

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE

Form 10-Q

October 29, 2012

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 000-51233

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of

83-0423116
(I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

1521 WESTBRANCH DRIVE, SUITE 200

MCLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102

(Address of principal executive office)

(703) 287-5800

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12 b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. The number of shares of the issuer's Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share, outstanding as of October 26, 2012, was 26,080,133.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| PART I. | FINANCIAL INFORMATION: | |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements (Unaudited) | |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities as of September 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 6 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Schedules of Investments as of September 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012</u> | 7 |
| | <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 12 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 30 |
| | <u>Overview</u> | 30 |
| | <u>Results of Operations</u> | 35 |
| | <u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u> | 45 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 53 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 54 |
| PART II. | <u>OTHER INFORMATION:</u> | |
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 54 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 54 |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 54 |
| Item 3. | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 54 |
| Item 4. | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 54 |
| Item 5. | <u>Other Information</u> | 54 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 54 |
| | <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 55 |

Table of Contents**GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES****(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)****(UNAUDITED)**

| | September 30, 2012 | March 31, 2012 |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Investments at fair value | | |
| Control investments (Cost of \$245,475 and \$186,743, respectively) | \$ 208,922 | \$ 157,544 |
| Affiliate investments (Cost of \$61,473 and \$70,015, respectively) | 48,715 | 58,831 |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments (Cost of \$10,083 and \$9,637, respectively) | 9,049 | 9,277 |
| Total investments at fair value (Cost of \$317,031 and \$266,395, respectively) | 266,686 | 225,652 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 92,940 | 91,546 |
| Restricted cash | 1,041 | 1,928 |
| Interest receivable | 1,295 | 1,250 |
| Due from custodian | 8,152 | 1,527 |
| Deferred financing costs | 2,411 | 2,792 |
| Other assets | 1,007 | 602 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 373,532 | \$ 325,297 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Borrowings: | | |
| Short-term loan at fair value (Cost of \$71,525 and \$76,005, respectively) | \$ 71,525 | \$ 76,005 |
| Line of credit at fair value (Cost of \$56,000 and \$0, respectively) | 57,209 | |
| Secured borrowing (Cost of \$5,000 and \$0, respectively) | 5,000 | |
| Total borrowings (Cost of \$132,525 and \$76,005, respectively) | 133,734 | 76,005 |
| Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share, \$25 liquidation preference per share; 1,610,000 shares authorized, 1,600,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30 and March 31, 2012 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 1,311 | 506 |
| Fees due to Adviser ^(A) | 595 | 496 |
| Fee due to Administrator ^(A) | 189 | 218 |
| Other liabilities | 480 | 856 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 176,309 | 118,081 |
| Commitments and contingencies ^(B) | | |
| NET ASSETS | \$ 197,223 | \$ 207,216 |
| ANALYSIS OF NET ASSETS | | |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized and 22,080,133 shares issued and outstanding at September 30 and March 31, 2012 | \$ 22 | \$ 22 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 257,131 | 257,131 |
| Cumulative net unrealized depreciation of investments | (50,345) | (40,743) |
| Cumulative net unrealized depreciation of other | (1,236) | (68) |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-------------------|------------|
| Net investment income in excess of distributions | 321 | 321 |
| Accumulated net realized loss | (8,670) | (9,447) |
| TOTAL NET ASSETS | \$ 197,223 | \$ 207,216 |
| NET ASSET VALUE PER COMMON SHARE AT END OF PERIOD | \$ 8.93 | \$ 9.38 |

(A) Refer to Note 4 *Related Party Transactions* for additional information.

(B) Refer to Note 11 *Commitments and Contingencies* for additional information.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents**GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)****(UNAUDITED)**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| INVESTMENT INCOME | | | | |
| Interest income | | | | |
| Control investments | \$ 4,548 | \$ 2,926 | \$ 7,977 | \$ 5,560 |
| Affiliate investments | 1,573 | 1,363 | 3,344 | 2,732 |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments | 339 | 401 | 647 | 806 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Total interest income | 6,461 | 4,692 | 11,971 | 9,104 |
| Other income | | | | |
| Control investments | 112 | 341 | 506 | 1,176 |
| Affiliate investments | 401 | | 401 | |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments | | 1 | | 17 |
| Total other income | 513 | 342 | 907 | 1,193 |
| Total investment income | 6,974 | 5,034 | 12,878 | 10,297 |
| EXPENSES | | | | |
| Base management fee ^(A) | 1,308 | 1,063 | 2,499 | 2,071 |
| Incentive fee ^(A) | 541 | | 541 | 19 |
| Administration fee ^(A) | 189 | 135 | 372 | 286 |
| Interest expense on borrowings | 484 | 233 | 576 | 365 |
| Dividends on mandatorily redeemable preferred stock | 713 | | 1,425 | |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 203 | 108 | 403 | 215 |
| Professional fees | 177 | 105 | 371 | 315 |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 423 | 592 | 702 | 942 |
| Expenses before credits from Adviser | 4,038 | 2,236 | 6,889 | 4,213 |
| Credits to fees ^(A) | (515) | (511) | (700) | (726) |
| Total expenses net of credits to fees | 3,523 | 1,725 | 6,189 | 3,487 |
| NET INVESTMENT INCOME | 3,451 | 3,309 | 6,689 | 6,810 |
| REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) | | | | |
| Net realized gain (loss): | | | | |
| Control investments | 798 | (543) | 753 | 5,192 |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments | | (1) | | 4 |
| Other | | | (41) | (39) |
| Total net realized gain (loss) | 798 | (544) | 712 | 5,157 |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation: | | | | |
| Control investments | (9,708) | 8,886 | (7,354) | 935 |
| Affiliate investments | 6,139 | 1,662 | (1,573) | 3,740 |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments | (315) | (211) | (674) | 609 |
| Other | (718) | (407) | (1,169) | (368) |
| Total net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation | (4,602) | 9,930 | (10,770) | 4,916 |
| Net realized and unrealized (loss) gain | (3,804) | 9,386 | (10,058) | 10,073 |
| NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS | | | | |
| | \$ (353) | \$ 12,695 | \$ (3,369) | \$ 16,883 |
| NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS PER COMMON SHARE | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ (0.02) | \$ 0.57 | \$ (0.15) | \$ 0.76 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OF COMMON STOCK OUTSTANDING: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | 22,080,133 | 22,080,133 | 22,080,133 | 22,080,133 |

^(A) Refer to Note 4 *Related Party Transactions* for additional information.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

(IN THOUSANDS)

(UNAUDITED)

| | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| <i>Operations:</i> | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 6,689 | \$ 6,810 |
| Net realized gain on investments | 753 | 5,196 |
| Net realized loss on other | (41) | (39) |
| Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investments | (9,601) | 5,284 |
| Net unrealized depreciation of other | (1,169) | (368) |
| Net (decrease) increase in net assets from operations | (3,369) | 16,883 |
| Distributions to common stockholders: | (6,624) | (6,293) |
| Total (decrease) increase in net assets | (9,993) | 10,590 |
| Net assets at beginning of period | 207,216 | 198,829 |
| Net assets at end of period | \$ 197,223 | \$ 209,419 |

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(IN THOUSANDS)

(UNAUDITED)

| | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net (decrease) increase in net assets resulting from operations | \$ (3,369) | \$ 16,883 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (decrease) increase in net assets resulting from operations to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Purchase of investments | (63,263) | (67,378) |
| Principal repayments of investments | 12,090 | 5,560 |
| Proceeds from the sale of investments | 1,291 | 7,527 |
| Net realized gain on investments | (753) | (5,196) |
| Net realized loss on other | 41 | 39 |
| Net unrealized depreciation (appreciation) of investments | 9,601 | (5,284) |
| Net unrealized depreciation of other | 1,169 | 368 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 403 | 215 |
| Decrease in restricted cash | 887 | 3,113 |
| Increase in interest receivable | (45) | (628) |
| (Increase) decrease in due from custodian | (6,625) | 134 |
| Increase in other assets | (406) | (86) |
| Increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses | 912 | 675 |
| Increase (decrease) in fees due to Adviser ^(A) | 99 | (458) |
| Decrease in administration fee payable to Administrator ^(A) | (29) | (36) |
| Decrease in other liabilities | (376) | (425) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (48,373) | (44,977) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 243,535 | 124,001 |
| Repayments on borrowings | (187,015) | (80,500) |
| Deferred financing costs | (129) | (107) |
| Distributions paid to common stockholders | (6,624) | (6,293) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 49,767 | 37,101 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 1,394 | (7,876) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 91,546 | 80,580 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD | \$ 92,940 | \$ 72,704 |

^(A) Refer to Note 4 *Related Party Transactions* for additional information.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

(UNAUDITED)

| Company ^(A) | Industry | Investment ^(B) | Principal | Cost | Fair Value | |
|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|------------|--------|
| CONTROL INVESTMENTS: | | | | | | |
| Acme Cryogenics, Inc. | Machinery | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.5%, Due 3/2015) | \$ 14,500 | \$ 14,500 | \$ 14,500 | |
| | | Preferred Stock (898,814 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 6,984 | 11,480 | |
| | | Common Stock (418,072 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | 1,045 | 194 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants (452,683 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | 25 | 41 |
| | | | | | 22,554 | 26,215 |
| ASH Holdings Corp. | Automobile | Revolving Credit Facility, \$350 available (3.0%, Due 3/2013) ^(G) | 7,150 | 7,093 | | |
| | | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (2.0%, Due 3/2013) ^(G) | 6,250 | 6,050 | | |
| | | Preferred Stock (4,644 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 2,500 | | |
| | | Common Stock (1 share) ^{(C)(F)} | | | | |
| | | Common Stock Warrants (73,599 shares) ^{(C)(F)} Guarantee (\$500) | | | 4 | |
| | | | | 15,647 | | |
| Country Club Enterprises, LLC | Automobile | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (14.0%, Due 11/2014) ^(G) | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | |
| | | Preferred Stock (7,304,792 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 7,725 | 2,926 | |
| | | Guarantee (\$2,000) | | | | |
| | | Guarantee (\$1,437) | | | | |
| | | | | 11,725 | 6,926 | |
| Danco Acquisition Corp. ⁽¹⁾ | Diversified/Conglomerate Manufacturing | Revolving Credit Facility, \$0 available (10.0%, Due 4/2013) ^(D) | 2,250 | 2,250 | 900 | |
| | | Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 4/2013) ^(D) | 2,575 | 2,575 | 1,030 | |
| | | Senior Term Debt (6.3%, Due 4/2013) ^(D) | 8,795 | 8,795 | 3,518 | |
| | | | 700 | 700 | 245 | |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--------|--------|--------|
| | | Senior Term Debt (5.0%, Due 8/2015) ^(D) | | | |
| | | Senior Term Debt (5.0%, Due 8/2015) ^{(D)(E)} | 350 | 350 | 123 |
| | | Preferred Stock (25 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 2,500 | |
| | | Common Stock Warrants (1,170 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 3 | |
| | | | | 17,173 | 5,816 |
| Drew Foam Companies, inc. | Chemicals, Plastics and Rubber | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.5%, Due 8/2017) ^(H) | 10,913 | 10,913 | 10,913 |
| | | Preferred Stock (51,421 shares) ^{(C)(F)(H)} | | 5,142 | 5,142 |
| | | Common Stock (8,184 shares) ^{(C)(F)(H)} | | 96 | 96 |
| | | | | 16,151 | 16,151 |
| Galaxy Tool Holding Corp. | Aerospace and Defense | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.5%, Due 8/2013) | 3,220 | 3,220 | 3,220 |
| | | Preferred Stock (4,111,907 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 19,658 | 6,090 |
| | | Common Stock (48,093 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 48 | |
| | | | | 22,926 | 9,310 |
| Ginsey Holdings, Inc. | Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares and Durable | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.5%, Due 1/2018) ^{(H)(J)} | 13,050 | 13,050 | 13,050 |
| | Consumer Products | Preferred Stock (18,898 shares) ^{(C)(F)(H)} | | 9,394 | 9,394 |
| | | Common Stock (63,747 shares) ^{(C)(F)(H)} | | 8 | 8 |
| | | | | 22,452 | 22,452 |
| Mathey Investments, Inc. | Machinery | Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 3/2014) | 1,375 | 1,375 | 1,375 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 3/2014) | 3,727 | 3,727 | 3,727 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 3/2014) ^(E) | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| | | Common Stock (29,102 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 777 | 5,170 |
| | | | | 9,379 | 13,772 |
| Mitchell Rubber Products, Inc. | Chemicals, Plastics and Rubber | Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 10/2016) ^(D) | 13,560 | 13,560 | 13,526 |
| | | Preferred Stock (27,900 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 2,790 | 3,044 |
| | | Common Stock (27,900 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 28 | 820 |
| | | | | 16,378 | 17,390 |
| Precision Southeast, Inc. | Containers, Packaging and Glass | Senior Term Debt (14.0%, Due 12/2015) | 7,775 | 7,775 | 7,775 |
| | | | | 1,909 | 2,187 |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|---|--------|--------|--------|
| | | Preferred Stock (19,091 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | |
| | | Common Stock (90,909 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 91 | 354 |
| | | | | 9,775 | 10,316 |
| SBS, Industries, LLC | Machinery | Senior Term Debt (14.0%, Due 8/2016) | 11,355 | 11,355 | 11,355 |
| | | Preferred Stock (19,935 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 1,994 | 2,167 |
| | | Common Stock (221,500 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 221 | 4,705 |
| | | | | 13,570 | 18,227 |

Table of Contents**GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)**

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

(UNAUDITED)

| Company ^(A) | Industry | Investment ^(B) | Principal | Cost | Fair Value |
|--|---------------------------------|--|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| CONTROL INVESTMENTS (Continued): | | | | | |
| SOG Specialty K&T, LLC | Leisure, Amusement, Motion | Senior Term Debt (13.3%, Due 8/2016) | \$ 6,200 | \$ 6,200 | \$ 6,200 |
| | Pictures, Entertainment | Senior Term Debt (14.8%, Due 8/2016) | 12,199 | 12,199 | 12,199 |
| | | Preferred Stock (9,749 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 9,749 | 11,332 |
| | | | | 28,148 | 29,731 |
| Tread Corp. | Oil and Gas | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.5%, Due 5/2013) ^(D) | 7,750 | 7,750 | 7,169 |
| | | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.5%, Due 2/2015) ^(D) | 3,010 | 3,010 | 2,784 |
| | | Preferred Stock (3,332,765 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 3,333 | |
| | | Common Stock (7,716,320 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 501 | |
| | | Common Stock Warrants (2,372,727 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 3 | |
| | | | | 14,597 | 9,953 |
| Venyu Solutions, Inc. | Electronics | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.3%, Due 10/2015) | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 |
| | | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (14.0%, Due 10/2015) | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| | | Preferred Stock (5,400 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 6,000 | 3,663 |
| | | | | 25,000 | 22,663 |
| Total Control Investments (represents 78.3% of total investments at fair value) | | | | \$ 245,475 | \$ 208,922 |
| AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS: | | | | | |
| Cavert II Holding Corp. | Containers, Packaging and Glass | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.8%, Due 4/2016) ^(D) | \$ 4,700 | \$ 4,700 | \$ 4,788 |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--------|------------------|------------------|
| | | Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 4/2016) ^(D) | 4,671 | 4,671 | 4,764 |
| | | Preferred Stock (18,446 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 1,844 | 2,699 |
| | | | | 11,215 | 12,251 |
| Channel Technologies Group, LLC | Diversified/Conglomerate Manufacturing | Revolving Credit Facility, \$850 available (7.0%, Due 12/2012) ^(D) | 400 | 400 | 398 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (8.3%, Due 12/2014) ^(D) | 5,853 | 5,853 | 5,824 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (12.3%, Due 12/2016) ^(D) | 10,750 | 10,750 | 10,696 |
| | | Preferred Stock (1,599 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 1,599 | 517 |
| | | Common Stock (1,598,616 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | |
| | | | | 18,602 | 17,435 |
| Noble Logistics, Inc. | Cargo Transport | Revolving Credit Facility, \$0 available (10.5%, Due 1/2013) ^(D) | 800 | 800 | 440 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 1/2013) ^(D) | 7,227 | 7,227 | 3,975 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2013) ^(D) | 3,650 | 3,650 | 2,008 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2013) ^{(D)(E)} | 3,650 | 3,650 | 2,007 |
| | | Preferred Stock (1,075,000 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 1,750 | |
| | | Common Stock (1,682,444 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 1,682 | |
| | | | | 18,759 | 8,430 |
| Packerland Whey Products, Inc. | Beverage, Food and Tobacco | Subordinated Term Debt (13.8%, Due 6/2018) ^(D) | 7,000 | 7,000 | 6,939 |
| | | Preferred Stock (248 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 2,479 | 1,071 |
| | | Common Stock (247 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 21 | |
| | | | | 9,500 | 8,010 |
| Quench Holdings Corp. | Home and Office Furnishings, | Preferred Stock (388 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 2,950 | 2,589 |
| | Housewares and Durable Consumer | Common Stock (35,242 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 447 | |
| | Consumer Products | | | 3,397 | 2,589 |
| Total Affiliate Investments (represents 18.3% of total investments at fair value) | | | | \$ 61,473 | \$ 48,715 |
| NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS: | | | | | |
| B-Dry, LLC | Buildings and Real Estate | Revolving Credit Facility, \$250 available (6.5%, Due 5/2014) ^(D) | \$ 500 | \$ 500 | \$ 462 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (14.0%, Due 5/2014) ^(D) | 6,443 | 6,443 | 5,960 |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Senior Term Debt (14.0%, Due 5/2014) ^(D) | 2,840 | 2,840 | 2,627 |
| | Common Stock Warrants (55 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 300 | |
| | | | 10,083 | 9,049 |
| | Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments (represents 3.4% of total investments at fair value) | | \$ 10,083 | \$ 9,049 |
| | TOTAL INVESTMENTS | | \$ 317,031 | \$ 266,686 |

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

(UNAUDITED)

- (A) Certain of the securities listed above are issued by affiliate(s) of the indicated portfolio company.
 - (B) Percentages represent the weighted average cash interest rates in effect at September 30, 2012, and due date represents the contractual maturity date. If applicable, paid in kind (PIK) interest rates are noted separately from the cash interest rates.
 - (C) Security is non-income producing.
 - (D) Fair value based primarily on opinions of value submitted by Standard & Poor s Securities Evaluations, Inc. as of September 30, 2012.
 - (E) Last Out Tranche (LOT) of senior debt, meaning if the portfolio company is liquidated, the holder of the LOT is paid after the other senior debt but before the senior subordinated debt.
 - (F) Aggregates all shares of such class of stock owned without regard to specific series owned within such class (some series of which may or may not be voting shares) or aggregates all warrants to purchase shares of such class of stock owned without regard to specific series of such class of stock such warrants allow us to purchase.
 - (G) Debt security is on non-accrual status.
 - (H) New proprietary portfolio investment valued at cost, as it was determined that the price paid during the three months ended September 30, 2012, best represents fair value as of September 30, 2012.
 - (I) In August 2012, we received warrants in connection with our senior term note C debt investment in Danco, which resulted in Danco being reclassified as a Control investment during the three months ended September 30, 2012.
 - (J) \$5.0 million of the debt security participated to a third party but accounted for as collateral for a secured borrowing for GAAP purposes.
- THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.*

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS

MARCH 31, 2012

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

(UNAUDITED)

| Company ^(A) | Industry | Investment ^(B) | Principal | Cost | Fair Value | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------|-----------|------------|--------|
| CONTROL INVESTMENTS: | | | | | | |
| Acme Cryogenics, Inc. | Machinery | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.5%, Due 3/2015) | \$ 14,500 | \$ 14,500 | \$ 14,500 | |
| | | Preferred Stock (898,814 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 6,984 | 10,994 | |
| | | Common Stock (418,072 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | 1,045 | 2,132 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants (452,683 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | 25 | 675 |
| | | | | | 22,554 | 28,301 |
| ASH Holdings Corp. | Automobile | Revolving Credit Facility, \$570 available (3.0%, Due 3/2013) ^(G) | 6,430 | 6,388 | | |
| | | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (2.0%, Due 3/2013) ^(G) | 6,250 | 6,060 | | |
| | | Preferred Stock (4,644 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | 2,500 | |
| | | Common Stock (1 share) ^{(C)(F)} | | | | |
| | | Common Stock Warrants (73,599 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | | 4 |
| | | Guarantee (\$750) | | | | |
| | | | | 14,952 | | |
| Country Club Enterprises, LLC | Automobile | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (14.0%, Due 11/2014) ^(G) | 4,000 | 4,000 | | |
| | | Preferred Stock (7,304,792 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | 7,725 | |
| | | Guarantee (\$2,000) | | | | |
| | | Guarantee (\$1,998) | | | | |
| | | | | 11,725 | | |
| Galaxy Tool Holding Corp. | Aerospace and Defense | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (13.5%, Due 8/2013) | 5,220 | 5,220 | 5,220 | |
| | | Preferred Stock (4,111,907 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | 19,658 | 1,493 |
| | | Common Stock (48,093 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | 48 | |
| | | | | 24,926 | 6,713 | |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Mathey Investments, Inc. | Machinery | Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 3/2013) | 2,375 | 2,375 | 2,375 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (12.0%, Due 3/2014) | 3,727 | 3,727 | 3,727 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (2.5%, Due 3/2014) ^(E) | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| | | Common Stock (29,102 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 777 | 4,164 |
| | | | | 10,379 | 13,766 |
| Mitchell Rubber Products, Inc. | Chemicals, Plastics and Rubber | Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 10/2016) ^(D) | 13,560 | 13,560 | 13,679 |
| | | Preferred Stock (27,900 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 2,790 | 2,954 |
| | | Common Stock (27,900 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 28 | 1,858 |
| | | | | | 16,378 |
| Precision Southeast, Inc. | Containers, Packaging and Glass | Revolving Credit Facility, \$251 available (7.5%, Due 9/2012) | 749 | 749 | 749 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (14.0%, Due 12/2015) | 7,775 | 7,775 | 7,775 |
| | | Preferred Stock (19,091 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 1,909 | 1,634 |
| | | Common Stock (90,909 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 91 | |
| | | | | 10,524 | 10,158 |
| SBS, Industries, LLC | Machinery | Senior Term Debt (14.0%, Due 8/2016) | 11,355 | 11,355 | 11,355 |
| | | Preferred Stock (19,935 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 1,994 | 2,087 |
| | | Common Stock (221,500 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 221 | 3,563 |
| | | | | | 13,570 |
| SOG Specialty K&T, LLC | Leisure, Amusement, Motion | Senior Term Debt (13.3%, Due 8/2016) | 6,200 | 6,200 | 6,200 |
| | Pictures, Entertainment | Senior Term Debt (14.8%, Due 8/2016) | 12,199 | 12,199 | 12,199 |
| | | Preferred Stock (9,749 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 9,749 | 11,697 |
| | | | | 28,148 | 30,096 |
| Tread Corp. | Oil and Gas | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (12.5%, Due 5/2013) | 7,750 | 7,750 | 7,750 |
| | | Preferred Stock (832,765 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 833 | 1,080 |
| | | Common Stock (129,067 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 1 | 96 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants (1,247,727 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 3 | 758 |
| | | | | 8,587 | 9,684 |
| Venyu Solutions, Inc. | Electronics | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.3%, Due 10/2015) | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--|--------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Senior Subordinated Term Debt (14.0%, Due 10/2015) | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| Preferred Stock (5,400 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 6,000 | 4,330 |
| | | 25,000 | 23,330 |
| Total Control Investments (represents 69.8% of total investments at fair value) | | \$ 186,743 | \$ 157,544 |

