

Symmetry Medical Inc.
Form 10-K
March 11, 2009

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 333-116038

SYMMETRY MEDICAL, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

35-1996126
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3724 North State Road 15, Warsaw, Indiana
(Address of principal executive offices)

46582
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (574) 268-2252

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class
Common Stock, \$0.001

Name of each exchange on which registered
New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act:

None
(Title of class)

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

Note—Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act from their obligations under those Sections.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

The aggregate market value of voting stock of Symmetry Medical, Inc. held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of June 28, 2008, based on the closing price was \$15.59, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange: Approximately \$558,044,050.

Note.—If a determination as to whether a particular person or entity is an affiliate cannot be made without involving unreasonable effort and expense, the aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates may be calculated on the basis of assumptions reasonable under the circumstances, provided that the assumptions are set forth in this Form.

APPLICABLE ONLY TO REGISTRANTS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY
PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PRECEDING FIVE YEARS:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. " Yes " No

(APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE REGISTRANTS)

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of March 3, 2009 was 35,800,865.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain information is incorporated into Part III of this report by reference to the Registrant's 2009 Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----------------|---|----|
| PART I | | |
| Item 1. | Business | 5 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 12 |
| Item 1B. | Unresolved Staff Comments | 19 |
| Item 2. | Properties | 19 |
| Item 3. | Legal Proceedings | 19 |
| Item 4. | Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 20 |
| PART II | | |
| Item 5. | Market for the Registrant's Common Stock, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 20 |
| Item 6. | Selected Financial Data | 21 |
| Item 7. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 23 |
| Item 7A. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks | 30 |
| Item 8. | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 32 |
| Item 9. | Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 54 |
| Item 9A. | Controls and Procedures | 54 |
| Item 9B. | Other Information | 55 |
| PART III | | |
| Item 10. | Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 56 |
| Item 11. | Executive Compensation | 56 |
| Item 12. | Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 56 |
| Item 13. | Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence | 56 |
| Item 14. | Principal Accounting Fees and Services | 56 |
| PART IV | | |
| Item 15. | Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules | 57 |
| Signatures | | 60 |

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K, or in other reports or registration statements filed from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or under the Securities Act of 1933, as well as in documents we incorporate by reference or in press releases or oral statements made by our officers or representatives, we may make statements that express our opinions, expectations, or projections regarding future events or future results, in contrast with statements that reflect historical facts. These predictive statements, which we generally precede or accompany by such typical conditional words such as "anticipate," "intend," "believe," "estimate," "plan," "seek," "project," "potential," or "expect," or by the words "may," "will," "could," or "should," and similar expressions or terminology are intended to operate as "forward-looking statements" of the kind permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. That legislation protects such predictive statements by creating a "safe harbor" from liability in the event that a particular prediction does not turn out as anticipated.

Forward-looking statements convey our current expectations or forecast future events. While we always intend to express our best judgment when we make statements about what we believe will occur in the future, and although we base these statements on assumptions that we believe to be reasonable when made, these forward-looking statements are not a guarantee of performance, and you should not place undue reliance on such statements. Forward-looking statements are subject to many uncertainties and other variable circumstances, many of which are outside of our control, that could cause our actual results and experience to differ materially from those we thought would occur.

We also refer you to and believe that you should carefully read the portion of this report described in "Risk Factors" to better understand the risks and uncertainties that are inherent in our business and in owning our securities.

Any forward-looking statements which we make in this report or in any of the documents that are incorporated by reference herein speak only as of the date of such statement, and we undertake no ongoing obligation to update such statements. Comparisons of results between current and any prior periods are not intended to express any future trends or indications of future performance, unless expressed as such, and should only be viewed as historical data.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

Symmetry Medical, Inc. (which we sometimes refer to, together with our consolidated subsidiaries, as the "Corporation", "we", "our" or "Symmetry") is a leading independent provider of implants and related instruments and cases to global orthopedic device manufacturers. We design, develop and produce these products for companies in other segments of the medical device market, including the dental, osteobiologic and endoscopy segments, and we also provide limited specialized products to non-healthcare markets, such as the aerospace market. Our Total Solutions® concept provides our customers a collaborative process for developing complete implant systems, including the implant, the surgical instruments, and the related case. This approach presents our customers with a broad range of products, as well as comprehensive design, engineering and project management services and state of the art production capabilities to help them bring their implant systems to market quickly and efficiently. We believe that our Total Solutions® approach gives us a competitive advantage.

During fiscal year 2008, we generated revenue of \$423.4 million, derived primarily from the sale of products to the orthopedic device market and other medical markets. Our Total Solutions® approach is supported by an experienced team of designers, development engineers, logistics specialists and by our global sales force that work with our customers to coordinate all of our products.

Our primary products include:

- implants, including forged, cast and machined products for the global orthopedic device market;
- instruments used in the placement and removal of orthopedic implants and in other surgical procedures;
- cases, including plastic, metal and hybrid cases used to organize, secure and transport medical devices for orthopedic, endoscopy, dental and other surgical procedures; and
- other specialized products for the aerospace market.

History

Our business was established in 1976 as a supplier of instruments to orthopedic device manufacturers. Symmetry Medical, Inc. was incorporated in Delaware on July 25, 1996. During the 1990s, we made several acquisitions, which expanded our customer base, enhanced our instrument product offerings and extended our product line to include cases designed for various medical devices and their related instruments. In June 2003, we acquired Mettis (UK) Limited, a leading manufacturer of forged, cast and machined implants for the global orthopedic device market. The Mettis acquisition significantly expanded our product offerings and increased our European presence, allowing us to develop and manufacture implants, instruments and cases for orthopedic device manufacturers on a global basis. In December 2004, we completed an initial public offering of our common stock.

Recent Acquisitions

Since the beginning of 2006, we have completed six acquisitions.

-

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

- Riley Medical. On May 2, 2006 we acquired all of the stock of Riley Medical, Inc., a privately owned company based in Auburn, Maine, and Riley Medical Europe S.A., its Swiss subsidiary (collectively "Riley Medical"). Riley Medical specializes in cases and trays for the orthopedic industry and was acquired for approximately \$45.8 million. The acquisition of Riley Medical expanded our product offering of medical cases and trays to the medical markets, including many patented products. In 2008, the Switzerland facility was consolidated into our operations in France.
- Everest Metal. On August 31, 2006, we acquired certain assets of Everest Metal Finishing, LLC now located in Hillburn, New York, and all of the issued and outstanding stock of Everest Metal International, Limited located in Cork, Ireland (collectively "Everest Metal") for approximately \$10.3 million. Everest Metal specializes in machining and finishing for the orthopedic industry.
 - Clamonta Ltd. On January 9, 2007, we acquired all of the stock of Whedon Limited, located in Warwickshire, United Kingdom and the holding company of Clamonta Limited (collectively "Clamonta Ltd") for approximately \$10.4 million. Clamonta Ltd machines and finishes products for the global aerospace industry.
 - TNCO, Inc. On April 3, 2007, we acquired all of the stock of TNCO, Inc. ("TNCO") located in Whitman, Massachusetts. TNCO was a privately owned company with a 40-year history of designing and supplying instruments for arthroscopic, laparoscopic, sinus and other minimally invasive procedures. TNCO was acquired for approximately \$7.6 million and allows us to leverage our instrument manufacturing while also leveraging their customer base in non-orthopedic segments of the healthcare market.
 - Specialty Surgical Instrumentation, Inc. and UCA, LLC. On August 31, 2007, we acquired Specialty Surgical Instrumentation, Inc. ("SSI") and UCA, LLC ("UCA") located in Nashville, Tennessee for approximately \$15.0 million in cash. SSI distributes surgical instruments directly to hospitals while UCA distributes sterilization containers directly to hospitals. The addition of SSI and UCA allows us to offer a broad array of medical instruments and related products to our customer base. This includes over 12,000 individual items, many of which are held in inventory for quick delivery. For Symmetry Medical, this was our first entry into the medical product distribution industry which provides us direct access to hospitals.
 - New Bedford. On January 25, 2008, we acquired DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc.'s New Bedford, Massachusetts instrument manufacturing facility ("New Bedford") for approximately \$45.2 million. This facility manufactures orthopedic instruments as well as general surgical instruments and small implants. In connection with the acquisition, we entered into a supply agreement which requires DePuy to make minimum purchases totaling \$106 million from New Bedford for a four year period, with specific amounts in each year; starting January 25, 2008. The agreement stipulates that these purchases are incremental to other products we previously produced on DePuy's behalf.

Our Total Solutions® Approach

We believe that we have created a distinctive competitive position in the orthopedic device market based upon our Total Solutions® approach. Our Total Solutions® approach presents our customers with a broad range of products, as well as comprehensive design, engineering and project management services and state of the art production capabilities to help bring their implant systems to market quickly and efficiently.

Our Total Solutions® offering is based on:

- **Comprehensive offerings.** We can support our customers' new product offerings from product concept through market introduction and thereafter, by providing seamless design, engineering, prototyping and manufacturing offerings.
- **Single source for complete systems.** We assist our customers in developing new implants, and we design and produce instruments for implant-specific surgical procedures. We also provide customized cases that provide a secure, clearly labeled and well organized arrangement of instruments and devices.
- **Proprietary Symmetry instruments and cases.** Our established lines of proprietary products allow our customers to complete their proprietary implant systems and bring them to market sooner.
- **Precision manufacturing expertise.** Our extensive expertise and know-how enable us to produce large volumes of specialized products to our customers' precise standards, which we believe makes us a supplier of choice to the largest orthopedic companies. Our core production competencies include net shaped forging, precision casting, thermo forming, precision sheet metal working and machining/finishing. During 2008, we developed high precision machining capabilities to better serve the spine implant market.
 - **Quality and regulatory compliance.** Our quality systems are based upon and in compliance with International Organization for Standardization, or ISO, requirements and, where applicable, United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") regulations. We believe our level of quality and regulatory compliance systems meet our customers' expectations.
- **Global reach.** Our manufacturing capabilities in the United States, United Kingdom, France, Ireland and Malaysia allow us to offer single-source products to our multinational customers, and the geographic breadth of our experienced sales force effectively brings our Total Solutions® approach to customers globally.

We believe that our Total Solutions® approach offers a number of benefits to our customers, including:

- **Shorter time to market.** Our design, engineering and prototyping skills, as well as our ability to transition seamlessly from product development to production of implants, instruments and cases, enable our customers to reduce time to market for their new products.
- **Reduced total product acquisition costs.** Our comprehensive offerings, including design, engineering, prototyping, project management, production and inventory control, allow our customers to reduce their procurement costs and inventory levels, resulting in lower product acquisition costs.
- **Increased focus on marketing and research and development efforts.** Our extensive production capabilities and comprehensive offerings provide a one-stop outsourcing solution and allow our customers to focus their resources on their design, development and marketing efforts.

- Rationalized and reliable supply chain. Our scale, scope of products and Total Solutions® approach allow large orthopedic companies to reduce the number of their independent suppliers and streamline their operations.
- Enhanced product consistency on a global basis. Our extensive production platform, Total Solutions® approach and international presence allow us to meet global demand for orthopedic devices, which is expected to increase.

Since the beginning of 2006, we have expanded our Total Solutions® offering through strategic acquisitions which expanded our product offerings including medical cases and trays to non-orthopedic medical markets, additional patented products, expanded implant finishing and minimally invasive instrumentation.

Business Strategy

Our goal is to increase our share of the orthopedic device market and to leverage our strengths to expand in other medical device market segments. The key elements of our business strategy are to:

- Develop strategic relationships with our customers through access to key decision makers. Our scale, scope of products and Total Solutions® approach, positions us as an important partner to our customers. This position gives us access to key decision makers, with whom we intend to continue to build strategic relationships.
- Capitalize on our Total Solutions® approach. We believe that our Total Solutions® approach shortens product development cycles, reduces design and manufacturing costs and simplifies purchasing and logistics, and we intend to aggressively market these benefits to our customers.
- Increase sales to existing customers by cross selling products and offerings. Our cases are currently sold in nearly every segment of the medical device market. We believe that our diverse customer base offers us a natural entry point to new orthopedic and non-orthopedic customers for our implants and instruments.
- Leverage manufacturing skills. During recent years, we expanded most of our facilities and opened new facilities to add manufacturing capacity and design resources, and updated much of our manufacturing and development equipment. We intend to continue to leverage our investments in sophisticated equipment and manufacturing know-how to expand our existing customer relationships and to obtain new customers. During 2008, we developed high precision machining capabilities to better serve the spine implant market.
- Increase new product offerings. Our Design and Development Centers provide expertise and coordination for our design, engineering and prototyping offerings. We intend to use the dedicated expertise of our Design and Development Centers to generate additional development projects with our customers and to expand our line of innovative and independently developed instruments and cases.
- Collaborate with emerging companies. We believe that new and innovative medical device companies are creating a meaningful market presence and that our Total Solutions® approach positions us to help these companies, many of which may have limited resources.

- Continued global expansion. Our global facilities allow us to serve the global medical marketplace. We believe that having local facilities near our global customers and closer to the end consumer allows us to better serve their needs. In December 2006, we opened a new facility in Malaysia to better serve our customers in Asia. We plan to expand our Malaysia operations and increase its product offerings.
- Leverage Technology. Our expertise in metal processing and in particular high integrity net shape forging enables us to develop a role as a niche supplier in certain other markets most notably the aerospace sector where we supply engine aerofoil blades and other similar parts.

We believe all of our acquisitions support our stated strategies and strengthen our business model because they diversify our sales into other medical markets, which allows us to cross sell our products, increase our product offerings and provide strategic locations that we can use as a base for expansion of our business.

Products

We design, develop and manufacture implants and related surgical instruments and cases for orthopedic device companies. We also design, develop and manufacture products for companies in other medical device markets, such as dental, osteobiologic and endoscopy, and we provide specialized products used in the aerospace and other non-healthcare markets. Our revenue from the sale of implants, instruments, cases and other products represented 29.0%, 41.9%, 20.4% and 8.7%, respectively, of our revenue in fiscal 2008, compared with 33.3%, 27.2%, 26.5% and 13.0%, respectively, of our revenue in fiscal 2007.

Implants

We design, develop and manufacture implants for use in specific implant systems developed by our customers. We make orthopedic implants used primarily in knee and hip implant systems. Our orthopedic implants are used in reconstructive surgeries to replace or repair hips, knees and other joints, such as shoulders, ankles and elbows, sometimes referred to as extremities that have deteriorated as a result of disease or injury. An orthopedic implant system is generally comprised of several implants designed to work in concert to replicate the structure and function of a healthy joint.

We also manufacture implant products for trauma, spine and other implant systems. Trauma implant systems are used primarily to reattach or stabilize damaged bone or tissue while the body heals. Spinal implant systems are used by orthopedic surgeons and neurosurgeons in the treatment of degenerative diseases, deformities and injuries in various regions of the spine.

Our design, engineering and prototyping expertise is an integral part of our implant offering. Medical device companies, which typically focus their resources on developing new implant systems as well as sales and marketing, may rely on us and companies like us to design, develop and manufacture the implants that comprise their implant systems. Our manufacturing capabilities, including our net shaped forging capabilities, technologically advanced casting facility and machining expertise, allow us to produce consistent, tight tolerance implants in large volumes for our customers.

We produce gross shaped, near-net shaped and net shaped implants for medical device manufacturers. Gross shaped implants require a significant amount of machining and hand processing post-forging. Near-net shaped implants are distinguished by geometric features that are thinner; more detailed and have tighter tolerances. Net shaped and near-net shaped implants require far fewer machine and hand operations post-forging. Net shaped implants typically require machining only on vital areas, such as the taper segment of a hip where it is joined to the femoral head.

We have the machining expertise needed to provide finished implants to our customers. Some customers purchase finished implants from us while others purchase unfinished implants and machine them to final specifications.

Our primary implant products and their applications are:

- **Knees.** The knee joint includes the surfaces of three distinct bones: the lower end of the femur, the upper end of the tibia or shin bone, and the patella (knee cap). Cartilage on any of these surfaces can be compromised by disease or injury, leading to pain and inflammation that may require knee reconstruction. Our knee implants include a femoral component, a patella, a tibial tray and an articulating surface (placed on the tibial tray) and are used in total knee reconstruction, partial knee reconstruction and revision procedures. We provide one or more, and in some cases, all of these implants for our customers' knee implant systems. We use proprietary manufacturing know-how and advanced computer aided simulation techniques to produce tight tolerance near-net shaped to net shaped tibial implants that require minimal if any machining.
- **Hips.** The hip joint consists of a ball-and-socket joint that enables a wide range of motion. The hip joint is often replaced due to degeneration of the cartilage between the head of the femur (the ball) and the acetabulum or hollow portion of the pelvis (the socket). This loss of cartilage causes pain, stiffness and a reduction in hip mobility. We produce tight tolerance femoral heads, hip stems, acetabular cups and spiked acetabular cups used in bone conservation, total-hip reconstruction and revision replacement procedures. Our hip stems are forged with tight tolerance details.
- **Extremities, Trauma and Spine.** Extremity reconstruction involves the use of an implant system to replace or reconstruct injured or diseased joints, such as the finger, toe, wrist, elbow, foot, ankle and shoulder. Our forging capabilities allow us to produce thin cross sections of material to very tight tolerances for these smaller joint procedures. Trauma implant procedures commonly involve the internal fixation of bone fragments using an assortment of plates, screws, rods, wires and pins. Our spinal implant products consist primarily of plates and screws. We manufacture trauma and spinal plate implants to exact details to fit bone contours. During 2008 we developed a high precision machining cell to better serve the spine market.

Instruments

We make high-precision surgical instruments used in hip, knee and shoulder reconstruction procedures, as well as in spinal, trauma and other implant procedures. We design, develop and manufacture implant-specific and procedure-specific instruments. We typically do not manufacture general surgical instruments, but will procure them as an offering to our customers in order to provide our customers with complete instrument sets. We have several reamer systems used by many of our large customers. We currently have over 1,500 Symmetry standard products in our catalog plus over 12,000 individual items sold directly to hospitals.

We primarily make a wide range of knee cutting blocks (instruments that guide blades that cut bone), osteotome revision systems (instruments used to cut through bone), reamers (instruments used for shaping bone sockets or cavities) and retractors (instruments used to pull back tissue for clear sight during surgery). Some of our instrument handles are made with our patented plastic insertion machine, which is designed to withstand the intense heat produced during frequent sterilizations and is attached to the instrument. Our instruments are made to tight tolerances to ensure precise alignment and fitting of implants.

Each implant system typically has an associated instrument set that is used in the surgical procedure to insert that specific implant system. Instruments included in a set vary by implant system. For example, hip and knee implant procedure instrument sets often contain in excess of 100 instruments, whereas revision procedure sets may contain approximately 50 instruments. Usually, instrument sets are sterilized after each use and then reused.

The instruments we produce are typically used in either open, minimally invasive, or revision implant procedures and can generally be categorized as:

- Implant-specific instruments, which are used solely for a specific brand of implant, such as high-precision knee cutting blocks, certain reamers and broaches; and
- Procedure-specific instruments, which are designed for a particular type of procedure, such as a minimally invasive hip implant procedure, but can be used with the implant systems of multiple companies.

Implant-Specific Instruments. The size, shape and other features of each implant system are unique. Consequently, unique instruments must be used to ensure precise alignment and fitting during the surgical procedure to insert an implant system. Accordingly, when a medical device company develops a new implant system, it typically also develops instruments specifically designed to insert the implant system. Medical device companies typically provide complete, customized implant-specific instrument sets to end users (hospitals, outpatient centers and physicians) in order to facilitate use of the implant.

We seek to collaborate with our customers early in the development process to facilitate the concurrent design of the implant system and the instruments that will accompany the system. Our implant-specific instruments generally include customized reamers, cutting blocks, broaches, rasps, guides and other instruments designed to accommodate the unique size, shape and other features of our customers' implant systems. These instruments are used by the surgeon to cut and shape bone and cavities during the surgical procedure and to align and fit the implant system. We are recognized in the orthopedic community for constructing these instruments to extremely tight tolerances.

Procedure-Specific Instruments. We also manufacture independently developed instruments referred to as our Symmetry products. We have developed these products through our years of experience serving the orthopedic market and our investments in research and development. Complete implant procedure instrument sets typically include certain instruments that are designed for a particular type of procedure but can be used with the implant systems of multiple companies. By purchasing our proven Symmetry products, customers can leverage our extensive experience and expertise to complete their instrument sets more quickly and efficiently.

Our Symmetry products include successful hip and knee revision systems. Instruments that make up revision systems, which are used to remove orthopedic implants, are typically designed for a specific type of procedure but can be used to remove various brands of implants. These self-contained systems include an assortment of osteotome blades that assist the surgeon in separating an implant from cement or bone in-growth where access is limited, while minimizing damage to the bone. Our established revision systems can also be readily modified for a customer by adding additional instruments. With our acquisition of SSI in August 2007, we now distribute a wide array of instruments and related products directly to hospitals.

Cases

We produce a wide range of plastic, metal and hybrid cases used in over 25 medical device markets, including orthopedic, arthroscopy, osteobiologic, endoscopy, cardiovascular, dental, ophthalmology, diagnostic imaging and ear, nose and throat surgical procedures. Cases are used to store, transport and arrange implant systems and other medical devices and related surgical instruments. Our cases are generally designed to allow for sterilization and re-use

after an implant or other surgical procedure is performed. Our plastic cases are designed to withstand the intense heat produced during the sterilization process.

The majority of the cases we make are tailored for specific implant procedures so that the instruments, implants and other devices are arranged within the case to match the order of use in the procedure and are securely held in clearly labeled, custom-formed pockets. We seek to collaborate with our customers early in the development processes to facilitate the concurrent design of the case and related instruments.

We also produce standard cases which are primarily used in the non-orthopedic market segments where the security or presentation of the instruments and devices is less important. Over the past several years, we have made a significant investment to obtain 510(k) clearance for our PolyVac line of standard cases through the FDA pre-market notification process. We believe this allows our customers to reduce time to market and to reallocate financial and human resources that would otherwise be spent on compliance efforts, which provides us with a significant competitive advantage in selling our standard cases.

We have more than 57 patents related to our case designs and manufacturing processes. We believe that our complete line of plastic, metal and hybrid product offerings strategically positions us in the case market. Riley Medical expanded our product offering into other medical markets and provides many new patented products for us to leverage across our customer base. Our acquisition of UCA expanded our product offering into medical containers which are used by hospitals to hold instruments when they are sterilized.

Highlights of our case product offerings include:

- **Orthopedic Cases.** We produce custom metal, plastic and hybrid cases designed to store, transport and arrange surgical instruments and related implant systems for orthopedic device manufacturers. Proper identification of instruments, such as reamers which are generally included in a range of sizes in one to two millimeter increments, is critical in orthopedic implant procedures. Our graphics and thermo formed tray pockets provide a secure and organized arrangement to assist surgeons during procedures.
- **Endoscopy Cases.** We produce cases for endoscope sterilization for many types of sterilization methods. Our Riley Medical acquisition increased our penetration into the endoscopy market.
- **Dental Cases.** We produce cases used in dental implant and general dental procedures. Dental implant cases are typically complex and include many levels of trays, while cases used in general dental procedures tend to be smaller and less complex.
- **Other Cases.** We also manufacture and sell cases for arthroscopy, osteobiologic, cardiovascular, ophthalmology, diagnostic imaging and ear, nose and throat procedures as well as sterilization containers.

Specialized Non-Healthcare Products

We offer specialized non-healthcare products on a limited basis. One of our UK based facilities produced a range of cutting tools, cutlery and surgical instruments in the 1950s. This facility evolved to focus on net shaped forgings, which resulted in a business focusing on orthopedic instruments and aerospace products for jet engines in the late 1990s. Our core design, engineering and manufacturing competencies give us the expertise to offer aerospace products. Our aerospace products primarily are net shaped aerofoils and non-rotating aircraft engine forgings produced for our aerospace customers. Our acquisition of Clamonta Ltd in January 2007 expanded our offering in the aerospace industry by adding aerospace machining capabilities to our offering.

