

NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC
Form 10QSB
May 17, 2004

=====

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-QSB

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2004
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-14896

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

(EXACT NAME OF SMALL BUSINESS ISSUER AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

DELAWARE

11-3027591

(STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF INCORPORATION OR ORGANIZATION)

(IRS EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO.)

445 Park Avenue, Suite 1028, New York, New York 10022

(ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES)

212-829-5770

(ISSUER'S TELEPHONE NUMBER)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

The number of shares of Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share, outstanding as of May 10, 2004 was 15,012,576.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one): Yes No

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

INDEX

| | Page No. |
|---|----------|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | |
| Condensed Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2004 (unaudited) and December 31, 2003..... | 3 |
| Condensed Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 (unaudited)..... | 4 |
| Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 (unaudited)..... | 5 |
| Notes to Condensed Financial Statements..... | 6 |
| Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION..... | 10 |
| Item 3. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES..... | 16 |
| | |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings..... | 16 |
| Item 2. Changes in Securities and Small Business Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities..... | 17 |
| Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities..... | 17 |
| Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders..... | 17 |
| Item 5. Other Information..... | 17 |
| Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K..... | 17 |
| | |
| SIGNATURES..... | 18 |

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

MARCH 31,
2004

DECEMBER 31,
2003

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

| | ===== | ===== |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| | (UNAUDITED) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| ----- | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 660,000 | \$ 984,000 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 62,000 | 86,000 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total current assets | 722,000 | 1,070,000 |
| Patents | 97,000 | 99,000 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| | \$ 819,000 | \$ 1,169,000 |
| | ===== | ===== |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| ----- | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 33,000 | \$ 78,000 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 521,000 | 520,000 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total current liabilities | 554,000 | 598,000 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Liability to be settled with equity instrument | 111,000 | 54,000 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| ----- | | |
| Preferred stock - \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, Series D - convertible, voting, authorized 1,250,000 shares; 231,054 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, liquidation preference of \$705,000 at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Series E - convertible, authorized 3,500,000 shares; 2,483,508 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, liquidation preference of \$5,265,000 at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Common stock - \$0.01 par value ; authorized 40,000,000 shares; 8,314,458 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 | 83,000 | 83,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 41,443,000 | 41,443,000 |
| Accumulated deficit | (41,399,000) | (41,036,000) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| | 154,000 | 517,000 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| | \$ 819,000 | \$ 1,169,000 |
| | ===== | ===== |

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(UNAUDITED)

| | THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| Revenue: | | |
| Services | \$ -- | \$ 42,000 |
| Cost of revenue: | | |
| Cost of services | -- | 17,000 |
| Gross Profit | -- | 25,000 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| General and administrative | 364,000 | 305,000 |
| LOSS BEFORE INTEREST INCOME | (364,000) | (280,000) |
| Interest income - net | 1,000 | 4,000 |
| Net loss | \$ (363,000) | \$ (276,000) |
| LOSS PER COMMON SHARE - BASIC AND DILUTED | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.03) |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES - BASIC AND DILUTED | 8,314,458 | 8,314,458 |

4

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

| | THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (363,000) | \$ (276,000) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in | | |

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

| | | |
|---|------------|--------------|
| operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,000 | 22,000 |
| Security Deposits written off | -- | 8,000 |
| Issuance of options and warrants for services rendered | 57,000 | (41,000) |
| | | |
| Changes in: | | |
| Accounts receivable | -- | 6,000 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 24,000 | 29,000 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities | (44,000) | (159,000) |
| Deferred revenue | -- | (42,000) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (324,000) | (453,000) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| | | |
| NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (324,000) | (453,000) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 984,000 | 2,029,000 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD | \$ 660,000 | \$ 1,576,000 |
| | ===== | ===== |

5

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

[1] BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

The accompanying condensed financial statements as of March 31, 2004 and for the three month periods ended March 31, 2004 and March 31, 2003, are unaudited, but, in the opinion of the management of Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc. (the "Company"), contain all adjustments consisting only of normal recurring items which the Company considers necessary for the fair presentation of the Company's financial position as of March 31, 2004, the results of its operations and its cash flows for the three month periods ended March 31, 2004 and March 31, 2003. The condensed financial statements included herein have been prepared in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-QSB. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although management believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 are not

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the full year.