Table of Contents**GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS (Continued)****MARCH 31, 2012****(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)****(UNAUDITED)**

| Company^(A) | Industry | Investment^(B) | Principal | Cost | Fair Value |
|---------------------------------|--|--|------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS: | | | | | |
| Cavert II Holding Corp. | Containers, Packaging and Glass | Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 4/2016) ^{(D)(E)} | \$ 1,050 | \$ 1,050 | \$ 1,067 |
| | | Senior Subordinated Term Debt (11.8%, Due 4/2016) ^(D) | 5,700 | 5,700 | 5,771 |
| | | Subordinated Term Debt (13.0%, Due 4/2016) ^(D) | 4,671 | 4,671 | 4,741 |
| | | Preferred Stock (18,446 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 1,844 | 2,596 |
| | | | | 13,265 | 14,175 |
| Channel Technologies Group, LLC | Diversified/Conglomerate Manufacturing | Revolving Credit Facility, \$400 available (7.0%, Due 12/2012) ^(D) | 850 | 850 | 843 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (8.3%, Due 12/2014) ^(D) | 5,926 | 5,926 | 5,875 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (12.3%, Due 12/2016) ^(D) | 10,750 | 10,750 | 10,642 |
| | | Preferred Stock (1,599 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 1,599 | 1,631 |
| | | Common Stock (1,598,616 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | 75 |
| | | | | 19,125 | 19,066 |
| Danco Acquisition Corp. | Diversified/Conglomerate Manufacturing | Revolving Credit Facility, \$450 available (10.0%, Due 10/2012) ^(D) | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,350 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (10.0%, Due 10/2012) ^(D) | 2,575 | 2,575 | 1,931 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (12.5%, Due 4/2013) ^{(D)(E)} | 8,891 | 8,891 | 6,669 |
| | | Preferred Stock (25 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 2,500 | |
| | | Common Stock Warrants (420 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | | 3 |
| | | | | 15,769 | 9,950 |
| Noble Logistics, Inc. | Cargo Transport | Revolving Credit Facility, \$0 available (10.5%, Due 1/2013) ^(D) | 500 | 500 | 315 |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|-------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | Senior Term Debt (11.0%, Due 1/2013) ^(D) | 7,227 | 7,227 | 4,553 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2013) ^(D) | 3,650 | 3,650 | 2,300 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (10.5%, Due 1/2013) ^{(D)(E)} | 3,650 | 3,650 | 2,299 |
| | | Preferred Stock (1,075,000 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 1,750 | 3,550 |
| | | Common Stock (1,682,444 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 1,682 | |
| | | | | 18,459 | 13,017 |
| Quench Holdings Corp. | Home and Office Furnishings, | Preferred Stock (388 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 2,950 | 2,623 |
| | Housewares and Durable | Common Stock (35,242 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 447 | |
| | Consumer Products | | | 3,397 | 2,623 |
| Total Affiliate Investments (represents 26.1% of total investments at fair value) | | | | \$ 70,015 | \$ 58,831 |
| NON-CONTROL/NON-AFFILIATE INVESTMENTS: | | | | | |
| B-Dry, LLC | Buildings and Real Estate | Senior Term Debt (12.3%, Due 5/2014) ^(D) | 6,477 | 6,477 | 6,356 |
| | | Senior Term Debt (12.3%, Due 5/2014) ^(D) | 2,860 | 2,860 | 2,806 |
| | | Common Stock Warrants (55 shares) ^{(C)(F)} | | 300 | 115 |
| | | | | 9,637 | 9,277 |
| Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments (represents 4.1% of total investments at fair value) | | | | \$ 9,637 | \$ 9,277 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENTS | | | | \$ 266,395 | \$ 225,652 |

(A) Certain of the securities listed above are issued by affiliate(s) of the indicated portfolio company.

(B) Percentages represent the weighted average interest rates in effect at March 31, 2012, and due date represents the contractual maturity date. If applicable, PIK interest rates are noted separately from the cash interest rates.

(C) Security is non-income producing.

(D) Fair value based primarily on opinions of value submitted by Standard & Poor's Securities Evaluations, Inc. as of March 31, 2012.

(E) LOT of senior debt, meaning if the portfolio company is liquidated, the holder of the LOT is paid after the other senior debt but before the senior subordinated debt.

(F) Aggregates all shares of such class of stock owned without regard to specific series owned within such class (some series of which may or may not be voting shares) or aggregates all warrants to purchase shares of such class of stock owned without regard to specific series of such class of stock such warrants allow us to purchase.

(G) Debt security is on non-accrual status.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Table of Contents

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE DATA AND AS OTHERWISE INDICATED)

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION

Gladstone Investment Corporation (Gladstone Investment) was incorporated under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware on February 18, 2005 and completed an initial public offering on June 22, 2005. The terms the Company, we, our and us all refer to Gladstone Investment and its consolidated subsidiaries. We are a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be treated as a business development company (BDC) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). In addition, we have elected to be treated for tax purposes as a regulated investment company (RIC) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). We were established for the purpose of investing in debt and equity securities of established private businesses in the United States (U.S.). These investments primarily come in the form of senior debt securities, senior subordinated debt securities, junior subordinated debt securities, preferred stock, common stock and warrants to purchase common stock of small and medium-sized companies in connection with buyouts and other recapitalizations. To a much lesser extent, we also invest in senior and subordinated syndicated loans. Our investment objective is to generate both current income and capital gains through these debt and equity instruments.

Gladstone Business Investment, LLC (Business Investment), a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, was established on August 11, 2006 for the sole purpose of owning our portfolio of investments in connection with our line of credit. The financial statements of Business Investment are consolidated with those of Gladstone Investment.

We are externally managed by Gladstone Management Corporation (the Adviser), an affiliate of ours.

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Unaudited Interim Financial Statements and Basis of Presentation

We prepare our interim financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP) for interim financial information and pursuant to the requirements for reporting on Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). Accordingly, we have omitted certain disclosures accompanying annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Under Article 6 of Regulation S-X under the Securities Act, and the authoritative accounting guidance provided by the American Institute of CPAs (AICPA) Audit and Accounting Guide for Investment Companies, we are not permitted to consolidate any portfolio company investments, including those in which we have a controlling interest. In our opinion, all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring accruals, necessary for the fair statement of financial statements for the interim periods have been included. The results of operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, are not necessarily indicative of results that ultimately may be achieved for the year. The interim financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on May 21, 2012.

Our fiscal year-end *Condensed Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities* was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the prior period s financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the presentation for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, with no effect to net (decrease) increase in net assets resulting from operations.

Investment Valuation Policy

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

We carry our investments at fair value to the extent that market quotations are readily available and reliable and otherwise at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors (the Board of Directors). In determining the fair value of our investments, our Adviser has established an investment valuation policy (the Policy). The Policy has been approved by our Board of Directors, and each quarter, our Board of Directors reviews whether our Adviser has applied the Policy consistently and votes whether to accept the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio. Such determination of fair values may involve subjective judgments and estimates.

Table of Contents

We use generally accepted valuation techniques to value our portfolio unless we have specific information about the value of an investment to determine otherwise. From time to time, we may accept an appraisal of a business in which we hold securities. These appraisals are expensive and occur infrequently but provide a third-party valuation opinion that may differ in results, techniques and scope used to value our investments. When we obtain these specific, third-party appraisals, we use estimates of value provided by such appraisals and our own assumptions, including estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date, to value our investments.

The Policy, summarized below, applies to publicly-traded securities, securities for which a limited market exists and securities for which no market exists.

Publicly-traded securities: We determine the value of publicly-traded securities based on the closing price for the security on the exchange or securities market on which it is listed and primarily traded on the valuation date. To the extent that we own restricted securities that are not freely tradable, but for which a public market otherwise exists, we will use the market value of that security, adjusted for any decrease in value resulting from the restrictive feature. At September 30 and March 31, 2012, we did not have any investments in publicly-traded securities.

Securities for which a limited market exists: We value securities that are not traded on an established secondary securities market but for which a limited market for the security exists, such as certain participations in, or assignments of, syndicated loans, at the quoted bid price, which are non-binding. In valuing these assets, we assess trading activity in the asset class and evaluate variances in prices and other market insights to determine if any available quoted prices are reliable. In general, if we conclude that quotes based on active markets or trading activity may be relied upon, firm bid prices are requested; however, if firm bid prices are unavailable, we base the value of the security upon the price in the range of the indicative bid price (IBP) offered by the respective originating syndication agent's trading desk, or secondary desk, on or near the valuation date. To the extent that we use the IBP as a basis for valuing the security, the Adviser may take further steps to consider additional information to validate that price in accordance with the Policy, including, but not limited to, reviewing a range of indicative bids to the extent the Adviser has ready access to such qualified information.

In the event these limited markets become illiquid to a degree that market prices are no longer readily available, we will value our syndicated loans using alternative methods, such as estimated net present values of the future cash flows, or discounted cash flows (DCF). The use of a DCF methodology follows that prescribed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, which provides guidance on the use of a reporting entity's own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates when relevant, observable inputs, such as quotes in active markets, are not available. When relevant, observable market data does not exist, an alternative outlined in ASC 820 is the valuation of investments based on DCF. For the purposes of using DCF to provide fair value estimates, we consider multiple inputs, such as a risk-adjusted discount rate that incorporates adjustments that market participants would make, both for nonperformance and liquidity risks. As such, we develop a modified discount rate approach that incorporates risk premiums including, among other things, increased probability of default, higher loss given default and increased liquidity risk. The DCF valuations applied to the syndicated loans provide an estimate of what we believe a market participant would pay to purchase a syndicated loan in an active market, thereby establishing a fair value. We apply the DCF methodology in illiquid markets until quoted prices are available or are deemed reliable based on trading activity. At September 30 and March 31, 2012, we had no syndicated investments.

Securities for which no market exists: The valuation methodology for securities for which no market exists falls into four categories: (A) investments comprised solely of debt securities; (B) investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of securities, which can include debt and equity securities; (C) investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities; and (D) investments comprised of non-publicly traded non-control equity securities of other funds.

(A) Investments comprised solely of debt securities: Debt securities that are not publicly traded on an established securities market, or for which a market does not exist (Non-Public Debt Securities), and that are issued by portfolio companies in which we have no equity or equity-like securities, are fair valued utilizing opinions of value submitted to us by Standard & Poor's Securities Evaluations, Inc. (SPSE). We may also submit paid-in-kind (PIK) interest to SPSE for its evaluation when it is determined that PIK interest is likely to be received.

(B) Investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities: The fair value of these investments is determined based on the total enterprise value (TEV) of the portfolio company, or issuer, utilizing a liquidity waterfall approach under ASC 820 for our Non-Public Debt Securities and equity or equity-like securities (e.g., preferred equity, common equity or other equity-like securities) that are purchased together as part of a package where we have control or could gain control through an option or warrant security; both the debt and equity securities of the portfolio investment would exit in the mergers and acquisitions market as the principal market, generally through a sale of the portfolio company. We manage our risk related to these investments at the

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

aggregated issuer level and generally exit the debt and equity securities together. Applying the liquidity waterfall approach to all of the investments of an issuer, we first calculate the TEV of the issuer by incorporating some or all of the following factors:

the issuer's ability to make payments;

Table of Contents

the earnings of the issuer;

recent sales to third parties of similar securities;

the comparison to publicly-traded securities; and

DCF or other pertinent factors.

In gathering the sales to third parties of similar securities, we may reference industry statistics and use outside experts. TEV is only an estimate of value and may not be the value received in an actual sale. Once we have estimated the TEV of the issuer, we will subtract the value of all the debt securities of the issuer, which are valued at the contractual principal balance. Fair values of these debt securities are discounted for any shortfall of TEV over the total debt outstanding for the issuer. Once the values for all outstanding senior securities, which include all the debt securities, have been subtracted from the TEV of the issuer, the remaining amount, if any, is used to determine the value of the issuer's equity or equity-like securities. If, in the Adviser's judgment, the liquidity waterfall approach does not accurately reflect the value of the debt component, the Adviser may recommend that we use a valuation by SPSE, or, if that is unavailable, a DCF valuation technique.

(C) Investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities: We value Non-Public Debt Securities that are purchased together with equity or equity-like securities from the same portfolio company, or issuer, for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, using a hypothetical, secondary market as our principal market. In accordance with ASC 820, we have defined our unit of account at the investment level (either debt or equity) and as such determine our fair value of these non-control investments assuming the sale of an individual security using the standalone premise of value. As such, we estimate the fair value of the debt component using estimates of value provided by SPSE and our own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including synthetic credit ratings, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. For equity or equity-like securities of investments for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, we estimate the fair value of the equity based on factors such as the overall value of the issuer, the relative fair value of other units of account, including debt, or other relative value approaches. Consideration is also given to capital structure and other contractual obligations that may impact the fair value of the equity. Furthermore, we may utilize comparable values of similar companies, recent investments and indices with similar structures and risk characteristics or DCF valuation techniques and, in the absence of other observable market data, our own assumptions.

(D) Investments comprised of non-publicly traded, non-control equity securities of other funds: We generally value any uninvested capital of the non-control fund at par value and value any invested capital at the value provided by the non-control fund. At September 30 and March 31, 2012, we had no non-control equity securities of other funds.

Due to the uncertainty inherent in the valuation process, such estimates of fair value may differ significantly and materially from the values that would have been obtained had a ready market for the securities existed. Additionally, changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned. There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith, as fair value depends upon circumstances of each individual case. In general, fair value is the amount that we might reasonably expect to receive upon the current sale of the security in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

Refer to Note 3 *Investments* for additional information regarding fair value measurements and our application of ASC 820.

Interest Income Recognition

Interest income, adjusted for amortization of premiums and acquisition costs, the accretion of discounts and the amortization of amendment fees, is recorded on the accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. Generally, when a loan becomes 90 days or more past due, or if our qualitative assessment indicates that the debtor is unable to service its debt or other obligations, we will place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan until the borrower has demonstrated the ability and intent to pay contractual amounts due. However, we remain contractually entitled to this interest. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans may be recognized as income or applied to the cost basis, depending upon management's judgment. Generally, non-accrual loans are restored to accrual

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

status when past-due principal and interest are paid and, in management's judgment, are likely to remain current, or due to a restructuring such that the interest income is deemed to be collectible. At September 30, 2012, loans to two portfolio companies, ASH Holdings Corp. (ASH) and Country Club Enterprises, LLC (CCE), were on non-accrual. These non-accrual loans had an aggregate cost value of \$17.1 million, or 7.7% of the cost basis of debt investments in our portfolio, and an aggregate fair value of \$4.0 million, or 2.1% of the fair value of all debt investments in our portfolio. At March 31, 2012, ASH and CCE were on non-accrual with an aggregate debt cost basis of \$16.4 million, or 8.6% of the cost basis of debt investments in our portfolio, and an aggregate fair value of \$0.

Table of Contents

We did not hold any loans in our portfolio that contained a PIK provision at September 30, 2012, and no PIK income was recorded during the three and six months ended September 30, 2012. During the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded PIK income of \$1 and \$6, respectively. PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in the loan agreement, is added to the principal balance of the loan and recorded as interest income. To maintain our status as a RIC, this non-cash source of income must be included in our calculation of distributable income for purposes of complying with our distribution requirements, even though we have not yet collected the cash. The sole loan with a PIK provision was paid off, at par, during the quarter ended September 30, 2011.

Other Income Recognition

We generally record success fees upon receipt of cash. Success fees are contractually due upon a change of control in a portfolio company and are recorded in other income in our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*. We recorded \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million of success fees during the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, representing prepayments received from Mathey Investments, Inc. (Mathey) and Cavert II Holding Corp. (Cavert). During the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded success fees of \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, representing prepayments received from Mathey and Cavert. As of September 30, 2012, we have an off-balance sheet success fee receivable of approximately \$11.0 million.

We accrue dividend income on preferred equity securities to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected and if we have the option to collect such amounts in cash, and it is recorded in Other income in our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*. We recorded \$0.1 million in dividend income during the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, on accrued preferred shares of Drew Foam Companies, Inc. (Drew Foam). We did not record any dividend income during the three months ended September 30, 2011; however, during the six months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded and collected \$0.7 million of dividends on accrued preferred shares in connection with the recapitalization of Cavert.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs, (ASU 2011-04) which results in a consistent definition of fair value and common requirements for measurement of and disclosure about fair value between GAAP and IFRS. ASU 2011-04 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of ASU 2011-04 did not have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 3. INVESTMENTS

ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. ASC 820 provides a consistent definition of fair value that focuses on exit price in the principal, or most advantageous, market and prioritizes, within a measurement of fair value, the use of market-based inputs over entity-specific inputs. ASC also establishes the following three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date.

Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;

Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active or inactive markets and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 2 inputs are in those markets for which there are few transactions, the prices are not current, little public information exists or instances where prices vary substantially over time or among brokered market makers; and

Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs are those inputs that reflect assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability and can include our own assumptions based upon the best available information.

We transfer investments in and out of Level 1, 2 and 3 securities as of the beginning balance sheet date, based on changes in the use of observable and unobservable inputs utilized to perform the valuation for the period. During the three months ended September 30, 2012 and

2011, there were no transfers in or out of Level 1, 2 and 3.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the financial assets carried at fair value as of September 30 and March 31, 2012, by caption on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities* and by security type for each of the three applicable levels of hierarchy established by ASC 820 that we used to value our financial assets:

| | As of September 30, 2012 | | | As of March 31, 2012 | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------|---|
| | Level 1 | Level 3 | Total Recurring Fair Value Measurement Reported in <i>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities</i> | Level 1 | Level 3 | Total Recurring Fair Value Measurement Reported in <i>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities</i> |
| Control Investments | | | | | | |
| Senior debt | \$ | \$ 62,860 | \$ 62,860 | \$ | \$ 47,880 | \$ 47,880 |
| Senior subordinated debt | | 77,249 | 77,249 | | 60,149 | 60,149 |
| Preferred equity | | 57,424 | 57,424 | | 36,269 | 36,269 |
| Common equity/equivalents | | 11,389 | 11,389 | | 13,246 | 13,246 |
| Total Control investments | | 208,922 | 208,922 | | 157,544 | 157,544 |
| Affiliate Investments | | | | | | |
| Senior debt | | 25,348 | 25,348 | | 37,844 | 37,844 |
| Senior subordinated debt | | 16,491 | 16,491 | | 10,512 | 10,512 |
| Preferred equity | | 6,876 | 6,876 | | 10,400 | 10,400 |
| Common equity/equivalents | | | | | 75 | 75 |
| Total Affiliate investments | | 48,715 | 48,715 | | 58,831 | 58,831 |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments | | | | | | |
| Senior debt | | 9,049 | 9,049 | | 9,162 | 9,162 |
| Common equity/equivalents | | | | | 115 | 115 |
| Total Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments | | 9,049 | 9,049 | | 9,277 | 9,277 |
| Total Investments at fair value | \$ | \$ 266,686 | \$ 266,686 | \$ | \$ 225,652 | \$ 225,652 |
| Cash Equivalents | | 80,000 | 80,000 | | 85,000 | 85,000 |
| Total Investments and Cash Equivalents | \$ 80,000 | \$ 266,686 | \$ 346,686 | \$ 85,000 | \$ 225,652 | \$ 310,652 |

In accordance with ASU 2011-04, the following table provides quantitative information about our Level 3 fair value measurements of our investments as of September 30, 2012. In addition to the techniques and inputs noted in the table below, according to our valuation policy, we may also use other valuation techniques and methodologies when determining our fair value measurements. The below table is not intended to be all-inclusive, but rather provides information on the significant Level 3 inputs as they relate to our fair value measurements. The weighted average calculations in the table below are based on the principal balances for all debt-related calculations and on the cost basis for all equity-related calculations for the particular input.

| Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------|------------------|
| Fair Value as of September 30, 2012 | Valuation Techniques/ Methodologies | Unobservable Input | Range | Weighted Average |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments | \$ 191,531 ^(A) | TEV | EBITDA multiples ^(C) | 4.9x | 7.9x | 6.1x |
| | | | EBITDA ^(C) | (\$992) | \$6,615 | \$ 3,944 |
| | | SPSE ^(B) | EBITDA ^(C) | (\$197) | \$710 | \$ 187 |
| | | | Risk Ratings ^(D) | 2.0 | 5.3 | 3.9 |
| Investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments | 72,566 | TEV | EBITDA multiples ^(C) | 4.0x | 8.4x | 6.3x |
| | | | EBITDA ^(C) | \$700 | \$6,023 | \$ 3,566 |
| | | SPSE ^(B) | EBITDA ^(C) | \$700 | \$6,023 | \$ 3,311 |
| | | | Risk Ratings ^(D) | 1.3 | 5.7 | 4.5 |
| Other investments | 2,589 | | | | | |
| Total Fair Value for Level 3 Investments | \$ 266,686 | | | | | |

^(A) Includes two new portfolio company investments which were valued at cost, as it was determined that the price paid during the three months ended September 30, 2012, best represents fair value as of September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

- (B) SPSE makes an independent assessment of the data we submit to them (which includes the financial and operational performance, as well as our internally assessed risk ratings of the portfolio companies – see footnote (C) below) and its own independent data to form an opinion as to what they consider to be the market values of our securities. With regard to its work, SPSE has stated that the data submitted to us is proprietary in nature.
- (C) Adjusted earnings before interest expense, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) is an unobservable input which is generally based on the most recently available trailing twelve month financial statements submitted to us from the portfolio companies. EBITDA multiples, generally indexed in accordance with our valuation policy, represent our estimation of where market participants might price these investments. For our bundled debt and equity investments, the EBITDA and EBITDA multiples impact the TEV fair value determination and the value of the issuer's debt, equity, or equity-like securities are valued in accordance with our liquidity waterfall approach.
- (D) As part of our valuation procedures, we risk rate all of our investments in debt securities. We use a proprietary risk rating system for all our proprietary debt securities. Our risk rating system uses a scale of 0 to 10, with 10 representing the lowest probability of default. The risk rating system covers both qualitative and quantitative aspects of the portfolio company business and the securities we hold. Significant unobservable inputs generally included in our internally-assessed TEV models used to value our proprietary debt and equity investments are the portfolio company's EBITDA and EBITDA multiples. Holding all other factors constant, increases (decreases) in the EBITDA and/or the EBITDA multiples inputs would result in a higher (lower) fair value measurement. Per our valuation policy, we generally use an indexed EBITDA multiple. EBITDA and EBITDA multiple inputs do not have to directionally correlate since EBITDA is a company performance metric and EBITDA multiples can be influenced by market, industry, size and other factors.

