

IBERIABANK CORP  
Form 10-K  
March 04, 2011  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-K**

x **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010**

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission File Number 0-25756**

**IBERIABANK Corporation**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

**Louisiana**  
(State or jurisdiction of incorporation

or organization)

**72-1280718**  
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification Number)

Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

200 West Congress Street, Lafayette, Louisiana  
(Address of principal executive office)

70501  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (337) 521-4003

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Not Applicable

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock (par value \$1.00 per share)

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rule 12b-2).

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company, as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes  No

As of June 30, 2010, the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of the voting shares of common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$1.3 billion. This figure is based on the closing sale price of \$51.48 per share of the Registrant's common stock on June 30, 2010. For purposes of this calculation, the term "affiliate" refers to all executive officers and directors of the Registrant and all shareholders beneficially owning more than 10% of the Registrant's common stock.

Number of shares of common stock outstanding as of February 28, 2011: 26,896,956

## Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

### **DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

(1) Portions of the Annual Report to Shareholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 are incorporated into Part II, Items 5 through 9B of this Form 10-K; (2) portions of the definitive proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed within 120 days of Registrant's fiscal year end (the Proxy Statement) are incorporated into Part III, Items 10 through 14 of this Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents**

IBERIABANK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	16
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	28
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	28
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	28
Item 4.	<u>[Removed and Reserved]</u>	29

PART II

Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	31
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	32
Item 7.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	32
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	32
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	32
Item 9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures</u>	32
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	33
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	33

PART III

Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	34
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	34
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	34
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	34
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	34

PART IV

Item 15.	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	35
	<u>SIGNATURES</u>	40

---

## **Table of Contents**

### **PART I.**

#### **Item 1. Business.**

Unless we indicate otherwise, the words we, our, us, IBKC, and Company refer to IBERIABANK Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries.

#### ***General***

IBERIABANK Corporation, a Louisiana corporation, is a financial holding company with 226 combined offices, including 145 bank branch offices in Louisiana, Arkansas, Florida, Alabama, Tennessee, and Texas, 27 title insurance offices in Arkansas and Louisiana, and mortgage representatives in 54 locations in 12 states. As of December 31, 2010, we had consolidated assets of \$10.0 billion, total deposits of \$7.9 billion and shareholders' equity of \$1.3 billion.

Our principal executive office is located at 200 West Congress Street, Lafayette, Louisiana, and our telephone number at that office is (337) 521-4003. Our website is located at [www.iberiabank.com](http://www.iberiabank.com).

We are the holding company for IBERIABANK, a Louisiana banking corporation headquartered in Lafayette, Louisiana; Lenders Title Company, an Arkansas-chartered title insurance and closing services agency headquartered in Little Rock, Arkansas (Lenders Title); IBERIA Capital Partners, LLC, a corporate finance services firm; IB Aircraft Holdings LLC, a holding company for our fractional investment in an aircraft, IBERIA Asset Management, Inc. (IAM), which provides wealth management and trust services to high net worth individuals, pension funds, corporations and trusts; and IBERIA CDE LLC (CDE), which invests in purchased tax credits. As of the close of business on December 31, 2010, IBERIABANK *fsb*, our federal savings bank subsidiary, headquartered in Little Rock, Arkansas, was merged with and into IBERIABANK.

We offer traditional commercial bank products and services to our clients. These products and services include a broad array of commercial, consumer, mortgage, and private banking products and services, cash management, deposit and annuity products and investment brokerage services. Certain of our non-bank subsidiaries engage in financial services-related activities, including brokerage services, sales of variable annuities, life, health, dental and accident insurance products, and wealth management services.

Our segments reflect the manner in which financial information is currently evaluated. The Company strategically manages and reports the results of its business through three operating segment levels: IBERIABANK, IBERIABANK *fsb*, and Lenders Title.

IBERIABANK offers commercial and retail banking products and services to customers throughout locations in six states. IBERIABANK provides these products and services in Louisiana, Alabama, Florida, Arkansas, Tennessee, and Texas. Lenders Title offers a full line of title insurance and closing services throughout Arkansas and Louisiana.

#### ***Subsidiaries***

IBERIABANK has eight active, wholly-owned non-bank subsidiaries: Iberia Financial Services, LLC, IBERIABANK Insurance Services, LLC, IB SPE Management Inc., Acadiana Holdings, LLC, IBERIABANK Mortgage Company (IMC), P.F. Services, Inc., Iberia Investment Fund I, LLC, and Iberia Investment Fund II, LLC. Iberia Financial Services manages the brokerage services offered by IBERIABANK. At December 31, 2010, IBERIABANK's equity investment in Iberia Financial Services, LLC was \$3.6 million, and Iberia Financial Services, LLC had total assets of \$5.2 million. IBERIABANK Insurance Services, LLC is a licensed insurance agency and facilitates the receipt of insurance commissions from the sale of variable annuities, life, health, dental and accident insurance products. At December 31, 2010, IBERIABANK's equity investment in IBERIABANK Insurance Services, LLC was \$0.1 million, and IBERIABANK Insurance Services, LLC had total assets of \$0.2 million. IB SPE Management Inc. operates and then sells certain foreclosed assets acquired in our recent Florida acquisitions. At December 31, 2010, IBERIABANK's equity investment in IB SPE Management Inc. was \$32.6 million, and IB SPE Management Inc. had total assets of \$32.7 million. Acadiana Holdings, LLC owns and operates a commercial office building that also serves as our headquarters and IBERIABANK's main office. At December 31, 2010, IBERIABANK's equity investment in Acadiana Holdings, LLC was \$9.8 million, and Acadiana Holdings,

---

**Table of Contents**

LLC had total assets of \$10.7 million. Iberia Investment Fund I, LLC and Iberia Investment Fund II, LLC are investment funds held for the purpose of funding new market tax credits and are disregarded entities for tax purposes. IBERIABANK's equity investment in Iberia Investment Fund I, LLC and Iberia Investment Fund II, LLC was \$23.9 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010. Iberia Investment Funds I, LLC and Iberia Investment Fund II, LLC has total assets of \$107.7 million and \$9.8 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2010, IBERIABANK *fsb* had two active, wholly-owned non-bank subsidiaries, IBERIABANK Mortgage Company ( IMC ), formerly Pulaski Mortgage Company) and P.F. Services, Inc. IMC (formerly Pulaski Mortgage Company) offers one-to-four family residential mortgage loans in Louisiana, Arkansas, Alabama, Tennessee, Mississippi, Oklahoma, Texas, Missouri, Illinois, Georgia, Florida, and Idaho. At December 31, 2010, IBERIABANK's equity investment in IMC was \$38.0 million, and IMC had total assets of \$107.3 million. P.F. Services, Inc. owns an office building which we plan to divest. IBERIABANK's equity investment in P.F. Services, Inc. was \$0.5 million, and P.F. Services, Inc. had total assets of \$0.5 million at December 31, 2010. IMC and P.F. Services, inc. are now wholly-owned subsidiaries of IBERIABANK.

Lenders Title provides a full line of title insurance and loan closing services for both residential and commercial customers in locations throughout Arkansas. Lenders Title has three active, wholly-owned subsidiaries, Asset Exchange, Inc., United Title of Louisiana, Inc. ( United Title ), and American Abstract and Title Company, Inc ( AAT ). Asset Exchange, Inc. provides qualified intermediary services to facilitate Internal Revenue Code Section 1031 tax deferred exchanges. At December 31, 2010, Lenders Title's equity investment in Asset Exchange, Inc. was \$0.3 million, and Asset Exchange, Inc. had total assets of \$0.3 million. United Title and AAT provide a full line of title insurance and loan closing services for both residential and commercial customers in locations throughout Louisiana. At December 31, 2010, Lenders Title's equity investment in United Title was \$5.4 million, and United Title had total assets of \$6.3 million. Lenders Title's equity investment in AAT was \$2.3 million and AAT had total assets of \$3.1 million.

***Competition***

We face strong competition in attracting deposits, originating loans, and providing title services. Our most direct competition for deposits has historically come from other commercial banks, savings institutions and credit unions located in our market areas, including many large financial institutions that have greater financial and marketing resources available to them. In addition, during times of high interest rates, we have faced significant competition for investors' funds from short-term money market securities, mutual funds and other corporate and government securities. Our ability to attract and retain customer deposits depends on our ability to generally provide a rate of return, liquidity and risk comparable to that offered by competing investment opportunities.

We experience strong competition for loan originations principally from other commercial banks, savings institutions and mortgage banking companies. We compete for loans principally through the interest rates and loan fees we charge, the efficiency and quality of services we provide borrowers and the convenient locations of our branch office network.

***Employees***

We had 2,099 full-time employees and 94 part-time employees as of December 31, 2010. None of these employees is represented by a collective bargaining agreement. We believe we enjoy an excellent relationship with our personnel.

***Business Combinations***

We continually evaluate business combination opportunities and sometimes conduct due diligence activities in connection with them. As a result, business combination discussions and, in some cases, negotiations take place, and transactions involving cash, debt or equity securities can be expected. Any future business combinations or series of business combinations that we might undertake may be material in terms of assets acquired or liabilities assumed.

***Available Information***

Our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ), including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments thereto, are available on our

---

**Table of Contents**

website as soon as reasonably practicable after the reports are filed with or furnished to the SEC. Copies can be obtained free of charge in the Investor Relations section of our website at [www.iberiabank.com](http://www.iberiabank.com). Our SEC filings are also available through the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Copies of these filings are also available by writing the Company at the following address:

IBERIABANK Corporation

P.O. Box 52747

Lafayette, Louisiana 70505-2747

***Supervision and Regulation***

**General**

The banking industry is extensively regulated under both federal and applicable state laws. The following discussion is a summary of certain statutes and regulations applicable to bank and financial holding companies and their subsidiaries and provides specific information relevant to us. Regulation of financial institutions is intended primarily for the protection of depositors, deposit insurance funds and the banking system, and generally is not intended for the protection of shareholders.

Proposals are frequently introduced to change federal and state laws and regulations applicable to us. The likelihood and timing of any such changes and the impact such changes might have on us are impossible to determine with any certainty.

We are a bank holding company and are qualified as a financial holding company with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the FRB). We are subject to examination and supervision by the FRB pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the BHCA), and are required to file reports and other information regarding our business operations and the business operations of our subsidiaries with the FRB.

Generally, the BHCA provides for umbrella regulation of financial holding companies by the FRB and functional regulation of holding company subsidiaries by applicable regulatory agencies. The BHCA, however, requires the FRB to examine any subsidiary of a bank holding company, other than a depository institution, engaged in activities permissible for a depository institution. The FRB is also granted the authority, in certain circumstances, to require reports of, examine and adopt rules applicable to any holding company subsidiary.

In general, the BHCA limits the activities permissible for bank holding companies. Bank holding companies electing to be treated as financial holding companies, however, may engage in additional activities under the BHCA as described. For a bank holding company to be eligible to elect financial holding company status, all of its subsidiary insured depository institutions must be well-capitalized and well-managed and must have received at least a satisfactory rating on such institution's most recent examination under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (the CRA). Beginning in July 2011, a bank holding company's eligibility to elect financial holding company status will also depend upon the holding company being well-capitalized and well-managed. If a financial holding company fails to continue to meet any of the prerequisites for financial holding company status after engaging in activities not permissible for bank holding companies that have not elected to be treated as financial holding companies, the company must enter into an agreement with the FRB to comply with all applicable capital and management requirements. If the company does not return to compliance within 180 days, the FRB may order the company to divest its subsidiary banks or the company may discontinue or divest investments in companies engaged in activities permissible only for a bank holding company electing to be treated as a financial holding company. See Financial Holding Company Status below.

Because we are a public company, we are also subject to regulation by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). The SEC has established three categories of issuers for the purpose of filing periodic and annual reports. Under these regulations, we are considered to be an accelerated filer and, as such, must comply with SEC accelerated reporting requirements.

As a Louisiana-chartered commercial bank and a member of the Federal Reserve System, IBERIABANK is subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Office of Financial Institutions of the State of Louisiana, IBERIABANK's chartering authority, and the FRB, IBERIABANK's primary federal regulator. As a federal savings association, prior to its merger with and into IBERIABANK, IBERIABANK *fsb* was subject to regulation,





---

## **Table of Contents**

supervision and examination by the Office of Thrift Supervision. IBERIABANK and IBERIABANK *fsb* are collectively referred to herein as the Banks. IBERIABANK is, and IBERIABANK *fsb* was, also subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC). The FDIC insures the deposits of IBERIABANK to the maximum extent permitted by law.

State and federal laws govern the activities in which IBERIABANK may engage, the investments it may make and the aggregate amount of loans that may be granted to one borrower. Various consumer and compliance laws and regulations also affect IBERIABANK's operations.

The banking industry is affected by the monetary and fiscal policies of the FRB. An important function of the FRB is to regulate the national supply of bank credit to moderate recessions and to curb inflation. Among the instruments of monetary policy used by the FRB to implement its objectives are: open-market operations in U.S. Government securities, changes in the discount rate and the federal funds rate (which is the rate banks charge each other for overnight borrowings) and changes in reserve requirements on bank deposits.

In addition to federal and state banking laws and regulations, we and certain of our subsidiaries and affiliates, including those that engage in securities brokerage and insurance activities, are subject to other federal and state laws and regulations, and supervision and examination by other state and federal regulatory agencies, including the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the SEC and various state insurance and securities regulators.

## **Recent Developments**

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act), which was enacted in July 2010, significantly restructures financial regulation in the United States, including through the creation of a new resolution authority, mandating higher capital and liquidity requirements, requiring banks to pay increased fees to regulatory agencies, and through numerous other provisions intended to strengthen the financial services sector. The Dodd-Frank Act also creates a new systemic risk oversight body, the Financial Stability Oversight Council (FSOC). The FSOC will oversee and coordinate the efforts of the primary U.S. financial regulatory agencies (including the FRB, the FDIC and the SEC) in establishing regulations to address systemic financial stability concerns.

The Dodd-Frank Act provides for the creation of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the CFPB), a new consumer financial services regulator. The CFPB is authorized to prevent unfair, deceptive and abusive practices and ensure that consumers have access to markets for consumer financial products and services, and that such markets are fair, transparent and competitive. The Dodd-Frank Act also authorizes the CFPB to enforce and issue rules and regulations implementing existing consumer protection laws and responsibility for all such existing regulations. Depository institutions with assets exceeding \$10 billion, their affiliates, and other larger participants in the markets for consumer financial services (as determined by the CFPB) will be subject to direct supervision by the CFPB, including any applicable examination, enforcement and reporting requirements the CFPB may establish.

