ZOGENIX, INC. Form PRE 14A April 17, 2012 **Table of Contents**

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION (D-1-14-101)

F

(Rule 14a-101)
PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) C
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Filed by the Registrant x
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "
Check the appropriate box:
x Preliminary Proxy Statement
" Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
" Definitive Proxy Statement
" Definitive Additional Materials
" Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12
Zogenix, Inc.
(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other Than the Registrant)
Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
x No fee required.

Edgar Filing: ZOGENIX, INC. - Form PRE 14A

Fee	computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
(5)	Total fee paid:
Fee	paid previously with preliminary materials.
Chec	ck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
(1)	Amount Previously Paid:

Edgar Filing: ZOGENIX, INC. - Form PRE 14A

(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:

12400 High Bluff Drive, Suite 650

San Diego, California 92130

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF

STOCKHOLDERS AND PROXY STATEMENT

Dear	stock	-hal	der
Dear	Stock	moi	aer:

The annual meeting of stockholders of Zogenix, Inc. will be held at the San Diego Marriott Del Mar, located at 11966 El Camino Real, San Diego, California 92130 on June 6, 2012 at 8:30 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect three (3) directors for a three-year term to expire at the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders;
- 2. To consider and vote upon the ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012;
- 3. To consider and vote upon the approval of the amendment and restatement of the Company s 2010 Equity Incentive Award Plan;
- 4. To consider and vote upon the approval of an amendment to the Company s Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares of common stock from 100,000,000 to 200,000,000; and
- 5. To transact such other business as may be properly brought before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the attached proxy statement, which forms a part of this notice and is incorporated herein by reference. Our board of directors has fixed the close of business on April 19, 2012 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Accompanying this notice is a proxy card. Whether or not you expect to attend our annual meeting, please complete, sign and date the enclosed proxy card and return it promptly, or complete and submit your proxy via phone or the internet in accordance with the instructions provided on the enclosed proxy card. If you plan to attend our annual meeting and wish to vote your shares personally, you may do so at any time before the proxy is voted.

All stockholders are cordially invited to attend the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Roger L. Hawley

Chief Executive Officer and Director

San Diego, California

April , 2012

Edgar Filing: ZOGENIX, INC. - Form PRE 14A

Your vote is important. Please vote your shares whether or not you plan to attend the meeting.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING	1
PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	5
PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS	17
PROPOSAL 3: APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT AND RESTATEMENT OF THE COMPANY S 2010 EQUITY INCENTIVE AWARD PLAN	19
PROPOSAL 4: APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE COMPANY S AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO INCREASE THE AUTHORIZED NUMBER OF SHARES OF COMMON STOCK	30
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	31
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND OTHER INFORMATION	34
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS	52
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	53
STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS	53
ANNUAL REPORT	53
OTHER MATTERS	54
APPENDIX A: ZOGENIX, INC. 2010 EQUITY INCENTIVE AWARD PLAN	A-1

12400 High Bluff Drive, Suite 650

San Diego, California 92130

PROXY STATEMENT FOR THE 2012 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY, JUNE 6, 2012

The board of directors of Zogenix, Inc. is soliciting the enclosed proxy for use at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on June 6, 2012 at 8:30 a.m., local time, at the San Diego Marriott Del Mar, located at 11966 El Camino Real, San Diego, California 92130. If you need directions to the location of the annual meeting, please contact us at (858) 259-1165.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on June 6, 2012.

This proxy statement and our annual report are available electronically at http://www.amstock.com/ProxyServices/ViewMaterial.asp?CoNumber=40052.

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

Why did you send me this proxy statement?

We sent you this proxy statement and the enclosed proxy card because our board of directors is soliciting your proxy to vote at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders. This proxy statement summarizes information related to your vote at the annual meeting. All stockholders who find it convenient to do so are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting in person. However, you do not need to attend the meeting to vote your shares. Instead, you may simply complete, sign and return the enclosed proxy card.

We intend to begin mailing this proxy statement, the attached notice of annual meeting and the enclosed proxy card on or about April 27, 2012 to all stockholders of record entitled to vote at the annual meeting. Only stockholders who owned our common stock on April 19, 2012 are entitled to vote at the annual meeting. On this record date, there were shares of our common stock outstanding. Common stock is our only class of stock entitled to vote.

What am I voting on?

There are four proposals scheduled for a vote:

Proposal 1: To elect three (3) directors:

James C. Blair, Ph.D.

Stephen J. Farr, Ph.D.; and

Arda M. Minocherhomjee, Ph.D.

Proposal 2: Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accountants for the year ending December 31, 2012.

Proposal 3: Approval of the amendment and restatement of the Company s 2010 Equity Incentive Award Plan.

1

Proposal 4: Approval of an amendment to the Company s Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares of common stock from 100,000,000 to 200,000,000.

How many votes do I have?

Each share of our common stock that you own as of April 19, 2012 entitles you to one vote.

How do I vote by proxy?

With respect to the election of directors, you may either vote For all of the nominees to the board of directors or you may Withhold your vote for any nominee you specify. With respect to each of the other matters to be voted on, you may vote For or Against or abstain from voting.

Stockholders of Record: Shares Registered in Your Name

If you are a stockholder of record, there are several ways for you to vote your shares. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we urge you to vote by proxy to ensure that your vote is counted.

By Mail: You may vote using your proxy card by completing, signing, dating and returning the proxy card in the self-addressed, postage-paid envelope provided. If you properly complete your proxy card and send it to us in time to vote, your proxy (one of the individuals named on your proxy card) will vote your shares as you have directed. If you sign the proxy card but do not make specific choices, your shares, as permitted, will be voted as recommended by our board of directors. If any other matter is presented at the annual meeting, your proxy (one of the individuals named on your proxy card) will vote in accordance with his or her best judgment. As of the date of this proxy statement, we knew of no matters that needed to be acted on at the meeting, other than those discussed in this proxy statement.

Via the Internet: You may vote at <u>www.voteproxy.com</u>, 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Have your proxy card available when you call and use the Company Number and Account Number shown on your proxy card. Votes submitted through the Internet must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on June 5, 2012.

By Telephone: You may vote using a touch-tone telephone by calling 1-800-776-9437, 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Have your proxy card available when you call and use the Company Number and Account Number shown on your proxy card. Votes submitted by telephone must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on June 5, 2012.

In Person: You may still attend the meeting and vote in person even if you have already voted by proxy. To vote in person, come to the annual meeting and we will give you a ballot at the annual meeting.

Beneficial Owners: Shares Registered in the Name of a Broker or Bank

If you are a beneficial owner of shares registered in the name of your broker, bank or other agent, you should have received a proxy card and voting instructions with these proxy materials from that organization rather than directly from us. Simply complete and mail the proxy card to ensure that your vote is counted. You may be eligible to vote your shares electronically over the Internet or by telephone. A large number of banks and brokerage firms offer Internet and telephone voting. If your bank or brokerage firm does not offer Internet or telephone voting information, please complete and return your proxy card in the self-addressed, postage-paid envelope provided. To vote in person at the annual meeting, you must obtain a valid proxy from your broker, bank or other agent. Follow the instructions from your broker or bank included with these proxy materials, or contact your broker or bank to request a proxy form.

May I revoke my proxy?

If you give us your proxy, you may revoke it at any time before it is exercised. You may revoke your proxy in any one of the three following ways:

you may send in another signed proxy with a later date,

you may notify our corporate secretary, Ann D. Rhoads, in writing before the annual meeting that you have revoked your proxy, or

you may notify our corporate secretary in writing before the annual meeting and vote in person at the meeting.

What constitutes a quorum?

The presence at the annual meeting, in person or by proxy, of holders representing a majority of our outstanding common stock as of April 19, 2012, or approximately shares, constitutes a quorum at the meeting, permitting us to conduct our business.

What vote is required to approve each proposal?

Proposal 1: Election of Directors. The three nominees who receive the most For votes (among votes properly cast in person or by proxy) will be elected. Only votes For or Withheld will affect the outcome.

Proposal 2: Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. The ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP must receive For votes from the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the annual meeting.

Proposal 3: Approval of the Amendment and Restatement of the Company s 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. The approval of the amendment and restatement of the 2010 Equity Incentive Award Plan must receive For votes from the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the annual meeting.

Proposal 4: Approval of an Amendment to the Company s Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to Increase the Authorized Number of Shares of Common Stock. The approval of an amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation must receive For votes, either in person or by proxy, from the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock.

Voting results will be tabulated and certified by our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company.

What is the effect of abstentions and broker non-votes?

Shares of common stock held by persons attending the annual meeting but not voting, and shares represented by proxies that reflect abstentions as to a particular proposal, will be counted as present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum. Abstentions are treated as shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote, so abstaining has the same effect as a negative vote for purposes of determining whether our stockholders have ratified the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, the amendment and restatement of our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan and the amendment to our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares of our common stock. However, because the election of directors is determined by a plurality of votes cast, abstentions will not be counted in determining the outcome of such a proposal.

Shares represented by proxies that reflect a broker non-vote will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists. A broker non-vote occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner has not received instructions from the beneficial owner and does not have discretionary authority to vote the shares for certain non-routine matters. With regard to the election of directors and the approval of the amendment and restatement of our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan , broker non-votes, if any, will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the result of the vote. However, ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP and the approval of an amendment to our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares of our common stock are considered routine matters on which a broker or other nominee has discretionary authority to vote. As a result, broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of these proposals.

Who is paying the costs of soliciting these proxies?

We will pay all of the costs of soliciting these proxies. Our directors, officers and other employees may solicit proxies in person or by telephone, fax or email. We have retained the services of Phoenix Advisory Partners to assist us in the distribution and solicitation of proxies and have agreed to pay an estimated fee of \$7,000, plus reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses. We will pay our directors, officers and other employees no additional compensation for these services. We will ask banks, brokers and other institutions, nominees and fiduciaries to forward these proxy materials to their principals and to obtain authority to execute proxies. We will then reimburse them for their expenses. Our costs for forwarding proxy materials will not be significant.