Product Development

Our Design and Development Centers provide dedicated expertise and greater coordination for our design, engineering and prototyping offerings. Our main Design and Development Center is located in Warsaw, Indiana, and brings together talented engineering and design personnel and provides them with state-of-the-art design software and prototyping equipment. Our Design and Development Centers serve to centralize and better institutionalize our design and engineering knowledge and creates a fertile environment for new product development. We can coordinate the product development projects for our customers as well as the efforts of our engineers and designers in order to ensure that we have the appropriate people and technology focused on particular product development initiatives. We also have Design and Development Centers in Manchester, New Hampshire, Lansing, Michigan, Cheltenham, UK and Penang, Malaysia.

We seek to collaborate with our customers' product development teams and to assist in the design, engineering and prototyping of new medical device systems from the beginning of the development process. Our sales staff is technically trained and works closely with our customers' staff. As new product concepts are formulated, our sales people bring in our design and engineering personnel and utilize the resources of our Design and Development Centers to provide dedicated design teams with exceptional knowledge and experience. As a project evolves, we can rapidly create prototypes of the proposed product, instrument, case or implant. Working closely with our customers through the conceptual, planning and prototyping stages positions allows us to quickly scale up for manufacturing of the product.

In addition to supporting our customers' product development efforts, our Design and Development Centers are continuously developing our own product lines, which we refer to as Symmetry products. We develop products by utilizing years of experience and knowledge, investing in research and development and continually seeking to expand our knowledge of the marketplace by consulting surgeons and other end users of our products. We currently offer over 1,500 Symmetry products, including instruments for minimally invasive surgical implant procedures and hip and knee revision systems.

Environmental Issues

Our discussion of environmental issues is presented under the caption "Environmental" in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in this Form 10-K.

Capital Investment

Information concerning our capital expenditures is presented under the caption "Capital Expenditures" in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in this Form 10-K.

Customers

We supply our products primarily to manufacturers in the medical device market. Our customers include large orthopedic device manufacturers, including Biomet Inc., DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc., a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, ("Depuy"), Medtronic Inc., Smith & Nephew plc, Stryker Corp. and Zimmer Holdings, Inc. ("Zimmer"). We also have established relationships, primarily through our case product offerings, with leading medical device manufacturers and distributors in numerous other medical device market segments, including Cardinal Health, Inc., 3i and St. Jude Medical Inc. With the addition of SSI and UCA in August 2007, we serve over 1,000 additional customers, some of which own multiple hospitals.

We sold to approximately 1,850 customers in fiscal 2008. Sales to our ten largest customers represented 70.7% and 66.9% of our revenue in fiscal 2008 and 2007, respectively. Our two largest customers accounted for 33.0% and 11.1% of our revenue in fiscal 2008 and our two largest customers accounted for 17.9% and 11.7% of our revenue in fiscal 2007. Our two largest customers in alphabetical order in fiscal 2008 and 2007 were DePuy and Zimmer. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of our revenue in fiscal 2008 or fiscal 2007. We typically serve several product teams and facilities within each of our largest customers, which mitigate our reliance on any particular customer. We also reduced our concentration in the orthopedic industry with the acquisitions of Riley Medical, TNCO, SSI and UCA, which are primarily in non-orthopedic medical markets, and Clamonta Ltd, which serves the aerospace industry. We may experience a seasonal impact of the orthopedic industry on revenue in the third quarter because many of our products are used in elective procedures that tend to decline to some degree during the summer months.

We sell our products to customers domestically and in a number of regions outside the United States. In addition, our customers often distribute globally products purchased from us in the United States. Set forth below is a summary of revenue by selected geographic locations in our last three fiscal years, based on the location to which we shipped our products:

Percent of Revenue by Geographic Location

| | Fiscal Year Ended | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| United States | 71.5% | 61.1% | 63.7% |
| United Kingdom | 13.0% | 18.8% | 13.5% |
| Ireland | 7.5% | 9.1% | 10.2% |
| Other foreign countries | 8.0% | 11.0% | 12.6% |
| Total net revenues | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Sales and Marketing

Our sales and marketing efforts emphasize our design and engineering expertise, internally developed Symmetry products, manufacturing capabilities, international distribution network and our ability to provide customers with a comprehensive product offering. We are increasingly presenting our products to customers in a Total Solutions® concept which offers the customer a collaborator for developing complete implant, instrument and case solutions.

We have over 70 sales and marketing personnel worldwide serving our Original Equipment Manufacturer ("OEM") customers and more than 25 direct sales personnel selling directly to hospitals. In addition to our internal sales efforts, we also sell standard cases through distributors. Our sales personnel are trained in all of our products in order to cross-sell and identify opportunities outside their immediate area of focus. We typically serve several product teams and facilities within each OEM customer which diminishes our reliance on any one purchasing decision. Our customer base for cases extends into nearly every segment of the medical device market. We believe there is a significant opportunity to leverage our existing relationships among this customer base to achieve greater penetration of our customized instrument and implant products. We intend to increase our marketing of implants, instruments and our Total Solutions® concept to these customers.

Our sales personnel are technically trained and are based in close proximity to or located at our largest customers' sites. This physical proximity allows sales personnel to engage quickly with the marketing, design, engineering and purchasing staffs of these orthopedic device manufacturers. Our sales people are empowered to bring in design and engineering product development teams to facilitate a customer's efforts. Our goal is to collaborate with customers early in the development cycle and to continue through production, packaging, delivery and logistics.

Manufacturing and Materials

We have manufacturing facilities in the United States, United Kingdom, France, Ireland and Malaysia. We have made investments in recent years to modernize our production facilities, improve our production processes and develop superior technical skills that complement our manufacturing capabilities. These investments have allowed us to continue to improve the quality of our products, increase our manufacturing capacity and improve our efficiency. Our manufacturing processes include:

- **Forging.** Our forging process uses presses to force heated metal between two dies (called tooling) that contain a precut profile of the desired implant. The forging process enhances the strength of an implant, which is important for hip stems and other implants that must withstand significant stress. Many customers prefer forging because it provides greater mechanical properties. We forge gross shaped, near-net shaped and net shaped implants. Our know-how enables us to produce precision net shaped forgings in large volumes.
- **Casting.** In the casting process, metal is heated until it is liquid and then poured into an implant mold. Casting can be used to produce implants with intricate shapes. We have developed a technologically advanced, highly automated, casting facility in Sheffield, United Kingdom.
- **Plastic and Metal Forming.** Our know-how and technology facilitates our extensive plastic and metal forming capabilities. We use thermoform processes to draw uniform plastic cases and specialized equipment to form metal. Our laser controlled metal working machines allow us to punch and shape metal in intricate and complex detail.
- **Machining/Finishing.** Machining is used extensively to enhance our forged, cast and formed products. We use computer numerically controlled, multi-axis and wire electric discharge equipment to cut, bend, punch, polish and otherwise shape or detail metal or plastic. Our finishing processes include polishing, laser etch marking, graphics and other customer specific processes. During 2008, we developed high precision machining capabilities to better

serve the spine implant market.

The majority of products that we produce are customized to the unique specifications of our customers. Our ability to maintain flexible operations is an important factor in maintaining high levels of productivity. We endeavor to use "just-in-time" manufacturing and flexible manufacturing cells in our production processes. Just-in-time manufacturing is a production technique that minimizes work-in-process inventory and manufacturing cycles. Manufacturing cells are clusters of individual manufacturing operations and work stations grouped in a circular configuration, with the operators placed centrally within the configuration. Cell manufacturing provides flexibility by allowing efficient changes to the number of operations each operator performs, which enhances our ability to maintain product volumes that are consistent with our customers' requirements and reduce our level of inventory.

We use raw materials, including titanium, cobalt chrome, stainless steel and nickel alloys, and various other components in the manufacture of our products. Although we generally believe these materials are readily available from multiple sources, from time to time we rely on a limited number of suppliers and in some cases on a single source vendor. For example, we obtain patented Radel® R plastic, which is designed to withstand intense heat produced during frequent sterilizations, from a single supplier for use in our instrument handles and plastic cases.

Quality Assurance

We maintain a comprehensive quality assurance and quality control program, which includes the control and documentation of all material specifications, operating procedures, equipment maintenance and quality control methods. Our quality systems are based upon FDA requirements and the ISO standards for medical device manufacturers. We believe that all of our facilities are currently in substantial compliance with regulations applicable to them. For example, in the United States and United Kingdom these regulations include the current good manufacturing practice regulations and other quality system regulations imposed by the FDA. Our Sheffield, United Kingdom facility and our United States based facilities are registered with and audited by the FDA. Our line of PolyVac standard cases received FDA 510(k) clearance, which can reduce our customers' burden in obtaining FDA approval. Our facilities have obtained numerous industry-specific quality and regulatory assurance certifications.

Competition

Our OEM customers, to varying degrees, are capable of internally developing and producing the products we provide. While we believe that our comprehensive offerings and core production competencies allow medical device companies to reduce costs and shorten time to market, one or more of our customers may seek to expand their development and manufacturing operations which may reduce their reliance on independent suppliers such as ours. We compete on the basis of development capability, breadth of product offering, manufacturing quality, cost and on time delivery.

We also compete with independent suppliers of implants, instruments and cases to medical device companies. The majority of these suppliers are privately owned and produce some, but not all, of the products required in orthopedic implant systems. We believe that we are the only independent supplier to offer a complete implant, instrument and case solution to orthopedic device manufacturers. We compete with other independent suppliers primarily on the basis of development capability, breadth of product offering, manufacturing quality, cost and on time delivery. We believe that we are the largest independent supplier of implants, instruments and cases to orthopedic device manufacturers. However, other independent suppliers may consolidate and some of our current and future competitors, either alone or in conjunction with their respective parent corporate groups, may have financial resources and research and development, sales and marketing, and manufacturing capabilities and brand recognition that are greater than ours.

Intellectual Property

We believe our patents are valuable, however, our knowledge, experience, proprietary and trade secret information, manufacturing processes, product design and development staff and sales staff have been equally or more important in maintaining our competitive position. We seek to protect our non-patented know-how, trade secrets, processes and other proprietary confidential information principally through confidentiality, non-compete and invention assignment agreements.

We currently own 110 total issued patents and 50 patents pending related to our cases and instruments. These patents expire at various times beginning in 2011 and ending in 2026. We also have 33 issued trademarks and 10 pending trademarks. Our policy is to aggressively protect technology, inventions and improvements that we consider important through the use of patents, trademarks, copyrights and trade secrets in the United States and significant foreign markets. If our products were found to infringe any proprietary right of a third party, we could be required to pay significant damages or license fees to the third party or cease production, marketing and distribution of those products. Litigation may be necessary to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets or other proprietary information we own and to determine the validity and scope of our proprietary rights.

We cannot provide complete assurance that our existing or future patents, if any, will afford adequate protection, that any existing patent applications will result in issued patents, that our patents will not be circumvented, invalidated, or held unenforceable, that our proprietary information will not become known to, or be independently developed by, our competitors, or that the validity or enforceability of any patents or other intellectual property owned by or licensed to us will be upheld if challenged by others in litigation. Due to these and other risks, we do not rely solely on our patents and other intellectual property to maintain our competitive position. Although intellectual property is important to our business operations and in the aggregate constitutes a valuable asset, we do not believe that any single patent, trade secret, trademark or copyright, or group of patents, trade secrets, trademarks or copyrights is critical to the success of our business.

Employees

As of February 28, 2009 we had 2,688 employees. Our employees are not represented by any unions. From time to time in the past, however, some of our employees have attempted to unionize at two of our facilities. We believe that we have a good relationship with our employees.

Government Regulation

Our business is subject to governmental regulation. We are subject to federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations governing the emission, discharge, use, storage and disposal of hazardous materials and the remediation of contamination associated with the release of these materials at our facilities and at off-site disposal locations. We are not aware of any material noncompliance with the environmental laws currently applicable to our business and we are

not subject to any material claim for liability with respect to contamination at any company facility or any off-site location. We cannot assure you that we will not be subject to such environmental liabilities in the future as a result of historic or current operations.

As a component manufacturer, our medical products are subject to regulation by the FDA. The FDA and related state and foreign governmental agencies regulate many of our customers' products as medical devices. In many cases, the FDA must approve those products prior to commercialization. We believe that our existing medical manufacturing plants comply with current Good Manufacturing Practices as applicable.

We have "master files" on record with the FDA. Master files may be used to provide confidential detailed information about facilities, processes or articles used in the manufacturing, processing, packaging and storing of one or more medical device components. These submissions may be used by device manufacturers to support the premarket notification process required by Section 510(k) of the federal Food Drug & Cosmetic Act. This notification process is necessary to obtain clearance from the FDA to market a device for human use in the United States.

We are also subject to various other environmental, transportation and labor laws as well as various other directives and regulations both in the U.S. and abroad. We believe that compliance with these laws will not have a material impact on our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position. Given the scope and nature of these laws; however, there can be no assurance that they will not have a material impact on our results of operations. We assess potential contingent liabilities on a quarterly basis. At present, we are not aware of any such liabilities that would have a material impact on our business.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

Set forth below are the name, age, position and a brief account of the business experience of each of the Corporation's executive officers as of January 3, 2009.

| Name | Age | Position |
|---------------------|-----|--|
| Executive Officers: | | |
| Brian S. Moore | 62 | President and Chief Executive Officer |
| Fred L. Hite | 41 | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| D. Darin Martin | 57 | Senior Vice President, Quality Assurance/Regulatory Affairs and Compliance Officer |
| Michael W. Curtis | 54 | Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, USA |
| John J. Hynes | 48 | Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Europe |

BRIAN S. MOORE has served as the Corporation's President and Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Corporation since the Corporation's acquisition of Mettis in June 2003. From April 1999 to June 2003, Mr. Moore served as the Chief Executive Officer of Mettis Group Limited, the parent company of Mettis. From April 1994 to March 1999, Mr. Moore held various positions with EIS Group plc, including Chairman of the Aircraft and Precision Engineering Division, and from 1987 to 1999, Mr. Moore served as Chief Executive Officer of AB Precision (Poole) Limited. Prior thereto, Mr. Moore served in various management positions at Vanderhoff plc, Land Rover Vehicles, Bass Brewing and Prudential Insurance, and as the Financial Director for a subsidiary of GEC Ltd. (UK). Mr. Moore has qualified as a Graduate Mechanical Engineer by the Institution of Mechanical Engineers (the qualifying body for mechanical engineers in the United Kingdom) and as an Accountant with the UK Chartered Institute of Management Accountants.

FRED L. HITE has served as the Corporation's Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since March 2004. From 1997 to 2004, Mr. Hite served in various capacities at General Electric Industrial Systems, including Finance Manager of General Electric Motors and Controls from 2001 to 2004, Manufacturing Finance Manager from 2000 to 2001 and Finance Manager of Engineering Services from 1997 to 2000. From 1995 to 1997, Mr. Hite served as Sourcing Finance Manager and Commercial Finance Analyst at General Electric Industrial Control Systems. From 1990 to 1995, Mr. Hite served in various finance positions at General Electric Appliances. Mr. Hite received a B.S. in Finance from Indiana University.

D. DARIN MARTIN has served as the Corporation's Senior Vice President of Quality Assurance, Regulatory Affairs, and Chief Compliance Officer since June 2003. From 1994 to 2003, Mr. Martin served as the Corporation's Vice President of Quality Assurance and Regulatory Affairs. Mr. Martin joined the Corporation in 1990 as Director of Quality Assurance. From 1984 to 1990, Mr. Martin served as Quality Assurance Supervisor for Owens-Illinois Inc.'s Kimble HealthCare Division. Mr. Martin has been a member in various medical device industry associations, including a 20 year membership with the American Society of Quality, Biomedical Devices-NE Indiana Division. Mr. Martin received a B.S. in Business Management from Ball State University, a S.P.C. Instructor Certification from Baldwin-Wallace College and a M.B.A. from Kennedy-Western University.

MICHAEL W. CURTIS was promoted to the position of the Corporation's Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, USA as of January 1, 2008. Mr. Curtis joined the Corporation in November 2002. Prior to joining the Corporation, Mr. Curtis served as Vice President of Operations for Lightchip, Inc. from May 2000 to 2002, and from 1998 to 2000, Mr. Curtis served as Vice President/General Manager of Communications Products at Thomas & Betts Corporation. From 1994 to 1997, Mr. Curtis was employed at Amphenol Aerospace—Amphenol Corporation, initially as a Business Unit Manager and subsequently as Director of Filter Products. From 1976 through 1994, Mr. Curtis served in various capacities at Hamilton Standard Division of United Technologies Corporation, the last of which was Product Line Manager. Mr. Curtis received his B.S., M.B.A. and M.S. in Engineering Management from Western New England College.

JOHN J. HYNES was appointed by the Board of Directors on October 17, 2007 as the Corporation's Chief Operating Officer, Europe, effective November 1, 2007. Prior to his appointment, from April 2004 until October 2007, Mr. Hynes was employed by Rolls-Royce PLC where he served as Supply Chain Director from January 2007 to October 2007, Supply Chain Control Director from May 2006 to January 2007 and Logistics Director from April 2004 to March 2006. Prior to Rolls-Royce, Mr. Hynes served as the General Manager of Land Rover Group Ltd. from May 1998 to April 2004. Mr. Hynes received his Masters Degree in Business Administration from Warwick University as well as attending Ford's Lean Manufacturing Academy in Liverpool.

For information regarding our directors, and additional information regarding our executive officers, see our 2009 Proxy Statement which will be filed with the Securities Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between any of the executive officers or directors of the Corporation.

Available Information

Symmetry Medical Website. Our Annual reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available through our website www.symmetrymedical.com (from the "Investor Relations" link on the home page, and "SEC Filings" within the "Investor Relations" box located in the text) free of charge as

soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). You may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that site is <http://www.sec.gov>.

Information relating to corporate governance at Symmetry, including our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Board Members and information concerning our executive officers, directors and Board committees (including committee charters), and transactions in Symmetry securities by directors and officers, is available on or through our website at www.symmetrymedical.com under "Investor Relations" then "Corporate Governance".

We are not including the information on our website as a part of, or incorporating it by reference into, our Form 10-K.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our profitability is subject to risks described under this section on "Risk Factors" described below. Although the following are not necessarily the only ones facing our company, our business, financial condition or results of operations they could be materially adversely affected by many of the following risks.

Risks Related to Our Business

We depend heavily on sales to our significant customers, and our business could be adversely affected if any of them reduced or terminated purchases from us.

A limited number of large orthopedic device manufacturers, all of whom are our customers, control the predominate share of the orthopedic device market. We depend heavily on revenue from these large companies. Revenue from our ten largest customers represented approximately 70.7% of our revenue in fiscal year 2008 and 66.9% of our revenue in fiscal year 2007. Our two largest customers accounted for approximately 33.0% and 11.1% of our revenue in the fiscal year 2008 and our two largest customers accounted for 17.9% and 11.7% of our revenue in fiscal 2007.

We expect that we will continue to depend on a limited number of large customers for a significant portion of our revenue. In addition, our customer base could become more concentrated if, among other things, there is further consolidation among orthopedic device manufacturers. If a significant customer reduces or delays orders from us, terminates its relationship with us or fails to pay its obligations to us, our revenues could decrease significantly.

If we are unable to continue to improve our current products and develop new products, we may experience a decrease in demand for our products or our products could become obsolete, and our business would be adversely affected.

We sell our products to customers in markets that are characterized by technological change, product innovation and evolving industry standards. We are continually engaged in product development and improvement programs, both in collaboration with our customers and independently. Our customers may engage in additional in-house development and manufacturing, and we may be unable to compete effectively with our independent competitors, unless we can continue to develop and assist our customers in developing innovative products. Our competitors' product development capabilities could become more effective than ours, and their new products may get to market before our products, may be more effective or less expensive than our products or render our products obsolete. If one or more of these events were to occur, our business, financial condition and results of operation could be adversely affected.

We face competition from our customers' in-house capabilities, established independent suppliers and potential new market entrants, and if we lose customers it could have an adverse effect on our revenue and operating results.

Our customers have varying degrees of development and manufacturing capabilities, and one or more of them may seek to expand their in-house capabilities in the future, including adding capacity in existing sites or expanding into low labor cost areas such as Asia. Many of our customers are larger and have greater financial and other resources than we do and can commit significant resources to product development and manufacturing. Many of our independent competitors are smaller companies, many of which have close customer relationships and either a low cost structure or highly specialized design or production capabilities. Our independent competitors may continue to consolidate and some of our current and future competitors, either alone or in conjunction with their respective parent corporate groups, may have financial resources and research and development, sales and marketing and manufacturing capabilities or brand recognition that are greater than ours. In addition, the innovative nature of our markets may attract new entrants to the field. Our products may not be able to compete successfully with the products of other companies, which could result in the loss of customers and, as a result, decreased revenue and operating results.

If product liability lawsuits are brought against us or our customers our business may be harmed.

The manufacture and sale of our healthcare and other products, including our aerospace products, expose us to potential product liability claims and product recalls, including those which may arise from misuse or malfunction of, or design or manufacturing flaws in, our products, or use of our products with components or systems not manufactured by us. Product liability claims or product recalls, regardless of their ultimate outcome, could require us to spend significant time and money in litigation or otherwise require us to pay significant damages, which could adversely affect our earnings and financial condition.

We carry product liability insurance but it is limited in scope and amount and may not be adequate to protect us against product liability claims. We may be unable to maintain this insurance at reasonable costs and on reasonable terms, if at all.

Our operating results are subject to significant potential fluctuation and you should not rely on historical results as an indication of our future results.

Our operating results have fluctuated in the past and may vary significantly from quarter to quarter or year to year in the future due to a combination of factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include:

- the timing of significant orders and shipments, including the effects of changes in inventory management practices by our customers;
- the number, timing and significance of new products and product introductions and enhancements by us, our customers and our competitors;
 - changes in pricing policies by us and our competitors;
 - changes in medical treatment or regulatory practices;
 - restrictions and delays caused by regulatory review of our customers' products;
 - recalls of our customers' products;
 - availability and cost of raw materials; and

- general economic factors.

Our quarterly revenue and operating results may vary significantly in the future and period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as indications of our future performance. We cannot assure you that our revenue will increase or be sustained in future periods or that we will be profitable in any future period. Any shortfalls in revenue or earnings from levels expected by securities or industry analysts could have an immediate and significant adverse effect on the trading price of our common stock in any given period.

If we do not retain key individuals and retain and attract skilled manufacturing workers, we may not be able to operate successfully, and we may not be able to meet our strategic objectives.

Our success depends in part upon the retention of key managerial, sales and technical personnel, particularly skilled manufacturing workers. We compete for such personnel with other companies and other organizations, many of which are larger and have greater name recognition and financial and other resources than we do. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in retaining our current personnel or in hiring or retaining qualified personnel in the future. The loss of key personnel or the inability to hire or retain qualified personnel in the future could have a material adverse effect on our ability to operate successfully.

We compete with numerous precision manufacturing companies to attract and retain qualified and highly skilled manufacturing employees. Our Warsaw, Indiana facilities, in particular, face significant competition, including from certain of our customers and other companies located in or near Warsaw that are larger and have greater financial and other resources than we do, for skilled production employees. If we are not able to retain and attract skilled manufacturing employees, we may be unable to support our anticipated growth, which could adversely affect our profitability.

A significant shift in technologies or methods used in the treatment of damaged or diseased bone and tissue could make our products obsolete or less attractive.

The development of new technologies could reduce demand for our products. For example, pharmaceutical advances could result in non-surgical treatments gaining more widespread acceptance as a viable alternative to orthopedic implants. The emergence of successful new biological tissue-based or synthetic materials to regenerate damaged or diseased bone and to repair damaged tissue could increasingly minimize or delay the need for implant surgery and provide other biological alternatives to orthopedic implants. New surgical procedures could diminish demand for our instruments. A significant shift in technologies or methods used in the treatment of damaged or diseased bone and tissue could adversely affect demand for our products.

