LOCKHEED MARTIN CORP Form 10-Q July 24, 2013 Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES**

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

Quarterly Report Pursuant To Section 13 or 15(d)

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

Commission File Number: 1-11437

# LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

52-1893632

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

6801 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, Maryland

(Address of principal executive offices)

**20817** (Zip Code)

(301) 897-6000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES x NO "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES x NO "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer " Non-Accelerated Filer " Smaller Reporting Company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES "NO x

There were 320,936,590 shares of our common stock, \$1 par value per share, outstanding as of June 30, 2013.

# **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# Form 10-Q

# For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2013

# **Table of Contents**

		Page
PART I. FIN	ANCIAL INFORMATION	
ITEM 1.	Financial Statements.	
	Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the Quarters and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012 (unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Quarters and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012 (unaudited)	4
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 (unaudited) and December 31, 2012	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012 (unaudited)	6
	Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012 (unaudited)	7
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	8
	Report of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	19
ITEM 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	20
ITEM 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	37
ITEM 4.	Controls and Procedures.	37
PART II. OT	HER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1.	Legal Proceedings.	39
ITEM 1A.	Risk Factors.	39

ITEM 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	40
ITEM 6.	Exhibits.	40
SIGNATURE		41

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. Financial Statements.

# **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# **Consolidated Statements of Earnings**

(unaudited; in millions, except per share data)

	<b>Quarters Ended</b>		Six Mon	ths Ended	
	June 30, 2013	June 24, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 24, 2012	
Net sales					
Products	\$ 9,005	\$ 9,543	\$ 17,711	\$ 18,691	
Services	2,403	2,378	4,767	4,523	
Total net sales	11,408	11,921	22,478	23,214	
Cost of sales					
Products	(7,815)	(8,351)	(15,486)	(16,431)	
Services	(2,137)	(2,115)	(4,271)	(4,032)	
Severance charges			(30)		
Other unallocated costs	(232)	(283)	(426)	(570)	
Total cost of sales	(10,184)	(10,749)	(20,213)	(21,033)	
Gross profit	1,224	1,172	2,265	2,181	
Other income, net	74	20	152	55	
Operating profit	1,298	1,192	2,417	2,236	
Interest expense	(88)	(96)	(180)	(192)	
Other non-operating income (expense), net	1	21	(1)	24	
Earnings before income taxes	1,211	1,117	2,236	2,068	
Income tax expense	(352)	(336)	(616)	(619)	
Net earnings	\$ 859	\$ 781	\$ 1,620	\$ 1,449	
Earnings per common share					
Basic	\$ 2.68	\$ 2.41	\$ 5.04	\$ 4.47	
Diluted	2.64	2.38	4.97	4.41	
Cash dividends paid per common share	<b>\$ 1.15</b>	\$ 1.00	\$ 2.30	\$ 2.00	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

3

# **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# **Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income**

(unaudited; in millions)

	<b>Quarters Ended</b>			Six Months Ended		nded		
	June 30,		June 30, June 24, June 3		June 30,	30, June		
		2013		2012		2013		2012
Net earnings	\$	859	\$	781	\$	1,620	\$	1,449
Other comprehensive income, net of tax								
Recognition of previously deferred postretirement benefit plan amounts		253		203		507		406
Other, net		(12)		(37)		(55)		(13)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax		241		166		452		393
Comprehensive income	\$	1.100	\$	947	\$	2,072	\$	1,842

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

4

# **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# **Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(in millions, except par value)

	June 30,	
	2013 (unaudited)	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,845	\$ 1,898
Receivables, net	6,826	6,563
Inventories, net	2,895	2,937
Deferred income taxes	1,233	1,269
Other current assets	580	1,188
Total current assets	14,379	13,855
Property, plant, and equipment, net	4,594	4,675
Goodwill	10,352	10,370
Deferred income taxes	4,681	4,809
Other noncurrent assets	4,941	4,948
Total assets	\$ 38,947	\$ 38,657
Liabilities and stockholders equity		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,044	\$ 2,038
Customer advances and amounts in excess of costs incurred	6,383	6,503
Salaries, benefits, and payroll taxes	1,688	1,649
Current portion of long-term debt		150
Other current liabilities	2,138	1,815
Total current liabilities	12,253	12,155
Accrued pension liabilities	14,766	15,278
Other postretirement benefit liabilities	1,219	1,220
Long-term debt, net	6,140	6,158
Other noncurrent liabilities	3,875	3,807
Total liabilities	38,253	38,618
Stockholders equity		
Common stock, \$1 par value per share	319	321
Additional paid-in capital		
Retained earnings	13,416	13,211
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(13,041)	(13,493)
Total stockholders equity	694	39
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 38,947	\$ 38,657

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

# **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# **Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(unaudited; in millions)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30, June	
	2013	2012
Operating activities		
Net earnings	\$ 1,620	\$ 1,449
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	472	464
Stock-based compensation	112	87
Severance charges	30	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Receivables, net	(244)	(505)
Inventories, net	43	(38)
Accounts payable	5	91
Customer advances and amounts in excess of costs incurred	(120)	(111)
Postretirement benefit plans	236	(138)
Income taxes	569	(105)
Other, net	(15)	109
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,708	1,303
Investing activities		
Capital expenditures	(282)	(306)
Other, net	(65)	8
Net cash used for investing activities	(347)	(298)
Financing activities		
Repurchases of common stock	(926)	(423)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	389	235
Dividends paid	(742)	(653)
Repayments of long-term debt	(150)	
Other, net	15	56
Net cash used for financing activities	(1,414)	(785)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	947	220
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,898	3,582
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 2,845	\$ 3,802

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

6

# **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity

(unaudited; in millions)

## Accumulated

				Other	Total
	Common	Additional Paid-in	Retained	Comprehensive	Stockholders
	Stock	Capital	Earnings	Loss	Equity
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 321	\$	\$ 11,937	\$ (11,257)	\$ 1,001
Net earnings			1,449		1,449
Other comprehensive income, net of tax				393	393
Repurchases of common stock	(5)	(423)			(428)
Dividends declared			(659)		(659)
Stock-based awards and ESOP activity	6	442			448
Balance at June 24, 2012	\$ 322	\$ 19	\$ 12,727	\$ (10,864)	\$ 2,204
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 321	\$	\$ 13,211	\$ (13,493)	\$ 39
Net earnings	ψ 321	Ψ	1,620	ψ (13,473)	1,620
Other comprehensive income, net of tax			1,020	452	452
Repurchases of common stock	(9)	(615)	(290)	432	(914)
Dividends declared	()	(015)	(1,125)		(1,125)
Stock-based awards and ESOP activity	7	615	(1,123)		622
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 319	\$	\$ 13,416	\$ (13.041)	\$ 694

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements.

7

## **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

## **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

#### NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

We prepared these consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. We followed the accounting policies disclosed in the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (2012 Form 10-K) filed with the SEC.

In the opinion of management, these consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments that are of a normal recurring nature necessary for a fair presentation of our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows for the interim periods presented. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. We base these estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Our actual results may differ materially from these estimates. Significant estimates inherent in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, sales and cost recognition, postretirement benefit plans, environmental receivables and liabilities, evaluation of goodwill and other assets for impairment, income taxes including deferred tax assets, fair value measurements, and contingencies. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of subsidiaries we control and variable interest entities if we are the primary beneficiary. We eliminate intercompany balances and transactions in consolidation. We have reclassified certain amounts in prior periods to conform to the current year presentation.

We close our books and records on the last Sunday of the calendar quarter to align our financial closing with our business processes, which was on June 30 for the second quarter of 2013 and June 24 for the second quarter of 2012. The consolidated financial statements and tables of financial information included herein are labeled based on that convention. This practice only affects interim periods, as our fiscal year ends on December 31.

The results of operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year or future periods. Unless otherwise noted, we present all per share amounts cited in these consolidated financial statements on a per diluted share basis. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our 2012 Form 10-K.

## NOTE 2 EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The weighted average number of shares outstanding used to compute earnings per common share were as follows (in millions):

	<b>Quarters Ended</b>		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, June 24,		June 30,	June 24,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic computations	320.8	324.5	321.2	324.3
Weighted average dilutive effect of equity awards	5.1	4.3	4.9	4.4
Weighted average common shares outstanding for diluted computations	325.9	328.8	326.1	328.7

8

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

We compute basic and diluted earnings per common share by dividing net earnings by the respective weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the periods presented. Our calculation of diluted earnings per common share also includes the dilutive effects for the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options and vesting of outstanding restricted stock units based on the treasury stock method.

The computation of diluted earnings per common share excluded 3.3 million and 4.9 million stock options for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 9.6 million for both the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012 because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive, primarily due to their exercise prices exceeding the average market prices of our common stock during the respective periods.

#### NOTE 3 BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

We operate in five business segments: Aeronautics, Information Systems & Global Solutions (IS&GS), Missiles and Fire Control, Mission Systems and Training, and Space Systems. We organize our business segments based on the nature of the products and services offered.

Net sales of our business segments exclude intersegment sales, as these activities are eliminated in consolidation. Intercompany transactions are generally negotiated under terms and conditions that share many similar characteristics (e.g., contract structures, funding profiles, target cost values, contract progress reports) with our third-party contracts, primarily with the U.S. Government.

Operating profit of our business segments includes our share of earnings or losses from equity method investees because the operating activities of the equity method investees are closely aligned with the operations of those business segments. Operating profit of our business segments excludes the non-cash FAS/CAS pension adjustment described below; expense for stock-based compensation; the effects of items not considered part of management s evaluation of segment operating performance, such as charges related to significant severance actions (Note 8, under the caption Severance Activities ); gains or losses from divestitures; the effects of certain legal settlements; corporate costs not allocated to our business segments; and other miscellaneous corporate activities. These items are included in the reconciling item Unallocated expenses, net between operating profit from our business segments and our consolidated operating profit.

The results of operations of our business segments include pension expense only as determined and funded in accordance with U.S. Government Cost Accounting Standards (CAS). The non-cash FAS/CAS pension adjustment represents the difference between pension expense calculated in accordance with GAAP and pension costs calculated and funded in accordance with CAS. CAS governs the extent to which pension costs can be allocated to and recovered on U.S. Government contracts. The CAS expense is recovered through the pricing of our products and services on U.S. Government contracts and, therefore, is recognized in each of our business segments net sales and cost of sales.

9

# **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

Summary operating results for each of our business segments were as follows (in millions):

	Quarters Ended		Six Mon	ths Ended
	June 30,	June 24,		June 24,
	2013	2012	June 30, 2013	2012
Net sales	2015	2012	2015	2012
Aeronautics	\$ 3,407	\$ 3,408	\$ 6,593	\$ 7,114
Information Systems & Global Solutions	2,101	2,263	4,207	4,353
Missiles and Fire Control	2,043	1,843	4,031	3,609
Mission Systems and Training	1,770	2,019	3,600	3,857
Space Systems	2,087	2,388	4,047	4,281
Total net sales	\$ 11,408	\$ 11,921	\$ 22,478	\$ 23,214
Operating profit				
Aeronautics	\$ 407	\$ 454	<b>\$</b> 786	\$ 839
Information Systems & Global Solutions	194	208	383	396
Missiles and Fire Control	381	313	725	684
Mission Systems and Training	275	195	476	352
Space Systems	276	300	506	539
Total business segment operating profit	1,533	1,470	2,876	2,810
Unallocated expenses, net				
Severance charges (a)			(30)	
Other unallocated expenses, net	(235)	(278)	(429)	(574)
Total consolidated operating profit	\$ 1,298	\$ 1,192	\$ 2,417	\$ 2,236
Intersegment sales				
Aeronautics	<b>\$</b> 49	\$ 51	\$ 99	\$ 90
Information Systems & Global Solutions	173	220	363	428
Missiles and Fire Control	71	73	124	155
Mission Systems and Training	252	218	479	437
Space Systems	26	26	49	52
Total intersegment sales	<b>\$</b> 571	\$ 588	<b>\$ 1,114</b>	\$ 1,162

<sup>(</sup>a) Severance charges for the six months ended June 30, 2013 consisted of amounts, net of state tax benefits, associated with the elimination of certain positions at our IS&GS business segment during the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (Note 8, under the caption Severance Activities). Severance charges for initiatives that are not significant are included in business segment operating profit.

