DUKE REALTY CORP Form 10-K March 01, 2010 **Table of Contents**

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

X ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____

Commission File Number: 1-9044

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Indiana (State or Other Jurisdiction of

Incorporation or Organization)

600 East 96th Street, Suite 100

Indianapolis, Indiana (Address of Principal Executive Offices) Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (317) 808-6000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class:

Common Stock (\$.01 par value)

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered: New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

35-1740409 (IRS Employer

Identification Number)

46240

(Zip Code)

Table of Contents

Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/10 interest in a 6.625%						
Series J Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share (\$.01 par value)						
Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/10 interest in a 6.5%						
Series K Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share (\$.01 par value)	New York Stock Exchange					
Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/10 interest in a 6.6%						
Series L Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share (\$.01 par value)	New York Stock Exchange					
Depositary Shares, each representing 1/10 interest in a 6.95%						
Series M Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share (\$.01 par value)	New York Stock Exchange					
Depositary Shares, each representing 1/10 interest in a 7.25%						
Series N Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share (\$.01 par value)	New York Stock Exchange					
Depositary Shares, each representing a 1/10 interest in an 8.375%	-					
Series O Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Share (\$.01 par value)	New York Stock Exchange					
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the	Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:					

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes X No ____

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ____ No X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90

days. Yes X No ____

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ____ No ___

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ()

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer , accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer \underline{X} Accelerated filer \underline{A}

Non-accelerated filer____ Smaller reporting company___

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ____ No X

The aggregate market value of the voting shares of the registrant s outstanding common shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant is \$2.0 billion based on the last reported sale price on June 30, 2009.

The number of common shares, \$.01 par value outstanding as of February 22, 2010 was 224,246,609.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain portions of Duke Realty Corporation s Definitive Proxy Statement for its 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Proxy Statement) to be filed pursuant to Rule 14a-6 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K. Other than those portions of the Proxy Statement specifically incorporated by reference pursuant to Items 10 through 14 of Part III hereof, no other portions of the Proxy Statement shall be deemed so incorporated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Form 10-K

Item No.		Page(s)
<u>PART I</u>		
	Business Risk Factors Unresolved Staff Comments Properties Legal Proceedings Reserved	2 - 5 5 - 13 13 13 - 15 15 15
		10

<u>PART II</u>

5.	Market for the Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer	
	Purchases of Equity Securities	15 - 16
6.	Selected Financial Data	17
7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	18 - 43
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	43 - 44
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	44
9.	Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	44
9A.	Controls and Procedures	44
9B.	Other Information	44

<u>PART III</u>

10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	45
11.	Executive Compensation	46
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	
	Stockholder Matters	46
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	46
14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	46
<u>PART IV</u>		
15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	46 - 99
<u>Signatures</u>		100 - 101

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT THIS REPORT

In this Report, the words Duke, the Company, we, us and our refer to Duke Realty Corporation and its subsidiar well as Duke Realty Corporation s predecessors and their subsidiaries. DRLP refers to our subsidiary, Duke Realty Limited Partnership.

Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in or incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K (this Report), including, without limitation, those related to our future operations, constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The words believe, estimate, expect, anticipate, intend, plan, seek, may and similar estatements regarding future periods are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to differ materially from any predictions of future results, performance or achievements that we express or imply in this Report or in the information incorporated by reference into this Report. Some of the risks, uncertainties and other important factors that may affect future results include, among others:

Changes in general economic and business conditions, including, without limitation, the continuing impact of the economic down-turn, which is having and may continue to have a negative effect on the fundamentals of our business, the financial condition of our tenants, and the value of our real estate assets;

Our continued qualification as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for U.S. federal income tax purposes;

Heightened competition for tenants and potential decreases in property occupancy;

Potential increases in real estate construction costs;

Potential changes in the financial markets and interest rates;

Volatility in our stock price and trading volume;

Our continuing ability to raise funds on favorable terms;

Our ability to successfully identify, acquire, develop and/or manage properties on terms that are favorable to us;

Our ability to be flexible in the development and operation of joint venture properties;

Our ability to successfully dispose of properties on terms that are favorable to us;

Inherent risks in the real estate business, including, but not limited to, tenant defaults, potential liability relating to environmental matters and liquidity of real estate investments; and

Other risks and uncertainties described herein, as well as those risks and uncertainties discussed from time to time in our other reports and other public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Although we presently believe that the plans, expectations and results expressed in or suggested by the forward-looking statements are reasonable, all forward-looking statements are inherently subjective, uncertain and subject to change, as they involve substantial risks and uncertainties beyond our control. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict the nature, or assess the potential impact, of each new factor on our business. Given these uncertainties, we caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any of our forward-looking statements for events or circumstances that arise after the statement is made, except as otherwise may be required by law.

- 1 -

This list of risks and uncertainties, however, is only a summary of some of the most important factors and is not intended to be exhaustive. Additional information regarding risk factors that may affect us is included under the caption Risk Factors in this Report, and is updated by us from time to time in Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and other filings that we make with the SEC.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Background

We are a self-administered and self-managed REIT, which began operations upon completion of our initial public offering in February 1986. In October 1993, we completed an additional common stock offering and acquired the rental real estate and service businesses of Duke Associates, whose operations began in 1972. As of December 31, 2009, our diversified portfolio of 762 rental properties (including 211 jointly controlled in-service properties with more than 43.2 million square feet, four consolidated properties under development with approximately 663,000 square feet and three jointly controlled properties under development with more than 957,000 square feet) encompasses approximately 135.4 million rentable square feet and is leased by a diverse base of approximately 3,500 tenants whose businesses include manufacturing, retailing, wholesale trade, distribution, healthcare and professional services. We also own, including through ownership interests in unconsolidated joint ventures, approximately 5,000 acres of land and control an additional 1,900 acres through purchase options.

We refined our business strategy in 2009, which includes planned reductions in undeveloped land inventory in light of lower anticipated development volume and the targeting of non-strategic property dispositions. These decisions further align our focus on markets that we believe offer the best long-term prospects for rental rate growth and overall demand with an emphasis on industrial and medical office properties. Additionally, we no longer plan to develop properties with the intent to sell them at or near completion.

Through our Service Operations reportable segment, we have historically developed or acquired properties with the intent to sell (hereafter referred to as Build-for-Sale properties). Build-for-Sale properties were generally identified as such prior to construction commencement and were sold within a relatively short time after being placed in service. Build-for-Sale properties, which are no longer part of our operating strategy, did not represent a significant component of our operations in 2009.

Our Service Operations also provide, on a fee basis, leasing, property and asset management, development, construction, build-to-suit and other tenant-related services. We conduct our Service Operations through Duke Realty Services LLC, Duke Realty Services Limited Partnership and Duke Construction Limited Partnership. Our Rental Operations are conducted through Duke Realty Limited Partnership. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data for financial information related to our reportable segments.

Our headquarters and executive offices are located in Indianapolis, Indiana. In addition, we have 17 regional offices located in Alexandria, Virginia; Atlanta, Georgia; Baltimore, Maryland; Chicago, Illinois; Cincinnati, Ohio; Columbus, Ohio; Dallas, Texas; Houston, Texas; Minneapolis, Minnesota; Nashville, Tennessee; Orlando, Florida; Phoenix, Arizona; Raleigh, North Carolina; St. Louis, Missouri; Savannah, Georgia; Tampa, Florida; and Weston, Florida. We had approximately 1,000 employees as of December 31, 2009.

Operational Objectives

Our primary operational objective is to drive operational efficiencies, by maximizing cash from operations and Funds From Operations (FFO), through (i) maintaining and increasing property occupancy and rental rates through the management of our portfolio of existing properties; (ii) selectively developing and acquiring new properties for rental operations in our existing markets when economic conditions improve or when accretive returns are present; (iii) using our construction expertise to act as a general contractor or construction manager in our existing markets and other domestic markets on a fee basis; and (iv) providing a full line of real estate services to our tenants and to third parties. FFO is used by industry analysts and investors as a supplemental operating performance measure of an equity REIT like Duke. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) created FFO as a supplemental measure of REIT operating performance that excludes historical cost depreciation, among other items, from net income determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). FFO is a non-GAAP financial measure. The most comparable GAAP measure is net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders. Consolidated basic FFO attributable to common shareholders should not be considered as a substitute for net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or any other measures derived in accordance with GAAP and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. FFO is calculated in accordance with the definition that was adopted by the Board of Governors of NAREIT.

Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry analysts and investors have considered presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. FFO, as defined by NAREIT, represents GAAP net income (loss), excluding extraordinary items as defined under GAAP and gains or losses from sales of previously depreciated real estate assets, plus certain non-cash items such as real estate asset depreciation and amortization, and after similar adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

Management believes that the use of FFO attributable to common shareholders, combined with net income (which remains the required primary measure of performance), improves the understanding of operating results of REITs among the investing public and makes comparisons of REIT operating results more meaningful. Management believes that, by excluding gains or losses related to sales of previously depreciated real estate assets and excluding real estate asset depreciation and amortization, investors and analysts are able to readily identify the operating results of the long-term assets that form the core of a REIT s activity and assist in comparing these operating results between periods or as compared to different companies.

As a fully integrated commercial real estate firm, we provide in-house leasing, management, development and construction services which, coupled with our significant base of commercially zoned and unencumbered land in existing business parks, should give us a competitive advantage both as a real estate operator and in future development activities.

We believe that the management of real estate opportunities and risks can be done most effectively at regional or local levels. As a result, we intend to continue our emphasis on increasing our market share and effective rents in the primary markets where we own properties. We believe that this regional focus will allow us to assess market supply and demand for real estate more effectively as well as to capitalize on the strong relationships with our tenant base. In addition, we seek to further capitalize on strong customer relationships to provide third-party construction services across the United States. As a fully integrated real estate company, we are able to arrange for or provide to our industrial, office and medical office customers not only well located and well maintained facilities, but also additional services such as build-to-suit construction, tenant finish construction, and expansion flexibility.

All of our properties are located in areas that include competitive properties. Institutional investors, other REITs or local real estate operators generally own such properties; however, no single competitor or small group of competitors is dominant in our current markets. The supply and demand of similar available rental properties may affect the rental rates we will receive on our properties. Other competitive factors include the attractiveness of the property location, the quality of the property and tenant services provided, and the reputation of the owner and operator. In addition, our Service Operations face competition from a considerable number of other real estate companies that provide comparable services, some of whom may have greater marketing and financial resources than are available to us.

- 3 -

Capital Strategy

Our strategy is to actively manage the components of our capital structure, in conjunction with the execution of our overall operating strategy, while continuing to maintain investment grade ratings from our credit rating agencies and to ultimately improve the key metrics that drive these credit ratings.

In support of our capital strategy, as well as our overall business strategy, we employ an asset disposition program to sell non-strategic real estate assets, which generates proceeds that can be recycled into new properties that better fit our growth objectives in industrial and medical office properties or can be utilized to reduce our leverage.

We seek to reduce leverage and strengthen our balance sheet by maintaining a balanced and flexible capital structure which includes: (i) extending and sequencing the maturity dates of our outstanding debt obligations; (ii) borrowing primarily at fixed rates by targeting a variable rate component of total debt less than 20%; (iii) issuing common equity from time-to-time to maintain appropriate leverage parameters; and (iv) generating proceeds from the sale of non-strategic properties. By focusing on strengthening our balance sheet, we expect to be well-positioned for future growth.

In addition, as discussed under Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, we have an \$850.0 million unsecured line of credit available for our capital needs.

Corporate Governance

Since our inception, we not only have strived to be a top-performer operationally, but also to lead in issues important to investors such as disclosure and corporate governance. Our system of governance reinforces this commitment. Summarized below are the highlights of our Corporate Governance initiatives.

Board Composition	Our Board is controlled by supermajority (91.7%) of Independent Directors, as such term is defined under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE) as of January 30, 2010 and thereafter
Board Committees	Our Board Committee members are all Independent Directors
Lead Director	The Chairman of our Corporate Governance Committee serves as Lead Director of the Independent Directors
Board Policies	No Shareholder Rights Plan (Poison Pill)
	Code of Conduct applies to all Directors and employees, including the Chief Executive Officer and senior financial officers; waivers require the vote of a majority of our Board of Directors or our Corporate Governance Committee.
	Effective orientation program for new Directors
	Independence of Directors is reviewed annually
	Independent Directors meet at least quarterly in executive sessions

Independent Directors receive no compensation from Duke other than as Directors

Equity-based compensation plans require shareholder approval

Board effectiveness and performance is reviewed annually by our Corporate Governance Committee

Corporate Governance Committee conducts an annual review of the Chief Executive Officer succession plan

Independent Directors and all Board Committees may retain outside advisors, as they deem appropriate

Policy governing retirement age for Directors

Prohibition on repricing of outstanding stock options

- 4 -

Directors required to offer resignation upon job change Majority voting for election of Directors Shareholder Communications Policy

Ownership Minimum Stock Ownership Guidelines apply to all Directors and Executive Officers Our Code of Conduct (which applies to all Directors and employees, including the Chief Executive Officer and senior financial officers) and the Corporate Governance Guidelines are available in the Investor Relations/Corporate Governance section of our website at www.dukerealty.com. A copy of these documents may also be obtained without charge by writing to Duke Realty Corporation, 600 East 96th Street, Suite 100, Indianapolis, Indiana 46240, Attention: Investor Relations.

Additional Information

For additional information regarding our investments and operations, see Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. For additional information about our business segments, see Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Available Information and Exchange Certifications

In addition to this Report, we file quarterly and special reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. All documents that are filed with the SEC are available free of charge on our corporate website, which is www.dukerealty.com. We are not incorporating the information on our website into this Report, and our website and the information appearing on our website is not included in, and is not part of, this Report. You may also read and copy any document filed at the public reference facilities of the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at (800) SEC-0330 for further information about the public reference facilities. These documents also may be accessed through the SEC s Interactive Data Electronic Application (IDEA) via the SEC s home page on the Internet (http://www.sec.gov). In addition, since some of our securities are listed on the NYSE, you may read our SEC filings at the offices of the NYSE, 20 Broad Street, New York, New York 10005.

The NYSE requires that the Chief Executive Officer of each listed company certify annually to the NYSE that he or she is not aware of any violation by the company of NYSE corporate governance listing standards as of the date of such certification. We submitted the certification of our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Dennis D. Oklak, with our 2009 Annual Written Affirmation to the NYSE on May 13, 2009.

We included the certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related rules, relating to the quality of the Company s public disclosure, in this Report as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information contained in this Report, you should carefully consider, in consultation with your legal, financial and other professional advisors, the risks described below, as well as the risk factors and uncertainties discussed in our other public filings with the SEC under the caption Risk Factors in evaluating us and our business before making a decision regarding an investment in our securities.

The risks contained in this Report are not the only risks that we face. Additional risks that are not presently known, or that we presently deem to be immaterial, also could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, business and prospects. The trading price of our securities could decline due to the materialization of any of these risks, and our shareholders may lose all or part of their investment.

- 5 -

This Report also contains forward-looking statements that may not be realized as a result of certain factors, including, but not limited to, the risks described herein and in our other public filings with the SEC. Please refer to the section in this Report entitled Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements for additional information regarding forward-looking statements.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our use of debt financing could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risk that our cash flow will be insufficient to meet required principal and interest payments and the long-term risk that we will be unable to refinance our existing indebtedness, or that the terms of such refinancing will not be as favorable as the terms of existing indebtedness. Additionally, we may not be able to refinance borrowings at our unconsolidated subsidiaries on favorable terms or at all. If our debt cannot be paid, refinanced or extended, we may not be able to make distributions to shareholders at expected levels. Further, if prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of a refinancing result in higher interest rates or other restrictive financial covenants upon the refinancing, then such refinancing would adversely affect our cash flow and funds available for operation, development and distribution.

We are also subject to financial covenants under our existing debt instruments. Should we fail to comply with the covenants in our existing debt instruments, then we would not only be in breach under the applicable debt instruments but we would also likely be unable to borrow any further amounts under our other debt instruments, which could adversely affect our ability to fund operations. We also have incurred, and may incur in the future, indebtedness that bears interest at variable rates. Thus, if market interest rates increase, so will our debt expense, which could reduce our cash flow and our ability to make distributions to shareholders at expected levels.

Debt financing may not be available and equity issuances could be dilutive to our shareholders.

Our ability to execute our business strategy depends on our access to an appropriate blend of debt financing, including unsecured lines of credit and other forms of secured and unsecured debt, and equity financing, including common and preferred equity. Debt financing may not be available over a longer period of time in sufficient amounts, on favorable terms or at all. If we issue additional equity securities, instead of debt, to manage capital needs, the interests of our existing shareholders could be diluted.

Financial and other covenants under existing credit agreements could limit our flexibility and adversely affect our financial condition.

The terms of our various credit agreements and other indebtedness require that we comply with a number of customary financial and other covenants, such as maintaining debt service coverage and leverage ratios and maintaining insurance coverage. These covenants may limit our flexibility in our operations, and breaches of these covenants could result in defaults under the instruments governing the applicable indebtedness even if we have satisfied our payment obligations. If we are unable to refinance our indebtedness at maturity or meet our payment obligations, the amount of our distributable cash flow would be adversely affected.

If we are unable to generate sufficient capital and liquidity, then we may be unable to pursue future development projects and other strategic initiatives.

To complete our ongoing and planned development projects, and to pursue our other strategic initiatives, we must continue to generate sufficient capital and liquidity to fund those activities. To generate that capital and liquidity, we

Table of Contents

rely upon funds from our existing operations, as well as funds that we raise through our capital raising activities. In the event that we are unable to generate sufficient capital and liquidity to meet our long-term needs, or if we are unable to generate capital and liquidity on terms that are favorable to us, then we may not be able to pursue development projects, acquisitions, or our other long-term strategic initiatives.

- 6 -

Our stock price and trading volume may be volatile, which could result in substantial losses to our shareholders.

The market price of our common and preferred stock could change in ways that may or may not be related to our business, our industry or our operating performance and financial condition. In addition, the trading volume in our common stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. Some of the factors that could negatively affect our share price, or result in fluctuations in the price or trading volume of our common stock, include recent uncertainty in the markets, general market and economic conditions, as well as those factors described in these Risk Factors and in other reports that we file with the SEC.

Many of these factors are beyond our control, and we cannot predict their potential effects on the price of our common and preferred stock. If the market prices of our common and preferred stock decline, then our shareholders may be unable to resell their shares upon terms that are attractive to them. We cannot assure that the market price of our common and preferred stock will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future. In addition, the securities markets in general may experience considerable unexpected price and volume fluctuations.

We may issue debt and equity securities which are senior to our common stock and preferred stock as to distributions and in liquidation, which could negatively affect the value of our common and preferred stock.

In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources by entering into debt or debt-like financing that is unsecured or secured by certain of our assets, or issuing debt or equity securities, which could include issuances of secured or unsecured commercial paper, medium-term notes, senior notes, subordinated notes, preferred stock or common stock. In the event of our liquidation, our lenders and holders of our debt securities would receive a distribution of our available assets before distributions to the holders of our common stock and preferred stock. Our preferred stock has a preference over our common stock with respect to distributions and upon liquidation, which could further limit our ability to make distributions to our common shareholders. Any additional preferred stock that we may issue may have a preference over our common stock and existing series of preferred stock with respect to distributions and upon liquidation.

We may be required to seek commercial credit and issue debt securities to manage our capital needs. Because our decision to incur debt and issue securities in our future offerings will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offerings and debt financings. Further, market conditions could require us to accept less favorable terms for the issuance of our securities in the future. Thus, our shareholders will bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the value of their shares of common stock and diluting their interest in us.

Our use of joint ventures may limit our flexibility with jointly owned investments.

We currently have joint ventures that are not consolidated with our financial statements. We may develop and acquire properties in joint ventures with other persons or entities when circumstances warrant the use of these structures. Our participation in joint ventures is subject to the risks that:

We could become engaged in a dispute with any of our joint venture partners that might affect our ability to develop or operate a property;

Our joint venture partners may have different objectives than we have regarding the appropriate timing and terms of any sale or refinancing of properties;

Our joint venture partners may have competing interests in our markets that could create conflict of interest issues; and

Maturities of debt encumbering our jointly owned investments may not be able to be refinanced at all or on terms that are as favorable as the current terms.

- 7 -

Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry

Our net earnings available for investment or distribution to shareholders could decrease as a result of factors related to the ownership and operation of commercial real estate that are outside of our control.

Our business is subject to the risks incident to the ownership and operation of commercial real estate, many of which involve circumstances not within our control. Such risks include the following:

Changes in the general economic climate;

The availability of capital on favorable terms, or at all;

Increases in interest rates;

Local conditions such as oversupply of property or a reduction in demand;

Competition for tenants;

Changes in market rental rates;

Oversupply or reduced demand for space in the areas where our properties are located;

Delay or inability to collect rent from tenants who are bankrupt, insolvent or otherwise unwilling or unable to pay;

Difficulty in leasing or re-leasing space quickly or on favorable terms;

Costs associated with periodically renovating, repairing and reletting rental space;

Our ability to provide adequate maintenance and insurance on our properties;

Our ability to control variable operating costs;

Changes in government regulations; and

Potential liability under, and changes in, environmental, zoning, tax and other laws. Further, a significant portion of our costs, such as real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance costs and our debt service payments, are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a decrease in cash flow from our properties. Any one or more of these factors could result in a reduction in our net earnings available for investment or distribution to shareholders.

Many real estate costs are fixed, even if income from properties decreases.

Our financial results depend on leasing space in our real estate to tenants on terms favorable to us. Our income and funds available for distribution to our shareholders will decrease if a significant number of our tenants cannot meet their lease obligations to us or we are unable to lease properties on favorable terms. In addition, if a tenant does not pay its rent, we may not be able to enforce our rights as landlord without delays and we may incur substantial legal costs. Costs associated with real estate investment, such as real estate taxes and maintenance costs, generally are not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in income from the investment.

- 8 -

Our real estate development activities are subject to risks particular to development.

Although we have significantly reduced our development activities, we may still pursue select opportunities and have previously started developments that are currently in various stages of completion. These development activities generally require various government and other approvals, which we may not receive. In addition, we also are subject to the following risks associated with development activities:

Unsuccessful development opportunities could result in direct expenses to us;

Construction costs of a project may exceed original estimates, possibly making the project less profitable than originally estimated, or possibly unprofitable;

Time required to complete the construction of a project or to lease up the completed project may be greater than originally anticipated, thereby adversely affecting our cash flow and liquidity;

Occupancy rates and rents of a completed project may not be sufficient to make the project profitable;

Our ability to dispose of properties developed with the intent to sell or other properties we identify for sale could be impacted by the ability of prospective buyers to obtain financing given the current state of the credit markets; and

Favorable sources to fund our development activities may not be available. We may be unsuccessful in operating completed real estate projects.

We face the risk that the real estate projects we develop or acquire will not perform in accordance with our expectations. This risk exists because of factors such as the following:

Prices paid for acquired facilities are based upon a series of market judgments; and

Costs of any improvements required to bring an acquired facility up to standards to establish the market position intended for that facility might exceed budgeted costs.

Further, we can give no assurance that acquisition targets meeting our guidelines for quality and yield will be available, should we seek them.

We are exposed to the risks of defaults by tenants.

Any of our tenants may experience a downturn in their businesses that may weaken their financial condition. In the event of default or the insolvency of a significant number of our tenants, we may experience a substantial loss of

Table of Contents

rental revenue and/or delays in collecting rent and incur substantial costs in enforcing our rights as landlord. If a tenant files for bankruptcy protection, a court could allow the tenant to reject and terminate its lease with us. Our income and distributable cash flow would be adversely affected if a significant number of our tenants became unable to meet their obligations to us, became insolvent or declared bankruptcy.

We may be unable to renew leases or relet space.

When our tenants decide not to renew their leases upon their expiration, we may not be able to relet the space. Even if our tenants do renew or we are able to relet the space, the terms of renewal or reletting (including the cost of renovations, if necessary) may be less favorable than current lease terms. If we are unable to promptly renew the leases or relet the space, or if the rental rates upon such renewal or reletting are significantly lower than current rates, then our income and distributable cash flow would be adversely affected, especially if we were unable to lease a significant amount of the space vacated by tenants in our properties.

- 9 -

Our insurance coverage on our properties may be inadequate.

We maintain comprehensive insurance on each of our facilities, including property, liability, fire, flood and extended coverage. We believe this coverage is of the type and amount customarily obtained for real property. However, there are certain types of losses, generally of a catastrophic nature, such as earthquakes, hurricanes and floods or acts of war or terrorism that may be uninsurable or not economically insurable. We use our discretion when determining amounts, coverage limits and deductibles for insurance. These terms are determined based on retaining an acceptable level of risk at a reasonable cost. This may result in insurance coverage that in the event of a substantial loss would not be sufficient to pay the full current market value or current replacement cost of our lost investment. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors also may make it unfeasible to use insurance proceeds to replace a facility after it has been damaged or destroyed. Under such circumstances, the insurance proceeds we receive may not be adequate to restore our economic position in a property. If an insured loss occurred, we could lose both our investment in and anticipated profits and cash flow from a property. Although we believe our insurance is with highly rated providers, we are also subject to the risk that such providers may be unwilling or unable to pay our claims when made.

Acquired properties may expose us to unknown liability.

From time to time, we may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities. As a result, if a liability were asserted against us based upon ownership of those properties, we might have to pay substantial sums to settle or contest it, which could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow. Unknown liabilities with respect to acquired properties might include:

liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination;

claims by tenants, vendors or other persons against the former owners of the properties;

liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business; and

claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

We could be exposed to significant environmental liabilities as a result of conditions of which we currently are not aware.

As an owner and operator of real property, we may be liable under various federal, state and local laws for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances released on or in our property. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release of the hazardous substances. In addition, we could have greater difficulty in selling real estate on which hazardous substances were present or in obtaining borrowings using such real estate as collateral. It is our general policy to have Phase I environmental audits performed for all of our properties and land by qualified environmental consultants. These Phase I environmental audits have not revealed any environmental liability that would have a material adverse effect on our business. However, a Phase I environmental audit does not involve invasive procedures such as soil sampling or

ground water analysis, and we cannot be sure that the Phase I environmental audits did not fail to reveal a significant environmental liability or that a prior owner did not create a material environmental condition on our properties or land which has not yet been discovered. We could also incur environmental liability as a result of future uses or conditions of such real estate or changes in applicable environmental laws.

- 10 -

Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

If we were to cease to qualify as a REIT, we and our shareholders would lose significant tax benefits.

We intend to continue to operate so as to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). Qualification as a REIT provides significant tax advantages to us and our shareholders. However, in order for us to continue to qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy numerous requirements established under highly technical and complex Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. Satisfaction of these requirements also depends on various factual circumstances not entirely within our control. The fact that we hold our assets through an operating partnership and its subsidiaries further complicates the application of the REIT requirements. Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize our REIT status. Although we believe that we can continue to operate so as to qualify as a REIT, we cannot offer any assurance that we will continue to do so or that legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not significantly change the qualification requirements or the federal income tax consequences of qualification. If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it would have the following effects:

We would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to shareholders and would be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates;

Unless we were entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we ceased to qualify as a REIT;

Our net earnings available for investment or distribution to our shareholders would decrease due to the additional tax liability for the year or years involved; and

We would no longer be required to make any distributions to shareholders in order to qualify as a REIT.

As such, failure to qualify as a REIT would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our securities.

REIT distribution requirements limit the amount of cash we have available for other business purposes, including amounts that we need to fund our future capital needs.

To maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Code, we must annually distribute to our shareholders at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income, excluding net capital gains. We intend to continue to make distributions to our shareholders to comply with the 90% distribution requirement. However, this requirement limits our ability to accumulate capital for use for other business purposes. If we do not have sufficient cash or other liquid assets to meet the distribution requirements, we may have to borrow funds or sell properties on adverse terms in order to meet the distribution requirements. If we fail to make a required distribution, we would cease to qualify as a REIT.

U.S. federal income tax treatment of REITs and investments in REITs may change, which may result in the loss of our tax benefits of operating as a REIT.

Table of Contents

The present U.S. federal income tax treatment of a REIT and an investment in a REIT may be modified by legislative, judicial or administrative action at any time. Revisions in U.S. federal income tax laws and interpretations of these laws could adversely affect us and the tax consequences of an investment in our common shares.

- 11 -

We are subject to certain provisions that could discourage change-of-control transactions, which may reduce the likelihood of our shareholders receiving a control premium for their shares.

Indiana anti-takeover legislation and certain provisions in our governing documents, as we discuss below, may discourage potential acquirers from pursuing a change-of-control transaction with us. As a result, our shareholders may be less likely to receive a control premium for their shares.

Unissued Preferred Stock. Our charter permits our board of directors to classify unissued preferred stock by setting the rights and preferences of the shares at the time of issuance. This power enables our board to adopt a shareholder rights plan, also known as a poison pill. Although we have repealed our previously existing poison pill and our current board of directors has adopted a policy not to issue preferred stock as an anti-takeover measure, our board can change this policy at any time. The adoption of a poison pill would discourage a potential bidder from acquiring a significant position in the company without the approval of our board.

Business-Combination Provisions of Indiana Law. We have not opted out of the business-combination provisions of the Indiana Business Corporation Law. As a result, potential bidders may have to negotiate with our board of directors before acquiring 10% of our stock. Without securing board approval of the proposed business combination before crossing the 10% ownership threshold, a bidder would not be permitted to complete a business combination for five years after becoming a 10% shareholder. Even after the five-year period, a business combination with the significant shareholder would require a fair price as defined in the Indiana Business Corporation Law or the approval of a majority of the disinterested shareholders.

Control-Share-Acquisition Provisions of Indiana Law. We have not opted out of the provisions of the Indiana Business Corporation Law regarding acquisitions of control shares. Therefore, those who acquire a significant block (at least 20%) of our shares may only vote a portion of their shares unless our other shareholders vote to accord full voting rights to the acquiring person. Moreover, if the other shareholders vote to give full voting rights with respect to the control shares and the acquiring person has acquired a majority of our outstanding shares, the other shareholders would be entitled to special dissenters rights.

Supermajority Voting Provisions. Our charter prohibits business combinations or significant disposition transactions with a holder of 10% of our shares unless:

The holders of 80% of our outstanding shares of capital stock approve the transaction;

The transaction has been approved by three-fourths of those directors who served on the board before the shareholder became a 10% owner; or

The significant shareholder complies with the fair price provisions of our charter. Among the transactions with large shareholders requiring the supermajority shareholder approval are dispositions of assets with a value greater than or equal to \$1,000,000 and business combinations.

Operating Partnership Provisions. The limited partnership agreement of DRLP contains provisions that could discourage change-of-control transactions, including a requirement that holders of at least 90% of the outstanding partnership units held by us and other unit holders approve:

Any voluntary sale, exchange, merger, consolidation or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of DRLP in one or more transactions other than a disposition occurring upon a financing or refinancing of DRLP;

Our merger, consolidation or other business combination with another entity unless after the transaction substantially all of the assets of the surviving entity are contributed to DRLP in exchange for units;

- 12 -

Our transfer of our interests in DRLP other than to one of our wholly owned subsidiaries; and

Any reclassification or recapitalization or change of outstanding shares of our common stock other than certain changes in par value, stock splits, stock dividends or combinations.

We are dependent on key personnel.

Our executive officers and other senior officers have a significant role in the success of our Company. Our ability to retain our management group or to attract suitable replacements should any members of the management group leave our Company is dependent on the competitive nature of the employment market. The loss of services from key members of the management group or a limitation in their availability could adversely impact our financial condition and cash flow. Further, such a loss could be negatively perceived in the capital markets.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

We have no unresolved comments with the SEC staff regarding our periodic or current reports under the Exchange Act.

Item 2. Properties

Product Review

As of December 31, 2009, we own interests in a diversified portfolio of 762 commercial properties encompassing more than 135.4 million net rentable square feet (including 211 jointly controlled in-service properties with more than 43.2 million square feet, four consolidated properties under development with approximately 663,000 square feet and three jointly controlled properties under development with more than 957,000 square feet).

Industrial Properties: We own interests in 427 industrial properties encompassing more than 95.5 million square feet (71% of total square feet). These properties primarily consist of bulk warehouses (industrial warehouse/distribution centers with clear ceiling heights of 20 feet or more), but also include service center properties (also known as flex buildings or light industrial, having 12-18 foot clear ceiling heights and a combination of drive-up and dock-height loading access). Of these properties, 249 buildings with more than 56.4 million square feet are consolidated and 178 buildings with approximately 39.1 million square feet are jointly controlled.

Office Properties: We own interests in 298 office buildings totaling approximately 35.4 million square feet (26% of total square feet). These properties include primarily suburban office properties. Of these properties, 267 buildings with approximately 31.5 million square feet are consolidated and 31 buildings with more than 3.9 million square feet are jointly controlled.

Other Properties: We own interests in 37 medical office and retail buildings totaling approximately 4.6 million square feet (3% of total square feet). Of these properties, 32 buildings with approximately 3.4 million square feet are consolidated and five buildings with more than 1.2 million square feet are jointly controlled.

Land: We own, including through ownership interests in unconsolidated joint ventures, approximately 5,000 acres of land and control an additional 1,900 acres through purchase options.

Property Descriptions

The following tables represent the geographic highlights of consolidated and jointly controlled in-service properties in our primary markets.

