

United States Heating Oil Fund, LP
Form 10-Q
November 14, 2011
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011.**

OR

.. **Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from to .**

Commission File Number: 001-34016

United States Heating Oil Fund, LP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: United States Heating Oil Fund, LP - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

20-8837345
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

1320 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 145

Alameda, California 94502

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(510) 522-9600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES HEATING OIL FUND, LP

Table of Contents

	Page
Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1. Condensed Financial Statements.</u>	1
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u>	16
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u>	35
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures.</u>	37
Part II. OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings.</u>	37
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors.</u>	37
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.</u>	37
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.</u>	37
<u>Item 4. Removed and Reserved.</u>	37
<u>Item 5. Other Information.</u>	37
<u>Item 6. Exhibits.</u>	38

Table of Contents

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Financial Statements.

Index to Condensed Financial Statements

Documents	Page
<u>Condensed Statements of Financial Condition at September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010</u>	2
<u>Condensed Schedule of Investments (Unaudited) at September 30, 2011</u>	3
<u>Condensed Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	4
<u>Condensed Statement of Changes in Partners' Capital (Unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2011</u>	5
<u>Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Financial Statements for the period ended September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)</u>	7

Table of Contents*United States Heating Oil Fund, LP**Condensed Statements of Financial Condition**At September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010*

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 5)	\$ 5,550,510	\$ 10,405,533
Equity in UBS Securities LLC trading accounts:		
Cash	1,067,386	1,039,996
Unrealized gain (loss) on open commodity futures contracts	(539,330)	267,658
Receivable for General Partner (Note 3)	132,084	182,968
Dividend receivable	27	313
Other assets	232,970	238,532
<i>Total assets</i>	<i>\$ 6,443,647</i>	<i>\$ 12,135,000</i>
Liabilities and Partners' Capital		
General Partner management fees payable (Note 3)	\$ 3,307	\$ 5,965
Brokerage commissions payable	171	371
Other liabilities	142,816	200,455
<i>Total liabilities</i>	<i>146,294</i>	<i>206,791</i>
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 3, 4, and 5)		
Partners' Capital		
General Partner		
Limited Partners	6,297,353	11,928,209
<i>Total Partners' Capital</i>	<i>6,297,353</i>	<i>11,928,209</i>
<i>Total liabilities and partners' capital</i>	<i>\$ 6,443,647</i>	<i>\$ 12,135,000</i>
Limited Partners' units outstanding	200,000	400,000
Net asset value per unit	\$ 31.49	\$ 29.82
Market value per unit	\$ 31.51	\$ 29.86

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents*United States Heating Oil Fund, LP**Condensed Schedule of Investments (Unaudited)**At September 30, 2011*

	0000000000	0000000000	0000000000
	Number of Contracts	Loss on Open Commodity Contracts	% of Partners Capital
Open Futures Contracts - Long			
United States Contracts			
NYMEX Heating Oil Futures HO November 2011 contracts, expiring October 2011	54	\$ (539,330)	(8.56)
	Principal Amount	Market Value	
Cash Equivalents			
United States - Money Market Funds			
Fidelity Institutional Government Portfolio - Class I	\$ 16	\$ 16	0.00
Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund - Government Portfolio	3,301,032	3,301,032	52.42
Total Cash Equivalents		\$ 3,301,048	52.42

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents*United States Heating Oil Fund, LP**Condensed Statements of Operations (Unaudited)**For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010*

	Three months ended September 30, 2011	Three months ended September 30, 2010	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	Nine months ended September 30, 2010
Income				
Gain (loss) on trading of commodity futures contracts:				
Realized gain (loss) on closed positions	\$ (66,226)	\$ (287,007)	\$ 1,729,514	\$ 38,128
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on open positions	(376,941)	959,435	(806,988)	(549,302)
Dividend income	105	942	1,078	2,563
Interest income	141	87	265	491
Other income			2,000	3,000
<i>Total income (loss)</i>	(442,921)	673,457	925,869	(505,120)
Expenses				
General Partners management fees (Note 3)	10,316	11,423	40,680	51,958
Brokerage commissions	1,043	1,685	4,240	7,923
Other expenses	45,556	31,225	150,415	164,600
<i>Total expenses</i>	56,915	44,333	195,335	224,481
Expense waiver (Note 3)	(40,488)	(27,414)	(132,085)	(145,980)
<i>Net expenses</i>	16,427	16,919	63,250	78,501
Net income (loss)	\$ (459,348)	\$ 656,538	\$ 862,619	\$ (583,621)
Net income (loss) per limited partnership unit	\$ (2.29)	\$ 2.19	\$ 1.67	\$ (0.31)
Net income (loss) per weighted average limited partnership unit	\$ (2.30)	\$ 2.19	\$ 3.23	\$ (1.32)
Weighted average limited partnership units outstanding	200,000	300,000	267,399	440,659

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

United States Heating Oil Fund, LP

Condensed Statement of Changes in Partners' Capital (Unaudited)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011

	0000000000000 General Partner	0000000000000 Limited Partners	0000000000000 Total
Balances, at December 31, 2010	\$	\$ 11,928,209	\$ 11,928,209
Redemption of 200,000 partnership units		(6,493,475)	(6,493,475)
Net income		862,619	862,619
Balances, at September 30, 2011	\$	\$ 6,297,353	\$ 6,297,353
Net Asset Value Per Unit:			
At December 31, 2010	\$	29.82	
At September 30, 2011	\$	31.49	

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents*United States Heating Oil Fund, LP**Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)**For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010*

	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	Nine months ended September 30, 2010
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 862,619	\$ (583,621)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
(Increase) decrease in commodity futures trading account - cash	(27,390)	785,170
Unrealized loss on futures contracts	806,988	549,302
Decrease in receivable from General Partner	50,884	65,547
(Increase) decrease in dividend receivable and other assets	5,848	(57,119)
Decrease in General Partner management fees payable	(2,658)	(4,248)
Decrease in brokerage commissions payable	(200)	(375)
Decrease in other liabilities	(57,639)	(67,252)
<i>Net cash provided by operating activities</i>	1,638,452	687,404
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Redemption of partnership units	(6,493,475)	(7,771,832)
<i>Net cash used in financing activities</i>	(6,493,475)	(7,771,832)
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(4,855,023)	(7,084,428)
Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of period	10,405,533	13,521,796
Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period	\$ 5,550,510	\$ 6,437,368

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents**United States Heating Oil Fund, LP*****Notes to Condensed Financial Statements*****For the period ended September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)****NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS**

The United States Heating Oil Fund, LP (USHO) was organized as a limited partnership under the laws of the state of Delaware on April 13, 2007. USHO is a commodity pool that issues limited partnership units (units) that may be purchased and sold on the NYSE Arca, Inc. (the NYSE Arca). Prior to November 25, 2008, USHO s units traded on the American Stock Exchange (the AMEX). USHO will continue in perpetuity, unless terminated sooner upon the occurrence of one or more events as described in its Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership dated as of March 7, 2008 (the LP Agreement). The investment objective of USHO is for the daily changes in percentage terms of its units net asset value (NAV) to reflect the daily changes in percentage terms of the spot price of heating oil (also known as No. 2 fuel oil) for delivery to the New York harbor, as measured by the changes in the price of the futures contract for heating oil traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange (the NYMEX) that is the near month contract to expire, except when the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration, in which case the futures contract will be the next month contract to expire, less USHO s expenses. It is not the intent of USHO to be operated in a fashion such that the per unit NAV will equal, in dollar terms, the spot price of heating oil or any particular futures contract based on heating oil. United States Commodity Funds LLC (USCF) believes that it is not practical to manage the portfolio to achieve such an investment goal when investing in Futures Contracts (as defined below) and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments (as defined below). USHO accomplishes its objective through investments in futures contracts for heating oil, crude oil, gasoline, natural gas and other petroleum-based fuels that are traded on the NYMEX, ICE Futures or other U.S. and foreign exchanges (collectively, Futures Contracts) and other heating oil-related investments such as cash-settled options on Futures Contracts, forward contracts for heating oil, cleared swap contracts and over-the-counter transactions that are based on the price of heating oil, crude oil and other petroleum-based fuels, Futures Contracts and indices based on the foregoing (collectively, Other Heating Oil-Related Investments). As of September 30, 2011, USHO held 54 Futures Contracts for heating oil traded on the NYMEX.

USHO commenced investment operations on April 9, 2008 and has a fiscal year ending on December 31. USCF is responsible for the management of USHO. USCF is a member of the National Futures Association (the NFA) and became a commodity pool operator registered with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the CFTC) effective December 1, 2005. USCF is also the general partner of the United States Oil Fund, LP (USOF), the United States Natural Gas Fund, LP (USNG), the United States 12 Month Oil Fund, LP (US12OF) and the United States Gasoline Fund, LP (UGA), which listed their limited partnership units on the AMEX under the ticker symbols USO on April 10, 2006, UNG on April 18, 2007, USL on December 6, 2007 and UGA on February 26, 2008, respectively. As a result of the acquisition of the AMEX by NYSE Euronext, each of USOF s, USNG s, US12OF s and UGA s units commenced trading on the NYSE Arca on November 25, 2008. USCF is also the general partner of the United States Short Oil Fund, LP (USSO), the United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP (US12NG) and the United States Brent Oil Fund, LP (USBO), which listed their limited partnership units on the NYSE Arca under the ticker symbols DNO on September 24, 2009, UNL on November 18, 2009 and BNO on June 2, 2010, respectively. USCF is also the sponsor of the United States Commodity Index Fund (USCI), the United States Agriculture Index Fund (USAG), the United States Copper Index Fund (CPER) and the United States Metals Index Fund (USMI), each a series of the United States Commodity Index Funds Trust. USCI listed its units on the NYSE Arca under the ticker symbol USCI on August 10, 2010. CPER will be listing its units on the NYSE Arca on November 15, 2011. USAG and USMI are not listed on the NYSE Arca as of the filing of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. USCF has also filed registration statements to register units of the United States Sugar Fund, the United States Natural Gas Double Inverse Fund, the United States Gasoil Fund and the United States Asian Commodities Basket Fund, each a series of the United States Commodity Funds Trust I.

USHO issues units to certain authorized purchasers (Authorized Purchasers) by offering baskets consisting of 100,000 units (Creation Baskets) through ALPS Distributors, Inc., as the marketing agent (the Marketing Agent). The purchase price for a Creation Basket is based upon the NAV of a unit calculated shortly after the close of the core trading session on the NYSE Arca on the day the order to create the basket is properly received.

From July 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011, Authorized Purchasers pay USHO a \$350 fee for each order placed to create one or more Creation Baskets or to redeem one or more baskets (Redemption Baskets), consisting of 100,000 units; prior to July 1, 2011, this fee was \$1,000. Units may be purchased or sold on a nationally recognized securities exchange in smaller increments than a Creation Basket or Redemption Basket. Units purchased or sold on a nationally recognized securities exchange are not purchased or sold at the NAV of USHO but rather at market prices quoted on such exchange.

Table of Contents

In April 2008, USHO initially registered 10,000,000 units on Form S-1 with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). On April 9, 2008, USHO listed its units on the AMEX under the ticker symbol UHN. On that day, USHO established its initial NAV by setting the price at \$50.00 per unit and issued 200,000 units in exchange for \$10,000,000. USHO also commenced investment operations on April 9, 2008, by purchasing Futures Contracts traded on the NYMEX based on heating oil. As of September 30, 2011, USHO had registered a total of 60,000,000 units.

The accompanying unaudited condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X promulgated by the SEC and, therefore, do not include all information and footnote disclosure required under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The financial information included herein is unaudited; however, such financial information reflects all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, which are, in the opinion of USCF, necessary for the fair presentation of the condensed financial statements for the interim period.

