

UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES
Form 10-Q
August 16, 2010

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2010.

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO .

Commission file number: 000-32987

UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

CALIFORNIA
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

91-2112732
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

2126 Inyo Street, Fresno, California
(Address of principal executive offices)

93721
(Zip Code)

Registrants telephone number, including area code (559) 248-4943

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Small reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter - June 30, 2010: \$32,434,746

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, no par value

(Title of Class)

Shares outstanding as of July 31, 2010: 12,747,642

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Facing Page		1
Table of Contents		2
PART I. Financial Information		
Item 1.	Financial Statements	3
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive (Loss) Income	4
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
	Overview	24
	Results of Operations	27
	Financial Condition	32
	Asset/Liability Management – Liquidity and Cash Flow	41
	Regulatory Matters	42
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	46
	Interest Rate Sensitivity and Market Risk	46
Item 4T.	Controls and Procedures	47
PART II. Other Information		
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	48
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	48
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	49
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	49
Item 4.	Reserved	49
Item 5.	Other Information	49
Item 6.	Exhibits	49
Signatures		50

PART I. Financial Information

United Security Bancshares and Subsidiaries
 Consolidated Balance Sheets – (unaudited)
 June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009

(in thousands except shares)	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 38,886	\$ 17,644
Federal funds sold	40,665	11,585
Cash and cash equivalents	79,551	29,229
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	1,480	3,313
Investment securities available for sale (at fair value)	59,796	71,411
Loans and leases	495,199	508,573
Unearned fees	(737)	(865)
Allowance for credit losses	(12,057)	(15,016)
Net loans	482,405	492,692
Accrued interest receivable	2,277	2,497
Premises and equipment – net	12,822	13,296
Other real estate owned	32,810	36,217
Intangible assets	1,577	2,034
Goodwill	5,977	7,391
Cash surrender value of life insurance	15,228	14,972
Investment in limited partnership	2,062	2,274
Deferred income taxes - net	6,484	7,534
Other assets	9,085	9,708
Total assets	\$ 711,554	\$ 692,568
Liabilities & Shareholders' Equity		
Liabilities		
Deposits		
Noninterest bearing	\$ 123,630	\$ 139,724
Interest bearing	460,127	421,936
Total deposits	583,757	561,660
Other borrowings	37,000	40,000
Accrued interest payable	234	376
Accounts payable and other liabilities	2,412	3,995
Junior subordinated debentures (at fair value)	10,209	10,716
Total liabilities	633,612	616,747
Shareholders' Equity		
Common stock, no par value 20,000,000 shares authorized, 12,747,642 and 12,496,499 issued and outstanding, in 2010 and 2009, respectively	38,792	37,575
Retained earnings	40,259	40,499
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,109)	(2,253)
Total shareholders' equity	77,942	75,821
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 711,554	\$ 692,568

See notes to consolidated financial statements

3

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

United Security Bancshares and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income

(In thousands except shares and EPS)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Interest Income:				
Loans, including fees	\$ 7,769	\$ 7,476	\$ 15,309	\$ 15,543
Investment securities – AFS – taxable	717	1,114	1,570	2,304
Investment securities – AFS – nontaxable	14	14	29	29
Federal funds sold	7	0	15	0
Interest on deposits in other banks	10	37	20	77
Total interest income	8,517	8,641	16,943	17,953
Interest Expense:				
Interest on deposits	1,063	1,569	2,221	3,274
Interest on other borrowings	78	278	185	737
Total interest expense	1,141	1,847	2,406	4,011
Net Interest Income Before Provision for Credit Losses	7,376	6,794	14,537	13,942
Provision for Credit Losses	519	6,807	2,150	8,158
Net Interest Income	6,857	(13)	12,387	5,784
Noninterest Income:				
Customer service fees	1,016	1,019	1,964	2,008
Gain (loss) on sale of other real estate owned	164	(68)	108	(145)
Gain on sale of securities	70	0	70	0
Gain (loss) on fair value of financial liability	467	(46)	624	(105)
Gain on sale of loans	511	0	511	0
Shared appreciation income	0	14	0	23
Other	452	359	716	638
Total noninterest income	2,680	1,278	3,993	2,419
Noninterest Expense:				
Salaries and employee benefits	2,107	2,063	4,388	4,286
Occupancy expense	961	939	1,874	1,881
Data processing	13	23	32	65
Professional fees	632	411	1,019	811
FDIC/DFI insurance assessments	515	470	906	616
Director fees	60	62	117	128
Amortization of intangibles	198	223	401	451
Correspondent bank service charges	82	101	158	208
Impairment loss on core deposit intangible	0	0	57	57
Impairment loss on goodwill	1,414	3,026	1,414	3,026
Impairment loss on investment securities (cumulative total other-than-temporary loss of \$3.6 million, net of \$3.1 million recognized in other comprehensive loss, pre-tax)	458	240	702	403
Impairment loss on OREO	405	337	1,226	503
Loss on California tax credit partnership	106	107	212	214
OREO expense	485	538	767	843
Other	612	555	1,100	1,272
Total noninterest expense	8,048	9,095	14,373	14,764
Income (Loss) Before Taxes on Income	1,489	(7,830)	2,007	(6,561)

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

Provision for Taxes on Income	974	(2,104)	1,050	(1,756)
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 515	\$ (5,726)	\$ 957	\$ (4,805)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Unrealized gain (loss) on available for sale securities, and past service costs of employee benefit plans – net income tax expense (benefit) of \$385, \$(85), \$763 and \$(574)				
	578	(128)	1,145	(861)
Comprehensive Income (Loss)	\$ 1,093	\$ (5,854)	\$ 2,102	\$ (5,666)
Net Income (Loss) per common share				
Basic	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.38)
Diluted	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.38)
Shares on which net income per common shares were based				
Basic	12,747,642	12,621,452	12,747,642	12,747,642
Diluted	12,747,642	12,621,452	12,747,642	12,747,642

See notes to consolidated financial statements

United Security Bancshares and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity
Periods Ended June 30, 2010 (unaudited)

(In thousands except shares)	Common stock Number of Shares	Common stock Amount	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
Balance January 1, 2009	12,010,372	\$ 34,811	\$ 47,722	\$ (2,923)	\$ 79,610
Net changes in unrealized loss on available for sale securities (net of income tax benefit of \$574)				(861)	(861)
Dividends on common stock (cash-in-lieu)			(6)		(6)
Repurchase and cancellation of common shares	(488)	(4)			(4)
Common stock dividends	240,410	1,493	(1,493)		0
Other		35			35
Stock-based compensation expense		27			27
Net Income			(4,805)		(4,805)
Balance June 30, 2009	12,250,294	36,362	41,418	(3,784)	73,996
Net changes in unrealized loss on available for sale securities (net of income tax expense of \$1,131)				1,696	1,696
Net changes in unrecognized past service Cost on employee benefit plans (net of income tax benefit of \$116)				(165)	(165)
Common stock dividends	249,205	1,187	(1,187)		0
Stock-based compensation expense		26			26
Net Income			268		268
Balance December 31, 2009	12,499,499	37,575	40,499	(2,253)	75,821
Net changes in unrealized loss on available for sale securities (net of income tax expense of \$763)				1,144	1,144
Common stock dividends	248,143	1,197	(1,197)		0
Stock-based compensation expense		20			20
Net Income			957		957
Balance June 30, 2010	12,747,642	\$ 38,792	\$ 40,259	\$ (1,109)	\$ 77,942

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

United Security Bancshares and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

(In thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:		
Net (loss) income	\$ 957	\$ (4,805)
Adjustments to reconcile net income:		
to cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for credit losses	2,150	8,158
Depreciation and amortization	1,133	1,251
Accretion of investment securities	(3)	(36)
Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable	219	(191)
Decrease in accrued interest payable	(142)	(118)
Decrease (decrease) in unearned fees	(128)	(287)
Increase (decrease) in income taxes payable	(999)	(2,166)
Stock-based compensation expense	20	27
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(246)	(78)
Gain on sale of other real estate owned	(108)	145
Gain on sale of investment securities	(70)	0
Impairment loss on other real estate owned	1,226	503
Impairment loss on core deposit intangible	57	57
Impairment loss on investment securities	702	403
Increase in surrender value of life insurance	(255)	(264)
Impairment loss on goodwill	1,414	3,026
Gain on proceeds from life insurance	(174)	0
(Gain) loss on fair value option of financial liabilities	(624)	105
Loss on tax credit limited partnership interest	212	214
Net (increase) decrease in other assets	(50)	342
Net cash provided by operating activities	5,291	6,286
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:		
Net decrease in interest-bearing deposits with banks	1,833	16,827
Redemption of correspondent bank stock	153	0
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(10,160)	0
Maturities and calls of available-for-sale securities	8,495	9,100
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	14,701	0
Proceeds from sale of investment in title company	0	99
Net redemption from limited partnerships	0	7
Proceeds from life insurance settlement	846	0
Net decrease (increase) in loans	4,909	(20,485)
Net proceeds from settlement of other real estate owned	5,299	2,650
Capital expenditures for premises and equipment	(217)	(120)
Net cash provided by investing activities	25,859	8,078
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:		
Net increase (decrease) in demand deposit and savings accounts	10,917	(3,934)
Net increase in certificates of deposit	11,180	6,320
Net decrease in federal funds purchased	0	4,760

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

Net decrease in FHLB term borrowings	(3,000)	(24,500)
Proceeds from note payable	75	0
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	0	31
Payment of dividends on common stock	0	(9)
Net cash provided (used in) by financing activities	19,172	(17,332)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	50,322	(2,968)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	29,229	19,426
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 79,551	\$ 16,458

See notes to consolidated financial statements

United Security Bancshares and Subsidiaries - Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - (Unaudited)

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting and Reporting Policies

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of United Security Bancshares, and its wholly owned subsidiary United Security Bank (the “Bank”) and two bank subsidiaries, USB Investment Trust (the “REIT”) and United Security Emerging Capital Fund, (collectively the “Company” or “USB”). Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

These unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information on a basis consistent with the accounting policies reflected in the audited financial statements of the Company included in its 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K. These interim financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of a normal recurring, nature) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the year as a whole.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2009 financial statements to conform to the classifications used in 2010.

New Accounting Standards:

In June 2009, the FASB revised ACS Topic 860 “Transfers and Servicing” to amend existing guidance by eliminating the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity (QSPE), creating more stringent conditions for reporting a transfer of a portion of a financial asset as a sale, clarifying other sale-accounting criteria and changing the initial measurement of a transferor’s interest in transferred financial assets. The new guidance is effective as of the beginning of a company’s first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2009 and for subsequent interim and annual periods. The adoption of this standard as of January 1, 2010 did not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820)—Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. FASB ASU No. 2010-06 requires (i) fair value disclosures by each class of assets and liabilities (generally a subset within a line item as presented in the statement of financial position) rather than major category, (ii) for items measured at fair value on a recurring basis, the amounts of significant transfers between Levels 1 and 2, and transfers into and out of Level 3, and the reasons for those transfers, including separate discussion related to the transfers into each level apart from transfers out of each level, and (iii) gross presentation of the amounts of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the Level 3 recurring measurement reconciliation. Additionally, the ASU clarifies that a description of the valuation techniques(s) and inputs used to measure fair values is required for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. Also, if a valuation technique has changed, entities should disclose that change and the reason for the change. Disclosures other than the gross presentation changes in the Level 3 reconciliation are effective for the first reporting period beginning after December 15, 2009. The requirement to present the Level 3 activity of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. This update became effective for the Company in the quarter ended March 31, 2010, except that the disclosure on the roll forward activities for Level 3 fair value measurements will become effective with the reporting period beginning January 1, 2011. Other than requiring additional disclosures, adoption of this new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company’s financial statements.

New authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 310, “Receivables,” (ASC Topic 310 “Receivables”) amended prior guidance to provide a greater level of disaggregated information about the credit quality of loans and leases and

the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (the "Allowance"). The new authoritative guidance also requires additional disclosures related to credit quality indicators, past due information, and information related to loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring. The provisions of the new authoritative guidance under ASC Topic 310 will be effective in the reporting period ending December 31, 2010. The new authoritative guidance amends only the disclosure requirements for loans and leases and the Allowance; the adoption will have no impact on the Company's statements of income and condition.

2. Investment Securities Available for Sale

Following is a comparison of the amortized cost and fair value of securities available-for-sale, as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

(In thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value (Carrying Amount)
June 30, 2010:				
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 35,580	\$ 1,449	\$ (1)	\$ 37,028
U.S. Government agency CMO's	10,244	484	(14)	10,714
Residential mortgage obligations	12,902	0	(3,190)	9,712
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	1,252	30	0	1,282
Other investment securities	1,060	0	0	1,060
	\$ 61,038	\$ 1,963	\$ (3,205)	\$ 59,796
December 31, 2009:				
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 35,119	\$ 1,469	\$ (2)	\$ 36,586
U.S. Government agency CMO's	14,954	376	(10)	15,320
Residential mortgage obligations	14,273	0	(4,559)	9,714
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	1,252	33	0	1,285
Other investment securities	9,004	0	(498)	8,506
	\$ 74,602	\$ 1,878	\$ (5,069)	\$ 71,411

Other investment securities at June 30, 2010 consist of a money-market mutual fund totaling \$1.0 million. Included in other investment securities at December 31, 2009, is a short-term government securities mutual fund totaling \$7.5 million, and an overnight money-market mutual fund totaling \$1.0 million. The short-term government securities mutual fund invests in debt securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Government, its agencies or instrumentalities, with a maximum duration equal to that of a 3-year U.S. Treasury Note.

The amortized cost and fair value of securities available for sale at June 30, 2010, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Contractual maturities on collateralized mortgage obligations cannot be anticipated due to allowed paydowns.

(In thousands)	June 30, 2010	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value (Carrying Amount)
Due in one year or less	\$ 9,606	\$ 9,645
Due after one year through five years	6,211	6,298
Due after five years through ten years	4,334	4,573
Due after ten years	17,741	18,855
Collateralized mortgage obligations	23,146	20,425
	\$ 61,038	\$ 59,796

There were realized gains of \$518,000 and realized losses of \$448,000 on sales of available-for-sale securities during the six months and quarter ended June 30, 2010. There were no realized gains or losses on sales of available-for-sale securities during the six months ended June 30, 2009. There were other-than-temporary impairment losses on certain of the Company's residential mortgage obligations (private label collateralized mortgage obligations) totaling \$702,000 and \$403,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Securities that have been temporarily impaired less than 12 months at June 30, 2010 are comprised of one U.S. government agency security with a weighted average life of 3.3 years and three collateralized mortgage obligations with a weighted average life of 1.8 years. As of June 30, 2010, there were three residential mortgage obligations with a total weighted average life of 3.3 years that have been temporarily impaired for twelve months or more.

The following summarizes the total of temporarily impaired and other-than-temporarily impaired investment securities at June 30, 2010 (see discussion below for other than temporarily impaired securities included here):

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

(In thousands)	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value (Carrying Amount)	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value (Carrying Amount)	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value (Carrying Amount)	Unrealized Losses
Securities available for sale:						
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 138	\$ (1)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 138	\$ (1)
U.S. Government agency CMO's	2,952	(14)	0	0	2,952	(14)
Residential mortgage obligations	0	0	9,712	(3,190)	9,712	(3,190)
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other investment securities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total impaired securities	\$ 3,090	\$ (15)	\$ 9,712	\$ (3,190)	\$ 12,802	\$ (3,205)

Securities that have been temporarily impaired less than 12 months at June 30, 2009 are comprised of two U.S. government agency securities with a total weighted average life of 3.3 years. As of June 30, 2009, there were three residential mortgage obligations and two other investment securities with a total weighted average life of 2.8 years that have been temporarily impaired for twelve months or more.

The following summarizes temporarily impaired investment securities at June 30, 2009:

(In thousands)	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value (Carrying Amount)	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value (Carrying Amount)	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value (Carrying Amount)	Unrealized Losses
Securities available for sale:						
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 1,560	\$ (69)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,560	\$ (69)
Residential mortgage obligations	0	0	9,026	(6,955)	9,026	(6,955)
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other investment securities	0	0	12,407	(593)	12,407	(593)
Total impaired securities	\$ 1,560	\$ (69)	\$ 21,433	\$ (7,548)	\$ 22,993	\$ (7,617)

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, available-for-sale securities with an amortized cost of approximately \$54.0 million and \$66.5 million (fair value of \$54.1 million and \$65.4 million) were pledged as collateral for public funds, and treasury tax and loan balances.

The Company evaluates investment securities for other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) at least quarterly, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. The investment securities portfolio is evaluated for OTTI by segregating the portfolio into two general segments and applying the appropriate OTTI model. Investment securities classified as available for sale or held-to-maturity are generally evaluated for OTTI under ASC Topic 320, “Investments – Debt and Equity Instruments.” Certain purchased beneficial interests, including non-agency mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities, and collateralized debt obligations, are evaluated under ASC Topic 325-40 “Beneficial Interest in Securitized Financial Assets.”)

In the first segment, the Company considers many factors in determining OTTI, including: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (3) whether the market decline was affected by macroeconomic conditions, and (4) whether the entity has the

intent to sell the debt security or more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery. The assessment of whether an other-than-temporary decline exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to the Company at the time of the evaluation.

The second segment of the portfolio uses the OTTI guidance that is specific to purchased beneficial interests including non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations. Under this model, the Company compares the present value of the remaining cash flows as estimated at the preceding evaluation date to the current expected remaining cash flows. An OTTI is deemed to have occurred if there has been an adverse change in the remaining expected future cash flows.

Effective the first quarter 2009, the Company adopted an amendment to existing guidance on other-than-temporary impairments for debt securities, which establishes a new model for measuring and disclosing OTTI for all debt securities. Other-than-temporary-impairment occurs under the new guidance when the Company intends to sell the security or more likely than not will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period credit loss. If an entity intends to sell or more likely than not will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period credit loss, the other-than-temporary-impairment shall be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment's amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. If an entity does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period loss, the other-than-temporary-impairment shall be separated into the amount representing the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the total other-than-temporary-impairment related to the credit loss is recognized in earnings, and is determined based on the difference between the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and the current amortized cost of the security. The amount of the total other-than-temporary-impairment related to other factors shall be recognized in other comprehensive (loss) income, net of applicable taxes. The previous amortized cost basis less the other-than-temporary-impairment recognized in earnings shall become the new amortized cost basis of the investment.

At June 30, 2010, the decline in market value for all but three (see below) of the impaired securities is attributable to changes in interest rates and illiquidity, and not credit quality. Because the Company does not have the intent to sell these impaired securities and it is more likely than not it will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery, the Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2010.

At June 30, 2010, the Company had three non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations which have been impaired more than twelve months. The three non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations had a market value of \$9.7 million and unrealized losses of approximately \$3.2 million at June 30, 2010. All three non-agency mortgage-backed securities were rated less than high credit quality at June 30, 2010. The Company evaluated these three non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations for OTTI by comparing the present value of expected cash flows to previous estimates to determine whether there had been adverse changes in cash flows during the period. The OTTI evaluation was conducted utilizing the services of a third party specialist and consultant in MBS and CMO products. The cash flow assumptions used in the evaluation at June 30, 2010 utilized a discounted cash flow valuation technique using a "Liquidation Scenario" whereby loans are evaluated by delinquency and are assigned probability of default and loss factors deemed appropriate in the current economic environment. The liquidation scenarios assume that all loans 60 or more days past due are liquidated and losses are realized over a period of between six and twenty four months based upon current 3-month trailing loss severities obtained from Bloomberg Financial data. As a result of the impairment evaluation, the Company determined that there had been adverse changes in cash flows in all three of the three non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations reviewed, and concluded that these three non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations were other-than-temporarily impaired. During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, the three CMO securities had other-than-temporary-impairment losses of \$3.6 million, of which \$457,000 was recorded as expense and \$3.1 million was recorded in other comprehensive loss. These three non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations remained classified as available for sale at June 30, 2010.

The following table details the three non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations with other-than-temporary-impairment, their credit rating at June 30, 2010, the related credit losses recognized in earnings during the quarter, and impairment losses in other comprehensive loss:

RALI 2006-QS1G	RALI 2006 QS8	CWALT 2007-	
A10	A1	8CB A9	
Rated D	Rated D	Rated CCC	Total

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

Amortized cost – before OTTI	\$	4,368,127	\$	1,329,004	\$	7,661,771	\$	13,358,902
Credit loss – Quarter ended June 30, 2010		(280,473)		(87,468)		(89,233)		(457,174)
Other impairment (OCI)		(1,110,821)		(262,183)		(1,816,440)		(3,189,443)
Carrying amount – June 30, 2010	\$	2,976,833	\$	979,353	\$	5,756,099	\$	9,712,285
Total impairment - YTD June 30, 2010	\$	(1,391,294)	\$	(349,651)	\$	(1,905,672)	\$	(3,646,617)

The total other comprehensive loss (OCI) balance of \$3.2 million in the above table is included in unrealized losses of 12 months or more at June 30, 2010.

