

AMBASE CORP
Form 10-Q
November 09, 2018

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2018

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission file number 1-7265

AMBASE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 95-2962743

(State of incorporation) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

ONE SOUTH OCEAN BOULEVARD, SUITE 301
BOCA RATON, FLORIDA 33432

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(201) 265-0169

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: AMBASE CORP - Form 10-Q

(Check one): Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company
Emerging Growth Company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES NO

At October 31, 2018, there were 40,737,751 shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value per share.

AmBase Corporation

Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q
September 30, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	Page
Item 1.	<u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	3
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	21
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	26
<u>PART II</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	27
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	27
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity and Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	27
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	27
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	27
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>	27
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	27
	<u>Signatures</u>	28

Table of Contents

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Operating expenses:				
Compensation and benefits	\$ 287	\$ 304	\$ 1,117	\$ 906
Professional and outside services	506	703	2,164	2,231
Property operating and maintenance	8	34	54	105
Depreciation	-	12	-	36
Insurance	50	32	131	117
Other operating	27	39	77	122
Total operating expenses	878	1,124	3,543	3,517
Operating income (loss)	(878)	(1,124)	(3,543)	(3,517)
Interest income	1	-	5	-
Interest expense	-	(20)	(10)	(38)
Gain on sale of real estate owned	-	-	3,278	-
Impairment of equity investment in 111 West 57th Partners LLC	-	(63,745)	-	(63,745)
Equity income (loss) – 111 West 57th Partners LLC	-	-	-	(25)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(877)	(64,889)	(270)	(67,325)
Income tax expense (benefit)	2	-	6	6
Net income (loss)	\$(879)	\$(64,889)	\$(276)	\$(67,331)
Net income (loss) per common share - basic	\$(0.02)	\$(1.59)	\$(0.01)	\$(1.65)
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic	40,738	40,738	40,738	40,738

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share data)

	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 612	\$ 70
Real estate owned:		
Land	-	554
Buildings	-	1,900
Real estate owned, gross	-	2,454
Less: accumulated depreciation	-	822
Real estate owned, net	-	1,632
Deferred tax asset – tax receivable	20,092	20,092
Other assets	49	84
Total assets	\$ 20,753	\$ 21,878
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity:		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 425	\$ 426
Loan payable – related party	-	2,296
Other liabilities	-	-
Total liabilities	425	2,722
Litigation funding agreement (Note 9)	2,802	1,354
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)	-	-
Stockholders' Equity:		
Common stock (\$0.01 par value, 85,000 authorized in 2018 and 85,000 authorized in 2017, 46,410 issued and 40,738 outstanding in 2018 and 46,410 issued and 40,738 outstanding in 2017)	464	464
Additional paid-in capital	548,304	548,304
Accumulated deficit	(526,074)	(525,798)
Treasury stock, at cost – 2018 – 5,672 shares and 2017 – 5,672 shares	(5,168)	(5,168)
Total stockholders' equity	17,526	17,802
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 20,753	\$ 21,878

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

(in thousands)	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2018	2017
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$(276)	\$(67,331)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities		
Gain on sale of real estate owned	(3,278)	-
Depreciation	-	36
Impairment of equity investment in 111 West 57 th Partners LLC	-	63,745
Equity (income) loss - 111 West 57 th Partners LLC	-	25
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Other assets	35	77
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(1)	766
Other liabilities	-	-
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	(3,520)	(2,682)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from sale of real estate owned, net	4,910	-
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	4,910	-
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Payoff of loan payable – related party	(2,546)	-
Proceeds from loan payable – related party	250	1,650
Proceeds from litigation funding agreement	1,448	500
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	(848)	2,150
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	542	(532)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	70	586
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$612	\$54
Supplemental cash flow disclosure:		
Income taxes paid	\$5	\$16

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 – The Company and Basis of Presentation and Going Concern

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of AmBase Corporation and subsidiaries (“AmBase” or the “Company”) are unaudited and subject to year-end adjustments. All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. In the opinion of management, these financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments unless otherwise disclosed, necessary for a fair presentation of the Company’s consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 8 of Regulation S-X. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that it deems reasonable, that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from such estimates and assumptions. The unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements presented herein are condensed and should be read in conjunction with the Company’s consolidated financial statements filed in its Annual Report on Form 10 K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

At September 30, 2018, the Company’s assets consisted primarily of cash and cash equivalents and a deferred tax asset. In January 2018, the Company sold its commercial office building in Greenwich, Connecticut, see Note 3 herein for additional information. See Note 7 for additional information regarding taxes. The Company is engaged in the management of its assets and liabilities.

In June 2013, the Company purchased an equity interest in a real estate development property through a joint venture agreement to purchase and develop real property located at 105 through 111 West 57th Street in New York, New York (the “111 West 5th Property”). The Company has also made significant investments in the 111 West 57th Street Property in 2013, 2014 and 2015. The Company is engaged in material disputes and litigation with the sponsors of the joint venture (the “Sponsor”), both mezzanine lenders to the joint venture (“Apollo” and “Spruce”). On August 30, 2017, Spruce issued a Notice of Retention of Pledged Collateral in Full Satisfaction of Indebtedness. By purporting to accept the pledged collateral, pursuant to a Strict Foreclosure process, Spruce claims to have completed the retention of the collateral pledged by the junior mezzanine borrower, and therefore, the Company’s interest in the 111 West 57th Street Property (the “Strict Foreclosure”). Despite ongoing litigation challenging the legitimacy of the actions taken by the Sponsors and Spruce in connection with the Company’s investment in the 111 West 5th Property as further discussed herein, in accordance with GAAP, the Company recorded an impairment of its equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property in the full year period ended December 31, 2017. The carrying value of the Company’s equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property represented a substantial portion of the Company’s assets and net equity value. The Company is currently attempting to have the Appellate Division declare the Strict Foreclosure invalid and to enjoin the Strict Foreclosure. There can be no assurance that the Company will prevail with respect to any of its claims. See Note 4 and Note 8 herein for additional information concerning the Company’s pending appeal of its challenge to the Strict Foreclosure, the Company’s recording of an impairment of its equity investment in the 111 West 5th Property and the Company’s legal proceedings relating to the 111 West 5th Property.

A fundamental principle of the preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP is the assumption that an entity will continue in existence as a going concern, which contemplates continuity of operations and the realization of assets and settlement of liabilities occurring in the ordinary course of business. In accordance with this requirement, the Company has prepared its accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements assuming the Company will continue as a going concern.

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The Company has incurred operating losses and used cash for operating activities for the past several years. The Company has continued to keep operating expenses at a reduced level; however, there can be no assurance that the Company's current level of operating expenses will not increase or that other uses of cash will not be necessary. The Company believes that based on its current level of operating expenses, its currently available cash and financial resources together with the net proceeds from the sale of its commercial office building in Greenwich, Connecticut as further discussed in Note 3 herein, may not be sufficient to cover operating cash needs through the twelve month period from the financial statement reporting date. Based on the above factors, management determined there is substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include adjustments to the carrying value of assets and liabilities which might be necessary should the Company not continue in operation.

Over the next several months, the Company will seek to manage its current level of cash and cash equivalents, through various ways, including but not limited to, reducing operating expenses, possible asset sales and/or long term borrowings which may include additional borrowings from affiliates of the Company, although this cannot be assured. In order to continue on a long-term basis, the Company must raise additional capital through the sale of assets or long term borrowings. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to sell any of its assets or attain such financing at terms acceptable to the Company, if at all.

In September 2017, the Company and Mr. Richard A. Bianco, the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer ("R. A. Bianco") entered into an agreement pursuant to which Mr. R. A. Bianco will fund the Company's litigation expenses in connection with the 111 West 57th Property (the "Litigation Funding Agreement"). For additional information including the terms of the Litigation Funding Agreement, see Note 9 herein.

With respect to its disputes and litigation relating to its interest in the 111 West 57th Property, the Company is continuing to pursue various legal courses of action, as well as considering other possible economic strategies, including the possible sale of the Company's interest in and/or rights with respect to the 111 West 57th Property. The Company is continuing to pursue other options to realize the Company's investment value and/or protect its legal rights.

The Company can give no assurances regarding the outcome of the matters described herein, including as to the effect of Spruce's actions described herein, whether the Sponsors will perform their contractual commitments to the Company under the JV Agreement, as to what further action, if any, the lenders may take with respect to the project, as to the ultimate resolution of the ongoing litigation proceedings relating to the Company's investment interest in the 111 West 57th Property, as to the ultimate effect of the Sponsors', the Company's or the lenders' actions on the project, as to the completion or ultimate success of the project, or as to the value or ultimate realization of any portion of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Street Property. For additional information with regard to the Company's investment in the 111 West 57th Property and the Company's legal proceedings related thereto, see Note 4 and Note 8.