Changes in Level 3 Fair Value Measurements of Investments

The following tables provide the changes in fair value, broken out by security type, during the three and six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 for all investments for which we determine fair value using unobservable (Level 3) factors. When a determination is made to classify a financial instrument within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, such determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable, or Level 3, inputs, observable inputs (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources). In these cases, we categorize all of the inputs as the lowest level input within the hierarchy. Accordingly, the gains and losses in the tables below include changes in fair value, due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

Fair Value Measurements of Investments Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)**Periods Ended September 30, 2012:**

| | Senior Debt | Senior Subordinated Debt | Preferred Equity | Common Equity/Equivalents | Total |
|--|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Three months ended September 30, 2012: | | | | | |
| Fair value as of June 30, 2012 | \$ 90,081 | \$ 80,398 | \$ 44,192 | \$ 15,099 | \$ 229,770 |
| Total (losses) gains: | | | | | |
| Net realized gains ^{(A)(D)} | | | | 798 | 798 |
| Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation ^(B) | (3,084) | 442 | 3,073 | (4,315) | (3,884) |
| New investments, repayments and settlements ^(C) : | | | | | |
| Issuances / Originations | 17,820 | 17,500 | 15,074 | 105 | 50,499 |
| Settlements / Repayments | (7,560) | (1,600) | | | (9,160) |
| Sales ^(D) | | | (539) | (798) | (1,337) |
| Transfers ^(E) | | (3,000) | 2,500 | 500 | |
| Fair value as of September 30, 2012 | \$ 97,257 | \$ 93,740 | \$ 64,300 | \$ 11,389 | \$ 266,686 |

Six months ended September 30, 2012:

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Fair value as of March 31, 2012 | \$ 94,886 | \$ 70,661 | \$ 46,669 | \$ 13,436 | \$ 225,652 |
| Total (losses) gains: | | | | | |
| Net realized gains ^{(A)(D)} | | | | 753 | 753 |
| Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation ^(B) | (8,075) | 3,030 | (1,884) | (2,672) | (9,601) |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| New investments, repayments and settlements ^(C) : | | | | | |
| Issuances / Originations | 18,770 | 26,815 | 17,553 | 125 | 63,263 |
| Settlements / Repayments | (8,324) | (3,766) | | | (12,090) |
| Sales ^(D) | | | (538) | (753) | (1,291) |
| Transfers ^(E) | | (3,000) | 2,500 | 500 | |
| Fair value as of September 30, 2012 | \$ 97,257 | \$ 93,740 | \$ 64,300 | \$ 11,389 | \$ 266,686 |

Table of Contents**Periods Ended September 30, 2011:**

| | Senior Debt | Senior Subordinated Debt | Preferred Equity | Common Equity/Equivalents | Total |
|--|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Three months ended September 30, 2011: | | | | | |
| Fair value as of June 30, 2011 | \$ 57,932 | \$ 75,862 | \$ 30,068 | \$ 1,433 | \$ 165,295 |
| Total (losses) gains: | | | | | |
| Net realized losses ^{(A)(D)} | (1) | | | (543) | (544) |
| Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation ^(B) | (569) | 1,473 | 5,642 | 3,790 | 10,336 |
| Reversal of previously-recorded depreciation upon realization ^(B) | 1 | | | | 1 |
| New investments, repayments and settlements ^(C) : | | | | | |
| Issuances / Originations | 30,205 | 2,750 | 11,742 | 222 | 44,919 |
| Settlements / Repayments | (2,493) | | | | (2,493) |
| Sales ^(D) | | | | 542 | 542 |
| Fair value as of September 30, 2011 | \$ 85,075 | \$ 80,085 | \$ 47,452 | \$ 5,444 | \$ 218,056 |

Six months ended September 30, 2011:

| | | | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Fair value as of March 31, 2011 | \$ 58,627 | \$ 62,806 | \$ 25,398 | \$ 6,454 | \$ 153,285 |
| Total (losses) gains: | | | | | |
| Net realized (losses) gains ^{(A)(D)} | (1) | 5 | | 5,192 | 5,196 |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) ^(B) | 788 | (4,182) | 10,474 | 4,317 | 11,397 |
| Reversal of previously-recorded depreciation (appreciation) upon realization ^(B) | 95 | (14) | (686) | (5,508) | (6,113) |
| New investments, repayments and settlements ^(C) : | | | | | |
| Issuances / Originations | 30,211 | 22,385 | 14,532 | 250 | 67,378 |
| Settlements / Repayments | (4,645) | (915) | | | (5,560) |
| Sales ^(D) | | | (2,266) | (5,261) | (7,527) |
| Fair value as of September 30, 2011 | \$ 85,075 | \$ 80,085 | \$ 47,452 | \$ 5,444 | \$ 218,056 |

(A) Included in Net realized (loss) gain on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations* for the periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

(B) Included in Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations* for the periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

(C) Includes increases in the cost basis of investments resulting from new portfolio investments, the amortization of discounts, PIK and other non-cash disbursements to portfolio companies, as well as decreases in the cost basis of investments resulting from principal repayments or sales, the amortization of premiums and acquisition costs, and other cost-basis adjustments.

(D) Included in Net realized gains (losses) and Sales are post-closing adjustments recorded in the current period related to exits from prior periods.

(E) Transfers represent \$3.0 million of senior subordinated term debt of Tread Corp., at cost as of June 30, 2012, that was converted into preferred and common equity during the quarter ended September 30, 2012.

Investment Activity

During the six months ended September 30, 2012, the following significant transactions occurred:

In May 2012, we invested \$9.5 million in a new Affiliate investment, Packerland Whey Products, Inc. (Packerland), through a combination of debt and equity. Packerland, headquartered in Luxemburg, Wisconsin, is a processor of raw fluid whey, specializing

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

in the production of protein supplements for dairy and beef cattle.

In July 2012, we invested \$21.3 million in a new Control investment, Drew Foam, through a combination of debt and equity. Drew Foam, headquartered in Monticello, Arkansas, is an expanded polystyrene foam molder and fabricator for a variety of applications in construction and packaging. In September 2012, \$4.0 million of the debt and the line of credit was refinanced with a third-party.

In July 2012, we invested \$22.5 million in a new Control investment, Ginsey Holdings, Inc. (Ginsey), through a combination of debt and equity. Ginsey, headquartered in Bellmawr, New Jersey, designs and markets a broad line of branded juvenile and adult bath products. In August 2012, we participated out \$5.0 million of the debt to a third-party.

In August 2012, we restructured our investment in Tread Corp. (Tread), converting \$3.0 million of senior subordinated debt into preferred and common shares of Tread in a non-cash transaction.

Table of Contents

Investment Concentrations

As of September 30, 2012, we had investments in 20 portfolio companies located across a total of 15 states in 13 different industries with an aggregate fair value of \$266.7 million, of which SOG Specialty K&T, LLC (SOG), Acme Cryogenics, Inc. (Acme), and Venyu Solutions, Inc. (Venyu), collectively, comprised approximately \$78.6 million, or 29.5%, of our total investment portfolio at fair value. The following table outlines our investments by security type at September 30 and March 31, 2012:

| | September 30, 2012 | | | | March 31, 2012 | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Cost | | Fair Value | | Cost | | Fair Value | |
| Senior debt | \$ 120,921 | 38.1% | \$ 97,257 | 36.5% | \$ 110,475 | 41.5% | \$ 94,886 | 42.0% |
| Senior subordinated debt | 100,511 | 31.7 | 93,740 | 35.1 | 80,461 | 30.2 | 70,661 | 31.3 |
| Preferred equity | 90,299 | 28.5 | 64,300 | 24.1 | 71,084 | 26.6 | 46,669 | 20.7 |
| Common equity/equivalents | 5,300 | 1.7 | 11,389 | 4.3 | 4,375 | 1.7 | 13,436 | 6.0 |
| Total investments | \$ 317,031 | 100.0% | \$ 266,686 | 100.0% | \$ 266,395 | 100.0% | \$ 225,652 | 100.0% |

Investments at fair value consisted of the following industry classifications at September 30 and March 31, 2012:

| | September 30, 2012 | | March 31, 2012 | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Percentage of Total Investments | Fair Value | Percentage of Total Investments |
| Chemicals, Plastics, and Rubber | \$ 59,756 | 22.4% | \$ 46,793 | 20.7% |
| Machinery (Nonagriculture, Nonconstruction, Nonelectronic) | 31,999 | 12.0 | 30,770 | 13.6 |
| Leisure, Amusement, Motion Pictures, Entertainment | 29,731 | 11.1 | 30,096 | 13.3 |
| Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares, and Durable Consumer Products | 25,040 | 9.4 | 2,623 | 1.2 |
| Diversified/Conglomerate Manufacturing | 23,251 | 8.7 | 29,017 | 12.9 |
| Electronics | 22,663 | 8.5 | 23,330 | 10.3 |
| Containers, Packaging, and Glass | 22,568 | 8.5 | 24,332 | 10.8 |
| Oil and Gas | 9,953 | 3.7 | 9,684 | 4.3 |
| Aerospace and Defense | 9,310 | 3.5 | 6,713 | 3.0 |
| Buildings and Real Estate | 9,049 | 3.4 | 9,277 | 4.1 |
| Cargo Transport | 8,430 | 3.2 | 13,017 | 5.8 |
| Beverage, Food and Tobacco | 8,010 | 3.0 | | |
| Automobile | 6,926 | 2.6 | | |
| Total Investments | \$ 266,686 | 100.0% | \$ 225,652 | 100.0% |

The investments, at fair value, were included in the following geographic regions of the U.S. as of September 30 and March 31, 2012:

| | September 30, 2012 | | March 31, 2012 | |
|-----------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Percentage of Total Investments | Fair Value | Percentage of Total Investments |
| South | \$ 120,812 | 45.3% | \$ 128,902 | 57.1% |
| West | 70,373 | 26.4 | 59,112 | 26.2 |
| Northeast | 58,181 | 21.8 | 30,924 | 13.7 |
| Midwest | 17,320 | 6.5 | 6,714 | 3.0 |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|
| Total Investments | \$ 266,686 | 100.0% | \$ 225,652 | 100.0% |
|-------------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|

The geographic region indicates the location of the headquarters for our portfolio companies. A portfolio company may have additional business locations in other geographic regions.

Table of Contents*Investment Principal Repayments*

The following table summarizes the contractual principal repayments and maturity of our investment portfolio by fiscal year, assuming no voluntary prepayments, at September 30, 2012:

| | | Amount |
|---|--|-------------------|
| For the remaining six months ending March 31: | 2013 | \$ 29,274 |
| For the fiscal year ending March 31: | 2014 | 34,083 |
| | 2015 | 36,109 |
| | 2016 | 27,825 |
| | 2017 | 63,435 |
| | Thereafter | 30,962 |
| | Total contractual repayments | \$ 221,688 |
| | Investments in equity securities | 95,599 |
| | Adjustments to cost basis on debt securities | (256) |
| | Total cost basis of investments held at September 30, 2012: | \$ 317,031 |

Receivables from Portfolio Companies

Receivables from portfolio companies represent non-recurring costs that we incurred on behalf of portfolio companies and are included in other assets on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities*. We maintain an allowance for uncollectible receivables from portfolio companies, which is determined based on historical experience and management's expectations of future losses. We charge the accounts receivable to the established provision when collection efforts have been exhausted and the receivables are deemed uncollectible. As of September 30 and March 31, 2012, we had gross receivables from portfolio companies of \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. The allowance for uncollectible receivables was \$0 at both September 30 and March 31, 2012.

NOTE 4. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS*Investment Advisory and Management Agreement*

We have entered into an investment advisory and management agreement with the Adviser (the *Advisory Agreement*), which is controlled by our chairman and chief executive officer. In accordance with the *Advisory Agreement*, we pay the Adviser certain fees as compensation for its services, such fees consisting of a base management fee and an incentive fee. On July 10, 2012, the Board of Directors approved the renewal of the *Advisory Agreement* through August 31, 2013.

The following table summarizes the management fees, incentive fees and associated credits reflected in our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|---|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Average total assets subject to base management fee | \$ 261,600 | \$ 212,600 | \$ 249,900 | \$ 207,100 |
| Multiplied by prorated annual base management fee of 2% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 1.0% | 1.0% |
| Base management fee ^(A) | 1,308 | 1,063 | 2,499 | 2,071 |
| Reduction for loan servicing fees | (949) | (715) | (1,817) | (1,392) |
| Adjusted base management fee | \$ 359 | \$ 348 | \$ 682 | \$ 679 |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|----------|--------|---------|---------|
| Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies ^(A) | (515) | (511) | (700) | (726) |
| Net base management fee | \$ (156) | \$ 163 | \$ (18) | \$ (47) |
| Incentive fee ^(A) | \$ 541 | \$ | \$ 541 | \$ 19 |

^(A) Reflected as a line item on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations*.

Base Management Fee

The base management fee is payable quarterly and assessed at an annual rate of 2.0%, computed on the basis of the value of our average total assets at the end of the two most recently-completed quarters. Average total assets is defined as total assets, including investments made with proceeds of borrowings, less any uninvested cash or cash equivalents resulting from borrowings, valued at the end of the applicable quarters within the respective periods and adjusted appropriately for any share issuances or repurchases during the periods. In addition, the following three items are adjustments to the base management fee calculation:

Loan Servicing Fees

The Adviser also services the loans held by Business Investment, in return for which it receives a 2.0% annual fee based on the monthly aggregate outstanding balance of loans pledged under our line of credit. Since we own these loans, all loan servicing fees paid to the Adviser are treated as reductions directly against the 2.0% base management fee under the Advisory Agreement.

Table of Contents

Portfolio Company Fees

Under the Advisory Agreement, the Adviser has also provided, and continues to provide, managerial assistance and other services to our portfolio companies and may receive fees for services other than managerial assistance. 50% of certain of these fees and 100% of other fees are credited against the base management fee that we would otherwise be required to pay to the Adviser.

Incentive Fee

The incentive fee consists of two parts: an income-based incentive fee and a capital gains-based incentive fee. The income-based incentive fee rewards the Adviser if our quarterly net investment income (before giving effect to any incentive fee) exceeds 1.75% of our net assets (the hurdle rate). We will pay the Adviser an income-based incentive fee with respect to our pre-incentive fee net investment income in each calendar quarter as follows:

no incentive fee in any calendar quarter in which our pre-incentive fee net investment income does not exceed the hurdle rate (7.0% annualized);

100% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income with respect to that portion of such pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 2.1875% in any calendar quarter (8.75% annualized); and

20% of the amount of our pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds 2.1875% in any calendar quarter (8.75% annualized).

The second part of the incentive fee is a capital gains-based incentive fee that will be determined and payable in arrears as of the end of each fiscal year (or upon termination of the Advisory Agreement, as of the termination date) and equals 20% of our realized capital gains as of the end of the fiscal year. In determining the capital gains-based incentive fee payable to the Adviser, we will calculate the cumulative aggregate realized capital gains and cumulative aggregate realized capital losses since our inception, and the aggregate unrealized capital depreciation as of the date of the calculation, as applicable, with respect to each of the investments in our portfolio. For this purpose, cumulative aggregate realized capital gains, if any, equals the sum of the differences between the net sales price of each investment, when sold, and the original cost of such investment since our inception. Cumulative aggregate realized capital losses equals the sum of the amounts by which the net sales price of each investment, when sold, is less than the original cost of such investment since our inception. Aggregate unrealized capital depreciation equals the sum of the difference, if negative, between the valuation of each investment as of the applicable calculation date and the original cost of such investment. At the end of the applicable year, the amount of capital gains that serves as the basis for our calculation of the capital gains-based incentive fee equals the cumulative aggregate realized capital gains less cumulative aggregate realized capital losses, less aggregate unrealized capital depreciation, with respect to our portfolio of investments. If this number is positive at the end of such year, then the capital gains-based incentive fee for such year equals 20% of such amount, less the aggregate amount of any capital gains-based incentive fees paid in respect of our portfolio in all prior years. No capital gains-based incentive fee has been recorded since our inception through September 30, 2012, as cumulative unrealized capital depreciation has exceeded cumulative realized capital gains net of cumulative realized capital losses.

Additionally, in accordance with GAAP, a capital gains-based incentive fee accrual is calculated using the aggregate cumulative realized capital gains and losses and aggregate cumulative unrealized capital depreciation included in the calculation of the capital gains-based incentive fee plus the aggregate cumulative unrealized capital appreciation. If such amount is positive at the end of a period, then GAAP requires us to record a capital gains-based incentive fee equal to 20% of such amount, less the aggregate amount of actual capital gains-based incentive fees paid in all prior years. If such amount is negative, then there is no accrual for such year. GAAP requires that the capital gains-based incentive fee accrual consider the cumulative aggregate unrealized capital appreciation in the calculation, as a capital gains-based incentive fee would be payable if such unrealized capital appreciation were realized. There can be no assurance that such unrealized capital appreciation will be realized in the future. No GAAP accrual for a capital gains-based incentive fee has been recorded since our inception through September 30, 2012.

As a BDC, we make available significant managerial assistance to our portfolio companies and provide other services to such portfolio companies. Although neither we nor our Adviser receive fees in connection with managerial assistance, the Adviser provides other services to our portfolio companies and receives fees for these other services.

Table of Contents*Administration Agreement*

We have entered into an administration agreement (the Administration Agreement) with Gladstone Administration, LLC (the Administrator), an affiliate of the Adviser, whereby we pay separately for administrative services. The Administration Agreement provides for payments equal to our allocable portion of the Administrator's overhead expenses in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement, including, but not limited to, rent and the salaries and benefits expenses of our chief financial officer and treasurer, chief compliance officer, internal counsel and their respective staffs. Our allocable portion of administrative expenses is generally derived by multiplying the Administrator's total allocable expenses by the percentage of our total assets at the beginning of the quarter in comparison to the total assets at the beginning of the quarter of all companies managed by the Adviser under similar agreements. On July 10, 2012, our Board of Directors approved the renewal of the Administration Agreement through August 31, 2013.

Related Party Fees Due

Amounts due to related parties on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities* were as follows:

| | As of September 30, 2012 | As of March 31, 2012 |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Net base management fee due (from) to Adviser | \$ (156) | \$ 295 |
| Loan servicing fee due to Adviser | 234 | 218 |
| Incentive fee due to (from) Adviser | 541 | (54) |
| Other due (from) to Adviser | (24) | 37 |
| Total fees due to Adviser | \$ 595 | \$ 496 |
| Fee due to Administrator | \$ 189 | \$ 218 |
| Total related party fees due | \$ 784 | \$ 714 |

NOTE 5. BORROWINGS*Line of Credit*

On April 14, 2009, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Business Investment, we entered into a second amended and restated credit agreement providing for a \$50.0 million revolving line of credit (the Credit Facility) arranged by Branch Banking and Trust Company (BB&T) as administrative agent. Key Equipment Finance Inc. also joined the Credit Facility as a committed lender.

On April 13, 2010, we entered into a third amended and restated credit agreement, which extended the maturity date of the Credit Facility to April 13, 2012. Advances under the Credit Facility generally bear interest at the 30-day London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) (subject to a minimum rate of 2.0%), plus 4.5% per annum, with a commitment fee of 0.50% per annum on undrawn amounts when advances outstanding are above 50.0% of the commitment and 1.0% on undrawn amounts if the advances outstanding are below 50.0% of the commitment.

