earhart and Outland serve as alternate members of the Audit Committee. Alternate members of the Audit Committee serve and participate in meetings of the Audit Committee only in the event of an absence of a regular member of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee met eight times during the last fiscal year. The Audit Committee has adopted a written charter that is available to stockholders on our website at www.gladstonecapital.com. Each member of the Audit Committee is independent (as independence is currently defined in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ listing standards). In addition Messrs. Adelgren, Earhart, Outland, Parker and Reilly and Ms. English each qualify as an audit committee financial expert under applicable SEC rules.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee operates pursuant to a written charter that is available to stockholders on our website at www.gladstonecapital.com. The Compensation Committee conducts periodic reviews of the Advisory Agreement and the Administration Agreement to evaluate whether the fees paid to the Adviser and the Administrator under the agreements are in the best interests of us and our stockholders. The committee considers in such periodic reviews, among other things, whether the fees paid to our Adviser and Administrator are reasonable in relation to the nature and qualities of the services being performed and whether the provisions of the

Table of Contents 146

91

Advisory and Administration Agreements are being satisfactorily performed. The Compensation Committee also reviews with management our Compensation Discussion and Analysis to consider whether to recommend that it be included in proxy statements and other filings. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, the Compensation Committee was composed of Messrs. Adelgren, Earhart and Outland. The Compensation Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Outland (Chairman), Adelgren and Earhart, who was appointed as a member in October 2012. Messrs. Parker and Reilly, and Ms. English serve as alternate members. Alternate members of the Compensation Committee serve and participate in meetings of the Compensation Committee only in the event of an absence of a regular member of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee met four times during the last fiscal year. The Compensation Committee has adopted a written charter that is available to stockholders on our website at www.gladstonecapital.com. Each member of the Compensation Committee is independence is currently defined in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ listing standards).

Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of our Board is responsible for identifying, reviewing and evaluating candidates to serve as our directors (consistent with criteria approved by our Board), reviewing and evaluating incumbent directors, recommending to our Board for selection candidates for election to our Board, making recommendations to our Board regarding the membership of the committees of our Board, assessing the performance of our Board, and developing our corporate governance principles. Our Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee charter can be found on our website at www.gladstonecapital.com. The Ethics Committee is currently composed of Messrs. Adelgren (Chairman), Outland and Earhart, who was appointed as a member in October 2012. Messrs. Parker, and Reilly, and Ms. English serve as alternate members. Each member of the Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is independent (as independence is currently defined in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ listing standards). The Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met four times during the last fiscal year. The Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has adopted a written charter that is available to stockholders on our website at www.gladstonecapital.com.

The Offering Committee. The Offering Committee, which is composed of Messrs. Gladstone (Chairman), Brubaker and Parker, with each of our other current and future directors who meet the independence requirements of NASDAQ serving as alternates for Mr. Parker, is responsible for assisting the Board in discharging its responsibilities regarding the offering from time to time of our securities. The Offering Committee has all powers of the Board that are necessary or appropriate and may lawfully be delegated to the Offering Committee in connection with an offering of our securities. Our Offering Committee was formed in January 2013, and operates pursuant to a written charter, which can be found in the Corporate Governance section of our website at www.gladstonecapital.com. The Offering Committee did not meet during the last fiscal year.

Qualifications for Director Candidates. The Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that candidates for director should have certain minimum qualifications, including being able to read and understand basic financial statements, being over 21 years of age and having the highest personal integrity and ethics. The Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also considers such factors as possessing relevant expertise upon which to be able to offer advice and guidance to management, having sufficient time to devote to our affairs, demonstrated excellence in his or her field, having the ability to exercise sound business judgment and having the commitment to rigorously represent the long-term interests of our stockholders. However, the Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee retains the right to modify these qualifications from time to time. Candidates for director nominees are reviewed in the context of the current composition of our Board, our operating requirements and the long-term interests of our stockholders.

Though we have no formal policy addressing diversity, the Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Board believe that diversity is an important attribute of directors and that our Board should be the culmination of an array of backgrounds and experiences and be capable of articulating a variety of viewpoints. Accordingly, the Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers in its review of director nominees factors such as values, disciplines, ethics, age, gender, race, culture, expertise, background and skills, all in the context of an assessment of the perceived needs of us and our Board at that point in time in order to maintain a balance of knowledge, experience and capability.

In the case of incumbent directors whose terms of office are set to expire, the Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews such directors—overall service to us during their term, including the number of meetings attended, level of participation, quality of performance, and any other relationships and transactions that might impair such directors—independence. In the case of new director candidates, the Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also determines whether such new nominee must be independent for NASDAQ purposes, which determination is based upon applicable NASDAQ listing standards, applicable SEC rules and regulations and the advice of counsel, if necessary. The Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee then uses its network of contacts to compile a list of potential candidates, but may also engage, if it deems appropriate, a professional search firm. The Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee conducts any appropriate and necessary inquiries into the backgrounds and qualifications of possible candidates after considering the function and needs of our Board. The Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee meets to discuss and consider such candidates qualifications and then selects a nominee for recommendation to our Board by majority vote. To date, the Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has not paid a fee to any third party to assist in the process of identifying or evaluating director candidates.

Table of Contents

Nominations made by stockholders must be made by written notice (setting forth the information required by our bylaws) received by the secretary of our company at least 120 days in advance of an annual meeting or within 10 days of the date on which notice of a special meeting for the election of directors is first given to our stockholders.

Meetings. During the fiscal year ended September 31, 2013, each member of our Board of Directors attended 75% or more of the aggregate of the meetings of our Board of Directors and of the committees on which he or she served.

93

Oversight of Risk Management

Since September 2007, Jack Dellafiora has served as our chief compliance officer and, in that position, Mr. Dellafiora directly oversees our enterprise risk management function and reports to our chief executive officer, the Audit Committee and our Board in this capacity. In fulfilling his risk management responsibilities, Mr. Dellafiora works closely with our internal counsel and other members of senior management including, among others, our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, treasurer and chief operating officer.

Our Board, in its entirety, plays an active role in overseeing management of our risks. Our Board regularly reviews information regarding our credit, liquidity and operations, as well as the risks associated with each. Each of the following committees of our Board plays a distinct role with respect to overseeing management of our risks:

Audit Committee: Our Audit Committee oversees the management of enterprise risks. To this end, our Audit Committee meets at least annually (i) to discuss our risk management guidelines, policies and exposures and (ii) with our independent registered public accounting firm to review our internal control environment and other risk exposures.

Compensation Committee: Our Compensation Committee oversees the management of risks relating to the fees paid to the Adviser and Administrator under the Advisory Agreement and the Administration Agreement, respectively. In fulfillment of this duty, the Compensation Committee meets at least annually to review these agreements. In addition, the Compensation Committee reviews the performance of the Adviser to determine whether the compensation paid to our executive officers was reasonable in relation to the nature and quality of services performed and whether the provisions of the Advisory Agreement were being satisfactorily performed.

Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee: Our Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee manages risks associated with the independence of our Board and potential conflicts of interest.

While each committee is responsible for evaluating certain risks and overseeing the management of such risks, the committees each report to our Board on a regular basis to apprise our Board regarding the status of remediation efforts of known risks and of any new risks that may have arisen since the previous report.

Summary of Compensation

Executive Compensation

None of our executive officers receive direct compensation from us. We do not currently have any employees and do not expect to have any employees in the foreseeable future. The services necessary for the operation of our business are provided to us by our officers and the other employees of the Adviser and Administrator, pursuant to the terms of the Advisory and Administration Agreements, respectively. Mr. Gladstone, our chairman and chief executive officer, and Mr. Brubaker, our vice chairman and chief operating officer are employees of and compensated directly by the Adviser. Our treasurer, Mr. Watson, and our chief financial officer, Melissa Morrison, are employees of the Administrator. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, our allocable portion of Ms. Morrison s compensation paid by our Administrator was approximately \$34,800 of her salary, \$10,700 of her bonus, and \$6,200 of the cost of her benefits and our allocable portion of Mr. Watson s compensation paid by our Administrator was \$21,977.45 of his salary, \$6,144.53 of his bonus, and \$3,631.26 of the cost of his benefits.

Compensation of Directors

The following table shows, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, compensation awarded to or paid to our current directors who are not executive officers, which we refer to as our non-employee directors, for all services rendered to us during this period. No compensation is paid to directors who are our executive officers for their service on the Board of Directors. We do not issue stock options and therefore have no information to report relating to stock option grants and exercises for our directors.

| | | Total | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | Compensation | | |
| | Aggregate | from Fund and Fund Complex Paid to | | |
| | Compensation from Fund | | | |
| Name | (\$) | Directors (\$)(1) | | |
| Paul W. Adelgren | \$ 33,000 | \$ 97,000 | | |
| John Reilly | \$ 33,000 | \$ 93,000 | | |
| Michela A. English | \$ 32,000 | \$ 93,000 | | |
| John H. Outland | \$ 33,000 | \$ 97,000 | | |
| Anthony W. Parker | \$ 35,000 | \$ 102,000 | | |
| Terry Earhart(2) | \$ 30,000 | \$ 105,000 | | |

- (1) Includes compensation the director received from Gladstone Investment, as part of our Fund Complex. Also includes compensation the director received from Gladstone Commercial, our affiliate and a real estate investment trust, and Gladstone Land Corporation, our affiliate and an agricultural real estate company, although not part of our Fund Complex.
- (2) Mr. Earhart joined the Board of Directors in October 2012.

As compensation for serving on our Board of Directors, each of our independent directors receives an annual fee of \$20,000, an additional \$1,000 for each Board of Directors meeting attended, and an additional \$1,000 for each committee meeting attended if such committee meeting takes place on a day other than when the full Board of Directors meets. In addition, the chairperson of the Audit Committee receives an annual fee of \$3,000, and the chairpersons of each of the Compensation and Ethics, Nominating and Corporate Governance committees receive annual fees of \$1,000 for their additional services in these capacities. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, we paid total cash compensation of \$196,000 to our non-employee directors. We also reimburse our directors for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending Board of Directors and committee meetings.

We do not pay any compensation to directors who also serve as our officers, or as officers or directors of the Adviser or the Administrator, in consideration for their service to us. Our Board of Directors may change the compensation of our independent directors in its discretion. None of our independent directors received any compensation from us during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 other than for Board of Directors or committee service and meeting fees.

Certain Transactions

Investment Advisory and Management Agreement

Management Services

The Adviser is a Delaware corporation registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended. Subject to the overall supervision of our Board of Directors, the Adviser provides investment advisory and management services to us. Under the terms of our Advisory Agreement, the Adviser has investment discretion with respect to our capital and, in that regard:

determines the composition of our portfolio, the nature and timing of the changes to our portfolio, and the manner of implementing such changes;

identifies, evaluates, and negotiates the structure of the investments we make (including performing due diligence on our prospective portfolio companies);

closes and monitors the investments we make; and

makes available on our behalf, and provides if requested, managerial assistance to our portfolio companies.

The Adviser s services under the Advisory Agreement are not exclusive, and it is free to furnish similar services to other entities so long as its services to us are not impaired.

Portfolio Management

The Adviser takes a team approach to portfolio management; however, the following persons are primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of our portfolio and comprise the Adviser s investment committee: David Gladstone and Terry Lee Brubaker, whom we refer to collectively as the Portfolio Managers. Our investment decisions are made on our behalf by the investment committee of the Adviser by unanimous decision.

Mr. Gladstone has served as the chairman and the chief executive officer of the Adviser, since he founded the Adviser in 2002, along with Mr. Brubaker. Mr. Brubaker has served as the vice chairman and chief operating officer of the Adviser since 2002 and served as secretary of the Adviser from 2002 to February 2011. For more complete biographical information on Messrs. Gladstone and Brubaker, please see *Management Interested Directors*.

The Portfolio Managers are all officers or directors, or both, of the Adviser and the Administrator. David Gladstone is the controlling stockholder of the parent company of the Adviser and Administrator. Although we believe that the terms of the Advisory Agreement are no less favorable to us than those that could be obtained from unaffiliated third parties in arms length transactions, the Adviser and its officers and its directors have a material interest in the terms of this agreement. Based on an analysis of publicly available information, the Board believes that the terms and the fees payable under the Advisory Agreement are similar to those of the agreements between other business development companies that do not maintain equity incentive plans and their external investment advisers.

The Adviser provides investment advisory services to other investment funds in the Gladstone Companies. As such, the Portfolio Managers also are primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the portfolios of other pooled investment vehicles in the Gladstone Companies that are managed by the Adviser. As of the date hereof, Messrs. Gladstone and Brubaker are primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of Gladstone Land, a publicly traded agricultural real estate company, Messrs. Gladstone, Brubaker, and Dullum are primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the portfolios of Gladstone Investment, another publicly-traded business development company and Messrs. Gladstone, Brubaker and Cutlip (the president of Gladstone Commercial) are primarily responsible for the day to day management of Gladstone Commercial, a publicly-traded real estate investment trust. As of September 30, 2013, the Adviser had an aggregate of approximately \$1.4 billion in total assets under management.