New laws or regulations or changes to existing laws and regulations (including changes in interpretation or enforcement) could materially adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations. Many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to further rulemaking and will take effect over several years. The overall financial impact on the Company and its subsidiaries or the financial services industry generally cannot be anticipated at this time.

## **Holding Company Structure**

We have one Louisiana-chartered commercial bank subsidiary, one title insurance company subsidiary, one subsidiary to provide equity research, institutional sales and trading, and corporate financial services, one subsidiary to provide wealth management and trust services, and multiple subsidiaries to operate corporate assets, including our fractional ownership of an aircraft and our investment in purchased tax credits, as well as numerous other non-bank subsidiaries of these first tier subsidiaries. Exhibit 21 of this report lists all of our current subsidiaries.

IBERIABANK is subject to affiliate transaction restrictions under federal laws, which limit the transfer of funds by a subsidiary bank or its subsidiaries to its parent corporation or any non-bank subsidiary of its parent corporation, whether in the form of loans, extensions of credit, investments, or asset purchases. Such transfers by a subsidiary bank are limited to:

---

**Table of Contents**

10% of the subsidiary bank's capital and surplus for transfers to its parent corporation or to any individual non-bank subsidiary of the parent, and

An aggregate of 20% of the subsidiary bank's capital and surplus for transfers to such parent together with all such non-bank subsidiaries of the parent.

Furthermore, such loans and extensions of credit must be secured within specified amounts. In addition, all affiliate transactions must be conducted on terms and under circumstances that are substantially the same as such transactions with unaffiliated entities. Such extensions of credit must be made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with third parties and must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features.

As a matter of policy which has been codified by the Dodd-Frank Act, the FRB expects a bank holding company to act as a source of financial and managerial strength to each of its subsidiary banks and to commit resources to support each such subsidiary bank. Under this source of strength doctrine, the FRB may require a bank holding company to make capital injections into a troubled subsidiary bank. They may charge the bank holding company with engaging in unsafe and unsound practices if it fails to commit resources to such a subsidiary bank or if it undertakes actions that the FRB believes might jeopardize its ability to commit resources to such subsidiary bank. A capital injection may be required at times when the holding company does not have the resources to provide it. In addition, any capital loans by a bank holding company to its subsidiary bank are subordinate in right of payment to deposits and to certain other indebtedness of such subsidiary bank. In the event of a bank holding company's bankruptcy, any commitment by the bank holding company to a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain the capital of a subsidiary bank will be assumed by the bankruptcy trustee and entitled to a priority of payment.

Any loans by a holding company to a subsidiary bank are subordinate in right of payment to deposits and to certain other indebtedness of such subsidiary bank. In the event of a bank holding company's bankruptcy, the bankruptcy trustee will assume any commitment by the holding company to a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain the capital of a subsidiary bank. Moreover, the bankruptcy law provides that claims based on any such commitment will be entitled to a priority of payment over the claims of the institution's general unsecured creditors, including the holders of its note obligations.

Louisiana law permits the Louisiana commissioner to require a special assessment of shareholders of a Louisiana-chartered bank whose capital has become impaired to remedy an impairment in such bank's capital stock. This statute also provides that the commissioner may suspend the bank's certificate of authority until the capital is restored. As the sole shareholder of IBERIABANK, we are subject to such statute.

Moreover, the claims of a receiver of an insured depository institution for administrative expenses and the claims of holders of deposit liabilities of such an institution are accorded priority over the claims of general unsecured creditors of such an institution, including the holders of the institution's note obligations, in the event of liquidation or other resolution of such institution. Claims of a receiver for administrative expenses and claims of holders of deposit liabilities of IBERIABANK, including the FDIC as the insurer of such holders, would receive priority over the holders of notes and other senior debt of IBERIABANK in the event of liquidation or other resolution and over our interests as sole shareholder of IBERIABANK.

The FRB maintains a bank holding company rating system that emphasizes risk management, introduces a framework for analyzing and rating financial factors, and provides a framework for assessing and rating the potential impact of non-depository entities of a holding company on its subsidiary depository institution(s).

A composite rating is assigned based on the foregoing three components, but a fourth component is also rated, reflecting generally the assessment of depository institution subsidiaries by their principal regulators. Ratings are made on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 highest) and are not made public. The composite ratings assigned to us, like those assigned to other financial institutions, are confidential and may not be directly disclosed, except to the extent required by law.

**Acquisitions.** The Company complies with numerous laws relating to its acquisition activity. Under the BHCA, a bank holding company may not directly or indirectly acquire ownership or control of more than 5% of the voting shares or substantially all of the assets of any bank holding company or bank or merge or consolidate with another bank holding company without the prior approval of the FRB. Current Federal law authorizes interstate acquisitions of banks and bank holding companies without geographic limitation. Furthermore, a bank headquartered in one state is authorized to merge with a bank headquartered in another state, as long as neither of

---

**Table of Contents**

the states have opted out of such interstate merger authority prior to such date, and subject to any state requirement that the target bank shall have been in existence and operating for a minimum period of time, not to exceed five years; and subject to certain deposit market-share limitations. After a bank has established branches in a state through an interstate merger transaction, the bank may establish and acquire additional branches at any location in the state where a bank headquartered in that state could have established or acquired branches under applicable federal or state law.

In 2010, IBERIABANK consummated one FDIC-assisted transaction. On July 23, 2010, IBERIABANK acquired certain assets of Sterling Bank, including a substantial majority of its loan portfolio, and assumed certain deposits and other liabilities of Sterling Bank from the FDIC, as receiver. In connection with this FDIC-assisted transaction, IBERIABANK entered into purchase and assumption, loss-sharing and other agreements which contain certain regulatory covenants and limitations. We may enter into additional FDIC-assisted transactions.

**Safety and Soundness Regulations.** The FRB has enforcement powers over bank holding companies and their non-banking subsidiaries. The FRB has authority to prohibit activities that represent unsafe or unsound practices or constitute violations of law, rule, regulation, administrative order or written agreement with a federal regulator. These powers may be exercised through the issuance of cease and desist orders, civil money penalties or other actions.

There also are a number of obligations and restrictions imposed on bank holding companies and their depository institution subsidiaries by federal law and regulatory policy that are designed to reduce potential loss exposure to the depositors of such depository institutions and to the FDIC insurance funds in the event the depository institution is insolvent or is in danger of becoming insolvent. For example, under requirements of the FRB with respect to bank holding company operations, a bank holding company is required to serve as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary depository institutions and to commit financial resources to support such institutions in circumstances where it might not do so otherwise. For additional information, see the Support of Subsidiary Bank section below. In addition, the cross-guarantee provisions of federal law require insured depository institutions under common control to reimburse the FDIC for any loss suffered or reasonably anticipated by the Deposit Insurance Fund ( DIF ) as a result of the insolvency of commonly controlled insured depository institutions or for any assistance provided by the FDIC to commonly controlled insured depository institutions in danger of failure. The FDIC may decline to enforce the cross-guarantee provision if it determines that a waiver is in the best interests of the DIF. The FDIC's claim for reimbursement under the cross-guarantee provisions is superior to claims of shareholders of the insured depository institution or its holding company, but is subordinate to claims of depositors, secured creditors and nonaffiliated holders of subordinated debt of the commonly controlled insured depository institution.

Banking regulators also have broad enforcement powers over IBERIABANK, including the power to impose fines and other civil and criminal penalties, and to appoint a conservator in order to conserve the assets of any such institution for the benefit of depositors and other creditors.

**Dividends.** We are a legal entity separate and distinct from our subsidiaries. The majority of our revenue is from dividends paid us by IBERIABANK. IBERIABANK is subject to laws and regulations that limit the amount of dividends they can pay. In addition, we and IBERIABANK are subject to various regulatory restrictions relating to the payment of dividends, including requirements to maintain capital at or above regulatory minimums, and to remain well-capitalized under the prompt corrective action rules. The FRB has indicated generally that it may be an unsafe or unsound practice for a bank holding company to pay dividends unless the bank holding company's net income over the preceding year is sufficient to fund the dividends and the expected rate of earnings retention is consistent with the organization's capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition.

In addition to the limitations placed on the payment of dividends at the holding company level, there are various legal and regulatory limits on the extent to which IBERIABANK may pay dividends or otherwise supply funds to us. IBERIABANK is subject to laws and regulations of Louisiana, which place certain restrictions on the payment of dividends. Additionally, as a member of the Federal Reserve System, IBERIABANK is subject to regulations of the FRB.

We do not expect that these laws, regulations or policies will materially affect the ability of IBERIABANK to pay dividends. Additional information is provided in Note 24 to the Consolidated Financial Statements incorporated herein by reference.

**Table of Contents**

**FDIC Insurance.** IBERIABANK pays deposit insurance premiums to the FDIC based on assessment rates established by the FDIC. These rates generally depend upon a combination of regulatory ratings and financial ratios. Regulatory ratings reflect the applicable bank regulatory agency's evaluation of the financial institution's capital, asset quality, management, earnings, liquidity and sensitivity to risk (CAMELS). Assessment rates for institutions that are in the lowest risk category currently vary from seven to twenty-four basis points per \$100 of insured deposits, and may be increased or decreased by the FDIC on a semi-annual basis. Such base assessment rates are subject to adjustments based upon the institution's ratio of (i) long-term unsecured debt to its domestic deposits, (ii) secured liabilities to domestic deposits and (iii) brokered deposits to domestic deposits (if greater than 10%). Insurance of deposits may be terminated by the FDIC upon a finding that the institution has engaged in unsafe and unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC.

In February 2011, the FDIC adopted a final rule (the New Assessment Rule) to revise the deposit insurance assessment system for large institutions. The New Assessment Rule creates a two scorecard system for large institutions, one for most large institutions that have more than \$10 billion in assets, and another for highly complex institutions that have over \$50 billion in assets and are fully owned by a parent with over \$500 billion in assets. Each scorecard will have a performance score and a loss-severity score that will be combined to produce a total score, which will be translated into an initial assessment rate. In calculating these scores, the FDIC will continue to utilize the bank's supervisory CAMELS ratings and will introduce certain new forward-looking financial measures to assess an institution's ability to withstand asset-related stress and funding-related stress. The New Assessment Rule also eliminates the use of risk categories and long-term debt issuer ratings for calculating risk-based assessments for institutions having more than \$10 billion in assets. The FDIC will continue to have the ability under the New Assessment Rule to make discretionary adjustments to the total score, up or down, based upon significant risk factors that are not adequately captured in the scorecard. The total score will then translate to an initial base assessment rate on a non-linear, sharply-increasing scale. The New Assessment Rule preserves the adjustments to an institution's base assessment rates based on its long-term unsecured debt and brokered deposits (if greater than 10%) and creates a new adjustment based on the institution's holdings of long-term unsecured debt issued by a different insured depository institution. The New Assessment Rule eliminates the adjustment to an institution's base assessment rate based on the its secured liabilities. The final rule will be effective April 1, 2011.

IBERIABANK's deposit insurance assessments are currently based on its total domestic deposits held. The Dodd-Frank Act requires the FDIC to amend its regulations to base insurance assessments on the average consolidated assets less the average tangible equity of the insured depository institution during the assessment period. Under the New Assessment Rule, which implements these requirements effective April 1, 2011, assessments paid by IBERIABANK are expected to increase.

In November 2009, the FDIC implemented a final rule requiring insured institutions to prepay their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009, and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. Such repaid assessments were paid on December 30, 2009, along with each institution's quarterly risk-based deposit insurance assessment for the third quarter of 2009 (assuming 5% annual growth in deposits between the third quarter of 2009 and the end of 2012 and taking into account, for 2011 and 2012, the annualized three basis point increase discussed below).

A minimum ratio of deposit insurance reserves to estimated insured deposits, or designated reserve ratio (the DRR), of 1.15% is applicable prior to September 2020, and 1.35% thereafter. On December 20, 2010, the FDIC issued a final rule setting the DRR at 2%. Because the DRR fell below 1.15% as of June 30, 2008, and was expected to remain below 1.15% the FDIC was required to establish and implement a Restoration Plan that would restore the reserve ratio to at least 1.15% within five years. In October 2008, the FDIC adopted such a restoration plan (the Restoration Plan). In February 2009, in light of the extraordinary challenges facing the banking industry, the FDIC amended the Restoration Plan to allow seven years for the reserve ratio to return to 1.15%. In May 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule that imposed a five basis point special assessment on each institution's assets minus Tier 1 capital (as of June 30, 2009). Such special assessment was collected on September 30, 2009. In October 2009, the FDIC passed a final rule extending the term of the Restoration Plan to eight years. Such final rule also included a provision that implements a uniform three basis point increase in assessment rates, effective January 1, 2011, to help ensure that the reserve ratio returns to at least 1.15% within the eight year period called for by the Restoration Plan. In October 2010, the FDIC adopted a new restoration plan to ensure the DRR reaches 1.35% by September 2020. As part of the revised plan, the FDIC will forego the uniform three-basis point increase in assessment rates scheduled to take place in January 2011. The FDIC will, at least semi-annually, update its income and loss projections for the DIF and, if necessary, propose rules to further increase assessment rates. In addition, on January 12, 2010, the FDIC announced

---

**Table of Contents**

that it would seek public comment on whether banks with compensation plans that encourage risky behavior should be charged higher deposit assessment rates than such banks would otherwise be charged.

We cannot predict whether the FDIC will in the future further increase deposit insurance assessment levels.

**Capital Requirements.** The FRB has issued risk-based capital ratio and leverage ratio guidelines for bank holding companies. The risk-based capital ratio guidelines establish a systematic analytical framework that:

makes regulatory capital requirements sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banking organizations,

takes off-balance sheet exposures into explicit account in assessing capital adequacy, and

minimizes disincentives to holding liquid, low-risk assets.

Under the guidelines and related policies, bank holding companies must maintain capital sufficient to meet both a risk-based asset ratio test and a leverage ratio test on a consolidated basis. The risk-based ratio is determined by allocating assets and specified off-balance sheet commitments into four weighted categories, with higher weighting assigned to categories perceived as representing greater risk. The risk-based ratio represents capital divided by total risk weighted assets. The leverage ratio is core capital divided by total assets adjusted as specified in the guidelines. The Banks are subject to substantially similar capital requirements.

