FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC Form 10-Q August 07, 2008 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 333-90273

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

STATE OF INCORPORATION: **PENNSYLVANIA**

IRS EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO: 23-3017653

Address of principal executive offices:

BLAKELY & DRINKER ST.

DUNMORE, PENNSYLVANIA 18512

| TELEPHONE: |
|------------|
|------------|

570-342-8281

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subjected to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. [X] YES [] NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer O

Accelerated filer O

Non-accelerated filer O (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

o YES x NO

The number of outstanding shares of Common Stock of Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. at July 31, 2008, the latest practicable date, was 2,064,182 shares.

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Form 10-Q June 30, 2008

<u>Index</u>

| Part I. Financial Information | , | Page |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Item 1. | Financial Statements: Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders | 3 4 5 6 |
| Item 2. | Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 12 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk | 25 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 29 |
| Part II. Other Information | | |
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 29 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 29 |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 30 |
| Item 3. | <u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u> | 30 |
| Item 4. | Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 30 |
| Item 5. | Other Information | 30 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 31 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | | 32 |
| Exhibit index | | 33 |
| | | |

PART I Financial Information

Item 1: Financial Statements

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | June 30, 2008 (unaudited) |] | December 31, 2007 (audited) |
|---|------------------------------|----|--------------------------------|
| Assets: | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 15,737,564 | \$ | 10,204,714 |
| Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions | 302,902 | | 204,102 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 16,040,466 | | 10,408,816 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 128,184,265 | | 121,836,851 |
| Held-to-maturity securities | 1,059,902 | | 1,147,309 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock | 4,358,300 | | 3,302,900 |
| Loans and leases, net (allowance for loan losses of \$4,188,571 in 2008; \$4,824,401 in 2007) | 414,309,318 | | 421,424,379 |
| Loans available-for-sale (fair value \$154,024 in 2008; \$842,923 in 2007) | 152,430 | | 827,250 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net | 12,912,023 | | 12,964,932 |
| Cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance | 8,645,597 | | 8,488,663 |
| Other assets | 9,329,642 | | 4,403,723 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,674,917 | | 2,500,696 |
| Foreclosed assets held-for-sale | 897,036 | | 107,036 |
| Total assets | \$ 598,563,896 | \$ | 587,412,555 |
| Liabilities: | | | |
| Deposits: | | | |
| Interest-bearing | \$ 373,468,779 | \$ | 360,912,740 |
| Non-interest-bearing | 74,765,086 | | 64,795,621 |
| Total deposits | 448,233,865 | | 425,708,361 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 5,318,030 | | 4,147,869 |
| Short-term borrowings | 29,674,336 | | 39,656,354 |
| Long-term debt | 62,285,582 | | 62,708,677 |
| Total liabilities | 545,511,813 | | 532,221,261 |
| Shareholders equity: | | | |
| Preferred stock authorized 5,000,000 shares with no par value; none issued | | | |
| Capital stock, no par value (10,000,000 shares authorized; 2,075,182 shares issued and 2,070,182 shares outstanding in 2008; 2,072,929 shares issued and outstanding in 2007) | 19,407,992 | | 19,223,363 |
| Treasury stock, at cost (5,000 shares in 2008; none in 2007) | (145,605) | | ,===,=== |
| Retained earnings | 37,819,460 | | 36,564,157 |
| | 27,017,100 | | 20,20.,137 |

| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4,029,764) | (596,226) |
|---|----------------------|-------------|
| | | |
| Total shareholders equity | 53,052,083 | 55,191,294 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 598,563,896 \$ | 587,412,555 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Income

(unaudited)

| | Three Mon June 30, 2008 | nths Ended June 30, 2007 | Six Mont June 30, 2008 | ns Ended June 30, 2007 | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | June 30, 2008 | June 30, 2007 | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2007 | |
| Interest income: | | | | | |
| Loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Taxable | \$ 6,775,180 | \$ 7,141,888 | \$ 13,584,314 | \$ 14,316,917 | |
| Nontaxable | 85,330 | 118,352 | 163,406 | 227,869 | |
| Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions | 696 | 1,929 | 1,802 | 4,721 | |
| Investment securities: | | , | , | , | |
| U.S. government agency and corporations | 1,284,136 | 1,016,972 | 2,537,317 | 1,936,260 | |
| States and political subdivisions (nontaxable) | 155,102 | 124,512 | 299,232 | 249,351 | |
| Other securities | 305,658 | 280,523 | 680,694 | 526,422 | |
| Federal funds sold | 6,964 | 202 | 91,133 | 54,654 | |
| reactal funds sold | 0,704 | 202 | 71,133 | 54,054 | |
| Total interest income | 8,613,066 | 8,684,378 | 17,357,898 | 17,316,194 | |
| Interest expense: | | | | | |
| Deposits Deposits | 2,831,400 | 3,222,678 | 6,065,136 | 6,556,701 | |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | 13,443 | 115,576 | 80,596 | 231,033 | |
| Other short-term borrowings and other | 48,849 | 260,939 | 113,671 | 334,012 | |
| Long-term debt | 776,210 | 762,629 | 1,608,495 | 1,567,702 | |
| Long-term debt | 770,210 | 702,029 | 1,000,493 | 1,307,702 | |
| Total interest expense | 3,669,902 | 4,361,822 | 7,867,898 | 8,689,448 | |
| Net interest income | 4,943,164 | 4,322,556 | 9,490,000 | 8,626,746 | |
| Provision for loan losses | 125,000 | | 125,000 | | |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 4,818,164 | 4,322,556 | 9,365,000 | 8,626,746 | |
| Out. | | | | | |
| Other income: | 727.220 | 561.000 | 1 500 500 | 1 20 1 220 | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts Gain (loss) on sale of: | 737,330 | 761,922 | 1,500,599 | 1,394,239 | |
| Loans | 59,590 | 31,171 | 151,196 | 67,896 | |
| Investment securities | 7,519 | | 8,653 | | |
| Premises and equipment | (984) | (22,924) | (984) | 73,633 | |
| Foreclosed assets held-for-sale | 405 | 127,621 | 9,109 | 142,749 | |
| Fees and other service charges | 461,809 | 431,324 | 892,638 | 864,260 | |
| Total other income | 1,265,669 | 1,329,114 | 2,561,211 | 2,542,777 | |
| Other expenses: | | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 2,472,302 | 2,165,352 | 4,889,848 | 4,300,329 | |
| Premises and equipment | 781,469 | 784,285 | 1,572,109 | 1,590,110 | |
| Advertising | 168,348 | 183,620 | 336,704 | 342,625 | |
| Other | 1,022,421 | 954,296 | 2,038,628 | 1,967,532 | |
| | 1,022,121 | -,=,0 | _,000,020 | 1,501,502 | |
| Total other expenses | 4,444,540 | 4,087,553 | 8,837,289 | 8,200,596 | |
| | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| Income before provision for income taxes | 1,639,293 | 1,564,117 | 3,088,922 | 2,968,927 |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| | | | | |
| Provision for income taxes | 435,347 | 405,384 | 796,029 | 765,843 |
| | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 1,203,946 | \$ 1,158,733 \$ | 2,292,893 | \$ 2,203,084 |
| | | | | |
| Per share data: | | | | |
| Net income - basic | \$ 0.59 | \$ 0.56 \$ | 1.11 | \$ 1.07 |
| Net income - diluted | \$ 0.59 | \$ 0.56 \$ | 1.11 | \$ 1.07 |
| Dividends | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.22 \$ | 0.50 | \$ 0.44 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity

For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007

| | C- | :4-1- | 4l- | T | | Retained | Accumulated other | |
|---|-----------|---------|------------|------------------------------|----|---------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | Shares | pital s | Amount | Treasury stock Shares Amount | | earnings | comprehensive income (loss) | Total |
| Balance, December 31, 2006 (audited) | 2,057,433 | \$ | 18,702,537 | \$ | \$ | 33,874,118 \$ | (964,792)\$ | 51,611,863 |
| Total comprehensive income: | 2,037,433 | Ψ | 10,702,337 | ψ | Ψ | 33,074,110 4 | (704,772)\$ | 31,011,003 |
| Net income | | | | | | 2,203,084 | | 2,203,084 |
| Change in net unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale securities, net of reclassification adjustment and tax | | | | | | | | |
| effects | | | | | | | (1,034,092) | (1,034,092) |
| Change in cash flow hedge intrinsic value | | | | | | | (28,721) | (28,721) |
| Comprehensive income Issuance of common stock through Employee Stock | | | | | | | | 1,140,271 |
| Purchase Plan | 2,266 | | 67,820 | | | | | 67,820 |
| Dividends reinvested through Dividend | 7.021 | | 250 154 | | | | | 250 154 |
| Reinvestment Plan Stock-based | 7,921 | | 279,176 | | | | | 279,176 |
| compensation expense | | | 6,958 | | | | | 6,958 |
| Cash dividends declared | | | | | | (907,073) | | (907,073) |
| D. I | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, June 30, 2007 (unaudited) | 2,067,620 | \$ | 19,056,491 | \$ | \$ | 35,170,129 \$ | (2,027,605)\$ | 52,199,015 |
| Balance, December 31, 2007 (audited) | 2,072,929 | \$ | 19,223,363 | \$ | \$ | 36,564,157 \$ | (596,226)\$ | 55,191,294 |
| Total comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | | 2,292,893 | | 2,292,893 |
| Change in net unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale securities, net of reclassification adjustment and tax | | | | | | | | |
| Change in cash flow | | | | | | | (3,531,356) | (3,531,356) |
| Change in cash flow hedge intrinsic value | | | | | | | 97,818 | 97,818 |

| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | (1,140,645) |
|------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| Issuance of common | | | | | | | |
| stock through Employee | | | | | | | |
| Stock Purchase Plan | 2,253 | 57,891 | | | | | 57,891 |
| Stock-based | | | | | | | |
| compensation expense | | 126,738 | | | | | 126,738 |
| Purchase of treasury | | | | | | | |
| stock | | | (5,000) | (145,605) | | | (145,605) |
| Cash dividends declared | | | | | (1,037,590) | | (1,037,590) |
| | | | | | | | |
| Balance, June 30, 2008 (unaudited) | 2,075,182 | \$ 19,407,992 | (5,000) | \$ (145,605)\$ | 37,819,460 \$ | (4,029,764)\$ | 53,052,083 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIDELITY DEPOSIT & DISCOUNT BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|----|---------------|
| | | June 30, 2008 | J | June 30, 2007 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | | |
| Net income | \$ | 2,292,893 | \$ | 2,203,084 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | , , , , , , , , | | ,, |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | | 296,817 | | 586,199 |
| Provision for loan losses | | 125,000 | | ĺ |
| Deferred income tax expense (benefit) | | 240,736 | | (67,626) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | 126,738 | | 6,958 |
| Loss from investment in limited partnership | | 40,200 | | 40,200 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans available-for-sale | | 37,691,085 | | 7,285,804 |
| Originations of loans available-for-sale | | (6,626,744) | | (7,300,708) |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | | (156,934) | | (153,264) |
| Net gain on sale of loans | | (151,196) | | (67,896) |
| Net gain on sale of investment securities | | (8,653) | | (=,,==, |
| Net gain on sale of foreclosed assets held-for-sale | | (9,109) | | (142,749) |
| Net loss (gain) on disposal of premises and equipment | | 984 | | (73,633) |
| Change in: | | | | (,,,,,,, |
| Accrued interest receivable | | (174,221) | | (44,108) |
| Other assets | | (1,881,674) | | (72,481) |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | | 1,170,971 | | 57,131 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 32,976,893 | | 2,256,911 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | |
| Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal pay-downs | | 87,104 | | 317,077 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Proceeds from sales | | 12,889,251 | | |
| Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal pay-downs | | 26,121,600 | | 7,476,006 |
| Purchases | | (50,247,929) | | (16,764,629) |
| Net increase in FHLB stock | | (1,055,400) | | (356,800) |
| Net increase in loans and leases | | (24,092,055) | | (3,199,446) |
| Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment | | | | 247,008 |
| Acquisition of bank premises and equipment | | (2,104,991) | | (2,111,460) |
| Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets held-for-sale | | 62,090 | | 584,088 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (38,340,330) | | (13,808,156) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | |
| Net increase in deposits | | 22,525,504 | | 10,996,762 |
| Net decrease in short-term borrowings | | (9,982,018) | | (4,055,067) |
| Repayments of long-term debt | | (423,095) | | (16,410,688) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt advances | | · | | 20,000,000 |
| Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan | | 57,891 | | 67,820 |
| Dividends paid, net of dividends reinvested | | (1,037,590) | | (627,897) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | (145,605) | | |
| | | | | |

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| Net cash provided by financing activities | 10,995,087 | 9,970,930 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 5,631,650 | (1,580,315) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning | 10,408,816 | 13,800,848 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, ending | \$ 16,040,466 | \$ 12,220,533 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(unaudited)

1. Nature of operations and critical accounting policies

Nature of operations

The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank (the Bank) is a commercial bank chartered in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. (the Company or collectively, the Company). Having commenced operations in 1903, the Bank is committed to provide superior customer service, while offering a full range of banking products and financial and trust services, to both our consumer and commercial customers from its main office located in Dunmore and other branches throughout Lackawanna and Luzerne counties.

Principles of consolidation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company and the Bank have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to this Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnote disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition and results of operations for the periods have been included. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Prior period amounts are reclassified when necessary to conform to the current period s presentation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. For additional information and disclosures required under GAAP, refer to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Management is responsible for the fairness, integrity and objectivity of the unaudited financial statements included in this report. Management prepared the unaudited financial statements in accordance with GAAP. In meeting its responsibility for the financial statements, management depends on the Company s accounting systems and related internal controls. These systems and controls are designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the financial records accurately reflect the transactions of the Company, the Company s assets are safeguarded and that the financial statements present fairly the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

In the opinion of management, the consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 and the related consolidated statements of income for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 and changes in shareholders equity and cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 present fairly the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. All material adjustments required for a fair presentation have been made. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company s audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007, and the notes included therein, included within the Company s Annual Report filed on Form 10-K.

Critical accounting policies

The presentation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect many of the reported amounts and disclosures. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

A material estimate that is particularly susceptible to significant change relates to the determination of the allowance for loan losses. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2008 is adequate and reasonable. Given the subjective nature of identifying and valuing loan losses, it is likely that well-informed individuals could make different assumptions, and could, therefore calculate a materially different allowance value. While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, changes in economic conditions may necessitate revisions in the future. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the

Table of Contents

Company s allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

Another material estimate is the calculation of fair values of the Company s investment securities. The Company receives estimated fair values of investment securities from an independent valuation service. In developing these fair values, the valuation service uses estimates of cash flows, based on historical performance of similar instruments in similar interest rate environments. Based on experience, management is aware that estimated fair values of investment securities tend to vary among valuation services. Accordingly, when selling investment securities, management may obtain price quotes from more than one source. Available-for-sale (AFS) securities are carried at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet with unrealized gains and losses, net of income tax, reported separately within shareholders equity through accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

The fair value of residential mortgage loans originated and classified as AFS, is obtained from the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). Generally, the market to which the Company sells mortgages it originates for sale is restricted and price quotes from other sources are not typically obtained. On occasion, the Company may transfer loans from the loan and lease portfolio to loans AFS. Under these rare circumstances, pricing may be obtained from other entities and the loans are transferred at the lower of cost or market value and simultaneously sold.

2. Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common stock outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is computed in the same manner as basic EPS but reflects the potential dilution that could occur if stock options to issue additional common stock were exercised, which would then result in additional stock outstanding to share in or dilute the earnings of the Company. The Company maintains two share-based compensation plans that may generate additional potential dilutive common shares. Generally, dilution would occur if Company-issued stock options were exercised and converted into common stock.

In the computation of diluted EPS, the Company uses the treasury stock method to determine the dilutive effect of its granted but unexercised stock options. Under the treasury stock method, the assumed proceeds received from shares issued, in a hypothetical stock option exercise, are assumed to be used to purchase treasury stock. For a further discussion on the Company s stock option plans, see Note No. 3, Stock plans, below.

The following table illustrates the data used in computing basic EPS and a reconciliation to derive at the components of diluted EPS for the periods indicated:

| Six months ended June 30, | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Basic EPS: | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 2,292,893 | \$ 2,203,084 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | 2,074,558 | 2,062,416 |
| Basic EPS | \$ 1.11 | \$ 1.07 |
| | | |

Diluted EPS:

| Bridge Br 5. | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 2,292,893 | \$ 2,203,084 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | 2,074,558 | 2,062,416 |
| Dilutive potential common shares | 5 | 1,080 |
| Weighted-average common shares and dilutive potential shares | 2,074,563 | 2,063,496 |
| Diluted EPS | \$ 1.11 | \$ 1.07 |

3. Stock plans

The Company uses the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation provided under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 123R, *Share Based Payment*. SFAS 123R requires that the cost of share-based payment transactions (including those with employees and non-employees) be recognized in the financial statements. SFAS 123R applies to all share-based payment transactions in which an entity acquires goods or services by issuing (or offering to issue) its shares, share options, or other equity instruments (except for those held by an ESOP) or by incurring liabilities (1) in amounts based (even in part) on the price of the entity s shares or other equity instruments,

Table of Contents

or (2) that require (or may require) settlement by the issuance of an entity s shares or other equity instruments.

The Company has two stock-based compensation plans (the stock option plans). The stock option plans were shareholder-approved and permit the grant of share-based compensation awards to its directors, key officers and certain other employees. The Company believes that these stock option plans better align the interest of its directors, key officers and employees with the interest of its shareholders. The Company further believes that the granting of share-based awards, under the provisions of the stock option plans, is necessary to retain the knowledge base, continuity and expertise of its directors, key officers and certain employees.

Under the stock option plans, options are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company s stock at the date of grant. The awards vest based on six months of continuous service from the date of grant and have 10-year contractual terms. Stock-based compensation expense is recognized over the six-month vesting period. Generally, all shares that are granted become fully vested.

The Company established the 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan and has reserved 55,000 shares of its un-issued capital stock for issuance under the plan. No stock options were awarded during the six months of 2008 and 2007. As of June 30, 2008, there were 30,150 unexercised stock options outstanding under this plan.

The Company also established the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan and has reserved 55,000 shares of its un-issued capital stock for issuance under the plan. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, 2,000 stock options were issued under this plan at a weighted- average grant-date fair value of \$4.85 per share as determined using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Valuation Model. The model considers expected volatility, expected dividends, risk-free interest rate and the expected term. No stock options were awarded during the first six months of 2007. As of June 30, 2008, there were 12,830 unexercised stock options outstanding under this plan.

The following tables illustrate stock-based compensation expense recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 and the unrecognized stock-based compensation expense as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 under the Company s stock option plans:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | | | Six months ended June 30, | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|----|----|------------------------------|----|--|--|
| | | 2008 2007 | | | 2008 | | | |
| Stock-based compensation expense: | | | | | | | | |
| Director s Plan | \$ | 42,079 | \$ | \$ | 90,550 | \$ | | |
| Incentive Plan | | 16,868 | | | 33,248 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | \$ | 58,947 | \$ | \$ | 123,798 | \$ | | |

| | A | s of: | |
|--|---------------|-------|---------------|
| | June 30, 2008 | Dece | mber 31, 2007 |
| Unrecognized stock-based compensation expense: | | | |
| Director s Plan | \$ | \$ | 90,550 |
| Incentive Plan | 2,314 | | 25,872 |
| | | | |
| Unrecognized stock-based compensation expense | \$ 2,314 | \$ | 116,422 |

The amount unrecognized as of June 30, 2008 will be recognized during the third quarter of 2008. Stock-based compensation is recorded in the consolidated income statement as a component of salaries and employee benefits.

In addition to the two stock option plans, the Company established the 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP) and reserved 110,000 shares of its un-issued capital stock for issuance under the plan. The plan was designed to promote broad-based employee ownership of the Company s stock. Under the ESPP, employees may elect to purchase the Company s capital stock at a discounted price based on the fair market value of the Company s capital stock on either the commencement date or termination date. At June 30, 2008, 10,570 shares have been issued under the ESPP. The Company recognizes compensation expense on its ESPP on the date the shares are purchased. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, compensation expense related to the ESPP approximated \$3,000 and \$7,000, respectively, and is included as a component of salaries and employee benefits in the consolidated statements of income.

4. Derivative instruments

As part of the Company s overall interest rate risk management strategy, the Company has adopted a policy whereby it may periodically use derivative instruments to minimize significant fluctuations in earnings caused by interest rate volatility. This interest rate risk management strategy entails the use of interest rate floors, caps and swaps. During the fourth quarter of 2006, the Company entered into a three-year interest rate floor derivative agreement on \$20,000,000 notional value of its prime-based loan portfolio. The transaction required the payment of a premium by the Company to the seller for the right to receive payments in the event national prime drops below a pre-determined level (strike rate), essentially converting floating rate loans to fixed rate loans when prime drops below the contractual strike rate. When purchased, the Company recorded an asset representing the fair value of the hedge at the time of purchase. The Company has designated this agreement as a cash flow hedge pursuant to SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. Accordingly, the change in the fair value of the instrument related to the hedge s intrinsic value, or approximately \$98,000 and -\$29,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, is recorded as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI) in the consolidated statement of changes in shareholders equity and the portion of the change in fair value related to the time value expiration, or approximately \$12,000 and \$74,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, is recorded in the consolidated statements of income as a reduction of interest income. No gain or loss has been recognized in earnings due to hedge ineffectiveness as of June 30, 2008. As of June 30, 2008, the Company does not expect to reclassify any amount from OCI to earnings over the next twelve months and no hedge has been discontinued. Also, as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the fair value of the derivative contract approximated \$526,000 and \$441,000 and is recorded as a component of other assets in the consolidated balance sheet.