While the Company's management is evaluating future courses of action to protect and/or recover the value of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property, the adverse developments make it uncertain as to whether any such courses of action will be successful. Any such efforts are likely to require sustained effort over a period of time, and require substantial additional financial resources. Inability to recover all or most of such value would in all likelihood have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and future prospects.

In May 2016, the Company and Mr. R. A. Bianco entered into an agreement for Mr. R. A. Bianco to provide to the Company a secured working capital line of credit. Pursuant to this agreement, Mr. Bianco had made several loans to the Company, for use as working capital. In January 2018, in connection with the sale by the Company of its office building in Greenwich, Connecticut, the Company repaid the full amount of the working capital loan, plus accrued interest to Mr. R. A. Bianco, and in connection therewith the working capital line of credit was terminated. For additional information, see Note 10 herein.

7

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

New accounting pronouncements

There are no new accounting pronouncements that would likely materially affect the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

Note 3 – Real Estate Sold

In January 2018, the Company sold its building in Greenwich, Connecticut, to Maria USA, Inc. an unaffiliated third party. A gain from the sale is reflected in the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2018. The Company used a portion of the sale proceeds to repay the full amount of the working capital loan plus accrued interest to Mr. R. A. Bianco. See Note 11 for additional information. The remaining proceeds will be used for working capital.

Information relating to the sale of the Company's real estate owned in Greenwich, Connecticut is as follows:

(in thousands)	Amounts
Gross sales price	\$ 5,200
Less: Transactions costs	(290)
Proceeds from the sale of real estate owned, net	4,910
Less: Real estate carrying value, (net of accumulated depreciation)	(1,632)
Net gain on sale of real estate	\$ 3,278

Note 4 – Investment in 111 West 57th Partners LLC

In June 2013, the Company purchased an equity interest in the 111 West 57th Property. The Company is engaged in material disputes and litigation with the Sponsor, Spruce and Apollo. On August 30, 2017, Spruce issued a Notice of Retention of Pledged Collateral in Full Satisfaction of Indebtedness. By purporting to accept the pledged collateral, pursuant to a Strict Foreclosure process, Spruce claims to have completed the retention of the collateral pledged by the junior mezzanine borrower, and therefore, the Company's interest in the 111 West 57th Street Property. Despite ongoing litigation challenging the legitimacy of the actions taken by the Sponsors and Spruce in connection with the Company's investment in the 111 West 57th Property as further discussed herein, in accordance with GAAP, the Company recorded an impairment of its equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property in the full year period ended December 31, 2017. The carrying value of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property represented a substantial portion of the Company's assets and net equity value. The Company is currently attempting to have the Appellate Division declare the Strict Foreclosure invalid and to enjoin the Strict Foreclosure. There can be no assurance that the Company will prevail with respect to any of its claims. For additional information with regard to the Company's legal proceedings relating to the 111 West 57th Property, see Note 8 herein.

See below for additional information with regard to background information regarding the Company's 111 West 57th Property equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property and events leading up to the Strict Foreclosure, as follows:

In June 2013, 111 West 57th Investment LLC, ("Investment LLC"), a then newly formed subsidiary of the Company, entered into a joint venture agreement (as amended, the "JV Agreement") with 111 West 57th Sponsor LLC, (the "Sponsor"), pursuant to which Investment LLC invested (the "Investment") in a real estate development property to purchase and develop the 111 West 57th Street Property (the "111 West 57th Property"). In consideration for making the

Investment, Investment LLC was granted a membership interest in 111 West 57th Partners LLC (“111 West 57th Partners”), which indirectly acquired the 111 West 57th Property on June 28, 2013 (the “Joint Venture,” and such date, the “Closing Date”). The Company also indirectly contributed an additional amount to the Joint Venture in exchange for an additional indirect interest in the Joint Venture. Other members and the Sponsor contributed additional cash and/or property to the Joint Venture. The Company recorded its investment in 111 West 57th Partners utilizing the equity method of accounting. The Joint Venture plans were to redevelop the 111 West 57th Property into a luxury residential tower and retail project.

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Amounts relating to the Company's initial June 2013 investment and other information relating to the 111 West 57th Property follows:

(\$ in thousands)

Company's aggregate initial investment	\$57,250
Company's aggregate initial membership interest %	60.3 %
Other members and Sponsor initial investment	\$37,750
Approximate gross square feet of project	346,000

The JV Agreement and related operating agreements generally provide that all distributable cash shall be distributed as follows: (i) first, 100% to the members in proportion to their percentage interests until Investment LLC has received distributions yielding a 20% internal rate of return as calculated; (ii) second, 100% to the Sponsor as a return of (but not a return on) any additional capital contributions made by the Sponsor on account of manager overruns; and (iii) thereafter, (a) 50% to the members in proportion to their respective percentage interests at the time of such distribution, and (b) 50% to the Sponsor.

Additionally, the JV Agreement provides that (i) Mr. Richard A. Bianco (the Company's current Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer) ("Mr. R. A. Bianco"), his immediate family, and/or any limited liability company wholly-owned thereby, and/or a trust in which Mr. R. A. Bianco and/or his immediate family is the beneficiary, shall at all times own, in the aggregate, not less than 20% of the outstanding shares of AmBase; and (ii) Mr. R. A. Bianco shall remain the Chairman of the Board of Directors of AmBase for the duration of the JV Agreement.

In March 2014, the Company entered into an amended and restated operating agreement for Investment LLC (the "Amended and Restated Investment Operating Agreement") to grant a 10% subordinated participation interest in Investment LLC to Mr. R. A. Bianco as contingent future incentive for Mr. R. A. Bianco's past, current and anticipated ongoing role to develop and commercialize the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property. Pursuant to the terms of the Amended and Restated Investment Operating Agreement, Mr. R.A. Bianco has no voting rights with respect to his interest in Investment LLC, and his entitlement to receive 10% of the distributions from Investment LLC is subject to the Company first receiving distributions equal to 150% of the Company's initial aggregate investment in Investment LLC and the Joint Venture, plus any additional investments by the Company, and only with respect to any distributions thereafter. At the current time the Company has not expensed nor accrued any amounts relating to this subordinated participation interest, as no amount or range of amounts can be reasonably estimated or assured.

During 2014, in connection with the funding of additional capital calls under the JV Agreement for required borrowing and development costs for the 111 West 57th Property, the Company's management and its Board of Directors concluded that, given the continuing development risks of the 111 West 57th Property and the Company's financial position, the Company should not at that time increase its already significant concentration and risk exposure to the 111 West 57th Property. Nonetheless, the Company sought to limit dilution of its interest in the Joint Venture resulting from any failure to fund the capital call requirements, but at the same time wished to avoid the time, expense and financial return requirements (with attendant dilution and possible loss of voting rights) that obtaining a replacement third-party investor would require. The Company therefore entered into a second amended and restated operating agreement for Investment LLC ("Second Amended and Restated Investment Operating Agreement") pursuant to which Capital LLC was admitted as a member of Investment LLC. In exchange for Capital LLC contributing toward Investment LLC capital calls in respect of the 111 West 57th Property, available cash of Investment LLC will be distributed first to Capital LLC until it has received a 20% internal rate of return (calculated as provided for in the JV Agreement as noted above), second to the Company until it has received 150% of its capital, and, thereafter,

available cash is split 10/90, with 10% going to Mr. R. A. Bianco as the subordinated participation interest noted above and 90% going to Capital LLC and the Company pari-passu, with Capital LLC receiving one-half of its pro-rata share based on capital contributed and the Company receiving the balance. No other material changes were made to the Amended and Restated Investment Operating Agreement, and neither Mr. R. A. Bianco nor Capital LLC has any voting rights with respect to their interest and investment in Investment LLC.

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

In accordance with the JV Agreement, Shortfall Capital Contributions may be treated either as a member loan or as a dilutive capital contribution by the funding party valued at one and one-half times the amount actually contributed. The Sponsors deemed the Shortfall Capital Contributions as dilutive capital contributions to the Company. The Company disagrees with the Sponsors' investment percentage calculations. The Sponsors have taken the position that the Capital Contribution Requests, if taken together, would have caused the Company's combined ownership percentage to be diluted to approximately 48%. The parties have a dispute with regard to the calculation of the revised investment percentages resulting from the Capital Contribution Requests, along with the treatment and allocation of these Shortfall Capital Contribution amounts.

On June 30, 2015, 111 West 57th Partners obtained financing for the 111 West 57th Property. The financing was obtained in two parts: (i) a first mortgage construction loan with AIG Asset Management (US), LLC (along with its affiliates "AIG"); and (ii) a mezzanine loan with Apollo Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc. (along with its affiliates "Apollo"), as detailed herein below. Both loans have a four-year term with a one-year extension option subject to satisfying certain conditions. The loan agreements (the "Loan Agreements") also include customary events of default and other customary terms and conditions. Simultaneously with the closing of the AIG and the Apollo financing, 111 West 57th Partners repaid all outstanding liabilities and obligations to Annaly CRE, LLC under the initial mortgage and acquisition loan agreement, dated June 28, 2013, between joint venture entities and Annaly CRE, LLC. The remaining loan proceeds were to be drawn down and used as necessary for construction and related costs, loan interest escrow and other related project expenses for development of the 111 West 57th Property.

