AMERICAN SOFTWARE INC Form 10-Q March 10, 2010 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended January 31, 2010

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-12456

AMERICAN SOFTWARE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Georgia (State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

470 East Paces Ferry Road, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia (Address of principal executive offices)

(404) 261-4381

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

None

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Non-accelerated filer

Identification Number)

58-1098795

(IRS Employer

30305 (Zip Code)

> Accelerated filer х ... Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Classes Class A Common Stock, \$.10 par value Class B Common Stock, \$.10 par value **Outstanding at March 8, 2010** 22,427,265 Shares 2,877,086 Shares

AMERICAN SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Form 10-Q

Quarter ended January 31, 2010

Index

Page No. Part I Financial Information Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets January 31, 2010 and April 30, 2009 3 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and Nine Months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 4 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine Months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 5 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Unaudited 6 Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 18 Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 30 Item 4. Controls and Procedures 31 Part II Other Information Item 1. Legal Proceedings 32 Item 1A. Risk Factors 32 Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 32 Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities 32 Item 4. Removed and Reserved 32 Item 5. Other Information 32 Item 6. Exhibits 32

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

American Software, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)

(in thousands, except share data)

| | Ja | nuary 31, 2010 | April 30, 2009 |
|---|----|-------------------|-------------------|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current assets: | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 24,236 | \$ 37,629 |
| Investments | | 15,334 | 16,371 |
| Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$135 at January 31, 2010 and \$484 at April 30, 2009: | | | |
| Billed | | 11,508 | 10,234 |
| Unbilled | | 3,106 | 2,995 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 342 | 246 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 2,745 | 2,886 |
| | | | |
| Total current assets | | 57,271 | 70,361 |
| Investments Noncurrent | | 14,185 | 17,094 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$26,075 at January 31, 2010 and \$25,121 at | | | |
| April 30, 2009 | | 6,652 | 7,189 |
| Capitalized software, net of accumulated amortization of \$7,425 at January 31, 2010 and \$7,050 at April 30, | | | |
| 2009 | | 6,252 | 4,859 |
| Goodwill | | 11,709 | 11,709 |
| Other intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$2,580 at January 31, 2010 and \$2,233 at April 30, 2009 | | 602 | 950 |
| Other assets | | 118 | 157 |
| Total assets | \$ | 96,789 | \$ 112,319 |

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| Current liabilities: | | | |
|--|-----------|----|--------|
| Accounts payable | \$ 760 | \$ | 822 |
| Accrued compensation and related costs | 2,628 | | 2,374 |
| Dividends payable | 2,281 | | 2,277 |
| Other current liabilities | 3,355 | | 3,355 |
| Deferred revenue | 13,869 | 1 | 6,101 |
| | | | |
| Total current liabilities | 22,893 | 2 | 24,929 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,163 | | 1,163 |
| Shareholders equity: | | | |
| American Software, Inc. shareholders equity: | | | |
| Common stock: | | | |
| Class A, \$.10 par value. Authorized 50,000,000 shares: Issued 26,697,953 shares at January 31, 2010 and | | | |
| 26,642,744 shares at April 30, 2009 | 2,670 | | 2,664 |
| | 288 | | 288 |

| Class B, \$.10 par value. Authorized 10,000,000 shares: Issued and outstanding 2,877,086 shares at January 31, 2010 and April 30, 2009; convertible into Class A shares on a one-for-one basis | | |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 83,764 | 88,164 |
| Retained earnings | 9,161 | 11,625 |
| Class A treasury stock, 4,270,688 shares at January 31, 2010 and 4,230,288 shares at April 30, 2009 | (23,150) | (22,902) |
| Total American Software, Inc. shareholders equity | 72,733 | 79,839 |
| Noncontrolling interests | | 6,388 |
| Total shareholders equity | 72,733 | 86,227 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 96,789 | \$ 112,319 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements unaudited.

American Software, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited)

(in thousands, except earnings per share data)

| | Janua | Three Months Ended January 31, 2010 2009 | | | | ths Ended ry 31, 2009 |
|--|----------|--|-----------|-----------|--|-----------------------------|
| Revenues: | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| License | \$ 4,602 | \$ 4,722 | \$ 12,325 | \$ 11,258 | | |
| Services and other | 8,349 | 8,386 | 23,445 | 26,729 | | |
| Maintenance | 6,879 | 6,932 | 20,613 | 21,099 | | |
| Total revenues | 19,830 | 20,040 | 56,383 | 59,086 | | |
| Cost of revenues: | | | | | | |
| License | 934 | 1,064 | 2,737 | 3,870 | | |
| Services and other | 6,193 | 5,925 | 16,499 | 18,223 | | |
| Maintenance | 1,630 | 1,778 | 5,180 | 5,400 | | |
| Total cost of revenues | 8,757 | 8,767 | 24,416 | 27,493 | | |
| Gross margin | 11,073 | 11,273 | 31,967 | 31,593 | | |
| Research and development | 1,607 | 1,765 | 4,979 | 5,436 | | |
| Sales and marketing | 3,653 | 3,829 | 11,182 | 11,097 | | |
| General and administrative | 2,954 | 3,203 | 9,880 | 9,077 | | |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles | 87 | 87 | 262 | 262 | | |
| (Recovery of) provision for doubtful accounts | (3) | 255 | (301) | 309 | | |
| Total operating expenses | 8,298 | 9,139 | 26,002 | 26,181 | | |
| Operating income | 2,775 | 2,134 | 5,965 | 5,412 | | |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | |
| Interest income | 356 | 596 | 1,117 | 1,569 | | |
| Other, net | (113) | (791) | 145 | (3,087) | | |
| Earnings before income taxes | 3,018 | 1,939 | 7,227 | 3,894 | | |
| Income tax expense | 1,177 | 952 | 2,762 | 1,552 | | |
| income tax expense | 1,177 | 932 | 2,702 | 1,332 | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,841 | \$ 987 | \$ 4,465 | \$ 2,342 | | |
| Less net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests | | (212) | (90) | (487) | | |
| Net earnings attributable to American Software, Inc. | \$ 1,841 | \$ 775 | \$ 4,375 | \$ 1,855 | | |
| Earnings per common share attributable to American Software, Inc. ^(a) : | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.07 | | |
| Diluted | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.07 | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.27 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Shares used in the calculation of earnings per common share attributable to American Software, Inc.: | | | | |
| Basic | 25,321 | 25,279 | 25,316 | 25,343 |
| Diluted | 25,947 | 25,599 | 25,881 | 25,791 |

(a) Basic per share amounts are the same for Class A and Class B shares. Diluted per share amounts for Class A shares are shown above. Diluted earnings per share for Class B shares under the two-class method are \$0.07 and \$0.03 for the three months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 and \$0.17 and \$0.07 for the nine months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. See Note G to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements unaudited.