Possible Conflicts of Interest

Our Portfolio Managers provide investment advisory services and serve as officers, directors or principals of certain of the other Gladstone Companies, which operate in the same or a related line of business as we do. Accordingly, they have corresponding obligations to investors in those entities. For example, Mr. Gladstone, our chairman, chief executive officer and president, is chairman of the board and chief executive officer of the Adviser, Gladstone Investment, Gladstone Commercial, and Gladstone Land with management responsibilities for the other members of the Gladstone Companies, other than Gladstone Securities, where he sits on the board of managers as an outside non-employee manager. In addition, Mr. Brubaker, our vice chairman and chief operating officer, is vice chairman and chief operating officer of the Adviser, Gladstone Land, Gladstone Investment and Gladstone Commercial. Moreover, currently and increasingly due to the limited revision of our investment objectives and strategies in October 2012, our investment objectives and strategies will overlap with those of Gladstone Investment. Accordingly Gladstone Investment and other investment vehicles that we may establish from time to time with overlapping investment objectives and strategies may invest in asset classes similar to those targeted by us. While the Adviser generally has broad authority to make investments on behalf of the investment vehicles that it advises, the Adviser has adopted investment allocation procedures to address these potential conflicts and intends to direct investment opportunities to the member of the Gladstone Companies with the investment strategy that most closely fits the investment opportunity. Where the investment opportunity fits into the investment objectives and strategies of more than one fund, the Adviser and the upper management of each such fund consider additional suitability factors in allocating the investment, including, but not limited to, leverage, diversification and availability of capital. Nevertheless, the Portfolio Managers may face conflicts in the allocation of investment opportunities to other entities managed by the Adviser.

In certain circumstances, we may make investments in a portfolio company in which one of our affiliates has or will have an investment, subject to satisfaction of any regulatory restrictions and, where required, to the prior approval of our Board of Directors. As of September 30, 2013, our Board of Directors has approved the following types of co-investment transactions:

Our affiliate, Gladstone Commercial, may, under certain circumstances, lease property to portfolio companies that we do not control. We may pursue such transactions only if (i) the portfolio company is not controlled by us or any of our affiliates, (ii) the portfolio company satisfies the tenant underwriting criteria of Gladstone Commercial, and (iii) the transaction is

approved by a majority of our independent directors and a majority of the independent directors of Gladstone Commercial. We expect that any such negotiations between Gladstone Commercial and our portfolio companies would result in lease terms consistent with the terms that the portfolio companies would be likely to receive were they not portfolio companies of ours.

We may invest simultaneously with our affiliate Gladstone Investment in senior syndicated loans whereby neither we nor any affiliate has the ability to dictate the terms of the loans.

Additionally, pursuant to an exemptive order granted by the SEC in July 2012, under certain circumstances, we may co-invest with Gladstone Investment and any future BDC or closed-end management investment company that is advised by the Adviser (or sub-advised by the Adviser if it controls the fund), or any combination of the foregoing, subject to the conditions included therein.

96

Table of Contents

Portfolio Manager Compensation

The Portfolio Managers receive compensation from the Adviser in the form of a base salary plus a bonus. Each of the Portfolio Managers base salaries is determined by a review of salary surveys for persons with comparable experience who are serving in comparable capacities in the industry. Each Portfolio Manager s base salary is set and reviewed yearly. Like all employees of the Adviser, a Portfolio Manager s bonus is tied to the performance of the Adviser and the entities that it advises. A Portfolio Manager s bonus increases or decreases when the Adviser s income increases or decreases. The Adviser s income, in turn, is directly tied to the management and performance fees earned in managing its investment funds, including Gladstone Capital. Pursuant to the Advisory Agreement, the Adviser receives an incentive fee based on net investment income in excess of the hurdle rates and capital gains as set out in the Advisory Agreement.

All compensation of the Portfolio Managers from the Adviser takes the form of cash. The Portfolio Managers are also portfolio managers for other members of the Gladstone Companies, one of which (Gladstone Commercial) previously had a stock option plan through which the Portfolio Managers have previously received options to purchase stock of Gladstone Commercial. Gladstone Commercial terminated its stock option plan effective December 31, 2006. We also previously had a stock option plan, but it was terminated effective September 30, 2006. These plan terminations were effected in connection with the implementation of new advisory agreements between each of us and Gladstone Commercial with the Adviser, which have been approved by our respective stockholders. All outstanding, unexercised options under our plan were terminated effective September 30, 2006, and all outstanding, unexercised options under the Gladstone Commercial plan were terminated effective December 31, 2006.

Investment Advisory and Management Agreement and Administration Agreement

We are externally managed pursuant to contractual arrangements with the Adviser, under which the Adviser has directly employed our personnel and paid our payroll, benefits, and general expenses directly. The management services and fees in effect under the Advisory Agreement are described below. In addition, we pay our direct expenses including, but not limited to, directors fees, legal and accounting fees and stockholder related expenses under the Advisory Agreement.

The principal executive office of each of the Adviser and the Administrator is located at 1521 Westbranch Drive, Suite 100, McLean, Virginia 22102.

Management services and fees under the Advisory Agreement

Under the Advisory Agreement, we pay the Adviser an annual base management fee of 2% of our average gross assets, which is defined as total assets, including investments made with proceeds of borrowings, less any uninvested cash or cash equivalents resulting from borrowings, valued at the end of the two most recently completed calendar quarters, and appropriately adjusted for any share issuances or repurchases during the current calendar quarter.

We also pay the Adviser a two-part incentive fee under the Advisory Agreement. The first part of the incentive fee is an income-based incentive fee which rewards the Adviser if our quarterly net investment income (before giving effect to any incentive fee) exceeds the hurdle rate. We pay the Adviser an income-based incentive fee with respect to our pre-incentive fee net investment income in each calendar quarter as follows:

no incentive fee in any calendar quarter in which our pre-incentive fee net investment income does not exceed the hurdle rate (7% annualized);

100% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income with respect to that portion of such pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 2.1875% in any calendar quarter (8.75% annualized); and

20% of the amount of our pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds 2.1875% in any calendar quarter (8.75% annualized).

97

Quarterly Incentive Fee Based on Net Investment Income

Pre-incentive fee net investment income

(expressed as a percentage of the value of net assets)

Percentage of pre-incentive fee net investment income

allocated to income-based portion of incentive fee

The second part of the incentive fee is a capital gains-based incentive fee that is determined and payable in arrears as of the end of each fiscal year (or upon termination of the Advisory Agreement, as of the termination date), equals 20% of our realized capital gains as of the end of the fiscal year. In determining the capital gains-based incentive fee payable to the Adviser, we calculate the cumulative aggregate realized capital gains and cumulative aggregate realized capital losses since our inception, and the aggregate unrealized capital depreciation as of the date of the calculation, as applicable, with respect to each of the investments in our portfolio. For this purpose, cumulative aggregate realized capital gains, if any, equals the sum of the differences between the net sales price of each investment, when sold, and the original cost of such investment since our inception. Cumulative aggregate realized capital losses equals the sum of the amounts by which the net sales price of each investment, when sold, is less than the original cost of such investment since our inception. Aggregate unrealized capital depreciation equals the sum of the difference, if negative, between the valuation of each investment as of the applicable calculation date and the original cost of such investment. At the end of the applicable year, the amount of capital gains that serves as the basis for our calculation of the capital gains-based incentive fee equals the cumulative aggregate realized capital gains less cumulative aggregate realized capital losses, less aggregate unrealized capital depreciation, with respect to our portfolio of investments. If this number is positive at the end of such year, then the capital gains-based incentive fee for such year equals 20% of such amount, less the aggregate amount of any capital gains-based incentive fees paid in respect of our portfolio in all prior years.

Beginning in April 2006, our Board of Directors has accepted from the Adviser unconditional and irrevocable voluntary waivers on a quarterly basis to reduce the annual 2.0% base management fee on senior syndicated loan participations to 0.5%, to the extent that proceeds resulting from borrowings were used to purchase such syndicated loan participations. These waivers were applied through September 30, 2013.

The Adviser may provide services to our portfolio companies, and receive fees for such services, other than managerial assistance, under other agreements. We credit 100% of these fees against the base management fee that we would otherwise be required to pay to the Adviser.

We pay our direct expenses including, but not limited to, directors fees, legal and accounting fees, stockholder-related expenses, and directors and officers insurance under the Advisory Agreement.

During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011, we incurred total fees of approximately \$8.4 million, \$9.8 million and \$9.7 million, respectively, to the Adviser under the Advisory Agreement.

Duration and Termination

Unless terminated earlier as described below, the Advisory Agreement will remain in effect from year to year if approved annually by our Board of Directors or by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our outstanding voting securities, including, in either case, approval by a majority of our directors who are not interested persons. On July 9, 2013, we renewed the Advisory Agreement through August 31, 2014. The Advisory Agreement will automatically terminate in the event of its assignment. The Advisory Agreement may be terminated by either party without penalty upon 60 days written notice to the other. See *Risk Factors Risks Related to Our External Management We are dependent upon our key management personnel and the key management personnel of the Adviser, particularly David Gladstone and Terry Lee Brubaker, and on the continued operations of the Adviser, for our future success.*

Table of Contents 156

98

Table of Contents

Administration Agreement

Pursuant to the Administration Agreement, the Administrator furnishes us with clerical, bookkeeping and record keeping services and the Administrator also performs, or oversees the performance of, our required administrative services, which include, among other things, being responsible for the financial records which we are required to maintain and preparing reports to our stockholders and reports filed with the SEC. In addition, the Administrator assists us in determining and publishing our net asset value, oversees the preparation and filing of our tax returns, the printing and dissemination of reports to our stockholders, and generally oversees the payment of our expenses and the performance of administrative and professional services rendered to us by others. Payments under the Administration Agreement are generally equal to an amount based upon our allocable portion of the Administrator's overhead in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement, including rent and our allocable portion of the salaries and benefits expenses of our chief financial officer, chief accounting officer, chief compliance officer, internal counsel and their respective staffs. On July 9, 2013, we renewed the Administration Agreement through August 31, 2014

During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we incurred total fees of approximately \$0.7 million, \$0.8 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, to the Administrator under the Administration Agreement.

Based on an analysis of publicly available information, the Board believes that the terms and the fees payable under the Advisory and Administration Agreements are similar to those of the agreements between other business development companies that do not maintain equity incentive plans and their external investment advisers. David Gladstone, Terry Lee Brubaker and David A. R. Dullum are all officers or directors, or both, of the Adviser and the Administrator. David Gladstone is the controlling stockholder of the Adviser. Although we believe that the terms of the Advisory and Administration Agreements are no less favorable to us than those that could be obtained from unaffiliated third parties in arms length transactions, the Adviser and its officers and its directors have a material interest in the terms of these agreements.

Consulting Services Agreements

As a business development company, we make available significant managerial assistance to our portfolio companies and provide other services to such portfolio companies. Neither we nor the Adviser currently receives fees in connection with managerial assistance. The Adviser provides other services to our portfolio companies and receives fees for these other services.

On October 25, 2010, the Adviser received a payment of \$277,015 from Lindmark Acquisition, LLC, or Lindmark, a wholly-owned portfolio company of ours which we own through one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Lindmark Holdings Corp., in connection with the performance of certain consulting services rendered from March 18, 2009 through March 31, 2010 pursuant to that certain Consulting Agreement between the Adviser and Lindmark, effective October 10, 2010 and that certain Engagement Letter Agreement between the Adviser and Lindmark Outdoor Advertising, LLC, dated November 19, 2009. Beginning with April 1, 2010, Lindmark began receiving current invoices and remitted payment for such in a timely manner. Payments for services rendered beginning April 1, 2010 and ending September 30, 2010 totaled \$40,000. Payments for services rendered during the year ended September 30, 2011 totaled \$8,698. There were no services rendered in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

On October 29, 2010, the Adviser received a payment of \$213,191 from BERTL, Inc., or Bertl, one of our previously wholly-owned portfolio companies, in connection with the performance of certain consulting services rendered from March 19, 2009 through June 30, 2010 pursuant to that certain Engagement Letter Agreement, dated March 19, 2009 between Bertl and the Adviser. Beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2010, Bertl began receiving current quarterly invoices from the Adviser for the provision of such services and paid current through the quarter ended September 30, 2010. Payments for services rendered during the year ended September 30, 2010 totaled \$7,800. There were no services rendered in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 or September 30, 2012.

Loan Servicing Agreement

The Adviser services the loans pledged under our credit facility pursuant to a loan servicing agreement with our wholly-owned subsidiary, Business Loan, in return for a 1.5% annual fee, based on the monthly aggregate outstanding loan

99

Table of Contents

balance of the loans pledged under our credit facility. Loan servicing fees paid to the Adviser under this agreement directly reduce the amount of fees payable under the Advisory Agreement. Loan servicing fees of approximately \$3.7 million, \$3.6 million and \$3.4 million were incurred for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, all of which were directly credited against the amount of the base management fee due to the Adviser under the Advisory Agreement.

Loans

At September 30, 2013, we had one loan outstanding to Laura Gladstone, a managing director of our Adviser and the daughter of Mr. Gladstone, in the remaining principal amount of \$175,010. This loan is due on July 13, 2015 and accrues interest at 8.26% per annum. Interest on this loan is due quarterly and Ms. Gladstone has made each of her quarterly interest payments to date. This loan is evidenced by a full recourse promissory note and was issued in connection with the exercise of stock options under our former Amended and Restated 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, which was terminated on September 30, 2006 (the 2001 Plan), by Ms. Gladstone. The loan was made on terms available to all eligible participants under the 2001 Plan and is secured by the shares of common stock purchased upon the exercise of the options. The loan meets the requirements set forth in Section 57(j) of the 1940 Act and is fully collateralized at all times. Mr. Gladstone has not received, nor will he receive in the future, any direct or indirect benefit from this loan.

In the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, Mr. Gladstone paid off the remaining outstanding principal balance of \$2,749,004 on his loan that was due and payable in cash on August 23, 2010 and was in default at that time. This loan was also originally issued in connection with the exercise of stock options by Mr. Gladstone under the 2001 Plan and made on terms available to all eligible participants under the 2001 Plan. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 prohibits us from making loans to our executive officers, although certain loans outstanding prior to July 30, 2002, including the promissory note we received from Mr. Gladstone on August 23, 2001, were expressly exempted from this prohibition. In addition, at all times that the loan was outstanding, it met the requirements set forth in Section 57(j) of the 1940 Act.

