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## PART I-FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

| (in thousands) | June 30, $2011$ | December 31, 2010 <br> As adjusted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Current assets: |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$36,448 | \$ 71,974 |
| Accounts receivable, less reserves of \$1,623 and \$2,013, respectively | 126,039 | 102,600 |
| Inventories, net | 73,322 | 54,959 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 23,850 | 20,443 |
| Total current assets | 259,659 | 249,976 |
|  |  |  |
| Long-term assets: |  |  |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 81,516 | 76,576 |
| Investments and other long-term assets, net | 67,631 | 60,184 |
| Total long-term assets | 149,147 | 136,760 |
| Total assets | \$408,806 | \$ 386,736 |
|  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Current liabilities: |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$78,435 | \$ 68,341 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 41,819 | 44,442 |
| Total current liabilities | 120,254 | 112,783 |
|  |  |  |
| Long-term liabilities: |  |  |
| Long-term debt | 169,238 | 167,903 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 14,795 | 14,831 |
| Total long-term liabilities | 184,033 | 182,734 |
|  |  |  |
| Shareholders' equity |  |  |
| Preferred shares, without par value, authorized 5,000 shares, none issued | - | - |
| Common shares, without par value, authorized 60,000 shares, issued 26,451 and 25,994 shares and outstanding 25,577 and 25,393 shares, respectively, with no stated value |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Additional paid-in capital | 164,311 | 161,587 |
| Common shares held in treasury, 874 and 601 shares, respectively, at cost | (1,862 ) | (1,118 |
| Accumulated deficit | (71,367 ) | (77,620 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 9,197 | 4,062 |
| Total Stoneridge Inc. and subsidiaries shareholders' equity | 100,279 | 86,911 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 4,240 | 4,308 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 104,519 | 91,219 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$408,806 | \$ 386,736 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

| (in thousands, except per share data) | Three months ended |  | Six months ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2011$ | June 30, 2010 | 2011 | June 30, 2010 |
|  |  | As adjusted |  | As adjusted |
| Net sales | \$ 190,417 | \$ 166,262 | \$383,461 | \$314,336 |
| Costs and expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of goods sold | 152,699 | 126,998 | 306,453 | 241,140 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 30,305 | 31,447 | 62,895 | 61,015 |
| Operating income | 7,413 | 7,817 | 14,113 | 12,181 |
| Interest expense, net | 4,289 | 5,630 | 8,555 | 11,236 |
| Equity in earnings of investees | (1,808 ) | (1,611 | (3,724 | (2,302 ) |
| Other expense (income), net | 534 | (749 | 1,533 | (1,699 ) |
| Income before income taxes | 4,398 | 4,547 | 7,749 | 4,946 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 1,158 | 731 | 1,835 | (758 ) |
| Net income | 3,240 | 3,816 | 5,914 | 5,704 |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | (124 ) | (21 | (339 | (44 ) |
| Net income attributable to Stoneridge, Inc. and subsidiaries | \$3,364 | \$3,837 | \$6,253 | \$5,748 |
| Basic net income per share | \$0.14 | \$0.16 | \$0.26 | \$0.24 |
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding | 24,162 | 23,965 | 24,090 | 23,922 |
| Diluted net income per share | \$0.14 | \$0.16 | \$0.25 | \$0.24 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 24,606 | 24,389 | 24,545 | 24,351 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { Six months ended June } 30 \text { (in thousands) } & 2011 & \begin{array}{r}2010 \\ \text { As adjusted }\end{array} \\ \text { OPERATING ACTIVITIES: } & \$ 5,914 & \$ 5,704 \\ \text { Net income } & 9,924 & 9,623 \\ \text { Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used for operating activities } & 549 & 577 \\ \hline \text { Depreciation } & 323 & (1,710 \\ \text { Amortization, including accretion of debt discount } & (3,724 & (2,302) \\ \text { Deferred income taxes } & 11 & (8) \\ \text { Earnings of equity method investees } & 2,247 & 930 \\ \text { Loss (gain) on sale of fixed assets } & & \\ \text { Share-based compensation expense, net } & (21,410 & (27,989) \\ \text { Changes in operating assets and liabilities - } & (17,559) & (7,936\end{array}\right)$

INVESTING ACTIVITIES:
Capital expenditures (14,117 ) (7,063 )

Proceeds from sale of fixed assets $\quad 31$
Capital contribution from noncontrolling interest 271
Net cash used for investing activities $\quad(13,843$
(13,843 ) (7,042 )
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

| Repayments of debt | (130 | ) | (141 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revolving credit facility borrowings | 893 |  | 4,271 |
| Revolving credit facility payments | (457 | ) | (3,794 |
| Other financing costs | (96 | ) | - |
| Repurchase of shares to satisfy employee tax withholding | (744 | ) | - |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation expense | - |  | 294 |
| Net cash (used for) provided by financing activities | (534 | ) | 630 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 1,964 |  | (3,454 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (35,526 | ) | (17,299 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 71,974 |  | 91,907 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$36,448 |  | \$74,608 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash financing activities: |  |  |  |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap | \$1,208 |  | \$- |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except share and per share data, unless otherwise indicated) (Unaudited)

## (1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by Stoneridge, Inc. (the "Company") without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission" or "SEC"). The information furnished in the condensed consolidated financial statements includes normal recurring adjustments and reflects all adjustments, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of such financial statements. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the Commission's rules and regulations. The results of operations for the three and six months June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Although the Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, it is suggested that these condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

## (2) Change in Accounting Principle

Effective January 1, 2011, the Company elected to change the method of valuing inventories for certain U.S. businesses to the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") method, while in prior years, these inventories were valued using the last-in, first-out ("LIFO") method. As a result of this change in accounting principle, all inventories are valued using the FIFO method. The Company believes the change is preferable as it conforms the Company's inventory costing methods for all inventories to a single method and improves comparability with industry peers. The FIFO method also better reflects current acquisition cost of those inventories on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The Company has applied this change in method of inventory costing retrospectively to all prior periods presented herein in accordance with accounting principles relating to accounting changes. The effect of retrospectively applying the change on the Company's inventory costing method increased the inventory balance and reduced the accumulated deficit balance by $\$ 2,410$ as of January 1, 2010. There were no tax effects for the adjustments for any periods presented below due to the fact that the Company has a full valuation allowance recorded against its U.S. deferred tax assets.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except share and per share data, unless otherwise indicated) (Unaudited)

Presented below are the effects of the change in accounting principle for inventory costs on the condensed consolidated financial statements for 2011 and 2010.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations:
Three months ended June 30
2011
2010

|  |  | Computed under LIFO |  | Impact of change <br> to FIFO |  | Reported under FIFO |  | Originally reported |  | mpact of chang to FIFO |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { As } \\ \text { adjusted } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of goods sold | \$ | 152,810 | \$ | (111 | \$ | 152,699 | \$ | 126,642 | \$ | 356 |  | \$ | 126,998 |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ | 7,302 | \$ | 111 | \$ | 7,413 |  | 8,173 | \$ | (356 |  | \$ | 7,817 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$ | 4,287 | \$ | 111 | , | 4,398 |  | 4,903 | \$ | (356 |  | \$ | 4,547 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 3,129 | \$ | 111 | \$ | 3,240 |  | 4,172 |  | (356 | ) | \$ | 3,816 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Stoneridge, Inc. and subsidiaries | \$ | 3,253 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,364 |  | 4,193 | \$ | (356 | ) | \$ | 3,837 |
| Basic net income (loss) per share | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.00 | \$ | 0.14 |  | 0.17 |  | (0.01 | ) | \$ | 0.16 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share |  | 0.14 |  | 0.00 | \$ | 0.14 |  | 0.17 |  | (0.01 |  | \$ | 0.16 |

Six months ended June 30
2011
2010

|  |  | Computed under LIFO |  | mpact of change <br> to FIFO |  | Reported under FIFO |  | Originally reported |  | mact <br> chan <br> to FIF |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { As } \\ \text { adjusted } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of goods sold | \$ | 308,180 | \$ | (1,727) | \$ | 306,453 | \$ | 241,189 | \$ | (49 | ) | \$ | 241,140 |
| Operating income | \$ | 12,386 | \$ | 1,727 | \$ | 14,113 |  | 12,132 |  | 49 |  | \$ | 12,181 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ | 6,022 | \$ | 1,727 | \$ | 7,749 |  | 4,897 |  | 49 |  | \$ | 4,946 |
| Net income | \$ | 4,187 | \$ | 1,727 | \$ | 5,914 |  | 5,655 | \$ | 49 |  | \$ | 5,704 |
| Net income attributable to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stoneridge, Inc. and subsidiaries | \$ | 4,526 | \$ | 1,727 | \$ | 6,253 |  | 5,699 |  | 49 |  | \$ | 5,748 |
| Basic net income per share | \$ | 0.19 |  |  | \$ |  |  | 0.24 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.24 |
| Diluted net income per share | \$ | 0.18 |  |  | \$ | 0.25 |  | 0.23 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.24 |

Condensed Consolidated
Balance Sheets:

Edgar Filing: STONERIDGE INC - Form 10-Q

|  |  | Computed under LIFO |  | mpact of change <br> to FIFO |  | Reported under FIFO |  | Originally reported |  | mact of change to FIFO |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { As } \\ \text { adjusted } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inventories, net | \$ | 68,464 | \$ | 4,858 | \$ | 73,322 | \$ | 51,828 | \$ | 3,131 | \$ | 54,959 |
| Total current assets | \$ | 254,801 | \$ | 4,858 | \$ | 259,659 | \$ | 246,845 | \$ | 3,131 | \$ | 249,976 |
| Total assets | \$ | 403,948 | \$ | 4,858 | \$ | 408,806 | \$ | 383,605 | \$ | 3,131 | \$ | 386,736 |
| Accumulated deficit | \$ | $(76,225)$ | \$ | 4,858 | \$ | (71,367) | \$ | (80,751) | \$ | 3,131 | \$ | (77,620) |
| Total Stoneridge, Inc. and subsidiaries shareholders' equity | \$ | 95,421 | \$ | 4,858 | \$ | 100,279 | \$ | 83,780 |  | 3,131 | \$ | 86,911 |
| Total shareholders' equity | \$ | 99,661 | \$ | 4,858 | \$ | 104,519 | \$ | 88,088 | \$ | 3,131 | \$ | 91,219 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity |  | 403,948 |  | 4,858 | \$ | 408,806 | \$ | 383,605 |  | 3,131 | \$ | 386,736 |
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## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except share and per share data, unless otherwise indicated) (Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash
Flows:

Six months ended June 30
2011
2010

| Computed <br> under <br> LIFO | Impact of <br> change <br> to FIFO | Reported <br> under <br> FIFO | Originally <br> reported | Impact of <br> change <br> to FIFO | As <br> adjusted |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | $\$ 5,914$ | $\$ 5,655$ | $\$ 49$ |

## (3) Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. As discussed in Note 2, effective January 1, 2011, the Company elected to change its costing method to the FIFO method for all inventories. The Company adopted this change in accounting principle by adjusting all prior periods presented retrospectively. The Company adjusts its excess and obsolescence reserve at least on a quarterly basis. Excess inventories are quantities of items that exceed anticipated sales or usage for a reasonable period. The Company has guidelines for calculating provisions for excess inventories based on the number of months of inventories on hand compared to anticipated sales or usage. Management uses its judgment to forecast sales or usage and to determine what constitutes a reasonable period. Inventory cost includes material, labor and overhead. Inventories consisted of the following:

|  | June 30, <br> 2011 | December 31, <br> 2010 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| As adjusted |  |  |

(4) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Financial Instruments

A financial instrument is cash or a contract that imposes an obligation to deliver, or conveys a right to receive cash or another financial instrument. The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable are considered to be representative of fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments. The estimated fair value of the Company's senior secured notes at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, per quoted market sources, was $\$ 189,767$ and $\$ 187,798$, respectively. The face amount of this financial instrument as of June 30 , 2011 and December 31, 2010 was $\$ 175,000$.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

On June 30, 2011, the Company had open foreign currency forward contracts, fixed price commodity contracts and an interest rate swap. These contracts are used strictly for hedging and not for speculative purposes. Management believes that its use of these instruments to reduce risk is in the Company's best interest. The counterparties to these financial instruments are financial institutions with investment grade credit ratings.

The Company conducts business internationally and therefore is exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk. The Company uses derivative financial instruments as cash flow and fair value hedges to mitigate its exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by reducing the effect of such fluctuations on foreign currency denominated intercompany transactions and other foreign currency exposures. The currencies currently hedged by the Company include the euro and Swedish krona. These contracts expire on September 30, 2011. These foreign currency forward contracts are accounted for as fair value hedges, but do not qualify for hedge accounting and are marked to market, with gains and losses recognized in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations as a component of other expense (income), net. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized a $\$ 2,654$ loss related to euro and Swedish krona contracts. The Company's foreign currency forward contracts substantially offset gains and losses on the underlying foreign currency denominated transactions. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company held contracts intended to reduce exposure to the Mexican peso. These contracts were executed to hedge forecasted transactions, and therefore the contracts were accounted for as cash flow hedges. The Mexican peso-denominated foreign currency forward contracts expired monthly throughout 2010.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except share and per share data, unless otherwise indicated) (Unaudited)

To mitigate the risk of future price volatility and, consequently, fluctuations in gross margins, the Company entered into fixed price commodity contracts with a financial institution to fix the cost of a portion of the Company's copper purchases. In March 2011, the Company entered into fixed price commodity contracts for 1,200 pounds of copper, which represents a portion of the Company's copper purchases in the period from April 2011 to December 2011. In May 2011, the Company entered into two additional fixed price commodity contracts. The first contract was for 938 pounds of copper which covers the period from June 2011 to December 2011. The other commodity contract was for 2,000 pounds of copper and covers the period from January 2012 to December 2012. Both of these contracts represent a portion of the Company's copper purchases. Because these contracts were executed to hedge a portion of forecasted transactions, the contracts are accounted for as cash flow hedges. The unrealized gain or loss for the effective portion of the hedges is deferred and reported in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Using regression analysis, the Company has concluded that these cash flow hedges are highly effective. The effectiveness of the transactions has been and will be measured on an ongoing basis using regression analysis.

On October 4, 2010, the Company entered into a fixed-to-floating interest rate swap agreement (the "Swap") with a notional amount of $\$ 45,000$ to hedge its exposure to fair value fluctuations on a portion of its senior secured notes. The Swap was designated as a fair value hedge of the fixed interest rate obligation under the Company's $\$ 175,0009.5 \%$ senior secured notes due October 15, 2017. Under the Swap, the Company pays a variable interest rate equal to the six-month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus $7.19 \%$ and it receives a fixed interest rate of $9.5 \%$. The Swap requires semi-annual settlements on April 15 and October 15, beginning on April 15, 2011. The difference between amounts to be received and paid under the Swap is recognized as a component of interest expense, net on the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The Swap reduced interest expense by $\$ 380$ for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The critical terms of the Swap are aligned with the terms of the senior secured notes, including maturity of October 15, 2017, resulting in no hedge ineffectiveness. The unrealized gain or loss for the effective portion of the hedge is deferred and reported in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets as an asset or liability, as applicable, with the offset to the carrying value of the senior secured notes.

The notional amounts and fair values of derivative instruments in the condensed consolidated balance sheets are as follows:

|  | Notional amounts (A) December |  |  |  | Prepaid expenses and other current assets December |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { June } 30, \\ 2011 \end{array}$ |  | Other longterm liabilities <br> December <br> 31, <br> 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { June } 30, \\ 2011 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 31, \\ 2010 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { June } 30, \\ 2011 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Flow Hedge: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed price commodity contracts | \$ | 14,740 | \$ | - | \$ | 704 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Fair Value Hedge: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swap contract |  | 45,000 |  | 45,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,809 |  | 3,017 |
|  |  | 59,740 |  | 45,000 |  | 704 |  | - |  | 1,809 |  | 3,017 |
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Derivatives not designated as
hedging instruments:

| Forward currency contracts | 28,803 |  | 26,917 |  | - |  | 108 |  | 12 |  | - |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total derivatives | $\$$ | 88,543 | $\$$ | 71,917 | $\$$ | 704 | $\$$ | 108 | $\$$ | 1,821 | $\$$ | 3,017 |

(A) Notional amounts represent the gross contract / notional amount of the derivatives outstanding.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except share and per share data, unless otherwise indicated) (Unaudited)

Amounts recorded in other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity and in net income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were as follows:


Amounts recorded in other comprehensive income in shareholder's equity and in net income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were as follows:

|  | Amount of gain <br> recorded in other <br> comprehensive <br> income | Amount of loss <br> reclassified from <br> other comprehensive <br> income into net <br> income | Location of loss <br> reclassified from <br> other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| comprehensive |  |  |  |
| income |  |  |  |$\quad$| into net income |
| ---: |

These derivatives will be reclassified from other comprehensive income to the condensed consolidated statement of operations through December of 2012. The Company has measured the ineffectiveness of the commodity contracts and any amounts recognized in the condensed consolidated financial statements were immaterial for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.
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## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except share and per share data, unless otherwise indicated) (Unaudited)

The following table presents our assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and are categorized using the fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy has three levels based on the reliability of the inputs used to determine fair value. The Company does not have any assets or liabilities that have a fair value estimated using level 3 inputs.

(A)Fair values estimated using Level 1 inputs, which consist of quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date. The available for sale security is an equity security that is publically traded.
(B)Fair values estimated using Level 2 inputs, other than quoted prices, that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly and include among other things, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active or inactive as well as inputs other than quoted prices that are observable. For fixed price commodity, forward currency and interest rate swap contracts, inputs include commodity indexes, foreign currency exchange rates and the six-month forward LIBOR, respectively.
(5) Share-Based Compensation

Total compensation expense for share-based compensation arrangements recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses was $\$ 1,254$ and $\$ 699$ for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, total compensation expense recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for share-based compensation arrangements was $\$ 2,247$ and $\$ 1,224$, respectively.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except share and per share data, unless otherwise indicated) (Unaudited)
(6) Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of comprehensive income (loss), net of tax are as follows:


Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax is comprised of the following:

June 30, December 31,
20112010

| Currency translation adjustments | $\$ 8,544$ | $\$ 4,078$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Unrealized loss on marketable securities | $(51$ | $)$ |
| Unrealized gain on derivatives | 704 | $(16$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | $\$ 9,197$ | $\$ 4,062$ |

## (7) Long-Term Debt

## Senior Secured Notes

On October 4, 2010, the Company issued $\$ 175,000$ of senior secured notes. These senior notes bear interest at an annual rate of $9.5 \%$ and mature on October 15,2017 . The senior secured notes are redeemable, at the Company's option, beginning October 15, 2014 at $104.75 \%$. Interest payments commenced on April 15, 2011 and are payable on April 15 and October 15 of each year, thereafter. The senior secured notes indenture limits the amount of the Company and its restricted subsidiaries' indebtedness, restricts certain payments and includes various other non-financial restrictive covenants. The senior secured notes are guaranteed by all of the Company's existing domestic restricted subsidiaries. All other restricted subsidiaries that guarantee any indebtedness of the Company or its guarantors will also guarantee the senior secured notes.
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On September 20, 2010, the Company commenced a tender offer to purchase for cash any and all of its $\$ 183,000$ senior notes. The consent payment deadline was October 1, 2010 and the tender offer expired on October 18, 2010. For senior notes tendered before the consent payment deadline, the note holders received $\$ 1,002.50$ for each $\$ 1,000.00$ of principal amount of notes tendered. There was $\$ 109,733$ of senior notes tendered prior to the consent payment deadline and an additional $\$ 154$ tendered after the consent payment deadline but before the tender offer deadline. Holders tendering senior notes after the consent payment deadline were eligible to receive only the tender offer consideration of $\$ 1,000.00$ per $\$ 1,000.00$ principal amount of senior notes. On November 4, 2010 all senior notes which were not tendered were redeemed by the Company at par.
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## Credit Facilities