American Software, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

(in thousands)

| | Nine Months Endec January 31, 2010 2009 | | |
|---|---|----------|--|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 4,375 | \$ 1,855 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,679 | 2,957 | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 833 | 620 | |
| Bond amortization | 575 | 390 | |
| Tax benefit of stock options exercised | 395 | 194 | |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | (126) | (69) | |
| Net (gain)/loss on investments | (249) | 2,491 | |
| Minority interest in net earnings of subsidiary | 90 | 487 | |
| Deferred income taxes | (96) | (508) | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Purchases of trading securities | (11,347) | (7,068) | |
| Proceeds from sale of trading securities | 3,265 | 1,920 | |
| Proceeds from maturities of trading securities | 126 | 607 | |
| Accounts receivable, net | (1,385) | 2,685 | |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 166 | (254) | |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 191 | (1,871) | |
| Deferred revenue | (2,232) | (1,445) | |
| Other | | (67) | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (3,740) | 2,924 | |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Capitalized computer software development costs | (1,768) | (1,521) | |
| Intangible assets | | (145) | |
| Goodwill | | (71) | |
| Purchases of property and equipment, net of disposals | (418) | (781) | |
| Proceeds from maturities of investments | 11,590 | 77,478 | |
| Purchases of investments | | (89,847) | |
| Net change in minority interest resulting from changes in subsidiary equity | | (245) | |
| Repurchase of noncontrolling interest | (12,328) | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options of subsidiary | 29 | 232 | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (2,895) | (14,900) | |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from Dividend Reinvestment Plan and Stock Purchase Plan | | 34 | |
| Repurchase of common stock | (248) | (1,393) | |
| Excess tax benefits from stock based compensation | 126 | 69 | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 199 | 268 | |
| Dividends paid | (6,835) | (6,850) | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (6,758) | (7,872) | |

| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (13,393) | (19,848) |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 37,629 | 59,236 |
| | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 24,236 | \$ 39,388 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements unaudited.

AMERICAN SOFTWARE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Unaudited

January 31, 2010

A. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-1 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required for complete financial statements. In the opinion of our management, these condensed consolidated financial statements contain all normal recurring adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position at January 31, 2010, the results of operations for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 and cash flows for the nine months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009. The results for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full year. You should read these statements in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and management is discussion and analysis and results of operations included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2009.

The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Note 1 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2009, describes the significant accounting policies that we have used in preparing our financial statements. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including but not limited to those related to revenue/vendor specific object evidence (VSOE), bad debts, capitalized software costs, goodwill, intangible assets, stock-based compensation, income taxes and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Our actual results could differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

B. Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of American Software, Inc. (American Software or the Company), and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

C. Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue in accordance with the Software Revenue Recognition Topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board s (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification.

License. We recognize license revenue in connection with license agreements for standard proprietary software upon delivery of the software, provided we consider collection to be probable, the fee is fixed or determinable, there is evidence of an arrangement, and VSOE exists with respect to any undelivered elements of the arrangement. For multiple-element arrangements, we recognize revenue under the residual method, whereby (1) the total fair value of the undelivered elements, as indicated by VSOE, is deferred and subsequently recognized and (2) the difference between the total arrangement fee and the amount deferred for the undelivered elements is recognized as revenue related to the delivered elements. We record revenues from sales of third-party products in accordance with Principal Agent Considerations within the Revenue Recognition Topic of the FASB s Accounting Standards Codification. Furthermore, we evaluate sales through our indirect channel on a case-by-case basis to determine whether the transaction should be recorded gross or net, including but not limited to assessing whether or not we: (1) act as principal in the transaction, (2) take title to the products, (3) have risks and rewards of ownership, such as the risk of loss for collection, delivery, or returns, and (4) act as an agent or broker with compensation on a commission or fee basis. Accordingly, in most cases we record our sales through the Demand Management, Inc. (DMI) channel on a gross basis.

Maintenance. Revenue derived from maintenance contracts primarily includes telephone consulting, product updates, and releases of new versions of products previously purchased by the customer, as well as error reporting and correction services. Maintenance contracts are

typically sold for a separate fee with initial contractual periods ranging from one to three years with renewal for additional periods thereafter. Maintenance fees are generally billed annually in advance. We recognize maintenance revenue ratably over the term of the maintenance agreement. In situations where we bundle all or a portion of the maintenance fee with the license fee, VSOE for maintenance is determined based on prices when sold separately.

Services. Revenue derived from services primarily includes consulting, implementation, and training. We primarily bill fees under time and materials arrangements and recognize them as services are performed. In accordance with the other presentation matters within the Revenue Recognition Topic of the FASB s Accounting Standards Codification, we recognize amounts received for reimbursement of travel and other out-of-pocket expenses incurred as revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of operations under services and other. These amounts totaled approximately \$169,000 and \$638,000 for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively, and \$256,000 and \$967,000 for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009, respectively.

Indirect Channel Revenue. We recognize revenues for sales made through indirect channels principally when the distributor makes the sale to an end-user, when the license fee is fixed or determinable, the license fee is nonrefundable, and the sale meets all other conditions for revenue recognition.

Deferred Revenue. Deferred revenue represents advance payments or billings for software licenses, services, and maintenance billed in advance of the time revenue is recognized.

Sales Taxes. We account for sales taxes collected from customers on a net basis.

Unbilled Accounts Receivable. The unbilled receivable balance consists of amounts generated from license fee and services revenues. At January 31, 2010 and April 30, 2009, unbilled license fees were approximately \$1.4 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, and unbilled services revenues were approximately \$1.6 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. Unbilled license fee accounts receivable represents revenue that has been recognized but under the terms of the license agreement, which include specified payment terms that are considered normal and customary, certain payments have not yet been invoiced to the customers. Unbilled services revenues primarily occur due to the timing of the respective billings, which occur subsequent to the end of each reporting period.

D. Reclassification

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified for presentation purposes.

E. Major Customer

One customer, The Home Depot, accounted for approximately 14% and 11% of our total revenues in the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively, principally from our IT consulting segment (see footnote M). This customer accounted for approximately 11% of our total revenues for both the three- and nine-month periods ended January 31, 2009. The related accounts receivable balance for this customer was approximately \$2.4 million as of January 31, 2010 and approximately \$1.5 million as of January 31, 2009.

F. Declaration of Dividend Payable

On November 17, 2009, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.09 per share of our Class A and Class B common stock. The cash dividend is payable on March 5, 2010 to Class A and Class B shareholders of record at the close of business on February 19, 2010.

G. Earnings Per Common Share

We have two classes of common stock of which Class B Common Shares are convertible into Class A Common Shares at any time, on a one-for-one basis. Under our Articles of Incorporation, if dividends are declared, holders of Class A Common Shares shall receive a \$.05 dividend per share prior to the Class B Common Shares receiving any dividend and holders of Class A Common Shares shall receive a dividend at least equal to Class B Common Shares dividends on a per share basis. As a result, we have computed the earnings per share in accordance with Earnings Per Share within the Presentation Topic of the FASB s Accounting Standards Codification, which requires companies that have multiple classes of equity securities to use the two-class method in computing earnings per share.

