Verastem, Inc. Form 4 December 22, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF

SECURITIES

OMB Number:

3235-0287

Expires:

January 31, 2005

0.5

Estimated average

burden hours per

OMB APPROVAL

response...

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5

obligations may continue. See Instruction

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section

30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

1(b).

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person ** Westphal Christoph H	2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol Verastem, Inc. [VSTM]	5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer (Check all applicable)		
(Last) (First) (Middle)	3. Date of Earliest Transaction	(eneek uit appheusie)		
C/O VERASTEM, INC., 117 KENDRICK STREET,, SUITE 500	(Month/Day/Year) 12/19/2014	X_ Director 10% OwnerX_ Officer (give title Other (specify below) Executive Chairman		
(Street)	4. If Amendment, Date Original	6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check		
NEEDHAM, MA 02494	Filed(Month/Day/Year)	Applicable Line) _X_ Form filed by One Reporting Person Form filed by More than One Reporting Person		

(City)	(State)	(Zip) Table	e I - Non-D	Perivative Securities Ac	cquired, Disposed	of, or Benefic	ially Owned
1.Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any	3. Transactio	4. Securities on Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially	6. Ownership Form: Direct	7. Nature of Indirect Beneficial
(msu. 3)		(Month/Day/Year)	(Instr. 8)	(Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	Owned Following Reported	(D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	Ownership (Instr. 4)
			Code V	(A) or Amount (D) Price	Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	(msu. +)	

		Couc 1	7 IIIIO GIII	(2)	1 1100		
Common Stock	12/19/2014	P	1,500	A	\$ 8.5	687,016 <u>(1)</u>	D

Common Stock	26	I	By Longwood Fund (2)
Common Stock	143,554	I	By Longwood Fund GP, LLC (3)
Common Stock	125,714	I	By the Fountain

Irrevocable Trust of 2010

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Executive Chairman

SEC 1474 (9-02)

9. Ni

Deriv

Secu

Bene Own Follo Repo Trans (Insti

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of	2.	3. Transaction Date	3A. Deemed	4.	5.	6. Date Exercisal	ble and	7. Titl	le and	8. Price of	9
Derivative	Conversion	(Month/Day/Year)	Execution Date, if	Transact	ionNumber	Expiration Date		Amou	int of	Derivative	Ι
Security	or Exercise		any	Code	of	(Month/Day/Yea	ar)	Under	dying	Security	S
(Instr. 3)	Price of		(Month/Day/Year)	(Instr. 8)	Derivative	e		Secur	ities	(Instr. 5)	F
	Derivative				Securities			(Instr.	. 3 and 4)		(
	Security				Acquired						F
					(A) or						F
					Disposed						7
					of (D)						(
					(Instr. 3,						
					4, and 5)						
									A		
									Amount		
						Date Ex	xpiration	TC:41	or		
						Exercisable Da	ate	Title	Number		
				C 1 1	7. (A) (D)				of		
				Code \	I (A) (D)				Shares		

Reporting Owners

Relationships Reporting Owner Name / Address Director 10% Owner Officer Other

X

Westphal Christoph H

C/O VERASTEM, INC., 117 KENDRICK STREET, **SUITE 500**

NEEDHAM, MA 02494

Signatures

/s/ John B. 12/22/2014 Green

**Signature of Date Reporting Person

Explanation of Responses:

- If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
- Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- (1) Represents 622,450 shares of common stock and 64,566 restricted stock units.

(2)

Reporting Owners 2

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

Longwood Fund GP, LLC is the sole General Partner of Longwood Fund, L.P. Christoph Westphal, M.D., Ph.D., Richard Aldrich and Michelle Dipp, M.D., Ph.D. (collectively, the "Managers") are managers of Longwood Fund GP, LLC, and, as such, may be deemed to have shared voting and dispositive power with respect to the issuer's securities held by Longwood Fund L.P. (the "Longwood LP Shares"). Each of the Managers disclaims beneficial ownership of the Longwood LP Shares, except to the extent of their respective pecuniary interest therein, and the inclusion of the Longwood LP Shares in this report shall not be deemed an admission of beneficial ownership of the Longwood LP Shares for purposes of Section 16 or for any other purpose.

Christoph Westphal, M.D., Ph.D., Richard Aldrich and Michelle Dipp, M.D., Ph.D. (collectively, the "Managers") are managers of Longwood Fund GP, LLC, and, as such, may be deemed to have shared voting and dispositive power with respect to the issuer's securities held by Longwood Fund GP, LLC (the "Longwood GP Shares"). Each of the Managers disclaims beneficial ownership of the Longwood GP Shares, except to the extent of their respective pecuniary interest therein, and the inclusion of the Longwood GP Shares in this report shall not be deemed an admission of beneficial ownership of the Longwood GP Shares for purposes of Section 16 or for any other purpose.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, *see* Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. research and development of these products as quickly as we expect, if at all.

Patents, Trademarks, and Copyrights

The procedures by which we identify, document and file for patent, trademark, and copyright protection are based solely on engineering and management judgment, with no assurance that a specific filing will be issued, or if issued, will deliver any lasting value to us. Because of the rapid innovation of products and technologies that is characteristic of our industry, there is no assurance that rights granted under any patent will provide competitive advantages to us or will be adequate to safeguard and maintain our proprietary rights. Moreover, the laws of certain countries in which our products are or may be manufactured or sold may not offer protection on such products and associated intellectual property to the same extent that the U.S. legal system may offer.

In our opinion, our intellectual property holdings as well as our engineering, production, and marketing skills and the experience of our personnel are of equal importance to our market position. We further

believe that none of our businesses are materially dependent upon any single patent, copyright, trademark, or trade secret

Some of our products include or use technology and/or components of third parties. While it may be necessary in the future to seek or renew licenses relating to various aspects of such products, we believe that, based upon past experience and industry practice, such licenses generally could be obtained on commercially reasonable terms; however, there is no guarantee that such licenses could be obtained on such terms or at all. Because of technological changes in the wireless and home control industry, current extensive patent coverage, and the rapid rate of issuance of new patents, it is possible certain components of our products and business methods may unknowingly infringe upon the patents of others.

Potential for Litigation

As is typical in our industry and for the nature and kind of business in which we are engaged, from time to time various claims, charges and litigation are asserted or commenced by third parties against us or by us against third parties, arising from or related to product liability, infringement of patent or other intellectual property rights, breach of warranty, contractual relations or employee relations. The amounts claimed may be substantial, but they may not bear any reasonable relationship to the merits of the claims or the extent of any real risk of court awards assessed against us or in our favor.

Risks of Conducting Business Internationally

Risks of doing business internationally could adversely affect our sales, operations, earnings and cash flows due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to:

changes in a country s or region s economic or political conditions, including inflation, recession, interest rate fluctuations and actual or anticipated military conflicts;

currency fluctuations affecting sales, particularly in the Euro and British Pound, which contribute to variations in sales of products and services in impacted jurisdictions and also affect our reported results expressed in U.S. dollars;

currency fluctuations affecting costs, particularly the Euro, British Pound and the Chinese Yuan, which contribute to variances in costs in impacted jurisdictions and also affect our reported results expressed in U.S. dollars;

longer accounts receivable cycles and financial instability among customers;

trade regulations and procedures and actions affecting production, pricing and marketing of products;

local labor conditions, customs, and regulations;

changes in the regulatory or legal environment;

differing technology standards or customer requirements;

import, export or other business licensing requirements or requirements related to making foreign direct investments, which could affect our ability to obtain favorable terms for components or lead to penalties or restrictions;

difficulties associated with repatriating cash generated or held abroad in a tax-efficient manner and changes in tax laws;

fluctuations in freight costs and disruptions at important geographic points of exit and entry.

13

Effectiveness of Our Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we are required to include in our Annual Report on Form 10-K our assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. Furthermore, our independent registered public accounting firm is required to audit our internal controls over financial reporting and separately report on whether it believes we maintain, in all material respects, effective internal controls over financial reporting. Although we believe that we currently have adequate internal controls procedures in place, we cannot be certain that future material changes to our internal controls over financial reporting will be effective. If we cannot adequately maintain the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, we might be subject to sanctions or investigation by regulatory authorities, such as the Securities and Exchange Commission. Any such action could adversely affect our financial results and the market price of our common stock.

Changes in Accounting Rules

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. These principles are subject to interpretation by various governing bodies, including the FASB and the SEC, who create and interpret appropriate accounting standards. A change from current accounting standards could have a significant adverse effect on our results of operations.

Unanticipated Changes in Tax Provisions or Income Tax Liabilities

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Our tax liabilities are affected by the amounts we charge for inventory and other items in intercompany transactions. From time to time, we are subject to tax audits in various jurisdictions. Tax authorities may disagree with our intercompany charges or other matters and assess additional taxes. We assess the likely outcomes of these audits in order to determine the appropriateness of the tax provision. However, there can be no assurance that we will accurately predict the outcomes of these audits, and the actual outcomes of these audits could have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, our effective tax rate in the future could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates, changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes in tax laws and the discovery of new information in the course of our tax return preparation process. Furthermore, our tax provisions could be adversely affected as a result of any new interpretative accounting guidance related to accounting for uncertain tax positions.

General Economic Conditions

General economic conditions, both domestic and international, have an impact on our business and financial results. The global economy remains uncertain. As a result, individuals and companies may delay or reduce expenditures. Weak global economic conditions and/or softness in the consumer, subscription broadcasting, and/or OEM channels could result in lower demand for our products, resulting in lower sales, earnings and cash flows.

Environmental Matters

Many of our products are subject to various federal, state, local and international laws governing chemical substances in products, including laws regulating the manufacture and distribution of chemical substances and laws restricting the presence of certain substances in electronics products. With the passage of the European Union s Restriction of Hazardous Substances Directive, which makes producers of electrical goods responsible for collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of recovered products, similar restrictions in China effective March 2007 and the European Union s Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive, we could face significant costs and liabilities in complying with these and new laws and regulations or enforcement policies that could have a material adverse effect upon our capital expenditures, earnings or financial condition.

14

Leased Property

We lease all of the properties used in our business. We can give no assurance that we will enter into new or renewal leases, or that, if entered into, the new lease terms will be similar to the existing terms or that the terms of any such new or renewal leases will not have a significant and material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Technology Changes in Wireless Control

We currently derive substantial revenue from the sale of wireless remote controls based on infrared (IR) technology. Other control technologies exist or could be developed that could compete with IR. In addition, we develop and maintain our own database of IR and RF codes. There are several competing IR and RF libraries offered by companies that we compete with in the marketplace. The advantage that we may have compared to our competitors is difficult to measure. If other wireless control technology gains acceptance and starts to be integrated into home electronics devices currently controlled through our IR remote controllers, demand for our products may decrease, resulting in decreased revenue, earnings and cash flow.

Failure to Recruit, Hire, and Retain Key Personnel

Our ability to achieve growth in the future will depend, in part, on our success at recruiting, hiring, and retaining highly skilled engineering, managerial, operational, sales and marketing personnel. In addition, our corporate office, including our advance technology engineering group, is based in Southern California. The high cost of living in Southern California makes it difficult to attract talent from outside the region and may also put pressure on overall employment related expense. Additionally, our competitors seek to recruit and hire the same key personnel. Therefore, if we fail to stay competitive in salary and benefits within the industry it may negatively impact our ability to hire and retain key personnel. The inability to recruit, hire, and retain qualified personnel in a timely manner, or the loss of any key personnel, could make it difficult to meet key objectives, such as timely and effective product introductions. *Credit Facility*

We amended our Credit Facility in August 2006 by extending our credit facility for an additional three years. Presently, we have no borrowings under this facility; however, we cannot make any assurances that we will not need to borrow amounts under this facility or that this facility will continue to be extended and thus available to us if we need to borrow. If this or any other credit facility is not available to us at a time when we need to borrow, we would have to use our cash reserves which could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, cash flow and financial position.

Change in Competition and Pricing

We rely on third-party manufacturers to build our universal wireless control products, based on our extensive IR code library and patented technology. Price is always an issue in winning and retaining business. If customers become increasingly price sensitive, new competition could arise from manufacturers who decide to go into direct competition with us or from current competitors who perform their own manufacturing. If such a trend develops, we could experience downward pressure on our pricing or lose sales, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

<u>Transportation Costs</u>; <u>Impact of Oil Prices</u>

We ship products from our foreign manufacturers via ocean and air transport. It is sometimes difficult to forecast swings in demand or delays in production and, as a result, products may be shipped via air which is more costly than ocean shipments. Often, we typically cannot recover the increased cost of air freight from our customers. Additionally, tariffs and other export fees may be incurred to ship products from foreign manufacturers to the customer. The inability to predict swings in demand or delays in

15

production can increase the cost of freight which could have a material adverse effect on our product margins. In addition, we have an exposure to oil prices in two forms. The first is in the prices of the oil-based materials that we use in our products, which are primarily the plastics and other components that we include in our finished products. The second is in the cost of delivery and freight, which would be passed on by the carriers that we use in the form of higher rates. We record freight-in as a cost of sales, and freight-out in operating expenses. Rising oil prices may have an adverse effect on cost of sales and operating expenses.

Proprietary Technologies

We produce highly complex products that incorporate leading-edge technology, including hardware, firmware, and software. Firmware and software may contain bugs that can unexpectedly interfere with operations. There can be no assurance that our testing programs will detect all defects in individual products or defects that could affect numerous shipments. The presence of defects may harm customer satisfaction, reduce sales opportunities, or increase returns. An inability to cure or repair a product defect could result in the failure of a product line, temporary or permanent withdrawal from a product or market, damage to our reputation, increased inventory costs, or product reengineering expenses, any of which could have a material impact on our revenues, margins and net income.

Strategic Business Transactions

We may, from time to time, pursue strategic alliances, joint ventures, business acquisitions, products or technologies (strategic business transactions) that complement or expand our existing operations, including those that could be material in size and scope. Strategic business transactions involve many risks, including the diversion of management s attention away from day-to-day operations. There is also the risk that we will not be able to successfully integrate the strategic business transaction with our operations, personnel, customer base, products or technologies. Such strategic business transactions could also have adverse short-term effects on our operating results, and could result in dilutive issuances of equity securities, the incurrence of debt, and the loss of key employees. In addition, these strategic business transactions are generally subject to specific accounting guidelines that may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow. For instance, business acquisitions must be accounted for as purchases and, because most technology-related acquisitions involve the purchase of significant intangible assets, these acquisitions typically result in substantial amortization charges and charges for acquired research and development projects, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. There can be no assurance that any such strategic business transactions will occur or, if such transactions do occur, that the integration will be successful or that the customer bases, products or technologies will generate sufficient revenue to offset the associated costs or effects.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

We have no unresolved staff comments as of the date of filing this Form 10-K.

16

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate headquarters is located in Cypress, California. We utilize the following office and warehouse facilities:

Location Cypress, California	Purpose or Use Corporate headquarters, engineering, research and development	Square Feet 30,768	Status Leased, expires January 31, 2012
Twinsburg, Ohio	Consumer and customer call center	21,509	Leased, expires May 30, 2011
Enschede, Netherlands	International headquarters and call center	18,292	Leased, expires August 31, 2008
San Mateo, California In addition to the facilities	Engineering, research and development listed above, we lease space in various internation	9,000 onal location	Leased, expires July 31, 2008 ns, primarily for use as sales

In addition to the facilities listed above, we lease space in various international locations, primarily for use as sales offices. Furthermore, in order to support the growth of our company, during 2007 we made renovations to expand our corporate headquarters. We expect renovations to be completed in the first quarter of 2008. Our leases for the San Mateo and Enschede offices expire in July 2008 and August 2008, respectively. We plan to renew our leases; however, there can be no assurance that we will renew or that our offer to renew will be accepted.

See ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 13 for additional information regarding our obligations under leases.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In 2002, one of our subsidiaries (One For All S.A.S.) brought an action against a former distributor of the subsidiary s products seeking a recovery of accounts receivable. The distributor filed a counterclaim against our subsidiary seeking payment for amounts allegedly owed for administrative and other services rendered by the distributor for our subsidiary. In January 2005, the parties agreed to include in that action all claims between the distributor and two of our other subsidiaries, Universal Electronics BV and One For All Iberia SL. As a result, the single action covers all claims and counterclaims between the various parties. The parties further agreed that, before any judgment is paid, all disputes between the various parties would be concluded. These additional claims involve nonpayment for products and damages resulting from the alleged wrongful termination of agency agreements. On March 15, 2005, the court in one of the litigation matters brought by the distributor against one of our subsidiaries, rendered judgment against our subsidiary and awarded damages and costs to the distributor in the amount of approximately \$102,000. The amount of this judgment was charged to operations during the second quarter of 2005 and has been paid. With respect to the remaining matters before the court, we are awaiting the expert to finalize and file his pre-trial report with the court and when completed, we will respond. Management is unable to estimate the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome, and the amount of loss, if any, in the case of an unfavorable outcome.

On February 7, 2008, we filed suit against Gibson Audio, a Division of Gibson Guitar Corp., and Gibson Musical Instruments, Inc. seeking payment of the remaining balance of a minimum royalty fee due us under a software agreement. The Gibson companies answered our complaint with a general denial of all of our allegations. Also, as is typical, the Gibson companies counterclaimed that we breached various aspects of the software agreement and that they are seeking unspecified damages. We disagree vigorously with their denials of liability and with their counterclaims and will continue to pursue this matter. Since, however, no discovery has commenced, at this time, we are unable to estimate the likely outcome of this matter and the amount, if any, of recovery of the balance due us. There are no other material pending legal proceedings, other than litigation that is incidental to the ordinary course of our business, to which we or any of our subsidiaries is a party or of which our respective property is the subject. We do not believe that any of the claims made against us in any of the pending matters have merit and we intend to vigorously defend ourselves against them.

We maintain directors and officers liability insurance which insures our individual directors and officers against certain claims such as those alleged in the above lawsuits, as well as attorney s fees and related expenses incurred in

17

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of our fiscal year through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise.

Executive Officers of the Registrant(1)

The following table sets forth certain information concerning our executive officers as of March 13, 2008:

Name	Age	Position
Paul D. Arling	45	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Paul J.M. Bennett	52	Executive Vice President, Managing Director, Europe
Mark S. Kopaskie	50	Executive Vice President, General Manager U.S. Operations
Richard A. Firehammer, Jr.	50	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Bryan M. Hackworth	38	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(1) Included pursuant to Instruction 3 to Item 401(b) of Regulation S-K.

Paul D. Arling is our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. He joined us in May 1996 as Chief Financial Officer and was named to our Board of Directors in August of 1996. He was appointed President and COO in September 1998, was promoted to Chief Executive Officer in October of 2000 and appointed as Chairman in July 2001. At the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, Mr. Arling was re-elected as our Chairman to serve until the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. From 1993 through May 1996, he served in various capacities at LESCO, Inc. (a manufacturer and distributor of professional turf care products). Prior to LESCO, he worked for Imperial Wall coverings (a manufacturer and distributor of wall covering products) as Director of Planning, and The Michael Allen Company (a strategic management consulting company) where he was employed as a management consultant.

Paul J.M. Bennett is our Executive Vice President and Managing Director, Europe. He was our Managing Director and Senior Vice President, Managing Director, Europe from July 1996 to December 2006. He was promoted to his current position in December 2006. Prior to joining us, he held various positions at Philips Consumer Electronics over a seven year period, first as Product Marketing Manager for the Accessories Product Group, initially set up to support Philip s Audio division, and then as head of that division.