On November 2, 2007, the Company entered into an asset-based credit facility (the "credit facility"), which permits borrowing up to a maximum level of $\$ 100,000$. In connection with the senior secured notes issuance, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement (the "Amended and Restated Agreement") relating to the credit facility on September 20, 2010 which became effective on October 4, 2010. The Amended and Restated Agreement (i) provided certain consents necessary for the issuance of the senior secured notes, (ii) extended the expiration date of the credit facility to November 1, 2012 and (iii) granted the facility agent, for the benefit of the lenders, second priority liens and security interests in the collateral subject to first priority liens and security interests in favor of the collateral agent for the holders of the senior secured notes. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were no borrowings on this credit facility. The available borrowing capacity on this credit facility is based on eligible current assets, as defined. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had borrowing capacity of $\$ 78,467$ and $\$ 61,251$, respectively, based on eligible current assets and outstanding letters of credit as defined. The credit facility does not contain financial performance covenants which would constrain the Company's borrowing capacity. However, restrictions do include limits on capital expenditures, operating leases, dividends and investment activities in a negative covenant which limits investment activities to $\$ 15,000$ minus certain guarantees and obligations. The credit facility expires on November 1, 2012, and requires a commitment fee of $0.375 \%$ on the unused balance. Interest is payable quarterly at either (i) the higher of the prime rate or the Federal Funds rate plus $0.50 \%$, plus a margin of $0.00 \%$ to $0.25 \%$ or (ii) LIBOR plus a margin of $1.00 \%$ to $1.75 \%$, depending upon the Company's undrawn availability, as defined. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

On October 13, 2009, the Company's majority owned consolidated subsidiary, Bolton Conductive Systems, LLC ("BCS"), entered into a master revolving note (the "Revolver"), which permits borrowing up to a maximum level of $\$ 3,000$. On September 29, 2010, BCS amended the Revolver to extend the maturity date to September 29, 2011 and reduced the interest rate margin to $2.0 \%$. The available borrowing capacity on the Revolver is based on an advance formula, as defined. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, BCS had borrowing capacity of $\$ 1,480$ and $\$ 1,089$, respectively, based on the advance formula. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, BCS had $\$ 1,178$ and $\$ 742$ in borrowings outstanding on the Revolver, respectively, which are included on the condensed consolidated balance sheets as a component of accrued expenses and other current liabilities. Interest is payable monthly at the prime referenced rate plus a $2.0 \%$ margin. At June 30,2011 , the interest rate on the Revolver was $5.25 \%$. The Company is a guarantor of BCS as it relates to the Revolver. The Revolver contains certain financial restrictive covenants. BCS violated the fixed charge and tangible net worth covenants related to the Revolver during the first quarter of 2011 and during the fourth quarter of 2010 . BCS received waivers for those covenant violations. Subsequent to these violations, the fixed charge and tangible net worth covenants have been eliminated from the Revolver through its expiration.

## Other Debt

BCS has an installment note. Interest on the installment note is the prime referenced rate plus a $2.25 \%$ margin. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the interest rate on the installment note was $5.5 \%$. The installment note calls for monthly installment payments of principal and interest and matures in September of 2012. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the principal amount due on the installment note was $\$ 234$ and $\$ 322$, respectively.
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On August 20, 2010, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary located in Suzhou, China entered into a term loan of 4,690 Chinese yuan, which was $\$ 726$ and $\$ 712$ at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and is included on the condensed consolidated balance sheets as a component of accrued expenses and other current liabilities. The term loan matures on August 5, 2011. Interest is payable quarterly at the one-year lending rate published by The People's Bank of China multiplied by $110.0 \%$. At June 30, 2011, the interest rate on the term loan was $6.94 \%$.

## (8) Net Income Per Share

Basic net income per share was computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of Common Shares outstanding for each respective period. Diluted net income per share was calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average of all potentially dilutive Common Shares that were outstanding during the periods presented. Actual weighted-average Common Shares outstanding used in calculating basic and diluted net income per share were as follows:
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|  | Three months ended |  | Six months ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June 30, |  | June 30, |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Basic weighted-average shares outstanding | 24,161,775 | 23,964,609 | 24,089,679 | 23,922,193 |
| Effect of dilutive securities | 444,330 | 424,635 | 455,109 | 429,004 |
| Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding | 24,606,105 | 24,389,244 | 24,544,788 | 24,351,197 |

Options not included in the computation of diluted net income share to purchase 50,000 and 115,250 Common Shares at an average price of $\$ 15.73$ and $\$ 12.84$, respectively, per share were outstanding at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. These outstanding options were not included in the computation of diluted net income per share because their respective exercise prices were greater than the average market price of Company Common Shares.

There were 419,100 and 455,400 performance-based restricted Common Shares outstanding at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. These shares were not included in the computation of diluted net income per share because not all vesting conditions were achieved as of June 30, 2011 and 2010. These shares may or may not become dilutive based on the Company's ability to meet or exceed future earnings performance targets.

## (9) Restructuring

On October 29, 2007, the Company announced restructuring initiatives to improve manufacturing efficiency and cost position by ceasing manufacturing operations at its Sarasota, Florida and Mitcheldean, United Kingdom locations. In response to the depressed conditions in the North American and European commercial and automotive vehicle markets in 2009, the Company also began restructuring initiatives in its Electronics reportable segment. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company continued restructuring initiatives within the Electronics segment which began in 2009 and recorded amounts related to its cancelled lease in Mitcheldean, United Kingdom. In connection with these initiatives, the Company recorded a restructuring charge of $\$ 223$ and $\$ 304$ in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations as part of selling, general and administrative for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. These restructuring initiatives are substantially complete. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 the only remaining restructuring related liability relates to the cancelled lease in Mitcheldean, United Kingdom, which the Company has accrued $\$ 1,149$ and $\$ 1,117$, respectively, on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. There have been no adjustments to the lease liability since December 31, 2010 other than the impact of foreign exchange losses. There were no restructuring activities related to the Control Devices reportable segment during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

## (10) Commitments and Contingencies

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings, workers' compensation and product liability disputes. The Company is of the opinion that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, cash flows or the financial position of the Company.

On October 13, 2009, the Company acquired $51 \%$ membership interest in BCS. The purchase agreement provides that the Company may be required to make additional payments to the previous owners of BCS for the $51 \%$ membership interest the Company purchased based on BCS achieving financial performance targets as defined by the purchase agreement. The maximum amount of additional payments to the prior owners of BCS is $\$ 3,200$ per year in
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2012 and 2013 and is contingent upon BCS achieving profitability targets based on earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization in the years 2011 and 2012, respectively. In addition, the Company may be required to make additional payments to BCS of approximately $\$ 500$ in 2012 based on BCS achieving annual revenue targets in 2011. The Company recorded a liability of $\$ 435$; the fair value of the estimated future additional payments to the prior owners of BCS as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 on the condensed consolidated balance sheets as a component of other long-term liabilities. The purchase agreement provides the Company with the option to purchase the remaining $49 \%$ interest in BCS in 2013 at a price determined in accordance with the purchase agreement. If the Company does not exercise this option, the minority owners of BCS have the option in 2014 to purchase the Company's $51 \%$ interest in BCS at a price determined in accordance with the purchase agreement or to jointly market BCS for sale.
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As a result of an environmental phase one study performed on the Company's facility located in Sarasota, Florida, the Company became aware of soil and groundwater contamination at the facility. The Company engaged an environmental engineering consultant that developed a remediation and monitoring plan for the site and submitted this plan to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("FL DEP") in March 2011. The soil remediation was completed in December 2010. Prior to beginning the groundwater remediation, the FL DEP has requested that the Company perform additional groundwater sampling. The Company anticipates completing this sampling and receiving approval from the FL DEP during 2011. The Company did not incur environmental remediation expenses during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company has accrued $\$ 1,305$ related to the remediation on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

## Product Warranty and Recall

Amounts accrued for product warranty and recall claims are established based on the Company's best estimate of the amounts necessary to settle future and existing claims on products sold as of the balance sheet dates. These accruals are based on several factors including past experience, production changes, industry developments and various other considerations. The Company can provide no assurances that it will not experience material claims in the future or that it will not incur significant costs to defend or settle such claims beyond the amounts accrued or beyond what the Company may recover from its suppliers. Product warranty and recall is included as a component of accrued expenses and other current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The following provides a reconciliation of changes in product warranty and recall liability:
Six months ended June 30
2011
2010

| Product warranty and recall at beginning of period | $\$ 3,831$ | $\$ 4,764$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accruals for products shipped during period | 915 | 1,140 |
| Aggregate changes in pre-existing liabilities due to claim developments | $(87$ | $(1,300$ |
| Settlements made during the period (in cash or in kind) | 668 | $(2,182)$ |
| Product warranty and recall at end of period | $\$ 3,359$ | $\$ 4,390$ |

## (11) Employee Benefit Plans

The Company had a single defined benefit pension plan that covered certain former employees in the United Kingdom. As a result of placing Stoneridge Pollak Limited ("SPL") into administration during the six months ended June 30, 2010, as described in Note 13, the Company settled the defined benefit pension plan.

Long-Term Cash Incentive Plans
In March 2009, the Company adopted the Stoneridge, Inc. Long-Term Cash Incentive Plan ("LTCIP") and granted awards to certain officers and key employees. For 2009, the awards under the LTCIP provide recipients with the right to receive cash three years from the date of grant depending on the Company's actual earnings per share performance for a performance period comprised of 2009, 2010 and 2011 fiscal years. The Company will record an accrual for an award to be paid in the period earned based on anticipated achievement of the performance goal. If the participant voluntarily terminates employment or is discharged for cause, as defined in the LTCIP, the award will be forfeited. In May 2009, the LTCIP was approved by the Company's shareholders. The Company has not recorded an accrual for
these awards granted under the LTCIP at June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010 as the achievement of the performance goal is not considered probable at this time.

For 2010, the awards under the LTCIP provide recipients with the right to receive an amount of cash equal to the fair market value of a specified number of Common Shares, without par value, of the Company ("Phantom Shares") three years from the date of grant depending on the Company's actual earnings per share performance for each fiscal year of 2010, 2011 and 2012 within the performance period. The Company will record an accrual based on the fair market value of the Phantom Shares for an award to be paid in the period earned based on anticipated achievement of the performance goals. If the participant voluntarily terminates employment or is discharged for cause, as defined in the LTCIP, the award will be forfeited. The Company recorded an accrual of $\$ 345$ and $\$ 184$ for these awards granted under the LTCIP at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, which is included on the condensed consolidated balance sheets as a component of other long-term liabilities.