The following table summarizes amounts related to credit losses recognized in earnings for the six months and quarter ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)	Quarter Ended June 30, 2010	Quarter Ended June 30, 2009	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009
Beginning balance - credit losses	\$ 1,087	\$ 163	\$ 843	\$ 0
Additions:				
Initial credit impairments	0	0	0	163
Subsequent credit impairments	458	240	702	240
Reductions:				
For securities sold or credit losses realized on principal payments	(100)	0	(100)	0
Due to change in intent or requirement to sell	0	0	0	0
For increase expected in cash flows	0	0	0	0
Ending balance - credit losses	\$ 1,445	\$ 403	\$ 1,445	\$ 403

3. Loans and Leases

Loans include the following:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2010	% of Loans	December 31, 2009	% of Loans
Commercial and industrial	\$ 171,875	34.7%	\$ 167,930	33.0%
Real estate – mortgage	160,324	32.4%	165,629	32.6%
RE construction and development	93,056	18.8%	105,220	20.7%
Agricultural	54,622	11.0%	50,897	10.0%
Installment/other	14,739	3.0%	18,191	3.6%
Lease financing	583	0.1%	706	0.1%
Total Gross Loans	\$ 495,199	100.0%	\$ 508,573	100.0%

The Company had \$1.2 million in loans over 90 days past due and still accruing at June 30, 2010. Loans over 90 days past due and still accruing totaled \$486,000 at December 31, 2009. Nonaccrual loans totaled \$31.5 million and \$34.8 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

An analysis of changes in the allowance for credit losses is as follows:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	June 30, 2009
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 15,016	\$ 11,529	\$ 11,529
Provision charged to operations	2,150	13,375	8,158
Losses charged to allowance	(6,048)	(10,145)	(4,085)
Recoveries on loans previously charged off	939	257	240
Balance at end-of-period	\$ 12,057	\$ 15,016	\$ 15,842

The allowance for credit losses represents management's estimate of the risk inherent in the loan portfolio based on the current economic conditions, collateral values and economic prospects of the borrowers. The formula allowance for unfunded loan commitments totaling \$183,000 and \$234,000 at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, is carried in other liabilities. The Company's market areas of the San Joaquin Valley, the greater Oakhurst area, East Madera County, and Santa Clara County, have all been impacted by the economic downturn related to depressed real estate markets and the tightening of liquidity markets. The Company has taken these events into account when reviewing estimates of factors that may impact the allowance for credit losses.

The Company grades “problem” or “classified” loans according to certain risk factors associated with individual loans within the loan portfolio. Classified loans consist of loans which have been graded substandard, doubtful, or loss based upon inherent weaknesses in the individual loans or loan relationships. Classified loans also include impaired loans (as defined under SFAS No. 114). The following table summarizes the Company’s classified loans at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

(in 000's)	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Impaired loans	\$ 46,653	\$ 53,794
Classified loans not considered impaired	7,963	15,816
Total classified loans	\$ 54,616	\$ 69,610

The following table summarizes the Company's investment in loans for which impairment has been recognized for the periods presented:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	June 30, 2009
Total impaired loans at period-end	\$ 46,653	\$ 53,794	\$ 67,158
Impaired loans which have specific allowance	31,874	26,266	34,984
Total specific allowance on impaired loans	5,119	7,974	7,819
Total impaired loans which as a result of write-downs or the fair value of the collateral, did not have a specific allowance	14,779	27,528	32,174
(in thousands)	YTD - 6/30/10	YTD - 12/31/09	YTD - 6/30/09
Average recorded investment in impaired loans during period	\$ 50,793	\$ 59,595	\$ 59,853
Income recognized on impaired loans during period	\$ 297	\$ 326	\$ 0

Included in impaired loans are loans modified in troubled debt restructurings ("TDR's"), where concessions have been granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties, in an attempt to maximize collection. The following table summarizes TDR's by type included in impaired loans at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

(in thousands)	June 30, 2010	Dec 31, 2009
Commercial and industrial	\$ 3,552	\$ 3,878
Real estate - mortgage:		
Commercial real estate	6,013	3,593
Residential mortgages	3,386	3,961
Home equity loans	96	51
Total real estate mortgage	9,495	7,605
RE construction & development	15,969	14,405
Agricultural	0	0
Installment/other	82	178
Lease financing	0	0
Total Troubled Debt Restructurings	\$ 29,098	\$ 26,066

Of the \$29.1 million in total TDR's at June 30, 2010, \$13.8 million were on nonaccrual status at period-end. Of the \$26.1 million in total TDR's at December 31, 2009, \$10.0 million were on nonaccrual status at period-end. In order for these loan balances to return to accrual status, the borrower must demonstrate a sustained period of timely payments.

4. Deposits

Deposits include the following:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$ 123,630	\$ 139,724
Interest-bearing deposits:		
NOW and money market accounts	185,474	158,795
Savings accounts	34,478	34,146
Time deposits:		
Under \$100,000	61,390	64,481
\$100,000 and over	178,785	164,514
Total interest-bearing deposits	460,127	421,936
Total deposits	\$ 583,757	\$ 561,660
 Total brokered deposits included in time deposits above	 \$ 112,945	 \$ 129,352

5. Short-term Borrowings/Other Borrowings

At June 30, 2010, the Company had collateralized and uncollateralized lines of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco totaling \$124.7 million, as well as Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) lines of credit totaling \$41.0 million. All lines of credit are on an “as available” basis and can be revoked by the grantor at any time. There are currently no restrictions on these lines of credit, although under the current written Agreement with the Federal Reserve, the Bank’s liquidity position as well as its use of borrowing lines is monitored closely. These lines of credit generally have interest rates tied to the Federal Funds rate or are indexed to short-term U.S. Treasury rates or LIBOR. FHLB advances are collateralized by all of the Company’s stock in the FHLB and certain qualifying mortgage loans. At June 30, 2010, the Company had total outstanding balances of \$37.0 million drawn against its FHLB line of credit. The weighted average cost of borrowings outstanding at June 30, 2010 was 0.19%. The \$37.0 million in FHLB borrowings outstanding at June 30, 2010 are summarized in the table below.

FHLB term borrowings at June 30, 2010 (in 000’s):

Term	Balance at June 30, 2010	Fixed Rate	Maturity
6-month	\$ 28,000	0.18%	7/29/10
6-month	9,000	0.21%	7/29/10
	\$ 37,000	0.19%	

At December 31, 2009, the Company had collateralized and uncollateralized lines of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco and other correspondent banks aggregating \$124.2 million, as well as Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) lines of credit totaling \$40.8 million. At December 31, 2009, the Company had total outstanding balances of \$40.0 million in borrowings drawn against its FHLB lines of credit at an average rate of 0.86%. Of the \$40.0 million in FHLB borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2009, all will mature in three months or less. The weighted average cost of borrowings for the year ended December 31, 2009 was 0.80%. As of December 31, 2009, \$14.2 million in real estate-secured loans, and \$42.6 million in investment securities at FHLB, were pledged as collateral for FHLB advances. Additionally, \$256.7 million in real estate-secured loans were pledged at December 31, 2009 as collateral for used and unused borrowing lines with the Federal Reserve Bank totaling \$120.7 million. All

lines of credit are on an “as available” basis and can be revoked by the grantor at any time.

6. Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures

(In thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 2,548	\$ 4,129
Income Taxes	\$ 2,049	411
Noncash investing activities:		
Dividends declared not paid	\$ 0	\$ 2
Loans transferred to foreclosed assets	\$ 7,268	\$ 10,282
Loans to facilitate sale foreclosed assets	\$ 3,400	\$ 0

7. Common Stock Dividend

On June 22, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors declared a one-percent (1%) stock dividend on the Company's outstanding common stock. Based upon the number of outstanding common shares on the record date of July 9, 2010, an additional 126,214 shares were issued to shareholders on July 21, 2010. Because the stock dividend was considered a "small stock dividend", effective June 22, 2010, approximately \$543,000 was transferred from retained earnings to common stock based upon the \$4.30 closing price of the Company's common stock on the declaration date of June 22, 2010. There were no fractional shares paid. Other than for earnings-per-share calculations, shares issued for the stock dividend have been treated prospectively for financial reporting purposes. For purposes of earnings per share calculations, the Company's weighted average shares outstanding and potentially dilutive shares used in the computation of earnings per share have been restated after giving retroactive effect to a 1% stock dividend to shareholders for all periods presented.

8. Net (Loss) Income per Common Share

The following table provides a reconciliation of the numerator and the denominator of the basic EPS computation with the numerator and the denominator of the diluted EPS computation:

(In thousands except earnings per share data)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 515	\$ (5,726)	\$ 957	\$ (4,805)
Weighted average shares issued	12,748	12,748	12,748	12,748
Add: dilutive effect of stock options	0	0	0	0
Weighted average shares outstanding adjusted for potential dilution	12,748	12,748	12,748	12,748
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.38)
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.38)
Anti-dilutive shares excluded from earnings per share calculation	207	180	201	180

The Company's average weighted shares outstanding and potentially dilutive shares used in the computation of earnings per share have been restated after giving retroactive effect to a 1% stock dividend to shareholders of record on July 9, 2010.

9. Stock Based Compensation

All share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, are recognized in the financial statements based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The fair value is amortized over the requisite service period (generally the vesting period).

Included in salaries and employee benefits for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is \$20,000 and \$27,000 of share-based compensation, respectively. The related tax benefit on share-based compensation recorded in the provision for income taxes was not material to either period.

A summary of the Company's options as of January 1, 2010 and changes during the six months ended June 30, 2010 is presented below.

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

	2005 Plan	Weighted Average Exercise Price	1995 Plan	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding January 1, 2010	160,820	\$ 15.38	16,984	\$ 11.50
Options granted during period	25,000	4.75	0	—
1% common stock dividends – 2010	3,483	(0.26)	342	(0.23)
Options outstanding June 30, 2010	189,303	\$ 13.69	17,326	\$ 11.27
Options exercisable at June 30, 2010	132,651	\$ 15.17	16,899	\$ 11.27

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, there was \$59,000 and \$54,000, respectively, of total unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested stock options. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 0.7 years and 0.5 years, respectively. No options were exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2010 or 2009.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009
Weighted average grant-date fair value of stock options granted	\$ 2.22	n/a
Total fair value of stock options vested	\$ 61,543	\$ 82,823
Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised	n/a	n/a

The Bank determines fair value at grant date using the Black-Scholes-Merton pricing model that takes into account the stock price at the grant date, the exercise price, the expected life of the option, the volatility of the underlying stock and the expected dividend yield and the risk-free interest rate over the expected life of the option.

The weighted average assumptions used in the pricing model are noted in the table below. The expected term of options granted is derived using the simplified method, which is based upon the average period between vesting term and expiration term of the options. The risk free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Bank's stock over a period commensurate with the expected term of the options. The Company believes that historical volatility is indicative of expectations about its future volatility over the expected term of the options.

The Bank expenses the fair value of options on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for each separately vesting portion of the award. The Bank estimates forfeitures and only recognizes expense for those shares expected to vest. Based upon historical evidence, the Company has determined that because options are granted to a limited number of key employees rather than a broad segment of the employee base, expected forfeitures, if any, are not material.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010
Risk Free Interest Rate	2.71%
Expected Dividend Yield	0.00%
Expected Life in Years	6.50 Years
Expected Price Volatility	43.07%

The Black-Scholes-Merton option valuation model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected life of the stock based award and stock price volatility. The assumptions listed above represent management's best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of management judgment. As a result, if other assumptions had been used, the Bank's recorded stock-based compensation expense could have been materially different from that previously reported in proforma disclosures. In addition, the Bank is required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate and only recognize expense for those shares expected to vest. If the Bank's actual forfeiture rate is materially different from the estimate, the share-based compensation expense could be materially different.

10. Income Taxes

The Company periodically reviews its tax positions under the guidance of ASC Topic 740, "Income Taxes", based upon the criteria that an individual tax position would have to meet for some or all of the income tax benefit to be

recognized in a taxable entity's financial statements. Under the guidelines, an entity should recognize the financial statement benefit of a tax position if it determines that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on examination. The term, "more likely than not", means a likelihood of more than 50 percent. In assessing whether the more-likely-than-not criterion is met, the entity should assume that the tax position will be reviewed by the applicable taxing authority and all available information is known to the taxing authority.