Mark S. Kopaskie is our Executive Vice President and General Manager, U.S. Operations. He rejoined us in September 2006 as our Senior Vice President and General Manager, U.S. Operations and was promoted to his current position in December 2006. He was our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer from 1995 to 1997. From 2003 until November, 2005, Mr. Kopaskie was President and Chief Executive Officer of Packaging Advantage Corporation (PAC), a personal care and household products manufacturer, which was acquired by Marietta Corporation in November 2005. Following the acquisition, he served as Senior Vice President, Business Development for Marietta Corporation. From 1997 to 2003, he held senior management positions at Birdair Inc., a world leader in the engineering, manufacturing, and construction of tensioned membrane structures, and OK International, a manufacturer and marketer of fluid dispensing equipment, solder and de-solder systems, and wire wrap products. Prior to joining us in 1995, Mr. Kopaskie was Senior Vice President of Operations at Mr. Coffee Inc.

Richard A. Firehammer, Jr., Esq. has been our Senior Vice President since February 1999. He has been our General Counsel since October 1993 and Secretary since February 1994. He was our Vice President from May 1997 until August 1998. He was outside counsel to us from September 1998 until being rehired in February 1999. From November 1992 to September 1993, he was associated with the Chicago, Illinois law firm, Shefsky & Froelich, Ltd. From 1987 to 1992, he was with the law firm, Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz in Chicago, Illinois.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol UEIC. The closing price of our common stock as reported by NASDAQ on March 11, 2008 was \$22.53. Our stockholders of record on March 11, 2008 numbered approximately 71. We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock, nor do we intend to pay any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We intend to retain our earnings, if any, for the future operation and expansion of our business. In addition, the terms of our revolving credit facility limit our ability to pay cash dividends on our common stock. See ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-Liquidity and Capital Resources and ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA-Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements-Note 7.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during 2007.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low reported sale prices for our common stock, as reported by NASDAQ:

	20	2006		
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$29.89	\$19.25	\$18.50	\$16.80
Second Quarter	38.09	26.66	20.30	16.21
Third Quarter	39.33	25.20	19.73	16.45
Fourth Quarter	38.50	31.29	22.25	18.45
	19			

Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table sets forth, for the fourth quarter, our total stock repurchases, average price paid per share and the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under our plans or programs:

				Total Number of Shares	Maximum Number of Shares that
				Purchased as Part of Publicly	May Yet Be Purchased
	Total Number of Shares	Weighted Average Price Paid		Announced Plans or	Under the Plans or
Period	Purchased	pe	r Share	Programs	Programs
10/1/07 - 10/31/07					1,582,100
11/1/07 - 11/30/07	109,200	\$	33.62		1,472,900
12/1/07 - 12/31/07	40,800		34.86		1,432,100
Total during fourth quarter	150,000	\$	33.96		

During the year ended December 31, 2006 our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of 2.0 million shares of outstanding common stock under an ongoing systematic program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee stock plans. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we repurchased 471,300 shares for \$14.5 million. As of December 31, 2007, we have 1,432,100 shares available for repurchase under the program.

20

ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected historical consolidated financial information as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, and for each of the three years ended December 31, 2007 have been derived from and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this report. The selected historical consolidated financial information as of December 31, 2005, December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 and for the two years ended December 31, 2004 have been derived from our audited financial statements, which are not included in this report.

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	
(in thousands, except per share						
data)						
Net sales	\$272,680	\$235,846	\$181,349	\$158,380	\$120,468	
Operating income	\$ 26,451	\$ 18,517	\$ 11,677	\$ 13,540	\$ 8,573	
Net income	\$ 20,230	\$ 13,520	\$ 9,701	\$ 9,114	\$ 6,267	
Earnings per share:						
Basic	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.98	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.46	
Diluted	\$ 1.33	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.45	
Shares used in calculating						
earnings per share:						
Basic	14,410	13,818	13,462	13,567	13,703	
Diluted	15,177	14,432	13,992	14,100	14,007	
Cash dividend declared per						
common share						
Gross margin	36.4%	36.4%	37.0%	38.9%	38.4%	
Selling, general, administrative,						
research and development						
expenses as a % of net sales	26.7%	28.5%	30.6%	30.3%	31.3%	
Operating margin	9.7%	7.9%	6.4%	8.6%	7.1%	
Net income as a % of net sales	7.4%	5.7%	5.4%	5.8%	5.2%	
Return on average assets	10.2%	8.3%	6.8%	6.8%	5.5%	
Working capital	\$140,330	\$106,179	\$ 77,201	\$ 75,081	\$ 82,191	
Ratio of current assets to						
current liabilities	4.0	3.4	2.8	3.1	3.7	
Total assets	\$217,285	\$178,608	\$146,319	\$140,400	\$126,167	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 86,610	\$ 66,075	\$ 43,641	\$ 42,472	\$ 58,481	
Long-term debt						
Stockholders equity	\$168,242	\$134,217	\$103,292	\$103,881	\$ 95,171	
Book value per share (a)	\$ 11.55	\$ 9.58	\$ 7.63	\$ 7.66	\$ 6.89	
Ratio of liabilities to liabilities						
and stockholders equity	22.6%	24.9%	29.4%	26.0%	24.6%	

(a) Book value per share is defined as stockholders equity divided by common shares issued, less treasury

stock.

The comparability of information between 2005 and 2004 with prior years is affected by the acquisition of SimpleDevices Inc. in the fourth quarter of 2004.

21

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this document.

Overview

We have developed a broad line of pre-programmed universal wireless control products and audio-video accessories that are marketed to enhance home entertainment systems. Our channels of distribution include international retail, U.S. retail, private label, OEMs, cable and satellite service providers, CEDIA (Custom Electronic Design and Installation Association) and companies in the computing industry. We believe that our universal remote control database contains device codes that are capable of controlling virtually all infrared remote (IR) controlled TVs, VCRs, DVD players, cable converters, CD players, audio components and satellite receivers, as well as most other infrared remote controlled devices worldwide.

Beginning in 1986 and continuing today, we have compiled an extensive library that covers over 348,000 individual device functions and over 3,300 individual consumer electronic equipment brand names. Our library is regularly updated with new IR codes used in newly introduced video and audio devices. All such IR codes are captured from the original manufacturer s remote control devices or manufacturer s specifications to ensure the accuracy and integrity of the database. We have also developed patented technologies that provide the capability to easily upgrade the memory of the wireless control device by adding IR codes from the library that were not originally included. Since the third quarter of 2006, we have been operating as one business segment. We have eleven operating subsidiaries located in Argentina, France, two in Germany, Hong Kong, Italy, the Netherlands, Singapore, Spain, India and the United Kingdom.

To recap our results for 2007:

revenue grew 15% from \$235.8 million in 2006 to \$272.7 million in 2007;

full year 2007 operating income grew over 40% to \$26.5 million, representing 9.7% operating margin from \$18.5 million, representing 7.9% operating margin in 2006;

our growth in 2007 was the result of strong demand from the customers in our business category, due in part to the continuation of the upgrade cycle from analog to digital, consumer demand for advanced-function offerings from subscription broadcasters, and the mid-year deadline for OCAP compliance; and

2007 capped off a successful three-year period, where sales during this period grew at a compounded rate of approximately 20% and earnings per share grew at a compounded rate of approximately 27%.

Our strategic business objectives for 2008 include the following:

increase our share with existing customers;

acquire new customers in historically strong regions;

continue our expansion into new regions, Asia in particular;

continue to develop industry-leading technologies and products; and

continue to evaluate potential merger and acquisition opportunities that may enhance our business.

22

We intend for the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations to provide information that will assist in understanding our consolidated financial statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from period to period, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes, as well as how certain accounting principles, policies and estimates affect our consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments, including those related to revenue recognition, allowance for sales returns and doubtful accounts, warranties, inventory valuation, business combination purchase price allocations, our review for impairment of long-lived assets, intangible assets and goodwill, income taxes and stock-based compensation expense. Actual results may differ from these judgments and estimates, and they may be adjusted as more information becomes available. Any adjustment could be significant.

An accounting policy is deemed to be critical if it requires an accounting estimate to be made based on assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made, if different estimates reasonably could have been used, or if changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur could materially impact the financial statements. Management believes the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue recognition

We recognize revenue on the sale of products when delivery has occurred, there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the sales price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured.

We record a provision for estimated sales returns on product sales in the same period as the related revenues are recorded. These estimates are based on historical sales returns, analysis of credit memo data and other known factors. The provision recorded for estimated sales returns and allowances is deducted from gross sales to arrive at net sales in the period the related revenue is recorded.

We accrue for discounts and rebates on product sales in the same period as the related revenues are recorded based on historical experience. Changes in such accruals may be required if future rebates and incentives differ from our estimates. Rebates and incentives are recognized as a reduction of sales if distributed in cash or customer account credits. Rebates and incentives are recognized as cost of sales if we provide products or services for payment. Sales allowances reduce gross accounts receivable to arrive at accounts receivable, net in the same period the related receivable is recorded. We have no obligations after delivery of our products other than the associated warranties. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make payments for products sold or services rendered. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on a variety of factors, including historical experience, length of time receivables are past due, current economic trends and changes in customer payment behavior. Also, we record specific provisions for individual accounts when we become aware of a customer s inability to meet its financial obligations to us, such as in the case of bankruptcy filings or deterioration in the customer s operating results or financial position. If circumstances related to a customer change, our estimates of the recoverability of the receivables would be further adjusted, either upward or downward.

We generate service revenue, which is paid monthly, as a result of providing consumer support programs to some of our customers through our call centers. These service revenues are recognized when services

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

Table of Contents

are performed, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the sales price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured.

We also license our intellectual property including our patented technologies, trade secrets, trademarks and database of infrared codes. We record license revenue when our customers ship products incorporating our intellectual property, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the sales price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured.

When a sales arrangement contains multiple elements, such as software products, licenses and/or services, we allocate revenue to each element based on its relative fair value. The fair values for the multiple elements are determined based on vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE), or the price charged when the element is sold separately. The residual method is utilized when VSOE exists for all the undelivered elements, but not for the delivered element. This is performed by allocating revenue to the undelivered elements (that have VSOE) and the residual revenue to the delivered elements. When the fair value for an undelivered element cannot be determined, we defer revenue for the delivered elements until the undelivered element is delivered. We limit the amount of revenue recognition for delivered elements to the amount that is not contingent on the future delivery of products or services or subject to customer-specified return or refund privileges.

We account for revenue under software licensing arrangements involving significant production, modification or customization of software in accordance with SOP 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts. We recognize revenue and profit as work progresses on long-term, fixed price contracts using the percentage-of-completion method. When applying the percentage-of-completion method, we rely on estimates of total expected contract revenue and labor hours which are provided by our project managers. We follow this method because reasonably dependable estimates of the revenue and labor applicable to various stages of a contract can be made. Recognized revenue and profit are subject to revisions as the contract progresses to completion. Revisions to revenue and profit estimates are charged to income in the period in which the facts that give rise to the revision become known, and losses are accrued when identified.

We have not made any material changes in our methodology for recognizing revenue during the past three fiscal years. We do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions we use to recognize revenue. However, if actual results are not consistent with our estimates or assumptions, we may be exposed to losses or gains that could be material.

We warrant our products against defects in materials and workmanship arising during normal use. We service warranty claims directly through our customer service department or contracted third-party warranty repair facilities. Our warranty period ranges up to three years. We estimate and recognize product warranty costs, which are included in cost of sales, as we sell the related products. Warranty costs are forecasted based on the best available information, primarily historical claims experience and the expected cost per claim.

We have not made any material changes in our warranty reserve methodology during the past three fiscal years. We do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions we use to calculate the warranty reserve. However, actual claim costs may differ from the amounts estimated. If a significant product defect were to be discovered on a high volume product, our financial statements could be materially impacted. Historically, product defects have been less than 0.5% of the net units sold.

24

Inventories

Our inventories consist of primarily wireless control devices and the related component parts, including integrated circuits, and are valued at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method. We write down our inventory for the estimated difference between the inventory s cost and its estimated market value based upon our best estimates about future demand and market conditions.

We carry inventory in amounts necessary to satisfy our customers inventory requirements on a timely basis. We continually monitor our inventory status to control inventory levels and write-down any excess or obsolete inventories on hand. Our total excess and obsolete inventory reserve as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 was approximately \$1.8 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, or 5.0% and 7.6% of total inventory.

We have not made any material changes in the accounting methodology used to establish our excess and obsolete inventory reserve during the past three fiscal years. We do not believe there is reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the future estimates or assumptions we used to calculate our excess and obsolete inventory reserve. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management additional inventory write-downs may be required, which could have a material impact on our financial statements. Each 1% change in the ratio of excess and obsolete inventory reserve to inventory would impact cost of sales by approximately \$370 thousand. Such circumstances could include, but are not limited to, the development of new competing technology that impedes the marketability of our products or the occurrence of significant price decreases in our component parts, such as integrated circuits.

Business Combinations

We are required to allocate the purchase price of acquired companies to the tangible and intangible assets and the liabilities assumed, as well as in-process research and development (IPRD), based upon their estimated fair values. Such valuations require management to make significant fair value estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to intangible assets. Management estimates the fair value of certain intangible assets by utilizing the following (but not limited to):

future free cash flow from customer contracts, customer lists, distribution agreements, acquired developed technologies, and patents;

expected costs to develop IPR&D into commercially viable products and cash flows from the products once they are completed;

brand awareness and market position, as well as assumptions regarding the period of time the brand will continue to be used in our product portfolio and

discount rates utilized in discounted cash flow models.

Our estimates are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable; however, unanticipated events or circumstances may occur which could affect the accuracy of our fair value estimates, including assumptions regarding industry economic factors and business strategies.

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets and Intangible Assets

We assess long-lived and intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors considered important which could trigger an impairment review if significant include the following:

underperformance relative to historical or projected future operating results;

changes in the manner of use of the assets;

changes in the strategy of our overall business;

negative industry or economic trends;

Table of Contents 18

25

a decline in our stock price for a sustained period; and

a variance between our market capitalization relative to net book value.

When we determine that the carrying value of a long-lived asset or an intangible asset may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment we perform an impairment review. If the carrying value of the asset is larger than the undiscounted cash flows, the asset is impaired. We measure an impairment based on the projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by our management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in our current business model. In assessing the recoverability, we must make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows and other factors to determine the fair value of the respective assets.

We have not made any material changes in our impairment loss assessment methodology during the past three fiscal years. We do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions we use to calculate the impairment of long-lived assets and intangible assets. However, if actual results are not consistent with our estimates and assumptions we may be exposed to material impairment charges. *Goodwill*

We evaluate the carrying value of goodwill as of December 31 of each year and between annual evaluations if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount. Such circumstances could include, but are not limited to: (1) a significant adverse change in legal factors or in business climate, (2) unanticipated competition or (3) an adverse action or assessment by a regulator. When performing the impairment review, we determine the carrying amount of each reporting unit by assigning assets and liabilities, including the existing goodwill, to those reporting units. A reporting unit is defined as an operating segment or one level below an operating segment (referred to as a component). A component of an operating segment is deemed a reporting unit if the component constitutes a business for which discrete financial information is available, and segment management regularly reviews the operating results of that component. Our domestic and international operations are components and reporting units of our sole operating segment.

To evaluate whether goodwill is impaired, we compare the fair value of the reporting unit to which the goodwill is assigned to the reporting unit s carrying amount, including goodwill. We determine the fair value of each reporting unit using the present value of expected future cash flows for that reporting unit. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the amount of the impairment loss must be measured.

The impairment loss would be calculated by comparing the implied fair value of goodwill to its carrying amount. In calculating the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill, the present value of the reporting unit s expected future cash flows is allocated to all of the other assets and liabilities of that unit based on their fair values. The excess of the present value of the reporting unit s expected future cash flows over the amount assigned to its other assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. An impairment loss would be recognized when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value.

We have not made any material changes in our impairment loss assessment methodology during the past three fiscal years. We do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the future estimates or assumptions we use to test for impairment losses on goodwill. However, if actual results are not consistent with our estimates and assumptions we may be exposed to material impairment charges.

26

Income Taxes

We are periodically under audit by domestic and foreign taxing authorities. These audits include questions regarding tax filing positions we have taken, including the timing and amount of deductions of income among various tax jurisdictions.

As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we estimate our income taxes in each of the taxing jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves estimating our actual current tax expense together with assessing any temporary differences resulting from the different treatment of certain items, such as the timing of expense recognition for tax and financial reporting purposes. These differences may result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in our consolidated balance sheet.

We are required to assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets, which include net operating loss carryforwards and temporary differences that are expected to be deductible in future years, will be recoverable from future taxable income or other tax planning strategies. If recovery is not likely, we must provide a valuation allowance based on our estimates of future taxable income in the various taxing jurisdictions and the amount of deferred taxes that are ultimately realizable.

The provision for tax liabilities involves evaluations and judgments of uncertainties in the interpretation of complex tax regulations by various taxing authorities. Prior to January 1, 2007, in situations involving tax related uncertainties, we provided for tax liabilities when we believed such liabilities were probable under SFAS 5, Accounting for Contingent Liabilities. We adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes- an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48) effective January 1, 2007. In accordance with the adoption of FIN 48, we evaluate our tax positions to determine if it is more likely than not that a tax position is sustainable, based on its technical merits. If a tax position does not meet the more likely than not standard, a full reserve is established against the tax asset or a liability is recorded. Additionally, for a position that is determined to, more likely than not, be sustainable, we measure the benefit at the greatest cumulative probability of being realized and establish a reserve or liability for the balance.

Management believes that our estimates are reasonable; however, actual results may differ. A material change in our tax reserves could have a significant impact on our effective tax rate and our results.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R) using the modified-prospective transition method. Stock-based compensation expense is presented in the same income statement line as cash compensation paid to the same employees or directors. During the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we recorded \$3.5 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, in pre-tax stock-based compensation expense. Included in SG&A stock-based compensation expense is \$687 thousand and \$353 thousand in pre-tax compensation expense related to stock awards granted to outside directors for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The income tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense was \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for options granted under these plans using the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, (APB 25) and related interpretations, as permitted by SFAS 123. Under the intrinsic-value method of APB 25, compensation cost is the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the stock at the grant date over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock. We grant options with an exercise price equal to the market value of the common stock on the date of grant; therefore no compensation expense was recognized related to those options for the 2005 fiscal year.

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

Table of Contents

Stock-based compensation expense was included in the following for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006:

(in thousands)	2007	2006
Cost of sales	\$ 31	\$ 26
Research and development	418	370
Selling, general and administrative	3,072	2,721
Total Stock-based compensation expense	\$ 3,521	\$ 3,117

During the year ended December 31, 2007, we granted 75,250 and 253,750 stock options to executive and non-executive employees, respectively.

As of December 31, 2007, we expect to recognize \$4.4 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested employee stock options over a weighted-average life of 2.36 years.

We issue restricted stock awards to the outside directors for services performed. Under SFAS No. 123R, compensation expense related to restricted stock awards is based on the fair value of the shares awarded as of the grant date. Compensation expense for the restricted stock awards is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of one year. The fair value of non-vested shares is determined based on the average of the high and low trade prices of our company s shares on the grant date. During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we granted 25,000, 22,813 and 20,000 shares, respectively.

As of December 31, 2007, we expect to recognize \$0.4 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock awards over a weighted-average life of six months.

Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of share-based payment awards requires the utilization of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected life of the share-based payment awards and stock price volatility. Management determined that historical volatility calculated based on our actively traded common stock is a better indicator of expected volatility and future stock price trends than implied volatility. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of share-based payment awards represent management s best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of management s judgment. As a result, if factors change and we use different assumptions, our stock-based compensation expense could be materially different in the future. In addition, we are required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate and only recognize expense for those shares expected to vest.

We do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the future estimates or assumptions used to determine stock-based compensation expense. However, if actual results are not consistent with our estimates and assumptions we may be exposed to material stock-based compensation expense. For instance, if our actual forfeiture rate decreased by 1%, stock-based compensation expense would have increased by approximately \$0.1 million, or 3.5% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

28

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our results of operations expressed as a percentage of net sales for the periods indicated.

	Year Ended December 31, 2007								
(in thousands)	2007		2006		2005				
Net sales	\$ 272,680	100.0%	\$ 235,846	100.0%	\$ 181,349	100.0%			
Cost of sales	173,329	63.6	149,970	63.6	114,222	63.0			
Gross profit	99,351	36.4	85,876	36.4	67,127	37.0			
Research and development expenses	8,820	3.2	7,412	3.1	6,580	3.6			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	64,080	23.5	59,947	25.4	48,870	27.0			
Operating income	26,451	9.7	18,517	7.9	11,677	6.4			
Interest income	3,104	1.1	1,401	0.5	845	0.5			
Other income (expense), net	7	0.0	(498)	(0.2)	2,152	1.2			
Income before income taxes	29,562	10.8	19,420	8.2	14,674	8.1			
Provision for income taxes	9,332	3.4	5,900	2.5	4,973	2.7			
Net income	\$ 20,230	7.4%	\$ 13,520	5.7%	\$ 9,701	5.4%			

Year Ended December 31, 2007 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2006

Consolidated

Net sales for the year ended December 31, 2007 were \$272.7 million, an increase of 16% compared to \$235.8 million for the same period last year. Net income for 2007 was \$20.2 million or \$1.40 per share (basic) and \$1.33 per share (diluted) compared to \$13.5 million or \$0.98 per share (basic) and \$0.94 per share (diluted) for 2006.

	2	2007		2006	
	\$		\$		
	(millions)	% of total	(millions)	% of total	
Net sales:					
Business	\$ 214.7	78.7%	\$ 178.8	75.8%	
Consumer	58.0	21.3%	57.0	24.2%	
Total net sales	\$ 272.7	100.0%	\$ 235.8	100.0%	

Net sales in our Business lines (subscription broadcasting, OEM, and computing companies) were approximately 79% of net sales for 2007 compared to approximately 76% for 2006. Net sales in our business lines for 2007 increased by 20% to \$214.7 million from \$178.8 million in 2006. This increase in sales resulted primarily from an increase in the volume of remote control sales, which was partially offset by lower prices. The increase in remote control sales volume was attributable to the continued deployment of advanced function set-top boxes by the service operators and market share gains with a few key subscription broadcasting customers. These advanced functions include digital video recording (DVR), video-on-demand (VOD), and high definition television (HDTV). We expect that the deployment of the advanced function set-top boxes by the service operators will continue into the foreseeable future as penetration for each of the functions cited continues to increase. As a result, we expect Business category revenue to range between \$232 and \$248 million in 2008.

Net sales in our Consumer lines ($One\ For\ All^{\otimes}$ retail, private label, custom installers, and direct import) were approximately 21% of net sales for 2007 compared to approximately 24% for 2006. Net sales in our consumer lines for 2007 increased by 2% to \$58.0 million, from \$57.0 million in 2006. The increase in sales resulted primarily from

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

our expanding presence in the custom electronic design & installation association (CEDIA) market. CEDIA sales increased by \$1.5 million, or 47%, from 2006. Additionally, retail sales made outside of the United States increased by \$0.7 million. These sales were positively impacted by the strengthening of both the Euro and the British Pound compared to the U.S. Dollar, which resulted in an increase in net sales of approximately \$3.8 million. Net of this positive currency effect, retail sales outside of the United States were down by \$3.1 million, primarily due to lower sales in the UK and Australia. Partially offsetting these increases were United States direct import licensing and product

29

revenues for 2007, which decreased by \$0.9 million, or 44%, to \$1.2 million in 2007, down from \$2.1 million in 2006. This was due to a decline in royalty revenue and a decline in the volume of Kameleon sales. Additionally, Private Label sales decreased by \$0.3 million, or 9%, to \$3.2 million in 2007 from \$3.5 million in 2006. This was due to a decline in the volume of Kameleon sales in the United States. We expect Consumer category revenue to range between \$65 and \$81 million in 2008.

Gross profit for 2007 was \$99.4 million compared to \$85.9 million for 2006. Gross profit as a percent of sales for 2007 was 36.4%, which is comparable to 2006. The gross profit rate was positively impacted by the strengthening of both the Euro and British Pound compared to the U.S. Dollar, which resulted in an increase of approximately \$3.6 million in gross profit, or an increase of 0.8% in the gross profit rate. A decrease in royalty expense of \$1.4 million, due to lower sales of SKY-branded retail product in Europe, increased the gross profit rate by 0.7%. Offsetting the increases in the gross profit rate was an increase in freight and handling expense of \$2.7 million in 2007 as compared to 2006, which reduced the gross profit rate by 0.8%. The increase in freight expense is due primarily to an increase in the percentage of units that were shipped by air; air freight is significantly more costly than ocean freight. Additionally, subscription broadcast sales, which generally have a lower gross profit rate as compared to our other sales, represented a larger percentage of our total business. The impact of this change in mix was a 0.7% reduction in the gross profit rate.

Research and development expenses increased 19% from \$7.4 million in 2006 to \$8.8 million in 2007. The increase is primarily related to internal as well as third party costs associated with the continued expansion of the Nevo® platform and the development of products for sale in our subscription broadcasting, retail, and OEM channels. We expect that research and development expenses will range between \$8.8 million and \$9.4 million for the full year 2008. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 7% from \$59.9 million in 2006 to \$64.1 million in 2007. Payroll and benefits increased by \$2.6 million due to new hires and merit increases; the strengthening of both the Euro and British Pound compared to the U.S. Dollar resulted in an increase of \$2.4 million; long-term incentive compensation increased by \$1.0 million; delivery, freight, and handling costs increased by \$0.7 million; additional travel resulted in an increase of \$0.6 million; director s fees and expenses increased by \$0.4 million; and commission expense increased by \$0.2 million. These items were partially offset by lower employee bonus expense, which decreased by \$4.0 million. We expect that selling, general, and administrative expenses will range between \$70.2 and \$74.6 million for the full year 2008.

In 2007, we recorded \$3.1 million of net interest income compared to \$1.4 million net for 2006. This increase is due to higher money market rates and a higher average cash balance. Net interest income will range between \$3.0 and \$4.0 million in 2008.

In 2007, we had \$7 thousand in other income, net as compared to \$0.5 million of other expense, net for 2006. Approximately \$0.5 million of other expense in 2006 resulted from foreign currency losses.

We recorded income tax expense of \$9.3 million in 2007 compared to \$5.9 million in 2006. Our effective tax rate was 31.6% in 2007 compared to 30.4% in 2006. The increase in our effective tax rate is due primarily to additional income earned in higher tax-rate jurisdictions. We estimate that our effective tax rate will range between 33% and 35% for the full year 2008.

30

Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005

Consolidated

Net sales for the year ended December 31, 2006 were \$235.8 million, an increase of 30% compared to \$181.3 million for the same period last year. Net income for 2006 was \$13.5 million or \$0.98 per share (basic) and \$0.94 per share (diluted) compared to \$9.7 million or \$0.72 per share (basic) and \$0.69 per share (diluted) for 2005.

	2	2006		2005	
	\$		\$		
	(millions)	% of total	(millions)	% of total	
Net sales:					
Business	\$ 178.8	75.8%	\$ 126.2	69.6%	
Consumer	57.0	24.2%	55.1	30.4%	
Total net sales	\$ 235.8	100.0%	\$ 181.3	100.0%	

Net sales in our Business lines (subscription broadcasting, OEM, and computing companies) were approximately 76% of net sales for 2006 compared to approximately 70% for 2005. Net sales in our business lines for 2006 increased by 42% to \$178.8 million from \$126.2 million in 2005. This increase in sales resulted primarily from an increase in the volume of remote control sales, which was partially offset by lower prices. The increase in remote control sales volume was attributable to the continued deployment of advanced function set-top boxes by the service operators and market share gains with a few key subscription broadcasting customers. These advanced functions include digital video recording (DVR), video-on-demand (VOD), and high definition television (HDTV). Net sales in our Consumer lines (One For All® retail, private label, custom installers, and direct import) were approximately 24% of net sales for 2006 compared to approximately 30% for 2005. Net sales in our consumer lines for 2006 increased by 4% to \$57.0 million, from \$55.1 million in 2005. Retail sales outside North America and Europe increased by \$1.2 million compared to 2005 due to a new distributor in Australia and strong sales in Argentina, Brazil and New Zealand. The increase in consumer lines net sales was also driven by the strengthening of both the Euro and the British Pound compared to the U.S. Dollar, which resulted in an increase in net sales of approximately \$1.0 million. However, excluding the positive foreign exchange impact, the dollar amount of European Retail sales was constant, compared to the prior year. This increase in consumer lines net sales was also driven by our entry into the custom electronic design & installation association (CEDIA) market in the second quarter of 2005, as CEDIA sales increased by \$0.8 million from 2005. Partially offsetting these increases was Private Label sales, which decreased by \$0.5 million, or 12%, to \$3.5 million in 2006 from \$4.0 million in 2005. This was due to a decline in the volume of Kameleon sales in the United States, Additionally, United States direct import licensing and product revenues for 2006 decreased by \$0.4 million or 16%, to \$2.1 million in 2006 from \$2.5 million in 2005, due to a decline in royalty revenue.

Gross profit for 2006 was \$85.9 million compared to \$67.1 million for 2005. Gross profit as a percent of sales for 2006 was 36.4% compared to 37.0% for 2005. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was primarily attributable to subscription broadcast sales, which generally have a lower gross profit rate as compared to our other sales, representing a larger percentage of our total business. The impact of this change in mix was a 3.3% reduction in the gross profit rate. Partially offsetting this decrease in the gross profit rate was a reduction of \$1.4 million of freight expense recorded in 2006 as compared to 2005. In 2006, there was a decrease in the percentage of units that were shipped by air. Lower freight expense contributed to a 1.2% increase in the gross profit rate. A reduction in inventory scrap expense of \$0.9 million added 0.7% to the gross profit rate. Scrap expense has declined as inventory management has improved. Royalty expense increased \$41 thousand, but added 0.5% to the gross profit rate. Royalty expense is tied to Consumer sales, which have declined as a percentage of our total business. Warranty expense decreased by \$0.2 million, which added 0.2% to the profit rate. Gross profit was also favorably impacted by the strengthening of both the Euro and British Pound compared to the U.S. Dollar, which resulted in an increase in gross profit of approximately \$0.9 million and an increase of 0.2% in the gross profit rate.

31

Research and development expenses increased 13% from \$6.6 million in 2005 to \$7.4 million in 2006. The expensing of stock options, which was adopted on January 1, 2006 (SFAS 123R), accounted for \$0.4 million of the increase. The remainder of the increase is related to development efforts with radio frequency technology using the Z-Wave platform, continued expansion of the Nevo® platform and development efforts taking place at our San Mateo location. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 23% from \$48.9 million in 2005 to \$59.9 million in 2006. Employee performance-based bonuses increased by \$4.0 million, payroll and benefits increased by \$3.5 million due to the growth of our company, expensing of stock options, which was adopted on January 1, 2006 (SFAS 123R), amounted to \$2.4 million, travel increased \$0.8 million, delivery and freight costs increased by \$0.5 million due to the increase in sales volume, advertising increased by \$0.5 million, \$0.4 million is attributable to tradeshows and \$0.4 million due to the strengthening of both the Euro and British Pound compared to the U.S. Dollar. These items were partially offset by lower bad debt expense, which decreased by \$1.9 million. Fiscal 2005 bad debt expense included a \$1.6 million write-down for a receivable due from a former European distributor.

In 2006, we recorded \$1.4 million of interest income compared to \$0.8 million for 2005. This increase is due to higher money market rates and a higher average cash balance.

In 2006, other expense, net was \$0.5 million as compared to \$2.2 million of other income, net for 2005.

Approximately \$0.5 million of other expense in 2006 resulted from foreign currency losses, and approximately \$2.1 million of other income in 2005 resulted from foreign currency gains.

We recorded income tax expense of \$5.9 million in 2006 compared to \$5.0 million in 2005. Our effective tax rate was 30.4% in 2006 compared to 33.9% in 2005. The decrease in our effective tax rate is due primarily to the Netherlands statutory tax rate decreasing from 31.5% in 2005 to 29.6% in 2006.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

	Year Ended December		Year Ended December		Year Ended December
	31,	Increase	31,	Increase	31,
(In thousands)	2007	(decrease)	2006	(decrease)	2005
Cash provided by operating					
activities	\$19,937	\$ 2,725	\$17,212	\$ 3,083	\$ 14,129
Cash used for investing activities	(6,183)	(1,115)	(5,068)	(1,031)	(4,037)
Cash provided by (used for)					
financing activities	1,398	(3,785)	5,183	8,429	(3,246)
Effect of exchange rate changes	5,383	276	5,107	10,784	(5,677)

	Increase			
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2007	(decrease)	2006	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 86,610	\$20,535	\$ 66,075	
Working capital	140,330	34,151	106,179	

Cash provided by operating activities

Our principal sources of funds are from operations. Cash provided by operating activities for 2007 was \$19.9 million, compared to \$17.2 million and \$14.1 million during 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase in cash flows from operations in 2007 compared to 2006 was primarily due to the increase in net income of 50% from \$13.5 million in 2006 to \$20.2 million in 2007, offset partially by an increase in days sales outstanding and a decrease in inventory turns. Our days sales outstanding increased due to certain customers delaying payment beyond their respective payment terms. We do not believe that these customers represent a credit risk or bad debt risk. The decrease in inventory turns is due directly to weaker than expected fourth quarter sales.

Table of Contents 28

32

Cash used for investing activities

The increase in cash flows from operations in 2006 compared to 2005 is primarily due to an increase in net sales, which resulted in an increase in net income of 39% from \$9.7 million in 2005 to \$13.5 million in 2006, as well as improvement in our days sales outstanding and overall inventory management. Our days sales outstanding improved from approximately 74 days at December 31, 2005 to approximately 64 days at December 31, 2006, due primarily to strong collections from our significant customers. In addition, despite an increase in net sales of approximately 30% from 2005 to 2006, inventory levels remained relatively constant with the prior year.

Cash used for investing activities during 2007 was \$6.2 million as compared to \$5.1 million and \$4.0 million during 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase in cash used for investing activities in 2007 compared to 2006 was due to increased capital expenditures. Capital expenditures in 2007, 2006, and 2005 were \$4.8 million, \$4.1 million and \$3.1 million, respectively. During the first quarter of 2007, we began to renovate and expand our corporate headquarters. Construction is expected to be completed during the first quarter of 2008. The total cost of this renovation is estimated to be approximately \$1.8 million, which will be financed through our current operations. In addition we will receive \$0.4 million tenant improvement allowance upon completion of construction. We also plan to make a significant investment to upgrade our information systems, which we expect to cost approximately \$1.0 million. The strategic planning for the upgrade of our information systems commenced in the second quarter of 2007 and we expect implementation to be completed in 2009. In addition, in order to support our sales growth, annual purchases of tooling equipment have increased throughout the years.

Cash provided by (used for) financing activities

Cash provided by financing activities during 2007 was \$1.4 million compared to cash provided by financing activities during 2006 of \$5.2 million and cash used for financing activities of \$3.2 million during 2005. Proceeds from stock option exercises were \$12.6 million during 2007, compared to proceeds of \$7.5 million and \$2.9 million during 2006 and 2005, respectively. In 2007, gain on stock option exercises resulted in a \$3.3 million excess tax benefit compared to \$275 thousand and \$0 for 2006 and 2005, respectively. We purchased 471,300 shares of our common stock at a cost of \$14.5 million during 2007, compared to 127,326 and 356,285 shares at a cost of \$2.6 million and \$6.1 million during 2006 and 2005, respectively. We hold these shares as treasury stock, and they are available for reissue. Presently, except for using a minimal number of these treasury shares to compensate our outside board members, we have no plans to distribute these shares, although we may change these plans if necessary to fulfill our on-going business objectives.

Effective August 31, 2006, we amended our original Credit Facility with Comerica, extending our line of credit through August 31, 2009. Under the amended Credit Facility, we have authority to acquire up to an additional 2.0 million shares of our common stock in the open market. From August 31, 2006, through December 31, 2007, we purchased 567,900 shares of our common stock, leaving 1,432,100 shares available for purchase under the Credit Facility. During 2008 we may continue to purchase shares of our common stock if we believe conditions are favorable and to offset the dilutive effect of stock option exercises.

The amended Credit Facility provides a \$15 million unsecured revolving credit agreement with Comerica for an additional three years, expiring on August 31, 2009. Under the Credit Facility, the interest payable is variable and is based on the bank s cost of funds or the LIBOR rate plus a fixed margin of 1.25%. The interest rate in effect as of December 31, 2007 using the LIBOR Rate option plus a fixed margin of 1.25% was 5.47%. We pay a commitment fee ranging from zero to a maximum rate of 1/4 of 1% per year on the unused portion of the credit line depending on the amount of cash investment retained with Comerica during each quarter. Under the terms of the Credit Facility, dividend payments are allowed for up to 100% of the prior fiscal year s net income, to be paid within 90 days of this period s year end. We are subject to certain financial covenants related to our net worth, quick ratio, and net income. Amounts available for borrowing under the Credit Facility are reduced by the outstanding balance of import letters of credit. As of December 31, 2007, we did not have any amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility or any

outstanding import letters of credit. Furthermore, as of December 31, 2007, we were in compliance with all financial covenants required by the Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2007, we had available \$15 million on the line of credit.

Historically, our working capital needs have typically been greatest during the third and fourth quarters when accounts receivable and inventories increase in connection with the fourth quarter holiday selling season. At December 31, 2007, we had \$140.3 million of working capital as compared to \$106.2 at December 31, 2006. The increase in working capital during these periods is principally due to higher cash, accounts receivable and inventory balances at December 31, 2007 compared to December 31, 2006.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations at December 31, 2007 and the effect these obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods.

		Payments Due by Period				
(in thousands)		Less than	1 - 3	4 - 5	After	
Contractual Obligations	Total	1 year	Years	years	5 years	
Operating Lease Obligations	\$ 5,876	\$ 1,756	\$ 2,465	\$ 1,351	\$ 304	
Purchase Obligations ⁽¹⁾	19,762	19,762				
Total	\$ 25,638	\$ 21,518	\$ 2,465	\$ 1,351	\$ 304	

obligations
primarily
consist of an
agreement with
a specific
vendor to
purchase
approximately
80% of our
integrated
circuits through
October 16,
2008 from this
vendor.

At December 31, 2007, we did not have any bank guarantees that provide for the bank to make payment on our behalf in the event of our non-payment for transactions with suppliers in the ordinary course of business.

At December 31, 2007, we had approximately \$12.2 million and \$74.4 million of cash and cash equivalents in the United States and Europe, respectively.