Total assets for each of our business segments were as follows (in millions):

	June 30,	
		December 31,
	2013	2012
A ssets		

Aeronautics	\$ 6,331	\$ 6,525
Information Systems & Global Solutions	5,739	5,664
Missiles and Fire Control	4,269	4,186
Mission Systems and Training	6,720	6,589
Space Systems	3,500	3,478
Total business segment assets	26,559	26,442
Corporate assets <sup>(a)</sup>	12,388	12,215
Total assets	\$ 38,947	\$ 38,657

10

<sup>(</sup>a) Corporate assets primarily include cash and cash equivalents, deferred income taxes, environmental receivables, and investments held in a separate trust.

## **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

Our Aeronautics business segment includes our largest program, the F-35 Lightning II Joint Strike Fighter, an international multi-role, stealth fighter. Net sales for the F-35 program represented approximately 15% of our total consolidated net sales for both the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 12% and 13% for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012.

## NOTE 4 INVENTORIES, NET

Inventories, net consisted of the following (in millions):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Work-in-process, primarily related to long-term contracts and programs in progress	\$ 7,338	\$ 7,000
Less: customer advances and progress payments	(5,161)	(4,932)
	2,177	2,068
Other inventories	718	869
Total inventories, net	\$ 2.895	\$ 2.937

#### NOTE 5 POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS

The components of our pretax net periodic benefit costs for our significant qualified defined benefit and retiree medical and life insurance plans were as follows (in millions):

		<b>Quarters Ended</b>			Six Months Ende			led	
	J	June 30,	J	Tune 24,		June 30,		June 24,	
		2013		2012		2013		2012	
Qualified defined benefit pension plans									
Service cost	\$	285	\$	263	\$	571	\$	527	
Interest cost		450		471		900		942	
Expected return on plan assets		(621)		(546)		(1,243)		(1,093)	
Recognized net actuarial losses		353		279		706		558	
Amortization of prior service cost		20		19		40		37	
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$	487	\$	486	\$	974	\$	971	
Retiree medical and life insurance plans									
Service cost	\$	7	\$	7	\$	14	\$	14	
Interest cost		29		33		58		66	
Expected return on plan assets		(37)		(33)		(73)		(66)	
Recognized net actuarial losses		11		8		22		16	
Amortization of prior service credit		(4)		(3)		(9)		(6)	
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$	6	\$	12	\$	12	\$	24	

The recognized net actuarial losses and the amortization of prior service cost (credit) in the table above, as well as similar costs related to our other postretirement benefit plans, reflect costs that were recorded as a component of net periodic benefit cost for the period. These costs totaled \$253 million (net of \$139 million of tax expense) and \$507 million (net of \$278 million of tax expense) for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, and \$203 million (net of \$111 million of tax expense) and \$406 million (net of \$222 million of tax expense) for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012, which are recorded on our Statements of Comprehensive Income as an increase to comprehensive income for all periods presented.

We determine funding requirements for our defined benefit pension plans in a manner consistent with CAS and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), as amended by the Pension Protection Act of 2006. During the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, we made \$750 million in contributions to our qualified defined benefit pension plans. Additionally, we made contributions of \$750 million early in the third quarter of 2013, which completes our anticipated funding of \$1.5 billion for 2013. During the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, there were no contributions to our retiree medical and life insurance plans, and we do not expect to make any contributions to these plans in 2013. Consistent with prior years, we may review options for further contributions in the remainder of 2013.

11

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

#### NOTE 6 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND CONTINGENCIES

We are a party to or have property subject to litigation and other proceedings, including matters arising under provisions relating to the protection of the environment, and are subject to contingencies related to certain businesses we previously owned. We believe the probability is remote that the outcome of each of these matters, including the legal proceedings discussed below, will have a material adverse effect on the Corporation as a whole, notwithstanding that the unfavorable resolution of any matter may have a material effect on our net earnings in any particular interim reporting period. Among the factors that we consider in this assessment are the nature of existing legal proceedings and claims, the asserted or possible damages or loss contingency (if estimable), the progress of the case, existing law and precedent, the opinions or views of legal counsel and other advisers, our experience in similar cases and the experience of other companies, the facts available to us at the time of assessment, and how we intend to respond to the proceeding or claim. Our assessment of these factors may change over time as individual proceedings or claims progress.

Although we cannot predict the outcome of legal proceedings with certainty, GAAP requires us to disclose an estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss or make a statement that such an estimate cannot be made for contingencies where there is at least a reasonable possibility that a loss may have been incurred. We have a thorough process to determine an estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss before we conclude and disclose that an estimate cannot be made. Accordingly, unless otherwise indicated below in our discussion of legal proceedings, a reasonably possible loss or range of loss associated with any individual legal proceeding cannot be estimated.

#### **Legal Proceedings**

We previously disclosed an agreement in principle to settle, without material effect on the Corporation s consolidated financial statements, the class action lawsuit filed by the City of Pontiac General Employees Retirement System and that the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (the Court) had granted preliminary approval of the settlement. On July 23, 2013, the Court granted final approval of the settlement.

On April 24, 2009, we filed a declaratory judgment action against the New York Metropolitan Transportation Authority and its Capital Construction Company (collectively, the MTA) asking the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York to find that the MTA is in material breach of our agreement based on the MTA s failure to provide access to sites where work must be performed and customer-furnished equipment necessary to complete the contract. The MTA filed an answer and counterclaim alleging that we breached the contract, and subsequently terminated the contract for alleged default. The primary damages sought by the MTA are the cost to complete the contract and potential re-procurement costs. While we are unable to estimate the cost of another contractor to complete the contract and the costs of re-procurement, we note that our contract with the MTA had a total value of \$323 million, of which \$241 million was paid to us. We dispute the MTA s allegations and are defending against them. Additionally, following an investigation, our sureties on a performance bond related to this matter, who were represented by independent counsel, concluded that the MTA s termination of the contract was improper. Finally, our declaratory judgment action was later amended to include claims for monetary damages against the MTA.

On August 28, 2003, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) filed complaints in partial intervention in two lawsuits filed under the qui tam provisions of the Civil False Claims Act in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Kentucky, United States ex rel. Natural Resources Defense Council, et al., v. Lockheed Martin Corporation, et al., and United States ex rel. John D. Tillson v. Lockheed Martin Energy Systems, Inc., et al. The DOJ alleges that we committed violations of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant by not properly handling, storing, and transporting hazardous waste and that we violated the False Claims Act by misleading Department of Energy officials and state regulators about the nature and extent of environmental noncompliance at the plant. The complaint does not allege a specific calculation of damages. On April 16, 2013, the parties attended a settlement conference ordered by the magistrate judge. The conference focused on the parties—sharply differing views of the merits of the case and did not significantly contribute to our understanding of the damages sought. Accordingly, we cannot estimate the reasonably possible loss, or range of loss, which could be incurred if the plaintiffs were to prevail in the allegations, but believe that we have substantial defenses. We dispute the allegations and are defending against them.

12

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

#### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

#### **Environmental Matters**

We are involved in environmental proceedings and potential proceedings relating to soil and groundwater contamination, disposal of hazardous waste, and other environmental matters at several of our current or former facilities, or at third-party sites where we have been designated as a potentially responsible party (PRP). A substantial portion of environmental costs will be included in our net sales and cost of sales in future periods pursuant to U.S. Government regulations. At the time a liability is recorded for future environmental costs, we record a receivable for estimated future recovery considered probable through the pricing of products and services to agencies of the U.S. Government, regardless of the contract form (e.g., cost-reimbursable, fixed-price). We continuously evaluate the recoverability of our environmental receivables by assessing, among other factors, U.S. Government regulations, our U.S. Government business base and contract mix, and our history of receiving reimbursement of such costs. We include the portion of those environmental costs expected to be allocated to our non-U.S. Government contracts, or that is determined to be unallowable for pricing under U.S. Government contracts, in our cost of sales at the time the liability is established.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the aggregate amount of liabilities recorded relative to environmental matters was \$978 million and \$950 million, of which \$875 million and \$844 million is recorded in other noncurrent liabilities on our Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, with the remainder recorded in other current liabilities. We have recorded receivables totaling \$846 million and \$821 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, for the estimated future recovery of these costs, as we consider the recovery probable based on the factors previously mentioned. Of those amounts, \$756 million and \$730 million are recorded in other noncurrent assets on our Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, with the remainder recorded in other current assets. We project costs and recovery of costs over approximately 20 years.

Environmental cleanup activities usually span several years, which make estimating liabilities a matter of judgment because of uncertainties with respect to assessing the extent of the contamination as well as such factors as changing remediation technologies and continually evolving regulatory environmental standards. There are a number of former operating facilities that we are monitoring or investigating for potential future remediation. We perform quarterly reviews of the status of our environmental remediation sites and the related liabilities and receivables. Additionally, in our quarterly reviews, we consider these and other factors in estimating the timing and amount of any future costs that may be required for remediation activities and record a liability when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the loss can be reasonably estimated. The amount of liability recorded is based on our estimate of the costs to be incurred for remediation at a particular site. We do not discount the recorded liabilities, as the amount and timing of future cash payments are not fixed or cannot be reliably determined.

We reasonably cannot determine the extent of our financial exposure in all cases as, although a loss may be probable or reasonably possible, in some cases it is not possible at this time to estimate the loss or reasonably possible loss or range of loss. We also are pursuing claims for recovery of costs incurred or contribution to site cleanup costs against other PRPs, including the U.S. Government.

Both the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment announced plans in January 2011 to regulate two chemicals, perchlorate and hexavalent chromium, to levels in drinking water that are expected to be substantially lower than the existing public health goals or standards established in California. The rulemaking processes are lengthy and may take years to complete. If substantially lower standards are adopted, we would expect a material increase in our estimates for environmental liabilities and the related assets for the portion of the increased costs that are probable of future recovery in the pricing of our products and services for the U.S. Government. The amount that would be allocable to our non-U.S. Government contracts or that is determined to be unallowable for pricing under U.S. Government contracts would be expensed, which may have a material effect on our earnings in any particular interim reporting period.

We are conducting remediation activities under various consent decrees and orders relating to soil, groundwater, sediment, or surface water contamination at certain sites of former or current operations. Under an agreement related to our Burbank and Glendale, California, sites, the U.S. Government reimburses us an amount equal to approximately 50% of expenditures for certain remediation activities in its capacity as a PRP under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA).

13

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

#### Letters of Credit, Surety Bonds, and Third-Party Guarantees

We have entered into standby letters of credit, surety bonds, and third-party guarantees with financial institutions and other third parties primarily relating to advances received from customers and the guarantee of future performance on certain contracts. Letters of credit and surety bonds generally are available for draw down in the event we do not perform. In some cases, we may guarantee the contractual performance of third parties such as joint venture partners. We had total outstanding letters of credit, surety bonds, and third-party guarantees aggregating \$2.4 billion and \$2.2 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, third-party guarantees totaled \$799 million and \$816 million, of which approximately 85% related to guarantees of the contractual performance of joint ventures to which we currently are or previously were a party. This amount represents our estimate of the maximum amount we would expect to incur upon the contractual non-performance of the joint venture partners. In addition, we generally have cross-indemnities in place that may enable us to recover amounts that may be paid on behalf of a joint venture partner. We believe our current and former joint venture partners will be able to perform their obligations, as they have done through June 30, 2013, and that it will not be necessary to make payments under the guarantees. In determining our exposures, we evaluate the reputation, technical capabilities, and credit quality of our current and former joint venture partners.