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Revenue Recognition

Commodity futures contracts, forward contracts, physical commodities, and related options are recorded on the trade date. All such transactions are recorded on the identified cost basis and marked to market daily. Unrealized gains or losses on open contracts are reflected in the condensed statement of financial condition and represent the difference between the original contract amount and the market value (as determined by exchange settlement prices for futures contracts and related options and cash dealer prices at a predetermined time for forward contracts, physical commodities, and their related options) as of the last business day of the year or as of the last date of the condensed financial statements. Changes in the unrealized gains or losses between periods are reflected in the condensed statement of operations. USHO earns interest on its assets denominated in U.S. dollars on deposit with the futures commission merchant at the overnight Federal Funds Rate, less 32 basis points. In addition, USHO earns income on funds held at the custodian at prevailing market rates earned on such investments.

Brokerage Commissions

Brokerage commissions on all open commodity futures contracts are accrued on a full-turn basis.

Income Taxes

USHO is not subject to federal income taxes; each partner reports his/her allocable share of income, gain, loss deductions or credits on his/her own income tax return.

In accordance with GAAP, USHO is required to determine whether a tax position is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by the applicable taxing authority, including resolution of any tax related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. USHO files an income tax return in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and may file income tax returns in various U.S. states. USHO is not subject to income tax return examinations by major taxing authorities for years before 2007 (year of inception). The tax benefit recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. De-recognition of a tax benefit previously recognized results in USHO recording a tax liability that reduces net assets. However, USHO's conclusions regarding this policy may be subject to review and adjustment at a later date based on factors including, but not limited to, on-going analyses of and changes to tax laws, regulations and interpretations thereof. USHO recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax fees payable, if assessed. No interest expense or penalties have been recognized as of and for the period ended September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

Creations and Redemptions

Authorized Purchasers may purchase Creation Baskets or redeem Redemption Baskets only in blocks of 100,000 units at a price equal to the NAV of the units calculated shortly after the close of the core trading session on the NYSE Arca on the day the order is placed.

USHO receives or pays the proceeds from units sold or redeemed within three business days after the trade date of the purchase or redemption. The amounts due from Authorized Purchasers are reflected in USHO's condensed statement of financial condition as receivable for units sold, and amounts payable to Authorized Purchasers upon redemption are reflected as payable for units redeemed.

Partnership Capital and Allocation of Partnership Income and Losses

Profit or loss shall be allocated among the partners of USHO in proportion to the number of units each partner holds as of the close of each month. USCF may revise, alter or otherwise modify this method of allocation as described in the LP Agreement.

Calculation of Net Asset Value

USHO's NAV is calculated on each NYSE Arca trading day by taking the current market value of its total assets, subtracting any liabilities and dividing the amount by the total number of units issued and outstanding. USHO uses the closing price for the contracts on the relevant exchange on that day to determine the value of contracts held on such exchange.

Net Income (Loss) per Unit

Net income (loss) per unit is the difference between the NAV per unit at the beginning of each period and at the end of each period. The weighted average number of units outstanding was computed for purposes of disclosing net income (loss) per weighted average unit. The weighted average units are equal to the number of units outstanding at the end of the period, adjusted proportionately for units added and redeemed based on the amount of time the units were outstanding during such period. There were no units held by USCF at September 30, 2011.

Offering Costs

Offering costs incurred in connection with the registration of additional units after the initial registration of units are borne by USHO. These costs include registration fees paid to regulatory agencies and all legal, accounting, printing and other expenses associated with such offerings. These costs are accounted for as a deferred charge and thereafter amortized to expense over twelve months on a straight-line basis or a shorter period if warranted.

Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include money market funds and overnight deposits or time deposits with original maturity dates of three months or less.

Reclassification

Certain amounts in the accompanying condensed financial statements were reclassified to conform with the current presentation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of condensed financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires USCF to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed financial statements, and the reported amounts of the revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates and assumptions.

Table of Contents

NOTE 3 FEES PAID BY THE FUND AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

USCF Management Fee

Under the LP Agreement, USCF is responsible for investing the assets of USHO in accordance with the objectives and policies of USHO. In addition, USCF has arranged for one or more third parties to provide administrative, custody, accounting, transfer agency and other necessary services to USHO. For these services, USHO is contractually obligated to pay USCF a fee, which is paid monthly, equal to 0.60% per annum of average daily net assets.

Ongoing Registration Fees and Other Offering Expenses

USHO pays all costs and expenses associated with the ongoing registration of its units subsequent to the initial offering. These costs include registration or other fees paid to regulatory agencies in connection with the offer and sale of units, and all legal, accounting, printing and other expenses associated with such offer and sale. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, USHO incurred \$5,460 and \$1,203, respectively, in registration fees and other offering expenses.

Directors Fees and Expenses

USHO is responsible for paying its portion of the directors and officers liability insurance for all affiliated funds for which USCF serves as general partner or sponsor (all affiliated funds including USCI, USAG, USMI and CPER) and the fees and expenses of the independent directors who also serve as audit committee members of those affiliated USCF funds organized as limited partnerships and, as of July 8, 2011, those affiliated funds organized as a series of a Delaware statutory trust. USHO shares the fees and expenses with each affiliated fund, as described above, based on the relative assets of each fund computed on a daily basis. These fees and expenses for the year ending December 31, 2011 are estimated to be a total of \$540,000 for all affiliated funds. Effective as of April 1, 2010, USHO became responsible for paying its portion of any payments that may become due to the independent directors pursuant to the deferred compensation agreements entered into between the independent directors, USCF and each of the affiliated funds, except USCI, USAG, USMI and CPER.

Licensing Fees

As discussed in Note 4 below, USHO entered into a licensing agreement with the NYMEX on May 30, 2007, as amended on October 20, 2011. Pursuant to the agreement, up to October 19, 2011, USHO and the affiliated funds managed by USCF, other than USBO, USCI, USMI, CPER and USAG, paid a licensing fee that was equal to 0.04% for the first \$1,000,000,000 of combined assets of the funds and 0.02% for combined assets above \$1,000,000,000. On and after October 20, 2011, USHO and the affiliated funds managed by USCF, other than USBO, USCI, USMI, CPER and USAG, pay a licensing fee that is equal to 0.015% on all assets. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, USHO incurred \$1,692 and \$2,049, respectively, under this arrangement. *See Note 9 below.*

Investor Tax Reporting Cost

The fees and expenses associated with USHO's audit expenses and tax accounting and reporting requirements are paid by USHO. These costs are estimated to be \$45,000 for the year ending December 31, 2011.

Other Expenses and Fees and Expense Waivers

In addition to the fees described above, USHO pays all brokerage fees and other expenses in connection with the operation of USHO, excluding costs and expenses paid by USCF as outlined in Note 4 below. USCF, though under no obligation to do so, agreed to pay certain costs for tax reporting and audit expenses normally borne by USHO, to the extent that such expenses exceed 0.15% (15 basis points) of USHO's NAV, on an annualized basis, through at least December 31, 2011. USCF has no obligation to continue such payments into subsequent periods.

Table of Contents

NOTE 4 CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS

USHO is party to a marketing agent agreement, dated as of March 10, 2008, as amended from time to time, with the Marketing Agent and USCF, whereby the Marketing Agent provides certain marketing services for USHO as outlined in the agreement. The fee of the Marketing Agent, which is borne by USCF, is equal to 0.06% on USHO's assets up to \$3 billion and 0.04% on USHO's assets in excess of \$3 billion.

The above fee does not include the following expenses, which are also borne by USCF: the cost of placing advertisements in various periodicals; web construction and development; or the printing and production of various marketing materials.

USHO is also party to a custodian agreement, dated March 13, 2008, as amended from time to time, with Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. (BBH&Co.) and USCF, whereby BBH&Co. holds investments on behalf of USHO. USCF pays the fees of the custodian, which are determined by the parties from time to time. In addition, USHO is party to an administrative agency agreement, dated February 7, 2008, as amended from time to time, with USCF and BBH&Co., whereby BBH&Co. acts as the administrative agent, transfer agent and registrar for USHO. USCF also pays the fees of BBH&Co. for its services under such agreement and such fees are determined by the parties from time to time.

Currently, USCF pays BBH&Co. for its services, in the foregoing capacities, a minimum amount of \$75,000 annually for its custody, fund accounting and fund administration services rendered to USHO and each of the affiliated funds managed by USCF, as well as a \$20,000 annual fee for its transfer agency services. In addition, USCF pays BBH&Co. an asset-based charge of (a) 0.06% for the first \$500 million of USHO's, USOF's, USNG's, US12OF's, UGA's, USSO's, US12NG's, USBO's, USCI's, USAG's, USMI's and CPER's combined net assets, (b) 0.046% on USHO's, USOF's, USNG's, US12OF's, UGA's, USSO's, US12NG's, USBO's, USCI's, USAG's, USMI's and CPER's combined net assets greater than \$500 million but less than \$1 billion, and (c) 0.035% once USHO's, USOF's, USNG's, US12OF's, UGA's, USSO's, US12NG's, USBO's, USCI's, USAG's, USMI's and CPER's combined net assets exceed \$1 billion. The annual minimum amount will not apply if the asset-based charge for all accounts in the aggregate exceeds \$75,000. USCF also pays transaction fees ranging from \$7 to \$15 per transaction.

USHO has entered into a brokerage agreement with UBS Securities LLC (UBS Securities). The agreement requires UBS Securities to provide services to USHO in connection with the purchase and sale of Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments that may be purchased and sold by or through UBS Securities for USHO's account. In accordance with the agreement, UBS Securities charges USHO commissions of approximately \$7 to \$15 per round-turn trade, including applicable exchange and NFA fees for Futures Contracts and options on Futures Contracts.

USHO and the NYMEX entered into a licensing agreement on May 30, 2007, as amended on October 20, 2011, whereby USHO was granted a non-exclusive license to use certain of the NYMEX's settlement prices and service marks. Under the licensing agreement, USHO and the affiliated funds managed by USCF, other than USBO, USCI, USMI, CPER and USAG, pay the NYMEX an asset-based fee for the license, the terms of which are described in Note 3. USHO expressly disclaims any association with the NYMEX or endorsement of USHO by the NYMEX and acknowledges that NYMEX and New York Mercantile Exchange are registered trademarks of the NYMEX. *See Note 9 below.*

NOTE 5 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS, OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISKS AND CONTINGENCIES

USHO engages in the trading of futures contracts and options on futures contracts (collectively, derivatives). USHO is exposed to both market risk, which is the risk arising from changes in the market value of the contracts, and credit risk, which is the risk of failure by another party to perform according to the terms of a contract.

USHO may enter into futures contracts and options on futures contracts to gain exposure to changes in the value of an underlying commodity. A futures contract obligates the seller to deliver (and the purchaser to accept) the future delivery of a specified quantity and type of a commodity at a specified time and place. Some futures contracts may call for physical delivery of the asset, while others are settled in cash. The contractual obligations of a buyer or seller may generally be satisfied by taking or making physical delivery of the underlying commodity or by making an offsetting sale or purchase of an identical futures contract on the same or linked exchange before the designated date of delivery.

Table of Contents

The purchase and sale of futures contracts and options on futures contracts require margin deposits with a futures commission merchant. Additional deposits may be necessary for any loss on contract value. The Commodity Exchange Act requires a futures commission merchant to segregate all customer transactions and assets from the futures commission merchant's proprietary activities.

Futures contracts involve, to varying degrees, elements of market risk (specifically commodity price risk) and exposure to loss in excess of the amount of variation margin. The face or contract amounts reflect the extent of the total exposure USHO has in the particular classes of instruments. Additional risks associated with the use of futures contracts are an imperfect correlation between movements in the price of the futures contracts and the market value of the underlying securities and the possibility of an illiquid market for a futures contract.

All of the futures contracts held by USHO were exchange-traded through September 30, 2011. The risks associated with exchange-traded contracts are generally perceived to be less than those associated with over-the-counter transactions since, in over-the-counter transactions, a party must rely solely on the credit of its respective individual counterparties. However, in the future, if USHO were to enter into non-exchange traded contracts, it would be subject to the credit risk associated with counterparty non-performance. The credit risk from counterparty non-performance associated with such instruments is the net unrealized gain, if any, on the transaction. USHO has credit risk under its futures contracts since the sole counterparty to all domestic and foreign futures contracts is the clearinghouse for the exchange on which the relevant contracts are traded. In addition, USHO bears the risk of financial failure by the clearing broker.