There were no awards granted under the LTCIP during the six months ended June 30, 2011.
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## (12) Income Taxes

The Company recognized a provision for income taxes of $\$ 1,158$, or $26.3 \%$ and $\$ 731$, or $16.1 \%$ of pre-tax income for federal, state and foreign income taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company recognized a provision for income taxes of $\$ 1,835$, or $23.7 \%$ of pre-tax income and a benefit from income taxes of $\$ 758$, or $(15.3 \%)$ of pre-tax loss, for federal, state and foreign taxes for the six months ended June 30,2011 and 2010. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company is in a cumulative loss position and provides a valuation allowance offsetting federal, state and certain foreign deferred tax assets. The increase in tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to those same periods for 2010 was attributable to the improved financial performance of the European operations as well as the improved financial performance of the Company's PST Eletrônica S.A. ("PST") joint venture. Additionally, as a result of placing SPL into administration, as described in Note 13, the Company recognized a one-time tax benefit of $\$ 1,170$ during the six months ended June 30 , 2010 from the reversal of a deferred tax liability, related to employee benefits, that were previously included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity.

During the fourth quarter of 2010 the Company undertook a secondary offering. As a result of the secondary offering a substantial change in the Company's ownership occurred and the Company likely experienced an ownership change pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The Company is in the process with its advisors of evaluating the secondary offering and the potential impact, if any, on our ability to fully utilize net operating loss and research credit carry forwards. If it is ultimately determined that the Company did experience an ownership change, there would not be an impact to the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 or the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 due to the Company being in a valuation allowance position.
(13) SPL Administration

On February 23, 2010, the Company placed its wholly owned subsidiary, SPL into administration (a structured bankruptcy) in the United Kingdom. The Company had previously ceased operations at the facility as of December 2008 as part of the restructuring initiatives announced on October 29, 2007, as described in Note 9. The remaining assets and customer contracts of SPL were transferred to other subsidiaries of the Company subsequent to SPL filing for administration. As a result of placing SPL into administration the Company recognized a net gain of approximately $\$ 3,423$ during the six months ended June 30,2010 . This gain was primarily related to the reversal of the cumulative translation adjustment account ("CTA") and deferred tax liabilities, which had previously been included as a component of other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity. The net gain of approximately $\$ 2,253$, primarily due to reversing the CTA balance is included as a component of other expense (income), net on the condensed consolidated statement of operations. The benefit from reversing the deferred tax liabilities, primarily employee benefit related of approximately $\$ 1,170$, is included as a component of benefit from income taxes on the condensed consolidated statement of operations, as described in Note 12.

## (14) Segment Reporting

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise that are evaluated regularly by the Company's chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company's chief operating decision maker is the president and chief executive officer.

The Company has two reportable segments: Electronics and Control Devices. The Company's operating segments are aggregated based on sharing similar economic characteristics. Other aggregation factors include the nature of the products offered and management and oversight responsibilities. The Electronics reportable segment produces electronic instrument clusters, electronic control units, driver information systems and electrical distribution systems, primarily wiring harnesses and connectors for electrical power and signal distribution. The Control Devices reportable segment produces electronic and electromechanical switches and control actuation devices and sensors.

The accounting policies of the Company's reportable segments are the same as those described in Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" of the Company's December 31, 2010 Form 10-K. The Company's management evaluates the performance of its reportable segments based primarily on net sales from external customers, capital expenditures and income before income taxes. Inter-segment sales are accounted for on terms similar to those to third parties and are eliminated upon consolidation.
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As discussed in Note 2, effective January 1, 2011, the Company elected to change its method of valuing inventories for certain U.S. businesses to the FIFO method, while in prior years, these inventories were valued using LIFO. As a result of this change, all inventories are valued using the FIFO method. Segment information has been retrospectively adjusted for prior periods to reflect the change in accounting principle.

A summary of financial information by reportable segment is as follows:

Three months ended
June 30,
20112010
As adjusted

Six months ended June 30, 20112010 As adjusted

| Net Sales: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electronics | \$ 124,085 | \$104,927 | \$248,902 | \$ 196,565 |
| Inter-segment sales | 6,382 | 3,353 | 12,848 | 6,464 |
| Electronics net sales | 130,467 | 108,280 | 261,750 | 203,029 |
| Control Devices | 66,332 | 61,335 | 134,559 | 117,771 |
| Inter-segment sales | 961 | 936 | 1,934 | 1,784 |
| Control Devices net sales | 67,293 | 62,271 | 136,493 | 119,555 |
| Eliminations | (7,343 | ) $(4,289$ | ) $(14,782$ | ) $(8,248$ |
| Total net sales | \$190,417 | \$166,262 | \$383,461 | \$314,336 |

$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes: } & \$ 464 & \$ 4,404 & \$ 1,133 & \$ 38,753 \\ \text { Electronics (A) } & 6,018 & 5,144 & 11,701 & 8,651 \\ \text { Control Devices (A) } & 1,746 & 247 & 2,587 & (32,049 \\ \text { Other corporate activities (A) } & (3,830 & ) & (5,248 & ) \\ \text { Corporate interest expense } & \$ 4,398 & \$ 4,547 & \$ 7,749 & (10,409\end{array}\right)$

| Depreciation and Amortization: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electronics | $\$ 2,634$ | $\$ 2,283$ | $\$ 5,096$ | $\$ 4,525$ |
| Control Devices | 2,379 | 2,561 | 4,843 | 5,026 |
| Corporate | 50 | 85 | 100 | 172 |
| Total depreciation and amortization (B) | $\$ 5,063$ | $\$ 4,929$ | $\$ 10,039$ | $\$ 9,723$ |
| Interest Expense, net: |  |  |  |  |
| Electronics | $\$ 433$ | $\$ 376$ | $\$ 836$ | $\$ 821$ |
| Control Devices | 26 | 6 | 47 | 6 |
| Corporate | 3,830 | 5,248 | 7,672 | 10,409 |
| Total interest expense, net | $\$ 4,289$ | $\$ 5,630$ | $\$ 8,555$ | $\$ 11,236$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Expenditures: | $\$ 7,447$ | $\$ 2,323$ | $\$ 9,844$ | $\$ 4,786$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { Control Devices } & 2,297 & 1,040 & 4,240 & 2,324 \\ \text { Corporate } & 31 & 81 & 33 & (47 \\ \text { Total capital expenditures } & \$ 9,775 & \$ 3,444 & \$ 14,117 & \$ 7,063\end{array}\right)$
(A)During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company placed SPL into administration. As a result of placing SPL into administration the Company recognized a gain within the Electronics reportable segment of $\$ 32,512$ and losses within other corporate activities and within the Control Devices reportable segment of approximately $\$ 32,039$ and $\$ 473$, respectively. These results were primarily due to eliminating SPL's intercompany debt and equity structure.
(B) These amounts represent depreciation and amortization on fixed and certain intangible assets.
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|  | June 30, <br> 2011 | December 31, <br> 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As adjusted |  |  |

(C) Assets located at Corporate consist primarily of cash and equity investments.

The following table presents net sales and non-current assets for each of the geographic areas in which the Company operates:

|  | Three months ended |  |  | Six months ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | June 30, |
| Net Sales: |  |  |  |  |  |
| North America | \$ 147,608 |  | \$ 135,749 | \$300,379 | \$256,492 |
| Europe and Other | 42,809 |  | 30,513 | 83,082 | 57,844 |
| Total net sales | \$ 190,417 |  | \$ 166,262 | \$383,461 | \$314,336 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  | December $2010$ |  |  |
| Non-Current Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| North America | \$133,817 |  | \$ 124,851 |  |  |
| Europe and Other | 15,330 |  | 11,909 |  |  |
| Total non-current assets | \$149,147 |  | \$ 136,760 |  |  |

(15) Investments

Both of the Company's joint ventures at June 30, 2011 were determined to be unconsolidated joint ventures and were accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

## PST Eletrônica S.A.

The Company has a 50\% equity interest in PST, a Brazilian electronic system provider focused on security, infotainment and convenience applications primarily for the automotive and motorcycle industry. The Company's investment in PST, recorded as a component of investments and other long-term assets, net on the condensed consolidated balance sheets, was $\$ 47,590$ and $\$ 41,178$ at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.
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Condensed financial information for PST is as follows:

|  | Three months ended |  | Six months ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June | 2011 | June 30, 2010 |
| Net sales | \$62,696 | \$40,812 | \$ 115,934 | \$74,122 |
| Cost of goods sold | \$36,743 | \$21,552 | \$65,352 | \$39,166 |
| Total pre-tax income | \$3,968 | \$3,426 | \$7,900 | \$4,623 |
| The Company's share of pre-tax income | \$1,984 | \$1,713 | \$3,950 | \$2,312 |

Equity in earnings of PST included in the condensed consolidated statements of operations was $\$ 1,595$ and $\$ 1,350$ for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, equity in earnings of PST was $\$ 3,104$ and $\$ 1,833$, respectively.

Minda Stoneridge Instruments Ltd.
The Company has a 49\% interest in Minda Stoneridge Instruments Ltd. ("Minda"), a company based in India that manufactures electronics and instrumentation equipment for the motorcycle and commercial vehicle market. The Company's investment in Minda recorded as a component of investments and other long-term assets, net on the condensed consolidated balance sheets, was $\$ 6,917$ and $\$ 6,287$ at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Equity in earnings of Minda included in the condensed consolidated statements of operations was $\$ 213$ and $\$ 261$, for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, equity in earnings of Minda was $\$ 620$ and $\$ 469$, respectively.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

## Overview

The following Management Discussion and Analysis ("MD\&A") is intended to help the reader understand the results of operations and financial condition of Stoneridge, Inc. (the "Company"). This MD\&A is provided as a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with, our condensed consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to the financial statements.

We are a global designer and manufacturer of highly engineered electrical and electronic components, modules and systems for the commercial, automotive, agricultural and off-highway vehicle markets.

We recognized net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 of $\$ 3.4$ million, or $\$ .14$ per diluted share, compared with net income of $\$ 3.8$ million, or $\$ 0.16$ per diluted share, for the second quarter of 2010.

