ACA Capital Holdings Inc Form 10-Q May 15, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

Form 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2007

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number 1-33111

ACA Capital Holdings, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

75-3170112

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

140 Broadway New York, New York 10005 (212) 375-2000

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer O

Accelerated filer O

Non-accelerated filer X

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

As of May 14, 2007, 37,414,603 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.10 per share, were outstanding.

INDEX

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION		PAGE
Item 1.	Financial Statements of ACA Capital Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries (Unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) Three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity (Unaudited) Three montended March 31, 2007 and 2006	ths 5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006	<u>6</u>
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7 19
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	20 53
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	53
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	56
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION		
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	56
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	56
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	56
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	57
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	57
Item 5.	Other Information	57
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	58
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		
2		

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements of ACA Capital Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries (Unaudited)

ACA CAPITAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED) AS OF MARCH 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2006 (Dollars in thousands)

March 31,

December 31,

2007 2006 ASSETS Investments: Fixed-maturity securities available for sale at fair value, amortized cost of \$5,004,901 and \$5,043,239, 4,824,314 \$ 5,026,276 Fixed-maturity securities trading at fair value, amortized cost of \$309,529 and \$251,884, respectively 308,012 251,825 Securities purchased under agreements to resell 3,679 10,248 Guaranteed investment contract 119,340 119,340 Total investments 5,255,345 5,407,689 Cash: Cash and cash equivalents 426,026 379,905 Restricted cash 70,221 67,061 Total cash 496,247 446,966 Accrued investment income 20,764 21.222 Derivative assets 23,784 19,730 Deferred policy acquisition costs, net 49,247 48.810 Deferred debt issuance costs, net 32,440 34,104 Receivable for securities sold 1,106 824 Prepaid reinsurance premiums 511 528 Deferred income taxes 55,171 Other assets 73,840 58,321 **Total assets** 6,008,455 \$ 6,038,194 LIABILITIES, MINORITY INTEREST AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY LIABILITIES Unearned premiums \$ 189,281 \$ 189,537 Reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses 44,108 42,113 Short-term debt 2,678,982 2,677,828 Long-term debt 2,095,236 2,125,914 Related party debt 100,000 100,000 Securities sold under agreements to repurchase 277,701 232,227 Derivative liabilities 44,239 33,874 Accrued interest payable 16,264 17,900 Accrued expenses and other liabilities 59,110 61,855 Payable for securities purchased 50,813 9,628 Current income tax payable 8,856 7.056 Deferred income taxes 258 Total liabilities 5,564,590 5,498,190 MINORITY INTEREST 30,190 19,189 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES STOCKHOLDERS EOUITY Common stock of 100,000,000 shares authorized at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006; 37,414,603 and 37,375,123 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively; par value of \$0.10 3,741 3,737 Gross paid-in and contributed capital 440,266 438,935) (12,088 Treasury stock at cost 851,847 shares at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 (12,088

Notes receivable from stockholders	(3,121)	(3,1)	21)
Deferred compensation	(580)	(870	0
Accumulated other comprehensive income net of deferred income tax of \$(53,822) and \$(1,760) at				
March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively	(99,98	8)	(3,3)	308
Retained earnings	96,446	5	86,5	529
Total stockholders equity	424,67	76	509	,814
Total liabilities, minority interest and stockholders equity	\$	6,008,455	\$	6,038,194

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

ACA CAPITAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED) FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2007 AND 2006

(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three months ended March 31, 2007 2006					
REVENUES:	200	7		2000)	
Gross premiums written	\$	5,041		\$	4,788	
Less premiums ceded	(13)	(125)
Net premiums written	4,9			4,66		,
Decrease in unearned premium reserve - net	239)		219		
Premiums earned	5,1	49		4,88	32	
Net insured credit swap revenue	3,6	99		14,1	180	
Net investment income	89,	920		77,7	712	
Net realized and unrealized losses on investments	(3,7)	708)	(1,9	67)
Net realized and unrealized gains on derivative instruments	2,0	80		3,64		
Other net credit swap revenue	12,	306		2,91	13	
Fee income	6,3	32		4,11	18	
Other income	56			51		
Total revenues	115	5,834		105	,531	
EXPENSES:						
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	1,3	73		2,021		
Policy acquisition costs	1,4			1,392		
Other operating expenses	16,	153		10,613		
Interest expense	76,	408		66,419		
Depreciation and amortization	2,2	59		2,49	92	
Total expenses		670		82,9	937	
Income of minority interest	(87	9)	(1,1)	55)
Income before income taxes	17,	285		21,4		
Provision for income tax expense	5,8			7,29	92	
Net income	\$	11,417		\$	14,147	
Share and Per Share Data						
Earnings per share						
Basic	\$	0.31		\$	0.62	
Diluted	\$	0.31		\$	0.47	
Weighted average shares outstanding						
Basic		540		22,8		
Diluted	37,	120		30,0)44	

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

ACA CAPITAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (UNAUDITED) FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2007 AND 2006

(Dollars in thousands, except for share amounts)

	Preferred Shares	Stock Amount	Common So	tock Par Value	Gross Paid in and Contribute Capital			le Deferred de f sompens			Total Stockholders
BALANCE January 1, 2006	2,786,857	\$ 226,460		\$ 644	•) \$ 11,132		\$ 384,313
Comprehensive income: Net income										14,147	14,147
Change in unrealized gain on investments, net of change in deferred income									2.245		
tax of \$1,748 Change in derivative hedges, net of change in									3,247		3,247
deferred income tax of \$75 Total comprehensive									140		140
income Vesting of Series B senior											17,534
conv. prf stock to CEO								290			290
Stock based compensation-stock options					226						226
Discharge of note receivable from					220						
stockholders							546				546
BALANCE March 31, 2006	2,786,857	\$ 226,460	6,442,950	\$ 644	\$ 125,410	\$ (5,500) \$ (809)\$ (1,740) \$ 14,519	\$ 43,925	\$ 402,909
BALANCE January 1, 2007		\$	37,375,123	\$ 3,737	\$ 438,935	\$ (12,088) \$ (3,121)\$ (870)\$ (3,308) \$ 86,529	\$ 509,814
Effect of adoption of FIN 48										(1,500) (1,500
Comprehensive income: Net income										11,417	11,417
Change in unrealized loss on investments, net of change in deferred income											
tax of \$(51,370) Change in derivative hedges, net of change in									(95,402)	(95,402)
deferred income tax of \$(692)									(1,285)	(1,285)
Foreign exchange unrealized gain									7		7
Total comprehensive income											(85,263)
Vesting of CEO restricted common stock								290			290
Offering costs Stock based					(161)					(161)
compensation restricted stock					222						222
Stock based compensation stock option	18				863						863
Exercise of common stock options			39,480	4	407						411
BALANCE March 31, 2007		\$		\$ 3,741	\$ 440,266	\$ (12,088)\$ (3,121)\$ (580)\$ (99,988	8)\$ 96,446	\$ 424,676

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

ACA CAPITAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2007 AND 2006

(Dollars in thousands)

	2007	2006
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 11,417	\$ 14,147
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,947	2,492
Accrual of discount and amortization of premium on investment net	(33)	(
Income of minority interest	879	1,155
Net realized losses on fixed-maturity securities-available-for-sale	2,205	1,968
Net realized and unrealized losses on fixed-maturity securities- trading	1,504	
Net realized and unrealized gains on derivative instruments		(3,642)
Net realized and unrealized (gains) losses on net insured credit swap revenue	15,063	(4,116)
Net realized and unrealized gains on other net credit swap revenue		(194)
Share based compensation expense	1,085	226
Discharge of note receivable from shareholders		546
Deferred compensation	290	290
Purchase of securities under agreement to resell	6,569	
Purchases of fixed-maturity securities-trading	(86,369)	
Proceeds from sales of fixed-maturity securities- trading	28,416	
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase	45,474	
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Income taxes payable	1,800	150
Deferred income tax expense	1,092	3,409
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	17	122
Derivative liabilities	(684)	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(17,532)	
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(437)	,
Unearned premium reserve	(256)	(23,954)
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	1,995	1,695
Interest payable	(1,636)	
Interest receivable	458	(1,164)
Other		6,104
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,624	8,292
- And a state of a process of a	-,	3,23
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Net deposit of restricted cash	(3,160)	(4,026)
Purchases of fixed maturity securities available for sale	(251,053)	(247,905)
Proceeds from sales of fixed maturity securities available for sale	57,140	59,226
Proceeds from maturities of fixed maturity securities available for sale	271,245	232,267
Net purchase of property and equipment		(197)
Net cash provided by investing activities	73,771	39,365
GARANTI ONG EDOMEDIA NGING A CITIN MINES		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	1 154	1.001
Proceeds from issuance of commercial paper net	1,154	1,001
Paydown on long-term debt	(30,678)	
Payment of issuance costs for debt	444	(154)
Proceeds from exercise of common stock	411	
Offering costs	(161)	(20.451
Net cash used in financing activities	(29,274)	, ,
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	46,121	9,206
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS beginning of period	379,905	174,420
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS end of period	\$ 426,026	\$ 183,626
C.LOTT T. D. C. D. I. D. C. I. T. D. C. I. C. I. D. C. I. C.	Ψ 120,020	Ψ 102,020

SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW DISCLOSURES:		
Federal and local income taxes paid	\$ 3,076	\$ 3,108
Interest paid	\$ 78,043	\$ 65,782

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

ACA CAPITAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS MARCH 31, 2007

1. BUSINESS, ORGANIZATION AND OPERATIONS

ACA Capital Holdings, Inc. (ACA or the Company), is a Delaware domiciled holding company that provides financial guaranty insurance products to participants in the global credit derivatives markets, structured finance capital markets and public finance capital markets, as well as providing asset management services. The Company s principal activities include financial guaranty insurance of public finance obligations, structured credit risk assumption through insured credit derivatives and collateralized debt obligation asset management. ACA conducts its business through three principal wholly-owned indirect subsidiaries. Its financial guaranty insurance business is conducted through ACA Financial Guaranty Corporation (ACA Financial Guaranty), a Maryland domiciled insurance company. ACA Financial Guaranty is licensed to conduct financial guaranty insurance business, which provides credit enhancement on public finance and other debt obligations, in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, the U.S. Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico. Standard & Poor s Rating Services (S&P) has assigned a financial strength rating of A to ACA Financial Guaranty. ACA Financial Guaranty also provides the credit support for the Company s Structured Credit business activities. The Company conducts its CDO Asset Management business primarily through ACA Service L.L.C. and ACA Management, L.L.C. This business encompasses the origination (in collaboration with investment banks), structuring and management of collateralized debt obligations (including collateralized loan obligations and other similarly securitized asset classes, collectively CDOs). In January 2007, the Company s wholly-owned indirect subsidiary, ACA Capital Management (U.K.) Pte. Limited, became authorized and regulated by the Financial Services Authority as an investment manager to manage CDOs in the United Kingdom and most of Europe.

The Company s business is composed of three distinct continuing lines of business or segments. They are Public Finance, Structured Credit and CDO Asset Management. A fourth line of business, Other, includes business in areas and markets in which the Company is no longer active. Although the Public Finance and Structured Credit businesses are reported in separate segments, together they form the Company s financial guaranty insurance business. Public Finance primarily provides financial guaranty insurance policies guaranteeing the timely payment of scheduled principal and interest on public finance and other debt obligations. Structured Credit structures and sells credit protection, principally in the form of insured credit default swaps (CDS), against a variety of asset classes in the institutional fixed income markets. CDO Asset Management focuses on CDO origination, structuring and management. The Company will at times assume risk in the CDOs it manages through investment in some portion of the capital structure.

ACA was originally incorporated in Delaware on January 3, 1997. On November 22, 2002, ACA changed its jurisdiction of incorporation from Delaware to Bermuda. During 2004, the Board of Directors determined that re-domesticating to Delaware would eliminate certain adverse consequences of remaining in Bermuda, facilitate ACA s access to U.S. capital markets, simplify its tax filings, accounting and operations, and reduce the costs of compliance with two sets of filing obligations and laws (as ACA stockholders are U.S. entities and individuals). On September 15, 2004, therefore, ACA re-domesticated from Bermuda to Delaware through a process called a discontinuation under Bermuda law and domestication under Delaware law. As a result, it became a Delaware domiciled holding company and changed its name from American Capital Access Holdings, Ltd. to its current name.

On November 9, 2006, the Company priced its initial public offering of 6,875,000 shares of newly issued common stock and 23,541 shares of existing common stock. The Company realized gross proceeds of \$13 per share on the newly issued common stock, or \$89.4 million. Net proceeds to the Company were \$79.2 million, after issuance costs. On November 10, 2006, the Company s common stock commenced trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ACA. In conjunction with the initial public offering, the Company s senior convertible preferred stock, convertible preferred stock and series B senior convertible preferred stock all converted to common stock concurrently with the closing of our offering on November 15, 2006 at their conversion ratios of 6,000:1 shares, 6,000:1 shares and 6:1 shares, respectively.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regarding interim financial reporting. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for complete financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 (Form 10-K), filed with the SEC on April 2, 2007. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, that we consider necessary for the fair presentation of our financial position and results of operations for these periods. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2007.

In August 2006, the Company s Board of Directors authorized a dividend of stock in order to effect a six-for-one stock split. All prior share and per share amounts have been restated to reflect the stock split.

3. RELEVANT RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

On April 18, 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) released an exposure draft entitled Accounting for Financial Guarantee Contracts an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 60 (the Proposed Statement). While FASB Statement No. 60, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises, sets out accounting standards for property and casualty and life insurance enterprises, it has historically not specifically considered financial guaranty insurance. This new interpretation is intended to address the specific attributes of this type of insurance. The principal items addressed in the exposure draft relate to revenue recognition, the establishment of claim reserves and disclosures around such reserves. The Proposed Statement would be effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2007. While certain provisions of the Proposed Statement are still being analyzed, management believes that the cumulative effect of initially applying the Proposed Statement could be material to our financial statements. Until the final interpretation is issued by the FASB, the Company continues to apply the accounting policies as disclosed in its Form 10-K.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Financial Accounting Standard (FAS) 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (FAS 159). FAS 159 permits reporting entities to choose to remeasure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. The fair value option may be applied instrument by instrument, is irrevocable and is applied only to entire instruments and not to portions of instruments. FAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. FAS 159 is effective for fiscal years that begin after November 15, 2007. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year that begins on or before November 15, 2007 provided that the entity also elects to apply the provisions of FAS 157, which are described above. This early adoption election must be made within 120 days of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption provided the entity has not yet issued interim period financial statements. Management is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, which the adoption of FAS 159 will have on the Company's financial statements.

On January 1, 2007, the Company adopted FAS 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments* (FAS 155), an amendment of FAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities* (FAS 133) and FAS 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities* (FAS 140). The implementation of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company s financial statements.

On January 1, 2007, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. (FIN) 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). The adoption of FIN 48 resulted in a decrease to stockholders equity as of January 1, 2007 of \$1.5 million (see Note 6).

.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (FAS 157). FAS 157 enhances existing guidance for measuring assets and liabilities using fair value, such as emphasizing that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement, and sets out a fair value hierarchy with the highest priority being quoted prices in active markets. FAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Management is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, which the adoption of FAS 157 will have on the Company s financial statements.

In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (SAB 108), Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements, which provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. The provisions of SAB 108 were put in effect at December 31, 2006. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company s financial statements.

In April 2006, the FASB issued Staff Position (FSP) FIN 46(R)-6, Determining the Variability to Be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) (FSP FIN 46(R)-6), FSP FIN 46(R)-6 addresses whether certain arrangements associated with variable interest entities (VIEs) should be treated as variable interests or considered as creators of variability, and indicates that the variability to be considered shall be on based on an analysis of the design of the entity. FSP FIN 46(R)-6 was adopted on June 15, 2006. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company s financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued FAS 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets* (FAS 156), an amendment of FAS 140. FAS 156 requires that all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable, and permits for subsequent measurement using either fair value measurement with changes in fair value reflected in earnings or the amortization and impairment requirements of FAS 140. The subsequent measurement of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities at fair value eliminates the necessity for entities that manage the risks inherent in servicing assets and servicing liabilities with derivatives to qualify for hedge accounting treatment and eliminates the characterization of declines in fair value as impairments or direct write-downs. FAS 156 is effective for an entity s first fiscal year beginning after September 15, 2006. The implementation of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company s financial statements.

In September 2005, Statement of Position (SOP) 05-1, Accounting by Insurance Enterprises for Deferred Acquisition Costs in Connection With Modifications or Exchanges of Insurance Contracts, (SOP 05-1), was issued. This SOP provides guidance on accounting by insurance enterprises for deferred acquisition costs on internal replacement of insurance and investment contracts other than those specifically described in FAS No. 97, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments. SOP 05-01 is effective for internal replacements occurring in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The adoption of this SOP did not have a material impact on the Company s financial statements.

4. CDO ASSET MANAGEMENT BUSINESS

One of the ways the Company participates in the structured finance market is through structuring and managing CDOs originated in collaboration with investment banks. CDOs can be issued in funded, unfunded or partially funded form. Funded CDOs issue debt instruments and purchase investment assets, while unfunded CDOs synthetically acquire assets and issue liabilities (i.e., assets and liabilities are in derivative form). Partially funded CDOs are a combination of these two forms. From an accounting perspective, funded and partially funded CDOs are determined to be VIEs. Each time such CDOs are formed, the Company performs an analysis to determine whether it is the primary beneficiary and thus required to consolidate the CDO under the provisions of FSP FIN 46(R)-6.