On October 26, 2011, we entered into a fourth amended and restated credit agreement to increase the commitment amount under the Credit Facility to \$60.0 million, reduce the interest rate and extend the maturity date. Subject to certain terms and conditions, the Credit Facility may be expanded up to a total of \$175.0 million through the addition of other committed lenders to the facility. The Credit Facility matures on October 25, 2014 (the Maturity Date), and, if not renewed or extended by the Maturity Date, all principal and interest will be due and payable on or before October 25, 2015 (one year after the Maturity Date). Advances under the Credit Facility will generally bear interest at 30-day LIBOR, plus 3.75% per annum, with an unused fee of 0.50% on undrawn amounts. There are two one-year extension options, to be agreed upon by all parties, which may be exercised, subject to compliance with the covenants set forth in the credit agreement, on or before October 26, 2012 and October 26, 2013, as applicable.

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

Refer to Note 13, *Subsequent Events*, for a description of the first of the one-year extension options, which was exercised subsequent to September 30, 2012.

The following tables summarize noteworthy information related to our Credit Facility:

| | As of September 30, 2012 | As of March 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Commitment amount | \$ 60,000 | \$ 60,000 |
| Borrowings outstanding at cost | 56,000 | |
| Availability | 2,900 | 58,399 |

Table of Contents

| | For the Three Months Ended September 30, | | For the Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|----------|---|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Weighted average borrowings outstanding | \$ 39,022 | \$ 6,913 | \$ 20,011 | \$ 3,475 |
| Effective interest rate ^(A) | 4.4% | 13.0% | 5.1% | 20.2% |
| Commitment (unused) fees incurred | \$ 27 | \$ 110 | \$ 102 | \$ 237 |

(A) Excludes the impact of deferred financing fees.

Interest is payable monthly during the term of the Credit Facility. Available borrowings are subject to various constraints imposed under the Credit Facility, based on the aggregate loan balance pledged by Business Investment, which varies as loans are added and repaid, regardless of whether such repayments are prepayments or made as contractually required.

The administrative agent also requires that any interest or principal payments on pledged loans be remitted directly by the borrower into a lockbox account with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A as custodian. BB&T is the trustee of the account and remits the collected funds to us once a month.

The Credit Facility contains covenants that require Business Investment to, among other things, maintain its status as a separate legal entity, prohibit certain significant corporate transactions (such as mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions) and restrict certain material changes to our credit and collection policies without the lenders' consent. The Credit Facility also limits payments on distributions to the aggregate net investment income for each of the twelve-month periods ending March 31, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015. Business Investment is also subject to certain limitations on the type of loan investments it can apply toward availability credit in the borrowing base, including restrictions on geographic concentrations, sector concentrations, loan size, dividend payout, payment frequency and status, average life and lien property. The Credit Facility further requires Business Investment to comply with other financial and operational covenants, which obligate Business Investment to, among other things, maintain certain financial ratios, including asset and interest coverage and a minimum number of obligors required in the borrowing base of the credit agreement. Additionally, we are subject to a performance guaranty that requires us to maintain (i) a minimum net worth (defined in the Credit Facility to include our Term Preferred Stock) of \$155.0 million plus 50% of all equity and subordinated debt raised after October 26, 2011, (ii) asset coverage with respect to senior securities representing indebtedness of at least 200%, in accordance with Section 18 of the 1940 Act and (iii) our status as a BDC under the 1940 Act and as a RIC under the Code. As of September 30, 2012, and as defined in the performance guaranty of our Credit Facility, we had a minimum net worth of \$237.2 million, an asset coverage of 210% and an active status as a BDC and RIC. Our Credit Facility requires a minimum of 12 obligors in the borrowing base, and, as of September 30, 2012, Business Investment had 17 obligors. As of September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all of the Credit Facility covenants.

Short-Term Loan

Similar to previous quarter ends, to maintain our status as a RIC, we purchased \$80.0 million of short-term U.S. Treasury Bills (T-Bills) through Jefferies & Company, Inc. (Jefferies) on September 25, 2012. As these T-Bills have a maturity of less than three months, we consider them to be cash equivalents and include them in cash and cash equivalents on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities* as of September 30, 2012. The T-Bills were purchased on margin using \$8.5 million in cash and the proceeds from a \$71.5 million short-term loan from Jefferies with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 1.42%. On October 4, 2012, when the T-Bills matured, we repaid the \$71.5 million loan from Jefferies and we received back the \$8.5 million margin payment sent to Jefferies to complete the transaction.

Secured Borrowing

In August 2012, we entered into a participation agreement with a third-party related to \$5.0 million of our senior subordinated term debt investment in Ginsey. We evaluated whether the transaction should be accounted for as a sale or a financing-type transaction under the applicable guidance of ASC 860. Based on the terms of the participation agreement, we are required to treat the participation as a financing-type transaction. Specifically, the third-party has a senior claim to our remaining investment in the event of default by Ginsey which, in part, resulted in the loan participation bearing a rate of interest lower than the contractual rate established at origination. Therefore, our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities* reflects the entire senior subordinated term debt investment in Ginsey and a corresponding \$5.0 million secured borrowing liability. The secured borrowing has an effective interest rate of 7% and a maturity date of January 3, 2018.

Fair Value

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

We elected to apply ASC 825, Financial Instruments, specifically for our Credit Facility and short-term loan, which was consistent with the application of ASC 820 to our investments. Generally, we estimate the fair value of our Credit Facility using estimates of value provided by an independent third party and our own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including estimated remaining life, counterparty credit risk, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. Additionally, due to the eight-day duration of the short-term loan, cost was deemed to approximate fair value. At each of September 30 and March 31, 2012, all of our borrowings were valued using Level 3 inputs. The following tables present the short-term loan and

Table of Contents

Credit Facility carried at fair value as of September 30 and March 31, 2012, by caption on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities* for Level 3 of the hierarchy established by ASC 820 and a roll-forward of the changes in fair value during the three and six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | Level 3 Borrowings | |
|-----------------|---|-----------------------|
| | Total Recurring Fair Value Measurement | |
| | Reported in Condensed Consolidated | |
| | Statements of Assets and Liabilities | |
| | September 30, 2012 | March 31, 2012 |
| Short-Term Loan | \$ 71,525 | \$ 76,005 |
| Credit Facility | 57,209 | |
| Total | \$ 128,734 | \$ 76,005 |

Fair Value Measurements of Borrowings Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

| | Short-Term Loan | Credit Facility | Total |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Three months ended September 30, 2012: | | | |
| Fair value at June 30, 2012 | \$ 76,010 | \$ 31,492 | \$ 107,502 |
| Borrowings | 71,525 | 60,000 | 131,525 |
| Repayments | (76,010) | (35,000) | (111,010) |
| Net unrealized appreciation ^(A) | | 717 | 717 |
| Fair value at September 30, 2012 | \$ 71,525 | \$ 57,209 | \$ 128,734 |
| Six months ended September 30, 2012: | | | |
| Fair value at March 31, 2012 | \$ 76,005 | \$ | \$ 76,005 |
| Borrowings | 147,535 | 91,000 | 238,535 |
| Repayments | (152,015) | (35,000) | (187,015) |
| Net unrealized appreciation ^(A) | | 1,209 | 1,209 |
| Fair value at September 30, 2012 | \$ 71,525 | \$ 57,209 | \$ 128,734 |
| Three months ended September 30, 2011: | | | |
| Fair value at June 30, 2011 | \$ 40,000 | \$ | \$ 40,000 |
| Borrowings | 62,501 | 21,500 | 84,001 |
| Repayments | (40,000) | (500) | (40,500) |
| Net unrealized appreciation ^(A) | | 405 | 405 |
| Fair value at September 30, 2011 | \$ 62,501 | \$ 21,405 | \$ 83,906 |
| Six months ended September 30, 2011: | | | |
| Fair value at March 31, 2011 | \$ 40,000 | \$ | \$ 40,000 |
| Borrowings | 102,501 | 21,500 | 124,001 |
| Repayments | (80,000) | (500) | (80,500) |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Net unrealized appreciation ^(A) | | 405 | 405 |
| Fair value at September 30, 2011 | \$ 62,501 | \$ 21,405 | \$ 83,906 |

^(A) Included in net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations* for periods ended September 30, 2012.

The fair value of the collateral under our Credit Facility was approximately \$254.4 million and \$228.3 million at September 30 and March 31, 2012, respectively. The fair value of the collateral under the short-term loan was approximately \$80.0 million and \$85.0 million at September 30 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

NOTE 6. INTEREST RATE CAP AGREEMENTS

We have entered into multiple interest rate cap agreements with BB&T that effectively limit the interest rate on a portion of our borrowings under the line of credit pursuant to the terms of our Credit Facility. The agreements provide that the interest rate on a portion of our borrowings is capped at a certain interest rate when 30-day LIBOR is in excess of that certain interest rate. The fair value of the interest rate cap agreements is recorded in other assets on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities*. We record changes in the fair value of the interest rate cap agreements quarterly based on the current market valuations at quarter end as net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of other on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*. Generally, we will estimate the fair value of our interest rate caps using estimates of value provided by the

Table of Contents

counterparty and our own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including estimated remaining life, counterparty credit risk, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. At both September 30 and March 31, 2012, our interest rate cap agreement(s) were valued using Level 3 inputs. The following table summarizes the key terms of each interest rate cap agreement:

| Interest Rate Cap ^(A) | Notional Amount | LIBOR Cap | Effective Date | Maturity Date | As of September 30, 2012 | | As of March 31, 2012 | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | Cost | Fair Value | Cost | Fair Value |
| April 2010 | \$ 45,000 | 6.0% | May 2011 | May 2012 | \$ ^(B) | \$ | \$ 41 | \$ |
| December 2011 | 50,000 | 6.0 | May 2012 | October 2013 | 29 | 1 | 29 | 2 |

^(A) Indicates date we entered into the interest rate cap agreement with BB&T.

^(B) In May 2012, upon expiration of the April 2010 cap, we recognized a realized loss of \$41.

The use of a cap agreement involves risks that are different from those associated with ordinary portfolio securities transactions. Cap agreements may be considered to be illiquid. Although we will not enter into any such agreements unless we believe that the other party to the transaction is creditworthy, we do bear the risk of loss of the amount expected to be received under such agreements in the event of default or bankruptcy of the agreement counterparty.

NOTE 7. MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK

On March 6, 2012, we completed a public offering of 1,400,000 shares of 7.125% Series A Cumulative Term Preferred Stock (our Term Preferred Stock) at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share. Gross proceeds totaled \$35.0 million and net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses borne by us, were \$33.2 million, a portion of which was used to repay borrowings under our Credit Facility, with the remaining proceeds being held to make additional investments and for general corporate purposes. In connection with the offering, the underwriters exercised their option to purchase an additional 200,000 shares of our Term Preferred Stock to cover over-allotments, which resulted in gross proceeds of \$5.0 million and net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts, of \$4.8 million. These proceeds are also being held to make additional investments and for general corporate purposes. We incurred \$2.0 million in total offering costs related to these transactions, which have been recorded as deferred financing costs on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities* and will be amortized over the redemption period ending February 28, 2017.

The shares have a redemption date of February 28, 2017, and are traded under the ticker symbol GAINP on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. The Term Preferred Stock is not convertible into our common stock or any other security. The Term Preferred Stock provides for a fixed dividend equal to 7.125% per year, payable monthly (which equates to approximately \$2.9 million per year). We are required to redeem all of the outstanding Term Preferred Stock on February 28, 2017, for cash at a redemption price equal to \$25.00 per share, plus an amount equal to accumulated but unpaid dividends, if any, to, but excluding, the date of redemption. In addition, there are three other potential redemption triggers: 1) upon the occurrence of certain events that would constitute a change in control of us, we would be required to redeem all of the outstanding Term Preferred Stock, 2) if we fail to maintain an asset coverage ratio of at least 200%, we are required to redeem a portion of the outstanding Term Preferred Stock or otherwise cure the ratio redemption trigger and 3) at our sole option, at any time on or after February 28, 2016, we may redeem some or all of the Term Preferred Stock.

Our Board of Directors declared the following monthly distributions to preferred stockholders for the six months ended September 30, 2012:

| Fiscal Year | Time Period | Declaration Date | Record Date | Payment Date | Distribution per Term Preferred Share |
|----------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| 2013 | April 1 - 30 | April 11, 2012 | April 20, 2012 | April 30, 2012 | \$ 0.1484375 |
| | May 1 - 31 | April 11, 2012 | May 18, 2012 | May 31, 2012 | 0.1484375 |
| | June 1 - 30 | April 11, 2012 | June 20, 2012 | June 29, 2012 | 0.1484375 |
| | July 1 - 31 | July 10, 2012 | July 20, 2012 | July 31, 2012 | 0.1484375 |
| | August 1 - 31 | July 10, 2012 | August 22, 2012 | August 31, 2012 | 0.1484375 |
| | September 1 - 30 | July 10, 2012 | September 19, 2012 | September 28, 2012 | 0.1484375 |

| | | |
|---|-----------|------------------|
| Six months ended September 30, 2012: | \$ | 0.8906250 |
|---|-----------|------------------|

In accordance with ASC 480, Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity, mandatorily redeemable financial instruments should be classified as liabilities on the balance sheet and, therefore, the related dividend payments are treated as dividend expense on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations* at the ex-dividend date.

Aggregate Term Preferred Stock distributions declared and paid for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012 were approximately \$0.7 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. The tax character of distributions paid by us to preferred stockholders is from ordinary income.

Table of Contents**NOTE 8. COMMON STOCK**

We filed a registration statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-181879) with the SEC on June 4, 2012, and subsequently filed a Pre-effective Amendment No. 1 to the registration statement on July 17, 2012, which the SEC declared effective on July 26, 2012. The registration statement permits us to issue, through one or more transactions, up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million in securities, consisting of common stock, preferred stock, subscription rights, debt securities and warrants to purchase common stock, including through a combined offering of two or more of such securities.

Refer to Note 13, *Subsequent Events*, for a description of our common stock offering which occurred subsequent to September 30, 2012.

NOTE 9. NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS PER COMMON SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net (decrease) increase in net assets resulting from operations per weighted average common share for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Numerator for basic and diluted net (decrease) increase in net assets resulting from operations per common share | \$ (353) | \$ 12,695 | \$ (3,369) | \$ 16,883 |
| Denominator for basic and diluted weighted average common shares | 22,080,133 | 22,080,133 | 22,080,133 | 22,080,133 |
| Basic and diluted net (decrease) increase in net assets resulting from operations per average common share | \$ (0.02) | \$ 0.57 | \$ (0.15) | \$ 0.76 |

NOTE 10. DISTRIBUTIONS TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS

We are required to pay out as distributions 90% of our ordinary income and short-term capital gains for each taxable year to maintain our status as a RIC under Subtitle A, Chapter 1 of Subchapter M of the Code. The amount to be paid out as a distribution is determined by our Board of Directors each quarter and is based on our estimated taxable income by management. Based on that estimate, three monthly distributions are declared each quarter.

Our Board of Directors declared the following monthly distributions to common stockholders for the six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| Fiscal Year | Declaration Date | Record Date | Payment Date | Distribution per Common Share |
|---|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2013 | April 11, 2012 | April 20, 2012 | April 30, 2012 | \$ 0.050 |
| | April 11, 2012 | May 18, 2012 | May 31, 2012 | 0.050 |
| | April 11, 2012 | June 20, 2012 | June 29, 2012 | 0.050 |
| | July 10, 2012 | July 20, 2012 | July 31, 2012 | 0.050 |
| | July 10, 2012 | August 22, 2012 | August 31, 2012 | 0.050 |
| | July 10, 2012 | September 19, 2012 | September 28, 2012 | 0.050 |
| Six months ended September 30, 2012: | | | | \$ 0.300 |
| 2012 | April 12, 2011 | April 22, 2011 | April 29, 2011 | \$ 0.045 |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| April 12, 2011 | May 20, 2011 | May 31, 2011 | 0.045 |
| April 12, 2011 | June 20, 2011 | June 30, 2011 | 0.045 |
| July 12, 2012 | July 22, 2012 | July 29, 2012 | 0.050 |
| July 12, 2012 | August 19, 2012 | August 31, 2012 | 0.050 |
| July 12, 2012 | September 22, 2012 | September 30, 2012 | 0.050 |

Six months ended September 30, 2011: \$ 0.285

Aggregate common distributions declared quarterly and paid for the six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were approximately \$6.6 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, which were declared based on estimates of net investment income for the respective fiscal years. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, taxable income available for common distributions exceeded distributions declared and paid, and, in accordance with Section 855(a) of the Code, we elected to treat \$0.7 million of the first common distribution paid in fiscal year 2013 as having been paid in the prior year.

Table of Contents**NOTE 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

At September 30, 2012, we have lines of credit commitments to certain of our portfolio companies that have not been fully drawn. Since these lines of credit have expiration dates and we expect many will never be fully drawn, the total line of credit commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

In addition to the lines of credit to certain portfolio companies, we have also extended certain guarantees on behalf of some of our portfolio companies. As of September 30, 2012, we have not been required to make any payments on the guarantees discussed below, and we consider the credit risk to be remote and the fair values of the guarantees to be minimal.

In October 2008, we executed a guarantee of a vehicle finance facility agreement (the *Finance Facility*) between Ford Motor Credit Company (*Ford*) and ASH. The Finance Facility provides ASH with a line of credit of up to \$0.5 million for component Ford parts used by ASH to build truck bodies under a separate contract. Ford retains title and ownership of the parts. The guarantee of the Finance Facility will expire upon termination of the separate parts supply contract with Ford or upon replacement of us as guarantor.

In February 2010, we executed a guarantee of a wholesale financing facility agreement (the *Floor Plan Facility*) between Agricredit Acceptance, LLC (*Agricredit*) and CCE. The Floor Plan Facility provides CCE with financing of up to \$2.0 million to bridge the time and cash flow gap between the order and delivery of golf carts to customers. The guarantee was renewed in February 2011 and again in February 2012 and expires in February 2013, unless it is renewed again by us, CCE and Agricredit. In connection with this guarantee and its subsequent renewals, we recorded aggregate premiums of \$0.2 million from CCE.

In April 2010, we executed a guarantee of vendor recourse for up to \$2.0 million in individual customer transactions (the *Recourse Facility*) between Wells Fargo Financial Leasing, Inc. and CCE. The Recourse Facility provides CCE with the ability to provide vendor recourse up to a limit of \$2.0 million on transactions with long-time customers who lack the financial history to qualify for third-party financing. The terms to maturity of these individual transactions range from October 2014 to October 2016. In connection with this guarantee, we received aggregate premiums of \$0.1 million from CCE.

The following table summarizes the dollar balance of unused line of credit commitments and guarantees as of September 30 and March 31, 2012:

| | As of September 30, 2012 | As of March 31, 2012 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Unused line of credit commitments | \$ 1,450 | \$ 1,671 |
| Guarantees | 3,937 | 4,748 |
| Total | \$ 5,387 | \$ 6,419 |

Escrow Holdbacks

From time to time, we will enter into arrangements relating to exits of certain investments whereby specific amounts of the proceeds are held in escrow to be used to satisfy potential obligations, as stipulated in the sales agreements. We record escrow amounts in restricted cash on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities*. We establish a contingent liability against the escrow amounts if we determine that it is probable and estimable that a portion of the escrow amounts will not be ultimately received at the end of the escrow period. The aggregate contingent liability recorded against the escrow amounts was \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million as of September 30 and March 31, 2012, respectively, and is included in other liabilities on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities*.

NOTE 12. FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------|---------------|------------------|---------|
| | Ended | | September 30, | |
| | September 30, | September 30, | 2012 | 2011 |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Per Common Share Data | | | | |
| Net asset value at beginning of period ^(A) | \$ 9.10 | \$ 9.06 | \$ 9.38 | \$ 9.00 |
| Net investment income ^(B) | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| Realized gain (loss) on sale of investments and other ^(B) | 0.03 | (0.03) | 0.03 | 0.23 |
| Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investments and other ^(B) | (0.21) | 0.45 | (0.49) | 0.22 |
| Total from investment operations ^(B) | (0.02) | 0.57 | (0.15) | 0.76 |
| Cash distributions from net investment income ^{(B)(C)} | (0.15) | (0.15) | (0.30) | (0.28) |
| Net asset value at end of period ^(A) | \$ 8.93 | \$ 9.48 | \$ 8.93 | \$ 9.48 |

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Per share market value at beginning of period | \$ 7.40 | \$ 7.18 | \$ 7.57 | \$ 7.79 |
| Per share market value at end of period | 7.82 | 6.80 | 7.82 | 6.80 |
| Total return ^(D) | 7.79% | (3.21)% | 7.53% | (9.16)% |
| Shares outstanding at end of period | 22,080,133 | 22,080,133 | 22,080,133 | 22,080,133 |
| Statement of Assets and Liabilities Data: | | | | |
| Net assets at end of period | \$ 197,223 | \$ 209,419 | \$ 197,223 | \$ 209,419 |
| Average net assets ^(E) | 200,182 | 202,101 | 202,520 | 200,213 |
| Senior Securities Data^(F): | | | | |
| Total borrowings, at cost | \$ 132,525 | \$ 83,501 | \$ 132,525 | \$ 83,501 |
| Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock | 40,000 | | 40,000 | |
| Asset coverage ratio ^(G) | 210% | 332% | 210% | 332% |
| Average coverage per unit ^(H) | \$ 2,101 | \$ 3,325 | \$ 2,101 | \$ 3,325 |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data: | | | | |
| Ratio of expenses to average net assets ^{(I)(J)} | 8.07% | 4.43% | 6.80% | 4.21% |
| Ratio of net expenses to average net assets ^{(I)(K)} | 7.04 | 3.41 | 6.11 | 3.48 |
| Ratio of net investment income to average net assets ^(I) | 6.90 | 6.55 | 6.61 | 6.80 |

(A) Based on actual common shares outstanding at the end of the corresponding period.