On September 7, 2010, each of Mr. Gladstone and Ms. Gladstone executed a redemption agreement with us, each of which provides that, pursuant to the terms and conditions thereof, we will automatically accept and retire the shares of our common stock pledged as collateral for their loans in partial or full satisfaction, as applicable, of Mr. Gladstone s or Ms. Gladstone s obligations to us under the loans that are in default at such time that the trading price of our common stock reaches \$15 per share, if ever. In entering into the redemption agreements, we reserved all of our existing rights under the promissory notes and related pledge agreements, including but not limited to the ability to foreclose on the shares of common stock pledged as collateral for the loans, or additional pledged collateral, at any time. Mr. Gladstone s redemption agreement was terminated upon the payment of his loan in full.

Indemnification

In our articles of incorporation and bylaws, we have agreed to indemnify certain officers and directors by providing, among other things, that we will indemnify such officer or director, under the circumstances and to the extent provided for therein, for expenses, damages, judgments, fines and settlements he or she may be required to pay in actions or proceedings which he or she is or may be made a party by reason of his or her position as our director, officer or other agent, to the fullest extent permitted under Maryland law and our bylaws. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the indemnification provisions shall not protect any officer or director from liability to us or our stockholders as a result of any action that would constitute willful misfeasance, bad faith or gross negligence in the performance of such officer s or director s duties, or reckless disregard of his or her obligations and duties.

100

Table of Contents

Each of the Advisory and Administration Agreements provide that, absent willful misfeasance, bad faith or gross negligence in the performance of their duties or by reason of the reckless disregard of their duties and obligations (as the same may be determined in accordance with the 1940 Act and any interpretations or guidance by the SEC or its staff thereunder), the Adviser, the Administrator and their respective officers, managers, agents, employees, controlling persons, members and any other person or entity affiliated with them are entitled to indemnification from us for any damages, liabilities, costs and expenses (including reasonable attorneys fees and amounts reasonably paid in settlement) arising from the rendering of the Adviser s or Administrator s services under the Advisory or Administration Agreements or otherwise as an investment adviser of ours.

101

CONTROL PERSONS AND PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the ownership of the common and preferred stock of the Company as of November 25, 2013, by: (i) each current director; (ii) each of our named executive officers; (iii) all of our executive officers and directors as a group; and (iv) all those known by us to be beneficial owners of more than 5% of our common stock. None of our executive officers or directors own shares of our 7.125% Series 2016 Term Preferred Stock, or Term Preferred Stock, and, to our knowledge, no person beneficially owns more than 5% of our Term Preferred Stock. Except as otherwise noted, the address of the individuals below is c/o Gladstone Capital Corporation, 1521 Westbranch Drive, Suite 100, McLean, VA 22102. As of November 25, 2013, no independent director (or his/her immediate family members) owned securities of our Adviser.

Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock(1)(2)

| | Number of | |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Name and Address | Common Shares | Percent of Total |
| Directors: | | |
| David Gladstone | 1,164,794 | 5.5% |
| Terry Lee Brubaker | 123,883 | * |
| Paul W. Adelgren | 5,860 | * |
| David A.R. Dullum | 2,000 | * |
| Terry Earhart | 0 | * |
| Michela A. English | 1,588 | * |
| John H. Outland | 1,670 | * |
| Anthony W. Parker | 0 | * |
| John D. Reilly | 3,400 | * |
| Named Executive Officers (that are not also Directors): | | |
| Melissa Morrison | 125 | * |
| All executive officers and directors as a group (11 persons) | 1,303,421 | 6.2% |

^{*} Less than 1%

102

⁽¹⁾ This table is based upon information supplied by executive officers, directors and principal stockholders. Unless otherwise indicated in the footnotes to this table and subject to community property laws where applicable, we believe that each of the stockholders named in this table has sole voting and sole investment power with respect to the shares indicated as beneficially owned. Applicable percentages are based on 21,000,160 shares of common stock outstanding on November 25, 2013. No executive officers or directors held shares of our preferred stock as of November 25, 2013, nor was anyone a principal stockholder of our preferred stock on such date.

⁽²⁾ Ownership calculated in accordance with Rule 13d-3 of the 1934 Act.

The following table sets forth, as of November 25, 2013, the dollar range of equity securities that are beneficially owned by each of our directors in the Company and in both the Company and Gladstone Investment Corporation in the aggregate. Gladstone Investment Corporation is our affiliate and a business development company that is also externally managed by our Adviser.

| | Dollar Range of Equity Securities of the Company Owned by | Aggregate Dollar Range of Equity Securities in All Funds Overseen or to be Overseen by Director or Nominee in Family of Investment |
|------------------------|---|--|
| Name | Directors or Nominees(1)(2) | Companies(1)(2) |
| Interested Directors: | | |
| David Gladstone | Over \$100,000 | Over \$100,000 |
| Terry Lee Brubaker | Over \$100,000 | Over \$100,000 |
| David A.R. Dullum | \$10,001-\$50,000 | Over \$100,000 |
| Independent Directors: | | |
| Paul W. Adelgren | \$50,001-\$100,000 | Over \$100,000 |
| Terry Earhart | None | \$10,001-\$50,000 |
| Michela A. English | \$1-\$10,000 | \$50,001-\$100,000 |
| John H. Outland | \$1-\$10,000 | \$50,001-\$100,000 |
| Anthony W. Parker | None | Over \$100,000 |
| John D. Reilly | \$1-\$10,000 | Over \$100,000 |

⁽¹⁾ Ownership is calculated in accordance with Rule 16a-1(a)(2) of the 1934 Act.

103

⁽²⁾ The dollar range of equity securities beneficially owned is calculated by multiplying the closing price of the respective class as reported on The NASDAQ as of November 25, 2013, by the number of shares of the respective class so beneficially owned and aggregated accordingly.

Gladstone Commercial Corporation, our affiliate and a real estate investment trust, is also managed by our Adviser. The following table sets forth certain information regarding the ownership of the common and preferred stock of Gladstone Commercial as of November 25, 2013, by each independent incumbent director and nominee. As of November 25, 2013, none of our independent directors owns any class of stock of Gladstone Commercial Corporation, other than those classes listed below.

| | | Number of 7.125% Series | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------|
| | Number | C Cumulative | | | | |
| Name | of Common Shares | Percent of Class of Common Shares | Term Preferred Stock | Percent of Class of Term Preferred Shares | Value of Securities(\$)(1) | |
| Independent Directors: | | | | | | |
| Paul W. Adelgren | 4,523 | * | 0 | 0 | \$ | 81,958 |
| Michela A. English | 2,111 | * | 0 | 0 | \$ | 38,253 |
| Terry Earhart | 0 | * | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| John H. Outland | 1,592 | * | 0 | 0 | \$ | 28,847 |
| Anthony W. Parker | 20,541 | * | 0 | 0 | \$ | 372,220 |
| John D. Reilly | 2,000 | * | 22,281(2) | 14.5% | \$ | 615,546 |

- * Less than 1%
- (1) Ownership calculated in accordance with Rule 16a-1(a)(2) of the 1934 Act. The value of securities beneficially owned is calculated by multiplying the closing price of the respective class as reported on The NASDAQ as of November 25, 2013, by the number of shares of the respective class so beneficially owned and aggregated accordingly.
- (2) Includes 2,700 shares held by Mr. Reilly s daughter.

Gladstone Land Corporation, our affiliate and an agricultural real estate company, is also managed by our Adviser. The following table sets forth certain information regarding the ownership of the common stock of Gladstone Land as of November 25, 2013, by each independent incumbent director and nominee.

| Name Independent Directors: | Number of Common Shares | Percent of Class of Common Shares | Value of Securities(\$)(1) | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------|
| • | | | | |
| Paul W. Adelgren | 3,151 | * | \$ | 51,268 |
| Michela A. English | 1,030 | * | \$ | 16,760 |
| Terry Earhart | 2,000 | * | \$ | 32,540 |
| John H. Outland | 1,500 | * | \$ | 24,405 |
| Anthony W. Parker | 4,700 | * | \$ | 76,469 |
| John D. Reilly | 1,000 | * | \$ | 16,270 |

- * Less than 1%
- (1) Ownership calculated in accordance with Rule 16a-1(a)(2) of the 1934 Act. The value of securities beneficially owned is calculated by multiplying the closing price of the respective class as reported on The NASDAQ as of November 25, 2013, by the number of shares of the respective class so beneficially owned and aggregated accordingly.

104

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

We have adopted a dividend reinvestment plan that provides for reinvestment of our distributions on behalf of our common and preferred stockholders upon their election as provided below. As a result, if our Board of Directors authorizes, and we declare, a cash dividend, then our stockholders who have opted in to our dividend reinvestment plan will not receive cash dividends but, instead, such cash dividends will automatically be reinvested in additional shares.

Pursuant to our dividend reinvestment plan, if your shares are registered in your own name you can have all distributions reinvested in additional shares by Computershare, Inc., the plan agent, if you enroll in the dividend reinvestment plan by delivering an authorization form to the plan agent prior to the corresponding dividend declaration date. The plan agent will effect purchases of such shares under the dividend reinvestment plan in the open market. If you do not elect to participate in the dividend reinvestment plan, you will receive all distributions in cash paid by check mailed directly to you (or if you hold your shares in street or other nominee name, then to your nominee) as of the relevant record date, by the plan agent, as our dividend disbursing agent. If your shares are held in the name of a broker or nominee or if you are transferring such an account to a new broker or nominee, you should contact the broker or nominee to determine how you may participate in a dividend reinvestment plan.

The plan agent serves as agent for the holders of our common and preferred stock in administering the dividend reinvestment plan. After we declare a dividend, the plan agent will, as agent for the participants, receive the cash payment and use it to buy common stock on the NASDAQ or elsewhere for the participants accounts. The price of the shares will be the average market price at which such shares were purchased by the plan agent.

Participants in the dividend reinvestment plan may withdraw from the dividend reinvestment plan upon written notice to the plan agent. Such withdrawal will be effective immediately if received not less than ten days prior to a dividend record date; otherwise, it will be effective the day after the related dividend distribution date. When a participant withdraws from the dividend reinvestment plan or upon termination of the dividend reinvestment plan as provided below, certificates for whole shares of common stock credited to his or her account under the dividend reinvestment plan will be issued and a cash payment will be made for any fractional share of common stock credited to such account.

The plan agent will maintain each participant s account in the dividend reinvestment plan and will furnish monthly written confirmations of all transactions in such account, including information needed by the stockholder for personal and tax records. Common stock in the account of each dividend reinvestment plan participant will be held by the plan agent in non-certificated form in the name of such participant. Proxy materials relating to our stockholders meetings will include those shares purchased as well as shares held pursuant to the dividend reinvestment plan.

We pay the plan agent s fees for the handling or reinvestment of dividends and other distributions. Each participant in the dividend reinvestment plan pays a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred with respect to the plan agent s open market purchases in connection with the reinvestment of distributions. There are no other charges to participants for reinvesting distributions.

Distributions are taxable whether paid in cash or reinvested in additional shares, and the reinvestment of distributions pursuant to the dividend reinvestment plan will not relieve participants of any U.S. federal income tax or state income tax that may be payable or required to be withheld on such distributions. For more information regarding taxes that our stockholders may be required to pay, see *Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations*.

Experience under the dividend reinvestment plan may indicate that changes are desirable. Accordingly, we reserve the right to amend or terminate the dividend reinvestment plan as applied to any distribution paid subsequent to written notice of the change sent to participants in the dividend reinvestment plan at least 90 days before the record date for the distribution. The dividend reinvestment plan also may be amended or terminated by the plan agent with our prior written consent, on at least 90 days written notice to participants in the dividend reinvestment plan. All correspondence concerning the reinvestment plan should be directed to the plan agent, Computershare, Inc., at Computershare CIP c/o Computershare Investor Services, by mail at P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078 or by phone at 866-214-7543 (U.S. and Canada), 201-680-6578 (Outside of U.S. and Canada).

105

MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

Regulated Investment Company Status

In order to maintain our qualification for treatment as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code, we generally must distribute to our stockholders, for each taxable year, at least 90% of our investment company taxable income, which is generally our ordinary income plus the excess of our realized net short-term capital gains over our realized net long-term losses. We refer to this as the annual distribution requirement. We must also meet several additional requirements, including:

Business Development Company Status. At all times during each taxable year, we must maintain our status as a business development company;

Income source requirements. At least 90% of our gross income for each taxable year must be from dividends, interest, payments with respect to securities loans, gains from sales or other dispositions of securities or other income derived with respect to our business of investing in securities, and net income derived from interests in qualified publicly traded partnerships; and

Asset diversification requirements. As of the close of each quarter of our taxable year: (1) at least 50% of the value of our assets must consist of cash, cash items, U.S. government securities, the securities of other regulated investment companies and other securities to the extent that (a) we do not hold more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of an issuer of such other securities and (b) such other securities of any one issuer do not represent more than 5% of our total assets, and (2) no more than 25% of the value of our total assets may be invested in the securities of one issuer (other than U.S. government securities or the securities of other regulated investment companies), or of two or more issuers that are controlled by us and are engaged in the same or similar or related trades or businesses or in the securities of one or more qualified publicly traded partnerships.

