

TEJON RANCH CO
Form 10-K
February 28, 2007
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-7183

TEJON RANCH CO.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its Charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

P.O. Box 1000, Lebec, California 93243

(Address of principal executive office)

77-0196136
(IRS Employer

Identification Number)

Edgar Filing: TEJON RANCH CO - Form 10-K

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (661) 248-3000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Common Stock | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of registrant's Common Stock, \$.50 par value per share, held by persons other than those who may be deemed to be affiliates of registrant on June 30, 2006 was \$658,006,428 based on the last reported sale price on the New York Stock Exchange as of the close of business on that date.

The number of the Company's outstanding shares of Common Stock on February 13, 2007 was 16,788,367 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 8, 2007 relating to the directors and executive officers of the Company are incorporated by reference into Part III.

Total Pages 107

Exhibit Index 48

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| <u>PART I</u> | | 3 |
| ITEM 1. BUSINESS | | 3 |
| ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS | | 11 |
| ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS | | 14 |
| ITEM 2. PROPERTIES | | 14 |
| ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | | 16 |
| ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS | | 18 |
| <u>PART II</u> | | 19 |
| ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES | | 19 |
| ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA | | 20 |
| ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | | 21 |
| ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK | | 38 |
| ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA | | 41 |
| ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE | | 42 |
| ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | | 42 |
| <u>PART III</u> | | 46 |
| ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE | | 46 |
| ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | | 46 |
| ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS | | 46 |
| ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE | | 47 |
| ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES | | 47 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | | 48 |
| ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K | | 48 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | | 52 |
| <u>ITEM 15(a)(1) - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | | 55 |
| <u>ITEM 15(a)(2) - FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u> | | 55 |

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Throughout Item 1 Business, Item 1A Risk Factors, Item 2 Properties, Item 3 Legal Proceedings, Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, we have made forward-looking statements, including statements regarding strategic alliances, the almond, pistachio and grape industries, the future plantings of permanent crops, future yields, prices and water availability for our crops and real estate operations, future prices, production and demand for oil and other minerals, future development of our property, future revenue and income of our jointly-owned travel plaza and industrial building, potential losses to the Company as a result of pending environmental proceedings, the adequacy of future cash flows to fund our operations, market value risks associated with investment and risk management activities and with respect to inventory, accounts receivable and our own outstanding indebtedness and other future events and conditions. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company, or industry results, to differ materially from any future results, performance, or achievement implied by such forward-looking statements. These risks, uncertainties and important factors include, but are not limited to, weather, market and economic forces, availability of financing for land development activities, and success in obtaining various governmental approvals and entitlements for land development activities. No assurance can be given that the actual future results will not differ materially from the forward-looking statements.

We are a diversified real estate development and agribusiness company committed to responsibly using our land and resources to meet the housing, employment, and lifestyle needs of Californians and create value for our shareholders. Current operations consist of land planning and entitlement, land development, commercial sales and leasing, leasing of land for mineral royalties, grazing leases, income portfolio management, and farming. Our prime asset is approximately 270,000 acres of contiguous, largely undeveloped land that, at its most southerly border, is 60 miles north of Los Angeles and, at its most northerly border, is 15 miles east of Bakersfield.

Over the past few years, we have been implementing a strategy that has led to our transformation from an agricultural operations based company to a real estate development company. In order to implement our strategy, we entered into joint venture agreements for the development of portions of our land, began conceptual land planning and land entitlement projects, and undertook a program of divesting non-strategic assets.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the revenues from continuing operations, segment profits and identifiable assets of each of our continuing industry segments for the last three years:

FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT INDUSTRY SEGMENTS

(Amounts in thousands of dollars)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Revenues | | | |
| Real estate commercial/industrial | \$ 16,010 | \$ 13,063 | \$ 10,192 |
| Farming | 12,412 | 13,297 | 10,720 |
| Segment revenues | 28,422 | 26,360 | 20,912 |
| Interest income | 2,975 | 2,565 | 1,026 |
| Other income | 119 | 384 | 32 |
| Total revenues | \$ 31,516 | \$ 29,309 | \$ 21,970 |
| Segment Profits (Losses) and Net Income (Loss) | | | |
| Real estate commercial/industrial | \$ 4,779 | \$ 3,792 | \$ 2,027 |
| Real estate resort/residential | (3,408) | (2,496) | (1,987) |
| Farming | 3,088 | 5,783 | 3,772 |
| Segment profits (1) | 4,459 | 7,079 | 3,812 |
| Interest income | 2,975 | 2,565 | 1,026 |
| Other income | 119 | 384 | 32 |
| Interest expense | (70) | (70) | |
| Corporate expenses | (13,173) | (7,881) | (6,623) |
| Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | (5,690) | 2,077 | (1,753) |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | 1,247 | 423 | 7 |
| Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | (4,443) | 2,500 | (1,746) |
| Operating income (loss) from continuing operations after income taxes | (2,729) | 1,546 | (962) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of applicable income taxes | | | 1,351 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (2,729) | \$ 1,546 | \$ 389 |
| Identifiable Assets by Segment (2) | | | |
| Real estate commercial/industrial | \$ 26,277 | \$ 25,620 | \$ 22,030 |
| Real estate resort/residential | 21,130 | 31,010 | 26,177 |
| Farming | 18,815 | 18,152 | 17,980 |
| Corporate | 92,895 | 73,009 | 72,017 |
| Total assets | \$ 159,117 | \$ 147,791 | \$ 138,204 |

(1) Segment profits are revenues from operations less operating expenses, excluding interest income and expense, corporate expenses, equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures, and income taxes.

Edgar Filing: TEJON RANCH CO - Form 10-K

- (2) Identifiable Assets by Segment include both assets directly identified with those operations and an allocable share of jointly used assets. Corporate assets consist of cash and cash equivalents, refundable and deferred income taxes and buildings and improvements.

Table of Contents

Real Estate Operations

Our real estate operations consist of four principal activities: land planning and entitlement, real estate development, commercial sales and leasing, and income portfolio management. Our 270,000-acre land holding offers significant real estate development opportunities. Our land is characterized by diverse topography and scenic vistas and is conveniently served by three inter-regional highways. Interstate 5, one of the nation's most heavily traveled freeways, brings approximately 140,000 vehicles a day through our land, which includes 16 miles of Interstate 5 frontage on each side of the freeway and the commercial land surrounding four interchanges. The strategic plan for real estate focuses on development opportunities along the Interstate 5 corridor, which includes the Tejon Industrial Complex East and West, the Centennial master planned community on our land in Los Angeles County, and our resort and residential project called Tejon Mountain Village, or TMV.

Our real estate activities within our commercial/industrial segment include: entitling, planning, and permitting of land for development; construction of infrastructure; the construction of pre-leased buildings and unleased buildings to be included in our income portfolio; and the sale of land to third parties for their own development. Our real estate operations within our resort/residential segment at this time include only land entitling and land planning activities.

During 2006, commercial/industrial activity was principally focused on the 351-acre Tejon Industrial Complex-West, or TIC-West, at the Interstate 5/Laval Road Interchange. The activity was primarily related to infrastructure construction and marketing of commercial and industrial sites. Activity at TIC-West during 2006 consisted of the sale of land to In-N-Out Burger for a fast food restaurant and Petro Travel Plaza LLC, or Petro, for a new convenience store and gas station. In October, the Port of Los Angeles submitted to the Foreign Trade Zone Board of the U.S. Department of Commerce an expansion application for 177 acres of land at TIC. We expect a decision on this application in the first half of 2007.

We were also very involved during 2006 in finalizing development rights for Tejon Industrial Complex-East, or TIC-East, an 1,109-acre commercial/industrial development adjacent to Interstate 5 and across the freeway from TIC-West. During January 2003, we received approval for the project from the Kern County Board of Supervisors. Development has not begun on this project due to a lawsuit that was filed by groups opposing the approval of our project. The project was re-approved by the Kern County Board of Supervisors in November 2005 and, on March 24, 2006, the Kern County Superior Court dismissed the legal challenges related to the project. The opponents of our project appealed the Court's decision and the appeal is expected to be heard during the first half of 2007. Based on the Superior Court's decision, however, we are approved to move forward with development of TIC-East even though there is an appeal outstanding.

Developers and end users continue to express interest in developing industrial, warehouse, and distribution facilities within our commercial/industrial developments. See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for an overview of operations and trends within the industry.

Within our commercial/industrial segment, we lease land to various types of tenants. We currently lease land to a full-service truck stop facility, a truck wash, four auto service stations with convenience stores, four fast-food operations, two full-service restaurants, two motels, an antique shop, and a United States Postal Service facility. We are also involved in two joint ventures, Petro

Table of Contents

and Tejon/Dermody LLC. Petro owns and operates a 51-acre travel and truck stop facility, and also operates two separate gas stations with convenience stores within TIC-West. Tejon/Dermody LLC owns a fully-leased 650,000 square foot building. In addition, the Company leases several microwave repeater locations, radio and cellular transmitter sites, and fiber optic cable routes; 31 acres of land to Calpine Generating Company, or Calpine, for an electric power plant; and one office building in Rancho Santa Fe, California (which the Company also owns). Please refer to Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for additional information.

We lease certain portions of our land to oil companies for the exploration and production of oil and gas, but do not ourselves engage in any such exploratory or extractive activities.

As of December 31, 2006, approximately 8,450 acres were committed to producing oil and gas leases from which the operators produced and sold approximately 363,900 barrels of oil and 68,000 MCF of dry gas during 2006. Our share of production, based upon prevailing average royalty rates during the last three years, has been 118, 128, and 132 barrels of oil per day for 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. Approximately 200 producing oil wells were located on the leased land as of December 31, 2006.

Estimates of oil and gas reserves on our properties are unknown to us. We do not make such estimates, and our lessees do not make information concerning reserves available to us.

We have approximately 2,000 acres under lease to National Cement Company of California, Inc., or National, for the purpose of manufacturing portland cement from limestone deposits found on the leased acreage. National owns and operates on the property a cement manufacturing plant with a capacity of approximately 1,000,000 tons of cement per year. The amount of payment that we receive under the lease is based upon shipments from the cement plant. The term of this lease expires in 2026, but National has options to extend the term for successive periods of 20 and 19 years. Proceedings under environmental laws relating to the cement plant are in process. See Item 3, Legal Proceedings, for a further discussion.

Our resort/residential segment activities include land planning and entitlement activities. During 2006, the Centennial development, a large master-planned community development encompassing 11,700 acres of our land, continued the environmental review process within the Los Angeles County Planning Department that commenced in 2004. The environmental review process itself could take some time to complete and we are estimating that it could take several years to ultimately receive the required regulatory approvals from Los Angeles County to begin development of this project. Upon completion of the Centennial development, it is estimated that the community will include approximately 23,000 homes. The community will also incorporate business districts, schools, retail and entertainment centers, medical facilities and other commercial office and light industrial businesses that, when completed, would create a substantial number of jobs. Centennial is being developed by Centennial Founders, LLC, an unconsolidated joint venture in which we have a 50% ownership interest. Our partners in this joint venture are Pardee Homes, Lewis Investment Company and Standard Pacific Corp. Our partners in this joint venture will contribute up to \$33,000,000 to fund entitlement efforts. After their commitment has been met we will share equally with our partners in any additional capital needs for the joint venture.

In addition to the Centennial community project, we are engaged in the environmental review and approval process within the Kern County Planning Department for the TMV community. TMV is

Table of Contents

envisioned as an exclusive, very low-density, resort-based community that will provide owners and guests with a wide variety of recreational opportunities, lodging and spa facilities, world-class golf facilities, a range of housing options, educational and cultural programs, themed retail shopping, concierge services, and other exclusive services and amenities that are designed to distinguish TMV as the resort of choice for the Southern California market. During 2006, we executed a joint venture agreement with DMB Associates Inc., or DMB, a leading resort/recreational planned community developer, to jointly seek entitlement approval, and subsequently develop and build TMV. Under the joint venture agreement, the parties have agreed to secure all entitlements and all necessary regulatory approvals, to master plan, develop and sell parcels and homes to end users, and to develop and own, sell or joint venture commercial properties, hotels, and golf course sites in TMV. The Company also agreed under the joint venture agreement to contribute rights to all studies, research, and other work that we had performed related to TMV, as well as a commitment to contribute 28,000 acres comprising the TMV site at such time as entitlements are successfully obtained and litigated, while DMB will contribute up to a total of \$113,500,000 to fund entitlement efforts and development. Please refer to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 24, 2006 for additional information concerning the TMV joint venture.

The sale and leasing of commercial/industrial and residential real estate is very competitive, with competition coming from numerous and varied sources around California. The degree of competition is impacted by such factors as the supply of comparable real estate available for sale or lease and the level of demand for that real estate. Currently, our greatest competition for commercial/industrial development comes from land in the Inland Empire region of Southern California and areas north of us in the San Joaquin Valley of California. The greatest competition for the Centennial project will come from developments in the Santa Clarita Valley, Lancaster, Palmdale, and Bakersfield. TMV will compete generally for discretionary dollars that consumers will allocate to recreation and second homes, so its competition will range over a greater area and range of projects.

Farming Operations

In the San Joaquin Valley, we farm permanent crops including the following acreage: wine grapes 1,582; almonds 1,686; pistachios 985; and walnuts 295. We grow wheat on approximately 600 acres and alfalfa and forage mix on 750 acres in the Antelope Valley and we periodically lease 810 acres of land that is used for the growing of vegetables.

We sell our farm commodities to several commercial buyers. As a producer of these commodities, we are in direct competition with other producers within the United States and throughout the world. Prices received by us for our commodities are determined by total industry production and demand levels. We attempt to improve price margins by producing high quality crops through cultural practices and by obtaining better prices through marketing arrangements with handlers.

In 2006, our almonds were sold to various commercial buyers, with two of the buyers each receiving approximately 33% of the crop. We do not believe that we would be adversely affected by the loss of either or both of our largest buyers because of the size of the almond market, the large number of other buyers that would be available to us, and the fact that the prices for these commodities do not vary based on the identity of the buyer.

In 2006, the majority of our pistachios were sold to one customer and our walnuts were sold to two customers, each purchasing approximately 50% of the crop. We believe that the loss of any of

Table of Contents

these customers would not adversely impact us because, like almonds, there are a number of potential purchasers of pistachios and walnuts and prices do not change based on the identity of the buyer. During 2006, the majority of harvested wine grapes were sold to two wineries.

Nut and grape crop markets are particularly sensitive to the size of each year's world crop and the demand for those crops. Large crops in California and abroad can rapidly depress prices.

Our water entitlement for 2006 available from the State Water Project was adequate for our farming needs. The State Department of Water Resources has announced its 2007 water supply at 60% of full entitlement. Other water supplies that the local water district will be able to make available to its farmers, combined with this level of state entitlement, are expected to provide a level of water supply that will cover all of our farming needs. If in any year the local water district cannot meet all of our agricultural demands, we will rely on ground water sources, water transfers from the Tejon-Castac Water District and water banking arrangements into which we have entered. Water from these sources may be more expensive because of pumping costs and transfer costs, but can be expected to make up for the water shortfalls that we have experienced in the past.

See discussion of water contract entitlement and long-term outlook for water supply under Item 2, Properties.

Customers

In 2006, Calpine accounted for 12% of our revenues from continuing operations.

In 2005, Pistachio Growers Incorporated accounted for approximately 19% of our revenue from continuing operations and Calpine, accounted for approximately 11% of our revenue from continuing operations.

In 2004, Hughson Nut, a purchaser of almonds, accounted for approximately 13% of our revenues from continuing operations and Pistachio Growers Incorporated accounted for 10% of our revenues from continuing operations.

Organization

Tejon Ranch Co. is a Delaware corporation incorporated in 1987 to succeed the business operated as a California corporation since 1936.

Employees

At December 31, 2006, we had 118 full-time employees. None of our employees is covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

Reports

We make available free of charge through an Internet website, www.tejonranch.com, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports filed or to be furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with or furnish it to the Securities and Exchange Commission. We also make

Table of Contents

available on our website our corporate governance guidelines, charters of our key Board of Directors Committees (audit, compensation, nominating and corporate governance, and real estate), and our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Directors, Officers, and Employees. These items are also available in printed copy upon request.

Table of Contents**Executive Officers of Registrant**

The following table shows each of our executive officers and the offices held as of February 23, 2007, the period the offices have been held, and the age of the executive officer. All of such officers serve at the pleasure of the board of directors.

| Name | Office | Held Since | Age |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Robert A. Stine | President and Chief Executive Officer, Director | 1996 | 60 |
| Teri Bjorn | Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary | 2007 | 53 |
| Dennis J. Atkinson | Vice President, Agriculture | 1998 | 56 |
| Joseph E. Drew | Senior Vice President, Real Estate | 2001 | 64 |
| Allen E. Lyda | Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Assistant Secretary | 1990 | 49 |

A description of present and prior positions with us, and business experience for the past five years, is given below.

Mr. Stine has been employed by us since May 1996, serving as President and Chief Executive Officer and as a Director.

Ms. Bjorn has been employed by us since January 2007, serving as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Ms. Bjorn has practiced in private law firms for the past 27 years, specializing in real estate and land use. For the past 18 years she was with the Bakersfield, California office of Clifford & Brown, and most recently worked at Kronick Moskowitz Tienemann & Gerard, where she remains Of Counsel.

Mr. Atkinson has been employed by us since July 1998, serving as Vice President, Agriculture.

Mr. Drew has been employed by us since March 2001, serving until December 2003 as Vice President, Commercial and Industrial Development, when he was promoted to his current position.

Mr. Lyda has been employed by us since 1990, serving as Vice President, Finance and Treasurer. He was elected Assistant Secretary in 1995 and Chief Financial Officer in 1999.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing the Company. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition, results of operations or future prospects could be materially adversely affected. Our strategy, focused on more aggressive development of our land, involves significant risk and could result in operating losses.

We are involved in a cyclical industry and are affected by changes in general and local economic conditions. The real estate development industry is cyclical and is significantly affected by changes in general and local economic conditions, including:

Employment levels

Availability of financing

Interest rates

Consumer confidence

Demand for the developed product, whether residential or industrial

The process of development of a project begins and financial and other resources are committed long before a real estate project comes to market, which could occur at a time when the real estate market is depressed. It is also possible in a rural area like ours that no market for the project will develop as projected.

Higher interest rates can have a significant impact on the real estate industry. Higher interest rates generally impact the real estate industry by making it harder for buyers to qualify for financing, which can lead to a decrease in the demand for residential, commercial or industrial sites. Any decrease in demand will negatively impact our proposed developments. Any downturn in the economy or consumer confidence can also be expected to result in reduced housing demand and slower industrial development, which would negatively impact the demand for land we are developing.