Consolidated Properties

			Square Feet				Percent of
						Annual Net	Annual Net
					Percent of	Effective	Effective
	Industrial	Office	Other	Overall	Overall	Rent (1)	Rent
Primary Market							
Indianapolis	9,429,823	2,900,572	864,280	13,194,675	14.6%	\$ 82,715,410	13.4%
Atlanta	6,197,930	4,088,053	389,055	10,675,038	11.8%	71,813,138	11.6%
Cincinnati	4,048,418	4,787,195	419,547	9,255,160	10.2%	66,072,614	10.7%
Chicago	4,554,326	2,796,084	73,255	7,423,665	8.2%	54,963,984	8.9%
Raleigh	2,101,449	3,061,022	20,061	5,182,532	5.7%	50,489,512	8.2%
Columbus	3,443,581	3,249,646	73,433	6,766,660	7.5%	47,673,497	7.7%
St. Louis	2,301,593	2,933,292	-	5,234,885	5.8%	43,349,627	7.0%
Central Florida	3,360,479	1,177,465	475,072	5,013,016	5.5%	41,117,234	6.6%
Nashville	3,119,292	1,366,676	120,860	4,606,828	5.1%	33,696,063	5.4%
Minneapolis	3,548,215	1,048,606	-	4,596,821	5.1%	26,326,268	4.2%
Dallas	5,379,082	645,983	279,127	6,304,192	7.0%	23,557,727	3.8%
Savannah	6,784,550	-	-	6,784,550	7.5%	20,419,645	3.3%
South Florida	-	866,285	-	866,285	1.0%	15,397,060	2.5%
Cleveland	-	1,324,451	-	1,324,451	1.4%	12,375,386	2.0%
Washington DC	78,560	649,076	-	727,636	0.8%	8,447,808	1.4%
Baltimore	462,070	-	289,855	751,925	0.8%	8,290,510	1.3%
Houston	835,540	159,175	-	994,715	1.1%	7,202,042	1.2%
Norfolk	466,000	-	-	466,000	0.5%	2,290,177	0.4%
Austin	-	-	96,829	96,829	0.1%	542,359	0.1%
Phoenix	194,899	-	-	194,899	0.2%	68,603	0.0%
Other (2)	120,000	-	-	120,000	0.1%	2,160,000	0.3%
Total	56,425,807	31,053,581	3,101,374	90,580,762	100.0%	\$ 618,968,664	100.0%
	62.3%	34.3%	3.4%	100.0%			

Jointly Controlled Properties

Square Feet							Percent of
						Annual Net	Annual Net
					Percent of	Effective	Effective
	Industrial	Office	Other	Overall	Overall	Rent (1)	Rent
Primary Market							
Indianapolis	11,545,594	124,878	-	11,670,472	27.0%	\$ 13,710,999	18.3%
Atlanta	2,593,566	-	-	2,593,566	6.0%	4,194,046	5.6%
Cincinnati	7,305,878	-	206,315	7,512,193	17.4%	11,328,697	15.2%
Chicago	1,933,574	-	-	1,933,574	4.5%	3,232,695	4.3%
Raleigh	-	300,389	-	300,389	0.7%	1,406,265	1.9%
Columbus	3,002,156	-	-	3,002,156	6.9%	3,007,359	4.0%
St. Louis	1,635,735	-	104,954	1,740,689	4.0%	2,742,141	3.7%
Central Florida	908,422	624,796	-	1,533,218	3.5%	4,196,242	5.6%
Nashville	-	180,147	-	180,147	0.4%	597,195	0.8%
Minneapolis	-	-	382,170	382,170	0.9%	3,099,898	4.2%
Dallas	8,080,278	-	-	8,080,278	18.7%	10,372,295	13.9%

Washington DC	658,322	2,146,275	-	2,804,597	6.5%	15,109,744	20.2%
Houston	-	89,750	-	89,750	0.2%	257,764	0.3%
Phoenix	1,425,062	-	-	1,425,062	3.3%	1,529,334	2.0%
Total	39,088,587	3,466,235	693,439	43,248,261	100.0%	6 74,784,674	100.0%
	90.4%	8.0%	1.6%	100.0%			

-	14	-
---	----	---

	Occupancy %							
	Consolidated Properties				Joint	Jointly Controlled Properties		
	Industrial	Office	Other	Overall	Industrial	Office	Other	Overall
Primary Market								
Indianapolis	95.6%	90.2%	83.8%	93.7%	88.2%	94.8%	-	88.3%
Atlanta	93.4%	86.2%	91.7%	90.6%	84.0%	-	-	84.0%
Cincinnati	74.2%	83.0%	96.3%	79.7%	90.4%	-	100.0%	90.6%
Chicago	95.6%	88.1%	92.3%	92.7%	98.1%	-	-	98.1%
Raleigh	95.5%	92.7%	21.8%	93.5%	-	39.4%	-	39.4%
Columbus	97.5%	85.7%	99.7%	91.8%	95.7%	-	-	95.7%
St. Louis	92.8%	79.9%	-	85.6%	71.8%	-	100.0%	73.5%
Central Florida	86.7%	83.5%	93.4%	86.6%	100.0%	85.7%	-	94.2%
Nashville	91.0%	87.1%	56.6%	88.9%	-	100.0%	-	100.0%
Minneapolis	89.7%	65.1%	-	84.1%	-	-	54.1%	54.1%
Dallas	72.6%	75.9%	54.6%	72.2%	75.9%	-	-	75.9%
Savannah	88.5%	-	-	88.5%	-	-	-	-
South Florida	-	94.2%	-	94.2%	-	-	-	-
Cleveland	-	75.7%	-	75.7%	-	-	-	-
Washington DC	91.4%	67.5%	-	70.1%	98.7%	96.8%	-	97.2%
Baltimore	100.0%	-	87.6%	95.2%	-	-	-	-
Houston	96.6%	93.1%	-	96.1%	-	100.0%	-	100.0%
Norfolk	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-
Austin	-	-	26.5%	26.5%	-	-	-	-
Phoenix	11.8%	-	-	11.8%	100.0%	-	-	100.0%
Other (2)	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-
Total	89.4%	84.7%	83.0%	87.6%	87.0%	90.0%	74.7%	87.1%

(1) Represents the average annual rental property revenue due from tenants in occupancy as of December 31, 2009, excluding additional rent due as operating expense reimbursements, landlord allowances for operating expenses and percentage rents. Joint venture properties are shown at our ownership percentage.

(2) Represents properties not located in our primary markets.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not subject to any material pending legal proceedings, other than routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. Our management expects that these ordinary routine legal proceedings will be covered by insurance and does not expect these legal proceedings to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

Item 4. Reserved

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is listed for trading on the NYSE under the symbol DRE. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of our common stock for the periods indicated and the dividend paid per share during each such period. As of February 22, 2010, there were 9,459 record holders of our common stock.

Table of Contents

		2009			2008	
Quarter Ended	High	Low	Dividend	High	Low	Dividend
December 31	\$ 12.90	\$ 10.84	\$.170	\$ 24.12	\$ 3.85	\$.485
September 30	13.71	7.45	.170	27.02	20.62	.485
June 30	10.55	5.16	.170	27.05	21.94	.480
March 31	12.25	4.07	.250	26.01	20.56	.480

- 15 -

On January 27, 2010, we declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$.17 per share, payable on February 26, 2010, to common shareholders of record on February 12, 2010.

A summary of the tax characterization of the dividends paid per common share for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 follows:

2009	2008	2007
\$ 0.76	\$ 1.93	\$ 1.91
69.0%	39.3%	63.1%
26.4%	27.3%	0%
4.6%	33.4%	36.9%
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	\$ 0.76 69.0% 26.4% 4.6%	\$ 0.76 \$ 1.93 69.0% 39.3% 26.4% 27.3% 4.6% 33.4%

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The information required by this Item concerning securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is set forth in or incorporated herein by reference to Part III, Item 12 of this Report.

Sales of Unregistered Securities

We did not sell any of our securities during the year ended December 31, 2009 that were not registered under the Securities Act.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

From time to time, we repurchase our securities under a repurchase program that initially was approved by the board of directors and publicly announced in October 2001 (the Repurchase Program). In October 2008, the board of directors adopted a resolution (the October 2008 resolution) that reaffirmed management s authority to repurchase common shares under the Repurchase Program and also amended the Repurchase Program to permit the repurchase of outstanding series of preferred shares, as well as any outstanding series of debt securities. The October 2008 resolution, which expired in October 2009, also limited management s authority to repurchase a maximum of \$75.0 million of common shares, \$75.0 million of debt securities and \$25.0 million of preferred shares. In December 2008, the board of directors granted management further authority (the December 2008 resolution), in addition to the previous \$75.0 million authorization, to repurchase any outstanding debt securities maturing through December 31, 2011. The December 2008 resolution expires on March 17, 2010. Under the Repurchase Program, we also execute share repurchases on an ongoing basis associated with certain employee elections under our compensation and benefit programs.

The following table shows the share repurchase activity for each of the three months in the quarter ended December 31, 2009:

Total Number of

Average Price Paid per Share Total Number of

	Shares Purchased (1)		Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or
			Programs
October	5,823	\$ 12.06	5,823
November	11,411	\$ 11.12	11,411
December	7,630	\$ 11.73	7,630
Total	24,864	\$ 11.53	24,864

(1) Includes 22,786 common shares repurchased under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan and 2,078 common shares repurchased through a Rabbi Trust under the Executives Deferred Compensation Plan.

- 16 -

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following sets forth selected financial and operating information on a historical basis for each of the years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2009. The following information should be read in conjunction with Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data included in this Form 10-K (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Results of Operations:					
Revenues:					
Rental and related revenue	\$ 894,580	\$ 857,559	\$ 810,547	\$ 755,447	\$ 606,932
General contractor and service fee revenue	449,509	434,624	311,548	330,195	400,322
Total Revenues from Continuing Operations	\$ 1,344,089	\$ 1,292,183	\$ 1,122,095	\$ 1,085,642	\$ 1,007,254
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (277,065)	\$ 91,528	\$ 164,435	\$ 159,183	\$ 143,008
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ (333,601)	\$ 50,408	\$ 211,942	\$ 144,643	\$ 309,183
Per Share Data:					
Basic income (loss) per common share: Continuing operations	\$ (1.70)	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.40
Discontinued operations	\$ (1.70) 0.03	\$ 0.20 0.13	\$ 0.00 0.91	\$ 0.03 0.44	\$ 0.40 1.72
Diluted income (loss) per common share:	0.05	0.15	0.91	0.44	1.7.
Continuing operations	(1.70)	0.20	0.60	0.63	0.4
Discontinued operations	0.03	0.13	0.91	0.43	1.7
Dividends paid per common share	0.76	1.93	1.91	1.89	1.8
Dividends paid per common share special	-	-	-	-	1.0
Weighted average common shares outstanding	201,206	146,915	139,255	134,883	141,50
Weighted average common shares and potential dilutive securities	201,206	154,553	149,250	149,156	155,80
Balance Sheet Data (at December 31):					
Total Assets	\$ 7,304,279	\$ 7,690,883	\$ 7,661,981	\$ 7,238,595	\$ 5,647,56
Total Debt	3,854,032	4,276,990	4,288,436	4,074,979	2,600,65
Total Preferred Equity	1,016,625	1,016,625	744,000	876,250	657,25
Total Shareholders' Equity	2,925,345	2,844,019	2,778,502	2,537,802	2,452,79
Total Common Shares Outstanding	224,029	148,420	146,175	133,921	134,69
Other Data:					
Consolidated basic Funds from Operations attributable to common					

shareholders (1)

\$ 12.854 \$ 369.698 **\$** 378.282 **\$** 337.556 **\$** 341.189 (1) Funds From Operations (FFO) is used by industry analysts and investors as a supplemental operating performance measure of an equity real estate investment trust (REIT) like Duke. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) created FFO as a supplemental measure of REIT operating performance that excludes historical cost depreciation, among other items, from net income determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted United States of America (GAAP). FFO is a non-GAAP financial measure. The most comparable GAAP measure is net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders. Consolidated basic FFO attributable to common shareholders should not be considered as a substitute for net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or any other measures derived in accordance with GAAP and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. FFO is calculated in accordance with the definition that was adopted by the Board of Governors of NAREIT.

Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real

estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry analysts and investors have considered presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. FFO, as defined by NAREIT, represents GAAP net income (loss), excluding extraordinary items as defined under GAAP and gains or losses from sales of previously depreciated real estate assets, plus certain non-cash items such as real estate asset depreciation and amortization, and after similar adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

Management believes that the use of consolidated basic FFO attributable to common shareholders, combined with net income (which remains the primary measure of performance), improves the understanding of operating results of REITs among the investing public and makes comparisons of REIT operating results more meaningful. Management believes that, by excluding gains or losses related to sales of previously depreciated real estate assets and excluding real estate asset depreciation and amortization, investors and analysts are able to readily identify the operating results of the long-term assets that form the core of a REIT s activity and assist in comparing these operating results between periods or as compared to different companies.

See reconciliation of FFO to GAAP net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders under the caption Year in Review under Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations .

- 17 -

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Business Overview

We are a self-administered and self-managed REIT that began operations through a related entity in 1972. As of December 31, 2009, we:

Owned or jointly controlled 762 industrial, office, medical office and other properties, of which 755 properties with more than 133.8 million square feet are in service and seven properties with more than 1.6 million square feet are under development. The 755 in-service properties are comprised of 544 consolidated properties with approximately 90.6 million square feet and 211 jointly controlled properties with more than 43.2 million square feet. The seven properties under development consist of four consolidated properties with approximately 663,000 square feet and three jointly controlled properties with more than 957,000 square feet.

Owned, including through ownership interests in unconsolidated joint ventures, approximately 5,000 acres of land and controlled an additional 1,900 acres through purchase options.

We refined our business strategy in 2009, which includes planned reductions in undeveloped land inventory in light of lower anticipated development volume and the targeting of non-strategic property dispositions. These decisions further align our focus on markets that we believe offer the best long-term prospects for rental rate growth and overall demand with an emphasis on industrial and medical office properties. Additionally, we no longer plan to develop properties with the intent to sell them at or near completion.

Through our Service Operations reportable segment, we have historically developed or acquired properties with the intent to sell (hereafter referred to as Build-for-Sale properties). Build-for-Sale properties were generally identified as such prior to construction commencement and were sold within a relatively short time after being placed in service. Build-for-Sale properties, which are no longer part of our operating strategy, did not represent a significant component of our operations in 2009.

Our Service Operations reportable segment, which includes our taxable REIT subsidiary, also provides the following services for our properties and for certain properties owned by third parties and joint ventures:

Property leasing; Property management; Asset management; Construction; Development; and Other tenant-related services.

Capital Strategy

Our strategy is to actively manage the components of our capital structure, in conjunction with the execution of our overall operating strategy, while continuing to maintain investment grade ratings from our credit rating agencies and to ultimately improve the key metrics that drive these credit ratings.

In support of our capital strategy, as well as our overall business strategy, we employ an asset disposition program to sell non-strategic real estate assets, which generates proceeds that can be recycled into new properties that better fit our growth objectives in industrial and medical office properties or can be utilized to reduce our leverage.

We seek to reduce leverage and strengthen our balance sheet by maintaining a balanced and flexible capital structure which includes: (i) extending and sequencing the maturity dates of our outstanding debt obligations; (ii) borrowing primarily at fixed rates by targeting a variable rate component of total debt less than 20%; (iii) issuing common equity from time-to-time to maintain appropriate leverage parameters; and (iv) generating proceeds from the sale of non-strategic properties. By focusing on strengthening our balance sheet, we expect to be well-positioned for future growth.

- 18 -

Operational Objectives

Our primary operational objective is to drive operational efficiencies, by maximizing cash from operations and Funds From Operations (FFO), through (i) maintaining and increasing property occupancy and rental rates through the management of our portfolio of existing properties; (ii) selectively developing and acquiring new properties for rental operations in our existing markets when economic conditions improve or when accretive returns are present; (iii) using our construction expertise to act as a general contractor or construction manager in our existing markets and other domestic markets on a fee basis; and (iv) providing a full line of real estate services to our tenants and to third parties.

Year in Review

Overall, the economy and business fundamentals experienced substantial deterioration in 2009, especially in the first six months of the year. The most significant factor driving operating decisions and results was the lack of available capital in the marketplace.

With a focus on securing our future liquidity position to withstand the continuing challenges in the economy, and to be positioned for future growth, we demonstrated our ability to access multiple capital sources and completed several major financing transactions in 2009. These financing transactions, along with asset dispositions completed during the year, generated over \$1.6 billion of new capital in 2009. Major financing transactions included a common equity issuance that generated \$575.0 million of proceeds, the issuance of \$500.0 million of unsecured notes, \$290.4 million of additional borrowings on secured loans and the renewal of our unsecured line of credit at a borrowing capacity of \$850.0 million through February 2013.

The refinement of our business strategy, as well as the deep recession and financial market instability that adversely affected real estate values, caused us to recognize asset impairment charges of \$303.6 million in 2009 and \$19.7 million in 2008. Despite the recessionary climate and lack of available capital for buyers, we were able to successfully execute several land and building disposition transactions in 2009 that generated \$300.9 million in gross proceeds.

The economic recession and general turmoil in the financial markets that began in late 2007 continued to negatively impact the real estate industry throughout 2009. There continues to be a tremendous oversupply of space across all product types and in all markets in the commercial real estate industry. As a result, many owners are willing to offer significant concessions to compete for potential tenants, which is driving down rental rates and resulting in large capital expenditures in many cases. Leasing activity has been slower than anticipated, a reflection of the broader economy, which led to a slight decline in our total occupancy.

Net loss attributable to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$333.6 million, or \$1.67 per share (diluted), compared to net income of \$50.4 million, or \$0.33 per share (diluted) for the year ended 2008. The loss attributable to common shareholders was driven primarily by \$303.6 million of non-cash asset impairment charges recognized during the year and a \$49.2 million decrease in total gains on land and building sales. Additionally, we incurred a \$21.8 million increase in interest expense that was driven by a decrease in interest costs capitalized to development projects. FFO attributable to common shareholders totaled \$12.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$369.7 million for 2008, with the decrease resulting from the same factors that drove the loss attributable to common shareholders in 2009.

Industry analysts and investors use FFO as a supplemental operating performance measure of an equity real estate investment trust (REIT). The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) created FFO as a supplemental measure of REIT operating performance that excludes historical cost depreciation, among other items,

Table of Contents

from net income determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). FFO is a non-GAAP financial measure. The most comparable GAAP measure is net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders. Consolidated basic FFO attributable to common shareholders should not be considered as a substitute for net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or any other measures derived in accordance with GAAP and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. FFO is calculated in accordance with the definition that was adopted by the Board of Governors of NAREIT.

- 19 -

Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry analysts and investors have considered presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. FFO, as defined by NAREIT, represents GAAP net income (loss), excluding extraordinary items as defined under GAAP and gains or losses from sales of previously depreciated real estate assets, plus certain non-cash items such as real estate asset depreciation and amortization, and after similar adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

Management believes that the use of consolidated basic FFO attributable to common shareholders, combined with net income (which remains the primary measure of performance), improves the understanding of operating results of REITs among the investing public and makes comparisons of REIT operating results more meaningful. Management believes that, by excluding gains or losses related to sales of previously depreciated real estate assets and excluding real estate asset depreciation and amortization, investors and analysts are able to readily identify the operating results of the long-term assets that form the core of a REIT s activity and assist in comparing these operating results between periods or as compared to different companies. The following table shows a reconciliation of net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders to the calculation of consolidated basic FFO attributable to common shareholders for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Net income (loss) attributable to common			
shareholders	\$ (333,601)	\$ 50,408	\$ 211,942
Adjustments:			
Depreciation and amortization	340,126	314,952	277,691
Company share of joint venture depreciation			
and amortization	36,966	38,321	26,948
Earnings from depreciable property sales			
wholly owned	(19,123)	(16,961)	(121,072)
Earnings from depreciable property sales			
share of joint venture	-	(495)	(6,244)
Noncontrolling interest share of adjustments	(11,514)	(16,527)	(10,983)
Consolidated basic Funds From Operations			
attributable to common shareholders	\$ 12,854	\$ 369,698	\$ 378,282

During 2009, we continued to execute within our core areas of competency, while planning for the longer term effects of the economic recession. Highlights of our operating activities are as follows:

We made outright sales, or completed partial sales to unconsolidated joint ventures, of 15 wholly owned buildings for \$267.0 million of gross proceeds and also generated \$33.9 million of gross proceeds from the divestiture of non-strategic land parcels.

As a result of refinements to our strategy, combined to a lesser extent with a market-wide decline in asset values due to the economic downturn, we recognized \$303.6 million of impairment charges on land, buildings, investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and other real estate related assets during 2009.

We have continued to limit our new development starts to selected projects in markets or product types expected to have strong future rent growth and demand or projects that have significant pre-leasing. The total estimated cost of our consolidated properties under construction was \$122.2 million at December 31, 2009 with \$91.9 million of such costs incurred through that date. Our total estimated cost for jointly controlled properties under construction was \$318.4 million at December 31, 2009 with \$126.5 million of costs incurred through that date.

- 20 -

The occupancy level for our in-service portfolio of consolidated properties decreased from 88.1% at December 31, 2008 to 87.6% at December 31, 2009. The decrease was due to the continuation of the 2008 trend of recently completed speculative buildings being placed in service and not being fully leased, as well as the impact of the economy on users of office and bulk industrial space.

Despite the continued challenges presented by the overall economy, total leasing activity for our consolidated properties totaled 15.3 million square feet in 2009 compared to 14.7 million square feet in 2008.

Total leasing activity for our consolidated properties in 2009 totaled 8.8 million square feet of renewals, which represented an 82.0% success rate and attained a 2.2% growth in net effective rents.

We engaged in a number of financing activities during 2009 to adapt to conditions in the credit markets. Highlights of our key financing activities in 2009 are as follows:

In February 2009, we repaid \$124.0 million of 6.83% corporate unsecured debt at its scheduled maturity date.

In April 2009, we issued 75.2 million shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$551.4 million.

During 2009, we borrowed a total of \$290.4 million from six secured debt financings that are secured by 35 rental properties. The secured debt bears interest at a weighted average rate of 7.5%. The composition of these properties as far as product type, geographic location, and overall operating metrics are diverse and similar to our overall portfolio of unsecured properties.

In August 2009, we issued \$500.0 million of unsecured notes in two equal tranches. The first \$250.0 million of the unsecured notes will mature in February 2015 and bear interest at an effective rate of 7.50% while the other \$250.0 million of the notes mature in August 2019 and bear interest at an effective rate of 8.38%.

During 2009, we repurchased certain of our outstanding series of unsecured notes scheduled to mature in 2009 through 2011. In total, we paid \$500.9 million for unsecured notes that had a face value of \$542.9 million, recognizing a net gain on extinguishment of approximately \$27.5 million after considering the write-off of unamortized deferred financing costs, discounts and other accounting adjustments. Partially offsetting the aforementioned gains was a \$6.8 million charge to write-off fees paid for a cancelled secured transaction.

In order to strengthen our liquidity position going forward and to preserve cash for future debt maturities, in January 2009 the board of directors reduced our annual dividend from \$1.94 per share to \$1.00 per share. Our dividend was further reduced in the second quarter of 2009 to \$0.68 per share on an annualized basis which, as a result of the issuance of additional shares in the April 2009 common stock offering, was necessary for us to maintain our planned level of aggregate dividend payments for 2009.

Key Performance Indicators

Our operating results depend primarily upon rental income from our industrial, office, medical office and retail properties (collectively referred to as Rental Operations). The following discussion highlights the areas of Rental Operations that we consider critical drivers of future revenues.

Occupancy Analysis: As discussed above, our ability to maintain high occupancy rates is a principal driver of maintaining and increasing rental revenue from continuing operations. The following table sets forth occupancy information regarding our in-service portfolio of consolidated rental properties as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively (in thousands, except percentage data):

		otal e Feet	Percer Total Squ		Percent O	ccupied
Туре	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
Industrial	56,426	56,529	62.3%	62.7%	89.4%	88.5%
Office	31,054	31,965	34.3%	35.5%	84.7%	87.2%
Other (Medical Office and Retail)	3,101	1,607	3.4%	1.8%	83.0%	88.9%
Total	90,581	90,101	100.0%	100.0%	87.6%	88.1%

The decrease in occupancy at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 is primarily the result of developments that were not fully leased being placed in service during 2008 and 2009, as well as the effect of the economic downturn on our tenant base. Certain of the developments placed in service during 2008 and 2009 were built with the intention to sell shortly after completion but, due to the deterioration in economic conditions, were not sold and are being held as rental properties for the foreseeable future. Our ongoing ability to maintain favorable occupancy levels may be adversely affected by the continued effects of the economic recession on current and prospective tenants and such a reduction in the level of occupancy may have an adverse impact on revenues from rental operations.

Lease Expiration and Renewals: Our ability to maintain and improve occupancy rates primarily depends upon our continuing ability to re-lease expiring space. The following table reflects our consolidated in-service portfolio lease expiration schedule by property type as of December 31, 2009. The table indicates square footage and annualized net effective rents (based on December 2009 rental revenue) under expiring leases (in thousands, except percentage data):

	Total Portfolio		Industrial		Office		Other		
	Square	Ann. Rent	% of	Square	Ann. Rent	Square	Ann. Rent	Square	Ann. Rent
Year of Expiration	Feet	Revenue	Revenue	Feet	Revenue	Feet	Revenue	Feet	Revenue
2010	6,709	\$ 47,986	8%	4,191	\$ 16,882	2,509	\$ 30,965	9	\$ 139
2011	9,886	71,512	12%	6,583	28,557	3,227	41,635	76	1,320
2012	8,765	64,182	10%	5,517	22,346	3,175	40,529	73	1,307
2013	11,268	86,216	14%	7,112	28,258	4,075	56,562	81	1,396
2014	8,987	62,207	10%	6,210	24,395	2,611	34,970	166	2,842
2015	8,699	54,902	9%	6,371	25,096	2,311	29,443	17	363
2016	5,941	36,206	6%	4,365	15,323	1,342	17,912	234	2,971
2017	4,760	37,965	6%	3,069	12,744	1,247	17,511	444	7,710
2018	3,273	41,166	7%	1,281	6,476	1,414	20,924	578	13,766
2019	3,333	40,873	7%	1,280	6,132	1,746	26,854	307	7,887
2020 and Thereafter	7,702	75,754	11%	4,478	20,820	2,634	41,214	590	13,720

Edgar Filing: DUKE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K					
	79,323 \$ 618,969	100% 50,457 \$ 207,029	26,291 \$ 358,519	2,575 \$ 53,421	
Total Portfolio Square Feet	90,581	56,426	31,054	3,101	
Percent Occupied	87.6%	89.4%	84.7%	83.0%	

We renewed 82.0% and 71.3% of our leases up for renewal totaling approximately 8.8 million and 5.5 million square feet in 2009 and 2008, respectively. We attained 2.2% growth in net effective rents on these renewals during 2009, compared to 1.4% in 2008. Growth in net effective rent in 2008 was negatively affected by one significant early lease renewal and would have been 5.7% if that renewal were excluded. Our lease renewal percentages over the past three years have remained relatively consistent at a 70-80% success rate. The effects of current economic conditions upon our base of existing tenants may adversely affect our ability to continue to achieve this renewal rate.

- 22 -

Future Development: Another source of our earnings growth is our wholly owned and joint venture development activities. We expect to generate future earnings from Rental Operations income as the development properties are placed in service and leased. Considering the current state of the economy and the risks presented by constraints on our ability to access capital on favorable terms, we have reduced the level of our new development activities pending improvements in the economy and capital markets and are focused on the lease-up of recently completed and under development projects.

We had 1.6 million square feet of property under development with total estimated costs upon completion of \$440.6 million at December 31, 2009, compared to 4.0 million square feet of property under development with total estimated costs of \$729.2 million at December 31, 2008. The square footage and estimated costs include both wholly owned and joint venture development activity at 100%.

The following table summarizes our properties under development as of December 31, 2009 (in thousands, except percentage data):

			Total		
			Estimated	Total	Amount
	Square	Percent	Project	Incurred	Remaining
Ownership Type	Feet	Leased	Costs	to Date	to be Spent
Consolidated properties	663	97%	\$ 122,224	\$ 91,871	\$ 30,353
Joint venture properties	957	51%	318,405	126,542	191,863
Total	1,620	70%	\$ 440,629	\$ 218,413	\$ 222,216

Acquisition and Disposition Activity: Gross sales proceeds related to the dispositions of wholly owned undeveloped land and buildings totaled \$300.9 million in 2009, compared to \$473.6 million in 2008. Our share of proceeds from sales of properties within unconsolidated joint ventures in which we have less than a 100% interest totaled \$35.1 million in 2008, and we had no such dispositions in 2009.

We intend to continue to pursue disposition opportunities for non-strategic properties and land in accordance with our strategy. We believe that the number of dispositions we execute in 2010 will be impacted by the ability of prospective buyers to obtain favorable financing or pay cash, given the current state of the economy and credit markets in particular.

In 2009, we acquired \$32.1 million of income producing properties comprised of three industrial real estate properties in Savannah, Georgia, compared to acquisitions of \$60.5 million of income producing properties in the same market in 2008. We also acquired \$6.2 million of undeveloped land in 2009, compared to \$42.7 million in 2008.

- 23 -

Results of Operations

A summary of our operating results and property statistics for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, is as follows (in thousands, except number of properties and per share data):

		2009		2008		2007
Rental and related revenue	\$	894,580	\$8	57,559	\$	810,547
General contractor and service fee revenue		449,509	4	34,624		311,548
Operating income (loss)		(83,763)	2	79,568		342,905
Net income (loss) attributable to common						
shareholders		(333,601)		50,408		211,942
Weighted average common shares outstanding		201,206	1	46,915		139,255
Weighted average common shares and potential						
dilutive securities		201,206	1	54,553		149,250
Basic income (loss) per common share:						
Continuing operations	\$	(1.70)	\$	0.20	\$	0.60
Discontinued operations	\$	0.03	\$	0.13	\$	0.91
Diluted income (loss) per common share:						
Continuing operations	\$	(1.70)	\$	0.20	\$	0.60
Discontinued operations	\$	0.03	\$	0.13	\$	0.91
Number of in-service consolidated properties at end						
of year		544		538		511
In-service consolidated square footage at end of year		90,581		90,101		81,010
Number of in-service joint venture properties at end						
of year		211		204		195
In-service joint venture square footage at end of year		43,248		40,948		34,113
Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 20	009	to Year	<u>· Er</u>	<u>nded D</u>)ec	ember

Rental and Related Revenue

Overall, rental and related revenue from continuing operations increased from \$857.6 million in 2008 to \$894.6 million in 2009. The following table sets forth rental and related revenue from continuing operations by reportable segment for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Rental and Related Revenue:		
Office	\$ 568,074	\$ 555,592
Industrial	258,888	250,078
Non-reportable segments	67,618	51,889
Total	\$ 894,580	\$ 857,559

The primary reasons for the increase in rental revenue from continuing operations, with specific references to a particular segment when applicable, are summarized below:

In 2009, we acquired three properties, consolidated two retail properties in which we previously had a partial ownership interest, and placed 15 developments in service. The acquisitions and developments provided

incremental revenues of \$1.4 million and \$7.2 million, respectively. The two retail properties that were consolidated in 2009 provided \$16.3 million of incremental revenues. Of the development properties placed in service in 2009, ten were medical office properties accounting for \$4.1 million of the \$7.2 million incremental revenues.

Acquisitions and developments that were placed in service in 2008 provided \$422,000 and \$31.9 million, respectively, of incremental revenue in 2009.

Rental revenue from continuing operations includes lease termination fees. Lease termination fees relate to specific tenants who pay a fee to terminate their lease obligations before the end of the contractual lease term. Lease termination fees increased from \$9.4 million in 2008 to \$14.2 million in 2009.

- 24 -

We contributed five properties to an unconsolidated joint venture in 2008, resulting in a \$2.2 million reduction in revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the same period in 2008.

The increase in rental revenues was partially offset by a \$6.8 million increase in expense related to doubtful receivables, including both contractual and straight-line receivables, as a result of economic conditions during 2009.

Decreases in rental rates and occupancy in certain of our existing properties, resulting from the economy s impact on the leasing environment, partially offset the above-mentioned items. Rental Expenses and Real Estate Taxes

The following table reconciles rental expenses and real estate taxes by reportable segment to our total reported amounts in the statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Rental Expenses:		
Office	\$ 158,127	\$ 152,856
Industrial	27,551	27,703
Non-reportable segments	17,859	10,705
Total	\$ 203,537	\$ 191,264
Real Estate Taxes:		
Office	\$ 74,850	\$ 69,546
Industrial	37,154	30,580
Non-reportable segments	7,109	3,693
Total	\$ 119,113	\$ 103,819

Of the overall \$12.3 million increase in rental expenses in 2009 compared to 2008, \$10.2 million was attributable to properties acquired or consolidated and developments placed in service from January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2009.

Of the overall \$15.3 million increase in real estate taxes in 2009 compared to 2008, \$9.8 million was attributable to properties acquired or consolidated and developments placed in service from January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2009. The remaining increase in real estate taxes was driven by increases in tax rates and assessed values on our existing properties.

Service Operations

The following table sets forth the components of the Service Operations reportable segment (excluding Build-for-Sale Properties) for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Service Operations:		
General contractor and service fee revenue	\$ 449,509	\$ 434,624
General contractor and other services expenses	(427,666)	(418,743)
Total	\$ 21,843	\$ 15,881

Service Operations primarily consist of the leasing, management, development, construction management and general contractor services for joint venture properties and properties owned by third parties. Service Operations are heavily influenced by the current state of the economy, as leasing and property management fees are dependent upon occupancy while construction and development services rely on the expansion of business operations of third-party property owners and joint venture partners. Earnings from Service Operations increased from \$15.9 million in 2008 to \$21.8 million in 2009. The increase in earnings from Service Operations was primarily a result of general contractor expenses being higher than usual in 2008 as a result of increases in our total cost estimates for two third-party fixed price construction contracts, which reduced the margins on the contracts.

- 25 -

Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Depreciation and amortization expense increased from \$308.1 million in 2008 to \$339.0 million in 2009 due to increases in our real estate asset base from properties acquired or consolidated and developments placed in service during 2008 and 2009.

Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Companies

Equity in earnings represents our ownership share of net income or loss from investments in unconsolidated companies that generally own and operate rental properties and develop properties for sale. Equity in earnings decreased from \$23.8 million in 2008 to \$9.9 million in 2009. The decrease was primarily a result of our share of the gain on sale of five properties from unconsolidated subsidiaries in 2008 totaling \$10.1 million, compared to no such sales in 2009. The decreased gains on property sales were partially offset as the result of consolidating two retail joint ventures in April 2009, for which our share of net loss was \$3.5 million in 2008. The remaining decrease in equity in earnings is primarily due to a decrease in operating income within certain of our joint ventures due to decreased occupancy in the underlying rental properties.

Gain on Sale of Properties

Gains on sales of properties decreased from \$39.1 million in 2008 to \$12.3 million in 2009. We sold 14 properties in 2008 compared to nine properties in 2009. The properties sold in 2008 were part of our Build-for-Sale program, which is no longer a significant part of our Service Operations. Because the properties sold in 2008 and 2009 either had insignificant operations prior to sale or because we maintained varying forms of continuing involvement after sale, they are not classified within discontinued operations.

Earnings from Sales of Land

Earnings from sales of land decreased from \$12.7 million in 2008 to \$357,000 in 2009. The decrease in earnings was the result of the current state of the real estate market, as fewer developers are willing to make speculative purchases of land for future development.

Impairment Charges

Impairment charges classified in continuing operations include the impairment of undeveloped land and buildings, investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and other real estate related assets. The increase from \$18.5 million in 2008 to \$302.8 million in 2009 is primarily due to a refinement of our business strategy coupled with decreases in real estate values and is comprised of the following activity:

A result of the refinement of our business strategy was the decision to dispose of approximately 1,800 acres of land, which had a total cost basis of \$385.3 million, rather than holding it for future development. Our change in strategy for this land triggered the requirement to conduct an impairment analysis, which resulted in a determination that a significant portion of the land was impaired. We recognized impairment charges on land of \$136.6 million in 2009, primarily as the result of writing down to fair value the land that was identified for disposition and determined to be impaired.

- 26 -

Additionally, an impairment charge of \$78.1 million was recognized in 2009 for 28 office, industrial and retail buildings. One of these properties met the criteria for discontinued operations upon sale and the \$772,000 impairment charge related to this property is accordingly reflected in discontinued operations. An impairment analysis of certain of our buildings was triggered either as the result of changes in management s strategy, resulting in certain buildings being identified as non-strategic, or changes in market conditions.