Our second quarter 2011 results were positively affected by improvements in the North American automotive and North American and European commercial vehicle markets. Production volumes in the North American automotive vehicle market were consistent for the second quarter of 2011 with the second quarter of 2010. The automotive vehicle market production volume had a positive effect on our North American automotive vehicle market net sales of approximately $\$ 1.6$ million, primarily within our Control Devices segment. The commercial vehicle market production volumes in North America improved by $60.8 \%$ during the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the prior year second quarter, which resulted in increased net sales of approximately $\$ 2.1$ million, primarily within our Electronics segment. Our net sales were also favorably affected by increased European commercial vehicle production volumes of $37.4 \%$ during the second quarter of 2011 as compared to the prior year second quarter, which had a positive effect on our net sales of approximately $\$ 5.6$ million, principally within the Electronics segment. Our 2011 second quarter net sales were further favorably affected by approximately $\$ 4.8$ million, primarily within in the Electronics segment, due to production volume increases within the agricultural vehicle market. Our net sales to the agricultural vehicle market as a percentage of total net sales increased from $13.7 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010 to $14.9 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 . These increases in net sales were favorably affected by foreign currency exchange rates of approximately $\$ 5.8$ million during the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the second quarter of 2010 .

Negatively affecting our second quarter 2011 results were significant increases in certain commodity prices and foreign currency exchange rates, primarily copper and the Mexican peso. The increases in these commodity and foreign exchange rates negatively impacted our results by approximately $\$ 1.1$ million and $\$ 2.0$ million, respectively, during the current quarter. Also, negatively affecting our current quarter results were operating inefficiencies experienced in our North American wiring locations within our Electronics segment. These operating inefficiencies resulted in higher than expected labor and premium freight charges in the current quarter. As a result, our gross margin percentage decreased from $23.6 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010 to $19.8 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 . We are executing plans including reducing direct labor headcount and developing more efficient manufacturing processes to address these inefficiencies. These strategies have reduced and we expect them to continue to reduce these types of costs through the remainder of 2011.

Effective January 1, 2011, we elected to change our method of valuing inventories for certain U.S. businesses to the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") method, while in prior years, these inventories were valued using the last-in, first-out ("LIFO") method. As a result of this change, all inventories are valued using the FIFO method. We believe the change is preferable as it conforms the inventory costing methods for all of our inventories to a single method and improves comparability with our industry peers. The FIFO method also better reflects current acquisition cost of those inventories on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. All prior periods presented herein have been adjusted to
apply the new method retrospectively and conform to the current costing methodology. For the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, the effect of the change on our inventory costing method was an increase in net income of $\$ 0.1$ million and a decrease of $\$ 0.4$ million, respectively, after the change in accounting principle was applied to prior periods.

Our selling, general and administrative expenses ("SG\&A") decreased from $\$ 31.4$ million for the second quarter of 2010 to $\$ 30.3$ million for the second quarter of 2011. This $\$ 1.1$ million, or $3.6 \%$ decrease in SG\&A was due to the reduction of product development costs incurred in the current quarter. Our product development costs decreased by $\$ 2.7$ million compared to the prior year. Excluding product development, our compensation and compensation related expenses increased by approximately $\$ 0.3$ million from the prior year second quarter, primarily as a result of increased share-based compensation expenses.

Our results for the six months ended June 30, 2010 were also favorably affected by the wind down of our wholly-owned subsidiary, Stoneridge Pollak Limited ("SPL"), located in Mitcheldean, United Kingdom. On February 23, 2010, we placed SPL into administration (a structured bankruptcy) in the United Kingdom. We had previously ceased SPL's manufacturing operations in December of 2008, as part of the restructuring initiatives announced in October 2007. The remaining assets and customer contracts of SPL were transferred to our other subsidiaries subsequent to SPL filing for administration. We recognized a net gain within other expense (income), net of approximately $\$ 2.3$ million, primarily from the reversal of the cumulative translation adjustment account, which had previously been included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity. In addition, we recognized a tax benefit of approximately $\$ 1.2$ million from the reversal of deferred tax liabilities; primarily employee benefit related which were also previously included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we maintained a cash and equivalents balance of $\$ 36.4$ million and $\$ 72.0$ million, respectively. Our cash and equivalents balance declined during the current quarter as a result of increased working capital requirements including higher inventory levels at our North American wiring locations due to increased production, operating inefficiencies and the start-up of a new facility in Mexico. As discussed in Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, we had no borrowings under our asset-based credit facility and June 30, 2011. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had borrowing capacity of $\$ 78.5$ million and $\$ 61.3$ million, respectively.

## Outlook

The North American automotive vehicle market has recovered significantly from recent levels, which has had a favorable effect on our Control Devices segment's results. North American automotive vehicle production was 11.9 million units for 2010. For 2011, this production volume is forecasted to be in the range of 12.8 million to 13.0 million units. If this forecasted increase in production volume occurs, it will favorably affect our Control Devices segment.

The North American and European commercial vehicle markets that we serve also recovered during the first six months of 2011. Production levels in the commercial vehicle market are expected to continue to increase throughout the second half of the year. If these forecasted increases in production volumes occur, they will favorably affect our Electronics segment.

Agricultural vehicle production increased for the first six months of 2011 when compared to the first six months of 2010, which favorably affected both our Electronics and Control Devices segments. We believe that this market will continue to improve during the remainder of 2011.

Our 2011 results could be unfavorably affected by increased commodity prices, specifically copper. Copper prices increased during 2010 and have continued to rise in 2011. We have entered into fixed price commodity contracts effective April and May of 2011 for a portion of our 2011 copper purchases and for a portion of our 2012 copper purchases. In addition, a portion of our 2011 sales are subject to copper surcharge billings that will mitigate this increase in our raw material costs. Our 2011 results could also be unfavorably affected by foreign currency exchange rates. We have significant foreign denominated transaction exposure in certain locations, especially in Mexico and Sweden.

On March 11, 2011, an earthquake and tsunami hit the northeastern coast of Japan. Although we have very little direct sales to mainland Japan, many of our electronic components are sourced from Japanese suppliers. Since the natural disaster, we have worked with our customers and suppliers to find alternative means to assure that our supplies are not interrupted. We have not experienced supplier shortages to date related to the natural disaster. We will
continue to monitor and work with our suppliers and customers to mitigate any potential future shortages though at this time we do not foresee any future shortages. In addition, if any of our significant customers experience supplier interruptions as a result of the disaster and need to slow their production schedules, our financial results could be negatively affected. During the first half of 2011, we experienced minimal component shortages in our supply base unrelated to the natural disaster in Japan, which has had a nominal adverse effect on our results. At this time, we do not foresee significant component shortages unrelated to the natural disaster in the future.

## Results of Operations

We are primarily organized by markets served and products produced. Under this organizational structure, our operations have been aggregated into two reportable segments: Electronics and Control Devices. The Electronics reportable segment includes results of operations that design and manufacture electronic instrument clusters, electronic control units, driver information systems and electrical distribution systems, primarily wiring harnesses and connectors for electrical power and signal distribution. The Control Devices reportable segment includes results of operations that design and manufacture sensors, switches, valves and actuators.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2010
Net Sales. Net sales for our reportable segments, excluding inter-segment sales are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

| Three months ended June 30 | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | Dollar <br> increase | Percent <br> increase |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electronics | $\$ 124,085$ | 65.2 | $\%$ | $\$ 104,927$ | 63.1 | $\%$ | $\$ 19,158$ | 18.3 |$\%$

Our Electronics segment was positively affected by increased volume in our served markets by approximately $\$ 11.0$ million for the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the prior year second quarter. The increase in net sales for our Electronics segment was primarily due to volume increases in our North American and European commercial vehicle products. Commercial vehicle market production volumes in North America and Europe increased by 60.8\% and $37.4 \%$, respectively, during the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the prior year second quarter. The increase in North American and European commercial vehicle production positively affected net sales in our Electronics segment for the second quarter of 2011 by approximately $\$ 0.3$ million, or $0.5 \%$ and $\$ 5.6$ million, or $22.0 \%$, respectively. Net sales within our Electronics segment were also favorably affected by approximately $\$ 4.3$ million as a result of production volume increases in the agricultural vehicle market during the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the prior year second quarter. Electronics segment net sales also increased due to increases in net new business primarily for North American wiring products. In addition, the Electronics segment net sales were favorably affected by foreign currency fluctuations of approximately $\$ 5.8$ million for the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the second quarter of 2010.

Our Control Devices segment was positively affected by increased volume in our served markets by approximately $\$ 4.6$ million for the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the prior year second quarter. Production volumes in our Control Devices segment were consistent for the second quarter of 2011 with the second quarter of 2010. Volume increases within the commercial vehicle market of our Control Devices segment increased net sales for the second quarter of 2011 by approximately $\$ 1.8$ million, or $33.3 \%$, when compared to the prior year second quarter. In addition, our Control Devices net sales were approximately $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 0.5$ million higher for the second quarter of 2011, when compared to the second quarter of 2010 as a result of production volume increases within the automotive and agricultural vehicle markets.

Net sales by geographic location are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

| Three months ended June 30 | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | Dollar <br> increase | Percent <br> increase |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North America | $\$ 147,608$ | 77.5 | $\%$ | $\$ 135,749$ | 81.6 | $\%$ | $\$ 11,859$ | 8.7 |
| Europe and Other | 42,809 | 22.5 | 30,513 | 18.4 | 12,296 | 40.3 | $\%$ |  |
| Total net sales | $\$ 190,417$ | 100.0 | $\%$ | $\$ 166,262$ | 100.0 | $\%$ | $\$ 24,155$ | 14.5 |

The North American geographic location consists of the results of our operations in the United States and Mexico.
The increase in North American net sales was primarily attributable to increased sales volume in our North American agricultural, commercial and automotive vehicle markets. These increased volume levels had a positive effect on our net sales for the second quarter of 2011 of $\$ 4.8$ million, $\$ 2.1$ million and $\$ 1.6$ million for our North American
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agricultural, commercial and automotive vehicle markets, respectively. Also, North American net sales were positively impacted by increases in net new business of wiring products. Our increase in net sales outside North America was primarily due to increased sales of European commercial vehicle market products, which had a positive effect on our net sales for the second quarter of 2011 of approximately $\$ 5.6$ million. In addition, our second quarter 2011 net sales outside of North America were positively affected by foreign currency fluctuations of approximately $\$ 5.7$ million.