It is our policy to carefully monitor the state of our business, cash requirements and capital structure. We believe that funds generated from our operations and available from our credit facility will be sufficient to fund current business operations as well as anticipated growth at least through the end of 2008; however, there can be no assurance that such funds will be adequate for that purpose.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not participate in any off balance sheet arrangements.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements for assets and liabilities. SFAS 157 applies when other accounting

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

pronouncements require or permit assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. Accordingly, SFAS 157 does not require new fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. On February 12, 2008 the FASB issued FASB Staff Position for SFAS 157 (FSP FAS 157-2), which delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in an entity s financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually) until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. We are required to adopt the provisions of SFAS 157 in the first quarter of 2008, except for those items within scope of FSP FAS 157-2, which we will adopt in the first quarter of 2009. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 157 to have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

34

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 expands the use of fair value accounting but does not affect existing standards that require assets or liabilities to be carried at fair value. Under SFAS 159, a company may elect to use fair value to measure accounts and loans receivable, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities, equity method investments, accounts payable, guarantees and issued debt. Other eligible items include firm commitments for financial instruments that otherwise would not be recognized at inception and non-cash warranty obligations where a warrantor is permitted to pay a third party to provide the warranty goods or services. If the use of fair value is elected, any upfront costs and fees related to the item must be recognized in earnings and cannot be deferred, such as debt issuance costs. The fair value election is irrevocable and generally made on an instrument-by-instrument basis, even if a company has similar instruments that it elects not to measure based on fair value. At the adoption date, unrealized gains and losses on existing items for which fair value has been elected are reported as a cumulative adjustment to beginning retained earnings. Subsequent to the adoption of SFAS 159, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. We currently are determining whether fair value accounting is appropriate for any of our eligible items and cannot estimate the impact that SFAS 159 will have on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In June 2007, the FASB ratified EITF 07-3, Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services Received for Use in Future Research and Development Activities (EITF 07-3). EITF 07-3 requires that nonrefundable advance payments for goods or services that will be used or rendered for future research and development activities be deferred and capitalized and recognized as an expense as the goods are delivered or the related services are performed. EITF 07-3 is effective, on a prospective basis, for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. We do not expect the adoption of EITF 07-3 to have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS 141R). SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. SFAS 141R also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R is effective as of the beginning of an entity s fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2008, and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. We do not believe that the adoption of Statement 141R will have an effect on our financial statements; however, the effect is dependent upon whether we make any future acquisitions and the specifics of those acquisitions.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified EITF 07-1, Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements Related to the Development and Commercialization of Intellectual Property (EITF 07-1). EITF 07-1 defines collaborative arrangements and establishes disclosure requirements for transactions between participants in a collaborative arrangement and between participants in the arrangement and third parties. EITF 07-1 is effective as of the beginning of an entity s fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2008 and should be applied retrospectively to all prior periods presented for all collaborative arrangements existing as of the effective date. EITF 07-1 is effective for us beginning January 1, 2009. Currently, we do not have any collaborative arrangements; therefore, we do not currently believe that the adoption of EITF 07-1 will have an impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition. In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements: an amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 changes the accounting for, and the financial statement presentation of, noncontrolling equity interests in a consolidated subsidiary. SFAS 160 replaces the existing minority-interest provisions of Accounting Research Bulletin 51 (ARB 51), Consolidated Financial Statements, defining a new term-noncontrolling interests-to replace what were previously called minority interests. The new standard establishes noncontrolling interests as a component of the equity of a consolidated entity. The underlying principle of the new standard is that both the controlling interest and the noncontrolling interests are part of the equity of a single economic entity:

35

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

Table of Contents

the consolidated reporting entity. Classifying noncontrolling interests as a component of consolidated equity is a change from the current practice of treating minority interests as a mezzanine item between liabilities and equity or as a liability. The change affects both the accounting and financial reporting for noncontrolling interests in a consolidated subsidiary. SFAS 160 includes reporting requirements intended to clearly identify and differentiate the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. The reporting requirements are required to be applied retrospectively. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited. We currently do not believe that the adoption of SFAS 160 will have a significant effect on our financial statements as we wholly own our subsidiaries.

36

Outlook

Our focus is to build technology and products that make the consumer s interaction with devices and content within the home easier and more enjoyable. The pace of change in the home is increasing. The growth of new devices, such as DVD players, PVR/DVR technologies, HDTV and home theater solutions, to name only a few, has transformed control of the home entertainment center into a complex challenge for the consumer. The more recent introduction and projected growth of digital media technologies in the consumer s life will further increase this complexity. We have set out to create the interface for the connected home, building a bridge between the home devices of today and the networked home of the future. We intend to invest in new products and technology, particularly in the connected home space, which will expand our business beyond the control of devices to the control of and access to content, such as digital media, to enrich the entertainment experience.

We will continue enhancing our leadership position in our core business by developing custom products for our subscription broadcasting, OEM, retail and computing customers, growing our capture expertise in infrared technology and radio frequency standards, adding to our portfolio of patented or patent pending technologies and developing new platform products. We are also developing new ways to enhance remote controls and other accessory products.

We are continuing to seek ways to use our technology to make the set-up and use of control products, and the access to and control of digital entertainment within the home entertainment network, easier and more affordable. In addition, we are working on product line extensions to our One For All[®] branded products which include digital antennas, signal boosters, and other A/V accessories.

We are also seeking ways to increase our customer base worldwide, particularly in the areas of subscription broadcasting, OEM and One For All® international retail. We will continue to work on strengthening existing relationships by working with customers to understand how to make the consumer interaction with products and services within the home easier and more enjoyable. We intend to invest in new products and technology to meet our customer needs now and into the future.

We will continue developing software and firmware solutions that can enable devices such as TVs, set-top boxes, stereos, automotive audio systems and other consumer electronic products to wirelessly connect and interact with home networks and interactive services to deliver digital entertainment and information. This smart device category is emerging, and in the remainder of 2008 we look to continue to build relationships with our customers in this category. Throughout 2008, we will continue to evaluate acceptable acquisition targets and strategic partnership opportunities in our core business lines as well as in the networked home marketplace. We caution, however, that no assurance can be made that any suitable acquisition target or partnership opportunity will be identified and, if identified, that a transaction can be consummated. Moreover, if consummated, no assurance can be made that any such acquisition or partnership will profitably add to our operations.

37

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to various market risks, including interest rate and foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. We have established policies, procedures and internal processes governing our management of these risks and the use of financial instruments to mitigate our risk exposure.

On August 31, 2006, we amended our credit facility to extend for an additional three years, expiring on August 31, 2009. The interest payable under our revolving Credit Facility with our bank is variable and based on either (i) the bank s cost of funds or (ii) the LIBOR rate plus a fixed margin of 1.25%; the rate is affected by changes in market interest rates. At December 31, 2007, we had no borrowings on our credit facility. The interest rate in effect on the credit facility as of December 31, 2007 using the LIBOR Rate option plus a fixed margin of 1.25% was 5.47%. At December 31, 2007 we had wholly owned subsidiaries in the Netherlands, United Kingdom, Germany, France, Argentina, Spain, Italy, Singapore, Hong Kong and India. Sales from these operations are typically denominated in local currencies including Euros, British Pounds and Argentine Pesos, thereby creating exposure to changes in exchange rates. Changes in local currency exchange rates relative to the U.S. Dollar and, in some cases, to each other, may positively or negatively affect our sales, gross margins and net income. From time to time, we enter into foreign currency exchange agreements to manage our exposure arising from fluctuating exchange rates that affect cash flows and our reported income. Contract terms for the foreign currency exchange agreements normally last less than nine months. We do not enter into any derivative transactions for speculative purposes.

The value of our net balance sheet positions held in foreign currency can also be impacted by fluctuating exchange rates, as can the value of the income generated by our European subsidiaries. It is difficult to estimate the impact of fluctuations on reported income, as it depends on the opening and closing rates, the average net balance sheet positions held in a foreign currency and the amount of income generated in local currency. We routinely forecast what these balance sheet positions and income generated in local currency may be, and we take steps to minimize exposure as we deem appropriate.

The sensitivity of earnings and cash flows to the variability in exchange rates is assessed by applying an approximate range of potential rate fluctuations to our assets, obligations and projected results of operations denominated in foreign currency. Based on our overall foreign currency rate exposure at December 31, 2007, we believe that movements in foreign currency rates could have a material affect on our financial position. We estimate that if the exchange rates for the Euro and the British Pound relative to the U.S. Dollar fluctuate 10% from December 31, 2007, net income and cash flows in the first quarter of 2008 would fluctuate by approximately \$0.1 million and \$9.5 million, respectively.

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Grant Thornton LLP	40
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2007 and 2006	41
Consolidated Income Statements for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	42
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	43
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	44
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	45
Financial Statement Schedule:	
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves for the years ended December 31, 2007,	
2006 and 2005	74
All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the fir	nancial
statements or notes thereto.	
39	

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders

Universal Electronics Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Universal Electronics Inc. (a Delaware corporation) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007. Our audits of the basic financial statements included the financial statement schedule listed in the index to consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Universal Electronics Inc. as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Notes 2 and 16 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of Statement No. 109, effective January 1, 2007. As discussed in Notes 2 and 11 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for stock-based compensation as a result of adopting Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, effective January 1, 2006.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Universal Electronics Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 5, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP

Irvine, California March 5, 2008

40

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share-related data)

	Decen	nber 31,
	2007	2006
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 86,610	\$ 66,075
Accounts receivable, net	60,146	51,867
Inventories, net	34,906	26,459
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,874	2,722
Deferred income taxes	2,871	3,069
Total current assets	186,407	150,192
Equipment, furniture and fixtures, net	7,558	5,899
Goodwill	10,863	10,644
Intangible assets, net	5,700	5,587
Other assets	369	221
Deferred income taxes	6,388	6,065
Total assets	\$ 217,285	\$ 178,608
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 29,382	\$ 20,153
Accrued sales discounts/rebates/royalties	4,671	4,498
Accrued income taxes	1,720	4,483
Accrued compensation	3,737	7,430
Other accrued expenses	6,567	7,449
Total current liabilities	46,077	44,013
Long term liabilities:		
Deferred income taxes	127	103
Income tax payable	1,506	
Other long term liabilities	1,333	275
Total liabilities	49,043	44,391
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders equity: Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued or		
outstanding		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; 18,547,019 and		
17,543,235 shares issued at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively	185	175
Paid-in capital	114,441	94,733
Accumulated other comprehensive income	11,221	2,759

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

Retained earnings	88,508	68,514		
	214,355	166,181		
Less cost of common stock in treasury, 3,975,439 and 3,528,827 shares at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively	(46,113)	(31,964)		
Total stockholders equity	168,242	134,217		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 217,285	\$ 178,608		
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.				

41

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,				•
	2007		2006		2005
Net sales	\$ 272,680	\$	235,846	\$	181,349
Cost of sales	173,329		149,970		114,222
Gross profit	99,351		85,876		67,127
Research and development expenses	8,820		7,412		6,580
Selling, general and administrative expenses	64,080		59,947		48,870
Operating income	26,451		18,517		11,677
Interest income	3,104		1,401		845
Other income (expense), net	7		(498)		2,152
Income before provision for income taxes	29,562		19,420		14,674
Provision for income taxes	9,332		5,900		4,973
Net income	\$ 20,230	\$	13,520	\$	9,701
Earnings per share:					
Basic	5 1.40	\$	0.98	\$	0.72
Diluted	1.33	\$	0.94	\$	0.69
Shares used in computing earnings per share:					
Basic	14,410		13,818		13,462
Diluted	15,177		14,432		13,992

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

42

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(In thousands)

				(III tilousa	iius)						
					Accumulated						
	Common Stock Issued		Common Stock in Treasury						Co	Comprehensiv	
	Shares A	mount	Shares	Amount	Capital	Income (Loss)	Earnings	mpensatiof	Totals	In	come
Balance at December 31, 2004 Comprehensive income: Net income Currency translation	16,643	\$ 167	(3,085)	\$ (23,853)	\$ 78,872	\$ 3,571	\$45,293 9,701	\$ (169) \$ 3	103,881	\$	9,701
adjustment						(8,836)				(8,836)
Total comprehensive income										\$	865
Shares issued for employee benefit plan Purchase of treasury shares Stock options exercised Restricted stock grants Shares issued to Directors	31 290	2	(356)	(6,110)	533 2,862 326 (300)			(326)	533 (6,110) 2,864		
Director stock-based compensation Tax benefit from exercise of non qualified stock options					74 853			332	406 853		
Balance at December 31, 2005 Comprehensive income: Net income Currency translation adjustment	16,964	169	(3,421)	(29,663)	83,220	(5,265)	54,994 13,520	, ,	103,292		3,520 8,024
Total comprehensive income										\$ 2	1,544
Shares issued for employee benefit plan Purchase of treasury shares Stock options exercised Shares issued to Directors	29 550	1 5	(127) 19	(2,589) 288	528 7,492 (288)				529 (2,589) 7,497		2,011
Stock-based compensation expense under SFAS 123R Tax benefit from exercise of non qualified stock options					3,117 827				3,117 827		
					(1(0)			1.00			

Table of Contents 41

(163)

163

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

Reclassification of deferred
stock-based compensation
on adoption of SFAS 123(R

Balance at December 31, 2006 Comprehensive income:	17,543	175	(3,529)	(31,964)	94,733	2,759	68,514	134,217	
Net income							20,230	9	\$ 20,230
Currency translation adjustment						8,462			8,462
Total comprehensive income								9	\$ 28,692
Shares issued for employee									
benefit plan	23	1			630			631	
Purchase of treasury shares			(471)	(14,519)				(14,519)	
Stock options exercised	981	9			12,588			12,597	
Shares issued to Directors			25	370	(370)				
Stock-based compensation									
expense under SFAS 123R					3,521			3,521	
Adoption of FIN 48 (Note 16)							(236)	(236)	
Tax benefit from exercise of									
non qualified stock options					3,339			3,339	
Balance at December 31, 2007	18,547	\$ 185	(3,975)	\$ (46,113)	\$ 114,441	\$11,221	\$ 88,508	\$ \$168,242	
				, , ,	•			•	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

43

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Cash provided by operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 20,230	\$ 13,520	\$ 9,701
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating			
activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	4,675	4,187	3,702
Provision for doubtful accounts	23	210	2,121
Provision for inventory write-downs	2,146	1,810	2,735
Deferred income taxes	219	(637)	(130)
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	3,339	827	853
Excess tax benefit	(3,320)	(275)	
Shares issued for employee benefit plan	631	529	533
Stock-based compensation	3,521	3,117	406
Write down of investment in private company			3
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(5,033)	(7,120)	(6,966)
Inventories	(9,194)	(280)	(7,128)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	837	1,459	(1,207)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	3,982	2,546	5,416
Accrued income and other taxes	(2,119)	(2,681)	4,090
	(=,/)	(=,==)	-,
Net cash provided by operating activities	19,937	17,212	14,129
Cash used for investing activities:			
Acquisition of equipment, furniture and fixtures	(4,802)	(4,057)	(3,137)
Acquisition of intangible assets	(1,381)	(1,011)	(900)
Not such used for investing activities	(6 192)	(5.069)	(4.027)
Net cash used for investing activities	(6,183)	(5,068)	(4,037)
Cash provided by (used for) financing activities:			
Proceeds from stock options exercised	12,597	7,497	2,864
Treasury stock purchased	(14,519)	(2,589)	(6,110)
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	3,320	275	, , ,
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	1,398	5,183	(3,246)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	5,383	5,107	(5,677)
Not increase in each and each equivalents	20.525	22.424	1 160
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	20,535	22,434	1,169
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	66,075	43,641	42,472
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 86,610	\$ 66,075	\$43,641

Supplemental Cash Flow Information Income taxes paid were \$8.1 million, \$8.7 million and \$0.3 million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

44

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Description of Business

Universal Electronics Inc., based in Southern California, has developed a broad line of easy-to-use, pre-programmed universal wireless control products and audio-video accessories that are marketed to enhance home entertainment systems as well as software designed to enable consumers to wirelessly connect, control and interact with an increasingly complex home environment. Our primary markets include retail, private label, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), custom installers, cable and satellite service providers, and companies in the personal computing industry. Over the past 20 years, we have developed a broad portfolio of patented technologies and a database of home connectivity software that we license to our customers, including many leading Fortune 500 companies. In addition, we sell our universal wireless control products and other audio/visual accessories through our European headquarters in the Netherlands, and to distributors and retailers in Europe, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, the Middle East, Mexico, and selected countries in Asia and Latin America under the One For All® brand name.

As used herein, the terms we, us and our refer to Universal Electronics Inc. and its subsidiaries unless the context indicates to the contrary.

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All the intercompany accounts and significant transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements. *Segment Realignment*

In the third quarter of 2006, we integrated the SimpleDevices business segment into our Core Business segment in order to more closely align our financial reporting with our business structure. The segment integration did not impact previously reported consolidated net revenue, income from operations, net income or earnings per share. *Estimates and Assumptions*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments, including those related to revenue recognition, allowance for sales returns and doubtful accounts, warranties, inventory valuation, business combination purchase price allocations, our review for impairment of long-lived assets, intangible assets and goodwill, income taxes and stock-based compensation expense. Actual results may differ from these judgments and estimates, and they may be adjusted as more information becomes available. Any adjustment could be significant.

45

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue on the sale of products when delivery has occurred, there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the sales price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured.

We record a provision for estimated sales returns on product sales in the same period as the related revenues are recorded. These estimates are based on historical sales returns, analysis of credit memo data and other known factors. The provision recorded for estimated sales returns and allowances is deducted from gross sales to arrive at net sales in the period the related revenue is recorded.

We accrue for discounts and rebates on product sales in the same period as the related revenues are recorded based on historical experience. Changes in such accruals may be required if future rebates and incentives differ from our estimates. Rebates and incentives are recognized as a reduction of sales if distributed in cash or customer account credits. Rebates and incentives are recognized as cost of sales if we provide products or services for payment. Sales allowances reduce gross accounts receivable to arrive at accounts receivable, net in the same period the related receivable is recorded. We have no obligations after delivery of our products other than the associated warranties (See Note 21). We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make payments for products sold or services rendered. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on a variety of factors, including historical experience, length of time receivables are past due, current economic trends and changes in customer payment behavior. Also, we record specific provisions for individual accounts when we become aware of a customer s inability to meet its financial obligations to us, such as in the case of bankruptcy filings or deterioration in the customer s operating results or financial position. If circumstances related to a customer change, our estimates of the recoverability of the receivables would be further adjusted, either upward or downward. We generate service revenue, which is paid monthly, as a result of providing consumer support programs to some of our customers through our call centers. These service revenues are recognized when services are performed, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured.

We also license our intellectual property including our patented technologies, trade secrets, trademarks, and database of infrared codes. We record license revenue when our customers ship products incorporating our intellectual property, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured.

When a sales arrangement contains multiple elements, such as software products, licenses and/or services, we allocate revenue to each element based on its relative fair value. The fair values for the multiple elements are determined based on vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE), or the price charged when the element is sold separately. The residual method is utilized when VSOE exists for all the undelivered elements, but not for the delivered element. This is performed by allocating revenue to the undelivered elements (that have VSOE) and the residual revenue to the delivered elements. When the fair value for an undelivered element cannot be determined, we defer revenue for the delivered elements until the undelivered element is delivered. We limit the amount of revenue recognition for delivered elements to the amount that is not contingent on the future delivery of products or services or subject to customer-specified return or refund privileges.