We have an investment in an unconsolidated entity (the 3630 Peachtree joint venture) whose sole activity is the development and operation of the office component of a multi-use office and residential high-rise building located in the Buckhead sub-market of Atlanta. We recognized an impairment charge in 2009 to write off our \$14.4 million investment in the 3630 Peachtree joint venture as the result of the other-than-temporary decline in value. As a result of the joint venture s obligations to the lender in its construction loan agreement, the likelihood that our partner will be unable to contribute their share of the additional equity to fund the joint venture s future capital costs, and ultimately from our contingent obligation stemming from our joint and several guarantee of the joint venture s loan, we recorded an additional liability of \$36.3 million for our probable future obligation to the lender.

In 2009, we recognized a \$5.8 million charge on our investment in an unconsolidated joint venture (the Park Creek joint venture).

We recognized \$32.5 million of impairment charges on other real estate related assets in 2009 compared to \$8.3 million of charges in 2008. The impairment charges in 2009 related primarily to reserving loans receivable from other real estate entities, as well as writing off previously deferred development costs. Impairment charges recognized on other real estate related assets during 2008 were the result of writing off previously deferred development costs.

In 2008, as the result of a re-assessment of our intended use of some of our land holdings, we recognized non-cash impairment charges on seven of our tracts of undeveloped land totaling \$8.6 million. Additionally, as the result of the economy s negative effect on real estate selling prices, we recognized \$2.8 million of impairment charges on two of our Build-for-Sale properties that were under construction at December 31, 2008, as they were expected to sell in 2009. One of these properties met the criteria for discontinued operations upon sale and the \$1.3 million impairment charge related to this property is accordingly reflected in discontinued operations.

General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expense increased from \$39.5 million in 2008 to \$47.9 million in 2009. General and administrative expenses consist of two components. The first component includes general corporate expenses and the second component includes the indirect operating costs not allocated to the development or operations of our owned properties and Service Operations. Those indirect costs not allocated to these operations are charged to general and administrative expenses. The increase in general and administrative expenses is primarily the result of a \$4.8 million increase in severance pay. Other than this expense item, we reduced our total overhead costs by \$22.7 million to compensate for the reduction in the volume of leasing and construction activity. However, the absorption of actual overhead costs by an allocation to leasing, construction and other areas decreased by \$26.3 million, which, when netted with the \$22.7 million reduction in costs, resulted in the remaining increase in general and administrative expenses.

Interest Expense

Interest expense from continuing operations increased from \$198.4 million in 2008 to \$220.2 million in 2009, primarily as a result of a \$26.6 million decrease in capitalization of interest costs, due to properties previously undergoing significant development activities being placed in service or otherwise not meeting the criteria for the capitalization of interest. Additionally, as the result of the conditions in the credit markets driving up interest rates on new borrowings in 2009, the weighted average interest rate on our total outstanding borrowings increased from 5.43% at December 31, 2008 to 6.36% at December 31, 2009.

Gain on Debt Transactions

During 2009, we repurchased certain of our outstanding series of unsecured notes scheduled to mature in 2009 through 2011. The majority of our debt repurchases during 2009 were of our 3.75% Exchangeable Senior Notes (Exchangeable Notes). In total, we paid \$500.9 million for unsecured notes that had a face value of \$542.9 million, recognizing a net gain on extinguishment of approximately \$27.5 million after considering the write-off of unamortized deferred financing costs, discounts and other accounting adjustments. Partially offsetting these gains, we recognized \$6.8 million of expense in 2009 for the write-off of fees paid for a pending secured financing that we cancelled in the third quarter of 2009.

Income Taxes

We recognized an income tax benefit of \$6.1 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, in 2009 and 2008.

We recorded a net valuation allowance of \$7.3 million against our deferred tax assets during 2009. The valuation allowance was recorded as the result of changes to our projections for future taxable income within our taxable REIT subsidiary. The decreased projection of taxable income was the result of a revision in strategy, whereby we determined that we would indefinitely discontinue the development of Build-for-Sale properties, necessitating the revision of our taxable income projections.

Notwithstanding the valuation allowance recorded during 2009, our taxable REIT subsidiary recognized significantly higher taxable losses in 2009 than in 2008 as the result of the timing and profitability of land and building sales.

Discontinued Operations

The results of operations for properties sold during the year to unrelated parties or classified as held-for-sale at the end of the period are required to be classified as discontinued operations. The property specific components of earnings that are classified as discontinued operations include rental revenues, rental expenses, real estate taxes, allocated interest expense and depreciation expense, as well as the net gain or loss on the disposition of properties.

The operations of 45 buildings are currently classified as discontinued operations. These 45 properties consist of 20 industrial and 25 office properties. As a result, we classified income (loss), before gain on sales, of \$(439,000), \$3.2 million and \$5.6 million in discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Of these properties, five were sold during 2009, eight properties were sold during 2008 and 32 properties were sold during 2007. The gains on disposal of these properties of \$6.8 million, \$17.0 million and \$121.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, are also reported in discontinued operations. Discontinued operations also includes impairment charges of \$772,000 and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and

Table of Contents

2008, respectively, recognized on properties that were subsequently sold.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2008 to Year Ended December 31, 2007

Rental and Related Revenue

Overall, rental revenue from continuing operations increased from \$810.5 million in 2007 to \$857.6 million in 2008. The following table reconciles rental revenue from continuing operations by reportable segment to our total reported rental revenue from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Rental and Related Revenue:		
Office	\$ 555,592	\$550,116
Industrial	250,078	218,055
Non-reportable segments	51,889	42,376
Total	\$ 857,559	\$ 810,547

The primary reasons for the increase in rental revenue from continuing operations, with specific references to a particular segment when applicable, are summarized below:

In 2008, we acquired five new properties and placed 36 developments in service. These acquisitions and developments provided incremental revenues of \$3.5 million and \$20.4 million, respectively.

Acquisitions and developments that were placed in service in 2007 provided \$10.3 million and \$37.7 million, respectively, of incremental revenue in 2008.

We sold eight properties to an unconsolidated joint venture in 2007, resulting in an \$11.2 million reduction in revenues for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to the same period in 2007. Of these properties, seven were sold in the second quarter of 2007 and one was sold in the fourth quarter of 2007.

Rental revenue from continuing operations includes lease termination fees. Lease termination fees relate to specific tenants who pay a fee to terminate their lease obligations before the end of the contractual lease term. Lease termination fees decreased from \$24.2 million in 2007 to \$9.4 million in 2008. Rental Expenses and Real Estate Taxes

The following table reconciles rental expenses and real estate taxes by reportable segment to our total reported amounts in the statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively (in thousands):

2008 2007

Rental Expenses:

Office	\$ 152,856	\$ 145,214
Industrial	27,703	23,819
Non-reportable segments	10,705	7,003
Total	\$ 191,264	\$ 176,036
Real Estate Taxes:		
Office	\$ 69,546	\$ 64,335
Industrial	30,580	27,409
Non-reportable segments	3,693	1,963
Total	\$ 103,819	\$ 93,707

- 29 -

Of the overall \$15.2 million increase in rental expenses in 2008 compared to 2007, \$11.5 million was attributable to properties acquired and developments placed in service from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008. This increase was partially offset by a reduction in rental expenses of \$2.0 million resulting from the sale of eight properties to an unconsolidated joint venture in 2007. Increases in utility costs and snow removal in our existing base of properties also contributed to the overall increase in rental expenses.

Of the overall \$10.1 million increase in real estate taxes in 2008 compared to 2007, \$7.0 million was attributable to properties acquired and developments placed in service from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008. The remaining increase in real estate taxes was driven by increases in tax rates and assessed values on our existing properties.

Service Operations

The following table sets forth the components of the Service Operations reportable segment (excluding Build-for-Sale Properties) for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Service Operations:		
General contractor and service fee revenue	\$ 434,624	\$ 311,548
General contractor and other services expenses	(418,743)	(287,936)
Total	\$ 15,881	\$ 23,612

The decrease in earnings from Service Operations was primarily due to general contractor expenses being higher than usual in 2008 as a result of increases in our total cost estimates for two third-party fixed price construction contracts, which reduced the margins on the contracts.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Depreciation and amortization increased from \$269.7 million in 2007 to \$308.1 million in 2008 due to increases in our real estate asset base from acquisitions and developments placed in service during 2007 and 2008 as well as the result of recording additional depreciation expense in the amount of \$13.2 million for properties removed from held-for-sale classification in 2008.

Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Companies

Equity in earnings decreased from \$29.4 million in 2007 to \$23.8 million in 2008 largely as the result of our \$7.0 million share of additional depreciation expense recognized when two properties owned by unconsolidated retail joint ventures were removed from held-for-sale classification. The additional depreciation expense was partially offset by an increase in gain on building sales in 2008 compared to 2007. During 2007, our joint ventures sold ten non-strategic buildings, with our share of the net gain recognized through equity in earnings totaling \$8.0 million, compared to five joint venture building sales in 2008, with \$10.1 million recorded to equity in earnings for our share of the net gains.

Gain on Sale of Properties

Gains on sales of properties increased from \$34.7 million in 2007 to \$39.1 million in 2008. We sold 15 properties in 2007 compared to 14 properties in 2008. The properties sold in 2007 and 2008 were part of our Build-for-Sale

Table of Contents

program, which is no longer a significant part of our Service Operations.

Earnings from Sales of Land

Earnings from sales of land decreased from \$34.0 million in 2007 to \$12.7 million in 2008. The decrease in earnings was the result of several significant and high margin land sales during 2007 compared to decreased activity in 2008 as the result of the downturn in the real estate market and in the overall economy.

- 30 -

Impairment Charges

Impairment charges consisted of impairment charges recognized on our long-lived assets as well as the write-off of previously capitalized costs of potential projects that we determined are no longer likely to be pursued. The increase from \$5.7 million in 2007 to \$18.5 million in 2008 was largely the result of a re-assessment of our intended use of some of our land holdings, as well as the negative effect of the overall economy on real estate values in certain of our markets. We recognized non-cash impairment charges in 2008 on seven of our tracts of undeveloped land totaling \$8.6 million. Additionally, as the result of the economy s negative effect on real estate selling prices, we recognized \$2.8 million of impairment charges on two of our properties that were under construction at December 31, 2008, as they were expected to sell in 2009. One of these properties met the criteria for discontinued operations upon sale and the \$1.3 million impairment charge related to this property is accordingly reflected in discontinued operations.

The remaining \$8.3 million and \$5.7 million of activity in 2008 and 2007, respectively, primarily pertained to costs previously capitalized for potential projects that we later determined would not be pursued.

General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expense increased from \$37.7 million in 2007 to \$39.5 million in 2008. The increase in general and administrative expenses was largely driven by a \$10.9 million decrease in overhead costs allocated to leasing and construction activity based on decreased volume in these areas. Offsetting the decreased allocation of general and administrative expenses to operating activities was a \$9.1 million decrease in total overhead costs in 2008 as we focused on overhead reduction opportunities.

Interest Expense

Interest expense from continuing operations increased from \$175.0 million in 2007 to \$198.4 million in 2008. The increase is primarily the result of interest costs related to development projects that were placed in service in late 2007 and 2008 where the costs to finance these projects were capitalized during construction. Overall, our weighted average interest rates remained fairly consistent from 2007 to 2008, as the weighted average interest rate on our unsecured notes increased from 5.73% to 5.93%, while we experienced lower interest rates throughout 2008 on our LIBOR-based unsecured lines of credit.

Income Taxes

We recognized an income tax expense of \$6.3 million and an income tax benefit of \$7.0 million, respectively, in 2007 and 2008. Our taxable REIT subsidiary recognized taxable losses in 2008, compared to taxable income in 2007, as the result of the timing of land and building sales.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Our estimates, judgments and assumptions are inherently subjective and based on the existing business and market conditions, and are therefore continually evaluated based upon available information and experience. Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements includes further discussion of our significant accounting policies. Our management has assessed the accounting policies used in the preparation of our financial statements and discussed them with our Audit Committee and independent auditors. The following accounting policies are considered critical based upon

materiality to the financial statements, degree of judgment involved in estimating reported amounts and sensitivity to changes in industry and economic conditions:

Accounting for Joint Ventures: We analyze our investments in joint ventures to determine if the joint venture is a variable interest entity (a VIE) and would require consolidation. We (a) evaluate the sufficiency of the total equity at risk, (b) review the voting rights and decision-making authority of the equity investment holders as a group, and whether there are any guaranteed returns, protection against losses, or capping of residual returns within the group and (c) establish whether activities within the venture are on behalf of an investor with disproportionately few voting rights in making this VIE determination. We would consolidate a venture that is determined to be a VIE if we were the primary beneficiary. Beginning January 1, 2010, a new accounting standard will be effective and will change the method by which the primary beneficiary of a VIE is determined to a primarily qualitative approach whereby the variable interest holder, if any, that controls a VIE s most significant activities is the primary beneficiary. To the extent that our joint ventures do not qualify as VIEs, we further assess each partner s substantive participating rights to determine if the venture should be consolidated.

- 31 -

We have equity interests generally ranging from 10% to 50% in unconsolidated joint ventures that own and operate rental properties and hold land for development. To the extent applicable, we consolidate those joint ventures that are considered to be VIE s where we are the primary beneficiary. For non-variable interest entities, we consolidate those joint ventures that we control through majority ownership interests or where we are the managing entity and our partner does not have substantive participating rights. Control is further demonstrated by the ability of the general partner to manage day-to-day operations, refinance debt and sell the assets of the joint venture without the consent of the limited partner and inability of the limited partner to replace the general partner. We use the equity method of accounting, our investment in each joint venture is included on our balance sheet; however, the assets and liabilities of the joint ventures for which we use the equity method are not included on our balance sheet.

To the extent that we contribute assets to a joint venture, our investment in the joint venture is recorded at our cost basis in the assets that were contributed to the joint venture. To the extent that our cost basis is different than the basis reflected at the joint venture level, the basis difference is amortized over the life of the related asset and included in our share of equity in net income of the joint venture. We recognize gains on the contribution or sale of real estate to joint ventures, relating solely to the outside partner s interest, to the extent the economic substance of the transaction is a sale.

Cost Capitalization: Direct and certain indirect costs, including interest, clearly associated with and incremental to the development, construction, leasing or expansion of real estate investments are capitalized as a cost of the property.

We capitalize interest and direct and indirect project costs associated with the initial construction of a property up to the time the property is substantially complete and ready for its intended use. We believe the completion of the building shell is the proper basis for determining substantial completion and that this basis is the most widely accepted standard in the real estate industry. The interest rate used to capitalize interest is based upon our average borrowing rate on existing debt.

We also capitalize direct and indirect costs, including interest costs, on vacant space during extended lease-up periods after construction of the building shell has been completed if costs are being incurred to ready the vacant space for its intended use. If costs and activities incurred to ready the vacant space cease, then cost capitalization is also discontinued until such activities are resumed. Once necessary work has been completed on a vacant space, project costs are no longer capitalized. We cease capitalization of all project costs on extended lease-up periods after the shorter of a one-year period after the completion of the building shell or when the property attains 90% occupancy. In addition, all leasing commissions paid to third parties for new leases or lease renewals are capitalized.

- 32 -

In assessing the amount of indirect costs to be capitalized, we first allocate payroll costs, on a department-by-department basis, among activities for which capitalization is warranted (i.e., construction, development and leasing) and those for which capitalization is not warranted (i.e., property management, maintenance, acquisitions and dispositions and general corporate functions). To the extent the employees of a department split their time between capitalizable and non-capitalizable activities, the allocations are made based on estimates of the actual amount of time spent in each activity. Once the payroll costs are allocated, the non-payroll costs of each department are allocated among the capitalizable and non-capitalizable activities in the same proportion as payroll costs.

To ensure that an appropriate amount of costs are capitalized, the amount of capitalized costs that are allocated to a specific project are limited to amounts using standards we developed. These standards consist of a percentage of the total development costs of a project and a percentage of the total gross lease amount payable under a specific lease. These standards are derived after considering the amounts that would be allocated if the personnel in the departments were working at full capacity. The use of these standards ensures that overhead costs attributable to downtime or to unsuccessful projects or leasing activities are not capitalized.

Impairment of Real Estate Assets: We evaluate our real estate assets, with the exception of those that are classified as held-for-sale, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If such an evaluation is considered necessary, we compare the carrying amount of that real estate asset, or asset group, with the expected undiscounted cash flows that are directly associated with, and that are expected to arise as a direct result of, the use and eventual disposition of that asset, or asset group. Our estimate of the expected future cash flows used in testing for impairment is based on, among other things, our estimates regarding future market conditions, rental rates, occupancy levels, costs of tenant improvements, leasing commissions and other tenant concessions, assumptions regarding the residual value of our properties at the end of our anticipated holding period and is, therefore, subjective by nature. These assumptions could differ materially from actual results. If our strategy changes or if market conditions otherwise dictate a reduction in the holding period and an earlier sale date, an impairment loss could be recognized and such loss could be material. To the extent the carrying amount of a real estate asset, or asset group, exceeds the associated estimate of undiscounted cash flows, an impairment loss is recorded to reduce the carrying value of the asset to its fair value.

The determination of the fair value of real estate assets is also highly subjective, especially in markets where there is a lack of recent comparable transactions. We primarily utilize the income approach to estimate the fair value of our income producing real estate assets. To the extent that the assumptions used in testing long-lived assets for impairment differ from those of a marketplace participant, the assumptions are modified in order to estimate the fair value of a real estate asset when an impairment charge is measured. In addition to determining future cash flows, which make the estimation of a real estate asset s undiscounted cash flows highly subjective, the selection of the discount rate and exit capitalization rate used in applying the income approach is also highly subjective.

To the extent applicable marketplace data is available, we generally use the market approach in estimating the fair value of undeveloped land that is determined to be impaired.

Real estate assets that are classified as held-for-sale are reported at the lower of their carrying value or their fair value, less estimated costs to sell.

Acquisition of Real Estate Property and Related Assets: We allocate the purchase price of acquired properties to net tangible and identified intangible assets based on their respective fair values. Beginning January 1, 2009, we record assets acquired in step acquisitions at their full fair value and record a gain or loss for the difference between the fair value and the carrying value of our existing equity interest. Additionally, beginning January 1, 2009, contingencies

arising from a business combination are recorded at fair value if the acquisition date fair value can be determined during the measurement period.

The allocation to tangible assets (buildings, tenant improvements and land) is based upon management s determination of the value of the property as if it were vacant using discounted cash flow models similar to those used by independent appraisers. Factors considered by management include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods considering current market conditions, and costs to execute similar leases. The purchase price of real estate assets is also allocated among three categories of intangible assets consisting of the above or below market component of in-place leases, the value of in-place leases and the value of customer relationships.

The value allocable to the above or below market component of an acquired in-place lease is determined based upon the present value (using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the lease) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the lease over its remaining term and (ii) management s estimate of the amounts that would be paid using current fair market rates over the remaining term of the lease. The amounts allocated to above market leases are included in deferred leasing and other costs in the balance sheet and below market leases are included in other liabilities in the balance sheet; both are amortized to rental income over the remaining terms of the respective leases.

The total amount of intangible assets is further allocated to in-place lease values and to customer relationship values, based upon management s assessment of their respective values. These intangible assets are included in deferred leasing and other costs in the balance sheet and are amortized over the remaining term of the existing lease, or the anticipated life of the customer relationship, as applicable.

Valuation of Receivables: We are subject to tenant defaults and bankruptcies that could affect the collection of outstanding receivables. In order to mitigate these risks, we perform in-house credit reviews and analyses on major existing tenants and all significant prospective tenants before leases are executed. We have established the following procedures and policies to evaluate the collectability of outstanding receivables and record allowances:

We maintain a tenant watch list containing a list of significant tenants for which the payment of receivables and future rent may be at risk. Various factors such as late rent payments, lease or debt instrument defaults, and indications of a deteriorating financial position are considered when determining whether to include a tenant on the watch list.

As a matter of policy, we reserve the entire receivable balance, including straight-line rent, of any tenant with an amount outstanding over 90 days.

Straight-line rent receivables for any tenant on the watch list or any other tenant identified as a potential long-term risk, regardless of the status of rent receivables, are reviewed and reserved as necessary.

Construction Contracts: We recognize income on construction contracts where we serve as a general contractor on the percentage of completion method. Using this method, profits are recorded on the basis of our estimates of the overall profit and percentage of completion of individual contracts. A portion of the estimated profits is accrued based upon our estimates of the percentage of completion of the construction contract. To the extent that a fixed-price contract is estimated to result in a loss, the loss is recorded immediately. Cumulative revenues recognized may be less or greater than cumulative costs and profits billed at any point in time during a contract s term. This revenue recognition method involves inherent risks relating to profit and cost estimates with those risks reduced through approval and monitoring processes.

With regard to critical accounting policies, management has discussed the following with the Audit Committee:

Criteria for identifying and selecting our critical accounting policies; Methodology in applying our critical accounting policies; and Impact of the critical accounting policies on our financial statements. The Audit Committee has reviewed the critical accounting policies identified by management.

- 34 -

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources of Liquidity

As the result of generating capital in excess of \$1.6 billion through a common equity issuance, secured and unsecured borrowings, and property dispositions, we have repaid the entire balance on DRLP s unsecured line of credit and created capacity, through cash and availability on the line, to meet our short-term liquidity requirements over the next twelve months.

We expect to meet long-term liquidity requirements, such as scheduled mortgage and unsecured debt maturities, property acquisitions, financing of development activities and other non-recurring capital improvements, through multiple sources of capital including operating cash flow and accessing the public debt and equity markets.

Rental Operations

We believe our primary source of liquidity, cash flows from Rental Operations, provides a stable source of cash to fund operational expenses. We believe that this cash-based revenue stream is substantially aligned with revenue recognition (except for periodic straight-line rental income accruals and amortization of above or below market rents) as cash receipts from the leasing of rental properties are generally received in advance of or in a short time following the actual revenue recognition.

We are subject to a number of risks as a result of current economic conditions, including reduced occupancy, tenant defaults and bankruptcies, and potential reduction in rental rates upon renewal or re-letting of properties, each of which would result in reduced cash flow from operations. In 2009, we recognized \$12.0 million of expense related to reserving doubtful receivables, including reserves on straight-line rent, compared to \$5.2 million in 2008.

Unsecured Debt and Equity Securities

Our unsecured lines of credit as of December 31, 2009 are described as follows (in thousands):

		Borrowing	Maturity	Outstanding Balar	
Description		Capacity	Date	at Dece	mber 31, 2009
Unsecured Line of Credit	DRLP	\$ 850,000	February 2013	\$	-
Unsecured Line of Credit	Consolidated Subsidiary	\$ 30,000	July 2011	\$	15,770

On November 20, 2009, the Company and DRLP renewed its unsecured line of credit. Under terms of the renewal, the DRLP unsecured line of credit has a borrowing capacity of \$850.0 million with an interest rate on borrowings of 275 basis points over the applicable LIBOR rate, and matures in February 2013. Subject to certain conditions, the terms also include an option to increase the facility by up to an additional \$200.0 million, for a total of up to \$1.05 billion. This line of credit provides us with an option to obtain borrowings from financial institutions that participate in the line, at rates that may be lower than the stated interest rate, subject to certain restrictions.

This line of credit contains financial covenants that require us to meet certain financial ratios and defined levels of performance, including those related to fixed charge coverage and debt-to-asset value (with asset value being defined in the DRLP unsecured line of credit agreement). As of December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all covenants under this line of credit.

In April 2009, we received \$551.4 million of net proceeds from the issuance of approximately 75.2 million shares of common stock. The net proceeds from the offering were used to repay outstanding borrowings under the DRLP unsecured revolving line of credit as well as for general corporate purposes.

- 35 -

In August 2009, we issued \$500.0 million of senior unsecured notes in two equal tranches. The first \$250.0 million of the senior unsecured notes mature in February 2015 and bear interest at an effective rate of 7.50%, while the other \$250.0 million of the senior unsecured notes mature in August 2019 and bear interest at an effective rate of 8.38%. The net proceeds from the issuance were primarily used to repurchase outstanding unsecured notes, both on the open market and through cash tender offers, maturing through December 2011.

The indentures (and related supplemental indentures) governing our outstanding series of notes also require us to comply with financial ratios and other covenants regarding our operations. We were in compliance with all such covenants, as well as applicable covenants under our unsecured line of credit, as of December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2009, we had on file with the SEC an automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3, relating to the offer and sale, from time to time, of an indeterminate amount of DRLP s debt securities (including guarantees thereof) and the Company s common shares, preferred shares, depository shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts and units comprised of one or more of these securities. From time to time, we expect to issue additional securities under this automatic shelf registration statement to fund the repayment of the credit facility and other long-term debt upon maturity.

Sale of Real Estate Assets

We pursue opportunities to sell non-strategic real estate assets in order to generate additional liquidity. Our ability to dispose of such properties is dependent on the availability of credit to potential buyers to purchase properties at prices that we consider acceptable. In light of recent market and economic conditions, including, without limitation, the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. mortgage market, and condition of the equity and real estate markets, we may be unable to dispose of such properties quickly, or on favorable terms.

Transactions with Unconsolidated Entities

Transactions with unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures also provide a source of liquidity. From time to time we will sell properties to an unconsolidated entity, while retaining a continuing interest in that entity, and receive proceeds commensurate to the interest that we do not own. Additionally, unconsolidated entities will from time to time obtain debt financing and will distribute to us, and our partners, all or a portion of the proceeds.

We have a 20% equity interest in an unconsolidated joint venture that may acquire up to \$800.0 million of our newly developed build-to-suit projects over a three-year period from its formation in May 2008. Properties are sold to the joint venture upon completion, lease commencement and satisfaction of other customary conditions. We received net sale and financing proceeds of approximately \$251.6 million, during the year ended December 31, 2008, related to the joint venture s acquisition of seven of our properties. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the joint venture acquired five additional properties from us and we received net sale proceeds, commensurate to our partner s ownership interest, of approximately \$82.5 million.

Uses of Liquidity

Our principal uses of liquidity include the following:

accretive property investment; recurring leasing/capital costs;

dividends and distributions to shareholders and unitholders; long-term debt maturities; opportunistic repurchases of outstanding debt; and other contractual obligations.

- 36 -

Property Investment

We evaluate development and acquisition opportunities based upon market outlook, supply and long-term growth potential. Our ability to make future property investments is dependent upon our continued access to our longer-term sources of liquidity including the issuances of debt or equity securities as well as generating cash flow by disposing of selected properties. In light of current economic conditions, management continues to evaluate our investment priorities and is focused on accretive growth.

We have continued to operate at a substantially reduced level of new development activity, as compared to recent years, and are focused on the core operations of our existing base of properties.

Recurring Expenditures

One of our principal uses of our liquidity is to fund the recurring leasing/capital expenditures of our real estate investments. The following is a summary of our recurring capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Recurring tenant improvements	\$ 29,321	\$ 36,885	\$45,296
Recurring leasing costs	40,412	28,205	32,238
Building improvements	9,321	9,724	8,402
Totals	\$ 79,054	\$ 74,814	\$ 85,936

Dividends and Distributions

We are required to meet the distribution requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), in order to maintain our REIT status. Because depreciation and impairments are non-cash expenses, cash flow will typically be greater than operating income. We paid dividends per share of \$0.76, \$1.93 and \$1.91 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We expect to continue to distribute at least an amount equal to our taxable earnings, to meet the requirements to maintain our REIT status, and additional amounts as determined by our board of directors. Distributions are declared at the discretion of our board of directors and are subject to actual cash available for distribution, our financial condition, capital requirements and such other factors as our board of directors deems relevant.

At December 31, 2009 we had six series of preferred shares outstanding. The annual dividend rates on our preferred shares range between 6.5% and 8.375% and are paid in arrears quarterly.

Debt Maturities

Debt outstanding at December 31, 2009 had a face value totaling \$3.9 billion with a weighted average interest rate of 6.36% maturing at various dates through 2028. We had \$3.1 billion of unsecured debt, \$15.8 million outstanding on a consolidated subsidiary s unsecured line of credit and \$784.7 million of secured debt outstanding at December 31, 2009. We made scheduled and unscheduled principal payments of \$1.2 billion on outstanding debt (including repurchases of outstanding debt discussed below) during the year ended December 31, 2009.

The following is a summary of the scheduled future amortization and maturities of our indebtedness at December 31, 2009 (in thousands, except percentage data):

	F Scheduled	Weighted Average Interest Rate of		
Year	Amortization	Maturities	Total	Future Repayments
2010	\$ 11,456	\$ 99,849	\$ 111,305	5.48%
2011	11,621	611,484	623,105	5.30%
2012	9,767	213,134	222,901	5.84%
2013	9,819	475,000	484,819	6.49%
2014	10,113	272,112	282,225	6.44%
2015	8,785	250,000	258,785	7.45%
2016	7,994	490,900	498,894	6.16%
2017	6,508	469,324	475,832	5.94%
2018	4,671	300,000	304,671	6.08%
2019	3,463	518,438	521,901	7.98%
2020	3,234	-	3,234	5.55%
Thereafter	21,205	50,000	71,205	6.80%
	\$ 108,636	\$ 3,750,241	\$ 3,858,877	6.36%

- 37 -

We anticipate generating capital to fund our debt maturities by using undistributed cash generated from rental operations and property dispositions, as well as by raising additional capital from future debt or equity transactions.

Repurchases of Outstanding Debt

During 2009, through a cash tender offer as well as open market transactions, we paid \$500.9 million to repurchase outstanding unsecured notes with a face value of \$542.9 million. We expect to use a portion of the cash we have on hand at December 31, 2009 to repay unsecured notes maturing in 2010.

Guarantee Obligations

We are subject to various guarantee obligations in the normal course of business and, in most cases, do not anticipate these obligations to result in significant cash payments.

We are, however, subject to a joint and several guarantee of the construction loan agreement of the 3630 Peachtree joint venture. A contingent liability in the amount of \$36.3 million was established in 2009 based on the probability of us being required to pay this obligation to the lender.

Historical Cash Flows

Cash and cash equivalents were \$147.3 million and \$22.5 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The following highlights significant changes in net cash associated with our operating, investing and financing activities (in millions):

	Year	s Ended Decembe	er 31,
	2009	2008	2007
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 400,472	\$ 642,847	\$ 323,931
Net Cash Used for Investing Activities	(175,948)	(522,592)	(434,819)
Net Cash Provided by (Used for) Financing Activities	(99,734)	(145,735)	90,417

Operating Activities

Cash flows from operating activities provide the cash necessary to meet normal operational requirements of our Rental Operations and Service Operations activities. The receipt of rental income from Rental Operations continues to provide the primary source of our revenues and operating cash flows. In addition, we have historically developed Build-for-Sale properties with the intent to sell them at or soon after completion. As part of a refinement to our strategy, we have ceased new Build-for-Sale development activity to focus on completion of existing projects. Highlights of operating cash changes are as follows:

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we incurred Build-for-Sale property development costs of \$16.9 million, compared to \$216.1 million and \$281.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The decrease is a result of the planned elimination of our Build-for-Sale program. We sold three Build-for-Sale properties in 2009 compared to 14 in 2008 and 15 in 2007, receiving net proceeds of \$31.9 million, \$343.0 million and \$232.6 million, respectively. The 2009 sales were nearly break-even, while the 2008 and 2007 sales resulted in pre-tax gains of \$39.1 million and \$34.7 million, respectively.

Net cash flows from third-party construction contracts totaled a net outflow of \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to a net inflow of \$125.9 million and a net outflow of \$25.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in 2008 was largely driven by \$105.1 million in cash proceeds from the 2008 sale of a parcel of land that was completed in conjunction with a significant third-party construction project.

- 38 -

Investing Activities

Investing activities are one of the primary uses of our liquidity. Development and acquisition activities typically generate additional rental revenues and provide cash flows for operational requirements. Highlights of significant cash sources and uses are as follows:

Development expenditures for our held-for-rental portfolio totaled \$268.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$436.3 million and \$451.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The decrease is in line with our planned reduction in new development activity. During 2009, we paid cash of \$31.7 million for real estate acquisitions, compared to \$20.1 million in 2008 and \$117.4 million in 2007. In addition, we paid cash of \$5.5 million for undeveloped land in 2009, compared to \$40.9 million in 2008 and \$317.3 million in 2007. The cash paid for real estate acquisitions in 2007 included \$55.4 million for a portfolio of industrial properties located in Seattle, Virginia and Houston. Sales of land and depreciated property provided \$256.3 million in net proceeds in 2009, compared to \$116.6 million in 2008 and \$480.9 million in 2007. We sold portfolios of eight suburban office properties in our Cleveland market and twelve industrial properties in our St. Louis market during 2007, which together provided \$203.5 million of the net proceeds received in 2007.

During 2009, we contributed or advanced \$23.5 million to fund development activities within unconsolidated companies, compared to \$132.2 million in 2008 and \$142.3 million in 2007. The decrease was largely as the result of a planned reduction in new development.

We received capital distributions (as a result of the sale of properties or refinancing) from unconsolidated subsidiaries of \$95.4 million in 2008 and \$235.8 million in 2007. We received no such distributions from unconsolidated companies in 2009.

Financing Activities

The following items highlight significant capital transactions:

In order to retain additional cash to help meet our capital needs, we reduced our quarterly dividend beginning in the first quarter of 2009. We paid cash dividends of \$0.76 per common share in 2009, compared to cash dividends of \$1.93 per common share in 2008 and \$1.91 per common share in 2007.

In November 2009, we repaid \$82.1 million of senior unsecured notes with an effective interest rate of 7.86% on their scheduled maturity date. In February 2009, we repaid \$124.0 million of corporate unsecured debt with an effective interest rate of 6.83% on its scheduled maturity date. This compares to repayments of \$125.0 million and \$100.0 million of senior unsecured notes with effective interest rates of 3.36% and 6.76% on their scheduled maturity dates in January 2008 and May 2008, respectively. We also repaid \$100.0 million of senior unsecured notes with an effective interest rate of 7.47% on their scheduled maturity date in August 2007 and \$100.0 million of corporate unsecured debt with an effective interest rate of 3.63% on their scheduled maturity date in November 2007.

We decreased net borrowings on DRLP s \$850.0 million line of credit by \$474.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, completely repaying the outstanding balance, compared to a decrease of \$69.0 million in 2008 and an increase of \$226.0 million in 2007.

In August 2009, we issued \$250.0 million of senior unsecured notes due in 2015 bearing interest at an effective rate of 7.50% and \$250.0 million of senior unsecured notes due in 2019 bearing interest at an effective rate of 8.38%. This compares to issuances of senior unsecured notes in May 2008 and September

2007, respectively, of \$325.0 million with an effective interest rate of 7.36% due in 2013 and \$300.0 million with an effective interest rate of 6.16% due in 2018.

Throughout 2009 and the fourth quarter of 2008, we repurchased certain of our outstanding series of unsecured notes maturing in 2009 through 2011. In 2009, cash payments of \$500.9 million were made to repurchase notes with a face value of \$542.9 million, compared to cash payments of \$36.5 million made in the fourth quarter of 2008 for notes with a face value of \$38.5 million.

- 39 -

In February, March and July 2009, we received cash proceeds of \$270.0 million from three 10-year secured debt financings that are secured by 32 rental properties. The secured debt bears interest at a weighted average rate of 7.69% and matures at various points in 2019.