Information relating to the June 30, 2015 financing for 111 West 57th Partners is as follows:

(in thousands)

Financing obtained by 111 West 57 th Partners - AIG	\$400,000
Financing obtained by 111 West 57 th Partners - Apollo	\$325,000
Annaly CRE LLC initial mortgage and acquisition loan repaid	\$230,000

In April 2016, AmBase initiated a litigation in the New York State Supreme Court for New York County (the "NY Court"), Index No. 652301/2016, ("AmBase v. 111 West 57th Sponsor LLC, et al.") (the "111 West 57th Action"). The defendants in that litigation are 111 West 57th Sponsor LLC, 111 West 57th JDS LLC, PMG West 57th Street LLC, 111 West 57th Control LLC, 111 West 57th Developer LLC, 111 West 57th KM Equity LLC, 111 West 57th KM Group LLC, Kevin Maloney, Matthew Phillips, Michael Stern, Ned White, 111 Construction Manager LLC, Property Markets Group, Inc., JDS Development LLC, JDS Construction Group LLC (collectively, "Defendants") and nominal defendant 111 West 57th Partners LLC. In June 2018, Defendants removed the complaint to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, where it is docketed as case number 18-cv-5482-AT. For additional information with regard to the Company's legal proceedings relating to the 111 West 57th Property, see Note 8 herein.

In December 2016, the Sponsor proposed for approval a "proposed budget" (the "Proposed Budget"), which the Sponsor claims represented an increase to the aggregate of hard cost line items of an amount slightly below the Equity Put Right threshold amount and a further increase in other costs thus resulting in the need for additional funding in order to complete the project. The Company disputes, among other items, the calculation of the percentage increase of hard costs shown in the Proposed Budget. The Company believes the aggregate projected hard costs in the Proposed Budget exceed a contractually stipulated limit as a percentage of the hard costs set forth in the prior approved budget, thus allowing Investment LLC the option to exercise its Equity Put Right. Consequently, subsequent to the Sponsors' presentation of the Proposed Budget, Investment LLC notified the Sponsor that it was exercising its Equity Put Right pursuant to the JV Agreement. The Sponsor refused to honor the exercise of Investment LLC's Equity Put Right. The Sponsor claims, among other things, that the conditions precedent were not met because they claim that the increase in

aggregate hard costs in the Proposed Budget does not exceed the contractually stipulated limit that would allow exercise of the Equity Put Right.

The Company further contends that a portion of the Proposed Budget increases are manager overruns (as defined in the JV Agreement) and thus should be paid for by the Sponsor. The Sponsor denies that the Proposed Budget increases were manager overruns. The Company continues to challenge the nature and substance of the Proposed Budget increases and how they should be treated pursuant to the JV Agreement.

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The Sponsor claimed that additional borrowings of \$60 million to \$100 million were needed to complete the project. In addition, the Company had been informed by the Sponsors, that Apollo had indicated that due to budget increases, it believed the current loan was “out of balance” (meaning, according to Apollo, the projected budget exceeds the original budget approved in connection with the loan); and thus 111 West 57th Partners LLC, or its subsidiaries would need additional funding in order to bring the loan back into balance. The Company considered approving the additional financing, but informed the Sponsor that it had concerns about the Proposed Budget and the implications of the Proposed Budget, as well as other questions which needed to be addressed first.

In March 2017, the Company and Mr. R. A. Bianco entered into an agreement for Mr. R. A. Bianco to provide to the Company a financial commitment in the form of a line of credit up to ten million dollars (\$10,000,000) or additional amount(s) as may be necessary and agreed to enable AmBase to contribute capital to Investment LLC and/or other affiliated subsidiaries of the Company to meet capital calls for the of 111 West 57th Property if and when the case may be necessary on terms agreeable to/by the Company (as determined by the independent members of the Board of Directors) and Mr. R. A. Bianco at such time. The agreement provides that additional borrowings from Mr. R. A. Bianco pursuant to this line of credit shall be secured by the Company’s commercial office building in Greenwich, Connecticut. As a result of the sale of the Company’s commercial office building in Greenwich CT. in January 2018, any borrowings from Mr. R.A. Bianco under this line of credit would be unsecured.

Around this time, Apollo provided loan forbearances to the borrowers and guarantors in order to allow the Sponsor time (while the building continued to be built) to raise the additional financing that Sponsor claimed would be needed in order to complete the 111 West 57th project. This forbearance period ended on June 29, 2017. Around this date, the Company was advised that Apollo sold a portion of the mezzanine loan—broken off as a junior mezzanine loan—to an affiliate of Spruce Capital Partners LLC, (“Spruce”) (the “Junior Mezzanine Loan”).

On June 30, 2017, Spruce declared an event of default under the Junior Mezzanine Loan and demanded immediate payment of the full outstanding balance of the Junior Mezzanine Loan. Spruce then gave notice to the junior mezzanine borrower that it proposed to accept the pledged collateral (including the joint venture members’ collective interest in the property) in full satisfaction of the joint venture’s indebtedness under the Junior Mezzanine Loan (i.e., a “Strict Foreclosure”).

On July 25, 2017, the Company filed a complaint against Spruce and the Sponsor and requested injunctive relief halting the Strict Foreclosure from the New York State Supreme Court for New York County, (the “NY Court”) Index No. 655031/2017, (the “111 West 5th Spruce Action”). The defendants in the 111 West 5th Spruce action are 111 W57 Mezz Investor, LLC, Spruce Capital Partners LLC, 111 West 57th Sponsor LLC, Michael Z. Stern, and Kevin P. Maloney (collectively, “Defendants”) and nominal defendants 111 West 57th Partners LLC and 111 West 57th Mezz 1 LLC. The Company has since voluntarily discontinued its claims against Sponsor, Stern, and Maloney, without prejudice to reinstating them in the 111 West 57th Spruce Action or any other action. For additional information with regard to the Company’s legal proceedings relating to the 111 West 57th Property, see Note 8 herein.

In June 2018, the Company initiated another litigation in the NY Court, Index No. 655031/2017, (the “Apollo Action”). The defendants in the Apollo Action are ACREFI Mortgage Lending, LLC, Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund III AIV I LP, AGRE Debt 1 – 111 W 57, LLC, and Apollo Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc. (collectively, the “Apollo Defendants”). In the Apollo Action, the Company alleges that the Apollo Defendants aided and abetted the Sponsor, Stern, and Maloney in breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company in connection with the 111 West 57th Property and tortiously interfered with the JV Agreement. The Company is seeking damages as well as punitive damages for tortious interference with the JV Agreement and aiding and abetting the Sponsors’ breaches of their fiduciary duties to the joint venture. For additional information with regard to the Company’s legal proceedings relating to the 111 West

57th Property, see Note 8 herein.

As further discussed herein, despite ongoing litigation challenging the legitimacy of the actions taken by the Sponsor and Spruce in connection with the Company's investment in the 111 West 57th Property, in accordance with GAAP, the Company recorded an impairment for the full amount of its equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property, in the full year period ended December 31, 2017. The carrying value of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property represented a substantial portion of the Company's assets and net equity value. The Company is and will continue to pursue the recovery of its asset value from various sources of recovery; however, there can be no assurance that the Company will prevail with respect to any of its claims.

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

With respect to its disputes and litigation relating to its interest in the 111 West 57th Property, the Company is continuing to pursue various legal courses of action, as well as considering other possible economic strategies, including the possible sale of the Company's interest in and/or rights with respect to the 111 West 57th Property. The Company is continuing to pursue other options to realize the Company's investment value and/or protect its legal rights.

The Company can give no assurances regarding the outcome of the matters described herein, including as to the effect of Spruce's actions described herein, whether the Sponsor will perform their contractual commitments to the Company under the JV Agreement, as to what further action, if any, the lenders may take with respect to the project, as to the ultimate resolution of the ongoing litigation proceedings relating to the Company's investment interest in the 111 West 57th Property, as to the ultimate effect of the Sponsors', the Company's or the lenders' actions on the project, as to the completion or ultimate success of the project, or as to the value or ultimate realization of any portion of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Street Property. For additional information with regard to the Company's legal proceedings relating to the 111 West 57th Property, see Note 8 herein.

For information relating to the Litigation Funding Agreement entered into between the Company and Mr. Richard A. Bianco, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, see Note 9.

While the Company's management is evaluating future courses of action to protect and/or recover the value of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property, the adverse developments make it uncertain as to whether any such courses of action will be successful. Any such efforts are likely to require sustained effort over a period of time, and require substantial additional financial resources. Inability to recover all or most of such value would in all likelihood have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and future prospects.

