TWIN DISC INC Form DEF 14A September 29, 2006

TWIN DISC, INCORPORATED

1328 Racine Street, Racine, Wisconsin 53403

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OCTOBER 20, 2006

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF TWIN DISC, INCORPORATED

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Twin Disc, Incorporated, a Wisconsin corporation, will be held at 2 P.M. (Central Daylight Time) on Friday, October 20, 2006, at the Corporate Offices, 1328 Racine Street, Racine, Wisconsin for the following purposes:

- 1. Election of two Directors to serve until the Annual Meeting in 2009.
- 2. Approval of the amended Twin Disc, Incorporated, 2004 Stock Incentive Plan
- 3. To transact any other business that may properly come before the meeting.

Only holders of record of shares of common stock of the Corporation at the close of business on September 1, 2006, shall be entitled to vote at the meeting.

A proxy appointment and proxy statement are enclosed herewith. The proxy appointment shows the form in which your shares are registered. Your signature should be in the same form.

Christopher J. Eperjesy Secretary

September 29, 2006

IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON, PLEASE SIGN AND RETURN YOUR PROXY APPOINTMENT IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE OR VOTE VIA THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE BEFORE THE APPLICABLE DEADLINE STATED IN THE PROXY STATEMENT. IF YOUR PROXY APPOINTMENT IS NOT RECEIVED BY THE SECRETARY, OR IF YOU DO NOT VOTE VIA INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, BEFORE THE APPLICABLE DEADLINE, YOUR PROXY APPOINTMENT WILL BE RULED INVALID. SHOULD YOU FIND IT CONVENIENT TO ATTEND THE MEETING PERSONALLY, AND DESIRE TO VOTE IN PERSON, YOU MAY REQUEST BEFORE ANY VOTE THAT YOUR PROXY APPOINTMENT BE RETURNED TO YOU, OR THAT YOUR ELECTRONIC VOTE BE WITHDRAWN, IN ORDER THAT YOU MAY VOTE IN PERSON.

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT!
PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN
THE ENCLOSED PROXY APPOINTMENT
IMMEDIATELY.

2006 Proxy Statement TWIN DISC, INCORPORATED September 29, 2006

DATE, TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of the Corporation of proxies for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held at 2 P.M. (Central Daylight Time), at the Corporate Offices, 1328 Racine Street, Racine, Wisconsin on Friday, October 20, 2006, or any adjournment thereof. Holders of common stock of record at the close of business on the first day of September 2006, are entitled to vote at the meeting and each shareholder shall have one vote for each share of common stock registered in the shareholder sname. Shares represented by a signed proxy appointment will be voted in the manner specified in the form of proxy or, if no specification is made, in favor of each of the propositions mentioned therein. The presence of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Corporation, either in person or represented by a signed proxy appointment or electronic proxy vote, will constitute a quorum at the meeting. The Corporation intends to mail this statement to shareholders on or about September 29, 2006.

PROXY APPOINTMENT AND REVOCATION

Shareholders may vote by delivery, either in person, by mail or by messenger, of the enclosed proxy appointment form. Appointment forms must be received by the Secretary not less than 48 hours prior to the date of the meeting. The proxy appointment form must be signed in handwriting. The signature must be sufficiently legible to allow the inspector to distinguish it as representing the name of the registered shareholder, or must be accompanied by a rubber stamp facsimile or hand-printed name, including the shareholder surname and either the shareholder first or middle name as represented on the corporate records, and any titles, offices or words indicating agency which appear in the corporate records. PROXY APPOINTMENT FORMS NOT MEETING THE ABOVE REQUIREMENTS WILL BE RULED INVALID.

Shareholders may also vote via the Internet by accessing www.proxyvoting.com/twin or by telephone at 1-866-540-5760. The telephone and Internet voting procedures are designed to authenticate the shareholder sidentity, to allow the shareholder to give voting instructions and to confirm that such instructions have been properly recorded. Shareholders may vote via the Internet or by telephone up to 11:59 PM Eastern Time the day before the annual meeting. Shareholders that vote via the Internet should understand that there might be costs associated with electronic access that they must bear, such as usage charges from Internet access providers and telephone companies.

The person giving the proxy may revoke it before it is exercised, either in person, by mail or by messenger, by submitting a later dated proxy appointment form to the Secretary at least 48 hours prior to the date of the meeting. If the proxy was voted via the Internet or by telephone, the person may revoke the proxy by entering a new vote via the Internet or telephone prior to the time that Internet and telephone voting closes. The person giving the proxy may also revoke it by openly stating the revocation at the meeting, by voting at the meeting in person, or by delivering a signed written statement revoking the proxy to the Secretary prior to the date of the meeting. ANY ATTEMPTED REVOCATIONS NOT MEETING THE ABOVE REQUIREMENTS WILL BE RULED INVALID.

RECORD DATE

The record date with respect to this solicitation is September 1, 2006. On September 1, 2006, there were

outstanding 5,841,608 shares of common stock of the Corporation entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. There also are 200,000 shares of no-par preferred stock authorized, of which 50,000 shares have been designated Series A Junior Preferred Stock, but none are outstanding.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2007

If a shareholder wishes to present a proposal for consideration for inclusion in the Notice of the Meeting and Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting, the proposal must be received at the Corporation principal executive offices no later than June 1, 2007. Shareholder proposals received later than July 31, 2007 will be considered untimely, and will not be considered at the Corporation \$\infty\$ 2007 Annual Meeting.

PERSONS MAKING THE SOLICITATION

The proxy is being solicited by the Corporation Board of Directors and will be voted in favor of the Directors recommendations on each and all matters properly brought before the meeting, unless the undersigned shareholder specifically instructs the holder or holders of the proxy to the contrary.

VOTES REQUIRED FOR APPROVAL OR ELECTION AND HOW VOTES WILL BE COUNTED

With respect to the election of directors, votes may be cast in favor or withheld. Votes that are withheld will be excluded entirely from the vote and will have no effect. The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the annual meeting (assuming a quorum is present) shall be required for the election of directors.

With respect to the approval of the amended Twin Disc Incorporated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, the amendments will be adopted if approved by the affirmative vote of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of the Company common stock that are represented at the annual meeting (either in person or by proxy) and are voted in connection with the amendments to the Plan

Abstentions may be specified on all proposals submitted to shareholders, other than for the election of directors. Abstentions will be counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business at the meeting, but shall not be counted as voted shares for purposes of the meeting.

In certain instances, brokers who hold shares in street name for customers may have authority to vote on certain items when they have not received instructions from the beneficial owners of the shares. With respect to routine matters, including the election of directors, brokers may vote their shares without specific instructions from the beneficial owners. However, under the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, brokers who hold shares in street name are not permitted to vote on certain non-routine matters, including the adoption or amendment of stock-based compensation plans, without specific instructions from the beneficial owners of the shares. A [broker non-vote] occurs on an item submitted for shareholder approval when the broker does not have the authority to vote on the item in the absence of instructions from the beneficial owner. Such [broker non-votes] will be counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum.

PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS, DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

Based upon the records of the Corporation and filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission as of July 31, 2006, the following table sets forth the persons or group of persons having beneficial ownership (as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission) of more than 5% of the issued and outstanding common stock of the Corporation.

Nature of							
		Beneficial	Amount	Percent of			
<u>Name</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Ownership</u>	Owned	<u>Class</u>			
Michael E. Batten	3419 Michigan Blvd.	Power to vote	1,072,746(1)	18.4%			
	Racine, WI	Beneficial	227,950(2)	3.9%			
Dimensional Fund	1299 Ocean Ave.	Power to vote &	306,028	5.2%			
Advisors	Santa Monica, CA	dispose of stock					

(1) Held as trustee under various trusts.

(2)

Includes 5,200 shares owned by the wife of Michael E. Batten and 66,000 subject to currently exercisable stock options.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Based upon the records of the Corporation and filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission as of July 31, 2006, the following table sets forth the number of shares of common stock of the Corporation beneficially owned by each of the Directors of the Corporation, each of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table and the number of shares beneficially owned by all Directors and executive officers of the Corporation as a group.

	Amount and Nature			
Name of	of Beneficial	eficial Perce		
Beneficial Owner	Ownership (1)		<u>Class</u>	
Michael E. Batten	1,300,696	(2)	22.3%	
Michael H. Joyce	172		*	
Christopher J. Eperjesy	22,838	(4)	*	
James E. Feiertag	10,417	(3)	*	
John H. Batten	900		*	
John A. Mellowes	12,400	(3)	*	
David B. Rayburn	9,600	(3)	*	
David L. Swift	15,400	(3)	*	
George E. Wardeberg	12,800	(3)	*	
David R. Zimmer	8,400	(3)	*	
Harold M. Stratton II	2,400	(3)	*	
All Directors and				
Executive Officers				
as a group (14 persons)	1,407,724 (3)		24.1%	

^{*} Denotes ownership of less than one percent of shares outstanding.

- (1) Shares listed include any shares owned by a spouse, minor children and immediate relatives who share the same household as a Director or officer. Inclusion of any such shares is not to be considered an admission of beneficial ownership.
- (2) Includes 5,200 shares held by Mr. Batten swife, 1,072,746 shares held by him as trustee under various family trusts, and 66,000 shares subject to presently exercisable stock options.
- (3) Shares subject to currently exercisable stock options included in the above are as follows: Mr. Rayburn 8,400, Mr. Swift 14,200, Mr. Zimmer 7,200, Mr. Feiertag 6,500, Mr. Mellowes 11,200, Mr. Wardeberg 11,600, Mr. Stratton 1,200 and all Directors and executive officers as a group 128,100.
- (4) Includes restricted stock grants of 22,000 shares. The restricted stock vests as follows: 3,000 in fiscal 2007, 16,000 in fiscal 2008 and 3,000 in fiscal 2009.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Two directors are to be elected for a term to expire at the annual meeting following the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. Shares of common stock represented by properly executed proxy appointments in the accompanying form will be voted for the nominees listed for the term indicated unless authority to do so is withheld.

The nominees for the Board of Directors and the Directors whose terms will continue and the class to which he has been or is to be elected are as set forth below. Each nominee and each Director was elected to his present term of office by a vote of shareholders at a meeting for which proxies were solicited. John H. Batten is the son of Michael E. Batten.

Principal Occupation Director
Name of Director and other Public Continuously
and Current Age Company Directorships Since

NOMINEES FOR DIRECTORS FOR TERMS TO EXPIRE IN 2009:

David B. Rayburn President and July 2000

Age 58 Chief Executive Officer,

Modine Manufacturing Company,

Racine, Wisconsin

(A manufacturer of heat exchange equipment)

Malcolm F. Moore President and New nominee

Age 56 Chief Operating Officer,

Gehl Company, West Bend, Wisconsin

(A manufacturer and distributor of compact equipment for construction

and agricultural markets)

DIRECTORS WHOSE TERMS EXPIRE IN 2007:

John H. Batten Executive Vice President since December 2002

Age 41 October 2004; formerly Vice

President and General Manager

Marine & Propulsion since

October 2001

John A. Mellowes Chairman and October 1998

Age 68 Chief Executive Officer,

Charter Manufacturing Co.,

Mequon, Wisconsin

(A privately held producer of bar,

rod wire and wire parts)

Also Director,

Marshall & Ilsley Corporation

Harold M. Stratton II. Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, July 2004

Age 58 Strattec Security Corporation,

Milwaukee, Wisconsin

(A leading manufacturer of mechanical locks, electromechanical locks and and related security/access control products for global automotive manufacturers)

DIRECTORS WHOSE TERMS EXPIRE IN 2008:

Michael E. Batten Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer,

May 1974

Age 66

Twin Disc, Incorporated

Also Director,

Briggs & Stratton Corporation

David L. Swift Age 69 Former Chairman, President and

July 1995

July 1995

Chief Executive Officer, Acme-Cleveland Corporation,

Pepper Pike, Ohio

(A manufacturer of diversified industrial products)

David R. Zimmer.

Age 60

Managing Partner, Stonebridge

Equity LLC, Troy, Michigan, since 2005 (A merger, acquisition and

value consulting firm)

Formerly Chief Executive Officer,

Twitchell Corporation,

Dothan, AL

(A privately held manufacturer and marketer of highly engineered, synthetic yarns, fabrics, extrusions,

and coatings)

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Company's business is conducted under the direction of the Board of Directors, pursuant to the laws of the State of Wisconsin and our Restated By-laws. Members of the Board of Directors are kept informed of the Company's business through discussions with the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, and with key members of management, by reviewing materials provided to them and by participating in meetings of the Board of Directors and its committees.

The Company reviewed its corporate governance policies and practices, particularly in light of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and rule changes made or proposed by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the NASDAQ Stock Market. We believe that our current policies and practices meet all applicable requirements. Our updated corporate governance policies, including updated charters for committees of the Board, are made available to our shareholders on our website, www.twindisc.com, and/or through appropriate mailings.

Board Independence

The Company requires, as set forth in its Guidelines for Corporate Governance, that a majority of the Board members be independent outside directors. However, the Company is not opposed to having members of the Company's management, including the CEO, serve as directors. "Independent Director," as used here, means a person other than an officer or employee of the Company or its subsidiaries or any other individual having a relationship which, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. At a minimum, to qualify as "independent," a director must so qualify under governing rules, regulations and standards, including those issued by the SEC and the NASDAQ Stock Market. The Nominating and Governance Committee of the Board shall assess independence on an ongoing basis, and each director is responsible for bringing to the attention of the Nominating and Governance Committee any changes to his or her status that may affect independence. In addition, the directors shall complete, on at least an annual basis, a questionnaire prepared by the Company that is designed to elicit information that relates to the independence assessment. A majority of the Company's current directors are Independent Directors.

The Board has determined that the following directors are independent within the meaning of the SEC regulations, the listing standards of NASDAQ Stock Market and the Company's Guidelines on Corporate Governance: Messrs. Mellowes, Rayburn, Stratton, Swift, Wardeberg and Zimmer.

Guidelines for Business Conduct and Ethics

Our Guidelines for Business Conduct and Ethics (our "Guidelines") summarize the compliance and ethical standards and expectations we have for all our employees, officers and directors with respect to their conduct in furtherance of Company business. It contains procedures for reporting suspected violations of the Guidelines, including procedures for the reporting of questionable accounting or auditing matters, or other concerns regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters. These materials are also available in print to any shareholder upon request. If we make any substantive amendment to the Guidelines, we will disclose the nature of such amendment on our website or in a current report on Form 8-K. In addition, if a waiver from the Guidelines is granted to an executive officer or director, we will disclose the nature of such waiver on our website at www.twindisc.com or in a current report on Form 8-K.

DIRECTOR COMMITTEES AND ATTENDANCE

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETINGS AND ATTENDANCE

The Corporation ☐s Board of Directors met 6 times during the year ended June 30, 2006. There were no absences from these meetings.

DIRECTORS COMMITTEE MEETINGS AND ATTENDANCE

The Audit Committee met 5 times during the year. The Compensation Committee met 4 times during the year. The Pension and Finance Committees each met 2 times, and the Nominating and Governance Committee met 3 times during the year. Each Director attended at least 75% of the meetings requiring his attendance.

DIRECTOR COMMITTEE FUNCTIONS

Audit Committee

The Company has a separately-designated Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The charter of the Audit Committee is available on the Company's website, www.twindisc.com. The Board most recently revised its Audit Committee charter on April 16, 2004.

In October of each year, the Board selects the members of the Audit Committee. All of the members of the Audit Committee are independent within the meaning of the SEC regulations, the listing standards of NASDAQ

Stock Market and the Company's Guidelines for Corporate Governance. No member is qualified as an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of the SEC regulations or the NASDAQ Stock Market. The collective expertise of the Audit Committee is believed to be sufficient to carry out the duties of the Audit Committee.

As set forth in the charter, the Audit Committee's purpose is to assist the Board of Directors in monitoring the:

- Integrity of the Company's financial statements;
- Independent auditor's qualifications and independence;
- Performance of the Company's internal audit function and the independent auditors; and
- Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.

In carrying out these responsibilities, the Audit Committee, among other things:

- Appoints the independent auditor for the purpose of preparing and issuing an audit report and to perform related work, and discusses with the independent auditor appropriate staffing and compensation;
- Retains, to the extent it deems necessary or appropriate, independent legal, accounting or other advisors;
- Oversees management's implementation of systems of internal controls, including review of policies relating to
- legal and regulatory compliance, ethics and conflicts of interests; and reviews the activities and recommendations of the Company's internal auditing program;
 - Monitors the preparation of quarterly and annual financial reports by the Company's management, including
- discussions with management and the Company's outside auditors about draft annual financial statements and key accounting and reporting matters;
- Determines whether the outside auditors are independent (based in part on the annual letter provided to the Company pursuant to *Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1*); and
- Annually reviews management's programs to monitor compliance with the Company's Guidelines for Business Conduct and Ethics.

Finance Committee

The Finance Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors of the Company to assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities for considering management proposed financial policies and actions, and making appropriate recommendations to the Board regarding: Debt and capital structure, acquisitions, capital budgets, dividend policy and other financial and risk management matters.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The Nominating and Governance Committee recommends nominees for the Board to the Board of Directors. The Committee will consider nominees recommended by shareholders in writing to the Secretary. In addition, the Committee develops and recommends to the Board a set of effective corporate governance policies and procedures applicable to the Company; and reviews proposed changes in corporate structure and governance, committee structure and function, and meeting schedules, making recommendations to the Board as appropriate. The charter of the Nominating and Governance Committee is available on the Company's website, www.twindisc.com.

In October of each year, the Board selects members of the Nominating and Governance Committee for the coming year. The independence of the Committee is in compliance with SEC regulations, the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market and the Company's Guidelines for Corporate Governance.

Selection of Nominees for the Board

The Nominating and Goverance Committee shall identify candidates for director nominees in consultation with the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, through the use of search firms or other advisers or through such other methods as the Committee deems to be helpful to identify candidates, including the processes identified herein. The Committee will also consider director candidates recommended by stockholders. The

procedures for recommendation of nominees by stockholders is available on the Company web site, www.twindisc.com. Mr. Malcolm Moore was identified and selected by the Nominating and Governance Committee, with the assistance of a third party search firm. Stockholders, in submitting recommendations to the Committee for director candidates, shall follow the following procedures:

- a. The Committee must receive any such recommendation for nomination by a date not later than the 80th calendar day before the date the Corporation's proxy statement was released to stockholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting.
- b. Such recommendation for nomination shall be in writing and shall include the following information:

i.	Name of the Stockholder, whether an entity or an individual, making the recommendation;
ii.	A written statement disclosing such stockholder \square s beneficial ownership of the Corporation's securities;
iii.	Name of the individual recommended for consideration as a director nominee;
iv.	A written statement from the stockholder making the recommendation stating why such recommended candidate would be able to fulfill the duties of a director;
v.	A written statement from the stockholder making the recommendation stating how the recommended candidate meets the independence requirements established by the SEC and the NASDAQ Stock Market;
vi.	A written statement disclosing the recommended candidate's beneficial ownership of the Corporation's securities; and
vii.	A written statement disclosing relationships between the recommended candidate and the Corporation which may constitute a conflict of interest.

c. Nominations may be sent to the attention of the Committee via the method listed below:

U.S. Mail or Expedited Delivery Service:
Twin Disc, Incorporated
1328 Racine Street
Racine, WI 53403
Attn: Nominating and Governance Committee
c/o Secretary of Twin Disc, Incorporated

Once candidates have been identified, the Committee shall confirm that the candidates meet all of the minimum qualifications for director nominees set forth below. The Committee may gather information about the candidates through interviews, background checks, or any other means that the Committee deems to be helpful in the evaluation process. The Committee shall then meet as a group to discuss and evaluate the qualities and skills of each candidate, both on an individual basis and taking into account the overall composition and needs of the Board. There shall be no difference in the manner by which the Committee evaluates director nominees, whether nominated by the Board or by a nominating stockholder.

The Corporation evaluates each individual candidate in the context of the overall composition and needs of the Board, with the objective of recommending a group that can best manage the business and affairs

of the Corporation and represent Stockholder interests using its diversity of experience. A director must have substantial or significant business or professional experience or an understanding of technology, finance, marketing, financial reporting, international business or other disciplines relevant to the business of the Corporation. A director must be free from any relationship that, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of his or her judgment as a member of the Board or of a Board committee. This shall not preclude an otherwise qualified employee of the Corporation from serving as a director, as long as the majority of directors satisfy the independence requirements of the regulatory bodies. Each director will be expected to review and agree to adhere to the Corporation so Guidelines for Business Conduct and Ethics, as in effect from time to time. The Committee will consider these and other qualifications, skills and attributes when recommending candidates for the Board's selection as nominees for the Board and as candidates for appointment to the Board's committees.

Compensation Committee

The primary purpose of the Compensation Committee is: (i) to assist the Board in discharging its responsibilities in respect to the compensation of the Company's executive officers; (ii) to produce an annual report for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement on executive compensation; (iii) to lead the process of management succession. The Committee approves the design of, assesses the effectiveness of, and administers executive compensation programs in support of compensation policies of the Company.