The Company and a subsidiary file income tax returns in the U.S federal jurisdiction, and several states within the U.S. There are no filings in foreign jurisdictions. The Company is not currently aware of any tax jurisdictions where the Company or any subsidiary is subject examination by federal, state, or local taxing authorities before 2001. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) has not examined the Company's or any subsidiaries federal tax returns since before 2001, and the Company currently is not aware of any examination planned or contemplated by the IRS.

The Company reviewed its REIT tax position as of June 30, 2010. There have been no changes to the Company's tax position with regard to the REIT during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. The Company had approximately \$696,000 and \$653,000 accrued for the payment of interest and penalties at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. It is the Company's policy to recognize interest expense related to unrecognized tax benefits, and penalties, as a component tax expense. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in 000's):

Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ 1,560
Additions for tax provisions of prior years	43
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$ 1,603

11. Junior Subordinated Debt/Trust Preferred Securities

Effective September 30, 2009 and beginning with the quarterly interest payment due October 1, 2009, the Company elected to defer interest payments on the Company's \$15.0 million of junior subordinated debentures relating to its trust preferred securities. The terms of the debentures and trust indentures allow for the Company to defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters without default or penalty. During the period that the interest deferrals are elected, the Company will continue to record interest expense associated with the debentures. Upon the expiration of the deferral period, all accrued and unpaid interest will be due and payable. During the deferral period, the Company is precluded from paying cash dividends to shareholders or repurchasing its stock.

The fair value guidance generally permits the measurement of selected eligible financial instruments at fair value at specified election dates. Effective January 1, 2008, the Company elected the fair value option for its junior subordinated debt issued under USB Capital Trust II. The rate paid on the junior subordinated debt issued under USB Capital Trust II is 3-month LIBOR plus 129 basis points, and is adjusted quarterly.

At June 30, 2010 the Company performed a fair value measurement analysis on its junior subordinated debt using a cash flow model approach to determine the present value of those cash flows. The cash flow model utilizes the forward 3-month Libor curve to estimate future quarterly interest payments due over the thirty-year life of the debt instrument, adjusted for deferrals of interest payments per the Company's election at June 30, 2010. These cash flows were discounted at a rate which incorporates a current market rate for similar-term debt instruments, adjusted for additional credit and liquidity risks associated with the junior subordinated debt. Although there is little market data in the current relatively illiquid credit markets, we believe the 7.9% discount rate used represents what a market participant would consider under the circumstances.

The fair value calculation performed at June 30, 2010 resulted in a pretax gain adjustment of \$467,000 (\$275,000, net of tax) for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, and a cumulative pretax gain adjustment of \$624,000 (\$368,000, net of tax) for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The previous year's fair value calculation performed at June 30, 2009 resulted in a pretax loss adjustment of \$46,000 (\$27,000 net of tax) for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, and a cumulative pretax loss adjustment of \$105,000 (\$62,000 net of tax) for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

12. Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure

The following summary disclosures are made in accordance with the guidance provided by ASC Topic 825 “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures” (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, “Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments,”) which requires the disclosure of fair value information about both on- and off-balance sheet financial instruments where it is practicable to estimate that value.

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

(In thousands)	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
On-Balance sheet:				
Financial Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 38,886	\$ 38,886	\$ 17,644	\$ 17,644
Interest-bearing deposits	1,480	1,522	3,313	3,449
Investment securities	59,796	59,796	71,411	71,411
Loans, net reserves of \$12,057 and \$15,016	482,405	483,687	492,692	496,543
Cash surrender value of life insurance	15,228	15,228	14,972	14,972
Investment in bank stock	101	101	143	143
Financial Liabilities:				
Deposits	583,757	583,484	561,660	561,150
Borrowings	37,000	36,995	40,000	39,970
Junior Subordinated Debt	10,209	10,209	10,716	10,716
Off-Balance sheet:				
Commitments to extend credit	—	—	—	—
Standby letters of credit	—	—	—	—

ASC Topic 820 (formerly SFAS 157, "Fair Value Measurements") clarifies the definition of fair value, describes methods used to appropriately measure fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and expands fair value disclosure requirements. This statement applies whenever other accounting pronouncements require or permit fair value measurements.

The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels (Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3). Level 1 inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets (as defined) for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability (including assumptions about risk).

The Company performs fair value measurements on certain assets and liabilities as the result of the application of current accounting guidelines. Some fair value measurements, such as for available-for-sale securities (AFS) and junior subordinated debt are performed on a recurring basis, while others, such as impairment of loans, other real estate owned, goodwill and other intangibles, are performed on a nonrecurring basis.

The Company's Level 1 financial assets consist of money market funds and highly liquid mutual funds for which fair values are based on quoted market prices. The Company's Level 2 financial assets include highly liquid debt instruments of U.S. government agencies, collateralized mortgage obligations, and debt obligations of states and political subdivisions, whose fair values are obtained from readily-available pricing sources for the identical or similar underlying security that may, or may not, be actively traded. Level 2 financial assets also include certain impaired loans which are evaluated based on the observable inputs, specifically current appraisals. The Company's Level 3 financial assets include certain investments securities, certain impaired loans, other real estate owned, goodwill, and intangible assets where the assumptions may be made by us or third parties about assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. From time to time, the Company recognizes transfers between Level 1, 2,

and 3 when a change in circumstances warrants a transfer. There were no significant transfers in or out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

The following tables summarize the Company's assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 (in 000's):

17

Description of Assets	June 30, 2010	Quoted Prices in Active Markets		
		for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Other Significant Observable Inputs (Level 3)
AFS Securities (2):				
Other investment securities	\$ 1,060	\$ 1,060		
U.S. government agencies	37,028		\$ 37,028	
U.S. government agency CMO's	10,714		10,714	
Obligations of states & political subdivisions	1,282		1,282	
Residential mortgage obligations	9,712			\$ 9,712
Total AFS securities	59,796	1,060	49,024	9,712
Impaired loans (1):				
Commercial and industrial	5,224			5,224
Real estate mortgage	7,056		722	6,334
RE construction & development	13,699		1,443	12,256
Agricultural	775			775
Total impaired loans	26,754		2,165	24,589
Other real estate owned	17,350			17,350
Investment in bank stock	101			101
Goodwill (1)	4,350			4,350
Core deposit intangibles (1)	522			522
Total	\$ 108,873	\$ 1,161	\$ 51,189	\$ 56,523
	(1)	nonrecurring		

Description of Liabilities	June 30, 2010	Quoted Prices in Active Markets		
		for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Other Significant Observable Inputs (Level 3)
Junior subordinated debt	\$ 10,209			\$ 10,209
Total	\$ 10,209	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 10,209

The following tables summarize the Company's assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2009 (in 000's):

Description of Assets	December 31, 2009	Quoted Prices in Active Markets		
		for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Other Significant Observable Inputs (Level 3)
AFS securities (1)	\$ 71,554	\$ 8,648	\$ 53,192	\$ 9,714
Impaired loans	18,347		1,976	16,371
Goodwill	5,764			5,764
Core deposit intangible (2)	777			777
Total	\$ 96,442	\$ 8,648	\$ 55,168	\$ 32,626

(1) Includes \$143 in equity securities reported in other assets

(2) Nonrecurring items

Description of Liabilities	December 31, 2009	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Significant Other Unobservable Assets Observable Inputs Inputs		
		(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Junior subordinated debt	\$ 10,716			\$ 10,716
Total	\$ 10,716	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 10,716

The nonrecurring fair value measurements performed during the three months ended March 31, 2010 resulted in pretax fair value impairment adjustments of \$57,000 (\$33,000 net of tax) to the core deposit intangible asset. The impairment adjustments are reflected as a component of noninterest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of assets and liabilities at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on a recurring and non-recurring basis during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in 000's):

	6/30/10 Impaired loans	6/30/10 OREO	6/30/10 CMO's	6/30/10 Goodwill	6/30/10 Intangible assets	6/30/09 Impaired loans	6/30/09 OREO	6/30/09 CMO's	6/30/09 Goodwill	6/30/09 Intangible assets
Reconciliation of Assets:										
Beginning balance	\$ 16,371	\$ 19,752	\$ 9,714	\$ 5,764	\$ 777	\$ 15,967	\$ 21,583	\$ 12,800	\$ 8,790	\$ 1,283
Total gains or (losses) included in earnings (or other comprehensive loss)	(1,243)	(1,118)	(2)	(1,414)	(255)	(8,831)	(648)	(3,774)	(3,026)	(290)
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	9,461	(1,284)	0	0	0	24,075	(2,477)	0	0	0
Ending balance	\$ 24,589	\$ 17,350	\$ 9,712	\$ 4,350	\$ 522	\$ 31,211	\$ 18,488	\$ 9,026	\$ 5,764	\$ 993

The amount of total gains or (losses) for the period included in earnings (or other comprehensive loss) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date

	\$ (568)	\$ (1,173)	\$ (2)	\$ (1,414)	\$ (255)	\$ (1,256)	\$ (573)	\$ (3,774)	\$ (3,026)	\$ (290)
--	----------	------------	--------	------------	----------	------------	----------	------------	------------	----------

	6/30/2010 Junior Sub Debt	6/30/2009 Junior Sub Debt
Reconciliation of Liabilities:		
Beginning balance	\$ 10,716	\$ 11,926
Total gains included in earnings (or changes in net assets)	(507)	1
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	0	0
Ending balance	\$ 10,209	\$ 11,927

The amount of total gains for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to liabilities still held at the reporting date

	\$ (507)	\$ 1
--	----------	------

The following tables provide a reconciliation of assets and liabilities at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on a recurring and non-recurring basis during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in 000's):

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

	6/30/10 Impaired loans	6/30/10 OREO	6/30/10 CMO's	6/30/10 Goodwill	6/30/10 Intangible assets	6/30/09 Impaired loans	6/30/09 OREO	6/30/09 CMO's	6/30/09 Goodwill	6/30/09 Intangible assets
Reconciliation of Assets:										
Beginning balance	\$ 20,386	\$ 5,191	\$ 9,893	\$ 5,764	\$ 619	\$ 23,212	\$ 16,280	\$ 9,514	\$ 8,790	\$ 1,107
Total gains or (losses) included in earnings (or other comprehensive loss)	3,238	(240)	(181)	(1,414)	(97)	(3,263)	(405)	(488)	(3,026)	(114)
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	965	(1,085)	0	0	0	11,262	(1,134)	0	0	0
Ending balance	\$ 24,589	\$ 3,866	\$ 9,712	\$ 4,350	\$ 522	\$ 31,211	\$ 18,488	\$ 9,026	\$ 5,764	\$ 993

The amount of total gains or (losses) for the period included in earnings (or other comprehensive loss) attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date

	\$ 965	\$ (338)	\$ (181)	\$ (1,414)	\$ (97)	\$ (1,256)	\$ (386)	\$ (488)	\$ (3,026)	\$ (114)
--	--------	----------	----------	------------	---------	------------	----------	----------	------------	----------

	6/30/2010 Junior Sub Debt	6/30/2009 Junior Sub Debt
Reconciliation of Liabilities:		
Beginning balance	\$ 10,616	\$ 11,887
Total gains included in earnings (or changes in net assets)	(407)	40
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	0	0
Ending balance	\$ 10,209	\$ 11,927

The amount of total gains for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to liabilities still held at the reporting date

	\$ (407)	\$ 40
--	----------	-------

The following methods and assumptions were used in estimating the fair values of financial instruments:

Cash and Cash Equivalents - The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents approximate their estimated fair values.