5. Recent accounting pronouncements

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements required under other accounting pronouncements, but does not change existing guidance as to whether or not an instrument is carried at fair value. SFAS 157 establishes a valuation hierarchy for disclosure of the inputs to valuation used to measure fair value. This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three broad levels as follows. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly through market corroboration, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based on our own assumptions to measure assets and liabilities at fair value. Level 3 pricing for securities may also include unobservable inputs based upon broker-traded transactions. A financial asset or liability s classification within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The adoption of this statement had no effect on the Company s financial statements.

The following table illustrates the financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis segregated by hierarchy fair value levels (dollars in thousands):

| | | Fair value measurements at June 30, 2008 using: | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|--|--|
| | , | Total carrying value at June 30, 2008 | | Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1) | | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | | Significant servable inputs (Level 3) | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | \$ | 128,184 | \$ | 476 | \$ | 110,842 | \$ | 16,866 | | |
| Loans available-for-sale | | 152 | | | | 152 | | | | |
| Derivative instrument | | 526 | | | | 526 | | | | |

Total \$ 128,862 \$ 476 \$ 111,520 \$ 16,866

Equity securities in the available-for-sale securities portfolio are measured at fair value using quoted market prices for identical assets and are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Other than the Company s investment in corporate bonds, all other debt securities in the available-for-sale securities portfolio are measured at fair value using quoted prices from an independent third party that provide valuation services for similar assets, with similar terms in actively traded markets. The Company s investment-grade preferred trust securities, classified under corporate bonds, include both observable and unobservable inputs to determine the exit-pricing which is obtained from a third party. Due to the recent, temporary limited market activity in these instruments, a large portion of the unobservable inputs are subjective in nature and, therefore, are considered Level 3 inputs. Once the market activity, including new issues, begins to occur regularly, and

Table of Contents

the unobservable subjective inputs are replaced by market activity, these securities may be transferred out of Level 3 into a Level 2 classification by management. Loans available-for-sale are measured at fair value from quotes received through secondary market sources, i.e., FNMA or FHLB, who provide pricing for similar assets with similar terms in actively traded markets. The derivative instrument, included in other assets, is measured at fair value from pricing provided by a third party who considers observable interest rates, forward yield curves at commonly quoted intervals and volatility.

The following table illustrates the changes in Level 3 financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis (dollars in thousands):

| | Ava | ailable-for-sale securities |
|---|-----|--------------------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Balance January 1, 2008 | \$ | 16,335 |
| Realized / unrealized gains (losses): | | |
| in earnings | | |
| in comprehensive income | | (3,867) |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, amortization | | |
| and accretion, net | | 4,398 |
| Transfers into (out of) Level 3 | | |
| Balance June 30, 2008 | \$ | 16,866 |

The following table illustrates the financial instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis segregated by hierarchy fair value levels (dollars in thousands):

| | | | Fair value measurements at June 30, 2008 using: | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----|---|---|---|----|---|----|---|--|--|--|
| | va | Total carrying value at June 30, 2008 | | Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1) | | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | | Significant observable inputs (Level 3) | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$ | 2,239 | \$ | 16 | \$ | 1,205 | \$ | 1,018 | | | |

Impaired loans that are collateral dependent are written down to fair value through the establishment of specific reserves. Techniques used to value the collateral that secure the impaired loan include: quoted market prices for identical assets classified as Level 1 inputs; observable inputs, employed by certified appraisers, for similar assets classified as Level 2 inputs. In cases where valuation techniques included inputs that are unobservable and are based on estimates and assumptions developed by management from the best information available under each circumstance, the asset valuation is classified as Level 3 inputs.

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. SFAS 159 allows companies to elect to follow fair value accounting for certain financial assets and liabilities in an effort to mitigate volatility in earnings without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. The Company did not elect the fair value option for any of its financial instruments as of June 30, 2008 and therefore the adoption of this statement had no effect on the Company s financial statements.

In March 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. SFAS 161 amends and expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. It requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company does not expect the adoption of this pronouncement to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

11

Table of Contents

Item 2: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following is management s discussion and analysis of the significant changes in the consolidated financial condition of the Company as of June 30, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007 and the results of operations for the three- and six- months ended June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007. Current performance may not be indicative of future results. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company s 2007 Annual Report filed on Form 10-K.

Forward-looking statements

This Interim Report on Form 10-Q contains a number of forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. These statements may be identified by the use of the words anticipate, believe, could. estimate, expect, intend. may. outlook. plan, po project, should, will, would and similar terms and phrases, including references to assumptions. Forward-looking statements include risks and uncertainties.

Forward-looking statements are based on various assumptions and analyses made by us in light of management s experience and its perception of historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments, as well as other factors management believes are appropriate under the circumstances. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors (many of which are beyond our control) that could cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These factors include, without limitation, the following:

- the timing and occurrence or non-occurrence of events may be subject to circumstances beyond our control;
- there may be increases in competitive pressure among financial institutions or from non-financial institutions:
- changes in the interest rate environment may reduce interest margins;
- changes in deposit flows, loan demand or real estate values may adversely affect our business;
- changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines may cause our financial condition to be perceived differently;

| | 12 |
|---------------|---|
| | hould review the risk factors described in other documents that we file or furnish, from time to time, with the Securities and Exchangion, including Annual Reports to Shareholders, Annual Reports filed on Form 10-K and other current reports filed or furnished on |
| | nent cautions readers not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which reflect analyses only as of the date of this i. We have no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this document. |
| • | natural disaster. |
| • | acts of war or terrorism; or |
| • | success or consummation of new business initiatives may be more difficult or expensive than we anticipate; |
| • | technological changes may be more rapid, difficult or expensive than we anticipate; |
| • | legislative or regulatory changes may adversely affect our business; |
| • conditio | general economic conditions, either nationally or locally in some or all areas in which we do business, or one in the securities markets or the banking industry may be less favorable than we currently anticipate; |

Table of Contents

General

The Company s principal revenues are derived from interest, dividends and fees earned on its interest-earning assets, which are comprised of loans, securities and other short-term investments. The Company s principal expenses consist of interest paid on its interest-bearing liabilities, which are comprised of deposits, short- and long-term borrowings and operating and general expenses. The Company s profitability depends primarily on its net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income and interest expense. Interest income is generated from yields on interest-earning assets which consist principally of loans and investment securities. Interest expense is incurred from rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, which consist of deposits and borrowings. Net interest income is dependent upon the interest-rate spread (i.e., the difference between the yields earned on its interest-earning assets and the rates paid on its interest-bearing liabilities) and the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The interest rate spread is significantly impacted by: changes in interest rates and market yield curves and their related impact on cash flows; the composition and characteristics of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities; differences in the maturity and re-pricing characteristics of assets compared to the maturity and re-pricing characteristics of the liabilities that fund them and by the competition in our marketplace.

The Company s profitability is also affected by the level of its non-interest income and expenses, provision for loan losses and provision for income taxes. Non-interest income consists mostly of service charges on the Bank s loan and deposit products, trust and asset management service fees, increases in the cash surrender value of the bank owned life insurance (BOLI), net gains or losses from sales of loans and securities AFS and from the sales of other real estate (ORE) properties. Non-interest expense consists of compensation and related employee benefit expenses, occupancy, equipment, data processing, advertising, marketing, professional fees, insurance and other operating overhead.

The Company s profitability is significantly affected by general economic and competitive conditions, changes in market interest rates, government policies and actions of regulatory authorities. The Company s loan portfolio is comprised principally of commercial and commercial real estate loans. The properties underlying the Company s mortgages are concentrated in Northeastern Pennsylvania. Credit risk, which represents the possibility of the Company not recovering amounts due from its borrowers, is significantly related to local economic conditions in the areas the properties are located as well as the Company s underwriting standards. Economic conditions affect the market value of the underlying collateral as well as the levels of adequate cash flow and revenue generation from income-producing commercial properties.

COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008 AND JUNE 30, 2007

Overview

Net income for the second quarter of 2008 was \$1,204,000, a 4% increase compared to \$1,159,000 recorded in the same quarter of 2007. Diluted earnings per share were \$0.59 and \$0.56 for each of the respective periods. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, net income was \$2,293,000, or \$1.11 per share compared to \$2,203,000, or \$1.07 per share for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in net income for both the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the prior year periods, was principally the result of increased net interest income, partially offset by increases in the provision for loan losses and non-interest expense. In addition, non-interest income was down \$63,000, or 5%, in the second quarter of 2008 but up modestly in the first half of 2008 compared to the respective 2007 periods.

Return on average assets (ROA) and return on average shareholders equity (ROE) were 0.83% and 8.73%, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2008 compared to 0.82% and 8.79%, respectively, for the same period in 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, ROA and ROE were 0.78% and 8.26%, respectively, compared to 0.78% and 8.46% for the same period in 2007. The decrease in ROE is attributable to increased average equity fueled by a higher level of retained earnings.

Net interest income and interest sensitive assets / liabilities

Net interest income increased \$621,000, or 14%, to \$4,943,000 for the second quarter of 2008, from \$4,322,000 recorded in the same period of 2007. The increase in net interest income was principally due to a combination of: \$15,000,000 in growth of average earning assets, mostly in the investment portfolio; lower rates paid on interest-bearing deposits during the same period; offset by lower yield earned from all sources stemming from operating in a lower interest rate environment. Growth in the investment portfolio was the result of deposit growth and the sale of relatively low yielding, long-term mortgage loans, the proceeds of which were used to purchase shorter duration investments. However, despite

Table of Contents

a larger volume of interest-earning assets, interest income declined by approximately \$71,000 in the second quarter of 2008 compared to the second quarter of 2007 as yields earned from all sources were lower in the current year quarter.

Contributing to the improvement in net interest income was lower interest expense which decreased \$692,000, or 16%, in the current year s second quarter compared to last year s second quarter. Though interest-bearing deposits increased by \$34,000,000, on average, rates on deposits continue to decrease in concert with the current interest rate environment. Average rates paid on deposits have declined 74 basis points for the three months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007. This reduction in rate had a greater influence on interest expense than the increase in average balances, both of which combined for a net reduction in interest expense from deposits of \$391,000. The remaining reduction in interest expense is from reduced long- and short-term debt of \$14,100,000 in conjunction with a 64 basis point reduction in rates paid.

During the second quarter of 2008, the Company s tax-equivalent margin and spread were 3.71% and 3.18%, respectively, compared to 3.34% and 2.63% during the second quarter of 2007. The improvement in both spread and margin were from increased net interest income.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company s tax-equivalent margin and spread improved to 3.54% and 2.97%, respectively, from 3.35% and 2.65% during the same period of 2007. The improvements are largely from lower rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities most notably from deposits which decreased 57 basis points during the first half of 2008 compared to the first half of 2007, or a reduction of \$492,000 in interest expense. In addition, rates paid on borrowings declined 37 basis points which, when combined with a reduction in volume, resulted in a decrease in interest expense of \$330,000 during the first six months of 2008 compared to the first six months of 2007.