#### **United Launch Alliance**

In connection with our 50% ownership interest of United Launch Alliance, L.L.C. (ULA), we and The Boeing Company (Boeing) have each received distributions totaling \$527 million (since ULA s formation in December 2006) which are subject to agreements between us, Boeing, and ULA, whereby, if ULA does not have sufficient cash resources or credit capacity to make required payments under the inventory supply agreement it has with Boeing, both we and Boeing would provide to ULA, in the form of an additional capital contribution, the level of funding required for ULA to make those payments. Any such capital contributions would not exceed the amount of the distributions subject to the agreements. Based on current expectations of ULA s cash flow needs, we currently believe that ULA should have sufficient operating cash flows and credit capacity, including access to its \$560 million revolving credit agreement from third-party financial institutions, to meet its obligations such that we would not be required to make a contribution under these agreements during 2013.

In addition, both we and Boeing have cross-indemnified each other for guarantees by us and Boeing of the performance and financial obligations of ULA under certain launch service contracts. We believe ULA will be able to fully perform its obligations, as it has done through June 30, 2013, and that it will not be necessary to make payments under the cross-indemnities or guarantees.

14

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

#### NOTE 7 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis consisted of the following (in millions):

	J	June 30, 201	13	<b>December 31, 2012</b>			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Total	Level 1	Level 2	
Assets							
Equity securities	<b>\$ 70</b>	<b>\$</b> 70	\$	\$ 75	\$ 75	\$	
Mutual funds	436	436		418	418		
U.S. Government securities	228		228	213		213	
Other securities	134		134	141		141	
Derivatives	41		41	39		39	
Liabilities							
Derivatives	32		32	25		25	

Substantially all assets measured at fair value, other than derivatives, represent investments classified as trading securities held in a separate trust to fund certain of our non-qualified deferred compensation plans and are recorded in other noncurrent assets on our Balance Sheets. The fair values of equity securities and mutual funds are determined by reference to the quoted market price per unit in active markets multiplied by the number of units held without consideration of transaction costs. The fair values of U.S. Government and other securities are determined using model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets. The fair values of derivative instruments, which consist of foreign currency exchange forward and interest rate swap contracts, primarily are determined based on the present value of future cash flows using model-derived valuations that use observable inputs such as interest rates, credit spreads, and foreign currency exchange rates. We did not have any transfers of assets or liabilities between levels of the fair value hierarchy during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

We use derivative instruments principally to reduce our exposure to market risks from changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. We do not enter into or hold derivative instruments for speculative trading purposes. We transact business globally and are subject to risks associated with changing foreign currency exchange rates. We enter into foreign currency hedges such as forward and option contracts that change in value as foreign currency exchange rates change. These contracts hedge forecasted foreign currency transactions in order to mitigate fluctuations in our earnings and cash flows associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We designate foreign currency hedges as cash flow hedges. We also are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes primarily through our borrowing activities. For fixed rate borrowings, we may use variable interest rate swaps, effectively converting fixed rate borrowings to variable rate borrowings in order to reduce the amount of interest paid. These swaps are designated as fair value hedges. For variable rate borrowings, we may use fixed interest rate swaps, effectively converting variable rate borrowings to fixed rate borrowings in order to mitigate the impact of interest rate changes on earnings. These swaps are designated as cash flow hedges. We may also enter into derivative instruments that are not designated as hedges and do not qualify for hedge accounting, which are intended to mitigate certain economic exposures.

The aggregate notional amount of our outstanding foreign currency hedges at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$1.1 billion and \$1.3 billion. The aggregate notional amount of our outstanding interest rate swaps at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$1.1 billion and \$503 million. Derivative instruments did not have a material impact on net earnings and comprehensive income during the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012. Substantially all of our derivatives are designated for hedge accounting.

In addition to the financial instruments listed in the table above, we hold other financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and debt. The carrying values for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable approximated their fair values. The estimated fair value of our outstanding debt was \$7.5 billion and \$8.2 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and the outstanding principal amount was \$7.0 billion and \$7.2 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, excluding unamortized discounts of \$887 million and \$892 million. The estimated fair values of our outstanding debt were determined based on quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets (Level 2).

15

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

#### NOTE 8 OTHER

#### **Changes in Estimates**

Accounting for contracts using the percentage-of-completion method requires judgment relative to assessing risks, estimating contract sales and costs (including estimating award and incentive fees and penalties related to performance), and making assumptions for schedule and technical issues. Due to the number of years it may take to complete many of our contracts and the scope and nature of the work required to be performed on those contracts, the estimation of total sales and costs at completion is complicated and subject to many variables.

Many of our contracts span several years and include highly complex technical requirements. At the outset of a contract, we identify and monitor risks to the achievement of the technical, schedule, and cost aspects of the contract, and assess the effects of those risks on our estimates of total costs to complete the contract. The estimates consider the technical requirements (e.g., a newly-developed product versus a mature product), the schedule and associated tasks (e.g., the number and type of milestone events), and costs (e.g., material, labor, subcontractor, and overhead). The initial profit booking rate of each contract considers risks surrounding the ability to achieve the technical requirements, schedule, and costs in the initial estimated costs at completion. Profit booking rates may increase during the performance of the contract if we successfully retire risks surrounding the technical, schedule, and cost aspects of the contract. Conversely, our profit booking rates may decrease if the estimated costs to complete the contract increase. All of the estimates are subject to change during the performance of the contract and may affect the profit booking rate.

In any particular period, due to the nature of inception-to-date adjustments and other changes in estimates that can occur, such as the resolution of contractual matters, reserves for disputes, asset impairments, and insurance recoveries, among others, the comparability of our sales, segment operating profit, and segment operating margins may be affected. Our consolidated net adjustments not related to volume, including net profit booking rate adjustments and other matters, increased segment operating profit, net of state income taxes, by \$585 million and \$1.1 billion for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 and \$595 million and \$1.1 billion for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. These adjustments increased net earnings by \$380 million (\$1.17 per share) and \$685 million (\$2.10 per share) for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 and \$385 million (\$1.17 per share) and \$700 million (\$2.13 per share) for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012.

## Stockholders Equity

Repurchases of Common Stock

Pursuant to a share repurchase program (the Program) approved by our Board of Directors, we are authorized to repurchase up to \$6.5 billion of our common stock in privately negotiated transactions or in the open market at prices per share not exceeding the then-current market prices. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we paid \$926 million to repurchase 9.6 million shares of our common stock, which includes a portion committed to in December 2012 that settled in cash during the quarter ended March 31, 2013. We reduced stockholders equity by \$914 million, which represents the 9.5 million shares of common stock repurchases we committed to during the six months ended June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, we had repurchased a total of 63.8 million shares of our common stock under the Program for \$5.1 billion, and had remaining authorization of \$1.4 billion for future share repurchases. As we repurchase our common shares, we reduce common stock for the \$1 of par value of the shares repurchased, with the excess purchase price over par value recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital. If additional paid-in capital is reduced to zero, we record the remainder of the excess purchase price over par value as a reduction of retained earnings.

16

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

Restricted Stock Unit and Performance Stock Unit Grants

In January 2013, we granted certain employees 1.4 million restricted stock units (RSUs) with a grant-date fair value of \$89.24 per RSU. The grant-date fair value of these RSUs is equal to the closing market price of our common stock on the date of grant less a discount to reflect the delay in payment of cash dividend-equivalents that are made only upon vesting. Substantially all of these RSUs vest at the end of three years from the date of grant. We recognize the grant-date fair value of these RSUs, less estimated forfeitures, as compensation expense ratably over the requisite service period, which is shorter than the vesting period if the employee is retirement eligible on the date of grant or will become retirement eligible before the end of the vesting period.

In January 2013, we also granted certain employees 0.3 million performance stock units (PSUs), which vest at the end of three years from the date of grant based on continuous service and whether we achieve certain financial and performance targets measured over the period from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2015. About half of these awards were valued at \$89.24 per PSU in a manner similar to the RSUs discussed above as the financial targets are based on our operations. We recognize the grant-date fair value of these PSUs, less estimated forfeitures, as compensation expense ratably over the vesting period based on the number of awards expected to vest at each reporting date and, therefore, the associated compensation expense recognized could vary from period to period. The remaining PSUs were valued at \$61.13 per PSU using a Monte Carlo model as the performance target is related to total shareholder return relative to our peer group. We recognize the grant-date fair value of these awards, less estimated forfeitures, as compensation expense ratably over the vesting period regardless as to whether or not the performance target is achieved.

#### Dividends

During the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, we declared cash dividends totaling \$754 million (\$2.30 per share) and \$1.1 billion (\$3.45 per share). The 2013 dividend amounts include the declaration of our 2013 third quarter dividend of \$1.15 per share, which totaled \$375 million. During the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012, we declared cash dividends totaling \$331 million (\$1.00 per share) and \$659 million (\$2.00 per share).

## Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Changes in the balance of accumulated other comprehensive loss (AOCL), net of income taxes, consisted of the following (in millions):

	Postretirement Benefit Plans	Other, net	AOCL
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ (11,186)	\$ (71)	\$ (11,257)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications		(14)	(14)
Amounts reclassified from AOCL			
Net actuarial losses (a)	386		386
Prior service cost (a)	20		20
Other		1	1
Total reclassified from AOCL	406	1	407
Total other comprehensive income	406	(13)	393
Balance at June 24, 2012	\$ (10,780)	\$ (84)	\$ (10,864)
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ (13,532)	\$ 39	\$ (13,493)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications		(52)	(52)
Amounts reclassified from AOCL			
Net actuarial losses (a)	487		487
Prior service cost (a)	20		20

Other		(3)	(3)
Total reclassified from AOCL	507	(3)	504
Total other comprehensive income	507	(55)	452
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ (13,025)	<b>\$</b> (16)	\$ (13,041)

<sup>(</sup>a) Amounts related to our postretirement benefit plans that were reclassified from AOCL were recorded as a component of net periodic benefit cost for each period presented (Note 5). These amounts include the amortization of net actuarial losses of \$243 million and \$193 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012 and prior service cost of \$10 million for each of the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012.

17

## **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

#### **Income Taxes**

Our effective income tax rates were 29.1% and 27.5% for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, and 30.1% and 29.9% for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. The rates for all periods benefited from tax deductions for U.S. manufacturing activities and tax deductions for dividends paid to our defined contribution plans with an employee stock ownership plan feature.

The effective tax rate for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 also benefited from the impact of the U.S. research and development (R&D) tax credit. On January 2, 2013, the President signed into law the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012, which retroactively reinstated the R&D tax credit for two years, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013. As the effects of tax law changes are recognized in the period in which new legislation is enacted, \$37 million (\$.11 per share) of tax benefit attributable to 2012 was recorded during the quarter ended March 31, 2013. In addition, a comparable amount of ongoing tax benefits from the R&D credit attributable to 2013 are being recognized ratably over each quarter of 2013.

We made net federal and foreign income tax payments of \$140 million (net of a \$550 million refund from the Internal Revenue Service primarily attributable to our tax-deductible pension contribution and debt exchange transaction during the fourth quarter of 2012) and \$690 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012.