Failure to Qualify as a RIC. If we are unable to qualify for treatment as a RIC and we do not qualify for certain relief provisions, we will be subject to tax on all of our taxable income at regular corporate rates. We would not be able to deduct distributions to stockholders, nor would we be required to make such distributions. Distributions would be taxable to our stockholders as dividend income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits. Subject to certain limitations under the Code, corporate distributees would be eligible for the dividends received deduction. Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits would be treated first as a return of capital to the extent of the stockholder s tax basis, and then as a gain realized from the sale or exchange of property. If we fail to meet the RIC requirements for more than two consecutive years and then seek to re-qualify as a RIC, we would be required to recognize a gain to the extent of any unrealized appreciation on our assets unless we make a special election to pay corporate-level tax on any such unrealized appreciation recognized during the succeeding 10-year period. Absent such special election, any gain we recognized would be deemed distributed to our stockholders as a taxable distribution.

Qualification as a RIC. If we qualify as a RIC and distribute to stockholders each year in a timely manner at least 90% of our investment company taxable income, we will not be subject to federal income tax on the portion of our taxable income and gains we distribute to stockholders. We would, however, be subject to a 4% nondeductible federal excise tax if we do not distribute, actually or on a deemed basis, an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98% of our ordinary income for the calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gain net income for the one-year period ending on October 31 of such calendar year and (3) any ordinary income and capital gain net income for preceding years that were not distributed during such years. The excise tax would apply only to the amount by which the required distributions exceed the amount of income we distribute, actually or on a deemed basis, to stockholders. We will be subject to regular corporate income tax, currently at rates up to 35%, on any undistributed income, including both ordinary income and capital gains. We intend to retain some or all of our long-term capital gains, but to designate the retained amount as a deemed distribution. In that case, among other consequences, we will pay tax on the retained amount, each stockholder will be required to include its share of the deemed distribution in income as if it had been actually distributed to the stockholder and the stockholder will be entitled to claim a credit or refund equal to its allocable share of the tax we pay on the retained long-term capital gain. The amount of the deemed distribution net of such tax will be added to the stockholder s cost basis for its common stock. Since we expect to pay tax on any retained long-term capital gains at our regular corporate capital gain tax rate, and since that rate is in excess of the maximum rate currently payable by individuals on long-term capital gains, the amount of tax that individual stockholders will be treated as having paid will exceed the tax they owe on the capital gain dividend and such excess may be claimed as a credit or refund against the stockholder s other tax obligations. A stockholder that is not subject to U.S. federal income tax or tax on long-term capital gains would be required to file a U.S. federal income tax return on the appropriate form in order to claim a refund for the taxes we paid. We will also be subject to alternative minimum tax, but any tax preference items would be apportioned between us and our stockholders in the same proportion that

distributions, other than capital gain dividends, paid to each stockholder bear to our taxable income determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction.

106

From time to time, we acquire debt obligations that are issued at a discount, which may include loans we make that are accompanied by warrants, that bear interest at rates that are not either fixed rates or certain qualified variable rates or that are not unconditionally payable at least annually over the life of the obligation. In such cases, we are required to include in taxable income each year a portion of the original issue discount, or OID, that accrues over the life of the obligation. Such OID is included in our investment company taxable income even though we receive no cash corresponding to such discount amount. As a result, we may be required to make additional distributions corresponding to such OID amounts in order to satisfy the annual distribution requirement and to continue to qualify as a RIC and to avoid the imposition of federal income tax and the 4% excise tax. In such case, we may be required to sell temporary investments or other assets to meet the RIC distribution requirements.

Taxation of Our U.S. Stockholders

Distributions. For any period during which we qualify for treatment as a RIC for federal income tax purposes, distributions to our stockholders attributable to our investment company taxable income generally will be taxable as ordinary income to stockholders to the extent of our current or accumulated earnings and profits. We first allocate our earnings and profits to our preferred stockholders and then to our common stockholders based on the priority in our capital structure. Any distributions in excess of our earnings and profits will first be treated as a return of capital to the extent of the stockholder s adjusted basis in his or her shares of our stock and thereafter as gain from the sale of shares of our stock. Distributions of our long-term capital gains, designated by us as such, will be taxable to stockholders as long-term capital gains regardless of the stockholder s holding period for its shares of our stock and whether the distributions are paid in cash or invested in additional shares of our stock. Corporate stockholders are generally eligible for the 70% dividends received deduction with respect to ordinary income, but not with respect to capital gain dividends to the extent such amount designated by us does not exceed the dividends received by us from domestic corporations. Any dividend declared by us in October, November or December of any calendar year, payable to stockholders of record on a specified date in such a month and actually paid during January of the following year, will be treated as if it were paid by us and received by the stockholders on December 31 of the previous year. In addition, we may elect to relate a dividend back to the prior taxable year if we (1) declare such dividend prior to the 15th day of the 9th month following the close of that taxable year, or any applicable extended due date of our tax return for such prior taxable year (2) make the election in that tax return, and (3) distribute such amount in the 12-month period following the close of the taxable year but not later than our first payment of the same type of dividend following such declaration. Any such election will not alter the general rule that a stockholder will be treated as receiving a dividend in the taxable year in which the dividend is made, subject to the October, November, December rule described above.

In general, the federal income tax rates applicable to our dividends other than dividends designated as capital gain dividends will be the rates applicable to ordinary income (currently up to 39.6%), and not the rates applicable to qualified dividend income (currently up to 20%). If we distribute dividends that are attributable to actual dividend income received by us that is eligible to be, and is, designated by us as qualified dividend income, such dividends would be eligible for such lower federal income tax rate. For this purpose, qualified dividend income means dividends received by us from United States corporations and qualifying foreign corporations, provided that both we and the stockholder recipient of our dividends satisfy certain holding period and other requirements in respect of our shares (in the case of our stockholder) and the stock of such corporations (in our case). However, we do not anticipate receiving or distributing a significant amount of qualified dividend income.

If a stockholder participates in our dividend reinvestment plan, any dividends with respect to shares of our stock that are reinvested under the plan will be taxable to the stockholder to the same extent, and with the same character, as if the stockholder had received the dividend in cash. The stockholder will have an adjusted basis in the additional common shares purchased through the plan equal to the amount of the reinvested dividend. The additional shares will have a new holding period commencing on the day following the day on which the shares are credited to the stockholder s account.

Sale of Our Shares. A U.S. stockholder generally will recognize taxable gain or loss if the U.S. stockholder sells or otherwise disposes of his, her or its shares of our stock. Any gain arising from such sale or disposition generally will be treated as long-term capital gain or loss if the U.S. stockholder has held his, her or its shares for more than one year. Otherwise, it will be classified as short-term capital gain or loss. However, any capital loss arising from the sale or disposition of shares of our stock held for six months or less will be treated as long-term capital loss to the extent of the amount of capital gain dividends received, or undistributed capital gain deemed received, with respect to such shares.

107

Individual U.S. stockholders are subject to a maximum federal income tax rate of 20% on their net capital gain (*i.e.*, the excess of realized net long-term capital gain over realized net short-term capital loss for a taxable year) including any long-term capital gain derived from an investment in our shares. Such rate is lower than the maximum rate on ordinary income currently payable by individuals of 39.6%. Capital losses are subject to limitations on use for both corporate and non-corporate stockholders.

Medicare Tax on Unearned Income. Stockholders that are individuals, estates or trusts and that have taxable income in excess of certain thresholds are required to pay a 3.8% Medicare tax on net investment income, which includes, among other things, dividends on, and gains from the sale or other disposition of, shares of our stock. Prospective investors should consult their own tax advisors regarding the impact of this Medicare tax on an investment in our stock.

Backup Withholding. We may be required to withhold federal income tax, or backup withholding, currently at a rate of 28%, from all taxable dividends to any non-corporate U.S. stockholder (1) who fails to furnish us with a correct taxpayer identification number or a certificate that such stockholder is exempt from backup withholding, or (2) with respect to whom the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, notifies us that such stockholder has failed to properly report certain interest and dividend income to the IRS and to respond to notices to that effect. An individual s taxpayer identification number is generally his or her social security number. Any amount withheld under backup withholding is allowed as a credit against the U.S. stockholder s federal income tax liability, provided that proper information is provided to the IRS.

REGULATION AS A BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT COMPANY

We are a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under Section 54 of the 1940 Act. As such, we are subject to regulation under the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act contains prohibitions and restrictions relating to transactions between business development companies and their affiliates, principal underwriters and affiliates of those affiliates or underwriters and requires that a majority of the directors be persons other than interested persons, as defined in the 1940 Act. In addition, the 1940 Act provides that we may not change the nature of our business so as to cease to be, or to withdraw our election as, a business development company unless approved by a majority of our outstanding voting securities, as defined in the 1940 Act.

We intend to conduct our business so as to retain our status as a business development company. A business development company may use capital provided by public stockholders and from other sources to invest in long-term private investments in businesses. A business development company provides stockholders the ability to retain the liquidity of a publicly traded stock while sharing in the possible benefits, if any, of investing in primarily privately owned companies. In general, a business development company must have been organized and have its principal place of business in the United States and must be operated for the purpose of making certain types of investments in assets described in Sections 55(a)(1)-(3) of the 1940 Act.

Qualifying Assets

Under the 1940 Act, a business development company may not acquire any asset other than assets of the type listed in Section 55(a) of the 1940 Act, which are referred to as qualifying assets, unless, at the time the acquisition is made, qualifying assets, other than assets defined in Section 55(a)(7) (operating assets), which includes certain interests in furniture, equipment, real estate, or leasehold improvements, represent at least 70% of the company stotal assets, exclusive of operating assets. The types of qualifying assets in which we may invest under the 1940 Act include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (1) Securities purchased in transactions not involving any public offering from the issuer of such securities, which issuer is an eligible portfolio company. An eligible portfolio company is generally defined in the 1940 Act as any issuer which:
- (a) is organized under the laws of, and has its principal place of business in, any state or states in the United States;
- (b) is not an investment company (other than a small business investment company wholly owned by the business development company) or otherwise excluded from the definition of investment company; and
- (c) satisfies one of the following:
- (i) it does not have any class of securities with respect to which a broker or dealer may extend margin credit;

108

Table of Contents

- (ii) it is controlled by the business development company, alone or as part of a group, and the business development company in fact exercises a controlling influence over the management or policies of the portfolio company and, as a result of such control, has an affiliated person who is a director of the portfolio company;
- (iii) it has total assets of not more than \$4 million and capital and surplus of not less than \$2 million;
- (iv) it does not have any class of securities listed on a national securities exchange; or
- (v) it has a class of securities listed on a national securities exchange, with an aggregate market value of outstanding voting and non-voting equity of less than \$250 million.
- (2) Securities received in exchange for or distributed on or with respect to securities described in (1) above, or pursuant to the exercise of options, warrants or rights relating to such securities.
- (3) Cash, cash items, government securities or high quality debt securities maturing in one year or less from the time of investment.

Asset Coverage

Pursuant to Section 61(a)(2) of the 1940 Act, we are permitted, under specified conditions, to issue multiple classes of senior securities representing indebtedness. However, pursuant to Section 18(c) of the 1940 Act, we are permitted to issue only one class of senior securities that is stock. In either case, we may only issue such Senior Securities if such class of Senior Securities, after such issuance, has an asset coverage, as defined in Section 18(h) of the 1940 Act, of at least 200%.

In addition, our ability to pay dividends or distributions (other than dividends payable in our stock) to holders of any class of our capital stock would be restricted if our senior securities representing indebtedness fail to have an asset coverage of at least 200% (measured at the time of declaration of such distribution and accounting for such distribution). The 1940 Act does not apply this limitation to privately arranged debt that is not intended to be publicly distributed, unless this limitation is specifically negotiated by the lender. In addition, our ability to pay dividends or distributions (other than dividends payable in our common stock) to our common stockholders would be restricted if our senior securities that are stock fail to have an asset coverage of at least 200% (measured at the time of declaration of such distribution and accounting for such distribution). If the value of our assets declines, we might be unable to satisfy these asset coverage requirements. To satisfy the 200% asset coverage requirement in the event that we are seeking to pay a distribution, we might either have to (i) liquidate a portion of our loan portfolio to repay a portion of our indebtedness or (ii) issue common stock. This may occur at a time when a sale of a portfolio asset may be disadvantageous, or when we have limited access to capital markets on agreeable terms. In addition, any amounts that we use to service our indebtedness or for offering expenses will not be available for distributions to our stockholders. If we are unable to regain asset coverage through these methods, we may be forced to suspend the payment of such dividends.

Significant Managerial Assistance

A business development company generally must make available significant managerial assistance to issuers of its portfolio securities that the business development company counts as a qualifying asset for the 70% test described above. Making available significant managerial assistance means, among other things, any arrangement whereby the business development company, through its directors, officers or employees, offers to provide, and, if accepted, does so provide, significant guidance and counsel concerning the management, operations or business objectives and policies of a portfolio company. Significant managerial assistance also may include the exercise of a controlling influence over the management and policies of the portfolio company. However, with respect to certain, but not all such securities, where the business development company purchases such securities in conjunction with one or more other persons acting together, one of the other persons in the group may make available such managerial assistance, or the business development company may exercise such control jointly.

Investment Policies

We seek to achieve a high level of current income and capital gains through investments in debt securities and preferred and common stock that we acquired in connection with buyout and other recapitalizations. The following investment policies may not be changed without the approval of our Board of Directors:

109

We will at all times conduct our business so as to retain our status as a business development company. In order to retain that status we must continue to meet the definition of business development company contained in the 1940 Act, which requires us, among other things, to be operated for the purpose of investing in certain categories of qualifying assets. In addition, we may not acquire any assets (other than operating assets or qualifying assets) if, after giving effect to such acquisition, the value of our qualifying assets, less our operating assets, is less than 70% of the value of our total assets (excluding our operating assets). We anticipate that the securities we seek to acquire, as well as temporary investments, will generally be qualifying assets.