The following table lists each of the Company s CDOs outstanding as of March 31, 2007 (dollars in millions):

	Year Deal	Transaction		Notional		Original Investment in	Retained	First Optional	Maturity
CDO name	Closed	Type	Collateral Type (1)	Deal Size (3)	Consolidated	Retained Equi	tEquity %	Call Date(4)	Date
Asset-Backed CDOs:									
ACA ABS 2002-1	2002	Funded	Investment Grade	\$ 404	Yes	\$ 18.0	100	8/2005	8/2037
ACA ABS 2003-1	2003	Funded	Investment Grade	400	Yes	18.0	100	6/2007	6/2038
Grenadier Funding	2003	Funded	High-Grade	1,500	Yes	22.5	100	8/2008	8/2038
ACA ABS 2003-2	2003	Funded	Investment Grade	725	Yes	33.5	100	12/2007	12/2038
ACA ABS 2004-1	2004	Funded	Investment Grade	450	Yes	10.0	61	7/2007	7/2039
Zenith Funding	2004	Funded	High-Grade	1,511	Yes	13.0	52	12/2009	12/2039
ACA ABS 2005-1	2005	Funded	Investment Grade	452	No	4.4	24	4/2008	4/2040
ACA ABS 2005-2	2005	Funded	Investment Grade	450	No	2.1	10	9/2009	12/2044
Khaleej II		Partially							
3	2005	funded	Investment Grade	750	No	4.5	14	9/2009	9/2040
Lancer Funding	2006	Funded	High-Grade	1,500	No	1.5	10	7/2010	4/2046
ACA Aquarius 2006-1		Partially	- C	,					
•	2006	funded	Investment Grade	2,000	No			9/2010	9/2046
ACA ABS 2006-1	2006	Funded	Investment Grade	750	No	1.4	5	12/2009	6/2041
ACA ABS 2006-2	2006	Funded	Investment Grade	750	No	3.5	11	1/2011	1/2047
ACA ABS 2007-1		Partially							
	2007	funded	Investment Grade	1,500	No	1.4	5	3/2010	5/2047
Millbrook	2007	Unfunded	Investment Grade	62	No			3/2010	10/2052
Total Asset-Backed CDOs	2007	Cilianaca	III Colline III Ciade	13,204	110	133.8		5,2010	10/2002
1 our 11sset Buenea CD OS				10,20		100.0			
Corporate Credit CDOs:									
ACA CDS 2002-1		Partially							
11011 025 2002 1	2002	funded	Investment Grade	1,000	Yes	22.0	100	N/A	7/2007
ACA CDS 2002-2	2003	Unfunded	Investment Grade	1,000	No	25.0	100	N/A	3/2008
Argon 49	2005	Funded	Investment Grade	,	2) No	23.0	100	N/A	6/2015
Argon 57	2006	Funded	Investment Grade		2) No			N/A	6/2013
Tribune	2006	Unfunded	Investment Grade		5) No			N/A	9/2016
Dolomite	2007	Unfunded	Investment Grade		2) No			N/A	7/2014
Total Corporate Credit	2007	Omunaca	mvestment Grade	00 (2	2) 110			11//1	112014
CDOs				2,556		47.0			
CDOs				2,330		47.0			
Leveraged Loan CDOs:									
ACA CLO 2005-1			Non-Investment						
ACA CLO 2003-1	2005	Funded	Grade	300	No	5.0	21	10/2009	10/2017
ACA CLO 2006-1	2003	runded		300	INO	3.0	21	10/2009	10/2017
ACA CLU 2000-1	2006	Funded	Non-Investment Grade	350	No			7/2009	7/2019
ACA CLO 2006 2	2000	гипаеа		330	No			//2009	7/2018
ACA CLO 2006-2	2006	Funda d	Non-Investment	300	NT_	2.2	10	1/2011	1/2021
T-4-11 11 CDC	2006	Funded	Grade		No	2.2	10	1/2011	1/2021
Total Leveraged Loan CDOs				950		7.2			
Total				\$ 16,710		\$ 188.0			

Note: As of March 27, 2007, the Company s risk under corporate credit CDO ACA CDS 2001-1 expired.

⁽¹⁾ Investment grade collateral is rated BBB- or better; however certain of our investment grade CDOs include the ability to invest a minority portion (20% or less) in non-investment grade assets. High-grade is A- or better.

The original notional deal sizes for Argon 49 and Argon 57 were 50 million each, and that for Dolomite was 49 million and \$1 million. For purposes of this chart, we have converted the amounts to U.S. dollars at the prevailing currency exchange rate on March 31, 2007.

⁽³⁾ Notional deal size is defined as total liabilities at the deal s inception.

⁽⁴⁾ Cash flow CDOs are generally callable once per quarter by a majority or greater vote of the equity holders following the conclusion of the reinvestment period, which is referred to as the First Optional Call Date.

Tribune is comprised of 13 distinct trades some of which are denominated in Euros or Yen. For purposes of this chart, we have converted this amount to U.S. dollars at the prevailing currency exchange rates on March 31, 2007.

As of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, consolidated liabilities include non-recourse debt from consolidated CDOs of \$4,683.4 million and \$4,711.8 million, respectively. Also, as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, investments include CDO related fixed maturity securities and guaranteed investment contracts of \$4,447.5 million and \$4,656.0 million, respectively, and cash of \$333.9 and \$265.0 million, respectively.

5. NET INSURED CREDIT SWAP REVENUE AND OTHER NET CREDIT SWAP REVENUE

Net insured credit swap revenue includes insured credit swap premiums received for credit protection the Company has sold under its insured credit swaps as well as realized and unrealized gains and losses related to those transactions. Realized losses arise upon the occurrence of credit events requiring payment by the Company under the related credit swap and, additionally, realized gains or losses could occur if a transaction is terminated in advance of its scheduled termination date. Unrealized gains and losses represent the adjustments for changes in fair value that are recorded in each reporting period, under FAS 133. The fair value of the Company s insured credit swaps are recorded as either a derivative liability or derivative asset in the consolidated balance sheets.

The following table disaggregates net insured credit swap revenue into its component parts for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Three months ended March 31, 2007 2006 (in thousands)	
Net insured credit swap revenue		
Insured credit swap premiums earned	\$ 18,762 \$ 10,064	ļ
Unrealized gains (losses) on insured credit swaps	(15,063) 3,895	
Realized gains on insured credit swaps	221	
Total net insured credit swap revenue	\$ 3,699 \$ 14,180)

Other net credit swap revenue includes revenues received from a partially funded CDS CDO which sells credit protection under credit swaps for which it receives fixed quarterly fees as well as the residual returns on two synthetic equity participations. Other net credit swap revenue also includes net realized and unrealized gains and losses associated with these transactions, if any. These revenues are included in the Company s consolidated statement of operations.

The following table disaggregates other net credit swap revenue into its component parts for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Mar 2007	ee months end rch 31, 7 housands)	ded 200	6
Other net credit swap revenue				
Credit swap fees earned	\$	4,168	\$	2,719
Unrealized gains on credit swaps	8,13	38	194	
Total other net credit swap revenue	\$	12,306	\$	2,913

6. INCOME TAXES

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company recorded a \$1.5 million reserve for uncertain tax positions and a corresponding decrease to the 2007 opening retained earnings. The Company s effective tax rate would be increased if \$1.5 million of unrecognized tax expense were recognized. It is unlikely that the unrecognized tax expense will significantly change in the next 12 months.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various states and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2003.

The Company records interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income taxes. \$470,000 in accrued interest and penalties is included in the \$1.5 million reserve for uncertain tax positions related to our adoption of FIN 48.

Our tax provision for interim periods is determined using an estimate of our annual effective tax rate. The 2007 effective tax rate is estimated to be lower than the 35% statutory rate primarily due to the Company s investment in securities exempt from federal income tax.

7. LITIGATION

In May 2006, the Company paid a judgment in the amount of \$3.7 million in satisfaction of a damages award in connection with an employment contract dispute with a former executive of the Company plus accrued interest through the date of payment. Also in May 2006, the Company settled the former executive s attorney s fees at an additional amount of \$0.6 million. The Company had recorded a reserve of \$4.2 million to cover these costs in December 2005. A judicial satisfaction of the judgment has been filed and the Company has no additional liability with respect to this matter.

The Company is not aware of any pending or threatened litigation that it believes could reasonably be likely to result in a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

8. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company s reportable segments are as follows:

- (1) Structured Credit, which structures and sells credit protection, principally in the form of insured CDS, against a variety of asset classes in the institutional fixed income markets:
- (2) Public Finance, which provides insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal and interest on public finance and other debt obligations;
- (3) CDO Asset Management, which originates, structures and manages assets, primarily corporate obligations or asset-backed securities, in funded, partially funded or synthetic CDOs; and
- Other, which primarily includes trade credit insurance business and financial guaranty insurance on certain other sectors, each of which the Company is no longer engaged in.

The Company s reportable segments are strategic business units that offer different products and services. They are managed separately since each business requires different marketing strategies, personnel skill sets and technology.

Where determinable, the Company specifically assigns assets to each segment, otherwise, the Company allocates assets based on estimates. In general, allocation percentages for assets are determined based on each line s estimated capital utilization from a rating agency perspective.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. The Company evaluates segment performance based on its income (loss) before income taxes. Reportable segment results are presented net of material inter-segment transactions. The following tables summarize the Company s operations and allocation of assets as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 (dollars in thousands):

Three months ended March 31, 2007 Structured Public CDO Asset									Consolidated				
	Credit		Fina	ance		Manag	gement		Oth	er		Tot	als
REVENUES:													
Gross premiums written	\$ 87		\$	4,672		\$			\$	282		\$	5,041
				4.0=0						101			- 4 4 O
Premiums earned	\$ 87		\$	4,878		\$		`	\$	184		\$	5,149
Net insured credit swap revenue	4,645		1,14			(2,092)				3,6	
Net investment income	5,745		4,2	77		78,846)		1,0	52		89,	920
Net realized and unrealized losses on	/1.510		(20			(2.160		,	. =			(2.5	
investments	(1,512)	(29)	(2,160)))	(7)	(3,7)	/08
Net realized and unrealized gains on		`				2 00 4						2.0	20
derivative instruments	(4)				2,084	,					2,0	
Other net credit swap revenue	(37)	0.1			12,343	3					12,	
Fee and other income	115		91	262		6,182			1.0/	20		6,3	
Total revenues	9,039		10,	363		95,203	3		1,22	29		113	5,834
EXPENSES:													
Loss and loss adjustment expenses			1,02	25					348			1,3	73
Policy acquisition costs			1,40						14			1,4	
Other operating expenses	6,290		2,90			6,945			11			16,	
Interest expense	3,290		386			72,640)		92			76,	
Depreciation and amortization	138		114			2,007	,		/_			2,2	
Total expenses	9,718			5,895		81,592		465			97,670		
Income of minority interest	83		-,-,	-		(962	_)				(87	
Income before income taxes	(596)	4,40	58		12,649)	,	764			17,	
Provision for income tax expense	(202)	1,5			4,294		259				5,868	
Net income	\$ (394)	\$	2,951			,355		\$	505		\$	11,417
Sagment Assats	\$ 351,6	NQ	\$	552,466		\$ 4	,984,141		\$	120,240		\$	6,008,455
Segment Assets	\$ 551,0	08	Ф	332,400		Ф 4	,904,141		Ф	120,240		Ф	0,008,433
	Three mon	ths ende		ch 31, 2006									
	Structured		Pub			CDO A			041				solidated
REVENUES:	Credit		FIII	ance		Manaş	gement		Oth	ier		Tot	ais
Gross premiums written	\$ 278		\$	4,082		\$			\$	428		\$	4,788
Coord Francisco	,			.,		_							1,1.00
Premiums earned	\$ 296		\$	4,177		\$			\$	409		\$	4,882
Net insured credit swap revenue	12,740		662			778						14,	180
Net investment income	852		3,8	71		72,095	5		894			77,	712
Net realized and unrealized losses on													
investments	(136)	(73	6)	(926)	(16	9)	(1,9))67
Net realized and unrealized gains on													
derivative instruments						3,642						3,6	
Other net credit swap revenue	(14)				2,927						2,9	
Fee and other income	130		98			3,941						4,10	
Total revenues	13,868		8,0	72		82,45	7		1,1	34		105	,531
EXPENSES:													
Loss and loss adjustment expenses			1,3	76					645	í		2,0	2.1
Policy acquisition costs			1,2						120			1,39	
Other operating expenses	3,917		2,1			4,584			120			10,	
Interest expense	83		403			65,848			85			66,	
Depreciation and amortization	206		188			2,098			33			2,4	
						_,0,0						_, .	

Total expenses	4,206	5,351	72,530	850	82,937
Income of minority interest			(1,155)	(1,155)
Income before income taxes	9,662	2,721	8,772	284	21,439
Provision for income tax expense	3,286	925	2,984	97	7,292
Net income	\$ 6,376	\$ 1,796	\$ 5,788	\$ 187	\$ 14,147
Segment Assets	\$ 43,914	\$ 454,213	\$ 5,167,487	\$ 95,582	\$ 5,761,196

9. STOCK PLANS AND STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

In 2001, the Company adopted the American Capital Access Holdings, Incorporated Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (the Prior Plan) under which 2,188,584 shares of the Company s common shares were reserved for issuance to employees, directors and consultants. In 2003, the Company s Board of Directors approved the increase of the number of shares reserved for issuance by 72,954. In 2004, the Board of Directors approved the ACA Capital Holdings, Inc. Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan effective September 30, 2004 (as amended on October 4, 2004, the 2004 Plan) amending and restating the Prior Plan and increasing the total options available under the 2004 Plan (including those previously distributed under the Prior Plan) to 4,241,538. In August 2006, the Board of Directors approved the Amended and Restated 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, to become effective upon completion of the IPO (the 2006 Plan) which increased the total options available under the 2006 Plan, including all shares subject to existing awards thereunder pursuant to the Prior Plan and the 2004 Plan, to 6,327,972. The 2006 Plan became effective November 9, 2006. The 2006 Plan permits the grant of nonqualified and qualified stock options, incentive stock options, restricted stock, stock units, unrestricted stock, dividend equivalent and cash-based awards. The objectives of the 2006 Plan are to optimize the profitability and growth of the Company through annual long-term incentives that are consistent and linked with the Company s goals.

Unless otherwise provided by the Company, depending upon which version of plan the options were granted under, each option vests ratably either annually over 3 years or every 6 months over 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ years, beginning at the date of grant.

On September 30, 2004, the Company granted 335,214 restricted shares at a fair market value of \$10.38 per share, to the Company s president and chief executive officer (the Executive). The total value at the grant date of the restricted stock totaled \$3.5 million. The restricted stock vests one-third per year over a three-year period provided the Executive has been continuously employed by the Company or a subsidiary throughout the applicable vesting period. In both the first three months of 2007 and 2006, the vested portion of the restricted stock totaled \$0.3 million, which was recognized in the Company s consolidated statement of operations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued FAS 123(R), *Shared Based Payments* (FAS 123(R)) that requires compensation cost related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in an issuer s financial statements. The compensation cost, with limited exceptions, is measured based on the grant-date fair value of the equity or liability instrument issued. FAS 123(R) replaces FAS 123, *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation* (FAS 123) and supersedes Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issue to Employees* (APB 25).

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted FAS 123(R) using the prospective application as permitted by FAS 123(R). Under this application, we are required to record compensation expense for all awards granted after the date of adoption. Awards granted prior to the date of adoption of FAS 123(R) continue to be accounted for under APB 25. Compensation cost is recognized over the periods that an employee provides service in exchange for the award. The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$1.1 million and \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, \$0.1 million and \$0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The fair value of the stock options granted was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected term (estimated period of time outstanding) of the stock options granted was estimated using the simplified method, in which the expected

term equals the average of the graded vesting term and the contractual term. Expected volatility was estimated based on the historical volatility of comparable public companies for a period equal to the stock option s expected term, ending on the day of grant, and calculated on a monthly basis.

	March 31,	March 31	١,
	2007	2006	
Expected life (years)	6.00	6.00	
Risk free interest rate	4.71	% 4.55	%
Volatility	25.00	% 25.00	%
Dividend yield	0.00	% 0.00	%

Utilizing these assumptions, the weighted-average per-share fair value of stock options granted in the first three months of 2007 and 2006 was \$5.19 and \$4.45, respectively.

A summary of option activity for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

	2007 Activity	Weighted Average Exercise I Per Share	Price	Ave Exe	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share		
Outstanding, beginning of period	3,897,048	\$ 11	.50 3,042,306	\$	10.53		
Granted	448,000	\$ 14	.63 1,017,000	\$	12.66		
Exercised	(39,480)	\$ 10	.41				
Forfeited	(98,226)	\$ 12	.16 (26,538) \$	10.64		
Expired							
Outstanding, end of period	4,207,342	\$ 11	.83 4,032,768	\$	11.07		
Options vested, end of period	2,119,783	\$ 11	.05 2,031,336	\$	10.57		

As of March 31, 2007, there was \$9.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation awards granted under our stock option plans. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.16 years.

During the first quarter of 2007, the Company granted 106,500 shares of restricted stock. As of March 31, 2007, the Company had 346,654 shares of unvested restricted stock outstanding under the 2006 Plan. With the exception of 6,154 shares of restricted stock granted to certain independent directors of the Company, which vest pro rata, annually over three years, these shares vest pro-rata, annually over 4 years, as long as the grantee is still with the Company.

The table summarizes information regarding fully vested share options as of March 31, 2007:

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amount)

(=	
Number of options vested	2,119,783
Weighted average exercise price per share	\$ 11.05
Aggregate intrinsic value (excess market price over exercise price)	\$ 23,430
Weighted average remaining contractual term of options (in years)	5.37

The following table summarizes information concerning outstanding and exercisable options at March 31, 2007:

	Options Outstan	nding	Options Exerc	Options Exercisable			
D CF D	Number	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual	Weighted Average Exercise	Number	Weighted Average Exercise		
Range of Exercise Prices	Outstanding	Life (Years)	Price	Exercisable	Price		
\$9.01-\$10.51	1,856,815	5.48	\$ 10.33	1,403,105	\$ 10.31		
\$10.52-\$12.01	96,000	7.87	\$ 11.63	55,453	\$ 11.63		
\$12.02-\$13.51	1,814,527	8.16	\$ 12.70	661,225	\$ 12.58		
\$13.52-\$15.01	440,000	9.77	\$ 14.63				
	4,207,342	7.14	\$ 11.83	2,119,783	\$ 11.05		

Prior to the adoption of FAS 123(R), the Company applied APB 25 to account for its stock-based compensation awards and provided the required pro forma disclosures of FAS 123. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share had compensation expense for stock-based compensation awards been recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, based on the fair value method under FAS 123.

(In thousands, except per share amounts)		months ended a 31, 2007		Three months ended March 31, 2006		
Net income, as reported	\$	11,417	\$	14,147		
Deduct: Stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value method for						
awards granted prior to the adoption of FAS 123(R), net of related tax effects	(76) (106)	
Pro forma net income	\$	11,341	\$	14,041		
Basic earnings per share						
As reported	\$	0.31	\$	0.62		
Pro forma	\$	0.31	\$	0.62		
Diluted earnings per share						
As reported	\$	0.31	\$	0.47		
Pro forma	\$	0.31	\$	0.47		

10. INVESTMENTS

The Company accounts for its investments in fixed maturity securities in accordance with the FAS 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities (FAS 115) and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue 99-20, Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets. Management determines the appropriate classification of securities at the time of purchase. As of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, all investments in fixed maturity securities, with the exception of the Company s investments in its Credit-Focused Absolute Return Fixed Income Fund (the Credit Fund) (see Note 11), were designated as available-for-sale and were carried at fair value. Fixed maturity securities in the Company s Credit Fund are designated as trading securities and are also carried at fair value. The difference between fair value and amortized cost of available-for-sale securities is included in the accumulated other comprehensive income component of stockholders equity, net of applicable deferred income tax. Changes in fair value of trading securities are included in net income. The fair value of these securities is based on independent market quotations or, when such quotations are not readily available because the securities are infrequently traded in the public market, from internal valuation models. These models include estimates, made by management, which utilize current

market information. The valuation results from these models could differ materially from amounts realizable in an open market sale or exchange.

The Company s process for identifying declines in the fair value of investments that are other than temporary involves consideration of several factors. These factors include (i) the duration of a significant decline in value, (ii) the liquidity, business prospects and overall financial condition of the issuer, (iii) the magnitude of the decline, (iv) the collateral structure and other credit support, as applicable, (v) the Company s intent and ability to hold the investment until the values recover, and (vi) for asset-backed securities, the estimated cash flows. When the analysis of the above factors results in a conclusion that a decline in fair value is other than temporary, the cost of the security is written down to fair value as of the reporting date and any previously unrealized loss is realized in the period such a determination is made.