For our basic earnings per share calculation, we use the two-class method. Basic earnings per share are calculated by dividing net earnings attributable to each class of common stock by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. All undistributed earnings are allocated evenly between Class A and B Common Shares in the earnings per share calculation to the extent that earnings equal or exceed \$.05 per share.

This allocation is based on management s judgment after considering the dividend rights of the two-classes of common stock, the control of the Class B shareholders and the convertibility rights of the Class B shares to Class A shares.

Diluted earnings per share is calculated similar to basic earnings per share, except that the calculation includes the dilutive effect of the assumed exercise of options issuable under our stock incentive plans. For our diluted earnings per share calculation for Class A shares, we use the if-converted method. This calculation assumes that all Class B Common Shares are converted into Class A Common Shares and, as a result, assumes there are no holders of Class B Common Shares to participate in undistributed earnings.

For our diluted earnings per share calculation for Class B shares, we use the two-class method. This calculation does not assume that all Class B Common Shares are converted into Class A Common Shares. In addition, this method assumes the dilutive effect if Class A stock options were converted to Class A shares and the undistributed earnings are allocated evenly to both Class A and B shares including Class A shares issued pursuant to those converted stock options. This allocation is based on management s judgment after considering the dividend rights of the two classes of common stock, the control of the Class B shareholders and the convertibility rights of the Class B shares.

The following tables set forth the computation of basic earnings per common share and diluted earnings per common share (in thousands except for per share amounts):

Basic earnings per common share:

| | January 3 | Three Months Ended January 31, 2010 | | hs Ended 31, 2010 |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Class A | Class B | Class A | Class B |
| Distributed earnings | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.27 |
| Undistributed loss | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.10) | (0.10) |
| Total | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.17 |
| Distributed earnings | \$ 2,018 | \$ 258 | \$ 6,066 | \$ 777 |
| Undistributed loss | (386) | (49) | (2,188) | (280) |
| Total | \$ 1,632 | \$ 209 | \$ 3,878 | \$ 497 |
| Basic weighted average common shares | 22,444 | 2,877 | 22,439 | 2,877 |
| | | Three Months Ended January 31, 2009 | | |
| | | | Nine Mont January 3 | |
| | | | | |
| Distributed earnings | January 3 | 31, 2009 | January . | 31, 2009 |
| Distributed earnings Undistributed loss | January 3 Class A | 31, 2009 Class B | January 3 Class A | 31, 2009 Class B |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | January (Class A \$ 0.09 | 31, 2009 Class B \$ 0.09 | January 3 Class A \$ 0.27 | 31, 2009 Class B \$ 0.27 |
| Undistributed loss Total | January (Class A \$ 0.09 (0.06) \$ 0.03 | 31, 2009 Class B \$ 0.09 (0.06) \$ 0.03 | January 3 Class A \$ 0.27 (0.20) \$ 0.07 | 31, 2009 Class B \$ 0.27 (0.20) \$ 0.07 |
| Undistributed loss | January 3 Class A \$ 0.09 (0.06) | 31, 2009 Class B \$ 0.09 (0.06) | January 2 Class A \$ 0.27 (0.20) | 31, 2009 Class B \$ 0.27 (0.20) |
| Undistributed loss Total Distributed earnings | January 3 Class A \$ 0.09 (0.06) \$ 0.03 \$ 2,012 | 31, 2009 Class B \$ 0.09 (0.06) \$ 0.03 \$ 259 | January 3 Class A \$ 0.27 (0.20) \$ 0.07 \$ 6,056 | 31, 2009 Class B \$ 0.27 (0.20) \$ 0.07 \$ 779 |
| Undistributed loss Total Distributed earnings Undistributed loss | January 3 Class A \$ 0.09 (0.06) \$ 0.03 \$ 2,012 (1,325) | 31, 2009 Class B \$ 0.09 (0.06) \$ 0.03 \$ 259 (171) | January 3 Class A \$ 0.27 (0.20) \$ 0.07 \$ 6,056 (4,413) | 31, 2009 Class B \$ 0.27 (0.20) \$ 0.07 \$ 779 (567) |

Three Months Ended January 31, 2010

| Undistributed & Distributed earnings to Class Class A Com Common Sha | mon |
|--|--------------|
| | ,444 \$ 0.07 |
| | 626 |

| | 1,632 | 23,070 | 0.07 |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------|---------|
| Class B Conversion | 209 | 2,877 | |
| | | | |
| Diluted EPS for Class A | \$ 1,841 | 25,947 | \$ 0.07 |
| | | | |

Nine Months Ended January 31, 2010

| | & Di ear C | istributed istributed nings to Class A ommon | Class A Common Shares | EPS |
|--------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------|
| Per Basic | \$ | 3,878 | 22,439 | \$ 0.17 |
| Common Stock Equivalents | | | 565 | |
| | | 3,878 | 23,004 | 0.17 |
| Class B Conversion | | 497 | 2,877 | |
| Diluted EPS for Class A | \$ | 4,375 | 25,881 | \$ 0.17 |

Three Months Ended January 31, 2009

| | & Dis earn Cl | stributed stributed nings to ass A mmon | Class A Common Shares | EPS |
|--------------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| Per Basic | \$ | 687 | 22,396 | \$ 0.03 |
| Common Stock Equivalents | | | 320 | |
| | | 687 | 22,716 | 0.03 |
| Class B Conversion | | 88 | 2,883 | |
| Diluted EPS for Class A | \$ | 775 | 25,599 | \$ 0.03 |

Nine Months Ended January 31, 2009

| | & Di ear C | istributed istributed mings to Class A ommon | Class A Common Shares | EPS |
|--------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------|
| Per Basic | \$ | 1,643 | 22,458 | \$ 0.07 |
| Common Stock Equivalents | | | 448 | |
| | | 1,643 | 22,906 | 0.07 |
| Class B Conversion | | 212 | 2,885 | |
| Diluted EPS for Class A | \$ | 1,855 | 25,791 | \$ 0.07 |

Diluted EPS for Class B Common Shares Using the Two-Class Method

Three Months Ended January 31, 2010

| | & Dis earr Cl | stributed stributed nings to ass B mmon | Class B Common Shares | EPS |
|--|---------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| Per Basic | \$ | 209 | 2,877 | \$ 0.07 |
| Reallocation of undistributed earnings to Class A shares from Class B shares | | 1 | | |
| Diluted EPS for Class B | \$ | 210 | 2,877 | \$ 0.07 |
| | | | | |