(B) Based on weighted average per basic common share data.

(C) Distributions are determined based on taxable income calculated in accordance with income tax regulations, which may differ from amounts determined under GAAP.

(D) Total return equals the change in the market value of our common stock from the beginning of the period, taking into account dividends reinvested in accordance with the terms of our dividend reinvestment plan. Total return does not take into account distributions that may be characterized as a return of capital. For further information on the estimated character of our distributions to common stockholders, please refer to Note 10 *Distributions to Common Stockholders*.

(E) Calculated using the average balance of net assets at the end of each month of the reporting period.

(F) The 1940 Act currently permits us to issue senior securities representing indebtedness and senior securities that are stock, to which we refer to as senior securities.

(G) As a BDC, we are generally required to maintain an asset coverage ratio (as defined in Section 18(h) of the 1940 Act) of at least 200% on our senior securities representing indebtedness and our senior securities that are stock. Our mandatorily redeemable preferred stock is a senior security that is stock.

(H) Asset coverage per unit is the asset coverage ratio expressed in terms of dollar amounts per one thousand dollars of indebtedness.

(I) Amounts are annualized.

(J) Ratio of expenses to average net assets is computed using expenses before credits from the Adviser.

(K) Ratio of net expenses to average net assets is computed using total expenses net of any credits received from the Adviser.

NOTE 13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS*Common Stock Offering*

On October 5, 2012, we completed a public offering of 4.0 million shares of our common stock at a public offering price of \$7.50 per share. Gross proceeds totaled \$30.0 million and net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses borne by us, were \$28.3 million, which was used to repay borrowings under our Credit Facility. In connection with the offering, we granted the underwriters a 30-day option (expiring November 1, 2012) to purchase up to an additional 0.6 million shares at the public offering price, less underwriting discounts and commissions, to cover over-allotments, if any. The underwriters have not exercised their option as of October 26, 2012.

Short-Term Loan

On September 25, 2012, we purchased \$80.0 million of T-Bills through Jefferies. The T-Bills were purchased on margin using \$8.5 million in cash and the proceeds from a \$71.5 million short-term loan from Jefferies with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 1.42%. On

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

October 4, 2012, when the T-Bills matured, we repaid the \$71.5 million loan from Jefferies and received the \$8.5 million margin payment sent to Jefferies to complete the transaction.

Credit Facility Extension

On October 5, 2012, we, through Business Investment, entered into an amendment (the *Amendment*) to our Credit Facility, which extended the maturity date on the Credit Facility one year. As a result of the Amendment, the Credit Facility is now scheduled to mature on October 25, 2015 (the *Extended Maturity Date*) and, if not renewed or extended by the Extended Maturity Date, all principal and interest will be due and payable on or before October 25, 2016 (one year after the Extended Maturity Date). There remains a one-year extension option to be agreed upon by all parties, which may be exercised on or before October 26, 2013. All other terms of our Credit Facility remained the same.

Table of Contents*Additional Independent Director*

In October 2012, our Board of Directors appointed Terry Earhart as a new independent director to our board after extending the Board's size from 9 to 10 directors. Mr. Earhart was also appointed a member of our compensation and ethics, nominating and corporate governance committees.

Distributions

On October 10, 2012, our Board of Directors declared the following monthly cash distributions to common and preferred stockholders:

| Record Date | Payment Date | Distribution per Common Share | Distribution per Term Preferred Share |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| October 22, 2012 | October 31, 2012 | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.1484375 |
| November 19, 2012 | November 30, 2012 | 0.05 | 0.1484375 |
| December 19, 2012 | December 31, 2012 | 0.05 | 0.1484375 |
| Total for the Quarter: | | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.4453125 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

All statements contained herein, other than historical facts, may constitute forward-looking statements. These statements may relate to, among other things, future events or our future performance or financial condition. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as estimate, may, might, believe, will, provided, anticipate, future, could, growth, plan, should, would, if, seek, possible, potential, likely or the negative of such terms or comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, after the date of this Form 10-Q.

The following analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto contained elsewhere in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012.

OVERVIEW

General

We were incorporated under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware on February 18, 2005. We were established for the purpose of investing in debt and equity securities of established private businesses in the United States (U.S.). These investments primarily come in the form of senior debt securities, senior subordinated debt securities, junior subordinated debt securities, preferred stock, common stock and warrants to purchase common stock of small and medium-sized companies in connection with buyouts and other recapitalizations. To a much lesser extent, we also invest in senior and subordinated syndicated loans. Our investment objective is to generate both current income and capital gains through these debt and equity instruments. We operate as a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company and have elected to be treated as a business development company (BDC) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). In addition, for tax purposes, we have elected to be treated as a regulated investment company (RIC) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code).

We focus on investing in small and medium-sized private U.S. businesses that meet certain criteria, including some but not all of the following: the potential for growth in cash flow, adequate assets for loan collateral, experienced management teams with a significant ownership interest in the borrower, profitable operations based on the borrower's cash flow, reasonable capitalization of the borrower (usually by leveraged buyout funds or venture capital funds) and the potential to realize appreciation and gain liquidity in our equity position, if any. We anticipate that liquidity in our equity position will be achieved through a merger or acquisition of the borrower, a public offering of the borrower's stock or by exercising our right to require the borrower to repurchase our warrants, though there can be no assurance that we will always have these rights. We lend to borrowers that need funds to finance growth, restructure their balance sheets or effect a change of control. We invest by ourselves or jointly with other funds and/or management of the portfolio company, depending on the opportunity. If we are participating in an investment with one or more co-investors, our investment is likely to be smaller than if we were investing alone.

Business Environment

The strength of the global economy, and the U.S. economy in particular, continues to be uncertain and volatile, and we remain cautious about a long-term economic recovery. The recession in general, and the disruptions in the capital markets in particular, have impacted our liquidity options and increased the cost of debt and equity capital. Many of our portfolio companies, as well as those that we evaluate for possible investments, are impacted by these economic conditions. If these conditions persist, it may affect their ability to repay our loans or engage in a liquidity event, such as a sale, recapitalization or initial public offering.

Capital Raising Efforts

Despite the challenges in these uncertain economic times, over the past year, we have been able to complete a renewal, extension and increase in borrowing capacity under our line of credit (our Credit Facility), a preferred stock public offering and a common stock public offering. In October 2011, we entered into a fourth amended and restated credit agreement that increased our Credit Facility's commitment amount to \$60.0 million, reduced the interest rate and extended the maturity until 2014. In October 2012, we extended the maturity date on our Credit Facility an additional year to 2015. In March 2012, we issued 1.6 million shares of 7.125% Series A Cumulative Term Preferred Stock (our Term Preferred Stock) for gross proceeds of \$40.0 million. In October 2012, we issued 4.0 million shares of common stock for gross proceeds of \$30.0 million. We discuss each of the foregoing in detail below under *Recent Developments*.

Table of Contents

Despite our October public offering of common stock, market conditions continue to affect the trading price of our common stock and thus our ability to finance new investments through the issuance of equity. On October 26, 2012, the closing market price of our common stock was \$7.43, which represented a 16.8% discount to our September 30, 2012, net asset value (NAV) per share of \$8.93. When our stock trades below NAV, our ability to issue equity is constrained by provisions of the 1940 Act, which generally prohibits the issuance and sale of our common stock at an issuance price below NAV per share without stockholder approval other than through sales to our then-existing stockholders pursuant to a rights offering.

At our annual meeting of stockholders held on August 9, 2012, our stockholders approved a proposal which authorizes us to sell shares of our common stock at a price below our then current NAV per share, subject to certain limitations, including that the cumulative number of shares issued and sold pursuant to such authority does not exceed 25% of our then outstanding common stock immediately prior to each such sale, provided that our Board of Directors makes certain determinations prior to any such sale. This proposal is in effect for one year from the date of stockholder approval. With our Board of Directors' approval, we issued shares of our common stock in October 2012 at a price per share below NAV per share. The resulting proceeds, in part, will allow us to grow the portfolio by making new investments, generate additional income through these new investments and provide us additional equity capital to help ensure continued compliance with regulatory tests and allow us to increase our debt capital while still complying with our applicable debt to equity ratios. At our next annual meeting of stockholders, scheduled to take place in August 2013, we expect to ask our stockholders to vote in favor of this proposal again so that it may be in effect for another year.

New Investments

While conditions remain challenging, we are seeing an increase in the number of new investment opportunities consistent with our investing strategy of providing a combination of debt and equity in support of management and sponsor-led buyouts of small and medium-sized companies in the U.S. These opportunities and the aforementioned capital raising efforts have allowed us to invest approximately \$167.2 million into nine new proprietary debt and equity deals since October 2010. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we invested \$22.5 million in Ginsey Holdings, Inc. (Ginsey) and \$21.3 million in Drew Foam Companies, Inc. (Drew Foam).

Each of these new investments, as well as the majority of our debt securities in our portfolio has a success fee component, which enhances the yield on our debt investment. Unlike paid in kind (PIK) income, we do not recognize the fee into income until it is received in cash. As a result, as of September 30, 2012, we have an off-balance sheet success fee receivable of \$11.0 million, or approximately \$0.50 per common share. There is no guarantee that we will be able to collect any or all of our success fee receivables due to their contingent nature. It is also impossible to predict the timing of such collections.

Regulatory Compliance

Due to the limited number of investments in our portfolio, our current asset composition has affected our ability to satisfy certain elements of the Code's rules for maintenance of our RIC status. To maintain our status as a RIC, in addition to other requirements, as of the close of each quarter of our taxable year, we must meet the asset diversification test, which requires that at least 50% of the value of our assets consist of cash, cash items, U.S. government securities or certain other qualified securities (the 50% threshold). During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, we again fell below the 50% threshold.

Failure to meet the 50% threshold alone will not result in our loss of RIC status. In circumstances where the failure to meet the 50% threshold is the result of fluctuations in the value of assets, including as a result of the sale of assets, we will still be deemed to have satisfied the 50% threshold and, therefore, maintain our RIC status, provided that we have not made any new investments, including additional investments in our existing portfolio companies (such as advances under outstanding lines of credit), since the time that we fell below the 50% threshold. At September 30, 2012, we satisfied the 50% threshold primarily through the purchase of short-term qualified securities, which was funded through a short-term loan agreement. Subsequent to the September 30, 2012 measurement date, the short-term qualified securities matured and we repaid the short-term loan. See Recent Developments Short-Term Loan for more information regarding this transaction. As of the date of this filing, we remain below the 50% threshold.

Thus, while we currently qualify as a RIC despite our recent inability to meet the 50% threshold and potential inability to do so in the future, if we make any new or additional investments before regaining compliance with the asset diversification test, our RIC status could be threatened. If we make a new or additional investment and fail to regain compliance with the 50% threshold on the next quarterly measurement date following such investment, we will be in non-compliance with the RIC rules and will have thirty days to cure our failure to meet the 50% threshold to avoid the loss of our RIC status. Potential cures for failure of the asset diversification test include raising additional equity or debt capital, or changing the composition of our assets, which could include full or partial divestitures of investments, such that we would once again exceed the 50% threshold on a consistent basis.

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

Until the composition of our assets satisfies the required 50% threshold on a consistent basis, we will continue to seek to employ similar purchases of qualified securities using short-term loans that would allow us to satisfy the 50% threshold, thereby allowing us to make additional investments. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to enter into such a transaction on reasonable terms, if at all. We also continue to explore a number of other strategies, including changing the composition of our assets,

Table of Contents

which could include full or partial divestitures of investments, and raising additional equity or debt capital, such that we would once again exceed the 50% threshold on a consistent basis. Our ability to implement any of these strategies will be subject to market conditions and a number of risks and uncertainties that are, in part, beyond our control.

Our ability to seek external debt financing, to the extent that it is available under current market conditions, is further subject to the asset coverage limitations of the 1940 Act, which require us to have an asset coverage ratio (as defined in Section 18(h) of the 1940 Act), of at least 200% on our senior securities. As of September 30, 2012, our asset coverage ratio was 210%. The ratio is impacted, in part, by our need to obtain a short-term loan at quarter end to satisfy the 50% threshold for our RIC status. Between the quarter end measurement dates, when we do not have a short-term loan outstanding, our leverage and asset coverage ratio improve. However, until the composition of our assets is above the required 50% threshold on a consistent basis, we will have to continue to obtain short-term loans on a quarterly basis. This strategy, while allowing us to satisfy the 50% threshold for our RIC status, limits our ability to use increased debt capital to make new investments, due to our asset coverage ratio limitations under the 1940 Act. Our common stock offering in October 2012, was completed, in part, to provide us additional equity capital to help ensure continued compliance with the 200% asset coverage ratio.

Investment Highlights

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we disbursed \$43.5 million in new debt and equity investments and extended \$7.0 million of investments to existing portfolio companies through revolver draws or additions to term notes. From our initial public offering in June 2005 through September 30, 2012, we have made 184 investments in 97 companies for a total of approximately \$779.7 million, before giving effect to principal repayments on investments and divestitures.

Investment Activity

During the six months ended September 30, 2012, the following significant transaction occurred:

In May 2012, we invested \$9.5 million in a new Affiliate investment, Packerland Whey Products, Inc. (Packerland), through a combination of debt and equity. Packerland, headquartered in Luxemburg, Wisconsin, is a processor of raw fluid whey, specializing in the production of protein supplements for dairy and beef cattle.

In July 2012, we invested \$21.3 million in a new Control investment, Drew Foam, through a combination of debt and equity. Drew Foam is an expanded polystyrene foam molder and fabricator for a variety of applications in construction and packaging. In September 2012, \$4.0 million of the debt and the line of credit was refinanced with a third-party.

In July 2012, we invested \$22.5 million in a new Control investment, Ginsey, through a combination of debt and equity. Ginsey, headquartered in Bellmawr, New Jersey, designs and markets a broad line of branded juvenile and adult bath products. In August 2012, we participated out \$5.0 million of the debt to a third-party.

In August 2012, we restructured our investment in Tread Corp. (Tread), converting \$3.0 million of senior subordinated debt into preferred and common shares of Tread in a non-cash transaction.

Recent Developments

Common Stock Offering

On October 5, 2012, we completed a public offering of 4.0 million shares of our common stock at a public offering price of \$7.50 per share. Gross proceeds totaled \$30.0 million and net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses borne by us, were \$28.3 million, which was used to repay borrowings under our Credit Facility. In connection with the offering, we granted the underwriters a 30-day option (expiring November 1, 2012) to purchase up to an additional 0.6 million shares at the public offering price, less underwriting discounts and commissions, to cover over-allotments, if any. The underwriters have not exercised their option as of October 26, 2012.

Short-Term Loan

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

For each quarter end since September 30, 2009 (the measurement dates), we satisfied the 50% threshold to maintain our status as a RIC, in part, through the purchase of short-term qualified securities, which were funded primarily through a short-term loan agreement. Subsequent to each of the measurement dates, the short-term qualified securities matured, and we repaid the short-term loan, at which time we again fell below the 50% threshold.

Therefore, similar to previous quarter ends, to maintain our status as a RIC, we purchased \$80.0 million of short-term United States Treasury Bills (T-Bills) through Jefferies & Company, Inc. (Jefferies) on September 25, 2012. The T-Bills were purchased on margin using \$8.5 million in cash and the proceeds from a \$71.5 million short-term loan from Jefferies with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 1.42%. On October 4, 2012, when the T-Bills matured, we repaid the \$71.5 million loan from Jefferies and received the \$8.5 million margin payment sent to Jefferies to complete the transaction.

Table of Contents

Credit Facility Extension

On October 5, 2012, we, through Business Investment, entered into an amendment (the *Amendment*) to our Credit Facility, which extended the maturity date on the Credit Facility one year. As a result of the Amendment, the Credit Facility is now scheduled to mature on October 25, 2015 (the *Extended Maturity Date*) and, if not renewed or extended by the Extended Maturity Date, all principal and interest will be due and payable on or before October 25, 2016 (one year after the Extended Maturity Date). There remains a one-year extension option to be agreed upon by all parties, which may be exercised on or before October 26, 2013. All other terms of our Credit Facility remained the same.

Term Preferred Stock Offering

On March 6, 2012, we completed an offering of 1.4 million shares of Term Preferred Stock at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share under our previous shelf registration statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-160720). Net proceeds of the offering, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses borne by us, were approximately \$33.2 million, a portion of which was used to repay borrowings under our Credit Facility, with the remaining proceeds being held to make additional investments and for general corporate purposes. On March 13, 2012, the underwriters purchased an additional 0.2 million of our Term Preferred Stock to cover over-allotments, for which we received net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts, of \$4.8 million.

Co-Investment Order

In an order dated July 26, 2012, the SEC granted us the relief sought in the exemptive application we had previously filed with the SEC that expands our ability to co-invest with certain affiliates by permitting us, under certain circumstances, to co-invest with Gladstone Capital Corporation and any future business development company or closed-end management investment company that is advised by our investment adviser, Gladstone Management Corporation, (our *Adviser*) (or sub-advised by our Adviser if it controls the fund) or any combination of the foregoing.

Modification to Investment Objectives and Strategies

On September 21, 2012, our Board of Directors approved limited revisions to our investment objectives and strategies, which will go into effect on or about January 1, 2013. All of our current portfolio investments fit within the scope of our revised objectives and strategies, and no changes will need to be made to the current portfolio as a result of the revision.

Our Adviser and Administrator

Investment Advisory and Management Agreement

Under the amended and restated investment advisory and management agreement with our Adviser (the *Advisory Agreement*), we pay our Adviser an annual base management fee of 2% of our average gross assets, which is defined as total assets, including investments made with proceeds of borrowings, less any uninvested cash or cash equivalents resulting from borrowings, valued at the end of the two most recently completed quarters and appropriately adjusted for any share issuances or repurchases during the current quarter.

We also pay our Adviser a two-part incentive fee under the Advisory Agreement. The first part of the incentive fee is an income-based incentive fee which rewards our Adviser if our quarterly net investment income (before giving effect to any incentive fee) exceeds 1.75% of our net assets (the *hurdle rate*). The second part of the incentive fee is a capital gains-based incentive fee that is determined and payable in arrears as of the end of each fiscal year (or upon termination of the Advisory Agreement, as of the termination date) and equals 20% of our realized capital gains as of the end of the fiscal year. In determining the capital gains-based incentive fee payable to our Adviser, we will calculate the cumulative aggregate realized capital gains and cumulative aggregate realized capital losses since our inception and the aggregate unrealized capital depreciation as of the date of the calculation, as applicable, with respect to each of the investments in our portfolio. The Adviser has not earned the capital gains-based portion of the incentive fee since our inception.

We pay our direct expenses, including, but not limited to, directors' fees, legal and accounting fees, stockholder-related expenses and directors and officers insurance under the Advisory Agreement.

Since April 2008, to the extent applicable, our Board of Directors has accepted from our Adviser unconditional and irrevocable voluntary waivers on a quarterly basis to reduce the annual 2.0% base management fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% to the extent that proceeds resulting from borrowings were used to purchase such syndicated loan participations. In addition to the base management and incentive fees under the Advisory Agreement, 50% of certain fees and 100% of others received by the Adviser from our portfolio companies are credited

against the investment advisory fee paid to the Adviser.

The Adviser also services our loan portfolio pursuant to a loan servicing agreement with Business Investment in return for a 2.0% annual fee, based on the monthly aggregate outstanding loan balance of the loans pledged under our Credit Facility.

Table of Contents

On July 10, 2012, our Board of Directors approved the renewal of the Advisory Agreement with our Adviser through August 31, 2013.

Administration Agreement

We have entered into an administration agreement with our Administrator (the Administration Agreement), whereby we pay separately for administrative services. The Administration Agreement provides for payments equal to our allocable portion of the Administrator's overhead expenses in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement, including, but not limited to, rent and salaries and benefits expenses of our chief financial officer and treasurer, chief compliance officer, internal counsel, investor relations and their respective staffs. Our allocable portion of expenses is generally derived by multiplying our Administrator's total allocable expenses by the percentage of our total assets at the beginning of the quarter in comparison to the total assets at the beginning of the quarter of all companies managed by the Adviser under similar agreements. On July 10, 2012, our Board of Directors approved the renewal of this Administration Agreement with our Administrator through August 31, 2013.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Comparison of the Three months ended September 30, 2012, to the Three months ended September 30, 2011

| | For the Three Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|--|--|------------------|--------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | \$ Change | % Change |
| INVESTMENT INCOME | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 6,461 | \$ 4,692 | \$ 1,769 | 37.7% |
| Other income | 513 | 342 | 171 | 50.0 |
| Total investment income | 6,974 | 5,034 | 1,940 | 38.5 |
| EXPENSES | | | | |
| Base management fee | 1,308 | 1,063 | 245 | 23.0 |
| Incentive fee | 541 | | 541 | NM |
| Administration fee | 189 | 135 | 54 | 40.0 |
| Interest and dividend expense | 1,197 | 233 | 964 | 413.7 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 203 | 108 | 95 | 88.0 |
| Other | 600 | 697 | (97) | (13.9) |
| Expenses before credits from Adviser | 4,038 | 2,236 | 1,802 | 80.6 |
| Credits to fees | (515) | (511) | (4) | 0.8 |
| Total expenses net of credits to fees | 3,523 | 1,725 | 1,798 | 104.2 |
| NET INVESTMENT INCOME | 3,451 | 3,309 | 142 | 4.3 |
| REALIZED AND UNREALIZED (LOSS) GAIN: | | | | |
| Net realized gain (loss) on investments | 798 | (544) | 1,342 | NM |
| Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investments | (3,884) | 10,337 | (14,221) | NM |
| Net unrealized depreciation of other | (718) | (407) | (311) | 76.4 |
| Net realized and unrealized (loss) gain on investments and other | (3,804) | 9,386 | (13,190) | NM |
| NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS | \$ (353) | \$ 12,695 | \$ (13,048) | NM |

NM = Not Meaningful

Investment Income

Total investment income increased by 38.5% for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period. This increase was primarily due an overall increase in interest income in the three months ended September 30, 2012, as a result of an increase in the size of our loan portfolio and holding higher-yielding debt investments during the three months ended September 30, 2012, as well as an increase in other income, which primarily consisted of success fee income resulting from prepayments received from Cavert II Holding Corp. (Cavert).

