

United Community Bancorp  
Form 10-Q  
November 14, 2013

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

## FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 0-54876

**United Community Bancorp**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Indiana**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**80-0694246**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**92 Walnut Street, Lawrenceburg, Indiana**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**47025**

(Zip Code)

**(812) 537-4822**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

As of November 8, 2013, there were 5,149,564 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

**UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP****Table of Contents**

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>Part I. Financial Information</b>	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)
	Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013
	1
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Month Periods Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012
	2
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three Month Periods Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012
	3
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Month Periods Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012
	4
	Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
	5
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
	21
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
	43
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures
	44
<b>Part II. Other Information</b>	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings
	45
Item 1A.	Risk Factors
	45
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
	45
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities
	45
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures
	45
Item 5.	Other Information
	45
Item 6.	Exhibits
	46
<b>Signatures</b>	<b>47</b>

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

(In thousands, except share amounts)

September 30, 2013    June 30, 2013

Assets			
Cash and due from banks	\$	2,149	\$ 2,716
Interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions		14,490	14,071
Cash and cash equivalents		16,639	16,787
Investment securities:			
Securities available for sale - at estimated market value		33,174	32,013
Securities held to maturity - at amortized cost		393	417
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale - at estimated market value		175,261	170,117
Loans receivable, net		247,202	254,578
Loans available for sale		83	417
Property and equipment, net		6,585	6,674
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost		6,588	6,588
Accrued interest receivable:			
Loans		952	906
Investments and mortgage-backed securities		807	730
Other real estate owned, net		613	618
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies		13,336	13,228
Deferred income taxes		5,014	4,504
Prepaid expenses and other assets		1,631	1,842
Goodwill		2,522	2,522
Intangible asset		651	690
Total assets		511,451	512,631
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity			
Deposits	\$	424,831	\$ 421,243
Advances from FHLB		10,000	15,000
Accrued interest on deposits		18	22
Accrued interest on FHLB advance		7	7
Advances from borrowers for payment of insurance and taxes		332	223
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		2,884	2,593
Total liabilities		438,072	439,088
Commitments and contingencies		-	-
Stockholders' equity			
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized, none issued		-	-
		51	51

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized, 5,149,564 shares

issued at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013; 5,149,564 shares outstanding

at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013

Additional paid-in capital	51,859	51,882
Retained earnings	27,869	27,371
Less shares purchased for stock plans	(3,552)	(3,648)
Accumulated other comprehensive income:		
Unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net of income taxes	(2,848)	(2,113)
Total stockholders' equity	73,379	73,543
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 511,451	\$ 512,631

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

**UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Consolidated Statements of Income  
*(In thousands, except share amounts)*

(In thousands, except per share data)	For the Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
Interest income:		
Loans	\$ 3,097	\$ 3,450
Investments and mortgage-backed securities	662	775
Total interest income	3,759	4,225
Interest expense:		
Deposits	705	956
Borrowed funds	43	47
Total interest expense	748	1,003
Net interest income	3,011	3,222
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	(442)	250
Net interest income after provision for (recovery of) loan losses	3,453	2,972
Other income:		
Service charges	651	621
Gain on sale of loans	87	248
Gain (loss) on sale of other real estate owned	(1)	7
Gain on sale of fixed assets	136	-
Income from bank owned life insurance	108	135
Other	71	56
Total other income	1,052	1,067
Other expense:		
Compensation and employee benefits	1,803	1,809
Premises and occupancy expense	304	339
Deposit insurance premium	97	177
Advertising expense	106	96
Data processing expense	406	373
Provision for loss on real estate owned	1	9
Intangible amortization	39	40
Professional fees	289	302
Other operating expenses	403	272
Total other expense	3,448	3,417
Income before income taxes	1,057	622
Income tax provision	295	128
Net income	\$ 762	\$ 494

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Basic and diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.10
--------------------------------------	---------	---------

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

2

**UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income  
*(In thousands)*

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
Net income	\$ 762	\$ 494
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax		
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale	(735)	633
Reclassification adjustment for gains on securities available for sale included in income	-	-
Total comprehensive income	\$ 27	\$ 1,127

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



**UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)	For the Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 762	\$ 494
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	103	131
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	(442)	250
Deferred loan origination costs	(5)	(36)
Amortization of premium on investments	1,048	681
Proceeds from sale of loans	7,639	6,378
Loans disbursed for sale in the secondary market	(7,218)	(6,539)
Gain on sale of loans	(87)	(248)
Amortization of intangible asset	39	40
Amortization of acquisition-related loan yield adjustment	162	(61)
Amortization of acquisition-related credit risk adjustment	(257)	-
Amortization of acquisition-related CD yield adjustment	-	(4)
Gain on sale of fixed assets	(136)	-
Provision for loss on real estate owned	1	9
(Gain) loss on sale of other real estate owned	1	(7)
Increase(decrease) in cash surrender value of life insurance	(108)	(135)
ESOP shares committed to be released	-	42
Stock-based compensation expense	74	-
Deferred income taxes	(63)	110
Effects of change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accrued interest receivable	(123)	(169)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	278	326
Accrued interest	(4)	(2)
Accrued expenses and other	293	(111)
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,957	1,149
Investing activities:		
Proceeds from sale of available for sale investment securities	45	-
Proceeds from maturity of held to maturity securities	24	22
Proceeds from repayment of mortgage-backed securities available for sale	11,155	6,506
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets	425	-
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	3	41
Purchases of available for sale investment securities	(1,534)	(3,934)
Purchases of mortgage-backed securities available for sale	(18,226)	(17,274)
Net decrease (increase) in loans	7,918	10,325
Purchase of bank owned life insurance	-	182
Capital expenditures	(303)	(12)
Net cash used in investing activities	(493)	(4,144)

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Financing activities:		
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	3,588	6,096
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(5,000)	(250)
Dividends paid to stockholders	(309)	(862)
Net increase (decreases) in advances from borrowers for payment of insurance and taxes	109	203
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,612)	5,187
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(148)	2,192
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	16,787	29,079
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 16,639	\$ 31,271

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

1. **BASIS OF PRESENTATION-** United Community Bancorp, a federal corporation (“old United Community Bancorp”) completed its previously announced conversion from the mutual holding company form of organization to the stock holding company form on January 9, 2013. As a result of the conversion, United Community Bancorp, an Indiana corporation (“United Community Bancorp” or “Company”), became the holding company for United Community Bank (“Bank”), and United Community MHC and old United Community Bancorp, ceased to exist. As part of the conversion, all outstanding shares of old United Community Bancorp common stock (other than those owned by United Community MHC) were converted into the right to receive 0.6573 of a share of United Community Bancorp common stock.

The information in this report for periods prior to the conversion date of January 9, 2013 refers to old United Community Bancorp, except share and per share information which have been restated to give retroactive recognition to the conversion ratio of 0.6573.

The Company, through the Bank, operates in a single business segment providing traditional banking services through its office and branches in southeastern Indiana. UCB Real Estate Management Holding, LLC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank. The entity was formed for the purpose of holding assets that are acquired by the Bank through, or in lieu of, foreclosure. UCB Financial Services, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, was formed for the purpose of collecting commissions on investments referred to Lincoln Financial Group.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and therefore do not include all information or footnotes necessary for complete financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. However, all normal recurring adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements have been included. No other adjustments have been included. The results for the three-month period ended September 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014. These financial statements should be read in conjunction the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes thereto for the year ended June 30, 2013, which are included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 2013.

The Company evaluates events and transactions occurring subsequent to the date of the financial statements for matters requiring recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.

2. **EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLAN (“ESOP”)** As of September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, the ESOP owned 274,307 shares of the Company’s common stock. The shares owned by the ESOP are held in a suspense account until released for allocation to participants.

3. **EARNINGS PER SHARE (“EPS”)** Non-vested shares with non-forfeitable dividend rights are considered participating securities and, thus, subject to the two-class method pursuant to ASC 260, *Earnings per Share*, when computing basic and diluted earnings per share. The Company’s restricted share awards contain non-forfeitable dividend rights but do not contractually obligate the holders to share in the losses of the Company. Accordingly, during periods of net income, unvested restricted shares are included in the determination of both basic and diluted EPS. During periods of net loss, these shares are excluded from both basic and diluted EPS.

Basic EPS is based on the weighted average number of common shares and unvested restricted shares outstanding, adjusted for ESOP shares not yet committed to be released. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock, such as outstanding stock options, were exercised or converted

into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock. Diluted EPS is calculated by adjusting the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding to include the effects of contracts or securities exercisable or which could be converted into common stock, if dilutive, using the treasury stock method. The following is a reconciliation of the basic and diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding:

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
Basic weighted average outstanding shares	4,875,257	5,050,134
Effect of dilutive stock options		
Diluted weighted average outstanding shares	4,875,257	5,050,134

4. **STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION** The Company applies the provisions of ASC 718-10-35-2, *Compensation-Stock Compensation*, to stock-based compensation, which requires the Company to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments and to recognize this cost in the financial statements over the period during which the employee is required to provide such services. The Company has elected to recognize compensation cost associated with its outstanding stock-based compensation awards with graded vesting on an accelerated basis pursuant to ASC 718-10-35-8. The expense is calculated for stock options at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The expense associated with restricted stock awards is calculated based upon the value of the common stock on the date of grant. No stock-based compensation awards were granted during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

5. **DIVIDENDS** On August 22, 2013, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a cash dividend on the Company's outstanding shares of stock of \$0.06 per share. The dividend, totaling \$309,000, was paid on September 16, 2013.

6. **SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION**

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information is as follows:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Income taxes	\$ 362	\$ 33
Interest	\$ 752	\$ 1,005
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities is as follows:		
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities designated as available for sale, net of tax	\$ (735)	\$ 633
Transfers of loans to other real estate owned	\$ -	\$ 600
Beginning of period adjustment from transfer of mortgage servicing rights from amortized cost method to fair value method, net of tax	\$ 45	\$ -

7. **DISCLOSURES ABOUT FAIR VALUE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES - ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures***, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments, both assets and liabilities, whether or not recognized in the consolidated balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate the value. For financial instruments where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are estimated using present value or other valuation methods.

The following methods and assumptions are used in estimating the fair values of financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents

The carrying values presented in the consolidated statements of position approximate fair value.

Investments and mortgage-backed securities

For investment securities (debt instruments) and mortgage-backed securities, fair values are based on quoted market prices, where available. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices of comparable instruments.

Loans receivable

The fair value of the loan portfolio is estimated by evaluating homogeneous categories of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by types, such as residential mortgage, commercial real estate, and consumer. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and adjustable rate interest, terms, and by performing and non-performing categories. The fair value of performing loans, except residential mortgage loans, is calculated by discounting contractual cash flows using estimated market discount rates which reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan. For performing residential mortgage loans, fair value is estimated by discounting contractual cash flows adjusted for prepayment estimates using discount rates based on secondary market sources. The fair value for significant non-performing loans is based on recent internal or external appraisals. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flow, and discount rates are judgmentally determined by using available market information.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank system and is required to maintain an investment based upon a pre-determined formula. The carrying values presented in the consolidated statements of position approximate fair value.

Deposits

The fair values of passbook accounts, NOW accounts, and money market savings and demand deposits approximate their carrying values. The fair values of fixed maturity certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently offered for deposits of similar maturities.

Advance from Federal Home Loan Bank

The fair value is calculated using rates available to the Company on advances with similar terms and remaining maturities.

Off-balance sheet items

Carrying value is a reasonable estimate of fair value. These instruments are generally variable rate or short-term in nature, with minimal fees charged.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013 are as follows:

	September 30, 2013		June 30, 2013	
	Carrying Amounts	Fair Value	Carrying Amounts	Fair Value
	(In thousands)			
Financial assets:				
Cash and due from banks	\$ 16,639	\$ 16,639	\$ 16,787	\$ 16,787
Investment securities available for sale	33,174	33,174	32,013	32,013
Investment securities held to maturity	393	393	417	417
Mortgage-backed securities	175,261	175,261	170,117	170,117
Loans receivable and loans receivable held for sale	247,285	247,763	254,995	253,472
Accrued interest receivable	1,759	1,759	1,636	1,636
Investment in FHLB stock	6,588	6,588	6,588	6,588





Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

ASC 820-10-50-2 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1            Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2            Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
- Level 3            Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Fair value methods and assumptions are set forth below for each type of financial instrument. Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 2 securities include U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed securities, U.S. Government agency bonds, municipal securities, and other real estate owned. If quoted market prices are not available, the Bank utilizes a third party vendor to calculate the fair value of its available for sale securities. The third party vendor uses quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics when available. If such quotes are not available, the third party vendor uses pricing models or discounted cash flow models with observable inputs to determine the fair value of these securities.