The Company recognized \$2.6 million and \$0.9 million of other than temporary impairments within the CDO VIE portfolio during the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of our fixed maturity securities available for sale with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at March 31, 2007.

	Less than 12 month Fair Value (in thousands)	ns Unrealized Loss	12 months or more Fair Value	e Unrealized Loss	Total Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Available for sale-non-VIE:						
U.S. treasury securities	\$ 30,937	\$ (532)	\$ 1,840	\$ (55)	\$ 32,777	\$ (587)
Federal-agency securities	66,399	(558)	4,478	(69)	70,877	(627)
Obligations of states and						
political subdivisions	6,353	(46)	52,401	(886)	58,754	(932)
Corporate securities	15,000	(163)	55,770	(1,937)	70,770	(2,100)
Asset-backed securities	949		5,350	(61)	6,299	(61)
Mortgage-backed securities	28,058	(217)	96,021	(2,356)	124,079	(2,573)
Total non-VIE securities	147,696	(1,516)	215,860	(5,364)	363,556	(6,880)
Available for sale-VIE asset-backed						
securities	2,069,566	(178,262)	461,157	(15,960)	2,530,723	(194,222)
Total available for sale-non-VIE	\$ 2,217,262	\$ (179,778)	\$ 677,017	\$ (21,324)	\$ 2,894,279	\$ (201,102)

The unrealized losses on the non-VIE Company s investments were generally caused by interest rate increases. Because the decline in market value of these securities was attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily-impaired at March 31, 2007.

A substantial portion of the unrealized loss in VIE asset-backed securities is related to collateralized residential mortgage backed securities (RMBS), including both sub-prime and mid-prime quality mortgages. The last quarter of 2006 and first quarter of 2007 saw significant disruption and consolidation in the sub-prime mortgage market, with some mortgage originators being purchased or exiting the business. This disruption translated into decreased liquidity and wider spreads across the market, decreasing the market value of the portfolios. In particular, securities backed by mortgages from what are currently perceived as originators with weaker underwriting standards experienced a decrease in price in late 2006 and the first quarter of 2007, even when the credit performance of the securities had not suffered. An additional factor weighing on the market valuations for the Company s positions is the limited liquidity associated with the seasoned securities which have already received or are about to receive a significant return of principal. Since the Company, at March 31, 2007, believed that it would be able to collect amounts due on its RMBS positions

and has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be at their maturities, we did not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2007.

Included above are 869 fixed maturity securities, 166 in the non-VIE portfolios, and 703 in the VIE portfolios. At March 31, 2007, there were 115 fixed maturity securities of whose amortized cost exceeded its respective fair value by greater than 20%. Over 99% of the securities in both the non-VIE portfolio and the VIE portfolios are rated investment grade. Management has assessed these and other factors and concluded that there were no other than temporarily impaired fixed maturity securities included in the above table as of March 31, 2007.

11. CREDIT-FOCUSED ABSOLUTE RETURN FIXED INCOME FUND

In May 2006, the Company launched the Credit Fund to leverage its expertise in the capital and credit markets and as an asset manager. The fund invests in fixed income securities, particularly in the asset-backed sector. Through March 31, 2007, the Credit Fund had received capital contributions of \$35.0 million, of which the Company had invested \$26.4 million and third parties had invested \$8.6 million. In addition to receiving a return on its investment in the Credit Fund, the Company is paid a management fee to select and manage the assets of the fund for the Credit Fund s third party investors. For accounting purposes, the Credit Fund is consolidated in the Company s financial statements as of March 31, 2007 and its financial results are recorded in the Structured Credit line of business. As of March 31, 2007, the Credit Fund had total assets of \$313.5 million, including investments in fixed-maturity securities trading of \$308.0 million, and total liabilities and minority interest of \$287.0 million, including securities sold under agreements to repurchase of \$277.7 million, included in the Company s condensed consolidated balance sheet.

12. SENIOR CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK

In April 2006, the Company issued 25 shares of senior convertible preferred stock to its existing stockholders representing the 5% liquidation accretion premium earned from the date of issuance through September 30, 2004. The transaction was accounted for as a dividend. A value of \$2.0 million, based on the March 31, 2006 book value, was re-classified from retained earnings to senior convertible preferred stock to record the dividend.

13. STOCK SPLIT

On August 3, 2006 the Company s Board of Directors authorized a dividend in order to effect a six-for-one stock split on the Company s outstanding shares of common stock and on each share of common stock to be issued upon conversion of the various classes of preferred stock of the Company. Each stockholder of record on August 3, 2006 received five additional shares of common stock for each share of common stock held by such stockholder on that date. Funds were reclassified from the additional paid in capital account to the common stock account in an amount equal to the par value of the incremental shares issued under the stock split. Upon the conversion of the various classes of the Company s preferred stock, each holder of convertible preferred stock, senior convertible preferred stock and series B senior convertible preferred stock was issued, in the case of convertible preferred stockholders and senior convertible preferred stockholders, 6,000 shares for each share of preferred stock held by such holder and, in the case of the series B senior convertible preferred stockholders, 6 shares of each share of preferred stock held by such holder. All earnings per share and related stock option information presented in these financial statements and accompanying footnotes have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the increased number of shares resulting from this action.

On November 9, 2006, ACA Capital priced its initial public offering of common stock. The Company sold 6,875,000 shares of common stock in the offering, at \$13.00 per share, with net proceeds to ACA Capital of \$79.2 million, including \$0.2 million of offering costs recorded in the first three months of 2007. The transaction closed on November 15, 2006. ACA Capital is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ACA. Upon the closing of its initial public offering of common stock, the Company converted 154 shares of senior convertible stock to 924,000 shares of common stock, 959 shares of convertible preferred stock converted to 5,754,000 shares of common stock and 2,785,769 shares of series B senior convertible preferred stock converted to 16,714,614 shares of common stock.

14. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company entered into a new credit agreement dated as of April 26, 2007 with a syndicate of banks. The new credit agreement provides for a three-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$150 million. The Company may borrow cash under the new credit facility bearing interest based on either (i) LIBOR plus an applicable margin depending on our leverage ratio (the ratio of debt to capital reflected in our then most current consolidated financial statements), or (ii) the greatest of the federal funds effective rate or prime rate in effect, plus 50 basis points. The Company pays a facility fee between 0.08% up to 0.15% per annum of the total commitments under the new credit facility depending on our leverage ratio. In addition, the Company also agreed to pay a utilization fee at a rate of 0.125% per annum on the average daily amount of the outstanding principal under the new credit facility, but only payable when such outstanding principal amount exceeds 50% of the commitments under the revolving credit facility.

This new revolving credit facility replaces the Company s prior 364-day \$75 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility dated as of May 1, 2006. The Company had no amounts outstanding under the prior credit facility as of March 31, 2007.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion relates to our consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. Statements contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations that are not historical facts may be considered forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and are subject to the safe harbor created by that Act. When used in filings with the SEC, in our press releases, investor presentations, and in oral statements made by or with the approval of one of our executive officers, the words or phrases like believe, anticipate, project, plan, expect, intend, may, will likely result, looking forward or will continue, or variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including, among other things, information set forth in our periodic reports filed with the SEC. In making these statements, we are not undertaking to address or update these factors in future fillings or communications regarding our business or results except as required by law. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events discussed in this report might not occur. There may also be other risks that we are unable to predict at this time. Any of these risks and uncertainties may cause actual results to differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements.

Overview

We are a holding company that provides financial guaranty insurance products to participants in the global credit derivatives markets, structured finance capital markets and municipal finance capital markets. We also provide asset management services to specific segments of the structured finance capital markets. We participate in our target markets both as a provider of credit protection through the sale of financial guaranty insurance products, for risk-based revenues, and as an asset manager, for fee-based revenues. We conduct our financial guaranty insurance businesses through ACA Financial Guaranty Corporation, our A rated, regulated insurance subsidiary. Approximately 85% of our assets on an unconsolidated basis represent our 100% indirect ownership interest in ACA Financial Guaranty. ACA Financial Guaranty provides financial guaranty insurance policies for our Public Finance business, for the credit swaps in our Structured Credit business and for certain other transactions described in Other. We primarily conduct our asset management business through ACA Management, L.L.C., a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of ACA Financial Guaranty. Additionally, in January 2007, we obtained a license from the FSA to conduct a European asset management business, which will be done through our wholly-owned indirect subsidiary ACA Capital Management (U.K.) Pte. Limited. As of March 31, 2007, we had credit exposure of \$57.0 billion and our assets under management for third parties were \$16.3 billion.

Our financial results include three principal operating lines of business: Structured Credit and Public Finance, which are both financial guaranty insurance lines of business, and our CDO Asset Management business. We have a fourth line of business, Other, which encompasses specified insurance transactions in areas in which we are no longer active, including industry loss warranty transactions, trade credit reinsurance and insurance of certain asset-backed securitizations, principally, manufactured housing. Our lines of business constitute segments for accounting purposes.

Through our Structured Credit line of business, we select, structure and sell credit protection, principally in the form of insured credit swaps, against a variety of asset classes in the institutional fixed income markets. At March 31, 2007, Structured Credit also included the consolidated results of the Credit Fund. The Credit Fund was created in 2006 to leverage our expertise in the capital and credit markets and as an asset manager. The fund primarily invests in fixed income securities, particularly in the asset-backed sector.

Within Structured Credit, our principal revenues are net insured credit swap revenue and net investment income, which includes amounts received from the Credit Fund and its allocated portion of corporate-wide investment income. Generally, we receive insured credit swap premiums in quarterly installments over the life of the related swaps which is typically in the range of 5 to 7 years. The principal expenses of this line of business are its allocated portion of corporate-wide operating expenses, interest expense and depreciation and amortization. It also incurs direct interest expense related to the financing of our consolidated Credit Fund s purchased investments. We enter into our insured credit swaps with intent

that they remain outstanding for the entire term of the contract. These insured credit swaps are accounted for at fair value because they do not qualify for the financial guarantee scope exception under FAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities, as amended (FAS 133). Changes in the fair value of these contracts are required to be marked to market under the requirements of FAS 133 and are recorded, together with the related fixed quarterly premium payments payable to us under the insured credit swaps, under the caption net insured credit swap revenue. Since our insured credit swap transactions are generally not actively traded securities and have no observable market price, we utilize comprehensive internally developed models to estimate changes in fair value. We expect the fair values of these insured credit swaps to fluctuate primarily based on changes in credit spreads and the credit quality of the underlying referenced entities. When we hold these insured credit swaps for the entire term of the contract, the cumulative changes in fair value will net to zero at the end of the term, provided that we do not incur credit losses on the contract. In certain circumstances, we may agree with a counterparty to terminate an insured credit swap transaction prior to its maturity (such as on request of the counterparty or for risk management purposes, such as in connection with a deterioration of the underlying portfolio) and may experience realized gains or losses in connection with the early termination of such transactions.

In our Public Finance line of business, we primarily provide financial guaranty insurance policies guaranteeing the timely payment of principal and interest on public finance and other debt obligations. Our principal revenues in this line of business are premiums earned on our financial guaranty insurance policies and its allocated portion of corporate-wide investment income. The principal expenses of this line of business include its allocated portion of corporate-wide operating expenses, interest expense and depreciation and amortization. We also incur loss and loss adjustment expenses, related to the non-derivative exposure we insure, and policy acquisition costs, which are expenses that vary with and are directly attributable to the generation of insurance premiums and are deferred and recognized over the period in which the related premiums are earned. Typically, public finance premiums are received by us on an up front basis. However, under current accounting standards, they are recognized into income over the term of the underlying instrument.

In our CDO Asset Management line of business, we focus on CDO origination, structuring and asset management. Our principal revenues in this line of business are investment income, management fees, warehouse income, other credit swap revenue and premiums for credit swaps on insured equity tranches of unfunded synthetic CDOs. Also included is an allocated portion of corporate-wide investment income. The principal direct expenses are interest expense related to the CDO debt issued by us and the amortization of related capitalized debt issuance costs. This line of business also receives an allocated portion of corporate-wide operating expenses, interest expense and depreciation and amortization. Several of our CDOs are consolidated in our financial statements because we have been determined to be the primary beneficiary under FIN 46(R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an interpretation of ARB No. 51 analyses . See Results of Operations CDO Asset Management Supplementary Information for a discussion on the accounting issues associated with consolidation.

Our Other line of business includes business in areas and markets in which we are no longer active. Principal direct items are premiums earned, loss and loss adjustment expenses and policy acquisition costs. This line of business also was allocated a portion of investment income and interest expense in the first quarters of 2007 and 2006.

We believe it is more meaningful to analyze our financial performance on an annual, rather than a quarterly, basis in order to give our lines of business flexibility to select only those opportunities that meet our risk-adjusted return expectations. We believe this maximizes our profitability and allows our lines of business to avoid unfavorable pricing, credit spreads and general market conditions that may occur in any particular quarter. Furthermore, during any given year we enter into a limited number of transactions in each of our lines of business and do not set corporate goals based on closing a specified number of transactions in any particular quarter or year. The transactions in which we participate are often highly negotiated and often take a significant period of time from start to finish, up to one year in Public Finance and up to nine months for a CDO. Accordingly, our financial performance can vary significantly from quarter to quarter and the operating results for any quarter are not indicative of results for any future period.

Additionally, management reviews our performance using a measure known as net economic income. Management believes that analyzing net economic income supplements the understanding of our results of

operations by highlighting income attributable to our ongoing operating performance. See
Net Economic Income.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The following accounting policies and estimates are viewed by us to be critical because they require significant judgment on our part. Financial results could be materially different if alternate methodologies were used or if we modified our assumptions.

Financial Guaranty Revenue Recognition

Premiums Earned Premiums on financial guaranty insurance products in the form of traditional insurance policies are typically received on an up front basis, although certain policies pay premium in periodic installments. The vast majority of our Public Finance business is conducted through the issuance of traditional policies. Traditional policies are those that meet the scope exception of the guidance of FAS 133, paragraph 10d, as amended by FAS 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (FAS 149). The scope exception provides that financial guaranty contracts are not subject to FAS 133, if they meet certain specified criteria. Installment premiums are earned over each installment period, which is generally one year or less. Up front premiums are earned in proportion to the expiration of risk, which is par. Premium is allocated to each par maturity (e.g., principal payment) included in an insured bond and earned on a straight-line basis for the period the related insured risk is outstanding. Unearned premiums represent that portion of premiums which is applicable to coverage of risk to be provided in the future on policies in-force. When an insured issue is retired or defeased prior to the end of the expected period of coverage, the remaining unearned premiums, less any amount credited to the refunding issue insured by us, are recognized as earned premium. The amounts earned from refundings were approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.2 million, for the quarters ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

On April 18, 2007, the FASB released an exposure draft entitled Accounting for Financial Guarantee Contracts an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 60 (the Proposed Statement). While FASB Statement No. 60, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises, sets out accounting standards for property and casualty and life insurance enterprises, it has historically not specifically considered financial guaranty insurance. This new interpretation is intended to address the specific attributes of this type of insurance. The principal items addressed in the exposure draft relate to revenue recognition, the establishment of claim reserves and disclosures around such reserves. The Proposed Statement would be effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2007. While certain provisions of the Proposed Statement are still being analyzed, management believes that the cumulative effect of initially applying the Proposed Statement could be material to our financial statements. Until the final interpretation is issued and effective, we will continue to apply the accounting policies as disclosed in our audited financial statements as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. The comment period for the exposure draft ends June 18, 2007.

Net Insured Credit Swap Revenue ACA Financial Guaranty insures the obligations of ACA s affiliated special purpose entities under insured credit swaps, pursuant to which we sell credit protection. The related insured credit swap premiums are included in net insured credit swap revenue and are generally received in quarterly fixed payments over the life of the related swaps. Obtaining the fair value (as such term is defined in FAS 133) for such instruments requires the use of management judgment. These instruments are valued using pricing models based on the net present value of expected future cash flows and observed prices for other transactions bearing similar risk characteristics. The fair value of these instruments is included in derivative assets or derivative liabilities. We do not believe that our insured credit swaps meet the scope exception of FAS 133, paragraph 10d, as amended by FAS 149, because there is no contractual requirement that the protection purchaser be exposed to the underlying risk.

Net insured credit swap revenue includes insured credit swap premiums received and realized and unrealized gains and losses on such credit swaps.

Derivative Contracts. All derivative instruments are recognized in our consolidated balance sheet as either assets or liabilities depending on the fair value to us as a credit protection seller as of the determination date. All derivative instruments are measured at estimated fair value. We value derivative contracts based on quoted market prices, when

available. However, if quoted prices are not available, the fair value is estimated using valuation models specific to the type of credit protection. Valuation models

include the use of management estimates and current market information. We utilize both proprietary and vendor based models (including rating agency models) and a variety of market data to provide the best estimate of fair value. Some of the more significant types of market data that influence our models include, but are not limited to, credit ratings, interest rates, credit spreads, default probabilities and recovery rates. If management s underlying assumptions for evaluating fair value prove to be inaccurate, there could be material changes in our consolidated operating results.

Policy Acquisition Costs. Policy acquisition costs include those expenses that relate primarily to and vary with premium production. Such costs are comprised primarily of premium taxes, personnel and personnel related expenses of individuals involved in underwriting, and certain rating-agency and legal fees for municipal and non-derivative structured finance business. Anticipated claims and claim adjustment expenses are considered in determining the recoverability of acquisition costs. Net acquisition costs are deferred and amortized over the period in which the related premiums are earned. In connection with its review of certain accounting standards for financial guaranty insurance contracts, the FASB is considering potential changes relating to deferred policy acquisition costs. See

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Financial Guaranty Revenue Recognition Premiums Earned for further

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Financial Guaranty Revenue Recognition Premiums Earned for further explanation of potential changes.

Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses. Financial guaranty loss and loss adjustment expense reserves are established on our non-derivative exposure in an amount equal to our estimate of identified or case-specific reserves and non-specific reserves, including cost of settlement, on the obligations ACA Financial Guaranty has incurred. In determining our accounting policy for loss reserves, we rely primarily on FAS 60, Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises (FAS 60). However, FAS 60 was adopted when the financial guaranty industry was just beginning. As a result, FAS 60 did not contemplate the specific attributes of financial guaranty insurance as distinct from other forms of insurance. In particular, financial guaranty insurance is considered a short-duration insurance product; however, it has features that are more akin to long-duration contracts in that the term of some insured obligations can be as long as 30 years or more and the contracts are generally irrevocable. Under FAS 60, accounting for losses differs for short-duration and long-duration contracts. Because of this inconsistency, we also apply FAS 5, Accounting for Contingencies (FAS 5) in the determination of our loss reserves. Specifically, FAS 5 requires the establishment of reserves when it is probable that a liability has been incurred at the reporting date, but only to the extent the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Proposed Statement includes guidance on the establishment of claim reserves and disclosure around such reserves. Until the final interpretation is issued and effective, we will continue to apply the accounting policies as disclosed in our audited financial statements as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. The comment period for the exposure draft ends June 18, 2007. See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Financial Guaranty Revenue Recognition Premiums Earned for further explanation of potential changes.