We are subject to various land use regulations and require governmental approvals for our developments that could be denied. In planning and developing our land, we are subject to various local, state, and federal statutes, ordinances, rules and regulations concerning zoning, infrastructure design, subdivision of land, and construction. All of our new developments require amending existing general plan and zoning designations, so it is possible that our entitlement applications could be denied. In addition, the zoning that ultimately is approved could include density provisions that would limit the number of homes and other structures that could be built within the boundaries of a particular area, which would adversely impact the financial returns from a given project. Several years ago, the Los Angeles County Planning Department released a set of draft amendments to the Los Angeles County General Plan that would extend a planning overlay designation called Sensitive Ecological Areas to cover most of the footprint of the Centennial project. It is not clear at this early stage what chance this draft has of being adopted by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors or what its impact on Centennial would be, but if adopted it would likely involve additional processing time, studies, and findings by county officials, which could have an adverse impact similar to the density provisions described above, and affect the character and intensity of uses permitted in the Centennial project area. In addition, many states, cities and counties (including neighboring Ventura County) have in the past approved various slow growth or urban limit line measures. If that were to occur in the jurisdictions governing the Company's land use, our future real estate development activities could be significantly adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Third-party litigation could increase the time and cost of our development efforts. The land use approval processes we must follow to ultimately develop our projects have become increasingly complex. Moreover, the statutes, regulations and ordinances governing the approval processes provide third parties the opportunity to challenge the proposed plans and approvals. As a result, the prospect of third-party challenges to planned real estate developments provides additional uncertainties in real estate development planning and entitlements. Third-party challenges in the form of litigation would, by their nature, adversely affect the length of time and the cost required to obtain the necessary approvals. In addition, adverse decisions arising from any litigation would increase the costs and length of time to obtain ultimate approval of a project and could adversely affect the design, scope, plans and profitability of a project.

We are subject to environmental regulations and opposition from environmental groups that could cause delays and increase the costs of our development efforts or preclude such development entirely. Environmental laws that apply to a given site can vary greatly according to the site's location and condition, present and former uses of the site, and the presence or absence of sensitive elements like wetlands and endangered species. Environmental laws and conditions may result in delays, cause us to incur additional costs for compliance, mitigation and processing land use applications, or preclude development in specific areas. In addition, in California, third parties have the ability to file litigation challenging the approval of a project, which they usually do by alleging inadequate disclosure and mitigation of the environmental impacts of the project. While we have worked with representatives of various environmental interests and wildlife agencies to minimize and mitigate the impacts of our planned projects, certain groups opposed to development have made clear they intend to oppose our projects vigorously, so litigation challenging their approval is expected. The issues most commonly cited in opponents' public comments include the poor air quality of the San Joaquin Valley air basin, potential impacts of projects on the California condor and other species of concern, presumed removal of oak trees, the potential for our lands to function as wildlife movement corridors, potential impacts of our projects on traffic and air quality in Los Angeles County, and criticism of proposed development in rural areas as being "sprawl".

Until governmental entitlements are received, we will have a limited inventory of real estate. Each of our four current and planned real estate projects, TIC West and East, Centennial and TMV, involve obtaining governmental entitlements. A delay in obtaining governmental entitlements could lead to additional costs related to these developments and potentially lost opportunities for the sale of lots to developers and land users.

We are in competition with several other developments for customers and residents. Within our real estate activities, we are in direct competition for customers with other industrial sites in Northern, Central, and Southern California. We are also in competition with other highway interchange locations using Interstate 5 and State Route 99 for commercial leasing opportunities. Centennial ultimately would compete with other residential housing options in the region, such as developments in the Santa Clarita Valley, Lancaster, Palmdale, and Bakersfield. TMV will compete generally for discretionary dollars that consumers will allocate to recreation and second homes, so its competition will include a greater area and range of projects.

Our developable land is concentrated entirely in California. All of our developable land is in California. Any adverse change in the economic climate of California, or our region of that state,

Table of Contents

and any adverse change in the political or regulatory climate of California, or the counties where our land is located could adversely affect our real estate development activities. Ultimately, our ability to sell or lease lots may decline as a result of weak economic conditions or restrictive regulations.

We are dependent on key personnel and the loss of one or more of those key personnel may materially and adversely affect our prospects. We currently depend heavily on the services of Robert A. Stine, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and a number of other key management personnel. The loss of Mr. Stine's services or that of other key personnel could materially and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition, or our ability to pursue land development. Our success will also depend in part on our ability to attract and retain additional qualified management personnel. Competition for such personnel is strong in the real estate and land development industry and we may not be successful in attracting or retaining the personnel we require.

Only a limited market exists for our Common Stock which could lead to price volatility. The limited trading market for our Common Stock may cause fluctuations in the market value of our Common Stock to be exaggerated, leading to price volatility in excess of that which would occur in a more active trading market of our Common Stock.

Concentrated ownership of our Common Stock creates a risk of sudden change in our share price. As of February 28, 2006, directors and members of our executive management team beneficially owned or controlled approximately 35% of our Common Stock. Investors who purchase our Common Stock may be subject to certain risks due to the concentrated ownership of our Common Stock. The sale by any of our large shareholders of a significant portion of that shareholder's holdings could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our Common Stock. In addition, the registration of any significant amount of additional shares of our Common Stock will have the immediate effect of increasing the public float of our Common Stock and any such increase may cause the market price of our Common Stock to decline or fluctuate significantly.

Inflation can have a significant adverse effect on our operations. Inflation can have a major impact on our farming operations. The farming operations are most affected by escalating costs and unpredictable revenues (due to an oversupply of certain crops) and very high irrigation water costs. High fixed water costs related to our farm lands will continue to adversely affect earnings. Prices received for many of our products are dependent upon prevailing market conditions and commodity prices. Therefore, it is difficult for us to accurately predict revenue, just as we cannot pass on cost increases caused by general inflation, except to the extent reflected in market conditions and commodity prices.

Within our real estate operations, our lease portfolio is protected to some extent from inflation, since percentage rent clauses and Consumer Price Index increases in our leases tend to adjust rental receipts for inflation.

We may encounter other risks that could impact our ability to develop our land. We may also encounter other difficulties in developing our land, including:

Natural risks, such as geological and soil problems, earthquakes, heavy rains and flooding and heavy winds;

Shortages of qualified trades people;

Table of Contents

Reliance on local contractors, who may be inadequately capitalized;

Shortages of materials; and

Increases in the cost of certain materials.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our 270,000 acres include portions of the San Joaquin Valley, portions of the Tehachapi Mountains and portions of the western end of the Antelope Valley. A number of key transportation and utility facilities cross our land, including Interstate 5, California Highways 58, 138 and 223, the California Aqueduct (which brings water from Northern California), and various transmission lines for electricity, oil, natural gas and communication systems.

Approximately 247,000 acres of our land are located in Kern County, California. The Kern County General Plan, or the General Plan, for this land contemplates continued commercial, resource utilization, farming, grazing and other agricultural uses, as well as certain new developments and uses, including residential and recreational facilities. While the General Plan is intended to provide general guidelines for land use and development, it is subject to amendment to accommodate changing circumstances and needs. In addition to conforming to any amendment of the General Plan, much of our land will require specific zoning and site plan approvals prior to actual development.

The remainder of our land, approximately 23,000 acres, is in Los Angeles County. This area is accessible from Interstate 5 via Highway 138. Los Angeles County has adopted general plan policies that contemplate future residential development of portions of this land, subject to further assessments of environmental and infrastructure constraints. We are currently pursuing entitlements for a master-planned community on 11,700 acres of this land. See Item 1, Business Real Estate Operations.

Portions of our land consist of mountainous terrain and much of the land is not presently served by paved roads or by utility or water lines. Any significant development of the land would involve the construction of roads, utilities and other expensive infrastructure and would have to be done in a manner that accommodates a number of environmental concerns, including endangered species and wetlands issues, which may limit development of portions of the land or result in substantial delays in getting governmental approval. Rural/agricultural development of much of our mountain land could be accomplished without many or any such approvals, constraints, and/or investments, but we have not to date pursued this avenue of development.

Water Rights

Existing long-term water contracts with the Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa Water Storage District, or Wheeler Ridge Water District, provide for water entitlements and deliveries from the California

Table of Contents

State Water Project to our agricultural operations in the San Joaquin Valley. The terms of these contracts extend to 2035. Under the contracts, we are entitled to annual water for 5,496 acres of land, which is adequate for our present farming operations.

In addition to our agricultural contract water entitlements, we have an additional entitlement to obtain from the California State Water Project sufficient water to service a substantial amount of future residential and/or commercial development in Kern County. The Tejon-Castac Water District, or Tejon-Castac, a local water district serving only our land and land we have sold in the Tejon Industrial Complex, has 5,278 acre feet of State Water Project entitlement, or enough to supply over 10,000 average families assuming 100% delivery. In addition, Tejon-Castac has approximately 30,000 acre feet of water stored in Kern County water banks. Both the entitlement and the banked water are the subject of a long-term water supply contract extending to 2035 between Tejon-Castac and our Company. Tejon-Castac is the principal water supplier to the Tejon Industrial Complex, and would be the principal water supplier for any significant residential and recreational development in TMV.

During 2006, project allocations were 100%, and the Wheeler Ridge Water District was able to supply us with 100% of our farming demands. In some years, there is also sufficient runoff from local mountain streams to allow us to capture some of this water in reservoirs and utilize it to offset some of the higher-priced State Water Project water. Both the Wheeler Ridge Water District and Tejon-Castac are able to bank (percolate into underground aquifers) some of their excess supplies for future use. The Wheeler Ridge Water District expects to be able to deliver our entire contract water entitlement in any year that the State Water Project deliveries exceed 30% by drawing on its ground water wells and water banking assets. Based on historical records of water availability, we do not believe we have material problems with our water supply. However, if State Water Project deliveries are less than 30% of our entitlement in any year, or if less severe shortages continue for a sustained period of several years, then the Wheeler Ridge Water District may not be able to deliver 100% of our entitlement and we will have to rely on our own ground water sources, mountain stream runoff, water transfers from Tejon-Castac and water banking assets to supply the shortfalls to our farming operations. Water from these sources may be more expensive because of pumping costs and/or transfer costs. Also, as we develop our real estate for residential, commercial and industrial uses, there will be less water available for agriculture from Tejon-Castac. A 60% allocation has been made by the State Water Project for 2007. With this allocation, along with the Wheeler Ridge Water District's additional supplies, we believe that we will have adequate water supplies for farming in 2007.

The water contracts with the Wheeler Ridge Water District and with Tejon-Castac require annual payments related to the fixed costs of the California State Water Project and each district, whether or not water is used or available. The Wheeler Ridge Water District contracts also establish a lien on benefited land.

The water agency serving the Los Angeles County portion of our land, the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency, has surplus entitlement and, although no assurance has been given, it has indicated that it would be able to provide a portion of the water needed for Centennial.

Portions of our property also have available groundwater. That source would be sufficient to supply commercial development in the Interstate 5 corridor and provide a significant portion of the water needed for development at Centennial and TMV. Ground water in the Antelope Valley Basin has recently become the subject of litigation. Please refer to Item 3, Legal Proceedings.

Table of Contents

Historic State Water Project restrictions on the right to use agricultural water entitlement for municipal purposes were removed in 1995, and the parties to a lawsuit challenging such removal have agreed to a settlement which would allow such removal to continue while the environmental impacts are studied. For this purpose, municipal use includes residential and industrial use. Therefore, although only 2,000 of Tejon-Castac's 5,278 acre feet of entitlement are labeled for municipal use, there is no practical restriction on Tejon-Castac's ability to deliver the remaining water to residential or commercial/industrial developments. In the near term, for political and regulatory reasons, it is unlikely that we would be able to direct any of our Wheeler Ridge Water District agricultural entitlement to municipal or industrial uses.

The Tejon Ranch Public Facilities Financing Authority Community Facilities District, or CFD, a joint powers authority formed by Kern County and the Tejon-Castac Water District, has placed liens on 1,728 acres of the Company's land. These liens are to secure payment of special taxes related to \$23,900,000 of bond debt sold by the CFD. The Company is obligated, as a landowner in the district, to pay its share of the special taxes assessed each year. The 1,728 acres of land includes the Tejon Industrial Complex development. Proceeds from the sale of CFD bonds went to reimburse the Company for public infrastructure related to the Tejon Industrial Complex development. In 2006, \$3,524,000 was reimbursed to the Company through these bond funds. In 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company paid approximately \$482,000, \$385,000, and \$101,000, respectively, in special taxes related to the CFD. As development continues to occur at Tejon Industrial Complex, new owners of land and new lease tenants, through triple net leases, will bear an increasing portion of the assessed special tax. As this happens, the Company's obligation is reduced. It is expected that the Company will have special tax payments in 2007 of approximately \$386,000, but this could change in the future based on the amount of bonds outstanding within the CFD and the amount of taxes paid by others. As development and values increase around the Tejon Industrial Complex, the Company may be able to have approximately 1,400 acres released from the CFD lien.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We lease land to National for the purpose of manufacturing portland cement from limestone deposits on the leased acreage. National's former subtenant, Systech Environmental Corporation, is awaiting action by the California Department of Toxic Substances Control in order to achieve regulatory closure for its former facility that burned hazardous waste as a supplemental fuel in the cement plant located on land leased from the Company.

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, or RWQCB, for the Lahontan Region has issued several orders with respect to four environmental conditions on the property:

- (1) *Groundwater plume of chlorinated hydrocarbon compounds.* This order directs the Company's former tenant Lafarge Corporation, or Lafarge, the current tenant National, and the Company to, among other things, investigate the source and extent of the groundwater contamination and initiate cleanup. The Company is advised that Lafarge and National have completed their investigation, and are operating a groundwater pump-and-treat system to clean up the groundwater. In 1991 and 2000, Lafarge and National removed certain soils from the property that were the principal source of the contamination.

Table of Contents

- (2) *Cement kiln dust.* National and Lafarge have consolidated, closed and capped cement kiln dust piles located on land leased from the Company. An order of the RWQCB directs National, Lafarge and the Company to maintain and monitor the effectiveness of the cap. Maintenance of the cap and groundwater monitoring remain as on-going activities. Groundwater contamination levels down gradient of the cap exceeded limits set by the RWQCB following record-breaking rains in late winter 2005. The Company is advised that National and Lafarge are conducting ongoing studies and developing a plan to minimize the chances of this occurring again.
- (3) *Former industrial waste landfills.* This order requires Lafarge, National and the Company to complete the cleanup of groundwater associated with former industrial waste landfills. The Company is advised that Lafarge is addressing the low-level contamination by natural attenuation and that monitoring remains an ongoing activity. Lafarge previously removed waste historically disposed of in the landfills and transported that waste off-site for disposal.
- (4) *Diesel fuel.* An order of the RWQCB directs Lafarge, National and the Company to investigate and clean up contamination from a diesel fuel tank and pipeline. The Company is advised that Lafarge and National have completed their investigation and groundwater cleanup and that groundwater monitoring remains an on-going activity. Some oil contamination located beneath plant buildings and equipment remains on the property.

To date, the Company is not aware of any other failure by Lafarge or National to comply with the orders or informal requests of the RWQCB. The Company has not been directed by the RWQCB to perform any remedial activities. Under the lease between National and the Company, National is obligated to indemnify the Company for costs and liabilities arising directly or indirectly out of its use of the leased premises. Lafarge has liability for all obligations under the indemnity provisions arising before the November 1987 assignment of the lease from Lafarge to National. The Company believes that all of the matters described above are included within the scope of the National or Lafarge indemnity obligations.

The Company believes that Lafarge and National have sufficient resources to perform any possible or reasonably likely obligations relating to these matters. If they do not and the Company is required to perform the likely remedial work at its own cost, it is unlikely that the amount of any such expenditure by the Company would be material.

On November 29, 2004, a complaint was filed asking for the Antelope Valley ground water basin to be adjudicated. This means that the rights of all parties overlying the basin, including the Company's land, should be fixed based on various principles of water law and on negotiations among the principal parties or groups of water users. It is too early to ascertain what effect, if any, this case may have on the Centennial project or the Company's remaining lands in the Antelope Valley. Because the water supply plan for the Centennial project includes several sources of water in addition to ground water underlying the Company's lands, and because the creation of an efficient market for local water rights is frequently an outcome of adjudication proceedings, we anticipate that sufficient water to supply the Centennial project's needs will continue to be available for its use.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

The following table shows the high and low sale prices for our Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange for each calendar quarter during the last two years:

| Quarter | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| First | \$ 49.74 | \$ 39.71 | \$ 55.90 | \$ 38.81 |
| Second | 49.43 | 38.43 | 53.77 | 43.77 |
| Third | 44.78 | 37.55 | 62.72 | 45.30 |
| Fourth | 57.09 | 41.10 | 47.84 | 39.15 |

As of February 26, 2007, there were 444 owners of record of our Common Stock.

No dividends were paid in 2006 or 2005 and at this time there is no intention of paying dividends in the future.

For information regarding the equity compensation plans pursuant to Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K, please see Item 11, Executive Compensation and Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters of this Form 10-K, below.

In 2006, the Certification signed by the CEO that he was not aware of any violation by the Company of the existing corporate governance standards of the New York Stock Exchange, or the Exchange, was filed by the Company with the Exchange, as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the Exchange's Listed Company Manual.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

Years Ended December 31

(in thousands of dollars, except per share amounts)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|------------|--------------|
| Total revenues from continuing operations, including interest and other income | \$ 31,516 | \$ 29,309 | \$ 21,970 | \$ 15,651 | \$ 18,535(2) |
| Equity in earnings (losses) from unconsolidated joint ventures | \$ 1,247 | \$ 423 | \$ 7 | \$ (348) | \$ 531 |
| Operating income (loss) from continuing operations after tax | \$ (2,729) | \$ 1,546 | \$ (962) | \$ (3,169) | \$ 143(2) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of applicable income taxes | | | 1,351 | 242 | 100 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (2,729) | \$ 1,546 | \$ 389 | \$ (2,927) | \$ 243(2) |
| Total assets | \$ 159,117 | \$ 147,791 | \$ 138,204 | \$ 98,286 | \$ 100,796 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | \$ 417 | \$ 444 | \$ 469 | \$ 10,492 | \$ 8,658 |
| Stockholders' equity | \$ 149,030 | \$ 138,831 | \$ 132,093(1) | \$ 74,643 | \$ 73,594 |
| Income (loss) per share from, continuing operations, diluted | \$ (0.16) | \$ 0.09 | \$ (0.06) | \$ (0.22) | \$ 0.01(2) |
| Income (loss) per share from, discontinued operations, diluted | \$ | \$ | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.02 | \$ |
| Net income (loss) per share, diluted | \$ (0.16) | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.03 | \$ (0.20) | \$ 0.01(2) |

(1) Includes net proceeds from a private placement with respect to our common stock and resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$51,656,000.

(2) Includes receipt of a one-time payment of \$1,375,000 (\$825,000 net of tax or \$.06 per share) from the sale of an easement.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

See Item 1, **Business** for our cautionary statement regarding forward-looking information.

We are a diversified real estate development and agribusiness company committed to responsibly using our land and resources to meet the housing, employment, and lifestyle needs of Californians and to create value for our shareholders. In support of these objectives, we have been investing in land planning and entitlement activities for new industrial and residential land developments and in infrastructure improvements within our active industrial development. Our prime asset is approximately 270,000 acres of contiguous, largely undeveloped land that, at its most southerly border, is 60 miles north of Los Angeles and, at its most northerly border, is 15 miles east of Bakersfield.

Our business model is designed to create value through the entitlement and development of land for commercial/industrial and resort/residential uses while at the same time protecting significant portions of our land for conservation purposes. We operate our business near one of the country's largest population centers, which is expected to continue to grow well into the future.