Fair value measurements for certain assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	Total (In thousands)	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant other unobservable inputs (Level 3)
September 30, 2013:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 175,261	\$	\$ 175,261	\$
Municipal bonds	33,010		33,010	
Other equity securities	164	164		
Mortgage servicing rights <sup>(1)</sup>	641		641	
June 30, 2013:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 170,117	\$	\$ 170,117	\$
Municipal bonds	31,851		31,851	
Other equity securities	162	162		

<sup>(1)</sup> Effective July 1, 2013, the Company changed its accounting method for mortgage servicing rights from the amortization method to the fair value measurement method, as permitted in accordance with FASB ASC 860-50, "Servicing Assets and Liabilities". In accordance with ASC 860-50, the Company recorded an adjustment at the beginning of the period to retained earnings for the value of such servicing rights at that date.

Fair value measurements for certain assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis:

Total

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant other unobservable inputs (Level 3)
	(In thousands)			
September 30, 2013:				
Other real estate owned	\$ 613	\$	\$ 613	\$
Loans held for sale	83		83	
Impaired loans	20,661		20,661	
June 30, 2013:				
Other real estate owned	\$ 618	\$	\$ 618	\$
Loans held for sale	417		417	
Impaired loans	23,920		23,920	

The adjustments to other real estate owned and impaired loans are based primarily on appraisals of the real estate, cash flow analysis or other observable market prices. The Bank's policy is that fair values for these assets are based on current appraisals or cash flow analysis.

The following table presents fair value measurements for the Company's financial instruments which are not recognized at fair value in the accompanying statements of financial position on a recurring or nonrecurring basis.

	Total	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant other unobservable inputs (Level 3)
September 30, 2013:				
Financial assets:				
Cash and interest bearing deposits	\$ 16,639	\$ 16,639	\$	\$
Investment securities held to maturity	393		393	
Loans receivable and loans held for sale	247,763		247,763	
Accrued interest receivable	1,759		1,759	
Investment in FHLB stock	6,588		6,588	
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	426,396		426,396	
Accrued interest payable	25		25	
FHLB advances	9,881		9,881	
June 30, 2013:				
Financial assets:				
Cash and interest bearing deposits	\$ 16,787	\$ 16,787	\$	\$
Investment securities held to maturity	417		417	
Loans receivable and loans held for sale	253,472		253,472	
Accrued interest receivable	1,636		1,636	
Investment in FHLB stock	6,588		6,588	
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	422,987		422,987	
Accrued interest payable	29		29	
FHLB advances	14,850		14,850	

## 8. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Investment securities available for sale at September 30, 2013 consisted of the following:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 178,533	\$ 213	\$ 3,485	\$ 175,261
Municipal bonds	34,351	201	1,542	33,010
Other equity securities	210		46	164
	\$ 213,094	\$ 414	\$ 5,073	\$ 208,435

Investment securities held to maturity at September 30, 2013 consisted of the following:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
Municipal Bonds	\$ 393	\$	\$	\$ 393

Investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2013 consisted of the following:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 172,478	\$ 181	\$ 2,542	\$ 170,117
Municipal bonds	32,894	239	1,282	31,851
Other equity securities	210		48	162
	\$ 205,582	\$ 420	\$ 3,872	\$ 202,130

Investment securities held to maturity at June 30, 2013 consisted of the following:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
Municipal Bonds	\$ 417	\$	\$	\$ 417

The mortgage-backed securities, callable bonds and municipal bonds available for sale have the following maturities at September 30, 2013:

	Amortized cost	Estimated market value
Due or callable in one year or less	\$ -	\$ -
Due or callable in 1 - 5 years	136,834	134,839
Due or callable in 5 - 10 years	66,609	64,641
Due or callable in greater than 10 years	9,441	8,791
Total debt securities	\$ 212,884	\$ 208,271

All other securities available for sale at September 30, 2013 are saleable within one year. The Bank held \$393,000 and \$417,000 in investment securities that are being held to maturity at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, respectively. The investment securities held to maturity have annual returns of principal and will be fully matured

between 2014 and 2019.

10

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The expected returns of principal of investments held to maturity are as follows as of September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

October 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014	\$25
2015	117
2016	56
2017	61
2018	65
2018 and thereafter	69
	\$393

Gross proceeds on the sale of investment and mortgage-backed securities were \$45,000 and \$-0- for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There were no gross realized gains or losses for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

The table below indicates the length of time individual investment securities and mortgage-backed securities have been in a continuous loss position at September 30, 2013:

	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
	(In thousands)					
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 130,399	\$ 3,150	\$ 18,939	\$ 335	\$ 149,338	\$ 3,485
Municipal bonds	26,503	1,541	238	1	26,741	1,542
Other equity securities	-	-	164	46	164	46
	\$ 156,902	\$ 4,691	\$ 19,341	\$ 382	\$ 176,243	\$ 5,073
Number of investments	93		8		101	

Securities available for sale are reviewed for possible other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis. During this review, management considers the severity and duration of the unrealized losses as well as its intent and ability to hold the securities until recovery, taking into account balance sheet management strategies and its market view and outlook. Management also assesses the nature of the unrealized losses taking into consideration factors such as changes in risk-free interest rates, general credit spread widening, market supply and demand, creditworthiness of the issuer or any credit enhancement providers, and the quality of the underlying collateral. Management does not intend to sell these securities in the foreseeable future, and does not believe that it is more likely than not that the Bank will be required to sell a security in an unrealized loss position prior to a recovery in its value. The decline in market value is due to changes in market interest rates. The fair values are expected to recover as the securities approach maturity dates.

## 9. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSET

In June 2010, old United Community Bancorp acquired three branches from Integra Bank National Association (“Integra”), which was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Under the purchase method, the Company is required to allocate the cost of an acquired company to the assets acquired, including identified intangible assets, and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The excess cost over the value of net assets acquired represents goodwill, which is not subject to amortization.

Goodwill arising from business combinations represents the value attributable to unidentifiable intangible elements in the business acquired. Goodwill recorded by the Company in connection with its acquisition relates to the inherent

value in the business acquired and this value is dependent upon the Company's ability to provide quality, cost-effective services in a competitive market place. As such, goodwill value is supported ultimately by revenue that is driven by the volume of business transacted. A decline in earnings as a result of a lack of growth or the inability to deliver cost-effective services over sustained periods can lead to impairment of goodwill that could adversely impact earnings in future periods.

As permitted by current accounting rules, the Company completed its qualitative assessment to determine whether current events or changes in circumstances lead to a determination that it is more likely than not, as defined, that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. Based upon the Company's assessment, there was no such determination that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. Accordingly, the Company did not apply the traditional two-step goodwill impairment test.

The following table indicates changes to the core deposit intangible asset and goodwill balances for the three-month period ended September 30, 2013:

	Core Deposit Intangible ( in thousands)	Goodwill
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 690	\$ 2,522
Amortization	(39)	-
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$ 651	\$ 2,522

The core deposit intangible is being amortized using the double declining balance method over its estimated useful life of 8.75 years. Remaining amortization of the core deposit intangible is as follows (dollars in thousands) as of September 30, 2013:

October 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014	\$ 104
2015	118
2016	117
2017	117
2018	117
2019	78
	\$ 651



10. DISCLOSURES ABOUT THE CREDIT QUALITY OF LOANS RECEIVABLE AND THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (IN THOUSANDS)

The following tables illustrate certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-11B(c), (g) and (h), the changes to the allowance for loan losses, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Allowance for Credit Losses and Recorded Investment in Loans Receivable

	One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage	Consumer	One- to Four- Family Non-owner Occupied Mortgage	Multi- family Non- owner Occupied Mortgage	Non- Residential Real estate	Constructi band		Commercial and Agricultur total	
Allowance for Credit Losses:									
Balance, July 1, 2013:	\$ 942	\$ 553	\$ 215	\$ 1,286	\$ 2,386	\$ 10	\$ 17	\$ 34	\$ 5,443
Charge offs	(46)	(22)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(68)
Recoveries	126	14	1	391	1	-	12	-	545
Provision (credit)	(44)	37	3	(423)	8	(6)	(12)	(5)	(442)
Ending Balance:	\$ 978	\$ 582	\$ 219	\$ 1,254	\$ 2,395	\$ 4	\$ 17	\$ 29	\$ 5,478
Balance, Individually Evaluated	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7	\$ 205	\$ 120	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 332
Balance, Collectively Evaluated	\$ 978	\$ 582	\$ 212	\$ 1,049	\$ 2,275	\$ 4	\$ 17	\$ 29	\$ 5,146
Financing receivables:									
Ending balance	\$ 108,482	\$ 35,110	\$ 15,973	\$ 29,380	\$ 51,352	\$ 3,742	\$ 3,401	\$ 7,162	\$ 254,602
Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,286	\$ 513	\$ 1,363	\$ 7,631	\$ 7,177	\$ -	\$ 22	\$ -	\$ 20,992
Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 94,284	\$ 30,045	\$ 13,967	\$ 21,749	\$ 40,844	\$ 3,742	\$ 3,273	\$ 5,898	\$ 213,802
Ending Balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ 9,912	\$ 4,552	\$ 643	\$ -	\$ 3,331	\$ -	\$ 106	\$ 1,264	\$ 19,808



Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

For the year ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Allowance for Credit Losses and Recorded Investment in Loans Receivable

	One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage	Consumer	One- to Four-family Non-owner Occupied Mortgage	Multi- family Non- owner Occupied Mortgage	Non- Residential Real estate	Constructi on	and	Commercial and Agricultur al	Total
Allowance for Credit Losses:									
Beginning balance:	\$ 666	\$ 477	\$ 236	\$ 1,915	\$ 2,282	\$ 3	\$ 11	\$ 24	\$ 5,614
Charge offs	(254)	(165)	(68)	-	(457)	-	-	-	(944)
Recoveries	34	75	63	660	4	-	-	3	839
Provision (credit)	496	166	(16)	(1,289)	557	7	6	7	(66)
Ending Balance:	\$ 942	\$ 553	\$ 215	\$ 1,286	\$ 2,386	\$ 10	\$ 17	\$ 34	\$ 5,443
Balance, Individually Evaluated	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7	\$ 205	\$ 120	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 332
Balance, Collectively Evaluated	\$ 942	\$ 553	\$ 208	\$ 1,081	\$ 2,266	\$ 10	\$ 17	\$ 34	\$ 5,111
Financing receivables:									
Ending balance	\$ 111,404	\$ 35,699	\$ 16,655	\$ 32,306	\$ 51,902	\$ 2,200	\$ 3,435	\$ 7,115	\$ 260,716
Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 5,121	\$ 535	\$ 1,370	\$ 9,951	\$ 7,251	\$ -	\$ 24	\$ -	\$ 24,252
Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 95,779	\$ 30,406	\$ 14,628	\$ 22,355	\$ 41,265	\$ 2,200	\$ 3,304	\$ 5,816	\$ 215,753
Ending Balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ 10,504	\$ 4,758	\$ 657	\$ -	\$ 3,386	\$ -	\$ 107	\$ 1,299	\$ 20,711

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The following tables illustrate certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-29(b).

Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade  
At September 30, 2013  
(in thousands)

Grade:	One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage	Consumer Mortgage	One- to Four-family Non-owner Occupied Mortgage	Multi-family Non-owner Occupied Mortgage	Non- Residential Real estate	Construction	Land	Commercial and Agricultural	Total
Pass	\$ 97,481	\$ 33,401	\$ 9,649	\$ 16,223	\$ 28,680	\$ 3,742	\$ 2,344	\$ 5,383	\$ 196,903
Watch	6,049	961	4,678	5,176	11,997		866	1,779	31,506
Special mention	666	235	283	350	3,396		168		5,098
Substandard	4,286	513	1,363	7,631	7,279		23		21,095
Total:	\$ 108,482	\$ 35,110	\$ 15,973	\$ 29,380	\$ 51,352	\$ 3,742	\$ 3,401	\$ 7,162	\$ 254,602

Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade  
At June 30, 2013  
(in thousands)

Grade:	One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage	Consumer Mortgage	One- to Four-family Non-owner Occupied Mortgage	Multi-family Non-owner Occupied Mortgage	Non- Residential Real estate	Construction	Land	Commercial and Agricultural	Total
Pass	\$ 99,494	\$ 34,506	\$ 10,909	\$ 16,900	\$ 26,340	\$ 2,200	\$ 2,364	\$ 5,691	\$ 198,404
Watch	6,033	641	3,988	5,102	14,866		861	1,414	32,905
Special mention	756	17	388	353	3,343		186		5,043
Substandard	5,121	535	1,370	9,951	7,353		24	10	24,364
Total:	\$ 111,404	\$ 35,699	\$ 16,655	\$ 32,306	\$ 51,902	\$ 2,200	\$ 3,435	\$ 7,115	\$ 260,716

The following tables illustrate certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-7A for gross loans.