After a sustained period of treasury yield curve inversion throughout 2006 and 2007, the yield curve has turned positive after the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) periodically reduced the federal funds rate by 325 basis points since the end of the second quarter of 2007 and by 225 basis points since year-end 2007. Likewise, the national prime rate, the benchmark rate banks use to set rates on various lending and other interest sensitive financial instruments, has been reduced by similar amounts during the same periods. Generally, this situation causes floating rate assets to re-price at lower yields, and also causes fixed-rate assets to originate at yields lower than the yields earned in the prior comparable period, thereby reducing interest income. To help mitigate this effect, the Company sold \$28,100,000 of low yielding mortgage loans and used the proceeds to initially purchase investment securities and ultimately fund commercial loan growth.

The Company s Asset Liability Management team meets regularly to discuss interest rate risk and if necessary adjust interest rates on deposits in response to rate movements that emanate from the FOMC, so that net interest income is not disproportionately impacted during volatile rate environments. This proactive attention to interest rate risk in conjunction with our interest rate hedging strategy, as described in Note No. 4, Derivative instruments, within the notes to the consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1, will continue to help contain the Company s net interest income at acceptable levels.

The table that follows sets forth a comparison of average balance sheet amounts and their corresponding fully tax-equivalent (FTE) interest income and expense and annualized tax-equivalent yield and cost for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | | Three months ended June 30, | | | Six months ended June 30, | | | | Year ended December 31, | |
|--|----|--------------------------------|----|---------|------------------------------|---------|----|---------|----------------------------|---------|
| | | 2008 | , | 2007 | | 2008 | , | 2007 | | 2007 |
| Average interest-earning assets: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases | \$ | 407,208 | \$ | 420,551 | \$ | 409,151 | \$ | 422,952 | \$ | 424,781 |
| Investments | | 141,728 | | 114,561 | | 138,110 | | 109,569 | | 116,217 |
| Federal funds sold | | 1,268 | | 15 | | 6,721 | | 2,085 | | 1,948 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | | 118 | | 190 | | 135 | | 201 | | 184 |
| Total | \$ | 550,322 | \$ | 535,317 | \$ | 554,117 | \$ | 534,807 | \$ | 543,130 |
| Average interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other interest-bearing deposits | \$ | 197,552 | \$ | 196,513 | \$ | 194,424 | \$ | 198,328 | \$ | 194,452 |
| Certificates of deposit | - | 180,925 | | 147,909 | _ | 185,263 | - | 151,305 | - | 161,418 |
| Borrowed funds | | 70,896 | | 76,868 | | 69,796 | | 72,158 | | 71,573 |
| Repurchase agreements | | 10,234 | | 18,336 | | 12,803 | | 18,106 | | 19,580 |
| Total | \$ | 459,607 | \$ | 439,626 | \$ | 462,286 | \$ | 439,897 | \$ | 447,023 |
| I (PIPE) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest income (FTE): | Ф | 6.004 | Ф | 7.001 | Ф | 12.022 | Ф | 14.662 | Ф | 20, 477 |
| Loans and leases | \$ | 6,904 | \$ | 7,321 | \$ | 13,832 | \$ | 14,662 | \$ | 29,477 |
| Investments | | 1,834 | | 1,494 | | 3,690 | | 2,856 | | 6,219 |
| Federal funds sold | | 7 | | 1 | | 91 | | 55 | | 103 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | Φ. | 1 | Φ. | 2 | Φ. | 2 | Φ. | 5 | Φ. | 9 |
| Total | \$ | 8,746 | \$ | 8,818 | \$ | 17,615 | \$ | 17,578 | \$ | 35,808 |
| Interest expense: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other interest-bearing deposits | \$ | 852 | \$ | 1,554 | \$ | 1,946 | \$ | 3,184 | \$ | 6,029 |
| Certificates of deposit | | 1,980 | | 1,667 | | 4,119 | | 3,372 | | 7,341 |
| Borrowed funds | | 825 | | 1,024 | | 1,722 | | 1,902 | | 3,825 |
| Repurchase agreements | | 13 | | 116 | | 81 | | 231 | | 465 |
| Total | \$ | 3,670 | \$ | 4,361 | \$ | 7,868 | \$ | 8,689 | \$ | 17,660 |
| Net interest income (FTE) | \$ | 5,076 | \$ | 4,457 | \$ | 9,747 | \$ | 8,889 | \$ | 18,148 |
| Yield on average interest-earning assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases | | 6.82% | | 6.989 | 6 | 6.80% | | 6.999 | 6 | 6.94% |
| Investments | | 5.20% | | 5.239 | | 5.37% | | 5.269 | 6 | 5.35% |
| Federal funds sold | | 2.21% | | 5.289 | 6 | 2.73% | | 5.299 | 6 | 5.28% |
| Interest-bearing deposits | | 2.37% | | 4.079 | 6 | 2.69% | | 4.759 | 6 | 4.81% |
| Total | | 6.39% | | 6.61% | | 6.39% | | 6.63% | o o | 6.59% |
| Rates on average interest-bearing | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>liabilities</u> Other interest-bearing deposits | | 1.73% | | 3.179 | 6 | 2.01% | | 3.249 | 6 | 3.10% |
| Certificates of deposit | | 4.40% | | 4.52% | | 4.47% | | 4.49% | | 4.55% |
| Borrowed funds | | 4.40% | | 5.34% | | 4.96% | | 5.31% | | 5.34% |
| Repurchase agreements | | 0.53% | | 2.53% | | 1.27% | | 2.57% | | 2.38% |
| Total | | 3.21% | | 3.98% | | 3.42% | | 3.98% | | 3.95% |
| | | 5.21 /0 | | 5.767 | | 5.72/0 | | 5.767 | | 3.73 /0 |
| Net interest spread | | 3.18% | | 2.63% | | 2.97% | | 2.65% | | 2.64% |
| Net interest margin | | 3.71% | | 3.34% | 6 | 3.54% | | 3.35% | <i>o</i> | 3.34% |

In the table above, interest income was adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis to recognize the income from the various tax-exempt assets as if the interest was fully taxable. This treatment allows a uniform comparison among the yields on interest-earning assets. The calculations were computed on a fully tax-equivalent basis using the corporate federal tax rate of 34%. Net interest spread represents the difference between the yield on interest-earning assets and the rate on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin represents the ratio of net interest income to total

average interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents

Provision for loan losses

The provision for loan losses represents the necessary amount to charge against current earnings, the purpose of which is to increase the allowance for loan losses to a level that represents management s best estimate of known and inherent losses in the Company s loan portfolio. Loans and leases determined to be uncollectible are charged-off against the allowance for loan losses. The required amount of the provision for loan losses, based upon the adequate level of the allowance for loan losses, is subject to ongoing analysis of the loan portfolio. The Bank s Special Asset Committee meets periodically to review problem loans and leases. The committee is comprised of Bank management, including the chief risk officer, loan workout officers and collection personnel. The committee reports quarterly to the Credit Administration Committee of the Board of Directors.

Management continuously reviews the risks inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. Specific factors used to evaluate the adequacy of the loan loss provision during the formal process include:

- specific loans that could have loss potential;
- levels of and trends in delinquencies and non-accrual loans;
- levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries;
- trends in volume and terms of loans:
- changes in risk selection and underwriting standards;
- changes in lending policies, procedures and practices;
- experience, ability and depth of lending management;
- national and local economic trends and conditions; and
- changes in credit concentrations.

Provisions for loan losses amounting to \$125,000 have been recorded in the first half of 2008 all occurring in the second quarter, compared to no provision during the first half of 2007. Although the loan portfolio decreased since December 31, 2007, the provision for loan losses was necessary to fund new loan growth, particularly commercial and CRE loans. After taking into account the \$125,000 provision, charge-offs and recoveries, the allowance for loan losses was \$4,189,000 at June 30, 2008 as compared to \$4,824,000 as of December 31, 2007 and \$5,295,000 as of June 30, 2007. The reduced level of the loan portfolio, lower non-accrual loans and reduced criticized and classified loans has resulted in a lower calculated level for the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2008. The lower level of the allowance has been deemed adequate to absorb the known and inherent losses in the loan portfolio at June 30, 2008. For a further discussion on the allowance for loan losses and non-accrual loans, see Comparison of Financial Condition at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, below.

Other income

In the second quarter of 2008, other (non-interest) income declined \$63,000, or 5%, to \$1,266,000 from \$1,329,000 recorded in second quarter of 2007. Most of the decline, or \$127,000, was the result of large gains recorded from the sale of foreclosed properties less the \$23,000 write-off of furniture and equipment that was taken out of service in conjunction with the Company s branch relocation project in the 2007 quarter that did not recur in 2008. Partially offsetting this disparity were gains from the sales of mortgages AFS which increased \$28,000, or nearly doubled, from the gains recognized in the 2007 quarter.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, other income improved by \$18,000, or 1%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007. The Company experienced \$106,000 of growth in deposit service fees and increased sales of residential mortgages into the secondary market, that has resulted in \$83,000 more net gains in the current year compared to the prior year. These were partially offset by the non-recurring gains from the sale of the foreclosed properties and from the sale of a commercial building that the Company previously leased to an unrelated third party, net of the asset write-offs.

Other operating expenses

For the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008, other (non-interest) expenses increased \$357,000, or 9%, and \$637,000, or 8%, respectively, compared to the same 2007 periods. The most significant impact was caused by increased salaries and related employee benefits, which rose \$307,000 in the quarter-to-quarter period and \$590,000 in the year-to-date comparative periods, or 14% in each of the periods. The increase was due to payroll and incentive increases, an increase in the number of full-time equivalent employees, higher stock-based compensation expense, more employee related insurance costs and wider participation in the Company s 401(k) plan. Further contributing to the increase in other expenses for the second quarter and first half of 2008 were from collection expenses associated with legal and foreclosure fees, the timing of charitable donations made and normal increases in other operational expenses.

COMPARISON OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AT

JUNE 30, 2008 AND DECEMBER 31, 2007

Overview

Consolidated assets increased \$11,151,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The increase was caused by a \$22,526,000, or 5%, increase in deposits partially offset by a \$9,982,000, 25%, reduction in short-term borrowings and a \$2,139,000, 3%, decline in shareholders equity. The decline in shareholders equity was caused by increased levels of unrealized losses in the securities AFS portfolio.