How do I obtain an Annual Report on Form 10-K?

If you would like a copy of our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 that we filed with the SEC, we will send you one without charge. Please write to:

Zogenix, Inc.

12400 High Bluff Drive, Suite 650

San Diego, California 92130

Attn: Corporate Secretary

All of our SEC filings are also available free of charge in the investor relations section of our website at www.zogenix.com.

How can I find out the results of the voting at the annual meeting?

Preliminary voting results will be announced at the annual meeting. Final voting results will be published in our current report on Form 8-K to be filed with the SEC within four business days after the annual meeting. If final voting results are not available to us in time to file a Form 8-K within four business days after the meeting, we intend to file a Form 8-K to publish preliminary results and, within four business days after the final results are known to us, file an additional Form 8-K to publish the final results.

4

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our board of directors is divided into three classes, with one class of our directors standing for election each year, generally for a three-year term. The current term of the company s Class II directors, who are James C. Blair, Ph.D., Stephen J. Farr, Ph.D. and Arda M. Minocherhomjee, Ph.D., will expire at the 2012 annual meeting. The nominees for Class II director for election at the 2012 annual meeting are James C. Blair, Ph.D., Stephen J. Farr, Ph.D., and Arda M. Minocherhomjee, Ph.D. If any of Drs. Blair, Farr or Minocherhomjee are elected at the 2012 annual meeting, such individual will be elected to serve for a term of three years that will expire at our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders and until such individual s successor is elected and qualified.

If no contrary indication is made, proxies in the accompanying form are to be voted for Drs. Blair, Farr and Minocherhomjee, or in the event that Drs. Blair, Farr or Minocherhomjee is not a candidate or is unable to serve as a director at the time of the election (which is not currently expected), for any nominee who is designated by our board of directors to fill the vacancy. Drs. Blair, Farr or Minocherhomjee are currently members of our board of directors.

All of our directors bring to the board of directors significant leadership experience derived from their professional experience and service as executives or board members of other corporations and/or venture capital firms. The process undertaken by the nominating/ corporate governance committee in recommending qualified director candidates is described below under Director Nominations Process. Certain individual qualifications and skills of our directors that contribute to the board of directors effectiveness as a whole are described in the following paragraphs.

Information Regarding Directors

The information set forth below as to the directors and nominees for director has been furnished to us by the directors and nominees for director:

Nominees for Election to the Board of Directors

For a Three-Year Term Expiring at the

2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (Class II)

Name Age Present Position with Zogenix, Inc.

James C. Blair, Ph.D. 72 Director

Stephen J. Farr, Ph.D. 53 President, Chief Operating Officer and Director

Arda M. Minocherhomjee, Ph.D. 58 Director

James C. Blair, Ph.D. has served as a member of our board of directors since August 2006. Dr. Blair is a Managing Member of Domain Associates, LLC, and he has been a Partner of Domain since its founding in 1985. Dr. Blair s present board memberships include Applied Proteomics, Inc., Astute Medical Inc., aTyr Pharma, Inc., Cadence Pharmaceuticals, Clovis Oncology, Inc., CoDa Therapeutics, IntegenX, Inc., Meritage Pharma and NeuroPace. Dr. Blair has over 40 years experience with venture and emerging growth companies. In the course of this experience, he has been involved in the creation and successful development at the board level of over 40 life sciences ventures, including Amgen, Aurora Biosciences, Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Applied Biosystems, Dura Pharmaceuticals, GeneOhm Sciences, Molecular Dynamics, Nuvasive, Pharmion and Volcano. A former managing director of Rothschild Inc., Dr. Blair was directly involved at a senior level with Rothschild/New Court venture capital activities from 1978 to 1985. From 1969 to 1978, he was associated with F.S. Smithers and Co. and White, Weld and Co., two investment banking firms actively involved with new ventures and emerging growth companies. From 1961 to 1969, Dr. Blair was an engineering manager with RCA Corporation, during which time he received a David Sarnoff Fellowship. Dr. Blair currently serves on the board of directors of the Prostate Cancer Foundation, and he is on the Advisory Boards of the Department of Molecular Biology at

Princeton University, the USC Stevens Institute for Innovation and the Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering at the California Institute of Technology. Dr. Blair received a B.S.E. from Princeton University and an M.S.E and Ph.D. from the University of Pennsylvania. With more than forty years experience at the board level with venture and emerging growth companies, Dr. Blair s extensive expertise in the evaluation of financing alternatives, strategic planning for life sciences companies, and substantial executive leadership skills, contributed to our board of directors conclusion that he should serve as a director of our company.

Stephen J. Farr, Ph.D. is one of our co-founders and has served as our President and as a member of our board of directors since our inception in May 2006. From May 2006 to August 2006, Dr. Farr also served as our Chief Executive Officer and since August 2006, Dr. Farr has served as our Chief Operating Officer. From 1995 to August 2006, Dr. Farr held positions of increasing responsibility within pharmaceutical sciences and research and development at Aradigm Corporation, and he served most recently as Senior Vice President and Chief Scientific Officer. In 2003, he played a key role in identifying and acquiring the DosePro technology and became technical director and executive sponsor for the development of *sumatriptan* DosePro at Aradigm Corporation. From 1986 to 1994, Dr. Farr was a tenured professor at the Welsh School of Pharmacy, Cardiff University, United Kingdom, concentrating in the areas of physical pharmacy and biopharmaceutics. He is a fellow of the American Association of Pharmaceutical Scientists and visiting Associate Professor in the Department of Pharmaceutics, School of Pharmacy, Virginia Commonwealth University. Dr. Farr is a registered pharmacist in the United Kingdom and obtained his Ph.D. degree in Pharmaceutics from the University of Wales. As one of our co-founders and having served as our President since May 2006, Dr. Farr s extensive knowledge of our business, history and culture, including his in-depth involvement with the DosePro technology and the development of Sumavel DosePro, as well as his significant experience in research and development and thorough knowledge of the pharmaceutical product development process, contributed to our board of directors conclusion that he should serve as a director of our company.

Arda M. Minocherhomjee, Ph.D. has served as a member of our board of directors since December 2009. Dr. Minocherhomjee has been a Partner of Chicago Growth Partners since he co-founded the firm in 2004. Prior to founding Chicago Growth Partners, Dr. Minocherhomjee was a Managing Director at William Blair Capital Partners and currently is a Managing Director and member of the Board of Managers of WBCP VI and VII. Prior to that, Dr. Minocherhomjee was a Senior Healthcare Analyst at William Blair & Company. As head of the firm s Healthcare Research Group, Dr. Minocherhomjee covered several sectors, including drugs/drug delivery, medical devices and selected healthcare services. He was a Wall Street Journal All-Star Analyst in both medical device and pharmaceutical sectors. Dr. Minocherhomjee s current and past directorships include: DJ Pharma, EndoGastric Solutions, Genoptix, Lanx, Morton Grove Pharmaceuticals, NovaVision, NuVasive, PharmaResearch Corporation, Proteome, TargeGen, and Xoft. Dr. Minocherhomjee received a MS (Pharmacology) from the University of Toronto, a Ph.D. and a M.B.A. from the University of British Columbia, and was a post-doctoral fellow in Pharmacology at the University of Washington Medical School. Dr. Minocherhomjee s experience as a founder of a private equity firm, significant participation on the boards of directors of various pharmaceutical companies and substantial knowledge of the pharmaceutical industry, contributed to our board of directors conclusion that he should serve as a director of our company.

Members of the Board of Directors Continuing in Office

Term Expiring at the

2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (Class III)

Roger L. Hawley Erle T. Mast Kurt C. Wheeler Age Present Position with Zogenix, Inc.

59 Chief Executive Officer and Director

49 Director59 Director

6

Roger L. Hawley is one of our co-founders and has served as our Chief Executive Officer and as a member of our board of directors since August 2006. From January 2006 to August 2006, Mr. Hawley served as a consultant to CG Pharma, Inc. From August 2003 to January 2006 he served as Executive Vice President, Commercial and Technical Operations for InterMune, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company focused on therapies in hepatology and pulmonology. From October 2002 to July 2003, Mr. Hawley was the Chief Commercial Officer at Prometheus Laboratories Inc., a specialty pharmaceutical and diagnostics company. From 2001 to 2002, Mr. Hawley served as General Manager & Vice President of Sales and Marketing at Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (acquired by Alkermes Plc). From 1987 to 2001, Mr. Hawley held a broad range of management positions in commercial operations, alliance/partnership management, and regional sales and corporate finance at GlaxoSmithKline, or GSK. His last position at GSK was Vice President of Sales-CNS/GI Division. From 1976 to 1987, he held various financial management positions with Marathon Oil Company, including serving four years in London, England. While at Marathon, he was a certified treasury manager and a certified public accountant. Mr. Hawley served as a member of the board of directors of Cypress Bioscience, Inc., a publicly traded pharmaceutical company, until December 2010. Mr. Hawley holds a B.Sc. in Accounting from Eastern Illinois University. As one of our co-founders and having served as our Chief Executive Officer since August 2006, Mr. Hawley s extensive knowledge of our business, history and culture, as well as over 20 years of experience in the pharmaceutical industry, including providing strong executive leadership to numerous biopharmaceutical companies, contributed to our board of directors conclusion that he should serve as a director of our company.