Generally, under the applicable guidelines, a financial institution's capital is divided into two tiers. Institutions that must incorporate market risk exposure into their risk-based capital requirements may also have a third tier of capital in the form of restricted short-term subordinated debt. These tiers are:

Tier 1, or core capital, includes total equity plus qualifying capital securities and minority interests, excluding unrealized gains and losses accumulated in other comprehensive income, and non-qualifying intangible and servicing assets.

Tier 2, or supplementary capital, includes, among other things, cumulative and limited-life preferred stock, mandatory convertible securities, qualifying subordinated debt, and the allowance for credit losses, up to 1.25% of risk-weighted assets.

Total capital is Tier 1 plus Tier 2 capital.

The FRB and the other federal banking regulators require that all intangible assets (net of deferred tax), except originated or purchased mortgage-servicing rights, non-mortgage servicing assets, and purchased credit card relationships, be deducted from Tier 1 capital. However, the total amount of these items included in capital cannot exceed 100% of its Tier 1 capital.

Under the risk-based guidelines, financial institutions are required to maintain a risk-based ratio of 8%, with 4% being Tier 1 capital. The appropriate regulatory authority may set higher capital requirements when they believe an institution's circumstances warrant.

Under the leverage guidelines, financial institutions are required to maintain a leverage ratio of at least 3%. The minimum ratio is applicable only to financial institutions that meet certain specified criteria, including excellent asset quality, high liquidity, low interest rate risk exposure, and the highest regulatory rating. Financial institutions not meeting these criteria are required to maintain a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4%.

Special minimum capital requirements apply to equity investments in non-financial companies. The requirements consist of a series of deductions from Tier 1 capital that increase within a range from 8% to 25% of the adjusted carrying value of the investment.

## Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

Failure to meet applicable capital guidelines could subject the financial institution to a variety of enforcement remedies available to the federal regulatory authorities. These include limitations on the ability to pay dividends, the issuance by the regulatory authority of a capital directive to increase capital, and the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC. In addition, the financial institution could be subject to the measures described below under Prompt Corrective Action as applicable to under-capitalized institutions.

The risk-based capital standards of the FRB and the FDIC specify that evaluations by the banking agencies of a bank's capital adequacy will include an assessment of the exposure to declines in the economic value of the bank's capital due to changes in interest rates. These banking agencies issued a joint policy

**Table of Contents**

statement on interest rate risk describing prudent methods for monitoring such risk that rely principally on internal measures of exposure and active oversight of risk management activities by senior management.

**Basel I and II Standards.** In 2004, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the Basel Committee) published a new set of risk-based capital standards (Basel II) in order to update Basel I. Basel II provides two approaches for setting capital standards for credit risk—an internal ratings-based approach tailored to individual institutions’ circumstances and a standardized approach that bases risk-weighting on external credit assessments to a much greater extent than permitted in the existing risk-based capital guidelines. Basel II also would set capital requirements for operational risk and refine the existing capital requirements for market risk exposures. A definitive final rule for implementing the advanced approaches of Basel II in the United States, which applies only to internationally active banking organizations, or core banks (defined as those with consolidated total assets of \$250 billion or more or consolidated on-balance sheet foreign exposures of \$10 billion or more) became effective on April 1, 2008. Other U.S. banking organizations may elect to adopt the requirements of this rule (if they meet applicable qualification requirements), but are not required to comply. The rule also allows a banking organization’s primary federal supervisor to determine that application of the rule would not be appropriate in light of the bank’s asset size, level of complexity, risk profile or scope of operations. IBERIABANK is currently not required to comply with Basel II.

In July 2008, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies issued a proposed rule that would provide banking organizations that do not use the advanced approaches with the option to implement a new risk-based capital framework. This framework would adopt the standardized approach of Basel II for credit risk, the basic indicator approach of Basel II for operational risk, and related disclosure requirements. While this proposed rule generally parallels the relevant approaches under Basel II, it diverges where United States markets have unique characteristics and risk profiles, most notably with respect to risk weighting residential mortgage exposures. Comments on the proposed rule were due to the agencies by October 27, 2008, but a definitive final rule has not yet been issued.

**Leverage Requirements.** Neither Basel I nor Basel II includes a leverage requirement as an international standard. The FRB has established minimum leverage ratio guidelines for bank holding companies to be considered well-capitalized. These guidelines provide for a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to average total assets, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets, of 3% for bank holding companies that meet certain specified criteria, including having the highest regulatory rating. All other bank holding companies generally are required to maintain a ratio of at least 4%.

The guidelines also provide that bank holding companies experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions will be expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels without significant reliance on intangible assets. Furthermore, the FRB has indicated that it will consider a tangible Tier 1 capital leverage ratio (deducting all intangibles) and other indicators of capital strength in evaluating proposals for expansion or new activities.

**Basel III Standards.** In December 2010, the Basel Committee released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation, now officially identified by the Basel Committee as Basel III. Basel III, when implemented by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies and fully phased-in, will require bank holding companies and their bank subsidiaries to maintain substantially more capital, with a greater emphasis on common equity. The Basel III final capital framework, among other things:

introduces as a new capital measure Common Equity Tier 1, or CET1, specifies that Tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and Additional Tier 1 capital instruments meeting specified requirements, defines CET1 narrowly by requiring that most adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital, and expands the scope of the adjustments as compared to existing regulations;

when fully phased in on January 1, 2019, requires banks to maintain:

as a newly adopted international standard, a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 4.5% CET1 ratio as the buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7%);

## Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.%, plus the capital c conservation buffer (which is added to the 6.0% Tier 1 capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% upon full implementation);

a minimum ratio of Total (that is, Tier 1 plus Tier 2) capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 8.0% total capital ratio as that



---

**Table of Contents**

buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum total capital ratio of 10.5% upon full implementation);

as a newly adopted international standard, a minimum leverage ratio of 3.0%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to balance sheet exposures plus certain off-balance sheet exposures (as the average for each quarter of the month-end ratios for the quarter); and

provides for a countercyclical capital buffer, generally to be imposed when national regulators determine that excess aggregate credit growth becomes associated with a buildup of systemic risk, that would be a CETI add-on to the capital conservation buffer in the range of 0% to 2.5% when fully implemented (potentially resulting in total buffers of between 2.5% and 5%).

The capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET 1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the conservation buffer (or below the combined capital conservation buffer and countercyclical capital buffer, when the latter is applied) will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and compensation based on the amount of the shortfall.

The implementation of the Basel III final framework will commence January 1, 2013. On that date, banking institutions will be required to meet the following minimum capital ratios:

3.5% CETI to risk-weighted assets;

4.5% Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets; and

8.0% Total capital to risk-weighted assets.

The Basel III final framework provides for a number of new deductions from and adjustments to CETI. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CETI to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CETI or all such categories in the aggregate exceed 15% of CETI.

Implementation of the deductions and other adjustments to CET 1 will begin on January 1, 2014 and will be phased-in over a five-year period (20% per year). The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 2016 at 0.625% and be phased in over a four-year period (increasing by that amount on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019).

The U.S. banking agencies have indicated informally that they expect to propose regulations implementing Basel III in mid-2011 with final adoption of implementing regulations in mid-2012. Notwithstanding its release of the Basel III framework as a final framework, the Basel Committee is considering further amendments to Basel III, including the imposition of additional capital surcharges on globally systemically important financial institutions. In addition to Basel III, the Dodd-Frank Act requires or permits the federal banking agencies to adopt regulations affecting banking institutions' capital requirements in a number of respects, including potentially more stringent capital requirements for systemically important financial institutions. Accordingly, the financial regulations may be substantially different.

In December 2010, the FRB proposed regulations imposing a continuing floor of the Basel I-based capital requirements in cases where the Basel II-based capital requirements and any changes in capital regulations resulting from Basel III otherwise would permit lower requirements. The proposed rules are intended to be consistent with certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act.

**Liquidity Requirements.** Historically, regulation and monitoring of bank and bank holding company liquidity has been addressed as a supervisory matter without required formulaic measures. The Basel III final framework requires banks and bank holding companies to measure their liquidity against specific liquidity tests that, although similar in some respects to liquidity measures historically applied by banks and regulators for management and supervisory purposes, going forward will be required by regulation. One test, the liquidity coverage ratio (LCR), is designed to ensure that the banking entity maintains an adequate level of unencumbered high-quality liquid assets equal to the entity's expected net cash outflow for a 30-day time horizon (or, if greater, 25% of its expected total cash outflow) under an acute liquidity stress scenario. The other test, the net stable funding ratio (NSFR), is designed to promote more medium- and long-term funding of the assets and activities of

## Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

banking entities over a one-year time horizon. These requirements will incent banking entities to increase their holdings of U.S. Treasury securities and other sovereign debt as a component of assets and increase the use of long-term debt as a funding source. The LCR would be implemented subject to an observation period beginning in 2011, but would not be introduced as a requirement until January 1, 2015, and the NSFR would not be introduced as a requirement until

**Table of Contents**

January 1, 2018. These new standards are subject to further rulemaking and their terms may change before implementation.

The Basel III rules are subject to change. In addition, many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to future rulemaking. We, therefore, cannot anticipate the impact of new capital regulations at this time.

**Prompt Corrective Action.** The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, known as FIDICIA, requires federal banking regulatory authorities to take prompt corrective action with respect to depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. For these purposes, FIDICIA establishes five capital tiers: well-capitalized, adequately-capitalized, under-capitalized, significantly under-capitalized, and critically under-capitalized.

An institution is deemed to be:

well-capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 10% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6% or greater, and a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 5% or greater and is not subject to a regulatory order, agreement, or directive to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure;

adequately-capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 8% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4% or greater, and, generally, a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4% or greater and the institution does not meet the definition of a well-capitalized institution;

under-capitalized if it does not meet one or more of the adequately-capitalized tests;

significantly under-capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than 6%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio that is less than 3%, or a Tier 1 leverage ratio that is less than 3%; and

critically under-capitalized if it has a ratio of tangible equity, as defined in the regulations, to total assets that is equal to or less than 2%.

Throughout 2010, our regulatory capital ratios and those of the Banks were in excess of the levels established for well-capitalized institutions.

(in thousands of dollars)		Well-Capitalized Minimums	At December 31, 2010	
			Actual	Excess Capital
<b>Ratios:</b>				
Tier 1 leverage ratio	Consolidated	5.00%	11.24%	\$ 628,956
	IBERIABANK	5.00	8.14	266,609
	IBERIABANK <i>fsb</i>	5.00	10.83	88,142
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	Consolidated	6.00	18.48	764,921
	IBERIABANK	6.00	14.39	402,734
	IBERIABANK <i>fsb</i>	6.00	12.74	86,638
Total risk-based capital ratio	Consolidated	10.00	19.74	597,089
	IBERIABANK	10.00	15.65	271,420
	IBERIABANK <i>fsb</i>	10.00	13.98	51,146

FDICIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distribution, including payment of a cash dividend or paying any management fee to its holding company, if the depository institution would be under-capitalized after such payment. Under-capitalized institutions are subject to growth limitations and are required by the appropriate federal banking agency to submit a capital restoration plan. If any depository institution subsidiary of a holding company is required to submit a capital restoration plan, the holding company would be

required to provide a limited guarantee regarding compliance with the plan as a condition of approval of such plan.

## **Table of Contents**

If an under-capitalized institution fails to submit an acceptable plan, it is treated as if it is significantly under-capitalized. Significantly under-capitalized institutions may be subject to a number of requirements and restrictions, including orders to sell sufficient voting stock to become adequately-capitalized, requirements to reduce total assets, and cessation of receipt of deposits from correspondent banks.

Critically under-capitalized institutions may not, beginning 60 days after becoming critically under-capitalized, make any payment of principal or interest on their subordinated debt. In addition, critically under-capitalized institutions are subject to appointment of a receiver or conservator within 90 days of becoming so classified.

Under FDICIA, a depository institution that is not well-capitalized is generally prohibited from accepting brokered deposits and offering interest rates on deposits higher than the prevailing rate in its market. As previously stated, the Banks are well-capitalized and the FDICIA brokered deposit rule did not adversely affect their ability to accept brokered deposits. IBERIABANK and IBERIABANK *fsb* had \$254.2 million and \$6.6 million of such brokered deposits, respectively, at December 31, 2010.

Additional information is provided in Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Capital Resources in Exhibit 13 to this Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference.

**Financial Holding Company Status.** In order to maintain its status as a financial holding company, a bank holding company's depository subsidiaries must all be both well capitalized and well managed, and must meet their CRA obligations.

Financial holding company powers relate to financial activities that are determined by the FRB, in coordination with the Secretary of the Treasury, to be financial in nature, incidental to an activity that is financial in nature, or complementary to a financial activity, provided that the complementary activity does not pose a safety and soundness risk. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act designates certain activities as financial in nature, including:

underwriting insurance or annuities;

providing financial or investment advice;

underwriting, dealing in, or making markets in securities;

merchant banking, subject to significant limitations;

insurance company portfolio investing, subject to significant limitations; and

any activities previously found by the Federal Reserve to be closely related to banking.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act also authorizes the FRB, in coordination with the Secretary of the Treasury, to determine that additional activities are financial in nature or incidental to activities that are financial in nature.

We are required by the BHCA to obtain FRB approval prior to acquiring, directly or indirectly, ownership or control of voting shares of any bank, if, after such acquisition, we would own or control more than 5% of its voting stock. However, as a financial holding company, we may commence any new financial activity, except for the acquisition of a savings association, with notice to the FRB within 30 days after the commencement of the new financial activity.

**Affiliate Transactions.** IBERIABANK is subject to Regulation W, which comprehensively implemented statutory restrictions on transactions between a bank and its affiliates. Regulation W combines the FRB's interpretations and exemptions relating to Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. Regulation W and Section 23A place limits on the amount of loans or extensions of credit to, investments in, or certain other transactions with affiliates, and on the amount of advances to third parties collateralized by the securities or obligations of affiliates. In

## Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

general, IBERIABANK's affiliates are IBERIABANK Corporation and our non-bank subsidiaries.

Regulation W and Section 23B prohibit, among other things, a bank from engaging in certain transactions with affiliates unless the transactions are on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to the bank, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with non-affiliated companies.