Investment securities

At the time of purchase, management classifies investment securities into one of three categories: trading, AFS or held-to- maturity (HTM). To date, management has not purchased any securities for trading purposes. Most of the securities purchased are classified as AFS even though there is no immediate intent to sell them. The AFS designation affords management the flexibility to sell securities and position the balance sheet in response to capital levels, liquidity needs or changes in market conditions. Securities AFS are carried at net fair value in the consolidated balance sheet with an adjustment to shareholders equity, net of tax, presented under the caption Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Securities designated as HTM are carried at amortized cost and represent debt securities that the Company has the ability and intent to hold until maturity.

As of June 30, 2008, the carrying value of investment securities totaled \$129,244,000, or 22% of total assets compared to \$122,984,000 or 21% of total assets at December 31, 2007. At June 30, 2008, approximately 33% of the carrying value of the investment portfolio was comprised of mortgage-backed securities that amortize and provide monthly cash flow. Agency, corporate and municipal bonds comprised 42%, 13% and 12%, respectively, of the investment portfolio at June 30, 2008. Furthermore, management sold \$16.4 million of securities AFS to produce needed liquidity in July 2008.

Total investments increased \$6,260,000, net of a \$5,352,000 decline in the market value of AFS investments. The increase in the investment portfolio was mainly from the liquidity created from the sale of mortgage loans. The amortized cost and fair market value of investment securities is comprised of HTM and AFS securities with carrying values of \$1,060,000 and \$128,184,000, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, the AFS debt securities were recorded with a net unrealized loss in the amount of \$6,986,000 and equity securities were recorded with an unrealized gain of \$147,000. A comparison of investment securities at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 is as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------|------|--|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % | |
| U.S. government agencies | \$ 54,357 | 42.1 \$ | 35,244 | 28.6 | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 42,611 | 33.0 | 58,767 | 47.8 | |
| State & municipal subdivisions | 14,934 | 11.5 | 12,133 | 9.9 | |
| Preferred term securities | 16,866 | 13.0 | 16,335 | 13.3 | |
| Equity securities | 476 | 0.4 | 505 | 0.4 | |

Total investments \$ 129,244 100.0 \$ 122,984 100.0

17

Table of Contents

The amortized cost and fair value of investments at June 30, 2008 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Amortized cost | | Gross unrealized gains | | Gross unrealized losses | Fair value | |
|--|----------------|----|------------------------|----|----------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 1,060 | \$ | 33 | \$ | | \$ | 1,093 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government agencies and corporations | \$ 55,516 | \$ | 97 | \$ | 1,256 | \$ | 54,357 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 15,178 | | 34 | | 278 | | 14,934 |
| Corporate bonds | 21,930 | | | | 5,064 | | 16,866 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 42,070 | | 24 | | 543 | | 41,551 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total debt securities | 134,694 | | 155 | | 7,141 | | 127,708 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Equity securities | 329 | | 160 | | 13 | | 476 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total available-for-sale | \$ 135,023 | \$ | 315 | \$ | 7,154 | \$ | 128,184 |

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities at June 30, 2008 by contractual maturity are as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Amortized cost | Market value | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 1,060 | \$ 1,093 | | |
| | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ | \$ | | |
| Due after one year through five years | 3,000 | 3,011 | | |
| Due after five years through ten years | 14,935 | 14,984 | | |
| Due after ten years | 74,689 | 68,163 | | |
| Total debt securities | 92,624 | 86,158 | | |
| | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 42,070 | 41,550 | | |
| | | | | |
| Total available-for-sale debt securities | \$ 134,694 | \$ 127,708 | | |

Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers and borrowers may have the right to call or repay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalty. Federal agency and municipal securities are included based on their original stated maturity.

Mortgage-backed securities, which are based on weighted-average lives and subject to monthly principal pay-downs, are listed in total.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis or more frequently when economic conditions or market conditions warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less

than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

Table of Contents

Additional consideration may be given to support the realizable value of securities in the corporate bond portfolio, consisting of bank trust preferred securities, in order to determine collateral sufficiency and/or a significant adverse change in the future cash flows, if occurred would create an other-than-temporary impairment. The market value of the Company s investment in trust preferred securities has declined by \$3.9 million since December 31, 2007. The decline is from lower market values, based upon analyses of limited market activity to determine an exit price by the third party traders, who make a market for these issues. The market activity for these types of securities has significantly declined since the end of 2007. In response, the Company obtained a collateral balance stress test and discounted cash flow projection on each issue. The results as of June 30, 2008 indicate adequate collateral balances exist and there is not a significant change in the cash flow behavior based upon current market conditions. In addition, all of the securities are current with respect to payment of principal and interest. The Company intends to closely monitor the trust preferred market and perform collateral balance and cash flow analyses on at least a quarterly basis. However, future analyses could yield different results that may require an other-than-temporary charge to current earnings. Management has determined that based upon the above analyses, as of June 30, 2008, other-than-temporary impairment does not exist.

At June 30, 2008, the AFS debt securities portfolio was carried at a net unrealized loss of \$6,986,000 compared to a net unrealized loss of \$1,663,000 at December 31, 2007. Management believes the cause of the unrealized losses is directly related to changes in interest rates or the limited trading activity due to recent debt market illiquid conditions and is not directly related to credit quality, which is consistent with its past experience. In addition, the Company has the ability and intent to hold its investments for a period of time sufficient for the fair value of the securities to recover, which may be at maturity. There were no other-than-temporary impairment write-downs recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2008 nor have there been any write-downs recorded for the year-ended December 31, 2007.

Loans available-for-sale (AFS)

Generally, upon origination, certain residential mortgages are classified as AFS. In the event of market rate increases, fixed-rate loans and loans not immediately scheduled to re-price would no longer produce yields consistent with the current market. In a declining interest rate environment, the Bank would be exposed to prepayment risk and, as rates decrease, interest income would be negatively affected. Consideration is given to the Company s current liquidity position and projected future liquidity needs. To better manage prepayment and interest rate risk, loans that meet these conditions may be classified as AFS. The carrying value of loans AFS is at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. If the fair values of these loans fall below their original cost, the difference is written down and charged to current earnings. Subsequent appreciation in the portfolio is credited to current earnings but only to the extent of previous write-downs.

Loans AFS at June 30, 2008 amounted to \$152,000 with a corresponding fair value of \$154,000, compared to \$827,000 and \$843,000, respectively, at December 31, 2007. During the first half of 2008, residential mortgages with principal balances of \$37,540,000 were sold into the secondary market with net gains of approximately \$151,000 recognized. Included in the sale were \$28,100,000 of residential loans transferred from the loan and lease portfolio.

Loans and leases

The Company originates commercial and industrial (commercial) and commercial real estate (CRE) loans, residential mortgages, consumer, home equity and construction loans. The relative volume of originations is dependent upon customer demand, current interest rates and the perception and duration of future interest levels. The broad spectrum of products provides diversification that helps manage, to an extent, interest rate and credit concentration risk. Credit risk is further managed through underwriting policies and procedures and loan monitoring practices. Interest rate risk is managed using various asset/liability modeling techniques and analyses. The interest rates on most commercial loans are adjustable with reset intervals of five years or less.

The majority of the Company s loan portfolio is collateralized, at least in part, by real estate in Lackawanna and Luzerne Counties of Pennsylvania. Commercial lending activities generally involve a greater degree of credit risk than consumer lending because they typically have larger balances and are more affected by adverse conditions in the economy. Because payments on commercial loans depend upon the successful operation and management of the properties and the businesses which operate from within them, repayment of such loans may be affected by factors outside the borrower s control. Such factors may include adverse conditions in the real estate market, the economy, the industry or changes in government regulations. As such, commercial loans require more ongoing evaluation and monitoring which occurs with the Bank s credit administration and outsourced loan review functions.

Table of Contents

The composition of the loan portfolio at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, is summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | June 30, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|---------------------------|---------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Commercial and CRE | \$ 230,506 | 55.1 | \$ 216,058 | 50.7 |
| Residential real estate | 92,704 | 22.1 | 116,978 | 27.5 |
| Consumer | 83,675 | 20.0 | 81,998 | 19.2 |
| Real estate construction | 11,135 | 2.7 | 10,703 | 2.5 |
| Direct financing leases | 478 | 0.1 | 511 | 0.1 |
| Gross loans | 418,498 | 100.0 | 426,248 | 100.0 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (4,189) | | (4,824) | |
| Net loans | \$ 414,309 | | \$ 421,424 | |

Gross loans declined from \$426,248,000, as of December 31, 2007 to \$418,498,000 at June 30, 2008. The decrease is mostly from the transfer from the loan and lease portfolio to the AFS portfolio and simultaneous sale of \$28,100,000 of residential mortgage loans partially offset by increased origination activity from our commercial lending department. The restructuring of the Company s team of commercial lending officers in conjunction with the hiring of a new senior lender earlier this year has enabled us to better serve our existing customers and strategically penetrate our market area.

Allowance for loan losses

Management continually evaluates the credit quality of the Bank s loan portfolio and performs a formal review of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses (the allowance), on a quarterly basis. The allowance reflects management s best estimate of the amount of credit losses in the loan portfolio. Management s judgment is based on the evaluation of individual loans, past experience, the assessment of current economic conditions and other relevant factors including the amounts and timing of cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans. Those estimates may be susceptible to significant change. The provision for loan losses represents the amount necessary to maintain an appropriate allowance. Loan losses are charged directly against the allowance when loans are deemed to be uncollectible. Recoveries from previously charged-off loans are added to the allowance when received.

Management applies two primary components during the loan review process to determine proper allowance levels. The two components are a specific loan loss allocation for loans that are deemed impaired and a general loan loss allocation for those loans not specifically allocated. The methodology to analyze the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

- identification of specific impaired loans by loan category;
- calculation of specific allowances where required for the impaired loans based on collateral and other objective and quantifiable evidence;
- determination of homogenous pools by loan category and eliminating the impaired loans;

- application of historical loss percentages (five-year average) to pools to determine the allowance allocation; and
- application of qualitative factor adjustment percentages to historical losses for trends or changes in the loan portfolio.

Allocation of the allowance for different categories of loans is based on the methodology as explained above. A key element of the methodology to determine the allowance is the Company s credit risk evaluation process, which includes credit risk grading of individual commercial loans. Commercial loans are assigned credit risk grades based on the Company s assessment of conditions that affect the borrower s ability to meet its contractual obligations under the loan agreement. That process includes reviewing borrowers current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and other information specific to each individual borrower. The changes in allocations in the commercial loan portfolio from period to period are based upon the credit risk grading system and from periodic reviews of the loan and lease portfolios.

Each quarter, management performs an assessment of the allowance and the provision for loan losses. The Company's Special Assets Committee meets quarterly and the applicable lenders discuss each relationship under review and reach a consensus on the appropriate estimated loss amount based on SFAS 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*. This Committee's focus is on ensuring the pertinent facts are considered and the SFAS 114 reserve amounts are reasonable.

Table of Contents

The assessment process includes the review of all loans on a non-accruing basis as well as a review of certain loans to which the lenders or the Company's Credit Administration function have assigned a criticized or classified risk rating.