Pension Committee

The Pension Committee reviews and recommends to the Board for approval the pension funds professional advisors and auditors. The Committee annually reviews actuarial assumptions, actuarial valuations, investment performance, funding policies and investment policies.

Committee Membership

The Board□s committees are currently comprised of the following Directors; the Chairman of the Committee is listed first:

				Nominating And
<u>Audit</u>	<u>Finance</u>	<u>Pension</u>	Compensation	Governance
Zimmer	Mellowes	Rayburn	Wardeberg	Swift
Rayburn	Swift	Mellowes	Mellowes	Rayburn
Stratton	Zimmer	Stratton	Zimmer	Wardeberg
Wardeberg		Swift		

ATTENDANCE AT ANNUAL MEETING

The Company does not have a formal policy that its directors attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders because it expects them to do so and because the Company's directors historically have attended these meetings. All of the members of the Board of Directors attended last year's annual meeting. The Board of Directors conducts its annual meeting directly before the Annual Meeting of Shareholders at the Company's headquarters.

Stockholder Communication with the Board

The Board provides to every stockholder the ability to communicate with the Board, as a whole, and with individual directors on the Board through an established process for stockholder communication (\square Stockholder Communication \square) as follows:

- 1. Stockholder Communication to Entire Board. For Stockholder Communication directed to the Board as a whole, stockholders may send such communication to the attention of the Chairman of the Board via the method listed below:
 - U.S. Mail or Expedited Delivery Service:

Twin Disc, Incorporated 1328 Racine Street Racine, WI 53403

Attn: Chairman of the Board of Directors

- **2.** Stockholder Communication to Individual Director. For Stockholder Communication directed to an individual director in his or her capacity as a member of the Board, stockholders may send such communication to the attention of the individual director via the method listed below:
 - U.S. Mail or Expedited Delivery Service:

Twin Disc, Incorporated 1328 Racine Street Racine, WI 53403

Attn: [Name of Individual Director]

The Corporation will forward by U.S. mail any such Stockholder Communication to each director, and the Chairman of the Board in his or her capacity as a representative of the Board, to whom such Stockholder Communication is addressed to the address specified by each such director and the Chairman of the Board.

Communications from an officer or director of the Corporation and proposals submitted by stockholders to be included in the Corporation's definitive proxy statement, pursuant to Rule 14a-8 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, (and related communications) will not be viewed as a Stockholder Communication. Communications from an employee or agent of the Corporation will be viewed as Stockholder Communication only if such communications are made solely in such employee's or agent's capacity as a stockholder.

From time to time, the Board may change the process by which stockholders may communicate with the Board or its members. Please refer to the Company's website, www.twindisc.com, for any changes to this process.

COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth the compensation received by the Corporation S Chief Executive Officer and the 4 most highly paid executive officers for the 3 fiscal years ended June 30, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

		Annual <u>Compensation</u>			Long-Term <u>Compensation</u> Restricted		
Name and Principal Position	Year	<u>Salary</u>	Bonus	Stock Options	Stock	All Other Compensation	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	(1)	(3)	(4)	(2)	
Michael E. Batten Chairman and	2006 2005	\$424,999 386,829	\$516,749 282,425	-	\$ -	\$20,413 20,168	
Chief Executive Officer	2004	358,539	-	-	-	70,025	

2006	\$310,078	\$341,863	-	\$ -	\$311,071
2005	289,627	179,685	-	-	10,076
2004	276,439	-	-	-	40,105
2006	\$242,000	\$202,861	-	\$ -	\$ 8,356
2005	225,139	114,840	-	-	7,207
2004	222,167	-	-	-	41,377
2006	\$241,538	\$202,861	-	-	\$ 9,111
2005	216,385	113,850	-	65,700	8,870
2004	185,077	-	2,500	269,600	22,281
2006	\$185,385	\$157,320	-	\$ -	\$11,624
2005	156,137	84,150	-	-	16,114
2004	135,076	-	-	-	7,608
2006	\$43,616	\$25,798	-	-	\$313,950
2005	135,539	53,900	-	-	5,178
2004	133,538	-	-	-	4,168
	2005 2004 2006 2005 2004 2006 2005 2004 2006 2005 2004	2005 289,627 2004 276,439 2006 \$242,000 2005 225,139 2004 222,167 2006 \$241,538 2005 216,385 2004 185,077 2006 \$185,385 2005 156,137 2004 135,076 2006 \$43,616 2005 135,539	2005 289,627 179,685 2004 276,439 - 2006 \$242,000 \$202,861 2005 225,139 114,840 2004 222,167 - 2006 \$241,538 \$202,861 2005 216,385 113,850 2004 185,077 - 2006 \$185,385 \$157,320 2005 156,137 84,150 2004 135,076 - 2006 \$43,616 \$25,798 2005 135,539 53,900	2005 289,627 179,685 - 2004 276,439 - - 2006 \$242,000 \$202,861 - 2005 225,139 114,840 - 2004 222,167 - - 2006 \$241,538 \$202,861 - 2005 216,385 113,850 - 2004 185,077 - 2,500 2006 \$185,385 \$157,320 - 2005 156,137 84,150 - 2004 135,076 - - 2006 \$43,616 \$25,798 - 2005 135,539 53,900 -	2005 289,627 179,685 - - - 2004 276,439 - - - 2006 \$242,000 \$202,861 - - - 2005 225,139 114,840 - - - 2004 222,167 - - - - 2005 216,385 113,850 - 65,700 - - 65,700 2004 185,077 - 2,500 269,600 -

⁽¹⁾ Represents annual incentive bonuses determined by the Board of Directors. See \square Board Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation-Annual Incentives \square . Bonuses represent amounts earned during the fiscal year and are paid in the subsequent fiscal year.

AGGREGATED OPTION EXERCISES IN LAST FISCAL YEAR AND YEAR-END OPTION VALUES

The following table provides information on option exercises in fiscal 2006 by the named executive officers and the value of such officers unexercised options at June 30, 2006.

			Total Number		Total Value	
			of Unexercised Options Held at Fiscal Year End		of Unexercised, In-the-Money Options	
	Shares	Value			Held at Fiscal	Year End
	Acquired on	Real-	Exer-	Unexer-	Exer-	Unexer-
<u>Name</u>	<u>Exercise</u>	<u>ized</u>	<u>cisable</u>	<u>cisable</u>	<u>cisable</u>	<u>cisable</u>

⁽²⁾ Amounts are comprised of Corporation s 401(k) matching contributions and Corporation paid life insurance. In addition, the amount for Mr. Joyce includes payment of a retention bonus and the amount for Mr. Timm includes payment of a retirement bonus.

⁽³⁾ There were no stock options granted in fiscal 2005 or 2006.

⁽⁴⁾ At June 30, 2006 Mr. Eperjesy had 22,000 restricted stock grants valued at \$673,420 based on the closing stock price as of June 30, 2006. The restricted stock vests as follows: 3,000 in fiscal 2007, 16,000 in fiscal 2008 and 3,000 in fiscal 2009. Dividends are paid on the restricted stock.

⁽⁵⁾ Mr. Timm retired effective September 30, 2006 and is included in this table as his compensation for the year would have placed him among the four most highly compensated executive officers had he held his position as of the end of the fiscal year.

M. Batten	20,000	\$123,759	66,000	0	\$1,378,214	\$ 0
M. Joyce	25,000	\$89,631	0	0	\$0	\$0
J. Feiertag	12,500	\$212,894	6,500	0	\$97,403	\$0
C. Eperjesy	0	N/A	0	0	\$0	\$0
J. Batten	1,200	\$22,679	0	0	\$0	\$ 0
F. Timm	3,900	\$12,773	0	0	\$0	\$0

LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLANS [] AWARDS IN LAST FISCAL YEAR

The annual 2006 long-term grants for all the named executives were made in the form of performance stock grants and performance stock unit awards. The following table identifies the performance shares and performance units granted to the named executives during the 2006 fiscal year.

		Performance	Estimated Future Payouts Under Plans			
	Number of	or Other				
	Shares, Units	Period Until				
	Or Other	Maturation	Threshold	Tar	get Maximum	
	Rights(#)	or Payout(1)	(#)	(#)	(#)	
M.Batten	52,048	6/30/08	0	52,048	52,048	
M.Joyce	15,262	6/30/08	0	15,262	15,262	
J.Feiertag	8,000	6/30/08	0	8,000	8,000	
C.Eperjesy	8,000	6/30/08	0	8,000	8,000	
J.Batten	8,000	6/30/08	0	8,000	8,000	
F. Timm	0	-	0	0	0	

(1) The performance shares and performance stock unit awards granted under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan vest on June

If prior to attaining the performance objective an employee voluntarily terminates before becoming eligible for retirement under the Company s defined benefit plan covering the employee or employment is terminated for cause, the performance stock awards shall be forfeited.

If an event constituting a change in control of the Company occurs and the employee thereafter terminates employment for any reason, then all performance stock awards granted shall immediately vest as if the performance objective had been fully achieved.

If prior to attaining the performance objective employment is terminated other than for the above the performance stock awards granted shall be paid on a prorated basis if and when the performance objective is achieved.

RETIREMENT INCOME PLAN

The Twin Disc, Inc., Retirement Plan for Salaried Employees provides non-contributory benefits based upon both years of service and the employees highest consecutive 5-year average annual compensation during the last 10 calendar years of service. As of December 31, 1996, the then-current accrued benefits under the plan were frozen and the plan was amended to provide for future accruals under a cash-balance formula, as described below.

Average High

Non-contributory Pension Based On

Edgar Filing: TWIN DISC INC - Form DEF 14A

5-Year Annual		Year	e		
Compensation	10 Years	20 Years	25 Years	30 Years	40 Years
\$ 50,000	\$ 9,225	\$15,849	\$17,490	\$19,340	\$22,709
75,000	14,425	24,949	27,705	30,552	35,709
100,000	19,625	34,050	37,919	41,765	48,709
150,000	30,025	52,250	58,348	64,190	74,709

The values reflected in the table represent the application of the Plan formula to the appropriate amounts of compensation and years of service. Benefits payable under the Plan, however, must be in compliance with the applicable guidelines or maximum prescribed in the Internal Revenue Code and in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), as currently stated or as amended from time to time. As of December 31, 1996, the credited years of service for each of the Corporation sexecutive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table is as follows: Mr. M. Batten 27 years and Mr. Joyce 6 years. Mr. Feiertag, Mr. Eperjesy and Mr. J. Batten are not eligible for an accrued benefit under the Plan based on pre-January 1, 1997, service.

Effective January 1, 1997, the Plan was amended to add a cash balance formula for post-January 1, 1997, accruals. Benefits under the Plan are generally equal to the sum of the benefits as frozen on December 31, 1996, plus benefits that accumulate under the cash balance formula beginning on January 1, 1997. Benefits under the cash balance formula are generally stated as a lump sum amount, but may be distributed as a lump sum or an annuity. Accruals under the cash balance formula are based on a percentage of compensation, from 4.5% to 6.5% based on years of service, with interest credits at the thirty-year U.S. Treasury Bond rate, or other such rate mandated by the IRS in substitution of the 30 year Treasury rate, with a minimum guaranty of 3%. To record these pay credits and interest credits, a hypothetical account balance is maintained for each participant. The hypothetical account balance for each named executive as of June 30, 2006, is as follows: Mr. M. Batten \$144,758; Mr. Joyce \$111,607; Mr. Eperjesy \$35,692; Mr. Feiertag \$52,417 and Mr. J. Batten \$54,760. If the named executives continue in their respective positions and retire at the normal retirement age

of 65, their estimated annual pension amount under the cash balance portion of the Plan would be: Mr. Eperjesy \$47,258; Mr. J. Batten \$48,833 and Mr. Feiertag \$26,936. As Mr. M. Batten and Mr. Joyce are currently beyond the normal retirement age, their estimated annual pension amount under the cash balance portion of the Plan as of June 30, 2006 would be \$12,372 and \$9,391, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL RETIREMENT BENEFIT PLAN

A supplemental retirement plan is extended to qualified management. For those who were participants in the plan before January 1, 1998 (including Messrs. M. Batten and Joyce), the supplemental retirement benefit is calculated as an annual benefit approximating 50% of the highest rate of pay attained during a specified period, minus amounts accrued under the Company\squalified defined benefit plan. The plan also preserved the level of benefits that had accrued prior to 1998. For those who became participants in the plan on or after January 1, 1998 (including Mr. Feiertag, Mr. Eperjesy and Mr. J. Batten), the supplemental retirement benefit is calculated as the additional benefit that the participant would have received at retirement under the Company\(\sigma\) squalified defined benefit plan but for the limitation on compensation that is used in determining benefits under the defined benefit plan. The benefit is payable in two payments. The two lump sum payments are made on the first and second February 1 in the years following retirement. The maximum payment in any given year is \$500,000 and any amounts in excess of \$500,000 will be paid in the third and subsequent years following retirement. In the event of the death of a plan participant after attaining a retirement age but prior to retirement, the surviving spouse will receive a lump sum benefit. As of June 30, 2006, the annual benefit accrued for each named executive at his respective normal retirement date is as follows: Mr. M. Batten, \$151,529; Mr. Joyce, \$120,531; Mr. Eperjesy, \$64,514; Mr. Feiertag \$19,997 and Mr. J.Batten \$22,789. The benefit for Mr. M. Batten is based upon his attained age, as he has surpassed his normal retirement age.

RETENTION AND NON-COMPETE AGREEMENT

During fiscal 2003, Mr. Joyce and the Company entered into a Retention and Non-Compete Agreement. Under the agreement, Mr. Joyce agreed to continue as President and Chief Operating Officer until age 65 or earlier with consent of the Chief Executive Officer. In consideration for the above, Twin Disc, Incorporated agreed to pay Mr. Joyce a retention bonus of \$300,000 upon his attaining the age of 65. The entire bonus was payable in the event of an involuntary termination and a pro-rated retention bonus was payable in the event of early voluntary termination. In addition, Mr. Joyce agreed to a three-year non-disclosure and non-compete agreement. Mr. Joyce received the retention bonus upon attaining age 65 in fiscal 2006.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Outside Directors of the Corporation are paid an annual retainer of \$15,000. The annual retainer will be increased to \$18,000 effective November 1, 2006. In addition, outside Directors receive a \$1,500 fee for each board meeting and each committee meeting attended and \$3,000 per year for serving as a committee chairman. Directors who are officers do not receive any fees in addition to their remuneration as officers.

Outside Directors (non-Corporation employees) are eligible to participate in the 1988 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Officers, Key Employees and Directors, the 1998 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors and the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan for Non-Employee Directors. Under the 2004 Plan, each outside Director receives 600 options and 600 restricted stock grants upon election or re-election at an annual meeting and each eligible Director who is continuing to serve on the Board.

Outside Directors (non-Corporation employees) who reach the age of 71 or who retire from full-time employment may be required to retire from the Board of Directors effective as of the completion of their current term. Retired outside directors are entitled to an annual retirement benefit equal to the sum of:

- a) The annual retainer at the time of retirement.
- b) 6 monthly fees for Director Meetings at the rate prevailing at the time of retirement. The benefit is payable for a term equal to the Director\(\bar{\pi}\)s years of service or life, whichever is shorter.

EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS AND CHANGE IN CONTROL AGREEMENTS

The Corporation has entered into agreements with certain of its key executives, including Messrs. M. Batten, Joyce, Eperjesy, Feiertag and J. Batten. The agreements provide for severance benefits to be paid to the executive following a change in control of the Corporation (as defined in those agreements) and a termination (as defined in those agreements) of the employment of the executive. The agreement with Mr. Joyce is no longer in effect due to his retirement from the Corporation. Upon the occurrence of the events, as specified in the agreements, which would entitle the executive to the payment of severance benefits, the maximum contingent liability of the Corporation for the payment of such severance benefits would be approximately \$2,105,000. Severance benefits for an executive officer would generally consist of the sum of the executive highest annual base salary between the change in control and the date of termination plus the executive most recent annual bonus times the lesser of 1.50 (2.50 for Mr. M. Batten) or the number of whole and fractional years between the termination date and his normal retirement date. In addition, the executive would be entitled to the cash value of any shares of common stock subject to unexercised stock options held by the executive, all performance stock and performance stock unit awards would vest and fringe benefits would continue for 24 months following termination. The agreements are specifically designed to assure that benefits will not exceed the limitations and provisions of Sec. 280(g) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The performance share and performance stock unit award agreements between the Company and its named executive officers, as well as the restricted stock grant agreements between the Company and Mr. Eperjesy, have certain change in control provisions. Specifically, if a change in control (as defined in the agreements) occurs and the employee thereafter terminates employment, all performance shares and performance stock units shall immediately vest as if the performance objectives had been fully achieved, and all restricted shares shall become freely transferable and non-forfeitable.

Board Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation

Compensation Philosophy

The Corporation primary business objective is to grow shareholder value on a sustainable basis over the long term. To accomplish this objective, the Corporation has developed a comprehensive business strategy that emphasizes generating long-term positive cash flow and achieving earnings in excess of its cost of capital; maintaining leadership or becoming the leader in its markets; and providing products of the highest quality.

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the □Committee□) is comprised of three independent directors, none of whom has interlocking or other relationships which might be considered conflicts of interest. The Committee establishes compensation programs which are designed to foster the Corporation□s business objectives. The Committee approves the design of, assesses the effectiveness of, and

administers executive compensation programs in support of compensation policies.

Committee members believe that the compensation program should target compensation levels at rates that are reflective of current market practices. Offering market-comparable pay opportunities allows the Corporation to maintain a stable, successful management team.

Competitive market data is provided by an independent compensation consultant, hired by the Committee. The data provided compares the Corporation compensation practices to a group of comparative companies. The Corporation market for compensation comparison purposes is comprised of a group of companies that have national and international business operations and similar sales volumes, market capitalizations, employment levels, and lines of business. In establishing a comparative group for compensation purposes, the Committee exercises its judgment and makes its decision after considering the factors it deems relevant.

The companies chosen for the comparative group used for compensation purposes are not necessarily the same companies which comprise the peer group index in the Performance Graph included in this proxy statement. The Committee believes that the Corporation most direct competitors for executive talent include many companies in geographical areas in which the Corporation operates as well as many of the companies that are included in the peer group established for comparing shareholders returns.

The key elements of the Corporation sexecutive compensation are base salary, annual incentives, long-term compensation, and benefits. These key elements are addressed separately below. In determining compensation, the Committee considers all elements of an executive stotal compensation package, including severance plans, insurance, and other benefits, with the objective of being competitive but not trend setting.

Base Salaries

The Committee regularly reviews each executive base salary. Base salary ranges are targeted at market levels, based upon the Committee analysis of marketplace practices. Base salaries for executives are initially determined by evaluating executives levels of responsibility, prior experience, breadth of knowledge, internal equity issues, and external pay practices.

Base salaries offer stability to executives and allow the Corporation to attract competent executive talent and maintain an effective management team. They also allow executives to be rewarded for individual performance based on the Corporation sevaluation process which encourages the development of executives. Pay for individual performance rewards executives for achieving goals which may not be immediately evident in common financial measurement.

Increases to base salaries are driven primarily by individual performance. Individual performance is evaluated based on sustained levels of individual contribution to the Corporation. When evaluating individual performance, the Committee considers the executive seffort in promoting Corporate values; improving product quality; developing relationships with customers, suppliers, and employees; demonstrating leadership abilities among coworkers; and other goals. Generally, executive salaries are increased at rates comparable to the increases provided at other companies and are near market levels.

Generally, in order to determine Mr. Batten s base salary, the Committee considers the Company s financial performance for the year, Mr. Batten s individual performance, and his long-term contributions to the success of the Corporation. The Committee also compares Mr. Batten s base salary to the base salaries of CEOs at comparative companies. As reflected in the Summary Compensation Table on page 10, Mr. Batten s base salary was increased to \$434,000 in fiscal 2006.

Annual Incentives

The Twin Disc Corporate Incentive Plan promotes the Corporation□s pay-for-performance philosophy by providing executives with direct financial incentives in the form of annual cash bonuses for achieving corporate, business unit, and individual performance goals. The Corporate Incentive Plan allows the Corporation to communicate specific goals that are of primary importance during the coming year and motivate executives to achieve these goals. The Plan emphasizes the achievement of earnings returns in excess of the Company□s cost of capital as well as other financial and non-financial objectives.

Eligibility to participate in the Corporate Incentive Plan, as well as the individual payout percentages assigned to each eligible executive sposition, are determined annually by Mr. Batten, as chief executive officer, subject to the approval of the Committee.

Each year, the Committee approves specific goals relating to each executive bonus opportunity. Eligible executives are assigned threshold, target and maximum bonus levels based on a percentage of base salary. Executives earn bonuses to the extent to which pre-established performance goals are achieved.

Target bonus awards have been established at levels approximating the 50th percentile of marketplace practices for each executive. Targets are considered by the Committee to be achievable, but to require above average performance for each of the executives.

For fiscal year 2006, Mr. Batten received a bonus of \$516,749 as a result of the Corporation□s achievement of designated economic profit and other operational goals.