Nine Months Ended January 31, 2010

| | & Dis earn Cl | tributed tributed ings to ass B nmon | Class B Common Shares | |
|--|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------|
| Per Basic | \$ | 497 | 2,877 | \$ 0.17 |
| Reallocation of undistributed earnings to Class A shares from Class B shares | | 6 | | |
| Diluted EPS for Class B | \$ | 503 | 2,877 | \$ 0.17 |
| | | | | |

Three Months Ended January 31, 2009

| | & Dist earni Cla | tributed tributed ings to ass B amon | Class B Common Shares | EPS |
|--|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------|
| Per Basic | \$ | 88 | 2,883 | \$ 0.03 |
| Reallocation of undistributed earnings to Class A shares from Class B shares | | 2 | | |
| Diluted EPS for Class B | \$ | 90 | 2,883 | \$ 0.03 |

Nine Months Ended January 31, 2009

| | & Dis earn Cla | tributed tributed ings to ass B nmon | Class B Common Shares | EPS |
|--|----------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------|
| Per Basic | \$ | 212 | 2,885 | \$ 0.07 |
| Reallocation of undistributed earnings to Class A shares from Class B shares | | 10 | | |
| Diluted EPS for Class B | \$ | 222 | 2,885 | \$ 0.07 |

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, we excluded options to purchase 1,573,490 and 1,660,134 Class A Common Shares, respectively, and for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009, we excluded options to purchase 2,295,499 and 2,286,499 Class A Common Shares, respectively, from the computation of diluted earnings per Class A Common Shares. We excluded these option share amounts because the exercise prices of those options were greater than the average market price of the Class A Common Shares during the applicable period. As of January 31, 2010, we had outstanding options to purchase a total of 4,031,847 Class A Common Shares and outstanding options to purchase 3,325,396 Class A Commons Shares as of January 31, 2009.

H. Acquisitions

On May 23, 2009, we commenced a cash tender offer for all the outstanding shares of common stock, no par value, of our majority-owned subsidiary, Logility, Inc. (Logility), not already owned by the Company at a price of \$7.02 per share, without interest. On June 30, 2009, we announced that we had accepted for payment 1,504,866 shares of Logility, Inc. common stock. On July 9, 2009, we caused Logility to merge with a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, after which all remaining shares of Logility common stock were converted into the right to receive \$7.02 per share, without interest. As a result of the merger, Logility became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company on July 9, 2009.

In connection with the tender offer and subsequent merger, we adopted the Logility, Inc. 1997 Stock Plan and the Logility, Inc. 2007 Stock Plan, and all outstanding Logility stock options were either converted into options to purchase shares of our Class A Common Shares or net cash settled. The conversion ratio was structured so as to maintain the spread between the exercise price and fair market value of our Class A Common Shares on July 9, 2009, in accordance with the regulations of the U.S. Treasury designed to maintain the status of the converted stock options as incentive stock options under Internal Revenue Code Section 422. As a result of these transactions, we have paid approximately \$12,300,000 in cash to Logility shareholders and issued options to purchase 1,942,595 of our Class A Common Shares. We incurred fees and expenses related to the transaction of approximately \$809,000, of which \$552,000 is included in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the nine months ended January 31, 2010. We accounted for this transaction in accordance with Identifiable Assets and Liabilities, and Any Noncontrolling Interests within the Business Combination Topic of the FASB s Accounting Standards Codification. As a result, we recorded the total consideration of \$12.3 million by recording debits to noncontrolling interest of \$6.5 million and additional paid-in capital of \$5.8 million.

As a result of the tender offer and subsequent merger we incurred stock compensation expense of approximately \$230,000, primarily related to those non-vested Logility stock options that became fully vested on the date of acquisition. Options held by those option holders who elected not to cash settle their awards were converted into fully vested options to purchase our Class A Common Shares.

I. Stock-Based Compensation

During the nine months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, we granted options for 843,310 and 515,978 shares of common stock, respectively. We recorded stock option compensation cost of approximately \$213,000 and \$202,000 and related income tax benefits of approximately \$50,000 and \$37,000 during the three months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We recorded stock option compensation cost of approximately \$833,000 and \$620,000 and related income tax benefits of approximately \$833,000 and \$620,000 and related income tax benefits of approximately \$248,000 and \$113,000 during the nine months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We recorded stock option compensation cost of approximately \$1,2010 and 2009, respectively. We record stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period directly to additional paid-in capital.

Cash flows resulting from the tax benefits generated by tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) are classified as financing cash flows. During the nine months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, we realized excess tax benefits of approximately \$126,000 and \$69,000, respectively.

During the nine months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, we issued 55,213 and 94,012 shares of common stock, respectively, resulting from the exercise of stock options. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 based on market value at the exercise dates was approximately \$94,000 and \$280,000, respectively. As of January 31, 2010, unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock option awards approximated \$2.3 million, which we expect to recognize over a weighted average period of 1.8 years.

J. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We measure our investments based on a fair value hierarchy disclosure framework that prioritizes and ranks the level of market price observability used in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. A number of factors affect market price observability, including the type of asset or liability and its characteristics. This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three broad levels as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical instruments.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.

Level 3 Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

The following is a general description of the valuation methodologies we use for financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value, including the general classification of such assets and liabilities pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Cash Equivalents Cash equivalents include investments in government obligation based money-market funds, other money market instruments and interest-bearing deposits with initial or remaining terms of three months or less. The fair value of cash equivalents approximates its carrying value due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

Marketable Securities Marketable securities utilizing Level 1 inputs include active exchange-traded equity securities and equity index funds, and most U.S. Government debt securities, as these securities all have quoted prices in active markets. Marketable securities utilizing Level 2 inputs include municipal bonds. We value these securities using market-corroborated pricing or other models that use observable inputs such as yield curves.

The following table presents our assets and liabilities that we measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of January 31, 2010, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques we used to determine such fair value (in thousands):

| | in Ma Ident | ted Prices Active arkets for tical Assets Level 1) | Ob] | gnificant Other servable inputs Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | nce as of ry 31, 2010 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--|---------|--|--|--------------------------|
| Cash equivalents | \$ | 23,288 | | | | \$ 23,288 |
| Marketable securities | | 2,444 | | 8,359 | | \$ 10,803 |
| Total | \$ | 25,732 | \$ | 8,359 | \$ | \$ 34,091 |

In addition to cash equivalents and marketable securities classified as trading securities, we also have an equity method investment valued at approximately \$169,000 and approximately \$18.5 million in held-to-maturity investments which are not recorded at fair value and thus are not included in the table above. The held-to-maturity investments consist of certificates of deposits and tax-exempt state and municipal bonds as well as U.S. Government debt securities and are recorded at amortized cost. Fair values for these securities are obtained from third-party broker statements. The fair value amounts are primarily derived from quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets. These investments consisted of the following at January 31, 2010 (in thousands):

| | | January 31, 2010 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|
| | Carrying value | Unrealized Gain | Unrealized Loss | Fair value | | |
| Held-to-maturity: | | | | | | |
| Certificates of Deposit | 3,521 | 10 | | 3,531 | | |
| Tax-exempt and municipal bonds | 15,024 | 309 | 40 | 15,293 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 18,545 | 319 | 40 | 18,824 | | |

The contractual maturity of debt securities classified as held to maturity at January 31, 2010 was as follows (in thousands):

| Due within one year | \$ 9,908 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Due between one and two years | 6,164 |
| Due between two and three years | 2,001 |
| Due after three years | 472 |
| | |
| | \$ 18,545 |

The Fair Value Option within the Financial Instruments Topic of the FASB s Accounting Standards Codification permits but does not require us to measure financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. We did not elect to measure at fair value any of our financial instruments under the guidance.