We will at all times endeavor to conduct our business so as to retain our status as a regulated investment company under the Code. In order to do so, we must meet income source, asset diversification and annual distribution requirements. We may issue senior securities, such as debt or preferred stock, to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act for the purpose of making investments, to fund share repurchases, or for temporary emergency or other purposes.

With the exception of our policy to conduct our business as a business development company, these policies are not fundamental and may be changed without stockholder approval.

Co-Investment Order

On July 26, 2012, the Securities and Exchange Commission granted us exemptive order that permits us to co-invest with Gladstone Investment and any future BDC or closed-end management investment company that is advised by the Adviser, (or sub-advised by the Adviser if it also controls the fund), or any combination of the foregoing, subject to the conditions contained therein.

DESCRIPTION OF OUR SECURITIES

Our authorized capital stock consists of 50,000,000 shares of capital stock, \$0.001 par value per share, 46,000,000 of which is currently designated as common stock and 4,000,000 of which is currently designated as preferred stock. Under our articles of incorporation, our Board of Directors is authorized to classify and reclassify any unissued shares of capital stock without requiring stockholder approval. The following summary description of our capital stock is not necessarily complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by, our articles of incorporation. Please review our articles of incorporation for a more detailed description of the provisions summarized below.

Common Stock

As of the date hereof, we have 21,000,160 shares of common stock outstanding. All shares of our common stock have equal rights as to earnings, assets, dividends and voting privileges and, when issued, will be duly authorized, validly issued, fully paid and nonassessable. Distributions may be paid to the holders of our common stock if, as and when declared by our Board of Directors out of funds legally available. Shares of our common stock have no preemptive, conversion or redemption rights and are freely transferable, except where their transfer is restricted by federal and state securities laws. In the event of our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, each share of our common stock is entitled to share ratably in all of our assets that are legally available for distribution after we pay all debts and other liabilities and subject to any preferential rights of holders of our preferred stock, if any is outstanding at the time. Each share of our common stock is entitled to one vote and does not have cumulative voting rights, which means that, subject to the rights of the holders of Term Preferred Stock to vote in the election of directors, as described below, holders of a majority of such shares, if they so choose, could elect all of the directors, and holders of less than a majority of such shares would, in that case, be unable to elect any director. Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ under the ticker symbol GLAD.

Preferred Stock

Our articles of incorporation give the Board of Directors the authority, without further action by stockholders, to issue shares of preferred stock in one or more series and to fix the rights, preferences, privileges, qualifications and restrictions granted to or imposed upon such preferred stock, including dividend rights, conversion rights, voting rights, rights and terms of redemption, and liquidation preference, any or all of which may be greater than the rights of the common stock. Thus, the Board of Directors could authorize the issuance of shares of preferred stock with terms and conditions which could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change in control that might involve a premium price for holders of our common stock or otherwise be in their best interest. The issuance of preferred stock could adversely affect the voting power of holders of common stock and reduce the likelihood that such holders will receive dividend payments and payments upon liquidation, and could also decrease the market price of our common stock.

110

You should note, however, that any issuance of preferred stock must comply with the requirements of the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act requires, among other things, that (1) immediately after issuance and before any dividend or other distribution is made with respect to our common stock and before any purchase of common stock is made, such preferred stock together with all other Senior Securities must not exceed an amount equal to 50% of our total assets after deducting the amount of such dividend, distribution or purchase price, as the case may be, and (2) the holders of shares of preferred stock, if any are issued, must be entitled as a class to elect two directors at all times and to elect a majority of the directors if dividends on such preferred stock are in arrears by two years or more. Certain matters under the 1940 Act require the separate vote of the holders of any issued and outstanding preferred stock. If we offer preferred stock under this prospectus, we will issue an appropriate prospectus supplement. You should read that prospectus supplement for a description of the preferred stock, including, but not limited to, whether there will be an arrearage in the payment of dividends or sinking fund installments, if any, restrictions with respect to the declaration of dividends, requirements in connection with the maintenance of any ratio or assets, or creation or maintenance of reserves, or provisions for permitting or restricting the issuance of additional securities.

Term Preferred Stock

Of the 4,000,000 shares of our capital stock designated as preferred stock, 1,610,000 of such shares are designated as 7.125% Series 2016 Term Preferred Shares, which we refer to as the Term Preferred Stock. As of the date hereof, we have 1,539,882 shares of Term Preferred Stock outstanding. Our Term Preferred Stock is listed on the NASDAQ under the symbol GLADP.

The following is a summary of the material terms of the Term Preferred Stock. The following summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of the Term Preferred Shares, including Appendix A thereto relating to the Term Preferred Stock, which are filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part:

Dividend Rights

The holders of Term Preferred Stock are entitled to monthly dividends in the amount of 7.125% per annum on the stated liquidation preference of Term Preferred Stock, or \$0.1484375 per share, and we are prohibited from issuing dividends or making distributions to the holders of our common stock while any shares of Term Preferred Stock are outstanding, unless all accrued and unpaid dividends on the Term Preferred Stock are paid in their entirety. In the event that we fail to pay dividends on the Term Preferred Stock when required, the dividend rate on the Term Preferred Stock will increase to 9.125% per annum until such default is cured.

Voting Rights

The holders of the Term Preferred Stock are entitled to one vote per share and do not have cumulative voting. The holders of the Term Preferred Stock generally vote together with the holders of our common stock, except that the holders of the Term Preferred Stock have the right to elect two of our directors. Furthermore, during any period that we owe accumulated dividends, whether or not earned or declared, on our Term Preferred Shares equal to at least two full years of dividends, the holders of Term Preferred Stock will have the right to elect a majority of our Board of Directors. In addition, the holders of Term Preferred Stock have voting rights with regard to certain corporate actions, including certain amendments to our charter and certain actions relating to our election to be treated as a BDC, as set forth in the articles supplementary relating to the Term Preferred Stock.

Liquidation Rights

The Term Preferred Stock has a liquidation preference over our common stock equal to \$25 per share, plus all accrued but unpaid dividends in the event of a dissolution, liquidation or winding up of our affairs.

Redemption

The Term Preferred Stock has a mandatory term redemption date of December 31, 2016, however, if we fail to maintain asset coverage as required by the 1940 Act, of at least 200%, we will be required to redeem a portion of the Term Preferred Stock to enable us to meet the required asset coverage. We also have the option to redeem such shares at any time, subject to the requirement to pay an optional redemption premium on the amount of shares redeemed if we optionally redeem such shares before December 31, 2014. As of the date hereof we have not exercised our option to redeem any shares. In the event that we fail to redeem the Term Preferred Stock when due, the dividend rate will increase to 9.125% per annum until such shares are redeemed.

111

Subscription Rights

General

We may issue subscription rights to our stockholders to purchase common stock or preferred stock. Subscription rights may be issued independently or together with any other offered security and may or may not be transferable by the person purchasing or receiving the subscription rights. In connection with any subscription rights offering to our stockholders, we may enter into a standby underwriting arrangement with one or more underwriters pursuant to which such underwriters would purchase any offered securities remaining unsubscribed after such subscription rights offering to the extent permissible under applicable law. In connection with a subscription rights offering to our stockholders, we would distribute certificates evidencing the subscription rights and a prospectus supplement to our stockholders on the record date that we set for receiving subscription rights in such subscription rights offering.

The applicable prospectus supplement would describe the following terms of subscription rights in respect of which this prospectus is being delivered:

| the period of time the offering would remain open (which in no event would be less than fifteen business days); |
|---|
| the title of such subscription rights; |
| the exercise price for such subscription rights; |
| the ratio of the offering (which in no event would exceed one new share of common stock for each three rights held); |
| the number of such subscription rights issued to each stockholder; |
| the extent to which such subscription rights are transferable; |
| if applicable, a discussion of the material U.S. federal income tax considerations applicable to the issuance or exercise of such subscription rights; |
| the date on which the right to exercise such subscription rights shall commence, and the date on which such rights shall expire (subject to any extension); |
| the extent to which such subscription rights include an over-subscription privilege with respect to unsubscribed securities; |
| if applicable, the material terms of any standby underwriting or other purchase arrangement that we may enter into in connection with the subscription rights offering; and |
| |

any other terms of such subscription rights, including terms, procedures and limitations relating to the exchange and exercise of such subscription rights.

Exercise of Subscription Rights

Each subscription right would entitle the holder of the subscription right to purchase for cash such amount of shares of common stock, or preferred stock, at such exercise price as shall in each case be set forth in, or be determinable as set forth in, the prospectus supplement relating to the subscription rights offered thereby. Subscription rights may be exercised at any time up to the close of business on the expiration date for such subscription rights set forth in the prospectus supplement. After the close of business on the expiration date, all unexercised subscription rights would become void.

Subscription rights may be exercised as set forth in the prospectus supplement relating to the subscription rights offered thereby. Upon receipt of payment and the subscription rights certificate properly completed and duly executed at the corporate trust office of the subscription rights agent or any other office indicated in the prospectus supplement we will forward, as soon as practicable, the shares of common stock, or preferred stock, purchasable upon such exercise. We may determine to offer any unsubscribed offered securities directly to persons other than stockholders, to or through agents, underwriters or dealers or through a combination of such methods, including pursuant to standby underwriting arrangements, as set forth in the applicable prospectus supplement.

112

Table of Contents

Warrants

The following is a general description of the terms of the warrants we may issue from time to time. Particular terms of any warrants we offer will be described in the prospectus supplement relating to such warrants.

We may issue warrants to purchase shares of our common stock. Such warrants may be issued independently or together with shares of common stock or other equity or debt securities and may be attached or separate from such securities. We will issue each series of warrants under a separate warrant agreement to be entered into between us and a warrant agent. The warrant agent will act solely as our agent and will not assume any obligation or relationship of agency for or with holders or beneficial owners of warrants.

A prospectus supplement will describe the particular terms of any series of warrants we may issue, including the following:

| the title of such warrants; |
|---|
| the aggregate number of such warrants; |
| the price or prices at which such warrants will be issued; |
| the currency or currencies, including composite currencies, in which the price of such warrants may be payable; |
| if applicable, the designation and terms of the securities with which the warrants are issued and the number of warrants issued with each such security or each principal amount of such security; |
| the number of shares of common stock purchasable upon exercise of one warrant and the price at which and the currency or currencies, including composite currencies, in which these shares may be purchased upon such exercise; |
| the date on which the right to exercise such warrants shall commence and the date on which such right will expire; |
| whether such warrants will be issued in registered form or bearer form; |
| if applicable, the minimum or maximum amount of such warrants which may be exercised at any one time; |
| if applicable, the date on and after which such warrants and the related securities will be separately transferable; |
| information with respect to book-entry procedures, if any; |
| the terms of the securities issuable upon exercise of the warrants; |

if applicable, a discussion of certain U.S. federal income tax considerations; and

any other terms of such warrants, including terms, procedures and limitations relating to the exchange and exercise of such warrants. We and the warrant agent may amend or supplement the warrant agreement for a series of warrants without the consent of the holders of the warrants issued thereunder to effect changes that are not inconsistent with the provisions of the warrants and that do not materially and adversely affect the interests of the holders of the warrants.

Prior to exercising their warrants, holders of warrants will not have any of the rights of holders of the securities purchasable upon such exercise, including the right to receive dividends, if any, or payments upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up or to exercise any voting rights.

Under the 1940 Act, we may generally only offer warrants (except for warrants expiring not later than 120 days after issuance and issued exclusively and ratably to a class of our security holders) on the condition that (1) the warrants expire by their terms within ten years; (2) the exercise or conversion price is not less than the current market value of the securities underlying the warrants at the date of issuance; (3) our stockholders authorize the proposal to issue such warrants (our stockholders approved such a proposal to issue long-term rights, including warrants, in connection with our 2008 annual meeting of stockholders) and a required majority of our Board of Directors approves such issuance on the basis that the

113

issuance is in the best interests of Gladstone Capital and our stockholders; and (4) if the warrants are accompanied by other securities, the warrants are not separately transferable unless no class of such warrants and the securities accompanying them has been publicly distributed. A required majority of our Board of Directors is a vote of both a majority of our directors who have no financial interest in the transaction and a majority of the directors who are not interested persons of the company. The 1940 Act also provides that the amount of our voting securities that would result from the exercise of all outstanding warrants, options and subscription rights at the time of issuance may not exceed 25% of our outstanding voting securities.

Debt Securities

Any debt securities that we issue may be senior or subordinated in priority of payment. If we offer debt securities under this prospectus, we will provide a prospectus supplement that describes the ranking, whether senior or subordinated, the specific designation, the aggregate principal amount, the purchase price, the maturity, the redemption terms, the interest rate or manner of calculating the interest rate, the time of payment of interest, if any, the terms for any conversion or exchange, including the terms relating to the adjustment of any conversion or exchange mechanism, the listing, if any, on a securities exchange, the name and address of the trustee and any other specific terms of the debt securities.

CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF MARYLAND LAW AND OF OUR

ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION AND BYLAWS

Our articles of incorporation and bylaws and the Maryland General Corporation Law contain certain provisions that could make more difficult the acquisition of us by means of a tender offer, a proxy contest or otherwise. These provisions are expected to discourage certain types of coercive takeover practices and inadequate takeover bids and to encourage persons seeking to acquire control of us to negotiate first with our Board of Directors. We believe that the benefits of these provisions outweigh the potential disadvantages of discouraging such proposals because, among other things, negotiation of such proposals might result in an improvement of their terms. The description set forth below is intended as a summary only and is qualified in its entirety by reference to our articles of incorporation and bylaws, as amended, which are filed as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

Classified Board of Directors

In accordance with our bylaws, our Board of Directors is divided into three classes of directors serving staggered three-year terms. Under the Maryland General Corporation Law, each class must consist as nearly as possible of one-third of the directors then elected to our Board of Directors and our board is currently divided into three classes, two classes of which have three directors and one class that has four directors. A classified board may render more difficult a change in control of us or removal of our incumbent management. We believe, however, that the longer time required to elect a majority of a classified board of directors will help to ensure continuity and stability of our management and policies.