In April 2009, we issued 75.2 million shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$551.4 million. The proceeds from this offering were contributed to DRLP in exchange for additional units in DRLP and were used to repay outstanding borrowings under the DRLP unsecured revolving line of credit and for other general corporate purposes. We had no common stock issuances in 2008, but we issued 7.0 million shares of our common stock in October 2007 for net proceeds of \$232.7 million.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, we opportunistically repurchased a portion of all outstanding series of preferred shares in the open market in order to take advantage of the significant discounts at which they were trading. In total, we repurchased preferred shares having a redemption value of approximately \$27.4 million for \$12.4 million, which resulted in an approximate \$14.0 million gain on repurchase after considering the charge-off of offering costs from those shares.

In March 2008, we settled three forward-starting swaps and made a cash payment of \$14.6 million to the counterparties.

In February 2008, we received net proceeds of approximately \$290.0 million from the issuance of shares of our Series O Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock; we had no new preferred equity issuances in 2009. In October 2007, we redeemed all of the outstanding shares of our 7.990% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock at the liquidation amount of \$132.3 million.

Credit Ratings

We are currently assigned investment grade corporate credit ratings on our senior unsecured notes from Moody s Investors Service and Standard and Poor s Ratings Group. Our senior unsecured notes have been assigned ratings of BBB- and Baa2 by Standard and Poor s Ratings Group and Moody s Investors Service, respectively.

Our preferred shares carry ratings of BB+ and Baa3 from Standard and Poor s Ratings Group and Moody s Investors Service, respectively.

The ratings of our senior unsecured notes and preferred shares could change based upon, among other things, the impact that prevailing economic conditions may have on our results of operations and financial condition.

Financial Instruments

We are exposed to capital market risk, such as changes in interest rates. In order to reduce the volatility relating to interest rate risk, we may enter into interest rate hedging arrangements from time to time. We do not utilize derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Investments in Unconsolidated Companies

We have equity interests generally ranging from 10% to 50% in unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures that own and operate rental properties and hold land for development. Our unconsolidated subsidiaries are primarily engaged in the operations and development of Industrial, Office and Medical Office real estate properties. We hold interests both in joint ventures that operate real estate for long-term investment and rental income (Operating Joint Ventures) as well as joint ventures that develop properties with the intent to sell within a relatively short period of time after completion and lease-up (Development Joint Ventures). The equity method of accounting (see Critical Accounting Policies) is used for these investments in which we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but

not control, over operating and financial policies. As a result, the assets and liabilities of these joint ventures are not included on our balance sheet. Total assets of our unconsolidated subsidiaries were \$2.6 billion as of both December 31, 2009 and 2008.

- 40 -

Our investments in and advances to unconsolidated companies represent approximately 7% and 9% of our total assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These investments provide several benefits to us, including increased market share, tenant and property diversification and an additional source of capital to fund real estate projects.

The following table presents summarized financial information for unconsolidated companies for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively (in thousands, except percentage data):

	Oper	ating	Develo	opment		
	Joint V	Joint Ventures		Joint Ventures		tal
	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
Land, buildings and tenant improvements, net	\$ 1,919,553	\$ 1,802,999	\$ 152,882	\$ 215,385	\$ 2,072,435	\$ 2,018,384
Construction in progress	36,902	44,071	91,355	148,082	128,257	192,153
Undeveloped land	25,323	24,739	151,033	154,285	176,356	179,024
Other assets	201,355	191,149	58,894	47,897	260,249	239,046
	\$ 2,183,133	\$ 2,062,958	\$ 454,164	\$ 565,649	\$ 2,637,297	\$ 2,628,607
	ф. 1.0 2 4.771	¢ 1 0 2 0 015	¢ 205 025	¢ 105.047	¢ 1 210 707	¢ 1 005 5 (0
Indebtedness	\$ 1,024,661		\$ 295,035	\$ 195,947	\$ 1,319,696	
Other liabilities	58,239	56,632	17,154	191,461	75,393	248,093
	1,082,900	1,086,447	312,189	387,408	1,395,089	1,473,855
Owners equity	1,100,233	976,511	141,975	178,241	1,242,208	1,154,752
	\$ 2,183,133	\$ 2,062,958	\$ 454,164	\$ 565,649	\$ 2,637,297	\$ 2,628,607
Rental revenue	\$ 252,973	\$ 230,733	\$ 1,814	\$ 19,579	\$ 254,787	\$ 250,312
Gain on sale of properties	\$-	\$ 982	\$ -	\$ 23,432	\$ -	\$ 24,414
Net income (loss)	\$ 14,030	\$ 22,123	\$ (4,270)	\$ 18,314	\$ 9,760	\$ 40,437
	. ,				. ,	
Total square feet	41,639	39,854	2,568	3,236	44,207	43,090
Percent leased	88.21%	91.19%	66.76%	33.05%	86.31%	86.66%
Company ownership percentage	10%-50%	10%-50%	50%	50%		

We do not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships (special purpose entities) that have been established solely for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements.

Contractual Obligations

At December 31, 2009, we were subject to certain contractual payment obligations as described in the table below:

	Payments due by Period (in thousands)							
Contractual Obligations	Total	2010		2011	2012	2013	2014	Thereafter
Long-term debt (1)	\$ 4,338,743	\$ 301,079	\$	789,057	\$ 377,120	\$612,961	\$ 395,988	\$ 1,862,538
Lines of credit (2)	30,263	4,727		20,372	4,446	718	-	-
Share of debt of unconsolidated joint ventures (3)	591,962	207,817		98,971	60,784	42,368	25,292	156,730
Ground leases	84,436	2,076		2,090	1,950	1,882	1,902	74,536
Operating leases	1,297	518		364	142	102	89	82

Development and construction backlog costs (4)	878,033	472,542	305,491	100,000	-	-	-
Other (5)	1,565	529	223	225	227	88	273

Total Contractual Obligations

\$ 5,926,299 \$ 989,288 \$ 1,216,568 \$ 544,667 \$ 658,258 \$ 423,359 \$ 2,094,159

(1) Our long-term debt consists of both secured and unsecured debt and includes both principal and interest. Interest expense for variable rate debt was calculated using the interest rates as of December 31, 2009.

(2) Our unsecured lines of credit consist of an operating line of credit that matures February 2013 and the line of credit of a consolidated subsidiary that matures July 2011. Interest expense for our unsecured lines of credit was calculated using the most recent stated interest rates that were in effect.

(3) Our share of unconsolidated joint venture debt includes both principal and interest. Interest expense for variable rate debt was calculated using the interest rate at December 31, 2009.

(4) Represents estimated remaining costs on the completion of owned development projects and third-party construction projects.

(5) Represents other contractual obligations.

- 41 -

Related Party Transactions

We provide property management, leasing, construction and other tenant related services to unconsolidated companies in which we have equity interests. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, we earned management fees of \$8.4 million, \$7.8 million and \$7.1 million, leasing fees of \$4.2 million, \$2.8 million and \$4.2 million and construction and development fees of \$10.2 million, \$12.7 million and \$13.1 million from these companies. We recorded these fees based on contractual terms that approximate market rates for these types of services, and we have eliminated our ownership percentages of these fees in the consolidated financial statements.

Commitments and Contingencies

We have guaranteed the repayment of \$82.1 million of economic development bonds issued by various municipalities in connection with certain commercial developments. We will be required to make payments under our guarantees to the extent that incremental taxes from specified developments are not sufficient to pay the bond debt service. Management does not believe that it is probable that we will be required to make any significant payments in satisfaction of these guarantees.

We also have guaranteed the repayment of secured and unsecured loans of eight of our unconsolidated subsidiaries. At December 31, 2009, the maximum guarantee exposure for these loans was approximately \$346.9 million. With the exception of the guarantee of the debt of 3630 Peachtree joint venture, for which we have recorded a contingent liability, management believes that the value of the underlying real estate exceeds the associated loan balances and that we will not be required to satisfy these guarantees.

In October 2000, we sold or contributed industrial properties and undeveloped land with a fair value of \$487.0 million to a joint venture (Dugan Realty LLC) in which we have a 50% interest and recognized a net gain of \$35.2 million. In connection with this transaction, the joint venture partners were given an option to put up to a \$50.0 million interest in the joint venture to us in exchange for our common stock or cash (at our option), subject to certain timing and other restrictions. As a result of this put option, we deferred \$10.2 million of gain on sale of depreciated property and recorded a \$50.0 million liability.

We lease certain land positions with terms extending to May 2070, with a total obligation of \$84.4 million. No payments on these ground leases are material in any individual year.

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of any ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not materially affect our consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On January 1, 2009, we adopted a newly effective accounting standard for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion. The new standard requires separate accounting for the debt and equity components of certain convertible instruments. Our Exchangeable Notes issued in November 2006 have an exchange rate of 20.47 common shares per \$1,000 principal amount of the notes, representing an exchange price of \$48.85 per share of our common stock. The Exchangeable Notes were subject to the accounting changes required by the new standard, which required that the value assigned to the debt component equal the estimated fair value of debt with similar contractual cash flows, but without the conversion feature, resulting in the debt being recorded at a discount. The resulting debt discount will be amortized over the period from its issuance through November 2011, the first optional redemption date, as additional non-cash interest expense.

At December 31, 2009, the Exchangeable Notes had \$235.4 million of principal outstanding, an unamortized discount of \$6.0 million and a net carrying amount of \$229.4 million. The carrying amount of the equity component was \$34.7 million at December 31, 2009. Subsequent to the implementation of the new standard, interest expense is recognized on the Exchangeable Notes at an effective rate of 5.6%. The increase to interest expense (in thousands) on the Exchangeable Notes, which led to a corresponding decrease to net income, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 is summarized as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Interest expense on Exchangeable Notes, excluding effect of accounting for convertible debt	\$ 14,850	\$ 21,574	\$ 21,594
Effect of accounting for convertible debt	5,024	6,536	6,151
Total interest expense on Exchangeable Notes	\$ 19,874	\$ 28,110	\$ 27,745

In June 2009, the FASB issued a new accounting standard that will be effective on January 1, 2010. This accounting standard is a revision to a previous FASB interpretation and changes how a reporting entity evaluates whether an entity is a VIE and which entity is considered the primary beneficiary of a VIE and is therefore required to consolidate such VIE. This accounting standard will also require assessments at each reporting period of which party within the VIE is considered the primary beneficiary and will require a number of new disclosures related to VIE s. We do not anticipate this new accounting standard to have a significant impact on our financial position and results of operations upon adoption.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risks

We are exposed to interest rate changes primarily as a result of our line of credit and long-term borrowings. Our interest rate risk management objective is to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower overall borrowing costs. To achieve our objectives, we borrow primarily at fixed rates. We do not enter into derivative or interest rate transactions for speculative purposes. Our two outstanding swaps, that fixed the rates on two of our variable rate loans, were not significant to the Financial Statements in terms of notional amount or fair value at December 31, 2009.

Our interest rate risk is monitored using a variety of techniques. The table below presents the principal amounts (in thousands) of the expected annual maturities, weighted average interest rates for the average debt outstanding in the specified period, fair values (in thousands) and other terms required to evaluate the expected cash flows and sensitivity to interest rate changes.

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
Fixed rate secured debt	\$ 10,706	\$ 22,975	\$ 10,153	\$ 8,939	\$ 31,290	\$ 681,122	\$ 765,185	\$ 770,255
Weighted average interest rate	6.91%	7.16%	6.76%	6.61%	7.44%	6.62%		
Variable rate secured debt	\$ 750	\$ 785	\$ 12,748	\$ 880	\$ 935	\$ 3,400	\$ 19,498	\$ 14,419
Weighted average interest rate	0.80%	0.81%	4.73%	0.83%	0.83%	0.56%		
Fixed rate unsecured notes	\$ 99,849	\$ 583,575	\$ 200,000	\$ 475,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 1,450,000	\$ 3,058,424	\$ 3,042,230
Weighted average interest rate	5.37%	5.35%	5.87%	6.50%	6.33%	6.79%		
Unsecured lines of credit	\$ -	\$ 15,770	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ 15,770	\$ 14,714
Rate at December 31, 2009	N/A	1.08%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

As the table incorporates only those exposures that exist as of December 31, 2009, it does not consider those exposures or positions that could arise after that date. As a result, our ultimate realized gain or loss with respect to interest rate fluctuations will depend on the exposures that arise during the period, our hedging strategies at that time to the extent we are party to interest rate derivatives, and interest rates. Interest expense on our unsecured lines of credit will be affected by fluctuations in LIBOR indices as well as changes in our credit rating.

- 43 -

At December 31, 2009 the redemption value of our unsecured notes was \$3.1 billion and we estimated the fair value of those unsecured notes to be \$3.0 billion, whereas at December 31, 2008 the redemption value of our unsecured notes was \$3.3 billion and our estimate of the fair value was \$2.2 billion. Our unsecured notes are thinly traded and our estimate of the fair value of those notes, when compared to their redemption value, has increased significantly since December 31, 2008 largely as the result of recent comparable trades being completed at significantly lower, or no, discounts.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The financial statements and supplementary data are included under Item 15 of this Report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

There was no change or disagreement with our accountants related to our accounting and financial disclosures.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Report. The controls evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer.

Attached as exhibits to this Report are certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, which are required in accordance with Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. This Controls and Procedures section includes the information concerning the controls evaluation referred to in the certifications and it should be read in conjunction with the certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15 and 15d-15f under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Company s principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based on the disclosure controls and procedures evaluation referenced above, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management s annual report on internal control over financial reporting and the audit report of our registered public accounting firm are included in Item 15 of Part IV under the headings Management s Report on Internal Control and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, respectively, and are incorporated herein by reference.

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2009, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

There was no information required to be disclosed in a report on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of 2009 for which no Form 8-K was filed.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following is a summary of the executive officers of the Company as of January 1, 2010:

Dennis D. Oklak, age 56. Mr. Oklak joined the Company in 1986. He held various senior executive positions within the Company and was promoted to Chief Executive Officer and joined the Company s Board of Directors in April 2004. In April 2005, Mr. Oklak was appointed Chairman of the Board of Directors. Mr. Oklak serves on the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, and is a member of the Real Estate Roundtable and co-chair of the Roundtable s Sustainability Policy Advisory Committee. From 2003 to 2009, Mr. Oklak was a member of the board of directors of publicly-traded recreational vehicle manufacturer, Monaco Coach Corporation. He also is a member of the board of directors and the Executive Committee of the Central Indiana Corporate Partnership and serves on the Dean s Executive Advisory Board of Ball State University s Miller College of Business. Mr. Oklak has served as a director of the Company since 2004.

Christie B. Kelly, age 48. Ms. Kelly was appointed as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company effective February 27, 2009. Ms. Kelly has 25 years of experience ranging from financial planning and strategic development to senior leadership roles in financial management, mergers and acquisitions, information technology and investment banking. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Kelly served as Senior Vice President of the Global Real Estate Group at Lehman Brothers from 2007 to February 2009. Previously, Ms. Kelly was employed by General Electric Company from 1983 to 2007 and served in numerous finance and operational leadership roles, including Business Development Leader for Mergers and Acquisitions for GE Real Estate from 2003 to 2007.

Howard L. Feinsand, age 62. Mr. Feinsand has served as the Company s Executive Vice President and General Counsel since 1999, and, since 2003, also has served as our Corporate Secretary. Mr. Feinsand served on the Company s Board of Directors from 1988 to January 2003. From 1996 until 1999, Mr. Feinsand was the founder and principal of Choir Capital Ltd. From 1995 until 1996, he was Managing Director of Citicorp North America, Inc. He was the Senior Vice President and Manager-Capital Markets, Pricing and Investor Programs of GE Capital Aviation Services, Inc. from 1989 to 1995. From 1971 through 1989, Mr. Feinsand practiced law in New York City. Mr. Feinsand serves as Chair of the Board of Directors of The Alliance Theatre at the Woodruff Arts Center in Atlanta, Georgia and as Vice Chair of the Board of Trustees and member of the Executive Committee of the Woodruff Arts Center. Mr. Feinsand is a trustee of the Jewish Federation of Greater Atlanta.

Steven R. Kennedy, age **53**. Mr. Kennedy was named Executive Vice President, Construction on January 1, 2004. From 1986 until 2004, he served in various capacities in the construction group, most recently as Senior Vice President. Mr. Kennedy serves as Vice Chair of the advisory council for Purdue University s School of Engineering.

All other information required by this item will be included in our 2010 proxy statement (the 2010 Proxy Statement) for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 28, 2010, and is incorporated herein by this reference. Certain information with respect to our executive officers required by this item is included in the discussion entitled

Executive Officer of the Registrant after Item 4 of Part I of this Report. In addition, our Code of Conduct (which applies to each of our associates, officers and directors) and our Corporate Governance Guidelines are available in the investor information/corporate governance section of our website at www.dukerealty.com. A copy of these documents may also be obtained without charge by writing to Duke Realty Corporation, 600 East 96th Street, Suite 100, Indianapolis, Indiana 46240, Attention: Investor Relations.

- 45 -

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by Item 11 of this Report will be included in our 2010 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by Item 12 of this Report will be included in our 2010 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required to be furnished pursuant to Item 13 of this Report will be included in our 2010 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required to be furnished pursuant to Item 14 of this Report will be included in our 2010 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements

The following Consolidated Financial Statements, together with the Management s Report on Internal Control and the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm are listed below:

Management s Report on Internal Control Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2009 and 2008 Consolidated Statements of Operations, Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity, Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

3. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed with this Form 10-K or incorporated herein by reference to the listed document previously filed with the SEC. Previously unfiled documents are noted with an asterisk (*).

Number

Description

^{3.1(i)} Fourth Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Duke Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on July 30, 2009, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).

- 46 -

3.2(i)	Fourth Amended and Restated Bylaws of Duke Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on July 30, 2009, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.1(i)	Indenture, dated September 19, 1995, between DRLP and The First National Bank of Chicago, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on September 22, 1995, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.1(ii)	Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated August 21, 1997, between DRLP and The First National Bank of Chicago, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.8 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-4, as filed with the SEC on May 4, 1999, File No. 333-77645, and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.1(iii)	Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated February 12, 1999, between DRLP and The First National Bank of Chicago, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4 to DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on February 12, 1999, File No. 000-20625, and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.1(iv)	Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated November 16, 1999, between DRLP and Bank One Trust Company, N.A., Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4 to the DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on November 15, 1999, File No. 000-20625, and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.1(v)	Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated March 5, 2001, between DRLP and Bank One Trust Company, N.A., Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4 to the DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on March 2, 2001, File No. 000-20625, and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.1(vi)	Eleventh Supplemental Indenture, dated August 26, 2002, between DRLP and Bank One Trust Company, N.A., Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4 to the DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on August 26, 2002, File No. 000-20625, and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.1(vii)	Twelfth Supplemental Indenture, dated January 16, 2003, between DRLP and Bank One Trust Company, N.A., Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4 to the DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on January 16, 2003, File No. 000-20625, and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.1(viii)	Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated May 22, 2003, between DRLP and Bank One Trust Company, N.A., Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4 to the DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on May 22, 2003, File No. 000-20625, and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.1(ix)	Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture, dated August 16, 2004, between DRLP and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4 to the DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on August 18, 2004, File No. 000-20625, and incorporated herein by this reference).
4.1(x)	Nineteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2006, by and between DRLP and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association (successor in interest to Bank One Trust Company, N.A.), including the form of global note evidencing the 5.5% Senior Notes Due 2016 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on March 3, 2006, File No. 000-20625, and incorporated herein by this reference).

- 4.1(xi) Twentieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 24, 2006, by and between DRLP and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association (successor in interest to The First National Bank of Chicago), modifying certain financial covenants contained in Sections 1004 and 1005 of the Indenture, dated September 19, 1995, between DRLP and The First National Bank of Chicago, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on July 28, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 4.2(i) Indenture, dated as of July 28, 2006, by and between DRLP and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3, filed with the SEC on July 31, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 24, 2006, by and between DRLP and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, including the form of global note evidencing the 5.625% Senior Notes Due 2011 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on August 30, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 4.2(iii) Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 24, 2006, by and between DRLP and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, including the form of global note evidencing the 5.95% Senior Notes Due 2017 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on August 30, 2006, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- 4.2(iv) Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 11, 2007, by and between Duke Realty Limited Partnership and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association), including the form of global note evidencing the 6.50% Senior Notes Due 2018 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Duke Realty Limited Partnership, filed with the Commission on September 11, 2007).
- 4.2(v) Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 8, 2008, by and between Duke Realty Limited Partnership and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association), including the form of global note evidencing the 6.25% Senior Notes due 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Duke Realty Limited Partnership, filed with the Commission on May 8, 2008).
- ^{10.1(i)} Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of DRLP (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on November 3, 2009, File No. 000-20625).
- 10.3 Promissory Note of the Services Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-2, as filed with the SEC on June 8, 1993, File No. 33-64038, and incorporated herein by this reference).
- ^{10.4} Duke Realty Corporation 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Appendix A to the Company s Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, dated March 16, 2005, as filed with the SEC on March 16, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- Duke Realty Corporation 2005 Shareholder Value Plan, a sub-plan of the 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 99.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on May 3, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- Duke Realty Corporation Non-Employee Directors Compensation Plan, a sub-plan of the 2005
 Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 99.3 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the SEC on May 3, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#

- 48 -

10.6(ii)	Amendment One to the Duke Realty Corporation 2005 Non-Employee Directors Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on October 31, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated by this reference).#
10.6(iii)	Amendment Two to the Duke Realty Corporation 2005 Non-Employee Directors Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on February 7, 2006, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated by this reference).#
10.6(iv)	Amendment Three to the Duke Realty Corporation 2005 Non-Employee Directors Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on November 8, 2006, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated by this reference).#
10.7	Form of 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan Stock Option Award Certificate (filed as Exhibit 99.4 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on May 3, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.8	Form of 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan Award Certificate for Restricted Stock Units and Shareholder Value Plan Awards (filed as Exhibit 99.5 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on May 3, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.9	Form of 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Certificate for Non-Employee Directors (filed as Exhibit 99.6 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on May 3, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.10	Duke Realty Corporation 2005 Dividend Increase Unit Replacement Plan (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on December 9, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.11	Form of Forfeiture Agreement/Performance Unit Award Agreement (filed as Exhibit 99.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on December 9, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.15(i)	1995 Key Employee Stock Option Plan of the Company (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995, as filed with the SEC on March 30, 1995, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.15(ii)	Amendment One To The 1995 Key Employees Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.19 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.15(iii)	Amendment Two to the 1995 Key Employees Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.15(iv)	Amendment Three to the 1995 Key Employees Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.21 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#

- 10.15(v) Amendment Four to the 1995 Key Employees Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.15(vi) Amendment Five to the 1995 Key Employees Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.23 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.15(vii) Amendment Six to the 1995 Key Employees Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.24 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.15(viii) Amendment Seven to the 1995 Key Employees Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on November 13, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.15(ix) Amendment Eight to the 1995 Key Employees Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.15(ix) to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, as filed with the SEC on March 1, 2007, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference.) #
- 10.15(x) Amendment Nine to the 1995 Key Employees Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on October 9, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.15(xi) Amendment Ten to the 1995 Key Employees Stock Option Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on November 8, 2006, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- Dividend Increase Unit Plan of the Services Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.25 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.16(ii) Amendment One to the Dividend Increase Unit Plan of the Services Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.26 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.16(iii) Amendment Two to the Dividend Increase Unit Plan of the Services Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.27 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.16(iv) Amendment Three to the Dividend Increase Unit Plan of the Services Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on November 13, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.16(v) Amendment Four to the Dividend Increase Unit Plan of the Services Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.40 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, as filed with the SEC on March 7, 2006, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#

10.17(i)	1995 Shareholder Value Plan of the Services Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Company	s Annual
	Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995, as filed with the SEC on March 30,	1995,
	File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#	

- 10.17(ii) Amendment One to the 1995 Shareholder Value Plan of the Services Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.29 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.17(iii) Amendment Two to the 1995 Shareholder Value Plan of the Services Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.30 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.17(iv) Amendment Three to the 1995 Shareholder Value Plan of the Services Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.31 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K405 for the year ended December 31, 2001, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.17(v) Amendment Four to the 1995 Shareholder Value Plan of the Services Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on November 13, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.17(vi) Amendment Five to the 1995 Shareholder Value Plan of the Services Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on October 9, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.18(i)
 1999 Directors Stock Option and Dividend Increase Unit Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Annex F to the prospectus in the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-4, as filed with the SEC on May 4, 1999, File No. 333-77645, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.18(ii) Amendment One to the 1999 Directors Stock Option and Dividend Increase Unit Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Appendix B of the Registrant s Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.19(i) 1999 Salary Replacement Stock Option and Dividend Increase Unit Plan (filed as Annex G to the prospectus in the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-4, as filed with the SEC on May 4, 1999, File No. 333-77645, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.19(ii) Amendment One to the 1999 Salary Replacement Stock Option and Dividend Increase Unit Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on November 13, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 10.19(iii) Amendment Two to the 1999 Salary Replacement Stock Option and Dividend Increase Unit Plan of Duke Realty Investments, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on November 13, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
- 2000 Performance Share Plan of Duke-Weeks Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit A of the Registrant s Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, as filed with the SEC on March 15, 2001, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#

10.20(ii)	Amendment One to the 2000 Performance Share Plan of Duke-Weeks Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on November 13, 2002, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.20(iii)	Amendment Two to the 2000 Performance Share Plan of Duke-Weeks Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.42 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, as filed with the SEC on March 5, 2004, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.20(iv)	Amendment Three to the 2000 Performance Share Plan of Duke-Weeks Realty Corporation, (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on May 2, 2006, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.21(i)	Directors Deferred Compensation Plan of Duke-Weeks Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on November 8, 2006, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.21(ii)	Amendment One to the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan of Duke-Weeks Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.21(ii) to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, as filed with the SEC on March 1, 2007, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.21(iii)	Amendment Two to the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan of Duke-Weeks Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on October 9, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.21(iv)	Amendment Three to the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan of Duke-Weeks Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 99.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8, as filed with the SEC on March 24, 2004, File No. 333-113907, and incorporated herein by this reference).#
10.22	Term Loan Agreement, Dated May 31, 2005, by and between DRLP, the Company, J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc., JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. and the several banks, financial institutions and other entities from time to time parties thereto as lenders (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on June 6, 2005, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.23 (i)	Form of Letter Agreement Regarding Executive Severance, dated December 13, 2007, between the Company, as the General Partner of DRLP, and the following executive officers: Dennis D. Oklak, Howard L. Feinsand and Steven R. Kennedy (filed as Exhibit 10.23 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the SEC on February 29, 2008, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.23(ii)	Form of Letter Agreement Regarding Executive Severance, dated May 7, 2009, between the Company and Christie B. Kelly (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on May 8, 2009, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).

10.24	Commercial Multi-Property Agreement of Purchase and Sale, dated January 24, 2006, by and among DRLP, The Mark Winkler Company, and each of the other entities controlled by or affiliated with The Mark Winkler Company named therein, as amended by the First Amendment to Commercial Multi-Property Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated February 28, 2006, the Second Amendment to Commercial Multi-Property Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated March 10, 2006, and the Third Amendment to Commercial Multi-Property Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated March 10, 2006, and the Third Amendment to Commercial Multi-Property Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated March 10, 2006, and the Third Amendment to Commercial Multi-Property Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated March 10, 2006, and the Third Amendment to Commercial Multi-Property Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated March 10, 2006, and the Third Amendment to Commercial Multi-Property Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated March 10, 2006, and the Third Amendment to Commercial Multi-Property Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated March 10, 2006, and the Third Amendment to Commercial Multi-Property Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated March 10, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on May 10, 2006, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.25(i)	Sixth Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated November 20, 2009, among DRLP, the Company, J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc., Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, and JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA(filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on November 25, 2009, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.26	Term Loan Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2006, by and among DRLP, as borrower, the Company, as General Partner and Guarantor, certain of their respective subsidiaries, as guarantors, Bank of America, N.A., individually and as Administrative Agent, Banc of America Securities LLC, as Lead Arranger and Sole Book Runner, and each of the other lenders named therein (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on March 3, 2006, File No. 000-20625, and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.27	Indenture, dated November 22, 2006, by and among DRLP, the Company and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., as trustee, including the form of 3.75% Exchangeable Senior Note due 2011 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on November 29, 2006, File No. 000-20625, and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.28	Registration Rights Agreement, dated November 22, 2006, by and among DRLP, the Company, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and UBS Securities LLC, as representatives of the initial purchasers of the Notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 1 to DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on November 29, 2006, File No. 000-20625, and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.29	Common Stock Delivery Agreement, dated November 22, 2006, by and between DRLP and the Company (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to DRLP s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on November 29, 2006, File No. 000-20625, and incorporated herein by this reference).
10.30	Contribution Agreement, dated December 5, 2006, by and between DRLP and Quantico and Belbrook Realty Corporation, an affiliate of an investment fund managed by Eaton Vance (filed as Exhibit 10.30 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, as filed with the SEC on March 1, 2007, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).(1)
10.31	Contribution Agreement, dated December 5, 2006, by and between DRLP and Lafayette and Belcrest Realty Corporation, an affiliate of an investment fund managed by Eaton Vance (filed as Exhibit 10.31 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, as filed with the SEC on March 1, 2007, File No. 001-09044, and incorporated herein by this reference).(1)

- Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends.*
- ^{21.1} List of the Company s Subsidiaries.*
- 23.1 Consent of KPMG LLP.*
- 24.1 Executed Powers of Attorney of certain directors.*
- ^{31.1} Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
- ^{31.2} Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
- ^{32.1} Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* **
- ^{32.2} Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* **

99.1 Selected Quarterly Financial Information.*

Represents management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

* Filed herewith.

** The certifications attached as Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 accompany this Report and are furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not be deemed filed by us for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

(1) Confidential treatment of the agreement was requested.

We will furnish to any security holder, upon written request, copies of any exhibit incorporated by reference, for a fee of 15 cents per page, to cover the costs of furnishing the exhibits. Written requests should include a representation that the person making the request was the beneficial owner of securities entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

(b) Exhibits

The exhibits required to be filed with this Report pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K are listed under Exhibits in Part IV, Item 15(a)(3) of this Report and are incorporated herein by reference.

(c) Financial Statement Schedule

The Financial Statement Schedule required to be filed with this Report is listed under Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules in Part IV, Item 15(a)(2) of this Report, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Management s Report on Internal Control

We, as management of Duke Realty Corporation and its subsidiaries (Duke), are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company s board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets of the company;

Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and

Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management has evaluated the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 based on the control criteria established in a report entitled Internal Control Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on such evaluation, we have concluded that, as of December 31, 2009, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on these criteria.