Long-Term Incentives

Long-term incentive opportunities are provided pursuant to the Corporation 1988 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Officers, Key Employees and Directors, the 1988 Incentive Stock Option Plan, the 1998 Incentive Compensation Plan, the 1998 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors and the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.

In keeping with the Corporation scommitment to provide a total compensation package which includes at-risk components of pay, the Committee makes annual decisions regarding appropriate stock options, performance shares, and restricted stock grants for each executive. When awarding stock incentives, the Committee considers executives levels of responsibility, prior experience, historical award data, various performance criteria, and compensation practices at comparator companies. Mr. Batten received 9,000 (18,000 post-split) performance restricted shares for FY2006, with vesting subject to the achievement of certain growth goals by the end of FY2008. In addition, he received 17,024 (34,048 post-split) performance stock units, with vesting also subject to the achievement of certain growth goals by the end of FY 2008. These performance stock units are contingent on shareholder approval of the amended 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.

The plan design focuses executives on the creation of shareholder value over the long term and encourages equity ownership in the Corporation. Stock incentives are granted at a price not less than the fair market value of the Corporation common stock on the date of grant. Accordingly, stock options have value only if the stock price appreciates from the date the options are granted; performance shares and performance stock units have value only if specific objectives are achieved; and restricted stock serves retention and equity ownership goals.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, enacted in 1993, generally disallows a tax deduction to public companies for compensation over \$1 million paid to the Company ☐s CEO and four other most highly

compensated executive officers. Qualifying performance-based compensation will not be subject to the deduction limit if certain requirements are met. Historically, the compensation of the Corporation CEO and the four other most highly compensated executive officers have not met the disqualifying threshold. However, due to increases in base salaries and the Company stock price, it is possible that grants and/or exercises of certain equity-based and performance-based compensation could cause the disqualifying threshold to be met for the CEO in the current year and in future years. Therefore, earlier this year the Company Board of Directors, based on the recommendation of the Committee, amended the Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan so that future performance-based awards under the plan (including the performance stock units referenced above) will not be subject to the deduction limit. The amendments to the plan are subject to the approval of the shareholders at the Company 2006 annual meeting.

Compensation Committee George E. Wardeberg, Chairman John A. Mellowes David R. Zimmer

July 27, 2006

The following Report of the Audit Committee does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other Company filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent the Company specifically incorporates this report by reference therein.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee charter reflects standards set forth in SEC regulations and NASDAQ Stock Market rules. All members of the Audit Committee are independent, as defined in Rules 4200 and 4350 of the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market.

The Committee has implemented procedures to ensure that during the course of each fiscal year it devotes the attention that it deems necessary or appropriate to each of the matters assigned to it under the Committee's charter. To carry out its responsibilities, the Committee met five times during fiscal 2006.

As part of its responsibilities, and as set forth in its charter, the Audit Committee met with both management and the Corporation's independent accountants to review and discuss the audited financial statements prior to their issuance and to discuss significant accounting issues. Management advised the Committee that all financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and the Committee discussed the statements with both management and the independent accountants. The Committee's review included discussion with the independent accountants of matters

required to be discussed pursuant to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 (Communication with Audit Committee).

The Committee received the written disclosures and the letter required from the independent accountants as required by the Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussions with Audit Committee). The Committee also discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP matters relating to its independence.

On the basis of these reviews and discussions, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Board approve the inclusion of the Corporation's audited financial statements in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Audit Committee
David R. Zimmer, Chairman
David B. Rayburn

Harold M. Stratton II George E. Wardeberg July 28, 2006

CORPORATE PERFORMANCE TABLE

The following table compares total shareholder return over the last 5 fiscal years to the Standard & Poor\sqrt{s} 500 Machinery (Industrial)Index and the Russell 2000 index. The S&P 500 Machinery (Industrial) Index consists of a broad range of manufacturers. The Russell 2000 Index consists of a broad range of 2,000 Companies. The Corporation believes, because of the similarity of its business with those companies contained in the S&P 500 Machinery (Industrial) Index, that comparison of shareholder return with this index is appropriate. Total return values for the Corporation\sqrt{s} common stock, the S&P 500 Machinery (Industrial) Index and the Russell 2000 Index were calculated based upon an assumption of a \$100 investment on June 30, 2001 and based upon cumulative total return values assuming reinvestment of dividends on a quarterly basis.

Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Total Return Twin Disc, Inc.; S&P Machinery; and Russell 2000

	<u>6/30/2001</u>	<u>6/30/2002</u>	6/30/2003	<u>6/30/2004</u>	<u>6/30/2005</u>	6/30/2006
Twin Disc	100.00	97.93	99.15	174.66	165.04	476.79
S&P Machinery	100.00	126.85	132.69	193.47	198.89	260.54
Russell 2000	100.00	91.41	89.91	119.90	131.22	150.35

SECTION 16 (a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company sirectors, executive officers and 10% shareholders to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of Twin Disc stock. Based solely on a review of the copies of such forms furnished to the Corporation and representations from executive officers and Directors, the Corporation believes that during the period from July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its executive officers, Directors and greater than ten (10%) beneficial owners were properly filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, except filings with respect to the annual automatic award of 300 shares of restricted stock and 300 options to purchase the Companys common stock awarded to each of the Companys non-employee directors (John A. Mellowes, David B. Rayburn, Harold M. Stratton II, David L. Swift, George E. Wardeberg and David R. Zimmer) on October 21, 2005 and the sale of 2,058 shares held in trust by Michael Batten on August 8, 2005. Once the information was discovered, Forms 4 were promptly filed.

ITEM 2: PROPOSAL TO APPROVE AMENDMENTS TO THE TWIN DISC, INCORPORATED 2004 STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN

ARTICLE I

The Plan

The Board of Directors recommends the approval of certain amendments to the Twin Disc,

Incorporated, 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (which, if the amendments are approved, will be renamed the Twin Disc, Incorporated 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan) (the [Plan]). The Plan is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The following statements with respect to the Plan are qualified by and made subject to the more complete information contained in Appendix A.

The Amendments

The amendments allow the Compensation Committee of the Company Board of Directors, or such other committee as the Board of Directors shall designate (the Committee) to grant awards of Performance Stock Units and Performance Units pursuant to the terms of the Plan. Performance Stock Units grant the recipient the right to receive cash payments equal to the fair market value of the Company common stock as of the date such payments vest, if specified performance goals are achieved. Performance Units grant the recipient the right to receive predetermined cash payments (or an equivalent number of shares of common stock of the Company) if specified performance goals are achieved. Currently the Plan does not provide for issuance of either Performance Stock Units or Performance Units. The amendments also place limitations on the maximum annual awards or payments under the Plan to any particular individual in order to maximize the Company sability to deduct payments under the Plan pursuant to Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

It is the judgment of the Board of Directors that the addition of Performance Stock Units and Performance Units to the Plan will provide the Company with a wider range of alternatives for rewarding employees based on the performance of the Company. While the value of Performance Stock Units are determined by reference to the effective market price for common stock on the vesting date, both Performance Stock Units and (subject to certain variations described below) Performance Units are payable in cash, and neither typically result in the issuance of common stock or otherwise affect the equity structure of the Company.

Administration

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, or such other committee as designated by the Board of Directors (the "Committee"), will administer the Plan. The Committee is authorized to interpret the Plan; establish and amend the rules for its administration; and determine which officers and key employees shall be granted options or other benefits.

Subject to approval of the amendments by the shareholders of the Company, the Board of Directors approved the award of a total of 23,755 Performance Stock Units effective January 20, 2006. These Performance Stock Units will vest if the Company achieves \$250,000,000 in consolidated annual sales revenue in the fiscal year ending June 30, 2008.

Also subject to approval of the amendments by the shareholders of the Company, the Board of Directors approved the award of a total of 30,900 Performance Stock Units effective July 27, 2006. These Performance Stock Units will vest if the Company achieves certain economic profit objectives (measured as the difference between the cumulative net operating profit after taxes and the cumulative capital charge) for the cumulative three fiscal year period ending June 30, 2009. A participant would receive either the maximum, target or threshold number of Performance Stock Units in the event the Company achieves the corresponding economic profit objective.

To date, no Performance Units have been awarded.

It is not possible at this time to determine who may be selected to receive options and/or other benefits under the Plan or the amount of common stock to be optioned or awarded to any person. It is expected, however, that the Committee will make these determinations on the basis of the person's responsibilities and present and potential contributions to the success of the Company, and that among those who may qualify as recipients of options and/or related benefits will be officers and other key employees of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries. There are currently approximately 15 employees that the Company anticipates will receive awards under the Plan.

Benefits

Benefits under the Plan ([Benefits]) may be granted, awarded or paid in any one or a combination of Stock Options (incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options), Stock Appreciation Rights, Restricted Stock Awards, Performance Stock Awards, Performance Unit Awards and Annual Long-Term Incentive Awards, all as more specifically described in Exhibit A. There is reserved for issuance under the Plan an aggregate of 328,000 shares of the Company's common stock (on a post-split basis), which may be authorized and unissued shares or shares reacquired by the Company in the open market or a combination thereof. The aggregate amount is subject to proportionate adjustments for stock dividends, stock splits and

similar changes.

Stock options will consist of options (either incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options) to purchase shares of common stock. The Committee will establish the time or times at which options may be exercised and whether all of the options may be exercisable at one time or in increments over time. The option price or procedure for setting the option price shall be set by the Committee at the time of granting of an option. For incentive stock options, the option price may not be less than the fair market value of the Company's stock on the date of grant; however, in the event the recipient owns more than 10% of the Company's stock, the option price must be at least 110% of the fair market value on the date of grant. Likewise, with respect to an incentive stock option, all options must be exercised within ten (10) years after the date of grant unless the recipient of the option owns more than 10% of the Company's stock, in which case it must be exercised within five (5) years of its grant. The maximum number of options that may be granted to any participant during any fiscal year of the Company is 20,000 (on a post-split basis). In the event of stock dividends, splits and similar capital changes, the Plan provides for appropriate adjustments in the number of shares available for awards and the number and option prices of shares subject to outstanding options. Under certain circumstances, extensions or other modifications and outstanding options may result in disqualification of an option as an incentive option. The purchase price of option shares may be paid in cash, Company stock, a combination of Company stock and cash, or such other legal and appropriate forms or means as the Committee may determine. For non-qualified options, the option holder must also pay the Company, at the time of purchase, the amount of federal, state and local withholding taxes required to be withheld by the Company. These taxes may be settled in cash or with Company stock, including stock that is part of the award or that is received upon exercise of the stock option that gives rise to withholding requirement. Shares of the Company's common stock may also be used by participants for payment of the option price or satisfaction of withholding tax obligations. The Plan also permits other forms of payment if authorized by the Board and consistent with applicable law and regulations.

Stock appreciation rights may be granted under the Plan with respect to options granted concurrently or previously under the Plan ("Tandem SARs") or on a stand alone basis ("Stand Alone SARs"). The maximum number of stock appreciation rights that may be granted to any participant during any fiscal year of the Company is 20,000 (on a post-split basis).

Each Tandem SAR will permit the holder to receive the difference between the market price (on the

date of exercise) of the shares to which it relates and the option price thereof. A Tandem SAR will be exercisable at the time and to the extent the option to which it relates is exercisable. Holders of Tandem SARs will be permitted to exercise the right or the related option, but not both. Upon exercise of a Tandem SAR, rights will be paid in cash. Any exercise will reduce the shares issuable under the Plan under which the related option was granted by the number of shares with respect to which the right is exercised.

Each Stand Alone SAR permits the holder to receive the difference between the market price (on the date of exercise) of the share to which it relates and the value specified in the agreement governing the grant of the Stand Alone SAR. Upon exercise, rights will be paid in common stock of the Company or cash, or a combination thereof, as determined by the Committee.

Restricted stock becomes vested in approximate equal installments over a period of time specified from the date of grant, with each installment to mature annually. Each installment becomes vested only if earned by the recipient by remaining in the employment of the Company, subject to certain exceptions. Until restrictions lapse, the holder of restricted stock may not sell, assign, pledge or otherwise transfer the restricted stock.

Performance Stock Awards provide for artificial shares, contingently granted, and entitle the employee to actual shares of common stock at the time of payment (i.e., the unit value may appreciate or decline depending on future market value of the stock), if predetermined objectives are achieved. The maximum number of shares of Performance Stock that may be granted to any participant during any fiscal year of the Company is 20,000 (on a post-split basis).

A Performance Stock Unit will entitle the participant to receive a cash payment equal to the fair market value of a share of common stock of the Company. Performance Stock Units become vested in the event the Company achieves specified performance standards that are stated in each participant award grant agreement. The vesting date will be the last day of the performance period in which a performance goal is met. The Plan restricts

the maximum number of Performance Stock Units that may be granted to any participant during any fiscal year of the Company to 100,000 (on a post-split basis).

A Performance Unit will entitle the participant to receive a specified cash payment in the event the Company achieves predetermined objectives. As an alternative to cash payments, the participant can receive, at the election of the Committee, shares of common stock of the Company equivalent to the cash payments to which the participant would otherwise be entitled. The Plan restricts the maximum amount that may be paid to any participant in any fiscal year of the Company pursuant to an award of Performance Units to \$500,000 (or an equivalent number of shares of common stock of the Company).

Annual Long-Term Incentive Awards entitle a participant to receive a specified payment in common stock, deferred stock, Restricted Stock or a combination thereof if and when certain conditions are satisfied. The maximum annual formula bonus may be fixed at up to 100% of the participant's base salary, with the Board or Committee designating the percentage level of participation and maximum bonus for each officer while management designates the percentage level of participation and maximum bonus for other participants.

Non-transferability

Unless otherwise provided in an agreement governing the grant of an award, a participant's rights shall be exercisable during lifetime only by the participant, and no award may be sold, transferred or assigned, except that options and stock appreciation rights are transferable by will and pursuant to the laws of descent and distribution.

Effect of Termination of Employment

Unless otherwise provided in an agreement governing the grant of an award or as determined by the Committee, if a participant terminates employment due to death: (i) all options and stock appreciation rights shall immediately vest and will be fully exercisable by the participant's estate (or such other person who obtains such rights by bequest or inheritance) for a period of one year after the participant's death (or until the expiration of the option or stock appreciation right if shorter), (ii) restrictions on shares of Restricted Stock shall lapse; and (iii) the participant shall receive a prorated payout of any performance stock awards, performance stock unit awards, performance stock awards and annual long-term incentive awards.

Unless otherwise provided in an agreement governing the grant of an award or as determined by the Committee, if a participant terminates employment due to disability: (i) all options and stock appreciation rights shall immediately vest and will be fully exercisable for a period of three years (three months for incentive stock options) after the participant's termination of employment (or until the expiration of the option or stock appreciation right if shorter), (ii) restrictions on shares of Restricted Stock shall lapse; and (iii) the participant shall receive a prorated payout of any performance stock awards, performance stock unit awards, performance unit awards and annual long-term incentive awards.

Unless otherwise provided in an agreement governing the grant of an award or as determined by the Committee, if a participant voluntarily terminates employment before retirement or is terminated for cause: (i) all unexpired and unexercised options and stock appreciation rights shall immediately terminate; (ii) all shares of Restricted Stock still subject to restriction shall be forfeited (except that the Board or the Committee may waive such forfeiture); and (iii) all performance stock awards, performance stock unit awards, performance unit awards and annual long-term incentive awards shall be forfeited by the participant.

Unless otherwise provided in an agreement governing the grant of an award or as determined by the Committee, if a participant terminates employment for any other reason than described above: (i) unexpired and unexercised options and stock appreciation rights shall terminate, except that vested options or stock appreciation rights may be exercised by the participant for three years (one year for incentive stock options) after the participant's termination of employment (or until the expiration of the option or stock appreciation right if shorter), (ii) shares of Restricted Stock still subject to restriction shall be forfeited (except that the Board or the Committee may waive such forfeiture); and (iii) the participant shall receive a prorated payout of any performance stock awards, performance stock unit awards, performance unit awards and annual incentive awards.

Amendment

The Board of Directors may amend, alter or discontinue the Plan. However, no amendment, alteration or discontinuation of the Plan or any Benefit granted under the Plan may impair the rights of any participant under any Benefit Plan without the participant's consent, and no amendment shall, without the approval of the Company's shareholders, (i) increase the total number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the Plan or increase the amount or type of option that may be granted under the Plan; (ii) change the minimum purchase price, if any, of shares of common stock that may be subject to options under the Plan; (iii) modify the requirements as to eligibility for an option under the Plan; (iv) extend the term of the Plan; or (v) constitute a material revision of the Plan under the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market (or such other listing standards then applicable to the Company).

Other Terms

The issuance of stock upon exercise of options or other grant or award of Benefits is subject to the registration with the Securities and Exchange Commission of the shares reserved by the Company for the Plan. The closing price of the Company's common stock on the NASDAQ Stock Market on September 1, 2006, was \$34.09 per share.

Effective Date

The Plan, as amended, will be effective on the date it is approved by the shareholders. If the amended Plan is not approved by the shareholders, the terms of the Plan as currently in effect will remain in effect. No stock options or other Benefits included in the Plan may be granted after October 15, 2014.

Tax Consequences

The following discussion of the principal U.S. federal income tax consequences of the Twin Disc, Incorporated, 2004 Stock Incentive Plan is based on statutory authority and judicial and administrative interpretations as of the date of this Proxy Statement, which are subject to change at any time (possibly with retroactive effect) and may vary in individual circumstances. The following is designed to provide a general understanding of the Company's interpretation of the federal income tax consequences; state, local and other tax consequences are not addressed below. The discussion is limited to federal income tax consequences for individuals who are citizens or residents of the U.S. for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The following summary does not purport to address all tax considerations that may be relevant. Each recipient of an award is urged to consult his or her own tax advisor as to the specific tax consequences to such recipient of the grant of an award, the exercise of an award, and disposition of common stock that may be issued pursuant to an exercise of an award.

· Stock Options

Options which meet the requirements of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code are called "incentive stock options." All other options are called "non-qualified stock options."

The granting of a non-qualified stock option does not produce taxable income to the employee or a tax deduction to the Company or any subsidiary. Upon exercise of such an option, the excess of the fair market value of the common stock acquired over the option price is (a) taxable to the employee as ordinary income and (b) deductible by the employer (assuming withholding, if required). The tax basis for the common stock acquired is the option price plus that taxable excess.

The granting of an incentive stock option does not produce taxable income to the employee or a tax deduction to the Company or any subsidiary. Upon exercise of such an option, the excess of the fair market value of the common stock acquired over the option price will be an item of tax preference to the employee (unless the employee disposes of the common stock in that same year). If the common stock is held by the employee for at least two years after the date of grant and one year after the date of exercise of the option (i) the employee does not realize any income as a result of exercising the option, (ii) the tax basis of the common stock received is the option price, and (iii) the employer is not entitled to any tax deduction by reason of the exercise. Any gain realized on the ultimate sale of the common stock that is held for the appropriate period is treated as gain

resulting from the disposition of a capital asset. If the employee does not hold the common stock for at least two years after the date of a grant and one year after the date of exercise, the excess of the fair market value of the common stock at the time of exercise of the option (or the proceeds of disposition, if less) over the option price will, in the year of disposition, be (a) taxable to the employee as ordinary income and (b)

deductible by the employer (assuming withholding, if required). The tax basis for the common stock received will be the option price plus that taxable excess. The gain realized on the sale of the common stock over the tax basis will be treated as gain resulting from the disposition of a capital asset.

If an option holder exchanges common stock which he already owns for option shares, the option holder's taxable basis in the shares owned will be transferred to the shares acquired upon exercise of the option. If the common stock exchanged by the option holder are shares previously acquired by exercise of an incentive stock option and the exchanged shares have not been held by the option holder for at least two years after the date of grant and one year after the date of exercise of the prior option, the option holder will realize ordinary income equal to the excess of the fair market value of the exchanged shares at the time of such prior exercise over the option price for those exchanged shares.

· Stock Appreciation Rights

No income will be recognized by the recipient of a stock appreciation right until shares representing the amount of the appreciation or the tax equivalent, if so elected, are transferred to the recipient pursuant to the exercise of the right. The amount of such income will be equal to the fair market value of such shares on the exercise date (or the cash equivalent), and will be ordinary income. Subject to the applicable provisions of the Code, the Company will be entitled to a deduction at the same time and in the same amount as the employee realizing ordinary income as a result of the exercise of the right.

· Restricted Stock Awards

Generally at the time the substantial risk of forfeiture terminates with respect to a Restricted Stock award, the then fair market value of the stock will constitute ordinary income to the employee. Subject to the applicable provisions of the Code, a deduction for federal income tax purposes will be allowable to the Company in an amount equal to the compensation realized by the employee.

· Performance Stock Awards

The grant of a performance stock award generally will result in taxable income to the employee on the earlier of actual receipt of compensation pursuant to the award or when compensation is credited to the employee's account, or set apart, or otherwise made available. Subject to the applicable provisions of the Code, a deduction for federal income tax purposes will be allowable to the Company in an amount equal to the compensation realized by the employee.