#### **Severance Activities**

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded severance charges totaling \$30 million, net of state tax benefits, which reduced our net earnings by \$19 million (\$.06 per share). These severance actions were recorded during the quarter ended March 31, 2013, and resulted from a strategic review of our IS&GS business segment to better align our cost structure with changing economic conditions and also reflect changes in program lifecycles. The charges consisted of severance costs associated with the planned elimination of certain positions through either voluntary or involuntary actions. Upon separation, terminated employees will receive lump-sum severance payments primarily based on years of service. During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, we paid most of the severance payments associated with these actions, the remainder of which are expected to be paid in the second half of 2013.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we paid substantially all of the severance payments associated with severance actions initiated in 2012. The 2012 actions, for which we recorded charges in the third and fourth quarters of 2012 totaling \$48 million, related to the elimination of certain positions at our Aeronautics business segment and our former Electronic Systems business segment.

#### Long-term Debt

In April 2013, we repaid \$150 million of long-term notes with a fixed interest rate of 7.38% due to their scheduled maturities.

18

## Review Report of Ernst & Young LLP,

## **Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

**Board of Directors** 

Lockheed Martin Corporation

We have reviewed the consolidated balance sheet of Lockheed Martin Corporation as of June 30, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of earnings and comprehensive income for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012, and the consolidated statements of cash flows and stockholders equity for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation s management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Lockheed Martin Corporation as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income, cash flows, and stockholders—equity for the year then ended (not presented herein), and we expressed an unqualified audit opinion on those consolidated financial statements in our report dated February 28, 2013. In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia

July 24, 2013

19

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

## ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

#### BUSINESS OVERVIEW

We are a global security and aerospace company principally engaged in the research, design, development, manufacture, integration, and sustainment of advanced technology systems and products. We also provide a broad range of management, engineering, technical, scientific, logistic, and information services. We serve both domestic and international customers with products and services that have defense, civil, and commercial applications, with our principal customers being agencies of the U.S. Government. In 2012, 82% of our \$47.2 billion in net sales were from the U.S. Government, either as a prime contractor or as a subcontractor (including 61% from the Department of Defense (DoD)), 17% were from international customers (including foreign military sales contracted through the U.S. Government), and 1% were from U.S. commercial and other customers. Our main areas of focus are in defense, space, intelligence, homeland security, and information technology, including cyber security.

The following discussion is a supplement to and should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes and with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (2012 Form 10-K).

### INDUSTRY CONSIDERATIONS

#### **U.S. Government Funding Constraints**

The U.S. Government, our principal customer, continues to face significant fiscal and economic challenges such as financial deficits, budget uncertainty, debt levels approaching the debt ceiling limit, and an economy with restrained growth. In order to address these challenges, the U.S. Government continues to focus on discretionary spending, entitlements, taxes, and other initiatives to stimulate the economy, create jobs, and reduce the deficit. In doing so, the Administration and Congress must balance decisions regarding defense, homeland security, and other federal spending priorities in a constrained fiscal environment largely imposed by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (Budget Act). The Budget Act established limits on discretionary spending, which will reduce planned defense spending by a minimum of \$487 billion over a 10 year period that began with government fiscal year (GFY) 2012 (a U.S. Government fiscal year starts on October 1 and ends on September 30).

The Budget Act also provided for additional automatic spending reductions, known as sequestration, which went into effect on March 1, 2013, that will further reduce planned defense spending by approximately \$500 billion over a nine-year period that began in GFY 2013. For GFY 2013, sequestration will result in a \$37 billion reduction to the defense budget in addition to \$45 billion in reductions as a result of the discretionary spending limits already imposed under the Budget Act. While the defense budget will sustain the largest single reduction, other civil agencies and programs will be impacted significantly as well by sequestration cuts of \$44 billion. In light of the Budget Act and deficit reduction pressures, it is likely that discretionary spending by the federal government will remain constrained for a number of years.

Sequestration, if left unmodified, could have significant negative impacts on our industry and company in future periods. There may be disruption of ongoing programs, impacts to our supply chain, contractual actions (including partial or complete terminations), potential facilities closures, and thousands of personnel reductions across the industry that will severely impact advanced manufacturing operations and engineering expertise, and accelerate the loss of skills and knowledge. We expect that sequestration, or other future budgetary cuts in lieu of the further implementation of sequestration, will have a material negative effect on our company.

#### **Potential 2013 Sequestration Impacts**

Several months into sequestration, most federal agencies have yet to fully implement the reductions required under sequestration. The DoD continues to work through the various components of a sequestration plan and has begun to execute furloughs for a large portion of its civilian workforce. On June 13, 2013, the DoD released a report to Congress indicating that the \$37 billion sequester reduction for GFY 2013, which is required to be achieved by September 30, 2013, is not spread evenly across all programs and that approximately \$6 billion of the reduction is applied to prior years

20

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

#### Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

#### and Results of Operations (continued)

unobligated funds while \$31 billion is reduced from GFY 2013 funds. While our key programs continue to be supported at the lower funding levels, they are subject to significant reductions as are all programs. The overall application of the reductions varies by program, both in total percentage and the allocation of reductions between GFY 2013 funds and prior-year unobligated balances. Importantly, the extent to which the program reductions will be applied to our component of the program, the U.S. Government program management activities, manufacturers, and other subcontractors remains unclear. Despite the issuance of the DoD s report, we have experienced minimal impacts from sequestration as the DoD has not yet implemented program or contractual actions. However, significant program changes are expected when the DoD implements the GFY 2013 budget reductions at the individual program level, which are required to be implemented by September 30, 2013.

Subject to remaining uncertainties and recognizing that our estimate does not forecast customer priorities, our current sales estimate for 2013 is a mid-single digit percentage decline from our 2012 net sales amount, inclusive of the estimated impact of sequestration. Future years could be materially impacted. We expect that the impact of sequestration on our operating results will lag in certain of our businesses with longer cycles such as our Aeronautics and Space Systems business segments, and our products businesses within our Missiles and Fire Control (MFC) and Mission Systems and Training (MST) business segments, due to our production contract backlog being funded with money from the U.S. Government s GFY 2012 and prior budgets. Due to strong performance thus far in 2013, we do not expect our 2013 operating profit and cash flow to decline at the same rate as sales, assuming that no significant sequestration-related restructuring charges will be required. We are unable to reasonably estimate the cost and cash flow impact and timing of restructuring initiatives, if any, that may become necessary in the future (potentially including, but not limited to, severance payments made to employees, facility closure expenses, and impairments of assets, including goodwill) to align our cost structure to a lower sales base.

### **Potential 2014 Sequestration Impacts**

After the President signed into law the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act of 2013, which extended funding for all federal agencies through September 30, 2013, the budget focus shifted to the GFY 2014 budget. The President and both houses of Congress have proposed plans that are broadly divergent but share the assumption that sequestration will be reduced or eliminated for GFY 2014. As the U.S. Government s current fiscal year comes to a close on September 30, 2013, in the coming months Congress will need to pass a new full year appropriations measure or a new continuing resolution to keep the U.S. Government operating. Also, it is expected that in late 2013 the U.S. Government will reach its current debt ceiling limit and Congress will need to raise this debt limit in order for the U.S. Government to continue borrowing money. Either or both of these events will require a negotiated agreement to avoid a government shutdown. In the context of these negotiations, it is possible that existing sequestration cuts to government programs could be kept in place, replaced with different spending cuts, and/or replaced with a package of broader reforms to reduce the federal deficit over the long term through changes in tax policy and entitlement programs.

#### **Other Matters**

Despite the continuing uncertainty on U.S. Government budgets, the investments and acquisitions we have made in recent years have sought to align our businesses with what we believe are the most critical national priorities and mission areas. The possibility remains, however, that our programs could be materially reduced, extended, or terminated as a result of the government s continuing assessment of priorities, changes in government priorities, the implementation of sequestration (particularly in those circumstances where sequestration is implemented across-the-board without regard to national priorities), or other budget cuts intended to avoid the continued implementation of sequestration. Additionally, decreases in production volume associated with sequestration, or other budget cuts intended to avoid further implementation of sequestration, will increase unit costs making our products less affordable for both our domestic and international customers. Sequestration may also result in significant rescheduling or termination activity with our supplier base. Such activity could result in claims from our suppliers, which may include both the amount established in any settlement agreements, the costs of evaluating the supplier settlement proposals, and the costs of negotiating settlement agreements. We expect that these costs will be recovered from our customers.

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

## Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

### and Results of Operations (continued)

We are committed to the fair treatment of our employees and compliance with law. Accordingly, with the impacts of sequestration, or other budget cuts intended to replace the continued implementation of sequestration, we will provide affected employees the notice required by the federal and applicable state Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification (WARN) Acts when we conclude, based on the circumstances and those laws, that notice is required or otherwise appropriate. On September 28, 2012, the Office of Management and Budget and DoD issued guidance intended to assure that the costs of complying with federal and state WARN Acts are recoverable in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulations and we expect to recover these costs. A March 4, 2013 letter from the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology & Logistics) to the Corporation is consistent with this earlier guidance as it stresses the uncertainty facing the DoD and states that the Corporation will be contacted when specific decisions are made.

#### CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Since our operating cycle is long-term and involves many types of contracts for the design, development, and manufacturing of products and related activities with varying delivery schedules, the results of operations of a particular period, or period-to-period comparisons of recorded sales and profits, may not be indicative of future operating results. The following discussions of comparative results among periods should be viewed in this context. All per share amounts cited in these discussions are presented on a per diluted share basis, unless otherwise noted. Our consolidated results of operations were as follows (in millions, except per share data):

	<b>Quarters Ended</b>			Six Mont			ths Ended	
	June 30,		June 24,		June 30,		June 24,	
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
Operating results								
Net sales	\$ 11,408	\$	11,921	\$	22,478	\$	23,214	
Cost of sales	(10,184)		(10,749)		(20,213)		(21,033)	
Other income, net	74		20		152		55	
Operating profit	1,298		1,192		2,417		2,236	
Interest expense	(88)		(96)		(180)		(192)	
Other non-operating income (expense), net	1		21		(1)		24	
Income tax expense	(352)		(336)		(616)		(619)	
Net earnings	\$ 859	\$	781	\$	1,620	\$	1,449	
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 2.64	\$	2.38	\$	4.97	\$	4.41	

Amounts reported in other income, net (primarily our share of earnings or losses from equity method investees) on our Statements of Earnings are included in the segment operating profit and segment operating margins of our Business Segment Results of Operations but are excluded from the net sales and cost of sales tables below.

22

## **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

## Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

#### and Results of Operations (continued)

#### **Net Sales**

Products sales are predominantly generated in our Aeronautics, MFC, MST, and Space Systems business segments, and most of our services sales are generated in our Information Systems & Global Solutions (IS&GS) and MFC business segments. Our consolidated net sales were as follows (in millions):

	Quarter	rs Ended	Six Months Ended			
	June 30,	June 24,	June 30,	June 24,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012		
Net sales						
Products	\$ 9,005	\$ 9,543	\$ 17,711	\$ 18,691		
Services	2,403	2,378	4,767	4,523		
Total net sales	\$ 11,408	\$ 11,921	\$ 22,478	\$ 23,214		

Substantially all of our contracts are accounted for using the percentage-of-completion (POC) method of accounting. Under the POC method, we record net sales on contracts based upon our progress towards completion on a particular contract, as well as our estimate of the profit to be earned at completion. The following discussion of material changes in our consolidated net sales should be read in tandem with the following discussion of changes in our consolidated cost of sales and our Business Segment Results of Operations section because, due to the nature of POC accounting, changes in our sales are typically accompanied by a corresponding change in our cost of sales.