The independent registered public accounting firm of KPMG LLP, as auditors of Duke s consolidated financial statements, has also issued an audit report on Duke s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Dennis D. Oklak Dennis D. Oklak Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Christie B. KellyChristie B. KellyExecutive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

- 55 -

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Shareholders and Directors of

Duke Realty Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Duke Realty Corporation and Subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows and changes in equity for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement schedule III. We also have audited the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements and the financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial statements and the financial statement schedule and an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Duke Realty Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with U.S generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule III, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also, in our opinion, Duke Realty Corporation and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control* - *Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana

February 26, 2010

- 56 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets

As of December 31,

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	2009	2008
ASSETS		
Real estate investments:		
Land and improvements	\$ 1,106,016	\$ 1,077,362
Buildings and tenant improvements	5,284,103	5,220,561
Construction in progress	103,298	159,330
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated companies	501,121	693,503
Undeveloped land	660,723	806,379
	7,655,261	7,957,135
Accumulated depreciation	(1,311,733)	(1,167,113)
Net real estate investments	6,343,528	6,790,022
Cash and cash equivalents	147.322	22,532
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$3,198 and \$1,777	20,604	28,026
Straight-line rent receivable, net of allowance of \$6,929 and \$4,086	131,934	123,863
Receivables on construction contracts, including retentions	18,755	75,100
Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$37,577 and \$38,046	54,489	47,907
Deferred leasing and other costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$240,151 and \$195,034	371,286	369,224
Escrow deposits and other assets	216,361	234,209
	\$ 7,304,279	\$ 7,690,883
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Indebtedness:		
Secured debt	\$ 785,797	\$ 507,351
Unsecured notes	3,052,465	3,285,980
Unsecured lines of credit	15,770	483,659
	3,854,032	4,276,990
Construction payables and amounts due subcontractors, including retentions	43,147	105,227
Accrued real estate taxes	84,347	78,483
Accrued interest	62,971	56,376
Other accrued expenses	48,758	45,059
Other liabilities	198,906	187,425
Tenant security deposits and prepaid rents	44,258	41,348
Total liabilities	4,336,419	4,790,908
Shareholders equity:		
Preferred shares (\$.01 par value); 5,000 shares authorized; 4,067 shares issued and outstanding	1,016,625	1,016,625
Common shares (\$.01 par value); 400,000 shares authorized; 224,029 and 148,420 shares issued and		
outstanding	2,240	1,484

Additional paid-in capital	3,267,196	2,702,513
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(5,630)	(8,652)
Distributions in excess of net income	(1,355,086)	(867,951)
Total shareholders equity	2,925,345	2,844,019
Noncontrolling interests	42,515	55,956
Total equity	2,967,860	2,899,975
	\$ 7,304,279	\$ 7,690,883

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 57 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the Years Ended December 31,

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	2009	2008	2007
Revenues:			
Rental and related revenue	\$ 894,580	\$ 857,559	\$ 810,547
General contractor and service fee revenue	449,509	434,624	311,548
	1,344,089	1,292,183	1,122,095
	, ,	, ,	, ,
Expenses:		101.044	154.004
Rental expenses	203,537	191,264	176,036
Real estate taxes	119,113	103,819	93,707
General contractor and other services expenses	427,666	418,743	287,936
Depreciation and amortization	338,975	308,139	269,685
	1,089,291	1,021,965	827,364
Other operating activities:			
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated companies	9,896	23,817	29,381
Gain on sale of properties	12,337	39,057	34,682
Earnings from sales of land	357	12,651	33,998
Undeveloped land carrying costs	(10,403)	(8,204)	(6,502)
Impairment charges	(302,811)	(18,463)	(5,658)
General and administrative expense	(47,937)	(39,508)	(37,727)
	(338,561)	9,350	48,174
	(556,501)	9,550	40,174
Operating income (loss)	(83,763)	279,568	342,905
Other income (expenses):	(03,703)	279,508	542,905
Interest and other income, net	1,229	1,451	2,771
Interest expense	(220,239)	(198,449)	(174,981)
Gain on debt transactions	20,700	1,953	(174,901)
Loss on business combinations	,	1,955	-
Loss on business combinations	(1,062)	-	-
	(202 125)	04 500	170 (05
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(283,135)	84,523	170,695
Income tax benefit (expense)	6,070	7,005	(6,260)
		01 500	1 < 1 105
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(277,065)	91,528	164,435
Discontinued operations:	(190)		
Income (loss) before impairment charges and gain on sales	(439)	3,185	5,553
Impairment charges	(772)	(1,266)	-
Gain on sale of depreciable properties	6,786	16,961	121,071
Income from discontinued operations	5,575	18,880	126,624
Net income (loss)	(271,490)	110,408	291,059
Dividends on preferred shares	(73,451)	(71,426)	(58,292)
Gain (loss) on redemption or repurchase of preferred shares, net	-	14,046	(3,483)
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	11,340	(2,620)	(17,342)

Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ (333,601)		\$	50,408	\$	211,942
Basic net income (loss) per common share:						
Continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	\$	(1.70)	\$	0.20	\$	0.60
Discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders	Ŧ	0.03	Ŷ	0.13	Ŷ	0.91
Total	\$	(1.67)	\$	0.33	\$	1.51
Diluted net income (loss) per common share:						
Continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	\$	(1.70)	\$	0.20	\$	0.60
Discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders		0.03		0.13		0.91
Total	\$	(1.67)	\$	0.33	\$	1.51
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding		201,206		146,915		139,255
Weighted average number of common shares and potential dilutive securities		201,206		154,553		149,250

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 58 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Years Ended December 31,

(in thousands)

	2009	2008	2007
Cash flows from operating activities:	* (** 1, 10.0)	* 110 100	
Net income (loss)	\$ (271,490)	\$ 110,408	\$ 291,059
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation of buildings and tenant improvements	266,803	246,441	214,477
Amortization of deferred leasing and other costs	73,323	68,511	63,214
Amortization of deferred financing costs	13,679	13,640	11,212
Straight-line rent adjustment	(18,832)	(15,118)	(16,843)
Impairment charges	303,583	19,729	5,658
Gain on debt extinguishment	(20,700)	(1,953)	-
Loss on business combination	1,062	-	-
Deferred tax asset valuation	7,278	-	-
Earnings from land and depreciated property sales	(19,480)	(29,612)	(154,493)
Build-for-Sale operations, net	14,482	80,751	(84,547)
Construction contracts, net	(4,583)	125,855	(25,818)
Other accrued revenues and expenses, net	46,814	18,577	24,643
Operating distributions received in excess of (less than) equity in earnings from unconsolidated companies	8,533	5,618	(4,631)
Net cash provided by operating activities	400,472	642,847	323,931
		0.12,017	020,701
Cash flows from investing activities:		(10(05()	(151 1 (2))
Development of real estate investments	(268,890)	(436,256)	(451,162)
Acquisition of real estate investments and related intangible assets	(31,658)	(20,123)	(116,021)
Acquisition of undeveloped land	(5,474)	(40,893)	(317,324)
Recurring tenant improvements, leasing costs and building improvements	(79,054)	(74,814)	(85,936)
Other deferred leasing costs	(23,329)	(30,498)	(44,674)
Other assets	(392)	281	5,931
Proceeds from land and depreciated property sales, net	256,330	116,563	480,943
Capital distributions from unconsolidated companies	-	95,392	235,754
Capital contributions and advances to unconsolidated companies, net	(23,481)	(132,244)	(142,330)
Net cash used for investing activities	(175,948)	(522,592)	(434,819)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of common shares, net	551,136	17,100	240,802
Proceeds from issuance of preferred shares, net	-	290,014	-
Payments for redemption/repurchases of preferred shares	-	(12,405)	(132,272)
Proceeds from unsecured debt issuance	500,000	325,000	340,160
Payments on and repurchases of unsecured debt	(707,016)	(261,479)	(223,657)
Proceeds from secured debt financings	290,418	-	-
Payments on secured indebtedness including principal amortization	(11,396)	(55,600)	(24,780)
Borrowings (payments) on lines of credit, net	(467,889)	(62,408)	229,067
Distributions to common shareholders	(151,333)	(283,375)	(265,698)
Distributions to preferred shareholders	(73,451)	(71,439)	(58,292)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests, net	(1,524)	(12,837)	(19,576)
Cash settlement of interest rate swaps	-	(14,625)	10,747
Deferred financing costs	(28,679)	(3,681)	(6,084)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(99,734)	(145,735)	90,417

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	124,790	(25,480)	(20,471)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	22,532	48,012	68,483
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 147,322	\$ 22,532	\$ 48,012
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Assumption of secured debt for real estate acquisitions	\$ -	\$ 39,480	\$ 34,259
Contribution of property to, net of debt assumed by, unconsolidated companies	\$ 20,663	\$ 133,312	\$ 146,593
Consolidation of previously unconsolidated companies	\$ 206,852	\$ -	\$ -
Distribution of property from unconsolidated company	\$ -	\$ 76,449	\$ -
Conversion of Limited Partner Units to common shares	\$ 592	\$ 13,149	\$ 179,092
Issuance of Limited Partner Units for acquisition	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,020

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 59 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

(in thousands, except per share data)

		(Common Share	holders Accumulated				
			Additional	Other	D	istributions	Non-	
	Preferred Stock	ommon Stock	Paid-in Capital	Comprehensive Income (Loss)		Excess of let Income	ontrolling nterests	Total
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$ 876,250	\$ 1,339	\$ 2,231,059	\$ 5,435	\$	(577,998)	\$ 156,809	\$ 2,692,894
Comprehensive Income:								
Net income	-	-	-	-		273,717	17,342	291,059
Derivative instrument activity	-	-	-	(6,714)		-	-	(6,714)
Comprehensive income								284,345
Issuance of common shares	-	73	239,532	-		-	-	239,605
Redemption of Preferred Series B shares	(132,250)	-	(22)	-		-	-	(132,272)
Adjustment for carrying value of preferred share			2 492			(2,492)		
redemption	-	-	3,483	-		(3,483)	-	-
Stock based compensation plan activity	-	2	14,190	-		(1,213)	-	12,979
Conversion of Limited Partner Units	-	48	179,044	-		-	(82,367)	96,725
Distributions to preferred shareholders	-	-	-	-		(58,292)	-	(58,292)
Distributions to common shareholders (\$1.91 per share)	-	-	-	-		(265,698)	-	(265,698)
Issuance of Limited Partner Units for acquisition	-	-	-	-		-	11,020	11,020
Distributions to noncontrolling interests, net	-	-	-	-		-	(19,566)	(19,566)
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 744,000	\$ 1,462	\$ 2,667,286	\$ (1,279)	\$	(632,967)	\$ 83,238	\$ 2,861,740
Comprehensive Income:								
Net income	-	-	-	-		107,788	2,620	110,408
Derivative instrument activity	-	-	-	(7,373)				(7,373)
Comprehensive income				(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				103,035
Issuance of preferred shares	300.000	-	(10,000)	-		-	-	290.000
Issuance of common shares	-	9	15.482	-		-	_	15,491
Stock based compensation plan activity		2	15,683	-		(2,017)	-	13,668
Conversion of Limited Partner Units	_	11	13,138	-		(2,017)	(17,065)	(3,916)
Distributions to preferred shareholders		-	-			(71,426)	(17,000)	(71,426)
Repurchase of preferred shares	(27,375)	_	924	-		14,046	-	(12,405)
Distributions to common shareholders (\$1.93 per share)	(27,575)		24			(283,375)	-	(283,375)
Distributions to common shareholders (\$1.55 per share)	_					(205,575)	(12,837)	(12,837)
Distributions to honcontrolling interests, net							(12,057)	(12,057)
			-	-		-		
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 1,016,625	\$ 1,484	\$ 2,702,513	\$ (8,652)	\$	(867,951)	\$ 55,956	\$ 2,899,975
Balance at December 31, 2008 Comprehensive Loss:	\$ 1,016,625	\$ 1,484	\$ 2,702,513	\$ (8,652)	\$	(867,951)	\$ 55,956	\$ 2,899,975
	\$ 1,016,625	\$ 1,484	\$ 2,702,513	\$ (8,652)	\$		\$,	
Comprehensive Loss: Net loss	\$ 1,016,625	\$ 1,484 - -	\$ 2,702,513	-	\$	(867,951) (260,150)	\$ 55,956 (11,340)	(271,490)
Comprehensive Loss: Net loss Derivative instrument activity	\$ 1,016,625 - -	\$ 1,484 - -	\$ 2,702,513		\$		\$ (11,340)	(271,490) 3,022
Comprehensive Loss: Net loss Derivative instrument activity Comprehensive loss	\$ 1,016,625 - -	\$ -	-	-	\$		\$ (11,340)	(271,490) 3,022 (268,468)
Comprehensive Loss: Net loss Derivative instrument activity Comprehensive loss Issuance of common shares	-	\$ 752	550,652	3,022	\$	(260,150)	\$ (11,340)	(271,490) 3,022 (268,468) 551,404
Comprehensive Loss: Net loss Derivative instrument activity Comprehensive loss Issuance of common shares Stock based compensation plan activity	-	\$ - - 752 2	- 550,652 13,441	3,022	\$	(260,150) - (2,186)	\$ (11,340)	(271,490) 3,022 (268,468)
Comprehensive Loss: Net loss Derivative instrument activity Comprehensive loss Issuance of common shares Stock based compensation plan activity Conversion of Limited Partner Units		\$ - 752 2 2	550,652 13,441 590	3,022	\$	(260,150) (2,186) (15)	\$ (11,340)	(271,490) 3,022 (268,468) 551,404 11,257
Comprehensive Loss: Net loss Derivative instrument activity Comprehensive loss Issuance of common shares Stock based compensation plan activity Conversion of Limited Partner Units Distributions to preferred shareholders	-	\$ - - 752 2	- 550,652 13,441	3,022	\$	(260,150) (2,186) (15) (73,451)	\$ (11,340)	(271,490) 3,022 (268,468) 551,404 11,257 (73,451)
Comprehensive Loss: Net loss Derivative instrument activity Comprehensive loss Issuance of common shares Stock based compensation plan activity Conversion of Limited Partner Units		\$ - 752 2 2	550,652 13,441 590	3,022	\$	(260,150) (2,186) (15)	\$ (11,340)	(271,490) 3,022 (268,468) 551,404 11,257

Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 1,016,625	\$ 2,240	\$ 3,267,196	\$ (5,630)	\$ (1,355,086)	\$ 42,515	\$ 2,967,860

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(1) The Company

Substantially all of our Rental Operations (see Note 9) are conducted through Duke Realty Limited Partnership (DRLP). We owned approximately 97.1% of the common partnership interests of DRLP (Units) at December 31, 2009. At the option of the holders, subject to certain restrictions, the remaining Units are redeemable for shares of our common stock on a one-to-one basis and earn dividends at the same rate as shares of our common stock. If determined to be necessary in order to continue to qualify as a REIT, we may elect to purchase the Units for an equivalent amount of cash rather than issuing shares of common stock upon redemption. We conduct our Service Operations (see Note 9) through Duke Realty Services LLC and Duke Realty Services Limited Partnership, of which we are the sole general partner and of which DRLP is the sole limited partner. We also conduct Service Operations through Duke Construction Limited Partnership, which is owned through a taxable REIT subsidiary and is effectively 100% owned by DRLP. The terms we, us and our refer to Duke Realty Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) and those entities owned or controlled by the Company.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies <u>FASB Codification</u>

On July 1, 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC or the Codification) that establishes the exclusive authoritative reference for accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for use in financial statements, except for SEC rules and interpretive releases, which are also authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. The Codification superseded all existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards but did not impact any of our existing accounting policies.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include our accounts and the accounts of our majority-owned or controlled subsidiaries. The equity interests in these controlled subsidiaries not owned by us are reflected as noncontrolling interests in the consolidated financial statements. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements. Investments in entities that we do not control through majority voting interest or where the other owner has substantial participating rights are not consolidated and are reflected as investments in unconsolidated companies under the equity method of reporting.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for 2008 and 2007 have been reclassified to conform to the 2009 consolidated financial statement presentation.

<u>Real Estate Investments</u>

Rental real property, including land, land improvements, buildings and tenant improvements, are included in real estate investments and are generally stated at cost. Construction in process and undeveloped land are included in real

Table of Contents

estate investments and are stated at cost. Real estate investments also include our equity interests in unconsolidated joint ventures that own and operate rental properties and hold land for development.

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Depreciation

Buildings and land improvements are depreciated on the straight-line method over their estimated life not to exceed 40 and 15 years, respectively, and tenant improvement costs are depreciated using the straight-line method over the term of the related lease.

Cost Capitalization

Direct and certain indirect costs clearly associated with and incremental to the development, construction, leasing or expansion of real estate investments are capitalized as a cost of the property. In addition, all leasing commissions paid to third parties for new leases or lease renewals are capitalized. We capitalize a portion of our indirect costs associated with our construction, development and leasing efforts. In assessing the amount of direct and indirect costs to be capitalized, allocations are made based on estimates of the actual amount of time spent in each activity. We do not capitalize any costs attributable to downtime or to unsuccessful projects.

We capitalize direct and indirect project costs associated with the initial construction of a property up to the time the property is substantially complete and ready for its intended use. In addition, we capitalize costs, including real estate taxes, insurance, and utilities, that have been allocated to vacant space based on the square footage of the portion of the building not held available for immediate occupancy during the extended lease-up periods after construction of the building shell has been completed if costs are being incurred to ready the vacant space for its intended use. If costs and activities incurred to ready the vacant space cease, then cost capitalization is also discontinued until such activities are resumed. Once necessary work has been completed on a vacant space, project costs are no longer capitalized.

We cease capitalization of all project costs on extended lease-up periods when significant activities have ceased, which does not exceed the shorter of a one-year period after the completion of the building shell or when the property attains 90% occupancy.

Impairment

We evaluate our real estate assets, with the exception of those that are classified as held-for-sale, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If such an evaluation is considered necessary, we compare the carrying amount of that real estate asset, or asset group, with the expected undiscounted cash flows that are directly associated with, and that are expected to arise as a direct result of, the use and eventual disposition of that asset, or asset group. Our estimate of the expected future cash flows used in testing for impairment is based on, among other things, our estimates regarding future market conditions, rental rates, occupancy levels, costs of tenant improvements, leasing commissions and other tenant concessions, assumptions regarding the residual value of our properties at the end of our anticipated holding period and the length of our anticipated holding period and is, therefore, subjective by nature. These assumptions could differ materially from actual results. If our strategy changes or if market conditions otherwise dictate a reduction in the holding period and an earlier sale date, an impairment loss could be recognized and such loss could be material. To the extent the carrying amount of a real estate asset, or asset group, exceeds the associated estimate of undiscounted cash flows, an impairment loss is recorded to reduce the carrying value of the asset to its fair value.

- 62 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The determination of the fair value of real estate assets is also highly subjective, especially in markets where there is a lack of recent comparable transactions. We primarily utilize the income approach to estimate the fair value of our income producing real estate assets. To the extent that the assumptions used in testing long-lived assets for impairment differ from those of a marketplace participant, the assumptions are modified in order to estimate the fair value of a real estate asset when an impairment charge is measured. In addition to determining future cash flows, which make the estimation of a real estate asset s undiscounted cash flows highly subjective, the selection of the discount rate and exit capitalization rate used in applying the income approach is also highly subjective.

Real estate assets classified as held-for-sale are reported at the lower of their carrying value or their fair value, less estimated costs to sell. Once a property is designated as held-for-sale, no further depreciation expense is recorded.

Purchase Accounting

On January 1, 2009, we adopted the new accounting standard (ASC 805) on purchase accounting, which requires acquisition related costs to be expensed immediately as period costs. This new standard also requires that (i) 100% of the assets and liabilities of an acquired entity, as opposed to the amount proportional to the portion acquired, must be recorded at fair value upon an acquisition and (ii) a gain or loss must be recognized for the difference between the fair value and the carrying value of any existing ownership interests in acquired entities. Finally, this new standard requires that contingencies arising from a business combination be recorded at fair value if the acquisition date fair value can be determined during the measurement period.

We allocate the purchase price of acquired properties to net tangible and identified intangible assets based on their respective fair values, based on all pertinent information available and adjusted based on changes in that information in no event to exceed one year from the date of acquisition. The allocation to tangible assets (buildings, tenant improvements and land) is based upon management s determination of the value of the property as if it were vacant using discounted cash flow models similar to those used by independent appraisers. Factors considered by management include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods considering current market conditions, and costs to execute similar leases. The purchase price of real estate assets is also allocated among three categories of intangible assets consisting of the above or below market component of in-place leases, the value of in-place leases and the value of customer relationships.

The value allocable to the above or below market component of an acquired in-place lease is determined based upon the present value (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the acquired leases) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the lease over its remaining term and (ii) management s estimate of the amounts that would be paid using fair market rates over the remaining term of the lease. The amounts allocated to above market leases are included in deferred leasing and other costs in the balance sheet and below market leases are included in other liabilities in the balance sheet; both are amortized to rental income over the remaining terms of the respective leases.

The total amount of intangible assets is further allocated to in-place lease values and to customer relationship values based upon management s assessment of their respective values. These intangible assets are included in deferred leasing and other costs in the balance sheet and are depreciated over the remaining term of the existing lease, or the

anticipated life of the customer relationship, as applicable.

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Joint Ventures

We analyze our investments in joint ventures to determine if the joint venture is considered a variable interest entity (a VIE) and would require consolidation. We (a) evaluate the sufficiency of the total equity investment at risk, (b) review the voting rights and decision-making authority of the equity investment holders as a group, and whether there are any guaranteed returns, protection against losses, or capping of residual returns within the group and (c) establish whether activities within the venture are on behalf of an investor with disproportionately few voting rights in making this VIE determination. We would consolidate a venture that is determined to be a VIE if we were the primary beneficiary. To the extent that our joint ventures do not qualify as variable interest entities, we further assess each partner s substantive participating rights to determine if the venture should be consolidated.

In June 2009, the FASB issued a new accounting standard that will be effective on January 1, 2010. This accounting standard is a revision to a previous FASB interpretation and changes how a reporting entity evaluates whether an entity is a VIE and which entity is considered the primary beneficiary of a VIE and is therefore required to consolidate such VIE. This accounting standard will also require assessments at each reporting period of which party within the VIE is considered the primary beneficiary and will require a number of new disclosures related to VIE s. We do not anticipate this new accounting standard to have a significant impact on our financial position and results of operations upon adoption.

We have equity interests generally ranging from 10% to 50% in unconsolidated joint ventures that own and operate rental properties and hold land for development. We consolidate those joint ventures that are considered to be variable interest entities where we are the primary beneficiary. For non-variable interest entities, we consolidate those joint ventures that we control through majority ownership interests or where we are the managing member and our partner does not have substantive participating rights. Control is further demonstrated by the ability of the general partner to manage day-to-day operations, refinance debt and sell the assets of the joint venture without the consent of the limited partner and inability of the limited partner to replace the general partner. We use the equity method of accounting for those joint ventures where we do not have control over operating and financial policies. Under the equity method of accounting, our investment in each joint venture is included on our balance sheet; however, the assets and liabilities of the joint ventures for which we use the equity method are not included on our balance sheet.

To the extent that we contribute assets to a joint venture, our investment in the joint venture is recorded at our cost basis in the assets that were contributed to the joint venture. To the extent that our cost basis is different than the basis reflected at the joint venture level, the basis difference is amortized over the life of the related asset and included in our share of equity in net income of the joint venture. We recognize gains on the contribution or sale of real estate to joint ventures, relating solely to the outside partner s interest, to the extent the economic substance of the transaction is a sale.

Cash Equivalents

Investments with an original maturity of three months or less are classified as cash equivalents.

Valuation of Receivables

Table of Contents

We reserve the entire receivable balance, including straight-line rent, of any tenant with an amount outstanding over 90 days. Additional reserves are recorded for more current amounts, as applicable, where we have determined collectability to be doubtful. Straight-line rent receivables for any tenant with long-term risk, regardless of the status of rent receivables, are reviewed and reserved as necessary.

- 64 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Deferred Costs

Costs incurred in connection with obtaining financing are amortized to interest expense over the term of the related loan. All direct and indirect costs, including estimated internal costs, associated with the leasing of real estate investments owned by us are capitalized and amortized over the term of the related lease. We include lease incentive costs, which are payments made on behalf of a tenant to sign a lease, in deferred leasing costs and amortize them on a straight-line basis over the respective lease terms as a reduction of rental revenues. We include as lease incentives amounts funded to construct tenant improvements owned by the tenant. Unamortized costs are charged to expense upon the early termination of the lease or upon early payment of the financing.

Convertible Debt Accounting

On January 1, 2009, we adopted a new accounting standard (FASB ASC 470) for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion. This new standard requires separate accounting for the debt and equity components of certain convertible instruments. Our 3.75% Exchangeable Senior Notes (Exchangeable Notes), issued in November 2006, have an exchange rate of 20.47 common shares per \$1,000 principal amount of the notes, representing an exchange price of \$48.85 per share of our common stock. The Exchangeable Notes were subject to the accounting changes required by this new standard, which required that the value assigned to the debt component equal the estimated fair value of debt with similar contractual cash flows, but without the conversion feature, resulting in the debt being recorded at a discount. The resulting debt discount will be amortized over the period from its issuance through November 2011, the first optional redemption date, as additional non-cash interest expense. We were required to apply this new accounting standard retrospectively to prior periods.

At December 31, 2009, the Exchangeable Notes had \$235.4 million of principal outstanding, an unamortized discount of \$6.0 million and a net carrying amount of \$229.4 million. The carrying amount of the equity component was \$34.7 million at December 31, 2009. Subsequent to the implementation of the new standard, interest expense is recognized on the Exchangeable Notes at an effective rate of 5.6%. The increase to interest expense (in thousands) on the Exchangeable Notes, which led to a corresponding decrease to net income, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 is summarized as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Interest expense on Exchangeable Notes, excluding effect of accounting for convertible debt	\$ 14,850	\$ 21,574	\$ 21,594
Effect of accounting for convertible debt	5,024	6,536	6,151
Total interest expense on Exchangeable Notes	\$ 19,874	\$ 28,110	\$ 27,745

Noncontrolling Interests

On January 1, 2009, we adopted a new accounting standard (FASB ASC 810) on noncontrolling interests, which required noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as minority interests) to be reported as a component of total

equity, resulting in retroactive changes to the presentation of the noncontrolling interests in the consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations. This new standard also modified the accounting for changes in the level of ownership in consolidated subsidiaries.

- 65 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Noncontrolling interests relate to the minority ownership interests in DRLP and interests in consolidated property partnerships that are not wholly owned. Noncontrolling interests are subsequently adjusted for additional contributions, distributions to noncontrolling holders and the noncontrolling holders proportionate share of the net earnings or losses of each respective entity.

Prior to January 1, 2009, when a Unit was redeemed (Note 1), the difference between the aggregate book value and the purchase price of the Unit increased the recorded value of our net assets. For redemptions of Units subsequent to January 1, 2009, the change in ownership is treated as an equity transaction and there is no effect on our earnings or net assets.

Revenue Recognition

Rental and Related Revenue

The timing of revenue recognition under an operating lease is determined based upon ownership of the tenant improvements. If we are the owner of the tenant improvements, revenue recognition commences after the improvements are completed and the tenant takes possession or control of the space. In contrast, if we determine that the tenant allowances we are funding are lease incentives, then we commence revenue recognition when possession or control of the space is turned over to the tenant. Rental income from leases with free rental periods or scheduled rental increases during their terms is recognized on a straight-line basis.

We record lease termination fees when a tenant has executed a definitive termination agreement with us and the payment of the termination fee is not subject to any material conditions that must be met or waived before the fee is due to us.

General Contractor and Service Fee Revenue

Management fees are based on a percentage of rental receipts of properties managed and are recognized as the rental receipts are collected. Maintenance fees are based upon established hourly rates and are recognized as the services are performed. Construction management and development fees represent fee-based third-party contracts and are recognized as earned based on the terms of the contract, which approximates the percentage of completion method.

We recognize income on construction contracts where we serve as a general contractor on the percentage of completion method. Using this method, profits are recorded based on our estimates of the percentage of completion of individual contracts, commencing when the work performed under the contracts reaches a point where the final costs can be estimated with reasonable accuracy. The percentage of completion estimates are based on a comparison of the contract expenditures incurred to the estimated final costs. Changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined.

Receivables on construction contracts were in an over-billed position of \$470,000 at December 31, 2009 and were in an under-billed position of \$22.7 million at December 31, 2008.

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Property Sales

Gains on sales of all properties are recognized in accordance with FASB ASC 360-20. The specific timing of the sale of a building is measured against various criteria in FASB ASC 360-20 related to the terms of the transactions and any continuing involvement in the form of management or financial assistance from the seller associated with the properties. We make judgments based on the specific terms of each transaction as to the amount of the total profit from the transaction that we recognize considering factors such as continuing ownership interest we may have with the buyer (partial sales) and our level of future involvement with the property or the buyer that acquires the assets. If the sales criteria are not met, we defer gain recognition and account for the continued operations of the property by applying the finance, installment or cost recovery methods, as appropriate, until the full accrual sales criteria are met. Estimated future costs to be incurred after completion of each sale are included in the determination of the gain on sales.

To the extent that a property has had operations prior to sale, and that we do not have continuing involvement with the property, gains from sales of depreciated property are included in discontinued operations and the proceeds from the sale of these held-for-rental properties are classified in the investing activities section of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Gains or losses from our sale of properties that were developed or repositioned with the intent to sell and not for long-term rental (Build-for-Sale properties) are classified as gain on sale of properties in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Other rental properties that do not meet the criteria for presentation as discontinued operations are also classified as gain on sale of properties in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic net income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, less dividends on share-based awards expected to vest, by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing the sum of basic net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders and the noncontrolling interest in earnings allocable to Units not owned by us (to the extent the Units are dilutive), by the sum of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and, to the extent they are dilutive, limited partnership Units outstanding, as well as any potential dilutive securities for the period.

During the first quarter of 2009, we adopted a new accounting standard (FASB ASC 260-10) on participating securities, which we have applied retrospectively to prior period calculations of basic and diluted earnings per common share. Pursuant to this new standard, certain of our share-based awards are considered participating securities because they earn dividend equivalents that are not forfeited even if the underlying award does not vest.

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table reconciles the components of basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ (333,601)	\$ 50,408	\$ 211,942
Less: Dividends on share-based awards expected to vest	(1,759)	(1,631)	(1,149)
Basic net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	(335,360)	48,777	210,793
Noncontrolling interest in earnings of common unitholders (1)	-	2,640	13,998
Diluted net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ (335,360)	\$ 51,417	\$ 224,791
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	201,206	146,915	139,255
Weighted average partnership Units outstanding		7.619	9,204
Other potential dilutive shares (2)	-	19	791
Ouer potential unutive shares (2)	-	19	/91
Weighted average number of common shares and potential dilutive securities	201,206	154,553	149,250

- (1) The partnership Units are anti-dilutive for the year ended December 31, 2009, as a result of the net loss for that period. Therefore, 6,687 Units (in thousands) are excluded from the weighted average number of common shares and potential dilutive securities for the year ended December 31, 2009 and \$11,099 noncontrolling interest in earnings of common unitholders (in thousands) is excluded from diluted net loss attributable to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2009.
- (2) Excludes (in thousands of shares) 7,872; 8,219 and 1,144 of anti-dilutive shares for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively related to stock-based compensation plans. Also excludes (in thousands of shares) the Exchangeable Notes that have 8,089; 11,771 and 11,751 of anti-dilutive shares for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Federal Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (REIT) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to distribute at least 90% of our adjusted taxable income to our stockholders. Management intends to continue to adhere to these requirements and to maintain our REIT status. As a REIT, we are entitled to a tax deduction for some or all of the dividends we pay to shareholders. Accordingly, we generally will not be subject to federal income taxes as long as we distribute an amount equal to or in excess of our taxable income currently to shareholders. We are also generally subject to federal income taxes on any taxable income that is not currently distributed to our shareholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income taxes and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years.

REIT qualification reduces, but does not eliminate, the amount of state and local taxes we pay. In addition, our financial statements include the operations of taxable corporate subsidiaries that are not entitled to a dividends paid deduction and are subject to corporate federal, state and local income taxes. As a REIT, we may also be subject to

Table of Contents

certain federal excise taxes if we engage in certain types of transactions.

The following table reconciles our net income (loss) to taxable income (loss) before the dividends paid deduction for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Net income (loss)	\$ (271,490)	\$ 110,408	\$ 291,059
Book/tax differences	447,793	127,607	73,322
Taxable income before adjustments	176,303	238,015	364,381
Less: capital gains	(8,962)	(80,069)	(160,797)
Adjusted taxable income subject to 90% distribution requirement	\$ 167,341	\$ 157,946	\$ 203,584

- 68 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Our dividends paid deduction is summarized below (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Cash dividends paid	\$ 224,784	\$ 355,782	\$ 324,085
Cash dividends declared and paid in subsequent year that apply to current year	-	-	52,471
Cash dividends declared and paid in current year that apply to previous year	-	(52,471)	(7,795)
Less: Capital gain distributions	(8,962)	(80,069)	(160,797)
Less: Return of capital	(44,369)	(59,709)	-
Total dividends paid deduction attributable to adjusted taxable income	\$ 171,453	\$ 163,533	\$ 207,964

A summary of the tax characterization of the dividends paid for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Common Shares			
Ordinary income	69.0%	39.3%	63.1%
Return of capital	26.4%	27.3%	-%
Capital gains	4.6%	33.4%	36.9%
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Preferred Shares			
Ordinary income	93.7%	70.2%	63.1%
Capital gains	6.3%	29.8%	36.9%
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Refinements to our operating strategy in 2009 caused us to reduce our projections of taxable income in our taxable REIT subsidiary. As the result of these changes in our projections, we determined that it was more likely than not that the taxable REIT subsidiary would not generate sufficient taxable income to realize any of its deferred tax assets. Accordingly, we recognized a \$12.3 million charge to income tax expense in the third quarter of 2009 in order to establish a full valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets. Changes to federal income tax legislation in the fourth quarter of 2009, which extended the period that net operating losses may be carried back from two to five years, resulted in the reversal of approximately \$5.0 million of the valuation allowance that was initially established. Income taxes, with the exception of this non-recurring charge, are not material to our operating results or financial position.

We paid federal and state income taxes of \$800,000, \$3.5 million and \$10.1 million for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The taxable REIT subsidiaries have no significant net deferred income tax or unrecognized tax benefit items.

Derivative Financial Instruments

We periodically enter into certain interest rate protection agreements to effectively convert or cap floating rate debt to a fixed rate, and to hedge anticipated future financing transactions, both of which qualify for cash flow hedge accounting treatment. Net amounts paid or received under these agreements are recognized as an adjustment to the interest expense of the corresponding debt. We do not utilize derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

If a derivative qualifies as a cash flow hedge, the change in fair value of the derivative is recognized in other comprehensive income to the extent the hedge is effective, while the ineffective portion of the derivative s change in fair value is recognized in earnings. Gains and losses on our interest rate protection agreements are subsequently included in earnings as an adjustment to interest expense in the same periods in which the related interest payments being hedged are recognized in earnings.

- 69 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

We estimate the fair value of derivative instruments using standard market conventions and techniques such as discounted cash flow analysis, option pricing models and termination cost at each balance sheet date. For all hedging relationships, we formally document the hedging relationship and its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge, the hedging instrument, the hedged item, the nature of the risk being hedged, how the hedging instrument s effectiveness in offsetting the hedged risk will be assessed prospectively and retrospectively, and a description of the method of measuring ineffectiveness.

Fair Value Measurements

On January 1, 2009, we adopted a new accounting standard (ASC 820) that establishes a framework for measuring fair value of non-financial assets and liabilities that are not required or permitted to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis but only in certain circumstances, such as a business combination.

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets are categorized based on the inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities to which we have access.

Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which are typically based on an entity s own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity.

In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements requires management to make a number of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. The most significant estimates, as discussed within our Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, pertain to the critical assumptions utilized in testing real estate assets for impairment as well as in estimating the fair value of real estate assets when an impairment event has taken place. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

- 70 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(3) Significant Acquisitions and Dispositions

Consolidation of Retail Joint Ventures

Through March 31, 2009, we were a member in two retail real estate joint ventures with a retail developer. Both entities were jointly controlled by us and our partner, through equal voting interests, and were accounted for as unconsolidated subsidiaries under the equity method. As of April 1, 2009, we had made combined equity contributions of \$37.9 million to the two entities and we also had combined outstanding principal and accrued interest of \$173.0 million on advances to the two entities.

We advanced \$2.0 million to the two entities, who then distributed the \$2.0 million to our partner in exchange for the redemption of our partner s membership interests, effective April 1, 2009, at which time we obtained 100% control of the voting interests of both entities. We entered these transactions to gain control of these two entities because it will allow us to operate or dispose of the entities in a manner that best serves our capital needs.

In conjunction with the redemption of our partner s membership interests, we entered a profits interest agreement that entitles our former partner to additional payments should the combined sale of the two acquired entities, as well as the sale of another retail real estate joint venture that we and our partner still jointly control, result in an aggregate profit. Aggregate profit on the sale of these three projects will be calculated by using a formula defined in the profits interest agreement. We have estimated that the fair value of the potential additional payment to our partner is insignificant.

A summary of the fair value of amounts recognized for each major class of assets and liabilities acquired is as follows (in thousands):

Operating rental properties	\$ 176,038
Undeveloped land	6,500
Total real estate investments	182,538
Other assets	3,987
Lease related intangible assets	24,350
Total assets acquired	210,875
Liabilities assumed	(4,023)
Net recognized value of acquired assets and liabilities	\$ 206,852

The fair values recognized from the real estate and related assets acquired were primarily determined using the income approach. The most significant assumptions in the fair value estimates were the discount rates and the exit capitalization rates. The estimates of fair value were determined to have primarily relied upon Level 3 inputs.

We recognized a loss of \$1.1 million upon acquisition, which represents the difference between the fair value of the recognized assets and the carrying value of our pre-existing equity interest. The acquisition date fair value of the net

Table of Contents

recognized assets as compared to the acquisition date carrying value of our outstanding advances and accrued interest, as well as the acquisition date carrying value of our pre-existing equity interests, is shown as follows (in thousands):

Net fair value of acquired assets and liabilities	\$ 206,852
Less advances to acquired entities eliminated upon consolidation	(173,006)
Less acquisition date carrying value of equity in acquired entities	(34,908)
Loss on business combination	\$ (1,062)

- 71 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Since April 1, 2009, the results of operations for both acquired entities have been included in continuing operations in our consolidated financial statements. Due to our significant pre-existing ownership and financing positions in the two acquired entities, the inclusion of their results of operations did not have a material effect on our operating income.

Acquisitions

We acquired income producing real estate related assets of \$32.1 million, \$60.5 million and \$219.9 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

In December 2007, in order to further establish our property positions around strategic port locations, we purchased a portfolio of five industrial buildings in Seattle, Virginia and Houston, as well as approximately 161 acres of undeveloped land and a 12-acre container storage facility in Houston. The total price was \$89.7 million and was financed in part through assumption of secured debt that had a fair value of \$34.3 million. Of the total purchase price, \$64.1 million was allocated to in-service real estate assets, \$20.0 million was allocated to undeveloped land and the container storage facility, \$5.4 million was allocated to lease related intangible assets, and the remaining amount was allocated to acquired working capital related assets and liabilities. The results of operations for the acquired properties since the date of acquisition have been included in continuing rental operations in our consolidated financial statements.

All other acquisitions were not individually material.

Dispositions

We disposed of income producing real estate related assets with gross proceeds of \$267.0 million, \$426.2 million and \$590.4 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

We sold five properties in 2009 and seven properties in 2008 to an unconsolidated joint venture. The gross proceeds totaled \$84.3 million and \$226.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In March 2007, as part of our capital recycling program, we sold a portfolio of eight suburban office properties totaling 894,000 square feet in the Cleveland market. The sales price totaled \$140.4 million, of which we received net proceeds of \$139.3 million. We also sold a portfolio of twelve flex and light industrial properties in July 2007, totaling 865,000 square feet in the St. Louis market, for a sales price of \$65.0 million, of which we received net proceeds of \$64.2 million.