IBERIABANK is also subject to certain restrictions on extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, certain principal shareholders and their related interests. Such extensions of credit must be made on substantially the

---

**Table of Contents**

same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with third parties and must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features.

**USA Patriot Act.** The USA Patriot Act of 2001 and its related regulations require insured depository institutions, broker-dealers, and certain other financial institutions to have policies, procedures, and controls to detect, prevent, and report money laundering and terrorist financing. The statute and its regulations also provide for information sharing, subject to conditions, between federal law enforcement agencies and financial institutions, as well as among financial institutions, for counter-terrorism purposes. Federal banking regulators are required, when reviewing bank holding company acquisition and bank merger applications, to take into account the effectiveness of the anti-money laundering activities of the applicants.

**Consumer Privacy and Other Consumer Protection Laws.** We, like all other financial institutions, are required to:

provide notice to our customers regarding privacy policies and practices,

inform our customers regarding the conditions under which their non-public personal information may be disclosed to non-affiliated third parties, and

give our customers an option to prevent disclosure of such information to non-affiliated third parties.

Under the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003, our customers may also opt out of information sharing between and among us and our affiliates. We are also subject, in connection with our lending and leasing activities, to numerous federal and state laws aimed at protecting consumers, including the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Truth in Lending Act, and the Fair Credit Reporting Act.

**Incentive Compensation.** Guidelines adopted by the federal banking agencies prohibit excessive compensation as an unsafe and unsound practice and describe compensation as excessive when the amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the services performed by an executive officer, employee, director or principal stockholder.

In June 2010, the FRB issued guidance on incentive compensation policies (the Incentive Compensation Guidance ) intended to ensure that the incentive compensation policies of banking organizations do not undermine the safety and soundness of such organizations by encouraging excessive risk-taking. The Incentive Compensation Guidance, which covers all employees that have the ability to materially affect the risk profile of an organization, either individually or as part of a group, is based upon the key principles that a banking organization's incentive compensation arrangements should (i) provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the organization's ability to effectively identify and manage risks, (ii) be compatible with effective internal controls and risk management, and (iii) be supported by strong corporate governance, including active and effective oversight by the organization's board of directors. Any deficiencies in compensation practices that are identified may be incorporated into the organization's supervisory ratings, which can affect its ability to make acquisitions or perform other actions. The Incentive Compensation Guidance provides that enforcement actions may be taken against a banking organization if its incentive compensation arrangements or related risk-management control or governance processes pose a risk to the organization's safety and soundness and the organization is not taking prompt and effective measures to correct the deficiencies.

In February 2011, the FRB and other federal banking agencies requested comments on a notice of proposed rulemaking designed to implement provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act prohibiting incentive-based compensation arrangements that would encourage inappropriate risk taking at covered institutions , defined as banks or bank holding companies with \$1.0 billion or more in assets, such as the Company and IBERIABANK. The proposed rule (i) prohibits incentive-based compensation arrangements that encourage executive officers, employees, directors or principal shareholders to expose the institution to inappropriate risks by providing excessive compensation (based on the standards for excessive compensation) and (ii) prohibits incentive-based compensation arrangements for executive officers, employees, directors or principal shareholders that could lead to a material financial loss for the institution. The proposed rule would require covered institutions to establish policies and procedures for monitoring and evaluating their compensation practices.

## **Table of Contents**

In addition, in January 2010, the FDIC announced that it would seek public comment on whether banks with compensation plans that encourage risky behavior should be charged higher deposit assessment rates than such banks would otherwise be charged.

The scope and content of regulatory policies on incentive compensation have not been finalized. It cannot be determined at this time whether compliance with such future policies will adversely affect the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to hire, retain and motivate their key employees.

**Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.** The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the SOX Act ) implements a broad range of corporate governance, accounting and disclosure requirements for public companies, and also for their directors and officers. SEC rules adopted to implement SOX Act requirements require a reporting company's chief executive and chief financial officers to certify certain financial and other information included in our quarterly and annual reports. The rules also require these officers to certify that they are responsible for establishing, maintaining and regularly evaluating the effectiveness of our financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures; that they have made certain disclosures to the auditors and to the Audit Committee of the board of directors about our controls and procedures; and that they have included information in their quarterly and annual filings about their evaluation and whether there have been significant changes to the controls and procedures or other factors which would significantly impact these controls subsequent to their evaluation. Section 404 of the SOX Act requires management to undertake an assessment of the adequacy and effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting and requires our auditors to attest to and report on the effectiveness of these controls. See Item 9A. - Controls and Procedures hereof for our evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. The certifications required by Sections 302 and 906 of the SOX Act also accompany this Form 10-K.

**Other Regulatory Matters.** We and our subsidiaries and affiliates are subject to numerous examinations by federal and state banking regulators, as well as the SEC, FINRA, NASDAQ, and various state insurance and securities regulators.

**Corporate Governance.** Information with respect to our corporate governance is available on our web site, [www.iberiabank.com](http://www.iberiabank.com), and includes:

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter

Codes of Ethics

Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Certifications

We intend to disclose any waiver of or substantial amendment to the Codes of Ethics applicable to directors and executive officers on our web site at [www.iberiabank.com](http://www.iberiabank.com).

## **Federal Taxation**

We and our subsidiaries are subject to the generally applicable corporate tax provisions of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code ), and IBERIABANK is subject to certain additional provisions of the Code which apply to financial institutions. We and our subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return on the basis of a fiscal year ending on December 31.

Retained earnings at December 31, 2010 and 2009 included approximately \$21.9 million accumulated prior to January 1, 1987 for which no provision for federal income taxes has been made. If this portion of retained earnings is used in the future for any purpose other than to absorb bad debts, it will be added to future taxable income.

The deferred tax liability at December 31, 2010 includes \$96.3 million of future deductible temporary differences. Included is \$70.6 million related to book deductions for the bad debt reserve that have not been deducted for tax purposes.





**Table of Contents**

**State Taxation**

Louisiana does not permit the filing of consolidated income tax returns. We are subject to the Louisiana Corporation Income Tax based on our separate Louisiana taxable income, as well as a corporate franchise tax. IBERIABANK is not subject to the Louisiana income or franchise taxes. However, IBERIABANK is subject to the Louisiana Shares Tax which is imposed on the assessed value of our stock. The formula for deriving the assessed value is to calculate 15% of the sum of (a) 20% of our capitalized earnings, plus (b) 80% of our taxable shareholders' equity, and to subtract from that figure 50% of our real and personal property assessment. Various items may also be subtracted in calculating a company's capitalized earnings. The portion of the Louisiana shares tax expense calculated on our shareholders' equity is included in noninterest expense, and the portion calculated on our capitalized earnings is included in income tax expense.

Arkansas generally imposes income tax on financial institutions computed at a rate of 6.5% of net earnings. For the purpose of the 6.5% income tax, net earnings are defined as the net income of the financial institution computed in the manner prescribed for computing the net taxable income for federal corporate income tax purposes, less (i) interest income from obligations of the United States, of any county, municipal or public corporation authority, special district or political subdivision, plus (ii) any deduction for state income taxes.

Florida generally imposes income tax on financial institutions computed at a rate of 5.5% of net earnings. For the purpose of the 5.5% income tax, net earnings are defined as the net income of the financial institution computed in the manner prescribed for computing the net taxable income for federal corporate income tax purposes, less certain modifications defined by Florida law.

Alabama generally imposes income tax on financial institutions computed at a rate of 6.5% of net earnings. For the purpose of the 6.5% income tax, net earnings are defined as the net income of the financial institution computed in the manner prescribed for computing the net taxable income for federal corporate income tax purposes, less certain modifications defined by Alabama law.

Tennessee generally imposes income tax on financial institutions computed at a rate of 6.5% of net earnings. For the purpose of the 6.5% income tax, net earnings are defined as the net income of the financial institution computed in the manner prescribed for computing the net taxable income for federal corporate income tax purposes, less certain modifications defined by Tennessee law.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

There are risks, many beyond our control, which could cause our results to differ significantly from management's expectations. Some of these risk factors are described below. Any factor described in this report could, by itself or together with one or more other factors, adversely affect our business, results of operations and/or financial condition.

#### **Risks About Our Company**

*The current economic environment poses significant challenges for us and could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.*

Although we remain well capitalized and have not suffered from liquidity issues, we are operating in a challenging and uncertain economic environment. Financial institutions continue to be affected by declines in the real estate market and constrained financial markets. We retain direct exposure to the residential and commercial real estate markets, and we could be affected by these events. Continued declines in real estate values, home sales volumes and financial stress on borrowers as a result of the uncertain economic environment, including job losses, could have an adverse affect on our borrowers or their customers, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, a continued deterioration in local economic conditions in our markets could drive losses beyond those which are provided for in our allowance for loan losses and result in a variety of consequences including the following:

increases in loan delinquencies;

increases in nonperforming assets and foreclosures;

decreases in demand for our products and services, which could adversely affect our liquidity position; and

decreases in the value of the collateral securing our loans, especially real estate, which could reduce customers' borrowing power. Although the general economic environment has shown some improvement, there can be no assurance that it will continue to improve. If economic conditions worsen or remain volatile, our business, financial condition, and results of operations could be adversely affected.

*Disruptions in the global financial markets could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.*

Since mid-2007, global financial markets have suffered substantial disruption, illiquidity and volatility. These circumstances resulted in significant government assistance to a number of major financial institutions. These events have significantly diminished overall confidence in the financial markets and in financial institutions and have increased the uncertainty we face in managing our business. If these disruptions continue or other disruptions in the financial markets or the global or our regional economic environment arise, they could have an adverse effect on our future results of operations and financial condition, including our liquidity position, and may affect our ability to access capital.

*The U.S. government's plans to stabilize the financial markets may not be effective.*

In response to the recent volatility in the financial markets, the U.S. government has enacted certain legislation and regulatory programs to foster stability. There can be no assurance that the impact of such legislation and the various programs created thereunder on the financial markets will be sufficient to produce the desired results. The failure of the U.S. government to fully execute the programs it has already developed, or to implement expeditiously other remedial economic and financial legislation that may be needed, could have a material adverse effect on the financial markets, which in turn could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

*Recent legislative and regulatory proposals and changes in response to recent turmoil in the financial markets, including the Dodd-Frank Act, may adversely affect our business and results of operations.*

## Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

The banking industry is heavily regulated. We are subject to examinations, supervision and comprehensive regulation by various federal and state agencies. Our compliance with these regulations is costly and restricts certain of our activities. Banking regulations are primarily intended to protect the federal deposit insurance fund and depositors, not shareholders. The burden imposed by federal and state regulations puts banks at a competitive disadvantage compared to less regulated competitors such as finance companies, mortgage banking companies and leasing companies. Changes in the laws, regulations and regulatory practices affecting the banking industry may increase our costs of doing business or otherwise adversely affect us and create competitive advantages for others. Federal economic and monetary policies may also affect our ability to attract deposits and other funding sources,

---

**Table of Contents**

make loans and investments, and achieve satisfactory interest spreads. Legislation proposing significant structural reforms to the financial services industry is being considered in the U.S. Congress, including, among other things, the creation of a Consumer Financial Protection Agency, which would have broad authority to regulate financial service providers and financial products. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has proposed guidance on incentive compensation at the banking organizations it regulates and the Treasury Department and the federal banking regulators have issued statements calling for higher capital and liquidity requirements for banking organizations. Complying with any new legislative or regulatory requirements, and any programs established thereunder, by federal and state governments to address the current economic crisis could have an adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition, our ability to fill positions with the most qualified candidates available and our ability to maintain our dividend.

The Dodd-Frank Act, which was signed into law on July 21, 2010, implements a variety of far-reaching changes. Many of the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will directly affect our ability to conduct our business, including:

Requiring the FRB to establish standards for determining whether interchange fees charged by certain financial institutions are reasonable and proportional to the costs incurred by such institutions;

Imposition of additional costs and fees;

Establishment of the CFPB with broad authority to implement new consumer protection regulations and to examine and enforce compliance with federal consumer laws;

Application to bank holding companies of regulatory capital requirements similar to those applied to banks; and

Establishment of new rules and restrictions regarding the origination of mortgages.

Many provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act remain subject to regulatory rule-making and implementation, the effects of which are not yet known. As a result, it is difficult to gauge the ultimate impact of certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act because the implementation of many concepts is left to regulatory agencies. For example, the CFPB is given control over existing consumer protection regulations adopted by federal banking regulators.

The provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and any rules adopted to implement those provisions, as well as any additional legislative or regulatory changes, may impact the profitability of our business activities and costs of operations (including compliance costs), require that we change certain of our business practices, materially affect our business model or affect retention of key personnel, and/or require us to raise additional regulatory capital. including These and other changes may also require us to invest significant management attention and resources to make any necessary changes and may adversely affect our ability to conduct our business as previously conducted or our results of operations or financial condition.

***We may be required to pay significantly higher FDIC premiums or special assessments that could adversely affect our earnings.***

Market developments have significantly depleted the insurance fund of the FDIC and reduced the ratio of reserves to insured deposits. As a result, we may be required to pay significantly higher premiums or additional special assessments that could adversely affect our earnings. We are generally unable to control the amount of premiums that we are required to pay for FDIC insurance. If there are additional bank or financial institution failures, we may be required to pay even higher FDIC premiums than the recently increased levels. These announced increases and any future increases or required prepayments in FDIC insurance premiums may materially adversely affect our results of operations.

***The recent repeal of federal prohibitions on payment of interest on demand deposits could increase our interest expense.***

All federal prohibitions on the ability of financial institutions to pay interest on demand deposit accounts were repealed as part of the Dodd-Frank Act. As a result, beginning on July 21, 2011, financial institutions could commence offering interest on demand deposits to compete for clients. We do not yet know what interest rates or products other institutions may offer. Our interest expense will increase and our net interest margin will decrease if we begin offering interest on demand deposits to attract additional customers or maintain current customers.

Consequently, our business, financial condition or results of operations may be adversely affected, perhaps materially.