Our classified board could have the effect of making the replacement of incumbent directors more time consuming and difficult. Because our directors may only be removed for cause, at least two annual meetings of stockholders, instead of one, will generally be required to effect a change in a majority of our Board of Directors. Thus, our classified board could increase the likelihood that incumbent directors will retain their positions. The staggered terms of directors may delay, defer or prevent a tender offer or an attempt to change control of us or another transaction that might involve a premium price for our common stock that might be in the best interest of our stockholders.

Number of Directors; Removal; Vacancies

Our articles of incorporation provide that the number of directors will be determined pursuant to our bylaws and our bylaws provide that a majority of our entire Board of Directors may at any time increase or decrease the number of directors. In addition, our bylaws provide that the number of directors shall not be increased by 50% or more in any 12-month period without the approval of at least $66^2/_3\%$ of the members of our Board of Directors then in office. Our bylaws provide that any vacancies may be filled only by the vote of a majority of the remaining directors, even if less than a quorum, and the directors so appointed shall hold office for the remainder of the full term of the class of directors in which the vacancy occurred and until their successors are elected and qualified.

114

Table of Contents

Under the Maryland General Corporation Law and pursuant to our election in our bylaws to be subject to the provisions of Subtitle 8 of Title 3 of the Maryland General Corporation Law our directors may only be removed for cause and only by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast by our stockholders generally in the election of directors. This provision, when coupled with the exclusive power of our Board of Directors to fill vacancies on our Board of Directors, precludes stockholders from removing incumbent directors except for cause and upon a substantial affirmative vote and filling the vacancies created by such removal with their own nominees.

Advance Notice Provisions for Stockholder Nominations and Stockholder Proposals

Our bylaws establish an advance notice procedure for stockholders to make nominations of candidates for election as directors or to bring other business before an annual or special meeting of our stockholders, which we refer to as the stockholder notice procedure.

The stockholder notice procedure provides that with respect to an annual meeting of stockholders, nominations of individuals for election to our Board of Directors and the proposal of business to be considered by our stockholders at an annual meeting may be made only (1) pursuant to our notice of the meeting, (2) by or at the direction of our board of directors or (3) by a stockholder who was a stockholder of record at the time of giving of notice, who is entitled to vote at the meeting on the election of the individual so nominated or on such other business and who has complied with the advance notice procedures set forth in our bylaws, including a requirement to provide certain information about the stockholder and the nominee or business proposal, as applicable. With respect to special meetings of stockholders, only the business specified in our notice of meeting may be brought before the meeting. Nominations of individuals for election to our board of directors may be made at a special meeting of stockholders at which directors are to be elected only (1) by or at the direction of our board of directors or (2) by a stockholder who was a stockholder of record at the time of giving of notice, who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who has complied with the advance notice provisions set forth in our bylaws, including a requirement to provide certain information about the stockholder and the nominee.

The purpose of requiring stockholders to give us advance notice of nominations and other business is to afford our Board of Directors a meaningful opportunity to consider the qualifications of the proposed nominees and the advisability of the other proposed business and, to the extent deemed necessary or desirable by the Board of Directors, to inform stockholders and make recommendations about such qualifications or business, as well as to provide a more orderly procedure for conducting meetings of stockholders. Although our bylaws do not give our Board of Directors any power to disapprove stockholder nominations for the election of directors or proposals for action, they may have the effect of precluding a contest for the election of directors or the consideration of stockholder proposals if proper procedures are not followed and of discouraging or deterring a third party from conducting a solicitation of proxies to elect its own slate of directors or to approve its own proposal without regard to whether consideration of such nominees or proposals might be harmful or beneficial to us and our stockholders.

Authority to Issue Preferred Stock without Stockholder Approval

Our articles of incorporation permit our Board of Directors to issue up to 50,000,000 shares of capital stock. Our Board of Directors may classify or reclassify any unissued common stock or preferred stock and establish the preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to distributions, qualifications and terms or conditions of redemption of any such stock. Thus, our Board of Directors could authorize the issuance of preferred stock with terms and conditions that could have a priority as to distributions and amounts payable upon liquidation over the rights of the holders of our common stock.

Amendment of Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws

Our articles of incorporation may be amended, altered, changed or repealed, subject to the terms of any class or series of preferred stock, only if advised by our Board of Directors and approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter.

115

Our articles of incorporation also provide that the bylaws may be adopted, amended, altered, changed or repealed by our Board of Directors. Any action taken by our stockholders with respect to adopting, amending, altering, changing or repealing our bylaws may be taken only by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 75% of our capital stock, voting together as a single class.

These provisions are intended to make it more difficult for stockholders to circumvent certain other provisions contained in our articles of incorporation and bylaws, such as those that provide for the classification of our Board of Directors. These provisions, however, also will make it more difficult for stockholders to amend the articles of incorporation or bylaws without the approval of the Board of Directors, even if a majority of the stockholders deems such amendment to be in the best interests of all stockholders.

Indemnification and Limitation of Liability of Directors and Officers

Maryland law permits a Maryland corporation to include in its charter a provision limiting the liability of its directors and officers to the corporation and its stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from (a) actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services or (b) active and deliberate dishonesty that is established by a final judgment and is material to the cause of action. Our charter contains a provision that eliminates the liability of our directors and officers to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law.

The Maryland General Corporation Law requires us (unless our charter provides otherwise, which our charter does not) to indemnify a director or officer who has been successful, on the merits or otherwise, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made a party by reason of his or her service in that capacity. The MGCL permits us to indemnify our present and former directors and officers, among others, against judgments, penalties, fines, settlements and reasonable expenses actually incurred by them in connection with any proceeding to which they may be made or threatened to be made a party by reason of their service in those or other capacities unless it is established that:

the act or omission of the director or officer was material to the matter giving rise to the proceeding and (1) was committed in bad faith or (2) was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty;

the director or officer actually received an improper personal benefit in money, property or services; or

in the case of any criminal proceeding, the director or officer had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful. Under the MGCL, we may not indemnify a director or officer in a suit by us or on our behalf in which the director or officer was adjudged liable to us or in a suit in which the director or officer was adjudged liable on the basis that personal benefit was improperly received. A court may order indemnification if it determines that the director or officer is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnification, even though the director or officer did not meet the prescribed standard of conduct or was adjudged liable on the basis that personal benefit was improperly received. However, indemnification for an adverse judgment in a suit by us or on our behalf, or for a judgment of liability on the basis that personal benefit was improperly received, is limited to expenses.

In addition, the MGCL permits us to advance reasonable expenses to a director or officer upon our receipt of:

a written affirmation by the director or officer of his or her good faith belief that he or she has met the standard of conduct necessary for indemnification by us; and

a written undertaking by or on behalf of the director or officer to repay the amount paid or reimbursed by us if it is ultimately determined that the director or officer did not meet the standard of conduct.

Our bylaws permit us to advance expenses so long as, in addition to the requirements above, we obtain security for the advance from the director or officer, we obtain insurance against losses arising by reason of lawful advances or we determine that there is reason to believe that the director or officer will be found entitled to indemnification.

Subject to the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), or any valid rule, regulation or order of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) thereunder, our charter obligates us, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law in effect from time to time, to indemnify and pay or reimburse reasonable expenses in advance of final disposition of a proceeding to any director or officer, whether serving our company or at our request any other entity. Our charter also permits us to indemnify and advance expenses to any employee or agent of our company to the extent authorized by our board of directors or the bylaws and permitted by law.

Our bylaws obligate us, to the maximum extent required by Maryland law or the charter, to indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, by reason of the fact that he is or was our director, officer, employee or agent, or is or was serving at our request as a director, officer, manager, partner, trustee, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise. Our bylaws also obligate us, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, to indemnify the foregoing persons if our board of directors determines that such person acted in good faith and in a manner reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of our company, and, in the case of any criminal action or proceeding, that such person had no reasonable cause to believe that such person s conduct was unlawful.

These provisions on indemnification and limitation of liability are subject to the limitations of the 1940 Act that prohibit us from protecting any director or officer against any liability to us or our stockholders arising from willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of such person s office.

SHARE REPURCHASES

Shares of closed-end investment companies frequently trade at discounts to net asset value. We cannot predict whether our shares will trade above, at or below net asset value. The market price of our common stock is determined by, among other things, the supply and demand for our shares, our investment performance and investor perception of our overall attractiveness as an investment as compared with alternative investments. Our Board of Directors has authorized our officers, in their discretion and subject to compliance with the 1940 Act and other applicable law, to purchase on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, outstanding shares of our common stock in the event that our shares trade at a discount to net asset value. We cannot assure you that we will ever conduct any open market purchases and if we do conduct open market purchases, we may terminate them at any time.

In addition, if our shares publicly trade for a substantial period of time at a substantial discount to our then current net asset value per share, our Board of Directors will consider authorizing periodic repurchases of our shares or other actions designed to eliminate the discount. Our Board of Directors would consider all relevant factors in determining whether to take any such actions, including the effect of such actions on our status as a RIC under the Code and the availability of cash to finance these repurchases in view of the restrictions on our ability to borrow. We cannot assure you that any share repurchases will be made or that if made, they will reduce or eliminate market discount. Should we make any such repurchases in the future, we expect that we would make them at prices at or below the then current net asset value per share. Any such repurchase would cause our total assets to decrease, which may have the effect of increasing our expense ratio. We may borrow money to finance the repurchase of shares subject to the limitations described in this prospectus. Any interest on such borrowing for this purpose would reduce our net income.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

We may sell the Securities through underwriters or dealers, directly to one or more purchasers, including existing stockholders in a rights offering, or through agents or through a combination of any such methods of sale. In the case of a rights offering, the applicable prospectus supplement will set forth the number of shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of each right and the other terms of such rights offering. Any underwriter or agent involved in the offer and sale of the Securities will also be named in the applicable prospectus supplement.

116

The distribution of the Securities may be effected from time to time in one or more transactions at a fixed price or prices, which may be changed, in at the market offerings within the meaning of Rule 415(a)(4) of the Securities Act, at prevailing market prices at the time of sale, at prices related to such prevailing market prices, or at negotiated prices, provided, however, that in the case of our common stock, the offering price per share less any underwriting commissions or discounts must equal or exceed the net asset value per share of our common stock except (i) in connection with a rights offering to our existing stockholders, (ii) with the consent of the majority of our common stockholders, or (iii) under such other circumstances as the SEC may permit.

In connection with the sale of the Securities, underwriters or agents may receive compensation from us or from purchasers of the Securities, for whom they may act as agents, in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions. Underwriters may sell the Securities to or through dealers and such dealers may receive compensation in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions from the underwriters and/or commissions from the purchasers for whom they may act as agents. Underwriters, dealers and agents that participate in the distribution of the Securities may be deemed to be underwriters under the Securities Act, and any discounts and commissions they receive from us and any profit realized by them on the resale of the Securities may be deemed to be underwriting discounts and commissions under the Securities Act. Any such underwriter or agent will be identified and any such compensation received from us will be described in the applicable prospectus supplement. The maximum commission or discount to be received by any Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, or FINRA, member or independent broker-dealer will not exceed 10%.

We may enter into derivative transactions with third parties, or sell securities not covered by this prospectus to third parties in privately negotiated transactions. If the applicable prospectus supplement indicates, in connection with those derivatives, the third parties may sell Securities covered by this prospectus and the applicable prospectus supplement, including in short sale transactions. If so, the third party may use securities pledged by us or borrowed from us or others to settle those sales or to close out any related open borrowings of stock, and may use securities received from us in settlement of those derivatives to close out any related open borrowings of stock. The third parties in such sale transactions will be underwriters and, if not identified in this prospectus, will be identified in the applicable prospectus supplement (or a post-effective amendment).

Any of our common stock sold pursuant to a prospectus supplement will be listed on the NASDAQ, or another exchange on which our common stock is traded.

Under agreements into which we may enter, underwriters, dealers and agents who participate in the distribution of the Securities may be entitled to indemnification by us against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act. Underwriters, dealers and agents may engage in transactions with, or perform services for, us in the ordinary course of business.

If so indicated in the applicable prospectus supplement, we will authorize underwriters or other persons acting as our agents to solicit offers by certain institutions to purchase the Securities from us pursuant to contracts providing for payment and delivery on a future date. Institutions with which such contracts may be made include commercial and savings banks, insurance companies, pension funds, investment companies, educational and charitable institutions and others, but in all cases such institutions must be approved by us. The obligations of any purchaser under any such contract will be subject to the condition that the purchase of the Securities shall not at the time of delivery be prohibited under the laws of the jurisdiction to which such purchaser is subject. The underwriters and such other agents will not have any responsibility in respect of the validity or performance of such contracts. Such contracts will be subject only to those conditions set forth in the prospectus supplement, and the prospectus supplement will set forth the commission payable for solicitation of such contracts.

In order to comply with the securities laws of certain states, if applicable, the Securities offered hereby will be sold in such jurisdictions only through registered or licensed brokers or dealers. In addition, in certain states, the Securities may not be sold unless they have been registered or qualified for sale in the applicable state or an exemption from the registration or qualification requirement is available and is complied with.