USHO's cash and other property, such as U.S. Treasuries, deposited with a futures commission merchant are considered commingled with all other customer funds, subject to the futures commission merchant's segregation requirements. In the event of a futures commission merchant's insolvency, recovery may be limited to a pro rata share of segregated funds available. It is possible that the recovered amount could be less than the total of cash and other property deposited. The insolvency of a futures commission merchant could result in the complete loss of USHO's assets posted with that futures commission merchant; however, the vast majority of USHO's assets are held in U.S. Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents with USHO's custodian and would not be impacted by the insolvency of a futures commission merchant. Also, the failure or insolvency of USHO's custodian could result in a substantial loss of USHO's assets.

USCF invests a portion of USHO's cash in money market funds that seek to maintain a stable NAV. USHO is exposed to any risk of loss associated with an investment in such money market funds. USCF holds cash deposits with its custodian. Pursuant to a written agreement with BBH&Co., uninvested overnight cash balances are swept to offshore branches of U.S. regulated and domiciled banks located in Toronto, Canada, London, United Kingdom, Grand Cayman, Cayman Islands and Nassau, Bahamas, which are subject to U.S. regulation and regulatory oversight. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, USHO held cash deposits and investments in money market funds in the amounts of \$6,617,896 and \$11,445,529, respectively. This amount is subject to loss should USHO's custodian cease operations.

For derivatives, risks arise from changes in the market value of the contracts. Theoretically, USHO is exposed to market risk equal to the value of futures contracts purchased and unlimited liability on such contracts sold short. As both a buyer and a seller of options, USHO pays or receives a premium at the outset and then bears the risk of unfavorable changes in the price of the contract underlying the option.

USHO's policy is to continuously monitor its exposure to market and counterparty risk through the use of a variety of financial, position and credit exposure reporting controls and procedures. In addition, USHO has a policy of requiring review of the credit standing of each broker or counterparty with which it conducts business. The financial instruments held by USHO are reported in its condensed statement of financial condition at market or fair value, or at carrying amounts that approximate fair value, because of their highly liquid nature and short-term maturity.

Table of Contents**NOTE 6 FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS**

The following table presents per unit performance data and other supplemental financial data for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 for the unitholders. This information has been derived from information presented in the condensed financial statements.

	For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)
Per Unit Operating Performance:		
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$ 29.82	\$ 27.54
Total income (loss)	1.91	(0.13)
Total expenses	(0.24)	(0.18)
Net increase (decrease) in net asset value	1.67	(0.31)
Net asset value, end of period	\$ 31.49	\$ 27.23
Total Return	5.60 %	(1.13)%
Ratios to Average Net Assets		
Total income (loss)	10.21 %	(4.36)%
Management fees*	0.60 %	0.60 %
Total expenses excluding management fees*	2.28 %	1.99 %
Expenses waived*	(1.95)%	(1.68)%
Net expenses excluding management fees*	0.33 %	0.31 %
Net income (loss)	9.52 %	(5.04)%

* Annualized

Total returns are calculated based on the change in value during the period. An individual unitholder's total return and ratio may vary from the above total returns and ratios based on the timing of contributions to and withdrawals from USHO.

NOTE 7 FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

USHO values its investments in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 820 – Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820). ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurement. The changes to past practice resulting from the application of ASC 820 relate to the definition of fair value, the methods used to measure fair value, and the expanded disclosures about fair value measurement. ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between: (1) market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of USHO (observable inputs) and (2) USHO's own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available under the circumstances (unobservable inputs). The three levels defined by the ASC 820 hierarchy are as follows:

Edgar Filing: United States Heating Oil Fund, LP - Form 10-Q

Level I Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for *identical* assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level II Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level I that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level II assets include the following: quoted prices for *similar* assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means (market-corroborated inputs).

Table of Contents

Level III Unobservable pricing input at the measurement date for the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs shall be used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available.

In some instances, the inputs used to measure fair value might fall within different levels of the fair value hierarchy. The level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls shall be determined based on the lowest input level that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

The following table summarizes the valuation of USHO's securities at December 31, 2010 using the fair value hierarchy:

At December 31, 2010	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Short-Term Investments	\$ 9,307,152	\$ 9,307,152	\$	\$
Exchange-Traded Futures Contracts				
United States Contracts	267,658	267,658		

During the year ended December 31, 2010, there were no significant transfers between Level I and Level II.

The following table summarizes the valuation of USHO's securities at September 30, 2011 using the fair value hierarchy:

At September 30, 2011	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Short-Term Investments	\$ 3,301,048	\$ 3,301,048	\$	\$
Exchange-Traded Futures Contracts				
United States Contracts	(539,330)	(539,330)		

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, there were no significant transfers between Level I and Level II.

Effective January 1, 2009, USHO adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification 815 Derivatives and Hedging, which require presentation of qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts and gains and losses on derivatives.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

Derivatives not Accounted for as Hedging Instruments	Condensed Statement of Financial Condition Location	Fair Value At September 30, 2011	Fair Value At December 31, 2010
Futures -			
Commodity Contracts	Assets	\$ (539,330)	\$ 267,658

Table of Contents**The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Condensed Statements of Operations**

Derivatives not Accounted for as	Location of Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	For the nine months ended September 30, 2011		For the nine months ended September 30, 2010	
		Realized Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	Change in Unrealized Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income	Realized Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	Change in Unrealized Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income
Futures	Realized gain on closed positions	\$ 1,729,514		\$ 38,128	
Commodity					
Contracts	Change in unrealized loss on open positions		\$ (806,988)		\$ (549,302)

NOTE 8 RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. ASU No. 2011-04 clarifies existing requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosure about fair value measurements in converged guidance of the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board. The amendments are to be applied prospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. For nonpublic entities, the amendments are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application by public entities is not permitted. Nonpublic entities may apply the amendments early, but no earlier than for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The implementation of ASU No. 2011-04 is not expected to have a material impact on USHO's financial statements.

In January 2010, FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06 Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. ASU No. 2010-06 clarifies existing disclosure and requires additional disclosures regarding fair value measurements. Effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, entities will need to disclose information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements of Level 3 securities on a gross basis, rather than as a net number as currently required. The implementation of ASU No. 2010-06 is not expected to have a material impact on USHO's financial statements.

NOTE 9 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

USHO has performed an evaluation of subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued. The subsequent events were as follows:

As discussed in Note 3 and Note 4 above, on October 20, 2011, USHO and the NYMEX amended the licensing agreement. Pursuant to the agreement, up to October 19, 2011, USHO and the affiliated funds managed by USCF, other than USBO, USCI, CPER, USAG and USMI, paid a licensing fee that was equal to 0.04% for the first \$1,000,000,000 of combined assets of the funds and 0.02% for combined assets above \$1,000,000,000. On and after October 20, 2011, USHO and the affiliated funds managed by USCF, other than USBO, USCI, CPER, USAG and USMI, pay a licensing fee that is equal to 0.015% on all assets.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed financial statements and the notes thereto of the United States Heating Oil Fund, LP (USHO) included elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

Forward-Looking Information

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q, including this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements regarding the plans and objectives of management for future operations. This information may involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause USHO's actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements, which involve assumptions and describe USHO's future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words may, will, should, expect, anticipate, estimate, believe, intend or project, the negative of these words, other variations or comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements are based on assumptions that may be incorrect, and USHO cannot assure investors that the projections included in these forward-looking statements will come to pass. USHO's actual results could differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors.

USHO has based the forward-looking statements included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q on information available to it on the date of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, and USHO assumes no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. Although USHO undertakes no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, investors are advised to consult any additional disclosures that USHO may make directly to them or through reports that USHO in the future files with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K.

Introduction

USHO, a Delaware limited partnership, is a commodity pool that issues units that may be purchased and sold on the NYSE Arca, Inc. (the NYSE Arca). The investment objective of USHO is for the daily changes in percentage terms of its units' net asset value (NAV) to reflect the daily changes in percentage terms of the spot price of heating oil, as measured by the changes in the price of the futures contract for heating oil (also known as No. 2 fuel oil), for delivery to the New York harbor, traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange (the NYMEX) that is the near month contract to expire, except when the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration, in which case it will be measured by the futures contract that is the next month contract to expire, less USHO's expenses. It is not the intent of USHO to be operated in a fashion such that the per unit NAV will equal, in dollar terms, the spot price of heating oil or any particular futures contract based on heating oil. The general partner of USHO, United States Commodity Funds LLC (USCF), believes that it is not practical to manage the portfolio to achieve such an investment goal when investing in Futures Contracts (as defined below) and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments (as defined below).

USHO invests in futures contracts for heating oil, crude oil, gasoline, natural gas and other petroleum-based fuels that are traded on the NYMEX, ICE Futures or other U.S. and foreign exchanges (collectively, Futures Contracts) and other heating oil-related investments such as cash-settled options on Futures Contracts, forward contracts for heating oil, cleared swap contracts and over-the-counter transactions that are based on the price of heating oil, crude oil and other petroleum-based fuels, Futures Contracts and indices based on the foregoing (collectively, Other Heating Oil-Related Investments). For convenience and unless otherwise specified, Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments collectively are referred to as Heating Oil Interests in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

USHO seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing in a combination of Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments such that daily changes in its NAV, measured in percentage terms, will closely track the daily changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract, also measured in percentage terms. USCF believes the daily changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract have historically exhibited a close correlation with the daily changes in the spot price of heating oil. It is not the intent of USHO to be operated in a fashion such that the per unit NAV will equal, in dollar terms, the spot price of heating oil or any particular futures contract based on heating oil. USCF believes that it is not practical to manage the portfolio to achieve such an investment goal when investing in Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments.

Table of Contents

On any valuation day, the Benchmark Futures Contract is the near month futures contract for heating oil traded on the NYMEX unless the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration in which case the Benchmark Futures Contract becomes the next month contract for heating oil traded on the NYMEX. Near month contract means the next contract traded on the NYMEX due to expire. Next month contract means the first contract traded on the NYMEX due to expire after the near month contract.

The regulation of commodity interests in the United States is subject to ongoing modification by governmental and judicial action. On July 21, 2010, a broad financial regulatory reform bill, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act), was signed into law.

All of the Dodd-Frank Act s provisions became effective on July 16, 2011. However, some new rules implementing, and in many cases, interpreting and clarifying, the Dodd-Frank Act s new requirements have not been finalized. Therefore, USHO will necessarily operate in a period of regulatory uncertainty until all applicable new regulations have been finalized. Some specific examples of how the new Dodd-Frank Act provisions and rules adopted thereunder could impact USHO are discussed below.

Provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act include the requirement that position limits be established on a wide range of commodity interests including energy-based and other commodity futures contracts, certain cleared commodity swaps and certain over-the-counter commodity contracts; new registration, recordkeeping, capital and margin requirements for swap dealers and major swap participants as determined by the new law and applicable regulations; and the forced use of clearinghouse mechanisms for most swap transactions that are currently entered into in the over-the-counter market. The new law and the rules thereunder may negatively impact USHO s ability to meet its investment objective either through limits or requirements imposed on it or upon its counterparties. Further, increased regulation of, and the imposition of additional costs on, swap transactions under the new legislation and implementing regulations could cause a reduction in the swap market and the overall derivatives markets, which could restrict liquidity and adversely affect USHO. In particular, new position limits imposed on USHO or its counterparties may impact USHO s ability to invest in a manner that most efficiently meets its investment objective, and new requirements, including capital and mandatory clearing, may increase the cost of USHO s investments and doing business, which could adversely impact the ability of USHO to achieve its investment objective.

On October 18, 2011, the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the CFTC) adopted regulations implementing position limits and limit formulas for 28 core physical commodity futures contracts, including the Futures Contracts and options on Futures Contracts executed pursuant to the rules of designated contract markets (*i.e.*, certain regulated exchanges) and commodity swaps that are economically equivalent to such futures and options contracts (collectively, Referenced Contracts). The new regulations require, among other things, aggregation of position limits that would apply across different trading venues to contracts based on the same underlying commodity. However, the regulations do not appear to require aggregation of Referenced Contracts held across separate Funds or Trust Series.