Erle T. Mast has served as a member of our board of directors since May 2008. Mr. Mast is a co-founder of and has served as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer with Clovis Oncology, Inc. since May 2009. From July 2002 to May 2008, Mr. Mast served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Pharmion Corporation, until its acquisition by Celgene Corporation. From 2000 to 2002, after Elan Pharma International Ltd. acquired Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Mr. Mast served as Chief Financial Officer for the Global Biopharmaceuticals business unit of Elan. From 1997 to 2000, Mr. Mast served as Vice President of Finance for Dura Pharmaceuticals. From 1984 to 1997, Mr. Mast held positions of increasing responsibility at Deloitte & Touche, LLP, serving most recently as Partner, where he provided accounting, auditing and business consulting services to companies in various industries, including the healthcare, pharmaceutical, biotech and manufacturing industries. Mr. Mast also serves on the board of directors of Somaxon Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Mr. Mast received a degree in Business Administration from California State University, Bakersfield. Mr. Mast s experience as a chief financial officer of various companies in the healthcare industry and in providing accounting, auditing and consulting services while at Deloitte & Touche, LLP, as well as his expertise in management, accounting, treasury, and finance functions, contributed to our board of directors conclusion that he should serve as a director of our company.

Kurt C. Wheeler has served as a member of our board of directors since August 2006. Mr. Wheeler is a Managing Director of Clarus Ventures, a venture capital firm, a position he has held since February 2005, and is a General Partner of MPM Capital BioVentures II and III funds, a position he has held since March 2000. From March 1992 to September 1998, Mr. Wheeler was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of InControl, Inc., a publicly traded medical device company that designed, developed, and marketed implantable medical devices to treat irregular heart rhythms, which was sold to Guidant Corporation. Mr. Wheeler serves on the board of directors of several private medical device and biopharmaceutical companies. Mr. Wheeler holds a B.A. degree from Brigham Young University and a M.B.A. degree from Northwestern University, where he serves on the Kellogg Alumni Advisory Board. Mr. Wheeler s background as a chief executive officer of a large, publicly-traded company, his extensive experience at the board level in various biopharmaceutical companies and his experience as a venture capitalist focused in the biopharmaceutical industry, bring to our board critical skills related to financial oversight of complex organizations, financing and strategic planning, and contributed to our board of directors conclusion that he should serve as a director of our company.

7

Term Expiring at the

2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (Class I)

Name Age Present Position with Zogenix, Inc.

Louis C. Bock 47 Director

Cam L. Garner 63 Chairman of the Board of Directors

Mark Wiggins 56 Director

Louis C. Bock has served as a member of our board of directors since August 2006. Since its formation in January 2007, Mr. Bock has served as Managing Director of Scale Venture Partners, a venture capital firm. Mr. Bock joined Scale Venture Partners in September 1997 from Gilead Sciences, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company, where he held positions in research, project management, business development and sales from September 1989 to September 1997. Prior to Gilead, he was a research associate at Genentech, Inc. from November 1987 to September 1989. He currently serves as a director of Arizona Therapeutics, Inc. (AzTE), Ascenta Therapeutics, Inc., Horizon Therapeutics, Inc., Orexigen Therapeutics, Inc., New Century Hospice, Inc. and Sonexa Therapeutics, Inc. and is responsible for Scale Venture Partners prior investments in Seattle Genetics, Prestwick Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Somaxon Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Mr. Bock received his B.S. in Biology from California State University, Chico and an M.B.A. from California State University, San Francisco. Mr. Bock s extensive clinical and leadership experience in the biotechnology and biopharmaceutical industries, including experience in research, project management, business development and sales from his time at Gilead, and his membership on other companies boards of directors, including positions on other audit and nominating/corporate governance committees, contributed to our board of directors conclusion that he should serve as a director of our company.

Cam L. Garner is one of our co-founders and has served as chairman of our board of directors since August 2006. Mr. Garner co-founded specialty pharmaceutical companies Cadence Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Somaxon Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Evoke Pharma, Inc., Elevation Pharmaceuticals, Inc., DJ Pharma, Verus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Xcel Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Neurelis, Inc. and Meritage Pharma, Inc. He has served as chairman of Cadence, Verus, Evoke, Elevation and Meritage since May 2004, November 2002, January 2007, December 2007 and February 2008, respectively. Xcel was acquired in March 2005 by Valeant Pharmaceuticals International and DJ Pharma was sold to Biovail in 2000. He was Chief Executive Officer of Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. from 1989 to 1995 and its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from 1995 to 2000 until it was sold to Elan in November 2000. Mr. Garner also serves on the board of directors of Aegis Therapeutics, Inc. Mr. Garner earned his B.A. in Biology from Virginia Wesleyan College and an M.B.A. from Baldwin-Wallace College. As one of our co-founders and having served as our chairman since August 2006, Mr. Garner s extensive knowledge of our business, history and culture, his extensive experience as a board member of multiple publicly-traded and privately-held companies, and expertise in developing, financing and providing strong executive leadership to numerous biopharmaceutical companies, contributed to our board of directors conclusion that he should serve as a director of our company.

Mark Wiggins has served as a member of our board of directors since May 2011. Mr. Wiggins recently served as the Chief Business Officer of Mpex Pharmaceuticals, a biopharmaceutical company, overseeing strategic business operations and the sale of the Company in 2011. From May 1998 to February 2009, Mr. Wiggins was employed at Biogen Idec, Inc., and its predecessor Idec Pharmaceuticals, Corp., biotechnology companies, where he served as head of Business Development, later as Vice President of Marketing and Business Development and most recently as Executive Vice President of Corporate and Business Development. At Idec Pharmaceuticals, Mr. Wiggins was a member of the management committee for the collaboration with Genentech on Rituxan[®], and he was responsible for worldwide partnering, licensing and corporate acquisitions. Mr. Wiggins has substantial experience in pharmaceutical marketing and business development activities. Prior to Biogen Idec, Mr. Wiggins spent sixteen years in a number of positions of increasing responsibility in marketing, marketing research and business development at Hybridon, Inc., Schering-Plough Corporation (now Merck), Johnson & Johnson and Pfizer, Inc. Mr. Wiggins business development transaction experience includes

closing over twenty licensing deals and several global corporate partnerships and company acquisitions. Mr. Wiggins earned his B.S. degree in Finance from Syracuse University and an M.B.A. from the University of Arizona. Mr. Wiggins expertise in business development activities and the marketing of pharmaceutical products, as well as his extensive management experience within the biopharmaceutical industry, contributed to our board of directors conclusion that he should serve as a director of our company.

Board Independence

Our board of directors has determined that all of our directors are independent directors within the meaning of the applicable Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, or Nasdaq, listing standards, except for Roger L. Hawley, our Chief Executive Officer, and Stephen J. Farr, Ph.D., our President and Chief Operating Officer.

Board Leadership Structure

Our board of directors is currently led by its chairman, Cam L. Garner. Our board of directors recognizes that it is important to determine an optimal board leadership structure to ensure the independent oversight of management as the company continues to grow. We separate the roles of chief executive officer and chairman of the board in recognition of the differences between the two roles. The chief executive officer is responsible for setting the strategic direction for the company and the day-to-day leadership and performance of the company, while the chairman of the board of directors provides guidance to the chief executive officer and presides over meetings of the full board of directors. We believe that this separation of responsibilities provides a balanced approach to managing the board of directors and overseeing the company.

The Board s Role in Risk Oversight

Our board of directors has responsibility for the oversight of the company s risk management processes and, either as a whole or through its committees, regularly discusses with management our major risk exposures, their potential impact on our business and the steps we take to manage them. The risk oversight process includes receiving regular reports from board committees and members of senior management to enable our board to understand the company s risk identification, risk management and risk mitigation strategies with respect to areas of potential material risk, including operations, finance, legal, regulatory, strategic and reputational risk.

The audit committee reviews information regarding liquidity and operations, and oversees our management of financial risks. Periodically, the audit committee reviews our policies with respect to risk assessment, risk management, loss prevention and regulatory compliance. Oversight by the audit committee includes direct communication with our external auditors, and discussions with management regarding significant risk exposures and the actions management has taken to limit, monitor or control such exposures. The compensation committee is responsible for assessing whether any of our compensation policies or programs has the potential to encourage excessive risk-taking. The nominating/corporate governance committee manages risks associated with the independence of the board, corporate disclosure practices, and potential conflicts of interest. While each committee is responsible for evaluating certain risks and overseeing the management of such risks, the entire board is regularly informed through committee reports about such risks. Matters of significant strategic risk are considered by our board as a whole.

Board of Directors Meetings

During the fiscal year 2011, our board of directors met sixteen times, including telephonic meetings. In that year, each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the meetings held by the board of directors, and each director who is a member of a committee attended at least 75% of the meetings the committees of our board of directors held on which the director served.

9

Committees of the Board Of Directors

We have three standing committees: the audit committee, the compensation committee and the nominating/corporate governance committee. Each of these committees has a written charter approved by our board of directors. A copy of each charter can be found under the Investor Relations-Corporate Governance section of our website at www.zogenix.com.

Audit Committee

The audit committee of our board of directors currently consists of Messrs. Mast (chairman and audit committee financial expert) and Bock and Dr. Minocherhomjee. The audit committee met six times during fiscal year 2011, including telephonic meetings. Our board of directors has determined that all members of the audit committee are independent directors, as defined in the Nasdaq qualification standards and by Section 10A of Exchange Act. In addition, our board of directors has determined that Mr. Mast qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as that phrase is defined under the regulations promulgated by the SEC. The audit committee is governed by a written charter adopted by our board of directors. The audit committee s main function is to oversee our accounting and financial reporting processes, internal systems of control, independent registered public accounting firm relationships and the audits of our financial statements. The audit committee s responsibilities include, among other things:

selecting and engaging our independent registered public accounting firm;

evaluating the qualifications, independence and performance of our independent registered public accounting firm;

approving the audit and non-audit services to be performed by our independent registered public accounting firm;

reviewing the design, implementation, adequacy and effectiveness of our internal controls and our critical accounting policies;

discussing with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the results of our annual audit and the review of our quarterly unaudited financial statements;

reviewing, overseeing and monitoring the integrity of our financial statements and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements as they relate to financial statements or accounting matters;

reviewing with management and our auditors any earnings announcements and other public announcements regarding our results of operations;

preparing the report that the SEC requires in our annual proxy statement;

reviewing and approving any related party transactions and reviewing and monitoring compliance with our code of conduct and ethics; and

reviewing and evaluating, at least annually, the performance of the audit committee and its members including compliance of the audit committee with its charter.