Age Analysis of Past Due Loans Receivable  
At September 30, 2013  
(in thousands)

	30-59 days past due	60-89 days past due	Greater than 90 days	Total past due	Total current	Total loans receivable
Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied	\$ 1,971	\$ 721	\$ 931	\$ 3,623	\$ 104,859	\$ 108,482
Consumer	138	281	5	424	34,686	35,110

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage	377	283	-	660	15,313	15,973
Multi-family Residential Real Estate Mortgage	109	-	-	109	29,271	29,380
Non-Residential Real Estate Construction	894	114	719	1,727	49,625	51,352
Land	-	-	-	-	3,742	3,742
Commercial and Agricultural	16	-	-	16	3,385	3,401
Total	10	-	-	10	7,152	7,162
	\$ 3,515	\$ 1,399	\$ 1,655	\$ 6,569	\$ 248,033	\$ 254,602

15

Age Analysis of Past Due Loans Receivable  
At June 30, 2013  
(in thousands)

	30-59 days past due	60-89 days past due	Greater than 90 days	Total past due	Total current	Total loans receivable
Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied	\$ 1,748	\$ 706	\$ 889	\$ 3,343	\$ 108,061	\$ 111,404
Consumer	202	68	8	278	35,421	35,699
One- to Four- Family Non-Owner-Occupied Mortgage	54	388		442	16,213	16,655
Multi-family Residential Real Estate Mortgage	110		2,263	2,373	29,933	32,306
Nonresidential Real Estate Construction	286	18	719	1,023	50,879	51,902
Land					2,200	2,200
Commercial and Agricultural	7			7	3,435	3,435
Total	\$ 2,407	\$ 1,180	\$ 3,879	\$ 7,466	\$ 253,250	\$ 260,716

The following table illustrates certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-15.

Impaired Loans  
(in thousands)

	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Specific allowance	For the three months ended September 30, 2013 Interest income recognized	Average Recorded investment
With a related allowance recorded:					
Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-
One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage	332	339	(7)	5	333
Multifamily Residential Real Estate Mortgage	3,239	3,444	(205)	25	3,261
Non-Residential Real Estate Construction	1,871	1,991	(120)	17	1,876
Land	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial and Agricultural	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 5,442	\$ 5,774	\$ (332)	\$ 47	\$ 5,470

Impaired Loans  
(in thousands)

For the three months  
ended September 30,  
2013

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Specific allowance	Interest income recognized	Average Recorded investment
With no related allowance recorded:					
Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied	\$ 4,286	\$ 4,961	\$ -	\$ 20	\$ 4,704
Consumer	513	1,093	-	6	524
One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage	1,025	1,110	-	8	1,027
Multifamily Residential Real Estate Mortgage	4,187	5,903	-	57	5,325
Non-Residential Real Estate Construction	5,185	9,175	-	23	5,218
Land	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial and Agricultural	23	30	-	-	24
Total	\$ 15,219	\$ 22,279	\$ -	\$ 114	\$ 16,822

Impaired Loans  
(in thousands)

				For the three months ended September 30, 2013	
	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Specific allowance	Interest income recognized	Average Recorded investment
Total:					
Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied	\$ 4,286	\$ 4,961	\$ -	\$ 20	\$ 4,704
Consumer	513	1,093	-	6	524
One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage	1,357	1,449	(7)	13	1,360
Multifamily Residential Real Estate Mortgage	7,426	9,347	(205)	82	8,586
Non-Residential Real Estate	7,056	11,166	(120)	40	7,094
Construction	-	-	-	-	-
Land	23	30	-	-	24
Commercial and Agricultural	-	7	-	-	-
Total	\$ 20,661	\$ 28,053	\$ (332)	\$ 161	\$ 22,292

Impaired Loans

				For the year ended June 30, 2013	
	Recorded investment (in thousands)	Unpaid principal balance	Specific allowance	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment
With an allowance recorded:					
One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied Consumer	\$	\$	\$		\$ 20
One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage	334	341	(7)	21	405
Multi-family Residential Real Estate Mortgage	3,283	3,488	(205)	103	3,775
Nonresidential Real Estate	1,880	2,000	(120)	71	3,397
Construction					
Land					
Commercial and Agricultural					
Total	\$ 5,497	\$ 5,829	\$ (332)	\$ 195	\$ 7,597



Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

				For the year ended June 30, 2013	
	Recorded investment (in thousands)	Unpaid principal balance	Specific allowance	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment
Without an allowance recorded:					
Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied	\$ 5,121	\$ 5,876	\$	\$ 65	\$ 5,799
Consumer	535	1,116		26	521
One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage	1,029	1,114		26	657
Multi-family Residential Real Estate Mortgage	6,463	8,570		219	7,855
Non-residential Real Estate Construction	5,251	9,239		2,118	3,480
Land	24	45		27	26
Commercial and Agricultural		7		195	120
Total	\$ 18,423	\$ 25,967	\$	\$ 2,676	\$ 18,458

				For the year ended June 30, 2013	
	Recorded investment (in thousands)	Unpaid principal balance	Specific allowance	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment
Total:					
Mortgage One- to Four- Family - Owner-Occupied	\$ 5,121	\$ 5,876	\$	\$ 65	\$ 5,819
Consumer	535	1,116		26	521
One- to Four- Family Non-Owner Occupied Mortgage	1,363	1,455	(7)	47	1,062
Multifamily Residential Real Estate Mortgage	9,746	12,058	(205)	322	11,630
Nonresidential Real Estate Construction	7,131	11,239	(120)	2,189	6,877
Land	24	45		27	26
Commercial and Agricultural		7		195	120
Total	\$ 23,920	\$ 31,796	\$ (332)	\$ 2,871	\$ 26,055

The Bank did not have any investments in subprime loans at September 30, 2013. Impaired loans at September 30, 2013 included troubled debt restructurings with an aggregate principal balance of \$15.8 million and a recorded investment of \$15.6 million. See Note 11 for a discussion on troubled debt restructurings.

11. TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURINGS - From time to time, as part of our loss mitigation process, loans may be renegotiated in a troubled debt restructuring (“TDR”) when we determine that greater economic value will ultimately be recovered under the new restructured terms than through foreclosure, liquidation, or bankruptcy. We may consider the borrower’s payment status and history, the borrower’s ability to pay upon a rate reset on an adjustable rate mortgage, size of the payment increase upon a rate reset, period of time remaining prior to the rate reset, and other relevant factors in determining whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty. TDRs are accounted for as set

forth in ASC 310-40 *Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors* (“ASC 310-40”). A TDR may be on nonaccrual or it may accrue interest. A TDR is typically on non-accrual until the borrower successfully performs under the new terms for at least six consecutive months. However, a TDR may be placed on accrual immediately following the restructuring in those instances where a borrower’s payments are current prior to the modification, the loan is restructured at a market rate and management determines that principal and interest under the new terms are fully collectible. All TDRs are considered to be impaired loans. A TDR will be removed from TDR classification if it is restructured at a market rate, is not impaired under restructured terms and has been performing for at least twelve consecutive months.

Existing performing loan customers who request a loan (non-TDR) modification and who meet the Bank's underwriting standards may, usually for a fee, modify their original loan terms to terms currently offered. The modified terms of these loans are similar to the terms offered to new customers with similar credit risk. The fee assessed for modifying the loan is deferred and amortized over the life of the modified loan using the level-yield method and is reflected as an adjustment to interest income. Each modification is examined on a loan-by-loan basis and if the modification of terms represents more than a minor change to the loan, then the unamortized balance of the pre-modification deferred fees or costs associated with the mortgage loan are recognized in interest income at the time of the modification. If the modification of terms does not represent more than a minor change to the loan, then the unamortized balance of the pre-modification deferred fees or costs continue to be deferred.

The following tables summarize TDRs by loan type and accrual status.

(In thousands)	At September 30, 2013						
	Loan Status		Total Unpaid	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Number of Loans	Average Recorded Investment
	Accrual	Nonaccrual	Principal Balance				
One- to Four-Family residential real estate	\$ 2,048	\$ 1,624	\$ 3,672	\$ 7	\$ 3,666	25	\$ 4,137
Multi-family residential real estate	5,799	-	5,799	20	5,778	11	6,924
Nonresidential real estate	3,629	2,664	6,293	120	6,173	13	6,205
Total	\$ 11,476	\$ 4,288	\$ 15,764	\$ 147	\$ 15,617	49	\$ 17,266

(In thousands)	At June 30, 2013						
	Loan Status		Total Unpaid	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Number of Loans	Average Recorded Investment
	Accrual	Nonaccrual	Principal Balance				
One- to Four-Family residential real estate	\$ 2,061	\$ 2,554	\$ 4,615	7	\$ 4,608	27	\$ 4,779
Multi-family residential real estate	5,827	2,263	8,090	20	8,070	12	9,935
Nonresidential real estate	3,656	2,701	6,357	120	6,237	13	5,941
Total	\$ 11,544	\$ 7,518	\$ 19,062	\$ 147	\$ 18,915	52	\$ 20,655

Interest income recognized on TDRs is as follows:

	For the three months ended	
	September 30, 2013	2012
One- to Four-Family residential real estate	\$ 20	\$ 17
Multifamily residential real estate	82	86
Nonresidential real estate	39	20
Construction	-	-
Commercial	-	-
Consumer	-	-
Total	\$ 141	\$ 123

At September 30, 2013, the Bank had 49 loans totaling \$15.8 million that qualified as TDRs, and has established an allowance for losses on these loans of \$147,000. With respect to the \$15.8 million in TDRs, the Bank charged off \$5.1 million with respect to these loans at the time these loans were restructured into the Note A/B format. At June 30, 2013, the Bank had 52 loans totaling \$19.1 million that qualified as TDRs, and has established an allowance for losses on these loans of \$147,000. With respect to the \$19.1 million in TDRs, the Bank charged off \$5.1 million with respect to these loans at the time these loans were restructured into the Note A/B format. At September 30, 2013, the Bank had no other commitments to lend on its TDRs. Management continues to monitor the performance of loans classified as TDRs on a monthly basis.

Loans that were included in TDRs at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013 were generally given concessions of interest rate reductions of between 25 and 300 basis points, and/or structured as interest only payment loans for periods of one to three years. Many of these loans also have balloon payments due at the end of their lowered rate period, requiring the borrower to refinance at market rates at that time. At September 30, 2013, there were 45 loans with required principal and interest payments and four loans with required interest only payments. At June 30, 2013, there were 47 loans with required principal and interest payments and 5 loans with required interest only payments.

The following table is a roll forward of activity in our TDRs:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	
	Recorded Investment	Number of Loans
(Dollar amounts in thousands)		
Beginning balance	\$ 18,915	52
Additions to TDR	-	-
Charge-offs	(24)	-
Removal of TDRs <sup>(1)</sup>	(3,151)	(3)
Payments	(123)	-
Ending balance	\$ 15,617	49

<sup>(1)</sup> The removal of these loans from TDR was due to the payoff of the loans during the quarter ended September 30, 2013.

## 12. EFFECT OF RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, *Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists*, which amends ASC 740, Income Taxes. The amendments provide guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit, as either a reduction of a deferred tax asset or as a liability, when a net operating loss carryforward, similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013 and may be applied on either a prospective or retrospective basis. We do not expect the adoption of these provisions to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**Item 2. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations****Forward-Looking Statements**

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on assumptions and may describe future plans, strategies and expectations of the Company. These forward-looking statements are generally identified by use of the words “believe,” “expect,” “intend,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “project” or similar expressions. The Company’s ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Company and its subsidiaries include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions, changes in the interest rate environment, legislative or regulatory changes that may adversely affect our business, changes in accounting policies and practices, changes in competition and demand for financial services, adverse changes in the securities markets, changes in deposit flows, and changes in the quality or composition of the Company’s loan or investment portfolios. Additionally, other risks and uncertainties may be described in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 2013, which is available through the SEC’s website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Except as required by applicable law or regulation, the Company does not undertake the responsibility, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to release publicly the result of any revisions that may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of the statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

**Critical Accounting Policies**

We consider accounting policies involving significant judgments and assumptions by management that have, or could have, a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets or on income to be critical accounting policies. We consider the following to be our critical accounting policies: the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of deferred income taxes.

**ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES** - The allowance for loan losses is the amount estimated by management as necessary to cover probable credit losses in the loan portfolio at the statement of financial condition date. The allowance is established through the provision for loan losses, which is charged to income. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses necessarily involves a high degree of judgment. Among the material estimates required to establish the allowance are: loss exposure at default; the amount and timing of future cash flows on affected loans; and the value of collateral. Inherent loss factors based upon environmental and other economic factors are then applied to the remaining loan portfolio. All of these estimates are susceptible to significant change. Management reviews the level of the allowance at least quarterly and establishes the provision for loan losses based upon an evaluation of the portfolio, past loss experience, current economic conditions and other factors related to the collectibility of the loan portfolio. Although we believe that we use the best information available to establish the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the evaluation. In addition, the OCC, as an integral part of its examination process, periodically reviews our allowance for loan losses. Such agency may require us to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on its judgments about information available to it at the time of its examination. A large loss could deplete the allowance and require increased provisions to replenish the allowance, which would negatively affect earnings. For additional discussion, see notes 1 and 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 2013.