## **Products Sales**

Our products sales represent about 80% of our net sales for both the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012. Product sales decreased \$538 million, or 6%, during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, compared to the quarter ended June 24, 2012, primarily due to lower volume and deliveries. Products sales decreased about \$315 million at Space Systems primarily due to decreased commercial satellite deliveries and lower volume and risk retirements on the Orion Multi-Purpose Crew Vehicle (Orion) program, partially offset by increased volume on government satellite programs (primarily Advanced Extremely High Frequency (AEHF) and Global Positioning System (GPS)); about \$230 million at MST primarily due to the completion of deliveries on the Persistent Threat Detection System (PTDS) program in the quarter ended June 24, 2012, partially offset by higher volume from the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) program; and about \$190 million at IS&GS primarily due to lower volume on various programs. These decreases were partially offset by higher products sales of about \$205 million at MFC primarily due to increased volume and risk retirements from air and missile defense programs (primarily Patriot Advanced Capability-3 (PAC-3) and Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD)). Products sales at Aeronautics for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 were comparable to the quarter ended June 24, 2012.

Our products sales represent about 80% of our net sales for both the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012. Products sales decreased \$980 million, or 5%, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012, primarily due to lower volume and deliveries. Products sales decreased about \$555 million at Aeronautics primarily due to fewer deliveries and lower production volume (primarily F-16, C-130, and F-22 programs) and a favorable resolution of a contractual matter on the F-22 program during the quarter ended June 24, 2012, partially offset by higher net sales due to increased production volume and higher risk retirements from F-35 production contracts, increased volume on the F-35 development contract, and increased sustainment activities from C-5 programs. Products sales decreased about \$310 million at IS&GS primarily due to lower volume on various programs; about \$255 million at MST primarily due to the completion of deliveries on the PTDS program in the quarter ended June 24, 2012, partially offset by increased volume from the LCS program; and about \$255 million at Space Systems primarily due to decreased commercial satellite deliveries and lower volume and risk retirements on the Orion program, partially offset by increased volume on government satellite programs (primarily AEHF and GPS). These decreases were partially offset by higher products sales of about \$395 million at MFC primarily due to increased volume from air and missile defense programs

(primarily PAC-3 and THAAD) and tactical missile programs (primarily Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile (JASSM)).

23

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

#### Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

#### and Results of Operations (continued)

#### Services Sales

Our services sales represent about 20% of our net sales for both the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012. Our services sales increased \$25 million, or 1%, during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, compared to the quarter ended June 24, 2012, and increased \$244 million, or 5%, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012. Services sales at IS&GS increased about \$30 million and about \$165 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012 primarily due to the start-up of certain programs (primarily the Defense Information Systems Agency Global Information Grid Services Management-Operations (DISA GSM-O) contract and the National Science Foundation Antarctic Support Contract (NSF ASC)). Services sales at MFC for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 were comparable to the quarter ended June 24, 2012, and increased about \$30 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012, primarily due to higher volume on the Special Operations Forces Contractor Logistics Support Services (SOF CLSS) program.

#### Cost of Sales

Cost of sales, for both products and services, consist of materials, labor, and subcontracting costs, as well as an allocation of indirect costs (overhead and general and administrative). For each of our contracts, we monitor the nature and amount of costs at the contract level, which form the basis for estimating our total costs at the completion of the contract. Our consolidated cost of sales were as follows (in millions):

	<b>Quarters Ended</b>			Six Months Ended			Ended	
	June 30,		June 24,			June 30,		June 24,
		2013		2012		2013		2012
Cost of sales								
Cost of products sales	\$	7,815	\$	8,351	\$	15,486	\$	16,431
% of products sales		86.8%		87.5%		87.4%		87.9%
Cost of services sales		2,137		2,115		4,271		4,032
% of services sales		88.9%		88.9%		89.6%		89.1%
Severance charges						30		
Other unallocated costs		232		283		426		570
Total cost of sales	\$	10,184	\$	10,749	\$	20,213	\$	21,033

Due to the nature of POC accounting, changes in our cost of products and services sales are typically accompanied by changes in our net sales. The following discussion of material changes in our consolidated cost of sales should be read in tandem with the preceding discussion of changes in our consolidated net sales and with our Business Segment Results of Operations section. We have not identified any developing trends in cost of sales that would have a material impact on our future operations.

### Cost of Products Sales

Cost of products sales decreased \$536 million, or 6%, during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, compared to the quarter ended June 24, 2012, primarily due to lower volume and deliveries. Cost of products sales decreased about \$315 million at MST primarily due to the completion of deliveries on the PTDS program in the quarter ended June 24, 2012, and settlement of contract cost matters on certain programs (including a portion of the terminated presidential helicopter program), partially offset by higher cost of products sales due to increased volume on the LCS program. Cost of products sales decreased about \$235 million at Space Systems primarily due to decreased commercial satellite deliveries and lower volume on the Orion program, partially offset by increased volume on government satellite programs (primarily AEHF and GPS). Cost of products sales decreased at IS&GS by about \$165 million, primarily due to lower volume on various programs. Cost of products sales increased

at MFC by about \$140 million primarily due to increased volume from air and missile defense programs (primarily PAC-3 and THAAD). Cost of products sales increased at Aeronautics by about \$35 million primarily due to lower risk retirements on F-22 and C-130 programs. The 0.7% decrease in the percentage of cost of products sales relative to products sales for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, compared to

24

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

## Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

#### and Results of Operations (continued)

the quarter ended June 24, 2012, was primarily due to settlement of contract cost matters on certain programs (including a portion of the terminated presidential helicopter program) at MST.

Cost of products sales decreased \$945 million, or 6%, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012, primarily due to lower volume and deliveries. Cost of products sales decreased at Aeronautics by about \$475 million primarily due to fewer deliveries and lower production volume (primarily F-16, C-130, and F-22 programs), partially offset by higher cost of products sales due to increased production volume from F-35 production contracts, increased volume on the F-35 development contract, increased sustainment activities from C-5 programs, and lower risk retirements (primarily C-130 and C-5 programs). Cost of products sales decreased about \$380 million at MST primarily due to the completion of deliveries on the PTDS program in the quarter ended June 24, 2012, increased risk retirements on integrated warfare systems and sensors programs, and settlement of contract cost matters on certain programs (including a portion of the terminated presidential helicopter program), partially offset by higher cost of products sales due to increased volume on the LCS program. Cost of products sales decreased at IS&GS by about \$290 million, primarily due to lower volume on various programs. Cost of products sales decreased about \$155 million at Space Systems primarily due to decreased commercial satellite deliveries and lower volume on the Orion program, partially offset by increased volume on government satellite programs (primarily AEHF and GPS). Cost of products sales increased at MFC by about \$350 million primarily due to increased volume from air and missile defense programs (primarily PAC-3 and THAAD) and increased volume from tactical missile programs (primarily JASSM).

#### Cost of Services Sales

Our cost of services sales increased \$22 million, or 1%, during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the quarter ended June 24, 2012, and increased \$239 million, or 6%, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012. Cost of services sales at IS&GS increased about \$15 million and \$155 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012 primarily due to the start-up of certain programs (primarily the DISA GSM-O contract and NSF ASC). Cost of services sales at MFC for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 were comparable to the quarter ended June 24, 2012, and increased about \$35 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012, primarily due to higher volume on the SOF CLSS program.

## Severance Charges

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded severance charges totaling \$30 million, net of state tax benefits, which reduced our net earnings by \$19 million (\$.06 per share). These severance actions were recorded during the quarter ended March 31, 2013, and resulted from a strategic review of our IS&GS business segment to better align our cost structure with changing economic conditions and also reflect changes in program lifecycles. The charges consisted of severance costs associated with the planned elimination of certain positions through either voluntary or involuntary actions. We expect to recover a substantial amount of these severance charges by the end of the first quarter of 2014 through the pricing of our products and services to the U.S. Government and other customers, with the impact included in IS&GS results of operations.

## Other Unallocated Costs

Other unallocated costs principally include the non-cash FAS/CAS pension adjustment, stock-based compensation, and other corporate costs. These costs are not allocated to the business segments and, therefore, are excluded from the costs of products and services sales (see Note 3 Business Segment Information of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) included in this Form 10-Q for a description of these items). Other unallocated costs were \$232 million and \$426 million for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$283 million and \$570 million for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. The decrease in both periods was primarily attributable to the decrease in the non-cash FAS/CAS pension adjustment.

25

#### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

## Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

#### and Results of Operations (continued)

#### Other Income, Net

Other income, net for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$74 million and \$152 million, compared to \$20 million and \$55 million for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. The increase in both periods was primarily attributable to higher earnings from our equity interest in United Launch Alliance, L.L.C. (ULA) at our Space Systems business segment and changes in other various items, none of which were individually significant.

#### **Interest Expense**

Interest expense for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$88 million and \$180 million, compared to \$96 million and \$192 million for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. The decrease in both periods was primarily attributable to lower interest rates on our outstanding debt.

#### Other Non-Operating Income (Expense), Net

Other non-operating income (expense), net for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$1 million and \$(1) million, compared to \$21 million and \$24 million for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. The decrease in both periods was primarily attributable to a gain from the sale of an investment in the quarter ended June 24, 2012.

#### **Income Tax Expense**

Our effective income tax rates were 29.1% and 27.5% for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, and 30.1% and 29.9% for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. The rates for all periods benefited from tax deductions for U.S. manufacturing activities and tax deductions for dividends paid to our defined contribution plans with an employee stock ownership plan feature.

The effective tax rate for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 also benefited from the impact of the U.S. research and development (R&D) tax credit. On January 2, 2013, the President signed into law the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012, which retroactively reinstated the R&D tax credit for two years, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013. As the effects of tax law changes are recognized in the period in which new legislation is enacted, \$37 million (\$.11 per share) of tax benefit attributable to 2012 was recorded during the quarter ended March 31, 2013. In addition, a comparable amount of ongoing tax benefits from the R&D credit attributable to 2013 are being recognized ratably over each quarter of 2013.

## **Net Earnings**

Net earnings for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012 were \$859 million (\$2.64 per share) and \$1.6 billion (\$4.97 per share) compared to \$781 million (\$2.38 per share) and \$1.4 billion (\$4.41 per share) for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. Both net earnings and earnings per share were affected by the factors discussed above.

#### BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

We operate in five business segments: Aeronautics, IS&GS, MFC, MST, and Space Systems. We organize our business segments based on the nature of the products and services offered.

Net sales of our business segments exclude intersegment sales, as these activities are eliminated in consolidation. Intercompany transactions are generally negotiated under terms and conditions that share many similar characteristics (e.g., contract structures, funding profiles, target cost values, contract progress reports) with our third-party contracts, primarily with the U.S. Government.

26

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

### and Results of Operations (continued)

Operating profit of our business segments includes our share of earnings or losses from equity method investees because the operating activities of the equity method investees are closely aligned with the operations of those business segments. Operating profit of our business segments excludes the non-cash FAS/CAS pension adjustment described below; expense for stock-based compensation; the effects of items not considered part of management s evaluation of segment operating performance, such as charges related to significant severance actions (Note 8, under the caption Severance Activities); gains or losses from divestitures; the effects of certain legal settlements; corporate costs not allocated to our business segments; and other miscellaneous corporate activities. These items are included in the reconciling item Unallocated expenses, net between operating profit from our business segments and our consolidated operating profit.

The results of operations of our business segments include pension expense only as determined and funded in accordance with U.S. Government Cost Accounting Standards (CAS). The non-cash FAS/CAS pension adjustment represents the difference between pension expense calculated in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and pension costs calculated and funded in accordance with CAS. CAS governs the extent to which pension costs can be allocated to and recovered on U.S. Government contracts. The CAS expense is recovered through the pricing of our products and services on U.S. Government contracts and, therefore, is recognized in each of our business segments net sales and cost of sales.