· Performance Stock Unit Awards

The grant of a performance stock unit award generally will result in taxable income to the employee on the earlier of actual receipt of compensation pursuant to the award or when compensation is credited to the employee's account, or set apart, or otherwise made available. Subject to the applicable provisions of the Code, a deduction for federal income tax purposes will be allowable to the Company in an amount equal to the compensation realized by the employee.

· Performance Unit Awards

The grant of a performance unit award generally will result in taxable income to the employee on the earlier of actual receipt of compensation pursuant to the award or when compensation is credited to the employee's account, or set apart, or otherwise made available. Subject to the applicable provisions of the Code,

a deduction for federal income tax purposes will be allowable to the Company in an amount equal to the compensation realized by the employee.

· Annual Long-Term Incentive Awards

An annual long-term incentive award generally will result in taxable income to the employee at such time as all conditions to the entitlement to such award have been satisfied, regardless of whether the participant elects to receive the award in the form of cash or common stock. Subject to the applicable provisions of the Code, a deduction for federal income tax purposes will be allowable to the Company in an amount equal to the compensation realized by the employee.

ARTICLE II

Vote Required

Pursuant to Section 11.1(b) of the Plan, the approval of the Company shareholders is required for any amendment that increases the type of awards that may be granted under the Plan. The amendments will be adopted if approved by the affirmative vote of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of the Company common stock that are represented at the annual meeting (either in person or by proxy) and are voted in connection with the amendments to the Plan.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** approval of the amendments to the Twin Disc, Incorporated, 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. Unless otherwise specified therein, proxies solicited by the Board will be voted for this proposal.

INDEPENDENT PUBLIC AUDITORS

The firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP has audited the Corporation books annually since 1928. Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are expected to be present at the meeting and, while no formal statement will be made by them, they will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

AUDIT FEES

Aggregate fees billed, including out-of-pocket expenses, for professional services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ([PricewaterhouseCoopers[]) in connection with (i) the audit of the Company[]s consolidated financial statements as of and for the years ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005, including statutory audits of the financial statements of the Company[]s affiliates that are relied on in performance of the audit of the Company[]s consolidated financial statements, and (ii) the limited reviews of the Company[]s quarterly financial statements were \$788,000 and \$323,000, respectively.

AUDIT-RELATED FEES

Aggregate fees billed, for professional services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers for assurance and services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company□s financial statements not included in audit fees above were \$156,000 and \$28,000 for the years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

TAX FEES

In addition to the other fees described above, aggregate fees billed, including out-of-pocket expenses, of \$276,000 and \$390,000 were paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers during the years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, primarily for tax related services. Included in this amount are fees for tax compliance services of \$116,000 and \$60,000 during the years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Audit Committee has determined that the provision of services for (a) financial information systems design and implementation fees listed above, if any, and (b) all other fees listed above, is compatible with maintaining PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP\subseteq independence.

ALL OTHER FEES

During the years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, PricewaterhouseCoopers rendered no professional services to the Company other than those listed under audit fees, audit related fees and tax fees.

PRE-APPROVAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

The Audit Committee annually pre-approves known or anticipated audit and non-audit services and fees. Additional non-audit services and fees not included in the annual pre-approval are submitted to a designated committee member for approval before the work is performed. For the year ended June 30, 2006, 100% of audit-related, tax and non-audit fees were pre-approved.

GENERAL

The Corporation will bear the cost of the solicitation of proxies. The firm of Georgeson Shareholder Communications Inc., New York, NY has been retained to assist in solicitation of proxies for the Annual Meeting at a fee not to exceed \$7,500 plus expenses.

Management does not know of any other business to come before the meeting. However, if any other matters properly come before the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying form of proxy to vote upon such matters in their discretion in accordance with the authorization of the proxy.

If you do not contemplate attending in person, we respectfully request that you fill in, sign and return the accompanying proxy at your earliest convenience. However, remember that in order to have your proxy validated, it must be delivered to the Secretary either in person, by mail, or by messenger, and it must be received by the Secretary not less than forty-eight (48) hours prior to the date of the meeting. Alternatively, shareholders may vote via the Internet by accessing www.proxyvoting.com/twin or by telephone at 1-866-540-5760.

Appendix A

TWIN DISC, INCORPORATED

2004 LONG TERM INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN

ARTICLE I

PURPOSE

1.1 <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of the Twin Disc, Incorporated 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (the "Plan") is to promote the overall financial objectives of Twin Disc, Incorporated (the "Company") and its majority owned subsidiaries ("Subsidiaries") by providing opportunities for the officers and key employees selected to participate in the Plan (each a □Participant□) to acquire Common Stock of the Company ("Common Stock"), and to receive Common Stock or cash bonuses upon attainment of specified financial goals of the Company or its Subsidiaries. The Plan gives the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, or such other committee as the Board of Directors shall designate (the "Committee"), the authority and discretion to award stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, performance stock awards, performance stock unit awards,

performance unit awards, and/or annual long-term incentive awards (collectively, "Awards") to eligible employees of the Company.

ARTICLE II

EFFECTIVE DATE AND TERM

- 2.1 Effective Date. The Plan shall become effective on the date that it is approved by a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Company (the [Effective Date]), provided that such approval occurs within twelve months after the date that the Plan is adopted by the Company's Board of Directors (the "Board"). The effective date of the 2006 amendments to the Plan (adding possible grants of performance stock unit awards and performance unit awards, and making other changes in order to maximize the deductibility of performance-based awards under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code) (the [Code]) shall be the date that such amendments are approved by a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Company.
- 2.2 <u>Term.</u> No Award may be granted more than ten years after the Effective Date.
- 2.3 <u>Post-Term Activity</u>. Awards granted within the term of the Plan as set forth in

Section 2.2, subject to the all other terms and conditions of the Plan and the agreement(s) governing the grant of the Awards, may be exercised, paid out, or modified more than ten years after the adoption of the Plan. Restrictions on Restricted Stock may lapse more than ten (10) years after the Effective Date.

ARTICLE III

STOCK SUBJECT TO PLAN

- 3.1 <u>Maximum Number</u>. The maximum number of shares of Common Stock that may be issued pursuant to Awards under the Plan is 164,000, subject to the adjustments provided in Article X, below. Such shares may be newly-issued shares, authorized but unissued shares or shares reacquired by the Company on the open market or otherwise. Because Performance Stock Units are payable only in cash, the number of such Performance Stock Units shall not count against the 164,000 maximum described in this paragraph.
- 3.2 <u>Availability of Shares for Award</u>. Shares of Common Stock that are subject to issuance pursuant to an Award may thereafter be subject to a new Award:
 - (a) if the prior Award to which such shares were subject lapses, expires or terminates without the issuance of such shares; or
 - (b) shares issued pursuant to an Award are reacquired by the Company pursuant to rights reserved by the Company upon the issuance of such shares; provided, that shares reacquired by the Company may only be subject to new Awards if the Participant received no benefit of ownership from the shares.

Shares of Common Stock that are received by the Company in connection with the exercise of an Award, including the satisfaction of any tax liability or the satisfaction of a tax

withholding obligation, may be made subject to issuance pursuant to a later Award.

ARTICLE IV

ADMINISTRATION

- 4.1 <u>General Administration</u>. The Committee shall supervise and administer the Plan. The Committee shall have discretionary authority to determine all issues with respect to the interpretation of the Plan and Awards granted under the Plan, and with respect to all Plan administration issues.
- 4.2 <u>Powers of the Committee</u>. Subject to the terms of the Plan and applicable law (including but not limited to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended), the Committee shall have the authority, in its discretion: (i) to prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to the Plan; (ii) to select the eligible employees who shall receive Awards under the Plan; (iii) to grant Awards under the Plan and to determine the terms and conditions of such Awards, including without limitation the authority to determine the number of shares subject to issuance with respect to any Award, the vesting or exercise schedule of any Award, and the specific performance goals that shall cause an Award to vest or become payable; (iv) to determine the terms and conditions of the

respective agreements (which need not be identical) pursuant to which Awards are granted, and (with the consent of the holder thereof) to modify or amend any Award; (v) to authorize any person to execute on behalf of the Company any instrument required to effectuate the grant of any Award; (vi) to determine the exercise price per share of options granted under the Plan; (vii) to determine the permissible methods of Award exercise and payment, including cashless exercise arrangements; (viii) to decide whether a Stock Appreciation Right Award shall be settled in cash or Common Stock; (ix) to determine the remaining number of shares of Common Stock available for issuance under the Plan; (x) to appoint and compensate agents, counsel, auditors or other specialists to aid it in the discharge of its duties; (xi) to interpret the Plan and/or any agreement entered into under the Plan; and (xii) to make all other determinations necessary or advisable for the administration of the Plan.

- 4.3 <u>Committee</u>. The Committee shall consist of at least three directors, each of whom shall be a "non-employee director" as that term is defined in Rule 16b-3(b)(3) promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). A majority of the members of the Committee shall constitute a quorum at any meeting thereof (including telephone conference), and all determinations of the Committee shall be made by a majority of the members present, or by a writing by a majority of the members of the entire Committee without notice or meeting.
- 4.4 <u>Compliance with Code Section 409A</u>. All Awards under this Plan shall be structured in a manner to comply with the requirements of Code Section 409A, or to be exempt from the application of Code Section 409A.

ARTICLE V

ELIGIBILITY

5.1 <u>Eligibility</u>. An Award may be granted under the Plan to those key employees (including officers) of the Company or its present or future Subsidiaries who, in the opinion of the Committee, are mainly responsible for the success and future growth of the Company and/or any of its Subsidiaries.

ARTICLE VI

AWARDS

- 6.1 <u>Types of Awards</u>. Awards under the Plan may be granted in any one or a combination of the following:
 - (a) Stock Options. An Option shall entitle the Participant to receive shares of Common Stock upon exercise of such Option, subject to the Participant's satisfaction in full of any conditions, restrictions or limitations imposed in accordance with the Plan or the agreement between the Company and the Participant governing the award of such

Option. The agreement governing the award of an option shall designate whether such option is intended to be an incentive stock option or a non-qualified stock option, and to the extent that any stock option is not designated as an incentive stock option (or even if so designated does not qualify as an incentive stock option), it shall constitute a non-qualified stock option. The maximum number of Options that may be granted to any Participant during any fiscal year of the Company is 10,000, subject to the adjustments provided in Article X, below.

- (i) Exercise Price. The exercise price per share of the Common Stock purchasable under an Option shall be determined by the Committee. If such option is intended to qualify as an incentive stock option, the exercise price per share shall not be less than the fair market value per share of Common Stock on the date the option is granted (or not less than 110% of the such fair market value if the option is granted to an individual who owns or is deemed to own stock possessing more than 10% of the combined voting power of all classes of stock or the Company, a corporation which is the parent of the Company or and subsidiary of the Company (each as defined in Section 424 of the Code) (a "10% Shareholder")). For this and all other purposes under the Plan, the fair market value shall be the mean between the highest and lowest quoted selling prices per share of Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") or NASDAQ Stock Market (∏NASDAQ∏) (as applicable) on the date of grant; provided, that if the Common Stock ceases to be listed on either the NYSE or NASDAQ, the Committee shall designate an alternative method of determining the fair market value of the Common Stock.
- (ii) Option Period. An Option shall be exercisable at such time and subject to such terms and conditions as shall be determined by the Committee. An option that is intended to qualify as an incentive stock option shall not be exercisable more than ten years after the date it is granted (or five years after the date it is granted, if granted to a 10% Shareholder).

Stock Appreciation Rights. A Stock Appreciation Right shall entitle the Participant to surrender to the Company the Stock Appreciation Right and to be paid therefor the amount described in Section 6.1(b)(i)(3) or 6.1(b)(ii) below, subject to the Participant's satisfaction in full of any conditions, restrictions or limitations imposed in accordance with the Plan or the agreement between the Company and the Participant governing the award of such Stock Appreciation Right. Stock Appreciation Rights may be granted in conjunction with all or part of any Stock Option under this Plan ("Tandem SAR's"), or may be granted on a stand-alone basis ("Stand Alone SAR's"). The maximum number of Stock Appreciation Rights that may be granted to any Participant during any fiscal year of the Company is 10,000, subject to the adjustments provided in Article X, below.

(i) Tandem SAR's.

(1) Grant. Tandem SAR's may be granted in connection with non-qualified Stock Options at or after the time that such non-qualified Stock Options are granted, but may only be

granted in connection with incentive Stock Options at the time of grant of such incentive

Stock Options.

(2)Term. A Tandem SAR shall have the same term as the Stock Option to which it relates and shall be exercisable only at such time or times and to the extent the related Stock Option would be exercisable.

> Exercise. Upon the exercise of a Tandem SAR, the Participant shall be entitled to receive an amount in cash equal in value to the excess of the fair market value per share of Common Stock on the date of exercise over the Option Price per share of Common Stock as specified in the agreement governing the Tandem SAR, multiplied by the number of shares in respect to which the Tandem SAR is exercised. The exercise of Tandem SAR's shall require the cancellation of a corresponding number of Stock Options to which the Tandem SAR's relate, and the exercise of Stock Options shall require the cancellation of a corresponding number of Tandem SAR's to which the Stock Options relate.

Expiration or Termination. A Tandem SAR shall expire or terminate at such time as the Stock Option to which it relates expires or terminates, unless otherwise provided in the agreement governing the grant of the

(4)

Tandem SAR.

- (ii) Stand Alone SAR's. A Stand Alone SAR may be granted at such time and for such term as the Committee shall determine, and shall be exercisable at such time as specified in the agreement governing the grant of the Stand Alone SAR. Upon exercise of a Stand Alone SAR, the Participant shall be entitled to receive, in cash, Common Stock, or a combination of both (as determined by the Committee), an amount equal to the fair market value per share of Common Stock over a value specified in the agreement governing the grant of the Stand Alone SAR, multiplied by the number of shares in respect to which the Stand Alone SAR is exercised.
- (c) Restricted Stock Awards. Restricted Stock consists of shares of Common Stock that are transferred or sold to the Participant, but which carry restrictions such as a prohibition against disposition or an option to repurchase in the event of employment termination, and may be subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture. Until such restrictions lapse, the Participant may not sell, assign, pledge or otherwise transfer,

whether voluntarily or involuntarily, the Restricted Stock. A sale of Restricted Stock to a Participant shall be at such price as the Committee determines, which price may be substantially below the fair market value of the Common Stock at the date of grant.

(i) <u>Lapse of Restrictions</u>. The Committee shall establish the conditions under which the restrictions applicable to shares of Restricted Stock shall lapse.

Lapse of the restrictions may be conditioned upon continued employment of the Participant for a specified period of time, satisfaction of performance goals of the Company or a Subsidiary, or any other factors as the Committee deems appropriate.

Rights of Holder of Restricted Stock. Except for the restrictions on transfer and/or the Company's option to repurchase the Restricted Shares, the Participant shall have, with respect to shares of Restricted Stock, all of the rights of a shareholder of Common Stock, including, if applicable, the right to vote the shares and the right to receive any cash or stock dividends. Unless otherwise determined by the Committee and subject to the terms of the Plan, cash or stock dividends on shares of Restricted Stock shall be automatically deferred, and shall be paid to the Participant if and when the restrictions on the shares of Restricted Stock to which such dividends relate lapse. Cash dividends shall be paid with an appropriate rate of interest, as determined by the Committee.

(ii)

(iii)

<u>Certificates</u>. The Company may require that the certificates evidencing shares of Restricted Stock be held by the Company until the restrictions thereon have lapsed. If and when such restrictions lapse, certificates for such shares shall be delivered to the Participant. Such shares may have further restrictions on transfer if they have not been registered under the Exchange Act, but shall no longer be subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture.

- (d) Performance Stock Awards. Performance Stock Awards are artificial shares that are contingently granted to a Participant, which entitle the Participant to actual shares of Common Stock, if predetermined objectives are met. Because the payment of a Performance Stock Award is based on a predetermined number of shares of Common Stock, the value of the award may increase or decrease depending on the fair market value of the Common Stock after the date of grant. The maximum number of shares of Performance Stock that may be granted to any Participant during any fiscal year of the Company is 10,000, subject to the adjustments provided in Article X, below.
 - (i)

<u>Performance Goals</u>. The Committee shall establish one or more performance goals with respect to each grant of a Performance Stock Award. The performance goals may be tailored to meet specific objectives. The performance criteria upon which payment or vesting of a Performance Stock

Award intended to qualify for the exemption under Code Section 162(m) may be based shall be limited to one or more of the following, as determined by the Committee: sales, net asset turnover, earnings per share, cash flow, cash flow from operations, return on investment in excess of cost of capital (i.e., net operating profit after taxes minus a capital charge), operating profit or income, net income, operating income, net income margin, return on net assets, return on total sales, return on common equity, return on total capital, or total shareholder return. In the case of Performance Stock Awards that are not intended to qualify for the exemption under Code Section 162(m), the Committee shall designate performance criteria from among the foregoing or such other business criteria as it shall determine in its sole discretion. In addition, performance goals may relate to attainment of specified objectives by the Participant or by the Company or an affiliate, including a division or a department of the Company or an affiliate, or upon any other factors or criteria as the Committee shall determine.

(ii)

<u>Certification of Satisfaction of Performance Goals</u>. Following the completion of a period for which performance goals have been established, the Committee shall certify the extent to which such goals have been achieved.

Performance Stock Unit Awards. A Performance Stock Unit shall entitle the Participant to receive a cash payment equal to the fair market value of a share of Common Stock of the Company as of the Vesting Date, if predetermined objectives are met. The [Vesting Date] shall be the last day of the performance period for which a performance goal is established. The maximum number of Performance Stock Units that may be granted to any Participant during any fiscal year of the Company is 50,000, subject to the adjustments provided in Article X, below.

(i)

Performance Goals. The Committee shall establish one or more performance goals with respect to each grant of a Performance Stock Unit. The performance goals may be tailored to meet specific objectives. The performance criteria upon which payment or vesting of a Performance Stock Unit intended to qualify for the exemption under Code Section 162(m) may be based shall be limited to one or more of the following, as determined by the Committee: sales, net asset turnover, earnings per share, cash flow, cash flow from operations, return on investment in excess of cost of capital (i.e., net operating profit after taxes minus a capital charge), operating profit or income, net income, operating income, net income margin, return on net assets, return on total sales, return on common equity, return on total capital, or total shareholder return. In the case of Performance Stock Units that are not intended to qualify for the exemption under Code Section 162(m), the Committee shall designate performance criteria from among the foregoing or such other business criteria as it shall determine in its sole discretion. In addition, performance goals may relate to attainment of specified objectives

by the Participant or by the Company or an affiliate, including a division or a department of the Company or an affiliate, or upon any other factors or criteria as the Committee shall determine.

(ii)

Certification of Satisfaction of Performance Goals. Following the completion of a period for which performance goals have been established, the Committee shall certify the extent to which such goals have been achieved.

(f) Performance Unit Awards. Performance Unit Awards entitle the participant to cash payments (or, at the election of the Committee, their equivalent in shares of Common Stock), if predetermined objectives are met. Because the payment of a Performance Unit Award is based on a predetermined cash amount, the value of each unit remains constant and does not fluctuate with changes in the market value of the Common Stock. The maximum amount that may be paid to any Participant in any fiscal year of the Company pursuant to an award of Performance Units shall be \$500,000.00

(i)

Performance Goals. The Committee shall establish one or more performance goals with respect to each grant of a Performance Unit Award. The performance goals may be tailored to meet specific objectives. The performance criteria upon which payment or vesting of a Performance Unit Award intended to qualify for the exemption under Code Section 162(m) may be based shall be limited to one or more of the following, as determined by the Committee: sales, net asset turnover, earnings per share, cash flow, cash flow from operations, return on investment in excess of cost of capital (i.e., net operating profit after taxes minus a capital charge), operating profit or income, net income, operating income, net income margin, return on net assets, return on total sales, return on common equity, return on total capital, or total shareholder return. In the case of Performance Unit Awards that are not intended to qualify for the exemption under Code Section 162(m), the Committee shall designate performance criteria from among the foregoing or such other business criteria as it shall determine in its sole discretion. In addition, performance goals may relate to attainment of specified objectives by the participant or by the Company or an affiliate, including a division or a department of the Company or an affiliate, or upon any other factors or criteria as the Committee shall determine.

(ii)

Certification of Satisfaction of Performance Goals. Following the completion of a period for which performance goals have been established, the Committee shall certify the extent to which such goals have been achieved.

(g) <u>Annual Long-Term Incentive Awards</u>. An Annual Long-Term Incentive Award entitles a Participant to receive a specified payout in common stock, deferred stock,

restricted stock or a combination thereof (subject to approval of the Committee), if and when certain conditions are satisfied. To elect the payout of a portion of the award in common stock, the Participant must inform the Committee in writing prior to the start of the fiscal year to which it relates. The maximum annual formula bonus may be fixed at up to 100% of the Participant's base salary with the Committee designating the percentage level of participation and maximum bonus for each officer of the Company while management designates the percentage level of participation and maximum bonus for other Participants.