We currently operate in three business segments: commercial/industrial real estate development and services; resort/residential real estate development; and farming.

Commercial/industrial real estate development generates revenues from lease activities, land sales, build-to-suit building sales and leases, oil and mineral royalties and grazing leases. The primary commercial/industrial development is Tejon Industrial Complex. Resort/residential land development does not currently produce revenues but is actively involved in the land entitlement process. Within our resort/residential segment, the two active developments are: Tejon Mountain Village and the Centennial master planned community. Farming produces revenues from the sale of grapes, almonds, pistachios, and walnuts.

For 2006, we have a net loss of \$2,729,000, compared to net income of \$1,546,000 for 2005. Revenues from continuing operations for 2006 grew \$2,062,000 to \$28,422,000. Expenses from continuing operations for 2006 were \$37,136,000, an increase of \$9,974,000 when compared to 2005. The growth in revenue is due to an improvement in revenue from land sales, increased royalties from oil and minerals, and higher leasing revenue. Our royalty income from oil and minerals grew due to higher prices for oil and cement. Leasing revenue increased due to higher revenues from our power plant lease. The largest components of the increase in expense for the year are staffing costs, including higher stock compensation expense, a significant portion of which was a non-recurring payment incurred upon execution of the TMV LLC joint venture agreement, cost of sales related to our land sales transactions, higher farming crop costs including fixed water, and increased operational costs related to water, repairs and fuel.

During 2005, we had net income of \$1,546,000, compared to net income of \$389,000 for 2004. Revenues from continuing operations for 2005 increased \$5,448,000 to \$26,360,000. Expenses from continuing operations for 2005 were \$27,162,000, an increase of \$3,439,000 when compared to 2004. The growth in revenue was due to an improvement in revenue from our farm crops, increased royalties from oil and minerals, and higher leasing revenue. The improvement in farm

Table of Contents

crop revenue was primarily due to higher prices for our almonds, pistachios, and grapes. Our royalty income from oil and minerals grew due to higher prices for oil and cement. Leasing revenue increased due to higher revenues from our power plant lease. The largest components of the increase in expense for the year were staffing costs, including higher stock compensation expense, marketing and public relations costs related to our development projects, and increased operational costs related to water, repairs and fuel.

Income from continuing operations for 2005 was \$1,546,000, compared to a loss of \$962,000 during 2004.

During 2007, we will continue to invest funds toward the achievement of entitlements for our land and for infrastructure development within our active industrial developments. The process of securing entitlements for our land is a long, arduous process and could take several years. Over this time, our net income will fluctuate year-to-year based upon commodity prices, production within our farming segment, and the timing of sales of land and the leasing of land within our industrial developments.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations provides the reader of our financial statements with a narrative discussion of our results of operations. It contains the results of operations for each business segment of the business and is followed by a discussion of our financial position. It is useful to read the business segment information in conjunction with Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We consider an accounting estimate to be critical if: (1) the accounting estimate requires us to make assumptions about matters that were highly uncertain at the time the accounting estimate was made, and (2) changes in the estimates that are likely to occur from period to period, or use of different estimates that we reasonably could have used in the current period, would have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, impairment of long-lived assets, capitalization of costs, profit recognition related to land sales, stock compensation, and defined benefit retirement plans. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Management has discussed the development and selection of these critical accounting estimates with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors and the Audit Committee has reviewed the foregoing disclosure. In addition, there are other items within our financial statements that require estimation, but are not deemed critical as defined above. Changes in estimates used in these and other items could have a material impact on our financial statements. See also Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which discusses accounting policies that we have selected from acceptable alternatives.

Table of Contents

We believe the following critical accounting policies reflect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition The Company's revenue is primarily derived from rental revenue from our rental portfolio, royalty revenue from mineral leases, sales of farm crops, and land sales. Revenue from leases with rent concessions or fixed escalations is recognized on a straight-line basis over the initial term of the related lease unless there is a considerable risk as to collectibility. The financial terms of leases are contractually defined. Royalty revenues are contractually defined and are generally based upon production. Rental revenue is not accrued when a tenant vacates the premises and ceases to make rent payments or files for bankruptcy.

In recognizing revenue from land sales, the Company follows the provisions in Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate, to record these sales. SFAS No. 66 provides specific sales recognition criteria to determine when land sales revenue can be recorded. For example, SFAS No. 66 requires a land sale to be consummated with a sufficient down payment of at least 20% to 25% of the sales price depending upon the type and timeframe for development of the property sold, and that any receivable from the sale cannot be subject to future subordination. In addition, the seller cannot retain any material continuing involvement in the property sold, or be required to develop the property in the future or construct facilities or off-site improvements.

At the time farm crops are harvested, contracted, and delivered to buyers and revenues can be estimated, revenues and any related inventory costs are recognized, which traditionally occurs during the third and fourth quarters of each year. Orchard (almonds, pistachios, and walnuts) revenues are based upon the contract settlement price or estimated selling price, whereas vineyard revenues are recognized at the contracted selling price. Estimated prices for orchard crops are based upon the quoted estimate of what the final market price will be by marketers and handlers of the orchard crops. This method of recognizing revenues on the sale of orchard crops is a standard practice within the agribusiness community.

For the 2006 orchard crops, we estimated almond revenues to be \$2,724,000, or \$2.11 per pound on average, pistachio revenue to be \$2,615,000, or \$2.11 per pound on average, and walnut revenue to be \$175,000, or \$0.54 per pound on average. These estimates not only impact the recorded revenues within our farming segment but also our recorded accounts receivable at December 31, 2006. Over the last three years, prices received on almonds have ranged from \$1.85 to \$3.80 per pound. Pistachio prices over the last three years have ranged from \$1.05 to \$2.30 per pound, and walnut prices have ranged from \$.32 to \$.60 per pound. If we were to assume that our above estimates for 2006 orchard crop revenues were changed to the upper end or lower end of the range we developed in the course of formulating our estimate, orchard crop revenues would have been reduced or increased by approximately \$145,000, or 3% of the total revenue estimate. Our final estimates were based on the midpoint of a range in which the upper and lower ends of the range were \$.05 from the midpoint. As an example, the range for almonds in 2006 was \$2.06 to \$2.16 per pound. If we were to change our estimate of 2006 orchard crop revenues to the low end of the estimate range, there would be no material impact on our liquidity or capital resources.

Actual final orchard crop selling prices are not determined for several months following the close of our fiscal year due to supply and demand fluctuations within the orchard crop markets. Adjustments for differences between original estimates and actual revenues received are recorded during the period in which such amounts become known. The net effect of these adjustments

Table of Contents

increased farming revenue by \$395,000 and \$398,000 in 2006 and 2005, respectively, and decreased farming revenue by \$34,000 in 2004. The large adjustments in 2006 and 2005 are related to continuing increases in almond, pistachio and walnut prices prior to the receipt of our final scheduled payments.

Capitalization of Cost The Company capitalizes direct construction and development costs, including predevelopment costs, interest, property taxes, insurance, and indirect project costs that are clearly associated with the acquisition, development, or construction of a project. Costs currently capitalized that in the future would be related to any abandoned development opportunities will be written off if we determine such costs do not provide any future benefits. Should development activity decrease, a portion of interest, property taxes, and insurance costs would no longer be eligible for capitalization, and would be expensed as incurred.

Allocation of Costs Related to Land Sales and Leases When we sell or lease land within one of our real estate developments and we have not completed all infrastructure development related to the total project, we follow SFAS No. 66 and SFAS No. 67, Accounting for Costs and Initial Rental Operations of Real Estate Projects, to determine the appropriate costs of sales for the sold land and the timing of recognition of the sale. In the calculation of cost of sales or allocations to leased land, we use estimates and forecasts to determine total costs at completion of the development project. These estimates of final development costs can change as conditions in the market and costs of construction change.

In preparing these estimates, we use internal budgets, forecasts, and engineering reports to help us estimate future costs related to infrastructure that has not been completed. These estimates become more accurate as the development proceeds forward, due to historical cost numbers and to the continued refinement of the development plan. These estimates are updated periodically throughout the year so that, at the ultimate completion of development, all costs have been allocated. During 2006 and 2005, \$0.96 per square foot of cost was allocated to sold and leased land as a cost of development. Any increases to this estimate in future years will negatively impact net profits and liquidity due to an increased need for funds to complete development. If, however, this estimate decreases, net profits as well as liquidity will improve.

We believe that the estimates used related to cost of sales and allocations to leased land is a critical accounting estimate and will become even more significant as we continue to move forward as a real estate development company. The estimates used are very susceptible to change from period to period, due to the fact that they require management to make assumptions about costs of construction, absorption of product, and timing of project completion, and changes to these estimates could have a material impact on the recognition of profits from the sale of land within our developments.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets We evaluate our property and equipment and development projects for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of assets contained in our financial statements may not be recoverable. The impairment calculation compares the carrying value of the asset to the asset's estimated future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges). If the estimated future cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset, we calculate an impairment loss. The impairment loss calculation compares the carrying value of the asset to the asset's estimated fair value, which may be based on estimated future cash flows (discounted and with interest charges). We recognize an impairment loss if the amount of the asset's carrying value exceeds the asset's estimated fair value. If we recognize an impairment

Table of Contents

loss, the adjusted carrying amount of the asset will be its new cost basis. For a depreciable long-lived asset, the new cost basis will be depreciated (amortized) over the remaining useful life of that asset. Restoration of a previously recognized impairment loss is prohibited.

We currently operate in three segments, commercial/industrial real estate development, resort/residential real estate development, and farming. At this time, there are no assets within either of our real estate segments or our farming segment that we believe are in danger of being impaired due to market conditions.

We believe that the accounting estimate related to asset impairment is a critical accounting estimate because it is very susceptible to change from period to period; it requires management to make assumptions about future prices, production, and costs, and the potential impact of a loss from impairment could be material to our earnings. Management's assumptions regarding future cash flows from real estate developments and farming operations have fluctuated in the past due to changes in prices, absorption, production and costs and are expected to continue to do so in the future as market conditions change.

In estimating future prices, absorption, production, and costs, we use our internal forecasts and business plans. We develop our forecasts based on recent sales data, historical absorption and production data, as well as discussions with commercial real estate brokers and potential purchasers of our farming products.

If actual results are not consistent with our assumptions and judgments used in estimating future cash flows and asset fair values, we may be exposed to additional impairment losses that could be material to our results of operations.

Defined Benefit Retirement Plans The plan obligations and related assets of our defined benefit retirement plan are presented in Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Plan assets, which consist primarily of marketable equity and debt instruments, are valued using market quotations. Pension benefit obligations and the related effects on operations are calculated using actuarial models. The estimation of our pension obligations, costs and liabilities requires that we make use of estimations of present value of the projected future payments to all participants, taking into consideration the likelihood of potential future events such as salary increases and demographic experience. These assumptions may have an effect on the amount and timing of future contributions.

The assumptions used in developing the required estimates include the following key factors:

Discount rates;

Salary growth;

Retirement rates;

Expected contributions;

Inflation;

Expected return on plan assets; and

Mortality rates

The discount rate enables us to state expected future cash flows at a present value on the measurement date. In determining the discount rate, the Company utilizes the yield on high-quality, fixed-income investments currently available with maturities corresponding to the anticipated timing of the benefit payments. Salary increase assumptions are based upon historical

Table of Contents

experience and anticipated future management actions. To determine the expected long-term rate of return on pension plan assets, we consider the current and expected asset allocations, as well as historical and expected returns on various categories of plan assets. At December 31, 2006, the weighted-average actuarial assumption of the Company's domestic plans consisted of a discount rate of 5%, a long-term rate of return on plan assets of 7.5%, and assumed salary increases of 3%. The effects of actual results differing from our assumptions and the effects of changing assumptions are recognized as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax. Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income are adjusted as they are subsequently recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost. If we were to assume a 50 basis point change in the discount rate used, our periodic pension cost would increase or decrease approximately \$20,000 and our projected benefit obligation would increase or decrease approximately \$730,000.

Stock-Based Compensation We apply the recognition and measurement principles of SFAS No. 123 (R), *Share-Based Payments* in accounting for long-term stock-based incentive plans. During 2006, \$742,000 of compensation expense related to grants of stock options was reflected in net income. No stock options were granted during 2006 or 2005. We have not issued any stock options to employees or directors since January 2003. The compensation expense recognized during 2006 is related to options with final vesting dates in 2006 and 2007. See Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, *Common Stock and Stock Option Information*, for additional information regarding stock options.

We also make stock awards to employees based upon time-based criteria and through the achievement of performance-related objectives. Performance-related objectives are stratified into threshold, target, and maximum goals. These stock awards are currently being expensed over the expected vesting period based on each performance criterion. We make estimates as to the number of shares that will actually be granted based upon estimated ranges of success in meeting the defined performance measures. If our estimates of performance shares vesting were to change by 25%, stock compensation expense would increase or decrease by \$636,000 depending on whether the change in estimate increased or decreased shares vesting.

See Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, *Stock Compensation Plan*, for total 2006 stock compensation expense related to stock grants.

New Accounting Pronouncements

The accounting policies adopted during 2006 related to new accounting pronouncements. The impact of the adoption of these policies has been disclosed where material to the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

FASB Interpretation No. 48, or FIN 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, is an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, and was issued in July 2006. FIN 48 creates a single model to address uncertainty in income tax provisions by prescribing a minimum threshold that a tax position must meet before being recognized in the financial statements. Tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the effective date to be recognized upon the adoption of FIN 48 and in subsequent periods. FIN 48 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, and the provisions of FIN 48 will be applied to all tax positions upon initial adoption of the Interpretation. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of this Interpretation will be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for that fiscal year. We are currently evaluating the impact of FIN 48 on our financial statements and have not yet determined the impact.

Table of Contents

FASB Statement No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments* an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140, was issued in February 2006. This statement amends FASB Statement No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and FASB Statement No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, and addresses the financial treatment and evaluation of certain derivatives. FASB Statement No. 155 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after September 15, 2006. We have reviewed FASB Statement No. 155 and concluded that this pronouncement will not have a material impact on results of operations or our financial condition.

FASB Statement No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, was issued in March 2006. This statement amends FASB Statement No. 140, *Accounting for Transactions and Servicing of Financial Assets*, and addresses recognition, measurement and presentation requirements when entering into contractual obligations to service financial assets. FASB Statement No. 156 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after September 15, 2006. We have reviewed FASB Statement No. 156 and concluded that this pronouncement will not have a material impact on results of operations or our financial condition.

FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, was issued in September 2006. It defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FASB Statement No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The provisions of this statement should be applied prospectively as of the beginning of the year of initial application. We have reviewed FASB Statement No. 157 and concluded that this pronouncement will not have a material impact on results of operations or our financial condition.

FASB Statement No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R), was issued in September 2006. It requires employers to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined-benefit post-retirement plan as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status through comprehensive income. The funded status of the plan must be measured as of the date of its year-end statement of financial position. Certain changes in prior service costs that are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit costs under FASB Statement No.87, *Employers Accounting for Pensions*, must also be recognized through other comprehensive income. This statement is effective for issuers of publicly traded securities for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. Our 2006 financial statements reflect the application of FASB Statements No. 158 and the overall impact was not material to results of operations or our financial condition.

Results of Operations by Segment

We evaluate the performance of our operating segments separately to monitor the different factors affecting financial results. Each segment is subject to review and evaluation as we monitor current market conditions, market opportunities, and available resources. The performance of each segment is discussed below:

Table of Contents

Real Estate Commercial/Industrial

Our commercial/industrial segment profits during 2006 increased \$987,000, or 26%, when compared to 2005 segment profits. The improvement in segment profits was driven by an increase in revenues of \$2,947,000. This increase resulted from land sales of \$1,007,000, a \$1,577,000 improvement in oil and minerals royalty revenue, and generally higher lease revenues, including those from our power plant lease with Calpine. Revenue from the land sales were only partially recognized in 2006 due to incomplete commitments to construct offsite improvements. The remaining revenues of \$712,000 will be recognized in the first quarter of 2007 as the construction commitments are completed. Oil and minerals royalties continued to improve in 2006 due to the higher oil prices and higher prices for cement and rock aggregate product. Revenues from the power plant lease increased due to improved variable rent payments based on the plant's production. Expenses during 2006 increased \$1,960,000, primarily as a result of increased compensation costs, the cost of sales and commissions associated with the land sales, increased commercial water charges and increased corporate allocations. The increase in compensation costs of \$1,347,000 was principally driven by the compensation costs associated with the execution of the joint venture agreement for TMV, the expensing of stock options and the cost associated with the stock grant program. Cost of sales and commissions increased as a direct result of the land sales to In-N-Out Burger and Petro. Commercial water costs increased \$143,000 due to higher operating costs and water costs within the local water district. Corporate allocations increased \$276,000 as this business segment's revenues and assets increased in relation to other operating segments.

During December 2005, the tenant of the power plant lease, Calpine, filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. On June 21, 2006, we received notification that the bankruptcy court had affirmed the power plant lease as part of the bankruptcy reorganization. Therefore, we do not currently anticipate any loss or reduction of lease payments from Calpine that would negatively impact our commercial operating revenues.

Future activities within the commercial/industrial segment will be focused on the marketing and development of commercial/industrial product and the beginning of infrastructure development for the TIC-East development. During 2006, we sold land to In-N-Out Burger for a fast food restaurant and to Petro for a new convenience store and gas station. In October 2006, the Port of Los Angeles submitted to the Foreign Trade Zone Board of the U.S. Department of Commerce an expansion application for 177 acres of land at Tejon Industrial Complex. We expect a decision on this application in the first half of 2007.

Our marketing efforts continue to focus on educating potential users about the logistical benefits of our site and the success that current tenants and owners within our development have experienced. We believe that as California's population continues to grow and the economy continues its expansion, we will begin to see an increase in industrial activity at Tejon Industrial Complex. Our development strategy fits very well within the logistics model that companies are using, which favors larger single-site buildings rather than a number of decentralized smaller distribution centers. Buildings of 1.0 million square feet or larger are difficult to build in Los Angeles due to the number of acres necessary for a building of that size. We believe that our ability to provide land parcels to support buildings of that size will provide us with a potential marketing advantage.

Table of Contents

A potential disadvantage to our development strategy is our distance from the Port of Los Angeles in comparison to the traditional warehouse/distribution centers east of Los Angeles.

On March 24, 2006, the Company received a favorable ruling in Kern County Superior Court that cleared the way for expansion of the TIC-East by dismissing the legal challenges to the project. On June 28, 2006, our opponents filed an appeal of the ruling, though the grounds for such an appeal are limited and construction could commence pending a favorable outcome. Opening briefs related to the appeal were filed by our opponents during October 2006. The Court of Appeals is expected to hear the appeal during the first half of 2007.

The commercial/industrial segment will continue to experience increases in costs, net of amounts capitalized, primarily related to professional service fees, marketing costs, commissions, planning costs, and staffing costs as we continue to increase real estate activities and pursue development opportunities.