Summary operating results for each of our business segments were as follows (in millions):

	Quarte	ers Ended	Six Mon	nths Ended	
	June 30,	June 24,	June 30,	June 24,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Net sales					
Aeronautics	3,407	\$ 3,408	\$ 6,593	\$ 7,114	
Information Systems & Global Solutions	2,101	2,263	4,207	4,353	
Missiles and Fire Control	2,043	1,843	4,031	3,609	
Mission Systems and Training	1,770	2,019	3,600	3,857	
Space Systems	2,087	2,388	4,047	4,281	
Total net sales	11,408	\$ 11,921	\$ 22,478	\$ 23,214	
Operating profit					
Aeronautics	407	\$ 454	<b>\$</b> 786	\$ 839	
Information Systems & Global Solutions	194	208	383	396	
Missiles and Fire Control	381	313	725	684	
Mission Systems and Training	275	195	476	352	
Space Systems	276	300	506	539	
Total business segment operating profit	1,533	1,470	2,876	2,810	
Unallocated expenses, net					
Non-cash FAS/CAS pension adjustment	(40=)	(40.6)	(O= 4)	(051)	
FAS pension expense	(487)	(486)	(974)	(971)	
Less: CAS expense	367	278	733	556	
Non-cash FAS/CAS pension adjustment	(120)	(208)	(241)	(415)	
Severance charges (a)			(30)		
Stock-based compensation	(59)	(45)	(112)	(87)	
Other, net	(56)	(25)	(76)	(72)	

Total unallocated expenses, net	(235)	(278)	(459)	(574)
Total consolidated operating profit	\$ 1,298	\$ 1,192	\$ 2,417	\$ 2,236

(a) Severance charges for the six months ended June 30, 2013 consisted of amounts, net of state tax benefits, associated with the elimination of certain positions at our IS&GS business segment during the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (Note 8, under the caption Severance Activities). Severance charges for initiatives that are not significant are included in business segment operating profit.

27

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

### and Results of Operations (continued)

Management evaluates performance on our contracts by focusing on net sales and operating profit, and not by type or amount of operating expense. Consequently, our discussion of business segment performance focuses on net sales and operating profit, consistent with our approach for managing the business. This approach is consistent with the overall life cycle of our contracts, as management assesses the bidding of each contract by focusing on net sales and operating profit, and monitors performance on our contracts in a similar manner through their completion.

We regularly provide customers with reports of our costs as the contract progresses. The cost information in the reports is accumulated in a manner specified by the requirements of each contract. For example, cost data provided to our customer for a product would typically align to the subcomponents of that product (such as a wing-box on an aircraft) or for services, the type of work being performed (such as help-desk support). Our contracts generally are cost-based, which allows for the recovery of costs in the pricing of our products and services. Most of our contracts are bid and negotiated with our customers under circumstances in which we are required to disclose our estimated costs to provide the product or service. This approach for negotiating contracts with our U.S. Government customers generally allows for the recovery of our costs. We also may enter into long-term supply contracts for certain materials or components, to coincide with the production schedule of certain products and to ensure their availability at known unit prices.

Many of our contracts span several years and include highly complex technical requirements. At the outset of a contract, we identify and monitor risks to the achievement of the technical, schedule, and cost aspects of the contract, and assess the effects of those risks on our estimates of total costs to complete the contract. The estimates consider the technical requirements (e.g., a newly-developed product versus a mature product), the schedule and associated tasks (e.g., the number and type of milestone events), and costs (e.g., material, labor, subcontractor, and overhead). The initial profit booking rate of each contract considers risks surrounding the ability to achieve the technical requirements, schedule, and costs in the initial estimated costs at completion. Profit booking rates may increase during the performance of the contract if we successfully retire risks surrounding the technical, schedule, and cost aspects of the contract. Conversely, our profit booking rates may decrease if the estimated costs to complete the contract increase. All of the estimates are subject to change during the performance of the contract and may affect the profit booking rate.

In the discussion of comparative segment results, changes in net sales and operating profit generally are expressed in terms of volume. Changes in volume refer to increases or decreases in sales resulting from varying production activity levels, deliveries, or service levels on individual contracts. Volume changes typically include a corresponding change in segment operating profit based on the current profit booking rate for a particular contract.

In addition, comparability of our sales, segment operating profit, and segment operating margins may be impacted by changes in estimated profit booking rates on our contracts accounted for using the POC method of accounting. Increases in the estimated profit booking rates, typically referred to as risk retirements, usually relate to revisions in the total estimated costs at completion that reflect improved conditions on a particular contract. Conversely, conditions on a particular contract may deteriorate resulting in an increase in the estimated costs at completion and a reduction of the estimated profit booking rate. Increases or decreases in estimated profit booking rates are recognized in the current period and reflect the inception-to-date effect of such changes. Segment operating profit and segment operating margins may also be impacted, favorably or unfavorably, by other matters such as the resolution of contractual matters, reserves for disputes, asset impairments, and insurance recoveries, among others. Segment operating profit and items such as risk retirements, reductions of profit booking rates, or other matters are presented net of state income taxes.

Our consolidated net adjustments not related to volume, including net profit booking rate adjustments and other matters, increased segment operating profit, net of state income taxes, by \$585 million and \$1.1 billion for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 and \$595 million and \$1.1 billion for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. The consolidated net adjustments for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012 include significant profit reductions on the F-35 development contract as described in our Aeronautics business segment s results of operations.

28

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

### and Results of Operations (continued)

### Aeronautics

Summary operating results for our Aeronautics business segment were as follows (in millions):

	Qu	<b>Quarters Ended</b>		Six Months E		Ended		
	June 30	, Jur	ne 24,				June 24,	
				J	June 30,			
	2013	2	012		2013		2012	
Net sales	\$ 3,407	\$ 3.	,408	\$	6,593	\$	7,114	
Operating profit	407		454		786		839	
Operating margins	11.99	<b>%</b> :	13.3%		11.9%		11.8%	

Aeronautics net sales for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 were comparable to the quarter ended June 24, 2012. Net sales increased by approximately \$175 million from F-35 production contracts due to increased production volume and higher risk retirements; about \$135 million from C-5 programs due primarily to increased aircraft deliveries (one C-5M aircraft delivered in the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to none during the quarter ended June 24, 2012) and sustainment activities; and approximately \$60 million from the F-35 development contract as a result of increased volume. The increases were offset by lower net sales of approximately \$140 million from F-16 programs due to fewer aircraft deliveries (four F-16 aircraft delivered in the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to 10 during the quarter ended June 24, 2012) partially offset by increased sustainment volume; about \$135 million from F-22 programs, which includes approximately \$85 million from the favorable resolution of a contractual matter in the quarter ended June 24, 2012; about \$35 million from C-130 programs due to fewer aircraft deliveries (five C-130J aircraft delivered in the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to seven during the quarter ended June 24, 2012) partially offset by higher volume on support activities; and approximately \$65 million due to decreased volume on various other Aeronautics programs.

Aeronautics operating profit for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 decreased \$47 million, or 10%, compared to the quarter ended June 24, 2012. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower operating profit of approximately \$75 million from F-22 programs, which includes approximately \$50 million from the favorable resolution of a contractual matter in the quarter ended June 24, 2012 and about \$25 million due to decreased risk retirements and production volume; and about \$30 million from C-130 programs primarily due to lower risk retirements and fewer aircraft deliveries. The decreases were partially offset by higher operating profit of approximately \$50 million from F-35 production contracts due to increased production volume and higher risk retirements. Operating profit was comparable to the quarter ended June 24, 2012 from both the C-5 program, due to higher sustainment activities offset by lower risk retirements, and the F-16 program, as a result of lower aircraft deliveries offset by increased sustainment volume. Operating profit from the F-35 development contract was comparable to the quarter ended June 24, 2012. The F-35 development contract recorded an approximately \$85 million adjustment to reflect the inception-to-date impacts of the downward revisions to the profit booking rate in both the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and the quarter ended June 24, 2012. Adjustments not related to volume, including net profit booking rate adjustments and other matters, were approximately \$65 million lower for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to the quarter ended June 24, 2012.

Aeronautics net sales for the six months ended June 30, 2013 decreased \$521 million, or 7%, compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower net sales of approximately \$370 million from F-16 programs due to fewer aircraft deliveries (seven F-16 aircraft delivered in the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 23 during the six months ended June 24, 2012) partially offset by increased sustainment volume; about \$210 million from C-130 programs as a result of fewer aircraft deliveries (11 C-130J aircraft delivered in the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 17 during the six months ended June 24, 2012) partially offset by higher volume on support activities; approximately \$110 million from F-22 programs, which includes approximately \$60 million due to decreased production volume as final aircraft deliveries were completed in the quarter ended June 24, 2012 and \$50 million from the favorable resolution of a contractual matter in the quarter ended June 24, 2012; and approximately \$110 million due to decreased volume on various other Aeronautics programs. The decreases were partially offset by higher net sales of approximately \$125 million from F-35 production contracts due to increased production

volume and higher risk retirements; about \$120 million from the F-35 development contract due to increased volume; and about \$45 million from C-5 programs due to increased sustainment activities.

29

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

### and Results of Operations (continued)

Aeronautics operating profit for the six months ended June 30, 2013 decreased \$53 million, or 6%, compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower operating profit of approximately \$60 million from F-22 programs, which includes approximately \$50 million from the favorable resolution of a contractual matter in the quarter ended June 24, 2012 and about \$10 million due to decreased risk retirements and production volume; about \$40 million from C-130 programs due to fewer aircraft deliveries and lower risk retirements; and about \$25 million from C-5 programs due to lower risk retirements. The decreases were partially offset by higher operating profit of approximately \$65 million from F-35 production contracts due to increased production volume and higher risk retirements. Operating profit from the F-16 program was comparable to the six months ended June 24, 2012 as fewer aircraft deliveries were offset by increased sustainment activities and risk retirements. Operating profit from the F-35 development contract was comparable to the six months ended June 24, 2012. The F-35 development contract recorded an approximately \$85 million adjustment to reflect the inception-to-date impacts of the downward revisions to the profit booking rate in both the six months ended June 30, 2013 and the six months ended June 24, 2012. Adjustments not related to volume, including net profit booking rate adjustments and other matters, were approximately \$20 million lower for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012.

### **Information Systems & Global Solutions**

Summary operating results for our IS&GS business segment were as follows (in millions):

		<b>Quarters Ended</b>		Six Months E		Ended		
	J	June 30,		June 24,				June 24,
						June 30,		
		2013		2012		2013		2012
Net sales	\$	2,101	\$	2,263	\$	4,207	\$	4,353
Operating profit		194		208		383		396
Operating margins		9.2%		9.2%		9.1%		9.1%

IS&GS net sales decreased \$162 million, or 7%, for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and \$146 million, or 3%, for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. The decreases in both periods were attributable to lower net sales of approximately \$145 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and about \$175 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 due to decreased volume on various programs (primarily command and control programs); and about \$55 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and approximately \$120 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 due to the completion of certain programs (primarily the Transportation Worker Identification Credential contract, Airborne Maritime Fixed Station Joint Tactical Radio System contract, and Outsourcing Desktop Initiative for NASA contract). The decreases were partially offset by higher net sales of approximately \$40 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and approximately \$150 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from the start-up of certain programs (primarily the DISA GSM-O contract and the NSF ASC).

IS&GS operating profit decreased \$14 million, or 7%, for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and \$13 million, or 3%, for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. Adjustments not related to volume, including net profit booking rate adjustments and other matters, for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, were comparable to the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012.

30

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

### and Results of Operations (continued)

### **Missiles and Fire Control**

Summary operating results for our MFC business segment were as follows (in millions):

	Quarte	<b>Quarters Ended</b>		ths Ended
	June 30,	June 24,		June 24,
			June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net sales	\$ 2,043	\$ 1,843	\$ 4,031	\$ 3,609
Operating profit	381	313	725	684
Operating margins	18.6%	17.0%	18.0%	19.0%

MFC s net sales for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 increased \$200 million, or 11%, compared to the quarter ended June 24, 2012. The increase was attributable to higher net sales as a result of increased volume and risk retirements of approximately \$270 million from air and missile defense programs (primarily PAC-3 and THAAD). The increase was partially offset by lower net sales of about \$40 million from tactical missile programs (primarily Multiple Launch Rocket System) as a result of decreased volume and about \$30 million on various services programs driven by decreased volume.