Edgar Filing: ZOGENIX, INC. - Form PRE 14A

Both our external auditor and internal financial personnel meet privately with the audit committee and have unrestricted access to this committee.

Compensation Committee

The compensation committee of our board of directors currently consists of Drs. Blair and Minocherhomjee and Messrs. Garner (chairman) and Wheeler. The compensation committee met five times during fiscal year 2011, including telephonic meetings. Our board of directors has determined that all members of the compensation committee are independent directors, as defined in the Nasdaq qualification standards. The compensation committee is governed by a written charter approved by our board of directors. The compensation

10

committee s purpose is to assist our board of directors in determining the development plans and compensation for our senior management and directors and recommend these plans to our board of directors. The compensation committee s responsibilities include, among other things:

reviewing our compensation philosophy, including our policies and strategy relative to executive compensation;

reviewing and recommending to the full board for approval the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer;

reviewing and approving the compensation of our other executive officers, including executive employment and severance agreements;

reviewing and recommending to the full board for approval the compensation policies for members of our board of directors and board committees;

reviewing, approving and administering our benefit plans and the issuance of stock options and other awards under our equity incentive plans (other than any such awards that must be approved by the full board);

reviewing and discussing with management our compensation discussion and analysis to be included in our annual proxy report or annual report on Form 10-K and producing the report that the SEC requires in our annual proxy statement; and

reviewing and evaluating, at least annually, the performance of the compensation committee and its members including compliance of the compensation committee with its charter.

Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee

The nominating/corporate governance committee of our board of directors currently consists of Messrs. Garner (chairman), Mast and Wiggins. The nominating/corporate governance committee met three times during fiscal year 2011, including telephonic meetings. Our board of directors has determined that all members of the nominating/corporate governance committee are independent directors, as defined in the Nasdaq qualification standards. The nominating/corporate governance committee is governed by a written charter approved by our board of directors. The nominating/corporate governance committee s purpose is to assist our board of directors by identifying individuals qualified to become members of our board of directors, consistent with criteria set by our board, and to develop our corporate governance principles. The nominating/corporate governance committee s responsibilities include, among other things:

evaluating the composition, size and governance of our board of directors and its committees and making recommendations regarding future planning and the appointment of directors to our committees;

administering a policy for considering stockholder nominees for election to our board of directors;

evaluating and recommending candidates for election to our board of directors;

developing guidelines for board compensation;

Edgar Filing: ZOGENIX, INC. - Form PRE 14A

overseeing our board of directors performance and self-evaluation process;

reviewing our corporate governance principles and providing recommendations to the board regarding possible changes; and

reviewing and evaluating, at least annually, the performance of the nominating/corporate governance committee and its members including compliance of the nominating/corporate governance committee with its charter.

11

Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors

The audit committee oversees the company s financial reporting process on behalf of our board of directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process, including the systems of internal controls. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the audit committee reviewed the audited financial statements in the company s annual report with management, including a discussion of any significant changes in the selection or application of accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments, the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements and the effect of any new accounting initiatives.

The audit committee reviewed with Ernst & Young LLP, which is responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of the company s audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles, its judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of the company s accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed with the audit committee under generally accepted auditing standards, including the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114 (The Auditor s Communication with Those Charged with Governance). In addition, the audit committee has discussed with Ernst & Young LLP, its independence from management and the company, has received from Ernst & Young LLP the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Oversight Board regarding Ernst & Young LLP s communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and has considered the compatibility of non-audit services with the auditors independence.

The audit committee met with Ernst & Young LLP to discuss the overall scope of its services, the results of its audit and reviews, its evaluation of the company s internal controls and the overall quality of the company s financial reporting. Ernst & Young LLP, as the company s independent registered public accounting firm, also periodically updates the audit committee about new accounting developments and their potential impact on the company s reporting. The audit committee s meetings with Ernst & Young LLP were held with and without management present. The audit committee is not employed by the company, nor does it provide any expert assurance or professional certification regarding the company s financial statements. The audit committee relies, without independent verification, on the accuracy and integrity of the information provided, and representations made, by management and the company s independent registered public accounting firm.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the audit committee has recommended to the company s board of directors that the audited financial statements be included in our annual report for the year ended December 31, 2011. The audit committee and the company s board of directors also have recommended, subject to stockholder approval, the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2012.

This report of the audit committee is not—soliciting material,—shall not be deemed—filed—with the SEC and shall not be incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this proxy statement into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such acts.

The foregoing report has been furnished by the audit committee.

Respectfully submitted,

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors Erle T. Mast (Chairman) Louis C. Bock Arda M. Minocherhomjee, Ph.D.

Table of Contents 21

12

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

James C. Blair, Ph.D., Cam L. Garner, Arda M. Minocherhomjee, Ph.D. and Kurt C. Wheeler served on our compensation committee during the 2011 fiscal year. Prior to establishing the compensation committee, our board of directors as a whole performed the functions delegated to the compensation committee. None of the members of our compensation committee has ever been one of our officers or employees. None of our executive officers currently serves, or has served, as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our board of directors or compensation committee.

Director Nomination Process

Director Qualifications

In evaluating director nominees the nominating/corporate governance committee will consider among other things the following factors:

personal and professional integrity, ethics and values;

experience in corporate management, such as serving as an officer or former officer of a publicly held company;

commercialization experience in large pharmaceutical companies;

strong finance experience;

experience relevant to our industry;

experience as a board member of another publicly held company;

diversity of expertise and experience in substantive matters pertaining to our business relative to other board members;

diversity of background and perspective, including with respect to age, gender, race, place of residence and specialized experience; and

practical and mature business judgment.

The nominating/corporate governance committee s goal is to assemble a board of directors that brings to the company a variety of perspectives and skills derived from high quality business and professional experience. Moreover, the nominating/corporate governance committee believes that the background and qualifications of the board of directors, considered as a group, should provide a significant mix of experience, knowledge and abilities that will allow the board of directors to fulfill its responsibilities. Nominees are not discriminated against on the basis of race, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability or any other basis proscribed by law.

Other than the foregoing criteria for director nominees, the nominating and corporate governance committee has not adopted a formal policy with respect to a fixed set of specific minimum qualifications for its candidates for membership on the board of directors. The nominating/corporate governance committee may consider such other facts, including, without limitation, diversity, as it may deem are in the best interests of the company and its stockholders. The nominating/corporate governance committee does, however, believe it is appropriate for at least one, and, preferably, several, members of our board of directors to meet the criteria for an audit committee financial expert as defined by

Edgar Filing: ZOGENIX, INC. - Form PRE 14A

SEC rules, and that a majority of the members of our board of directors be independent as required under the Nasdaq qualification standards. The nominating/corporate governance committee also believes it is appropriate for our President and Chief Executive Officer to serve as a member of our board of directors. Our directors performance and qualification criteria are reviewed annually by the nominating/corporate governance committee.

Identification and Evaluation of Nominees for Directors

The nominating/corporate governance committee identifies nominees for director by first evaluating the current members of our board of directors willing to continue in service. Current members with qualifications and skills that are consistent with the nominating/corporate governance committee s criteria for board of director service and who are willing to continue in service are considered for re-nomination, balancing the value of continuity of service by existing members of our board of directors with that of obtaining a new perspective or expertise.

If any member of our board of directors does not wish to continue in service or if our board of directors decides not to re-nominate a member for re-election, the nominating/corporate governance committee identifies the desired skills and experience of a new nominee in light of the criteria above. The nominating/corporate governance committee generally polls our board of directors and members of management for their recommendations. The nominating/corporate governance committee may also review the composition and qualification of the boards of directors of our competitors, and may seek input from industry experts or analysts. The nominating/corporate governance committee reviews the qualifications, experience and background of the candidates. Final candidates are interviewed by the members of the nominating/corporate governance committee and by certain of our other independent directors and executive management. In making its determinations, the nominating/corporate governance committee evaluates each individual in the context of our board of directors as a whole, with the objective of assembling a group that can best contribute to the success of our company and represent stockholder interests through the exercise of sound judgment. After review and deliberation of all feedback and data, the nominating/corporate governance committee makes its recommendation to our board of directors. To date, the nominating/corporate governance committee has not utilized third-party search firms to identify board of director candidates. The nominating/corporate governance committee may in the future choose to do so in those situations where particular qualifications are required or where existing contacts are not sufficient to identify an appropriate candidate.

The nominating/corporate governance committee evaluates nominees recommended by stockholders in the same manner as it evaluates other nominees. We have not received director candidate recommendations from our stockholders and do not have a formal policy regarding consideration of such recommendations. However, any recommendations received from stockholders will be evaluated in the same manner that potential nominees suggested by board members, management or other parties are evaluated. We do not intend to treat stockholder recommendations in any manner different from other recommendations.

Under our amended and restated bylaws, stockholders wishing to suggest a candidate for director should write to our corporate secretary. In order to give the nominating/corporate governance committee sufficient time to evaluate a recommended candidate and/or include the candidate in our proxy statement for the 2013 annual meeting, the recommendation should be received by our corporate secretary at our principal executive offices in accordance with our procedures detailed in the section below entitled Stockholder Proposals.

Director Attendance at Annual Meetings

Although our company does not have a formal policy regarding attendance by members of our board of directors at our annual meeting, we encourage all of our directors to attend. All of our directors attended our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, except Ken Haas, who served on our board of directors through the date of our 2011 annual meeting.