The actual timing and completion of development is difficult to predict due to the uncertainties of the market. Infrastructure development and marketing activities and costs could continue over several years as we develop our land holdings. We will also continue to evaluate land resources to determine the highest and best uses for our land holdings. Future sales of land are dependent on market circumstances and specific opportunities. Our goal in the future is to increase land value and create future revenue growth through planning and development of commercial and industrial properties.

Our commercial/industrial segment profits during 2005 increased \$1,765,000, or 87%, when compared to 2004 segment profits. The improvement in segment profits was driven by an increase in revenues of \$2,871,000. The growth in revenues was primarily driven by higher leasing revenues and higher oil and mineral royalty revenues. Lease revenues increased approximately \$2,000,000 during the year. This increase was largely due to higher revenues from our power plant lease with Calpine. Power plant lease revenue improved due to the ending of a grace period during 2004 that was related to the timing of construction of the plant and to the receipt of variable rent payments associated with the plant's production. Oil and mineral royalties improved during 2005 due to higher prices for oil and increased production and prices for cement and rock aggregate product. Expenses during 2005 increased \$1,106,000, primarily due to staffing costs, marketing costs, water costs, and repairs and fuel costs. Staffing costs increased \$384,000 due to higher benefit costs, annual salary increases, the conversion of part-time employees to full time, and to an increase of \$72,000 in stock compensation expense. An increase of \$307,000 in marketing costs was related to the beginning of new marketing campaigns designed to generate additional activity within our commercial/industrial developments. Fixed water costs increased \$286,000 during the year due to higher operating costs and water costs within a local water district. Repairs and fuel costs increased \$179,000 due to higher gasoline and diesel costs, repairs on the ranch related to winter storm damage, and to the demolition of an old, previously leased commercial building.

See Item 1, Business Real Estate Operations for a further discussion of real estate development activities.

Real Estate Resort/Residential

Our resort/residential segment activities include conceptual design planning and entitlement activities related to our potential residential developments, which include the Centennial master-

Table of Contents

planned community and TMV. The resort/residential segment had an operating loss of \$3,408,000 during 2006, an increase of \$912,000 when compared to the prior year. The increase in expenses when compared to 2005 was attributable mainly to increased compensation costs of \$565,000. Compensation related to the execution of the TMV LLC joint venture, stock option and stock grant expenses and the addition of staff all contributed to this increase. Contract and professional service fees relating to the completion of the TMV LLC joint venture agreement and to other environmental programs and research increased \$375,000. Additionally, costs associated with lake maintenance increased \$232,000. These increases were partially offset by decreased marketing and insurance costs.

Near-term activities within this segment will be focused on obtaining entitlements for the Centennial project, located in Los Angeles County, and TMV, located in Kern County. The resort/residential segment will continue to experience increases in costs in the future related to professional service fees, public relations costs, and staffing costs as we continue the entitlement process for the above developments. The actual timing and completion of entitlement-related activities is difficult to predict due to the uncertainties of the approval process and the likelihood of litigation upon approval of our entitlements in the future. It could take several years to ultimately receive the required regulatory approvals. Our long-term goal through this process is to increase the value of our land and create future revenue opportunities through resort and residential development. We are currently working with our joint venture partner DMB, a leading resort/residential planned community developer, for the entitlement and development of the TMV project. On May 22, 2006, we executed a joint venture agreement with DMB to jointly secure entitlement and all necessary regulatory approvals to master plan, and subsequently develop and build TMV. TMV is designed to be a premier mountain resort community offering a variety of homesite opportunities, a number of small resort hotels, and various outdoor recreational activities. The joint venture was formed through the contribution by the Company of rights to all studies, research, and other work which we had performed related to TMV, as well as a commitment to contribute 28,000 acres comprising the TMV site at such time as entitlements are successfully obtained and litigated, while DMB will contribute up to a total of \$113,500,000 to fund entitlement efforts and development. Based on this joint venture, our future cost obligation related to TMV has been greatly reduced by the amount of contributions DMB will be providing to the joint venture for entitlement and development activities. For additional information regarding the joint venture, please refer to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company on May 24, 2006. Centennial is being developed by Centennial Founders, LLC, an unconsolidated joint venture in which we have a 50% ownership interest. Our partners in this joint venture are Pardee Homes, Lewis Investment Company and Standard Pacific Corp. Our partners in this joint venture will contribute up to \$33,000,000 to fund entitlement efforts. After their commitment has been met we will share equally with our partners in any additional capital needs for the joint venture.

The resort/residential segment had operating losses of \$2,496,000 during 2005, an increase of \$509,000 when compared to the prior year. The increase in expense over the prior year was driven by several smaller variances, the largest of which was an increase of \$250,000 in marketing and public relations activity related to our development and environmental activities and a \$71,000 increase in staffing costs. Staffing costs increased due to the addition of new employees and stock compensation expense.

See Item 1, Business Real Estate Operations, for a further discussion of real estate development activities.

Table of Contents

Farming

Farming segment profits were \$3,088,000 in 2006, a decrease of \$2,695,000 when compared to the prior year. The decline in 2006 farming segment profits is due to both a decrease in farm revenues of \$885,000 and increased expenses of \$1,810,000. The drop in revenue is primarily due to a decline in crop revenues of \$480,000, combined with the absence of the prior year's water settlement amount of \$479,000. Higher compensation costs, fixed water costs, corporate allocations, insurance and the direct costs incurred to produce the farm crops all contributed to the increase in farming expenses. Staffing costs increased \$465,000 due to compensation related to the successful completion of the TMV LLC joint venture agreement, the commencement of expensing of stock options, higher stock grant expense and costs for additional staff. Water costs increased \$372,000 due to higher variable water cost charges that are related to the movement of water out of the California Aqueduct to our orchards and vineyards.

Grape revenues for the 2006 crop of \$2,253,000 represent a marginal decline compared to the 2005 grape crop revenue of \$2,337,000. A drop in grape production in 2006 of 300 tons contributed to the decreased revenue and resulted from the late completion of the 2006 harvest. Grape prices remain stable and the demand for our product led to additional grape plantings in 2006 to meet anticipated future demand.

Almond revenues increased \$2,061,000 during 2006 to \$6,750,000. The increase in almond revenue is primarily the result of the large carryover of approximately 1,600,000 pounds of 2005 crop almonds that were sold during 2006. These almonds were inventoried at the end of 2005 and contributed \$3,754,000 to 2006 revenue. The revenues from the 2005 crop carryover in 2006 exceeded the comparable carryover of 2004 crop sales revenue of \$1,944,000 in 2005 by \$1,810,000. Almond revenues generated from the 2006 crop year are \$2,724,000, which is an \$167,000 decline when compared to the revenues from the 2005 crop year of \$2,891,000. Almond prices were at record high levels during 2005 and, although prices slipped from those levels early in 2006, they increased throughout the year and are continuing to climb. Total production of almonds was approximately 3,390,000 pounds, an increase of 900,000 over 2005. Of the total production of almonds approximately 2,000,000 pounds are being carried in 2006 inventory.

Thus far in 2007, the price for almonds has improved from 2006 due to an increase in world demand. We do not expect the current range of almond prices to continue over an extended period of time, but do expect prices to stay above historical averages for most of 2007 due to current demand levels. Our long-term expectations are for lower prices for our almond crop, based on industry projections that production will continue to increase in the future for almonds.

Pistachio revenue totaled \$2,615,000 in 2006, a decrease of \$2,451,000 when compared to 2005. The decrease in revenue was primarily driven by a decline in production of 780,000 pounds combined with a price decline from \$2.28 per pound in 2005 to \$2.11 per pound in 2006. This change in production accounted for approximately \$1,778,000 of the decrease in revenue when compared to 2005, while the change in price accounted for the remainder of the difference. The decrease in price is attributable to the relatively smaller size of the 2006 pistachio nut compared to 2005. The producer cannot demand the same level of pricing for a smaller product, which results in the lower prices. We expect our 2007 revenues from pistachios to improve when compared to 2006 due primarily to 2007 being an on production year in the alternate-bearing production cycle of pistachios. The increase in production could potentially be offset by a decrease in prices due to excess supply.

Table of Contents

Walnut revenue from the 2006 crop was \$175,000, compared to \$331,000 in 2005. Additional 2005 crop revenues of \$182,000 were recognized in 2006, due to grower price adjustments. Our 2006 walnut crop production only reached 30% of our 2005 crop level. The age of our walnut orchard contributed to the decline in production and we are re-evaluating the long term possibilities for this crop.

All of our crops are sensitive to the size of each year's world crop. Large crops in California and abroad can depress prices. With current grape production more in line with demand, the pressure on prices has been alleviated at the present time and grape prices should stabilize at current levels. Our long-term projection is that crop production, especially of almonds and pistachios will increase on a statewide basis over time because of new plantings, which could negatively impact future prices if the growth in demand does not continue to keep pace with production. A positive factor for the almond industry is that demand for product has remained very strong both in the United States and in export markets and at this time this trend is expected to continue. However, improved global production or a significant increase in the value of the dollar could negatively impact exports and hurt the current pricing for almonds.

Farming segment profits were \$5,783,000 in 2005, an increase of \$2,011,000 when compared to 2004. The growth in 2005 farming segment profits was due primarily to higher pistachio and grape revenue, as well as the receipt of \$479,000 in proceeds from our local water districts, comprising our percentage of proceeds from the settlement of a case brought by our local water districts concerning water illegally taken by the federal government in the early 1990s. These improvements in revenue were partially offset by higher staffing costs, water costs, and repair and fuel costs. Staffing costs increased \$186,000 due to additional staff and a \$72,000 increase in stock compensation expense during the year. Water costs increased \$210,000 due to higher variable cost charges that are related to the movement of water out of the California Aqueduct to our orchards and vineyards. Repair and fuel costs increased \$179,000 primarily due to an increase in equipment owned and to higher input costs such as diesel and repair parts.

Grape revenues increased \$340,000 in 2005 when compared to 2004, due to increased production and a \$13.50 per ton improvement in the average price that we received for our grapes. This price improvement began in 2004 and was driven by statewide production better matching the needs of wine producers.

Almond revenue declined \$340,000 during 2005 to \$4,689,000 when compared to 2004, despite record almond prices. Almond prices averaged \$3.18 per pound for 2005 compared to \$2.53 per pound for 2004. The decline in revenue was due primarily to approximately 1,134,000 fewer pounds of 2005 year crop being sold when compared to the number of pounds of the 2004 crop sold in 2004. This decline in 2005 year crop pounds sold was partially offset by the sale of approximately \$2,000,000 of 2004 crop almonds that were in inventory at the end of 2004. Total 2005 production was approximately 2,500,000 pounds, compared to approximately 2,700,000 pounds of production in 2004. Of the 2005 production, approximately 1,600,000 pounds of almonds was carried in 2005-ending inventory.

Pistachio revenue totaled \$5,066,000 in 2005, an increase of \$2,258,000 when compared to 2004 pistachio revenue. The increase in revenue was primarily driven by the average price per pound increasing to \$2.28 during 2005, compared to \$1.36 during 2004. This change in price accounted for approximately \$1,900,000 of the increase in revenue when compared to 2004.

Table of Contents

For further discussion of the farming operations, refer to Item I, Business Farming Operations.

Interest

Interest income for 2006 of \$2,975,000 represented a \$410,000 increase in the interest income compared to 2005. This increase was due to an increase in average funds invested during 2006 compared to 2005, improving yields on new invested funds, and the receipt of interest from loans to joint ventures.

Interest expense was \$70,000 in 2006, the same as in 2005. Interest expense of \$76,000 incurred during 2004 is included in discontinued operations. Interest incurred and paid during 2006 was \$182,000, compared to \$133,000 in 2005 and \$646,000 in 2004, of which \$112,000, \$63,000, and \$570,000 were capitalized, respectively.

Corporate Expenses

Corporate expenses increased \$5,292,000 to \$13,173,000 during 2006. The increase during 2006 is due to increased charitable donations of \$372,000, higher staffing costs, expensing of stock options, and a non-recurring cash and stock compensation cost of \$4,171,000 that was incurred upon execution of the TMV LLC joint venture agreement. These increases were partially offset by the allocation of more costs to operating segments and a decrease in our Delaware holding company expenses.

Corporate expenses increased \$1,258,000 to \$7,881,000 during 2005. The increase during 2005 was due to higher staffing costs and stock compensation costs that were partially offset by reduced professional service fees. Staffing costs during 2005 increased \$599,000 due to the addition of new staff, annual salary increases, and to increased retirement benefit costs. Stock compensation expense during 2005 increased \$703,000 when compared to 2004, due primarily to a full year of cost during 2005, compared to only nine months of cost in 2004. Professional service fees declined in 2005 due to a reduction in fees associated with Sarbanes-Oxley and lower legal fees.

Equity in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

During 2006, we recognized net earnings of \$1,247,000 from unconsolidated joint ventures. Our portion of earnings consisted of profits from the Petro joint venture of \$1,752,000, losses from the Tejon/Dermody joint venture of \$93,000, losses from the Centennial joint venture of \$185,000, losses from the Five West Parcel joint venture of \$67,000, and a loss from the TMV LLC joint venture of \$160,000. During 2006, the Petro joint venture produced a significant increase in total profits when compared to 2005. The increase was largely due to lower operating costs and improved fuel margins. Operating losses improved within the Tejon/Dermody joint venture due to the leasing of a building within that joint venture during the third quarter of 2005. The Centennial joint venture loss increased \$130,000 when compared to the prior year due to a decrease in revenues from hay and alfalfa sales.

During 2005, we recognized net earnings of \$423,000 from unconsolidated joint ventures. Our portion of earnings consisted of profits from the Petro joint venture of \$971,000, losses from the Tejon/Dermody joint venture of \$492,000, losses from the Centennial joint venture of \$55,000 and losses from the Five West Parcel joint venture of \$1,000. During 2005, the Petro joint venture

Table of Contents

produced a slight decrease in total profits when compared to 2004. The decrease was largely due to higher operating costs being offset by improved fuel margins. Operating losses improved within the Tejon/Dermody joint venture due to the leasing of a building within that joint venture during the third quarter of 2005. The Centennial joint venture loss declined \$244,000 when compared to the prior year due to an improvement in revenue from operations within the joint venture.

Discontinued Operations

There were no discontinued operations in 2006 or 2005. Discontinued operations in 2004 consisted of the operations of Pacific Almond, an almond processing plant, and three commercial buildings in Phoenix, Arizona that were being held for sale. During April 2004, we sold our interest in Pacific Almond to our partner in that joint venture pursuant to the buy/sell provisions in the joint venture agreement. Under the purchase agreement, we received \$1,747,000 for our interest in the joint venture and the buyer assumed all responsibility for the assets and liabilities of the joint venture. The gain on the sale of our interest in Pacific Almond was approximately \$800,000 before taxes.

In May 2004, the Company determined that it was an appropriate time to liquidate our investment in the three commercial buildings in Phoenix, Arizona due to favorable market conditions and to redirect those funds into our core real estate development business. These buildings were sold during November 2004, resulting in a net gain of \$1,258,000 after transaction costs. Overall, discontinued operations during 2004 provided net income of \$1,351,000, or \$0.09 per diluted share.

Income Taxes

For financial statement purposes, our effective tax rate for 2006 was 39% after taking into consideration permanent and temporary timing differences. The tax benefit for 2006 was \$1,714,000. During 2006, our largest permanent tax difference was related to depletion allowances. Due to the impact of temporary timing differences and the tax benefit from the exercise of stock options, our tax receivable as of December 31, 2006 was \$973,000 which is included in accounts receivable in the consolidated balance sheet.

For 2006, we had net deferred tax assets of \$4,283,000. Our largest deferred tax assets were made up of temporary differences related to the capitalization of costs, pension adjustments, stock grant expense and deferred accounting gains on land sales. Deferred tax liabilities consist of deferred gains, cost of sale allocations, and straight-line rent. Due to the nature of our deferred tax assets, we believe they will be used in future years and an allowance is not necessary.

The effective tax rate for 2005 was 38% after taking into consideration permanent and temporary timing differences. Tax expense for 2005 was \$954,000. During 2005, our largest permanent tax difference was related to depletion allowances. Due to the impact of temporary timing differences and the tax benefit of the exercise of stock options, our tax liability for the year was \$1,076,000.

For 2005, we had net deferred tax assets of \$2,307,000. Our largest deferred tax assets were made up of temporary differences related to the capitalization of costs, pension adjustments, and stock grant expense. Deferred tax liabilities consist of deferred gains, cost of sale allocations, and straight line rent. Due to the nature of our deferred tax assets, we believe they will be used in future years and an allowance is not necessary.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Cash Flow and Liquidity. Our strong financial position allows us to pursue our strategies of land entitlement, development, and conservation. Accordingly, we have established well-defined priorities for our available cash, including investing in core business segments to achieve profitable future growth. To enhance shareholder value, we will continue to make investments in our real estate segments to secure land entitlement approvals, build infrastructure for our developments, and provide funds for general land development activities. Within our farming segment, we will make investments as needed to improve efficiency and add capacity to its operations when it is profitable to do so.

Our cash, cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities totaled approximately \$78,066,000 at December 31, 2006, an increase of \$16,028,000 from the corresponding amount at the end of 2005. Cash and marketable securities increased primarily due to the distribution of \$14,735,000 of equity and \$1,200,000 of earnings from our joint ventures. Proceeds of \$3,524,000 from the sale of CFD bonds also contributed to the significant increase. Offsetting these increases were capital expenditures within our real estate developments and net investments in marketable securities.

The following table summarizes the cash flow activities for the last three years:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Operating activities | \$ 2,190 | \$ 6,336 | \$ 236 |
| Investing activities | \$ (3,080) | \$ (15,393) | \$ (51,780) |
| Financing activities | \$ 5,438 | \$ 2,479 | \$ 44,160 |
| Discontinued operations | \$ | \$ | \$ 4,753 |

Net cash provided by operations during 2006 decreased to \$2,190,000 from \$6,336,000 in 2005. When compared to our 2005 operating profit of \$1,546,000, our 2006 operating loss of \$2,668,000 contributed to a decrease of \$4,146,000 in operating cash flow. However, the non-cash nature of some of our operating expenses, such as stock compensation and depreciation helped to offset this loss. Increases in deferred tax assets, prepaid expenses and payments of income taxes also utilized operating funds. Net cash provided by operations during 2005 increased to \$6,336,000 from \$236,000 in 2004. The increase was due to the improvement in net income, the add-back of non-cash expenses, and the collection of accounts receivable.

During 2006, we invested \$3,080,000 into our operations. These investments were primarily related to investments in marketable securities of \$26,378,000 and in capital investment projects of \$11,789,000. The capital investment projects are primarily related to our real estate development activities. Maturing marketable securities, proceeds from the sale of CFD bonds, and distributions of equity from joint ventures partially offset these uses. The distribution of equity from joint ventures is related to the establishment of TMV LLC and to the repayment of loans made to Tejon/Dermody. During 2005, we invested \$15,393,000 into our continuing operations. These investments were primarily related to capital investment spending of \$11,138,000 and investments in marketable securities. These uses of funds were partially offset by maturities of investment securities, distributions from joint ventures, and proceeds from the sale of real estate.