MFC s operating profit for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 increased \$68 million, or 22%, compared to the quarter ended June 24, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to higher operating profit of approximately \$60 million due to higher risk retirements and increased volume from air and missile defense programs (primarily THAAD and PAC-3); about \$30 million from fire control systems programs (primarily Sniper® and LANTIRN®) due to increased risk retirements; and approximately \$30 million from various tactical missile programs due to reducing profit booking rates in the quarter ended June 24, 2012. The increases were partially offset by about \$45 million from the favorable resolution of contractual matters recorded in the quarter ended June 24, 2012. Adjustments not related to volume, including net profit booking rate adjustments and other matters, were approximately \$45 million higher for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to the quarter ended June 24, 2012.

MFC s net sales for the six months ended June 30, 2013 increased \$422 million, or 12%, compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to higher net sales as a result of net increased volume of approximately \$300 million from air and missile defense programs (primarily PAC-3 and THAAD), about \$130 million from tactical missile programs (primarily JASSM), and approximately \$50 million from fire control systems programs (primarily SOF CLSS; Sniper®; and LANTIRN®). The increases were partially offset by lower net sales of about \$65 million on various services programs due to decreased volume.

MFC s operating profit for the six months ended June 30, 2013 increased \$41 million, or 6%, compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to higher operating profit of approximately \$45 million due to increased volume from air and missile defense programs (primarily THAAD and PAC-3); approximately \$30 million from various tactical missile programs due to reducing profit booking rates in the six months ended June 24, 2012 and increased volume in the six months ended June 30, 2013; and about \$25 million from fire control systems programs (primarily SOF CLSS; Sniper®; and LANTIRN®) as a result of higher risk retirements and volume. The increases were partially offset by lower operating profit of about \$45 million from the favorable resolution of contractual matters recorded in the quarter ended June 24, 2012; and about \$15 million due to lower volume on various services programs. Adjustments not related to volume, including net profit booking rate adjustments and other matters, were approximately \$15 million lower for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012.

31

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

### and Results of Operations (continued)

### Mission Systems and Training

Summary operating results for our MST business segment were as follows (in millions):

	Quar	<b>Quarters Ended</b>		ths Ended	
	June 30,	June 24,		June 24,	
	2013	2012	June 30, 2013	2012	
Net sales	\$ 1,770	\$ 2,019	\$ 3,600	\$ 3,857	
Operating profit	275	195	476	352	
Operating margins	15.5%	9.7%	13.2%	9.1%	

MST s net sales for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 decreased \$249 million, or 12%, compared to the quarter ended June 24, 2012. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower net sales of approximately \$215 million from the PTDS program as final surveillance system deliveries occurred in the quarter ended June 24, 2012; and decreased volume of about \$80 million from various other programs. The decreases were partially offset by higher net sales of about \$45 million from the LCS program due to higher volume.

MST s operating profit for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 increased \$80 million, or 41%, compared to the quarter ended June 24, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to higher operating profit of approximately \$75 million related to settlement of contract cost matters on certain programs (including a portion of the terminated presidential helicopter program); about \$25 million from reducing profit booking rates in the quarter ended June 24, 2012 on various training and logistics programs; and approximately \$15 million from various other programs due to increased risk retirements. The increases were partially offset by lower operating profit of about \$30 million from the PTDS program due to lower risk retirements and completion of deliveries; and approximately \$20 million from Vertical Launch System (VLS) programs due to lower risk retirements and volume. Adjustments not related to volume, including net profit booking rate adjustments and other matters, were approximately \$65 million higher for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to the quarter ended June 24, 2012.

MST s net sales for the six months ended June 30, 2013 decreased \$257 million, or 7%, compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower net sales of approximately \$330 million from the PTDS program as final surveillance system deliveries occurred in the quarter ended June 24, 2012; and about \$20 million from various other programs due to lower volume. The decreases were partially offset by higher net sales due to increased volume of about \$90 million from the LCS program.

MST s operating profit for the six months ended June 30, 2013 increased \$124 million, or 35%, compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to higher operating profit of approximately \$75 million related to settlement of contract cost matters on certain programs (including a portion of the terminated presidential helicopter program); approximately \$35 million from integrated warfare systems and sensors programs due to increased risk retirements; about \$30 million from reducing profit booking rates in the quarter ended June 24, 2012 on various training and logistics services programs; and approximately \$40 million from various other programs due to higher volume and risk retirements. The increases were partially offset by lower operating profit of about \$45 million from VLS programs due to lower risk retirements and volume; and approximately \$30 million from the PTDS program due to lower risk retirements and completion of deliveries. Adjustments not related to volume, including net profit booking rate adjustments and other matters, were approximately \$100 million higher for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012.

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

### and Results of Operations (continued)

### **Space Systems**

Summary operating results for our Space Systems business segment were as follows (in millions):

	Quarte	<b>Quarters Ended</b>		ths Ended
	June 30,	June 24,		June 24,
			June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net sales	\$ 2,087	\$ 2,388	\$ 4,047	\$ 4,281
Operating profit	276	300	506	539
Operating margins	13.2%	12.6%	12.5%	12.6%

Space Systems net sales decreased \$301 million, or 13%, for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and \$234 million, or 5%, for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. The decreases in both periods were primarily attributable to lower net sales of approximately \$295 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and about \$320 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 due to decreased commercial satellite deliveries (no commercial satellites delivered in the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to two during the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012); and about \$95 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and about \$135 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from the Orion program due to lower volume and risk retirements. The decreases were partially offset by higher net sales of approximately \$90 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and approximately \$140 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as a result of increased volume on government satellite programs (primarily AEHF and GPS). Additionally, net sales increased by approximately \$80 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 due to higher volume on strategic and defensive missile programs (primarily Fleet Ballistic Missile (FBM)).

Space Systems operating profit decreased \$24 million, or 8%, for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and \$33 million, or 6%, for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012. The decreases in both periods were attributable to lower operating profit of about \$25 million for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 primarily due to decreased commercial satellite deliveries; approximately \$35 million for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 from the Orion program due to lower risk retirements and volume; and approximately \$10 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and \$50 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from government satellite programs due to lower risk retirements partially offset by higher volume. The decreases were partially offset by higher operating profit of approximately \$50 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and about \$65 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from higher equity earnings primarily at ULA due to launch-related activities. Additionally, operating profit increased by approximately \$10 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 due to higher volume on strategic and defensive missile programs (primarily FBM). Adjustments not related to volume, including net profit booking rate adjustments and other matters, were approximately \$40 million and \$75 million lower for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012.

Total equity earnings recognized by Space Systems (primarily ULA) represented approximately \$75 million, or 27%, and approximately \$140 million, or 28%, of this business segment s operating profit for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to approximately \$25 million, or 8%, and approximately \$75 million, or 14%, of this business segment s operating profit for the quarter and six months ended June 24, 2012.

### FINANCIAL CONDITION

**Liquidity and Cash Flows** 

We have a balanced cash deployment strategy to enhance stockholder value and position ourselves to take advantage of new business opportunities when they arise. Consistent with that strategy, we have invested in our business, including capital expenditures and independent research and development, returned cash to stockholders through dividends and share repurchases, made selective acquisitions of businesses, and managed our debt levels.

33

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

### Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

### and Results of Operations (continued)

We have generated strong operating cash flows, which have been the primary source of funding for our operations, debt service and repayments, capital expenditures, share repurchases, dividends, acquisitions, and postretirement benefit plan funding. We have accessed the capital markets on limited occasions, as needed or when opportunistic. We expect our cash from operations will continue to be sufficient to support our operations and anticipated capital expenditures for the foreseeable future. As discussed in the Capital Resources section, we have financing resources available to fund potential cash outflows that are less predictable or more discretionary, should they occur. We have access to the credit markets, if needed, for liquidity or general corporate purposes, including letters of credit to support customer advance payments and for other trade finance purposes such as guaranteeing our performance on particular contracts.

The following table provides a summary of our cash flow information followed by a discussion of the key elements (in millions):

	Six Mor	ths Ended	
	June 30,	June 24,	
	2013	2012	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	\$ 1,898	\$ 3,582	
Operating activities			
Net earnings	1,620	1,449	
Non-cash adjustments	614	551	
Changes in working capital	(316)	(563)	
Other, net	790	(134)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,708	1,303	
Net cash used for investing activities	(347)	(298)	
Net cash used for financing activities	(1,414)	(785)	
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	947	220	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 2,845	\$ 3,802	
Operating Activities			

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$1.4 billion in the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012. The increase was driven by a decrease in net tax payments, lower pension contributions, changes in working capital, and improved operating results. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we made tax payments of \$140 million, net of refunds received in the quarter ended March 31, 2013, compared to tax payments of \$690 million made during the six months ended June 24, 2012. We made \$750 million in contributions to our qualified defined benefit pension plans during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$1.1 billion during the six months ended June 24, 2012. The lower use of working capital (defined as receivables, net and inventories, net less accounts payable and customer advances and amounts in excess of costs incurred) for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the six months ended June 24, 2012 was attributable to increased cash receipts related to accounts receivable, primarily higher collections on the F-35 production contracts, and changes in inventories driven by the timing of production volume, partially offset by changes in accounts payable due to timing of payments.

Early in the third quarter of 2013, we made \$750 million in contributions to our qualified defined benefit pension plans, which completes our anticipated funding of \$1.5 billion for 2013. Consistent with prior years, we may review options for further contributions in the remainder of 2013.

Investing Activities

The majority of our capital expenditures related to equipment and facilities infrastructure that generally are incurred to support new and existing programs across all of our business segments. We also incur capital expenditures for information technology to support programs and general enterprise information technology infrastructure, inclusive of costs for development or purchase of internal-use software. Capital expenditures amounted to \$282 million and \$306 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012.

34

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

### and Results of Operations (continued)

# Financing Activities

We paid cash totaling \$926 million and \$423 million for repurchases of our common stock during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012. As of June 30, 2013, we had repurchased a total of 63.8 million shares of our common stock under our share repurchase program for \$5.1 billion, and there remained \$1.4 billion authorized for additional share repurchases. See Part II, Item 2 of this Form 10-Q for additional information regarding the repurchase of shares during the quarter ended June 30, 2013.

Cash received from the issuance of our common stock in connection with employee stock option exercises during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012 totaled \$389 million and \$235 million. Those exercises resulted in the issuance of 5.1 million shares and 3.6 million shares during the respective periods.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 24, 2012, we paid dividends totaling \$742 million (\$2.30 per share) and \$653 million (\$2.00 per share). Also, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, we repaid \$150 million of long-term notes with a fixed interest rate of 7.38% due to their scheduled maturities.

### **Capital Resources**

At June 30, 2013, we held cash and cash equivalents of \$2.8 billion. As of June 30, 2013, approximately \$500 million of our cash and cash equivalents was held outside of the U.S. by foreign subsidiaries. Although those balances are generally available to fund ordinary business operations without legal or other restrictions, a significant portion is not immediately available to fund U.S. operations unless repatriated. Our intention is to permanently reinvest earnings from our foreign subsidiaries. While we do not intend to do so, if this cash was repatriated at June 30, 2013, the amount of U.S. federal income tax that would be due after considering foreign tax credits would not be significant.

Our outstanding debt, net of unamortized discounts, amounted to \$6.1 billion, and mainly is in the form of publicly-issued notes that bear interest at fixed rates. As of June 30, 2013, we were in compliance with all covenants contained in our debt and credit agreements.