We depend on third party suppliers, and in some cases a single third party supplier, for key components and raw materials used in our manufacturing processes and the loss of these sources could harm our business.

We use titanium, cobalt chrome, stainless steel and nickel alloys, and various other raw materials in our products. Although we generally believe these materials are readily available from multiple sources, from time to time we rely on a limited number of suppliers and in some cases on a single source vendor. For example, we obtain patented Radel® R plastic, which is designed to withstand intense heat produced during frequent sterilizations, for use in our instrument handles and plastic cases from a single supplier. Any supply interruption in a limited or sole-sourced component or raw material could materially harm our ability to manufacture our products until a new source of supply, if any, could be found. We may be unable to find a sufficient alternative supply channel in a reasonable time period or on commercially reasonable terms if at all. This could interrupt our business or reduce the quality of our products.

Our current or future levels of indebtedness may limit our ability to operate our business, finance acquisitions and pursue new business strategies.

As of January 3, 2009, our total indebtedness, including short-term debt, long-term debt and capital lease obligations was \$131.4 million. Presently, we have available \$22.0 million of borrowings under our \$40.0 million revolving credit facility and \$15.0 million of term loans. Although covenants under our senior credit facility limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, in the future we may incur additional debt to finance acquisitions, business opportunities, capital expenditures or other capital requirements.

Our indebtedness could:

- make us more vulnerable to unfavorable economic conditions;
- make it more difficult to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures or other general corporate purposes;
- require us to dedicate or reserve a large portion of our cash flow from operations for making payments on our indebtedness, which would prevent us from using it for other purposes;
- make us susceptible to fluctuations in market interest rates that affect the cost of our borrowings to the extent that our variable rate debt is not covered by interest rate derivative agreements; and
- make it more difficult to pursue strategic acquisitions, alliances and collaborations.

Our ability to service our indebtedness will depend on our future performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors. Some of these factors are beyond our control. We believe that, based upon current levels of operations, we will be able to meet our debt service obligations when due. Significant assumptions underlie this belief, including, among others, that we will continue to be successful in implementing our business strategy and that there will be no material adverse developments in our business, liquidity or capital requirements. If we cannot generate sufficient cash flow from operations to service our indebtedness and to meet our other obligations and commitments, we may be required to refinance our debt or to dispose of assets to obtain funds for such purpose. We cannot assure you that refinancing or asset dispositions could be effected on a timely basis or on satisfactory terms, if at all, or would be permitted by the terms of our debt instruments. To the extent we incur additional indebtedness or other obligations in the future, the risks associated with our indebtedness described above, including our possible inability to service our debt, would increase.

Our senior credit facility contains restrictions that limit our ability to pay dividends, incur additional debt, make acquisitions and make other investments.

Our senior credit facility contains covenants that restrict our ability to make distributions to stockholders or other payments unless we satisfy certain financial tests and comply with various financial ratios. If we do not satisfy these tests or comply with these ratios, our creditors could declare a default under our debt instruments, and our indebtedness could be declared immediately due and payable. Our ability to comply with the provisions of our senior credit facility may be affected by changes in economic or business conditions beyond our control.

Our senior credit facility also contains covenants that limit our ability to incur indebtedness, acquire other businesses and make capital expenditures, and impose various other restrictions. These covenants could affect our ability to operate our business and may limit our ability to take advantage of potential business opportunities as they arise. We may be unable to comply with the foregoing financial ratios or covenants and, if we fail to do so, we may be unable to

obtain waivers from our lenders.

Our future capital needs are uncertain and we may need to raise additional funds in the future.

Our future capital needs are uncertain and we may need to raise additional funds in the future through debt or equity offerings. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- revenue generated by sales of our products;
- expenses incurred in manufacturing and selling our products;
- costs of developing new products or technologies;
- costs associated with capital expenditures;
- costs associated with our expansion;
- costs associated with regulatory compliance, including maintaining compliance with the quality system regulations imposed by the FDA;
 - the number and timing of acquisitions and other strategic transactions;
 - working capital requirements related to our growing new acquisitions; and
 - expansion of our international facilities.

As a result of these factors, we may need to raise additional funds, and these funds may not be available on favorable terms, or at all. Furthermore, if we issue equity or convertible debt securities to raise additional funds, our existing stockholders may experience dilution, and the new equity or convertible debt securities may have rights, preferences and privileges senior to those of our existing stockholders. If we cannot raise funds on acceptable terms, we may not be able to develop or enhance our products, execute our business strategy, take advantage of future opportunities, or respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated customer requirements.

We may not realize all of the sales expected from new product development programs.

We incur substantial expenses in developing and testing new products and related devices. The realization of additional revenue from new product development efforts is inherently subject to a number of important risks and uncertainties, including, directly or indirectly, end-user acceptance of the product, reimbursement approval of third-party payers such as Medicaid, Medicare and private insurers and, in some cases, FDA or comparable foreign regulatory approval of the product. In addition, our customers typically have no contractual requirement to purchase from us the products that we develop for their medical devices, and they could seek to have another supplier or in-house facilities manufacture products that we have developed for their medical devices. We also incur costs and make capital expenditures for new product development and production based upon certain estimates of production volumes for our existing and anticipated products. If the actual demand for our products is less than planned, our revenue and net income may decline.

Our earnings could decline if we write off goodwill or intangible assets created as a result of our various acquisitions.

As a result of acquisitions we have accumulated a substantial amount of goodwill, amounting to \$153.5 million as of January 3, 2009, or approximately 33.9% of our total assets as of such date. Goodwill and certain intangible assets are not amortized but rather are tested for impairment by us annually or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances develop that would likely result in impairment. Examples of such events or circumstances include, but are not limited to, a significant adverse change in legal or business climate, an adverse regulatory action, unanticipated competition or financial restatements.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property and property rights, or are subject to intellectual property claims by third parties, our business could be harmed.

We rely on a combination of patents, trade secrets, copyrights, know-how, trademarks, license agreements and contractual provisions to establish and protect our proprietary rights to our technologies and products. We cannot guarantee that the steps we have taken or will take to protect our intellectual property rights will be adequate or that they will deter infringement, misappropriation or violation of our intellectual property. Litigation may be necessary to enforce our intellectual property rights and to determine the validity and scope of our proprietary rights. Any litigation could result in substantial expenses and may not adequately protect our intellectual property rights. In addition, the laws of some of the countries in which our products are or may be sold may not protect our products and intellectual property to the same extent as U.S. laws, or at all. We may be unable to protect our rights in trade secrets and unpatented proprietary technology in these countries. If our trade secrets become known, we may lose our competitive advantages.

We seek to protect our trade secrets, know-how and other unpatented proprietary technology, in part, with confidentiality agreements with our employees, independent distributors and customers. We cannot assure you, however, that:

- these agreements will not be breached;
- we will have adequate remedies for any breach; or
- trade secrets, know-how and other unpatented proprietary technology will not otherwise become known to or independently developed by our competitors.

We hold licenses with third parties that are necessary to utilize certain technologies used in the design and manufacturing of some of our products. The loss of such licenses would prevent us from manufacturing, marketing

and selling these products, which could harm our business.

In addition, third parties may claim that we are infringing, misappropriating or violating their intellectual property rights. We could be found to infringe those intellectual property rights, which could affect our ability to manufacture any affected product. In addition, any protracted litigation to defend or prosecute our intellectual property rights could drain our financial resources, divert the time and effort of our management and cause customers to delay or limit their purchases of the affected product until resolution of the litigation.

Any litigation or claims against us, whether or not successful, could result in substantial costs and could harm our reputation. In addition, intellectual property litigation or claims could force us to do one or more of the following:

- cease selling or using any of our products that incorporate the challenged intellectual property, which could adversely affect our revenue;
- obtain a license from the holder of the intellectual property right alleged to have been infringed, which license may not be available on reasonable terms, if at all; and
- redesign or, in the case of trademark claims, rename our products to avoid infringing the intellectual property rights of third parties, which may not be possible and could be costly and time-consuming if it is possible to do so.

Efforts to acquire additional companies or product lines may divert our managerial resources away from our business operations, and if we complete additional acquisitions, we may incur or assume additional liabilities or experience integration problems.

Since the beginning of 2006, we have completed six acquisitions. Going forward, we may seek to acquire additional businesses or product lines for various reasons, including providing new product manufacturing capabilities, adding new customers, increasing penetration with existing customers or expanding into new geographic markets. Our ability to successfully grow through additional acquisitions depends upon our ability to identify, negotiate, complete and integrate suitable acquisitions and to obtain any necessary financings. These additional efforts could divert the attention of our management and key personnel from our business operations and integration of our recently completed acquisitions. If we complete additional acquisitions, we may also experience:

- difficulties in integrating any acquired companies, personnel and products into our existing business;
 - delays in realizing the benefits of the acquired company or products;
 - diversion of our management's time and attention from other business concerns;
 - limited or no direct prior experience in new markets or countries we may enter;
 - higher costs of integration than we anticipated;
- difficulties in retaining key employees of the acquired business who are necessary to manage these businesses;

- difficulties in maintaining uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies throughout our acquired companies;
- or

- adverse customer reaction to the business combination.

Additional acquisitions could also materially impair our operating results by causing us to incur debt or requiring us to amortize acquisition expenses and acquired assets.

We are subject to risks associated with our foreign operations.

We have significant international operations and we continue to expand and grow these operations. We have operations in the United Kingdom, France, Ireland and Malaysia. Certain risks are inherent in international operations, including:

- difficulties in enforcing agreements and collecting receivables through certain foreign legal systems;
- foreign customers who may have longer payment cycles than customers in the United States;
- tax rates in certain foreign countries that may exceed those in the United States and foreign earnings that may be subject to withholding requirements or the imposition of tariffs, exchange controls or other restrictions including transfer pricing restrictions when products produced in one country are sold to an affiliated entity in another country;
- general economic and political conditions in countries where we operate or where end users of orthopedic devices reside may have an adverse effect on our operations;
 - difficulties associated with managing a large organization spread throughout various countries;
 - difficulties in enforcing intellectual property rights; and
 - required compliance with a variety of foreign laws and regulations.

As we continue to expand our business globally, our success will depend, in part, on our ability to anticipate and effectively manage these and other risks. We cannot assure you that these and other factors will not have a material adverse effect on our international operations or our business as a whole.

Currency exchange rate fluctuations could have an adverse effect on our revenue and financial results.

We generate a significant portion of our revenue and incur a significant portion of our expenses in currencies other than U.S. dollars. Currency exchange rates are subject to fluctuation due to, among other things, changes in local, regional or global economic conditions, the imposition of currency exchange restrictions and unexpected changes in regulatory or taxation environments. To the extent that we are unable to match revenue received in foreign currencies with costs incurred in the same currency, exchange rate fluctuations in any such currency could have an adverse effect on our financial results.

We may be adversely affected as a result of the long lead times required for sales of certain new products.

We often compete for business at the beginning of the development of new medical devices or upon customer redesign of existing medical devices. Our customers generally must obtain clearance or approval from the FDA before commercially distributing their products. Unless exempt, a new medical device must be approved for commercial

distribution in the United States by the FDA through the 510(k) pre-market Notification Process or, in some cases, through the more burdensome pre-market approval, or PMA, process. It generally takes three to six months from the date of submission to the FDA to obtain 510(k) clearance and one to three years from the date of submission to the FDA to obtain approval through the PMA process, but in each case may take significantly longer. This results in long lead times for some of our customers' new products, which may make it difficult in the short term for us to obtain sales of new products to replace any unexpected decline in sales of existing products.

We may be adversely impacted by work stoppages, other labor matters, or new labor laws.

Currently, none of our facilities are unionized. However, from time to time some of our employees have attempted to unionize at two of our facilities. In addition, some of our orthopedic device customers have unionized work forces. While we have not experienced any adverse effects from work stoppages or slow-downs at our customers' facilities, work stoppages or slow-downs experienced by us, our suppliers or our customers or their suppliers could result in slow-downs or closures of facilities where our products are made or used. We cannot assure you that we will not encounter strikes, further unionization efforts, new labor laws, or other types of conflicts with labor unions or our employees, which could have an adverse effect on our financial results.

If a natural or man-made disaster strikes one or more of our manufacturing facilities, we may be unable to manufacture certain products for a substantial amount of time and our revenue could decline.

We have eighteen manufacturing facilities, which are located in the United States, United Kingdom, France, Ireland and Malaysia. These facilities and the manufacturing equipment and personnel know-how that we use to produce our products would be difficult to replace and could require substantial lead-time to repair or replace. Our facilities may be affected by natural or man-made disasters. In the event that one of our facilities was affected by a disaster, we would be forced to attempt to shift production to our other manufacturing facilities or rely on third-party manufacturers, and our other facilities or a third-party manufacturer may not have the capability to effectively supply the affected products. Although we have insurance for damage to our property and the interruption of our business, this insurance may not be sufficient in scope or amount to cover all of our potential losses and may not continue to be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

The recent financial crisis and current uncertainty in global economic conditions could adversely affect our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

The United States and other countries around the world have been experiencing deteriorating economic conditions, including unprecedented financial market disruption. If this trend in economic conditions continues to deteriorate further, it could lead to delayed or decreased demand for our product. It may additionally adversely affect our customers' access to capital, willingness to spend capital on our products, or ability to pay for products they will order or have already ordered from us. Furthermore, if our suppliers face challenges in selling their products or otherwise in operating their businesses, they may become unable to continue to offer the key components and raw materials needed in our manufacturing processes.

Risks Related to Our Industry

Orthopedic device manufacturers have significant leverage over their independent suppliers and consolidation could increase their leverage, which could result in the loss of customers or force us to reduce our prices.

We compete with many distributors and manufacturers to develop and supply implants, surgical instruments and cases to a limited number of large orthopedic device manufacturers. As a result, orthopedic device manufacturers have historically had significant leverage over their independent suppliers. For example, independent suppliers like us are subject to continuing pressure from the major orthopedic device manufacturers to reduce the cost of products while maintaining quality levels. In recent years, the medical device industry has experienced substantial consolidation. If the medical device industry and the orthopedic device industry in particular, continue to consolidate, competition to provide products to orthopedic device manufacturers may become more intense. Orthopedic device manufacturers may seek to use their market power to negotiate price or other concessions for our products. If we are forced to reduce prices or if we lose customers because of competition, our revenue and results of operations would suffer.

Our business is indirectly subject to healthcare industry cost containment measures and other industry trends affecting pricing that could result in reduced sales of or prices for our products.

Acceptance of our customers' products by hospitals, outpatient centers and physicians depend on, among other things, reimbursement approval of third-party payers such as Medicaid, Medicare and private insurers. The continuing efforts of government, insurance companies and other payers of healthcare costs to contain or reduce those costs could lead to lower reimbursement rates or non-reimbursement for medical procedures that use our products. If that were to occur, medical device manufacturers might insist that we lower prices on products related to the affected medical device or they might significantly reduce or eliminate their purchases from us of these related products, which could affect our profitability.

We and our customers are subject to substantial government regulation that is subject to change and could force us to make modifications to how we develop, manufacture and price our products.

The medical device industry is regulated extensively by governmental authorities, principally the FDA and corresponding state and foreign regulatory agencies. Some of our manufacturing processes are required to comply with quality systems regulations, including current good manufacturing practice requirements that cover the methods and documentation of the design, testing, production, control, quality assurance, labeling, packaging and shipping of our products. Further, some of our facilities, records and manufacturing processes are subject to periodic unscheduled inspections by the FDA or other agencies. Failure to comply with applicable medical device regulatory requirements could result in, among other things, warning letters, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, repairs, replacements, refunds, recalls or seizures of products, total or partial suspensions of production, refusal of the FDA or other regulatory agencies to grant future pre-market clearances or approvals, withdrawals or suspensions of current clearances or approvals and criminal prosecution.

In addition, orthopedic implants and other medical devices produced by our customers are subject to intensive regulation and potential pre-approval requirements by the FDA and similar international agencies that govern a wide variety of product activities from design and development to labeling, manufacturing, promotion, sales and distribution. Compliance with these regulations may be time consuming, burdensome and expensive for our customers and, indirectly, for us to the extent that our customers' compliance depends on our operations. These regulations could negatively affect our customers' abilities to sell their products, which in turn would adversely affect our ability to sell our products. This may result in higher than anticipated costs or lower than anticipated revenue.

The regulations that we and our customers are subject to are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent over time. Federal and state legislatures have periodically considered programs to reform or amend the U.S. healthcare system at both the federal and state levels. In addition, these regulations may contain proposals to increase governmental involvement in healthcare, lower reimbursement rates or otherwise change the environment in which healthcare industry participants operate. Foreign governmental authorities that regulate the manufacture and sale of medical devices have become increasingly stringent and, to the extent we sell our products in foreign countries, we may be subject to rigorous regulation in the future. Regulatory changes could result in

restrictions on our ability to carry on or expand our operations, higher than anticipated costs or lower than anticipated revenue.

If our customers fail to obtain, or experience significant delays in obtaining, FDA clearances or approvals to commercially distribute our future products our ability to sell our products could suffer.

Some of our medical devices are subject to rigorous regulatory pre-approval by the FDA and other federal, state and foreign governmental authorities. Our customers are typically responsible for obtaining the applicable regulatory approval for the commercial distribution of our products. The process of obtaining this approval, particularly from the FDA, can be costly and time consuming, and there can be no assurance that our customers will obtain the required approvals on a timely basis, if at all. The FDA, for example, assigns medical devices to one of three classes which determine, among other things, the type and degree of FDA approval required to commercially distribute the device in the United States. We produce Class I, II and III devices. Class I devices are deemed to present little risk to patients and are generally exempt from FDA approval requirements. Class II devices can generally be commercially distributed only after the device has received 510(k) clearance. The FDA will clear marketing of a medical device through the 510(k) process if certain design, testing and validation requirements are met and it is demonstrated that the device is "substantially equivalent" to a device that was legally marketed prior to May 28, 1976, or to another commercially available device subsequently cleared through the 510(k) Pre-Market Notification process. This process generally takes three to six months, but may take substantially longer. Before a Class III device can be commercially distributed in the United States, a pre-market approval, or PMA, must be obtained from the FDA. The PMA process can be expensive and uncertain, requires detailed and comprehensive scientific and other data and generally takes between one and three years, but may take significantly longer. The commercial distribution of any products we develop that require regulatory clearance may be delayed. In addition, because we cannot assure you that any new products or any product enhancements we develop for commercial distribution in the United States will be exempt from the FDA market clearance requirements or subject to the shorter 510(k) clearance process, the regulatory approval process for our products or product enhancements may take significantly longer than anticipated by us or our customers.

We may be adversely affected by the impact of environmental and safety regulations.

We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment and occupational health and safety, including laws regulating air emissions, wastewater discharges, and the management and disposal of hazardous materials and wastes, and the health and safety of our employees. We are also required to obtain permits from governmental authorities for certain operations. If we violate or fail to comply with these laws, regulations or permits, we could incur fines, penalties or other sanctions, which could have a material adverse effect on us. Environmental laws tend to become more stringent over time, and we could incur material expenses in the future relating to compliance with future environmental laws. In addition, we could be held responsible for costs and damages arising from any contamination at our past or present facilities or at third-party waste disposal sites. Such costs could be material. We cannot completely eliminate the risk of contamination or injury resulting from hazardous materials and we may incur material liability as a result of any contamination or injury.

Risks Relating to Our Common Stock

Our common stock may be volatile and could decline substantially.

There has been significant volatility in the market price and trading volume of securities of companies operating in the medical device industry, including our company, which has often been unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock. Price declines in our common stock could result from general market and economic conditions and a variety of other factors, including:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;

- our announcements or our competitors' announcements regarding new products, significant contracts, acquisitions or strategic investments;
 - loss of any of our key management or technical personnel;
- conditions affecting orthopedic device manufacturers or the medical device industry generally;
 - product liability lawsuits against us or our customers;
 - clinical trial results with respect to our customers' medical devices;
 - changes in our growth rates or our competitors' growth rates;
- developments regarding our patents or proprietary rights, or those of our competitors;
- FDA and international actions with respect to the government regulation of medical devices and third-party reimbursement practices;
 - public concern as to the safety of our products;
 - changes in health care policy in the United States and internationally;
- conditions in the financial markets in general or changes in general economic conditions;
 - our inability to raise additional capital;
- changes in stock market analyst recommendations regarding our common stock, other comparable companies or the medical device industry generally, or lack of analyst coverage of our common stock;
- sales of our common stock by our executive officers, directors and five percent stockholders or sales of substantial amounts of common stock;
 - changes in accounting principles; and
 - announcement of financial restatements.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a particular company's securities or financial restatements, litigation has often been brought against that company. If litigation of this type is brought against us, it could be extremely expensive and divert management's attention and the company's resources.

Our certificate of incorporation, our bylaws and Delaware law contain provisions that could discourage another company from acquiring us and may prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management.

Provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law, our certificate of incorporation and our by-laws may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or acquisition that stockholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which you might otherwise receive a premium for your shares. In addition, these provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for stockholders to replace or remove our board of directors. These provisions include:

- providing for a classified board of directors with staggered terms;
- requiring supermajority stockholder voting to effect certain amendments to our certificate of incorporation and by-laws;
 - eliminating the ability of stockholders to call special meetings of stockholders;
 - prohibiting stockholder action by written consent;
- establishing advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted on by stockholders at stockholder meetings;
 - limiting the ability of stockholders to amend, alter or repeal the by-laws; and
- authorizing of the board of directors to issue, without stockholder approval, shares of preferred stock with such terms as the board of directors may determine and shares of our common stock.

We are also protected by Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prevents us from engaging in a business combination with a person who becomes a 15.0% or greater stockholder for a period of three years from the date such person acquired such status unless certain board or stockholder approvals were obtained.

As a result of our 2007 discovery of accounting irregularities at our Sheffield, UK operating unit and the related restatement, the SEC is conducting an informal investigation, which may not be resolved favorably.

Following the discovery of the accounting irregularities at our Sheffield, UK operating unit, the Audit Committee self-reported the matter to the staff of the SEC in October 2007. Thereafter, the SEC commenced an informal inquiry regarding this matter.

We have fully cooperated with the SEC in its investigation. At this time we are unable to predict the time period necessary to resolve the investigation or the ultimate resolution thereof. To date, considerable legal, tax and accounting expenses have been incurred in connection with our Audit Committee's investigation into this matter and expenditures may continue to be incurred in the future with regard to the SEC's investigation. It is also possible that the investigation may continue to require management's time and attention and accounting and legal resources, which could otherwise be devoted to the operation of our business. Moreover, any action by the SEC against us, or members of our management, may cause us to be subject to injunctions, fines and other penalties or sanctions or result in private civil actions, loss of key personnel or other adverse consequences and may require us to devote additional time and resources to these matters. The investigation may adversely affect our ability to obtain, and/or increase the cost of obtaining, directors' and officers' liability insurance and/or other types of insurance, which could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, the SEC investigation and the remedies applied may affect certain of our business relationships and consequently may have an adverse effect on our business in the future.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate office is located in Warsaw, Indiana. We have operations facilities, including warehouse, administrative and manufacturing facilities, located at nineteen locations throughout the world. We believe that these facilities are adequate for our current and foreseeable purposes and that additional space will be available if needed.

The lease on our approximately 122,000 square foot Manchester, New Hampshire facility is a capital lease that runs through October 1, 2016. The initial annual base rent under the lease, as amended, was \$0.6 million, payable in equal monthly installments. On October 31, 2001, and every five years thereafter, including extensions, (next occurring October 31, 2011) the annual base rent will change based on the percentage increase, if any, in the Consumer Price Index for the Northeast U.S. region. The current annual base rent under the lease is \$0.8 million. We have an option to extend the lease for an additional five-year period and have a right of first opportunity to purchase the leased property.