Table of Contents

Capital investments were \$11,789,000 in 2006, compared to \$11,138,000 in 2005 and \$6,664,000 in 2004. Capital investments for these years were primarily dominated by investments in our real estate projects and our farming operations. Capital investments in our real estate projects are primarily related to infrastructure development and to the capitalization of costs related to our entitlement activities in our real estate projects. We anticipate that our capital investment requirements for 2007 could be as high as \$26,000,000 to \$28,000,000. These estimated investments include approximately \$24,500,000 of infrastructure and entitlement investment for real estate development projects and approximately \$1,000,000 of investment within our farm operations for the extension of a grape vineyard and for the replacement of equipment. A portion of the infrastructure costs related to TIC-West and East will not be invested if planned absorption of land does not take place.

Our funds provided by financing activities of \$5,438,000 during 2006 resulted from cash received from the exercise of stock options and tax benefits from stock-based compensation. Cash from financing activities totaled \$2,479,000 during 2005. This cash was provided by the exercise of stock options.

It is difficult to accurately predict cash flows due to the nature of our businesses and fluctuating economic conditions. Our earnings and cash flows will be affected from period to period by the commodity nature of our farming operations and the timing of sales and leases of property within our development projects. The timing of sales and leases within our development projects is difficult to predict due to the time necessary to complete the development process and negotiate sales or lease contracts. Often, the timing aspect of land development can lead to particular years or periods having more or less earnings than comparable periods. Based on our experience, we believe we will have adequate cash flows and cash balances over the next twelve months to fund internal operations.

Capital Structure and Financial Condition. Management considers our capital structure and financial condition to be solid. At December 31, 2006, total capitalization was \$149,474,000, consisting of \$444,000 of debt and \$149,030,000 of equity and resulting in a debt-to-total-capitalization ratio of less than one percent, which is comparable to the prior year's debt-to-total-capitalization ratio.

We have a long-term revolving line of credit of \$30,000,000 that, as of December 31, 2006, had no outstanding balance. At the Company's option, the interest rate on this line of credit can be fixed at 1.50% over a selected LIBOR rate or float at 0.75% less than the bank's prime lending rate. During the term of this credit facility, we can borrow at any time and partially or wholly repay any outstanding borrowings and then re-borrow, as necessary. Any outstanding principal balance is due and payable in full in September 2009. This note is unsecured. The outstanding long-term debt, less current portion of \$27,000, is \$417,000 at December 31, 2006. This debt is being used to provide long-term financing for a building being leased to Starbucks and the debt is secured by the leased building and land.

Our current and future capital resource requirements will be provided primarily from current cash and marketable securities, cash flow from ongoing operations, proceeds from the sale of developed parcels, potential sale of assets, additional use of debt, proceeds from the reimbursement of public

Table of Contents

infrastructure costs through the Community Facilities District bond debt (described below under "Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements"), and the issuance of common stock. As noted above, we have \$78,066,000 in cash and securities and \$30,000,000 available on credit lines to meet any short-term liquidity needs. We continue to expect that substantial future investments will be required in order to develop our land assets. In order to meet these long-term capital requirements, we may need to secure additional debt financing and continue to renew our existing credit facilities. In addition to debt financing, we will use other capital alternatives such as joint ventures with financial partners, sales of assets, and the issuance of common stock. There is no assurance that we can obtain financing from any of these sources or that we can obtain financing at favorable terms. We believe we have adequate capital resources to fund our cash needs and our capital investment requirements over the next few years.

Contractual Cash Obligations. The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2006, to be paid over the next five years:

| | Payments Due by Period (\$ in thousands) | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Total | < 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | More than 5 years |
| CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS: | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 444 | \$ 27 | \$ 60 | \$ 68 | \$ 289 |
| Interest on fixed rate debt | 180 | 30 | 52 | 44 | 54 |
| Line of credit commitment fees | 99 | 99 | | | |
| Cash contract commitments | 2,806 | 2,806 | | | |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ 3,529 | \$ 2,962 | \$ 112 | \$ 112 | \$ 343 |

The categories above include purchase obligations and other long-term liabilities reflected on our balance sheet under GAAP. A "purchase obligation" is defined in Item 303(a)(5)(ii)(D) of Regulation S-K as "an agreement to purchase goods or services that is enforceable and legally binding on [us] and that specifies all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction." Based on this definition, the table above includes only those contracts that include fixed or minimum obligations. It does not include normal purchases, which are made in the ordinary course of business.

Our cash contract commitments consist of contracts in various stages of completion related to infrastructure development within our industrial developments and entitlement costs related to our industrial and residential development projects. At the present time, we do not have any capital lease obligations or purchase obligations outstanding. Our operating lease obligations are for office equipment, a small land lease, several vehicles, and a temporary trailer providing office space and average approximately \$21,000 per month.

As discussed in Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, we have long-term liabilities for deferred employee compensation, including pension and supplemental retirement plans. Any payments related to the supplemental executive retirement plan are not included above since they are dependent upon when the employee retires or leaves the Company. In addition, minimum pension funding requirements are not included above, as such amounts are not available for all

Table of Contents

periods presented. During 2006, we made approximately \$615,000 in pension plan contributions, compared to \$488,000 in pension plan contributions in 2005. We estimate that we will contribute approximately \$600,000 to the pension plan in 2007.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The following table shows contingent obligations we have with respect to unconsolidated entities in which we have an interest and with respect to the CFD.

| (\$ in thousands) | Amount of Commitment Expiration Per Period | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| | Total | < 1 year | 1 -3 Years | 4 - 5 Years | After 5 Years |
| OTHER COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS: | | | | | |
| Standby letter of credit | \$ 4,584 | \$ 4,584 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Guarantees | 6,000 | | | 6,000 | |
| Total other commercial commitments | \$ 10,584 | \$ 4,584 | \$ | \$ 6,000 | \$ |

The standby letter of credit is related to the issuance of CFD bonds by the Tejon Ranch Public Facilities Financing Authority, a joint-powers authority created by Kern County and the Tejon-Castac Water District. The standby letter of credit is in place to provide additional credit enhancement and covers approximately two years worth of interest on the outstanding bonds. The annual cost for this letter of credit is approximately \$70,000 per year. This letter of credit will not be drawn upon unless we, as the largest landowner in the district, fail to make our property tax payments. This letter of credit is for a two-year period and will be renewed if necessary in 2007.

At December 31, 2006, the Company was guaranteeing \$6,000,000 of debt to Tejon Dermody Industrial LLC, an unconsolidated joint venture formed to construct and market a 651,000 square foot building at the TIC-West. Total debt in the venture is approximately \$14,534,000, which is secured by a mortgage on the building. In the joint venture agreement, each partner agreed to guarantee a portion of the outstanding debt through the term of the loan. The maturity date of this loan is May 19, 2011. Principal and interest on this loan is payable monthly, with the principal portion of the monthly payment being \$18,930. Based on the achievements of certain operational objectives, such as the renewal of tenant leases, the guarantees can begin to be reduced. The Company believes it is unlikely that it will ever be required to make payments under this guarantee due to sufficient cash flows to service the debt and the value of joint venture property. Therefore, no liabilities have been recorded related to this guarantee at December 31, 2006 or December 31, 2005.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact the financial position, results of operations, or cash flows of the Company due to adverse changes in financial or commodity market prices or rates. We are exposed to market risk in the areas of interest rates and commodity prices.

Financial Market Risks

Our exposure to financial market risks includes changes to interest rates and credit risks related to marketable securities, interest rates related to our outstanding indebtedness and trade receivables.

Table of Contents

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing yields and prudently managing risk. To achieve this objective and limit interest rate exposure, we limit our investments to securities with a maturity of less than five years and an investment grade of A or better from Moody's or Standard and Poor's. See Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk on its long-term line of credit, which currently has no outstanding balance, and the long-term debt currently outstanding. The line-of-credit interest rate can be tied to the lending bank's prime rate and would change when that rate changes, or the debt can be tied to a LIBOR rate on a fixed basis and change only at maturity of the fixed-rate feature. The long-term debt (\$444,000) has a fixed interest rate and the fair value of this long-term debt will change based on interest rate movements in the market. The floating rate feature in our line of credit can expose us to variability in interest payments due to changes in interest rates. We believe it is prudent at times to limit the variability of floating-rate interest payments and in the past have entered into interest rate swaps to manage those fluctuations.

At December 31, 2006, we had no outstanding interest rate swap agreements. However, Petro, an unconsolidated joint venture, has an interest rate swap agreement with respect to \$6,400,000 of its long-term debt to manage interest rate risk by converting floating-interest rate debt to fixed-rate debt. This swap agreement matures in November 2009 and is a contract to exchange variable-rate for fixed-rate interest payments periodically over the life of the agreement. The interest rate swap fixed rate is 4.33%. The joint venture accounts for the swap agreement as a cash flow hedge in accordance with FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Investments and Hedging Activities.

We record our pro rata change in the fair market value of the interest rate swap in accumulated other comprehensive income. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's portion of the fair value of the interest rate swap was a gain of \$64,000. Estimated fair value of the swap was determined by market price quotes as of December 31, 2006, received from the joint venture's bank.

Market risk related to our inventories ultimately depends on the value of the almonds, grapes, pistachios, and walnuts at the time of payment or sale. Credit risk related to our receivables depends upon the financial condition of our customers. Based on historical experience with current customers and periodic credit evaluations of our customers' financial conditions, we believe our credit risk is minimal. Market risk is discussed below in commodity price exposure.

The following tables provide information about our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. The tables present the related weighted-average interest rates by expected maturity dates of our marketable securities and debt obligations.

Table of Contents

Interest Rate Sensitivity Financial Market Risks

Principal Amount by Expected Maturity

At December 31, 2006

(\$ in Thousands)

| | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | Thereafter | Total | Fair Value 12/31/06 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|------------------------|
| Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Marketable securities | \$ 14,735 | \$ 10,191 | \$ 31,369 | \$ 8,034 | \$ 9,769 | \$ 570 | \$ 74,668 | \$ 73,404 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 3.30% | 3.98% | 4.04% | 4.61% | 5.45% | 5.50% | 4.14% | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 27 | \$ 29 | \$ 31 | \$ 33 | \$ 35 | \$ 289 | \$ 444 | \$ 444 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 6.75% | 6.75% | 6.75% | 6.75% | 6.75% | 6.75% | 6.75% | |

Interest Rate Sensitivity Financial Market Risks

Principal Amount by Expected Maturity

At December 31, 2005

(\$ in Thousands)

| | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Thereafter | Total | Fair Value 12/31/05 |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|------------------------|
| Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Marketable securities | \$ 3,089 | \$ 13,785 | \$ 9,122 | \$ 31,177 | \$ 6,258 | \$ | \$ 63,431 | \$ 61,924 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 3.31% | 3.25% | 3.73% | 4.01% | 4.28% | | 3.79% | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 25 | \$ 27 | \$ 29 | \$ 30 | \$ 32 | \$ 326 | \$ 469 | \$ 469 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 6.75% | 6.75% | 6.75% | 6.75% | 6.75% | 6.75% | 6.75% | |

In comparison to the prior years, our risk with regard to fluctuations in interest rates has decreased related to the use of debt because there is no outstanding balance on our line of credit, but has increased with regards to our marketable securities due to the increase in outstanding balances.

Table of Contents

Commodity Price Exposure

We have exposure to adverse price fluctuations associated with certain inventories and accounts receivable. Inventories consist of farming and processing costs related to 2006 almond production and 2007 crop production. The farming costs inventoried are recorded as actual costs incurred. Historically, these costs have been recovered each year when that year's crop harvest has been sold.

With respect to accounts receivable, the amount at risk relates primarily to farm crops. These receivables are often recorded as estimates of the prices that ultimately will be received for the crops. The final price is generally not known for several months following the close of our fiscal year. Of the accounts receivable outstanding at December 31, 2006, \$4,196,000 is at risk to changing prices. Of that amount, \$2,290,000 is attributable to almonds, \$1,791,000 to pistachios, and \$115,000 to walnuts. The comparable amounts of accounts receivable at December 31, 2005 were \$2,360,000 related to almonds, \$3,244,000 related to pistachios, and \$63,000 to walnuts. The price estimated for recording accounts receivable at December 31, 2006 was \$2.11 per pound for almonds. For every \$0.01 change in the price of almonds, our receivable for almonds increases or decreases by \$10,900. Although the final price of almonds (and therefore the extent of the risk) is not presently known, over the last three years prices have ranged from \$1.85 to \$3.80. With respect to pistachios, the price estimated for recording the receivable was \$2.11 per pound, so for each \$0.01 change in the price of pistachios, our receivable increases or decreases by \$8,500, and the range of final prices over the last three years for pistachios has been \$1.05 to \$2.28. The price estimated for recording accounts receivable for walnuts was \$0.54 per pound. For every \$0.01 change in the price of walnuts, our receivable increases or decreases by \$2,100. The final price for walnuts has averaged from \$0.32 to \$0.60 over the last three years.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The response to this Item is submitted in a separate section of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that all information required in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act was accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period required by the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 under the Exchange Act that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

(c) Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting and for the assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. As defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act, internal control over

financial reporting is a process designed by, or supervised by, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company's internal control over financial reporting is supported by written policies and procedures, that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the Company's assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of the Company's

Table of Contents

management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In connection with the preparation of the Company's annual financial statements, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management of the Company has undertaken an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, or COSO. Management's assessment included an evaluation of the design of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and testing of the operational effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Based on this assessment, management did not identify any material weakness in the Company's internal control, and management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2006.

Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the Company's financial statements included in this report, has issued an attestation report on management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting, a copy of which is included below.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Stockholders and Board of Directors

Tejon Ranch Co.

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that Tejon Ranch Co. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Tejon Ranch Co.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Tejon Ranch Co. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material

Table of Contents

respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, Tejon Ranch Co. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Tejon Ranch Co. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 and our report dated February 20, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/Ernst & Young LLP

Los Angeles, California
February 20, 2007

Table of Contents**PART III****ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

Information as to our Executive Officers is set forth in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-K under Executive Officers of Registrant. Other information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement to be filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement to be filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**(a) Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.**

Information required by this Item with respect to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement to be filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

(b) Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans.

The following table shows aggregated information as of December 31, 2006 with respect to all of our compensation plans under which our equity securities were authorized for issuance. At December 31, 2006, we had, and we presently have, no other compensation contracts or arrangements for the issuance of any such equity securities and there were then, and continue to be, no compensation plans, contracts or arrangements which were not approved by our stockholders. More detailed information with respect to our compensation plans is included in Note 7 and Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities) reflected in column (a) (c) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Stock options | 660,224 | 23.55 | 194,137 |
| granted Restricted stock | 402,870 | Final price determined at | 194,137 |
| grants and restricted stock units at target | | time of vesting | |

goal achievement

Table of Contents

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement to be filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement to be filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to our 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Table of Contents**PART IV****ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K**

| (a) Documents filed as part of this report: | Page Number |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Consolidated Financial Statements: | |
| 1.1 <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 56 |
| 1.2 <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2006 and 2005</u> | 57 |
| 1.3 <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations - Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u> | 58 |
| 1.4 <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity - Three Years Ended December 31, 2006</u> | 59 |
| 1.5 <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004</u> | 60 |
| 1.6 <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 61 |
| 2. Supplemental Financial Statement Schedules: | |
| None. | |
| 3. Exhibits: | |
| 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation | FN 1 |
| 3.2 By-Laws | FN 1 |
| 4.1 Form of First Additional Investment Right | FN 2 |
| 4.2 Form of Second Additional Investment Right | FN 3 |
| 4.3 Registration and Reimbursement Agreement | FN 12 |
| 10.1 Water Service Contract with Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa Water Storage District (without exhibits), amendments originally filed under Item 11 to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K | FN 4 |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 10.5 | Petro Travel Plaza Operating Agreement | FN 5 |
| 10.6 | *Amended and Restated Stock Option Agreement Pursuant to the 1992 Employee Stock Incentive Plan | FN 5 |
| 10.7 | *Severance Agreement | FN 5 |
| 10.8 | *Director Compensation Plan | FN 5 |
| 10.9 | *Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan | FN 5 |
| 10.9(1) | *Stock Option Agreement Pursuant to the Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan | FN 5 |
| 10.10 | *1998 Stock Incentive Plan | FN 5 |
| 10.10(1) | *Stock Option Agreement Pursuant to the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan | FN 5 |
| 10.11 | *Employment Contract - Robert A. Stine | FN 5 |
| 10.15 | *Amendment to 1998 Stock Incentive Plan | FN 6 |
| 10.16 | Lease Agreement with Calpine Corp. | FN 7 |
| 10.17 | Tejon/DP Partners Operating Agreement | FN 7 |
| 10.18 | Centennial Founders LLC Operating Agreement | FN 8 |
| 10.19 | Form of Securities Purchase Agreement | FN 9 |
| 10.20 | Form of Registration Rights Agreement | FN 10 |
| 10.21 | *2004 Stock Incentive Program | FN 11 |
| 10.22 | *Form of Restricted Stock Agreement | FN 11 |
| 10.23 | *Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement | FN 11 |
| 10.24 | Tejon Mountain Village LLC Operating Agreement | FN 13 |
| 21 | List of Subsidiaries of Registrant | 85 |
| 23 | Consent of Ernst & Young LLP | 86 |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 31.1 | Certification as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | 87 |
| 31.2 | Certification as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | 88 |
| 32 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | 89 |
| 99.1 | Financial Statements of Petro Travel Plaza LLC | 90 |

* Management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement.

- FN 1 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 14 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 1987, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 2 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 4.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2004, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 3 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number I-7183) as Exhibit 4.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2004, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 4 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 14 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 1994, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 5 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 14 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the period ending December 31, 1997, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 6 This document filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 14 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 7 This document filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 14 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 8 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 6 to our Periodic Report on Form 10-Q, for the period ending September 30, 2002, is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

- FN 9 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2004, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 10 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 4.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2004, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 11 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 15 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 12 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2005, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 13 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 10.24 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 24, 2006, is incorporated herein by reference.

- (b) Exhibits
The exhibits being filed with this report are attached at the end of this report.
- (c) Financial Statement Schedules The response to this portion of Item 15 is submitted as a separate section of this report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DATE: February 28, 2007

TEJON RANCH CO.

BY: /s/ Robert A. Stine
Robert A. Stine
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

DATE: February 28, 2007

BY: /s/ Allen E. Lyda
Allen E. Lyda
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

| Name | Capacity | Date |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| /s/ John L. Goolsby John L. Goolsby | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ Barbara Grimm-Marshall Barbara Grimm-Marshall | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ Norman Metcalfe Norman Metcalfe | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ George G. C. Parker George G.C. Parker | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ Robert Ruocco Robert Ruocco | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ Kent Snyder Kent Snyder | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ Geoffrey Stack Geoffrey Stack | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ Robert A. Stine Robert A. Stine | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ Michael H. Winer Michael H. Winer | Director | February 28, 2007 |

Table of Contents

Annual Report on Form 10-K

Item 8, Item 15(a) (1) and (2),(b) and (c)

List of Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules

Financial Statements

Certain Exhibits

Year Ended December 31, 2006

Tejon Ranch Co.