The financial guaranty insurance policies we issue insure scheduled payments of principal and interest due on various types of financial obligations against a payment default on such payments by the issuers of the obligations. Active surveillance of our insured portfolio tracks the performance of insured obligations from period to period. We establish loss and loss adjustment expenses, or LAE, reserves based on surveillance group reports, the latest available industry data, and analysis of historical default and recovery experience for the relevant sectors of the fixed-income market. Together the case reserves and non-specific reserves represent management s estimate of incurred losses on our non-derivative insured portfolio exposures.

Case specific reserves are reserves created on those obligations identified as currently or likely to be in default, and represent the present value, discounted at the U.S. Treasury Note rate applicable to the term of the underlying insured obligations, of the expected LAE payments, net of estimated recoveries (under salvage, subrogation or other recovery rights). Gross case-specific reserves, net of estimated recoveries, were \$21.3 million and \$20.2 million as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. We take into account a number of variables that depend primarily on the nature of the underlying insured obligation when we establish case specific reserves for individual policies. These variables include the nature and creditworthiness of the underlying issuer of the insured obligation, whether the obligation is secured or unsecured and the expected recovery rates on the insured obligation, the projected cash flow or market value of any assets that support the insured obligation and the historical and projected loss rates on such assets. The state of the economy, rates of inflation and the salvage values of specific collateral, among other factors, may affect the actual ultimate realized losses for any policy. Currently, we do not believe that

changes to these factors would materially change the amount of our case specific loss reserves, with the exception of significant changes in salvage values of specific collateral. However, case specific reserves are regularly reviewed in order to incorporate relevant current facts and circumstances and changes to these factors may in the future materially change the amount of our case specific loss reserves.

Our non-specific reserve was derived from the calculation of expected loss, which we estimate using a Monte Carlo simulation. A Monte Carlo simulation is a technique that is commonly used to estimate the probability of certain mathematical outcomes. It randomly selects values to create scenarios of outcomes and this random selection process is repeated many times to create multiple scenarios. Each time a value is randomly selected, it forms one possible scenario and outcome. Together, these scenarios give a range of possible outcomes, some of which are more probable and some less probable. By definition, the average solution will give the most likely potential outcome. Our risk management team typically runs at least 100,000 trials in order to determine future expected losses. The model uses the current ratings and default frequency (net of recovery) applied to each transaction with outstanding exposure to determine the expected probability distribution of loss. Default frequency and recovery amounts are published periodically by each of the major rating agencies. From the model output, we can estimate a range of expected loss. The amount of non-specific reserve recorded in the financial statements is based on the loss ratio resulting from the calculation of total losses, including past incurred losses and expected future losses divided by premium. For this purpose, premium is defined as ever-to-date written premium as of March 31, 2007 plus future installment premium on closed transactions. This derived loss ratio is applied to total ever-to-date earned premiums as of March 31, 2007. Case specific reserves are subtracted from this amount to arrive at net non-specific reserves. The table below shows our case and non-specific reserves as of March 31, 2007 and 2006.

	As of March 31, 2007 (in thousands)	As of December 31, 2006
Case specific reserves	\$ 21,251	\$ 20,232
Non specific reserves	22,857	21,881
Total	\$ 44,108	\$ 42,113

Investment Portfolio. The primary components of our investment portfolio are the fixed maturity securities of ACA Financial Guaranty, our CDO investments and the investments in the Credit Fund. As per FAS 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities (FAS 115) management determines the appropriate classification of these securities at the time of purchase. As of March 31, 2007, all investments in fixed maturity securities except for those related to the Credit Fund were designated as available for sale and were carried at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses for available for sales securities are the difference between fair value and amortized cost included in the accumulated other comprehensive income component of stockholders—equity, net of applicable deferred income tax. As of March 31, 2007, the investments of the Credit Fund were designated as trading securities and were also carried at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses for trading securities are included in net income. The fair values of our securities are based on independent market quotations or, when such quotations are not readily available because the securities are infrequently traded in the public market, from internal valuation models. The valuation results from these models could differ materially from amounts realizable in an open market sale or exchange.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (VIEs) and Other Restricted Investments. From an accounting perspective, funded and partially funded CDOs are deemed to be issued out of VIEs. As such, each time a CDO is formed that includes the issuance of debt instruments to third parties and the purchase of investment assets, we perform an analysis to determine whether we are the primary beneficiary and thus required to consolidate the CDO under the provisions of Financial Interpretation 46(R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46(R)). Prior to 2005, we retained all or most of the equity position in our CDOs, and these CDOs are therefore consolidated in our financial statements (a total of seven CDOs are consolidated). Although the VIE is consolidated, we do not have the right to use the assets of the VIE for general operations and the debt liabilities of the VIE are without recourse to any assets other than those of the VIE. Our investment exposure to our CDOs is therefore limited to our equity investment, which is a first loss position of the CDO. In the instances that we own less than 100% of the CDO VIE s equity, but are the primary beneficiary and thus consolidate the CDO VIE, we establish minority interests for the

unowned portion. As of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, consolidated liabilities included non-recourse debt from consolidated CDOs of \$4,683.4 million and \$4,711.8 million, respectively. Also, as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, consolidated assets included investment in fixed maturity securities of \$4,447.5 million and \$4,656.0 million, respectively, and cash of \$333.9 and \$265.0 million, respectively, related to CDOs.

Beginning in 2005, we have retained a lesser share of the equity position of our newly issued CDOs. Based on analyses under FIN 46(R), we are not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of these VIEs. As a result, these CDOs are not consolidated in our financial statements. Rather, our non-majority investment is recorded as an investment in a single fixed maturity security under the provisions of FAS 115 and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 99-20, Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets (EITF 99-20). During the first three months of 2007, we closed three CDOs and retained an equity interest in only one. During 2006, we closed eight CDOs and purchased an equity interest in four. During 2005, we closed five CDOs and purchased equity interests in four of the five CDOs. We were not determined to be the primary beneficiary in any of these CDOs and therefore do not consolidate them.

In May of 2006, we began managing our Credit Fund. The Credit Fund was created to leverage our expertise in the capital and credit markets and as an asset manager. The Credit Fund invests in fixed maturity securities, particularly in the asset-backed sector. Through March 31, 2007, the Credit Fund had received capital contributions of \$35.0 million, of which the Company had invested \$26.4 million and third parties had invested \$8.6 million. In addition to receiving a return on our investment in the Credit Fund, we are paid a management fee to select and manage the assets for the Credit Fund s third party investors. Although the Credit Fund is consolidated pursuant to the requirements of FIN 46(R), we do not have the right to use the assets for general operations. See Results of Operations Structured Credit.

Results of Operations

Summary of Consolidated Results

The following describes our consolidated financial results for the quarters ended March 31, 2007, and 2006 and our financial condition as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006. In order to understand our consolidated financial results, we perform a detailed segment by segment analysis for our lines of business and therefore, to augment the segments discussion, the following consolidated discussion has been prepared to describe (1) revenue and expense items that are allocated to our four lines of business and (2) the results of our lines of business: Structured Credit, Public Finance, CDO Asset Management and Other.

The accounting policies of our lines of business are the same as those described in the summary of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates above and in our Form 10-K. Our two financial guaranty insurance lines of business, Structured Credit and Public Finance, are presented as separate lines of business. Items not directly attributable are allocated to each operating line of business. Allocated items consist of investment income from the corporate-wide investment portfolio of cash and investments, primarily from ACA Financial Guaranty, realized gains and losses on those investment portfolios, interest expense on the corporate debt at the holding company level, all operating expenses, non-CDO related depreciation and amortization expenses and income taxes. Income and expense items that are directly attributable to a business line are recorded as such.

The Company s consolidated net income for the three months ended March 31, 2007 was \$11.4 million, or \$0.31 per diluted share, as compared to \$14.1 million, or \$0.47 per diluted share, for the three months ended March 31, 2006, a decrease of \$2.7 million. The decline in net income was principally related to unrealized mark-to-market valuation losses on our portfolio of Structured Credit transactions.

The following table summarizes the contribution of each line of business to net consolidated income for the quarters ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Cre	Structured Credit (in thousands)		Public CDO Asset Finance Management		Other		Consolidated Total						
Net income (loss) by line of business														
March 31, 2007	\$	(394)	\$	2,951	9	6	8,355		\$	505		\$	11,417
March 31, 2006	\$	6,376		\$	1,796	\$	6	5,788		\$	187		\$	14,147

Structured Credit

For the three months ended March 31, 2007, a net loss of \$0.4 million was recognized compared to net income of \$6.4 million for the same period of 2006. The first quarter of 2007 included a net unrealized pre-tax loss in the amount of \$12.9 million related to the mark to market valuation of insured credit swap transactions. This valuation loss was primarily related to insured credit swap transactions for which the underlying exposure is pools of sub-prime residential mortgage-backed securities and the associated widening of credit spreads on those assets that occurred during the quarter. The spread widening was in response to the pronounced increase in homeowner delinquencies with respect to sub-prime residential mortgages originated in 2006. Conversely, for the three months ended March 31, 2006, we recognized pre-tax net unrealized valuation gains in the amount of \$3.7 million. Insured credit swap premiums earned from credit swap transactions increased to \$17.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 from \$8.8 million for the same period of 2006. Other operating expenses increased in the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. This increase was in connection with the additional expenses incurred due to our status as a public company as well as additional expenses incurred, mainly personnel related, in direct support of the Structured Credit business.

Public Finance

For the three months ended March 31, 2007, net income increased to \$3.0 million from \$1.8 million for the same period in 2006. Growth in net income was primarily related to increased premiums earned, mark to market valuation gains on two transactions that are in the form of insured credit swaps and higher net investment income based on increases in ACA Financial Guaranty s investment portfolio. The growth in net income was partially offset by higher operating expenses incurred due to our status as a public company and additional expenses incurred, namely personnel related, in direct support of the Public Finance business. Gross premiums written increased modestly to \$4.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 from \$4.1 million for the same period of 2006.

CDO Asset Management

Net income increased to \$8.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 from \$5.8 million for the same period in 2006. The growth in net income was primarily related to increased asset management fees. These increases were partially offset, however, by increased expenses related to our expansion of this line of business to the United Kingdom, where we opened a new office in 2006, the additional expenses incurred due to our status as a public company and additional expenses incurred, mainly personnel related, in direct support of the CDO Asset Management business.

Other

Other represented an immaterial portion of our reported results for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 and reflects the continued run-off of insurance contracts written in prior years in areas in which we are no longer active.

Items Allocated to Business Lines

Within our statement of operations, we have income and expense items that are directly attributable to our lines of business and items that are indirectly attributable. Examples of items that are directly attributable to lines of business are gross premiums written, premiums earned, insured credit swap revenue, net realized and unrealized gains, losses on derivatives, losses and loss adjustment expenses and

depreciation and amortization related to the consolidation of our CDOs. Certain operating expenses, including those related to personnel and other expenses dedicated to a line of business are allocated to that line of business. Remaining expenses are allocated to lines of business based on time and expense studies conducted annually. Due to its run-off status beginning in 2004, other operating expenses are not allocated to our Other line of business. Items allocated to lines of business include net investment income on the corporate-wide cash investment portfolios and other non-VIE entities within the consolidated group, net realized gains and losses on those portfolio, operating expenses, interest expense related to our corporate debt, depreciation and amortization and income taxes. The net investment income and net realized gains and losses on investments related to our CDO Asset Management and the Credit Fund are considered direct revenues. The following table summarizes the principal items in our consolidated statement of operations that are allocated for purposes of line of business reporting for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006. All other revenue and expense items included in the consolidated statement of operations are directly attributable to a specific line of business.

	end 200	Three Months ended March 31, 2007 (in thousands)		6
Net investment income				
Allocated	\$	7,505	\$	5,957
Direct to Business Lines		415	71,	755
Total	\$	89,920	\$	77,712
Net realized and unrealized losses on investments				
Allocated	\$	(51)	\$	(1,132)
Direct to Business Lines	(3,	657)	(83	
Total	\$	(3,708)	\$	(1,967)
Other operating expenses				
Allocated	\$	15,631	\$	10,076
Direct to Business Lines	522	2	537	7
Total	\$	16,153	\$	10,613
Interest expense				
Allocated	\$	1,840	\$	1,676
Direct to Business Lines	74,	568	64,	743
Total	\$	76,408	\$	66,419
Depreciation and amortization				
Allocated	\$	379	\$	613
Direct to Business Lines	1,8	80	1,8	79
Total	\$	2,259	\$	2,492
Provision for income tax expense				
Allocated	\$	5,868	\$	7,292
Direct to Business Lines				
Total	\$	5,868	\$	7,292

Net Investment Income. Allocated net investment income primarily represents the income recognized on the investment portfolio of ACA Financial Guaranty. To a much lesser degree, investment income from other non FIN 46(R) entities within the group is included to the extent it is not directly related to any of the lines of business. Investment income allocated to each line of business is based upon the estimated capital utilized by the line of business which is derived from our rating agency capital adequacy model. To the extent capital is outside of the insurance company, it is allocated based on an estimated utilization. For the three months ended March 31, 2007, allocated net investment income increased to \$7.5 million from \$6.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. This increase was related to growth in the investment portfolio from \$579.6 million at March 31, 2006 to \$658.5 million at March 31, 2007. The growth in the investment portfolio between periods was primarily related to positive cash flows generated by our businesses and, from \$79.2 million raised from the proceeds of our initial public offering in November 2006 (IPO).

Net Realized and Unrealized Gains and Losses on Investments. Allocated net unrealized and realized gains and losses on investments represent those gains and losses incurred on the investment portfolio discussed above and are similarly allocated to the lines of business. For the three months ended March 31, 2007, the net realized loss of \$1.1 million was the result of a sector reallocation of bonds within the portfolio.

Other Operating Expenses. Allocated other operating expenses is comprised of all costs related to personnel, office leases and expenses, legal, accounting and rating agencies. Allocated other operating expenses does not include expenses related to the consolidation of our CDOs or the Credit Fund. Those expenses are treated as direct expenses of the CDO Asset Management line of business and the Credit Fund (part of the Structured Credit line of business), respectively, for this purpose. For the three months ended March 31, 2007, allocated other operating expenses increased to \$15.6 million from \$10.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The increase in expenses was attributable to additional personnel hired to support the businesses, of \$3.8 million, and expenses in connection with the opening of our United Kingdom and Singapore offices in 2006.

Interest Expense. Allocated interest expense is related to our holding company debt. It is allocated to our lines of business based on capitalization of the respective lines of business as described above with respect to net investment income. The increase in allocated interest expense for the three month period ended March 31, 2007 from \$1.7 million in 2006 to \$1.8 million in 2007 was primarily related to the increase in LIBOR since this debt pays interest on a floating rate basis. No new debt was issued in the first quarter of 2007 or 2006.

Depreciation and Amortization. Allocated depreciation and amortization expense is related to furniture and computer equipment, leasehold improvements and any costs deferred in connection with the raising of corporate debt. Such expenses are allocated to line of business similarly to other operating expenses.

Income Taxes. Income taxes are generally allocated to line of business based upon the overall corporate effective tax rate applied to pre-tax income. The increase in income taxes over the three-year period is a direct result of our increased profitability.

Structured Credit

The following table summarizes the operations of our Structured Credit line of business for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Enc 200	ree Months ded March 7 thousands	31,	2000	5	
REVENUES						
Gross premiums written	\$	87		\$	278	
Premiums earned	\$	87		\$	296	
Net insured credit swap revenue	4,6	45		12,7	740	
Net investment income	5,7	45		852		
Net realized and unrealized losses on investments	(1,:	512)	(136	5)
Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments	(4)			
Other net credit swap revenue	(37)	(14)
Fee income	96			113		
Other income	19			17		
Total revenues	9,0	39		13,8	868	
EXPENSES						
Other operating expenses	6,2	90		3,91	17	
Interest expense	3,2	90		83		
Depreciation and amortization	138	3		206		
Total expenses	9,7	18		4,20)6	
Loss of minority interest	83					
Income before income taxes	(59	6)	9.66	52	
Provision for income tax expense (benefit)	(20	2)	3,28	36	
Net income	\$	(394)	\$	6,376	

Gross Premiums Written. Gross premiums written within this line of business declined to \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 from \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. This decline occurred because transactions with respect to this line of business have been conducted in the form of insured credit swaps. No new traditional insurance contracts were written in 2007 for this line of business and the decline relates to the decreased premium for contracts that were written in previous years.

Premiums Earned. The change in premiums earned over the three month periods was directly related to the change in gross premiums written described above.

Net insured Credit Swap Revenue. Net insured credit swap revenue declined to \$4.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 from \$12.7 million for the same period in 2006. As set forth in the table below, insured credit swap revenue is the sum of premiums earned on our insured credit swap transactions, net realized gains (losses) which may result from time to time result from any termination or credit events related to such transactions and unrealized gains (losses) resulting from the changes in fair value of these transactions. The first quarter 2007 over first quarter 2006 decline in net insured credit swap revenue was directly related to \$12.9 million net unrealized mark to market losses recorded during 2007. Conversely, in the first quarter 2006 unrealized mark to market gains were recorded. Valuation losses in the first quarter 2007 were principally related to the credit spreads associated with insured credit swap transactions for which the underlying exposure was to pools of sub-prime residential mortgage-backed securities. Premiums earned from our insured credit swap transactions increased to \$17.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The primary reason for the increase was the higher volume of transactions completed. As of March 31, 2007, the notional credit exposure in this line of business increased to \$50.2 billion from \$18.4 billion at March 31, 2006.

The following table shows the components of net insured credit swap revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Three Months			
	Ended March 31,	2007		
	2007 (in thousands)	2006		
Insured credit swap premiums earned	\$ 17,514	\$ 8,846		
Unrealized gains (losses) on insured credit swaps	(12,869)	3,673		
Realized gains on insured credit swaps		221		
Net insured credit swap revenue	\$ 4,645	\$ 12,740		

Net Investment Income. Net investment income was \$5.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$0.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. Of the total net investment income of \$5.7 million in 2007, \$4.5 million related to the Credit Fund and \$1.2 million related to allocated investment income. In 2006, allocated investment income was \$0.9 million. Allocated investment income increased due to growth within the overall allocated investment portfolio because of net positive cash flows from our businesses, which generated \$46.3 million of operating cash flows during 2006, as well as the net proceeds from our IPO of \$79.2 million. We also increased the allocation of investment income to this business in 2007 based upon our expected utilization of IPO proceeds across business lines.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2007 (in thousands)	2006
Investment income from Credit Fund	\$ 4,545	\$
Allocated investment income	1,200	852
Total investment income	\$ 5,745	\$ 852

The Credit Fund had net assets under management of \$35.0 million as of March 31, 2007. In addition to receiving a return on our investment in the Credit Fund, we are paid a management fee to select and manage the assets of the fund for third parties. As of March 31, 2007, the Credit Fund had total assets of \$313.5 million, including investments in fixed-maturity securities trading of \$308.0 million and total liabilities and minority interest of \$287.0 million, including securities sold under agreements to repurchase of \$277.7 million, all of which are included on our consolidated balance sheet.

Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Investments. Net realized gains (losses) are derived from our investment in the Credit Fund as well as allocated amounts related to our allocated investment portfolio. The following table shows the components of net realized losses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Ende 2007	e Months ed March 31,		2006	
Net unrealized and realized losses from Credit Fund	\$	(1,504)	\$	
Allocated net realized losses	(8)	(136)
Net realized and unrealized losses on investments	\$	(1.512)	\$ (1)	36

Credit Fund losses were principally unrealized and related to the fair valuation of fixed income securities held in the portfolio. Such securities are designated as held for trading, and as a result mark to market valuation losses are recorded on our statement of operations.

Other Operating Expenses. Other operating expenses increased to \$6.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 from \$3.9 million for the same period in 2006. The increase for the three months

ended March 31, 2007 compared to 2006 is related to the allocation of additional corporate and asset backed credit analysts in 2007 to this line of business in connection with its growth in transaction volume and the opening and staffing of our Singapore office, in late 2006.

Interest Expense. Interest expense results from debt costs at the Credit Fund as well as allocated interest costs associated with corporate debt at our holding company. The Credit Fund finances its asset purchases in part through the use of security repurchase agreements. Total interest expense related to the Credit Fund in the three months ended March 31, 2007 was \$3.2 million. Allocated interest expense totaled \$0.1 million in each of the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006.

Loss of Minority Interest. Loss of minority interest is related to our investment in the Credit Fund. While we consolidate the fund, we are not the sole equity investor in the fund. Loss related to the portion of the Credit Fund not owned by us in 2007 was \$0.1 million.

Public Finance

The following table summarizes the operations of our Public Finance line of business for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	End 2007		•	2006		
	(in t	housands)				
REVENUES						
Gross premiums written	\$	4,672		\$	4,082	
Premiums earned	\$	4,878		\$	4,177	
Net insured credit swap revenue	1,14	16		662		
Net investment income	4,27	77		3,871	l	
Net realized and unrealized losses on investments	(29)	(736)
Fee income	74			98		
Other income	17					
Total revenues	10,3	363		8,072	2	
EXPENSES						
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	1,02	25		1,376	5	
Policy acquisition costs	1,46	53		1,272	2	
Other operating expenses	2,90)7		2,112	2	
Interest expense	386			403		
Depreciation and amortization	114			188		
Total expenses	5,89) 5		5,351	[
(Income) loss of minority interest						
Income before income taxes	4,46	58		2,721	[
Provision for income tax expense	1,51	7		925		
Net income	\$	2,951		\$	1,796	

Gross Premiums Written. Public Finance gross premiums written totaled \$4.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$4.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. Overall, the tightening of credit spreads in our target market, which is the low investment grade and high non-investment grade segment of the municipal bond market that we experienced in 2006 continued to occur in the first quarter of 2007 and effect our volume of transactions closed. As spreads remain at historically tight levels, and in order to meet our risk-adjusted return expectations, we continue to be highly selective in our underwriting decisions. Because we are a net retainer of the risks we underwrite, gross premiums written approximate net premiums written.

Premiums Earned. Premiums earned were \$4.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$4.2 million for the same period in 2006. Premiums earned from refundings were \$0.6 million and \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Essentially, premiums

earned before refundings was flat when comparing the first quarter of 2007 to 2006. This is primarily due to the fact that gross par exposure has remained relatively flat at approximately \$6.1 billion.

Net Insured Credit Swap Revenue. Net insured credit swap revenue was \$1.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$0.7 million for the same period in 2006. We closed two transactions in the Public Finance line of business that were in the form of insured credit swaps, one in 2006 and the other in 2005. Because these transactions are in the form of insured credit swaps, they are marked to market. The unrealized gains or losses resulting from the fair valuation of these transactions are included in net insured credit swap revenue. An unrealized gain of \$1.0 million and \$0.5 million was included in insured credit swap revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Net Investment Income. Net investment income totaled \$4.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and \$3.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The entire amount of net investment income for the Public Finance line of business is derived from the allocation of investment income. Allocated investment income increased due to growth within the overall allocated investment portfolio because of net positive cash flows from our businesses, which generated \$46.3 million of operating cash flows during 2006, as well as the net proceeds from our IPO of \$79.2 million.

Net Realized Gains (Losses) on Investments. Net realized gains (losses) are derived entirely from allocated amounts.

Provision for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses. The provision for loss and loss adjustment expenses was \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The following table shows the components of the provision for loss and loss adjustment expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 2006			
	(in th	ousands)		
Incurred Losses Related To				
Case specific and LAE reserves	\$	49	\$	510
Non-specific reserves	976		866	
Total provision for loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$	1,025	\$	1,376

The decline in incurred losses was primarily related to reduced loss adjustment expenses incurred in the first quarter of 2007. Loss adjustment expenses are costs associated with insured credits that are in varying stages of work-out. Non-specific reserves are based on the application of our reserving model and take into account transactional characteristics such as rating, sector and assumed recoveries. The decrease in non-specific reserves was a result of the increase in premiums earned and application of our non-specific reserve model.

Net Policy Acquisition Costs. Net policy acquisition costs totaled \$1.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$1.3 million for the same period in 2006. Generally, such costs vary in accordance with the changes in premiums earned during each period.

Other Operating Expenses. Other operating expenses were \$2.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$2.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. These costs are non-policy acquisition related costs and are allocated. The increase of \$0.8 million was primarily related to increased head count to support the business.

Interest Expense. Interest expense was \$0.4 million for the each of three months ended March 31, 2007 and March 31, 2006. Interest expense results from the allocated interest cost associated with corporate debt at our holding company.

CDO Asset Management

The following table summarizes the operations of our CDO Asset Management line of business for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 (in thousands)		2006			
REVENUES						
Net insured credit swap revenue	\$	(2,092)	\$	778	
Net investment income	78,8	46		72,0	95	
Net realized and unrealized losses on investments	(2,16)	60)	(926)
Net realized and unrealized gains on derivative instruments	2,08	4		3,64	2	
Other net credit swap revenue	12,3	43		2,92	7	
Fee income	6,16	3		3,92	3	
Other income	19			18		
Total revenues	95,2	03		82,4	57	
EXPENSES						
Other operating expenses	6,94	5		4,58	4	
Interest expense	72,6	40		65,8	48	
Depreciation and amortization	2,00	7		2,09	8	
Total expenses	81,5	92		72,5	30	
Income of minority interest	(962)	(1,1)	55)
Income before income taxes	12,6	49		8,77	2	
Provision for income tax expense	4,29	4		2,98	4	
Net income	\$	8,355		\$	5,788	
CDO assets under management (end of year, in millions)	\$	16,296		\$	11,362	

Net Insured Credit Swap Revenue. Net insured credit swap revenue was \$(2.1) million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$0.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. As set forth in the table below, net insured credit swap revenue is the sum of premiums earned, net realized gains (losses), which may result from time to time from any termination or credit events related to such transactions and unrealized gains (losses) resulting from the changes in fair value of these transactions. We receive insured credit swap premiums from two synthetic CDOs we closed in 2003 and 2002 in which our insurance subsidiary, ACA Financial Guaranty, insured the equity tranche of each CDO. Because these were the only two transactions generating insured credit swap premiums over the two quarters, the amounts were similar. The following table shows the components of net insured credit swap revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2007 (in thousands)		2006	
Insured credit swap premiums earned	\$ 1,088		\$ 1,08	36
Unrealized losses on insured credit swaps	(3,180)	(308)
Net insured credit swap revenue	\$ (2,092)	\$ 778	

The unrealized loss recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2007 resulted from one of the credit swap transactions which expired as of the end of the quarter. As a result, the remaining unrealized valuation amount carried on our balance sheet was reversed to zero. The valuation or unrealized loss for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was also related to the fact that these transactions were in a net

valuation gain position and as they approach maturity, those unrealized gains reverse, resulting in unrealized losses. Both transactions will expire by mid-2008.

Net Investment Income. Net investment income was \$78.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$72.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. Net investment income includes income from assets of our consolidated CDO portfolios as well as allocated amounts. No new consolidated CDOs were added in the first quarter of 2007 or 2006. The portion of net investment income related to our consolidated CDO portfolio was \$77.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$71.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The increase in net investment income from 2006 to 2007 was principally related to the increase in short-term rates, namely one and three month LIBOR over the two quarters. The portion of net investment income allocated to the CDO Asset Management line of business was \$1.0 million in the first quarter of 2007, compared to \$0.5 million in the first quarter of 2006. Allocated investment income increased due to growth within the overall allocated investment portfolio because of net positive cash flows from our businesses, which generated \$46.3 million of operating cash flows during 2006, as well as the net proceeds from our IPO of \$79.2 million.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2007 (in thousands)	2006
Investment Income from consolidated CDOs	\$ 77,871	\$ 71,618
Allocated net investment income	975	477
Total net investment income	\$ 78,846	\$ 72,095

Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Investments. Net realized gains (losses) are derived from our consolidated CDOs as well as allocated amounts. There are no unrealized gains or losses on investments for this line of business. The following table shows the components of net realized losses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006.

		e Months				
	Ende 2007	d March 31,		2006		
	(in th	ousands)				
Net realized losses from CDOs	\$	(2,155)	\$	(835)
Allocated net realized losses	(5)	(91)
Net realized and unrealized losses on investments	\$	(2,160)	\$	(926)

Net realized losses from CDOs include losses from other than temporary impairments, or OTTI, in the amounts of \$2.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$0.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. OTTI is recognized when the fair value of investments in fixed maturity securities is reported below amortized cost for stated periods of time. In the case of asset-backed securities, of which the vast majority of our CDO portfolio is comprised, the market value decline is also coupled with a decline in future expected cash flows. OTTI write-downs in each of the three month periods were associated with certain mortgage-backed securities which experienced declines in value and projected cash flows.

Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Derivative Instruments. The following table illustrates the type of derivative instruments reported in this line item:

		Months March 31,			
	2007 (in the	ousands)		2006	
Interest rate swaps	\$	1,670		\$	3,430
Interest rate caps	(189)	212	
Trading derivatives	603				
Total net realized and unrealized gains on derivative instruments	\$	2,084		\$	3,642

The most significant derivative instruments reported in this line item are interest rate swaps that are included in our consolidated CDOs. These CDOs have four such swaps and they pay fixed amounts and receive floating amounts based on three month LIBOR and pre-determined notional schedules. Unrealized valuation gains have been recognized on these four swaps as a result of a steady increase in short-term interest rates over the three year period. Interest rate caps are also used within consolidated CDOs to manage interest rate risk. We also recognized income in the amount of \$0.6 million related to a leveraged loan trading program that began in December 2006 and is structured in the form of a total return swap. Changes in the values of the underlying leveraged loans represent unrealized gains or losses to us until such time that the loans may be sold. Income received under the total return swap is also included in net realized and unrealized gains on derivative instruments.

Other Net Credit Swap Revenue. Other net credit swap revenue was \$12.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$2.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. As set forth in the table below, other net credit swap revenue is the sum of credit swap fees earned, net realized gains (losses) which may result from time to time result from any termination of such transactions and any credit events and unrealized gains (losses) resulting from the changes in fair value of these transactions. Most of this revenue resulted from credit swap fees from a partially funded consolidated synthetic CDO, in which the underlying instruments are credit swaps sold by the CDO to third parties. Also included as revenue in the first quarters of 2007 and 2006 are amounts of \$2.3 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, that represent distributions to us as equity holder of two synthetic CDOs. The following table shows the components of other net credit swap revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	2007
	2007 (in thousands)	2006
Credit swap fees	\$ 3,921	\$ 2,733
Unrealized gains on credit swaps	8,422	194
Total other net credit swap revenue	\$ 12,343	\$ 2,927

Net unrealized gains related to changes in value were recorded for each period. The unrealized gain recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2007 was almost entirely related to the change in the value of two credit swap transactions we entered into in late 2006 whereby we purchased protection related to our exposure to subprime residential mortgage backed securities. We have exposure to certain classes of residential mortgaged backed securities, or RMBS, through equity we own in our CDOs. In particular, as of March 31, 2007 we have equity exposure of \$59.5 million to RMBS backed by subprime mortgages originated in 2006 in six of our mezzanine asset-backed CDOs that invest in RMBS rated below A-/A3. In December 2006, we made the decision to purchase credit protection in the form of two credit swaps referencing representative market indices to moderate the impact to us of impairments related to 2006 vintage subprime residential mortgage exposure. We did this in light of the recent negative developments that we have noted in this asset class. Specifically, the relaxed underwriting standards of certain sub-prime originators in 2006 have led to significantly higher early stage delinquencies. Market participants have speculated that these RMBS may ultimately represent the worst performing sub-prime bonds on record to date. In light of the uncertainty in the market around this vintage of RMBS, we determined it prudent to purchase the credit swaps. The aggregate notional amount of credit protection purchased was \$37.0 million. The annual cash expense for the protection is approximately \$0.9 million and is also recorded in other net credit swap revenue. Additionally, we have equity exposure of \$37.4 million to three CDOs which invest in RMBS that have some exposure to 2006 vintage subprime collateral rated at or above A-/A3.

Fee Income. Fee income is principally related to quarterly management fees, warehouse fees and structuring fees received in connection with our unconsolidated CDOs. Total fee income for CDO Asset Management was \$6.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$3.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The increase was the result of increased assets under management which grew to \$16.3 billion at March 31, 2007 from \$11.4 billion at March 31, 2006. During the first quarter of 2007, we revised our previous estimate of accrued warehouse fees as the result of a renegotiation

of a deal s terms during the quarter. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, warehouse fees recorded were in connection with two transactions closing during that quarter. In general, structuring fees and warehouse fees are highly negotiated and vary from transaction to transaction. The following table shows the components of fee income for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Three Months							
	Ended March 31,	Ended March 31,						
	2007							
	(in thousands)							
Asset management fees	\$ 6,303		\$	2,891				
Warehousing fees	(140)	1,032					
Total fee income	\$ 6,163		\$	3,923				

Other Operating Expenses. Other operating expenses totaled \$6.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$4.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. Other operating expenses is made up of direct expenses of consolidated CDOs and includes items such as ongoing rating agency fees, trustee fees, accounting fees and other direct expenses related to the maintenance of the CDO. Also included in other operating expenses are allocated expenses. The following table shows the components of other operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Three Months	
	Ended March 31,	
	2007	2006
	(in thousands)	
Direct operating expenses	\$ 529	\$ 535
Allocated operating expenses	6,416	4,049
Total operating expenses	\$ 6,945	\$ 4,584

The first quarter of 2007 increase compared to the first quarter 2006 in allocated expenses was related to two principal items. First, our status as a publicly traded company in November 2006 caused an increase in certain corporate expenses. Additionally, we incurred expenses for our new office in the United Kingdom, established in the second half of 2006. This office was established in connection with the expansion of our asset management business in Europe.

Interest Expense. Interest expense totaled \$72.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$65.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. Interest expense is made up of the allocated portion of interest expense on corporate debt issued by our holding company, debt issued in connection with the purchase of certain of our CDO equity positions (CDO debt: operating) and debt issued by our consolidated CDOs (CDO debt: non-recourse). The following table shows the components of interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 (in thousands)	2006
Interest expense		
Corporate debt	\$ 1,252	\$ 1,099
CDO debt: operating	1,891	1,785
CDO debt: non-recourse	69,497	62,964
Total interest expense	\$ 72,640	\$ 65,848

All outstanding debt pays interest based on a floating rate above one or three month LIBOR. The increase in interest expense was primarily related to the rise in LIBOR over the respective three month periods of 2007 and 2006. No new debt was issued in the first quarter of 2007. Interest expense also includes interest expense on debt incurred to finance our CDO equity investments. We had \$110.9 million

of debt related to the financing of CDO equity and the allocation of interest payments on debt issued to four trust preferred vehicles.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization was relatively flat over the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006. Depreciation and amortization is comprised of the recognition of costs deferred in connection with our consolidated CDOs as well as allocated amounts. Depreciation and amortization related to consolidated CDOs totaled \$1.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

(*Income*) from Minority Interest. (Income) from minority interest totaled \$(1.0) million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and \$(1.2) million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. (Income) loss from minority income results from the fact that we consolidate two CDOs in which we own less than 100% of the equity. Minority interest reflected in the statement of operations represents the share of the (income) or loss of the CDO that represents the third party equity ownership. In both three month periods, the two CDOs recognized net income and as a result we recorded minority interest (income) to reduce our net income.

CDO Asset Management Supplementary Information

Consolidation of VIEs. From an accounting perspective, funded and partially funded CDOs are deemed to be issued out of Variable Interest Entities (VIEs). As such, each time a CDO is formed through the issuance of debt instruments to third parties and the purchase of investment assets, we perform an analysis to determine whether we are the primary beneficiary and thus required to consolidate the CDO under the provisions of FIN 46(R). Prior to 2005, we retained all or most of the equity position in our CDOs, and these CDOs are therefore consolidated in our financial statements (a total of seven CDOs are consolidated). Although these VIEs are consolidated, we do not have the right to use the assets of the VIEs for general operations and the debt liabilities of the VIEs are without recourse to any assets other than those of the VIEs. Our investment exposure to our CDOs is therefore limited to our retained equity, which is the first loss position of the CDO. In the transactions in which we own less than 100% of the CDO VIE s equity, but are deemed to be the primary beneficiary and thus consolidate the CDO VIE, we establish minority interests for the portion not owned. Total non-recourse debt of the CDOs included on our balance sheet at both March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 was \$4.7 billion. Fixed maturity securities, a guaranteed investment contract and cash related to consolidated CDOs included on our balance sheet at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 were \$4.8 billion and \$5.1 billion, respectively.

Beginning in 2005, we have retained a lesser share of the equity position of our newly issued CDOs. Based on our analysis of FIN 46(R) we are not deemed to be the primary beneficiary and, as a result, these CDOs are not consolidated in our financial statements. Rather, our non-majority investment is recorded as an investment in a single fixed maturity security under the provisions of FAS 115 and EITF 99-20. During the first three months of 2007, we closed three CDOs and retained equity interest in only one. During 2005 and 2006, we closed sixteen CDOs and purchased equity interests in nine. The fair value of our total investment in unconsolidated CDOs at March 31, 2007 was \$20.0 million, not including synthetic CDOs in which we take derivative exposure but make no investment. We do not anticipate consolidating new CDOs in the future given our intention to buy minority portions.

CDOs can be issued in funded, unfunded or partially funded form. Funded CDOs issue debt instruments and purchase investment assets, while unfunded CDOs synthetically acquire assets and issue liabilities synthetically (*i.e.*, assets and liabilities are in derivative form). Partially funded CDOs are a combination of these two forms. From an accounting perspective, funded and partially funded CDOs are deemed issued out of VIEs.