All other dispositions were not individually material.

(4) <u>Related Party Transactions</u>

We provide property management, leasing, construction and other tenant related services to unconsolidated companies in which we have equity interests. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, we earned

management fees of \$8.4 million, \$7.8 million and \$7.1 million, leasing fees of \$4.2 million, \$2.8 million and \$4.2 million and construction and development fees of \$10.2 million, \$12.7 million and \$13.1 million from these companies. We recorded these fees based on contractual terms that approximate market rates for these types of services, and we have eliminated our ownership percentages of these fees in the consolidated financial statements.

- 72 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(5) Investments in Unconsolidated Companies

We have equity interests generally ranging from 10% to 50% in unconsolidated joint ventures that develop, own and operate rental properties and hold land for development.

Combined summarized financial information for the unconsolidated companies as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, are as follows (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Rental revenue	\$ 254,787	\$ 250,312	\$ 215,855
Net income	\$ 9,760	\$ 40,437	\$ 41,725
Land, buildings and tenant improvements, net	\$ 2,072,435	\$ 2,018,384	
Construction in progress	128,257	192,153	
Undeveloped land	176,356	179,024	
Other assets	260,249	239,046	
	\$ 2,637,297	\$ 2,628,607	
Indebtedness	\$ 1,319,696	\$ 1,225,762	
Other liabilities	75,393	248,093	
	1,395,089	1,473,855	
Owners equity	1,242,208	1,154,752	
	\$ 2,637,297	\$ 2,628,607	

Our share of the scheduled payments of long term debt for the unconsolidated joint ventures for each of the next five years and thereafter as of December 31, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

Year	Future Repayments
2010	\$ 208,098
2011	60,186
2012	48,073
2013	32,052
2014	17,159
Thereafter	137,342
	\$ 502,910

(6) **Discontinued Operations**

The operations of 45 buildings are currently classified as discontinued operations for the three-year period ended December 31, 2009. These 45 buildings consist of 20 industrial and 25 office properties. Of these properties, five were sold during 2009, eight properties were sold during 2008 and 32 properties were sold during 2007.

We allocate interest expense to discontinued operations and have included such interest expense in computing income from discontinued operations. Interest expense allocable to discontinued operations includes interest on any secured debt for properties included in discontinued operations and an allocable share of our consolidated unsecured interest expense for unencumbered properties. The allocation of unsecured interest expense to discontinued operations was based upon the gross book value of the unencumbered real estate assets included in discontinued operations as it related to the total gross book value of our unencumbered real estate assets.

- 73 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table illustrates operations of the buildings reflected in discontinued operations for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Revenues	\$ 4,115	\$ 21,825	\$ 39,504
Operating expenses	(1,817)	(7,152)	(15,751)
Depreciation and amortization	(1,151)	(6,813)	(8,006)
Operating income	1,147	7,860	15,747
Interest expense	(1,586)	(4,675)	(10,194)
Income (loss) before impairment charges and gain on sales	(439)	3,185	5,553
Impairment charges	(772)	(1,266)	-
Gain on sale of depreciable properties	6,786	16,961	121,071
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 5,575	\$ 18,880	\$ 126,624

The following table illustrates the allocation of the amounts attributable to common shareholders between continuing operations and discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	\$ (338,997)	\$ 32,459	\$ 93,168
Income from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders	5,396	17,949	118,774
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ (333,601)	\$ 50,408	\$ 211,942

(7) Impairments and Other Charges

The following table illustrates impairment and other charges recognized during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Undeveloped land	\$ 136,581	\$ 8,632	\$ -
Buildings	78,087	2,799	-
Investments in unconsolidated companies	56,437	-	-
Other real estate related assets	32,478	8,298	5,658
Impairment charges	\$ 303,583	\$ 19,729	\$ 5,658
Less: Impairment charges included in discontinued operations	(772)	(1,266)	-

Impairment charges - continuing operations

\$ 302,811 \$ 18,463 **\$** 5,658

Land and Buildings

During 2009, we refined our operating strategy and one result of this change in strategy was the decision to dispose of approximately 1,800 acres of land, which had a total cost basis of \$385.3 million, rather than holding them for future development. Our change in strategy for this land triggered the requirement to conduct an impairment analysis, which resulted in a determination that a significant portion of the land was impaired. We recognized impairment charges on land of \$136.6 million in 2009, primarily as the result of writing down the land that was identified for disposition, and determined to be impaired, to fair value. We utilized a market approach to determine fair value and, to the extent current comparable sales values were unavailable, made adjustments to historical comparable sales based on the Company s understanding of current market conditions and the experience of our management team. Actual sales of our undeveloped land targeted for disposition could be at prices that differ significantly from our estimates and additional impairments may be necessary in the future in the event market conditions deteriorate further. Our valuation estimates primarily relied upon Level 3 inputs, as previously defined.

- 74 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

During 2009, we also reviewed our existing portfolio of buildings and determined that several buildings, which had previously not been actively marketed for disposal, were not strategic and would not be held as long-term investments. Additionally, at various times throughout the year, we determined it appropriate to re-evaluate certain other buildings that were in various stages of the disposition process for impairment because new information was available that triggered further analysis. Impairment charges of \$78.1 million were recognized for 28 office, industrial and retail buildings that were determined to be impaired, either as the result of a refinement in management s strategy or changes in market conditions. In calculating the impairment charges for the aforementioned 28 buildings, we determined fair value using either the income approach or the market approach. The most significant assumptions, when using the income approach, included the discount rate as well as future exit capitalization rates, occupancy levels, rental rates and capital expenditures. Fair value measurements for the buildings that were determined to be impaired relied primarily upon Level 3 inputs, as defined earlier in this report.

Investments in Unconsolidated Subsidiaries

We have an investment in an unconsolidated entity (the 3630 Peachtree joint venture) whose sole activity is the development and operation of the office component of a multi-use office and residential high-rise building located in the Buckhead sub-market of Atlanta. The building is currently in the final stages of development. As the result of declines in rental rates and projected increases in capital costs, we analyzed our investment during the three-month period ended September 30, 2009 and recognized an impairment charge to write off our \$14.4 million investment, as we determined that an other-than-temporary decline in value had taken place. As a result of the joint venture s obligations to the lender in its construction loan agreement, the likelihood that our partner will be unable to contribute their share of the additional equity to fund the joint venture s future capital costs, and ultimately the obligation stemming from our joint and several guarantee of the joint venture loan, we recorded an additional liability of \$36.3 million, and an equal charge to impairment expense, for our probable future obligations to the lender. The estimates of fair value utilized in determining the aforementioned charges relied primarily on Level 3 inputs, as defined earlier in this report.

Due to credit issues with its most significant tenant, an inability to renew third-party financing on acceptable terms and an increase to its projected capital expenditures, we analyzed an investment in an unconsolidated joint venture (the Park Creek joint venture) during the three-month period ended June 30, 2009 to determine whether there was an other-than-temporary decline in value. As a result of that analysis, we determined that an other-than-temporary decline in value had taken place and wrote our investment in the Park Creek joint venture down to its fair value, thus recognizing a \$5.8 million impairment charge. We estimated the fair value of the Park Creek joint venture using the income approach and the most significant assumption in the estimate was the expected period of time in which we would hold our investment in the joint venture. We concluded that the estimate of fair value relied primarily upon Level 3 inputs, as defined earlier in this report.

Other Real Estate Related Assets

We recognized \$32.5 million of impairment charges on other real estate related assets during 2009. The impairment charges related primarily to reserving loans receivable from other real estate entities as well as writing off previously deferred development costs.

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(8) Indebtedness

Indebtedness at December 31, 2009 and 2008 consists of the following (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Fixed rate secured debt, weighted average interest rate of 6.67% at December 31, 2009, and 6.13% at December 31, 2008, maturity dates ranging from 2011 to 2027	\$ 766,299	\$ 499,061
Variable rate secured debt, weighted average interest rate of 3.33% at December 31, 2009, and 3.88% at December 31, 2008, maturity dates ranging from 2012 to 2025	19,498	8,290
Fixed rate unsecured debt, weighted average interest rate of 6.32% at December 31, 2009, and 5.93% at December 31, 2008, maturity dates ranging from 2010 to 2028	3,052,465	3,285,980
Unsecured lines of credit, weighted average interest rate of 1.08% at December 31, 2009, and 1.34% at December 31, 2008 maturity dates ranging from 2011 to 2013	15,770	483,659
	\$ 3,854,032	\$ 4,276,990

Fixed Rate Secured Debt

As of December 31, 2009, the \$785.8 million of secured debt was collateralized by rental properties with a carrying value of \$1.4 billion and by letters of credit in the amount of \$7.7 million.

In February, March and July 2009, we borrowed a total of \$270.0 million from three 10-year fixed rate secured debt financings that are secured by 32 rental properties. The secured debt bears interest at a weighted average rate of 7.69% and matures at various points in 2019. Additionally, in June 2009, we borrowed \$8.5 million from two 6.50% 10-year fixed rate mortgages due in 2019, which are secured by two newly acquired properties. One of our consolidated subsidiaries also borrowed \$11.9 million, bearing interest at a variable rate (equal to 5.0% as of December 31, 2009) and maturing in April 2012, on a secured construction loan during 2009.

The fair value of our fixed rate secured debt as of December 31, 2009 was \$770.3 million. We utilized a discounted cash flow methodology in order to determine the fair value of our fixed rate secured debt. The net present value of the difference between future contractual interest payments and future interest payments based on our estimate of a current market rate represents the difference between the book value and the fair value. Our estimate of a current market rate is based upon the rate at which we estimate we could obtain similar borrowings when considering current market conditions. The current market rates we utilized were internally estimated; therefore, we have concluded that our determination of fair value for our fixed rate secured debt was primarily based upon Level 3 (as described in Note 2) inputs.

Fixed Rate Unsecured Debt

We took the following actions during 2009 and 2008 as it pertains to our fixed rate unsecured indebtedness:

In February 2009, we repaid \$124.0 million of 6.83% corporate unsecured debt at its scheduled maturity date.

Throughout 2009, we repurchased portions of various series of senior unsecured notes with various scheduled maturity dates through December 2011, both on the open market and through cash tender offers, for \$500.9 million. The total face value of these repurchases was \$542.9 million. We recognized a gain of \$27.5 million on the repurchases after writing off applicable issuance costs and other accounting adjustments. The aforementioned gains on repurchase were partially offset by a \$6.8 million charge to write off fees paid for a cancelled secured debt transaction.

- 76 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

In August 2009, we issued \$500.0 million of senior unsecured notes in two equal tranches. The first \$250.0 million of the senior unsecured notes mature in February 2015 and bear interest at an effective rate of 7.50%, while the other \$250.0 million of the senior unsecured notes mature in August 2019 and bear interest at an effective rate of 8.38%.

In November 2009, we repaid \$82.1 million of senior unsecured notes with an effective interest rate of 7.86% on their scheduled maturity date.

In January 2008, we repaid \$125.0 million of senior unsecured notes with an effective interest rate of 3.36% on their scheduled maturity date.

In May 2008, we repaid \$100.0 million of senior unsecured notes with an effective interest rate of 6.76% on their scheduled maturity date.

In May 2008, we issued \$325.0 million of 6.25% senior unsecured notes due in May 2013. After including the effect of forward starting swaps (see Note 14), which were designated as cash flow hedges for this offering, the effective interest rate is 7.36%.

The fair value of our fixed rate unsecured debt as of December 31, 2009 was approximately \$3.0 billion. We utilized multiple broker estimates in estimating the fair value. Our unsecured notes are thinly traded and, in many cases, the broker estimates were not based upon directly comparable transactions. As such, we have determined that our estimation of the fair value of our fixed rate unsecured debt was primarily based upon Level 3 inputs.

The indentures (and related supplemental indentures) governing our outstanding series of notes also require us to comply with financial ratios and other covenants regarding our operations. We were in compliance with all such covenants as of December 31, 2009.

Unsecured Lines of Credit

Our unsecured lines of credit as of December 31, 2009 are described as follows (in thousands):

		Borrowing	Maturity	Out	standing
Description		Capacity	Date	at Decer	nber 31, 2009
Unsecured Line of Credit	DRLP	\$ 850,000	February 2013	\$	-
Unsecured Line of Credit	Consolidated Subsidiary	\$ 30,000	July 2011	\$	15,770

On November 20, 2009, the Company and DRLP renewed its unsecured line of credit. Under terms of the renewal, the DRLP unsecured line of credit has a borrowing capacity of \$850.0 million with an interest rate on borrowings of 275 basis points over the applicable LIBOR rate, and matures in February 2013. Subject to certain conditions, the terms also include an option to increase the facility by up to an additional \$200.0 million, for a total of up to \$1.05 billion. This line of credit provides us with an option to obtain borrowings from financial institutions that participate in the line, at rates that may be lower than the stated interest rate, subject to certain restrictions.

This line of credit contains financial covenants that require us to meet certain financial ratios and defined levels of performance, including those related to fixed charge coverage and debt-to-asset value (with asset value being defined in the DRLP unsecured line of credit agreement). As of December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all covenants under this line of credit.

The consolidated subsidiary s unsecured line of credit allows for borrowings up to \$30.0 million at a rate of LIBOR plus .85% (equal to 1.08% for outstanding borrowings as of December 31, 2009). This unsecured line of credit is used to fund development activities within the consolidated subsidiary and matures in July 2011 with, at our option, a 12-month extension.

- 77 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

To the extent that there are outstanding borrowings, we utilize a discounted cash flow methodology in order to estimate the fair value. The net present value of the difference between future contractual interest payments and future interest payments based on our estimate of a current market rate represents the difference between the book value and the fair value. Our estimate of a current market rate is based upon the rate, considering current market conditions and our specific credit profile, at which we estimate we could obtain similar borrowings. The current market rate we utilized was internally estimated; therefore, we have concluded that our determination of fair value for our unsecured lines of credit was primarily based upon Level 3 inputs.

Changes in Fair Value

As all of our fair value debt disclosures relied primarily on Level 3 inputs, the following table summarizes the book value and changes in the fair value of our debt for the year ended December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Book Value at 12/31/08	Book Value at 12/31/09	Fair Value at 12/31/08	Total Realized Losses/(Gains)	Issuances/ Payoffs	Adjustments to Fair Value	Fair Value at 12/31/09
Fixed rate secured debt	\$ 499,061	\$ 766,299	\$ 438,049	\$ -	\$ 278,500	\$ 53,706	\$ 770,255
Variable rate secured debt	8,290	19,498	8,290	-	11,918	(5,789)	14,419
Fixed rate unsecured notes	3,285,980	3,052,465	2,196,689	(42,028)	(207,016)	1,094,585	3,042,230
Unsecured lines of credit	483,659	15,770	477,080	-	(467,889)	5,523	14,714
Total	\$ 4,276,990	\$ 3,854,032	\$ 3,120,108	\$ (42,028)	\$ (384,487)	\$ 1,148,025	\$ 3,841,618

Scheduled Maturities and Interest Paid

At December 31, 2009, the scheduled amortization and maturities of all indebtedness, excluding fair value and other accounting adjustments, for the next five years and thereafter were as follows (in thousands):

v	ear	Amount
20)10 \$	111,305
20	011	623,105
20	012	222,901
20	013	484,819
20)14	282,225
T	hereafter	2,134,522
	\$	3,858,877
		, ,

The amount of interest paid in 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$224.0 million, \$235.6 million and \$225.8 million, respectively. The amount of interest capitalized in 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$26.9 million, \$53.5 million and \$59.2 million, respectively.

(9) <u>Segment Reporting</u>

We have three reportable operating segments, the first two of which consist of the ownership and rental of office and industrial real estate investments. The operations of our office and industrial properties, along with our medical office and retail properties, are collectively referred to as Rental Operations . Our medical office and retail properties do not meet the quantitative thresholds for separate presentation as reportable segments. The third reportable segment consists of providing various real estate services such as property management, maintenance, leasing, development and construction management to third-party property owners and joint ventures, as well as our Build-for-Sale operations (defined below), and is collectively referred to as Service Operations . Our reportable segments offer different products or services and are managed separately because each segment requires different operating strategies and management expertise.

- 78 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Gains on sale of properties developed or acquired with the intent to sell (Build-for-Sale properties), and whose operations prior to sale are insignificant, are classified as part of the income of the Service Operations business segment. The periods of operation for Build-for-Sale properties prior to sale were of short duration.

Other revenue consists of other operating revenues not identified with one of our operating segments. Interest expense and other non-property specific revenues and expenses are not allocated to individual segments in determining our performance measure.

We assess and measure our overall operating results based upon an industry performance measure referred to as Funds From Operations (FFO), which management believes is a useful indicator of our consolidated operating performance. FFO is used by industry analysts and investors as a supplemental operating performance measure of a REIT. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) created FFO as a supplemental measure of REIT operating performance that excludes historical cost depreciation, among other items, from net income determined in accordance with GAAP. FFO is a non-GAAP financial measure. The most comparable GAAP measure is net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders. Consolidated basic FFO attributable to common shareholders should not be considered as a substitute for net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders or any other measures derived in accordance with GAAP and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. FFO is calculated in accordance with the definition that was adopted by the Board of Governors of NAREIT. We do not allocate certain income and expenses (Non-Segment Items as shown in the table below) to our operating segments. Thus, the operational performance measure presented here on a segment-level basis represents net earnings excluding depreciation expense, as well as excluding the Non-Segment Items not allocated, and is not meant to present FFO as defined by NAREIT.

Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry analysts and investors have considered presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. FFO, as defined by NAREIT, represents GAAP net income (loss), excluding extraordinary items as defined under GAAP and gains or losses from sales of previously depreciated real estate assets, plus certain non-cash items such as real estate asset depreciation and amortization, and after similar adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

Management believes that the use of consolidated basic FFO attributable to common shareholders, combined with net income (which remains the primary measure of performance), improves the understanding of operating results of REITs among the investing public and makes comparisons of REIT operating results more meaningful. Management believes that, by excluding gains or losses related to sales of previously depreciated real estate assets and excluding real estate asset depreciation and amortization, investors and analysts are able to readily identify the operating results of the long-term assets that form the core of a REIT s activity and assist in comparing these operating results between periods or as compared to different companies.

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table shows (i) the revenues and FFO for each of the reportable segments and (ii) a reconciliation of consolidated basic FFO attributable to common shareholders to net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Revenues			
Rental Operations:			
Office	\$ 568,074	\$ 555,592	\$ 550,116
Industrial	258,888	250,078	218,055
Non-reportable Rental Operations segments	55,241	31,987	20,952
Service Operations	449,509	434,624	311,548
Total Segment Revenues	1,331,712	1,272,281	1,100,671
Other Revenue	12,377	19,902	21,424
Consolidated Revenue from continuing operations	1,344,089	1,292,183	1,122,095
Discontinued Operations	4,115	21,825	39,504
L L	, ,		
Consolidated Revenue	\$ 1,348,204	\$ 1,314,008	\$ 1,161,599
Reconciliation of Consolidated Basic Funds From Operations			
Net earnings excluding depreciation and Non-Segment Items			
Office	\$ 335,097	\$ 333,190	\$ 340,567
Industrial	194,183	191,795	166,827
Non-reportable Rental Operations segments	36,745	20,159	14,384
Service Operations	21,843	54,938	58,294
	587,868	600,082	580,072
Non-Segment Items:			
Interest expense	(220,239)	(198,449)	(174,981)
Impairment charges	(302,811)	(18,463)	(5,658)
Interest and other income	1,229	1,451	2,771
General and administrative expenses	(47,937)	(39,508)	(37,727)
Gain on land sales	357	12,651	33,998
Undeveloped land carrying costs	(10,403)	(8,204)	(6,502)
Gain on debt transactions	20,700	1,953	-
Loss on business combinations	(1,062)	-	-
Income tax benefit (expense)	6,070	7,005	(6,260)
Other non-segment income	5,905	17,332	19,025
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	11,340	(2,620)	(17,342)
Noncontrolling interest share of FFO adjustments	(11,514)	(16,527)	(10,983)
Joint venture items	46,862	61,643	50,085
Dividends on preferred shares	(73,451)	(71,426)	(58,292)
Repurchase or redemption of preferred shares, net		14,046	(3,483)
Discontinued operations	(60)	8,732	13,559
Consolidated basic FFO attributable to common shareholders	12.854	369.698	378,282
)	,	,
Depreciation and amortization on continuing operations	(338,975)	(308,139)	(269,685)
Depreciation and amortization on discontinued operations	(1,151)	(6,813)	(8,006)
Company s share of joint venture adjustments	(36,966)	(38,321)	(26,948)

Earnings from depreciated property sales on continuing operations	12,337	-	-
Earnings from depreciated property sales on discontinued operations	6,786	16,961	121,072
Earnings from depreciated property sales - share of joint venture	-	495	6,244
Noncontrolling interest share of FFO adjustments	11,514	16,527	10,983
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	\$ (333,601)	\$ 50,408	\$ 211,942

- 80 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The assets for each of the reportable segments as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Assets		
Rental Operations:		
Office	\$ 3,394,229	\$ 3,758,839
Industrial	2,233,607	2,363,632
Non-reportable Rental Operations segments	605,102	364,848
Service Operations	332,676	373,186
Total Segment Assets	6,565,614	6,860,505
Non-Segment Assets	738,665	830,378
Consolidated Assets	\$ 7,304,279	\$ 7,690,883

In addition to revenues and FFO, we also review our recurring capital expenditures in measuring the performance of our individual Rental Operations segments. These recurring capital expenditures consist of tenant improvements, leasing commissions and building improvements. We review these expenditures to determine the costs associated with re-leasing vacant space and maintaining the condition of our properties. Our recurring capital expenditures by segment are summarized as follows for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Recurring Capital Expenditures			
Office	\$ 64,281	\$ 56,844	\$ 68,427
Industrial	13,845	16,443	16,454
Non-reportable Rental Operations segments	928	1,527	1,055
Total	\$ 79,054	\$ 74,814	\$ 85,936

(10) Leasing Activity

Future minimum rents due to us under non-cancelable operating leases at December 31, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

Year	Amount
2010	\$ 675,323
2011	628,070
2012	557,069
2013	471,091
2014	384,981

 Thereafter
 1,420,698

 \$ 4,137,232

In addition to minimum rents, certain leases require reimbursements of specified operating expenses that amounted to \$191.0 million, \$183.2 million and \$177.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

(11) Employee Benefit Plans

We maintain a 401(k) plan for full-time employees. We have historically made matching contributions up to an amount equal to three percent of the employee s salary and may also make annual discretionary contributions. We temporarily suspended the Company s matching program beginning in July 2009. The total expense recognized for this plan was \$1.6 million, \$3.0 million and \$3.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

We make contributions to a contributory health and welfare plan as necessary to fund claims not covered by employee contributions. The total expense we recognized related to this plan was \$11.2 million, \$9.6 million and \$9.3 million for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. These expense amounts include estimates based upon the historical experience of claims incurred but not reported as of year-end.

- 81 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(12) Shareholders Equity

We periodically use the public equity markets to fund the development and acquisition of additional rental properties or to pay down debt. The proceeds of these offerings are contributed to DRLP in exchange for an additional interest in DRLP.

In April 2009, we issued 75.2 million shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$551.4 million.

In October 2007, we issued 7.0 million shares of our common stock for net proceeds of \$232.7 million.

Beginning in August 2007 and continuing through December 2008, we issued new shares of common stock under employee and non-employee stock purchase plans, as well as for dividend reinvestment plans. We received \$15.5 million and \$6.9 million of proceeds from these share issuances during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

In February 2008, we issued \$300.0 million of 8.375% Series O Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares and used the net proceeds to reduce the outstanding balance on DRLP s unsecured line of credit. Our Series O Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares have no stated maturity date although they may be redeemed, at our option, beginning in February 2013.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, pursuant to the share repurchase plan approved by our board of directors, we repurchased 109,500 preferred shares from all of our outstanding series. The preferred shares repurchased had a total redemption value of approximately \$27.4 million, and were repurchased for \$12.4 million. In conjunction with the repurchases, approximately \$924,000 of offering costs, the ratable portion of total offering costs associated with the repurchased shares, were charged against income attributable to common shareholders in the fourth quarter. A net gain of approximately \$14.0 million was included in income attributable to common shareholders. All shares repurchased were retired prior to December 31, 2008.

In October 2007, we redeemed all of our outstanding 7.99% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares at a liquidation amount of \$132.3 million. Offering costs of \$3.5 million were charged against net income attributable to common shareholders in conjunction with the redemption of these shares.

The following series of preferred shares were outstanding as of December 31, 2009 (in thousands, except percentage data):

			Optional	
			Redemption	
Description	Shares Outstanding	Dividend Rate	Date	Liquidation Preference
Series J Preferred	396	6.625%	August 29, 2008	\$ 99,058

Series K Preferred	598	6.500%	February 13, 2009	\$ 149,550
Series L Preferred	797	6.600%	November 30, 2009	\$ 199,075
Series M Preferred	673	6.950%	January 31, 2011	\$ 168,272
Series N Preferred	435	7.250%	June 30, 2011	\$ 108,630
Series O Preferred	1,168	8.375%	February 22, 2013	\$ 292,040

All series of preferred shares require cumulative distributions and have no stated maturity date (although we may redeem all such preferred shares on or following their optional redemption dates at our option, in whole or in part).

- 82 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(13) Stock Based Compensation

We are authorized to issue up to 12,692,083 shares of our common stock under our stock based employee and non-employee compensation plans.

Cash flows resulting from tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost from the exercise of stock options (excess tax benefits) were not significant in any period presented.

Fixed Stock Option Plans

We had options outstanding under five fixed stock option plans as of December 31, 2009. Additional grants may be made under one of those plans. Stock option awards granted under our stock based employee and non-employee compensation plans generally vest over five years at 20% per year and have contractual lives of ten years. The exercise price for stock option grants is set at the fair value of our common stock on the day of grant.

The following table summarizes transactions under our stock option plans as of December 31, 2009:

		2009		
		Weighted	Weighted	Aggregate
		Average	Average	Intrinsic
		Exercise	Remaining	Value (1)
	Shares	Price	Life	(in Millions)
Outstanding, beginning of year	7,423,267	\$ 27.84		
Granted	-	\$-		
Exercised	-	\$ -		
Forfeited	(486,022)	\$ 26.61		
Expired	(463,857)	\$ 27.41		
Outstanding, end of year	6,473,388	\$ 27.96	6.27	\$ -
Options exercisable, end of year	3,403,629	\$ 28.33	4.98	\$ -

(1) Although this amount changes continuously based upon the market prices of the stock, none of the exercisable options outstanding had any pre-tax intrinsic value as of December 31, 2009.

Options granted in the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, had a weighted average fair value per option of \$1.76 and \$2.89. As of December 31, 2009, there was \$3.7 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to stock options granted under the plans, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average remaining period of 2.7 years. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, was approximately \$898,000 and \$5.6 million. Compensation expense recognized for fixed stock option plans was \$2.6 million, \$3.9 million and \$2.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The fair value of options vested during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$3.0 million, \$2.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

The fair values of the options were determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	2008	2007
Dividend yield	6.75%	5.75%-6.50%
Volatility	20.0%	18.0%
Risk-free interest rate	2.79%	3.63-4.78%
Expected life	5 years	5 years

- 83 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The risk free interest rate assumption is based upon observed interest rates appropriate for the term of our employee stock options. The dividend yield assumption is based on the history of and our present expectation of future dividend payouts. Our computation of expected volatility for the valuation of stock options granted in the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 is based on historic, and our present expectation of future volatility over a period of time equal to the expected term. The expected life of employee stock options represents the weighted average period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding.

Restricted Stock Units

Under our 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan and our 2005 Non-Employee Directors Compensation Plan approved by our shareholders in April 2005, restricted stock units (RSUs) may be granted to non-employee directors, executive officers and selected management employees. An RSU is economically equivalent to one share of our common stock. RSUs generally vest 20% per year over five years, have contractual lives of five years and are payable in shares of our common stock. However, RSUs granted to existing non-employee directors vest 100% over one year, and have contractual lives of one year. We recognize the value of the granted RSUs over this vesting period as expense.

The following table summarizes transactions for our RSUs, excluding dividend equivalents, for 2009:

			eighted verage
	Number of	Gra	ant Date
Restricted Stock Units	RSUs	Fai	ir Value
RSUs at December 31, 2008	401,375	\$	29.03
Granted	1,583,616	\$	9.32
Vested	(129,352)	\$	28.39
Forfeited	(172,033)	\$	12.53
RSUs at December 31, 2009	1,683,606	\$	12.23

Compensation cost recognized for RSUs totaled \$7.3 million, \$4.9 million and \$3.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$6.7 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested RSUs granted under the Plan, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.3 years.

(14) Financial Instruments

We are exposed to capital market risk, such as changes in interest rates. In an effort to manage interest rate risk, we may enter into interest rate hedging arrangements from time to time. We do not utilize derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

- 84 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

In November 2007, we entered into forward starting interest swaps with notional amounts appropriate to hedge interest rates on \$300.0 million of anticipated debt offerings in 2009. The forward starting swaps were appropriately designated and tested for effectiveness as cash flow hedges. In March 2008, we settled the forward starting swaps and made a cash payment of \$14.6 million to the counterparties. An effectiveness test was performed as of the settlement date and it was concluded that a highly effective cash flow hedge was still in place for the expected debt offering. Of the amount paid in settlement, approximately \$700,000 was immediately reclassified to interest expense, as the result of partial ineffectiveness calculated at the settlement date. The net amount of \$13.9 million was recorded in Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) and is being recognized through interest expense over the life of the hedged debt offering, which took place in May 2008. The remaining unamortized amount included as a reduction to accumulated OCI as of December 31, 2009 is \$9.3 million.

In August 2005, we entered into \$300.0 million of cash flow hedges through forward starting interest rate swaps to hedge interest rates on \$300.0 million of anticipated debt offerings in 2007. The swaps qualified for hedge accounting, with any changes in fair value recorded in OCI. In conjunction with the September 2007 issuance of \$300.0 million of senior unsecured notes, we terminated these cash flow hedges as designated. The settlement amount received of \$10.7 million is being recognized to earnings through a reduction of interest expense over the term of the hedged cash flows. The remaining unamortized amount included as an increase to accumulated OCI as of December 31, 2009 is \$8.2 million. The ineffective portion of the hedge was insignificant.

The effectiveness of our hedges is evaluated throughout their lives using the hypothetical derivative method under which the change in fair value of the actual swap designated as the hedging instrument is compared to the change in fair value of a hypothetical swap. We had no material interest rate derivatives, when considering both fair value and notional amount, at December 31, 2009.

(15) Commitments and Contingencies

We have guaranteed the repayment of \$82.1 million of economic development bonds issued by various municipalities in connection with certain commercial developments. We will be required to make payments under our guarantees to the extent that incremental taxes from specified developments are not sufficient to pay the bond debt service. Management does not believe that it is probable that we will be required to make any significant payments in satisfaction of these guarantees.

We also have guaranteed the repayment of secured and unsecured loans of eight of our unconsolidated subsidiaries. At December 31, 2009, the maximum guarantee exposure for these loans was approximately \$346.9 million. With the exception of the guarantee of the debt of 3630 Peachtree joint venture, for which we have recorded a contingent liability (footnote 7), management believes that the value of the underlying real estate exceeds the associated loan balances and that we will not be required to satisfy these guarantees.

In October 2000, we sold or contributed industrial properties and undeveloped land with a fair value of \$487.0 million to a joint venture (Dugan Realty LLC) in which we have a 50% interest and recognized a net gain of \$35.2 million. In connection with this transaction, the joint venture partners were given an option to put up to a \$50.0 million interest in

the joint venture to us in exchange for our common stock or cash (at our option), subject to certain timing and other restrictions. As a result of this put option, we deferred \$10.2 million of gain on sale of depreciated property and recorded a \$50.0 million liability.

- 85 -

DUKE REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

We lease certain land positions with terms extending to May 2070, with a total obligation of \$84.4 million. No payments on these ground leases are material in any individual year.

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of any ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not materially affect our consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

(16) Subsequent Events

Declaration of Dividends

Our board of directors declared the following dividends at its regularly scheduled board meeting held on January 27, 2010:

Class	Quarterly iount/Share	Record Date	Payment Date
Common	\$ 0.17	February 12, 2010	February 26, 2010
Preferred (per depositary share):			
Series J	\$ 0.414063	February 12, 2010	February 26, 2010
Series K	\$ 0.406250	February 12, 2010	February 26, 2010
Series L	\$ 0.412500	February 12, 2010	February 26, 2010
Series M	\$ 0.434375	March 17, 2010	March 31, 2010
Series N	\$ 0.453125	March 17, 2010	March 31, 2010
Series O	\$ 0.523438	March 17, 2010	March 31, 2010

In January 2010, we repaid \$99.8 million of unsecured notes, which bore interest at an effective rate of 5.37%, at their scheduled maturity.

On February 11, 2010, we entered into an agreement to issue new shares of our common stock, from time to time, at an aggregate offering price of up to \$150.0 million. No new shares have yet been issued under this agreement.