Lebec, California

54

Table of Contents

Form 10-K Item 15(a)(1) and (2)

Tejon Ranch Co. and Subsidiaries

Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules

ITEM 15(a)(1) FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following consolidated financial statements of Tejon Ranch Co. and subsidiaries are included in Item 8:

| | Page |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 56 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2006 and 2005</u> | 57 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u> | 58 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity Three Years Ended December 31, 2006</u> | 59 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u> | 60 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 61 |

ITEM 15(a)(2) FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

All schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Stockholders and Board of Directors

Tejon Ranch Co.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Tejon Ranch Co. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Tejon Ranch Co. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Tejon Ranch Co.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 20, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/Ernst & Young LLP

Los Angeles, California

February 20, 2007

Table of Contents**Tejon Ranch Co. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(\$ in thousands)

| | December 31 | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 4,662 | \$ 114 |
| Marketable securities | 73,404 | 61,924 |
| Accounts receivable | 7,835 | 7,513 |
| Inventories | 2,578 | 2,093 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 7,481 | 4,821 |
| Total current assets | 95,960 | 76,465 |
| Property and equipment, net | 45,657 | 66,441 |
| Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures | 16,628 | 4,286 |
| Other assets | 872 | 599 |
| Total assets | \$ 159,117 | \$ 147,791 |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Trade accounts payable | \$ 1,553 | \$ 1,635 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 658 | 691 |
| Deferred income | 2,997 | 1,349 |
| Income taxes payable | | 1,076 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 27 | 25 |
| Total current liabilities | 5,235 | 4,776 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 417 | 444 |
| Other liabilities | 2,656 | 1,885 |
| Pension liability | 1,779 | 1,855 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Common stock, \$.50 par value per share: | | |
| Authorized shares - 30,000,000 | | |
| Issued and outstanding shares - 16,776,049 in 2006 and 16,507,512 in 2005 | 8,388 | 8,254 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 110,558 | 97,841 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (2,888) | (2,965) |
| Retained earnings | 32,972 | 35,701 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 149,030 | 138,831 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 159,117 | \$ 147,791 |

See accompanying notes

Table of Contents**Tejon Ranch Co. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(\$ in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Real estate commercial/industrial | \$ 16,010 | \$ 13,063 | \$ 10,192 |
| Farming | 12,412 | 13,297 | 10,720 |
| Total revenues | 28,422 | 26,360 | 20,912 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | |
| Real estate commercial/industrial | 11,231 | 9,271 | 8,165 |
| Real estate resort/residential | 3,408 | 2,496 | 1,987 |
| Farming | 9,324 | 7,514 | 6,948 |
| Corporate expenses | 13,173 | 7,881 | 6,623 |
| Total expenses | 37,136 | 27,162 | 23,723 |
| Operating loss | (8,714) | (802) | (2,811) |
| Other income (expense): | | | |
| Investment income | 2,975 | 2,565 | 1,026 |
| Other | 119 | 384 | 32 |
| Interest expense | (70) | (70) | |
| Total other income | 3,024 | 2,879 | 1,058 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | (5,690) | 2,077 | (1,753) |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures, net | 1,247 | 423 | 7 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax provision (benefit) | (4,443) | 2,500 | (1,746) |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | (1,714) | 954 | (784) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (2,729) | 1,546 | (962) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of applicable income taxes | | | 1,351 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (2,729) | \$ 1,546 | \$ 389 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations per share, basic | \$ (0.16) | \$ 0.09 | \$ (0.06) |
| Income from discontinued operations per share, basic | | | 0.09 |
| Net income (loss) per share, basic | \$ (0.16) | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.03 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations per share, diluted | \$ (0.16) | \$ 0.09 | \$ (0.06) |
| Income from discontinued operations per share, diluted | | | 0.09 |
| Net income (loss) per share, diluted | \$ (0.16) | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.03 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**Tejon Ranch Co. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity**

Three years ended December 31, 2006

| (\$ in thousands, except per share amounts) | Common Stock Shares Outstanding | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Retained Earnings | Total |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2003 | \$ 14,554,422 | \$ 7,278 | \$ 35,077 | \$ (1,478) | \$ 33,766 | \$ 74,643 |
| Net income | | | | | 389 | 389 |
| Changes in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes of \$147 | | | | (221) | | (221) |
| Equity in other comprehensive income of unconsolidated joint venture, net of taxes of \$26 | | | | 39 | | 39 |
| Benefit plan adjustments, net of taxes of \$23 | | | | (37) | | (37) |
| Interest rate swap adjustment, net of taxes of \$11 | | | | 54 | | 54 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 224 |
| Net proceeds private placement | 1,682,981 | 841 | 50,815 | | | 51,656 |
| Stock compensation | | | 2,144 | | | 2,144 |
| Exercise of stock options and related tax benefit of \$819 | 125,581 | 63 | 3,363 | | | 3,426 |
| Restricted stock issuance | 23,515 | 11 | (11) | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 16,386,499 | 8,193 | 91,388 | (1,643) | 34,155 | 132,093 |
| Net income | | | | | 1,546 | 1,546 |
| Changes in unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes of \$553 | | | | (737) | | (737) |
| Benefit plan adjustments, net of taxes of \$497 | | | | (665) | | (665) |
| Equity in other comprehensive income of unconsolidated joint venture, net of taxes of \$61 | | | | 80 | | 80 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 224 |
| Additional cost related to private placement | | | | (6) | | (6) |
| Exercise of stock options and related tax benefit of \$989 | 114,602 | 58 | 3,439 | | | 3,497 |
| Restricted stock issuance | 6,411 | 3 | 279 | | | 282 |
| Stock compensation | | | 2,741 | | | 2,741 |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 16,507,512 | 8,254 | 97,841 | (2,965) | 35,701 | 138,831 |
| Net income | | | | | (2,729) | (2,729) |
| Changes in unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes of \$104 | | | | 139 | | 139 |
| Adjustment to initially apply FASB 158, net of taxes of \$33 | | | | 43 | | 43 |
| SERP liability adjustment, net of taxes of \$84 | | | | (111) | | (111) |
| Equity in other comprehensive income of unconsolidated joint venture, net of taxes of \$5 | | | | 6 | | 6 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | (2,652) |

Edgar Filing: TEJON RANCH CO - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Exercise of stock options and related tax benefit of \$1,329 | 171,017 | 85 | 5,380 | 5,465 |
| Restricted stock issuance | 22,277 | 12 | 542 | 554 |
| Stock compensation | 70,507 | 35 | 6,597 | 6,632 |
| Charitable contribution of stock | 4,736 | 2 | 198 | 200 |
| Balance, December 31, 2006 | 16,776,049 | \$ 8,388 | \$ 110,558 | \$ (2,888) \$ 32,972 \$ 149,030 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**Tejon Ranch Co. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(\$ in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Operating Activities | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (2,729) | \$ 1,546 | \$ 389 |
| Items not affecting cash: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,176 | 2,274 | 2,297 |
| Deferred income taxes | (1,677) | (1,007) | (1,185) |
| Tax benefit from exercise of stock options | | 989 | 819 |
| Gain from sale of real estate | (804) | (571) | |
| Non-cash expense of retirement plans | 974 | 329 | 127 |
| Gain (loss) on sales of assets | (29) | 5 | |
| Equity in (earnings) losses of unconsolidated joint ventures, net | (1,247) | (423) | (7) |
| Distribution of earnings from joint ventures | 1,200 | | |
| Non-cash issuances of stock and stock compensation expense | 7,186 | 3,023 | 2,144 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | (1,329) | | |
| Charitable contribution of stock | 200 | | |
| Changes in certain current assets and current liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 895 | 691 | (4,081) |
| Inventories | (495) | (747) | (275) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (928) | (964) | (288) |
| Trade accounts payable and other accrued liabilities | (115) | 462 | 432 |
| Current deferred income | (12) | 136 | (619) |
| Income taxes payable | (1,076) | 593 | 483 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations | 2,190 | 6,336 | 236 |
| Investing Activities | | | |
| Maturities of marketable securities | 14,910 | 6,440 | 22,202 |
| Funds invested in marketable securities | (26,378) | (12,188) | (69,023) |
| Reimbursement proceeds from community facilities district | 3,524 | | 2,422 |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate | 2,667 | 742 | |
| Distribution of equity from joint ventures | 14,735 | 1,298 | |
| Property and equipment disposals | 41 | 46 | |
| Property and equipment expenditures | (11,789) | (11,138) | (6,664) |
| Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures | (126) | (374) | (551) |
| Other | (664) | (219) | (166) |
| Net cash used in investing activities of continuing operations | (3,080) | (15,393) | (51,780) |
| Financing Activities | | | |
| Net proceeds from private equity placement | | | 51,656 |
| Payments on short-term debt | (5,400) | | (81) |
| Borrowing of short-term debt | 5,400 | | |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (27) | (23) | (10,022) |
| Additional cost relating to private placement | | (6) | |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | 1,329 | | |
| Exercise of stock options | 4,136 | 2,508 | 2,607 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities of continuing operations | 5,438 | 2,479 | 44,160 |
| Cash flows of discontinued operations | | | |
| Operating cash flows | | | (2,900) |

Edgar Filing: TEJON RANCH CO - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Investing cash flows | | | 12,247 |
| Financing cash flows | | | (4,594) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 4,548 | (6,578) | (2,631) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 114 | 6,692 | 9,323 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 4,662 | \$ 114 | \$ 6,692 |
| Supplemental Cash Flow Information | | | |
| Interest paid (net of amounts capitalized) | \$ 70 | \$ 70 | \$ 76 |
| Taxes paid | \$ 1,039 | \$ 376 | \$ 68 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2006

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Tejon Ranch Co. and the accounts of all subsidiaries and investments in which a controlling interest is held by Tejon Ranch Co., or the Company. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Reclassification

Certain amounts included in the 2005 balance sheet have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The reclassification is due to the breaking out of investments in joint ventures on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments, with a maturity of three months or less when purchased, to be cash equivalents. The carrying amount for cash equivalents approximates fair value.

Marketable Securities

The Company considers those investments not qualifying as cash equivalents, but which are readily marketable, to be marketable securities. The Company classifies all marketable securities as available-for-sale. These are stated at fair value with the unrealized gains (losses), net of tax, reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the consolidated statements of stockholders' equity.

Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures in which the Company has less than a controlling interest, or is not the primary beneficiary if the joint venture is determined to be a variable interest entity under FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, or FIN 46, are accounted for under the equity method of accounting and, accordingly, are reflected as adjusted for capital contributions, distributions, and the Company's equity in net earnings or loss of the respective joint venture.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

The fair values of financial instruments are based on quoted market prices, where available, or are estimated using present value or other valuation techniques. Estimated fair values are significantly affected by the assumptions used.

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, trade accounts payable and other accrued liabilities reported on the balance sheet approximate their fair values due to the

Table of Contents

short-term nature of the instruments. See Note 3, Marketable Securities, and Note 6, Short-Term and Long-Term Debt, for additional discussion of the fair values of marketable securities and debt instruments, respectively.

Credit Risk

The Company grants credit in the course of operations to co-ops, wineries, nut marketing companies, and lessees of the Company's facilities. The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral. Accounts receivable are stated at their estimated fair values in the consolidated balance sheets.

In 2006, Calpine, a tenant who leases land for a power plant, accounted for 12% of our revenues from continuing operations. During 2005, Pistachio Growers Incorporated accounted for approximately 19% of revenue from continuing operations and Calpine, accounted for approximately 11% of revenue from continuing operations. During 2004, Hughson Nut, a purchaser of almonds, accounted for approximately 13% of revenues from continuing operations and Pistachio Growers Incorporated accounted for 10% of revenues from continuing operations.

The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents in federally insured financial institutions. The account balances at these institutions periodically exceed FDIC insurance coverage and, as a result, there is a concentration of credit risk related to amounts on deposit in excess of FDIC insurance coverage. The Company believes that the risk is not significant.

Farm Inventories

Costs of bringing crops to harvest are inventoried when incurred. Such costs are expensed when the crops are sold. Costs during the current year related to the next year's crop are inventoried and carried in inventory until the matching crop is harvested and sold. Farm inventories held for sale are valued at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated on the basis of cost, except for land acquired upon organization in 1936, which is stated on the basis (presumed to be at cost) carried by the Company's predecessor. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the various assets. Buildings and improvements are depreciated over a 10-year to 27.5-year life. Machinery, water pipelines, furniture, fixtures, and other equipment are depreciated over a three-year to 10-year life depending on the type of asset. Vineyards and orchards are generally depreciated over a 20-year life with irrigation systems over a 10-year life. Oil, gas and mineral reserves have not been appraised, and accordingly no value has been assigned to them.

Vineyards and Orchards

Costs of planting and developing vineyards and orchards are capitalized until the crops become commercially productive. Interest costs and depreciation of irrigation systems and trellis installations during the development stage are also capitalized. Revenues from crops earned during the development stage are netted against development costs. Depreciation commences when the crops become commercially productive.

Table of Contents

At the time crops are harvested, contracted, and delivered to buyers and revenues can be estimated, revenues and related costs are recognized, which traditionally occurs during the third and fourth quarters of each year. Orchard (almonds, pistachios, and walnuts) revenues are based upon the contract settlement price or estimated selling price, whereas vineyard revenues are recognized at the contracted selling price. Estimated prices for orchard crops are based upon the quoted estimate of what the final market price will be by marketers and handlers of the orchard crops. Actual final orchard crop selling prices are not determined for several months following the close of the Company's fiscal year due to supply and demand fluctuations within the orchard crop markets. Adjustments for differences between original estimates and actual revenues received are recorded during the period in which such amounts become known. The net effect of these adjustments increased farming revenue by \$395,000 in 2006 and \$398,000 in 2005, and decreased farming revenue by \$34,000 in 2004. The large positive adjustments in 2006 and 2005 are related to continuing increases in almond, pistachio and walnut prices prior to the receipt of our final scheduled payments.

The Almond Board of California has the authority to require producers of almonds to withhold a portion of their annual production from the marketplace through a marketing order approved by the Secretary of Agriculture. At December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, no such withholding was mandated.

Common Stock Options and Grants

The Company follows SFAS No. 123(R) Share-Based Payment, in accounting for stock incentive plans. Beginning in 2006, the Company expenses the cost of stock options granted in prior years that are continuing to vest. Prior to 2006, the Company followed Accounting Principles Board, or APB, Opinion No. 25 Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, in accounting for stock options and under which no cost related to grants of stock options was reflected in net income (loss).

Restricted stock grants and restricted stock units are expensed over the expected vesting period. The Company makes estimates of the number of shares that will actually be granted based upon estimated ranges of success in meeting defined performance measures. Each year the Company will update its estimates and reflect any changes to the estimate in the income statement.

Net Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic net income (loss) per share is based upon the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year (16,645,031 in 2006, 16,478,105 in 2005, and 15,516,954 in 2004). Diluted net income (loss) per share is based upon the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding and the weighted-average number of shares outstanding assuming the issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options and the vesting of restricted stock grants and performance share grants per SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share (17,554,947 in 2006, 16,949,667 in 2005, 15,901,786 in 2004). The weighted-average additional number of shares relating to dilutive stock options was 909,916 in 2006, 471,562 in 2005, and 384,832 in 2004. For 2006, diluted net loss per share from continuing operations is based on the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding because the impact of stock options and grants is antidilutive.

Table of Contents

Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, the Company records impairment losses on long-lived assets held and used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than their related carrying amounts. In addition, the Company accounts for long-lived assets to be disposed of at the lower of their carrying amounts or fair value less selling and disposal costs. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, management of the Company believes that none of its assets are impaired.

Sales of Real Estate

In recognizing revenue from land sales, the Company follows the provisions in SFAS, No. 66, *Accounting for Sales of Real Estate*, to record these sales. SFAS No. 66 provides specific sales recognition criteria to determine when land sales revenue can be recorded. For example, SFAS No. 66 requires a land sale to be consummated with a sufficient down payment of at least 20% to 25% of the sales price depending upon the type and timeframe for development of the property sold, and that any receivable from the sale cannot be subject to future subordination. In addition, the seller cannot retain any material continuing involvement in the property sold, or be required to develop the property in the future or construct facilities or off-site improvements.

Allocation of Costs Related to Land Sales and Leases

When the Company sells land within one of its real estate developments and has not completed all infrastructure development related to the total project, the Company follows SFAS No. 66 and SFAS No. 67, *Accounting for Costs and Initial Rental Operations of Real Estate Projects*, to determine the appropriate costs of sales for the sold land and the timing of recognition of the sale. In the calculation of cost of sales or allocations to leased land, the Company uses estimates and forecasts to determine total costs at completion of the development project. These estimates of final development costs can change as conditions in the market change and costs of construction change.

Rental Income

Minimum rent revenues are generally recognized on a straight-line basis over the respective initial lease term unless there is considerable risk as to collectibility.

Environmental Expenditures

Environmental expenditures that relate to current operations are expensed or capitalized as appropriate. Expenditures that relate to an existing condition caused by past operations and which do not contribute to current or future revenue generation are expensed. Liabilities are recorded when environmental assessments and/or remedial efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. Generally, the timing of these accruals coincides with the completion of a feasibility study or the Company's commitment to a formal plan of action. No liabilities for environmental costs have been recorded at December 31, 2006 or 2005.

Table of Contents

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the financial statement dates and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Due to uncertainties inherent in the estimation process, it is reasonably possible that actual results could differ from these estimates.

New Accounting Pronouncements

The accounting policies adopted during 2006 were related to new accounting pronouncements and the adoption of these policies did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

FASB Interpretation No. 48, or FIN 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, is an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, and was issued in July 2006. FIN 48 creates a single model to address uncertainty in income tax provisions by prescribing a minimum threshold that a tax position must meet before being recognized in the financial statements. Tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the effective date to be recognized upon the adoption of FIN 48 and in subsequent periods. FIN 48 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, and the provisions of FIN 48 will be applied to all tax positions upon initial adoption of the Interpretation. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of this Interpretation will be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for that fiscal year. We are currently evaluating the impact of FIN 48 on our financial statements and have not yet determined the impact.

FASB Statement No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments*—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140, was issued in February 2006. This statement amends FASB Statement No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and FASB Statement No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, and addresses the financial treatment and evaluation of certain derivatives. FASB Statement No. 155 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after September 15, 2006. We have reviewed FASB Statement No. 155 and concluded that this pronouncement will not have a material impact on results of operations or our financial condition.

FASB Statement No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets*—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, was issued in March 2006. This statement amends FASB Statement No. 140, *Accounting for Transactions and Servicing of Financial Assets*, and addresses recognition, measurement and presentation requirements when entering into contractual obligations to service financial assets. FASB Statement No. 156 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after September 15, 2006. We have reviewed FASB Statement No. 156 and concluded that this pronouncement will not have a material impact on results of operations or our financial condition.

FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, was issued in September 2006. It defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FASB Statement No. 157 is

Table of Contents

effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The provisions of this statement should be applied prospectively as of the beginning of the year of initial application. We have reviewed FASB Statement No. 157 and concluded that this pronouncement will not have a material impact on results of operations or our financial condition.

FASB Statement No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R), was issued in September 2006. It requires employers to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined-benefit post-retirement plan as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status through comprehensive income. The funded status of the plan must be measured as of the date of its year-end statement of financial position. Certain changes in prior service costs that are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit costs under FASB Statement No. 87, *Employers' Accounting for Pensions*, must also be recognized through other comprehensive income. This statement is effective for issuers of publicly traded securities for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. Our 2006 financial statements reflect the application of FASB Statement No. 158 and the overall impact was not material to results of operations or our financial condition.

2. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

There were no discontinued operations in 2006 or 2005. In 2004, the Company discontinued the operations of Pacific Almond, an almond processing plant, and three commercial buildings in Phoenix, Arizona that were being held for sale. During April 2004, we sold our interest in Pacific Almond to our partner in that joint venture pursuant to the buy/sell provisions in the joint venture agreement. Under the purchase agreement, we received \$1,747,000 for our interest in the joint venture and the buyer assumed all responsibility for the assets and liabilities of the joint venture. The gain on the sale of our interest in Pacific Almond was approximately \$800,000 before taxes.

In May 2004, the Company determined that it was an appropriate time to liquidate our investment in the three commercial buildings in Phoenix, Arizona due to favorable market conditions and to redirect those funds into our core real estate development business. These buildings were sold during November 2004, resulting in a net gain of \$1,258,000 after transaction costs. Overall, discontinued operations during 2004 provided net income of \$1,351,000, or \$0.09 per diluted share.

The Company had no discontinued assets or liabilities at December 31 2006, or December 31 2005.

Table of Contents

Operating results of the discontinued operations for the period ending December 31, 2004 were as follows (\$ in thousands):

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Revenues | |
| Almond processing plant | \$ 1,109 |
| Commercial buildings | 11,157 |
| Total | 12,266 |
| Expenses | |
| Almond processing plant | 465 |
| Commercial buildings | 9,549 |
| Total | 10,014 |
| Interest Expense | |
| Almond processing plant | 76 |
| Income from discontinued operations before taxes | |
| Almond processing plant | 568 |
| Commercial buildings | 1,608 |
| Total | 2,176 |
| Minority interest | |
| Almond processing plant | 75 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | |
| Almond processing plant | 257 |
| Commercial buildings | 643 |
| Total | 900 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes | |
| Almond processing plant | 386 |
| Commercial buildings | 965 |
| Total | \$ 1,351 |

Table of Contents**3. MARKETABLE SECURITIES**

SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, requires that an enterprise classify all debt securities as either held-to-maturity, trading, or available-for-sale. The Company has elected to classify its securities as available-for-sale and, therefore, is required to adjust securities to fair value at each reporting date.

The following is a summary of available-for-sale securities at December 31:

| (\$ in thousands) | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
| Marketable Securities: | | | | |
| US Treasury and agency notes | | | | |
| with unrecognized losses for less than 12 months | \$ 8,761 | \$ 8,718 | \$ 17,407 | \$ 17,091 |
| with unrecognized losses for more than 12 months | 40,244 | 39,431 | 24,573 | 23,917 |
| with unrecognized gains | 848 | 878 | 138 | 205 |
| Total US Treasury and agency notes | 49,853 | 49,027 | 42,118 | 41,213 |
| Corporate notes | | | | |
| with unrecognized losses for less than 12 months | 2,873 | 2,863 | 7,507 | 7,338 |
| with unrecognized losses for more than 12 months | 20,488 | 20,046 | 13,806 | 13,373 |
| with unrecognized gains | 1,454 | 1,468 | | |
| Total Corporate notes | 24,815 | 24,377 | 21,313 | 20,711 |
| | \$ 74,668 | \$ 73,404 | \$ 63,431 | \$ 61,924 |

As of December 31, 2006, the adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in consolidated statements of stockholders' equity reflects an increase in market value of available-for-sale securities resulting in an unrealized gain of \$139,000, which is net of a tax expense of \$104,000. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's gross unrealized holding gains equal \$44,000 and gross unrealized holding losses equal \$1,308,000. On December 31, 2006, the average maturity of U.S. Treasury and agency securities and corporate notes was three years. Currently, the Company has no securities with a weighted-average life of greater than six years.

Estimated market value equals quoted market price, if available. If a quoted market price is not available, estimated fair value is determined using quoted market prices for similar securities. The Company's investments in corporate notes are with companies with a credit rating of A or better.

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following at December 31:

| (\$ in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Farming inventories | \$ 2,398 | \$ 2,043 |
| Other | 180 | 50 |
| | \$ 2,578 | \$ 2,093 |

Table of Contents**5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment, net, consists of the following at December 31:

| (\$ in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Land and land improvements | \$ 7,955 | \$ 7,885 |
| Buildings and improvements | 10,152 | 10,217 |
| Machinery, water pipelines, furniture fixtures and other equipment | 8,274 | 7,849 |
| Vineyards and orchards | 26,806 | 23,468 |
| Development in process | 22,856 | 46,022 |
| | 76,043 | 95,441 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (30,386) | (29,000) |
| | \$ 45,657 | \$ 66,441 |

The Company received reimbursements from the Community Facilities District bond fund of \$3,524,000 in 2006. No reimbursement proceeds were received during 2005. These proceeds were for public infrastructure improvements the Company had constructed related to the Tejon Industrial Complex and were reflected as a reduction to development in process. The Company contributed approximately \$27,000,000 of development in process to the Tejon Mountain Village LLC during 2006. The joint venture is accounted for in investments in unconsolidated joint ventures.

6. SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM DEBT

The Company had no outstanding short-term debt at December 31, 2006 or December 31, 2005.

Long-term debt consists of the following at December 31:

| (\$ in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|
| Notes payable to a bank | \$ 444 | \$ 469 |
| Less current portion | (27) | (25) |
| | \$ 417 | \$ 444 |

The Company has a long-term revolving line of credit of \$30,000,000 that, as of December 31, 2006, had no outstanding balance. This credit facility bears an interest rate that floats with changes in the lending bank's prime interest rate. At the Company's option, the interest rate on this line of credit can be fixed at 1.50% over a selected LIBOR rate or float at 0.75% less than the bank's prime lending rate. During the term of this credit facility, the Company can borrow at any time and partially or wholly repay any outstanding borrowings and then re-borrow as necessary. Any outstanding principal balance on this unsecured credit facility is due and payable in full in September 2009. The outstanding long-term debt, less current portion of \$27,000, is \$417,000 at December 31, 2006 and bears interest at the rate of 6.75% annually. This debt is being used to provide long-term financing for a building being leased to Starbucks. This debt is secured by the leased building and land, which has a book value of \$447,000.

Table of Contents

The balance of the long-term debt instrument listed above approximates the fair value of the instrument. The Company is subject to covenants pursuant to the above debt agreements and is in compliance with all of the covenants at December 31, 2006.

Interest incurred and paid was \$182,000, \$133,000, and \$646,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The Company's capitalized interest costs were \$112,000, \$63,000, and \$570,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

Maturities of long-term debt at December 31, 2006 are \$27,000 in 2007, \$29,000 in 2008, \$31,000 in 2009, \$33,000 in 2010, \$35,000 in 2011 and \$289,000 thereafter. This maturity schedule reflects the payments related to both the long-term debt and the current portion of long-term debt.

7. COMMON STOCK AND STOCK OPTION INFORMATION

The Company's 1998 Stock Incentive Plan, or the 1998 Plan, provides for the making of awards to employees, consultants, and advisors of the Company with respect to 1,600,000 shares of the Company's common stock. Since the adoption of the 1998 Plan through December 31, 2006, the Company has granted options to purchase 1,129,292 shares at a price equal to the fair market value at date of grant, 539,395 of which have been exercised or forfeited, leaving 589,897 granted options outstanding at December 31, 2006. Options granted under the 1998 Plan vest over a five-year period and have ten-year contractual terms.

The Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan, or NDSI Plan, is intended to enable the Company to attract, retain, and motivate non-employee directors by providing for or increasing the proprietary interest of such persons in the Company. The NDSI Plan provides for the grant of awards to non-employee directors with respect to an aggregate of 200,000 shares of the Company's common stock. Since adoption of the NDSI Plan through December 31, 2006, the Company has granted options under the plan to purchase 83,518 shares at a price equal to the fair market value at date of grant, of which 13,191 options have been exercised, leaving 70,327 granted options outstanding at December 31, 2006.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for these plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of APB Opinion 25, or Opinion 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations, as permitted by FASB Statement No. 123, or Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. No stock-based employee compensation cost related to stock options was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2005 or 2004, as all options granted under the plan had an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123(R), or Statement No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, using the modified-prospective method. Under that transition method, compensation cost recognized in 2006 includes: (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments for stock options granted prior to but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of Statement No. 123, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of Statement No. 123(R). There were no options granted during 2006, 2005 or 2004. Results for prior periods have not been restated.

Table of Contents

As a result of adopting Statement No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006, the Company's loss before income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2006 is \$742,000 greater than if it had continued to account for share-based compensation under Opinion 25. Basic and diluted loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2006 are both \$0.04 higher than if the Company had continued to account for share-based compensation under Opinion 25.

Prior to the adoption of Statement No. 123(R), the Company presented all tax benefits of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the Statement of Cash Flows. Statement 123(R) requires cash flow from tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation expense recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) to be classified as financing cash flows. The \$1,329,000 excess tax benefit classified as a financing cash inflow in the 2006 consolidated statement of cash flows would have been classified as an operating cash inflow if the Company had not adopted Statement No. 123(R).

The value of the options is estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing formula and the expense is amortized over the options' vesting periods. The following weighted-average assumptions were used in estimating the fair value of the options: risk-free interest rate of 5.00%, dividend rate of 0.0%, volatility factor of the expected market price of our common stock of 0.34; and a weighted-average expected life of the options of five years from the option grant date. No options were granted in 2006, 2005 or 2004.

Exercise prices for options outstanding as of December 31, 2006 ranged from \$16.75 to \$27.90. The weighted-average remaining contractual life of those options is approximately four years. None of the options granted under the 1998 Plan contain conversion features.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value provisions of Statement No. 123 to options granted under the Company's stock option plan in all periods presented:

| (\$ in thousands, except per share) | 2005 | 2004 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Net income (loss) as reported | \$ 1,546 | \$ 389 |
| Add: Stock option employee compensation expense included in reported net income (loss), net of related tax effects | | |
| Deduct: Total stock option employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards, net of related tax effects | (842) | (1,158) |
| Pro forma net income (loss) | \$ 704 | \$ (769) |
| Net income (loss) per share: | | |
| Basic - as reported | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.03 |
| Basic - pro forma | \$ 0.04 | \$ (0.05) |
| Diluted - as reported | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.03 |
| Diluted - pro forma | \$ 0.04 | \$ (0.05) |

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information for the years ended December 31:

| | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2004 | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------|
| | Options | Weighted-Average Exercise Prices Per Share | Options | Weighted-Average Exercise Prices Per Share | Options | Weighted-Average Exercise Prices Per Share |
| Outstanding beginning of year | 836,241 | \$ 23.90 | 970,843 | \$ 23.70 | 1,096,424 | \$ 23.36 |
| Granted | | | | | | |
| Exercised | (171,017) | 24.18 | (114,602) | 21.88 | (125,581) | 20.76 |
| Forfeited/Cancelled | (5,000) | 27.66 | (20,000) | 25.85 | | |
| Outstanding end of year | 660,224 | \$ 23.55 | 836,241 | \$ 23.90 | 970,843 | \$ 23.70 |
| Options exercisable end of year | 621,624 | \$ 23.53 | 682,641 | \$ 23.56 | 634,045 | \$ 23.23 |

The following is a summary of the vesting activity relating to granted options for the year ended December 31:

| | 2006 | |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------|
| | Options | Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Non-vested at the beginning of the year | 152,800 | \$ 9.04 |
| Granted | | |
| Vested during the year | (109,200) | 8.84 |
| Forfeited during the year | (5,000) | 9.36 |
| Non-vested at the end of the year | 38,600 | \$ 9.36 |

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$333,000 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of one year. The total fair value of shares vested during the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$965,000 and the total intrinsic value of options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$3,103,000, \$2,472,000 and \$2,059,000, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, there were 621,624 options vested and exercisable with a weighted-average exercise price of \$23.53, aggregate intrinsic value of \$20,083,000, and weighted-average remaining contractual life of four years.

The total compensation cost related to stock option arrangements recognized in income for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$742,000 and the total related recognized tax benefit was \$286,000.

Table of Contents

No share-based payment compensation costs were capitalized during this period. There were no such compensation costs recognized during 2005 or 2004.

8. STOCK COMPENSATION PLAN

In May 2004, the shareholders of the Company approved a stock incentive plan for designated employees and a stock compensation plan for the Board of Directors. The 2004 Stock Incentive Plan provides for the making of awards to employees based upon time-based criteria and through the achievement of performance-related objectives. Performance-related objectives are stratified into threshold, target, and maximum goals. Under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, the Company has granted potential stock awards in a range from zero shares if below threshold performance to 209,088 shares for threshold performance and a maximum of 448,058 shares for maximum performance to current employees in the plan. The Company has also issued 46,337 shares of restricted stock that vest over a four-year period. Of this amount, 20,065 shares have vested since 2004. These shares are being expensed over the expected vesting period based on each performance criterion or based on the time vesting period, whichever is appropriate. The Company makes estimates of the number of shares that will actually be granted based upon estimated ranges of success in meeting the defined performance measures. Each period, the Company will update these estimates and reflect the change to the estimate in the income statement. Total expense related to this plan was \$3,213,000 in 2006, \$2,694,000 in 2005 and \$1,914,000 in 2004. During 2006, we also incurred \$2,989,000 of non-recurring stock compensation expense related to the successful completion of the TMV joint venture agreement.

Under the Board of Directors compensation plan, each Director may receive a portion of their annual compensation in restricted stock and a portion in cash. Each Director has the option of electing to receive the cash portion of his annual retainer in restricted stock. Under this plan, 16,294 shares of stock have been granted. Total expense related to Director stock compensation was \$242,000 in 2006, \$329,000 in 2005 and \$230,000 in 2004.

9. INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT

At December 31, 2006, the Company had no outstanding interest rate swap agreements. However, a joint venture of the Company, Petro, has an interest rate swap agreement with respect to \$6,400,000 of its long-term debt to manage interest rate risk by converting floating interest rate debt to fixed-rate debt. This swap agreement matures in November 2009 and is a contract to exchange variable-rate for fixed-rate interest payments periodically over the life of the agreement. The interest rate swap fixed rate is 4.33%. The joint venture accounts for the swap agreement as a cash flow hedge in accordance with FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Investments and Hedging Activities.

The Company records its pro rata change in the fair market value of the interest rate swap in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). As of December 31, 2006, the Company's portion of the fair value of the interest rate swap was a gain of \$64,000. At December 31, 2005, the Company's portion of the fair value of the swap was a gain of \$53,000. Estimated fair value of the swap was determined by market price quotes as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, received from the joint venture's bank.

Table of Contents**10. INCOME TAXES**

The Company accounts for income taxes using SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. SFAS No. 109 is an asset and liability approach that requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized differently in the financial statements and the tax returns. The provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of the following at December 31:

| (\$ in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|----------------------------|------------|----------|----------|
| Total provision (benefit): | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ (1,714) | \$ 954 | \$ (784) |
| Discontinued operations | | | 900 |
| | \$ (1,714) | \$ 954 | \$ 116 |
| Federal: | | | |
| Current | \$ 11 | \$ 1,705 | \$ 2,550 |
| Deferred | (1,344) | (971) | (2,466) |
| | (1,333) | 734 | 84 |
| State: | | | |
| Current | 16 | 464 | 687 |
| Deferred | (397) | (244) | (655) |
| | (381) | 220 | 32 |
| | \$ (1,714) | \$ 954 | \$ 116 |

The reasons for the difference between total income tax expense and the amount computed by applying the statutory Federal income tax rate (34%) to income before taxes are as follows for the years ended December 31:

| (\$ in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--------------------------------------------|------------|--------|----------|
| Income tax (benefit) at statutory rate | \$ (1,511) | \$ 850 | \$ (594) |
| State income taxes, net of Federal benefit | (259) | 146 | (105) |
| Other, net | 56 | (42) | (85) |
| Continuing operations | (1,714) | 954 | (784) |
| Income tax (benefit) at statutory rate | | | 765 |
| State income taxes, net of Federal benefit | | | 123 |
| Other, net | | | 12 |
| Discontinued operations | | | 900 |
| | \$ (1,714) | \$ 954 | \$ 116 |

Table of Contents

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows at December 31:

| (\$ in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Deferred income tax assets: | | |
| Accrued expenses | \$ 201 | \$ 205 |
| Prepaid revenues | 256 | 261 |
| Capitalization of costs | 1,062 | 986 |
| Pension adjustment | 1,855 | 1,556 |
| Stock grant expense | 3,322 | 2,074 |
| Joint venture allocations | 246 | 145 |
| Market value adjustment | 542 | 646 |
| Book deferred gains | 792 | 81 |
| Other | 244 | 90 |
| Total deferred income tax assets | 8,520 | 6,044 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities: | | |
| Deferred gains | 834 | 832 |
| Depreciation | 400 | 420 |
| Cost of sales allocations | 1,145 | 1,059 |
| Joint venture allocations | | 323 |
| Straight line rent | 964 | 649 |
| Prepaid expenses | 484 | 348 |
| Other | 410 | 106 |
| Total deferred income tax liabilities | 4,237 | 3,737 |
| Net deferred income tax asset | \$ 4,283 | \$ 2,307 |

The net deferred tax asset for 2006 and 2005 is included in prepaid expenses and other current assets on the balance sheet. Due to the nature of the net deferred tax assets, the Company believes these assets will be utilized in future years through operations. Therefore, no valuation allowance has been established.

During 2006 and 2005, the Company recognized certain net tax benefits related to stock option plans in the amount of \$1,329,000 and \$989,000, respectively. Such benefits were recorded as a reduction of income taxes payable and an increase in additional paid in capital.

The Company made net payments of income taxes of \$1,039,000, \$376,000, and \$68,000 during 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

11. LEASES

The Company is a lessor of certain property pursuant to various commercial lease agreements having terms ranging up to 60 years. The cost and accumulated depreciation of buildings and improvements subject to such leases were \$5,106,000 and \$2,629,000, respectively, at December 31, 2006. Income from commercial rents included in real estate revenue, excluding percentage rents based on sales revenues, was \$3,318,000 in 2006, \$3,367,000 in 2005, and \$2,422,000 in 2004. Contingent commercial payments were \$933,000, \$629,000, and \$206,000 for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Future minimum rental income on non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2006 is \$3,312,000 in 2007, \$3,463,000 in 2008, \$3,679,000 in 2009, \$3,654,000 in 2010, \$3,481,000 in 2011, and \$43,753,000 for years thereafter.

Table of Contents

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

A total of 5,488 acres of the Company's land is subject to water contracts requiring minimum future annual payments for as long as the Company owns such land. The estimated minimum payments for 2007 are \$1,901,000 before any potential credits are received, whether or not water is available or is used. Minimum payments made under these contracts were approximately \$2,147,000 in 2006, \$1,550,000 in 2005, and \$1,635,000 in 2004.