The following table summarizes our outstanding CDO transactions as of March 31, 2007:

CDO name	Year Deal Closed	Transaction Type	Collateral Type(1)	Current AUM Consolidated (in millions)		Original Investment in Retained Consolidated Retained EquityEquity % (in millions)		First Optional Call Date(2)	Maturity Date
Asset-Backed CDOs: ACA ABS 2002-1			Investment						
ACA ABS 2002-1	2002	Funded	Grade	\$ 212	Yes	\$ 18.0	100	8/2005	8/2037
ACA ABS 2003-1			Investment	,					
	2003	Funded	Grade	402	Yes	18.0	100	6/2007	6/2038
Grenadier Funding	2003	Funded	High-Grade	1,489	Yes	22.5	100	8/2008	8/2038
ACA ABS 2003-2	2002	E. d. d	Investment	720	V	22.5	100	12/2007	12/2020
ACA ABS 2004-1	2003	Funded	Grade Investment	728	Yes	33.5	100	12/2007	12/2038
ACA ABS 2004-1	2004	Funded	Grade	393	Yes	10.0	61	7/2007	7/2039
Zenith Funding	2004	Funded	High-Grade	1,498	Yes	13.0	52	12/2009	12/2039
ACA ABS 2005-1			Investment	Í					
	2005	Funded	Grade	429	No	4.4	4.4 24		4/2040
ACA ABS 2005-2			Investment						
	2005	Funded	Grade	412	No	2.1	10	9/2009	12/2044
Khaleej II	2005	Partially	Investment	750		4.5	1.4	0.0000	0/2040
Lancar Fundina	2005 2006	funded Funded	Grade	750 1,472	No	4.5 1.5	14 10	9/2009 7/2010	9/2040
Lancer Funding ACA Aquarius 2006-1	2006	Partially	High-Grade Investment	1,4/2	No	1.5	10	//2010	4/2046
ACA Aquarius 2000-1	2006	funded	Grade	1,999	No			9/2010	9/2046
ACA ABS 2006-1	2000	Tullaca	Investment	1,,,,,	110			<i>31</i> 2010	7/2010
	2006	Funded	Grade	747	No	1.4	5	12/2009	6/2041
ACA ABS 2006-2			Investment						
	2006	Funded	Grade	750	No	3.5	11	1/2011	1/2047
ACA ABS 2007-1		Partially	Investment						
26'111 1	2007	Funded	Grade BBB	1,500	No	1.4	5	3/2010	5/2047
Millbrook Total Asset-Backed CDOs	2007	Unfunded	Investment	62 12,843	No	133.8		3/2010	10/2052
Total Asset-Backed CDOs				12,643		133.6			
Corporate Credit CDOs:									
ACA CDS 2002-1		Partially	Investment						
	2002	funded	Grade	955	Yes	22.0	100	N/A	7/2007
ACA CDS 2002-2			Investment						
	2003	Unfunded	Grade	1,000	No	25.0	100	N/A	3/2008
Argon 49	2005		Investment					27/4	< 12017
A magn 57	2005	Funded	Grade Investment	67 (3	3) No			N/A	6/2015
Argon 57	2006	Funded	Grade	67 (3	3) No			N/A	6/2013
Tribune	2000	runded	Investment	07 (.) 110			IVA	0/2013
11104110	2006	Unfunded	Grade	356 (4	1) No			N/A	9/2016
Dolomite			Investment	· ·	,				
	2007	Unfunded	Grade	66 (3	3) No			N/A	7/2014
Total Corporate Credit									
CDOs				2,511		47.0			
I CDO									
Leveraged Loan CDOs: ACA CLO 2005-1			Non-Investment						
ACA CLO 2003-1	2005	Funded	Grade	300	No	5.0	21	10/2009	10/2017
ACA CLO 2006-1	2003	Tunded	Non-Investment	300	110	5.0	∠ 1	10/2007	10/2017
	2006	Funded	Grade	341	No			7/2009	7/2018
ACA CLO 2006-2			Non-Investment						
	2006	Funded	Grade	301	No	2.2	10	1/2011	1/2021
Total Leveraged Loan CDOs				942		7.2			
Total				\$ 16,296		\$ 188.0			

Note: As of March 27, 2007, the Company s risk under corporate credit CDO ACA CDS 2001-1 expired.

- (1) Investment grade collateral is rated BBB- or better; however certain of our investment grade CDOs include the ability to invest a minority portion (20% or less) in non-investment grade assets. High-grade is A- or better.
- (2) Cash flow CDOs are generally callable once per quarter by a majority or greater vote of the equity holders on a specific date as negotiated, which is referred to as the First Optional Call Date.
- (3) Argon 49, Argon 57 and Dolomite are denominated in Euros. For purposes of this chart, we have converted the amounts to U.S. dollars at the prevailing currency exchange rate on March 31, 2007.
- (4) Tribune is comprised of 13 distinct trades some of which are denominated in Euros or Yen. For purposes of this chart, we have converted this amount to U.S. dollars at the prevailing currency exchange rates on March 31, 2007.

Accounting for a Consolidated CDO as Compared to an Unconsolidated CDO. When we consolidate a typical funded CDO, for accounting purposes, the CDO issuing vehicle is treated essentially as our wholly owned operating subsidiary. Funded means that at the CDO s closing, it issues liabilities in the form of debt and equity and the assets are purchased out of a warehouse facility with the proceeds from the issuance. The following shows the balance sheet impact of consolidating a hypothetical \$400 million

asset-backed CDO at the closing date, assuming we purchase the entire equity position of \$20 million for cash:

At Closing

	CDO VIE (in thousands)	ACA Funding Company(1)		ACA Management(2)	Eliminations		ACA Consolidated
Balance Sheet							
Cash	\$	\$ (20,000)	\$	\$		\$ (20,000)
Fixed maturity securities	400,000	20,000			(20,000)	400,000
Total assets	\$ 400,000	\$		\$	\$ (20,000)	\$ 380,000
Long-term debt	\$ 380,000	\$		\$	\$		\$ 380,000
Total liabilities	380,000						380,000
Equity	20,000				(20,000)	
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 400,000	\$		\$	\$ (20,000)	\$ 380,000

⁽¹⁾ The purchaser of the equity. For illustration purposes we assume the purchase of equity is made with cash, although we sometimes finance our purchases of equity.

(2) The entity that earns management fees.

For purposes of this hypothetical CDO, we assume we do not earn warehouse or structuring fees, which we do on a number of our CDOs. This example also excludes the effects of any mark to market adjustments on derivatives contained within the CDO vehicle. Following the closing of this hypothetical CDO, we record the activity of the CDO on our assets and liabilities, as well as ongoing expenses of the CDO as follows, assuming one year has passed and we have realized a 10% return on our \$20 million equity position and earned management fees of \$1.8 million:

One Year Later

	-	O VIE thousands)			A Funding mpany		AC Ma	A nagement	Eliı	minations		AC. Cor	A solidated
Statement of Operations													
Investment income	\$	27,320	(1)	\$			\$		\$			\$	27,320
Management fees	(1,8	300)				1,8	00					
Residual equity return				2,0	000				(2,0	000)		
Total revenues	25,	520		2,0	000		1,8	00	(2,0	000)	27,	320
Interest expense	22,	820	(2)									22,	820
Operating expenses	700)										700	
Total expenses	23,	520										23,	520
Pre-tax income	\$	2,000		\$	2,000		\$	1,800	\$	(2,000)	\$	3,800
Balance Sheet													
Cash	\$	2,000		\$	(20,000)	\$	1,800	\$			\$	(16,200
Fixed maturity securities	400	0,000		22.	,000				(22	,000)	400	,000
Total assets	\$	402,000		\$	2,000		\$	1,800	\$	(22,000)	\$	383,800
Long term debt	\$	380,000		\$			\$		\$			\$	380,000
Total liabilities	380	0,000										380	,000
Equity	22,	000		2,0	000		1,8	00	(22	,000)	3,80	00
Total liabilities and equity	\$	402,000		\$	2,000		\$	1,800	\$	(22,000)	\$	383,800

⁽¹⁾ Based on a hypothetical investment rate of 6.83%.

(2) Based on a hypothetical liability cost of 5.70%.

The presentation above would be proper from a U.S. GAAP reporting perspective. When management considers the financial performance of the CDO in relation to the economic benefit to the Company, management considers the income that the CDO generates in the following two component parts:

	After One Year (in thousands)
Management fees	\$ 1,800
Residual equity return	2,000
Total revenues	3,800
Total expenses	
Pre-tax Income	\$ 3,800

These line items, however, do not appear in our financial statements because of the U.S. GAAP rules of consolidation.

Conversely, if we were to close the same hypothetical CDO, but only purchase 10% of the equity, we would not be deemed the primary beneficiary and therefore would not consolidate. Our balance sheet on the date of closing would then include the following:

		At Closing (in thousands)		
Cash	\$	(2,000)	
Fixed maturity securities	2,000			
Total assets				
Total liabilities				
Equity				
Total liabilities and equity				

After one year, assuming the same return rates as in the consolidated example above but began having only purchased 10% of the equity, our statement of operations would include the following from this CDO:

	After One Year (in thousands)	
Fee income	\$ 1,800	
Investment income	200	(1)
Total revenues	2,000	
Total expenses		
Pre-tax income	\$ 2,000	

⁽¹⁾ This represents a 10% return on our equity position.

We would view the component parts of this CDO as follows:

		One Year ousands)
Management fees	\$	1,800
Equity return	200	
Total revenues	2,000)
Total expenses		
Pre-tax income	\$	2,000

Management evaluates the revenue generation of our CDO Asset Management line of business on an unconsolidated basis as an alternative measure since management believes it is important to evaluate the revenue associated with each aspect of the business that relates to our investment or our contractual rights to payment and exclude those revenues that relate to other investors economic rights.

The table below sets forth the economic impact of our CDOs assuming all CDOs were unconsolidated for the first quarter of 2007 and 2006. Investors should neither place undue reliance on this presentation nor use it as a substitute for our segment financial statements which are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. This presentation should be utilized only as a supplemental measure of financial performance in evaluating our CDO Asset Management line of business.

	2007	Months Ended Musands, except w		1, 2006	
		ise noted)	11010		
Assets under management (in millions)	\$	16,296		\$	11,362
Management and other fees(1)	9,188			5,946	
Warehouse and structuring fees(2)	(140)	1,032	
Equity returns(3)	5,119			5,433	
CDO Asset Management revenue, unconsolidated basis	\$	14,167		\$	12,411
Reconciliation to CDO Asset Management pre-tax income:					
CDO Asset Management revenue, unconsolidated basis	\$	14,167		\$	12,411
Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on CDO related derivatives(4)	6,724			3,528	
Allocated investment income(5)	975			474	
Allocated expenses(5)(5a)	(6,416)	(4,049)
Allocated interest expenses(5)(5b)	(1,251)	(1,099)
Allocated depreciation(5)(5c)	(212)	(219)
Allocated realized gains (losses)(5)(5d)	(7)	(91)
Interest expense operating(6)	(1,891)	(1,785)
Minority interest (mark to market portion)(7)	(61)	(326)
Loan Trading Program(8)	602				
Other	19			(72)
Total CDO Asset Management pre-tax income-U.S. GAAP	\$	12,649		\$	8,772

⁽¹⁾ Represents the sum of management fees for all CDOs paid by CDO entities to ACA Management, whether the CDO is consolidated or unconsolidated. For CDOs that we consolidate, management fees are eliminated from our consolidated financial statements under U.S. GAAP.

⁽²⁾ Represents fees received during or at the end of the warehouse, or asset accumulation, phase of the CDO.

⁽³⁾ Represents the return on our CDO equity investments. For consolidated CDOs, this is based on the investment income of the CDO less direct interest expense, direct realized losses, operating expenses, amortization expense and management fees. For unconsolidated CDOs, equity return is the amount of income recorded on the related unconsolidated investment.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents the sum of net unrealized gains and losses included in net insured credit swap revenue, net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments and other net credit swap revenue. This adjustment (subtracts) adds back mark to market gains (losses) on derivatives and realized gains (losses) on warehouse facilities because they represent non-cash adjustments that are expected to reverse themselves over time as the related contracts mature or expire.

⁽⁵⁾ Represents adjustments for the investment income and expenses which are allocated to the CDO Asset Management line of business but do not relate to the direct economics of our managed CDOs.

⁽⁵a) Direct expenses of our consolidated CDOs are included above as a reduction of our equity return and include items such as ongoing rating agency CDO expenses, trustee fees, accounting fees, etc. Direct expenses equal total segment expense less allocated amounts. Direct CDO expenses were \$0.5 million in the first quarter of 2007 and \$0.5 million

in the first quarter of 2006. When we consider the results related to our managed CDOs, allocated expenses are excluded and must be added back in order to reconcile to segment based income before taxes.

- (5b) Represents a portion of our corporate debt interest expense allocated to this line of business. This allocated interest expense, combined with CDO debt-operating and CDO debt-non-recourse interest expense, equals total segment interest expense.
- (5c) Represents a portion of corporate depreciation and amortization expense allocated to this line of business. Direct amortization of debt issuance costs of consolidated CDOs is included above as a reduction of equity returns (see note 3 above) and totaled \$1.8 million in the first quarter of 2007 and \$1.9 million in the first quarter of 2006
- (5d) Represents a portion of our corporate realized (losses) gains allocated to this line of business. Direct net realized losses including impairments were \$2.2 million in the first quarter of 2007 and \$0.8 million in the first quarter of 2006. These direct realized losses are included above as a reduction of our equity return.
- (6) Represents interest expense incurred in connection with the financing of our equity purchases. We view these costs as indirect costs of our CDO Asset Management business and exclude them when we consider direct results of this line of business. Therefore, management subtracts them to arrive at segment income before taxes.
- (7) Represents the minority interest portion of net unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives for two consolidated CDOs in which we own less than 100% of the equity. We exclude this item when we examine the results related to our managed CDOs.
- (8) Represents return and unrealized gains (losses) on a leveraged loan trading program that is structured in the form of a total return swap. We exclude this item when we examine the results related to our managed CDOs.

CDO Structures and Related Revenue Recognition

The impact of a CDO on our financial statements depends on the structure of the CDO (fully funded, partially funded or unfunded), whether or not the CDO is consolidated and the nature of the underlying assets and liabilities.

Fully funded CDOs contain investments in interest bearing financial assets such as fixed maturity securities or guaranteed investment contracts. We have six fully funded consolidated CDOs and one partially funded consolidated CDO. The assets and liabilities of these CDOs are included on our balance sheets. The income generated by the investments is reflected in net investment income in the consolidated statements of operations. The debt liabilities issued in the form of notes pay interest that is included in interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. A management fee is paid by the CDO to ACA Management but is eliminated in consolidation. Our return on our equity investment is the net of the CDO s revenues (interest income) and expenses, including the management fee paid to ACA Management.

Partially funded synthetic, or CDS, CDOs sell credit protection on referenced assets by entering into credit swaps with various protection buyers in the market. The CDO also issues securities, both liabilities and equity, in order to use the proceeds from the sale of these securities to collateralize the CDO s obligations under its credit swaps, except with respect to the most senior tranche of the CDO s credit exposure, in connection with which a partially funded CDO typically purchases credit protection rather than issuing funded liability securities. The proceeds of the securities issued by the partially funded CDO are invested in a guaranteed investment contract, or GIC. We consolidate one partially funded CDS CDO. From this consolidated CDO, we consolidate investment income, relating to the return on the GIC, and interest expense in connection with the liability securities issued by the CDO. We also consolidate derivative income relating to net revenues from the fixed fees payable to the CDO under the terms of the credit swaps pursuant to which the CDS CDO sold credit protection on referenced assets and the fixed fees payable by the CDO on the credit protection that it purchased. This income is reflected in the consolidated statements of operations as other net credit swap revenue. This income is used to pay the interest on the liabilities of the CDO and other expenses of the CDO, including the management fee. Similar to the fully funded and consolidated CDOs, all management fees in this partially funded CDS CDO are paid to ACA Management, but eliminated in consolidation. Our return on our equity investment is the net of the CDO s revenues (interest income and other credit swap income) and the CDO s expenses, including the management fee paid to ACA Management.

For funded and partially funded unconsolidated CDOs, we reflect management fees and investment income in our consolidated statements of operations. As of March 31, 2007, we have 13 funded and partially funded CDOs that are not consolidated. In these instances, the investment income recorded is only on the equity investment made by us as compared to all of the assets in a consolidated CDO. Partially funded, unconsolidated CDOs in which we invest in the funded equity securities are reflected in our financial statements in the same way as funded unconsolidated CDOs.

We originated a fully synthetic, or unfunded, CDS CDO in each of 2002 and 2003. In these transactions, we act as asset manager, and, through ACA Financial Guaranty, insure the equity or first loss position of the CDO. No variable interest entities, or VIEs, exist in these structures; rather, the sponsoring investment bank acts as the counterparty to the various credit swaps that constitute the assets and liabilities of the synthetic CDO. CDOs that do not use VIEs are not subject to consolidation under FIN 46(R). For our various roles in the unfunded CDS CDOs, we receive structuring fees, management fees, premium and residual equity returns. On our consolidated statements of operations, these revenues are reflected as fee income, net insured credit swap revenue and other net credit swap revenue. Net insured credit swap revenue also includes the changes in fair value of the related credit swaps. Our combined equity position in our two unfunded CDS CDOs, which is the aggregate notional balance of our credit swaps insuring the equity tranches of these two CDOs, was \$47.5 million at December 31, 2006. At March 31, 2007, one of the transactions matured, thereby reducing our exposure to \$25.0 million.

In certain funded CDOs, we issued liabilities in the form of notes in order to fund our equity purchases. These borrowings were accomplished through the issuance of non-recourse notes by our subsidiaries. These notes are insured as to the repayment of principal and interest by ACA Financial Guaranty. The premium on the policy insuring the notes payable to ACA Financial Guaranty is payable by our subsidiary that invested in the preferred shares. These subsidiaries and ACA Financial Guaranty are wholly owned by us and therefore this inter-company premium eliminates in consolidation.

In structuring our CDOs, we collaborate with sponsoring investment banks to develop the type of CDO, either fully funded, partially funded or unfunded, to meet the demand of market participants. At any given time, different types of CDOs may be attractive to different potential investors. Whether a CDO is consolidated is a function of the amount of our equity position in the CDO and the related accounting rules. See CDO Asset Management Supplementary Information-Consolidation of VIEs. Whether or not a CDO will be consolidated onto our financial statements is not a factor that we consider in determining the types of CDOs that we agree to manage.

While in early transactions we either invested in or sold credit protection through insured credit swaps on 100% of the equity tranches of our CDOs, and therefore consolidate those CDOs (to the extent the accounting rules require such consolidation), beginning in 2005 we have been able to assume reduced amounts of equity in the CDOs that we manage and therefore have not been consolidating CDOs originated since that time. We do not anticipate consolidating new CDOs in the future.