The position limit rules will be implemented in two phases: spot-month position limits and non-spot-month position limits. Spot-month limits will be effective sixty days after the term swap is defined under the Dodd-Frank Act (see below). The limits adopted will be based on the spot-month position limit levels currently in place at the Futures Exchanges (or designated contract market or DCM). Thereafter, the spot-month limits will be adjusted annually for energy contracts. These subsequent limits will be based on the CFTC s determination of deliverable supply in consultation with the Futures Exchanges. Spot-month position limit levels will be set generally at 25% of estimated deliverable supply, and limits will be applied separately for physical-delivery and cash-settled contracts in the same commodity.

Non-spot-month position limits will go into effect by CFTC order after the CFTC has received one year of open interest data on physical commodity cleared and uncleared swaps under the swaps large trader reporting rule. The non-spot month limits will be adjusted biennially based on Referenced Contract open interest. Non-spot-month position limits (*i.e.*, limits applied to positions in all contract months combined or in a single contract month) will be set using the 10/2.5 percent formula: 10 percent of the contract s first 25,000 of open interest and 2.5 percent thereafter. These limits will be reset biennially based on two years of open interest data.

Table of Contents

Based on its current understanding of the final position limit regulations, USCF does not anticipate significant negative impact on the ability of USHO to achieve its investment objective. However, as of the filing of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, additional studies are required before final rules are implemented, and therefore, it cannot be determined with certainty what impact such rules will have on USHO.

On April 12, 2011, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Farm Credit System and the Federal Housing Finance Agency (collectively, the Prudential Regulators) and the CFTC issued proposed rules establishing minimum initial and variation margin collection requirements for certain swap dealers and major swap participants (collectively, Covered Swap Entities), which if adopted, would require Covered Swap Entities to collect minimum initial and variation margin amounts from swap counterparties. The public comment period for these rules was extended on June 23, 2011. The Prudential Regulators' proposed rules would apply to those Covered Swap Entities that are regulated by the Prudential Regulators and the CFTC's proposed rules would apply to Covered Swap Entities that are not regulated by the Prudential Regulators.

The amount of initial and variation margin that Covered Swap Entities would be required to collect under the proposed rules varies based on whether a Covered Swap Entity's counterparty to a particular swap is (1) also a Covered Swap Entity, (2) a high-risk financial entity end-user, (3) a low-risk financial entity end-user (e.g., financial entities subject to capital requirements imposed by bank or insurance regulators, that predominantly use swaps to hedge and that do not have significant swap exposure) or (4) a non-financial end-user. With certain exceptions not applicable to USHO and the affiliated funds managed by USCF, Covered Swap Entities would not be required to post initial or variation margin to any of their counterparties except for other Covered Swap Entities.

Covered Swap Entities and all financial entity end-users would be required to post initial margin and variation margin when they enter into swaps with Covered Swap Entities. Margin posted by low-risk financial entity end-users could be subject to thresholds under the proposed rules. As commodity pools, USHO and the affiliated funds managed by USCF would be high-risk financial entity end-users and would therefore have to post margin without thresholds.

The CFTC and the SEC have proposed joint rules defining swaps and security-based swaps, which would provide additional clarity regarding which transactions will be regulated as such under the Dodd-Frank Act and, more specifically, whether and how new CFTC and SEC rules will apply to USHO. Final rules with regard to the definition of swaps and security-based swaps have not yet been proposed.

The CFTC has now issued proposed versions of all of the rules it is required to promulgate under the Dodd-Frank Act but continues to issue proposed versions of additional rules that it has authority to promulgate.

On July 14, 2011, the CFTC issued an order providing temporary relief from certain swaps-related provisions of Title VII that would have automatically taken effect on July 16, 2011. The final order granted temporary exemptive relief that, by its terms, expires upon the earlier of the effective date of the required final rulemaking or December 31, 2011. On October 18, 2011, the CFTC issued an order, which modifies the July 14, 2011 order by extending the temporary exemptive relief to the earlier of the effective date of the required final rulemaking or July 16, 2012.

The effect of the future regulatory change on USHO is impossible to predict, but it could be substantial and adverse.

USCF, which is registered as a commodity pool operator (CPO) with the CFTC, is authorized by the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of USHO (the LP Agreement) to manage USHO. USCF is authorized by USHO in its sole judgment to employ and establish the terms of employment for, and termination of, commodity trading advisors or futures commission merchants.

Table of Contents

Price Movements

Heating oil futures prices were volatile during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 with a general upward trend from January through May 2011, followed by a choppy, trendless market from May through September 2011. The price of the Benchmark Futures Contract started the period at \$2.54 per gallon. It hit a peak on April 8, 2011 at a price of \$3.32 per gallon. The low of the period was on January 7, 2011 when the price dropped to \$2.49 per gallon. The period ended with the Benchmark Futures Contract at \$2.78 per gallon, an increase of approximately 9.32% over the period. USHO's NAV began the period at \$29.82 per unit and reached its high for the period on April 8, 2011 at \$38.60 per unit. USHO's NAV reached its low for the period on January 7, 2011 at \$29.16 per unit. USHO's NAV on September 30, 2011 was \$31.49, up approximately 5.60% for the period. The Benchmark Futures Contract prices listed above began with the February 2011 contract and ended with the November 2011 contract. The return of approximately 9.32% on the Benchmark Futures Contract listed above is a hypothetical return only and could not actually be achieved by an investor holding Futures Contracts. An investment in heating oil Futures Contracts would need to be rolled forward during the time period described in order to achieve such a result. Furthermore, the change in the nominal price of these differing heating oil Futures Contracts, measured from the start of the period to the end of the period, does not represent the actual benchmark results that USHO seeks to track, which are more fully described below in the section titled "Tracking USHO's Benchmark".

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the heating oil futures market was in a state of contango, with the exception of brief periods in early February 2011 and early June 2011 and the last day of the third quarter of 2011, meaning that the price of the near month heating oil Futures Contract was typically lower than the price of the next month heating oil Futures Contract, or contracts further away from expiration. A backwardation market is one in which the price of the near month heating oil Futures Contract is higher than the price of the next month heating oil Futures Contract, or contracts further away from expiration. For a discussion of the impact of backwardation and contango on total returns, see *Term Structure of Heating Oil Futures Prices and the Impact on Total Returns* below.

Valuation of Futures Contracts and the Computation of the NAV

The NAV of USHO units is calculated once each NYSE Arca trading day. The NAV for a particular trading day is released after 4:00 p.m. New York time. Trading during the core trading session on the NYSE Arca typically closes at 4:00 p.m. New York time. USHO's administrator uses the NYMEX closing price (determined at the earlier of the close of the NYMEX or 2:30 p.m. New York time) for the contracts held on the NYMEX, but calculates or determines the value of all other USHO investments, including ICE Futures contracts or other futures contracts, as of the earlier of the close of the NYSE Arca or 4:00 p.m. New York time.

Results of Operations and the Heating Oil Market

Results of Operations. On April 9, 2008, USHO listed its units on the American Stock Exchange (the "AMEX") under the ticker symbol "UHN". On that day, USHO established its initial offering price at \$50.00 per unit and issued 200,000 units to the initial authorized purchaser, Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Group, in exchange for \$10,001,000 in cash. As a result of the acquisition of the AMEX by NYSE Euronext, USHO's units no longer trade on the AMEX and commenced trading on the NYSE Arca on November 25, 2008.

Since its initial offering of 10,000,000 units, USHO registered one subsequent offering of its units: 50,000,000 units which were registered with the SEC on April 30, 2010. Units offered by USHO in the subsequent offering were sold by it for cash at the units' NAV as described in the applicable prospectus. As of September 30, 2011, USHO had issued 900,000 units, 200,000 of which were outstanding. As of September 30, 2011, there were 59,100,000 units registered but not yet issued.

More units may have been issued by USHO than are outstanding due to the redemption of units. Unlike funds that are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, units that have been redeemed by USHO cannot be resold by USHO. As a result, USHO contemplates that additional offerings of its units will be registered with the SEC in the future in anticipation of additional issuances and redemptions.

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

As of September 30, 2011, the total unrealized loss on Futures Contracts owned or held on that day was \$539,330, and USHO established cash deposits and investments in money market funds that were equal to \$6,617,896. USHO held 83.87% of its cash assets in overnight deposits and money market funds at its custodian bank, while 16.13% of the cash balance was held as margin deposits for the Futures Contracts purchased. The ending per unit NAV on September 30, 2011 was \$31.49.

Table of Contents

By comparison, as of September 30, 2010, the total unrealized gain on Futures Contracts owned or held on that day was \$538,910, and USHO established cash deposits and investments in money market funds that were equal to \$7,408,825. USHO held 86.89% of its cash assets in overnight deposits and money market funds at its custodian bank, while 13.11% of the cash balance was held as margin deposits for the Futures Contracts purchased. The decrease in cash assets in overnight deposits and money market funds for September 30, 2011, as compared to September 30, 2010, was the result of USHO's smaller size in the current period as measured by total net assets. The ending per unit NAV on September 30, 2010 was \$27.23. The increase in the per unit NAV for September 30, 2011, as compared to September 30, 2010, was primarily a result of an increase in the value of the heating oil Futures Contracts that USHO had invested in between the period ended September 30, 2010 and the period ended September 30, 2011.

Portfolio Expenses. USHO's expenses consist of investment management fees, brokerage fees and commissions, certain offering costs, licensing fees, the fees and expenses of the independent directors of USCF and expenses relating to tax accounting and reporting requirements. The management fee that USHO pays to USCF is calculated as a percentage of the total net assets of USHO. USHO pays USCF a management fee of 0.60% of its average net assets. The fee is accrued daily and paid monthly.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the daily average total net assets of USHO were \$9,064,730. The management fee incurred by USHO during the period amounted to \$40,680. By comparison, during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the daily average total net assets of USHO were \$11,578,025. The management fee paid by USHO during the period amounted to \$51,958.

In addition to the management fee, USHO pays all brokerage fees and other expenses, including certain tax reporting costs, licensing fees for the use of intellectual property, ongoing registration or other fees paid to the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) and any other regulatory agency in connection with offers and sales of its units subsequent to the initial offering and all legal, accounting, printing and other expenses associated therewith. The total of these fees and expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$154,655, as compared to \$172,523 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease in expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010, was primarily due to the relative size of USHO and its decreased brokerage fees, decreased licensing fees, decreased director fees and decreased tax reporting costs during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. USHO incurred \$5,460 and \$1,203 in fees and other expenses relating to the registration and offering of additional units during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, an expense waiver was in effect which offset certain of the expenses incurred by USHO. The total amount of the expense waiver was \$132,085 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and \$145,980 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the expenses of USHO, including management fees, commissions, and all other expenses, before allowance for the expense waiver, totaled \$195,335 and \$224,481, respectively, and after allowance for the expense waiver, totaled \$63,250 and \$78,501, respectively.

USHO is responsible for paying its portion of the directors' and officers' liability insurance of all affiliated funds for which USCF serves as general partner and sponsor and the fees and expenses of the independent directors who also serve as audit committee members of those affiliated USCF funds organized as limited partnerships and, as of July 8, 2011, those affiliated funds organized as a series of a Delaware statutory trust. Affiliated funds of USHO include the United States Oil Fund, LP (USOF), the United States Natural Gas Fund, LP (USNG), the United States 12 Month Oil Fund, LP (US12OF), the United States Gasoline Fund, LP (UGA), the United States Short Oil Fund, LP (USSO), the United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP (US12NG), the United States Brent Oil Fund, LP (USBO), the United States Commodity Index Fund (USCI), the United States Agriculture Index Fund (USAG), the United States Copper Index Fund (CPER) and the United States Metals Index Fund (USMI). USHO shares the fees and expenses with each affiliated fund, as described above, based on the relative assets of each fund computed on a daily basis. These fees and expenses for the year ending December 31, 2011 are estimated to be a total of \$540,000 for all affiliated funds. By comparison, for the year ended December 31, 2010, these fees and expenses amounted to a total of \$1,107,140 for all affiliated funds, except USCI, USAG, CPER and USMI, and USHO's portion of such fees and expenses was \$2,864. Effective as of April 1, 2010, USHO became responsible for paying its portion of any payments that may become due to the independent directors pursuant to the deferred compensation agreements entered into between the independent directors, USCF and the affiliated funds, except USCI, USAG, CPER and USMI.