Interest-bearing Deposits – Interest bearing deposits in other banks consist of fixed-rate certificates of deposits. Accordingly, fair value has been estimated based upon interest rates currently being offered on deposits with similar characteristics and maturities.

Investments – Available for sale securities are valued based upon open-market price quotes obtained from reputable third-party brokers that actively make a market in those securities. Market pricing is based upon specific CUSIP identification for each individual security. To the extent there are observable prices in the market, the mid-point of the bid/ask price is used to determine fair value of individual securities. If that data are not available for the last 30 days, a Level 2-type matrix pricing approach based on comparable securities in the market is utilized. Level-2 pricing may include using a spread forward from the last observable trade or may use a proxy bond like a TBA mortgage to come

up with a price for the security being valued. Changes in fair market value are recorded in other comprehensive loss as the securities are available for sale. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company held three non-agency (private-label) collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO's). Fair value of these securities (as well as review for other-than-temporary impairment) was performed by a third-party securities broker specializing in CMO's using the discounted cash flow method. Fair value was based upon estimated cash flows which included assumptions about future prepayments, default rates, and the impact of credit risk on this type of investment security. Although the pricing of the CMO's has certain aspects of Level 2 pricing, many of the pricing inputs are based upon unobservable assumptions of future economic trends and as a result the Company considers this to be Level 3 pricing.

Loans - Fair values of variable rate loans, which reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, are based on carrying values adjusted for credit risk. Fair values for all other loans, except impaired loans, are estimated using discounted cash flows over their remaining maturities, using interest rates at which similar loans would currently be offered to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Impaired Loans - Fair value measurements for impaired loans are performed pursuant to the criteria defined in the Receivables Topic of the FASB ASC, which was originally issued under FAS No. 114, and are based upon either collateral values supported by appraisals, or observed market prices. Changes are not recorded directly as an adjustment to current earnings or comprehensive income, but rather as an adjustment component in determining the overall adequacy of the loan loss reserve. Such adjustments to the estimated fair value of impaired loans may result in increases or decreases to the provision for credit losses recorded in current earnings.

Other Real Estate Owned - Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned (OREO) are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

Bank-owned Life Insurance – Fair values of life insurance policies owned by the Company approximate the insurance contract's cash surrender value.

Investment in limited partnerships – Investment in limited partnerships which invest in qualified low-income housing projects generate tax credits to the Company. The investment is amortized using the effective yield method based upon the estimated remaining utilization of low-income housing tax credits. The Company's carrying value approximates fair value.

Investments in Bank Stock – Investment in Bank equity securities is classified as available for sale and is valued based upon open-market price quotes obtained from an active stock exchange. Changes in fair market value are recorded in other comprehensive income.

Deposits – In accordance with ASC Topic 820 (formerly SFAS No. 107), fair values for transaction and savings accounts are equal to the respective amounts payable on demand at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (i.e., carrying amounts). The Company believes that the fair value of these deposits is clearly greater than that prescribed by ASC Topic 820. Fair values of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit were estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits with similar remaining maturities.

Borrowings - Borrowings consist of federal funds sold, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and other short-term borrowings. Fair values of borrowings were estimated using the rates currently offered for borrowings with similar remaining maturities.

Junior Subordinated Debt – The fair value of the junior subordinated debt was determined based upon a valuation discounted cash flows model utilizing observable market rates and credit characteristics for similar instruments. In its analysis, the Company used characteristics that distinguish market participants generally use, and considered factors specific to (a) the liability, (b) the principal (or most advantageous) market for the liability, and (c) market participants with whom the reporting entity would transact in that market. For the three and six month period ended June 30, 2010, cash flows were discounted at a rate which incorporates a current market rate for similar-term debt instruments, adjusted for additional credit and liquidity risks associated with the junior subordinated debt. The Company believes the inputs to the model are subjective enough to the fair value determination of the junior subordinated debt to make them Level 3 inputs.

Off-balance sheet Instruments - Off-balance sheet instruments consist of commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and derivative contracts. Fair values of commitments to extend credit are estimated using the interest rate currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present counterparties' credit standing. There was no material difference between the contractual amount and the estimated value of commitments to extend credit at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Fair values of standby letters of credit are based on fees currently charged for similar agreements. The fair value of commitments generally approximates the fees received from the customer for issuing such commitments. These fees are not material to the Company's consolidated balance sheet and results of operations.

13. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 the Company had goodwill, core deposit intangibles, and other identified intangible assets which were recorded in connection with various business combinations and purchases. The following table summarizes the carrying value of those assets at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Goodwill	\$ 5,977	\$ 7,391
Core deposit intangible assets	1,237	1,585
Other identified intangible assets	340	449
Total goodwill and intangible assets	\$ 7,554	\$ 9,425

Core deposit intangibles and other identified intangible assets are amortized over their useful lives, while goodwill is not amortized. The Company conducts periodic impairment analysis on goodwill and intangible assets and goodwill at least annually or more often as conditions require.

Goodwill: The largest component of goodwill is related to the Legacy merger (Campbell reporting unit) completed during February 2007 and totaled approximately \$5.8 million at June 30, 2010. The Company conducted its annual impairment testing of the goodwill related to the Campbell reporting unit effective March 31, 2010. Impairment testing for goodwill is a two-step process.

The first step in impairment testing is to identify potential impairment, which involves determining and comparing the fair value of the operating unit with its carrying value. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is not impaired. If the carrying value exceeds fair value, there is an indication of possible impairment and the second step is performed to determine the amount of the impairment, if any. The fair value determined in the step one testing was determined based on a discounted cash flow methodology using estimated market discount rates and projections of future cash flows for the Campbell reporting unit. In addition to projected cash flows, the Company also utilized other market metrics including industry multiples of earnings and price-to-book ratios to estimate what a market participant would pay for the operating unit in the current business environment. Determining the fair value involves a significant amount of judgment, including estimates of changes in revenue growth, changes in discount rates, competitive forces within the industry, and other specific industry and market valuation conditions. The 2010 impairment analysis was impacted by to a large degree by the current economic environment, including significant declines in interest rates, and depressed valuations within the financial industry. Based on the results of step one of the impairment analysis conducted during the first quarter of 2010, the Company concluded that the potential for goodwill impairment existed and, therefore, step-two testing was required to determine if there is goodwill impairment and the amount of goodwill that might be impaired, if any.

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company utilized the services of an independent valuation firm to assist in determining the fair value of the Campbell reporting unit under step-two guidelines and whether there was goodwill impairment. The second step in impairment analysis compares the fair value of the Campbell reporting unit to the aggregate fair values of its individual assets, liabilities and identified intangibles. As a result of step-2 impairment testing, the Company concluded that the goodwill related to the Campbell reporting unit was impaired, and recognized a pre-tax and after-tax impairment loss of \$1,414,000 at June 30, 2010. Because the Legacy merger was a tax-free transaction, the Bank receives no benefit for the loss recorded as of June 30, 2010.

Core Deposit Intangibles: During the first quarter of 2010, the Company performed an annual impairment analysis of the core deposit intangible assets associated with the Legacy Bank merger completed during February 2007 (Campbell operating unit). The core deposit intangible asset, which totaled \$3.0 million at the time of merger, is being amortized over an estimated life of approximately seven years. The Company recognized \$101,000 and \$97,000 in amortization expense related to the Legacy operating unit during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2010, the carrying value of the core deposit intangible related to the Legacy Bank merger was \$522,000.

During the impairment analysis performed as of March 31, 2010, it was determined that the original deposits purchased from Legacy Bank during February 2007 continue to decline faster than originally anticipated. As a result of increased deposit runoff, particularly in noninterest-bearing checking accounts and savings accounts, the estimated

value of the Campbell core deposit intangible was determined to be \$619,000 at March 31, 2010 rather than the pre-adjustment carrying value of \$675,000. As a result of the impairment analysis, the Company recorded a pre-tax impairment loss of \$57,000 (\$33,000, net of tax) reflected as a component of noninterest expense for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 and the six months ended June 30, 2010.

As a result of impairment testing of core deposit intangible assets related to the Campbell operating unit conducted during the first quarter of 2009, the Company recorded a pre-tax impairment loss of \$57,000 (\$33,000, net of tax) reflected as a component of noninterest expense for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 and the six months ended June 30, 2009.

14. Subsequent Events

Subsequent events are events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued. Recognized subsequent events are events or transactions that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements. Nonrecognized subsequent events are events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose after that date. Management has reviewed events occurring through the date the financial statements were issued and no subsequent events occurred requiring accrual or disclosure.

Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

Certain matters discussed or incorporated by reference in this Quarterly Report of Form 10-Q are forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the following factors: i) competitive pressures in the banking industry and changes in the regulatory environment; ii) exposure to changes in the interest rate environment and the resulting impact on the Company's interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities; iii) decline in the health of the economy nationally or regionally which could reduce the demand for loans or reduce the value of real estate collateral securing most of the Company's loans; iv) credit quality deterioration that could cause an increase in the provision for loan losses; v) Asset/Liability matching risks and liquidity risks; volatility and devaluation in the securities markets, vi) expected cost savings from recent acquisitions are not realized, and, vii) potential impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets. Therefore, the information set forth therein should be carefully considered when evaluating the business prospects of the Company. For additional information concerning risks and uncertainties related to the Company and its operations, please refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

United Security Bancshares (the "Company" or "Holding Company") is a California corporation incorporated during March of 2001 and is registered with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System as a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended.. United Security Bank (the "Bank") is a wholly-owned bank subsidiary of the Company and was formed in 1987. References to the Company are references to United Security Bancshares (including the Bank). References to the Bank are to United Security Bank, while references to the Holding Company are to the parent-only, United Security Bancshares. The Company currently has eleven banking branches, which provide financial services in Fresno, Madera, Kern, and Santa Clara counties in the state of California.

Effective March 23, 2010, United Security Bancshares (the "Company") and its wholly owned subsidiary, United Security Bank (the "Bank"), entered into a formal written agreement (the "Agreement") with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. The Agreement was a result of a regulatory examination that was conducted by the Federal Reserve and the California Department of Financial Institutions in June 2009 and is intended to improve the overall condition of the Bank through, among other things, increased Board oversight; formal plans to monitor and improve processes related to asset quality, liquidity, funds management, capital, and earnings; and the prohibition of certain actions that might reduce capital, including the distribution of dividends or the repurchase of the Company's common stock. The Board of Directors and management believe that as of the filing of the second quarter written response to the Agreement, Company is in compliance with the terms of the Agreement. (For more information on the Agreement see the "Regulatory Matters" section included in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.)

During May of 2010, the California Department of Financial Institutions issued a written order (the "Order") to the Bank as a result of a regulatory examination that was conducted by the Federal Reserve and the California Department of Financial Institutions in June 2009. The Order issued by the California Department of Financial Institutions to the similar to the written agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. The Board of Directors and management believe that the Company is in compliance with the terms of the Agreement. (For more information on the Agreement see the "Regulatory Matters" section included in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.)