---

**Table of Contents**

***We may be subject to more stringent capital requirements.***

The Company and IBERIABANK are each subject to capital adequacy guidelines and other regulatory requirements specifying minimum amounts and types of capital which each must maintain. From time to time, regulators implement changes to these regulatory capital adequacy guidelines. If we fail to meet these minimum capital guidelines and other regulatory requirements, our financial condition would be materially and adversely affected. In light of proposed changes to regulatory capital requirements contained in the Dodd-Frank Act and the regulatory accords on international banking institutions formulated by the Basel Committee and implemented by the FRB, we likely will be required to satisfy additional, more stringent, capital adequacy standards. The ultimate impact of the new capital and liquidity standards on us cannot be determined at this time and will depend on a number of factors, including the treatment and implementation by the U.S. banking regulators. These requirements, however, and any other new regulations, could adversely affect our ability to pay dividends, or could require us to reduce business levels of to raise capital, including in ways that may adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

***Proposed rules regulating the imposition of debit card income may adversely affect our operations.***

The Dodd-Frank Act gives the FRB the authority to establish rules regarding interchange fees charged by payment card issuers for transactions in which a person uses certain types of debit cards, requiring that such fees be reasonable and proportional to the cost incurred by the issuer with respect to such transaction, subject to a possible adjustment to account for costs incurred in connection with the issuer's fraud prevention policies. The FRB has requested comment on a proposed rule which would take effect on July 21, 2011 and which, if enacted, would significantly impact the amount of interchange fees collected. The proposed rule contains two alternative restrictions on the permissible level of interchange fees: a uniform restriction of 12 cents per transaction and an issuer-specific restriction containing a safe harbor of 7 cents per transaction and a cap of 12 cents per transaction. Neither alternative makes a distinction between PIN or signature transactions, and under both alternatives, the interchange fee will be much lower than the 44 cents per transaction which is the average amount charged for all debit transactions according to the FRB's study on interchange transactions. The restrictions on interchange fees contained in the proposed rule would be applicable to all debit card issuers who, together with their affiliates, possess more than \$10 billion in assets, and do not include adjustments associated with costs resulting from compliance with the issuer's fraud prevention policies, which are expected to be included at a later date.

The proposed rule would also prohibit issuers and payment card networks from restricting the number of payment card networks on which an electronic debit transaction may be processed to: (1) one network; or (2) two or more networks that are owned, controlled or operated by affiliates or networks affiliated with the issuer. To implement the network exclusivity restrictions of the proposed rule, the FRB has offered two alternative methods for comment. One alternative prohibits networks and issuers from limiting the number of payment card networks available for processing an electronic debit transaction to fewer than two unaffiliated networks, regardless of the means by which a transaction may be authorized. The other alternative prohibits networks and issuers from limiting the number of networks available for processing an electronic debit transaction to fewer than two unaffiliated networks for each method by which a transaction may be authorized.

In 2010, IBERIABANK collected \$1.9 million in interchange fee income, and without mitigating actions this amount could potentially be negatively impacted going forward.

***Our recent growth and financial performance will be negatively impacted if we are unable to execute our growth strategy.***

Our stated growth strategy is to grow organically and supplement that growth with select acquisitions. Over the last few years, we have continued to fill out our Louisiana franchise by adding de novo branches in attractive markets where we believe we have a competitive advantage and will continue to do so. In the wake of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, we implemented a branch expansion initiative whereby we opened banking offices in various southern Louisiana communities. Our success depends primarily on generating loans and deposits of acceptable risk and expense. There can be no assurances that we will be successful in continuing our organic, or internal, growth strategy. Since it depends upon economic conditions, our ability to identify appropriate markets for expansion, our ability to recruit and retain qualified personnel, our ability to fund growth at reasonable cost, sufficient capital, competitive factors, banking laws, and other factors.

Supplementing our internal growth through acquisitions is an important part of our strategic focus. Since 1995, approximately 66% of our asset growth has been through acquisitions, or external growth. Our acquisition

---

## **Table of Contents**

efforts focus on select markets and targeted entities in Louisiana and most recently in selected markets we consider to be contiguous, or natural extensions, to our current markets. These selected markets include Arkansas, where we completed acquisitions of Pulaski Investment Corporation and Pocahontas Bancorp, Inc. in 2007, and ANB Financial, N.A. in 2008. As consolidation of the banking industry continues, the competition for suitable acquisition candidates may increase. We compete with other banking companies for acquisition opportunities, and many of these competitors have greater financial resources than we do and may be able to pay more for an acquisition than we are able or willing to pay. We also may need additional debt or equity financing in the future to fund acquisitions. We may not be able to obtain additional financing or, if available, it may not be in amounts and on terms acceptable to us. Our issuance of additional securities will dilute existing shareholders equity interest in us and may have a dilutive effect on our earnings per share. If we are unable to locate suitable acquisition candidates willing to sell on terms acceptable to us, or we are otherwise unable to obtain additional debt or equity financing necessary for us to continue making acquisitions, we would be required to find other methods to grow our business and we may not grow at the same rate we have in the past, or at all.

We cannot be certain as to our ability to manage increased levels of assets and liabilities without increased expenses and higher levels of nonperforming assets. We may be required to make additional investments in equipment and personnel to manage higher asset levels and loan balances, which may adversely affect earnings, shareholder returns, and our efficiency ratio. Increases in operating expenses or nonperforming assets may decrease our earnings and the value of our common stock.

In addition to the normal operating challenges inherent in managing a larger financial institution, each of our acquisitions and potential future acquisitions is subject to appropriate regulatory approval. Our regulators may require that we demonstrate that we have appropriately integrated our prior acquisitions, or any future acquisitions we may do, before permitting us to engage in any future material acquisitions.

***FDIC-assisted acquisition opportunities may not become available and increased competition may make it more difficult for us to bid on failed bank transactions on terms we consider to be acceptable.***

A part of our near-term business strategy is to pursue failing banks that the FDIC plans to place in receivership. The FDIC may not place banks that meet our strategic objectives into receivership. Failed bank transactions are attractive opportunities in part because of loss sharing arrangements with the FDIC that limit the acquirer's downside risk on the purchased loan portfolio and, apart from our assumption of deposit liabilities, we have significant discretion as to the non-deposit liabilities that we assume. In addition, assets purchased from the FDIC are marked to their fair value and in many cases there is little or no addition to goodwill arising from an FDIC-assisted transaction. The bidding process for failing banks could become very competitive and the increased competition may make it more difficult for us to bid on terms we consider to be acceptable.

***Like most banking organizations, our business is highly susceptible to credit risk.***

As a lender, we are exposed to the risk that our customers will be unable to repay their loans according to their terms and that the collateral securing the payment of their loans (if any) may not be sufficient to assure repayment. Credit losses could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

As of December 31, 2010, our total loan portfolio was approximately \$6.0 billion, or 60% of total assets. The major components of our loan portfolio include 69% of commercial loans, both real estate and business, 10% of mortgage loans comprised primarily of residential 1-4 family mortgage loans, and 21% consumer loans. Our credit risk with respect to our consumer installment loan portfolio and commercial loan portfolio relates principally to the general creditworthiness of individuals and businesses within our local market areas. Our credit risk with respect to our residential and commercial real estate mortgage and construction loan portfolios relates principally to the general creditworthiness of individuals and businesses and the value of real estate serving as security for the repayment of the loans. A related risk in connection with loans secured by commercial real estate is the effect of unknown or unexpected environmental contamination, which could make the real estate effectively unmarketable or otherwise significantly reduce its value as security, or could expose us to remediation liabilities as the lender.

***Our loan portfolio has and will continue to be affected by the on-going correction in residential real estate prices and reduced levels of home sales.***

There has been a general slowdown in housing in some of our market areas, reflecting declining prices and excess inventories of houses to be sold, particularly impacting borrowers in our Northwest Arkansas and Memphis markets. As a result, home builders have shown signs of financial deterioration. A soft residential housing market,





---

## Table of Contents

increased delinquency rates, and a weakened secondary credit market have affected the overall mortgage industry. We expect the home builder market to continue to be volatile and anticipate continuing pressure on the home builder segment in the coming months. We make credit and reserve decisions based on the current conditions of borrowers or projects combined with our expectations for the future. If the slowdown in the housing market continues, we could experience higher charge-offs and delinquencies beyond that which is provided in the allowance for loan losses. As such, our earnings could be adversely affected through a higher than anticipated provision for loan losses.

At December 31, 2010, we had:

\$834.8 million of home equity loans and lines, representing 13.8% of total loans and leases.

\$631.4 million in residential real estate loans, representing 10.5% of total loans and leases. Adjustable-rate mortgages, primarily mortgages that have a fixed rate for the first 3 to 5 years and then adjust annually, comprised 47.8% of this portfolio.

\$51.3 million of loans to single family home builders, including loans made to both middle market and small business home builders. These loans represented less than 1% of total loans and leases.

***Our allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover actual loan losses, which could adversely affect our earnings.***

We maintain an allowance for loan losses in an attempt to cover loan losses inherent in our loan portfolio. Additional loan losses will likely occur in the future and may occur at a rate greater than we have experienced to date.

The determination of the allowance for loan losses, which represents management's estimate of probable losses inherent in our credit portfolio, involves a high degree of judgment and complexity. Our policy is to establish reserves for estimated losses on delinquent and other problem loans when it is determined that losses are expected to be incurred on such loans. Management's determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on various factors, including an evaluation of the portfolio, past loss experience, current economic conditions, the volume and type of lending conducted by us, composition of the portfolio, the amount of our classified assets, seasoning of the loan portfolio, the status of past due principal and interest payments and other relevant factors. Changes in such estimates may have a significant impact on our financial statements. If our assumptions and judgments prove to be incorrect, our current allowance may not be sufficient and adjustments may be necessary to allow for different economic conditions or adverse developments in our loan portfolio. Federal and state regulators also periodically review our allowance for loan losses and may require us to increase our provision for loan losses or recognize further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of our management. Any increase in our allowance for loan losses would have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Commercial and commercial real estate loans generally are viewed as having more risk of default than residential real estate loans or other loans or investments. These types of loans also typically are larger than residential real estate loans and other consumer loans. Because the loan portfolio contains a significant number of commercial and commercial real estate loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of a material amount of these loans may cause a significant increase in nonperforming assets. An increase in nonperforming loans could result in: a loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for loan losses or an increase in loan charge-offs, which would have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

***Changes in interest rates and other factors beyond our control may adversely affect our earnings and financial condition.***

Our net income depends to a great extent upon the level of our net interest income. Changes in interest rates can increase or decrease net interest income and net income. Net interest income is the difference between the interest income we earn on loans, investments and other interest-earning assets, and the interest we pay on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. Net interest income is affected by changes in market interest rates, because different types of assets and liabilities may react differently, and at different times, to market interest rate changes. When interest-bearing liabilities mature or reprice more quickly than interest-earning assets in a period, an increase in market rates of interest could reduce net interest income. Similarly, when interest-earning assets mature or reprice more quickly than interest-bearing liabilities, falling interest rates could reduce net interest income. As of December 31, 2010, our interest rate risk model indicated we are asset sensitive, meaning interest rate changes would be expected to impact our asset yields more than our liability costs.



---

## **Table of Contents**

Changes in market interest rates are affected by many factors beyond our control, including inflation, unemployment, the money supply, international events, and events in world financial markets. We attempt to manage our risk from changes in market interest rates by adjusting the rates, maturity, repricing, and balances of the different types of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, but interest rate risk management techniques are not exact. As a result, a rapid increase or decrease in interest rates could have an adverse effect on our net interest margin and results of operations. Changes in the market interest rates for types of products and services in our markets also may vary significantly from location to location and over time based upon competition and local or regional economic factors.

***If we or our banks or holding company were unable to borrow funds through access to capital markets, we may not be able to meet the cash flow requirements of our depositors and borrowers, or the operating cash needs to fund corporate expansion and other corporate activities.***

Liquidity is the ability to meet cash flow needs on a timely basis at a reasonable cost. The liquidity of our banks is used to make loans and leases to repay deposit liabilities as they become due or are demanded by customers. Liquidity policies and limits are established by the board of directors. Management and the Investment Committee regularly monitor the overall liquidity position of IBERIABANK and the holding company to ensure that various alternative strategies exist to cover unanticipated events that could affect liquidity. Management and the Investment Committee also establish policies and monitor guidelines to diversify IBERIABANK's funding sources to avoid concentrations in any one market source. Funding sources include Federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements, non-core deposits, and short- and long-term debt. IBERIABANK is also a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ) System, which provides funding through advances to members that are collateralized with mortgage-related assets.

We maintain a portfolio of securities that can be used as a secondary source of liquidity. There are other sources of liquidity available to us should they be needed. These sources include sales or securitizations of loans, our ability to acquire additional national market, non-core deposits, additional collateralized borrowings such as FHLB advances, the issuance and sale of debt securities, and the issuance and sale of preferred or common securities in public or private transactions. IBERIABANK also can borrow from the Federal Reserve's discount window.

Amounts available under our existing credit facilities as of December 31, 2010, consist of \$1.5 billion in Federal Home Loan Bank notes and \$115.0 million in the form of federal funds and other lines of credit.

If we were unable to access any of these funding sources when needed, we might be unable to meet customers' needs, which could adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and level of regulatory-qualifying capital. For further discussion, see Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

***We face risks related to our operational, technological and organizational infrastructure.***

Our ability to grow and compete is dependent on our ability to build or acquire the necessary operational and technological infrastructure and to manage the cost of that infrastructure while we expand. Similar to other large corporations, in our case, operational risk can manifest itself in many ways, such as errors related to failed or inadequate processes, faulty or disabled computer systems, fraud by employees or persons outside of the Company and exposure to external events. We are dependent on our operational infrastructure to help manage these risks. In addition, we are heavily dependent on the strength and capability of our technology systems which we use both to interface with our customers and to manage our internal financial and other systems. Our ability to develop and deliver new products that meet the needs of our existing customers and attract new ones depends on the functionality of our technology systems. Additionally, our ability to run our business in compliance with applicable laws and regulations is dependent on these infrastructures.

We continuously monitor our operational and technological capabilities and make modifications and improvements when we believe it will be cost effective to do so. In some instances, we may build and maintain these capabilities ourselves. We also outsource some of these functions to third parties. These third parties may experience errors or disruptions that could adversely impact us and over which we may have limited control. We

## **Table of Contents**

also face risk from the integration of new infrastructure platforms and/or new third party providers of such platforms into our existing businesses.