**DEFERRED INCOME TAXES** - We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes as prescribed in Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 740-10-50. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. If current available information raises doubt as to the realization of the deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance is established. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. We exercise significant judgment in evaluating the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting tax liabilities and assets. These judgments require us to make projections of future taxable income. The judgments and estimates we make in determining our deferred tax assets, which are inherently subjective, are reviewed on a continual basis as regulatory and business factors change. Any reduction in estimated future taxable income may require us to record a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. A valuation allowance would result in additional income tax expense in the period, which would negatively affect earnings. United Community Bancorp referred to as the Company, accounts for income taxes under the provisions of ASC 275-10-50-8 to account for uncertainty in income taxes. The Company had no unrecognized tax benefits as of September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. The Company recognized no interest and penalties on the underpayment of income taxes during the three month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and had no accrued interest and penalties on the balance sheet as of September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. The Company has no tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase with the next fiscal year. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for tax years ending on or before June 30, 2009.

**Comparison of Financial Condition at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013**

**Balance Sheet Analysis**

Total assets were \$511.5 million at September 30, 2013, compared to \$512.6 million at June 30, 2013. A \$7.4 million decrease in loans was partially offset by a \$6.3 million increase in investment securities. The decrease in loans was primarily the result of net payoffs totaling \$5.6 million in one- to four-family real estate loans and \$2.1 million in commercial real estate loans during the quarter ended September 30, 2013. The increase in investment securities was the result of redeploying proceeds from the payoff of loans into purchases of mortgage-backed securities and available for sale securities.

Total liabilities were \$438.1 million at September 30, 2013, compared to \$439.1 million at June 30, 2013, reflecting a \$5.0 million decrease in FHLB advances partially offset by a \$3.6 million increase in deposits. The decrease in FHLB advances is due to a \$5.0 million payment on a short-term advance in July 2013. The increase in deposits was primarily due to a \$11.9 million increase in municipal deposits, offset by an \$8.3 million decrease in retail deposits due to declining certificate of deposit rates.

Total stockholders' equity was \$73.4 million at September 30, 2013, compared to \$73.5 million at June 30, 2013. The decrease was primarily the result of a \$735,000 after-tax increase in unrealized loss on investments and dividends paid of \$309,000, partially offset by net income of \$762,000.

**Loans.** At September 30, 2013, one- to four- family residential loans totaled \$124.5 million, or 48.9% of total gross loans, compared to \$128.1 million, or 49.1% of total gross loans, at June 30, 2013. The reduction in the one- to four-family residential portfolio was primarily due to net payoffs totaling \$5.6 million in one- to four-family real estate loans during the current year period.

Multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans totaled \$80.7 million and represented 31.7% of total loans at September 30, 2013, compared to \$84.2 million, or 32.3% of total loans, at June 30, 2013. The decrease was primarily attributable to payoffs totaling \$2.8 million and payments totaling \$979,000, partially offset by new loans of \$255,000.

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The following table sets forth the composition of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated.

	At September 30, 2013			At June 30, 2013		
	Amount (Dollars in thousands)	Percent		Amount	Percent	
<b>Residential real estate:</b>						
One- to four-family	\$ 124,455	48.9	%	\$ 128,059	49.1	%
Multi-family	29,380	11.5		32,306	12.4	
Construction	3,742	1.5		2,200	0.8	
Nonresidential real estate	51,352	20.2		51,902	19.9	
Land	3,401	1.3		3,435	1.3	
Commercial business	3,589	1.4		3,556	1.4	
Agricultural	3,573	1.4		3,559	1.4	
<b>Consumer:</b>						
Home equity	31,284	12.3		31,411	12.0	
Auto	1,413	0.6		1,468	0.6	
Share loans	1,291	0.5		1,625	0.6	
Other	1,122	0.4		1,195	0.5	
Total consumer loans	35,110	13.8		35,699	13.7	
Total loans	\$ 254,602	100.0	%	\$ 260,716	100.0	%
<b>Less (plus):</b>						
Deferred loan costs, net	(1,030)			(1,025)		
Undisbursed portion of loans in process	2,952			1,720		
Allowance for loan losses	5,478			5,443		
Loans, net	\$ 247,202			\$ 254,578		

**Loan Maturity**

The following table sets forth certain information at September 30, 2013 regarding the dollar amount of loan principal repayments becoming due during the periods indicated. The table does not include any estimate of prepayments, which significantly shorten the average life of all loans and may cause our actual repayment experience to differ from the contractual requirements shown below. Demand loans having no stated schedule of repayments and no stated maturity is reported as due in one year or less.

	Less Than	More Than	More Than	Total
	One Year (in thousands)	One Year to Five Years	Five Years	Loans
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$ 8,384	\$ 30,122	\$ 85,949	\$ 124,455
Multi-family real estate	1,660	5,471	22,249	29,380
Construction	1,262	-	2,480	3,742
Nonresidential real estate	3,977	18,602	28,773	51,352
Land	1,286	1,173	942	3,401
Commercial	1,032	1,572	985	3,589
Agricultural	276	2,625	672	3,573
Consumer	1,482	3,064	30,564	35,110
Total	\$ 19,359	\$ 62,629	\$ 172,614	\$ 254,602



## Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The following table sets forth the dollar amount of all loans at September 30, 2013 due after September 30, 2014 that have either fixed interest rates or adjustable interest rates. The amounts shown below exclude unearned interest on consumer loans and deferred loan fees.

	Fixed Rates (in thousands)	Floating or Adjustable Rates	Total
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$ 37,108	\$ 78,963	\$ 116,071
Multi-family real estate	8,827	18,893	27,720
Construction	1,724	756	2,480
Nonresidential real estate	10,180	37,195	47,375
Land	254	1,861	2,115
Commercial	745	1,812	2,557
Agricultural	1,062	2,235	3,297
Consumer	1,823	31,805	33,628
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 61,723</b>	<b>\$ 173,520</b>	<b>\$ 235,243</b>

### Loan Activity

The following table shows loan origination, repayment and sale activity during the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	2012
Total loans at beginning of period	\$ 260,716	\$ 288,199
Loans originated (1):		
One- to four-family residential real estate	5,455	9,636
Multi-family residential real estate		
Construction		471
Nonresidential real estate	512	52
Land	26	
Commercial business	17	391
Consumer	554	3,132
Total loans originated	6,564	13,682
Deduct:		
Loan principal repayments	5,460	18,173
Loans originated for sale	7,218	6,539
Net loan activity	(6,114)	(11,030)
Total loans at end of period	\$ 254,602	\$ 277,169

(1) Includes loan renewals, loan refinancings and restructured loans.

**Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012**

**Overview.** Net income increased \$268,000 to \$762,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, compared to net income of \$494,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2012.

**Net Interest Income.** The following table summarizes changes in interest income and interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		% Change	
	2013	2012		
	(Dollars in thousands)			
<b>Interest income:</b>				
Loans	\$ 3,097	\$ 3,450	(10.2)	%
Investment and mortgage backed securities	657	772	(14.9)	
Other interest-earning assets	5	3	66.7	
Total interest income	3,759	4,225	(11.0)	
<b>Interest expense:</b>				
NOW and money market deposit accounts	140	127	10.2	
Passbook accounts	53	104	(49.0)	
Certificates of deposit	512	725	(29.4)	
Total interest-bearing deposits	705	956	(26.3)	
FHLB advances	43	47	(8.5)	
Total interest expense	748	1,003	(25.4)	
Net interest income	\$ 3,011	\$ 3,222	(6.5)	

Net interest income decreased \$211,000, or 6.5%, to \$3.0 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 as compared to \$3.2 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2012. A decrease of \$466,000 in interest income was partially offset by a \$255,000 decrease in interest expense. The decrease in interest income was the result of a \$26.7 million decrease in the average balance of loans and a decrease in the average rate earned on investments from 2.01% at September 30, 2012 to 1.27% at September 30, 2013, partially offset by a \$53.1 million increase in the average balance of investments. The decrease in interest expense was primarily the result of a decrease in the average interest rate paid on deposits from 0.89% at September 30, 2012 to 0.66% at September 30, 2013. Changes in interest rates are reflective of decreases in overall market rates.

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes average balances and average yields and costs of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. For the purposes of this table, average balances have been calculated using month-end balances, and nonaccrual loans are included in average balances only. Yields are not presented on a tax equivalent basis.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			2012		
	Average Balance (Dollars in thousands)	Interest and Dividends	Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest and Dividends	Yield/ Cost
Assets:						
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans	\$ 250,092	\$ 3,097	4.95 %	\$ 276,830	\$ 3,450	4.99 %
Investment securities	207,136	657	1.27	154,006	772	2.01
Other interest-earning assets	18,062	5	0.11	30,972	3	0.04
Total interest-earning assets	475,290	3,759	3.16	461,808	4,225	3.66
Noninterest-earning assets	38,557			36,451		
Total assets	\$ 513,847			\$ 498,259		
Liabilities and equity:						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
NOW and money market deposit accounts	\$ 157,697	140	0.36 %	\$ 156,988	127	0.32 %
Passbook accounts	95,120	53	0.22	81,200	104	0.51
Certificates of deposit	173,392	512	1.18	190,678	725	1.52
Total interest-bearing deposits	426,209	705	0.66	428,866	956	0.89
FHLB advances	11,250	43	1.53	10,708	47	1.76
Total interest-bearing liabilities	437,459	748	0.68	439,574	1,003	0.91
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	3,246			3,509		
Total liabilities	440,705			443,083		
Total stockholders' equity	73,142			55,176		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 513,847			\$ 498,259		
Net interest income		\$ 3,011			\$ 3,222	
Interest rate spread			2.48 %			2.75 %
Net interest margin			2.53 %			2.79 %
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities			108.65 %			105.06 %

**Provision for (Recovery of) Loan Losses.** The recovery of loan losses was \$442,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, compared to a provision for loan losses of \$250,000 for the same quarter in the prior year. The decrease in the provision for loan losses was primarily due to a \$379,000 recovery of a commercial loan and a \$124,000 recovery from two one-to four-family loans, all three of which were paid off during the current year quarter. The decrease in the provision for loan losses is also reflective of continued improvement in our asset quality. Asset quality continues to improve primarily due to the Bank's continuing efforts to resolve asset quality issues. Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets decreased from 3.15% at September 30, 2012 to 1.98% at September 30, 2013.

**Other Income.** The following table summarizes other income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

	Three Months		%	
	Ended September 30, 2013	2012		
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Service charges	\$ 651	\$ 621	4.8	%
Gain on sale of loans	87	248	(64.9)	
Gain (loss) on sale of other real estate owned	(1)	7	(114.3)	
Gain on sale of fixed assets	136	-	100.0	
Income from bank-owned life insurance	108	135	(20.0)	
Other	71	56	26.8	
Total other income	\$ 1,052	\$ 1,067	(1.4)	

Other income remained flat at \$1.1 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012. A \$136,000 increase in gain on sale of fixed assets was offset by a \$161,000 decrease in gain on sale of loans. The increase in gain on sale of fixed assets was the result of the sale of our Osgood branch facility for total proceeds of \$425,000, compared to a net book value of \$289,000, during the current quarter. The decrease in gain on sale of loans is the result of a higher level of refinancing activity during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the current year quarter due to higher loan rates in the current year quarter.

**Noninterest Expense.** The following table shows the components of noninterest expense and the percentage changes for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		% Change	
	2013	2012		
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Compensation and employee benefits	\$ 1,803	\$ 1,809	0.0	%
Premises and occupancy expense	304	339	(10.3)	
Deposit insurance premium	97	177	(45.2)	
Advertising expense	106	96	10.4	
Data processing expense	406	373	8.8	
Provision for loss on real estate owned	1	9	(88.9)	
Intangible amortization	39	40	(2.5)	
Professional fees	289	302	(4.3)	
Other operating expenses	403	272	48.2	
Total noninterest expense	\$ 3,448	\$ 3,417	0.9	%

Noninterest expense remained flat at \$3.4 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012. A \$131,000 increase in other operating expenses was offset by an \$80,000 decrease in deposit insurance premium. The increase in other operating expenses is primarily the result of a \$94,000 increase in loan-related expenses associated with a short-term promotion during the current year quarter.

**Income Taxes.** Income tax expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was \$295,000, compared to \$128,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in income tax expense during the current year compared to the prior year is the result of an increase in income before income taxes combined with a decrease in non-taxable income from bank owned life insurance.

**Analysis of Nonperforming Assets.** We consider foreclosed real estate, repossessed assets, nonaccrual loans, and TDRs that are delinquent or have not been performing in accordance with their restructured terms for a specified period of time to be nonperforming assets.

All of the TDRs at September 30, 2013 represented loan relationships with long-time borrowers. In measuring impairment, management considered the results of independent property appraisals, together with estimated selling expenses, and/or detailed cash flow analyses. At September 30, 2013, 49 loans were considered to be TDRs (with a recorded investment of \$15.6 million) of which 28 loans (with a recorded investment of \$4.3 million) were included in nonperforming assets.

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The following table provides information with respect to our nonperforming assets at the dates indicated.