[2] BUSINESS:

The principal business of the Company is the acquisition, development, licensing and protection of its intellectual property. The Company presently owns six patents covering various telecommunications and data networking technologies. The Company is pursuing licensing and strategic business alliances with companies in the industries that manufacture and sell products that make use of the technologies underlying its patents as well as with other users of the technology who benefit directly from the technology including corporate, educational and governmental entities.

On November 18, 2003, the Company acquired a portfolio of telecommunications and data networking patents (the "Patent Portfolio") from Merlot Communications, Inc., a broadband communications solutions provider and a related party through common ownership. In February 2004, following the acquisition of the Patent Portfolio and its review of applicable markets, the Company commenced initial efforts to license its patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,218,930) covering the remote delivery of power over Ethernet cables (the "Remote Power Patent"). As of March 31, 2004, the Company transmitted letters to approximately 80 companies offering licenses to the Remote Power Patent. To date the Company has not entered into any license agreements with third parties with respect to its Remote Power Patent.

From June 1995 until December 2002, the Company developed, marketed, licensed and supported a suite of security software products designed to prevent unauthorized access to critical information residing on networked servers, desktops and laptops. In May 2003, the

6

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

[2] BUSINESS (CONTINUED)

Company completed the sale of its security software technology and related intellectual property to an unaffiliated foreign corporation for an aggregate consideration of \$415,000.

[3] GOING CONCERN:

The Company has incurred substantial net losses from operations during 2003. The Company as of March 31, 2004 has cash and cash equivalents of \$660,000 and currently is not generating revenues to support its operations. The Company has been dependent upon capital raised through private offerings of equity and debt to finance its business operations. This raises substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The Company has not entered into any license arrangements as of March 31, 2004 with respect to its Patent Portfolio. Until the Company generates positive cash flows from operations, of which there can be no assurance, the Company plans to seek additional financing through the issuance of

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

debt and/or equity securities. The accompanying condensed financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern and do not include any adjustments that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

[4] STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION:

The Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation under Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", and related interpretations. The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" and SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure", which was released in December 2002 as an amendment of SFAS No. 123. The following table illustrates the effect on net loss and loss per share if the fair value-based method had been applied to all awards.

| | THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, | |
|--|------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| Reported net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ (363,000) | \$ (276,000) |
| Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net loss, net of related tax effects | -- | -- |
| Stock-based employee compensation determined under the fair value-based method, net of related tax effects | (11,000) | (1,000) |
| | \$ (374,000) | \$ (277,000) |
| Pro forma net loss | | |
| Loss per common share (basic and diluted): | | |
| As reported | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.03) |
| Pro forma | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.03) |

7

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

[4] STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION (CONTINUED)

The fair value of each option grant on the date of grant is estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing utilizing the following weighted average assumptions:

| THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, | |
|------------------------------|-------|
| 2004 | 2003 |
| ----- | ----- |

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Risk-free interest rates | 2.79% | 2.98% |
| Expected option life in years | 3.00 | 6.60 |
| Expected stock price volatility | 220.90% | 112.00% |
| Expected dividend yield | 0.00% | 0.00% |

[5] REVENUE RECOGNITION:

Service revenues consist of maintenance and training services. Annual renewable maintenance fees are a separate component of each contract, and are recognized ratably over the contract term. Revenue from advance license fees are deferred until they are earned pursuant to the agreements.

[6] LOSS PER SHARE:

Basic loss per share is calculated by dividing the net loss by the weighted average number of outstanding common shares during the period. Diluted per share data includes the dilutive effects of options, warrants and convertible securities. Potential shares of 12,814,078 and 17,627,953 at March 31, 2004 and 2003 respectively, are anti-dilutive, and are not included in the calculation of diluted loss per share. Such potential common shares reflect options, warrants and convertible preferred stock.