### ***Acquisitions or mergers entail risks which could negatively affect our operations.***

Acquisitions and mergers, particularly the integration of companies that have previously been operated separately, involves a number of risks, including, but not limited to:

the time and costs associated with identifying and evaluating potential acquisition or merger partners;

difficulties in assimilating operations of the acquired institution and implementing uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies;

exposure to asset quality problems of the acquired institution;

our ability to finance an acquisition and maintain adequate regulatory capital;

diversion of management's attention from the management of daily operations;

risks and expenses of entering new geographic markets;

potential significant loss of depositors or loan customers from the acquired institution;

loss of key employees of the acquired institution; and

exposure to undisclosed or unknown liabilities of an acquired institution.

Any of these acquisition risks could result in unexpected losses or expenses and thereby reduce the expected benefits of the acquisition. Also, we may issue equity securities, including common stock and securities convertible into common stock in connection with future acquisitions, which could cause ownership and economic dilution to our current shareholders. Our failure to successfully integrate current and future acquisitions and manage our growth could adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and future prospects.

### ***The loss of certain key personnel could negatively affect our operations.***

Although we have employed a significant number of additional executive officers and other key personnel in recent months, our success continues to depend in large part on the retention of a limited number of key management, lending and other banking personnel. We could undergo a difficult transition period if we were to lose the services of any of these individuals. Our success also depends on the experience of our banking facilities' managers and lending officers and on their relationships with the customers and communities they serve. The loss of these key persons could negatively impact the affected banking operations. The unexpected loss of key senior managers, or the inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or operating results.

### ***Competition may decrease our growth or profits.***

## Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

We compete for loans, deposits, title business and investment dollars with other banks and other financial institutions and enterprises, such as securities firms, insurance companies, savings associations, credit unions, mortgage brokers, private lenders and title companies, many of which have substantially greater resources than ours. Credit unions have federal tax exemptions, which may allow them to offer lower rates on loans and higher rates on deposits than taxpaying financial institutions such as commercial banks. In addition, non-depository institution competitors are generally not subject to the extensive regulation applicable to institutions that offer federally insured deposits. Other institutions may have other competitive advantages in particular markets or may be willing to accept lower profit margins on certain products. These differences in resources, regulation, competitive advantages, and business strategy may decrease our net interest margin, may increase our operating costs, and may make it harder for us to compete profitably.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Reputational risk and social factors may impact our results.***

Our ability to originate and maintain accounts is highly dependent upon consumer and other external perceptions of our business practices and/or our financial health. Adverse perceptions regarding our business practices and/or our financial health could damage our reputation in both the customer and funding markets, leading to difficulties in generating and maintaining accounts as well as in financing them. Adverse developments with respect to the consumer or other external perceptions regarding the practices of our competitors, or our industry as a whole, may also adversely impact our reputation. In addition, adverse reputational impacts on third parties with whom we have important relationships may also adversely impact our reputation. Adverse impacts on our reputation, or the reputation of our industry, may also result in greater regulatory and/or legislative scrutiny, which may lead to laws or regulations that may change or constrain the manner in which we engage with our customers and the products we offer. Adverse reputational impacts or events may also increase our litigation risk. We carefully monitor internal and external developments for areas of potential reputational risk and have established governance structures to assist in evaluating such risks in our business practices and decisions.

### ***We may be subject to increased litigation which could result in legal liability and damage to our reputation.***

We and IBERIABANK have been named from time to time as defendants in class actions and other litigation relating to our business and activities. Litigation may include claims for substantial compensatory or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. We and our subsidiaries are also involved from time to time in other reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies regarding their business. These matters also could result in adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions or other relief.

In addition, in recent years, a number of judicial decisions have upheld the right of borrowers to sue lending institutions on the basis of various evolving legal theories, collectively termed lender liability. Generally, lender liability is founded on the premise that a lender has either violated a duty, whether implied or contractual, of good faith and fair dealing owed to the borrower or has assumed a degree of control over the borrower resulting in the creation of a fiduciary duty owed to the borrower or its other creditors or shareholders.

Substantial legal liability or significant regulatory action against us or our subsidiaries could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations or cause significant harm to our reputation. Additional information relating to litigation is discussed in Note 20 Commitments and Contingencies to the consolidated financial statements, and in Part I, Item 3 ( Legal Proceeding ) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### ***Changes in government regulations and legislation could limit our future performance and growth.***

The banking industry is heavily regulated. We are subject to examinations, supervision and comprehensive regulation by various federal and state agencies. Our compliance with these regulations is costly and restricts certain of our activities. Banking regulations are primarily intended to protect the federal deposit insurance fund and depositors, not shareholders. The burden imposed by federal and state regulations puts banks at a competitive disadvantage compared to less regulated competitors such as finance companies, mortgage banking companies and leasing companies. Changes in the laws, regulations and regulatory practices affecting the banking industry may increase our costs of doing business or otherwise adversely affect us and create competitive advantages for others. Regulations affecting banks and financial services companies undergo continuous change, and we cannot predict the ultimate effect of these changes, which could have a material adverse effect on our profitability or financial condition. Federal economic and monetary policies may also affect our ability to attract deposits and other funding sources, make loans and investments, and achieve satisfactory interest spreads.

### ***The geographic concentration of our markets makes our business highly susceptible to local economic conditions.***

Unlike larger organizations that are more geographically diversified, our offices are primarily concentrated in selected markets in Louisiana, Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Tennessee and Texas. As a result of this geographic concentration, our financial results depend largely upon economic conditions in these market areas. Deterioration in economic conditions in the markets we serve could result in one or more of the following:

an increase in loan delinquencies;

an increase in problem assets and foreclosures;



**Table of Contents**

a decrease in the demand for our products and services; and

a decrease in the value of collateral for loans, especially real estate, in turn reducing customers' borrowing power, the value of assets associated with problem loans and collateral coverage.

***If we do not adjust to rapid changes in the financial services industry, our financial performance may suffer.***

We face substantial competition for deposit, credit, title and trust relationships, as well as other sources of funding in the communities we serve. Competing providers include other banks, thrifts and trust companies, insurance companies, mortgage banking operations, credit unions, finance companies, title companies, money market funds and other financial and nonfinancial companies which may offer products functionally equivalent to those offered by us. Competing providers may have greater financial resources than we do and offer services within and outside the market areas we serve. In addition to this challenge of attracting and retaining customers for traditional banking services, our competitors include securities dealers, brokers, mortgage bankers, investment advisors and finance and insurance companies who seek to offer one-stop financial services to their customers that may include services that financial institutions have not been able or allowed to offer to their customers in the past. The increasingly competitive environment is primarily a result of changes in regulation, changes in technology and product delivery systems and the accelerating pace of consolidation among financial service providers. If we are unable to adjust both to increased competition for traditional banking services and changing customer needs and preferences, our financial performance and your investment in our common stock could be adversely affected.

---

## **Table of Contents**

***Hurricanes or other adverse weather events could negatively affect our local economies or disrupt our operations, which would have an adverse effect on our business or results of operations.***

Like other coastal areas, some of our markets in Louisiana are susceptible to hurricanes and tropical storms. Such weather events can disrupt our operations, result in damage to our properties and negatively affect the local economies in which we operate. We cannot predict whether or to what extent damage that may be caused by future hurricanes or other weather events will affect our operations or the economies in our market areas, but such weather events could result in a decline in loan originations, a decline in the value or destruction of properties securing our loans and an increase in the delinquencies, foreclosures and loan losses. Our business or results of operations may be adversely affected by these and other negative effects of hurricanes or other significant weather events.

***The oil leak in the Gulf of Mexico and legislative and regulatory responses thereto could have a negative impact on the Company's customers and, indirectly, result in a negative impact on our earnings.***

In late April 2010, the explosion and collapse of a drilling rig in the Gulf of Mexico off the Louisiana coast caused a major oil spill that has now been contained. In response to the oil spill, the U.S. Government imposed a moratorium on deepwater drilling operations and issued new regulations intended to improve the safety of these operations. A moratorium on new wells was ultimately rescinded in October 2010, and the industry is in the process of working to comply with these new requirements. The U.S. Congress currently is considering legislation that could impact offshore drillers' operations.

The Company has identified and communicated with customers that may be affected by the oil spill and its effects. The Company currently believes that its exposure to this event remains extremely limited. As of December 31, 2010, no credit relationship had been adversely classified as a result of the oil spill. However, new legislation or regulations that affect offshore exploration and production activities or substantially increase the cost of these activities could negatively impact customers in this industry and the general economy in our market area.

***We are exposed to intangible asset risk which could impact our financial results.***

In accordance with GAAP, we record assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their fair value, and, as such, acquisitions typically result in recording goodwill. We perform a goodwill valuation at least annually to test for goodwill impairment. Impairment testing is a two step process that first compares the fair value of goodwill with its carrying amount, and second measures impairment loss by comparing the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. Management's testing in 2009 indicated an impairment of goodwill at our Lenders Title Company subsidiary, resulting in an impairment charge of \$9.7 million recorded in our operating results for the year ended December 31, 2009. Adverse conditions in our business climate, including a significant decline in future operating cash flows, a significant change in our stock price or market capitalization, or a deviation from our expected growth rate and performance may significantly affect the fair value of our goodwill and may trigger additional impairment losses, which could be materially adverse to our operating results and financial position.

***Our reported financial results depend on management's selection of accounting methods and certain assumptions and estimates.***

Our accounting policies and assumptions are fundamental to our reported financial condition and results of operations. Our management must exercise judgment in selecting and applying many of these accounting policies and methods so they comply with generally accepted accounting principles and reflect management's judgment of the most appropriate manner to report our financial condition and results. In some cases, management must select the accounting policy or method to apply from two or more alternatives, any of which may be reasonable under the circumstances, yet may result in our reporting materially different results than would have been reported under a different alternative.

### **Risks Related to the FDIC-assisted Transactions**

***The success of the FDIC-assisted transactions will depend on a number of uncertain factors.***

The success of the FDIC-assisted transactions will depend on a number of factors, including, without limitation:

our ability to integrate the branches acquired from Sterling in the FDIC-assisted transaction into IBERIABANK's current operations;

## Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

our ability to limit the outflow of deposits held by our new customers in the acquired branches and to successfully retain and manage interest-earning assets (i.e., loans) acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions;

our ability to attract new deposits and to generate new interest-earning assets in the geographic areas previously served by Sterling and the acquired branches;

our ability to effectively compete in new markets in which we did not previously have a presence;

our success in deploying the cash received in the FDIC-assisted transaction into assets bearing sufficiently high yields without incurring unacceptable credit or interest rate risk;

our ability to control the incremental non-interest expense from the acquired branches in a manner that enables us to maintain a favorable overall efficiency ratio;

our ability to retain and attract the appropriate personnel to staff the acquired branches; and

**Table of Contents**

our ability to earn acceptable levels of interest and non-interest income, including fee income, from the acquired branches. As with any acquisition involving a financial institution, particularly one involving the transfer of a large number of bank branches such as the FDIC-assisted transaction, there may be business and service changes and disruptions that result in the loss of customers or cause customers to close their accounts and move their business to competing financial institutions. Integrating the acquired branches will be an operation of substantial size and expense, and may be affected by general market and economic conditions or government actions affecting the financial industry generally. Integration efforts will also likely divert our management's attention and resources. No assurance can be given that we will be able to integrate the acquired branches successfully, and the integration process could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of ongoing business, or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that adversely affect our ability to maintain relationships with clients, customers, depositors and employees or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the FDIC-assisted transaction. We may also encounter unexpected difficulties or costs during the integration that could adversely affect our earnings and financial condition, perhaps materially. Additionally, no assurance can be given that the operation of the acquired branches will not adversely affect our existing profitability, that we will be able to achieve results in the future similar to those achieved by our existing banking business, that we will be able to compete effectively in the market areas currently served by the acquired branches, or that we will be able to manage any growth resulting from the FDIC-assisted transaction effectively.

Our ability to grow the acquired branches following the FDIC-assisted transaction depends in part on our ability to retain certain key branch personnel we expect to hire in connection with the FDIC-assisted transactions. We believe that the ties these employees have in the local banking markets previously served by the acquired branches are vital to our ability to maintain our relationships with existing customers and to generate new business in these markets. Our failure to hire or retain these employees could adversely affect the success of the FDIC-assisted transaction and our future growth.

***Changes in national and local economics conditions could lead to higher loan charge-offs in connection with the FDIC-assisted transactions all of which may not be supported by the loss sharing agreement with the FDIC.***

We acquired significant portfolios of loans in the FDIC-assisted transactions. Although these loan portfolios will be initially accounted for at fair value, there is no assurance that the loans we acquired will not become impaired, which may result in additional charge-offs to this portfolio. The fluctuations in national, regional and local economic conditions, including those related to local residential, commercial real estate and construction markets, may increase the level of charge-offs that we make to our loan portfolio, and consequently, reduce our net income, and may also increase the level of charge-offs on the loan portfolio that we have acquired in the Acquisitions and correspondingly reduce our net income. These fluctuations are not predictable, cannot be controlled and may have a material adverse impact on our operations and financial condition even if other favorable events occur.

Although we have entered into loss sharing agreements with the FDIC which provide that a significant portion of losses related to specified loan portfolios that we have acquired in connection with the FDIC-assisted transactions will be borne by the FDIC, we are not protected for all losses resulting from charge-offs with respect to those specified loan portfolios. Additionally, the loss sharing agreements have limited terms; therefore, any charge-off of related losses that we experience after the term of the loss sharing agreements will not be reimbursed by the FDIC and will negatively impact our net income. The loss sharing agreements also impose standard requirements on us which must be satisfied in order to retain loss share protections.

***Deposit and loan run-off rates could exceed the rates we have projected in connection with our planning for the FDIC-assisted transactions and the integration of the acquired branches.***

Deposit run-off could be higher than our assumptions. As part of the FDIC-assisted transactions, it will be necessary to convert customer loan and deposit data from Orion's and Century's data processing systems to our data processing system. Delays or errors in the conversion process could adversely affect customer relationships, increase run-off of deposit and loan customers and result in unexpected charges and costs. Similarly, run-off could increase if we are not able to service in a cost-effective manner particular loan or deposit products with special features previously offered by Orion and Century. Any increase in run-off rates could adversely affect our ability to stimulate growth in the acquired branches, our liquidity, and our results of operations.