6.2 <u>Written Agreements</u>. Each Award granted under the Plan shall be evidenced by a written agreement, the form of which shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of the Plan and applicable law, which shall be signed by an officer of the Company and the Participant. Until such agreement has been entered into between the Company and the

Participant, the Participant shall have no rights in any Award approved by the Committee.

- $6.3 \, \underline{\text{Application of Code Section 162(m)}}$. Code Section 162(m) prohibits a publicly-held corporation from taking a deduction for remuneration paid to certain employees in excess of \$1,000,000. Code Section 162(m)(4)(C) provides that remuneration payable solely on account of the attainment of one or more performance goals is not counted toward this limitation, but only if certain conditions are satisfied. To the extent that any Award is intended to satisfy the exception contained in Code Section 162(m)(4)(C), the following shall apply to such Award:
 - (a) Determination of Performance Goals. The performance goals pursuant to which an Award is made must be determined by a committee of the Board comprised solely of two or more "outside directors," as that term is defined under Code Section 162 and the regulations thereunder (the "Outside Directors Committee"). The Committee may serve as the Outside Directors Committee if it meets these requirements. The performance goals established by the Outside Directors Committee must be objective, and remuneration intended to be excepted under Code Section 162(m)(4)(C) must be contingent upon the attainment of the performance goals.
 - (b) Approval of Performance Goals. The material terms under which the remuneration is to be paid, including the performance goals, are disclosed to shareholders and approved by a majority of the vote in a separate shareholder vote before the payment of such remuneration.
 - (c) <u>Certification of Satisfaction of Performance Goals</u>. The Outside Directors Committee must certify that the performance goals and any other material terms and conditions were in fact satisfied.
 - (d) Satisfaction of Code Section 162(m). In all other respects, the requirements of Code Section 162(m)(4)(C) and the regulations thereunder must be satisfied.

ARTICLE VII

PAYMENT FOR AWARDS

7.1 <u>General</u>. Payments required, if any, upon a Participant's exercise of an Award under the Plan may be made in the form of: (i) cash; (ii) Company stock; (iii) a combination of cash and Company stock; or (iv) such other forms or means that the Committee shall determine in its discretion and in such manner as is consistent with the Plan's purpose and the Code, the Exchange Act, or other applicable laws or regulations.

ARTICLE VIII

EFFECT OF TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT ON BENEFITS

8.1 <u>Termination by Reason of Death</u>. Unless otherwise provided in an agreement governing the grant of an Award or as determined by the Committee, if a Participant incurs termination of employment due to death:

- (a) Any unexpired and unexercised Options and/or Stock Appreciation Rights held by such Participant shall thereafter be fully exercisable (whether or not such Options or Stock Appreciation Rights were fully vested at the time of the Participant's death) by the deceased Participant sestate or by a person who acquired the right to exercise the Option or Stock Appreciation Right by bequest or inheritance for a period of one year immediately following the date of death, or until the expiration of the Option or Stock Appreciation Right if shorter.
 - (b) Any restrictions on shares of Restricted Stock shall lapse and the Participant shall be fully vested in the Restricted Stock.
 - (c) The Participant shall receive a prorated payout of any Performance Stock Awards, Performance Stock Unit Awards, Performance Unit Awards and Annual Long-Term Incentive Awards. The prorated payout shall be determined by the Committee, in its sole discretion, and shall be based upon the length of time that the Participant held such Awards during the period for which performance is measured and the achievement of the established performance goals.
- 8.2 <u>Termination by Reason of Disability</u>. Unless otherwise provided in an agreement governing the grant of an Award or as determined by the Committee, if a Participant incurs termination of employment due to disability:
- (a) Any unexpired and unexercised Options and/or Stock Appreciation Rights held by such Participant shall thereafter be fully exercisable (whether or not such Options or Stock Appreciation Rights were fully vested at the time the Participant became
 - disabled) for a period of three years (except for incentive stock options, in which case the period shall be one year) immediately following the date of such termination of employment, or until the expiration of the Option or Stock Appreciation Right if shorter. The Participant's death at any time following such termination due to disability shall not affect the foregoing. In the event of termination due to disability, if an incentive stock option is exercised more than one year after such termination of employment (or such other time period as may apply under Section 422 of the Code), such Option shall thereafter be treated as a non-qualified stock option.
 - (b) Any restrictions on shares of Restricted Stock shall lapse and the Participant shall be fully vested in the Restricted Stock.
 - (c) The Participant shall receive a prorated payout of any Performance Stock Awards, Performance Stock Unit Awards, Performance Unit Awards and Annual Long-Term Incentive Awards. The prorated payout shall be determined by the Committee, in its sole discretion, and shall be base upon the length of time that the Participant held such Awards during the period for which performance is measured and the achievement of the established performance goals.

Unless otherwise defined in the agreement governing the grant of an Award, "disability" shall mean a mental or physical illness or injury that entitles the Participant to receive benefits under the long term disability plan of the Company or a Subsidiary, or if the Participant is not covered by such a plan, a mental or physical illness that renders a Participant totally and permanently incapable of performing the Participant's duties for the

Company or a Subsidiary. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a "disability" shall not qualify under the Plan if it is the result of: (i) a willfully self-inflicted injury or willfully self-induced sickness; or (ii) an injury or disease contracted, suffered or incurred, while participating in a criminal offense. The determination of disability shall be made by the Committee. The determination of disability for purposes of the Plan shall not be construed as an admission of disability for any other purpose.

- 8.3 <u>Voluntary Termination Before Retirement or Termination for Cause</u>. Unless otherwise provided in an agreement governing the grant of an Award or as determined by the Committee, if a Participant voluntarily terminates his or her employment before retirement or is terminated for cause:
 - (a) Any unexpired and unexercised Options and/or Stock Appreciation Rights held by such Participant shall immediately terminate. The death or disability of the Participant after such a termination of employment shall not renew the exercisability of any Option or Stock Appreciation Right.
 - (b) All shares of Restricted Stock still subject to restriction shall be forfeited by the Participant, except the Committee shall have the discretion in whole or in part to waive any or all remaining restrictions with respect to any or all of such Participant's

shares of Restricted Stock.

(c) All Performance Stock Awards, Performance Stock Unit Awards, Performance Unit Awards and Annual Long-Term Incentive Awards shall be forfeited by the Participant to the Company.

Unless otherwise defined in the agreement governing the grant of an Award, "termination for cause" shall mean termination because of (i) any act or failure to act deemed to constitute cause under the Company's established practices policies or guidelines applicable to the Participant or (b) the Participant's act or omission constituting gross misconduct with respect to the Company or a Subsidiary in any material respect.

- 8.4 Other Termination. Unless otherwise provided in an agreement governing the grant of an Award or as determined by the Committee, if a Participant's employment terminates for any reason (including retirement) other than the reasons listed in Section 8.1 through 8.3 above:
 - (a) Any unexpired and unexercised Options and/or Stock Appreciation Rights held by such Participant shall thereupon terminate, except that any such Option or Stock Appreciation Right, to the extent vested on the date of the Participant's termination, may be exercised by the Participant for a period of three years (except for incentive stock options, in which case the period shall be (3) three months) immediately following the date of such termination of employment, or until the expiration of the Option or Stock Appreciation Right if shorter. The death or disability of the Participant after such a termination of employment shall not extend the time permitted to exercise an Option or Stock Appreciation Right.

(b)

All shares of Restricted Stock still subject to restriction shall be forfeited by the Participant, except the Committee shall have the discretion in whole or in part to waive any or all remaining restrictions with respect to any or all of such Participant's shares of Restricted Stock.

(c) The Participant shall receive a prorated payout of any Performance Stock Awards, Performance Stock Unit Awards, Performance Unit Awards and Annual Long-Term Incentive Awards. The prorated payout shall be determined by the Committee, in its sole discretion, and shall be based upon the length of time that the Participant held such Awards during the period for which performance is measured and the achievement of the established performance goals.

Unless otherwise defined in the agreement governing the grant of an Award, "retirement" shall mean the Participant's termination of employment after attaining either normal retirement age or the early retirement age as defined in the principal (as determined by the Committee) tax-qualified plan of the Company or Subsidiary, and if the Participant is not covered by such a plan, then age 65, or age 55 with the accrual of 10 years of service.

ARTICLE IX

NONTRANSFERABILITY

9.1 <u>General</u>. Unless otherwise provided in an agreement governing the grant of an Award, a Participant's rights shall be exercisable during the Participant's lifetime only by the Participant, and no Award may be sold, transferred, pledged, assigned or otherwise alienated or hypothecated; provided, that Options and Stock Appreciation Rights are transferable by will or pursuant to the laws of descent and distribution.

ARTICLE X

ADJUSTMENT PROVISIONS

- 10.1 <u>Changes in Capitalization</u>. If the Company shall at any time change the number of issued shares of Common Stock without new consideration to the Company (by stock dividends, stock splits, split-up, spin-off, or similar transactions):
 - (a) the total number of shares reserved for issuance under this Plan, the number of shares covered by or subject to each outstanding Award, and the number of outstanding Performance Stock Units, shall be adjusted so that the aggregate consideration payable to the Company, if any, and the value of each such Award shall not be changed; and
 - (b) the maximum number of Options, Stock Appreciation Rights, Performance Stock Units and shares of Performance Stock that may be granted to any Participant in any fiscal year of the Company shall be proportionately adjusted to reflect the increase or decrease in the issued shared of Common Stock.
- 10.2 <u>Reorganization</u>, <u>Sale</u>, <u>etc</u>. Awards granted hereunder may also contain provisions for their continuation, acceleration, immediate vesting, or for other equitable adjustments after changes in the Common Stock resulting from reorganization, sale, merger, consolidation,

dissolution, liquidation or similar circumstances.

10.3 <u>Substitutions and Assumptions</u>. If the Company acquires an entity which has issued and outstanding stock options or other rights, the Company may substitute stock options or rights for options or rights of such entity, including options or other rights to acquire stock at less than 100% of the fair market price of the stock at grant. The number and kind of such stock options and other rights shall be determined by the Committee and the total number of shares reserved for issuance under this Plan shall be appropriately adjusted consistent with such determination and in such manner as the Committee may deem equitable to prevent substantial dilution or enlargement of the Awards granted to, or available for, present or future Participants of this Plan. The number of shares reserved for issuance pursuant to Article III may be increased by the corresponding number of options or other benefits assumed, and, in the case of a substitution, by the net increase in the number

of shares subject to options or other benefits before and after the substitution.

ARTICLE XI

AMENDMENT AND TERMINATION OF PLAN

- 11.1 <u>General</u>. The Board, without further approval of the Company's shareholders, may amend the Plan from time to time or terminate the Plan at any time, provided that:
 - (a) no action authorized by this Article shall reduce the amount of any existing Award or change the terms and conditions thereof without the Participant's consent; and
 - (b) no amendment of the Plan shall, without the approval of the Company's shareholders, (i) increase the total number of shares of Common Stock that may be issued under the Plan or increase the amount or type of Awards that may be granted under the Plan; (ii) change the minimum purchase price, if any, of shares of Common Stock that may be made subject to Awards under the Plan; (iii) modify the requirements as to eligibility for an Award under the Plan; (iv) extend the term of the Plan; or (v) constitute a material revision of the Plan under the listing standards of the NYSE or NASDAQ, as applicable (or such other listing standards then applicable to the Company).

ARTICLE XII

MISCELLANEOUS

- 12.1 <u>Unfunded Status of Plan</u>. It is intended that the Plan constitute an "unfunded" plan for incentive and deferred compensation. The Committee may authorize the creation of trusts or other arrangements to meet the obligations created under the Plan to deliver Common Stock or make payments; provides, however, that unless the Committee otherwise determines, the existence of such trusts or other arrangements is consistent with the "unfunded" status of the Plan.
- 12.2 <u>Withholding Taxes</u>. No later than the date as of which an amount first becomes includible in the gross income of the Participant for federal income tax purposes with respect to any Award or with respect to any exercise of any Option or Stock Appreciation

Right granted under the Plan, the Participant shall pay to the Company, or make arrangements satisfactory to the Company or other entity identified by the Committee regarding the payment of any federal, state, local or foreign taxes of any kind required by law to be withheld. Such withholding obligations may be settled with Common Stock, including Common Stock that is part of the Award or that is received upon the exercise of the Award that gives rise to the withholding requirement. The obligations of the Company under the Plan shall be conditional upon such payment or arrangements, and the Company shall, to the extent permitted by law, have the right to deduct any such taxes from any payment otherwise due to the Participant. If the Participant disposes of shares of Common Stock acquired

pursuant to an incentive stock option in any transaction considered to be a disqualifying transaction under the Code, the Participant must give written notice of such transfer and the Company shall have the right to deduct any taxes required by law to be withheld from any amounts otherwise payable to the Participant.

- 12.3 <u>No Guaranty of Employment</u>. Nothing herein shall be construed to constitute a contract of employment between the Company or Subsidiary and the Participant. Except as may be provided in a written contract, the Company or Subsidiary and each of the Participants continue to have the right to terminate the employment relationship at any time for any reason.
- 12.4 <u>Controlling Law</u>. The Plan and all Awards made and actions taken hereunder shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Wisconsin (other than its law respecting choice of law). The Plan shall be construed to comply with all applicable law and to avoid liability to the Company or a Subsidiary, including, without limitation, liability under Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act.
- 12.5 <u>Headings</u>. The headings contained in the Plan are for reference purposes only, and shall not affect the meaning or interpretation of the Plan.
- 12.6 <u>Severability</u>. If any provision of the Plan shall for any reason be held to be invalid or unenforceable, such invalidity or unenforceability shall not affect any other provision hereby, and this Plan shall be construed as if such invalid or unenforceable provision were omitted.
- 12.7 <u>Successors and Assigns</u>. This Plan shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon each successor and assign of the Company. All obligations imposed upon a Participant, and all rights granted to the Company hereunder, shall be binding upon the Participant's heirs, legal representatives and successors.
- 12.8 <u>Entire Agreement</u>. This Plan and any agreements governing the grant of Awards hereunder to any Participant constitute the entire agreement with respect to the subject matter hereof with respect to such Participant, provided that in the event of any inconsistency between the Plan and any such agreement(s), the terms and conditions of the Plan shall control.

n requirements are inherently imprecise because of the continuing evolution of environmental laws and regulatory requirements, the availability and application of technology, the identification of currently unknown remediation sites and the allocation of costs among the PRP s. Based upon information currently available, such future costs are not

expected to have a material effect on Carpenter s financial position, results of operations or cash flows over the long term. However, such costs could be material to Carpenter s financial position, results of operations or cash flows in a particular future quarter or year.

CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Boarhead Farms

In June 2002, the Company was named as a defendant in a lawsuit filed by a group of plaintiffs in the District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania titled Boarhead Farm Agreement Group v. Advanced Environmental Technology Corporation et al. (since amended to include the individual members). The suit alleges that the Company and the other named defendants contributed to damages caused at Boarhead Farms, a Superfund site located in Bridgeton, Pennsylvania. The Boarhead Farms site was the home of a now defunct chemical and waste hauling company that the Company engaged to dispose of certain wastes during the 1970 s. The plaintiff group was individually named as PRP s for the Boarhead site in the EPA s Record of Decision in November 1998. Their suit, in June of 2002, against various defendants, including Carpenter, sought contributions for a portion of costs incurred for various site cleanup activities as well as contributions to future cleanup efforts. The suit went to trial in June 2008. Prior to trial, all of the named co-defendants, except for Carpenter, reached an out of court settlement with the plaintiffs. Carpenter denied the claims made by the plaintiff group. On August 18, 2008, the Court awarded the plaintiffs judgment against the Company for 80 percent of the plaintiffs past costs of remediating the site, including prejudgment interest from June 18, 2002 to January 1, 2008, and held the Company liable for 80 percent of future costs of the cleanup activities at the site. The Company appealed the Court s decision and oral arguments took place before the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit on December 17, 2009. On April 12, 2010, the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit vacated the previous judgment by the District Court and remanded the case for further proceedings. The Company intends to continue to defend against the claims in this case, but is unable to predict the outcome of the proceedings at this time. As of March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009, the Company has recorded a li

Duty Drawback

Historically, the Company has participated in a program offered by U.S. Customs and Border Protection (U.S. Customs) known as duty drawback. Under the program, the Company claimed a refund of import duties on items manufactured and exported to customers in foreign countries. Certain vendors of the Company prepared certificates authorizing the Company to claim duty drawback refunds against imported goods purportedly shipped by the vendor to the Company. Because of the complexity of the program, the Company engaged a licensed U.S. customs broker specializing in duty drawback claims. The customs broker was responsible for performing the administration of the process which included maintaining and collecting various forms of supporting evidence for each claim including collecting appropriate certificates from vendors, as well as preparing and submitting the refund claims.

In fiscal year 2008, the Company received notice from U.S. Customs that the Company was under investigation related to claims previously filed by the customs broker on the Company s behalf. The investigation alleged certain discrepancies and a lack of supporting documentation for the claims that had been filed by the broker. The Company initiated an internal review of the claims filed with U.S. Customs to determine the extent of claims that may have inadequate supporting documentation and engaged a new licensed U.S. customs broker. The Company has cooperated fully with U.S. Customs investigation of this matter. As of the date of this filing, the Company s internal review remains ongoing due to the extensive amount of documentation which must be compiled and reviewed.

14

CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

During the period the Company s customs broker was filing claims on the Company s behalf, July 2003 through December 2006, the Company applied for and received refund claims totaling \$6.9 million. While the ultimate outcome of the U.S. Customs investigation and the Company s internal review is not yet known, based on current facts the Company believes that the reserve recorded of \$2.2 million as of March 31, 2010 is a reasonable estimate of the probable loss that will result from the investigation. The Company does not expect that any additional material liabilities will be incurred related to this matter.

Export Regulations Violations

In fiscal year 2008, the Company became aware of potential violations of federal export regulations at a business unit that has since been divested. Upon investigation, the Company discovered that approximately 40 foreign nationals employed over time at the business unit s facility may have been exposed to protected technical data related to the production of various products for military applications. An export license from the Department of State and the Department of Commerce is required prior to the exporting of technical data for military applications. The Company has applied for and received similar applications for other business units, but did not have such a license for the divested business unit. Violations of Federal export regulations can be subject to civil penalties depending upon the severity of the violation. The Company filed voluntary disclosures with the Department of State and the Department of Commerce before the divestiture of the business unit on March 31, 2008. The Department of State responded to the voluntary disclosure without assessing civil penalties. The Department of Commerce has not yet responded to the voluntary disclosure. It is not possible to determine the amount, if any, of civil penalties that may be assessed by the Department of Commerce. As a result, the Company has not recorded any liability for potential penalties as of March 31, 2010.

Other

The Company is defending various routine claims and legal actions that are incidental to its business, and the Company is subject to contingencies that are common to its operations, including those pertaining to product claims, commercial disputes, employment actions, employee benefits, compliance with domestic and foreign laws, personal injury claims and tax issues. The Company provides for costs relating to these matters when a loss is probable and the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable. The effect of the outcome of these matters on the Company s future results of operations and liquidity cannot be predicted because any such effect depends on future results of operations and the amount and timing (both as to recording future charges to operations and cash expenditures) of the resolution of such matters. While it is not feasible to determine the outcome of these matters, management believes that the total liability from these matters will not have a material effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows over the long-term. However, there can be no assurance that an increase in the scope of pending matters, or that any future lawsuits, claims, proceedings or investigations, will not be material to the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows in a particular future quarter or year.

15

CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

10. Fair Value Measurements

The fair value hierarchy has three levels based on the inputs used to determine fair value. Level 1 refers to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 refers to observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data. Level 3, which the Company does not currently use, refers to unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

The following tables present the Company s assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and are categorized using the fair value hierarchy.

	Fair Value Measurements					
March 31, 2010	Using Input Type					
(in millions)	Level 1 Level 2			Total		
Assets:						
Marketable securities						
Government agency bonds	\$	82.3	\$		\$	82.3
Certificates of deposit		30.0				30.0
Corporate bonds		12.0				12.0
Commercial Paper		2.0				2.0
Municipal auction rate securities				4.8		4.8
Derivative financial instruments				41.9		41.9
Total assets	\$	126.3	\$	46.7	\$	173.0
Liabilities:						
Derivative financial instruments	\$		\$	(9.5)	\$	(9.5)

June 30, 2009	Fair Value Measurements Using Input Type				
(in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Total		
Assets:					
Certificate of deposit	\$ 15.0	\$	\$ 15.0		
Municipal auction rate securities		6.3	6.3		
Derivative financial instruments		2.6	2.6		
Total assets	\$ 15.0	\$ 8.9	\$ 23.9		
Liabilities:					
Derivative financial instruments	\$	\$ (32.5)	\$ (32.5)		

The Company s derivative financial instruments consist of commodity forward contracts, foreign exchange forward contracts and interest rate swaps. These instruments are measured at fair value using the market method valuation technique. The inputs to this technique utilize

information related to foreign exchange rates, commodity prices and interest rates published by third-party leading financial news and data providers. This is observable data; however, the valuation of these instruments is not based on actual transactions for the same instruments so they are classified as Level 2. The Company s use of derivatives and hedging policies are more fully discussed in Note 12.