[7] CASH EQUIVALENTS:

The Company places cash investments in high quality financial institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). At March 31, 2004, the Company maintained cash balance of approximately \$560,000 in excess of FDIC limits.

[8] PATENTS:

The Company owns a Patent Portfolio that relates to various telecommunications and data networking technologies. The Company capitalizes the costs associated with acquisition, registration and maintenance of the patents and amortizes these assets over their remaining useful lives on a straight-line basis. Any further payments made to maintain or develop the patents would be capitalized and amortized over the balance of the useful life for the patents.

NOTE B - LIABILITY TO BE SETTLED WITH EQUITY INSTRUMENTS

On April 18, 2002, in consideration of additional consulting and financial advisory services, the Company issued to CMH Capital Management Corp. ("CMH") an option to purchase 750,000 shares of the common stock at an exercise price of \$1.20 per share, which was the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of issuance. Corey M. Horowitz, Chairman and CEO of the Company, is the sole owner and officer of CMH. The shares underlying the option shall vest over a three-year period in equal amounts of 250,000 shares per year beginning April

18, 2003. In addition, the shares underlying the option shall vest in full in the event of a "change of control" or in the event that the closing price of the Company's common stock reaches a minimum of \$3.50 per share for 20 consecutive trading days. These options are treated as contingent options and valued utilizing the Black-Scholes option pricing model at each balance sheet date. These options were originally priced in

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

the quarter ended June 30, 2002 at \$416,000. Subsequently, these were revalued to \$55,000 at December 31, 2002 and \$14,000 at March 31, 2003. On April 18, 2003, 250,000 of these options, having a fair value of \$5,000 as of that date, vested. Accordingly, \$5,000 was reallocated to additional paid-in capital by correspondingly reducing the liability. The options to purchase the remaining 500,000 shares continue to be treated as contingent options and valued utilizing the Black-Scholes option pricing model at each balance sheet date. Subsequently, these unvested options were revalued to \$ 54,000 at December 31, 2003 and \$111,000 at March 31, 2004. Any increase/decrease in the valuation has been reflected in general and administrative expenses at each balance sheet date.

NOTE C - LITIGATION

In March 2004, PowerDsine Inc. ("PowerDsine") commenced an action against the Company in the United District Court, Southern District of New York, seeking a declaratory judgment that the Company's patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,218,930) covering the remote delivery of power over Ethernet (the "Remote Power Patent") is not infringed by PowerDsine and/or its customers. PowerDsine further seeks an order permanently enjoining the Company (i) from making any claims to any person or entity that PowerDsine's products infringe the Remote Power Patent or contribute to infringement of the patent, (ii) from interfering with or threatening to interfere with the importation, sale, license or use of PowerDsine's power over Ethernet components or products, and (iii) from instituting or prosecuting any lawsuit or proceeding, placing at issue the right of PowerDsine, its customers, licensees, successors, or assigns to import, use or sell PowerDsine's power over Ethernet components or products. The Company believes its Remote Power Patent is valid and has meritorious defenses to the action. The Company intends to vigorously defend the action and take whatever actions are necessary to protect its intellectual property.

In January 2003, Murray P. Fish, former Chief Financial Officer and a director of the Company, commenced a lawsuit against the Company in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, County of Essex, Superior Court, seeking severance and bonus compensation and other benefits allegedly due him in the aggregate amount of \$190,000. In April 2004, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Mr. Fish pursuant to which the Company paid Mr. Fish the sum of \$55,000 in full settlement of all claims asserted by him in the litigation. This settlement was reflected in the December 31, 2003 financial statements.