K. Stock Repurchases

On August 19, 2002, our Board of Directors approved a resolution authorizing the repurchase of up to 2.0 million shares of our Class A common stock. These repurchases have been and will be made through open market purchases at prevailing market prices. The timing of any repurchase will depend upon market conditions, the market price of our common stock and management s assessment of our liquidity and cash flow needs. Under this repurchase plan, through January 31, 2010, we have repurchased 735,735 shares of common stock at a cost of approximately \$3.8 million. Under all repurchase plans as of January 31, 2010, we have repurchased 4,270,688 shares of common stock at a cost of approximately \$23.2 million.

L. Comprehensive Income

We have not included condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements since comprehensive income and net earnings presented in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations would be substantially the same.

M. Industry Segments

We provide our software solutions through three major business segments, which are further broken down into a total of four major product and service groups. The three business segments are (1) Supply Chain Management (SCM), (2) Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), and (3) Information Technology Consulting (IT Consulting).

The SCM segment consists of Logility, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary (as of July 9, 2009), as well as its subsidiary, DMI, which provides collaborative supply chain solutions to streamline and optimize the forecasting, production, distribution and management of products between trading partners. The ERP segment consists of (i) American Software ERP, which provides purchasing and materials management, customer order processing, financial, e-commerce, Flow Manufacturing and traditional manufacturing solutions, and (ii) New Generation Computing (NGC), which provides industry-specific business software to both retailers and manufacturers in the Apparel, Sewn Products and Furniture industries. The IT Consulting segment consists of The Proven Method, Inc., an IT staffing and consulting services firm. We also provide support for our software products, such as software enhancements, documentation, updates, customer education, consulting, systems integration services, and maintenance.

Our chief operating decision maker is the President and Chief Executive Officer. While the CEO is apprised of a variety of financial metrics and information, we manage our business primarily on a segment basis, with the CEO evaluating performance based upon segment operating profit or loss that includes an allocation of common expenses, but excludes certain unallocated expenses.

In the following table, we have broken down the intersegment transactions applicable to the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | | onths Ended ary 31, 2009 | Nine Months Ended January 31, 2010 2009 | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 3,883 | \$ 4,610 | \$ 11,939 | \$ 14,358 | |
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 11,026 | 10,643 | 31,697 | 30,514 | |
| IT Consulting | 4,921 | 4,787 | 12,747 | 14,214 | |
| | | | | | |
| | \$ 19,830 | \$ 20,040 | \$ 56,383 | \$ 59,086 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) before intersegment eliminations: | \$ (754) | ¢ (942) | \$ (2.264) | \$ (993) | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning Collaborative Supply Chain Management | \$ (754) 3,449 | \$ (843) 2,787 | \$ (2,364) 8,109 | \$ (993) 5,965 | |
| IT Consulting | 5,449 80 | 2,787 | 220 | 3,903 440 | |
| 11 Consulting | 80 | 190 | 220 | 440 | |
| | ф о лл г | ¢ 0.104 | ф <u>г</u> ос <u>г</u> | ф. <u>с</u> 110 | |
| | \$ 2,775 | \$ 2,134 | \$ 5,965 | \$ 5,412 | |
| | | | | | |
| Intersegment eliminations: | | | | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ (415) | \$ (461) | \$ (1,292) | \$ (1,277) | |
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 415 | 461 | 1,292 | 1,277 | |
| IT Consulting | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) after intersegment eliminations: | | | | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ (1,169) | \$ (1,304) | \$ (3,656) | \$ (2,270) | |
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 3,864 | 3,248 | 9,401 | 7,242 | |
| IT Consulting | 80 | 190 | 220 | 440 | |
| | | | | | |
| | \$ 2,775 | \$ 2,134 | \$ 5,965 | \$ 5,412 | |
| | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures: | | | | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 13 | \$ 300 | \$ 218 | \$ 720 | |
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 23 | \$ 500 16 | \$ 210 197 | \$ 720 61 | |
| IT Consulting | 23 | 10 | 3 | 01 | |
| 11 consulting | | | 5 | | |
| | \$ 36 | \$ 316 | \$ 418 | \$ 781 | |
| | φ 50 | φ 510 | φ +10 | φ 701 | |
| | | | | | |
| Capitalized Software: | | | | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 17 | |
| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 602 | 482 | 1,768 | 1,504 | |
| IT Consulting | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | \$ 602 | \$ 482 | \$ 1,768 | \$ 1,521 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization: | | | 4 | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 304 | \$ 292 | \$ 898 | \$ 846 | |
| | | | | | |

| Collaborative Supply Chain Management | 230 | 703 | 781 | 2,110 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| IT Consulting | | | | 1 |
| | \$ 534 | \$ 995 | \$ 1,679 | \$ 2,957 |

N. Contingencies

We more often than not indemnify our customers against damages and costs resulting from claims of patent, copyright or trademark infringement associated with use of our products. We have historically not been required to make any payments under such indemnifications. However, we continue to monitor the conditions that are subject to the indemnifications to identify whether it is probable that a loss has occurred, and would recognize any such losses under the indemnifications when those losses are estimable. In addition, we warrant to our customers that our products operate substantially in accordance with the software product s specifications. Historically, we have incurred no costs related to software product warranties and we do not expect to incur such costs in the future, and as such we have made no accruals for software product warranty costs. Additionally, we are involved in various claims arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

O. Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on business combinations. The guidance requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize the full fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction (whether a full or partial acquisition); establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed; requires expensing of most transaction and restructuring costs; and requires the acquirer to disclose in its financial statements the information needed to evaluate and understand the nature and financial effect of the business combination. This guidance was effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and is to be applied prospectively. We adopted the guidance effective May 1, 2009 and will apply it to any business combinations on or after that date. The impact on our consolidated financial statements will depend upon the nature, terms and size of the acquisitions we consummate after the effective date. As a result of our adoption of this guidance, we accounted for our tender offer and repurchase of Logility s shares not as a business combination but as an acquisition of a non-controlling interest (see below). Additionally, during the nine months ended January 31, 2010, we expensed acquisition-related costs of approximately \$552,000 related to the buy-back of Logility shares.