Condensed consolidated statements of operations as a percentage of net sales are presented in the following table (in thousands):


Cost of Goods Sold. Although we benefited from increased sales during the second quarter of 2011 when compared to second quarter of 2010, our increase in cost of goods sold outpaced our increase in net sales on a percentage basis. The primary drivers of the increase in cost of goods sold as a percent of net sales are higher commodity prices, certain unfavorable foreign exchange rates and operating inefficiencies, primarily within our North American wiring locations in our Electronics segment. Commodity prices, principally copper, have increased significantly from the second quarter of 2010, which had a negative impact of approximately $\$ 1.1$ million during the second quarter of 2011. Our gross margin percentage was further negatively impacted by higher copper purchases to support higher production volumes as the increase in copper prices outpaced our increase in net sales. The unfavorable movement in the Mexican peso compared to the U.S. dollar has also negatively affected our 2011 gross margin. This negative foreign currency exposure has increased our cost of goods sold by approximately $\$ 2.0$ million during the second quarter of 2011. Also, during the second quarter of 2011 we experienced operating inefficiencies, primarily in the form of premium freight charges and unfavorable labor variances in order to meet higher sales level customer demands. Premium freight charges between the periods presented increased by approximately $\$ 0.4$ million. Labor inefficiencies at our North American wiring facilities further negatively affected our results by approximately $\$ 1.4$ million. We are executing plans to address these inefficiencies and have reduced and expect to continue to reduce these types of costs over the next quarter. Our material cost as a percentage of net sales for our Electronics segment
for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010 was $58.6 \%$ and $57.4 \%$, respectively. This increase is largely due to higher commodity prices, primarily copper, incurred during the second quarter of 2011. Our materials cost as a percentage of sales for the Control Devices segment increased from $52.3 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010 to $56.1 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011. The increase in direct materials as a percentage of net sales for the Control Devices segment is primarily a result of higher commodity prices incurred, principally precious metals and resins, during the current quarter. In addition, during the current quarter we experienced higher scrap rates related to a new manufacturing process introduced in 2010. We expect that these scrap rates will be reduced throughout the year.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Product development expenses are included within SG\&A and were $\$ 7.3$ million and $\$ 10.0$ million for the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. These product development costs are a result of our customers' new product launches scheduled in the near term. The increase in SG\&A costs excluding product development expenses was mainly due to increased share-based compensation expenses of $\$ 0.3$ million. Our SG\&A costs decreased as a percentage of net sales because of the increase in net sales in the current quarter when compared to the prior year second quarter.

Interest Expense, net. The decrease in interest expense, net during the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the prior second quarter is due to the refinancing of our senior secured notes and entering into a fixed-to-variable interest rate swap agreement (the "Swap") during the fourth quarter of 2010. Our interest expense was approximately $\$ 1.3$ million lower during the current quarter as a result of the refinancing and the Swap.

Equity in Earnings of Investees. The increase in equity earnings of investees was attributable to the increase in equity earnings recognized from our PST Eletrônica S.A. ("PST") joint venture. Equity earnings for PST increased from \$1.4 million for the second quarter of 2010 to $\$ 1.6$ million for the second quarter of 2011. PST's higher sales volume for the second quarter of 2011 is a result of the introduction of an audio product line as well as higher volumes for PST's product lines.

Other Expense (Income), net. We record certain foreign currency transaction and forward currency hedge contract gains and losses as a component of other expense (income), net on the condensed consolidated statement of operations. Our results for the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the second quarter of 2010 were unfavorably affected by approximately $\$ 1.3$ million due to the volatility in certain foreign exchange rates between periods.

Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes. Income (loss) before income taxes is summarized in the following table by reportable segment (in thousands).

| Three months ended June 30 | 2011 |  |  | 2010As adjusted |  |  |  | Dollar increase / (decrease) |  | Percent increase / (decrease) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electronics | \$ | 464 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 4,404 |  | \$ | (3,940 | ) | (89.5 | )\% |
| Control Devices |  | 6,018 |  |  | 5,144 |  |  | 874 |  | 17.0 | \% |
| Other corporate activities |  | 1,746 |  |  | 247 |  |  | 1,499 |  | 606.9 | \% |
| Corporate interest expense |  | (3,830 | ) |  | (5,248 | ) |  | 1,418 |  | 27.0 | \% |
| Income before income taxes | \$ | 4,398 |  | \$ | 4,547 |  | \$ | (149 | ) | (3.3 | )\% |

Although net sales within our Electronics segment increased during the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the prior year second quarter, our income before income taxes declined between periods. This decrease in profitability is primarily due to the North American wiring locations within the Electronics operating segment. The primary drivers of the decrease in profitability are higher commodity prices, certain unfavorable foreign exchange rates and operating inefficiencies. Specifically, higher commodity costs resulting from increasing copper prices and an unfavorable movement in the Mexican peso to the U.S. dollar negatively affected earnings by $\$ 1.1$ million and $\$ 2.0$ million, respectively. We experienced operating inefficiencies primarily in the form of premium freight charges and unfavorable labor variances in order to meet higher levels of customer demand. Premium freight charges and labor variances were higher than the prior period by $\$ 0.4$ million and $\$ 1.4$ million, respectively. We are executing plans to address these operating inefficiencies and have reduced and expect to continue to reduce these types of costs through the remainder of 2011.

The increase in profitability in the Control Devices reportable segment was primarily due to increased sales volume in the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the second quarter of 2010. Production volume increases favorably affected our net sales within the Control Devices segment by approximately $\$ 4.6$ million for the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the prior year second quarter.

The increase in income before income taxes from other corporate activities was primarily due to increased equity earnings of $\$ 0.2$ million during the second quarter of 2011 when compared to the second quarter of 2010 from our PST joint venture. The increase was also related to reduced incentive compensation expenses during the second quarter of 2011.

Income (loss) before income taxes by geographic location is summarized in the following table (in thousands):

| Three months ended June 30 |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | Dollar increase |  | Percent increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North America | \$2,110 | 48.0 | \% | \$4,725 |  | 103.8 | \% | \$ 2,615 | ) | 55.3 | \% |
| Europe and Other | 2,288 | 52.0 |  | (178 | ) | (3.8 | ) | 2,466 |  | (1,385.0 | )\% |
| Income before income taxes | \$4,398 | 100.0 | \% | \$4,547 |  | 100.0 | \% | \$(149 | ) | (3.3 | )\% |

North American income before income taxes includes interest expense, net of approximately $\$ 3.7$ million and $\$ 5.6$ million for the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The decrease in profitability in North America was primarily attributable to our wiring locations within the Electronics operating segment. Sales at these locations increased faster than the operations could efficiently handle resulting in operating inefficiencies. Also, negatively affecting our second quarter 2011 results were significant increases in certain commodity prices and foreign currency exchange rates, primarily copper and the Mexican peso. Our results in Europe and Other were favorably affected by our increased European commercial vehicle market sales during the current period.

Provision for Income Taxes. We recognized a provision for income taxes of $\$ 1.2$ million, or $26.3 \%$ of pre-tax income, and $\$ 0.7$ million, or $16.1 \%$ of the pre-tax income, for federal, state and foreign income taxes for the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. As reported at December 31, 2010, we are in a cumulative loss position and provide a valuation allowance offsetting federal, state and certain foreign deferred tax assets. The increase in tax expense for the second quarter of 2011 compared to that same period for 2010 was attributable to the improved financial performance of our European operations as well as the improved financial performance of our PST joint venture for which we are required to provide deferred tax expense on our share of its earnings.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2010
Net Sales. Net sales for our reportable segments, excluding inter-segment sales are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

| Six months ended June 30 | 2011 |  |  |  | 2010 |  | Dollar increase | Percent increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electronics | \$248,902 | 64.9 | \% | \$196,565 | 62.5 | \% | \$52,337 | 26.6 | \% |
| Control Devices | 134,559 | 35.1 |  | 117,771 | 37.5 |  | 16,788 | 14.3 | \% |
| Total net sales | \$383,461 | 100.0 | \% | \$314,336 | 100.0 | \% | \$69,125 | 22.0 | \% |

Our Electronics segment was positively affected by increased volume in our served markets by approximately $\$ 40.1$ million for the first half of 2011 when compared to the prior year first half. The increase in net sales for our Electronics segment was primarily due to volume increases in our North American and European commercial vehicle products. Commercial vehicle market production volumes in North America and Europe increased by $52.4 \%$ and $51.4 \%$, respectively, during the first half of 2011 when compared to the prior year first half. The increase in North American and European commercial vehicle production positively affected net sales in our Electronics segment for the first half of 2011 by approximately $\$ 10.3$ million or $10.5 \%$ and $\$ 16.1$ million, or $32.4 \%$, respectively. Net sales within our Electronics segment were also favorably affected by approximately $\$ 11.9$ million as a result of production
volume increases in the agricultural vehicle market during the first half of 2011 when compared to the prior year first half. Our Electronics segment net sales also increased due to increases in net new business primarily for North American wiring products. In addition, the Electronics segment net sales were favorably affected by foreign currency fluctuations of approximately $\$ 7.9$ million for the first half of 2011 when compared to the first half of 2010.

Our Control Devices segment was positively affected by increased volume in our served markets by approximately $\$ 14.6$ million for the first half of 2011 when compared to the prior year first half. The increase in net sales for our Control Devices segment was primarily attributable to production volume increases at our major customers in the North American automotive vehicle market, which increased by $8.4 \%$ during the first half of 2011 when compared to the first half of 2010. Volume increases within the automotive vehicle market of our Control Devices segment increased net sales for the first half of 2011 by approximately $\$ 7.5$ million, or $7.8 \%$, when compared to the prior year first half. In addition, our Control Devices net sales were approximately $\$ 3.3$ million and $\$ 1.2$ million higher for the first half of 2011, when compared to the first half of 2010 as a result of production volume increases within the commercial and agricultural vehicle markets.
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Net sales by geographic location are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

| Six months ended June 30 |  |  |  |  | Dollar <br> increase | Percent <br> increase |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North America | $\$ 300,379$ | 78.3 | $\%$ | $\$ 256,492$ | 81.6 | $\%$ | $\$ 43,887$ | 17.1 |
| Europe and other | 83,082 | 21.7 |  | 57,844 | 18.4 | $\%$ |  |  |
| Total net sales | $\$ 383,461$ | 100.0 | $\%$ | $\$ 314,336$ | 100.0 | $\%$ | $\$ 69,125$ | 22.0 |

The North American geographic location consists of the results of our operations in the United States and Mexico.
The increase in North American net sales was primarily attributable to increased sales volume in our North American commercial, agricultural and automotive vehicle markets. These increased volume levels had a positive effect on our net sales for the first half of 2011 of $\$ 13.6$ million, $\$ 13.1$ million and $\$ 7.9$ million for our North American commercial, agricultural and automotive vehicle markets, respectively. Our increase in North American net sales was also favorably affected by $\$ 3.4$ million of net new business of wiring products during the current period. Our increase in net sales outside North America was primarily due to increased sales of European commercial vehicle market products, which had a positive effect on our net sales for the first half of 2011 of approximately $\$ 16.2$ million. In addition, our first half 2011 net sales outside of North America were positively affected by foreign currency fluctuations of approximately $\$ 7.9$ million.