NOTE D - SUBSEQUENT EVENT

In April 2004, the Company entered into an exchange agreement with the holders of the Company's Series E ("Series E") and Series D ("Series D") convertible preferred stock to exchange 2,483,508 shares of Series E into 6,208,770 shares of common stock and 231,054 shares of Series D into 489,348 shares of common stock. As an inducement for agreeing to such conversion, the holders of the Series E and Series D received 1.25 times the number of shares of common stock that each preferred stockholder would have otherwise received upon conversion. The holders of preferred stock participating in the exchange included, among others, CMH (1,084,935 of Series E shares), the wife of Corey M. Horowitz, Chairman and CEO of the Company (35,377 of Series E shares) and other principal stockholders of the Company (990,552 of Series E shares and 209,125 of Series D shares).

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THIS QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-QSB CONTAINS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS WITHIN THE MEANING OF SECTION 27A OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED (THE "SECURITIES ACT"), AND SECTION 21E OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED (THE "EXCHANGE ACT"). ACTUAL RESULTS, EVENTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES (INCLUDING FUTURE PERFORMANCE, RESULTS AND TRENDS) COULD DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE SET FORTH IN SUCH STATEMENTS DUE TO VARIOUS RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THOSE DISCUSSED BEGINNING ON PAGES 12-15 OF THIS QUARTERLY REPORT ON 10-QSB FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2004.

OVERVIEW

The principal business of the Company is the acquisition, development, licensing and protection of its intellectual property. The Company presently owns six patents covering various telecommunications and data networking technologies. The Company is pursuing licensing and strategic business alliances with companies in the industries that manufacture and sell products that make use of the technologies underlying its patents as well as with other users of the technology who benefit directly from the technology including corporate, educational and governmental entities.

On November 18, 2003, the Company acquired a portfolio of telecommunications and data networking patents (the "Patent Portfolio") from Merlot Communications, Inc., a broadband communications solutions provider. In February 2004, following the acquisition of the Patent Portfolio and its review of applicable markets, the Company commenced initial efforts to license its Patent Portfolio.

The Patent Portfolio consists of six patents issued by the U.S. Patent Office that relate to various telecommunications and data networking technologies and includes, among other things, patents covering the transmission of audio, video and data over computer and telephony networks and the delivery of power over Ethernet networks for the purpose of remotely powering network devices. The consideration paid by the Company to Merlot Communications, Inc. ("Merlot") for the acquisition of the Patent Portfolio consisted of a cash payment of \$100,000 and contingent future payments equal to 20% of the net income (as defined in the acquisition agreement) of the Company from the sale or licensing of the Patent Portfolio after the Company achieves \$4.0 million of net income from each patent comprising the Patent Portfolio ("Net Profit Payments"). The Company has an option to terminate the Net Profit Payments, at any time between January 1, 2007 through March 31, 2007, and from January 1 through March 31 of each year thereafter, by making payments to Merlot in an amount equal to the greater of (i) two times the payment due for the twelve month period following the notice of termination or (ii) \$3.0 million plus 10% for each additional year starting January 1, 2008. The Company has granted Merlot a non-exclusive royalty free license for the term of each patent to use the patent for the development, manufacture or sale of its own branded products to end users. Wheatley Partners II, L.P. and its affiliates and related parties (the "Wheatley Parties"), who are principal stockholders of the Company, owned a majority of the outstanding voting stock of Merlot at the time of the Company's acquisition of the Patent Portfolio. The Wheatley Parties did not participate in the negotiation or the approval of the patent acquisition transaction by Merlot or the Company.

As of the date of this Report, the Company has not entered into any license arrangements with respect to the Patent Portfolio, although it is pursuing such arrangements with third parties. The Company does not currently have any revenue from operations. The success of the Company and its ability to achieve revenue is largely dependent on its ability to consummate such licensing

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

arrangements with third parties.

10

To date the Company has incurred significant losses and at March 31, 2004, had an accumulated deficit of \$(41,399,000). At March 31, 2004, the Company had \$660,000 of cash and cash equivalents. Management believes that based on its current cash position, the Company has sufficient capital to fund its operations until September 2004, although there is no assurance that the Company will not have sufficient capital prior to such date. (See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" at page 11 hereof).