In December 2007, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, which amended the accounting and reporting standards for a parent s noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and the accounting for future ownership changes with respect to the subsidiary. This guidance defines a noncontrolling interest, previously called a minority interest, as the portion of equity in a subsidiary that is not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent. The guidance requires, among other things, that a noncontrolling interest be clearly identified, labeled and presented in the consolidated balance sheet as equity, but separate from the parent s equity; and that the amount of consolidated statement of operations. Effective May 1, 2009, we adopted the guidance and applied it retrospectively, which affected only presentation and disclosure. As a result, we reclassified noncontrolling interest in the amount of \$6.4 million from other long-term liabilities to equity in our April 30, 2009 consolidated balance sheet. We reclassified certain amounts for prior periods in our consolidated statement of operations to conform to the presentation of the current period. Recorded amounts for prior periods previously presented as net earnings, which are now presented as net earnings attributable to American Software, Inc., have not changed as a result of our adoption of this guidance.

The following table represents a reconciliation of the carrying amount of total equity, equity attributable to American Software, Inc. and equity attributable to the noncontrolling interest:

| | rican ire, Inc. | ontrolling terest | Total |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Balance at April 30, 2009 | \$ 79,839 | \$ 6,388 | \$ 86,227 |
| Net income | 4,375 | 90 | 4,465 |
| Dividends | (6,840) | | (6,840) |
| Stock Compensation | 833 | | 833 |
| Repurchase of noncontrolling interest | (5,850) | (6,478) | (12,328) |
| Other | 376 | | 376 |
| | | | |
| Balance at January 31, 2010 | \$ 72,733 | \$ | \$ 72,733 |

In April 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on the determination of the useful life of intangible assets. This guidance amended the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognizable intangible asset. The intent of this guidance is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognizable intangible asset and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset. The guidance was effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Our adoption of this guidance effective May 1, 2009 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments. This guidance amended the other-than-temporary impairment accounting guidance for debt securities. This guidance requires that other-than-temporary impairment be separated into the amount of the total impairment related to credit losses and the amount of the total impairment related to all other factors. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to credit losses is recognized in earnings and the amount related to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. This guidance was effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. Our adoption of this guidance effective May 1, 2009 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on interim disclosures about fair value of financial instruments. This guidance amended previously released FASB guidance on Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments at interim reporting periods. This guidance was effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. Our adoption effective May 1, 2009 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. The disclosure requirements are presented in Note J.

In May 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on subsequent events. This guidance is intended to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Specifically, the subsequent events guidance sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. This guidance is effective for fiscal years and interim periods ended after June 15, 2009. We adopted this standard effective June 15, 2009 and have evaluated any subsequent events through the date of this filing. The adoption of the subsequent events guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated results of operations or consolidated financial position.

In June 2009, the FASB issued the FASB Accounting Standards CodificationTM and a new Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, which establishes only two levels of GAAP: authoritative and nonauthoritative. The FASB s Accounting Standards Codification (the

Codification) is now the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP, except for rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), which are additional sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. All other nongrandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification become nonauthoritative. The Codification is effective for financial statements for interim or annual reporting periods ending after September 15, 2009. We adopted the new guidelines and numbering system prescribed by the Codification when referring to GAAP in the second quarter of fiscal year 2010. As the Codification is not intended to change or alter existing GAAP for public companies, it does not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

P. Subsequent Event

On February 16, 2010, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.09 per share of our Class A and Class B common stock. The cash dividend is payable on May 28, 2010 to Class A and Class B shareholders of record at the close of business on May 14, 2010.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements relating to our future financial performance, business strategy, financing plans and other future events that involve uncertainties and risks. You can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as anticipate, intend, plan, continue, could, grow, may, potential, predict, strive will, seek, estimate, believe, expect, and simila uncertainty of future events or outcomes. Any forward-looking statements we make herein are pursuant to the safe harbor provision of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning future:

results of operations;

liquidity, cash flow and capital expenditures;

demand for and pricing of our products and services;

acquisition activities and the effect of completed acquisitions;

industry conditions and market conditions; and

general economic conditions.

Although we believe that the goals, plans, expectations, and prospects that our forward-looking statements reflect are reasonable in view of the information currently available to us, those statements are not guarantees of performance. There are many factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those anticipated by forward-looking statements made herein. These factors include, but are not limited to, continuing economic uncertainty, the timing and degree of business recovery, unpredictability and the irregular pattern of future revenues, competitive pressures, delays and other risks associated with new product development, the difficulty of predicting the effectiveness and duration of third-party marketing agreements, undetected software errors, and risks associated with market acceptance of our products and services. We discuss certain factors in greater detail in Business Overview below. The terms fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009 refer to our fiscal years ending April 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

ECONOMIC OVERVIEW

Corporate capital spending trends and commitments are the primary determinants of the size of the market for business software. Corporate capital spending is, in turn, a function of general economic conditions in the U.S. and abroad and in particular may be affected by conditions in U.S. global credit markets. In recent years, the weakness in the overall world economy and the U.S. economy in particular, has resulted in reduced expenditures in the business software market.

Overall information technology spending continues to be relatively weak as a result of the current global economic environment particularly in the United States. However, we experienced some improvement in our license fee sales close rate in our SCM business unit during the first half of the current fiscal year that continued into the third quarter of the current fiscal year. We believe information technology spending will incrementally improve over the long term as increased global competition forces companies to improve productivity by upgrading their technology systems. Although this improvement could slow or regress at any time, due in part to concerns in global capital markets and general economic conditions, we believe that our organizational and financial structure will enable us to take advantage of any sustained economic rebound. Customers continue to take long periods to evaluate discretionary software purchases.

We believe weak economic conditions may be driving some businesses to focus on achieving more process and efficiency improvements in their operations and to invest in solutions that improve operating margins, rather than make large infrastructure-type technology purchases. If this trend continues we believe it may tend to favor solutions such as our Logility supply chain solutions, which are designed to provide a more rapid

return on investment and are targeted at some of the largest profit drivers in a customer s business. While the current economic crisis has had a particularly adverse impact on the weaker companies in our target markets, we believe a larger percentage of our customers are seeking to make investments to strengthen their operations, and some are taking advantage of current economic conditions to gain market share.