**Risks About Our Common Stock**

***We cannot guarantee that we will pay dividends to shareholders in the future.***

---

## **Table of Contents**

Cash available to pay dividends to our shareholders is derived primarily, if not entirely, from dividends paid to us from IBERIABANK. The ability of our subsidiary banks to pay dividends to us as well as our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders is limited by regulatory and legal restrictions and the need to maintain sufficient consolidated capital. We may also decide to limit the payment of dividends even when we have the legal ability to pay them in order to retain earnings for use in our business. Further, any lenders making loans to us may impose financial covenants that may be more restrictive than regulatory requirements with respect to the payment of dividends. For instance, we are prohibited from paying dividends on our common stock if the required payments on our subordinated debentures have not been made. There can be no assurance of whether or when we may pay dividends in the future.

*The trading history of our common stock is characterized by low trading volume. The value of your investment may be subject to sudden decreases due to the volatility of the price of our common stock.*

Our common stock trades on Nasdaq Global Market. During 2010, the average daily trading volume of our common stock was approximately 209,500 shares. We cannot predict the extent to which investor interest in us will lead to a more active trading market in our common stock or how much more liquid that market might become. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends upon the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of our common stock at any given time, which presence is dependent upon the individual decisions of investors, over which we have no control.

The market price of our common stock may be highly volatile and subject to wide fluctuations in response to numerous factors, including, but not limited to, the factors discussed in other risk factors and the following:

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;

changes in interest rates;

changes in the legal or regulatory environment in which we operate;

press releases, announcements or publicity relating to us or our competitors or relating to trends in our industry;

changes in expectations as to our future financial performance, including financial estimates or recommendations by securities analysts and investors;

future sales of our common stock;

changes in economic conditions in our marketplace, general conditions in the U.S. economy, financial markets or the banking industry; and

other developments affecting our competitors or us.

These factors may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance, and could prevent our shareholders from selling common stock at or above the public offering price. In addition, the stock markets, from time to time, experience extreme price and volume fluctuations that may be unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of companies. These broad fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock, regardless of our trading performance.

In the past, shareholders often have brought securities class action litigation against a company following periods of volatility in the market price of their securities. We may be the target of similar litigation in the future, which could result in substantial costs and divert management's attention and resources.

*Sales of a significant number of shares of our common stock in the public markets, or the perception of such sales, could depress the market price of our common stock.*

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public markets and the availability of those shares for sale could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. In addition, future issuances of equity securities, including pursuant to outstanding options, could dilute the interests of our existing stockholders, including you, and could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. We may issue such additional equity or convertible securities to raise additional capital. The issuance of any additional shares of common or preferred stock or convertible securities could be substantially dilutive to shareholders of our common stock. Moreover, to the extent that we issue restricted stock units, phantom shares, stock appreciation rights, options or warrants to purchase our common stock in the future and those stock appreciation rights, options or warrants are exercised or as the restricted stock units vest, our shareholders may experience further dilution. Holders of our shares of common stock have no preemptive rights that entitle holders to purchase their pro rata share of any offering of shares of any class or series and, therefore, such sales or offerings could result in increased dilution to

---

## **Table of Contents**

our shareholders. We cannot predict the effect that future sales of our common stock would have on the market price of our common stock.

*We may issue debt and equity securities or securities convertible into equity securities, any of which may be senior to our common stock as to distributions and in liquidation, which could negatively affect the value of our common stock.*

In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources by entering into debt or debt-like financing that is unsecured or secured by all or up to all of our assets, or by issuing additional debt or equity securities, which could include issuances of secured or unsecured commercial paper, medium-term notes, senior notes, subordinated notes, preferred stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable for equity securities. In the event of our liquidation, our lenders and holders of our debt and preferred securities would receive a distribution of our available assets before distributions to the holders of our common stock. Because our decision to incur debt and issue securities in our future offerings will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offerings and debt financings. Further, market conditions could require us to accept less favorable terms for the issuance of our securities in the future.

*Our management has broad discretion over the use of proceeds from equity offerings.*

Our management has significant flexibility in applying the proceeds that we receive from equity offerings. Although we have indicated our intent to use the proceeds from recent offerings for general corporate purposes, including future acquisitions, our working capital needs and investments in our subsidiaries, our management retains significant discretion with respect to the use of proceeds. The proceeds of our offerings may be used in a manner which does not generate a favorable return for us. We may use the proceeds to fund future acquisitions of other businesses. In addition, if we use the funds to acquire other businesses, there can be no assurance that any business we acquire would be successfully integrated into our operations or otherwise perform as expected.

*We may issue additional securities, which could dilute your ownership percentage.*

In many situations, our board of directors has the authority, without any vote of our shareholders, to issue shares of our authorized but unissued stock, including shares authorized and unissued under our stock option plans. In the future, we may issue additional securities, through public or private offerings, to raise additional capital or finance acquisitions. Moreover, to the extent that we issue restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights, options or warrants to purchase our common stock in the future and those stock appreciation rights, options or warrants are exercised or as the restricted stock units vest, our shareholders may experience further dilution. Any such issuance would dilute the ownership of current holders of our common stock.

### **Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.**

None.

### **Item 2. Properties.**

As of December 31, 2010, we operated 226 combined offices, including 145 bank branch offices in Louisiana, Arkansas, Alabama, Florida, Texas, and Tennessee, 27 title insurance offices in Arkansas and Louisiana, and had mortgage representatives in 54 locations in twelve states. Office locations are either owned or leased. For offices in premises leased by us or our subsidiaries, rent expense totaled \$7.1 million in 2010. During 2010, we and our subsidiaries received \$1.6 million in rental income for space leased to others. At December 31, 2010, there were no significant encumbrances on the offices, equipment and other operational facilities owned by us and our subsidiaries.

Additional information on our premises is provided in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements incorporated herein by reference.

### **Item 3. Legal Proceedings.**

The nature of the business of IBKC's banking and other subsidiaries ordinarily results in a certain amount of claims, litigation, investigations and legal and administrative cases and proceedings, all of which are considered incidental to the normal conduct of business. Some of these claims are against entities or assets of which IBKC is a successor or acquired in business acquisitions, and certain of these claims will be covered by loss sharing





---

## **Table of Contents**

agreements with the FDIC. For additional information, see Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements. IBKC believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted against it in its currently outstanding legal proceedings and, with respect to such legal proceedings, intends to continue to defend itself vigorously, litigating or settling cases according to management's judgment as to what is in the best interest of IBKC and its shareholders.

The Company assesses its liabilities and contingencies in connection with outstanding legal proceedings utilizing the latest information available. Where it is probable that IBKC will incur a loss and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, IBKC records a liability in its consolidated financial statements. These legal reserves may be increased or decreased to reflect any relevant developments on a quarterly basis. Where a loss is not probable or the amount of loss is not estimable, IBKC does not accrue legal reserves. While the outcome of legal proceedings is inherently uncertain, based on information currently available, advice of counsel and available insurance coverage, IBKC's management believes that it has established adequate legal reserves. Any liabilities arising from pending legal proceedings are not expected to have a material adverse effect on IBKC's consolidated financial position, consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows. However, in the event of unexpected future developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of these matters, if unfavorable, may be material to IBKC's consolidated financial position, consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows.

IBERIABANK and the Company have been named as defendants in two putative class actions relating to the imposition of overdraft fees on customer accounts. The first such case, *Eivet v. IBERIABANK*, is pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida and presently bears Case No. 1:10-CV-23790-JLK. The case was originally filed in Florida and in October 2010 was transferred to the Southern District of Florida for coordinated pre-trial proceedings as part of a multi-district litigation involving numerous defendant banks, *In re Checking Account Overdraft Litigation*, Case No. 09-MD-02036-JLK. Plaintiff challenges IBERIABANK's practices relating to the imposition of overdraft fees and non-sufficient fund fees on consumer checking accounts. Plaintiff alleges that IBERIABANK's methodology for posting transactions to customer accounts is designed to maximize the generation of overdraft fees and brings claims for breach of contract and of a covenant of good faith and fair dealing, unconscionability, conversion, unjust enrichment and violations of state unfair trade practices laws. Plaintiff seeks a range of remedies, including restitution, disgorgement, injunctive relief, punitive damages and attorneys' fees.

The second of the two cases, *Sachar v. IBERIABANK Corporation*, Case No. 60CV2011-0770, was filed in Pulaski County, Arkansas Circuit Court on February 18, 2011. Plaintiff asserts that IBERIABANK Corporation engaged in the practice of re-sequencing customers' accounts in high-to-low order by posting the largest transactions first and the smallest transactions last which is alleged to increase the number of overdraft fees. The complaint seeks damages for allegedly deceptive trade practices under Arkansas state law, for breach of contract, for unjust enrichment, for conversion, and for injunctive relief.

Currently there is uncertainty around whether either putative class will ultimately be certified, the dimensions of any such class, and the range of remedies that might be sought on any certified claims.

## **Item 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED**

### **Executive Officers of the Registrant**

Set forth below is information with respect to the executive officers of IBERIABANK Corporation and principal occupations and positions held for periods including the last five years.

DARYL G. BYRD, age 56, has served as our President since 1999 and as Chief Executive Officer since 2000. He also serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of IBERIABANK.

ANTHONY J. RESTEL, age 41, has served as Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since February 2005. Mr. Restel was hired as Vice President and Treasurer in 2001 and previously served as Chief Credit Officer of IBERIABANK.

MICHAEL J. BROWN, age 47, has served as Senior Executive Vice President since 2001. In September 2009, Mr. Brown was appointed Vice-Chairman and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Brown is responsible for management of all of our banking markets.

**Table of Contents**

JEFFERSON G. PARKER, age 58, serves as our Vice-Chairman and Managing Director of Brokerage, Trust, and Wealth Management. He has served as our Vice-Chairman since September 2009. Before his employment with the Company, Mr. Parker was a member of our Board of Directors since 2001. Prior to joining IBERIABANK, he served as President of Howard Weil, Inc., an energy research and investment banking boutique serving institutional investors.

JOHN R. DAVIS, age 50, has served as Senior Executive Vice President Mergers and Acquisitions and Investor Relations since 2001. He also serves as Director of Finance Strategy and Mortgage.

MICHAEL A. NAQUIN, age 50, has served as Senior Executive Vice President since March 2004. He also serves as Director of Retail Segment and Facilities.

ELIZABETH A. ARDOIN, age 42, joined the Company in 2002 as Senior Vice President and Director of Communications. In 2005, she was promoted to Executive Vice President continuing to serve in the same capacity for the organization.

GEORGE J. BECKER III, age 70, has served as Executive Vice President, Director of Organizational Development since February 2005. In 2007, he began his second tenure as Director of Corporate Operations. Mr. Becker, a Certified Public Accountant, also serves as Secretary of IBERIABANK Corporation and IBERIABANK.

BARRY F. BERTHELOT, age 61, joined the Company in 2010 as Executive Vice President and Director of Organizational Development. Prior to joining IBERIABANK, he served as President of the Acadiana market for JP Morgan Chase.

JAMES B. GBUREK, age 60, serves as Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer of the Company and each of its financial institution subsidiaries. He joined the Company in 2009. Mr. Gburek is responsible for managing internal and external risks, including oversight of the Audit, Loan Review, Compliance, and Legal functions, as well as serving as regulatory agency liaison. From July 2008 to February 2009, he was Chief Credit Officer for Trident National Bank (in organization). Prior to that time, he worked for Wachovia Corporation for 23 years, where he last served as Managing Director of the Latin American Group in the Corporate and Investment Bank.

H. GREGG STRADER, age 52, serves as Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer since his appointment in 2009. Mr. Strader previously served as Senior Credit Officer and led our Special Assets Department and our Business Credit Services group prior to becoming Chief Credit Officer. Prior to joining the Company in 2009, Mr. Strader worked for Wachovia Corporation in a number of various senior credit roles for 23 years. From April 2005 until May of 2008, he served as Chief Real Estate Risk Officer for Wachovia.

**Table of Contents****PART II.****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.****Stock Performance Graph**

The following graph and table, which were prepared by SNL Financial LC ( SNL ), compares the cumulative total return on our Common Stock over a measurement period beginning December 31, 2005 with (i) the cumulative total return on the stocks included in the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Automated Quotation ( NASDAQ ) Composite Index and (ii) the cumulative total return on the stocks included in the SNL \$5 Billion-\$10 Billion Bank Index. All of these cumulative returns are computed assuming the quarterly reinvestment of dividends paid during the applicable period.

<i>Index</i>	<i>Period Ending</i>					
	<b>12/31/05</b>	<b>12/31/06</b>	<b>12/31/07</b>	<b>12/31/08</b>	<b>12/31/09</b>	<b>12/31/10</b>
IBERIABANK Corporation	100.00	118.17	96.05	101.44	117.11	131.93
NASDAQ Composite	100.00	110.39	122.15	73.32	106.57	125.91
SNL Bank \$5B-\$10B	100.00	107.92	86.55	75.93	58.37	63.33

The stock performance graph assumes \$100.00 was invested December 31, 2005. The stock price performance included in this graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

Additional information required herein is incorporated by reference to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Corporate Information Data in Exhibit 13 hereto.

**Share Repurchases**

In April 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a share repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to 300,000 shares of the Company's outstanding common stock, or approximately 1.1% of total shares outstanding. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had 149,029 shares remaining for repurchase under the plan.

**Table of Contents**

Stock repurchases generally are affected through open market purchases, and may be made through unsolicited negotiated transactions. During 2010, the Company did not repurchase any shares of its common stock in the open market.

The following table shows shares purchased during the fourth quarter of 2010.

	Total Number of Shares Purchased <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Price Paid Per Share	2010 Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan	Maximum Number of Shares Available for Purchase Pursuant to Publicly Announced Plan
October 1-31	939	\$ 52.94		149,029
November 1-30	1,845	50.41		149,029
December 1-31	2,050	58.60		149,029
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,834</b>	<b>\$ 54.38</b>		<b>149,029</b>

- (1) Repurchases reflect shares exchanged or surrendered in connection with the exercise or vesting of equity-based awards under the Company's equity-based compensation plans.

**Restrictions on Dividends**

Holders of the Company's common stock are only entitled to receive such dividends as the Board of Directors may declare out of funds legally available for such payments. Furthermore, holders of the common stock are subject to priority dividend rights of any holders of preferred stock then outstanding. At December 31, 2010, no shares of preferred stock were issued and outstanding.

In addition, the terms of the Company's outstanding junior subordinated debt securities prohibit it from declaring or paying any dividends or distributions on outstanding capital stock, or purchasing, acquiring, or making a liquidation payment on such stock, if the Company has elected to defer interest payments on such debt.

For additional information, see Notes 13 and 24 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

The information required herein is incorporated by reference to Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data in Exhibit 13 hereto.