Results of Operations:

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2003

The Company had no revenues for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 compared to revenues of \$42,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2003, which were related to the amortization of deferred maintenance revenues from customers who, in earlier periods, had elected to purchase maintenance and support contracts for the Company's software product line which was discontinued in December 2002.

The Company did not incur any cost of revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2004 as compared to \$17,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2003, which was related to the cost of one employee who provided the support services for former customers of the Company's software business. There was no gross profit for the three months ended March 31, 2004 compared to a gross profit of \$25,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2003.

General and administrative expenses include management expenses, finance and accounting and legal and other professional services provided to the Company. General and administrative expenses increased by \$59,000, from \$305,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2003 to \$364,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2004. Expenses during the quarter ended March 31, 2004 include expenses associated with the Company's business of developing, licensing and protection of its intellectual property.

No provision for or benefit from federal, state or foreign income taxes was recorded for three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 because the Company incurred net operating losses and fully reserved its deferred tax assets as their future realization could not be determined.

As a result of the foregoing, the Company had net loss of \$363,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2004 compared with a net loss of \$276,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2003.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At March 31, 2004, the Company had \$660,000 of cash and cash equivalents and working capital of \$168,000. Net cash used in operating activities was \$324,000 during the three months March 31, 2004 compared to \$453,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2003. Net cash used in operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2004 was primarily attributable to the net loss of \$363,000, partially offset by a non-cash expense of \$57,000 related to the valuation of options and a decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$44,000.

The Company's operating activities during the three months ended March 31, 2004 were financed primarily with the remaining funds raised in the 2001 financing of \$6,765,000 and \$415,000 received from the sale of CyberwallPLUS software and

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

related intellectual property in May 2003. The Company does not currently have a line of credit from a commercial bank or other institution.

11

The Company anticipates, based on currently proposed plans and assumptions, relating to its operations that its cash balance of \$660,000 as of March 31, 2004 will more likely than not be sufficient to satisfy the Company's operations and capital requirements until September 2004. There can be no assurance, however, that such funds will not be expended prior thereto. In the event the Company's plans change, or its assumptions change, or prove to be inaccurate (due to unanticipated expenses, difficulties, delays or otherwise), the Company may have insufficient funds to support its operations prior to September 2004. The Company is currently pursuing licensing opportunities for its patent, however, to date the Company has not entered into any such licensing arrangements. Since the Company does not anticipate material revenues from its licensing business in the near term, the Company currently intends to make efforts to raise capital during the second or third quarter of 2004. The Company has no current arrangements with respect to any additional financing. Consequently, there can be no assurance that any additional financing will be available to the Company when needed, on commercially reasonable terms or at all. The Company's inability to consummate licensing arrangements and derive revenues therefrom on a timely basis or obtain additional financing when needed would have a material adverse effect on the Company, requiring it to curtail or cease operations. In addition, any equity financing may involve substantial dilution to the then current stockholders of the Company.

RISK FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

The Company operates in a highly competitive environment that involves a number of risks, some of which are beyond the Company's control. The following discussion highlights the most material of the risks.

WE HAVE A HISTORY OF LOSSES, NO REVENUE FROM CURRENT OPERATIONS AND WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO CONTINUE OUR OPERATIONS IN THE FUTURE.

We have incurred substantial operating losses since our inception, which has resulted in an accumulated deficit of \$(41,399,000) as of March 31, 2004. For the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, we incurred net losses of \$(614,000) and \$(5,905,000), respectively. For the quarter ended March 31, 2004, we incurred a net loss of (\$363,000). We have financed our operations primarily from the balance of funds from sales of equity and convertible debt securities as well as the sale of our CyberWall PLUS security software technology in May 2003. Since December 2002, when we discontinued our offering of security software products, we have not had material revenue from operations and for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 we had no revenues from operations. We may not have sufficient funds to continue our operations if we are unable to secure additional financing or generate sufficient revenue from our new business of licensing our telecommunications and data networking patents.