The table below provides selected information regarding our facilities.

| Location | Use | Approximate Square Footage(1)(2) | Own/ Lease |
|----------------------------|---|--|---------------|
| Auburn, Maine | Case design and manufacturing | 33,500 | Own |
| Avilla, Indiana | Instrument and implant design and manufacturing | 41,000 | Lease |
| Cheltenham, United Kingdom | Instrument design and manufacturing | 25,000 | Lease |
| Claypool, Indiana | Instrument design and manufacturing | 33,000 | Own |
| Cork, Ireland | Implant finishing | 10,000 | Lease |
| Fort Wayne, Indiana | Office space | 1,900 | Lease |
| Hillburn, New York | Implant finishing | 16,000 | Lease |
| Lansing, Michigan | Implant design, forging and machining | 65,000 | Own |
| Lansing, Michigan | Implant Finishing and Design and Development Center | 15,000 | Lease |

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---------|-------|
| Lille, France | Case design and assembly | 25,000 | Lease |
| Manchester, New Hampshire | Plastic and metal case design and manufacturing | 122,000 | Lease |
| Nashville, Tennessee | Medical products distribution | 16,500 | Own |
| New Bedford, Massachusetts | Instrument and implant manufacturing | 85,000 | Own |
| Penang, Malaysia | Case assembly | 50,000 | Lease |
| Sheffield, United Kingdom | Implant and specialized non-healthcare product design, forging, casting and machining | 134,600 | Own |
| Sheffield, United Kingdom | Implant machining | 43,400 | Own |
| Warsaw, Indiana | Instrument design and manufacturing | 63,000 | Own |
| Warsaw, Indiana | Design and Development Center; instrument design and manufacturing; Corporate Headquarters | 30,000 | Own |
| Warsaw, Indiana | Office space | 10,000 | Own |
| Warwickshire, United Kingdom | Specialized non-healthcare machining | 20,300 | Own |
| Whitman, Massachusetts | Minimal invasive instruments | 24,600 | Lease |
| Total square footage | | 864,800 | |

(1) We own approximately 16 acres of land in Warsaw, Indiana, and approximately 9 acres in Lansing, Michigan. These sites are available for future expansion.

(2) All of our owned properties are encumbered by our Senior Credit Facility. See Note 5 of our consolidated financial statements. Our capital lease arrangements are discussed in Note 6 of our Financial Statements.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

SEC Inquiry

Following the discovery of the accounting irregularities at our Sheffield, UK operating unit, the Audit Committee self-reported the matter to the staff of the SEC in October 2007. Thereafter, the SEC commenced an informal inquiry regarding this matter.

We have fully cooperated with the SEC in its investigation. At this time we are unable to predict the time period necessary to resolve the investigation or the ultimate resolution thereof. To date, considerable legal, tax and accounting expenses have been incurred in connection with our Audit Committee's investigation into this matter and expenditures may continue to be incurred in the future with regard to the SEC's investigation. It is also possible that the investigation may continue to require management's time and attention and accounting and legal resources, which could otherwise be devoted to the operation of our business. Moreover, any action by the SEC against us, or members of our management, may cause us to be subject to injunctions, fines and other penalties or sanctions or result in private civil actions, loss of key personnel or other adverse consequences and may require us to devote additional time and resources to these matters. The investigation may adversely affect our ability to obtain, and/or increase the cost of obtaining, directors' and officers' liability insurance and/or other types of insurance, which could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, the SEC investigation and the remedies applied may affect certain of our business relationships and consequently may have an adverse effect on our business in the future.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the trading symbol SMA. As of March 6, 2009, there were approximately 376 registered holders of record of our common stock. The transfer agent and registrar for our common stock is Computershare Trust Company, N.A., P.O. Box 43023, Providence, RI 02940-3023, telephone (877) 282-1168.

In the two most recent fiscal years, we have not paid dividends on our common stock and do not expect to pay dividends for the foreseeable future. Instead, we anticipate that our earnings in the foreseeable future will be used in the operation and growth of our business. The payment of dividends by us to holders of our common stock is restricted by our senior credit facility. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon, among other factors, our results of operations, financial condition, capital requirements and contractual restrictions.

We currently do not have a share repurchase plan or program.

See Part III, Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters, for information regarding common stock authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

Our common stock has been listed on the New York Stock Exchange since our initial public offering on December 9, 2004. The following table sets forth, for the period indicated, the highest and lowest closing sale price for our common stock by quarter for 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange:

| | 2008 | |
|----------------|----------|----------|
| | High | Low |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 15.97 | \$ 7.31 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 18.82 | \$ 15.66 |

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|----------------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Second Quarter | \$ | 17.25 | \$ | 13.05 |
| First Quarter | \$ | 19.15 | \$ | 15.97 |

2007

| | | High | | Low |
|----------------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Fourth Quarter | \$ | 17.89 | \$ | 15.49 |
| Third Quarter | \$ | 17.64 | \$ | 14.57 |
| Second Quarter | \$ | 17.70 | \$ | 14.88 |
| First Quarter | \$ | 16.89 | \$ | 12.88 |

The closing sale price for our common stock on March 6, 2009 was \$4.41.

| | End of Fiscal Year | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| | Dec 9, 2004 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| Symmetry Medical, Inc. | \$ 100 | \$ 140 | \$ 129 | \$ 92 | \$ 116 | \$ 62 |
| S&P 500 Stock Index | \$ 100 | \$ 102 | \$ 105 | \$ 119 | \$ 124 | \$ 79 |
| S&P Health Care Index | \$ 100 | \$ 102 | \$ 107 | \$ 114 | \$ 121 | \$ 96 |

* Assuming \$100 was invested on December 9, 2004 (the first date the company common stock was traded on the New York Stock Exchange) in company common stock and each index. Values as of yearend assuming dividends are reinvested. No dividends have been declared or paid on company common stock. Returns over the indicated period should not be considered indicative of future returns.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in connection with our consolidated financial statements, the notes related thereto, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and has been derived from our consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

| | Fiscal Year Ended | | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------------|
| | 2008(5) | 2007(4) | 2006(3) | 2005 | 2004 (unaudited) |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations Data: | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 423,406 | \$ 290,922 | \$ 245,017 | \$ 259,702 | \$ 201,696 |
| Cost of Revenue | 323,048 | 238,343 | 188,579 | 192,930 | 146,204 |
| Gross Profit | 100,358 | 52,579 | 56,438 | 66,772 | 55,492 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 58,340 | 39,484 | 28,278 | 27,570 | 22,569 |
| Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets | - | - | - | 33,580 | - |
| Operating Income | 42,018 | 13,095 | 28,160 | 5,622 | 32,923 |
| Interest expense, net | 10,092 | 6,917 | 4,448 | 2,954 | 13,757 |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | - | - | - | - | 8,956(2) |
| Derivative valuation(gain)/loss (1) | (2,460) | 1,740 | 2,317 | (98) | (1,451) |
| Other (income)/expense | 2,874 | (503) | (3,699) | 2,320 | (823) |
| Income before income taxes | 31,512 | 4,941 | 25,094 | 446 | 12,484 |
| Income tax expense | 7,493 | 5,090 | 6,580 | 10,315 | 4,103 |
| Net income (loss) | 24,019 | (149) | 18,514 | (9,869) | 8,381 |
| Preferred stock dividends | - | - | - | - | (8,977) |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders | \$ 24,019 | \$ (149) | \$ 18,514 | \$ (9,869) | \$ (596) |
| Basic per share: | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders | \$ 0.68 | \$ - | \$ 0.53 | \$ (0.29) | \$ (0.04) |
| Diluted per share: | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders | \$ 0.68 | \$ - | \$ 0.53 | \$ (0.28) | \$ (0.03) |
| Weighted average common shares and equivalent shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | 35,170 | 35,089 | 34,826 | 33,841 | 16,905 |
| Diluted | 35,357 | 35,268 | 35,156 | 34,670 | 17,767 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10,191 | \$ 12,089 | \$ 11,721 | \$ 12,471 | \$ 4,849 |
| Working capital | 69,939 | 36,134 | 44,873 | 42,662 | 36,495 |
| Total Assets | 453,237 | 400,430 | 354,396 | 293,045 | 303,520 |

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Long-term debt and capital lease obligations, less current portion | 110,956 | 72,532 | 68,792 | 34,782 | 43,209 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 252,414 | 237,536 | 232,607 | 207,760 | 211,177 |
| Other Financial Data: | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 21,463 | \$ 19,998 | \$ 17,022 | \$ 13,674 | \$ 11,198 |

(1) We enter into interest rate swap agreements to offset changes in interest rates on our variable rate long-term debt. We also enter into foreign currency exchange forward contracts to mitigate fluctuations in foreign currency on the statement of operations. In accordance with SFAS No. 133, as amended, Accounting For Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, these agreements do not qualify for hedge accounting and accordingly, changes in the fair market value of such agreements are recorded each period in earnings.

(2) In fiscal 2004, we refinanced substantially all of our existing indebtedness as part of the proceeds from our December 9, 2004 initial public offering, resulting in a loss on debt extinguishment of \$9.0 million. This charge includes \$5.1 million of unamortized discount recorded upon the issuance of the subordinated notes and \$3.9 million of deferred debt issuance costs as a result of the Mettis acquisition on June 11, 2003.

(3) Includes the results of Riley Medical since its acquisition on May 2, 2006 and Everest Metal since its acquisition on August 31, 2006.

(4) Includes the results of Clamonta, Ltd. since its acquisition on January 9, 2007, TNCO since its acquisition on April 3, 2007 and SSI and UCA since their acquisition on August 31, 2007.

(5) Includes the results of New Bedford since its acquisition on January 25, 2008.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

As a leading independent provider to orthopedic device manufacturers, we offer a broad range of products, including implants, instruments and cases as well as design and development services. We also provide these types of products and services to companies in other segments of the medical device market, including dental, osteobiologic, and endoscopy sectors, and we provide limited specialized products to non-healthcare markets, such as the aerospace industry.

We manufacture many of the products we sell and have manufacturing locations worldwide to service our global customer base. We believe that our comprehensive product and services offering, our quality and regulatory expertise, our global resources and our size and capability provide us a competitive advantage. We leverage these competitive advantages to accelerate our customers' time to market as they develop and launch new products. This relationship typically leads to an ongoing supply of products to our customers during the life of the product.

Our core business strategy is built around our business model which leverages our global resources to expand our leadership position within the orthopedic sector as well as to diversify within related medical markets. In the non-orthopedic sector, we are expanding that core strategy by adding new distribution channels to reach even more end-users of medical instruments, containers and related products. Using this strategy, we strive to provide the best possible customer experience by offering superior value; the highest-quality, new technology; customized services; superior support; and the combination of our products and services into our Total Solutions® offering. Historically, our growth has been driven organically from our core orthopedic businesses. In the past several years, we have begun to pursue a targeted acquisition strategy designed to augment select areas of our business with more products, services, and technology.

The global medical device market was estimated to be approximately \$300 billion in 2007 with expectations of reaching \$336 billion in 2008. According to our most recent research, the global orthopedic device segment was \$32 billion in 2007 which was an 11% increase over 2006 figures. Of the \$32 billion of orthopedic device revenue in 2007, approximately 77% or \$25 billion came from the ten largest OEM companies in the world. Orthopedic devices consist of reconstructive implants used to repair knees, hips, shoulders and other joints, as well as other orthopedic devices to repair bone fractures and the spine. According to published reports, this segment is expected to show continued growth in the 8-10% range. The spinal segment of orthopedic device market accounted for approximately three million procedures in 2007. Growth for the U.S. orthopedic device market alone is projected to be \$29 billion by 2013. The U.S. orthopedic device market is estimated to be approximately 59% of the global market. We expect continued growth in the orthopedic device market to be driven by a number of trends including:

- growing elderly population;
- aging, affluent and active "baby boomers";
- improving technologies that expand the market, including minimally invasive surgery;
- successful clinical outcomes increasing patient confidence;
- increasing patient awareness through orthopedic device companies' direct marketing programs;
- increasing volume of procedures to replace older implants (or revision procedures); and
- developing international markets.

We offer our customers Total Solutions® for complete implant systems—implants, instruments and cases. While our revenue to date has been derived primarily from the sale of implants, instruments, and cases separately, or instruments and cases together, our ability to provide Total Solutions® for complete implant systems has already proven to be

attractive to our customers, and we expect this capability will provide us with growth opportunities. In addition, we expect that our Total Solutions® capability will increase the relative percentage of value added products that we supply to our customers.

We believe that we have well-established relationships with our major customers and these relationships, to a significant extent, involve the sale of products that we have developed or modified specifically for our customers' particular product lines. In connection with the launch of a new implant system, our customers typically provide a customized implant-specific instrument set in cases to end-users (hospitals, outpatient centers and physicians) for use with the new implant system. As a result, our sales of instruments and cases in any particular period are significantly impacted by the amount of new product launch activity by our customers. Our revenues are affected by changes in the number and size of orders and the timing of delivery dates. Our revenues have fluctuated in the past and may vary in the future due to the effects of changes in inventory management practices and new product introductions by our customers.

We have always strived to add more value add to our customers. To continue to meet this goal, sustain our business strategy, and improve our business, we are continually focused on improving our current state and driving growth. We believe these actions will help position us for sustainable long-term profitable growth.

- Continuous Improvement – We are focused on improving competitiveness by becoming more efficient while strengthening our operating processes and internal controls. Our experienced leadership team is working together to increase efficiency across all functions. We are focused on improving processes utilizing lean principles and techniques.
- Diversification – Within the orthopedic sector we will continue to expand our product portfolio and build upon the strength of our presence in the large reconstructive joint market. Orthopedic sector diversification will include: spine, trauma, extremities and small joints. During 2008, we invested in new technology focused on the spinal market, including a high precision cell. Diversification outside of the orthopedic market could include areas such dental implants, maxillofacial, laboratory testing and veterinary.
 - Partnership – Continue to develop our existing OEM relationships.
- Intellectual Property – Expand and develop our intellectual property portfolio with focus on both process and product patents.
- Organizational Development – Establish an organization structure that is capable of delivering a 5 year growth plan and support business development.

A significant part of our business strategy has been growth through acquisitions which have enhanced our product offerings and our business model.

We acquired Mettis on June 11, 2003 for an aggregate consideration of approximately \$164 million. Mettis is a leading manufacturer of forged, cast and machined implants for global orthopedic device manufacturers. This acquisition added implants to our product offerings and increased our European presence.

In May 2006, we acquired Riley Medical for approximately \$45.8 million. Riley Medical is a leading designer and manufacturer of specialty cases and trays for the global medical market. Additionally, we acquired Everest Metal in August 2006 for an aggregate consideration of approximately \$10.3 million. Everest Metal specializes in implant finishing.

In January 2007, we acquired Clamonta Ltd located in Warwickshire, UK for approximately \$10.4 million in cash. Clamonta Ltd was a privately held company that has a 50-year history of supplying precision machined products

to the global aerospace industry. Clamonta Ltd's products will help bridge Symmetry's Total Solutions® business model into the aerospace industry. This acquisition expanded our added value operation within our existing product expertise and supports a major customer by providing a more complete Total Solution®. Clamonta is well known in the industry for producing quality engineered products for aircraft engines. Clamonta Ltd's pre-acquisition management team continues to lead this business unit. This acquisition helps to diversify us and will allow us to capitalize on a long term growth cycle in the aerospace industry.

In April 2007, we acquired TNCO located in Whitman, Massachusetts for approximately \$7.6 million in cash. TNCO was a privately held company that has a 40-year history of designing and supplying precision instruments for arthroscopic, laparoscopic, sinus and other minimally invasive procedures. TNCO's strong intellectual property portfolio and customer relationships extend our product offering into these additional medical fields. TNCO is well known in the industry for designing and producing quality engineered products for minimally invasive procedures. Its operating philosophy closely mirrors our own with its highly skilled engineering team that partners with its clients during the product development cycle and moves efficiently from concept and prototype to production. TNCO sales are expected to benefit significantly as a result of marketing its products through our global sales and distribution network. TNCO's pre-acquisition management team continues to lead this business unit. This acquisition is consistent with our strategy to enhance Symmetry Medical's product offering into medical markets beyond our existing products and allows us to offer our Total Solutions (R) model to an expanded customer base.

In August 2007, we acquired SSI and UCA located in Nashville, Tennessee for approximately \$15.0 million in cash and at the same time entered into a two year earn-out agreement with the two principals of SSI and UCA specifying receipt of additional consideration if SSI and UCA meet certain earnings levels in 2008 and 2009. These earnings levels were not met in 2008. SSI was a privately held company that has a 30-year history of offering targeted sales, marketing and distribution programs to serve the key surgical specialties of neurological, spine, cardiovascular, ENT, laparoscopy, ophthalmology and orthopedics. SSI's portfolio includes its own line of Ultra Instruments and includes the UCA—Ultra Container sterilization system, a hospital proven, closed container system that is designed to store and transport sterilized instruments. The Ultra Instruments, UCA containers and multiple other product lines are offered through SSI's distribution channels and sold to hospitals with their 25 strong sales staff. This acquisition is consistent with our strategy to enhance Symmetry Medical's product offering into medical markets beyond our existing products and provides a direct access to hospitals and doctors to accelerate our own product designs.

In January 2008, we acquired DePuy's New Bedford, Massachusetts instrument manufacturing facility ("New Bedford"). We purchased substantially all of the assets and real estate of New Bedford for approximately \$45.2 million in cash. New Bedford produces orthopedic instruments, general medical instruments and some small spine related implants. Historically, 100% of the products produced at the facility are for DePuy. Commencing in the third quarter, we began to utilize this facility to serve our other medical customers, as we have a strategy to diversify and expand both product and customer portfolio at this facility. In connection with the acquisition, we entered into a supply agreement which requires DePuy to make minimum purchases from New Bedford for a four year period. The agreement stipulates that these purchases are incremental to other products we presently or previously produced on DePuy's behalf. The commitment from DePuy totals \$106.0 million over the four year period, with specific amounts in each year. Certain key members of New Bedford's pre-acquisition management team continue to lead this business unit. We believe this acquisition strengthens our position as a leading provider to the orthopedic industry and provides additional manufacturing capacity to better serve our broad customer base, builds on our relationship with DePuy, expands our east coast presence and allows us to move forward with an existing skilled workforce to service our growing market.

Our acquisitions have afforded us the opportunity to offer a comprehensive line of implants, surgical instruments and cases for orthopedic device manufacturers on a global basis, instruments and cases into other medical markets and specialized parts into the aerospace industry.

The combined Riley, Everest, Clamonta Ltd., TNCO, SSI, UCA and New Bedford acquisitions are further referred to as the "acquired entities".

During fiscal 2008, we sold our products to approximately 1,850 customers. Our two largest customers accounted for approximately 33.0% and 11.1% of our revenue in fiscal 2008 and our two largest customers accounted

for 17.9% and 11.7% of our revenue in fiscal 2007. Our ten largest customers collectively accounted for approximately 70.7% and 66.9% of our revenue in fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, respectively. Within each of our largest customers, we typically serve several product teams and facilities, which reduce our reliance on any single purchasing decision. Approximately 71.5%, 13.0%, 7.5% and 8.0% of our revenue in fiscal 2008 and approximately 61.1%, 18.8%, 9.1% and 11.0% of our revenue in fiscal 2007 was from sales to the United States, United Kingdom, Ireland and other foreign countries, respectively.

Our revenue from the sale of implants, instruments, cases and other products represented 29.0%, 41.9%, 20.4% and 8.7% respectively, of our revenue in fiscal 2008, compared with 33.3%, 27.2%, 26.5% and 13.0% respectively, of our revenue in fiscal 2007.

Results of Operations

The following table summarizes our consolidated results of operations for each of the past three years. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of the operating results that may be expected in the future.

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

| | 2008 | | Fiscal Year 2007 | | 2006 | |
|---|----------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|
| | Dollars | % of Revenue | Dollars | % of Revenue | Dollars | % of Revenue |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 423.4 | 100.0% | \$ 290.9 | 100.0% | \$ 245.0 | 100.0% |
| Cost of Revenue | 323.1 | 76.3% | 238.3 | 81.9% | 188.6 | 77.0% |
| Gross Profit | 100.3 | 23.7% | 52.6 | 18.1% | 56.4 | 23.0% |
| Selling, general, and administrative expenses | 58.3 | 13.8% | 39.5 | 13.6% | 28.3 | 11.5% |
| Operating Income | 42.0 | 9.9% | 13.1 | 4.5% | 28.2 | 11.5% |
| Other (income) expense: | | | | | | |
| Interest expense | 10.1 | 2.4% | 6.9 | 2.4% | 4.4 | 1.8% |
| Derivatives valuation (gain)/loss | (2.5) | (0.6)% | 1.7 | 0.6% | 2.3 | 0.9% |
| Other | 2.9 | 0.7% | (0.5) | (0.2)% | (3.7) | (1.5)% |
| Income before income taxes | 31.5 | 7.4% | 4.9 | 1.7% | 25.1 | 10.3% |
| Income tax expense | 7.5 | 1.8% | 5.1 | 1.7% | 6.6 | 2.7% |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 24.0 | 5.6% | \$ (0.1) | 0.0% | \$ 18.5 | 7.6% |

Fiscal Year 2008 Compared to Fiscal Year 2007

Revenue. Revenue for fiscal 2008 increased \$132.5 million, or 45.5% to \$423.4 million from \$290.9 million in fiscal 2007. Revenue for each of our principal product categories in these periods was as follows:

Product Category

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|-------------|---------------|----------|
| | (in millions) | |
| Implants | \$ 122.6 | \$ 96.8 |
| Instruments | 177.5 | 79.1 |
| Cases | 86.4 | 77.2 |
| Other | 36.9 | 37.8 |
| Total | \$ 423.4 | \$ 290.9 |

The \$132.5 million increase in revenue resulted from organic growth of 23.4% and the impact of recent acquisitions. Implant revenue increased \$25.8 million in fiscal 2008 which was primarily driven by increased organic customer demand as well our New Bedford acquisition in January 2008, which added \$7.4 million of implant revenue.

The increase in instrument revenue of \$98.4 million for fiscal 2008 was driven by a 52.4% increase in organic customer demand and \$57.0 million of additional instrument revenue from acquired entities. Case revenues increased \$9.2 million for fiscal 2008 primarily due to increased organic customer demand. The decrease in other revenue was driven by reduced customer demand. Impacts of unfavorable foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations negatively affected our 2008 revenue by \$2.7 million.

We estimate that global orthopedic device procedures grew at approximately 6% to 7% in 2008 and expect similar industry procedure growth in the future. In the second half of 2007 and during 2008, we experienced increased organic demand from our customers as many of them experienced significant product launches.

Gross Profit. Gross profit for fiscal 2008 increased \$47.8 million, or 90.9%, to \$100.4 million from \$52.6 million in fiscal 2007. Gross profit was positively impacted by the 45.5% increase in revenue. The increase was also driven by gross profit as a percentage of revenue which increased by 5.6%. This increase in gross profit percentage was driven by increased leverage of fixed costs at our operations which experienced an increase in revenues during the period while controlling infrastructure costs and improvements at our Sheffield, UK facility. Our Sheffield, UK operation experienced improved gross profit as a percentage of revenue versus 2007 driven by higher selling prices, lower costs and improved operational management. We continue to drive improvements at Sheffield and anticipate continued improvements in the future. Changes in foreign exchange rates positively affected our total year 2008 gross profit by \$0.4 million.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses in fiscal 2008 increased \$18.9 million, or 47.8%, to \$58.3 million from \$39.5 million in fiscal 2007. This increase was primarily driven by the inclusion of \$8.1 million of incremental expenses related to acquired entities. Additional incremental costs were driven by a \$7.4 million increase in employee compensation costs including \$2.6 million of an increase in non-cash stock-based compensation expense. Expenditures associated with the Sheffield investigation and restatement process increased \$1.2 million from \$3.5 million in 2007 to \$4.7 million in 2008. As a percentage of revenue, selling, general and administrative expenses were 13.8% of revenue in fiscal 2008 as compared to 13.6% in fiscal 2007. Changes in foreign currency exchange rates decreased our selling, general and administrative expenses by \$0.4 million.