Note 5 - Savings Plan

The Company sponsors the AmBase 401(k) Savings Plan (the "Savings Plan"), which is a "Section 401(k) Plan" within the meaning of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). The Savings Plan permits eligible employees to make contributions of a percentage of their compensation, which are matched by the Company at a percentage of the employees' elected deferral. Employee contributions to the Savings Plan are invested at the employee's discretion, in various investment funds. The Company's matching contributions are invested in the same manner as the compensation reduction contributions. All contributions are subject to maximum limitations contained in the Code.

The Company's matching contributions to the Savings Plan, charged to expense, were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2018	September 30, 2017	September 30, 2018	September 30, 2017
Company matching contributions	\$ -	\$ 3	\$ 28	\$ 15
Employer match %	33 %	33 %	33 %	33 %

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 6 – Common Stock Repurchase Plan

The Company's common stock repurchase plan (the "Repurchase Plan") allows for the repurchase by the Company of its common stock in the open market. The Repurchase Plan is conditioned upon favorable business conditions and acceptable prices for the common stock. Purchases under the Repurchase Plan may be made, from time to time, in the open market, through block trades or otherwise. Depending on market conditions and other factors, purchases may be commenced or suspended any time or from time to time without prior notice. Pursuant to the Repurchase Plan the Company repurchased shares of common stock from unaffiliated parties at various dates at market prices at their time of purchase, including broker commissions.

Information relating to the Repurchase Plan is as follows:

(in thousands)	Nine months ended September 30, 2018
Common shares repurchased to treasury during period	-
Aggregate cost of shares repurchased during period	\$ -
(in thousands)	September 30, 2018
Total number of common shares authorized for repurchase	10,000
Total number of common shares repurchased to date	6,226
Total number of shares that may yet be repurchased	3,774

Note 7 - Income Taxes

The Company and its domestic subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. The Company recognizes both the current and deferred tax consequences of all transactions that have been recognized in the condensed consolidated financial statements, calculated based on the provisions of enacted tax laws, including the tax rates in effect for current and future years. Net deferred tax assets are recognized immediately when a more likely than not criterion is met; that is, a greater than 50% probability exists that the tax benefits will actually be realized sometime in the future.

The Company has not been notified of any potential tax audits by any federal, state or local tax authorities. As such, the Company believes the statutes of limitations for the assessment of additional federal and state tax liabilities are generally closed for tax years prior to 2014. Interest and/or penalties related uncertain tax positions, if applicable, would be included as a component of income tax expense (benefit). The accompanying financial statements do not include any amounts for interest and/or penalties.

State income tax amounts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017, reflect a provision for a tax on capital imposed by the state jurisdictions.

The utilization of certain carryforwards and carrybacks is subject to limitations under U.S. federal income tax laws. Based on the Company's federal tax returns as filed and to be filed, the Company estimates it has federal NOL carryforwards available to reduce future federal taxable income which would expire if unused, as indicated below.

Based on the Company's 2017 income tax returns as filed in October 2018, the Company's NOL carryforwards increased due to the 2017 income tax loss recognized with regard to the Company's investment in the 111 West 5th Street Property. For additional information with regard to the Company's investment in the 111 West 5th Property and

the Company's legal proceedings related thereto, see Note 4 and Note 8.

13

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The federal NOL carryforwards as of December 31, 2017, are as follows:

Tax Year Originating	Tax Year Expiring	Amount
2006	2026	\$ 500,000
2007	2027	12,700,000
2008	2028	4,600,000
2009	2029	2,400,000
2010	2030	1,900,000
2011	2031	1,900,000
2013	2033	3,700,000
2014	2034	4,900,000
2015	2035	4,200,000
2016	2036	3,400,000
2017	2037	68,200,000
		\$ 108,400,000

Alternative Minimum Tax (“AMT”) Credit carryforwards available, which can be used to offset income generated in future years which are not subject to expiration, are as follows:

	Amount
AMT Credits carryforwards	\$ 21,600,000

As noted above the Company has AMT Credit carryforwards from prior tax years. In accordance with the 2017 Tax Act AMT Credit carryforwards, subject to certain estimated reduction adjustments to the amount indicated above, are expected to be claimed by the Company as refundable on tax returns to be filed in future tax years and at various percentages as noted below.

The Company’s AMT Credit carryforward amount(s) projected to be claimed as refundable for each tax year are as follows:

Tax Year (a)	Declining balance of the AMT Credit carryforward amount(s) available for each tax year (a) (b)	% of AMT Credit carryforward amount(s) available to be claimed as refundable for each tax year	AMT Credit carryforward amount(s) projected to be claimed as refundable for each tax year (a) (b)
2018	\$ 20,092,000	50	% \$ 10,046,000
2019	10,046,000	50	% 5,023,000
2020	5,023,000	50	% 2,511,500
2021	2,511,500	100	% 2,511,500
			\$ 20,092,000

(a) Assumes no regular federal income tax liability in tax years presented above which would reduce any AMT Credit carryforward amount(s) ultimately refunded.

(b) The declining balance of the AMT Credit carryforward amount(s) available for each tax year and the AMT Credit carryforward amount(s) projected to be claimed as refundable for each tax year are net of certain estimated adjustments from the previously disclosed AMT Credit carryforward amounts.

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The 2017 Tax Act makes broad and complex changes to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), including, among other changes, significant changes to the U.S. corporate tax rate and certain other changes to the Code that impact the taxation of corporations. The U.S. Treasury Department, the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”), and other standard-setting bodies could interpret or issue guidance on how provisions of the 2017 Tax Act will be applied or otherwise administered that differs from our interpretation. As we complete our analysis of the 2017 Tax Act, collect and prepare necessary data, and interpret any additional guidance, we may make adjustments to provisional amounts that we have recorded that may materially impact our provision for income taxes in the period in which the adjustments are made. Additionally, there is risk relating to assumptions regarding the outcome of tax matters, based in whole or in part upon consultation with outside advisors; risk relating to potential unfavorable decisions in tax proceedings; and risks regarding changes in, and/or interpretations of federal and state income tax laws. Additionally, the Company’s tax advisors indicate that the IRS typically has broad discretion to examine taxpayer tax returns, even after refunds have been paid to taxpayers, which could result in adjustments to AMT Credit carryforward amounts claimed as refundable and/or AMT Credit carryforward amounts ultimately received.

The Company’s management is continuing to work closely with outside advisors on the Company’s tax matters as they relate to the 2017 Tax Act and on the various federal tax return matters for the numerous interrelated tax years, including the provisions and application of the 2017 Tax Act along with the amounts and timing of any AMT Credit carryforward refunds. The AMT Credit carryforward amounts from prior tax years and related refund(s) could potentially be subject to IRS or other tax authority audits, including possible IRS Joint Committee review and/or approval. Neither the Company nor its outside advisors can predict whether or not the IRS and/or other tax authorities will review the Company’s tax returns to be filed and/or as filed in prior years.

Based on the Company’s state tax returns as filed and to be filed, the Company estimates that it has state NOL carryforwards to reduce future state taxable income, which would expire if unused, as indicated below.

The state NOL carryforwards as of December 31, 2017, are as follows:

Tax Year Originating	Tax Year Expiring	Amount
2011	2031	\$1,800,000
2013	2033	2,700,000
2014	2034	4,200,000
2015	2035	4,100,000
2016	2036	2,800,000
2017	2037	68,200,000
		\$83,800,000

The Company has a deferred tax asset arising primarily from NOL carryforwards and AMT Credit carryforwards. At December 31, 2017, a valuation allowance was released in relation to the AMT Credit carryforwards which are projected to be refundable as part of the 2017 Tax Act enacted in December 2017. A full valuation allowance remains on the remaining deferred tax asset amounts, as management has no basis to conclude that realization is more likely than not. Management does not believe that any significant changes in unrecognized income tax benefits are expected to occur over the next year.

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 8 - Legal Proceedings

From time to time, the Company and its subsidiaries may be named as a defendant in various lawsuits or proceedings. At the current time except as set forth below, the Company is unaware of any legal proceedings pending against the Company. The Company intends to aggressively contest all litigation and contingencies, as well as pursue all sources for contributions to settlements.