WE COULD BE REQUIRED TO STOP OPERATIONS IF WE ARE UNABLE TO DEVELOP OUR TECHNOLOGY LICENSING BUSINESS OR RAISE CAPITAL WHEN NEEDED.

We anticipate, based on our currently proposed plans and assumptions relating to our operations (including the timetable of, costs and expenses associated with our continued operations), that our current cash position will more likely than not be sufficient to satisfy our operations and capital requirements until September 2004. There can be no assurance, however, that such funds will not be expended prior thereto. In the event our plans change, or our assumptions change or prove to be inaccurate (due to unanticipated expenses, difficulties, delays or otherwise), we could have insufficient funds to support

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

our operations prior to September 2004. We are currently pursuing

12

licensing opportunities for our patented technologies. However, to date we have not entered into any such licensing arrangements. In addition, even if we consummate licensing arrangements, such agreements may not result in sufficient cash to support our operations or achieve material revenues or profitability. Since we do not anticipate material revenues from our licensing business in the near term, we intend to make efforts to raise capital during the second or third quarter of 2004 to continue to fund our operations. We have no current arrangements with respect to any additional financing. Consequently, there can be no assurance that any additional financing will be available to the Company when needed, on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Our inability to consummate licensing arrangements and derive revenues therefrom on a timely basis or obtain additional financing when needed would have a material adverse effect on the Company, requiring us to curtail or possibly cease our operations. In addition, any additional equity financing may involve substantial dilution to the interests of our then existing stockholders.

WE RECENTLY ENTERED A NEW LICENSING BUSINESS AND MAY NOT BE SUCCESSFUL.

In November 2003, we entered the technology licensing business as a result of our acquisition of six patents relating to various telecommunications and data networking technologies including, among others, patents covering the transmission of audio, video and data over computer and telephony networks and the delivery of remote power over Ethernet. Accordingly we have a very limited history in the technology licensing business upon which an evaluation of our prospects and future performance can be made. Our prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered in the development, operation and expansion of a new business based on rapidly changing technologies in a highly specialized and competitive market. We may not be able to achieve revenues or profitable operations from our new licensing business.

OUR FUTURE SOURCE OF LICENSING REVENUE IS UNCERTAIN.

In February 2004, we initiated our first licensing efforts relating to the technologies in our Remote Power Patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,212,930). To date, we have not entered into any licensing agreements with third parties with respect to our patented technologies. Our inability to consummate licensing agreements and achieve revenue from our patented technologies would have a material adverse effect on our operations and our ability to continue our business. In addition, in the event we consummate license arrangements with third parties, such arrangements are unlikely to produce a stable or predictable stream of revenue in the foreseeable future. Furthermore, the success of our licensing efforts may depend upon the strength of our intellectual property rights.

WE FACE UNCERTAINTY AS TO THE OUTCOME OF LITIGATION WITH POWERDSINE.

On March 31, 2004, PowerDsine Inc. ("PowerDsine") commenced an action against us in the United District Court, Southern District of New York (Civil Action No. 04 CV 2502) seeking a declaratory judgment that our Remote Power Patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,218,930) is invalid and is not infringed by PowerDsine and/or its customers. PowerDsine further seeks an order permanently enjoining us (i) from making any claims to any person or entity that PowerDsine's products infringe the Remote Power Patent or contributes to infringement of the patent, (ii) from interfering with or threatening to interfere with the importation, sale, license or use of PowerDsine's PoE components or products, and (iii) from instituting or prosecuting any lawsuit or proceeding placing at issue the right of PowerDsine, its customers, licensees, successors, or assigns to import, use or sell PowerDsine's PoE components or products. We believe our Remote Power

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

Patent is valid and that we have meritorious defenses to the action. We intend to vigorously defend the action and take whatever actions are necessary to protect our intellectual property. In the event, however, that the Court granted the declaratory judgment and our patent was determined to be invalid, such a determination would have a material adverse effect on us. Regardless of the outcome, this litigation may subject us to significant costs and diversion of management time.