Communications with our Board of Directors

Stockholders seeking to communicate with our board of directors should submit their written comments to our corporate secretary, Zogenix, Inc., 12400 High Bluff Drive, Suite 650, San Diego, California 92130. The corporate secretary will forward such communications to each member of our board of directors; provided that, if

14

in the opinion of our corporate secretary it would be inappropriate to send a particular stockholder communication to a specific director, such communication will only be sent to the remaining directors (subject to the remaining directors concurring with such opinion).

Corporate Governance

Our company s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Audit Committee Charter, Compensation Committee Charter and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee Charter are available, free of charge, on our website at www.zogenix.com. Please note, however, that the information contained on the website is not incorporated by reference in, or considered part of, this proxy statement. We will also provide copies of these documents, as well as our company s other corporate governance documents, free of charge, to any stockholder upon written request to Zogenix, Inc., Attention: Corporate Secretary, 12400 High Bluff Drive, Suite 650, San Diego, California 92130.

Director Compensation

We compensate non-employee members of the board of directors. Directors who are also employees do not receive cash or equity compensation for service on the board of directors in addition to compensation payable for their service as our employees.

Under our non-employee director compensation policy, we provide cash compensation in the form of an annual retainer of \$37,000 for each non-employee director. We also pay an additional annual retainer of \$60,000 to the chairman of our board of directors (however the total cash compensation paid to the chairman of the board in all capacities cannot exceed \$100,000 in any calendar year), \$20,000 to the chair of our audit committee, \$10,000 to the chair of our compensation committee, and \$7,500 to the chair of our nominating/corporate governance committee. We also pay an additional \$7,000 per year to members of the audit committee, an additional \$5,000 per year to members of our nominating/corporate governance committee. We have reimbursed and will continue to reimburse our non-employee directors for their reasonable expenses incurred in attending meetings of our board of directors and committees of the board of directors.

Also under our non-employee director compensation policy, any non-employee director who is first elected to the board of directors will be granted an option to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock on the date of his or her initial election to the board of directors. In addition, on the date of each annual meeting of our stockholders, each non-employee director will be eligible to receive an option to purchase 35,000 shares of common stock. For 2012 only, in addition to an annual award at the annual meeting, the board of directors has approved a one-time grant to each current non-employee director of options to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock. These options will be granted under the amended and restated 2010 Equity Incentive Award Plan prior to the date of the annual meeting, but will be subject to approval of such plan pursuant to Proposal 3. Such options will have an exercise price per share equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

The initial options granted to non-employee directors and the one-time grants to be made prior to the annual meeting described above will vest over 3 years in 36 equal monthly installments, subject to the director s continuing service on our board of directors on those dates. The annual options granted to non-employee directors described above will vest over one year in 12 equal monthly installments, subject to the director s continuing service on our board of directors on those dates. The term of each option granted to a non-employee director will be ten years. These options will be granted under the amended and restated 2010 Equity Incentive Award Plan, assuming approval of Proposal 3.

15

Summary Director Compensation

The following table summarizes cash and stock compensation received by our non-employee directors during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Option Awards (1)	Total
Arda M. Minocherhomjee, Ph.D.	\$ 49,000	\$ 34,466	\$ 83,466
Cam L. Garner	100,000	29,978	129,978
Erle T. Mast	61,000	102,303	163,303
James C. Blair, Ph.D.	42,000	29,978	71,978
Ken Haas (2)	16,220		16,220
Kurt C. Wheeler	42,000	29,978	71,978
Louis C. Bock	44,000	29,978	73,978
Mark Wiggins (2)	24,780	63,423	88,203

- (1) Represents the grant date fair value of the awards granted in 2011 as computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718. For a discussion of the valuation assumptions, see Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 12, 2012.
- (2) Mr. Haas served on our board of directors through the date of our 2011 annual meeting, May 24, 2011. Effective on the date of our 2011 annual meeting, Mr. Wiggins was appointed as a Class I director to replace Mr. Haas.

The aggregate number of shares subject to stock options outstanding at the end of fiscal 2011 for each non-employee director is as follows:

Name	Number of Shares Underlying Options Outstanding At December 31, 2011
Arda M. Minocherhomjee, Ph.D.	21,750
Cam L. Garner	16,000
Erle T. Mast	53,500
James C. Blair, Ph.D.	14,250
Ken Haas	9,250
Kurt C. Wheeler	25,250
Louis C. Bock	25,250
Mark Wiggins	25,000

Vote Required; Recommendation of the Board of Directors

If a quorum is present and voting at the annual meeting, the three nominees receiving the highest number of votes will be elected to our board of directors. Votes withheld from any nominee, abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted only for purposes of determining a quorum. Broker non-votes will have no effect on this proposal as brokers or other nominees are not entitled to vote on such proposals in the absence of voting instructions from the beneficial owner.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF JAMES C. BLAIR, PH.D., STEPHEN J. FARR, PH.D., AND ARDA M. MINOCHERHOMJEE, PH.D. PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS WILL BE SO VOTED UNLESS YOU SPECIFY OTHERWISE ON YOUR PROXY CARD.

PROPOSAL 2:

RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The audit committee has selected Ernst & Young LLP as the company s independent registered public accountants for the year ending December 31, 2012 and has further directed that management submit the selection of independent registered public accountants for ratification by the stockholders at the annual meeting. Ernst & Young LLP has audited the company s financial statements since its inception in 2006. Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at the annual meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Stockholder ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as the company s independent registered public accountants is not required by Delaware law, the company s amended and restated certificate of incorporation, or the company s amended and restated bylaws. However, the audit committee is submitting the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders fail to ratify the selection, the audit committee will reconsider whether to retain that firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the audit committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of different independent registered public accountants at any time during the year if the audit committee determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the company and its stockholders.

Independent Registered Public Accountants Fees

The following table represents aggregate fees billed to us for services related to the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, by Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm.

	Year Ended	Year Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010	
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 472,365	\$ 1,084,886	
Audit Related Fees(2)			
Tax Fees(3)			
All Other Fees(4)	1,995	1,950	
	\$ 474,360	\$ 1,086,836	

- (1) Audit Fees consist of fees billed for professional services performed by Ernst & Young LLP for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of our registration statements on Forms S-1 and S-8 and related services that are normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.
- (2) Audit Related Fees consist of fees billed by Ernst & Young LLP for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements. There were no such fees incurred during 2011 or 2010.
- (3) Tax Fees consist of fees for professional services, including tax consulting and compliance performed by Ernst & Young LLP. There were no such fees incurred during 2011 or 2010.
- (4) All Other Fees consist of fees billed in the indicated year for an annual subscription to Ernst & Young LLP s online resource library. The audit committee has considered whether the provision of non-audit services is compatible with maintaining the independence of Ernst & Young LLP, and has concluded that the provision of such services is compatible with maintaining the independence of our auditors.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Our audit committee has established a policy that all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm will be pre-approved by the audit committee, and all such services were pre-approved in accordance with this policy during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011 and

17

2010. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. The audit committee considers whether the provision of each non-audit service is compatible with maintaining the independence of our auditors. Pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or category of services and is generally subject to a specific budget. Our independent registered public accounting firm and management are required to periodically report to the audit committee regarding the extent of services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in accordance with this pre-approval, and the fees for the services performed to date.

Vote Required; Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the meeting will be required to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP. Abstentions will be counted toward the tabulation of votes cast on this proposal and will have the same effect as negative votes. The approval of Proposal 2 is a routine proposal on which a broker or other nominee has discretionary authority to vote. Accordingly, no broker non-votes will likely result from this proposal.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE TO RATIFY THE SELECTION OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2012. PROXIES SOLICITED BY OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS WILL BE SO VOTED UNLESS STOCKHOLDERS SPECIFY OTHERWISE ON THEIR PROXY CARDS.

18

PROPOSAL 3:

APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT AND RESTATEMENT OF

2010 EQUITY INCENTIVE AWARD PLAN

Introduction

Our stockholders are being asked to approve an amendment and restatement of our 2010 Equity Incentive Award Plan, or the 2010 Plan. The proposed amended and restated 2010 Plan is referred to herein as the Restated Plan. Our board of directors approved the Restated Plan on April 16, 2012, subject to stockholder approval. The Restated Plan will become effective, subject to stockholder approval, as of the date our board of directors approved the Restated Plan. In the event that our stockholders do not approve the Restated Plan, then it will not become effective, any awards granted thereunder will be cancelled, and the 2010 Plan will continue in full force and effect in accordance with its terms as previously approved by our stockholders.

The principal features of the Restated Plan are summarized below, but the summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Restated Plan itself, which is attached as Appendix A to this proxy statement.

Overview of Proposed Amendments

We strongly believe that an employee equity compensation program is a necessary and powerful incentive and retention tool that benefits all stockholders. The 2010 Plan was first adopted by our board of directors and approved by our stockholders in 2010 in connection with our initial public offering. As of March 30, 2012, a total of 4,299,972 shares were reserved under the 2010 Plan, the aggregate number of shares of common stock subject to awards under the 2010 Plan was 2,155,363 and a total of 2,132,808 shares of common stock remained available under the 2010 Plan for future issuance. The number of shares reserved for issuance under the 2010 Plan may be increased from time to time by the number of shares of common stock related to options granted under our 2006 Equity Incentive Award Plan, or the 2006 Plan, that are repurchased, forfeited, expire or are cancelled on or after the effective date of the 2010 Plan. As of March 30, 2012, options to purchase 1,328,116 shares were outstanding under the 2006 Plan. In addition, the 2010 Plan contains an evergreen provision that allows for an annual increase in the number of shares available for issuance under the 2010 Plan on January 1 of each year during the ten year term of the 2010 Plan, beginning on January 1, 2011. The annual increase in the number of shares is currently equal to the least of:

4% of our outstanding capital stock on the first day of the applicable fiscal year;

1.000,000 shares; and

an amount determined by our board of directors.