The Company is a party to material legal proceedings as follows:

AmBase Corp., et al. v. 111 West 57th Sponsor LLC, et al. In April 2016, AmBase initiated a litigation in the New York State Supreme Court for New York County (the “NY Court”), Index No. 652301/2016, (“AmBase v. 111 West 57th Sponsor LLC, et al.”) (the “111 West 57th Action”). The defendants in that litigation are 111 West 57th Sponsor LLC, 111 West 57th JDS LLC, PMG West 57th Street LLC, 111 West 57th Control LLC, 111 West 57th Developer LLC, 111 West 57th KM Equity LLC, 111 West 57th KM Group LLC, Kevin Maloney, Matthew Phillips, Michael Stern, Ned White, 111 Construction Manager LLC, Property Markets Group, Inc., JDS Development LLC, JDS Construction Group LLC (collectively, “Defendants”) and nominal defendant 111 West 57th Partners LLC. In the current version of the complaint, AmBase alleges that Defendants violated multiple provisions in the JV Agreement, including by failing to honor the exercise of AmBase’s contractual “equity put right” as set forth in the JV Agreement (the “Equity Put Right”), and committed numerous acts of fraud and breaches of fiduciary duty. AmBase is seeking compensatory damages, as well as treble damages under the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), punitive damages, indemnification and equitable relief including a declaration of the parties’ rights, and an accounting. The Company has also demanded from the Sponsor access to the books and records for the 111 West 57th Property which the Sponsor refused, claiming they have provided all books and records as required. The Defendants filed motions to dismiss, and on January 12, 2018, the NY Court issued an opinion allowing some of AmBase’s claims to go forward and dismissing others. Among other claims that the NY Court declined to dismiss was AmBase’s claim that the Defendants violated the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by frustrating AmBase’s Equity Put Right. Claims that the NY Court dismissed included AmBase’s claim that the Defendants breached their contract with AmBase by financing capital contributions for the project through funds obtained from third parties. On January 16, 2018, some of the Defendants wrote to the NY Court suggesting that the opinion contained certain clerical errors and was missing a page. On January 18, 2018, the NY Court removed its previous opinion from the docket and on January 29, 2018, posted a revised opinion. A discovery conference in this case is was held on February 27, 2018. On April 27, 2018, the Company filed a third amended complaint adding federal RICO claims, and new claims for declaratory judgment, breach of contract, fraud, and breach of fiduciary duty, based on information discovered during the course of discovery and events that have transpired since the Company filed its previous complaint in the 111 West 57th Action. On June 18, 2018, Defendants removed the complaint to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, where it is docketed as case number 18-cv-5482-AT. Defendants filed their motion to dismiss on August 7, 2018, the Company filed its opposition brief on September 11, 2018, and Defendants filed their reply on October 2, 2018. A pre-trial hearing was held on October 25, 2018 at which time the court issued an order granting the defendants’ motion to dismiss with regard to the Company’s RICO claims and declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the Company’s state claims. The Company is considering future courses of action. For additional information with regard to the Company’s investment in the 111 West 57th Property, see Note 4.

AmBase Corp., et al. v. Spruce Capital Partners, et al. In July 2017, the Company initiated a second litigation in the NY Court, Index No. 655031/2017, (the “111 West 57th Spruce Action”). The defendants in the 111 West 57th Spruce action are 111 W57 Mezz Investor, LLC, Spruce Capital Partners LLC, 111 West 57th Sponsor LLC, Michael Z. Stern, and Kevin P. Maloney (collectively, “Defendants”) and nominal defendants 111 West 57th Partners LLC and 111

West 57th Mezz 1 LLC. The Company has since voluntarily discontinued its claims against Sponsor, Stern, and Maloney, without prejudice to reinstating them in the 111 West 57th Spruce Action or any other action.

Spruce had given notice to the junior mezzanine borrower that it proposed to accept the pledged collateral (including the joint venture members' collective interest in the property) in full satisfaction of the joint venture's indebtedness under the Junior Mezzanine Loan (i.e., a "Strict Foreclosure"). After the Sponsors refused to object to Spruce's proposal on behalf of the junior mezzanine borrower, and Spruce refused to commit to honor Investment LLC's objection on its own behalf, the Company initiated this litigation to obtain injunctive relief halting the Strict Foreclosure. For additional information on the events leading to this litigation see Note 4.

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

On July 26, 2017, the NY Court issued a temporary restraining order barring Spruce from accepting the collateral, pending a preliminary injunction hearing scheduled for August 14, 2017. Spruce and the Sponsors subsequently filed papers in opposition to the request for a preliminary injunction and cross-motions to dismiss and quash subpoenas. On August 14, 2017, the NY Court postponed the hearing until August 28, 2017, keeping the temporary restraining order preventing a Strict Foreclosure in effect until the August 28, 2017, hearing. Subsequently the Company filed response briefs in support of their request for injunctive relief halting the Strict Foreclosure process and briefs in opposition to the motions to quash the subpoenas.

On August 28, 2017, the NY Court held a preliminary injunction hearing, lifted the temporary restraining order, denied Plaintiffs' request for a preliminary injunction, and granted Defendants' cross-motions. In order to prevent the Strict Foreclosure process from going forward, the Company immediately obtained an interim stay from the New York Supreme Court Appellate Division, First Judicial Department ("Appellate Division"). That stay remained in place until four (4) P.M. August 29, 2017, permitting the Company to obtain an appealable order, notice an appeal, and move for a longer-term stay or injunctive relief pending appeal. The Appellate Division held a hearing on August 29, 2017, to consider the Company's motion for an interim stay or injunctive relief pending appeal, both of which it denied, thus allowing the purported Strict Foreclosure to move forward.

On August 30, 2017, Spruce issued a Notice of Retention of Pledged Collateral in Full Satisfaction of Indebtedness. By purporting to accept the pledged collateral, pursuant to a Strict Foreclosure process, Spruce claims to have completed the retention of the collateral pledged by the junior mezzanine borrower, and therefore, the Company's interest in the 111 West 57th Street Property. The carrying value of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property represented a substantial portion of the Company's assets and net equity value. The Company will continue to challenge the validity of the actions that led to this purported transfer of title, including appeal.

The Company has an appeal pending on its challenge to the Strict Foreclosure, which has not yet been resolved. The Company is currently attempting to have the Appellate Division declare the Strict Foreclosure invalid and to enjoin the Strict Foreclosure. The Company moved for a stay or injunctive relief pending appeal, and that motion was denied by the appellate court on January 18, 2018. The Company filed its opening brief on February 12, 2018, Spruce filed its opposition brief on August 17, 2018, and the Company filed its reply brief on September 14, 2018.

Since the Company is not party to the Loan Agreements, it does not have access to communications with the lenders, except for those individual communications that the Sponsors have elected to share or that have been produced in the ongoing litigation. The Company has continued to demand access to such information, including access to the books and records for the 111 West 57th Property both under the JV Agreement and as part of the 111 West 57th Action and the 111 West 57th Spruce Action.

For additional information with regard to the Company's investment in the 111 West 57th Property and the Company's recording of an impairment of its equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property; see Note 4. The carrying value of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property represented substantially all of the Company's assets and net equity value.

AmBase Corp., et al. v. ACREFI Mortgage Lending LLC, et al. In June 2018, the Company initiated another litigation in the NY Court, Index No. 655031/2017, (the "Apollo Action"). The defendants in the Apollo Action are ACREFI Mortgage Lending, LLC, Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund III AIV I LP, AGRE Debt 1 – 111 W 57, LLC, and Apollo Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc. (collectively, the "Apollo Defendants"). In the Apollo Action, the Company alleges that the Apollo Defendants aided and abetted the Sponsor, Stern, and Maloney in breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company in connection with the 111 West 57th Property and tortuously interfered with the JV

Agreement. The Company is seeking damages and punitive damages for tortious interference with the JV Agreement and aiding and abetting the Sponsors' breaches of their fiduciary duties to the joint venture. The Defendants filed their motion to dismiss on August 17, 2018, and the Company filed its opposition brief on September 17, 2018, and the Defendants filed their reply brief on October 5, 2018. The Court has scheduled oral argument on the motion to dismiss for February 26, 2019. For additional information with regard to the Company's investment in the 111 West 57th Property and the JV Agreement; see Note 4.

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

With respect to its disputes and litigation relating to its interest in the 111 West 57th Property, the Company is continuing to pursue various legal courses of action, as well as considering other possible economic strategies, including the possible sale of the Company's interest in and/or rights with respect to the 111 West 57th Property. The Company is continuing to pursue other options to realize the Company's investment value and/or protect its legal rights.

The Company can give no assurances regarding the outcome of the matters described herein, including as to the effect of Spruce's actions described herein, whether the Sponsors will perform their contractual commitments to the Company under the JV Agreement, as to what further action, if any, the lenders may take with respect to the project, as to the ultimate resolution of the ongoing litigation proceedings relating to the Company's investment interest in the 111 West 57th Property, as to the ultimate effect of the Sponsors', the Company's or the lenders' actions on the project, as to the completion or ultimate success of the project, or as to the value or ultimate realization of any portion of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Street Property. For additional information with regard to the Company's investment in the 111 West 57th Property see Note 4.

For information relating to the Litigation Funding Agreement entered into between the Company and Mr. Richard A. Bianco, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, see Note 9.

While the Company's management is evaluating future courses of action to protect and/or recover the value of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property, the adverse developments make it uncertain as to whether any such courses of action will be successful. Any such efforts are likely to require sustained effort over a period of time, and require substantial additional financial resources. Inability to recover all or most of such value would in all likelihood have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and future prospects.