Trends Affecting Results of Operations and Financial Position

The following table summarizes the six-month and year-to-date averages of the components of interest-bearing assets as a percentage of total interest-bearing assets and the components of interest-bearing liabilities as a percentage of total interest-bearing liabilities:

24

	YTD Average 6/30/10	YTD Average 12/31/09	YTD Average 6/30/09
Loans and Leases	84.27%	85.09%	84.87%
Investment securities available for sale	11.10%	13.38%	13.79%
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	0.44%	0.94%	1.34%
Federal funds sold	4.19%	0.59%	0.00%
Total earning assets	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
NOW accounts	10.58%	8.80%	8.50%
Money market accounts	22.55%	22.68%	20.05%
Savings accounts	7.13%	6.86%	6.99%
Time deposits	50.00%	39.94%	36.56%
Other borrowings	7.62%	19.44%	25.64%
Subordinated debentures	2.12%	2.28%	2.26%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

The Company's overall operations are impacted by a number of factors, including not only interest rates and margin spreads, which impact results of operations, but also the composition of the Company's balance sheet. One of the primary strategic goals of the Company is to maintain a mix of assets that will generate a reasonable rate of return without undue risk, and to finance those assets with a low-cost and stable source of funds. Liquidity and capital resources must also be considered in the planning process to mitigate risk and allow for growth.

Although residential real estate markets have shown signs of improvement over the past twelve months, the severe decline in residential construction and median home prices that began in 2008 and still persists to this time has impacted the Company's operations during the past year with increased levels of nonperforming assets, increased expenses related to foreclosed properties, and decreased profit margins. Although the Company continues its business development and expansion efforts throughout its market area, increased attention has been placed on reducing nonperforming assets and providing customers more options to help work through this difficult economic period, including rate and term concessions that allow the customer to perform on the loan agreement rather than go into default.

With market rates of interest remaining at historically low levels for more than a year, the Company continues to experience compressed net interest margins, although margins have increased during the first six months of 2010. The Company's net interest margin was 4.82% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to 4.51% for the year ended December 31, 2009, and 4.39% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. With approximately 62% of the loan portfolio in floating rate instruments at June 30, 2010, the effects of low market rates continue to impact loan yields. The Company has successfully sought to mitigate the low-interest rate environment with loan floors included in new and renewed loans over the past year. Loans yielded 6.03% during the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to 5.83% for the year ended December 31, 2009, and 5.77% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The Company's cost of funds has continued to decline over the past year and is largely responsible for the increase in net interest margin experienced during the six months ended June 30, 2010. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities was 0.98% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to 1.43% for the year ended December 31, 2009, and 1.55% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Wholesale borrowing and brokered deposit rates have remained low since late 2008, resulting in overnight and short-term borrowing rates of less than 0.50% during much of the past year. The Company has benefited from the low interest rate environment, and continues to utilize short-term borrowing lines through the Federal Home Loan Bank. Although the Company does not intend to increase its current level of brokered deposits, and in fact as a result of the recent Agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank and Order with the California Department of Financial Institutions, will systematically reduce brokered deposit levels as they mature in the future, the \$112.9 million in brokered deposits at June 30, 2010 continues to provide the Company with a low-cost source of

deposits. The Company will continue to utilize these funding sources when possible to maintain prudent liquidity levels, while seeking to increase core deposits when possible.

Total noninterest income of \$4.0 million reported for the six months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$1.6 million or 65.1% as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increase in noninterest income between the two six-month periods is in part the result of the fair value gain adjustments on the Company's junior subordinated debt which included fair value gains of \$624,000 recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2010 (\$467,000 of which was recognized during the second quarter of 2010), as compared to fair value losses of \$109,000 recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$729,000 between the two six-month periods. In addition, during the quarter ended and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized gains of \$511,000 on the sale of a \$17.5 million purchased real estate mortgage portfolio, as well as \$174,000 from insurance proceeds on an insurance policy held as collateral on a previously charged-off loan. Noninterest income continues to be driven by customer service fees, which totaled \$2.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, representing a decrease of \$44,000 or 2.2% over the \$2.0 million in customer service fees reported for the six months ended June 30, 2009. While customer service fees remained level, other sources of noninterest income increased during 2010, thus customer service fees represented 49.2% and 83.0% of total noninterest income for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Noninterest expense decreased approximately \$391,000 or 2.7% between the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2010, and decreased \$1.0 million or 11.5% between the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2010. The primary reason for the decrease in noninterest expense experienced during both the quarter and the six months ended June 30, 2010 was the result of decreases of \$1.6 million in impairment losses on goodwill, with impairment losses of \$1.4 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$3.0 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2009.

Effective September 30, 2009 and beginning with the quarterly interest payment due October 1, 2009, the Company elected to defer interest payments on the Company's \$15.0 million of junior subordinated debentures relating to its trust preferred securities. This was the result of regulatory restraints which have precluded the Bank from paying dividends to the Holding Company. The Agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank entered into during March 2010 specifically prohibits the Company and the Bank from making any payments on the junior subordinated debt without prior approval of the Federal Reserve Bank. The terms of the debentures and trust indentures allow for the Company to defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters without default or penalty. During the period that the interest deferrals are elected, the Company will continue to record interest expense associated with the debentures. Upon the expiration of the deferral period, all accrued and unpaid interest will be due and payable. Under the terms of the debenture, the Company is precluded from paying cash dividends to shareholders or repurchasing its stock during the deferral period.

The Company has not paid any cash dividends on its common stock since the second quarter of 2008 and does not expect to resume cash dividends on its common stock for the foreseeable future. Because the Company has elected to defer the quarterly payments of interest on its junior subordinated debentures issued in connection with the trust preferred securities as discussed above, the Company is prohibited under the subordinated debenture agreement from paying cash dividends on its common stock during the deferral period. In addition, pursuant to the Agreement entered into with the Federal Reserve Bank during March of 2010, the Company and the Bank are precluded from paying cash dividends without prior consent of the Federal Reserve Bank. On both March 23, 2010 and June 22, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors declared a one-percent (1%) quarterly stock dividend on the Company's outstanding common stock. The Company believes, given the current uncertainties in the economy and unprecedented declines in real estate valuations in our markets, it is prudent to retain capital in this environment, and better position the Company for future growth opportunities. Based upon the number of outstanding common shares on the record date of April 9, 2010 and July 9, 2010, respectively, an additional 124,965 and 126,214 shares, respectively, were issued to shareholders. For purposes of earnings per share calculations, the Company's weighted average shares outstanding and potentially dilutive shares used in the computation of earnings per share have been restated after giving retroactive effect to the 1% stock dividends to shareholders for all periods presented.

The Company has sought to maintain a strong, yet conservative balance sheet while continuing to reduce the level of nonperforming assets and improve liquidity during the six months ended June 30, 2010. Total assets increased approximately \$19.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010, with a decrease of \$13.4 million in loans, a decrease of \$11.6 million in investment securities, and \$3.4 million in other real estate owned through foreclosure. Offsetting these decreases was an increase of \$50.3 million in cash and cash equivalents. On June 30, 2010, the Company completed the sale of two purchased real estate mortgage loan portfolios totaling \$17.1 million, recognizing a gain of \$511,000 on the transaction. The sale of the mortgage loan portfolios provided additional liquidity at June 30, 2010 and was the primary reason for the decrease in loans during the six months ended June 30, 2010. Decreases of \$3.0 million in FHLB term borrowings were more than offset by increases in deposits including NOW and money market accounts, and time deposits of \$100,000 or more. Net increases of \$22.1 million in deposits experienced during the six months ended June 30, 2010, were utilized to enhance liquidity. Average loans comprised approximately 84% of overall average earning assets during the six months ended June 30, 2010, a percentage that has remained stable over the past three years.

Nonperforming assets, which are primarily related to the real estate loan and property portfolio, have declined slightly during the first half of 2010 but remain high as real estate markets continue to suffer from the mortgage crisis which began during mid-2007. Nonaccrual loans totaling \$31.5 million at June 30, 2010, decreased \$3.2 million from the balance reported at December 31, 2009. In determining the adequacy of the underlying collateral related to these loans, management monitors trends within specific geographical areas, loan-to-value ratios, appraisals, and other credit issues related to the specific loans. Impaired loans decreased \$7.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 to a balance of \$46.7 million at June 30, 2010. Other real estate owned through foreclosure decreased \$3.4 million between December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010, as sales and write-downs more than offset the transfer of \$7.3 million in loans to other real estate owned during the six month ended June 30, 2010. As a result of these events, nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets decreased from 12.56% at December 31, 2009 to 11.19% at June 30, 2010.

Management continues to monitor economic conditions in the real estate market for signs of further deterioration or improvement which may impact the level of the allowance for loan losses required to cover identified losses in the loan portfolio. Greater focus has been placed on identifying and reducing the level of problem assets, while working with borrowers to find more options, including loan restructures, to work through these difficult economic times. Provisions made to the allowance for credit losses, totaled \$2.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and \$519,000 during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, as compared to \$13.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, and \$8.2 million and \$6.8 million for the six months and quarter ended June 30, 2009, respectively. Net loan and lease charge-offs during the six months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$5.1 million, as compared to \$9.9 million and \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively.

Deposits increased by \$22.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010, with increases experienced in all interest-bearing deposit accounts except time deposits of less than \$100,000. Increases in time deposits experienced during the six months ended June 30, 2010 were primarily the result of increases in non-brokered wholesale deposits, allowing the Company to continue to reduce its reliance on borrowed funds, while enhancing liquidity. Brokered deposits have provided the Company a relatively inexpensive funding source over the past several years totaling \$112.9 million or 19.4% of total deposits at June 30, 2010, as compared to \$129.4 million or 23.0% of total deposits at December 31, 2009, and \$99.3 million or 19.4% of total deposits at June 30, 2009. As part of its liquidity position improvement plan, the Company will reduce its reliance on brokered deposits over the next two years to levels more comparable with peers. The Company will seek to replace maturing brokered deposits with core deposits, but may also control loan growth to help achieve that objective.

Although balances have declined during 2010, the Company will continue to utilize overnight borrowings and other term credit lines as deemed prudent, with borrowings totaling \$37.0 million at June 30, 2010 as compared to \$40.0 million at December 31, 2009. The average rate of those term borrowings was 0.19% at June 30, 2010, as compared to 0.86% at December 31, 2009. Although the Company continues to realize significant interest expense reductions by utilizing overnight and term borrowings lines, the use of such lines are monitored closely to ensure sound balance sheet management in light of the current economic and credit environment.

The cost of the Company's subordinated debentures issued by USB Capital Trust II has remained low as market rates declined during most of 2009. With pricing at 3-month-LIBOR plus 129 basis points, the effective cost of the subordinated debt was 1.82% and 1.54% at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Pursuant to fair value accounting guidance, the Company has recorded \$624,000 and \$157,000 in pretax fair value gains on its junior subordinated debt during the six months and quarter ended June 30, 2010, respectively, bringing the total cumulative gain recorded on the debt to \$5.5 million at June 30, 2010.