13

WE FACE INTENSE COMPETITION AND WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO SUCCESSFULLY COMPETE.

The telecommunications and data networking licensing market is characterized by intense competition and rapidly changing business conditions, customer requirements and technologies. Our current and potential competitors have longer operating histories, greater name recognition and possess substantially greater financial, technical, marketing and other competitive resources than us. Although we believe that we have rights to enforceable patents relating to telecommunications and data networking, there can be no assurance that third parties will not invalidate any or all of our patents. In addition, our current and potential competitors may develop technologies that may be more effective than our proprietary technologies or that render our technologies less marketable or obsolete. We may not be able to compete successfully.

OUR MARKETS ARE SUBJECT TO RAPID TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE AND OUR TECHNOLOGIES FACE POTENTIAL TECHNOLOGY OBSOLESCENCE.

The telecommunications and data networking technology market including, transmission of audio, video and data over computer and telephony networks and the delivery of remote power over Ethernet markets, are characterized by rapid technological changes, changing customer requirements, frequent new product introductions and enhancements, and evolving industry standards. The introduction of products embodying new technologies and the emergence of new industry standards may render our technologies obsolete or less marketable. To the extent we are able to achieve revenue in the future, such revenue will be derived from licensing our technologies based on existing and evolving industry standards.

OUR SUCCESS IS DEPENDENT UPON OUR ABILITY TO PROTECT OUR PROPRIETARY TECHNOLOGIES.

Our success is substantially dependent upon our proprietary technologies and our ability to protect our intellectual property rights. We currently hold 6 patents issued by the U.S. Patent Office that relate to various telecommunications and data networking technologies and include among other things, patents covering the transmission of audio, voice and data over computer and telephony networks and the delivery of remote PoE networks. We rely upon our patents and trade secret laws, non-disclosure agreements with our employees, consultants and third parties to protect our intellectual property rights. The complexity of patent and trade secret law, and common law, combined with our limited resources, create risk that our efforts to protect our proprietary technologies may not be successful. We cannot assure you that our patents will be upheld or that third parties will not invalidate our patent rights. In the event our intellectual property rights are not upheld, such an event would have a material adverse effect on our company. In addition, there is a risk that third parties may independently develop substantially equivalent or superior technologies.

14

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

ANY LITIGATION TO PROTECT OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OR ANY THIRD PARTY CLAIMS OF INFRINGEMENT COULD INVOLVE SUBSTANTIAL TIME AND MONEY AND COULD HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON OUR BUSINESS.

Our success depends on our ability to protect our intellectual property rights. Accordingly, we may be subject to third-party claims seeking to invalidate our patents. These types of claims, with or without merit, may subject us to costly litigation and diversion of management's focus. In addition, based on our limited financial resources, we may not be able to pursue litigation as aggressively as competitors with substantially greater financial resources. If third parties making claims against us seeking to invalidate our patent are successful, they may be able to obtain injunctive or other equitable relief, which effectively could block our ability to license or otherwise capitalize on our proprietary technologies. Successful litigation against us resulting in a determination that our patents are invalid, would have a material adverse effect on our company.

DEPENDENCE UPON CEO AND CHAIRMAN.

Our success will largely be dependent upon the personal efforts of Corey M. Horowitz, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors. Mr. Horowitz does not currently have an employment agreement with the Company and serves as an employee-at-will. The loss of the services of Mr. Horowitz could have a material adverse effect on our business and prospects.

DELISTING OF OUR SECURITIES FROM NASDAQ; RISKS RELATING TO LOW-PRICED STOCKS.

On March 26, 2003 our common stock was delisted from The Nasdaq Stock Market's SmallCap Market. As a result of the delisting, an investor could find it more difficult to dispose of or to obtain accurate quotations as to the market value of our common stock. Our common stock currently trades on the over-the-counter market in the "pink sheets."