We account for revenue under software licensing arrangements involving significant production, modification or customization of software in accordance with SOP 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts". We recognize revenue and profit as work progresses on long-term, fixed price contracts using the percentage-of-completion method. When applying the percentage-of-completion method, we rely on estimates of total expected contract revenue and labor hours which are provided by our project managers. We follow this method because reasonably

46

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

dependable estimates of the revenue and labor applicable to various stages of a contract can be made. Recognized revenue and profit are subject to revisions as the contract progresses to completion. Revisions to revenue and profit estimates are charged to income in the period in which the facts that give rise to the revision become known, and losses are accrued when identified.

Effective January 1, 2007, we applied the opinion reached by the FASB s Emerging Issues Task Force on EITF Issue 06-3, How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross versus Net Presentation) (EITF 06-3). The consensus in EITF 06-3 does not require us to reevaluate our existing accounting policies for income statement presentation. We present all non-income government-assessed taxes (sales, use and value added taxes) collected from our customers and remitted to governmental agencies on a net basis (excluded from revenue) in our financial statements. The government-assessed taxes are recorded in other accrued expenses until they are remitted to the government agency.

Foreign Currency Translation and Foreign Currency Transactions

The functional currency for our foreign operations is their local currency. The translation of foreign currencies into U.S. dollars is performed for balance sheet accounts using exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet dates and for revenue and expense accounts using the average exchange rate during the period. The gains and losses resulting from the translation are included in the foreign currency translation adjustment account, a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders—equity, and are excluded from net income. The portions of inter-company accounts receivable and accounts payable that are not intended for settlement are translated at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date.

We recorded a foreign currency translation gain of \$8.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 and a foreign currency translation gain of \$8.0 million and a foreign currency translation loss of \$8.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The foreign currency translation gain of \$8.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 was driven by the weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the Euro. The U.S. dollar/Euro spot rate was 1.46 and 1.32 at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. The foreign currency translation gain of \$8.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 was driven by the weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the Euro. The U.S. dollar/Euro spot rate was 1.32 and 1.18 at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The foreign currency translation loss of \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 was driven by the strengthening of the U.S. dollar versus the Euro. The U.S. dollar/Euro spot rate was 1.18 and 1.35 at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

Transaction gains and losses generated by the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on recorded assets and liabilities denominated in a currency different than the functional currency of the applicable entity are recorded in other income (expense), net (See Note 15).

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash accounts and all investments purchased with initial maturities of three months or less. We maintain cash and cash equivalents with various financial institutions. These financial institutions are located in many different geographic regions. We mitigate our exposure to credit risk by placing our cash and cash equivalents with high quality financial institutions.

At December 31, 2007, we had approximately \$12.2 million and \$74.4 million of cash and cash equivalents in the United States and Europe, respectively. At December 31, 2006, we had approximately \$6.1 million and \$60.0 million of cash and cash equivalents in the United States and Europe, respectively.

47

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Inventories

Our inventories consist of primarily wireless control devices and the related component parts, including integrated circuits, and are valued at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method. We write down our inventory for the estimated difference between the inventory s cost and its estimated market value based upon our best estimates about future demand and market conditions.

We carry inventory in amounts necessary to satisfy our customers—inventory requirements on a timely basis. We continually monitor our inventory status to control inventory levels and write-down any excess or obsolete inventories on hand. Our total excess and obsolete inventory reserve as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 was approximately \$1.8 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, or 5.0% and 7.6% of total inventory. The decline in the inventory reserve was primarily due to scrapping of inventory in 2007 that was reserved in 2006.

If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management additional inventory write-downs may be required, which could have a material impact on our financial statements. Such circumstances could include, but are not limited to, the development of new competing technology that impedes the marketability of our products or the occurrence of significant price decreases in our component parts, such as integrated circuits.

Equipment, Furniture and Fixtures

Equipment, furniture and fixtures are recorded at cost. To qualify for capitalization an asset must have a useful life greater than one year and a cost greater than \$1,000 for individual assets or \$5,000 for bulk assets. For financial reporting purposes, depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the appropriate accounts and any gain or loss is included in operating income.

Estimated useful lives consist of the following:

Tooling and Equipment (1) 2-7 Years
Computer Equipment 3-5 Years
Software 3-5 Years
Furniture and Fixtures 5-7 Years

Leasehold Improvements Lesser of lease term or useful life (approximately 2 to 6 years)

We purchase tooling equipment for the production of our products. Tooling equipment is recorded on our balance sheet: but is located at our third party manufactures. **Tooling** equipment as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$10.8 million

and

\$8.5 million, respectively (See Note 6). Annually, we analyze tooling equipment for impairment along with our other long-lived assets.

Long-Lived Assets and Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist principally of distribution rights, patents, trademarks, trade names, and developed and core technologies. Capitalized amounts related to patents represent external legal costs for the application and maintenance of patents. Intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated period of benefit, ranging from two to ten years.

We assess the impairment of long-lived assets and intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors considered important which could trigger an impairment review include the following: (1) significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results; (2) significant changes in the manner or use of the assets or strategy for the overall business and (3) significant negative industry or economic trends.

48

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

When we determine that the carrying value may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment, we conduct an impairment review. The asset is impaired if its carrying value exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. In assessing recoverability, we must make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows and other factors. The impairment loss is the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds its fair value. We calculate fair value by taking the sum of the discounted projected cash flows over the assets remaining useful life, using a discount rate commensurate with the risks inherent in our current business model. When calculating fair value, we must make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows, discount rates and other factors. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 we recorded impairment charges of \$63 thousand, \$20 thousand and \$0, respectively, related to our long-lived assets. The impairment charges are recorded in depreciation expense. *Goodwill*

We record the excess purchase price of net tangible and intangible assets acquired over their estimated fair value as goodwill. We have adopted the provisions of SFAS 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets. Under SFAS 142, we are required to test goodwill for impairment at least annually. We evaluate the carrying value of goodwill as of December 31 of each year and between annual evaluations if events occur or circumstances change that may reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount. Such circumstances could include, but are not limited to: (1) a significant adverse change in legal factors or in business climate, (2) unanticipated competition, or (3) an adverse action or assessment by a regulator. In performing the impairment review, we determine the carrying amount of each reporting unit by assigning assets and liabilities, including the existing goodwill, to those reporting units (See Note 3). A reporting unit is defined as an operating segment or one level below an operating segment (referred to as a component). A component of an operating segment is deemed a reporting unit if the component constitutes a business for which discrete financial information is available and segment management regularly reviews the operating results of that component.

To evaluate whether goodwill is impaired, we compare the fair value of the reporting unit to which the goodwill is assigned to the reporting unit s carrying amount, including goodwill. We determine the fair value of each reporting unit using the present value of expected future cash flows for that reporting unit. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the amount of the impairment loss must be measured.

The impairment loss would be calculated by comparing the implied fair value of goodwill to its carrying amount. In calculating the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill, the present value of the reporting unit s expected future cash flows is allocated to all of the other assets and liabilities of that unit based on their fair values. The excess of the present value of the reporting unit s expected future cash flows over the amount assigned to its other assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. An impairment loss would be recognized when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value.

We conducted annual goodwill impairment reviews as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. Based on the analysis performed, we determined that the fair values of our reporting units exceeded their carrying amounts, including goodwill, and therefore they were not impaired.

During the fourth quarter of 2004 we purchased SimpleDevices for approximately \$12.8 million in cash, including direct acquisition costs, and a potential performance-based payment of our unregistered common stock, if certain future financial objectives were achieved. As a result of the performance-based incentive and other factors, our chief operating decision maker (CODM) reviewed SimpleDevices discrete operating results through the second quarter of 2006, and SimpleDevices was defined as an operating segment and a reporting unit as well.

49

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Effective at the end of second quarter 2006, we completed our integration of SimpleDevices technologies with our existing technologies, merged SimpleDevices sales, engineering and administrative functions into our domestic reporting unit, and the performance-based payment related to the acquisition expired. Commencing in the third quarter of 2006, our CODM no longer reviews SimpleDevices financial statements on a stand alone basis. As a result of these activities, SimpleDevices became part of the domestic reporting unit within our single operating segment. *Income Taxes*

Income tax expense includes U.S. and international income taxes. We account for income taxes using the liability method. We record deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities on our balance sheet for expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in different periods for financial statement purposes versus tax return purposes using enacted tax rates that will be in effect when these differences reverse. We record a valuation allowance to reduce net deferred tax assets if we determine that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized. A current tax asset or liability is recognized for the estimated taxes refundable or payable for the current year.

In accordance with the adoption of FIN 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes- an Interpretation of Statement No. 109, if a tax position does not meet the more likely than not standard, a full reserve is established against the tax asset or a liability is recorded. Additionally, a tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

Capitalized Software Costs

We account for software development costs in accordance with SFAS No. 86, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed. Costs incurred internally while creating a computer software product are expensed when incurred as research and development until technological feasibility has been established. We determined that technological feasibility for our products is established when a working model is complete. Once technological feasibility is established, software costs are capitalized until the product is available for general release to customers and is then amortized using the greater of (i) the ratio that current gross revenues for a product bear to the total current and anticipated future gross revenues or (ii) the straight-line method over the remaining estimated economic life of the product. Software development costs consist primarily of salaries, employee benefits, supplies and materials. The straight-line amortization periods for capitalized software costs range from 1 to 2 years. Capitalized software costs are stated at cost net of accumulated amortization. Unamortized capitalized software costs were \$0.4 million and \$0.1 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. We capitalized \$0.5 million, \$0 and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Amortization expense related to capitalized software costs was \$0.2 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively (See Note 3).

Research and Development

We account for research and development costs in accordance with SFAS No. 2, Accounting for Research and Development Costs. As such, research and development costs are expensed as incurred and consist primarily of salaries, employee benefits, supplies and materials.

Advertising

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense was \$2.3 million, \$2.2 million and \$1.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

50

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs

In September 2000, the Emerging Issues Task Force issued EITF 00-10, Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs. EITF 00-10 requires shipping and handling fees billed to customers to be classified as revenue and shipping and handling costs to be either classified as cost of sales or disclosed in the notes to the financial statements if classified elsewhere in the income statement. We include shipping and handling fees billed to customers in net sales. Shipping and handling costs associated with in-bound freight are recorded in cost of goods sold. Other shipping and handling costs are included in selling, general and administrative expenses and totaled \$7.9 million, \$6.9 million and \$6.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Derivatives

Our foreign currency exposures are primarily concentrated in the Euro and British Pound. Depending on the predictability of future receivables, payables and cash flows in each operating currency, we periodically enter into foreign currency exchange contracts with terms normally lasting less than nine months to protect against the adverse effects that exchange-rate fluctuations may have on our foreign currency-denominated receivables, payables, cash flows and reported income. We do not enter into financial instruments for speculation or trading purposes. These derivatives have not qualified for hedge accounting. The gains and losses on both the derivatives and the foreign currency-denominated balances are recorded as foreign exchange transaction gains or losses and are classified in other income (expense), net. Derivatives are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. The estimated fair value of derivative financial instruments represents the amount required to enter into similar offsetting contracts with similar remaining maturities based on quoted market prices.

We held foreign currency exchange contracts which resulted in a net pre-tax gain of approximately \$784 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2007 and net pre-tax loss of approximately \$97 thousand and \$409 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We had one foreign currency exchange contract outstanding at December 31, 2007, a forward contract with a notional value of \$5.0 million. We had two foreign currency exchange contracts outstanding at December 31, 2006, known as participating forwards, both with a notional value of \$6.25 million each. Both contracts settled on January 3, 2007.

We held a US dollar/Euro forward contract with a notional value of \$5.0 million and a forward rate of \$1.4554 US dollar/Euro as of December 31, 2007, due for settlement on January 25, 2008. We held the Euro position on this contract. The fair value of this contract was \$11 thousand at December 31, 2007; and this contract value is included in prepaid expenses and other current assets. At December 31, 2006, we had a loss on participating forward contracts of approximately \$0.6 million, which was included in other accrued expenses.

Stock-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R) using the modified-prospective transition method. Under this transition method, compensation expense recognized for the year ended December 31, 2006 includes: (a) compensation expense for all share-based awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123 and (b) compensation expense for all share-based awards granted subsequent to December 31, 2005 based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R. Results for prior periods have not been restated. We recognize such compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, on a straight-line basis over the service period of the award, which is generally the option vesting term of three to four years. Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for options granted under our plans using the intrinsic value method under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, (APB 25) and related interpretations, as permitted by SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based

51

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Compensation (SFAS 123). In March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107) regarding the SEC s interpretation of SFAS 123R and the valuation of share-based compensation for public companies. We have applied the provisions of SAB 107 to our adoption of SFAS 123R.

We use the Black Scholes option pricing model to measure the stock-based compensation expense. The assumptions used in the Black Scholes model includes the following: weighted average fair value of grant, risk-free interest rate, expected volatility and expected life in years. Refer to Note 10 for further discussion on stock-based compensation. *New Accounting Pronouncements*

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements for assets and liabilities. SFAS 157 applies when other accounting pronouncements require or permit assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. Accordingly, SFAS 157 does not require new fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. On February 12, 2008 the FASB issued FASB Staff Position for SFAS 157 (FSP FAS 157-2), which delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in an entity s financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually) until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. We are required to adopt the provisions of SFAS 157 in the first quarter of 2008, except for those items within scope of FSP FAS 157-2, which we will adopt in the first quarter of 2009. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 157 to have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations and financial conditions. In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 expands the use of fair value accounting but does not affect existing standards that require assets or liabilities to be carried at fair value. Under SFAS 159, a company may elect to use fair value to measure accounts and loans receivable, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities, equity method investments, accounts payable, guarantees and issued debt. Other eligible items include firm commitments for financial instruments that otherwise would not be recognized at inception and non-cash warranty obligations where a warrantor is permitted to pay a third party to provide the warranty goods or services. If the use of fair value is elected, any upfront costs and fees related to the item must be recognized in earnings and cannot be deferred, such as debt issuance costs. The fair value election is irrevocable and generally made on an instrument-by-instrument basis, even if a company has similar instruments that it elects not to measure based on fair value. At the adoption date, unrealized gains and losses on existing items for which fair value has been elected are reported as a cumulative adjustment to beginning retained earnings. Subsequent to the adoption of SFAS 159, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and is required to be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. We currently are determining whether fair value accounting is appropriate for any of our eligible items and cannot estimate the impact that SFAS 159 will have on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In June 2007, the FASB ratified EITF 07-3, Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services Received for Use in Future Research and Development Activities (EITF 07-3). EITF 07-3 requires that nonrefundable advance payments for goods or services that will be used or rendered for future research and development activities be deferred and recognized as an expense as the goods are delivered or the related services are performed. EITF 07-3 is effective, on a prospective basis, for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. We do not expect the adoption of EITF 07-3 to have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

52

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS 141R). SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. SFAS 141R also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R is effective as of the beginning of an entity s fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2008, and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. We do not believe that the adoption of Statement 141R will have an effect on our financial statements; however, the effect is dependent upon whether we make any future acquisitions and the specifics of those acquisitions.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified EITF 07-1, Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements Related to the Development and Commercialization of Intellectual Property (EITF 07-1). EITF 07-1 defines collaborative arrangements and establishes disclosure requirements for transactions between participants in a collaborative arrangement and between participants in the arrangement and third parties. EITF 07-1 is effective as of the beginning of an entity s fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2008 and should be applied retrospectively to all prior periods presented for all collaborative arrangements existing as of the effective date. EITF 07-1 is effective for us beginning January 1, 2009. Currently, we do not have any collaborative arrangements; therefore, we do not currently believe that the adoption of EITF 07-1 will have an impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition. In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements: an amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 changes the accounting for, and the financial statement presentation of, noncontrolling equity interests in a consolidated subsidiary. SFAS 160 replaces the existing minority-interest provisions of Accounting Research Bulletin 51 (ARB 51), Consolidated Financial Statements, defining a new term-noncontrolling interests-to replace what were previously called minority interests. The new standard establishes noncontrolling interests as a component of the equity of a consolidated entity. The underlying principle of the new standard is that both the controlling interest and the noncontrolling interests are part of the equity of a single economic entity: the consolidated reporting entity. Classifying noncontrolling interests as a component of consolidated equity is a change from the current practice of treating minority interests as a mezzanine item between liabilities and equity or as a liability. The change affects both the accounting and financial reporting for noncontrolling interests in a consolidated subsidiary. SFAS 160 includes reporting requirements intended to clearly identify and differentiate the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. The reporting requirements are required to be applied retrospectively. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited. We currently do not believe that the adoption of SFAS 160 will have a significant effect on our financial statements as we wholly own our subsidiaries.

Note 3 Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Under the requirements of SFAS 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets , the unit of accounting for goodwill is at a level of reporting referred to as a reporting unit. SFAS 142 defines a reporting unit as either (1) an operating segment as defined in SFAS 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information or (2) one level below an operating segment referred to as a component. Our domestic and international components are reporting units within our one operating segment Core Business. Goodwill is reviewed for impairment as of December occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount.

Effective at the end of second quarter 2006, we completed our integration of SimpleDevices technologies with our existing technologies, merged SimpleDevices sales, engineering and administrative functions into our domestic reporting unit, and the performance-based payment related to the acquisition expired. In addition, our CODM no longer reviews SimpleDevices financial statements on a stand alone basis, commencing in the third quarter of 2006. As a result of these activities, SimpleDevices became part of the domestic reporting unit within our single operating segment.

53

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Goodwill for the domestic operations was generated from the acquisition of a remote control company in 1998 and the acquisition of a software and firmware solutions company, SimpleDevices, in 2004. Goodwill for international operations resulted from the acquisition of remote control distributors in the UK in 1998, Spain in 1999 and France in 2000.

Goodwill information for domestic and international components is as follows:

	December 31,			
(in thousands)	2007	2006		
Goodwill				
Domestic	\$ 8,314	\$ 8,314		
International ⁽¹⁾	2,549	2,330		
Total Goodwill	\$ 10,863	\$ 10,644		

The difference in international goodwill reported at December 31, 2007, as compared to the goodwill reported at December 31, 2006, was the result of fluctuations in the foreign currency exchange rates used to translate the balance into U.S. dollars.

Besides goodwill, our intangible assets consist principally of distribution rights, patents, trademarks, purchased technologies and capitalized software costs. Capitalized amounts related to patents represent external legal costs for the application and maintenance of patents. Intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated period of benefit, ranging from one to ten years.

Information regarding our intangible assets at December 31, 2007 and 2006 are listed below:

(in thousands)	2007(1)	2006(1)
Carrying amount:		
Distribution rights (10 years)	\$ 419	\$ 379
Patents (10 years)	6,335	5,605
Trademark and trade names (10 years)	840	840
Developed and core technology (5 years)	1,630	2,410
Capitalized software (1-2 years)	499	898

Other (5-7 years)	370	370
Total carrying amount	\$ 10,093	\$ 10,502
Accumulated amortization: Distribution rights Patents Trademark and trade names Developed and core technology Capitalized software Other	\$ 56 2,695 273 1,060 68 241	\$ 50 2,221 189 1,475 813 167
Total accumulated amortization	\$ 4,393	\$ 4,915
Net carrying amount: Distribution rights Patents Trademark and trade names Developed and core technology Capitalized software Other Total net carrying amount	\$ 363 3,640 567 570 431 129 \$ 5,700	\$ 329 3,384 651 935 85 203 \$ 5,587
(1) This table excludes fully amortized intangible assets of \$5,457 thousand and \$3,763 thousand as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.		