Table of Contents

USHO also incurs commissions to brokers for the purchase and sale of Futures Contracts, Other Heating Oil-Related Investments or short-term obligations of the United States of two years or less (Treasuries). During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, total commissions paid to brokers amounted to \$4,240. By comparison, during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, total commissions paid to brokers amounted to \$7,923. The decrease in the total commissions paid to brokers for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily a function of fewer Futures Contracts traded due to USHO's smaller size during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. As an annualized percentage of total net assets, the figure for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 represents approximately 0.06% of total net assets. By comparison, the figure for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 represented approximately 0.09% of total net assets. However, there can be no assurance that commission costs and portfolio turnover will not cause commission expenses to rise in future quarters.

The fees and expenses associated with USHO's audit expenses and tax accounting and reporting requirements are paid by USHO. These costs are estimated to be \$45,000 for the year ending December 31, 2011. USCF, though under no obligation to do so, agreed to pay certain costs for tax reporting and audit expenses normally borne by USHO, to the extent that such expenses exceed 0.15% (15 basis points) of USHO's NAV, on an annualized basis, through at least December 31, 2011. USCF has no obligation to continue such payments into subsequent periods.

Dividend and Interest Income. USHO seeks to invest its assets such that it holds Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments in an amount equal to the total net assets of its portfolio. Typically, such investments do not require USHO to pay the full amount of the contract value at the time of purchase, but rather require USHO to post an amount as a margin deposit against the eventual settlement of the contract. As a result, USHO retains an amount that is approximately equal to its total net assets, which USHO invests in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents. This includes both the amount on deposit with the futures commission merchant as margin, as well as unrestricted cash and cash equivalents held with USHO's custodian bank. The Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents earn income that accrues on a daily basis. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, USHO earned \$1,343 in dividend and interest income on such cash and/or cash equivalents. Based on USHO's average daily total net assets, this was equivalent to an annualized yield of 0.02%. USHO did not purchase Treasuries during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and held only cash and/or cash equivalents during this time period. By comparison, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, USHO earned \$3,054 in dividend and interest income on such cash and/or cash equivalents. Based on USHO's average daily total net assets, this was equivalent to an annualized yield of 0.04%. USHO did not purchase Treasuries during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and held only cash and/or cash equivalents during this time period. Interest rates on short-term investments, including cash, cash equivalents and Treasuries, were lower during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. As a result, the amount of income earned by USHO as a percentage of total net assets was lower during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

Portfolio Expenses. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, the daily average total net assets of USHO were \$6,821,201. The management fee incurred by USHO during the period amounted to \$10,316. By comparison, during the three months ended September 30, 2010, the daily average total net assets of USHO were \$7,553,379. The management fee paid by USHO during the period amounted to \$11,423.

In addition to the management fee, USHO pays all brokerage fees and other expenses, including certain tax reporting costs, licensing fees for the use of intellectual property, ongoing registration or other fees paid to the SEC, FINRA and any other regulatory agency in connection with offers and sales of its units subsequent to the initial offering and all legal, accounting, printing and other expenses associated therewith. The total of these fees and expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$46,599, as compared to \$32,910 for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010, was primarily due to higher estimated fees for tax reporting, audit, licensing, directors and other fees during the three months ended September 30, 2011. USHO incurred \$1,840 and \$368 in fees and other expenses relating to the registration and offering of additional units during the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. During the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, an expense waiver was in effect which offset certain of the expenses incurred by USHO. The total amount of the expense waiver was \$40,488 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and \$27,414 for the three months ended September 30, 2010. For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the expenses of USHO, including management fees, commissions, and all other expenses, before allowance for the expense waiver, totaled \$56,915 and \$44,333, respectively, and after allowance for the expense waiver, totaled \$16,427 and \$16,919, respectively.

Table of Contents

USHO is responsible for paying its portion of the directors' and officers' liability insurance of all affiliated funds for which USCF serves as general partner and sponsor and the fees and expenses of the independent directors who also serve as audit committee members of those affiliated USCF funds organized as limited partnerships and, as of July 8, 2011, those affiliated funds organized as a series of a Delaware statutory trust. Affiliated funds of USHO include USOF, USNG, US12OF, UGA, USSO, US12NG, USBO, USCI, USAG, USMI and CPER. USHO shares the fees and expenses with each affiliated fund, as described above, based on the relative assets of each fund computed on a daily basis. These fees and expenses for the year ending December 31, 2011 are estimated to be a total of \$540,000 for all affiliated funds. By comparison, for the year ended December 31, 2010, these fees and expenses amounted to a total of \$1,107,140 for all affiliated funds, except USCI, USAG, CPER and USMI and USHO's portion of such fees and expenses was \$2,864. Effective as of April 1, 2010, USHO became responsible for paying its portion of any payments that may become due to the independent directors pursuant to the deferred compensation agreements entered into between the independent directors, USCF and the affiliated funds, except USCI, USAG, CPER and USMI.

USHO also incurs commissions to brokers for the purchase and sale of Futures Contracts, Other Heating Oil-Related Investments or Treasuries. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, total commissions paid to brokers amounted to \$1,043. By comparison, during the three months ended September 30, 2010, total commissions paid to brokers amounted to \$1,685. The decrease in the total commissions paid to brokers for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily a function of fewer Futures Contracts traded due to USHO's smaller size during the three months ended September 30, 2011. As an annualized percentage of total net assets, the figure for the three months ended September 30, 2011 represents approximately 0.06% of total net assets. By comparison, the figure for the three months ended September 30, 2010 represented approximately 0.09% of total net assets. However, there can be no assurance that commission costs and portfolio turnover will not cause commission expenses to rise in future quarters.

The fees and expenses associated with USHO's audit expenses and tax accounting and reporting requirements are paid by USHO. These costs are estimated to be \$45,000 for the year ending December 31, 2011. USCF, though under no obligation to do so, agreed to pay certain costs for tax reporting and audit expenses normally borne by USHO, to the extent that such expenses exceed 0.15% (15 basis points) of USHO's NAV, on an annualized basis, through at least December 31, 2011. USCF has no obligation to continue such payments into subsequent periods.

Dividend and Interest Income. USHO seeks to invest its assets such that it holds Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments in an amount equal to the total net assets of its portfolio. Typically, such investments do not require USHO to pay the full amount of the contract value at the time of purchase, but rather require USHO to post an amount as a margin deposit against the eventual settlement of the contract. As a result, USHO retains an amount that is approximately equal to its total net assets, which USHO invests in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents. This includes both the amount on deposit with the futures commission merchant as margin, as well as unrestricted cash and cash equivalents held with USHO's custodian bank. The Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents earn income that accrues on a daily basis. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, USHO earned \$246 in dividend and interest income on such cash and/or cash equivalents. Based on USHO's average daily total net assets, this was equivalent to an annualized yield of 0.01%. USHO did not purchase Treasuries during the three months ended September 30, 2011 and held only cash and/or cash equivalents during this time period. By comparison, for the three months ended September 30, 2010, USHO earned \$1,029 in dividend and interest income on such cash and/or cash equivalents. Based on USHO's average daily total net assets, this was equivalent to an annualized yield of 0.05%. USHO did not purchase Treasuries during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and held only cash and/or cash equivalents during this time period. Interest rates on short-term investments, including cash, cash equivalents and Treasuries, were lower during the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. As a result, the amount of income earned by USHO as a percentage of total net assets was lower during the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Tracking USHO's Benchmark

USCF seeks to manage USHO's portfolio such that changes in its average daily NAV, on a percentage basis, closely track the changes in the average daily price of the Benchmark Futures Contract, also on a percentage basis. Specifically, USCF seeks to manage the portfolio such that over any rolling period of 30 valuation days, the average daily change in USHO's NAV is within a range of 90% to 110% (0.9 to 1.1) of the average daily change in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract. As an example, if the average daily movement of the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract for a particular 30-valuation day time period was 0.5% per day, USCF would attempt to manage the portfolio such that the average daily movement of the NAV during that same time period fell between 0.45% and 0.55% (*i.e.*, between 0.9 and 1.1 of the benchmark's results). USHO's portfolio management goals do not include trying to make the nominal price of USHO's NAV equal to the nominal price of the current Benchmark Futures Contract or the spot price for heating oil. USCF believes that it is not practical to manage the portfolio to achieve such an investment goal when investing in listed heating oil Futures Contracts.

For the 30 valuation days ended September 30, 2011, the simple average daily change in the Benchmark Futures Contract was -0.125%, while the simple average daily change in the NAV of USHO over the same time period was -0.121%. The average daily difference was -0.004% (or -0.4 basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the Benchmark Futures Contract, the average error in daily tracking by the NAV was -2.686%, meaning that over this time period USHO's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal. The first chart below shows the daily movement of USHO's NAV versus the daily movement of the Benchmark Futures Contract for the 30-valuation day period ended September 30, 2011. The second chart below shows the monthly total returns of USHO as compared to the monthly value of the Benchmark Futures Contract since inception.

Since the commencement of the offering of USHO's units to the public on April 9, 2008 to September 30, 2011, the simple average daily change in the Benchmark Futures Contract was -0.022%, while the simple average daily change in the NAV of USHO over the same time period was -0.024%. The average daily difference was -0.002% (or -0.2 basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the Benchmark Futures Contract, the average error in daily tracking by the NAV was -0.668%, meaning that over this time period USHO's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal.

Table of Contents

**PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS*

Table of Contents

****PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS***

An alternative tracking measurement of the return performance of USHO versus the return of its Benchmark Futures Contract can be calculated by comparing the actual return of USHO, measured by changes in its NAV, versus the *expected* changes in its NAV under the assumption that USHO's returns had been exactly the same as the daily changes in its Benchmark Futures Contract.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the actual total return of USHO as measured by changes in its NAV was 5.60%. This is based on an initial NAV of \$29.82 on December 31, 2010 and an ending NAV as of September 30, 2011 of \$31.49. During this time period, USHO made no distributions to its unitholders. However, if USHO's daily changes in its NAV had instead exactly tracked the changes in the daily total return of the Benchmark Futures Contract, USHO would have had an estimated NAV of \$31.68 as of September 30, 2011, for a total return over the relevant time period of 6.24%. The difference between the actual NAV total return of USHO of 5.60% and the expected total return based on the Benchmark Futures Contract of 6.24% was an error over the time period of -0.64%, which is to say that USHO's actual total return underperformed the benchmark result by that percentage. USCF believes that a portion of the difference between the actual total return and the expected benchmark total return can be attributed to the net impact of the expenses that USHO pays, offset in part by the income that USHO collects on its cash and cash equivalent holdings. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, USHO received dividend and interest income of \$1,343, which is equivalent to a weighted average income rate of 0.02% for such period. In addition, during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, USHO also collected \$2,000 from its Authorized Purchasers for creating or redeeming baskets of units. This income also contributed to USHO's actual total return. However, if the total assets of USHO continue to increase, USCF believes that the impact on actual total returns of these fees from creations and redemptions will diminish as a percentage of the actual total return. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, USHO incurred net expenses of \$63,250. Income from dividends and interest and Authorized Purchaser collections net of expenses was \$(59,907) which is equivalent to an annualized weighted average net income rate of (0.88)% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

By comparison, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the actual total return of USHO as measured by changes in its NAV was -1.13%. This was based on an initial NAV of \$27.54 on December 31, 2009 and an ending NAV as of September 30, 2010 of \$27.23. During this time period, USHO made no distributions to its unitholders. However, if USHO's daily changes in its NAV had instead exactly tracked the changes in the daily total return of the Benchmark Futures Contract, USHO would have had an estimated NAV of \$27.28 as of September 30, 2010, for a total return over the relevant time period of -0.944%. The difference between the actual NAV total return of USHO of -1.13% and the expected total return based on the Benchmark Futures Contract of -0.944% was an error over the time period of -0.186%, which is to say that USHO's actual total return underperformed the benchmark result by that percentage. USCF believes that a portion of the difference between the actual total return and the expected benchmark total return can be attributed to the net impact of the expenses that USHO paid, offset in part by the income that USHO collected on its cash and cash equivalent holdings. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, USHO received dividend and interest income of \$3,054, which is equivalent to a weighted average income rate of 0.04% for such period. In addition, during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, USHO also collected \$3,000 from its Authorized Purchasers for creating or redeeming baskets of units. This income also contributed to USHO's actual total return. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, USHO incurred net expenses of \$78,501. Income from dividends and interest and Authorized Purchaser collections net of expenses was \$(72,447) which is equivalent to an annualized weighted average net income rate of (0.84)% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

There are currently three factors that have impacted or are most likely to impact USHO's ability to accurately track its Benchmark Futures Contract.