- 86 -

orporation d Accumulated Depreciation 2009

		Building		Init	ial Cost	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to	Gross Book	Value 12/3	31/09		Year
		č				Development				Accumulated	Construc
	Name	Туре	Encumbrances	Land	Buildings	or Acquisition	Land/Land Imp	Bldgs/TI	Total(1)	Depreciation (2)	Renovat
AS											
ark	One Allen Center	Office	-	1,966	11,051	4,067	1,720	15,364	17,084	1,464	2007
A, GEORGIA											
æ Park	Radiant I	Office	-	1,269	14,697	132	1,269	14,829	16,098	3,909	1998
e Park	Brookside I	Office	8,527	1,625	8,041	4,336	1,492	12,510	14,002	3,530	1999
æ Park	Radiant II	Office	-	831	6,755	203	831	6,958	7,789	1,603	2000
te Park	Brookside II	Office	9,343	1,381	10,176	2,245	1,248	12,554	13,802	3,332	2001
nter		Office	-	2,271	19,226	2,021	2,304	21,214	23,518	5,750	1998
nter	Northwinds I	Office	-	1,879	12,520	2,349	1,879	14,869	16,748	2,449	1997
nter	Northwinds II	Office	-	1,796	12,766	771	1,796	13,537	15,333	2,252	1997
nter	Northwinds III	Office	14,761		12,883	431	1,499	13,683	15,182	2,361	1998
nter	Northwinds IV	Office		1,844	12,996	2,149	1,844	15,145	16,989	3,019	1999
nter	Northwinds V	Office	-	2,215	12,482	2,063	2,215	14,545	16,760	2,519	1999
nter nter	Northwinds VI Northwinds	Office	-	2,662	12,105	950	2,662	13,055	15,717	2,277	2000
	Village	Retail	-	704	4,221	203	710	4,418	5,128	719	2000
nter	Northwinds Restaurant	Retail	-	202	302	-	202	302	504	52	1997
	1320 Ridgeland			000	6 001	010	000	(214	7.010	1 (05	1000
	Parkway	Industrial	-	998	6,001	213	998	6,214	7,212	1,605	1999
	1345 Ridgeland Parkway	Industrial	-	488	2,005	1,073	488	3,078	3,566	970	1999
	1335 Ridgeland Pkwy	Industrial	-	579	2,105	795	579	2,900	3,479	1,035	2000
	Preston Ridge IV 800 North Point	Office	8,173	2,777	9,533	728	2,781	10,257	13,038	1,990	2000
	Parkway 900 North Point	Office	-	1,250	18,443	-	1,250	18,443	19,693	3,322	1991
	Parkway	Office	-	1,250	13,945	-	1,250	13,945	15,195	2,532	1991
, TEXAS											
cal Development	Baylor Ortho Hosp-Arlington	Medical Office	11,918	584	9,808	-	584	9,808	10,392	-	2009
HEIGHTS, ILLINOIS											
iess Park	Atrium II	Office	-	776	6,414	2,787	776	9,201	9,977	2,877	1986
		011100			0,111	_,,		,201	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2,077	1700
EORGIA	(III) D 11										
	6 West Druid Hills Drive	Office	-	473	5,976	(702)	473	5,274	5,747	2,645	1968
	2801 Buford	0.00		70.4	0 1 5 5	0.52	70.4	10.000	10.000	2.5/5	1077
	Highway 1190 West Druid Hills	Office	-	794	9,155	853	794	10,008	10,802	3,767	1977
	Drive	Office	-	689	6,479	(545)	689	5,934	6,623	2,394	1980
LINOIS											
ess Campus	535 Exchange	Industrial	-	386	920	269	386	1,189	1,575	406	1984
ess Campus	525 North Enterprise Street	Industrial	-	342	1,678	110	342	1,788	2,130	595	1984
ess Campus	615 North Enterprise Street	Industrial	-	468	2,824	679	468	3,503	3,971	1,264	1984

Schedule

ess Campus	3615 Exchange	Industrial	-	410	1,555	140	410	1,695	2,105	581	1986
ess Campus	4000 Sussex Avenue	Industrial	-	417	1,711	371	417	2,082	2,499	689	1990
ess Campus	3737 East Exchange	Industrial	-	598	2,543	196	598	2,739	3,337	912	1985
ess Campus	444 North Commerce				5 (Q)		200	6.000	6 500	2 400	1005
6	Street	Industrial	-	722	5,403	597	722	6,000	6,722	2,100	1985
ess Campus	880 North Enterprise Street	Industrial	4,447	1,150	5,646	626	1,150	6,272	7,422	1,900	2000
ess Campus	Meridian Office Service Center	Industrial	-	567	1,083	1,701	567	2,784	3,351	871	2001
ess Campus	Genera				, í						
	Corporation Butterfield 550	Industrial Industrial	3,552	1,957 9,185	3,827 10,795	- 1,512	1,957 9,185	3,827 12,307	5,784 21,492	957 758	2004 2008
, MARYLAND				.,		y-	.,		, -		
mmerce Center	5901 Holabird Ave	Industrial	-	3,345	4,220	3,307	3,345	7,527	10,872	950	2008
mmerce Center	5003 Holabird Ave	Industrial	_	6,488	9,213	1,577	6,488	10,790	17,278	916	2008
HIO				-,	,	-,	-,				
Clermont MOB	Mercy Hospital Clermont MOB	Medical Office	-	-	8,249	831	-	9,080	9,080	1,411	2006
TEXAS											
Business Park	Cedar Crossing	Industrial	11,623	9,323	5,934	-	9,323	5,934	15,257	824	2005
, TENNESSEE											
iness Center	Four-Forty Business Center										
	Ι	Industrial	-	938	6,454	93	938	6,547	7,485	1,718	1997
iness Center	Four-Forty Business Center III	Industrial		1,812	7,432	820	1.812	8,252	10,064	2,177	1998
iness Center	Four-Forty Business Center	mousurai	-	1,012	7,432	820	1,012	0,232	10,004	2,177	1998
	IV	Industrial	_	1,522	5,365	529	1,522	5,894	7,416	1,571	1997
iness Center	Four-Forty Business Center			.,	-,0	527	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,	.,.10	-,071	
	V	Industrial	-	471	2,335	541	471	2,876	3,347	785	1999

- 87 -

y Corporation e and Accumulated Depreciation 31, 2009 ids)

		D 111		¥.4		Cost Capitalized		¥.1	1/00		Veen
		Building		Initi	tial Cost	Subsequent to Development	Gross Book	Value 12/3	÷1/09	Accumulated	Year Constructed
nt	Name	Туре	Encumbrances	Land	Buildings	•	Land/Land Imp	Bldgs/TI	Total(1)	Depreciation (2)	Renovated
IGTON, MINNESOTA											
ness Center	Alpha Business Ctr I&II	Office	-	280	1,344	365	280	1,709	1,989	470	1980
ness Center	Alpha Business Ctr III&IV	Industrial	-	341	1,726	380	341	2,106	2,447	610	1980
ness Center	Alpha Business Ctr V	Industrial	-	537	2,845	361	538	3,205	3,743	906	1980
Dist. Center	Hampshire Dist Center North	Industrial	656	779	4,482	286	779	4,768	5,547	1,525	1979
Dist. Center	Hampshire Dist Center South	Industrial	780	901			901	5,461	6,362		
inte Office Park	Norman Pointe	Office	-	3,650	,		3,650		31,510		
inte Office Park	Norman Pointe II	Office	-	5,885			5,700				
I, OHIO											
lace	McAuley Place	Office	-	2,331	17,455	2,343	2,331	19,798	22,129	5,227	2001
Bank Building	Huntington Bank Building		-	175		_	175		416		
/Westlake	Lake Forest Place	Office	-	1,953	18,583	4,486	1,953	23,069	25,022	7,988	1985
Office Park	Northmark Building 1	Office	-	1,452	3,003	792	1,452	3,795	5,247	1,074	1987
/Westlake	Westlake	Office	-	2,459	14,899	4,279	2,459	19,178	21,637	6,982	1981
	Landings										
	U	Office	-	4,302	17,512	334	4,302	17,846	22,148	2,942	2006
	Landings Building II	Office	-	4,817	9,377	4,143	4,817	13,520	18,337	1,792	2007
ROOK, ILLINOIS											
Business Park	555 Joliet Road	Industrial	7,580	2,184	9,263	799	2,332	9,914	12,246	2,142	2002
Business Park	Dawes Transportation	Industrial	-	3,050	4,453	16	3,050	4,469	7,519	1,140	2005
Business Park	Chapco Carton Company	Industrial	3,686	917	4,527	49	917	4,576	5,493	926	1999
ON, GEORGIA											
usiness Park	Braselton II	Industrial	-	1,365	8,720	1,814	1,884	10,015	11,899	2,504	2001
Braselton	Park 85 at Braselton Bldg			,	,	, ,	,		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		Industrial	13,268	9,855	25,690	1,656	9,855	27,346	37,201	4,690	2006
Braselton	1350 Braselton Parkway	Industrial	-	8,227	8,874	1,979	8,227	10,853	19,080	1,050	2008
OOD, TENNESSEE											
South Bus. Center	Brentwood South Bus Ctr I	Industrial	-	1,065	5,321	1,178	1,065	6,499	7,564	1,776	1987
South Bus. Center	Brentwood South Bus Ctr			, ,				,			
	II	Industrial	-	1,065	2,721	1,310	1,065	4,031	5,096	1,157	1987

Schedule III

South Bus. Center	Brentwood South Bus Ctr										
	III	Industrial	-	848	3,742	720	848	4,462	5,310	1,279	1989
Crossing	Creekside Crossing I	Office	-	1,900	7,470	1,218	1,901	8,687	10,588	2,966	1998
Crossing	Creekside Crossing II	Office	-	2,087	7,635	1,421	2,087	9,056	11,143	3,236	2000
Crossing	Creekside Crossing III	Office	-	2,969	9,621	2,196	2,969	11,817	14,786	2,425	2006
Crossing	Creekside Crossing IV	Office	-	2,966	7,775	4,241	2,877	12,105	14,982	1,402	2007
YN PARK, MINNESOTA											
land Drive	7300 Northland										
North Bus. Ctr.	Drive Crosstown	Industrial	-	700	6,361	306	703	6,664	7,367	2,282	1999
North Bus. Cu.	North Bus. Ctr.	Industrial	-	835	4,899	1,314	1,286	5,762	7,048	1,695	1998
North Bus. Ctr.	Crosstown	industrial		055	4,077	1,514	1,200	5,762	7,040	1,000	1770
	North Bus. Ctr. 2	Industrial	-	449	2,695	738	599	3,283	3,882	1,059	1998
North Bus. Ctr.	Crosstown North Bus. Ctr.				1.005	265	0.05	0.070	• • • • •	-10	1000
North Bus. Ctr.	3 Crosstown	Industrial	-	758	1,887	265	837	2,073	2,910	718	1999
tom bus. en.	North Bus. Ctr.	Industrial	_	2,079	6,298	1,348	2,397	7,328	9,725	2,329	1999
North Bus. Ctr.	Crosstown North Bus. Ctr.	industrial			0,290	1,510	2,577	1,320		2,323	
North Date Cha	5	Industrial	-	1,079	4,430	714	1,354	4,869	6,223	1,443	2000
North Bus. Ctr.	Crosstown North Bus. Ctr. 6	Industrial	_	788	2,517	2,212	1,031	4,486	5,517	1,789	2000
North Bus. Ctr.	Crosstown North Bus. Ctr.			100	2,017	·	1,001	1,100	0,017	1,707	2000
Nauth Data Chu	10	Industrial	-	2,757	4,642	1,079	2,723	5,755	8,478	1,605	2005
North Bus. Ctr.	Crosstown North Bus. Ctr. 12	Industrial	-	4,564	8,494	614	4,564	9,108	13,672	1,638	2005
BURG, INDIANA				,	.,		,	-,		,	
West-MOB	Ortho Indy West-MOB	Medical Office	-	-	9,817	1,575	837	10,555	11,392	393	2008
NEW YORK					,,	-,		,	,-,-		
elopment	HealthNow	Office	-	11,606	54,009	4,503	19,821	50,297	70,118	3,990	2007
INDIANA											
rossing	Hamilton Crossing I	Industrial	-	833	3,883	2,848	845	6,719	7,564	3,196	2000
rossing	Hamilton Crossing II	Office	_	313	840	1,200	384	1,969	2,353	872	1997
rossing	Hamilton Crossing III	Office	-	890	9,122	2,304	890	11,426	12,316	4,028	2000
rossing	Hamilton Crossing IV	Office	_	515	5,168	596	598	5,681	6,279	1,790	1999
rossing	Hamilton Crossing VI	Office	-	1,044	13,234	977	1,068	14,187	15,255	3,065	2004
	-										

Realty Corporation state and Accumulated Depreciation ber 31, 2009 usands)

						Cost Capitalized						
		Building		Initi	al Cost	Subsequent to	Gross Book	Value 12/3	31/09		Year	
		_				Development				Accumulated	Constructed	' 1
pment	Name	Туре	Encumbrances	Land	Buildings	or Acquisition	Land/Land Imp	Bldgs/TI	Total(1)	Depreciation (2)	Renovated	Ace
L STREAM, ILLINOIS												
Stream Business Park	Carol Stream IV	Industrial	11,709	3,204	14,869	999	3,204	15,868	19,072	3,570	2004	2
, NORTH CAROLINA												
cy Forest	200 Regency Forest Dr.	Office	_	1,230	13,116	2,299	1,239	15,406	16,645	4,658	1999	1
cy Forest	100 Regency	onnee			15,110	2,239	1,227	,	10,045			1
n Parkway	Forest Dr. 6501 Weston	Office	-	1,538	9,437	1,955	1,627	11,303	12,930	3,078	1997	1
	Parkway	Office	-	1,775	9,911	1,556	1,775	11,467	13,242	3,246	1996	1
cy Creek	Regency Creek I	Office	-	3,626	8,054	4,541	3,626	12,595	16,221	997	2008	2
BRATION, FLORIDA												
ation Business Center	Celebration Business Center I	Office	_	1,102	4,672	573	1,308	5,039	6,347	1,495	1997	1
ation Business Center	Celebration Business	onnee				515	1,500					-
ation Office Center	Center II Celebration Office	Office	-	771	3,587	345	961	3,742	4,703	1,181	1997	1
ation Office Center	Center I Celebration	Office	-	1,382	5,762	803	1,382	6,565	7,947	1,829	2000	2
	Office Center II	Office	-	1,382	3,819	2,862	1,634	6,429	8,063	1,855	2001	2
TILLY, VIRGINIA												
idge at Westfields	15002 Northridge	Office		2,082	1 662	447	2,082	2 110	4,192	248	2007	
idge at Westfields	Dr. 15004 Northridge	Office	-	2,082	1,663	447	2,082	2,110	4,192	248	2007	
idge at Westfields	Dr. 15006	Office	-	2,366	1,920	466	2,366	2,386	4,752	281	2007	2
	Northridge Dr.	Office	-	2,920	2,276	1,059	2,920	3,335	6,255	458	2007	2
LICOTHE, OHIO												
Health Pavilion	Adena Health Pavilion	Medical Office			14,428	31		14 450	14 450	2,222	2006	-
	Pavillon	Onice	-	-	14,428	31	-	14,459	14,459	2,222	2000	2
INNATI, OHIO m	311 Elm	Office	-	339	5,702	1,561	346	7,256	7,602	4,699	1986	1
m	311 Elm 312 Elm	Office	-	4,750	46,172	5,518	5,428	51,012	56,440	21,608	1980	1
im	312 Plum	Office	-	2,539	23,269	4,557	2,590	27,775	30,365	11,311	1987	1
sh Office Center	Blue Ash Office											
s of Kenwood	Center VI	Office Office	-	518 4,891	2,574 42,370	665 2,988	518 4,891	3,239 45,358	3,757 50,249	1,096 9,743	1989 1989	1
s of ixeliwood		onice	-	7,071	-2,570	2,200	4,091	-5,556	50,249	9,145	1909	4

Schedule III

	Towers of Kenwood											
nors Hill	8790											
	Governor s											
nors Hill	Hill 8800	Office	-	400	4,440	1,293	408	5,725	6,133	2,444	1985	1
	Governor s											
*****	Hill	Office	-	225	2,293	597	231	2,884	3,115	1,644	1985	1
nors Hill	8600/8650 Governor s											
	Hill Dr.	Office	-	1,220	17,878	6,284	1,245	24,137	25,382	10,685	1986	1
od Executive Center	Kenwood											
	Executive Center	Office	-	606	3,715	1,018	664	4,675	5,339	1,600	1981	1
od Commons	8230							,	-)			
	Kenwood Commons	Office	3,081	638	4 172	1 006	629	5 170	5 917	2 107	1986	1
od Commons	8280	Office	5,081	038	4,173	1,006	638	5,179	5,817	3,107	1980	1
	Kenwood											
od Medical Office Bldg.	Commons Kenwood	Office	1,819	638	2,831	533	638	3,364	4,002	1,746	1986	1
ou medical Office Blug.	Medical											
	Office											
r Place	Bldg. Pfeiffer	Office	-	-	7,663	100	-	7,763	7,763	2,153	1999	1
	Place	Office	-	3,608	11,601	1,589	3,608	13,190	16,798	3,322	2001	2
r Woods	Pfeiffer	0.00		1 450	10.104	1.010	0.101	10.071	15 400	2.045	1000	1
gton Office Park	Woods Remington	Office	-	1,450	12,134	1,818	2,131	13,271	15,402	3,965	1998	1
	Park											
	Building A	Office	-	560	1,448	161	560	1,609	2,169	866	1982	1
gton Office Park	Remington Park											
	Building B	Office	-	560	1,121	306	560	1,427	1,987	713	1982	1
le Office Park	Triangle	065	2 (20	1 0 1 9	10.496	1 700	1.019	10.076	12 204	7 1 2 1	1095	1
	Office Park	Office	2,680	1,018	10,486	1,790	1,018	12,276	13,294	7,131	1985	1
TON, MISSOURI uth Hanley	101 South											
ulli Halley	Hanley	Office	-	6,150	42,237	3,166	6,150	45,403	51,553	11,045	1986	2
MBUS, OHIO												
	One Easton											
	Oval	Office	-	2,789	9,610	1,099	2,789	10,709	13,498	3,286	1999	1
	Two Easton											
	Oval	Office	-	2,489	15,969	2,580	2,489	18,549	21,038	5,495	1996	1
	Easton Way One	Office		1,874	8,874	688	1,874	0.562	11 426	3,191	2000	~
	Easton	Onice	-	1,0/4	0,074	000	1,0/4	9,562	11,436	5,191	2000	2
	Way Two	Office	-	2,005	6,789	866	2,005	7,655	9,660	1,617	2001	2
	Easton Way Three	Office	-	2,768	8,462	136	2,693	8,673	11,366	1,716	2003	-
	Lane	onice	-	2,700	0,402	150	2,095	0,075	11,500	1,/10	2005	2
	Bryant	Office	-	4,346	11,395	76	4,346	11,471	15,817	2,677	2006	2
	4400 Easton											
	Commons	Office	-	1,886	7,779	1,350	1,886	9,129	11,015	2,250	2006	2
	4343 Eastan											
	Easton Commons	Office	_	3,059	7,248	3,276	3,083	10,500	13,583	970	2007	2
ELL, TEXAS				.,,	.,2.0	-,=,=	5,005	-,200	-,- 00	2.0		_
rt North	Freeport X	Industrial	18,339	8,198	18,249	3,031	8,198	21,280	29,478	7,485	2004	2
West Office	Point West											
West Industrial	I Point West	Office	-	5,513	9,288	11,062	6,643	19,220	25,863	1,398	2008	2
in cot incuoural	VI	Industrial	10,711	10,181	17,905	4,117	10,181	22,022	32,203	2,131	2008	2
West Industrial	Point West		0.450		10	2 550	< or 2				2000	
West Industrial	VII	Industrial Grounds	8,158	6,785 306	13,668	2,570	6,892 306	16,131	23,023 306	1,674	2008 n/a	2
, est mousulai		Grounds	-	500	-	-	500	-	500	-	11/ a	2

Samsung Pkg Lot-PWT7

- 89 -

ration

cumulated Depreciation

		Building		Init	ial Cost	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to	Gross Book	Value 12/3	31/09		
	Name	Туре	Encumbrances	Land	Buildings	Development or Acquisition	Land/Land Imp	Bldgs/TI	Total(1)	Accumulated Depreciation (2)	Con) Rei
Dallas	Baylor Administration Building	Medical Office	-	50	14,435	-	50	14,435	14,485	296	5
ORIDA											
Center	Park 27 Distribution Center I	Industrial	-	2,449	6,107	33	2,449	6,140	8,589	2,026	5
Center	Park 27 Distribution Center II	Industrial	-	4,374	8,218	4,697	4,415		17,289		
				,	-, -	,	, -	,	.,	· · ·	
LINOIS				. = 0							_
ad	2180 South Wolf Road	Industrial	-	179	1,515	548	179	2,063	2,242	662	2
E, ILLINOIS											
	Executive Towers I	Office	-	2,652	22,973	7,422	2,652	30,395	33,047	10,343	3
	Executive Towers II	Office	-	3,386	26,773	10,766	3,386		40,925		
	Executive Towers III	Office	-	3,512	31,195	7,000	3,512	38,195	41,707	13,469)
nter	Scioto Corporate Center	Office	-	1,100	2,742	1,598	1,100	4,340	5,440	1,614	1
	Qwest	Office	-	2,618	18,520	1,845	2,670		22,983	,	
	4600 Lakehurst	Office	-	1,494	12,759	561	1,524		14,814		
	4700 Lakehurst Court	Office	-	717	2,372	901	717		3,990		
	4675 Lakehurst	Office	-	605	5,845	205	605	6,050	6,655	2,375	5
	5500 Glendon Court	Office	-	1,066	6,939	1,267	1,066	8,206	9,272	3,288	3
	5555 Glendon Court	Office	-	1,600	6,749	1,653	1,767	8,235	10,002	3,418	3
	6060 Britton Parkway	Office	-	1,601	8,725	182	1,601	8,907	10,508		
	Compmanagement	Office	-	867	4,397	759	867	5,156	6,023	2,149)
	4725 Lakehurst	Office	-	483	9,349	759	483		10,591	4,229	
	5555 Parkcenter Circle	Office	-	1,580	8,908	1,122	1,580		11,610		
	Parkwood Place	Office	-	1,690	11,534	1,094	1,690		14,318		
	Nationwide	Office	-	4,815	15,345	863	4,815		21,023		
	Emerald II	Office	-	495	2,532	249	495		3,276		
	Atrium II, South Tower	Office	-	1,649	9,214	660	1,649	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11,523		
	Atrium II, North Tower Blazer I	Office Office	-	1,597 904	7,774 3,894	1,134 594	1,597 904		10,505 5,392		
	Parkwood II	Office	-	1,848	11,389	821	2,400		14,058		
	Blazer II	Office	-	1,040	5,776	1,188	1,016	,	7,980		
	Emerald III	Office	-	1,685	7,392	2,014	1,694		11,091	2,772	
HA				,		y -	,	- ,	,	,	
	3805 Crestwood Parkway	Office	-	877	14,206	1,501	877	15,707	16,584	4,345	5
	3885 Crestwood Parkway	Office	-	878	13,667	1,245	878	14,912	15,790	4,049)
	Hampton Green Office I	Office	-	1,388	10,091	789	1,388	10,880	12,268	2,772	2
garloaf	2775 Premiere Parkway	Industrial	6,629	560	4,538	304	565	4,837	5,402		
garloaf	3079 Premiere Parkway	Industrial	10,845	776	5,470	2,156	783		8,402	2,298	3
garloaf	Sugarloaf Office I	Office	-	1,042	8,400	725	1,042		10,167		
garloaf	2850 Premiere Parkway	Office	8,182	621	4,621	1,004	627		6,246		
garloaf	Sugarloaf Office II (3039)	Office	-	972	3,784	625	1,006		5,381	921	
garloaf	Sugarloaf Office III (2810)	Office	-	696	3,804	472	696		4,972		
garloaf	2855 Premiere Parkway	Industrial	5,780	765	3,297	586	770		4,648		
garloaf	6655 Sugarloaf	Industrial	11,453	1,651	6,985	95	1,659		8,731	1,449	
garloaf	Sugarloaf Office IV	Office	-	623	2,606	471	623		3,700		
garloaf garloaf	Sugarloaf Office V	Office	-	744	1,968	808	744		3,520		
garloaf garloaf	Sugarloaf VI Sugarloaf VII	Office Office	-	1,589 1,722	5,608	1,290	1,589		8,487 9,340		
garloaf	Sugalival vii	Once	-	1,722	5,055	2,563	1,726	7,614	9,540	1,203	, .
OTA nter	Apollo Industrial Ctr I	Industrial	4,050	866	4,366	1,472	882	5,822	6,704	2,010)
	1		.,	500	.,200	1,.72	302	2,022	5,751	2,010	

Sche

nter	Apollo Industrial Ctr II	Industrial	1,865	474	2,455	167	474	2,622	3,096	716 2
nter	Apollo Industrial Ctr III	Industrial	4,937	1,432	6,316	51	1,432	6,367	7,799	1,716 2
IS	Silver Bell Commons	Industrial	-	1,807	5,835	1,748	1,908	7,482	9,390	2,476
rce Center	Trapp Road Commerce Center I	Industrial	2,729	671	3,847	453	700	4,271	4,971	1,315
rce Center	Trapp Road Commerce Center									
	II	Industrial	4,842	1,250	6,642	1,095	1,266	7,721	8,987	2,554

ration rumulated Depreciation

		Building		Init	ial Cost	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to	Gross Book	Value 12/3	31/09		
						Development				Accumulated	Cor
	Name	Туре	Encumbrances	Land	Buildings	or Acquisition	Land/Land Imp	Bldgs/TI	Total(1)	Depreciation (2)	Re
SOURI											
	Rider Trail	Office	-	2,615	10,769	2,429	2,615	13,198	15,813	4,546	
	3300 Pointe 70	Office	-	1,186	6,447	2,556	1,186	9,003	10,189	3,327	
	Corporate Center, Earth City	Industrial	-	783	2,748	1,506	783	4,254	5,037	2,013	
	Corporate Trail Distribution	Industrial	-	2,850	6,163	1,759	2,875	7,897	10,772	1,222	2
ORGIA											
	Camp Creek Bldg 1400	Office	5,312	561	2,523	1,187	563	3,708	4,271	841	l
	Camp Creek Bldg 1800	Office	4,244	462	2,578	370	464	2,946	3,410	715	5
	Camp Creek Bldg 2000	Office	3,868	395	2,285	123	397	2,406	2,803	553	3
	Camp Creek Bldg 2400	Industrial	3,189	296	1,570	692	298	2,260	2,558	574	ł
	Camp Creek Bldg 2600	Industrial	3,420	364	2,086	227	366	2,311	2,677	583	3
	3201 Centre Parkway-Clorox	Industrial	19,163		9,512	612	4,841	9,689	14,530	2,386	
	Camp Creek Building 1200	Office	-	1,334	2,246	1,074	1,334	3,320	4,654	1,576	
	3900 North Commerce	Industrial	5,274	1,059	2,966	-	1,059	2,966	4,025	584	
	3909 North Commerce	Industrial	-	5,687	10,192	12,287	8,818	19,348	28,166	3,829	
	4200 N. Commerce-Hartsfield WH	Industrial	11,833		7,076	71	2,065	7,147	9,212	979	
	Camp Creek Building 1000	Office	-	1,537	2,459	1,126	1,540	3,582	5,122	1,048	
	3000 Centre Parkway	Industrial	-	1,163	1,884	964	1,170	2,841	4,011	551	
	1500 Centre Parkway	Office	-	1,683	5,564	3,322	1,700	8,869	10,569	862	
	1100 Centre Parkway	Office	-	1,309	4,881	310	1,328	5,172	6,500	411	
	4800 N. Commerce Dr. (Site Q)	Industrial	-	2,476	4,650	706	2,476	5,356	7,832	288	3
RGIA											
h)	1086 Orafold Pkwy	Industrial	10,877	2,042	13,104	190	2,046	13,290	15,336	1,003	3
	1000 0141014 11449	muustin	10,077	2,012	10,101	170	2,010	10,270	10,000	1,000	
DIANA											
titute	St. Mary s Heart Institute	Medical Office	-	-	20,946	1,534	-	22,480	22,480	2,889)
g 1	Thunderbird Building 1	Industrial	_	248	1,617	334	248	1,951	2,199	768	2
5 1	Indidefond Bunding I	maastriar		210	1,017	551	210	1,951	2,177	700	,
A											
	Exit 5 Building 1	Industrial	-	822	2,636	158	822	2,794	3,616	932	2
	Exit 5 Building 2	Industrial	-	749	3,825	401	749	4,226	4,975	1,796	
t MOB	St. Vincent Northeast MOB	Medical Office	-	-	23,101	4,414	4,198	23,317	27,515	2,079)
ESSEE											
s Center	Aspen Grove Business Ctr I	Industrial		936	6,333	2,872	936	9,205	10,141	3,285	5
ss Center	Aspen Grove Business Ctr II	Industrial	-	1,151	6,414	767	1,151	7,181	8,332	1,959	
ss Center	Aspen Grove Business Ctr III	Industrial	_	970	5,514	301	970	5,815	6,785	1,622	
ss Center	Aspen Grove Business Center IV	Industrial	-	492	2,249	35	492	2,284	2,776	435	
ss Center	Aspen Grove Business Ctr V	Industrial	_	943	5,172	2,539	943	7,711	8,654	2,293	
s Center	Aspen Grove Flex Center II	Industrial	-	240	1,222	390	240	1,612	1,852	193	
ss Center	Aspen Grove Office Center I	Office	-	950	5,967	2,564	950	8,531	9,481	2,410	
ss Center	Aspen Grove Flex Center I	Industrial	-	301	1,142	639	301	1,781	2,082	501	
ss Center	Aspen Grove Flex Center III	Industrial	-	327	1,201	861	327	2,062	2,389	625	
ss Center	Aspen Grove Flex Center IV	Industrial	-	205	861	205	205	1,066	1,271	235	
ss Center	Aspen Corporate Center 100	Office	-	723	3,275	94	723	3,369	4,092	1,064	
ss Center	Aspen Corporate Center 200	Office	-	1,306	1,870	1,655	1,306	3,525	4,831	914	
ss Center	Aspen Corporate Center 300	Office	-	1,451	2,050	1,466	1,460	3,507	4,967	143	
ss Center	Aspen Corporate Center 400	Office	-	1,833	2,621	2,460	1,833	5,081	6,914	720	
ss Center	Aspen Grove Office Center II	Office	-	2,320	8,177	3,739	2,320	11,916	14,236	2,096	
s. Center	Brentwood South Bus Ctr IV	Industrial	-	569	2,435	1,109	705	3,408	4,113	1,059	
s. Center	Brentwood South Bus Ctr V	Industrial	-	445	1,932	129	445	2,061	2,506	577	
s. Center	Brentwood South Bus Ctr VI	Industrial	1,293	489	1,240	610	489	1,850	2,339	549	

172

Sch

, ILLINOIS										
Center	O Hare Distribution Ctr	Industrial	-	3,900	3,013	1,022	3,900	4,035	7,935	306
	Duke Bridges III	Office	-	4,647	7,546	4,427	4,647	11,973	16,620	1,432
	6									

ration

cumulated Depreciation

		Building			ial Cost	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to	Gross Book	Value 12/3	1/09		
						Development				Accumulated	Con
	Name	Туре	Encumbrances	Land	Buildings	or Acquisition	Land/Land Imp	Bldgs/TI	Total(1)	Depreciation (2)	Re
ANA											
y Svcs -											
	Parkview Ambulatory Svcs-MOB	Medical Office	-	937	10,661	4,377	937	15,038	15,975	1,036	
EORGIA											
LOKOIII	Aviation Court Land	Grounds	-	1,509	-	-	1,509	-	1,509	75	
				,					,		
ZONA nd. Park	Coodwaar One	Inductrial		5,142	4,942	902	5,142	5,844	10.096	613	
nu. Park	Goodyear One	Industrial	-	3,142	4,942	902	5,142	3,644	10,986	015	
TEXAS											
	Grand Lakes I	Industrial	-	8,106	13,069	310	8,040	13,445	21,485	3,324	
	Grand Lakes II	Industrial	-	11,853	16,714	8,033	11,853	24,747	36,600	2,436	I
ю											I
	6600 Port Road	Industrial	-	2,725	23,104	1,833	3,213	24,449	27,662	8,281	
e Center	Groveport Commerce Center #437	Industrial	3,126	1,049	6,759	1,244	1,065	7,987	9,052	2,232	
e Center	Groveport Commerce Center #168	Industrial	1,686	510	3,170	1,193	510	4,363	4,873	1,257	
e Center	Groveport Commerce Center #345	Industrial	3,020	1,045	6,152	942	1,045	7,094	8,139	2,019	
e Center	Groveport Commerce Center #667	Industrial	6,544	4,420	14,172	360	4,420	14,532	18,952	3,900	
ISSOURI											
ISSOCIAI	Lindbergh Distribution Center	Industrial	_	8,200	10,305	3,376	8,490	13,391	21,881	1,417	
	Endoergi Distribution Center	industriul		0,200	10,505	5,570	0,190	10,001	21,001	1,117	
CKY											
	Southpark Building 4	Industrial	-	779	3,189	308	779	3,497	4,276	1,449	
	CR Services	Industrial	-	1,085	4,128	1,409	1,085	5,537	6,622	2,201	
rk 1-	Hebron Building 1	Industrial	-	8,855	11,527	227	8,855	11,754	20,609	2,651	
rk	Hebron Building 2	Industrial	-	6,790	9,039	1,542	6,808	10,563	17,371	1,106	
ISOTA											
s Center	Cornerstone Business Center	Industrial	3,828	1,469	8,390	497	1,543	8,813	10,356	2,897	
s											
	Sam Houston Crossing One	Office		4,016	8,535	7,527	4,052	16,026	20,078	1,633	
ng ark	Point North One	Industrial	-	3,125	3,420	1,650	3,125	5,070	8,195	490	
ark	Westland I	Industrial	-	4,183	5,200	2,919	4,233	8,069	12,302	995	
				.,	-,	_,, _,	.,	.,	,		
S				5 200	0.040	1.001	5 200	10.000	15 (00)	1.425	
ĸ	Duke Intermodal I	Industrial	-	5,290	9,242	1,091	5,290	10,333	15,623	1,435	
OHIO											
	Corporate Plaza I	Office	-	2,116	14,066	(1,925)	2,116	12,141	14,257	6,462	
	Corporate Plaza II	Office	-	1,841	11,778	327	1,841	12,105	13,946	5,455	
	Freedom Square I	Office	-	595	3,725	(1,626)	600	2,094	2,694	1,767	
	Freedom Square II	Office	-	1,746	11,423	(1,859)	1,746	9,564	11,310	4,912	
	Freedom Square III	Office	-	701	5,583	(1,199)	701	4,384	5,085	2,040	
	Oak Tree Place	Office	-	703	4,555	905	703	5,460	6,163	1,902	
	Park Center Plaza I	Office	-	2,193	11,070	1,679	2,193	12,749	14,942	4,100	
	Park Center Plaza II	Office	-	2,190	10,898	1,715	2,190	12,613	14,803	3,538	
	Park Center Plaza III	Office	-	2,190	10,686	2,862	2,190	13,548	15,738	4,079	
NDIANA											ļ
	Park 465	Industrial	-	124	759	164	124	923	1,047	116	
ess Park	Franklin Road Business Center	Industrial	-	594	8,765	1,726	594	10,491	11,085	4,217	
	6061 Guion Rd	Industrial	-	274		351	274	2,121	2,395	781	
	Hillsdale Technecenter 4	Industrial	-	366	4,819	1,603	366	6,422	6,788	2,671	

Sche

	Hillsdale Technecenter 5	Industrial	-	251	2,865	1,167	251	4,032	4,283	1,657
	Hillsdale Technecenter 6	Industrial	-	315	2,962	2,312	315	5,274	5,589	2,141
	One North Capitol	Office	-	1,439	8,919	1,001	1,439	9,920	11,359	3,451
Road	8071 Township Line Road	Medical Office	-	-	2,319	939	-	3,258	3,258	249
Township	Franklin Township POB	Medical Office	-	-	3,197	-	-	3,197	3,197	102
Southport	St. Francis US31 &Southport Rd	Medical Office	-	-	3,547	-	-	3,547	3,547	101

Realty Corporation state and Accumulated Depreciation ber 31, 2009 usands)