Table of Contents 56

54

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Amortization expense, including the amortization of capitalized software, which is recorded to cost of sales, for 2007, 2006 and 2005 was approximately \$1.3 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. Estimated amortization expense for existing intangible assets and capitalized software for each of the five succeeding years ending December 31 and thereafter are as follows:

2008	\$ 1,352
2009	1,187
2010	705
2011	705
2012	705
Thereafter	1,046

\$5,700

The weighted average amortization period of intangible assets is 8.5 years.

Note 4 Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consisted of the following at December 31, 2007 and 2006:

(in thousands)	2007	2006
Trade receivable, gross	\$ 63,528	\$55,726
Note receivable ⁽¹⁾		200
Other (2)	430	437
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(2,330)	(2,602)
Allowance for sales returns	(1,482)	(1,894)
Accounts receivable net	\$ 60 146	\$ 51 867

(1) In April 1999, we provided a non-recourse interest bearing secured loan to our chief executive officer. The note was due and was paid in full by December 15, 2007 (See Note 20).

Other receivable as of December 31, 2007 and

December 31, 2006, consisted primarily of a tenant improvement allowance provided by our landlord for the renovation and expansion of our corporate headquarter in Cypress, California. Construction began in 2007, and completion is expected during the first guarter of 2008. The tenant improvement allowance will be paid upon completion of construction.

Sales Returns

We record a provision for estimated sales returns and allowances on product sales in the same period as the related revenues are recorded. These estimates are based on historical sales returns, analysis of credit memo data and other known factors. The provision recorded for estimated sales returns and allowances is deducted from gross sales to arrive at net sales in the period the related revenue is recorded. Sales allowances reduce gross accounts receivable to arrive at accounts receivable, net in the same period the related receivable is recorded. Our contractual sales return periods range up to six months. We have no other obligations after delivery of our products other than the associated warranties.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. Our allowance for doubtful accounts is our best estimate of losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make their required payments. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts based on a variety of factors, including historical experience, length of time receivables are past due, current economic trends and changes in customer payment behavior. Also, we record specific provisions for individual accounts when we become aware of a customer s inability to meet its financial obligations to us, such as in the case of bankruptcy filings or deterioration in the customer s operating results or financial position. If circumstances related to a customer change, our estimates of the recoverability of the receivables would be further adjusted, either upward or downward.

55

(in thousands)

Inventories, net

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Balance at

Additions

Balance at

\$ 26,459

\$34,906

The following changes occurred in the allowance for doubtful accounts during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

	Beginning of	to Costs and	(Write-offs)/	End of
Description	Period	Expenses	FX Effects	Period
Year Ended December 31, 2007	\$ 2,602	\$ 23	\$ (295)	\$2,330
Year Ended December 31, 2006	\$ 2,296	\$ 210	\$ 96	\$2,602
Year Ended December 31, 2005	\$ 1,130	\$ 2,121	\$ (955)	\$2,296
Note 5 Inventories				
Inventories, net consisted of the following at l	December 31, 2007 and 2	2006:		
(in thousands)			2007	2006
Components			\$ 6,750	\$ 6,101
Finished goods			29,982	22,537
Reserve for inventory obsolescence			(1,826)	(2,179)

During 2006, we recorded a charge to reduce our finished good inventories by \$0.4 million (\$0.2 million after tax) for an error in our standard cost as of December 31, 2005. We believe the amounts are not material to 2006 or 2005.

Note 6 Equipment, Furniture and Fixtures

Equipment, furniture and fixtures consisted of the following at December 31, 2007 and 2006:

(in thousands)	2007	2006
Tooling	\$ 9,998	\$ 7,815
Computer equipment	2,581	2,539
Software	2,583	2,197
Furniture and fixtures	1,660	1,424
Leasehold improvements	1,056	1,188
Machinery and equipment	911	791
	18,789	15,954
Accumulated depreciation	(13,725)	(11,027)
	5,064	4,927
Construction in progress	2,494	972
Total equipment, furniture and fixtures, net	\$ 7,558	\$ 5,899

Depreciation expense, including tooling depreciation, which is recorded in cost of goods sold, was \$3.4 million, \$2.7 million and \$2.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. As of December 31, 2007, construction in progress primarily consisted of \$1.0 million in leasehold improvements, \$0.8 million in tooling and equipment, \$0.3 million in software and \$0.3 million in furniture and fixtures. We expect that 92% of the construction in progress costs will be placed into service during the first and second quarter of 2008. We will begin to depreciate those assets at that time. As of December 31, 2006, construction in progress consisted

primarily of \$0.7 million in tooling and equipment and \$0.2 million in software.

Note 7 Revolving Credit Line

Effective August 31, 2006, we amended our original Credit Facility with Comerica Bank (Comerica), extending our line of credit through August 31, 2009. The amended Credit Facility provides a \$15 million unsecured revolving credit agreement with Comerica. Under the Credit Facility, the interest payable is variable and is based on the bank s cost of funds or the LIBOR rate plus a fixed margin of 1.25%. The interest rate in effect as of December 31, 2007 using the LIBOR Rate option plus a fixed margin of 1.25% was 5.47%. We pay a commitment fee ranging from zero to a maximum rate of 1/4 of 1% per year on the unused portion of the credit line depending on the amount of cash investment retained with Comerica during each quarter. At December 31, 2007, the commitment rate was 0.25%. Under the terms of the Credit Facility, dividend payments are allowed for up to 100% of the prior fiscal year s net income, to be

56

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

paid within 90 days of the current period s year end. We are subject to certain financial covenants related to our net worth, quick ratio, and net income. Amounts available for borrowing under the Credit Facility are reduced by the outstanding balance of import letters of credit. As of December 31, 2007, we did not have any amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility or any outstanding import letters of credit. The amount available on the line of credit as of December 31, 2007 was \$15 million. Furthermore, as of December 31, 2007, we were in compliance with all financial covenants required by the Credit Facility.

Under the amended Credit Facility, we have authority to acquire up to an additional 2.0 million shares of our common stock in the open market. From August 31, 2006, through December 31, 2007, we purchased 567,900 shares of our common stock, leaving 1,432,100 shares available for purchase under the Credit Facility (see Note 10).

Note 8 Other Accrued Expenses

The components of other accrued expenses at December 31, 2007 and 2006 are listed below:

(in thousands)	2007	2006
Accrued freight	\$ 1,435	\$ 1,346
Accrued advertising and marketing	735	558
Deferred income taxes	511	235
Accrued sales and VAT taxes (1)	499	1,444
Accrued warranties (2)	178	416
Deferred revenue	145	841
Other	3,064	2,609
		.
	\$ 6,567	\$ 7,449

Accrued sales and VAT taxes decreased \$0.9 million from \$1.4 million at December 31, 2006 to \$0.5 million at December 31. 2007. The decrease was primarily due to lower sales volume in the United Kingdom in the fourth quarter of 2007 compared to the fourth

quarter of 2006.

(2)

Accrued

warranties

decreased

\$0.2 million

from

\$0.4 million at

December 31,

2006 to

\$0.2 million at

December 31,

2007. The

decrease was

primarily due to

price

negotiations

with our third

party warranty

repair vendor

that occurred

during the

second quarter

of 2007 (See

Note 21).

Note 9 Financial Instruments

Our financial instruments consist primarily of investments in cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The carrying value of these instruments approximate fair value due to their short maturities.

Note 10 Stockholders Equity

Fair Price Provisions and Other Anti-Takeover Measures

Our Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, contains certain provisions restricting business combinations with interested stockholders under certain circumstances and imposing higher voting requirements for the approval of certain transactions (fair price provisions). Any of these provisions could delay or prevent a change in control. The fair price provisions require that holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of voting stock approve certain business combinations and significant transactions with interested stockholders.

57

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Treasury Stock

During year ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we repurchased 471,300, 127,326 and 356,285 shares of our common stock at the cost of \$14.5 million, \$2.6 million and \$6.1 million, respectively. Repurchased shares are recorded as shares held in treasury at cost. We generally hold shares for future use as management and the Board of Directors deem appropriate, including compensating our outside directors. During the year ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we issued 24,688, 19,375 and 20,000 shares, respectively, to outside directors for services performed. *Stock Awards to Outside Directors*

We issue restricted stock awards to the outside directors as compensation for services performed. We grant each of our outside directors 5,000 shares of our common stock annually each July 1st. When an additional outside director joins our Board of Directors, the director receives an allocated number of shares based on months of service during the initial year. Under SFAS No. 123R, compensation expense related to restricted stock awards is based on the fair value of the shares awarded as of the grant date. The fair value of these shares are being amortized over their 1-year vesting period. Each calendar quarter, 1/4 of the total stock award will vest and the shares will be issued, provided the director has served the entire calendar quarter term. The shares are issued from treasury stock using a first-in-first-out cost basis, which amounted to \$370 thousand and \$288 thousand in 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Refer to the table below for shares granted to our outside directors from July 1, 2004 through December 31, 2007, their fair market value and total amortization expense for the respective year:

Grant Date	Shares Granted	Fair Market Value ⁽¹⁾	2007	2006	2005(2)
July 1, 2004	20,000	\$348,523			\$168,700
July 1, 2005	20,000	325,800		\$162,900	162,900
July 1, 2006	15,000	272,100	\$136,050	136,050	
August 14, 2006	4,375	79,406	45,375	34,031	
October 23, 2006	3,438	72,679	52,850	19,829	
July 1, 2007	25,000	906,125	453,063		
	Total Amorti	zation Expense	\$687,338	\$352,810	\$331,600

- (1) The fair market value is based on the average of the high and low trade prices on the date of grant.
- January 1, 2006, we accounted for stock-based compensation by applying the intrinsic-value

method in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. Under the intrinsic-value method. compensation cost is the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the stock at the grant date over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock. We grant stock options with an exercise price equal to the market value of the common stock on the date of grant, and therefore no compensation expense was

During the fourth quarter of 2007, 2,500 shares were forfeited due to the death of one of our outside directors. The fair market value of the forfeited shares amounted to \$90,613.

Note 11 Stock Options

options in 2005.

recognized related to

Stock-based compensation expense

At December 31, 2007, we have the stock-based employee compensation plans described below. Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for options granted under our plans using the intrinsic value method under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, (APB 25). Under the intrinsic-value based method of APB 25, compensation cost is the excess, if any, of

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

the quoted market price of the stock at the grant date over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock. We grant options with an exercise price equal to the market value of the common stock on the date of grant; therefore, no compensation expense was recognized related to those options for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R) using the modified-prospective transition method. Under this transition method, compensation expense recognized for the year ended December 31, 2006 includes: (a) compensation expense for all share-based awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123 and (b) compensation expense for all share-based awards granted subsequent to December 31, 2005 based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R. We recognize such compensation expense net of estimated forfeitures over the service period of the award, which is generally the option vesting term of three to four years. We estimated the annual forfeiture rate for our executives and board of directors group and non-executive employees group to be 2.41% and 5.95%, respectively, as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, based on historical experience.

Prior to January 1, 2006, we provided *pro forma* disclosures in accordance with SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure, as if the fair value method had been adopted as defined by SFAS 123. Under SFAS 123, compensation expense is computed based on the fair value of the stock options granted and is recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. The fair value of the options granted was determined at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model.

SFAS 123R requires that we continue to provide the pro forma disclosures required by SFAS 123 for all periods presented in which share-based payments to employees are accounted for under APB 25. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and net income per share for the year ended December 31, 2005 as if we applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to share-based employee compensation.

(In thousands, except per share amounts) Net income as reported	\$	9,701
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects		268
Less: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(2,792)
Pro forma net income	\$	7,177
Basic earnings per share: As reported Pro forma Diluted earnings per share: As reported		0.72 0.53 0.69
Pro forma	\$	0.51

Stock-based compensation expense is presented in the same income statement line as cash compensation paid to the same employees or directors. During the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we recorded \$3.5 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, in pre-tax stock-based compensation expense. Included in SG&A stock-based compensation expense is \$687 thousand and \$353 thousand in pre-tax compensation expense related to stock awards

granted to outside directors for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively (See Note 10). The income tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense was \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

59

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Stock-based compensation expense was included in the following for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006:

(in thousands)	2007	2006
Cost of sales	\$ 31	\$ 26
Research and development	418	370
Selling, general and administrative	3,072	2,721
Total Stock-based compensation expense	\$ 3,521	\$ 3,117

As of December 31, 2007, we expect to recognize \$4.4 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested employee stock options over a weighted-average life of 2.36 years.

We granted non-vested stock awards to the outside directors for services performed. Under SFAS No. 123R, compensation expense related to restricted stock awards is based on the fair value of the shares awarded as of the grant date. Compensation expense for the restricted stock awards is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of one year. The fair value of non-vested shares is determined based on the average of the high and low trade prices of our company s shares on the grant date (See Note 10).

As of December 31, 2007, we expect to recognize \$0.4 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock awards over a weighted-average life of six months.

In light of the accounting guidance under SFAS 123R, beginning in the first quarter of 2006, we re-evaluated the assumptions used to estimate the fair value of options granted to employees in 2006. As part of this assessment, management determined that historical volatility calculated based on our actively traded common stock is a better indicator of expected volatility and future stock price trends than implied volatility. Therefore, we continued to use historical volatility to determine expected volatility. We calculate expected volatility using historical volatility of our common stock over a period of time equal to the expected term of the stock option.

As part of SFAS 123R adoption, we examined the historical pattern of option exercises in an effort to determine if there were any discernable activity patterns based on certain employee classifications. From this analysis, we identified two employee classifications: (1) Executive and Board of Directors and (2) Non-Executives. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value the options for each of the employee classifications. The table below presents the weighted average expected life in years. The expected life computation is based on historical exercise patterns and post-vesting behavior within each of the two classifications identified. The interest rate for any period within the expected contractual life of the awards is based on the prevailing U.S. Treasury note rate for the applicable expected term.

The fair value of share-based payment awards was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions and weighted average fair values:

	December 31, (1)			
	2007	2006	2005	
Weighted average fair value of grants	\$11.77	\$ 7.50	\$ 9.28	
Risk-free interest rate	4.56%	4.72%	3.73%	
Expected volatility	39.06%	39.27%	58.35%	
Expected life in years	5.25	4.89	5.00	

(1) The fair value calculation was based on stock options granted during the

60

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following is a summary of stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006:

		2	007			20	06	
			Average				Average	
	`	Weighted	-Remaining	Aggregate	,	Weighted-I	Remaining	Aggregate
	Number				Number			
	of	Average	Contractual	Intrinsic	of	Average C	Contractual	Intrinsic
	Options	Exercise	Term (in	Value	Options	Exercise	Term (in	Value
	(in 000 s)	Price	years)	(in 000 s)	(in 000 s)	Price	years)	(in 000 s)
Outstanding at beginning of the year	2,480	\$13.73			3,151	\$13.70		
Granted	329	27.80			46	18.15		
Exercised	(981)	12.83		\$17,263	(550)	13.58		\$ 3,036
Forfeited/cancelled/expired	(89)	14.91			(167)	16.08		
Outstanding at end of year	1,739	\$16.83	5.58	\$28,884	2,480	\$13.73	5.51	\$18,096
Vested and expected to vest at end of year	1,650	\$16.43	5.41	\$28,079	2,411	\$13.64	5.43	\$17,783
Exercisable at end of year	1,081	\$13.84	4.05	\$21,187	1,848	\$12.91	4.67	\$14,994

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding, vested and expected to vest and exercisable at the end of the year in the table above represents total pre-tax intrinsic value (difference between Universal Electronics Inc. s average of the high and low trades of the last trading day of 2007 and 2006 and the option exercise price, multiplied by the number of the in-the-money options) that option holders would have received had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2007. This amount changes based on the fair market value of our common stock. The total intrinsic value of options exercised for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$17.3 million and \$3.0 million, respectively.

During 2007, no significant modifications were made to outstanding stock options.

During 2006, common stock options were modified due to an employee s death, resulting in 2,875 unvested stock options becoming fully vested. The incremental stock-based compensation expense resulting from the modification was \$13,401.

Cash received from option exercises for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$12.6 million and \$7.5 million, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized from option exercises of the share-based payment awards was \$3.3 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

The following is a summary of stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2005:

	Weighted
Shares	Average
(in	Exercise
000 s)	Price

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

Outstanding at beginning of year	3,039	\$ 12.79
Granted	631	17.40
Exercised	(290)	9.89
Expired and/or forfeited	(229)	15.33
Outstanding at end of year	3,151	\$ 13.70
Options exercisable at year end	1,943	\$ 12.94

During 2005, common stock options were modified for two employees. One modification was part of a severance agreement and the other modification resulted from an employee s death. The total number of options modified was 20,500, which resulted in new measurement dates. The difference between the exercise price and the fair value of the common stock on the new measurement dates for the options totaled \$73,863. As a result, \$73,863 was charged to non-cash stock-based compensation.

61

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Non-vested restricted stock awards activity as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 were as follows

Non-vested at December 31, 2005	Shares Granted (in 000 s)	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value \$16.29
Granted	23	18.59
Vested Forfeited	(20)	17.37
Non-vested at December 31, 2006	13	\$18.74
Granted	25	36.25
Vested	(25)	27.49
Forfeited	(3)	36.25
Non-vested at December 31, 2007	10	\$36.25

The total amount of compensation expense related to non-vested restricted awards not yet recognized as of December 31, 2007 was \$0.4 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average life of 6 months (See Note 10).

Stock Incentive Plans

1993 Stock Incentive Plan

On January 19, 1993, the 1993 Stock Incentive Plan (1993 Plan) was approved. Under the 1993 Plan, 400,000 shares of common stock were reserved for the granting of incentive and other stock options to officers, key employees and non-affiliated directors. The 1993 Plan provided for the granting of incentive and other stock options through January 18, 2003. All options outstanding at the time of termination of the 1993 Plan shall continue in full force and effect in accordance with their terms. The option price for incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options was not less than the fair market value at the date of grant. The Compensation Committee determined when each option was to expire, but no option was exercisable more than ten years after the date the option was granted. The 1993 Plan also provided for the award of stock appreciation rights subject to terms and conditions specified by the Compensation Committee. No stock appreciation rights have been awarded under this 1993 Plan. There are no remaining options available for grant under the 1993 Plan. There are 17,400 shares outstanding under this plan as of December 31, 2007. 1995 Stock Incentive Plan

On May 19, 1995, the 1995 Stock Incentive Plan (1995 Plan) was approved. Under the 1995 Plan, 800,000 shares of common stock were available for distribution to our key officers, employees and non-affiliated directors. The 1995 Plan provided for the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance stock units, or any combination thereof through May 18, 2005, unless otherwise terminated by resolution of our Board of Directors. The option prices for the stock options were equal to the fair market value at the date of grant. The Compensation Committee determined when each option was to expire, but no option was exercisable more than ten years after the date the option was granted. No stock appreciation rights or performance stock units have been awarded under this 1995 Plan. There are no remaining options available for grant under the 1995 Plan. There are 50,000 shares outstanding under this plan as of December 31, 2007.