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

The information required herein is incorporated by reference to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Exhibit 13 hereto.

**Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.**

The information required herein is incorporated by reference to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Exhibit 13 hereto.

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.**

The information required herein is incorporated by reference to IBERIABANK Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Financial Statements in Exhibit 13 hereto.

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures.**

None.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.**

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ), we performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2010. The evaluation was carried out under the supervision, and with the participation of, the Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ) and Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ). Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them in a timely manner to material information required to be disclosed by us in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act.

In addition, we reviewed our financial reporting internal controls. There was no significant change in our internal control over financial reporting during the last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, and the attestation report of the independent registered public accounting firm are included in Exhibit 13 and are incorporated by reference herein.

**Item 9B. Other Information.**

None.

**Table of Contents**

**PART III.**

**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

Information concerning the Registrant's executive officers is contained in Part I of this Form 10-K. Other information required herein, including information on directors, the audit committee, and the audit committee financial expert is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation.**

The information required herein is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.**

The information required herein is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.**

The information required herein is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement.

**Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.**

The information required herein is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement.

---

**Table of Contents**

**PART IV.**

**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.**

(a) Documents Filed as Part of this Report.

(1) The following financial statements are incorporated by reference from Item 8 hereof (see Exhibit No. 13):  
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009

Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) All schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the SEC are omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or because the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto.

(3) The following exhibits are filed as part of this Form 10-K, and this list includes the Exhibit Index.  
Exhibit Index

Exhibit No. 1.1	Underwriting Agreement, dated December 10, 2008, between the Registrant and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, as representative of the several underwriters incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.1. to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 10, 2008.
Exhibit No. 1.2	Underwriting Agreement, dated June 30, 2009, between the Registrant and Goldman, Sachs, & Co. and Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc., as representatives of the several underwriters incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 30, 2009.
Exhibit No. 1.3	Underwriting Agreement, dated March 2, 2010, between the Registrant and Goldman, Sachs, & Co. and Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc., as representatives of the several underwriters incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 2, 2010.
Exhibit No. 2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated September 22, 2002, between the Registrant and Acadiana Bancshares, Inc. incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2002.
Exhibit No. 2.2	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated November 17, 2003, by and among Alliance Bank of Baton Rouge, the Registrant, and IBERIABANK incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-111308).
Exhibit No. 2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated September 29, 2004, between the Registrant and American Horizons Bancorp, Inc. incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 29, 2004.
Exhibit No. 2.4	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated July 26, 2006, between the Registrant and Pocahontas Bancorp, Inc. incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 26, 2006, as amended.



## Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

- Exhibit No. 2.5 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated August 9, 2006, between the Registrant and Pulaski Investment Corporation incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 9, 2006.
- Exhibit No. 2.6 Purchase and Assumption Agreement dated as of May 9, 2008, by and among the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of ANB Financial N.A., Pulaski Bank and Trust Company, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 9, 2008.
- Exhibit No. 2.7 Purchase and Assumption Agreement dated as of August 21, 2009, by and among the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of CapitalSouth Bank, IBERIABANK, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 21, 2009.
- Exhibit No. 2.8 Purchase and Assumption Agreement dated as of November 13, 2009, by and among the Federal

**Table of Contents**

	Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of Orion Bank, IBERIABANK, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 13, 2009.
Exhibit No. 2.9	Purchase and Assumption Agreement dated as of November 13, 2009, by and among the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of Century Bank, A Federal Savings Bank, IBERIABANK, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 13, 2009.
Exhibit No. 2.10	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated February 21, 2011, between the Registrant and OMNI Bancshares, Inc. incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 22, 2011
Exhibit No. 3.1	Articles of Incorporation, as amended incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2009.
Exhibit No. 3.2	Bylaws of the Company, as amended incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 19, 2009.
Exhibit No. 3.3	Warrant to purchase 138,490 shares of common stock, issue date: December 5, 2008- incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 5, 2008.
Exhibit No. 3.4	Warrant Repurchase Agreement dated May 20, 2009, between the Registrant and the United States Department of the Treasury incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 20, 2009.
Exhibit No. 4.1	Stock Certificate incorporated herein by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 33-93210).
Exhibit No. 4.2	Junior Subordinated Indenture between the Registrant and Wilmington Trust Company, dated September 20, 2004 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 20, 2004.
Exhibit No. 4.3	Junior Subordinated Indenture between the Registrant and Wilmington Trust Company, dated October 31, 2006 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 31, 2006.
Exhibit No. 4.4	Junior Subordinated Indenture between the Registrant and Wilmington Trust Company, dated June 21, 2007 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 21, 2007.
Exhibit No. 4.5	Junior Subordinated Indenture between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, dated November 9, 2007 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 9, 2007.
Exhibit No. 4.6	Junior Subordinated Indenture between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, dated November 9, 2007 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 9, 2007.
Exhibit No. 4.7	Subordinated Capital Note, Series 2008-1, dated as of July 21, 2008, between IBERIABANK and SunTrust Bank- incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2008.
Exhibit No. 4.8	Indenture, dated as of March 28, 2008, between IBERIABANK Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, with respect to IBERIABANK Statutory Trust VIII incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 28, 2008.
Exhibit No. 10.1	Retirement Savings Plan - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.
Exhibit No. 10.2	Employment Agreement with Daryl G. Byrd, as amended and restated incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.
Exhibit No. 10.3	Indemnification Agreements with Daryl G. Byrd and Michael J. Brown incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999.
Exhibit No. 10.4	Severance Agreements with Michael J. Brown and John R. Davis incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000.
Exhibit No. 10.5	Severance Agreement with George J. Becker III incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000.
Exhibit No. 10.6	Severance Agreement with Anthony J. Restel incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2005.

Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

Exhibit No. 10.7 1996 Stock Option Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registration

**Table of Contents**

	Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-28859).
Exhibit No. 10.8	1999 Stock Option Plan incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement dated March 19, 1999.
Exhibit No. 10.9	Recognition and Retention Plan incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement dated April 16, 1996.
Exhibit No. 10.10	Supplemental Stock Option Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999.
Exhibit No. 10.11	2001 Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement dated April 2, 2003.
Exhibit No. 10.12	2005 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2009.
Exhibit No. 10.13	Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 17, 2003, among IBERIABANK Corporation, IBERIABANK Statutory Trust II and Trapeza CDO III, LLC - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2003.
Exhibit No. 10.14	Placement Agreement among the Registrant, IBERIABANK Statutory Trust III and SunTrust Capital Markets, Inc., dated as of September 20, 2004 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report of Form 8-K dated September 20, 2004.
Exhibit No. 10.15	Guarantee Agreement between the Registrant and Wilmington Trust Company, dated as of September 20, 2004 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Current Report of Form 8-K dated September 20, 2004.
Exhibit No. 10.16	Change in Control Severance Agreement with Michael A. Naquin, dated August 25, 2004 - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.
Exhibit No. 10.17	Indemnification Agreement with Michael A. Naquin, dated March 3, 2004 - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004.
Exhibit No. 10.18	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the ISB Supplemental Stock Option Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 15, 2005.
Exhibit No. 10.19	Form of Acknowledgement regarding acceleration of unvested stock options granted by the Registrant incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 30, 2005.
Exhibit No. 10.20	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the IBERIABANK Corporation 2001 Incentive Compensation Plan - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.
Exhibit No. 10.21	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the IBERIABANK Corporation 2001 Incentive Compensation Plan - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.
Exhibit No. 10.22	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the IBERIABANK Corporation 2005 Stock Incentive Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 17, 2006.
Exhibit No. 10.23	Form of Stock Option Agreement under the IBERIABANK Corporation 2005 Stock Incentive Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 17, 2006.
Exhibit No. 10.24	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2006, among the Registrant, as depositor, Wilmington Trust Company, as Delaware trustee, Wilmington Trust Company, as property trustee, and the administrators named therein incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 31, 2006.
Exhibit No. 10.25	Guarantee Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2006, between the Registrant and Wilmington Trust Company incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated  October 31, 2006.
Exhibit No. 10.26	

## Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

Purchase Agreement, dated November 10, 2006, by and among the Registrant and the Purchasers thereto incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 10, 2006.

Exhibit No. 10.27 Lock-Up Agreement between officers and directors of the Registrant and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 16, 2006.

Exhibit No. 10.28 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2007, among the Registrant, as sponsor, Wilmington Trust Company, as Delaware trustee, Wilmington Trust Company, as

**Table of Contents**

	institutional trustee, and the administrators named therein incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 21, 2007.
Exhibit No. 10.29	Guarantee Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2007, between the Registrant and Wilmington Trust Company incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 21, 2007.
Exhibit No. 10.30	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of November 9, 2007, among the Registrant, as sponsor, U.S. Bank National Association, as institutional trustee and the administrators named therein incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 9, 2007.
Exhibit No. 10.31	Guarantee Agreement, dated as of November 9, 2007, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 9, 2007.
Exhibit No. 10.32	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of November 9, 2007, among the Registrant, as sponsor, U.S. Bank National Association, as institutional trustee and the administrators named therein incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 9, 2007.
Exhibit No. 10.33	Guarantee Agreement, dated as of November 9, 2007, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 9, 2007.
Exhibit No. 10.34	IBERIABANK Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 17, 2007.
Exhibit No. 10.35	Change in Control Severance Agreement with Jeffrey A. Powell, dated December 15, 2008- incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 15, 2008.
Exhibit No. 10.36	Letter Agreement, including Securities Purchase Agreement, between the Registrant and the United States Department of the Treasury, dated December 5, 2008-incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 5, 2008.
Exhibit No. 10.37	Form of Waiver executed by each of Messrs. Daryl G. Byrd, Anthony J. Restel, Michael J. Brown, John R. Davis, and Michael A. Naquin, dated December 5, 2008- incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 5, 2008.
Exhibit No. 10.38	Form of Letter Agreement, executed by each of Messrs. Daryl G. Byrd, Anthony J. Restel, Michael J. Brown, John R. Davis, and Michael A. Naquin, dated December 5, 2008- incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 5, 2008.
Exhibit No. 10.39	Form of Phantom Stock Award Agreement incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 17, 2008.
Exhibit No. 10.40	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the IBERIABANK Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2008.
Exhibit No. 10.41	Form of Stock Option Agreement under the IBERIABANK Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2008.
Exhibit No. 10.42	Subordinated Capital Note Purchase/ Loan Agreement dated as of July 21, 2008, by and between IBERIABANK and SunTrust Bank incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2008.
Exhibit No. 10.43	IBERIABANK Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 29, 2008.
Exhibit No. 10.44	Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, dated as of March 28, 2008, among IBERIABANK Corporation, as sponsor, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as institutional trustee, and the administrators named therein, with respect to IBERIABANK Statutory Trust VIII- incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 28, 2008.
Exhibit No. 10.45	Guarantee Agreement, dated as of March 28, 2008, between IBERIABANK Corporation, as guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, with respect to IBERIABANK Statutory Trust VIII- incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 28, 2008.
Exhibit No. 10.46	Change in Control Severance Agreement with James B. Gburek dated September 21, 2009 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

2009.

Exhibit No. 10.47 IBERIABANK Corporation 2009 Phantom Stock Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 29, 2009.

**Table of Contents**

Exhibit No. 10.48	Form of IBERIABANK Corporation Phantom Stock Unit Agreement incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 29, 2009.
Exhibit No. 10.49	Form of Termination of Letter Agreement, executed by each of Messrs. Daryl G. Byrd, Anthony J. Restel, Michael J. Brown, John R. Davis, and Michael A. Naquin, dated March 31, 2009 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 31, 2009, as amended.
Exhibit No. 10.50	Employment Letter with Jefferson G. Parker dated September 17, 2009 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 17, 2009.
Exhibit No. 10.51	Change in Control Severance Agreement with Jefferson G. Parker dated September 17, 2009 incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 17, 2009.
Exhibit No. 10.52	2010 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 18, 2010.
Exhibit No. 10.53	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the IBERIABANK Corporation 2010 Stock Incentive Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 4, 2010.
Exhibit No. 10.54	Form of Stock Option Agreement under the IBERIABANK Corporation 2010 Stock Incentive Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 4, 2010.
Exhibit No. 12	Statements: Computations of Ratios.
Exhibit No. 13	Annual Report to Shareholders Portions of Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2010, which are expressly incorporated herein by reference.
Exhibit No. 21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
Exhibit No. 23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP
Exhibit No. 31.1	Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a).
Exhibit No. 31.2	Certification of principal financial officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a).
Exhibit No. 32.1	Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit No. 32.2	Certification of principal financial officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit No. 99.1	Audit Committee Charter, as amended
Exhibit No. 99.2	Purchase and Assumption Agreement dated as of July 23, 2010, by and among the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of Sterling Bank, Lantana, Florida, IBERIABANK, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated August 2, 2010.



**Table of Contents**

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

IBERIABANK CORPORATION

Date: March 4, 2011

By: /s/ Daryl G. Byrd  
President/CEO and Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
/s/ Daryl G. Byrd	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	March 4, 2011
Daryl G. Byrd		
/s/ John R. Davis	Senior Executive Vice President of Finance and Investor Relations	March 4, 2011
John R. Davis		
/s/ Anthony J. Restel	Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	March 4, 2011
Anthony J. Restel		
/s/ Jeffrey A. Powell	Executive Vice President, Corporate Controller and Principal Accounting Officer	March 4, 2011
Jeffrey A. Powell		
/s/ Elaine D. Abell	Director	March 4, 2011
Elaine D. Abell		
/s/ Harry V. Barton, Jr.	Director and Audit Committee Chairman	March 4, 2011
Harry V. Barton, Jr.		
/s/ Ernest P. Breaux, Jr.	Director	March 4, 2011
Ernest P. Breaux, Jr.		
/s/ John N. Casbon	Director	March 4, 2011
John N. Casbon		
/s/ William H. Fenstermaker	Chairman of the Board	March 4, 2011
William H. Fenstermaker		

Edgar Filing: IBERIABANK CORP - Form 10-K

/s/ O. Miles Pollard, Jr.	Director and Audit Committee Member	March 4, 2011
O. Miles Pollard, Jr.		
/s/ E. Stewart Shea III	Director	March 4, 2011
E. Stewart Shea III		
/s/ David H. Welch	Director and Audit Committee Member	March 4, 2011
David H. Welch		