Other (Income) Expense. Interest expense for fiscal 2008 increased \$3.2 million, or 45.9%, to \$10.1 million from \$6.9 million in fiscal 2007. This increase primarily reflects expense from the increase in debt incurred for acquisitions as well as higher interest rates in the first quarter 2008 due to the debt covenant violations related to the Sheffield accounting irregularities. The net derivatives gain in fiscal 2008 consisted of a \$1.8 million loss on interest rate SWAP valuation, offset by a \$4.3 million gain on the settlement of foreign currency forward contracts. The interest rate SWAPs are used to convert our variable rate long-term debt to fixed rates. The foreign currency forwards are used to mitigate fluctuations in foreign currency on the statement of operations. The gain of the foreign currency valuation for fiscal 2008 offset losses on foreign currency fluctuations, primarily related to intercompany loans that are included within other expense of \$3.3 million.

Provision for Income Taxes. Our effective tax rate in fiscal year 2008 was 23.8% compared to 38.2% in fiscal 2007. This rate is lower than the U.S. Federal statutory rate primarily from tax benefits of \$12.0 million from the realization of losses on the Corporation's initial investment in the Sheffield, UK operations of partially offset by additional tax provisions for uncertain tax positions of \$2.2 million. We also recognized \$3.0 million of valuation allowance against foreign losses incurred during the year.

Fiscal Year 2007 Compared to Fiscal Year 2006

Revenue. Revenue for fiscal 2007 increased \$45.9 million, or 18.7%, to \$290.9 million from \$245.0 million in fiscal 2006. Revenue for each of our principal product categories in these periods was as follows:

Product Category

| Product Category | (in millions) | |
|------------------|---------------|----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Implants | \$ 96.8 | \$ 91.9 |
| Instruments | 79.1 | 66.8 |
| Cases | 77.2 | 62.2 |
| Other | 37.8 | 24.1 |
| Total | \$ 290.9 | \$ 245.0 |

The \$45.9 million increase in revenue resulted from increased implant, instrument, cases and other sales of \$5.0 million, \$12.2 million, \$15.0 million and \$13.7 million, respectively. The increase in implant revenue for fiscal 2007 was primarily driven by the acquisition of Everest Metal in August 2006 which added additional revenue during 2007. The increase in instrument revenues for fiscal 2007 was primarily driven by our SSI acquisition in September 2007 which added \$7.5 million of revenue and the addition of our TNCO acquisition in April 2007 which added \$4.2 million of revenue. Case revenues increased \$15.0 million for fiscal 2007 primarily due to increase in overall sales to our top five customers related to a significant increase in demand for new product launches and the inclusion of a full year of sales for Riley Medical following its acquisition in May 2006. The increase in other revenue was driven by the acquisition of Clamonta Ltd. completed in January 2007, which added \$11.3 million of revenue. Change in foreign exchange rates positively affected our total year 2007 revenue by \$7.6 million.

We estimate that global orthopedic device procedures grew at approximately 7% to 8% in 2007. In the second half of 2006 and the first half of 2007, our customers used inventory that had built up in their distribution channel because of their reduced growth in the first quarter of 2006. During the second half of 2007, demand from our major customers returned to more normalized rates and was complemented by an increase in our customers' new product launches.

Gross Profit. Gross profit for fiscal 2007 decreased \$3.9 million, or 6.8%, to \$52.6 million from \$56.4 million in fiscal 2006. Gross profit was positively impacted by the \$45.9 million increase in revenue driven by our 2007 and 2006 acquisitions. However, this positive impact was more than offset by the decline in gross profit as a percentage of revenue of 4.9%. This decline was driven by higher raw material costs at several of our operations as a percentage of selling price due to higher material costs during the period. Also impacting our gross profit percentage was a reduced leveraging of fixed costs at our U.S. operations which experienced a decline in revenues during the period while maintaining their cost structures to be prepared for the ramp up in production experienced in the latter half of 2007 and in 2008. Finally, our Sheffield, UK operation experienced significantly higher costs as a percentage of revenue driven by higher fixed costs for depreciation, the adverse impacts of a flood during the second quarter, and other increased costs of manufacturing related to operational management inefficiencies. We have commenced a full and

complete review of Sheffield's operations and anticipate improvements in 2009 and into the future.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses in fiscal 2007 increased \$11.2 million, or 39.6%, to \$39.5 million from \$28.3 million in fiscal 2006. This increase was primarily driven by the inclusion of \$6.5 million of expenses related to Clamonta Ltd., TNCO, SSI, UCA, Riley Medical and Everest Metal since their respective dates of acquisition. These expenses include \$1.1 million of amortization related to intangibles acquired in our recent acquisitions. As a percentage of revenue, selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 13.6% of revenue in fiscal 2007 from 11.5% in fiscal 2006. This increase as a percentage of revenue was driven by the \$3.5 million of professional fees and expenses incurred in 2007 to complete the Sheffield, UK investigation and related restatement. Changes in foreign exchange rates increased our selling, general and administrative expenses by \$0.6 million.

Other (Income) Expense. Interest expense for fiscal 2007 increased \$2.5 million, or 55.5%, to \$6.9 million from \$4.4 million in fiscal 2006. This increase primarily reflects expense from the increase in debt incurred for acquisitions. The derivatives loss in fiscal 2007 consisted of a \$1.4 million loss on interest rate SWAP valuation and a \$0.3 million loss on foreign currency forward valuations. The interest rate SWAPs are used to convert our variable rate long-term debt to fixed rates. The foreign currency forwards are used to mitigate fluctuations in foreign currency on the statement of operations. The loss of the foreign currency valuation for fiscal 2007 offset unrealized gains on foreign currency within the other expense of \$0.5 million.

Provision for Income Taxes. Our effective tax rate in fiscal 2007 was significantly impacted by a valuation allowance on the net operating loss carry-forward at our Sheffield, UK subsidiary of \$1.8 million and a \$1.4 million reserve for uncertain tax positions. Excluding these two items, the tax rate was 38.2% in fiscal 2007 compared to 26.2% in fiscal 2006. In 2006, benefits from research and development and other state credits, and income in foreign jurisdictions taxed at lower rates more than offset the impact of state income taxes. Reconciliation to the Federal statutory rate of 34% for 2007 and 35% for 2006 is more fully described in Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements that appear elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of liquidity in fiscal 2008 were cash generated from operations and borrowings under our term loan facility. Principal uses of cash in fiscal 2008 included the acquisition of New Bedford, increased working capital related to our recent acquisitions, capital expenditures and debt service. We expect that our principal uses of cash in the future will be to finance working capital, to pay for capital expenditures, to service debt and to fund possible future acquisitions. In January 2008, we obtained a new \$60.0 million term loan with a five year maturity to fund the \$45.2 million New Bedford acquisition and to pay down borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

Cash Flows

The following table summarizes our primary sources and uses of cash in the periods presented:

| | Fiscal Year Ended | | |
|--|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (in millions) | | |
| Net Cash Flow provided by (used in): | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 25.7 | \$ 24.7 | \$ 32.6 |
| Investing activities | (68.0) | (40.8) | (72.9) |
| Financing activities | 41.5 | 15.5 | 39.0 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (1.1) | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$ (1.9) | \$ 0.4 | \$ (0.8) |

Operating Activities. We generated cash from operations of \$25.7 million in fiscal 2008 compared to \$24.7 million in fiscal 2007. This slight increase is primarily the result of an increase in net income, partially offset by an increase in net working capital requirements. Working capital used \$31.9 million of cash in 2008 compared to cash generation of \$7.3 million in 2007. This additional cash usage from net working capital is primarily due to the increase in accounts receivable and inventory, with a decrease in accounts payable as a result of the New Bedford growth in working capital from its date of acquisition and strong organic revenue growth.

Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$68.0 million for fiscal 2008 compared to \$40.8 million in fiscal 2007. Investing activities in fiscal 2008 consisted of \$22.8 for capital expenditures and \$46.6 million primarily related to the New Bedford acquisition. Our investing activities in fiscal 2007 consisted of \$8.8 million for capital expenditures and \$33.7 million for the acquisitions of Clamonta, TNCO, SSI and UCA. These expenditures were partially offset by the proceeds from the sale of excess land in the UK of \$1.4 million.

Financing Activities. Financing activities provided \$41.5 million of cash in fiscal 2008. This increase in cash is primarily due to \$60.0 million borrowings used to finance the New Bedford acquisition offset by debt repayments. This is compared to the 2007 proceeds of \$32.6 million used to finance the Clamonta Ltd, TNCO, SSI and UCA acquisitions.

Capital Expenditures. Capital expenditures totaled \$22.8 million in fiscal 2008, compared to \$8.8 million in fiscal 2007 and \$20.3 million in fiscal 2006, and were primarily used to expand and enhance production capacity in several of our facilities, including the addition of a high precision machining cell to better serve the spine market. In 2007, we replaced equipment and increased capacity with new equipment. We expect capital expenditures for fiscal 2009 to total approximately \$20.0 million.

Debt and Credit Facilities

In connection with our initial public offering in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004, we entered into a \$75.0 million senior secured credit facility, consisting of a \$35.0 million five-year term loan ("Term Loan A") and a \$40.0 million five-year revolving credit facility. In the second quarter of 2006, we amended and restated this credit facility to increase our term loans by \$40.0 million ("Term Loan A-1") and extended the revolving credit facility to June 2011. In the first quarter of 2008 we amended the credit facility to increase our term loans by \$60.0 million ("Term Loan A-2"). As of January 3, 2009, we had an aggregate of approximately \$128.9 million of outstanding indebtedness, which

consisted of the following:

- An aggregate of \$124.5 million of borrowings under our senior credit facility; and
 - \$4.4 million of capital lease obligations.

Borrowings under this senior credit facility bear interest at a floating rate, which is either a base rate, or at our option, a London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") rate, plus an applicable margin. As of January 3, 2009, an aggregate of \$106.5 million was outstanding under the term loans at a weighted average interest rate of 2.8125%. As of January 3, 2009, we had \$18.0 million borrowings outstanding under the revolving credit facility. We had one outstanding letter of credit as of January 3, 2009 in the amount of \$1.0 million.

Historically, we have had a significant amount of variable rate long-term indebtedness. We have managed our exposure to changes in interest rates by entering into interest rate swap agreements. These agreements do not qualify for hedge accounting under the applicable accounting guidelines and, as a result, we are required to record changes to the fair market value of these agreements in our statement of operations for each period. We recorded interest rate swap valuation expense of \$1.8 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.1 million for fiscal 2008, fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2006, respectively. For additional information regarding our interest rate swap agreements, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks—Interest Rate Risk."

Our senior credit agreement ("Senior Credit Agreement") contains various financial covenants, including covenants requiring a maximum total debt to EBITDA ratio, minimum EBITDA to interest ratio and a minimum EBITDA to fixed charges ratio. The Senior Credit Agreement also contains covenants restricting certain corporate actions, including asset dispositions, acquisitions, payment of dividends and certain other restricted payments, changes of control, incurring indebtedness, incurring liens, making loans and investments and transactions with affiliates. The senior credit facility is secured by substantially all of our assets. Our Senior Credit Agreement also contains customary events of default. We were in compliance with all of our covenants as of January 3, 2009.

As previously disclosed, in October 2007, we discovered accounting irregularities at our Sheffield, UK operating unit, resulting in our lenders' administrative agent's notice to the Corporation that a default had occurred under the Senior Credit Agreement. On October 10, 2007, we entered into a forbearance agreement under which the lenders agreed to forbear until January 7, 2008, from exercising the rights and remedies available to them under the Senior Credit Agreement with respect to the events of default.

On December 14, 2007, the Corporation, certain of the Corporation's subsidiaries, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, entered into a Waiver, Amendment and Term A-2 Loan Incremental Term Loan Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ("Waiver"). Pursuant to the terms of the Waiver, the Administrative Agent waived specified events of default existing under the Senior Credit Agreement. In addition, the Administrative Agent, on behalf of itself and certain other lenders, (i) consented to the New Bedford acquisition, (ii) committed to extend additional senior secured credit in the aggregate amount of \$60.0 million (the "Incremental Term Loan" or "Term Loan A-2"), and (iii) modified the terms of the Senior Credit Agreement accordingly. Proceeds of the Incremental Term Loan were used to fund the New Bedford acquisition; to pay, in part, the Corporation's existing revolving credit facility; and to pay fees and expenses in connection with the Waiver.

On January 25, 2008, the New Bedford acquisition was completed and the Incremental Term Loan was funded. The Incremental Term Loan will mature June 13, 2011. Quarterly installments of principal are to be paid so as to reduce the remaining principal balance by approximately ten percent (10%) in 2009, fifteen percent (15%) in 2010 and seventy percent (70%) in 2011. The Corporation retained the right to have borrowed funds bear interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable margin or at a "base rate" plus an applicable margin under the Waiver. The applicable margins increased by 0.50% and the Corporation was limited in its ability to borrow under the revolving credit facility until the Corporation became current in filing its reports under Section 13 and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act. Other terms of the Senior Credit Agreement remained substantially unchanged by the Waiver.

On March 27, 2008, the Corporation, certain of its subsidiaries and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, entered into a Second Amendment and Waiver to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ("Second Amendment") for purposes of waiving events of default under the Senior Credit Agreement relating to the Sheffield accounting irregularities and the Corporation's required financial statement filing deadlines. The Second Amendment waived an event of default and amended the terms of the Senior Credit Agreement to accommodate the financial impact of the Sheffield accounting irregularities and extended the deadline for the Corporation to file its financial statements as required under Sections 13 and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act to April 14, 2008.

On April 14, 2008, the Corporation notified the Administrative Agent that the filing of its Annual Report on Form 10-K would be extended beyond the April 14, 2008 target date; certain other financial statements as required by the Senior Credit Agreement would be provided beyond the time established by the Senior Credit Agreement; and professional fees incurred in connection with the Sheffield accounting irregularities would cause the Corporation to be unable to comply with a financial covenant of the Senior Credit Agreement. The Administrative Agent, for the Corporation's lenders, informed the Corporation that an event of default had occurred due to these circumstances. Under the circumstances, the Administrative Agent had the right to accelerate the financial obligations of the Corporation under the Senior Credit Agreement, but did not.

On April 22, 2008, the Corporation, certain of its subsidiaries and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, entered into a Third Amendment and Waiver to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ("Third Amendment") for the purposes of waiving the described defaults. Accordingly, the Corporation obtained from the lenders (i) a waiver of the Events of Default, (ii) an extension of the deadline by which the Corporation was required to file its 2007 Form 10-K, and (iii) an extension of the deadline by which the Corporation was required to file its 2008 first quarter quarterly report on Form 10-Q. In addition, the Corporation obtained changes to the Credit Agreement which includes temporary adjustments to its financial statement covenants.

On June 24, 2008, the Corporation filed its 2008 first quarter filing on Form 10-Q and met all of the requirements under the Third Amendment. As such, the interest margin decreased 0.50% and the restrictions on borrowings were lifted.

We hold certain property and equipment pursuant to capital leases. As of January 3, 2009, these leases have future minimum lease payments of \$1.6 million, \$1.0 million, \$0.9 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million in each of the next 5 fiscal years and \$2.2 million thereafter.

The term loans require quarterly payments of scheduled principal and interest, with annual scheduled principal payments increasing each year. Term Loan A matures in December 2009. Term Loan A-1, Term Loan A-2 and borrowings under the revolving credit facility mature in June 2011.

We believe that cash flow from operating activities and borrowings under our senior credit facility will be sufficient to fund currently anticipated working capital, planned capital spending and debt service requirements for the

foreseeable future, including at least the next twelve months. We regularly review acquisitions and other strategic opportunities, which may require additional debt or equity financing.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

The following table reflects our contractual obligations as of January 3, 2009:

| | Total (in millions) | Payments due by period | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| | | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | More than 5 years |
| Long-term debt obligations | | | | | |
| (1) (2) | \$ 124.5 | \$ 16.9 | \$ 67.9 | \$ 39.7 | \$ - |
| Capital lease obligations | 7.6 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| Operating lease obligations | 5.7 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Purchase obligations (3) | 20.1 | 8.7 | 11.4 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 157.9 | \$ 29.2 | \$ 83.7 | \$ 42.2 | \$ 2.8 |

(1) Represents principal maturities only and, therefore, excludes the effects of interest and interest rate swaps.

(2) In January 2008, we entered into a new term loan for \$60.0 million for the purchase of New Bedford. The proceeds paid down \$13.5 million of the revolving loan due in 2011.

(3) Predominantly represents purchase agreements to buy minimum quantities of cobalt chrome and titanium through December 2011.

(4) Liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits of \$8.7 million are excluded as reasonable estimates could not be made regarding the timing of future cash outflows associated with those liabilities.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our off balance sheet arrangements include our operating leases and letters of credit, which are available under the senior credit facility. We had one letter of credit outstanding as of January 3, 2009 in the amount of \$1.0 million.

Environmental

Our facilities and operations are subject to extensive federal, state, local and foreign environmental and occupational health and safety laws and regulations. These laws and regulations govern, among other things, air emissions; wastewater discharges; the generation, storage, handling, use and transportation of hazardous materials; the handling and disposal of hazardous wastes; the cleanup of contamination; and the health and safety of our employees. Under such laws and regulations, we are required to obtain permits from governmental authorities for some of our operations. If we violate or fail to comply with these laws, regulations or permits, we could be fined or otherwise sanctioned by regulators. We could also be held responsible for costs and damages arising from any contamination at our past or present facilities or at third-party waste disposal sites. We cannot completely eliminate the risk of contamination or injury resulting from hazardous materials, and we may incur material liability as a result of any contamination or injury.

We incurred approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.2 million in capital expenditures for environmental, health and safety in 2008 and 2007, respectively. During 2008, our significant purchases included an EP passivate system and a wet dust collection system. In 2007, we upgraded our HVAC and dust collection system at multiple locations.

In connection with our recent acquisitions, we completed Phase I environmental assessments and did not find any significant issues that we believe needed to be remediated. We cannot be certain that environmental issues will not be discovered or arise in the future related to these acquisitions.

In conjunction with the New Bedford acquisition, we purchased \$5.0 million of environmental insurance coverage for this facility. This policy period expires January 25, 2013. In 2000, we purchased pollution legal liability insurance that covers certain environmental liabilities that may arise at our Warsaw, Indiana facility, at a former facility located in Peru, Indiana, and at certain non-owned locations that we use for the disposal of waste. The insurance has a \$5.0 million aggregate limit and is subject to a deductible and certain exclusions. The policy period expires in 2010. While the insurance may mitigate the risk of certain environmental liabilities, we cannot guarantee that a particular liability will be covered by this insurance.

Based on information currently available, we do not believe that we have any material environmental liabilities.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses during the periods presented. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate estimates. We base our estimates on historical experiences and assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Those estimates form the basis for our judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from our estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Our significant accounting policies, which may be affected by our estimates and assumptions, are more fully described in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements that appear elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, as amended by Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, on orders received from customers when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement with the customer that is supportive of revenue recognition, the customer has made a fixed commitment to purchase the product for a fixed or determinable sales price, collection is reasonably assured under our normal billing and credit terms, and ownership and all risks of loss have been transferred to the buyer, which is normally upon shipment.

Inventory

Inventories generally are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market (net realizable value). Costs include material, labor and manufacturing overhead costs. We review our inventory balances monthly for excess products or obsolete inventory levels and write down, if necessary, the inventory to net realizable value.

Business Combinations, Goodwill and Intangible Assets

In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or "FASB," issued SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations, and SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets. SFAS No. 141 requires all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 to be accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. In June 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations, which amends SFAS 141 and provides revised guidance for recognizing and measuring identifiable assets and goodwill acquired. Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer amortized, but reviewed annually or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. Separable intangible assets that are not deemed to have indefinite lives will continue to be amortized over their useful lives. The amortization provisions of SFAS No. 142 apply to goodwill and intangible assets acquired after June 30, 2001.

We perform impairment tests annually and whenever events or circumstances occur indicating that goodwill or other intangible assets might be impaired. Examples of such events or circumstances include, but are not limited to, a significant adverse change in legal or business climate or an adverse regulatory action. We completed our annual impairment tests in 2008 and 2007 which did not result in the impairment of our goodwill or intangible assets at any reporting unit within the Corporation. In 2005, our annual impairment test resulted in the impairment of goodwill and intangible assets at the Sheffield, UK reporting unit of \$32.1 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

The assessment of the recoverability of long-lived assets reflects management's assumptions and estimates. Factors that management must estimate when performing impairment tests include sales volume, prices, inflation, discount rates, exchange rates, tax rates and capital spending. Significant management judgment is involved in estimating these factors, and they include inherent uncertainties. Measurement of the recoverability of these assets is dependent upon the accuracy of the assumptions used in making these estimates, as well as how the estimates compare to the eventual future operating performance of the specific reporting unit to which the assets are attributed. Changes in these estimates could change our conclusion regarding the impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets and potentially result in a non-cash impairment in the future period. Subsequent to year end, there was a significant decline in general economic conditions. A continued decline in general economic conditions, including a sustained decline in our market capitalization relative to our net book value could materially impact our judgments and assumptions about the fair value of our business. If general economic conditions do not improve we may be required to record a goodwill impairment charge during 2009.

Foreign Currency Accounting

Assets and liabilities have been translated using the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses have been translated using a weighted-average exchange rate for the period. Currency translation adjustments have been recorded as a separate component of shareholders' equity. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses resulting from a subsidiary's foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities included in other income were a \$3,309 loss, \$1,102 loss, and \$2,679 gain in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Environmental Liability

Governmental regulations relating to the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, have had, and will continue to have, an effect on our operations and us. We have made and continue to make expenditures for projects relating to the protection of the environment.

Any loss contingencies with respect to environmental matters are recorded as liabilities in the consolidated financial statements when it is both (1) probable or known that a liability has been incurred and (2) the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Statement (SFAS) No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. If the reasonable estimate of the loss is a range and no amount within the range is a better estimate, the minimum amount of the range is recorded as a liability. If a loss contingency is not probable or not reasonably estimable, a liability is not recorded in the consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of our management, there are no known environmental matters that are expected to have a material impact on our consolidated balance sheet or results of operations; however, the outcome of such matters are not within our control and are subject to inherent uncertainty.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. The Statement provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities and only applies when other standards require or permit the fair value measurement of assets and liabilities. It does not expand the use of fair value measurement. This Statement was effective for the Corporation on December 20, 2007. The adoption of this Statement did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141(R), Business Combinations. This statement amends SFAS 141, and provides revised guidance for recognizing and measuring identifiable assets and goodwill acquired, liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree. It also provides disclosure requirements to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. The provisions of SFAS 141(R) are effective for our business combinations occurring on or after January 4, 2009. We are currently evaluating the potential impacts of the adoption of SFAS 141(R).

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to market risk from fluctuations in interest rates. We manage our interest rate risk by balancing the amount of our fixed rate and variable rate debt and through the use of interest rate swaps. The objective of the swaps is to more effectively balance borrowing costs and interest rate risk. For fixed rate debt, interest rate changes affect the fair market value of such debt but do not impact earnings or cash flows. Conversely for variable rate debt, interest rate changes generally do not affect the fair market value of such debt, but do impact future earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant. At January 3, 2009, we had approximately \$127.8 million of variable rate debt. The weighted average interest rate for this debt in 2008 was 3.15%. Holding other variables constant (such as foreign exchange rates and debt levels), a one percentage point change in interest rates would be expected to have an impact on pre-tax earnings and cash flows for the next year of approximately \$1.3 million, before giving effect to the interest rate swap agreements described below.

We entered into an interest rate swap agreement to hedge \$35.0 million of our variable rate term loans into a fixed rate obligation for an approximately three-year period ending June 30, 2006. We received payments at variable rates, while we made payments at a fixed rate of 2.285% per annum. We also entered into an interest rate swap

agreement to economically hedge \$15.0 million of our variable rate term loans into a fixed rate obligation for the period commencing on June 30, 2006 and ending on December 31, 2007. We received payments at variable rates, while we made payments at a fixed rate of 3.98% per annum.