First, USHO may buy or sell its holdings in the then current Benchmark Futures Contract at a price other than the closing settlement price of that contract on the day during which USHO executes the trade. In that case, USHO may pay a price that is higher, or lower, than that of the Benchmark Futures Contract, which could cause the changes in the daily NAV of USHO to either be too high or too low relative to the changes in the Benchmark Futures Contract. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, USCF attempted to minimize the effect of these transactions by seeking to execute its purchase or sale of the Benchmark Futures Contract at, or as close as possible to, the end of the day settlement price. However, it may not always be possible for USHO to obtain the closing settlement price and there is no assurance that failure to obtain the closing settlement price in the future will not adversely impact USHO's attempt to track the Benchmark Futures Contract over time.

Second, USHO earns dividend and interest income on its cash and cash equivalents. USHO is not required to distribute any portion of its income to its unitholders and did not make any distributions to unitholders during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Interest payments, and any other income, were retained within the portfolio and added to USHO's NAV. When this income exceeds the level of USHO's expenses for its management fee, brokerage commissions and other expenses (including ongoing registration fees, licensing fees and the fees and expenses of the independent directors of USCF), USHO will realize a net yield that will tend to cause daily changes in the NAV of USHO to track slightly higher than daily changes in the Benchmark Futures Contract. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, USHO earned, on an annualized basis, approximately 0.02% on its cash holdings. It also incurred cash expenses on an annualized basis of 0.60% for management fees and approximately 0.06% in brokerage commission costs related to the purchase and sale of futures contracts, and 0.27% for other net expenses. The foregoing fees and expenses resulted in a net yield on an annualized basis of approximately -0.91% and affected USHO's ability to track its benchmark. If short-term interest rates rise above the current levels, the level of deviation created by the yield would decrease. Conversely, if short-term interest rates were to decline, the amount of error created by the yield would increase. When short-term yields drop to a level lower than the combined expenses of the management fee and the brokerage commissions, then the tracking error becomes a negative number and would tend to cause the daily returns of the NAV to underperform the daily returns of the Benchmark Futures Contract.

Third, USHO may hold Other Heating Oil-Related Investments in its portfolio that may fail to closely track the Benchmark Futures Contract's total return movements. In that case, the error in tracking the Benchmark Futures Contract could result in daily changes in the NAV of USHO that are either too high, or too low, relative to the daily changes in the Benchmark Futures Contract. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, USHO did not hold any Other Heating Oil-Related Investments. If USHO increases in size, and due to its obligations to comply with regulatory limits, USHO may invest in Other Heating Oil-Related Investments, which may have the effect of increasing transaction related expenses and may result in increased tracking error.

Table of Contents

Term Structure of Heating Oil Futures Prices and the Impact on Total Returns. Several factors determine the total return from investing in a futures contract position. One factor that impacts the total return that will result from investing in near month heating oil futures contracts and rolling those contracts forward each month is the price relationship between the current near month contract and the next month contract. For example, if the price of the near month contract is higher than the next month contract (a situation referred to as backwardation in the futures market), then absent any other change there is a tendency for the price of a next month contract to rise in value as it becomes the near month contract and approaches expiration. Conversely, if the price of a near month contract is lower than the next month contract (a situation referred to as contango in the futures market), then absent any other change there is a tendency for the price of a next month contract to decline in value as it becomes the near month contract and approaches expiration.

As an example, assume that the price of heating oil for immediate delivery (the spot price), was \$2 per gallon, and the value of a position in the near month futures contract was also \$2. Over time, the price of a gallon of heating oil will fluctuate based on a number of market factors, including demand for heating oil relative to its supply. The value of the near month contract will likewise fluctuate in reaction to a number of market factors. If investors seek to maintain their position in a near month contract and not take delivery of the heating oil, every month they must sell their current near month contract as it approaches expiration and invest in the next month contract.

If the futures market is in backwardation, *e.g.*, when the expected price of heating oil in the future would be less, the investor would be buying a next month contract for a lower price than the current near month contract. Using the \$2 per barrel price above to represent the front month price, the price of the next month contract could be \$1.98 per barrel, that is, 2% cheaper than the front month contract. Hypothetically, and assuming no other changes to either prevailing heating oil prices or the price relationship between the spot price, the near month contract and the next month contract (and ignoring the impact of commission costs and the income earned on cash and/or cash equivalents), the value of the \$1.98 next month contract would rise as it approaches expiration and becomes the new near month contract with a price of \$2. In this example, the value of an investment in the second month contract would tend to rise faster than the spot price of heating oil, or fall slower. As a result, it would be possible in this hypothetical example for the spot price of heating oil to have risen 10% after some period of time, while the value of the investment in the second month futures contract would have risen 12%, assuming backwardation is large enough or enough time has elapsed. Similarly, the spot price of heating oil could have fallen 10% while the value of an investment in the futures contract could have fallen only 8%. Over time, if backwardation remained constant, the difference would continue to increase.

If the futures market is in contango, the investor would be buying a next month contract for a higher price than the current near month contract. Using again the \$2 per barrel price above to represent the front month price, the price of the next month contract could be \$2.02 per barrel, that is, 2% more expensive than the front month contract. Hypothetically, and assuming no other changes to either prevailing heating oil prices or the price relationship between the spot price, the near month contract and the next month contract (and ignoring the impact of commission costs and the income earned on cash and/or cash equivalents), the value of the next month contract would fall as it approaches expiration and becomes the new near month contract with a price of \$2. In this example, it would mean that the value of an investment in the second month would tend to rise slower than the spot price of heating oil, or fall faster. As a result, it would be possible in this hypothetical example for the spot price of heating oil to have risen 10% after some period of time, while the value of the investment in the second month futures contract will have risen only 8%, assuming contango is large enough or enough time has elapsed. Similarly, the spot price of heating oil could have fallen 10% while the value of an investment in the second month futures contract could have fallen 12%. Over time, if contango remained constant, the difference would continue to increase.

The chart below compares the price of the near month contract to the price of the next month contract over the last 10 years (2001-2010) for heating oil. When the price of the near month contract is higher than the price of the next month contract, the market would be described as being in backwardation. When the price of the near month contract is lower than the price of the next month contract, the market would be described as being in contango. Although the prices of the near month contract and the price of the next month contract do tend to move up or down together, it can be seen that at times the near month prices are clearly higher than the price of the next month contract (backwardation), and other times they are below the price of the next month contract (contango). In addition, the forward curves of heating oil prices, both near month and next month, often display a seasonal pattern in which the price of heating oil tends to rise in the winter months and decline in the summer months. This mirrors the physical demand for heating oil, which typically peaks in the winter.

Table of Contents

****PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS***

An alternative way to view backwardation and contango data over time is to subtract the dollar price of the next month heating oil futures contract from the dollar price of the near month heating oil futures contract. If the resulting number is a positive number, then the price of the near month contract is higher than the price of the next month and the market could be described as being in backwardation. If the resulting number is a negative number, then the near month price is lower than the price of the next month and the market could be described as being in contango. The chart below shows the results from subtracting the next month contract price from the price of the near month contract for the 10-year period between 2001 and 2010. Investors will note that the near month heating oil futures contract spent time in both backwardation and contango. Investors will further note that the markets display a very seasonal pattern that corresponds to the seasonal demand patterns for heating oil mentioned above. That is, in many, but not all cases, the price of the near month is higher than the next month during the middle of the winter months as the price of heating oil for delivery in those winter months rises to meet peak demand. At the same time, the price of the near month contract, when that month is just before the onset of fall, does not rise as far or as fast as the price of a next month contract whose delivery falls closer to the start of the winter season.

Table of Contents

****PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS***

While the investment objective of USHO is not to have the market price of its units match, dollar for dollar, changes in the spot price of heating oil, contango and backwardation have impacted the total return on an investment in USHO units during the past year relative to a hypothetical direct investment in heating oil. For example, an investment in USHO units made on December 31, 2010 and held to September 30, 2011 increased, based upon the changes in the NAV for USHO units on those days, by 5.60%, while the spot price of heating oil for immediate delivery during the same period increased by 9.32%. By comparison, an investment in USHO units made on December 31, 2009 and held to September 30, 2010 decreased, based upon the changes in the NAV for USHO units on those days, by 1.13%, while the spot price of heating oil for immediate delivery during the same period decreased by 5.9%. (note: this comparison ignores the potential costs associated with physically owning and storing heating oil, which could be substantial).

Periods of contango or backwardation do not materially impact USHO's investment objective of having the percentage changes in its per unit NAV track the percentage changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract since the impact of backwardation and contango tend to equally impact the percentage changes in price of both USHO's units and the Benchmark Futures Contract. It is impossible to predict with any degree of certainty whether backwardation or contango will occur in the future. It is likely that both conditions will occur during different periods.

Heating Oil Market. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the price of heating oil in the United States, as measured by changes in the price of the futures contract traded on the NYMEX that was closest to expiration, rose by approximately 9.32% from \$2.542 per gallon to \$2.779 per gallon. Investors are cautioned that these represent prices for heating oil on a wholesale basis and should not be directly compared to retail prices.

Table of Contents

Crude Oil Market. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, crude oil prices were impacted by several factors. On the consumption side, demand increased inside and outside the United States as global economic growth, including emerging economies such as China and India, continued to improve during the third quarter of 2011. On the supply side, efforts to reduce production by the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries to more closely match global consumption were partially successful. In the summer of 2011, production was also disrupted by political unrest in the Middle East, particularly Libya, which reduced global supply by approximately 1.8 million barrels per day. On June 23, 2011, the United States and other industrial nations announced the release of 60 million barrels of crude oil from strategic stockpiles in an effort to reduce the price of fuel. This announcement briefly lowered the price of crude oil, but the release of oil from strategic stockpiles did not in and of itself have an impact on the price of crude oil as of September 30, 2011. Crude oil prices finished the third quarter of 2011 approximately 13.30% lower than at the beginning of the year, as the global economy continues to adjust to periods of slow recovery and economic growth. USCF believes that should the global economic situation cease to improve, or decline, there is a meaningful possibility that crude oil prices could further retreat from their current levels.

USCF believes that over both the medium-term and the long-term, changes in the price of crude oil will exert the greatest influence on the price of refined petroleum products such as heating oil. At the same time, there can be other factors that, particularly in the short term, cause the price of heating oil to rise (or fall), more (or less) than the price of crude oil. For example, warmer weather during the high demand period of the winter season could cause American consumers to reduce their heating oil consumption. Furthermore, heating oil prices are impacted by the availability of refining capacity. As a result, it is possible that changes in heating oil prices may not match the changes in crude oil prices.

Heating Oil Price Movements in Comparison to Other Energy Commodities and Investment Categories. USCF believes that investors frequently measure the degree to which prices or total returns of one investment or asset class move up or down in value in concert with another investment or asset class. Statistically, such a measure is usually done by measuring the correlation of the price movements of the two different investments or asset classes over some period of time. The correlation is scaled between 1 and -1, where 1 indicates that the two investment options move up or down in price or value together, known as positive correlation, and -1 indicates that they move in completely opposite directions, known as negative correlation. A correlation of 0 would mean that the movements of the two are neither positively nor negatively correlated, known as non-correlation. That is, the investment options sometimes move up and down together and other times move in opposite directions.