IsZo Capital L.P. derivatively and on behalf of AmBase Corporation v. Richard A. Bianco, et al. In February 2018, IsZo Capital L.P. commenced an action, IsZo Capital L.P. derivatively and on behalf of AmBase Corporation v. Richard A. Bianco, et al., Index No. 650812/2018 in the New York State Supreme Court for New York County (the "IsZo Capital L.P. action"). The defendants in the action include all officers and directors of AmBase Corporation and AmBase Corporation as a nominal defendant. The plaintiff alleges various breaches of fiduciary duty against all of the directors and officers concerning the decisions made in the 111 West 57th Street Property investment and a certain litigation funding agreement. IsZo Capital L.P. also seeks declaratory judgment relief concerning a litigation funding agreement and the 111 West 57th Street Property. AmBase and the officers and directors intend to vigorously defend themselves. Service of the summons and complaint has been accepted by counsel on behalf of all defendants and a motion to dismiss was served and filed in early May 2018. The motion was returnable on July 17, 2018 and all motion papers have now been submitted to the Court. Oral argument was held on the motion on October 19, 2018, at which time the Court decided that Alessandra Bianco, Richard Bianco, Jr., Jerry Carnegie, John Ferrara and Joseph Bianco should be dismissed as defendants in the case. The Court reserved decision as to dismissal of the balance of the case pending the Court's receipt of a transcript of the oral argument. The Company can give no assurances regarding the outcome of the matters described herein.

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 9 – Litigation Funding Agreement

In September 2017, the Company’s executive officers and its Board of Directors concluded that it was in the Company’s interest to obtain a litigation funding commitment to finance litigation with respect to the ongoing disputes with the Sponsors and the lenders in the 111 West 57th Street Property project, and to seek to recover value for the Company with respect to its equity investment in 111 West 57th Street Property, whether by direct recovery or from asserting claims against the Sponsors, their principals and/or certain of the lenders (collectively, “Future Recovery Litigation”).

As a result of developments in the legal proceedings concerning the Company’s equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property, the Company’s interest in obtaining a litigation funding commitment to finance litigation with respect to the ongoing disputes with the Sponsors and the lenders in the 111 West 57th Street Property project, and the Company’s efforts to seek to recover value for the Company with respect to its equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property, the Company’s Board of Directors negotiated and accepted an offer from Mr. Richard Bianco, its long-time chief executive officer, to provide a litigation fund of seven million dollars (\$7,000,000) (along with additional amounts as may be necessary from time to time as agreed to by the Company and Mr. Bianco), to fund the Company’s litigation expenses in connection with Future Recovery Litigation, (the “Litigation Funding Agreement”).

In consideration of such financial commitment, the Litigation Funding Agreement provides that any financial recovery in such Future Recovery Litigation shall be distributed as follows:

- i. first, to reimburse Mr. Bianco on a dollar-for-dollar basis for any Company litigation expenses and/or other unpaid amounts advanced by him in connection with Future Recovery Litigation; and
- ii. thereafter, a percentage of the recovery to the Company and a percentage of the recovery to Mr. Bianco, respectively, (the “Recovery Sharing Ratio”); with the ratio and percentages of 30% to 45% depending on the length of time to obtain recovery.

The payment of the amounts pursuant to the Litigation Funding Agreement could become payable by the Company in the future based on the recovery by the Company of amounts relating to the 111 West 57th Property. The recovery, by the Company, of any amounts are not within the control of the Company and cannot be predicted at this time, and therefore, the aggregate amounts funded pursuant to the Litigation Funding Agreement are presented in a temporary equity classification below total liabilities in the Company’s consolidated balance sheets for the periods presented, until such time that the legal proceedings or the Litigation Funding Agreement are concluded. The Company shall not be obligated to repay such funded amounts except as described herein.

Legal expenses incurred attributable to the Litigation Funding Agreement are included in the Company’s condensed consolidated statement of operations as part of professional and outside services, as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2018	September 30, 2017	September 30, 2018	September 30, 2017
Legal expenses attributable to the Litigation Funding Agreement	\$ 304	\$ 1,169	\$ 1,575	\$ 1,169

Table of Contents

AMBASE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 10 – Loans Payable

In May 2016, the Company and Mr. Richard A. Bianco, the Company’s Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (“Mr. R. A. Bianco”) entered into an agreement for Mr. R. A. Bianco to provide to the Company a secured working capital line of credit of up to one million dollars (\$1,000,000) or additional amount(s) as may be necessary and agreed to on an as needed basis, if and when necessary, subject to customary and market terms and conditions to be agreed upon at such time (the “WC Agreement”).

Pursuant to the WC Agreement, Mr. R. A. Bianco made several loans to the Company for use as working capital. The loans were due on the earlier of the date the Company received funds from any source sufficient to pay all amounts due under the loans, including accrued interest thereon, or the due date noted below. Accrued interest payable associated with the loans was included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the Company’s consolidated balance sheet.

In January 2018, pursuant to the WC Agreement, Mr. R.A. Bianco made an additional loan to the Company, as noted in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows, for use as working capital as reflected and in accordance with the same terms of the loans payable noted herein.

Information regarding the loans payable is as follows:

	Date of Loan	Rate	Due Date	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
Loan payable	January 2017	5.25 %	December 31, 2019	\$-	\$500,000
Loan payable	April 2017	5.25 %	December 31, 2019	-	500,000
Loan payable	June 2017	5.25 %	December 31, 2019	-	500,000
Loan payable	September 2017	5.25 %	December 31, 2019	-	150,000
Loan payable	October 2017	5.25 %	December 31, 2019	-	446,000
Loan payable	December 2017	5.25 %	December 31, 2019	-	200,000
				\$-	\$2,296,000

Information regarding accrued interest expense on the loans payable is as follows:

	December 31,
(in thousands)	2017
Accrued interest expense	\$ 67

The amounts noted above pursuant to the WC Agreement are distinct from the line of credit agreement for the 111 West 57th Property as discussed in Note 4 herein and distinct from the Litigation Funding Agreement amounts as discussed in Note 9 herein.

In January 2018, in connection with the sale by the Company of its commercial office building in Greenwich, Connecticut, the Company repaid the full amount of the working capital loan, plus accrued interest aggregating \$2,623,000 to Mr. R. A. Bianco, and the working capital line of credit agreement was terminated. See Note 3 herein for additional information.

Note 11 - Subsequent Events

The Company has performed a review of events subsequent to the balance sheet dated September 30, 2018, through the report issuance date.

20

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Cautionary Statement for Forward-Looking Information

This quarterly report together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by the Company may contain certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), or make oral statements that constitute forward looking statements. The Company intends such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which cannot be predicted or quantified. The forward-looking statements may relate to such matters as anticipated financial performance, future revenues or earnings, business prospects, projected ventures, anticipated market performance, anticipated litigation results or the timing of pending litigation, and similar matters. When used in this Quarterly Report, the words "estimates," "expects," "anticipates," "believes," "plans," "intends" and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The Company cautions readers that a variety of factors could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in the Company's forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the Company's control, include, but are not limited to those set forth in "Item 1A, Risk Factors" and elsewhere in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K and in the Company's other public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission including, but not limited to: (i) risks with regard to the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern; (ii) assumptions regarding the outcome of legal and/or tax matters, based in whole or in part upon consultation with outside advisors; (iii) risks arising from unfavorable decisions in tax, legal and/or other proceedings; (iv) transaction volume in the securities markets; (v) the volatility of the securities markets; (vi) fluctuations in interest rates; (vii) risks inherent in the real estate business, including, but not limited to, insurance risks, tenant defaults, risks associated with real estate development activities, changes in occupancy rates or real estate values; (viii) changes in regulatory requirements which could affect the cost of doing business; (ix) general economic conditions; (x) risks with regard to whether or not the Company's current financial resources will be adequate to fund operations over the next twelve months from financial statement issuance date and/or continue operations; (xi) changes in the rate of inflation and the related impact on the securities markets; (xii) changes in federal and state tax laws and (xiii) additionally, there is risk relating to assumptions regarding the outcome of tax matters, based in whole or in part upon consultation with outside advisors; risk relating to potential unfavorable decisions in tax proceedings; risks regarding changes in, and/or interpretations of federal and state income tax laws; and risk of IRS and/or state tax authority assessment of additional tax plus interest. These are not the only risks that we face. There may be additional risks that we do not presently know of or that we currently believe are immaterial which could also impair our business and financial position.

Undue reliance should not be placed on these forward-looking statements, which are applicable only as of the date hereof. The Company undertakes no obligation to revise or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date of this Annual Report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Accordingly, there is no assurance that the Company's expectations will be realized.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which follows, should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes, which are contained in Part I - Item 1, herein and in Part I – Item 1 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

AmBase Corporation (the "Company" or "AmBase") is a Delaware corporation that was incorporated in 1975. AmBase is a holding company.