At June 30, 2013, we had in place with a group of banks a \$1.5 billion revolving credit facility which expires in August 2016. We may request and the banks may grant, at their discretion, an increase to the credit facility by an additional amount up to \$500 million. There were no borrowings outstanding under the credit facility through June 30, 2013. We have agreements in place with financial institutions to provide for the issuance of commercial paper. There were no commercial paper borrowings outstanding through June 30, 2013. If we were to issue commercial paper, the borrowings would be supported by the credit facility. We also have an effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 on file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission through August 2014 to provide for the issuance of an indeterminate amount of debt securities.

Our stockholders equity was \$694 million at June 30, 2013, an increase of \$655 million from December 31, 2012. The increase was primarily due to net earnings of \$1.6 billion, employee stock activity of \$622 million, and the recognition of previously deferred amounts related to our postretirement benefit plans of \$507 million. These increases were partially offset by dividends declared of \$1.1 billion during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and \$914 million related to the 9.5 million shares of common stock repurchases we committed to during the six months ended June 30, 2013. As we repurchase our common shares, we reduce common stock for the \$1 of par value of the shares repurchased, with the excess purchase price over par value recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital. If additional paid-in capital is reduced to zero, we record the remainder of the excess purchase price over par value as a reduction of retained earnings.

35

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

### Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

### and Results of Operations (continued)

### **OTHER MATTERS**

### Status of F-35 Program

The F-35 program consists of multiple contracts. The development contract is being performed concurrently with the production contracts. Concurrent performance of development and production contracts is used for complex programs to test aircraft, shorten the time to field systems, and achieve overall cost savings. We expect the development portion of the F-35 program will be substantially complete in 2017, with less significant efforts continuing into 2019. Production of the aircraft is expected to continue for many years given the U.S. Government s current inventory objective of 2,443 aircraft for the Air Force, Marine, and Navy variants, commitments from our eight international partners and two international customers, as well as expressions of interest from other countries.

On the development contract, the U.S. Government continues to complete various operational tests, including the first vertical takeoff, night time vertical landing, and in-flight missile launch test flights at Edwards Air Force Base and Naval Air Station Patuxent River during the quarter ended June 30, 2013. The development contract was modified during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 to provide for \$350 million in remaining available incentive fees. Approximately \$100 million of the remaining available incentive fees will be allocated to specific milestones to be achieved during 2015 through 2017 and \$250 million will be allocated to a customer assessment of performance at the end of the development contract. The revised contract also requires minimum fee payments on an annual basis. During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, we reduced the profit booking rate on the contract after revising our estimate of fees that we will earn on the contract as well as our cost estimates. This revision reduced profit by \$85 million for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, reflecting the inception to date impact of the change in the profit booking rate.

Progress continues to be made on the production of aircraft. In the quarter ended June 30, 2013, the F-35 program reached a significant milestone as we currently have our 100<sup>th</sup> aircraft in final assembly. Additionally, we delivered 12 aircraft to our domestic and international customers during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, bringing cumulative deliveries to 50 production aircraft including delivery of the first F-35C Navy carrier variant production aircraft. We have 76 production aircraft in backlog as of June 30, 2013.

Given the size and complexity of the F-35 program, we anticipate that there will be continual reviews related to aircraft performance, program schedule, cost, and requirements as part of the DoD, Congressional, and international partners—oversight and budgeting processes. Current program challenges include, but are not limited to, supplier and partner performance, software development, receiving funding for production contracts on a timely basis, contractual withholds, executing future flight tests, and findings resulting from testing.

### **Contingencies**

For information regarding our contingent obligations, including off-balance sheet arrangements, see Note 6 Legal Proceedings and Contingencies of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) included in this Form 10-Q.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, there were no significant changes to the critical accounting policies we disclosed in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our 2012 Form 10-K.

36

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

# ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk, refer to the following sections of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, Note 1 Significant Accounting Policies, under the caption Derivative financial instruments, and Note 8 Debt of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Our exposures to market risk have not changed materially since December 31, 2012.

### ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures.

We performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2013. The evaluation was performed with the participation of senior management of each business segment and key Corporate functions, under the supervision of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO). Based on this evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were operating and effective as of June 30, 2013.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the most recently completed fiscal quarter that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

# **Forward-Looking Statements**

This Form 10-Q contains statements which, to the extent that they are not recitations of historical fact, constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws, and are based on our current expectations and assumptions. The words believe, estimate, anticipate, project, intend, expect, plan, outlook, forecast, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially due to factors such as:

the availability of funding for the Corporation s products and services both domestically and internationally due to general economic conditions, performance, cost, or other factors;

changes in domestic and international customer priorities and requirements (including declining budgets resulting from general economic conditions, affordability initiatives, the potential for deferral or termination of awards, the implementation of automatic sequestration under the Budget Control Act of 2011 or Congressional actions intended to replace sequestration, or U.S. Government operations under a continuing resolution);

quantity revisions to the F-35 program;

the accuracy of the Corporation s estimates and assumptions including those as to schedule, cost, technical, and performance issues under its contracts, cash flow, actual returns (or losses) on pension plan assets, movements in interest rates, and other changes that may affect pension plan assumptions;

the effect of capitalization changes (such as share repurchase activity, accelerated pension funding, stock option exercises, or debt levels); difficulties in developing and producing operationally advanced technology systems, cyber security, other security threats, information technology failures, natural disasters, public health crises or other disruptions;

the timing and customer acceptance of product deliveries;

materials availability and the performance of key suppliers, teammates, joint venture partners, subcontractors, and customers; charges from any future impairment reviews that may result in the recognition of losses and a reduction in the book value of goodwill or other long-term assets;

the future effect of legislation, rulemaking, and changes in accounting, tax, defense procurement, changes in policy, interpretations, or challenges to the allowability and recovery of costs incurred under government cost accounting standards (including costs associated with sequestration or other budgetary cuts to replace sequestration, such as severance payments made to employees and facility closure expenses), export policy, changes in contracting policy and contract mix;

37

# **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

the future impact of acquisitions or divestitures, joint ventures, teaming arrangements, or internal reorganizations; compliance with laws and regulations, the outcome of legal proceedings and other contingencies (including lawsuits, government investigations or audits, and the cost of completing environmental remediation efforts), and U.S. Government identification of deficiencies in our business systems;

the competitive environment for the Corporation s products and services, export policies, and potential for delays in procurement due to bid protests:

the ability to attract and retain key personnel and suppliers (including the potential for disruption associated with sequestration and related employee severance or supplier termination costs) and to provide for the orderly transition of management as the Corporation reduces the size of its workforce; and

economic, business, and political conditions domestically and internationally and the Corporation s increased reliance on securing international and adjacent business.

These are only some of the factors that may affect the forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q. For a discussion identifying additional important factors that could cause actual results to vary materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements, see our filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) including, but not limited to, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in this Form 10-Q. These may be accessed through the investor relations page of our website, www.lockheedmartin.com/investor, or through the website maintained by the SEC at www.sec.gov.

Our actual financial results likely will be different from those projected due to the inherent nature of projections. Given these uncertainties, you should not rely on forward-looking statements in making investment decisions. The forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q speak only as of the date of its filing. We expressly disclaim a duty to provide updates to forward-looking statements after the date of this Form 10-Q to reflect subsequent events that have occurred, changed circumstances, changes in expectations, or the estimates and assumptions associated with them. The forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q are intended to be subject to the safe harbor protection provided by the federal securities laws.

38

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

# ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings.

We are a party to or have property subject to litigation and other proceedings, including matters arising under provisions relating to the protection of the environment, and are subject to contingencies related to certain businesses we previously owned. We believe the probability is remote that the outcome of these matters will have a material adverse effect on the Corporation as a whole, notwithstanding that the unfavorable resolution of any matter may have a material effect on our net earnings in any particular interim reporting period. We cannot predict the outcome of legal proceedings with certainty. See Note 6 Legal Proceedings and Contingencies of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) in this Form 10-Q and our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013, and Note 12 Legal Proceedings, Commitments and Contingencies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (2012 Form 10-K) filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

From time-to-time, agencies of the U.S. Government investigate whether our operations are being conducted in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements. U.S. Government investigations of us, whether relating to government contracts or conducted for other reasons, could result in administrative, civil, or criminal liabilities, including repayments, fines, or penalties being imposed upon us, or could lead to suspension, proposed debarment, or debarment from eligibility for future U.S. Government contracting. U.S. Government investigations often take years to complete and many result in no adverse action against us.

We are subject to federal and state requirements for protection of the environment, including those for discharge of hazardous materials and remediation of contaminated sites. As a result, we are a party to or have our property subject to various lawsuits or proceedings involving environmental protection matters. Due in part to their complexity and pervasiveness, such requirements have resulted in us being involved with related legal proceedings, claims, and remediation obligations. The extent of our financial exposure cannot in all cases be reasonably estimated at this time. For information regarding these matters, including current estimates of the amounts that we believe are required for remediation or clean-up to the extent estimable, see Note 6 Legal Proceedings and Contingencies of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) in this Form 10-Q. See also Critical Accounting Policies Environmental Matters in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Note 12 Legal Proceedings, Commitments, and Contingencies, each in our 2012 Form 10-K for a description of previously reported matters.

# ITEM 1A. Risk Factors.

While we attempt to identify, manage, and mitigate risks and uncertainties associated with our business to the extent practical under the circumstances, some level of risk and uncertainty will always be present. Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (2012 Form 10-K) describes some of the risks and uncertainties associated with our business, including sequestration. Sequestration is further discussed in the Industry Considerations section of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-Q. These risks and uncertainties have the potential to materially affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, projected results, and future prospects. We do not believe that there have been any material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in our 2012 Form 10-K.

39

### **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

### ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

There were no sales of unregistered equity securities during the quarter ended June 30, 2013.

The following table provides information about our repurchases of our common stock that is registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the quarter ended June 30, 2013.

Period <sup>(a)</sup>	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (b)	Amount Available for Future Share Repurchases Under the Plans or Programs (b) (in millions)
April 1, 2013 April 28, 2013	683,758	\$ 96.40	677,103	\$ 1,772
April 29, 2013 May 26, 2013	1,191,488	\$ 103.42	1,187,511	\$ 1,650
May 27, 2013 June 30, 2013	2,330,614	\$ 106.83	2,329,390	\$ 1,401
Total	4,205,860 <sup>(c)</sup>	\$ 104.17	4,194,004	\$ 1,401

<sup>(</sup>a) We close our books and records on the last Sunday of each month to align our financial closing with our business processes. This practice does not affect the month of December, as our fiscal year ends on December 31.

# ITEM 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit No.	Description
15	Acknowledgment of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1	Certification of Marillyn A. Hewson pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Bruce L. Tanner pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certification of Marillyn A. Hewson and Bruce L. Tanner pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

<sup>(</sup>b) On October 25, 2010, our Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program (the Program) pursuant to which we are authorized to repurchase up to \$6.5 billion of our common stock in privately negotiated transactions or in the open market at prices per share not exceeding the then-current market prices. Under the Program, management has discretion to determine the dollar amount of shares to be repurchased and the timing of any repurchases in compliance with applicable law and regulation. We may also make purchases under the Program pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan. The Program does not have an expiration date. As of June 30, 2013, we had repurchased a total of 63.8 million shares of our common stock under the Program for \$5.1 billion.

<sup>(</sup>c) Of the total number of shares purchased during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, 11,856 shares were transferred to us by employees in satisfaction of minimum tax withholding obligations associated with the vesting of restricted stock units. These purchases are made pursuant to a separate authorization by our Board of Directors and are not included within the Program.

101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

40

# **Lockheed Martin Corporation**

### **SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**Lockheed Martin Corporation** 

(Registrant)

Date: July 24, 2013 By: <u>/s/ Christopher J. Gregoire</u>

Christopher J. Gregoire Vice President and Controller

(Duly Authorized Officer and Chief Accounting Officer)

41