For the ten-year time period between September 30, 2001 and September 30, 2011, the chart below compares the monthly movements of heating oil prices versus the monthly movements of the prices of several other energy commodities, such as natural gas, crude oil and unleaded gasoline, as well as several major non-commodity investment asset classes, such as large cap U.S. equities, U.S. government bonds and global equities. It can be seen that over this particular time period, the movement of heating oil on a monthly basis was not strongly correlated, positively or negatively, with the movements of large cap U.S. equities or U.S. government bonds. However, movements in heating oil had a strong positive correlation to movements in crude oil and unleaded gasoline. Heating oil also had a positive, but moderate, correlation with natural gas and a weaker positive correlation with global equities.

Table of Contents

Correlation Matrix	Large Cap U.S. Equities (S&P 500)	U.S. Gov t. Bonds (EFFAS U.S. Gov t. Bond Index)	Global Equities (FTSE World Index)	Crude Oil	Unleaded Gasoline	Natural Gas	Heating Oil
September 30, 2001-2011							
Large Cap U.S. Equities (S&P 500)	1.00	-0.342	0.968	0.223	0.181	0.044	0.190
U.S. Gov t. Bonds (EFFAS U.S. Gov t. Bond Index)		1.000	-0.311	-0.179	-0.254	0.096	-0.126
Global Equities (FTSE World Index)			1.000	0.319	0.240	0.099	0.273
Crude Oil				1.000	0.760	0.405	0.844
Unleaded Gasoline					1.000	0.306	0.723
Natural Gas						1.000	0.505
Heating Oil							1.000

Source: Bloomberg, NYMEX

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS

The chart below covers a more recent, but much shorter, range of dates than the above chart. Over the one-year period ended September 30, 2011, heating oil continued to have a strong positive correlation with unleaded gasoline and crude oil. During this period, it also had a similar positive, yet moderate, correlation with the movements of natural gas compared to what it had displayed over the ten-year period ended September 30, 2011. The correlation between heating oil and both large cap U.S. equities and global equities, which had been essentially non-correlated over the ten-year period ended September 30, 2011, displayed results that indicated that they had a positive correlation over this shorter time period particularly due to the recent recovery in the U.S. and global economies. Finally, the results showed that heating oil and U.S. government bonds, which had essentially been non-correlated for the ten-year period ended September 30, 2011, were negatively correlated over this more recent time period.

Correlation Matrix	Large Cap U.S. Equities (S&P 500)	U.S. Gov t. Bonds (EFFAS U.S. Gov t. Bond Index)	Global Equities (FTSE World Index)	Crude Oil	Unleaded Gasoline	Natural Gas	Heating Oil
12 months ended September 30, 2011							
Large Cap U.S. Equities (S&P 500)	1.000	-0.734	0.986	0.768	0.691	0.543	0.702
U.S. Gov t. Bonds (EFFAS U.S. Gov t. Bond Index)		1.000	-0.677	-0.599	-0.505	-0.383	-0.477
Global Equities (FTSE World Index)			1.000	0.762	0.690	0.526	0.704
Crude Oil				1.000	0.965	0.658	0.871
Unleaded Gasoline					1.000	0.631	0.854
Natural Gas						1.000	0.466
Heating Oil							1.000

Source: Bloomberg, NYMEX

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS

Investors are cautioned that the historical price relationships between heating oil and various other energy commodities, as well as other investment asset classes, as measured by correlation may not be reliable predictors of future price movements and correlation results. The results pictured above would have been different if a different range of dates had been selected. USCF believes that heating oil has historically not demonstrated a strong correlation with equities or bonds over long periods of time. However, USCF also believes that in the future it is possible that heating oil could have long-term correlation results that indicate prices of heating oil more closely track the movements of equities or bonds. In addition, USCF believes that, when measured over time periods shorter than ten years, there will always be some periods where the correlation of heating oil to equities and bonds will be either more strongly positively correlated or more strongly negatively correlated than the long-term historical results suggest.

Table of Contents

The correlations between heating oil, crude oil, natural gas and gasoline are relevant because USCF endeavors to invest USHO's assets in Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments so that daily changes in percentage terms in USHO's NAV correlate as closely as possible with daily changes in percentage terms in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract. If certain other fuel-based commodity futures contracts do not closely correlate with the Benchmark Futures Contracts, then their use could lead to greater tracking error. As noted above, USCF also believes that the changes in percentage terms in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract will closely correlate with changes in percentage terms in the spot price of heating oil.

Critical Accounting Policies

Preparation of the condensed financial statements and related disclosures in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the application of appropriate accounting rules and guidance, as well as the use of estimates. USHO's application of these policies involves judgments and actual results may differ from the estimates used.

USCF has evaluated the nature and types of estimates that it makes in preparing USHO's condensed financial statements and related disclosures and has determined that the valuation of its investments, which are not traded on a United States or internationally recognized futures exchange (such as forward contracts and over-the-counter contracts) involves a critical accounting policy. The values which are used by USHO for its Futures Contracts are provided by its commodity broker who uses market prices when available, while over-the-counter contracts are valued based on the present value of estimated future cash flows that would be received from or paid to a third party in settlement of these derivative contracts prior to their delivery date and valued on a daily basis. In addition, USHO estimates interest and dividend income on a daily basis using prevailing rates earned on its cash and cash equivalents. These estimates are adjusted to the actual amount received on a monthly basis and the difference, if any, is not considered material.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

USHO has not made, and does not anticipate making, use of borrowings or other lines of credit to meet its obligations. USHO has met, and it is anticipated that USHO will continue to meet, its liquidity needs in the normal course of business from the proceeds of the sale of its investments, or from the Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents that it intends to hold at all times. USHO's liquidity needs include: redeeming units, providing margin deposits for its existing Futures Contracts or the purchase of additional Futures Contracts and posting collateral for its over-the-counter contracts, if applicable, and, except as noted below, payment of its expenses, summarized below under Contractual Obligations.

USHO currently generates cash primarily from: (i) the sale of baskets consisting of 100,000 units (Creation Baskets) and (ii) income earned on cash and/or cash equivalents. USHO has allocated substantially all of its net assets to trading in Heating Oil Interests. USHO invests in Heating Oil Interests to the fullest extent possible without being leveraged or unable to satisfy its current or potential margin or collateral obligations with respect to its investments in Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments. A significant portion of USHO's NAV is held in cash and cash equivalents that are used as margin and as collateral for its trading in Heating Oil Interests. The balance of the net assets is held in USHO's account at its custodian bank. Income received from USHO's money market funds is paid to USHO. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, USHO's expenses exceeded the income USHO earned and the cash earned from the sale of Creation Baskets and the redemption of Redemption Baskets. To the extent expenses exceed income, USHO's NAV will be negatively impacted.

USHO's investments in Heating Oil Interests may be subject to periods of illiquidity because of market conditions, regulatory considerations and other reasons. For example, most commodity exchanges limit the fluctuations in futures contracts prices during a single day by regulations referred to as daily limits. During a single day, no trades may be executed at prices beyond the daily limit.

Table of Contents

Once the price of a futures contract has increased or decreased by an amount equal to the daily limit, positions in the contracts can neither be taken nor liquidated unless the traders are willing to effect trades at or within the specified daily limit. Such market conditions could prevent USHO from promptly liquidating its positions in Futures Contracts. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, USHO was not forced to purchase or liquidate any of its positions while daily limits were in effect; however, USHO cannot predict whether such an event may occur in the future.

Prior to the initial offering of USHO, all payments with respect to USHO's expenses were paid by USCF. USHO does not have an obligation or intention to refund such payments by USCF. USCF is under no obligation to pay USHO's current or future expenses. Since the initial offering of units, USHO has been responsible for expenses relating to: (i) management fees, (ii) brokerage fees and commissions, (iii) licensing fees for the use of intellectual property, (iv) ongoing registration expenses in connection with offers and sales of its units subsequent to the initial offering, (v) other expenses, including certain tax reporting costs, (vi) fees and expenses of the independent directors of USCF and (vii) other extraordinary expenses not in the ordinary course of business, while USCF has been responsible for expenses relating to the fees of USHO's Marketing Agent, Administrator and Custodian and registration expenses relating to the initial offering of units. If USCF and USHO are unsuccessful in raising sufficient funds to cover these respective expenses or in locating any other source of funding, USHO will terminate and investors may lose all or part of their investment.

Market Risk

Trading in Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments, such as forwards, involves USHO entering into contractual commitments to purchase or sell heating oil at a specified date in the future. The aggregate market value of the contracts will significantly exceed USHO's future cash requirements since USHO intends to close out its open positions prior to settlement. As a result, USHO is generally only subject to the risk of loss arising from the change in value of the contracts. USHO considers the fair value of its derivative instruments to be the unrealized gain or loss on the contracts. The market risk associated with USHO's commitments to purchase heating oil is limited to the aggregate market value of the contracts held. However, should USHO enter into a contractual commitment to sell heating oil, it would be required to make delivery of the heating oil at the contract price, repurchase the contract at prevailing prices or settle in cash. Since there are no limits on the future price of heating oil, the market risk to USHO could be unlimited.

USHO's exposure to market risk depends on a number of factors, including the markets for heating oil, the volatility of interest rates and foreign exchange rates, the liquidity of the Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments markets and the relationships among the contracts held by USHO. Drastic market occurrences could ultimately lead to the loss of all or substantially all of an investor's capital.

Credit Risk

When USHO enters into Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments, it is exposed to the credit risk that the counterparty will not be able to meet its obligations. The counterparty for the Futures Contracts traded on the NYMEX and on most other futures exchanges is the clearinghouse associated with the particular exchange. In general, in addition to margin required to be posted by the clearinghouse in connection with cleared trades, clearinghouses are backed by their members who may be required to share in the financial burden resulting from the nonperformance of one of their members and, therefore, this additional member support should significantly reduce credit risk. Some foreign exchanges are not backed by their clearinghouse members but may be backed by a consortium of banks or other financial institutions. There can be no assurance that any counterparty, clearinghouse, or their members or their financial backers will satisfy their obligations to USHO in such circumstances.

USCF attempts to manage the credit risk of USHO by following various trading limitations and policies. In particular, USHO generally posts margin and/or holds liquid assets that are approximately equal to the market value of its obligations to counterparties under the Futures Contracts and Other Heating Oil-Related Investments it holds. USCF has implemented procedures that include, but are not limited to, executing and clearing trades only with creditworthy parties and/or requiring the posting of collateral or margin by such parties for the benefit of USHO to limit its credit exposure. UBS Securities LLC, USHO's commodity broker, or any other broker that may be retained by USHO in the future, when acting as USHO's futures commission merchant in accepting orders to purchase or sell Futures Contracts on United States exchanges, is required by CFTC regulations to separately account for and segregate as belonging to USHO, all assets of USHO relating to domestic Futures Contracts trading. These futures commission merchants are not allowed to commingle USHO's assets with their other assets. In addition, the CFTC requires commodity brokers to hold in a secure account USHO's assets related to foreign Futures Contracts trading.

Table of Contents

If, in the future, USHO purchases over-the-counter contracts, see *Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk* in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for a discussion of over-the-counter contracts.

As of September 30, 2011, USHO held cash deposits and investments in money market funds in the amount of \$6,617,896. This amount is subject to loss should USHO's custodian cease operations.

Off Balance Sheet Financing

As of September 30, 2011, USHO had no loan guarantee, credit support or other off-balance sheet arrangements of any kind other than agreements entered into in the normal course of business, which may include indemnification provisions relating to certain risks that service providers undertake in performing services which are in the best interests of USHO. While USHO's exposure under these indemnification provisions cannot be estimated, they are not expected to have a material impact on USHO's financial position.