Total charge-offs, net of recoveries, for the six months ended June 30, 2008, were \$761,000, compared to \$150,000 for the same period in 2007. Consumer loan net charge-offs were \$218,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008, an increase of \$86,000 from the \$132,000 recorded for the like period in 2007. Commercial loan net charge-offs were \$510,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$30,000 recorded in the six months ending June 30, 2007. The increase in the commercial loan charge-offs during the six months resulted from the final resolution of an impaired loan and the transfer of a foreclosed property to other real estate owned (ORE) for which a specific reserve had been previously allocated. Mortgage loans incurred net charge-offs of \$32,000 in the first six months of 2008 compared to net recoveries of \$12,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007.

Management believes that the current balance in the allowance for loan losses of \$4,189,000 is sufficient to withstand the identified potential credit quality issues which may arise and other unidentified credit risks that are inherent to the portfolio. Currently, management is unaware of any potential problem loans that have not been reviewed. Potential problem loans are those where there is known information that leads management to believe repayment of principal and/or interest is in jeopardy and the loans are currently neither on non-accrual status nor past due 90 days or more. However, there could be certain instances which become identified over the upcoming year that may require additional charge-offs and/or increases to the allowance. The ratio of allowance for loan losses to total loans was 1.00% at June 30, 2008 compared to 1.13% at December 31, 2007.

The following tables set forth the activity in the allowance for loan losses and certain key ratios for the period indicated:

| | As of and for the six months ended June 30, 2008 | As of and for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 | As of and for the six months ended June 30, 2007 |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 4,824,401 | \$ 5,444,303 | \$ 5,444,303 |
| (Credit) provision for loan losses | 125,000 | (60,000) | |
| Charge-offs: | | | |
| Commercial | 587,029 | 375,356 | 41,942 |
| Residential real estate | 31,870 | 90,193 | 1,586 |
| Consumer | 236,797 | 256,215 | 146,986 |
| Total | 855,696 | 721,764 | 190,514 |
| Recoveries: | | | |
| Commercial | 76,482 | 18,076 | 12,415 |
| Residential real estate | 50 | 125,002 | 13,603 |
| Consumer | 18,334 | 18,784 | 14,730 |
| Total | 94,866 | 161,862 | 40,748 |
| | | | |
| Net charge-offs | 760,830 | 559,902 | 149,766 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 4,188,571 | \$ 4,824,401 | \$ 5,294,537 |
| Total loans, end of period | \$ 418,650,319 | \$ 427,076,030 | \$ 425,653,831 |

Table of Contents

| | As of and for the six months ended June 30, 2008 | twelve m | and for the conths ended per 31, 2007 | As of and for the six months ended June 30, 2007 |
|--|--|----------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Net charge-offs to: | | | | |
| Loans, end of period | | 0.18% | 0.13% | 0.04% |
| Allowance for loan losses | 1 | 8.16% | 11.61% | 2.83% |
| Provision for loan losses | | 6.09x | X | X |
| | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses to: | | | | |
| Total loans | | 1.00% | 1.13% | 1.24% |
| Non-accrual loans | | 1.14x | 1.27x | 1.21x |
| Non-performing loans | | 1.09x | 1.26x | 1.18x |
| Net charge-offs | | 5.51x | 8.62x | 35.35x |
| | | | | |
| Loans 30-89 days past due and still accruing | \$ 2,199 | ,056 \$ | 4,697,953 \$ | 6,596,917 |
| Loans 90 days past due and accruing | \$ 177 | ,431 \$ | 25,470 \$ | 134,485 |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 3,667 | ,929 \$ | 3,811,205 \$ | 4,363,589 |
| Allowance for loan losses to loans 90 days or more | | | | |
| past due and accruing | 2 | 3.61x | 189.41x | 39.37x |

Non-performing assets

The Bank defines non-performing assets as accruing loans past due 90 days or more, non-accrual loans, restructured loans, ORE and repossessed assets. As of June 30, 2008, non-performing assets represented 0.79% of total assets compared to 0.67% at December 31, 2007.

In the review of loans for both delinquency and collateral sufficiency, management concluded that there were loans that lacked the ability to repay in accordance with contractual terms. The decision to place loans or leases on a non-accrual status is made on an individual basis after considering factors pertaining to each specific loan.

The majority of non-performing assets for the period is attributed to non-accruing commercial business loans and non-accruing real estate loans in the process of foreclosure. Most of these loans are collateralized, thereby mitigating the Bank s potential for loss. At June 30, 2008, non-performing loans were \$3,845,000 compared to \$3,837,000 at year-end 2007.

There were no repossessed assets or restructured loans at June 30, 2008 or December 31, 2007. Specific action plans are developed for each of the Company s non-performing assets. These plans at times include litigation and foreclosure. As such, our efforts to resolve non-performing assets may lead to a temporary increase in our ORE during the latter part of this year. Any increase is expected to be temporary as it is our practice to promptly market or list all such properties for sale with a local realtor.

Non-accrual loans aggregated \$3,668,000 at June 30, 2008, compared to \$3,811,000 at December 13, 2007. Additions to the non-accruals component of the non-performing assets totaling \$3,750,000 were made during the first six months of 2008. These were partially offset by payoffs or reductions of \$2,234,000, charge-offs of \$745,000, \$790,000 in transfers to ORE and \$124,000 of loans that returned to performing status. Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing totaled \$177,000 at June 30, 2008. The non-accrual loans were \$3,668,000 and ORE totaled \$897,000. These three items comprise the non-performing assets of \$4,742,000 at the period end June 30, 2008. Non-performing loans

to net loans were 0.93% at June 30, 2008 and 0.91% at December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth non-performing assets data as of the period indicated:

| | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 | June 30, 2007 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing | \$ 177,431 | \$ 25,470 | \$ 134,485 |
| Non-accrual loans | 3,667,929 | 3,811,205 | 4,363,589 |
| Total non-performing loans | 3,845,360 | 3,836,675 | 4,498,074 |
| | | | |
| Other real estate owned | 897,036 | 107,036 | |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 4,742,396 | \$ 3,943,711 | \$ 4,498,074 |
| | | | |
| Net loans including AFS | \$ 414,461,748 | \$ 422,251,629 | \$ 420,358,294 |
| Total assets | \$ 598,563,896 | \$ 587,412,555 | \$ 573,492,468 |
| Non-accrual loans to net loans | 0.88% | 0.90% | 1.04% |
| Non-performing assets to net loans, ORE and repossessed | | | |
| assets | 1.14% | 0.93% | 1.07% |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 0.79% | 0.67% | 0.78% |
| Non-performing loans to net loans | 0.93% | 0.91% | 1.07% |

Foreclosed assets held-for-sale

At June 30, 2008, foreclosed assets held-for-sale was comprised of three ORE properties amounting to \$897,000. One property has since been sold, a second is under an agreement to sell and the other is listed for sale with a local realtor.

Other assets

The increase in other assets of \$4,926,000 from December 31, 2007 to June 30, 2008 was principally due to an increase in the deferred tax asset related to additional unrealized losses recorded in the securities AFS investment portfolio, a net increase in capitalized construction costs related to activities associated with the Company s branch expansion project and the recording of an investment security receivable that is scheduled to settle during the third quarter of this year. The Company expects to launch the opening of its newest branch office during the third quarter of 2008. Capitalized construction costs will then be transferred to premises and equipment at which time systematic depreciation will commence.

Deposits

The Bank is a community-based commercial financial institution that offers a variety of deposit accounts with a range of interest rates and terms. Deposit products include savings accounts, interest-bearing checking (NOW), money market, non-interest bearing deposits (DDAs) and certificates of deposit accounts. Certificates of deposit accounts, or CDs, are deposits with stated maturities ranging from seven days to ten years. The flow of deposits is significantly influenced by general economic conditions, changes in prevailing interest rates, pricing and competition. Most of the Company s deposits are obtained from the communities surrounding its 11 branch offices. The Bank attempts to attract and retain deposit customers via sales and marketing efforts, new products, quality service, competitive rates and maintaining long-standing

customer relationships. To determine deposit product interest rates, the Company considers local competition, market yields and the rates charged for alternative sources of funding such as borrowings. Though we continue to experience intense competition for deposits, our rate-setting strategy includes consideration of liquidity needs, balance sheet structure and cost effective strategies that are mindful of the current interest rate environment.

Compared to December 31, 2007 total deposits grew \$22,526,000, or 5%, during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The growth in total deposits was primarily due to increases in money markets, DDAs and NOW accounts of \$13,553,000, or 15%, \$9,969,000, or 15%, and \$3,734,000, or 7%, respectively, partially offset by lower CD balances. The net increase stems from seasonal activity with our municipal customers and higher period end balances in non-personal DDA accounts. In July 2008, the Company experienced significant deposit outflows from these municipal customers normal operations and a reduction in balances by our largest depositor.

Table of Contents

The following table represents the components of deposits as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 (dollars in thousands):

| | | June 30, 2008 | | | December 31, 2007 | | | |
|-------------------------|----|---------------|-------|----|-------------------|-------|--|--|
| | A | Amount | % | | Amount | % | | |
| Money market | \$ | 101,445 | 22.6 | \$ | 87,892 | 20.6 | | |
| NOW | | 58,429 | 13.0 | | 54,695 | 12.9 | | |
| Savings and club | | 39,527 | 8.8 | | 40,125 | 9.4 | | |
| Certificates of deposit | | 174,068 | 38.9 | | 178,200 | 41.9 | | |
| Total interest-bearing | | 373,469 | 83.3 | | 360,912 | 84.8 | | |
| Non-interest-bearing | | 74,765 | 16.7 | | 64,796 | 15.2 | | |
| Total deposits | \$ | 448,234 | 100.0 | \$ | 425,708 | 100.0 | | |

Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more aggregated \$76,054,000 and \$80,857,000 at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

Borrowings

Borrowings are used as a complement to deposit generation as an alternative funding source whereby the Bank will borrow under customer repurchase agreements in the local market and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB) and correspondent banks for asset growth and liquidity needs. Repurchase agreements are non-insured interest-bearing liabilities that have a security interest in qualified investment securities of the Bank and are offered in both sweep and fixed-term products. A sweep account is designed to ensure that, on a daily basis, an attached DDA is adequately funded and excess DDA funds are transferred, or swept, into an overnight interest-bearing repurchase agreement account. The balance in customer repurchase agreement accounts can fluctuate daily because the daily sweep product is dependent on the level of available funds in depositor accounts. In addition, short-term borrowings may include overnight balances which the Bank may require from time-to-time to fund daily liquidity needs. Overnight balances and repurchase agreements are components of short-term borrowings on the consolidated balance sheets. FHLB advances are components of long-term debt on the consolidated balance sheets.