CUSTODIAN, TRANSFER AND DIVIDEND PAYING AGENT AND REGISTRAR

Our securities are held under a custodian agreement with The Bank of New York Mellon Corp. The address of the custodian is: 500 Ross Street, Suite 625, Pittsburgh, PA 15262. Our assets are held under bank custodianship in compliance with the 1940 Act. Securities held through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Business Loan, are held under a custodian agreement with The Bank of New York Mellon Corp., which acts as collateral custodian pursuant to Business Loan scredit facility with Key Equipment Finance Inc. and certain other parties. The address of the collateral custodian is 500 Ross Street, Suite 625, Pittsburgh, PA 15262. Computershare, Inc. acts as our transfer and dividend paying agent and registrar. The principal business address of Computershare, Inc. is 525 Washington Blvd., Jersey City, New Jersey 07310, telephone number 800-522-6645. Computershare, Inc. also maintains an internet website at www.computershare.com.

117

BROKERAGE ALLOCATION AND OTHER PRACTICES

Since we generally acquire and dispose of our investments in privately negotiated transactions, we will infrequently use securities brokers or dealers in the normal course of our business. Subject to policies established by our Board of Directors, the Adviser will be primarily responsible for the execution of transactions involving publicly traded securities and the allocation of brokerage commissions in respect thereof, if any. In the event that the Adviser executes such transactions, we do not expect the Adviser to execute transactions through any particular broker or dealer, but we would expect the Adviser to seek to obtain the best net results for us, taking into account such factors as price (including the applicable brokerage commission or dealer spread), size of order, difficulty of execution, and operational facilities of the firm and the firm s risk and skill in positioning blocks of securities. While we expect that the Adviser generally will seek reasonably competitive trade execution costs, we will not necessarily pay the lowest spread or commission available. Subject to applicable legal requirements, the Adviser may select a broker based partly upon brokerage or research services provided to us, the Adviser and any of its other clients. In return for such services, we may pay a higher commission than other brokers would charge if the Adviser determines in good faith that such commission is reasonable in relation to the value of the brokerage and research services provided by such broker or dealer viewed in terms either of the particular transaction or the Adviser s overall responsibilities with respect to all of the Adviser s clients.

PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

We have delegated our proxy voting responsibility to the Adviser. The proxy voting policies and procedures of the Adviser are set out below. The guidelines are reviewed periodically by the Adviser and our directors who are not interested persons, and, accordingly, are subject to change.

Introduction

As an investment adviser registered under the Advisers Act, the Adviser has a fiduciary duty to act solely in our best interests. As part of this duty, the Adviser recognizes that it must vote our securities in a timely manner free of conflicts of interest and in our best interests.

The Adviser s policies and procedures for voting proxies for its investment advisory clients are intended to comply with Section 206 of, and Rule 206(4)-6 under, the Advisers Act.

Proxy Policies

The Adviser votes proxies relating to our portfolio securities in what it perceives to be the best interest of our stockholders. The Adviser reviews on a case-by-case basis each proposal submitted to a stockholder vote to determine its effect on the portfolio securities we hold. In most cases the Adviser will vote in favor of proposals that the Adviser believes are likely to increase the value of the portfolio securities we hold. Although the Adviser will generally vote against proposals that may have a negative effect on our portfolio securities, the Adviser may vote for such a proposal if there exist compelling long-term reasons to do so.

Our proxy voting decisions are made by the Adviser s portfolio managers. To ensure that the Adviser s vote is not the product of a conflict of interest, the Adviser requires that (1) anyone involved in the decision-making process disclose to the Adviser s investment committee any potential conflict that he or she is aware of and any contact that he or she has had with any interested party regarding a proxy vote; and (2) employees involved in the decision-making process or vote administration are prohibited from revealing how the Adviser intends to vote on a proposal in order to reduce any attempted influence from interested parties. Where conflicts of interest may be present, the Adviser will disclose such conflicts to us, including our independent directors and may request guidance from us on how to vote such proxies.

Proxy Voting Records

You may obtain information without charge about how the Adviser voted proxies by making a written request for proxy voting information to:

118

Table of Contents

Michael LiCalsi, Internal Counsel and Secretary

c/o Gladstone Capital Corporation

1521 Westbranch Dr., Suite 100

McLean, VA 22102

LEGAL MATTERS

Certain legal matters will be passed upon for us by Bass, Berry & Sims PLC, Nashville, Tennessee. Venable LLP, Baltimore, Maryland, will pass upon the legality of certain of the securities offered by us and certain other matters of Maryland law. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the underwriters, if any, by the counsel named in the accompanying prospectus supplement.

EXPERTS

The financial statements as of September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 and for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2013 and management s assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting (which is included in the Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting) as of September 30, 2013 included in this prospectus have been so included in reliance on the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s business address is 1800 Tysons Blvd., McLean, VA 22102.

119

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| Audited Consolidated Financial Statements | |
|---|------|
| Report of Management on Internal Controls | F-2 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | F-3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities as of September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 | F-4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended September 30, 2013, September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 | F-5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the years ended September 30, 2013, September 30, 2012 and September 30, | |
| <u>2011</u> | F-6 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended September 30, 2013, September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 | F-7 |
| Consolidated Schedules of Investments as of September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 | F-8 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-16 |

F-1

Table of Contents

Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of Gladstone Capital Corporation:

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and include those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and the dispositions of our assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that our transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with appropriate authorizations; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, we assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013, using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control Integrated Framework. Based on its assessment, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2013.

The effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

November 19, 2013

F-2

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of Gladstone Capital Corporation:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated statements of assets and liabilities, including the consolidated schedules of investments, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in net assets, and cash flows and the financial highlights present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Gladstone Capital Corporation and its subsidiaries (the Company) at September 30, 2013 and 2012, the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2013 and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period ended September 30, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. Our procedures included confirmation of securities at September 30, 2013, by correspondence with the custodian, and where replies were not received, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

McLean, VA

November 19, 2013

F-3

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

| | 20: | Septem 13 | | , 012 |
|---|---------------|----------------|-------|----------|
| ASSETS | | | | |
| Investments at fair value: | | | | |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments (Cost of \$218,713 and \$266,500, respectively) | \$ 181 | ,870 | \$ 23 | 4,171 |
| Control investments (Cost of \$104,113 and \$96,521, respectively) | 64 | ,221 | 3 | 6,825 |
| Affiliate investments (Cost of \$9,440 and \$2,000, respectively) | 10 | ,787 | | 2,964 |
| | | | | |
| Total investments at fair value (Cost of \$332,266 and \$365,021, respectively) | 256 | ,878 | 27 | 3,960 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | ,900 | | 9,857 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | | ,176 | | 805 |
| Interest receivable | 2 | ,488 | | 2,696 |
| Due from custodian | 16 | ,473 | | 2,177 |
| Deferred financing fees | 3 | ,086 | | 2,957 |
| Other assets | 1 | ,090 | | 950 |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 295 | .091 | \$ 29 | 3,402 |
| | 7 | , | T =/ | -, |
| LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Borrowings at fair value (Cost of \$46,900 and \$58,800, respectively) | \$ 47 | 102 | \$ 6 | 2,451 |
| Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share, \$25 liquidation preference per share; | Ψπ | ,102 | ΨΟ | 2,131 |
| 4,000,000 shares authorized; 1,539,882 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively | 38 | ,497 | 3 | 8,497 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 50 | 494 | , | 475 |
| Interest payable | | 170 | | 185 |
| Fees due to Adviser ^(A) | 1 | ,706 | | 1,830 |
| Fee due to Administrator ^(A) | - | 126 | | 174 |
| Other liabilities | 1 | ,004 | | 1,226 |
| | _ | ,,,,,,, | | 1,220 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 89 | ,099 | 10 | 4,838 |
| | 0, | ,022 | 10 | 1,050 |
| Commitments and contingencies ^(B) | | | | |
| NET ASSETS | \$ 205 | 002 | ¢ 10 | 8,564 |
| NET ASSETS | \$ 203 | ,992 | \$ 10 | 00,304 |
| ANAL MOTO OF NEW ACCEPTO | | | | |
| ANALYSIS OF NET ASSETS | | | | |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 46,000,000 shares authorized and 21,000,160 shares issued and outstanding at | ф | 21 | ¢ | 21 |
| September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively | \$ 322 | 21 | \$ | 21 |
| Capital in excess of par value | | ,936 (175) | | (4,714 |
| Notes receivable from employees ^(A) | | (175) | | (3,024) |
| Cumulative net unrealized depreciation of investments | - | (388) | | 1,061) |
| Cumulative net unrealized appreciation of other Overdistributed net investment income ^(C) | | (260) (100) | (| (3,651) |
| Accumulated net realized losses | | ,042) | (3 | 7,961) |
| Accumulated net realized tosses | (41 | ,074) | (3 | ,,,,01) |
| TOTAL NET ACCETO | d 20= | 000 | ф 10 | 0.564 |
| TOTAL NET ASSETS | \$ 205 | ,992 | \$ 18 | 8,564 |
| | | | | |
| NET ASSET VALUE PER COMMON SHARE AT END OF YEAR | \$ | 9.81 | \$ | 8.98 |

- (A) Refer to Note 4 Related Party Transactions for additional information.
- (B) Refer to Note 12 Commitments and Contingencies for additional information.
- (C) Refer to Note 10 *Distributions to Common Stockholders* for additional information.

 **THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

F-4

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

| | Year ended September 30, | | | 30. | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------|-------------------|-----|----------|--|
| | 2013 | 2 011 0114 | 2012 | 20, | 2011 | |
| INVESTMENT INCOME | | | | | | |
| Interest income | | | | | | |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments | \$ 27,704 | \$ | 31,745 | \$ | 27,497 | |
| Control investments | 5,481 | | 4,072 | | 5,139 | |
| Affiliate investments | 216 | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 3 | | 8 | | 1 | |
| Notes receivable from employees (A) | 129 | | 252 | | 431 | |
| Total interest income | 33,533 | | 36,077 | | 33,068 | |
| Other income | | | | | | |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments | 2,621 | | 4,245 | | 1,518 | |
| Control investments | | | | | 625 | |
| Total other income | 2,621 | | 4,245 | | 2,143 | |
| Total investment income | 36,154 | | 40,322 | | 35,211 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Base management fee ^(A) | 5,622 | | 6,165 | | 5,731 | |
| Incentive fee ^(A) | 4,343 | | 4,691 | | 4,598 | |
| Administration fee ^(A) | 647 | | 753 | | 729 | |
| Interest expense on borrowings | 3,182 | | 4,374 | | 2,676 | |
| Dividend expense on mandatorily redeemable preferred stock | 2,744 | | 2,491 | | | |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 1,211 | | 1,243 | | 1,420 | |
| Professional fees | 514 | | 1,218 | | 1,118 | |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 1,026 | | 1,391 | | 1,170 | |
| Evenances hafave anadite from Advisor | 10 200 | | 22.226 | | 17,442 | |
| Expenses before credits from Adviser Credits to fees from Adviser ^(A) | 19,289 | ١ | 22,326 (1,048) | | (643) | |
| Credits to fees from Adviser** | (1,521) |) | (1,046) | | (043) | |
| Total expenses net of credits | 17,768 | | 21,278 | | 16,799 | |
| NET INVESTMENT INCOME | 18,386 | | 19,044 | | 18,412 | |
| | | | | | | |
| REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) | | | | | | |
| Net realized gain (loss): | | | | | | |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments | 27 | | (7,875) | | (1,122) | |
| Control investments | (5,258) |) | (4,944) | | (158) | |
| Total net realized loss | (5,231) |) | (12,819) | | (1,280) | |
| Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation: | (0,201) | , | (12,017) | | (1,200) | |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments | (7,125) |) | (1,414) | | (21,316) | |
| Control investments | 22,414 | | (10,790) | | (17,396) | |
| Affiliate investments | 384 | | 1,010 | | (47) | |
| Other | 3,391 | | (3,039) | | 528 | |
| | -, | | (2,00) | | | |

| (39,511) |
|----------|
| (37,311) |
| (21,099) |
| |
| 0.88 |
| |
| (1.00) |
| .039.242 |
| |

⁽A) Refer to Note 4 Related Party Transactions for additional information.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

| | Year | Year ended September 30, | | |
|--|------------|--------------------------|------------|--|
| | 2013 | 2013 2012 | | |
| OPERATIONS | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 18,386 | \$ 19,044 | \$ 18,412 | |
| Net realized loss on investments | (5,231) | (12,819) | (1,280) | |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments | 15,673 | (11,194) | (38,759) | |
| Net unrealized depreciation (appreciation) of other | 3,391 | (3,039) | 528 | |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets from operations | 32,219 | (8,008) | (21,099) | |
| DISTRIBUTIONS | | | | |
| Distributions to common stockholders | (16,309) | (16,189) | (17,672) | |
| Return of capital to common stockholders | (1,331) | (1,461) | | |
| Net decrease in net assets from distributions | (17,640) | (17,650) | (17,672) | |
| CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS | | | | |
| Repayment of principal on employee notes ^(A) | 2,849 | 833 | 3,246 | |
| Stock redemption for repayment of principal on employee notes ^(A) | | (332) | | |
| Net increase in net assets from capital transactions | 2,849 | 501 | 3,246 | |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS | 17,428 | (25,157) | (35,525) | |
| NET ASSETS, BEGINNING OF YEAR | 188,564 | 213,721 | 249,246 | |
| | , | , | , | |
| NET ASSETS, END OF YEAR | \$ 205,992 | \$ 188,564 | \$ 213,721 | |