At September 30, 2018, the Company's assets consisted primarily of cash and cash equivalents and a deferred tax asset. In January 2018, the Company sold its commercial office building in Greenwich, Connecticut, see Part I – Item 1 – Note 3 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information. See Part I – Item 1 – Note 7 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding taxes. The Company is engaged in the management of its assets and liabilities.

Table of Contents

In June 2013, the Company purchased an equity interest in a real estate development property through a joint venture agreement to purchase and develop real property located at 105 through 111 West 57th Street in New York, New York (the “111 West 57th Property”). The Company is engaged in material disputes and litigation with the sponsors of the joint venture (the “Sponsor”) and both mezzanine lenders to the joint venture (“Apollo” and “Spruce”). The Company recorded an impairment of its equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property in the full year period ended December 31, 2017. The carrying value of the Company’s equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property represented a substantial portion of the Company’s assets and net equity value. The Company has an appeal pending on its challenge to the Strict Foreclosure which has not yet been resolved See Part I – Item 1 – Note 4 and Note 8 to the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information concerning the Company’s pending appeal of its challenge to the Strict Foreclosure, the Company’s recording of an impairment of its equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property, and the Company’s legal proceedings relating to the 111 West 57th Property.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

The Company’s assets at September 30, 2018, aggregated \$20,753,000 consisting principally of cash and cash equivalents of \$612,000 and a deferred tax asset of \$20,092,000. At September 30, 2018, the Company’s liabilities aggregated \$425,000. In addition, the Company has a litigation funding amount of \$2,802,000 as further discussed in Part I – Item 1 – Note 9 of the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements. Total stockholders’ equity was \$17,526,000.

The Company’s deferred tax asset at September 30, 2018, is due to a valuation allowance which was released as of December 31, 2017, in relation to the AMT Credit carryforwards which are projected to be refundable as part of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act enacted in December 2017. See herein below and Part I – Item 1 – Note 7 to the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements, for additional information.

A fundamental principle of the preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP is the assumption that an entity will continue in existence as a going concern, which contemplates continuity of operations and the realization of assets and settlement of liabilities occurring in the ordinary course of business. In accordance with this requirement, the Company has prepared its accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements assuming the Company will continue as a going concern.

The Company has incurred operating losses and used cash for operating activities for the past several years. The carrying value of the Company’s equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property represented a substantial portion of the Company’s assets and net equity value. The Company has continued to keep operating expenses at a reduced level; however, there can be no assurance that the Company’s current level of operating expenses will not increase or that other uses of cash will not be necessary. The Company believes that based on its current level of operating expenses, its currently available cash and financial resources, together with the net proceeds from the sale of its commercial office building in Greenwich, Connecticut as further discussed in Part I – Item 1 – Note 3 to the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements, may not be sufficient to cover operating cash needs through the twelve month period from the financial statement reporting date. Based on the above factors, management determined there is substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include adjustments to the carrying value of assets and liabilities which might be necessary should the Company not continue in operation.

Over the next several months, the Company will seek to manage its current level of cash and cash equivalents, through various ways, including but not limited to, reducing operating expenses, possible asset sales and/or long term borrowings which may include additional borrowings from affiliates of the Company, although this cannot be assured. In order to continue on a long-term basis, the Company must raise additional capital through the sale of assets or long term borrowings. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to sell any of its assets or attain such

financing at terms acceptable to the Company, if at all.

22

Table of Contents

In May 2016, the Company and Mr. Richard A. Bianco, the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer ("Mr. R. A. Bianco") entered into an agreement for Mr. R. A. Bianco to provide to the Company a secured working capital line of credit. Pursuant to this agreement, Mr. Bianco made several loans to the Company for use as working capital. The loans accrued interest at 5.25% per annum and were due on the earlier of the date the Company received funds from any source sufficient to pay all amounts due under the loans, including accrued interest thereon, or December 31, 2019. In January 2018, pursuant to the WC Agreement, Mr. R. A. Bianco made an additional loan to the Company for use as working capital in accordance with the same terms of the loans payable noted above. On January 26, 2018, in connection with the sale by the Company of its commercial office building in Greenwich, Connecticut, the Company repaid the full amount of the working capital loan, plus accrued interest aggregating \$2,623,000 to Mr. R. A. Bianco, and the working capital line of credit agreement was terminated. For additional information, see Part I – Item 1 – Note 11 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In April 2016, the Company filed an action in New York State Supreme Court against the Sponsors, et al., pursuant to which the Company is seeking compensatory damages, as well as treble damages under RICO, punitive damages, indemnification and equitable relief, including a declaration of the parties' rights, and an accounting. That complaint has since been removed to federal court. For additional information, see Part I – Item 1 – Note 4 and Note 8 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In July 2017, the Company initiated a second litigation in the NY Court, Index No. 655031/2017, (the "111 West 5th Spruce Action"). The defendants in the 111 West 5th Spruce action were 111 W57 Mezz Investor, LLC, Spruce Capital Partners LLC, 111 West 57th Sponsor LLC, Michael Z. Stern, and Kevin P. Maloney (collectively, "Defendants") and nominal defendants 111 West 57th Partners LLC and 111 West 5th Mezz 1 LLC. The Company has since voluntarily discontinued its claims against Sponsor, Stern, and Maloney, without prejudice to reinstating them in the 111 West 57th Spruce Action or any other action. The junior mezzanine lender ("Spruce") had given notice to the junior mezzanine borrower that it proposed to accept the pledged collateral (including the joint venture members' collective interest in the property) in full satisfaction of the joint venture's indebtedness under the Junior Mezzanine Loan (i.e., a "Strict Foreclosure").

On August 30, 2017, Spruce issued a Notice of Retention of Pledged Collateral in Full Satisfaction of Indebtedness. By purporting to accept the pledged collateral, pursuant to a Strict Foreclosure process, Spruce claims to have completed the retention of the collateral pledged by the junior mezzanine borrower, and therefore, the Company's interest in the 111 West 57th Street Property. The Company has an appeal pending on its challenge to the Strict Foreclosure, which has not yet been resolved. Despite ongoing litigation challenging the legitimacy of the actions taken by the Sponsors and Spruce in connection with the Company's investment in the 111 West 5th Property as further discussed herein, in accordance with GAAP, the Company recorded an impairment of its equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property of \$63,745,000 in the full year period ended December 31, 2017. The carrying value of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 5th Property represented a substantial portion of the Company's assets and net equity value. The Company is and will continue to pursue the recovery of its asset value from various sources of recovery; however, there can be no assurance that the Company will prevail with respect to any of its claims. See Part I – Item 1 – Note 4 and Note 8 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information concerning the Company's pending appeal of its challenge to the Strict Foreclosure, the Company's recording of an impairment of its equity investment in the 111 West 5th Property, and the Company's legal proceedings relating to the 111 West 57th Property.

In June 2018, the Company initiated another litigation in the NY Court, Index No. 655031/2017, (the "Apollo Action"). The defendants in the Apollo Action are ACREFI Mortgage Lending, LLC, Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund III AIV I LP, AGRE Debt 1 – 111 W 57, LLC, and Apollo Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc. (collectively, "Apollo Defendants"). In the Apollo Action, the Company alleges that the Apollo Defendants aided and abetted the Sponsors in their scheme to convert the Company's equity interest in the joint venture that owns the 111 West 5th Property and tortiously interfered with the JV Agreement. See Part I – Item 1 – Note 8 to the Company's condensed consolidated

financial statements for additional information regarding the Apollo Action.

23

Table of Contents

In September 2017, the Company and Mr. R. A. Bianco entered into an agreement pursuant to which Mr. R. A. Bianco will fund the Company's litigation expenses in connection with the 111 West 57th Property (the "Litigation Funding Agreement"). The Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet for September 30, 2018, includes \$2,802,000 as a litigation funding amount which reflects the aggregate amounts funded pursuant to the Litigation Funding Agreement as of September 30, 2018. See Part I – Item 1 – Note 9 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information including terms of the Litigation Funding Agreement. With respect to its disputes and litigation relating to its interest in the 111 West 57th Property, the Company is continuing to pursue various legal courses of action, as well as considering other possible economic strategies, including the possible sale of the Company's interest in and/or rights with respect to the 111 West 57th Property. The Company is continuing to pursue other options to realize the Company's investment value and/or protect its legal rights.

The Company can give no assurances regarding the outcome of the matters described herein, including as to the effect of Spruce's actions described herein, whether the Sponsors will perform their contractual commitments to the Company under the JV Agreement, as to what further action, if any, the lenders may take with respect to the project, as to the ultimate resolution of the ongoing litigation proceedings relating to the Company's investment interest in the 111 West 57th Property, as to the ultimate effect of the Sponsors', the Company's or the lenders' actions on the project, as to the completion or ultimate success of the project, or as to the value or ultimate realization of any portion of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Street Property.