16

CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

The Company has currently chosen not to elect the fair value option for any items that are not already required to be measured at fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The carrying amounts of other financial instruments not listed in the table below approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these items.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of Carpenter s financial instruments not recorded at fair value in the financial statements were as follows:

	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2009		
	Carrying Fair	Carrying	Fair	
(in millions)	Value Value	Value	Value	
Company-owned life insurance	\$ 10.8 \$ 10.8	\$ 8.1	\$ 8.1	
Long-term debt	\$ 278.9 \$ 284.4	\$ 278.6	\$ 272.5	

The carrying amount for company-owned life insurance reflects cash surrender values based upon the market values of underlying securities, net of any outstanding policy loans. The carrying value associated with the cash surrender value of these policies is recorded in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The fair values of long-term debt as of March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009 were determined by using current interest rates for debt with terms and maturities similar to the Company s existing debt arrangements.

11. Other Income, Net

Other income (expense), net consisted of the following:

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended				
	March 31,			March 31,			1,	
(in millions)	20	010	2	009	2	010	2	2009
Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act receipt	\$		\$	0.1	\$	5.7	\$	6.1
Interest income		0.4		0.6		1.2		4.2
Foreign exchange gain		0.5		2.5		0.7		6.4
Other income (expense)		0.7		(0.5)		2.2		(3.7)
Total other income, net	\$	1.6	\$	2.7	\$	9.8	\$	13.0

12. Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company uses commodity swaps and forwards, interest rate swaps and foreign currency forwards to manage risks generally associated with commodity price, interest rate and foreign currency rate fluctuations. The following explains the various types of derivatives and includes a recap about the impact the derivative instruments had on the Company s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

17

CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Cash Flow Hedging Commodity swaps and forward contracts: The Company enters into commodity swaps and forward contracts to fix the price of a portion of anticipated future purchases of certain critical raw materials and energy to manage the risk of cash flow variability associated with volatile commodity prices. The commodity swaps and forward contracts have been designated as cash flow hedges. The qualifying hedge contracts are marked-to-market at each reporting date and any unrealized gains or losses are included in other comprehensive income to the extent effective and reclassified to costs of sales in the period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings.

Cash Flow Hedging Foreign currency forward contracts: The Company uses foreign currency forward contracts to hedge a portion of anticipated future sales denominated in foreign currencies, principally the Euro and Pound Sterling, in order to offset the effect of changes in exchange rates. The qualifying hedge contracts are marked-to-market at each reporting date and any unrealized gains or losses are included in other comprehensive income to the extent effective, and reclassified to net sales in the period during which the transaction affects earnings.

The Company also uses foreign currency forward contracts to protect certain short-term asset positions denominated in foreign currency against the effect of changes in exchange rates. These positions do not qualify for hedge accounting and accordingly, are marked-to-market at each reporting date through charges to other income and expense. As of March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009, the fair value of the outstanding foreign currency forwards not designated as hedging instruments, and the charges to income for changes in fair value for these contracts, were not material.

Fair Value Hedging Interest rate swaps: The Company uses interest rate swaps to achieve a level of floating rate debt relative to fixed rate debt where appropriate. The Company has designated fixed to floating interest rate swaps as fair value hedges. Accordingly, the changes in the fair value of these instruments are immediately recorded in earnings. The mark-to-market values of both the fair value hedging instruments and the underlying debt obligations are recorded as equal and offsetting gains and losses in interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. As of March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009, the total notional amount of floating interest rate contracts was \$65.0 million and \$45.0 million, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, net gains of \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million were recorded as a reduction to interest expense, respectively. For the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, net gains of \$1.8 million and \$1.0 million were recorded as a reduction to interest expense, respectively. These amounts include the impact of previously terminated swaps which are being amortized over the remaining term of the underlying debt.

18

CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

The fair value and location of outstanding derivative contracts recorded in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets were as follows as of March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009:

March 31, 2010	Interest	Foreign		
	Rate	Currency	Commodity	Total
(in millions)	Swaps	Contracts	Contracts	Derivatives
Asset Derivatives:				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Other current assets	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.4	\$ 19.6	\$ 21.9
Other assets	2.5		17.5	20.0
Total asset derivatives	\$ 3.4	\$ 1.4	\$ 37.1	\$ 41.9
Liability Derivatives:				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Other current liabilities	\$	\$	\$ (2.4)	\$ (2.4)
Other liabilities			(7.1)	(7.1)
			· ´	, ,
Total liability derivatives	\$	\$	\$ (9.5)	\$ (9.5)
June 30, 2009	Interest Rate	Foreign Currency	Commodity	Total
(in millions)			Commodity Contracts	Total Derivatives
(in millions) Asset Derivatives:	Rate	Currency		
(in millions) Asset Derivatives: Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:	Rate Swaps	Currency Contracts	Contracts	Derivatives
(in millions) Asset Derivatives: Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: Other current assets	Rate Swaps	Currency		Derivatives \$ 0.2
(in millions) Asset Derivatives: Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:	Rate Swaps	Currency Contracts	Contracts	Derivatives
(in millions) Asset Derivatives: Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: Other current assets	Rate Swaps	Currency Contracts	Contracts	Derivatives \$ 0.2
(in millions) Asset Derivatives: Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: Other current assets Other assets Total asset derivatives	Rate Swaps	Currency Contracts	Contracts \$	\$ 0.2 2.4
(in millions) Asset Derivatives: Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: Other current assets Other assets Total asset derivatives Liability Derivatives:	Rate Swaps	Currency Contracts	Contracts \$	\$ 0.2 2.4
(in millions) Asset Derivatives: Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: Other current assets Other assets Total asset derivatives Liability Derivatives: Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:	\$ 2.4 \$ 2.4	Currency Contracts \$ 0.2	\$	\$ 0.2 2.4 \$ 2.6
(in millions) Asset Derivatives: Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: Other current assets Other assets Total asset derivatives Liability Derivatives: Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: Other current liabilities	Rate Swaps	Currency Contracts	\$ \$ (22.1)	\$ 0.2 2.4 \$ 2.6 \$ (22.1)
(in millions) Asset Derivatives: Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: Other current assets Other assets Total asset derivatives Liability Derivatives: Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:	\$ 2.4 \$ 2.4	Currency Contracts \$ 0.2	\$	\$ 0.2 2.4 \$ 2.6

CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Cash Flow Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income (OCI) and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transactions affect earnings. The following is a summary of the gains (losses) related to cash flow hedges recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009:

	Amount o	of Gain
	(Los	s)
	Recognized i Derivat	
	(Effec Portio	
	Three Mont	hs Ended
(in millions)	March	31,
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship:	2010	2009
Commodity contracts	\$ 28.9	\$ 0.7
Foreign exchange contracts	0.5	(0.1)
Total	\$ 29.4	\$ 0.6

		Amoun	t of Gain		
	Location of Gain	(I	Loss)		
	(Loss)	Reclassified from			
	Reclassified from	Accumulated OCI int Income (Effective			
	Accumulated				
	OCI into	Portion)			
	Income	Three Mo	onths Ended		
(in millions)	(Effective	Mar	ch 31,		
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship:	Portion)	2010	2009		
Commodity contracts	Cost of sales	\$ 1.2	\$ (20.8)		
Foreign exchange contracts	Net sales	0.5	0.9		
Total		\$ 1.7	\$ (19.9)		

The following is a summary of the gains (losses) related to cash flow hedges recognized during the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009:

Amount of Gain (Loss)

	Recognized in OCI or Derivatives		
	`	fective rtion)	
(in millions)	- 1	nths Ended ch 31,	
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship:	2010	2009	
Commodity contracts	\$ 54.3	\$ (59.1)	
Foreign exchange contracts	0.5	3.7	
Total	\$ 54.8	\$ (55.4)	

CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(in millions)	Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective	Reclassii Accumul into I (Effective Nine Mon	(Loss) Gain fied from ated OCI ncome e Portion) ths Ended ch 31,
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship:	Portion)	2010	2009
Commodity contracts	Cost of sales	\$ (6.4)	\$ (54.9)
Foreign exchange contracts	Net sales	0.5	(1.6)
Total		\$ (5.9)	\$ (56.5)

The Company estimates that \$15.5 million of net derivative gains included in OCI as of March 31, 2010 will be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months. During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, the Company discontinued cash flow hedges for certain future commodity purchases. The Company determined that these future commodity purchases were no longer probable and as a result recognized a previously unrecognized gain on these discontinued hedges of \$1.5 million in cost of sales during the quarter ended March 31, 2010. There was no ineffectiveness during the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009.

The changes in other accumulated comprehensive income associated with derivative hedging activities during the nine months ended March 31, 2010 were as follows:

(in millions)	
Balance at July 1, 2009	\$ (17.3)
Current period changes in fair value, net of tax	27.5
Reclassifications to earnings, net of tax	6.7
Ralance at March 31, 2010	\$ 16.9

According to the provisions of the Company s derivative arrangements, in the event that the fair value of outstanding derivative positions with certain counterparties exceeds certain thresholds, the Company may be required to issue cash collateral to the counterparties. The Company s contracts with these counterparties allow for netting of derivative instrument positions executed under each contract. As of March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009 the Company had no cash collateral held by counterparties.

The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by counterparties on its derivative instruments as well as credit or performance risk with respect to its customer commitments to perform. Although nonperformance is possible, the Company does not anticipate nonperformance by any of the parties. In addition, various master netting arrangements are in place with counterparties to facilitate settlement of gains and losses on these contracts.

CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

13. Income Taxes

Generally, income taxes for interim periods are calculated by applying an estimated annual effective tax rate to interim period ordinary income (pre-tax income excluding unusual or infrequently occurring discrete items). The annual effective tax rate applied is derived, in part, based on expected annual pre-tax income. Estimating a reliable annual effective tax rate for the Company has become increasingly difficult given current and expected operating levels during the current fiscal year. Even small changes in forecasted results can result in significant changes in the Company s annual effective tax rate. Since forecasting an annual effective tax rate under these circumstances would not provide a reliable estimate, the Company believes that the actual year to date effective tax rate is the best estimate of the annual effective tax rate. As a result, the income tax expense for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 has been computed based on the first nine months of fiscal year 2010 as a discrete period. The tax provision for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2010 includes a \$5.9 million one time non-cash charge to reduce the value of the Company s deferred tax asset previously established for anticipated retiree health care liabilities.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2010, the Company s unrecognized tax benefits decreased \$8.5 million, which included a \$0.7 million increase related to uncertain tax positions taken in prior years and a \$0.3 million increase related to interest expense. In addition, the Company s liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits were reduced by \$9.1 million, including interest and penalties, in connection with the completion of certain income tax examinations of which a benefit of \$3.2 million was included in the Company s income tax provision during the quarter ended December 31, 2009. The Company s liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits were reduced by \$0.4 during the quarter ended March 31, 2010 in connection with a settlement with a jurisdiction. The Company s long-term liability for unrecognized tax benefits was \$6.5 million as of March 31, 2010.

Interest and penalties are recognized as a component of income tax expense. During the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 the Company recognized in income tax expense, \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million of interest and penalties, respectively. As of March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009, the amount of interest and penalties accrued was \$0.8 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.

14. Business Segments

The Company has two reportable business segments: Advanced Metals Operations and Premium Alloys Operations.

The Advanced Metals Operations (AMO) segment includes the manufacturing and distribution of high temperature and high strength metal alloys, stainless steels, and titanium in the form of small bars and rods, wire, narrow strip and powder. Products in this segment typically go through more finishing operations, such as rolling, turning, grinding, drawing, and atomization, than products in our Premium Alloys Operations segment. Sales in the AMO segment are spread across a diverse list of end-use markets, including the aerospace, industrial, consumer, automotive, and medical industries. AMO products are sold under the Carpenter, Dynamet, Talley, Carpenter Powder Products and Aceros Fortuna brand names.

CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

The Premium Alloys Operations (PAO) segment includes the manufacturing and distribution of high temperature and high strength metal alloys and stainless steels in the form of ingots, billets, large bars and hollows. Also, the PAO segment includes conversion processing of metal for other specialty metals companies. A significant portion of PAO sales are to customers in the aerospace and energy industries. Much of PAO sales are to forging companies that further shape, mill, and finish the metals into more specific dimensions. All such sales are made under the Carpenter brand name.

The Company s consolidated total assets are managed as corporate-level assets and, therefore, are not allocated to the business segments. Only a portion of the expenses related to these assets, principally depreciation and amortization, is allocated to the individual business segments for inclusion in their respective measures of operating income.

The service cost component of the Company s net pension expense, which represents the estimated cost of future pension liabilities earned associated with active employees, is included in the operating income of the business segments. The residual net pension expense, which is comprised of the expected return on plan assets, interest costs on the projected benefit obligations of the plans, and amortization of actuarial gains and losses and prior service costs, is included under the heading Pension earnings, interest & deferrals .

As a result of a recent customer consolidation, a single customer accounted for 11 percent and 10 percent of the Company s net sales for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, on a consolidated basis, two customers accounted for 20 percent and 15 percent of the Company s net sales, respectively.

		Three Months Ended March 31,		nths Ended ch 31,
(in millions)	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net sales:				
Advanced Metals Operations	\$ 234.4	\$ 227.6	\$ 592.7	\$ 775.8
Premium Alloys Operations	102.9	104.3	243.6	337.4
Intersegment	(0.4)	(1.9)	(1.9)	(7.8)
Consolidated net sales	\$ 336.9	\$ 330.0	\$ 834.4	\$ 1,105.4
Operating income (loss):				
Advanced Metals Operations	\$ 6.4	\$ 11.2	\$ 3.9	\$ 61.5
Premium Alloys Operations	24.0	15.2	52.1	63.4
Corporate costs	(8.2)	(8.2)	(26.2)	(26.6)
Pension earnings, interest & deferrals	(9.5)	Ì	(28.4)	(0.1)
Restructuring costs		(2.1)		(2.1)
Intersegment	0.1		0.1	
Consolidated operating income	\$ 12.8	\$ 16.1	\$ 1.5	\$ 96.1

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Background and General

Carpenter is engaged in the manufacturing, fabrication, and distribution of specialty metals. We primarily process basic raw materials such as nickel, cobalt, titanium, manganese, chromium, molybdenum, iron scrap and other metal alloying elements through various melting, hot forming and cold working facilities to produce finished products in the form of billet, bar, rod, wire and narrow strip in many sizes and finishes. We also produce certain metal powders. Our sales are distributed directly from our production plants and distribution network as well as through independent distributors. Unlike many other specialty steel producers, we operate a worldwide network of service/distribution centers. These service centers, located in the United States, Canada, Mexico, Asia and Europe, allow us to work more closely with customers and to offer various just-in-time stocking programs. As a result, we often serve as a technical partner in customizing specialty metals or in developing new ones.

Our discussions below in this Item 2 are based upon the more detailed discussions about our business, operations and financial condition included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, under Item 8 thereof. Our discussions here focus on our results during or as of the three-month and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2010 and the comparable periods of fiscal year 2009, and, to the extent applicable, on material changes from information discussed in that Form 10-K or other important intervening developments or information that we have reported on Form 8-K. These discussions should be read in conjunction with that Form 10-K for detailed background information and with any such intervening Form 8-K.

Impact of Raw Material Prices and Product Mix

The volatility of the costs of raw materials has impacted our operations over the past several years. We, and others in our industry, generally have been able to pass cost increases on major raw materials through to our customers using surcharges that are structured to recover increases in raw material costs. Generally, the formula used to calculate a surcharge is based on published prices of the respective raw materials for the previous month. A portion of our raw material purchases are based on published prices from two months prior, rather than the previous month, which creates a lag between surcharge revenue and corresponding raw material costs recognized in costs of sales. Except for the usually modest effect of the lag, the surcharge mechanism protects our net income on such sales. However, surcharges have had a dilutive effect on our gross margin and operating margin percentages as described later in this report.

We value most of our inventory utilizing the last-in, first-out (LIFO) inventory costing methodology. Under the LIFO inventory costing method, changes in the cost of raw materials and production activities are recognized in cost of sales in the current period even though these materials may have been acquired at potentially significantly different values due to the length of time from the acquisition of the raw materials to the sale of the processed finished goods to the customers. In a period of rising raw material costs, the LIFO inventory valuation normally results in higher costs of sales. Conversely, in a period of decreasing raw material costs, the LIFO inventory valuation normally results in lower costs of sales.

24

A portion of our business consists of sales to customers under firm price sales arrangements. Firm price sales arrangements involve a risk of profit margin fluctuations, particularly when raw material prices are volatile. Firm price sales arrangements generally include certain annual purchasing commitments and consumption schedules agreed to by the customers at selling prices based on raw material prices at the time the arrangements are established. In order to reduce the risk of fluctuating profit margins on these sales, we enter into commodity forward contracts to purchase certain critical raw materials necessary to produce the related products sold. If a customer fails to meet the volume commitments (or the consumption schedule deviates from the agreed-upon terms of the firm price sales arrangements), the Company may need to absorb the gains or losses associated with the commodity forward contracts on a temporary basis. Gains or losses associated with commodity forward contracts are reclassified to earnings/loss when earnings are impacted by the hedged transaction. Because we value most of our inventory under the LIFO costing methodology, the gains and/or losses associated with commodity forward contracts may not impact the same period that the firm price sales arrangements revenue is recognized, and comparisons of gross profit from period to period may be impacted.

We produce hundreds of grades of materials, with a wide range of pricing and profit levels depending on the grade. In addition, our product mix within a period is subject to the fluctuating order patterns of our customers as well as decisions we may make to participate in certain lower margin business in order to utilize available capacity. While we expect to see positive contribution from a more favorable product mix in our margin performance over time, the impact by period may fluctuate, and period-to-period comparisons may vary.

Net Pension Expense

Net pension expense, as we define it below, includes the net periodic benefit costs related to both our pension and other postretirement plans. The current quarter—s results include non-cash net pension expense of \$0.21 per diluted share versus \$0.06 per diluted share in the same quarter last year. Net pension expense is recorded in accounts that are included in both the Cost of sales and Selling, general and administrative expenses lines of our statements of operations. The following is a summary of the classification of net pension expense included in our statements of operations for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009:

	Three Mon Marc		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
(in millions)	2010	2009	2010	2009
Cost of sales	\$ 11.1	\$ 3.0	\$ 33.4	\$ 9.0
Selling, general and administrative expenses	4.2	2.0	12.4	6.2
Total net pension expense	\$ 15.3	\$ 5.0	\$ 45.8	\$ 15.2

Net pension expense is determined annually based on beginning of year balances, and is recorded ratably throughout the fiscal year, unless a significant re-measurement event occurs. Due to the decline in the market value of the assets held by the plans as of June 30, 2009, we currently expect that the total net pension expense for fiscal year 2010 will be \$61.1 million as compared with \$25.0 million, including pension settlement charges of \$4.4 million recorded in fiscal year 2009.

25

Operating Performance Overview

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we reported net income of \$2.1 million, or \$0.05 per diluted share, compared with income for the same period a year earlier of \$13.1 million, or \$0.30 per diluted share. Our results for the recent quarter included a non-cash charge of approximately \$5.9 million or \$0.13 per diluted share as a result of the recently enacted health care reform legislation. Under the new legislation, the income tax treatment of the subsidy to encourage companies to provide retiree prescription drug coverage has been changed. The one-time charge reflects a reduction in the value of our deferred tax asset previously established for anticipated retiree health care liabilities under the prior law.

We are continuing to benefit from strengthening end use markets and the expansion of our customer base and product portfolio. We believe that the financial results for the recent third quarter reflect the momentum in our business as we have seen further improvement in our results from our fiscal year 2010 second quarter results. We ve made solid progress toward our goal of emerging form the recession a stronger and better company, operationally, financially and strategically. In particular, we are well-positioned with customers in attractive end-use markets, we have strong research and development capabilities and we have a world class premium melt capacity to meet customer demand for higher value products.

Results of Operations Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 vs. Three Months Ended March 31, 2009

Net Sales

Net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2010 were \$336.9 million, which was a 2 percent increase over the same period a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 4 percent. Overall, pounds shipped were 20 percent higher than the third fiscal quarter a year ago.