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

American Software was incorporated as a Georgia corporation in 1970. We develop market and support a portfolio of software and services that deliver enterprise management and collaborative supply chain solutions to the global marketplace. We have designed our software and services to bring business value to enterprises by supporting their operations over intranets, extranets, client/servers or the Internet. References to the Company, our products, our software, our services and similar references include the appropriate business unit actually providing the product or service.

| 1 | Q |
|---|---|
| 1 | 0 |

We provide our software solutions through three major business segments, which are further broken down into a total of four major product and service groups. The three business segments are (1) Supply Chain Management (SCM), (2) Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) and (3) Information Technology (IT) Consulting. The SCM segment consists of Logility, a wholly-owned subsidiary (as of July 9, 2009) that provides collaborative supply chain solutions to streamline and optimize the production, distribution and management of products between trading partners. The ERP segment consists of (i) American Software ERP, which provides purchasing and materials management, customer order processing, financial, e-commerce, Flow Manufacturing and traditional manufacturing solutions, and (ii) New Generation Computing (NGC), which provides industry-specific business software to both retailers and manufacturers in the apparel, sewn products and furniture industries. The IT Consulting segment consists of The Proven Method, an IT staffing and consulting services firm.

In 2004, Logility acquired certain assets and the distribution channel of privately-held Demand Management Inc. (DMI), a St. Louis-based provider of supply chain planning systems marketed under the Demand Solutions[®] brand. The acquisition provided more than 800 active customers, which brought the Logility customer base to approximately 1,100 companies, located in 70 countries, and gives Logility what we believe to be the largest installed base of supply chain planning customers among application software vendors. Since the acquisition, Logility has continued to market and sell the Demand Solutions product line through DMI s existing value-added reseller (VAR) distribution network. Logility also continues to offer the Logility Voyager Solutions suite to its traditional target market of upper-midsize to Fortune 1000 companies with distribution-intensive supply chains.

We derive revenues primarily from three sources: software licenses, services and other, and maintenance. We generally determine software license fees based on the number of modules, servers, users and/or sites licensed. Services and other revenues consist primarily of fees from software implementation, training, consulting and customization services. We primarily bill under time and materials arrangements and recognize revenues as we perform services. We typically enter into maintenance agreements for a one- to three-year term at the time of the initial product license. We generally bill maintenance fees annually in advance and then recognize the resulting revenues ratably over the term of the maintenance agreement. Deferred revenues represent advance payments or billings for software licenses, services and maintenance billed in advance of the time we recognize the related revenues.

Our cost of revenue for licenses includes amortization of capitalized computer software development costs, royalties paid to third-party software vendors, and agent commission expenses related to license revenues generated by the indirect channel, primarily from DMI. Costs for maintenance and services include the cost of personnel to conduct implementations and customer support, consulting, other personnel-related expenses, and agent commission expenses related to maintenance revenues generated by the indirect channel, primarily from DMI. We account for the development costs of software intended for sale in accordance with the Intangibles Goodwill and Other topic of FASB s Accounting Standards Codification. We monitor the net realizable value of our capitalized software on a quarterly basis based on an estimate of future product revenues. We currently expect to fully recover the value of the capitalized software asset recorded on our consolidated balance sheet; however, if future product revenues are less than management s current expectations, we may incur a write-down of capitalized software costs.

Our selling expenses generally include the salary and commissions paid to our sales professionals, along with marketing, promotional, travel and associated costs. Our general and administrative expenses generally include the salary and benefits paid to executive, corporate and support personnel, as well as facilities-related costs, utilities, communications expenses, and various professional fees. DMI sells its products primarily through indirect channels.

We currently view the following factors as the primary opportunities and risks associated with our business:

<u>Dependence on Capital Spending Patterns.</u> There is risk associated with our dependence on the capital spending patterns of U.S. and international businesses, which in turn are functions of economic trends and conditions over which we have no control.

<u>Acquisition Opportunities.</u> There are opportunities for select acquisitions or investments to provide opportunities to expand our sales distribution channels and/or broaden our product offering by providing additional solutions for our target markets.

<u>Acquisition Risks</u>. There are risks associated with acquisitions of complementary companies, products and technologies, including the risks that we will not achieve the financial and strategic goals that we contemplate at the time of the transaction. More specifically, in any acquisition we will face risks and challenges associated with the uncertain value of the acquired business or assets, the difficulty of assimilating operations and personnel, integrating acquired technologies and products and maintaining the loyalty of the customers of the acquired business.

<u>Competitive Technologies.</u> There is a risk that our competitors may develop technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to our technology.

<u>Competition in General.</u> There are risks inherent in the market for business application software and related services, which has been and continues to be intensely competitive; for example, some of our competitors may become more aggressive with their prices and/or payment terms, which may adversely affect our profit margins.

<u>Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404.</u> Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we are required to include our assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting in our annual reports. Our independent registered public accounting firm is also required to attest as to whether or not they believe that we maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting. If for any fiscal year we fail to timely complete this assessment, we could be subject to regulatory sanctions and a possible loss of public confidence in the reliability of our financial reporting. Such a failure, as well as difficulties in implementing required new or improved controls, could result in our inability to provide timely and reliable financial information and could adversely affect our business.

A discussion of a number of additional risk factors associated with our business is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2009.

COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three-Month Comparisons. The following table sets forth certain revenue and expense items as a percentage of total revenues and the percentage changes in those items for the three months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | Percentage Reven | Three Months Ender Percentage of Total Revenues 2010 2009 | |
|---|---------------------|--|--------------|
| Revenues: | | | 2010 vs 2009 |
| License | 23% | 23% | (3)% |
| Services and other | 42 | 42 | |
| Maintenance | 35 | 35 | (1) |
| Total revenues | 100 | 100 | (1) |
| Cost of revenues: | | | |
| License | 5 | 5 | (12) |
| Services and other | 31 | 30 | 5 |
| Maintenance | 8 | 9 | (8) |
| Total cost of revenues | 44 | 44 | 0 |
| Gross margin | 56 | 56 | (2) |
| Research and development | 8 | 9 | (9) |
| Sales and marketing | 18 | 19 | (5) |
| General and administrative | 15 | 16 | (8) |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles | | | |
| (Recovery of) provision for doubtful accounts | | 1 | nm |
| Total operating expenses | 41 | 46 | (9) |
| Operating income | 14 | 11 | 30 |
| Other income (expense): | | | |
| Interest income | 2 | 3 | (40) |
| Other, net | (1) | (4) | nm |
| Earnings before income taxes | 15 | 10 | 56 |
| Income tax expense | 6 | 5 | 24 |
| Net earnings | 9 | 5 | 87 |
| Less net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest | | 1 | nm |
| Net earnings attributable to American Software, Inc. | 9% | 4% | 138% |