Interest income from our investments in debt securities increased 37.7% for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period. The level of interest income from investments is directly related to the principal balance of our interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. The weighted average principal balance of our interest-bearing investment portfolio during the three months ended September 30, 2012, was approximately \$204.7 million, compared to approximately \$152.3 million for the prior year period. This increase was primarily due to originating investments in Channel Technologies Group, LLC (Channel

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

Technologies), Packerland, Ginsey and Drew Foam, partially offset by the payoff of our debt investments in Quench Holdings Corp. (Quench) and American Greetings Corporation (AMG) and the restructuring of Country Club Enterprises, LLC (CCE) and Tread Corp. (Tread). At September 30, 2012 and 2011, loans to two portfolio companies, ASH Holdings Corp. (ASH) and CCE, were on non-accrual, with an aggregate weighted average principal balance of \$17.2 million and \$18.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The weighted average yield on our interest-bearing investments for the three months ended September 30, 2012, excluding cash and cash equivalents and receipts recorded as other income, was 12.5%, compared to 12.2% for the prior year period. The weighted average yield varies from period to period, based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments. The increase in the weighted average yield for the three months ended September 30, 2012, is a result of the exits of lower interest-bearing debt investments, such as Quench and AMG, which had an aggregate, weighted-average interest rate of 9.4% at the time of their respective exits, and the addition of higher-yielding debt investments in Channel Technologies, Packerland, Ginsey and Drew Foam, which had an aggregate, weighted average interest rate of 12.7% as of September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

The following table lists the investment income for our five largest portfolio company investments at fair value during the respective periods:

| Portfolio Company | As of September 30, 2012 | | Three months ended September 30, 2012 | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | % of Portfolio | Investment Income | % of Total Investment Income |
| SOG Specialty Knives and Tools, LLC | \$ 29,731 | 11.2% | \$ 670 | 9.6% |
| Acme Cryogenics, Inc. | 26,215 | 9.8 | 426 | 6.1 |
| VenYu Solutions, Inc. | 22,663 | 8.5 | 631 | 9.1 |
| Ginsey Holdings, Inc. ^(A) | 22,452 | 8.4 | 440 | 6.3 |
| SBS, Industries, LLC | 18,227 | 6.8 | 406 | 5.8 |
| Subtotal five largest investments | 119,288 | 44.7 | 2,573 | 36.9 |
| Other portfolio companies | 147,398 | 56.3 | 4,401 | 63.1 |
| Total investment portfolio | \$ 266,686 | 100.0% | \$ 6,974 | 100.0% |

| Portfolio Company | As of September 30, 2011 | | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | % of Portfolio | Investment Income | % of Total Investment Income |
| SOG Specialty Knives and Tools, LLC ^(A) | \$ 28,148 | 12.9% | \$ 393 | 7.8% |
| Acme Cryogenics, Inc. | 26,304 | 12.1 | 426 | 8.5 |
| VenYu Solutions, Inc. | 25,305 | 11.6 | 631 | 12.5 |
| Mitchell Rubber Products, Inc. | 16,618 | 7.6 | 450 | 8.9 |
| Cavert II Holding Corp. | 15,089 | 6.9 | 698 | 13.9 |
| Subtotal five largest investments | 111,464 | 51.1 | 2,598 | 51.6 |
| Other portfolio companies | 106,592 | 48.9 | 2,436 | 48.4 |
| Total investment portfolio | \$ 218,056 | 100.0% | \$ 5,034 | 100.0% |

^(A) New investment during the applicable period.

Other income increased 50.0% from the prior year period, primarily due to \$0.4 million in success fee income resulting from prepayments received from Cavert during the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$0.3 million in success fee income resulting from prepayments received from Mathey Investments, Inc. (Mathey) in the prior year period.

Expenses

Total expenses, excluding any voluntary and irrevocable credits to the base management and incentive fees, increased for the three months ended September 30, 2012, by 80.6%, as compared to the prior year period. The increase was primarily due to an increase in dividend expense on our Term Preferred Stock, on which we made our first distribution in March 2012, and an incentive fee of \$0.5 million that was earned during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

The base management fee increased for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period, which is reflective of the increased size of our loan portfolio over the respective periods. An incentive fee was earned by the Adviser during the three months ended September 30, 2012, because net investment income for the quarter was above the hurdle rate, primarily due to increased interest income from our larger investment portfolio. No incentive fee was earned in the prior year period. The base management and incentive fees are computed quarterly, as described further in Note 4 *Related Party Transactions* of our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* and are summarized in the following table:

| | Three months ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Average total assets subject to base management fee ^(A) | \$ 261,600 | \$ 212,600 |
| Multiplied by prorated annual base management fee of 2% | 0.5% | 0.5% |
| Base management fee ^(B) | 1,308 | 1,063 |
| Reduction for loan servicing fees | (949) | (715) |
| Adjusted base management fee | 359 | 348 |
| Credits for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies ^(B) | (515) | (511) |
| Net base management fee | \$ (156) | \$ (163) |
| Incentive fee ^(B) | \$ 541 | \$ |

^(A) Average total assets subject to the base management fee is defined as total assets, including investments made with proceeds of borrowings, less any uninvested cash or cash equivalents resulting from borrowings, valued at the end of the applicable quarters within the respective periods and adjusted appropriately for any share issuances or repurchases during the periods.

^(B) Reflected as a line item on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations*.

Interest and dividend expense increased 413.7% for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period, primarily due to \$0.7 million of dividends we paid on our Term Preferred Stock during the three months ended September 30, 2012. We classify these dividends as dividend expense on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*. There were no preferred stock dividends paid in the prior year period. The average balance outstanding on our Credit Facility during the three months ended September 30, 2012, was \$39.0 million, as compared to \$6.9 million in the prior year period. The effective interest rate charged on our borrowings on our Credit Facility for the three months ended September 30, 2012, excluding the impact of deferred financing fees, was 4.4%, as compared to 13.0% in the prior year period.

Amortization of deferred financing costs increased \$0.1 million, or 88.0%, during the three months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period, primarily due to the Term Preferred Stock offering costs being deferred and amortized, resulting in \$0.1 million in amortization during the three months ended September 30, 2012. No such amortization was recorded in the prior year period, as the Term Preferred Stock offering was not completed until March 2012.

Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments*Realized (Loss) Gain*

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a realized gain of \$0.8 million relating to post-closing adjustments on the previous investment exit of A. Stucki Holding Corp. (A. Stucki). During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we incurred a \$0.5 million post-closing adjustment loss related to the Chase exit in December 2010, which we realized during the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Unrealized Depreciation

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded net unrealized depreciation on investments in the aggregate amount of \$3.9 million.

Table of Contents

The realized gains (losses) and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investments for the three months ended September 30, 2012, were as follows:

| Portfolio Company | Investment Classification | Three months ended September 30, 2012 | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|
| | | Realized Gain (Loss) | Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) | Reversal of Unrealized (Appreciation) Depreciation | Net Gain (Loss) |
| Galaxy Tool Holding Corp. | Control | \$ | \$ 5,762 | \$ | \$ 5,762 |
| Country Club Enterprises, LLC | Control | | 2,292 | | 2,292 |
| A. Stucki Corp. ^(A) | Control | 799 | | | 799 |
| Venyu Solutions, Inc. | Control | | 711 | | 711 |
| SBS, Industries, LLC | Control | | 424 | | 424 |
| B-Dry, LLC | Non-Control/Non-Affiliate | | (315) | | (315) |
| ASH Holdings Corp. | Control | | (320) | | (320) |
| Quench Holdings Corp. | Affiliate | | (580) | | (580) |
| Noble Logistics, Inc. | Affiliate | | (586) | | (586) |
| Channel Technologies Group, LLC | Affiliate | | (671) | | (671) |
| SOG Specialty K&T, LLC | Control | | (823) | | (823) |
| Acme Cryogenics, Inc. | Control | | (1,166) | | (1,166) |
| Packerland Whey Products, Inc. | Affiliate | | (1,490) | | (1,490) |
| Danco Acquisition Corp. | Control | | (1,996) | | (1,996) |
| Mitchell Rubber Products, Inc. | Control | | (2,356) | | (2,356) |
| Tread Corp. | Control | | (2,652) | | (2,652) |
| Other, net (<\$250 Net) | Various | (1) | (118) | | (119) |
| Total | | \$ 798 | \$ (3,884) | \$ | \$ (3,086) |

^(A) A. Stucki Corp. was sold in June 2010.

The primary changes in our net unrealized depreciation for the three months ended September 30, 2012, were notable depreciation of our debt investments in Danco Acquisition Corp. (Danco) and in our equity investments in Mitchell Rubber Products, Inc. (Mitchell) and Tread, primarily due to decreased portfolio company performance and, to a lesser extent, a decrease in certain comparable multiples used to estimate the fair value of our investments. This depreciation was partially offset by increased appreciation in Galaxy Tool Holding Corp. (Galaxy) and CCE, primarily due to increased portfolio company performance.

During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded net unrealized appreciation on investments in the aggregate amount of \$10.3 million. The realized gains (losses) and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investments for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was as follows:

| Portfolio Company | Investment Classification | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| | | Realized Gain (Loss) | Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) | Reversal of Unrealized (Appreciation) Depreciation | Net Gain (Loss) |
| Acme Cryogenics, Inc. | Control | \$ | \$ 3,785 | \$ | \$ 3,785 |
| Galaxy Tool Holding Corp. | Control | | 3,711 | | 3,711 |
| Tread Corp. | Control | | 1,974 | | 1,974 |
| Quench Holdings Corp. | Affiliate | | 1,336 | | 1,336 |
| Noble Logistics, Inc. | Affiliate | | 745 | | 745 |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Mitchell Rubber Products, Inc. | Control | | 291 | | 291 |
| American Greetings Corporation | Non-Control/Non-Affiliate | | (142) | | (142) |
| Precision Southeast, Inc. | Control | | (152) | | (152) |
| Danco Acquisition Corp. | Affiliate | | (508) | | (508) |
| Chase II Holding Corp. ^(A) | Control | (543) | | | (543) |
| Country Club Enterprises, LLC | Control | | (800) | | (800) |
| Other, net (<\$100 Net) | Various | (1) | 96 | 1 | 96 |
| Total | | | \$ (544) | \$ 10,336 | \$ 1 \$ 9,793 |

The primary changes in our net unrealized appreciation for the three months ended September 30, 2011, were notable appreciation in our equity investments in Acme Cryogenics, Inc. (Acme), Galaxy and Tread, which were primarily due to increased performance, and appreciation in our debt investment of Quench, which paid off at par subsequent to September 30, 2011. This appreciation was partially offset by increased depreciation in CCE, which was placed on non-accrual during the three months ended September 30, 2011, for decreased portfolio company performance and being past-due on its obligations to us. Excluding the impact of Acme, Galaxy, Tread, Quench and CCE, the net unrealized appreciation of \$0.3 million recognized on our portfolio investments was primarily due to an increase in certain comparable multiples, partially offset by decreases in the performance of some of our portfolio companies used to estimate the fair value of our investments.

Table of Contents

Over our entire investment portfolio, we recorded, in the aggregate, approximately \$2.7 million and \$1.2 million of net unrealized depreciation on our debt positions and equity holdings, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2012. At September 30, 2012, the fair value of our investment portfolio was less than our cost basis by approximately \$50.3 million, as compared to \$46.4 million at June 30, 2012, representing net unrealized depreciation of approximately \$3.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. We believe that our aggregate investment portfolio was valued at a depreciated value due to the general instability of the loan markets and lingering effects of the recent recession on the performance of certain of our portfolio companies. Our entire portfolio was fair valued at 84.1% of cost as of September 30, 2012. The unrealized depreciation of our investments does not have an impact on our current ability to pay distributions to stockholders; however, it may be an indication of future realized losses, which could ultimately reduce our income available for distribution.

Realized and Unrealized Gain and Loss on Other

Net Unrealized Depreciation on Borrowings

For the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recorded \$0.7 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, of net unrealized depreciation, primarily due to increased borrowings outstanding and comparable market rates decreasing during both periods. The Credit Facility was fair valued at \$57.2 million and \$21.4 million as of September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents*Comparison of the Six Months Ended September 30, 2012, to the Six Months Ended September 30, 2011*

| | Six Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | \$ Change | % Change |
| INVESTMENT INCOME | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 11,971 | \$ 9,104 | \$ 2,867 | 31.5% |
| Other income | 907 | 1,193 | (286) | (24.0) |
| Total investment income | 12,878 | 10,297 | 2,581 | 25.1 |
| EXPENSES | | | | |
| Base management fee | 2,499 | 2,071 | 428 | 20.7 |
| Incentive fee | 541 | 19 | 522 | 2,747.4 |
| Administration fee | 372 | 286 | 86 | 30.1 |
| Interest and dividend expense | 2,001 | 365 | 1,636 | 448.2 |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 403 | 215 | 188 | 87.4 |
| Other | 1,073 | 1,257 | (184) | (14.6) |
| Expenses before credits from Adviser | 6,889 | 4,213 | 2,676 | 63.5 |
| Credits to fees | (700) | (726) | 26 | (3.6) |
| Total expenses net of credits to fee | 6,189 | 3,487 | 2,702 | 77.5 |
| NET INVESTMENT INCOME | 6,689 | 6,810 | (121) | (1.8) |
| REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) ON: | | | | |
| Net realized gain on sale of investments | 753 | 5,196 | (4,443) | (85.5) |
| Net realized loss on other | (41) | (39) | (2) | 5.1 |
| Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation on investments | (9,601) | 5,284 | (14,885) | NM |
| Net unrealized depreciation on other | (1,169) | (368) | (801) | 217.7 |
| Net (loss) gain on investments and other | (10,058) | 10,073 | (20,131) | (199.9) |
| NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS | \$ (3,369) | \$ 16,883 | \$ (20,252) | NM |

NM = Not Meaningful

Investment Income

Total investment income increased by 25.1% for the six months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period. This increase was primarily due an overall increase in interest income in the six months ended September 30, 2012, as a result of an increase in the size of our loan portfolio and holding higher-yielding debt investments during the six months ended September 30, 2012, partially offset by a decrease in other income, which primarily resulted from success fee and dividend income recognized during the prior year period in connection with our recapitalization of Cavert.

Interest income from our investments in debt securities increased 31.5% for the six months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period. The level of interest income from investments is directly related to the principal balance of our interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. The weighted average principal balance of our interest-bearing investment portfolio during the six months ended September 30, 2012, was approximately \$191.0 million, compared to approximately \$149.9

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

million for the prior year period. This increase was due primarily to new investments in SOG, SBS, Channel Technologies, Packerland, Ginsey and Drew Foam, partially offset by payoff of our debt investments in Quench and AMG and the restructuring of CCE and Tread. At September 30, 2012 and 2011, two loans, ASH and CCE, were on non-accrual with an aggregate weighted average principal balance of \$17.1 million and \$13.9 million during the six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. CCE was put on non-accrual during the three months ended September 30, 2011.

The weighted average yield on our interest-bearing investments, excluding cash and cash equivalents, for the six months ended September 30, 2012, was 12.5%, compared to 12.1% for the prior year period. The weighted average yield varies from period to period, based on the current stated interest rate on interest-bearing investments. The increase in the weighted average yield for the six months ended September 30, 2012, is a result of the exits of lower interest-bearing debt investments, such as Quench and AMG, which had an aggregate, weighted-average interest rate of 9.4% at the time of their respective exits, and the addition of higher-yielding debt investments in SOG, SBS, Channel Technologies, Packerland, Ginsey and Drew Foam which had an aggregate, weighted average interest rate of 13.4% as of September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

The following table lists the investment income from investments for our five largest portfolio company investments at fair value during the respective periods:

| Portfolio Company | As of September 30, 2012 | | Six Months Ended September 30, 2012 | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | % of Portfolio | Investment Income | % of Total Investment Income |
| SOG Specialty Knives and Tools, LLC | \$ 29,731 | 11.2% | \$ 1,332 | 10.4% |
| Acme Cryogenics, Inc. | 26,215 | 9.8 | 848 | 6.6 |
| Venyu Solutions, Inc. | 22,663 | 8.5 | 1,254 | 9.7 |
| Ginsey Holdings, Inc. ^(A) | 22,452 | 8.4 | 440 | 3.4 |
| SBS, Industries, LLC | 18,227 | 6.8 | 809 | 6.3 |
| Subtotal five largest investments | 119,288 | 44.7 | 4,683 | 36.4 |
| Other portfolio companies | 147,398 | 55.3 | 8,195 | 63.6 |
| Total investment portfolio | \$ 266,686 | 100.0% | \$ 12,878 | 100.0% |

| Portfolio Company | As of September 30, 2011 | | Six Months Ended September 30, 2011 | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | % of Portfolio | Investment Income | % of Total Investment Income |
| SOG Specialty Knives and Tools, LLC ^(A) | \$ 28,148 | 12.9% | \$ 393 | 3.8% |
| Acme Cryogenics, Inc. | 26,304 | 12.1 | 857 | 8.3 |
| Venyu Solutions, Inc. | 25,305 | 11.6 | 1,254 | 12.2 |
| Mitchell Rubber Products, Inc. ^(A) | 16,618 | 7.6 | 862 | 8.4 |
| Cavert II Holding Corp. | 15,089 | 6.9 | 1,773 | 17.2 |
| Subtotal five largest investments | 111,464 | 51.1 | 5,139 | 49.9 |
| Other portfolio companies | 106,592 | 48.9 | 5,158 | 50.1 |
| Total investment portfolio | \$ 218,056 | 100.0% | \$ 10,297 | 100.0% |

^(A) New investment during the applicable period.

Other income decreased from the prior year period, primarily due to \$0.7 million of cash dividends received on preferred shares of Cavert, in connection with its recapitalization in April 2011, as well as Cavert's election to prepay \$0.3 million of success fees during the prior year period, partially offset by Mathey's and Cavert's election to both prepay \$0.4 million of success fees during the six months ended September 30, 2012.

Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses, excluding any voluntary and irrevocable credits to the base management and incentive fees, increased for the six months ended September 30, 2012, driven primarily by a 448.2% increase in interest and dividend expense and a substantial increase in the incentive fee, as compared to the prior year period.

Table of Contents

The net base management fee increased for the six months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period, which is a result of increase in the size of our investment portfolio. An incentive fee was earned by the Adviser during the three months ended September 30, 2012, because net investment income for the quarter was above the hurdle rate, primarily due to increased interest income from our larger investment portfolio. The base management and incentive fees are computed quarterly, as described further in Note 4 of our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* and are summarized in the following table:

| | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Average total assets subject to base management fee ^(A) | \$ 249,900 | \$ 207,100 |
| Multiplied by pro-rata annual base management fee of 2% | 1.0% | 1.0% |
| Unadjusted base management fee | \$ 2,499 | \$ 2,071 |
| Reduction for loan servicing fees ^(B) | (1,817) | (1,392) |
| Base management fee ^(B) | \$ 682 | \$ 679 |
| <i>Credits to base management fee from Adviser:</i> | | |
| Fee reduction for the waiver of 2.0% fee on senior syndicated loans to 0.5% | | |
| Credit for fees received by Adviser from the portfolio companies | (700) | (726) |
| Credit to base management fee from Adviser ^(B) | (700) | (726) |
| Net base management fee | \$ (18) | \$ (47) |
| Net incentive fee ^(B) | \$ 541 | \$ 19 |

(A) Average total assets subject to the base management fee is defined as total assets, including investments made with proceeds of borrowings, less any uninvested cash or cash equivalents resulting from borrowings, valued at the end of the applicable quarters within the respective periods and adjusted appropriately for any share issuances or repurchases during the periods.

(B) Reflected, in total, as a line item on the *Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations*.

Interest and dividend expense increased for the six months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period, primarily due to \$1.4 million of dividends we paid on our Term Preferred Stock during the six months ended September 30, 2012. We classify these dividends as dividend expense on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*. There were no preferred stock dividends paid in the prior year period. Additionally, we had increased interest expense due to increased borrowings outstanding under our Credit Facility. The weighted average balance outstanding on our Credit Facility during the six months ended September 30, 2012, was approximately \$20.0 million, as compared to \$3.5 million during the prior year period. For the six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the effective interest rate charged on our borrowings, excluding the impact of deferred financing fees, was 5.1% and 20.2%, respectively.

Amortization of deferred financing costs increased \$0.2 million, or 87.4%, during the six months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period, primarily due to the Term Preferred Stock offering costs being deferred and amortized, resulting in \$0.2 million in amortization during the six months ended September 30, 2012. No such amortization was recorded in the prior year period, as the Term Preferred Stock offering was not completed until March 2012.

Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments*Realized Gain*

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

During the six months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a realized gain of \$0.8 million relating to post-closing adjustments on our previous investment exit of A. Stucki. In April 2011, we recapitalized our investment in Cavert, receiving \$8.5 million in proceeds and realizing a gain of \$5.5 million. Additionally, we recorded post-closing adjustments related to the A. Stucki exit in June 2010 and the Chase exit in December 2010, which resulted in a net aggregate loss of \$0.3 million during the six months ended September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents*Unrealized Appreciation and Depreciation*

During the six months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded net unrealized depreciation on investments in the aggregate amount of \$9.6 million. The realized gains (losses) and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investments for the six months ended September 30, 2012 were as follows:

| Portfolio Company | Investment Classification | Six Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------|
| | | Realized Gain (Loss) | Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) | Reversal of Unrealized (Appreciation) Depreciation | Net Gain (Loss) |
| Country Club Enterprises, LLC | Control | \$ | \$ 6,926 | \$ | \$ 6,926 |
| Galaxy Tool Holding Corp. | Control | | 4,596 | | 4,596 |
| SBS, Industries, LLC | Control | | 1,223 | | 1,223 |
| Mathey Investments, Inc. | Control | | 1,006 | | 1,006 |
| Precision Southeast, Inc. | Control | | 907 | | 907 |
| A. Stucki Corp. ^(A) | Control | 790 | | | 790 |
| SOG Specialty K&T, LLC | Control | | (364) | | (364) |
| Venyy Solutions, Inc. | Control | | (667) | | (667) |
| B-Dry, LLC | Non-Control/Non-Affiliate | | (674) | | (674) |
| ASH Holdings Corp. | Control | | (695) | | (695) |
| Mitchell Rubber Products, Inc. | Control | | (1,101) | | (1,101) |
| Channel Technologies Group, LLC | Affiliate | | (1,108) | | (1,108) |
| Packerland Whey Products, Inc. | Affiliate | | (1,490) | | (1,490) |
| Acme Cryogenics, Inc. | Control | | (2,087) | | (2,087) |
| Noble Logistics, Inc. | Affiliate | | (4,887) | | (4,887) |
| Danco Acquisition Corp. | Control | | (5,538) | | (5,538) |
| Tread Corp. | Control | | (5,741) | | (5,741) |
| Other, net (<\$250 Net) | Various | (37) | 93 | | 56 |
| Total | | \$ 753 | \$ (9,601) | \$ | \$ (8,848) |

^(A) A. Stucki Corp. was sold in June 2010.

The primary changes in our net unrealized depreciation for the six months ended September 30, 2012, were notable depreciation of our debt investments in Danco and in our debt and equity investments in Tread and Noble Logistics, Inc. (Noble), primarily due to decreased portfolio company performance and, to a lesser extent, a decrease in certain comparable multiples used to estimate the fair value of our investments. This depreciation was partially offset by increased appreciation in Galaxy and CCE, primarily due to increased portfolio company performance.

During the six months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded net unrealized appreciation on investments in the aggregate amount of \$5.3 million, which included the reversal of \$6.1 million in aggregate unrealized appreciation, primarily related to the Cavert recapitalization. Excluding reversals, we had \$11.4 million in net unrealized appreciation for the six months ended September 30, 2011. The realized (losses) gains and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) across our investments for the six months ended September 30, 2011 were as follows:

| Portfolio Company | Investment Classification | Six Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| | | Realized Gain (Loss) | Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) | Reversal of Unrealized (Appreciation) Depreciation | Net Gain (Loss) |
| Acme Cryogenics, Inc. | Control | \$ | \$ 6,813 | \$ | \$ 6,813 |
| Tread Corp. | Control | | 3,639 | | 3,639 |

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Galaxy Tool Holding Corp. | Control | | 3,466 | | 3,466 |
| Noble Logistics, Inc. | Affiliate | | 1,933 | 94 | 2,027 |
| Quench Holdings Corp. | Affiliate | | 1,562 | | 1,562 |
| Survey Sampling, LLC | Non-Control/Non-Affiliate | (1) | 807 | 1 | 807 |
| Venyu Solutions, Inc. | Control | | 293 | | 293 |
| A. Stucki Corp. ^(A) | Control | 247 | | | 247 |
| Mitchell Rubber Products, Inc. | Control | | 240 | | 240 |
| B-Dry, LLC | Non-Control/Non-Affiliate | | (125) | | (125) |
| ASH Holdings Corp. | Control | | (375) | | (375) |
| Precision Southeast, Inc. | Control | | (503) | | (503) |
| Cavert II Holding Corp. | Affiliate | 5,508 | 166 | (6,194) | (520) |
| Chase II Holding Corp. ^(B) | Control | (563) | | | (563) |
| Danco Acquisition Corp. | Affiliate | | (572) | | (572) |
| Country Club Enterprises, LLC | Control | | (5,960) | | (5,960) |
| Other, net (<\$100 Net) | Various | 5 | 13 | (14) | 4 |
| Total | | | \$ 5,196 | \$ 11,397 | \$ (6,113) \$ 10,480 |

^(A) A. Stucki Corp. was sold in June 2010.

^(B) Chase II Holding Corp. was sold in December 2010.

Table of Contents

The primary changes in our net unrealized appreciation for the six months ended September 30, 2011, were notable appreciation in our equity investments in Acme, Tread, Galaxy and Noble, primarily due to increased performance, and appreciation of our debt investment to Quench, which was paid off at par subsequent to September 30, 2011. This appreciation was partially offset by increased depreciation in CCE, which was placed on non-accrual during the three months ended September 30, 2011, for decreased performance and being past-due on its obligations to us, as well as the reversal of previously-recorded unrealized appreciation on the Cavert recapitalization. Excluding the impact of the aforementioned portfolio companies, the net unrealized depreciation of \$0.2 million recognized on our investments was primarily due to decreases in the performance of some of our portfolio companies, partially offset by an increase in certain comparable multiples used to estimate the fair value of our investments.

Over our entire investment portfolio, we recorded, in the aggregate, approximately \$5.0 million and \$4.6 million of net unrealized depreciation on our equity positions and debt positions, respectively, for the six months ended September 30, 2012. At September 30, 2012, the fair value of our investment portfolio was less than our cost basis by approximately \$50.3 million, as compared to \$40.7 million at March 31, 2012, representing net unrealized appreciation of \$9.6 million for the period. We believe that our aggregate investment portfolio was valued at a depreciated value due to the general instability of the loan markets and lingering effects of the recent recession on the performance of certain of our portfolio companies. Our entire portfolio was fair valued at 84.1% of cost as of September 30, 2012. The unrealized depreciation of our investments does not have an impact on our current ability to pay distributions to stockholders; however, it may be an indication of future realized losses, which could ultimately reduce our income available for distribution.

Realized and Unrealized Loss on Other

Realized Loss on Interest Rate Caps

For the six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recorded a net realized loss of \$41 and 39, respectively, due to the expiration of an interest rate cap agreements in each period.

Net Unrealized Depreciation on Borrowings

For the six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recorded \$1.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, of net unrealized depreciation primarily due to increased borrowings outstanding and comparable market rates decreasing during both periods. The Credit Facility was fair valued at \$57.2 million and \$21.4 million as of September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES****Operating Activities**

Net cash used in operating activities for the three months ended September 30, 2012, was approximately \$48.4 million, as compared to \$45.0 million during the six months ended September 30, 2011. This decrease in cash used in operating activities from the prior year period was primarily due to \$4.4 million more in net investment principal repayments and exit proceeds received during the six months ended September 30, 2012, as compared to the prior year period. Our cash flows from operations generally come from cash collections of interest and dividend income from our portfolio companies, as well as cash proceeds received through repayments of loan investments and sales of equity investments. These cash collections are primarily used to pay distributions to our stockholders, interest payments on our Credit Facility, dividend payments on our Term Preferred Stock, management fees to our Adviser, and other entity-level expenses.

At September 30, 2012, we had equity investments in or loans to 20 private companies with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$317.0 million. At September 30, 2011, we had investments in equity of, loans to or syndicated participations in 18 private companies with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$256.7 million. The following table summarizes our total portfolio investment activity during the six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | Six Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Beginning investment portfolio, at fair value | \$ 225,652 | \$ 153,285 |
| New investments | 52,978 | 58,346 |
| Disbursements to existing portfolio companies | 10,285 | 9,032 |
| Scheduled principal repayments | (215) | (570) |
| Unscheduled principal repayments | (11,850) | (4,990) |
| Proceeds from sales | (1,291) | (7,527) |
| Net realized gain | 753 | 5,196 |
| Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation | (9,601) | 11,397 |
| Reversal of net unrealized appreciation | | (6,113) |
| Other cash activity, net | (25) | |
| Ending investment portfolio, at fair value | \$ 266,686 | \$ 218,056 |

The following table summarizes the contractual principal repayment and maturity of our investment portfolio by fiscal year, assuming no voluntary prepayments, at September 30, 2012:

| | | Amount |
|---|--|-------------------|
| For the remaining six months ending March 31: | 2013 | \$ 29,274 |
| For the fiscal year ending March 31: | 2014 | 34,083 |
| | 2015 | 36,109 |
| | 2016 | 27,825 |
| | 2017 | 63,435 |
| | Thereafter | 30,962 |
| | Total contractual repayments | \$ 221,688 |
| | Investments in equity securities | 95,599 |
| | Adjustments to cost basis on debt securities | (256) |
| | Total cost basis of investments held at September 30, 2012: | \$ 317,031 |

Financing Activities

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended September 30, 2012, was approximately \$49.8 million and consisted primarily of net proceeds from our Credit Facility of \$56.0 million and proceeds from our secured borrowing of \$5.0 million, partially offset by \$6.6 million in distributions to common stockholders and \$4.5 million in decreased short-term borrowings. Net cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended September 30, 2011, was approximately \$37.1 million, consisting primarily of net borrowings on the short-term loan and our Credit Facility in excess of repayments by approximately \$43.5 million.

Distributions

To qualify as a RIC and thus avoid corporate level tax on the income we distribute to our stockholders, we are required under Subchapter M of the Code, to distribute at least 90% of our ordinary income and short-term capital gains to our stockholders on an annual basis. In accordance with these requirements, we declared and paid monthly cash distributions of \$0.05 per common share for each of the six months from April 2012 to September 2012. In October 2012, our Board of Directors declared a monthly distribution of \$0.05 per common share for each of October, November and December 2012. We declared these distributions based on our estimates of net taxable income for the fiscal year.

Table of Contents

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, which includes the six months ended September 30, 2011, our distributions to common stockholders totaled approximately \$13.6 million. Distributions to common stockholders declared for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, were comprised 100% from ordinary income and none from a return of capital. At year-end, we elected to treat \$0.7 million of the first distribution paid after year-end as having been paid in the prior year, in accordance with Section 855(a) of the Code. The characterization of the common distributions declared and paid for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2013 will be determined at year end and cannot be determined at this time. Additionally, the covenants in our Credit Facility restrict the amount of distributions that we can pay out to be no greater than our net investment income.

We also declared and paid monthly cash distributions of \$0.12369792 per share of Term Preferred Stock for a prorated portion of March and \$0.1484375 per share of Term Preferred Stock for each of the six months from April 2012 through September 2012. In October 2012, our Board of Directors also declared a monthly distribution of \$0.1484375 per preferred share for each of October, November and December 2012. In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP), we treat these monthly distributions as an operating expense. For tax purposes, these preferred distributions are deemed to be paid entirely out of ordinary income to preferred stockholders.

Equity*Registration Statement*

We filed a registration statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-181879) with the SEC on June 4, 2012, and subsequently filed a Pre-effective Amendment No. 1 to the registration statement on July 17, 2012 that the SEC declared effective on July 26, 2012. The registration statement will permit us to issue, through one or more transactions, up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million in securities, consisting of common stock, preferred stock, subscription rights, debt securities and warrants to purchase common stock, including through a combined offering of such securities.

Common Stock

Pursuant to our registration statement on Form N-2 (Registration No. 333-181879), on October 5, 2012, we completed a public offering of 4.0 million shares of our common stock at a public offering price of \$7.50 per share. Gross proceeds totaled \$30.0 million and net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses borne by us, were \$28.3 million, which was used to repay borrowings under our Credit Facility. In connection with the offering, we granted the underwriters a 30-day option (expiring November 1, 2012) to purchase up to an additional 0.6 million shares at the public offering price, less underwriting discounts and commissions, to cover over-allotments, if any. The underwriters have not exercised their option as of October 26, 2012.

Term Preferred Stock

Pursuant to our prior registration statement on Form N-2 (Registration No. 333-160720), in March 2012, we completed an offering of 1.6 million shares of Term Preferred Stock at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share. Gross proceeds totaled \$40.0 million, and net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses borne by us were approximately \$38.0 million, a portion of which was used to repay borrowings under our Credit Facility, with the remaining proceeds being held to make additional investments and for general corporate purposes. We incurred \$2.0 million in total offering costs related to the offering, which have been recorded as an asset in accordance with GAAP and are being amortized over the redemption period ending February 28, 2017.

The Term Preferred Stock provides for a fixed dividend equal to 7.125% per year, payable monthly (which equates to approximately \$2.9 million per year). We are required to redeem all of the outstanding Term Preferred Stock on February 28, 2017, for cash at a redemption price equal to \$25.00 per share plus an amount equal to accumulated but unpaid dividends, if any, to the date of redemption. The Term Preferred Stock has a preference over our common stock with respect to dividends, whereby no distributions are payable on our common stock unless the stated dividends, including any accrued and unpaid dividends, on the Term Preferred Stock have been paid in full. In addition, there are three other potential redemption triggers: 1) upon the occurrence of certain events that would constitute a change in control of us, we would be required to redeem all of the outstanding Term Preferred Stock; 2) if we fail to maintain an asset coverage ratio of at least 200%, we are required to redeem a portion of the outstanding Term Preferred Stock or otherwise cure the ratio redemption trigger and 3) at our sole option, at any time on or after February 28, 2016, we may redeem some or all of the Term Preferred Stock.

The Term Preferred Stock has been recorded as a liability in accordance with GAAP and, as such, affects our asset coverage, exposing us to additional leverage risks. In addition, the Term Preferred Stock is not convertible into our common stock or any other security.

Revolving Credit Facility

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

On October 26, 2011, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Business Investment, we entered into a fourth amended and restated credit agreement increasing the commitment amount on our Credit Facility from \$50.0 million to \$60.0 million. The Credit Facility was arranged by BB&T and Keybank as joint lead arrangers and committed lenders with BB&T also serving as administrative agent.

Table of Contents

This replaced the prior revolving line of credit entered into by us, BB&T and Keybank on April 14, 2009, which provided a \$50.0 million revolving line of credit and the renewal of such revolving line of credit through a third amended and restated credit agreement on April 13, 2010. The third amended and restated credit agreement provided for a \$50.0 million, two-year revolving line of credit, with advances under the line of credit generally bearing interest at the 30-day LIBOR (subject to a minimum rate of 2.0%), plus 4.5% per annum, with a commitment fee of 0.50% per annum on undrawn amounts when advances outstanding were above 50.0% of the commitment and 1.0% on undrawn amounts if the advances outstanding were below 50.0% of the commitment.

On October 5, 2012, we entered into an amendment (the Amendment) to our Credit Facility, which extended the maturity date on the Credit Facility one year. As a result of the Amendment, the Credit Facility is now scheduled to mature on October 25, 2015 (the Extended Maturity Date) and, if not renewed or extended by the Extended Maturity Date, all principal and interest will be due and payable on or before October 25, 2016 (one year after the Extended Maturity Date). There remains a one-year extension option to be agreed upon by all parties, which may be exercised on or before October 26, 2013.

Subject to certain terms and conditions, the Credit Facility may be expanded to a total of \$175 million through the addition of other committed lenders to the facility. Advances under the Credit Facility will generally bear interest at 30-day LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum, with an unused fee of 0.50% on undrawn amounts. As of September 30, 2012, we had \$56.0 million in borrowings outstanding with approximately \$2.9 million of availability under the Credit Facility.

The Credit Facility contains covenants that require Business Investment to, among other things, maintain its status as a separate legal entity; prohibit certain significant corporate transactions (such as mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions) and restrict material changes to our credit and collection policies without lenders' consent. The facility also limits payments as distributions to the aggregate net investment income for each of the twelve-month periods ending March 31, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016. Business Investment is also subject to certain limitations on the type of loan investments it can apply toward availability credit in the borrowing base, including restrictions on geographic concentrations, sector concentrations, loan size, dividend payout, payment frequency and status, average life and lien property. The Credit Facility further requires Business Investment to comply with other financial and operational covenants, which obligate Business Investment to, among other things, maintain certain financial ratios, including asset and interest coverage and a minimum number of obligors required in the borrowing base of the credit agreement. Additionally, we are subject to a performance guaranty that requires us to maintain (i) a minimum net worth (defined in the Credit Facility to include our Term Preferred Stock) of \$155.0 million plus 50% of all equity and subordinated debt raised after October 26, 2011 (as of September 30, 2012, this equates to \$175.0 million), (ii) asset coverage with respect to senior securities representing indebtedness of at least 200%, in accordance with Section 18 of the 1940 Act and (iii) our status as a BDC under the 1940 Act and as a RIC under the Code. As of September 30, 2012, and as defined in the performance guaranty of our Credit Facility, we had a minimum net worth of \$237.2 million, an asset coverage ratio of 210% and an active status as a BDC and RIC. Our Credit Facility requires a minimum of 12 obligors in the borrowing base, and as of September 30, 2012, Business Investment had 17 obligors. As of September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all of the Credit Facility covenants.

In December 2011, we entered into a forward interest rate cap agreement, effective May 2012 and expiring in October 2013, for a notional amount of \$50.0 million that effectively limits the interest rate on a portion of the borrowings under the line of credit pursuant to the terms of the Credit Facility. We incurred a premium fee of \$29 in conjunction with this agreement.

The administrative agent also requires that any interest or principal payments on pledged loans be remitted directly by the borrower into a lockbox account, with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. as custodian. BB&T is also the trustee of the account and generally remits the collected funds to us once a month.

Short-Term Loan

Similar to previous quarter ends, to maintain our status as a RIC, we purchased \$80.0 million of short-term United States Treasury Bills (T-Bills) through Jefferies & Company, Inc. (Jefferies) on September 25, 2012. The T-Bills were purchased on margin using \$8.5 million in cash and the proceeds from a \$71.5 million short-term loan from Jefferies with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 1.42%. On October 4, 2012, when the T-Bills matured, we repaid the \$71.5 million loan from Jefferies and received the \$8.5 million margin payment sent to Jefferies to complete the transaction.

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have lines of credit with certain of our portfolio companies that have not been fully drawn. Since these commitments have expiration dates and we expect many will never be fully drawn, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

In addition to the lines of credit to our portfolio companies, we have also extended certain guarantees on behalf of some our portfolio companies, whereby we have guaranteed an aggregate of \$3.9 million of obligations of ASH and CCE. As of September 30, 2012, we have not been required to make any payments on any of the guarantees and we consider the credit risks to be remote.

Table of Contents

We estimate the fair value of our unused line of credit commitments and guarantees as of September 30, 2012 to be minimal; and therefore, they are not recorded on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities*.

The following table shows our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2012, at cost:

| Contractual Obligations ^(A) | Total | Less than | | | More than |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 4-5 Years | |
| Credit Facility | \$ 56,000 | \$ | \$ 56,000 | \$ | \$ |
| Term Preferred Stock | 40,000 | | | 40,000 | |
| Secured borrowings | 5,000 | | | | 5,000 |
| Interest and dividend payments on obligations ^(B) | 21,422 | 5,480 | 10,961 | 4,890 | 91 |
| Total | \$ 122,422 | \$ 5,480 | \$ 66,961 | \$ 44,890 | \$ 5,091 |

(A) Excludes our unused line of credit commitments and guarantees to our portfolio companies in the aggregate amount of \$5.4 million.

(B) Includes interest payments due on our Credit Facility and dividend obligations on the Term Preferred Stock. Dividend payments on the Term Preferred Stock assume quarterly declarations and monthly distributions through the date of mandatory redemption.

The majority of our debt securities in our portfolio have a success fee component, which enhances the yield on our debt investments. Unlike paid in kind (PIK) income, we do not recognize the fee into income until it is received in cash. As a result, as of September 30, 2012, we have an off-balance sheet success fee receivable of \$11.0 million, or approximately \$0.50 per common share. There is no guarantee that we will be able to collect any or all of our success fee receivables due to their contingent nature. It is also impossible to predict the timing of such collections.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported consolidated amounts of assets and liabilities, including disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the period reported. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. We have identified our investment valuation process as our most critical accounting policy.

Investment Valuation

The most significant estimate inherent in the preparation of our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* is the valuation of investments and the related amounts of unrealized appreciation and depreciation of investments recorded.

General Valuation Policy: We value our investments in accordance with the requirements of the 1940 Act. As discussed more fully below, we value securities for which market quotations are readily available and reliable at their market value. We value all other securities and assets at fair value, as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors. Such determination of fair values may involve subjective judgments and estimates.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. ASC 820 provides a consistent definition of fair value that focuses on exit price in the principal, or most advantageous, market and prioritizes, within a measurement of fair value, the use of market-based inputs over entity-specific inputs. ASC 820 also establishes the following three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date.

Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active or inactive markets and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 2 inputs are in those markets for which there are few transactions, the prices are not current, little public information exists or instances where prices vary substantially over time or among brokered market makers; and

Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs are those inputs that reflect assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability and can include our own assumptions based upon the best available information.

As of September 30 and March 31, 2012, all of our investments were valued using Level 3 inputs. See Note 3 *Investments* in our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* included elsewhere in this report for additional information regarding fair value measurements and our application of ASC 820.

We use generally accepted valuation techniques to value our portfolio unless we have specific information about the value of an investment to determine otherwise. From time to time we may accept an appraisal of a business in which we hold securities. These appraisals are expensive and occur infrequently but provide a third-party valuation opinion that may differ in results, techniques and

Table of Contents

scope used to value our investments. When these specific, third-party appraisals are obtained, we would use estimates of value provided by such appraisals and our own assumptions, including estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date, to value our investments.

In determining the value of our investments, our Adviser has established an investment valuation policy (the *Policy*). The Policy has been approved by our Board of Directors, and each quarter, our Board of Directors reviews whether our Adviser has applied the Policy consistently and votes whether or not to accept the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio.

The Policy, which is summarized below, applies to the following categories of securities:

Publicly-traded securities;

Securities for which a limited market exists; and

Securities for which no market exists.