Redemption Basket Obligation

In order to meet its investment objective and pay its contractual obligations described below, USHO requires liquidity to redeem units, which redemptions must be in blocks of 100,000 units called Redemption Baskets. USHO has to date satisfied this obligation by paying from the cash or cash equivalents it holds or through the sale of its Treasuries in an amount proportionate to the number of units being redeemed.

Contractual Obligations

USHO's primary contractual obligations are with USCF. In return for its services, USCF is entitled to a management fee calculated monthly as a fixed percentage of USHO's NAV, currently 0.60% of NAV on its average daily net assets.

USCF agreed to pay the start-up costs associated with the formation of USHO, primarily its legal, accounting and other costs in connection with USCF's registration with the CFTC as a CPO and the registration and listing of USHO and its units with the SEC, FINRA and the NYSE Arca (formerly, AMEX), respectively. However, since USHO's initial offering of units, offering costs incurred in connection with registering and listing additional units of USHO have been directly borne on an ongoing basis by USHO, and not by USCF.

USCF pays the fees of USHO's marketing agent, ALPS Distributors, Inc., and the fees of the custodian and transfer agent, Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. (BBH&Co.), as well as BBH&Co.'s fees for performing administrative services, including those in connection with the preparation of USHO's condensed financial statements and its SEC and CFTC reports. USCF and USHO have also entered into a licensing agreement with the NYMEX pursuant to which USHO and the affiliated funds managed by USCF, other than USBO, USCI, USAG, CPER and USMI, pay a licensing fee to the NYMEX. USHO pays the fees and expenses associated with its audit, tax accounting and reporting requirements. USCF, though under no obligation to do so, agreed to pay certain costs for tax reporting and audit expenses normally borne by USHO, to the extent that such expenses exceed 0.15% (15 basis points) of USHO's NAV, on an annualized basis, through at least December 31, 2011. USCF has no obligation to continue such payments into subsequent periods.

In addition to USCF's management fee, USHO pays its brokerage fees (including fees to a futures commission merchant), over-the-counter dealer spreads, any licensing fees for the use of intellectual property, and, subsequent to the initial offering, registration and other fees paid to the SEC, FINRA, or other regulatory agencies in connection with the offer and sale of units, as well as legal, printing, accounting and other expenses associated therewith, and extraordinary expenses. The latter are expenses not incurred in the ordinary course of USHO's business, including expenses relating to the indemnification of any person against liabilities and obligations to the extent permitted by law and under the LP Agreement, the bringing or defending of actions in law or in equity or otherwise conducting litigation and incurring legal expenses and the settlement of claims and litigation. Commission payments to a futures commission merchant are on a contract-by-contract, or round turn, basis. USHO also pays a portion of the fees and expenses of the independent directors of USCF. See *Note 3 to the Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)* in *Item 1 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q*.

Table of Contents

The parties cannot anticipate the amount of payments that will be required under these arrangements for future periods, as USHO's NAVs and trading levels to meet its investment objective will not be known until a future date. These agreements are effective for a specific term agreed upon by the parties with an option to renew, or, in some cases, are in effect for the duration of USHO's existence. Either party may terminate these agreements earlier for certain reasons described in the agreements.

As of September 30, 2011, USHO's portfolio consisted of 54 Heating Oil Futures HO Contracts traded on the NYMEX. For a list of USHO's current holdings, please see USHO's website at www.unitedstatesheatingoilfund.com.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Over-the-Counter Derivatives (Including Spreads and Straddles)

In the future, USHO may purchase over-the-counter contracts (OTC Contracts). Unlike most exchange-traded futures contracts or exchange-traded options on such futures, each party to an OTC Contract bears the credit risk that the other party may not be able to perform its obligations under its contract.

Some heating oil-based derivatives transactions contain fairly generic terms and conditions and are available from a wide range of participants. Other heating oil-based derivatives have highly customized terms and conditions and are not as widely available. Many of these OTC Contracts are cash-settled forwards for the future delivery of heating oil- or petroleum-based fuels that have terms similar to the Futures Contracts. Others take the form of swaps in which the two parties exchange cash flows based on pre-determined formulas tied to the spot price of heating oil, forward heating oil price or heating oil futures price. In these swaps, a party pays a fixed price per unit and the other pays a variable price based on the average price of future contracts for a specified period or the price on a specific date, with payments typically made between the parties on a net basis. For example, USHO may enter into OTC Contracts whose value will be tied to changes in the difference between the spot price of heating oil, the price of Futures Contracts traded on the NYMEX and the prices of other Futures Contracts in which USHO may invest.

To reduce the credit risk that arises in connection with such contracts, USHO will generally enter into an agreement with each counterparty based on the Master Agreement published by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. that provides for the netting of its overall exposure to its counterparty.

USCF assesses or reviews, as appropriate, the creditworthiness of each potential or existing counterparty to an OTC Contract pursuant to guidelines approved by USCF's board of directors (the Board). Furthermore, USCF on behalf of USHO only enters into OTC Contracts with counterparties who are, or are affiliates of, (a) banks regulated by a United States federal bank regulator, (b) broker-dealers regulated by the SEC, (c) insurance companies domiciled in the United States, or (d) producers, users or traders of energy, whether or not regulated by the CFTC. Any entity acting as a counterparty shall be regulated in either the United States or the United Kingdom unless otherwise approved by the Board after consultation with its legal counsel. Existing counterparties are also reviewed periodically by USCF. USHO will also require that the counterparty be highly rated and/or provide collateral or other credit support. Even if collateral is used to reduce counterparty credit risk, sudden changes in the value of OTC transactions may leave a party open to financial risk due to a counterparty default since the collateral held may not cover a party's exposure on the transaction in such situations.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires the CFTC and SEC to establish both initial and variation margin requirements on all swaps that are not cleared by a registered clearing organization (*i.e.*, uncleared swaps). In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act provides parties who post initial margin to a swap dealer or major swap participant with a statutory right to insist that such margin be held in a segregated account with an independent custodian. At this time, the CFTC has proposed rules addressing margin requirements and the statutory right of certain market participants but has not implemented any rules on these issues. On April 12, 2011, the Prudential Regulators and the CFTC issued proposed rules establishing minimum initial and variation margin collection requirements for Covered Swap Entities, which if adopted, would require Covered Swap Entities to collect minimum initial and variation margin amounts from swap counterparties. The public comment period for these rules was extended on June 23, 2011. The Prudential Regulators' proposed rules would apply to those Covered Swap Entities that are regulated by the Prudential Regulators and the CFTC's proposed rules would apply to Covered Swap Entities that are not regulated by the Prudential Regulators.

Table of Contents

The amount of initial and variation margin that Covered Swap Entities would be required to collect under the proposed rules varies based on whether a Covered Swap Entity's counterparty to a particular swap is (1) also a Covered Swap Entity, (2) a high-risk financial entity end-user, (3) a low-risk financial entity end-user (*e.g.*, financial entities subject to capital requirements imposed by bank or insurance regulators, that predominantly use swaps to hedge and that do not have significant swap exposure) or (4) a non-financial end-user. With certain exceptions not applicable to USHO and the affiliated funds managed by USCF, Covered Swap Entities would not be required to post initial or variation margin to any of their counterparties except for other Covered Swap Entities.

Covered Swap Entities and all financial entity end-users would be required to post initial margin and variation margin when they enter into swaps with Covered Swap Entities. Margin posted by low-risk financial entity end-users could be subject to thresholds under the proposed rules. As commodity pools, USHO and the affiliated funds managed by USCF would be high-risk financial entity end-users and would therefore have to post margin without thresholds.

The CFTC voted to propose rules for capital requirements for Covered Swap Entities that are not regulated by a Prudential Regulator. Covered Swap Entities that are regulated by a Prudential Regulator will be subject to the capital requirements already imposed by such Prudential Regulator. In general, higher levels of capital would be imposed on Covered Swap Entities that do not collect margin from their counterparties (or that collect margin subject to thresholds) in connection with their swaps, and could increase the costs of such swaps. On July 14, 2011, the CFTC issued an order providing temporary relief from certain swaps-related provisions of Title VII that would have automatically taken effect on July 16, 2011. The final order granted temporary exemptive relief that, by its terms, expires upon the earlier of the effective date of the required final rulemaking or December 31, 2011. On October 18, 2011, the CFTC issued an order, which modifies the July 14, 2011 order by extending the temporary exemptive relief to the earlier of the effective date of the required final rulemaking or July 16, 2012.

In general, valuing OTC derivatives is less certain than valuing actively traded financial instruments such as exchange-traded futures contracts and securities or cleared swaps because the price and terms on which such OTC derivatives are entered into or can be terminated are individually negotiated, and those prices and terms may not reflect the best price or terms available from other sources. In addition, while market makers and dealers generally quote indicative prices or terms for entering into or terminating OTC Contracts, they typically are not contractually obligated to do so, particularly if they are not a party to the transaction. As a result, it may be difficult to obtain an independent value for an outstanding OTC derivatives transaction.

USHO may employ spreads or straddles in its trading to mitigate the differences in its investment portfolio and its goal of tracking the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract. USHO would use a spread when it chooses to take simultaneous long and short positions in futures written on the same underlying asset, but with different delivery months. The effect of holding such combined positions is to adjust the sensitivity of USHO to changes in the price relationship between futures contracts, which will expire sooner, and those that will expire later. USHO would use such a spread if USCF felt that taking such long and short positions, when combined with the rest of its holdings, would more closely track the investment goals of USHO, or if USCF felt it would lead to an overall lower cost of trading to achieve a given level of economic exposure to movements in heating oil prices. USHO would enter into a straddle when it chooses to take an option position consisting of a long (or short) position in both a call option and put option. The economic effect of holding certain combinations of put options and call options can be very similar to that of owning the underlying futures contracts. USHO would make use of such a straddle approach if, in the opinion of USCF, the resulting combination would more closely track the investment goals of USHO or if it would lead to an overall lower cost of trading to achieve a given level of economic exposure to movements in heating oil prices.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, USHO did not employ any hedging methods such as those described above since all of its investments were made over an exchange. Therefore, during such period, USHO was not exposed to counterparty risk.

USHO anticipates that the use of Other Heating Oil-Related Investments together with its investments in Futures Contracts will produce price and total return results that closely track the investment goals of USHO. However, there can be no assurance of this. OTC Contracts may result in higher transaction-related expenses than the brokerage commissions paid in connection with the purchase of Futures Contracts, which may impact USHO's ability to successfully track the Benchmark Futures Contract.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

USHO maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that material information required to be disclosed in USHO's periodic reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

The duly appointed officers of USCF, including its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, who perform functions equivalent to those of a principal executive officer and principal financial officer of USHO if USHO had any officers, have evaluated the effectiveness of USHO's disclosure controls and procedures and have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures of USHO have been effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

Change in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in USHO's internal control over financial reporting during USHO's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, USHO's internal control over financial reporting.

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Not applicable.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in USHO's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, filed on March 30, 2011, and USHO's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011, filed on August 15, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Not applicable.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

Not applicable.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved.

Item 5. Other Information.

Monthly Account Statements

Pursuant to the requirement under Rule 4.22 under the Commodity Exchange Act, each month USHO publishes an account statement for its unitholders, which includes a Statement of Income (Loss) and a Statement of Changes in NAV. The account statement is furnished to the SEC on a current report on Form 8-K pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act and posted each month on USHO's website at www.unitedstatesheatingoilfund.com.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

Listed below are the exhibits, which are filed as part of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q (according to the number assigned to them in Item 601 of Regulation S-K):

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.1(1)	Third Amendment to the License Agreement between United States Commodity Funds, LLC and New York Mercantile Exchange, Inc.
31.1(2)	Certification by Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2(2)	Certification by Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1(2)	Certification by Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2(2)	Certification by Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS(3)	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH(3)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL(3)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF(3)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB(3)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE(3)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 24, 2011.
- (2) Filed herewith.
- (3) In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in these exhibits is furnished and deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

United States Heating Oil Fund, LP (Registrant)

By: United States Commodity Funds LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Nicholas D. Gerber
Nicholas D. Gerber
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal executive officer)

Date: November 14, 2011

By: /s/ Howard Mah
Howard Mah
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal financial and accounting officer)

Date: November 14, 2011