The following table represents the components of borrowings as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 (dollars in thousands):

| | June 30, 2008 | | | December 31, 2007 | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------|----|-------------------|-------|--|
| | Amount | % | | Amount | % | |
| Overnight borrowings | \$ 18,700 | 20.3 | \$ | 18,950 | 18.5 | |
| Repurchase agreements | 10,112 | 11.0 | | 20,504 | 20.0 | |
| Demand note, U.S. Treasury | 862 | 0.9 | | 202 | 0.2 | |
| FHLB advances | 62,286 | 67.8 | | 62,709 | 61.3 | |
| Total borrowings | \$ 91,960 | 100.0 | \$ | 102,365 | 100.0 | |

The reduction in repurchase agreements was caused by a combination of pricing competition for large repos above wholesale rates, as well as the volatile nature of the daily sweep product.

Accrued interest payable and other liabilities

The increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities of \$1,170,000 from December 31, 2007 to June 30, 2008 was primarily due to the recording of an \$850,000 investment security purchase-commitment that will settle in the third quarter and an increase in accrued interest from higher interest-bearing balances.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Management of interest rate risk and market risk analysis

The Company is subject to the interest rate risks inherent in its lending, investing and financing activities. Fluctuations of interest rates will impact interest income and interest expense along with affecting market values of all interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, except for those assets or liabilities with a short term remaining to maturity. Interest rate risk management is an integral part of the asset/liability management process. The Company has instituted certain procedures and policy guidelines to manage the interest rate risk position. Those internal policies enable the Company to react to changes in market rates to protect net interest income from significant fluctuations. The primary objective in managing interest rate risk is to minimize the adverse impact of changes in interest rates on net interest income along with creating an asset/liability structure that maximizes earnings.

Asset/Liability Management. One major objective of the Company when managing the rate sensitivity of its assets and liabilities is to stabilize net interest income. The management of and authority to assume interest rate risk is the responsibility of the Company s Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO), which is comprised of senior management and members of the board of directors. ALCO meets quarterly to monitor the relationship of interest sensitive assets to interest sensitive liabilities. The process to review interest rate risk is a regular part of managing the Company. Consistent policies and practices of measuring and reporting interest rate risk exposure, particularly regarding the treatment of non-contractual assets and liabilities, are in effect. In addition, there is an annual process to review the interest rate risk policy with the board of directors which includes limits on the impact to earnings from shifts in interest rates.

Interest Rate Risk Measurement. Interest rate risk is monitored through the use of three complementary measures: static gap analysis, earnings at risk simulation and economic value at risk simulation. While each of the interest rate risk measurements has limitations, taken together they represent a reasonably comprehensive view of the magnitude of interest rate risk in the Company and the distribution of risk along the yield curve, the level of risk through time and the amount of exposure to changes in certain interest rate relationships.

Static Gap. The ratio between assets and liabilities re-pricing in specific time intervals is referred to as an interest rate sensitivity gap. Interest rate sensitivity gaps can be managed to take advantage of the slope of the yield curve as well as forecasted changes in the level of interest rate changes.

To manage this interest rate sensitivity gap position, an asset/liability model commonly known as cumulative gap analysis is used to monitor the difference in the volume of the Company s interest sensitive assets and liabilities that mature or re-price within given time intervals. A positive gap (asset sensitive) indicates that more assets will re-price during a given period compared to liabilities, while a negative gap (liability sensitive) has the opposite effect. The Company employs computerized net interest income simulation modeling to assist in quantifying interest rate risk exposure. This process measures and quantifies the impact on net interest income through varying interest rate changes and balance sheet compositions. The use of this model assists the ALCO to gauge the effects of the interest rate changes on interest-sensitive assets and

liabilities in order to determine what impact these rate changes will have upon the net interest spread. At June 30, 2008 the Bank maintained a one-year cumulative gap of negative \$34.0 million, or 5.7%, of total assets. The effect of this negative gap position provided a mismatch of assets and liabilities which may expose the Bank to interest rate risk during periods of rising interest rates. Conversely, in a falling interest rate environment, net interest income could be positively impacted because more liabilities than assets would re-price downward during the one-year period.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis discussed above and presented in the next table. Although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods of re-pricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. The interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types of assets and liabilities may lag behind changes in market interest rates. Certain assets, such as adjustable-rate mortgages, have features which restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. In the event of a change in interest rates, prepayment and early withdrawal levels may deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the table. The ability of many borrowers to service their adjustable-rate debt may decrease in the event of an interest rate increase.

Table of Contents

The following table illustrates the Company s interest sensitivity gap position at June 30, 2008 (dollars in thousands):

| | Т | hree months or less | tv | Three to welve months | | One to three years | | Over three years | | Total |
|---|----|------------------------|----|-----------------------|----|--------------------|----|---------------------|----|---------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 367 | \$ | | \$ | · | \$ | 15,673 | \$ | 16,040 |
| Investment securities (1)(2) | | 26,285 | | 16,667 | | 16,620 | | 74,030 | | 133,602 |
| Loans (2) | | 112,836 | | 66,370 | | 95,827 | | 139,429 | | 414,462 |
| Fixed and other assets | | | | 8,646 | | | | 25,814 | | 34,460 |
| Total assets | \$ | 139,488 | \$ | 91,683 | \$ | 112,447 | \$ | 254,946 | \$ | 598,564 |
| Total cumulative assets | \$ | 139,488 | \$ | 231,171 | \$ | 343,618 | \$ | 598,564 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-interest-bearing transaction deposits (3) | \$ | | \$ | 7,476 | \$ | 20,561 | \$ | 46,728 | \$ | 74,765 |
| Interest-bearing transaction deposits (3) | | 88,381 | | | | 43,016 | | 68,004 | | 199,401 |
| Certificates of deposit | | 39,680 | | 79,660 | | 51,148 | | 3,580 | | 174,068 |
| Repurchase agreements | | 10,112 | | | | | | | | 10,112 |
| Short-term borrowings | | 19,562 | | | | | | | | 19,562 |
| Long-term debt | | 10,214 | | 10,071 | | 21,000 | | 21,001 | | 62,286 |
| Other liabilities | | | | | | | | 5,318 | | 5,318 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 167,949 | \$ | 97,207 | \$ | 135,725 | \$ | 144,631 | \$ | 545,512 |
| Total cumulative liabilities | \$ | 167,949 | \$ | 265,156 | \$ | 400,881 | \$ | 545,512 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest sensitivity gap | \$ | (28,461) | \$ | (5,524) | \$ | (23,278) | \$ | 110,315 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cumulative gap | \$ | (28,461) | \$ | (33,985) | \$ | (57,263) | \$ | 53,052 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cumulative gap to total assets | | (4.75) | % | (5.68)% | % | $(9.57)^{\circ}$ | % | 8.86% | 'n | |

⁽¹⁾ Includes FHLB stock and the net unrealized gains/losses on securities AFS.

Earnings at Risk and Economic Value at Risk Simulations. The Company recognizes that more sophisticated tools exist for measuring the interest rate risk in the balance sheet that extend beyond static re-pricing gap analysis. Although it will continue to measure its re-pricing gap position, the Company utilizes additional modeling for identifying and measuring the interest rate risk in the overall balance sheet. The ALCO is responsible for focusing on earnings at risk and economic value at risk , and how both relate to the risk-based capital position when analyzing the interest rate risk.

Earnings at Risk. Earnings at risk simulation measures the change in net interest income and net income should interest rates rise and fall. The simulation recognizes that not all assets and liabilities re-price one-for-one with market rates

⁽²⁾ Investments and loans are included in the earlier of the period in which interest rates were next scheduled to adjust or the period in which they are due. In addition, loans are included in the periods in which they are scheduled to be repaid based on scheduled amortization. For amortizing loans and mortgage-backed securities, annual prepayment rates are assumed reflecting historical experience as well as management s knowledge and experience of its loan products.

⁽³⁾ The Bank s demand and savings accounts are generally subject to immediate withdrawal. However, management considers a certain amount of such accounts to be core accounts having significantly longer effective maturities based on the retention experiences of such deposits in changing interest rate environments. The effective maturities presented are the recommended maturity distribution limits for non-maturing deposits based on historical deposit studies.

(e.g., savings rate). The ALCO looks at earnings at risk to determine income changes from a base case scenario under an increase and decrease of 200 basis points in interest rate simulation models.

Economic Value at Risk. Earnings at risk simulation measures the short-term risk in the balance sheet. Economic value (or portfolio equity) at risk measures the long-term risk by finding the net present value of the future cash flows from the Company s existing assets and liabilities. The ALCO examines this ratio quarterly utilizing an increase and decrease of 200 basis points in interest rate simulation models. The ALCO recognizes that, in some instances, this ratio may contradict the earnings at risk ratio.

Table of Contents

The following table illustrates the simulated impact of 200 basis points upward or downward movement in interest rates on net interest income, net income and the change in the economic value (portfolio equity). This analysis assumes that interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability levels at June 30, 2008 remain constant. The impact of the rate movements was developed by simulating the effect of rates changing over a twelve-month period from the June 30, 2008 levels:

| | Rates +200 | Rates -200 |
|---|------------|-------------------|
| Earnings at risk: | | |
| Percent change in: | | |
| Net interest income | (3.3)% | 1.9% |
| Net income | (8.7) | 4.7 |
| | | |
| Economic value at risk: | | |
| Percent change in: | | |
| Economic value of equity | (28.8) | 1.5 |
| Economic value of equity as a percent of total assets | (3.1) | 0.2 |

Economic value has the most meaning when viewed within the context of risk-based capital. Therefore, the economic value may normally change beyond the Company s policy guideline for a short period of time as long as the risk-based capital ratio (after adjusting for the excess equity exposure) is greater than 10%. At June 30, 2008, the Company s risk-based capital ratio was 14.1%.

The table below summarizes estimated changes in net interest income over a twelve-month period beginning July 1, 2008 under alternate interest rate scenarios using the income simulation model described above (dollars in thousands):

| Change in interest rates | N | Net interest income | • | \$ variance | % variance |
|--------------------------|----|------------------------|----|----------------|---------------|
| +200 basis points | \$ | 19,623 | \$ | (675) | (3.3)% |
| +100 basis points | | 20,053 | | (245) | (1.2) |
| Flat rate | | 20,298 | | | |
| -100 basis points | | 20,512 | | 214 | 1.1 |
| -200 basis points | | 20,674 | | 376 | 1.9 |

Simulation models require assumptions about certain categories of assets and liabilities. The models schedule existing assets and liabilities by their contractual maturity, estimated likely call date or earliest re-pricing opportunity. Mortgage-backed securities and amortizing loans are scheduled based on their anticipated cash flow including estimated prepayments. For investment securities, the Bank uses a third-party service to provide cash flow estimates in the various rate environments. Savings, money market and NOW accounts do not have a stated maturity or re-pricing term and can be withdrawn or re-priced at any time. This may impact the margin if more expensive alternative sources of deposits are required to fund loans or deposit runoff. Management projects the re-pricing characteristics of these accounts based on historical performance and assumptions that it believes reflect their rate sensitivity. The model reinvests all maturities, repayments and prepayments for each type of asset or liability into the same product for a new like term at current product interest rates provided by management. As a result, the mix of interest-earning assets and interest bearing-liabilities is held constant.