The automatic increase pursuant to the evergreen provision of the 2010 Plan on each of January 1, 2011 and January 1, 2012 was 1,000,000 shares and these increases are included in the total number of shares currently reserved for issuance under the 2010 Plan set forth above. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the number of shares of stock that may be issued or transferred pursuant to awards under the 2010 Plan may not exceed an aggregate of 14,034,000 shares, which may be adjusted for changes in our capitalization and certain corporate transactions, as described below under the heading Adjustments.

Pursuant to the Restated Plan, the number of shares that will be reserved for issuance under the Restated Plan will be increased to 9,300,000, plus any shares related to outstanding options granted under our 2006 Plan that are repurchased, forfeited, expire or are cancelled on or after the effective date of the Restated Plan. In addition, the evergreen provision will be amended such that, commencing on January 1, 2013, and on each January 1 thereafter during the term of the Restated Plan, the aggregate number of shares available for issuance under the Restated Plan shall be increased by that number of shares of our common stock equal to the least of:

Edgar Filing: ZOGENIX, INC. - Form PRE 14A

4% of our outstanding capital stock on the day of the increase; or

an amount determined by our board of directors.

19

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the number of shares of stock that may be issued or transferred pursuant to awards under the Restated Plan may not exceed an aggregate of 60,000,000 shares. All of the foregoing share numbers may be adjusted for changes in our capitalization and certain corporate transactions, as described below under the heading Adjustments.

Unless the Restated Plan is authorized and approved by our stockholders, the number of shares available for issuance under the 2010 Plan may be too limited to effectively achieve its purpose as a powerful incentive and retention tool for employees, directors and consultants that benefits all of our stockholders. The increase will enable us to continue our policy of equity ownership by employees, directors and consultants as an incentive to contribute to our success. Without sufficient stock options to effectively attract, motivate and retain employees, we would be forced to consider cash replacement alternatives to provide a market-competitive total compensation package necessary to attract, retain and motivate the individual talent critical to the future success of our company. These cash replacement alternatives would then reduce the cash available for product development, operations and other purposes.

The term of the Restated Plan will also be extended so that the Restated Plan will terminate in 2022.

We are also seeking stockholder approval of the material terms of performance goals under the Restated Plan. Stockholder approval of such terms would preserve our ability to deduct compensation associated with future performance-based awards made under the Restated Plan to certain executives. Section 162(m) of the Code limits the deductions a publicly-held company can claim for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid in a given year to its chief executive officer and its three other most highly-compensated executive officers (other than its chief financial officer) (these officers are generally referred to as the covered employees). Performance-based compensation that meets certain requirements is not counted against the \$1 million deductibility cap. Stock options and stock appreciation rights, or SARs, generally qualify as performance-based compensation if granted with an exercise price no less than the fair market value on the date of grant. Other awards that we may grant under the Restated Plan may qualify as performance-based compensation if the payment, retention or vesting of the award is subject to the achievement during a performance period of performance goals selected by the compensation committee. The compensation committee retains the discretion to set the level of performance for a given performance measure under a performance-based award. For such awards to qualify as performance-based compensation, the stockholders must approve the material terms of the performance goals following the expiration of the post-initial public offering transition period. The transition period will end on the date of the annual meeting if the Restated Plan is approved by the stockholders. For a discussion of the performance criteria for which approval is being sought, please see the discussion under Qualified Performance-Based Compensation below.

If this Proposal 3 is not approved, the Restated Plan will not become effective, but the existing 2010 Plan will remain in effect.

Securities Subject to the Restated Plan

As of March 30, 2012, a total of 4,299,972 shares of our common stock are currently authorized for issuance under the 2010 Plan and will be reserved for issuance under the Restated Plan. Pursuant to the Restated Plan, the number of shares that will be reserved for issuance as of the effective date of the Restated Plan will be increased to 9,300,000. As described above, the number of shares reserved for issuance under the Restated Plan will also be increased from time to time by the number of shares of common stock related to outstanding options granted under our 2006 Plan that are repurchased, forfeited, expire or are cancelled after the effective date of the Restated Plan. In addition, commencing on January 1, 2013 and on each January 1 thereafter during the term of the

20

Restated Plan, the aggregate number of shares available for issuance under the Restated Plan shall be increased by that number of shares of our common stock equal to the least of:

4% of our outstanding capital stock on the day of the increase; or

an amount determined by our board of directors.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the number of shares of stock that may be issued or transferred pursuant to awards under the Restated Plan may not exceed the aggregate of 60,000,000 shares. All of the foregoing share numbers may be adjusted for changes in our capitalization and certain corporate transactions, as described below under the heading Adjustments.

To the extent that an award expires or is forfeited or an award is settled for cash, any shares subject to the award will, to the extent of such expiration, forfeiture or cash settlement, be available for future grant or sale under the Restated Plan. In addition, shares of common stock which are delivered by the holder or withheld by us in payment of the grant or exercise price or tax withholding obligation of any award under the Restated Plan will again be available for future grant or sale under the Restated Plan. If any shares of restricted stock are forfeited by a participant or repurchased by us pursuant to the Restated Plan, such shares shall again be available for future grant or sale under the Restated Plan. The payment of dividend equivalents in cash in conjunction with any outstanding awards shall not be counted against the shares of stock available for issuance under the plan.

Administration

The compensation committee of our board of directors administers the Restated Plan (except with respect to any award granted to non-employee directors, which must be administered by our full board of directors). To administer the Restated Plan, our compensation committee must consist solely of at least two members of our board of directors, each of whom is a non-employee director for purposes of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, and, with respect to awards that are intended to constitute performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, an outside director for purposes of Section 162(m). Subject to the terms and conditions of the Restated Plan, our compensation committee has the authority to select the persons to whom awards are to be made, to determine the type or types of awards to be granted to each person, the number of awards to grant, the number of shares to be subject to such awards, and the terms and conditions of such awards, and to make all other determinations and decisions and to take all other actions necessary or advisable for the administration of the Restated Plan. Our compensation committee is also authorized to establish, adopt, amend or revise rules relating to administration of the Restated Plan. Our board of directors may at any time revest in itself the authority to administer the Restated Plan.

Eligibility

Options, SARs, restricted stock and other awards under the Restated Plan may be granted to individuals who are then our officers or employees or are the officers or employees of any of our subsidiaries. Such awards may also be granted to our non-employee directors and consultants but only employees may be granted incentive stock options, or ISOs. As of March 30, 2012, there were 7 non-employee directors and 160 employees who would have been eligible for awards under the Restated Plan had it been in effect on such date. The maximum number of shares that may be subject to awards granted under the Restated Plan to any individual in any calendar year cannot exceed 2,000,000 and the maximum amount that may be paid to a participant in cash during any calendar year with respect to one or more cash based awards under the Restated Plan is \$2,000,000. The closing share price per share for our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market on March 30, 2012 was \$2.00.

21

Awards

The Restated Plan provides that our compensation committee (or the board of directors, in the case of awards to non-employee directors) may grant or issue stock options, SARs, restricted stock, restricted stock units, dividend equivalents, stock payments, performance bonus awards, performance-based awards, and other stock-based awards, or any combination thereof. Our compensation committee (or the board of directors, in the case of awards to non-employee directors) will consider each award grant subjectively, considering factors such as the individual performance of the recipient and the anticipated contribution of the recipient to the attainment of our long-term goals. Each award will be set forth in a separate agreement with the person receiving the award and will indicate the type, terms and conditions of the award.

Nonqualified stock options, or NQSOs, provide for the right to purchase shares of our common stock at a specified price which may not be less than the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant, and usually will become exercisable (at the discretion of our compensation committee or our board of directors, in the case of awards to non-employee directors) in one or more installments after the grant date, subject to the participant s continued employment or service with us and/or subject to the satisfaction of performance targets established by our compensation committee (or our board of directors, in the case of awards to non-employee directors). NQSOs may be granted for any term specified by our compensation committee (or our board of directors, in the case of awards to non-employee directors).

Incentive stock options, or ISOs, are designed to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and are subject to specified restrictions contained in the Internal Revenue Code. Among such restrictions, ISOs must have an exercise price of not less than the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant, may only be granted to employees, must expire within a specified period of time following the optionee s termination of employment, and must be exercised within the ten years after the date of grant. In the case of an ISO granted to an individual who owns (or is deemed to own) more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of our capital stock, the Restated Plan provides that the exercise price must be at least 110% of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant and the ISO must expire upon the fifth anniversary of the date of its grant.

Restricted stock may be granted to participants and made subject to such restrictions as may be determined by our compensation committee (or our board of directors, in the case of awards to non-employee directors). Typically, restricted stock may be forfeited for no consideration if the conditions or restrictions are not met, and it may not be sold or otherwise transferred to third parties until restrictions are removed or expire. Recipients of restricted stock, unlike recipients of options, may have voting rights and may receive dividends, if any, prior to the time when the restrictions lapse.

Restricted stock units may be awarded to participants, typically without payment of consideration or for a nominal purchase price, but subject to vesting conditions including continued employment or on performance criteria established by our compensation committee (or our board of directors, in the case of awards to non-employee directors). Like restricted stock, restricted stock units may not be sold or otherwise transferred or hypothecated until vesting conditions are removed or expire. Unlike restricted stock, stock underlying restricted stock units will not be issued until the restricted stock units have vested, and recipients of restricted stock units generally will have no voting or dividend rights prior to the time when vesting conditions are satisfied.

SARs granted under the Restated Plan typically provide for payments to the holder based upon increases in the price of our common stock over the exercise price of the SAR. Except as required by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code with respect to SARs intended to qualify as performance-based compensation as described in Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, there are no restrictions specified in the Restated Plan on the exercise of SARs or the amount of gain realizable therefrom. Our compensation committee (or the board of directors, in the case of awards to non-employee directors) may elect to pay SARs in cash or in common stock or in a combination of both.