⁽A) Refer to Note 4 Related Party Transactions for additional information.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

| | Year ended September 30, 2013 2012 | | er 30, 2011 |
|---|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations | \$ 32,219 | \$ (8,008) | \$ (21,099) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations to net | | | |
| cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | |
| Purchase of investments | (90,157) | (68,941) | (136,254) |
| Principal repayments on investments | 110,491 | 67,370 | 45,835 |
| Proceeds from sale of investments | 6,557 | 6,487 | 4,167 |
| Increase in investment balance due to paid-in-kind interest | (234) | | (12) |
| Increase in investment balance due to transferred interest | | | (204) |
| Net change in premiums, discounts and amortization | 345 | (121) | 1,467 |
| Decrease in loan impairment treated as contra-investment | | | (715) |
| Net realized loss on investments | 5,753 | 12,998 | 1,119 |
| Net unrealized (appreciation) depreciation of investments | (15,673) | 11,194 | 38,759 |
| Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of other | (3,391) | 3,039 | (528) |
| Increase in restricted cash and cash equivalents | (371) | (534) | 2 |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 1,211 | 1,243 | 1,420 |
| Decrease (increase) in interest receivable | 208 | 370 | (314) |
| (Increase) decrease in funds due from custodian | (14,296) | 370 | (2,292) |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | (136) | 732 | (279) |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses | 19 | (38) | (239) |
| Decrease in interest payable | (15) | (104) | (404) |
| (Decrease) increase in fees due to Adviser ^(A) | (124) | 70 | 1,087 |
| Decrease in fee due to Administrator ^(A) | (48) | (20) | (73) |
| (Decrease) increase in other liabilities | (222) | 91 | 187 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 32,136 | 26,198 | (68,370) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 84,800 | 74,900 | 120,100 |
| Repayments on borrowings | (96,700) | (115,500) | (37,500) |
| Proceeds from issuance of mandatorily redeemable preferred stock | , í | 38,497 | |
| Purchase of derivative | (62) | | |
| Deferred financing fees | (1,340) | (3,550) | (804) |
| Distributions paid to common stockholders | (17,640) | (17,650) | (17,672) |
| Receipt of principal on employee notes ^(A) | 2,849 | 501 | 3,246 |
| | _,-, | | -, |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (28,093) | (22,802) | 67,370 |
| Net eash (used in) provided by inhancing activities | (20,073) | (22,002) | 07,570 |
| MET INCODE ACE (DECDE ACE) IN CACH AND CACH EQUITAL ENTER | 4.042 | 2.207 | (1.000) |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 4,043 | 3,396 | (1,000) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR | 9,857 | 6,461 | 7,461 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR | \$ 13,900 | \$ 9,857 | \$ 6,461 |
| CASH PAID DURING YEAR FOR INTEREST | \$ 3,230 | \$ 4,477 | \$ 3,080 |
| CASH PAID DURING YEAR FOR DIVIDENDS ON MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE | · | | Ψ 5,000 |
| PREFERRED STOCK | 2,744 | 2,491 | |

NON-CASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Stock redemption for repayment of principal on employee notes(A)

(332)

\$

\$

(A) Refer to Note 4 Related Party Transactions for additional information.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

F-7

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

| Company ^(A) | Industry | Investment ^(B) | Principal | Cost | Fair Value |
|---|--------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------------------|---|
| NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE | | | | | |
| INVESTMENTS ^(P) : | | | | | |
| Non-syndicated investments: | | | + | * . * | + |
| AG Transportation Holdings, LLC | Cargo Transport | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.3%, Due 3/2018) (D) Member Profit Participation (18.0% ownership) (F) (G) Profit Participation Warrants (7.0% ownership) (F) (G) | \$ 13,000 | \$ 12,818 1,000 244 | \$ 12,984 |
| | | | | 14,062 | 12,984 |
| Allen Edmonds Shoe Corporation | Personal and non-durable | | | | |
| | consumer products | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.3%, Due 12/2015) (D) | 19,483 | 19,483 | 19,604 |
| Allison Publications, LLC | Printing and publishing | Line of Credit, \$0 available (8.3%, Due 9/2016) (D) | 600 | 600 | 594 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (8.3%, Due 9/2018) (D) Senior Term Debt (13.0%, Due 9/2018) (C) (D) | 2,875 5,400 | 2,875 5,400 | 2,846 |
| | | Sellor Terili Debt (13.0%, Due 9/2018) (5/35) | 3,400 | 3,400 | 5,346 |
| | | | | 8,875 | 8,786 |
| BAS Broadcasting | Broadcasting and entertainment | Senior Term Debt (11.5%, Due 7/2013) (D) | 7,465 | 7,465 | 373 |
| Chinese Yellow Pages Company | Printing and publishing | Line of Credit, \$0 available (7.3%, Due 2/2015) (D) | 243 | 243 | 148 |
| Francis Drilling Fluids, Ltd. | Oil and gas | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.0%, Due 11/2017) (D) | 15,000 | 15,000 | 14,475 |
| | | Preferred Equity Units (999 units) (F) (G) | | 999 | 192 |
| | | Common Equity Units (999 units) (F) G) | | 1 | |
| | | | | 16,000 | 14,667 |
| Funko, LLC | Personal and non-durable | | | 10,000 | 14,007 |
| , | | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.0% and 1.5% PIK, Due | | | |
| | consumer products | 5/2019) ^(D) | 7,530 | 7,530 | 7,530 |
| | | Preferred Equity Units (1,250 units) (F) (G) | ĺ | 1,250 | 1,646 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 8,780 | 9,176 |
| GFRC Holdings, LLC | Buildings and real estate | Line of Credit, \$100 available (8.7%, Due 12/2013) (D) (I) | 100 | 100 | 55 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2013) (D) (I) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 12/2013) (D) (I) | 4,924 6,598 | 4,924 6,598 | 2,708 3,629 |
| | | Schiol Subolumated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 12/2013) (47.5) | 0,596 | 0,396 | 3,029 |
| | | | | 11,622 | 6,392 |
| Heartland Communications Group | Broadcasting and entertainment | Line of Credit, \$0 available (5.0%, Due 3/2014) (D) | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| • | | Line of Credit, \$0 available (10.0%, Due 3/2014) (D) | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (5.0%, Due 3/2014) (D) | 4,342 | 4,342 | 868 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants (8.8% ownership) (F) (G) | | 66 | |
| | | | | 4,608 | 908 |
| International Junior Golf Training Acquisition Company | Leisure, amusement, motion | | | ,,,,, | |
| | pictures and entertainment | Line of Credit, \$0 available (11.0%, Due 5/2014) (D) | 2,250 | 2,250 | 1,238 |
| | • | Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 12/2013) (D) | 261 | 261 | 144 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 5/2014) (C) (D) | 2,500 | 2,500 | 1,375 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 5,011 | 2,757 |
| | | | | | |

| Leeds Novamark Capital I, L.P. | Private equity fund healthcare, | Limited Partnership Interest (8.4% ownership, \$2,700 uncalled | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------|-------|-------|
| | education and childcare | capital commitment) (G) (O) | | 253 | 253 |
| Legend Communications of Wyoming, | Broadcasting and entertainment | | | | |
| LLC | | Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 12/2013) (D) | 6,874 | 6,874 | 1,203 |

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

${\bf CONSOLIDATED\ SCHEDULE\ OF\ INVESTMENTS\ (Continued)}$

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

| Company ^(A) | Industry | Investment(B) | Principal | Cost | Fair Value |
|--|---|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE INV | | | A 4 7 7 0 | | |
| North American Aircraft Services, LLC | Aerospace and defense | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.8%, Due 8/2016) (D) Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.5%, Due 8/2016) (D) | \$ 4,750 2,820 | \$ 4,750 2,820 | \$ 4,774 2,834 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants (35,000 shares) (F) (G) | | 350 | 774 |
| | | | | 7,920 | 8,382 |
| Ohana Media Group | Broadcasting and entertainment | Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 10/2016) (D) | 1,472 | 1,472 | 1,432 |
| POP Radio, LLC | Broadcasting and entertainment | Senior Term Debt (11.8%, Due 5/2017) (D) Junior Subordinated Term Debt (11.0% PIK, Due 11/2017) | 9,422 | 9,422 | 9,540 |
| | | (D) Participation Unit (2.4% ownership) (F) (G) | 556 | 494 75 | 561 |
| | | Tarticipation Onit (2.4% Ownership) | | 13 | |
| | | | | 9,991 | 10,101 |
| Precision Acquisition Group Holdings, Inc. | Machinery | Equipment Note (11.0%, Due 3/2014) (D) (L) | 1,000 | 1,000 | 700 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 3/2014) (D) (L) | 4,125 | 4,125 | 2,888 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 3/2014) (C) (D) (L) | 4,053 | 4,053 | 2,837 |
| | | | | 9,178 | 6,425 |
| PROFIT Systems Acquisition Co. | Electronics | Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 7/2014) (C) (D) (K) | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| Saunders & Associates | Electronics | Line of Credit, \$0 available (11.3%, Due 5/2013) (D) | 917 | 917 | 779 |
| Saunders & Associates | Licetonies | Senior Term Debt (11.3%, Due 5/2013) (D) | 8,947 | 8,947 | 7,605 |
| | | Semoi Term Best (11.3%, Buc 3/2013) | 0,547 | 0,747 | 7,003 |
| | | | | 9,864 | 8,384 |
| Sunburst Media - Louisiana, LLC | | Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 11/2013) (D) | 6,000 | 6,000 | 600 |
| Thibaut Acquisition Co. | Home and office furnishings, housewares and durable | | | | |
| | consumer products | Line of Credit, \$875 available (9.0%, Due 1/2014) (D) (J) | 125 | 125 | 126 |
| | • | Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 1/2014) (C) (D) (J) | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,525 |
| | | | | 2,625 | 2,651 |
| Westland Technologies, Inc. | Diversified/conglomerate | | | 2,023 | 2,031 |
| | manufacturing | Senior Term Debt (7.5%, Due 4/2016) (D) | 850 | 850 | 723 |
| | _ | Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 4/2016) (D) | 4,000 | 4,000 | 3,400 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants (77,287 shares) (F) (G) | | 350 | 18 |
| | | | | 5,200 | 4,141 |
| | | | | | |
| Subtotal Non-syndicated investments | | | | \$ 157,476 | \$ 121,317 |
| Syndicated Investments: | | | | | |
| Allied Security Holdings, LLC | Personal, food and | | | | |
| , | miscellaneous services | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.8%, Due 2/2018) (E) | \$ 1,000 | \$ 992 | \$ 1,008 |
| Ameriqual Group, LLC | Beverage, food and tobacco | Senior Term Debt (9.0%, Due 3/2016) (E) | 7,331 | 7,248 | 7,038 |
| Ardent Medical Services, Inc. | Healthcare, education and childcare | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.0%, Due 1/2019) (E) | 4,000 | 3,927 | 4,070 |
| Ascend Learning, LLC | Healthcare, education and | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.5%, Due 12/2017) (E) | ₹,000 | 3,741 | 7,070 |
| ricena Deurinig, DDC | childcare | Semoi Substantated Term Scott (11.5 %, Due 12/2017) | 1,000 | 980 | 1,000 |
| Autoparts Holdings Limited | Automobile | Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2018) (E) | 1,000 | 996 | 969 |
| | | | | | |

| Blue Coat Systems, Inc. | Electronics | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.5%, Due 6/2020) (E) | 3,000 | 2,971 | 3,015 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|
| First American Payment Systems, L.P. | Finance | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (10.8%, Due 4/2019) (E) | 4,500 | 4,469 | 4,489 |
| New Trident Holdcorp, Inc. | Healthcare, education and | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (10.3%, Due 7/2020) (E) | | | |
| | childcare | | 4,000 | 3,985 | 4,025 |
| PLATO Learning, Inc. | Healthcare, education and | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.3%, Due 5/2019) (E) | | | |
| | childcare | | 5,000 | 4,914 | 5,000 |

F-9

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

${\bf CONSOLIDATED\ SCHEDULE\ OF\ INVESTMENTS\ (Continued)}$

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

| Company ^(A) | Industry | Investment ^(B) | Principal | Cost | Fair Value |
|------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------|----------|------------|
| NON-CONTROL/NON-A | AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS(F | (Continued): | | | |
| RP Crown Parent, LLC | Electronics | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.3%, Due 12/2019) (E) | \$ 2,000 | \$ 1,963 | \$ 2,025 |
| Sensus USA, Inc. | Electronics | Senior Term Debt (8.5%, Due 5/2018) (E) | 500 | 496 | 485 |
| Steinway Musical | Personal and non-durable | | | | |
| Instruments, Inc. | | | | | |
| | consumer products | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.3%, Due 9/2020) (E) | 250 | 247 | 252 |
| SumTotal Systems, Inc. | Electronics | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (10.3%, Due 5/2019) (E) | 4,000 | 3,928 | 3,940 |
| Targus Group | Textiles and leather | Senior Term Debt (11.0% and 1.0% PIK, Due 5/2016) (E) | | | |
| International, Inc. | | | 9,418 | 9,299 | 8,476 |
| Vision Solutions, Inc. | Electronics | Senior Term Debt (9.5%, Due 7/2017) (E) | 11,000 | 10,939 | 10,890 |
| W3, Co. | Oil and Gas | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (9.3%, Due 9/2020) (E) | 499 | 494 | 507 |
| Wall Street Systems | Electronics | Senior Term Debt (9.3%, Due 10/2020) (E) | | | |
| Holdings, Inc. | | | 3,000 | 2,945 | 3,023 |
| WP Evenflo Group | Diversified/conglomerate | | | | |
| Holdings, Inc. | | | | | |
| | manufacturing | Senior Preferred Equity (333 shares) (F) (G) | | 333 | 341 |
| | C | Junior Preferred Equity (111 shares) (F) (G) | | 111 | |
| | | Common Stock (1,874 shares) (F) (G) | | | |
| | | , , , | | | |
| | | | | 444 | 341 |
| | | | | | 511 |