In addition, since our common stock has been delisted from trading on Nasdaq and the trading price of our common stock is below \$5.00 per share, our common stock is considered a penny stock. SEC regulations generally define a penny stock to be an equity security that is not listed on Nasdaq or a national securities exchange and that has a market value of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. The SEC regulations would require broker-dealers to deliver to a purchaser of our common stock a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the risks associated with it. Various sales practice requirements are also imposed on broker-dealers who sell penny stocks to persons other than established customers and accredited investors (generally institutions). Broker-dealers must also provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and monthly account statements disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the customer's account. As a result of the delisting of our Common Stock from Nasdaq, investors may find it more difficult to obtain timely and accurate quotes and execute trades in our common stock.

THE SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF OPTIONS AND WARRANTS OUTSTANDING MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT THE MARKET PRICE FOR OUR COMMON STOCK.

As of March 31, 2004, there are outstanding (i) options and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 5,841,860 shares of our common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$.12 to \$10.13, and (ii) 727,630 additional shares of our common stock which may be issued in the future under our stock option plan. To the extent that outstanding options and warrants are exercised, your percentage ownership will be diluted and any sales in the public market of the common stock underlying such options may adversely affect prevailing market prices for our

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

common stock.

15

WE HAVE A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED PREFERRED STOCK, WHICH MAY AFFECT THE LIKELIHOOD OF A CHANGE OF CONTROL IN OUR COMPANY.

Our Board of Directors has the authority, without further action by the stockholders, to issue 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock on such terms and with such rights, preferences and designations as our Board of Directors may determine. Such terms may include restricting dividends on our common stock, dilution of the voting power of our common stock or impairing the liquidation rights of the holders of our common stock. Issuance of such preferred stock, depending on the rights, preferences and designations thereof, may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change in control. In addition, certain "anti-takeover" provisions in Delaware law may restrict the ability of our stockholders to authorize a merger, business combination or change of control.

ITEM 3. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have reviewed the disclosure controls and procedures of the Company as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB. Based upon this review, these officers concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are adequately designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in applicable rules and forms.

(b) Changes in Internal Controls.

There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls during the last fiscal quarter included in this report or from the end of the reporting period to the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

On March 31, 2004, PowerDsine Inc. commenced an action against the Company in the United District Court, Southern District of New York (Civil Action No. 04 CV 2502) seeking a declaratory judgment that the Company's Remote Power Patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,218,930) is not infringed by PowerDsine and/or its customers. PowerDsine further seeks an order permanently enjoining the Company (i) from making any claims to any person or entity that PowerDsine's products infringe the Remote Power Patent or contributes to infringement of the patent, (ii) from interfering with or threatening to interfere with the importation, sale, license or use of PowerDsine's PoE components or products, and (iii) from instituting or prosecuting any lawsuit or proceeding placing at issue the right of PowerDsine, its customers, licensees, successors, or assigns to import, use or sell PowerDsine's PoE components or products. The Company believes its Remote Power Patent is valid and has meritorious defenses to the action. The Company intends to vigorously defend the action and take whatever actions are necessary to protect its intellectual property. In the event,

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

however, that the

16

Court granted the declaratory judgment and the patent was determined to be invalid, such a determination would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

In January 2003, Murray P. Fish, former Chief Financial Officer and a director of the Company, commenced a lawsuit against the Company in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, County of Essex, Superior Court, seeking severance and bonus compensation and other benefits allegedly due him in the aggregate amount of \$190,000. In April 2004, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Mr. Fish pursuant to which the Company paid Mr. Fish the sum of \$55,000 in full settlement of all claims asserted by him in the litigation.

ITEM 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND SMALL BUSINESS ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

None

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

(a) Exhibits

31.1 Controls and Procedure Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(b) Reports of Form 8-K

On February 9, 2004, the Company reported under Item 5 of Form 8-K certain changes to its management team.

17

SIGNATURES

Edgar Filing: NETWORK 1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10QSB

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

By: /s/ Corey M. Horowitz

Corey M. Horowitz
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 17, 2004