When we borrowed \$40.0 million to acquire Riley Medical in May 2006, we subsequently entered into an interest rate swap agreement to economically hedge the \$40.0 million of debt at a fixed payment obligation of 5.45% per annum for the period commencing on July 3, 2006 and ending on June 10, 2011.

Subsequent to year end on January 13, 2009, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement to hedge \$64.1 million of our variable rate term loans into a fixed rate obligation until June 13, 2011. We will receive payments at variable rates, while we make payments at a fixed rate of 1.3375%.

Foreign Currency Risk

Foreign currency risk is the risk that we will incur economic losses due to adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates. As a result of our acquisitions, we have significant operations in the United Kingdom. Consequently, a significant portion of our operating results are generated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, principally the pound sterling and Euro. Our operating results are therefore impacted by exchange rate fluctuations to the extent we are unable to match revenue received in such currencies with costs incurred in such currencies.

As a global company with operations in the United Kingdom, France, Ireland and Malaysia, we experienced an impact from foreign exchange in fiscal 2008. As a result of the fluctuation in rates, our revenue decreased for the fourth quarter 2008 by \$5.9 million and for the total year 2008 by \$2.7 million. The fluctuation in rates decreased our gross margin for the fourth quarter 2008 by \$0.6 million and increased our gross margin by \$0.4 million for the total year 2008. The impact of rates increased our net income by \$0.8 million in the fourth quarter and for the total year 2008 by \$1.5 million.

We entered into foreign currency forward contracts to mitigate fluctuations in foreign currency on the statement of operations. The gain of the foreign currency valuation of \$4.3 million included in derivative income for 2008 offset foreign currency transaction loss included within the other expense of \$3.3 million. As of January 3, 2009, we did not have any outstanding contracts.

Our primary exposures to foreign currency exchange fluctuations are pound sterling/US dollar and Euro/US dollar. At January 3, 2009, the potential reduction in earnings from a hypothetical instantaneous 10.0% increase or decrease in quoted foreign currency spot rates applied to foreign currency sensitive instruments would be approximately \$1.4 million, net of tax. This foreign currency sensitivity model is limited by the assumption that all of the foreign currencies to which we are exposed would simultaneously decrease by 10.0% because such synchronized changes are unlikely to occur.

Commodity Price Risk

We are exposed to fluctuations in commodity prices through the purchase of raw materials that are processed from commodities, such as titanium, stainless steel, cobalt chrome and aluminum. Given the historical volatility of certain commodity prices, this exposure can impact product costs. Because we typically do not set prices for our products in advance of our commodity purchases, we can take into account the cost of the commodity in setting our prices for each order. However, to the extent that we are unable to offset the increased commodity costs in our product prices, our results would be affected. A hypothetical instantaneous 10.0% change in commodity prices would have an immaterial impact on our results of operations in fiscal 2008.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

| | |
|--|----|
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | 33 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations | 34 |
| Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity | 35 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow | 36 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 37 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 51 |
| REPORTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING: | |
| Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting | 52 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 53 |

All schedules have been omitted because they are not required or applicable or the information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Symmetry Medical, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Assets: | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10,191 | \$ 12,089 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 52,845 | 42,992 |
| Inventories | 61,111 | 45,353 |
| Refundable income taxes | 6,610 | 6,516 |
| Deferred income taxes | 3,993 | 2,551 |
| Derivative valuation asset | - | 2 |
| Other current assets | 3,154 | 2,940 |
| Total current assets | 137,904 | 112,443 |
| Property and equipment, net | 115,045 | 100,424 |
| Goodwill | 153,521 | 141,985 |
| Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization | 45,039 | 44,567 |
| Other assets | 1,728 | 1,011 |
| Total Assets | \$ 453,237 | \$ 400,430 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 26,929 | \$ 34,518 |
| Accrued wages and benefits | 12,784 | 10,922 |
| Other accrued expenses | 5,186 | 8,096 |
| Income tax payable | 2,637 | 2,394 |
| Derivative valuation liability | - | 74 |
| Deferred income taxes | - | 407 |
| Revolving line of credit | 2,495 | 6,511 |
| Current portion of capital lease obligations | 1,034 | 2,487 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 16,900 | 10,900 |
| Total current liabilities | 67,965 | 76,309 |
| Deferred income taxes | 18,131 | 12,136 |
| Derivative valuation liability | 3,771 | 1,917 |
| Capital lease obligations, less current portion | 3,356 | 4,032 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 107,600 | 68,500 |
| Total Liabilities | 200,823 | 162,894 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 14) | | |
| Shareholders' Equity: | | |

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Common Stock, \$.0001 par value; 72,410 shares authorized; shares issued January 3, 2009—35,801; December 29, 2007—35,444 | 4 | 4 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 275,890 | 272,623 |
| Accumulated deficit | (21,507) | (45,526) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (1,973) | 10,435 |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 252,414 | 237,536 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ 453,237 | \$ 400,430 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Symmetry Medical, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

| | Years Ended | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
| | (In Thousands, Except Per Share Data) | | |
| Revenue | \$ 423,406 | \$ 290,922 | \$ 245,017 |
| Cost of Revenue | 323,048 | 238,343 | 188,579 |
| Gross Profit | 100,358 | 52,579 | 56,438 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 58,340 | 39,484 | 28,278 |
| Operating Income | 42,018 | 13,095 | 28,160 |
| Other (income)/expense: | | | |
| Interest expense | 10,092 | 6,917 | 4,448 |
| Derivatives valuation (gain)/loss | (2,460) | 1,740 | 2,317 |
| Other | 2,874 | (503) | (3,699) |
| Income before income taxes | 31,512 | 4,941 | 25,094 |
| Income tax expense | 7,493 | 5,090 | 6,580 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 24,019 | \$ (149) | \$ 18,514 |
| Net income per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.68 | \$ - | \$ 0.53 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.68 | \$ - | \$ 0.53 |
| Weighted average common shares and equivalent shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 35,170 | 35,089 | 34,829 |
| Diluted | 35,357 | 35,268 | 35,156 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Symmetry Medical, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated (Deficit) | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | \$ 3 | \$ 268,973 | \$ (63,891) | \$ 2,675 | \$ 207,760 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 18,514 | | 18,514 |
| Other comprehensive income— | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | 4,589 | 4,589 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | \$ 23,103 |
| Exercise of Common Stock warrants | | | | | |
| Exercise of Common Stock options | 1 | 1,463 | | | 1,464 |
| Amortization of unearned compensation cost | | 11 | | | 11 |
| Issuance of Common Stock— | | | | | |
| Employee Stock Purchase Plan | | 269 | | | 269 |
| Balance at December 30, 2006 | \$ 4 | \$ 270,716 | \$ (45,377) | \$ 7,264 | \$ 232,607 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | |
| Net loss | | | (149) | | (149) |
| Other comprehensive income— | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | 3,171 | 3,171 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | \$ 3,022 |
| Exercise of Common Stock options | | 1,416 | | | 1,416 |
| Amortization of unearned compensation cost | | 362 | | | 362 |
| Issuance of Common Stock— | | | | | |
| Employee Stock Purchase Plan | | 129 | | | 129 |
| Balance at December 29, 2007 | \$ 4 | \$ 272,623 | \$ (45,526) | \$ 10,435 | \$ 237,536 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 24,019 | | 24,019 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss)— | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | (12,408) | (12,408) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | \$ 11,611 |
| Exercise of Common Stock options | | 371 | | | 371 |
| Amortization of unearned compensation cost | | 2,875 | | | 2,875 |
| Issuance of Common Stock— | | | | | |
| Employee Stock Purchase Plan | | 312 | | | 312 |
| Restricted Stock | | (291) | | | (291) |
| Balance at January 3, 2009 | \$ 4 | \$ 275,890 | \$ (21,507) | \$ (1,973) | \$ 252,414 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Symmetry Medical, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow

| | Years Ended | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
| | (In Thousands) | | |
| Operating activities | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 24,019 | \$ (149) | \$ 18,514 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation | 18,524 | 17,741 | 15,837 |
| Amortization | 2,939 | 2,257 | 1,185 |
| Net (gain)/loss on sale of assets | (464) | 153 | (1,211) |
| Deferred income tax provision | 3,475 | (1,873) | 619 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | (568) | (845) | (1,062) |
| Stock-based compensation | 2,875 | 362 | 11 |
| Derivative valuation change | 1,782 | 256 | 2,317 |
| Foreign currency transaction (gains) losses | 5,025 | (530) | (2,657) |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (14,944) | (3,968) | 9,981 |
| Other assets | (1,083) | 1,401 | 1,207 |
| Inventories | (12,136) | (5,238) | (1,254) |
| Current income taxes | (1,377) | 615 | (3,345) |
| Accounts payable | (2,131) | 8,020 | (5,729) |
| Accrued expenses and other | (263) | 6,454 | (1,772) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 25,673 | 24,656 | 32,641 |
| Investing activities | | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (22,756) | (8,846) | (20,330) |
| Proceeds from the sale of fixed assets | 1,374 | 1,731 | 2,444 |
| Acquisitions, net of cash received | (46,584) | (33,660) | (55,011) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (67,966) | (40,775) | (72,897) |
| Financing activities | | | |
| Proceeds from bank revolver | 97,663 | 64,880 | 77,993 |
| Payments on bank revolver | (100,680) | (44,177) | (73,479) |
| Issuance of long-term debt | 60,000 | - | 40,000 |
| Payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations | (16,388) | (6,756) | (6,922) |
| Proceeds from the issuance of common stock | 357 | 700 | 673 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | 568 | 845 | 1,062 |
| Debt issuance costs paid | - | - | (355) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 41,520 | 15,492 | 38,972 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | (1,125) | 995 | 534 |

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (1,898) | 368 | (750) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 12,089 | 11,721 | 12,471 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 10,191 | \$ 12,089 | \$ 11,721 |
| Supplemental disclosures: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 9,335 | \$ 5,458 | \$ 3,547 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ 4,946 | \$ 4,672 | \$ 8,534 |
| Assets acquired under capital leases | \$ 639 | \$ 195 | \$ 213 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

1. Description of the Business

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Symmetry Medical, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively referred to as the Corporation), Symmetry Medical USA Inc., Jet Engineering, Inc., Ultrex, Inc., Riley Medical, Inc., Symmetry Medical Switzerland SA (formerly known as Riley Medical Europe, SA), Symmetry Medical Everest LLC, Everest Metal International Limited, Symmetry Medical Cheltenham Limited, Symmetry Medical PolyVac, SAS, Thornton Precision Components Limited ("Thornton"), Symmetry Medical Malaysia SDN, Clamonta Limited, Specialty Surgical Instrumentation, Inc., UCA, LLC., TNCO, Inc. and Symmetry Medical New Bedford, LLC.

Symmetry Medical, Inc. is a leading independent provider of implants and related instruments and cases to global orthopedic device manufacturers. The Corporation designs, develops and produces these products for companies in other segments of the medical device market, including the dental, osteobiologic and endoscopy segments, and also provides limited specialized products to non-healthcare markets, such as the aerospace market.

On January 25, 2008, the Corporation acquired DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc's ("DePuy") New Bedford, Massachusetts instrument manufacturing facility ("New Bedford"). This facility manufactures orthopedic instruments as well as general surgical instruments and small implants.

On August 31, 2007, the Corporation acquired Specialty Surgical Instrumentation, Inc. ("SSI") and UCA, LLC ("UCA") privately owned companies based in Nashville, Tennessee. SSI distributes surgical instruments directly to hospitals while UCA distributes sterilization containers directly to hospitals.

On April 3, 2007, the Corporation acquired all of the stock of TNCO, Inc. ("TNCO"), a privately owned company based in Whitman, Massachusetts. TNCO designs and supplies precision instruments for arthroscopic, laparoscopic, sinus, and other minimally invasive procedures.

On January 9, 2007, the Corporation acquired all of the stock of Whedon Limited, a privately owned company based in Warwickshire, UK and the holding company of Clamonta Limited (collectively "Clamonta Ltd"). Clamonta Ltd manufactures aerospace products for the global aerospace industry.

On August 31, 2006, the Corporation acquired certain assets of Everest Metal Finishing, LLC and all of the stock of Everest Metal International Limited (collectively "Everest Metal"). Everest Metal specializes in implant finishing.

On May 2, 2006, the Corporation acquired all of the stock of Riley Medical, Inc., a privately owned company based in Auburn, Maine, and a Riley Medical Europe SA, its Swiss subsidiary (collectively "Riley Medical"). Riley Medical is a leading designer and manufacturer of speciality cases and trays for the global medical market.

Refer to Note 3 for further discussion of these acquisitions.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Year End

The Corporation's fiscal year is the 52 or 53 week period ending on the Saturday closest to December 31. Fiscal year 2008 is a 53 week year (ending January 3, 2009) with fiscal 2007 (ending December 29, 2007) and fiscal 2006 (ending December 30, 2006) were each 52 weeks. References in these consolidated financial statements to 2008, 2007 and 2006 refer to these financial years, respectively.

Use of Estimates

Preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates, but management does not believe such differences will materially affect the Corporation's financial position or results of operations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Inventories

Inventories generally are stated at the lower of cost, determined on the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method, or market. Costs include material, labor and manufacturing overhead costs. Inventory balances are reviewed monthly for excess products or obsolete inventory levels and written down, if necessary, to net realizable value.

Inventories consist of the following:

| | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Raw material and supplies | \$ 12,502 | \$ 9,244 |
| Work-in-process | 31,420 | 21,412 |
| Finished goods | 17,189 | 14,697 |
| | \$ 61,111 | \$ 45,353 |

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, which includes assets under capital lease, are stated on the basis of cost. Depreciation is calculated on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets or lease terms, whichever is shorter. Repair and maintenance costs are charged to expense as incurred.

Property and equipment, including depreciable lives, consists of the following:

| | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|
| Land | \$ 6,473 | \$ 6,759 |
| Buildings and improvements (20 to 40 years) | 40,183 | 44,274 |
| Machinery and equipment (5 to 15 years) | 127,716 | 98,974 |
| Office equipment (3 to 5 years) | 10,859 | 8,909 |
| Construction-in-progress | 4,227 | 2,786 |
| | 189,458 | 161,702 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (74,413) | (61,278) |
| | \$ 115,045 | \$ 100,424 |

Goodwill

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

The changes in the carrying amounts of goodwill for the years ended January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, are as follows:

| | |
|-----------------------|------------|
| Balance as of | |
| December 30, 2006 | \$ 129,966 |
| Goodwill acquired | 10,886 |
| Effects of foreign | |
| currency | 1,133 |
| Balance as of | |
| December 29, 2007 | \$ 141,985 |
| Goodwill acquired | 12,265 |
| Effects of foreign | |
| currency | (729) |
| Balance as of January | |
| 3, 2009 | \$ 153,521 |

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, goodwill is not amortized but is subject to an annual impairment test in accordance with this statement. Goodwill is defined by the Corporation as the excess of purchase cost over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired. Statement No. 142 requires the Corporation to test goodwill for impairment using a two-step process. The first step is a screen for potential impairment, while the second step measures the amount of impairment. Potential impairment is determined by comparing estimated fair value to the net book value of the reporting unit. Fair value is calculated as the present value of estimated future cash flows. The Corporation has multiple operating segments as defined by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information. The Corporation has defined its reporting units at the operating segment level as this is the lowest level for which discrete financial information is available and the operating results of that component are regularly reviewed by management. The Corporation completed its annual impairment testing and concluded that no impairment of goodwill existed for fiscal 2008, 2007 or 2006.

The assessment of the recoverability of long-lived assets reflects management's assumptions and estimates. Factors that management must estimate when performing impairment tests include sales volume, prices, inflation, discount rates, exchange rates, tax rates and capital spending. Significant management judgment is involved in estimating these factors, and they include inherent uncertainties. Measurement of the recoverability of these assets is dependent upon the accuracy of the assumptions used in making these estimates, as well as how the estimates compare to the eventual future operating performance of the specific reporting unit to which the assets are attributed. Changes in these estimates could change our conclusion regarding the impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets and potentially result in a non-cash impairment in the future period. Subsequent to year end, there was a significant decline in general economic conditions. A continued decline in general economic conditions, including a sustained decline in our market capitalization relative to our net book value could materially impact our judgments and assumptions about the fair value of our business. If general economic conditions do not improve we may be required to record a goodwill impairment charge during 2009.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Other Intangible Assets

Intangible assets subject to amortization consist of technology, non-compete and customer related intangible assets acquired in connection with our various acquisitions. These assets are amortized using the straight-line method, and amortization expense for the next 5 fiscal years approximates \$2,900 per year. The Corporation is required to reassess the expected useful lives of existing intangible assets annually. The Corporation also evaluates the recoverability of intangible assets subject to amortization based on undiscounted operating cash flows when factors indicate impairment may exist. In the event of impairment, the Corporation makes appropriate write-downs of recorded costs to fair value.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, intangible assets with an indefinite life are not amortized but are subject to review each reporting period to determine whether events and circumstances continue to support an indefinite useful life as well as an annual impairment test in accordance with this statement. The Corporation reviewed its intangible assets in accordance with SFAS No. 142 and has not recorded any impairment related to these assets for fiscal 2008, 2007 or 2006.

As of January 3, 2009, the balances of intangible assets, other than goodwill, were as follows:

| | Weighted-average Amortization Period | Gross Intangible Assets | Accumulated Amortization | Net Intangible Assets |
|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Acquired technology and patents | 10 years | \$ 2,295 | \$ (713) | \$ 1,582 |
| Acquired customers | 18 years | 42,330 | (6,596) | 35,734 |
| Non-compete agreements | 5 years | 559 | (243) | 316 |
| Intangible assets subject to amortization | 17 years | 45,184 | (7,552) | 37,632 |
| Proprietary processes | Indefinite | | | 3,428 |
| Trademarks | Indefinite | | | 3,979 |
| Indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill | | | | 7,407 |
| Total | | | | \$ 45,039 |

As of December 29, 2007, the balances of intangible assets, other than goodwill, were as follows:

| | Weighted-average Amortization Period | Gross Intangible Assets | Accumulated Amortization | Net Intangible Assets |
|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Acquired technology and patents | 10 years | \$ 2,442 | \$ (507) | \$ 1,934 |
| Acquired customers | 18 years | 38,070 | (4,168) | 33,902 |
| Non-compete agreements | 5 years | 593 | (131) | 462 |
| Intangible assets subject to amortization | 18 years | 41,105 | (4,806) | 36,298 |
| Proprietary processes | Indefinite | | | 3,913 |
| Trademarks | Indefinite | | | 4,356 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill | 8,269 |
| Total | \$ 44,567 |

Foreign Currency Accounting

The financial statements of the Corporation's foreign subsidiaries are accounted for and have been translated into U.S. dollars in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 52, Foreign Currency Translation. Assets and liabilities have been translated using the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses have been translated using a weighted-average exchange rate for the period. Currency translation adjustments have been recorded as a separate component of shareholders' equity. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses resulting from a subsidiary's foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities included in other income were a \$3,309 loss, \$382 gain, and \$2,679 gain in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Revenue Recognition

The Corporation recognizes revenue on orders received from its customers when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement with the customer that is supportive of revenue recognition, the customer has made a fixed commitment to purchase the product for a fixed or determinable price, collection is reasonably assured under the Corporation's normal billing and credit terms and ownership and all risks of loss have been transferred to the buyer, which is normally upon shipment. In certain circumstances, customer terms require receipt of product prior to the transfer of the risk of ownership. In such circumstances, revenue is not recognized upon shipment, but rather upon confirmation of delivery.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Shipping and Handling Costs

In accordance with EITF 00-10: Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs, the Corporation reflects freight costs associated with shipping its products to customers as a component of cost of revenues.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs were \$317, \$287 and \$302 for the years ending January 3, 2009, December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, respectively.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Corporation performs periodic credit evaluations of customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral. Receivables are generally due within 30 to 90 days. The Corporation maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses in the collection of accounts receivable. The Corporation makes estimates regarding the future ability of its customers to make required payments based on historical credit experience and expected future trends.

The activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts was as follows:

| | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 440 | \$ 229 | \$ 188 |
| Provision | 612 | 299 | 189 |
| Write-offs, net | (214) | (88) | (148) |
| Ending balance | \$ 838 | \$ 440 | \$ 229 |

New Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141(R), Business Combinations. This statement amends SFAS 141, Business Combinations, and provides revised guidance for recognizing and measuring identifiable assets and goodwill acquired, liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree. It also provides disclosure requirements to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. The provisions of SFAS 141(R) are effective for business combinations of the Corporation occurring on or after January 4, 2009. The impacts of adopting SFAS 141(R) will be prospective.

Derivative Financial Instruments

SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended, requires recognition of every derivative instrument in the balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at its fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are to be recorded each period in earnings or comprehensive income, depending on whether the derivative is designated and effective as part of a hedge accounting transaction. The Corporation's derivatives discussed below do not qualify for hedge accounting and accordingly, adjustments to fair value are

recorded in earnings.

The Corporation had an interest rate swap ("SWAP") agreement to economically hedge \$35,000 of outstanding long-term debt at a fixed payment obligation of 2.285% per annum for the period commencing on July 21, 2003 and ending on June 30, 2006. Effective December 2004, the Corporation entered into a SWAP agreement to economically hedge \$15,000 of outstanding long-term debt at a fixed payment obligation of 3.98% per annum for the period commencing on June 30, 2006 and ending on December 31, 2007. Effective July 2006, the Corporation entered into a SWAP agreement to economically hedge \$40,000 of outstanding long-term debt at a fixed payment obligation of 5.45% per annum for the period commencing July 3, 2006 and ending on June 10, 2011. The entire change in the fair market value of the SWAPs in 2008, 2007 and 2006 of \$1,856, \$1,366 and \$1,129 respectively, was included in earnings.

The Corporation enters into forward contracts to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in foreign currency on the Statements of Operations. As of January 3, 2009, the Corporation had no foreign currency contracts outstanding since all contracts were settled during fiscal 2008, resulting in \$4,316 of realized gains. As of December 29, 2007, the Corporation had two contracts for the sale of \$5,000 British pounds each with settlement dates no later than January 18, 2008 and one contract for the sale of \$10,000 British pounds with a settlement date no later than April 21, 2008. As of December 30, 2006, the Corporation had entered into three contracts for the sale of \$5,000 British pounds each with settlement dates no later than January 17, 2007, May 2, 2007 and May 11, 2007 for each of the three contracts, respectively. The entire change in the fair value of the forwards in 2008, 2007 and 2006 of \$4,316, \$374 and \$1,188, respectively, was included in earnings.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Corporation adopted SFAS 123(R), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)), using the modified prospective method. SFAS 123(R) requires that all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options be recognized in the financial statements based upon their fair value over the requisite service period.

Fair value of restricted stock grants is determined to be the stock price on the date of the grant. There have been no grants of stock options since the adoption of SFAS 123(R). The Corporation's policy is to recognize expense for awards subject to graded vesting using the straight-line attribution method. Refer to Note 9 for additional information on the Corporation's compensation plans.

3. Acquisitions

On January 9, 2007, the Corporation's subsidiary Thornton Precision Components Limited ("Thornton") acquired all of the stock of Whedon Limited, a privately owned company based in Warwickshire, UK and the holding company of Clamonta Limited (collectively "Clamonta Ltd.") for \$10,407 in cash. The acquisition of Clamonta Ltd. further strengthened our relationship with a key aerospace customer. Results of Clamonta Ltd. are included from the date of acquisition.