The Company continues to emphasize relationship banking and core deposit growth, and has focused greater attention on its market area of Fresno, Madera, and Kern Counties, as well as Campbell, in Santa Clara County. The San Joaquin Valley and other California markets continue to exhibit weak demand for construction lending and commercial lending from small and medium size businesses, as commercial and residential real estate markets declined during much of 2008, and 2009, a condition which still persists at this time. Although we have seen some improvement during the first half of 2010, the past year has presented significant challenges for the banking industry with tightening credit markets, weakening real estate markets, and increased loan losses adversely affecting the Banking industry and the Company.

The Company continually evaluates its strategic business plan as economic and market factors change in its market area. Balance sheet management, enhancing revenue sources, and maintaining market share will be of primary importance during 2010 and beyond. The banking industry is currently experiencing continued pressure on net margins as well as asset quality resulting from conditions in the real estate market, and weak credit markets. During March 2010, the Company and the Bank entered into a regulatory agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank which,

among other things, requires improvements in the overall condition of the Company and the Bank. As a result, market rates of interest, asset quality, as well as regulatory oversight will continue be an important factor in the Company's ongoing strategic planning process.

Results of Operations

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company reported net income of \$957,000 or \$0.08 per share (\$0.08 diluted) as compared to a net loss of \$4.8 million or \$0.38 per share (\$0.38 diluted) for the six months ended June 30, 2009. For the quarter ended June 30, 2010, the Company reported net income of \$515,000 or \$0.04 per share (\$0.04 diluted) as compared to a net loss of \$5.7 million or \$0.45 per share (\$0.45 diluted) for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The increase in earnings between the two six month and quarterly periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2010 is primarily the result of decreases in provisions for loan losses and goodwill impairment losses taken during 2010.

The Company's return on average assets was 0.27% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to -1.30% for the six months ended June 30, 2009, and was 0.29% for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 as compared to -3.11% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The Bank's return on average equity was 2.49% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to -12.00% for the same six-month period of 2009, and was 2.65% for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 as compared to -28.45% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income before provision for credit losses totaled \$14.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, representing an increase of \$595,000, or 4.3% when compared to the \$13.9 million reported for the same six months of the previous year.

The Company's net interest margin, as shown in Table 1, increased to 4.82% at June 30, 2010 from 4.39% at June 30, 2009, an increase of 43 basis points (100 basis points = 1%) between the two periods. On a quarterly basis, the Company's net interest margin increased 57 basis points from 4.30% during the three months ended June 30, 2009 to 4.87% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. While average market rates of interest have remained level between the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2010 (the Prime rate averaged 3.25% during both periods), significant declines in the Company's cost of funds enhanced the net margin between the two six-month periods.

Table 1. Distribution of Average Assets, Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity:
Interest rates and Interest Differentials
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

(dollars in thousands)	2010			2009		
	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate
Assets:						
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans and leases (1)	\$ 512,216	\$ 15,309	6.03%	\$ 543,310	\$ 15,543	5.77%
Investment Securities – taxable	66,196	1,570	4.78%	87,066	2,304	5.34%
Investment Securities – nontaxable (2)	1,252	29	4.67%	1,252	29	4.67%
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	2,646	20	1.52%	8,587	77	1.81%
Federal funds sold and reverse repos	25,478	15	0.12%	22	0	0.00%
Total interest-earning assets	607,788	\$ 16,943	5.62%	640,237	\$ 17,953	5.65%
Allowance for credit losses	(15,693)			(10,882)		
Noninterest-bearing assets:						
Cash and due from banks	19,113			17,591		
Premises and equipment, net	13,130			14,003		
Accrued interest receivable	2,237			2,472		
Other real estate owned	38,841			31,208		
Other assets	43,433			50,274		
Total average assets	\$ 708,849			\$ 744,903		
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity:						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
NOW accounts	\$ 52,305	\$ 51	0.20%	\$ 44,305	\$ 103	0.47%
Money market accounts	111,459	690	1.25%	104,525	1,004	1.94%
Savings accounts	35,260	71	0.41%	36,458	128	0.71%
Time deposits	247,134	1,409	1.15%	190,609	2,039	2.16%
Other borrowings	37,641	68	0.36%	133,702	539	0.81%

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

Junior subordinated debentures	10,501	117	2.25%	11,758	198	3.40%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	494,300	\$ 2,406	0.98%	521,357	\$ 4,011	1.55%
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:						
Noninterest-bearing checking	132,469			136,287		
Accrued interest payable	354			654		
Other liabilities	4,259			5,885		
Total Liabilities	631,382			664,183		
Total shareholders' equity	77,467			80,720		
Total average liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 708,849			\$ 744,903		
Interest income as a percentage of average earning assets						
			5.62%			5.65%
Interest expense as a percentage of average earning assets						
			0.80%			1.26%
Net interest margin						
			4.82%			4.39%

- (1) Loan amounts include nonaccrual loans, but the related interest income has been included only if collected for the period prior to the loan being placed on a nonaccrual basis. Loan interest income includes loan fees of approximately \$789,000 and \$775,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- (2) Applicable nontaxable securities yields have not been calculated on a tax-equivalent basis because they are not material to the Company's results of operations.

Both the Company's net interest income and net interest margin are affected by changes in the amount and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, referred to as "volume change." Both are also affected by changes in yields on interest-earning assets and rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, referred to as "rate change." The following table sets forth the changes in interest income and interest expense for each major category of interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability, and the amount of change attributable to volume and rate changes for the periods indicated.

Table 2. Rate and Volume Analysis

(In thousands)	Increase (decrease) in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to June 30, 2009		
	Total	Rate	Volume
Increase (decrease) in interest income:			
Loans and leases	\$ (234)	\$ 678	(912)
Investment securities available for sale	(734)	(222)	(512)
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	(57)	(19)	(38)
Federal funds sold	15	15	0
Total interest income	(1,010)	452	(1,462)
Increase (decrease) in interest expense:			
Interest-bearing demand accounts	(366)	(468)	102
Savings accounts	(57)	(53)	(4)
Time deposits	(630)	(1,125)	495
Other borrowings	(471)	(205)	(266)
Subordinated debentures	(81)	(62)	(19)
Total interest expense	(1,605)	(1,913)	308
Increase (decrease) in net interest income	\$ 595	\$ 2,365	\$ (1,770)

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, total interest income decreased approximately \$1.0 million, or 5.6% as compared to the six-month period ended June 30, 2009. Earning asset volumes decreased in all earning-asset categories between the six month periods, with the largest decrease experienced in loans.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, total interest income of \$8.5 million decreased approximately \$124,000, or 1.4% as compared to the three-month period ended June 30, 2009. During the second quarter of 2010 interest and fees on loans increased \$293,000 or 3.9% when compared to the comparative quarter of 2009, as increases in loan yields more than outweighed declines in volume between the two quarterly periods. Interest income on investment securities decreased \$397,000 between the comparative second quarters of 2009 and 2010 as both volumes and rates on investment securities decreased between the two periods.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, total interest expense decreased approximately \$1.6 million, or 40.0% as compared to the six-month period ended June 30, 2009. Between those two periods, average interest-bearing liabilities decreased by \$27.1 million, and the average rates paid on these liabilities decreased by 57 basis points.

For the three months ended June 2010 interest expense of \$1.1 million decreased \$706,000 or 38.2% as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009 as a result of significant declines in the rates incurred on interest-bearing liabilities, which more than outweighed the average increase of \$24.7 million between those two second-quarter periods. Between the three month periods ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2010, the average cost of funds declined 50 basis points from 1.42% during the three months ended June 30, 2009 to 0.92% for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Provisions for credit losses are determined on the basis of management's periodic credit review of the loan portfolio, consideration of past loan loss experience, current and future economic conditions, and other pertinent factors. Such factors consider the allowance for credit losses to be adequate when it covers estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Based on the condition of the loan portfolio, management believes the allowance is sufficient to cover risk elements in the loan portfolio. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the provision to the allowance for credit losses amounted to \$2.2 million as compared to \$8.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the provision to the allowance for credit losses amounted to \$519,000 as compared to \$6.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Increases in provisions between the two year-to-date and quarterly periods presented is the result of large loan loss provisions taken during 2009 resulting from the significant decline in real estate markets experienced between 2008 and 2009, which has remained much more stable over the past twelve months. In addition, the Company experienced recoveries totaling \$930,000 and \$937,000 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, which helped to reduce the current year's loan loss reserve provisions required to maintain the allowance for loan losses at adequate levels at June 30, 2010. The amount provided to the allowance for credit losses during the first six months of 2010 brought the allowance to 2.44% of net outstanding loan balances at June 30, 2010, as compared to 2.96% of net outstanding loan balances at December 31, 2009, and 2.89% at June 30, 2009.

Noninterest Income

Table 3. Changes in Noninterest Income

The following table sets forth the amount and percentage changes in the categories presented for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009:

(In thousands)	2010	2009	Amount of Change	Percent Change
Customer service fees	\$ 1,964	\$ 2,008	\$ (44)	-2.19%
Gain on sale of securities	70	0	70	—
(Gain) loss on sale of OREO	108	(145)	253	-174.48%
Gain(loss) on fair value of financial liabilities	624	(105)	729	694.29%
Gain on sale of loans	511	0	511	100.00%
Shared appreciation income	0	23	(23)	-100.00%
Other	716	638	78	12.12%
Total noninterest income	\$ 3,993	\$ 2,419	\$ 1,574	65.07%

Noninterest income for the six months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$1.6 million or 65.1% when compared to the same period of 2009. The increase in noninterest income between the two six-month periods is partially the result of fair value gain adjustments on the Company's junior subordinated debt which included fair value gains of \$624,000

and \$157,000 recognized during the six months and quarter ended June 30, 2010, respectively, as compared to fair value losses of \$109,000 and \$59,000 recognized during the six months and quarter ended June 30, 2009, respectively, an increase of \$729,000 and \$513,000, between the two year-to-date and quarterly periods, respectively. Included in noninterest income for the six months and quarter ended June 30, 2010 is a gain of \$511,000 realized on the sale of two purchased real estate mortgage loan portfolios. Customer service fees, the primary component of noninterest income, decreased \$44,000 or 2.2% between the two six-month periods presented, primarily resulting from decreases in revenues from the Company's financial services department.

Noninterest income for the three months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$1.4 million or 109.7% when compared to the same three-month period of 2009. Increases between the three-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2010 included increases of \$513,000 in fair value gains on the Company's junior subordinated debt, increases of \$232,000 in gains on sale of other real estate, a gain of \$174,000 recorded during the second quarter of 2010 from proceeds on life insurance, and a gain of \$511,000 recorded during the second quarter of 2010 resulting from the sale of two real estate mortgage loan portfolios.