(Dollars in thousands)	At September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	At June 30, 2013		
Nonaccrual loans:				
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$ 1,975	\$ 1,876		
Multi-family real estate	1,833	1,861		
Nonresidential real estate and land	907	918		
Consumer	513	535		
Total nonaccrual loans	5,228	5,190		
Nonaccrual restructured loans:				
One- to four-family residential real estate	1,624	2,554		
Multi-family real estate	-	2,263		
Nonresidential real estate and land	2,664	2,701		
Total nonaccrual restructured loans	4,288	7,518		
Total nonperforming loans	9,516	12,708		
Real estate owned	613	618		
Total nonperforming assets	10,129	\$ 13,326		
Accruing restructured loans	11,476	11,543		
Accruing restructured loans and nonperforming assets	\$ 21,605	\$ 24,869		
Total nonperforming loans to total loans	3.74	%	4.87	%
Total nonperforming loans to total assets	1.86	%	2.48	
Total nonperforming assets to total assets	1.98	%	2.60	
Total number of nonperforming loans	76	79		

The decrease in nonperforming loans is primarily due to the payoff of a multifamily loan with a carrying value of \$2.3 million and of two one- to four-family loans with a total carrying value of \$887,000 during the quarter ended September 30, 2013.

Interest income that would have been recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2013 had nonaccruing loans been current according to their original terms was \$110,000. Interest recognized on the cash basis with regard to nonaccrual restructured loans was \$60,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

At September 30, 2013, the percentage of nonperforming assets to total assets fell below 2%. A discussion of United Community Bank's largest loans that were reported as nonperforming at either September 30, 2013 or June 30, 2013 are described below in the narratives regarding the "Loan Relationships". Some of the Loan Relationships include loans that were restructured using the "Note A/B split note strategy" for which the amount of the Note B loan has been charged-off, with the borrower remaining responsible for that charged off amount.

For purposes of this discussion, only the Note A loans are identified by a Loan number within each Loan Relationship (such as "Loan A-1", "Loan A-2", and "Loan A-3").

The five largest nonaccrual loans at September 30, 2013 were comprised of the loans in Loan Relationships B, G, I, J and L. Loan A-1 of Loan Relationship A, which was reported as nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2013, was paid in full as of September 30, 2013. With the payoff of Loan A-1, Loan Relationship L was added to the narratives below. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, the five largest charge-offs were comprised of loans in Loan Relationships B, E, F, H and K. Management monitors the performance of all of these loans and reviews all options available to keep the loans current, including further restructuring of the loans. If restructuring efforts ultimately are not successful,

management will initiate foreclosure proceedings. Loan Relationship C, which was referred to in the Company's prior filings, has been removed from the Loan Relationship narrative at September 30, 2013, because 17 of the 18 properties that were part of that relationship have been sold or refinanced with another bank, and subsequent to the end of the September quarter the one other property was sold. The Bank did not incur any additional losses when these 18 properties were either sold or refinanced with another bank.

Loan Relationship A. At September 30, 2013, this Loan Relationship consisted of one loan (Loan A-2) that had an aggregate carrying value of \$1.61 million. Loan A-2 is secured by a first mortgage on two mobile home parks. At September 30, 2013 and at June 30, 2013, Loan A-2 is included in the above table in “Accruing restructured loans” due to its restructuring described in the paragraph that follows, and was classified as “Multi-Family Residential Real Estate, Substandard” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At June 30, 2013, this Loan Relationship consisted of two loans (Loans A-1 and A-2) which had an aggregate carrying value of \$3.9 million. At June 30, 2013, Loan A-1 is included in the above table in “Nonaccrual restructured loans, Multi-family real estate.” During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, Loans A-1 and A-2 reverted to interest rate required by the terms of the original adjustable rate loan. At September 30, 2013, Loan A-2 was performing in accordance with its original terms. At September 30, 2013, Loan A-1 is not included in the above table because the loan was paid off during that quarter, with its full carrying value of \$2.26 million, plus the \$379,000 charge off relating to the loan, being recovered. As described below, the Loan Relationship had previously included a third loan, Loan A-3, which had previously been restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy. Loan A-3, was paid off during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, with the full carrying value of Note A and Note B loans, \$994,000 and \$651,000, respectively, being recovered. Accordingly, Loan A-3 is not included in the above table at September 30, 2013 or at June 30, 2013. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship A follows.

The loans comprising Loan Relationship A were originally restructured in October and November, 2010. At the time of the first restructuring in 2010, Loan A-1, had a carrying value of \$3.0 million, was 180 days delinquent, and Loans A-2 and A-3 were performing in accordance with their original terms. Management performed a global analysis of the borrowers and restructured each of the three loans by reducing the original loan rates by 125 to 225 basis points to a rate that was 25 basis points below market rate. Foregone interest income amounted to \$51,000 on the two performing loans that were restructured. The borrowers paid a loan modification fee of \$3,000 for this restructuring. After the effect of restating the June 30, 2010 financial statements, management established a specific allocation on these three loans through a charge-off to the general allowance for loan losses of \$1.1 million at June 30, 2010. On each of the three loans, one of the borrowers is a corporate entity. Also, on the three loans, each of the principals of the corporate borrowers individually signed as co-borrowers. At the time of the restructuring, the Bank analyzed the personal net worth, liquid net worth, debt to income ratios and credit scores of the co-borrowers. While the co-borrowers were not expected to cover a total loss on the loans, management believed the co-borrowers would mitigate the amount of the potential future losses. In March 2011, Loan A-3 was again restructured through a troubled debt restructuring as a result of the borrower experiencing cash flow problems during the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The cash flow problems experienced were the combined effect of decreased rental income and the failure to pay real estate property taxes. However, due to certain financial difficulties experienced by the co-borrowers, including the cash flow problems of the subject properties and a decrease in other outside sources of income, the co-borrowers were unable to mitigate the losses on the loan. Based upon a cash flow analysis of the properties performed by management, \$651,000 of the \$6.4 million in loans was charged-off during the restructuring using the Note A/B split note strategy. This split was done for one loan that had a balance of \$1.6 million before the split. After the split, the Note A loan, Loan A-3, had a balance of \$994,000 and Note B loan had a balance of \$651,000. Prior to the loan being restructured in March 2011, the restructured loan carried a \$650,000 specific reserve as restated on the Company’s Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended June 30, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 28, 2012 that was included in Note B loan and charged-off.

Loan Relationship B. At September 30, 2013, this Loan Relationship consisted of four loans (two Note A loans, Loan B-1 and Loan B-2, and two Note B loans) having an aggregate carrying value of \$1.4 million. At June 30, 2013, the aggregate carrying value of the loans was \$1.4 million. At September 30, 2013, Loan B-1 which, as described in further detail below, was previously restructured using the Note A/B split note



strategy, had an aggregate carrying value of \$1.2 million, and is secured by a first mortgage on two separate retail strip shopping centers. At September 30, 2013, Loan B-2 which, as described in further detail below was previously structured using the Note A/B split note strategy, had an aggregate carrying value of \$179,000 and is secured by a single purpose commercial use property. The two Note A Loans (Loans B-1 and B-2) in this Loan Relationship are included in the above table as “Nonaccrual restructured loans, Nonresidential real estate” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, Loans B-1 and B-2 are classified as “Nonresidential real estate, Substandard” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. Loans B-1 and B-2 were performing in accordance with their restructured terms at September 30, 2013. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship B follows.

The loans comprising Loan Relationship B were originally restructured in June 2010, with an aggregate carrying value of \$4.1 million until their restructurings in the quarter ended March 31, 2011 and in the quarter ended March 31, 2013. At the time of the original restructuring, the property value was based primarily on the collateral's cash flow, including required personal cash infusions from the co-borrowers. Management believed that the lower debt service would improve the borrowers' cash flow, and in turn, the performance of the loans. One of the borrowers is a corporate entity. The principals of the corporate borrower are also co-borrowers on the note. At the time of the restructuring, the Bank analyzed the personal net worth, liquid net worth, debt to income ratios and credit scores of the co-borrowers. While the co-borrowers were not expected to cover a total loss on the loans, management believed the co-borrowers would mitigate the amount of potential future losses. The restructured loans were considered impaired at June 30, 2010 with an allowance for loan loss of \$600,000 to reflect the reduction in carrying value resulting from the exclusion of the required personal cash infusions from the co-borrowers from the calculation of the carrying value. In March 2011, the loans comprising Loan Relationship B again were experiencing cash flow problems. The cash flow problems experienced were the combined effect of the level of the required monthly loan payments, decreases in rental revenue from the properties, and the failure to pay real estate property taxes. Due to certain financial difficulties experienced by the co-borrowers, including the cash flow problems of the subject properties and a decrease in other outside sources of income, the co-borrowers were unable to mitigate the losses on the loan. Therefore, in March 2011, the two loans secured by the two separate retail strip shopping centers were combined and refinanced into two loans, using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan B-1, a Note A loan) had a balance of \$2.4 million and was classified as substandard, reported as a troubled debt restructuring because of its below market interest rate, and placed on nonaccrual. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$1.3 million and was charged-off (inclusive of the \$600,000 specific allowance recorded for this Loan Relationship in the quarter ended June 30, 2010).

In March 2011, Loan B-2 was refinanced into two loans, using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan B-2, a Note A loan) was for \$238,000 and was classified as substandard and was a troubled debt restructuring because of a below market interest rate. The second loan (a Note B loan) was for \$169,000 and was charged-off. The restructured loans had interest rates 275 basis points lower than their 2010 restructured rates for a period of two years, and 500 basis points below their original rates.

In May 2012, one of the two retail strip shopping centers that secured Loan B-1 experienced the loss of a major tenant. As a result of the decrease in cash flow, the Bank had the two retail strip shopping centers securing the loan appraised in June 2012. The appraisal reflected that the value of the properties had declined to \$1.45 million from the previous appraisal of \$2.95 million in February 2011. Management determined that this loan will ultimately be settled through the sale of the property. A charge-off of \$956,000 was established in the quarter ended June 30, 2012 based on the then most recent appraisal indicating a known loss, together with an additional impairment of \$189,000 based on the Bank's experience in settling foreclosed property. The carrying value of this loan was classified as substandard, and reported as a troubled debt restructuring. The Bank also appraised the single purpose commercial use property in June 2012. The value of this property declined to \$225,000 from \$325,000 in February 2011 due to decreased cash flow from the then current tenant. Management determined that this loan would also be settled from the sale of the property. A charge-off in the amount of \$22,000 was established based on the then most recent appraisal indicating a known loss, together with an additional impairment of \$29,000 based on the Bank's experience in settling foreclosed property. The carrying value of this loan was classified as substandard, and the loan reported as a troubled debt restructuring. During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the balloon payment for the two loans secured by the two separate retail strip shopping centers became due. An independent appraisal was performed in March 2013 on the properties reflecting that the appraised value of the properties had increased to \$1.8 million from \$1.45 million in June 2012. The loan was restructured in the March 2013 quarter using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan B-1, a Note A loan) was refinanced for \$1.3 million, with a market interest rate of 5.50% based on a 30 year loan term, and a three year balloon payment. As

stated above, the carrying value of this loan was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a troubled debt restructuring. The second loan (a Note B loan) was for \$2.3 million was charged off. This charged off amount equaled the amount of the Note B loan balance in March 2011(\$1.3 million) plus that portion Note A loan balance in March 2011 that was charged off during the period ended June 30, 2012 (\$1.0 million).

The balloon payment for Loan B-2 also came due during the quarter ended March 31, 2013. The Note A loan and the Note B loan secured by the single purpose commercial use property were modified again using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan B-2, a Note A loan) was modified to a balance of \$185,000, with a market interest rate of 5.50%, for a 30-year term, and a three year balloon payment. As stated above, the carrying value of this loan was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a troubled debt restructuring. The second loan (a Note B loan) was modified at its then current balance of \$191,000 was charged off. This charged off amount equaled the amount of the Note B loan balance in March 2011 (\$169,000) plus that portion of the Note A loan having a balance in March 2011 that was charged off during the period ended June 30, 2012 (\$22,000).

Loan Relationship D. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loan Relationship D was comprised of two loans (a Note A loan and a Note B loan ) which had an aggregate carrying value of \$1.3 million. The loans are secured by a first mortgage on a 62-unit apartment complex near a college campus. As described below, this loan was previously restructured, using the Note A/B split note strategy. As of September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, the first loan (a Note A loan) is included in “Accruing restructured loans” in the above table. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, the first loan (a Note A loan) is classified as “Multi-family residential real estate, Substandard,” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. There are no personal guarantees or co-borrowers on these loans. The Note A loan in Loan Relationship D was performing in accordance with its restructured terms at September 30, 2013. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship D follows.