The aggregate purchase price of \$10,407 was allocated to the opening balance sheet as follows:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Current assets | \$ 3,445 |
| Property, plant & equipment | 3,695 |
| Acquired customers (amortized over 15 years) | 3,070 |
| Non-compete agreements (amortized over 5 years) | 120 |
| Trademarks (indefinite-lived) | 1,330 |
| Goodwill | 3,025 |
| Current liabilities | (1,765) |
| Deferred taxes | (1,963) |
| Capital leases | (550) |
| | |
| Purchase price, net | \$ 10,407 |

On April 3, 2007, the Corporation's subsidiary Symmetry Medical USA Inc. acquired all of the stock of TNCO, Inc. ("TNCO"), a privately owned company based in Whitman, Massachusetts for \$7,583 in cash. TNCO designs and supplies precision instruments for arthroscopic, laparoscopic, sinus, and other minimally invasive procedures.

The aggregate purchase price of \$7,583 was allocated to the opening balance sheet as follows:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Current assets | \$ 2,570 |
| Property, plant & equipment | 1,740 |
| Acquired technology (amortized over average weighted 8 years) | 510 |
| Acquired customers (amortized over 15 years) | 1,170 |
| Non-compete agreements (amortized over 5 years) | 80 |
| Trademarks (indefinite-lived) | 190 |
| Goodwill | 1,792 |
| Current liabilities | (469) |
| | |
| Purchase price, net | \$ 7,583 |

On August 31, 2007, the Corporation's subsidiary Symmetry Medical USA Inc. acquired all of the stock of Specialty Surgical Instrumentation, Inc. ("SSI") and UCA, LLC ("UCA"), privately owned companies based in Nashville, Tennessee for \$15,048 in cash. SSI distributes surgical instruments directly to hospitals while UCA distributes sterilization containers directly to hospitals.

As of January 3, 2009, the aggregate purchase price of \$15,048 was allocated to the opening balance sheet as follows:

| | |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| Current assets | \$ 5,896 |
| Property, plant & equipment | 1,687 |
| | 350 |

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Acquired technology (amortized over average weighted 13 years) | |
| Acquired customers (amortized over 15 years) | 6,630 |
| Non-compete agreements (amortized over 5 years) | 100 |
| Trademarks (indefinite-lived) | 1,500 |
| Goodwill | 6,199 |
| Current liabilities | (4,634) |
| Deferred income taxes | (2,680) |
| Purchase price, net | \$ 15,048 |

On January 25, 2008, the Corporation acquired substantially all the assets and real estate of DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc.'s ("DePuy") New Bedford, Massachusetts instrument manufacturing facility ("New Bedford") for \$45,246 in cash, subject to certain post closing adjustments. This facility manufactures orthopedic instruments as well as general surgical instruments and small implants. The aggregate purchase price is preliminary, subject to adjustment and is expected to be finalized in 2009.

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Current assets | \$ 7,819 |
| PP&E | 22,101 |
| Acquired customers (amortized over 15 years) | 5,130 |
| Goodwill | 10,196 |
| Purchase price, net | \$ 45,246 |

3. Acquisitions (Continued)

Unaudited Proforma Results The following table represents the proforma results of the Corporation's operations had the acquisitions of Riley Medical, Everest Metal, Clamonta Ltd, TNCO, SSI, UCA and New Bedford been completed as of the beginning of the periods presented:

| | Fiscal Year Ended | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Revenue | \$ 426,083 | \$ 339,429 | \$ 329,839 |
| Net income (loss) | 24,085 | (2,539) | 17,073 |
| Earnings per share—basic | \$ 0.68 | \$ (0.07) | \$ 0.49 |
| Earnings per share—diluted | \$ 0.68 | \$ (0.07) | \$ 0.49 |

4. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

In September 2006, the FASB issued statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, established a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Corporation has adopted the provision of SFAS 157 as of December 30, 2007 for financial instruments. Although the adoption of SFAS 157 did not materially impact its financial condition, results of operations, or cash flow, the Corporation is now required to provide additional disclosures as part of its financial statements. In February 2008, the FASB agreed to defer for one year the effective date of SFAS 157 for certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except for those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis.

SFAS 157 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable, and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

As of January 3, 2009, the Corporation held certain assets that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. These included the Corporation's interest rate derivative instruments.

The Corporation's derivative instruments consist of contracts that are not traded on a public exchange. The fair values of interest rate derivative instruments are determined based on inputs that are readily available in public markets or can be derived from information available in publicly quoted markets. Therefore, the Corporation has categorized these derivative instruments as Level 2.

The Corporation's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis subject to the disclosure requirements of SFAS 157 at January 3, 2009 were as follows:

| | Fair Value Measurements | | | Total |
|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------|------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | - | (3,771) | - | (3,771) |
| | \$ - | \$ (3,771) | \$ - | \$ (3,771) |

Additionally, financial instruments also consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and long-term debt, including interest-rate swap agreements, and foreign exchange forward contracts. The carrying value of these financial instruments approximates fair value.

5. Debt Arrangements

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|
| Bank term loan payable in quarterly installments, plus interest at a variable rate (2.8125% at January 3, 2009), through December 2009 | \$ 10,500 | \$ 21,000 |
| Bank term loan payable in quarterly installments, plus interest at a variable rate (2.8125% at January 3, 2009), through June 2011 | 39,000 | 39,400 |
| Bank term loan payable in quarterly installments, plus interest at a variable rate (2.8125% at January 3, 2009), through June 2011 | 57,000 | - |
| Revolving line of credit, due June 2011 | 18,000 | 19,000 |
| | 124,500 | 79,400 |
| Less current portion | (16,900) | (10,900) |
| | \$ 107,600 | \$ 68,500 |

As of January 3, 2009, the Corporation's revolving credit facility had a total capacity of up to \$40,000 and the Corporation pays a 0.375% annual commitment fee for the average unused portion of the revolving line of credit facility. There were \$18,000 of borrowings and \$22 million available under this line of credit facility at January 3, 2009.

5. Debt Arrangements (Continued)

The Senior Credit Agreement, which provides for the term loans and revolving line of credit ("Senior Credit Agreement"), contains various financial covenants, including covenants requiring a maximum total debt to EBITDA ratio, minimum EBITDA to interest ratio and a minimum EBITDA to fixed charges ratio. The Senior Credit Agreement also contains covenants restricting certain corporate actions, including asset dispositions, acquisitions, paying dividends and certain other restricted payments, changes of control, incurring indebtedness, incurring liens, making loans and investments, and transactions with affiliates. The senior credit facility is secured by substantially all of the Corporation's assets. The Corporation's Senior Credit Agreement also contains customary events of default. We were in compliance with all of our covenants as of January 3, 2009.

As previously reported in the Corporation's Current Reports on Form 8-K dated October 5, 2007, and October 11, 2007, the Corporation discovered accounting irregularities at its Sheffield, UK operating unit, resulting in the Administrative Agent's notice to the Corporation that a default had occurred under the Senior Credit Agreement. On October 10, 2007, the Corporation entered into a forbearance agreement under which the lenders agreed to forebear until January 7, 2008, from exercising the rights and remedies available to them under the Senior Credit Agreement with respect to the events of default.

On December 14, 2007, the Corporation, certain of the Corporation's subsidiaries, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, entered into a Waiver, Amendment and Term A-2 Loan Incremental Term Loan Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ("Waiver"). Pursuant to the terms of the Waiver, the Administrative Agent permanently waived specified events of default existing under the Senior Credit Agreement. In addition, the Administrative Agent, on behalf of itself and certain other lenders, (i) consented to the New Bedford acquisition, (ii) committed to extend additional senior secured credit in the aggregate amount of \$60,000 (the "Incremental Term Loan"), and (iii) modified the terms of the Senior Credit Agreement accordingly. Proceeds of the Incremental Term Loan were used to fund the New Bedford acquisition; to pay, in part, the Corporation's existing revolving credit facility; and to pay fees and expenses in connection with the Waiver.

On January 25, 2008, the New Bedford acquisition was completed and the Incremental Term Loan was funded. The Incremental Term Loan will mature June 13, 2011. Quarterly installments of principal are to be paid so as to reduce the remaining principal balance by approximately ten percent (10%) in 2009, fifteen percent (15%) in 2010 and seventy percent (70%) in 2011. The Corporation retained the right to have borrowed funds bear interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable margin or at a "Base Rate" plus an applicable margin under the Waiver. The applicable margins increased by 0.50% and the Corporation was limited in its ability to borrow under the revolving credit facility until the Corporation became current in filing its reports under Section 13 and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act. Other terms of the Senior Credit Agreement remained substantially unchanged by the Waiver.

On March 27, 2008, the Corporation, certain of its subsidiaries and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, entered into a Second Amendment and Waiver to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ("Second Amendment") for purposes of waiving events of default under the Senior Credit Agreement relating to the Sheffield accounting irregularities and the Corporation's required financial statement filing deadlines. The Second Amendment waived an event of default and amended the terms of the Senior Credit Agreement to accommodate the financial impact of the Sheffield irregularities and extended the deadline for the Corporation to file its financial statements as required under Sections 13 and 15(d) of the Exchange Act to April 14, 2008.

On April 14, 2008, the Corporation notified the Administrative Agent that the filing of its Annual Report on Form 10-K would be extended beyond the April 14, 2008 target date; certain other financial statements as required by the Senior Credit Agreement would be provided beyond the time established by the Senior Credit Agreement; and the

Corporation would be unable to comply with a financial covenant of the Senior Credit Agreement. The Administrative Agent, for the Corporation's lenders, informed the Corporation that an event of default occurred due to these circumstances. Under the circumstances, the Administrative Agent had the right to accelerate the financial obligations of the Corporation under the Senior Credit Agreement, but did not.

On April 22, 2008, the Corporation, certain of its subsidiaries and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, entered into a Third Amendment and Waiver to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ("Third Amendment") for the purposes of waiving the described defaults. Accordingly, the Corporation obtained from the lenders (i) a waiver of its Events of Default, (ii) an extension of the deadline by which the Corporation was required to file its 2007 Form 10-K, and (iii) an extension of the deadline by which the Corporation was required to file its 2008 first quarter filing on Form 10-Q. In addition, the Corporation obtained changes to the Senior Credit Agreement which included temporary adjustments to its financial statement covenants.

On June 24, 2008, the Corporation filed its 2008 first quarter filing on Form 10-Q and met all of the requirements under the Third Amendment. As such, the interest margin decreased 0.50% and the restrictions on borrowings were lifted.

Maturities of long-term debt for the five years succeeding January 3, 2009 are as follows:

| | |
|------|------------|
| 2009 | \$ 16,900 |
| 2010 | 20,400 |
| 2011 | 87,200 |
| | \$ 124,500 |

6. Leases

The Corporation has a capital lease arrangement through October 1, 2016 for its New Hampshire manufacturing facility. On October 1, 2001, and every five years thereafter, including extensions, the annual base rent will change based on the Consumer Price Index. The Corporation has an option to extend the lease for an additional five-year period and has a right of first opportunity to purchase the leased property. Any leasehold improvements are depreciated over the shorter of the useful asset life or the minimum lease period. Additionally, the Corporation has entered into capital leases for various machinery and equipment.

Property and equipment and related accumulated amortization for building and equipment under capital leases are as follows:

| | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Buildings and improvements | \$ 4,991 | \$ 4,991 |
| Machinery and equipment | 8,016 | 15,579 |
| | 13,007 | 20,570 |
| Less accumulated amortization | (8,680) | (10,910) |
| | \$ 4,327 | \$ 9,660 |

6. Leases (Continued)

Amortization of leased assets is included in depreciation expense.

Future minimum payments for capital leases with initial terms of one year or more are as follows at January 3, 2009:

| | |
|---|----------|
| 2009 | 1,612 |
| 2010 | 1,029 |
| 2011 | 923 |
| 2012 | 923 |
| 2013 | 871 |
| Thereafter | 2,193 |
| Total minimum payments | 7,551 |
| Amounts representing interest | (3,161) |
| Present value of net minimum lease payments (including total current portion of \$1,034) | \$ 4,390 |

7. Income Taxes

Income before income taxes consisted of:

| | January 3, 2009 | Fiscal Year Ended December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
|----------|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| Domestic | \$ 33,039 | \$ 9,812 | \$ 17,156 |
| Foreign | (1,527) | (4,871) | 7,938 |
| | \$ 31,512 | \$ 4,941 | \$ 25,094 |

Significant components of the Corporation's net deferred tax liabilities are as follows:

| | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|
| Compensation | \$ 998 | \$ 395 |
| Intangibles | (10,511) | (9,290) |
| Inventory | 2,218 | 1,216 |
| Property, plant and equipment | (11,961) | (7,477) |
| Net operating loss carryforwards of states and foreign subsidiaries | 14,245 | 5,028 |
| Derivative agreements | 1,496 | 790 |
| Other | 2,842 | 1,165 |
| Net deferred tax liability before valuation allowance and reserves | (673) | (8,173) |
| Valuation allowance for operating loss carryforward | (3,755) | (1,819) |

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

\$ (4,428) \$ (9,992)

Significant components of the income tax provision are as follows:

| | January 3, 2009 | Fiscal Year Ended December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 7,041 | \$ 3,753 | \$ 5,584 |
| State | 1,166 | 295 | 340 |
| Foreign | 4,236 | 2,288 | 988 |
| | 12,443 | 6,336 | 6,912 |
| Deferred | (4,950) | (1,246) | (332) |
| | \$ 7,493 | \$ 5,090 | \$ 6,580 |

7. Income Taxes (Continued)

The provision for income taxes differs from that computed at the Federal statutory rate of 35%, 34% and 35% for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively as follows:

| | Fiscal Year Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | January 3, 2009 | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
| Tax at Federal statutory rate | \$ 11,029 | \$ 1,679 | \$ 8,783 |
| State income taxes | 1,775 | 205 | 514 |
| State tax credits | (159) | (122) | (312) |
| Foreign income taxes | 1,765 | 439 | (635) |
| Qualified production activities deduction | - | (186) | (156) |
| Research and development credits—current year | (290) | (689) | (745) |
| Research and development and other tax credits—prior years | - | - | (318) |
| Valuation allowance | 2,953 | 1,757 | - |
| Reserve for uncertain tax positions | 2,196 | 1,444 | - |
| Realization of loss in investment of foreign subsidiary, net of reserve | (11,952) | - | - |
| Other | 176 | 563 | (551) |
| | \$ 7,493 | \$ 5,090 | \$ 6,580 |

At January 3, 2009, the Corporation had foreign net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$18,763 and an associated deferred tax asset of \$5,264. The foreign carry forwards have no expiration date. However, due to the uncertainty of the realization of the full benefit of the foreign net operating loss carry forwards, the Corporation has established a valuation allowance of \$3,755. The Corporation has a U.S. federal tax net operating loss carryforward of \$21,184 and an associated deferred tax asset of \$7,412 which will expire beginning in 2029, if unused, and which may be subject to other limitations under IRS rules. The Corporation has various multistate income tax net operating loss carryforwards which have been recorded as a deferred tax asset of approximately \$1,569. No provision has been made for United States federal and state or foreign taxes that may result from future remittances of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries because it is expected that such earnings will be reinvested in these foreign operations indefinitely. At January 3, 2009, we had an aggregate of \$23,212 of unremitted earnings of foreign subsidiaries that have been or are intended to be permanently reinvested for continued use in foreign operations.

On January 1, 2007, the Corporation adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 48 (FIN48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. The standard had no impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Corporation at the date of adoption.

The Corporation's policy with respect to interest and penalties associated with reserves for uncertain tax positions is to classify such interest and penalties in income tax expense in the Statements of Operations. As of January 3, 2009, the total amount of unrecognized income tax benefits computed under FIN 48 was approximately \$8,695, all of which, if recognized, would impact the effective income tax rate of the Corporation. As of January 3, 2009, the Corporation had recorded a total of \$250 of accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions. The Corporation foresees possible changes in its reserves for uncertain income tax positions as reasonably possible during the next 12 months that could result in an increase or decrease in the reserves of \$1,465 or \$3,984, respectively, due to R&D credits. As of

January 3, 2009, the Corporation is subject to unexpired statutes of limitation for U.S. federal income taxes for the years 2001-2008. The Corporation is also subject to unexpired statutes of limitation for various states including most significantly Indiana, Michigan and New Hampshire generally for the years 2001-2008.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|---|----------|
| Balance at December 31, 2006 | \$ 248 |
| Additions based on tax positions-current year | — |
| Additions for tax positions-prior years | 1,362 |
| Balance at December 29, 2007 | \$ 1,610 |
| Additions based on tax positions—current year | 5,477 |
| Additions for tax positions—prior years | 1,608 |
| Balance at January 3, 2009 | \$ 8,695 |

8. Profit Sharing Plan

During fiscal 2008, the Corporation maintained a qualified profit sharing plan, which qualifies under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Contributions by the Corporation are based upon both discretionary and matching nondiscretionary amounts. The matching amounts represent a 50% match of employees' contributions, up to a maximum of \$4 per participant per year. Expense recorded for the plans was \$1,607, \$1,665 and \$961 for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

9. Stock-Based Compensation Plans

2002 Stock Option Plan The 2002 Stock Option Plan provides for the grant of nonqualified stock options to the Corporation's directors, officers and employees and other persons who provide services to us. A total of 52,135 shares of common stock are reserved for issuance under this plan. Options for 52,135 shares of common stock have been granted. These options vest ratably over a four year period as of the end of each of our fiscal years during that period, subject to the Corporation achieving certain minimum EBITDA targets in each fiscal year, and, if those targets are not met, on the seventh anniversary of the grant date so long as the option holder is still an employee. Options granted under the 2002 Stock Option Plan are generally not transferable by the optionee, and such options must be exercised within 30 days after the end of an optionee's status as an employee, director or consultant (other than a termination by us for cause, as defined in the 2002 Stock Option Plan), within 180 days after such optionee's termination by death or disability, or within 90 days after such optionee's retirement, but in no event later than the expiration of the option term. All options were granted, as determined by its board of directors, at the fair market value of the Corporation's common stock, on the date of grant. The term of all options granted under the 2002 Stock Option Plan may not exceed ten years.

9. Stock-Based Compensation Plans (Continued)

2003 Stock Option Plan The 2003 Stock Option Plan provides for the grant of nonqualified stock options to the Corporation's directors, officers and employees and other persons who provide services to us. A total of 907,167 shares of common stock are reserved for issuance under this plan. Options for 813,034 shares of common stock have been granted. These options vest ratably over a four year period as of the end of each of our fiscal years during that period. Options granted under the 2003 Stock Option Plan are generally not transferable by the optionee, and such options must be exercised within 30 days after the end of an optionee's status as an employee, director or consultant (other than a termination by us for cause, as defined in the 2003 Stock Option Plan), within 180 days after such optionee's termination by death or disability, or within 90 days after such optionee's retirement, but in no event later than the expiration of the option term.

All options were granted, as determined by its board of directors, at the fair market value of the Corporation's common stock on the date of grant. The term of all options granted under the 2003 Stock Option Plan may not exceed ten years.

A summary of stock option activity and weighted-average exercise prices for the periods indicated are as follows:

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Intrinsic Value |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 629,844 | \$ 3.12 | 10,248 |
| Exercised | (145,119) | \$ 2.78 | \$ 1,062 |
| Cancelled | (1,811) | \$ 3.04 | |
| Outstanding at December 30, 2006 | 482,914 | \$ 3.22 | \$ 5,124 |
| Exercised | (187,559) | \$ 3.04 | \$ 845 |
| Cancelled | — | | |
| Outstanding at December 29, 2007 | 295,355 | \$ 3.34 | \$ 4,167 |
| Exercised | (38,530) | \$ 3.97 | \$ 544 |
| Cancelled | — | | |
| Outstanding at January 3, 2009 | 256,825 | \$ 3.25 | \$ 1,295 |

| Range of Exercise | Number Outstanding | Weighted Average Remaining Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number Exercisable at January 3, 2009 | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|
| \$3.04 - 4.83 | 256,825 | 3.2 years | \$ 3.25 | 256,825 | \$ 3.25 |

2004 Equity Incentive Plan. The 2004 Incentive Plan is designed to enable us to attract, retain and motivate our directors, officers, employees and consultants, and to further align their interests with those of the Corporation's stockholders, by providing for or increasing their ownership interests in our company. The 2004 Incentive Plan provides for the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights ("SARs"), restricted stock, deferred stock, dividend equivalents, other stock-based awards and performance awards. Performance awards will be based on the achievement of one or more business or personal criteria or goals, as determined by the compensation committee. The compensation committee shall not grant, in any one calendar year, to any one participant awards to purchase or acquire a number of shares of common stock in excess of 15% of the total number of shares authorized for issuance under the 2004 Incentive Plan.

An aggregate of 1,673,333 shares of our common stock are reserved for issuance under the 2004 Incentive Plan, subject to certain adjustments reflecting changes in the Corporation's capitalization. Restricted stock is a grant of

shares of common stock that may not be sold or disposed of, and that may be forfeited in the event of certain terminations of employment, prior to the end of a restricted period set by the compensation committee. A participant granted restricted stock generally has all of the rights of a shareholder, unless the compensation committee determines otherwise. During 2008, the Corporation granted 314,150 shares of performance based restricted stock to employees that generally vest at the end of three years if performance targets are achieved, or ultimately at the end of seven years so long as the holder is still an employee. The Corporation also granted 88,800 shares of non-performance based restricted stock to directors during 2008 that generally vest over three years with one-third vesting on December 31st of each year.

In 2007 and 2006, the Corporation granted 135,000 and 120,000, respectively, of performance based restricted stock to employees. Previously recognized compensation expense related to these awards was \$328 and \$544 for 2007 and 2006, respectively. In 2007, the Corporation determined that the performance based restricted stock targets would not be met, and as such, reversed most of the stock compensation expense associated with these awards. During 2008, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors made a discretionary decision to vest a portion of the 2005 and 2006 restricted stock grants as of December 31, 2008. In addition, the performance criteria for certain 2007 restricted stock grants was deemed to be met for 2007, which will be considered in the performance criteria for the 2007 issuances at December 31, 2009. The Corporation also granted 14,800 shares of non-performance based restricted stock to directors during 2007 that generally vest over three years with one-third vesting on December 31 st of each year.

In 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Corporation recorded compensation expense of \$2,873, \$328 and \$48, respectively, related to restricted stock grants. The Corporation's policy to recognize expense for awards subject to graded vesting using the straight-line attribution method. As of January 3, 2009, the Corporation had unearned compensation cost of \$5,233 which will be expensed through 2014.

9. Stock-Based Compensation Plans (Continued)

A summary of all restricted stock activity for the period indicated below is as follows:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|------------------|--|
| Outstanding at December 30, 2006 | 170,000 | \$ 16.07 |
| Granted | 149,800 | 15.87 |
| Vested | (3,000) | 17.18 |
| Cancelled | (7,200) | 15.60 |
| Outstanding at December 29, 2007 | 309,600 | 16.48 |
| Granted | 403,000 | 13.75 |
| Vested | (86,600) | 14.34 |
| Cancelled | (111,600) | 14.43 |
| Outstanding at January 3, 2009 | 514,400 | 13.61 |

The total fair value of restricted stock that vested during 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$765, \$58, and \$0, respectively.

10. Employee Stock Purchase Plans

2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan is designed to provide an incentive for our domestic employees to purchase our common stock and acquire a proprietary interest in the Corporation. Each person who was employed either by the Corporation or by one of its designated subsidiaries on December 8, 2004 and was expected on a regularly-scheduled basis to work more than 30 hours per week for more than ten months per calendar year automatically was enrolled in the plan. Persons who subsequently are employed by us or one of our designated subsidiaries are eligible once they have completed three months of service or are an employee as of an offering date of an exercise period, provided they are expected on a regularly-scheduled basis to work more than 30 hours per week for more than ten months per calendar year.

Each participant is granted an option to purchase shares of the Corporation's common stock at the beginning of each 6-month "offering period" under the plan, on each "exercise date," during the offering period. Exercise dates occur on the last date on which the NYSE is open for trading prior to each June 30 and December 31. Participants purchase the shares of the Corporation's common stock through after-tax payroll deductions, not to exceed 10% of the participant's total base salary on each payroll date. No participant may purchase more than 750 shares of common stock on any one exercise date or more than \$25 of common stock in any one calendar year. The purchase price for each share is 95% of the fair market value of such share on the exercise date. If a participant's employment with the Corporation or one of its designated subsidiaries terminates, any outstanding option of that participant also will terminate.