		Building			Cost Capitalized Initial Cost Subsequent to Development nces Land Buildings or Acquisition L		Gross Book	Value 12/3	51/09	Year Accumulated Constructed/		
pment	Name	Type	Encumbrances	Land	Buildinge	-	Land/Land Imp	Bldgs/TI	Total(1)			
0	Park 100 Bldg		uncto		-	_	_	-		-		
0	31 Park 100	Industrial	-	64	362	137	64	499	563	64	1978	
N	Building 96	Industrial	-	1,414	13,804	113	1,667	13,664	15,331	5,451	1997	
0	Park 100 Building 98	Industrial		273	7,651	2,365	273	10,016	10,289	4,340	1995	
0	Park 100	Industrial	-		,			, i	,			
0	Building 100 Park 100	Industrial	-	103	2,033	823	103	2,856	2,959	1,123	1995	
	Building 102	Office	-	182	1,118	346	182	1,464	1,646	210	1982	1
0	Park 100 Duilding 107	Inductrial		00	1 609	270	00	2 077	2 176	840	1094	
0	Building 107 Park 100	Industrial	-	99	1,698	379	99	2,077	2,176	840	1984	
	Building 109	Industrial	-	240	1,677	408	246	2,079	2,325	1,208	1985	
0	Park 100 Building 116	Office	-	341	2,871	561	348	3,425	3,773	1,731	1988	
0	Park 100	Office	-	541	2,871	501	548	5,425	5,775	1,751	1966	
	Building 118	Office	-	226	2,105	939	230	3,040	3,270	1,304	1988	
0	Park 100 Building 119	Office	-	283	3,650	1,571	395	5,109	5,504	2,623	1989	
0	Park 100	Office	-	285	5,050	1,371	373	5,109	5,504	2,023	1909	
2	Building 122	Industrial	-	284	3,574	1,043	290	4,611	4,901	2,122	1990	
0	Park 100 Building 124	Office	-	227	2,496	444	227	2,940	3,167	677	1992	
0	Park 100	Since	-	221	2,770	777	221	2,740	5,107	077	1772	
0	Building 127	Industrial	-	96	1,654	465	96	2,119	2,215	859	1995	
0	Park 100 Building 141	Industrial	-	1,120	3,305	101	1,120	3,406	4,526	970	2005	1
0	UPS Parking	Grounds	-	270	-	-	270	-	270	112	n/a	
0	Bldg 111	C 1									,	
0	Parking Lot Becton	Grounds	-	114	-	-	114	-	114	-	n/a	
	Dickinson Lot	Grounds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	
0	3.58 acres on	a .		.					a			
0	Allison Avenue Hewlett-Packard	Grounds	-	242	-	-	242	-	242	51	n/a	1
	Land Lease	Grounds	-	252	-	-	252	-	252	41	n/a	
0	Park 100 Bldg	C 1		-			_		-		,	
0	121 Land Lease Hewlett Packard	Grounds	-	5	-	-	5	-	5	1	n/a	1
	Land Lse-62	Grounds	-	45	-	-	45	-	45	7	n/a	
0	West 79th St.											
etcher	Parking Lot LL Park Fletcher	Grounds	-	350	-	699	1,049	-	1,049	126	n/a	1
	Building 33	Industrial	-	1,237	5,264	17	1,237	5,281	6,518	767	1997	
etcher	Park Fletcher			1.004		a c :					1007	
etcher	Building 34 Park Fletcher	Industrial	-	1,331	5,442	204	1,331	5,646	6,977	840	1997	1
	Building 35	Industrial	-	380	1,464	38	380	1,502	1,882	249	1997	
etcher	Park Fletcher				0.055		4		0.000	2.11	1007	
etcher	Building 36 Park Fletcher	Industrial	-	476	2,355	58	476	2,413	2,889	341	1997	1
eterior	Building 37	Industrial	-	286	653	9	286	662	948	115	1998	
etcher	-	Industrial	-	1,428	5,957	68	1,428	6,025	7,453	827	1999	

Schedule III

	Park Fletcher											
etcher	Building 38 Park Fletcher											
etcher	Building 39 Park Fletcher	Industrial	-	570	2,130	239	570	2,369	2,939	350	1999	
etcher	Building 40 Park Fletcher	Industrial	-	761	3,363	408	761	3,771	4,532	613	1999	1
	Building 41	Industrial	-	952	4,310	78	952	4,388	5,340	614	2001	
etcher	Park Fletcher Building 42	Industrial	-	2,095	8,301	49	2,095	8,350	10,445	1,009	2001	
od Crossing	One Parkwood Crossing	Office	-	1,018	9,464	1,392	1,028	10,846	11,874	3,991	1989	
od Crossing	Three Parkwood Crossing	Office	-	1,377	8,392	979	1,387	9,361	10,748	3,807	1997	
od Crossing	Four Parkwood Crossing	Office	_	1,489	11,077	751	1,537	11,780	13,317	3,925	1998	
od Crossing	Five Parkwood Crossing	Office	-	1,485	10,392	1,018	1,528	11,367	12,895	2,843	1999	
od Crossing	Six Parkwood Crossing	Office	-	1,960	16,050	1,115	1,960	17,165	19,125	6,322	2000	
od Crossing	Eight Parkwood Crossing	Office	_	6,435	15,628	731	6,435	16,359	22,794	4,771	2003	
od Crossing	Nine Parkwood	Office		6,046	15,991	1,151	6,047		23,188	4,033	2005	
od West	Crossing One West	Office	- 14,976		16,182	4,608	5,361	17,141 20,790	25,188	1,664	2003	
od Crossing	PWW Granite City Lease	Grounds		1,846	856		1,846	856	2,702	85	2008	
load -Indianapolis	River Road Building I	Office	_	856	7,155	1,928	856	9,083	9,939	4,214	1998	
load - Indianapolis	River Road		-									
and Corporate Park	Building II Woodland	Office	-	1,827	8,416	2,199	1,886	10,556	12,442	689	2008	
and Corporate Park	Corporate Park I Woodland	Office	-	290	3,422	928	320	4,320	4,640	1,363	1998	
	Corporate Park II	Office	-	271	2,958	1,048	297	3,980	4,277	1,081	1999	
and Corporate Park	Woodland Corporate Park											
and Corporate Park	III Woodland	Office	-	1,227	4,128	358	1,227	4,486	5,713	1,594	2000	1
	Corporate Park IV	Office		715	7,231	534	715	7,765	8,480	3,180	2000	
and Corporate Park	Woodland	Office	-	/15	7,231	554	/13	7,705	0,400	5,180	2000	
	Corporate Park V	Office	-	768	10,000	308	768	10,308	11,076	2,550	2003	
and Corporate Park	Woodland Corporate Park	0.07		~	10.115	4.005			4 6 9 9 7	1.017	••••	
TEXAS	VI	Office	-	2,145	10,165	4,027	2,145	14,192	16,337	1,215	2008	
lexas Iays	Seton Hays	Medical										
	MOB I	Office	-	165	11,751	-	165	11,751	11,916	-	2009	
YETTE, INDIANA												
abeth Regional Health	St. Elizabeth East MOB A	Medical Office	_	165	8,973	-	165	8,973	9,138	-	2009	
abeth Regional Health	St. Elizabeth East MOB B	Medical Office	-	146	10,076	-	146	10,076	10,222	-	2009	1
FOREST, ILLINOIS	12925 W											
Business Center	13825 West Laurel Drive	Industrial	-	750	1,401	906	750	2,307	3,057	914	1985	
y Park	One Conway Park	Office	-	1,901	16,968	3,105	1,901	20,073	21,974	6,254	1989	
y Park	West Lake at Conway	Office	-	4,218	10,461	1,685	4,227	12,137	16,364	792	2008	

rporation Accumulated Depreciation

)09

		0			ial Cost	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Development	Gross Book	Value 12/3	31/09	Accumulated	Yea
	Name	Туре	Encumbrances	Land	Buildings	•	Land/Land Imp	Bldgs/TI	Total(1)		
FLORIDA											
	Northpoint I	Office	-	1,087	9,808	1,881	1,087	11,689	12,776		
	Northpoint II	Office	-	1,202	9,124	1,075	1,202	10,199		2,777	
	Northpoint IV	Office	-	1,605	8,157	4,742	1,605	12,899	14,504	3,828	3 200
LLE, GEORGIA											
rest	Huntcrest I	Office	-	1,193	10,801	2,830	1,193	13,631	14,824	3,919	200
rest	Huntcrest II	Office	-	927	9,452	1,267	927	10,719	11,646		
rest	Huntcrest III	Office	-	1,358	12,716	644	1,358	13,360	14,718	3,803	3 200
rest	Huntcrest IV	Office	-	1,295	5,742	490	1,306	6,221	7,527	1,224	200
185 Properties	Weyerhaeuser BTS	Industrial	9,208	3,974	3,101	22	3,982	3,115	7,097	1,299	200
DIANA											
s Park	Lebanon Building 4	Industrial	11,506	305	9,012	236	305	9,248	9,553	2,799	200
s Park	Lebanon Building 9	Industrial	10,276	554	6,871	770	554		8,195		
s Park	Lebanon Building 12	Industrial	23,963	5,163	12,851	394	5,163	13,245			
s Park	Lebanon Building 13	Industrial	9,154	561	6,473	83	1,901	5,216			
s Park	Lebanon Building 14	Industrial	19,633	2,813	12,056	874	2,813	12,930	15,743		
NNESSEE	Dir 940 Logistics Cut										
es Center	Pk 840 Logistics Cnt. Bldg 653	Industrial		6,776	11,125	1,360	6,776	12,485	19,261	2,053	3 200
	Blug 055	muusutat	-	0,770	11,123	1,500	0,770	12,405	19,201	2,055	200
DIS											
Business Park	2275 Cabot Drive	Office	6,515	3,355	7,008	15	3,355	7,023	10,378	1,437	199
EIGHTS, MISSOURI											
ss Park	Riverport Tower	Office	-	3,549	27,727	8,342	3,954	35,664	39,618	12,589) 199
ss Park	Riverport Distribution	Industrial	-	242	2,217	1,132	242			1,043	
ss Park	Express Scripts	maastriar		212	2,217	1,152	212	5,517	5,571	1,015	, 1),
	Service Center	Industrial	-	1,197	8,755	427	1,197	9,182	10,379	3,173	3 199
ss Park	13900 Riverport Drive	Office	-	2,285	9,473	628	2,285	10,101	12,386		
ss Park	Riverport 1	Industrial	-	900	2,588	396	900	2,984	3,884	1,054	199
ss Park	Riverport 2	Industrial	-	1,238	4,161	103	1,238	4,264	5,502	1,399	200
ss Park	Riverport III	Industrial	-	1,269	2,568	2,180	1,269	4,748	6,017		
ss Park	Riverport IV	Industrial	-	1,864	3,362	1,584	1,864	4,946	6,810	656	5 200
ıg	Deerfield Crossing A	Office	-	1,493	11,416	1,426	1,493	12,842	14,335	3,713	3 199
ig	Deerfield Crossing B	Office	-	1,069	13,244	535	1,069	13,779			
e	Governor s Pointe 477		-	586	7,664	945	596				
;	Governor s Pointe 470	5 0 00	-	719	6,058	3,847	987	9,637			
	Governor s Pointe 460		-	630	17,504	4,267	909	21,492			
;	Governor s Pointe 466		-	385	4,119	396	529		4,900		
;	Governor s Pointe 468	0 Office	-	1,115	6,520		1,115	7,596			3 199
Retail	Bigg s Supercenter	Retail	-	2,107	9,927	430	4,227				
e Retail	Lowes	Retail	-	3,750	6,465	760	3,750	7,225	10,975	3,997	199
, GEORGIA											
ion Center	120 Declaration Drive	Industrial	-	615	8,377	309	615	8,686	9,301	2,287	/ 199
ion Center		Industrial		2,273	13,225		2,312				
			,		-,	,	,		. ,	,	
IGHTS, MINNESOTA											
rial Center	Enterprise Industrial										
	Center	Industrial	625	864	4,931	656	888	5,563	6,451	1,779) 197

INDIANA

Schedul

akes MOB	SJRMC Edison Lakes MOB	Medical Office	-	-	31,976	-	-	31,976	31,976	279	200
10											
Center	Monroe Business Center Bldg. 1	Industrial	-	660	5,081	1,081	660	6,162	6,822	1,991	199
NSYLVANIA											
age	Shoppes at Montage	Retail	-	21,347	39,247	-	21,347	39,247	60,594	3,176	200

- 94 -

on

ulated Depreciation

		Building Type Encumbrances I			ial Cost	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Development	Gross Book	Value 12/3	1/09	Accumulated (
	Name	Туре	Encumbrances	Land	Buildings	or Acquisition	Land/Land Imp	Bldgs/TI	Total(1)	Depreciation (2)
TH CAROLINA										
	507 Airport Blvd	Industrial	-	1,327	7,965	1,774	1,351	9,715	11,066	2,981
	5151 McCrimmon Pkwy	Office	-	1,318	7,824	2,040	1,342	9,840	11,182	2,708
	2600 Perimeter Park Dr	Industrial	-	975	5,204	1,143	991	6,331	7,322	1,729
	5150 McCrimmon Pkwy	Industrial	-	1,739	12,130	1,446	1,773	13,542	15,315	3,596
	2400 Perimeter Park Dr.	Office	-	760	5,512	1,314	778	6,808	7,586	1,845
	3000 Perimeter Park Dr (Met 1)	Industrial	335	482	2,756	1,270	491	4,017	4,508	1,289
	2900 Perimeter Park Dr (Met 2)	Industrial	251	235	1,942	1,214	264	3,127	3,391	936
	2800 Perimeter Park Dr (Met 3)	Industrial	471	777	4,797	806	843	5,537	6,380	1,643
	1100 Perimeter Park Drive	Industrial	-	777	5,690	1,134	794	6,807	7,601	1,921
	1400 Perimeter Park Drive	Office	-	666	4,532	1,214	900	5,512	6,412	1,957
	1500 Perimeter Park Drive 1600 Perimeter Park Drive	Office Office	-	1,148 1,463	10,086 9,716	801	1,177 1,513	10,858	12,035 13,377	2,778
	1800 Perimeter Park Drive	Office	-	907	5,545	2,198 1,313	993	11,864 6,772	7,765	3,562 2,036
	2000 Perimeter Park Drive	Office	-	788	5,330	1,069	842	6,345	7,703	2,030
	1700 Perimeter Center West	Office	-	1,230	10,754	2,779	1,260	13,503	14,763	4,098
	3900 N. Paramount Parkway	Office	_	540	13,224	2,779	574	13,446	14,020	3,551
	3900 S. Paramount Pkwy	Office	_	1,575	10,733	1,483	1,612	12,179	13,791	3,364
	5200 East Paramount	Office	-	1,748	14,291	1,010	1,797	15,252	17,049	4,007
	3500 Paramount Pkwy	Office	-	755	12,948	137	755	13,085	13,840	5,591
	2700 Perimeter Park	Industrial	-	662	1,999	1,849	662	3,848	4,510	1,108
	5200 West Paramount	Office	-	1,831	12,608	1,083	1,831	13,691	15,522	4,135
	2450 Perimeter Park	Office	-	669	2,894	25	669	2,919	3,588	1,072
	3800 Paramount Parkway	Office	-	2,657	7,329	3,216	2,657	10,545	13,202	2,261
	Lenovo BTS I	Office	-	1,439	16,961	1,509	1,439	18,470	19,909	2,718
	Lenovo BTS II	Office	-	1,725	16,809	1,989	1,725	18,798	20,523	2,451
	Lenovo BTS III	Office	-	1,661	14,086	357	1,661	14,443	16,104	758
	2250 Perimeter Park	Office	-	2,290	6,981	2,351	2,290	9,332	11,622	833
	Perimeter One	Office	-	5,880	14,299	8,777	5,880	23,076	28,956	3,257
	Market at Perimeter Park-Bld A		-	1,149	1,708	-	1,149	1,708	2,857	43
	100 Innovation Avenue	Industrial								
	(Woodlk)		-	633	3,748	656	633	4,404	5,037	1,168
	101 Innovation Ave(Woodlk	Industrial								
		T 1 4 1 1	-	615	4,095	148	615	4,243	4,858	1,199
	200 Innovation Drive	Industrial	-	357	4,146	147	357	4,293	4,650	1,180
	501 Innovation Ave.	Industrial	-	640	5,632	176	640 514	5,808	6,448	1,520
	1000 Innovation (Woodlk 6)	Industrial	-	514	2,927 4,416	174 265	514 740	3,101	3,615 5,421	605 905
	1200 Innovation (Woodlk 7) Woodlake VIII	Industrial Industrial	-	740 908		265 339	740 908	4,681 1,856	2,764	905 696
	WOULAKE VIII	muusulai	-	908	1,517	539	908	1,000	2,704	090
ENNESSEE										
МОВ	Middle Tenn Med Ctr -MOB	Medical Office	-	-	20,564	1,910	7	22,467	22,474	987
OIS										
	1835 Jefferson	Industrial	-	3,180	7,959	5	2 1 9 4	7.060	11 144	1 514
bus	1655 Jenerson	moustrial	-	5,180	7,959	5	3,184	7,960	11,144	1,514
SSEE										
	Airpark East-800 Commerce	Industrial								
	Dr.		2,808	1,564	2,617	953	1,564	3,570	5,134	667
	Three Lakeview	Office	-	2,126	11,737	3,372	2,126	15,109	17,235	4,442
	One Lakeview Place	Office	-	2,046	10,857	(3,363)	2,123	7,417	9,540	3,814
	Two Lakeview Place	Office	-	2,046	11,442	(3,457)	2,046	7,985	10,031	4,159

ter	Riverview Office Building	Office	-	847	5,590	1,648	847	7,238	8,085	2,163
er	Nashville Business Center I	Industrial	-	936	5,943	1,217	936	7,160	8,096	1,808
er	Nashville Business Center II	Industrial	-	5,659	10,206	845	5,659	11,051	16,710	2,267
	6525 West Campus Oval	Office	-	842	3,581	2,316	881	5,858	6,739	1,576
	Howard 220	Industrial	9,465	4,920	3,400	9,303	7,761	9,862	17,623	1,404
										1
IA										
	1835 Shackleford Court	Office	-	29	5,613	(1,708)	29	3,905	3,934	1,822
	1854 Shackleford Court	Office	-	52	9,664	(3,240)	52	6,424	6,476	3,070
	4275 Shackleford Road	Office	-	8	1,856	(10)	12	1,842	1,854	731

- 95 -

Corporation nd Accumulated Depreciation 2009

Cost Capitalized Building **Initial Cost** Subsequent to Gross Book Value 12/31/09 Year Development Accumulated Construct Name Encumbrances Land Buildings or Acquisition Land/Land Imp Bldgs/TI Total(1) Depreciation (2) Renovate Type VIRGINIA trial Park 1400 Sewells Point 1,463 500 1,463 6,223 428 Road Industrial 2,680 5,723 7,686 E, ILLINOIS 5,721 835 Northlake I Industrial 10,421 10,319 5,721 11,154 16,875 2,526 ırk tribution Park Northlake III-Grnd Whse Industrial 6,809 5,382 5,708 253 5,382 5,961 11,343 1,009 ASTED, OHIO Great Northern n Corporate Ctr. Corp Center I Office 1,048 6,457 1,990 1,040 8,455 9,495 3,056 _ n Corporate Ctr. Great Northern Corp Center II Office 1,048 6,709 2,419 1,048 9.128 10,176 3,307 n Corporate Ctr. Great Northern Corp Center III Office 604 4,668 667 604 5,335 5,939 1,488 **K, ILLINOIS** 2000 York Road 2,625 377 2,625 16,202 Office 15,825 18,827 9,616 ad FLORIDA t Southcenter Southcenter 3,094 I-Brede/Allied BTS Industrial 3,867 3,094 3,867 6,961 1,263 tribution Center Parksouth Distribution Ctr. B Industrial 565 4,871 431 570 5,297 5,867 1,604 tribution Center Parksouth Distribution Ctr. A Industrial 493 4,459 245 498 4,699 5,197 1,246 tribution Center Parksouth 597 593 539 4,666 1,244 Distribution Ctr. D Industrial 4,131 5,263 tribution Center Parksouth Distribution Ctr. E Industrial 649 4,549 516 677 5,037 5,714 1,407 tribution Center Parksouth Distribution Ctr. F Industrial 1,030 5,118 1,359 1,091 6,416 7,507 1,988 tribution Center Parksouth Distribution Ctr. H Industrial 725 3,109 164 754 3,244 3,998 809 tribution Center Parksouth Distribution Ctr. C 598 1,769 1,273 674 2,966 3,640 654 Industrial tribution Center Parksouth-Benjamin 2,802 Moore BTS Industrial 708 2,070 24 1,129 1,673 503 2,803 5,891 2,803 11,906 1,691 siness Park Crossroads VII 3.212 9,103 Industrial Crossroads VIII 2,701 1,423 2,701 6,240 8,941 siness Park Industrial 4,817 661 INNESOTA h Business Center Gateway North 1 Industrial 2,243 3,959 1,246 2,287 5,161 7,448 523 E, ILLINOIS O Hare Corporate orate Centre Centre Office 1,476 8,490 (802) 1,476 7,688 9,164 1,709 PINES, FLORIDA Pembroke Gardens Retail 26,067 89,100 430 26,067 89,530 115,597 5,101 **D, ILLINOIS** Edward Plainfield ield MOB I Medical MOB I Office 9,483 1,265 10,748 10,748 1,781

), INDIANA

Table of Contents

Schedule 1

19

20

20

19

19

19

19

20

19

19

19

19

19

20

20

20

20

20

20

19

20

20

iness Park	Plainfield Building	Industrial	16,873	1,104	11,151	425	1,104	11,576	12,680	3,041	20
iness Park	Plainfield Building		ĺ.	,	,		,	,	,		
	2	Industrial	17,439	1,387	9,213	3,094	2,868	10,826	13,694	4,092	20
iness Park	Plainfield Building 3	Industrial	17,650	2,016	9,151	2,560	2,016	11,711	13,727	1,949	20
iness Park	Plainfield Building 5	Industrial	12,994	2,726	7,284	210	2,726	7,494	10,220	1,919	20
iness Park	Plainfield Building 8	Industrial	22,142	4,527	11,928	985	4,527	12,913	17,440	2,233	20
XAS				,			,				
Fennyson Parkway	5560 Tennyson Parkway	Office	-	1,527	5,702	724	1,527	6,426	7,953	1,873	19
Fennyson Parkway	5556 Tennyson Parkway	Office	_	1,181	9,654	808	1,181	10,462	11.643	2,540	19
MOB	Baylor Plano MOB	Medical Office	_	16	28,375	_	16	28,375	28,391	434	20
. MINNESOTA				10	,0 / 0		10	_0,010			-
e Indust Ctr	Medicine Lake Indus. Center	Industrial	1,101	1,145	5,944	1,718	1,145	7,662	8,807	2,314	19
WORTH, GEORGIA											
·	318 Grange Road	Industrial	2,329	957	4,231	1	957	4,232	5,189	471	20
1)	246 Grange Road	Industrial	5,792	1,191	8,294	1	1,191	8,301	9,492	1,084	20
avannah)	100 Ocean Link	Industrial	10.404	2 206	12 290	72	2 226	12 421	15 767	1 550	20
avannah)	Way-Godley Rd 500 Expansion Blvd	Industrial	10,404 4,410	2,306 649	13,389 6,282	72 2	2,336 649	13,431 6,284	15,767 6,933	1,558 356	20 20
avannah)	400 Expansion Blvd		9,963	1,636	14,506	9	1,636	14,515	16,151	740	20
avannah)	605 Expansion Blvd		9,903 5,871	1,615	7,456	4	1,615	7,460	9,075	395	20
avannah)	405 Expansion Blvd		2,182	535	3,543	-	535	3,543	4,078	114	20

- 96 -

ration cumulated Depreciation

	Initial Cost Sul				Cost Capitalized Gross Book Value 12/31/09 Subsequent to Development Accumulate						
	Name	0	Enoumbroness	Land	Duildings	•	I and/I and Imn	Didaa/TI	Total(1)	Accumulated Depreciation (2)	Cons
b)	600 Expansion Blvd	Type Industrial	Encumbrances 6,249	Land 1,248		or Acquisition				-	
h)	1		0,249	1,248	10,387 12,181	-	1,248 1,840	10,387 12,181	11,635 14,021	328 148	
h)	602 Expansion Blvd	Industrial	-	1,640	12,181	-	1,640	12,101	14,021	140	-
H CAROLINA											
	Brook Forest I	Office	-	1,242	4,786	741	1,242	5,527	6,769	1,524	. (
	Centerview 5540	Office	-	773	6,148	1,470	773	7,618	8,391	1,882	
	Centerview 5565	Office	-	513	4,733	762	513	5,495	6,008	1,230	1 1
	Crabtree Overlook	Office	-	2,164	17,629	147	2,164	17,776	19,940	5,134	, (
	801 Jones Franklin Rd	Office	-	1,351	7,484	970	1,351	8,454	9,805	2,440	1 1
	5520 Capital Ctr Dr (Intrch I)	Office	-	842	3,824	725	842	4,549	5,391	1,180)
	Walnut Creek Business Park #1	Industrial	-	419	2,084	591	442	2,652	3,094	740	1 1
	Walnut Creek Business Park #2	Industrial	-	456	3,143	292	487	3,404	3,891	1,237	
	Walnut Creek Business Park #3	Industrial	-	679	3,296	1,251	719	4,507	5,226	998	
	Walnut Creek IV	Industrial	-	2,038	2,152	788	2,083	2,895	4,978	1,056	
	Walnut Creek V	Industrial	-	1,718	3,302	388	1,718	3,690	5,408	374	1
LINOIS											
LINUIS	Park 55 Bldg. 1	Industrial	9,563	6,433	8,408	944	6,433	9,352	15,785	2,159	
	Park 55 Blug. I	Industrial	9,505	0,455	0,400	944	0,455	9,552	15,785	2,139	-
NOIS											
l Ctr	O Hare International Ctr I	Office	-	7,700	32,695	1,358	7,700	34,053	41,753	11,588	1 1
l Ctr	O Hare International Ctr II	Office	-	8,103	31,892	3,942	8,103	35,834	43,937	10,234	
	Riverway East	Office	18,898	13,664	34,507	1,663	13,664	36,170	49,834	13,553	1 1
	Riverway West	Office	18,241	3,294	39,224	5,756	3,294	44,980	48,274	10,912	
	Riverway Central	Office	30,347	4,229	68,293	6,313	4,229	74,606	78,835	16,246	1
	Riverway Retail	Retail	-	189	-	3	189	3	192		
	Riverway MW II (Ground Lease)	Grounds	-	586	-	-	586	-	586	-	
GEORGIA											
al I and II		Medical									
ai i and ii	Center Pointe I and II	Office	36,770	9,697	28,755	13,093	9,697	41,848	51,545	9,506	
	Center I bline I and II	Once	50,770	9,097	20,755	15,095	9,097	41,040	51,545	9,500	
RGIA											
	198 Gulfstream	Industrial	5,398	549	3,805	11	549	3,816	4,365	393	1 1
	194 Gulfstream	Industrial	683	412	2,514	15	412	2,529	2,941	267	
	190 Gulfstream	Industrial	1,643	689	4,916	-	689	4,916	5,605	705	1 1
	250 Grange Road	Industrial	3,925	928	8,648	7	928	8,655	9,583	1,109	1 (
	248 Grange Road	Industrial	1,673	664	3,496	8	664	3,504	4,168	454	1
	80 Coleman Blvd.	Industrial	1,719	782	2,962	-	782	2,962	3,744	322	
h)	163 Portside Court	Industrial	21,249	8,433	8,366	20	8,433	8,386	16,819	1,904	
h)	151 Portside Court	Industrial	3,212	966	7,155	15	966	7,170	8,136		
h)	175 Portside Court	Industrial	12,956	4,300	15,696	28	4,300	15,724	20,024	2,329	
h)	150 Portside Court	Industrial	8,807	3,071	23,001	788	3,071	23,789	26,860	3,194	
h)	235 Jimmy Deloach Parkway	Industrial	3,210	1,074	8,442	37	1,074	8,479	9,553		
h)	239 Jimmy Deloach Parkway	Industrial	2,776	1,074	7,141	37	1,074	7,178	8,252		
h)	246 Jimmy Deloach Parkway	Industrial	3,520	992	5,383	14	992	5,397	6,389		
	276 Jimmy Deloach Land	Grounds	-	2,267	-	-	2,267	-	2,267		
h)	200 Ocean Link Way	Industrial	6,657	878	10,021	14	883	10,030	10,913	669	
Ю											
Campus	Rock Run North	Office		837	5,312	(2,202)	960	2,987	3,947	2,252	
Campus	Rock Run Center	Office	-	1,046	6,573	(2,202) (2,955)		3,495	4,664		
	Look Run Center	Since	-	1,040	0,575	(2,755)	1,109	5,75	4,004	2,005	
OHIO											
n Center	Mosteller Distribution Ctr. I	Industrial	-	1,275	5,282	3,550	1,275	8,832	10,107	3,526	
n Center	Mosteller Distribution Ctr. II	Industrial	-	828	4,060	1,598	828	5,658	6,486	2,319)

Sche

MINNESOTA										
	1600 Tower	Office	-	2,321	27,159	6,583	2,516	33,547	36,063	8,825
	MoneyGram Tower	Office	-	3,039	34,835	6,844	3,315	41,403	44,718	11,549
	Chilies Ground Lease	Grounds	-	921	-	157	1,078	-	1,078	36
	Olive Garden Ground Lease	Grounds	-	921	-	114	1,035	-	1,035	39

Realty Corporation Estate and Accumulated Depreciation nber 31, 2009 ousands)

		Building		Initi	ial Cost	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to	zed ent to Gross Book Value 12/31/09				Year	
opment	Name	Туре	Encumbrances	Land	Buildings	Development or Acquisition	Land/Land Imp	Bldgs/TI	Total(1)	Accumulated Depreciation (2)	Constructed/ Renovated	
OUIS, MISSOURI		J I -			8	1	· · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ide Crossing	Lakeside Crossing Building One	Industrial	_	596	2,078	540	480	2,734	3,214	1,253	2002	20
ide Crossing	Lakeside Crossing Building II	Industrial	-	783	1,964	20		1,985	2,767	885	2002	20
ide Crossing	Lakeside Crossing Building III		-	1,905	3,986	384	1,623	4,652	6,275	1,233	2002	20
ide Crossing	Lakeside Crossing V	Office	-	750	1,130	17	750	1,147	1,897	299	2002	20
ide Crossing	Lakeside Crossing Building VI	Industrial	_	1,079	2,125	2,287	1,333	4,158	5,491	1,770	2002	20
eier Office Park	Laumeier I	Office	-	1,384	8,392	2,706		11,098	12,482	4,602	1987	19
eier Office Park	Laumeier II	Office	-	1,421	9,154	2,582		11,736	13,157	4,647	1988	19
eier Office Park	Laumeier IV	Office	-	1,029	6,661	1,483	1,029	8,144	9,173	2,691	1987	19
ville Center	530 Maryville Centre	Office	-	2,219	14,705	2,843	2,219	17,548	19,767	5,920	1990	19
ville Center ville Center	550 Maryville Centre 635-645	Office	_	1,996	12,447	2,302	1,996	14,749	16,745	4,972	1988	19
	Maryville Centre	Office	-	3,048	17,497	2,731	3,048	20,228	23,276	6,534	1987	19
ville Center	655 Maryville Centre	Office	-	1,860	13,079	2,319	1,860	15,398	17,258	4,763	1994	19
ville Center	540 Maryville			1,000	15,077	2,517	1,000	15,576	17,230	4,703		
ville Center	Centre 520 Maryville	Office	-	2,219	14,223	2,270	2,219	16,493	18,712	5,690	1990	19
ville Center	Centre 533 Maryville	Office	-	2,404	14,153	1,395	2,404	15,548	17,952	4,506	1999	19
ville Center	Centre 555	Office	-	3,230	16,686	305	3,230	16,991	20,221	5,576	2000	20
11 0	Maryville Centre	Office	-	3,226	15,141	1,998	3,226	17,139	20,365	4,738	2001	20
ville Center	625 Maryville Centre	Office	-	2,509	11,035	538	2,509	11,573	14,082	3,034	1996	20
oort Place	Westport Center I	Industrial	-	1,707	5,040	920	·	5,960	7,667	2,331	1998	19
oort Place	Westport Center II	Industrial	-	914	1,924	417	914	2,341	3,255	853	1998	19
oort Place oort Place	Westport Center III Westport	Industrial	-	1,206	2,651	551	1,206	3,202	4,408	1,118	1999	19
	Center IV	Industrial	-	1,440	4,860	73	1,440	4,933	6,373	1,447	2000	20

Schedule III

oort Place	Westport Center V	Industrial	-	493	1,274	67	493	1,341	1,834	408	2000	20
ort Place	Westport	0.00		1.000	5 470	0.100	1 000	7 (1)	0.000	0.650	0000	~
nark	Place Westmark	Office Office	-	1,990 1,497	5,478 9,553	2,138 2,497	1,990 1,684	7,616 11,863	9,606 13,547	2,679 4,976	2000 1987	20 19
view Place	Westview	Office	-	1,497	9,333	2,497	1,084	11,805	15,547	4,970	1987	15
smill Commons	Place Woodsmill	Office	-	669	7,803	3,853	669	11,656	12,325	4,666	1988	19
control control of the control of th	Commons II (400)	Office		1,718	7,684	817	1,718	8,501	10,219	1,822	1985	20
smill Commons	Woodsmill Commons I	onice		1,710	7,004	017	1,710	0,501	10,219	1,022	1705	20
	(424)	Office	-	1,836	7,665	1,141	1,836	8,806	10,642	2,179	1985	20
FORD, TEXAS												
rd	Stafford Distribution											
	Center	Industrial	-	3,502	5,433	2,362	3,502	7,795	11,297	727	2008	20
LING, VIRGINIA												
Dulles Centre	22800 Davis											
	Drive	Office	-	2,550	11,250	38	2,550	11,288	13,838	1,261	1989	20
Dulles Centre	22714 Glenn Drive	Industrial	-	3,973	4,422	1,015	3,973	5,437	9,410	667	2007	20
OLK, VIRGINIA												
gate Commerce Park	101 Industrial Drive, Bldg.											
	A	Industrial	-	1,558	8,230	-	1,558	8,230	9,788	482	2007	20
gate Commerce Park	103 Industrial Drive	Industrial		1,558	8,230		1,558	8,230	9,788	482	2007	20
	Diive	muusunai	-	1,556	8,230	-	1,336	6,230	9,700	402	2007	20
NER, WASHINGTON	Sumner											
pplicable	Sumner Transit	Industrial	17,676	16,032	5,935	87	16,032	6,022	22,054	876	2005	20
RISE, FLORIDA												
rass Pointe	Sawgrass - Building B	Office	-	1,211	5,176	1,380	1,211	6,556	7,767	2,136	1999	20
rass Pointe	Sawgrass - Building A	Office	-	1,147	3,894	95	1,147	3,989	5,136	924	2000	20
rass Pointe	Sawgrass Pointe I	Office	-	3,484	21,362	8,260	3,484	29,622	33,106	7,908	2002	20
rass Pointe	Sawgrass Pointe II	Office	-	3,481	11,973	-	3,481	11,973	15,454	700	2009	20
PA, FLORIDA												
eld Distribution Center	Fairfield Distribution	• • • • •										
eld Distribution Center	Ctr I Fairfield Distribution	Industrial	-	483	2,621	124	487	2,741	3,228	750	1998	19
	Ctr II	Industrial										