We may experience increased volatility in our financial statements which is not related to the underlying economic value of our investment in these CDOs because we consolidate VIEs where we are the primary beneficiary. This may make the evaluation of our financial statements more difficult. Our investment exposure to our CDOs is limited to our equity interest; however, we record fluctuations in the fair value of the assets and liabilities of our consolidated CDOs. These valuation fluctuations, in the form of unrealized mark to market adjustments that are recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, have exceeded our economic exposure in the form of our equity investment in the past and could exceed our economic exposure in the future. These valuation adjustments reverse themselves over time, to the extent the gains or losses are not realized by sales or other than temporary impairments. We may have realized losses from the assets (from sales and OTTI) in our consolidated CDOs and those losses could exceed the amount of our equity exposure. These losses are recorded in our consolidated statement of operations as realized losses. When there are realized losses in the CDO asset portfolios, other CDO investors will bear those losses to the extent the losses exceed our equity exposure to that CDO. When this occurs, the financial statement impact of the realized losses is offset at CDO maturity, when the associated non-recourse liabilities are written off. For example, if we had a \$400 million CDO in which we owned 100% of the \$20 million in equity in the form of preference shares, we would consolidate the CDO, including all of its income, expenses, gains, losses and mark to market valuations. Third party investors would own the \$380 million in debt securities issued by the CDO. The maximum economic loss we could

sustain on the CDO is \$20 million. However, as we consolidated the CDO in our financial statements, we could record realized losses, for example, greater than \$20 million, should they occur in the \$400 million asset portfolio of the CDO. The reversal of those losses above our \$20 million share would be recorded in our statement of operations at the CDO s maturity as the CDO writes down the principal amount of liabilities. The third party investors of the debt securities issued by the CDO would as an economic matter sustain those losses as they would not receive all of their principal investment back in this scenario.

Other

Our fourth line of business, Other, encompasses insurance products in which we are no longer active, including industry loss warranty transactions, trade credit reinsurance and insurance of certain classes of assets in asset-backed securitizations, principally manufactured housing. At March 31, 2007, all of the exposure in this line of business had either expired or was in run-off. Run-off indicates that while we will not add new exposure, we have some current exposure that has not yet expired. The following table summarizes the results of our Other line of business the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Ende 2007	ee Months ed March 31, nousands)		2006	
REVENUES	(111 61	iousunus)			
Gross premiums written	\$	282		\$	428
Premiums earned	184			409	
Net investment income	1,05	2		894	
Net realized and unrealized losses on investments	(7)	(169)
Total revenues	1,22	9		1,134	ļ
EXPENSES					
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	348			645	
Policy acquisition costs	14			120	
Other operating expenses	11				
Interest expense	92			85	
Depreciation and amortization					
Total expenses	465			850	
(Income) loss of minority interest					
Income before income taxes	764			284	
Provision for income tax expense	259			97	
Net income	\$	505		\$	187

Total Revenues. Total revenues primarily comprised of net premiums earned and net investment income, continue to decline for this line of business due to the fact that it has been in run-off since 2005. Premiums earned declined to \$0.2 million in the first quarter of 2007 from \$0.4 million in the first quarter of 2006. Our exposure in the trade credit business, a component of this line of business, continues to rapidly decline. Premiums earned for the other insurance contracts accounted for in this line of business also continue to decline. We still continue to receive a small amount of installment premium for in-force asset-backed securitizations, principally manufactured housing, that were insured several years ago.

Net Investment Income. Net investment income was \$1.1 million in the first quarter of 2007 and \$0.9 million in the first quarter of 2006. Net investment income is derived from the allocation of income from ACA Financial Guaranty s investment portfolio. A portion of our capital is allocated to this line of business because we have outstanding exposure, principally related to our exposure to insured manufactured housing securitizations. Notwithstanding the run-off or expiration of our exposure overall in our Other line of business, allocated investment income increased due to the increase in the size of the ACA Financial Guaranty investment portfolio.

Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses. We continue to record losses for the trade credit business. Like premium, losses incurred on these contracts continued to decline as the underlying exposures run off. This loss activity has been within management s expectations.

In 1999, we insured 12 securitized manufactured housing bonds with a collective balance of \$213.3 million. In response to an increase in the level of realized losses observed in the mortgages underlying four of these securitizations, we established a case specific reserve in 2004 in the amount of \$20.3 million. This reserve represented the present value of expected losses, discounted at the applicable treasury rate. Due to the very long tenor of these bonds, payments are projected to continue through 2033. Each year we increase the reserve for accretion to adjust to the proper present value. Additionally, we regularly review the underlying asset performance and assess the need for additional reserves. As of March 31, 2007, our case specific reserve was \$21.3 million. While the reserve represents our best estimate at the time of establishment of ultimate losses on a present value basis, facts and circumstances may change such that the estimate is required to be revalued. Three of the bonds in our securitized manufactured housing portfolio experienced losses in 2006, 2005 and 2004. A fourth bond incurred losses in 2006. Losses incurred for these securitizations totaled \$0.3 million in the first quarter of 2007, all related to normal accretion of the existing reserve which is reported at the discounted value. In the first quarter of 2006, losses incurred were \$0.3 million and also represented accretion.

Net Economic Income

In managing our business and assessing growth and profitability from a strategic and financial planning perspective, our management and Board of Directors consider U.S. GAAP net income results as well as a measurement called net economic income. We believe that net economic income enhances the understanding of our results of operations by highlighting income attributable to our ongoing operating performance. However, net economic income is not a measurement of financial performance or liquidity under U.S. GAAP. It should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net income, cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities, or any other measure calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

We define net economic income as net income excluding the after-tax effects of:

- unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives included in:
- net insured credit swap revenue;
- net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments; and
- other net credit swap revenue;
- realized gains (losses) on non-CDO investments; and
- the portion of interest expense related to the principal payments on borrowings that were financed with derivatives.

Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives are excluded because (i) they widely vary with changes in the credit market and interest rate environment and are not necessarily indicative of the performance of our underlying businesses and (ii) the Company intends to hold the positions to the derivative contracts—expiration, at which time the mark to market values would revert to zero, to the extent no realized derivative gains or losses had occurred. Realized gains (losses) on non-CDO investments are excluded because our investment strategy is focused on the preservation of capital and generation of current income as opposed to the generation of trading gains and losses. We include the unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives and investments generated by trading activity, if any. Also excluded is a portion of interest expense on borrowings that were financed with interest rate swaps to pay the up front issuance costs of some of our consolidated CDOs. FAS 133 requires that all payments made under the interest rate swaps, representing both principal and interest, be recorded as interest expense. In order to approximate the economic expense on these borrowings, the portion of interest expense that is excluded is related to what would be principal payments if these borrowings had been financed with debt obligations.

We had after-tax unrealized gains (losses) of (\$9.8) million in the first quarter of 2007, and \$2.5 million in the first quarter of 2006 on our insured credit swaps included in net insured credit swap revenue. We had after-tax unrealized gains of \$0.9 million in the first quarter of 2007, and \$2.2 million in 2006 on

our derivatives included in net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments. We had unrealized gains (losses) of \$5.5 million in the first quarter of 2007 and \$0.1 million in the first quarter of 2006 on our credit swaps included in other net insured credit swap revenue. We had realized gains (losses) of \$(0.0) million in the first quarter of 2007 and \$(0.7) million in the first quarter of 2006 on our non-CDO investments. Lastly, we had after-tax expenses of \$0.8 million in the first quarter of 2007 and \$1.0 million in the first quarter of 2006, which effectively constituted principal payments on our interest rate swaps.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We are a holding company, and as such, have no direct operations of our own. Our liquidity, both on a short-term basis (for the next 12 months) and a long-term basis (beyond the next 12 months) is dependent upon how much of our initial public offering proceeds we retain at the holding company level, the ability of our operating subsidiaries, which excludes our consolidated CDO entities, to pay dividends or make other payments to us, and our ability to incur indebtedness and otherwise access the capital markets. As of March 31, 2007, we held at our holding company approximately \$19.9 million of the approximately \$79.2 million in net proceeds from our IPO. For further discussion regarding the balance of proceeds after March 31, 2007, see Part II, Item 2 of this report. Our principal liquidity requirement is the payment of interest on our debt and operating expenses. Our outstanding indebtedness is described under Indebtedness. We may also incur additional indebtedness in the future to fund acquisitions, working capital, growth in our business or for other general corporate purposes. We also may require liquidity to make periodic capital investments in our operating subsidiaries. We do not currently intend to pay dividends. In the ordinary course of our business, we evaluate our liquidity needs and capital resources in light of holding company expenses and debt-related expenses. Based on the amount of dividends we expect to receive from our subsidiaries, existing cash, including the remaining proceeds from our initial public offering retained at our holding company, and availability under our revolving credit facility, management believes that we will have sufficient liquidity to satisfy our needs over the next 12 months. Beyond the next 12 months, the ability of our operating subsidiaries to declare and pay dividends may be influenced by a variety of factors including market conditions, insurance and rating agencies actions and general economic conditions as well as other items described in our risk factors in our Form 10-K. Consequently, although management believes that we will continue to have sufficient liquidity to meet our debt service and other obligations over the long term, we cannot guarantee that we will not be required to seek external debt or equity financing in order to meet our operating expenses and debt service obligations.

As discussed above, one source of our liquidity, for the next 12 months and for the longer term, will be amounts paid by our operating subsidiaries as dividends to us. ACA Financial Guaranty is our principal subsidiary through which we operate all our lines of business. ACA Financial Guaranty is subject to restrictions under state insurance law on its ability to pay dividends. In December 2005, the Maryland Insurance Commissioner approved ACA Financial Guaranty is request to pay a dividend in an amount equal to the interest payments on \$40 million of the \$80 million of our trust preferred issuance outstanding through the year ended December 31, 2006. The Maryland Insurance Commissioner also approved interest payments by ACA Financial Guaranty on the \$10 million surplus note due in the 2006 fiscal year. Accordingly, during 2006 ACA Financial Guaranty paid dividends of \$2.7 million for the ultimate benefit of its parent, ACA Capital, and \$0.8 million in interest on the surplus note. Approval of the Maryland Insurance Commissioner to make dividend and surplus note interest payments due in 2007 on the \$40 million of trust preferred securities and the \$10 million surplus note, respectively, was received by us in December 2006. As of March 31, 2007, ACA Financial Guaranty paid dividends of \$0.9 million and \$0.2 million in interest on the surplus note. We have not received dividends from our operating subsidiaries other than ACA Financial Guaranty.

If S&P was to reduce ACA Financial Guaranty s financial strength rating to below the A category, we would be severely limited in our ability to insure additional municipal bonds or insure additional Structured Credit transactions. In addition, if the financial strength rating was reduced below A-, we could be required to provide collateral in the form of cash or securities to back certain of our Structured Credit transactions. In the case of certain insured credit swaps, if the underlying portfolio deteriorates below a pre-agreed point, we are generally required to post collateral in an amount that is the lesser of the amount by which actual losses exceed this pre-agreed point and the amount of any negative mark to market value of the insured credit swap. If any of these events occurred, it could materially hurt our financial

performance and liquidity and severely strain our capital resources. See Part I, Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Liquidity at our operating subsidiaries is used to pay operating expenses, claim payments and income taxes. Positive cash flow at ACA Financial Guaranty is only available to pay operating expenses of other operating subsidiaries subject to the approval of the Maryland Insurance Commissioner. In addition, certain of our operating subsidiaries may be required to post collateral in connection with credit derivatives. We had \$63.4 million of collateral posted related to seven synthetic equity transactions in our CDO Asset Management and Structured Credit businesses as of March 31, 2007. However, in 2007, we expect \$40.0 million in collateral held to be released in connection with the scheduled expiration of the related contracts. At March 31, 2007 none of the \$40.0 million was released. On an ongoing basis, our sources of funds at our operating subsidiaries primarily result from premiums written, asset management fees, investment income and proceeds from the sale and redemption of investments. Cash is used primarily to pay losses and loss expenses, policy acquisition costs, other operating expenses and interest expenses. Our cash flow from operations generally represents the difference between premiums collected along with the investment income realized, and investment earnings realized and the losses and loss expenses paid, policy acquisition and other operating expenses and investment losses realized. Cash flow from operations may differ substantially from net income. As of March 31, 2007, we have invested all cash flow that is not required for operating purposes. Management believes that our subsidiaries operating needs generally can be met from operating cash flow for at least the next 12 months.

See Indebtedness.

Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2007

For the three months ended March 31, 2007, we generated positive net operating cash flow of \$1.6 million, primarily related to income from operations and investment income. This amount is net of our \$10.0 million investment in our Credit Fund in the first quarter of 2007. In the first three months of 2007, we had net proceeds from invested assets of \$73.8 million principally from the maturity of fixed maturity securities available for sale, primarily in our consolidated CDOs. We had net cash outflows of \$29.5 million related to financing activities, which included the paydown of \$30.7 million of long-term debt, primarily in our consolidated CDOs. As of March 31, 2007, we had contributed \$59.3 million of the proceeds from our initial public offering to our subsidiaries. As of March 31, 2007, we had a cash balance of \$426.0 million.

Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, we generated positive net operating cash flow of \$8.3 million, primarily related to income from operations and investment income. During the same period, we invested a net cash amount of \$39.4 million. We had net cash outflows of \$38.5 million through financing activities, which included the paydown of \$39.3 million of long-term debt, primarily related to our consolidated CDOs. As of March 31, 2006, we had a cash balance of \$183.6 million.

Capital Expenditures

We did not make significant capital expenditures for or during the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006. We do not anticipate significant capital expenditures for the remainder of 2007.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements (as such term is defined in Item 303 of Regulation S-K of the General Rules and Regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended) that are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources. We do, however, have off-balance sheet exposure in the form of our financial guaranty exposure or par in force. Par in force as of March 31, 2007 was \$57.0 billion and includes exposure to Public Finance, Structured Credit and CDO Asset Management and other transactions in which ACA Financial Guaranty has issued insurance policies. Par in force as of March 31, 2006, was \$25.3 billion.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarized our contractual obligations as of March 31, 2007(1):

	Less Than Total One Year (in thousands)		1 3 Years	4 5 Years	After 5 Years
Debt					
Corporate debt	\$ 79,899	\$	\$	\$	\$ 79,899
CDO debt operating	110,942			102,527	8,415
CDO debt non-recourse, net of discounts(2)	4,683,377	2,678,982	102,328		1,902,067
Total debt	4,874,218 2,678,982 102		102,328	102,527	1,990,381
Securities sold under agreements to					
repurchase(3)	277,701	277,701 277,701			
Lease obligations	37,911	2,717	9,521	5,525	20,148
Loss reserves(4)	44,108	5,977 4,662		3,559	29,910
Total	\$ 5,233,938	\$ 2,965,377	\$ 116,511	\$ 111,611	\$ 2,040,439

⁽¹⁾ Does not include any potential borrowings under our credit facility, for which there were no outstanding borrowings as of March 31, 2007, described below under Indebtedness.

- (2) Represents debt incurred by our CDOs that are consolidated under U.S. GAAP and that are without recourse to any assets other than those of the applicable CDO.
- (3) Represents debt incurred by our Credit Fund that are consolidated under U.S. GAAP and that are without recourse to any assets other than those of the Credit Fund.
- (4) The loss and loss adjustment expenses payments due by period in the table above are based upon the present value of loss and loss adjustment expenses estimates as of March 31, 2007 and are not contractual liabilities with specified times of payment. Our contractual liability is to provide benefits under our policies. As a result, our calculation of loss and loss adjustment expenses payments due by period is subject to the same uncertainties associated with determining the level of unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses reserves generally and to the additional uncertainties arising from the difficulty of predicting when claims (including claims that have not yet been reported to us) will be paid. Actual payments of losses and loss adjustment expenses by period will vary, perhaps materially, from the above table to the extent that current estimates of loss and loss adjustment expenses vary from actual ultimate claims and amounts and as a result of variations between expected and actual payout patterns. Our failure to accurately set our loss reserves may result in having to increase our loss reserves or make payments in excess of our loss reserves, which could materially and adversely impact our financial condition.

Restricted Balances

Our insurance subsidiary, ACA Financial Guaranty, has assets on deposits with various regulatory authorities. At March 31, 2007, such assets on deposit were in the amount of \$4.9 million. In connection with our CDO Asset Management and Structured Credit businesses, we had on deposit \$69.1 million for the benefit of various counterparties. These funds revert back to us upon the expiration of the related risk and in certain instances may be used to pay losses should they be incurred. In 2007, we expect \$40.0 million in collateral held to be released in connection with the scheduled expiration of the related contracts. As of March 31, 2007 none of the \$40.0 million was released. We also hold \$1.1 million in collateral, through one of our consolidated CDOs, for the benefit of the CDO debt holders.

Indebtedness

Credit Facilities

\$75 million Credit Facility

We had a \$75 million 364-day senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the Prior Credit Facility) with a syndicate of banks, which was replaced with the New Credit Facility (defined below) in April 2007. As of March 31, 2007, no amounts were outstanding under the Prior Credit Facility nor had there been any borrowings under the facility. The Prior Credit Facility required us to maintain a net worth not less than the sum of (i) \$298,500,000, (ii) 60% of our cumulative Consolidated Net Income (as defined in the credit agreement for the Prior Credit Facility) for each fiscal quarter (beginning with the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2006), and (iii) 60% of the net cash proceeds of any offering of common equity consummated after the facility s closing date. We were further required to not permit our debt to capital ratio to be greater than 35% at any time and to maintain a minimum financial strength rating from S&P of A- for ACA Financial Guaranty. As of March 31, 2007, we met all of these requirements.

\$150 million Credit Facility

On April 26, 2007, we entered into a \$150 million three-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the New Credit Facility) with a syndicate of banks. The New Credit Facility replaced the Prior Credit Facility discussed above.

The New Credit Facility provides for borrowings by us on a revolving basis up to an aggregate of \$150 million at any one time outstanding and includes a \$25 million sub-commitment for the issuance of letters of credit and a \$20 million sub-commitment for the making of swingline loans. At our request and subject to the terms and conditions of the credit agreement for the New Credit Facility, the maximum amount of facility commitments may be increased up to \$250 million at the lenders discretion.

We may elect from time to time an interest rate per annum for the borrowings under the New Credit Facility equal to either (a) an annual benchmark rate, which will be equal to the greatest of (i) the prime rate in effect and (ii) the federal funds effective rate, plus 50 basis points or (b) the Eurodollar rate plus an Applicable Margin (as defined in the credit agreement for the New Credit Facility) corresponding to our leverage ratio (the ratio of debt to capital reflected in our then most current consolidated financial statements). All swingline loans shall bear interest at a rate per annum equal to the annual benchmark rate. In the case of competitive bid loans, interest per annum is fixed and specified by the lender making such competitive loan.

We will also pay an Applicable Facility Fee (as defined in the credit agreement for the New Credit Facility) on the commitments in effect under the New Credit Facility (whether used or unused) corresponding to our leverage ratio. In addition, we will pay utilization fees on the average daily amount of total amount outstanding under the New Credit Facility when such amount outstanding exceeds 50% of the commitments under the facility, a fronting fee on the average daily amount of the undrawn amount under all letters of credit and other fees for each letter of credit issued under the facility. These fees are payable quarterly in arrears.

The terms of the New Credit Facility include various restrictive covenants. These covenants include restrictions on indebtedness, liens, fundamental changes, sales of assets, certain payments, and transactions with affiliates. The New Credit Facility also includes a covenant requiring us to maintain a net worth not less than the sum of (i) \$400,000,000, (ii) 50% of our cumulative Consolidated Net Income (as defined in the credit agreement for the New Credit Facility) for each fiscal quarter (beginning with the fiscal quarter ending June 30, 2007) and (iii) 50% of the net cash proceeds of any offering of common equity consummated after the facility s closing date. We must further not permit our debt to capital ratio to be greater than 35% at any time and must maintain a minimum financial strength rating from S&P of A- for ACA Financial Guaranty. The nature of these covenants is similar to or more favorable than the covenants contained in the Prior Credit Facility.