Noninterest Expense

The following table sets forth the amount and percentage changes in the categories presented for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009:

Table 4. Changes in Noninterest Expense

(In thousands)	2010	2009	Amount of Change	Percent Change
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 4,388	\$ 4,286	\$ 102	2.38%
Occupancy expense	1,874	1,881	(7)	-0.37%
Data processing	32	65	(33)	-50.77%
Professional fees	1,019	811	208	25.65%
Directors fees	117	128	(11)	-8.59%
FDIC/DFI insurance assessments	906	616	290	47.08%
Amortization of intangibles	401	451	(50)	-11.09%
Correspondent bank service charges	158	208	(50)	-24.04%
Impairment loss on core deposit intangible	57	57	0	—
Impairment loss on investment securities	702	403	299	74.19%
Impairment loss on OREO	1,226	503	723	143.74%
Impairment loss on Goodwill	1,414	3,026	(1,612)	-53.27%
Loss on California tax credit partnership	212	214	(2)	-0.93%
OREO expense	767	843	(76)	-9.02%
Other	1,100	1,272	(172)	-13.52%
Total expense	\$ 14,373	\$ 14,764	\$ (391)	-2.65%

Noninterest income decreased \$391,000 between the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2010, and decreased \$1.0 million between the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2010. The net decrease in noninterest expense between the six months and quarterly comparative periods is primarily the result of reductions of \$1.6 million in impairment losses on goodwill between the two six-month and quarterly periods. The Company experienced increases in professional fees, as the result of legal costs related to impaired loans, as well as increases in FDIC assessments between the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2010.

Impairment losses totaling \$405,000 and \$1.2 million were realized on OREO during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively as OREO properties were further written-down to fair value as new valuations were received. In addition, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized \$458,000 and \$702,000, respectively, in other-than-temporary impairment losses on three of its non-agency residential mortgage obligations. The amount expensed as impairment losses on the three securities represents the identified credit-related portion of the impairment. Although there are some indications of improvement in current economic conditions, a prolonged recessionary period could result in additional impairment losses in the future.

Noninterest expense in other categories increased between the periods presented including professional fees and FDIC/DFI insurance assessments. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, professional fees, representing primarily legal fees associated with problem asset workouts, totaled \$1.1 million compared to \$811,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, representing an increase of \$208,000 or 53.8 % between the two six-month periods, and increased \$208,000 or 25.7% between the three-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2010. FDIC insurance assessments have increased during 2010 both as a result of the both Company's current regulatory status and the stressed banking environment in general. FDIC/DFI insurance assessments totaled \$906,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, representing an increase of \$290,000 or 47.1% compared to FDICI/DFI insurance assessments

expensed during the six months ended June 30, 2009. FDIC/DFI insurance assessments of \$515,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$45,000 or 9.6% compared to the \$470,000 expensed during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

Income Taxes

The Company's income tax expense is impacted to some degree by permanent taxable differences between income reported for book purposes and income reported for tax purposes, as well as certain tax credits which are not reflected in the Company's pretax income or loss shown in the statements of operations and comprehensive income. As pretax income or loss amounts become smaller, the impact of these differences become more significant and are reflected as variances in the Company's effective tax rate for the periods presented. In general, the permanent differences and tax credits affecting tax expense have a positive impact and tend to reduce the effective tax rates shown in the Company's statements of operations and comprehensive income.

The Company reviews its current tax positions at least quarterly based upon income tax accounting guidance which includes the criteria that an individual tax position would have to meet for some or all of the income tax benefit to be recognized in a taxable entity's financial statements. Under the income tax guidelines, an entity should recognize the financial statement benefit of a tax position if it determines that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on examination. The term "more likely than not" means a likelihood of more than 50 percent." In assessing whether the more-likely-than-not criterion is met, the entity should assume that the tax position will be reviewed by the applicable taxing authority.

Pursuant to the guidance, the Company reviewed its REIT tax position as of January 1, 2007 (adoption date of the new guidance), and then has again reviewed its position each subsequent quarter since adoption. The Bank, with guidance from advisors, believes that the case has merit with regard to points of law, and that the tax law at the time allowed for the deduction of the consent dividend. However, the Bank, with the concurrence of advisors, cannot conclude that it is "more than likely" that the Bank will prevail in its case with the FTB. As a result of this determination, effective January 1, 2007 the Company recorded an adjustment of \$1.3 million to beginning retained earnings upon adoption of the new guidance (previously FIN48) to recognize the potential tax liability under the guidelines of the interpretation. The adjustment includes amounts for assessed taxes, penalties, and interest. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had recorded a total unrecognized tax liability related to the REIT of \$1.6 million. The Company has determined that there has been no material change to its position on the REIT from that at December 31, 2009, and as a result recorded additional interest liability of \$43,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2010. It is the Company's policy to recognize interest and penalties as a component of income tax expense.

The Company has reviewed all of its tax positions as of June 30, 2010, and has determined that, other than the REIT, there are no other material amounts that should be recorded under the current income tax accounting guidelines.

Financial Condition

Total assets increased \$19.0 million, or 2.74% to a balance of \$711.6 million at June 30, 2010, from the balance of \$692.6 million at December 31, 2009, but decreased \$27.0 million or 3.65% from the balance of \$738.5 million at June 30, 2009. Total deposits of \$583.8 million at June 30, 2010 increased \$22.1 million, or 3.93% from the balance reported at December 31, 2009, and increased \$72.9 million from the balance of \$510.9 million reported at June 30, 2009. Between December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010, loans decreased \$13.39 million, or 2.63% to a balance of \$495.2 million, cash and due from banks increased \$21.2 million or 120.4% and federal funds sold increased by \$29.1 million or 251.01%, while investment securities decreased by \$11.6 million, or 16.27%. The significant increase experienced in cash and due from banks between December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010 is the result of the sale of two real estate mortgage loan portfolios completed on June 30, 2010, for which the \$17.5 million in sales proceeds were held at the Company's correspondent bank account with the Federal Reserve Bank rather than sold as overnight federal funds on June 30, 2010.

Earning assets averaged approximately \$607.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to \$640.2 million for the same six-month period of 2009. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased to \$494.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, from \$521.4 million reported for the comparative six-month period of 2009.

Loans and Leases

The Company's primary business is that of acquiring deposits and making loans, with the loan portfolio representing the largest and most important component of its earning assets. Loans totaled \$495.2 million at June 30, 2010, a decrease of \$13.4 million or 2.63% when compared to the balance of \$508.6 million at December 31, 2009, and a decrease of \$53.5 million or 9.75% when compared to the balance of \$548.7 million reported at June 30, 2009. Loans on average decreased \$31.1 million or 5.72% between the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2010, with loans averaging \$512.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to \$543.3 million for the same six-month period of 2009.

During the first six months of 2010, increases were experienced primarily in commercial and industrial loans, and agricultural loans. The largest declines were experienced in construction loans as a result of soft real estate markets and declines in new home sales within the Company's market area. On June 30, 2010, the Company completed the sale of two real estate mortgage portfolios totaling \$17.1 million which contributed to the net decrease of \$5.3 million in real estate mortgage loans between December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010. The sale of the loan portfolios resulted in a pre-tax gain of \$511,000 reflected in other noninterest income for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2010. The following table sets forth the amounts of loans outstanding by category at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the category percentages as of those dates, and the net change between the two periods presented.

Table 5. Loans

(In thousands)	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009		Net Change	% Change
	Dollar Amount	% of Loans	Dollar Amount	% of Loans		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 171,875	34.7%	\$ 167,930	33.0%	\$ 3,945	2.35%
Real estate – mortgage	160,324	32.4%	165,629	32.6%	(5,305)	-3.20%
RE construction & development	93,056	18.8%	105,220	20.7%	(12,164)	-11.56%
Agricultural	54,622	11.0%	50,897	10.0%	3,725	7.32%
Installment/other	14,739	3.0%	18,191	3.6%	(3,452)	-18.98%
Lease financing	583	0.1%	706	0.1%	(123)	-17.50%
Total Gross Loans	\$ 495,199	100.0%	\$ 508,573	100.0%	\$ (13,374)	-2.63%

The overall average yield on the loan portfolio was 6.03% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to 5.77% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. At June 30, 2010, 62.2% of the Company's loan portfolio consisted of floating rate instruments, as compared to 60.7% of the portfolio at December 31, 2009, with the majority of those tied to the prime rate.

Deposits

Total deposits increased during the period to a balance of \$583.8 million at June 30, 2010, representing an increase of \$22.1 million, or 3.93% from the balance of \$561.7 million reported at December 31, 2009, and an increase of \$72.9 million, or 14.27% from the balance reported at June 30, 2009.

The following table sets forth the amounts of deposits outstanding by category at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, and the net change between the two periods presented.

Table 6. Deposits

(In thousands)	June 30,	December	Net Change	Percentage Change
	2010	31, 2009		
Noninterest bearing deposits	\$ 123,630	\$ 139,724	\$ (16,094)	-11.52%
Interest bearing deposits:				
NOW and money market accounts	185,474	158,795	26,679	16.80%
Savings accounts	34,478	34,146	332	0.97%
Time deposits:				
Under \$100,000	61,390	64,481	(3,091)	-4.79%

Edgar Filing: UNITED SECURITY BANCSHARES - Form 10-Q

\$100,000 and over	178,785	164,514	14,271	8.67%
Total interest bearing deposits	460,127	421,936	38,191	9.05%
Total deposits	\$ 583,757	\$ 561,660	\$ 22,097	3.93%

The Company's deposit base consists of two major components represented by noninterest-bearing (demand) deposits and interest-bearing deposits. Interest-bearing deposits consist of time certificates, NOW and money market accounts and savings deposits. Total interest-bearing deposits increased \$38.2 million, or 9.05% between December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010, while noninterest-bearing deposits decreased \$16.1 million, or 11.52% between the same two periods presented.

Core deposits, consisting of all deposits other than time deposits of \$100,000 or more, and brokered deposits, continue to provide the foundation for the Company's principal sources of funding and liquidity. These core deposits amounted to 65.9% and 66.7% of the total deposit portfolio at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Brokered deposits totaled \$112.9 million at June 30, 2010 as compared to \$129.4 million at December 31, 2009 and \$99.3 million at June 30, 2009. The Company continues to utilize more cost-effective term borrowing lines through Federal Home Loan Bank when prudent, but in an effort to reduce its reliance on borrowed funds and brokered deposits, the Company is placing additional emphasis on core-deposit gathering strategies in an effort to reduce its reliance on brokered deposits and other wholesale funding in the future.

On a year-to-date average (refer to Table 1), the Company experienced an increase of \$66.4 million or 12.97% in total deposits between the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2010. Between these two periods, average interest-bearing deposits increased \$70.3 million or 18.69%, while total noninterest-bearing checking decreased \$3.8 million or 2.80% on a year-to-date average basis.

Short-Term Borrowings

The Company had collateralized and uncollateralized lines of credit aggregating \$124.7 million, as well as FHLB lines of credit totaling \$41.0 million at June 30, 2010. These lines of credit generally have interest rates tied to the Federal Funds rate or are indexed to short-term U.S. Treasury rates or LIBOR. All lines of credit are on an "as available" basis and can be revoked by the grantor at any time. At June 30, 2010, the Company had \$37.0 million borrowed against its FHLB lines of credit, which is summarized below. The Company had collateralized and uncollateralized lines of credit aggregating \$124.2 million, as well as FHLB lines of credit totaling \$40.8 million at December 31, 2009.

FHLB term borrowings at June 30, 2010 (in 000's):

Term	Balance at 3/31/10	Rate	Maturity
6 months	\$ 28,000	0.18%	7/29/10
6 months			