1996 Stock Incentive Plan

On December 1, 1996, the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan (1996 Plan) was approved. Under the 1996 Plan, 800,000 shares of common stock were available for distribution to our key officers and employees. The 1996 Plan provided for the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance stock units, or any combination thereof through November 30, 2007, unless otherwise terminated by the resolution of our Board of Directors. The option price for the stock options was equal to the fair market value at the date of grant. The Compensation Committee determined when each option was to expire, but no option

62

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

was exercisable more than ten years after the date the option was granted. No stock appreciation rights or performance stock units have been awarded under this 1996 Plan. There are no remaining options available for grant under the 1996 Plan. There are 21,334 shares outstanding under this plan as of December 31, 2007.

1998 Stock Incentive Plan

On May 27, 1998, the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan (1998 Plan) was approved. Under the 1998 Plan, 630,000 shares of common stock were available for distribution to our key officers and employees. The 1998 Plan provided for the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance stock units, or any combination thereof through May 26, 2008, unless otherwise terminated by resolution of our Board of Directors. The option price for the stock options was not less than the fair market value at the date of grant. The Compensation Committee determined when each option was to expire, but no option was exercisable more than ten years after the date the option was granted. No stock appreciation rights or performance stock units have been awarded under this 1998 Plan. There are 500 remaining options available for grant under the 1998 Plan. There are 117,500 shares outstanding under this plan as of December 31, 2007.

1999 Stock Incentive Plan

On January 27, 1999, the 1999 Stock Incentive Plan (1999 Plan) was approved. Under the 1999 Plan, 630,000 shares of common stock were available for distribution to our key officers and employees. The 1999 Plan provided for the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance stock units, or any combination thereof through January 26, 2009, unless otherwise terminated by resolution of our Board of Directors. The option price for the stock options was not less than the fair market value at the date of grant. The Compensation Committee determined when each option was to expire, but no option was exercisable more than ten years after the date the option was granted. No stock appreciation rights or performance stock units have been awarded under this 1999 Plan. There are 1,000 remaining options available for grant under the 1999 Plan. There are 93,477 shares outstanding under this plan as of December 31, 2007.

1999A Stock Incentive Plan

On October 7, 1999, the 1999A Nonqualified Stock Plan (1999A Plan) was approved and on February 1, 2000, the 1999A Plan was amended. Under the 1999A Plan, 1,000,000 shares of common stock were available for distribution to our key officers and employees. The 1999A Plan provided for the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance stock units, or any combination thereof through October 6, 2009, unless otherwise terminated by resolution of our Board of Directors. The option price for the stock options was not less than the fair market value at the date of grant. The Compensation Committee determined when each option was to expire, but no option was exercisable more than ten years after the date the option was granted. No stock appreciation rights or performance stock units have been awarded under this 1999A Plan. There are 1,291 remaining options available for grant under the 1999A Plan. There are 356,959 shares outstanding under this plan as of December 31, 2007.

On February 5, 2002, the 2002 Nonqualified Stock Plan (2002 Plan) was approved. Under the 2002 Plan, 1,000,000 shares of common stock were available for distribution to our key officers and employees. The 2002 Plan provided for the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance stock units, or any combination thereof through February 4, 2012, unless otherwise terminated by resolution of our Board of Directors. The option price for the stock options was not less than the fair market value at the date of grant. The Compensation Committee determined when each option was to expire, but no option was exercisable more than ten years after the date the option was granted. No stock appreciation rights or performance stock units have been awarded under this 2002 Plan. There are 5,497 remaining options available for grant under the 2002 Plan. There are 424,488 shares outstanding under this plan as of December 31, 2007.

63

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2003 Stock Incentive Plan

On June 18, 2003, the 2003 Nonqualified Stock Plan (2003 Plan) was approved. Under the 2003 Plan, 1,000,000 shares of common stock were available for distribution to our key officers and employees. The 2003 Plan provided for the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance stock units, or any combination thereof through June 17, 2013, unless otherwise terminated by resolution of our Board of Directors. The option price for the stock options was not less than the fair market value at the date of grant. The Compensation Committee determined when each option was to expire, but no option was exercisable more than ten years after the date the option was granted. No stock appreciation rights or performance stock units have been awarded under this 2003 Plan. There are 28,834 remaining options available for grant under the 2003 Plan. There are 636,124 shares outstanding under this plan as of December 31, 2007.

2006 Stock Incentive Plan

On June 13, 2006, the 2006 Nonqualified Stock Plan (2006 Plan) was approved. Under the 2006 Plan, 1,000,000 shares of common stock were available for distribution to our key officers and employees. The 2006 Plan provided for the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance stock units, or any combination thereof through June 12, 2016, unless otherwise terminated by resolution of our Board of Directors. The option price for the stock options was not less than the fair market value at the date of grant. The Compensation Committee determined when each option is to expire, but no option was exercisable more than ten years after the date the option was granted. No stock options, stock appreciation rights or performance stock units have been awarded under this 2006 Plan. There are 978,750 remaining options available for grant under the 2006 Plan. There are 21,250 shares outstanding under this plan as of December 31, 2007.

Vesting periods for the above referenced stock incentive plans range from three to four years.

It is our policy to retain our earnings to support the growth of our company. Additionally, we may retain earnings to repurchase shares of our common stock, when conditions are favorable.

Significant option groups outstanding at December 31, 2007 and the related weighted average exercise price and life information are listed below:

			0	ptions Outstan	ding	Options Exercisable	
			Number			Number	
			Outstanding	eighted-Avera <mark>k</mark>	æighted-Avera	g E xercisabl W (eighted-Average
Range			At	Remaining		At	
of			12/31/07	Years of	Exercise	12/31/07	Exercise
				Contractual			
Exercise	Pric	es	(in 000 s)	Life	Price	(in 000 s)	Price
\$ 4.97	to	\$ 5.88	23	0.69	\$ 5.07	23	\$ 5.07
7.50	to	9.83	231	3.69	8.40	231	8.40
10.92	to	13.08	390	4.33	11.92	312	11.76
14.85	to	16.88	228	5.09	16.06	180	15.99
17.11	to	17.86	309	7.05	17.58	118	17.59
18.01	to	21.48	260	3.93	19.45	215	19.64
28.08	to	35.35	298	9.32	28.20	2	28.08
\$ 4.97	to	\$35.35	1,739	5.58	\$ 16.83	1,081	\$ 13.84

Note 12 Significant Customers and Suppliers

Significant Customer

We had sales to one significant customer who contributed more than 10% of total net sales.

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

Sales made to this customer were \$36.4 million, \$28.3 million, and \$22.2 million representing 13.3%, 12.0%, and 12.2% of our total net sales for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Trade receivables with this customer amounted to \$2.3 million and \$3.1 million or 3.8% and 6.0% of our total accounts receivable at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. In addition, we had

64

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

sales to a customer and its sub-contractors that, when combined, totaled \$46.0 million, \$41.6 million, and \$30.0 million, accounting for 16.9%, 17.7%, and 16.6% of net sales for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Trade receivables with this customer and its sub-contractors amounted to \$7.9 million and \$6.2 million, or 13.3% and 12.2%, of our total accounts receivable at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The future loss of these customers or any key customer, either in the United States or abroad, due to the financial weakness or bankruptcy of any such customer or our inability to obtain orders or maintain our order volume with our major customers, may have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. *Significant Suppliers*

Most of the components used in our products are available from multiple sources. We have elected to purchase integrated circuits (IC), used principally in our wireless control products, from two main sources. Purchases from one supplier amounted to more than 10% of total inventory purchases. Purchases from this major supplier amounted to \$23.7 million, \$11.6 million and \$10.2, representing 14.9%, 8.5% and 9.7%, respectively, of total inventory purchases for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. Accounts payable with the aforementioned supplier amounted to \$3.2 million and \$0.8 million, representing 9.7% and 3.9%, respectively, of total accounts payable at December 31, 2007 and 2006.

For the year ended December 2006, there was a different IC supplier who provided more than 10% of total inventory purchases. Purchases from that supplier amounted to \$14.2 million or 10.5% of total inventory purchases in 2006. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2007, we purchased component and finished good products from two major suppliers. Purchases from these two major suppliers amounted to \$46.5 million and \$30.4 million representing 29.2 % and 19.1%, respectively, of total inventory purchases for the year ended December 31, 2007. During the year ended December 31, 2006 purchases from the same two suppliers amounted to \$40.7 million and \$13.8 million representing 30.0% and 10.2%, respectively, of total inventory purchases. During the year ended December 31, 2005 inventory purchases from the aforementioned two suppliers amounted to \$35.5 million and \$4.1 representing 33.9% and 4.0%, respectively. For the year ended December 2006, there was another supplier who provided more than 10% of total inventory purchases. Purchases from that supplier amounted to \$13.9 million or 10.2% of total inventory purchases in 2006.

Accounts payable with the aforementioned two suppliers of component and finished good products amounted to \$10.8 million and \$6.3 million respectively, representing 32.6% and 19.1% of the total accounts payable at December 31, 2007. At December 31, 2006, accounts payable with the same suppliers amounted to \$8.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, representing 40.4% and 9.8% of the total accounts payable. There was no other component and finished goods supplier with inventory purchases greater than ten percent of the total inventory purchases in 2007, 2006 or 2005.

We have identified alternative sources of supply for these integrated circuits, components, and finished goods; however, there can be no assurance that we will be able to continue to obtain these inventory purchases on a timely basis. We generally maintain inventories of our integrated chips, which could be used in part to mitigate, but not eliminate, delays resulting from supply interruptions. An extended interruption, shortage or termination in the supply of any of the components used in our products, or a reduction in their quality or reliability, or a significant increase in prices of components, would have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and cash flows.

Note 13 Leases

We lease office and warehouse space and certain office equipment under operating leases that expire at various dates through September 2013. Some of our rental leases are subject to rent escalations. For these leases, we straight-line our rent expense over the lease term, ranging from 36 to 73 months. The

65

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

liability is recorded in other accrued expenses (See Note 8). As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, the liability related to rent escalations was \$65 thousand and \$51 thousand, respectively. Additionally, our corporate lease agreement contains a provision for a tenant improvement allowance of \$0.4 million for us to renovate the building, which is to be paid to us upon completion of the renovation. The renovation is expected to be completed during the first quarter of 2008. We recorded the \$0.4 million tenant improvement allowance in accounts receivable at December 31, 2007 (See Note 4). Additionally, the tenant improvement allowance was recorded as a component of the lease liability in computing rent expense, and is amortized as a credit against rent expense, over 73 months, the term of the lease, beginning January 1, 2006.

Rent expense for our operating leases was \$2.2 million, \$1.8 million and \$1.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table summarizes future minimum non-cancelable operating lease payments with initial terms greater than one year at December 31, 2007:

(in thousands)	Amount
Year ending December 31:	
2008	\$ 1,756
2009	1,374
2010	1,091
2011	904
2012	447
Thereafter	304
Total operating lease commitments	\$ 5,876

Note 14 Employee Benefit Plans

We maintain a retirement and profit sharing plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code for all of our domestic employees that meet certain qualifications. Participants in the plan may elect to contribute from 1% to 15% of their annual salary to the plan. We match 50% of the participants—contributions in the form of newly issued shares of our common stock. We may also make other discretionary contributions to the plan. We recorded \$0.6 million of expense for company contributions for each of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

Note 15 Other Income (Expense), net

Other income (expense), net in the Consolidated Income Statements consisted of the following:

(in thousands)	2	007	2006	2005
Net (loss) gain on foreign currency exchange transactions	\$	(35)	\$ (508)	\$ 2,107
Write-down of investment				(3)
Other income		42	10	48
Other income (expense), net	\$	7	\$ (498)	\$ 2,152

Note 16 Income Taxes

In 2007, 2006 and 2005, pre-tax income was attributed to the following jurisdictions:

	Year Ended December 31,			
(in thousands)	2007	2006	2005	
Domestic operations	\$ 18,332	\$ 7,932	\$ 6,206	
Foreign operations	11,230	11,488	8,468	

Total \$29,562 \$19,420 \$14,674

66

(in thousands)

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Year Ended December 31,

2006

2005

2007

The provision for income taxes charged to operations was as follows:

(in thousands)	2007	2000	2003
Current tax expense:			
U.S. federal	\$ 5,537	\$ 2,934	\$ 1,382
State and local	490	687	280
Foreign	3,130	2,997	3,311
Toleign	3,130	2,771	3,311
Total current	9,157	6,618	4,973
Deferred tax expense/(benefit):			
U.S. federal	(60)	(297)	460
State and local	84	(578)	(363)
Foreign	151	157	(97)
Total deferred	175	(718)	
Total provision	\$ 9,332	\$ 5,900	\$ 4,973
Net deferred tax assets were comprised of the following at December 31, 2	2007 and 2006:		
(in thousands)		2007	2006
Deferred tax assets:			
Inventory reserves		\$ 308	\$ 448
Allowance for doubtful accounts		23	46
Capitalized research costs		184	581
Capitalized inventory costs		540	470
Net operating losses		2,974	4,480
Amortization of intangibles		755	639
Accrued liabilities		796	1,103
Income tax credits		1,157	1,072
Depreciation		700	434
Stock based compensation		1,327	890
Long term incentive compensation		402	0,0
Other		466	196
Other		400	190
Total deferred tax assets		9,632	10,359
Deferred tax liability:			
Intangible assets		(509)	(688)
Other		(238)	(255)
Total deferred tax liabilities		(747)	(943)
Net deferred tax assets before valuation allowance		8,885	9,416
Less: Valuation allowance		(264)	(620)
Less. valuation anowance		(204)	(020)
Table of Contents			79

Net deferred tax assets \$8,621 \$8,796

As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, \$511 thousand and \$235 thousand, respectively, of current deferred tax liabilities were recorded in other accrued expenses (See Note 8).

The deferred tax valuation allowance decreased to \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2007 compared to \$0.6 million as of December 31, 2006. The decrease was primarily due to certain statute of limitations expiring relating to foreign net operating losses.

67

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the applicable U.S. statutory federal income tax rate to pre-tax income from operations as a result of the following:

	Year Ended December 31,			
(in thousands)	2007	2006	2005	
Tax provision at statutory U.S. rate	\$ 10,347	\$ 6,603	\$ 4,989	
Increase (decrease) in tax provision resulting from:				
State and local taxes, net	373	110	(83)	
Foreign tax rate differential	(649)	(391)	335	
Nondeductible items	302	207	50	
Federal valuation allowance			1	
Federal research and development credits	(918)	(872)	(601)	
Change in tax rate related to deferred taxes	(147)			
Other	24	243	282	
Tax provision	\$ 9,332	\$ 5,900	\$ 4,973	

At December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we had state Research and Experimentation (R&E) income tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. The state R&E income tax credits do not have an expiration date.

At December 31, 2007, we had federal, state and foreign net operating losses of approximately \$7.3 million, \$5.0 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. All of the federal and state net operating loss carryforwards were acquired as part of the acquisition of SimpleDevices. The federal and state net operating loss carryforwards begin to expire in 2020 and 2012, respectively. Approximately \$0.7 million of the foreign net operating losses expired in 2007, approximately \$0.3 million will expire in 2020 and the remaining \$0.2 million of foreign net operating losses have an unlimited carryforward.

Internal Revenue Code Section 382 places certain limitations on the annual amount of net operating loss carryforwards that can be utilized if certain changes to a company s ownership occur. Our acquisition of SimpleDevices was a change in ownership pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, and the federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of SimpleDevices (approximately \$7.3 million and \$5.0 million, respectively) are limited but considered realizable in future periods. The annual limitation is as follows: approximately \$1.4 million for 2008, \$1.2 million for 2009 and approximately \$0.6 million thereafter.

As of December 31, 2007, we believed it was more likely than not that certain deferred tax assets related to the impairment of the investment in a private company (a capital asset) would not be realized due to uncertainties as to the timing and amounts of future capital gains. Accordingly, a valuation allowance of approximately \$0.1 million was recorded as of December 31, 2007 (See Note 2).

During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 we recognized a credit to additional paid-in capital and a reduction to income taxes payable of \$3.3 million, \$0.8 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, related to the tax benefit from the exercises of non-qualified stock options under our stock option plans.

The undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries are considered to be indefinitely reinvested. Accordingly, no provision for U.S. federal and state income taxes or foreign withholding taxes has been provided on such undistributed earnings. Determination of the potential amount of unrecognized deferred US income tax liability and foreign withholding taxes is not practicable because of the complexities associated with its hypothetical calculation; however, unrecognized foreign tax credits would be available to reduce some portion of the U.S. liability.

We are currently under audit in the Netherlands by the Dutch tax authorities for fiscal years 2002 through 2004. We do not expect any material adjustments to our financial statements as a result of this audit. Currently, no adjustments have been proposed.

68

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Uncertain Tax Positions

On January 1, 2007, we adopted the provisions of FIN 48. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, we recognized a \$0.2 million increase in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits, which was accounted for as a reduction to the January 1, 2007 balance of retained earnings. We also recognized a decrease of \$0.3 million in other comprehensive income related to foreign currency translation. At December 31, 2007, we had unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$8.8 million, including interest and penalties.

A reconciliation of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits (excluding interest and penalties) at the beginning and end of the period is as follows (in thousands):

Balance at January 1, 2007	\$ 6,778
Additions as a result of tax provisions taken during the current year	485
Reductions due to a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations	(54)
Foreign currency translation	609
Other	(1)
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 7,817

Approximately \$7.5 million of the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2007 would affect the annual effective tax rate, if recognized. Further, we are unaware of any positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase within the next twelve months. However, based on federal, state and foreign statute expirations in various jurisdictions, we anticipate a decrease in unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$0.6 million within the next twelve months.

In accordance with FIN 48, we have elected to classify interest and penalties as components of tax expense. Interest and penalties were \$0.6 million at the date of adoption and \$1.0 million at December 31, 2007 and are included in the unrecognized tax benefits.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, various states and foreign jurisdictions. As of December 31, 2007, the open statute of limitations for our significant tax jurisdictions are as follows: federal and state for 2003 through 2007 and non-U.S. for 2001 through 2007. All unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2007 are classified as long term as prescribed by FIN 48 because we do not anticipate payment of cash within one year of the operating cycle.

Note 17 Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of our common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive potential common shares, which includes the dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock grants. Dilutive potential common shares for all the periods presented are computed utilizing the treasury stock method. In the computation of diluted earnings per common share for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, approximately 153,705, 854,265 and 999,506 stock options, respectively, with exercise prices greater than the average market price of the underlying common stock, were excluded because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

Earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were calculated as follows:

(in thousands, except per-share amounts) BASIC	2007	2006	2005
Net Income	\$ 20,230	\$ 13,520	\$ 9,701
Weighted-average common shares outstanding Basic earnings per share	14,410 \$ 1.40	13,818 \$ 0.98	13,462 \$ 0.72

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except per-share amounts) DILUTED	2007	2006	2005
Net Income	\$ 20,230	\$ 13,520	\$ 9,701
Weighted-average common shares outstanding for basic Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock	14,410 767	13,818 614	13,462 530
Weighted-average common shares outstanding on a diluted basis	15,177	14,432	13,992
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.33	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.69

Note 18 Business Segment

Industry Segment

SFAS 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, defines an operating segment, in part, as a component of an enterprise whose operating results are regularly reviewed by the chief operating decision maker to make decisions about resources to be allocated to the segment and assess its performance. Operating segments may be aggregated only to the limited extent permitted by the standard.