The New Credit Facility contains various events of default customary for investment grade loan facilities of this type (subject to certain materiality thresholds and grace periods), including failure to pay principal and interest on loans when due, a failure to reimburse under, or pay fees in connection with, letters of credit when due, breach of covenants, default in payment of principal or interest in respect of certain material indebtedness, including the acceleration of such material indebtedness, bankruptcy or insolvency, including in respect of certain significant subsidiaries, entry of certain enforceable judgments not otherwise stayed, and the occurrence of a change of control. The nature of these events of default is similar to or more favorable than those contained in the Prior Credit Facility. If an event of default occurs, all of our obligations under the New Credit Facility could be accelerated by the required lenders. In the case of bankruptcy or insolvency, acceleration of our obligations under the New Credit Facility is automatic.

Trust Preferred Securities

We issued floating rate junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures, structured as trust preferred securities, of \$20.6 million, \$20.6 million, \$20.6 million and \$18.0 million on December 29, 2004, October 29, 2003, May 15, 2003, and December 4, 2002, respectively. The trust preferred debt securities have an initial stated term of 30 years with a call provision at five years from the date of each issuance. The current interest rates on the \$79.9 million of outstanding trust preferred debt securities range from 8.71% to 9.46% at March 31, 2007. The effective interest rate of these securities is calculated based on three-month LIBOR plus a margin of 3.35% to 4.10% per annum subject to certain interest rate caps during the lives of the securities.

The indentures governing the debentures limit our ability to pay dividends and incur other indebtedness. Events of default under the indentures include failure to make timely principal or interest payments, continuation of a breach of the indentures 60 days after proper notice, bankruptcy, liquidation and dissolution.

Other Financings

To the extent we purchase equity in our CDOs, we may issue notes to finance such purchases. These notes are debt obligations secured by our equity interest in the CDO and insured as to the repayment of principal and interest by ACA Financial Guaranty. The notes generally may be redeemed prior to the scheduled maturity date at our option.

In March 2005, one of our subsidiaries, ACA Parliament, L.L.C. (ACA Parliament) entered into an agreement with an investment trust, pursuant to which \$99 million was deposited in a fund that is maintained and invested by ACA Parliament in exchange for a medium term note. ACA Parliament is required to make quarterly interest payments at a rate equal to the three-month LIBOR plus 125 basis points and the maturity date of the medium term note is 2010. We will have to refinance this medium term note program upon maturity in 2010 since the maturity date is in advance of the maturity date of many of the assets acquired with the proceeds of such issuance. Notes outstanding in connection with the financing of our equity purchases, including the aforementioned term note, totaled \$110.9 million and \$112.0 million as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

Investment Portfolio

As of March 31, 2007, our investment portfolio consisted of \$5.1 billion of fixed maturity securities, of which \$4.3 billion were from VIEs that we consolidate under FIN 46(R), \$22.5million from our unconsolidated CDOs, \$501.2 million were held in our insurance company investment portfolio and \$308.0 million were from our Credit Fund. The vast majority of our fixed maturity securities are designated as available for sale in accordance with FAS 115. The remaining fixed maturity securities are designated as part of a trading portfolio. These securities were purchased in connection with the launching of our Credit Fund. Fixed maturity securities available for sale are reported at fair value in accordance with FAS 115, and the change in fair value is reported as part of accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders—equity while changes in the fair value of trading securities are recorded in the income statement. Other than temporary impairments on our VIE and non-VIE investments are recorded in net income as realized losses.

The following table summarizes our investment portfolio as of March 31, 2007:

	Gross Amortized Unrealized Cost Gains (in thousands)		Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Available for sale-non-VIE:				
U.S. treasury securities	\$ 41,321	\$ 101	\$ (587)	\$ 40,835
Federal-agency securities	71,504		(627)	70,877
Obligations of sales and political subdivisions	133,013	667	(932)	132,748
Corporate securities	95,683	417	(2,101)	93,999
Asset-backed securities	14,306	73	(61)	14,318
Mortgage-backed securities	145,915	60	(2,573)	143,402
Total non-VIE securities	501,742	1,318	(6,881)	496,179
Available for sale VIE asset-backed securities	4,503,159	19,199	(194,223)	4,328,135
Total available for sale	5,004,901	20,517	(201,104)	4,824,314
Held for trading- Credit Fund:				
Securities held for trading- assets	309,529	347	(1,864)	308,012
Securities held for trading-liabilities				
Total held for trading- Credit fund	309,529	347	(1,864)	308,012
Total	\$ 5,314,430	\$ 20,864	\$ (202,968)	\$ 5,132,326

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of fixed maturity securities available for sale and held for trading as of March 31, 2007, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalties.

	Non- VIE Securiti Amortized Cost (in thousands)	es Estimated Fair Value	VIE Securities Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Total Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Available for sale(1):						
Due in one year or less	\$ 21,022	\$ 20,965	\$ 31,001	\$ 31,277	\$ 52,023	\$ 52,242
Due after one year through						
five years	81,339	80,720	108,810	109,602	190,149	190,322
Due after five years through						
ten years	159,864	158,632	383,998	387,515	543,862	546,147
Due after ten years	239,517	235,862	3,979,350	3,799,741	4,218,867	4,035,603
Total available for sale	501,742	496,179	4,503,159	4,328,135	5,004,901	4,824,314
Held for trading- Credit						
Fund(1):						
Due after ten years			309,529	308,012	309,529	308,012
Total held for trading			309,529	308,012	309,529	308,012
Total	\$ 501,742	\$ 496,179	\$ 4,812,688	\$ 4,636,147	\$ 5,314,430	\$ 5,132,326

⁽¹⁾ Actual maturities could differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to call or prepay certain obligations which may or may not include call or prepayment penalties.

Fair value of the fixed maturity securities is based upon quoted market prices provided by either independent pricing services or, when such prices are not available, by reference to broker or underwriter bid indications.

We review our investment portfolio on at least a quarterly basis for possible impairment losses.

The following table summarizes the ratings distributions of the fixed maturity securities in our investment portfolio and the investment portfolio of our consolidated VIEs as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006:

	As of	
$\mathbf{Rating}(1)$	March 31, 2007 (in thousands)	December 31, 2006
AAA or equivalent	\$ 364,091	\$ 346,352
AA	63,851	55,687
A	40,588	52,125
BBB	21,494	24,213
Below investment grade and non-rated	6,155	11,205
Total non-VIE securities	496,179	489,582
VIE securities	4,328,135	4,536,694
Held for trading- Credit Fund	308,012	251,825
Total	\$ 5,132,326	\$ 5,278,101

⁽¹⁾ Ratings are represented by the lower of the S&P and Moody s Investors Service classifications.

The following table summarizes the unrealized losses in our investment portfolio by type of security and the length of time such securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2007:

	Less than 12 month Fair Value (in thousands)	hs Unrealized Loss		12 months or more Fair Value	e Unrealized Loss		Total Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Available for sale-non-VIE:								
U.S. treasury securities	\$ 30,937	\$ (532)	\$ 1,840	\$ (55)	\$ 32,777	\$ (587)
Federal-agency securities	66,399	(558)	4,478	(69)	70,877	(627)
Obligations of states and political								
subdivisions	6,353	(46)	52,401	(886)	58,754	(932)
Corporate securities	15,000	(163)	55,770	(1,937)	70,770	(2,100)
Asset-backed securities	949			5,350	(61)	6,299	(61)
Mortgage-backed securities	28,058	(217)	96,021	(2,356)	124,079	(2,573)
Total non-VIE securities	147,696	(1,516)	215,860	(5,364)	363,556	(6,880)
Available for								
sale-VIE asset-backed securities	2,069,566	(178,262)	461,157	(15,960)	2,530,723	(194,222)
Total available for sale-non-VIE	\$ 2,217,262	\$ (179,778)	\$ 677,017	\$ (21,324)	\$ 2,894,279	\$ (201,102)

The unrealized losses on the Company s non-VIE investments were caused by interest rate increases. Because the decline in market value of these securities is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, it does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily-impaired at March 31, 2007.

A substantial portion of the unrealized loss in VIE asset-backed securities is related to collateralized residential mortgage backed securities, including both sub-prime and mid-prime quality mortgages. The last quarter of 2006 and first quarter of 2007 saw significant disruption and consolidation in the sub-prime

mortgage market, with some mortgage originators being purchased or exiting the business. This disruption translated into decreased liquidity and wider spreads across the market, decreasing the market value of the portfolios. In particular, securities backed by mortgages from what are currently perceived as originators with weaker underwriting standards experienced a decrease in price in late 2006 and the first quarter of 2007, even when the credit performance of the securities had not suffered. An additional factor weighing on the market valuations for the Company s positions is the limited liquidity associated with the seasoned securities which have already received or are about to receive a significant return of principal. Since the Company, at March 31, 2007, believed that it would be able to collect amounts due on its RMBS positions and has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a recovery of fair value, which may be at their maturities, we did not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2007.

Included above are 869 fixed maturity securities, 166 in the non-VIE portfolios, and 703 in the VIE portfolios. At March 31, 2007, there were 115 fixed maturity securities of whose amortized cost exceeded its respective fair value by greater than 20%. Over 99% of the securities in both the non-VIE portfolio and the VIE portfolios are rated investment grade. Management has assessed these and other factors and concluded that there were no other than temporarily impaired fixed maturity securities included in the above table as of March 31, 2007.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value of our interest rate sensitive assets or liabilities will change with changes in market interest rates. We own both fixed rate and floating rate bonds in our non-CDO corporate-wide investment portfolios and consolidated CDO portfolios. Also, our unconsolidated CDO equity investments have fair values that are sensitive to yield curve movements.

We use interest rate swaps and caps to hedge differences in the cash flow of assets and liabilities within many of our CDOs. These hedges also act to reduce the net sensitivity to fair value changes. We do not hedge the interest rate risk of our non-CDO corporate-wide investment portfolios since we prefer the income from this portfolio be predominantly fixed coupon. As interest rates go up or down, the yield of that investment portfolio will be fairly stable, however, the fair value will fluctuate and the fluctuation can be material. While this portfolio holds both fixed-and floating-rate debt obligations, it is predominantly fixed rate. Conversely, our funded CDO assets are primarily comprised of floating rate securities. While the values of these securities are generally not sensitive to interest rate movements, the associated yields are sensitive to changes in interest rates.

The following table shows the change in fair value for all business activities with significant interest rate risk. We employ proprietary cash flow models to derive these values.

Business Activity	Fair Value at March 31, 2007 (in millions)	+100 bps per annum Shift	-100 bps per annum Shift
Non-CDO investment portfolio	\$ 496.2	(25.4)	\$ 28.0
CDOs (1)	4,447.5	(17.5)	16.5
Interest rate swaps	(14.9)	12.8	(13.4)
	\$ 5,048.1	(30.1)	\$ 31.1

⁽¹⁾ Includes guaranteed investment contract balance of \$119.3 million.

Credit Spread Risk

Credit spread risk is the risk that the fair value of our credit sensitive assets or other risk exposure will change with changes in the credit spreads of the referenced entities. Roughly speaking, the credit spread is the additional yield above the risk-free benchmark yield that a borrower must pay to its lenders. The credit spread increases as the perceived risk of default of the borrower increases. Fluctuations of credit spreads behave like movements in the market yield curve (interest rate risk) for simple fixed-income investments and impact fixed- and floating-rate debt equally. Our credit swap exposure in our Structured Credit and CDO Asset Management transactions is generally more sensitive to movements in credit spreads than to movements in interest rates since the mathematical models that determine fair value use changing spreads as a signal of a changing likelihood of default in the future.

The following table shows the change in fair value for all business activities with significant credit spread risk. We employ proprietary cash flow and stochastic default models to derive these values.

Business Activity	Fair Value at March 31, 2007 (in millions)			+25 bp per an Credit			-25 bj per ai Credi	
Non-CDO investment portfolio	\$	496.2		\$	(7.4)	\$	7.4
CDOs (1)	4,447	'.5		(34.3)	33.5	
Structured Credit	(8.8))	(8.5)	4.4	
	\$	5,054.2		\$	(50.2)	\$	45.3

⁽¹⁾ Includes guaranteed investment contract balance of \$119.3 million.

We chose stresses of plus or minus 25 basis points per annum for the credit spread risk sensitivity, as opposed to a larger value, since many of the underlying spreads are less than 100 basis points per annum.

Asset Correlation Risk

For a hypothetical portfolio of debt instruments, the correlation among the positions does not affect the fair value of the portfolio. For portfolios of debt instruments that are divided into risk layers (*i.e.*, AAA through equity) or tranches, however, the correlation among the risk positions is significant because higher correlations could lead to greater or lower risk of default based on the position of the given risk layer. Such risk exists primarily in our CDO Asset Management and Structured Credit businesses. The industry measures this correlation as asset correlation, which is defined as the correlation of the enterprise value of one firm to another. We perform proprietary mathematical calculations and assign asset correlation values to all corporate, asset-backed, mortgage-backed, and municipal risk positions in order to generate fair value and risk-related results.

The following table shows the change in fair value for these two business activities with significant asset correlation risk. We employ proprietary cash flow, asset correlation, and stochastic default models to derive these values.

Business Activity	Fair Value at March 31, 2007 (in millions)		+5% Shift in Asset Correlation		-5% Shift in Asset Correlation		
CDOs (1)	\$ 4,447.5		\$ 0.1		\$ (0.2)	
Structured Credit	(8.8))	(23.8)	4.7		
	\$ 4,558.0		\$ (23.7))	\$ 4.5		

⁽¹⁾ Includes guaranteed investment contract balance of \$119.3 million.

Other Market Risks

In addition to the above-mentioned risks, the ultimate return we realize on our equity investments in our CDOs is impacted by several other market risks. These risks are ABS prepayment risk, reinvestment risk and commercial paper refunding risk. Because our equity exposure behaves like a floating-rate obligation, our equity return depends on the leverage of the transaction (total portfolio size divided by the equity tranche size). Early prepayments on the ABS underlying the CDO would deliver the transaction and, thus, diminish the returns to the equity holders. This risk is mitigated somewhat by the fact that the majority of the underlying CDO ABS pay interest based on floating rate, making them less sensitive to prepayments. Our non-CDO corporate-wide investment portfolios could also be impacted by prepayments. We limit this exposure by imposing limits on the amount of such securities held in these portfolios.

Many of our CDO transactions are currently in the reinvestment period. This means that as the asset-backed securities or the leveraged loans return principal to the CDO portfolios, we re-invest the principal proceeds rather than repay CDO debt. Since the CDO liability cost is frozen at inception (either as a fixed coupon, or, more typically, as a fixed spread to LIBOR), we, as equity investor bear the risk that reinvestment spreads will decrease. Conversely, increasing reinvestment spreads benefit our equity investment.

In two of our consolidated CDO transactions, the senior liability (of approximately \$1.3 billion in each as at March 31, 2007) is comprised of commercial paper. Hence, our balance sheet carries approximately \$2.7 billion of short-term debt or commercial paper as at September 30, 2006 that we refund, on average, monthly. The typical yield cost of this commercial paper (including dealer cost) is LIBOR-flat. Our risk is that future issuances may give the commercial paper a positive spread to LIBOR. There is no guarantee that investors will continue to buy this CDO commercial paper at LIBOR-flat. Alternatively, this future commercial paper funding could fall below LIBOR. We manage this refunding risk by negotiating into the CDO transaction, caps on the spread to LIBOR. As a result, the commercial paper, or CP, yield cannot exceed LIBOR +40 basis points per annum due to the presence of put option contracts. An increase in the spread above LIBOR directly impacts our equity investment. When the CP spread widens (tightens), we receive lesser (greater) cash distributions.

Credit Fund

We view the market risks (principally interest rate and credit spread risk) in the Credit Fund as not material to our financial position as of March 31, 2007. While the Credit Fund is consolidated for financial reporting purposes, our net investment in the fund at March 31, 2007 is \$26.4 million. Total invested assets of the fund were approximately \$308.0 million at March 31, 2007. The fund hedges interest rate risk on its fixed rate assets to remain close to market neutral with respect to interest rate risk. At March 31, 2007, the weighted modified duration of the portfolio was less than one year. Additionally, at March 31, 2007, the vast majority of the bonds held in the fund were floating interest rate payers which have minimal interest

rate risk. Finally, assets held in the fund as of March 31, 2007 had an average credit quality of AAA, thereby substantially reducing credit spread risk.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out by our management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting. No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, we may become involved in various claims and legal proceedings, including claims involving employee-related matters. We are not aware of any pending or threatened material litigation which we believe could reasonably be likely to result in a material adverse effect on us or our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information in this report, the factors discussed in Risk Factors in our Form 10-K, should be carefully considered in evaluating the Company and its businesses. The risks and uncertainties described in our Form 10-K are not the only ones facing the Company and its subsidiaries. Additional risks and uncertainties, not presently known to us or otherwise, may also impair our business operations. If any of the risks described our Form 10-K or such other risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On November 9, 2006, the SEC declared effective our Registration Statement in connection with our IPO. The underwriters were Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Bear Stearns & Co. Inc., Stephens Inc. and Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc. Our offering did not terminate before any securities were sold, but as of the date of the filing of this report, our offering had terminated and 6,875,000 shares of our common stock were sold by us.

All 6,875,000 shares of our common stock registered in our offering were sold by us at a price per share of \$13.00. The aggregate purchase price of the offering was \$89.4 million and we incurred total estimated expenses, including the underwriters—discount and commissions and transaction expenses paid to unaffiliated third parties in connection with the offering, of approximately \$10.2 million. The net offering proceeds to us after deducting these expenses was approximately \$79.2 million.

Of the \$79.2 million raised for the account of the Company, as of December 31, 2006, \$10.0 million and approximately \$4.9 million were contributed to ACA Financial Guaranty and ACA Capital Management (U.K.), respectively, to support the continuing growth of ACA Financial Guaranty and the start-up costs of ACA Capital Management (U.K.), an additional \$21.8 million was invested in certain Company-managed investment vehicles, including the Credit Fund and approximately \$5.7 million was used to purchase equity in certain of the Company s recent CDOs. In first quarter 2007, we contributed an additional \$10.0 million to one of our subsidiaries to fund an additional investment in the Credit Fund, purchased \$2.5 million in equity of a CDO and refinanced an intercompany note in the amount of \$4.3 million. The balance of approximately \$20.1 million has been retained at the holding company. We

continue to expect that the remainder of the proceeds from the IPO will be used for investments, as working capital and for general corporate purposes. Pending such uses, the balance of the approximately \$20.1 million is currently invested by us in short-term investments. Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities None. Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders None. **Item 5. Other Information** None. Item 6. Exhibits 31.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. 31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. 32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. 32.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ACA CAPITAL HOLDINGS, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: May 15, 2007 /s/ Alan S. Roseman

Alan S. Roseman

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: May 15, 2007 /s/ Edward U. Gilpin

Edward U. Gilpin

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial

Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)