The Tejon Ranch Public Facilities Financing Authority Community Facilities District, or CFD, a joint powers authority formed by Kern County and the Tejon-Castac Water District, has placed liens on 1,728 acres of the Company's land. These liens are to secure payment of special taxes related to \$23,900,000 of bond debt sold by the CFD. There is a standby letter of credit for \$4,584,000 related to the issuance of the Community Facility District bonds. The standby letter of credit is in place to provide additional credit enhancement and cover approximately two years worth of interest on the outstanding bonds. The annual cost for this letter of credit is approximately \$70,000 per year. This letter of credit will not be drawn upon unless the Company, as the largest land holder in the district, fails to make its property tax payments. This letter of credit is for a two-year period and will be renewed if necessary in 2007. The Company is obligated, as a landowner in the district, to pay its share of the special taxes assessed each year. The 1,728 acres of land includes the Tejon Industrial Complex development. Proceeds from the sale of CFD bonds went to reimburse the Company for public infrastructure related to the Tejon Industrial Complex development. In 2006 and 2004, \$3,524,000 and \$2,422,000, respectively, was reimbursed through these bond funds. In 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company paid approximately \$482,000, \$385,000, and \$101,000, respectively, in special taxes related to the CFD. As development occurs at Tejon Industrial Complex, new owners of land and new lease tenants, through triple net leases, will begin to pay their portion of the assessed special tax. As this happens, the Company's obligation is reduced. It is expected that the Company will have special tax payments in 2007 of approximately \$386,000, but this could change in the future based on the amount of bonds outstanding within the CFD and the amount of taxes paid by others. As development and values increase around the Tejon Industrial Complex, the Company may be able to have approximately 1,400 acres released from the CFD lien.

At December 31, 2006, the Company was guaranteeing \$6,000,000 of debt to Tejon/Dermody Industrial LLC, an unconsolidated joint venture formed to construct and market a 651,000 square foot building at the Tejon Industrial Complex-West. Total debt in the venture is approximately \$14,534,000, which is secured by a mortgage on the building. In the joint venture agreement, each partner agreed to guarantee a portion of the outstanding debt through the term of the loan. The maturity date of this loan is May 19, 2011. Principal and interest on this loan is payable monthly, with the principal portion of the monthly payment being \$18,930. Based on the achievements of certain operational objectives, such as the renewal of tenant leases, the guarantees can begin to be reduced. The Company believes it is unlikely that it will ever be required to make payments under this guarantee due to sufficient cash flows to service the debt and the value of joint venture property. Therefore, no liabilities have been recorded related to this guarantee at December 31, 2006 or December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents

The Company leases land to National Cement Company of California Inc, or National, for the purpose of manufacturing Portland cement from limestone deposits on the leased acreage. National's former subtenant, Systech Environmental Corporation, is awaiting action by the California Department of Toxic Substances Control in order to achieve regulatory closure for its former facility that burned hazardous waste as a supplemental fuel in the cement plant located on land leased from the Company.

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) for the Lahontan Region has issued several orders with respect to four environmental conditions on the property:

- (1) *Groundwater plume of chlorinated hydrocarbon compounds.* This order directs the Company's former tenant Lafarge Corporation (Lafarge), the current tenant National, and the Company to, among other things, investigate the source and extent of the groundwater contamination and initiate cleanup. The Company is advised that Lafarge and National have completed their investigation, and are operating a groundwater pump-and-treat system to clean up the groundwater. In 1991 and 2000, Lafarge and National removed certain soils from the property that were the principal source of the contamination.
- (2) *Cement kiln dust.* National and Lafarge have consolidated, closed and capped cement kiln dust piles located on land leased from the Company. An order of the RWQCB directs National, Lafarge and the Company to maintain and monitor the effectiveness of the cap. Maintenance of the cap and groundwater monitoring remain as on-going activities. Groundwater contamination levels down gradient of the cap exceeded limits set by the RWQCB following record-breaking rains in late winter 2005. The Company is advised that National and Lafarge are conducting ongoing studies and developing a plan to minimize the chances of this occurring again.
- (3) *Former industrial waste landfills.* This order requires Lafarge, National and the Company to complete the cleanup of groundwater associated with the former industrial waste landfills. The Company is advised that Lafarge is addressing the low-level contamination by natural attenuation and that monitoring remains an ongoing activity. Lafarge previously removed waste historically disposed of in the landfills and transported that waste off-site for disposal.
- (4) *Diesel fuel.* An order of the RWQCB directs Lafarge, National and the Company to investigate and clean up contamination from a diesel fuel tank and pipeline. The Company is advised that Lafarge and National have completed their investigation and groundwater cleanup and that groundwater monitoring remains an on-going activity.

Some oil contamination located beneath plant buildings and equipment remains on the property.

To date, the Company is not aware of any failure by Lafarge or National to comply with the orders or informal requests of the RWQCB. The Company has not been directed by the RWQCB to perform any remedial activities. Under the lease between National and the Company, National is obligated to indemnify the Company for costs and liabilities arising directly or indirectly out of its use of the leased premises. Lafarge has liability for all obligations under the indemnity provisions arising before the November 1987 assignment of the lease from Lafarge to National. The Company believes that all of the matters described above are included within the scope of the National or Lafarge indemnity obligations.

Table of Contents

The Company believes that Lafarge and National have sufficient resources to perform any possible or reasonably likely obligations relating to these matters. If they do not and the Company is required to perform the likely remedial work at its own cost, it is unlikely that the amount of any such expenditure by the Company would be material.

On November 29, 2004, a complaint was filed asking for the Antelope Valley ground water basin to be adjudicated. This means that the rights of all parties overlying the basin, including the Company's land, should be fixed based on various principles of water law and on negotiations among the principal parties or groups of water users. It is too early to ascertain what effect, if any, this case may have on the Centennial project or the Company's remaining lands in the Antelope Valley. Because the water supply plan for the Centennial project includes several sources of water in addition to ground water underlying the Company's lands, and because the creation of an efficient market for local water rights is frequently an outcome of adjudication proceedings, we anticipate that sufficient water to supply the Centennial project's needs will continue to be available for its use.

13. RETIREMENT PLAN

The Company has a defined benefit retirement plan that covers substantially all employees. The benefits are based on years of service and the employee's five-year final average salary. Contributions are intended to provide for benefits attributable to service both to date and expected to be provided in the future. The Company funds the plan in accordance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, or ERISA. The following accumulated benefit information is as of December 31:

| (\$ in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Change in benefit obligation | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 5,148 | \$ 4,007 |
| Service cost | 245 | 253 |
| Interest cost | 277 | 200 |
| Actuarial gain | 426 | 710 |
| Benefits paid | (7) | (22) |
| | | |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 6,089 | \$ 5,148 |
| Change in Plan Assets | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ 3,293 | \$ 2,657 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 494 | 170 |
| Employer contribution | 615 | 488 |
| Benefits/expenses paid | (92) | (22) |
| | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ 4,310 | \$ 3,293 |
| | | |
| Funded status - liability | \$ (1,779) | \$ (1,855) |

Table of Contents

**Incremental Effect of Applying FASB Statement No. 158
on Individual Line Items in the Statement of Financial Position**

December 31, 2006

| (\$ in thousands) | Before | | After |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| | Application of Statement 158 | Adjustments | Application of Statement 158 |
| Deferred taxes, net | \$ 4,316 | \$ (33) | \$ 4,283 |
| Total assets | 159,150 | (33) | 159,117 |
| Liability for pension benefits | 1,855 | (76) | 1,779 |
| Total liabilities | 10,163 | (76) | 10,087 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (2,931) | 43 | (2,888) |
| Total stockholders' equity | \$ 148,987 | \$ 43 | \$ 149,030 |

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company has a long-term pension liability. The Company has always valued its plan assets as of December 31 each year so there will be no additional transition to a new measurement date as required by FASB 158 for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. For 2007, the Company is estimating that contributions to the pension plan will total approximately \$600,000. Based on actuarial estimates, it is expected that annual benefit payments will be \$77,000 in 2007, \$114,000 in 2008, \$171,000 in 2009, \$200,000 in 2010, \$216,000 in 2011, and \$1,806,000 for years 2012 through 2016.

Plan assets consist of equity, debt and short-term money market investment funds. The plan's current investment policy targets 65% equities, 25% debt, and 10% money market funds. Equity and debt investment percentages are allowed to fluctuate plus or minus 20% around the target to take advantage of market conditions. At December 31, 2006, the investment mix was 71% equity, 24% debt, and 5% money markets. Equity investments consist of a combination of individual equity securities plus value funds, growth funds, large cap funds, and international stock funds. Debt investments consist of U.S. Treasury securities and investment-grade corporate debt. The weighted-average discount rate and rate of increase in future compensation levels used in determining the actuarial present value of projected benefits obligation was 5% and 3% in 2006 and 2005, and 5% and 4% in 2004. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets was 7.5% in 2006 and 2005. The long-term rate of return on plan assets is based on the historical returns within the plan and expectations for future returns.

Total pension and retirement expense was as follows for each of the years ended December 31:

| (\$ in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Cost components: | | | |
| Service cost-benefits earned during the period | \$ (245) | \$ (253) | \$ (231) |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | (277) | (200) | (193) |
| Expected return on plan assets | 271 | 217 | 197 |
| Net amortization and deferral | (145) | (59) | (98) |
| Total net periodic pension cost | \$ (396) | \$ (295) | \$ (325) |

Table of Contents

The Company has a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, or SERP, to restore to executives designated by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors the full benefits under the pension plan that would otherwise be restricted by certain limitations now imposed under the Internal Revenue Code. The SERP is currently unfunded, but associated liabilities of \$2,576,000 and \$1,805,000 are reflected in the Company's consolidated balance sheets as other liabilities as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The Company also provides a 401(k) plan to its employees and contributed \$33,000 and \$38,000, for 2006 and 2005, respectively.

14. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We currently operate in three business segments: commercial/industrial real estate development and services; resort/residential real estate development; and farming.

Commercial/industrial real estate development generates revenues from lease activities, land sales, build-to-suit building sales and leases, oil and mineral royalties and grazing leases. The primary commercial/industrial development is Tejon Industrial Complex. Resort/residential land development does not currently produce revenues but is actively involved in the land entitlement process. Within the resort/residential segment, the two active developments are: Tejon Mountain Village and the Centennial master planned community. Farming produces revenues from the sale of grapes, almonds, pistachios, and walnuts.

Information pertaining to the Company's business segments follows for each of the years ended December 31:

| (\$ in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|
| Segment profits from continuing operations: | | | |
| Real Estate commercial/industrial | \$ 4,779 | \$ 3,792 | \$ 2,027 |
| Real Estate resort/residential | (3,408) | (2,496) | (1,987) |
| Farming | 3,088 | 5,783 | 3,772 |
| Segment profits from continuing operations | 4,459 | 7,079 | 3,812 |
| Interest income | 2,975 | 2,565 | 1,026 |
| Other income | 119 | 384 | 32 |
| Corporate expenses | (13,173) | (7,881) | (6,623) |
| Interest expense | (70) | (70) | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | (5,690) | 2,077 | (1,753) |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures | 1,247 | 423 | 7 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax provision (benefit) | \$ (4,443) | \$ 2,500 | \$ (1,746) |

Table of Contents

| (\$ in thousands) | Identifiable Assets | Depreciation and Capital | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| | | Amortization | Expenditures |
| 2006 | | | |
| Real estate commercial/industrial | \$ 26,277 | \$ 884 | \$ 4,779 |
| Real estate resort/residential | 21,130 | 38 | 4,306 |
| Farming | 18,815 | 709 | 2,415 |
| Corporate | 92,895 | 545 | 289 |
| Total | \$ 159,117 | \$ 2,176 | \$ 11,789 |
| 2005 | | | |
| Real estate commercial/industrial | \$ 25,620 | \$ 869 | \$ 4,472 |
| Real estate resort/residential | 31,010 | 36 | 5,227 |
| Farming | 18,152 | 782 | 865 |
| Corporate | 73,009 | 577 | 564 |
| Total | \$ 147,791 | \$ 2,264 | \$ 11,128 |
| 2004 | | | |
| Real estate commercial/industrial | \$ 22,030 | \$ 826 | \$ 2,288 |
| Real estate resort/residential | 26,177 | 45 | 2,924 |
| Farming | 17,980 | 970 | 997 |
| Corporate | 72,017 | 456 | 455 |
| Total | \$ 138,204 | \$ 2,297 | \$ 6,664 |

Segment profits are total revenues less operating expenses, excluding interest income, corporate expenses and interest expense. Identifiable assets by segment include both assets directly identified with those operations and an allocable share of jointly used assets. Corporate assets consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, deferred income taxes, and land and buildings. Land is valued at cost for acquisitions since 1936. Land acquired in 1936, upon organization of the Company, is stated on the basis (presumed to be at cost) carried by the Company's predecessor.

Table of Contents**15. UNAUDITED QUARTERLY OPERATING RESULTS**

The following is a tabulation of unaudited quarterly operating results for the years indicated:

| (\$ in thousands, except per share) | Total Revenue (1) | Segment Profit (Loss) | Net Income (Loss), | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | | | Net Income (Loss) | Per Share (2) |
| 2006 | | | | |
| First Quarter | 6,358 | 1,264 | (102) | (0.01) |
| Second Quarter | 6,306 | (407) | (3,339) | (0.20) |
| Third Quarter | 10,125 | 2,286 | 642 | 0.04 |
| Fourth Quarter | 8,727 | 1,316 | 70 | 0.01 |
| | 31,516 | 4,459 | (2,729) | |
| 2005 | | | | |
| First Quarter | 4,150 | (34) | (984) | (0.06) |
| Second Quarter | 4,319 | (229) | (840) | (0.05) |
| Third Quarter | 11,336 | 4,336 | 2,317 | 0.14 |
| Fourth Quarter | 9,504 | 3,006 | 1,053 | 0.06 |
| | 29,309 | 7,079 | 1,546 | |

(1) Includes interest income and other income.

(2) Net income (loss) per share on a diluted basis.

16. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

The Company maintains investments in unconsolidated joint ventures. The Company accounts for these investments in unconsolidated joint ventures using the equity method of accounting. The Company's investment in its unconsolidated joint ventures at December 31, 2006 was \$16,628,000. The equity in the earnings of the unconsolidated joint ventures was \$1,247,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006. The joint ventures have not been consolidated as of December 31, 2006, because they are not variable interest entities and the Company does not control the investments. The Company's current unconsolidated joint ventures are as follows:

Petro Travel Plaza LLC is a joint venture with Petro Stopping Centers, L.P. for the development and management of the Petro Travel Plaza. This is a 60%-owned venture which owns and operates a travel plaza/commercial highway operation in the Tejon Industrial Complex. It houses multiple commercial eating establishments as well as diesel and gasoline operations. The Company does not control the investment due to its having only 50% voting rights and because our partner performs the day-to-day operations at the facility. At December 31, 2006, the Company had an equity balance of \$2,829,000 in this joint venture.

Centennial Founders, LLC is an unconsolidated joint venture in which the Company has a 50% ownership interest. This is a venture with three homebuilders and community developers (Pardee Homes, Lewis Investment Company, and Standard Pacific Corp.). The venture was organized to pursue the entitlement and development of land that the Company owns in Los Angeles County. At December 31, 2006, the Company's equity investment balance in this joint venture was \$357,000.

Table of Contents

Tejon Dermody Industrial LLC is a joint venture between the Company and DP Properties for the development and ownership of a 651,000 square foot industrial building in the Tejon Industrial Complex. The Company owns a 50% interest in this venture. At December 31, 2006, the Company's equity investment deficit balance was \$878,000.

Five West Parcel, LLC is a joint venture between the Company and Rockefeller Group Development Corporation for the designation of 500 acres in Tejon Industrial Complex West and East as a Foreign Trade Zone, or FTZ, and the development of the property within the FTZ for warehouse distribution and light manufacturing. The Company owns a 50% interest in this venture. At December 31, 2006, the Company's equity investment balance was \$1,085,000.

Tejon Mountain Village LLC is a joint venture between the Company and DMB TMV LLC (a wholly-owned subsidiary of DMB Associates, Inc.) formed to obtain all necessary governmental entitlement approvals and to develop the Tejon Mountain Village project. The Company owns a 50% interest in this venture. This entity was formed through a contribution by the Company of approximately \$27,000,000 of non-cash assets to the joint venture and a cash contribution of \$13,500,000 by DMB Associates, Inc. These contributions were followed by a cash distribution of equity of \$13,500,000 to the Company. At December 31, 2006, the Company's equity investment balance in this joint venture was \$13,235,000.

Condensed financial information of the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures as of and for the year ended December 31 is as follows:

Statement of Operations for the Year Ended**December 31, 2006 (\$ in thousands)**

| | Petro Travel Plaza | Centennial Founders | Tejon- Dermody Industrial | Five West Parcel | Tejon Mountain Village | Total |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Net sales | \$ 82,181 | \$ 551 | \$ 2,201 | \$ | \$ | \$ 84,933 |
| Net income (loss) | 2,920 | (370) | (186) | (134) | (320) | 1,910 |
| Partner's share of net income (loss) | 1,168 | (185) | (93) | (67) | (160) | 663 |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures | \$ 1,752 | \$ (185) | \$ (93) | \$ (67) | \$ (160) | \$ 1,247 |

Balance Sheet Information as of**December 31, 2006**

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Current assets | \$ 10,047 | \$ 23 | \$ 435 | \$ 10 | \$ 494 | \$ 11,009 |
| Property and equipment, net | 16,030 | 25,821 | 12,285 | 2,615 | 29,134 | 85,885 |
| Other assets | 135 | 1 | 427 | | | 563 |
| Long-term debt | (11,055) | | (14,534) | | | (25,589) |
| Other liabilities | (4,084) | (207) | (354) | (77) | (196) | (4,918) |
| Net assets | \$ 11,073 | \$ 25,638 | \$ (1,741) | \$ 2,548 | \$ 29,432 | \$ 66,950 |

Table of Contents**Statement of Operations for the Year Ended****December 31, 2005 (\$ in thousands)**

| | Petro Travel Plaza | Centennial Founders | Tejon- Dermody Industrial | Five West Parcel | Total |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Net sales | \$ 72,736 | \$ 795 | \$ 1,200 | \$ | \$ 74,731 |
| Net income (loss) | 1,618 | (109) | (985) | (2) | 522 |
| Partner s share of net income (loss) | 647 | (55) | (492) | (1) | 99 |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures | \$ 971 | \$ (55) | \$ (492) | \$ (1) | \$ 423 |

Balance Sheet Information as of**December 31, 2005**

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Current assets | \$ 10,858 | \$ 133 | \$ 136 | \$ 20 | \$ 11,147 |
| Property and equipment, net | 15,215 | 19,550 | 12,426 | 2,615 | 49,806 |
| Other assets | 24 | 1 | 377 | | 402 |
| Long-term debt | (11,746) | | (12,021) | | (23,767) |
| Other liabilities | (4,216) | (215) | (2,672) | (2) | (7,105) |
| Net assets | \$ 10,135 | \$ 19,469 | \$ (1,754) | \$ 2,633 | \$ 30,483 |

The Company s investment balance in its unconsolidated joint ventures differs from its respective capital accounts in the respective joint ventures. The differential represents the difference between the cost basis of assets contributed by the Company and the agreed upon contribution value of the assets contributed, as well as the amount and timing of joint venture distributions.