Geographically, sales outside the United States decreased 6 percent from the same period a year ago to \$105.0 million. Net sales in Europe were down 13 percent compared with unusually strong energy sales a year earlier. International sales represented 31 percent of total sales for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 compared to 34 percent for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

Sales by End-Use Markets

We sell to customers across diversified end-use markets. For fiscal year 2010, we made minor changes in the manner in which sales are classified by end-use market so that we can better evaluate our sales results from period to period. In order to make the discussion of net sales by end-use market meaningful, we have reclassified the prior year squarter sales by end-use market balances to conform to the current year presentation. The table below includes comparative information for our estimated sales by end-use markets:

		Three Months Ended March 31,		\$ rease/	% Increase/
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	(Dec	rease)	(Decrease)
Aerospace	\$ 149.4	\$ 146.5	\$	2.9	2%
Industrial	74.4	74.4			
Energy	22.9	37.0		(14.1)	(38)
Medical	29.8	29.8			
Consumer	32.8	21.1		11.7	55
Automotive	27.6	21.2		6.4	30
Total net sales	\$ 336.9	\$ 330.0	\$	6.9	2%

The following table includes comparative information for our estimated net sales by the same principal end-use markets, but excluding surcharge revenue:

		nths Ended ch 31,	\$ Increase/	% Increase/
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Aerospace	\$ 111.9	\$ 116.2	\$ (4.3)	(4)%
Industrial	55.3	58.6	(3.3)	(6)
Energy	18.2	31.6	(13.4)	(42)
Medical	25.1	25.3	(0.2)	(1)
Consumer	24.5	17.5	7.0	40
Automotive	21.7	17.5	4.2	24
Total net sales excluding surcharge revenue	\$ 256.7	\$ 266.7	\$ (10.0)	(4)%

Sales to the aerospace market increased 2 percent from the third quarter a year ago to \$149.4 million. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 4 percent from the third quarter a year ago on 2 percent lower shipment volume. The aerospace market results reflect increased sales of material used in engine components, which was more than offset by lower demand for fasteners.

Industrial market sales remained flat from the third quarter a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased approximately 6 percent on a 25 percent increase in shipment volume. The current quarter results reflect the increased volumes in shorter lead-time lower value products which resulted in a temporary unfavorable shift in product mix.

Sales to the energy market of \$22.9 million reflected a 38 percent decrease from the third quarter a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 42 percent from a year ago on lower shipment volume of 34 percent. The year-over-year decline reflects sharply lower volumes for oil and gas applications as excess supply chain inventory reductions continue, along with sluggish orders for materials used in high-capacity industrial gas turbines.

Sales to the medical market remained flat from a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 1 percent on higher shipment volume of 12 percent. The increase in volume reflects increased share gain in cobalt-based products used in medical implants. The sales results were negatively impacted by sharply lower titanium prices in the current quarter as compared with the same quarter last year.

Sales to the consumer market increased 55 percent to \$32.8 million from a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales increased 40 percent, while shipment volume increased by 53 percent. The results reflect the re-building of depleted supply chain inventories of lower value, higher volume materials that are primarily used for household-related applications.

Automotive market sales increased 30 percent from the third quarter a year ago to \$27.6 million. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales increased 24 percent on 90 percent higher shipment volume. The year-over-year volume increase reflects greater share in lower value materials used in automotive intake valves along with increased demand for stainless steel fuel system components.

Sales by Product Class

The following table includes comparative information for our net sales by major product class:

		onths Ended och 31,	\$ Increase/	% Increase/
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Special alloys	\$ 179.2	\$ 179.7	\$ (0.5)	%
Stainless steels	114.1	103.4	10.7	10
Titanium products	30.4	33.2	(2.8)	(8)
Other materials	13.2	13.7	(0.5)	(4)
Total net sales	\$ 336.9	\$ 330.0	\$ 6.9	2%

The following table includes comparative information for our net sales by the same major product class, but excluding surcharge revenue:

	Three Mor Marc		\$ Increase/	% Increase/
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Special alloys	\$ 124.7	\$ 137.5	\$ (12.8)	(9)%
Stainless steels	89.1	82.6	6.5	8
Titanium products	30.4	33.2	(2.8)	(8)
Other materials	12.5	13.4	(0.9)	(7)
Total net sales excluding surcharge revenue	\$ 256.7	\$ 266.7	\$ (10.0)	(4)%

Sales of special alloys products remained essentially flat from a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 9 percent on a 17 percent increase in shipment volume. The sales decrease principally reflects the decline in demand for special alloys used in the aerospace and energy markets.

Sales of stainless steels increased 10 percent from a year ago to \$114.1 million. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales increased 8 percent on 22 percent higher shipment volume. Stainless steels sales benefited from increased shipments of material used in the medical, automotive and consumer markets although the results reflect an unfavorable shift in product mix.

Sales of titanium products decreased 8 percent from a year ago to \$30.4 million. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 8 percent on 8 percent higher volume. The results reflect increased demand for titanium products used in the aerospace and medical end-use markets which was more than offset by the impact of lower titanium prices during the current quarter as compared with the prior year quarter.

Gross Profit

Our gross profit in the third quarter decreased 6 percent to \$46.3 million, or 13.7 percent of net sales (18.0 percent of net sales excluding surcharges), as compared with \$49.2 million, or 14.9 percent of net sales (18.4 percent of net sales excluding surcharges), in the same quarter a year ago. The impact of higher volumes on the recent quarter s gross profit was more than offset by a weaker product mix and higher net pension expense. The fiscal year 2009 third quarter was negatively impacted by approximately \$11.0 million related to the LIFO effects of reducing inventory in a period of declining nickel prices.

Our surcharge mechanism is structured to recover increases in raw material costs, although generally with a lag effect. While the surcharge generally protects the absolute gross profit dollars, it does have a dilutive effect on gross margin as a percent of sales. The following represents a summary of the dilutive impact of the surcharges on gross margin for the comparative three-month periods. See the section Non-GAAP Financial Measures below for further discussion of these financial measures.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009
Net sales	\$ 336.9	\$ 330.0
Less: surcharge revenue	80.2	63.3
Net sales excluding surcharges	\$ 256.7	\$ 266.7
Gross profit	\$ 46.3	\$ 49.2
Gross margin	13.7%	14.9%
Gross margin excluding dilutive effect of surcharges	18.0%	18.4%

In addition to the impact of the surcharge mechanism, fluctuations in raw material prices (combined with fluctuations in inventory levels) have impacted our gross profit from quarter to quarter. We estimate that the effect of such combined fluctuations had no significant impact on gross margin during the recent quarter and negatively impacted gross margin by approximately 330 basis points during the prior year s quarter. We estimate that the lag effect of the surcharge mechanism negatively impacted gross margin by approximately 50 basis points during the recent quarter, compared to a positive impact on gross margin of approximately 190 basis points during the prior year s quarter.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses of \$33.5 million were 10.0 percent of net sales (13.1 percent of net sales excluding surcharges) as compared with \$31.0 million or 9.4 percent of net sales (11.6 percent of net sales excluding surcharges) in the same quarter a year ago. Excluding the impact of changes in net pension expense discussed above, selling, general and administrative expenses were essentially flat over last year. The results reflect differences in variable compensation accruals offset by continued reductions in most other areas of our spending.

Operating Income

Our operating income in the third quarter decreased to \$12.8 million as compared with \$16.1 million in the same quarter a year ago. The lower operating income principally reflects lower gross profit levels and higher selling, general and administrative expenses principally related to net pension expense as discussed above. The results for the fiscal year 2009 third quarter included approximately \$2.1 million of restructuring charges associated with the closure of a UK facility.

Operating income has been significantly impacted by our pension earnings, interest and deferrals (pension EID) expense, which may be volatile based on conditions in the financial markets. The following presents our operating income and operating margin, in each case excluding the impact of surcharges on net sales and excluding the impacts of pension EID expense and non-recurring restructuring costs from operating income. We present and discuss these financial measures because management believes removing the impact of volatile and non-recurring charges provides a more consistent and meaningful basis for comparing results of operations from period to period. See the section Non-GAAP Financial Measures below for further discussion of these financial measures.

	Three Mon Marcl	
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009
Net sales	\$ 336.9	\$ 330.0
Less: surcharge revenue	80.2	63.3
Net sales excluding surcharges	\$ 256.7	\$ 266.7
Operating income	\$ 12.8	\$ 16.1
Add back: Pension EID expense Add back: Restructuring costs	9.5	2.1
Operating income excluding pension EID expense and restructuring costs	\$ 22.3	\$ 18.2
Operating margin excluding surcharges, pension EID expense and restructuring costs	8.7%	6.8%

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the quarter was \$4.5 million, as compared with \$3.9 million in the same quarter in the prior year. The increase in interest expense is attributable to lower capitalized interest costs associated with several large construction projects completed during fiscal year 2009.

Other Income, Net

Other income was \$1.6 million for the recent quarter compared with \$2.7 million in the third quarter a year ago. The decrease principally reflects a reduction in foreign currency exchange gains.

Income Taxes

Our tax provision for the recent quarter was \$7.8 million, or 78.8 percent of pre-tax income, versus \$1.8 million, or 12.1 percent, for the same quarter a year ago. The income tax provision for the recent quarter reflects the greater impact that our permanent differences had on our effective tax rate as a result of lower pre-tax income. In addition, the tax provision for the recent quarter includes a \$5.9 million one time non-cash charge to reduce the value of the Company s deferred tax asset previously established for anticipated retiree health care liabilities. We anticipate that our effective tax rate for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2010 will be approximately 26 percent.

Business Segment Results

We have two reportable business segments: Advanced Metals Operations (AMO) and Premium Alloys Operations (PAO).

The following table includes comparative information for our net sales by business segment:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		\$ Increase	%
			/	Increase /
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Advanced Metals Operations	\$ 234.4	\$ 227.6	\$ 6.8	3%
Premium Alloys Operations	102.9	104.3	(1.4)	(1)
Intersegment	(0.4)	(1.9)	1.5	79
Total net sales	\$ 336.9	\$ 330.0	\$ 6.9	2%

The following table includes comparative information for our net sales by business segment, but excluding surcharge revenue:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		\$ Increase /	% Increase /
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Advanced Metals Operations	\$ 184.5	\$ 185.8	\$ (1.3)	(1)%
Premium Alloys Operations	72.6	82.8	(10.2)	(12)
Intersegment	(0.4)	(1.9)	1.5	79
Total net sales excluding surcharge revenue	\$ 256.7	\$ 266.7	\$ (10.0)	(4)%

Advanced Metals Operations Segment

Net sales for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 for the AMO segment increased 3 percent to \$234.4 million, as compared with \$227.6 million in the same quarter a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, net sales decreased 1 percent on 28 percent higher shipment volume from a year ago. The results reflect increased shipment volume related to higher demand in the automotive, industrial and consumer markets.

Operating income for the AMO segment was \$6.4 million or 2.7 percent of net sales (3.5 percent of net sales excluding surcharge revenue) in the recent quarter, as compared with \$11.2 million or 4.9 percent of net sales (6.0 percent of net sales excluding surcharge revenue) in the same quarter a year ago. The decrease in operating income reflects an unfavorable shift in product mix during the recent quarter as compared to the same period in the prior year.

Premium Alloys Operations Segment

Net sales for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 for the PAO segment decreased 1 percent to \$102.9 million, as compared with \$104.3 million in the same quarter a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, net sales decreased 12 percent on 1 percent higher shipment volume from a year ago. The decrease in net sales coupled with a modest increase in shipment volume reflects a marginal shift in product mix during the recent quarter.

Table of Contents 62

31

Operating income for the PAO segment was \$24.0 million or 23.3 percent of net sales (33.1 percent of net sales excluding surcharge revenue) in the recent quarter, compared with \$15.2 million or 14.6 percent of net sales (18.4 percent of net sales excluding surcharge revenue) in the same quarter a year ago. The increase in operating income despite lower net sales levels principally reflects the positive impacts associated with the timing of raw material hedges in the current quarter, as compared with negative impacts of the timing of raw material hedges a year ago which more than offset the negative impacts of an unfavorable shift in product mix. In addition, the prior year quarter results were negatively impacted by the LIFO effects of reducing inventories in a period of declining nickel prices.

Results of Operations Nine Months Ended March 31, 2010 vs. Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009

Net Sales

Net sales for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 were \$834.4 million, which was a 25 percent decrease over the same period a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 23 percent. Overall, pounds shipped were 11 percent lower than a year ago.

Geographically, sales outside the United States decreased 34 percent from the same period a year ago to \$262.5 million. International sales represented 32 percent of total sales for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 compared to 36 percent for the nine months ended March 31, 2009

Sales by End-Use Markets

We sell to customers across diversified end-use markets. As discussed above, we made minor changes in the manner in which sales are classified by end-use market so that we can better evaluate our sales results from period to period. In order to make the discussion of net sales by end-use market meaningful, we have reclassified the prior year s sales by end-use market balances to conform to the current year presentation. The table below includes comparative information for our estimated sales by end-use markets:

	Nine Mo	nths Ended		
	Mai	ch 31,	\$	%
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Aerospace	\$ 365.8	\$ 461.1	\$ (95.3)	(21)%
Industrial	187.1	258.6	(71.5)	(28)
Energy	55.1	130.6	(75.5)	(58)
Medical	75.6	85.2	(9.6)	(11)
Consumer	80.8	84.3	(3.5)	(4)
Automotive	70.0	85.6	(15.6)	(18)
Total net sales	\$ 834.4	\$ 1,105.4	\$ (271.0)	(25)%

The following table includes comparative information for our estimated net sales by the same principal end-use markets, but excluding surcharge revenue:

	Nine Months Ended				
	Marc	ch 31,	\$	%	
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)	
Aerospace	\$ 279.6	\$ 350.5	\$ (70.9)	(20)%	
Industrial	146.5	184.6	(38.1)	(21)	
Energy	44.9	109.1	(64.2)	(59)	
Medical	62.7	70.4	(7.7)	(11)	
Consumer	61.9	62.4	(0.5)	(1)	
Automotive	56.2	64.8	(8.6)	(13)	
Total net sales excluding surcharge revenue	\$ 651.8	\$ 841.8	\$ (190.0)	(23)%	

Sales to the aerospace market decreased 21 percent from the nine-month period a year ago to \$365.8 million. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 20 percent from the nine-month period a year ago on 17 percent lower shipment volume. The sales decline reflects lower airplane build levels, reductions of inventory in the supply chain and a less favorable mix of products. We believe the results reflect the tail end of excess inventory in the supply chain for engines and the impacts of the de-stocking of materials used in aerospace fasteners.

Industrial market sales decreased 28 percent from a year ago to \$187.1 million. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased approximately 21 percent as a result of a 9 percent decrease in shipment volume. The decline in sales and shipment volume reflects weak manufacturing demand and the impacts of an unfavorable shift in product mix.

Sales to the energy market of \$55.1 million reflect a 58 percent decrease from a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 59 percent from a year ago on lower shipment volume of 60 percent. The sales results reflect the impacts of excess inventories in the supply chain, as a result of significantly lower oil and gas drilling along with sluggish demand for high-capacity industrial gas turbines.

Sales to the medical market decreased 11 percent to \$75.6 million from a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 11 percent and shipment volumes increased 4 percent. The shipment volume increase reflects the impact of a moderate increase in demand for stainless steel materials used in medical implants, while the revenue decline is attributable to lower raw material costs for titanium and a less favorable mix of products.

Sales to the consumer market decreased 4 percent to \$80.8 million from a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 1 percent with shipment volume higher by 11 percent. The results reflect increased demand in all segments along with an unfavorable shift in product mix.

Automotive market sales decreased 18 percent from a year ago to \$70.0 million. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 13 percent on 20 percent higher shipment volume. The results reflect higher volumes associated with a steady upswing in demand from the same period last year coupled with an unfavorable shift in product mix.

Sales by Product Class

The following table includes comparative information for our net sales by major product class:

	Nine Mo	nths Ended		
	Mar	rch 31,	\$	%
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Special alloys	\$ 448.6	\$ 559.5	\$ (110.9)	(20)%
Stainless steels	269.7	380.3	(110.6)	(29)
Titanium products	80.9	110.0	(29.1)	(26)
Other materials	35.2	55.6	(20.4)	(37)
Total net sales	\$ 834.4	\$ 1,105.4	\$ (271.0)	(25)%

The following table includes comparative information for our net sales by the same major product class, but excluding surcharge revenue:

	- 1	nths Ended ch 31,	\$	%
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Special alloys	\$ 321.4	\$ 396.6	\$ (75.2)	(19)%
Stainless steels	215.3	281.0	(65.7)	(23)
Titanium products	80.9	110.0	(29.1)	(26)
Other materials	34.2	54.2	(20.0)	(37)
Total net sales excluding surcharge revenue	\$ 651.8	\$ 841.8	\$ (190.0)	(23)%

Sales of special alloys products decreased 20 percent from the nine-month period a year ago to \$448.6 million. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 19 percent on a 5 percent decrease in shipment volume. The decrease principally reflects the decline in demand in the aerospace and energy markets.

Sales of stainless steels decreased 29 percent from a year ago to \$269.7 million. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 23 percent on 14 percent lower shipment volume. The decrease resulted primarily from reduced shipments of materials used in the automotive, industrial and consumer markets.

Sales of titanium products decreased 26 percent from a year ago to \$80.9 million. Excluding surcharge revenue, sales decreased 26 percent on 16 percent lower volume. The results reflect the impact of lower titanium prices, together with decreased demand for titanium products used in the aerospace and medical end-use markets.

Gross Profit

Our gross profit in the nine months ended March 31, 2010 decreased 49 percent to \$101.1 million, or 12.1 percent of net sales (15.5 percent of net sales excluding surcharges), as compared with \$198.7 million, or 18.0 percent of net sales (23.6 percent of net sales excluding surcharges), in the same period a year ago. The lower gross profit was primarily due to reduced demand levels and correspondingly higher volume-related costs, as well as the higher pension expense that is included in our costs of sales.

Our surcharge mechanism is structured to recover increases in raw material costs, although generally with a lag effect. While the surcharge generally protects the absolute gross profit dollars, it does have a dilutive effect on gross margin as a percent of sales. The following represents a summary of the dilutive impact of the surcharges on gross margin for the comparative nine-month periods. See the section Non-GAAP Financial Measures below for further discussion of these financial measures.

	- 1	Nine Months Ended March 31,	
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	
Net sales	\$ 834.4	\$ 1,105.4	
Less: surcharge revenue	182.6	263.6	
Net sales excluding surcharges	\$ 651.8	\$ 841.8	
Gross profit	\$ 101.1	\$ 198.7	
Gross margin	12.1%	18.0%	
Gross margin excluding dilutive effect of surcharges	15.5%	23.6%	

34

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses of \$99.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 were 11.9 percent of net sales (15.3 percent of net sales excluding surcharges) as compared with \$100.5 million or 9.1 percent of net sales (11.9 percent of net sales excluding surcharges) in the same period a year ago. Excluding the impact of changes in net pension expense discussed above, expenses decreased by 8 percent over the same period in the prior year. The improvement reflects the actions we have taken to reduce headcount and discretionary spending such as outside services and travel across the business beginning last fiscal year.

Operating Income

For the nine months ended March 31, 2010, we had operating income of \$1.5 million as compared with \$96.1 million in the same period a year ago. The decrease principally reflects reduced gross profit levels that were only partially offset by lower selling, general and administrative expenses as discussed above. The results for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 included approximately \$2.1 million of restructuring charges associated with the closure of a UK facility.

Operating income has been significantly impacted by our pension EID expense, which may be volatile based on conditions in the financial markets. The following presents our operating income and operating margin, in each case excluding the impact of surcharges on net sales and excluding the impacts of pension EID expense and non-recurring restructuring costs from operating income. We present and discuss these financial measures because management believes removing the impact of volatile and non-recurring charges provides a more consistent and meaningful basis for comparing results of operations from period to period. See the section Non-GAAP Financial Measures below for further discussion of these financial measures.

	Nine Mon	ths Ended
	March 31,	
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009
Net sales	\$ 834.4	\$ 1,105.4
Less: surcharge revenue	182.6	263.6
Net sales excluding surcharges	\$ 651.8	\$ 841.8
Operating income	\$ 1.5 28.4	\$ 96.1 0.1
Add back: Pension EID expense Add back: Restructuring costs	26.4	2.1
Operating income excluding pension EID expense and restructuring costs	\$ 29.9	\$ 98.3
Operating margin excluding surcharges, pension EID expense and restructuring costs	4.6%	11.7%

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 was \$13.3 million, as compared with \$12.1 million in the same period in the prior year. The increase in interest expense is attributable to lower capitalized interest costs associated with several large construction projects, partially offset by the reductions in our outstanding debt compared to prior year.

35

Other Income, Net

Other income for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 was \$9.8 million as compared with \$13.0 million for the comparable nine months of fiscal 2009. The reduction primarily reflects lower interest income, as cash investment rates have significantly declined since the prior year as well as decreases in foreign exchange gains.

Income Taxes

Income taxes for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 was an expense of \$ 1.8 million, or 90 percent of pre-tax loss versus \$28.3 million of expense, or 29.2 percent of pre-tax income, in the same period a year ago. The income tax provision for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 reflects the greater impact that our permanent differences had on our effective tax rate as a result of lower pre-tax income. In addition, the tax provision in the current period includes a one time \$5.9 million non-cash charge to reduce the value of the Company s deferred tax asset previously established for anticipated retiree health care liabilities. We anticipate that our effective tax rate for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2010 will be approximately 26 percent.