Condensed consolidated statements of operations as a percentage of net sales are presented in the following table (in thousands):


Net income attributable to Stoneridge, Inc.

| and subsidiaries | $\$ 6,253$ | 1.6 | $\%$ | $\$ 5,748$ | 1.7 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Cost of Goods Sold. Although we benefited from increased sales during the first half of 2011 when compared to first half of 2010 , our increase in cost of goods sold outpaced our increase in net sales on a percentage basis. The primary drivers of the increase in cost of goods sold as a percent of net sales are higher commodity prices, certain unfavorable foreign exchange rates and operating inefficiencies, primarily within our North American wiring locations in our Electronics segment. Commodity prices, principally copper, have increased significantly from the first half of 2010, which had a negative impact of approximately $\$ 2.8$ million during the first half of 2011. Our gross margin percentage was further negatively impacted by the increase in volume in 2011, resulting in higher copper purchases as the increase in copper prices outpaced our increase in net sales. The unfavorable movement in the Mexican peso compared to the U.S. dollar has also negatively affected our 2011 gross margin. This negative foreign currency exposure has increased our cost of goods sold by approximately $\$ 3.6$ million during the first half of 2011 . Also, during the first half of 2011 we experienced operating inefficiencies, primarily in the form of premium freight charges and unfavorable labor variances in order to meet higher levels of customer demand. Premium freight charges between the periods presented increased by approximately $\$ 2.9$ million. Labor inefficiencies at our North American wiring facilities further negatively affected our results by approximately $\$ 2.4$ million. We are executing plans to address these inefficiencies and have reduced and expect to continue to reduce these types of costs through the remainder of 2011. Our material cost as a percentage of net sales for our Electronics segment for the first half of 2011 and 2010 was $57.3 \%$ and $56.4 \%$, respectively. This increase is largely due to higher commodity prices, primarily copper, incurred during the first half of 2011. Our materials cost as a percentage of sales for the Control Devices segment increased from $52.3 \%$ for the first half of 2010 to $55.5 \%$ for the first half of 2011 . The increase in direct materials as a percentage of net sales for the Control Devices segment is primarily a result of higher commodity prices incurred, principally precious metals and resins, during the first six months of 2011. In addition, during the first six months of 2011 we experienced higher scrap rates related to a new manufacturing process introduced in 2010. We expect that these scrap rates will be reduced throughout the year.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Product development expenses are included within SG\&A and were $\$ 17.0$ million and $\$ 19.1$ million for the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively. These product development costs are a result of our customers' new product launches scheduled in the near term. The increase in SG\&A costs excluding product development expenses was mainly due to higher share-based compensation expenses of approximately $\$ 1.1$ million. Our SG\&A costs decreased as a percentage of net sales because of the increase in net sales recognized in the current period when compared to the prior year period.

Interest Expense, net. The decrease in interest expense, net during the first half of 2011 when compared to the prior first half is due to the refinancing of our senior secured notes and entering into a fixed-to-variable interest rate swap agreement (the "Swap") during the fourth quarter of 2010. Our interest expense was approximately $\$ 2.5$ million lower during the current year as a result of the refinancing and the Swap.

Equity in Earnings of Investees. The increase in equity earnings of investees was attributable to the increase in equity earnings recognized from our PST and Minda joint ventures. Equity earnings for PST increased from $\$ 1.8$ million for the first half of 2010 to $\$ 3.1$ million for the first half of 2011. PST's higher sales volume for the first half of 2011 is a result of the introduction of an audio product line as well as higher volumes for PST's product lines. Equity earnings for Minda increased from $\$ 0.5$ million for the first half of 2010 to $\$ 0.6$ million for the first half of 2011. The increase primarily reflects higher volumes for Minda's product lines during the first half of 2011.

Other Expense (Income), net. During the 2011 first half, we recognized foreign currency exchange losses of approximately $\$ 1.6$ million. As a result of placing SPL into administration, we recognized a gain of approximately $\$ 2.3$ million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 within other expense (income), net on the condensed consolidated statement of operations. This gain is primarily related to the reversal of the cumulative translation adjustment account, which had previously been included as a component of other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity. The gain was partially offset by foreign currency exchange losses in the first half of 2010 of
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approximately $\$ 0.5$ million.
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Income Before Income Taxes. Income before income taxes is summarized in the following table by reportable segment (in thousands).

| Six months ended June 30 | 2011 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { As adjusted } \end{array}$ |  |  |  | Dollar increase / (decrease) |  | Percent increase / (decrease) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electronics (A) | \$ | 1,133 |  | \$ | 6,241 |  | \$ | (5,108 | ) | (81.8 | )\% |
| Control Devices (A) |  | 11,701 |  |  | 9,124 |  |  | 2,577 |  | 28.2 | \% |
| Other corporate activities (A) |  | 2,587 |  |  | (10 | ) |  | 2,597 |  | 25,970.0 | \% |
| Corporate interest expense |  | (7,672 | ) |  | (10,409 | ) |  | 2,737 |  | 26.3 | \% |
| Income before income taxes | \$ | 7,749 |  | \$ | 4,946 |  | \$ | 2,803 |  | 56.7 | \% |

(A)Income before income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2010 excludes the impact of placing SPL into administration. As a result of placing SPL into administration, we recognized a gain within the Electronics segment of $\$ 32,512$ and a loss within the Control Devices segment and other corporate activities of $\$ 473$ and $\$ 32,039$, respectively. These gains and losses were primarily the result of eliminating SPL's intercompany debt and equity structure.

Although net sales within our Electronics segment increased during the first half of 2011 when compared to the prior year first half, our income before income taxes declined between periods. This decrease in profitability is primarily due to factors affecting our North American wiring locations within the Electronics operating segment. The primary drivers of the decrease in profitability are higher commodity prices, certain unfavorable foreign exchange rates and operating inefficiencies. Higher commodity costs resulting from increasing copper prices and an unfavorable movement in the Mexican peso to the U.S. dollar negatively affected earnings by $\$ 2.8$ million and $\$ 3.6$ million, respectively. We experienced operating inefficiencies primarily in the form of premium freight charges and unfavorable labor variances in order to meet higher levels of customer demand. Premium freight charges and labor variances were higher than the prior period by $\$ 2.9$ million and $\$ 2.4$ million, respectively. We are executing plans to address these operating inefficiencies and have reduced and expect to continue to reduce these types of costs throughout the remainder of 2011.

The increase in profitability in the Control Devices reportable segment was primarily due to increased sales volume for the first six months of 2011 when compared to the first six months of 2010. Production volume increases favorably affected our net sales within the Control Devices segment by approximately $\$ 14.6$ million for the six months of 2011 when compared to the first half of the prior year.

The increase in income before income taxes from other corporate activities was primarily due to increased equity earnings of $\$ 1.4$ million during the first half of 2011 when compared to the first half of 2010 from our PST and Minda joint ventures.

Income before income taxes by geographic location is summarized in the following table (in thousands):


| Europe and other (A) | 4,762 | 61.5 |  | 679 | 13.7 | 4,084 | $(601.5$ | $) \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Income before income taxes | $\$ 7,749$ | 100.0 | $\%$ | $\$ 4,946$ | 100.0 | $\%$ | $\$ 2,803$ | $(56.7$ |

(A)Income before income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2010 excludes the impact of placing SPL into administration. As a result of placing SPL into administration, we recognized a gain within Europe and other and a loss within North America of $\$ 32,430$. These gains and losses were primarily the result of eliminating SPL's intercompany debt and equity structure.

North American income before income taxes includes interest expense, net of approximately $\$ 7.9$ million and $\$ 11.2$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
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The decrease in profitability in North America was primarily attributable to our wiring locations within the Electronics operating segment. Sales at these locations increased faster than the operations could efficiently handle resulting in operating inefficiencies. Our results in Europe and Other were favorably affected by our increased European commercial vehicle market sales during the current period.

Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes. We recognized a provision for income taxes of $\$ 1.8$ million, or $23.7 \%$ of pre-tax income and a benefit from income taxes of $\$ 0.8$ million, or (15.3)\% of pre-tax income for federal, state and foreign income taxes for the first half of 2011 and 2010, respectively. As reported at December 31, 2010, we are in a cumulative loss position and provide a valuation allowance offsetting federal, state and certain foreign deferred tax assets. The increase in tax expense for the first half of 2011 compared to that same period for 2010 was attributable to the improved financial performance of our European operations as well as the improved financial performance of our PST joint venture for which we are required to provide deferred tax expense on our share of its
earnings. Additionally, as a result of placing SPL into administration, as described in Note 13, we recognized a one-time tax benefit during the first half of 2010 of $\$ 1.2$ million from the reversal of a deferred tax liability, related to employee benefits, that were previously included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity.

Liquidity and Capital Resources
Summary of Cash Flows (in thousands):
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Dollar } \\ \text { increase / } \\ \text { (decrease) }\end{array} \\ \text { Six months ended June 30 } & 2011 & 2010 \\ \text { Net cash provided by (used for): } & \$(23,113 & ) & \$(7,433 & ) & \$(15,680\end{array}\right)$

The increase in cash used for operating activities for the first half of 2011 from 2010 was primarily due to working capital requirements. Our cash used for operating activities for the first half of 2011 was negatively affected by higher accounts receivable and inventory balances. This was partially offset by higher accounts payable balances. Our higher working capital account balances at June 30, 2011 were attributable to the higher sales volume in the current period. Our receivable terms and collections rates have remained consistent between periods presented. In addition to higher sales levels, our inventory increased due to production inefficiencies at our North American wiring locations and the start-up of a new facility in Mexico. As our revenues increase due to higher volumes in our served markets, we expect that our working capital requirements will continue to increase proportionately with the exception of inventory which should increase after normal levels of inventory in relation to sales is achieved.