Loan Relationship D was originally comprised of one loan that was restructured in December 2008. The loan was made in 2008 to a seasoned property manager who made major improvements to the property. The property was purchased in December 2008 from a Bank borrower who was delinquent at the time of acquisition. At the time the loan was acquired from the delinquent borrower in 2008, it was restructured with a new borrower, in lieu of foreclosure, pursuant to which the Bank loaned the borrower funds to purchase and renovate the property. At the time of the restructuring, management established a specific reserve through a charge-off to the general allowance for loan losses of \$113,000. There was no personal guarantee or co-borrower on this loan. The loan required interest only payments through December 2011. At the time of the acquisition, management believed that the new borrower would be able to renovate the property with a view toward improving the property’s cash flow, and in turn, the performance of the loan. After the closing of the loan, the borrower completed renovations to the property and the cash flow of the property improved. At the time the loan was made, an independent appraisal was performed on the collateral underlying the loan. This appraisal supported the \$1.6 million carrying value of the loan. In January 2012, the interest rate on the loan was to be adjusted to the prime interest rate as published by *The Wall Street Journal*, plus a spread, and converted to principal and interest payments. In November 2011, the borrower approached the Bank and expressed concern about being able to pay the principal and interest payment that would go into effect in January 2012. The internal cash flow analysis completed by the Bank indicated that the payment could be made based on the higher monthly occupancy rates after the renovations were completed. An appraisal was ordered to provide the “as is” value of the property. The Bank obtained the appraisal in December 2011, and the appraised value of the property had decreased to \$1.4 million. Therefore, this loan was restructured into two loans using the Note A/B split note strategy. Based on the cash flows supported by the property, the first loan (a Note A loan) had a balance of \$1.3 million at a market interest rate with a two year balloon payment. This loan was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a troubled debt restructuring. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$393,000 and was charged-off in December 2011. During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, in anticipation of the balloon payment becoming due in the December 31, 2013 quarter, the borrower approached the Bank about refinancing the property based on improved cash flows. The Bank had been reviewing the cash flow of the property on a monthly basis and agreed with the borrower that its cash flows had improved. An appraisal was ordered to provide the “as is” value of the property. The independent appraisal obtained in June 2013 reflected that the value of the property had

increased to \$1.7 million from \$1.4 million in December 2011, and the two loans were refinanced again using the Note A/B split note strategy. Because of the increased cash flow from the property underlying the loan, the first loan (a Note A loan) had a net carrying value of \$1.3 million, with a market interest rate of 5.50%, for a 20 year loan term and a three year balloon payment. This loan was put on accrual (because of its sufficient payment history), classified as substandard, and reported as a troubled debt restructuring. The second loan (a Note B loan) balance was \$310,000 and was charged off. This charged off amount included the \$393,000 in the Note B Loan from December 2011, less \$83,000 resultant of the improved cash flow of the property.

Loan Relationship E. At September 30, 2013, this Loan Relationship was comprised of two loans (a Note A loan and a Note B loan) having an aggregate carrying value of \$515,000. At June 30, 2013, this Loan Relationship was comprised of two loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$516,000. The loans are secured by nonresidential properties (warehouses). There are no personal guarantees or co-borrowers on these loans. As described below, these loans were previously restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (a Note A loan) is included in the above table in “Accruing restructured loans” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, the Note A loan was classified as “Nonresidential real estate, Substandard” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. The Note A loan in Loan Relationship E was performing in accordance with its restructured terms at September 30, 2013. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship E follows.

Originally, Loan Relationship E was comprised of one loan. The loan was restructured in April 2010. At June 30, 2010, the charge-off to the general allowance for loan losses, based upon a then current independent appraisal, was \$308,000. The restructured loan had payments deferred for one year, while accruing interest at a market rate. This loan was scheduled to undergo an interest rate and payment reset in February 2011, pursuant to the terms of the note. There were no personal guarantees or co-borrowers on this loan. At the time of the loan adjustment period, it became apparent that the borrower would have difficulty making the required monthly payments beginning in February 2011. As a result, management completed a detailed analysis of this loan and determined to again restructure the loan utilizing the Note A/B split note strategy in March 2011. The terms of Note A were calculated using the borrower’s then current financial information to determine the amount of the payment at which the borrower would have a debt service coverage ratio of approximately 1.5x, which was more stringent than the Bank’s normal underwriting standards. A restructuring fee of \$9,000 was charged and included in Note B at March 31, 2011. After the restructuring in March 2011, the Note A loan had a balance of \$569,000. This loan was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard and was reported as a troubled debt restructuring. The Note B loan had a balance of \$508,000. The full amount of the Note B loan was charged-off in the quarter ended March 31, 2011, inclusive of the previous specific reserve of \$308,000 recorded during the period ended June 30, 2010. During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the balloon payments for these loans became due. At that time, the Bank had been reviewing the cash flow of the property on a monthly basis and knew that the cash flows had not changed. An independent appraisal was ordered to provide the “as is” value of the property. The Bank obtained the appraisal in February 2013, and the appraised value of the property had decreased to \$910,000 from \$997,000 in February 2011. The loans were refinanced into two loans, again using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (a Note A loan) had a balance of \$519,000 with a market interest rate of 5.50%, for a 30- year term and a three year balloon payment. This loan was put on accrual (because of its sufficient payment history), classified as substandard, and reported as a troubled debt restructuring. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$507,000 and was charged off. This charged off amount equaled the amount of the Note B loan originated in March 2011.

Loan Relationship F. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loan Relationship F was comprised of two loans (a Note A and a Note B) having an aggregate carrying value of \$443,000 and \$444,000, respectively. These loans are secured by a multi-family residential real estate property and a single-family real estate property. The borrower is a corporate entity, with three principals, each of whom individually are co-borrowers of the loan. As described below, these loans were previously restructured, using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (a Note A loan) is included in the above table as “Accruing restructured loans” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, the Note A loan is classified as “Multi-family real estate, Substandard” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. The Note A loan in Loan Relationship F was performing in accordance with its restructured terms at September 30, 2013. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship F follows.



The original loan was initially restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy in June 2010 based on an 80% loan-to-value ratio derived from an April 2010 independent appraisal. The first loan (Note A) had a balance of \$631,000 with a market interest rate of 5.50%, for a 25-year term, based on a 3/1 ARM. This loan was put on nonaccrual and classified as substandard. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$216,800 and there was a specific reserve established for the entire amount of the loan. The borrower was a corporate entity, with two principals, who also individually signed the loan as co-borrowers. At December 31, 2010, the first loan was 160 days delinquent. The delinquency was a result of personal problems between the borrowers affecting their ability to manage the multi-family residential real estate and the single-family real estate. The personal problems between the borrowers also resulted in the borrowers' inability to make the required personal cash infusions. In the latter part of 2010 and into early 2011, one of the borrowers effectively took control of the multi-family residential real estate and the single-family real estate, and brought the business current with respect to property taxes, refunds to former tenants, and made required monthly loan payments in January and February 2011. Other than the January and February 2011 loan payments, the borrowers were unable to make payments to bring the loan current. Based upon those developments, management completed a detailed analysis of the total lending relationship with the borrowers. As a result of this analysis, these loans were again restructured, using the Note A/B split note strategy in March 2011. The terms of first loan (Note A) were calculated using current financial information to determine the amount of the payment at which the borrowers would have a debt service coverage ratio of approximately 1.5x, which was more stringent than the Bank's underwriting standards. A restructuring fee of \$7,000 was charged and included in the second loan (a Note B loan) at March 31, 2011. After the restructuring in March 2011, the Note A loan had a balance of \$475,000, was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard and reported as a troubled debt restructuring. The Note B loan had balance of \$405,000. The full amount of the Note B loan was charged-off in the quarter ended March 31, 2011, inclusive of the previous specific reserve of \$216,800 from December 31, 2010. A two year balloon payment was due in March 31, 2013 on the loans unless the borrower refinanced into a market rate loan at that time. During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, as a result of the continued personal problems of the co-borrowers, the two loans were modified and only the borrower that had taken control of the two properties in early 2011 was left on the loan. The other borrower relinquished all of its interest in the two properties. However, in addition to the one borrower retained on the loan, two other borrowers were added to the loans to provide managerial strength to the relationship and in turn increase the income potential of the property. The Bank was reviewing the cash flow of the property on a monthly basis and determined that the cash flows had improved because of the improved managerial ability of the original borrower that was retained on the loan. An independent appraisal was ordered to provide the "as is" value of the properties. The Bank obtained the appraisal in December 2012, and the appraised value of the properties had decreased to \$730,000 from \$774,000 in February 2011. During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, the two loans were modified, again using the Note A/B split note strategy, with both loans having three year balloon payments. The Note A loan was modified to a market interest rate of 5.50%, with no increase in the principal balance (\$453,000). The term of the loan was also reduced to 324 months from the remaining term of 339 months. Even with the higher market interest rate and the shorter term of the loan, the debt service coverage ratio is above 1.20x, which is in compliance with the Bank's current loan underwriting standards. This loan was put on accrual (because of its sufficient payment history), classified as substandard, and reported as a troubled debt restructuring. There was no increase in the principal balance (\$405,000) of the Note B loan from that loan's prior restructuring in March 2011, and therefore, the charge off amount (\$405,000) remained the same as in March 2011. However, the interest rate was reduced to 0%, as the loan had been charged off.

· Loan Relationship G. At September 30, 2013, the loan in Loan Relationship G had a carrying value of \$1.8 million. At June 30, 2013, the loan in Loan Relationship G had a carrying value of \$1.9 million. This loan is secured by a 93-pad mobile home park and an 87-pad mobile home park. The borrowers are two limited liability corporations and the two co-borrowers are the principals of the corporations. This loan is a participation loan with another financial institution. The Bank is the lead lender and has a 79% interest in the



loan. This loan is included in the above table in “Nonaccrual Loans, Multi-family real estate” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, this loan is classified as “Multi-family residential real estate, Substandard,” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. At September 30, 2013, the loan was performing in accordance with its original terms. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship G follows.

The borrowers approached the Bank in May 2011 and stated they were having cash flow problems even though the loan was current. The Bank received updated financial information from the borrowers after being advised of these cash flow problems. The financial information showed there were cash flow problems, but that the co-borrowers had been infusing their personal funds. An independent appraisal was ordered to provide the “as is” value of the properties. The Bank obtained the appraisal in July 2011, and the appraised value of the properties had decreased to \$2.13 million from \$3.6 million in September 2010. Based on the cash flow of the properties, the Bank established impairment in the amount of \$400,000, effective June 30, 2011, based on the information available when the June 30, 2011 financial statements were issued. At June 30, 2011, the Bank’s portion of the loan balance was \$2.1 million, and the carrying value of the Bank’s portion of the loan was \$1.7 million. At January 31, 2012, the loan was 39 days delinquent and the Bank was not receiving current financial information. Accordingly, new appraisals were ordered and received in March 2012, reflecting an aggregate appraised value of \$2.8 million which was an increase from the \$2.13 million appraised value from July 2011. The borrower brought the loan current by June 30, 2012. The borrower has recently hired a management company which is expected to assist providing the Bank with the borrower’s financial statements on a timely basis.

· Loan Relationship H. At September 30, 2013, Loan Relationship H was comprised of three loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$1.0 million. At September 30, 2013, Loan H-1, which, as described in further detail below, was previously restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy, had an aggregate carrying value of \$732,000. Loan H-1 is secured by a first lien on an 18-unit apartment complex, a single-family dwelling, a 6.3 acre tract of land, and a second lien on a single-family owner occupied dwelling on 11.36 acres. At June 30, 2013, Loan H-1 had an aggregate carrying value \$734,000. The borrower is a limited liability corporation and the two co-borrowers are principals of the limited liability corporation. Loan H-1 is included in the above table as “Accruing restructured loans,” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, Loan H-1 is classified as “Multi-family residential real estate, Substandard” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. Additionally, during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 the Bank refinanced the principal residence of the co-borrowers (the single-family owner occupied dwelling on 11.36 acres mentioned above). This loan, Loan H-2, had an original balance of \$280,000 at a market rate of interest for a ten year term. At September 30, 2013, the balance for this loan was \$276,000. At September 30, 2013, Loan H-1 was performing in accordance with its restructured terms and Loan H-2 was performing in accordance with its original terms. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship H follows.

Originally, Loan Relationship H was comprised of one loan. The interest rate was to reset to 5.75% on June 1, 2012. The borrowers indicated the cash flow of the property could not sustain the increase in interest rate. Independent appraisals were ordered in the June 30, 2012 quarter and received in June 2012, and indicated a collateral value of \$978,000 on properties for which UCB has a first lien. The Bank recorded a charge-off, as of June 30, 2012, of \$481,000, to reflect the carrying value of the loan at \$744,000. Prior to the establishment of the \$481,000 charge-off in the June 30, 2012 quarter, management had established a specific allocation on this loan through a charge-off to the general allowance beginning in the June 30, 2009 quarter. The amount of the specific allocation as of March 31, 2012 was \$639,000. The one loan was performing in accordance with its restructured terms at June 30, 2012. In the September 30, 2012 quarter, the borrowers again indicated the cash flow of the property could not sustain the loan. Therefore, the one loan was restructured, using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan H-1, a Note A loan) was for \$748,000, with a market rate of interest of 5.00%, for a 30-year term and a three year balloon payment. The carrying value of this loan was placed on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and considered a troubled debt restructuring. The second loan (a Note B loan) was for \$515,000 (inclusive of the \$481,000 that was charged off in the June 30, 2012 quarter) and was charged off.