While the Company's management is evaluating future courses of action to protect and/or recover the value of the Company's equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property, the adverse developments make it uncertain as to whether any such courses of action will be successful. Any such efforts are likely to require sustained effort over a period of time, and require substantial additional financial resources. Inability to recover all or most of such value would in all likelihood have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and future prospects.

The amounts noted herein pursuant to the WC Agreement are distinct from the line of credit agreement for the 111 West 57th Property as noted herein and as discussed in Part I – Item 1 – Note 4 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements and distinct from the Litigation Funding Agreement amounts as noted herein and as discussed in Part I – Item I – Note 9 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2018, cash of \$3,520,000 was used by operations for the payment of operating expenses and prior year accruals. The cash needs of the Company in 2018 were satisfied by net proceeds received by the Company in connection with the sale of its commercial office building in Greenwich, CT. and proceeds from Mr. R. A. Bianco pursuant to the Litigation Funding Agreement as noted above and to a lesser extent the Company's financial resources.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, cash of \$2,682,000 was used by operations for the payment of operating expenses and prior year accruals. The cash needs of the Company for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, were satisfied by the loans from Mr. R. A. Bianco as noted herein and the Company's financial resources.

In March 2017, the Company and Mr. R. A. Bianco, entered into an agreement for Mr. R. A. Bianco to provide to the Company a financial commitment in the form of a line of credit up to ten million dollars (\$10,000,000) or additional amount(s) as may be necessary and agreed to enable AmBase to contribute capital to Investment LLC and/or other affiliated subsidiaries of the Company to meet capital calls for the of 111 West 57th Property if and when the case may be necessary on terms agreeable to/by the Company (as determined by the independent members of the Board of Directors) and R. A. Bianco at such time. The agreement provides that additional borrowings from Mr. R. A. Bianco pursuant to this line of credit shall be secured by the Company's commercial office building in Greenwich, Connecticut. As a result of the sale of the Company's commercial office building in Greenwich CT. in January 2018, any borrowings from Mr. R.A. Bianco under this line of credit would be unsecured.

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities as of September 30, 2018, decreased from December 31, 2017, principally relating to current period accruals for legal expenses in connection with the 111 West 57th Property litigation which were paid in October 2018.

There are no other material commitments for capital expenditures as of September 30, 2018. Inflation has had no material impact on the business and operations of the Company.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations for the Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2018 vs. the Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017

The Company recorded a net loss of \$879,000 or \$0.02 per share and \$276,000 or \$0.01 per share in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2018, respectively, compared a net loss of \$64,889,000 or \$1.59 per share and \$67,331,000 or \$1.65 per share in the respective 2017 periods. The net loss in the nine month period ended September 30, 2018, is net of a gain on the sale of real estate owned of \$3,278,000, as further discussed herein. As further discussed here, and in Part 1 – Item 1 – Note 4 and Note 9 to the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements, the net loss for the third quarter and nine month periods ended September 30, 2017 includes a \$63,745,000 impairment of the Company’s equity investment in the 111 West 5th Property.

Compensation and benefits were \$287,000 and \$1,117,000 in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2018, respectively compared to \$304,000 and \$906,000 in the respective 2017 periods. The increase in the 2018 nine month period is due to an increase in incentive compensation in the 2018 period versus the comparable 2017 period.

Professional and outside services decreased to \$506,000 and \$2,164,000 in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2018, respectively, compared to \$703,000 and \$2,231,000 in the respective 2017 periods. The decrease in the 2018 periods as compared to the 2017 periods is principally the result of a lower level of legal and professional fees incurred in 2018 in connection with the Company’s legal proceedings relating to the Company’s investment in the 111 West 57th property. Included in professional and outside services are legal expenses attributable to the Litigation Funding Agreement aggregating \$304,000 and \$1,575,000 in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2018, respectively and \$1,169,000 in the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2017. See Part I – Item 1 – Note 9 to the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information including terms of the Litigation Funding Agreement.

Property operating and maintenance expenses were \$8,000 and \$54,000 for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2018, respectively, compared to \$34,000 and \$105,000 in the respective 2017 periods. The decrease in the 2018 periods versus 2017 periods is primarily due to a decrease in costs resulting from the sale of the Company’s building in January 2018. As a result, the Company anticipates that property operating and maintenance expenses will decrease in 2018 compared with prior year periods.

Insurance expenses increased to \$50,000 and \$131,000 in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2018, respectively, compared to \$32,000 and \$117,000 in the respective 2017 periods. The increase is due to an increase in insurance coverage levels and insurance premium costs.

Other operating expenses were \$27,000 and \$77,000 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018, respectively, compared with \$39,000 and \$122,000 in the respective 2017 periods. The decrease in the September 30, 2018 three and nine month periods is due to a general lower level of related expenses including decreased Delaware franchise tax expenses in the 2018 periods.

Interest income in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2018, increased to \$1,000 and \$5,000, respectively from \$0 and \$0 in the respective 2017 periods. The increased interest income is due to a higher average level of cash and cash equivalents and investments on hand in the 2018 periods compared with the 2017 periods due to the Company’s sale of its building in January 2018.

Interest expense of \$10,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2018, and \$20,000 and \$38,000 in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017, represents accrued interest expense on the loan payable to Mr. R. A. Bianco which was repaid in January 2018. See Part I – Item 1 - Note 10 to the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information.

In January, the Company sold its commercial office building in Greenwich, Connecticut, to Maria USA, Inc. an unaffiliated third party. The sale price was \$5,200,000, less normal real estate closing adjustments. A gain from the sale, of \$3,278,000 is reflected in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements for the nine month period ended September 30, 2018. The Company used the sale proceeds to repay the full amount of the working capital loan plus accrued interest aggregating \$2,623,000, to Mr. Richard A. Banco, the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer. The remaining proceeds will be used for working capital. See Part I – Item 1– Note 3 and Note 10 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Table of Contents

Despite ongoing litigation challenging the legitimacy of the action taken by the Sponsors and Spruce in connection with the Company's investment in the 111 West 57th Property as further discussed herein, in accordance with GAAP, the Company recorded an impairment of its equity investment in the 111 West 57th Property of \$63,745,000 in the third quarter ended September 30, 2017. The Company is and will continue to vigorously pursue the recovery of its asset value from all sources of recovery.

Equity income (loss) - 111 West 57th Partners of \$25,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, represents the Company's share of the 111 West 57th Partners' loss for the period indicated. The equity loss in the 2017 period is due to sales and marketing expenses incurred.

The Company recognized income tax provisions of \$2,000 and \$6,000 for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2018, respectively, as compared with income tax provisions of \$0 and \$6,000 for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017, respectively. The income tax provisions for the 2018 periods and 2017 periods are attributable to a provision for a tax on capital imposed by the state jurisdictions.

Income taxes applicable to operating income (loss) are generally determined by applying the estimated effective annual income tax rates to pretax income (loss) for the year-to-date interim period. Income taxes applicable to unusual or infrequently occurring items are provided in the period in which such items occur. For additional information including a discussion of income tax matters, see Part I – Item 1 – Note 7 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

Based on the Company's 2017 income tax returns as filed in October 2018, the Company's NOL carryforwards increased due to the 2017 income tax loss recognized with regard to the Company's investment in the 111 West 57th Street Property. For additional information with regard to the Company's investment in the 111 West 57th Property and the Company's legal proceedings related thereto, see Part I – Item 1 – Note 4 and Note 8 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our disclosure controls and procedures include our controls and other procedures to ensure that information required to be disclosed in this and other reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and to ensure that such information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods.

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2018. Based upon this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act) are effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported with adequate timeliness.

There have been no changes during the most recent fiscal quarter in our internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a discussion of the Company's legal proceedings, see Part I - Item 1- Note 8 – Legal Proceedings.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 in response to Item 1A of Part I of Form 10-K.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

- a. Not applicable
- b. Not applicable
- c. None

Common Stock Repurchase Plan

The Company's common stock repurchase plan (the "Repurchase Plan") allows for the repurchase by the Company of up to 10 million shares of its common stock in the open market. The Repurchase Plan is conditioned upon favorable business conditions and acceptable prices for the common stock. Purchases under the Repurchase Plan may be made, from time to time, in the open market, through block trades or otherwise. Depending on market conditions and other factors, purchases may be commenced or suspended any time or from time to time without prior notice. No common stock repurchases have been made pursuant to the Repurchase Plan during year to date 2018 period.

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not Applicable.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

31.1* Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer

31.2* Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer

32.1* Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

32.2* Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

101.1* The following financial statements from AmBase Corporation's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2018 formatted in XBRL: (i) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations (unaudited); (ii) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited); (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow (unaudited); and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

* filed herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AMBASE CORPORATION

/s/ John Ferrara

JOHN FERRARA

By Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Controller
(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial and
Accounting Officer)

Date: November 9, 2018