22

Dividend equivalents represent the value of the dividends, if any, per share paid by us, calculated with reference to the number of shares covered by the stock options, SARs or other awards held by the participant.

Performance bonus awards may be granted by our compensation committee on an individual or group basis. Generally, these awards will be based upon the attainment of specific performance goals that are established by our compensation committee and relate to one or more performance criteria on a specified date or dates determined by our compensation committee. Any such cash bonus paid to a covered employee within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code may be, but need not be, qualified performance-based compensation as described below and will be paid in cash.

Stock payments may be authorized by our compensation committee (or our board of directors, in the case of awards to non-employee directors) in the form of common stock or an option or other right to purchase common stock as part of a deferred compensation arrangement, made in lieu of all or any part of compensation, including bonuses, that would otherwise be payable to employees, consultants or members of our board of directors.

Qualified Performance-Based Compensation

The compensation committee may designate employees as covered employees whose compensation for a given fiscal year may be subject to the limit on deductible compensation imposed by Section 162(m) of the Code. The compensation committee may grant to such covered employees restricted stock, dividend equivalents, stock payments, restricted stock units, cash bonuses and other stock-based awards that are paid, vest or become exercisable upon the attainment of company performance criteria which are related to one or more of the following performance criteria as applicable to our performance or the performance of a division, business unit or an individual, measured either in absolute terms, on a same-property basis, as compared to any incremental increase or as compared to results of a peer group: operating or other costs and expenses, improvements in expense levels, cash flow (including, but not limited to, operating cash flow and free cash flow), return on net assets, stockholders equity, return on stockholders equity, return on sales, gross or net profit or operating margin, working capital, net earnings (either before or after interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization), gross or net sales or revenue, net income (either before or after taxes), adjusted net income, operating earnings, earnings per share of stock, adjusted earnings per share of stock, price per share of stock, capital raised in financing transactions or other financing milestones, market recognition (including but not limited to awards and analyst ratings), financial ratios, implementation or completion of critical projects, comparisons with various stock market indices, and implementation, completion or attainment of objectively determinable objectives relating to research, development, regulatory, commercial or strategic milestones or development. These performance criteria may be measured in absolute terms or as compared to any incremental increase or decrease or as compared to results of a peer group or to market performance indicators or in

The compensation committee may provide that one or more objectively determinable adjustments will be made to one or more of the performance goals established for any performance period. Such adjustments may include one or more of the following: items related to a change in accounting principle, items relating to financing activities, expenses for restructuring or productivity initiatives, other non-operating items, items related to acquisitions, items attributable to the business operations of any entity acquired by us during the performance period, items related to the disposal of a business of segment of a business, items related to discontinued operations that do not qualify as a segment of a business under applicable accounting standards, items attributable to any stock dividend, stock split, combination or exchange of shares occurring during the performance period, any other items of significant income or expense which are determined to be appropriate adjustments, items relating to unusual or extraordinary corporate transactions, events or developments, items related to amortization of acquired intangible assets, items that are outside the scope of our core, on-going business activities, items relating to changes in tax laws, items relating to gains or losses for litigation, arbitration and contractual settlements, or items relating to any other unusual or nonrecurring events or changes in applicable laws, accounting principles or business conditions.

23

Adjustments

If there is any stock dividend, stock split, combination or exchange of shares, merger, consolidation or other distribution (other than normal cash dividends) of our assets to stockholders, or any other change affecting the shares of our common stock or the share price of our common stock other than an equity restructuring (as defined in the Restated Plan), the plan administrator will make such equitable adjustments, if any, as the plan administrator in its discretion may deem appropriate to reflect such change with respect to (1) the aggregate number and type of shares that may be issued under the Restated Plan (including, but not limited to, adjustments of the number of shares available under the plan and the maximum number of shares which may be subject to one or more awards to a participant pursuant to the plan during any calendar year), (2) the terms and conditions of any outstanding awards (including, without limitation, any applicable performance targets or criteria with respect thereto), and (3) the grant or exercise price per share for any outstanding awards under the plan. If there is any equity restructuring, (1) the number and type of securities subject to each outstanding award and the grant or exercise price per share for each outstanding award, if applicable, will be proportionately adjusted, and (2) the plan administrator will make proportionate adjustments to reflect such equity restructuring with respect to the aggregate number and type of shares that may be issued under the Restated Plan (including, but not limited to, adjustments of the number of shares available under the plan and the maximum number of shares which may be subject to one or more awards to a participant pursuant to the plan during any calendar year). Adjustments in the event of an equity restructuring will not be discretionary. Any adjustment affecting an award intended as qualified performance-based compensation will be made consistent with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The plan administrator also has the authority under the Restated Plan to take certain other actions with respect to outstanding awards in the event of a corporate transaction, including provision for the cash-out, termination, assumption or substitution of such awards.

Corporate Transactions

In the event of a change in control where the acquirer does not assume awards granted under the Restated Plan, awards issued under the Restated Plan will be subject to accelerated vesting such that 100% of the awards will become vested and exercisable or payable, as applicable. Under the Restated Plan, a change in control is generally defined as:

a transaction or series of related transactions (other than an offering of our stock to the general public through a registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC) whereby any person or entity or related group of persons or entities (other than us, our subsidiaries, an employee benefit plan maintained by us or any of our subsidiaries or a person or entity that, prior to such transaction, directly or indirectly controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, us) directly or indirectly acquires beneficial ownership (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act) of more than 50% of the total combined voting power of our securities outstanding immediately after such acquisition;

during any two-year period, individuals who, at the beginning of such period, constitute our board of directors together with any new director(s) whose election by our board of directors or nomination for election by our stockholders was approved by a vote of at least two-thirds of the directors then still in office who either were directors at the beginning of the two-year period or whose election or nomination for election was previously so approved, cease for any reason to constitute a majority of our board of directors; or

our consummation (whether we are directly or indirectly involved through one or more intermediaries) of (1) a merger, consolidation, reorganization, or business combination or (2) the sale, exchange or transfer of all or substantially all of our assets in any single transaction or series of transactions or (3) the acquisition of assets or stock of another entity, in each case other than a transaction:

which results in our voting securities outstanding immediately before the transaction continuing to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into our voting securities or the voting securities of the person that, as a result of the transaction, controls us, directly or indirectly,

or owns, directly or indirectly, all or substantially all of our assets or otherwise succeeds to our business (we or such person being referred to as a successor entity)) directly or indirectly, at least a majority of the combined voting power of the successor entity s outstanding voting securities immediately after the transaction, and

after which no person or group beneficially owns voting securities representing 50% or more of the combined voting power of the successor entity; provided, however, that no person or group is treated as beneficially owning 50% or more of combined voting power of the successor entity solely as a result of the voting power held in us prior to the consummation of the transaction.

Amendment and Termination of the Restated Plan

Our board of directors may terminate, amend or modify the Restated Plan. However, stockholder approval of any amendment to the Restated Plan will be obtained to the extent necessary and desirable to comply with any applicable law, regulation or stock exchange rule, or for any amendment to the Restated Plan that increases the number of shares available under the Restated Plan. If not terminated earlier by our board of directors, the Restated Plan will terminate on the tenth anniversary of the date of its initial approval by our board of directors.

Repricing Permitted

Our compensation committee (or the board of directors, in the case of awards to non-employee directors) has the authority, without the approval of our stockholders, to authorize the amendment of any outstanding award to reduce its price per share and to provide that an award will be canceled and replaced with the grant of an award having a lesser price per share. Our compensation committee (or the board of directors, in the case of awards to non-employee directors) also has the authority, without the approval of our stockholders, to amend any outstanding award to increase the price per share or to cancel and replace an award with the grant of an award having a price per share that is greater than or equal to the price per share of the original award.

Securities Laws

The Restated Plan is intended to conform with all provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and any and all regulations and rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission thereunder, including without limitation Rule 16b-3. The Restated Plan will be administered, and options will be granted and may be exercised, only in such a manner as to conform to such laws, rules and regulations.

Federal Income Tax Consequences Associated with the Restated Plan

The federal income tax consequences of the Restated Plan under current federal income tax law are summarized in the following discussion which deals with the general tax principles applicable to the Restated Plan and is intended for general information only. The following discussion of federal income tax consequences does not purport to be a complete analysis of all of the potential tax effects of the Restated Plan. It is based upon laws, regulations, rulings and decisions now in effect, all of which are subject to change. Foreign, state and local tax laws, and employment, estate and gift tax considerations are not discussed, and may vary depending on individual circumstances and from locality to locality.

Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights. A Restated Plan participant generally will not recognize taxable income and we generally will not be entitled to a tax deduction upon the grant of a stock option or stock appreciation right. The tax consequences of exercising a stock option and the subsequent disposition of the shares received upon exercise will depend upon whether the option qualifies as an incentive stock option as defined in Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Restated Plan permits the grant of options that are intended to qualify as incentive stock options as well as options that are not intended to so qualify; however, incentive stock options generally may be granted only to

25

our employees and employees of our parent or subsidiary corporations, if any. Upon exercising an option that does not qualify as an incentive stock option when the fair market value of our stock is higher than the exercise price of the option, a Restated Plan participant generally will recognize taxable income at ordinary income tax rates equal to the excess of the fair market value of the stock on the date of exercise over the purchase price, and we (or our subsidiaries, if any) generally will be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction for compensation expense, in the amount equal to the amount by which the fair market value of the shares purchased exceeds the purchase price for the shares. Upon a subsequent sale or other disposition of the option shares, the participant will recognize a short term or long term capital gain or loss in the amount of the difference between the sales price of the shares and the participant s tax basis in the shares.