Loan Relationship I. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loan Relationship I was comprised of one loan which is secured by an industrial/office nonresidential property having a carrying value of \$719,200. The borrower is a limited liability corporation and the two co-borrowers are principals of the limited liability corporation. This loan is included in the above table, in “Nonaccrual loans nonresidential real estate” as of September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, this loan is classified as “Nonresidential real estate, Substandard” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. The borrower approached the Bank in March 2012 to advise that a major tenant was not going to renew its lease in November 2012. However, the tenant agreed to remain in the property until its lease expired. The Bank ordered an independent appraisal based on this information. The appraisal was received in March 2012 and reflected a value of \$900,000. At March 31, 2012, the carrying value of the loan was reduced by \$177,000 to \$819,000. After the 2011 tax returns were received late in the second quarter of 2012, the Bank conducted further cash flow analyses and determined that the only way the loan would be paid off would be to sell the property. The Bank recorded a charge-off of \$146,000 based on the most recent appraisal, and impairment in the amount of \$120,000 was established as an estimate to impair the loan further based on the Bank’s experience in settling foreclosed properties. The carrying value of the loan was \$717,000 at June 30, 2012. The borrower continued to make the required monthly principal and interest payments through June 30, 2012. However, as of March 31, 2013, this loan was 88 days delinquent, as the borrower stopped making principal and interest payments. The borrower did pay the real estate taxes in advance for the next year. The borrower continued to cooperate with the Bank and during the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the Bank and the borrower signed an agreement under which the borrower continued to manage the property, with the Bank controlling the property’s cash flow, including the lease payments collected and the expenses paid. As part of the agreement, the borrower was required to, and did turn over to the Bank, the net lease payments collected by the borrower for January and February 2013. The borrower is not required to make any further principal and interest payments and the title to the property will remain in the name of the borrower. However, the Bank controls the listing agreement with the realtor who currently has the property listed for \$899,000 and the Bank will receive the net proceeds from the sale of the property when it is sold. The Bank received an updated appraisal in the amount of \$930,000 as of April 2013, an increase of \$31,000 from the March 2012 appraised value. If the borrower continues to manage the property and cooperate with the Bank, the borrower will be released from any further obligation following the property’s sale. The most recent financial information of the borrower and co-borrowers show very little net worth. During the quarter ended September 30, 2013, the borrower signed a purchase agreement with a potential buyer at a sale price that would not cause the Bank to incur any additional loss on this Loan Relationship. At the time of this filing, the sale of the property is expected to close by December 31, 2013.

Loan Relationship J. At September 30, 2013, there were five loans (including one loan, Loan J-1, that was restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy) comprising this relationship with an aggregate carrying value of \$1.8 million. At June 30, 2013, the aggregate carrying value of the loan was \$1.9 million. Loan J-1 is secured by a first mortgage on a nonresidential real estate property located on 2.17 acres of land and an additional 1.753 acre tract of land that could be used for commercial development that is contiguous to the nonresidential real estate. Loan J-2 is secured by a first mortgage on six one-to four-family non owner-occupied residential properties and an 80 acre tract of land. Loan J-3 is secured by a first mortgage on the principal residence of the co-borrower who is signed on each of the loans in loan relationship J. Loan J-4 is a home equity line of credit secured by a second mortgage on the principal residence of the co-borrower who is signed on each of the loans in loan relationship J. Two of the Loan J-1 borrowers are corporate entities and each of the principals of the corporate borrowers individually signed as co-borrowers. One of the Loan J-2 borrowers is a corporate entity and the principal of the corporate borrower individually signed as a co-borrower. The Loan J-3 and Loan J-4 borrower is an individual borrower on each of the loans in Loan Relationship J. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Note A of Loan J-1 is included in the above table in “Nonaccrual, Nonresidential Real Estate”. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loan J-2, J-3, and J-4, are not included in the Nonaccrual table. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Note A of

Loan J-1 was classified as “Nonresidential Real Estate, Substandard” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loan J-2 was classified as “One-to Four-Family Non Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Watch” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loans J-3 and J-4 were classified as “One-to Four-Family Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Watch” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, the co-borrowers of Loan J-1 approached the Bank and stated the entity that had been buying the nonresidential real estate portion of this property on land contract, was vacating the premises. The contract buyers also stated they would not be able to make the contract loan payments. The co-borrowers had been using the payments from this land contract to make the payments to the Bank. The Bank ordered an independent appraisal of the nonresidential real estate and the contiguous 1.753 acre tract of land. The appraised value, received in June 2013, totaled \$1.1 million, \$720,000 for the nonresidential real estate property, and \$390,000 for the 1.753 acres tract of land. This was a decrease from the April 2007 aggregate appraised value of \$1.6 million. The April 2007 appraisal was completed as nonresidential real estate located on a 3.923 acre tract of land. The co-borrowers are able to pay \$5,000 per month. Half of the \$5,000 pays for the monthly real estate taxes and the other half is paid on Loan J-1. Therefore, in the June 30, 2013 quarter, Loan J-1, with a carrying value of \$869,000, net of the charge off amount of \$161,000, was put on nonaccrual and classified as substandard and was reported as a troubled debt restructuring. The carrying value and the charge off amount were determined by an impairment analysis using 80% of the appraised value of the nonresidential real estate plus 75% of the appraised value of the 1.753 acre tract of land. Subsequent to June 30, 2013, the borrowers signed a purchase agreement with an unrelated third party for the nonresidential real estate property at a sales price that would enable any unpaid principal balance to be fully collateralized by the remaining collateral. At September 30, 2013, Loan J-1 is performing in accordance with its restructured terms, and J-2, J-3, and J-4 were performing in accordance with their original terms.

Loan Relationship K. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, this Loan Relationship was comprised of eight loans (including one loan that was restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy) having an aggregate carrying value of \$1.60 million and \$1.62 million, respectively. Loan K-1, which had previously been restructured in the Note A/B split note strategy, is secured by 12 one-to four-family non-owner occupied properties and one multi-family property, for a total of 13 rental properties. Loan K-2 is secured by a first mortgage on the principal residence of two of the individual co-borrowers. Loan K-3 is a home equity line of credit secured by a second mortgage on the principal residence of two of the individual co-borrowers. Loan K-4 is secured by a vehicle title for an automobile of two of the individual co-borrowers. Loan K-5 is secured by a first mortgage on the principal residence of two of the individual co-borrowers. Loan K-6 is secured by a UCC-1 filing and a second mortgage on the principal residence of two of the individual co-borrowers. Loan K-7 is secured by a first mortgage on a nonresidential property and a third mortgage on the principal residence of two of the individual co-borrowers. One of the Loan K-1 borrowers is a corporate entity and each of the principals, along with their spouses, individually signed as co-borrowers. Two of the Loan K-2, K-3 and K-4 co-borrowers are individually signed. Two of the Loan K-5 co-borrowers are individually signed. One of the Loan K-6 and K-7 borrowers is a corporate entity and the principal, along with their spouse, individually signed as co-borrowers. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Note A of Loan K-1 is included in the above table in "Accruing Restructured Loans." At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loans K-2, K-3, K-4, K-5, K-6, and K-7, are not included in the above nonaccrual table because these loans were performing in accordance with their original terms. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, the Note A loan of Loan K-1 was classified as "Multi-Family, Substandard" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 40. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loan K-2 was classified as "One-to Four-Family Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Watch" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 40. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loan K-3 was classified as "Consumer, Pass" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 40. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loan K-4 was classified as "Consumer, Pass" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 40. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loan K-5 was classified as "One-to Four-Family Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Pass" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 40. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loan K-6 was classified as "Commercial and Agricultural, Pass" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 40. At September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, Loan K-7 was classified as "Nonresidential Real Estate, Pass" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 40. At September 30, 2013, the Note A loans of Loan K-1 and Loan K-2, K-3, K-4, K-5, K-6, and K-7, were performing in accordance with their terms. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship K follows.

In November 2011, a charge-off in the amount of \$406,000 was established for Loan K-1 because of cash flow issues of the rental properties securing this relationship. At that time independent appraisals were ordered. The new appraisals, received in December 2011, reflected that the values of the properties had decreased to \$1,262,000 from \$1,998,500 as of May 2007. The Bank determined to restructure the loan utilizing the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan K-1, a Note A loan) was for \$1,128,000 with the market rate of interest of 5.50% and a two year balloon payment. This loan was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a troubled debt restructuring. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$415,000 and was charged-off. This charge-off amount was \$9,000 more than the charge-off amount established in November 2011. In July 2012, the borrowers sold four of the rental properties and the net proceeds of \$301,000 were applied to Loan K-1, reducing the principal to \$823,000 from \$1,125,000. Also, a fifth rental property was released because of the condition of the property. Therefore, in July 2012, there were a total of eight rental properties remaining as collateral for this loan relationship.



**Loan Relationship L.** At September 30, 2013, this Loan Relationship was comprised of one loan having an aggregate carrying value of \$535,000. At June 30, 2013, this loan had a carrying value of \$547,000. This loan is secured by a first mortgage on two one-to four-family non-owner occupied properties and three nonresidential properties. The borrowers are husband and wife who jointly own these properties. Each of the borrowers is also a co-borrower on the loan. The loan is included in the above table in “Nonaccrual loans nonresidential real estate” as of September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 40, this loan is classified as “Nonresidential real estate, Substandard” at September 30, 2013 and June 30, 2013, and is reported as a troubled debt restructuring. Originally, there were two loans comprising this relationship. Those loans were originated in the first quarter of 2008 and had an aggregate net carrying value of \$743,000 at March 31, 2008. This loan was performing in accordance with its restructured terms at September 30, 2013. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship L follows.

During the early part of 2011, the borrowers began to experience cash flow problems because a major tenant in one of the nonresidential properties was making sporadic rental payments. At June 30, 2011, the two loans were not 30 days delinquent. Nevertheless, the Bank ordered independent appraisals on the properties relating to the loan due to the sporadic rental payments the borrowers were receiving from their major tenant. The appraisals were received in June 2011 and reflected a total value of \$676,000 compared to the original November 2007 appraised value of \$1.2 million. At September 30, 2011, one of the loans was 30 days delinquent because of the reoccurrence of the problem with rental payments from the major tenant discussed above. At September 30, 2011, management determined to establish an impairment of \$93,000 based on the borrowers’ recurring cash flow problems. Based on the then most recent appraisal indicating a known loss and the borrowers’ cash flow problems created by the major tenant’s sporadic rental payments, in the quarter ending December 31, 2011, management determined to refinance the two loans into one loan at a below market interest rate. A charge off of \$124,000, inclusive of the impairment established in the September 30, 2011 quarter, was also recorded. As part of the Bank’s ongoing monitoring and impairment analysis, the Bank obtained new appraisals on all five properties relating to Loan Relationship L, in the June 30, 2013 quarter. The total value of these new appraisals was \$680,000, reflecting an increase of \$4,000 from the appraisals completed in June 2011. In the quarter ended September 30, 2013, the borrowers received an offer from a qualified buyer to purchase one of the nonresidential properties for \$182,000. This particular nonresidential property appraised for \$185,000 in June 2013. As of the date of this filing, the sale of the property is expected to close by December 31, 2013.

The following table summarizes all Note A/B format loans at September 30, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Loan Balances			Number of Loans	
	Note A	Note B	Total	Note A	Note B
Nonresidential real estate	\$ 3,048	\$ 3,476	\$ 6,524	5	5
Multi-family residential real estate	3,332	1,645	4,977	4	4
One- to four-family residential real estate	509	61	570	1	1
Total (1)	\$ 6,889	\$ 5,182	\$ 12,071	10	10

(1) Included in this total are an aggregate of \$5.3 million comprised of Note As and \$4.6 million comprised of Note Bs that are included in the discussion of Loan Relationships B, D, E, F, H and K.

Based on the fact that our loans receivable greater than 30 days past due and accruing in the multi-family residential real estate and nonresidential real estate portfolios totaled \$257,000, which represents 0.3% of these loans at September 30, 2013, management does not believe there are any other large concentrations of credit risk that are not performing under the original terms or modified terms, as applicable.



The following table provides information with respect to all of our loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings. For additional information regarding troubled debt restructurings on nonaccrual status, see the table of nonperforming assets above.

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

At September 30, 2013							
(in thousands)	Loan Status		Total Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Number of Loans	Average Recorded Investment
	Accrual	Nonaccrual					
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$ 2,048	\$ 1,624	\$ 3,672	\$ 7	\$ 3,666	25	\$ 4,137
Multi-family residential real estate	5,799	-	5,799	20	5,778	11	6,924
Nonresidential real estate	3,629	2,664	6,293	120	6,173	13	6,205
Total	\$ 11,476	\$ 4,288	\$ 15,764	\$ 147	\$ 15,617	49	\$ 17,266

The following table is a roll forward of activity in our TDRs:

(Dollars in amounts thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	
	Recorded Investment	Number of Loans
Beginning balance	\$ 18,915	52
Additions to TDRs	-	-
Charge-offs	(24)	-
Removal of TDRs <sup>(1)</sup>	(3,151)	(3)
Payments	(123)	-
Ending balance	\$ 15,617	49

<sup>(1)</sup> The removal of these loans from TDR was due to the payoff of the loans during the quarter ended September 30, 2013.

Loans that were included in TDRs at September 30, 2013 were generally given concessions of interest rate reductions of between 25 and 300 basis points, and/or structured as interest only payment loans for periods of one to three years. Many of these loans also have balloon payments due at the end of their lowered rate period, requiring the borrower to refinance at market rates at that time. At September 30, 2013, there were 35 loans that required payments of principal and interest, and four loans that required interest payments only.