Derivative Financial Instruments. As part of the Bank s overall interest rate risk strategy, the Company has adopted a policy whereby the Company may periodically use derivative instruments to minimize significant fluctuations in earnings

caused by interest rate volatility. This interest rate risk management strategy entails the use of interest rate floors, caps and swaps. In October 2006, the Bank entered into an interest rate floor derivative agreement on \$20,000,000 notional value of its prime-based loan portfolio. The purpose of the hedge is to help protect the Bank s interest income in the event interest rates decline below a pre-determined contractual interest rate. The strategy is reflected in the scenarios for earnings and economic value at risk and the net interest income in the two immediately preceding tables. For a further discussion on the Bank s derivative contract, see Note No. 4, Derivative instruments, contained within the notes to consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1.

| Т | ab | le | of | Cor | itents |
|---|----|----|----|-----|--------|
| | | | | | |

Liquidity

Liquidity management ensures that adequate funds will be available to meet loan and investment commitments, deposit withdrawals and maturities and normal operating requirements of the Bank. Current sources of liquidity are cash and cash equivalents, asset maturities, calls and principal repayments, loans and investments AFS, growth of core deposits, growth of repurchase agreements, increases in other borrowed funds from correspondent banks and issuance of capital stock. Although regularly scheduled investment and loan payments are dependable sources of daily funds, the sales of both loans and investments AFS, deposit activity and investment and loan prepayments are significantly influenced by general economic conditions and the level of interest rates.

As of June 30, 2008, the Company maintained \$16,040,000 in cash and cash equivalents, \$128,184,000 of investments AFS and \$152,000 of loans AFS. In addition, as of June 30, the Company had approximately \$247,036,000 available to borrow from the FHLB and \$30,000,000 available from other correspondent banks. This combined total of \$421,412,000 represented 70% of total assets at June 30, 2008. Management believes this level of liquidity to be strong and adequate to support current operations. In July 2008, management sold \$16.4 million of securities AFS in order to pay down overnight borrowing.

Capital

During the second quarter the Company s Board of Directors announced its intent to initiate a capital stock repurchase program covering up to 50,000 shares of its outstanding capital stock. The repurchased shares would become treasury stock and could be available for issuance under the Company s various stock-based compensation, employee stock purchase and dividend reinvestment plans and for general corporate purposes. The repurchases will be made from time-to-time in open-market transactions, subject to availability, pursuant to safe harbor rule 10b-18 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. As of June 30, 2008, the Company reacquired 5,000 shares at a cost of \$29.12 per share. Subsequent to June 30, 2008, on July 10, 2008, the Company reacquired an additional 6,000 shares at a cost of \$29.25 per share. For a further discussion about this program, see Item 2, Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds included in Part II, Other Information, below.

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, shareholders equity decreased \$2,139,000, mostly from increased unrealized net losses in the securities AFS portfolio, the declaration of cash dividends and the repurchase of the Company s capital stock (treasury stock). Conversely, shareholders equity was enhanced by current year earnings, stock issued from the Company s Employee Stock Purchase Plan, and an increase in the intrinsic value of the Company s cash flow hedge instrument.

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company s assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company s capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk-weightings and other factors.

Under these guidelines, assets and certain off-balance sheet items are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate weights. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets and certain off-balance sheet items. The guidelines require all banks and bank holding companies to maintain a minimum ratio of total risk-based capital to total risk-weighted assets (Total Risk Adjusted Capital) of 8%, including Tier I capital to total risk-weighted assets (Tier I Capital) of 4% and Tier I capital to average total assets (Leverage Ratio) of at least 4%. As of June 30, 2008, the Company and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which it was subject.

Table of Contents

The following table depicts the capital amounts and ratios of the Company and the Bank as of June 30, 2008:

| | Actual | | For capita adequacy pur | poses | To be well capita under prompt cor action provision | rective ons | |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------|---|----------------|-------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ra | tio |
| Total capital | | | | | | | |
| (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 61,283,929 | 14.1% ≥\$ | 34,718,176 | <u>≥</u> 8.0% | N/A | 1 | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 60,870,573 | 14.1% ≥\$ | 34,621,922 | <u>≥</u> 8.0% | \$ 43,277,402 | <u>></u> 1 | 10.0% |
| Tier I capital | | | | | | | |
| (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 57,029,090 | 13.1% ≥\$ | 17,359,088 | <u>≥</u> 4.0% | N/A | 1 | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 56,681,029 | 13.1% ≥\$ | 17,310,961 | <u>≥</u> 4.0% | \$ 25,966,441 | <u>></u> | 6.0% |
| Tier I capital | | | | | | | |
| (to average assets) | | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 57,029,090 | 9.7% ≥\$ | 23,425,543 | ≥ 4.0% | N/A | 1 | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 56,681,029 | 9.7% ≥\$ | 23,364,982 | <u>≥</u> 4.0% | \$ 29,206,227 | <u>></u> | 5.0% |

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, an evaluation was carried out by the Company s management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based on such evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files or furnishes under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and regulations, and are operating in an effective manner. The Company made no significant changes in its internal controls over financial reporting or in other factors that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, these controls during the last fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2008.

PART II - Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The nature of the Company s business generates some litigation involving matters arising in the ordinary course of business. However, in the opinion of the Company, after consulting with legal counsel, no legal proceedings are pending, which, if determined adversely to the Company or the Bank, would have a material effect on the Company s undivided profits or financial condition. No legal proceedings are pending other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business of the Company and the Bank. In addition, to management s knowledge, no governmental authorities have initiated or contemplated any material legal actions against the Company or the Bank.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Management of the Company does not believe there have been any material changes in the risk factors that were disclosed in the Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 13, 2008.

29

Table of Contents

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(c) The following table summarizes the activity in the Company s stock repurchase program during the second quarter of 2008:

| | (a) Total Number of shares (or units) | (b) Average price paid | (c) Total number of shares (or units) purchased as part as publicly announced plans | (d) Maximum number of shares (or units) that may yet be purchased under the |
|------------------|--|-------------------------|---|--|
| Period | purchased | per share (or unit) | or programs | plans or programs |
| April 1, 2008 to | • | | | |
| April 30, 2008 | | | | |
| May 1, 2008 to | | | | |
| May 31, 2008 | | | | |
| June 1, 2008 to | | | | |
| June 30, 2008 | 5,000 | \$29.12 | 5,000 | 45,000 |
| Total | 5,000 | \$29.12 | 5,000 | 45,000 |

On June 3, 2008 the Company s Board of Directors approved and on June 5, 2008 the Company publicly announced its intent to initiate a capital stock repurchase program covering up to 50,000 share, or approximately 2.4% of its outstanding capital stock as of May 31, 2008. The Company has not made any purchases of its shares of capital that has not been publicly announced. Neither an expiration date nor a maximum dollar amount has been fixed to the program. The repurchases will be made from time-to-time in open-market transactions, subject to availability. The repurchased shares would become treasury stock and could be available for issuance under the Company s various stock-based compensation, employee stock purchase and dividend reinvestment plans and for general corporate purposes. No repurchase program has expired or has been subject to a determination to terminate during the period covered by the above table.

Item 3. Default Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

At the annual meeting of shareholders held on May 6, 2008, the judges of election made the report concerning the results of balloting. Holders of 1,618,153 shares of common stock, representing 78% of the total number of shares outstanding, were represented in person or by proxy at the 2008 annual meeting of shareholders. The following votes were cast:

Election of Class B Directors to serve for a three-year term:

| | For | Withhold authority | Abstain |
|------------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|
| Samuel C. Cali | 1,593,204 | 24,948 | |
| Mary E. McDonald | 1,604,061 | 14,091 | |
| David L. Tressler, Sr. | 1,581,302 | 36,851 | |

In addition to the above elected Class B Directors, at the conclusion of its annual meeting, the Company s Board of Directors consisted of: John T. Cognetti and Michael J. McDonald as Class A Directors whose term expires in 2009; and Steven C. Ackmann, Brian J. Cali and Patrick J. Dempsey as Class C Directors whose term expires in 2010.

Ratification of independent certified public accountants:

| | For | Against | Abstain |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Parente Randolph, LLC | 1,606,965 | 8,429 | 2,758 |

Item 5. Other Information

None

30

| Table of Contents |
|--|
| Item 6. Exhibits |
| The following exhibits are filed herewith or incorporated by reference as a part of this Form 10-Q: |
| 3(i) Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Registrant. Incorporated by reference to Annex B to the Proxy Statement Prospectus included in Registrant s Amendment 4 to its Registration Statement No.333-90273 on Form 4 filed with the SEC on April 6, 2000. |
| 3(ii) Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) to Registrant s Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 21, 2007. |
| *10.1 Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and Steven C. Ackmann, dated July 11, 2007. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 13, 2007. |
| *10.2 Amendment, dated October 2, 2007, to the Registrant s 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant s Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 4, 2007. |
| *10.3 Amendment, dated October 2, 2007, to the Registrant s 2000 Stock Incentive Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 4, 2007. |
| *10.4 Executive Employment Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and Timothy D Brien, dated January 3, 2008. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 10, 2008. |
| *10.5 Executive Employment Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and Daniel J. Santaniello, dated February 28, 2008. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 3, 2008. |

| 11 Statement regarding computation of earnings per share. Included herein in Note No. 2, Earnings per share, containe within the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, and incorporated herein by reference. |
|--|
| 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer, filed herewith. |
| 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer, filed herewith. |
| 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of th Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith. |
| 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of th |

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.

Table of Contents

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Date: August 5, 2008 /s/ Steven C. Ackmann

Steven C. Ackmann,

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 5, 2008 /s/ Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, Jr. Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, Jr.,

Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

32

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| 3(i) Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Registrant. Incorporated by reference to Annex B to the Proxy | Page |
|---|------|
| Statement Prospectus included in Registrant s Amendment 4 to its Registration Statement No.333-90273 on Form 4 filed with the SEC on April 6, 2000. | |
| 3(ii) Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) to Registrant s Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 21, 2007. | * |
| 10.1 Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and Steven C. Ackmann, dated July 11, 2007. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 13, 2007. | * |
| 10.2 Amendment, dated October 2, 2007, to the Registrant s 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant s Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 4, 2007. | * |
| 10.3 Amendment, dated October 2, 2007, to the Registrant s 2000 Stock Incentive Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 4, 2007. | * |
| 10.4 Executive Employment Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and Timothy P. O Brien, dated January 3, 2008. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 10, 2008. | * |
| 10.5 Executive Employment Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and Daniel J. Santaniello, dated February 28, 2008. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 3, 2008. | * |
| 11 Statement regarding computation of earnings per share. | 8 |
| 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer. | 34 |
| 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer. | 35 |
| 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | 36 |
| 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | 37 |
| | |

* Incorporated by Reference