As a result of the performance-based incentive and other factors, management reviewed SimpleDevices discrete operating results through the second quarter of 2006, and as a result, defined SimpleDevices as a separate segment. Since acquiring SimpleDevices, we have integrated SimpleDevices technologies with and into our own technology. In addition, we have integrated SimpleDevices sales, engineering and administrative functions into our own. As a result of the integration, the performance-based payment expiring and our chief operating decision maker no longer reviewing SimpleDevices financial statements on a stand alone basis, commencing in the third quarter of 2006, we merged SimpleDevices into our Core Business segment, resulting in us operating in a single industry segment.

Note 19 Foreign Operations

Geographic Information

Our sales to external customers and long-lived tangible assets by geographic area for years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 are presented below:

(in thousands)	2007	2006	2005
Net Sales			
United States	\$ 151,034	\$ 126,522	\$ 95,252
International:			
United Kingdom	31,290	29,025	22,977
Asia	31,624	30,285	18,773
South Africa	7,192	8,140	3,685
Spain	8,483	7,513	6,484
Germany	6,228	7,014	7,357
France	4,940	4,846	5,852
Australia	2,772	3,028	2,678
Italy	2,506	1,799	1,026
Switzerland	6,473	851	4,689
All Other	20,138	16,823	12,576
Total International	\$ 121,646	\$ 109,324	\$ 86,097

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

Total Net Sales		\$ 272,680	\$ 235,846	\$ 181,349
Long-Lived Assets		2007	2006	2005
United States All Other Countries		\$ 5,238 2,689	\$ 3,921 2,199	\$ 3,137 1,618
		\$ 7,927	\$ 6,120	\$ 4,755
	70			

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Specific identification was the basis used for attributing revenues from external customers to individual countries.

Note 20 Related Party Transactions

In April 1999, we provided a non-recourse interest bearing secured loan to our chief executive officer. The loan was in the amount of \$200,000 and bore interest at the rate of 5.28% per annum, with interest payable annually to us on each December 15. The loan was collateralized by the primary residence purchased and the principal was payable on the earlier of (i) December 15, 2007, (ii) within twelve months following a demand from us but only in the event the executive officer ceases being our employee or in the event of a default under the loan; or (iii) on the closing of a sale or transfer of the property. This note, including accrued interest, was paid in full on December 14, 2007. This related party note receivable was included in accounts receivable on our balance sheet at December 31, 2006.

Note 21 Contingencies

Indemnities

We indemnify our directors and officers to the maximum extent permitted under the laws of the State of Delaware. We purchased directors and officers insurance to insure our individual directors and officers against certain claims, including the payment of claims such as those alleged below and attorney s fees and related expenses incurred in connection with the defense of such claim. The amounts and types of coverage have varied from period to period as dictated by market conditions. Management is not aware of any matters that require indemnification of its officers or directors.

Product Warranties

We warrant our products against defects in materials and workmanship arising during normal use. We service warranty claims directly through our customer service department or contracted third-party warranty repair facilities. Our warranty period ranges up to three years. We provide for estimated product warranty expenses, which are included in cost of sales, as we sell the related products. Since warranty expense is a forecast primarily based on historical claims experience, actual claim costs may differ from the amounts provided. Changes in the liability for product warranties are presented below (in thousands):

		Accruals for	Settlements (in Cash or	Balance
	Balance at	Warranties	in	at
	Beginning	Issued	Kind)	
	of	During	During	End of
Description	Period	the Period	the Period	Period
Year Ended December 31, 2007	\$ 416	\$ (146) ⁽¹⁾	\$ (92)	\$178
Year Ended December 31, 2006	\$ 414	\$ 202	\$ (200)	\$416
Year Ended December 31, 2005	\$ 183	\$ 443	\$ (212)	\$414

(1) In the second quarter 2007, we renegotiated pricing terms with our third party warranty repair vendor which resulted in lower warranty costs per unit. As a result, our

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

warranty accrual was reduced to reflect the lower pricing.

Litigation

In 2002, one of our subsidiaries (One For All S.A.S.) brought an action against a former distributor of the subsidiary s products seeking a recovery of accounts receivable. The distributor filed a counterclaim against our subsidiary seeking payment for amounts allegedly owed for administrative and other services rendered by the distributor for our subsidiary. In January 2005, the parties agreed to include in that action

71

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

all claims between the distributor and two of our other subsidiaries, Universal Electronics BV and One For All Iberia SL. As a result, the single action covers all claims and counterclaims between the various parties. The parties further agreed that, before any judgment is paid, all disputes between the various parties would be concluded. These additional claims involve nonpayment for products and damages resulting from the alleged wrongful termination of agency agreements. On March 15, 2005, the court in one of the litigation matters brought by the distributor against one of our subsidiaries, rendered judgment against our subsidiary and awarded damages and costs to the distributor in the amount of approximately \$102,000. The amount of this judgment was charged to operations during the second quarter of 2005 and has been paid. With respect to the remaining matters before the court, we are awaiting the expert to finalize and file his pre-trial report with the court and when completed, we will respond. Management is unable to estimate the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome, and the amount of loss, if any, in the case of an unfavorable outcome.

On February 7, 2008, we filed suit against Gibson Audio, a Division of Gibson Guitar Corp., Gibson Guitar Corp., and Gibson Musical Instruments, Inc. seeking payment of the remaining balance of a minimum royalty fee due us under a software agreement. The Gibson companies answered our complaint with a general denial of all of our allegations. Also the Gibson companies counterclaimed that we breached various aspects of the software agreement and that they are seeking unspecified damages. We disagree vigorously with their denials of liability and with their counterclaims and will continue to pursue this matter. Since, however, no discovery has commenced, at this time, we are unable to estimate the likely outcome of this matter and the amount, if any, of recovery of the balance due us. There are no other material pending legal proceedings, other than litigation that is incidental to the ordinary course of our business, to which we or any of our subsidiaries is a party or of which our respective property is the subject. We do not believe that any of the claims made against us in any of the pending matters have merit and we intend to vigorously defend ourselves against them.

We maintain directors and officers liability insurance which insures our individual directors and officers against certain claims such as those alleged in the above lawsuits, as well as attorney s fees and related expenses incurred in connection with the defense of such claims.

Long-Term Incentive Plan

During the second quarter of 2007, we adopted a Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP), effective January 1, 2007, that provides a bonus pool for the executive management team contingent on achieving certain performance goals for the two-year period commencing on January 1, 2007 and ending on December 31, 2008, involving sales growth and earnings per diluted share. Vesting in the bonus pool will occur in eight equal quarterly increments commencing with the quarter ending March 31, 2009 and will continue so long as the participant remains an employee of our company. Payment of the vested portion of the bonus pool will occur on the vesting date, unless the participant elects to defer such payment, and will be made in cash, our common stock, or a combination of cash and stock (at our company s discretion). Our Board of Directors believes that a four year performance and vesting time period is appropriate. The LTIP commits a maximum of \$12 million in bonus if the highest performance goals are met. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we accrued LTIP compensation expense of \$1,000,000, which is included in other long term liabilities.

72

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 22 Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Summarized quarterly financial data for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 are presented below: (In thousands, except per share amounts)

	2007			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,
Net sales	\$ 66,019	\$71,478	\$ 68,961	\$ 66,222
Gross profit	24,341	24,626	25,737	24,647
Operating income ⁽³⁾	6,186	5,972	6,274	8,019
Net income ⁽³⁾	4,637	4,546	4,915	6,132
Earnings per share ⁽²⁾ :				
Basic	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.42
Diluted	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.40
Shares used in computing earnings per share:				
Basic	14,130	14,437	14,508	14,565
Diluted	14,908	15,262	15,280	15,257
			2006	
	March	June	September	December
	31,	30,	30,	31,
Net sales	\$ 54,173	\$ 52,370	\$ 59,612	\$ 69,691
Gross profit	18,488	19,582	21,579	26,227
Operating income	3,130	4,043	4,628	6,716
Net income (1)	2,136	2,419	3,533	5,432
Earnings per share (2): Basic	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.39
Basic	φ 0.10	φ 0.16	φ 0.20	Φ 0.39
Diluted	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.37
Shares used in computing earnings per share:				
Basic	13,643	13,802	13,845	13,982
Diluted	14,240	14,356	14,415	14,717

(1) During the fourth quarter of 2006, the federal research and development tax credit statute

was re-enacted, resulting in a tax benefit of approximately \$500 thousand for the quarter.

- The earnings per common share calculations for each of the quarters were based upon the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each period, and the sum of the quarters may not be equal to the full year earnings per common share amounts.
- In the fourth quarter of 2007, both operating profit and net income are higher compared to the fourth quarter of 2006, despite lower sales, due primarily to certain financial metrics not being met, resulting in the reversal of approximately \$1.5 million of previously accrued management bonuses. In the fourth quarter of

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

2006, we expensed approximately \$2.1 million for management bonuses relating to 2006 which were paid in 2007.

73

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC. SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND RESERVES FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006, AND 2005

		Additions Charged		
	Balance at Beginning	to		Balance at
	of	Costs and		End of
Description	Period	Expenses	Write-offs	Period
Valuation account for inventory:				
Year Ended December 31, 2007	\$ 2,179	\$2,146	\$(2,499)	\$1,826
Year Ended December 31, 2006	\$ 2,274	\$1,810	\$(1,905)	\$2,179
Year Ended December 31, 2005	\$ 3,806	\$2,735	\$(4,267)	\$2,274
		Additions		
		Charged		Balance
	Balance at	to		at
	Beginning	~		77 1 0
	of	Costs and	Reduction/	End of
Description	Period	Expenses	Write-offs	Period
Valuation account for income tax:				
Year Ended December 31, 2007	\$ 620		\$(356)	\$ 264
Year Ended December 31, 2006	\$ 620			\$620
Year Ended December 31, 2005	\$ 536	\$ 84		\$620
	74			

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(d) defines disclosure controls and procedures to mean controls and procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission s rules and forms. The definition further states that disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive and principal financial officers have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective, as of the end of the period covered by this report, to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management.

Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, we evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control Integrated Framework. Based on our evaluation under this framework, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2007.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 has been audited by Grant Thornton LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its attestation report which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect our internal controls subsequent to the date the Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) completed their evaluation.

75

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders

Universal Electronics Inc.

We have audited Universal Electronics Inc. s (a Delaware Corporation) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Universal Electronics Inc. s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Universal Electronics Inc. s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Universal Electronics Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Universal Electronics Inc. as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders—equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, and our report dated March 5, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP Irvine, California March 5, 2008

76

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

77

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information required by Item 401 of Regulation S-K with respect to our directors will be contained in and is hereby incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act. Information regarding executive officers of the Company is set forth in Part I of this Form 10-K. Information required by Item 405 of Regulation S-K will be contained in and is hereby incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed subsequent to the date of filing this Form 10-K, under the caption Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance. Copies of Section 16 reports, Forms 3, 4 and 5, are available on our website, www.uei.com under the caption SEC Filings on the Investor page.

Code of Conduct. We have adopted a code of conduct that applies to all of our employees, including without limitation our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. A copy of the Code of Conduct is included as Exhibit 14.1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 filed on March 14, 2004 (File No. 0-21044). The Code of Conduct also is available on our website, www.uei.com under the caption Corporate Governance on the Investor page. We will post on our website information regarding any amendment to, or waiver from, any provision of the Code of Conduct that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer or principal accounting officer.

Information required by Items 407(c)(3), (d)(4) and (d)(5) of Regulation S-K will be contained in and is hereby incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information required by Items 402 and 407(e)(4) and (e)(5) of Regulation S-K will be contained in and is hereby incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information required by Item 403 of Regulation S-K will be contained in and is hereby incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act.

78

The following summarizes our equity compensation plans at December 31, 2007:

Equity Compensation Plan Information

	Number of Securities to be	(b)	(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Plan Category	issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	957,085	\$ 17.57	1,009,084
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	781,447	15.85	6,788
Total	1,738,532	\$ 16.83	1,015,872

See ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA- Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 11 for a description of each of our stock option plans.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information required by Items 404 and 407(a) of Regulation S-K will be contained in and is hereby incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information required by this item will be contained in and is hereby incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) List of Financial Statements

See ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA-Index to Consolidated Financial Statements for a list of the consolidated financial statements included herein.

(a)(2) List of Financial Statement Schedules

See ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA-Index to Consolidated Financial Statements for a list of the consolidated financial statement schedules included herein.

(a)(3) List of Exhibits required to be filed by Item 601(a) of the Regulation S-K are included as Exhibits to this Report:

79

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirement of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Cypress, State of California on the 14th day of March, 2008.

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS INC.

By: /s/ Paul D. Arling
Paul D. Arling
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Paul D. Arling and Bryan M. Hackworth as true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, each acting alone, with full powers of substitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, each acting alone, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully for all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, thereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, each acting alone, or his substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof. Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below on the 14th day of March, 2008, by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

NAME & TITLE	SIGNATURE

Paul D. Arling

Chairman and Chief Executive /s/ Paul D. Arling

Officer

(principal executive officer)

Bryan M. Hackworth

Chief Financial Officer /s/ Bryan M. Hackworth

(principal financial officer and principal accounting officer)

Satjiv S. Chahil

Director /s/ Satjiv S. Chahil

William C. Mulligan

Director /s/ William C. Mulligan

J. C. Sparkman

Director /s/ J.C. Sparkman

Edward K. Zinser

Director /s/ Edward K. Zinser

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Document Description
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Universal Electronics Inc., as amended (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Form S-1 Registration filed on or about December 24, 1992 (File No. 33-56358))
3.2	Amended and Restated By-laws of Universal Electronics Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Form S-1 Registration filed on or about December 24, 1992 (File No. 33-56358))
3.3	Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Universal Electronics Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995 filed on April 1, 1996 (File No. 0-21044))
4.1	Article Eighth of our Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, contains certain provisions restricting business combinations with interested stockholders under certain circumstances and imposing higher voting requirements for the approval of certain transactions unless the transaction has been approved by two-thirds of the disinterested directors or fair price provisions have been met. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995 filed on April 1, 1996 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.1	Form of Universal Electronics Inc. 1993 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Amendment No. 1 to the Company s Form S-1 Registration filed on or about January 21, 1993 (File No. 33-56358))
*10.2	Form of Universal Electronics Inc. 1995 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit B to the Company's Definitive Proxy Materials for the 1995 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Universal Electronics Inc. filed on May 1, 1995 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.3	Form of Stock Option Agreement by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and certain employees used in connection with options granted to the employees pursuant to the Universal Electronics Inc. 1995 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996 filed on March 28, 1997 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.4	Form of Stock Option Agreement by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and certain non-affiliated directors used in connection with options granted to the non-affiliated directors pursuant to the Universal Electronics Inc. 1995 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996 filed on March 28, 1997 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.5	Form of Universal Electronics Inc. 1996 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company s Form S-8 Registration Statement filed on March 26, 1997 (File No. 333-23985))
*10.6	Form of Stock Option Agreement by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and certain employers used in connection with options granted to the employees pursuant to the Universal Electronics Inc. 1996 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Company s Form S-8 Registration Statement filed on March 26, 1997 (File No. 333-23985))

*10.7 Form of Salary Continuation Agreement by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and certain employees (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997, filed on March 30, 1998 (File No. 0-21044))

81

Exhibit Number	Document Description
*10.8	Form of Amendment to Salary Continuation Agreement by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and certain employees (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997, filed on March 30, 1998 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.9	Form of Universal Electronics Inc. 1998 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to the Company s Definitive Proxy Materials for the 1998 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Universal Electronics Inc. filed on April 20, 1998 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.10	Form of Stock Option Agreement by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and certain employees used in connection with options granted to the employees pursuant to the Universal Electronics Inc. 1998 Stock Incentive Plan(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 filed on March 31, 1999 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.11	Form of Universal Electronics Inc. 1999 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Materials for the 1999 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Universal Electronics Inc. filed on April 29, 1999 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.12	Form of Stock Option Agreement by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and certain employees used in connection with options granted to the employees pursuant to the Universal Electronics Inc. 1999 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to the Company s Definitive Proxy Materials for the 1999 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Universal Electronics Inc. filed on April 29, 1999 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.13	Form of Salary Continuation Agreement by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and certain employees (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 filed on March 30, 2000 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.14	Form of Universal Electronics Inc. 1999A Nonqualified Stock Plan effective October 7, 1999 and subsequently amended February 1, 2000 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 filed on March 30, 2000 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.15	Form of Stock Option Agreement by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and certain employees used in connection with options granted to the employees pursuant to the Universal Electronics Inc. 1999A Nonqualified Stock Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 filed on March 30, 2000 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.16	Form of Universal Electronics Inc. 2002 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 filed on August 14, 2002 (File No. 0-21044))
*10.17	Form of Stock Option Agreement by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and certain directors, officers and other employees used in connection with options granted to the employees pursuant to the Universal Electronics Inc. 2002 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.50 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 filed on August 14, 2002

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

(File No. 0-21044))

- *10.18 Form of Universal Electronics Inc. 2003 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Company s Definitive Proxy Materials for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Universal Electronics Inc. filed on April 28, 2003 (File No. 0-21044))
- 10.19 Credit Agreement dated September 15, 2003 between Comerica Bank and Universal Electronics Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 filed on November 14, 2003 (File No. 0-21044))

82

Exhibit Number

Document Description

- 10.20 Promissory Agreement dated September 15, 2003 between Comerica Bank and Universal Electronics Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 filed on November 14, 2003 (File No. 0-21044))
- *10.21 Form of Executive Officer Employment Agreement dated April 23, 2003 by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and Paul D. Arling (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 filed on March 14, 2004 (File No. 0-21044))

*10.22

Form of Executive Officer Employment Agreement dated April 2003 by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and Robert P. Lilleness (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 filed on March 14, 2004 (File No. 0-21044))

*10.23

Form of First Amendment to Executive Officer Employment Agreement dated October 21, 2005 by and between Universal Electronics Inc. and Paul D. Arling (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 filed on March 16, 2006 (File No. 0-21044))

10.24

Third Amendment to Lease dated December 1, 2006 between Warland Investments Company and Universal Electronics Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 filed on March 16, 2006 (File No. 0-21044))

*10.25

Form of Universal Electronics Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix C to the Company s Definitive Proxy Materials for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Universal Electronics Inc. filed on April 26, 2006 (File No. 0-21044)

*10.26

Employment and Separation Agreement and General Release dated August 17, 2006 between Robert P. Lilleness and Universal Electronics Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 22, 2006 (File No. 0-21044))

10.27

Form of Lease dated January 31, 2007 between FirstCal Industrial 2 Acquisition, LLC and Universal Electronics Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on March 16, 2007 (File No. 02-21044))

Edgar Filing: Verastem, Inc. - Form 4

10.28

Amendment Number One to Credit Agreement dated August 29, 2006 between Comerica Bank and Universal Electronics Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on March 16, 2007 (File No. 02-21044))

*10.29

Form of Indemnification Agreements, dated as of January 2, 2007 between the Company and each director and certain officers of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on March 16, 2007 (File No. 02-21044))

14.1

Code of Conduct (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 filed on March 14, 2004 (File No. 0-21044))

21.1

List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant (filed herewith)

23.1

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Grant Thornton LLP (filed herewith)

24.1

Power of Attorney (filed as part of the signature page hereto)

31.1

Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer (filed herewith)

31.2

Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of the Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer and principal accounting officer) (filed herewith)

83

Exhibit Number Document Description 32.1 Section 1350 Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer (filed herewith) 32.2 Section 1350 Certifications of the Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer and principal accounting officer) (filed herewith)

* Management contract or compensation plan or arrangement identified pursuant to Items 15(a)(3) and 15(c) of Form 10-K.

84