The following table shows the aggregate amounts of our classified assets at the dates indicated.

	At September 30,	
	2013	2012
	(In thousands)	
Special mention assets	\$ 5,098	\$ 9,384
Substandard assets	21,095	30,648
Total classified assets	\$ 26,193	\$ 40,032

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The following tables illustrate certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-29(b) at September 30, 2013 and at June 30, 2013.

At September 30, 2013:

Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade

	One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage	Consumer	One- to Four- Family Non- Owner Occupied Mortgage	Multi- family Non- Owner- Occupied Mortgage	Non- Residential Real estate	Constructi band	Commercial and Agricultural	Total	
(In thousands)									
Grade:									
Pass	\$ 97,481	\$ 33,401	\$ 9,649	\$ 16,223	\$ 28,680	\$ 3,742	\$ 2,344	\$ 5,383	\$ 196,903
Watch	6,049	961	4,678	5,176	11,997		866	1,779	31,506
Special mention	666	235	283	350	3,396		168		5,098
Substandard	4,286	513	1,363	7,631	7,279		23		21,095
Total	\$ 108,482	\$ 35,110	\$ 15,973	\$ 29,380	\$ 51,352	\$ 3,742	\$ 3,401	\$ 7,162	\$ 254,602

At June 30, 2013:

Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade

	One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage	Consumer	One- to Four- Family Non- Owner Occupied Mortgage	Multi- family Non- Owner- Occupied Mortgage	Non- Residential Real estate	Constructi band	Commercial and Agricultural	Total	
(In thousands)									
Grade:									
Pass	\$ 99,494	\$ 34,506	\$ 10,909	\$ 16,900	\$ 26,340	\$ 2,200	\$ 2,364	\$ 5,691	\$ 198,404
Watch	6,033	641	3,988	5,102	14,866	-	861	1,414	32,905
Special mention	756	17	388	353	3,343	-	186	-	5,043
Substandard	5,121	535	1,370	9,951	7,353	-	24	10	24,364
Total	\$ 111,404	\$ 35,699	\$ 16,655	\$ 32,306	\$ 51,902	\$ 2,200	\$ 3,435	\$ 7,115	\$ 260,716

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The following table illustrates certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-7A for gross loans.

	At September 30, 2013		At June 30, 2013	
	30-59 Days Past Due (in thousands)	60-89 Days Past Due	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due
One- to four-family mortgage owner-occupied	\$ 1,971	\$ 721	\$ 1,748	\$ 706
Consumer	138	281	202	68
One- to four-family mortgage nonowner-occupied	377	283	54	388
Multi-family mortgage	109		110	
Nonresidential real estate mortgage commercial and office buildings	894	114	286	18
Construction				
Land	16			
Commercial and agricultural	10		7	
Total	\$ 3,515	\$ 1,399	\$ 2,407	\$ 1,180

The following table illustrates the changes to the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2013:

	One- to Four- Family Mortgage Owner- Occupied Consumer	One- to Four- Family Mortgage Nonowner- Occupied	Multi- Family Mortgage Nonowner- Occupied	Non- Residential Real Estate	Construction	Land	Commercial and Agricultural	Total	
	(In thousands)								
Allowance for Loan Losses:									
Balance, July 1, 2013:	\$ 942	\$ 553	\$ 215	\$ 1,286	\$ 2,386	\$ 10	\$ 17	\$ 34	\$ 5,443
Charge offs	(46)	(22)							(68)
Recoveries	126	14	1	391	1		12		545
Provision (credit)	(44)	37	3	(423)	8	(6)	(12)	(5)	(442)
Ending Balance:	\$ 978	\$ 582	\$ 219	\$ 1,254	\$ 2,395	\$ 4	\$ 17	\$ 29	\$ 5,478
Balance, Individually Evaluated	\$	\$	\$ 7	\$ 205	\$ 120	\$	\$	\$	\$ 332
Balance, Collectively Evaluated	978	582	212	1,049	2,275	4	17	29	5,146
Financing receivables: ending balance	108,482	35,110	15,973	29,380	51,352	3,742	3,401	7,162	254,602
Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment	4,286	513	1,363	7,631	7,177		22	-	20,992

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	94,284	30,045	13,967	21,749	40,844	3,742	3,273	5,898	213,802
Ending Balance: loans acquired at fair value	9,912	4,552	643	-	3,331		106	1,264	19,808

The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan category at the dates indicated.

	At September 30, 2013			At June 30, 2013		
	Amount (Dollars in thousands)	% of Allowance to Total Allowance	% of Loans in Category to Total Loans	Amount	% of Allowance to Total Allowance	% of Loans in Category to Total Loans
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$ 1,197	21.9 %	48.9 %	\$ 1,157	21.3 %	49.1 %
Multi-family real estate	1,254	22.9	11.5	1,286	23.6	12.4
Nonresidential real estate	2,395	43.7	20.2	2,386	43.8	19.9
Land	17	0.3	1.3	17	0.3	1.3
Agricultural	-	-	1.4	-	-	1.4
Commercial	29	0.5	1.4	34	0.6	1.4
Consumer	582	10.6	13.8	553	10.2	13.7
Construction	4	0.1	1.5	10	0.2	0.8
Total allowance for loan losses	\$ 5,478	100.0 %	100.0 %	\$ 5,443	100.0 %	100.0 %
Total loans	\$ 254,602			\$ 260,716		

**Liquidity Management.** Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future financial obligations of a short-term nature. Our primary sources of funds consist of deposit inflows, loan repayments, maturities and sales of securities and borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition.

We regularly adjust our investments in liquid assets based upon our assessment of: (1) expected loan demands; (2) expected deposit flows, in particular municipal deposit flows; (3) yields available on interest-earning deposits and securities; and (4) the objectives of our asset/liability management policy.

Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents. The levels of these assets depend on our operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$16.6 million at September 30, 2013 and \$16.8 million at June 30, 2013. Securities classified as available-for-sale whose market value exceeds our cost, which provide additional sources of liquidity, totaled \$32.2 million at September 30, 2013. Total securities classified as available-for-sale were \$208.4 million at September 30, 2013. In addition, at September 30, 2013, we had the ability to borrow a total of approximately \$117.0 million from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis.

At September 30, 2013, we had \$31.0 million in loan commitments outstanding, consisting of \$1.3 million in mortgage loan commitments, \$24.1 million in unused home equity lines of credit, \$5.5 million in commercial lines of credit, and \$112,000 in letters of credit outstanding. Certificates of deposit due within one year of September 30, 2013 totaled \$100.2 million. This represented 58.4% of certificates of deposit at September 30, 2013. We believe that the large percentage of certificates of deposit that mature within one year reflects customers' hesitancy to invest their funds for longer periods in the current low interest rate environment. If these maturing deposits do not remain with us, we will be required to seek other sources of funding, including other certificates of deposit and borrowings. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on such deposits or other borrowings than we currently pay on the certificates of deposit due on or before September 30, 2013. However, based on past experience, we believe that a significant portion of our certificates of deposit will remain with us. We have the ability

to attract and retain deposits by adjusting the interest rates offered.

Our primary investing activities are the origination and purchase of loans and the purchase of securities. Our primary financing activities consist of activity in deposit accounts and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Deposit flows are affected by the overall level of interest rates, the interest rates and products offered by us and our local competitors and other factors. We generally manage the pricing of our deposits to be competitive and to increase core deposit relationships. Occasionally, we offer promotional rates on certain deposit products to attract deposits.

**Capital Management.** United Community Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the OCC, including a risk-based capital measure. The risk-based capital guidelines include both a definition of capital and a framework for calculating risk-weighted assets by assigning balance sheet assets and off-balance sheet items to broad risk categories. At September 30, 2013, we exceeded all of our regulatory capital requirements. We are considered “well capitalized” under regulatory guidelines. See “*Regulation and Supervision Regulation of Federal Savings Associations Capital Requirements,*” and Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 2013.

The following table summarizes the Bank’s capital amounts and the ratios required at September 30, 2013:

	Actual		For capital adequacy purposes			To be well capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
September 30, 2013 (unaudited)							
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	\$ 61,439	25.69 %	\$ 9,566	4 %	\$ 14,349	6 %	
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	64,459	26.95 %	19,134	8 %	23,918	10 %	
Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets	61,439	12.18 %	20,177	4 %	25,221	5 %	
Tangible capital to adjusted total assets	61,439	12.18 %	7,566	1.5 %	NA	NA	

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements.** In the normal course of operations, we engage in a variety of financial transactions that, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, are not recorded in our financial statements. These transactions involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk. Such transactions are used primarily to manage customers’ requests for funding and take the form of loan commitments, letters of credit and lines of credit. We currently have no plans to engage in hedging activities in the future.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, we engaged in no off-balance sheet transactions reasonably likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

For a discussion of the Company’s asset and liability management policies as well as the potential impact of interest rate changes upon the market value of the Company’s portfolio equity, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 2013. The main components of market risk for the Company are interest rate risk and liquidity risk. The Company manages interest rate risk and liquidity risk by establishing and monitoring the volume, maturities, pricing and mix of assets and funding sources with the objective of managing assets and funding sources to provide results that are consistent with liquidity, growth, risk limits and profitability goals. Model simulation is used to measure earnings volatility under both rising and falling rate scenarios.

We use an economic value of equity analysis prepared by a consulting firm to review our level of interest rate risk. This analysis measures interest rate risk by computing changes in net economic value of our cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items in the event of a range of assumed changes in market interest rates. Economic



value of equity represents the market value of portfolio equity and is equal to the market value of assets minus the market value of liabilities, with adjustments made for off-balance sheet items. These analyses assess the risk of loss in market risk-sensitive instruments in the event of a sudden and sustained 100 to 300 basis point increase or 100 and 200 basis point decrease in market interest rates with no effect given to any steps that we might take to counter the effect of that interest rate movement. Because of the low level of market interest rates, these analyses are not performed for decreases of more than 200 basis points.

The following table presents the change in our net economic value of equity at June 30, 2013, the most recently completed date, that would occur in the event of an immediate change in interest rates, with no effect given to any steps that we might take to counteract that change.

Basis Point (“bp”)	Economic Value of Equity (Dollars in Thousands)			Economic Value of Equity as % of Economic Value of Total Assets			
	Change in Rates	Amount	Change	% Change	Value Ratio		
300	\$	55,017	\$ (20,133)	(26.79)	%	11.47	%
200		61,199	(13,951)	(18.56)	%	12.46	%
100		70,046	(5,104)	(6.79)	%	13.81	%
0		75,170				14.38	%
(100)		82,072	6,922	9.21	%	15.51	%
(200)		74,726	(424)	(0.56)	%	14.12	%

The model uses various assumptions in assessing interest rate risk. These assumptions relate to interest rates, loan prepayment rates, deposit decay rates and the market values of certain assets under differing interest rate scenarios, among others. As with any method of measuring interest rate risk, certain shortcomings are inherent in the methods of analyses presented in the foregoing tables. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market rates. Additionally, certain assets, such as adjustable-rate mortgage loans, have features that restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. Further, in the event of a change in interest rates, expected rates of prepayments on loans and early withdrawals from certificates could deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the table. Prepayment rates can have a significant impact on interest income. Because of the large percentage of loans and mortgage-backed securities we hold, rising or falling interest rates have a significant impact on the prepayment speeds of our earning assets that in turn affect the rate sensitivity position. When interest rates rise, prepayments tend to slow. When interest rates fall, prepayments tend to rise. Our asset sensitivity would be reduced if prepayments slow and vice versa. While we believe these assumptions to be reasonable, there can be no assurance that assumed prepayment rates will approximate actual future mortgage-backed security and loan repayment activity.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company’s management, including the Company’s principal executive officer and principal financial officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company’s “disclosure controls and procedures,” as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the “Exchange Act”). Based upon their evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the purpose of ensuring that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) (1) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to the Company’s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. During the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013, there were no changes in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting which materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company’s internal controls over financial reporting.



## **PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

Periodically, there have been various claims and lawsuits against us, such as claims to enforce liens and contracts, condemnation proceedings on properties in which we hold security interests, claims involving the making and servicing of real property loans and other issues incident to our business. We are not party to any pending legal proceedings that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

### **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2013, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks that we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

### **Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

There were no repurchases of the Company's common stock during the quarter ended September 30, 2013.

### **Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

Not applicable

### **Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures**

Not applicable

### **Item 5. Other Information**

Not applicable

**Item 6. Exhibits**

Exhibit 3.1	Articles of Incorporation of United Community Bancorp (1)
Exhibit 3.2	Bylaws of United Community Bancorp (2)
Exhibit 31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer
Exhibit 31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer
Exhibit 32	Section 1305 Certifications
Exhibit 101.0	The following materials from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

---

(1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, initially filed on March 15, 2011.

(2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, initially filed on March 15, 2011.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP**

Date: November 14, 2013

By: /s/ William F. Ritzmann  
William F. Ritzmann  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 14, 2013

By: /s/ Vicki A. March  
Vicki A. March  
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer  
and Treasurer