A total of 600,000 shares of the Corporation's common stock are reserved for issuance over the term of the plan. On June 30, 2008, 6,821 shares of the Corporation's common stock were purchased by the participants in the plan at a price of \$15.41 per share. On December 31, 2008, 14,429 shares of the Corporation's common stock were purchased by the participants in the plan at a price of \$7.57 per share. On June 29, 2007, 6,038 shares of the Corporation's common stock were purchased by the participants in the plan at a price of \$15.21 per share. On December 31, 2007, 5,821 shares of the Corporation's common stock were purchased by the participants in the plan at a price of \$16.56 per

share. This plan is noncompensatory in accordance with SFAS 123(R).

UK Share Incentive Plan 2006

The UK Share Incentive Plan 2006 is designed to provide an incentive for our employees in the United Kingdom to purchase our common stock and acquire a proprietary interest in the Corporation. Each person who was employed by the Corporation's designated subsidiaries are eligible if they have completed six months of service and remain permanent employees during the entire qualifying period.

Each qualifying employee is eligible to purchase shares of the Corporation's common stock through payroll deductions, not to exceed 10% of the participant's total base salary. No participant may purchase more than £1.5 of common stock in any one tax year (ending April 5). Payroll deductions are transferred to the plan trustee at the end of each month, and the trustee purchases shares based on the average market price on the award date. When the participant accumulates 20 shares of common stock under the plan, one matching share is awarded to the participant. Matching shares become vested after a three year holding period.

A total of 300,000 shares of the Corporation's common stock are reserved for issuance over the term of the plan. No shares have been issued under this plan.

11. Related Party Transactions

During the years ended January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007, the Corporation purchased contract manufacturing services totaling \$285 and \$719, respectively, from ADS Precision Limited (ADS), a company controlled by a relative of the former general manager of our Sheffield, UK facility. The Audit Committee's investigation determined that ADS had participated in certain irregular transactions with the Corporation's Sheffield, UK operating unit. These irregularities involved the sale and repurchase of inventory in connection with short-term financing to the unit. The Corporation has outstanding payables to ADS of \$96 as of January 3, 2009.

The Corporation also did business with Laser Engineering Inc. (LEI), a company owned by the principles of SSI and UCA. Subsequent to August 31, 2007, the date of the SSI and UCA acquisition, through the end of fiscal year 2007, the Corporation received approximately \$84 of commissions from LEI for sales of product. There were no transactions with this party during 2008. All transactions were executed on an arm's length basis, and the Corporation believes this relationship is not significant to its overall financial results.

12. Segment Reporting

The Corporation primarily designs, develops and manufactures implants and related surgical instruments and cases for orthopedic device companies and companies in other medical device markets such as dental, osteobiologic and endoscopy. The Corporation also sells products to the aerospace industry. The Corporation manages its business in multiple operating segments. Because of the similar economic characteristics of these operations, including the nature of the products, comparable level of FDA regulations, same or similar customers, those operations have been aggregated following the provisions of SFAS 131 for segment reporting purposes. The results of one segment which sells exclusively to aerospace customers has not been disclosed separately as it does not meet the quantitative disclosure requirements.

12. Segment Reporting (Continued)

The Corporation is a multi-national company with operations in the United States, United Kingdom, France, Ireland and Malaysia. As a result, the Corporation's financial results can be impacted by currency exchange rates in the foreign markets in which the Corporation sells its products. Revenues are attributed to geographic locations based on the location to which we ship our products.

Revenues to External Customers:

| | Fiscal Year Ended | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| United States | \$ 302,820 | \$ 177,795 | \$ 156,037 |
| United Kingdom | 54,954 | 54,678 | 33,078 |
| Ireland | 31,943 | 26,386 | 24,884 |
| Other foreign countries | 33,689 | 32,063 | 31,018 |
| Total net revenues | \$ 423,406 | \$ 290,922 | \$ 245,017 |

Long-Lived Assets:

| | Fiscal Year Ended | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| United States | \$ 83,090 | \$ 55,960 | \$ 59,996 |
| United Kingdom | 29,401 | 42,620 | 41,538 |
| Ireland | 872 | 408 | 181 |
| Other foreign countries | 1,682 | 1,436 | 1,192 |
| Total long-lived assets | \$ 115,045 | \$ 100,424 | \$ 102,907 |

Concentration of Credit Risk:

A substantial portion of the Corporation's net revenues is derived from a limited number of customers. Net revenues include revenues to customers of the Corporation which individually account for 10% or more of net revenues as follows:

2008—two customers representing approximately 33%, and 11% of net revenues, respectively.

2007—two customers representing approximately 18%, and 12% of net revenues, respectively.

2006—two customers representing approximately 23% and 13% of net revenues, respectively.

The customers listed above, which are orthopedic implant manufacturers, comprised approximately 38.8%, 22% and 24% of the accounts receivable balance at January 3, 2009, December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, respectively.

Following is a summary of the composition by product category of the Corporation's revenues to external customers. Revenues from aerospace products are included in the "other" category.

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

| | Fiscal Year Ended | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Implants | \$ 122,560 | \$ 96,862 | \$ 91,880 |
| Instruments | 177,486 | 79,064 | 66,857 |
| Cases | 86,449 | 77,160 | 62,197 |
| Other | 36,911 | 37,836 | 24,083 |
| Total net revenues | \$ 423,406 | \$ 290,922 | \$ 245,017 |

48

13. Net Income (Loss) Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of earnings per share.

| | Fiscal Year Ended | | |
|---|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 24,019 | \$ (149) | \$ 18,514 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 35,170 | 35,089 | 34,829 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options, restricted stock and stock warrants | 187 | 179 | 327 |
| Diluted | 35,357 | 35,268 | 35,156 |
| Net income per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.68 | \$ - | \$ 0.53 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.68 | \$ - | \$ 0.53 |

14. Commitments and Contingencies

Environmental

The Corporation has been notified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and by certain state governments that it may be liable under environmental laws with respect to the cleanup of hazardous substances at sites we previously used for the disposal of wastes. Based on information currently available, the Corporation does not believe these liabilities will be material to its results of operations or financial position. No amounts have been accrued for these exposures as a loss is not considered probable.

Operating Leases

The Corporation has various operating leases, primarily for equipment and vehicles. Total rental expense for these operating leases amounted to \$2,357, \$1,472 and \$1,731 in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. At January 3, 2009, future minimum payments for operating leases with initial terms of one year or more are as follows: \$1,969 in Fiscal 2009; \$1,447 in Fiscal 2010; \$992 in Fiscal 2011; \$496 in Fiscal 2012; \$219 in Fiscal 2013; and \$552 thereafter.

Unconditional Purchase Obligations

The Corporation has contracts to purchase minimum quantities of cobalt chrome through December 2009. Based on contractual pricing at January 3, 2009, the minimum purchase obligations total \$6,079 in 2009. Purchases under 2008 titanium and cobalt chrome contracts were approximately \$5,641 in fiscal year 2008. These purchases are not in excess of our forecasted requirements. Additionally, as of January 3, 2009, the Corporation has \$583 commitments to complete capital projects in progress.

Legal Matters

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

The Corporation is involved, from time to time, in various contractual, product liability, patent (or intellectual property) and other claims and disputes incidental to its business. Currently, no material environmental or other material litigation is pending or, to the knowledge of the Corporation, threatened. The Corporation currently believes that the disposition of all claims and disputes, individually or in the aggregate, should not have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's consolidated and combined financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Following the discovery of the accounting irregularities at our Sheffield, UK operating unit, the Audit Committee self-reported the matter to the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Thereafter, the SEC commenced an informal inquiry into this matter. The Corporation has fully cooperated with the SEC in its investigation. At this time, the Corporation is unable to predict the timing of the ultimate resolution of this investigation or the impact thereof.

15. Quarterly Results of Operations (Unaudited)

The Corporation's fiscal year end is the 52 or 53 week period ending the Saturday closest to December 31. Fiscal 2008 was a 53 week year. The Corporation's first two interim quarters for 2008 were 13 weeks long ending the Saturday closest to March 31 and June 30 and the third quarter was 14 weeks long, ending Saturday October 4, 2008. Fiscal years 2007, and 2006 were 52 week years. The following quarterly results of operations refer to these financial periods (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Fiscal Year 2008 | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Fiscal Year |
| | (in thousands except per share data) | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 101,862 | \$ 109,787 | \$ 112,095 | \$ 99,662 | \$ 423,406 |
| Gross profit | 23,946 | 27,414 | 25,650 | 23,348 | 100,358 |
| Net income(loss) | 3,967 | 6,202 | 2,533 | 11,317 | 24,019 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.68 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.68 |

| | Fiscal Year 2007 | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Fiscal Year |
| | (in thousands except per share data) | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 64,724 | \$ 69,713 | \$ 75,823 | \$ 80,662 | \$ 290,922 |
| Gross profit | 11,714 | 14,716 | 11,312 | 14,837 | 52,579 |
| Net income(loss) | 1,615 | 4,696 | (1,087) | (5,373) | (149) |
| Basic | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.13 | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.16) | \$ - |
| Diluted | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.13 | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.16) | \$ - |

16. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is comprised of net income (loss) and gains and losses resulting from currency translations of foreign operations. Comprehensive income consists of the following:

| | Fiscal Year Ended | | |
|--|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Net Income (loss) | \$ 24,019 | \$ (149) | \$ 18,514 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (12,408) | 3,171 | 4,589 |
| Comprehensive income | 11,611 | \$ 3,022 | \$ 23,103 |

On October 5, 2008, management designated \$37.2 of intercompany loans to its Sheffield, UK subsidiary as a permanent investment. Accordingly, beginning October 5, 2008, gains and losses associated with this permanent investment were charged to accumulated other comprehensive income/loss on the consolidated balance sheets. As of January 3, 2009, accumulated gains/losses of \$7.9 million have been recorded related to these permanent investments.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Symmetry Medical, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Symmetry Medical, Inc. as of January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007 and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 3, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Symmetry Medical, Inc. at January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007 and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 3, 2009, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Symmetry Medical, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of January 3, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 10, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Ernst & Young, LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana
March 10, 2009

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of Symmetry Medical, Inc. (the Corporation) is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Corporation's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Corporation; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of the financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Corporation are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Corporation; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Corporation's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of January 3, 2009, based on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, we have concluded that internal control over financial reporting is effective as of January 3, 2009.

Management's assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of January 3, 2009 excluded the internal controls of New Bedford, whose financial results and positions are included in the 2008 consolidated financial statements of Symmetry Medical, Inc. and constituted \$53.8 million of total assets as of January 3, 2009 and \$46.8 million of revenues, for the year then ended.

Ernst and Young, LLP the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report, have also issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting which appears on the following page.

/s/ Brian S. Moore
BRIAN S. MOORE
Chief Executive Officer

/s/ FRED L. HITE
Fred L. Hite
Chief Financial Officer

March 10, 2009

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Symmetry Medical, Inc.

We have audited Symmetry Medical, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of January 3, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Symmetry Medical, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As indicated in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, management's assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting did not include the internal controls of Symmetry New Bedford, whose results and financial positions are included in 2008 consolidated financial statements of Symmetry Medical, Inc. and constituted \$53.8 million of total assets as of January 3, 2009 and \$46.8 million of revenues for the year then ended. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of Symmetry Medical, Inc. also did not include an evaluation of the internal controls over financial reporting of Symmetry New Bedford.

In our opinion, Symmetry Medical, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of January 3, 2009, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Symmetry Medical, Inc. as of January 3, 2009 and December 29,

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 3, 2009 of Symmetry Medical, Inc. and our report dated March 10, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young, LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana
March 10, 2009

53

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

This Report includes the certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). See Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2. This Item 9A includes information concerning the controls and control evaluations referred to in those certifications.

Background

During fiscal 2008, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors completed an independent investigation into certain accounting and financial reporting matters identified at our Sheffield, UK operating unit. As a result of the issues identified in that investigation, as well as, issues identified in additional reviews and procedures conducted by management, the Audit Committee, in consultation with management and Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, concluded that our previously issued financial statements for fiscal 2005 and 2006, as well as the interim periods for fiscal 2006 and 2007, should no longer be relied upon because of certain accounting errors and irregularities in those financial statements. Accordingly, we restated our previously issued financial statements for those periods. Restated financial information was presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2007, which also includes a discussion of the investigation, the accounting errors and irregularities identified, and the adjustments made as a result of the restatement.

As a result of management's review of the Audit Committee's independent investigation and the other internal reviews performed, we identified several deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting, including our control environment and period-end financial reporting process at our Sheffield, UK operating unit. The control deficiencies failed to prevent or detect a number of accounting errors and irregularities at our Sheffield, UK operating unit, which led to the restatement described above. The control deficiencies identified represented material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting as of December 29, 2007 and required corrective and remedial actions. As noted below, we believe those material weaknesses have been corrected and remediated as of January 3, 2009. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statement will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13as-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Corporation's management carried out an evaluation, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13(a)-15(e) of the Exchange Act), as of the period covered by this report. Based upon their evaluation, our management including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of January 3, 2009 to provide reasonable assurance that (i) information required to be disclosed by the Corporation (including its consolidated subsidiaries) in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms; and (ii) that information required to be disclosed by the Corporation in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Corporation's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During fiscal 2007 and 2008, our management was actively engaged in the implementation of remediation efforts to address the material weaknesses that were identified as of December 29, 2007. Those remediation efforts were designed both to address the identified material weaknesses and to enhance our overall financial control environment. The plan to remediate those material weaknesses was described in detail in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2007, and we executed our plan throughout fiscal 2008. Below is a summary of the remedial actions we have undertaken:

- We have emphasized and invigorated our “tone at the top” and the importance of maintaining a strong control environment, high ethical standards and financial reporting integrity. This has been communicated by our executive officers to all levels of Corporation employees, and will continue to be emphasized on a quarterly basis.
- A new Finance Director for Europe began employment in June 2007. We have also recruited a new Finance Controller for our Sheffield, UK operations who began employment in November 2008, and has continued to strengthen the finance team in Sheffield.
- We have increased the presence of Corporate Finance through the addition of a new Chief Accounting Officer and Tax Director to enhance the oversight over our operating units. These two new appointments are responsible for all accounting, financial and tax reporting worldwide and have strengthened our processes and procedures within these areas.
- We are in the process of implementing a new, while refreshing and reemphasizing existing, global accounting and finance policies, and we also improved the process around the completion and review of quarterly management representation letters.
- The internal audit department activities and resources have been expanded. A European internal auditor based in Sheffield, UK has been recruited and additional review procedures of key accounting processes have been implemented worldwide, including journal entries and supporting documentation, account reconciliations and revenue recognition processes.
- The Sheffield operating unit completed a comprehensive physical inventory at a minimum of quarterly during fiscal 2007 and 2008 to validate its inventory quantities. Additional review procedures were implemented during fiscal 2008 to mitigate the risk of management override and enhance segregation of duties within the process, including an audit review.

- Additional review procedures were initiated to strengthen the monthly financial close review, including reinforcement of the close schedule, increased review by Corporate Finance, assistance and review by Controllers of other subsidiaries of the Company, and bi-annual review of the original system reports to support the key Balance Sheet accounts at each unit.
- All the key personnel involved with the accounting irregularities have either resigned from the Corporation, have been suspended or otherwise disciplined.
- A new enterprise resource planning (ERP) system will be implemented at our Sheffield, UK operations during the first quarter 2009. Both financial and operational processes have been enhanced during fiscal 2008 in preparation for this system implementation. We anticipate this new system will greatly strengthen the internal control environment and efficiency of our Sheffield, UK operations, while reducing the reliance on manual processes and controls.

Our efforts to remediate the material weaknesses identified in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2007 and to enhance our overall control environment have been regularly reviewed with, and monitored by, our Audit Committee. We believe the remediation measures described above have been successful in correcting and remediating the material weaknesses previously identified and have strengthened and enhanced our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this Item 10 will be set forth under the caption "Governance of the Corporation" and "Information on Directors and Executive Officers" in our 2009 Proxy Statement which we will file no later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year with the Securities Exchange Commission. We incorporate that information herein by reference. Information regarding our Corporation's executive officers has been included in Part I of this report.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this Item 11 will be set forth under the caption "Executive Compensation" in our 2009 Proxy Statement which we will file no later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year with the Securities Exchange Commission. We incorporate that information herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this Item 12 will be set forth under the caption "Stock Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers" and "Approval of the Symmetry Medical, Inc. 2009 Equity Incentive Plan" in our 2009 Proxy Statement which we will file no later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year with the Securities Exchange Commission. We incorporate that information herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this Item 13 will be set forth under the captions "Governance of the Corporation" and "Related Party Transactions" in our 2009 Proxy Statement which we will file no later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year with the Securities Exchange Commission. We incorporate that information herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this Item 14 will be set forth under the caption "Audit and Non-Audit Fees" in our 2009 Proxy Statement which we will file no later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year with the Securities Exchange Commission. We incorporate that information herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)

1. and 2. See Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements for an index of the Corporation's consolidated financial statements schedule.

Exhibit Number 3. Exhibits (Reg. S-K, Item 601)

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Symmetry Medical, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Amendment No. 3 to our Registration Statement, on Form S-1/A, filed July 22, 2004).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated By-Laws of Symmetry Medical, Inc., as amended through March 24, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 from our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed March 25, 2005).
- 4.1 Form of Common Stock certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Amendment No. 3 to our Registration Statement, on Form S-1/A, filed July 22, 2004).
- 10.1 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant of Symmetry Medical, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed May 28, 2004).
- 10.7 Amendment to Stockholders Agreement dated as of August 3, 2004, by and among Symmetry Medical, Inc. and each of the Stockholders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 from our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed March 25, 2005).
- 10.8 Symmetry Medical, Inc. 2002 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of our Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed May 28, 2004).*
- 10.9 Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement issued under 2002 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of our Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed May 28, 2004).*
- 10.10 Symmetry Medical, Inc. 2003 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of our Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed May 28, 2004).*
- 10.11 Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement issued under 2003 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of our Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed May 28, 2004).*
- 10.12 Symmetry Medical, Inc. Amended and Restated 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 from our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed March 25, 2005).*
- 10.13

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

Symmetry Medical, Inc. Amended and Restated 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 from our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed March 25, 2005).*

- 10.14 Amendment to Symmetry Medical, Inc. 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 from our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed March 25, 2005).*
- 10.15 Employment Agreement, dated as of June 11, 2003, by and between Symmetry Medical, Inc. and Brian S. Moore (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of our Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed May 28, 2004).*
- 10.16 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 6, 2004, by and between Symmetry Medical, Inc. and Fred L. Hite (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of Amendment No. 4 to our Registration Statement, on Form S-1/A, filed July 30, 2004).*
- 10.18 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement issued under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed May 4, 2005).*

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

- 10.19 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement issued under the Amended and Restated 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(a) to our Form 8-K filed February 15, 2006).*
- 10.20 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement issued under the Amended and Restated 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(b) to our Form 8-K filed February 15, 2006).*
- 10.22 Stock Purchase Agreement by and among Symmetry Medical USA Inc., Edward D. Riley and Russell P. Holmes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to our Form 10-Q filed March 10, 2006).
- 10.23 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated June 13, 2006, among Symmetry Medical, Inc. as borrower, Wachovia Bank, National Association as Administrative Agent, the lenders identified on the signature pages thereto, General Electric Capital Corporation as Syndication Agent and CIT Lending Services Corporation and Charter One Bank, N.A. as Documentation Agents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed June 14, 2006).
- 10.26 Sale and Stock Purchase Agreement, dated January 9, 2007, between AL Wheeler and ML Donovan and Thornton Precision Components Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed January 11, 2007).
- 10.27 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (Non-Employee Directors) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed February 15, 2007).*
- 10.28 Stock Purchase Agreement, dated April 2, 2007, between Symmetry Medical USA Inc. and Roger M. Burke (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 from our Form 8-K filed April 5, 2007).
- 10.29 Separation Letter, dated April 12, 2007, between Andrew J. Miclot and Symmetry Medical, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 from our Form 10-Q filed May 9, 2007).
- 10.30 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (Key Employees) issued under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed May 8, 2007).
- 10.31 Forbearance Agreement, executed October 10, 2007, among Symmetry Medical, Inc. as borrower, Wachovia Bank, National Association as Administrative Agent, the lenders identified on the signature pages thereto, General Electric Capital Corporation as Syndication Agent and RBS Citizens, N.A. as Documentation Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed October 11, 2007).
- 10.32 Purchase Agreement, dated August 29, 2007, between Symmetry Medical USA Inc. and Louis C. Wallace and Charles O. Mann, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed November 8, 2007).
- 10.33

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

Real Property Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated August 29, 2007 between Symmetry Medical USA Inc. and MFW Investments (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Form 8-K filed November 8, 2007).

- 10.34 Earn-Out Agreement, dated August 29, 2007 between Symmetry Medical USA Inc. and Louis C. Wallace and Charles O. Mann, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Form 8-K filed November 8, 2007).
- 10.35 Employment Agreement, executed October 17, 2007, by and between Symmetry Medical, Thornton Precision Components Limited and John Hynes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our Form 8-K filed November 8, 2007).
- 10.36 Waiver, Amendment and Term A-2 Loan Incremental Term Loan Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, executed December 14, 2007, among Symmetry Medical, Inc., as Borrower and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent and Term A-2 Loan Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed December 17, 2007).
- 10.37 Asset Purchase Agreement, dated December 14, 2007, between Symmetry Medical New Bedford, LLC, Symmetry New Bedford Real Estate, LLC, and DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Form 8-K filed December 17, 2007).

Edgar Filing: Symmetry Medical Inc. - Form 10-K

- 10.38 Second Amendment and Waiver to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, executed March 27, 2008, among Symmetry Medical, Inc., as Borrower and Wachovia Bank, National Association as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed April 2, 2008).
- 10.39 Third Amendment and Waiver to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, executed April 22, 2008, among Symmetry Medical, Inc., as Borrower and Wachovia Bank. National Association as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed April 23, 2008).
- 10.40 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (Key Employees) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed May 30, 2008).
- 10.41 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (Non-Employee Directors) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Form 8-K filed May 30, 2008).
- 21.1 List of Subsidiaries.**
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, Ernst & Young LLP.**
- 23.2 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, BKD, LLP.**
- 24.1 Power of Attorney.**
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Item 307 of Regulation S-K as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission and pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.**
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Item 307 of Regulation S-K as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission and pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.**
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.**
- 99.1 Audited Financial Statements of Symmetry Medical, Inc. 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan for Years Ended January 3, 2009 and December 29, 2007.**

*

Management Contract of compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 15 of Form 10-K.

**

Filed or furnished herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SYMMETRY MEDICAL, INC.

March 10, 2009

By:

/s/ BRIAN S. MOORE

Brian S. Moore

Chief Executive Officer and President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

| Name | Title | Date |
|--|--|---------------|
| /s/ BRIAN S. MOORE Brian S. Moore | Chief Executive Officer, President and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | March 6, 2009 |
| /s/ FRED L. HITE Fred L. Hite | Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary | March 6, 2009 |
| /s/ RONDA L. HARRIS Ronda L. Harris | Chief Accounting Officer | March 6, 2009 |
| * Frank Turner | Director | March 6, 2009 |
| * Stephen B. Oresman | Director | March 6, 2009 |
| * Francis T. Nusspickel | Director | March 6, 2009 |
| * James S. Burns | Director | March 6, 2009 |
| * Craig B. Reynolds | Director | March 6, 2009 |
| * John S. Krelle | Director | March 6, 2009 |

*By: /S/ FRED L. HITE

Fred L. Hite
Attorney-in-fact

Pursuant to Power of
Attorney
(Exhibit 24.1 hereto)