Valuation Methods:

Publicly-traded securities: We determine the value of publicly-traded securities based on the closing price for the security on the exchange or securities market on which it is listed and primarily traded on the valuation date. To the extent that we own restricted securities that are not freely tradable but for which a public market otherwise exists, we will use the market value of that security adjusted for any decrease in value resulting from the restrictive feature. As of September 30 and March 31, 2012, we did not have any investments in publicly-traded securities.

Securities for which a limited market exists: We value securities that are not traded on an established, secondary securities market but for which a limited market for the security exists, such as certain participations in, or assignments of, syndicated loans, at the quoted bid price, which are non-binding. In valuing these assets, we assess trading activity in the asset class and evaluate variances in prices and other market insights to determine if any available quoted prices are reliable. In general, if we conclude that quotes based on active markets or trading activity may be relied upon, firm bid-ask price ranges are requested; however, if firm bid-ask prices are unavailable, we base the value of the security upon the indicative bid price (*IBP*) offered by the respective, originating syndication agent's trading desk or secondary desk on or near the valuation date. To the extent that we use the IBP as a basis for valuing the security, our Adviser may take further steps to consider additional information to validate that price in accordance with the Policy, including, but not limited to, reviewing a range of indicative bids to the extent the Adviser has ready access to such qualified information.

In the event these limited markets become illiquid to a degree that market prices are no longer readily available, we will value our syndicated loans using alternative methods, such as estimated net present values of the future cash flows, or discounted cash flows (*DCF*). The use of a DCF methodology follows that prescribed by ASC 820, which provides guidance on the use of a reporting entity's own assumptions about future cash flows and risk-adjusted discount rates when relevant, observable inputs, such as quotes in active markets, are not available. When relevant, observable market data does not exist, an alternative outlined in ASC 820 is the valuation of investments based on DCF. For the purposes of using DCF to provide fair value estimates, we consider multiple inputs, such as a risk-adjusted discount rate that incorporates adjustments that market participants would make, both for nonperformance and liquidity risks. As such, we develop a modified discount rate approach that incorporates risk premiums, including, among other things, increased probability of default, higher loss given default and increased liquidity risk. The DCF valuations applied to the syndicated loans provide an estimate of what we believe a market participant would pay to purchase a syndicated loan in an active market, thereby establishing a fair value. We will apply the DCF methodology in illiquid markets until quoted prices are available or are deemed reliable based on trading activity. At September 30 and March 31, 2012, we had no syndicated investments.

Securities for which no market exists: The valuation methodology for securities for which no market exists falls into four categories: (A) investments comprised solely of debt securities; (B) investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of securities, which can include debt and equity securities; (C) investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities; and (D) investments comprised of non-publically traded non-control equity securities of other funds.

- (A) **Investments comprised solely of debt securities:** Debt securities that are not publicly-traded on an established securities market or for which a limited market does not exist (Non-Public Debt Securities) and that are issued by portfolio companies in which we have no equity or equity-like securities are fair valued in accordance with the terms of the Policy, which utilize opinions of value submitted to us by Standard & Poor's Securities Evaluations, Inc. (SPSE). We may also submit paid-in-kind (PIK) interest to SPSE for its evaluation when it is determined that PIK interest is likely to be received.

In the case of Non-Public Debt Securities, we have engaged SPSE to submit opinions of value for our debt securities that are issued by portfolio companies in which we own no equity or equity-like securities. SPSE will only evaluate the debt portion of our investments for which we specifically request evaluation and may decline to make requested evaluations for any reason, at its sole discretion. Quarterly, we collect data with respect to the investments (which includes portfolio company

Table of Contents

financial and operational performance and the information described below under Credit Information, the risk ratings of the loans, described below under Loan Grading and Risk Rating and the factors described hereunder). This portfolio company data is forwarded to SPSE for review and analysis. SPSE makes its independent assessment of the data that we have assembled and assesses its independent data to form an opinion as to what they consider to be the market values for the securities. With regard to its work, SPSE has issued the following paragraph:

SPSE provides evaluated price opinions which are reflective of what SPSE believes the bid side of the market would be for each loan after careful review and analysis of descriptive, market and credit information. Each price reflects SPSE's best judgment based upon careful examination of a variety of market factors. Because of fluctuation in the market and in other factors beyond its control, SPSE cannot guarantee these evaluations. The evaluations reflect the market prices, or estimates thereof, on the date specified. The prices are based on comparable market prices for similar securities. Market information has been obtained from reputable secondary market sources. Although these sources are considered reliable, SPSE cannot guarantee their accuracy.

SPSE opinions of the value of our debt securities that are issued by portfolio companies in which we do not own equity or equity-like securities are submitted to our Board of Directors along with our Adviser's supplemental assessment and recommendation regarding valuation of each of these investments. Our Adviser generally accepts the opinion of value given by SPSE; however, in certain limited circumstances, such as when our Adviser may learn new information regarding an investment between the time of submission to SPSE and the date of our Board of Directors assessment, our Adviser's conclusions as to value may differ from the opinion of value delivered by SPSE. Our Board of Directors then reviews whether our Adviser has followed its established procedures for determinations of fair value, and votes to accept or reject the recommended valuation of our investment portfolio. Our Adviser and our management recommended, and our Board of Directors voted to accept, the opinions of value delivered by SPSE on the loans in our portfolio as denoted on our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Schedule of Investments*.

Because there is a delay between when we close an investment and when the investment can be evaluated by SPSE, new loans are not valued immediately by SPSE; rather, management makes its own determination about the value of these investments in accordance with our valuation policy using the methods described herein.

- (B) Investments in controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities:** The fair value of these investments is determined based on the total enterprise value (TEV) of the portfolio company, or issuer, utilizing a liquidity waterfall approach under ASC 820 for our Non-Public Debt Securities and equity or equity-like securities (e.g., preferred equity, common equity or other equity-like securities) that are purchased together as part of a package where we have control or could gain control through an option or warrant security; both the debt and equity securities of the portfolio investment would exit in the mergers and acquisitions market as the principal market, generally through a sale of the portfolio company. We manage our risk related to these investments at the aggregated issuer level and generally exit the debt and equity securities together. Applying the liquidity waterfall approach to all of the investments of an issuer, we first calculate the TEV of the issuer by incorporating some or all of the following factors:

- the issuer's ability to make payments;

- the earnings of the issuer;

- recent sales to third parties of similar securities;

- the comparison to publicly-traded securities; and

- DCF or other pertinent factors.

In gathering the sales to third parties of similar securities, we may reference industry statistics and use outside experts. TEV is only an estimate of value and may not be the value received in an actual sale. Once we have estimated the TEV of the issuer, we will subtract the value of all the debt securities of the issuer, which are valued at the contractual principal balance. Fair values of these debt securities are discounted for any shortfall of TEV over the total debt outstanding for the issuer. Once the values for all outstanding senior securities, which include all the debt

securities, have been subtracted from the TEV of the issuer, the remaining amount, if any, is used to determine the value of the issuer's equity or equity-like securities. If, in the Adviser's judgment, the liquidity waterfall approach does not accurately reflect the value of the debt component, the Adviser may recommend that we use a valuation by SPSE, or, if that is unavailable, a DCF valuation technique.

(C) Investments in non-controlled companies comprised of a bundle of investments, which can include debt and equity securities:

We value Non-Public Debt Securities that are purchased together with equity or equity-like securities from the same portfolio company, or issuer, for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, using a hypothetical, secondary market as our principal market. In accordance with ASC 820 (as amended by the FASB's Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04,

Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), (ASU 2011-04)), we have defined our unit of account at the investment level (either debt or equity), and thus determine our fair value of these non-control investments assuming the sale of an individual security using the standalone premise of

Table of Contents

value. As such, we estimate the fair value of the debt component using estimates of value provided by SPSE and our own assumptions in the absence of observable market data, including synthetic credit ratings, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. For equity or equity-like securities of investments for which we do not control or cannot gain control as of the measurement date, we estimate the fair value of the equity based on factors such as the overall value of the issuer, the relative fair value of other units of account including debt, or other relative value approaches. Consideration also is given to capital structure and other contractual obligations that may impact the fair value of the equity. Furthermore, we may utilize comparable values of similar companies, recent investments and indices with similar structures and risk characteristics or DCF valuation techniques and, in the absence of other observable market data, our own assumptions.

(D) Investments comprised of non-publicly traded, non-control equity securities of other funds: To the extent applicable, we generally value any uninvested capital of the non-control fund at par value and value any invested capital at the value provided by the non-control fund. At September 30 and March 31, 2012, we had no non-control equity securities of other funds.

Due to the uncertainty inherent in the valuation process, such estimates of fair value may differ significantly and materially from the values that would have been obtained had a ready market for the securities existed. Additionally, changes in the market environment and other events that may occur over the life of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned. There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith, as fair value depends upon circumstances of each individual case. In general, fair value is the amount that we might reasonably expect to receive upon the current sale of the security in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

Valuation Considerations: From time to time, depending on certain circumstances, the Adviser may use the following valuation considerations, including, but not limited to:

the nature and realizable value of the collateral;

the portfolio company's earnings and cash flows and its ability to make payments on its obligations;

the markets in which the portfolio company does business;

the comparison to publicly-traded companies; and

DCF and other relevant factors.

Because such valuations, particularly valuations of private securities and private companies, are not susceptible to precise determination, may fluctuate over short periods of time, and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ from the values that might have actually resulted had a readily available market for these securities been available.

Credit Information: Our Adviser monitors a wide variety of key credit statistics that provide information regarding our portfolio companies to help us assess credit quality and portfolio performance. We and our Adviser generally participate in the periodic board meetings of our portfolio companies in which we hold Control and Affiliate investments and also require them to provide annual audited and monthly unaudited financial statements. Using these statements or comparable information and board discussions, our Adviser calculates and evaluates the credit statistics.

Loan Grading and Risk Rating: As part of our valuation procedures above, we risk rate all of our investments in debt securities. For syndicated loans that have been rated by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO), we use the NRSRO's risk rating for such security. For all other debt securities, we use a proprietary risk rating system. Our risk rating system uses a scale of 0 to 10, with 10 being the lowest probability of default. This system is used to estimate the probability of default on debt securities and the probability of loss if there is a default. These types of systems are referred to as risk rating systems and are used by banks and rating agencies. The risk rating system covers both qualitative and quantitative aspects of the business and the securities we hold.

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION/DE - Form 10-Q

For the debt securities for which we do not use a third-party NRSRO risk rating, we seek to have our risk rating system mirror the risk rating systems of major risk rating organizations, such as those provided by an NRSRO. While we seek to mirror the NRSRO systems, we cannot provide any assurance that our risk rating system will provide the same risk rating as an NRSRO for these securities. The following chart is an estimate of the relationship of our risk rating system to the designations used by two NRSROs as they risk rate debt securities of major companies. Because our system rates debt securities of companies that are unrated by any NRSRO, there can be no assurance that the correlation to the NRSRO set out below is accurate. We believe our risk rating would be higher than a typical NRSRO risk rating because the risk rating of the typical NRSRO is designed for larger businesses. However, our risk rating has been designed to risk rate the securities of smaller businesses that are not rated by a typical NRSRO. Therefore, when we use our risk rating on larger business securities, the risk rating is higher than a typical NRSRO rating. The primary difference between our risk rating and the rating of a typical NRSRO is that our risk rating uses more quantitative determinants and includes qualitative determinants that we believe are not used in the NRSRO rating. It is our understanding that most debt securities of medium-sized companies do not exceed the grade of BBB on an NRSRO scale, so there would be no debt securities in the middle market that would meet the definition of AAA, AA or A. Therefore, our scale begins with the designation >10 as the best risk rating which may be equivalent to a BBB or Baa2 from an NRSRO, however, no assurance can be given that a >10 on our scale is equal to a BBB or Baa2 on an NRSRO scale.

Table of Contents

| Company s System | First NRSRO | Second NRSRO | Gladstone Investment s Description ^(a) |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
| >10 | Baa2 | BBB | Probability of Default (PD) during the next 10 years is 4% and the Expected Loss upon Default (EL) is 1% or less |
| 10 | Baa3 | BBB- | PD is 5% and the EL is 1% to 2% |
| 9 | Ba1 | BB+ | PD is 10% and the EL is 2% to 3% |
| 8 | Ba2 | BB | PD is 16% and the EL is 3% to 4% |
| 7 | Ba3 | BB- | PD is 17.8% and the EL is 4% to 5% |
| 6 | B1 | B+ | PD is 22% and the EL is 5% to 6.5% |
| 5 | B2 | B | PD is 25% and the EL is 6.5% to 8% |
| 4 | B3 | B- | PD is 27% and the EL is 8% to 10% |
| 3 | Caa1 | CCC+ | PD is 30% and the EL is 10% to 13.3% |
| 2 | Caa2 | CCC | PD is 35% and the EL is 13.3% to 16.7% |
| 1 | Caa3 | CC | PD is 65% and the EL is 16.7% to 20% |
| 0 | N/A | D | PD is 85% or there is a payment default and the EL is greater than 20% |

^(a) The default rates set forth are for a 10-year term debt security. If a debt security is less than 10 years, then the probability of default is adjusted to a lower percentage for the shorter period, which may move the security higher on our risk rating scale.

The above scale gives an indication of the probability of default and the magnitude of the loss if there is a default. Generally, our policy is to stop accruing interest on an investment if we determine that interest is no longer collectable. As of both September 30 and March 31, 2012, two control investments, ASH and CCE, were on non-accrual with an aggregate loan fair value of \$4.0 million and \$0, respectively. Additionally, we do not risk rate our equity securities.

The following table lists the risk ratings for all proprietary loans in our portfolio as of September 30 and March 31, 2012, representing approximately 100.0% of the principal balance of all loans in our portfolio at the end of each period:

| Rating | As of September 30, 2012 | As of March 31, 2012 |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Highest | 9.9 | 7.9 |
| Average | 4.9 | 5.0 |
| Weighted Average | 4.8 | 5.3 |
| Lowest | 1.3 | 2.4 |

As of September 30 and March 31, 2012, we did not have any non-proprietary loans in our investment portfolio.

Tax Status*Federal Income Taxes*

We intend to continue to qualify for treatment as a RIC under Subtitle A, Chapter 1 of Subchapter M of the Code. As a RIC, we are not subject to federal income tax on the portion of our taxable income and gains distributed to stockholders. To qualify as a RIC, we must meet certain source-of-income, asset diversification and annual distribution requirements. For more information regarding the requirements we must meet as a RIC, see Business Environment. Under the annual distribution requirements, we are required to distribute to stockholders at least 90% of our investment company taxable income, as defined by the Code. Our practice has been to pay out as distributions up to 100% of that amount.

In an effort to limit certain excise taxes imposed on RICs, we generally distribute during each calendar year, an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98% of our ordinary income for the calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gains in excess of capital losses for the one-year period ending on October 31 of the calendar year and (3) any ordinary income and net capital gains for preceding years that were not distributed during such years. However, we did incur an excise tax of \$36 and \$24 for the calendar years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Under the RIC Modernization Act, we are permitted to carry forward capital losses incurred in taxable years beginning after March 31, 2011, for an unlimited period. However, any losses incurred during those future taxable years will be required to be utilized prior to the losses incurred in pre-enactment taxable years, which carry an expiration date. As a result of this ordering rule, pre-enactment capital loss carryforwards may be more likely to expire unused. Additionally, post-enactment capital loss carryforwards will retain their character as either short-term or long-term capital losses rather than only being considered short-term as permitted under previous regulation.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

Interest Income Recognition

Interest income, adjusted for amortization of premiums and acquisition costs, the accretion of discounts and the amortization of amendment fees, is recorded on the accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. Generally, when a loan becomes 90 days or more past due, or if our qualitative assessment indicates that the debtor is unable to service its debt or other obligations, we will place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan until the borrower has demonstrated the ability and intent to pay contractual amounts due. However, we remain contractually entitled to this interest. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans may be recognized as income or applied to the cost basis, depending upon management's judgment. Generally, non-accrual loans are restored to accrual status when past-due principal and interest are paid, and, in management's judgment, are likely to remain current, or due to a restructuring such that the interest income is deemed to be collectible. At September 30, 2012, loans to two portfolio companies, ASH Holdings Corp. (ASH) and Country Club Enterprises, LLC (CCE), were on non-accrual. These non-accrual loans had an aggregate cost value of \$17.1 million, or 7.7% of the cost basis of debt investments in our portfolio, and an aggregate fair value of \$4.0 million, or 2.1% of the fair value of all debt investments in our portfolio. At March 31, 2012, ASH and CCE were on non-accrual with an aggregate debt cost basis of \$16.4 million, or 8.6% of the cost basis of debt investments in our portfolio, and an aggregate fair value of \$0.

We did not hold any loans in our portfolio that contained a PIK provision at September 30, 2012 and no PIK income was recorded during the three and six months ended September 30, 2012. During the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded PIK income of \$1 and \$6, respectively. PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in the loan agreement, is added to the principal balance of the loan and recorded as interest income. To maintain our status as a RIC, this non-cash source of income must be included in our calculation of distributable income for purposes of complying with our distribution requirements, even though we have not yet collected the cash. The sole loan with a PIK provision was paid off, at par, during the quarter ended September 30, 2011.

Other Income Recognition

We generally record success fees upon receipt of cash. Success fees are contractually due upon a change of control in a portfolio company and are recorded in other income in our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*. We recorded \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million of success fees during the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, representing prepayments received from Mathey Investments, Inc. (Mathey) and Cavert II Holding Corp. (Cavert). During the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded success fees of \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, representing prepayments received from Mathey and Cavert. As of September 30, 2012, we have an off-balance sheet success fee receivable of approximately \$11.0 million.

We accrue dividend income on preferred equity securities to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected and if we have the option to collect such amounts in cash, and it is recorded in Other income in our accompanying *Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations*. We recorded \$0.1 million in dividend income during the three and six months ended September 30, 2012 on accrued preferred shares of Drew Foam Companies, Inc. (Drew Foam). We did not record any dividend income during the three months ended September 30, 2011; however, during the six months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded and collected \$0.7 million of dividends on accrued preferred shares in connection with the recapitalization of Cavert.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk includes risks that arise from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices and other market changes that affect market sensitive instruments. The prices of securities held by us may decline in response to certain events, including those directly involving the companies whose securities are owned by us; conditions affecting the general economy; overall market changes; local, regional or global political, social or economic instability; and interest rate fluctuations.

The primary risk we believe we are exposed to is interest rate risk. Because we borrow money to make investments, our net investment is dependent upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds and the rate at which we invest those funds. As a result, there can be no assurance that a significant change in market interest rates will not have a material adverse effect on our net investment income. We use a combination of debt and equity capital to finance our investing activities. We do use interest rate risk management techniques to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations. Such techniques may include various interest rate hedging activities to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act.

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION\DE - Form 10-Q

While we target that ultimately approximately 20% of the loans in our portfolio will be made at fixed rates, with approximately 80% made at variable rates or variables rates with a floor mechanism, all of our variable-rate loans have rates associated with either the current LIBOR or prime rate. At September 30, 2012, our portfolio consisted of the following breakdown based on total principal balance of all outstanding debt investments:

| | |
|---------------|-----------------------------|
| 78.6% | Variable rates with a floor |
| 21.4 | Fixed rates |
| 100.0% | Total |

Table of Contents

There have been no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative market risk disclosures for the three months ended September 30, 2012 from that disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, as filed with the SEC on May 21, 2012.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of September 30, 2012 (the end of the period covered by this report), we, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness and design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, our management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level in timely alerting management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, of material information about us required to be included in periodic SEC filings. However, in evaluation of the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in internal controls for the three months ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Neither we, nor any of our subsidiaries, are currently subject to any material legal proceeding, nor, to our knowledge, is any material legal proceeding threatened against us or any of our subsidiaries.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Our business is subject to certain risks and events that, if they occur, could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and the trading price of our securities. For a discussion of these risks, please refer to the **Risk Factors** section in our Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to our registration statement on Form N-2 (No. 333-181879) as filed with the SEC on July 17, 2012 (the **Prospectus**) and the supplement to the Prospectus as filed with the SEC on October 2, 2012.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

See the exhibit index.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GLADSTONE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

By: /s/ David Watson
David Watson
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Date: October 29, 2012

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit | Description |
|----------------|--|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit a.2 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-123699), filed May 13, 2005. |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Designation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.a.2 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-160720), filed February 29, 2012. |
| 3.3 | Amended and Restated Bylaws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit b.2 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-123699), filed June 21, 2005. |
| 3.4 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00704), filed on July 10, 2007. |
| 4.1 | Specimen Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-123699), filed June 21, 2005. |
| 4.2 | Specimen 7.125% Series A Cumulative Term Preferred Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.d.4 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-160720), filed February 29, 2012. |
| 4.3 | Dividend Reinvestment Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.e to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-123699), filed June 21, 2005. |
| 4.4 | Form of Common Stock Subscription Form and Subscription Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.d.5 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-181879), filed July 17, 2012. |
| 4.5 | Form of Preferred Stock Subscription Form and Subscription Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.d.6 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-181879), filed July 17, 2012. |
| 4.6 | Form of Common Stock Warrant Agreement and Warrant Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.d.7 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-181879), filed July 17, 2012. |
| 4.7 | Form of Preferred Stock Warrant Agreement and Warrant Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.d.8 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-181879), filed July 17, 2012. |
| 10.1 | Amendment No. 1 to Gladstone Business Investment, LLC Credit Agreement, dated October 5, 2012, by and among Gladstone Business Investment, LLC as Borrower, Gladstone Management Corporation as Servicer, the Committed Lenders named therein, the Managing Agents named therein, and Branch Banking and Trust Company as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-34007), filed October 9, 2012. |
| 11 | Computation of Per Share Earnings (included in the notes to the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this report). |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

All other exhibits for which provision is made in the applicable regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instruction or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.