nm - not meaningful

Nine-Month Comparisons. The following table sets forth certain revenue and expense items as a percentage of total revenues and the percentage changes in those items for the nine months ended January 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | Percentage Reven | Nine Months Endec Percentage of Total Revenues 2010 2009 | |
|--|---------------------|---|--------------|
| Revenues: | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 vs 2009 |
| License | 22% | 19% | 9% |
| Services and other | 41 | 45 | (12) |
| Maintenance | 37 | 36 | (2) |
| Total revenues | 100 | 100 | (5) |
| | | | |
| Cost of revenues: | | - | |
| License | 5 | 7 | (29) |
| Services and other | 29 | 31 | (9) |
| Maintenance | 9 | 9 | (4) |
| Total cost of revenues | 43 | 47 | (11) |
| Gross margin | 57 | 53 | 1 |
| | | | |
| Research and development | 9 | 9 | (8) |
| Sales and marketing | 20 | 19 | 1 |
| General and administrative | 18 | 15 | 9 |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles | | | |
| (Recovery of) provision for doubtful accounts | (1) | 1 | nm |
| Total operating expenses | 46 | 44 | (1) |
| Operating income | 11 | 9 | 10 |
| Other income (expense): | | | |
| Interest income | 2 | 3 | (29) |
| Other, net | | (5) | nm |
| Family hafter in some former | 13 | 7 | 86 |
| Earnings before income taxes | (5) | 3 | |
| Income tax expense | (5) | 3 | nm |
| Net earnings | 8 | 4 | 91 |
| Less net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests | | 1 | nm |
| Net earnings attributable to American Software, Inc. | 8% | 3% | 136% |

nm - not meaningful

COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2010 AND 2009

Revenue

| | | Three Months Ended January 31, | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|----------|------|------|
| | | % of Total | | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | % Change | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (in tho | usands) | | | |
| License | \$ 4,602 | \$ 4,722 | (3)% | 23% | 23% |
| Services and other | 8,349 | 8,386 | | 42% | 42% |
| Maintenance | 6,879 | 6,932 | (1)% | 35% | 35% |
| | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 19,830 | \$ 20,040 | (1)% | 100% | 100% |

| | | Nine Months Ended January 31, | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------|------|------|--|
| | | % of Total Reve | | | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | % Change | 2010 | 2009 | |
| | (in thousands) | | | | | |
| License | \$ 12,325 | \$ 11,258 | 9% | 22% | 19% | |
| Services and other | 23,445 | 26,729 | (12)% | 41% | 45% | |
| Maintenance | 20,613 | 21,099 | (2)% | 37% | 36% | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 56,383 | \$ 59,086 | (5)% | 100% | 100% | |

For the three months ended January 31, 2010, the 1% decrease in revenues from the three months ended January 31, 2009 was attributable primarily to a 3% decrease in license fees and, to a lesser extent, a decline in maintenance revenues. For the nine months ended January 31, 2010, the 5% decrease in revenues from the nine months ended January 31, 2009 was attributable primarily to a 12% decrease in services and other revenues and, to a lesser extent, maintenance revenues. These declines during the nine-month period were partially offset by a 9% increase in license fee revenues when compared to the same period last year. The primary reason for the declines in services and other revenues was the poor economic environment, which has resulted in reduced license fees in most of our recent periods, in turn resulting in less demand for implementation services. The economic slowdown has led also to a decline in IT consulting services when compared to the same periods last year, as some customers have scaled back or eliminated third-party staffing and software consulting projects. A slight increase in implementation services relating to higher first quarter license fee revenues resulted in improved services and other revenues in the three months ended January 31, 2010, which were substantially unchanged from the same period in fiscal 2009.

Due to intensely competitive markets we discount license fees from our published list price in response to pricing pressure in our industry. Numerous factors contribute to the amount of the discounts we provide, such as previous customer purchases, the number of customer sites utilizing the software, the number of modules purchased and the number of users, as well as the overall size of the contract. While all these factors may affect the discount amount of a particular contract, the overall percentage discount we use with our contracts generally has not materially changed in recent reported fiscal periods. Accordingly, changes in our revenues from period to period primarily are due to the volume of products and related services sold in any period and the amounts of products or modules purchased with each sale, rather than variations in pricing.

International revenues represented approximately 10% and 11% of total revenues in the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively, and 10% of total revenues in both the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009. Our revenues, in particular our international revenues, may fluctuate substantially from period to period primarily because we derive most of our license fee revenues from a relatively small number of customers in a given period.

License Revenue

| | Three Months Ended January 31, |
|------------------------------|---|
| | 2010 2009 % Change |
| | (in thousands) |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 587 \$ 1,051 (44)% |
| Supply Chain Management | 4,015 3,671 9% |
| Total license revenues | \$ 4,602 \$ 4,722 (3)% |
| | Nine Months Ended January 31, 2010 2009 % Change |
| | (in thousands) |

| | (in thousands) | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------|
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 1,987 | \$ 2,305 | (14)% |
| Supply Chain Management | 10,338 | 8,953 | 16% |
| Total license revenues | \$ 12,325 | \$ 11,258 | 9% |

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, license fee revenues decreased 3% and increased 9%, respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year. While we expect a degree of quarterly fluctuation due to the timing of signing license fee agreements, we have experienced an improvement in our SCM business unit license fee close rate year to date when compared to the same period last year. The financial crisis that emerged during the past year has interfered with customers normal sources of financing and has greatly increased the level of uncertainty about future economic conditions. In our SCM segment, Logility increased license fee revenues 9% and 16% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively, when compared to the corresponding periods in the prior year. We believe that this increase was due primarily to improved sales execution on our pipeline and an improvement in customer activity due to the cost reduction capabilities of the Logility products, which we believe are critical during the economic downturn. Logility constituted 87% and 84% of total license fee revenues for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively, compared to 78% and 80% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively, compared to 78% and 80% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively, and 14% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively, compared to the difficult economic selling environment for ERP products caused by the poor economy and intense competition in the ERP market.

The direct sales channel provided approximately 70% and 68% of license fee revenues for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, respectively, compared to approximately 83% and 66% of license fee revenues for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009, respectively. The decrease in the proportion of direct sales for the current quarter when compared to the prior year is primarily due to improved sales execution and improvements in the sales environment that our indirect channel primarily targets, namely midsize and small companies as a result of improved capital markets when compared to last year at this time. For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010, our margins after commissions on direct sales were approximately 84%, compared to 81% and 82% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009, respectively. For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009, respectively. For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009, respectively, compared to 43% and 47% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009, respectively, compared to 43% and 47% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009, respectively, compared to 43% and 47% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009, respectively, compared to 43% and 47% for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2009, respectively. The indirect channel margins for the current quarter increased when compared to the same periods in the prior year due to an increase in sales through the indirect channel and the related mix of VAR commission rates. These margin calculations include only commission expense for comparative purposes and do not include other costs of license fees such as amortization of capitalized software.

Services and Other Revenue

| | Three I | Three Months Ended January 31, | | |
|------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|----------|--|
| | 2010 | 2009 | % Change | |
| | (in the | (in thousands) | | |
| Enterprise Resource Planning | \$ 2,182 | \$ 2,328 | (6)% | |
| Supply Chain Management | 1,246 | 1,271 | (2)% | |
| IT Consulting | 4,921 | | | |