Upon exercising an incentive stock option, a Restated Plan participant generally will not recognize taxable income, and we will not be entitled to a tax deduction for compensation expense. However, upon exercise, the amount by which the fair market value of the shares purchased exceeds the purchase price will be an item of adjustment for alternative minimum tax purposes. The participant will recognize taxable income upon a sale or other taxable disposition of the option shares. For federal income tax purposes, dispositions are divided into two categories: qualifying and disqualifying. A qualifying disposition generally occurs if the sale or other disposition is made more than two years after the date the option was granted and more than one year after the date the shares are transferred upon exercise. If the sale or disposition occurs before these two periods are satisfied, then a disqualifying disposition generally will result.

Upon a qualifying disposition of incentive stock option shares, the participant will recognize long term capital gain in an amount equal to the excess of the amount realized upon the sale or other disposition of the shares over their purchase price. If there is a disqualifying disposition of the shares, then the excess of the fair market value of the shares on the exercise date (or, if less, the price at which the shares are sold) over their purchase price will be taxable as ordinary income to the participant. If there is a disqualifying disposition in the same year of exercise, it eliminates the item of adjustment for alternative minimum tax purposes. Any additional gain or loss recognized upon the disposition will be recognized as a capital gain or loss by the participant.

We will not be entitled to any tax deduction if the participant makes a qualifying disposition of incentive stock option shares. If the participant makes a disqualifying disposition of the shares, we should be entitled to a tax deduction for compensation expense in the amount of the ordinary income recognized by the participant.

Upon exercising or settling a stock appreciation right, a Restated Plan participant will recognize taxable income at ordinary income tax rates, and we should be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction for compensation expense, in the amount paid or value of the shares issued upon exercise or settlement. Payments in shares will be valued at the fair market value of the shares at the time of the payment, and upon the subsequent disposition of the shares the participant will recognize a short term or long term capital gain or loss in the amount of the difference between the sales price of the shares and the participant s tax basis in the shares.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units. A Restated Plan participant generally will not recognize taxable income at ordinary income tax rates and we generally will not be entitled to a tax deduction upon the grant of restricted stock or restricted stock units. Upon the termination of restrictions on restricted stock or the payment of restricted stock units, the participant will recognize taxable income at ordinary income tax rates, and we should be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction for compensation expense, in the amount paid to the participant or the amount by which the then fair market value of the shares received by the participant exceeds the amount, if any, paid for them. Upon the subsequent disposition of any shares, the participant will recognize a short term or long term capital gain or loss in the amount of the difference between the sales price of the shares and the participant s tax basis in the shares. However, a Restated Plan participant granted restricted stock that is subject to forfeiture or repurchase through a vesting schedule such that it is subject to a risk of forfeiture (as defined in

26

Section 83 of the Internal Revenue Code) may make an election under Section 83(b) of the Internal Revenue Code to recognize taxable income at ordinary income tax rates, at the time of the grant, in an amount equal to the fair market value of the shares of common stock on the date of grant, less the amount paid, if any, for such shares. We will be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction for compensation, in the amount recognized as taxable income by the participant. If a timely Section 83(b) election is made, the participant will not recognize any additional ordinary income on the termination of restrictions on restricted stock, and we will not be entitled to any additional tax deduction.

Dividend Equivalents, Stock Payment Awards and Cash-Based Awards. A Restated Plan participant will not recognize taxable income and we will not be entitled to a tax deduction upon the grant of dividend equivalents, stock payment awards or cash-based awards until cash or shares are paid or distributed to the participant. At that time, any cash payments or the fair market value of shares that the participant receives will be taxable to the participant at ordinary income tax rates and we should be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction for compensation expense. Payments in shares will be valued at the fair market value of the shares at the time of the payment, and upon the subsequent disposition of the shares, the participant will recognize a short term or long term capital gain or loss in the amount of the difference between the sales price of the shares and the participant s tax basis in the shares.

Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. Certain types of awards under the Restated Plan may constitute, or provide for, a deferral of compensation under Section 409A. Unless certain requirements set forth in Section 409A are complied with, holders of such awards may be taxed earlier than would otherwise be the case (e.g., at the time of vesting instead of the time of payment) and may be subject to an additional 20% federal income tax (and, potentially, certain interest penalties). To the extent applicable, the Restated Plan and awards granted under the Restated Plan will be structured and interpreted to comply with Section 409A and the Department of Treasury regulations and other interpretive guidance that may be issued pursuant to Section 409A.

Section 162(m) Limitation. In general, under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, income tax deductions of publicly-held corporations may be limited to the extent total compensation (including base salary, annual bonus, stock option exercises and non-qualified benefits paid) for certain executive officers exceeds \$1 million (less the amount of any excess parachute payments as defined in Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code) in any one year. However, under Section 162(m), the deduction limit does not apply to certain performance-based compensation if an independent compensation committee determines performance goals and if the material terms of the performance-based compensation are disclosed to and approved by our stockholders. In particular, stock options and SARs will satisfy the performance-based compensation exception if the awards are made by a qualifying compensation committee, the plan sets the maximum number of shares that can be granted to any person within a specified period and the compensation is based solely on an increase in the stock price after the grant date. Specifically, the option exercise price must be equal to or greater than the fair market value of the stock subject to the award on the grant date. Rights or awards granted under the Restated Plan, other than options and SARs, will not qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) unless such rights or awards are granted or vest upon pre-established objective performance goals, the material terms of which are disclosed to and approved by our stockholders.

We have attempted to structure the Restated Plan in such a manner that the compensation attributable to stock options and SARs which meet the other requirements of Section 162(m) will not be subject to the \$1 million limitation. We have not, however, requested a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, or an opinion of counsel regarding this issue.

New Plan Benefits

At its regularly scheduled meeting on April 13, 2012, the compensation committee approved the award to certain of our executives and employees of options to purchase up to 5,900,000 shares of our common stock, including an aggregate award of options to our named executive officers to purchase 2,500,000 shares, an

27

aggregate award of options to certain of our key employees to purchase 1,400,000 shares and an aggregate award to other employees to purchase up to 2,000,000 shares. Although such awards were not formally granted at the compensation committee s meeting, we expect the compensation committee to formally approve the option grants prior to the annual meeting of stockholders, with certain of these option grants subject to cancellation in the event the Restated Plan is not approved by the Company s stockholders to the extent such awards exceed the currently available share reserve under the 2010 Plan. In addition to the awards that will be made to our executives and other employees, our non-employee directors will be eligible to receive automatic option grants under the Restated Plan, as described above under Director Compensation.

The table below sets forth the estimated awards expected to be made under the Restated Plan to our employees and non-employee directors during 2012. All other future grants under our 2010 Plan and our Restated Plan are within the discretion of our board of directors or the compensation committee and the benefits of such grants are, therefore, not determinable.

	Dollar Value (\$)	Number of Shares Covered by Awards (#)
Roger L. Hawley, Chief Executive Officer and Director	(1)	1,000,000
Stephen J. Farr, Ph.D., President, Chief Operating Officer and Director	(1)	700,000
Ann D. Rhoads, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary	(1)	450,000
Cynthia Y. Robinson, Ph.D., Chief Development Officer	(1)	350,000
All current executive officers as a group	(1)	2,500,000
All current directors who are not executive officers as a group (2)	(1)	595,000
All employees who are not executive officers as a group	(1)	3,400,000

- (1) Not determinable at this time.
- (2) Assumes that each non-employee director will be granted a one-time option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock prior to the date of the annual meeting of stockholders and an option to purchase 35,000 shares of common stock on the date of the annual meeting of stockholders.

Awards Under the 2010 Plan

As of December 31, 2011, each of our named executive officers and the other groups identified below have received the following option grants under the 2010 Plan:

	Stock Options Granted (#)
Roger L. Hawley, Chief Executive Officer and Director	300,000
Stephen J. Farr, Ph.D., President, Chief Operating Officer and Director	250,000
Ann D. Rhoads, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary	180,000
Cynthia Y. Robinson, Ph.D., Chief Development Officer	110,000
All current executive officers as a group	840,000
All current directors who are not executive officers as a group	129,250
James C. Blair, Ph.D., 2012 director nominee	12,500
Arda M. Minocherhomjee, Ph.D., 2012 director nominee	14,250
All employees who are not executive officers as a group	1,258,400
Vote Required; Recommendation of the Board of Directors	

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the annual meeting is required to approve the Restated Plan.

28

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT AND RESTATEMENT OF THE 2010 EQUITY INCENTIVE AWARD PLAN.

29

PROPOSAL 4:

APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE COMPANY S AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO INCREASE THE AUTHORIZED NUMBER OF SHARES OF COMMON STOCK

Our board of directors is requesting stockholder approval of an amendment to our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase our authorized number of shares of common stock from 100,000,000 shares to 200,000,000 shares.

The additional common stock to be authorized by adoption of the amendment would have rights identical to our currently outstanding common stock. Adoption of the proposed amendment and issuance of the common stock would not affect the rights of the holders of our currently outstanding common stock, except for effects incidental to increasing the number of shares of our common stock outstanding, such as dilution of the voting rights of current holders of common stock. If the amendment is adopted, it will become effective upon filing of a Certificate of Amendment of our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware.

In addition to the shares of common stock outstanding on April 19, 2012, our board of directors has reserved an aggregate of shares of common stock for issuance upon exercise of options and rights granted under our stock option plans.

Although, at present, our board of directors has no plans to issue the additional shares of common stock, it desires to have the shares available to provide additional flexibility to use our capital stock for business and financial purposes in the future. The additional shares may be used for various purposes without further stockholder approval, except as may be required in certain cases by law or the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market. These purposes may include raising capital; providing equity incentives to employees, officers or directors; establishing strategic relationships with other companies; expanding our business or product lines through the acquisition of other businesses or products; and other purposes.

Our audited consolidated financial statements, management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, and certain supplementary financial information are incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December