Business Segment Results

The following table includes comparative information for our net sales by our two reportable business segments:

		nths Ended ch 31,	\$ Increase /	% Increase /
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Advanced Metals Operations	\$ 592.7	\$ 775.8	\$ (183.1)	(24)%
Premium Alloys Operations	243.6	337.4	(93.8)	(28)
Intersegment	(1.9)	(7.8)	5.9	(76)
_				
Total net sales	\$ 834.4	\$ 1,105.4	\$ (271.0)	(25)%

The following table includes comparative information for our net sales by business segment, but excluding surcharge revenue:

	Nine Mon Marc		\$ Increase /	% Increase /
(\$ in millions)	2010	2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Advanced Metals Operations	\$ 478.1	\$ 595.6	\$ (117.5)	(20)%
Premium Alloys Operations	175.6	254.0	(78.4)	(31)
Intersegment	(1.9)	(7.8)	5.9	(76)
-				
Total net sales excluding surcharge revenue	\$ 651.8	\$ 841.8	\$ 190.0	(23)%

Advanced Metals Operations Segment

Net sales for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 for the AMO segment decreased 24 percent to \$592.7 million, as compared with \$775.8 million in the same period a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, net sales decreased 20 percent on 5 percent lower shipment volume from a year ago. The results reflect the impacts of lower demand in the automotive, industrial and consumer markets as well as an unfavorable shift in product mix along with competitive pricing pressure.

Table of Contents

Operating income for the AMO segment was \$3.9 million or 1.0 percent of net sales (1.0 percent of net sales excluding surcharge revenue) in the nine months ended March 31, 2010, as compared with operating income of \$61.5 million or 7.9 percent of net sales (10.3 percent of net sales excluding surcharge revenue) in the same period a year ago. The decrease in operating income reflects lower shipment volume and an unfavorable shift in product mix during the recent period, as compared to the same period in the prior year. The prior year nine month period s operating income also included the positive impacts of the lag effect of the surcharge mechanism as compared with a negative impact of the lag effect in the current nine-month period results.

Premium Alloys Operations Segment

Net sales for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 for the PAO segment decreased 28 percent to \$243.6 million, as compared with \$337.4 million in the same period a year ago. Excluding surcharge revenue, net sales decreased 31 percent on 28 percent lower shipment volume from a year ago. Both the sales and shipment volume decreases were due to lower demand, particularly in our aerospace and energy markets.

Operating income for the PAO segment was \$52.1 million or 21.4 percent of net sales (30.0 percent of net sales excluding surcharge revenue) during the nine months ended March 31, 2010, compared with \$63.4 million or 18.8 percent of net sales (25.0 percent of net sales excluding surcharge revenue) in the same period a year ago. The decrease in operating income principally reflects the lower shipment volume in the current period, which was only partially offset by the positive impacts associated with the timing of raw material hedges in the current period and the negative impacts of the timing of raw material hedges a year ago.

Liquidity and Financial Condition

We have the ability to generate cash to meet our needs through cash flow from operations, management of working capital and the availability of outside sources of financing to supplement internally generated funds. We believe that our cash and cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities of approximately \$370 million as of March 31, 2010, together with cash generated from operations and available borrowing capacity of approximately \$196 million under our credit facilities, will be sufficient to fund our operating activities, planned capital expenditures, current maturities of long-term debt totaling \$20.0 million and other obligations for the foreseeable future.

In November 2009, we entered into a new revolving credit facility that expires November 2012 and contains a revolving credit commitment amount of \$200 million. As of March 31, 2010, we had \$4.0 million of issued letters of credit under the revolving credit facility. The balance of the revolving credit facility (\$196.0 million) remains available to us. The revolving credit facility contains financial covenants, including maintenance of an interest coverage ratio and a debt-to-capital ratio.

As of March 31, 2010, we were in compliance with all the covenants of the credit facility. The following table shows our actual ratio performance with respect to the financial covenants, as of March 31, 2010:

		Actual
	Covenant Requirement	Ratio
Consolidated interest coverage	3.0 to 1.0 (minimum)	5.0 to 1.0
Consolidated debt to capital	55% (maximum)	30%

During the nine months ended March 31, 2010, our free cash flow, which we define under Non-GAAP Financial Measures below, was \$17.0 million as compared to a negative \$60.3 million for the same period a year ago. The free cash flow in the nine months ended March 31, 2010 as compared with the same period in the prior year principally reflects the lower capital expenditures in the current period as well as the lower year over year increase in working capital levels. We continue to actively manage working capital levels through robust processes that balance our cash objectives. These positive impacts were partially offset by the lower net income levels in the current period.

Capital expenditures for plant, equipment and software were \$27.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2010, as compared with \$94.9 million for the same period a year ago. We expect to finish the fiscal year with about \$50 million of capital expenditures. A significant portion of the prior year period s capital expenditures related to the expansion of our premium melt facilities.

Dividends during the nine months ended March 31, 2010 were \$23.9 million, as compared with \$23.6 million in the same period last year, and were paid at the same quarterly rate of \$0.18 per share of common stock in both periods.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

The following provides additional information regarding certain non-GAAP financial measures that we use in this report. Our definitions and calculations of these items may not necessarily be the same as those used by other companies.

Net Pension Expense Per Diluted Share

	Three Mor Marc		Nine Mont March	
(in millions, except per share data)	2010	2009	2010	2009
Pension plans expense	\$ 13.5	\$ 4.4	\$ 40.5	\$ 13.4
Other postretirement benefit plans expense	1.8	0.6	5.3	1.8
	15.3	5.0	45.8	15.2
Income tax benefit	(5.9)	(2.2)	(17.9)	(6.5)
Net pension expense	\$ 9.4	\$ 2.8	\$ 27.9	\$ 8.7
Weighted average diluted common shares	44.4	44.0	43.9	44.2
Net pension expense per diluted share	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.19

Management believes that net pension expense per diluted share is helpful in analyzing the operational performance of the Company from period to period.

Net Sales and Gross Margin Excluding Surcharges

This report includes discussions of net sales and gross margin as adjusted to exclude the impact of raw material surcharges, which represent financial measures that have not been determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. We present and discuss these financial measures because management believes removing the impact of raw material surcharges from net sales and gross margin provides a more consistent basis for comparing results of operations from period to period for the reasons discussed earlier in this report. See our earlier discussion of gross profit for a reconciliation of net sales and gross margin excluding surcharges to net sales as determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Table of Contents

71

Operating Income and Operating Margin Excluding Surcharges, Pension EID Expense and Restructuring Costs

This report includes discussions of operating income and operating margin as adjusted to exclude the impact of raw material surcharges, pension EID expense and non-recurring restructuring costs, which represent financial measures that have not been determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. We present and discuss these financial measures because management believes removing the impact of raw material surcharges from net sales provides a more consistent and meaningful basis for comparing results of operations from period to period for the reasons discussed earlier in this report. In addition, management believes that excluding pension earnings, interest and deferrals expense and non-recurring restructuring costs from operating income and operating margin is helpful in analyzing our operating performance particularly as pension EID expense may be volatile due to changes in the financial markets. See our earlier discussion of operating income for a reconciliation of operating income and operating margin excluding pension EID expense and non-recurring restructuring costs to operating income and operating margin determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Free Cash Flow

The following provides a reconciliation of free cash flow, as used in this report, to its most directly comparable U.S. GAAP financial measures:

	Nine Months Ended	
	March 31,	
(in millions)	2010	2009
Net cash provided from operating activities	\$ 67.1	\$ 44.8
Net proceeds from sales of businesses		13.4
Purchases of property, equipment and software	(27.1)	(94.9)
Proceeds from disposals of property and equipment	0.9	
Dividends paid	(23.9)	(23.6)
Free cash flow	\$ 17.0	\$ (60.3)

Management believes that the presentation of free cash flow provides useful information to investors regarding our financial condition because it is a measure of cash generated which management evaluates for alternative uses. It is management s current intention to use excess cash to fund investments in capital equipment, acquisition opportunities and consistent dividend payments. Free cash flow is not a U.S. GAAP financial measure and should not be considered in isolation of, or as a substitute for, cash flows calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

39

Contingencies

Environmental

We are subject to various federal, state, local and international environmental laws and regulations relating to pollution, protection of public health and the environment, natural resource damages and occupational safety and health. Although compliance with these laws and regulations may affect the costs of our operations, compliance costs to date have not been material. We have environmental remediation liabilities at some of our owned operating facilities and have been designated as a potentially responsible party (PRP) with respect to certain third-party Superfund waste disposal sites and other third party owned sites. Additionally, we have been notified that we may be a PRP with respect to other Superfund sites as to which no proceedings have been instituted against us. Neither the exact amount of remediation costs nor the final method of their allocation among all designated PRP s at these Superfund sites has been determined. The liability for future environmental remediation costs is evaluated on a quarterly basis. We accrue amounts for environmental remediation costs that represent our best estimate of the probable and reasonably estimable costs related to environmental remediation. During the nine months ended March 31, 2010, no new accruals were recorded. The liabilities recorded for environmental remediation costs at Superfund sites, at other third party-owned sites and at Company-owned current or former operating facilities were \$6.8 million and \$6.9 million, respectively, at March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009.

Estimates of the amount and timing of future costs of environmental remediation requirements are inherently imprecise because of the continuing evolution of environmental laws and regulatory requirements, the availability and application of technology, the identification of currently unknown remediation sites and the allocation of costs among the PRP s. Based upon information currently available, such future costs are not expected to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, such costs could be material to our financial position, results of operations or cash flows in a particular future quarter or year.

Boarhead Farms

In June 2002, we were named as a defendant in a lawsuit filed by a group of plaintiffs in the District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania titled Boarhead Farm Agreement Group v. Advanced Environmental Technology Corporation et al. (since amended to include the individual members). The suit alleges that we and the other named defendants contributed to damages caused at Boarhead Farms, a Superfund site located in Bridgeton, Pennsylvania. The Boarhead Farms site was the home of a now defunct chemical and waste hauling company that we engaged to dispose of certain wastes during the 1970 s. The plaintiff group was individually named as PRP s for the Boarhead site in the EPA s Record of Decision in November 1998. Their suit, in June of 2002, against various defendants, including Carpenter, sought contributions for a portion of costs incurred for various site cleanup activities as well as contributions to future cleanup efforts. The suit went to trial in June 2008. Prior to trial, all of the named co-defendants, except for Carpenter, reached an out of court settlement with the plaintiffs. We denied the claims made by the plaintiff group. On August 18, 2008, the Court awarded the plaintiffs judgment against us for 80 percent of the plaintiffs past costs of remediating the site, including prejudgment interest from June 18, 2002 to January 1, 2008, and held us liable for 80 percent of future costs of the cleanup activities at the site. We appealed the Court s decision and oral arguments took place before the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit on December 17, 2009. On April 12, 2010, the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit vacated the previous judgment by the District Court and remanded the case for further proceedings. We intend to continue to defend against the claims in this case, but are unable to predict the outcome of the proceedings at this time. As of March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009, we recorded a liability related to this case of \$21.8 and \$21.5 million, respectively.

40

Duty Drawback

Historically, we have participated in a program offered by U.S. Customs and Border Protection (U.S. Customs) known as duty drawback. Under the program, we claimed a refund of import duties on items manufactured and exported to customers in foreign countries. Certain vendors prepared certificates authorizing us to claim duty drawback refunds against imported goods purportedly shipped by the vendor to us. Because of the complexity of the program, we engaged a licensed U.S. customs broker specializing in duty drawback claims. The customs broker was responsible for performing the administration of the process which included maintaining and collecting various forms of supporting evidence for each claim including collecting appropriate certificates from vendors, as well as preparing and submitting the refund claims.

In fiscal year 2008, we received notice from U.S. Customs that we were under investigation related to claims previously filed by the customs broker on our behalf. The investigation alleged certain discrepancies and a lack of supporting documentation for the claims that had been filed by the broker. We initiated an internal review of the claims filed with U.S. Customs to determine the extent of claims that may have inadequate supporting documentation and we also engaged a new licensed U.S. customs broker. We have cooperated fully with U.S. Customs investigation of this matter. As of the date of this filing, our internal review remains ongoing due to the extensive amount of documentation that must be compiled and reviewed.

During the period our customs broker was filing claims on our behalf, July 2003 through December 2006, we applied for and received refund claims totaling \$6.9 million. While the ultimate outcome of the U.S. Customs investigation and our internal review is not yet known, based on current facts we believe that the net remaining reserve recorded as of March 31, 2010 of \$2.2 million is a reasonable estimate of the probable loss that will result from the investigation. We do not expect that any additional material liabilities will be incurred.

Export Regulations Violations

In fiscal year 2008, we became aware of potential violations of federal export regulations at a business unit that has since been divested. Upon investigation, we discovered that approximately 40 foreign nationals employed over time at the business unit s facility may have been exposed to protected technical data related to the production of various products for military applications. An export license from the Department of State and the Department of Commerce is required prior to the exporting of technical data for military applications. We have applied for and received similar applications for other business units, but did not have such a license for the divested business unit. Violations of federal export regulations can be subject to civil penalties depending upon the severity of the violation. We filed voluntary disclosures with the Department of State and the Department of Commerce before the divestiture of the business unit on March 31, 2008. The Department of State responded to the voluntary disclosure without assessing civil penalties. The Department of Commerce has not yet responded to the voluntary disclosure. It is not possible to determine the amount, if any, of civil penalties that may be assessed by the Department of Commerce. As a result, we have not recorded any liability for potential penalties as of March 31, 2010.

41

Other

We are defending various routine claims and legal actions that are incidental to our business, and we are subject to contingencies that are common to our operations, including those pertaining to product claims, commercial disputes, employment actions, employee benefits, compliance with domestic and foreign laws, personal injury claims and tax issues. We provide for costs relating to these matters when a loss is probable and the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable. The effect of the outcome of these matters on our future results of operations and liquidity cannot be predicted because any such effect depends on future results of operations and the amount and timing (both as to recording future charges to operations and cash expenditures) of the resolution of such matters. While it is not feasible to determine the outcome of these matters, we believe that the total liability from these matters will not have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows over the long-term. However, there can be no assurance that an increase in the scope of pending matters, or that any future lawsuits, claims, proceedings or investigations, will not be material to our financial position, results of operations or cash flows in a particular future quarter or year.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. The cost of inventories is primarily determined using the LIFO method. Costs include direct materials, direct labor and applicable manufacturing overhead, and other direct costs. Under the LIFO inventory valuation method, changes in the cost of raw materials and production activities are recognized in cost of sales in the current period even though these materials and other costs may have been incurred at significantly different values due to the length of time of our production cycle. The prices for many of the raw materials we use have been volatile. Because we value most of our inventory utilizing the LIFO inventory costing methodology, rapid changes in raw material costs have an impact on our operating results. In a period of rising prices, cost of sales expense recognized under LIFO is generally higher than the cash costs incurred to acquire the inventory sold. Conversely, in a period of declining raw material prices, cost of sales recognized under LIFO is generally lower than cash costs incurred to acquire the inventory sold.

Since the LIFO inventory valuation methodology is designed for annual determination, interim estimates of the annual LIFO valuation are required. We recognize the effects of the LIFO inventory valuation method on an interim basis by estimating the expected annual LIFO cost based on cost increases or decreases to date. These projections of annual LIFO inventory valuation reserve changes are updated quarterly and are evaluated based upon material, labor and overhead costs.

Other Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

A summary of other significant accounting policies is discussed in Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Part II, Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For information with respect to new accounting pronouncements and the impact of these pronouncements on our consolidated financial statements, see Note 2, Recent Accounting Standards, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements of this report.

42

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains various Forward-looking Statements pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements, which represent our expectations or beliefs concerning various future events, include statements concerning future revenues, earnings and liquidity associated with continued growth in various market segments and cost reductions expected from various initiatives. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ from those projected, anticipated or implied. The most significant of these uncertainties are described in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2009. They include but are not limited to: (1) the cyclical nature of the specialty materials business and certain end-use markets, including aerospace, industrial, automotive, consumer, medical, and energy, or other influences on our business such as new competitors, the consolidation of competitors, customers, and suppliers or the transfer of manufacturing capacity from the United States to foreign countries; (2) our ability to achieve cost savings, productivity improvements or process changes; (3) the volatility of, and our ability to recoup increases in, the cost of energy, raw materials, freight or other factors; (4) domestic and foreign excess manufacturing capacity for certain metals; (5) fluctuations in currency exchange rates; (6) the degree of success of government trade actions; (7) the valuation of the assets and liabilities in our pension trusts and the accounting for pension plans; (8) possible labor disputes or work stoppages; (9) the potential that our customers may substitute alternate materials or adopt different manufacturing practices that replace or limit the suitability of our products; (10) the ability to successfully acquire and integrate acquisitions; (11) the availability of credit facilities to us, our customers or other members of the supply chain; (12) the ability to obtain energy or raw materials, especially from suppliers located in countries that may be subject to unstable political or economic conditions; (13) our manufacturing processes are dependent upon highly specialized equipment located primarily in one facility in Reading, Pennsylvania and for which there may be limited alternatives if there are significant equipment failures or catastrophic events; and (14) our future success depends on the continued service and availability of key personnel, including members of our executive management team, management, metallurgists and other skilled personnel and the loss of these key personnel could affect our ability to perform until suitable replacements are found. The forward-looking statements in this document are intended to be subject to the safe harbor protection provided by Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements.

43

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We use derivative financial instruments to reduce certain types of financial risk. Firm price sales arrangements involve a risk of profit margin fluctuations particularly as raw material prices have been volatile. As discussed in Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements, in order to reduce the risk of fluctuating profit margins on these sales, we enter into commodity forward contracts to purchase certain critical raw materials necessary to produce the products sold under the firm price sales arrangements. As of March 31, 2010, we had approximately \$26.0 million of deferred net gains related to commodity forward contracts, which includes approximately \$30.1 million of deferred net gain related to commodity forward contracts entered into to support sales under firm price sales arrangements to two customers. If a customer fails to perform its obligations under the firm price sales arrangements, we may realize losses as a result of the related commodity forward contracts. These customers have historically performed under these arrangements and we believe that they will honor such obligations in the future.

We are actively involved in managing risks associated with energy resources. Risk containment strategies include interaction with primary and secondary energy suppliers as well as obtaining adequate insurance coverage to compensate us for potential business interruption related to lack of availability of energy resources. In addition, we have used forwards and options to fix the price of a portion of our anticipated future purchases of certain energy requirements to protect against the impact of significant increases in energy costs. We also use surcharge mechanisms to offset a portion of these charges where appropriate.

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could subject us to risk of losses on anticipated future cash flows from our international operations or customers. Foreign currency forward contracts are used to hedge certain foreign exchange risk.

We have used interest rate swaps to achieve a level of floating rate debt relative to fixed rate debt where appropriate.

All hedging strategies are reviewed and approved by senior financial management before being implemented. Senior financial management has established policies regarding the use of derivative instruments that prohibit the use of speculative or leveraged derivatives. Market valuations are performed at least quarterly to monitor the effectiveness of our risk management programs.

Our pension plan assets are invested in different asset classes including large-, mid- and small-cap growth and value funds, index and international equity funds, short-term and medium-term duration fixed-income funds and high yield funds. The plan s current allocation policy is to invest approximately 60 percent of plan assets in U.S. and international equities and 40 percent of plan assets in fixed income securities.

The status of our financial instruments as of March 31, 2010 is provided in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements. of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Assuming on March 31, 2010, (a) an instantaneous 10 percent decrease in the price of raw materials and energy for which we have commodity forward contracts, and (b) a 10 percent strengthening of the U.S. dollar versus foreign currencies for which foreign exchange forward contracts existed, our results of operations would not have been materially affected in either scenario.

44

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company s management, with the participation of the Company s interim Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a 15(e) and 15d 15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the Exchange Act) as of March 31, 2010. Based on that evaluation, the interim Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2010 were effective in providing a reasonable level of assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods required under the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, including a reasonable level of assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in such reports is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including the Company s interim Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2010 that have materially affected, or are likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

45

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Pending legal proceedings involve ordinary routine litigation incidental to our business, which we do not believe would have a material adverse effect on our business regardless of their outcome. We are not aware of any material proceedings in which any of our directors, officers, or affiliates, or any owners of more than five percent of any class of voting securities, or any associate of any of those persons, is a party adverse to us or in which any of them has a material interest adverse to our interests or those of our subsidiaries. There is no administrative or judicial proceeding arising under any Federal, State or local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment or primarily for the purpose of protecting the environment that (1) is material to our business or financial condition, (2) involves a claim for damages, potential monetary sanctions or capital expenditures exceeding ten percent of our current assets, (3) includes a governmental authority as a party or (4) involves potential monetary sanctions in excess of \$100,000.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We have evaluated the risks associated with our business and operations and determined that those risk factors included in Part 1, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K adequately disclose the material risks that we face.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
31 (A)	Certification of Interim Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) and Rule 15d 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended. (filed herewith)
31 (B)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) and Rule 15d 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended. (filed herewith)
32	Certification of Interim Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (filed herewith)

46

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed by the undersigned duly authorized officer, on its behalf and in the capacity indicated.

Carpenter Technology Corporation (Registrant)

Date: May 5, 2010
/s/ K. Douglas Ralph
K. Douglas Ralph
Senior Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer

47