The increase in net cash used for investing activities reflects an increase in cash used for capital projects of approximately $\$ 7.0$ million. We expect capital expenditures to increase throughout the remainder of 2011, primarily due to the start-up of a new operation in Ramos Arizpe, Coahuila, Mexico. Capital expenditures related to the start-up are estimated to be between $\$ 5.0$ million and $\$ 6.0$ million.

The decrease in net cash provided by (used for) financing activities was primarily due to the surrender of shares to the Company to satisfy employee tax withholding of $\$ 0.7$ million in connection with share-based compensation awards
during the first half of 2011 when compared to 2010.
Management will continue to focus on reducing its weighted average cost of capital and believes that cash flows from operations and the availability of funds from our asset-based credit facility will provide sufficient liquidity to meet our future growth and operating needs.

On October 4, 2010, we issued $\$ 175.0$ million of senior secured notes. These senior secured notes bear interest at an annual rate of $9.5 \%$ and mature on October 15, 2017. The senior secured notes are redeemable, at our option, beginning October 15, 2014 at 104.75\%. Interest payments commenced on April 15, 2011 and are payable on April 15 and October 15 of each year, thereafter. The senior secured notes indenture limits our and our restricted subsidiaries' amount of indebtedness, restricts certain payments and includes various other non-financial restrictive covenants. The senior secured notes are guaranteed by all of our existing domestic restricted subsidiaries. All other restricted subsidiaries that guarantee any of our or our guarantors' indebtedness will also guarantee the senior secured notes.

On October 4, 2010, we entered into a fixed-to-variable interest rate swap agreement (the "Swap") with a notional amount of $\$ 45.0$ million. The Swap was designated as a fair value hedge of the fixed interest rate obligation under our $\$ 175.0$ million $9.5 \%$ senior secured notes due October 15,2017 . We pay variable interest equal to the six-month LIBOR plus $7.19 \%$ and we receive a fixed interest rate of $9.5 \%$ under the Swap. The critical terms of the Swap match the terms of the senior secured notes, including maturity of October 15, 2017, resulting in no hedge ineffectiveness.

As outlined in Note 7 to our condensed consolidated financial statements, our asset-based credit facility permits borrowing up to a maximum level of $\$ 100.0$ million. At June 30, 2011, there were no borrowings on this asset-based credit facility. The available borrowing capacity on this credit facility is based on eligible current assets, as defined. At June 30, 2011, the Company had borrowing capacity of $\$ 78.5$ million based on eligible current assets and outstanding letters of credit, as defined. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at June 30, 2011. In connection with the senior secured notes issuance, we entered into an Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement on September 20, 2010. The Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement which became effective on October 4, 2010 (i) provided certain consents necessary for the issuance of the senior secured notes, (ii) extended the expiration date of the credit facility to November 1, 2012 and (iii) granted the facility agent, for the benefit of the lenders, second priority liens and security interests in the collateral subject to first priority liens and security interests in favor of the collateral agent for the holders of the senior secured notes.

The Bolton Conductive Systems, LLC ("BCS") master revolving note (the "Revolver") permits borrowing up to a maximum level of $\$ 3.0$ million. On September 29, 2010, BCS amended the Revolver to extend the maturity date to September 29, 2011 and reduced the interest rate to the prime referenced rate plus a margin of $2.0 \%$, which is payable quarterly. The available borrowing capacity on the Revolver is based on an advanced formula, as defined. At June 30, 2011, BCS had borrowing capacity of $\$ 1.5$ million based on the advanced formula. At June 30, 2011, BCS had approximately $\$ 1.2$ million in borrowings outstanding on the Revolver, which is included on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as a component of accrued expenses and other current liabilities. At June 30, 2011 the interest rate on the Revolver was $5.25 \%$. The Company is a guarantor as it relates to the Revolver. The Revolver contains certain financial restrictive covenants. BCS violated the fixed charge and tangible net worth covenants related to the Revolver during the first quarter of 2011. BCS has received a waiver for covenant violations occurring during this period. During the first quarter of 2011, the fixed charge and tangible net worth covenants were eliminated from the Revolver through its expiration.

The Suzhou term loan is in the amount of 4.7 million Chinese yuan, which was approximately $\$ 0.7$ million at June 30, 2011, and is included on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as a component of accrued expenses and other current liabilities. The term loan matures on August 5, 2011. Interest is payable monthly at the one-year lending rate published by The People's Bank of China multiplied by $110.0 \%$. At June 30, 2011, the interest rate on the term loan was $6.94 \%$.

As part of our 2009 acquisition of BCS, we may be required to make additional payments to the previous owners of BCS for the $51 \%$ membership interest we purchased based on BCS achieving financial performance targets as defined by the purchase agreement. The maximum amount of additional payments to the prior owners of BCS is $\$ 3.2$ million
per year in 2012 and 2013 and is contingent upon BCS achieving profitability targets based on earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization in each of the years 2011 and 2012, respectively. In addition, we may be required to make additional payments to BCS of approximately $\$ 0.5$ million in 2012 based on BCS achieving annual revenue targets in 2011. We recorded $\$ 0.4$ million, which represents the fair value of the estimated future additional payments to the prior owners of BCS as of June 30, 2011 on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as a component of other long-term liabilities. The purchase agreement provides us with the option to purchase the remaining $49 \%$ interest in BCS in 2013 at a price determined in accordance with the purchase agreement. If we do not exercise this option the minority owners of BCS have the option in 2014 to purchase our $51 \%$ interest in BCS at a price determined in accordance with the purchase agreement or to jointly market BCS for sale.

We have significant U.S. federal income tax net operating loss carryforwards and research credit carryforwards. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, imposes an annual limitation on the ability of a corporation that undergoes an "ownership change" to use its net operating loss and credit carryforwards to reduce its tax liability. During the fourth quarter of 2010 we undertook a secondary offering. As a result of the secondary offering a substantial change in our ownership occurred and we likely experienced an ownership change pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. We are in the process with our advisors of evaluating the secondary offering and the potential impact, if any, on our ability to fully utilize net operating loss and research credit carry forwards. If it is ultimately determined that we did experience an ownership change, there would not be an impact to the condensed consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and the condensed consolidated statement of operations as of June 30, 2011 due to us being in a valuation allowance position for federal tax purposes.

At June 30, 2011, we had a cash and cash equivalents balance of approximately $\$ 36.4$ million, which was held in foreign locations. Our cash balance was not restricted at June 30, 2011.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company's critical accounting policies, which include management's best estimates and judgments, are included in Item 7, Part II to the consolidated financial statements of the Company's 2010 Form 10-K. Certain of these accounting policies are considered critical as disclosed in the Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of the Company's 2010 Form 10-K because of the potential for a significant impact on the financial statements due to the inherent uncertainty in such estimates.

Effective January 1, 2011, we elected to change our method of valuing inventories for certain U.S. businesses to the FIFO method, while in prior years, these inventories were valued using the LIFO method. As a result of this change, all inventories are valued using the FIFO method. Inventories accounted for under the LIFO method as a percent of total consolidated inventories was $73 \%$, with the remainder determined on a FIFO basis at December 31, 2010. All prior periods presented have been adjusted to apply the new method retrospectively. The effect of the change in our inventory costing method increased our inventory balance and reduced our accumulated deficit balance by $\$ 2.4$ million as of January 1, 2010.

## Inflation and International Presence

Given the current economic climate and recent fluctuations in certain commodity prices, we believe that an increase in such items could significantly affect our profitability. Furthermore, by operating internationally, we are affected by foreign currency exchange rates and the economic conditions of certain countries.

## Forward-Looking Statements

Portions of this report contain "forward-looking statements" under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements appear in a number of places in this report and include statements regarding the intent, belief or current expectations of the Company, our directors or officers with respect to, among other things, our (i) future product and facility expansion, (ii) acquisition strategy, (iii) investments and new product development, and (iv) growth opportunities related to awarded business. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the words "will," "may," "designed to," "believes," "plans," "expects," "continue," and similar words and expressions. The forward-looking statements in this report are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by the statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements include, among other factors:
the loss or bankruptcy of a major customer;

- the costs and timing of facility closures, business realignment, or similar actions; - a significant change in commercial, automotive, agricultural or off-highway vehicle production;
- our ability to achieve cost reductions that offset or exceed customer-mandated selling price reductions;
- a significant change in general economic conditions in any of the various countries in which we operate;
- labor disruptions at our facilities or at any of our significant customers or suppliers;
- the ability of our suppliers to supply us with parts and components at competitive prices on a timely basis; - the amount of our indebtedness and the restrictive covenants contained in the agreements governing our indebtedness, including our credit facility and the senior secured notes;
customer acceptance of new products;
- capital availability or costs, including changes in interest rates or market perceptions;
- the failure to achieve the successful integration of any acquired company or business;
the occurrence or non-occurrence of circumstances beyond our control; and those items described in Part I, Item IA ("Risk Factors") of the Company's 2010 Form 10-K.

In addition, the forward-looking statements contained herein represent our estimates only as of the date of this filing and should not be relied upon as representing our estimates as of any subsequent date. While we may elect to update these forward-looking statements at some point in the future, we specifically disclaim any obligation to do so, whether to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions, changes in other factors affecting such forward-looking statements or otherwise.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

There have been no material changes in market risk presented within Part II, Item 7A of the Company's 2010 Form 10-K.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.
Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2011, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the principal executive officer ("PEO") and principal financial officer ("PFO"), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the PEO and PFO, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2011.

## Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the six months ended June 30, 2011 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II-OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.
We are involved in certain legal actions and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. However, we do not believe that any of the litigation in which we are currently engaged, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial position or results of operations. We are subject to the risk of exposure to product liability claims in the event that the failure of any of our products causes personal injury or death to users of our products and there can be no assurance that we will not experience any material product liability losses in the future. We maintain insurance against such product liability claims. In addition, if any of our products prove to be defective, we may be required to participate in a government-imposed or customer OEM-instituted recall involving such products.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.
There were no material changes from risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.
None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.
None.
Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)
Item 5. Other Information.
None.
Item 6. Exhibits.
Reference is made to the separate, "Index to Exhibits," filed herewith.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

STONERIDGE, INC.
/s/ John C. Corey
John C. Corey
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ George E. Strickler
George E. Strickler
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

INDEX TO EXHIBITS
Exhibit
Number Exhibit
31.1 Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.
31.2 Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.
32.1 Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.
32.2 Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.

